Elevated pyramidal cell firing orchestrates arteriolar vasoconstriction through COX-2-derived prostaglandin E2 signaling

B Le Gac[1], M Tournissac[2], Belzic E[1], S Picaud[1], I Dusart[1], H Soula[3], D Li[1], S Charpak[2], B Cauli[1*]

1. Sorbonne Université, CNRS, INSERM, Neurosciences Paris Seine - Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (NPS-IBPS), 9 quai Saint Bernard, 75005 Paris, France.

2. Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Institut de la Vision, 17 rue Moreau, 75012 Paris, France.

3. Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Nutrition and Obesities: Systemic Approaches, NutriOmics, research unit, 91 boulevard de l'hôpital, 75013 Paris, France.

*Correspondence: bruno.cauli@upmc.fr

ABSTRACT

Neurovascular coupling (NVC), linking neuronal activity to cerebral blood flow (CBF), is

essential for brain function and underpins functional brain imaging. Whereas mechanisms

involved in vasodilation are well-documented, those controlling vasoconstriction remain

overlooked. This study unravels the mechanisms by which pyramidal cells elicit arteriole

vasoconstriction. Using patch-clamp recording, vascular and Ca^{2+} imaging in Emx1-Cre;Ai32

mouse cortical slices, we show that strong optogenetic activation of layer II/III pyramidal cells

induces arteriole vasoconstriction, correlating with firing frequency and somatic Ca^{2+}

increase. *Ex vivo* and *in vivo* pharmacological investigations indicate that this neurogenic

vasoconstriction extends beyond glutamatergic transmission and predominantly recruits

prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) through the cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) pathway, and activation of

EP1 and EP3 receptors. Single-cell RT-PCR further identifies layer II/III pyramidal cells as a key

source of COX-2-derived PGE2. Additionally, we present evidence that specific neuropeptide

Y (NPY) interneurons acting on Y1 receptor, and astrocytes, through 20-

hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (20-HETE) and COX-1-derived PGE2, contribute to this process.

By revealing the mechanisms by which the activity of pyramidal cells leads to

vasoconstriction, our findings shed light on the complex regulation of CBF.

Introduction

The brain critically depends on the uninterrupted blood supply provided by a dense vasculature[1]. Cerebral blood flow (CBF) is locally and temporally controlled by neuronal activity, this essential process is called neurovascular coupling (NVC), and is impaired in early stages of numerous neurological disorders[2]. NVC also serves as the physiological basis for functional brain imaging widely used to map neuronal activity. Increased neuronal activity increases CBF within seconds[2]. In the cerebral cortex, the hyperemic response linked to neural activity is supported by dynamically controlled vasodilation that is spatially and temporally restricted by delayed vasoconstriction in the surrounding area[3]. Conversely, vasoconstriction and decreased CBF usually correlate with reduced neuronal activity[3,4].

However, mounting evidence indicates that under physiological conditions the positive correlation between neuronal activity and CBF is not always met. For instance, i) robust sensory-evoked vasodilation can occur in the absence of substantial neuronal response[5], ii) conversely, pronounced neuronal activity is not systematically associated with increased hemodynamics[6], iii) CBF is decreased in several cortical areas despite local increase in neuronal activity[7] and iv) optogenetic simulation of inhibitory GABAergic interneurons results in vasodilation[8]. Furthermore, in pathological conditions with intense neuronal activity such as epileptic seizures, a sustained hypoperfusion/vasoconstriction is observed[9,10].

NVC is achieved by the synthesis and release of vasoactive messengers within the neurovascular unit[2], which act on the contractility of mural cells (smooth muscle cells and pericytes) to control vessel caliber and CBF along the vascular tree[11]. Pial and penetrating arterioles, which have a higher density of contractile mural cells and control their diameter faster than capillaries[11–14], play a key role in regulating CBF. Messengers of vasodilation released by excitatory neurons, GABAergic interneurons, astrocytes, or endothelial cells, include nitric oxide, K^+, arachidonic acid derivatives such as prostaglandin E2 (PGE2)[2], or more recently glutamate[15]. Despite its physio pathological importance, vasoconstriction is less understood with fewer cell types and vasoactive messengers that have been identified. It is now generally accepted that GABAergic interneurons are key players in vasoconstriction by releasing neuropeptide Y (NPY)[8,16]. However, under certain conditions astrocytes can also induce vasoconstriction via 20-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (20-HETE)[17] or high K^+

concentration[18]. The involvement of pyramidal cells in the process remains to be

investigated.

PGE2 has emerged as a bimodal messenger of NVC, similar to K^{+18} and glutamate[15], that can

induce either vasodilation[19–22] or vasoconstriction[23,24] depending on its concentration and/or

site of action along the vascular tree. Under physiological conditions, PGE2 is produced

during NVC by either astrocytes[21] or pyramidal cells[22] via the rate-limiting synthesizing

enzymes cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) or -2 (COX-2), respectively. Since COX-2-expressing

pyramidal cells can release glutamate and PGE2, both of which induce vasoconstriction at

high concentrations[15,23,24], pyramidal cells may be responsible for vasoconstriction when

their spiking activity is high.

To test this hypothesis, we used *ex vivo* and *in vivo* approaches in combination with

optogenetics to precisely control pyramidal cell firing in the mouse barrel cortex while

monitoring the resulting arteriolar response. We found that pyramidal cells induce

vasoconstriction at high stimulation frequency and express all the transcripts required for a

cell autonomous synthesis of the vasoconstrictor messengers, PGE2 and prostaglandin F2α

(PGF2α). Pharmacological investigations revealed that this neurogenic vasoconstriction

depends on COX-2-derived PGE2 via the direct activation of vascular EP1 and EP3 receptors.

It also involves the recruitment of intermediary NPY interneurons acting on the Y1 receptor,

and, to a lesser extent astrocytes, via 20-HETE and COX-1-derived PGE2. Thus, our study

reveals the mechanisms by which high frequency pyramidal cell firing leads to

vasoconstriction.

Results

Pyramidal cells induce vasoconstriction at high firing frequency.

To determine if pyramidal cells action potential (AP) firing can induce vasoconstriction in a

frequency-dependent manner, we used optogenetics to induce AP firing while monitoring

the resulting vascular response in cortical slices. We used Emx1-cre;Ai32 transgenic mice

expressing the H134R variant of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in the cortical glutamatergic

neurons[25], conferring robust pyramidal cell photoexcitability[26]. Wide-field photostimulation

of cortical slices was achieved in layers I to III (Supplementary Fig. 1a) using 10-second trains

of 5 ms light pulses (see Methods) delivered at five different frequencies (1, 2, 5, 10 and 20

Hz, Fig. 1a).

First, we ensured the efficiency of the photostimulation paradigm by recording layer II-III

pyramidal cells in whole-cell current clamp mode (Fig. 1a). We observed that optogenetic

stimulation resulted in the firing of an initial AP that was followed by a train of spikes whose

amplitude and frequency transiently decreased before reaching a steady state (Fig. 1a, upper

traces). Consistent with the kinetic properties of the H134R ChR2 variant[27] and the intrinsic

firing properties of pyramidal cells[28], the steady-state firing frequency matched the

photostimulation frequency up to 5 Hz but was lower at higher frequencies (Fig. 1a, steady-

state spike success rate: 100 ± 0 % at 1, 2 and 5 Hz, 70 ± 11 % at 10 Hz and 55 ± 12 % at 20

Hz). These observations demonstrate efficient pyramidal cell activation over a wide range of

photostimulation frequencies.

To test the prediction that neuronal activity induces vasoconstriction, we visualized the

optogenetically-induced response of penetrating arterioles. Layer I arterioles were imaged

for 30 minutes in cortical slices (Supplementary Fig.2; Supp. table 1) without preconstriction

to facilitate observation of vasoconstriction[16]. Examination of the evoked vascular response

over 30-minutes (Supplementary Fig.2) showed that increasing the frequency of

photostimulation shifted the overall vascular response from a barely discernible delayed

response between 1 Hz and 5 Hz to a sustained vasoconstriction at 10 Hz and above. This

tendency was particularly pronounced at 20 Hz, with most vessels (n= 8 of 10 arterioles)

showing a strong and rapid vasoconstriction. On average, this response peaked at 6.8 ± 2.4

min, much earlier than at lower frequencies, which typically required more than 10 min to

reach a maximum (Supplementary Fig.2c, 1 Hz: 15.6 ± 4.0 min; 2 Hz: 13.2 ± 2.3 min; 5 Hz:

16.0 ± 3.6 min; 10 Hz: 15.7 ± 2.4 min). Because the vascular response shifted to reliable

vasoconstriction in less than 10 minutes when the frequency of photostimulation was

increased to 20 Hz, we defined the first 10 minutes of recording as the vasoconstriction time

frame for subsequent comparisons and analyses. While photostimulation at 1 to 5 Hz failed

to elicit fast reliable vascular responses (Fig. 1c-d), 10 Hz photostimulation predominantly

induced vasoconstriction (n= 4 of 5 arterioles, Fig. 1 c-d, area under the curve (AUC)= -1.7 ±

1.1 x 10^3 %.s, n= 5). This response was even more pronounced at 20 Hz, as all arterioles

showed vasoconstriction of high magnitude (Fig. 1 b-d; AUC= -3.7 ± 0.7 x 10^3 %.s, $F_{(4, 30)}$=

6.135, p= 9.89 x 10^{-4}, one-way ANOVA, n= 10 arterioles). This difference was particularly

striking when comparing the magnitude at 20 Hz (Fig. 1d) with those at 1 Hz ($t_{(12)}$= -3.48, p=

0.0407, t-test), 2 Hz ($t_{(18)}$= -4.09, p= 0.0250, t-test) and 5 Hz ($t_{(14)}$= -3.7, p= 0.0346, t-test).

Intense optogenetic stimulation of pyramidal cells has been shown to elicit cortical

spreading depression[29], which induces vasoconstriction[15] and fast cell swelling[30]. We ruled

out this possibility by showing that the rate of change in light transmittance associated with

cell swelling remained below that of cortical spreading depression[30] (Supplementary Table

1). On the other hand, ChR2-independent vascular changes induced by high light intensity

have been reported[31]. We verified that 20 Hz photostimulation did not induce a vascular

response in wild-type mice that do not express ChR2 (Supplementary Fig.1). Taken together,

our observations indicate that photostimulation of pyramidal cells produces a frequency-

dependent vasoconstriction that occurs and amplifies at high firing rates.

Optogenetic stimulation induces a frequency-dependent, gradual increase in somatic

calcium that precedes the vascular response.

These observations raise the questions of how pyramidal neurons can induce

vasoconstriction at higher AP-firing rates. It is generally accepted that the synthesis and/or

release of vasodilatory substances requires an increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} in the releasing

cells[32,33], but little is known about the release of vasoconstricting substances. We therefore

determined whether an increase in somatic Ca^{2+} concentration in cortical neurons was also

dependent on photostimulation frequency. We combined optogenetic stimulation with

whole-cell current clamp recording and intracellular Ca^{2+} imaging using Rhod-2 delivered by

patch pipette (Fig. 2a). Excitation of this red Ca^{2+} indicator at 585 nm did not induce any

voltage response in the recorded pyramidal cells (Fig. 2a and supplementary Fig. 3), as

expected from the action spectrum of ChR2[27]. In contrast, photostimulation at 470 nm

elicited a train of spikes accompanied by a somatic Ca^{2+} increase that lasted tens of seconds

following photostimulation without triggering any significant recurrent spiking activity

(Supplementary Fig. 3). The Ca^{2+} response evoked by 20 Hz photostimulation was more than

twice of that evoked by 2 Hz photostimulation (Fig. 2b; 2 Hz: $\Delta F/F_0$= 34.5 ± 3.7 %, n= 9 cells,

vs. 20 Hz: $\Delta F/F_0$= 79.5 ± 17.7 %, n= 9 cells; $t_{(16)}$= 2.485, p= 0.024397), while the average

number of evoked spikes was about five times higher (Fig. 1a and supplementary Fig. 3).

These results demonstrate a frequency-dependent increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} induced by

photostimulation, that is temporally compatible with the synthesis and/or release of
vasoactive mediators and subsequent vasoconstriction. We therefore aimed to understand
the molecular mechanisms linking neuronal activity to vasoconstriction.

Vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal cells requires spiking activity and is only partially
dependent on glutamatergic transmission.

In pyramidal cells, APs induce both somatic Ca^{2+} elevation[34] and glutamate release. To
determine whether spiking activity is required for vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz
photostimulation, we blocked APs with the voltage-activated sodium channel blocker
tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 µM, n= 6 arterioles). This treatment completely abolished the
vasoconstriction evoked by 20 Hz photostimulation (Fig. 3; AUC= 0.4 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(14)}=$
5.57, p= 8.6656 x 10^{-6}). These data indicate that APs are mandatory for neurogenic response
and may involve glutamate release.

Indeed, high levels of glutamate released from pyramidal cells may activate vasoconstrictive
cells, including NPY-expressing interneurons or astrocytes, through activation of ionotropic
or group I metabotropic glutamate receptors[8,17,18]. It may also directly activate NMDA
receptors on arteriolar smooth muscle cells, resulting in a large intracellular Ca^{2+} increase
and subsequent vasoconstriction[15]. To test the hypothesis that glutamate from pyramidal
cells, either directly or indirectly, results in vasoconstriction, we blocked glutamatergic
transmission by antagonizing AMPA/kainate, NMDA and group I metabotropic receptors
expressed by cortical neurons[35,36] and juvenile astrocytes[37]. Glutamate receptor antagonists
reduced the magnitude of vasoconstriction (-1.6 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}=$ 3.28, p= 0.0160) by
approximately half (Fig. 3). Taken together, our data suggest that photostimulation of
pyramidal cells elicits a frequency-dependent vasoconstriction that requires their spiking
activity and partially involves glutamatergic transmission. We therefore sought to elucidate
the glutamate-independent vasoactive pathway underlying this neurogenic vascular
response.

Pyramidal cells express the mRNAs for a cell autonomous PGE2 and PGF2α synthesis
Several arachidonic acid metabolites produced after intracellular Ca^{2+} elevation, including
PGF2α, but also PGE2, exert dose-dependent vasoconstrictive effects[23,24,38]. These
prostaglandins could therefore be progressively released as the frequency of

photostimulation and somatic Ca^{2+} increase, and thereby promote vasoconstriction. Layer II-

III pyramidal cells have been shown to produce PGE2[22]. To determine whether the

synthesizing enzymes of PGE2 and PGF2α are present in pyramidal cells, we performed

single-cell RT-PCR after patch-clamp recording[39]. Sixteen layer II-III pyramidal cells were

visually identified based on the triangular shape of their soma and a prominent apical

dendrite. Their glutamatergic phenotype was confirmed both by their stereotypical regular

spiking firing pattern (Fig. 4a) and also by the expression of the vesicular glutamate

transporter, vGlut1, and neither of the two GABA synthesizing enzymes (Fig. 4b)[28]. The rate-

limiting enzymes of prostaglandin synthesis, cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and -2 (COX-2), were

detected in 25% (n= 4 of 16 cells) and 31% (n= 5 of 16 cells) of pyramidal cells, respectively,

(Fig. 4b-d) but were never co-expressed (Fig. 4d). The cytosolic enzyme responsible for

synthesizing PGE2 (cPGES) was observed in most pyramidal cells (Fig. 4b-c; 88%, n= 14 of 16

cells). In addition, the microsomal PGES, mPGES1 and mPGES2 were detected in 6% (Fig. 4c,

n= 1 of 16 cells) and 38% (Fig. 4b,c; n= 6 of 16 cells) of pyramidal cells, respectively, and were

always co-expressed with cPGES. The PGF2α terminal-synthesizing enzyme AKR1B3 was

observed in the majority of neurons (Fig. 4b-c; n= 11 of 16 cells, 69%). Occasionally, it was

co-detected with the prostamide/prostaglandin F synthase (PM-PGFS, Fig. 4c, n= 5 of 16

cells, 31%) and the PGE2 converting enzyme carbonyl reductase 1 (CBR1, Fig. 4c; n= 3 of 16

cells, 19%). CBR1 was consistently detected alongside at least one PGES. Pyramidal cells

positive for COX-1 also expressed PGES (Fig. 4d), with most of them also co-expressing PGFS

(n=3 out of 4). All neurons positive for COX-2 co-expressed both PGES and PGFS (Fig. 4d).

These molecular observations suggest that subpopulations of layer II-III pyramidal cells

express all the necessary transcripts for the synthesis of PGE2 and PGF2α .

Prostaglandins underpin vasoconstriction *ex vivo* and *in vivo*.

To investigate whether prostaglandins could mediate neurogenic vasoconstriction, we

inhibited their synthesis. The non-selective COX inhibitor indomethacin (5 µM,

supplementary Table 3, Fig. 5a) completely abolished the vascular response (n= 10

arterioles, AUC= 0.0 ± 0.2 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$= 5.86, p= 3.4385 x 10^{-5}). *In vivo* monitoring of pial

artery diameter changes by 2-photon imaging (Fig. 5c and d) during 10 Hz photostimulation

of anesthetized Emx1-cre;Ai32 mice revealed that pyramidal cells induce vasodilation

followed by sustained vasoconstriction (Fig. 5d and e). This confirms and expands upon

previous *in vivo* observations[8]. The constriction phase was inhibited by indomethacin (i.v.),
indicating the involvement of prostaglandins in the vasoconstriction (AUC_{Ctrl}= -291.5 ± 92.4
%.s vs. $AUC_{Indo.}$= 332.4 ± 184.4 %.s, $U_{(5,4)}$= 0, p= 0.0159, Fig. 5d-f), which confirms our *ex vivo*
observations. To determine whether they originated from COX-1 or COX-2 activity, we
utilized selective inhibitors. The vasoconstriction magnitude was reduced by the COX-1
inhibitor SC-560 (100 nM, n= 10 arterioles, supplementary Table 3) (-1.4 ± 0.7 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$=
3.54, p= 9.3396 x 10^{-3}, Fig. 5b-c). The COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (10 µM, n= 7 arterioles,
supplementary Table 3) completely abolished pyramidal cell-induced vasoconstriction in a
more potent manner (Fig. 5b; AUC= 0.1 ± 0.3 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(15)}$= 5.45, p= 1.0853 x 10^{-5}). These
observations suggest that prostaglandins, derived mainly from COX-2 activity, and to a lesser
extent from COX-1 activity, mediate pyramidal cell-induced vasoconstriction.

PGE2 mediates vasoconstriction by acting primarily on EP1 receptor.

To determine the nature of the prostaglandins and their receptors, we selectively
antagonized the vasoconstrictor receptors of PGE2, EP1 or EP3, or the FP receptor of PGF2α.
The magnitude of vasoconstriction was reduced by the selective EP1 receptor antagonist
ONO-8130 (10 nM, n= 9 arterioles, Fig. 6, 0.3 ± 0.3 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(17)}$= 6.01, p= 2.8451 x 10^{-6},
supplementary Table 3), and to a lesser extent, by the EP3 receptor antagonist L-798,106 (1
µM, n= 9 arterioles, Fig. 6, -0.9 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(17)}$= 4.30, p= 8.0261 x 10^{-4}, supplementary
Table 3). Impairing FP receptor signaling with AL-8810 (10 µM, n= 9 arterioles, Fig. 6,
Supplementary table 3) tended to reduce the evoked vasoconstriction, however, it did not
reach statistical significance (AUC= -1.9 ± 0.4 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(17)}$= 2.82, p= 0.0533). Additionally,
the pre-constricted state induced by this weak partial FP agonist[40] (supplementary Fig. 6f)
resulted in a diameter reduction of approximately 4% (diameter before application 21.8 ±
2.5 µm vs. during 20.9 ± 2.6 µm, n= 9 arterioles, $t_{(8)}$= 2.374, p= 0.0457, paired t-test), which
underestimated the optogenetic vascular response. Taken together, these results indicate
that pyramidal cell photoactivation induces vasoconstriction through the release of PGE2
originating from COX-2. This effect primarily acts on the EP1 receptor and, to a lesser extent,
on the EP3 receptor.

To test a direct effect of PGE2 through vascular EP1/EP3 activation, we determined whether
exogenous agonists of PGE2 receptors could mimic the vasoconstriction induced by
pyramidal cell photostimulation. Similar to increasing photostimulation frequencies,

exogenous application of PGE2 induced vasoconstriction in a dose dependent manner

(Supplementary Fig. 7a). Likewise, 10 μM sulprostone, an EP1/EP3 agonist with an EC_{50}

comparable to that of PGE2[41], mimicked the vasoconstriction induced by 1-10 μM PGE2

(Supplementary Fig. 7b). Application of 10 μM PGE2 in the presence of TTX did not impair

the evoked vasoconstriction (Supplementary Fig. 7c). These observations suggest that PGE2

and its EP1 and EP3 receptors mediate the neurogenic vasoconstriction and that once PGE2

is released, its vascular effect is independent of spiking activity.

Astrocytes through 20-HETE and NPY interneurons are indirect intermediates of pyramidal

cell-induced vasoconstriction.

In addition to smooth muscle cells, PGE2 released by pyramidal cells can also activate

astrocytes and neurons[42,43], which also express its receptors[35,36]. To assess whether

astrocytes could mediate the PGE2-dependent vasoconstriction, we first targeted the large

conductance Ca^{2+}-activated (BK) channels and the 20-HETE pathways, both of which mediate

astrocyte-derived vasoconstriction dependent on glutamatergic transmission[17,18]. Blockade

of BK channels with paxilline (1 μM, n= 10 arterioles, Supplementary table 3) did not impair

the vascular response (Fig. 7; AUC = -3.7 ± 0.3 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$ = 0.03, p = 1). Selective

inhibition of the 20-HETE synthesizing enzyme, CYP450 ω-hydroxylase, with HET-0016 (100

nM, n= 10 arterioles, Supplementary table 3) reduced the magnitude of the evoked

vasoconstriction (Fig. 7; AUC = -1.6 ± 0.7 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$ = 3.32, p = 0.0160). These data

suggest that astrocytes partially mediate the vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal cells via

20-HETE but not via K^+ release. We next determined whether NPY, a potent

vasoconstrictor[16], was involved in neurogenic vasoconstriction. Antagonism of the NPY Y1

receptors by BIBP3226 (1 μM, n= 10 arterioles, Supplementary table 3) completely abolished

neurogenic vasoconstriction (Fig. 6; AUC = -0.3 ± 0.2 x 10^3 %.s, $t_{(18)}$ = 5.28, p = 1.9512 x 10^{-5}).

These results suggest that neurogenic vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal cells

photostimulation involves the activation of NPY-expressing interneurons and Y1

receptors[8,16,28] and astrocytes via 20-HETE in glutamatergic-dependent and -independent

manners.

Discussion

This study establishes that pyramidal cells activity leads to arteriole vasoconstriction, and the
magnitude of the vasoconstriction depends on AP firing frequency and correlates with a
graded increase in pyramidal cell somatic Ca^{2+}. This vascular response requires AP firing and
partially involves glutamatergic transmission through direct and indirect mechanisms on
arteriolar smooth muscle cells. *Ex vivo* and *in vivo* observations revealed that PGE2,
predominantly produced by layer II-III COX-2 pyramidal cells, and its EP1 and EP3 receptors
play a crucial role in neurogenic vasoconstriction. Pharmacological evidence indicates that
some interneurons, via NPY release and activation of Y1 receptors, and to a lesser extent,
astrocytes through 20-HETE and possibly COX-1 derived PGE2 play an intermediary role in
this process (Figure 8).

We found that increasing the frequency of photostimulation in an ex vivo preparation
caused nearby arteriole to go from a barely discernible response to robust vasoconstriction.
In contrast, *in vivo* observations have shown that the optogenetic stimulation of pyramidal
cells induces a hyperemic/vasodilatory response[22,44,45], that can be followed by
vasoconstriction[8]. This biphasic response was confirmed *in vivo.* However, a more
pronounced vasoconstriction phase was observed when using high frequency 10-second-
long periods of photostimulation (Fig. 5). The difficulty in observing vasodilation in cortical
slices may be due to relaxed arterioles which favor vasoconstriction[46]. However, the
evidence that neurogenic vasoconstriction is frequency-dependent (Fig. 1) and that
pharmacologically-induced vasoconstriction persists in preconstricted[18] or pressurized
arterioles[24], suggests that the neurogenic vasoconstriction primarily depends on a high
pyramidal cell firing rate rather than on vascular tone. The mandatory role of action
potentials indicates that Ca^{2+} entry via ChR2 alone is insufficient to drive a vascular response.
This likely reflects the essential role of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels in somatic Ca^{2+}
elevation[47] and the subsequent release of neuronal vasoactive agents[8,22].

Most previous observations did not report a decreased in CBF induced by optogenetic
stimulation of pyramidal cells *in vivo*[22,45]. This may be attributed to differences in the
photostimulation paradigm and/or the specific subtype of pyramidal cells that were
stimulated. In our study, we used 10 seconds of photostimulation both *in vivo* and *ex vivo*.
Earlier studies have employed shorter photostimulation times, lasting no more than 1

second[8,22,45], which may have resulted in an insufficient number of elicited APs to induce

robust vasoconstriction. Furthermore, we observed that neurogenic vasoconstriction is

highly dependent on COX-2, which is primarily expressed in layer II-III pyramidal cells[22,35,36].

In our study, photostimulation of almost all pyramidal cells in Emx1-Cre;Ai32 mice[25,26] likely

resulted in the release of more COX-2 metabolites. Thy1-ChR2 mice used in previous

studies[8,45], on the other hand, express primarily ChR2 in layer V pyramidal cells[44,] which

rarely express COX-2.

Our *ex vivo* and *in vivo* observations revealed that PGE2, primarily derived from COX-2, plays

a critical role in neurogenic vasoconstriction by activating EP1 and EP3 receptors expressed

by vascular smooth muscle cells[15]. Previous studies have shown that COX-2 pyramidal cells,

when activated *in vivo* by sensory stimulation or *ex vivo*, induce a NMDA-dependent increase

in CBF and vasodilation through PGE2 and EP2/EP4 receptors[19,22,48]. Differences in the levels

and/or sites of action of released PGE2 may explain the absence of secondary

vasoconstriction. In Emx1-Cre;Ai32 mice[25,26], optogenetic stimulation may have activated a

greater number of COX-2 pyramidal cells and resulted in a higher local release of PGE2

compared to sensory stimulation. A high level of PGE2 may have facilitated the activation of

the EP1 receptor, which has a lower affinity than the EP2/EP4 receptors[41]. Additionally, it

may have promoted the rapid desensitization of the dilatory EP4 receptor[49] thereby favoring

vasoconstriction. Furthermore, PGE2 can induce either EP1-dependent arteriolar dilation or

constriction depending on whether it is locally applied to capillaries or arterioles.

Constriction prevails when both segments are exposed[23]. Our photostimulation focused on

superficial penetrating arterioles, which lack a capillary network in their close vicinity[50]. This

may have facilitated the direct EP1-mediated arteriolar constriction[23,24]. Overall, these

observations suggest that COX-2 pyramidal cells can sequentially promote both vasodilation

and vasoconstriction through the release of PGE2, depending on the context.

Consistent with previous reports in rodents[22,35,36,51], the transcripts of the rate-limiting

enzymes COX-1 and COX-2, were detected in subpopulations of mouse layer II-III pyramidal

cells, respectively. COX-1/2 expression was found to be systematically associated with at

least one PGE2 synthesizing enzyme. The major isoforms were cPGES and mPGES2, with the

latter being less prevalent[22,35,36]. The low detection rate of mPGES1, an isoform co-induced

with COX-2 by various stimuli[52,53], reflects its low constitutive basal expression level. The

presence of PM-PGFS, CBR1 and AKR1B3 in layer II-III pyramidal cells is consistent with
single-cell RNAseq data[35,36]. The expression of a PGFS was systematically observed in COX-2
positive pyramidal cells and in a majority of COX-1 positive neurons, similar to PGES. These
observations collectively indicate that subpopulations of layer II-III pyramidal cells express
the mRNAs required for PGE2 and PGF2α synthesis derived from COX-1 or COX-2 activity.
Our pharmacological observations did not reveal a contribution of PGF2α in neurogenic
vasoconstriction, despite the potential ability of pyramidal cells to produce it. This is likely
because PGF2α is only detectable in pyramidal neurons under conditions where COX-2 is
over-expressed[54].

Pyramidal cells may have an indirect effect on vascular activity through the activation of
intermediate cell types, in addition to the direct vascular effects of PGE2 and glutamate[15.]
Consistent with previous observations, we found that glutamate transmission from
pyramidal cells is involved to some extent[8]. Additionally, we found that the NPY Y1 receptor
plays a key role in neurogenic vasoconstriction. It is likely that glutamatergic transmission
contributed to NPY release, considering that NPY GABAergic interneurons express a wide
range of ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors[35,36]. Consistently, the Y1 receptor
has been shown to be involved in vasoconstriction induced by sensory and optogenetic
stimulation of GABAergic interneurons[8]. This vascular response originates in deep layers and
propagates upstream to the pial surface. The density of neuronal-arteriolar smooth muscle
cell junctions[15] and of NPY interneurons[35] is higher in deep layers, supporting an initial
activation in those layers. However, layer I contains numerous NPY interneurons[28,35] and
exhibits strong Y1 receptor immunoreactivity in smooth muscle cells[55]. This suggests that
neurogenic vasoconstriction may also be relayed by superficial NPY interneurons.

Activation of group I metabotropic receptors in perivascular astrocytes has been shown to
promote vasoconstriction via BK channel-dependent K$^+$ release[18] or 20-HETE[17]. However, the
neurogenic vasoconstriction was not affected by the blockade of BK channels, which rules
out this astrocytic pathway. In contrast, the inhibition of ω-hydroxylase partially reduced
neurogenic vasoconstriction, suggesting the involvement of 20-HETE. Additionally,
astrocytes may also have contributed to vasoconstriction through the release of PGE2
derived from COX-1[56], as indicated by its mild impairment under SC-560. Pyramidal cells may
have indirectly contributed to neurogenic vasoconstriction via the release of PGE2, in

addition to glutamate. Indeed, GABAergic interneurons and astrocytes express PGE2

receptors[35,36], and PGE2 has been shown to induce neuronal firing and increase Ca^{2+} levels in

astrocytes[42,43]. Therefore, glutamate and PGE2 released from pyramidal cells may act

synergistically to indirectly promote vasoconstriction.

Although the vasoconstriction observed in epilepsy[9], shares similar mechanisms with the

neurogenic vasoconstriction described here, it differs in several aspects. Epileptic seizures

induce long-lasting hypoperfusion leading to severe hypoxia and memory and cognitive

impairment[9]. The high level of glutamate associated with epilepsy is likely to contribute to

the direct contraction of smooth muscle cell[15]. Like neurogenic vasoconstriction, seizure-

induced hypoperfusion is dependent on COX-2[9,10] and, to some extent on PGE2[9], likely

through EP1 and/or EP3 receptors. However, epileptic seizures induce the overexpression of

both COX-2 and mPGES1[51,53]. Additionally, $PGF2\alpha$ synthesis by COX-2 pyramidal cells is also

produced during seizures[54], suggesting that $PGF2\alpha$ and the FP receptors may also be

involved in this hypoperfusion.

Here, using multidisciplinary approaches, we describe a new mechanism of vasoconstriction

that depends on a high firing rate of pyramidal cells. This neurogenic vasoconstriction

primarily involves the release of COX-2-derived PGE2 and activation of EP1 and EP3

receptors. It is mediated by direct effects on vascular smooth muscle cells but also by

indirect mechanisms involving NPY release from GABAergic interneurons and astrocytes by

20-HETE synthesis. In contrast to previously described mechanisms of neurogenic

vasoconstriction, that have been mostly associated with GABAergic interneurons and

neuronal inhibition[3,8,16,57,58], our data suggest the involvement of glutamatergic excitatory

neurons and increased neuronal activity. This finding will help to update the interpretation

of the functional brain imaging signals used to map network activity in health and disease[2,59].

This excitatory form of neurogenic vasoconstriction may also help to understand the

etiopathogenesis of epilepsy[9,10] and Alzheimer's disease[60] in which increased cortical

network activity and hypoperfusion often overlap.

Methods

Animals

Homozygous Emx1-Cre mice [Jackson Laboratory, stock #005628, B6.129S2-Emx1$^{tm1(cre)Krj}$/J [25]] were crossed with homozygous Ai32 mice [Jackson Laboratory, stock #012569, B6;129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP)Hze}$/J [26]] to obtain heterozygous Emx1$^{cre/WT}$;Ai32$^{ChR2/WT}$ mice for optogenetic stimulations. C57BL/6RJ mice were used for PGE2 and sulprostone exogenous applications, control optogenetic experiments and single-cell RT-PCR. 16-21 postnatal day-old females and males were used for all *ex vivo* experiments. Female Emx1$^{cre/WT}$;Ai32$^{ChR2/WT}$ mice, 3 to 5-month-old, were used for *in vivo* experiments.

All experimental procedures using animals were carried out in strict accordance with French regulations (Code Rural R214/87 to R214/130) and conformed to the ethical guidelines of the European Communities Council Directive of September 22, 2010 (2010/63/UE). Mice were fed *ad libitum* and housed in a 12-hour light/dark cycle. *In vivo* experiments were done in accordance with the Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (Inserm) animal care and approved by the ethical committee Charles Darwin (Comité national de réflexion éthique sur l'expérimentation animale – n°5) (protocol number #27135 2020091012114621).

Ex vivo slice preparation

Mice were deeply anesthetized by isoflurane (IsoVet, Piramal Healthcare UK or IsoFlo, Axience) evaporation in an induction box then euthanized by decapitation. The brain was quickly removed and placed in cold (~4°C), oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 15 sucrose and 1 kynurenic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). 300 µm-thick coronal slices containing the barrel cortex were cut with a vibratome (VT1000s; Leica) and were allowed to recover at room temperature for at least 45 min with oxygenated aCSF (95% O2/5% CO2)[39]. The slices were then transferred to a submerged recording chamber and continuously perfused at a rate of 2 ml/min with oxygenated aCSF lacking kynurenic acid.

Whole-cell recordings

Patch pipettes (5.5 ± 0.2 MΩ) pulled from borosilicate glass were filled with 8 µl of RNase free internal solution containing (in mM): 144 K-gluconate, 3 MgCl2, 0.5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, pH

7.2 (285/295 mOsm). For electrophysiological recordings combined with calcium imaging,

EGTA was replaced by 200 μM Rhod-2 (20777, Cayman chemicals). Whole-cell recordings

were performed at room temperature (20-25 °C) using a patch-clamp amplifier (Axopatch

200B, MDS). Data were filtered at 5-10 kHz and digitized at 50 kHz using an acquisition board

(Digidata 1440, MDS) attached to a personal computer running pCLAMP 10.2 software

package (MDS). Electrophysiological properties were determined in current-clamp mode[28].

Membrane potential values were corrected for theoretical liquid junction potential (−15.6

mV). Resting membrane potential of neurons was measured immediately after passing in

whole-cell configuration. Only neurons with a resting membrane potential more

hyperpolarized than −60 mV were analyzed further.

Optogenetic stimulation

Optogenetic stimulation was achieved through the objective using a 470 nm light emitting

device (LED, CoolLED, Precise Excite) attached to the epifluorescence port of a BX51WI

microscope (Olympus) and a set of multiband filters consisting of an excitation filter (HC

392/474/554/635, Semrock), a dichroic mirror (BS 409/493/573/652, Semrock), and an

emission filter (HC 432/515/595/730, Semrock). Photostimulation consisted of a 10-s train of

5 ms light pulses at an intensity of 38 mW/mm² and delivered at five different frequencies

(1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 Hz).

Infrared imaging

Blood vessels and cells were observed in slices under infrared illumination with Dodt

gradient contrast optics (IR-DGC, Luigs and Neumann) using a double-port upright

microscope (BX51WI, Olympus) and a collimated light emitting device (LED; 780 nm;

ThorLabs) as the transmitted light source, a 40X (LUMPlanF/IR, 40X/0.80 W, Olympus) or a

60X (LUMPlan FL/IR 60X/0.90 W, Olympus) objective and a digital camera (OrcaFlash 4.0,

Hamamatsu) attached to the front port of the microscope. Penetrating arterioles in layer I

were selected by IR-DGC videomicroscopy based on their well-defined luminal diameter (10-

40 μm), their length remaining in the focal plane for at least 50 μm[22], and the thickness of

their wall (4.1 ± 0.1 μm, n = 176 blood vessels). A resting period of at least 30 min[38] was

observed after slice transfer. After light-induced responses, arteriolar contractility was

tested by the application of aCSF containing the thromboxane A2 agonist, U46619 (100

nM)[16] or K^+ enriched solution (composition in mM: 77.5 NaCl, 50 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2,

1 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 15 sucrose). Vessels that did not constrict with these
applications were discarded. Only one arteriole was monitored per slice. IR-DGC images
were acquired at 0.1 Hz for pharmacological applications and at 1 Hz for optogenetic
experiments using Imaging Workbench 6.1 software (Indec Biosystems). The focal plane was
continuously maintained on-line using IR-DGC images of cells as anatomical landmarks[22].

Calcium imaging

Visually and electrophysiologically identified layer II-III pyramidal cells were filled with the
calcium-sensitive dye Rhod-2 (200 µM, Cayman chemicals, 20777) using patch pipettes.
Optical recordings were made at least 15 min after passing in whole-cell configuration to
allow for somatic diffusion of the dye. Rhod-2 was excited with a 585 nm LED (Cool LED,
Precise Excite) at an intensity of 0.56 mW/mm² and the filter set used for optogenetic
stimulation using the Imaging Workbench 6.1 software (Indec Byosystems). IR-DGC and
fluorescence images were acquired by alternating epifluorescence and transmitted light
sources. IR-DGC and fluorescence were respectively sampled at 5 Hz and 1 Hz during
baseline and optogenetic stimulation, respectively, and at 1 Hz and 0.2 Hz after
photostimulation. During photostimulation, bleed-through occurred in the Rhod-2 channel
due to the fluorescence of the EYFP-ChR2 transgene[26]. Therefore, the Ca^{2+} response could
not be reliably analyzed during this period. To compensate for potential x-y drifts, all images
were registered off-line using the "StackReg" plug-in[61] of the ImageJ 1.53 software. To define
somatic regions of interest (ROIs), the soma was manually delineated from IR-DGC images.
Fluorescence intensity changes ($\Delta F/F_0$) were expressed as the ratio $(F-F_0)/F_0$ where F is the
mean fluorescence intensity in the ROI at a given time point, and F_0 is the mean fluorescence
intensity in the same ROI during the 30-s control baseline.

Drugs

All pharmacological compounds were bath applied after a 5-min baseline, and vascular
dynamics were recorded during bath application. The following drugs were dissolved in
water: D-(-)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5, 50 µM, Hellobio, HB0225), 6,7-
dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (DNQX, 10µM, Hellobio, HB0262), LY367385 (100µM, Hellobio,
HB0398) and BIBP3226 (1 µM, Tocris, 2707). Tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 µM, L8503, Latoxan) was
dissolved in 90 % acetic acid. PGE2 (HB3460, Hellobio), sulprostone (10 µM, Cayman
chemical, 14765), 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine (MPEP, 50 µM, Hellobio, HB0426) and

9,11-dideoxy-9α,11α-methanoepoxy prostaglandin F2α (U-46619, 100 nM, Enzo, BML-

PG023) were dissolved in ethanol. Indomethacin (5 µM, Sigma-Aldrich, I7378), SC-560 (100

nM, Sigma-Aldrich, S2064-5MG), NS-398 (10 µM, Enzo, BML-EI261), ONO-8130 (10 nM,

Tocris, 5406), L-798,106 (1 µM, Cayman chemical, 11129), AL8810 (10 µM, Cayman chemical,

16735), paxilline (10 µM, Tocris, 2006) and HET0016 (100 µM, Merck, SML2416-5MG) in

DMSO. Acetic acid, ethanol and DMSO doses were always used below 0.1%. Synthesis

inhibitors and BIBP3226 were applied at least 30 minutes before optogenetic stimulation.

TTX was applied at least 15 minutes before, while glutamate receptor antagonists and

paxilline were applied at least 10 and 5 minutes before, respectively.

Vascular reactivity analysis

To compensate for potential x-y drifts, all images were realigned off-line using the

"StackReg" plug-in[61] of the ImageJ 1.53 software. Luminal diameter was measured in layer I

on registered images using custom analysis software developed in MATLAB (MathWorks)[22].

To avoid potential drawbacks due to vessel instability, only arterioles with a stable diameter

were analyzed further. Arterioles were considered stable if the relative standard deviation of

their diameter during the baseline period was less than 5%[22]. Comparison of the mean

arteriolar diameter during the 5-minute baseline and the 5-minute final pharmacological

treatments revealed that all drugs, except AL8810, had no effect on resting diameter

(Supplementary Fig. 4, 6 and 8).

Diameter changes ($\Delta D/D_0$) were expressed as $(D_t - D_0)/D_0$ where D_t is the diameter at the

time t and D_0 is the mean diameter during the baseline period. To eliminate sharp artifacts

due to transient loss of focus, diameter change traces were smoothed using a sliding three-

point median filter. The overall vascular response over time was captured by the area under

the curve of diameter changes after photostimulation. Graphs were generated using R

software version 4.3.0[62] and Matplotlib package[63].

Intrinsic optical signals analysis

Variations in IR light transmittance (ΔT)[30] were determined using ImageJ 1.53 software

according to: $\Delta T = (T_t - T_0)/T_0$ where T_t is the light transmittance at a time t and T0 is the

average light transmittance during the baseline period of a squared region of interest of 100

µm x 100 µm manually delineated in layer I. The rate of ΔT change was determined as the

first derivative of ΔT ($d\Delta T/dt$, where ΔT is the change in light transmittance and t is time).

Slices that showed a maximum rate of increase of dΔT/dt greater than 2%/s, indicating the

occurrence of spreading depression[30], were excluded.

Surgery

Chronic cranial windows were implanted one week after the head bar surgery as previously

described[64]. We used a 100 μm thick glass coverslip over the barrel cortex (~3mm^2). Before

two-photon experiments, a recovery period of 7-10 days minimum was maintained.

Two-photon imaging and photostimulation

For two-photon excitation, we used a femtosecond laser (Mai Tai eHP; SpectraPhysics) with

a dispersion compensation module (Deepsee; SpectraPhysics) emitting 70-fs pulses at 80

MHz. The laser power was attenuated by an acousto-optical modulator (AA Optoelectronic,

MT110-B50-A1.5-IR-Hk). Scanning was performed with Galvanometric scanner (GS) mirrors

(8315KM60B; Cambridge Technology). Fluorescein was excited at 920 nm and the emitted

light was collected with a LUMFLN60XW (Olympus, 1.1 NA) water immersion objective.

Collected photons were sorted using a dichroic mirror centered at 570 nm, a FF01-525/25

nm filter (Semrock) and a GaAsP (Hamamatsu) photomultipliers tube. Customized LabView

software was used to control the system. Line-scan were drawn across pial vessels to

measure diameter change.

Mice were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and medetomidine (100 and 0.5 mg∕kg,

respectively, intraperitoneal (i.p.)) during imaging sessions. Body temperature was

maintained at 36.5°C using a retro-controlled heating pad. Fluorescein dextran (70 kDa) was

injected i.v. through a retro-orbital injection to label brain vessels. Mice received continuous

air through a nose cone supplemented with oxygen to reach a final concentration of 30% O_2.

Photostimulation was delivered with a 473 nm laser (Coblot MLD, Sweden) through an optic

fiber placed above the glass coverslip and directed at the pial artery of interest. Each

photostimulation consisted of a 10-s train of 5 ms light pulses delivered at 10 Hz, respecting

a 5 minutes interstimulus interval. Indomethacin (10mg/kg, #15425529, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) was administered i.v. through a retroorbital injection.

Imaging analysis

Pial arteriole diameter change was determined with line-scan acquisitions and a home-made

Matlab script as previously described[11]. Trials from the same vessel were averaged (with a

0.1 s interpolation) for analysis. Area under the curve and statistics were performed using

GraphPad Prim (version 6).

Cytoplasm harvesting and single-cell RT-PCR

At the end of the whole-cell recording, which lasted less than 15 min, the cytoplasmic

content was collected in the recording pipette by applying a gentle negative pressure. The

pipette's content was expelled into a test tube and RT was performed in a final volume of 10

µl as described previously[39]. The scRT-PCR protocol was designed to probed simultaneously

the expression of prostaglandins synthesizing enzymes and neuronal markers[22].

Prostaglandins synthesizing enzymes included COX-1 and COX-2, the terminal PGE2

synthases (PGES): mPGES1, mPGES2 and cPGES, the terminal PGF2α synthases (PGFS): PM-

PGFS (Prxl2b) and AKR1B3 and the carbonyl reductase CBR1. Neuronal markers included the

vesicular glutamate transporter, vGluT1, and the two isoforms of glutamic acid

decarboxylase, GAD65 and GAD67. Two-step amplification was performed essentially as

described[39]. First, cDNAs present in the 10 µl reverse transcription reaction were

simultaneously amplified with all external primer pairs listed in Supplementary table 2. Taq

polymerase (2.5 U; Qiagen) and external primers mix (20 pmol each) were added to the

manufacturer's buffer (final volume, 100 µl), and 20 cycles (95◦C, 30 s; 60◦C, 30 s; and 72◦C,

35 s) of PCR were performed. Second rounds of PCR were performed using 1 µl of the first

PCR product as a template. In this second round, each cDNA was amplified individually using

its specific nested primer pair (Supplementary table 2) by performing 35 PCR cycles (as

described above). 10 µl of each individual PCR product were run on a 2% agarose gel stained

with ethidium bromide using ΦX174 digested by *HaeIII* as a molecular weight marker. The

efficiency of the protocol was validated using 500 pg of total forebrain RNAs (Supplementary

Fig. 5).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Windows

(GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com) and R software version

4.3.0[62]. Normality of distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk tests. Equality of

variance was assessed using Brown-Forsythe tests for comparisons between groups and

using F-tests for comparisons with a control group. Parametric tests were only used if these

criteria were met. Statistical significance of morphological and physiological properties of

penetrating arterioles was determined using one-way ANOVA for comparison between
groups. Statistical significance of calcium was determined using two-tailed unpaired t-tests
and Statistical significance of vascular responses were appreciated using Tukey posthoc tests
for the different frequencies conditions and using Dunnett's posthoc tests for the different
pharmacological conditions compared to the 20 Hz condition without pharmacological
compound. False discovery rate correction was used for multiple comparisons. Statistical
significance of vascular diameter for drug applications was determined using two-tailed
paired t-tests. Statistical significance on all figures uses the following convention: $*p<0.05$,
$**p<0.01$ and $***p<0.001$.

Data availability statement

574 The data that support the results of this study are available from the corresponding author
575 upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Rebecca Piskorowski for constructive criticism of the manuscript. We
acknowledge the invaluable support of the animal facilities of IBPS (RongIBPS) and Institut
de la vision for their expert care and maintenance of the animals used in this study. Financial
support was provided by grants from the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-17-
CE37-0010-03, B.C.; CE37_2020_TF-fUS-CADASIL, S.C.; ANR-20-CE14-0025, D.L.; ANR-23-
CE14-0038-01, B.C.), the Fondation Alzheimer France (M21JRCN009, S.C.) and the i-Bio
initiative of Sorbonne University (B.C.). B.L.G. and E.B. were supported by fellowships from
Fondation pour la Recherche sur Alzheimer and M.T. by a fellowship from the Fondation
pour la Recherche Médicale (SPF201909009103).

Author contributions

587 B.L.G., M.T., and E.B. designed experiments, acquired, and analyzed the data, and edited the
588 manuscript. S.P. acquired the data. B.L.G. and B.C. drafted the manuscript. I.D. edited the
589 manuscript. H.S. analyzed the data. D.L. designed experiments and edited the manuscript.
590 S.C. and B.C. designed experiments and edited the manuscript.

Figure 1: The occurrence and strength of vasoconstriction depends on the photostimulation frequency of pyramidal cells. (a) Representative examples of the voltage responses of a layer II-III pyramidal cell (upper traces light grey to black traces) induced by photostimulations (470 nm, 10 s train, 5 ms pulses) delivered at 1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 Hz (cyan lower traces) and mean spike success rate (middle trace, n= 4 cells from 3 mice). The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The red dashed lines represent a spike success rate of 100%. **(b)** Representative example showing IR-DGC pictures of a layer I penetrating arteriole (1) before a 20 Hz photostimulation, (2) at the maximal diameter decrease, and (3) after 10 minutes of recording. Pial surface is upward. Yellow calipers represent the measured diameters. White dashed lines indicate the initial position of the vessel wall. Scale bar: 25 μm. **(c)** Kinetics of arteriolar diameter changes induced by photostimulation (vertical cyan bars) at 1 Hz (n= 4 arterioles from 3 mice), 2 Hz (n= 10 arterioles from 8 mice), 5 Hz (n= 6 arterioles from 6 mice), 10 Hz (n= 5 arterioles from 5 mice) and 20 Hz (n= 10 arteriole from 9 mice). The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The blue trace represents the kinetics of the diameter changes of the arteriole shown in (b). **(d)** Effects of the different photostimulation frequencies on AUC of vascular responses during 10 min of recording. Data are presented as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * statistically different from 20 Hz stimulation with p<0.05.

Figure 2: Photostimulation of pyramidal cells elicits a time-locked firing and a frequency-dependent calcium increase. (a). Voltage response (top trace) and kinetics of relative fluorescence changes (red bottom trace) induced by photostimulation at 20 Hz. Insets, IR-DGC (top), Rhod2 fluorescence (bottom) pictures of an imaged layer II/III pyramidal cell. The somatic region of interest is outlined in white. Pial surface is upward. Scale bar: 20 µm. **(b)** Mean relative variations of Ca^{2+} fluorescence in response to photostimulation at 2 Hz (grey) and 20 Hz (black). Dashed line represents the baseline. The vertical cyan bar indicates the duration of photostimulation. SEMs envelope the mean traces. Inset, Maximum increase in relative fluorescence changes induced immediately after photostimulation, indicated by the black arrow. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * statistically different with $p < 0.05$.

Figure 3: Optogenetically-induced vasoconstriction requires spiking activity and partially glutamatergic transmission. Effect of TTX (1 µM, brown, n= 6 arterioles from 5 mice) and cocktail antagonists of AMPA/kainate (DNQX, 10 µM), NMDA (D-AP5, 50 µM), mGluR1 (LY367385, 100 µM) and mGluR5 (MPEP, 50 µM) receptors (gray, n= 10 arterioles from 6 mice) on **(a)** kinetics and **(b)** magnitude of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation (cyan bar). The SEMs envelope the mean traces. Dashed lines represent the initial diameter. The shadow traces correspond to the kinetics of arteriolar vasoconstriction in control condition. Data are presented as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * and *** statistically different from control condition with p<0.05 and p<0.001, respectively.

Figure 4: Layer II-III pyramidal cells express PGE2 and PGF2α synthesizing enzymes. (a) Voltage responses of a layer II-III pyramidal cell induced by injection of current (bottom traces). In response to a just-above-threshold current pulse, the neuron fired long-lasting action potentials with little frequency adaptation (middle black trace). Near saturation, it exhibits the pronounced spike amplitude accommodation and marked frequency adaptation characteristic of regular spiking cells (upper grey trace). **(b)** Agarose gel analysis of the scRT-PCR products of the pyramidal cell shown in (**a**) revealing expression of vGluT1, COX-2, mPGES2, cPGES, PM-PGFS and CBR1. Φx174 digested by *HaeIII* (Φ) was used as molecular weight marker **(c)** Histogram summarizing the single-cell detection rate of PGE2 and PGf2α synthesizing enzymes in layer II-III pyramidal cells (n= 16 cells from 6 mice). PGES (green zone) corresponds to mPGES1, mPGES2 and/or cPGES and PGFS (blue zone) to PM-PGFS, CBR1 and/or AKR1B3. **(d)** Co-expression of PGE2 and PGf2α synthesizing enzymes in pyramidal cells. The box size is proportional to the detection rate. Note the absence of co-expression between COX-1 (purple) and COX-2 (red). Co-expression of a PGES (left, green) and a PGFS (right, blue) with COX-1 (up) and COX-2 (bottom).

Figure 5: PGE2 mostly derived from COX-2 activity mediates vasoconstriction induced by optogenetically activated pyramidal cells. (a, b) Effects of the COX inhibitors indomethacin (magenta, n= 10 arterioles from 9 mice), SC-560 (purple, n= 10 arterioles from 7 mice) and NS-398 (red, n= 7 arterioles from 6 mice) on kinetics **(a)** and AUC **(b)** of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation (vertical cyan bar). **(c)** Optogenetic stimulation was achieved in vivo with an optic fiber through a chronic cranial window over the barrel cortex. **(d)** Right, diameter change of pial arterioles labeled with fluorescein dextran (i.v) were measured with line-scan crossing the vessel (white line). Left, Representative examples of dilation upon photostimulation (10 Hz, 10 s) under control (top) or indomethacin condition (bottom). **(e)** Diameter changes upon photostimulation under control (black; n = 5 arterioles, 4 mice) or indomethacin (magenta; n = 4 arterioles, 4 mice) conditions. **(f)** Area under the curve of the diameter change in control (black) or indomethacin (magenta) conditions calculated between 20 and 40 s (unpaired, two-tailed Mann Whitney test, * p<0.05). The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. Dashed line represents the baseline. The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. The shadow traces correspond to the control condition. *, ** and *** statistically different from 20 Hz control condition with p< 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

Figure 6: Activation of PGE2 EP1 and EP3 receptors is essential for inducing vasoconstriction triggered by optogenetically activated pyramidal cells. (a, b) Effects of the EP1, EP3 and FP antagonists, ONO-8130 (10 nM, dark green, n= 9 arterioles from 7 mice), L798,106 (1 µM, light green, n= 9 arterioles from 5 mice) and AL8810 (10 µM, dark blue, n= 9 arterioles from 7 mice), respectively, on kinetics **(a)** and AUC **(b)** of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation. Dashed line represents the baseline. The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. The shadow traces correspond to the control condition. *** statistically different from 20 Hz control condition with p< 0.001.

Figure 7: NPY Y1 receptors activation and 20-HETE synthesis mediates the vasoconstriction induced by pyramidal neurons. Effects of paxilline (1 µM, orange, n= 10 arterioles from 6 mice), HET-0016 (100 nM, blue-grey, n= 10 arterioles from 7 mice) and BIBP3226 (1 µM, yellow, n= 10 arterioles from 6 mice) on **(a)** kinetics and **(b)** AUC of arteriolar vasoconstriction induced by 20 Hz photostimulation (vertical blue bar). Dashed line represents the baseline. The SEMs envelope the mean traces. The shadow traces correspond to the control condition. The data are shown as the individual values and mean ± SEM. * and *** statistically different from 20 Hz control condition with p< 0.05 and 0.001.

Figure 8: Possible pathways of vasoconstrictions induced by pyramidal neurons. 20 Hz photostimulation induces activation of pyramidal neurons expressing channelrhodopsin-2 ($ChR2_{H134R}$) and elevations of intracellular calcium (Ca^{2+}). Arachidonic acid (AA) is released from membrane phospholipids (MPL) by phospholipases (PL) activated by intracellular Ca^{2+} and metabolized by type-1 and type-2 cyclooxygenases (COX-1 and COX-2) and prostaglandin E2 synthases (PGES) to produce prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). Three possible pathways can be proposed here for the induction arterioles vasoconstriction in layer I: 1) PGE2 released into the extracellular space directly acts on arteriolar EP1 and EP3 receptors to induce constriction of smooth muscle cells. 2) PGE2 activates interneurons and neuropeptide Y (NPY) is released to act on Y1 receptors on smooth muscle cells. 3) Glutamate released by pyramidal cells activates interneurons and astrocytes. Activated astrocytes can induce constriction by the 20-HETE and the COX-1/PGE2 pathways. The three pathways are not exclusive and may act in concert.

References

1. Schmid, F., Barrett, M. J. P., Jenny, P. & Weber, B. Vascular density and distribution in neocortex. Neuroimage 197, 792–805 (2019).

2. Iadecola, C. The Neurovascular Unit Coming of Age: A Journey through Neurovascular Coupling in Health and Disease. *Neuron* **96**, 17–42 (2017).

3. Devor, A. *et al.* Suppressed Neuronal Activity and Concurrent Arteriolar Vasoconstriction May Explain Negative Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent Signal. *Journal of Neuroscience* **27**, 4452–4459 (2007).

4. Shmuel, A. *et al.* Sustained negative BOLD, blood flow and oxygen consumption response and its coupling to the positive response in the human brain. *Neuron* **36**, 1195–1210 (2002).

5. O'Herron, P. *et al.* Neural correlates of single-vessel haemodynamic responses in vivo. *Nature* **534**, 378–382 (2016).

6. Ma, Y. *et al.* Resting-state hemodynamics are spatiotemporally coupled to synchronized and symmetric neural activity in excitatory neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2016) doi:10.1073/pnas.1525369113.

7. Devor, A. *et al.* Stimulus-Induced Changes in Blood Flow and 2-Deoxyglucose Uptake Dissociate in Ipsilateral Somatosensory Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* **28**, 14347–14357 (2008).

8. Uhlirova, H. *et al.* Cell type specificity of neurovascular coupling in cerebral cortex. *Elife* **5**, 1–23 (2016).

9. Farrell, J. S. *et al.* Postictal behavioural impairments are due to a severe prolonged hypoperfusion/ hypoxia event that is COX-2 dependent. (2016) doi:10.7554/eLife.19352.001.

10. Tran, C. H. T., George, A. G., Teskey, G. C. & Gordon, G. R. Seizures elevate gliovascular unit Ca2+ and cause sustained vasoconstriction. *JCI Insight* **5**, (2020).

11. Rungta, R. L., Chaigneau, E., Osmanski, B. F. & Charpak, S. Vascular Compartmentalization of Functional Hyperemia from the Synapse to the Pia. *Neuron* 1–14 (2018) doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.06.012.

12. Hill, R. A. *et al.* Regional Blood Flow in the Normal and Ischemic Brain Is Controlled by Arteriolar Smooth Muscle Cell Contractility and Not by Capillary Pericytes. *Neuron* **87**, 95–110 (2015).

13. Hartmann, D. A. *et al.* Brain capillary pericytes exert a substantial but slow influence on blood flow. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 633–645 (2021).

14. Rungta, R. L. *et al.* vascular arbors in layer II / III somatosensory cortex. *Commun Biol* (2021) doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02382-w.

15. Zhang, D. *et al.* Synaptic-like transmission between neural axons and arteriolar smooth muscle cells drives cerebral neurovascular coupling. *Nat Neurosci* 1–17 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41593-023-01515-0.

16. Cauli, B. *et al.* Cortical GABA interneurons in neurovascular coupling: Relays for subcortical vasoactive pathways. *Journal of Neuroscience* **24**, 8940–8949 (2004).

17. Mulligan, S. J. & MacVicar, B. A. Calcium transients in astrocyte endfeet cause cerebrovascular constrictions. *Nature* **431**, 195–199 (2004).

18. Girouard, H. *et al.* Astrocytic endfoot Ca2+ and BK channels determine both arteriolar dilation and constriction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 3811–3816 (2010).

19. Lecrux, C. *et al.* Pyramidal Neurons Are 'Neurogenic Hubs' in the Neurovascular Coupling Response to Whisker Stimulation. *Journal of Neuroscience* **31**, 9836–9847 (2011).

20. Gordon, G. R. J., Choi, H. B., Rungta, R. L., Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. & MacVicar, B. A. Brain metabolism dictates the polarity of astrocyte control over arterioles. *Nature* **456**, 745–9 (2008).

21. Mishra, A. *et al.* Astrocytes mediate neurovascular signaling to capillary pericytes but not to arterioles. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 1619–1627 (2016).

22. Lacroix, A. *et al.* COX-2-Derived Prostaglandin E2 Produced by Pyramidal Neurons Contributes to Neurovascular Coupling in the Rodent Cerebral Cortex. *J Neurosci* **35**, 11791–11810 (2015).

23. Rosehart, A. C. *et al.* Prostaglandin E2 Dilates Intracerebral Arterioles When Applied to Capillaries: Implications for Small Vessel Diseases. *Front Aging Neurosci* **13**, 1–11 (2021).

24. Dabertrand, F. *et al.* Prostaglandin E2, a postulated astrocyte-derived neurovascular coupling agent, constricts rather than dilates parenchymal arterioles. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* **33**, 479–482 (2013).

25. Gorski, J. A. *et al.* Cortical excitatory neurons and glia, but not GABAergic neurons, are produced in the Emx1-expressing lineage. *Journal of Neuroscience* **22**, 6309–6314 (2002).

26. Madisen, L. *et al.* A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-induced activation and silencing. *Nat Neurosci* **15**, 793–802 (2012).

27. Lin, J. Y., Lin, M. Z., Steinbach, P. & Tsien, R. Y. Characterization of engineered channelrhodopsin variants with improved properties and kinetics. *Biophys J* **96**, 1803–1814 (2009).

28. Karagiannis, A. *et al.* Classification of NPY-expressing neocortical interneurons. *J Neurosci* **29**, 3642–59 (2009).

29. Chung, D. Y. *et al.* Determinants of Optogenetic Cortical Spreading Depolarizations. *Cerebral Cortex* **29**, 1–12 (2018).

30. Zhou, N., Gordon, G. R. J., Feighan, D. & MacVicar, B. A. Transient swelling, acidification, and mitochondrial depolarization occurs in neurons but not astrocytes during spreading depression. *Cerebral Cortex* **20**, 2614–2624 (2010).

31. Rungta, R. L., Osmanski, B.-F., Boido, D., Tanter, M. & Charpak, S. Light controls cerebral blood flow in naive animals. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14191 (2017).

32. Attwell, D. *et al.* Glial and neuronal control of brain blood flow. *Nature* **468**, 232–43 (2010).

33. Cauli, B. & Hamel, E. Revisiting the role of neurons in neurovascular coupling. *Front Neuroenergetics* **2**, 9 (2010).

34. Smetters, D., Majewska, A. & Yuste, R. Detecting action potentials in neuronal populations with calcium imaging. *Methods: A Companion to Methods in Enzymology* **18**, 215–221 (1999).

35. Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nat Neurosci* **advance on**, 1–37 (2016).

36. Zeisel, A. *et al.* Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science (1979)* **347**, 1138–1142 (2015).

37. Sun, W. *et al.* Glutamate-Dependent Neuroglial Calcium Signaling Differs Between Young and Adult Brain. *Science (1979)* **339**, 197–200 (2013).

38. Zonta, M. *et al.* Neuron-to-astrocyte signaling is central to the dynamic control of brain microcirculation. *Nat Neurosci* **6**, 43–50 (2003).

39. Devienne, G., Le Gac, B., Piquet, J. & Cauli, B. Single Cell Multiplex Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction After Patch-Clamp. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* **136**, 1–12 (2018).

40. Sharif, N. A. & Klimko, P. G. Prostaglandin FP receptor antagonists: discovery, pharmacological characterization and therapeutic utility. *Br J Pharmacol* **176**, 1059–1078 (2019).

41. Boie, Y. *et al.* Molecular cloning and characterization of the four rat prostaglandin E 2 prostanoid receptor subtypes. 227–241 (1997).

42. Clasadonte, J. *et al.* Prostaglandin E 2 release from astrocytes triggers gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neuron firing via EP2 receptor activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 16104–16109 (2011).

43. Di Cesare, A., Del Piccolo, P., Zacchetti, D. & Grohovaz, F. EP2 receptor stimulation promotes calcium responses in astrocytes via activation of the adenylyl cyclase pathway. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* **63**, 2546–2553 (2006).

44. Kahn, I. *et al.* Optogenetic drive of neocortical pyramidal neurons generates fMRI signals that are correlated with spiking activity. *Brain Res* **1511**, 33–45 (2013).

45. Scott, N. A. & Murphy, T. H. Hemodynamic responses evoked by neuronal stimulation via channelrhodopsin-2 can be independent of intracortical glutamatergic synaptic transmission. *PLoS One* **7**, 1–10 (2012).

46. Blanco, M., Stern, J. E. & Filosa, J. A. Tone-dependent vascular responses to astrocyte-derived signals. *American journal of physiology.* 2855–2863 (2008) doi:10.1152/ajpheart.91451.2007.

47.   Mao, B. Q., Hamzei-Sichani, F., Aronov, D., Froemke, R. C. & Yuste, R. Dynamics of spontaneous activity in neocortical slices. *Neuron* **32**, 883–898 (2001).

48.   Niwa, K., Araki, E., Morham, S. G., Ross, M. E. & Iadecola, C. Cyclooxygenase-2 contributes to functional hyperemia in whisker-barrel cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* **20**, 763–770 (2000).

49.   Desai, S., April, H., Nwaneshiudu, C. & Ashby, B. Comparison of agonist-induced internalization of the human EP2 and EP4 prostaglandin receptors: role of the carboxyl terminus in EP4 receptor sequestration. *Mol Pharmacol* **58**, 1279–86 (2000).

50.   Kasischke, K. A. *et al.* Two-photon NADH imaging exposes boundaries of oxygen diffusion in cortical vascular supply regions. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* **31**, 68–81 (2011).

51.   Yamagata, K., Andreasson, K. I., Kaufmann, W. E., Barnes, C. A. & Worley, P. F. Expression of a Mitogen-Inducible Cyclooxygenase in Brain Neurons : Regulation by Synaptic Activity and Glucocorticoids. *Neuron* **11**, 371–386 (1993).

52.   Yamagata, K. *et al.* Coexpression of microsomal-type prostaglandin E synthase with cyclooxygenase-2 in brain endothelial cells of rats during endotoxin-induced fever. *Journal of Neuroscience* **21**, 2669–2677 (2001).

53.   Takemiya, T., Matsumura, K. & Yamagata, K. Roles of prostaglandin synthesis in excitotoxic brain diseases. *Neurochem Int* **51**, 112–120 (2007).

54.   Takei, S. *et al.* Immunohistochemical demonstration of increased prostaglandin F2α levels in the rat hippocampus following kainic acid-induced seizures. *Neuroscience* **218**, 295–304 (2012).

55.   Bao, L. *et al.* Localization of neuropeptide Y Y1 receptors in cerebral blood vessels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 12661–6 (1997).

56.   Attwell, D., Mishra, A., Hall, C. N., O'Farrell, F. M. & Dalkara, T. What is a pericyte? *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* **36**, 451–455 (2016).

57.   Krawchuk, M. B., Ruff, C. F., Yang, X., Ross, S. E. & Vazquez, A. L. Optogenetic assessment of VIP, PV, SOM and NOS inhibitory neuron activity and cerebral blood flow regulation in

mouse somato-sensory cortex. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* **40**, 1427–1440 (2020).

58. Lee, J. *et al.* Opposed hemodynamic responses following increased excitation and parvalbumin-based inhibition. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* (2020) doi:10.1177/0271678X20930831.

59. Zhang, D. Y. & Raichle, M. E. Disease and the brain's dark energy. *Nat Rev Neurol* **6**, 15–28 (2010).

60. Palop, J. J. & Mucke, L. Amyloid-B-induced neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: From synapses toward neural networks. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 812–818 (2010).

61. Thévenaz, P., Ruttimann, U. E. & Unser, M. A pyramid approach to subpixel registration based on intensity. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* **7**, 27–41 (1998).

62. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Preprint at (2023).

63. Caswell, T. A. *et al.* matplotlib/matplotlib: REL: v3.7.2. (2023) doi:10.5281/ZENODO.8118151.

64. Tournissac, M. *et al.* Cranial window for longitudinal and multimodal imaging of the whole mouse cortex. *Neurophotonics* **9**, 1–13 (2022).