Title: MOS4-Associated Complex subunits 3A and 3B modulate *FLM* splicing to repress

photoperiod-dependent floral transition

Short title: MAC3A/B regulate FLM AS to repress flowering in SD

Yu-Wen Huang[1,*†], Chih-Yen Tseng[1, 2†], Yi-Tsung Tu[1], Hsin-Yu Hsieh[3], Yu-Sen Wang[1], Yu-

Zhen Chen[1], Yun-Tung Ly[4], Shih-Long Tu[3], Chin-Mei Lee[1, 2, 5, 6 *]

[1] Institute of Plant Biology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106319, Taiwan

[2] Department of Life Science, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106319, Taiwan

[3] Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 115201, Taiwan

[4] Department of Agricultural Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106319, Taiwan

[5] The Master Program in Global Agriculture Technology and Genomic Science, National Taiwan

University, Taipei, 106319, Taiwan

[6] International Joint Degree Master's Program in Agro-biomedicine in Food and Health, National

Taiwan University, Taipei, 100233, Taiwan

[†] These authors contributed equally to the article

[*] Corresponding author: Chin-Mei Lee (Email: chinmeilee@ntu.edu.tw)

The author responsible for the distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this

article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors

(https://academic.oup.com/plcell/pages/General-Instructions) is: Chin-Mei Lee

(chinmeilee@ntu.edu.tw).

Abstract

Plants adjust their flowering time by integrating environmental cues through complex

regulatory networks. RNA splicing plays a crucial role in modulating gene expression in response

to flowering signals. The MOS4-associated complex (MAC), consisting of the evolutionarily

conserved E3 ubiquitin ligases MAC3A and MAC3B, is pivotal in splicing regulation. However,

their involvement in floral transition remained unclear. This study observed that *mac3a/mac3b*

mutants flowered significantly earlier under short-day (SD) conditions, a phenotype absent under

long-day (LD) conditions. This early flowering correlated with upregulation of *FLOWERING*

LOCUS T (*FT*) and *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CO 1* (*SOC1*) compared to wild-

type plants. Transcriptomic analysis revealed alterations in transcript levels and splicing profiles

of key floral regulators across different flowering pathways. Further exploration identified the

thermosensory flowering regulator *FLOWERING LOCUS M* (*FLM*) as being influenced by

MAC3A and *MAC3B*. Our findings showed that SPL9 bound to *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters to

induce their expression under a short photoperiod. Subsequently, MAC3A and MAC3B associated

with *FLM* transcripts to modulate their splicing in SD. This study elucidates how the MAC

complex, through RNA splicing regulation, integrates environmental signals to modulate

flowering, unveiling a new layer of complexity in flowering pathway crosstalk under non-

inductive photoperiods.

Introduction

The floral transition from vegetative to reproductive stages represents a captivating and

distinctive developmental process in angiosperms. In order to ensure successful reproduction,

plants have evolved a sophisticated network that integrates various environmental signals,

managing the plants' developmental and metabolic status to control floral transition through

multifaceted mechanisms precisely (Takagi et al., 2023; Song et al., 2015; Park et al., 2019; Cho

et al., 2017; Teotia et al., 2015; Gendron et al., 2021). This intricate regulatory network is

categorized into major pathways, including photoperiod, age, vernalization, autonomous,

thermosensory, and gibberellin (GA) pathways, with extensive crosstalk among them that warrants

further exploration.

In the photoperiod pathway, the circadian clock integrates signals from the length of the

day to regulate the well-known GIGANTEA (GI)-CONSTANS (CO)-FLOWERING LOCUS T

(FT) module (Imaizumi et al., 2005; Sawa et al., 2007). The circadian clock orchestrates the

rhythmic expression of *GIGANTEA* (*GI*), peaking before dusk (Fowler et al., 1999). During long

days (LD), light induces GI to form a complex with FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, F

BOX 1 (FKF1) E3 ubiquitin ligase before dusk, leading to the degradation of CYCLING DOF

FACTOR1 and its homologs (CDFs), which otherwise repress *CO* transcription (Sawa et al., 2007;

Fornara et al., 2009). In LD conditions, CO protein accumulates and interacts with various

transcription factors (TFs), including some B and C subunits of Nuclear Factor Y, namely NF-

YB2, NF-YB3, NF-YC3, NF-YC4, and NF-YC9, to activate *FT* expression in leaves (Kumimoto

et al., 2008; 2010; Cao et al., 2014). FT, functioning as a mobile florigen, moves to the shoot apical

meristem (SAM), where it interacts with FLOWERING LOCUS D (FD), initiating floral transition

by promoting the expression of the SAM-expressed floral integrator *SUPPRESSOR OF*

OVEREXPRESSION OF CO 1 (*SOC1*) and activating *LEAFY* (*LFY*) and *APETALA1* (*AP1*)

(Corbesier et al., 2007; Jaeger and Wigge, 2007; Li et al., 2011; Lee and Lee, 2010). Conversely,

under short days (SD), the formation of the GI-FKF1 complex is reduced due to the

unsynchronized accumulation of GI and FKF1 proteins, and *CO* repression by CDFs delays

flowering (Sawa et al., 2007). Apart from transcriptional regulation, photoperiod also influences

CO protein levels post-translationally (reviewed by Takagi et al., 2023). The activation of *FT* by

CO can be counteracted by TEMPRANILLO1 and 2 (TEM1 and TEM2), which directly inhibit

FT expression (Castillejo and Pelaz, 2008).

While extensive research has been conducted on regulating flowering time under inductive

photoperiods, the mechanisms governing the repression of floral initiation in non-inductive day

lengths remain largely unexplored. The GA pathway plays a significant role in suppressing the

expression of *FT* and *SOC1* under SD (Bao et al., 2019). TEM1 and TEM2 downregulate GA

biosynthesis genes, such as *GA3OX1* and *GA3OX2*, reducing the levels of bioactive GA, which in

turn tightly regulates *SOC1* and *LFY* expression in the shoot apical meristem (SAM) (Osnato et

al., 2012; Moon et al., 2005; Eriksson et al., 2006). Additionally, GA repressor DELLA proteins

interact with various floral regulators across different pathways. DELLA interacts with and

stabilizes the MYC3 transcription factor, which directly represses *FT* or indirectly inhibits the

association of the CO/NF-YB activator with the *FT* promoter (Cao et al., 2014; Bao et al., 2019).

Furthermore, GA regulates the miR156-SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE

(SPL) module in the age flowering pathway (Huijser et al., 2011; Park et al., 2017). In juvenile

plants, high levels of *miR156* repress *SPL9*, *SPL10*, and *SPL15*, while decreasing *miR156* levels

during maturation enhances the expression of *SPL9* and its paralogs (Wang et al., 2009). SPL9

promotes the transcription of *pri-miRNA172*, which represses AP2-like TFs, including

SCHLAFMUTZE (*SMZ*) and *EAT1 TARGETs* (*TOEs*), direct or indirect repressors of *FT* or *SOC1*

(Wang and Wang, 2015; Chen, 2004; Mathieu et al., 2009). Under SD, DELLA can interact with

SPL9 to inhibit its activity, leading to delayed flowering (Yu et al., 2012). Moreover, DELLA

interacts with and stabilizes FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), the primary floral repressor in the

vernalization and autonomous pathways. FLC, along with other MADS TFs like SHORT

VEGETATIVE PHASE (SVP), FLOWERING LOCUS M (FLM), and its homologs, MADS

AFFECTING FLOWERINGs (MAFs), recruit histone modifiers to establish repressive epigenetic

marks on the *FT* and *SOC1* loci (Li et al., 2008; Li et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2013), thereby facilitating

the repression of *FT* and *SOC1* by FLC. Additionally, other floral pathways interact with the

photoperiod pathway in a GA-independent manner. Histone Deacetylase 9 (HDA9) represses

AGAMOUS-LIKE 19 (*AGL19*), an *FT* activator, to prevent premature flowering under SD

conditions (Kang et al., 2015).

Warm temperatures can alleviate the repression of flowering under SD through crosstalk

among flowering pathways (Fernández et al., 2016; Jin and Ahn, 2021). The bHLH transcription

factors PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 and 5 (PIF4 and PIF5) interact with CO

to promote *FT* expression at high ambient temperatures under SD (Fernández et al., 2016; Kumar

et al., 2012). The expression and activity of PIF4 can be enhanced by the clock/photoperiod

regulators EVENING COMPLEX (EC), composed of EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3), ELF4,

and LUX ARRHYTHMO (LUX) transcription factors under high temperature conditions (Ezer et

al., 2017; Silva et al., 2020; Nieto et al., 2015). The accessibility of PIF4 to the *FT* promoter can

be regulated by the RGA DELLA protein, which interacts with the DNA-binding domain of PIF4;

however, the flowering regulation by GA appears to be partially independent of PIF4 (de Lucas et

al., 2008; Galvão et al., 2015). Furthermore, SVP and FLM more profoundly repress *FT* and *SOC1*

expression under SD (Scortecci et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2013; Posé et al., 2013). High temperatures

decrease the stability of the SVP protein, thereby reducing its repressive effects on flowering (Lee

et al., 2013).

RNA splicing emerges as a significant contributor to the modulation of florigen and

upstream regulators in response to environmental fluctuations (Posé et al., 2013; Park et al., 2019;

Shang et al., 2017; Qi et al., 2019). It generates protein isoforms from spliced RNA variants; some
play antagonistic roles in floral pathways, while others modulate RNA stabilities. In the
thermosensory flowering pathway, low and high temperatures modulate the alternative splicing of
FLM (Posé et al., 2013). The major FLM isoform, FLMβ, interacts with SVP to repress *FT* and
SOC1. Under high ambient temperatures, an increase in *FLMδ*, which encodes a protein that
counteracts the function of FLMβ by competing with it for binding to SVP, leads to the
derepression of *FT* and *SOC1*. Similar scenario with the photoperiod pathway, *CO* produces two
isoforms in a ratio determined by the photoperiods (Gil et al., 2017). COα promotes the expression
of *FT*, whereas COβ, encoding a truncated CO protein, interacts and negatively regulates COα by
enhancing its degradation by COP1. The ratios of COβ to Coα are higher in SD compared to LD.
Furthermore, *PIF4*, another ambient-temperature-sensing flowering regulator, transcribes
alternative isoforms (Huang et al., 2022). PIF4-I4R (with 4th intron retained), identified as a
translatable splicing isoform, produces a protein that can interact with full-length PIF4, though its
specific function remains unknown. The significance of RNA alternative splicing in floral
regulation is underscored by observations that mutations in RNA splicing machinery components
often lead to altered flowering phenotypes (Park et al., 2019; Shang et al., 2017).

The RNA splicing is processed by spliceosomes, and their activities are dynamically
regulated by splicing factors associated with them. One such factor is the MOS4-ASSOCIATED
COMPLEX (MAC complex), an evolutionarily conserved splicing factor that is crucial for plant
RNA splicing (Monaghan et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019). In Arabidopsis, the MAC
complex consists of MAC3A and MAC3B, a pair of U-box type E3 ubiquitin ligase homologs,
whose C-terminal WD40 domains predicted to interact with MOS4, AtCDC5, and PRL1 as MAC
core proteins. The E3 ubiquitin ligase functions lie in the N-terminal U-box domains of MAC3A
and MAC3B to interact with E2 ubiquitin-conjugation enzyme (E2) and facilitate ubiquitin transfer
to their substrates. In human cells, the homolog of MAC3A and MAC3B, PRP19, not only
transfers ubiquitin to itself for auto-inhibition, it can ubiquitinate the K63 of PRP3, a specific
subunit of U4 snRNP, to enhance the association of the U4/U6/U5 snRNP within the spliceosome
(de Moura et al., 2018; Song et al., 2010). While the E3 ubiquitin ligase functions of MAC3A and
MAC3B in plants remain to be fully explored, their regulatory roles in plant RNA splicing have
been evidenced by transcriptome analysis, which revealed misregulation of approximately 11,000
genes in 9-day-old *mac3a/mac3b* double mutant seedlings (Li et al., 2019). These splicing defects

may explain a wide range of phenotypes, including circadian rhythm lengthening, growth

abnormalities, altered responses to abiotic stress, changes in defense mechanisms, and defects in

miRNA biogenesis (Jiang et al., 2023; Tu and Chen, 2022; Monaghan et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2017).

Previous reports on the flowering phenotypes under LD conditions have shown that *mac3a* and

mac3b single knockout mutants, or the *mac3a/mac3b* double knockdown mutant (with the double

null mutant being embryonic lethal), exhibit either no differences or moderate delays in flowering

(Feke et al., 2020; Li et al., 2019).

Given the pivotal role of alternative splicing in regulating floral transitions and the

significant alterations of splicing events observed in the *mac3a/mac3b* seedlings, the previously

reported phenotypes under long-day (LD) conditions cannot fully account for this discrepancy (Jia

et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Feke et al., 2020). Here, we present evidence demonstrating that the

Arabidopsis *mac3a/mac3b* double mutant exhibits significantly early flowering under SD while

displaying a phenotype similar to the wild type under LD conditions. RNA-sequencing analyses

of SD-grown *mac3a/mac3b* mature plants revealed expression and splicing profiles of floral

regulators in multiple flowering pathways that were markedly altered. One potential cause for the

early flowering phenotype is the involvement of *FLM*, a floral regulator in the ambient temperature

flowering pathway. MAC3A/MAC3B can associate with *FLM* RNA to regulate the ratios of *FLMβ*

and *FLMδ*, thereby influencing flowering repression. The daylength-specific transcription of

MAC3A and *MAC3B* may explain their regulatory role only under SD, which is itself regulated by

SPL9. These findings suggest that the MAC complex might be a central hub coordinating crosstalk

among the flowering pathways by regulating RNA splicing under short-day conditions.

Results

MAC3A and *MAC3B* **repress flowering in short-day**

To comprehensively investigate the roles of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in flowering regulation,

we measured the flowering times under both long-day (LD, 16 hours of light/8 hours of dark) and

short-day (SD, 8 hours of light/16 hours of dark) conditions (Fig.1A and 1B). Aligning with earlier

findings, the single mutants of *mac3a* and *mac3b*, as well as the *mac3a/mac3b* double mutant,

displayed flowering times similar to the wild type (Col-0) under LD conditions, as determined by

the number of rosette leaves at bolting (Figure 1A, left panel) (Li et al., 2019). When the flowering

time was assessed by the day of bolting, the *mac3a/mac3b* double mutant manifested a minor delay,

echoing the observations of Feke et al. (2020) (Figure 1A, right panel). Notably, under SD
conditions, the *mac3a/mac3b* double mutant exhibited significantly earlier flowering based on
both flowering measurement indices, opposite to phenotype in LD (Figure 1B). Further analyses
of the floral integrator transcripts, *FT* and *SOC1*, showed elevated peak expressions in the
mac3a/mac3b double mutant compared to Col-0 under SD. This finding supports early flowering
phenotypes in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant under SD (Figure 1C).

The flowering phenotype of *mac3a/mac3b* mutant does not correlate with *CONSTANS* levels.
To ascertain if *CO* mediated the upregulation of *FT* and *SOC1* in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant,
we analyzed the expression patterns of *CO*. Remarkably, the expression profiles of *CO* in the
mac3a/mac3b double mutant were not significantly different compared to wildtype under SD
conditions (Figure 2A and 2B). Further examination of *CO* expression in the *mac3a* and *mac3b*
single mutants or *mac3a/mac3b* double mutants in SD or LD conditions did not correlate to the
observed flowering phenotypes nor the expression of *FT* (Figure 2A, Figure 1B-1C). Given the
roles of MAC3A and MAC3B in RNA splicing, we next investigated whether these factors might
influence the balance between two splice variants of CO, namely COα and COβ, which in turn
could modulate *FT* expression (Figure 2C-2E). Consistent with prior findings, *COα* levels
surpassed those of *COβ* towards the end of the day, resulting in a higher *COα* to *COβ* ratio in the
wild type under SD (Figure 2D) (Gil et al., 2017). However, the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant presented a
reduced *COα* to *COβ* ratio due to increased *COβ* expression. This pattern does not account for the
elevated *FT* expression nor the early flowering observed in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant (Figure 2C
and 2D, Figure 1B and 1C).

Transcriptome analyses of *mac3a/mac3b* mutant indicate alterations in various flowering
pathways
Recognizing that MAC3A and MAC3B can impact transcriptome by supporting proper
RNA splicing, we posited that their regulatory function in splicing might underlie the accelerated
flowering observed in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under SD conditions. To test this hypothesis, we
performed RNA sequencing on 40-day-old SD-grown *mac3a/mac3b* mutants and wild-type (Col-
0) plants, which were still in their vegetative growth phase. The analysis revealed 605 differentially
expressed genes (DEGs) between the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants and Col-0 ($|log2(fold change)| \geq 1.5$

and FDR≤ 10%) (Figure 3A). Of these, 371 genes were upregulated, while 234 were downregulated. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the DEGs highlighted significant associations with biological processes such as circadian rhythm, response to stimulus, and post-embryonic development (Figure 3B).

To explore the affected flowering pathways in the *mac3a/mac3b*, we compared the DEG list with genes involving flowering initiation classified by Bouché et al. (2016). It revealed differential gene expression across multiple flowering pathways, including circadian clock/photoperiod, vernalization, age, and GA pathways in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Figure 3C). Notably, morning-phased central clock regulators, like *CCA1*, *LHY*, and *PRR9,* were upregulated, whereas evening-phased regulators, such as *ELF4, LUX, TOC1*, and *PRR3,* exhibited reduced expression. This shift suggests a circadian clock phase delay, aligning with previous findings (Feke et al., 2019). Despite these changes, the observed decrease in *CO* expression with log2(fold change) = -2.899 identified through RNA sequencing, potentially due to phase delays, does not fully account for the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant's early flowering phenotype (Figure 1B).

Investigating beyond photoperiodic influences, we found that the age and vernalization pathways also experienced significant alterations (Figure 3C). In the age pathway, *miRNA156*-targeted *SPL5* was downregulated, whereas *SPL15* was upregulated. On the other hand, *pri-miRNA172*, which is downstream of *SPL15*, showed decreased transcript levels. These changes suggest that the age pathway might not primarily drive the early flowering observed in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants. Conversely, in the vernalization pathway, the floral repressor *FLC* was downregulated, and the floral activator *AGL19* was upregulated. We further confirmed their expression by RT-qPCR. The *mac3a/mac3b* mutants exhibited overall lower *FLC* expression compared to the wildtype under SD, and the other two MADS TFs, FLM, and SVP, which complex with FLC to repress *FT*, were not significantly changed (Supplemental Figure S1A-S1C). However, the expression of *AGL19* was not higher in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Supplemental Figure S1D). These data imply that *MAC3A/MAC3B* partially modulates the flowering time under SD conditions through *FLC* in the vernalization/autonomous pathway (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure S1).

Mutations in *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* lead to severe RNA splicing defects

Given the established involvement of *MAC3A/MAC3B* in RNA splicing, prior research has highlighted a marked increase in abnormal alternative splicing events, predominantly intron

retention, in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Li et al., 2019). This alteration in RNA splicing presents a
plausible avenue to elucidate the genetic contributors to the early flowering observed in
mac3a/mac3b mutants under SD conditions. Consequently, we identified differentially
alternatively spliced transcripts in our RNA-sequencing dataset with 12,031 events encoded by
6,836 genes differential alternative splicing events (DASs) in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Table 1).
Consistent with earlier findings, the analysis revealed that over 98% of DASs or 11,876 events
within 6,778 genes in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants were characterized by increased intron retention
(IR) (Table 1 and Figure 4A). The remaining DASs were exon skipping (ES) and alterations in
donor/acceptor splice sites (AltDA) involving transcription from 150 genes (Table 1 and Figure
4B).

Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the DASs showed significant enrichment of terms associated
with developmental processes and the RNA splicing machinery (Figure 4C). Additionally, the
altered RNA splicing in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants affected genes integral to primary metabolic
pathways, RNA processing, and responses to environmental stimuli, potentially leading to the
observed pleiotropic effects on flowering initiation and growth attenuation (Figure 1B; Li et al.,
2018; Li et al., 2019; Feke et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2024).

A subsequent comparison of genes within the DAS list with established regulators of
flowering initiation (Bouché et al., 2016) revealed a significant overlap: 136 genes from the DAS
list were among the 306 known to regulate flowering (Figure 5A). As noted, 135 of these genes
exhibited upregulated retained introns in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant. These results underscore the
critical role of *MAC3A/MAC3B* in safeguarding splicing fidelity under SD conditions.

Changes in the ratios of *FLOWERING LOCUS M* isoforms in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant

To elucidate the specific regulators whose DAS defects contribute to the upregulation of *FT*
and *SOC1*, and consequently the early flowering phenotype in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants, we focused
on identifying overlaps among the DAS gene list, known flowering regulators, and potential
transcription factors (TFs) upstream of the DEGs (Figure 5A). By analyzing the promoter regions
of each DEG for potential upstream regulators, we identified enriched TFs based on the frequency
of downstream genes present in the DEG list. Among these TFs, 38 exhibited DAS, with three
playing roles in flowering initiation: *LHY*, *PIF4*, and *FLM* (Figure 5A-5B).

LHY, a circadian clock gene acting upstream of *CO* in the photoperiod pathway, showed
reduced inclusion of the 4th exon (Figure 5C). However, our earlier findings have excluded *CO*'s
alterations as a primary factor for the early flowering observed in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Figure
2). In the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants, significant retention of the 1st and 4th introns of *PIF4* was
observed (Figure 5C and Supplemental Figure S2A), potentially leading to truncated protein
isoforms with missing or incomplete bHLH DNA binding domain (Supplemental Figure S2A-
S2B). Other PIF alternative transcripts may go through nonsense-mediated decay. Overall, it can
lead to less functional PIF4 proteins. Given PIF4's role as a positive regulator of *FT* as Kumar et
al. (2012) reported, these splicing defects could be expected to decrease *FT* expression,
contradicting our observations (Figure 1C).

Furthermore, *FLM*, a repressor in the thermosensory flowering pathway, exhibited increased
inclusion of the 3rd exon and reduced inclusion of the 2nd exon in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Figure
5C and 6A). The alternatively splicing patterns of *FLM* lead to two isoforms, *FLMβ* and *FLMδ*,
with opposing roles in regulating flowering thermosensitivity (Posé et al., 2013). *FLMδ*,
characterized by the retention of the 3rd exon and exclusion of the 2nd, mitigates *FLMβ*'s
repression of *FT*. The prevalence of *FLMδ* over *FLMβ* in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under SD
conditions could explain the upregulation of *FT* and the consequent early flowering (Figure 6A-
6B). However, this alteration was not observed under LD conditions (Figure 6C), indicating that
MAC3A/MAC3B's regulation of *FLM* splicing is SD-specific. To ascertain if *MAC3A/MAC3B*
directly influence *FLM* splicing, RNA-immunoprecipitation (RIP) was performed using
Arabidopsis expressing FLAG-tagged *MAC3A*, revealing enrichment of *FLM* transcripts with
qPCR (Figure 6D and Supplemental Figure S4). These findings suggest that MAC3A/MAC3B
directly modulate the splicing of *FLM*, adjusting the ratio of *FLMβ* to *FLMδ* to regulate flowering
time under SD conditions.

Photoperiod regulates the transcript levels of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

To elucidate the specific regulatory mechanisms of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* on flowering under
SD conditions, we analyzed their transcript levels under both SD and LD conditions (Figure 7A-
7B). Notably, under SD conditions, both *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* transcripts exhibited peak
expression levels between 12 to 16 hours after dawn, a pattern not observed under LD conditions.
This distinct expression profile prompted further investigation into whether post-translational

mechanisms also contribute to regulating *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*, specifically their protein
abundance in Arabidopsis. To this end, we analyzed protein levels in transgenic Arabidopsis lines
overexpressing FLAG-tagged *MAC3A* across both photoperiods (Supplemental Figure S3). In the
MAC3A overexpressing lines, the protein abundance of *MAC3A* transgene remained consistently
similar in SD and LD conditions. These findings indicate that the regulation of *MAC3A* and
MAC3B in response to photoperiod changes is primarily transcriptional, with their peak transcript
levels under SD potentially playing a pivotal role in modulating flowering time.
315

SPL9 binds to the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters to regulate their photoperiodic expression
To elucidate the mechanism driving increased *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expression under SD
conditions, we aimed to identify transcription factors interacting with both *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*
promoters. We utilized PlantPAN 4.0 (http://plantpan.itps.ncku.edu.tw/plantpan4, Chow et al.,
2019) for promoter analysis and identified 649 known TF binding motifs within the 1.5 kb
promoter regions of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* (Figure 7C). A total of 243 common motifs were found
in both promoters, implicating 364 TFs as potential upstream regulators. Among them, 38 TFs
associated with flowering initiation. To further identify the potential regulators, we performed
correlation analysis between the expression profiles of these TFs (sourced from the Photo-Graph
database https://gendron-lab.shinyapps.io/PhotoGraph/; Leung et al., 2023) and *MAC3A/MAC3B*
expression under LD and SD (Figure 7A-7B). Finally, we identified four TFs, SPL9, TEM1, CDF1,
and NF-YB3 whose mRNA patterns showed significant correlations with *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*
expressions (Figure 7C-7D and Supplemental Figure S5).
Among these candidates, we chose SPL9, an activator that promotes the age-dependent
flowering initiation pathway (Schwarz et al., 2008), for further investigation due to the following
reasons. Firstly, previous genome-wide DNA Affinity Purification Sequencing (or DAP-Seq)
analyses showed that SPL9 interacted with the *MAC3B* promoter (ReMap2022 database,
https://remap2022.univ-amu.fr/; Chèneby et al., 2020). Additionally, both CDF1 and NF-YB3
regulate flowering through *CO* predominantly under LD conditions (Imaizumi et al., 2005;
Kumimoto et al., 2008), which may not be the CO-independent pathways that *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*
involved in. Finally, TEM1 functions as a repressor of *FT* (Castillejo and Pelaz, 2008). However,
the expression of *TEM1* was positively correlated with *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*, which is supposed to
be an activator of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*. (Supplemental Figure S5).

We performed yeast one-hybrid assays to confirm that SPL9 binds to *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*
promoters, shown by increased β-galactosidase activity compared to controls (Figure 8A). This
interaction was further validated in Arabidopsis through Chromatin-immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
assays with miRNA156-resistant SPL9-GFP (rSPL9-GFP) transiently expressed in the Col-0,
revealing strong enrichment of rSPL9-GFP on some SPL9 binding sites (SPB-box, GTAC) of
MAC3A and *MAC3B* promoters (Figure 8B). To assess the activity of SPL9 on *MAC3A* and
MAC3B expression under photoperiodic changes, we examined *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expressions
in the Col-0, *spl9*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under both SD and LD (Figure 9A-9B). The SPL9
has higher expression in SD and peaks at ZT8 (Figure 7D), corresponding to higher expression of
the *MAC3A and MAC3B* with peaks at ZT12 or ZT16 (Figure 7A-7B). In the *spl9* mutant, the
higher expression of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in Col-0 during the evening (ZT12 to ZT20) in SD was
decreased (Figure 9A). Meanwhile, the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expression was not affected much by
the *SPL9* mutation in LD (Figure 9B). However, the mutation of *SPL9* did not entirely reduce
MAC3A and *MAC3B* expression levels to that in the *mac3a/mac3b* double mutant, suggesting other
regulators exist (Figure 9A-9B). We further employed transient overexpression of *rSPL9-GFP* in
seedlings to confirm SPL9's regulatory role under SD, and it induced *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*
expressions (Figure 9C-9D). Overall, our findings highlight *SPL9* as a regulator of *MAC3A* and
MAC3B, partially accounting for promoting their expression under SD conditions for flowering
time controls.

Discussion
Alternative splicing critically regulates floral transition in response to environmental cues,
yet the underlying regulators and mechanisms remain largely unexplored (Park et al., 2019; Shang
et al., 2017; Qi et al., 2019). Disruptions of proteins involved in the RNA splicing process
frequently result in flowering time anomalies across both LD and SD conditions. Notably,
mutations in U1 snRNP components, such as *RBP45d* and *PRP39a*, or the factors associated with
3' splicing site recognition—U2 snRNP auxiliary factors U2AF35a, U2AF35b, and U2AF65a—
all lead to delayed flowering in LD and SD (Chang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2007; Wang and
Brendel, 2006; Park et al., 2019). This study unveils the roles of MAC3A and MAC3B, key
components of the MOS4-associated complex (MAC) splicing factor, specifically repressing floral
transition under SD conditions. We discovered that the photoperiod influences *MAC3A/MAC3B*

expression through SPL9 transcription factors, driving higher expression levels in SD. While

transcriptome analysis suggests that alterations in transcripts and splicing profiles across various

flowering pathways contribute to regulating flowering time, our findings specifically point to

MAC3A/MAC3B's direct impact on *FLM* splicing under SD conditions. Additionally, an indirect

effect on *FLC* expression emerges as another mechanism by which *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* suppress

flowering under SD, highlighting a nuanced role of alternative splicing and photoperiodic

regulation in floral transition.

MAC3A and MAC3B modulate the ratios of *FLM* isoform to regulate flowering in

response to photoperiod and temperature signals

Utilizing a combination of differentially expressed and alternatively spliced gene data,

along with RIP-qPCR and expression analysis, we have identified *FLM* as a direct splicing target

of MAC3A and MAC3B under SD conditions (Figure 5 and 6). *FLM* functions at the crossroads

of the thermosensory and autonomous flowering pathways, with its splicing of isoforms modulated

by temperature. The alterations of *FLM* splicing also have been found in various splicing regulator

mutants under ambient temperature conditions (Jin and Ahn, 2021; Posé et al., 2013). Our results

extend this understanding by revealing that *FLM* splicing is also influenced by photoperiod,

suggesting a mechanism whereby *FLM* isoform regulation serves as an integration point for

photoperiod and temperature cues, facilitating flowering in response to elevated temperatures

under SD conditions. This finding offers a molecular basis for previous observations of genetic

interactions between *FLM-SVP* and photoperiod flowering pathways (Scortecci et al., 2003). The

potential for *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* to also respond to ambient temperatures to further integrate

photoperiod and temperature signals through *FLM* splicing warrants additional study.

Beyond *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*, the *GLYCINE-RICH RNA-BINDING PROTEINs*, *GRP7* and

GRP8, have been shown to regulate *FLM* isoform splicing (Steffen et al., 2019). GRP7

preferentially binds to *FLMβ* over *FLMδ* at lower temperatures under SD. The *grp7/grp8* mutants

exhibit reduced *FLMβ* levels, a pattern mirrored in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants alongside decreased

GRP7 expression, suggesting a collaborative role in modulating *FLMβ* (Figure 6). Additionally,

our findings highlight intron retention in *PIF4* transcripts within *mac3a/mac3b* mutants (Figure 5

and Supplemental Figure S2), potentially impacting *FT* expression and, by extension, flowering,

suggesting a broader role for *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in mediating temperature-responsive flowering

pathways under SD. Future research is poised to unravel the intricate molecular and genetic

interactions between *MAC3A*, *MAC3B*, and other splicing factors in adjusting *FLM* isoform ratios

and orchestrating seasonal flowering responses.

The *FLC* expression may be indirectly regulated by MAC3A and MAC3B

In SD conditions, the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants exhibit significantly earlier flowering, a

phenomenon attributed, in part, to the decreased expression of the floral repressor *FLOWERING*

LOCUS C (*FLC*) within the vernalization and autonomous pathways. This reduction in *FLC*

expression alleviates repression on key flowering promoters *FT* and *SOC1*, facilitating early

flowering (Supplemental Figure S1A and Figure 1C). While the direct influence of MAC3A and

MAC3B on *FLC* expression appears to be limited, their role in modulating *FLC* through indirect

pathways is evident, particularly in the context of epigenetic regulation and post-transcriptional

RNA processing (Qi et al., 2019; Herr et al., 2006; Whittaker and Dean, 2017). *COOLAIR*, a long

non-coding antisense RNA, initially represses *FLC* during vernalization by altering the chromatin's

H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 modifications (Qi et al., 2019). In the autonomous pathway, RNA

processing factors further regulate the *FLC* expression by the 3'-end processing of its antisense

COOLAIR transcripts (Qi et al., 2019; Whittaker and Dean, 2017). Given that *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

have been established to impact mRNA biosynthesis (Li et al., 2018), their potential effect on

COOLAIR processing and, consequently, on *FLC* expression in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants warrants

further investigation.

Additionally, transcriptome analysis revealed changes in *GRP7* expression, a known

interactor with *COOLAIR*, suggesting a possible regulatory link between *MAC3A*, *MAC3B*, *GRP7*,

and *FLC* expression (Figure 3C) (Xiao et al., 2015). Interestingly, the regulatory dynamics of

MAC3A/MAC3B and *GRP7* diverge; *MAC3A/MAC3B* seem to specifically influence *FLC*

expression under SD, contrasting with *GRP7*'s broader regulatory scope across photoperiods (Xiao

et al., 2015; Steffen et al., 2019). Despite *GRP7* being downregulated in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants,

this does not mask the *mac3a/mac3b* early flowering phenotype (Figure 1A and 3C). This suggests

that under SD, MAC3A and MAC3B are crucial for maintaining *FLC* expression, potentially

gating the autonomous flowering pathway and highlighting a nuanced role in flowering regulation.

SPL9 may integrate multiple signals to regulate *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

In this study, we identified SPL9 binding to the promoters of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B in vivo*,

activating their expressions specifically during the SD evening. Furthermore, overexpression of

miRNA156-resistant *rSPL9* resulted in increased levels of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expressions, while

mutation of *SPL9* led to a diminished peak of *MAC3B* and *MAC3B* expression at ZT12 under SD

conditions (Figure 9A). These findings provide partial insights into the photoperiodic-specific

regulation of *FLM* splicing and flowering by *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*.

How does SPL9 perceive photoperiodic signals? Our observations suggest that *SPL9*

expression is regulated by photoperiods, with higher expression levels observed during the daytime

under both LD and SD conditions (Figure 7D). As a pivotal component of the age-dependent

flowering pathway, SPL9 is known to be post-transcriptionally suppressed by *miRNA156*

(Schwarz et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). The phytochrome-PIF light-sensing module suppresses

miRNA156 expression in response to shading environments, thereby mediating shade-avoidance

responses (Xie et al., 2017). Hence, it is plausible that PIFs are implicated in the photoperiod-

dependent regulation of *SPL9* expression. Furthermore, the observed peak of *SPL9* expression

before light-off under short days may account for the higher *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expression levels

under these conditions (Figure 7A, 7B, and 7D). Since MAC3A and MAC3B are involved in

miRNA biosynthesis, their mutants have reduced levels of mature miRNAs, resulting in the de-

repression of miR156-targeted *SPLs* (Li et al., 2018). If SPL9 indeed activates *MAC3A* and

MAC3B, it suggests the existence of a potential negative feedback loop between *miR156/SPLs* and

MAC3A/MAC3B, which could explain the redundancy observed between *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*.

Additionally, our data suggest that *SPL9, MAC3A,* and *MAC3B* may modulate temperature-

responsive flowering initiation as plants age, given that *SPL9* expression gradually accumulates

with plant age. Moreover, the differential expression of *miR156*-targeted *SPL5* and *SPL15* in the

DEGs of *mac3a/mac3b* (Addo-Quaye et al., 2008) (Figure 3C) further hints at the existence of a

complex underlying regulatory network. Specifically, while *SPL5* is down-regulated, *SPL15* is up-

regulated, suggesting intricate interactions within this network.

Potential versatile molecular functions of MAC3A and MAC3B in regulating flowering

MAC3A and MAC3B are bona fide U-box-containing E3 ubiquitin ligases, supported by

evidence demonstrating their ability to auto-ubiquitinate themselves or other substrates (Li et al.,

2018; Guo et al., 2024; Yu et al., 2024). The functions of these E3 ubiquitin ligases are crucial for

modulating various biological processes, including miRNA biogenesis, lateral root emergence,

and organ size control. However, whether the E3 functions are critical for their role in SD-specific

flowering time regulation remains to be explored. In human cells, the homologs of MAC3A and

MAC3B, PRP19, have been shown to ubiquitinate spliceosome subunits, affecting their assembly

and activities (de Moura et al., 2018; Song et al., 2010). This suggests that the E3 ubiquitin ligase

functions of MAC3A and MAC3B might be vital for regulating splicing in the flowering pathways.

Furthermore, in addition to their role as E3 ubiquitin ligases, MAC3A and MAC3B have been

reported to interact with histone modifiers such as HISTONE DEACETYLASE 15 (HDA15) (Tu

and Chen et al., 2022). Moreover, recent findings indicate that MAC3A and MAC3B associate

with cryptochromes or other proteins to form biomolecular condensates in the nucleus under

specific conditions, suggesting a potential role in regulating gene expression through epigenetic or

transcriptional mechanisms beyond RNA splicing (Li et al., 2018; Jia et al., 2023).

Materials and methods

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

All *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines utilized in this study were derived from the Columbia-0 (Col-0)

genetic background. The *mac3a* (SALK_089300), *mac3b* (SALK_050811), and the *mac3a/mac3b*

double mutants (CS69985) were as characterized by Monaghan et al. (2009). Additionally, the

spl9 mutant (*spl9-4*, SAIL_150_B05) was identified in the work of Xu et al. (2016). All mutant

lines were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC) and confirmed

through genotyping and PCR.

Seed vernalization was performed by soaking in sterilized water at 4°C for three days in darkness,

followed by sowing on the soil. For seedlings grown on plates, seeds underwent sterilization with

70% ethanol and 0.1% TRITON® X-100, then were plated on 1/2 strength Murashige and Skoog

(MS) medium (Caisson, Cat# MSP02) supplemented with 0.8% agar (Duchefa Biochemie, Cat#

P1003). Plates were kept at 4°C in the dark for three days before being moved to growth chambers.

Growth conditions for plants, whether in soil or on plates, included long-day (LD) conditions (16

hours of light/8 hours of dark) or short-day (SD) conditions (8 hours of light/16 hours of dark),

maintained at 22°C with light intensities ranging from 85 to 120 $\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$.

Flowering time was quantitatively assessed using two metrics: the date of flowering, when the
inflorescence stem reached a length of 1 cm, and the total leaf count, recorded once the
inflorescence stem achieved a length of 7 cm. Each experimental replicate comprised 15-20 plants
per genetic line.
498
Reverse Transcription Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)
To quantify RNA expression, *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings grown for 10 days under LD
conditions and 14 days under SD conditions were harvested. The harvested seedlings were flash-
frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into a fine powder using a ball grinder (Retsch, MM400).
Total RNA was then extracted from the tissue powder using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Cat#
15596-018) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNase treatment (Promega, Cat# M6101)
was applied to the extracted RNA samples to eliminate potential DNA contamination. Finally, the
RNA concentrations and 260/280 ratios were measured with NanoDrop One (ThermoFisher)
before frozen storage of the RNA samples.
508
For reverse transcription, 1000 ng of DNase-treated RNA was used in a 20 µL reaction employing
oligo-dT primers and random hexamers according to the protocol provided by Takara (Cat#
RR014B). The relative expression levels of the target genes were assessed via qPCR. For this, 4
µL of 10-fold diluted cDNA was combined with 5 µL of iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad,
Cat# 170-8880) and 0.5 µL of each 10 µM forward and reverse primers, bringing the total reaction
volume to 10 µL. These qPCR reactions were conducted using the CFX Connect Real-Time
System (Bio-Rad) following a three-step PCR protocol.
516
517   This study incorporated three biological replicates for each qPCR experiment. Expression levels
518   were normalized to the internal control gene *UBQ10* (AT4G05320).
519
RNA-sequencing Analyses of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEG) and Differential
Alternative Spliced (DAS) Events
Leaves from 40-day-old Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia-0 (Col-0) and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants
grown on the soil under short-day conditions were collected at Zeitgeber time 16 (ZT16). Total
RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Cat# 74904), with subsequent

removal of genomic DNA contamination employing the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen, Cat#
79254). RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) libraries for triple replicates of both Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b*
were prepared utilizing the Illumina TruSeq toolkit (Illumina, Cat# FC-122-1001). Paired-end
reads were processed with Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) to excise undesired Illumina adaptor
sequences and poly-G repetitions.

Differential gene expression (DEG) analysis employed the STAR algorithm (Dobin et al., 2013)
for alignment to the Arabidopsis TAIR10 genome using Araport11 (201606 released) annotations.
Counts of aligned reads were generated with HTSeq (Anders et al., 2013), followed by
normalization and comparative analysis with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). Statistical significance
was assessed by p-values, with a false discovery rate (FDR) threshold set to 10% for identifying
DEGs.

For alternative splicing event detection, reads were aligned to transcript coordinates from
Araport11 using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), applying a minimum identity threshold
of 0.95. Unmapped reads were further processed with BLAT (Kent, 2002) against the TAIR10
genome, requiring an identity threshold of 0.9 and at least 8 base matches on both ends. Previously
established "Read Analysis & Comparison Kit in Java" pipeline (RackJ, http://rackj.sourceforge.net)
(Chang et al., 2022) then aggregated these mappings to identify sample-sensitive alternative
splicing events, including intron retention, exon skipping, and donor-acceptor changes, using Chi-
square tests. Events showing significant differences and fold-change among replicates were
selected, setting an FDR threshold of 5%.

The IR events were identified by the Chi-square test of reads with or without IR between Col-0
and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants, with fold change criteria of $|Log_2(Fold\ change)| > 3$. Similarly,
Alternative Donor/Acceptor sites (AltDA) events were identified by the Chi-square of the number
of reads test with or without event-supportive exons, with fold change criteria of $|Log_2(Fold$
$change)| > 1$. The statistic method used on AltDA events was applied to ES events with fold change
criteria of $|Log_2(Fold\ change)| > 0.585$. For ES events, reads were also normalized by the
expression (read depth) of exons nearby as a different comparison strategy, with fold change
criteria of $|Log_2(Fold\ change)| > 0.585$. ES events from both comparison methods were accepted

and combined as a category in this table. Out of the identified alternative splicing events, the

differentially alternative splicing events were selected by significance and fold-change among

replicates. Events showing significant differences and fold-change among replicates were selected,

setting an FDR threshold of 5%.

To identify key transcription factors (TFs) implicated in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutants, DEG lists

underwent TF enrichment analysis via FunTFBS (PlantRegMap, http://plantregmap.gao-

lab.org/tf_enrichment.php; Tian et al., 2019). Gene Ontology (GO) annotations for DEGs and DAS

genes were analyzed using the g:GOst feature on the g:Profiler website

(https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost; Raudvere et al., 2019) for enriched GO terms. This study used

the calculation method published by Storey and Tibshirani (2003) to estimate the FDR. Data

visualization was accomplished with the ggplot2 package in R (Wickham, 2016).

Generation of *FLAG-MAC3A*-overexpressing Transgenic Arabidopsis

To construct *35S::6xHis-3xFLAG-MAC3A* (or called *FLAG-MAC3A*-overexpression lines) in the

mac3a/mac3b mutant background, the *MAC3A* coding sequence was subcloned into the *pB7-HFN*

plant binary vector (Huang et al., 2016). This vector was then transformed into Agrobacterium

tumefaciens strain *GV3101*, which was used to introduce the construct into the *mac3a/mac3b*

double mutant using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Selection of homozygous T3

plants was performed by screening on 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 10 µg/mL glufosinate

ammonium (Cyrusbiosciences, Cat# 101-77182-82-2).

RNA-Immunoprecipitation Quantitative PCR (RIP-qPCR)

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) was conducted on 15-day-old *mac3a/mac3b* and MAC3A-

FLAG-overexpression *Arabidopsis* seedlings harvested at ZT12, following a modified protocol

from Terzi and Simpson (2009). Seedlings were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde under vacuum

infiltration for 20 minutes, with the reaction quenched using 200 mM glycine for an additional 5

minutes under vacuum. After four washes with ddH2O and drying, the samples were frozen in

liquid nitrogen and pulverized.

The pulverized tissue was incubated in 30 mL of buffer 1 (400 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH

8.0, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, 1× Roche cOmplete EDTA-free

Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, and 50 U/mL Invitrogen™ SUPERase•In™ RNase Inhibitor) on ice

for 30 minutes. Following filtration through miracloth and centrifugation at 3000 g for 30 minutes

at 4°C, the pellet was resuspended in buffer 2 (250 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM

MgCl$_2$, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, 1× cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor

Cocktail, and 50 U/mL SUPERase•In™ RNase Inhibitor), then centrifuged at 12000 g for 10

minutes at 4°C. The subsequent pellet was suspended in buffer 3 (1.7 M sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl

pH 8.0, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, 1× cOmplete EDTA-free Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail, and 50 U/mL SUPERase•In™ RNase Inhibitor), centrifuged at 16000 g for 20

minutes at 4°C, and the resulting nuclei pellets were resuspended in buffer 4 (50 mM Tris-HCl pH

8.0, 10 mM EDTA pH8.0, 1% SDS, 1 mM PMSF, 1× cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor

Cocktail, and 160 U/mL SUPERase•In™ RNase Inhibitor) for 10 minutes on ice. Nucleic acids

were fragmented using a Branson Digital Sonifier SFX 250, with a cycle of 15 minutes on and 1

minute off, repeated 20 times (totaling 5 minutes), followed by centrifugation at 15000 g for 10

minutes at 4°C.

The nuclear extract was then diluted with RIP dilution buffer (16.7 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1.2 mM

EDTA pH8.0, 167 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 1× cOmplete EDTA-free Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail, and 160 U/mL SUPERase•In™ RNase Inhibitor) and incubated with anti-

FLAG® antibody magnetic beads (Merck, Cat# M8823) overnight at 4°C with gentle rotation.

Beads were washed four times in RIP wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA pH8.0,

300 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.1 % SDS, 1 mM PMSF, 1× cOmplete EDTA-free Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail, and 40 U/mL SUPERase•In™ RNase Inhibitor) and treated with proteinase K

(Sigma, Cat# p2308). RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Cat# 15596-018), and

residual genomic DNA was removed with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega, Cat# M6101).

Protein Extraction and Immunoblot

Protein was extracted from *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings, previously ground to a powder using

a freezer mill (Retsch, MM400) in liquid nitrogen. The extraction buffer contained 4 M Urea, 100

mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 5% SDS, 15% Glycerol, supplemented with 0.4% protease inhibitor

cocktail (Roche, Cat# 04693132001), 0.5% β-mercaptoethanol (PanReac AppliChem, Cat#

A11080100), and 0.2% bromophenol blue dye (Sigma, Cat# 62625289). Samples were

subsequently boiled for 5 minutes at 105°C, followed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 5 minutes

to collect the supernatant. The clarified protein extracts were either analyzed immediately or stored

at -80°C.

For immunoblot analysis, around 5 μg of the protein samples were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE

for separation. Proteins were then transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Cat# IPVH00010)

at 4°C. The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBST buffer (20 mM Tris-base,

150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by overnight incubation

at 4°C with a 1:5000 dilution of anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma, Cat# F1804) in the blocking solution.

After washing thrice with TBST, the membranes were incubated with an HRP-conjugated

secondary antibody (Promega, Cat# W4021, 1:10,000 dilution) in blocking solution, washed three

times in TBST, and developed using Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad, Cat# 1705061) for

imaging on a 5200 Chemiluminescent Imaging System (Tanon). This procedure was

independently replicated with three biological samples.

Promoter Analysis and Identification of Transcription Factors

Promoter sequences for *MAC3A* (-1487 to +266) and *MAC3B* (-1468 to +224) were retrieved from

the TAIR10 genome, with transcription start sites determined according to Araport11 annotations

(June 2016 release). These sequences were analyzed using the PlantPAN 4.0 Promoter Analysis

tool (http://plantpan.itps.ncku.edu.tw/plantpan4; Chow et al., 2019), opting for a comparison

against the PlantPAN 3.0 database. The analysis yielded predicted motifs within the promoter

regions and identified associated transcription factors.

To pinpoint TFs potentially governing the photoperiod-specific expression of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*,

we accessed expression data for candidate TFs under long and short days at various times of the

day from the Photo-Graph database (https://gendron-lab.shinyapps.io/PhotoGraph/; Leung et al.,

2023). This expression data was juxtaposed against the relative expression patterns of *MAC3A* and

MAC3B under similar conditions, as quantified by RT-qPCR (Figures 7A and 7B). The correlation

between the expressions of the predictive TFs and *MAC3A/MAC3B* was assessed by Pearson's

correlation coefficient (r), with a significance threshold set at $p < 0.05$. Furthermore, these
candidate TFs were cross-referenced with known flowering-related genes. Candidates fitting all
criteria were selected for subsequent validation.

Yeast One-Hybrid Assays
The promoter regions of *MAC3A* (-1487 to +266) and *MAC3B* (-1487 to +266) were cloned into
pLacZi-GW reporter vectors, which incorporate a Gateway cassette between the *SmaI* and *XhoI*
sites of the original *pLacZi* vectors (Clontech). Concurrently, the coding sequence of the *SPL9*
transcription factor, obtained from the ABRC in the form of *pENTR-D-TOPO-SPL9* (Pruneda-Paz,
2014), was recombined into *pGADT7-GW* using the Gateway LR reaction following the protocol
provided by Invitrogen (Cat# 11791019).

Yeast strain *YM4271* was transformed with linearized DNA-bait vectors: *pLacZi*,
pMAC3Apro::LacZi, or *pMAC3Bpro::LacZi*, each digested with *ApaI*. Simultaneously, the *Y187*
yeast strain was transformed with the SPL9 TF-prey vector (*pGADT7-SPL9*) or the negative
control (*pGADT7-GW*). Transformants were selected on the uracil-dropout medium for bait strains
and leucine-dropout medium for prey strains, respectively. Diploids were generated through yeast
mating in the YPDA medium and selected on synthetic dropout (-Met-Leu-Ura) medium.

Single colonies representing each prey-bait combination were cultivated in a liquid medium.
Cultures were normalized to the same cell density (OD600= 0.3), spotted onto agar plates, and
allowed to grow. To assay β-galactosidase activity, a sterile filter paper was applied to the colony
spots for replicates of colonies. The filter paper was then subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles in
liquid nitrogen to lyse the yeast cells. β-Galactosidase activity was detected by immersing the filter
paper in X-gal solution (16.6 µl of 20 mg/mL X-gal stock, 6.7µL of β-mercaptoethanol, 1mL of
Z-buffer [60 mM Na_2HPO_4, 60 mM NaH_2PO_4, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, pH 7.0]) and
incubating at 37°C until blue color development, indicative of TF-prey binding to DNA-baits. This
procedure is adapted from Fuxman Bass et al. (2016).

Transient Expression of GFP-rSPL9 in Arabidopsis with Agrobacterium-mediated
enhanced seedling transformation (AGROBEST)

To engineer a miRNA156-resistant version of *SPL9* (*rSPL9*), synonymous substitutions (744-764

base in the coding sequence, 5'-CGCCTTAAGCTTGTTAAGC-3') were introduced into *SPL9*'s

coding sequence via site-directed mutagenesis using the overlapping-PCR technique (Wang et al.,

2008). The modified PCR product, lacking the stop codon, was cloned into the *pENTR-D-TOPO*

vector (Invitrogen, Cat# K240020). For plant expression, the *rSPL9* was then transferred into

pEarleyGate103 (Earley et al., 2006), yielding *pEG103-rSPL9* for GFP-tagged *rSPL9* expression.

For *rSPL9-GFP* overexpression in Arabidopsis, the AGROBEST method (Wu et al., 2014) was

employed. Briefly, constructs of *pEG103-rSPL9* (*rSPL9-GFP*) and *pB7-HFN-GFP* (*35S::6xHis-*

3xFLAG-GFP) were transformed into Agrobacterium strain *C58C1*. Cultures were grown in LB

broth with 12.5 μM Rifamycin and 50 μM Kanamycin (for *pEG103-rSPL9*) or 100 μM

Spectinomycin (for *pB7-HFN-GFP*) and then induced with 200 μM acetosyringone (Sigma, Cat#

D134406) in AB-MES buffer (17.2 mM K_2HPO_4, 8.3 mM NaH_2PO_4, 18.7 mM NH_4Cl, 2 mM KCl,

1.25 mM $MgSO_4$, 100 μM $CaCl_2$, 10 μM $FeSO_4$, 50 mM MES, 2% glucose (w/v), pH 5.5) to a

final OD600 of 0.6-1.0. Post-induction, Agrobacteria were diluted to OD600= 0.04 in fresh AB-

MES buffer with 200 μM acetosyringone for plant infection.

For Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays, 4-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings grown under

SD conditions were co-cultured with an equal volume of Agrobacterium suspension and 1/2MS

medium in the SD white-light growth chamber. After two days, seedlings were rinsed with 1/2MS

with 10 μM Timentin (Gold Biotechnology, Cat# T104-2), transferred into a fresh 1/2MS medium,

and then left for another day to optimize the expression. Protein expression of rSPL9-GFP was

verified by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using an anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, Cat# ab290,

1:10,000 dilution) and HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Promega, Cat# W4011, 1:4,000

dilution).

To assess the impact on *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* transcript levels, 11-day-old seedlings underwent a

similar process, with an additional step of vacuum infiltration to ensure effective agrobacteria

penetration.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

The ChIP assay was conducted with modifications to the protocol established by Gendrel et al.
(2005). Initially, 2 g of Arabidopsis seedlings underwent cross-linking to preserve protein-DNA
interactions. To improve nucleus extraction efficiency, samples were incubated on ice for 30
minutes in nucleus extraction buffer 1. Following extraction, chromatin was fragmented to an
optimal size using a Branson Ultrasonics™ Sonifier™ (SFX250) set at 12% power for 5 minutes.
For the immunoprecipitation (IP) step, the anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, Cat# ab290) targeted the
rSPL9-GFP fusion protein, and Protein G mag Sepharose (Cytiva, Cat# 28967070) was employed
to isolate the immunocomplexes.

After reverse cross-linking, protease K (Sigma, Cat# P2308) treatment was applied to digest
proteins, facilitating the recovery of DNA. DNA extraction was performed using a Phenol-
Chloroform-Isoamyl alcohol mixture (Sigma, Cat# 77617), and the DNA was resuspended in 200
μL of ddH2O. qPCR analysis was then used to assess the enrichment of the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*
promoters, utilizing specific primers targeting regions with and without predicted SPL9 binding
motifs. As a negative control, primers amplifying the *ACTIN2* region were included.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for experiments was performed using the software Graphpad Prism 10.
Student's t-test, one-way ANOVA (non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test), or two-way ANOVA
were adopted. The P values of post-hoc tests used are individually indicated in the figures. For
one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA, P values were used to indicate significant differences
between multiple groups with Dunn's or Šídák's post-hoc statistical test, respectively (ns, no
significant difference; *, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; ***, P< 0.001). Each experiment was performed
with at least three biological replicates. The results are presented as mean values ± standard error
of the mean (SE).

Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in The Arabidopsis Information Resource under the
following accession numbers: *MAC3A* (AT1G04510), *MAC3B* (AT2G33340), *CO* (AT5G15840),
FT (AT1G65480), *SOC1* (AT2G45660), *FLC* (AT5G10140), *SVP* (AT2G22540), *FLM*
(AT1G77080), *AGL19* (AT4G22950), *SPL9* (AT2G42200), *ACT2* (AT3G18780), and *UBQ10*

(AT4G05320). The sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited into GEO with a
code: GSE 261591.

Acknowledgments
We thank Dr. Shu-Hsing Wu, Dr. Huang-Lung Tsai, Dr. Ming-Jung Liu, and Dr. Mei-Chun Cheng
for valuable discussion. We also thank Shu-Jen Chou in the Genomic Technology Core Laboratory
and Wen-Dar Lin at the Bioinformatics Core Laboratory of the Institute of Plant and Microbial
Biology, Academia Sinica, for technical assistance.

Author Contributions
The study was conceptualized, and the manuscript was written by C.M.L., C.Y.T., and Y.W.H..
Y.W.H., C.Y.T., and Y.T.T. designed and executed the experiments. S.L.T., H.Y.H., and C.Y.T.
analyzed the RNA-sequencing data. Y.S.W., Y.Z.C., and Y.T.L. prepared the materials and
conducted the experiments.

Funding
C.M.L.: NSTC-110-2311-B-002-025-, NSTC-111-2311-B-002-025-, NSTC-112-2311-B-002-
025-, NTU-CC-110L893604, NTU-CC-111L893004, and NTU-CC-112L891804
S.L.T.: NSTC-111-2311-B-001-004-, NSTC-112-2311-B-001-004-
C.Y.T.: NSTC-112-2813-C-002-208-B, PhD fellowship from NSTC and NTU
Y.T.T.: NTU-CC-111L4000, NTU-CC-112L4000, NTU-CC-113L4000
Y.S.W.: MOE Industry-Academia Cooperative PhD fellowship

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Addo-Quaye C, Eshoo TW, Bartel DP, Axtell MJ. (2008) Endogenous siRNA and miRNA
targets identified by sequencing of the Arabidopsis degradome. Curr Biol. **18(10):**758-762.

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. (2015) HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. **31(2):**166-9.

Bao S, Hua C, Huang G, Cheng P, Gong X, Shen L, Yu H. (2019) Molecular Basis of Natural
Variation in Photoperiodic Flowering Responses. Dev. Cell **50(1):**90-101.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. **30(15):**2114-20.

Bouché F, Lobet G, Tocquin P, Périlleux C. (2016) FLOR-ID: an interactive database of
flowering-time gene networks in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nucleic Acids Res. **44(D1):**D1167-
71.

Castillejo C, Pelaz S. (2008) The balance between CONSTANS and TEMPRANILLO activities
determines FT expression to trigger flowering. Curr. Biol. **18(17):**1338-43.

Cao S, Kumimoto RW, Gnesutta N, Calogero AM, Mantovani R, Holt BF. (2014) A distal
CCAAT/NUCLEAR FACTOR Y complex promotes chromatin looping at the
FLOWERING LOCUS T promoter and regulates the timing of flowering in Arabidopsis.
Plant Cell. **26(3):**1009-17.

Chang P, Hsieh HY, Tu SL. (2002) The U1 snRNP component RBP45d regulates temperature-
responsive flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. **34(2):**834-851.

Chen X. (2004) A microRNA as a translational repressor of APETALA2 in Arabidopsis flower
development. Science. **303(5666):**2022-25.

Chèneby J, Ménétrier Z, Mestdagh M, Rosnet T, Douida A, Rhalloussi W, Bergon A, Lopez
F, Ballester B. (2020) ReMap 2020: a database of regulatory regions from an integrative
analysis of Human and Arabidopsis DNA-binding sequencing experiments. Nucleic Acids
Res. **48(D1):**D180-8.

Cho LH, Yoon J, An G. (2017) The control of flowering time by environmental factors. Plant J.
90(4):708-719.

Chow CN, Lee TY, Hung YC, Li GZ, Tseng KC, Liu YH, Kuo PL, Zheng HQ, Chang WC.
(2019) PlantPAN3.0: a new and updated resource for reconstructing transcriptional regulatory
networks from ChIP-seq experiments in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. **47(D1):**D1155-63.

Clough SJ, Bent AF. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated
transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. **16(6):**735-43.

Corbesier L, Vincent C, Jang S, Fornara F, Fan Q, Searle I, Giakountis A, Farrona S, Gissot
L, Turnbull C, Coupland G. (2007) FT protein movement contributes to long-distance
signaling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. Science. **316(5827):**1030-33.

de Lucas M, Davière JM, Rodríguez-Falcón M, Pontin M, Iglesias-Pedraz JM, Lorrain S,
Fankhauser C, Blázquez MA, Titarenko E, Prat S. (2008) A molecular framework for
light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. Nature. **451(7177):**480-4.

de Moura TR, Mozaffari-Jovin S, Szabó CZK, Schmitzová J, Dybkov O, Cretu C, Kachala
M, Svergun D, Urlaub H, Lührmann R, Pena V. (2018) Prp19/Pso4 Is an Autoinhibited
Ubiquitin Ligase Activated by Stepwise Assembly of Three Splicing Factors. Mol Cell.
69(6):979-92.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,
Gingeras TR. (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. **29(1):**15-
21.

Earley KW, Haag JR, Pontes O, Opper K, Juehne T, Song K, Pikaard CS. (2006) Gateway-
compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and proteomics. Plant J. **45(4):**616-29.

Eriksson S, Böhlenius H, Moritz T, Nilsson O. (2006) GA4 is the active gibberellin in the
regulation of LEAFY transcription and Arabidopsis floral initiation. Plant Cell. **18(9):**2172-
2181

Ezer D, Jung JH, Lan H, Biswas S, Gregoire L, Box MS, Charoensawan V, Cortijo S, Lai X,
Stöckle D, Zubieta C, Jaeger KE, Wigge PA. (2017) The evening complex coordinates
environmental and endogenous signals in Arabidopsis. Nat. Plants. **3:**17087.

Feke A, Liu W, Hong J, Li MW, Lee CM, Zhou EK, Gendron JM. (2019) Decoys provide a
scalable platform for the identification of plant E3 ubiquitin ligases that regulate circadian
function. Elife. **8:**e44558.

Feke AM, Hong J, Liu W, Gendron JM. (2020) A Decoy Library Uncovers U-Box E3 Ubiquitin
Ligases That Regulate Flowering Time in Arabidopsis. Genetics. **215(3):**699-712.

Fernández V, Takahashi Y, Le Gourrierec J, Coupland G. (2016) Photoperiodic and
thermosensory pathways interact through CONSTANS to promote flowering at high
temperature under short days. Plant J. **86(5):**426-40.

Fornara F, de Montaigu A, Sánchez-Villarreal A, Takahashi Y, Ver Loren van Themaat E,
Huettel B, Davis SJ, Coupland G. (2015) The GI-CDF module of Arabidopsis affects
freezing tolerance and growth as well as flowering. Plant J. **81(5):**695-706.

Fowler S, Lee K, Onouchi H, Samach A, Richardson K, Morris B, Coupland G, Putterill J.
(1999) GIGANTEA: a circadian clock-controlled gene that regulates photoperiodic flowering
in Arabidopsis and encodes a protein with several possible membrane-spanning domains.
EMBO J. **18(17):**4679-88.

Fuxman Bass JI, Reece-Hoyes JS, Walhout AJ. (2016) Colony Lift Colorimetric Assay for β-
Galactosidase Activity. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. **2016(12):**pdb.prot088963.

Galvão VC, Collani S, Horrer D, Schmid M. (2015) Gibberellic acid signaling is required for
ambient temperature-mediated induction of flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J.
84(5):949-62.

Gendrel AV, Lippman Z, Martienssen R, Colot V. (2005) Profiling histone modification
patterns in plants using genomic tiling microarrays. Nat Methods. **2(3):**213-8.

Gendron JM, Leung CC, Liu W. (2021) Energy as a seasonal signal for growth and reproduction.
Curr Opin Plant Biol. **63:**102092.

Gil KE, Park MJ, Lee HJ, Park YJ, Han SH, Kwon YJ, Seo PJ, Jung JH, Park CM. (2017)
Alternative splicing provides a proactive mechanism for the diurnal CONSTANS dynamics
in Arabidopsis photoperiodic flowering. Plant J. **89:**128-40.

Gu X, Le C, Wang Y, Li Z, Jiang D, Wang Y, He Y. (2013) Arabidopsis FLC clade members
form flowering-repressor complexes coordinating responses to endogenous and
environmental cues. Nat Commun. **4:**1947.

Guo X, Zhang X, Jiang S, Qiao X, Meng B, Wang X, Wang Y, Yang K, Zhang Y, Li N, Chen
T, Kang Y, Yao M, Zhang X, Wang X, Zhang E, Li J, Yan D, Hu Z, Botella JR, Song
CP, Li Y, Guo S. (2024) E3 ligases MAC3A and MAC3B ubiquitinate UBIQUITIN-
SPECIFIC PROTEASE14 to regulate organ size in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **194(2):**684-
97.

Huang CK, Lin WD, Wu SH. (2022) An improved repertoire of splicing variants and their
potential roles in Arabidopsis photomorphogenic development. Genome Biol. **23(1):**50.

Huang H, Alvarez S, Bindbeutel R, Shen Z, Naldrett MJ, Evans BS, Briggs SP, Hicks LM,
Kay SA, Nusinow DA. (2016) Identification of Evening Complex Associated Proteins in

Arabidopsis by Affinity Purification and Mass Spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics.
15(1):201-17.

Huijser P, Schmid M. (2011) The control of developmental phase transitions in plants.
Development. **138(19):**4117-29.

Imaizumi T, Schultz TF, Harmon FG, Ho LA, Kay SA. (2005) FKF1 F-box protein mediates
cyclic degradation of a repressor of CONSTANS in Arabidopsis. Science. **309(5732):**293-7.

Jin S, Ahn JH. (2021) Regulation of flowering time by ambient temperature: repressing the
repressors and activating the activators. New Phytol. **230(3):**938-42.

Jia M, Chen X, Shi X, Fang Y, Gu Y. (2023) Nuclear transport receptor KA120 regulates
molecular condensation of MAC3 to coordinate plant immune activation. Cell Host Microbe.
31(10):1685-1699.e7.

Jia T, Zhang B, You C, Zhang Y, Zeng L, Li S, Johnson KCM, Yu B, Li X, Chen X. (2017)
The Arabidopsis MOS4-Associated Complex Promotes MicroRNA Biogenesis and Precursor
Messenger RNA Splicing. Plant Cell. **29(10):**2626-43.

Jiang B, Zhong Z, Su J, Zhu T, Yueh T, Bragasin J, Bu V, Zhou C, Lin C, Wang X. (2023)
Co-condensation with photoexcited cryptochromes facilitates MAC3A to positively control
hypocotyl growth in Arabidopsis. Sci Adv. **9(32):**eadh4048.

Jaeger KE, Wigge PA. (2007) FT protein acts as a long-range signal in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol.
17(12):1050-4.

Kang MJ, Jin HS, Noh YS, Noh B. (2015) Repression of flowering under a noninductive
photoperiod by the HDA9-AGL19-FT module in Arabidopsis. New Phytol. **206(1):**281-94.

Kent WJ. (2002) BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res. **12(4):**656-64.

Kumar SV, Lucyshyn D, Jaeger KE, Alós E, Alvey E, Harberd NP, Wigge PA. (2012)
Transcription factor PIF4 controls the thermosensory activation of flowering. Nature.
484(7393):242-5.

Kumimoto RW, Adam L, Hymus GJ, Repetti PP, Reuber TL, Marion CM, Hempel FD,
Ratcliffe OJ. (2008) The Nuclear Factor Y subunits NF-YB2 and NF-YB3 play additive roles
in the promotion of flowering by inductive long-day photoperiods in Arabidopsis. Planta.
228(5):709-23.

Kumimoto RW, Zhang Y, Siefers N, Holt BF 3rd. (2010) NF-YC3, NF-YC4 and NF-YC9 are
required for CONSTANS-mediated, photoperiod-dependent flowering in Arabidopsis
thaliana. Plant J. **63(3):**379-91.

Kyung J, Jeon M, Lee I. (2022) Recent advances in the chromatin-based mechanism of
FLOWERING LOCUS C repression through autonomous pathway genes. Front Plant Sci.
13:964931.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
9(4):357-9.

Lee J, Lee I. (2010) Regulation and function of SOC1, a flowering pathway integrator. J Exp Bot.
61(9):2247-54.

Lee JH, Ryu HS, Chung KS, Posé D, Kim S, Schmid M, Ahn JH. (2013) Regulation of
temperature-responsive flowering by MADS-box transcription factor repressors. Science.
342(6158):628-32.

Leung CC, Tarté DA, Oliver LS, Wang Q, Gendron JM. (2023) Systematic characterization of
photoperiodic gene expression patterns reveals diverse seasonal transcriptional systems in
Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol. **21(9):**e3002283.

Li C, Gu M, Shi N, Zhang H, Yang X, Osman T, Liu Y, Wang H, Vatish M, Jackson S, Hong
Y. (2011) Mobile FT mRNA contributes to the systemic florigen signalling in floral induction.
Sci Rep. **1:**73.

Li D, Liu C, Shen L, Wu Y, Chen H, Robertson M, Helliwell CA, Ito T, Meyerowitz E, Yu
H. (2008) A repressor complex governs the integration of flowering signals in Arabidopsis.
Dev Cell. **15(1):**110-20.

Li M, An F, Li W, Ma M, Feng Y, Zhang X, Guo H. (2016) DELLA proteins interact with FLC
to repress flowering transition. J Integr Plant Biol. **58(7):**642-55.

Li S, Liu K, Zhou B, Li M, Zhang S, Zeng L, Zhang C, Yu B. (2018) MAC3A and MAC3B,
Two Core Subunits of the MOS4-Associated Complex, Positively Influence miRNA
Biogenesis. Plant Cell. **30:**481-94.

Li Y, Yang J, Shang X, Lv W, Xia C, Wang C, Feng J, Cao Y, He H, Li L, Ma L. (2019) SKIP
regulates environmental fitness and floral transition by forming two distinct complexes in
Arabidopsis. New Phytol. **224(1):**321-35**.**

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. **15(12):**550.

Mathieu J, Yant LJ, Mürdter F, Küttner F, Schmid M. (2009) Repression of flowering by the
miR172 target SMZ. PLoS Biol. **7(7):**e1000148.

Moon J, Lee H, Kim M, Lee I. (2005) Analysis of flowering pathway integrators in Arabidopsis.
Plant Cell Physiol. **46(2):**292-9.

Monaghan J, Xu F, Gao M, Zhao Q, Palma K, Long C, Chen S, Zhang Y, Li X. (2009) Two
Prp19-like U-box proteins in the MOS4-associated complex play redundant roles in plant
innate immunity. PLoS Pathog. **5:**e1000526.

Nieto C, López-Salmerón V, Davière, JM, Prat S. (2015) ELF3-PIF4 interaction regulates plant
growth independently of the evening complex. Curr. Biol. **25:**187-93.

Osnato M, Castillejo C, Matías-Hernández L, Pelaz S. (2012) TEMPRANILLO Genes Link
Photoperiod and Gibberellin Pathways to Control Flowering in Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun
3(1): 808.

Park J, Oh D-H, Dassanayake M, Nguyen KT, Ogas J, Choi G, Sun T-p (2017) Gibberellin
signaling requires chromatin remodeler PICKLE to promote vegetative growth and phase
transitions. Plant Physiol **173(2):**1463-74.

Park HY, Lee HT, Lee JH, Kim JK. (2019) Arabidopsis U2AF65 Regulates Flowering Time
and the Growth of Pollen Tubes. Front Plant Sci. **10:**569.

Park YJ, Lee JH, Kim JY, Park CM. (2019) Alternative RNA Splicing Expands the
Developmental Plasticity of Flowering Transition. Front Plant Sci. **10:**606.

Posé D, Verhage L, Ott F, Yant L, Mathieu J, Angenent GC, Immink RG, Schmid M. (2013)
Temperature-dependent regulation of flowering by antagonistic FLM variants. Nature.
503:414-7.

Pruneda-Paz JL, Breton G, Nagel DH, Kang SE, Bonaldi K, Doherty CJ, Ravelo S, Galli M,
Ecker JR, Kay SA. (2014) A genome-scale resource for the functional characterization of
Arabidopsis transcription factors. Cell Rep. **8(2):**622-32.

Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, Arak T, Adler P, Peterson H, Vilo J. (2019) g:Profiler: a
web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update).
Nucleic Acids Res. **47(W1):**W191-8.

Qi HD, Lin Y, Ren QP, Wang YY, Xiong F, Wang XL. (2019) RNA Splicing of FLC Modulates
the Transition to Flowering. Front Plant Sci. **10:**1625.

Sawa M, Nusinow DA, Kay SA, Imaizumi T. (2007) FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex formation
is required for day-length measurement in Arabidopsis. Science. **318(5848):**261-5.

Schwarz S, Grande AV, Bujdoso N, Saedler H, Huijser P. (2008) The microRNA regulated
SBP-box genes SPL9 and SPL15 control shoot maturation in Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol.
67(1-2):183-95.

Scortecci KC, Michaels SD, Amasino RM. (2001) Identification of a MADS-box gene,
FLOWERING LOCUS M, that represses flowering. Plant J. **26(2):**229-36.

Shang X, Cao Y, Ma L. (2017) Alternative Splicing in Plant Genes: A Means of Regulating the
Environmental Fitness of Plants. Int J Mol Sci. **18(2):**432.

Silva CS, Nayak A, Lai X, Hutin S, Hugouvieux V, Jung JH, López-Vidriero I, Franco-
Zorrilla JM, Panigrahi KCS, Nanao MH, Wigge PA, Zubieta C. (2020) Molecular
mechanisms of evening complex activity in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.
117(12):6901-9.

Song EJ, Werner SL, Neubauer J, Stegmeier F, Aspden J, Rio D, Harper JW, Elledge SJ,
Kirschner MW, Rape M. (2010) The Prp19 complex and the Usp4Sart3 deubiquitinating
enzyme control reversible ubiquitination at the spliceosome. Genes Dev. **24:**1434-47.

Song YH, Shim JS, Kinmonth-Schultz HA, Imaizumi T. (2015) Photoperiodic flowering, time
measurement mechanisms in leaves. Annu Rev Plant Biol. **66:**441-64.

Steffen A, Elgner M, Staiger D. (2019) Regulation of Flowering Time by the RNA-Binding
Proteins AtGRP7 and AtGRP8. Plant Cell Physiol. **60(9):**2040-2050.

Storey JD, Tibshirani R. (2003) Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. **100(16):**9440-5.

Takagi H, Hempton AK, Imaizumi T. (2023) Photoperiodic flowering in Arabidopsis:
Multilayered regulatory mechanisms of CONSTANS and the florigen FLOWERING LOCUS
T. Plant Commun. **4(3):**100552.

Teotia S, Tang G. (2015) To bloom or not to bloom: role of microRNAs in plant flowering. Mol
Plant. **8**:359-77.

Terzi LC, Simpson GG. (2009) Arabidopsis RNA immunoprecipitation. Plant J. **59(1):**163-8.

Tian F, Yang DC, Meng YQ, Jin J, Gao G. (2020) PlantRegMap: charting functional regulatory
maps in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. **48(D1):**D1104-13.

Tu YT, Chen CY, Huang YS, Chang CH, Yen MR, Hsieh JA, Chen PY, Wu K. (2022)
HISTONE DEACETYLASE 15 and MOS4-associated complex subunits 3A/3B coregulate
intron retention of ABA-responsive genes. Plant Physiol. **190(1):**882-97.

Wang BB, Brendel V. (2006) Molecular characterization and phylogeny of U2AF35 homologs
in plants. Plant Physiol. **140(2):**624-36.

Wang C, Tian Q, Hou Z, Mucha M, Aukerman M, Olsen OA. (2007) The Arabidopsis thaliana
AT PRP39-1 gene, encoding a tetratricopeptide repeat protein with similarity to the yeast pre-
mRNA processing protein PRP39, affects flowering time. Plant Cell Rep. **26(8):**1357-66.

Wang H, Wang H. (2015) The miR156/SPL Module, a Regulatory Hub and Versatile Toolbox,
Gears up Crops for Enhanced Agronomic Traits. Mol Plant. **8(5):**677-88.

Wang JW, Czech B, Weigel D. (2009) miR156-regulated SPL transcription factors define an
endogenous flowering pathway in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell. **138(4):**738-49.

Wang JW, Schwab R, Czech B, Mica E, Weigel D. (2008) Dual effects of miR156-targeted SPL
genes and CYP78A5/KLUH on plastochron length and organ size in Arabidopsis thaliana.
Plant Cell. **20(5):**1231-43.

Whittaker C, Dean C. (2017) The FLC Locus: A Platform for Discoveries in Epigenetics and
Adaptation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. **33:**555-575.

Wickham H. (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4.

Wu HY, Liu KH, Wang YC, Wu JF, Chiu WL, Chen CY, Wu SH, Sheen J, Lai EM. (2014)
AGROBEST: an efficient Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression method for versatile
gene function analyses in Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant Methods. **10:**19.

Xiao J, Li C, Xu S, Xing L, Xu Y, Chong K. (2015) JACALIN-LECTIN LIKE1 Regulates the
Nuclear Accumulation of GLYCINE-RICH RNA-BINDING PROTEIN7, Influencing the
RNA Processing of FLOWERING LOCUS C Antisense Transcripts and Flowering Time in
Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **169(3):**2102-17.

Xie Y, Liu Y, Wang H, Ma X, Wang B, Wu G, Wang H. (2017) Phytochrome-interacting factors
directly suppress MIR156 expression to enhance shade-avoidance syndrome in Arabidopsis.
Nat Commun. **8(1):**348.

Xu M, Hu T, Zhao J, Park MY, Earley KW, Wu G, Yang L, Poethig RS. (2016)
Developmental Functions of miR156-Regulated SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING
PROTEIN-LIKE (SPL) Genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet. **12(8):**e1006263.

Yu S, Galvão VC, Zhang YC, Horrer D, Zhang TQ, Hao YH, Feng YQ, Wang S, Schmid M,
Wang JW. (2012) Gibberellin regulates the Arabidopsis floral transition through miR156-
targeted SQUAMOSA promoter binding-like transcription factors. Plant Cell **24:**3320-32.

Yu Z, Qu X, Lv B, Li X, Sui J, Yu Q, Ding Z. (2024) MAC3A and MAC3B mediate **degradation**
of the transcription factor ERF13 and thus promote lateral root emergence. Plant Cell.
koae047.

Table1. The differentially alternative spliced (DAS) events in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant compared to Col-0 under SD

The number of DAS events, including intron retention (IR), exon skipping (ES), alternative donor/acceptor splicing site (AltDA), and their corresponding gene number was listed. The IR, AltDA, or ES events were identified by the Chi-square test of reads with or without IR AltDA or ES in between Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants, respectively. Out of the identified alternative splicing events, the differentially alternative splicing events were selected by significance and fold-change among replicates. The false discovery rate (FDR) of accepted p-values is limited to 5%.

	mac3a/mac3b v.s. WT			
	Events	**%**	**Genes**	**%**
total	12031	100.00	6836	100.00
IR	11876	98.71	6778	99.15
ES	150	1.24	146	2.14
AltDA	5	0.04	4	0.06

Figure 1. The flowering phenotypes of Col-0, *mac3a*, *mac3b*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants in long-day (LD) and short-day (SD)

The flowering time of Col-0, *mac3a*, *mac3b*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants grown under **(A)** LD (16h light/8h dark) or **(B)** SD (8h light/16h dark) was measured by rosette leaf number (left panel) when inflorescence reached 7 cm and bolting day (right panel) when inflorescence reached 1 cm. Data were collected from three biological replicates (n≥ 56) and analyzed using ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test: ****, p< 0.0001; ns, not significant. **(C)** The transcript levels of *FT* (left panel) and *SOC1* (right panel) of Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* seedlings in SD. The samples were harvested every 4 hours after light on (Zeitgeber time 0, ZT0), and the expression levels were measured by RT-qPCR. The relative expression levels were normalized with *UBQ10* and compared to the Col-0 at ZT0. The error bars indicated standard deviations from three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: ***, p< 0.001; *, p< 0.05.

Figure 2. The expression of *CO* and its isoforms measured by RT-qPCR

(A) The relative transcript levels of *CO* in Col-0, *mac3a*, *mac3b*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants harvested at ZT16 under LD (16h light/8h dark) and ZT8 under SD (8h light/16h dark). The samples were harvested every 4 hours since dawn (ZT0). All time points were normalized with *UBQ10* expression and compared to the Col-0 sample at ZT0. **(B)** The diurnal expression profile of *CO* in Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under SD. **(C)** The relative expression of *COα* and *COβ* in Col-0 (left panel) and *mac3a/mac3b* (right panel) in SD. **(D)** The ratios of *COα* over *COβ* in Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants in SD. **(E)** The diagram of transcript structure and the resulting protein isoforms of *COα* and *COβ*. The black rectangles are exons, and the lines connecting them are introns. The black bars are protein-coding regions. The error bars indicated standard deviations from three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 3. The differentially expressed genes (DEG) of SD-grown *mac3a/mac3b* mutant and their Gene ontology (GO) analysis

(A) The volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEG) in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under SD compared to that in Col-0. The fold changes were calculated by comparing the means of normalized reads in three biological replicates of *mac3a/mac3b* and Col-0, and the p-values were calculated by T-Test of normalized reads. The up-regulated genes were marked in brown-orange, and the down-regulated genes were marked in blue with the criteria of |log₂(fold change)|> 1.67 and 10% FDR of accepted P values. **(B)** The top-ranked gene ontology (GO) of the biological processes category for the DEG. Selected GO terms were plotted on the lollipop plot, with gene numbers for each GO term in the DEG list represented by the dot size, and the darkness of the lollipops indicated the fold enrichment. **(C)** Heat map of DEG genes involved in flowering regulation. The color represents the Z-score, calculated by the normalized gene expression reads between samples. The genes belonging to specific flowering pathways were color-coded. FDR: false discovery rate.

Figure 4. The differentially alternative spliced (DAS) events of SD-grown *mac3a/mac3b* mutant and their Gene ontology (GO) analysis

(A) The volcano plot of differentially intron retention (DIR) events between Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* identified by supporting reads ratio (|log$_2$(fold change)|> 3, FDR< 0.05). **(B)** The volcano plot of differentially exon skipping (DES) events between Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* identified by supporting reads ratio (|log$_2$(fold change)|> 0.585, FDR < 0.05). Genes related to flowering regulation, such as *PIF4*, *FLM*, and *LHY*, were labeled. **(C)** The top-ranked gene ontology (GO) of biological processes for all differential alternative spliced events. Selected GO terms were plotted on the lollipop plot, with gene numbers exhibiting in the dot size and the darkness of the lollipops for the fold enrichment. FDR: false discovery rate.

Figure 5. Identification of potential alternatively spliced targets of MAC3A and MAC3B for their flowering time regulation

(A) The Venn diagram represents the intersection of genes with differentially alternative spliced (DAS) events (Blue), the enriched transcription factors (TFs) upstream of the differentially expressed gene (DEG) (Orange), and known floral regulators (Grey). **(B)** *FLM*, *PIF4*, and *LHY* are the genes that overlap among these lists. The lollipop plot represents the numbers of DEG in the *mac3a/mac3b* potentially as downstream targets of FLM, PIF4, or LHY. The gene numbers were exhibited in the size of the dot, and the fold enrichment was shown in the darkness of the lollipops. **(C)** Heatmap of *FLM*, *PIF4*, and *LHY* DAS events found in the *mac3a/mac3b*. The color represented the Z-score among samples from respective normalized values of splicing events. The label of each row refers to the gene name, TAIR ID, and the location of the event.

Figure 6. MAC3A and MAC3B regulate *FLM* splicing

(A) The sashimi plots on *FLM* locus in Col-0 (Blue) and *mac3a/mac3b* (Orange). The height of the base represented the relative read depth, and the read number across junctions was shown between specific splicing junctions. The *FLMβ*-specific 2nd exon and *FLMδ*-specific third exon were indicated. **(B and C)** The relative expression levels of *FLMβ* and *FLMδ* were measured with RT-qPCR in Col-0 (left panel) and *mac3a/mac3b* (right panel) seedlings under SD (B) and LD (C). All time points were normalized with *UBQ10* expression and compared to the *FLMβ* expression at ZT0. Error bars represented the standard deviations of three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: *, p< 0.05; **, p< 0.01. **(D)** RNA-Immunoprecipitation followed by qPCR (RIP-qPCR) with anti-FLAG antibody in the FLAG-tagged MAC3A/*mac3amac3b* (FLAG-MAC3A) and *mac3amac3b* control. The locations of the amplicons were illustrated in the *FLM* and *UBQ10* gene structure (left panel), and the locations of the amplicons were labeled underneath the gene structure. The enrichment of immunoprecipitated RNA was calculated relative to the input RNA. The *mac3a/mac3b* mutant and the transcript of *UBQ10* were used as control and negative control, respectively. The values shown are means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed paired t-test (ns, non-significant, *P< 0.05). The other two biological replicates were included in the Supplemental Figure S4.

Figure 7. Identification of the upstream regulators mediating the photoperiodic expression of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

(A and B) The relative diurnal expression pattern of *MAC3A* (A) and *MAC3B* (B) in LD and SD were measured with RT-qPCR. The expression was normalized with *UBQ10* and compared to the expression at ZT0 in LD. Error bars showed the standard deviations of three biological replicates, and the data were statistically tested using the Kruskal-Wallis test: **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$. **(C)** The pipeline of identifying *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* upstream regulators. The TF binding motifs on the ~1.5 kb of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters were identified with the PlantPAN4 database (http://plantpan.itps.ncku.edu.tw/plantpan4, Chow et al., 2019), respectively. Then, 364 putative TFs binding to the motifs in both *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters were selected. The TFs belonging to the flowering regulators in the list were further selected through analyses of their diurnal expression correlating with *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* shown in A and B. The diurnal expression data were extracted from the Photo-Graph database (https://gendron-lab.shinyapps.io/PhotoGraph/; Leung et al., 2023). **(D)** RT-qPCR measured the expression of *SPL9* under LD and SD. The expression was normalized with *UBQ10*. The error bars are the standard deviations of the mean from three biological replicates.

Figure 8. SPL9 interacts with the promoters of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

(A) SPL9 interacts with the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters in the yeast one-hybrid assays. The yeast bearing the *pLacZi* promoter-reporter vectors and *pGADT7* vectors expressing *SPL9* were grown on synthetic dropout medium (SD-Leu-Ura-Met). The colonies were blotted on the filter paper to test the β-Galactosidase (β-Gal) activity. The blue color indicates the interaction between 1.5 kb promoter fragments of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in the *pLacZi* vectors and the transcription factors in the *pGADT7* vectors. Empty vectors (e.v.) were used as negative control. **(B)** The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays in the Arabidopsis seeding expressing *rSPL9-GFP* or *GFP* only. The locations of SPL9 predicted binding sites (SPB-box, black boxes) were illustrated in the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters (upper panel). The amplicons were designed to surround SBP-Box (greys boxes labeled with A to H) or *ACTIN2* (*ACT2*) as controls. The chromatins in the Arabidopsis seedlings expressing *rSPL9-GFP* (dark blue bars) or *GFP* (grey bars) along with Col-0 were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody, and the relative enrichments of immunoprecipitated DNA relative to input were calculated as "%input." shown as a bar graph. The fold enrichment (black dots) was calculated by dividing "%input" in SPL9-GFP with "%input" in GFP. The grey horizontal dashed line represents the fold enrichment of negative control, *ACT2*. The "ND" stands for not detectable, and the transcription start site (TSS) and the translation initiation site (TIS) were labeled. Only one replicate is presented here.

Figure 9. SPL9 activates *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expression

(A and B) The diurnal expression of *MAC3A* (left panel) and *MAC3B* (right panel) in Col-0, *spl9*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutant in SD (A) and LD (B) was measured with RT-qPCR. The samples were harvested every 4 hours after light on (ZT0). The significance of the differences between genotypes within time points was calculated from three biological replicates using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test. **(C)** Transient expression of *rSPL9-GFP* with the AGROBEST infection strategy. The rSPL9-GFP protein was detected by anti-GFP antibody in Western blotting to confirm their expression. **(D)** The expression of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in *rSPL9-GFP* over-expressed or Col-0 seedlings. The significance of the differences between the three biological repeats was tested using a T-Test. Significances were annotated by star marks (*:P< 0.05, **:P< 0.01, ***:P< 0.001, ****:P< 0.0001).

Figure 10. Proposed model of MAC3A and MAC3B mediated photoperiod signal to regulate flowering under SD

The working model of MAC3A and MAC3B repressing floral transition under SD. MAC3A and MAC3B proper the RNA splicing of *FLMβ* under SD, potentially acting with spliceosome, repressing floral transition. Under SD, SPL9 activates *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* transcription, which may enable MAC3A and MAC3B to modulate floral transition.

Supplemental Figure S1. The expression of *FLC*, *FLM*, *SVP*, and *AGL19* in SD measured by RT-qPCR

The diurnal expression levels of **(A)** *FLC*, **(B)** *FLM*, **(C)** *SVP*, and **(D)** *AGL19* in the Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutant seedlings under SD were measured by RT-qPCR. The relative expression levels were normalized with *UBQ10* and compared to Col-0 at ZT0. Error bars indicated standard deviations of three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: ***, $p< 0.001$; *, $p< 0.05$.

Supplemental Figure S2. Alternatively spliced events of *PIF4* were identified in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant

(A) The sashimi plots demonstrate the splicing events found in Col-0 (Blue) and *mac3a/mac3b* (Orange) on the *PIF4* locus. The height of the base represents the relative read depth, and the read number across junctions was shown between specific splicing junctions. Intron 3, 4, and 5 (I3R, I4R, and I5R) annotated the IR events that may happen on the *PIF4* locus. In differentially alternative splicing events, only the I3R event out of these three events passes our statistical criteria, while its annotation is "PIF4-AT2G43010-4-IR" in Figure 5. The different annotations of intron numbers were due to the procedures of the RackJ algorithm. **(B)** The predicted *PIF4* isoforms with different retained introns. PIF4 and its intron-retained form of transcripts were drawn with untranslated regions (UTR) in white blocks, coding sequence (CDS) in black blocks, spliced introns in black lines, and translation stop codon in the star above (left). On the right panel, predicted peptides encoded from specific transcripts were annotated with active phyB-binding (APB, grey box) and complete/truncated bHLH (complete/sliced white oval) domains.

Supplemental Figure S3. Diurnal protein profiles of *35S::FLAG-MAC3A* in LD and SD

(A) The representative diurnal protein levels of *FLAG-MAC3A* fusions driven by the *CaMV 35S* promoter in the *mac3a* (*35S::MAC3A/mac3a*) or *mac3a/mac3b* (*35S::MAC3A/mac3amac3b*) Arabidopsis mutant in LD and SD. The 10-day-old seedlings in LD and 14-day-old seedlings in SD were harvested every 4 hours after light on (ZT0) for 24 hours. MAC3A proteins were immunologically detected using an anti-FLAG antibody, and actin levels were used as an internal control. **(B)** The quantification analysis of *35S::MAC3A* protein expression levels was plotted. Data were collected from three biological replicates and statistically analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test with no significant differences.

Supplemental Figure S4. The biological repeats of FLAG-MAC3A RIP-qPCR

RNA-Immunoprecipitation followed by qPCR (RIP-qPCR) with anti-FLAG antibody in the FLAG-tagged *MAC3A/mac3amac3b* (FLAG-MAC3A) and *mac3amac3b* control. The enrichment of immunoprecipitated RNA was calculated relative to the input RNA. The *mac3amac3b* mutant and the transcript of *UBQ10* were used as negative controls, respectively. The values shown are means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed paired t-test (ns, non-significant, *P< 0.05; ***P< 0.001). The representative replicates are shown in Figure 6D.

Supplemental Figure S5. The expression profiles of *CDF1*, *NF-YB3*, and *TEM1* under LD and SD on the Photo-Graph database and their correlation to *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

(A-C) The diurnal expression of (A) *CDF1*, (B) *NF-YB3*, and (C) *TEM1* under LD and SD were retrieved from the RPKM of RNA-seq data on the Photo-graph database (https://gendron-lab.shinyapps.io/PhotoGraph/; Leung et al., 2023). The dot noted the mean of normalized reads. The expression values under LD were represented by blue dots with lines and SD by orange dots with lines. The relative expression levels **(D)** The correlation between the expression pattern of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* (Figure 7A and 7B) with *SPL9*, *CDF1*, *NF-YB3*, and *TEM1* across a day under LD and SD. The correlation was calculated in Pearson's r, by gene expression of correlation pair genes under LD and SD, and the significances of Pearson's r were shown on its right side.

Table1. The differentially alternative spliced (DAS) events in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant compared to Col-0 under SD

The number of DAS events, including intron retention (IR), exon skipping (ES), alternative donor/acceptor splicing site (AltDA), and their corresponding gene number was listed. The IR, AltDA, or ES events were identified by the Chi-square test of reads with or without IR AltDA or ES in between Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants, respectively. Out of the identified alternative splicing events, the differentially alternative splicing events were selected by significance and fold-change among replicates. The false discovery rate (FDR) of accepted p-values is limited to 5%.

	mac3a/mac3b v.s. WT			
	Events	**%**	**Genes**	**%**
total	12031	100.00	6836	100.00
IR	11876	98.71	6778	99.15
ES	150	1.24	146	2.14
AltDA	5	0.04	4	0.06

Figure 1. The flowering phenotypes of Col-0, *mac3a*, *mac3b*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants in long-day (LD) and short-day (SD)

The flowering time of Col-0, *mac3a*, *mac3b*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants grown under **(A)** LD (16h light/8h dark) or **(B)** SD (8h light/16h dark) was measured by rosette leaf number (left panel) when inflorescence reached 7 cm and bolting day (right panel) when inflorescence reached 1 cm. Data were collected from three biological replicates (n≥ 56) and analyzed using ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test: ****, p< 0.0001; ns, not significant. **(C)** The transcript levels of *FT* (left panel) and *SOC1* (right panel) of Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* seedlings in SD. The samples were harvested every 4 hours after light on (Zeitgeber time 0, ZT0), and the expression levels were measured by RT-qPCR. The relative expression levels were normalized with *UBQ10* and compared to the Col-0 at ZT0. The error bars indicated standard deviations from three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: ***, p< 0.001; *, p< 0.05.

Figure 2. The expression of *CO* and its isoforms measured by RT-qPCR

(A) The relative transcript levels of *CO* in Col-0, *mac3a*, *mac3b*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants harvested at ZT16 under LD (16h light/8h dark) and ZT8 under SD (8h light/16h dark). The samples were harvested every 4 hours since dawn (ZT0). All time points were normalized with *UBQ10* expression and compared to the Col-0 sample at ZT0. **(B)** The diurnal expression profile of *CO* in Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under SD. **(C)** The relative expression of *COα* and *COβ* in Col-0 (left panel) and *mac3a/mac3b* (right panel) in SD. **(D)** The ratios of *COα* over *COβ* in Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutants in SD. **(E)** The diagram of transcript structure and the resulting protein isoforms of *COα* and *COβ*. The black rectangles are exons, and the lines connecting them are introns. The black bars are protein-coding regions. The error bars indicated standard deviations from three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: **, p< 0.01; *, p< 0.05.

Figure 3. The differentially expressed genes (DEG) of SD-grown *mac3a/mac3b* mutant and their Gene ontology (GO) analysis

(A) The volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEG) in *mac3a/mac3b* mutants under SD compared to that in Col-0. The fold changes were calculated by comparing the means of normalized reads in three biological replicates of *mac3a/mac3b* and Col-0, and the p-values were calculated by T-Test of normalized reads. The up-regulated genes were marked in brown-orange, and the down-regulated genes were marked in blue with the criteria of |log₂(fold change)|> 1.67 and 10% FDR of accepted P values. **(B)** The top-ranked gene ontology (GO) of the biological processes category for the DEG. Selected GO terms were plotted on the lollipop plot, with gene numbers for each GO term in the DEG list represented by the dot size, and the darkness of the lollipops indicated the fold enrichment. **(C)** Heat map of DEG genes involved in flowering regulation. The color represents the Z-score, calculated by the normalized gene expression reads between samples. The genes belonging to specific flowering pathways were color-coded. FDR: false discovery rate.

Figure 4. The differentially alternative spliced (DAS) events of SD-grown *mac3a/mac3b* mutant and their Gene ontology (GO) analysis

(A) The volcano plot of differentially intron retention (DIR) events between Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* identified by supporting reads ratio ($|\log_2(\text{fold change})| > 3$, FDR< 0.05). **(B)** The volcano plot of differentially exon skipping (DES) events between Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* identified by supporting reads ratio ($|\log_2(\text{fold change})| > 0.585$, FDR < 0.05). Genes related to flowering regulation, such as *PIF4*, *FLM*, and *LHY*, were labeled. **(C)** The top-ranked gene ontology (GO) of biological processes for all differential alternative spliced events. Selected GO terms were plotted on the lollipop plot, with gene numbers exhibiting in the dot size and the darkness of the lollipops for the fold enrichment. FDR: false discovery rate.

Figure 5. Identification of potential alternatively spliced targets of MAC3A and MAC3B for their flowering time regulation

(A) The Venn diagram represents the intersection of genes with differentially alternative spliced (DAS) events (Blue), the enriched transcription factors (TFs) upstream of the differentially expressed gene (DEG) (Orange), and known floral regulators (Grey). **(B)** *FLM*, *PIF4*, and *LHY* are the genes that overlap among these lists. The lollipop plot represents the numbers of DEG in the *mac3a/mac3b* potentially as downstream targets of FLM, PIF4, or LHY. The gene numbers were exhibited in the size of the dot, and the fold enrichment was shown in the darkness of the lollipops. **(C)** Heatmap of *FLM*, *PIF4*, and *LHY* DAS events found in the *mac3a/mac3b*. The color represented the Z-score among samples from respective normalized values of splicing events. The label of each row refers to the gene name, TAIR ID, and the location of the event.

Figure 6. MAC3A and MAC3B regulate *FLM* splicing

(A) The sashimi plots on *FLM* locus in Col-0 (Blue) and *mac3a/mac3b* (Orange). The height of the base represented the relative read depth, and the read number across junctions was shown between specific splicing junctions. The *FLMβ*-specific 2nd exon and *FLMδ*-specific third exon were indicated. **(B and C)** The relative expression levels of *FLMβ* and *FLMδ* were measured with RT-qPCR in Col-0 (left panel) and *mac3a/mac3b* (right panel) seedlings under SD (B) and LD (C). All time points were normalized with *UBQ10* expression and compared to the *FLMβ* expression at ZT0. Error bars represented the standard deviations of three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: *, p< 0.05; **, p< 0.01. **(D)** RNA-Immunoprecipitation followed by qPCR (RIP-qPCR) with anti-FLAG antibody in the FLAG-tagged MAC3A/*mac3amac3b* (FLAG-MAC3A) and *mac3amac3b* control. The locations of the amplicons were illustrated in the *FLM* and *UBQ10* gene structure (left panel), and the locations of the amplicons were labeled underneath the gene structure. The enrichment of immunoprecipitated RNA was calculated relative to the input RNA. The *mac3a/mac3b* mutant and the transcript of *UBQ10* were used as control and negative control, respectively. The values shown are means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed paired t-test (ns, non-significant, *P< 0.05). The other two biological replicates were included in the Supplemental Figure S4.

Figure 7. Identification of the upstream regulators mediating the photoperiodic expression of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

(A and B) The relative diurnal expression pattern of *MAC3A* (A) and *MAC3B* (B) in LD and SD were measured with RT-qPCR. The expression was normalized with *UBQ10* and compared to the expression at ZT0 in LD. Error bars showed the standard deviations of three biological replicates, and the data were statistically tested using the Kruskal-Wallis test: **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$. **(C)** The pipeline of identifying *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* upstream regulators. The TF binding motifs on the ~1.5 kb of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters were identified with the PlantPAN4 database (http://plantpan.itps.ncku.edu.tw/plantpan4, Chow et al., 2019), respectively. Then, 364 putative TFs binding to the motifs in both *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters were selected. The TFs belonging to the flowering regulators in the list were further selected through analyses of their diurnal expression correlating with *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* shown in A and B. The diurnal expression data were extracted from the Photo-Graph database (https://gendron-lab.shinyapps.io/PhotoGraph/; Leung et al., 2023). **(D)** RT-qPCR measured the expression of *SPL9* under LD and SD. The expression was normalized with *UBQ10*. The error bars are the standard deviations of the mean from three biological replicates.

Figure 8. SPL9 interacts with the promoters of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

(A) SPL9 interacts with the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters in the yeast one-hybrid assays. The yeast bearing the *pLacZi* promoter-reporter vectors and *pGADT7* vectors expressing *SPL9* were grown on synthetic dropout medium (SD-Leu-Ura-Met). The colonies were blotted on the filter paper to test the β-Galactosidase (β-Gal) activity. The blue color indicates the interaction between 1.5 kb promoter fragments of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in the *pLacZi* vectors and the transcription factors in the *pGADT7* vectors. Empty vectors (e.v.) were used as negative control. **(B)** The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays in the Arabidopsis seeding expressing *rSPL9-GFP* or *GFP* only. The locations of SPL9 predicted binding sites (SPB-box, black boxes) were illustrated in the *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* promoters (upper panel). The amplicons were designed to surround SBP-Box (greys boxes labeled with A to H) or *ACTIN2* (*ACT2*) as controls. The chromatins in the Arabidopsis seedlings expressing *rSPL9-GFP* (dark blue bars) or *GFP* (grey bars) along with Col-0 were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody, and the relative enrichments of immunoprecipitated DNA relative to input were calculated as "%input." shown as a bar graph. The fold enrichment (black dots) was calculated by dividing "%input" in SPL9-GFP with "%input" in GFP. The grey horizontal dashed line represents the fold enrichment of negative control, *ACT2*. The "ND" stands for not detectable, and the transcription start site (TSS) and the translation initiation site (TIS) were labeled. Only one replicate is presented here.

Figure 9. SPL9 activates *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* expression

(A and B) The diurnal expression of *MAC3A* (left panel) and *MAC3B* (right panel) in Col-0, *spl9*, and *mac3a/mac3b* mutant in SD (A) and LD (B) was measured with RT-qPCR. The samples were harvested every 4 hours after light on (ZT0). The significance of the differences between genotypes within time points was calculated from three biological replicates using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test. **(C)** Transient expression of *rSPL9-GFP* with the AGROBEST infection strategy. The rSPL9-GFP protein was detected by anti-GFP antibody in Western blotting to confirm their expression. **(D)** The expression of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* in *rSPL9-GFP* over-expressed or Col-0 seedlings. The significance of the differences between the three biological repeats was tested using a T-Test. Significances were annotated by star marks (*:P< 0.05, **:P< 0.01, ***:P< 0.001, ****:P< 0.0001).

Figure 10. Proposed model of MAC3A and MAC3B mediated photoperiod signal to regulate flowering under SD

The working model of MAC3A and MAC3B repressing floral transition under SD. MAC3A and MAC3B proper the RNA splicing of *FLMβ* under SD, potentially acting with spliceosome, repressing floral transition. Under SD, SPL9 activates *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* transcription, which may enable MAC3A and MAC3B to modulate floral transition.

Supplemental Figure S1. The expression of *FLC*, *FLM*, *SVP*, and *AGL19* in SD measured by RT-qPCR

The diurnal expression levels of **(A)** *FLC*, **(B)** *FLM*, **(C)** *SVP*, and **(D)** *AGL19* in the Col-0 and *mac3a/mac3b* mutant seedlings under SD were measured by RT-qPCR. The relative expression levels were normalized with *UBQ10* and compared to Col-0 at ZT0. Error bars indicated standard deviations of three biological replicates. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and the Kruskal-Wallis test: ***, $p < 0.001$; *, $p < 0.05$.

Supplemental Figure S2. Alternatively spliced events of *PIF4* were identified in the *mac3a/mac3b* mutant

(A) The sashimi plots demonstrate the splicing events found in Col-0 (Blue) and *mac3a/mac3b* (Orange) on the *PIF4* locus. The height of the base represents the relative read depth, and the read number across junctions was shown between specific splicing junctions. Intron 3, 4, and 5 (I3R, I4R, and I5R) annotated the IR events that may happen on the *PIF4* locus. In differentially alternative splicing events, only the I3R event out of these three events passes our statistical criteria, while its annotation is "PIF4-AT2G43010-4-IR" in Figure 5. The different annotations of intron numbers were due to the procedures of the RackJ algorithm. **(B)** The predicted *PIF4* isoforms with different retained introns. PIF4 and its intron-retained form of transcripts were drawn with untranslated regions (UTR) in white blocks, coding sequence (CDS) in black blocks, spliced introns in black lines, and translation stop codon in the star above (left). On the right panel, predicted peptides encoded from specific transcripts were annotated with active phyB-binding (APB, grey box) and complete/truncated bHLH (complete/sliced white oval) domains.

Supplemental Figure S3. Diurnal protein profiles of *35S::FLAG-MAC3A* in LD and SD

(A) The representative diurnal protein levels of *FLAG-MAC3A* fusions driven by the *CaMV 35S* promoter in the *mac3a* (*35S::MAC3A/mac3a*) or *mac3a/mac3b* (*35S::MAC3A/mac3amac3b*) Arabidopsis mutant in LD and SD. The 10-day-old seedlings in LD and 14-day-old seedlings in SD were harvested every 4 hours after light on (ZT0) for 24 hours. MAC3A proteins were immunologically detected using an anti-FLAG antibody, and actin levels were used as an internal control. **(B)** The quantification analysis of *35S::MAC3A* protein expression levels was plotted. Data were collected from three biological replicates and statistically analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test with no significant differences.

Supplemental Figure S4. The biological repeats of FLAG-MAC3A RIP-qPCR

RNA-Immunoprecipitation followed by qPCR (RIP-qPCR) with anti-FLAG antibody in the FLAG-tagged *MAC3A/mac3amac3b* (FLAG-MAC3A) and *mac3amac3b* control. The enrichment of immunoprecipitated RNA was calculated relative to the input RNA. The *mac3amac3b* mutant and the transcript of *UBQ10* were used as negative controls, respectively. The values shown are means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed paired t-test (ns, non-significant, *P< 0.05; ***P< 0.001). The representative replicates are shown in Figure 6D.

Supplemental Figure S5. The expression profiles of *CDF1*, *NF-YB3*, and *TEM1* under LD and SD on the Photo-Graph database and their correlation to *MAC3A* and *MAC3B*

(A-C) The diurnal expression of (A) *CDF1*, (B) *NF-YB3*, and (C) *TEM1* under LD and SD were retrieved from the RPKM of RNA-seq data on the Photo-graph database (https://gendron-lab.shinyapps.io/PhotoGraph/; Leung et al., 2023). The dot noted the mean of normalized reads. The expression values under LD were represented by blue dots with lines and SD by orange dots with lines. The relative expression levels **(D)** The correlation between the expression pattern of *MAC3A* and *MAC3B* (Figure 7A and 7B) with *SPL9*, *CDF1*, *NF-YB3*, and *TEM1* across a day under LD and SD. The correlation was calculated in Pearson's r, by gene expression of correlation pair genes under LD and SD, and the significances of Pearson's r were shown on its right side.