Membrane vesicles can contribute to cellulose degradation by *Teredinibacter turnerae,* a cultivable intracellular endosymbiont of shipworms

Mark T. Gasser[a]# | Annie Liu[a] | Marvin Altamia[b] | Bryan R. Brensinger[a] | Sarah L. Brewer[a] | Ron

Flatau[b] | Eric R. Hancock[a] | Sarah P. Preheim[c] | Claire Marie Filone[a] | Dan L. Distel[b]#

[a]Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, Maryland, USA 20723

[b]Ocean Genome Legacy Center, Northeastern University, Nahant, Massachusetts, USA 01908

[c]Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218

Running title: Cellulolytic Membrane Vesicles from *T. turnerae*

Keywords: carbohydrate-active enzymes, CAZymes, lignocellulose degradation, OMVs, outer

membrane vesicles, symbiosis, *tonB*-dependent receptors

MTG: mark.gasser@jhuapl.edu (ORCID: 0000-0002-9396-4954) #Co-corresponding author

AL: annie.liu@jhuapl.edu (ORCID: 0009-0002-3224-6008)

MAA: marvin.altamia@gmail.com (ORCID: 0000-0002-8625-767X)

BRB: bryan.brensinger@jhuapl.edu (ORCID: 0009-0002-0327-021X)

SLB: sarah.brewer@jhuapl.edu (ORCID: 0009-0007-9039-0784)

RF: r.flatau@northeastern.edu (ORCID: 0000-0002-4357-5870)

ERH: eric.hancock@jhuapl.edu (ORCID: 0009-0002-3041-2148)

SPP: sprehei1@jhu.edu (ORCID: 0000-0001-7365-2382)

CMF: claire.marie.filone@jhuapl.edu (ORCID: 0000-0002-4041-3948)

DLD: d.distel@northeastern.edu (ORCID: 0000-0002-3860-194X) #Co-corresponding author

Data availability

28   The authors confirm that the data supporting this study's findings are available within the article

29   and its supplementary materials. The mass spectrometric raw files are accessible

30   at https://massive.ucsd.edu under accession MassIVE MSV000094250 and at

31   https://www.proteomexchange.org under accession PXD050419.

Funding statement

Research reported in this publication was supported by the following awards: National Oceanic

and Atmospheric Administration (NA19OAR0110303), Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation

(https://doi.org/10.37807/GBMF9339), National Institutes of Health (1R01AI162943-01A1,

subaward: 10062083-NE) to DLD, and Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory

internal research and development funds to MTG and CMF. The National Science Foundation

(DBI 1722553) also funded some equipment used in this research.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors report no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection, interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Abstract

Teredinibacter turnerae is a cultivable cellulolytic Gammaproteobacterium (Cellvibrionaceae)

that commonly occurs as an intracellular endosymbiont in the gills of wood-eating bivalves of

the family Teredinidae (shipworms). The genome of *T. turnerae* encodes a broad range of

enzymes that deconstruct cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin and contribute to wood

(lignocellulose) digestion in the shipworm gut. However, the mechanisms by which *T. turnerae*

secretes lignocellulolytic enzymes are incompletely understood. Here, we show that *T. turnerae*

cultures grown on carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) produce membrane vesicles (MVs) that

include a variety of proteins identified by LC-MS/MS as carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes)

with predicted activities against cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin. Reducing sugar assays and

zymography confirm that these MVs exhibit cellulolytic activity, as evidenced by the hydrolysis

of CMC. Additionally, these MVs were enriched with *TonB*-dependent receptors, which are

essential to carbohydrate and iron acquisition by free-living bacteria. These observations

indicate a potential role for MVs in lignocellulose utilization by *T. turnerae* in the free-living

state, suggest possible mechanisms for host-symbiont interaction, and may be informative for

commercial applications such as enzyme production and lignocellulosic biomass conversion.

Introduction

The biological degradation of lignocellulose, a primary component of wood and all plant biomass, is a critical process in the global carbon cycle and has potential applications in renewable energy and chemical production through biomass conversion (Ragauskas *et al.*, 2014; Østby *et al.*, 2020). Lignocellulose is a complex composite material composed of microfibrils of cellulose, the most abundant organic polymer on Earth, embedded in a matrix of hemicellulose, pectin, and lignin (Cragg *et al.*, 2015; Dahmen *et al.*, 2019). However, due to the structural complexity of lignocellulose, its degradation typically requires multiple enzymes to disassemble and convert its component polymers into accessible nutrients. This complexity limits lignocellulose as a carbon source for most animals and creates obstacles to bioconversion to renewable fuels or fine chemicals (Cragg *et al.*, 2015). Nonetheless, many animals, including herbivores, termites, and wood-boring bivalves (shipworms), can digest lignocellulose in leafy or woody plant material with the aid of hydrolytic enzymes produced by symbiotic bacteria (Cragg *et al.*, 2015).

Bacteria use a variety of mechanisms to degrade lignocellulosic substrates. For example, polysaccharide-degrading bacteria, including *Bacteroides*, *Clostridia*, and *Fibrobacter,* commonly found in the gut microbiomes of ruminants*,* use the type IX secretion pathway (T9SS) to export large proteins, including multidomain carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) (Gharechahi *et al.*, 2023). These enzymes may be released into the environment or bound to the cell envelope (McGavin *et al.*, 1990; Cai *et al.*, 1999; Yan and Wu, 2013). Rumin bacteria may also export CAZymes via membrane vesicles (MVs) (Forsberg *et al.*, 1981; La Rosa *et al.*, 2022; Gharechahi *et al.*, 2023). MVs are membrane-enclosed spheres, typically 40-400 µM in diameter, that may form by blebbing or pinching off the outer membrane of diderm bacteria (Toyofuku *et al.*,

2023). MVs formed by this mechanism are called Outer Membrane Vesicles (OMVs) and contain

mainly periplasmic and outer membrane components (Guerrero-Mandujano *et al.*, 2017).

Bacteria may also form MVs by other mechanisms, resulting in MV types that contain

cytoplasmic membranes and other cytoplasmic components (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015;

Nagakubo *et al.*, 2020; Huang *et al.*, 2022; Toyofuku *et al.*, 2023). Multiple MV types may be

produced simultaneously by the same bacterium (Gan *et al.*, 2023).

Bacterial MVs have been implicated in a wide variety of functions, including the export

of proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, metabolites, and nucleic acids; waste disposal; nutrient

scavenging; defense against phage and antibiotics; and delivery of bioactive molecules across

the plasma membranes of animal hosts (Toyofuku *et al.*, 2023). However, the extent to which

MVs contribute to bacterial lignocellulose degradation remains incompletely explored,

particularly in environments other than ruminant guts. In the rumen, members of several

bacterial phyla, including Fibrobacterota (Arntzen *et al.*, 2017), Bacilota (Ichikawa *et al.*, 2019),

and Bacteroidota (Forsberg *et al.*, 1981), produce MVs highly enriched in CAZymes capable of

degrading cellulose and other plant biomass. However, to our knowledge, no member of the

phylum Pseudomonadota (formerly Proteobacteria), the largest and most phenotypically

diverse bacterial phylum (Rosenberg *et al.*, 2014), has been shown to produce MV's with a

protein content that suggests specialization for degrading lignocellulose.

One example of an ecologically important lignocellulose-degrading Pseudomondadota

species is *Teredinibacter turnerae.* This gammaproteobacterium is a member of the family

Celvibrionaceae, which includes many polysaccharide-degrading marine bacteria (Spring *et al.*,

2015). *T. turnerae* is unusual in that it can exist either as a versatile free-living marine

lignocellulose-degrading bacterium (Distel *et al.*, 2002) or as an intracellular symbiont of

shipworms (Distel *et al.*, 1991), a family of bivalve mollusks that are the primary consumers of

wood in marine environments (Voight, 2015; Cragg *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, unlike other

marine Cellvibrionaceae, the *T. turnerae* genome includes a broad representation of genes

associated with the degradation of terrestrial woody plant materials, including cellulose, xylan,

mannan, galactorhamnan and pectin, but lacks enzyme systems for degradation of common

marine polysaccharides including agar, alginate, and fucoidan and has only comparatively sparse

representation of chitinase and laminarinase genes (Yang *et al.*, 2009).

As a free-living bacterium, *T. turnerae* grows on both soluble and insoluble cellulosic

substrates, including carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), short-chain cellooligosaccharides,

cellobiose, purified cellulose, e.g., Sigmacell or Avicel cellulose), paper, e.g., Whatman #1 filter

paper (Waterbury *et al.*, 1983; Distel *et al.*, 2002), and crude plant biomass, e.g., pine sawdust,

cotton fiber, coconut hull fiber, and rice hulls (unpublished observation).

As an intracellular symbiont, *T. turnerae* grows within specialized cells called

bacteriocytes found in the gland of Deshayes, a tissue located within the shipworm's gills

(Popham and Dickson, 1973; Popham, 1974; Distel *et al.*, 1991). The genome of *T. turnerae* and

other shipworm symbionts from the genus *Teredinibacter* encode a wide variety of enzymes

targeting lignocellulose (Distel *et al.*, 2002; Yang *et al.*, 2009; O'Connor *et al.*, 2014; Brito *et al.*,

2018; Altamia *et al.*, 2020, 2021) and express them within this gland (O'Connor *et al.*, 2014;

Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018). Enzymes encoded in the shipworm symbiont's genomes are also found

in the shipworm's cecum (O'Connor *et al.*, 2014; Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018; Altamia and Distel,

2022). This gut compartment is a primary location of wood digestion in shipworms and is nearly

devoid of microbes (Betcher *et al.*, 2012). The distribution of symbiont-made enzymes in both

the intracellular environment of the host and in the gut, which is part of the host's external

environment, indicates the existence of an unusual and unexplained protein transport system

that potentially exports symbiont-made proteins across the host's cellular membranes (Altamia

and Distel, 2022).

While explaining the complex interaction of *T. turnerae* with its shipworm host is beyond

the scope of this investigation, understanding the mechanisms by which this bacterium exports

lignocellulolytic enzymes in the free-living state may shed light on potential mechanisms by

which these enzymes may be transported within its shipworm hosts. MVs are a versatile system

through which bacteria exchange proteins and other biomolecules with their external

environment and the internal environment of their hosts (Gan *et al.*, 2023; Toyofuku *et al.*,

2023). Therefore, we ask whether free-living cells of *T. turnerae* export lignocellulose-degrading

enzymes via MVs.

To address this question, we purify and characterize the protein composition of MVs

produced by *T. turnerae* during growth on carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), a water-soluble

derivative of cellulose. We identified proteins in the purified MVs that are putatively involved in

interactions with lignocellulose by using LC-MS/MS analysis and comparison to the

Carbohydrate Active Enzyme Database (CAZy) (Lombard *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, we

performed activity assays to show that MVs produced by *T. turnerae* are capable of cellulose

hydrolysis. These observations suggest that MVs contribute to lignocellulose utilization by *T.*

turnerae in the free-living state and provide clues that might help explain enzyme transport

during host-symbiont interaction.

Experimental Procedures
Strain isolation, selection, and growth conditions

150
151
Two strains of *Teredinibacter turnerae* were selected for this study: *strain* T7901 (ATCC

39867; GenBank CP001614) and a closely related strain SR01903 (GenBank CP149818). The

former was isolated from the shipworm *Bankia gouldi* collected in Beaufort, NC, in 1979 and

was the first shipworm symbiont strain brought into pure culture (Waterbury *et al.*, 1983; Distel

et al., 2002; Yang *et al.*, 2009). The latter was isolated from the gills of a single specimen of

Lyrodus pedicellatus found in naturally occurring driftwood collected by hand in shallow water

in the Indian River Lagoon, Merit Island, FL. (N 28.40605 W 80.66034) on January 24, 2020. Gills

were removed by dissection and homogenized in 1.0 ml of shipworm basal medium (SBM)

(Waterbury *et al.*, 1983) in an autoclave-sterilized glass dounce homogenizer. Homogenate was

streaked onto a culture plate containing 1.0% Bacto agar and SBM at pH 8.0 supplemented with

0.2% w/v powdered cellulose (Sigmacell Type 101; Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.025% NH_4Cl. Plates

were incubated at 30 °C until individual colonies could be observed. An individual colony was

then picked and subjected to multiple rounds of restreaking from single colonies to ensure

clonality. We PCR-amplified and sequenced the 16S rRNA gene to identify this isolate and

compared it to published *T. turnerae* genomes. The complete genome of *T. turnerae* SR01903

was then sequenced (SRA number SRR28421271) and submitted to Genbank (CP149818). For

the experiments described herein, strains were initially propagated in 6 mL cultures in SBM

supplemented with 0.025% NH_4Cl and 0.2% carboxymethyl cellulose for 4 days before being

diluted 1/250 in fresh media and harvested after 2 days (OD600 0.2-0.3). All cultures were

incubated in a shaker incubator at 30 °C and 100 rpm. An overview of the procedures conducted

in this research is presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Methods used to isolate and characterize membrane vesicles (MVs) from T. turnerae. Diagram showing: (A) bacterial culture, (B) MV isolation based on differential and density gradient separation, (C) MV visualization and size determination by TEM and NTA, proteome analysis by LC-MS/MS, and (D) detection of cellulase activity in purified MV preparations by zymography and reducing sugar (DNS) assay. Created with BioRender.com

Isolation of Membrane Vesicles (MVs)

Bacterial cells were separated from the culture supernatant by centrifugation at 5,000 x

g for 20 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was then transferred to a fresh tube, and

centrifugation was repeated to remove residual bacterial cells. The final supernatant was

carefully collected without disturbing the remaining pellet and filtered through a 0.22 μm

polyethersulfone filter as an additional precaution to remove cells. The putative MVs were then

pelleted from the filtrate by ultracentrifugation at 120,000 x g (T-647.5 rotor, Sorvall) for 90

minutes at 4 °C. For purification, the resulting pellet was resuspended in 0.1 M phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) and fractionated by bottom-up density gradient ultracentrifugation using

Optiprep™ (iodixanol density gradient medium) as follows. The resuspended MV-containing

pellet was mixed with 60% iodixanol solution to a final density of 45% (w/v) and placed at the

bottom of an ultracentrifuge tube. A discontinuous density gradient was generated using a

syringe and G21 needle to deposit 40%, 35%, 30%, 20%, and 10% iodixanol layers with a final

layer of 0.25 mL 0.1 M PBS on top. The resulting gradient was centrifuged for 16 hours at

150,000 x g and 4 °C (SW55 Ti rotor, Beckman-Coulter). Sample banding was visually observed

at the interface of the 30% and 20% fractions (Supporting Information: Figure S1). All fractions

were collected, and iodixanol was removed by passive diffusion dialysis (1,000 kDa MWCO) in

exchanging buffer of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8.3 at 4 °C. MV samples were removed

from the dialysis bag and concentrated under vacuum to 100 µL (SPD121P SpeedVac, Thermo

Scientific). Particle visualization and characterization proceeded with the single 30% fraction.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
199   Purified MVs were diluted in molecular grade distilled water and absorbed onto 200

mesh formvar-treated and carbon-coated copper grids for 60 seconds. Samples were then fixed

for 5 minutes in 4% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, and grids were negatively

stained with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate for 60 seconds and left to dry. MVs were imaged in an

FEI Tecnai T12 (Thermo Fisher) transmission electron microscope at 80 kV with an AMT bottom-

mount camera.

Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA)
206   NTA was performed using the ZetaView® PMX-220 Twin (Particle Metrix) configured with

488 nm and 640 nm lasers with long wave-pass cut-off filters (500 nm and 660 nm, respectively)

and a sensitive CMOS camera 640 x 480 pixels. Samples were diluted in 2 mL of 0.1 µm filtered

deionized water (18 MΩ/cm) to obtain a particle concentration between 1×10^7 and 1×10^8

particles/mL. The instrument was set to a sensitivity of 80, a shutter speed of 100, and a frame

rate of 30 frames per second. Each sample was measured at 11 different positions throughout

the sample cell, with 1 cycle of reading at each position to have a minimum of 1,000 traces. If

the number of traces was below 1,000 counts, some additional sample was flushed inside the

sample cell, and the acquisition was repeated. Post-acquisition parameters were set to a

minimum brightness of 20, a maximum size area of 1,000 pixels, a minimum size area of 10

pixels, and tracelength of 15 frames. Automated cell quality control was checked using high-

quality deionized water. Camera alignment and focus optimization were performed using

polystyrene Nanosphere™ 100 nm size standard beads. Data analysis was performed with

ZetaView® 8.05.14 software provided by the manufacturer. Automated reports of the particle

recordings across the 11 positions were manually checked, and any outlier position was

removed to calculate particle concentration and distribution.

Proteomic analysis
MVs were lysed and denatured in a solution containing 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS),

100 mM Tris (pH=8), 20 mM chloroacetamide, and 10 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine

hydrochloride and incubated at 90 °C for 10 minutes. Proteins were aggregated and isolated

using Sera-Mag™ carboxylate-modified SpeedBeads (SP3 beads) and digested in 100 mM

NH_4HCO_3 containing Trypsin (final concentration 6 ng/µL) overnight at 37 °C and 1,200 rpm.

Digested samples were loaded onto C18 tips, and peptides were separated online using an 88-

minute LC gradient (Evosep LC system). MS analysis was performed on a Q-Exactive HF-X Hybrid

Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). One full high-resolution MS spectrum was

acquired with a resolution of 45,000, an AGC target of 3 x 10^6 with a maximum ion time of 45

ms, and a scan range of 400-1,500 m/z, followed by 20 HCD MS/MS scans with a resolution of

1,5000, an AGC target of 1 x 10^5 with a maximum ion time of 120 ms, NCE of 27, and isolation

window of 2 m/z. The resulting MS/MS spectra were searched against the strain-specific and

universal contaminant protein databases using Sequest within Proteome Discoverer 1.4.

Identifications were filtered to include only high-confidence peptides based on a less than 1%

false discovery rate (FDR) against a decoy database. FDR estimates the expected ratio of false

positive classifications (false discoveries) to total positive classifications. Proteins were linked to

peptides, and only proteins with >2 peptide spectral matches (PSM) with two unique peptides

were kept (Supporting Information: Dataset S1).

Functional characterization of MV proteins
242   Identified proteins were annotated against the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) of

proteins database (Galperin *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, protein subcellular locations and

secretion signals were predicted using DeepLocPro v1.0

(https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/DeepLocPro-1.0/) (Moreno *et al.*, 2024) and Signal

v5.0 (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/SignalP-5.0/) (Almagro Armenteros *et al.*,

2019), respectively. Enrichment and functional associations between proteins were

determined by STRINGdb v11 (https://string-db.org/) (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2019) and ShinyGO v0.8

(http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/) (Ge *et al.*, 2018). Settings were selected to use all coding

sequences in the T7901 genome as background and a minimum FDR stringency of 1×10^{-5}. We

then established which MV proteins are predicted to exhibit carbohydrate-active properties

using the annotated T7901 genome in the Carbohydrate Active Enzymes Database (CAZy,

https://cazy.org) (Lombard *et al.*, 2014).

Enzymatic activity assays
255   The cellulolytic activity of proteins in MV preparations was visualized and size

fractionated by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE, 1.5 mm thickness, 9%

acrylamide, and 0.2% CMC final concentration). For zymographic analysis, 14 μg of protein

sample determined by Pierce 660 assay (Thermo Scientific) using bovine serum albumin as

standard were heat denatured by boiling for 3 minutes in SDS without a reducing agent to

facilitate recovery of activity after refolding and added to each lane. After electrophoresis, the

SDS-PAGE gel was transferred to 500 mL of refolding buffer (20% isopropanol, 0.1% Triton X-100

in 1x PBS, pH 7.4) and gently shaken for 1 hour at room temperature. The gel was then

incubated in fresh 1x PBS buffer, pH 7.4, for 16 hours at room temperature before being stained

with 0.1% Congo Red in 1x PBS buffer, pH 7.4 for 1 hour. The zymogram was destained with 1 M

NaCl overnight to visualize regions where cellulase activity removed CMC.

266   Additionally, the production of reducing ends was measured by the colorimetric 3,5-

dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Ghose, 1987). Reactions were carried out in 96-well plates

containing 50 µL 1% CMC, 30 µL 50 mM citrate buffer, and 20 µL purified MV suspension and

incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C. Next, 900 µL of DNS was added to each reaction, and reactions

were heated at 99 °C for 10 minutes. Absorbance was measured at 540 nm, and sugar

concentration was calculated by comparison to a glucose standard curve. The enzyme activity

unit was defined as the amount of enzyme that liberated 1 µmol of reducing sugar per minute.

Results
MV isolation and characterization
275   MVs were isolated from cell-free supernatants of two closely related strains of *T.*

turnerae, T7901 and SR01903, by density gradient ultracentrifugation. The presence of MVs was

confirmed by NTA and TEM (Figure 2 A-D). The mean concentration of particles and average

particle diameter determined by NTA were 1.67 x 10^9 particles/mL and 162.5 $\pm$ 49.7 nm for

T7901 and 1.30 x 10^9 particles/mL and 206.6 $\pm$ 61.7 nm for SR01903. TEM images (Figure 2 C-D)

show that the putative MV-enriched fractions from strains T7901 and SR01903 contain particles

with size distribution and morphology typical of bacterial MVs.

Figure 2. Size, concentration, and visualization of MVs isolated from T. turnerae culture supernatants after growth on
carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC). (A-B) Size distribution and particle concentration for strains T7901 and SR01903, respectively.
MVs from SR01903 were slightly larger in average size than MVs from T7901. *(C-D) Isolated MVs from strains T7901 and*
SR01903, respectively, were imaged using transmission electron microscopy. Scale bar = 100 nm.

MV proteome analysis

The protein content of MVs from *T. turnerae* strains T7901 and SR01903 were analyzed

by LC-MS/MS. Peptide-generated data were used to search against T7901 and SR01903

annotated protein sequences to identify MV proteins and their relative abundance. A cut-off of

two or more unique predicted peptides per identified protein was used, identifying 472 and 569

proteins for T7901 and SR01903, respectively. The Top 100 most abundant proteins identified in

T7901 and SR01903 represented 81% and 74% of the total peptide content, respectively. Among

those proteins, 71 were identified in both strains, indicating that the two strains produce a

shared core set of MV proteins when grown under the examined culture condition. After

protein identification, DeepLocPro v1.0 (Moreno *et al.*, 2024) and Signal v5.0 (Almagro

Armenteros *et al.*, 2019) were used to predict the subcellular location and secretion signals of

all identified proteins.

Outer membrane proteins comprised most (~76% and ~71%, respectively) of the MV

protein mass of both T7901 and SR01903 (Figure 3 A). Although cytoplasmic and inner

membrane proteins were detected, these constituted only about 9% of total protein by mass.

This indicates that these MV preparations were mainly comprised of outer membrane vesicles

but may have contained other types, e.g., outer inner membrane vesicles (OIMVs) (Toyofuku *et*

al., 2023).

MV proteins were clustered into orthologous groups (COG). Most MV proteins assigned

to clusters in both strains were categorized as Cell Wall/Membrane/Envelope Biogenesis,

Inorganic Ion Transport and Metabolism, and Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism (Figure 3

B).

Figure 3. T. turnerae MV proteome profiles. (A) Relative protein abundance grouped by predicted subcellular location and (B) Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) functional categories of identified proteins. Six color-safe hues represent COG categories and are repeated in order starting from 12 o'clock on the graph and moving clockwise. (C) Top 10 STRINGdb pathways ranked by false discovery rate (FDR) found in MVs of T7901. Similar top pathways were found in the MVs of SR01903. STRING analysis was performed against the corresponding bacterial strain genome background to estimate the amount or fold of enrichment, i.e., the representation of that functional category above what is expected by chance. FDR estimates the expected ratio of false positive classifications (false discoveries) to total positive classifications.

TonB-dependent receptors and glycosyl hydrolase activity are enriched in MVs

MV protein content was analyzed using STRING (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2019) enrichment to

highlight functional associations. We found significant enrichment of pathways involving *TonB*-

dependent receptors (TBDR) and glycosyl hydrolase activity (Figure 3 C). The most abundant

proteins were TBDRs, which constituted ~47% and ~44% of the MV protein content by mass in

T7901 and SR01903, respectively. In total, 39 and 48 predicted CAZymes were present,

representing 30 and 29 different catalytic CAZy families and 8.3% and 8.4% of identified MV

proteins in T7901 and SR01903, respectively. Most identified CAZy modules belong to glycoside

hydrolase families (GH) predicted to be involved in cellulose and hemicellulose degradation

(Figure 4 A) and that include several hydrolytic activities: for example, endo-glucanases (GH5,

GH8, GH9, GH10, GH11, GH26, GH44, GH45, GH51, GH62), exo-glucanases (GH5, GH9, GH26,

GH43), and beta-glucosidases (GH2, GH3, GH5, GH39). In addition, multiple enzymes were

predicted to include non-catalytic carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs), with predicted

specificity for cellulose or hemicellulose components.

Cellulase activity
Many MV proteins were predicted to have or be associated with cellulolytic activity. We

confirmed these by testing MV suspensions for hydrolytic activity against CMC by zymography

and reducing sugar DNS assay. In zymograms, clear zones indicating endoglucanase activity

(Teather and Wood, 1982) were visualized between 95-130 kDa for both strains after 16-hour

incubation (Figure 4 B). The production of reducing sugars from CMC was measured using DNS

assay, and when normalized to total protein content, MVs produced from SR01903 displayed

similar or significantly greater cellulolytic activity compared to a commercial soluble cellulase

enzyme cocktail (Figure 4C). Notably, MVs produced from T7901 showed ~10x the activity of the

commercial cellulase cocktail (Figure 4 C) against CMC. While we observed a substantial

difference in CMC hydrolysis between MVs from the two *T. turnerae* strains, the ecological and

physiological significance of this difference is difficult to interpret as CMC is not a naturally

occurring substrate, and we did not control for differences in the density beyond OD600

measurement or physiological state of cells at the time of MV purification.

Figure 4. Occurrence and relative abundance of carbohydrate-active modules and detection of cellulase activity in *T. turnerae* MVs. (A) Heatmap profile of the relative abundance of the predicted carbohydrate-active enzymes found in MVs. (B) Denaturing nonreducing SDS-PAGE (left) and zymogram (right; CMC substrate) of isolated MVs. (C) Histogram showing cellulase activity (CMC substrate) in Units/mg (as determined by DNS assay) for isolated MVs and a purified cellulase enzyme cocktail (Cellulase R-10, Goldbio CAS# 9012-54-8). Bars indicate means (error bars: standard deviations of three replicates).

Discussion

MVs in bacterial lignocellulose degradation

The extent to which bacterial MVs contribute to lignocellulose degradation and the

types of bacteria that produce lignocellulolytic MVs have been investigated in gut microbiomes

(Forsberg *et al.*, 1981; McGavin *et al.*, 1990; Elhenawy *et al.*, 2014; Burnet *et al.*, 2015; Arntzen

et al., 2017; La Rosa *et al.*, 2022; Gharechahi *et al.*, 2023) but are less well explored in other

organisms and environments. In bacteria, efficient deconstruction of lignocellulose typically

requires multiple carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), each of which may contain multiple

catalytic and carbohydrate-binding modules. These catalytic modules encompass a broad range

of enzymatic activities. Glycoside hydrolase (GH) modules may have endo- or exo-activities

targeting the inner or terminal bonds of cellulose and hemicellulose backbones, as well as

activities targeting the oligomers and monomers that constitute cellulose and hemicellulose.

Carbohydrate esterase (CE) modules cleave bonds that form hemicellulose side chains and

bonds between hemicellulose and lignin. Carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs) adhere to

various carbohydrate components of lignocellulose and can enhance enzyme activity by

increasing accessibility to the substrate (Hervé *et al.*, 2010).

Packaging of lignocellulose-degrading CAZymes within MVs or bound to MV surfaces can

have distinct advantages over their secretion as soluble proteins or proteins bound to the cell

surface. For example, MVs may protect proteins from degradation (Bonnington and Kuehn,

2014; Alves *et al.*, 2016; Zingl *et al.*, 2021), selectively concentrate proteins with specific

functions (Orench-Rivera and Kuehn, 2016; Sartorio *et al.*, 2023), and deliver proteins to remote

locations in sufficient quantity to produce a desired effect without the dilution that soluble

proteins would experience (Toyofuku *et al.*, 2023). These factors may be significant for the

degradation of complex and insoluble substrates like lignocellulose, where the simultaneous

delivery of specific sets of catalytic and carbohydrate-binding modules in the correct

proportions and spatial orientation can yield significant synergistic interactions and enhance

efficiency (Park *et al.*, 2014).

Despite these advantages and the ubiquitous production of MVs by bacteria, members

of only a few bacterial phyla, notably Fibrobacterota (Arntzen *et al.*, 2017), Bacilota (Ichikawa *et*

al., 2019), and Bacteroidota (Forsberg *et al.*, 1981), have been shown to produce MVs capable

of deconstructing lignocellulose. Here, we report that *Teredinibacter turnerae*

(Pseudomonadota; Gammaproteobacteria; Cellvibrionacea) produces MVs containing a wide

variety of enzymes with predicted activity against lignocellulose and that cellulolytic activity is

retained by MVs upon purification.

Among Pseudomonadota, several species package carbohydrate-active enzymes in MVs,

many of which target marine plant polysaccharides. For example, when grown on k-

carrageenan, the marine gammaproteobacterium *Alteromonas macleodii* produces MVs

enriched in CAZymes (Naval and Chandra, 2019). These include GH16, a family whose members

are active against multiple marine plant polysaccharides, including agar, k-carrageenan, and

porphyrin, GH28 which includes mainly polygalacturonases, and GH42, which are mostly B-

galactosidases (Naval and Chandra, 2019). Similarly, *Pseudoalteromonas distincta* produces MVs

with predicted hydrolytic activity against pectin and alginate (Dürwald *et al.*, 2021).

MVs may also contain enzymes active against components of lignocellulose. For

example, the plant pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* produces MVs containing two virulence-

associated xylanases involved in pathogenic infection (Solé *et al.*, 2015). In *Pseudomonas*

putida, MVs play a role in the degradation of lignin-derived aromatic monomers but not

oligomeric lignin (Choi *et al.*, 2014; Salvachúa *et al.*, 2020).

Lignocellulolytic MVs produced by *T. turnerae*

When grown in pure culture and within the gills of its shipworm hosts, *T. turnerae*

secretes a broad array of CAZymes that specifically target lignocellulose (Yang *et al.*, 2009;

O'Connor *et al.*, 2014; Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018). However, the role of MVs in transporting

cellulolytic enzymes by *T. turnerae* has not been investigated. Here, we show that

lignocellulolytic CAZymes constituted a substantial proportion of the MV proteomes of *T.*

turnerae strains T7901 and SR01903 (8% and 6% by count and 8% and 5% by mass,

respectively). Of these, 94% have predicted secretion signals, and 43% are predicted to be

lipoproteins anchored to the outer membrane by a lipid moiety. Detected MV proteins included

representatives of 11 carbohydrate-binding module (CBM) families (CBM2, 5, 9, 10, 22, 35, 48,

50, 57, 85, and 91). Each of these families is known to bind cellulose or hemicellulose

components, except for CBM50, which typically binds peptidoglycan or chitin. Additionally,

catalytic modules representing 23 glycoside hydrolase (GH) families, four carbohydrate esterase

(CE) families, and one polysaccharide lyase (PL) were detected. All of these GH families (GH2, 3,

5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 23, 26, 28, 31, 35, 39, 43, 44, 45, 51, 62, 67, 94, 105, and 115) target bonds

found within cellulose, hemicellulose or pectin, except GH23, which primarily acts on

peptidoglycan. Finally, the four carbohydrate esterase (CE) families detected (CE1, 2, 15, and 20)

target bonds found in hemicellulose, or bonds covalently linking hemicellulose to lignin. Thus,

bioinformatic predictions indicate the ability of *T. turnerae* MVs to hydrolyze a wide range of

bonds in lignocellulose, including potential endo- and exo-activities against cellulose and

hemicellulose backbones, debranching of hemicellulose sidechains, and hydrolysis of the

resulting oligomers and monomers.

The fact that this wide array of activities was found in MVs produced by cells of *T.*

turnerae strains T7901 and SR01903 grown with pure CMC as a sole carbon source indicates

that the expression of genes active against other lignocellulose components was either

constitutive or co-regulated with expression of genes targeting cellulose. The ability of *T.*

turnerae to express these activities in the absence of induction by their specific target

substrates is consistent with the observation that shipworm endosymbionts express a wide

range of lignocellulolytic enzymes when growing within symbiont-containing cells

(bacteriocytes) in the gill of the host where they have no direct contact with lignocellulose

(O'Connor *et al.*, 2014; Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018).

In addition to proteomic predictions, we show that these MV preparations can hydrolyze

CMC with specific activities comparable to or greater than that observed for a commercial

purified cellulase enzyme cocktail (Figure 4 C). Although CMC is not cleaved by all cellulolytic

enzymes, hydrolysis of CMC confirms that at least some of the detected cellulases retain their

activity in purified MVs.

TonB-dependent receptors in *T. turnerae* MVs

Although CAZymes constitute a substantial proportion of *T. turnerae* MV proteomes, the

most abundant proteins observed in MV preparations were identified as TonB-dependent

receptors (TBDRs). As previously reported in MVs of several Gram-negative bacteria (Veith *et al.*,

2015; Zakharzhevskaya *et al.*, 2017; Dhurve *et al.*, 2022; Fadeev *et al.*, 2023), TBDRs account for

nearly half of the proteins detected in *T. turnerae* MVs. TBDRs are outer membrane-associated

proteins that bind and mediate the energy-dependent movement of siderophores and various

nutrients, including polysaccharides too large to be taken up via transmembrane diffusion

across the outer membrane. Recently, it was shown that two of *T. turnerae's* four *TonB* genes

are essential for growth in iron-limiting conditions and for growth with cellulose as a sole

carbon source, indicating that the *TonB* system (TBDR and *TonB*) is necessary for both iron

acquisition and cellulose catabolism by *T. turnerae* (Naka and Haygood, 2023).

Interestingly, in a proteomic examination of the shipworm *Banka setacea*, a TBDR was

the only major symbiont-derived protein observed in the cecum content that was not

associated with the decomposition of lignocellulose (O'Connor *et al.*, 2014). This finding

suggests the presence of symbiont outer membranes in the cecum. However, the cecum of

shipworms has been shown by microscopic (Betcher *et al.*, 2012; Pesante *et al.*, 2021),

transcriptomic (Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018), and proteomic (O'Connor *et al.*, 2014) methods to be

nearly devoid of intact bacterial cells. These observations argue against the transport of whole

bacterial cells from the gill to the cecum, as suggested by (Pesante *et al.*, 2021), but might

suggest a role for MVs in transporting lignocellulose degrading enzymes from the gill to the gut

in shipworms.

Roles of MVs in symbiotic associations

Membrane vesicles are critical in bacterial interactions with many animal and plant hosts

(Berleman and Auer, 2013; Lynch and Alegado, 2017). While most research has focused on

pathogenic interactions (Gan *et al.*, 2023), MVs may also contribute to beneficial host-symbiont

associations. For example, MVs have been shown to mimic whole cells of *Vibrio fisheri* in the

induction of specific aspects of light organ development in the Hawaiian bobtail squid *Euprymna*

scolope (Aschtgen *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, bacterial MVs significantly promoted the settlement of

marine sponge larvae (Zhang *et al.*, 2024). MVs are also produced by chemoautotrophic

symbionts that occur in the trophosome of flatworms of the genus *Paracatenula,* where they

play a critical role in providing the host with carbohydrates synthesized by the symbionts (Jäckle

et al., 2019).

Potential role of MVs in biomass conversion

In addition to their potential roles in shipworm symbiosis, cellulolytic MVs are of

significant interest in converting plant biomass into renewable liquid fuels or fine chemicals

(Thakur *et al.*, 2023). A significant challenge in biomass conversion is understanding how

cooperation among cellulases and associated enzymes can improve the design of efficient

enzyme cocktails tailored to individual feedstocks (Østby *et al.*, 2020). MVs produced by *T.*

turnerae and other lignocellulolytic organisms may represent nature-based solutions that reveal

specific combinations, concentrations, and spatial organization of cooperating enzymes that

significantly enhance lignocellulose degradation in nature and so might inspire the design of

engineered lignocellulose deconstruction systems.

Figure legends
Figure 1. Methods used to isolate and characterize membrane vesicles (MVs) from *T. turnerae*.

Diagram showing: (A) bacterial culture, (B) MV isolation based on differential and

density gradient separation, (C) MV visualization and size determination by TEM and

NTA, proteome analysis by LC-MS/MS, and (D) detection of cellulase activity in purified

MV preparations by zymography and reducing sugar (DNS) assay. Created with

BioRender.com

Figure 2. Size, concentration, and visualization of MVs isolated from *T. turnerae* culture

supernatants after growth on carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC). (A-B) Size distribution

and particle concentration for strains T7901 and SR01903, respectively. MVs from

SR01903 were slightly larger in average size than MVs from T7901. (C-D) Isolated MVs

from strains T7901 and SR01903, respectively, were imaged using transmission

electron microscopy. Scale bar = 100 nm.

Figure 3. T. turnerae MV proteome profiles. (A) Relative protein abundance grouped by

494   predicted subcellular location and (B) Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) functional

495   categories of identified proteins. Six color-safe hues represent COG categories and are

496   repeated in order starting from 12 o'clock on the graph and moving clockwise. (C) Top

497   10 STRINGdb pathways ranked by false discovery rate (FDR) found in MVs of T7901.

498   Similar top pathways were found in the MVs of SR01903. STRING analysis was

499   performed against the corresponding bacterial strain genome background to estimate

500   the amount or fold of enrichment, i.e., the representation of that functional category

501   above what is expected by chance. FDR estimates the expected ratio of false positive

502   classifications (false discoveries) to total positive classifications.

503   Figure 4. Occurrence and relative abundance of carbohydrate-active modules and detection of

504   cellulase activity in *T. turnerae* MVs. (A) Heatmap profile of the relative abundance of

505   the predicted carbohydrate-active enzymes found in MVs. (B) Denaturing nonreducing

506   SDS-PAGE (left) and zymogram (right; CMC substrate) of isolated MVs. (C) Histogram

507   showing cellulase activity (CMC substrate) in Units/mg (as determined by DNS assay)

508   for isolated MVs and a purified cellulase enzyme cocktail (Cellulase R-10, Goldbio CAS#

509   9012-54-8). Bars indicate means (error bars: standard deviations of three replicates).

Author Contribution Statement

Mark T. Gasser: Conceptualization; investigation; formal analysis; methodology; writing –

original draft preparation. **Annie Liu:** Investigation; methodology. **Marvin A. Altamia:**

Investigation; methodology. **Bryan R. Brensinger:** Investigation; methodology. **Sarah L. Brewer:**

Investigation; methodology. **Ron Flatau:** Investigation; methodology. **Eric R. Hancock:**

Investigation; methodology. **Sarah P. Preheim:** Supervision; writing – review and editing. **Claire**

Marie Filone: Funding acquisition; supervision; writing – review and editing. **Dan L. Distel:**

Funding acquisition; Conceptualization; supervision; writing – original draft preparation; writing

– review and editing.

Acknowledgments
We thank the PennVet Extracellular Vesicle Core SCR_022444 (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) for

work and assistance with MV isolation and NTA analysis; the University of Maryland School of

Medicine's and School of Dentistry's Electron Microscopy Core Imaging Facility - EMCIF

(Baltimore, Maryland) for work and assistance with TEM imaging; and the NYU Langone's

Proteomics Laboratory SCR_017926 (New York, New York) for work and help with mass

spectrometry analysis.

Supplemental Information
530   Figure_S1_SuppInfo.docx

531   Dataset_S1_SuppInfo.xlsx

References
Almagro Armenteros, J.J., Tsirigos, K.D., Sønderby, C.K., Petersen, T.N., Winther, O., Brunak, S., et
al. (2019) SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks.
Nat Biotechnol **37**: 420–423.
Altamia, M.A. and Distel, D.L. (2022) Transport of symbiont-encoded cellulases from the gill to
the gut of shipworms via the enigmatic ducts of Deshayes: a 174-year mystery solved.
Proc R Soc B **289**: 20221478.
Altamia, M.A., Shipway, J.R., Stein, D., Betcher, M.A., Fung, J.M., Jospin, G., et al. (2021)
Teredinibacter haidensis sp. nov., Teredinibacter purpureus sp. nov. and Teredinibacter
franksiae sp. nov., marine, cellulolytic endosymbiotic bacteria isolated from the gills of
the wood-boring mollusc Bankia setacea (Bivalvia: Teredinidae) and emended

description of the genus Teredinibacter. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **71**:.

Altamia, M.A., Shipway, J.R., Stein, D., Betcher, M.A., Fung, J.M., Jospin, G., et al. (2020) Teredinibacter waterburyi sp. nov., a marine, cellulolytic endosymbiotic bacterium isolated from the gills of the wood-boring mollusc Bankia setacea (Bivalvia: Teredinidae) and emended description of the genus Teredinibacter. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **70**: 2388–2394.

Alves, N.J., Turner, K.B., Medintz, I.L., and Walper, S.A. (2016) Protecting enzymatic function through directed packaging into bacterial outer membrane vesicles. *Sci Rep* **6**: 24866.

Arntzen, M.Ø., Várnai, A., Mackie, R.I., Eijsink, V.G.H., and Pope, P.B. (2017) Outer membrane vesicles from *Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85 contain an array of carbohydrate-active enzymes with versatile polysaccharide-degrading capacity. *Environmental Microbiology* **19**: 2701–2714.

Aschtgen, M.-S., Wetzel, K., Goldman, W., McFall-Ngai, M., and Ruby, E. (2016) *Vibrio fischeri* - derived outer membrane vesicles trigger host development: OMV deliver signals in the squid/vibrio symbiosis. *Cellular Microbiology* **18**: 488–499.

Berleman, J. and Auer, M. (2013) The role of bacterial outer membrane vesicles for intra- and interspecies delivery. *Environmental Microbiology* **15**: 347–354.

Betcher, M.A., Fung, J.M., Han, A.W., O'Connor, R., Seronay, R., Concepcion, G.P., et al. (2012) Microbial Distribution and Abundance in the Digestive System of Five Shipworm Species (Bivalvia: Teredinidae). *PLoS ONE* **7**: e45309.

Bonnington, K.E. and Kuehn, M.J. (2014) Protein selection and export via outer membrane vesicles. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* **1843**: 1612–1619.

Brito, T.L., Campos, A.B., Bastiaan Von Meijenfeldt, F.A., Daniel, J.P., Ribeiro, G.B., Silva, G.G.Z., et al. (2018) The gill-associated microbiome is the main source of wood plant polysaccharide hydrolases and secondary metabolite gene clusters in the mangrove shipworm Neoteredo reynei. *PLoS ONE* **13**: e0200437.

Burnet, M.C., Dohnalkova, A.C., Neumann, A.P., Lipton, M.S., Smith, R.D., Suen, G., and Callister, S.J. (2015) Evaluating Models of Cellulose Degradation by Fibrobacter succinogenes S85. *PLoS ONE* **10**: e0143809.

Cai, Y.J., Chapman, S.J., Buswell, J.A., and Chang, S. (1999) Production and Distribution of Endoglucanase, Cellobiohydrolase, and β-Glucosidase Components of the Cellulolytic System of *Volvariella volvacea* , the Edible Straw Mushroom. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**: 553–559.

Choi, C.-W., Park, E.C., Yun, S.H., Lee, S.-Y., Lee, Y.G., Hong, Y., et al. (2014) Proteomic Characterization of the Outer Membrane Vesicle of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. *J Proteome Res* **13**: 4298–4309.

Cragg, S.M., Beckham, G.T., Bruce, N.C., Bugg, T.D., Distel, D.L., Dupree, P., et al. (2015) Lignocellulose degradation mechanisms across the Tree of Life. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **29**: 108–119.

Cragg, S.M., Friess, D.A., Gillis, L.G., Trevathan-Tackett, S.M., Terrett, O.M., Watts, J.E.M., et al. (2020) Vascular Plants Are Globally Significant Contributors to Marine Carbon Fluxes and Sinks. *Annu Rev Mar Sci* **12**: 469–497.

Dahmen, N., Lewandowski, I., Zibek, S., and Weidtmann, A. (2019) Integrated lignocellulosic value chains in a growing bioeconomy: Status quo and perspectives. *GCB Bioenergy* **11**: 107–117.

Dhurve, G., Madikonda, A.K., Jagannadham, M.V., and Siddavattam, D. (2022) Outer Membrane Vesicles of *Acinetobacter baumannii* DS002 Are Selectively Enriched with TonB-Dependent Transporters and Play a Key Role in Iron Acquisition. *Microbiol Spectr* **10**: e00293-22.

Distel, D.L., DeLong, E.F., and Waterbury, J.B. (1991) Phylogenetic characterization and in situ localization of the bacterial symbiont of shipworms (Teredinidae: Bivalvia) by using 16S rRNA sequence analysis and oligodeoxynucleotide probe hybridization. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **57**: 2376–2382.

Distel, D.L., Morrill, W., MacLaren-Toussaint, N., Franks, D., and Waterbury, J. (2002) Teredinibacter turnerae gen. nov., sp. nov., a dinitrogen-fixing, cellulolytic, endosymbiotic gamma-proteobacterium isolated from the gills of wood-boring molluscs (Bivalvia: Teredinidae). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **52**: 2261–2269.

Dürwald, A., Zühlke, M., Schlüter, R., Gebbe, R., Bartosik, D., Unfried, F., et al. (2021) Reaching out in anticipation: bacterial membrane extensions represent a permanent investment in polysaccharide sensing and utilization. *Environmental Microbiology* **23**: 3149–3163.

Elhenawy, W., Debelyy, M.O., and Feldman, M.F. (2014) Preferential Packing of Acidic Glycosidases and Proteases into *Bacteroides* Outer Membrane Vesicles. *mBio* **5**: e00909-14.

Fadeev, E., Carpaneto Bastos, C., Hennenfeind, J.H., Biller, S.J., Sher, D., Wietz, M., and Herndl, G.J. (2023) Characterization of membrane vesicles in *Alteromonas macleodii* indicates potential roles in their copiotrophic lifestyle. *microLife* **4**: uqac025.

Forsberg, C.W., Beveridge, T.J., and Hellstrom, A. (1981) Cellulase and Xylanase Release from *Bacteroides succinogenes* and Its Importance in the Rumen Environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **42**: 886–896.

Galperin, M.Y., Wolf, Y.I., Makarova, K.S., Vera Alvarez, R., Landsman, D., and Koonin, E.V. (2021) COG database update: focus on microbial diversity, model organisms, and widespread pathogens. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**: D274–D281.

Gan, Y., Zhao, G., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Wu, M.X., and Lu, M. (2023) Bacterial Membrane Vesicles: Physiological Roles, Infection Immunology, and Applications. *Advanced Science* **10**: 2301357.

Ge, S.X., Son, E.W., and Yao, R. (2018) iDEP: an integrated web application for differential expression and pathway analysis of RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**: 534.

Gharechahi, J., Vahidi, M.F., Sharifi, G., Ariaeenejad, S., Ding, X.-Z., Han, J.-L., and Salekdeh, G.H. (2023) Lignocellulose degradation by rumen bacterial communities: New insights from metagenome analyses. *Environmental Research* **229**: 115925.

Ghose, T.K. (1987) Measurement of cellulase activities. *Pure and Applied Chemistry* **59**: 257–268.

Guerrero-Mandujano, A., Hernández-Cortez, C., Ibarra, J.A., and Castro-Escarpulli, G. (2017) The outer membrane vesicles: Secretion system type zero. *Traffic* **18**: 425–432.

Hervé, C., Rogowski, A., Blake, A.W., Marcus, S.E., Gilbert, H.J., and Knox, J.P. (2010) Carbohydrate-binding modules promote the enzymatic deconstruction of intact plant cell walls by targeting and proximity effects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**: 15293–15298.

Huang, Y., Nieh, M., Chen, W., and Lei, Y. (2022) Outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) enabled bio-applications: A critical review. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **119**: 34–47.

Ichikawa, S., Ogawa, S., Nishida, A., Kobayashi, Y., Kurosawa, T., and Karita, S. (2019) Cellulosomes localise on the surface of membrane vesicles from the cellulolytic bacterium *Clostridium thermocellum*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **366**: fnz145.

Jäckle, O., Seah, B.K.B., Tietjen, M., Leisch, N., Liebeke, M., Kleiner, M., et al. (2019) Chemosynthetic symbiont with a drastically reduced genome serves as primary energy storage in the marine flatworm *Paracatenula*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **116**: 8505–8514.

La Rosa, S.L., Ostrowski, M.P., Vera-Ponce De León, A., McKee, L.S., Larsbrink, J., Eijsink, V.G., et al. (2022) Glycan processing in gut microbiomes. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **67**: 102143.

Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M., and Henrissat, B. (2014) The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucl Acids Res* **42**: D490–D495.

Lynch, J.B. and Alegado, R.A. (2017) Spheres of Hope, Packets of Doom: the Good and Bad of Outer Membrane Vesicles in Interspecies and Ecological Dynamics. *J Bacteriol* **199**:.

McGavin, M., Lam, J., and Forsberg, C.W. (1990) Regulation and distribution of Fibrobacter succinogenes subsp. succinogenes S85 endoglucanases. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **56**: 1235–1244.

Moreno, J., Nielsen, H., Winther, O., and Teufel, F. (2024) Predicting the subcellular location of prokaryotic proteins with DeepLocPro.

Nagakubo, T., Nomura, N., and Toyofuku, M. (2020) Cracking Open Bacterial Membrane Vesicles. *Front Microbiol* **10**: 3026.

Naka, H. and Haygood, M.G. (2023) The dual role of TonB genes in turnerbactin uptake and carbohydrate utilization in the shipworm symbiont *Teredinibacter turnerae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **89**: e00744-23.

Naval, P. and Chandra, T.S. (2019) Characterization of membrane vesicles secreted by seaweed associated bacterium Alteromonas macleodii KS62. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **514**: 422–427.

O'Connor, R.M., Fung, J.M., Sharp, K.H., Benner, J.S., McClung, C., Cushing, S., et al. (2014) Gill bacteria enable a novel digestive strategy in a wood-feeding mollusk. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **111**: E5096–E5104.

Orench-Rivera, N. and Kuehn, M.J. (2016) Environmentally controlled bacterial vesicle-mediated export: Environmentally controlled bacterial vesicle-mediated export. *Cellular Microbiology* **18**: 1525–1536.

Østby, H., Hansen, L.D., Horn, S.J., Eijsink, V.G.H., and Várnai, A. (2020) Enzymatic processing of lignocellulosic biomass: principles, recent advances and perspectives. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* **47**: 623–657.

Park, M., Sun, Q., Liu, F., DeLisa, M.P., and Chen, W. (2014) Positional Assembly of Enzymes on Bacterial Outer Membrane Vesicles for Cascade Reactions. *PLoS ONE* **9**: e97103.

Pesante, G., Sabbadin, F., Elias, L., Steele-King, C., Shipway, J.R., Dowle, A.A., et al. (2021)
Characterisation of the enzyme transport path between shipworms and their bacterial
symbionts. *BMC Biol* **19**: 233.

Popham, J.D. (1974) Further observations of the gland of Deshayes in the teredo Bankia
australis. *Veliger* **18**: 55–59.

Popham, J.D. and Dickson, M.R. (1973) Bacterial associations in the teredo Bankia australis
(Lamellibranchia: Mollusca). *Marine Biology* **19**: 338–340.

Ragauskas, A.J., Beckham, G.T., Biddy, M.J., Chandra, R., Chen, F., Davis, M.F., et al. (2014) Lignin
Valorization: Improving Lignin Processing in the Biorefinery. *Science* **344**: 1246843.

Rosenberg, E., DeLong, E.F., Lory, S., Stackebrandt, E., and Thompson, F. eds. (2014) The
Prokaryotes: Gammaproteobacteria, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Sabbadin, F., Pesante, G., Elias, L., Besser, K., Li, Y., Steele-King, C., et al. (2018) Uncovering the
molecular mechanisms of lignocellulose digestion in shipworms. *Biotechnol Biofuels* **11**:
59.

Salvachúa, D., Werner, A.Z., Pardo, I., Michalska, M., Black, B.A., Donohoe, B.S., et al. (2020)
Outer membrane vesicles catabolize lignin-derived aromatic compounds in
Pseudomonas putida KT2440. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **117**: 9302–9310.

Sartorio, M.G., Pardue, E.J., Scott, N.E., and Feldman, M.F. (2023) Human gut bacteria tailor
extracellular vesicle cargo for the breakdown of diet- and host-derived glycans. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci USA **120**: e2306314120.

Schwechheimer, C. and Kuehn, M.J. (2015) Outer-membrane vesicles from Gram-negative
bacteria: biogenesis and functions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **13**: 605–619.

Solé, M., Scheibner, F., Hoffmeister, A.-K., Hartmann, N., Hause, G., Rother, A., et al. (2015)
Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria Secretes Proteases and Xylanases via the Xps
Type II Secretion System and Outer Membrane Vesicles. *J Bacteriol* **197**: 2879–2893.

Spring, S., Scheuner, C., Göker, M., and Klenk, H.-P. (2015) A taxonomic framework for emerging
groups of ecologically important marine gammaproteobacteria based on the
reconstruction of evolutionary relationships using genome-scale data. *Front Microbiol* **6**:.

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2019) STRING
v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting
functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:
D607–D613.

Teather, R.M. and Wood, P.J. (1982) Use of Congo red-polysaccharide interactions in
enumeration and characterization of cellulolytic bacteria from the bovine rumen. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol **43**: 777–780.

Thakur, M., Dean, S.N., Caruana, J.C., Walper, S.A., and Ellis, G.A. (2023) Bacterial Membrane
Vesicles for In Vitro Catalysis. *Bioengineering* **10**: 1099.

Toyofuku, M., Schild, S., Kaparakis-Liaskos, M., and Eberl, L. (2023) Composition and functions of
bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **21**: 415–430.

Veith, P.D., Chen, Y.-Y., Chen, D., O'Brien-Simpson, N.M., Cecil, J.D., Holden, J.A., et al. (2015)
Tannerella forsythia Outer Membrane Vesicles Are Enriched with Substrates of the Type
IX Secretion System and TonB-Dependent Receptors. *J Proteome Res* **14**: 5355–5366.

Voight, J.R. (2015) Xylotrophic bivalves: aspects of their biology and the impacts of humans.
Journal of Molluscan Studies **81**: 175–186.

Waterbury, J.B., Calloway, C.B., and Turner, R.D. (1983) A Cellulolytic Nitrogen-Fixing Bacterium
Cultured from the Gland of Deshayes in Shipworms (Bivalvia: Teredinidae). *Science* **221**:
1401–1403.
Yan, S. and Wu, G. (2013) Secretory pathway of cellulase: a mini-review. *Biotechnol Biofuels* **6**:
177.
Yang, J.C., Madupu, R., Durkin, A.S., Ekborg, N.A., Pedamallu, C.S., Hostetler, J.B., et al. (2009)
The Complete Genome of Teredinibacter turnerae T7901: An Intracellular Endosymbiont
of Marine Wood-Boring Bivalves (Shipworms). *PLoS ONE* **4**: e6085.
Zakharzhevskaya, N.B., Vanyushkina, A.A., Altukhov, I.A., Shavarda, A.L., Butenko, I.O., Rakitina,
D.V., et al. (2017) Outer membrane vesicles secreted by pathogenic and nonpathogenic
Bacteroides fragilis represent different metabolic activities. *Sci Rep* **7**: 5008.
Zhang, B., Jia, C., Li, M., Wang, K., Chen, J., and Zhao, J. (2024) Multiomics integration for the
function of bacterial outer membrane vesicles in the larval settlement of marine
sponges. *Front Microbiol* **15**: 1268813.
Zingl, F.G., Thapa, H.B., Scharf, M., Kohl, P., Müller, A.M., and Schild, S. (2021) Outer Membrane
Vesicles of Vibrio cholerae Protect and Deliver Active Cholera Toxin to Host Cells via
Porin-Dependent Uptake. *mBio* **12**: e00534-21.
734