Microbial diversification is maintained in an experimentally evolved synthetic community.

Zahraa Al-Tameemi[1], Alejandra Rodriguez-Verdugo[1*]

[1] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA.

* Corresponding author:

Alejandra Rodríguez-Verdugo

e-mail: alejanr1@uci.edu

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Running title: Bacterial diversification persists only in a community.

Abstract

Microbial communities are incredibly diverse. Yet, the eco-evolutionary processes originating

and maintaining this diversity remain understudied. Here, we investigate the patterns of

diversification for *Pseudomonas putida* evolving in isolation and with *Acinetobacter johnsonii*

leaking resources used by *P. putida*. We experimentally evolved four experimental replicates in

monoculture and co-culture for 200 generations. We observed that *P. putida* diversified into two

distinct morphotypes that differed from their ancestor by single-point mutations. One of the most

prominent mutations hit the *fleQ* gene encoding the master regulator of flagella and biofilm

formation. We experimentally confirmed that *fleQ* mutants were unable to swim and formed less

biofilm than their ancestor, but they also produced higher yields. Interestingly, the *fleQ* genotype

and other mutations swept to fixation in monocultures but not in co-cultures. In co-cultures, the

two lineages stably coexisted for approximately 150 generations. We hypothesized that *A.*

johnsonii modulates the coexistence of the two lineages through frequency-dependent selection.

However, invasion experiments with two genotypes in monoculture and co-culture did not

support this hypothesis. Instead, we found that, at the population level, the two morphotypes

coexisted at similar relative abundances in the presence of *A. johnsonii* whereas, in its absence,

one of the morphotypes was overrepresented in the population. Overall, our study suggests that

interspecies interactions play an important role in shaping patterns of diversification in microbial

communities.

Importance

In nature, bacteria live in microbial communities and interact with other species, for example, through the exchange of resources leaked into the external environment (i.e., cross-feeding interactions). The role that these cross-feeding interactions play in shaping patterns of diversification remains understudied. Using a simple bacterial system in which one species cross-feeds resources to a second species (commensal species), we showed that the commensal species diversified into two subpopulations that persisted only when the cross-feeder partner was present. We further observed loss-of-function mutations in flagellar genes that were fixed in monocultures but not in co-cultures. Our findings suggest that cross-feeding species influence patterns of diversification of other species. Given that nutrient leakage is pervasive in microbial communities, the findings from this study have the potential to extend beyond our specific bacterial system. Importantly, our study has contributed to answering the larger question of whether species evolved differently in isolation versus when interacting with other species.

Keywords: interspecies interactions, cross-feeding, evolutionary tradeoffs, maintenance of diversity, experimental evolution, synthetic communities

Introduction

Long-term experimental evolution studies have demonstrated that microbial populations can undergo significant adaptation and diversification over thousands of generations (1). Such adaptation involves the acquisition of new genetic mutations that enhance survival and reproduction in specific environments. Often, these adaptive mutations sweep in the population, resulting in a loss of genetic polymorphism (2, 3). However, polymorphisms are sometimes maintained, leading to the formation of new lineages. For example, in the bacterium *Escherichia coli*, acetate specialists evolved from a clonal ancestor that uses glucose and releases acetate as a waste product, resulting in a polymorphic population (4, 5). In addition to cross-feeding, spatial structure can drive microbial diversification by creating distinct ecological niches, which leads to more genetic polymorphism within populations (6-9). For example, during an experiment in static culture conditions, *Pseudomonas fluorescence* diversified into three morphotypes (7). Spatial structure provided an ecological opportunity to types that specialized to the different microenvironments in the flask. Subsequent studies with other species, such as *Burkholderia* and *Bacillus,* have shown that spontaneous diversification due to spatial structure is common (10, 11). These studies have also shown that in order to maintain polymorphisms, fitness trade-offs are necessary (12). In addition to fitness trade-offs, negative frequency-dependent selection, in which a rare mutant rises in frequency in a resident population, but loses its fitness advantage when it becomes common, ensures stable coexistence between genotypes (13).

In these previous examples, diversification occurs within species, and intraspecific interactions combined with tradeoffs suffice to support polymorphisms (4, 5, 7, 10, 11). Fewer studies have investigated whether other species influence patterns of diversification of species (14). Zhang et al. (2012) showed that the diversification in *P. fluorescence* was not affected by

the presence or absence of the competitor species *P. putida*. However, the question of how the

presence or absence of a species impacts diversification remains little studied. On the one hand,

the presence of other species may limit diversification by reducing the number of niches

available for diversification (15). On the other hand, other species may promote diversification

given that interspecific interactions promote coexistence within species (16-18). Thus, after

polymorphisms have occurred, another species could mediate the coexistence of newly formed

lineages. More generally, species interactions alter effective population sizes, which can

influence evolutionary processes (19). For example, competitive interactions, which reduce

species' population sizes, have been shown to constrain evolution (20). Less is known about what

patterns are expected when species interact positively, e.g., when the other species increase their

population size. For example, during cross-feeding, microbes exchange metabolites that benefit

each other (21). How are patterns of diversification expected to differ when a focal species

evolves in isolation compared to when it evolves with another species that cross-feeds resources

to it remains an open question.

To address this question, we are using a synthetic microbial community composed of

Acinetobacter johnsonii C6 and *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. These bacterial species have been

isolated from a creosote-polluted aquifer and have naturally evolved to biodegrade aromatic

compounds that make up a significant portion of environmental pollutants (22, 23). In addition to

its importance for bioremediation, this synthetic community is considered a model system for

studying metabolic and commensal interactions (24-26). The commensalism is based on a

unidirectional cross-feeding interaction between *A. johnsonii* and *P. putida*. *A. johnsonii*

consumes benzyl alcohol and produces benzoate – an intermediate by-product of benzyl alcohol

oxidation – which leaks into the external environment where *P. putida* uses it as a source of

carbon and energy (27).

In this study, we build on a previous evolution experiment in which *P. putida* evolved

independently and in conjunction with *A. johnsonii* over 200 generations (28). Over the course of

this experiment, we observed that populations of *P. putida* diversified into two morphotypes, but

this diversification was maintained exclusively in the presence of *A. johnsonii*. To characterize

this diversification, we used both genotypic and phenotypic data to address the following

questions: How does trait evolution differ in the presence and absence of *A. johnsonii*? What

types of mutations underpin the observed phenotypic diversity? How do these mutations affect

physiology and tradeoffs? Finally, what potential processes underly the persistence of this

diversity in the co-culture conditions?

Materials and Methods

Growth conditions

All strains were cultured in 10 mL FAB minimal medium (1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$,

0.003 mM $FeCl_3$, 15 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 33 mM Na_2HPO_4, 22 mM KH_2PO_4, and 50 mM NaCl)

with either 0.6 mM of benzoate or 0.6 mM benzyl alcohol as the sole carbon source. The media

was run through a 0.2 μm filter and stored in glass treated to remove assimilated organic carbon

(AOC). AOC-free glassware was prepared as in Rodriguez-Verdugo et al. (2019) (27). Before

running experiments, all strains were acclimated by inoculating 10 μl of frozen glycerol stocks in

FAB minimal medium supplemented by either 0.6 mM benzoate or 0.6 mM benzyl alcohol and

incubated for 24 hours at 26 °C with constant shaking (150 r.p.m.).

Evolution experiment

The evolution experiment had been previously described in Rodriguez-Verdugo and

Ackermann (2021) (28). Briefly, the experiment consisted of evolving four replicates of *P.*

putida in monoculture, four replicates of *A. johnsonii* in monoculture, and four replicates of *P.*

putida with *A. johnsonii* (i.e., co-cultures) for 30 days, which equates to approximately 200

generations (~6.6 generations per day). All cultures were grown in batch culture with FAB

minimal media and supplemented with 0.6 mM benzyl alcohol. The experimental lines were

established from four ancestors of *P. putida* and *A. johnsonii*, isolated from single clones (Fig.

S1). Thus, the ancestors diverged by a few generations when the evolution experiment was

started. During the evolution experiment, the population densities for the monoculture and the

co-culture from replicate #2 were estimated at the end of each day by plating cultures in LB agar

with streptomycin (64 µg/ml) and in LB agar with gentamycin (10 µg/ml) to track *A. johnsonii*

and *P. putida*, respectively (28).

Growth curves and resource use traits

To characterize the phenotypic diversification of *P. putida* in monoculture and co-culture,

we performed growth curve experiments on 30 single colonies isolated from each of the eight

experimental lines and from four ancestral populations. Briefly, bacteria were grown in FAB

medium supplemented with 0.6 mM benzoate for 24 hours and were then plated on Lysogeny

Broth (LB) agar and incubated for another 24 hours at 26 °C. We randomly selected 30 colonies

off the plate and resuspended them into wells filled with 200 µL 1% $MgSO_4$ in a 96-well plate.

We then transferred 2 µL of the diluted cells and transferred them to another 96-well plate

containing 198 µL of FAB supplemented with 0.6 mM benzoate. The plate was sealed with

vacuum grease to reduce evaporation. The plate was incubated in a photospectrometer plate

reader (Epoch2™, Agilent™) at 30 °C and continuous linear shaking. Optical density (OD)

measurements were taken every 10 minutes for 24 hours. From these growth curves, we

estimated four quantitative traits involved in resource use: the maximum growth rate in

exponential phase (μ_{max}), the yield (Y) defined as the amount of biomass produced per unit of

resource, the maximum uptake rate (V) defined as the maximum rate of resource consumption

per hour and the half-saturation constant (K) defined as the resource concentration supporting

half-maximum uptake rate. Parameters were estimated from growth curves using a multistep

approach (27). First, we estimated the yield (in OD/mM) using the formula: $Y = \frac{OD_{max} - OD_{min}}{R_0}$,

with OD_{max} being the maximum OD achieved, OD_{min} the minimum OD at the start of the

experiment (both in unitless OD), and R_0 the initial concentration of benzoate ($= 0.6$ mM).

Second, we modeled the uptake rate (in mM/OD/h) using the formula: $q = \frac{\mu}{Y}$ where μ is the

instantaneous growth rate (h^{-1}) calculated as $\mu = \Delta ln(OD)/\Delta t$. Third, we modeled the change

in benzoate over time using the formula: $\frac{dR}{dt} = -\frac{1}{Y}\frac{dP}{dt}$ in which P is the bacterial density (in

unitless OD). Finally, we estimated V (in mM/OD/h) and K (in mM) from the function $d(R) =$

$\frac{VR}{K+R}$ fitted to the relationship between the uptake rate and the concentration of benzoate.

Parameters were fitted to three growth curves (technical replicates) using Matlab (version

R2017a, MathWorks). To quantify the evolution of phenotypic traits, we compared the trait

distributions from ancestral populations ($n = 30$) to those from evolved populations ($n = 30$)

using a two-tailed t-test (R, version 4.3).

Genome resequencing and variant calling

We extracted DNA from the ancestors' clones, monoculture and co-culture populations,

and single clones from large and small morphotypes of all four experimental lines. Single clones

were revived from glycerol stocks in 3 mL of LB broth and grown overnight at 26 °C with

constant shaking (150 r.p.m.). The overnight cultures from monoculture and co-cultures whole

populations were grown FAB with 0.6 mM benzoate supplemented with gentamycin (10

µg/mL). We isolated and purified genomic DNA from 1 mL of the overnight cultures using the

Wizard DNA purification kit (Promega). Short-read sequencing (2 x 150bp paired-end reads)

was conducted on a NovaSeq6000 S4 flow cell (UCI Genomics High-Throughput Facility).

These sequences can be accessed at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under Bioproject ID

number PRJNA623337.

All sequences were aligned to the reference genome of *P. putida* KT2440 (reference

NC_002947) using the computational pipeline *breseq* (version 0.36.1) and utility program

GDtools (29). We used consensus mode and polymorphism mode to call variants for clones and

whole populations, respectively. Each sample was sequenced to a depth of ~600X coverage.

Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in genic regions at or above 5% and passing the Fisher's exact

test for biased strand distribution were considered. *De novo* non-synonymous mutations were

defined as uniquely observed in the evolved sample and not the ancestral clone.

Selection and enrichment statistical tests were implemented as in (28). Briefly, the

strength of parallelism at the gene level was estimated using a Poisson distribution: $(X = x) =$

$\frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}$ with $\lambda = \frac{\text{total observed mutations}}{\text{genome size in bp}} \times$ size of the target gene in bp. The *P*-value was

estimated from the Poisson cumulative expectation, P(x ≥ observed, 1). The cellular functions

under selection were determined using the statistical overrepresentation test from Panther

(pantherdb.org), version 19.0

Physiological tradeoffs

     Biofilm formation - We measured biofilm formation in the *P. putida* ancestors and the evolved morphotypes using the method described in Martínez-García et al. (2014) (30). Samples were inoculated into FAB media supplemented with 0.6 mM benzoate and incubated for 24 hours at 26 °C with constant shaking (150 r.p.m.). The tubes were then vortexed to homogenize the culture and the OD_{600} was adjusted to 0.5. We added 2 µL of the adjusted acclimated culture to a 96-well plate containing 198 µL of FAB and 0.6 mM benzoate and was incubated at 26 °C with no shaking. Each sample was inoculated into 5 wells that serve as technical replicates. After 24 hours, the plate was removed from the incubator, and the OD_{600} was measured using the plate reader. The media with planktonic cells was discarded by gently tapping the plate and was washed 3 times with water. The cells attached to the plate were stained by adding 200 µL of 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet into each well and were incubated on the bench top for 30 minutes. We then disposed of the crystal violet and gently washed the plate 2-3 times with deionized water. The plate was left to completely dry. We added 200 µL of 33% (w/v) acetic acid to each well, pipetting up and down until it was completely homogenized. The OD_{595} was measured, and an index of biofilm formation was calculated, which was the crystal violet stained biofilm (OD_{595}) divided by the initial culture with planktonic cells (OD_{600}). The higher the biofilm index value, the greater the biofilm formation. These experiments were replicated three times. We conducted a two-tailed *t*-test to determine if there were significant differences in biofilm formation in the evolved morphotypes (n = 3) compared to the ancestor (n = 3).

     Motility - We performed a swimming assay on the ancestors and evolved morphotypes of *P. putida* using 0.3% (w/v) soft agar (30, 31). We inoculated 2 µL of an acclimated culture onto

FAB soft agar supplemented with 0.6 mM benzoate and incubated the plates at 30 ℃. We

measured the halo around the initial inoculum after 24 hours to determine whether the evolved

morphotypes' swimming abilities differed from the ancestors' swimming abilities. A larger halo

indicates higher motility, while the absence of a halo suggests a lack of flagellar motility.

Reciprocal invasion assays

To test if frequency-dependent selection is a possible process for the maintenance of the

emergent diversity, we performed reciprocal invasion experiments. These experiments consisted

of culturing two morphotypes (large and small) derived from one of the evolved replicates

(replicate #2) at a frequency of 5%, 10%, 50%, 90%, and 95% to see if they can invade when

rare in the presence and absence of *A. johnsonii.*

We used a large and a small clone of *P. putida* (referred as large and small genotypes)

from the co-culture #2 isolated at generation 200, which have been genetically characterized in

this study (see 'Genome resequencing and variant calling section'). For *A. johnsonii,* we used a

single clone from co-culture #2 isolated at generation 200, which was previously sequenced (28).

We revived these clones from glycerol stocks by inoculating 10 µl of frozen culture in FAB

medium supplemented with 0.6 mM benzoate (for *P. putida*) and with 0.6 mM benzyl alcohol

(for *A. johnsonii*) and incubating them at 26ºC with 150 r.p.m. for 24 hours. The OD was then

adjusted to 1.0 for both genotypes to standardize the starting ratio. We tested five initial

frequencies with a given genotype starting from rare (5%, 10%), equal (50%) or common (90%

and 95%). These frequencies were achieved by adjusting the volumetric ratios. For example, for

a starting ratio of 10% , 10 µL of the large genotype and 90 µL of the small genotype were

inoculated into 9.9 mL of fresh media. For the condition without *A. johnsonii* (i.e., monoculture)

the medium was supplemented with 0.6 mM benzyl alcohol. Co-cultures and monocultures were

grown at 26°C with constant shaking (150 r.p.m.) for 24 hours. We counted colony-forming

units (CFU) at the start of the experiment (T0) and the end of the growth cycle by plating

cultures onto LB agar plates supplemented with gentamicin (10 µg mL^{-1}). We included three

technical replicates per experiment.

The Malthusian parameters for the two genotypes were calculated from cell densities at

the initial and final time points, m = ln (N$_f$/N$_0$), with N$_0$ and N$_f$ the initial and final density after

24 hours, respectively. From the Malthusian parameters we estimated the selection rate constant

r_{ij} corresponding to the relative growth rate of a morphotype against its competitor. We used the

formula $r_{ij} = \frac{m_i - m_j}{1 \; day}$ for a pair of competitors i and j (32, 33). If the value of r_{ij} was

significantly larger than zero, the genotype i could invade the genotype j. If both the large and

small genotypes had a positive r value when started from rare, the pair was considered to stably

coexist through frequency-dependent selection.

Evolutionary 'replay' experiment

To better understand the effect of *A.johnsonii* on the maintenance of *P. putida*'s diversity

in co-culture, we revived and evolved one of the co-cultures (replicate #2) to see if diversity loss

occurred when *A. johnsonii* was removed. We revived co-culture #2 from frozen glycerol stocks

stored -80ºC at the 21st transfer day; ~138 generations of evolution. We inoculated 100 µL of the

frozen stock into 9.9 mL of FAB with 0.06 mM of benzyl alcohol. In order to remove *A.*

johnsonii from the community, we added 60 µg/mL of gentamycin to the media and incubated

the culture at 26ºC and 150 r.p.m for one day. The removal of *A. johnsonii* was visually

confirmed through plating the culture on LB agar plates with streptomycin (64 µg/ml). Two

cultures – one *A. johnsonii*-free community and one 'intact' community (control co-culture) –

were used to inoculated six culture tubes (technical replicates) with 9.9 mL FAB minimal

medium supplemented by 0.6 mM benzyl alcohol. For each daily passage, we transfered 100 µL

of culture into 9.9 mL of fresh medium, following the same methodology used for the evolution

experiment (28). We did serial transfers for eight days to replay ~ 50 generations of evolution

(simulating the last phase of the 200-generations evolution experiment). At the end of a 24-hour

growth cycle, we plated 100 µl of culture in LB agar plates supplemented with antibiotics to

obtain colony counts.

Results

Phenotypic diversification persisted only in co-culture.

After 200 generations of evolution, we observed diversification of *P. putida* in terms of

colony morphology relative to the ancestor (Fig. 1A). All four replicates were bimodal for colony

size and consisted of one cluster of strains that formed larger colonies on LB agar plates

compared to the ancestor (referred as 'large morphotype'), and one cluster that formed colonies

the size of the ancestor (referred as 'small morphotype'). This diversification in colony size was

only observed when *P. putida* evolved in co-culture with *A. johnsonii*. In monocultures,

populations only formed larger colonies than the ancestor.

Next, we quantitively characterized this diversification in four traits involved in resource

use. These traits are interdependent – i.e., they all are derived from growth curves – but they

provide insights into subtle differences at different phases of the growth cycle. In all monoculture

and co-culture replicates, the phenotypic variance for each trait changed compared to the

variance of the ancestral population (Figs. S2 and S3). Changes in yield were the most

pronounced. We found that *P. putida* grown in co-culture with *A. johnsonii* had a different

distribution of yields compared to monoculture (Fig. S2). For example, co-cultures of replicate

#2 showed a bimodal distribution, with one subpopulation having a similar yield to the ancestor

and the other having a higher yield to the ancestor (Fig. 1B, right panel). On the other hand, the

monoculture had a distribution shifted towards higher yield (Fig. 1B, left panel). We confirmed

that the large morphotypes previously observed on LB agar plates had higher yields compared to

their ancestors, and the small morphotypes had similar yields to their ancestors. For example,

large morphotypes from replicate #2 had, on average, a 3.4-fold higher yield than the ancestor

$(0.068 \pm 0.001$ and 0.020 ± 0.001 OD per mM for the large and ancestral morphotypes,

respectively; t-test, $p < 2.2*10^{-16}$). The small morphotypes achieved a yield of 0.023 ± 0.002 OD

per mM, which is comparable to that of the ancestor (t-test, $p = 0.122$).

Finally, leveraging the fact that large morphotypes with higher yield formed larger colonies

on LB agar plates that could be distinguished from the ancestral morphotype, we tracked the

evolution of phenotypic diversification for one of the replicates (replicate #2) during our

experiment. After approximately 40 and 70 generations of evolution, we observed the emergence

of a large morphotype in monoculture and co-culture, respectively (Fig. 2). In co-culture, the

large and small morphotypes coexisted for the remaining 130 generations. Instead, in

monoculture, the large morphotype rapidly outcompeted the ancestral morphotype, driving it to

extinction after 140 generations. Thus, diversification only persisted in co-culture.

Fig. 1. *P. putida* population evolved in monoculture is monomorphic, while the population

evolved in co-culture diversified into two sub-populations after 200 generations. A) Agar

plates illustrating the difference in colony morphologies between the evolved population in

monoculture (left panel) and the evolved population in co-culture (right panel) for replicate #2.

B) Histograms showing the differences in yield distributions between the ancestral and the

populations from replicate #2 evolved in monoculture (left panel) and co-culture (right panel).

In monoculture, *P. putida*'s population evolved higher yield (t-test, $p < 2.2*10^{-16}$). In co-culture,

P. putida's population evolved bimodality (Hartigans' dip test for multimodality, $p < 2.2*10^{-16}$).

The results from the other replicates and parameters are available in Fig. S2 and Fig. S3. C) The

optical density (OD_{600}) over 24 hours is plotted for three randomly selected colonies (i.e. three

replicates) sampled from the ancestral population, the subpopulation forming small morphotypes

and the subpopulation forming large morphotypes from co-culture #2.

Fig. 2. Diversification was maintained in co-culture but not in monoculture. The large

morphotype appears in the monoculture after approximately 50 generations and outcompetes the

ancestral morphotype. In co-culture, both morphotypes coexist until the end of the experiment.

Population density (CFU mL^{-1}) trajectories from replicate #2 are shown over 200 generations. *A.*

johnsonii's densities are from (28). Each point represents the final density of each species and

morphotypes after daily cycles of growth; lines connecting circles help visualization and do not

have any biological meaning.

Morphological diversification in *P. putida* is based on genetic diversification

324   To determine if the observed phenotypic diversity in the evolved lines (Fig. 1) reflected

specific mutations, we performed whole population sequencing to identify *de novo* mutations.

Combined, all eight evolved lines accumulated 29 point mutations in open reading frames (Table

S1). Most of these mutations were nonsynonymous (nonsynonymous/synonymous ratio = 8.7),

indicating a strong signal of adaptive evolution. In additon to point mutations, some lines

accumulated short insertions and deletions (<30 bp) and one line had a deletion of 130 bp (Table

S1). Mutations targeted functions related to positive regulation of cell motility (GO:2000147),

negative regulation of extracellular matrix assembly (GO:1901202), positive regulation of cell-

substrate adhesion (GO:0010811), and DNA-template transcription (GO:0006351). There were

no differences in total number of mutations in monocultures compared to co-cultures (Fig. 3A).

Next, we looked at patterns of convergent evolution. We observed parallel evolution at

different levels. The exact same nonsynonymous mutation in the *cmoB* gene was shared among

three experimental lines (Table S1). Other mutations also targeted the *cmoB* gene, suggesting it is

under strong positive selection. The CmoB enzyme is involved in post-transcriptional

modifications of tRNA molecules, but its functional relevance remains underexplored. Another

gene repeatedly targeted was the *fleQ* gene, encoding FleQ, a master transcriptional regulator of

flagella and biofilm formation. Four out of the eight lines had mutations in the *fleQ* gene. This

parallelism suggests this gene is under strong selection based on the expectation that observing

four random mutations in a 1476 bp gene is extremely low (Poisson cumulative expectation, $P =$

$2*10^{-12}$). More generally, approximately one-third of mutations (including deletions and

insertions) targeted the same genes in monocultures and co-cultures, while the rest were either

found exclusively in monocultures ($\sim$ 43%) or in co-cultures ($\sim$ 25%; Fig. 3B). For example,

mutations in the *uvrY* gene encoding a UvrY/SirA/GacA family response regulator were

observed exclusively in two co-cultures and may be indicative of adaptations to the biotic

conditions (presence of *A. johnsonii*). Interestingly, hard sweeps were only observed in

monocultures; i.e., two lines evolved in monocultures had mutation fixed in the population (i.e.

at a frequency of 100%; Fig. 3C). One of the monocultures (from replicate #2) had a frameshift

mutation in the *fleQ* gene fixed in the population (Table S1). The other monoculture (from

replicate #4) had two fixed mutations: one frameshift mutation in the *flgH* gene coding for

flagellar basal body L-ring protein FlgH and one non-synonymous mutation in the *gacS* gene

coding the sensor protein GacS. Mutations in the *fleQ* and *gacS* genes were also observed in co-

cultures but were not fixed in the population. For example, mutations in the *fleQ* gene were

observed at a frequency of 23.1%, 35.6%, and 7.5% in replicates #1, 2 and, 4, respectively.

Fig. 3. Mutational landscape of monocultures compared to co-cultures. A) Mutations count

according to types: point mutations (both synonymous and non-synonymous mutations), short

insertions or deletions (i.e. short in-frame indels), and large deletions (>30 bp). B) Venn Diagram

showing convergence of mutations in genes. C) Heat map showing the frequency of non-

synonymous mutations (genes = rows) in four monocultures and four co-cultures (columns).

Genes with mutations at frequencies above 0.05 are shown. The frequency of each mutation is

shown by different intensities of blue: darker blue corresponds to mutations at higher

frequencies.

Finally, we investigated the linkage of these mutations within single clones that formed

large morphotypes (from monoculture and co-culture conditions) and small morphotypes (from

co-cultures). In average each clone had one mutation, although some clones had two or no

mutations (Table 1). Three out of four small morphotypes had one non-synonymous mutation.

Thus, despite having a similar yield to the ancestor, they differed from it by one mutation. Most

of these mutations were present at low frequency in the population (< 5 %) and affected

unanotated genes. One exception was the small morphoptype from replicate #3, which had a 1 bp

deletion in the *oplA* gene and was present at a 12.9% frequency in the population (Table S1). The

oplA gene codes for 5-oxoprolinase A, which has been associated with the catabolism of amino

acid derivatives (34, 35) and surface attachment abilities (36). Instead, the large morphotypes

were often associated with high frequency mutations in the *fleQ*, *flgH* and *gacS* genes. Notably,

two of these large morphotypes were single mutants; i.e. they had only one *fleQ* mutation in the

ancestral background (Table 1). Thus, their higher yield compared to the ancestor could be

directly attributed to the effect of the mutation. That said, two of the large morphotypes had no

mutations in coding regions but had mutations in intergenic regions. It is possible that these

mutations are associated to promoters but the genetic bases for their higher yield requires futher

investigation.

Taken together, we identified the *fleQ*, *flgH* and *gacS* mutations as putative adaptive

based on the following: 1) they were present at high frequency in the populations (e.g. some

were fixed), 2) they displayed high level of parallelism, notably *fleQ*, and 3) single mutants had

higher yied compared to the ancestor.

Table 1. Frequency of *de novo* mutations in single clones of *P. putida* in monoculture and co-

culture after 200 generations. Monoculture data are extracted from our previous study (28);

co-culture data were generated in this study.

Clone	Morph.	Position	Mutation	Gene	Freq. in pop.	Description
Mono. 1	Large	3,987,060	Δ1,094 bp	*oplB* / *oplA*	< 5%	-
Mono. 2	Large	4,964,741	2 bp→ C [†]	*fleQ*	100%	FleQ
		5,715,528	N65N	*tatB*	100%	TatB
		6,029,059	A134A	*kauB*	100%	KauB
Mono. 3	Large	-	-	-	-	-
Mono. 4	Large	1,843,830	D322H	*gacS*	100%	sensor protein GacS
		4,978,570:1	+C	*flgH*	100%	flagellar L-ring protein
Co-culture 1	Large	4,964,681	A226V	*fleQ*	23.1%	trancriptional regulator FleQ
Co-culture 1	Small	-	-	-	-	-
Co-culture 2	Large	4,964,300	L353Q	*fleQ*	35.6%	trancriptional regulator FleQ
Co-culture 2	Small	3,990,098	V128G	PP_RS18280	< 5%	helix-turn-helix domain-containing protein
Co-culture 3	Large	4,635,453	Y101*	*uvrY*	< 5%	UvrY/SirA/GacA family response regulator transcription factor
Co-culture 3	Small	3,987,689	Δ1 bp	*olpA*	12.9%	hydantoinase/oxoprolinase family protein
Co-culture 4	Large	-	-	-	-	-
Co-culture 4	Small	4,025,185	A172T	PP_RS18445	< 5%	HlyD family efflux transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit

Putative adaptive mutations are associated with loss of motility and biofilm formation

In the previous section, we identified putative adaptive mutations in flagellum genes (two

of them frameshift mutations). Previous studies have suggested that loss-of-function mutations in

flagellar genes are adaptations to the shaking culture conditions. This is because inactivating

flagellar motility (which is not necessary in well-mixed environments) saves energy that can be

allocated to other cellular functions, e.g., building biomass (30). To test this hypothesis, we

explored the mutants' abilities to swim and form biofilms. The motility assay showed a complete

lack of motility in the *fleQ* mutants compared to their ancestors (Fig. 3). The large morphotype

with mutations in *flgH* and *gacS* also had a reduction in swimming. The other large morphotypes

with mutations in genes other than flagellum genes retained the ability to swim. This suggests

that their advantages are related to other traits that do not involve flagellar motility. Conversely, a

clone from co-culture #4, which formed a small morphotype, lacked motility. This small

morphotype had a non-synonymous mutation in the gene PP_RS18445 encoding the HlyD

family efflux transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit (Table 1). Therefore, mutations in genes

other than flagellum genes can affect motility as well.

Mutations in flagellar genes were also associated with a significant reduction in biofilm

formation (Fig. 4). The *fleQ* mutants isolated from co-cultures #1 and #2, and monoculture #2,

exhibited significant reductions in biofilm formation compared to their ancestors (t-test, *p*-values

of 0.003, 0.023, and 0.008, respectively). The *flgH* mutation (large morphotype from

monoculture #4) also had reduced biofilm formation but the difference was marginally

significant (t-test, $p = 0.068$).

In conclusion, these results support the hypothesis that the fitness advantage of the

flagellar mutants resides in knocking down swimming and reducing biofilm formation which

may save energy that can be invested in building biomass, as previously suggested.

Fig. 4. Swimming assay shows the loss of flagellar motility in the large morphotypes with

flagellar mutations. All samples were spotted on 0.3% (w/v) FAB soft agar. The absence of a

halo around the initial inoculum indicates the loss of flagellum-driven motility.

Fig. 5. Biofilm assay shows that *fleQ* mutants produce less biofilm than the ancestor.

Biofilm index (CV/OD$_{600}$) after a 24-hour incubation period. All *fleQ* mutants (i.e. large morphotypes from co-culture replicate #1, and from the co-culture and monoculture from replicate #2) had a significant reduction in the biofilm index compared to their respective ancestors. Note: * = 0.01< p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01.

Frequency-dependent selection does not explain the coexistence of genotypes in one of the co-cultures

As previously stated, loss-of-function mutations in flagellar genes are likely to be advantageous and outcompete the ancestor in monoculture conditions. Despite their fitness advantage they do not sweep to fixation in populations evolved in co-culutres. We wondered if the small genotypes (which are genetically different from the ancestor) have an advantage over the flagellar mutations and whether this advantage is dependent on the presence of *A. johnsonii*. We also wondered if coexistence is maintained by negative frequency-dependent selection. To address these questions we focused on the monoculture and the co-culture populations from replicate #2. In both of these populations the *fleQ* mutation is present at high frequency but it is only fixed in monoculture (Fig. 3C). We performed a reciprocal invasion experiment between two clones - the *fleQ* genotype and the small genotype - in the presence/absence of *A. johnsonii*. The reciprocal invasion assay did not show significant differences in the rare genotypes' ability to invade depending on whether *A. johnsonii* was present (Fig. S4). The small genotype tended to have a higher fitness relative to the *fleQ* genotype, but these differences were not statistically significant (Fig. 4, Table S2). In conclusion, these results suggest that frequency-dependent

selection is not a process driving coexistence between the *fleQ* and small genotypes from

replicate #2.

Fig. 6. The relative growth rate of the small genotype was not affected by growth conditions

(monoculture or co-culture). Each point shows the average of the selection rate constant and

the error bars represent the standard error from six replicates. Despite a tendency for the small

genotype to have a fitness advantage over the *fleQ* genotype (i.e., positive selection rate

constant), the values were not significantly different from zero (Table S2).

A. johnsonii **influences patterns of phenotypic diversity in co-culture**

In the previous section, we saw that the coexistence of the two genotypes was not

explained by the presence of *A. johnsonii*. It is possible that our representative genotypes did not

capture the stable coexistence dynamics observed at the population level. Thus, we hypothesize

that *A. johnsonii* plays a role in maintaining polymorphism but its effect is only captured

considering the entire genetic diversity. To further explore the effect of *A. johnsonii* on the

maintenance of phenotypic diversity (as a proxy of genotypic diversity), we did an evolutionary

'replay' experiment. That is, we revived the population from co-culture #2 at 138 generations

which was already bimorphic (Fig. 2). We then established two populations that we further

evolved for ~ 50 generations with and without *A. johnsonii*. We reasoned that if *A. johnsonii* is

important for maintaining diversity, phenotypic diversity would decline when *A. johnsonii* is

removed. We observed that, in the condition without *A. johnsonii*, both morphotypes gradually

declined but eventually recovered (Fig. 7). Interestingly, the small morphotype became dominant

at the end of the experiment and achieved approximately 4-fold higher density than the large

morphotype (two-tailed t-test, d.f. = 6, *P* = 0.005). In contrast, population densities were more

stable over time in the condition where *A. johnsonii* was maintained (i.e., control 'replay'

experiment; Fig. S5). In this condition, the large and small morphotypes achieved similar cell

densities, but the large morphotype achieve on average 2-fold higher density than the small

morphotype (two-tailed t-test, d.f. = 6, *P* = 0.018). Taken together, although we did not see the

extinction of one of the morphotypes when *A. johnsonii* was removed, our results suggest that *A.*

johnsonii 'buffers' population dynamics and prevent the dominance of one morphotype. The

mechanisms by which *A. johnsonii* influences population dynamics is an open question that

requires further investigation.

Fig. 7. *P. putida* populations dynamics were reproducible when we replayed the evolution experiment from an intermediate time point but got altered when *A. johnsonii* was removed. Mean population densities (CFU mL⁻¹) of six replicates with their standard error are represented over 50 generations. The population trajectories for each replicate are shown in Fig S5.

Discussion

  The results from this study show that diversity can arise, even in a well-shaken environment with low resources. Phenotypic and genotypic diversity arose in both monoculture and co-culture conditions, however, it persisted only in co-culture conditions. This suggests that the presence of *A. johnsonii* influences evolutionary patterns.

  When comparing monocultures with co-cultures, we did not observe differences in terms of the total number of mutations or proportion of nonsynonymous to synonymous mutations. This pattern agrees with other systems – e.g., *Lactobacillus plantarum* evolving with

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (20), although a higher rate of adaptation in co-culture conditions has

been observed in other studies (37). In our study, an interesting difference between monocultures

and co-cultures was that hard sweeps were only observed when *P. putida* evolved in

monoculture. Piccardi et al. (2024) observed a similar pattern when comparing species evolved

in isolation versus in a four-species community (37). They attributed hard sweeps to a sharp

decline in population sizes at the beginning of the experiment, which occurred only in

monocultures (i.e., drift). Although in our systems, population sizes in monocultures are one

order of magnitude lower than in co-cultures (Fig. 2), they are still large ($\sim 10^5$ CFU/ml).

Therefore, we do not think that hard sweeps are attributed to drift but rather selection. We

showed multiple lines of evidence supporting that mutations targeting the *fleQ*, *flgH* and, *gacS*

genes are under strong positive selection. In addition, mutations in these genes have been

frequently observed in other evolution experiments using similar culture conditions (minimal

media and shaking environments) but with different stresses (38, 39). Thus, it is possible these

mutations are general adaptations to culture conditions. Flagellar mutations reducing or

eliminating motility have been shown to be advantageous in different culture conditions (40, 41).

The consensus explanation for this advantage is that the loss of flagellum motility alleviates a

large metabolic burden that can be invested in biomass production (30, 42). All three mutations

have been shown to have an effect on flagellar motility. GacS have been shown to negatively

regulate flagellum formation and cell motility, based on observations that *gacS* mutants are

highly flagellated and have greater swimming abilities (43, 44). In our study, the *gacS* mutation

was in the same genetic background as the *flgH* mutation (Table 1). It is possible that the two

mutations have opposite effects on motility, which may explain why the *flgH* mutant did not

fully lose motility compared to the *fleQ* mutant (Fig. 4). Importantly, regulation of cell motility

seems to be an important target of selection which may explain why flagellar mutations

outcompeted the ancestor and swept to fixation in monocultures. It is important to mention that

other mutations present in the populations at lower frequencies also showed improved fitness

(higher yield). One of these mutations was a nonsense mutation in the *uvrY* gene which is

homologous to *gacA*. The *gacA* gene codes the response regulator GacA of the two-component

system GacA/GacS and thus may be involved in the regulation of cell motility, as well (45).

A puzzling observation is that these mutations did not sweep to fixation in co-cultures.

One possibility is that clonal interference is more pronounced in co-cultures due to the larger

population sizes (46). That is, the *fleQ, flgH, gacS,* and *uvrY* mutations may still be advantageous

in co-culture conditions but they do not fix in the population because they compete with other

adaptive mutations. The question remains: what is the advantage of the other mutations, and

what is their functional relevance? One possibility is that their advantage is related to the

presence of *A. johnsonii,* which leaks benzoate into the medium. The excretion or leakage of

nutritional by-products has been shown to lead to the construction of new niches, which can

affect the generation and maintenance of biodiversity (47, 48). Thus, we hypothesized that *A.*

johnsonii creates transient patches of resources, and this benefits the clones that retain flagellar

motility and biofilm formation abilities (Fig. 3 and 4) because they are better at feeding on the

benzoate excreted by *A. johnsonii* (i.e., 'foraging' strategy) compared to the clones that lose their

ability to swim and form less biofilm. We based this hypothesis on the observation that one of

the small morphotypes had a 1 bp deletion in the *olpA* gene coding OlpA, the 5-oxorpolinase

subunit A (Table 1). Disruption of OlpA has been shown to increase surface attachment (36).

Furthermore, another study showed that motility increases the encounter rate between cross-

feeding partners and cell-cell adhesion in a well-mixed environment (49). Nevertheless, we did

542 not find support for this hypothesis when directly competing the small genotype – which retains

543 the ability to swim and form biofilms – against the *fleQ* mutant, which is unable to swim. One

544 possibility is that swimming and biofilm formation are not the traits under selection, and the

545 advantage resides in other traits. For example, *A. johnsonii* may have evolved to antagonize *P.*

546 *putida* and the small genotypes have mutations that make them resist better these selective

547 pressures. Furthermore, it is important to acknowledge that we only focused on two genotypes

548 from one replicate, which is only a subset of the whole diversity of mutations found in co-

549 cultures.

550  Our study has provided valuable insights into differences in patterns of phenotypic and

551 genotypic diversification in the presence or absence of a distantly related species. However, it is

552 important to acknowledge certain limitations in interpreting the results. First, while 200

553 generations was enough time to observe diversification, we refrain from making a definitive

554 conclusion about whether the diversity is transient (short-lived) or if it can be sustained long-

555 term. Another limitation in interpreting these results is the lack of control for the benzoate

556 excreted by *A. johnsonii*. Although benzoate was not externally supplemented to the *P. putida*

557 monocultures, they relied on residues of organic carbon present in the culture medium, i.e.,

558 assimilable organic carbon or AOC (28). Thus, an important control to discern if differences in

559 diversification patterns is only due to differences in resource concentration (e.g., impacting

560 population sizes) or can be attributed to other biotic feedbacks, future evolution experiments

561 should add an external source of benzoate to the monocultures. Such experiments would allow us

562 to determine how important it is that benzoate is produced by *A. johnsonii* (i.e., a biogenic

563 resource supply) versus being externally supplied to the medium.

Data Availability

The genome sequences have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology

Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject ID number

PRJNA623337. All the other data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this

published article and its supplemental materials.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jennifer Martiny, Brandon Gaut, and the Rodriguez-Verdugo lab members for

providing useful feedback during this research. This work was supported by the National Science

Foundation under Grant No. DEB-2234627. ARV was supported by UC Irvine's Charlie Dunlop

School of Biological Sciences.

References

1. **Lenski, RE, Travisano, M**. 1994. Dynamics of adaptation and diversification: a 10,000-generation experiment with bacterial populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **91**:6808–6814.

2. **Atwood, KC, Schneider, LK, Ryan, FJ**. 1951. Periodic selection in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **37**:146–155.

3. **Sniegowski, PD, Gerrish, PJ**. 2010. Beneficial mutations and the dynamics of adaptation in asexual populations. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **365**:1255–1263.

4. **Rosenzweig, RF, Sharp, RR, Treves, DS, Adams, J**. 1994. Microbial evolution in a simple unstructured environment: genetic differentiation in Escherichia coli. Genetics

137:903–917.

5.   **Friesen, ML, Saxer, G, Travisano, M, Doebeli, M**. 2004. Experimental evidence for

sympatric ecological diversification due to frequency-dependent competition in Escherichia

coli. Evolution **58**:245–260.

6.   **Lind, PA, Farr, AD, Rainey, PB**. 2015. Experimental evolution reveals hidden diversity in

evolutionary pathways. Elife **4**:e07074.

7.   **Rainey, PB, Travisano, M**. 1998. Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment.

Nature **394**:69–72.

8.   **Korona, R, Nakatsu, CH, Forney, LJ, Lenski, RE**. 1994. Evidence for multiple adaptive

peaks from populations of bacteria evolving in a structured habitat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

A **91**:9037–9041.

9.   **Kerr, B, Riley, MA, Feldman, MW, Bohannan, BJ**. 2002. Local dispersal promotes

biodiversity in a real-life game of rock-paper-scissors. Nature **418**:171–174.

10.   **Traverse, CC, Mayo-Smith, LM, Poltak, SR, Cooper, VS**. 2013. Tangled bank of

experimentally evolved Burkholderia biofilms reflects selection during chronic infections.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **110**:E250–9.

11.   **Dragoš, A, Lakshmanan, N, Martin, M, Horváth, B, Maróti, G, Falcón García, C,**

Lieleg, O, Kovács, ÁT. 2017. Evolution of exploitative interactions during diversification

in Bacillus subtilis biofilms. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **93**

12.   **Rainey, PB, Buckling, A, Kassen, R, Travisano, M**. 2000. The emergence and

maintenance of diversity: insights from experimental bacterial populations. Trends Ecol

607   Evol **15**:243–247.

608 13. **Brisson, D**. 2018. Negative Frequency-Dependent Selection Is Frequently Confounding.

609   Front Ecol Evol **6**:10.

610 14. **Zhang, QG, Ellis, RJ, Godfray, HC**. 2012. The effect of a competitor on a model adaptive

611   radiation. Evolution **66**:1985–1990.

612 15. **Schluter, D**. 2000. The ecology of adaptative radiation., Press., OU (ed),

613 16. **Abrudan, MI, Smakman, F, Grimbergen, AJ, Westhoff, S, Miller, EL, van Wezel, GP,**

614   **Rozen, DE**. 2015. Socially mediated induction and suppression of antibiosis during

615   bacterial coexistence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112**:11054–11059.

616 17. **Leinweber, A, Fredrik Inglis, R, Kümmerli, R**. 2017. Cheating fosters species co-

617   existence in well-mixed bacterial communities. ISME J **11**:1179–1188.

618 18. **Kelsic, ED, Zhao, J, Vetsigian, K, Kishony, R**. 2015. Counteraction of antibiotic

619   production and degradation stabilizes microbial communities. Nature **521**:516–519.

620 19. **Gossmann, TI, Keightley, PD, Eyre-Walker, A**. 2012. The effect of variation in the

621   effective population size on the rate of adaptive molecular evolution in eukaryotes.

622   Genome Biol Evol **4**:658–667.

623 20. **Barber, JN, Nicholson, LC, Woods, LC, Judd, LM, Sezmis, AL, Hawkey, J, Holt, KE,**

624   **McDonald, MJ**. 2022. Species interactions constrain adaptation and preserve ecological

625   stability in an experimental microbial community. ISME J **16**:1442–1452.

626 21. **D'Souza, G, Shitut, S, Preussger, D, Yousif, G, Waschina, S, Kost,** C. 2018. Ecology

627   and evolution of metabolic cross-feeding interactions in bacteria. Nat Prod Rep **35**:455–

628   488.

629   22.   **Flyvbjerg, J, Arvin, E, Jensen, BK, Olsen, SK**. 1993. Microbial degradation of phenols

630   and aromatic hydrocarbons in creosote-contaminated groundwater under nitrate-reducing

631   conditions. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology **12**:133–150.

632   23.   **Møller, S, Sternberg, C, Andersen, JB, Christensen, BB, Ramos, JL, Givskov, M,**

633   **Molin, S**. 1998. In situ gene expression in mixed-culture biofilms: evidence of metabolic

634   interactions between community members. Appl Environ Microbiol **64**:721–732.

635   24.   **Hansen, SK, Rainey, PB, Haagensen, JAJ, Molin, S**. 2007. Evolution of species

636   interactions in a biofilm community. Nature **445**:533–536.

637   25.   **Christensen, BB, Haagensen, JA, Heydorn, A, Molin, S**. 2002. Metabolic commensalism

638   and competition in a two-species microbial consortium. Appl Environ Microbiol **68**:2495–

639   2502.

640   26.   **Rodríguez-Verdugo, A**. 2021. Evolving Interactions and Emergent Functions in Microbial

641   Consortia. mSystems **6**:e0077421.

642   27.   **Rodríguez-Verdugo, A, Vulin, C, Ackermann, M**. 2019. The rate of environmental

643   fluctuations shapes ecological dynamics in a two-species microbial system. Ecol Lett

644   **22**:838–846.

645   28.   **Rodríguez-Verdugo, A, Ackermann, M**. 2021. Rapid evolution destabilizes species

646   interactions in a fluctuating environment. ISME J **15**:450–460.

647   29.   **Deatherage, DE, Barrick, JE**. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved

648   microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol **1151**:165–

188.

30. **Martínez-García, E, Nikel, PI, Chavarría, M, de Lorenzo, V**. 2014. The metabolic cost

of flagellar motion in Pseudomonas putida KT2440. Environ Microbiol **16**:291–303.

31. **Ritchings, BW, Almira, EC, Lory, S, Ramphal, R**. 1995. Cloning and phenotypic

characterization of fleS and fleR, new response regulators of Pseudomonas aeruginosa

which regulate motility and adhesion to mucin. Infect Immun **63**:4868–4876.

32. **Zhao, L, Zhang, Q, Zhang, D**. 2016. Evolution alters ecological mechanisms of

coexistence in experimental microcosms. Functional Ecology **30**:1440–1446.

33. **Travisano, M, Lenski, RE**. 1996. Long-term experimental evolution in Escherichia coli.

IV. Targets of selection and the specificity of adaptation. Genetics **143**:15–26.

34. **Thompson, MG, Valencia, LE, Blake-Hedges, JM, Cruz-Morales, P, Velasquez, AE,**

Pearson, AN, Sermeno, LN, Sharpless, WA, Benites, VT, Chen, Y, Baidoo, EEK,

Petzold, CJ, Deutschbauer, AM, Keasling, JD. 2019. Omics-driven identification and

elimination of valerolactam catabolism in Pseudomonas putida KT2440 for increased

product titer. Metab Eng Commun **9**:e00098.

35. **Niehaus, TD, Elbadawi-Sidhu, M, de Crécy-Lagard, V, Fiehn, O, Hanson, AD**. 2017.

Discovery of a widespread prokaryotic 5-oxoprolinase that was hiding in plain sight. J Biol

Chem **292**:16360–16367.

36. **Yousef-Coronado, F, Soriano, MI, Yang, L, Molin, S, Espinosa-Urgel, M**. 2011.

Selection of hyperadherent mutants in Pseudomonas putida biofilms. Microbiology

(Reading) **157**:2257–2265.

37.   **Piccardi, P, Ulrich, E, Garcia-Garcera, M, Martino, RD, Testa, SEA, Mitri, S**. 2024.

The evolution of reduced facilitation in a four-species bacterial community. Evolution

Letters

38.   **Dong, F, Quevedo, AC, Wang, X, Valsami-Jones, E, Kreft, JU**. 2022. Experimental

evolution of Pseudomonas putida under silver ion versus nanoparticle stress. Environ

Microbiol **24**:905–918.

39.   **Mohamed, ET, Werner, AZ, Salvachúa, D, Singer, CA, Szostkiewicz, K, Rafael

Jiménez-Díaz, M, Eng, T, Radi, MS, Simmons, BA, Mukhopadhyay, A, Herrgård, MJ,

Singer, SW, Beckham, GT, Feist, AM**. 2020. Adaptive laboratory evolution of

Pseudomonas putida KT2440 improves p-coumaric and ferulic acid catabolism and

tolerance. Metab Eng Commun **11**:e00143.

40.   **Kümmerli, R, Santorelli, LA, Granato, ET, Dumas, Z, Dobay, A, Griffin, AS, West,

SA**. 2015. Co-evolutionary dynamics between public good producers and cheats in the

bacterium Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Evol Biol **28**:2264–2274.

41.   **Rodríguez-Verdugo, A, Tenaillon, O, Gaut, BS**. 2016. First-Step Mutations during

Adaptation Restore the Expression of Hundreds of Genes. Mol Biol Evol **33**:25–39.

42.   **Koskiniemi, S, Sun, S, Berg, OG, Andersson, DI**. 2012. Selection-driven gene loss in

bacteria. PLoS Genet **8**:e1002787.

43.   **Martínez-Granero, F, Navazo, A, Barahona, E, Redondo-Nieto, M, Rivilla, R, Martín,

M**. 2012. The Gac-Rsm and SadB signal transduction pathways converge on AlgU to

downregulate motility in Pseudomonas fluorescens. PLoS One **7**:e31765.

44.   **Kim, JS, Kim, YH, Anderson, AJ, Kim, YC**. 2014. The Sensor Kinase GacS Negatively

Regulates Flagellar Formation and Motility in a Biocontrol Bacterium, Pseudomonas

chlororaphis O6. Plant Pathol J **30**:215–219.

45.   **Song, H, Li, Y, Wang, Y**. 2023. Two-component system GacS/GacA, a global response

regulator of bacterial physiological behaviors. Engineering Microbiology **3**:100051.

46.   **Lang, GI, Rice, DP, Hickman, MJ, Sodergren, E, Weinstock, GM, Botstein, D, Desai,**

MM. 2013. Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast

populations. Nature **500**:571–574.

47.   **Callahan, BJ, Fukami, T, Fisher, DS**. 2014. Rapid evolution of adaptive niche

construction in experimental microbial populations. Evolution **68**:3307–3316.

48.   **Roman, MS, Wagner, A**. 2018. An enormous potential for niche construction through

bacterial cross-feeding in a homogeneous environment. PLoS computational biology

14:e1006340.

49.   **Scarinci, G, Sourjik, V**. 2023. Impact of direct physical association and motility on fitness

of a synthetic interkingdom microbial community. ISME J **17**:371–381.