Aeromonas hydrophila CobQ is a new type of NAD⁺- and Zn²⁺-independent protein lysine deacetylase

Yuqian Wang[1,2 ¶], Guibin Wang[1,5 ¶], Lishan Zhang[1,3,4], Qilan Cai[1,3,4], Meizhen Lin[1,3,4],

Dongping Huang [1,3,4], Yuyue Xie[1,3], Wenxiong Lin[1,3], Xiangmin Lin[1,3,4]*

[1] Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Agroecological Processing and Safety

Monitoring (School of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University),

Fuzhou 350002, China

[2] Agricultural College, Anhui Science and Technology University, Chuzhou 233100,

China

[3] Key Laboratory of Crop Ecology and Molecular Physiology (Fujian Agriculture and

Forestry University), Fujian Province University, Fuzhou 350002, China

[4] Key Laboratory of Marine Biotechnology of Fujian Province, Institute of

Oceanology, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China

[5] State Key Laboratory of Proteomics, Beijing Proteome Research Center, National

Center for Protein Sciences (Beijing), Beijing Institute of Lifeomics, Beijing 102206,

China

Running title: AhCobQ is a new type of lysine deacetylase

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: Xiangmin Lin, E-mail: xiangmin@fafu.edu.cn, Agroecological

Institute, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, PR China.

Tel: +86059183769440; Fax: +86059183769440.

Highlights

AhCobQ is an NAD$^+$- and Zn^{2+}-independent protein lysine deacetylase.

There are no proteins homologous to AhCobQ in eukaryotes.

The deacetylase activity of AhCobQ is located in an unknown domain.

AhCobQ has specific protein substrates and substrates in common with other lysine deacetylases.

AhCobQ positively regulates the enzymatic activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase at its K388 site.

Summary

The lack of exploration of new lysine acetylases and deacetylases (KDACs) and their protein substrates in prokaryotic cells has become a bottleneck in the functional study of lysine acetylation modifications. In this study, we reported a novel Zn^{2+}- and NAD$^+$-independent KDAC protein, AhCobQ, in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Interestingly, this protein does not share homology with current known KDACs, and its KDAC activity is located in an unknown domain for which a homologous protein cannot be found in eukaryotic cells. The following analysis showed that AhCobQ affected the enzymatic activity and protein-protein interaction ability of its protein substrates. In summary, these results extended our understanding of the regulatory mechanism of bacterial lysine acetylation modifications.

Abstract

Protein N^ε-lysine acetylation (Kac) modifications play crucial roles in diverse physiological and pathological functions in cells. In prokaryotic cells, there are only two types of lysine deacetylases (KDACs) that are Zn^{2+}- or NAD^+-dependent. In this study, we reported a protein, AhCobQ, in *Aeromonas hydrophila* ATCC 7966 that presents NAD^+- and Zn^{2+}-independent KDAC activity. Furthermore, its KDAC activity is located in an unidentified domain (from 195–245 aa). Interestingly, AhCobQ has no homology with current known KDACs, and no homologous protein was found in eukaryotic cells. A protein substrate analysis showed that AhCobQ has specific protein substrates in common with other known KDACs, indicating that these KDACs can dynamically co-regulate the states of Kac proteins. Microbiological methods employed in this study affirmed AhCobQ's positive regulation of isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICD) enzymatic activity at the K388 site, implicating AhCobQ in the modulation of bacterial enzymatic activities. In summary, our findings present compelling evidence that AhCobQ represents a distinctive type of KDAC with significant roles in bacterial biological functions.

Keywords: Lysine acetylation modification; lysine deacetylases; NAD^+/Zn^{2+}-independent; CobQ; *Aeromonas hydrophila*

Introduction

In recent years, due to the development of specific antibody enrichment technologies and high-resolution mass spectrometry, the research on protein post-translational modifications (PTMs) is increasing dramatically, and it provides a new platform for the study of bacterial function and regulation. PTMs refer to small molecular moieties that dynamically affect the structure and function of proteins through chemical modification of specific amino acid residues, so as to regulate many important biological processes, including cell metabolism, DNA transcription and replication, host infection, and stress resistance, and therefore play an important role in almost all physiological functions (Carabetta et al, 2007). Among them, the N^ε-lysine acetylation (Kac) modification on protein is widely found in bacteria and participates in almost all key biological processes in bacterial life activities and is attracting the attention of microbiologists (Yu et al, 2023).

Although there are many reports on the identification of acetylation modification patterns of bacterial protein lysine residues in recent years, two fundamental questions have not been solved: how do these acetylation modifications arise (acetylation modifiers; writers) and how are they removed (deacetylation modifiers; erasers) (Wang et al, 2020). On the one hand, acetyl groups can be transferred to the target protein by acetyltransferases or non-enzymatic (chemical) mechanisms (VanDrisse & Escalante-Semerena, 2019); on the other hand, proteins need to remove acetyl groups from modified proteins to reversibly regulate the function of target proteins. At present, the

research on the functions and types of lysine deacetylases (KDACs) has been well

documented in eukaryotes, and most of them are histone deacetylases (HDACs).

There are currently at least 18 types of HDACs in four classes (I–IV) in mammals and

four plant-specific histone deacetylase 2 (HD2) members in plant (Yoshida et al, 2017;

Chen et al, 2020). Of these, HDAC types I, II, and IV are Zn^{2+}-dependent, while type

III deacetylases are NAD^+-dependent sirtuins. Moreover, sirtuins can be divided into

seven types (SIRT 1–7), which are distributed in different compartments of eukaryotic

cells and perform important biological functions (Narita et al, 2019). Beside these,

ABHD14B, a novel KDAC, was reported to deacetylate non-histone substrates and

influences glucose metabolism in mammals (Rajendran et al, 2022; Rajendran et al,

2019).

The currently known KDACs in bacteria include Zn^{2+}-dependent RpLdaA or Kdac1

(homologous to eukaryotic type II KDACs), Zn^{2+}-dependent AcuC (homologous to type

I), and NAD^+-dependent sirtuin (homologous to type III) family proteins (Watson et al,

2023). Sirtuins, represented by *Escherichia coli* CobB, are the most widely distributed

KDACs in many bacterial species and are involved in the regulation of a variety of

important physiological functions (Burckhardt et al, 2019; Liu et al, 2018; Wang et al,

2020). However, since the first report of the deacetylase function of CobB in *Salmonella*

typhimurium in 1998, only two types (three classes) of KDACs have been confirmed in

bacteria (Tsang & Escalante-Semerena, 1998; Macek et al, 2019). The reasons that

hinder new KDAC discovery in bacteria may be the following: (1) due to the in-depth

study of KDACs in eukaryotes, almost all the current research on bacterial

deacetylases are based on the characteristics of their homologous proteins in

eukaryotes, but less attention is paid to bacteria-specific KDACs; and (2) the

deacetylation process between protein substrates and KDACs may be a transient and

reversible weak interaction. Moreover, there are thousands of lysine acetylation

modification sites in cellular proteins (Chen et al, 2022); so, it is difficult to speculate

the characteristics of their specific binding deacetylase, which makes the efficiency of

enriching specific KDACs by common protein-protein interaction methods, such as co-

immunoprecipitation and pull-down technologies, challenging. As a result, the

identification and mechanism of new deacetylases in prokaryotic cells are lagging.

Therefore, the screening and identification of new deacetylases, especially for new

types of KDACs that may not depend on NAD^+ or Zn^{2+}, have been a bottleneck limiting

the research on the regulatory mechanisms of bacterial acetylation/deacetylation

modifications.

In this study, we fortuitously identified the protein AhCobQ in *Aeromonas hydrophila*

ATCC 7966 (UniProt ID A0KI27, gene ID *AHA_1389*) that presents NAD^+- and Zn^{2+}-

independent protein lysine deacetylase activity. Interestingly, although this protein is

described as a CobQ/CobB/MinD/ParA family protein in the UniProt database, it is

homologous with cobyric acid synthase in other bacterial species but shares no

homology with CobB sirtuin family proteins. In addition to deacetylating lysine

acetylated sites common to AhCobB (UniProt ID A0KKN9, gene ID *AHA_2317*) sirtuin

and another deacetylase AhAcuC (UniProt ID A0KLZ2, gene ID *AHA_2788*) in *A.*

hydrophila, we also confirmed that AhCobQ deacetylated specific lysine acetylated

sites as did other KDACs, even in the same protein. In general, our results

demonstrated a new type of bacterial KDAC, which shares no homology with currently

known lysine deacetylases, including those in eukaryotic cells, and extends our

understanding of the complicated regulatory mechanism of bacterial lysine acetylation

modifications.

Results

The physiological phenotypes of AhCobQ are different from those of AhCobB

This project proceeded from the misunderstanding that AhCobQ and AhCobB may be

homologous proteins because AhCobQ is annotated as a CobQ/CobB/MinD/ParA

family protein in the UniProt database. Therefore, we constructed *ahcobB* and *ahcobQ*

mutant strains (**Figure 1A**) and compared their general physiological phenotypes.

When compared to the wild-type strain (WT), the deletion of *ahcobB* did not affect the

hemolytic or swarming ability of *A. hydrophila*. Notably, *ΔahcobQ* did not affect the

hemolytic ability either but significantly increased the swarming ability (**Figure 1B , C**

and Figure S1). Most interestingly, *ΔahcobB* sharply increased, while *ΔahcobQ*

significantly decreased, the bacterial biofilm formation ability (**Figure 1D**). These

results indicated that CobB and CobQ in *A. hydrophila* may play different roles in

biological functions.

AhCobQ and AhCobB are not homologous proteins

We then further investigated the evolutionary relationship between AhCobQ and

AhCobB. To our surprise, we found that the proteins belong to different protein families.

AhCobB (UniProt ID A0KKN9) belongs to a well-known NAD^+-dependent protein

deacylase sirtuin family and shares a highly homologous SIR2 domain with CobB

sirtuins in many bacterial species, such as *E. coli* (NPD_ECOLI), *S. typhimurium*

(NPD_SALTY), *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* (A0A246F352_9PSED), and even seven

types of sirtuins in humans (**Figure 2A**). However, AhCobQ (UniProt ID A0KI27) shares

an AAA_31 ATPase domain with several homologous proteins, such as cobalamin

biosynthesis protein CobQ in *P. nitroreducens* (A0A246FC44_9PSED), probable

plasmid partitioning protein in *P. aeruginosa* (G3XCW7_PSEAE), and chromosome

partitioning ATPase in *S. typhimurium* (A0A0F6B556_SALT1). Moreover, AhCobQ also

shares homology with Soj protein (A0KQY7_AERHH) and cell division inhibitor MinD

(A0KK56_AERHH) in *A. hydrophila* as well (**Figure 2B and Figure S2**). Interestingly,

this protein was not found to have homologous proteins in humans or mice species,

indicating the significant evolutionary difference between AhCobQ and sirtuins.

AhCobQ is an NAD- and Zn^{2+}-independent lysine deacetylase

Dot blot and western blot experiments showed that the deletion of *ahcobQ* or *ahcobB*

both significantly increased the whole protein lysine acetylation levels in *A. hydrophila*

cells (**Figure 2C and D**), which aroused our interest as to whether AhCobQ is a lysine

deacetylase or not. To test this possibility, we purified AhCobQ, AhAcuC, and AhCobB

proteins and incubated them *in vitro* with lysine acetylated-bovine serum albumin (Kac-

BSA) as a protein substrate with and without NAD^+ or Zn^{2+} treatment (**Figure S3 and**

S4). As a positive control, AhCobB and AhAcuC significantly deacetylated Kac-BSA in

the presence of NAD+ or Zn2+ buffer, which met our expectation. However, AhCobQ

clearly deacetylated Kac-BSA regardless of whether NAD^+ or $Zn2^+$ were present

(**Figure 2E and G**). Moreover, the KDAC activity of AhCobB was inhibited when the

sirtuin inhibitor nicotinamide (NAM) was added, but this did not affect the deacetylase

activity of AhCobQ (**Figure 2F**). Furthermore, the introduction of the metal ion chelator,

EDTA, had no discernible effect on the deacetylase activity of AhCobQ (**Figure S5**).

These results strongly indicate that AhCobQ is an NAD^+- and Zn^{2+}-independent protein

deacetylase. Additionally, since AhCobQ has an ATPase domain at the N-terminal, we

also tested its deacetylase activity with or without ATP (**Figure 2H and Figure S6**).

The results demonstrated that the KDAC activity of AhCobQ was ATP-independent,

suggesting its enzymatic activity was downstream of the ATPase domain.

We further digested Kac-BSA to peptides after AhCobQ incubation and then subjected

the peptides to LC MS/MS to identify their acetylated sites (**Table S1**). The results

showed that the MS intensities of at least 15 Kac peptides in BSA were significantly

decreased after AhCobQ treatment. To further validate the KDAC characteristics of

AhCobQ and exclude the possibility that AhCobQ may hydrolyze Kac peptides or

switch the lysine acetylation to other unknown PTMs, we selected and synthetized an

acetylated peptide sequence (YNGVFQECCQAEDK^{ac}GACLLPK) with its unacetylated

peptide as negative control and subjected them to additional deacetylation assays. The

synthetized acetylated (STD*^{Kac}) and deacetylated (STD) peptides were incubated with

AhCobB and AhCobQ and then identified by LC MS/MS. As shown in **Figure 3**, the

masses of the STD*^{Kac} and STD peptides were 786.35538 (3+) and 772.35175 (3+),

respectively. When the STD*^{Kac} peptide was incubated with AhCobB or AhCobQ, the

corresponding retention time in the C18 chromatography and the MS1 peak of the

deacetylated peptide were found in both treatments **(Figure 3A and B)**. Moreover, the

deacetylated and acetylated peptides were further validated in MS2 by LC MS/MS

(Figure 3C and D). These results indicate that AhCobQ is not a hydrolase that

hydrolyzes target proteins but likely a protein deacetylase similar to AhCobB.

The deacetylase activity of AhCobQ is in an unidentified domain

Because AhCobQ has a conservative N-terminal AAA family ATPase domain (1–179

aa) and its KDAC activity was not affected by ATP, we then asked whether this domain

has deacetylase activity. We divided and purified recombinant AhCobQ protein into two

truncated protein fragments of 1–179 aa and 179–264 aa and then tested their

deacetylase activities. To our surprise, the 179-264 aa protein fragment but not the 1-

179 aa fragment showed NAD$^+$- and Zn^{2+}-independent deacetylase activity (**Figure**

4A). To better understand the deacetylase domain of AhCobQ, a total of 12 truncated

protein fragments were constructed and purified, and their deacetylase activities were

evaluated (**Figures S7 and 4A**). As shown in **Figure 4**, the 1–179 aa, 200–255 aa,

and 189–240 aa shortened proteins disrupted the deacetylase activity of AhCobQ to

some extent, whereas the 179–264 aa, 189–264 aa, 199–264 aa, 195–264 aa, 195–

255 aa, 189–245 aa, 189–250 aa, 189–255 aa, and 189–264 aa shortened proteins

did not, indicating that the deacetylase activity may be in the 195–245 aa range.

Interestingly, although the full amino acid sequence of AhCobQ (1–254 aa) is

homologous with Soj protein (A0KQYT), site-determining protein (A0KI19 and

A0KK56), and ParA family protein (A0KQG8) in *A. hydrophila*, the KDAC activity

domain (195–245 aa) does not share homology with these proteins, which suggests

the different biological roles among them. Moreover, AhCobQ (195–245 aa) also

shares homology with several prokaryotic species, such as *Aeromonas sp., Aliivibrio*

sp., Enterovibri sp., Oceanimonas sp., Photobacterium sp., and *Vibrio sp.*, most of

which are marine prokaryotes based on a PSI-BLAST program searching, but the

search failed to find homologous proteins in eukaryotic cells (**Figure 5**). Therefore, the

195–245 aa shortened protein of AhCobQ may represent a new domain that exhibits

NAD$^+$- and Zn^{2+}-independent deacetylase activity.

AhCobQ, AhCobB, and AhAcuC deacetylate different acetylated proteins and

sites

We then identified the proteins that were substrates of AhCobQ and analyzed the

substrate differences among AhCobQ, AhCobB, and a predicted Zn^{2+}-dependent

deacetylase AhAcuC of *A. hydrophila* that is homologous to type I KDACs in

eukaryotes. A quantitative lysine acetylome was generated to compare the differential

expression of lysine acetylated peptides among *ahcobQ*, *ahcobB*, and *ahacuC* gene-

deficient strains and their WT strain (**Figure 6, Figures S8-S110 and Table S2**). We

only focused on the upregulated lysine acetylated peptides between the mutant and

WT strains. When compared to WT, the deletion of *ahcobQ*, *ahcobB*, and *ahacuC* led

to increased abundances at 52 Kac sites (corresponding to 47 Kac proteins), 370 Kac

sites (291 proteins), and 197 Kac sites (176 proteins), respectively. Moreover, there

were 19 increased Kac peptides common between the $\triangle$*ahcobQ* and $\triangle$*ahacuC*

strains, 10 increased Kac peptides common between the $\triangle$*ahcobQ* and $\triangle$*ahcobB*

strains, 69 increased Kac peptides common between the $\triangle$*ahcobB* and $\triangle$*ahacuC*

strains, and four peptides common among the $\triangle$*ahcobQ*, $\triangle$*ahcobB*, and $\triangle$*ahacuC*

strains (**Figure 6A**). We also found that there were only 531 Kac peptides directly

regulated by these three deacetylases, which is only a small proportion of the total Kac

peptides (accounting for 10.28% of all 3,163 Kac peptides identified in the current

study), indicating the presence of other unknown KDACs in this bacterium.

A KEGG pathway analysis showed that biosynthesis of amino acids and antibiotics

was enriched in the unique upregulated Kac proteins in the $\triangle$*ahcobQ* strain (**Figure**

6B). Furthermore, five pathways, namely, pyrimidine metabolism, metabolic pathways,

purine metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, and RNA polymerase, were enriched in

upregulated Kac proteins under AhCobB-regulation. Of these three deacetylase

mutants, $\triangle$*ahacuC* enriched the most metabolic pathways, although $\triangle$*ahcobB*

regulated more Kac sites. Carbon metabolism, biosynthesis of antibiotics, and

glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathways were the top three enrichment pathways in the

unique 119 Kac sites regulated by AhAcuC. Phosphotransferase system (PTS),

microbial metabolism in diverse environments, and metabolic pathways were enriched

in the upregulated Kac proteins common to the *ΔahacuC* and *ΔahcobB* mutants. In

general, although there are different pathways involved in the activity of different

deacetylases, most of the Kac proteins regulated by these KDACs were enzymes and

normally had important roles in metabolic pathways.

Validation of the specificity of Kac proteins and sites by deacetylases

We further validated the specificity of Kac proteins and the sites regulated by these

deacetylases. A total of 11 target proteins (HrpA, SUN, ENO, ArcA, ADK, IscS, A0KJ75,

GyrB, FtsA, RecA, and ICD) were selected for validation due to their significantly

upregulated Kac levels in the *ΔahcobQ* strain, potentially indicating their roles as

substrates for AhCobQ. These proteins were first cloned and purified in *E. coli*. The

anti-Kac western blot showed that these purified proteins did not have lysine

acetylation signals, which may be because of the heterologous expression in an

engineered bacterial strain. This was ideal for excluding signal interference from the

background (**Figure S11**). Next, site-specific lysine acetylation of the target protein

substrates was constructed using a two-plasmid-based system of genetically encoded

N^{ε}-acetyllysine, which was purified and incubated with AhCobQ, AhCobB, and AhAcuC

for western blot analysis against anti-lysine acetylation antibodies (**Figure S12**). As

shown in **Figure 7A**, when compared to untreated Kac proteins, the acetylation level

of four Kac sites (K816 in HrpA, K103 and K148 in SUN, K195 in ENO) that were

uniquely regulated by AhCobQ according to quantitative acetylome analysis was

significantly reduced after incubation with AhcobQ but unchanged after incubation with

AhCobB or AhAcuC. Moreover, K26 in ArcA-2 and K48 in ADK were reduced in both

AhCobB and AhCobQ incubations, and K93 in IscS and K81 in A0KJ75 were

decreased with all three deacetylases (**Figure 7A**). These results were consistent with

our quantitative acetylome results, except for K34 in GlmM, which was decreased in

AhCobB and AhCobQ treatments in the western blotting assay but upregulated by all

three deacetylase mutants according to the proteomics data (**Figure S13**). Intriguingly,

several proteins that contained multiple Kac sites were found to be regulated by

different deacetylases. For example, K331 and K449 in GyrB were deacetylated by

AhCobQ and AhCobB, respectively (**Figure 7B**). The same results were found in K320

and K409 in FtsA and in K279 and K306 in RecA as well. These results indicate the

different regulatory mechanisms among these KDACs.

AhCobQ positively regulates the enzymatic activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase

(ICD) at K388 site

To further understand the effect of AhCobQ on bacterial biological function, an AhCobQ

substrate, *A. hydrophila* isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICD) was cloned and purified in *E.*

coli（**Figure S12**）. Western blot assay showed that this protein didn't display lysine

acetylation modification in *E. coli* (**Figure 8A**). Therefore, site-specific lysine

acetylation was constructed at K388 site of ICD for further enzymatic activity

determination. As showed in **Figure 8B**, AhCobQ can significantly deacetylated ICD at

K388, while other two deacetylases, AhCobB and AhAcuC didn't. This result is

consistent with the proteomics conclusions. When compared with unKac ICD protein,

the Kac at K388 of ICD significantly decreased the product of NADPH with the adding

of NADP$^+$ substrate, which indicate the Kac at K388 negatively regulates the enzymatic

activity of ICD. Moreover, the adding of AhCobQ significantly increased the enzymatic

activity of K388 acetylated ICD and quickly reached the platform period in 10 minutes,

while AhCobB and AhAcuC didn't affect ICD enzymatic activity (**Figure 8C and D**).

These results indicate that AhCobQ positively regulates the enzymatic activity of

isocitrate dehydrogenase by deacetylating the ICD protein at K388 site.

Discussion

Lysine acetylation has been confirmed to play crucial roles in almost all essential

biological functions in both eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells. Normally, reversibility and

dynamicity are necessary characteristics of lysine acetylation. The regulatory

mechanism of deacetylation has received as much attention as that of acetylation. In

different bacterial species, there are hundreds to thousands of protein lysine

acetylation modification sites, which are theoretically unlikely to be regulated by one

or a few deacetylases, and there must be some unknown deacetylases in bacteria that

act on specific protein substrates to maintain the normal physiological function of cells.

Therefore, finding new bacterial deacetylases and identifying their modified protein

substrates, so as to better understand the molecular mechanism of their regulation,

are important in the research on protein acetylation modification regulation.

Here, we reported that CobQ protein in *A. hydrophila* is an NAD^+- and Zn^{2+}-

independent deacetylase, and it is very different from currently known deacetylases.

We were first interested in the function of AhCobQ based on its annotation as a

CobQ/CobB/MinD/ParA family protein in the UniProt database. The deacetylation

assay suggested that AhCobQ deacetylated Kac-BSA in the same manner as AhCobB.

To our surprise, AhCobQ does not share homology with any prokaryotic sirtuin CobB

or currently known eukaryotic deacetylases. We then asked why this was the case

since both proteins appeared to belong to the same protein family. Actually, CobB has

a conflicting naming phenomenon in the UniProt annotation. There are two distinct

CobB annotations in the UniProt database: one is the well-known NAD-dependent

protein deacylase, such as NPD_ECOLI in *E. coli*, and the other is the ATP-dependent

a,c-diamide synthase, such as CobB_SINSX *in Sinorhizobium sp.* that plays an

important role in cobalamin biosynthesis (Galperin & Grishin, 2000). In some bacterial

species, a,c-diamide synthase is also annotated as CbiA (such as CBIA_SALTY, for

example), indicating the controversial assignment of CobB. Interestingly, there is not

an a,c-diamide synthase homologous protein in *A. hydrophila* ATCC 7966, and the

CobB annotation in *A. hydrophila* ATCC 7966 is specific for NAD-dependent protein

deacylase. In general, the CobQ protein is annotated as cobyric acid synthase and

belongs to a,c-diamide synthase (CobB or CbiA) but not the sirtuin protein (CobB)

family. The role of AhCobQ is unknown to date because *A. hydrophila* may import

rather than synthesize cobalamin (vitamin B12) (Seshadri et al, 2006).

The LC MS/MS analysis of synthesized Kac peptides treated by AhCobQ further

validated that this protein is a deacetylase and excluded the possibility of it being a

hydrolase. Surprisingly, the enzymatic activity of AhCobB was not affected by NAD^+,

Zn^{2+}, or the sirtuin inhibitor NAM. Given that AhCobQ was reported to be an ATP-

dependent cobyric acid synthase (Galperin & Grishin, 2000), we also tested the effect

of ATP on deacetylase activity but obtained negative results. Thus, the deacetylase

activity of AhCobQ was determined to be NAD^+-, Zn^{2+}-, and ATP-independent.

Subsequent assays showed that the deacetylase activity of AhCobQ was mediated by

an unknown C-terminal domain in the 195–245 aa range that was downstream of the

predicted ATPase domain, suggesting a new domain was responsible for deacetylation.

However, the intrinsic enzymatic mechanism needs to be further investigated.

We then further asked whether the protein substrates of AhCobQ were the same as

the unknown bacterial KDAC homologs in *A. hydrophila*, AhCobB, and AhAcuC.

Although the CobB substrates were identified in *E. coli*, the AcuC substrates and their

comparison with the CobB substrates at a high throughput level had not been

determined (Kuhn et al, 2014; Weinert et al, 2017). The characteristics of Kac sites

regulated by CobB and AcuC are not yet known. Here, we compared the differential

expression of Kac proteins and sites among *ahcobQ, ahcobB*, and *ahacuC* mutant and

wild-type strains using a quantitative proteomics method. We only focused on the high

abundance Kac proteins or sites (fold change > 1.5) when comparing the mutant and

WT strains. The results showed that 9.9% of the acetylated proteins (312/3164 Kac

protein) were regulated by *ahcobB*, which is consistent with the proportion in the *E.coli*

cobB mutant and the previous conclusion that CobB is the predominate deacetylase

in some bacterial species (Weinert et al, 2013; AbouElfetouh et al, 2015). We also found

47 and 186 significantly enriched Kac proteins in *ΔahcobQ* and *ΔahacuC*, respectively.

Interestingly, there were unique and overlapping Kac substrates among three KDACs,

indicating that these KDACs may construct a complicated network for co-regulation of

acetylation proteins. Furthermore, although the enriched GO terms and related

substrates were different, all the KDACs appeared to be involved in metabolic

pathways.

To further validate our proteomics results, plasmid-based site-specific acetylation was

introduced as a target of the recombinant proteins, and the deacetylase activity of the

KDACs was determined *in vitro*. The behavior of the three KDACs on a total of 14

target site-specific acetylated proteins was consistent with our proteomics results, with

the results exceeding our expectations. AhCobQ regulated certain proteins at specific

Kac sites, which implied that it is involved in specific biological functions. Moreover, it

also co-regulated the same Kac sites as did one or all the other KDACs, indicating that

the cooperation of these KDACs may play an important role during the maintenance

of cellular acetylation homeostasis. Most importantly, our results also showed that

AhCobQ deacetylated different Kac sites on the same protein as AhCobB or AhAcuC.

For example, the bacterial topoisomerases DNA gyrase GyrB is an essential enzyme

that controls the topological state of DNA during replication (Tari et al, 2013). Previous

studies reported the important role of GyrB in bacterial fluoroquinolone resistance

(Chauffour et al, 2021; Li et al, 2022). The 3D structure prediction showed that GyrB

K331 is located in the ATPase domain that is responsible for supercoiling DNA,

whereas K449 is located in the Toprim domain that is required for the interaction with

GyrA (Brino et al, 2000). Our current data showed that K331 and K449 were

deacetylated by AhCobQ and AhCobB, respectively, indicating the delicate regulatory

mechanisms in both functional domains. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence

that different KDACs regulate the Kac level at specific sites of the same protein and

that they may be involved in differential biological functions in prokaryotic cells. To our

knowledge, it is the first evidence that different KADCs regulates the Kac level at

specific-site of one protein and that may involve in differential biological functions in

prokaryotic cell. We then further wondered the role of AhCobQ in bacterial biological

functions. Isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICD) is a key enzyme in the tricarboxylic acid

cycle pathway, and also affects bacterial biofilm formation and virulence in several

species. Diverse Kac sites of bacterial ICD have been well identified. In *E. coli* K12,

there are at least 15 Kac sites on ICD , and the Kac at K55 and K350 have been

determined to play crucial roles on the enzymatic activity by a positively regulatory

manner, while Kac at K100, K230 and K235 in a negatively regulatory manner .

However, only four Kac sites including K55, K142, K177 and K350 have been proved

to be deacetylated by CobB sirtuin obviously. In our quantitative MS data, there are at

least ten Kac sites in ICD protein, while only the Kac level of ICD K388 was AhCobQ

regulated and others were not altered in KADCs deleted strains. The following assay

confirmed that the enzymatic activity of ICD was negatively regulated in a dose-

dependent manner by deaectylated ICD K388 site by AhCobQ, whereas not affected

by AhCobB and AhAcuC. These results indicate the important role of AhCobQ in

bacterial metabolic regulation.

Conclusion

In summary, here, we identified a novel NAD^+- and Zn^{2+}-independent deacetylase,

AhCobQ, in prokaryotic cells, which does not share homology with current known

deacetylases and is unique in prokaryotes. The deacetylase activity of this KDAC is

through an unknown domain. Moreover, AhCobQ acetylates at least 47 protein

substrates involved in many biological processes, especially metabolic pathways. We

provide evidences that AhCobQ negatively regulates the enzymatic activity of bacterial

isocitrate dehydrogenase at K388 site. These results indicate that AhCobQ has an

important regulatory role in bacterial metabolic pathways. AhCobQ is a novel

deacetylase in prokaryotic cells and its biological functions need to be further

investigated.

Chemicals and reagents

Ni-NTA agarose beads were purchased from Yeasen Biotechnology; C18 ZipTips from

Millipore Corporation; water and acetonitrile from Thermo Fisher Scientific; and formic

acid (FA), dithiothreitol, acetone, and iodoacetamide from Sigma Aldrich. Synthesized

peptides were purchased from Science Peptide (Shanghai, China) with 95–99% purity.

All chemicals and reagents used in this study are listed in **Table S3**. Critical commercial

assay kits used in this study are listed in **Table S4**.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains and vectors involved in this study were preserved or constructed in our

laboratory; these are listed in **Table S5**. *A. hydrophila* was cultured at 30°C, and *E. coli*

was cultured at 37°C. All bacterial solutions were transferred at 1:100 (v:v; bacterial

solution : LB) and cultured continuously for 16 h at 200 rpm, unless otherwise specified.

Generation of gene-deleted strains

According to the whole genome sequence of the *A. hydrophila* ATCC 7966 strain,

upstream and downstream fragments of the target gene of about 500 bp were amplified.

The amplified gene fragments were ligated with the digested pRE112 plasmid using

the restriction sites *SacI* and *XbaI* and then transformed first into *E. coli* MC1061. The

plasmid was collected and transformed into *E. coli* S17 and then introduced into *A.*

hydrophila by bacterial conjugation. The positive clones were selected on resistant LB

plates (100 µg/mL ampicillin and 30 µg/mL chloramphenicol). Finally, the positive

mutants from the previous step were spread on LB plates containing 20% sucrose,

and a single clone was picked. The positive result was sent to Shanghai Sangon

Biological Co., Ltd. for sequencing, and the bacteria were kept at −80°C for later use

(Li et al, 2019; Kou et al, 2022).

Physiological phenotype assay

The physiological phenotyping was performed as previously described (Li et al, 2019;

Cai et al, 2019). Briefly, for hemolytic and swarming motility assays, bacteria cultured

overnight were spotted on 0.7% sheep blood or 0.4% agar plates, respectively, at 30°C

for 16 h, and the diameter of the hemolytic or swarming circle was observed and

measured in three independent experiments. The bacterial biofilm formation ability was

measured with a SpectraMax® i3 multifunctional microplate reader using the crystal

violet staining method, and the absorbance at an optical density (OD) of 595 nm

wavelength was measured, as previously described (Li et al, 2019). All these

experiments were independently repeated three times, and the one-way ANOVA

method was used for statistical analysis.

BSA acetylation *in vitro*

Briefly, 1 mg of BSA powder was dissolved in 1 mL of ddH$_2$O containing 0.1 M Na$_2$CO$_3$

and 0.04 g of acetic anhydride and then incubated at 37°C to react for 3 h to produce

Kac-BSA. Finally, 20 µL of 1 M Tris (pH 8.0) was added to stop the reaction (Guan et

al, 2010).

Protein deacetylation assay *in vitro*

Briefly, 1 μg of protein or peptides were mixed with 0.2 μg of purified KDAC protein in

basic buffer (150 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 10% glycerol) with 10 mM $ZnCl_2$, 0.5 mM

ATP, 0.25 mM NAD^+, or 0.5 mM NAM, as appropriate, and then incubated at 37°C for

3 h (Li et al., 2024).

Quantitative acetylome analysis

The quantitative acetylome was performed as previously described (Zhang et al, 2022).

Briefly, the protein samples from bacteria cultured overnight were collected by

centrifugation and then sonicated in an ice bath. A 10 mg protein sample was reduced

by 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), alkylated by 20 mM iodoacetamide (IAA), and then

digested to peptides by trypsin at a 1:50 ratio at 37°C for 16 h. The lysine acetylation

peptides were enriched by an immuno-affinity enrichment method using an agarose-

conjugated anti-lysine-acetylated antibody (Hangzhou Jingjie, PTM 101).

LC MS/MS

For BSA acetylation identification, the digested peptides were analyzed on an Orbitrap

Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an EASY-

nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The peptides were first loaded into a C18

trap column (1.9 μm, 100 μm, 20 mm) and separated on an EASY-nLC 1200 UPLC

system. The precursor ions were selected for fragmentation in the higher energy

collision-activated dissociation (HCD) cell at a normalized collision energy of 27% and

then detected using the Orbitrap analyzer at a resolution of 15,000 at m/z 200.

The synthetic peptides YNGVFQECCQAEDKGACLLPK (STD) and YNGVFQECCQA

EDK^{ac}GACLLPK (STD^{*Kac}) were analyzed using an Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). For the quantitative acetylome, the enriched peptides were analyzed using

an Orbitrap Exploris 480 mass spectrometer equipped with a high-field asymmetric

waveform ion mobility (FAIMS) Pro spectrometer and an EASY-nLC 1200 system

(Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The data obtained were compared and annotated with the BSA (UniProt ID P02769)

or *A. hydrophila* ATCC 7966 database from the UniProt database using MaxQuant

v1.6.2.10 software. The mass error of the search was set to 10 ppm, the main search

was set to 5 ppm, the fragment ion was set to 0.02 Da, and the variable modification

was acetylation modification. The maximum false discovery rate (FDR) threshold for

proteins, peptides, and modification sites was set at 1%. In addition, the localization

probability threshold was specified as >0.75, and the modification site score threshold

was set to 40. The precursor area for quantification of synthetic peptides

YNGVFQECCQAEDKGACLLPK (STD) and YNGVFQECCQAEDK^{ac}GACLLPK

(STD^{*Kac}) was manually exacted with Thermo Xcalibur (v.3.0.63). When performing a

label-free quantitative (LFQ) comparison, in addition to the above settings, label-free

quantification was also set to LFQ, and the intensity signal ratio of the corresponding

modified peptides in the identification results (ratio) was >1.5. P<0.05 (Student's *t* test)

among the three biological replicates in each group was considered a significant

difference.

Western blot

The protein samples (0.2 µg) were separated on a 12% sodium dodecyl-sulfate

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel and then transferred to

polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes using a semi-dry transfer system (Bio-Rad,

USA) at 25 V for 20 min. The membranes were blocked with Tris-buffered saline with

0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) containing 5% skim milk for 1 h and incubated with the diluted

primary antibody (PTM-101 1:1,000) for 5 h at room temperature. After washing three

times with TBST, the membranes were incubated with the secondary antibody,

horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5,000), at room

temperature for 1 h. Finally, the membranes were covered with Clarity western ECL

(Bio-Rad) chromogenic solution and exposed with a ChemiDoc MP (Bio-Rad, Hercules,

CA, USA). The exposed PVDF membranes were stained with Coomassie R-350 to

verify whether the loading amounts were consistent (Peng et al, 2019).

Dot blot

10 µg protein was diluted and spotted on the PVDF membrane. Once the samples had

air-dried, the membranes underwent a blocking process with Tris-buffered saline with

Tween 20 (TBST) containing 5% BSA for a duration of 3 to 5 hours. Following this,

they were incubated with the PTM-101 antibody at a 1:1000 dilution for 5 hours.

Afterward, the membranes were washed three times in TBST, then incubated with a

secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse HRP-IgG, at a 1:5000 dilution and containing 3%

BSA, for one hour at room temperature. This was followed by five washes in TBST,

each lasting 5 minutes. To conclude the process, the membrane was treated with

Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad) chromogenic solution, exposed using a

ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), and finally stained with

Coomassie R-350 to ensure consistent sample loading.

Recombinant protein production and purification

The target genes were amplified and ligated to the digested pET-21b/32a plasmid

using the restriction sites *EcoRI* and *HindIII*. Then, the recombinant plasmid was

transformed into competent *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), and the positive clones were selected

from an agar plate with 100 μg/mL ampicillin. For the construction of site-directed

mutant strains, the target gene was mutated using a Fast Mutagenesis System kit

according to the manufacturer's protocol (Transgen Biotech Co., Beijing, China). All

primer pairs used in this study are listed in **Table S6**.

The successfully constructed recombinant strains were transferred to 200 mL of LB

medium containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin, and then, 200 μL of 1 M isopropyl β-D-1-

thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added until the OD at 600 nm reached about 0.6.

After washing three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and dissolving in 10

mL of a solution (5 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM NaCl, 0.05M Tris-HCl pH=8.0), the bacterial

cells were ultrasonically disrupted for 20 min on ice. The supernatant was collected by

centrifuging at 10,000 rpm at 4°C for 15 min, and the recombinant proteins were

purified using an Ni$^+$-NTA column as previously described (Jiang et al, 2022).

Expression and purification of site-specific Kac proteins

We used two genetic code expansion systems in *E. coli* BL21 to express site-specific

acetylated proteins (Vidali G et al, 1968; Bryson et al, 2017). First, the target gene was

inserted into the pET-21b plasmid as described above, and the lysine codon at the

target position of the gene was substituted with an amber stop (TAG) codon by site-

directed mutagenesis. Then, equal amounts of pTECH-MbAcK3RS (IPYE) and

recombinant pET-21b plasmid were co-transfected into competent *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)

on agar plates containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin and 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol. After

culturing for 5 h at 37°C and 200 rpm, the successful PCR products were confirmed

with DNA sequencing.

The successfully site-specific acetylated mutants were cultured overnight in 5 mL of

LB medium and then transferred to 200 mL of fresh LB medium containing 100 μg/mL

ampicillin and 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol and incubated at 200 rpm and 37°C. To

express the acetyl proteins, 2 mM N-acetyllysine and 20 mM NAM were added to the

medium at 37°C and vortexed at 200 rpm until the OD at 600 nm reached about 0.8.

Then, 200 μL of 1M IPTG was added to the medium, and the cells were cultured at

16°C and vortexed at 200 rpm for 18 h to express the proteins. The procedure of site-

specific Kac protein purification was the same as the method described above.

Bioinformatics and data analysis

Amino acid sequences were obtained from the UniProt database

(https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot). The pfam database (https://www.pfam.org/) was

used to predict protein domains, and the online software iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/)

was used to generate graphs. The amino acid sequence homology analysis was

carried out using position-specific iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) software after two

iterations (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). MEGA v7.0.26 software was used

to construct the evolutionary tree that was visualized by online Chiplot software

(https://www.chiplot.online/). The protein 3D structure was predicted by AlphaFold in

the UniProt database and was visualized by PyMMOL v.2.5.2. The online software

STRING v.11.5 (http://string-db.org/) was used to perform Kyoto Encyclopedia of

Genes and Genomes (KEGG) metabolic pathway enrichment analysis for upregulated

proteins, and these proteins were finally visualized using Cytoscape v.3.9.0. Except

where otherwise stated, each experiment in this study was independently repeated

three times, and the one-way ANOVA method was used for statistical analysis.

Data availability

The raw MS data were deposited in the public ProteomeXchange Consortium via the

PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD038735 or IPX0005366000

(iProx database).

Isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICD) enzymatic activity assay

The enzymatic activity of ICD was detected by measuring the amount of NADPH

generated by NADP substrate. 10 µg purified ICD or site-specifically Kac ICD protein

was mixed with or without 3 µg purified AhCobQ in 180 µL solution buffer (100 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM NADP, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 10% glycerol, 1.5 mM isocitrate), and

the absorbance of NADPH at OD340nm was detected by SpectraMax® i3(Molecular

Devices) once a minute. Simultaneously, serial concentrations of NADPH (0-0.8mM)

was incubated with ICD for standard curve determination.

Figure and Table Legends

Figure 1. Phenotypes of Δ*ahcobB* and Δ*ahcobQ* strains. (A) Construction of *ahcobB*- and *ahcobQ*-defective strains. M: Marker; Lanes 1 and 3: PCR products of WT using the P7/P8 primer pairs of *ahcobB* and *ahcobQ*, respectively; Lanes 2 and 6: PCR products of Δ*ahcobB* and Δ*ahcobQ*, respectively, using P7/P8 primer pairs; Lanes 5 and 7: PCR products of WT using P5/P6 primer pairs of *ahcobB* and *ahcobQ*, respectively; Lanes 4 and 8: PCR products of Δ*ahcobB* and Δ*ahcobQ*, respectively, using the P5/P6 primer pairs. (B) Bacterial migration ability; (C) hemolytic activity; (D) histogram of the biofilm formation ability (OD 595 nm). **$P < 0.005$.

Figure 2. Homology comparison and deacetylase activity assay of AhCobQ and AhCobB. (A) and (B) Homologous and conserved domain analysis of AhCobQ and AhCobB family proteins. (C) and (D) Dot blot and western blot verified the whole cell protein Kac level among WT, Δ*ahcobB*, and Δ*ahcobQ* strains. (E–H) Effect of NAD^+, NAM, Zn^{2+}, and ATP on KDAC enzymatic activity of AhCobQ.

Figure 3. Validation of the KDAC activity of AhCobQ by LC-MS/MS. (A) Retention time of synthetic peptides YNGVFQECCQAEDKGACLLPK (STD) and YNGVFQECCQAEDK[ac]GACL-L-PK (STD[*Kac]) with or without AhCobB and AhCoQ treatment; (B) MS1 spectrum of synthetic peptides STD and STD[*Kac] with or without AhCobB and AhCobQ treatment; (C) and (D) MS2 spectrum of

acetylated and unmodified peptides.

Figure 4. Lysine deacetylase activity of AhCobQ truncated proteins.

Western blot analysis of the KDAC activity of (A) $AhCobQ_{1–179}$, $AhCobQ_{179–264}$,

$AhCobQ_{1–264}$, $AhCobQ_{189–264}$, $AhCobQ_{199–264}$, $AhCobQ_{195–264}$, $AhCobQ_{195–255}$,

and $AhCobQ_{200–255}$; (B) $AhCobQ_{179–264}$, $AhCobQ_{189–264}$, $AhCobQ_{189–255}$,

$AhCobQ_{189–250}$, $AhCobQ_{189–245}$, and $AhCobQ_{189–240}$ truncated proteins treated

with Kac-BSA. The first lane represents Kac-BSA without AhCobQ truncated

proteins. The upper part of the figure shows the WB results of the Kac level of

truncated proteins with Kac-BSA, and the lower part shows the PVDF

membrane R350 staining for the loading amount control. (C) Design of the

series of truncated proteins and the summary of the WB results in this

experiment. Blue indicates the AAA_31 domain (1–179 aa), and red indicates

the unknown range (180–264 aa) in AhCobQ. Black and white indicate the

positive and negative WB results, respectively, in this study.

Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of the AhCobQ KDAC activity domain (195–

245 aa). Different colors indicate different branches, and *Aeromonas hydrophila*

spp. is highlighted in red.

Figure 6. Proteomics analysis of upregulated proteins in Δ*ahcobB*,

Δ*ahcobQ*, Δ*ahacuC*, and WT strains. (A) Venn diagrams visualized the

overlapped upregulated Kac proteins and Kac peptides among the *ΔahcobB*,

ΔahcobQ, *ΔahacuC*, and WT strains. The number of acetylated proteins and

peptides (sites) are shown in the figure. (B) KEGG metabolic pathway

enrichment analysis of upregulated Kac proteins and peptides by the three

KDACs. Unique and overlapped Kac protein and peptides (sites) are presented

in different colors.

Figure 7. Western blot validation of the site-specific Kac protein

substrates regulated by the three KDACs. (A–C) Kac specific sites were

regulated by AhCobQ alone, AhCobQ with AhCobB, and all three KDACs

together. The overlapping proteomic features of the target Kac proteins

regulated by the three KDACs are shown on the left side of the figure. The

detected proteins are in the pink area of the Venn diagram; (D) different Kac

sites in one protein substrate were regulated by different KDACs. The predicted

3D structure of the target proteins with different Kac sites is shown on the left

side of the figure. The middle section shows the WB results of the deacetylation

effect of the three KDACs on site-specific Kac protein substrates, and the right

shows the PVDF membrane R350 staining for the loading amount control.
700

701 **Figure 8. AhCobQ positively regulates the ICD enzymatic activity through**

702 **deacetylation of K388 on ICD.** (A) western blot verified the deacetylation effect of

703 AhCobQ on ICD and ICD-K388; (B) western blot verified the deacetylation effect of

704 AhCobQ, AhCobB and AhAcuC on ICD-K388. The PVDF membrane R350 staining for

705 the loading amount control was displayed under the WB results; (C) Enzymatic

activities of ICD (yellow), ICD-Kac388(blue), ICD-Kac388 treated with AhCobQ (red),

ICD-Kac388 treated with AhCobB (green), ICD-Kac388 treated with AhAcuC (brown);

(D) The histogram showed the effect of AhCobQ/AhcobB on ICD or ICD-Kac388

enzymatic activities at 5min. ***P < 0.001.

Supplementary Figures and Tables

Figure S1. Bacterial swarming ability of Δ*ahcobQ*, WT and *ahcobQ* complement

strain.

Figure S2. Comparison of homology of AhCobQ protein sequences by using

BLAST.

Figure S3. Characteristics of overexpressed and purified recombinant proteins

AhCobQ, AhCobB, and AhAcuC. (A) PCR amplification results of *ahcobQ*, *ahcobB*,

and *ahacuC* gene, respectively; (B) SDS-PAGE gels of purified recombinant proteins

AhCobQ, AhCoB, and AhAcuC, respectively. Lane M represents the DNA or protein

marker.

Figure S4. In vitro acetylated BSA (Kac-BSA) was verified by western blot.

Figure S5. *In vitro* deacetylase activity assay of different recombinant AhCobQ

proteins in the presence and absence of EDTA utilizing Kac-BSA as substrate.

Purified His-tag (A) and GST-fused (B) recombinant AhCobQ incubated with the

substrate Kac-BSA, both with and without EDTA treatment, respectively.

Figure S6. The lysine deacetylase activity of AhCobQ didn't be affected by

0.5mM ATP at different incubation times.

Figure S7. Characteristics of overexpressed and purified recombinant AhCobQ

truncated proteins. The PCR amplification and SDS-PAGE results of purified

recombinant truncated proteins (GST-fusion) 1: $AhCobQ_{1-179}$; 2: $AhCobQ_{179-265}$; 3:

$AhCobQ_{189-265}$; 4: $AhCobQ_{189-255}$; 5: $AhCobQ_{189-250}$; 6: $AhCobQ_{189-245}$; 7: $AhCobQ_{189-240}$;

8: $AhCobQ_{195-255}$; 9: $AhCobQ_{200-255}$; 10: $AhCobQ_{179-225}$; 11: $AhCobQ_{179-235}$; 12:

$AhCobQ_{179-245}$; 13: $AhCobQ_{179-255}$; 14: $AhCobQ_{189-265}$; 15: $AhCobQ_{199-265}$; 16:

$AhCobQ_{209-265}$; 17: $AhCobQ_{219-265}$.

Figure S8. Construction of *A. hydrophila ahacuC* knockout mutant strain

M: Marker; Lanes 1 and 2: PCR products of WT and Δ*ahacuC* using the P7/P8 primer

pairs; Lanes 3 and 4: PCR products of WT and Δ*ahacuC* using the P5/P6 primer pairs.

Figure S9. Scatter plot of Pearson's correlation coefficients of label-free

quantification (LFQ) intensity among each group and their biological replicates

in the quantitative acetylome.

Figure S10. Quantitative acetylome analysis among the Δ*ahcobQ*, Δ*ahcobB*,

Δ*ahacuC*, and WT strains. Mass error distribution of total identified Kac peptides; (B–

D) Volcano plot of the P-values vs. the log2 Kac peptide abundance differences between ΔahcobQ vs. WT, ΔahcobB vs. WT, and ΔahacuC vs. WT, with upregulated Kac proteins outside the significance lines colored in red. Some representative Kac protein names are highlighted.

Figure S11. Purified original recombinant proteins (without site-directed acetylation modification) without Kac modifications, validated by Western blot. 1: RecA, 2: ADK, 3: FtsA, 4: IscS, 5: HrpA, 6: GyrB, 7: SUN, 8: A0KJ75, 9: ENO, 10: ArcA-2, CK: Kac-BSA, M: prestained protein marker. CK is Kac-BSA as a positive control. The lower section presents the PVDF membrane R350 staining for the loading amount control.

Figure S12. Characteristics of the overexpressed and purified recombinant target proteins. The PCR products (right) and SDS-PAGE results of the target recombinant or site-directed acetylated proteins. (A) HrpA and HrpA-K816; (B) SUN, SUN-K103, and SUN-K148; (C) ENO and ENO-K195; (D) ArcA-2 and ArcA-2-K26; (E) ADK and ADK-K48; (F) IscS and IscS-K93; (G) AOKJ75 and AOKJ75-K81; (H) GyrB, GyrB-K331, and GyrB-K449; (I) FtsA, FtsA-K320, and FtsA-K409; (J) RecA, RecA-K279, and RecA-K306; (K) ICD and ICD-K388; (L) GlmM and GlmM-K34.

Figure S13. Western blot validated the site-specific Kac protein substrates of GlmM-K34 regulated by three KDACs. The left section shows the WB results of the effect of three KDACs on the GlmM K34 site, and the right section presents the PVDF

membrane R350 staining for the loading amount control.

Table S1. Selected Kac peptide quantification among Kac-BSA and Kac-

BSA incubated with CobQ and BSA without acetylation, by LC MS/MS.

Table S2. Acetylation-modified upregulated proteins of AhCobQ-deleted

strains and the identification of residue positions by LC MS/MS.

Table S3. Chemicals and reagents used in this study.

Table S4. Critical commercial assay kits used in this study.

Table S5. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

Table S6. Primer pairs used in this study.

Supplementary material 1: Western blot results of the full PVDF membranes from

this study.

Supplementary material 2. The analysis results of Kac-BSA incubated with

AhCobQ by LC MS/MS.

Figure1.

Figure2.

804   Figure3.

811   Figure5.

817   Figure7.

  Figure8.

References

AbouElfetouh A, Kuhn ML, Hu LI, Scholle MD, Sorensen DJ, Sahu AK, Becher D, Antelmann H, Mrksich M, and Anderson WF (2015). The *E. coli* sirtuin CobB shows no preference for enzymatic and nonenzymatic lysine acetylation substrate sites. *Microbiology* 4, 66-83.

Brino L, Urzhumtsev A, Mousli M, Bronner C, Mitschler A, Oudet P, and Moras D (2000). Dimerization of *Escherichia coli* DNA-gyrase B provides a structural mechanism for activating the ATPase catalytic center. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 9468-9475.

Bryson D I, Fan C, Guo LT, Miller C, Söll D, and Liu DR (2017). Continuous directed evolution of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 1253-1260.

Burckhardt RM, Buckner BA, and Escalante-Semerena JC (2019). *Staphylococcus aureus* modulates the activity of acetyl-Coenzyme A synthetase (Acs) by sirtuin-dependent reversible lysine acetylation. *Mol. Microbiol.* 112, 588-604.

Cai Q, Wang G, Li Z, Zhang L, Fu Y, Yang X, Lin W, and Lin X (2019). SWATH based quantitative proteomics analysis reveals Hfq2 play an important role on pleiotropic physiological functions in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *J. Proteomics.* 195, 1-10.

Carabetta VJ, Greco TM, Cristea IM, Dubnau D (2019). YfmK is an Nε-lysine acetyltransferase that directly acetylates the histone-like protein HBsu in *Bacillus subtilis*. *PNAS.* 116, 3752-3757.

Chauffour A, Morel F, Reibel F, Petrella S, Mayer C, Cambau E, and Aubry A (2021). A systematic review of *Mycobacterium leprae* DNA gyrase mutations and their impact on fluoroquinolone resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infec.* 27, 1601-1612.

Chen X, Ding AB, and Zhong X (2020). Functions and mechanisms of plant histone deacetylases. Sci. China. *Life. Sci.* 63, 206-216.

Chen YJC, Koutelou E, and Dent SY (2022). Now open: evolving insights to the roles of lysine acetylation in chromatin organization and function. *Mol. Cell.* 82, 716-727.

Galperin MY, and Grishin NV (2000). The synthetase domains of cobalamin biosynthesis amidotransferases *cobB* and *cobQ* belong to a new family of ATP-dependent amidoligases, related to dethiobiotin synthetase. *Proteins.* 41, 238-247.

Guan KL, Yu W, Lin Y, Xiong Y, and Zhao S (2010). Generation of acetyllysine antibodies and affinity enrichment of acetylated peptides. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 1583-1595.

Hor, Z. N., Mohamad, M. S., Choon, Y. W., Remli, M. A., & Majid, H. A. (2024). A Hybrid of Differential Evolution and Minimization of Metabolic Adjustment for Succinic and Ethanol Production. *BAIDAMS*, 205-217.

Huang S, Zhao J, Li W, Wang P, Xue Z, and Zhu G (2021). Biochemical and phylogenetic characterization of a novel NADP+-specific isocitrate dehydrogenase from the marine microalga *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 8, 702083.

Hwang CS, Shemorry A, and Varshavsky A (2010). N-terminal acetylation of cellular proteins creates specific degradation signals. *Science* 327, 973-977.

Jiang M, Chen ZG, Li H, Zhang TT, Yang MJ, Peng XX, and Peng B (2022). Succinate and inosine coordinate innate immune response to bacterial infection. *PLoS Pathog* 18, e1010796.

Kou TS, Wu JH, Chen XW, Chen ZG, Zheng J, and Peng B (2022). Exogenous glycine promotes oxidation of glutathione and restores sensitivity of bacterial pathogens to serum-induced cell death. *Redox Biol.* 58, 102512.

Kuhn ML, Zemaitaitis B, Hu LI, Sahu A, Sorensen D, Minasov G, Lima BP, Scholle M, Mrksich M, and Anderson WF (2014). Structural, kinetic and proteomic characterization of acetyl phosphate-

dependent bacterial protein acetylation. *PloS one* 9, e94816.

Li L, Yang B, Wang J, Wei Y, Xiang B, Liu Y, Wu P, Li W, Wang Y, Zhao X, Qin J (2024). CobB-
mediated deacetylation of the chaperone CesA regulates *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 virulence. *Gut*
Micro. 16, 2331435.

Li J, Wei Y, Wang J, Li Y, Shao G, Feng Z, and Xiong Q (2022). Characterization of mutations in
DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV in field strains and in vitro selected quinolone-resistant
Mycoplasma hyorhinis mutants. *Antibiotics-basel* 11, 494.

Li Z, Wang Y, Li X, Lin Z, Lin Y, Srinivasan R, and Lin X (2019). The characteristics of antibiotic
resistance and phenotypes in 29 outer-membrane protein mutant strains in *Aeromonas*
hydrophila. *Environ. Microbiol*. 21, 4614-4628.

Liu W, Tan Y, Cao S, Zhao H, Fang H, Yang X, Wang T, Zhou Y, Yan Y, and Han Y (2018). Protein
acetylation mediated by YfiQ and CobB is involved in the virulence and stress response of *Yersinia*
pestis. *Infect. Immun*. 86, 00224-00218.

Macek B, Forchhammer K, Hardouin J, WeberBan E, Grangeasse C, and Mijakovic I (2019). Protein
post-translational modifications in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol*. 17, 651-664.

Mou X, Spinard EJ, Hillman SL, and Nelson DR (2017). Isocitrate dehydrogenase mutation in *Vibrio*
anguillarum results in virulence attenuation and immunoprotection in rainbow trout
(Oncorhynchus mykiss). *BMC Microbiol*. 17, 1-14.

Narita T, Weinert BT, and Choudhary C (2019). Functions and mechanisms of non-histone protein
acetylation. Nat. Rev. *Mol. Cell Bio*.20, 156-174.

Pang HY, Li WX, Zhang W, Zhou S, Hoare R, Monaghan SJ, Jian JC, and Lin XM (2020). Acetylome
profiling of *Vibrio alginolyticus* reveals its role in bacterial virulence. *J. Proteomics* 211, 103543.

Peng BO, Su YB, Li H, Han YI, Guo C, Tian YM and Peng XX (2019). Exogenous alanine and/or
glucose plus kanamycin kills antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Cell Metab* 21, 249-262.

Rajendran A, Soory A, Khandelwal N, Ratnaparkhi G, and Kamat SS (2022). A multi-omics analysis
reveals that the lysine deacetylase ABHD14B influences glucose metabolism in mammals. *J. Biol.*
Chem.298.

Rajendran A, Vaidya K, Mendoza J, BridwellRabb J, and Kamat SS (2019). Functional annotation of
ABHD14B, an orphan serine hydrolase enzyme. *Biochem*. 59, 183-196.

Schilling B, Christensen D, Davis R, Sahu AK, Hu LI, WalkerPeddakotla, A, Sorensen, DJ, Zemaitaitis,
B, Gibson, BW, and Wolfe, AJ (2015). Protein acetylation dynamics in response to carbon overflow
in *E scherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol*.98, 847-863.

Seshadri R, Joseph SW, Chopra AK, Sha J, Shaw J, Graf J, Haft D, Wu M, Ren Q, and Rosovitz M.
(2006). Genome sequence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* ATCC 7966T: jack of all trades. *J. Bacteriol*.188,
8272-8282.

Sun L, Yao Z, Guo Z, Zhang L, Wang Y, Mao R, Lin Y, Fu Y, and Lin X (2019). Comprehensive analysis
of the lysine acetylome in *Aeromonas hydrophila* reveals cross-talk between lysine acetylation and
succinylation in LuxS. *Emerg. Microbes Infect*. 8, 1229-1239.

Tari LW, Trzoss M, Bensen DC, Li X, Chen Z, Lam T, Zhang J, Creighton CJ, Cunningham M.L., and
Kwan B. (2013). Pyrrolopyrimidine inhibitors of DNA gyrase B (GyrB) and topoisomerase IV (ParE).
Part I: Structure guided discovery and optimization of dual targeting agents with potent, broad-
spectrum enzymatic activity. *Bioorg Med. Chem*. Lett.23, 1529-1536.

Tsang AW, and EscalanteSemerena JC (1998). CobB, a new member of the SIR2 family of eucaryotic
regulatory proteins, is required to compensate for the lack of nicotinate mononucleotide: 5, 6-

dimethylbenzimidazole phosphoribosyltransferase activity in cobT mutants during cobalamin
biosynthesis in *Salmonella typhimurium* LT2. *J. Biol. Chem.*273, 31788-31794.

VanDrisse CM, and EscalanteSemerena JC (2019). Protein acetylation in bacteria. *Annu. Rev.*
*Microbiol.*73, 111-132.

Venkat S, Chen H, Stahman A, Hudson D, McGuire P, Gan Q, and Fan C (2018). Characterizing
lysine acetylation of isocitrate dehydrogenase in *Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.*430, 1901-1911.

Vidali G, Gershey E, and Allfrey V (1968). Chemical Studies of Histone Acetylation: The distribution
of ε-N-acetyllysine in calf thymus histones. *J. Biol. Chem.*243, 6361-6366.

Wang G, Wang Y, Zhang L, Cai Q, Lin Y, Lin L, and Lin X (2020). Proteomics analysis reveals the
effect of *Aeromonas hydrophila* sirtuin CobB on biological functions. *J. Proteomics.* 225, 103848.

Wang Q, Zhang Y, Yang C, Xiong H, Lin Y, Yao J, Li H, Xie L, Zhao W, and Yao Y (2010). Acetylation
of metabolic enzymes coordinates carbon source utilization and metabolic flux. *Science* 327,
1004-1007.

Wang ZA, and Cole PA (2020). The chemical biology of reversible lysine post-translational
modifications. *Cell Chem. Biol.*27, 953-969.

Watson PR, and Christianson DW (2023). Structure and Function of Kdac1, a class II deacetylase
from the multidrug-resistant pathogen *Acinetobacter baumannii. Biochem* 62, 2689-2699.

Weinert BT, Iesmantavicius V, Wagner SA, Schölz C, Gummesson B, Beli P, Nyström T, and
Choudhary C. (2013). Acetyl-phosphate is a critical determinant of lysine acetylation in *E. coli. Mol.*
Cell 51, 265-272.

Weinert BT, Satpathy S, Hansen BK, Lyon D, Jensen LJ, and Choudhary C. (2017). Accurate
quantification of site-specific acetylation stoichiometry reveals the impact of sirtuin deacetylase
CobB on the *E. coli* acetylome. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics.*16, 759-769.

Witze ES, Old WM, Resing KA, and Ahn N.G. (2007). Mapping protein post-translational
modifications with mass spectrometry. *Nat. Methods.*4, 798-806.

Yoshida M, Kudo N, Kosono S, and Ito A (2017). Chemical and structural biology of protein lysine
deacetylases. *P. Jpn. Acad.Series B* 93, 297-321.

Yu K, Wang Y, Zheng Y, Liu Z, Zhang Q, Wang S, Zhao Q, Zhang X, Li X, and Xu RH (2023). qPTM:
an updated database for PTM dynamics in human, mouse, rat and yeast. *Nucleic. Acids.Res.* 51,
D479-D487.

Zhang L, Yao Z, Tang H, Song Q, Song H, Yao J, Li Z, Xie X, Lin Y, and Lin X (2022). The lysine
acetylation modification in the porin Aha1 of *Aeromonas hydrophila* regulates the uptake of
multidrug antibiotics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics.* 21, 100248.

Zhao L, Liu Q, Huang Q, Liu F, Liu H, and Wang G (2021). Isocitrate dehydrogenase of *Bacillus*
cereus is involved in biofilm formation. *World J. Microb. Biot.*37, 207.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from Key projects of National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (32171435, 32001045), Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2020J02023), Key Laboratory of Marine Biotechnology of Fujian Province (2020MB04), Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2018J01598), Program for Innovative Research Team in Fujian Agricultural and Forestry University (712018009), and the Fujian-Taiwan Joint Innovative Center for Germplasm Resources and Cultivation of Crop (FJ 2011 Program, 2015-75,). We thank the help from Central Laboratory, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, PR China, and LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Author contributions

Yuqian Wang and Guibin Wang conceptualized and validated the study. Lishan Zhang performed the methodology and the data curation and wrote the manuscript for the final draft. Qilan Cai, Meizhen Lin, Dongping Huang and Yuyue Xie performed the formal analysis. Wenxiong Lin and Xiangmin Lin was responsible for the resources, supervised the study, and performed the funding acquisition. Yuqian Wang, Guibin Wang and Xiangmin Lin wrote, reviewed, and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Competing interests

971   The authors declare no competing interests.