Carbohydrate-active enzymes from *Akkermansia muciniphila* breakdown mucin O-glycans to completion

Cassie R. Bakshani[1], Taiwo O. Ojuri[1], Bo Pilgaard[2], Jesper Holck[2], Ross McInnes[1], Radoslaw P Kozak[3], Maria Zakhour[4], Sara Çakaj[1], Manon Kerouedan[1], Emily Newton[1], David N. Bolam[4], and Lucy I. Crouch[1*]

1 Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT.
2 Protein Chemistry and Enzyme Technology Section, DTU Bioengineering, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs, Lyngby, Denmark.
3 Ludger Ltd, Culham Campus, Abingdon, OX14 3EB, UK.
4 Biosciences Institute, Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH, UK.
*Address correspondence to l.i.crouch@bham.ac.uk

Abstract

Akkermansia muciniphila is a human microbial symbiont residing in the mucosal layer of the large intestine. Its main carbon source is the highly heterogeneous mucin glycoprotein and *A. muciniphila* uses an array of Carbohydrate-active enzymes and sulfatases to access this complex energy source. Here we describe the biochemical characterisation of fifty-four glycoside hydrolases, eleven sulfatases, and one polysaccharide lyase from *A. muciniphila* to provide a holistic understanding of the carbohydrate-degrading activities. The results provide an extensive insight into the sequence of O-glycan degradation and how *A. muciniphila* can access this structurally variable substrate. One of the most outstanding elements of this work was the demonstration that these enzymes can act synergistically to degrade the O-glycans on the mucin polypeptide to completion, down to the core GalNAc. Additionally, human breast milk oligosaccharide, ganglioside, and globoside glycan structures were included in the study to understand the full degradative capability of *A. muciniphila*.

Introduction

The mucosal surface of the human large intestine is predominantly comprised of gel-forming secreted mucins and approximately 80 % by dry weight of this glycoprotein is O-glycan[1, 2]. Mucin O-glycans include only five different monosaccharides, thus their considerable structural heterogeneity is attributed to linkage diversity between these monosaccharides and different sulfation patterns (Figure 1). The mucosal layer is the barrier between the host epithelial cells and the dense community of microorganisms residing in the colon[3]. Mucin-degrading activities are a key aspect of the complex interactions between host and microbe and under healthy conditions the production and breakdown of mucin is balanced[4]. However, disease states of the colon, which are on the rise, are typified by the mucosal layer being disrupted and changes in the proportion of mucinolytic bacteria[4, 5]. For *Akkermansia muciniphila*, multiple studies have shown its prevalence is inversely proportional to disease and inflammation markers[6-9], but for the mucinophile *Ruminococcus gnavus* the opposite is observed and the population increases in disease[5]. It is estimated that 60 % of the human gut microbiota can access mucin as a nutrient source[10, 11], yet a comprehensive understanding of this process and its links to disease has yet to be determined.

It is the 20-year anniversary of the discovery of *Akkermansia muciniphila* from the relatively understudied Planctomycetota-Verrucomicrobiota-Chlamydiota (PVC) superphylum[12]. *A. muciniphila* is detectable in most people, colonises early on in life, and typically constitutes 1-3 % of the total microbiota[13-15]. *Akkermansia*-like sequences have also been detected in a wide variety of vertebrates which suggests a long evolutionary history between the mucosal surface of the gastrointestinal tract in vertebrates and *Akkermansia* species[13]. A biochemical

characterisation of some of the Carbohydrate-Active enZymes (CAZymes) from *A. muciniphila*
ATCC BAA-835 (AM) has been carried out in detail to provide insights into how AM tackles
this complicated structure[16-20]. Furthermore, characterisation of glycopeptidases from AM
have also illuminated how mucins are broken down[21-23]. However, a systematic approach to
understanding mucin degradation has not previously been undertaken for any bacterial
species and a significant number of enzymes from AM remain uncharacterised. Here, we
provide a comprehensive picture elucidating how AM sequentially degrades mucin O-glycans,
related structures, and other host carbohydrates.
64
65 **Results**
66
In vivo studies of AM with mucin
AM is highly restricted in terms of the substrates it can access, with its main carbon source
being mucin. These observations were reproduced here (Supplementary Figure 1). RNA-Seq
was performed on porcine gastric mucin III (PGMIII) to investigate the enzymes that AM uses
to breakdown this nutrient source (Figure 1, Supplementary Data 1). We found that twenty
CAZymes (from glycoside hydrolase (GH) families 2, 16, 20, 27, 29, 36, 89, 95, 97, 105, 109,
and 123) and four sulfatases encoded in the AM genome were upregulated. These results
were complementary to similar studies published previously (Supplementary Figure 2). A
pangenome analysis was also undertaken to examine the prevalence of different CAZymes
throughout strains and species (Supplementary Figure 3 & Supplementary Table 1). Strikingly,
most enzymes were highly conserved between strains and close homologues were identified
in other species.
79
Whole cell assays were used to assess enzyme activities on the surface of AM (Figure 1,
Supplementary Figures 4-7). Results were initially assessed using thin layer chromatography
(TLC), and a smear, with increasing in concentration over time, could be identified for PGMIII.
This corresponded to the typical migration pattern for glycan fragments, rather than
monosaccharides (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 4&5). Released glycan fragments were
labelled with the fluorophore procainamide and detailed characterisation was performed using
with liquid chromatography-fluorescence detection-electrospray-mass spectrometry (LC-FLD-
ESI-MS). The data reveal that a range of mucin O-glycan fragments are produced by the
enzymes on the surface of AM (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 6). Galactose is typically
present at the reducing end, indicative of GH16 endo-O-glycanase activity, and the degree of
sulfation and fucosylation is variable[18]. We also used PGMIII-grown cells to carry out whole
cell assays against several defined oligosaccharides (Supplementary Table 2). The most
prominent activities were against TriLacNAc, human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs), Forssman
antigen, and Lacto-N-biose. Whole cell assays of PGMIII-grown cells against bovine
submaxillary mucin (BSM), which has sialylated Core 1 decorations[24], showed only the release
of sialic acids and this was confirmed by high-performance anion exchange chromatography
with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD; Supplementary Figure 7).
97
AM CAZymes can get down to the core GalNAc in PGMIII
Two putative GH31 enzymes are encoded in the AM genome. Amuc_1008[GH31] is from
subfamily 18 and other members of this subfamily, from *Bacteroides caccae*, *Phocaeicola*
plebius, Enterococcus faecalis, Clostridium perfringens, and Bombix mori (domestic silk
moth), have specificity for removing the α-GalNAc from peptide[25-27]. A phylogenetic tree of
characterised bacterial GH31 enzymes shows clustering of activities and Amuc_1008[GH31]
clusters with the other characterised enzymes from GH31_18 (Supplementary Figure 8). We
also found that Amuc_1008[GH31] had specificity for the core α-GalNAc linked to peptide (BSM)
with no activity against any other substrates (Supplementary Figure 9-13). Quantification of
GalNAc release using HPAEC-PAD showed that Amuc_1008[GH31] removed the highest
concentration of all the enzymes tested here (Supplementary Figure 9). Notably,
Amuc_1008[GH31] could not hydrolyse Tn antigen, so therefore requires more than one amino
acid for activity. For the more complex substrate PGMIII, Amuc_1008[GH31] could not liberate

GalNAc when tested in isolation, however when a cocktail of AM enzymes was used, GalNAc could then be released (Figure 1). This observation demonstrates that enzymes characterised from AM are capable of complete O-glycan degradation down to the polypeptide, which to our best knowledge has not been demonstrated before. This enzyme is predicted to be periplasmic and there was no obvious removal of GalNAc from BSM during whole cells assays, supporting this prediction (Supplementary Figure 7). A comparison of Amuc_1008[GH31] model and the *E. faecalis* GH31_18 is provided in the Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figure 14.

Hydrolysis of α-linked galactose from the non-reducing ends of PGMIII O-glycans

Galactose, GalNAc, GlcNAc, fucose and sialic acid all cap mucin O-glycans via α-linkages at the non-reducing end and prevalence of these monosaccharides will vary according to mucin type and genetics, for instance. Here, we determined which enzymes AM uses to tackle these different capping monosaccharides by using a panel of different substrates of varying complexity in overnight end-point assays.

There are two putative GH110 enzymes (Amuc_0480[GH110] and Amuc_1463[GH110]) encoded by the AM genome, which share 28 % identity. These displayed activity towards blood group B (BGB) Types I and II and Galα1,3-Gal/GalNAc (Supplementary Figures 9-13). BGB is slightly less prevalent than BGA (10-40 %) in the human population, ranging between 0-30 %, depending on geographical location[28]. These are the only enzymes that are active against BGB from AM, which predicate the removal of fucose by the fucosidases. The structure of Amuc_1463[GH110] has recently been solved[20].

One putative GH27 (Amuc_1187[GH27]) is encoded in the AM genome and was active against α-linked galactose from the non-reducing end of most of the defined substrates tested here, except BG structures. Amuc_1187[GH27] can hydrolyse Galα1,3Gal/GalNAc and globotriose, but P1 antigen could not be completely broken down in an end-point assay. This substrate specificity is discussed in the context of a model of Amuc_1187[GH27] compared to solved structures of enzymes from *H. sapien* (Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figure 15).

AM also has three putative GH36 enzymes encoded in the AM genome, which have low sequence homology (Supplementary Table 3) and all three cluster in different locations on a phylogenetic tree when compared to characterised GH36 enzymes (Supplementary Figure 16). Amuc_0855[GH36] has specificity for Galili antigen and globotriose in the defined substrate screen. Finally, AM also has one putative GH97 enzyme (Amuc_1420[GH97]), which displayed a preference for α-linked galactose in the defined substrate screen, except in the context of BGB. A model of Amuc_1420[GH97] is discussed in the context of its activity (Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figure 17). All these enzymes were able to remove galactose from PGMIII, albeit in relatively small amounts, but this is likely due to the type of mucin used. The identity of the galactose was confirmed by HPEAC (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 18)

Hydrolysis of α-linked GalNAc from the non-reducing ends of PGMIII O-glycans

The AM enzymes with activity against α-GalNAc capping structures are from families GH36 and GH109. All four enzymes were active against BGA structures, and they must remove this sugar before the fucosidases can act. Amuc_0216[GH36] was able to act on all the substrates with α-GalNAc decorations we could test (Supplementary Figure 10-13). Notably, this was the only enzyme we found in AM to degrade Tn antigen. A phylogenetic tree of currently characterised bacterial GH36 enzymes, including those -from the AM genome described here, showed that Amuc_0216[GH36] clustered with the other two examples of α-GalNAc'ases in the family (Supplementary Figure 16). One of these previously characterised enzymes also has activity on BGA and has been shown to convert BGA whole blood to universal type blood for transfusion applications[29]. Building on this application, recent work has also demonstrated that

some of the AM CAZymes can be used to generate universal blood[20]. Amuc_0517[GH36] was
much narrower in its strict specificity for BGA only. There are two putative GH109 enzymes
(Amuc_0017[GH109] and Amuc_0920[GH109]) encoded by the AM genome, which have 66 %
identity between them and display specificity towards α-GalNAc substrates apart from Tn
antigen. When tested against PGMIII both GH36 enzymes could release relatively large
amounts of GalNAc, with or without sialidase and fucosidase pre-treatment, and this was
confirmed using HPAEC-PAD (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 18).
173
Hydrolysis of α-linked GlcNAc from the non-reducing ends of PGMIII O-glycans
There are two putative GH89 enzymes (Amuc_0060[GH89] and Amuc_1220[GH89]) encoded for by
the AM genome and both only showed activity against GlcNAcα1,4-Gal disaccharide (stomach
epitope), however Amuc_0060[GH89] could not hydrolyse all the GlcNAcα1,4-Gal overnight,
which suggests this is not the preferred substrate (Supplementary Figure 10-13).
Amuc_1220[GH89] was able to remove relatively large amounts of GlcNAc from PGMIII and this
was confirmed using HPAEC-PAD (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 18). Further
discussion on models of these enzymes in comparison to solved structures is presented in the
Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figure 19.
183
Hydrolysis of α-linked sialic acid and fucose from the non-reducing ends of PGMIII O-
glycans
AM has three sialidases and six fucosidases that together can tackle a wide range of
substrates and their specificities have been characterised in depth previously[16, 17]. The
specificities observed for these enzymes presented in this report are comparable to previous
characterisations, but we were able to identify notable further observations (Supplementary
Figures 20-22). In terms of the sialidases, we found that the two GH33 enzymes from AM can
act on the relatively complex GD1a and GT1b ganglioside structures and Sda antigen, which
are common features of the human glycome[30, 31]. In terms of the fucosidases, Amuc_0010[GH29]
exhibits specificity towards type II BG structures (not type I) and the lacto-N-*neo*tetraose HMO
series (not the lacto-N-tetraose), whereas Amuc_1120[GH95] can hydrolyse fucose from both
type I and II structures. This specificity facilitates the probing of mucin glycan structures in
more detail. For instance, only Amuc_1120[GH95] can hydrolyse fucose from untreated PGMIII,
indicating that this substrate only has type I structures immediately available to the
fucosidases at the non-reducing ends of the O-glycans (Supplementary Figure 18). A full
discussion of the characterisation of these enzymes is described in the Supplementary
discussion.
201
Combining an AM GH16 endo-O-glycanase with CAZymes hydrolysing the α-capping
monosacchairdes
To further explore the specificities of the CAZymes removing α-linked monosaccharides from
PGMIII, we used a series of sequential reactions, which were then labelled with procainamide
and analysed by LC-FLC-ESI-MS (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 23). Complementary
to the relatively high monosaccharide release seen by HPAEC-PAD, the LC-FLC-ESI-MS data
showed that Amuc_1220[GH89], Amuc_0216[GH36], and Amuc_0517[GH36] could act on GH16-
derived O-glycan fragments (Figure 2). These assays, therefore, provide the ability to
characterise the fragments produced by the GH16. For example, glycan #11, that is absent in
the Amuc_1220[GH89] assay, has a GlcNAcα1,4-Gal capping structure. This also confirms that
the GH16 can accommodate this epitope in its active site. Amuc_0216[GH36] and
Amuc_0517[GH36] both acted on glycans #12 and 18, confirming that they have α-GalNAc caps.
Furthermore, we could also observe four of the fucosidases acting on GH16-derived #10 O-
glycan fragments. The different peaks corresponding #10 glycan compositions will be different
combinations of linkages and positioning of the fucose. For instance, the structures hydrolysed
by Amuc_1120[GH95] are not hydrolysed by Amuc_0010[GH29], thus confirming them as type 1
α1,2-fucose structures. In addition, Amuc_0392[GH29] and Amuc_0846[GH29] both hydrolyse a
different glycan #10 (eluting at a different time to the glycan #10 acted on by Amuc_1120[GH95])
complementary to their comparable activities seen in the defined substrate screen.

221
Investigating β-galactosidase activity
222
The combination of GH16 endo-O-glycanase activity and removal of α-capping
monosaccharides from the non-reducing ends leads us to how the remaining O-glycan
fragments will be broken down. This will require contribution from β-galactosidases, β-
HexNAc'ases, fucosidases, and sulfatases. There are nine putative CAZymes encoded in the
AM genome that were highlighted as possible β-galactosidases from families GH2, GH35, and
GH43 subfamily 24 (Supplementary Table 4). Recombinant enzymes were screened against
a variety of substrates to determine their specificity (Figure 3; Supplementary Figure 24-27).
The results revealed a range of specificities, but between them, the β-galactosidases could
breakdown all the defined oligosaccharides tested. There are examples of very broad-acting
(Amuc_0290[GH2]) and highly specific β-galactosidases (Amuc_0539[GH2] was found to be specific
to β1,4-linked Gal with either a Gal or GalNAc in the +1 position). None of these enzymes
showed activity towards 3-FL, Lewis A, or Lewis X based structures, which confirms that there
is a strict order of degradation, with fucosidases acting on these substrates first, followed by
galactosidases.
237
We tested this panel of β-galactosidases against PGMIII that had been sequentially treated
with different AM CAZymes. It should be noted that, although with the addition of only
fucosidase, sialidase, and a GH16 a range sizes and compositions of O-glycan fragments
were produced, the majority of these were di- and tri-saccharides of alternating galactose and
GlcNAc, ascertained by the intensity of the fluorescence signals being relatively high for these
structures (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 28). When testing the panel of β-
galactosidases, the sample was pre-treated with the three enzymes that remove α-linked
GlcNAc and GalNAc (Amuc_1220[GH89], Amuc_0216[GH36], and Amuc_0517[GH36]) and a
fucosidase (Amuc_0392[GH29]) to maximise the O-glycans with β-galactose at the non-reducing
ends. A TLC of these assays clearly shows galactose being released for five of the enzymes
(Figure 3, blue stained bands). These samples were labelled with procainamide and analysed
by LC-FLD-ESI-MS (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 28). Activities for four of the β-
galactosidases was observed against the trisaccharides. Amuc_0539[GH2] showed no activity
and this supports the observation of only being active when a Gal or GalNAc is in the +1
subsite. Notably, the doubly-sulfated trisaccharide glycan #7 was not acted on by any β-
galactosidase. The GH2 and GH35 enzymes also showed activity against lactosylceramide
(Ganglioside and Globoside core structure), with Amuc_0290[GH2], Amuc_0771[GH35], and
Amuc_1686[GH35] releasing the most galactose (Figure 3). Further discussion on the activities
of the β-galactosidases is in the Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figures 29-
30.
258
Investigating β-HexNAc activities
259
The GH20 family is the largest represented family in AM, with eleven putative enzymes
encoded with generally low identity between sequences (Supplementary Figure 31 and
Supplementary Table 5). The activity predominantly observed in this family is exo-acting β-
GlcNAc'ases, but activity on GalNAc has also been observed. We also included
Amuc_0052[GH84], Amuc_0803[GH123], and Amuc_2109[GH3] , with the latter having been shown to
have relevant activities (pNPGlcNAc>GalNAc)[32] and clusters with other β-HexNAc'ases on a
phylogenetic tree when characterised enzymes were compared (Supplementary Figure 32).
In summary, we found a range of different β-HexNAc'ase specificities encoded for by the AM
genome and the specificities of these enzymes are generally towards the glycan structures
found in mucins rather than complex N-glycans or chitin. Between them, these β-HexNAc'ases
could access all the defined substrates provided (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figures 33-35).
The panel of β-HexNAc'ases were then tested against PGMIII that had been sequentially
treated (controls 1-4; Supplementary Figure 23). The samples were then labelled with
procainamide and analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. The predominant glycans in 'Control 4' were
two disaccharides, one sulfated on the galactose and one not (Figure 4). Four of the β-
HexNAc'ases were able to breakdown the sulfated disaccharide, providing further insight into

the different specificities of these enzymes. To further explore the capacity of β-HexNAc'ases against host glycan structures, we used the AM CAZymes to prepare Sda antigen from the ganglioside GD1a. Intriguingly, out of the five β-HexNAc'ases that could act on GA2, only Amuc_1924[GH20] was able to accommodate the branching sialic acid to access the GalNAc present in Sda antigen in an end-point reaction (Figure 4). In addition to the sequential degradation of mucin O-glycans, the activity of the AM CAZymes against HMOs was also explored and is detailed in the Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figures 36-37.

Tackling sulfated substrates

We highlighted twelve potential sulfatases from the AM genome using upregulation data[4, 33-35] and SulfAtlas database[36]. These enzymes could be assigned to seven different families and one unknown, which ultimately turned out not to be a sulfatase (Supplementary Table 1). The different subfamilies cluster on a phylogenetic tree and the activities (mainly explored in *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*) within a subfamily correspond those already described (Supplementary Figure 37)[37, 38]. The sequence identity between the sulfatases is low, with the highest being ~60 % for pairs in the same subfamily (Supplementary Table 8). The defined sulfated substrates tested were sulfated monosaccharides and Lewis structures (Supplementary Figure 38). We first screened the sulfatases against the sulfated monosaccharides and found Amuc_1655[Sulf16] and Amuc_1755[Sulf16] displayed activity against 4S-Gal, Amuc_1755[Sulf16] also displayed activity against 4S-GalNAc. The subfamily 11 enzymes Amuc_1033[Sulf11] and Amuc_1074[Sulf11] displayed activity against 6S-GlcNAc and Amuc_0121[Sulf15] displayed partial activities against 6S-Gal and 6S-GalNAc. These specificities align with those previously observed for these subfamilies[37].

We then used combinations of the sulfatases, α-fucosidases and β-galactosidase encoded in the AM genome to determine how the different sulfated Lewis structures are broken down (Supplementary Figures 39-40). For the 3'S and 6S-Lewis A and X glycans, Amuc_0392[GH29] removes the fucose to produce 3'S and 6S-LacNAc and LNB. From here, the 3'S disaccharides are then acted on by sulfatases from subfamily 20. In contrast, the 6S disaccharides are acted on by the β-galactosidases first. In this study, we could not find a way to breakdown the 6'S-Lewis structures. Previous activities against these substrates have been observed for BT1624 from subfamily 15[37], so we had predicted that Amuc_0121[Sulf15] may be able to do this but only partial activity against sulfated galactose could be detected.

The unexpected and unsolved

There are two observations from this study worthy of note, concerning the ability of AM to colonise the mucosal surface and interact with other members of the microbiota. Firstly, AM did not grow directly on any of the glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) tested (Supplementary Figure 1), however, its genome encodes Amuc_0778[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105] and activity against all GAGs except heparin sulfate was observed for these enzymes. Secondly, AM grew on a high-mannose N-glycoprotein and, by analysing substrates remaining in the broth, we deciphered that AM was using the protein component and leaving the glycan. A full discussion on why AM has these features is in the Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Figures 42-46.

In total, we were unable to find activities for eleven of the putative CAZymes and three of the sulfatases during this work (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Discussion). Some of these CAZymes are from the GH13 and 77 families, which are currently solely associated with breaking down α-glucose polymers (Supplementary Figure 47).

Discussion

The human gut microbiota plays an intrinsic role in health and disease, with impacts now recognised to extend to many other parts of the body. One of the key processes occurring at the interface between microbes and host is the breakdown of mucins and this holistic investigation of AM CAZymes described here is an important step forward in understanding this complex relationship. Previously, we characterised the GH16 endo-O-glycanases from AM (Amuc_0724[GH16], Amuc_0875[GH16] Amuc_2136[GH20]) that hydrolyse within the polyLacNAc chains of mucin to produce fragments of O-glycans[18]. Here, we expand on this work significantly by taking a systematic approach to assessing the full enzymology of this organism. The results have allowed an order of enzyme activities on different substrates to be assembled (Figure 5), sequential breakdown of complex substrates to be demonstrated, and determination that a cocktail of AM CAZymes could be applied to reach the core GalNAcs of a complex mucin substrate. A model of how AM degrades mucin can now be proposed (Figure 6).

The glycomes of different humans vary and the capacity of the AM CAZymes to breakdown most of the substrates tested reveals how AM has adapted to accessing mammalian-derived glycans. Blood groups are a recognisable example, which varies globally[39], but Galα1,4Gal epitopes of P1 and P[k] antigens are also generally present in the mucosal surface of the human large intestine. These glycans, and others like them, are receptors for a variety of pathogens and their toxins, such as Shiga toxin[40]. Forssman antigen is normally present in only 0.01 % of the human population but in that relatively small population this antigen would be present in the GI tract[41]. It can change susceptibility to some diseases and is also expressed in some cancers, but its true prevalence and impact on different diseases is understudied[42]. Characterising enzymes with specificity towards these structures enables research into these epitopes much more broadly. One of the major impacts of this work will be the ability to characterise different mucins in much more detail and in a higher throughput manner. We hope that these enzymes provide new glycotools for the community and have far-reaching applications, such as monitoring the change in mucin O-glycans between healthy and diseased tissue, or the detection of disease-associated epitopes.

Materials and Methods

Substrates

A list of substrates and their sources are listed in Supplementary Table 2. Porcine gastric mucin III (Sigma) was prepared by dissolving overnight in sterile DI water. This is too turbid for bacterial growths, so the precipitate is removed by centrifugation at 1,500 x g for 5 minutes in sterile 15 mL falcon tubes. Polyglucuronic acid was prepared as described[43].

Recombinant protein expression and purification

Recombinant plasmids were transformed into Tuner cells (Novagen) in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth containing with 50 or 100 µg/mL kanamycin or ampicillin, respectively. The plates were used to inoculate 1 L flasks (also including relevant antibiotics) and grown at 37 °C with shaking at 180 rpm to mid-exponential, this was then cooled to 16 °C, and isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM. Recombinant His-tagged protein was purified from cell-free extracts using immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC using Talon resin; Takara Bio). The buffer used during the purification process was 20 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8. Cell-free extracts (~30 mL) were passed through a 5 mL bed volume of resin. This was then washed with 50 mL of buffer and the protein eluted with 10 mM and then 100 mM imidazole. The purification is assessed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; precast 4 to 20 % gradient from Bio-Rad and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue), but proteins typically elute in the 100 mM fraction. The proteins were then concentrated using centrifugal concentrators (Vivaspin®, 10 kDa MWCO), the absorbance determined at 280 nm (NanoDrop™ One, Thermo Scientific), and concentrations calculated using extinction coefficients taken from ProtParam.

Recombinant enzyme assays

The concentrations of defined oligosaccharide substrates used in the assays are presented in Supplementary Table 2. The activities of the recombinant enzymes were typically assessed in 20 mM 4-morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS, pH 7) at 37 °C, and a final enzyme concentration of 1 µM. The volume varies according to how much was required for subsequent analysis. For the sulfatase assays, 5 mM of CaCl was included. PNGaseL (LZ-PNGaseL-50-KIT) was used where required.

Thin layer chromatography

For defined oligosaccharides, 3 µL of an assay containing 1 mM substrate was spotted onto silica plates (Sigma Z740230). For assays against glycoproteins, GAGs and starch substrates, this was increased to 9, 6, and 9 µL, respectivley. The plates were resolved in running buffer containing butanol/acetic acid/water. Different ratios were used for different substrates - 2:1:1 (typically resolved once) was used for most of the defined oligosaccharides and 1:1:1 (typically resolved twice) was typically used for substrates where large products were expected (e.g., PGMIII). All TLCs were stained using a diphenylamine-aniline-phosphoric acid (DPA) stain[44].

Growth of AM on a variety of substrate

AM was grown on chopped meat broth (CMB) as described in[18]. Overnight starter cultures were inoculated from a glycerol stock into 5 mL of chopped meat broth (CMB) and grown in an anaerobic cabinet (Whitley A35 Workstation, Don Whitley Scientific). Growth curves were collected in 96-well plates using Cerillo Stratus plate readers. 300 µL of 2x CMB (minus the monosaccharides) was mixed with 300 µL substrate and 200 µL was pipetted into three wells to provide replicates. Growth curves were also repeated with different starter cultures and on different days.

RNA-seq of AM

AM was grown overnight in CMB at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. The culture was diluted 1:20 into CMB minus monosaccharide with the addition of either glucose or mucin and grown to an OD of 0.5 at 600 nm. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 30 seconds and flash frozen using a dry ice and ethanol bath. Sample processing, library construction,

and sequencing were performed by Azenta. Sequencing adapter removal and read quality
trimming were performed with fastp v.0.23.2 using default parameters[45]. Reads were mapped
to the AM (GCF_000020225.1) and enumerated using kallisto v.0.46.2[46]. Differential gene
expression analyses were performed with Voom/limma v.3.40.6[47] implemented in Degust
v.4.2-dev (https://zenodo.org/records/3501067). The volcano plot was created using the
package EnhancedVolcano v.1.22.0 (https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano) in R
v.4.4.0.
416
Whole cell assays
Overnight starter cultures were inoculated from a glycerol stock into 5 mL of CMB and grown
under anaerobic conditions. This was then used to inoculate a 5 mL culture of AM in CMB
(minus the monosaccharides) with substrate and cells were pooled, harvested at mid-
exponential, washed twice with PBS, and 250 μL of 2x PBS was used per 5 ml culture to
resuspend cells. The assays were then mixed 50:50 cells to substrate, where substrate
concentrations were the same as used in the recombinant enzyme assays. PGMIII, BSM,
hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulfate, RNaseB, and *Sc*mannan assays were 500 μL total and
50 μL samples were taken for each time point. Assays with defined oligosaccharides and
HMOs were 200 μL and 20 μL samples were taken for each time point. Assays were carried
out at 37 °C and reactions were terminated by boiling samples.
428
High-performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection
To analyse monosaccharide release, sugars were separated using a CARBOPAC PA-1 anion
exchange column with a PA-1 guard using a Dionex ICS-6000 (ThermoFisher) and detected
using pulsed amperometric detection (PAD). Flow was 1 mL/min and elution conditions were
0-25 min 5 mM NaOH and then 25-40 min, 5-100 mM NaOH. The software was Chromeleon
Chromatography Data System. Monosaccharide standards were 0.1 mM, all data was
obtained by diluting assays 1/10 before injection, apart from the ceramide samples, which
were diluted 15 μL in 100 μL.
437
Steady-state kinetics of AmPL38
Initial velocities of purified recombinant Amuc_0778[PL38] were quantified on HA, CSA, CSC and
DS substrates, with concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 12 g·l⁻¹ at 37 °C, 100 mM NaCl, and
UB4 buffer at pH 7[48]. The average initial velocities, quantified in milli-absorbance units (mAU)
at A235nm per second, were converted to μM of product generated by measuring the amount
of Δ4,5 bonds formed per second, using the experimentally confirmed extinction coefficient for
unsaturated glucuronic acid of 6150 M-1cm-1[43]. Kinetic parameters were determined by
plotting initial velocities against substrate concentrations and fitting the Michaelis-Menten
model using GraphPad Prism.
447
Initial rates of AmGH105
The steady-state reactions of Amuc_0778[PL38] were sealed to prevent evaporation and
incubated overnight at 37°C. Subsequently, Amuc_0863[GH105] was added to a final
concentration of 1 μM, and the decrease in A235nm was monitored for a minimum of two
hours at 37°C. The substrate concentration was calculated by converting the initial
absorbance of the Amuc_0778[PL38] steady-state reactions, minus absorbance backgrounds, to
μM double bonds. The initial rates of Amuc_0863[GH105] were then calculated as the loss of
double bonds in μM per minute in absolute values.
456
Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) of GAG substrates
Duplicate time-course reactions for Amuc_0778[PL38] were prepared under the same conditions
as for the kinetics at 2 g·l⁻¹ substrate concentrations. Reactions were terminated by heating
the samples at 95°C for 5 min. Amuc_0863[GH105] was added to the 20-hour reaction to a final
concentration of 1 μM and left to run for two hours before heat inactivation. The final sample
preparation and LC-MS analysis were carried out using an Iontrap coupled with GlycanPac
chromatography as previously described[49]. Compounds were observed as single or double

charge m/z, primarily as deprotonated adducts. The compounds were identified by MS and
MS[2] fragmentation, if possible. Extracted ion chromatograms of identified compounds were
prepared, and the areas of the peaks were used to quantify the products (Supplementary
Figure 41). Fragments follow the nomenclature of Domon and Costello[50].
468
Glycan labelling
Released O-glycans were fluorescently labelled by reductive amination with procainamide as
described previously using LudgerTagTM Procainamide Glycan Labelling Kit (LT-KPROC-
96)[51]. Briefly, samples in 10 µL of pure water were incubated for 60 min at 65°C with
procainamide labelling solution. Residual chemicals were removed from the procainamide
labelled samples using LudgerClean S-cartridges (LC-S-A6). The purified procainamide
labelled O-glycans were eluted with pure water (1 mL). The samples were dried by vacuum
centrifugation and resuspended in pure water (50 µL) for further analysis.
477
LC-FLR-ESI-MS
Procainamide labelled samples were analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS using an ACQUITY
UPLC® BEH-Glycan 1.7 µm, 2.1 x 150 mm column at 40 °C on a Thermo Scientific UltiMate
3000 UPLC instrument with a fluorescence detector (λex = 310 nm, λem = 370) controlled by
HyStar software version 3.2. Gradient conditions were: 0 to 10 min 15% A at a flow rate of 0.4
mL/min; 10 to 95 min, 15 to 43% A at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, 95 to 98 min, 43 to 90% A at
0.4 to 0.2 mL/ml; 98 to 99 min, 90% A at 0.2 mL/min; 99 to 100 min, 90 to 15% A at 0.2 mL/min;
100 to 103 min, 15% A at 0.2 mL/min; 103 to 115 min, 15% A from 0.2 to 0.4 mL/min. Solvent
A was 50 mM ammonium formate pH 4.4 made from Ludger Stock Buffer (LS-N-BUFFX40);
Solvent B was acetonitrile (Acetonitrile 190 far UV/gradient quality; Romil #H049). Samples
were injected in 15% aqueous/85% acetonitrile; injection volume 20 µL. The UPLC system
was coupled on-line to an AmaZon Speed ETD electrospray mass spectrometer (Bruker
Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) with the following settings: source temperature 180°C, gas flow
10 L/min; Capillary voltage 4500 V; ICC target 200,000; maximum accumulation time 50 ms;
rolling average 2; number of precursors ions selected 3, release after 0.2 min; Positive ion
mode; Scan mode: enhanced resolution; mass range scanned, 400-1700. A glucose
homopolymer ladder labelled with procainamide (Ludger; CPROC-GHP-30) was used as a
system suitability standard as well as an external calibration standard for GU allocation. ESI-
MS and MS/MS data analysis was performed using Bruker Compass DataAnalysis V4.1
software and GlycoWorkbench software[52].
498
Bioinformatics
Putative signal sequences were identified using SignalP 6.0[53]. Identities between different
sequences were determined using Clustal Omega using full sequences[54]. The CAZy database
(http://www.cazy.org) was used as the main reference for CAZymes[55]. Phylogenetic trees
were completed in SeaView[56] and final trees were produced in the Interactive Tree of Life
(iTOL)[57].
Pangenome analysis: To analyse the CAZymes across different species and strains, CAZyme
sequences were downloaded from UNIPROT using the get.seq function from the Bio3d
package in RStudio[58]. Sequence selection was based on the accession numbers of the
CAZymes from the most up to date version of the CAZy repository at the time. Sequence txt
files were converted to a FASTA format using the TabulartoFasta function from the following
GitHub repository: https://github.com/lrjoshi/FastaTabular. All multiple sequence alignment
and phylogenetic analysis was performed using Clustal Omega[54]. Percentage identity
matrices were downloaded directly from the programme and merged with the original file from
CAZy according to shared accession number. The presence or absence of different enzymes
and how well conserved they were across strains and species was curated by hand.
Structural comparisons: Where possible, protein models were retrieved from the AlphaFold
Protein Structure Database[59, 60]. Where the models had not been built, this was completed
using AlphaFold2 Colab[61]. Previously published protein structures were retrieved from the
RCSB Protein Data Bank. Different structures or models were superimposed using COOT[62]

and PyMOL was used to look at structures/models and generate figures. Glycan models were built using the Carbohydrate Builder on http://glycam.org.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Professor William Willat's group (Newcastle University, UK) for providing some of the substrates for us to test. Thank you to Carl Morland (Newcastle University, UK) for technical support. Many thanks to Professor Anne S. Meyer and Professor Willem van Schaik for their insightful comments about the manuscript. We would also like to thank Dr Stefan Janecek from the Slovak Academy of Sciences for generously sharing his insights regarding GH57 enzymes. The work was funded by The Academy of Medical Sciences (SBF0061175), the Wellcome Trust/Royal Society (224240/Z/21/Z), and T.O.Ojuri is funded by the BBSRC Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership (MIBTP) with his studentship in collaboration with industrial partners Ludger (Oxford, UK). Finally, thank you to fantastic parents/grandparents, Clare and Nick Crouch, who facilitated some of our experiments by babysitting late into the night.

Data Availability

The full RNA-seq data are provided in Supplementary Data 1 and submitted to https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/home with accession number PRJEB76658. The data that support the findings presented in this manuscript are available upon request from the corresponding authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1│Activity of the recombinantly-expressed glycoside hydrolases from AM against α-linked monosaccharides. a, Structural features that are expected in natural secreted mucin glycoproteins with epitopes highlighted. Only α-linkages are labelled apart from the core GalNAc monosaccharides which are also alpha linkages. The structure of an O-glycan chain is generally accepted to be categorised into three sections: 1) the core, consisting of an α-linked GalNAc attached to a serine or threonine, 2) the polyLacNAc extensions linked to the core GalNAc, and 3) the terminal 'capping' epitopes. The polyLacNAc extensions are generally LacNAc disaccharides linked through β1,3-bonds, but variable sulfation, fucosylation, and branching adds to the complexity and heterogeneity along these chains. Key ganglioside and globoside structures are also included. **b,** Volcano plot highlighting the differential gene expression of AM when grown with PGMIII compared to glucose. Genes which did not pass the threshold of significance (FDR (Benjamini-Hochberg) < 0.05) are coloured grey. Genes which passed the threshold of significance (FDR < 0.05) but had a Log2 fold change between -1.5 and 1.5 are coloured blue. Genes which were significantly differentially expressed (FDR < 0.05) and had a Log2 fold change < -1.5 or > 1.5 are coloured red. Enzymes from this study that were significantly differentially expressed are labelled. The total number of genes in the analysis was 2171. **c,** Thin layer chromatography of whole cell assay of PGMIII-grown AM against fresh PGMIII. A smear can be seen increasing in concentration over time. The glycans in these samples were then labelled with procainamide and analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. The chromatogram for the 9-hour sample is shown and the different glycan peaks are labelled. The data for all the time points is in Supplementary Figure 6. The data allows the reconstruction of the order of monosaccharides in an oligosaccharide but does not provide information about linkages. It is also not always possible to tell where a fucose or sulfate group are along the chain. **d,** A cocktail of enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 can completely degrade the O-glycans from PGMIII down to the core GalNAc. Top and bottom panel are a TLC and HPAEC-PAD of the results, respectively. PGMIII was incubated with a cocktail of enzymes (in pale blue box) and the reaction stopped by boiling (1). The result of this reaction was a large amount of monosaccharides that can be seen on the TLC and by HPAEC-PAD. This reaction was then dialysed to remove all free monosaccharides and glycans (2) and concentrated (3). Untreated PGMIII was also included as a control (4). The application of Amuc_1008[GH31] is indicated by plus signs and in samples 3 and 4, GalNAc can be seen to be released. Standards have also been included. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzymes.

Figure 2│Activity of the recombinantly-expressed glycoside hydrolases from AM against α-linked monosaccharides capping mucin. a, Activity of enzymes against PGMIII (pre-treated with Amuc_1835[GH33] and Amuc_1120[GH95]) and analysed using HPAEC-PAD. Standards were also run to identify monosaccharide products. Top panel: relevant area of chromatograms. Bottom panel: zoom in of where galactose elutes to show detail (dashed blue box in top panel). **b,** Activity of enzymes releasing α-GlcNAc, α-GalNAc, and α-fucose from GH16-released O-glycans from PGMIII. Top panel: full chromatograms with the two types of sialic acid highlighted in pink and purple. Bottom panel: zoom in of the smaller peaks (highlighted by the dashed orange box in the first panel). Black diamonds and black stars indicate glycan #10 and #20 peaks, respectively. Highlighted in yellow and blue are #11 and #12 peaks. The red asterisk indicated where a glycan is absent.

Figure 3│Activity of the glycoside hydrolases from families 2, 35, and 43 from AM against β-linked galactose substrates. a, Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues. Partial activity is when all the substrate has not been broken down in an end-point assay. **b,** The activity of the panel of β-galactosidases against PGMIII that had been sequentially degraded. Control 1 – no enzymes added, Control 2 - Amuc_1835[GH33] and Amuc_1120[GH95], Control 3 – Control 2 + Amuc_2108[GH16]. The top and bottom panels are the TLC and the LC-FLD-ESI-MS results, respectively. These assays were performed in stages, so the reactions were boiled in between

steps. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzymes.
Monosaccharide standards are shown in the right-hand lanes. **d**, Activity of the GH2/35/43
enzymes against Lactosylceramide. The assays were analysed using HPAEC-PAD and the
different controls confirm the release of galactose. Left panel – the different controls and
samples are stacked. Right panel – The assay chromatograms are overlaid for comparison so
the galactose peaks can be observed in more detail. The substrate could not be resolved
using this method, so whether the reaction had gone to completion could not be determined
and thin layer chromatography of the samples was also inconclusive. Enzyme assays were
carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzymes.

Figure 4│Activity of β-HexNAc'ases from glycoside hydrolases families 20, 84, 123 and
4 from AM. a, Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides.
The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are
represented by the lighter blues. Asterisk represents those substrates that were generated by
pre-treating with other CAZymes. **b**, The activity of the panel of β-HexNAc'ases against PGMIII
that had been sequentially degraded. Control 1: no enzymes added, Control 2:
Amuc_1835[GH33] and Amuc_1120[GH95], Control 3: Control 2+Amuc_2108[GH16], and Control 4:
Control 3+Amuc_0771[GH35]. The relevant area of the chromatograms of the LC-FLD-ESI-MS
data is shown and the two glycans are highlighted. Red asterisk indicate where a glycan is not
present. These assays were performed in stages, so the reactions were boiled in between
steps. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzymes. **c**,
TLC results of sequential assays against ganglioside GD1a. GDa1 was sequentially treated
with Amuc_1835[GH33] and then Amuc_0771[GH35] to (Lane B). The sample was then boiled and
a panel of β-HexNAc'ases added.

Figure 5│Illustration of the CAZymes characterised in this report against host glycans
by AM. The different type of substrates are grouped where possible. The enzymes listed for
each reaction are the ones that will work alone, but for the Lewis B structures the "and"
signifies that both enzymes are required to remove the fucose. For the SFLNT, the possible
fucosidase activities were not included, but Amuc_0392[GH29] can act on this substrate also.
Only alpha linkages are labelled apart from the core GalNAc monosaccharides which are also
alpha linkages.

Figure 6│A model for the degradation of mucins by *Akkermansia muciniphila* based on
current understanding. The GH enzymes included are only where activity has been
observed and the colour indicated the type of activity (see key). The localisation of all the
enzymes is based on the SignalP 6.0 prediction, apart from Amuc_2108[GH16] due to it being
observed in outer membrane analysis when AM was grown on mucin (Ottman *et al.* 2016) and
our whole cell assays support a GH16 being localised to the outside of the cell. The peptidases
included are both those that have been characterised and those that have been highlighted
as upregulated on mucin. The signal sequences of a peptidase and sulfatases potentially
localise them to the outside of the cell also. The numbers indicate the order that mucin is
degraded. 1:There is some processing of mucin on the surface, with both exo- and endo-GH
activities. Large sections of mucin are then imported into the cell for further breakdown.
2:Initially, there will be further exo- and endo-GH activities to produce fragments of O-glycans
and mucin polypeptide with only core glycan decoration remaining. 3:The O-glycan fragments
will then be broken down to monosaccharides through the alternating action of sulfatases,
fucosidases, β-galactosidases, and β-HexNAc'ases. 4:The remaining glycopeptides are
known targets for characterised *A. muciniphila* glycopeptidases and Amuc_1008[GH31] will
remove core GalNAc from the polypeptide. The stars indicate Mul1A association.

1. Johansson, M.E., Sjovall, H. & Hansson, G.C. The gastrointestinal mucus system in health and
disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **10**, 352-361 (2013).
2. Lang, T., Hansson, G.C. & Samuelsson, T. Gel-forming mucins appeared early in metazoan
evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 16209-16214 (2007).
3. Johansson, M.E. et al. The inner of the two Muc2 mucin-dependent mucus layers in colon is
devoid of bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 15064-15069 (2008).
4. Desai, M.S. et al. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier
and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. *Cell* **167**, 1339-1353.e1321 (2016).
5. Hall, A.B. et al. A novel Ruminococcus gnavus clade enriched in inflammatory bowel disease
patients. *Genome Med* **9**, 103 (2017).
6. Everard, A. et al. Cross-talk between Akkermansia muciniphila and intestinal epithelium
controls diet-induced obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 9066-9071 (2013).
7. Pittayanon, R. et al. Differences in Gut Microbiota in Patients With vs Without Inflammatory
Bowel Diseases: A Systematic Review. *Gastroenterology* **158**, 930-946 e931 (2020).
8. Png, C.W. et al. Mucolytic bacteria with increased prevalence in IBD mucosa augment in vitro
utilization of mucin by other bacteria. *Am J Gastroenterol* **105**, 2420-2428 (2010).
9. Rajilic-Stojanovic, M., Shanahan, F., Guarner, F. & de Vos, W.M. Phylogenetic analysis of
dysbiosis in ulcerative colitis during remission. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* **19**, 481-488 (2013).
10. Glover, J.S., Ticer, T.D. & Engevik, M.A. Characterizing the mucin-degrading capacity of the
human gut microbiota. *Sci Rep* **12**, 8456 (2022).
11. Raimondi, S., Musmeci, E., Candeliere, F., Amaretti, A. & Rossi, M. Identification of mucin
degraders of the human gut microbiota. *Sci Rep* **11**, 11094 (2021).
12. Derrien, M., Vaughan, E.E., Plugge, C.M. & de Vos, W.M. Akkermansia muciniphila gen. nov.,
sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **54**, 1469-
1476 (2004).
13. Belzer, C. & de Vos, W.M. Microbes inside-from diversity to function: the case of Akkermansia.
Isme J **6**, 1449-1458 (2012).
14. Collado, M.C., Derrien, M., Isolauri, E., de Vos, W.M. & Salminen, S. Intestinal integrity and
Akkermansia muciniphila, a mucin-degrading member of the intestinal microbiota present in
infants, adults, and the elderly. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**, 7767-7770 (2007).
15. Derrien, M., Collado, M.C., Ben-Amor, K., Salminen, S. & de Vos, W.M. The Mucin degrader
Akkermansia muciniphila is an abundant resident of the human intestinal tract. *Appl Environ
Microbiol* **74**, 1646-1648 (2008).
16. Anso, I. et al. Turning universal O into rare Bombay type blood. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1765 (2023).
17. Shuoker, B. et al. Sialidases and fucosidases of Akkermansia muciniphila are crucial for growth
on mucin and nutrient sharing with mucus-associated gut bacteria. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1833
(2023).
18. Crouch, L.I. et al. Prominent members of the human gut microbiota express endo-acting O-
glycanases to initiate mucin breakdown. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4017 (2020).
19. Huang, K. et al. Biochemical characterisation of the neuraminidase pool of the human gut
symbiont Akkermansia muciniphila. *Carbohydr Res* **415**, 60-65 (2015).
20. Jensen, M. et al. Akkermansia muciniphila exoglycosidases target extended blood group
antigens to generate ABO-universal blood. *Nat Microbiol* **9**, 1176-1188 (2024).
21. Trastoy, B., Naegeli, A., Anso, I., Sjögren, J. & Guerin, M.E. Structural basis of mammalian
mucin processing by the human gut O-glycopeptidase OgpA from Akkermansia muciniphila.
Nat Commun **11**, 4844 (2020).
22. Medley, B.J. et al. A previously uncharacterized O-glycopeptidase from Akkermansia
muciniphila requires the Tn-antigen for cleavage of the peptide bond. *J Biol Chem* **298**, 102439
(2022).
23. Shon, D.J. et al. An enzymatic toolkit for selective proteolysis, detection, and visualization of
mucin-domain glycoproteins. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **117**, 21299-21307 (2020).

24. Tsuji, T. & Osawa, T. Carbohydrate structures of bovine submaxillary mucin. *Carbohydr Res*
151, 391-402 (1986).
25. Rahfeld, P. et al. Prospecting for microbial alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidases yields a new
class of GH31 O-glycanase. *J Biol Chem* **294**, 16400-16415 (2019).
26. Miyazaki, T., Ikegaya, M. & Alonso-Gil, S. Structural and mechanistic insights into the substrate
specificity and hydrolysis of GH31 alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidase. *Biochimie* **195**, 90-99
(2022).
27. Ikegaya, M., Miyazaki, T. & Park, E.Y. Biochemical characterization of Bombyx mori alpha-N-
acetylgalactosaminidase belonging to the glycoside hydrolase family 31. *Insect Mol Biol* **30**,
367-378 (2021).
28. Jajosky, R.P. et al. ABO blood group antigens and differential glycan expression: Perspective
on the evolution of common human enzyme deficiencies. *iScience* **26**, 105798 (2023).
29. Rahfeld, P. et al. An enzymatic pathway in the human gut microbiome that converts A to
universal O type blood. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1475-1485 (2019).
30. Stenfelt, L. et al. Missense mutations in the C-terminal portion of the B4GALNT2-encoded
glycosyltransferase underlying the Sd(a-) phenotype. *Biochem Biophys Rep* **19**, 100659 (2019).
31. Groux-Degroote, S., Vicogne, D., Cogez, V., Schulz, C. & Harduin-Lepers, A. B4GALNT2 Controls
Sd(a) and SLe(x) Antigen Biosynthesis in Healthy and Cancer Human Colon. *Chembiochem* **22**,
3381-3390 (2021).
32. Qian, K. et al. Functional and structural characterization of a GH3 beta-N-
acetylhexosaminidase from Akkermansia muciniphila involved in mucin degradation. *Biochem*
Biophys Res Commun **589**, 186-191 (2022).
33. Shin, J. et al. Elucidation of Akkermansia muciniphila Probiotic Traits Driven by Mucin
Depletion. *Front Microbiol* **10**, 1137 (2019).
34. Ottman, N. et al. Genome-Scale Model and Omics Analysis of Metabolic Capacities of
Akkermansia muciniphila Reveal a Preferential Mucin-Degrading Lifestyle. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol **83** (2017).
35. Davey, L.E. et al. A genetic system for Akkermansia muciniphila reveals a role for mucin
foraging in gut colonization and host sterol biosynthesis gene expression. *Nat Microbiol* **8**,
1450-1467 (2023).
36. Stam, M. et al. SulfAtlas, the sulfatase database: state of the art and new developments.
Nucleic Acids Res **51**, D647-D653 (2023).
37. Luis, A.S. et al. A single sulfatase is required to access colonic mucin by a gut bacterium. *Nature*
598, 332-337 (2021).
38. Ulmer, J.E. et al. Characterization of glycosaminoglycan (GAG) sulfatases from the human gut
symbiont Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron reveals the first GAG-specific bacterial endosulfatase.
J Biol Chem **289**, 24289-24303 (2014).
39. Goel, R. et al. ABO blood group and COVID-19: a review on behalf of the ISBT COVID-19
Working Group. *Vox Sang* **116**, 849-861 (2021).
40. Hellberg, A., Westman, J.S., Thuresson, B. & Olsson, M.L. P1PK: the blood group system that
changed its name and expanded. *Immunohematology* **29**, 25-33 (2013).
41. Hult, A.K. & Olsson, M.L. The FORS awakens: review of a blood group system reborn.
Immunohematology **33**, 64-72 (2017).
42. Yamamoto, M., Tarasco, M.C., Cid, E., Kobayashi, H. & Yamamoto, F. ABO blood group A
transferase and its codon 69 substitution enzymes synthesize FORS1 antigen of FORS blood
group system. *Sci Rep* **9**, 9717 (2019).
43. Pilgaard, B. et al. Discovery of a Novel Glucuronan Lyase System in Trichoderma parareesei.
Appl Environ Microbiol **88**, e0181921 (2022).
44. Zhang, Z., Xie, J., Zhang, F. & Linhardt, R.J. Thin-layer chromatography for the analysis of
glycosaminoglycan oligosaccharides. *Anal Biochem* **371**, 118-120 (2007).

45. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics **34**, i884-i890 (2018).
46. Bray, N.L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq
quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 525-527 (2016).
47. Law, C.W., Chen, Y., Shi, W. & Smyth, G.K. voom: Precision weights unlock linear model
analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol* **15**, R29 (2014).
48. Brooke, D., Movahed, N. & Bothner, B. Universal buffers for use in biochemistry and
biophysical experiments. *AIMS Biophys* **2**, 336-342 (2015).
49. Pilgaard, B., Vuillemin, M., Holck, J., Wilkens, C. & Meyer, A.S. Specificities and Synergistic
Actions of Novel PL8 and PL7 Alginate Lyases from the Marine Fungus Paradendryphiella
salina. *J Fungi (Basel)* **7** (2021).
50. Domon, B. & Costello, C.E. A Systematic Nomenclature for Carbohydrate Fragmentations in
Fab-Ms Ms Spectra of Glycoconjugates. *Glycoconjugate J* **5**, 397-409 (1988).
51. Kozak, R.P., Tortosa, C.B., Fernandes, D.L. & Spencer, D.I. Comparison of procainamide and 2-
aminobenzamide labeling for profiling and identification of glycans by liquid chromatography
with fluorescence detection coupled to electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry. *Anal*
Biochem **486**, 38-40 (2015).
52. Ceroni, A. et al. GlycoWorkbench: a tool for the computer-assisted annotation of mass spectra
of glycans. *J Proteome Res* **7**, 1650-1659 (2008).
53. Teufel, F. et al. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language
models. *Nat Biotechnol* **40**, 1023-1025 (2022).
54. Sievers, F. et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments
using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 539 (2011).
55. Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M. & Henrissat, B. The
carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D490-495 (2014).
56. Gouy, M., Guindon, S. & Gascuel, O. SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user
interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol Biol Evol* **27**, 221-224
(2010).
57. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree
display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, W293-W296 (2021).
58. Grant, B.J., Skjaerven, L. & Yao, X.Q. The Bio3D packages for structural bioinformatics. *Protein*
Sci **30**, 20-30 (2021).
59. Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583-
589 (2021).
60. Varadi, M. et al. AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural
coverage of protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D439-
D444 (2022).
61. Mirdita, M. et al. ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**, 679-682
(2022).
62. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta*
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 486-501 (2010).

792
793

Figure 1│Activity of the recombinantly-expressed glycoside hydrolases from AM against α-linked monosaccharides. a, Structural features that are expected in natural secreted mucin glycoproteins with epitopes highlighted. Only α-linkages are labelled apart from the core GalNAc monosaccharides which are also alpha linkages. The structure of an O-glycan chain is generally accepted to be categorised into three sections: 1) the core, consisting of an α-linked GalNAc attached to a serine or threonine, 2) the polyLacNAc extensions linked to the core GalNAc, and 3) the terminal 'capping' epitopes. The polyLacNAc extensions are generally LacNAc disaccharides linked through β1,3-bonds, but variable sulfation, fucosylation, and branching adds to the complexity and heterogeneity along these chains. Key ganglioside and globoside structures are also included. **b,** Volcano plot highlighting the differential gene expression of AM when grown with PGMIII compared to glucose. Genes which did not pass the threshold of significance (FDR (Benjamini-Hochberg) < 0.05) are coloured grey. Genes which passed the threshold of significance (FDR < 0.05) but had a Log2 fold change between -1.5 and 1.5 are coloured blue. Genes which were significantly differentially expressed (FDR < 0.05) and had a Log2 fold change < -1.5 or > 1.5 are coloured red. Enzymes from this study that were significantly differentially expressed are labelled. The total number of genes in the analysis was 2171. **c,** Thin layer chromatography of whole cell assay of PGMIII-grown AM against fresh PGMIII. A smear can be seen increasing in concentration over time. The glycans in these samples were then labelled with procainamide and analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. The chromatogram for the 9-hour sample is shown and the different glycan peaks are labelled. The data for all the time points is in Supplementary Figure 6. The data allows the reconstruction of the order of monosaccharides in an oligosaccharide but does not provide information about linkages. It is also not always possible to tell where a fucose or sulfate group are along the chain. **d,** A cocktail of enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 can completely degrade the O-glycans from PGMIII down to the core GalNAc. Top and bottom panel are a TLC and HPAEC-PAD of the results, respectively. PGMIII was incubated with a cocktail of enzymes (in pale blue box) and the reaction stopped by boiling (1). The result of this reaction was a large amount of monosaccharides that can be seen on the TLC and by HPAEC-PAD. This reaction was then dialysed to remove all free monosaccharides and glycans (2) and concentrated (3). Untreated PGMIII was also included as a control (4). The application of Amuc_1008[GH31] is indicated by plus signs and in samples 3 and 4, GalNAc can be seen to be released. Standards have also been included. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzymes.

Figure 2│Activity of the recombinantly-expressed glycoside hydrolases from AM against α-linked monosaccharides capping mucin. a, Activity of enzymes against PGMIII (pre-treated with Amuc_1835^GH33 and Amuc_1120^GH95) and analysed using HPAEC-PAD. Standards were also run to identify monosaccharide products. Top panel: relevant area of chromatograms. Bottom panel: zoom in of where galactose elutes to show detail (dashed blue box in top panel). **b,** Activity of enzymes releasing α-GlcNAc, α-GalNAc, and α-fucose from GH16-released O-glycans from PGMIII. Top panel: full chromatograms with the two types of sialic acid highlighted in pink and purple. Bottom panel: zoom in of the smaller peaks (highlighted by the dashed orange box in the first panel). Black diamonds and black stars indicate glycan #10 and #20 peaks, respectively. Highlighted in yellow and blue are #11 and #12 peaks. The red asterisk indicated where a glycan is absent.

Figure 3│Activity of the glycoside hydrolases from families 2, 35, and 43 from AM against β-linked galactose substrates. a, Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues. Partial activity is when all the substrate has not been broken down in an end-point assay. **b**, The activity of the panel of β-galactosidases against PGMIII that had been sequentially degraded. Control 1 – no enzymes added, Control 2 - Amuc_1835[GH33] and Amuc_1120[GH95], Control 3 – Control 2 + Amuc_2108[GH16]. The top and bottom panels are the TLC and the LC-FLD-ESI-MS results, respectively. These assays were performed in stages, so the reactions were boiled in between steps. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. Monosaccharide standards are shown in the right-hand lanes. **d**, Activity of the GH2/35/43 enzymes against Lactosylceramide. The assays were analysed using HPAEC-PAD and the different controls confirm the release of galactose. Left panel – the different controls and samples are stacked. Right panel – The assay chromatograms are overlaid for comparison so the galactose peaks can be observed in more detail. The substrate could not be resolved using this method, so whether the reaction had gone to completion could not be determined and thin layer chromatography of the samples was also inconclusive. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes.

Figure 4 │ Activity of β-HexNAc'ases from glycoside hydrolases families 20, 84, 123 and 4 from AM. a, Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues. Asterisk represents those substrates that were generated by pre-treating with other CAZymes. **b**, The activity of the panel of β-HexNAc'ases against PGMIII that had been sequentially degraded. Control 1: no enzymes added, Control 2: Amuc_1835[GH33] and Amuc_1120[GH95], Control 3: Control 2+Amuc_2108[GH16], and Control 4: Control 3+Amuc_0771[GH35]. The relevant area of the chromatograms of the LC-FLD-ESI-MS data is shown and the two glycans are highlighted. Red asterisk indicate where a glycan is not present. These assays were performed in stages, so the reactions were boiled in between steps. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzymes. **c**, TLC results of sequential assays against ganglioside GD1a. GDa1 was sequentially treated with Amuc_1835[GH33] and then Amuc_0771[GH35] to (Lane B). The sample was then boiled and a panel of β-HexNAc'ases added.

Figure 5│Illustration of the CAZymes characterised in this report against host glycans by AM. The different type of substrates are grouped where possible. The enzymes listed for each reaction are the ones that will work alone, but for the Lewis B structures the "and" signifies that both enzymes are required to remove the fucose. For the SFLNT, the possible fucosidase activities were not included, but Amuc_0392[GH29] can act on this substrate also. Only alpha linkages are labelled apart from the core GalNAc monosaccharides which are also alpha linkages.

Figure 6│A model for the degradation of mucins by *Akkermansia muciniphila* based on current understanding. The GH enzymes included are only where activity has been observed and the colour indicated the type of activity (see key). The localisation of all the enzymes is based on the SignalP 6.0 prediction, apart from Amuc_2108^GH16 due to it being observed in outer membrane analysis when AM was grown on mucin (Ottman *et al.* 2016) and our whole cell assays support a GH16 being localised to the outside of the cell. The peptidases included are both those that have been characterised and those that have been highlighted as upregulated on mucin. The signal sequences of a peptidase and sulfatases potentially localise them to the outside of the cell also. The numbers indicate the order that mucin is degraded. 1:There is some processing of mucin on the surface, with both exo- and endo-GH activities. Large sections of mucin are then imported into the cell for further breakdown. 2:Initially, there will be further exo- and endo-GH activities to produce fragments of O-glycans and mucin polypeptide with only core glycan decoration remaining. 3:The O-glycan fragments will then be broken down to monosaccharides through the alternating action of sulfatases, fucosidases, β-galactosidases, and β-HexNAc'ases. 4:The remaining glycopeptides are known targets for characterised *A. muciniphila* glycopeptidases and Amuc_1008^GH31 will remove core GalNAc from the polypeptide. The stars indicate Mul1A association.

Supplementary Information

Carbohydrate-active enzymes from *Akkermansia muciniphila* breakdown mucin O-glycans to completion

Cassie R. Bakshani[1], Taiwo O. Ojuri[1], Bo Pilgaard[2], Jesper Holck[2], Ross McInnes[1], Radoslaw P Kozak[3], Maria Zakhour[4], Sara Çakaj[1], Manon Kerouedan[1], Emily Newton[1], David N. Bolam[4], and Lucy I. Crouch[1*]

1 Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT.
2 Protein Chemistry and Enzyme Technology Section, DTU Bioengineering, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs, Lyngby, Denmark.
3 Ludger Ltd, Culham Campus, Abingdon, OX14 3EB, UK.
4 Biosciences Institute, Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH, UK.
*Address correspondence to l.i.crouch@bham.ac.uk

Contents

	Enzyme	Headline	Predicted localisation	Importance judged by TnSeq (LogFC -1.5 and lower)	Interaction with Mul1A	Highly conserved across different *Akkermansia* species	Cloning
Sialidases	Amuc_0625[GH33]	Broad-acting	90 % SPI, 7 % SPII			✓	pET28b Cl Kan
Sialidases	Amuc_1547[GH181]	α1,3 only	51 % SPII, 48 % SPI			✓	pET28b Cl Kan
Sialidases	Amuc_1835[GH33]	Broad-acting	99 % SPI	✓			pET28b Cl Kan
Fucosidases	Amuc_0010[GH29]	Specific for BGH type II structures	99 % SPI				pET28b GS Kan
Fucosidases	Amuc_0146[GH29]	★Puzzle★	71 % SPI, 27 % other	✓			pET28b Cl Kan
Fucosidases	Amuc_0186[GH95]	2FL only	99 % SPI				pET21b Cl Amp
Fucosidases	Amuc_0392[GH29]	Very broad for α1,3/4	99 % SPI			✓	pET28b Cl Kan
Fucosidases	Amuc_0846[GH29]	Very broad for α1,3/4 (apart from SFLNT)	94 % SPI, 6 % SPII				pET28b Cl Kan
Fucosidases	Amuc_1120[GH95]	Broad α 1,2 – only one that can handle BGH I	33 % SPI, 65 % other	✓	✓		pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1187[GH27]	Broad α-galactosidase	99 % SPI				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1008[GH31]	Specificity for the core α-GalNAc	99 % SPI				pET21b Cl Amp
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1870[GH31]	★Puzzle★ pNP-α-Glc	Other				pET21b Cl Amp
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0216[GH36]	Broad α-GalNAc'ase. Only enzyme to degrade Tn and Forssman antigens.	74 % SPII, 26 % SPI				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0517[GH36]	Specificity for BGA	99 % SPI				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0855[GH36]	Specificity for Galα1,3Gal	Other				pET21b Cl Amp
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1868[GH57]	★Puzzle★ pNP-α-Glc	Other	✓		✓	pET28b Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1260[GH63]	★Puzzle★	99 % SPI				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0060[GH89]	α-GlcNAc'ase but true substrate unclear	99 % SPI	✓			pET28b Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1220[GH89]	GlcNAcα1,4-Gal disaccharide	63 % SPI, 37 % SPII	✓	✓		pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1420[GH97]	α-galactosidase, likely requires +2 subsite	60 % SPI, 39 % SPII				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0017[GH109]	α-GalNAc'ase (not BGA or Tn)	TAT SPI			✓	pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0920[GH109]	α-GalNAc'ase (not BGA or Tn)	TAT SPI				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_0480[GH110]	α-galactosidase (not globotriose or P1 antigen)	23 % SPI, 75 % other				pET28b Cl Kan
α-linked exo activities	Amuc_1463[GH110]	Broad α-galactosidase	99 % SPI				pET28b GS Kan
β-galactosidas	Amuc_0290[GH2]	Broad β-galactosidase	99 % SPI	✓	✓	✓	pET21b Cl Amp
β-galactosidas	Amuc_0539[GH2]	Galβ1,3Gal/GalNAc	99 % SPI	✓	✓	✓	pET28b Cl Kan
β-galactosidas	Amuc_0771[GH35]	Requires a Glc/GlcNAc in the +1 position for	98 % SPI				pET21b Cl Amp

Category	Gene	Description	Signal peptide				Vector
		β1,4-linked. Not β1,6 substrates.					
	Amuc_0824[GH2]	β1,3-galactosidase	55 % SPII, 44 % SPI		✓	✓	pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_1666[GH2]	β1,4-galactosidase with a Glc sugar in the +1 subsite	99 % SPI	✓		✓	pET28b Kan
	Amuc_1667[GH2]	True substrate not identified, partial against GA2	99 % SPI	✓	✓		pET28b Kan
	Amuc_1686[GH35]	β1,3/6-galactosidase	99 % SPI			✓	pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_0497[GH43]	★Puzzle★	99 % SPI	✓			pET28b Kan
	Amuc_0498[GH43]	★Puzzle★ pNP-β-Gal	94 % SPII, 6 % SPI				pET29b Kan
β-HexNAc'ases	Amuc_0369[GH20]	Broad β-HexNAc'ase	99 % SPI				pET28b Kan
	Amuc_0397[GH20]	β1,3-GalNAc'ase, true substrate not identified	99 % SPI	✓			pET28b Kan
	Amuc_0868[GH20]	Broad β-HexNAc'ase	55 % SPI, 43 % SPII		✓		pET28b Kan
	Amuc_1032[GH20]	GlcNAcβ1,3Gal specificity, requires +2 sugar	99 % SPI			✓	pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_1669[GH20]	β1,3-HexNAc preference (host substrates only)	90 % SPI, 10 % SPII	✓	✓		pET28b Kan
	Amuc_1815[GH20]	β1,3-GalNAc'ase, true substrate not identified	61 % SPI, 39 % SPII				pET28b Kan
	Amuc_1924[GH20]	β-GalNAc'ase, not P antigen	99 % SPI				pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_2018[GH20]	β1,3-HexNAc preference, not P antigen	99 % SPI				pET28b Kan
	Amuc_2019[GH20]	Broad β-HexNAc'ase	69 % SPI, 29 % SPII				pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_2136[GH20]	Broad β-HexNAc'ase, not GA2	99 % SPI	✓			pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_2148[GH20]	β1,3-GlcNAc'ase preference	99 % SPI	✓			pET28b Kan
	Amuc_0052[GH84]	Broad β-GlcNAc'ase (host substrates only)	99 % SPI	✓			pET21b Cl Amp
	Amuc_0803[GH123]	Broad β-GalNAc'ase	99 % SPI		✓	✓	pET21b Amp
	Amuc_2109[GH3]	β-GlcNAc'ase, true substrate not identified	Other				pET28b Kan
GAGs	Amuc_0778[PL38]	HA, CSA, CSC, and DS	99 % SPI				pET28b Kan
	Amuc_0863[GH105]	Products of PL38	93 % SPI				pET28b Kan
Sulfatases	Amuc_0451[Sulf20]	3'S-LNB and LacNAc	51 % SPII, 48 % SPI		✓	Not tested	pET28b GS Kan
	Amuc_0491[Sulf20]	3'S-LNB	99 % SPI	✓	✓	Not tested	pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_0565[Sulf4]		99 % SPI			Not tested	pET28b GS Kan
	Amuc_0864[Sulf26]		Other			Not tested	pET28b GS Kan
	Amuc_0953[Sulf20]	3'S-LNB and LacNAc	99 % SPI			Not tested	pET28b GS Kan
	Amuc_1033[Sulf11]	6S-GlcNAc	87 % SPI, 12 % SPII			Not tested	pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_1182[Sulf19]		99 % SPI	✓		Not tested	pET28b GS Kan
	Amuc_1290[Sulf]		Other	✓		Not tested	pET28b GS Kan
	Amuc_1655[Sulf16]	4S-Gal	99 % SPI			Not tested	pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_1755[Sulf16]	4S-Gal/GalNAc	70 % SPII, 30 % SPI			Not tested	pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_1074[Sulf11]	6S-GlcNAc	99 % SPI			Not tested	pET29b GS Kan

	Amuc_0121^{Sulf15}	Partial 6S-Gal/GalNAc	99 % SPI			Not tested	pET29b GS Kan
?	Amuc_1216^{GH177}	★Puzzle★	TAT SPI			✓	pET28b Cl Kan
	Amuc_0623^{GHnc}	★Puzzle★	99 % SPI				pET28b Cl Kan
Starch	Amuc_1621^{GH77}	Ladder of products from amylose	Other				pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_1637^{GH13}	★Puzzle★	Other				pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_1751^{GH13}	★Puzzle★	Other	✓			pET29b GS Kan
	Amuc_1812^{GH13}	★Puzzle★	Other	✓			pET28b Cl Kan

47   GS – gene synthesis. Cl – cloned.

50 **Supplementary Table 2. Substrates.** Details about the substrates used in this work and the
51 concentration they were used at.
52

Substrate	Source	Further info	Concentration used at
2' fucosyllactose	Biosynth	OF067391402	1 mM
2'-Fucosyllactose synthetic	Biosynth	OF067391501	1 mM
3 Fucosyllactose	Biosynth	OF056731501	1 mM
3' Sulfated lewis A	Biosynth	OS05428	1 mM
3' Sulfated Lewis X	Biosynth	OS04132	1 mM
3'-O-sulfated Lewis A	Biosynth	OS054281601	1 mM
3'-O-sulfated Lewis X	Biosynth	OS041321801	1 mM
3'-Sialyl Lewis A	Biosynth	OS007451301	1 mM
3'-Sialyl Lewis X	Biosynth	OS040581801	1 mM
3'-sialyllactose	Biosynth	OS043971701	1 mM
4-O-sulfated GalNAc	Dextra	G1054	1 mM
6-O-sulfated GalNAc	Dextra	G1052	1 mM
6-O-sulfated GlcNAc	Dextra	G1004	1 mM
6'-O-sulfated Lewis A	Biosynth	OS58478	1 mM
6-O-sulfated Lewis A	Biosynth	OS58480	1 mM
6'-O-sulfated Lewis X	Biosynth	OS58479	1 mM
6-O-sulfated Lewis X	Biosynth	OS58481	1 mM
6SL	Biosynth	OS043981301	1 mM
Agarose	Sigma	A-941450g	10 mg/mL
Amylopectin	Sigma	10120-250g	10 mg/mL
Amylose	Sigma	A0512-250mg	10 mg/mL
Blood Group A Type II	Biosynth	OA11696	1 mM
Blood Group B Type I	Biosynth	OB159055	1 mM
Blood Group B Type I hexasaccharide	Biosynth	OB159055	1 mM
Blood Group B Type II	Biosynth	OB09577	1 mM
Blood Group B Type II hexasaccharide	Biosynth	OB09577	1 mM
Blood Group H Disaccharide	Biosynth	OB059071501	1 mM
Blood Group H Type I	Biosynth	OB64806	1 mM
Blood Group H Type II	Biosynth	OB083581401	1 mM
Bovine Ribonuclease B	Sigma	R7884-100mg	10 mg/ml
Bovine submaxillary mucin	Sigma	M3895-500mg	10 mg/mL
Cellulase from Trichoderma viride	VWR	390744E	10 mg/mL
Chitobiose	Biosynth	OD007691201	1 mM
Chitohexose	Biosynth	OH07433	1 mM
Chitopentaose	Biosynth	OP04834	1 mM
Chitotetraose	Biosynth	OT04211	1 mM
Chitotriose	Biosynth	OT06497	1 mM
Chondroitin sulphate	Biosynth	YC314581701	20 mg/mL
Colominic acid			25 mg/ml
Dextran from Leuconostoc mesenteroides	Sigma	D1037-50g CAS 9004-0 (C6H1005)n	10 mg/mL
D-galactose-4-O-sulfate	Dextra	G0012	1 mM
D-galactose-6-O-sulfate	Dextra	G0011	1 mM
Difucosyllacto-N-hexaose	Biosynth	OD06532	1 mM
Forssman antigen	Biosynth	OF456291801	1 mM
Fucan	Danisco	W6-16	10 mg/mL
Fucoidan (Fucus vesiculosus)	Sigma	F5631	10 mg/mL
Galactomannan	Megazyme	10501b	10 mg/mL
GalNAc-α1,3-gal	Biosynth	OA080851601	1 mM
GalNAc-β1,3-Gal	Biosynth	OA080841401	1 mM
Gal-α1,3-Gal	Biosynth	OG064911501	1 mM
Gal-α1,3-GalNAc	Biosynth	OA06694	1 mM
Gal-β1,3-GalNAc	Biosynth	OA01686	1 mM
Gal-β1,3-GalNac-β1,4-Gal-β1,4-Glc	Biosynth	OG448341901	1 mM
Gal-β1,3-Glc	Biosynth	OG101421201	1 mM
Gal-β1,4-Gal	Biosynth	OG150711701	1 mM
Gal-β1,4-GalNAc	Biosynth	OA09634	1 mM
Gal-β1,6-Gal			1 mM
Gal-β1,6-GalNAc	Biosynth	OA04443	1 mM
GD1a	Biosynth	OO35336	1 mM
GlcNAc-α1,4-gal	Dextra	GN104	1 mM
GlcNAc-β1,2-Man	Biosynth	OA044511001	1 mM

GlcNAc-β1,3-Gal	Elicityl	GLY155-5mg	1 mM
GlcNAc-β1,3-Man	Biosynth	OA067381201	1 mM
Globotriose	Biosynth	OG016261801	1 mM
Glucosyl ceramide	Biosynth	MG16326	10 mM
Glucuronan			1 mM
GT1b	Biosynth	OO16323	1 mM
Heparin	Biosynth	YH09354	10 mg/mL
Hyaluronic acid	Biosynth	YH72470	10 mg/mL
Isomaltose			1 mM
LacNAc	Biosynth	OA0822441801	1 mM
Lacto-N-biose	Biosynth	OL017071301	1 mM
Lacto-N-difucohexaose I	Biosynth	OL01664	1 mM
Lacto-N-difucohexaose II	Biosynth	OL06826	1 mM
Lacto-N-fucopentaose II	Biosynth	OL03876	1 mM
Lacto-N-fucopentaose II	Biosynth	OL03876	1 mM
Lacto-N-hexose	Biosynth	OL02436	1 mM
Lacto-N-neotetraose	Biosynth	OL05765	1 mM
Lacto-N-tetraose	Dextra or Biosynth	CCDX233-132 or OL1626651802	1 mM
Lacto-N-tetraose	Biosynth	OL1626651802	1 mM
Lacto-N-triose	Made from Lacto-N-tetraose		1 mM
Lactose	Merck		1 mM
Lactosylceramide	Biosynth	OL16325	1 mM
Laminarin	Sigma	I-9634	1 mM
Lewis A	Biosynth		1 mM
Lewis A Trisaccharide	Biosynth	OL045411102	1 mM
Lewis B	Biosynth	OL02434	1 mM
Lewis B tetrasaccharide	Biosynth	OL024341601	1 mM
Lewis X	Biosynth	OL064901301	1 mM
Lewis Y	Biosynth	OL065211701	1 mM
Lewis Y tetrasaccharide	Biosynth	OL005210901	1 mM
Lichenan	Biosynth	BS-3263c-1g	10 mg/mL
Maltose	Sigma		1 mM
Man-α1,2-man	Biosynth		1 mM
Man-α1,3-man	Biosynth		1 mM
Man-α1,4-man	Biosynth		1 mM
Man-α1,6-man	Biosynth		1 mM
Mannan fom Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Sigma	M7504-5g	10 mg/mL
Melibiose	Sigma	O47H1430	1 mM
Mucin from porcine stomach, type III	Sigma	M1778-100g	10 mg/mL
N-acetyl-D-glucosamine-3,6-di-O-sulfate	Dextra	G1033	1 mM
Neutral HMOs	Biosynth	OH165969	6 mM
P1 antigen			1 mM
paralacto-N-hexaose	Biosynth	OL068391403	1 mM
Paralacto-N-neohexose	Biosynth	OL068391403	1 mM
paralacto-N-neohexose			1 mM
Porphyran	Extracted from Porphyra sp.		10 mg/mL
Pullulan	Megazyme	90801a	10 mg/mL
Raffinose	Sigma	RO250-25g	1 mM
Sialylated HMOs	Biosynth	OH165970	2 mM
Sialylfucosyllacto-N-tetraose	Biosynth	OS06576	1 mM
Siaylfucosyllacto-N-tetraose	Biosynth	OS06576	1 mM
Sodium alginate food grade	Sigma		10 mg/mL
Stachyose	Sigma	S4001-1g	1 mM
Starch	Sigma	S-9765	10 mg/mL
T antigen	Biosynth	OG075251401	1 mM
TetraLacNAc	Elicityl	GLY007-3	1 mM
Tn antigen	Biosynth	MA073781302	1 mM
Trehalose dihydrate	EMD Millipore	625625-50gm	1 mM
TriLacNAc	Elicityl	GLY007-2	1 mM
Ulvan (Enteromorpha spp.)	Elicityl Oligotech	Ulv101	10 mg/mL
Ulvan (Ulva spp.)	Elicityl Oligotech	Ulv100	10 mg/mL
α1 AGP	Sigma	G3643-100mg	10 mg/ml
ι-carrageenan	Extracted from Eucheuma denticulatum		10 mg/mL
κ-carrageenan	Extracted from Kappaphycus alvarezii		10 mg/mL

λ-carrageenan	Sigma	Rec lot MO68102-002/ Man lot: BCBP8978V/ Exp Date: NOV 21, 2021	10 mg/mL
Polygalacturonic acid	Sigma		10 mg/mL

55 **Supplementary Table 3 Prevalence of GH36 enzymes in *Akkermansia* strains.** For this
56 analysis, we took the GH36 sequences Extra 1 and 2 from *A. biwaensis.*
57
58

	Amuc_0517	Amuc_0855	Amuc_0216	Extra 1	Extra 2
Amuc_0517	100	17	17	14	17
Amuc_0855		100	19	17	20
Amuc_0216			100	32	33
Extra 1				100	35
Extra 2					100

Scale colour coding	100-91	90-81	80-71	70-61	60-51	50-41	40-31	30-21	20-11	10-0

59
60
61
62
63 **Supplementary Table 4 Percentage identity between GH2 family members from *A.***
64 ***muciniphila* BAA-835**
65

	Amuc_0824	Amuc_1666	Amuc_0290	Amuc_0539	Amuc_1667
Amuc_0824	100	39	22	22	20
Amuc_1666		100	20	21	24
Amuc_0290			100	26	27
Amuc_0539				100	28
Amuc_1667					100

Scale colour coding	100-91	90-81	80-71	70-61	60-51	50-41	40-31	30-21	20-11	10-0

66
67
68
69
70 **Supplementary Table 5. Percentage identity between GH20 family members from *A.***
71 ***muciniphila* BAA-835**
72

	Amuc_1924	Amuc_0367	Amuc_1815	Amuc_2136	Amuc_1032	Amuc_2018	Amuc_2019	Amuc_2148	Amuc_0868	Amuc_0369	Amuc_1669
Amuc_1924	100	27	29	21	23	23	23	26	27	26	27
Amuc_0367		100	30	20	25	27	28	30	29	27	24
Amuc_1815			100	20	23	24	23	21	23	23	25
Amuc_2136				100	21	23	23	24	22	23	21
Amuc_1032					100	41	42	26	31	28	26
Amuc_2018						100	72	29	32	30	27
Amuc_2019							100	26	32	30	26
Amuc_2148								100	31	32	30
Amuc_0868									100	37	35
Amuc_0369										100	54
Amuc_1669											100

Scale colour coding	100-91	90-81	80-71	70-61	60-51	50-41	40-31	30-21	20-11	10-0

74
75
76
77 **Supplementary Table 6. Percentage identity between putative sulfatases from *A.***
78 ***muciniphila* BAA-835**
79

	Amuc_1033	Amuc_1074	Amuc_0121	Amuc_0491	Amuc_0565	Amuc_1182	Amuc_1655	Amuc_1755	Amuc_0451	Amuc_0953	Amuc_0864	Amuc_1290
Amuc_1033	100	61	28	26	26	24	26	27	22	22	13	14
Amuc_1074		100	27	24	27	25	25	26	21	20	15	15
Amuc_0121			100	29	28	30	27	25	24	24	12	11
Amuc_0491				100	32	29	26	24	29	29	15	15
Amuc_0565					100	37	27	26	25	26	11	14
Amuc_1182						100	28	26	25	24	14	15
Amuc_1655							100	63	28	30	12	15
Amuc_1755								100	27	27	10	15
Amuc_0451									100	58	11	16
Amuc_0953										100	12	19
Amuc_0864											100	20
Amuc_1290												100

Scale colour coding	100-91	90-81	80-71	70-61	60-51	50-41	40-31	30-21	20-11	10-0

81
82

Supplementary Table 7. Specific activities calculated from the highest rates observed in the kinetic analysis experiments of Amuc_0778[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105]. One Unit was defined as µmol double bond produced/converted per minute. Initial substrate concentrations (µM double bonds) for Amuc_0863[GH105] was calculated based on the absorbance (A235) of the samples after terminated overnight reactions of Amuc_0778[PL38].

Substrates	Amuc_0778[PL38]			Amuc_0863[GH105]		
	Specific activity (U · mg^{-1})	Substrate concentration (g · l^{-1})	Relative activity (%)	Specific activity (U · mg^{-1})	Substrate concentration (uM)	Relative activity (%)
Hyaluronic acid	29.373 ± 0.710	12	100	0.029 ± 0.002	1036	42
Chondroitin sulfate A	29.393 ± 0.401	12	100	0.069 ± 0.012	1016	100
Chondroitin sulfate C	4.426 ± 0.080	12	15	0.025 ± 0.002	1172	36
Dermatan sulfate	2.274 ± 0.258	8	8	0.026 ± 0.001	540	38
Heparin	nd.	-	-	nd.	-	-
Polymannuronic acid	nd.	-	-	nd.	-	-
Polyguluronic acid	nd.	-	-	nd.	-	-
Polyglucuronic acid	nd.	-	-	nd.	-	-
Polygalacturonic acid	nd.	-	-	nd.	-	-

Supplementary Table 8. Kinetic parameters for Amuc_0778[PL38] on four different substrates. Parameters were obtained by fitting the Michaelis-Menten model to the initial rates for increasing substrate concentrations. ± represents standard deviations from triplicate experiments.

	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_M (g · l^{-1})	k_{cat} / K_M (s^{-1} g · l^{-1})
Hyaluronic acid	23.13 ± 0.81	2.98 ± 0.27	7.79 ± 0.45
Chondroitin sulfate A	29.24 ± 2.00	6.38 ± 0.93	4.62 ± 0.38
Chondroitin sulfate C	3.06 ± 0.11	3.06 ± 0.41	1.01 ± 0.11
Dermatan sulfate	0.77 ± 0.12	1.51 ± 0.50	0.53 ± 0.10

RNA-seq
The transcriptional response of AM varied greatly when grown with the addition of mucin
compared to glucose. A total of 201 genes (9.3%) were differentially expressed with 76
significantly upregulated (Log2 Fold Change > 1.5 & FDR < 0.05) and 125 significantly
downregulated (Log2 Fold Change < -1.5 & FDR < 0.05). Of the 67 enzymes in this study, 22
were significantly upregulated and 0 were significantly downregulated.
107
Pangenome analysis
One way to assess the importance of the AM CAZymes to the species in terms of mucin
degradation is to review how prevalent and conserved the enzymes are over multiple *A.*
muciniphila isolates (Supplementary Figure 3). In this analysis we also included *Akkermansia*
biwaensis WON2089, twelve *Akkermansia massiliensis* strains, and five *Candidatus*
Akkermansia timonensis strains. The latter two species have recently been reclassified as
their own species but were previously cluster II and III of *A. muciniphila*[1]. The isolates included
in this analysis were from a range of human faecal sources, including different collection
efforts, geographical location, adults, and children.
117
The striking aspect of this analysis was how highly most of these enzymes were conserved
between *A. muciniphila* strains (>90 %). There were a few examples of enzymes not being
present in many strains (e.g. Amuc_0875[GH16] and Amuc_0146[GH29]), but generally enzymes
were present. As another generalisation, the other species assessed did have close
homologues of the CAZymes in *A. muciniphila* BAA-835, with *A. massiliensis* having higher
identity than *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
Additional enzymes were also identified during this analysis:
• A GH2 in some *A. muciniphila* strains.
• A GH2 in *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
• A GH16 in *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
• A GH27 in *A. massiliensis.*
• A GH27 in *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
• A GH29 in many of the *A. muciniphila* strains
• A GH29 in most *A. massiliensis.*
• A GH29 in *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
• A GH36 in *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
• A GH36 in *A. massiliensis, A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
• A GH84 in most of all strains and species assessed.
• A GH95 in *A. massiliensis.*
• A GH95 in *A. biwaensis* and *Candidatus A. timonensis*.
138
Further description of enzyme specificities and analysis of structural models
<u>α-galactosidases</u>
GH27: The characterised activities from GH27 family members so far include α-
galactosidases, α-GalNAc'ases, β-L-arabinopyranosidases, and isomaltodextrinases.
Bacterial GH27 family members with activity against α-Gal have been characterised in the
context of plant polysaccharides, pectic galactan and galactomannan, for example, and there
are no structures of these enzymes[2, 3]. Therefore, Amuc_1187[GH27] is the first example of an α-
galactosidase GH27 family member of prokaryotic origin with specificity for animal-type
substrates rather than those of plant. The structural and mutational studies of two GH27
enzymes from humans has previously been insightful in terms of understanding their differing
specificities for α-galactose or α-GalNAc[4]. In humans, two GH27 enzymes, one α-
galactosidase and one α-GalNAc'ase (48 % sequence identity), are involved in the recycling
of glycolipids and glycoproteins. Deficiencies in these enzymes in humans is linked to several
lysosomal storage diseases due to the accumulation of glycoconjugates. In contrast to
Amuc_1187[GH27], the human enzymes can accommodate the fucose in the blood group
structures to hydrolyse either α-Gal or α-GalNAc[5]. Between these two human enzymes,

eleven out of thirteen amino acids coordinating the sugar in the -1 subsite were identical.
Those that differed were key to the accommodation of either an α-Gal or α-GalNAc. In the α-
GalNAc'ase, there were relatively small residues (serine and alanine) coordinating at the N-
acetyl group of the GalNAc, but in the α-galactosidase these residues were larger (glutamate
and leucine) thus prohibiting the binding of GalNAc (Supplementary Figure 15). Mutation of
the key residues in both enzymes to that of the other, allowed the swapping of specificities[4].
Amuc_1187[GH27] has approximately 30 % sequence identity to the human enzymes, but in
terms of the active site, the residues coordinating the -1 sugar in the two human enzymes are
generally conserved in Amuc_1187[GH27] and it has a serine and asparagine at the critical
locations (S320 and N321), aligning with the observed activity of α-galactosidase
(Supplementary Figure 15).
166
GH97: Amuc_1420[GH97] was able to hydrolyse galactose from PGMIII from samples with or
without sialidase and fucosidase pre-treatment, comparable to that of the other AM α-
galactosidases (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 18). It was also able to hydrolyse α-
galactose capping substrates (not blood groups) and had a preference for the trisaccharide
globotriose. Intrigued by this contrast in activities, we analysed an Alphafold model of
Amuc_1420[GH97] alongside available GH97 structures. The only GH97 α-galactosidase crystal
structure with a ligand is that of BT1871 from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, which has been
crystallised with a lactose that also had an α-linked galactose to the Glc through a 1,1-linkage[6].
This ligand was overlaid into the Alphafold model for Amuc_1420[GH97] and suggests there
would be significant interactions with the sugar in the +2 subsite through a tryptophan (W461)
(Supplementary Figure 17). This likely explains why poorer activity is detected against
disaccharide host-type substrates compared to trisaccharides and complex substrates.
179
GH36: There are three putative GH36 enzymes encoded in the AM genome, and these have
relatively low sequence identity between them (Supplementary Table 3). The family members
characterised so far display exo-acting activities towards α-Gal or α-GalNAc, with attention
predominantly on the former in terms of raffinose-type oligosaccharides and galactomannan.
Amuc_0855[GH36] was able to liberate galactose from Galili antigen, globotriose, and PGMIII
with or without sialidase and fucosidase treatment (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 18).
Galili antigen is expressed by most mammals and some other animals, but not humans, apes,
and Old World monkeys[7]. Therefore, gut microbes would only have access to this structure
through animal products in the diet of humans. On the phylogenetic tree, this enzyme clusters
with α-galactosidases characterised to be active against both galactomannan and raffinose-
type oligosaccharides (Supplementary Figure 16). Amuc_0855[GH36] also had trace activity
against these substrates, but no growth was observed on these substrates for AM, so this is
unlikely to be physiologically relevant (Supplementary Figure 1).
193
194 The whole cell assays showed no activity against the defined substrates with α-galactose at
195 the non-reducing end, suggesting that none of the AM CAZymes acting on these epitopes are
196 present on the outside of the cell (Supplementary Figures 4&5).
197
α-GalNAc'ases
GH31: A model of Amuc_1008[GH31] was compared to the structure of the *E. faecalis* enzyme,
which is the only structure from this subfamily. An overlay indicates that the structure of
Amuc_1008[GH31] has extra C-terminal domains compared to *Ef*GH31 (Supplementary Figure
14). One of these extra domains is classified as a family 32 carbohydrate binding domain
(CBM32), which have been to show a variety of different sugars and glycans. The GH31 from
Clostridium perfringens with the same activity as Amuc_1008[GH31] was also has a CBM32,
which has been characterised to bind GalNAc[8]. Tn antigen that was crystallised in the active
site of *Ef*GH31 overlays well into the active site of Amuc_1008[GH31] (Supplementary Figure 39).
The residues interacting with the Tn-antigen in the *Ef*GH31 crystal structure are all conserved
in Amuc_1008[GH31].
209

 α-GlcNAc'ases
There are currently five characterised GH89 family members all with specificity for this α-linked
GlcNAc[9]. The first enzyme identified to have specificity for stomach epitope was one from *C.*
perfringens[10] and was subsequently crystallised with this disaccharide in the active site (4A4A;
Supplementary Figure 22)[11]. Other members of the GH89 family are active against heparan
sulfate and there is a crystal structure of this from *H. sapien* (4XWH)[12]. Mutations of this GH89
gene leads to Sanfilippo syndrome or mucopolysaccharoidosis III, where recycling of heparan
sulfate is impaired, and there is currently no cure for this or treatments to slow disease
progression. Detailed characterisations of glycobiology (or absence of) leading to disease may
highlight potential ideas for treatments in the future.
220
Models of the two GH89 enzymes from AM and these two crystal structures were
superimposed and compared (Supplementary Figure 19). The active site of Amuc_1220[GH89]
has a similar conformation to the pocket from *C. perfringens*, whereas the substrate pocket of
Amuc_0060[GH89] has a similar conformation to the pocket from *H. sapien* (Supplementary
Figure 22). This implies that the substrates for Amuc_1220[GH89] and Amuc_0060[GH89] are
GlcNAcα1,4-Gal stomach epitope and heparan sulfate or other GlcNAc-containing
glycosaminoglycans, respectively. The data obtained in this report show that Amuc_1220[GH89]
is removing GlcNAc from PGMII, but we did not observe any activity for Amuc_0060[GH89]
against GAGs incubated alone or in combination with other AM CAZymes (See GAGs section
below).
231
232 Amuc_1220[GH89] is predicted to be localised to the periplasm (Supplementary Table 1) and
233 whole cell assays using GlcNAcα1,4-Gal suggest that this epitope is not broken down on the
234 outside of the cell (Supplementary Figure 4&5).
235
α-sialidases
The two GH33 family members (Amuc_0625[GH33] and Amuc_1835[GH33]) are broad-acting
sialidases in terms of linkage, whereas the Amuc_1547[GH181] is α2,3-specific[13]. In this work, we
explored whether these enzymes act upon ganglioside structures, which have structural
similarities to O-glycans (Supplementary Figure 20). Gangliosides are important components
of eukaryotic plasma membranes and are linked to several genetic diseases and pathogen
interactions[14]. Gangliosides (GM3 and GD3; Figure 1) are also found in the membranes of
milk fat globules in breast milk, so it is likely that gut microbes in the infant gut would encounter
these[15]. Therefore, gangliosides would be found in the large intestine at all life stages from
both host and dietary sources. We found that the two GH33 enzymes from AM can act on the
relatively complex GD1a and GT1b ganglioside structures, with one or two sialic acids being
removed. The inability to remove one of the sialic acids is likely due to this being the branching
sialic acid and therefore relatively difficult to access. However, if we removed the capping
β1,3-linked galactose with β-galactosidases from AM, then it was possible for Amuc_1835[GH33]
to remove all sialic acids (Supplementary Figure 20). Importantly, the removal of this galactose
produces Sda antigen, which is present in 91 % of humans and is expressed in mucosal
surfaces and other tissues. Therefore, is it valuable to understand that sialidases from AM can
act on Sda antigen and gangliosides. Amuc_1835[GH33] was recently highlighted as important
for growth on mucin using a Tn-seq mutant library[16], and Amuc_0625[GH33] and Amuc_1547[GH181]
were highly conserved (>90 % sequence identity) across all *Akkermansia* species assessed
(Supplementary Figure 5).
257
α-fucosidases
Between them, the GH29 and GH95 enzymes from AM can degrade a wide variety of fucose-
rich substrates (Supplementary Figure 21&22)[13, 17]. These enzymes cannot access the fucose
in blood group A and B (BGA and B) structures, so these glycan epitopes are first partially
broken down by other CAZymes encoded in the AM genome to produce blood group H
structures. Amuc_1120[GH95] is a broad-acting α1,2-fucosidase, whereas Amuc_0010[GH29] had
specificity to α1,2-linked fucose on lactose/LacNAc (β1,4), but not Lacto-N-biose (β1,3). This

means that Amuc_0010[GH29] exhibits specificity towards type II blood group structures (not type
I) and the lacto-N-*neo*tetraose HMO series (not the lacto-N-tetraose). In contrast,
Amuc_0846[GH29] and Amuc_0392[GH29] have comparable activities with activities against α1,3
and 4-linked fucose, but Amuc_0392[GH29] did exhibit some slightly broader activity by also
being able to liberate galactose from some sulfated Lewis structures and sialylfucosyllacto-N-
tetraose. For Amuc_0186[GH95], we were only able to find activity against 2'-fucosyllactose and
for Amuc_0146[GH29] we could find no activities, including with pNP-monosaccharides.
272
273   Amuc_0146[GH29] and Amuc_1120[GH95] were recently highlighted as important for growth on
274   mucin using a TnSeq mutant library[16] and Amuc_0392[GH29] is highly conserved across different
275   *Akkermansia* species (Supplementary Figure 3). Furthermore, five out of nine of the sialidases
276   and fucosidases were highlighted by proteomics when AM was grown on human milk
277   (Supplementary Table 1)[18].
278
β-galactosidases
The five GH2 enzymes show low sequence identity, with the highest being 39 % between
Amuc_0824 and Amuc_1666 (Supplementary Table 4). The two GH35 enzymes share 37 %
identity and the two GH43_24 enzymes have 87 % identity. Amuc_0539[GH2] was found to be
specific to β1,4-linked Gal with either a Gal or GalNAc in the +1 position, with some activity
against lactose (Supplementary Figures 24-26). Conversely, Amuc_0771[GH35] and
Amuc_1666[GH2] could not hydrolyse these substrates and instead required a Glc/GlcNAc in the
+1 position for β1,4-linked Gal substrates. Amuc_1666[GH2] was also able to act on TriLacNAc
and Lacto-N-neotetraose, but only Galβ1,3Glc out of those substrates with β1,3-linked Gal.
Amuc_0771[GH35] was much broader with the capacity to also hydrolyse β1,3-linked Gal with
Glc, GalNAc, and Gal in the +1 position. This is also the only enzyme that could remove both
Gal sugars from the branching lacto-N-neohexaose. These branching structures, formed
through a GlcNAcβ1,6Gal linkage, are important due to their prevalence in humans (99 % of
population) and are referred to as "I antigens" (no branching is referred to as "i antigen").
Mucins across most tissues will have this branching[14]. Complementary to the screening
carried out in this report, Amuc_1666[GH2] has previously been shown to have a preferences for
LacNAc[19] and Amuc_0771[GH35] has also previously been shown to have activity against mucin
core 1 and core 2 structures, which both have β1,3 linkages[20].
297
Amuc_0824[GH2] is highly specific towards β1,3-linked Gal with no preference observed for what
occupies the +1 positions and no activity against β1,4-linked Gal substrates. In line with our
findings, Amuc_0824[GH2] has previously been shown to have preferences for Galacto-N-
biose[19]. Amuc_1686[GH35] showed very similar specificity to Amuc_0824[GH2] except that it could
also accommodate β1,6-linked Gal with Gal/GalNAc in the +1 (no β1,6-linked substrates with
a Glc-type sugar were available to test) and has previously been shown to have a preference
for Galβ1,3GalNAc[21]. Amuc_0290[GH2] can accommodate β1,4 and β1,6-linked Gal substrates
with less preference for β1,3-linked Gal substrates. Finally, Amuc_1667[GH2] was inactive
against most substrates, with only some activity towards the ganglioside core structure GA1.
Concerning biantennary complex N-glycans, all three enzymes that can accommodate other
Galβ1,4GlcNAc structures, could remove Gal from N-glycan that had been released from the
protein and de-sialylated. This is unlikely to be physiologically relevant, however, as AM will
not grow on this glycoprotein substrate despite similarities to O-glycans (Supplementary
Figure 2).
312
Regarding larger more complex substrates, Amuc_0771[GH35] was able to release the largest
concentration of galactose from BSM (core 1; Supplementary Figure 9). Amuc_0824[GH2] was
also able to release galactose, which complements the understanding that this substrate
constitutes core 1 structures, but Amuc_0771[GH35] can release a higher concentration of
galactose indicating that it can accommodate the mucin polypeptide more easily than
Amuc_0824[GH2].
319

Of these enzymes, Amuc_0824^{GH2} is predicted to localise to the cell surface, while the rest
are predicted to reside in the periplasm (Supplementary Table 1). Notably, the mucin-grown
whole cells showed rapid degradation of Lacto-N-biose, one of the substrates for this enzyme,
and this was reproducible over two different experiments (Supplementary Figure 4&5). This
was the fastest degradation of a substrate, with complete hydrolysis recorded in 30 minutes.
Lactose and Galβ1,4GalNAc are not substrates for this enzymes and the whole cell assays
did not show degradation of these substrates (Supplementary Figure 5). Amuc_0824^{GH2}
cannot be directly linked to this activity, but techniques that have been developed for the
genetic manipulation of AM may lend themselves to these types of experiments in the future.
329
Of the fourteen enzymes identified as having >90 % sequence identity conservation across
the different *Akkermansia* species we assessed, all the GH2 and GH35 family members, apart
from Amuc_0771^{GH35} and Amuc_1667^{GH2}, were in this group (Supplementary Figure 3) and
Amuc_0290^{GH2}, Amuc_0539^{GH2}, Amuc_1666^{GH2} and Amuc_1667^{GH2} were highlighted as
important for growth on mucin[16]. This emphasises the important role these β-galactosidases
play in nutrient acquisition for AM (Supplementary Tabel 1). No activities for the GH43_24
enzymes were observed against the substrates tested here, but Amuc_0698^{GH43} was active
against pNP-Gal (Supplementary Figure 27). Interestingly, Amuc_0697^{GH43} was also
highlighted as important for growth on mucin[16], conversely however, Amuc_0697^{GH43} was not
well conserved between *A. muciniphila* strains (Supplementary Figure 3).
340
One of the striking aspects of CAZymes from AM is the length of the putative enzymes, which
structural modelling predicts to be a high number of accessory modules accompanying the
catalytic module. This is exemplified by the β-galactosidases (Supplementary Figure 29). How
these accessory modules contribute to function is still yet to be determined. The active sites
of the different GH2 and GH35 enzyme models were compared to relevant existing structures
to provide insight into the different specificities being observed. Amuc_0539^{GH2} has specificity
to β1,4-linked Gal with either a Gal or GalNAc in the +1 position, not Glc/GlcNAc. Galactose
and glucose type sugars have the hydroxyl in the axial and equatorial positions at C4,
respectively. The difference means that β1,4-linked sugars have very different overall
conformations, and this is reflected in some of the specificities observed for the β-
galactosidases. Models of different disaccharides are included to contextualise these possible
conformational differences (Supplementary Figure 30). Current structures do not include any
examples with substrates with Gal/GalNAc in the +1 subsite, but LacNAc and lactose
examples are available. When we overlay LacNAc from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* GH2
(4CUC) into the active site of Amuc_0539^{GH2} a tryptophan is highlighted as being very close
to the +1 GlcNAc. This suggests that this amino acid may contribute significantly to excluding
substrates with Glc-type sugars in the +1 subsite. Similarly, Amuc_0290^{GH2}, which has a much
broader activity, has W686 in the same region, but rotated approximately 180 ° along its plane.
This may act to contribute to binding glycans rather than having a selectivity role. Apart from
these observations, the active sites of these two enzymes are predicted to be generally quite
open.
362
363   In contrast to the GH2 and GH35 enzymes, the GH43 proteins only constitute a catalytic
364   module and they are very similar in sequence. The only difference is a short section of
365   sequence that corresponds to an extended loop in Amuc_0697^{GH43} that protrudes towards the
366   active site (Supplementary Figure 27).
367
β-HexNAc'ases
The identity between the GH20 sequences from AM is generally low ~20-30 % with the
exceptions of 72 % between Amuc_2018^{GH20} and Amuc_2019^{GH20}. These enzyme sequences
were put into phylogenetic trees alongside other characterised members of their families
(Supplementary Figures 31). These showed that the enzyme sequences from the AM genome
clustered with previously characterised enzymes with β-HexNAc'ase activities. The first step
in this analysis was to screen a panel of defined oligosaccharides (Supplementary Figures 33-

35). The enzyme with the broadest activity was Amuc_0868[GH20] that could degrade most of
the substrates provided, apart from GlcNAcβ1,3Man and β1,2-linked GlcNAc on biantennary
N-glycans, to which it was only partially active. This enzyme was previously shown to prefer
GlcNAc over GalNAc[22]. Amuc_2019[GH20] showed a similar pattern but exhibited only partial
activity against the P antigen. Again, Amuc_2136[GH20] showed a similar pattern to
Amuc_0868[GH20], but with no activity against the β1,4-linked GalNAc on GA2. Amuc_2136[GH20]
has also previously been shown to prefer GlcNAc over GalNAc[23]. Amuc_0369[GH20] was also
relatively broad-acting, but with a different pattern; it was able to access most of the host-type
glycans tested and demonstrated relatively less activity against chitooligosaccharides.
Amuc_2018[GH20] showed a similar pattern to these three broad-acting GH20 enzymes, but with
negligible activity against chitooligosaccharides and no activity against β1,4-linked GalNAc
and P antigen. Amuc_2018[GH20] has previously been shown to prefer GlcNAc over GalNAc and
have some activity against N-glycan structures[22]. Amuc_0052[GH84] showed specificity towards
GlcNAc over GalNAc substrates and prefers smaller substrates (disaccharides). From the
substrate screen, Amuc_1669[GH20] is selective for host glycans with a preference for
GlcNAcβ1,3Gal substrates. It can also hydrolyse GalNAc in the context of globoside P antigen,
but not ganglioside GA2. Amuc_2148[GH20] shows very similar pattern of activity to
Amuc_1669[GH20] but is even more specific as it will not act on P antigen. Amuc_1924[GH20] has
a preference for GalNAc in the -1 subsite but was unable to accommodate P antigen and this
also may be due to overall glycan conformation. Amuc_1032[GH20], acted only upon
GlcNAcβ1,3Gal in the context of TriLacNAc and lacto-N-triose, but not GlcNAcβ1,3Gal alone,
indicating that this enzyme prefers substrates that are at least three sugars long (i.e. a sugar
occupying the +2 subsite). Finally, Amuc_0803[GH123] had strict specificity towards the GalNAc
substrates, including GA2. Exact specificities could not be determined for Amuc_0397[GH20] and
Amuc_1815[GH20]. Both only had trace activity against GalNAcβ1,3Gal, but it may be that they
are active on longer versions of this substrate. Specificities could also not be found for
Amuc_2109[GH3], with only trace activity against chitooligosaccharides and GaNcAcβ1,3Gal.
402
403   In terms of the redundancy seen for the β-galactosidases and β-HexNAc'ases, the expression
404   and localisation of these different enzymes in AM may require this redundancy in specificity
405   for such a complicated substrate to maximise AMs access to the sugars in mucin. The
406   conservation of most of the β-galactosidases and β-HexNAc'ases over species and strains
407   supports this hypothesis.
408
Activity of AM CAZymes against human milk oligosaccharides
The growth of AM on human milk has previously been demonstrated and proteomics
highlighted a high proportion of the AM CAZymes [18](Supplementary Table 1). The structures
of human milk oligosaccharides have many similarities to mucin O-glycans, so we performed
sequential reactions on two commercially available products. We first tested the AM CAZymes
that remove the different α-linked capping monosaccharides (Supplementary Figures 36&37).
Interestingly, we found no release of sialic acid, despite one of the substrates being labelled
as such. In terms of fucosidases, most of the AM enzymes could act on one of the products.
Discrepancies were observed between the activities of Amuc_0010[GH29] and Amuc_1120[GH95]
against HMOs, with the latter releasing more fucose and, therefore, these HMOs have
predominantly lacto-N-tetraose structures. This observation corresponds to the understanding
of type II structures being present on red blood cells and type I structures are associated with
glycan decorations on secretions[14]. Notably, Amuc_0010[GH29] clusters on a phylogenetic tree
with 'Mfuc5' from a soil metagenome and has comparable activities[24]. For the AM CAZymes
with specificity for removing α-linked GlcNAc, GalNAc, or galactose, no obvious activity was
observed.
425
The panel of β-galactosidases were then tested against the HMOs which had also been pre-
treated with two fucosidases (Supplementary Figure 37). The addition of Amuc_0290[GH2],
which had the broadest specificity in the substrate screen, had the most activity (shifting all
the bands up the most). Much less galactose could be released without the addition of

fucosidases (Supplementary Figure 26). Finally, the panel of β-HexNAc'ases was then tested
against the HMOs that had been sequentially treated with fucosidases and galactosidases.
The specificities observed for the defined substrate screen facilitates the probing of more
complicated structures in more detail and exemplifies how these enzymes may be useful in
other contexts. For example, Amuc_0803[GH123] showed no obvious activity towards the HMO
substrates, implying that no β-linked GalNAc is present. Furthermore, Amuc_1032[GH20] is
providing the same pattern as the broad-acting β-HexNAc'ases, so the glycans in this assay
were at least 3 sugars long. Finally, Amuc_0052[GH84] did not breakdown the glycans as much
as the broad-acting β-HexNAc'ases, emphasizing its preference for smaller substrates.
439
Interaction with GAGs
The PL38 enzymes characterised to date have specificities for glucuronan and alginate[25, 26],
but screens of these substrates did not reveal any activity (Supplementary Figure 42).
Characterised GH105 enzymes have been found to be active against the unsaturated
products of PLs [27]. We found no activities for GH105 against any of the other substrates used
in this work. Therefore, we tested host GAGs that are known substrates for some PL families
and are commonly available to the microbiota in the large intestine. Amuc_0778[PL38] was found
to be active against hyaluronic acid (HA), chondroitin sulfate A (CSA), chondroitin sulfate C
(CSC) and dermatan sulfate (DS) (Supplementary Figure 42-44). Amuc_0863[GH105] had little
or no activity when it was incubated alone with these substrates but was able to act on the
products of the PL38 from all four substrates.
451
The Michaelis-Menten kinetic analysis of Amuc_0778[PL38] against the different GAGs revealed
the highest catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) towards HA, followed closely by CSA and four- and
eight-fold lower towards CSC and DS, respectively (Supplementary Table 7, Supplementary
Figure 43). An attempt to resolve the kinetic parameters of Amuc_0863[GH105] was performed
by preparing substrate using the Amuc_0778[PL38] and relevant GAGs. Amuc_0863[GH105] was
then added and the loss of the double bond of the unsaturated uronic acid was monitored at
235 nm. While linear decreasing initial rates were observed for the lower substrate
concentrations, the higher concentration reactions were not reliable because of the
absorbance ceiling of the spectrophotometer. Attempts to downscale or dilute the reactions
did not provide data for which a reliable kinetic model could be fitted (Supplementary Figure
43). However, by isolating the highest observed initial rate for each substrate, a clear
selectivity of Amuc_0863[GH105] was observed towards CSA, followed by the three other GAGs
at 36-40% relative activity (Supplementary Table 6). These results indicates that this enzyme
prefers an unsaturated GlcA in the -1 subsite and a 4SGalNAc in the +1 subsite.
466
The products of Amuc_0778[PL38] were then characterised in more detail using LC-MS/MS with
UV detection (Supplementary Figure 42). Time-course reactions were performed to assess
the product formations. For HA, Amuc_0778[PL38] produced dimers, tetramers and hexamers.
For CSA, the sulfated disaccharide and double sulfated tetrasaccharide were produced at
equal rates initially, but as the disaccharide continued to increase in concentration, the
tetrasaccharide decreased, indicating degradation to disaccharides also. A different pattern
was observed for CSC, where the activity was slower and the tetrasaccharide was the
dominant product initially, indicating that the differing sulfation pattern in this substrate reduces
activity. The activity was even slower for DS and the double sulfated tetrasaccharide was the
dominant product until much later in the time-course. The only difference between CSA and
DS is the presence of GlcA and IdoA, respectively, therefore based on this data,
Amuc_0778[PL38] appears to prefer GlcA over IdoA containing substrates.
479
480 Finally, we included the sulfatases with the Amuc_0778[PL38] (with and without Amuc_0863[GH105])
481 in assays with CSC and HS and no obvious activity could be seen by TLC (Supplementary
482 Figure 45). The two GH89 enzymes were also tested against GAGs both with and without
483 Amuc_0778[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105], but no activity was observed (Supplementary Figure
484 43).

485
Why have CAZymes if not to use them for nutrient acquisition? Our current hypothesis is that
these enzymes give AM a colonisation advantage. GAGs are an important part of the
glycocalyx and would be constant presence in the lumen of the colon. Another prominent
member of the human gut microbiota, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, prioritises GAGs as a
nutrient source, which demonstrates its significance in the colonic environment[28]. In AM, this
enzyme may be one way that AM can burrow into the mucosal layer. Interestingly, a
hyaluronidase from *Streptococcus agalactiae* has been shown to dampen down the immune
system and increase invasion in the context of the female reproductive system and pre-term
labour[29]. This system may be comparable to the role of the GAG-active CAZymes in AM.
495
Growth of AM on high-mannose N-glycoproteins
When testing the growth of AM against a variety of substrates to support the biochemical work,
we included glycoproteins that are decorated with mammalian complex N-glycans, as the
sialidases, galactosidases, and GlcNAc'ases were observed to breakdown these substrates.
The detected enzyme activities were unsurprising, as mammalian complex N-glycans have
very similar glycan structures to O-glycans. For completeness, a glycoprotein with high-
mannose N-glycans was also included. Unexpectedly, AM was observed to grow on the high-
mannose N-glycoprotein and not the two glycoproteins with complex N-glycans
(Supplementary Figure 1). Furthermore, we also observed growth on *Saccharomyces*
cerevisiae mannan (*Sc*mannan), which is an N-glycan core structure with large antennae
composed of α-linked mannose and a recognised nutrient source for other gut microbes[30].
507
Whole cell assays using cells that had been grown on high-mannose N-glycoprotein revealed
a smear on the TLC that appeared overnight (Supplementary Figure 46). This smear did not
run as fast as the free high-mannose N-glycan control (suggesting a higher molecular weight),
but when the sample was treated with PNGaseL, its TLC migration pattern was the same. This
strongly suggests that AM is using the protein as a nutrient source and the by-product of this
is high-mannose N-glycan that is still attached to a small peptide. The molecular mechanism
underpinning why AM can degrade this substrate (and not other proteins or glycoproteins
included in this work) is beyond the scope of this study, but it is likely attributable to a particular
glycopeptidase or peptidase. However, this observation indicates a possible important cross
feeding relationship AM may have with other human gut microbes. N-glycans are prevalent
decorations on secreted proteins and high-mannose N-glycans are universal to eukaryotes,
so microbes in the human gut would encounter this substrate from the host (secreted proteins
and sloughed off dead cells) and any type of diet (animal- or plant-derived).
521
The whole cell assays for *Sc*mannan did not show any detectable glycobiology by TLC. All the
CAZymes associated with the hydrolysis of α-linkages were tested against a panel of α-
mannose glycans during the process of deciphering this growth, but there were no positive
results (Supplementary Figure 13). SDS-PAGE with Coomassie staining of *Sc*mannan also
showed no obvious protein in the sample (Supplementary Figure 46). To rule out very small
free peptides being used as a nutrient source, the *Sc*mannan was dialysed to try and remove
this, but growth was comparable to the original substrate (Supplementary Figure 1). It may be
that there is a small amount of peptide attached to the *Sc*mannan that AM can access.
530
Starch-degrading activities
Encoded in the AM genome are two GH families that are currently characterised to have sole
specificity towards starch-type polysaccharides – one GH77 and three GH13 enzymes. These
enzymes were tested against several different substrates composed of α-linked glucose,
however activity was only found for Amuc_1621[GH77], primarily against amylose and produced
oligosaccharides of one to five glucose units (Supplementary Figure 47). There was no activity
against dextran or amylopectin, but potentially trace activity against pullulan. There was no
growth on these substrates (Supplementary Figure 1). Interestingly, two of these enzymes
were highlighted as important for growth on mucin using a TnSeq library[16].

540
Concerning GAG and starch utilisation, why the AM genome would encode enzymes specific
for these substrates, when it does not use them as a nutrient source directly, remains
unresolved at present. One possibility we explored is that the large mucin structures being
taken up by AM also commonly contain GAG and starch contaminants. The PL38, GH105,
sulfatases, GH13 and GH77 enzymes would be present internally to break these down, either
for use as a nutrient source or to expel them. We attempted to test the idea that GAGs areused
as a nutrient source through growths with different proportions of GAG-to-mucin, but the
decrease in growth with increasing GAG concentration suggests that this theory is incorrect
(Supplementary Figure 1).
550
The extraordinary import system of AM
An intriguing physiological phenomenon of AM is its unusual substrate import mechanisms. In
a paper describing the first genetic manipulation of AM, Davey *et al.* highlighted a possible
import system that implicated pili in the import of mucin[16]. They found that mucin was
accumulating in intracellular compartments, dubbed "mucinosomes". Nine CAZymes were
associated with this likely import system (Mul1A) (Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, these
CAZymes were also either very highly conserved across *Akkermansia* species, predicted to
be localised to the outside of the cell, and/or highlighted as important for growth on mucin. It
is likely that some of these different observations are connected. Complementing the
observation of mucinosomes, was the types of enzyme activity detected on the surface of AM
in this report. Prominent activities included GH16 and sialidase activities, and fucosidase
activities were also observed by Shuoker *et al.*[13], but other glycobiology was much lower. This
suggests that most of the degradation of mucin is taking place inside the cell (Figure 6). Finally,
growth of AM on human milk has also been observed [18], so there must also be a way to import
human milk oligosaccharides and structurally similar GH16-derived O-glycan fragments
produced on the surface of the cell. Future work on characterising this import system will be
paradigm shifting in the microbiology field.
568
569 ***CAZymes where no activities could be identified.***
570 In this report we have acknowledged several puzzles where activity could not be elucidated
571 (Supplementary Table 1). Below is a discussion on these.
572
GH31: The second GH31, Amuc_1870[GH31] is from subfamily 1, which has 84 characterised
members and all bacterial members exhibit α-glucosidase activity. This enzyme did have
activity against pNP-α-Glc, but no activity against substrates tested could be found, including
those composed of α-glucose: maltose, dextran, starch, and laminarin (Supplementary
Figures 10-14). A model of Amuc_1870[GH31] was superimposed on to crystal structures of
GH31 enzyme-substrate complexes with α-linked glucose disaccharides: isomaltose,
nigerose, and kojibiose (Supplementary Figure 14). Only the isomaltose overlay indicated that
this substrate could be accommodated, so we then tried isomaltose and pullulan (maltotriose
linked via α1,6-linkages), but also found no activity. Interestingly, however, the closest
characterised homologues prefered isomaltose over maltose[31, 32]. Finally, we also tested this
enzyme against glucosylceramide (core of gangliosides and globosides) and trehalose, which
is an unusual disaccharide produced by some organisms and is two glucose monosaccharides
linked via α1,1 bond. There was no activity against either of these substrates and for the
glucosylceramide this was confirmed by HPAEC (Supplementary Figure 14). AM did not grow
on either maltose, starch, amylose, amylopectin, or pullulan (Supplementary Figure 1). This
enzyme is one of the unsolved puzzles (Supplementary Table 1).
589
GH57: Amuc_1868[GH57] is one of the unsolved puzzles (Supplementary Table 1). We found
activity on pNP-α-Glc, but none against the wide variety of carbohydrate substrates tested in
this report. Interestingly, the protein sequence for Amuc_1868[GH57] is one of the most highly
conserved across all the *Akkermansia* species considered here (>90 % identity over five
species) and is always present in different strains. Adding further intrigue is that the TnSeq

data previously reported by Davey *et al.* highlighted this enzyme as important for growth on
mucin (Supplementary Table 1)[16]. The GH57 enzyme family has been further categorised in
to four groups and the sequence of this enzyme has been previously compared to other GH57
enzymes based on sequence motifs[33]. Intriguingly, this enzyme is classified as an amylase-
like protein as it is lacking a catalytic nucleophile.
600
GH63: Amuc_1260[GH63] is also an unsolved puzzle (Supplementary Table 1) with activity not
being identified on any substrate, including pNP-sugars. It is possible that these enzymes are
involved in another function besides mucin breakdown. AM has 50 putative glycosytransferase
enzymes and it is probable that at least some of these will contribute to synthesising capsular
and/or exopolysaccharides. The composition of these carbohydrate structures from
microorganisms is a relatively understudied area of glycobiology and the types of structures
produced by different microbes are likely highly variable. Therefore, some of the 'puzzle'
enzymes discussed in this report may target these structures, possibly to recycle the
component sugars and facilitate cell growth and division. For example, there was no activity
observed for Amuc_1868[GH57] but it is highly conserved across *Akkermansia* species and was
important for growth on mucin[16].
612
613 Amuc_1216[GH177] and Amuc_0623[GHnc]: These enzymes have both been associated with the
614 breakdown of sialic acid[13, 34]. We could not find activity against any sialylated substrates or
615 pNP-sugars in this work.

1. Ndongo, S., Armstrong, N., Raoult, D. & Fournier, P.E. Reclassification of eight Akkermansia muciniphila strains and description of Akkermansia massiliensis sp. nov. and Candidatus Akkermansia timonensis, isolated from human feces. *Sci Rep* **12**, 21747 (2022).

2. Luis, A.S. et al. Dietary pectic glycans are degraded by coordinated enzyme pathways in human colonic Bacteroides. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 210-219 (2018).

3. Jindou, S. et al. alpha-Galactosidase Aga27A, an enzymatic component of the Clostridium josui cellulosome. *J Bacteriol* **184**, 600-604 (2002).

4. Tomasic, I.B., Metcalf, M.C., Guce, A.I., Clark, N.E. & Garman, S.C. Interconversion of the specificities of human lysosomal enzymes associated with Fabry and Schindler diseases. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 21560-21566 (2010).

5. Olsson, M.L. & Clausen, H. Modifying the red cell surface: towards an ABO-universal blood supply. *Br J Haematol* **140**, 3-12 (2008).

6. Okuyama, M. et al. Efficient synthesis of alpha-galactosyl oligosaccharides using a mutant Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron retaining alpha-galactosidase (BtGH97b). *FEBS J* **284**, 766-783 (2017).

7. Galili, U., Clark, M.R., Shohet, S.B., Buehler, J. & Macher, B.A. Evolutionary relationship between the natural anti-Gal antibody and the Gal alpha 1----3Gal epitope in primates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **84**, 1369-1373 (1987).

8. Grondin, J.M. et al. Diverse modes of galacto-specific carbohydrate recognition by a family 31 glycoside hydrolase from Clostridium perfringens. *PLoS One* **12**, e0171606 (2017).

9. Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M. & Henrissat, B. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D490-495 (2014).

10. Fujita, M. et al. Glycoside hydrolase family 89 alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase from Clostridium perfringens specifically acts on GlcNAc alpha1,4Gal beta1R at the non-reducing terminus of O-glycans in gastric mucin. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 6479-6489 (2011).

11. Ficko-Blean, E. & Boraston, A.B. Structural analysis of a bacterial exo-alpha-D-N-acetylglucosaminidase in complex with an unusual disaccharide found in class III mucin. *Glycobiology* **22**, 590-595 (2012).

12. Birrane, G. et al. Structural characterization of the alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase, a key enzyme in the pathogenesis of Sanfilippo syndrome B. *J Struct Biol* **205**, 65-71 (2019).

13. Shuoker, B. et al. Sialidases and fucosidases of Akkermansia muciniphila are crucial for growth on mucin and nutrient sharing with mucus-associated gut bacteria. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1833 (2023).

14. Jajosky, R.P. et al. ABO blood group antigens and differential glycan expression: Perspective on the evolution of common human enzyme deficiencies. *iScience* **26**, 105798 (2023).

15. Rueda, R., Maldonado, J., Narbona, E. & Gil, A. Neonatal dietary gangliosides. *Early Hum Dev* **53 Suppl**, S135-147 (1998).

16. Davey, L.E. et al. A genetic system for Akkermansia muciniphila reveals a role for mucin foraging in gut colonization and host sterol biosynthesis gene expression. *Nat Microbiol* **8**, 1450-1467 (2023).

17. Padilla, L. et al. Mechanism of 2'-fucosyllactose degradation by human-associated Akkermansia. *J Bacteriol* **206**, e0033423 (2024).

18. Kostopoulos, I. et al. Akkermansia muciniphila uses human milk oligosaccharides to thrive in the early life conditions in vitro. *Sci Rep* **10**, 14330 (2020).

19. Kosciow, K. & Deppenmeier, U. Characterization of three novel beta-galactosidases from Akkermansia muciniphila involved in mucin degradation. *Int J Biol Macromol* **149**, 331-340 (2020).

20. Guo, B.S. et al. Cloning, purification and biochemical characterisation of a GH35 beta-1,3/beta-1,6-galactosidase from the mucin-degrading gut bacterium Akkermansia muciniphila. *Glycoconj J* **35**, 255-263 (2018).

21. Kosciow, K. & Deppenmeier, U. Characterization of a phospholipid-regulated beta-
galactosidase from Akkermansia muciniphila involved in mucin degradation.
Microbiologyopen **8**, e00796 (2019).
22. Wang, M. et al. Cloning, purification and biochemical characterization of two beta-N-
acetylhexosaminidases from the mucin-degrading gut bacterium Akkermansia muciniphila.
Carbohydr Res **457**, 1-7 (2018).
23. Chen, X., Li, M., Wang, Y., Tang, R. & Zhang, M. Biochemical characteristics and
crystallographic evidence for substrate-assisted catalysis of a beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase in
Akkermansia muciniphila. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **517**, 29-35 (2019).
24. Perna, V.N., Barrett, K., Meyer, A.S. & Zeuner, B. Substrate specificity and transglycosylation
capacity of alpha-L-fucosidases across GH29 assessed by bioinformatics-assisted selection of
functional diversity. *Glycobiology* **33**, 396-410 (2023).
25. Pilgaard, B. et al. Discovery of a Novel Glucuronan Lyase System in Trichoderma parareesei.
Appl Environ Microbiol **88**, e0181921 (2022).
26. Ronne, M.E. et al. Three alginate lyases provide a new gut Bacteroides ovatus isolate with the
ability to grow on alginate. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **89**, e0118523 (2023).
27. Itoh, T., Ochiai, A., Mikami, B., Hashimoto, W. & Murata, K. A novel glycoside hydrolase family
105: the structure of family 105 unsaturated rhamnogalacturonyl hydrolase complexed with
a disaccharide in comparison with family 88 enzyme complexed with the disaccharide. *J Mol
Biol* **360**, 573-585 (2006).
28. Martens, E.C., Chiang, H.C. & Gordon, J.I. Mucosal glycan foraging enhances fitness and
transmission of a saccharolytic human gut bacterial symbiont. *Cell Host Microbe* **4**, 447-457
(2008).
29. Coleman, M. et al. Hyaluronidase Impairs Neutrophil Function and Promotes Group B
Streptococcus Invasion and Preterm Labor in Nonhuman Primates. *mBio* **12** (2021).
30. Cuskin, F. et al. Human gut Bacteroidetes can utilize yeast mannan through a selfish
mechanism. *Nature* **517**, 165-169 (2015).
31. Tan, K. et al. Novel alpha-glucosidase from human gut microbiome: substrate specificities and
their switch. *FASEB J* **24**, 3939-3949 (2010).
32. Chaudet, M.M., Allen, J.L. & Rose, D.R. Expression and purification of two Family GH31 alpha-
glucosidases from Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron. *Protein Expr Purif* **86**, 135-141 (2012).
33. Janecek, S. & Blesak, K. Sequence-structural features and evolutionary relationships of family
GH57 alpha-amylases and their putative alpha-amylase-like homologues. *Protein J* **30**, 429-
435 (2011).
34. Ishikura, H., Arakawa, S., Nakajima, T., Tsuchida, N. & Ishikawa, I. Cloning of the Tannerella
forsythensis (Bacteroides forsythus) siaHI gene and purification of the sialidase enzyme. *J Med
Microbiol* **52**, 1101-1107 (2003).

705
706

Supplementary Figure 1│Growth of *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 on a variety of glycans and polysaccharides. *A. muciniphila* was grown anaerobically in minimal media containing a different potential nutrient sources. Growth was monitored continuously at OD600 using a 96-well plate in a plate reader. Concentrations of substrates are listed in Supplementary Table 2. For the dialysed Scmannan, the black curves are original sample and the green is dialysed. For the GAG substrates, this was completed with varying concentrations of mucin:GAG. The black lines are the mucin growth curves and the darkest purple are the GAGs alone. The lighter the purple gets the more mucin in those growths. Each line is one bacterial culture.

Supplementary Figure 2│Transcriptomic, proteomic and Tnseq data of AM from this work and previous publications. The bar charts show the data from different labs and techniques that assess gene upregulation, protein expression, or the reduction in growth when a gene is disrupted. Black, blue, and green indicate RNAseq, proteomics, and INSeq data, respectively.

Supplementary Figure 3 │ Presence of homologues of the putative CAZymes from ATCC BAA-835 across other *A. muciniphila* strains and *Akkermansia* species. The heat map shows the presence of homologues to the CAZymes in ATCC BAA-835 (dark teal). When the homologue is <90 % identical, <80 % identical, and <70 % identical then these are placed in a separate columns. For instance, the homologues for Amuc_0290^{GH2} are all >90 % across strains and species, whereas the homologues for Amuc_1667^{GH2} all have lower percentage identity across the species but >90 % conservation within strains. The y axis is split up according to species and the x axis is in numerical GH order.

Supplementary Figure 4│Whole cell assay #1. Thin layer chromatography results of whole cell assays of PGM III-grown cells against different substrates. The reaction was carried out at 37 °C, a sample removed at different time points, and boiled to stop enzyme activities. Monosaccharide standards are shown in the right lanes.

Supplementary Figure 5│Whole cell assay #2. Thin layer chromatography results of whole cell assays of PGM III-grown cells against different substrates. The reaction was carried out at 37 °C, a sample removed at different time points, and boiled to stop enzyme activities. Monosaccharide standards are shown in the right lanes.

Supplementary Figure 6│Characterisation of surface enzymes activity of AM using whole cell assays. The glycan products from the different whole cell assay timepoints were labelled with procainamide at the reducing end and analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. The results show a release of a variety of different O-glycan fragments, predominantly with galactose at the reducing end, which is indicative of GH16 endo-O-glycanase activity. The panel on the left emphasises glycans 3 and 4 and the panel on the right emphasises glycans eluting between 25-60 minutes.

Supplementary Figure 7│HPAEC-PAD of BSM whole cell assays time points. Samples from Supplementary Figure 9 of PGM III-grown whole cell assays against BSM were analysed by HPAEC-PAD. **a,** The full chromatograms are shown alongside standards of the different expected monosaccharides (colourful lines). **b,** Certain sections of the chromatograms are shown in more detail and stacked to show the increase in sialic acids produced over time.

Supplementary Figure 8│Phylogenetic tree of characterised GH31 family members with those from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. The sequences of the GH31 family members with reported activities (CAZy database) and the ones from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 were compared as described in the methods. The different specificities are indicated by different colours and the *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes are highlighted by black stars. Some more specific information about activity is supplied where possible. The enzymes are represented by their accession numbers of locus tags. Different specificities cluster in this analysis and the observed activity of the two *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes correlates to where they cluster on the tree.

Supplementary Figure 9│Sequential degradation of O-glycans on BSM with CAZymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835.
The sialidases, β-galactosidases, and CAZymes associated with α-linked monosaccharide hydrolysis were added sequentially to understand specificity of the CAZymes. **a,** Structural features that are expected in bovine submaxilliary mucin (left) and how it is broken down in this experiment. Only alpha linkages are labelled apart from the core GalNAc monosaccharides which are also alpha linkages. **b**, Thin layer chromatography results of the sequential degradation. Standards have also been included on the left of the TLCs. **c**, The chromatograms of the assays analysed by HPAEC-PAD. Maltose was used as the internal standard and other standards were run separately. **d**, The areas of the peaks were quantified. The data are from three distinct reactions.

Supplementary Figure 10│Activity of GH enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides capped with α-GalNAc or α-GlcNAc. a, GalNAcα1,3Gal. **b**, GlcNAcα1,4Gal. **c**, Blood group A II. **d**, Tn antigen. **e**, Forssman antigen. Standards have also been included on the TLCs on the right. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 11│Activity of GH enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides capped with α-GalNAc or α-GlcNAc. a, Galα1,3GalNAc. **b**, Galα1,3Gal. **c**, globotriose. **d**, P1 antigen. **e**, Blood group B I. **f**, Blood group B II. Standards have also been included on the TLCs on the right. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 12│Activity of GH enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against raffinose-type defined oligosaccharides. a, melibiose. **b**, raffinose. **c**, stachyose. Standards have also been included on the TLCs on the right. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme. **d**, Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues.

Supplementary Figure 13│Activity of GH enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against a wide variety of substrates. a, maltose. **b**, dextran. **c**, α1,2-mannobiose. **d**, α1,3-mannobiose **e**, α1,4-mannobiose **f**, α1,6-mannobiose. **g,** galactomannan. **h,** RNaseB (high-mannose N-glycans). **i,** L-carrageenan. **j,** K-carrageenan. **k,** I-carrageenan. **l,** porphyran (L). **m,** ulvan. **n,** fucan. **o,** starch. **p,** laminarin. **q,** fucoidan. **r,** agarose. **s,** porphyran (W). **t,** ulvan (entero). **u,** isomaltose. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 14│GH31 family members from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. a. Amuc_1008[GH31] cartoon model coloured rainbow blue-red from the N- to C-terminus, respectively. GalNAc (yellow) from 7F7Q was overlaid into the active site. **b.** The cartoon of Amuc_1008[GH31] (rainbow) overlaid with the *E. faecalis* GH31 (grey) with the same specificity showing that they overlay well in terms of secondary structures, but the *A. muciniphila* enzyme has additional modules. **c.** Top: Amuc_1008[GH31] active site surface overlaid with Tn-antigen from 7F7R *Enterococcus faecalis* GH31_18. Bottom: active site of 7F7R for comparison. Left and right panels show the surface of the enzymes and residues interacting with the sugar in the -1 subsite as sticks, respectively. **d.** Amuc_1187[GH31] cartoon model coloured rainbow blue-red from the N- to C-terminus, respectively. Isomaltose (blue) from 3MKK was overlaid into the active site. **e.** Amuc_1187[GH31] active site surface overlaid with α-linked glucose disaccharides from different crystal structures. From left to right: Isomaltose from 3MKK, Nigerose from 7WJC, and Kojibiose from 7WJF. Only the isomaltose was not making obvious steric clashes with the predicted protein structure. **f.** Activity against pullulan and trehalose. Isomaltose reactions are in Supplementary Figure 16. **f.** Activity against glucosylceramide. Left panel is the TLC and right panel are the assays run on the HPAEC alongside standards. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 15│Models of the GH27 family member from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. a. Human GH27 α-galactosidase with galactose in the -1 subsite and **b.** Human GH27 α-GalNAc'ase with GalNAc in the -1 subsite. The residues coordinating these -1 sugars differ only in the residues that have been labelled. The larger residues coordinate the galactose in 1R47 and the smaller residues allow the accommodation of GalNAc in 3H54. **c.** An overlay of Amuc_1187[GH27] (rainbow, N- to C-termini are blue-red, respectively) with the two *H. sapien* enzymes (grey) show that the overall fold is largely similar. **d.** A model of Amuc_1187[GH27] with the ligand from 1R47 and the two equivalent residues. These are relatively large residues, so the enzyme has specificity for galactose rather than GalNAc. **e.** A surface representation of the model of Amuc_1187[GH27] to show the pocket for galactose more clearly.

Supplementary Figure 16│Phylogenetic tree of characterised GH36 family members with those from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. The sequences of the GH36 family members with reported activities (CAZy database) and the ones from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 were compared as described in the methods. The different specificities are indicated by different colours and the *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes are highlighted by black stars. The enzymes are represented by their accession numbers of locus tags.

Supplementary Figure 17│The structural model of the Amuc_1420^{GH97}. a, The active site of the model is viewed in surface mode from the side. Overlaid into the active site is a trisaccharide (lactose that also has an α-linked galactose to the Glc through a 1,1-linkage) from the *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* BT1871 structure. b, same structure looking down into the active site. c, a close up of the active site, showing the secondary structure as cartoon, the amino acid side chains as lines, apart from one tryptophan that may interact with the sugar in the +2 subsite.

Supplementary Figure 18│Degradation of PGM III with fucosidases and alpha-linkage CAZymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 and analysis by thin layer chromatography. Enzyme assays were carried out pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. **a,** The activity of GH29 and GH95 family members against PGM III. **b,** The activity of GH enzymes associated with the hydrolysis of alpha-linkage removal. Top panel is without enzyme pre-treatment included and the HPAEC-PAD for these samples is presented in 'c'. The bottom panel are the same assays with Amuc_1835[GH33] and Amuc_1120[GH95] included and the HPAEC-PAD for these samples is presented in Figure 2.

| *C. perfringens* 4A4A Stomach epitope | Amuc_1220^{GH89} | *H. sapien* 4XWH Heparan sulfate | Amuc_0060^{GH89} |

Supplementary Figure 19│Structural insights into GH89 enzymes from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. Two crystal structures (grey) with different substrate preferences from the GH89 family were compared to models of the two GH89 enzymes analysed in this work (rainbow). The *C. perfringens* 4A4A structure was crystallised with GlcNAcα1,4Gal in its active site and this was overlaid into the structure from *H. sapien* 4XWH and the two models. GlcNAc and Gal are blue and yellow, respectively. The top panels are cross sections through the active site to show how this disaccharide sits in there and the bottom panels are looking down at the entrance of the active site. The active site of the *C. perfringens* 4A4A structure packs tightly around the sugars in the -1 and +1 subsites, which has a considerable curve in its structure. The conformation of the Amuc_1220^{GH89} active site pocket is predicted to be very similar to this and accommodates this disaccharide well. The *H. sapien* 4XWH and Amuc_0060^{GH89}model do not look like they can accommodate the angle of this substrate very well.

Supplementary Figure 20│Activity of the GH33 and GH181 family members from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides, polysaccharides, and mucin substrates. a, 3'-sialyllactose. **b**, 6'-sialyllactose. **c**. Sialylated Lewis A. **d**, Sialylated Lewis X. **e**, colominic acid. **f**, sialylfucosyllacto-N-tetraose. **g**, biantennary complex N-glycans (α₁acid glycoprotein). **h**, PGM III. **i,** GD1a **j,** and GT1b. **k,** Exploring if removing the galactose also allows the release of the final sialic acid. **l,** Testing Amuc_1216^{GH177} and Amuc_0623^{GHnc} against three different sialylated substrates. **m,** Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues. Standards have also been included on the TLCs and substrates have been included on the right where possible. For the gangliosides (**l** and **j**) the structures of the different products are in the key to the right. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM (except N-glycans at 10 mg/ml and colominic acid at 25 mg/ml), pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. BT0455 from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* has been used as a positive control a-i.

Supplementary Figure 21│Activity of the GH29 and GH95 family members from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides, Part 1. a, 2'-fucosyllactose. **b**, 3-fucosyllactose. **c**, Lewis A. **d**, Lewis B. **e**, Lewis X. **f**, Lewis Y. **g**, Blood group H I. **h**, Blood group H II. **i**, Blood group A II. **j**, Blood group B I. **k**, Blood group B II. **l**, difucosyllacto-N-hexaose. **m**, 3'-sulphated Lewis A. **n**, 3'-sulphated Lewis X. **o**, Lacto-N-difucohexaose I. **p**, Lacto-N-difucohexaose I. **q**, Lacto-N-fucopentaose II. **r**, Sialylfucosyllacto-N-tetraose. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 22│Activity of the GH29 and GH95 family members from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides, Part 2. a, Exploring the full degradation of LNDFH I by pre-treating with Amuc_0846[GH29] and then adding the other fucosidases. **b**, Fucosidase activity against different types of blood group B structures where the α-linked galactose has been removed. **c**, Amuc_0010[GH29] activity against a range of blood group H structures. For all assays, standards have been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme. **d**, A. heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues. The asterisk indicates that one fucose has been remove by Amuc_0846[GH29]. **e**, Structures of the defined substrates used to characterise the fucosidases.

Supplementary Figure 23│Sequential degradation of porcine gastric mucin by CAZymes from AM. Mucin was incubated with different enzymes sequentially and the reaction was stopped in between each step. The glycan products were labelled with procainamide at the reducing end and analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. The results show a release of sialic acid in 1 (other monosaccharides are not resolved under these conditions) and then a release of O-glycan fragments with the addition of a GH16 endo-O-glycanase in 2. 3: The addition of a Amuc_0392[GH29], Amuc_1220[GH89], Amuc_0517[GH36] and Amuc_0216[GH36]. 4: The addition of a broad-acting galactosidase (Amuc_0771[GH35]).

Supplementary Figure 24│Activity of GH2 and GH35 enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against a wide variety of substrates. a, lactose. **b**, Galβ1,4Gal. **c**, LacNAc. **d**, Galβ1,4GalNAc. **e**, TriLacNAc. **f,** Lacto-N-*neo*tetraose. **g,** Galβ1,3Glc. **h,** LNB. **i,** Galβ1,3GalNAc. **j,** Lacto-N-tetrasoe. **k,** GA1. **l,** Tn antigen. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 25│Activity of GH2 and GH35 enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against a wide variety of substrates. a, Galβ1,6Gal. **b**, Galβ1,6GalNAc. **c**, Lacto-N-*neo*hexaose. **d,** biantennary complex N-glycans. **e**, 2'FL. **f**, Lewis A. **g,** Lewis X. **h,** 3S Lewis A. **i,** 3S Lewis X. **j,** LNFP II. **k,** Lactosylceramide. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM (or 10mg/ml for glycoproteins), pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 26│Activity of GH2 and GH35 enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against a wide variety of substrates. a, Human milk oligosaccharides. **b**, PGM III. **c**, Range of plant polysaccharides**,** Lactosylceramide. Standards have also been included on the TLCs.

Supplementary Figure 27 | The GH43 enzymes of *A. muciniphila* BAA-835. a, Testing activity against a variety of defined substrates. Standards have also been included on the TLCs on the right. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme. **b,** Sequence alignment (left) showing that the sequences are conserved apart from a section in the middle (purple dashed box). Right: Structural models of the two GH43 enzymes superimposed with a galactose in the active site (from 6EUI, which is BT3683 from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*). The only difference between the models is one of the loops on the side of the active site and corresponds to the sequence difference seen with the alignment purple dashed box).

Supplementary Figure 28 | Activity of β-galactosidases against O-glycans from PGMIII analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. PGMIII was pretreated sequentially with 1) sialidase and fucosidase, 2) GH16 endo-O-glycanase, and then 3) another fucosidase and three of the CAZymes that remove α-linked capping monosaccharides. The reaction was stopped in between each step and the putative β-galactosidases were added individually. The resulting O-glycans were labelled with procainamide at the reducing end and analysed by LC-FLD-ESI-MS. The chromatograms are presented in two different ways to emphasise the large peaks (top panel) and smaller peaks (bottom panel). The red asterisks indicate where a glycan is no longer present relative to the control and the β-galactosidase has broken this down.

Supplementary Figure 29│Models of the GH2 and GH35 enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835. Models were built using AlphaFold2 Colab as described in the materials and methods. Lactose from 1JYN was overlaid into the active site of the GH2 enzymes and galactose from 4E8C was overlaid into the active sites of the GH35 enzymes and are shown as sticks. The enzymes are coloured blue to red from the N- to the C-termini, respectively. The catalytic modules are circled with a dotted line to highlight the variety of different accessory modules.

a

LacNAc (Galβ1,4GlcNAc)

Galβ1,4GalNAc

Galβ1,6GalNAc

Galβ1,4Gal

b   Amuc_0539^{GH2}   Amuc_0290^{GH2}

W543

W686

Supplementary Figure 30│Analysis of models of the GH2 enzymes from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835. a, Models of different disaccharides. **b,** Models of two GH2 enzymes with LacNAc overlaid into the active site (from a crystal structure of a *Streptococcus pneumoniae* GH2, 4CUC). Aromatic residues contact the +1 sugar to likely play a role in selectivity and substrate binding.

Supplementary Figure 31 | Phylogenetic tree of characterised GH20 family members with those from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. The sequences of the GH20 family members with reported activities (CAZy database) and the ones from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 were compared as described in the methods. The different specificities are indicated by different colours and the *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes are highlighted by black stars. Some more specific information about activity is supplied were possible. The enzymes are represented by their accession numbers of locus tags. Different specificities cluster in this analysis and the observed activity of the three *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes correlates to where they cluster on the tree.

Supplementary Figure 32│Phylogenetic tree of characterised GH3 family members with those from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. The sequences of the GH3 family members with reported activities (CAZy database) and the ones from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 were compared as described in the methods. The different specificities are indicated by different colours and the *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes are highlighted by black stars. The enzymes are represented by their accession numbers of locus tags. Different specificities cluster in this analysis and the observed activity of the *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzyme correlates to where they cluster on the tree.

- β-glucosidase
- β-xylosidase
- β-N-acetylhexosaminidase
- β-galactosidase
- α-L-arabinopyranosidase
- β-glycosidase
- β-glucuronidase
- Exo-chitinase

Supplementary Figure 33│Activity of the GH20 and GH84 family members from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. **a,** TriLacNAc. **b**, Lacto-N-neotetraose. **c**, Lacto-N-neohexaose. **d**, Galβ1,3GalNAcβ1,4Galβ1,4Glc. **e**, GlcNAcβ1,3Gal. **f**, GalNAcβ1,3Gal. **g**, GlcNAcβ1,3Man. **h**, GlcNAcβ1,2Man. **i**, α₁acidglycoprotein. **j**, Chitobiose. **k**, Chitotriose. **l**, Chitotetraose. **m**, Chitopentaose. **n**, Chitohexaose. Some pre-treatments were required to generate the substrates: Red asterisk - pre-treated with BT0461^GH2 to remove the non-reducing end β1,4galactose, cyan asterisk - pre-treated with B035DRAFT_00996^GH2 to remove the non-reducing end β1,3galactose, black asterisk – pre-treated with BT0455^GH33, BT0461^GH2, and PNGaseL, which are a broad-acting sialidase, β1,4-galactosidase, and remove N-glycans from the protein, respectively. The glycan that the GH20 enzymes are tested on are shown to the right of each TLC and all linkages are beta. Standards have also been included on the left of the TLCs.

Supplementary Figure 34│ Activity of the GH123 and GH3 family members from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined oligosaccharides. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. **a,** TriLacNAc. **b**, Lacto-N-neotetraose. **c**, Lacto-N-neohexaose. **d**, Galβ1,3GalNAcβ1,4Galβ1,4Glc. **e**, GlcNAcβ1,3Gal. **f**, GalNAcβ1,3Gal. **g**, GlcNAcβ1,3Man. **h**, GlcNAcβ1,2Man. **i**, $α_1$acidglycoprotein. **j**, Chitobiose-Chitohexaose, left to right. Some pre-treatments were required to generate the substrates: Red asterisk - pre-treated with BT0461^{GH2} to remove the non-reducing end β1,4galactose, cyan asterisk - pre-treated with B035DRAFT_00996^{GH2} to remove the non-reducing end β1,3galactose, black asterisk – pre-treated with BT0455^{GH33}, BT0461^{GH2}, and PNGaseL, which are a broad-acting sialidase, β1,4-galactosidase, and remove N-glycans from the protein, respectively. The glycan that the GH20 enzymes are tested on are shown to the right of each TLC and all linkages are beta. Standards have also been included on the left of the TLCs.

Supplementary Figure 35│Activity of the β-HexNAc'ases from _A. muciniphila_ BAA-835 against P antigen and ulvan substrates. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. Standards have also been included on the left of the TLCs. The Forssman antigen was treated with Amuc_0017[GH109]

Supplementary Figure 36│Activity of sialidases, fucosidases and other α-linkage acting GH enzymes from AM against human milk oligosaccharides. a, Sialidases and potential sialidases. **b**, Fucosidases. **c**, CAZymes to predicted to act on α-linked monosaccharides and these samples were also pre-treated with two fucosidases. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

◆ Neu5Ac ■ GlcNAc ○ Galactose ● Glucose

Supplementary Figure 37│Activity of β-galactosidase and β-HexNAc'ase GH enzymes from AM against human milk oligosaccharides. a, β-galactosidases. Top and bottom panels are sialylated and neutral HMO preparations (Biosynth). Control 1 – no enzymes added and Control 2 - Amuc_0392^{GH29} and Amuc_1120^{GH95}. The rest of the lanes are the individual enzymes with the fucosidases are also included in these reactions. **b**, β-HexNAc'ase. Top and bottom panels are sialylated and neutral HMO preparations (Biosynth). Control 1 – no enzymes added and Control 2 - Amuc_0392^{GH29} and Amuc_1120^{GH95}. Control 3 – Top panel: Amuc_0517^{GH36} and Amuc_0290^{GH2}. Bottom panel: Amuc_0216^{GH36} and Amuc_0771^{GH35}. The controls were boiled in between all stages to produce a sequential degradation. The rest of the lanes are the individual β-HexNAc'ases. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 38│Phylogenetic tree of characterised sulfatase family members from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* with those from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835. The sequences of the sulfatases with reported activities and the ones from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 were compared as described in the methods. The different subfamilies are indicated by different colours and the *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 enzymes are highlighted by black stars. Some more specific information about activity is supplied were possible. When a sulfatase is known to act on a particular GAG, then this is noted and underlined. The enzymes are represented by their locus tags.

Supplementary Figure 39│Activity of sulfatases from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against defined sulfated monosaccharides and Lewis Structures. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 40│Activity of β-galactosidases and α-fucosidases from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against sulfated Lewis Structures. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

a

Sulfatases

- 3'SLeA + fucosidase
- 3'SLeX + fucosidase
- 6'SLeA + fucosidase
- 6'SLeX + fucosidase

Control
Amuc_0451^Sulf20
Amuc_0491^Sulf20
Amuc_0565^Sulf4
Amuc_0864^Sulf26
Amuc_0953^Sulf20
Amuc_1033^Sulf11
Amuc_1182^Sulf19
Amuc_1290^Sulf
Amuc_1655^Sulf16
Amuc_1755^Sulf16
Amuc_1074^Sulf11
Amuc_0121^Sulf15

β-galactosidases

- 3'SLeA + fucosidase
- 3'SLeX + fucosidase
- 6'SLeA + fucosidase
- 6'SLeX + fucosidase

Control
Amuc_0290^GH2
Amuc_0539^GH2
Amuc_0771^GH35
Amuc_0824^GH2
Amuc_1666^GH2
Amuc_1667^GH2
Amuc_1686^GH35

b

Columns (left to right):
3' Sulfated lewis A; 6'-O-sulfated Lewis A; 6-O-sulfated Lewis A; 3' Sulfated Lewis X; 6'-O-sulfated Lewis X; 6-O-sulfated Lewis X; 3'-Sulfated Lacto-N-biose; 6-Sulfated Lacto-N-biose; 3'-Sulfated LacNAc; 6-Sulfated LacNAc; D-galactose-4-O-sulfate; D-galactose-6-O-sulfate; 4-O-sulfated GalNAc; 6-O-sulfated GalNAc; 6-O-sulfated GlcNAc; Double sulfated GlcNAc

Rows:
Amuc_0451^Sulf20
Amuc_0491^Sulf20
Amuc_0565^Sulf4
Amuc_0864^Sulf26
Amuc_0953^Sulf20
Amuc_1033^Sulf11
Amuc_1182^Sulf19
Amuc_1290^Sulf
Amuc_1655^Sulf16
Amuc_1755^Sulf16
Amuc_1074^Sulf11
Amuc_0121^Sulf15
Amuc_0290^GH2
Amuc_0539^GH2
Amuc_0771^GH35
Amuc_0824^GH2
Amuc_1666^GH2
Amuc_1667^GH2
Amuc_1686^GH35
Amuc_0497^GH43
Amuc_0498^GH43

Legend: ■ Full breakdown ■ >50 % breakdown ■ <50 % breakdown □ No activity

3'SLewis A; 6'SLewis A; 6SLewis A; 3'SLewis X; 6'SLewis X; 6SLewis X; 3'SLNB; 3'SLacNAc; 6SLNB; 6SLacNAc; 4SGal; 6SGal; 4SGalNAc; 6SGalNAc; 6SGlcNAc; 3&6SGlcNAc

◇ Δ4,5 uronic acid Ⓢ Sulfate ◇ IdoA ◆ GlcA
□ GalNAc ■ GlcNAc ○ Galactose ▲ Fucose

Linkage Key

Supplementary Figure 41│Activity of fucosidases and β-galactosidases from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against sulfated Lewis Structures. a, Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at a final substrate concentration of 1 mM, pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme. **a**, Heat map of recombinant enzyme activities against defined oligosaccharides. The dark blue and white indicate full and no activity, respectively, and partial activities are represented by the lighter blues.

Supplementary Figure 42│Activity of the GAG-active enzymes and breakdown of sulfated host glycans by AM. a, Activity of Amuc_0778[PL38] against known PL38 substrates glucuronan (left) and alginate (right). Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzyme. **b,** Activities of the Amuc_0771[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105]. **c,** Testing Amuc_0771[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105] against PGMIII. **d,** Left: substrate depletion of different GAGs (2 g/L) by Amuc_0771[PL38]. This wavelength allows the monitoring of the generation of unsaturated products (double bonds) of the PL38. Middle: Highest initial rates of Amuc_0771[PL38]. Right: Initial rates of the Amuc_0863[GH105]. The change in absorbance monitors the GH105 activity against the Amuc_0771[PL38] product. **e,** Quantification of the Amuc_0771[PL38] activity over time from four different GAG substrates by LC-MS. The area under the peaks from the chromatograms are shown and each glycan has been given a different colour. For sulfated glycans, the position of the sulfation is unknown. CSA and DS typically has 4S on GalNAc, whereas CSC has relatively high levels of 6S on GalNAc, but also low levels of 4S. The GlcA in CSC can also have 2S sulfation. HA has no sulfation.

Supplementary Figure 43│Enzyme kinetics for Amuc_0778[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105] against GAG substrates. a, Initial rates of Amuc_0778[PL38]. with increasing substrate concentrations. The Michaelis-Menten model was fitted in Graph-Prism. Error-bars represents standard deviations from triplicate experiments. **b,** Initial rates of Amuc_0863[GH105] for increasing substrate concentrations. The substrate concentrations were obtained directly from the AmPL38 kinetic experiments, and the initial rate defined as loss/converted double-bond per minute. In cases were the initial absorbance of substrate exceeded 2.7 (A235) the data were omitted. No kinetic models could be fitted reliably to any of the datasets. Error-bars represent standard deviations from triplicate experiments. **c,** Testing if the GH89 enzymes have activity against GAGs. Asterisks indicate the presence of Amuc_0778[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105]. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 44│Examples of the LC-MS data of of Amuc_0778[PL38] against GAG substrates. a, Extracted ion chromatograms corresponding to the most abundant compounds during Amuc_0778[PL38] degradation of hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulfate A, chondroitin sulfate C, and dermatan sulfate. Extracted masses: UAHexNAc2: [M-H]- 378 Da, [2M-H]- 757 Da; UAHexAHexNac2: [M-H]2- 378 Da, [M-H]- 757 Da; UAHexA2HexNAc3: [M-H]2- 567.5 Da, [M-H]- 1136.1 Da, UAHexA3HexNac4: [M-H]2- 757 Da, UAHexNAc2S: [M-H]- 458 Da; UAHexAHexNAc2S: [M-H]2- 418 Da, [M-H]- 837 Da; UAHexAHexNac2S2: [M-H]2- 458 Da. **b,** Negative mode ESI MS² fragmentation of UAHexAHexNAc2, a product of hyaluronic acid degradation by Amuc_0778[PL38]. Diamond indicates precursor ion at 757.5 Da. Fragments are following the nomenclature of Domon and Costello are indicated on both MS spectrum and structure. **c,** A structure of the glycan corresponding to the fragmentation pattern in 'b'.

Supplementary Figure 45│Activity of sulfatases from *A. muciniphila* BAA-835 against GAGs. The putative sulfatases were tested against two types of sulfated GAGs in the presence of Amuc_0778[PL38] and Amuc_0863[GH105]. Standards have also been included on the TLCs. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 µM enzyme.

Supplementary Figure 46│Whole cell assay with high-mannose N-glycoprotein and *Sc*mannan. a, The results from the whole cell assay showing that no obvious degradation of the mannan is occurring (left) and a smear appears overnight for the high-mannose N-glycoprotein (right). **b,** TLC is repeated with controls. A: the overnight whole cell assay sample treated with PNGaseL, which is a broad-acting PNGase. B: RNaseB treated with PNGaseL. The smear seen here is a mix of high-mannose N-glycans of between 5 and 7 mannose sugars. C: RNaseB treated with PNGaseL and BT3990, which is an α1,2-mannosidase that trims all the high-mannose N-glycans down to a five-mannose structure. The reaction was carried out at 37 °C, a sample removed at different time points, and boiled to stop enzyme activities. Monosaccharide standards are shown in the right lanes. All mannose linkages are alpha. **c,** SDS-PAGE gel stained for protein using Coomassie Brilliant Blue. L – ladder and *Sc – Sc*mannan.

Supplementary Figure 47│Activities of the GH13 and GH77 family members from *A. muciniphila* ATCC BAA-835 against different α-linked glucose polysaccharides. Enzyme assays were carried out at pH 7, 37 °C, overnight, and with 1 μM enzymes. **a,** Assays of the individual enzymes against possible substrates. **b**, Assays where the substrates were treated with Amuc_1621[GH77] as well as the other enzymes. Standards have also been included on the left of the TLCs.