CPT1A Mediates Radiation Sensitivity in Colorectal Cancer

Running title: Radiation sensitivity in CRC via CPT1A

Zhenhui Chen[1, #], Lu Yu[2, #], Zhihao Zheng[2, #], Xusheng Wang[2], Qiqing Guo[2],Yuchuan Chen[3], Yaowei Zhang[2], Yuqin Zhang[2], Jianbiao Xiao[4], Keli Chen[5, *], Hongying Fan[1, *], Yi Ding[2, *]

[1]Department of Microbiology, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Research, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

[2]Department of Radiation Oncology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

[3]State Key Laboratory of Organ Failure Research, Key Laboratory of Infectious Diseases Research in South China, Ministry of Education, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Viral Hepatitis Research, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Viral Hepatitis, Department of Infectious Diseases, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

[4]Department of Pathology, Nanfang Hospital and School of Basic Medical Science, Southern Medical University

[5]HuiQiao Medical Center, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

[*] **Correspondence Author:**

Yi Ding　E-mail: dy512@smu.edu.cn

Hongying Fan E-mail: gzfhy@smu.edu.cn

Keli Chen E-mail: 16474801@qq.com

[#]**These authors have contributed equally to this work.**

Abstract

The prevalence and mortality rates of colorectal cancer (CRC) are increasing worldwide. Radiation resistance hinders radiotherapy, a standard treatment for advanced CRC, leading to local recurrence and metastasis. Elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying radioresistance in CRC is critical to enhance therapeutic efficacy and patient outcomes. Bioinformatic analysis and tumour tissue examination were conducted to investigate the *CPT1A* mRNA and protein levels in CRC and their correlation with radiotherapy efficacy. Furthermore, lentiviral overexpression and CRISPR/Cas9 lentiviral vectors, along with *in vitro* and *in vivo* radiation experiments, were used to explore the effect of CPT1A on radiosensitivity. Additionally, transcriptomic sequencing, molecular biology experiments, and bioinformatic analyses were employed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms by which CPT1A regulates radiosensitivity. CPT1A was significantly downregulated in CRC and negatively correlated with responsiveness to neoadjuvant radiotherapy. Functional studies suggested that CPT1A mediates radiosensitivity, influencing reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging and DNA damage response. Transcriptomic and molecular analyses highlighted the involvement of the peroxisomal pathway. Mechanistic exploration revealed that CPT1A downregulates the FOXM1-SOD1/SOD2/CAT axis, moderating cellular ROS levels after irradiation and enhancing radiosensitivity. CPT1A downregulation contributes to radioresistance in CRC by augmenting the FOXM1-mediated antioxidant response. Thus, CPT1A is a potential biomarker of radiosensitivity and a novel target for overcoming radioresistance, offering a future direction to enhance CRC radiotherapy.

Keywords: Colorectal cancer, CPT1A, reactive oxygen species, radiosensitivity, FOXM1

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second-highest cause of cancer-related mortality(Siegel, Wagle, Cercek, Smith, & Jemal, 2023). Radiotherapy is crucial for CRC management, especially in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer ($cT_{3-4}N_+$)(Glynne-Jones et al., 2017). Neoadjuvant therapies show clinical or pathological complete response in 16–30% of patients, realising downstaging in approximately 60% of patients, significantly enhancing local control, and facilitating curative surgery(Cercek et al., 2018). Moreover, radiotherapy is beneficial in initially unresectable and recurrent cases with limited metastasis to organs, such as the liver and lungs(Cervantes et al., 2023). Nonetheless, the effectiveness of radiotherapy is affected by radioresistance, which precipitates tumour relapse and metastasis and currently lacks an efficacious clinical resolution. Unlocking the molecular mechanisms underlying CRC radioresistance will enhance outcomes and improve patient prognoses.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are byproducts of normal cellular metabolism occurring in organelles, such as mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, and peroxisomes(Bhattacharyya, Chattopadhyay, Mitra, & Crowe, 2014). Within mitochondria, 90% of cellular ROS are generated by complexes I, II, and IV of the electron transport chain(Glasauer & Chandel, 2014). Exogenous stimuli, including radiation, can cause significant, acute elevations in ROS levels(Hecht, Zocchi, Alimohammadi, & Harris, 2024). ROS function ambivalently in the intracellular signalling and redox homoeostasis of tumour cells(Shah, Ibis, Kashyap, & Boussiotis, 2023). ROS amplify oncogenic phenotypes, such as proliferation and differentiation, hasten the accumulation of metastasis-inducing mutations, and aid tumour cell survival under hypoxic conditions(Palma et al., 2023). However, excess ROS precipitate apoptosis and other cell death types from oxidative stress(Palma et al., 2023). Thus, intracellular ROS generation is meticulously monitored and regulated by a comprehensive ROS-scavenging system encompassing antioxidants and antioxidative enzymes(Palma et al., 2023; Shah et al., 2023).

Radiation ionises water molecules, creating an intracellular surge of ROS, which

indirectly cause two thirds of DNA damage(Chio & Tuveson, 2017). Consequently,

ROS scavenging inevitably influences cancer cell radiosensitivity(Skvortsova,

Debbage, Kumar, & Skvortsov, 2015). Increased expression and activity of

antioxidative enzymes, such as peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), glutathione

peroxidase, and glutathione reductase, are correlated with radiosensitivity(Hecht et al.,

2024).

Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1) is an outer mitochondrial membrane that

catalyses the rate-limiting step of fatty acid oxidation and is absent in several

tumours(Melone et al., 2018). The CPT1 family contains three isoforms: CPT1A,

CPT1B, and CPT1C. Research on CPT1A has been detailed(Schlaepfer & Joshi,

2020). CPT1A is critical to cancer cell growth, survival, and drug resistance, making

it an attractive target(Qu, Zeng, Liu, Wang, & Deng, 2016). CPT1A also interacts

with other key pathways and factors regulating gene expression and apoptosis in

cancer cell(Qu et al., 2016). However, its role in CRC and radiotherapy resistance is

unclear.

Previously, we found that various metabolic pathways, including fatty acid

metabolism, are closely related to tumour radioresistance. Therefore, this study

mainly focused on CPT1A, which affects CRC radiosensitivity, to reveal novel

therapeutic strategies to mitigate radiotherapy resistance and improve clinical

outcomes.

Results

Low expression of CPT1A in CRC tumours

Analysis of CRC mRNA sequencing arrays from GEO consistently indicated low

CPT1A mRNA levels in CRC (Figure 1A). The transcript levels of *CPT1A* were lower

in most colon cancers (19/24 pairs) than in the adjacent non-tumour tissues (Figure

1B). Meanwhile, CPT1A protein levels were lower in most CRC tissues (14/16 pairs)

than in the adjacent non-tumour tissues (Figure 1C). Further exploration of CPT1A

expression in TCGA revealed that CPT1A mRNA levels were significantly lower in

colon adenocarcinoma (COAD) tissues than in the adjacent non-tumour tissues

(Figure 1D). Similar results were observed for rectal adenocarcinoma (READ)

(Figure 1E). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of the cancer-adjacent borders of

two patients showed that CPT1A protein levels were lower in CRC tissues than in the

nearby non-tumour tissues (Figure 1F). These findings provide comprehensive

evidence supporting the downregulation of *CPT1A* expression at both mRNA and

protein levels in CRC.

Low-CPT1A CRC exhibits radioresistance and poor overall survival

Based on the IHC scores, patients were divided into CPT1A high- and low-expression

groups (Figure 2A). Kaplan–Meier survival analysis indicated that low

CPT1A-expressing patients had low overall survival (OS) (Figure 2B). Survival

analysis of READ patients with low CPT1A using GEPIA also suggested a low OS;

the difference was statistically close but not significant (P=0.061, Figure 2C). To

further investigate the correlation between CPT1A and radiotherapy efficacy, IHC

staining and scoring for CPT1A were performed on samples from 43 patients with

rectal cancer who received neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy. Among patients with a

tumour regression grade (TRG) score of 1, which indicates a minimal number of

residual tumour cells, a high IHC score for CPT1A is often observed. Conversely,

patients with a TRG score of 2, indicating more residual tumour cells, exhibited

relatively lower IHC staining intensity and overall scores (Figure 2D). A comparison

of groups with TRG 2–3 and TRG 1 revealed significantly higher IHC scores in the

TRG 1 group (Figure 2E). The TRG and IHC scores for CPT1A showed a negative

correlation (R=-0.3430 and P=0.024) (Figure 2F). In summary, low CPT1A

expression was associated with poor OS, high TRG scores, and a high probability of

radioresistance.

Decreased CPT1A expression contributes to radiation resistance in CRC cells

Through a CFA and multi-target single-hit survival model, we found that SW480,

Caco-2, SW620, HT-29 and cells were more resistant to radiation than HCT15, RKO,

and HCT 116 cells (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A, B, Supplementary file 1).

Furthermore, the background expression levels of CPT1A in the above cells revealed

that CPT1A transcription and protein levels were higher in radiation-resistant cells

than in radiosensitive cells (Figure 3-figure supplement 1C, D).

Accordingly, we constructed stable CRC cell lines with *CPT1A*

knockout/overexpression. We transfected the CRISPR/Cas9 lentivirus into HCT 116

cells (highest CPT1A expression and radiosensitive) and used western blotting to

verify that the knockout efficiency of the second site was the highest, while mRNA

levels were significantly reduced (Figure 3A, B). We used the second knockout site

for subsequent *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments. We also transfected the

CPT1A-overexpressing lentivirus into SW480 cells (lowest CPT1A expression and

radioresistant) and verified that CPT1A protein and mRNA levels increased (Figure

3A, B).

The CFA and multi-target single-hit survival model suggested that radioresistance

increased with *CPT1A* knockout (D0=1.526 vs. 1.993, P<0.05, Figure 3C, D),

whereas the radioresistance of cells decreased with *CPT1A* overexpression (D0=2.724

vs.1.963, P<0.01, Figure 3E, F). The comet assay suggested that the proportion of

DNA in the tail of cells decreased with CPT1A knockout, indicating improved

damage repair. With *CPT1A* overexpression, the proportion of DNA in the tail

increased, indicating reduced damage repair capabilities (Figure 3G). We also

detected γ-H2A.X expression at different time points after 6 Gy irradiation; with

CPT1A knockout, γ-H2A.X disappeared from cells faster, indicating improved cell

damage repair (Figure 3H). With *CPT1A* overexpression, the disappearance rate of

γ-H2A.X in cells was slower, remaining detectable even after 24 hours, indicating

diminished cellular repair capability (Figure 3I). Collectively, our data suggest that

CPT1A radiosensitizes intrinsically radioresistant cells.

We generated radioresistant cell lines (HCT-15-25F and HCT-15-5F) from the

HCT-15 parent line by fractionated irradiation (Figure 3-figure supplement 2A).

Using CFAs and the multi-target single-hit survival model, we observed increased

radioresistance in these new cell lines, as indicated by higher D0 values than those of

the parental cells (HCT-15 D0=2.957, HCT-15-5F D0=3.240, HCT-15-25F D0=3.822)

(Figure 3-figure supplement 2B, C). We also found significantly decreased CPT1A

protein expression in HCT-15-25F and HCT-15-5F cells compared with that in the

original cells (Figure 3-figure supplement 2D). Thus, HCT-15-25F cells were selected

for further analysis. Transfection with the *CPT1A*-overexpressing lentivirus led to

stable overexpression in HCT-15-25F cells (Figure 3-figure supplement 2E). To

assess the impact of *CPT1A* overexpression on DNA repair capacity, we monitored

γ-H2A.X expression at different time points following 6 Gy irradiation. *CPT1A*

overexpression resulted in a slow disappearance of intracellular γ-H2A.X, suggesting

enhanced DNA damage repair capability (Figure 3-figure supplement 2F). CFAs and

the multitarget single-hit survival model revealed that *CPT1A* overexpression

increased the radiosensitivity of radioresistant cells (D0=2.871 vs. 2.581, P<0.05;

Figure 3-figure supplements 2G, H), indicating that CPT1A exerts a radiosensitizing

effect in inducible radioresistant cell lines.

Diminished CPT1A expression *in vivo* also leads to tumour radioresistance

To further validate the impact of CPT1A on radiation resistance *in vivo*, we

established a xenograft model using HCT116-NC and HCT116-KO cell lines in nude

mice (Figure 4A). *CPT1A*-stabilising knockout increased tumour weights in mice,

which persisted after radiotherapy (Figure 4B), suggesting that *CPT1A* knockout

promotes tumour growth and confers increased resistance to radiation. IHC staining

demonstrated a significant increase in Ki-67 staining intensity and the percentage of

positive cells in *CPT1A* knockout tumours, indicating enhanced proliferative capacity

that was further pronounced after radiotherapy (Figure 4C, D). In the absence of

CPT1A knockdown, radiotherapy reduced the percentage of Ki67-positive cells in the

xenograft tumors by 32.9% (approximately 39.6% of the pre-irradiation baseline). In

contrast, upon CPT1A knockdown, radiotherapy only led to a 14.5% reduction in the

percentage of Ki67-positive cells (approximately 15.6% of the pre-irradiation baseline;

Figure 4D). Similarly, we performed xenograft model experiments using the

SW480-RFP and SW480-OE cell lines (Figure 4E). *CPT1A* overexpression resulted

in reduced tumour weight in mice, a trend that persisted even after radiotherapy

(Figure 4F). Furthermore, as illustrated in Figure 4E and F, in the absence of CPT1A

overexpression, radiotherapy resulted in a 0.10-g decrease in tumor weight

(approximately 52.5% of the pre-irradiation weight), whereas with CPT1A

overexpression, radiotherapy induced a more pronounced 0.12-g reduction in tumor

weight (approximately 89.7% of the pre-irradiation weight). These findings suggested

that *CPT1A* overexpression inhibits tumour growth and sensitizes tumour cells to

radiation. IHC staining for Ki-67 revealed significantly decreased staining intensity

and percentage of positive cells in CPT1A-overexpressing tumours, indicating

weakened proliferative capacity, which was further accentuated after radiotherapy

(Figure 4G, H).

Low CPT1A levels accelerate post-radiation ROS scavenging

To study the mechanism of low CPT1A expression in radiotherapy resistance, we

conducted differential gene expression analysis between HCT116-KO and

HCT116-NC cells. The gene expression heatmap demonstrated high consistency

among technical replicates for both HCT 116-NC and HCT 116-KO cells (Figure

5-figure supplement 1A). With *CPT1A* knockdown, we found 363 upregulated and

1290 downregulated genes ($|\log_2(\text{fold change})|>1$ and $q<0.05$) (Figure 5-figure

supplement 3B). We conducted KEGG pathway analysis and GO annotation for all

differentially expressed genes (DEGs; Figure 5A; Figure 5-figure supplement 1 C-E),

showing that the peroxisomes, cell cycle nucleotide excision repair, and fatty acid

degradation pathways were among the enriched KEGG pathways (Figure 5A).

Peroxisomes are important organelles that maintain cellular redox balance by clearing

ROS. Therefore, we targeted peroxisomal pathways. ROS levels in the cells were

dynamically balanced, including ROS production and scavenging (Figure 5B). To

investigate the effect of CPT1A on ROS, we examined them in stable *CPT1A*

knockout/overexpression cells following 6 Gy irradiation and 1 h of incubation with

2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), a fluorescent redox probe

used to detect ROS in cells (Figure 5C). The total ROS levels in *CPT1A* knockout

cells decreased, whereas those in *CPT1A*-overexpressing cells increased (Figure 5D).

The main mechanism by which cells produce ROS under irradiation is through the

X-ray ionisation of water molecules, which far exceeds those from oxidative

phosphorylation and NOX enzymes (Figure 5B). Therefore, we speculated that the

regulation of CPT1A by intracellular ROS levels may be attributed to increased ROS

scavenging. We examined the GSH/GSSG ratio (Figure 5E, F) and SOD (Figure 5G,

H), CAT (Figure 5I), and POD enzyme activities (results were unchanged, data not

shown) in stable *CPT1A* knockout/overexpression cells; in *CPT1A* knockout cells, the

GSH/GSSG ratio and SOD and CAT enzyme activities increased, and these changes

were also observed under 6 Gy irradiation (Figure 5I). In contrast, in

CPT1A-overexpressing cells, the GSH/GSSG ratio and SOD and CAT activities

decreased, and these changes were also observed after 6 Gy irradiation (Figure 5I).

We further validated the effect of CPT1A on ROS scavenging in radioresistant cells.

Total ROS significantly reduced in radioresistant cells compared to those in HCT-15

control cells following 6 Gy irradiation (Figure 5-figure supplement 2A, C).

Furthermore, *CPT1A* overexpression increased total ROS levels in radioresistant cells

(Figure 5-figure supplement 2B, D), suggesting that CPT1A enhances ROS

accumulation in radioresistant cells. Additionally, we assessed the GSH/GSSG ratio

(Figure 5-figure supplement 2E, F) and SOD (Figure 5-figure supplement 2G, H),

POD (Figure 5-figure supplement 2I, J), and CAT enzyme activities (Figure 5-figure

supplement 2K) in radioresistant cells, both at baseline and after 6 Gy irradiation,

revealing an increased GSH/GSSG ratio and elevated activities of SOD, POD, and

CAT enzymes in radioresistant cells compared to those in parental HCT-15 control

cells. Furthermore, these changes persisted under 6 Gy irradiation.

To explore the influence of *CPT1A* overexpression on radiation resistance, we

examined the GSH/GSSG ratio (Figure 5-figure supplement 2E, F) and SOD (Figure

5-figure supplement 2G, H), POD (Figure 5-figure supplement 2I, J), and CAT

enzyme activities (Figure 5-figure supplement 2K) in HCT-15-25F-OE cells

compared to those in control cells, showing that *CPT1A* overexpression restored the

GSH/GSSG ratio and increased SOD and CAT enzyme activities but failed to restore

POD enzyme activity. Additionally, under 6 Gy irradiation, the GSH/GSSG ratio and

SOD enzyme activity were restored in CPT1A-overexpressing cells (Figure 5-figure

supplement 2E-K).

Reduced CPT1A expression mediates radioresistance in CRC through increased

expression of ROS-scavenging genes, facilitated by FOXM1

The reasons underlying the changes in SOD and CAT enzyme activities require

further investigation. We examined the transcriptional and protein levels of SOD

(SOD1, SOD2, and SOD3) and CAT (Figure 6A, B) and found that *CPT1A* knockout

in cells increased both the mRNA and protein levels of SOD1, SOD2, and CAT,

whereas the overexpression of CPT1A decreased them (Figure 6A, B). However, the

function of CPT1A as a transcription factor is hitherto unreported, suggesting a

potential regulatory mechanism mediated by other transcription factors. Thus, we

employed a bioinformatics analysis by intersecting predicted or reported transcription

factors known to regulate SOD1, SOD2, and CAT with upregulated DEGs. The Venn

diagram highlights three transcription factors that met the criteria: FOXM1, LMNB1,

and SAP30 (Figure 6C). FOXM1 transcription and protein levels were significantly

increased in *CPT1A* knockout cells but decreased when *CPT1A* was overexpressed

(Figure 6A, B). Other transcription factors showed no significant changes in protein

levels (data not shown).

Additionally, we explored the correlation between FOXM1 and the downstream

enzymes SOD1, SOD2, and CAT in READ and COAD. The results (Figure 6-figure

supplement 1A and B) indicate a positive correlation between FOXM1 and SOD1 as

well as SOD2. Furthermore, we used hTFtarget and JASPAR to predict FOXM1

binding sites in the promoters of *SOD1*, *SOD2*, and *CAT* (Table 1). Finally, a rescue

experiment was conducted by overexpressing *FOXM1* in HCT 116-NC and HCT

116-KO cells, demonstrating that downstream SOD1, SOD2, and CAT protein levels

were restored by *FOXM1* overexpression (Figure 6D). In summary, the

downregulation of *CPT1A* increases *FOXM1* mRNA and protein levels in CRC,

promoting the transcription and translation of SOD1, SOD2, and CAT, thereby

accelerating the scavenging of ROS produced after radiation exposure and ultimately

leading to radiation resistance in CRC cells (Figure 6E).

Discussion

We elucidated the role of CPT1A in CRC and the molecular mechanisms involved in

mediating radiosensitivity. CPT1A is often downregulated in CRC, and low CPT1A

expression can worsen OS and increase the probability of radiochemotherapy

resistance. Low CPT1A expression increases FOXM1 activity, promoting the

transcription and translation of downstream SOD1, SOD2, and CAT, thereby

facilitating the scavenging of radiation-induced ROS. Our study establishes CPT1A as

an effective biomarker to predict CRC prognosis and radiotherapy sensitivity and

proposes the molecular mechanism by which it mediates radiosensitivity through the

FOXM1-SOD1/SOD2/CAT axis.

CPT1A is crucial to CRC initiation and progression (Mazzarelli et al., 2007).

However, its role in CRC remains unclear. Using a weighted gene co-expression

network analysis to explore hub genes in CRC development, we found that CPT1A is

expressed at low levels in CRC and acts as a central anticancer gene, exhibiting

excellent prognostic value(K. Wang et al., 2023). Sinomenine improves

colitis-associated cancer by upregulating CPT1A(J. Zhang, Huang, Dai, & Xia, 2022).

In contrast, high CPT1A expression is associated with malignancy in CRC, and its

inhibition ameliorates malignant phenotypes. CPT1A-mediated fatty acid oxidation

promotes CRC metastasis(Y. N. Wang et al., 2018). DHP-B, a CPT1A inhibitor,

disrupts CPT1A-VDAC1 interaction in the mitochondria, increasing mitochondrial

permeability and reducing oxygen consumption and energy metabolism in CRC

cells(Hu et al., 2023). We observed significant downregulation of CPT1A expression

in CRC, and low CPT1A expression was associated with worse prognosis and greater

radiochemotherapy resistance, contrary to previous reports. This discrepancy may be

related to the inherent heterogeneity of tumor tissue and differences in tumor stage.

The PGC1α/CEBPB/CPT1A axis, which enhances lipid β-oxidation, increases ATP

and NADPH levels and promotes cellular radiation resistance in nasopharyngeal

carcinoma(Tan et al., 2018). Tan et al. also discovered an interaction between CPT1A

and Rab14, which transports fatty acids into the mitochondria, thereby facilitating

lipid oxidation and cell survival under irradiation(Du et al., 2019). The

HER1/2-MEK-ERK1/2-CPT1A/CPT2 axis reportedly enhances cell proliferation and

confers radiation resistance in breast cancer(Han et al., 2019). However, no studies

have investigated the association between CPT1A and radiosensitivity in CRC. Our

research revealed that CPT1A is a radiation sensitivity gene, contradicting previous

literature, possibly due to differences in cancer types.

Transcriptomic sequencing revealed that CPT1A regulates multiple pathways,

including the peroxisomal pathway, which is responsible for ROS scavenging. *CPT1A*

knockdown upregulated FOXM1, which, in turn, stimulated the transcription and

translation of crucial antioxidative enzymes, SOD1, SOD2, and CAT, thereby

expediting ROS clearance. This contradicts previous findings that *CPT1A*

overexpression accelerates ROS production by increasing fatty acid β-oxidation,

thereby promoting ageing phenotypes or augmenting cancer cells' oxidative

defences(Jiang et al., 2022; Joshi et al., 2020; Luo, Sun, Wang, Zhang, & Wang,

2021). Our findings diverge from those of other studies for two main reasons: first,

previous research into the effects of CPT1A on ROS largely centred around

mitochondria rather than the peroxisome; second, previous studies did not account for

radiation, which significantly increases ROS production above cellular oxidative

processes.

Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) is a critical transcription factor for many cellular

processes (Kalathil, John, & Nair, 2020). Besides benefitting normal cell functions, it

also regulates cancer processes, including growth, metastasis, and recurrence

(Alimardan et al., 2023; Khan, Khan, Ahmad, Fatima, & Nasser, 2023). FOXM1 also

affects radiotherapy outcomes in many cancer types, including colorectal cancer

(Kwon et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022; N. Liu et al., 2019; Pal et al., 2018; Takeshita et al.,

2023; Xiu, Sui, Wang, & Zhang, 2018). In this study, we identified FOXM1 as a key

regulator connecting CPT1A to ROS scavenging in CRC, exhibiting an inverse

correlation with both CPT1A and ROS levels. FOXM1 is an essential transcription

factor in intracellular redox, specifically in regulating the redox state of malignant

mesothelioma cells(Cunniff, Wozniak, Sweeney, DeCosta, & Heintz, 2014).

FOXM1-dependent fatty acid oxidation-mediated ROS modulation is a cell-intrinsic

drug resistance mechanism in cancer stem cells(Choi et al., 2020). *FOXM1*

knockdown increases intracellular ROS levels and decreases the transcription levels

of SOD2, CAT, PRDX, and GPX2(Smirnov et al., 2016). Overall, our findings align

with existing literature, highlighting the crucial role of FOXM1 in orchestrating the

interplay between CPT1A and ROS homoeostasis. We further revealed that FOXM1

participates in the transcriptional regulation of SOD1.

Our study has some limitations. We only conducted radiosensitivity investigations of

CPT1A in nude mice, which only demonstrated its regulatory role in CRC cell

radiosensitivity. It remains unclear whether CPT1A can regulate the radiosensitivity

of the entire tumour microenvironment in immunocompetent mice. The cell lines used

in our study have different genetic backgrounds; specifically, HCT116 is

microsatellite instable, whereas SW480 is not. Additionally, FOXM1 primarily

localises to the nucleus, whereas CPT1A is a cytoplasmic protein; there is no known

physiological basis for their co-localisation. Therefore, the specific mechanism

through which CPT1A regulates FOXM1 expression requires further investigation.

The reasons for the decreased expression of CPT1A in tumour cells remain unclear.

Future studies should explore this in greater detail.

Materials and methods

Reagents and materials

The reagent suppliers are indicated in Table 2.

Bioinformatic analyses

Raw mRNA expression profiles and clinical features from GSE9348, GSE20916,

GSE37364, GSE44076, GSE68468, and GSE110223 were downloaded from GEO

(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The *CPT1A* mRNA expression in cancer and

paired normal tissues was analysed using ualcan (ualcan.path.uab.edu/)

(Chandrashekar et al., 2022). Correlation analyses between genes were conducted

using GEPIA2 (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/) (Tang, Kang, Li, Chen, & Zhang, 2019).

Transcription factor prediction and promoter binding site analysis for SOD1, SOD2,

and CAT were conducted using the hTF target database

(http://bioinfo.life.hust.edu.cn/hTFtarget#) (Q. Zhang et al., 2020). Additionally,

JASPAR (http://jaspar.genereg.net/) was used to predict the binding sites of

FOXM1(Rauluseviciute et al., 2024).

Human

Human samples were collected from the Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical

University, Guangzhou, for colorectal cancer patients with or without

radiochemotherapy with age over 18 and below 75 y. Two to four biopsies from each

patient were collected to be used for qRT-PCR, western blotting, and sectioning.

Informed consent forms were acquired from all the patients. Ethics approval for the

use of human samples was obtained from Ethics Committee of Nanfang Hospital,

Southern Medical University (No. NFEC-202304-K13).

395   RNA isolation and qRT-PCR

A total of 48 rectal adenocarcinoma samples and their paired normal tissues were used

for RNA isolation and qRT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol following

the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was generated using the Evo M-MLV RT Mix

Kit. mRNA expression was analysed using the SYBR Green Premix Pro Taq HS

qPCR Kit on a QuantStudio6 Real-time PCR system, and β-actin was used for

normalisation. Data were analysed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. The PCR primers are

listed in Supplementary file 2.

403   Protein extraction and western blotting

Proteins of rectal adenocarcinoma and paired normal tissues were extracted from

tissues and cells using a protein extraction kit, according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Briefly, Nanodrop was used to determine the protein concentration. The

proteins were mixed in a 4:1 ratio with 5×loading buffer and denatured at 100 °C in a

water bath for 5 min. Subsequently, 20 µg of proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE at

95 V. Subsequently, proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes at a constant

current. The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA and incubated with the

corresponding primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. Subsequently, the membrane was

incubated with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:2000 dilution), and protein

levels were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence.

414   Immunohistochemistry

All colon cancer (n=76) and rectal adenocarcinoma tissues (n=45) were collected

before treatment and sectioned at 4 µm. Clinicopathological features of the patients

were provided by the Department of Pathology (Table 3). Staining intensity was

independently evaluated by two senior pathologists. The staining intensity was scored

on a 4-point scale, where 0 represented no positive staining (negative), 1 represented

light yellow (weak positive), 2 represented brownish yellow (positive), and 3

represented brown (strong positive) staining. The percentage of positive cells was also

scored on a 4-point scale, where 1 point was assigned for ≤ 25% positive cells, 2

points for 26–50% positive cells, 3 points for 51–75% positive cells, and 4 points for >

75% positive cells. The IHC score was calculated by multiplying the staining intensity

score with the positive cell percentage score. Patients with an IHC score ≥ 6 were

classified as the CPT1A-high group.

Cell culture and lentiviral infection

The CRC cell lines HCT-15, RKO, HCT 116, HT-29, Caco-2, SW480, and SW620

were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). All cell lines were authenticated

with STR profiling, using cell line authentication services offered by ATCC, to avoid

misidentification and tested negative for mycoplasma contamination. All cell lines

were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were

cultured at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. To establish radiation-resistant strains, HCT-15-25F

and HCT-15-5F cells were generated using both conventional fractionated irradiation

(2 Gy/fraction, 25 fractions, 5 fractions/week over 5 weeks) and large-fractionated

irradiation (5 Gy/fraction, 5 fractions, for 1 week).

The full-length lentiviral expression of *CPT1A* with puromycin was constructed by

VectorBuilder. *CPT1A*-targeting CRISPR/Cas9 lentiviral vectors (hCpt1a[gRNA#1]:

AAATCTCTAC**TACACGGCCGATGTTACGAC**AGGTACCGTCCTT,

hCpt1a[gRNA#2]:

AGAAGGTAAG**GACGGTACCTGTCGTAACAT**CGGCCGTGTAGTA,

hCpt1a[gRNA#3]:

CTGAACACTC**CTGGGCAGATGCGCCGATCG**TGGCCCACCTTTG) with RFP

and Puro were constructed by VectorBuilder. Infection and *in vitro* transfection of cell

lines were performed following the manufacturer's protocol. The lentiviral full-length

expression of *FOXM1* with G418 was constructed using VectorBuilder.

Colony formation assay and multi-target single-hit survival model

The radiosensitivity of all human CRC cell lines was determined using a colony

formation assay (CFA) with a multi-target single-hit model to the surviving fractions.

Cells were plated in 6-well plates and irradiated at doses of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 Gy

(6 MeV X-rays). The cells were then cultured for 10 d, stained with 1% crystal violet,

and quantified using ImageJ version 1.8.0.

The surviving fraction for each dose was calculated using the following formula:

[(number of surviving colonies at dose X) / (number of cells seeded at dose X

(average colonies arising from non-irradiated cells (0 Gy)) / number of non-irradiated

cells seeded)]. Survival curves were used to develop the multi-target single-hit model,

$SF = 1 - (1 - e^{-D/D0}) \times N$, where SF is the surviving fraction, D is the radiation dose,

and N is the extrapolation number. The multi-target single-hit model provides

parameters related to radiation sensitivity and sensitisation ratios, thereby directly

reflecting the cells' radiation sensitivity.

Comet assay

The comet assay is a classical technique for assessing cellular radiation sensitivity.

Essentially, cells that are more susceptible to radiation damage exhibit more DNA

fragmentation post-irradiation, leading to a greater proportion of DNA in the tail (with

less in the head). The comet assay was performed as previously described(Yu et al.,

2022). Briefly, slides were covered with 100 μL of pre-warmed normal melting point

agarose (2%) and placed on ice to solidify the first gel layer. Cells irradiated with

6 Gy were digested to obtain a cell suspension. Ten microliters of the cell suspension

were mixed with 80 μL of pre-warmed low melting point agarose (0.75%) and poured

onto the slides. The slides were dipped in a cold lysis solution for 2 h. After cell lysis,

the slides were placed in a horizontal electrophoresis chamber filled with cold TAE

solution, incubated for 25 min in the dark, and electrophoresed (1 V/cm). The slides

were then neutralised in PBS for 5 min and stained with propidium iodide (PI) or

DAPI. Comet images were captured using a fluorescence microscope. The percentage

of DNA in the tail was analysed using the CASP 1.2.3 beta 1.

Animal model

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee of Nanfang Hospital (IACUC-PROJECT-20221128-003). Male BALB/c

nude mice (5 weeks old) were purchased from the Southern Medical University

Laboratory Animal Center, China and raised under specific pathogen-free conditions.

All *in vivo* experiments were performed following institutional guidelines. To develop

the xenograft tumour model, 5×10^{6} cells were subcutaneously injected into the left

flank of mice. On reaching a volume of 100 mm^3, the tumours were irradiated twice at

8 Gy, and other parts of the mouse body were protected with a lead shield. The

tumour volume was measured using Vernier callipers and calculated as $1/2 \times$ length $\times$

width $\times$ width. After the mice were euthanised with phenobarbital sodium, the

tumours were excised, weighed, and embedded in paraffin for further experiments.

Transcriptomics

HCT 116-NC and HCT 116-KO cells were collected and sent to RIBOBIO

(Guangzhou, China) for polyA-seq transcriptome sequencing. RNA extraction, library

preparation, and sequencing were performed according to the manufacturer's

instructions. To identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the two

groups, the expression level of each transcript was calculated according to the

transcript per million reads method. RSEM was used to quantify the gene abundance.

Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2(S. Liu et al., 2021).

Genes with $|\log_2(\text{fold change})|>1$ and q<0.05 were considered significantly

differentially expressed. In addition, functional enrichment analysis, including using

Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), was

performed to identify significantly enriched DEGs in GO terms and metabolic

pathways at a Bonferroni-corrected P-value of 0.05 compared with the

whole-transcriptome background. The heatmap and volcano of mRNA sequencing

were conducted on ImageGP (www.bic.ac.cn/ImageGP) (Tong Chen, 2022). GO

functional enrichment and KEGG pathway analyses were performed using the

ClusterProfiler package in R(Wu et al., 2021).

ROS detection

ROS were stained with a 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) probe

and detected using flow cytometry. Briefly, the probe was diluted in cell culture

media at a 1:1000 ratio to yield a final concentration of 10 μmol/L. The culture

medium was replaced with 1 mL of DCFH-DA solution. The cells were incubated at

37 °C for 20 min. After incubation, the cells were washed thrice with serum-free

medium to remove any excess DCFH-DA.

One hour after 6 Gy irradiation, the cells were digested into single-cell suspensions

and washed once with PBS. Finally, cells were resuspended in PBS, and the

fluorescence intensity was analysed using flow cytometry at excitation and emission

wavelengths of 488 and 525 nm, respectively.

GSH/oxidised GSH ratio

Cells (5×10^5 cells/well) were seeded into 10 cm wells until they reached 80%

confluence. One hour after 6 Gy irradiation, the GSH/oxidised GSH (GSSG) ratio was

determined using a GSH/GSSG ratio detection assay kit following the manufacturer's

protocols.

Enzyme activity

Cells (5×10^5 cells/well) were seeded into 10 cm wells until reaching 80% confluence

before. One hour after irradiation with 6 Gy, crude enzyme extract was prepared. The

cells were digested, collected, and centrifuged to remove the supernatant. Next, 1 mL

of HPLC-grade water was added to each pellet. The cells were disrupted by

ultrasonication (20% power, 3 s on and 10 s off, repeated 25–40 times). The resulting

mixture was centrifuged at 8000 $\times g$ and 4 °C for 10 min, and the supernatant was

collected as the crude enzyme extract. The enzyme activities of SOD1, SOD2, CAT,

and POD were detected using respective enzyme activity kits, according to the

manufacturer's protocols.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and P-values <0.05 were

considered statistically significant in all experiments. Data were analysed using

one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Spearman's correlation, and Kaplan–Meier

estimates. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 20.0).

All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Data Availability Statement

Raw mRNA expression profiles and clinical features of the GSE9348, GSE20916,

GSE37364, GSE44076, GSE68468 and GSE110223 datasets are available in the GEO

database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The raw mRNA expression profiles of

rectal and colon cancer patients in the TCGA database are available ualcan database

(ualcan.path.uab.edu/). The mRNA sequencing data supporting the findings of this

study are available in the China National Center for Bioinformation (CNCB) under

accession number PRJCA030073.

Funding statement

This research project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of

China (No. 82273564, 32370139, 32300085and 32070118), Key Science

&Technology Brainstorm Project of Guangzhou (No. 202206010045).

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.cn) for English language editing.

References

Alimardan, Z., Abbasi, M., Hasanzadeh, F., Aghaei, M., Khodarahmi, G., & Kashfi, K. (2023). Heat
shock proteins and cancer: The FoxM1 connection. *Biochem Pharmacol, 211*, 115505.
doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2023.115505

Bhattacharyya, A., Chattopadhyay, R., Mitra, S., & Crowe, S. E. (2014). Oxidative stress: an essential
factor in the pathogenesis of gastrointestinal mucosal diseases. *Physiol Rev, 94*(2), 329-354.
doi:10.1152/physrev.00040.2012

Cercek, A., Roxburgh, C. S. D., Strombom, P., Smith, J. J., Temple, L. K. F., Nash, G. M., . . . Weiser,
M. R. (2018). Adoption of Total Neoadjuvant Therapy for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer.
JAMA Oncol, 4(6), e180071. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.0071

Cervantes, A., Adam, R., Rosello, S., Arnold, D., Normanno, N., Taieb, J., . . .
clinicalguidelines@esmo.org, E. G. C. E. a. (2023). Metastatic colorectal cancer: ESMO
Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol, 34*(1), 10-32.
doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.003

Chandrashekar, D. S., Karthikeyan, S. K., Korla, P. K., Patel, H., Shovon, A. R., Athar, M., . . .
Varambally, S. (2022). UALCAN: An update to the integrated cancer data analysis platform.
Neoplasia, 25, 18-27. doi:10.1016/j.neo.2022.01.001

Chio, I. I. C., & Tuveson, D. A. (2017). ROS in Cancer: The Burning Question. *Trends Mol Med, 23*(5),
411-429. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2017.03.004

Choi, H. J., Jhe, Y. L., Kim, J., Lim, J. Y., Lee, J. E., Shin, M. K., & Cheong, J. H. (2020).
FoxM1-dependent and fatty acid oxidation-mediated ROS modulation is a cell-intrinsic drug

resistance mechanism in cancer stem-like cells. *Redox Biol, 36*, 101589.

doi:10.1016/j.redox.2020.101589

Cunniff, B., Wozniak, A. N., Sweeney, P., DeCosta, K., & Heintz, N. H. (2014). Peroxiredoxin 3 levels

regulate a mitochondrial redox setpoint in malignant mesothelioma cells. *Redox Biol, 3*, 79-87.

doi:10.1016/j.redox.2014.11.003

Du, Q., Tan, Z., Shi, F., Tang, M., Xie, L., Zhao, L., . . . Cao, Y. (2019). PGC1α/CEBPB/CPT1A axis

promotes radiation resistance of nasopharyngeal carcinoma through activating fatty acid

oxidation. *Cancer Sci, 110*(6), 2050-2062. doi:10.1111/cas.14011

Glasauer, A., & Chandel, N. S. (2014). Targeting antioxidants for cancer therapy. *Biochem Pharmacol,*

92(1), 90-101. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2014.07.017

Glynne-Jones, R., Wyrwicz, L., Tiret, E., Brown, G., Rodel, C., Cervantes, A., & Arnold, D. (2017).

Rectal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann*

Oncol, 28(suppl_4), iv22-iv40. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdx224

Han, S., Wei, R., Zhang, X., Jiang, N., Fan, M., Huang, J. H., . . . Li, J. J. (2019). CPT1A/2-Mediated

FAO Enhancement-A Metabolic Target in Radioresistant Breast Cancer. *Front Oncol, 9*, 1201.

doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.01201

Hecht, F., Zocchi, M., Alimohammadi, F., & Harris, I. S. (2024). Regulation of antioxidants in cancer.

Mol Cell, 84(1), 23-33. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2023.11.001

Hu, A., Wang, H., Xu, Q., Pan, Y., Jiang, Z., Li, S., . . . Wang, X. (2023). A novel CPT1A covalent

inhibitor modulates fatty acid oxidation and CPT1A-VDAC1 axis with therapeutic potential

for colorectal cancer. *Redox Biol, 68*, 102959. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2023.102959

Jiang, N., Xing, B., Peng, R., Shang, J., Wu, B., Xiao, P., . . . Lu, H. (2022). Inhibition of Cpt1a

alleviates oxidative stress-induced chondrocyte senescence via regulating mitochondrial

dysfunction and activating mitophagy. *Mech Ageing Dev, 205*, 111688.

doi:10.1016/j.mad.2022.111688

Joshi, M., Kim, J., D'Alessandro, A., Monk, E., Bruce, K., Elajaili, H., . . . Schlaepfer, I. R. (2020).

CPT1A Over-Expression Increases Reactive Oxygen Species in the Mitochondria and

Promotes Antioxidant Defenses in Prostate Cancer. *Cancers (Basel), 12*(11), 3431.

doi:10.3390/cancers12113431

Kalathil, D., John, S., & Nair, A. S. (2020). FOXM1 and Cancer: Faulty Cellular Signaling Derails

Homeostasis. *Front Oncol, 10*, 626836. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.626836

Khan, M. A., Khan, P., Ahmad, A., Fatima, M., & Nasser, M. W. (2023). FOXM1: A small fox that

makes more tracks for cancer progression and metastasis. *Semin Cancer Biol, 92*, 1-15.

doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2023.03.007

Kwon, Y. S., Lee, M. G., Baek, J., Kim, N. Y., Jang, H., & Kim, S. (2021). Acyl-CoA synthetase-4

mediates radioresistance of breast cancer cells by regulating FOXM1. *Biochem Pharmacol,*

192, 114718. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2021.114718

Li, Z., Liu, X., Yu, H., Wang, S., Zhao, S., & Jiang, G. (2022). USP21 regulates Hippo signaling to

promote radioresistance by deubiquitinating FOXM1 in cervical cancer. *Hum Cell, 35*(1),

333-347. doi:10.1007/s13577-021-00650-9

Liu, N., Cui, W., Jiang, X., Zhang, Z., Gnosa, S., Ali, Z., . . . Sun, X. F. (2019). The Critical Role of

Dysregulated RhoB Signaling Pathway in Radioresistance of Colorectal Cancer. *Int J Radiat*

Oncol Biol Phys, 104(5), 1153-1164. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.04.021

Liu, S., Wang, Z., Zhu, R., Wang, F., Cheng, Y., & Liu, Y. (2021). Three Differential Expression

Analysis Methods for RNA Sequencing: limma, EdgeR, DESeq2. *J Vis Exp*(175). doi:10.3791/62528

Luo, X., Sun, D., Wang, Y., Zhang, F., & Wang, Y. (2021). Cpt1a promoted ROS-induced oxidative stress and inflammation in liver injury via the Nrf2/HO-1 and NLRP3 inflammasome signaling pathway. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol, 99*(5), 468-477. doi:10.1139/cjpp-2020-0165

Mazzarelli, P., Pucci, S., Bonanno, E., Sesti, F., Calvani, M., & Spagnoli, L. G. (2007). Carnitine palmitoyltransferase I in human carcinomas: a novel role in histone deacetylation? *Cancer Biol Ther, 6*(10), 1606-1613. doi:10.4161/cbt.6.10.4742

Melone, M. A. B., Valentino, A., Margarucci, S., Galderisi, U., Giordano, A., & Peluso, G. (2018). The carnitine system and cancer metabolic plasticity. *Cell Death Dis, 9*(2), 228. doi:10.1038/s41419-018-0313-7

Pal, S., Kozono, D., Yang, X., Fendler, W., Fitts, W., Ni, J., . . . Haas-Kogan, D. A. (2018). Dual HDAC and PI3K Inhibition Abrogates NFκB- and FOXM1-Mediated DNA Damage Response to Radiosensitize Pediatric High-Grade Gliomas. *Cancer Res, 78*(14), 4007-4021. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.can-17-3691

Palma, F. R., Gantner, B. N., Sakiyama, M. J., Kayzuka, C., Shukla, S., Lacchini, R., . . . Bonini, M. G. (2023). ROS production by mitochondria: function or dysfunction? *Oncogene*. doi:10.1038/s41388-023-02907-z

Qu, Q., Zeng, F., Liu, X., Wang, Q. J., & Deng, F. (2016). Fatty acid oxidation and carnitine palmitoyltransferase I: emerging therapeutic targets in cancer. *Cell Death Dis, 7*(5), e2226. doi:10.1038/cddis.2016.132

Rauluseviciute, I., Riudavets-Puig, R., Blanc-Mathieu, R., Castro-Mondragon, J. A., Ferenc, K., Kumar, V., . . . Mathelier, A. (2024). JASPAR 2024: 20th anniversary of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res, 52*(D1), D174-D182. doi:10.1093/nar/gkad1059

Schlaepfer, I. R., & Joshi, M. (2020). CPT1A-mediated Fat Oxidation, Mechanisms, and Therapeutic Potential. *Endocrinology, 161*(2). doi:10.1210/endocr/bqz046

Shah, R., Ibis, B., Kashyap, M., & Boussiotis, V. A. (2023). The role of ROS in tumor infiltrating immune cells and cancer immunotherapy. *Metabolism, 151*, 155747. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2023.155747

Siegel, R. L., Wagle, N. S., Cercek, A., Smith, R. A., & Jemal, A. (2023). Colorectal cancer statistics, 2023. *CA Cancer J Clin, 73*(3), 233-254. doi:10.3322/caac.21772

Skvortsova, I., Debbage, P., Kumar, V., & Skvortsov, S. (2015). Radiation resistance: Cancer stem cells (CSCs) and their enigmatic pro-survival signaling. *Semin Cancer Biol, 35*, 39-44. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.09.009

Smirnov, A., Panatta, E., Lena, A., Castiglia, D., Di Daniele, N., Melino, G., & Candi, E. (2016). FOXM1 regulates proliferation, senescence and oxidative stress in keratinocytes and cancer cells. *Aging (Albany NY), 8*(7), 1384-1397. doi:10.18632/aging.100988

Takeshita, H., Yoshida, R., Inoue, J., Ishikawa, K., Shinohara, K., Hirayama, M., . . . Nakayama, H. (2023). FOXM1-Mediated Regulation of Reactive Oxygen Species and Radioresistance in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Cells. *Lab Invest, 103*(5), 100060. doi:10.1016/j.labinv.2022.100060

Tan, Z., Xiao, L., Tang, M., Bai, F., Li, J., Li, L., . . . Cao, Y. (2018). Targeting CPT1A-mediated fatty acid oxidation sensitizes nasopharyngeal carcinoma to radiation therapy. *Theranostics, 8*(9),

2329-2347. doi:10.7150/thno.21451

Tang, Z., Kang, B., Li, C., Chen, T., & Zhang, Z. (2019). GEPIA2: an enhanced web server for
large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res, 47*(W1),
W556-W560. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz430

Tong Chen, Y.-X. L., Luqi Huang. (2022). ImageGP: An easy-to-use data visulization web server for
scientific researchers. *iMeta, 1*(1), e5, doi: 10.1002/imt2.5.

Wang, K., Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., Xue, J., Wang, H., Tan, X., . . . Jiang, H. (2023). The recovery of
intestinal barrier function and changes in oral microbiota after radiation therapy injury. *Front
Cell Infect Microbiol, 13*, 1288666. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2023.1288666

Wang, Y. N., Zeng, Z. L., Lu, J., Wang, Y., Liu, Z. X., He, M. M., . . . Xu, R. H. (2018).
CPT1A-mediated fatty acid oxidation promotes colorectal cancer cell metastasis by inhibiting
anoikis. *Oncogene, 37*(46), 6025-6040. doi:10.1038/s41388-018-0384-z

Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., . . . Yu, G. (2021). clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal
enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innovation (Camb), 2*(3), 100141.
doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141

Xiu, G., Sui, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2018). FOXM1 regulates radiosensitivity of lung cancer cell
partly by upregulating KIF20A. *Eur J Pharmacol, 833*, 79-85.
doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2018.04.021

Yu, L., Guo, Q., Luo, Z., Wang, Y., Weng, J., Chen, Y., . . . Zhang, Y. (2022). TXN inhibitor impedes
radioresistance of colorectal cancer cells with decreased ALDH1L2 expression via
TXN/NF-kappaB signaling pathway. *Br J Cancer, 127*(4), 637-648.
doi:10.1038/s41416-022-01835-1

Zhang, J., Huang, D., Dai, Y., & Xia, Y. F. (2022). Sinomenine Ameliorates Colitis-Associated Cancer
by Modulating Lipid Metabolism via Enhancing CPT1A Expression. *Metabolites, 12*(10), 946.
doi:10.3390/metabo12100946

Zhang, Q., Liu, W., Zhang, H. M., Xie, G. Y., Miao, Y. R., Xia, M., & Guo, A. Y. (2020). hTFtarget: A
Comprehensive Database for Regulations of Human Transcription Factors and Their Targets.
Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics, 18(2), 120-128. doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2019.09.006

Table 1. Potential binding site of SOD1, SOD2, CAT promoter predicted by hTFtarget and

JASPAR.

TF	Target gene	Sequence Name	Start	Stop	Strand	Score	P value	Q value	Matched motif
FOXM1	SOD1	NC_000021.9:316 57693-31659693	11 66	11 78	-	14.44 12	0.00000 152	0.005 99	TTTGTTTGA TTTT
FOXM1	SOD2	NC_000006.12:c1 59669069-159667 069	11 52	11 60	+	12.68 5	0.00000 403	0.015 9	AGATGGAG T
FOXM1	CAT	NC_000011.10:34 436934-34438934	14 10	14 22	+	11.33 33	0.00004 99	0.197	TCAGAGTG TTTTT

701 **Table 2. Key Resources Table.**

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Cell line (human)	HCT-15	ATCC (USA)	CCL-225	
Cell line (human)	RKO	ATCC (USA)	CRL-2577	
Cell line (human)	HCT 116	ATCC (USA)	CCL-247	
Cell line (human)	HT-29	ATCC (USA)	HTB-38	
Cell line (human)	Caco-2	ATCC (USA)	HTB-37	
Cell line (human)	SW480	ATCC (USA)	CCL-228	
Cell line (human)	SW620	ATCC (USA)	CCL-227	
Transfected construct (human)	pLV[Exp]-Puro-CMV>hCPT1A	VectorBuilder (China)		
Transfected construct (human)	pLV[CRISPR]-hCas9:T2A:Puro-U6>hCPT1A	VectorBuilder (China)		Including 3 gRNA
Transfected construct (human)	pLV[Exp]-G418-CMV>hFOXM1	VectorBuilder (China)		
Antibody	CPT1A (D3B3) Rabbit mAb	Cell Signaling Technology (USA)	12252	
Antibody	β-Actin (8H10D10) Mouse mAb	Cell Signaling Technology (USA)	3770	
Antibody	Mouse anti-Ki67 monoclonal antibody	Cell Signaling Technology (USA)	9449	
Antibody	PPARA Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	15540-1-AP	
Antibody	PPAR gamma Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech	22061-1-AP	

		(China)	
Antibody	PGC1a Mouse Monoclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	66369-1-Ig
Antibody	SOD1 Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	10269-1-AP
Antibody	SOD2 Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	24127-1-AP
Antibody	SOD3 Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	14316-1-AP
Antibody	catalase Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	21260-1-AP
Antibody	FOXM1 Rabbit Polyclonal antibody	Proteintech (China)	13147-1-AP
Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked Antibody	Cell Signaling Technology (USA)	7074
Chemical compound, drug	TRIzol	TakaraBio (Japan)	9108
Commercial assay or kit	Evo M-MLV RT Mix Kit	Accurate Biotechnology (China)	AG11728
Commercial assay or kit	SYBR Green Premix Pro Taq HS qPCR Kit	Accurate Biotechnology (China)	AG11701
Commercial assay or kit	Protein extraction kit	KeyGen BioTech (China)	KGP113-SDS
Commercial assay or kit	Comet assay kit	KeyGen BioTech (China)	KGA240
Chemical compound, drug	PI	Beyotime (China)	ST511
Chemical compound, drug	DAPI	Beyotime (China)	C1002
Commercial assay or kit	ROS detection kit	Beyotime (China)	S0033S
Commercial assay or kit	GSH detection kit	Solarbio (China)	BC1175

Commercial assay or kit	GSSG detection kit	Solarbio (China)	BC1180
Commercial assay or kit	SOD enzyme activity kit	Solarbio (China)	BC0170
Commercial assay or kit	CAT enzyme activity kit	Solarbio (China)	BC0200
Commercial assay or kit	POD enzyme activity kit	Solarbio (China)	BC0090

704 **Table 3. Correlation between clinicopathological features and the expression of CPT1A in**

705 **tumor paraffin section**

Variables[1]	Categories	CPT1A			p-Value
		Low	High	Total (n)	
Age	<50	13	13	26	0.411
	≥50	20	30	50	
Gender	Male	13	18	31	0.831
	Female	20	25	45	
Histological grade[2]	Well differentiated	3	5	8	0.640
	Moderately differentiated	26	32	58	
	Poorly differentiated	4	6	10	
UICC/ AJCC Stage	Stage I	3	1	4	0.379
	Stage II	14	16	30	
	Stage III	14	24	38	
	Stage IV	2	2	4	
T-class	T1	1	1	2	0.272
	T2	3	3	6	
	T3	15	15	30	
	T4	14	24	38	
N-class	N0	18	17	35	0.189
	N1	10	16	26	
	N2	5	10	15	
M-class	M0	31	41	72	0.788
	M1	2	2	4	

 Figure legends

Fig 1. Aberrant CPT1A mRNA level in CRC. A. The expression of CPT1A in six GEO

microarrays. B. Real-time PCR for CPT1A in 24-paired CRC and adjacent non-tumour tissues.

C. Western blot for CPT1A in sixteen-paired CRC and adjacent non-tumour tissues. D. Lower

CPT1A mRNA level in COAD than the normal counterparts from TCGA in ualcan database.

E. Lower CPT1A mRNA level in READ than the normal counterparts from TCGA in ualcan

database. F. IHC assay for CPT1A in two patients. ***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

Fig 2. Correlation of CPT1A with overall survival and neoadjuvant therapy response in rectal cancer patients. A. IHC assay for CPT1A in two groups of patients, upper with low CPT1A expression and lower with high CPT1A expression (IHC score ≥ 6). B. The Overall survival (OS) was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method in rectal cancer patients with low (n = 33) or high CPT1A expression (n = 43). C. The OS was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method in rectal cancer patients in TCGA database with low (n = 46) or high CPT1A expression (n = 46). D. IHC assay for CPT1A in two groups of patients, upper with TRG-1 and lower with TRG-2 (TRG means tumour regression grade, AJCC standard, 0, complete response: No remaining viable cancer cells; 1, moderate response: Only small clusters or single cancer cells remaining; 2, minimal response: Residual cancer remaining, but with predominant fibrosis). E. Dot plot showing the IHC score and TRG score of patients. F. Correlation of CPT1A with TRG score, size of dot represents the number. ***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

Fig 3. Radio-sensitivity of stable knock-out or overexpression of CPT1A. A. The protein

level of CPT1A in HCT 116-NC, HCT 116-KO1, HCT 116-KO2, HCT 116-KO3,

SW480-RFP, and SW480-OE cell lines. B. The mRNA level of CPT1A in HCT 116-NC,

HCT 116-KO (KO2), SW480-RFP, and SW480-OE cell lines. C. Colony-forming assay of

HCT 116-NC and HCT 116-KO cell lines. D. The map of multi-target, single-hit model. E.

Colony-forming assay of SW480-RFP and SW480-OE cell lines. F. The map of multi-target,

single-hit model. G. Comet assay of HCT 116-NC, HCT 116-KO, SW480-RFP, and

SW480-OE. H. Protein expression of γ-H2A.X in HCT 116-NC and HCT 116-KO cell lines. I.

Protein expression of γ-H2A.X in SW480-RFP and SW480-OE cell lines. ***, $P < 0.001$, **

$P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

Fig 4. CPT1A inhibited proliferation and radio-resistance in nude mice. A. Image of
tumours formed in nude mice, with knock out of CPT1A and radiation. B. Scattergram
showing the weight of tumours. C. Immunohistochemical staining of Ki67 in tumours. D. Bar
chart demonstrating the percentage of positively stained of Ki67 cells. E. Image of tumours
formed in nude mice, with overexpression of CPT1A and radiation. F. Scattergram showing

749   the weight of tumours. G. Immunohistochemical staining of Ki67 in tumours. H. Bar chart
750   demonstrating the percentage of positively stained of Ki67 cells. ***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$,
751   * $P < 0.05$.
752

Fig 5. The effect of CPT1A on ROS related enzyme activity. A. Enriched KEGG pathway
of DEGs in mRNA sequencing. B. Generation and scavenging of ROS in cell. C. ROS of
HCT 116-KO cell, SW480-OE cell and their control with DCFH-DA by flow cytometry. D.
Bar graph to show the mean of DCFH-DA in HCT 116-KO, HCT 116-NC cells, SW480-NC
and SW480-OE cells. E. GSH / GSSG ratio measurement under CPT1A knockout and
radiation. F. GSH / GSSG ratio measurement under *CPT1A* overexpression and radiation. G.
Effect of the CPT1A knockout on SOD activity. H. Effect of the *CPT1A* overexpression on
SOD activity. I. Effect of the CPT1A on CAT activity. ***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P <$
0.05.

Fig 6. CPT1A increases the transcription and protein of ROS scavenge related genes by regulating the transcription factor activity of FOXM1. A.The protein level of FOXM1, CPT1A, CAT, SOD1, SOD2, SOD3 after knockout and overexpression of CPT1A. B. The mRNA level of FOXM1, CAT, SOD1, SOD2, SOD3 after knockout and overexpression of CPT1A. C. Veen map showing the potential transcription factor of SOD1, SOD2 and CAT. D.

The protein level of FOXM1, CPT1A, CAT, SOD1, SOD2 after overexpression of FOXM1 in

HCT116-CPT1AKO cells. E. Schematic diagram summarising our working model, namely,

decreased CPT1A promotes the transcription factor activity of FOXM1, increasing the

mRNA and protein level of CAT, SOD1, and SOD2, followed by increasing ROS scavenge

after irradiation and therefore CRC cells become radioresistance. ***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$,

* $P < 0.05$.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1. The level of CPT1A in different CRC cancer cell lines. A.
Colony-forming assay in different cell lines. B. The map of multi-target, single-hit model.
C.The mRNA level of CPT1A in different cell lines. D. The protein level of CPT1A in
different cell lines. ***, $P < 0.001$,

Figure 3-figure supplement 2. Establishment of radio-resistance cells and overexpression of CPT1A rescues the radiation resistance of HCT-15-25F cells. A. Workflow of establishing of radio-resistance cells. F, fraction; W, week. B. Colony-forming assay in different cell lines. C. The map of multi-target, single-hit model. D.The protein level of CPT1A in radio-resistance cells. E. Western blot for CPT1A in HCT-15-25F cell line after transfected with overexpression lentivirus. F. Protein expression of γ-H2A.X in HCT-15-25F-NC and HCT-15-25F-OE cell lines. G. Colony-forming assay in different cell lines. H. The map of multi-target, single-hit model. ***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

794 **Figure 5-figure supplement 1. The result of mRNA sequencing after CPT1A knock-out.**

795 A. Heatmap of all genes. B. Volcanic map of all genes. C. Enriched GO terms of biological

796 process. D. Enriched GO terms of cellular component. E. Enriched GO terms of molecular

797 function.

Figure 5-figure supplement 2. The effect of CPT1A on ROS and enzyme activity in

radio-resistance cell after 6Gy radiation. A. ROS of HCT-15-25F and HCT-15 with

DCFH-DA by flow cytometry. B. ROS of HCT-15-25F-OE cell and its control with

DCFH-DA by flow cytometry. C. Bar graph to show the mean of DCFH-DA in HCT-15-25F

and HCT-15 cells. D. Bar graph to show the mean of DCFH-DA in HCT-15-25F-OE and

HCT-15-25F-NC cells. E. GSH / GSSG ratio after *CPT1A* overexpression. F. GSH / GSSG

ratio after *CPT1A* overexpression and 6Gy radiation. G. Effect of the *CPT1A* overexpression

on SOD activity. H. Effect of the *CPT1A* overexpression on SOD activity after 6Gy radiation.

I. Effect of the *CPT1A* overexpression on POD activity. J. Effect of the *CPT1A*

overexpression on POD activity after 6Gy radiation. K. Effect of the CPT1A on CAT activity.

***, $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

813
814 **Figure 6-figure supplement 1. The correlation of FOXM1 with ROS scavenge gene.** A.
815 The correlation of FOXM1 with SOD1. B. The correlation of FOXM1 with SOD2.
816

817   **Supplementary file 1. The $\overline{\text{D0}}$ and N value of multi-target, single-hit model in all cell lines.**

818   **Supplementary file 2. Primers used for the real-time PCR.**