Elevated pyramidal cell firing orchestrates arteriolar vasoconstriction through COX-2-derived prostaglandin E2 signaling

Benjamin Le Gac[1], Marine Tournissac[2], Esther Belzic [1], Sandrine Picaud[1], Isabelle Dusart[1], Hédi Soula[3], Dongdong Li[1], Serge Charpak[2], Bruno Cauli[1*]

1. Sorbonne Université, CNRS, INSERM, Neurosciences Paris Seine - Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (NPS-IBPS), 9 quai Saint Bernard, 75005 Paris, France.

2. Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Institut de la Vision, 17 rue Moreau, 75012 Paris, France.

3. Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Nutrition and Obesities: Systemic Approaches, NutriOmics, research unit, 91 boulevard de l'hôpital, 75013 Paris, France.

*Correspondence: bruno.cauli@upmc.fr

Keywords: Neurovascular coupling, pyramidal cells, prostaglandin E2 signaling, optogenetics

1 **Abstract**

Neurovascular coupling, linking neuronal activity to cerebral blood flow, is essential for brain

function and underpins functional brain imaging. Whereas mechanisms involved in

vasodilation are well-documented, those controlling vasoconstriction remain overlooked. This

study unravels the mechanisms by which pyramidal cells elicit arteriole vasoconstriction. Using

patch-clamp recording, vascular and Ca^{2+} imaging in mouse cortical slices, we show that strong

optogenetic activation of layer II/III pyramidal cells induces vasoconstriction, correlating with

firing frequency and somatic Ca^{2+} increase. *Ex vivo* and *in vivo* pharmacological investigations

indicate that this vasoconstriction predominantly recruits prostaglandin E2 through the

cyclooxygenase-2 pathway, and activation of EP1 and EP3 receptors. We also present evidence

that specific interneurons releasing neuropeptide Y, and astrocytes, through 20-

hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid, contribute to this process. By revealing the mechanisms by

which pyramidal cells lead to vasoconstriction, our findings shed light on the complex

regulation of neurovascular coupling.

Significance statement

Cerebral blood flow is tightly controlled by neuronal activity, a process termed neurovascular

coupling which serves as the physiological basis for functional brain imaging widely used to

map neuronal activity in health and diseases. While the prevailing view links increased

neuronal activity with enhanced blood perfusion, our data suggest that elevated neuronal

activity can also reduce cerebral blood flow. By optically controlling the activity of pyramidal

cells, we demonstrate that these excitatory neurons induce vasoconstriction when their action

potential firing is increased by releasing glutamate and lipid messengers. These findings

update the interpretation of functional brain imaging signals and help to better understand

the etiopathogenesis of epilepsy and Alzheimer's disease, in which hyperactivity,

hypoperfusion and cognitive deficits overlap.

Introduction

The brain critically depends on the uninterrupted blood supply provided by a dense vasculature (Schmid et al., 2019). Cerebral blood flow (CBF) is locally and temporally controlled by neuronal activity, by an essential process called neurovascular coupling (NVC), and is impaired in early stages of numerous neurological disorders (Iadecola, 2017). NVC also serves as the physiological basis for functional brain imaging widely used to map neuronal activity. Neuronal activity increases CBF within seconds (Iadecola, 2017). In the cerebral cortex, the hyperemic response linked to neural activity is supported by dynamically controlled vasodilation that is spatially and temporally constrained by vasoconstriction in a second phase (Devor et al., 2007). Conversely, vasoconstriction and decreased CBF usually correlate with reduced neuronal activity (Devor et al., 2007; Shmuel et al., 2002).

Mounting evidence indicates that the positive correlation between neuronal activity and CBF is not always maintained under physiological conditions: i) robust sensory-evoked vasodilation can occur in the absence of substantial neuronal response (O'Herron et al., 2016), ii) conversely, pronounced neuronal activity is not systematically associated with increased hemodynamics (Ma et al., 2016), iii) CBF is decreased in several cortical areas despite local increase in neuronal activity (Devor et al., 2008), and iv) optogenetic simulation of inhibitory GABAergic interneurons results in vasodilation (Uhlirova et al., 2016). Furthermore, in pathological conditions with intense neuronal activity such as epileptic seizures, a sustained hypoperfusion induced by vasoconstriction is observed (Farrell et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2020).

NVC is achieved by the synthesis and release of vasoactive messengers within the neurovascular unit (Iadecola, 2017), which act on the contractility of mural cells (smooth muscle cells and pericytes) to control vessel caliber and CBF along the vascular tree (Rungta et al., 2018). Pial and penetrating arterioles, which have a higher density of contractile mural cells and control their diameter faster than capillaries (Hartmann et al., 2021; Hill et al., 2015; Rungta et al., 2021, 2018), play a key role in regulating CBF. Messengers of vasodilation released by excitatory neurons, GABAergic interneurons, astrocytes, or endothelial cells, include nitric oxide, K^+, arachidonic acid derivatives such as prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) (Iadecola, 2017), or more recently glutamate (Zhang et al., 2024). Despite its physio pathological importance, vasoconstriction is less understood with fewer cell types and vasoactive messengers that have been identified. It is now generally accepted that GABAergic

interneurons are key players in vasoconstriction by releasing neuropeptide Y (NPY)(Cauli et al.,

2004; Uhlirova et al., 2016). Under certain conditions, astrocytes can also induce

vasoconstriction via 20-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (20-HETE)(Mulligan and MacVicar, 2004)

or high K^+ concentration (Girouard et al., 2010). However, the involvement of pyramidal cells

in vasoconstriction has been overlooked.

PGE2 has emerged as a bimodal messenger of NVC, similar to K^+ (Girouard et al., 2010) and

glutamate(Zhang et al., 2024), that can induce either vasodilation (Gordon et al., 2008; Lacroix

et al., 2015; Lecrux et al., 2011; Mishra et al., 2016) or vasoconstriction (Dabertrand et al.,

2013; Rosehart et al., 2021) depending on its concentration and/or site of action along the

vascular tree. Under physiological conditions, PGE2 is produced during NVC by either

astrocytes (Mishra et al., 2016) or pyramidal cells(Lacroix et al., 2015) via the rate-limiting

synthesizing enzymes cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) or -2 (COX-2), respectively. Since COX-2-

expressing pyramidal cells can release glutamate and PGE2, both of which induce

vasoconstriction at high concentrations (Dabertrand et al., 2013; Rosehart et al., 2021; Zhang

et al., 2024), pyramidal cells may be responsible for vasoconstriction when their spiking

activity is high.

To test this hypothesis, we used *ex vivo* and *in vivo* approaches in combination with

optogenetics to precisely control pyramidal cell firing in the mouse barrel cortex while

monitoring the resulting arteriolar response. We found that pyramidal cells induce

vasoconstriction at high stimulation frequency and about half of them express all the

transcripts required for a cell autonomous synthesis of the vasoconstrictor messengers PGE2

and prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α). Pharmacological investigations revealed that this neurogenic

vasoconstriction depends on COX-2-derived PGE2 via the direct activation of vascular EP1 and

EP3 receptors. It also involves the recruitment of intermediary NPY interneurons acting on the

Y1 receptor, and, to a lesser extent astrocytes, via 20-HETE and COX-1-derived PGE2. Thus, our

study reveals the mechanisms by which high frequency pyramidal cell firing leads to

vasoconstriction.

Results

Pyramidal cells induce vasoconstriction at high firing frequency.

To determine if pyramidal cells action potential (AP) firing can induce vasoconstriction in a

frequency-dependent manner, we used optogenetics to induce AP firing while monitoring the

resulting vascular response in cortical slices. We used Emx1-cre;Ai32 transgenic mice

expressing the H134R variant of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in the cortical glutamatergic

neurons (Gorski et al., 2002), conferring robust pyramidal cell photoexcitability (Madisen et

al., 2012). Wide-field photostimulation of cortical slices was achieved in layers I to III

(Supplementary Fig. 1a) using 10-second trains of 5 ms light pulses (see Methods) delivered at

five different frequencies (1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 Hz, Fig. 1a).

First, we ensured the efficiency of the photostimulation paradigm by recording layer II-III

pyramidal cells in whole-cell current clamp mode (Fig. 1a). We observed that optogenetic

stimulation resulted in the firing of an initial AP that was followed by a train of spikes whose

amplitude and frequency transiently decreased before reaching a steady state (Fig. 1a, upper

traces). Consistent with the kinetic properties of the H134R ChR2 variant (Lin et al., 2009) and

the intrinsic firing properties of pyramidal cells (Karagiannis et al., 2009), the steady-state

firing frequency matched the photostimulation frequency up to 5 Hz but was lower at higher

frequencies (Fig. 1a, steady-state spike success rate: 100 ± 0 % at 1, 2 and 5 Hz, 70 ± 11 % at

10 Hz and 55 ± 12 % at 20 Hz). These observations demonstrate efficient pyramidal cell

activation over a wide range of photostimulation frequencies.

To test the hypothesis that neuronal activity induces vasoconstriction, we analyzed the

optogenetically induced response of penetrating arterioles. Layer I arterioles were imaged for

30 minutes in cortical slices (Supplementary Fig.2; Supp. table 1) without preconstriction to

facilitate observation of vasoconstriction (Cauli et al., 2004). Examination of the evoked

vascular response over 30-minutes (Supplementary Fig.2) showed that increasing the

frequency of photostimulation shifted the overall vascular response from a barely discernible

delayed response between 1 Hz and 5 Hz to a sustained vasoconstriction at 10 Hz and above

which began less than 2 minutes after photostimulation (10 Hz: 1.4 ± 0.4 min; 20 Hz: 1.6 ± 0.5

min). Most vessels (n= 8 of 10 arterioles) showed a strong and rapid vasoconstriction at 20 Hz.

On average, this response peaked at 6.8 ± 2.4 min, much earlier than at lower frequencies,

which typically required more than 10 min to reach a maximum (Supplementary Fig.2c, 1 Hz:

15.6 ± 4.0 min; 2 Hz: 13.2 ± 2.3 min; 5 Hz: 16.0 ± 3.6 min; 10 Hz: 15.7 ± 2.4 min). Because the

vascular response shifted to reliable vasoconstriction, with onset and peak in less than 2 and

10 minutes, respectively, similar to previous observations in cortical slices (Cauli et al., 2004),

when the frequency of photostimulation was increased to 20 Hz, we defined the first 10

minutes of recording as the vasoconstriction time frame for subsequent comparisons and

analyses. While photostimulation at 1 to 5 Hz failed to elicit fast reliable vascular responses

(Fig. 1c-d), 10 Hz photostimulation predominantly induced vasoconstriction (n= 4 of 5

arterioles, Fig. 1 c-d, area under the curve (AUC)= -1.7 ± 1.1 x 10^3 %.s, n= 5). This response was

even more pronounced at 20 Hz, as all arterioles showed vasoconstriction of high magnitude

(Fig. 1 b-d; AUC= -3.7 ± 0.7 x 10^3 %.s, $F_{(4, 30)}$= 6.135, p= 9.89 x 10^{-4}, one-way ANOVA, n= 10

arterioles). This difference was particularly striking when comparing the magnitude at 20 Hz

(Fig. 1d) with those at 1 Hz ($t_{(12)}$= -3.48, p= 0.0407, t-test), 2 Hz ($t_{(18)}$= -4.09, p= 0.0250, t-test)

and 5 Hz ($t_{(14)}$= -3.7, p= 0.0346, t-test). Intense optogenetic stimulation of pyramidal cells has

been shown to elicit cortical spreading depression (Chung et al., 2018), which induces

vasoconstriction (Zhang et al., 2024) and fast cell swelling (Zhou et al., 2010). We ruled out

this possibility by showing that the rate of change in light transmittance associated with cell

swelling remained below that of cortical spreading depression (Zhou et al., 2010)

(Supplementary Table 1). On the other hand, ChR2-independent vascular changes induced by

high light intensity have been reported (Rungta et al., 2017). We verified that 20 Hz

photostimulation did not induce a vascular response in wild-type mice that do not express

ChR2 (Supplementary Fig.1). Taken together, our observations indicate that photostimulation

of pyramidal cells produces a frequency-dependent vasoconstriction.

Optogenetic stimulation induces a frequency-dependent, gradual increase in somatic

calcium that precedes the vascular response.

These observations raise the questions of how pyramidal neurons can induce vasoconstriction

at higher AP-firing rates. It is generally accepted that the synthesis and/or release of

vasodilatory substances requires an increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} in the releasing cells (Attwell

et al., 2010; Cauli and Hamel, 2010), but little is known about the release of vasoconstricting

substances. We therefore determined whether an increase in somatic Ca^{2+} concentration in

cortical neurons was also dependent on photostimulation frequency. We combined

optogenetic stimulation with whole-cell current clamp recording and intracellular Ca^{2+}

imaging using Rhod-2 delivered by patch pipette (Fig. 2a). Excitation of this red Ca^{2+} indicator

at 585 nm did not induce any voltage response in the recorded pyramidal cells (Fig. 2a), as

expected from the action spectrum of ChR2 (Lin et al., 2009). In contrast, photostimulation at

470 nm elicited a train of spikes accompanied by a somatic Ca^{2+} increase that decayed for tens

of seconds after photostimulation without triggering any significant recurrent spiking activity

(Supplementary Fig. 3). The Ca^{2+} response evoked by 20 Hz photostimulation was more than

twice of that evoked by 2 Hz photostimulation (Fig. 2b; 2 Hz: $\Delta F/F_0$= 34.5 ± 3.7 %, n= 9 cells,

vs. 20 Hz: $\Delta F/F_0$= 79.5 ± 17.7 %, n= 9 cells; $t_{(16)}$= 2.485, p= 0.024397), while the average number

of evoked spikes was about five times higher (Fig. 1a and supplementary Fig. 3). These results

demonstrate a frequency-dependent increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} induced by

photostimulation, that precedes vasoconstriction. We therefore aimed to understand the

molecular mechanisms linking neuronal activity to vasoconstriction.

Vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal cells requires AP firing and is partially dependent on

glutamatergic transmission.

In pyramidal cells, APs induce both somatic Ca^{2+} elevation (Smetters et al., 1999) and

glutamate release. To determine whether spiking activity is required for vasoconstriction

induced by 20 Hz photostimulation, we blocked APs with the voltage-activated sodium

channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 µM, n= 6 arterioles). This treatment completely

abolished the vasoconstriction evoked by 20 Hz photostimulation (Fig. 3; AUC= 0.4 ± 0.4 x

10^3 %.s, $t_{(14)}$= 5.57, p= 8.6656 x 10^{-6}). These data indicate that APs are mandatory for

neurogenic vascular response and may involve glutamate release.

Indeed, high levels of glutamate released from pyramidal cells may activate NPY-expressing

interneurons or astrocytes through activation of ionotropic or group I metabotropic glutamate

receptors (Girouard et al., 2010; Mulligan and MacVicar, 2004; Uhlirova et al., 2016). It may

also directly activate NMDA receptors on arteriolar smooth muscle cells, resulting in a large

intracellular Ca^{2+} increase and subsequent vasoconstriction (Zhang et al., 2024). To test the

hypothesis that glutamate from pyramidal cells, either directly or indirectly, results in

vasoconstriction, we blocked glutamatergic transmission by antagonizing AMPA/kainate,

NMDA and group I metabotropic receptors expressed by cortical neurons (Tasic et al., 2016;

Zeisel et al., 2015) and juvenile astrocytes(Sun et al., 2013). Glutamate receptor antagonists

reduced the magnitude of vasoconstriction (-1.6 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$= 3.28, p= 0.0160) by
approximately half (Fig. 3). Taken together, our data suggest that photostimulation of
pyramidal cells elicits a frequency-dependent vasoconstriction that requires AP firing and
partially involves glutamatergic transmission. We therefore sought to elucidate the
glutamate-independent vasoactive pathway underlying this neurogenic vascular response.

Pyramidal cells express the mRNAs for a cell autonomous PGE2 and PGF2α synthesis

Several arachidonic acid metabolites produced after intracellular Ca^{2+} elevation, including
PGF2α, but also PGE2, exert dose-dependent vasoconstrictive effects (Dabertrand et al., 2013;
Rosehart et al., 2021; Zonta et al., 2003). These prostaglandins could therefore be
progressively released as the frequency of photostimulation and somatic Ca^{2+} increase, and
thereby promote vasoconstriction. Layer II-III pyramidal cells have been shown to produce
PGE2 (Lacroix et al., 2015). To determine whether the synthesizing enzymes of PGE2 and
PGF2α are present in pyramidal cells, we performed single-cell RT-PCR after patch-clamp
recording (Devienne et al., 2018). Sixteen layer II-III pyramidal cells were visually identified
based on the triangular shape of their soma and a prominent apical dendrite. Their
glutamatergic phenotype was confirmed both by their stereotypical regular spiking firing
pattern (Fig. 4a) and also by the expression of the vesicular glutamate transporter, vGlut1, and
neither of the two GABA synthesizing enzymes, thus excluding possible contamination by
GABAergic interneurons (Fig. 4b)(Karagiannis et al., 2009). The rate-limiting enzymes of
prostaglandin synthesis, cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and -2 (COX-2), were detected in 25% (n=
4 of 16 cells) and 31% (n= 5 of 16 cells) of pyramidal cells, respectively, (Fig. 4b-d) but were
never co-expressed (Fig. 4d). The cytosolic enzyme responsible for synthesizing PGE2 (cPGES)
was observed in most pyramidal cells (Fig. 4b-c; 88%, n= 14 of 16 cells). In addition, the
microsomal PGES, mPGES1 and mPGES2 were detected in 6% (Fig. 4c, n= 1 of 16 cells) and
38% (Fig. 4b,c; n= 6 of 16 cells) of pyramidal cells, respectively, and were always co-expressed
with cPGES. The PGF2α terminal-synthesizing enzyme AKR1B3 was observed in the majority
of neurons (Fig. 4b-c; n= 11 of 16 cells, 69%). Occasionally, it was co-detected with the
prostamide/prostaglandin F synthase (PM-PGFS, Fig. 4c, n= 5 of 16 cells, 31%) and the PGE2
converting enzyme carbonyl reductase 1 (CBR1, Fig. 4c; n= 3 of 16 cells, 19%). CBR1 was
consistently detected alongside at least one PGES. Pyramidal cells positive for COX-1 also
expressed PGES (Fig. 4d), with most of them also co-expressing PGFS (n=3 out of 4). All

neurons positive for COX-2 co-expressed both PGES and PGFS (Fig. 4d). These molecular

observations suggest that subpopulations accounting for about half of layer II-III pyramidal

cells express all the transcripts necessary for the synthesis of PGE2 and PGF2α .

Prostaglandins underpin vasoconstriction *ex vivo* and *in vivo*.

To investigate whether prostaglandins could mediate neurogenic vasoconstriction, we

inhibited their synthesis. In cortical slices, the non-selective COX inhibitor indomethacin (5

μM, supplementary Table 3, Fig. 5a and b) completely abolished the vascular response (n= 10

arterioles, AUC= 0.0 ± 0.2 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$= 5.86, p= 3.4385 x 10^{-5}). To verify that high-frequency

stimulation of pyramidal cells also induces vasoconstriction *in vivo*, 10 Hz photostimulation

was reproduced in anesthetized Emx1-cre;Ai32 mice. Pial arterioles diameter measured by 2-

photon line scan imaging (Fig. 5c and d) revealed that pyramidal cells induce vasodilation (1st

phase) followed by sustained vasoconstriction (2nd phase, Fig. 5d and e). The constriction

phase was inhibited by indomethacin (i.v.), indicating the involvement of prostaglandins in the

vasoconstriction (AUC$_{Ctrl}$= -291.5 ± 92.4 %.s vs. AUC$_{Indo.}$= 332.4 ± 184.4 %.s, $U_{(5,4)}$= 0, p= 0.0159,

Fig. 5d-f), which confirms our *ex vivo* observations. To determine whether they originated

from COX-1 or COX-2 activity, we utilized selective inhibitors in cortical slices. The

vasoconstriction magnitude was reduced by the COX-1 inhibitor SC-560 (100 nM, n= 10

arterioles, supplementary Table 3) (-1.4 ± 0.7 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$= 3.54, p= 9.3396 x 10^{-3}, Fig. 5a-b).

The COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (10 μM, n= 7 arterioles, supplementary Table 3) completely

abolished pyramidal cell-induced vasoconstriction in a more potent manner (Fig. 5a-b; AUC=

0.1 ± 0.3 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(15)}$= 5.45, p= 1.0853 x 10^{-5}), mimicking the *ex vivo* effect of indomethacin.

These observations suggest that prostaglandins, derived mainly from COX-2 activity, and to a

lesser extent from COX-1 activity, mediate pyramidal cell-induced vasoconstriction.

PGE2 mediates vasoconstriction by acting primarily on EP1 receptor.

To determine the nature of the prostaglandins and their receptors, we selectively antagonized

the vasoconstrictor receptors of PGE2, EP1 or EP3, or the FP receptor of PGF2α. The

magnitude of vasoconstriction was reduced by the selective EP1 receptor antagonist ONO-

8130 (10 nM, n= 9 arterioles, Fig. 5g-h, 0.3 ± 0.3 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(17)}$= 6.01, p= 2.8451 x 10^{-6},

supplementary Table 3), and to a lesser extent, by the EP3 receptor antagonist L-798,106 (1

μM, n= 9 arterioles, Fig. 5 g-h, -0.9 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(17)}$= 4.30, p= 8.0261 x 10^{-4}, supplementary

Table 3). Impairing FP receptor signaling with AL-8810 (10 μM, n= 9 arterioles, Fig. 5 g-h,

Supplementary table 3) tended to reduce the evoked vasoconstriction, however, it did not

reach statistical significance (AUC= -1.9 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(17)}$= 2.82, p= 0.0533). Additionally, the

pre-constricted state induced by this weak partial FP agonist (Sharif and Klimko, 2019)

(supplementary Fig. 6f) resulted in a diameter reduction of approximately 4% (diameter

before application 21.8 ± 2.5 μm vs. during 20.9 ± 2.6 μm, n= 9 arterioles, $t_{(8)}$= 2.374, p=

0.0457, paired t-test), which underestimated the optogenetic vascular response. Taken

together, these results indicate that pyramidal cell photoactivation induces vasoconstriction

through the release of PGE2 originating mainly from COX-2. This effect primarily acts on the

EP1 receptor and, to a lesser extent, on the EP3 receptor.

To test a direct effect of PGE2 through vascular EP1/EP3 activation, we determined whether

exogenous agonists of PGE2 receptors could mimic the vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal

cell photostimulation. Similar to increasing photostimulation frequencies, exogenous

application of PGE2 induced vasoconstriction in a dose-dependent manner which persisted

for several minutes after removal (Supplementary Fig. 7a). Likewise, 10 μM sulprostone, an

EP1/EP3 agonist with an EC_{50} comparable to that of PGE2 (Boie et al., 1997), mimicked the

vasoconstriction induced by 1-10 μM PGE2 (Supplementary Fig. 7b). Application of 10 μM

PGE2 in the presence of TTX did not impair the evoked vasoconstriction (Supplementary Fig.

7c). These observations suggest that PGE2 and its EP1 and EP3 receptors mediate a sustained

neurogenic vasoconstriction and that once PGE2 is released, its constrictive effect is

independent of AP firing.

Astrocytes through 20-HETE and NPY interneurons are indirect intermediates of pyramidal

cell-induced vasoconstriction.

In addition to smooth muscle cells, PGE2 released by pyramidal cells can also activate

astrocytes and neurons (Clasadonte et al., 2011; Di Cesare et al., 2006), which also express its

receptors (Tasic et al., 2016; Zeisel et al., 2015). To assess whether astrocytes could mediate

the PGE2-dependent vasoconstriction, we first targeted the large conductance Ca^{2+}-activated

(BK) channels and the 20-HETE pathways, both of which mediate astrocyte-derived

vasoconstriction dependent on glutamatergic transmission (Girouard et al., 2010; Mulligan

and MacVicar, 2004). Blockade of BK channels with paxilline (1 μM, n= 10 arterioles,

Supplementary table 3) did not impair the vascular response (Fig. 6; AUC = -3.7 ± 0.3 x 10^3 %.s,

$t_{(18)}$ = 0.03, p = 1). Selective inhibition of the 20-HETE synthesizing enzyme, CYP450 ω-

hydroxylase, with HET-0016 (100 nM, n= 10 arterioles, Supplementary table 3) reduced the

magnitude of the evoked vasoconstriction (Fig. 6; AUC = -1.6 ± 0.7 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$ = 3.32, p =

0.0160). These data suggest that astrocytes partially mediate the vasoconstriction induced by

pyramidal cells via 20-HETE but not via K^+ release. We next determined whether NPY, a potent

vasoconstrictor (Cauli et al., 2004), was involved in neurogenic vasoconstriction. Antagonism

of the NPY Y1 receptors by BIBP3226 (1 µM, n= 10 arterioles, Supplementary table 3) abolished

neurogenic vasoconstriction (Fig. 6; AUC = -0.3 ± 0.2 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$ = 5.28, p = 1.9512 x 10^{-5}).

These results suggest that neurogenic vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal cell

photostimulation involves NPY release and the activation of Y1 receptors (Cauli et al., 2004;

Karagiannis et al., 2009; Uhlirova et al., 2016) and astrocytes via 20-HETE in a glutamatergic-

dependent and -independent manner.

Discussion

This study establishes that pyramidal cell activity leads to arteriolar vasoconstriction, and that the magnitude of the vasoconstriction depends on AP firing frequency and correlates with a graded increase in pyramidal cell somatic Ca^{2+}. This vascular response partially involves glutamatergic transmission through direct and indirect mechanisms on arteriolar smooth muscle cells. *Ex vivo* and *in vivo* observations revealed that PGE2, predominantly produced by layer II-III COX-2 pyramidal cells, and its EP1 and EP3 receptors play a crucial role in neurogenic vasoconstriction. Pharmacological evidence indicates that some interneurons, via NPY release and activation of Y1 receptors, and to a lesser extent, astrocytes through 20-HETE and possibly COX-1 derived PGE2 play an intermediary role in this process (Figure 7).

We found that increasing the frequency of photostimulation in an *ex vivo* preparation caused nearby arteriole to go from a barely discernible response to robust vasoconstriction. In contrast, *in vivo* observations have shown that the optogenetic stimulation of pyramidal cells results in a biphasic response: a fast hyperemic/vasodilatory response (Kahn et al., 2013; Lacroix et al., 2015; Scott and Murphy, 2012), that is followed by a pronounced vasoconstriction (Uhlirova et al., 2016)(Fig. 5). The slow kinetics of the vascular response observed *ex vivo* is comparable with previous observations in slices (Cauli et al., 2004; Rancillac et al., 2006), and is likely due to the lower recording temperature compared to *in vivo*, which slows the synthesis of vasoactive mediators (Rancillac et al., 2006) and downstream reactions. The difficulty in observing vasodilation in cortical slices may be due to relaxed arterioles which favor vasoconstriction(Blanco et al., 2008). The evidence that neurogenic vasoconstriction is frequency-dependent (Fig. 1) and that pharmacologically-induced vasoconstriction persists in preconstructed (Girouard et al., 2010) or pressurized arterioles (Dabertrand et al., 2013), suggests that the neurogenic vasoconstriction primarily depends on a high pyramidal cell firing rate rather than on vascular tone.

Most previous observations did not report a decreased in CBF induced by optogenetic stimulation of pyramidal cells *in vivo* (Lacroix et al., 2015; Scott and Murphy, 2012). This may be attributed to differences in the photostimulation paradigm and/or the specific subtype of pyramidal cells that were stimulated. In our study, we used 10 seconds of photostimulation both *in vivo* and *ex vivo*. Earlier studies have employed shorter photostimulation times, lasting no more than 1 second (Lacroix et al., 2015; Scott and Murphy, 2012; Uhlirova et al., 2016),

which may have resulted in an insufficient number of elicited APs to induce robust
vasoconstriction. Furthermore, we observed that neurogenic vasoconstriction is highly
dependent on COX-2, which is primarily expressed in layer II-III pyramidal cells (Lacroix et al.,
2015; Tasic et al., 2016; Zeisel et al., 2015). In our study, photostimulation of almost all
pyramidal cells in Emx1-Cre;Ai32 mice (Gorski et al., 2002; Madisen et al., 2012) likely resulted
in the release of more COX-2 metabolites. Thy1-ChR2 mice used in previous studies (Scott and
Murphy, 2012; Uhlirova et al., 2016), on the other hand, express primarily ChR2 in layer V
pyramidal cells (Kahn et al., 2013), which more rarely express COX-2.

Our *ex vivo* and *in vivo* observations revealed that PGE2, primarily derived from COX-2, plays
a critical role in neurogenic vasoconstriction by activating EP1 and EP3 receptors expressed by
vascular smooth muscle cells (Zhang et al., 2024). Previous studies have shown that COX-2
pyramidal cells, when activated *in vivo* by sensory stimulation or *ex vivo*, induce a NMDA-
dependent increase in CBF and vasodilation through PGE2 and EP2/EP4 receptors (Lacroix et
al., 2015; Lecrux et al., 2011; Niwa et al., 2000). Differences in the levels and/or sites of action
of released PGE2 may explain the absence of secondary vasoconstriction. In Emx1-Cre;Ai32
mice (Gorski et al., 2002; Madisen et al., 2012), optogenetic stimulation may have activated a
greater number of COX-2 pyramidal cells and resulted in a higher local release of PGE2
compared to sensory stimulation. Furthermore, since PGE2 is barely catabolized in the
cerebral cortex (Alix et al., 2008), most of its removal occurs across the blood-brain barrier by
specific transporters. The lack of blood perfusion in brain slices may impaired this clearance
mechanism, leading to PGE2 accumulation. It is noteworthy that the PGE2-induced
vasoconstriction persisted after its removal (supplementary figure 7). A high level of PGE2 may
have facilitated the activation of the EP1 receptor, which has a lower affinity than the EP2/EP4
receptors (Boie et al., 1997). Additionally, it may have promoted the rapid desensitization of
the dilatory EP4 receptor (Desai et al., 2000) thereby favoring vasoconstriction. Furthermore,
PGE2 can induce either EP1-dependent arteriolar dilation or constriction depending on
whether it is locally applied to capillaries or arterioles. Constriction prevails when both
segments are exposed (Rosehart et al., 2021). Our photostimulation focused on superficial
penetrating arterioles, which lack a capillary network in their close vicinity (Kasischke et al.,
2011). This may have facilitated the direct EP1-mediated arteriolar constriction (Dabertrand
et al., 2013; Rosehart et al., 2021). Overall, these observations suggest that COX-2 pyramidal

cells can sequentially promote both vasodilation and vasoconstriction through the release of
PGE2, depending on the context.

Consistent with previous reports in rodents (Lacroix et al., 2015; Tasic et al., 2016; Yamagata
et al., 1993; Zeisel et al., 2015), the transcripts of the rate-limiting enzymes COX-1 and COX-2,
were detected in subpopulations of mouse layer II-III pyramidal cells, respectively. COX-1/2
expression was found to be systematically associated with at least one PGE2 synthesizing
enzyme. The major isoforms were cPGES and mPGES2, with the latter being less prevalent
(Lacroix et al., 2015; Tasic et al., 2016; Zeisel et al., 2015). The low detection rate of mPGES1,
an isoform co-induced with COX-2 by various stimuli (Takemiya et al., 2007; Yamagata et al.,
2001), reflects its low constitutive basal expression level. The presence of PM-PGFS, CBR1 and
AKR1B3 in layer II-III pyramidal cells is consistent with single-cell RNAseq data (Tasic et al.,
2016; Zeisel et al., 2015). The expression of a PGFS was systematically observed in COX-2
positive pyramidal cells and in a majority of COX-1 positive neurons, similar to PGES. These
observations collectively indicate that subpopulations of layer II-III pyramidal cells express the
mRNAs required for PGE2 and PGF2α synthesis derived from COX-1 or COX-2 activity. Our
pharmacological observations did not reveal a contribution of PGF2α in neurogenic
vasoconstriction, despite the potential ability of pyramidal cells to produce it. This is likely
because PGF2α is only detectable in pyramidal neurons under conditions where COX-2 is over-
expressed (Takei et al., 2012).

Pyramidal cells may have an indirect effect on vascular activity through the activation of
intermediate cell types, in addition to the direct vascular effects of PGE2 and glutamate (Zhang
et al., 2024). Consistent with previous observations, we found that glutamate transmission
from pyramidal cells is involved to some extent (Uhlirova et al., 2016). Additionally, we found
that the NPY Y1 receptor plays a key role in neurogenic vasoconstriction. It is likely that
glutamatergic transmission contributed to NPY release, considering that NPY GABAergic
interneurons express a wide range of ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors (Tasic
et al., 2016; Zeisel et al., 2015). Consistently, the Y1 receptor has been shown to be involved
in vasoconstriction induced by sensory and optogenetic stimulation of GABAergic
interneurons (Uhlirova et al., 2016). Activation of group I metabotropic receptors in
perivascular astrocytes has been shown to promote vasoconstriction via BK channel-
dependent K^+ release (Girouard et al., 2010) or 20-HETE (Mulligan and MacVicar, 2004).

However, the neurogenic vasoconstriction was not affected by the blockade of BK channels,

which rules out this astrocytic pathway. In contrast, the inhibition of ω-hydroxylase partially

reduced neurogenic vasoconstriction, suggesting the involvement of 20-HETE. Additionally,

astrocytes may also have contributed to vasoconstriction through the release of PGE2 derived

from COX-1 (Attwell et al., 2016), as indicated by its mild impairment under SC-560.

The observation that both EP1 and Y1 antagonists abolished the vasoconstriction suggests

that PGE2 and NPY may act in series, possibly with PGE2 activating NPY interneurons via the

EP1 receptor. However, NPY interneurons barely express its transcript (Tasic et al., 2016; Zeisel

et al., 2015) and PGE2 constricts arterioles independently of AP firing, suggesting a direct

vascular effect of PGE2. Nevertheless, PGE2 may have facilitated NPY release via pre- and

postsynaptic EP2-signaling which have been shown to facilitate glutamate release(Sang et al.,

2005) and to induce neuronal firing (Clasadonte et al., 2011), respectively. In *ex vivo* relaxed

arterioles, where vasoconstriction is favored (Blanco et al., 2008), G_q or G_i signaling of EP1 or

Y1 receptors, respectively, appears sufficient to induce vasoconstriction. *In vivo*, where blood

flow both induces myogenic tone and allows PGE2 clearance, NPY and PGE2 could

synergistically promote vasoconstriction by decreasing and increasing cAMP and Ca^{2+} levels,

respectively, in smooth muscle cells. PGE2 and NPY may also exert temporally distinct

vasoconstrictor effects. Indeed, exogenous application of NPY induces a rapid and transient

vasoconstriction that returns to baseline levels after removal (Cauli et al., 2004), whereas

PGE2-induced vasoconstriction is slower and more persistent (supplementary figure 7). The

more transient effect of NPY likely reflects the presence of multiple NPY-degrading

enzymes(Wagner et al., 2015) and/or the desensitization of the Y1 receptor (Gicquiaux et al.,

2003, 2002; Tsurumaki et al., 2002) which is not the case for PGE2 (Alix et al., 2008) and its

vasoconstrictor receptors.

The time-locked photostimulation of virtually all pyramidal cells would have resulted in

hypersynchrony, a phenomenon that can be observed during sleep/wake transitions (Asadi-

Pooya and Sperling, 2019) or in pathological conditions such as epileptic seizures (Jiruska et

al., 2013) and in early stages of Alzheimer's disease (Bezzina et al., 2015; Palop et al., 2007).

Although vasoconstriction observed in epilepsy (Farrell et al., 2016) exhibits similarities to the

neurogenic vasoconstriction described herein, there are notable differences between the two.

Like neurogenic vasoconstriction, seizure-induced hypoperfusion is dependent on COX-2

(Farrell et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2020) and, to some extent on PGE2 (Farrell et al., 2016), likely

through EP1 and/or EP3 receptors. However, epileptic seizures induce the overexpression of

both COX-2 and mPGES1 (Takemiya et al., 2007; Yamagata et al., 1993) as well as the ectopic

expression of NPY (Baraban, 2004). Similar transcriptional upregulations have also been

reported in Alzheimer's disease (Bezzina et al., 2015; Chaudhry et al., 2008; Palop et al., 2007;

Pasinetti and Aisen, 1998) Additionally, PGF2α synthesis by COX-2 pyramidal cells is also

observed during seizures (Takei et al., 2012). Taken together, these observations suggest that

the mechanisms governing neurogenic vasoconstriction are exacerbated in pathological

hypersynchrony and may represent potential therapeutic targets.

Here, using multidisciplinary approaches, we describe a new mechanism of vasoconstriction

that depends on a high firing rate of pyramidal cells. This neurogenic vasoconstriction

primarily involves the release of COX-2-derived PGE2 and activation of EP1 and EP3 receptors.

It is mediated by direct effects on vascular smooth muscle cells but also by indirect

mechanisms involving NPY release from GABAergic interneurons and astrocytes by 20-HETE

synthesis. In contrast to previously described mechanisms of neurogenic vasoconstriction,

that have been mostly associated with GABAergic interneurons and neuronal inhibition (Cauli

et al., 2004; Devor et al., 2007; Krawchuk et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020; Uhlirova et al., 2016),

our data suggest the involvement of glutamatergic excitatory neurons and increased neuronal

activity. This finding will help to update the interpretation of the functional brain imaging

signals used to map network activity in health and disease(Iadecola, 2017; Zhang and Raichle,

2010). This excitatory form of neurogenic vasoconstriction may also help to understand the

etiopathogenesis of epilepsy (Farrell et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2020) and Alzheimer's disease

(Palop and Mucke, 2010) in which increased cortical network activity and hypoperfusion often

overlap.

Materials and methods

Animals

Homozygous Emx1-Cre mice [Jackson Laboratory, stock #005628, B6.129S2-Emx1$^{tm1(cre)Krj}$/J

(Gorski et al., 2002)] were crossed with homozygous Ai32 mice [Jackson Laboratory, stock

#012569, B6;129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP)Hze}$/J (Madisen et al., 2012)] to obtain

heterozygous Emx1$^{cre/WT}$;Ai32$^{ChR2/WT}$ mice for optogenetic stimulations. C57BL/6RJ mice were

used for PGE2 and sulprostone exogenous applications, control optogenetic experiments and

single-cell RT-PCR. 16-21 postnatal day-old females and males were used for all *ex vivo*

experiments. Female Emx1$^{cre/WT}$;Ai32$^{ChR2/WT}$ mice, 3 to 5-month-old, were used for *in vivo*

experiments.

All experimental procedures using animals were carried out in strict accordance with French

regulations (Code Rural R214/87 to R214/130) and conformed to the ethical guidelines of the

European Communities Council Directive of September 22, 2010 (2010/63/UE). Mice were fed

ad libitum and housed in a 12-hour light/dark cycle. *In vivo* experiments were done in

accordance with the Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (Inserm) animal

care and approved by the ethical committee Charles Darwin (Comité national de réflexion

éthique sur l'expérimentation animale – n°5) (protocol number #27135 2020091012114621).

***Ex vivo* slice preparation**

Mice were deeply anesthetized by isoflurane (IsoVet, Piramal Healthcare UK or IsoFlo,

Axience) evaporation in an induction box then euthanized by decapitation. The brain was

quickly removed and placed in cold (~4°C), oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF)

containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 10

glucose, 15 sucrose and 1 kynurenic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). 300 µm-thick coronal slices

containing the barrel cortex were cut with a vibratome (VT1000s; Leica) and were allowed to

recover at room temperature for at least 45 min with oxygenated aCSF (95% O2/5%

CO2)(Devienne et al., 2018). The slices were then transferred to a submerged recording

chamber and perfused continuously at room temperature (20-25 °C) at a rate of 2 ml/min with

oxygenated aCSF lacking kynurenic acid.

Whole-cell recordings

Patch pipettes (5.5 ± 0.2 MΩ) pulled from borosilicate glass were filled with 8 µl of RNase free

internal solution containing (in mM): 144 K-gluconate, 3 MgCl2, 0.5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, pH 7.2

(285/295 mOsm). For electrophysiological recordings combined with calcium imaging, EGTA

was replaced by 200 µM Rhod-2 (20777, Cayman chemicals). Whole-cell recordings were

performed using a patch-clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B, MDS). Data were filtered at 5-10

kHz and digitized at 50 kHz using an acquisition board (Digidata 1440, MDS) attached to a

personal computer running pCLAMP 10.2 software package (MDS). Electrophysiological

properties were determined in current-clamp mode (Karagiannis et al., 2009). Membrane

potential values were corrected for theoretical liquid junction potential (−15.6 mV). Resting

membrane potential of neurons was measured immediately after passing in whole-cell

configuration. Only neurons with a resting membrane potential more hyperpolarized than −60

mV were analyzed further.

Optogenetic stimulation

Optogenetic stimulation was achieved through the objective using a 470 nm light emitting

device (LED, CoolLED, Precise Excite) attached to the epifluorescence port of a BX51WI

microscope (Olympus) and a set of multiband filters consisting of an excitation filter (HC

392/474/554/635, Semrock), a dichroic mirror (BS 409/493/573/652, Semrock), and an

emission filter (HC 432/515/595/730, Semrock). Photostimulation consisted of a 10-s train of

5 ms light pulses at an intensity of 38 mW/mm² and delivered at five different frequencies (1,

2, 5, 10 and 20 Hz).

Infrared imaging

Blood vessels and cells were observed in slices under infrared illumination with Dodt gradient

contrast optics (IR-DGC, Luigs and Neumann) using a double-port upright microscope

(BX51WI, Olympus) and a collimated light emitting device (LED; 780 nm; ThorLabs) as the

transmitted light source, a 40X (LUMPlanF/IR, 40X/0.80 W, Olympus) or a 60X (LUMPlan FL/IR

60X/0.90 W, Olympus) objective and a digital camera (OrcaFlash 4.0, Hamamatsu) attached to

the front port of the microscope. Penetrating arterioles in layer I were selected by IR-DGC

videomicroscopy based on their well-defined luminal diameter (10-40 µm), their length

remaining in the focal plane for at least 50 µm (Lacroix et al., 2015), and the thickness of their

wall (4.1 ± 0.1 µm, n = 176 blood vessels). A resting period of at least 30 min (Zonta et al.,

2003) was observed after slice transfer. After light-induced responses, arteriolar contractility

was tested by the application of aCSF containing the thromboxane A2 agonist, U46619 (100

nM)(Cauli et al., 2004) or K^+ enriched solution (composition in mM: 77.5 NaCl, 50 KCl, 1.25

NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 15 sucrose). Vessels that did not constrict

with these applications were discarded. Only one arteriole was monitored per slice. IR-DGC

images were acquired at 0.1 Hz for pharmacological applications and at 1 Hz for optogenetic

experiments using Imaging Workbench 6.1 software (Indec Biosystems). The focal plane was

continuously maintained on-line using IR-DGC images of cells as anatomical landmarks

(Lacroix et al., 2015).

Calcium imaging

Visually and electrophysiologically identified layer II-III pyramidal cells were filled with the calcium-sensitive dye Rhod-2 (200 µM, Cayman chemicals, 20777) using patch pipettes. Optical recordings were made at least 15 min after passing in whole-cell configuration to allow for somatic diffusion of the dye. Rhod-2 was excited with a 585 nm LED (Cool LED, Precise Excite) at an intensity of 0.56 mW/mm² and the filter set used for optogenetic stimulation using the Imaging Workbench 6.1 software (Indec Byosystems). IR-DGC and fluorescence images were acquired by alternating epifluorescence and transmitted light sources. IR-DGC and fluorescence were respectively sampled at 5 Hz and 1 Hz during baseline and optogenetic stimulation, respectively, and at 1 Hz and 0.2 Hz after photostimulation. During photostimulation, bleed-through occurred in the Rhod-2 channel due to the fluorescence of the EYFP-ChR2 transgene(Madisen et al., 2012). Therefore, the Ca^{2+} response could not be reliably analyzed during this period. To compensate for potential x-y drifts, all images were registered off-line using the "StackReg" plug-in (Thévenaz et al., 1998) of the ImageJ 1.53 software. To define somatic regions of interest (ROIs), the soma was manually delineated from IR-DGC images. Fluorescence intensity changes ($\Delta F/F_0$) were expressed as the ratio $(F-F_0)/F_0$ where F is the mean fluorescence intensity in the ROI at a given time point, and F_0 is the mean fluorescence intensity in the same ROI during the 30-s control baseline.

Drugs

All pharmacological compounds were bath applied after a 5-min baseline, and vascular dynamics were recorded during bath application. The following drugs were dissolved in water: D-(-)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5, 50 µM, Hellobio, HB0225), 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (DNQX, 10µM, Hellobio, HB0262), LY367385 (100µM, Hellobio, HB0398) and BIBP3226 (1 µM, Tocris, 2707). Tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 µM, L8503, Latoxan) was dissolved in 90 % acetic acid. PGE2 (HB3460, Hellobio), sulprostone (10 µM, Cayman chemical, 14765), 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine (MPEP, 50 µM, Hellobio, HB0426) and 9,11-dideoxy-9α,11α-methanoepoxy prostaglandin F2α (U-46619, 100 nM, Enzo, BML-PG023) were dissolved in ethanol. Indomethacin (5 µM, Sigma-Aldrich, I7378), SC-560 (100 nM, Sigma-Aldrich, S2064-5MG), NS-398 (10 µM, Enzo, BML-EI261), ONO-8130 (10 nM, Tocris, 5406), L-798,106 (1 µM, Cayman chemical, 11129), AL8810 (10 µM, Cayman chemical, 16735), paxilline (10 µM, Tocris, 2006) and HET0016 (100 µM, Merck, SML2416-5MG) in DMSO. Acetic acid,

ethanol and DMSO doses were always used below 0.1%. Synthesis inhibitors and BIBP3226

were applied at least 30 minutes before optogenetic stimulation. TTX was applied at least 15

minutes before, while glutamate receptor antagonists and paxilline were applied at least 10

and 5 minutes before, respectively.

Vascular reactivity analysis

To compensate for potential x-y drifts, all images were realigned off-line using the "StackReg"

plug-in (Thévenaz et al., 1998) of the ImageJ 1.53 software. Luminal diameter was measured

in layer I on registered images using custom analysis software developed in MATLAB

(MathWorks)(Lacroix et al., 2015). To avoid potential drawbacks due to vessel instability, only

arterioles with a stable diameter were analyzed further. Arterioles were considered stable if

the relative standard deviation of their diameter during the baseline period was less than

5%(Lacroix et al., 2015). Comparison of the mean arteriolar diameter during the 5-minute

baseline and the 5-minute final pharmacological treatments revealed that all drugs, except

AL8810, had no effect on resting diameter (Supplementary Fig. 4, 6 and 8).

Diameter changes ($\Delta D/D_0$) were expressed as $(D_t - D_0)/D_0$ where D_t is the diameter at the time

t and D_0 is the mean diameter during the baseline period. To eliminate sharp artifacts due to

transient loss of focus, diameter change traces were smoothed using a sliding three-point

median filter. The overall vascular response over time was captured by the area under the

curve of diameter changes after photostimulation. To determine the onset of

vasoconstriction, a Z-score was calculated from the diameter change traces using the formula:

$Z = (x - \mu)/\sigma$, where both the mean μ and the standard deviation σ were calculated from the

values before photostimulation. Onset of vasoconstriction was defined as the time after the

start of photostimulation at which the Z-score exceeded or fell below -a value of -1.96 (95%

criteria) for 10 seconds. If a vessel showed no vasoconstriction, the onset was arbitrarily set

at 1800 seconds. Graphs were generated using R software version 4.3.0 (Team et al., 2023)

and Matplotlib package (Caswell et al., 2023).

Intrinsic optical signals analysis

Variations in IR light transmittance (ΔT)(Zhou et al., 2010) were determined using ImageJ 1.53

software according to: $\Delta T = (T_t - T_0)/T_0$ where T_t is the light transmittance at a time t and T0 is

the average light transmittance during the baseline period of a squared region of interest of

100 μm x 100 μm manually delineated in layer I. The rate of ΔT change was determined as the

first derivative of ΔT (dΔT/dt, where ΔT is the change in light transmittance and t is time).

Slices that showed a maximum rate of increase of dΔT/dt greater than 2%/s, indicating the

occurrence of spreading depression (Zhou et al., 2010), were excluded.

Surgery

Chronic cranial windows were implanted one week after the head bar surgery as previously

described (Tournissac et al., 2022). We used a 100 μm thick glass coverslip over the barrel

cortex (~3mm^2). Before two-photon experiments, a recovery period of 7-10 days minimum

was maintained.

Two-photon imaging and photostimulation

For two-photon excitation, we used a femtosecond laser (Mai Tai eHP; SpectraPhysics) with a

dispersion compensation module (Deepsee; SpectraPhysics) emitting 70-fs pulses at 80 MHz.

The laser power was attenuated by an acousto-optical modulator (AA Optoelectronic, MT110-

B50-A1.5-IR-Hk). Scanning was performed with Galvanometric scanner (GS) mirrors

(8315KM60B; Cambridge Technology). Fluorescein was excited at 920 nm and the emitted

light was collected with a LUMFLN60XW (Olympus, 1.1 NA) water immersion objective.

Collected photons were sorted using a dichroic mirror centered at 570 nm, a FF01-525/25 nm

filter (Semrock) and a GaAsP (Hamamatsu) photomultipliers tube. Customized LabView

software was used to control the system. Line scans were drawn across pial vessels to measure

the change in arterioles diameter, which are less affected by potential movement in the x-y

plan than in penetrating arterioles, and whose dilation dynamics are similar in the

somatosensory cortex [14].

Mice were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and medetomidine (100 and 0.5 mg∕kg,

respectively, intraperitoneal (i.p.)) during imaging sessions. Body temperature was

maintained at 36.5°C using a retro-controlled heating pad. Fluorescein dextran (70 kDa) was

injected i.v. through a retro-orbital injection to label brain vessels. Mice received continuous

air through a nose cone supplemented with oxygen to reach a final concentration of 30% O_2.

Photostimulation was delivered with a 473 nm laser (Coblot MLD, Sweden) through an optic

fiber placed above the glass coverslip and directed at the pial artery of interest. Each

photostimulation consisted of a 10-s train of 5 ms light pulses delivered at 10 Hz, respecting a

5 minutes interstimulus interval. Indomethacin (10mg/kg, #15425529, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) was administered i.v. through a retroorbital injection.

Imaging analysis

Pial arteriole diameter change was determined with line-scan acquisitions and a home-made Matlab script as previously described (Rungta et al., 2018). Trials from the same vessel were averaged (with a 0.1 s interpolation) for analysis. Area under the curve and statistics were performed using GraphPad Prim (version 6).

Cytoplasm harvesting and single-cell RT-PCR

At the end of the whole-cell recording, which lasted less than 15 min, the cytoplasmic content was collected in the recording pipette by applying a gentle negative pressure. The pipette's content was expelled into a test tube and RT was performed in a final volume of 10 µl as described previously (Devienne et al., 2018). The scRT-PCR protocol was designed to probed simultaneously the expression of prostaglandins synthesizing enzymes and neuronal markers (Lacroix et al., 2015). Prostaglandins synthesizing enzymes included COX-1 and COX-2, the terminal PGE2 synthases (PGES): mPGES1, mPGES2 and cPGES, the terminal PGF2α synthases (PGFS): PM-PGFS (Prxl2b) and AKR1B3 and the carbonyl reductase CBR1. Neuronal markers included the vesicular glutamate transporter, vGluT1, and the two isoforms of glutamic acid decarboxylase, GAD65 and GAD67. Two-step amplification was performed essentially as described (Devienne et al., 2018). First, cDNAs present in the 10 µl reverse transcription reaction were simultaneously amplified with all external primer pairs listed in Supplementary table 2. Taq polymerase (2.5 U; Qiagen) and external primers mix (20 pmol each) were added to the manufacturer's buffer (final volume, 100 µl), and 20 cycles (95∘C, 30 s; 60∘C, 30 s; and 72∘C, 35 s) of PCR were performed. Second rounds of PCR were performed using 1 µl of the first PCR product as a template. In this second round, each cDNA was amplified individually using its specific nested primer pair (Supplementary table 2) by performing 35 PCR cycles (as described above). 10 µl of each individual PCR product were run on a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide using ΦX174 digested by *HaeIII* as a molecular weight marker. The efficiency of the protocol was validated using 500 pg of total forebrain RNAs (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com) and R software version 4.3.0 (Team et al., 2023). Normality of distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk tests.

Equality of variance was assessed using Brown-Forsythe tests for comparisons between
groups and using F-tests for comparisons with a control group. Parametric tests were only
used if these criteria were met. Statistical significance of morphological and physiological
properties of penetrating arterioles was determined using one-way ANOVA for comparison
between groups. Statistical significance of calcium was determined using two-tailed unpaired
t-tests and Statistical significance of vascular responses were appreciated using Tukey posthoc
tests for the different frequencies conditions and using Dunnett's posthoc tests for the
different pharmacological conditions compared to the 20 Hz condition without
pharmacological compound. False discovery rate correction was used for multiple
comparisons. Statistical significance of vascular diameter for drug applications was
determined using two-tailed paired t-tests. Statistical significance on all figures uses the
following convention: *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$ and ***$p<0.001$.

Data availability statement

634 The data that support the results of this study are available from the corresponding author
635 upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Rebecca Piskorowski for constructive criticism of the manuscript. We
acknowledge the invaluable support of the animal facilities of IBPS (RongIBPS) and Institut de
la vision for their expert care and maintenance of the animals used in this study. Financial
support was provided by grants from the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-17-CE37-
0010-03, B.C.; CE37_2020_TF-fUS-CADASIL, S.C.; ANR-20-CE14-0025, D.L.; ANR-23-CE14-
0038-01, B.C.), the Fondation Alzheimer France (M21JRCN009, S.C.) and the i-Bio initiative of
Sorbonne University (B.C.). B.L.G. and E.B. were supported by fellowships from Fondation pour
la Recherche sur Alzheimer and M.T. by a fellowship from the Fondation pour la Recherche
Médicale (SPF201909009103).

Author contributions

647 B.L.G., M.T., and E.B. designed experiments, acquired, and analyzed the data, and edited the
648 manuscript. S.P. acquired the data. B.L.G. and B.C. drafted the manuscript. I.D. edited the
649 manuscript. H.S. analyzed the data. D.L. designed experiments and edited the manuscript. S.C.
650 and B.C. designed experiments and edited the manuscript.

Figure 1: The occurrence and strength of vasoconstriction depends on the photostimulation frequency of pyramidal cells. (a) Representative examples of the voltage responses of a layer II-III pyramidal cell (upper traces light grey to black traces) induced by photostimulations (470 nm, 10 s train, 5 ms pulses) delivered at 1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 Hz (cyan lower traces) and mean spike success rate (middle trace, n= 4 cells from 3 mice). The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The red dashed lines represent a spike success rate of 100%. **(b)** Representative example showing IR-DGC pictures of a layer I penetrating arteriole (1) before a 20 Hz photostimulation, (2) at the maximal diameter decrease, and (3) after 10 minutes of recording. Pial surface is upward. Yellow calipers represent the measured diameters. White dashed lines indicate the initial position of the vessel wall. Scale bar: 25 µm. **(c)** Kinetics of arteriolar diameter changes induced by photostimulation (vertical cyan bars) at 1 Hz (n= 4 arterioles from 3 mice), 2 Hz (n= 10 arterioles from 8 mice), 5 Hz (n= 6 arterioles from 6 mice), 10 Hz (n= 5 arterioles from 5 mice) and 20 Hz (n= 10 arteriole from 9 mice). The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The blue trace represents the kinetics of the diameter changes of the arteriole shown in (b). **(d)** Effects of the different photostimulation frequencies on AUC of vascular responses during 10 min of recording. Data are presented as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * statistically different from 20 Hz stimulation with p<0.05.

Figure 2: Photostimulation of pyramidal cells elicits a time-locked firing and a frequency-dependent calcium increase. (a). Voltage response (top trace) and kinetics of relative fluorescence changes (red bottom trace) induced by photostimulation at 20 Hz. Insets, IR-DGC (top), Rhod2 fluorescence (bottom) pictures of an imaged layer II/III pyramidal cell. The somatic region of interest is outlined in white. Pial surface is upward. Scale bar: 20 μm. **(b)** Mean relative variations of Ca^{2+} fluorescence in response to photostimulation at 2 Hz (grey) and 20 Hz (black). Dashed line represents the baseline. The vertical cyan bar indicates the duration of photostimulation. SEMs envelope the mean traces. Inset, Maximum increase in relative fluorescence changes induced immediately after photostimulation, indicated by the black arrow. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * statistically different with p< 0.05.

Figure 3: Optogenetically-induced vasoconstriction requires AP firing and partially glutamatergic transmission. Effect of TTX (1 µM, brown, n= 6 arterioles from 5 mice) and cocktail antagonists of AMPA/kainate (DNQX, 10 µM), NMDA (D-AP5, 50 µM), mGluR1 (LY367385, 100 µM) and mGluR5 (MPEP, 50 µM) receptors (gray, n= 10 arterioles from 6 mice) on **(a)** kinetics and **(b)** magnitude of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation (cyan bar). The SEMs envelope the mean traces. Dashed lines represent the initial diameter. The shaded traces correspond to the kinetics of arteriolar vasoconstriction in control condition (Fig. 1c – 20 Hz). Data are presented as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * and *** statistically different from control condition (Fig. 1c – 20 Hz) with $p<0.05$ and $p<0.001$, respectively.

Figure 4: Layer II-III pyramidal cells express PGE2 and PGF2α synthesizing enzymes. (a) Voltage responses of a layer II-III pyramidal cell induced by injection of current (bottom traces). In response to a just-above-threshold current pulse, the neuron fired long-lasting action potentials with little frequency adaptation (middle black trace). Near saturation, it exhibits the pronounced spike amplitude accommodation and marked frequency adaptation characteristic of regular spiking cells (upper grey trace). **(b)** Agarose gel analysis of the scRT-PCR products of the pyramidal cell shown in (**a**) revealing expression of vGluT1, COX-2, mPGES2, cPGES, PM-PGFS and CBR1. Φx174 digested by *HaeIII* (Φ) was used as molecular weight marker **(c)** Histogram summarizing the single-cell detection rate of PGE2 and PGf2α synthesizing enzymes in layer II-III pyramidal cells (n= 16 cells from 6 mice). PGES (green zone) corresponds to mPGES1, mPGES2 and/or cPGES and PGFS (blue zone) to PM-PGFS, CBR1 and/or AKR1B3. **(d)** Co-expression of PGE2 and PGf2α synthesizing enzymes in pyramidal cells. The box size is proportional to the detection rate. Note the absence of co-expression between COX-1 (purple) and COX-2 (red). Co-expression of a PGES (left, green) and a PGFS (right, blue) with COX-1 (up) and COX-2 (bottom).

Figure 5: PGE2 mostly derived from COX-2 activity and its EP1 and EP3 receptors mediates vasoconstriction induced by optogenetically activated pyramidal cells. (a, b) *Ex vivo* effects of the COX1/2 inhibitor indomethacin (magenta, n= 10 arterioles from 9 mice), the COX-1 inhibitor SC-560 (purple, n= 10 arterioles from 7 mice), and the COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (red, n= 7 arterioles from 6 mice) on kinetics **(a)** and AUC **(b)** of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation (vertical cyan bar). **(c)** Optogenetic stimulation was achieved *in vivo* with an optic fiber through a chronic cranial window over the barrel cortex. **(d)** Left, diameter of pial arterioles labeled with fluorescein dextran (i.v) was measured with line-scan crossing the vessel (white line). Right, Representative examples of vascular response upon photostimulation (10 Hz, 10 s) under control (top) and indomethacin condition (bottom). **(e)** Diameter changes upon photostimulation under control (black; n = 5 arterioles, 4 mice) or indomethacin (magenta; n = 4 arterioles, 4 mice) conditions. **(f)** Area under the curve of the diameter change in control (black) or indomethacin (magenta) conditions calculated between 20 and 40 s (unpaired, two-tailed Mann Whitney test, * p<0.05). **(g, h)** Effects of the EP1, EP3 and FP antagonists, ONO-8130 (10 nM, dark green, n= 9 arterioles from 7 mice), L798,106 (1 µM, light green, n= 9 arterioles from 5 mice) and AL8810 (10 µM, dark blue, n= 9 arterioles from 7 mice), respectively, on kinetics **(g)** and AUC **(h)** of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. Dashed line represents the baseline. The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. The shaded traces correspond to the control condition (Fig. 1c – 20 Hz). *, ** and *** statistically different from 20 Hz control condition with p< 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

Figure 6: NPY Y1 receptors activation and 20-HETE synthesis mediates the vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal neurons. Effects of paxilline (1 μM, orange, n= 10 arterioles from 6 mice), HET-0016 (100 nM, blue-grey, n= 10 arterioles from 7 mice) and BIBP3226 (1 μM, yellow, n= 10 arterioles from 6 mice) on **(a)** kinetics and **(b)** AUC of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation (vertical blue bar). Dashed line represents the baseline. The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The shaded traces correspond to the control condition (Fig. 1c – 20 Hz). The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * and *** statistically different from 20 Hz control condition with p< 0.05 and 0.001.

Figure 7: Possible pathways of vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal neurons. 20 Hz photostimulation induces activation of pyramidal neurons expressing channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2$_{H134R}$) and increases intracellular calcium (Ca^{2+}). Arachidonic acid (AA) is released from membrane phospholipids (MPL) by phospholipases (PL) activated by intracellular Ca^{2+} and is metabolized by type-1 and type-2 cyclooxygenases (COX-1 and COX-2) and prostaglandin E2 synthases (PGES) to produce prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). Three non-exclusive pathways can be proposed for arteriolar vasoconstriction in layer I: 1) PGE2 released into the extracellular space may act directly on arteriolar EP1 and EP3 receptors to induce smooth muscle cell constriction. 2) Glutamate released from pyramidal cells may activate neuropeptide Y (NPY) interneurons and NPY is released to act on Y1 receptors to constrict smooth muscle cells. Glutamate can also activate astrocytes to induce constriction through the 20-HETE and the COX-1/PGE2 pathways. 3) PGE2 may act on pre- and postsynaptic EP2 receptors to facilitate glutamate release and NPY interneuron activation, respectively.

References

Alix E, Schmitt C, Strazielle N, Ghersi-Egea JF. 2008. Prostaglandin E2 metabolism in rat brain:
Role of the blood-brain interfaces. *Cerebrospinal Fluid Res* **5**. doi:10.1186/1743-8454-5-5

Asadi-Pooya AA, Sperling MR. 2019. Normal Awake, Drowsy, and Sleep EEG Patterns That
Might Be Overinterpreted as Abnormal. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology.*
doi:10.1097/WNP.0000000000000585

Attwell D, Buchan AM, Charpak S, Lauritzen M, Macvicar BA, Newman EA. 2010. Glial and
neuronal control of brain blood flow. *Nature* **468**:232–243. doi:10.1038/nature09613

Attwell D, Mishra A, Hall CN, O'Farrell FM, Dalkara T. 2016. What is a pericyte? *Journal of*
Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism **36**:451–455. doi:10.1177/0271678X15610340

Baraban SC. 2004. Neuropeptide Y and epilepsy: Recent progress, prospects and
controversies. *Neuropeptides.* doi:10.1016/j.npep.2004.04.006

Bezzina C, Verret L, Juan C, Remaud J, Halley H, Rampon C, Dahan L. 2015. Early onset of
hypersynchronous network activity and expression of a marker of chronic seizures in the
Tg2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* **10**.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119910

Blanco M, Stern JE, Filosa JA. 2008. Tone-dependent vascular responses to astrocyte-derived
signals. *American journal of physiology* 2855–2863. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.91451.2007.

Boie Y, Stocco R, Sawyer N, Slipetz DM, Ungrin MD, Neuschafer-rube F, Puschel GP. 1997.
Molecular cloning and characterization of the four rat prostaglandin E 2 prostanoid
receptor subtypes 227–241.

Caswell TA, Andrade ES de, Lee A, Droettboom M, Hoffmann T, Klymak J, Hunter J, Firing E,
Stansby D, Varoquaux N, Nielsen JH, Gustafsson O, Root B, May R, Elson P, Seppänen JK,
Lee J-J, Dale D, Sunden K, hannah, McDougall D, Straw A, Hobson P, Lucas G, Gohlke C,
Vincent AF, Yu TS, Ma E, Silvester S, Moad C. 2023. matplotlib/matplotlib: REL: v3.7.2.
doi:10.5281/ZENODO.8118151

Cauli B, Hamel E. 2010. Revisiting the role of neurons in neurovascular coupling. *Front*
Neuroenergetics **2**:9. doi:10.3389/fnene.2010.00009

Cauli B, Tong XK, Rancillac A, Serluca N, Lambolez B, Rossier J, Hamel E. 2004. Cortical GABA
interneurons in neurovascular coupling: Relays for subcortical vasoactive pathways.
Journal of Neuroscience **24**:8940–8949. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3065-04.2004

Chaudhry UA, Zhuang H, Crain BJ, Doré S. 2008. Elevated microsomal prostaglandin-E
synthase-1 in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's and Dementia* **4**:6–13.
doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2007.10.015

Chung DY, Sadeghian H, Qin T, Lule S, Lee H, Karakaya F, Goins S, Oka F, Yaseen MA, Houben
T, Tolner EA, Van Den Maagdenberg AMJMJMJM, Whalen MJ, Sakadžić S, Ayata C. 2018.
Determinants of Optogenetic Cortical Spreading Depolarizations. *Cerebral Cortex* **29**:1–
12. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhy021

Clasadonte J, Poulain P, Hanchate NK, Corfas G, Ojeda SR, Prevot V. 2011. Prostaglandin E 2
release from astrocytes triggers gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neuron firing
via EP2 receptor activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**:16104–16109.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1107533108

Dabertrand F, Hannah RM, Pearson JM, Hill-Eubanks DC, Brayden JE, Nelson MT. 2013.
Prostaglandin E2, a postulated astrocyte-derived neurovascular coupling agent,
constricts rather than dilates parenchymal arterioles. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and*
Metabolism **33**:479–482. doi:10.1038/jcbfm.2013.9

Desai S, April H, Nwaneshiudu C, Ashby B. 2000. Comparison of agonist-induced internalization
of the human EP2 and EP4 prostaglandin receptors: Role of the carboxyl terminus in EP4
receptor sequestration. *Mol Pharmacol* **58**:1279–1286. doi:10.1124/mol.58.6.1279

Devienne G, Le Gac B, Piquet J, Cauli B. 2018. Single Cell Multiplex Reverse Transcription
Polymerase Chain Reaction After Patch-Clamp. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* **136**:1–
12. doi:10.3791/57627

Devor A, Hillman EMC, Tian P, Waeber C, Teng IC, Ruvinskaya L, Shalinsky MH, Zhu H, Haslinger
RH, Narayanan SN, Ulbert I, Dunn AK, Lo EH, Rosen BR, Dale AM, Kleinfeld D, Boas DA.
2008. Stimulus-Induced Changes in Blood Flow and 2-Deoxyglucose Uptake Dissociate in
Ipsilateral Somatosensory Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* **28**:14347–14357.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4307-08.2008

Devor A, Tian P, Nishimura N, Teng IC, Hillman EMC, Narayanan SN, Ulbert I, Boas DA, Kleinfeld
D, Dale AM. 2007. Suppressed Neuronal Activity and Concurrent Arteriolar
Vasoconstriction May Explain Negative Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent Signal.
Journal of Neuroscience **27**:4452–4459. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0134-07.2007

Di Cesare A, Del Piccolo P, Zacchetti D, Grohovaz F. 2006. EP2 receptor stimulation promotes
calcium responses in astrocytes via activation of the adenylyl cyclase pathway. *Cellular*
and Molecular Life Sciences **63**:2546–2553. doi:10.1007/s00018-006-6262-9

Farrell JS, Gaxiola-Valdez I, Wolff MD, David LS, Dika HI, Geeraert BL, Wang R, Singh S,
Spanswick SC, Dunn JF, Antle MC, Federico P, Campbell Teskey G. 2016. Postictal
behavioural impairments are due to a severe prolonged hypoperfusion/ hypoxia event
that is COX-2 dependent. doi:10.7554/eLife.19352.001

Gicquiaux H, Lecat S, Gaire M, Dieterlen A, Mély Y, Takeda K, Bucher B, Galzi JL. 2003.
Neuropeptide Y-induced contraction and its desensitization through the neuropeptide Y
receptor subtype in several rat veins. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* **41 Suppl 1**:S23-7.

Gicquiaux H, Lecat S, Gaire M, Dieterlen A, Mély Y, Takeda K, Bucher B, Galzi JL. 2002. Rapid
internalization and recycling of the human neuropeptide Y Y1 receptor. *Journal of*
Biological Chemistry **277**:6645–6655. doi:10.1074/jbc.M107224200

Girouard H, Bonev AD, Hannah RM, Meredith A, Aldrich RW, Nelson MT. 2010. Astrocytic
endfoot Ca2+ and BK channels determine both arteriolar dilation and constriction. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **107**:3811–3816. doi:10.1073/pnas.0914722107

Gordon GRJ, Choi HB, Rungta RL, Ellis-Davies GCR, MacVicar BA. 2008. Brain metabolism
dictates the polarity of astrocyte control over arterioles. *Nature* **456**:745–9.
doi:10.1038/nature07525

Gorski JA, Talley T, Qiu M, Puelles L, Rubenstein JLRR, Jones KR. 2002. Cortical excitatory
neurons and glia, but not GABAergic neurons, are produced in the Emx1-expressing
lineage. *Journal of Neuroscience* **22**:6309–6314. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.22-15-
06309.2002

Hartmann DA, Berthiaume AA, Grant RI, Harrill SA, Koski T, Tieu T, McDowell KP, Faino A V.,
Kelly AL, Shih AY. 2021. Brain capillary pericytes exert a substantial but slow influence on
blood flow. *Nat Neurosci* **24**:633–645. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-00793-2

Hill RA, Tong L, Yuan P, Murikinati S, Gupta S, Grutzendler J. 2015. Regional Blood Flow in the
Normal and Ischemic Brain Is Controlled by Arteriolar Smooth Muscle Cell Contractility
and Not by Capillary Pericytes. *Neuron* **87**:95–110. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.06.001

Iadecola C. 2017. The Neurovascular Unit Coming of Age: A Journey through Neurovascular
Coupling in Health and Disease. *Neuron* **96**:17–42. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.030

Jiruska P, de Curtis M, Jefferys JGR, Schevon CA, Schiff SJ, Schindler K. 2013. Synchronization
and desynchronization in epilepsy: Controversies and hypotheses. *Journal of Physiology*.
doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2012.239590

Kahn I, Knoblich U, Desai M, Bernstein J, Graybiel AM, Boyden ES, Buckner RL, Moore CI. 2013.
Optogenetic drive of neocortical pyramidal neurons generates fMRI signals that are
correlated with spiking activity. *Brain Res* **1511**:33–45.
doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2013.03.011

Karagiannis A, Gallopin T, Dávid C, Battaglia D, Geoffroy H, Rossier J, Hillman EMC, Staiger JF,
Cauli B. 2009. Classification of NPY-expressing neocortical interneurons. *J Neurosci*
29:3642–59. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0058-09.2009

Kasischke KA, Lambert EM, Panepento B, Sun A, Gelbard HA, Burgess RW, Foster TH,
Nedergaard M. 2011. Two-photon NADH imaging exposes boundaries of oxygen diffusion
in cortical vascular supply regions. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* **31**:68–
81. doi:10.1038/jcbfm.2010.158

Krawchuk MB, Ruff CF, Yang X, Ross SE, Vazquez AL. 2020. Optogenetic assessment of VIP, PV,
SOM and NOS inhibitory neuron activity and cerebral blood flow regulation in mouse
somato-sensory cortex. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* **40**:1427–1440.
doi:10.1177/0271678X19870105

Lacroix A, Toussay X, Anenberg E, Lecrux C, Ferreirós N, Karagiannis A, Plaisier F, Chausson P,
Jarlier F, Burgess SA, Hillman EMCCC, Tegeder I, Murphy TH, Hamel E, Cauli B, Ferreiro N,
Burgess SA, Hillman EMCCC, Tegeder I, Murphy TH, Hamel E, Cauli B. 2015. COX-2-

Derived Prostaglandin E2 Produced by Pyramidal Neurons Contributes to Neurovascular

Coupling in the Rodent Cerebral Cortex. *J Neurosci* **35**:11791–11810.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0651-15.2015

Lecrux C, Toussay X, Kocharyan A, Fernandes P, Neupane S, Levesque M, Plaisier F, Shmuel A,

Cauli B, Hamel E. 2011. Pyramidal Neurons Are "Neurogenic Hubs" in the Neurovascular

Coupling Response to Whisker Stimulation. *Journal of Neuroscience* **31**:9836–9847.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4943-10.2011

Lee JMJ, Stile CL, Bice AR, Rosenthal ZP, Yan P, Snyder AZ, Lee JMJ, Bauer AQ. 2020. Opposed

hemodynamic responses following increased excitation and parvalbumin-based

inhibition. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*.

doi:10.1177/0271678X20930831

Lin JY, Lin MZ, Steinbach P, Tsien RY. 2009. Characterization of engineered channelrhodopsin

variants with improved properties and kinetics. *Biophys J* **96**:1803–1814.

doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2008.11.034

Ma Y, Shaik MA, Kozberg MG, Kim SH, Portes JP, Timerman D. 2016. Resting-state

hemodynamics are spatiotemporally coupled to synchronized and symmetric neural

activity in excitatory neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1525369113

Madisen L, Mao T, Koch H, Zhuo J, Berenyi A, Fujisawa S, Hsu Y-W a, Garcia AJ, Gu X, Zanella

S, Kidney J, Gu H, Mao Y, Hooks BM, Boyden ES, Buzsáki G, Ramirez JM, Jones AR, Svoboda

K, Han X, Turner EE, Zeng H. 2012. A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic

mice for light-induced activation and silencing. *Nat Neurosci* **15**:793–802.

doi:10.1038/nn.3078

Mishra A, Reynolds JP, Chen Y, Gourine A V., Rusakov DA, Attwell D. 2016. Astrocytes mediate

neurovascular signaling to capillary pericytes but not to arterioles. *Nat Neurosci* **19**:1619–

1627. doi:10.1038/nn.4428

Mulligan SJ, MacVicar BA. 2004. Calcium transients in astrocyte endfeet cause cerebrovascular

constrictions. *Nature* **431**:195–199. doi:10.1038/nature02827

Niwa K, Araki E, Morham SG, Ross ME, Iadecola C. 2000. Cyclooxygenase-2 contributes to
functional hyperemia in whisker-barrel cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* **20**:763–770.
doi:10.1523/jneurosci.20-02-00763.2000

O'Herron P, Chhatbar PY, Levy M, Shen Z, Schramm AE, Lu Z, Kara P. 2016. Neural correlates
of single-vessel haemodynamic responses in vivo. *Nature* **534**:378–382.
doi:10.1038/nature17965

Palop JJ, Chin J, Roberson ED, Wang J, Thwin MT, Bien-Ly N, Yoo J, Ho KO, Yu GQ, Kreitzer A,
Finkbeiner S, Noebels JL, Mucke L. 2007. Aberrant Excitatory Neuronal Activity and
Compensatory Remodeling of Inhibitory Hippocampal Circuits in Mouse Models of
Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuron* **55**:697–711. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.025

Palop JJ, Mucke L. 2010. Amyloid-B-induced neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease:
From synapses toward neural networks. *Nat Neurosci* **13**:812–818. doi:10.1038/nn.2583

Pasinetti GM, Aisen PS. 1998. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression is increased in frontal cortex of
Alzheimer's   disease   brain.   *Neuroscience*   **87**:319–324.   doi:10.1016/S0306-
4522(98)00218-8

Rancillac A, Rossier J, Guille M, Tong X-K, Geoffroy H, Amatore C, Arbault S, Hamel E, Cauli B.
2006. Glutamatergic Control of Microvascular Tone by Distinct GABA Neurons in the
Cerebellum. *J Neurosci* **26**:6997–7006. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5515-05.2006

Rosehart AC, Longden TA, Weir N, Fontaine JT, Joutel A, Dabertrand F. 2021. Prostaglandin E2
Dilates Intracerebral Arterioles When Applied to Capillaries: Implications for Small Vessel
Diseases. *Front Aging Neurosci* **13**:1–11. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2021.695965

Rungta RL, Chaigneau E, Osmanski BF, Charpak S. 2018. Vascular Compartmentalization of
Functional   Hyperemia   from   the   Synapse   to   the   Pia.   *Neuron*   1–14.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.06.012

Rungta RL, Osmanski B-F, Boido D, Tanter M, Charpak S. 2017. Light controls cerebral blood
flow in naive animals. *Nat Commun* **8**:14191. doi:10.1038/ncomms14191

Rungta RL, Zuend M, Aydin A, Weber B, Charpak S, Boido D. 2021. vascular arbors in layer II /
III somatosensory cortex. *Commun Biol*. doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02382-w

Sang N, Zhang J, Marcheselli V, Bazan NG, Chen C. 2005. Postsynaptically Synthesized
Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) Modulates Hippocampal Synaptic Transmission via a Presynaptic
PGE2 EP2 Receptor. *Journal of Neuroscience* **25**:9858–9870.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2392-05.2005

Schmid F, Barrett MJPP, Jenny P, Weber B. 2019. Vascular density and distribution in
neocortex. *Neuroimage* **197**:792–805. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.06.046

Scott NA, Murphy TH. 2012. Hemodynamic responses evoked by neuronal stimulation via
channelrhodopsin-2 can be independent of intracortical glutamatergic synaptic
transmission. *PLoS One* **7**:1–10. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029859

Sharif NA, Klimko PG. 2019. Prostaglandin FP receptor antagonists: discovery, pharmacological
characterization and therapeutic utility. *Br J Pharmacol* **176**:1059–1078.
doi:10.1111/bph.14335

Shmuel A, Yacoub E, Pfeuffer J, Moortele P Van De, Adriany G, Hu X, Ugurbil K, Van de
Moortele PF, Adriany G, Hu X, Ugurbil K. 2002. Sustained negative BOLD, blood flow and
oxygen consumption response and its coupling to the positive response in the human
brain. *Neuron* **36**:1195–1210. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(02)01061-9

Smetters D, Majewska A, Yuste R. 1999. Detecting action potentials in neuronal populations
with calcium imaging. *Methods: A Companion to Methods in Enzymology* **18**:215–221.
doi:10.1006/meth.1999.0774

Sun W, McConnell E, Pare J-F, Xu Q, Chen M, Peng W, Lovatt D, Han X, Smith Y, Nedergaard
M. 2013. Glutamate-Dependent Neuroglial Calcium Signaling Differs Between Young and
Adult Brain. *Science (1979)* **339**:197–200. doi:10.1126/science.1226740

Takei S, Hasegawa-Ishii S, Uekawa A, Chiba Y, Umegaki H, Hosokawa M, Woodward DF,
Watanabe K, Shimada A. 2012. Immunohistochemical demonstration of increased
prostaglandin F2α levels in the rat hippocampus following kainic acid-induced seizures.
Neuroscience **218**:295–304. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.05.013

Takemiya T, Matsumura K, Yamagata K. 2007. Roles of prostaglandin synthesis in excitotoxic
brain diseases. *Neurochem Int* **51**:112–120. doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2007.05.009

Tasic B, Menon V, Nguyen TNTN, Kim TKTTKTK, Jarsky T, Yao Z, Levi BBP, Gray LT, Sorensen SA,

Dolbeare T, Bertagnolli D, Goldy J, Shapovalova N, Parry S, Lee CCK, Smith K, Bernard A,

Madisen L, Sunkin SM, Hawrylycz M, Koch C, Zeng H, Yao Z, Lee CCK, Shapovalova N, Parry

S, Madisen L, Sunkin SM, Hawrylycz M, Koch C, Zeng H. 2016. Adult mouse cortical cell

taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nat Neurosci* **advance on**:1–37.

doi:10.1038/nn.4216

Team RC, R Core Team, Team RC. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical

Computing.

Thévenaz P, Ruttimann UE, Unser M. 1998. A pyramid approach to subpixel registration based

on intensity. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* **7**:27–41. doi:10.1109/83.650848

Tournissac M, Boido D, Omnès M, Goulam-Houssen Y, Ciobanu L, Charpak S. 2022. Cranial

window for longitudinal and multimodal imaging of the whole mouse cortex.

Neurophotonics **9**. doi:10.1117/1.nph.9.3.031921

Tran CHT, George AG, Teskey GC, Gordon GR. 2020. Seizures elevate gliovascular unit Ca2+

and cause sustained vasoconstriction. *JCI Insight* **5**. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.136469

Tsurumaki T, Yamaguchi T, Higuchi H. 2002. Marked neuropeptide Y-induced contractions via

NPY-Y1 receptor and its desensitization in rat veins. *Vascul Pharmacol* **39**:325–333.

doi:10.1016/S1537-1891(03)00044-2

Uhlirova H, Kılıç K, Tian P, Thunemann M, Desjardins M, Saisan PA, Sakadžić S, Ness T V., Mateo

C, Cheng Q, Weldy KL, Razoux F, Vandenberghe M, Cremonesi JA, Ferri CGL, Nizar K,

Sridhar VB, Steed TC, Abashin M, Fainman Y, Masliah E, Djurovic S, Andreassen OA, Silva

GA, Boas DA, Kleinfeld D, Buxton RB, Einevol GT, Dale AM, Devor A, Kılıc K, Tian P,

Thunemann M, Ness T V., Saisan PA, Sakadz S, Mateo C, Cheng Q, Weldy KL, Razoux F,

Vandenberghe M, Cremonesi JA, Ferri CGL, Nizar K, Sridhar VB, Steed TC, Abashin M, Silva

GA, Boas DA, Kleinfeld D, Buxton RB. 2016. Cell type specificity of neurovascular coupling

in cerebral cortex. *Elife* **5**:1–23. doi:10.7554/eLife.14315

Wagner L, Wolf R, Zeitschel U, Rossner S, Petersén Å, Leavitt BR, Kästner F, Rothermundt M,

Gärtner UT, Gündel D, Schlenzig D, Frerker N, Schade J, Manhart S, Rahfeld JU, Demuth

HU, Von Hörsten S. 2015. Proteolytic degradation of neuropeptide y (NPY) from head to

toe: Identification of novel NPY-cleaving peptidases and potential drug interactions in

CNS and Periphery. *J Neurochem* **135**:1019–1037. doi:10.1111/jnc.13378

Yamagata K, Andreasson KI, Kaufmann WE, Barnes CA, Worley PF. 1993. Expression of a

Mitogen-Inducible Cyclooxygenase in Brain Neurons : Regulation by Synaptic Activity and

Glucocorticoids. *Neuron* **11**:371–386. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(93)90192-t

Yamagata K, Matsumura K, Inoue W, Shiraki T, Suzuki K, Yasuda S, Sugiura H, Cao C, Watanabe

Y, Kobayashi S. 2001. Coexpression of microsomal-type prostaglandin E synthase with

cyclooxygenase-2 in brain endothelial cells of rats during endotoxin-induced fever.

Journal of Neuroscience **21**:2669–2677. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.21-08-02669.2001

Zeisel A, Muñoz-Manchado AB, Codeluppi S, Lönnerberg P, La Manno G, Juréus A, Marques S,

Munguba H, He L, Betsholtz C, Rolny C, Castelo-Branco G, Hjerling-Leffler J, Linnarsson S.

2015. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq.

Science (1979) **347**:1138–1142.

Zhang D, Ruan J, Peng S, Li J, Hu X, Zhang Y, Zhang T, Ge Y, Zhu Z, Xiao X, Zhu YY, Li XX, Li T,

Zhou L, Gao Q, Zheng G, Zhao B, Li XX, Zhu YY, Wu J, Li W, Zhao J, Ge W, Xu T, Jia J-M.

2024. Synaptic-like transmission between neural axons and arteriolar smooth muscle

cells drives cerebral neurovascular coupling. *Nat Neurosci* 1–17. doi:10.1038/s41593-

023-01515-0

Zhang DY, Raichle ME. 2010. Disease and the brain's dark energy. *Nat Rev Neurol* **6**:15–28.

doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2009.198

Zhou N, Gordon GRJ, Feighan D, MacVicar BA. 2010. Transient swelling, acidification, and

mitochondrial depolarization occurs in neurons but not astrocytes during spreading

depression. *Cerebral Cortex* **20**:2614–2624. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhq018

Zonta M, Angulo MC, Gobbo S, Rosengarten B, Hossmann K-A, Pozzan T, Carmignoto G. 2003.

Neuron-to-astrocyte signaling is central to the dynamic control of brain microcirculation.

Nat Neurosci **6**:43–50. doi:10.1038/nn980