A comprehensive two-hybrid analysis to explore the *L. pneumophila* effector-effector interactome

Harley O'Connor Mount[1]†, Malene L. Urbanus[2]†, Dayag Sheykhkarimli[3,4], Atina G. Coté[3,4], Florent Laval[5,6,7,8,9,10], Georges Coppin[5,6,7,9], Nishka Kishore[3,4], Roujia Li[3,4], Kerstin Spirohn-Fitzgerald[5,6,7], Morgan O. Petersen[2,11], Jennifer J. Knapp[3,4], Dae-Kyum Kim[3,4], Jean-Claude Twizere[8,9], Michael A. Calderwood[5,6,7], Marc Vidal[5,6], Frederick P. Roth[1,3,4,12] and Alexander W. Ensminger[1,2*]

[1]Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5G 1M1, Canada.

[2]Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5G 1M1, Canada.

[3]Donnelly Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5G 3E1, Canada.

[4]Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Sinai Health, Toronto, ON, M5G 1X5, Canada.

[5]Center for Cancer Systems Biology (CCSB), Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, 02215, USA.

[6]Department of Genetics, Blavatnik Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115, USA.

[7]Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, 02215, USA.

[8]TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, University of Liège, 5030, Gembloux, Belgium.

[9]Laboratory of Viral Interactomes, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, 4000, Liège, Belgium.

[10]Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Epigenetics, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, 4000, Liège, Belgium.

[11]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia.

[12]Department of Computational and Systems Biology, University of Pittsburgh School of

Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, 15260, USA.

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author:

Email: alex.ensminger@utoronto.ca

Running title:

A pathogen effector-effector interactome

Keywords: bacterial effector/host-pathogen/*Legionella pneumophila*/metaeffector/Yeast

Two-Hybrid

ORC IDs

Harley O'Connor Mount   0000-0003-1401-9178

Malene L. Urbanus   0009-0008-0850-3805

Dayag Sheykhkarimli 0000-0001-8415-6659

Atina G. Coté 0000-0002-0340-9325

Florent Laval   0000-0001-7744-6199

Georges Coppin   0000-0001-7647-3240

Nishka Kishore   0000-0002-9219-4310

Kerstin Spirohn-Fitzgerald   0000-0002-2071-1606

Morgan O. Petersen   0009-0002-2708-0090

Jennifer J. Knapp   0000-0003-3347-4686

Dae-Kyum Kim   0000-0003-4568-8278

Jean-Claude Twizere   0000-0002-8683-705X

Michael A. Calderwood   0000-0001-6475-1418

Marc Vidal   0000-0003-3391-5410

Frederick P. Roth   0000-0002-6628-649X

Alexander W. Ensminger   0000-0003-0824-3704

Abstract

Legionella pneumophila **uses over 300 translocated effector proteins to rewire host cells**

during infection and create a replicative niche for intracellular growth. To date, several

studies have identified *L. pneumophila* **effectors that indirectly and directly regulate the**

activity of other effectors, providing an additional layer of regulatory complexity. Amongst

these are "metaeffectors" – a special class of effectors that regulate the activity of other

effectors once inside the host. A defining feature of metaeffectors is direct, physical

interaction with a target effector. Metaeffector identification to date has depended on

phenotypes in heterologous systems and experimental serendipity. Using a multiplexed,

recombinant-barcode-based yeast two-hybrid technology we screened for protein-protein

interactions amongst all *L. pneumophila* **effectors and several components of the Dot/Icm**

type IV secretion system (>167,000 protein combinations). Of the 52 protein interactions

identified by this approach, 44 are novel protein interactions, including ten novel effector-

effector interactions (doubling the number of known effector-effector interactions).

Introduction

Many bacterial pathogens actively translocate protein cargo, called "effectors", into host cells to establish a replicative niche. The perspective that bacterial effectors exclusively target host pathways, and that effector activity is solely regulated by effector expression or translocation timing has been changing with the discovery of functional effector-effector interactions in several bacterial pathogens (Kubori & Nagai, 2011; Shames & Finlay, 2012). The majority of effector-effector interactions described to date are antagonistic but indirect, e.g. one effector antagonizes the action of another effector by acting on host targets. Some indirect antagonists compensate for negative side effects of other effectors (Dean *et al*, 2010) while others act on the same host factor targeted by another effector with opposing actions, e.g. adding and removing post-translational modifications (Kubori & Galán, 2003; Müller *et al*, 2010; Mukherjee *et al*, 2011; Neunuebel *et al*, 2011; Tan & Luo, 2011; Tan *et al*, 2011). Effectors in the latter class have the potential to regulate an action on the host in a spatial and/or temporal manner.

Cooperative effector interplay has also been identified. For example, paraeffectors LphD and RomA from *Legionella pneumophila,* an intracellular pathogen that is the causative agent of Legionnaires' disease (Fields *et al*, 2002)*,* work consecutively by first deacetylating and then methylating K14 of host histone 3 (Schator *et al*, 2023). *L. pneumophila* effectors LegC2, LegC3, and LegC7 directly cooperate–forming a SNARE-like complex with the human hVAMP4 protein to modulate membrane fusion (Shi *et al*, 2016). Another emerging class of effectors, metaeffectors ("effectors of effectors"), do not target host proteins but instead, once inside the host, directly bind and regulate the activity of other effectors (Kubori *et al*, 2010; Magori & Citovsky, 2011; Urbanus *et al*, 2016; Joseph & Shames, 2021; Bastidas *et al*, 2024).

L. pneumophila (Fields *et al*, 2002) uses the Dot/Icm Type IVB secretion system (T4SS)

to deliver effectors that serve to create a replicative niche in macrophages (Isberg *et al*, 2009) and

protozoan species (Fields, 1996; Rowbotham, 1980; Molmeret *et al*, 2005; Faulkner *et al*, 2008;

Watanabe *et al*, 2016; Boamah *et al*, 2017; Siddiqui *et al*, 2021). With over 300 effectors (Burstein

et al, 2009; Huang *et al*, 2011; Zhu *et al*, 2011), *L. pneumophila* has diverse types of effector

interplay: 1. indirect antagonist effectors (Müller *et al*, 2010; Neunuebel *et al*, 2011; Mukherjee *et*

al, 2011; Tan & Luo, 2011; Tan *et al*, 2011; Valleau *et al*, 2018; Gan *et al*, 2019a; Wan *et al*, 2019;

Gan *et al*, 2020; Song *et al*, 2021), 2. cooperative paraeffectors (Schator *et al*, 2023), and 3. direct

metaeffectors (Kubori *et al*, 2010; Jeong *et al*, 2015; Urbanus *et al*, 2016; Shames *et al*, 2017;

Valleau *et al*, 2018; Bhogaraju *et al*, 2019; Black *et al*, 2019; Gan *et al*, 2019b; Joseph *et al*, 2020;

Hsieh *et al*, 2021; McCloskey *et al*, 2021; Song *et al*, 2021).

We previously used a genetic interaction screen in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to

systematically identify *L. pneumophila* functional effector-effector regulation (Urbanus *et al*,

2016). Altogether, this approach identified twenty-three effector-effector suppression pairs, in

which an antagonist effector suppresses the yeast growth defect caused by a growth-inhibitory

effector. We then examined this subset of effectors for protein-protein interactions (PPIs), using

pairwise yeast two-hybrid and mammalian LUMIER to identify nine instances of direct

metaeffectors. Collectively, this screen and others have identified 11 confirmed and putative

metaeffectors in the *L. pneumophila* effector arsenal (Kubori *et al*, 2010; Jeong *et al*, 2015;

Urbanus *et al*, 2016; Shames *et al*, 2017; Valleau *et al*, 2018). However, this is clearly not a

complete picture of the possible effector-effector interactions and regulatory pairs in this pathogen:

for instance, effectors that do not have a conserved host target in yeast will not inhibit yeast growth

and would therefore have been missed in our genetic interaction screen. Similarly, the formation

of a SNARE-like complex between LegC2, LegC3 and LegC7 with hVAMP4 (Shi *et al*, 2016) is

a clear demonstration that not all physical interactions between effectors represent metaeffector-

effector regulatory relationships.

To complement our previous genetic interaction screen, we performed a systematic screen

for physical effector-effector interactions using the Barcode Fusion Genetic-Yeast Two-Hybrid

(BFG-Y2H) assay, a high-throughput Y2H approach with a barcode sequencing readout (Yachie

et al, 2016). Because many *L. pneumophila* effectors inhibit yeast growth when overexpressed

(Campodonico *et al*, 2005; Shohdy *et al*, 2005; de Felipe *et al*, 2008; Heidtman *et al*, 2009; Shen

et al, 2009; Guo *et al*, 2014; Urbanus *et al*, 2016), we modified the Y2H vectors to keep them

transcriptionally silent prior to the readout of each potential binding event. This inducible BFG-

Y2H (iBFG-Y2H) technology was used to screen for protein interactions between 390 effectors

and putative effectors and 28 Dot/Icm T4SS components in >167,000 pairwise combinations.

Results

Modification of a high-throughput yeast two-hybrid approach to reduce loss of yeast-toxic

genes from screening libraries

To screen all *L. pneumophila* effectors for binary physical interactions, we performed a pooled,

multiplexed yeast two-hybrid screen that exploits recombinant DNA barcode pairs to detect binary

physical interactions within a library of clones (Yachie *et al*, 2016). Briefly, the BFG-Y2H assay

is based on the original Y2H assay (Fields & Song, 1989) in which the yeast transcriptional

activator Gal4 is split into a DNA-binding domain (DB) and an activating domain (AD), and each

'bait' protein X is fused to DB (DB-X) and each potential 'prey' protein Y is fused to the AD

domain (AD-Y). A physically interacting pair of proteins X and Y can thus reconstitute the Gal4

protein and drive transcription of reporter genes such as *GAL1::HIS3*, which allows for growth on

medium lacking histidine. A key strength of the BFG-Y2H assay is scalability – Y2H screens are

performed in multiplexed pools using Illumina sequencing of molecular barcodes as a readout of

the pool composition (Yachie *et al*, 2016) (Fig 1A). Each DB-X and AD-Y vector contains a

molecular barcode locus with two unique tags ("uptag" and "downtag"), such that one tag is

flanked by unique lox sites (*loxP*, *lox2272*). Pools of DB-X and AD-Y barcoded vectors are

transformed into yeast cells of opposite mating type. After these cell pools are mated *en masse*,

the subsequent diploid pool is subjected to Y2H selection and control growth conditions. Upon

induction of Cre-recombinase, lox-flanked tags on reciprocal DB and AD vectors within the same

cell are recombined *in vivo*. The resulting chimeric barcodes uniquely identify the DB-X/AD-Y

interaction pair, and the abundance of these chimeric barcodes in the sequencing data reflects the

abundance of the corresponding strain expressing this interacting pair in the yeast pools (Yachie

et al, 2016) (Fig 1A).

For the purpose of examining physical interactions between *L. pneumophila* effectors, one

complication is that standard BFG-Y2H vectors express DB-X and AD-Y using a constitutive

ADH1 promoter, which would express Gal4-domain-fused effector proteins throughout the entire

screening process. This is problematic, given that two-thirds of *L. pneumophila* effectors have

yeast-growth inhibitory effects that range from severe to mild (Campodonico *et al*, 2005; Shohdy

et al, 2005; de Felipe *et al*, 2008; Heidtman *et al*, 2009; Xu *et al*, 2010; Belyi *et al*, 2012; Guo *et*

al, 2014; Nevo *et al*, 2014; Urbanus *et al*, 2016), which likely reflects the conservation of their

targets in *S. cerevisiae* (Lesser & Miller, 2001; Campodonico *et al*, 2005; Shohdy *et al*, 2005;

Heidtman *et al*, 2009; Xu *et al*, 2010; Tan *et al*, 2011; Belyi *et al*, 2012; Guo *et al*, 2014; Nevo *et*

al, 2014; Dong *et al*, 2016; Liu *et al*, 2017; He *et al*, 2019).

To mitigate the impact of effector-induced growth inhibition, we modified each BFG-Y2H

vector by replacing the constitutive *ADH1* promoter with the copper-inducible *CUP1* promoter

(Butt & Ecker, 1987). This allowed us to avoid expressing each DB-X and AD-Y fusion during

haploid library construction, expansion, and mating of DB- and AD-containing strains. To confirm

inducible expression, we grew yeast with 'empty' DB or AD vectors in medium lacking copper to

keep expression at a minimum or induced with 1 mM copper for 3, 6 and 24 hours. We observed

no expression of the AD or DB domain at T0, or when uninduced, and increasing expression at 3,

6 and 24 hours (Fig 1B).

Next, we tested five Y2H PPIs between known growth-inhibitory effectors and their

corresponding metaeffectors. These pairs had been identified previously by transforming AD-

fused toxic effectors to strains already carrying DB-fused metaeffectors (Urbanus *et al*, 2016).

Briefly, we mated AD-fused toxic effectors and DB-fused antagonist haploid strains and selected

for diploids (containing both AD- and DB-vectors on medium lacking copper). We then queried

each diploid strain for the ability to grow under two standard Y2H selective conditions: 1. on

medium lacking histidine, and 2. on medium lacking histidine supplemented with 3-AT (an

inhibitor of His3p commonly used to increase the stringency of Y2H selection). Of the five pairs,

four were captured using the inducible BFG-Y2H vectors (Fig 1C). In agreement with our previous

experiments (Urbanus *et al*, 2016), the strongest interaction pairs LegL1-RavJ and MavE-

LegC7/YlfA grew well on both selective conditions and the weaker interaction pair LupA-LegC3

only supported growth on medium lacking histidine. In a minor deviation from our previous

findings using standard Y2H vectors, the fifth metaeffector-effector pair we tested (SidP-MavQ)

was only captured under lower stringency conditions (-histidine).

Taken together, these data show that the inducible BFG-Y2H vectors are sufficiently

transcriptionally silent to perform the BFG-Y2H screening process of transformation, mating and

diploid selection and the inducible BFG-Y2H (iBFG-Y2H) assay captures previously known

metaeffector-effector pairs with a similar, but not identical, sensitivity profile.

187   An iBFG-Y2H screen of *L. pneumophila* Dot/Icm secretion system components and effectors

To extend this approach to the entire *L. pneumophila* effector arsenal, we combined previously

constructed *L. pneumophila* open reading frame (ORF) clones in Gateway vectors (Losick *et al*,

2010; Urbanus *et al*, 2016) with clones for an additional 52 effector and putative effector ORFs,

collectively assembling clones for 267 confirmed effectors and 123 putative effectors (Table EV1).

We also included ORF clones representing 28 components of the Dot/Icm type IV secretion system

(T4SS), both as positive controls and to potentially discover Dot/Icm protein interactions with

effectors. As a positive reference set of human protein interactions (hsPRS), we included 21 well-

characterized human protein pairs known to interact (Table EV2) (Yachie *et al*, 2016). Overall,

these AD-Y and DB-X fusion collections contain 435 unique ORFs represented by 1,244 uniquely

barcoded iBFG-Y2H vectors, and 422 unique ORFs represented by 1,137 uniquely barcoded

iBFG-Y2H vectors, respectively (Table EV1). The majority of ORFs are represented by 3 unique

barcode replicates (Fig EV1A, B). Of the 418 *L. pneumophila* effector and Dot/Icm ORFs, 400 are

represented in both AD and DB libraries whereas the remaining 18 were only screened in one

direction due either to high background ('autoactivation') or other technical limitations (Table

EV3). We mated the AD-Y and DB-X haploid collections *en masse* to generate a pool of AD-DB

diploid cells (>1.4 million possible unique barcode combinations) and then induced expression

using 1 mM copper during growth on control medium and selective medium lacking histidine.

Following growth of the pools, the barcodes of the control condition and the Y2H-selective

condition were PCR amplified and sequenced (yielding ~54 and ~72 million read pairs,

respectively). Each barcode recombination event results in two chimeric barcode combinations

(Fig 1A, step 4, 5). To assess if there are barcode specific effects due to PCR or sequencing

artifacts, we looked at the correlation of the two chimeric barcodes created during AD-Y and DB-

X barcode recombination for both pools (Fig EV1C, D), which showed a strong congruence

indicating no major barcode-specific effects.

Next, we benchmarked our screen by examining the barcode-fusion data for the 21

expected human-human interactions (Table EV2). For each possible X-Y combination in the pool,

an interaction score was calculated that reflects the enrichment of the X-Y combination in the

Y2H-selective condition over the control condition (Fig 2A) (Yachie *et al*, 2016). At the maximum

Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC), which optimizes for a balance of high precision and

recall, 20 hsPRS interactions exceeded the corresponding interaction score threshold (Fig 2B,

Table EV4), a recall of 95%.

Outside of the hsPRS set, 107 Dot/Icm-Dot/Icm, Dot/Icm-effector, effector-effector and

human protein-effector interaction pairs exceeded the MCC-optimal threshold. To verify these, we

first recloned each gene and created new individual AD and DB strains. After mating, the resulting

diploids were spotted onto control medium and Y2H-selective medium and scored for growth after

3 days. In total, this retesting verified 56 *L. pneumophila* interaction pairs (Fig 2C, Fig EV2, Table

EV4), a retest rate of 52%. For perspective, this is comparable to the ~50% verification rate

reported for the original BFG-Y2H screen (Yachie *et al*, 2016) as well as other high-throughput

Y2H studies (Rual *et al*, 2005; Yu *et al*, 2008; Simonis *et al*, 2009). Notably, we observed that as

the confirmation series approached the MCC-optimal threshold, the Y2H retest-verification rate

decreased rapidly, suggesting that the MCC-optimal threshold based on the hsPRS is an

appropriate cut-off for *L. pneumophila* interactions (Fig 2C).

Taken together, performance of the iBFG-Y2H screen in our hands matched prior

expectations. It captured 95% of the human positive reference set, had a verification rate of 52%

for the non-hsPRS interactions and identified 56 non-hsPRS interaction pairs representing 52

unique PPIs (4 interactions were captured in both the AD-DB and DB-AD orientation) (Table

EV4).

Validation of iBFG-Y2H interactions by an orthologous (yN2H) assay

To further evaluate the quality of the *L. pneumophila* iBFG-Y2H interaction data, we next turned

to the orthogonal yeast-based NanoLuc two-hybrid assay (yN2H) (Choi *et al*, 2019). In this assay,

nanoluciferase is split into two fragments: the N-terminal Fragment 1 and C-terminal fragment 2.

Enzymatic activity of NanoLuc is reconstituted when the two fragments are brought into close

proximity by interacting fusion partners. We created copper-inducible N2H vectors to assay *L.*

pneumophila PPIs in the yN2H assay. We measured the N2H signals of 33 retest-positive *L.*

pneumophila interaction pairs as N1-X:N2-Y and N1-Y:N2-X fusions along with a set of well-

described interactions (positive reference set, hsPRS-v2) and randomly selected pairs (random

reference set, hsRRS-v2) (Venkatesan *et al*, 2009; Rolland *et al*, 2014; Choi *et al*, 2019; Luck *et*

al, 2020) cloned into the standard N2H vector (Choi *et al*, 2019) (Table EV5). The detection rate

for the *L. pneumophila* interactions pairs in a single orientation was compared to the detection rate

of the hsPRS-v2 and hsRRS-v2 (Choi *et al*, 2019) (Fig 3A). The detection threshold was set at the

normalized luminescence ratio (NLR) value where the hsRRS-v2 distribution has a Z-score >2.23

and the probability of the hsRRS-v2 values to be below the threshold is 98.7%. The N2H detection

rate of our dataset was 48.5 % which exceeds the hsPRS-v2 set detection rate of 18.3 % (Fig 3B),

so that we can consider our entire interaction dataset to be well validated. Indeed, by this measure,

the quality of the verified *L. pneumophila* iBFG-Y2H interactome exceeds that of a high-quality

interaction dataset supported by multiple experiments in the curated literature (Braun *et al*, 2009;

Venkatesan *et al*, 2009; Rolland *et al*, 2014; Choi *et al*, 2019; Luck *et al*, 2020).

The iBFG-Y2H screen identifies both known and novel Dot/Icm complex interactions

Returning to the biology of *L. pneumophila* interactions, we first looked at components of the

Dot/Icm T4SS. The interaction score heatmap of the 27 DB- and 28 AD-fused Dot/Icm

components present in the screen shows nine interaction pairs above the MCC-optimal threshold

and verified in the iBFG-Y2H retest assay (Fig 4A, Fig EV2, Table EV4). We expected to see

three interactions; IcmQ-IcmR (Duménil & Isberg, 2001), IcmS-IcmW (Coers *et al*, 2000; Ninio

et al, 2005; Xu *et al*, 2017) and LvgA-IcmS (Vincent & Vogel, 2006; Kim *et al*, 2020), which

have all been detected using several PPI assays, including the Y2H assay. IcmQ-IcmR and IcmS-

IcmW were captured in both directions (Fig 4B) above the MCC-optimal threshold and verified in

the iBFG-Y2H retest assay, but the LvgA-IcmS pairs were below the MCC-optimal threshold and

were thus not further tested or assessed by N2H. Of the five additional verified PPIs from the

iBFG-Y2H assay, three are supported by previously published data: DotB-DotB, IcmG/DotF-

IcmG/DotF and DotC-IcmG/DotF (Fig 4B). The ATPase DotB can be purified as hexamer (Sexton

et al, 2004), IcmG/DotF was shown to self-associate using the BACTH assay (Vincent *et al*, 2006)

and DotC and IcmG/DotF are both part of the T4SS core complex (Vincent *et al*, 2006). The

remaining two PPIs: IcmB/DotO-IcmT and IcmV-IcmG/DotF, are novel.

In contrast to a previous Y2H study identifying interactors of IcmW (Ninio *et al*, 2005),

our assays did not identify any effector interactions with any of the components responsible for

transferring effectors to the core transmembrane complex. This transfer is the function of the Type

IV coupling complex (T4CC) of which several components are present in the iBFG-Y2H library

(IcmO/DotL, IcmP/DotM, IcmJ/DotN, DotY, IcmS, IcmW and LvgA) (Vincent *et al*, 2012;

Sutherland *et al*, 2012; Kwak *et al*, 2017; Xu *et al*, 2017; Meir *et al*, 2018, 2020). However, we

did find interactions of effectors with Dot/Icm components that are part of the core complex

spanning the inner membrane, periplasm and outer membrane: IcmG/DotF, IcmN/DotK,

IcmE/DotG and DotC (Fig 4C, Fig EV2, Table EV4) (Vincent *et al*, 2006; Ghosal *et al*, 2019;

Durie *et al*, 2020). Notably, IcmG/DotF was previously shown to interact with effectors in BACTH

assays (Luo & Isberg, 2004; Sutherland *et al*, 2013) though the relevance of these interactions is

unclear (Sutherland *et al*, 2013).

Taken together, we captured several known interactions from the Dot/Icm T4SS,

uncovered two novel Dot/Icm interactions: IcmB/DotO-IcmT, IcmV-IcmG/DotF and several

effector-Dot/Icm T4SS interactions.

iBFG-Y2H identifies several novel effector-effector interactions

Next, we looked at effector-effector interactions verified in the iBFG-Y2H retest assay (Fig 5, Fig

EV2, Table EV4). The screen captured eleven effector homodimers (Fig 5A), of which only WipA

had previously been shown to dimerize (Pinotsis & Waksman, 2017). Beyond this, we identified

thirteen physical interactions between pairs of distinct effectors (Fig 5B). These include the

published effector-metaeffector pairs RavJ-LegL1 (in both directions) and LegC7/YlfA-MavE

(Urbanus *et al*, 2016), consistent with the results of our pilot experiment (Fig 1C). Ten PPIs are

novel effector-effector pairs. Of these, three contain a core (conserved) effector – RavC, CetLP1

or Lpg2832 (Burstein *et al*, 2016; Gomez-Valero *et al*, 2019) – and three have at least one effector

with some characterization: Lpg2149-VipA (Franco *et al*, 2012; Bugalhão *et al*, 2016; Valleau *et*

al, 2018), PieF-LegK2 (Hervet *et al*, 2011; Michard *et al*, 2015; Mount *et al*, 2022) and WipA-

Lpg2860 (He *et al*, 2019; Jia *et al*, 2018; Pinotsis & Waksman, 2017). The remaining four pairs

identified in our screen consist of completely uncharacterized effectors and putative effectors.

An incidental consequence of the human positive reference set: identification of several

effector-host interactions

While our screen focused on effector-effector interactions, one consequence of using a set of

evolutionary conserved human proteins to benchmark a pooled interaction screen was the

fortuitous scoring of interactions between effectors and these conserved host proteins. Several

proteins of the hsPRS, which contains conserved eukaryotic proteins such as proteins involved in

cell cycle regulation or mRNA degradation, were also found to interact with *L. pneumophila*

effectors. We identified 17 effector-human protein pairs (Fig 6, Fig EV2, Table EV4): 8 effectors

interact with transcription factor Ikaros (IKZF1) (Fig 6A) and the remaining 9 pairs involve 6

different effectors and 7 human proteins (Fig 6B). Of these interactions, 16 are novel and only the

PieF-CNOT7 interaction was reported previously (Mount *et al*, 2022).

A high-confidence effector interaction network

Using iBFG-Y2H, two novel Dot/Icm-Dot/Icm interactions, six novel effector-Dot/Icm

interactions, ten novel effector dimers, ten novel effector-effector interactions and 17 novel

effector-human protein interactions were identified. These PPIs and previously published PPIs

captured in the iBFG-Y2H screen are visualized in a network (Fig 7), where nodes of published

interactions are coloured blue. Examination of this network shows that while most effectors only

interact with one other effector or human protein, a few effectors standout as interacting with

several different proteins: PieF (interacting with IKFZ1, CNOT7, CDK4, LSM3 and LegK2),

VipA (with CDK4 and Lpg2149), Lpg2885 (with Lpg1822 and CetLP1) and MavA (with IKZF1,

MRFAP1L1 and AVR58-05830).

Discussion

With over >300 effectors, *L. pneumophila* has the largest described bacterial effector arsenal

(Ensminger, 2016) with several observed instances of effector interplay that finetune effector

function and the progression of pathogenesis (Kubori *et al*, 2010; Müller *et al*, 2010; Neunuebel

et al, 2011; Tan *et al*, 2011; Tan & Luo, 2011; Mukherjee *et al*, 2011; Jeong *et al*, 2015; Urbanus

et al, 2016; Shames *et al*, 2017; Valleau *et al*, 2018; Gan *et al*, 2019a; Wan *et al*, 2019; Gan *et al*,

2020; Schator *et al*, 2023). We previously performed the first genetic interaction screen of bacterial

effectors, where we expressed every possible combination of *L. pneumophila str. Philadelphia 1*

effectors in the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae* and identified several suppression pairs, where an

antagonist effector suppressed the yeast-growth defect caused by a growth-inhibitory effector

(Urbanus *et al*, 2016). These suppression pairs were enriched for metaeffectors – effectors that

directly target other effectors and regulate their activity in the host cell. Collectively, the field has

identified 11 *L. pneumophila* metaeffectors to date (Kubori *et al*, 2010; Urbanus *et al*, 2016;

Shames *et al*, 2017; Valleau *et al*, 2018). We reasoned that our genetic interaction screen did not

capture all metaeffectors or effectors otherwise functioning in a physical complex (Shi *et al*, 2016).

To complement our previous work, we set out to screen all possible effector-effector physical

interactions using iBFG-Y2H, a high-throughput, multiplexed protein interaction screen with

inducible expression and barcoded vectors for a sequencing readout.

In this study, we present the systematic physical interaction screen of a large bacterial

pathogen effector arsenal, encompassing 390 *L. pneumophila* effectors and putative effectors and

28 Dot/Icm components. We identified 52 interactions between *L. pneumophila* effectors, Dot/Icm

T4SS components, and effectors with Dot/Icm T4SS components or human proteins. A subset

iBFG-Y2H PPIs were detected in the orthologous NanoLuc Two-Hybrid (N2H) assay (Choi *et al*,

2019) at a rate of 48.5% (Fig 3). This is better than the detection date for a high-quality positive

reference set, indicating that the verified iBFG-Y2H set – with 16 PPIs captured in both assays –

is a high-confidence dataset. Our screen captured several known interactions between components

of the Dot/Icm T4SS system (Coers *et al*, 2000; Duménil & Isberg, 2001; Sexton *et al*, 2004; Ninio

et al, 2005; Vincent *et al*, 2006; Xu *et al*, 2017; Kim *et al*, 2020), the WipA dimer (Pinotsis &

Waksman, 2017) two of our previously published effector-metaeffector pairs; RavJ-LegL1 and

LegC7/YlfA-MavE (Urbanus *et al*, 2016) and PieF-CNOT7 (Mount *et al*, 2022) (Fig 7). The ten

novel effector-effector interactions involve 19 effectors, approximately 5% of the total effectors,

and doubles the number of known PPIs between *L. pneumophila* effectors. This reinforces the

notion that bacterial effectors do not act on their own and need to be studied in concert rather than

in isolation.

The functional consequences of the effector-effector interactions we identified remain to

be defined. They may represent metaeffector-effector pairs, effectors functioning in a complex, or

some other functional relationship yet to be discovered. Nevertheless, these physical interactions

already suggest interesting functional links. For example, Lpg2149 links the actin nucleator VipA

(Franco *et al*, 2012) with modulators of the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBE2N, MavC and MvcA. As

previously reported, MavC modifies and inactivates UBE2N (Valleau *et al*, 2018; Gan *et al*, 2019a,

2020) and MvcA removes that modification (Gan *et al*, 2020; Wang *et al*, 2020). Lpg2149 is the

metaeffector of MavC and MvcA, and inactivates both effectors (Valleau *et al*, 2018). Notably,

UBE2N has been shown to be involved in ubiquitination of β-actin (Chua *et al*, 2018) and is

recruited to actin-rich structures in *Listeria monocytogenes* infections (Chua *et al*, 2023).

A fortuitous consequence of including the human positive reference set in our screen was

the detection of several novel host protein-effector interactions. The transcription factor Ikaros

(IKZF1) stands out, as it interacts with a striking number of effectors. Ikaros is involved in

regulation of the host response to bacterial LPS (Oh *et al*, 2018) and hypomorphic Ikaros alleles

leave patients at high risk for viral and bacterial infections (Kuehn *et al*, 2022). This raises the

question of whether one or more of these effectors modulate Ikaros-regulated host defenses.

Indeed, *L. pneumophila* has been shown to target other immune related transcription factors such

as NF-κB (Ge *et al*, 2009; Losick *et al*, 2010). We also identified an effector, Ceg29, that may be

linked to the TNF signalling pathway known to restrict to restrict *L. pneumophila* growth (Liu *et*

al, 2008; Pollock *et al*, 2023): Ceg29 interacts with the proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit

2, PSMD2, which can bind to TNF receptor and is implicated in TNF signalling (Boldin *et al*,

1995; Dunbar *et al*, 1997).

Two other human protein-effector PPIs that can be linked to known processes during *L.*

pneumophila infection are: 1) AP2B1-Lem2; and 2) SH3GLB2 (Endophillin B2)-Ceg7. AP2B1 is

a component of the clathrin adaptor complex, AP2, and is involved in endocytosis, while

Endophillin B2 facilitates endosome maturation. Both processes are heavily targeted by *L.*

pneumophila as it evades host defenses and maturation of the host phagosome (Finsel & Hilbi,

2015). Interestingly, the AP2 complex is also targeted by an effector of *Coxiella burnetii* (Larson

et al, 2013) a related bacterial pathogen with an intracellular lifestyle. Finally, during infection *L.*

pneumophila blocks the host cell cycle progression in an effector-dependent manner (Mengue *et*

al, 2016; Sol *et al*, 2019). Two effectors, VipA and PieF, were found to interact with the cyclin

dependent kinase CDK4 suggesting that they could be involved in cell cycle regulation.

In summary, our iBFG-Y2H screen of all *L. pneumophila* effectors captured a novel set of

PPIs, which builds on and expands our knowledge of the molecular interactions between *L.*

pneumophila effectors that facilitate *L. pneumophila* pathogenesis. Our dataset doubles the number

of known effector-effector interactions and shows that effector-effector interactions and effector

interplay are common, rather than an exception. We herein present this dataset as a resource to the

field. The next steps in studying these interactions, such as investigating the role of these

interactions during host infection, will undoubtedly lead to novel biology and a greater

understanding of regulation of pathogenesis of intracellular bacterial pathogens.

Methods

Strains and culture conditions

Escherichia coli strain Top10 was used for cloning and plasmid production and grown in LB Miller

or 2X LB Miller. *S. cerevisiae* strains RY1010 (MATa *leu2-3,112 trp1-901 his3-200 ura3-52*

gal4Δ gal80Δ PGAL2-ADE2 LYS2::PGAL1-HIS3 MET2::PGAL7-lacZ cyh2^R can1Δ::PCMV-

rtTA-KanMX4), RY1030 (*MATα leu2-3,112 trp1-901 his3-200 ura3-52 gal4Δ gal80Δ PGAL2-*

ADE2 LYS2::PGAL1-HIS3 MET2::PGAL7-lacZ cyh2^R can1Δ::TADH1-PtetO2-Cre-TCYC1-

KanMX4) (Yachie *et al*, 2016), Y8800 (MATa) and Y8930 (MATα) (genotype: *leu2-3,112 trp1-*

901 his3-200 ura3-52 gal4Δ gal80Δ PGAL2-ADE2 LYS2::PGAL1-HIS3 MET2::PGAL7-lacZ

cyh2^R) were grown in YPAD medium (2% bacto peptone w/v, 1% yeast extract w/v, 2% glucose

v/v, 180 mg/l adenine) or synthetic complete (SC) medium lacking specific amino acids with 2%

glucose and 180 mg/l adenine. The SC medium for copper inducible strains was prepared using

yeast nitrogen base (YNB) without amino acids or copper (ForMedium, catalog # CYN0905).

Inducible BFG-Y2H vectors

The constitutive *ADH1* promoter in the BFG-Y2H vectors pNZM1090 and pNZM1100 (Yachie *et*

al, 2016) was replaced with the *CUP1* promoter sequence (Butt & Ecker, 1987). First, a second

HindIII site in pNZM1090 was removed by introducing synonymous substitutions (in the *TRP1*

gene) with QuikChange (Agilent) per manufacturer's instructions using primers pNZM1090F and

pNZM1090R (Table EV6) resulting in pNZM1090-HindIII. The *CUP1* promoter was amplified

from *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 genomic DNA (Brachmann *et al*, 1998) using primers AE897 and

AE898, the resulting PCR product was digested with ApaI/HindIII and ligated into ApaI/HindIII

digested pNZM1090-HindIII and pNZM1100. The resulting vectors pNZM1090CUP1 and

pNZM1100CUP1 were Sanger sequence verified.

Inducible expression was tested by growing RY1010 pNZM1090CUP1 and RY1030

pNZM1100 strains on SC medium lacking tryptophan or leucine, respectively. Overnight cultures

were diluted to 1 OD_{600nm}/ml and induced with or without 1 mM $CuSO_4$. Three OD_{600nm} units were

harvested at 0, 3, 6 and 24 h. Samples were lysed as described previously (Zhang *et al*, 2011) and

resuspended in 100 µl 2X sample buffer (4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 120 mM Tris pH6.8). The

equivalent of 0.3 OD units was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot using the following

antibodies and dilutions: mouse anti-AD (Abcam, catalog # ab135398) 1:200 in 3% BSA in PBS-

0.5% Tween (PBS-T), mouse anti-DB (Abcam, catalog # ab135397) 1:1000 in 3% BSA in PBS-

T, rabbit anti-actin (MilliporeSigma, catalog # A2066) 1:2500 in 5% milk PBS-T and secondary

antibodies anti-mouse HRP (1:5000 for anti-AD, and 1:10,000 for anti-DB in 5% milk PBS-T)

and anti-rabbit HRP (1:10,000 in 5% milk PBS-T) (Cell Signaling Technology, catalog # 7076

and 7074).

Barcoded iBFG-Y2H plasmid collection

Randomly barcoded iBFG-Y2H vectors were made as described previously (Yachie *et al*, 2016)

with the modification that the barcode cassette was inserted at the SacI site downstream of the

Gateway cassette.

A Gateway pDONR221 library containing confirmed and putative *L. pneumophila*

effectors described previously (Losick *et al*, 2010; Urbanus *et al*, 2016) was a kind gift from Dr.

Ralph Isberg. We cloned an additional 52 effectors and putative effectors and 26 Dot/Icm

components. ORFs were amplified from genomic DNA from *L. pneumophila* strain Lp02 (Rao *et*

al, 2013) using the primers listed in Table EV6. The PCR products were cloned into pDONR221-

ccdB (Invitrogen) using Gateway BP clonase II (Invitrogen) per manufacturer's instructions and

the resulting vectors were sequence verified. The pDONR221 library was cloned *en masse* using

Gateway LR Clonase II (Invitrogen) into randomly barcoded iBFG-Y2H vectors. A set of 34

human ORFs (Table EV2) for calculation of precision, recall and Mathew's correlation coefficient

(MCC) values was included as described (Yachie *et al*, 2016).

The randomly barcoded pools were transformed into chemically competent Top10 *E. coli*

cells and transformants were arrayed in 384-well format using an S&P robotic rearrayer (S&P

Robotics). Barcode and ORF sequences for each clone were determined by kiloSEQ (seqWell Inc).

Up to three independent clones (with unique barcodes) were chosen for each ORF. Missing ORFs

were cloned individually into randomly barcoded iBFG-Y2H vector pools and transformed into

Top10 *E. coli*, and six clones were selected and arrayed into 96-well plates. The barcodes were

sequenced using pooled Illumina sequencing with row-column-plate barcodes to link vector

barcodes to a unique plate and well identity (Yachie *et al*, 2016). Briefly, *E. coli* cultures were

grown overnight in LB medium with 100 µg/ml carbenicillin at 37ºC with shaking in 96-well plates

and diluted 1/20 in ddH$_2$O. Ten microliter row-column PCR reactions were performed with 1 µl

barcoded primer corresponding to row A-H and 1 µl barcoded primer for column 1-12 barcoded

primer (oHM106-199) (2 µM stock), 5 µl of KAPA HiFi 2X master mix (Roche) and 3 µl of

diluted overnight culture grown in 96-well plates. Following PCR amplification, the amplicons

from a 96-well plate are pooled and purified using a 2% E-Gel SizeSelect II agarose gel

(Invitrogen) and quantified on a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). Purified

amplicon pools then underwent a second PCR amplification adding Illumina flow cell adapters as

well as additional inline plate barcode sequences (primers oHM146-147, oHM200-213). For each

plate, a 40 µl KAPA HiFi reaction was assembled using 1 µl of each primer (oHM200-213, 10 µM

stock) and 5 µl of amplicons (1 ng/µl) and purified as above. The purified products were quantified

using the NEBNext Library Quant kit for Illumina (NEB) and sequenced using a mid-output

reagent cartridge with 2x150 paired-end reads on an Illumina Miniseq platform. Reads were

demultiplexed using a custom Perl script (Yachie *et al*, 2016) and aligned to vector sequence using

BLAST+ (Camacho *et al*, 2009) to extract barcode identities. Up to three independent clones were

selected for each ORF. Plasmid pools were purified from the final arrayed *E. coli* collections using

PureYield Plasmid Midipreps (Promega).

iBFG-Y2H screen

The iBFG-Y2H screen was performed using the *S. cerevisiae* BFG-Y2H toolkit strains RY1010

and RY1030 strains (Yachie *et al*, 2016). Frozen competent yeast cells were prepared as described

(Gietz & Schiestl, 2007a) and transformed as follows: 2 ml of frozen competent RY1010 or

RY1030 cells were thawed, pelleted and resuspended in an 8.280 ml yeast transformation mixture

(33% PEG3350, 0.1 M lithium acetate, 0.3 mg/ml boiled salmon sperm DNA) with 40 µg of the

AD or DB iBFG-Y2H vector pool and heat-shocked at 42ºC for 1 h. The cells were pelleted at

1000xg for 5 min, washed once with 10 ml ddH$_2$O, re-suspended in ~1ml ddH$_2$O and plated on

eight 15 cm plates of SC medium lacking tryptophan (-Trp for AD vectors), or lacking leucine (-

Leu for DB vectors) using YNB without copper. Transformants were grown at 30ºC for 3 days,

before being scraped from the plates with ddH$_2$O and pooled. AD and DB pools were pelleted,

washed twice with 25 ml of ddH$_2$O and resuspended to 100 OD$_{600nm}$ units/ml. To mate, equal

volumes (30 ml) of the AD and DB pools were combined and incubated for 3 h at room temperature

(RT) without shaking (Bickle *et al*, 2006). The cells were pelleted, resuspended in 1.5 ml of ddH$_2$O

and plated on ten 15 cm YPAD plates and incubated at RT for 3 days. The mated yeast pool was

scraped, pelleted and washed twice and resuspended in ddH$_2$O to 50 OD$_{600nm}$/ml. The mated pool

was plated on eighteen 15 cm plates (200 µl per plate) of Y2H selective medium (SC-Leu/Trp/His

+ 1 mM CuSO$_4$) or diploid selective medium (SC-Leu/Trp) supplemented with 1 mM CuSO$_4$ and

8 mM excess of histidine and incubated at 30ºC for 3 days. For each condition, the plates were

then scraped, pooled, washed twice with ddH$_2$O, and diluted to 1 OD$_{600nm}$/ml in 100 ml of diploid

selective media without CuSO$_4$ and with 10 µg/ml of doxycycline to induce Cre-recombinase

expression. To allow *in vivo* Cre-mediated recombination of barcodes the culture was grown

overnight at 30ºC with 200 rpm shaking until the OD$_{600nm}$ exceeded 5. Plasmids pools were isolated

using the Zymoprep II yeast plasmid miniprep kit (Zymo Research). The fused DNA barcode

sequences were amplified using KAPA HiFi 2x master mix and fusion specific primer pairs for

each treatment (oHM380-387). For each condition, twenty 40 μl reactions were run with 2 ng of

DNA template per reaction to reduce sampling error and pooled together. The primers were used

at 10 μM and contained adapters for the Illumina flow cell as described (Yachie *et al*, 2016). The

PCR amplicon pools were then purified using 0.7X AMPure magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter)

following the Illumina Nextera XT recommendations. The concentration of the purified amplicons

was quantified by qPCR using the NEBNext Illumina Quant kit (NEB). The forward and reverse

reads were demultiplexed and fused barcodes were quantified through alignment against custom

barcode and primer sequences using Bowtie2 (V2.3.4.1) (-q –local –very-sensitive-local -t -p 23 -

reorder) (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012).

Interaction score calculation

Interaction scores were calculated as described previously (Yachie *et al*, 2016). Briefly, (i) a

constant value of 1 was added to every AD-DB barcode combination in both the selective (-

Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM CuSO$_4$) and non-selective (-Leu/Trp + 1 mM CuSO$_4$/8 mM His) matrices.

(ii) The marginal frequency of each AD or DB clone within the population was determined by

dividing the sum of barcode counts for all clones that contain that barcode in the non-selective

condition by the sum of all barcode counts in the non-selective matrix. The expected frequency of

any AD-DB combination is the product of each clone's marginal frequency in the non-selective

condition. (iii) To score enrichment in the selective condition, the frequency of each AD-DB

combination was calculated by dividing barcode count for every AD-DB combination by the sum

of all barcode counts in the selective matrix. Raw score values (S) were then determined by

dividing the selective frequency by the expected marginal frequency product determined in (ii).

(iv) Autoactivation was normalized across each DB clone. First, the median value of all S values

for each DB clone was subtracted from each raw score (S) giving a new value (S°). S° was then

divided by the S value that encompasses 60% of all interactions for that DB clone resulting in the

interaction score S'. For each AD-DB pair multiple S' scores were calculated based on the number

of barcodes for each clone in the pool and the two chimeric barcodes for each AD-DB combination.

Through systematic determination, we found that the optimal Matthews Correlation Coefficient

(maximizing precision and recall) where MCC optimal = 0.9 was achieved with a threshold where

the top 60% of interactions were included in the normalization (ρ=0.4) and the average of the top

8 S' signals was adopted.

Pairwise retesting of iBFG-Y2H candidate interactions.

Of the 140 PPI pairs above the MCC-optimal rank, we retested 107 pairs involving Dot/Icm-

Dot/Icm pairs, effector-Dot/Icm pairs, effector-effector pairs and effector-human protein pairs.

Validated Gateway Entry clones were recloned into unbarcoded pNZM1090CUP1 and

pNZM1100CUP1 vectors using Gateway LR Clonase II (Life Technologies) per manufacturer's

instructions, transformed to RY1010 and RY1030 as described (Gietz & Schiestl, 2007b) and

grown for 2 days at 30°C on SC-Trp or SC-Leu agar plates. The resulting haploid strains were

arrayed in a 96-well format as an DB (RY1030 pNZM1100CUP1) and AD (RY1010

pNZM1090CUP1) array. Using an S&P pinning robot (S&P robotics) the DB and AD arrays were

pinned together on YPAD agar plates, incubated overnight at 30°C and diploid strains carrying

both plasmids were pinned on SC-Leu/Trp agar plates and grown for 2 days at 30°C. An empty

vector control was included on each array plate. To check for autoactivator activity, the DB and

AD arrays were mated to an AD or DB empty vector control strain, respectively. The resulting

diploid plates were grown overnight in 100 µl SC-Leu/Trp medium in 96-well plates at 30°C,

diluted 10-fold in fresh medium and spotted on SC-Leu/Trp (control), SC-Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM

$CuSO_4$ (Y2H selective condition) agar plates using a 96-well pin tool (V&P404, V&P Scientific)

and grown for 3 days at 30°C before imaging (Fig EV2, Table EV4). The retest positive pairs were

subsequently tested on two Y2H selective conditions: SC-Leu/Trp/His+ $CuSO_4$ and the more

stringent condition with 1 mM 3-AT to assess the strength of the interactions and to assay clones

with autoactivator activity.

Pairwise validation of L. pneumophila effector interactions by yN2H assay

The promoter sequence of the NanoLuc Two-Hybrid (N2H N1 & N2) vectors (Choi *et al*, 2019)

was replaced with the *CUP1* promoter. The *CUP1* promoter sequence was amplified from the

iBFG-Y2H vectors (primers oHM487/488) and cloned into N2H N1 and N2 vectors digested with

SpeI/SacI using NEBuilder assembly (NEB) according to manufacturer's instructions. The

resulting vectors pHM526 and pHM527 were Sanger sequence verified.

ORFs from the iBFG-Y2H-verified set were cloned into iN2H pDEST vectors using LR

Clonase II as described above. Bacterial transformants were grown overnight in LB + carbenicillin

(100 µg/ml), 200 µl of culture was pelleted and resuspended in 130 µl. Fifteen microliters of cell

suspension was incubated for 30 min at 27ºC with 15 µl of 2x bacterial lysis buffer (2 mg/ml

lysozyme, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2 mM EDTA). The lysates were incubated for 20 mins at 55ºC

with 5 µl of proteinase K mixture (12 mg/ml proteinase K, 20 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA)

followed by 20 mins at 80ºC to inactivate proteinase K. Competent yeast cells (Y800 and Y8930)

were prepared as described (Gietz & Schiestl, 2007b) 20 µl of competent Y8800 or Y8930 cells

was pelleted, resuspended in 148 µl of yeast transformation mix and combined with 15 µl of lysate

for transformation. Transformations were incubated for 30 mins at 42°C, pelleted and resuspended

in 100 μl of YPAD. Resuspended yeast cells were recovered for 2 h at 30°C washed with 100 μl

of ddH$_2$O, resuspended in 10 μl of ddH$_2$O, plated onto selective media (SC-Leu or SC-Trp) and

incubated for 3 days at 30°C.

The yN2H assay was performed as described (Choi *et al*, 2019) with minor modifications.

Briefly, Y8930 with pHM526 (N1/Fragment 1, *LEU2* cassette) or Y8800 + pHM527

(N2/Fragment 2 vectors, *TRP1* cassette) were grown overnight in 160 μl SC-Leu or SC-Trp

medium at 30°C in a 96-well plate. A positive and random reference set (hsPRS-v2 and hsRRS-

v2) in original N2H vectors (Choi *et al*, 2019) were grown in parallel. Two protein pairs from the

hsPRS-v2 (SKP1-SKP2; NCBP1-NCBP2) were included in duplicate on every test plate and used

as positive controls. Mating was performed by mixing 5 μl of each Y8930 and Y8800 strain in a

96-well plate containing 160 μl YPD medium per well and incubated overnight at 30°C. Strains

expressing *L. pneumophila* ORF fusions were also mated with a control strain expressing only

Fragment 1 (N1) or Fragment 2 (N2) to measure the background signal (e.g. N1-X was mated with

N2-Y, where X and Y are the proteins tested for interaction, as well as Fragment 2 alone). To

select for diploid strains, 10 μl of the mating mixture was grown overnight in 160 μl SC-Leu/Trp

at 30°C in 96-well plates. Fifty microliters of the diploid selection cultures were transferred into

1.2 ml of fresh medium (SC-Leu/Trp) in deep 96-well plates. Plates were grown overnight at 30°C

with shaking, cultures were pelleted (1,800xg for 15 min) and resuspended in 100 μl NanoLuc

Assay solution (Promega). The homogenized cell suspensions were transferred into white flat-

bottom 96-well plates and incubated for 1 h at RT while protected from light. The luminescence

signal was measured using a TriStar2 LB 942 luminometer (Berthold) with a one-second orbital

shake before each measurement and an integration time of 2 s per sample.

For each protein pair X-Y, we calculated a normalized luminescence ratio (NLR)

corresponding to the raw luminescence value of the tested pair (X-Y) divided by the maximum

luminescence value from one of the two controls (X-Fragment 2 or Fragment 1-Y) (Choi *et al*,

2019). The log-transformed NLR was plotted for human positive and random reference sets

previously used with N2H (hsPRS-v2 and hsRRS-v2) and verified iBFG-Y2H pairs (lpPPIs).

Fraction detected, and confidence clouds (68.3% Bayesian confidence interval) were calculated at

each NLR score threshold. Instead of establishing a detection threshold solely reliant on the

hsRRS-v2 pair with the maximum NLR (Choi *et al*, 2019), we opted to derive the threshold from

the entire distribution of hsRRS-v2 scores. Specifically, we selected a Z-score threshold of 2.23,

aligning with the next non-null detection value for a dataset size of 78, corresponding to a 1/78

hsRRS-v2 detection rate.

iBFG-Y2H analysis and data availability

Analysis   scripts,   resource   files,   and   raw   data   can   be   accessed   at

https://github.com/EnsmingerLab/iBFG-Y2H.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Roth lab: Marinella Gebbia for assistance with library construction;

Jochen Weile, Natascha van Lieshout, Anjali Gopal, and Nozomu Yachie for bioinformatic advice.

Finally, we thank members of the Ensminger lab: Veronique Cartier-Archambault and Guangqi

Zhou for help with cloning; Beth Nicholson, Jordan Lin and John McPherson for their suggestions

and careful reading of the manuscript.

Funding

HOM was supported by a CGS-D fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. This work was supported by a Project Grant (AWE) from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (PJT-162256). This work was supported by a Wallonia-Brussels International (WBI)-World Excellence Fellowship (FL, GC), a Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS)-Télévie Grant (FC31747, Crédit n° 7459421F) (FL, J-CT), the Fondation Léon Fredericq (FL and J-CT), a University of Liège mobility grant (FL), a Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS) Mobility and Congress funding (n°40020393) (FL), a Josée and Jean Schmets Prize (FL), a Herman-van Beneden Prize (FL), and a Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS)-Fund for Research Training in Industry and Agriculture (FRIA) Grant (FC31543, Crédit n° 1E00419F) (GC). MV is a Chercheur Qualifié Honoraire and J-CT is a Maître de Recherche from the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS, Wallonia-Brussels Federation, Belgium). DS, AGC, NK, RL, JJK, D-KK, and FPR were supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Foundation Grant (FDN159926).

Author contributions

MLU and AWE conceived and designed the screen for effector-effector interactions. HOM constructed the library and performed the iBFG-Y2H screen with assistance and training from AGC, DS, and MLU. Large-scale Gateway cloning was performed by MLU, AGC, NK, and RL, with subsequent robotic cherry-picking by AGC, NK, RL, DK and JK. Library sequencing was performed by HOM, DS, AGC, NK, and RL. HOM and MLU analyzed the data with assistance from DS. MLU performed the Y2H confirmation experiments, MOP assisted MLU with data analysis and network visualization. FL performed the yN2H validation experiment with assistance

from KSF. GC analyzed the yN2H data. MC, JCT, MV, FPR, and AWE provided project

supervision and advice. MLU and AWE prepared the manuscript with input from other authors.

Competing interests

FPR and MV are advisors and shareholders of SeqWell, Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA).

Figure legends

Figure 1: inducible BFG-Y2H

A Schematic representation of the iBFG-Y2H screen. 1: All AD-Y and DB-X vectors carry a

unique molecular barcode consisting of an uptag and a downtag of which one is flanked by lox

sites (*loxP*, *lox2272*; grey and black bar). 2: Haploid yeast pools with unique barcoded AD-Y and

DB-X clones are mated *en masse* and grown on medium lacking tryptophan and leucine to select

for diploid cells carrying all combinations of the AD and DB vectors. 3: The diploid pool is grown

on control and Y2H-selective conditions with 1 mM $CuSO_4$ to induce expression of the AD-Y and

DB-X fusions. AD-Y and DB-X fused proteins that interact with each other reconstitute the

function of the Gal4p transcription factor and drive expression of the reporter gene *GAL1::HIS3*,

allowing growth on Y2H selective medium. 4: After induction of Cre-recombinase expression, the

AD-Y downtag and DB-X uptag recombine with each other in each cell, creating two chimeric

barcodes that represent the combined identities of the AD-Y and DB-X vectors in those cells. 5:

The chimeric barcodes are PCR amplified using primers containing Illumina adaptor sequences.

6: Illumina sequencing gives the abundance of each unique chimeric barcode, which reflects the

abundance of the cells carrying those specific X-Y combinations in the control or Y2H selection

pool. This abundance in turn reflects, in the Y2H selection condition, the interaction between the

AD-Y and DB-X fusion partners.

B Test of the *CUP1* promoter in the AD and DB vectors. Empty AD and DB vectors were grown

on medium lacking copper and tryptophan (AD, SC-Trp) or leucine (DB, SC-Leu), backdiluted

and grown with and without 1 mM $CuSO_4$. Samples were taken at 0, 3, 6 and 24 h, analyzed on

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using anti-AD, anti-DB and anti-actin as a loading control.

C An inducible Y2H assay using known Y2H interaction pairs between yeast growth inhibitory *L.*

pneumophila effectors (underlined) and their antagonist metaeffectors (Urbanus *et al*, 2016) and

empty vector controls (EV). Strains were spotted on control (SC-Leu/Trp, selection for presence

of both the AD and DB vectors) or Y2H selection conditions: (SC-Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM $CuSO_4$)

without (low stringency) or with 1 mM 3-AT (high stringency). The non-toxic DB-fused

antagonist metaeffector is mated with AD empty vector to screen for autoactivation (e.g. the ability

to drive *GAL1::HIS3* expression by itself). Plates were incubated for 3 days at 30˚C before

imaging.

Figure 2: Performance of the iBFG-Y2H *L. pneumophila* effector screen

A Interaction score matrix of all human positive reference set (hsPRS) ORFs. The 21 expected

interaction pairs are highlighted in red. The interaction score heatmap is visualized using two

ranges, 0-1000 and 1000-31,000, to capture the entire score range.

B Mathew's correlation coefficient (MCC, black), precision (prec, green) and recall (RC, purple)

plot for the hsPRS. The optimal MCC is indicated with a grey line. The interaction score, precision

and recall values at the optimal MCC are listed.

C Bar graph showing the ranked interaction scores of the top 140 interaction pairs above the MCC-

optimal threshold. The panels below show the location of the human PRS (blue) and the results of

the iBFG-Y2H retest experiment (white - not tested, grey – negative and black – positive). To

confirm interaction pairs, ORFs were recloned in inducible AD or DB vectors using confirmed

Gateway Entry vectors and transformed to the BFG-Y2H yeast strains. Haploid strains carrying

the AD or DB vectors were mated and resulting diploids selected, spotted on control (SC-Leu/Trp)

and Y2H selective (SC-Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM CuSO$_4$) conditions and grown for 3 days at 30°C.

The images of the retest set are shown in Fig EV2, and the results are listed in Table EV4.

Figure 3: Validation of the iBFG-Y2H interaction pairs by the orthologous yN2H method

A The log-transformed normalized luminescence ratio (NLR) from the yN2H experiment is

plotted for a random sampling of the human PRS (hsPRS-v2), RRS (hsRRS-v2) and 33 iBFG-

Y2H pairs that were verified in the iBFG-Y2H retest screen (lpPPIs). The dashed line indicates

Log2 NLR value of 1.776 where the Z-score for the RRS is 2.23. Confidence clouds represent a

68.3% Bayesian confidence interval.

B The detection rate of the lpPPI, hsPRS-V2 and hsRRS-V2 based on the threshold in **A**. Error

bars represent a 68.3% Bayesian confidence interval. The detection rate of the *L. pneumophila*

pairs exceeds the detection rate of the hsPRS-V2 pairs, suggesting robustness of this interaction

set.

Figure 4: iBFG-Y2H captures several interactions of the Dot/Icm complex

A The Dot/Icm T4SS interaction score matrix showing the 27 DB- or 28 AD-fusions of the

Dot/Icm components present in the screen. The nine interaction pairs that were positive in the

iBFG-Y2H retest screen (Fig EV2) are circled in black, published interactions are indicated with

a star.

B Inducible Y2H assay for nine verified Dot/Icm-Dot/Icm interaction pairs on different Y2H

selective conditions. X-Y pairs that were positive in the retest screen (Fig EV2) were grown on

diploid selective medium (-Leu/Trp) and two Y2H selective conditions: the low stringency

condition used in the retest screen (-Leu/Trp/His + 1mM CuSO4) and a higher stringency condition

(-Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM CuSO4 + 1 mM 3-AT). To assay for autoactivator activity of the DB-X or

AD-Y fusion, each DB-X or AD-Y fusion is mated with AD or DB empty vector, respectively.

DB-IcmS is an autoactivator (the ability to grow on -Leu/Trp/His + 1mM $CuSO_4$ in the absence

of an DB-AD complex). In the higher stringency condition (-Leu/Trp/His + 1mM $CuSO_4$/ 1mM

3-AT), the DB-IcmS – AD-IcmW diploid can still grow, but DB-IcmS with AD-empty vector

diploid cannot.

C Inducible Y2H assay of verified effector-Dot/Icm interaction pairs on different Y2H-selective

conditions, as described above. The core effector RavC, conserved across *Legionella* species, is

indicated with a star.

Figure 5: iBFG-Y2H effector-effector protein interactions

A Inducible Y2H assay of verified interactions of effectors and putative effectors that self-interact

on different Y2H selective conditions. The 11 X-Y pairs that tested positive in the retest screen

(Fig EV2) and their AD or DB empty vector control combinations were grown on diploid selective

medium (-Leu/Trp) and two Y2H selective conditions: the low stringency condition used in the

retest screen (-Leu/Trp/His + 1mM $CuSO_4$) and a higher stringency condition (-Leu/Trp/His + 1

mM CuSO$_4$/1 mM 3-AT). In panel **A** and **B**, DB-Lpg2860 is an autoactivator in the low stringency

condition but not in the higher stringency condition.

B Inducible Y2H assay of 11 AD-DB novel pairs that were positive in the retest screen and involve

two effectors or putative effectors on different Y2H selective conditions, as described above. Core

effectors conserved in all *Legionella* species are indicated with a star.

Figure 6: iBFG-Y2H interactions of human positive reference set proteins with effectors and

putative effectors

A Inducible Y2H assay of verified interactions of effectors and putative effector Lpg2266 with

human transcription factor IKZF1 on different Y2H-selective conditions. The 8 X-Y pairs that

tested positive in the retest screen (Fig EV2) and their AD or DB empty vector control

combinations were grown on diploid selective medium (-Leu/Trp) and two Y2H selective

conditions: the low stringency condition used in the retest screen (-Leu/Trp/His + 1mM CuSO4)

and a higher stringency condition (-Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM CuSO$_4$/1 mM 3-AT). The core effector

LegA3 (indicated with a star) is an autoactivator on low stringency medium, but not in the higher

stringency condition.

B Inducible Y2H assay of 9 verified interaction pairs (Fig EV2) between effectors and several

proteins from the human positive reference set on different Y2H-selective conditions, as described

above.

Figure 7: A network view of the iBFG-Y2H interaction pairs

A network showing all the verified interaction pairs captured in the iBFG-Y2H screen involving

effectors or putative effectors. Effectors are shown as a rectangle, Dot/Icm components as a

diamond and human PRS proteins as an oval. Arrows point from DB to AD; the thickness of the

edges is reflective of the iBFG-Y2H interaction score. Core effectors are indicated by a star and

nodes of previously published PPIs are shown in blue. The network was created using Cytoscape

v3.101 (Shannon *et al*, 2003).

Figure EV1: iBFG-Y2H barcode representation and correlation of fusion barcode tags

A, B The bar graphs show the distribution of barcode representation of ORFs in the AD (**A**) and

DB (**B**) collection. The majority of ORFs are represented by at least three uniquely barcoded

vectors.

C, D Barcode recombination leads to an equal number of up and down fusion barcodes for each

unique pair. The scatter plots show the counts of the uptag fusion barcodes plotted against the

downtag fusion barcodes and the Pearson correlation (PCC) of the barcode pair abundance across

the entire population in the control (**C**) and Y2H selective (**D**) conditions. The uptag and downtag

fusions show good concordance, which indicates that there are no major barcode-specific effects

due to PCR or sequencing.

Figure EV2: Retest of iBFG-Y2H interactions with *L. pneumophila* effectors

All 107 interaction pairs above the optimal MCC interaction score threshold involving effectors

(effector-effector, effector-human, effector-Dot/Icm) and Dot/Icm-Dot/Icm pairs were retested.

The vectors were recloned from confirmed Gateway entry clones, transformed to BFG-Y2H strains

RY1010 and RY1030 and arrayed in an AD and DB array. A1 in plate 1 and 2 are empty vector

controls, see Table EV4 for the ORF identities in each spot.

A The DB array was mated with AD-EV, spotted on diploid selective (-Leu/Trp medium) and

Y2H selective conditions (-Leu/Trp/His + 1 mM $CuSO_4$) and grown for 3 days before imaging.

Two effector fusions (DB-Lpg2860 and DB-Lpg2300/LegA3) and one Dot/Icm fusion (DB-IcmS)

are autoactivators and can grow on Y2H selective conditions in the presence of the AD empty

vector.

B The AD array was mated with DB-EV and grown as above.

C The DB array was mated with the AD-array and grown as above. Of the 107 interaction pairs,

56 interactions verify in the retest experiment.

References

784
785

Bastidas RJ, Kędzior M, Davidson RK, Walsh SC, Dolat L, Sixt BS, Pruneda JN, Coers J &
Valdivia RH (2024) The acetylase activity of Cdu1 regulates bacterial exit from infected cells
by protecting Chlamydia effectors from degradation. *eLife* 12: RP87386

Belyi Y, Tartakovskaya D, Tais A, Fitzke E, Tzivelekidis T, Jank T, Rospert S & Aktories K
(2012) Elongation Factor 1A Is the Target of Growth Inhibition in Yeast Caused by
Legionella pneumophila Glucosyltransferase Lgt1. *J Biol Chem* 287: 26029–26037

Bhogaraju S, Bonn F, Mukherjee R, Adams M, Pfleiderer MM, Galej WP, Matkovic V, Lopez-
Mosqueda J, Kalayil S, Shin D, *et al* (2019) Inhibition of bacterial ubiquitin ligases by SidJ–
calmodulin catalysed glutamylation. *Nature* 572: 382–386

Black MH, Osinski A, Gradowski M, Servage KA, Pawłowski K, Tomchick DR & Tagliabracci
VS (2019) Bacterial pseudokinase catalyzes protein polyglutamylation to inhibit the SidE-
family ubiquitin ligases. *Science* 364: 787–792

Boamah DK, Zhou G, Ensminger AW & O'Connor TJ (2017) From Many Hosts, One
Accidental Pathogen: The Diverse Protozoan Hosts of Legionella. *Front Cell Infect Mi* 7: 477

Boldin MP, Mett IL & Wallach D (1995) A protein related to a proteasomal subunit binds to the
intracellular domain of the p55 TNF receptor upstream to its 'death domain.' *FEBS Lett* 367:
39–44

Brachmann CB, Davies A, Cost GJ, Caputo E, Li J, Hieter P & Boeke JD (1998) Designer
deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: A useful set of strains and
plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. *Yeast* 14: 115–132

Braun P, Tasan M, Dreze M, Barrios-Rodiles M, Lemmens I, Yu H, Sahalie JM, Murray RR,
Roncari L, Smet A-S de, *et al* (2009) An experimentally derived confidence score for binary
protein-protein interactions. *Nat Methods* 6: 91–97

Bugalhão JN, Mota LJ & Franco IS (2016) Identification of regions within the Legionella
pneumophila VipA effector protein involved in actin binding and polymerization and in
interference with eukaryotic organelle trafficking. *Microbiologyopen* 5: 118–133

Burstein D, Amaro F, Zusman T, Lifshitz Z, Cohen O, Gilbert JA, Pupko T, Shuman HA &
Segal G (2016) Genomic analysis of 38 Legionella species identifies large and diverse
effector repertoires. *Nat Genet* 48: 167–175

Burstein D, Zusman T, Degtyar E, Viner R, Segal G & Pupko T (2009) Genome-Scale
Identification of Legionella pneumophila Effectors Using a Machine Learning Approach.
Plos Pathog 5: e1000508

Butt TR & Ecker DJ (1987) Yeast metallothionein and applications in biotechnology. *Microbiol*
Rev 51: 351–64

Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K & Madden TL (2009)
BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinform* 10: 421

Campodonico EM, Chesnel L & Roy CR (2005) A yeast genetic system for the identification and
characterization of substrate proteins transferred into host cells by the Legionella
pneumophila Dot/Icm system. *Mol Microbiol* 56: 918–933

Choi SG, Olivet J, Cassonnet P, Vidalain P-O, Luck K, Lambourne L, Spirohn K, Lemmens I,
Santos MD, Demeret C, *et al* (2019) Maximizing binary interactome mapping with a minimal
number of assays. *Nat Commun* 10: 3907

Chua MD, Mineva GM & Guttman JA (2023) Ube2N is present and functions within listeria
Actin-rich structures and lamellipodia: A localization and pharmacological inhibition study.
Anat Rec 306: 1140–1148

Chua MD, Moon K, Foster LJ & Guttman JA (2018) Whole cell and bacterial movement: The
identification of the ubiquitin E2 enzyme (Ube2N) as a novel actin-associated protein. *FASEB*
J 32: 369.4-369.4

Coers J, Kagan JC, Matthews M, Nagai H, Zuckman DM & Roy CR (2000) Identification of Icm
protein complexes that play distinct roles in the biogenesis of an organelle permissive for
Legionella pneumophila intracellular growth. *Mol Microbiol* 38: 719–736

Dean P, Mühlen S, Quitard S & Kenny B (2010) The bacterial effectors EspG and EspG2 induce
a destructive calpain activity that is kept in check by the co-delivered Tir effector. *Cell*
Microbiol 12: 1308–1321

de Felipe KS, Glover RT, Charpentier X, Anderson OR, Reyes M, Pericone CD & Shuman HA
(2008) Legionella Eukaryotic-Like Type IV Substrates Interfere with Organelle Trafficking.
PLoS Pathog 4: e1000117

Dong N, Niu M, Hu L, Yao Q, Zhou R & Shao F (2016) Modulation of membrane
phosphoinositide dynamics by the phosphatidylinositide 4-kinase activity of the Legionella
LepB effector. *Nat Microbiol* 2: 16236

Duménil G & Isberg RR (2001) The Legionella pneumophila IcmR protein exhibits chaperone
activity for IcmQ by preventing its participation in high-molecular-weight complexes. *Mol*
Microbiol 40: 1113–1127

Dunbar JD, Song HY, Guo D, Wu LW & Donner DB (1997) Two-hybrid cloning of a gene
encoding TNF receptor-associated protein 2, a protein that interacts with the intracellular
domain of the type 1 TNF receptor: identity with subunit 2 of the 26S protease. *J Immunol*
158: 4252–9

Durie CL, Sheedlo MJ, Chung JM, Byrne BG, Su M, Knight T, Swanson M, Lacy DB & Ohi
MD (2020) Structural analysis of the Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm type IV secretion
system core complex. *eLife* 9: e59530

Ensminger AW (2016) Legionella pneumophila, armed to the hilt: justifying the largest arsenal
of effectors in the bacterial world. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 29: 74–80

Faulkner G, Berk SG, Garduño E, Ortiz-Jiménez MA & Garduño RA (2008) Passage through
Tetrahymena tropicalis Triggers a Rapid Morphological Differentiation in Legionella
pneumophila. *J Bacteriol* 190: 7728–7738

Fields BS (1996) The molecular ecology of legionellae. *Trends Microbiol* 4: 286–290

Fields BS, Benson RF & Besser RE (2002) Legionella and Legionnaires' Disease: 25 Years of
Investigation. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 15: 506–526

Fields S & Song O (1989) A novel genetic system to detect protein–protein interactions. *Nature*
340: 245–246

Finsel I & Hilbi H (2015) Formation of a pathogen vacuole according to Legionella
pneumophila: how to kill one bird with many stones. *Cell Microbiol* 17: 935–950

Franco IS, Shohdy N & Shuman HA (2012) The Legionella pneumophila Effector VipA Is an
Actin Nucleator That Alters Host Cell Organelle Trafficking. *Plos Pathog* 8: e1002546

Gan N, Guan H, Huang Y, Yu T, Fu J, Nakayasu ES, Puvar K, Das C, Wang D, Ouyang S, *et al*
(2020) Legionella pneumophila regulates the activity of UBE2N by deamidase-mediated
deubiquitination. *Embo J* 39: e102806

Gan N, Nakayasu ES, Hollenbeck PJ & Luo Z-Q (2019a) Legionella pneumophila inhibits
immune signalling via MavC-mediated transglutaminase-induced ubiquitination of UBE2N.
Nat Microbiol 4: 134–143

Gan N, Zhen X, Liu Y, Xu X, He C, Qiu J, Liu Y, Fujimoto GM, Nakayasu ES, Zhou B, *et al*
(2019b) Regulation of phosphoribosyl ubiquitination by a calmodulin-dependent glutamylase.
Nature 572: 387–391

Ge J, Xu H, Li T, Zhou Y, Zhang Z, Li S, Liu L & Shao F (2009) A Legionella type IV effector
activates the NF-κB pathway by phosphorylating the IκB family of inhibitors. *Proc National*
Acad Sci 106: 13725–13730

Ghosal D, Jeong KC, Chang Y-W, Gyore J, Teng L, Gardner A, Vogel JP & Jensen GJ (2019)
Molecular architecture, polar targeting and biogenesis of the Legionella Dot/Icm T4SS. *Nat*
Microbiol 4: 1173–1182

Gietz RD & Schiestl RH (2007a) Frozen competent yeast cells that can be transformed with high
efficiency using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat Protoc* 2: 1–4

Gietz RD & Schiestl RH (2007b) High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier
DNA/PEG method. *Nat Protoc* 2: 31–34

Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Carson D, Mondino S, Pérez-Cobas AE, Rolando M, Pasricha S,
Reuter S, Demirtas J, Crumbach J, *et al* (2019) More than 18,000 effectors in the Legionella
genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells.
Proc Natl Acad Sci 116: 2265–2273

Guo Z, Stephenson R, Qiu J, Zheng S & Luo Z-Q (2014) A Legionella effector modulates host
cytoskeletal structure by inhibiting actin polymerization. *Microbes Infect* 16: 225–236

He L, Lin Y, Ge Z, He S, Zhao B, Shen D, He J & Lu Y (2019) The Legionella pneumophila
effector WipA disrupts host F-actin polymerisation by hijacking phosphotyrosine signalling.
Cell Microbiol 21: e13014

Heidtman M, Chen EJ, Moy M & Isberg RR (2009) Large-scale identification of Legionella
pneumophila Dot/Icm substrates that modulate host cell vesicle trafficking pathways. *Cell*
Microbiol 11: 230–248

Hervet E, Charpentier X, Vianney A, Lazzaroni J-C, Gilbert C, Atlan D & Doublet P (2011)
Protein Kinase LegK2 Is a Type IV Secretion System Effector Involved in Endoplasmic
Reticulum Recruitment and Intracellular Replication of Legionella pneumophila. *Infect*
Immun 79: 1936–1950

Hsieh T-S, Lopez VA, Black MH, Osinski A, Pawłowski K, Tomchick DR, Liou J &
Tagliabracci VS (2021) Dynamic remodeling of host membranes by self-organizing bacterial
effectors. *Science* 372: 935–941

Huang L, Boyd D, Amyot WM, Hempstead AD, Luo Z, O'Connor TJ, Chen C, Machner M,
Montminy T & Isberg RR (2011) The E Block motif is associated with Legionella
pneumophila translocated substrates. *Cell Microbiol* 13: 227–245

Isberg RR, O'Connor TJ & Heidtman M (2009) The Legionella pneumophila replication
vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 7: 13–24

Jeong KC, Sexton JA & Vogel JP (2015) Spatiotemporal Regulation of a Legionella
pneumophila T4SS Substrate by the Metaeffector SidJ. *Plos Pathog* 11: e1004695

Jia Q, Lin Y, Gou X, He L, Shen D, Chen D, Xie W & Lu Y (2018) Legionella pneumophila
effector WipA, a bacterial PPP protein phosphatase with PTP activity. *Acta Biochim Biophys*
Sin 50: 547–554

Joseph AM, Pohl AE, Ball TJ, Abram TG, Johnson DK, Geisbrecht BV & Shames SR (2020)
The Legionella pneumophila Metaeffector Lpg2505 (MesI) Regulates SidI-Mediated
Translation Inhibition and Novel Glycosyl Hydrolase Activity. *Infect Immun* 88: e00853-19

Joseph AM & Shames SR (2021) Affecting the Effectors: Regulation of Legionella pneumophila
Effector Function by Metaeffectors. *Pathogens* 10: 108

Kim H, Kubori T, Yamazaki K, Kwak M-J, Park S-Y, Nagai H, Vogel JP & Oh B-H (2020)
Structural basis for effector protein recognition by the Dot/Icm Type IVB coupling protein
complex. *Nat Commun* 11: 2623

Kubori T & Galán JE (2003) Temporal Regulation of Salmonella Virulence Effector Function by
Proteasome-Dependent Protein Degradation. *Cell* 115: 333–342

Kubori T & Nagai H (2011) Bacterial Effector-Involved Temporal and Spatial Regulation by
Hijack of the Host Ubiquitin Pathway. *Front Microbiol* 2: 145

Kubori T, Shinzawa N, Kanuka H & Nagai H (2010) Legionella Metaeffector Exploits Host
Proteasome to Temporally Regulate Cognate Effector. *Plos Pathog* 6: e1001216

Kuehn HS, Boast B & Rosenzweig SD (2022) Inborn errors of human IKAROS: LOF and GOF
variants associated with primary immunodeficiency. *Clin Exp Immunol* 212: 129–136

Kwak M-J, Kim JD, Kim H, Kim C, Bowman JW, Kim S, Joo K, Lee J, Jin KS, Kim Y-G, *et al*
(2017) Architecture of the type IV coupling protein complex of Legionella pneumophila. *Nat*
Microbiol 2: 17114

Langmead B & Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9:
357–359

Larson CL, Beare PA, Howe D & Heinzen RA (2013) Coxiella burnetii effector protein subverts
clathrin-mediated vesicular trafficking for pathogen vacuole biogenesis. *Proc National Acad*
Sci 110: E4770–E4779

Lesser CF & Miller SI (2001) Expression of microbial virulence proteins in Saccharomyces
cerevisiae models mammalian infection. *EMBO J* 20: 1840–1849

Liu M, Conover GM & Isberg RR (2008) Legionella pneumophila EnhC is required for efficient
replication in tumour necrosis factor α-stimulated macrophages. *Cell Microbiol* 10: 1906–
1923

Liu Y, Zhu W, Tan Y, Nakayasu ES, Staiger CJ & Luo Z-Q (2017) A Legionella Effector
Disrupts Host Cytoskeletal Structure by Cleaving Actin. *PLoS Pathog* 13: e1006186

Losick VP, Haenssler E, Moy M & Isberg RR (2010) LnaB: a Legionella pneumophila activator
of NF-κB. *Cell Microbiol* 12: 1083–1097

Luck K, Kim D-K, Lambourne L, Spirohn K, Begg BE, Bian W, Brignall R, Cafarelli T,
Campos-Laborie FJ, Charloteaux B, *et al* (2020) A reference map of the human binary protein
interactome. *Nature* 580: 402–408

Luo Z-Q & Isberg RR (2004) Multiple substrates of the Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm system
identified by interbacterial protein transfer. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* 101: 841–846

Magori S & Citovsky V (2011) Agrobacterium Counteracts Host-Induced Degradation of Its
Effector F-Box Protein. *Sci Signal* 4: ra69

McCloskey A, Perri K, Chen T, Han A & Luo Z-Q (2021) The metaeffector MesI regulates the
activity of the Legionella effector SidI through direct protein–protein interactions. *Microbes*
Infect 23: 104794

Meir A, Chetrit D, Liu L, Roy CR & Waksman G (2018) Legionella DotM structure reveals a
role in effector recruiting to the Type 4B secretion system. *Nat Commun* 9: 507

Meir A, Macé K, Lukoyanova N, Chetrit D, Hospenthal MK, Redzej A, Roy C & Waksman G
(2020) Mechanism of effector capture and delivery by the type IV secretion system from
Legionella pneumophila. *Nat Commun* 11: 2864

Mengue L, Régnacq M, Aucher W, Portier E, Héchard Y & Samba-Louaka A (2016) Legionella
pneumophila prevents proliferation of its natural host Acanthamoeba castellanii. *Sci Rep* 6:
36448

Michard C, Sperandio D, Baïlo N, Pizarro-Cerdá J, LeClaire L, Chadeau-Argaud E, Pombo-
Grégoire I, Hervet E, Vianney A, Gilbert C, *et al* (2015) The Legionella Kinase LegK2
Targets the ARP2/3 Complex To Inhibit Actin Nucleation on Phagosomes and Allow
Bacterial Evasion of the Late Endocytic Pathway. *Mbio* 6: e00354-15

Molmeret M, Horn M, Wagner M, Santic M & Kwaik YA (2005) Amoebae as Training Grounds
for Intracellular Bacterial Pathogens. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71: 20–28

Mount HO, Zangari F, Gingras A-C & Ensminger AW (2022) The L. pneumophila effector PieF
modulates mRNA stability through association with eukaryotic CCR4-NOT. *Biorxiv*:
2022.06.06.494580

Mukherjee S, Liu X, Arasaki K, McDonough J, Galán JE & Roy CR (2011) Modulation of Rab
GTPase function by a protein phosphocholine transferase. *Nature* 477: 103–106

Müller MP, Peters H, Blümer J, Blankenfeldt W, Goody RS & Itzen A (2010) The *Legionella*
Effector Protein DrrA AMPylates the Membrane Traffic Regulator Rab1b. *Science* 329: 946–
949

Neunuebel MR, Chen Y, Gaspar AH, Backlund PS, Yergey A & Machner MP (2011) De-
AMPylation of the Small GTPase Rab1 by the Pathogen Legionella pneumophila. *Science*
333: 453–456

Nevo O, Zusman T, Rasis M, Lifshitz Z & Segal G (2014) Identification of Legionella
pneumophila Effectors Regulated by the LetAS-RsmYZ-CsrA Regulatory Cascade, Many of
Which Modulate Vesicular Trafficking. *J Bacteriol* 196: 681–692

Ninio S, Zuckman-Cholon DM, Cambronne ED & Roy CR (2005) The Legionella IcmS–IcmW
protein complex is important for Dot/Icm-mediated protein translocation. *Mol Microbiol* 55:
912–926

Oh K-S, Gottschalk RA, Lounsbury NW, Sun J, Dorrington MG, Baek S, Sun G, Wang Z,
Krauss KS, Milner JD, *et al* (2018) Dual Roles for Ikaros in Regulation of Macrophage
Chromatin State and Inflammatory Gene Expression. *J Immunol* 201: 757–771

Pinotsis N & Waksman G (2017) Structure of the WipA protein reveals a novel tyrosine protein
phosphatase effector from Legionella pneumophila. *J Biol Chem* 292: 9240–9251

Pollock TY, Marrero VRV, Brodsky IE & Shin S (2023) TNF licenses macrophages to undergo
rapid caspase-1, -11, and -8-mediated cell death that restricts Legionella pneumophila
infection. *PLOS Pathog* 19: e1010767

Rao C, Benhabib H & Ensminger AW (2013) Phylogenetic Reconstruction of the Legionella
pneumophila Philadelphia-1 Laboratory Strains through Comparative Genomics. *Plos One* 8:
e64129

Rolland T, Taşan M, Charloteaux B, Pevzner SJ, Zhong Q, Sahni N, Yi S, Lemmens I,
Fontanillo C, Mosca R, *et al* (2014) A Proteome-Scale Map of the Human Interactome
Network. *Cell* 159: 1212–1226

Rowbotham TJ (1980) Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of Legionella pneumophila for
freshwater and soil amoebae. *J Clin Pathol* 33: 1179–83

Rual J-F, Venkatesan K, Hao T, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Dricot A, Li N, Berriz GF, Gibbons FD,
Dreze M, Ayivi-Guedehoussou N, *et al* (2005) Towards a proteome-scale map of the human
protein–protein interaction network. *Nature* 437: 1173–1178

Schator D, Mondino S, Berthelet J, Silvestre CD, Assaya MB, Rusniok C, Rodrigues-Lima F,
Wehenkel A, Buchrieser C & Rolando M (2023) Legionella para-effectors target chromatin
and promote bacterial replication. *Nat Commun* 14: 2154

Sexton JA, Pinkner JS, Roth R, Heuser JE, Hultgren SJ & Vogel JP (2004) The Legionella
pneumophila PilT Homologue DotB Exhibits ATPase Activity That Is Critical for
Intracellular Growth. *J Bacteriol* 186: 1658–1666

Shames SR & Finlay BB (2012) Bacterial effector interplay: a new way to view effector
function. *Trends Microbiol* 20: 214–219

Shames SR, Liu L, Havey JC, Schofield WB, Goodman AL & Roy CR (2017) Multiple
Legionella pneumophila effector virulence phenotypes revealed through high-throughput
analysis of targeted mutant libraries. *Proc National Acad Sci* 114: E10446–E10454

Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B &
Ideker T (2003) Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular
Interaction Networks. *Genome Res* 13: 2498–2504

Shen X, Banga S, Liu Y, Xu L, Gao P, Shamovsky I, Nudler E & Luo Z (2009) Targeting
eEF1A by a Legionella pneumophila effector leads to inhibition of protein synthesis and
induction of host stress response. *Cell Microbiol* 11: 911–926

Shi X, Halder P, Yavuz H, Jahn R & Shuman HA (2016) Direct targeting of membrane fusion by
SNARE mimicry: Convergent evolution of Legionella effectors. *Proc National Acad Sci* 113:
8807–8812

Shohdy N, Efe JA, Emr SD & Shuman HA (2005) Pathogen effector protein screening in yeast
identifies Legionella factors that interfere with membrane trafficking. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa*
102: 4866–4871

Siddiqui R, Makhlouf Z & Khan NA (2021) The increasing importance of Vermamoeba
vermiformis. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 68: e12857

Simonis N, Rual J-F, Carvunis A-R, Tasan M, Lemmens I, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Hao T,
Sahalie JM, Venkatesan K, Gebreab F, *et al* (2009) Empirically controlled mapping of the
Caenorhabditis elegans protein-protein interactome network. *Nat Methods* 6: 47–54

Sol A, Lipo E, Jesús-Díaz DA de, Murphy C, Devereux M & Isberg RR (2019) Legionella
pneumophila translocated translation inhibitors are required for bacterial-induced host cell
cycle arrest. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116: 3221–3228

Song L, Xie Y, Li C, Wang L, He C, Zhang Y, Yuan J, Luo J, Liu X, Xiu Y, *et al* (2021) The
Legionella Effector SdjA Is a Bifunctional Enzyme That Distinctly Regulates Phosphoribosyl
Ubiquitination. *Mbio* 12: e02316-21

Sutherland MC, Binder KA, Cualing PY & Vogel JP (2013) Reassessing the Role of DotF in the
Legionella pneumophila Type IV Secretion System. *Plos One* 8: e65529

Sutherland MC, Nguyen TL, Tseng V & Vogel JP (2012) The Legionella IcmSW Complex
Directly Interacts with DotL to Mediate Translocation of Adaptor-Dependent Substrates. *Plos*
Pathog 8: e1002910

Tan Y, Arnold RJ & Luo Z-Q (2011) Legionella pneumophila regulates the small GTPase Rab1
activity by reversible phosphorylcholination. *Proc National Acad Sci* 108: 21212–21217

Tan Y & Luo Z-Q (2011) Legionella pneumophila SidD is a deAMPylase that modifies Rab1.
Nature 475: 506–509

Urbanus ML, Quaile AT, Stogios PJ, Morar M, Rao C, Leo RD, Evdokimova E, Lam M, Oatway
C, Cuff ME, *et al* (2016) Diverse mechanisms of metaeffector activity in an intracellular
bacterial pathogen, Legionella pneumophila. *Mol Syst Biol* 12: 893

Valleau D, Quaile AT, Cui H, Xu X, Evdokimova E, Chang C, Cuff ME, Urbanus ML,
Houliston S, Arrowsmith CH, *et al* (2018) Discovery of Ubiquitin Deamidases in the
Pathogenic Arsenal of Legionella pneumophila. *Cell Reports* 23: 568–583

Venkatesan K, Rual J-F, Vazquez A, Stelzl U, Lemmens I, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Hao T,
Zenkner M, Xin X, Goh K-I, *et al* (2009) An empirical framework for binary interactome
mapping. *Nat Methods* 6: 83–90

Vincent CD, Friedman JR, Jeong KC, Buford EC, Miller JL & Vogel JP (2006) Identification of
the core transmembrane complex of the Legionella Dot/Icm type IV secretion system. *Mol*
Microbiol 62: 1278–1291

Vincent CD, Friedman JR, Jeong KC, Sutherland MC & Vogel JP (2012) Identification of the
DotL coupling protein subcomplex of the Legionella Dot/Icm type IV secretion system. *Mol*
Microbiol 85: 378–391

Vincent CD & Vogel JP (2006) The Legionella pneumophila IcmS–LvgA protein complex is
important for Dot/Icm-dependent intracellular growth. *Mol Microbiol* 61: 596–613

Wan M, Sulpizio AG, Akturk A, Beck WHJ, Lanz M, Faça VM, Smolka MB, Vogel JP & Mao
Y (2019) Deubiquitination of phosphoribosyl-ubiquitin conjugates by phosphodiesterase-
domain–containing Legionella effectors. *Proc National Acad Sci* 116: 23518–23526

Wang Y, Zhan Q, Wang X, Li P, Liu S, Gao G & Gao P (2020) Insights into catalysis and
regulation of non-canonical ubiquitination and deubiquitination by bacterial deamidase
effectors. *Nat Commun* 11: 2751

Watanabe K, Nakao R, Fujishima M, Tachibana M, Shimizu T & Watarai M (2016) Ciliate
Paramecium is a natural reservoir of Legionella pneumophila. *Sci Rep* 6: 24322

Xu J, Xu D, Wan M, Yin L, Wang X, Wu L, Liu Y, Liu X, Zhou Y & Zhu Y (2017) Structural
insights into the roles of the IcmS–IcmW complex in the type IVb secretion system of
Legionella pneumophila. *Proc National Acad Sci* 114: 13543–13548

Xu L, Shen X, Bryan A, Banga S, Swanson MS & Luo Z-Q (2010) Inhibition of Host Vacuolar
H+-ATPase Activity by a Legionella pneumophila Effector. *PLoS Pathog* 6: e1000822

Yachie N, Petsalaki E, Mellor JC, Weile J, Jacob Y, Verby M, Ozturk SB, Li S, Cote AG, Mosca
R, *et al* (2016) Pooled-matrix protein interaction screens using Barcode Fusion Genetics. *Mol
Syst Biol* 12: 863

Yu H, Braun P, Yıldırım MA, Lemmens I, Venkatesan K, Sahalie J, Hirozane-Kishikawa T,
Gebreab F, Li N, Simonis N, *et al* (2008) High-Quality Binary Protein Interaction Map of the
Yeast Interactome Network. *Science* 322: 104–110

Zhang T, Lei J, Yang H, Xu K, Wang R & Zhang Z (2011) An improved method for whole
protein extraction from yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* 28: 795–798

Zhu W, Banga S, Tan Y, Zheng C, Stephenson R, Gately J & Luo Z-Q (2011) Comprehensive
Identification of Protein Substrates of the Dot/Icm Type IV Transporter of Legionella
pneumophila. *Plos One* 6: e17638

1095

Figure 1 - Inducible BFG-Y2H

A **Step 1: clone each ORF into a vector with a unique AD or DB tag.**

Step 2: mate pools of tagged strains.

Step 3: grow diploid pool with induction.

Step 4. recombine up and down tags *in vivo* (in surviving cells).

Step 5: PCR amplify fused tags.

Step 6. Illumina sequence fused tags.

B

C

Figure 2 - Performance of the iBFG-Y2H *L. pneumophila* effector screen

Figure 3 - Validation of the iBFG-Y2H interaction pairs by the orthologous yN2H method

Figure 4 iBFG-Y2H captures several interactions of the Dot/Icm complex

Figure 5 - iBFG-Y2H effector-effector protein interactions

Figure 6 - iBFG-Y2H interactions of human PRS proteins with effectors and putative effectors

Figure 7 - A network view of the effector interaction pairs