Mapping changes of miRNA-mRNA networks in *Leishmania-infected* macrophages predicts regulatory miRNA-TF loops as novel targets of parasite immune subversion

Charfeddine Gharsallah[1,4], Hervé Lecoeur[1], Hugo Varet[2,3], Rachel Legendre[2,3], Odile Sismeiro[3], Jean-Yves Coppée[3], Caroline Proux[3], Elisabetta Scarfiello[5], Astrid Bruckmann[5], Gunter Meister[5], Eric Prina[1], and Gerald F. Späth[1]

[1]Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, INSERM U1201, Unité de Parasitologie Moléculaire et Signalisation, 25 Rue du Dr Roux, 75015 Paris, France; [2]Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Hub Bioinformatique et Biostatistique, 28 Rue du Dr Roux, 75015 Paris, France ; [3]Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Plate-forme Technologique Biomics, Centre de Ressources et Recherches Technologiques (C2RT), 28 Rue du Dr Roux, 75015 Paris, France. [4] Université de Tunis El Manar, Institut Pasteur de Tunis, LR11IPT02 Laboratory of Transmission, Control and Immunobiology of Infections (LTCII), Tunis, Tunisia; [5]Regensburg Center for Biochemistry (RCB), Laboratory for RNA Biology, University of Regensburg, 93053 Regensburg, Germany.

*Correspondance: gerald.spaeth@pasteur.fr

Keywords: *Leishmania*, macrophage infection, host-parasite interaction, epigenetics, microRNA, immune subversion, differential expression, network analysis.

Abstract

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level and play a crucial role in numerous disease processes, including infections. Although intracellular microbial pathogens are known to modulate host cell gene expression to establish permissive conditions for infection, the specific role of host-encoded miRNAs underlying such subversion remains poorly understood. In this study, we employed the protozoan parasite *Leishmania amazonensis* as a model system to investigate how infection of macrophages modifies the host cell miRNA profile to evade antimicrobial functions and to establish permissive conditions for intracellular proliferation. Dual RNA-seq analyses using matched mRNA and miRNA-enriched samples from uninfected and *L. amazonensis*-infected bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) revealed 102 differentially expressed miRNAs (padj<0.05), with 18 miRNAs showing reduced and 84 miRNAs showing increased abundance in infected BMDMs. Mapping putative networks of miRNA-mRNA interactions based on the observed expression changes, combined with Gene Ontology enrichment analyses, allowed us to identify potential miRNA target genes involved in key biological processes and metabolic pathways that permit parasite intracellular survival and proliferation. Our analyses predict the existence of a large miRNA-mRNA network affecting the expression level of numerous transcription factors that indicates inhibition of the NF-κB-dependent inflammatory response or the promotion of cholesterol biosynthesis during infection. In particular, the over 10e3-fold increase in the abundance of mmu-miR-686 in infected BMDMs was correlated with a reduced abundance of putative target transcripts implicated in miRNA biogenesis itself, in RNA binding, and in regulation of apoptosis, such as *Caspase 12*, the mRNA decay activator protein *Zfp36l1* or *Leukemia Inhibitory Factor Receptor Alpha*. Likewise, the over 200-fold increase in abundance of mmu-miR-6546-3p was associated with a reduced abundance of putative target mRNAs implicated in cytokine-mediated signaling, positive regulation of apoptotic process and regulation of gene expression, affecting, for example, the *MADS box transcription enhancer factor 2*, the *transformation related protein 53 inducible nuclear protein 1*, or the *G protein-coupled receptor 35*. Interestingly, both miRNAs are predicted to simultaneously target 32 mRNAs that showed reduced abundance in infected BMDMs, including *Maturin Neural Progenitor Differentiation Regulator* (*Mturn*), a regulator of NF-κB transcription factor activity. In conclusion, our approach provides novel insight into molecular mechanisms that may govern macrophage subversion and intracellular *Leishmania* survival. Our results shed new

light on the complex relationship among miRNAs, macrophage gene expression and *Leishmania* infection, proposing regulatory feed-forward loops (FFLs) and feedback loops (FBLs) between miRNAs and TFs as a novel target of *Leishmania* immune subversion. These findings open exciting new avenues for the development of intervention strategies aimed at disrupting such crucial interactions, for example using an anti-miR (antagomir) approach against mmu-miR-686 and mmu-miR-6546-3p.

INTRODUCTION

Epigenetic regulation is a complex mechanism that fine-tunes eukaryotic gene expression. Among epigenetic factors, microRNAs (miRNAs) have emerged as key molecules, intervening at the post-transcriptional level by targeting specific messenger RNAs (mRNAs) to modulate gene expression. Their implications extend to a wide range of human diseases, encompassing areas as diverse as cancer and microbial infections (Atri et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). The importance of miRNAs lies in their ability to act as subtle regulators, actively participating in the precise adjustment of protein levels in cells. This regulation is crucial for maintaining cellular homeostasis and for the adaptive response to environmental challenges (Sprenkle et al., 2023). However, the influence of miRNAs is not limited to normal gene regulation. Indeed, they play a leading role in the context of microbial infections, where pathogens - whether viral, bacterial, or eukaryotic - have developed sophisticated epigenetic mechanisms to disrupt gene expression within host cells, thus ensuring their survival and replication (Ahluwalia et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017). One of the strategies favored by these pathogens is the modulation of the expression of host miRNAs.

Leishmania are protozoan parasites that infect mammalian macrophages, inducing a significant disruption of the functions of these cells, leading to severe immune pathologies known as "leishmaniases" (Conceicao-Silva and Morgado, 2019; McGwire and Satoskar, 2014). The consequences of this immune subversion are varied, ranging from self-healing cutaneous forms to potentially fatal visceral forms. However, despite the magnitude of the public health problems posed by leishmaniasis and the profound impact of *Leishmania* infections on the physiology of host cells, the precise mechanisms of this immune subversion remain incompletely understood.

Recently, epigenetic subversion has emerged as a major strategy that these parasites use to escape host defenses (Gutierrez Sanchez et al., 2023; Kamhawi and Serafim, 2020; Lecoeur et al., 2022a). First, *Leishmania* infection has been correlated to changes in host miRNA abundance, known to limit the expression of pro-inflammatory genes, thus favoring parasite survival (Ganguly et al., 2022; Lemaire et al., 2013; Mukherjee et al., 2015; Muxel et al., 2018; Nandan et al., 2021; Tiwari et al., 2017). Second, intracellular infection by *Leishmania* causes important changes in the macrophage DNA methylation pattern, affecting signaling pathways, oxidative phosphorylation, and cell adhesion (Marr et al., 2014). Finally, we have previously provided the first evidence that

Leishmania also manipulates the histone code of the host cell both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, causing
hypo-methylation and hypo-acetylation at promoters of pro-inflammatory genes that correlated
with reduced gene expression (Lecoeur et al., 2020a).

Here, we performed a dual RNAseq analysis to simultaneously profile changes in mRNA and
miRNA abundances in primary, bone marrow-derived macrophages infected with lesion-isolated
L. amazonensis amastigotes (Fernandes et al., 2022; Lecoeur et al., 2022b; Lemaire et al., 2013;
Martinez-Hernandez et al., 2021; Rashidi et al., 2021). Computational analysis of these data sets
allowed us to map putative miRNA-mRNA regulatory networks that are predicted to control the
observed inhibition of the pro-inflammatory TLR / NF-κB signalling pathway and increased
cholesterol biosynthesis, both of which are prerequisites for intracellular parasite survival
(Gutierrez Sanchez et al., 2023; Lecoeur et al., 2020a). Our findings open new perspectives for
anti-parasitic intervention targeting the non-coding transcriptome of the host cell.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ethics statement

Six-week-old female C57BL/6JRj and Swiss nu/nu mice were purchased from Janvier (Saint Germain-sur-l'Arbresle, France). All animals were housed in A3 animal facilities according to the guidelines of Institut Pasteur and the "Comité d'Ethique pour l'Expérimentation Animale" (CEEA) and protocols were approved by the "Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, Direction Générale pour la Recherche et l'Innovation" under number 2013-0047. EP is authorized to perform experiments on vertebrate animals (license 75–1265) issued by the 'Direction Départementale de la Protection des Populations de Paris' and is responsible for all the experiments conducted personally or under his supervision as governed by the laws and regulations relating to the protection of animals.

Bone marrow-derived macrophages

Bone marrow cell suspensions were recovered from tibias and femurs of female C57Bl/6JRj mice in Dulbecco's phosphate buffered solution (PBS), and cultured in complete medium containing 4 g/L glucose, 1 mM pyruvate and 3.97 mM L-Alanyl-L-Glutamine and supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS), streptomycin (50 μg/mL), and penicillin (50 IU/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich, P4333) and 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, M7522), (de La Llave et al., 2011). Briefly, one million cells per ml of complete medium supplemented with 50 ng/ml of mouse recombinant colony-stimulating factor 1 (rm-CSF1, ImmunoTools) were incubated in bacteriological Petri dishes (Greiner bio-one 664161) at 37°C in 7.5% CO_2 atmosphere. After 6 days of culture, the medium was removed and adherent cells were incubated with pre-warmed PBS pH 7.4 containing 25 mM EDTA for 30 min at 37°C. Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were detached by gentle flushing, collected, and resuspended in a complete medium supplemented with 30 ng/ml of rmCSF-1. BMDMs were seeded in 100 mm tissue culture dishes (Petri BD Falcon, 353003) for nuclear or total protein extraction and RNA isolation.

Parasite isolation and macrophage infection

mCherry-transgenic, tissue-derived amastigotes of *Leishmania amazonensis* strain LV79 (WHO

reference number MPRO/BR/72/M1841) were isolated from infected footpads of Swiss nude mice

(Lecoeur et al., 2020a). After seeding at day 6 of differentiation, BMDMs were left for 5 hours at

37°C to attach to their new culture support. BMDMs were infected with lesion-derived *L.*

amazonensis amastigotes at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 4 and then cultured at 34°C. These

cells were referred to as Infected Macrophages (IMs) versus un-infected BMDMs (UIMs).

RNA extraction

139 Large and small (including miRNAs) RNA fractions were isolated from the same macrophage

140 samples using the NucleoSpin miRNA kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer's

141 instructions. Evaluation of RNA quality was carried out by optical density measurement using a

142 Nanodrop device (Kisker, http://www.kisker-biotech.com). RNAs were isolated from 3

143 independent biological replicates of UIMs and IMs at 48 hours post-infection (PI).

RNA-Seq analysis

Library preparation and sequencing was performed at the Biomics platform of Institut Pasteur.

mRNA processing: Briefly, DNAse-treated RNA extracts from Large RNA fractions were

processed for library preparation using the Truseq Stranded mRNA sample preparation kit

(Illumina, San Diego, California) according to the manufacturer's instructions. An initial poly A+

RNA isolation step (included in the Illumina protocol) was performed with 1 µg of large RNA to

isolate the mRNA fraction and remove ribosomal RNA. The mRNA-enriched fraction was

fragmented by divalent ions at high temperatures. The fragmented samples were randomly primed

for reverse transcription followed by second-strand synthesis to create double-stranded cDNA

fragments. No end repair step was necessary. An adenine was added to the 3'-end and specific

Illumina adapters were ligated. Ligation products were submitted to PCR amplification. The quality

of the obtained libraries was controlled using a Bioanalyzer DNA1000 Chips (Agilent, # 5067-

1504) and quantified by spectrofluorimetric analysis (Quant-iT™ High-Sensitivity DNA Assay

Kit, #Q33120, Invitrogen). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina Hiseq2500 platform to

generate single-end 65 bp reads bearing strand specificity. Reads were cleaned using cutadapt

version 1.11 and only sequences at least 25 nt in length were considered for further analysis. STAR

version 2.5.0a (Dobin et al., 2013), with default parameters, was used for alignment on the

reference genome (GRCm38 from Ensembl database 94). Genes were counted using featureCounts

version 1.4.6-p3 (Liao et al., 2014) from the Subreads package (parameters: -t gene -s 0).

miRNA processing: Sequencing was performed on the Illumina Hiseq2500 platform to generate

single-end 50 bp reads with strand specificity. Reads were cleaned using cutadapt version 1.11,

and only sequences with a length between 18 and 28 nucleotides were considered for further

analysis. UMIs were added to read headers using umi-tools extract version 1.0.0 (Smith et al.,

2017). Bowtie version 1.2.2 (Langmead et al., 2009), with default parameters, was used for

alignment to the reference genome (GRCm38 from Ensembl database 92). Reads were

deduplicated using umi-tools dedup to remove genuine PCR duplicates identified by UMIs.

miRNAs were counted using featureCounts version v1.6.1(Liao et al., 2014) from the Subreads

package (parameters: t miRNA -g Name -s 1) and the annotation from mirBase.

The data are publicly available at the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus repository (ongoing

Superseries submission).

RNA-seq data analyses: Count data were analyzed using R version 3.6.1 (R-Core-Team, 2016) and

the Bioconductor package DESeq2 version 1.24.0 (Love et al., 2014). The normalization and

dispersion estimation were performed with DESeq2 using the default parameters and statistical

tests for differential expression were performed applying the independent filtering algorithm. A

generalized linear model was set in order to test for the differential expression between the

conditions For each pairwise comparison, raw p-values were adjusted for multiple testing

according to the Benjamini and Hochberg (BH) procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) and

genes with an adjusted p-value lower than 0.05 were considered differentially expressed.

Construction of putative miRNA/mRNA genetic interaction networks

To identify potential miRNA targets, we adopted an approach integrating computational prediction

methods and experimental data, based on simultaneous profiling of miRNA and mRNA expression

changes in our experimental system. Potential targets of differentially expressed miRNAs were

predicted as follows: For each differentially regulated miRNA, putative target mRNAs were first

selected based on their inverse, differential expression during infection, considering an adjusted p-

value of less than 0.05 as statistically significant for both miRNA and mRNA signals. We next

employed the TargetScan (version 7.2) (https://www.targetscan.org/mmu_72/) (Agarwal et al.,

2015; McGeary et al., 2019) and miRDB (http://www.mirdb.org/mirdb/index.html) (Chen and

Wang, 2020) tools associated with the miRbase database (v22) (https://mirbase.org/search/)

(Griffiths-Jones et al., 2006; Kozomara et al., 2019) to screen for interactions between miRNAs

and target mRNAs based on sequence complementarity. These putative associations were then

visualized utilizing the Cytoscape software package (version 3.10.0) (Shannon et al., 2003), with

each node of the predicted miRNA-mRNA networks colored based on the differential expression

value (blue, decreased abundance; red, increased abundance).

Secondary structures and thermodynamic stability of prioritized miRNA sequences were predicted

using the RNAfold web server (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi)

(Lorenz et al., 2011). Additionally, we employed the RNAcomposer web server

(http://rnacomposer.ibch.poznan.pl/) (Popenda et al., 2012) to predict the 3D structure of

prioritized miRNAs. Visualization of these three-dimensional structures was performed using the

BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer software (https://discover.3ds.com/discovery-studio-

visualizer-download). To determine the minimal free hybridization energy of these miRNAs with

select potential targets, we utilized the RNAhybrid web server (https://bibiserv.cebitec.uni-

bielefeld.de/rnahybrid) (Kruger and Rehmsmeier, 2006).

Gene ontology and pathway analyses

Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analyses were conducted for all mRNAs showing

differential expression between uninfected (UIM) and infected (IM) macrophages ($p < 0.05$),

utilizing the GoTermFinder platform (http://www.go.princeton.edu/cgi-bin/GOTermFinder).

Subsequently, the REVIGO Web server (http://www.revigo.irb.hr/) was employed to generate GO

scatter plots, using the default list size (Medium: 0.7) (Supek et al., 2011). The associated values

for each GO term represent the P-value, which is also reflected by the intensity of the bubble color.

Bubble size indicates the frequency of the GO term in the underlying GO annotation (GOA)

database (bubbles of more general terms are larger). Obsolete GO terms were removed, and SimRel

(default) settings was applied to measure semantic similarity.

GO terms enrichment analyses of differentially expressed miRNAs between uninfected (UI) and

infected (I) macrophages ($p < 0.05$) was performed using the miEAA platform (https://ccb-

compute2.cs.uni-saarland.de/mieaa/) that integrates data from different databases, such as miRBase,

miRWalk et miRTarBase (Backes et al., 2016). miEAA is tailored for miRNA precursors and

mature miRNAs from several frequently studied species, such as human, mouse, or rat.

Subsequently, GO scatter plots were visualized using the REVIGO Web server as detailed above.

Gene lists used in our analyses were downloaded from the databases KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia

of Genes and Genomes, https://www.kegg.jp/kegg/) and MGI (Mouse Genome Informatics,

https://www.informatics.jax.org/), while the list of experimentally validated transcription factors was

used as published by Lambert and colleagues (Lambert et al., 2018). The resulting gene lists were

then analyzed for possible miRNAs target sites using the TargetScan platform (version 7.2)

(https://www.targetscan.org/mmu_72/), which predicts potential mRNA targets of canonical

miRNAs by searching for the presence of 8mer, 7mer, and 6mer sites complementary to the seed

region for a given miRNA (Agarwal et al., 2015; Riolo et al., 2020). The 6mer corresponds to a

perfect sequence of 6 nucleotides with the seed region of the miRNA (miRNA nucleotides 2 to 7)

(Lewis et al., 2005). The most optimal 7mer site, referred to as 7mer-m8, features a match with the

seed region strengthened by a pairing with the 8th nucleotide of the miRNA (Brennecke et al.,

2005; Krek et al., 2005; Lewis et al., 2005; Lewis et al., 2003). Another 7mer site, 7mer-A1, is also

effective as it includes a seed match with an 'A' at target position 1 (Lewis et al., 2005). Lastly, the

8mer site consists of a seed match flanked by a match at position 8 and a match with an 'A' at

position 1 (Lewis et al., 2005). Our miRNA-mRNA interaction networks were further refined using

the TargetScan parameter 'site types' (8mer, 7mer-m8, 7mer-1A, and non-canonical pairing) and

the number of sites in all annotated 3′ UTRs. This parameter selection is based on the notion that

among the presented motifs, the greater the number of nucleotides involved in pairing, the more

effective the interaction will be. Thus, the type of site (e.g., whether the site is an 8mer or 7mer-

m8) strongly influences repression efficacy. The number of sites also influences efficacy, with each

additional site typically acting independently to confer additional repression, although sites situated

between 8 and 40 nt from each other tend to act cooperatively (Agarwal et al., 2015; Grimson et

al., 2007). This approach facilitates direct comparison with other studies employing TargetScan
and ensures the reproducibility of our results.

Ago-APP

Ago-APP (Ago Affinity Purification by Peptides) was essentially carried out as described earlier
in (Hauptmann et al., 2015). Briefly, per 50 µL of anti-FLAG-M2 agarose (Sigma-Aldrich), a
minimum of 100 µg FLAG-tagged peptide (TNRC6B 599–683) was coupled to the beads for 3 h
at 4 °C. Excess peptide was removed by washing with PBS three times, and lysate was added to
the peptide-coupled beads. After incubation for 3 h at 4 °C, the beads were washed four times with
NET buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5 % Nonidet P-40, 10 %
glycerol, 1 mM NaF; supplemented with 0.5 mM DTT and 1 mM AEBSF before use) and once
with PBS. The beads were eluted by addition of 2× Laemmli sample buffer and incubation at 95 °C
for 5 min.

Phosphosite measurements by mass spectrometry

Phosphosite measurements of Ago2 proteins by mass spectrometry were performed as described
earlier in (Quevillon Huberdeau et al., 2017). SDS-gel bands of affinity-purified Ago proteins were
excised, transferred into 2ml micro tubes (Eppendorf), washed with 50 mM NH_4HCO_3, 50 mM
NH_4HCO_3/acetonitrile (3/1), 50 mM NH_4HCO_3/acetonitrile (1/1) and lyophilized. After reduction
and alkylation of cysteines with DTT and Iodoacetamide, gel slices were again washed as described
above and lyophilized. Proteins were subjected to *in gel* tryptic digest overnight at 37 °C with
approximately 2 µg trypsin per 100 µl gel volume (Trypsin Gold, mass spectrometry grade,
Promega). Peptides were first extracted with 100 mM NH_4HCO_3, followed by 50 mM NH_4HCO_3
in 50 % acetonitrile. Combined eluates were lyophilized and reconstituted in 20 µl 1 % TFA prior
to LC-MS/MS. Separation of peptides by reversed-phase chromatography was carried out on an
UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich) which was equipped with
a C18 Acclaim Pepmap100 preconcentration column (100 µm i.D.x20 mm, Thermo Fisher
Scientific) followed by an Acclaim Pepmap100 C18 nano column (75 µm i.d.x250 mm, Thermo
Fisher Scientific). A linear gradient of 4 % to 40 % acetonitrile in 0.1 % formic acid over 60 min

was used to separate peptides at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. Via a CaptiveSpray nanoflow electrospray source the LC was coupled to a maXis plus UHR-QTOF System (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen). Data-dependent acquisition of MS/MS spectra by CID fragmentation was performed at a resolution of minimum 60000 for MS and MS/MS scans. The MS spectra rate of the precursor scan was 2 Hz processing a mass range between m/z 175 and m/z 2000. Via the Compass 1.7 acquisition and processing software (Bruker Daltonics) a dynamic method with a fixed cycle time of 3 s and an m/z dependent collision energy adjustment between 34 and 55 eV was applied. Raw data processing was performed in Data Analysis 4.2 (Bruker Daltonics). ProteinScape 3.1.3 (Bruker Daltonics) in connection with Mascot 2.5.1 (Matrix Science) facilitated database searching. The following search parameters were applied: database Swiss-Prot *Mus musculus*, enzyme specificity trypsin with 2 missed cleavages allowed, precursor tolerance 0.02 Da, MS/MS tolerance 0.04 Da, variable modifications: acetylation at protein N-terminus, carbamidomethylation and propionamide modification of cysteine, oxidation of methionine, deamidation of asparagine and glutamine, phosphorylation of serine, threonine and tyrosine. Mascot peptide ion-score cut-off was set 15. Phosphopeptide fragment spectra were evaluated manually. Calculation of relative abundances of phosphopeptide species at the S825-S835 phospho-cluster was based on peptide intensities. The sum of peptide intensities of all peptide species detected at the cluster position was considered as 100 %. Peptide intensities of individual phosphorylated or non-phosphorylated species were then used to determine the relative abundance of each peptide as a percentage.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

L. amazonensis **infection modulates expression of RISC components and Ago2 phosphorylation.** Argonaute (Ago) proteins are fundamental regulators of mammalian gene expression (Mauro et al., 2023). As key components of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), they are essential in mediating the functions of microRNAs (miRNAs), a class of non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level promoting mRNA degradation or inhibiting protein translation (Larivera et al., 2023) (Figure 1A). The dysregulation

of RISC functions has been implicated in a wide range of pathologies – including microbial
infection - revealing miRNAs as promising therapeutic targets for RNA-based intervention
strategies (Li et al., 2014). However, how pathogenic microbes exploit the Ago/RISC/miRNA axis
to reprogram their host cells is only poorly understood. Here we use the protozoan parasite
Leishmania as a unique model system to address this major scientific gap and gain mechanistic
insight into how these human pathogens manipulate miRNA networks to subvert host defenses and
ensure their own survival.

Drawing from previously published RNAseq analyses of *L. amazonensis*-infected, bone
marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs), we first investigated expression changes of the RISC
members and associated proteins indicated in Figure 1A. Significant expression changes compared
to uninfected BMDMs ($p < 0.05$) were observed for major RISC components (Figure 1B),
including (i) the Argonaute family member Ago2 (over 5-fold increase), a multifunctional
scaffolding protein that confers miRNA silencing, or (ii) the RNA-binding protein ZFP36L1 binds
adenylate-uridylate-rich elements (AREs) in the 3'UTRs of mRNAs, recruiting the CCR4-NOT
deadenylase complex to remove poly(A) tails, leading to mRNA degradation (Loh et al., 2020).

Ago proteins are phosphorylated at different residues and specific functions have been
assigned to these modifications (Golden et al., 2017; Hauptmann et al., 2015; Quevillon Huberdeau
et al., 2017). Whether *Leishmania* infection alters such phosphorylation patterns, however, has not
been investigated. Therefore, we isolated all four murine Ago proteins from *Leishmania*-infected
BMDMs using the AgoAPP approach (Hauptmann et al., 2015) and analyzed phosphorylation
patterns by mass spectrometry (Figure 1C). Indeed, phosphorylation of serine 388 (S388), which
was associated with specific sub-cellular Ago localization patterns (Zeng et al., 2008), was strongly
reduced upon infection (Figure 1C, left panel). Ago proteins undergo a phosphorylation cycle
involving a hypo- and hyper-phosphorylation of a C-terminal Ser/Thr cluster (Golden et al., 2017;
Quevillon Huberdeau et al., 2017). Depending on the amount of the negative charge that is added
by phosphorylation to this cluster, mRNA binding of Ago/miRNA complex is inhibited. While
single phosphorylation events at Ser825 are not significantly changed upon infection (Figure 1C,
middle panel), peptides that carry double phosphorylations at S825 and S829 are clearly increased
during *Leishmania* infection (Figure 1C, right panel).

In conclusion, *Leishmania* has a profound effect on the expression of RISC components in infected macrophages that on one hand triggers increased expression of Ago2, while on the other hand balancing its functions by Ago2 hyper-phosphorylation to reduced Ago/miRNA activity. These results primed us to directly investigate changes of the miRNA landscape during infection.

***L. amazonensis* subverts both the mRNA and miRNA landscapes in infected BMDMs.** Changes in miRNA and mRNA abundances were investigated in uninfected, bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs, referred to as UIMs) and *L. amazonensis* infected BMDMs (referred to as IMs) established using virulent, lesion-derived amastigotes (Figure 2). Large (mRNAs) and small (primarily miRNAs) RNA fractions were isolated from these samples at day 2 post-infection (PI) and subjected to RNAseq analysis (Figure 2A). As judged by the expression changes observed across three independent biological replicates, *Leishmania* infection has a major impact on both the mRNA and miRNA profiles of IMs, with significant changes observed for the abundance of 5742 mRNAs (10.36 % of total, padj <0.05) and 102 miRNAs (6.04 % of total, padj <0.05) (Figure 2B1 and 2C1). Inspection of biological functions enriched in the differentially expressed genes (Figure 2B2) and miRNAs (Figure 2C2), revealed a series of GO terms that were previously associated with *Leishmania*-mediated immune subversion and infected macrophages and that converged in both the miRNA and mRNA datasets, including GO terms linked to inflammatory response, NF-κB signaling, apoptosis, or essential metabolic processes related to cholesterol and fatty acid biosynthesis (Figures 2B2 and C2).

Closer inspection of miRNA read depth variations during infection identified 84 miRNAs (82.4%) with and 18 miRNAs (17.6%) with decreased abundance, suggesting that intracellular *L. am* has a profound effect on the miRNA landscape in agreement with a previously published report using *L. amazonensis* infected BMDMs from BALB/c (Muxel et al., 2017) (Figure 2D1 and D2, respectively). Important read depth variations were observed for mmu-miR-686 that showed an over 1000-fold increase in abundance (3 normalized reads in UIMs vs 3953 in IMs), as well as for mmu-miR-6546-3p (2 vs 891, 445-fold increase) and mmu-miR-718 (261vs 1,023, 3.9-fold increase) (Figure 2D1). Significant increase in expression was further observed for a series of murine miRNAs with high homology to human (https://rnacentral.org/), such as mmu-miR-150-3p, mmu-miR-488-3p, and mmu-miR-299a-5p. In contrast, the strongest reduction in read depth

during infection was observed for mmu-miR-342-3p (55,522 vs 31,602), mmu-let-7b-5p (11,428 vs 7,176), mmu-miR-99b-5p (4,508 vs 1,926), mmu-miR-92a-3p (2,278 vs 611), mmu-miR-339-5p (1,271 vs 469), and mmu-miR-532-3p (741 vs 394). Decreased abundance of mmu-let-7b-5p has been also observed during infection with *L. infantum* and *L. major* in human macrophages (Geraci et al., 2015; Ramos-Sanchez et al., 2022), suggesting a key role of this miRNA in intracellular parasite infection.

In conclusion, our data confirm the differential expression of miRNAs during intracellular *Leishmania* infection, which correlated with important expression changes at mRNA levels, suggesting the existence of regulatory miRNA-mRNA networks that may govern the establishment of an immune-compromised host cell phenotype. We next applied a series of computational tools to further assess possible miRNA-mRNA regulatory interactions.

Modelling interaction networks related to reduced miRNA expression. miRNA-mRNA regulatory networks are characterized by reciprocal expression changes between both types of RNA, where increased miRNA usually causes decreased expression of its target mRNA (and *vice versa*). We investigated the existence of such networks by first focusing on down-regulated miRNAs whose expression changes are supported by at least 50 normalized reads, and their predicted mRNA targets that show increased abundance during infection (Log2 Fold Change (FC) ≥ 1, $p < 0.05$). Only 2 out of the 18 downregulated miRNAs did not pass this filtering step and were excluded (i.e. mmu-702-3p, mmu-miR-99b-5p). Down-regulation of the remaining 16 miRNAs were tightly associated with increased abundance of their predicted target mRNAs as illustrated by the interaction network shown in Figure 3A. Important differences were observed between these networks both with respect to the level of miRNA down-regulation as well as the number of possible target mRNAs (Figure 3A). For example, mmu-miR-532-3p and mmu-miR-342-3p respectively are predicted to target only 5 and 10 transcripts, while on the other extreme, mmu-484 and mmu-767 respectively are predicted to target 136 and 97 transcripts (Figure 3A). Some sets of upregulated transcripts are predicted to be solely under the control of a single miRNA, as illustrated by mmu-767 (74 transcripts), mmu-484 (36 transcripts), mmu-miR-3968 (22 transcripts), and mmu-let-7b-5p (8 transcripts). Thus, affecting a single miRNA during intracellular

Leishmania infection can generate a pleiotropic outcome with important consequences on cellular processes and diverse pathologies (Valinezhad Orang et al., 2014).

We next focused on the two miRNAs mmu-let-7b-5p (11,428 in UIMs vs 7,176 normalized read counts in IMs, Log2FC = -0.752) and mmu-miR-342-3p, based on (i) their significant differential read count between UIMs and IMs, (ii) their conservation to human miRNAs (Pasquinelli et al., 2000), https://rnacentral.org/sequence-search/?jobid=c25cc34b-01cf-42ae-a24e-611a1132aa1e), and their involvement in key biological processes, including apoptosis, Jak/Stat signaling, or NF-κB signaling (Cui et al., 2019; Lecoeur et al., 2022b; Taghehchian et al., 2023; Tai et al., 2015; Thammaiah and Jayaram, 2016). Consolidating our target mRNA predictions from TargetScan and miRDB platforms established a list of 192 putative mmu-let-7b-5p mRNA targets showing increased abundance during infection, including *Integrin Beta 3 subunit* (*Itgb3*, Log2 FC = 2.35), *Argonaute RISC Catalytic Component 2* (*Ago2*, Log2 FC = 1.37), *Dual-specificity phosphatase 9* (*Dusp9*, Log2 FC = 1.94) (Figure 3A) and 7 mRNAs encoding for transcription factors (TFs) or epigenetic regulators (ERs), *i.e. Arid3a*, *E2f6*, *Hmga1*, *Klf8*, *Nr6a1*, *Pbx1*, and *Zfp202* (Figure 3B), which are involved in regulation of proliferation regulation, cell differentiation, DNA structure modification, and cancer-related signaling pathways (Bai et al., 2024; Guo et al., 2023; Kao et al., 2024; Patterson et al., 2008; Trimarchi et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2024).

Likewise, decreased expression of mmu-miR-342-3p was associated with increased expression during infection of 75 putative mRNA targets, including *Syndecan 1* (*Sdc1*, Log2 FC = 2.37), *LDL Receptor Protein 8* (*Lrp8*, Log2 FC = 2.82) (Figure 3A) and 9 TFs/ERs (*Cebpg*, *Gmeb1*, *Jazf1*, *Kmt2a*, *Pou3f1*, *Sox7*, *Tcf12*, *Zbtb21*, and *Zbtb42*) (Figure 3B), which regulate genes involved in several biological processes such as glucocorticoid synthesis, regulated cell death or proteasomal protein degradation (An et al., 2019)

Both mmu-let-7b-5p and mmu-miR-342-3p share 12 predicted targets, including *Zinc Finger Protein 42* (*Zfp42*) (Log2 FC= 2.537), which shows high base pairing probability that suggests strong binding of both miRNAs (Figure 3C1). Specific interaction between both miRNAs and *Zfp42* mRNA is further supported by the low hybridization energy below -20 kcal/mol and the presence of strong 7mer-m8 and 7mer1A binding properties (Figure 3C2).

In conclusion, our analyses reveal the presence of putative miRNA-mRNA networks that
may participate in increased gene expression observed during *Leishmania* infection. The
identification of *Ago2* as a putative miRNA target further suggests the existence of a regulatory
feedback loop during *Leishmania* infection, where miRNAs can affect their own production as seen
recently in mammalian development (Liu et al., 2021). Furthermore, the identification of TFs as
putative miRNA targets opens the possibility to control macrophage gene expression in a
cooperative fashion through feed-forward loops (FFLs) and feedback loops (FBLs) (Shalgi et al.,
2007; Tsang et al., 2007).

Modelling of interaction networks related to increased miRNA expression. We next applied
the same filtering procedure as indicated above to establish networks between the 84 miRNA
showing increased expression during infection and the 199 down-regulated mRNAs (Log2 FC ≤ -
0.5). The 33 miRNAs of the network shown in Figure 4A passed this filtering step and were
prioritized for network analysis considering the level of their increased expression and their high
conservation with human miRNA for some of them. As expected, increased expression of these
miRNAs correlated with decreased abundance of their predicted mRNA targets (Figure 4A).
Important differences were observed for the number of putative mRNA targets that are predicted
to interact with these miRNAs (Figure 4A), with for example mmu-miR-299a-5p predicted to target
only *Ring Finger Protein 150* (*Rnf150*), or mmu-miR-6546-3p to target just 9 transcripts (including
1 TF), while mmu-miR-7661-5p is predicted to target 93 transcripts (including 6 TFs) (Figure 4A).

For subsequent analyses, we focused our attention on two highly expressed miRNAs. First,
mmu-miR-686 shows an over 1000-fold increase of abundance during infection and is predicted to
target 433 mRNAs that showed statistically significant reduced abundance during infection (p <
0,05). Putative mRNA targets showing reduced abundance in our analysis include the mRNA
decay-activating protein *Zinc Finger Protein 36*, C3H Type (*Zfp36l1*, Log2 FC = -3.12),
Ribonuclease A Family Member K6 (*Rnase6*, Log2 FC = -2.4) or *Caspase 12* (*Casp12*, Log2 FC =
-3.34) (Figure 4B) linking this miRNA to key biological processes such as apoptosis, cell
proliferation and chromatin binding. MiR-686 has also the potential to negatively regulate the
transcripts of 31 transcription factors, including *Elf4*, *Bcl6*, *Stat1*, *Foxo3*, *Hmbox1*, and *Bcl6*

(Figure 4B), adding cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and antimicrobial resistance to its putative list of target processes (Lecoeur et al., 2022a; Spath et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2024).

Second, mmu-miR-6546-3p shows an over 200-fold increase of abundance during infection and is predicted to target 117 mRNAs that showed statistically significant reduced abundance during infection ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 4B), including *G-protein-coupled receptor 35* (*Gpr35*, Log2 FC = -2.54), which facilitates the activity of the C-X-C chemokine receptor (Boleij et al., 2021) or the developmental TFs *MADS box transcription enhancement factor 2* (*Mef2c*, Log2 FC = -2.48) and *transformation-related protein 53-induced nuclear protein 1*, (*Trp53inp1*, Log2 FC = -1.92) (Figure 4B). mmu-miR-6546-3p has further the potential to reduce the expression of 14 transcription factors, including *Ets1*, *Foxo3* (implicated in cell death regulation, (Litvak-Greenfeld and Benhar, 2012), *Mef2c*, *Rela*, *Stat2*, and *Stat3* (Figure 4B), some of which were previously linked to *Leishmania* infection, such as *Stat3* (Mishra et al., 2023) or *Rela*, whose mRNA abundance was reduced during *in vitro* and *in vivo L. amazonensis* infection, dampening the TLR / NF-κB / NLRP3 pathway (Lecoeur et al., 2020a).

Both miRNAs have the potential to synergize for the negative regulation of 34 common mRNA targets, including the TFs *Maturin, Neural Progenitor Differentiation Regulator Homolog* (*Mturn*, Log2 FC = -1.559) (Figure 4B). These putative interactions are further supported by (i) strong miRNA-mRNA base pairing probability and an elevated overall thermodynamic free energy of -5.41 (mmu-miR-686) and -1.97 kcal/mol (mmu-miR-6546-3p) (Figure 4C1). In general, miRNAs with high thermodynamic stability are considered crucial for efficient transcriptional regulation, which explains their high intracellular concentrations (>1,000 molecules per cell) according to recent studies (Denzler et al., 2016; Luna et al., 2015). Furthermore, as exemplified by the putative *Foxo3* mRNA target, both miRNAs show a low hybridization energy below -18 kcal/mol and the presence of strong 8mer and 7mer1A binding properties (Figure 4C2).

In conclusion, just as observed for the miRNA[down]/mRNA[up] regulatory networks, the miRNA[up]/mRNA[down] regulatory networks described here propose miRNAs as important pleiotropic regulators that may be engaged by *Leishmania* to efficiently reprogram the host cell expression profile in favor of intracellular parasite survival.

***In silico* assessment of miRNA-mediated subversion of the TF landscape.** One striking signal

emerging from our network analyses is the number of differentially expressed TFs and epigenetic

regulators (ERs) that are predicted targets of miRNAs. A focused *in silico* analysis of these

interactions is shown in Figure 5 that visualizes simplified networks between 15 down-regulated

miRNAs and 79 upregulated TF/ER mRNAs (Figure 5, panel A), and *vice versa* between 34

upregulated miRNAs and 160 downregulated, putative TF/ER targets (Figure 5, panel B). These

interactions may represent a very cost-efficient parasite immune subversion strategy, where

changes in a small set of miRNAs have important consequences on macrophage expression profile

and phenotype, either by directly affecting TFs/ERs or by exploiting regulatory feed-forward and

feedback loops between miRNAs and TFs/ERs that could jointly control the transcriptomic

reprogramming we previously described in *L. amazonensis* infected macrophages and dendritic

cells (Lecoeur et al., 2022a; Lecoeur et al., 2020a; Lecoeur et al., 2020b).

***In silico* assessment of miRNA-mediated suppression of the pro-inflammatory response.** We

have previously identified the TLR / NF-κB axis as a prime target for epigenetic subversion of the

macrophage inflammatory response by *L. amazonensis* infection that correlated with histone H3

hypo-acetylation and hypo-methylation (Lecoeur et al., 2020a). Our data here uncover that

modulation of the host miRNA landscape may constitute an additional epigenetic layer subverting

pro-inflammatory signaling during infection. The focused network shown in Figure 6 identifies 16

down-regulated miRNAs that are predicted to target 16 up-regulated members of this pathway

(panel A), while 28 up-regulated miRNAs are predicted to affect 24 down-regulated pathway

members (panel B). Even though this one-on-one regulation may indicate only limited influence

of miRNA on expression of these targets, placing these interactions into the context of the entire

pathway reveals that miRNAs could affect every step of TLR / NF-κB pathway establishing the

anti-inflammatory phenotype characteristic of *Leishmania*-infected macrophages (Figure 6C).

miRNA^{up}/mRNA^{down} interactions are observed for a number of activating pathway members, from

surface receptors that trigger the initial activation (e.g. miR-138-2-3p for *Cd40*, miR-7088-5p for

Tnfrsf1a), down to NF-κB family members (miR-6546-3p for *Rela*, miR-546 for *Relb*, miR-299a-

5p for *NFkb1*, miR-327 for *Nfkb2*) that induce inflammatory gene expression. On the contrary,

miRNA^{down}/mRNA^{up} interactions are observed for deactivating pathway members, such as *Tnfaip3*

precited to be targeted by miR-92a-3p. These data reveal miRNAs as potential regulators of the

dual inhibition of the macrophage pro-inflammatory response we have described previously

(Lecoeur et al., 2020a).

***In silico* assessment of miRNA-mediated induction of cholesterol biosynthesis.** Cholesterol

biosynthesis represents one of the key biological processes induced during *L. amazonensis*

infection believed to be essential for parasite development and vacuole membrane biogenesis

(Gutierrez Sanchez et al., 2023; Osorio y Fortea et al.). Our data suggest miRNAs as possible key

regulators of this pathway during *Leishmania* infection. The focused network shown in Figure 7

identifies 8 down-regulated miRNAs that are predicted to target 32 up-regulated members of this

pathway (panel A), while 20 up-regulated miRNAs are predicted to affect 20 down-regulated

pathway members (panel B). Placing these putative interactions in the context of the cholesterol

pathway (panel C) sheds a more integrative light on the outcome of these transcriptional

modulations and again proposes a dual regulation underlying increased cholesterol production.

Certain miRNAs act as possible positive regulators of this pathway, with for example down-

regulation of miR-532-3p correlating with upregulation of its mRNA target Sterol Regulatory

Element Binding Transcription Factor 2 (*Srebf2*), a master regulator of cholesterol biosynthesis

(Amemiya-Kudo et al., 2002). In contrast, miRNA[up]/mRNA[down] interactions seem to be linked to

cholesterol homeostasis, as indicated by down-regulation of the ATP binding cassette subfamily A

member *Abca1* (putative target for mmu-miR7661-5p) that functions as a cholesterol efflux pump

(Quazi and Molday, 2013), or the cytochrome P450 superfamily member CYP27A1 (putative

target for mmu-miR664-3p) controlling cholesterol removal (Pikuleva et al., 1998).Thus the

combined effect of these miRNAs likely enhances activating components of cholesterol

biosynthesis while at the same time limiting cholesterol removal.

CONCLUSION

Applying dual RNAseq analysis on mRNA and miRNA fractions obtained from *L. amazonensis*-

infected and uninfected BMDMs allowed us unprecedented insight into the possible role of the

host cell noncoding RNome as a novel target for parasite immune subversion. Based on our

computational analyses, the observed miRNA expression changes are predicted to affect the abundance of a large array of transcripts that establish the immune-metabolomic expression profile and cellular phenotype characteristic of *L. amazonensis* infected macrophages (Zhang et al., 2022). The challenge for future studies lies on experimentally validating these interactions for example using RNA pull down experiments to establish defined miRNA-mRNA target relationships or applying genetic ablation on key miRNAs to study their effect on host cell gene expression and intracellular parasite survival. These future investigations will hold great promise to define miRNAs as novel, host-directed therapeutic targets against *Leishmania* using agomir and antagomir strategies to restore the anti-microbial potential of host macrophages (Chong et al., 2021; Murdaca et al., 2019; Rupaimoole and Slack, 2017).

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1: (A) Schematic overview of the Ago2/RISC/miRNA machinery. miRNA-loaded Ago2 (RISC) binds TNRC6 (aka GW182) via the interaction between tryptophan (W) binding pockets in AGO and glycine-tryptophan (GW) repeats in TNRC6. TNRC6 destabilizes target mRNAs by recruiting two deadenylation complexes composed of either CAF1-CCR4-NOT1 or PAN2-PAN3, and by recruiting decapping factors, including the decapping enzyme DCP2. Finally, XRN1 degrades the decapped mRNA in a 5′ to 3′ direction. **(B)** Heat map representing the Log2 Fold Change values between *L. amazonensis*-infected and uninfected BMDMs at day 3 post-infection. Key components of the Ago2/RISC/miRNA machinery are indicated. Note that Ago2 shows a 6-fold induction during infection. **(C)** Phosphorylation analysis of Ago2 protein purified by Ago-APP from *L. amazonensis*-infected (IMs) vs. uninfected BMDMs (UIMs). LC-MS/MS measurements detected Ago2 phosphorylation changes of serine 388 (left), serine 825 (middle), and serine 825/829 (right). The lower panel shows a schematic view of the Ago2 domain structure, with phosphorylation sites indicated.

FIGURE 2: Dual RNAseq analysis of mRNA and miRNA fractions in *L. amazonensis*-infected versus non-infected macrophages. (A) Experimental pipeline for the generation of BMDMs, their infection, and isolation of large versus small RNAs. BMDMs were derived from mCSF-treated C57BL/6 bone marrow progenitors and were infected (IMs) or not (UIMs) with lesion-derived amastigotes of *L. amazonensis* for 2 days. Large and small RNAs were isolated from the same samples (n=3 independent experiments) and subjected to RNAseq analysis. **(B, C)** Heat maps, volcano plots and REVIGO enrichment maps showing respectively differentially expressed mRNAs and miRNAs across three biological replicates (1-3) in uninfected (UIMs) and infected (IMs) macrophages (B1 and B2, left panels, log2 fold changes (FC) are shown), the distribution of differentially expressed mRNAs and miRNAs according to their average, normalized read counts (B1 and C1, right panels, statistically significant signals with $p < 0.05$ are indicated in red), and biological functions enriched in the datasets of differentially expressed mRNA (B2) and miRNA (C2). Bubble color reflects the p-value of the enrichment and bubble size indicates the frequency of the GO term in the underlying GOA database. For space reasons, only few bubbles are labeled with their corresponding GO term, while others are numbered as follows: 1, Inflammatory response (GO:0006954); 2, Apoptosis process (GO:0006915); 3, Cholesterol homeostasis (GO:0042632);

4, Fatty acid biosynthetic process (GO: 0006633); 5, Fatty acid oxidation (GO: 0019395); 6, I-κB kinase/ NF- κB signaling (GO:0007249); 7, Negative regulation of NF- κB TF activity (GO:0032088); 8, Positive regulation of I-κB kinase/ NF-κB signaling (GO:0043123); 9, Response to LPS (GO: 00032496); 10, Response to protozoan (GO:0001562); 11, Macrophage activation (GO: 0042116); 12, Cytokine production involved in immune response (GO:0002367); 13, JNK cascade (GO:0007254); 14, MAPK cascade (GO:0000165); 15, Regulation of gene expression (GO: 0010468); 16, Regulation of DNA-binding TF activity (GO:0051090); 17, Positive regulation of DNA binding (GO:0043388); 19, Chromatin remodelling (GO:0006338); 20, Histone H4 acetylation (GO:0043967); 21, Epigenetic regulation of gene expression (GO:0040029); 22, mRNA transport (GO:0051028); 23, RNA-mediated gene silencing (GO:0031047); 24, Positive regulation of miRNA-mediated gene silencing (GO:0043388); 25, miRNA-mediated gene silencing by inhibition of translation (GO:0035278). **(D)** Circular heatmaps of miRNAs showing increased (D1) or decreased (D2) normalized read counts during infection. Highlighted in red and green are miRNAs with high similarity to human miRNAs (except miR-686 and miR6546-3p). Nnr, Normalized read counts.

FIGURE 3: Gene regulatory networks between down-regulated miRNAs and putative target mRNAs showing increased abundance in infected macrophages. **(A)** Predicted interactions are shown between miRNAs (rectangles, fill color indicates level of decreased abundance in log2 FC) and mRNAs (circles, fill color indicates level of increased abundance in log2 FC). Transcription factors are indicated by the oval shape. Shown interactions are based on miRNAs showing a difference of 50 or more normalized reads between infected (IMs) and uninfected (UIMs) groups, and mRNAs showing IMs vs UIMs expression changes of Log2 FC ≥ 1. **(B)** Predicted interaction maps between down-regulated mmu-let-7b-5p and mmu-miR-342-3p and their potential target mRNAs showing increased abundance in IMs vs UIMs. **(C)** 2D and 3D structures of mmu-let-7b-5p and mmu-miR-342-3p (C1). The probability of miRNA binding to the putative target mRNA *Zfp462* is indicated by the color. Target position, length, site type and minimum hybridization energy (mfe) are indicated in panel C2.

FIGURE 4: Gene regulatory networks between up-regulated miRNAs and putative target mRNAs showing reduced abundance in infected macrophages. **(A)** Predicted interactions are shown between miRNAs (rectangles, fill color indicates level of increased abundance in log2 FC)

and mRNAs (circles, fill color indicates level of decreased abundance in log2 FC). Transcription factors are indicated by the oval shape. Shown interactions are based on miRNAs showing a difference of 50 or more normalized reads between infected (IM) and uninfected (UIMs) groups, and mRNAs showing IMs vs UIMs expression changes of Log2 FC $\leq$ -1. **(B)** Predicted interaction maps between up-regulated mmu-686 and mmu-miR-6546-3p and their potential target mRNAs showing decreased abundance in IMs vs UIMs. **(C)** 2D and 3D structures of mmu-686 and mmu-miR-6546-3p (C1). The probability of miRNA binding to the putative target mRNA *Foxo3* is indicated by the color. Target position, length, site type and minimum hybridization energy (mfe) are indicated in panel C2.

FIGURE 5: miRNA network analyses focused on putative mRNA targets implicated in expression regulation. mRNAs encoding for transcription factors (TFs) and epigenetic regulators (ERs) showing significantly increased and decreased abundances (padj < 0.05) are shown in red (panel A) and blue (panel B), respectively. The solid lines (8mer) represent the most efficient interaction. Next are the dashed lines (7mer-m8) and dotted lines (7mer-1A), followed by the sine wave lines (non-canonical), indicating varying levels of interaction efficiency.

FIGURE 6: miRNA network analyses focused on putative mRNA targets implicated in the NF-κB pathway. mRNAs encoding for NF-kB pathway members showing significantly increased and decrease (padj < 0.05) mRNA abundances are shown in red (panel A) and blue (panel B), respectively. The solid lines (8mer) represent the most efficient interaction. Next are the dashed lines (7mer-m8) and dotted lines (7mer-1A), indicating varying levels of interaction efficiency. (C) Projection of miRNA and mRNA expression values and putative regulatory interactions on the NF-κB pathway.

FIGURE 7: miRNA network analyses focused on putative mRNA targets implicated in cholesterol biosynthesis and homeostasis. mRNAs encoding for proteins implicated in cholesterol production showing significantly increased and decrease (padj < 0.05) mRNA abundances are shown in red (panel A) and blue (panel B), respectively. The solid lines (8mer) represent the most efficient interaction. Next are the dashed lines (7mer-m8) and dotted lines (7mer-1A), indicating varying levels of interaction efficiency. (C) Projection of miRNA and mRNA expression values and putative regulatory interactions on the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway.

References

Agarwal, V., Bell, G.W., Nam, J.W., and Bartel, D.P. (2015). Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. Elife *4*.

Ahluwalia, P.K., Pandey, R.K., Sehajpal, P.K., and Prajapati, V.K. (2017). Perturbed microRNA Expression by Mycobacterium tuberculosis Promotes Macrophage Polarization Leading to Pro-survival Foam Cell. Front Immunol *8*, 107.

Amemiya-Kudo, M., Shimano, H., Hasty, A.H., Yahagi, N., Yoshikawa, T., Matsuzaka, T., Okazaki, H., Tamura, Y., Iizuka, Y., Ohashi, K.*, et al.* (2002). Transcriptional activities of nuclear SREBP-1a, -1c, and -2 to different target promoters of lipogenic and cholesterogenic genes. J Lipid Res *43*, 1220-1235.

An, W., Yao, S., Sun, X., Hou, Z., Lin, Y., Su, L., and Liu, X. (2019). Glucocorticoid modulatory element-binding protein 1 (GMEB1) interacts with the de-ubiquitinase USP40 to stabilize CFLAR(L) and inhibit apoptosis in human non-small cell lung cancer cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res *38*, 181.

Atri, C., Guerfali, F.Z., and Laouini, D. (2019). MicroRNAs in diagnosis and therapeutics, Vol Chapter 6.

Backes, C., Khaleeq, Q.T., Meese, E., and Keller, A. (2016). miEAA: microRNA enrichment analysis and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res *44*, W110-116.

Bai, Q., Jin, W., Chen, F., Zhu, J., Cao, L., Yang, Y., Zhong, F., and Li, L. (2024). KLF8 Promotes the Survival of Lung Adenocarcinoma During Nutrient Deprivation by Regulating the Pentose Phosphate Pathway through SIRT2. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) *29*, 27.

Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) *57*, 289-300.

Boleij, A., Fathi, P., Dalton, W., Park, B., Wu, X., Huso, D., Allen, J., Besharati, S., Anders, R.A., Housseau, F.*, et al.* (2021). G-protein coupled receptor 35 (GPR35) regulates the colonic epithelial cell response to enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis. Commun Biol *4*, 585.

Brennecke, J., Stark, A., Russell, R.B., and Cohen, S.M. (2005). Principles of microRNA-target recognition. PLoS Biol *3*, e85.

Chen, Y., and Wang, X. (2020). miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA targets. Nucleic Acids Res *48*, D127-D131.

Chong, Z.X., Yeap, S.K., and Ho, W.Y. (2021). Unraveling the roles of miRNAs in regulating epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in osteosarcoma. Pharmacol Res *172*, 105818.

Conceicao-Silva, F., and Morgado, F.N. (2019). Leishmania Spp-Host Interaction: There Is Always an Onset, but Is There an End? Front Cell Infect Microbiol *9*, 330.

Cui, C., hi, B., Shi, J., Zhou, Y., VCui, and Q. (2019). Defining the Importance Score of Human MicroRNAs and Their Single Nucleotide Mutants Using Random Forest Regression and Sequence Data. Adv Theory Simul *2*.

de La Llave, E., Lecoeur, H., Besse, A., Milon, G., Prina, E., and Lang, T. (2011). A combined luciferase imaging and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assay for the study of Leishmania amastigote burden and correlated mouse tissue transcript fluctuations. Cell Microbiol *13*, 81-91.

Denzler, R., McGeary, S.E., Title, A.C., Agarwal, V., Bartel, D.P., and Stoffel, M. (2016). Impact of MicroRNA Levels, Target-Site Complementarity, and Cooperativity on Competing Endogenous RNA-Regulated Gene Expression. Mol Cell *64*, 565-579.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15-21.

Fernandes, J.C.R., Goncalves, A.N.A., Floeter-Winter, L.M., Nakaya, H.I., and Muxel, S.M. (2022). Comparative transcriptomic analysis of long noncoding RNAs in Leishmania-infected human macrophages. Front Genet *13*, 1051568.

Ganguly, S., Ghoshal, B., Banerji, I., Bhattacharjee, S., Chakraborty, S., Goswami, A., Mukherjee, K., and
Bhattacharyya, S.N. (2022). Leishmania survives by exporting miR-146a from infected to resident cells to
subjugate inflammation. Life Sci Alliance *5*.
Geraci, N.S., Tan, J.C., and McDowell, M.A. (2015). Characterization of microRNA expression profiles in
Leishmania-infected human phagocytes. Parasite Immunol *37*, 43-51.
Golden, R.J., Chen, B., Li, T., Braun, J., Manjunath, H., Chen, X., Wu, J., Schmid, V., Chang, T.C., Kopp, F.*, et*
al. (2017). An Argonaute phosphorylation cycle promotes microRNA-mediated silencing. Nature *542*,
197-202.
Griffiths-Jones, S., Grocock, R.J., van Dongen, S., Bateman, A., and Enright, A.J. (2006). miRBase:
microRNA sequences, targets and gene nomenclature. Nucleic Acids Res *34*, D140-144.
Grimson, A., Farh, K.K., Johnston, W.K., Garrett-Engele, P., Lim, L.P., and Bartel, D.P. (2007). MicroRNA
targeting specificity in mammals: determinants beyond seed pairing. Mol Cell *27*, 91-105.
Guo, X., Qi, H., Lin, G., Yu, J., Zhang, M., and Gao, X. (2023). ARID3A plays a key regulatory role in palmitic
acid-stimulated milk fat synthesis in mouse mammary epithelial cells. Cell Biol Int *47*, 1106-1117.
Gutierrez Sanchez, M., Zhang, S., Gharsallah, C., Lamotte, S., Varet, H., Legendre, R., Dingli, F., Loew, D.,
Rotili, D., Valente, S.*, et al.* (2023). Inhibition of the macrophage demethylase LSD1 reverses Leishmania
amazonensis-induced transcriptomic changes and causes a decrease in parasite load. BioRxiv
doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.29.560133
Hauptmann, J., Schraivogel, D., Bruckmann, A., Manickavel, S., Jakob, L., Eichner, N., Pfaff, J., Urban, M.,
Sprunck, S., Hafner, M.*, et al.* (2015). Biochemical isolation of Argonaute protein complexes by Ago-APP.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*, 11841-11845.
Kamhawi, S., and Serafim, T.D. (2020). Leishmania: A Maestro in Epigenetic Manipulation of Macrophage
Inflammasomes. Trends Parasitol *36*, 498-501.
Kao, T.W., Chen, H.H., Lin, J., Wang, T.L., and Shen, Y.A. (2024). PBX1 as a novel master regulator in
cancer: Its regulation, molecular biology, and therapeutic applications. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer
1879, 189085.
Kozomara, A., Birgaoanu, M., and Griffiths-Jones, S. (2019). miRBase: from microRNA sequences to
function. Nucleic Acids Res *47*, D155-D162.
Krek, A., Grun, D., Poy, M.N., Wolf, R., Rosenberg, L., Epstein, E.J., MacMenamin, P., da Piedade, I.,
Gunsalus, K.C., Stoffel, M.*, et al.* (2005). Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. Nat Genet *37*, 495-
500.
Kruger, J., and Rehmsmeier, M. (2006). RNAhybrid: microRNA target prediction easy, fast and flexible.
Nucleic Acids Res *34*, W451-454.
Lambert, S.A., Jolma, A., Campitelli, L.F., Das, P.K., Yin, Y., Albu, M., Chen, X., Taipale, J., Hughes, T.R., and
Weirauch, M.T. (2018). The Human Transcription Factors. Cell *172*, 650-665.
Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment
of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol *10*, R25.
Larivera, S., Neumeier, J., and Meister, G. (2023). Post-transcriptional gene silencing in a dynamic RNP
world. Biol Chem *404*, 1051-1067.
Lecoeur, H., Prina, E., Gutierrez-Sanchez, M., and Spath, G.F. (2022a). Going ballistic: Leishmania nuclear
subversion of host cell plasticity. Trends Parasitol *38*, 205-216.
Lecoeur, H., Prina, E., Rosazza, T., Kokou, K., N'Diaye, P., Aulner, N., Varet, H., Bussotti, G., Xing, Y., Milon,
G.*, et al.* (2020a). Targeting Macrophage Histone H3 Modification as a Leishmania Strategy to Dampen
the NF-kappaB/NLRP3-Mediated Inflammatory Response. Cell Rep *30*, 1870-1882 e1874.
Lecoeur, H., Rosazza, T., Kokou, K., Varet, H., Coppee, J.Y., Lari, A., Commere, P.H., Weil, R., Meng, G.,
Milon, G.*, et al.* (2020b). Leishmania amazonensis Subverts the Transcription Factor Landscape in
Dendritic Cells to Avoid Inflammasome Activation and Stall Maturation. Front Immunol *11*, 1098.

Lecoeur, H., Zhang, S., Varet, H., Legendre, R., Proux, C., Granjean, C., Bousso, P., Prina, E., and Späth,
G.F. (2022b). *Leishmania amazonensis* controls macrophage-regulated cell death to establish chronic
infection *in vitro* and *in vivo*. bioRxiv, 2022.2009.2014.507851.
Lemaire, J., Mkannez, G., Guerfali, F.Z., Gustin, C., Attia, H., Sghaier, R.M., Sysco, C., Dellagi, K., Laouini,
D., and Renard, P. (2013). MicroRNA expression profile in human macrophages in response to
Leishmania major infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis *7*, e2478.
Lewis, B.P., Burge, C.B., and Bartel, D.P. (2005). Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines,
indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell *120*, 15-20.
Lewis, B.P., Shih, I.H., Jones-Rhoades, M.W., Bartel, D.P., and Burge, C.B. (2003). Prediction of
mammalian microRNA targets. Cell *115*, 787-798.
Li, T., Wu, M., Zhu, Y.Y., Chen, J., and Chen, L. (2014). Development of RNA interference-based
therapeutics and application of multi-target small interfering RNAs. Nucleic Acid Ther *24*, 302-312.
Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics *30*, 923-930.
Litvak-Greenfeld, D., and Benhar, I. (2012). Risks and untoward toxicities of antibody-based
immunoconjugates. Adv Drug Deliv Rev *64*, 1782-1799.
Liu, Q., Novak, M.K., Pepin, R.M., Eich, T., and Hu, W. (2021). microRNA-mediated regulation of
microRNA machinery controls cell fate decisions. Elife *10*.
Loh, X.Y., Sun, Q.Y., Ding, L.W., Mayakonda, A., Venkatachalam, N., Yeo, M.S., Silva, T.C., Xiao, J.F., Doan,
N.B., Said, J.W.*, et al.* (2020). RNA-Binding Protein ZFP36L1 Suppresses Hypoxia and Cell-Cycle Signaling.
Cancer Res *80*, 219-233.
Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S.H., Honer Zu Siederdissen, C., Tafer, H., Flamm, C., Stadler, P.F., and Hofacker, I.L.
(2011). ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms Mol Biol *6*, 26.
Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol *15*, 550.
Luna, J.M., Scheel, T.K., Danino, T., Shaw, K.S., Mele, A., Fak, J.J., Nishiuchi, E., Takacs, C.N., Catanese,
M.T., de Jong, Y.P.*, et al.* (2015). Hepatitis C virus RNA functionally sequesters miR-122. Cell *160*, 1099-
1110.
Marr, A.K., MacIsaac, J.L., Jiang, R., Airo, A.M., Kobor, M.S., and McMaster, W.R. (2014). Leishmania
donovani infection causes distinct epigenetic DNA methylation changes in host macrophages. PLoS
Pathog *10*, e1004419.
Martinez-Hernandez, J.E., Hammoud, Z., de Sousa, A.M., Kramer, F., Monte-Neto, R.L.D., Maracaja-
Coutinho, V., and Martin, A.J.M. (2021). Network-Based Approaches Reveal Potential Therapeutic
Targets for Host-Directed Antileishmanial Therapy Driving Drug Repurposing. Microbiol Spectr *9*,
e0101821.
Mauro, M., Berretta, M., Palermo, G., Cavalieri, V., and La Rocca, G. (2023). The Multiplicity of Argonaute
Complexes in Mammalian Cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther *384*, 1-9.
McGeary, S.E., Lin, K.S., Shi, C.Y., Pham, T.M., Bisaria, N., Kelley, G.M., and Bartel, D.P. (2019). The
biochemical basis of microRNA targeting efficacy. Science *366*.
McGwire, B.S., and Satoskar, A.R. (2014). Leishmaniasis: clinical syndromes and treatment. QJM *107*, 7-
14.
Mishra, R., Mohanty, S., Mahapatra, S., and Prasad, P. (2023). Overview of microbial therapeutics in
immunological disorders. Microbiome Therapeutics, 289-353.
Mukherjee, B., Paul, J., Mukherjee, S., Mukhopadhyay, R., Das, S., Naskar, K., Sundar, S., Dujardin, J.C.,
Saha, B., and Roy, S. (2015). Antimony-Resistant Leishmania donovani Exploits miR-466i To Deactivate
Host MyD88 for Regulating IL-10/IL-12 Levels during Early Hours of Infection. J Immunol *195*, 2731-2742.
Murdaca, G., Tonacci, A., Negrini, S., Greco, M., Borro, M., Puppo, F., and Gangemi, S. (2019). Effects of
AntagomiRs on Different Lung Diseases in Human, Cellular, and Animal Models. Int J Mol Sci *20*.

Muxel, S.M., Acuna, S.M., Aoki, J.I., Zampieri, R.A., and Floeter-Winter, L.M. (2018). Toll-Like Receptor
and miRNA-let-7e Expression Alter the Inflammatory Response in Leishmania amazonensis-Infected
Macrophages. Front Immunol *9*, 2792.
Muxel, S.M., Laranjeira-Silva, M.F., Zampieri, R.A., and Floeter-Winter, L.M. (2017). Leishmania
(Leishmania) amazonensis induces macrophage miR-294 and miR-721 expression and modulates
infection by targeting NOS2 and L-arginine metabolism. Sci Rep *7*, 44141.
Nandan, D., Rath, C.T., and Reiner, N.E. (2021). Leishmania regulates host macrophage miRNAs
expression by engaging transcription factor c-Myc. J Leukoc Biol *109*, 999-1007.
Osorio y Fortea, J., de La Llave, E., Regnault, B., Coppee, J.Y., Milon, G., Lang, T., and Prina, E. (2009).
Transcriptional signatures of BALB/c mouse macrophages housing multiplying Leishmania amazonensis
amastigotes. BMC Genomics *10*, 119.
Pasquinelli, A.E., Reinhart, B.J., Slack, F., Martindale, M.Q., Kuroda, M.I., Maller, B., Hayward, D.C., Ball,
E.E., Degnan, B., Muller, P*., et al.* (2000). Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of let-7
heterochronic regulatory RNA. Nature *408*, 86-89.
Patterson, E.S., Addis, R.C., Shamblott, M.J., and Gearhart, J.D. (2008). SOX17 directly activates Zfp202
transcription during in vitro endoderm differentiation. Physiol Genomics *34*, 277-284.
Pikuleva, I.A., Babiker, A., Waterman, M.R., and Bjorkhem, I. (1998). Activities of recombinant human
cytochrome P450c27 (CYP27) which produce intermediates of alternative bile acid biosynthetic
pathways. J Biol Chem *273*, 18153-18160.
Popenda, M., Szachniuk, M., Antczak, M., Purzycka, K.J., Lukasiak, P., Bartol, N., Blazewicz, J., and
Adamiak, R.W. (2012). Automated 3D structure composition for large RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res *40*, e112.
Quazi, F., and Molday, R.S. (2013). Differential phospholipid substrates and directional transport by ATP-
binding cassette proteins ABCA1, ABCA7, and ABCA4 and disease-causing mutants. J Biol Chem *288*,
34414-34426.
Quevillon Huberdeau, M., Zeitler, D.M., Hauptmann, J., Bruckmann, A., Fressigne, L., Danner, J., Piquet,
S., Strieder, N., Engelmann, J.C., Jannot, G*., et al.* (2017). Phosphorylation of Argonaute proteins affects
mRNA binding and is essential for microRNA-guided gene silencing in vivo. EMBO J *36*, 2088-2106.
R-Core-Team (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing. https://wwwR-projectorg/.
Ramos-Sanchez, E.M., Reis, L.C., Souza, M.A., Muxel, S.M., Santos, K.R., Lagos, D., Pereira, V.R.A., de
Brito, M.E.F., Kaye, P.M., Floeter-Winter, L.M*., et al.* (2022). miR-548d-3p Is Up-Regulated in Human
Visceral Leishmaniasis and Suppresses Parasite Growth in Macrophages. Front Cell Infect Microbiol *12*,
826039.
Rashidi, S., Mansouri, R., Ali-Hassanzadeh, M., Ghani, E., Barazesh, A., Karimazar, M., Nguewa, P., and
Carrera Silva, E.A. (2021). Highlighting the interplay of microRNAs from Leishmania parasites and
infected-host cells. Parasitology *148*, 1434-1446.
Riolo, G., Cantara, S., Marzocchi, C., and Ricci, C. (2020). miRNA Targets: From Prediction Tools to
Experimental Validation. Methods Protoc *4*.
Rupaimoole, R., and Slack, F.J. (2017). MicroRNA therapeutics: towards a new era for the management of
cancer and other diseases. Nat Rev Drug Discov *16*, 203-222.
Shalgi, R., Lieber, D., Oren, M., and Pilpel, Y. (2007). Global and local architecture of the mammalian
microRNA-transcription factor regulatory network. PLoS Comput Biol *3*, e131.
Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., and
Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction
networks. Genome Res *13*, 2498-2504.
Smith, T., Heger, A., and Sudbery, I. (2017). UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique Molecular
Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. Genome Res *27*, 491-499.

Spath, G.F., Schlesinger, P., Schreiber, R., and Beverley, S.M. (2009). A novel role for Stat1 in phagosome
acidification and natural host resistance to intracellular infection by Leishmania major. PLoS Pathog *5*,
e1000381.
Sprenkle, N.T., Serezani, C.H., and Pua, H.H. (2023). MicroRNAs in Macrophages: Regulators of Activation
and Function. J Immunol *210*, 359-368.
Sun, X., Hua, S., Chen, H.R., Ouyang, Z., Einkauf, K., Tse, S., Ard, K., Ciaranello, A., Yawetz, S., Sax, P.*, et al.*
(2017). Transcriptional Changes during Naturally Acquired Zika Virus Infection Render Dendritic Cells
Highly Conducive to Viral Replication. Cell Rep *21*, 3471-3482.
Supek, F., Bosnjak, M., Skunca, N., and Smuc, T. (2011). REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of
gene ontology terms. PLoS One *6*, e21800.
Taghehchian, N., Samsami, Y., Maharati, A., Zangouei, A.S., Boroumand-Noughabi, S., and Moghbeli, M.
(2023). Molecular biology of microRNA-342 during tumor progression and invasion. Pathol Res Pract *248*,
154672.
Tai, M.C., Kajino, T., Nakatochi, M., Arima, C., Shimada, Y., Suzuki, M., Miyoshi, H., Yatabe, Y.,
Yanagisawa, K., and Takahashi, T. (2015). miR-342-3p regulates MYC transcriptional activity via direct
repression of E2F1 in human lung cancer. Carcinogenesis *36*, 1464-1473.
Thammaiah, C.K., and Jayaram, S. (2016). Role of let-7 family microRNA in breast cancer. Noncoding RNA
Res *1*, 77-82.
Tiwari, N., Kumar, V., Gedda, M.R., Singh, A.K., Singh, V.K., Gannavaram, S., Singh, S.P., and Singh, R.K.
(2017). Identification and Characterization of miRNAs in Response to Leishmania donovani Infection:
Delineation of Their Roles in Macrophage Dysfunction. Front Microbiol *8*, 314.
Trimarchi, J.M., Fairchild, B., Wen, J., and Lees, J.A. (2001). The E2F6 transcription factor is a component
of the mammalian Bmi1-containing polycomb complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *98*, 1519-1524.
Tsang, J., Zhu, J., and van Oudenaarden, A. (2007). MicroRNA-mediated feedback and feedforward loops
are recurrent network motifs in mammals. Mol Cell *26*, 753-767.
Valinezhad Orang, A., Safaralizadeh, R., and Kazemzadeh-Bavili, M. (2014). Mechanisms of miRNA-
Mediated Gene Regulation from Common Downregulation to mRNA-Specific Upregulation. Int J
Genomics *2014*, 970607.
Wang, T., Zhou, T., Fu, F., Han, Y., Li, Y., and Yuan, M. (2022). HMGA1 As a Potential Prognostic and
Therapeutic Biomarker in Breast Cancer. Dis Markers *2022*, 7466555.
Xu, L., Wang, Z., and Wang, G. (2024). Screening of Biomarkers Associated with Osteoarthritis Aging
Genes and Immune Correlation Studies. Int J Gen Med *17*, 205-224.
Zeng, Y., Sankala, H., Zhang, X., and Graves, P.R. (2008). Phosphorylation of Argonaute 2 at serine-387
facilitates its localization to processing bodies. Biochem J *413*, 429-436.
Zhang, L., Guo, H., Zhang, X., Wang, L., Wei, F., Zhao, Y., Wang, B., Meng, Y., and Li, Y. (2024). Small
nucleolar RNA Snora73 promotes psoriasis progression by sponging miR-3074-5p and regulating PBX1
expression. Funct Integr Genomics *24*, 15.
Zhang, P., Wu, W., Chen, Q., and Chen, M. (2019). Non-Coding RNAs and their Integrated Networks. J
Integr Bioinform *16*.
Zhang, S., Lecoeur, H., Varet, H., Legendre, R., Mahtal, N., Proux, C., Aulner, N., Shorte, S., Granjean, C.,
Bousso, P.*, et al.* (2022). Immunometabolic profiling of in vitro and ex vivo *Leishmania*-infected
macrophages (LIMs) reveals unique polarization and bioenergetic signatures. BioRxiv,
2022.2009.2008.507100.

FIGURE 1, Gharsallah et al.

FIGURE 2, Gharsallah et al.

FIGURE 3, Gharsallah et al.

FIGURE 4, Gharsallah et al.

FIGURE 5, Gharsallah et al.

FIGURE 6, Gharsallah et al.

FIGURE 7, Gharsallah et al.