1

Leiomodin 1 promotes myogenic differentiation by modulating Sirtuin 1

4 Ellen Späth[1*], Svenja C. Schüler[1,2*], Ivonne Heinze[1], Therese Dau[1], Alberto Minetti[1], Maleen

5 Hofmann[1], Julia von Maltzahn[1,3#] and Alessandro Ori[1#]

Affiliations

1 - Leibniz Institute on Aging - Fritz Lipmann Institute (FLI), Jena, Germany

2 - Département de Pharmacologie - Physiologie, Université de Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

3 - Brandenburg Technische Universität Cottbus-Senftenberg, Senftenberg, Germany

[*] Contributed equally

[#] Correspondence should be addressed to: alessandro.ori@leibniz-fli.de or julia.vonmaltzahn@b-tu.de

ABSTRACT

During myogenic differentiation the architecture and proteome of muscle stem cells undergo

extensive remodeling. These processes are only partially understood and display impairments

in age and disease. Here, we used mass spectrometry to analyze proteome dynamics during

myogenic differentiation. We identified the actin nucleator Leiomodin 1 (LMOD1) among a

restricted set of proteins that increase in abundance during early phases of differentiation.

LMOD1 is expressed by muscle stem cells *in vivo* and its levels increase with aging.

Knockdown of LMOD1 in primary myoblasts severely affected myogenic differentiation and

fusion of myotubes, while overexpression had the opposite effect. Mechanistically, we show

that LMOD1 interacts with Sirtuin1 (SIRT1) and that both proteins follow similar changes in

nuclear/cytoplasmic localization during differentiation. We demonstrate that LMOD1

influences SIRT1 localization and the expression of a subset of its target genes. Consistently,

depletion or pharmacological inhibition of SIRT1 partially reverts the effects observed after

LMOD1 knockdown. Our work identifies a novel regulator of myogenic differentiation that

might be a potential target to improve muscle regeneration in aging and disease.

Keywords: muscle stem cell, satellite cell, aging, cytoskeleton, myogenesis, LMOD1,

SIRT1, mass spectrometry, myogenic differentiation

INTRODUCTION

Muscle stem cells (MuSCs), also known as satellite cells, possess a remarkable ability to regenerate adult skeletal muscle following injury (Sambasivan et al. 2011; Murphy et al. 2011). These cells are typically quiescent, reside between the basal lamina and the muscle fiber (Mauro 1961; Sousa-Victor et al. 2015), and are characterized by the expression of the paired box transcription factor 7 (PAX7) (Seale 2003; Lepper, Partridge, and Fan 2011; von Maltzahn et al. 2013; Zammit et al. 2006). In response to physiological and regeneration-inducing stimuli, MuSCs become activated, begin to self-renewal, and undergo myogenic differentiation (Fu, Wang, and Hu 2015; Schmidt et al. 2019). During myogenic differentiation - a process recapitulating myogenesis during development - MuSCs proliferate, differentiate, and finally fuse to damaged muscle fibers or form new muscle fibers. These processes are tightly regulated by the expression of different myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) such as MYF5, MYOD, myogenin and MRF4 (Chang and Rudnicki 2014; Schmidt et al. 2019; Tiffin et al. 2003). Additionally, external cues from the surrounding microenvironment play a crucial role in regulating myogenic differentiation (Bentzinger, Wang, Dumont, et al. 2013; Hung et al. 2023). These cues involve different signaling pathways including NOTCH, WNT, BMPs, FGFs, and Hedgehog (Fre et al. 2005; Baghdadi, Castel, et al. 2018; Baghdadi, Firmino, et al. 2018; Bentzinger, Wang, von Maltzahn, et al. 2013; Friedrichs et al. 2011; Larraín et al. 1997; Voronova et al. 2013). Epigenetic mechanisms and chromatin remodelling regulate the accessibility of transcription factors to regulatory regions of the DNA and, thereby, the expression of myogenic genes (Saccone and Puri 2010; Massenet et al. 2021). Histone deacetylases (HDACs) and methyltransferases, e.g., SIRT1 (Ryall et al. 2015), HDAC4 (Moresi et al. 2012; Finke et al. 2022) and SMYD3 (Codato et al. 2019) are implicated in maintaining muscle integrity and differentiation. The cytoskeleton plays crucial roles in regulating cell shape, motility and signal transduction (Pollard and Cooper 2009; Higuchi-Sanabria et al. 2018). This is especially important during fusion of myoblasts to form myotubes (Abmayr and Pavlath 2012). Perturbations in signalling pathways, mechanosensitivity, and interactions with the extracellular matrix (ECM) were shown to significantly affect the cytoskeletal architecture of MuSCs in disease and during aging (Chakkalakal et al. 2012; Hwang and Brack 2018; Lukjanenko et al. 2016). For instance, in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), mutations in the dystrophin gene can disrupt the connection between the actin cytoskeleton and the ECM, impairing the function of MuSCs (Winder, Gibson, and Kendrick-Jones 1995; Lu-Nguyen et al. 2017; Mournetas et al. 2021). Similarly, mutations in plectin, a protein that connects

various cytoskeletal components, result in muscle diseases known as plectinopathies, which

are characterized by compromised muscle cell integrity (Winter and Wiche 2013). Of note,

also age-related sarcopenia can be caused by changes in cytoskeletal proteins, resulting in a

decline in muscle fiber quantity and functionality. Changes in actin dynamics, e.g., a

dysregulation of ARP2/3 and N-WASP, responsible for forming branched actin networks and

cell motility, can impair response to muscle injury (Lai and Wong 2020). Additionally, the

distribution of desmin, an essential protein for structural integrity, was shown to affect the

mechanical properties and resilience of muscle fibers (Meyer, Schenk, and Lieber 2013).

Aging also affects muscle regulatory factors like MyoD and myogenin, disrupting the timely

expression of cytoskeletal and contractile proteins required for proper differentiation of

MuSCs (Sousa-Victor et al. 2014).

Here, we set out to identify new regulators of myogenic differentiation by investigating

alterations in protein dynamics during myogenic differentiation using mass spectrometry.

Thereby, we identified LMOD1 among a restricted group of cytoskeletal proteins that

increases in abundance during early differentiation of myoblasts. *In vivo* analysis confirmed

the presence of LMOD1 in MuSCs and highlighted an age-dependent increase in its levels in

the nuclei of old MuSCs. LMODs are known as powerful actin filament nucleators but their

role in myogenic differentiation has not been investigated so far (Chereau et al. 2008;

Boczkowska et al. 2015; Fowler and Dominguez 2017; Tolkatchev, Gregorio, and

Kostyukova 2022). Through knockdown experiments, we show that LMOD1, but not the

closely related LMOD2, is essential for proper myogenic differentiation. Conversely,

overexpression of LMOD1 leads to an accelerated myotube formation and improvement in myoblast

fusion without depletion of reserve cells. Mechanistically, we show that LMOD1 physically and

functionally interacts with the deacetylase SIRT1, a known regulator of myogenic

differentiation (Fulco et al. 2003; Ryall et al. 2015). Our work uncovers a previously

unappreciated role for LMOD1 in myogenic differentiation and may contribute to explaining

how disruptions in cytoskeletal arrangements impair muscle regeneration in diseases and

during aging.

RESULTS

Proteome dynamics during myogenic differentiation

To comprehensively and unbiasedly examine protein dynamics during myogenic differentiation, we performed an *in vitro* five-day differentiation time course experiment using five independent mouse primary myoblasts (Figure 1A) and collected samples at each day of differentiation for label-free quantitative proteomics (Table S1). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on protein intensities obtained from mass spectrometry data (6098 quantified proteins in total) revealed a progressive separation of the different cell populations by the day of differentiation (Figure 1B). We confirmed the expected dynamics of key myogenic regulators by qRT-PCR and mass spectrometry (Schmidt et al. 2019), validating our experimental setup and proper myogenic differentiation (Figures 1C and 1D). Next, we compared protein dynamics by clustering the obtained proteomics data (Table S1). The 3839 protein groups significantly affected in at least one measured time point relative to undifferentiated cells were assigned to six clusters by k-means clustering (Figure 1E). Each cluster displayed distinct dynamics and was enriched for specific KEGG pathways (Figure 1F). Notably, cluster 2 included proteins that progressively increased in abundance during differentiation and were closely associated with muscle contraction and function as well as cytoskeletal dynamics e.g. Actinin Alpha 2 (ACTN2), Tropomyosin alpha-1 and -3 (TPM1, TPM3) Leiomodin (LMOD1) and Dystrophin (DMD) (Figure 1G). These findings confirm the importance of cytoskeletal dynamics during myogenic differentiation but also raise questions about the molecular mechanism responsible for this shift in the proteomic landscape.

Figure 1: The proteome shows dynamic alterations during myogenic differentiation

A. Experimental workflow for analysing the five-day differentiation time course using mass spectrometry (Table S1) and qRT-PCR. Primary myoblasts isolated from five individual mice, were seeded and differentiated for up to five days. **B**. Principal component analysis (PCA) of proteomics data. Ellipses represent a 95 % confidence interval for each day of differentiation. **C**. qRT-PCR analysis showing the relative mRNA expression of *Pax7*, *MyoD*, and *Myogenin*, normalised to *Gapdh* and day 0. **D**. Mass spectrometry-based quantification of PAX7, MYOD and MYOGENIN protein levels normalised to day 0. **E**. Pie chart for proteome dynamics. 6098 protein groups were subjected to k-means clustering analysis, 2259 were unaffected, while 3839 protein groups showed significant changes (Absolute AVG log2 ratio>0.58 and Q value<0.25) in expression levels in at least one-time point compared to day 0. Protein groups were classified into six clusters based on their expression dynamics using k-means clustering. **F.** Clusters showing distinct protein abundance dynamics during myogenic differentiation and KEGG pathways enriched (FDR <0.05) in each cluster (Table S1). **G.** Proteins from cluster 2 were chosen according to KEGG pathway annotation: striated muscle contraction and function, motor protein activity and cytoskeletal dynamics. The protein-protein interaction network was visualised with STRING (Szklarczyk et al. 2011), edge confidence: medium 0.4. For (**C**) and (**D**): In all bar plots, each symbol represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the standard deviation. (SD). One-way, ANOVA. *: q value ≤ 0.05, **: q value ≤ 0.01, ***: q value ≤ 0.001

LMOD1 levels during early myogenic differentiation and in aging MuSCs

Given the role of cytoskeleton remodelling during myogenic differentiation, we focused on this cluster of proteins and specifically looked for proteins that increased early during the differentiation process. Among these, we found two proteins encoded by paralog genes, namely leiomodin 1 (LMOD1) and leiomodin 2 (LMOD2) (Figure 2A), which share 67.05 % sequence similarity, although varying in their number of amino acids and expression across tissues (Qualmann and Kessels 2009; Fowler and Dominguez 2017). Interestingly, the expression pattern of LMOD1 and LMDO2 during myogenic differentiation displayed differences: while LMOD1 showed a significant increase at the beginning (day 1) of myoblast differentiation, LMOD2 increased later in the process (Figure 2A). To assess the *in vivo* relevance of our finding, we queried two proteomic datasets of freshly isolated MuSCs and four different skeletal muscles (gastrocnemius, G; soleus, S; tibialis anterior, TA; extensor digitorum longus, EDL) (Schüler et al. 2021). We found LMOD2 to be the most abundant leiomodin in skeletal muscle, as expected (Yuen et al. 2022; Tsukada et al. 2010), while the abundance of LMOD1 was lower since this protein has been mainly associated with smooth muscle cells (Nanda and Miano 2012; Conley et al. 2001; Nanda et al. 2018) (Figure 2B). Surprisingly, we detected LMOD1, but not LMOD2, in freshly isolated MuSCs, and noted that the protein levels of LMOD1 increased in MuSCs isolated from old mice (Figure 2C and Figure S1B). To independently validate this finding, we performed immunofluorescence stainings on cryosections of TA muscles from young and geriatric mice. Consistent with previous publications, we observed a reduction of PAX7+ cells with increasing age (Brack, Bildsoe, and Hughes 2005; Shefer et al. 2006; Yamakawa et al. 2020) (Figure S1C). Furthermore, we confirmed the age-related elevation of LMOD1 abundance in MuSCs (Figure 2D and Figure S1B) that was accompanied by a significant increase in the number of LMOD1+/PAX7+ cells in sections of geriatric compared to young mice (Figure 2E). Interestingly, we found LMOD1 to be mainly located in the cytoplasm of PAX7+ cells in young TA muscles (Figure 2D and S1D). However, in geriatric mice, we found a significantly higher number of PAX7+ cells that displayed nuclear localization of LMOD1 (Figure 2F), suggesting that LMOD1 accumulates and mis-localizes in MuSCs with increasing age.

Figure 2: LMOD1 increases during early myogenic differentiation and is upregulated in aged MuSCs

A. Protein quantification of LMOD1 and LMOD2 during myogenic differentiation, based on mass spectrometry data and normalised to the protein amount of day 0. Error bars indicate SD, and coloured dots indicate the mean value of the biological replicates (n = 5). **B.** LMOD1 and LMOD2 protein abundance estimated from mass spectrometry data in different skeletal muscles (gastrocnemius, G; soleus, S; tibialis anterior, TA; extensor digitorum longus, EDL). Displayed values are averages of n = 5 samples from individual mice. Mass spectrometry data from (Schüler et al. 2021). **C**. LMOD1 and LMOD2 protein quantity in MuSCs obtained from young (n = 10), old (n = 8) and geriatric (n = 5) mice, nd = not detected. Mass spectrometry data from (Schüler et al. 2021). **D**. Representative immunofluorescence images of staining for LMOD1 (purple), PAX7 (orange), and Hoechst (blue) of TA sections from n = 3 individual mice per age group: young (3-month-old) and old (18-months-old), geriatric (33-months-old) mice. Scale bar: 5 μm. **E.** Ratio of PAX7+ and LMOD1+ cells normalised to the cells positive for PAX7+ (Figure S2C) and **F.** Quantification of the percentage of PAX7+ cells showing nuclear LMOD1 localization. Related to Figure S2. For (**E**) and (**F**): One-way, ANOVA. *: q value ≤ 0.05, **: q value ≤ 0.01, ***: q value ≤ 0.001. In all bar plots, each black dot represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD.

Figure S1: LMOD1 in MuSCs and skeletal muscle

A. LMOD1 protein coverage in proteomics data from MuSCs. Multiple unique (proteotypic) peptides were identified. Data from (Schüler et al. 2021). **B**. Immunofluorescence images of TA sections from different mice (n = 3) per age group of young (3 months old) and geriatric (33 months old) mice. PAX7 (orange), LMOD1 (purple) and Hoechst (blue). **C**. Number of PAX7+ cells per mm^2 cells in muscle sections from young, old and geriatric mice and **D**. Quantification of PAX7+ cells showing cytoplasmic LMOD1 localization. For (**C**) and (**D**): One-way ANOVA; *: p-value ≤ 0.05, ***: p-value ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant. In all bar plots, each black dot represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD. Related to Figure 2.

Knockdown of *Lmod1* impairs myogenic differentiation, while overexpression of *Lmod1* accelerates myotube formation

The early increase of abundance of LMOD1 during myoblast differentiation suggests a potential role for this protein in promoting the initiation of myogenic differentiation. To test this hypothesis, we used a small interfering RNA (siRNA) to reduce LMOD1 levels and analysed the impact on myoblast proliferation (Figure S2A) and differentiation (Figure 3A). We confirmed the successful knockdown of *Lmod1* mRNA by qRT-PCR (Figure S2B and S2F) and LMOD1 protein by immunoblot analysis (Figure S2C and S2G). After 48 hours of

proliferation, we observed a slight (26 %) but significant decrease in the number of proliferating Ki67-positive myoblasts upon LMOD1 knockdown (Figure S2D and S2E). During myogenic differentiation, the cell population becomes heterogeneous with a distinct expression of myogenic markers (such as MyoD, myogenin, and devMHC) determining cellular identity thereby controlling the potential to differentiate and ultimately regenerate skeletal muscle (Figure 3B). We characterised the cell populations after the knockdown of LMOD1 based on the expression of myogenic markers using immunofluorescence staining at day three of differentiation (Figure 3C and S2M-Q). We found that the reduced LMOD1 levels resulted in an increased frequency of cells with a pronounced spherical phenotype, also identified as non-elongated, MHC-positive cells (Figure 3C and 3E). Moreover, a significant reduction in the number of nuclei per myotube was observed upon knockdown of LMOD1, indicating a potential role in the fusion process (Figure 3D). Furthermore, we observed significantly shorter myotubes upon knockdown of LMOD1, supporting our previous finding that the cells are unable to properly differentiate (Figure 3F).

Given the structural similarities between LMOD1 and LMOD2, we set out to determine if they induce similar phenotypes when their expression is reduced during myogenic differentiation in primary myoblasts (Figure 3G). Knockdown of both *Lmod1* and *Lmod2* mRNA was validated by qRT-PCR (Figure S2I) and confirmed at the protein level by immunoblot (Figure S2J). Interestingly, the knockdown of LMOD2 did not lead to any noticeable phenotypes compared to primary myoblasts transfected with a scramble control siRNA (Figure 3H and S2K). However, we observed a significant reduction in the number of nuclei per myotube (Figure 3I), increased frequency of non-elongated MHC-positive cells (Figure 3J), and increased number of shorter myotubes (Figure 3K) in the *Lmod1/2* double knockdown. These data suggest that LMOD1 is required for myogenic differentiation in primary myoblasts, while LMOD2 appears to be dispensable.

To test whether LMOD1 is sufficient to promote myogenic differentiation, we generated six independent primary myoblast cultures that were stably transduced with a virus to overexpress either LMOD1 or GFP (Figure 3L). Overexpression (OE) of *Lmod1* mRNA by qRT-PCR (Figure S2L) and protein by immunoblot (Figure S2M) was confirmed compared to GFP OE control. Immunofluorescence analysis (Figure 2M and S2O) after one day of differentiation showed that overexpression of LMOD1 promotes myogenic differentiation, as shown by a higher number of nuclei per myotube (Figure 3N). Additionally, we observed an accelerated formation of fully differentiated myotubes (Figure 3O) and an increase in myotube length (Figure S2N) in LMOD1 OE cells compared to the GFP OE control

construct. To further characterize the molecular alterations induced by overexpression of LMOD1 during myogenic differentiation, we generated proteomics data from LMOD1 overexpressing and GFP control cells at multiple time points of differentiation (Table S2). Using gene set enrichment analysis, we found that at day 1 of differentiation, cells overexpressing LMOD1 exhibit higher levels of proteins that are up-regulated at the beginning of differentiation, such as HSPB3, ALDH3A1, SMTNL2, ACTG1, when compared to the GFP control group (Figure S2P)(Table S2). Many of these proteins have been shown to promote myogenic differentiation (Tiago et al. 2021; Steingruber et al. 2023; Gordon et al. 2013). Together these data indicate that the overexpression of LMOD1 is sufficient to accelerate myogenic differentiation.

Figure 3: Opposing effects of *Lmod1* knockdown and overexpression on myogenic differentiation

A. Schematic of the *Lmod1* knockdown experiment after 3 days of differentiation. siRNA directed against *Lmod1* or scramble (siCtrl) control was used to transfect primary myoblasts isolated from individual mice. Differentiation was induced by a change to differentiation medium at 0h. **B.** Overview of quantified different cell types based on their expression of myogenic markers; myogenin (red), myosin heavy chains (MHC) (green), Hoechst (blue). Scale bar: 50 μm. (a) reserve cells: Hoechst+/Myogenin-/MHC- and undifferentiated. (b) Myogenin+/MHC-: myogenin positive, MHC negative, just started to differentiate. (c) Myogenin+/MHC+: co-express both in the process of differentiation. (d) Myogenin-/MHC+: non elongated. (e) Fully differentiated myotubes: quantified by nuclei count to assess the fusion process. **C.** Representative immunofluorescence images after three days of differentiation and siCtrl or siLmod1 transfection; MHC (green), nuclei

(blue). Scale bar: 100 μm. **D - F.** Quantification of the number of nuclei per myotube (**D**), myogenin-/MHC+ cells (**E**) and

length of differentiated myotubes (in μm) (**F**). Paired t-test; *: p-value ≤ 0.05, **: p-value ≤ 0.01. **G.** Schematic of the

Lmod2 and *Lmod1/Lmod2* double knockdown experiment after 3 days of differentiation. siRNA against *Lmod1*, *Lmod2*, both

or scramble (siCtrl) was used to transfect primary myoblasts. Differentiation was induced by a change to differentiation

medium at 0h. **H.** Representative immunofluorescence images of siLmod2 and siLmod1/Lmod2 double knockdown after

three days of differentiation; devMHC (green), nuclei (blue). Scale bar: 50 μm. **I - K.** Quantification of the number of nuclei

per myotube (**I**), myogenin-/MHC+ cells (**J**), Length of differentiated myotubes (in μm) (**K**). One-way ANOVA; *: p-value

≤ 0.05, ns: not significant. **L.** Illustration of the experimental setup. Primary myoblasts isolated from individual mice

stably overexpressing (OE) LMOD1 (purple) or GFP (green) were seeded and either collected during proliferation (3d proli),

after 1 day (1d diff), 3 days (3d diff) or 5 days (5d diff) of differentiation. Differentiation was induced by a change to

differentiation medium at 0h. Cells were harvested for immunofluorescence analysis (IF), qRT-PCR, Immunoblot (WB) or

mass spectrometry (MS). **M.** Representative immunofluorescence images of primary myoblasts after one day of

differentiation, showing either stable expression of LMOD1 or GFP. devMHC (green), MYOGENIN (red) and nuclei (blue).

Scale bar is 50 μm. **N** and **O.** Quantification of nuclei per myotube of GFP OE or LMOD1 OE cells (**N**) and cells expressing

myogenin+/MHC+ defined as just differentiated cells (**O**) after 1 day of differentiation. Paired t-test *: p-value ≤ 0.05.

Related to Figure S2. In all bar plots, each symbol represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD.

Figure S2: Knockdown validation and statistical analysis of siLmod1/Lmod2 knockdown and LMOD1 OE

A. Schematic of the *Lmod1* knockdown experiment under proliferating conditions. siRNA directed against *Lmod1* or

scramble (siCtrl) control was used to transfect primary myoblasts isolated from individual mice. **B.** qRT-PCR analysis showing the relative expression of *Lmod1* after siLmod1 knockdown compared to siCtrl transfection cells, normalized to *Gapdh* expression levels. **C.** Immunoblot and quantification of LMOD1 after transfections with siLmod1 and siCtrl and two days of proliferation normalized to GAPDH. Paired t-test: *: p-value ≤ 0.05. **D.** Immunofluorescence analysis of primary myoblasts stained for the proliferation marker Ki67 (red) and Hoechst (blue). Scale bar: 100 μm. **E** Quantification of cell population found in immunofluorescence staining: reserve cells being Hoechst+/Ki67- and cells being Hoechst+/Ki67+ in siCtrl and siLmod1 transfected conditions and the total counted cells per condition. **F.** and **G.** Validation of the siLmod1 knockdown compared to siCtrl transfected cells under differentiation conditions. qRT-PCR for *Lmod1* normalized to *Gapdh* expression levels (**F**) and Immunoblot with quantification of LMOD1 signal normalized to GAPDH (**G**). Paired t-test *: p-value ≤ 0.05. **H.** Quantification of cell population found in immunofluorescence staining: reserve (Hoechst+/myogenin-/MHC-) cells, myogenin+/MHC- cells, myogenin+/MHC+, fully differentiated myotubes and total counted cells under differentiating conditions. **I.** and **J.** Validation of the *siLmod1* or *siLmod2* (single) or *siLmod1/Lmod2* (double) knockdown compared to siCtrl transfected cells under differentiation conditions. qRT-PCR for *Lmod1* and *Lmod2* normalized to *Gapdh* expression levels (**I**) and representative immunoblot with quantification of LMOD2 normalized to GAPDH (**J**). One-way ANOVA *: p-value ≤ 0.05 or numbers are indicated. ns: not significant. **K.** Quantification of cell population (in %) found in immunofluorescence staining after siLmod1 (single), siLmod2 (single) and siLmod1/2 (double) knockdown under differentiating conditions: reserve (Hoechst+/myogenin-/MHC-) cells, myogenin+/MHC- cells, myogenin+/MHC+, fully differentiated myotubes and total counted cells under differentiating conditions. Related to Figures 3I and 3J. **L and M.** Validation of LMOD1 OE compared to GFP OE cells after one day of differentiation. Relative *Lmod1* expression assessed by qRT-PCR was compared to GFP OE cells and normalized to *Gapdh* expression levels (**L**) and immunoblot with quantification of LMOD1 normalized to GAPDH (**M**). Paired t-test: *: p-value ≤ 0.05. **N and O.** Quantification of cell population found in immunofluorescence staining after LMOD1 OE compared to GFP OE: reserve (Hoechst+/myogenin-/MHC-) cells, myogenin+/MHC- cells, myogenin+/MHC+, fully differentiated myotubes, total counted cells after and myotube length (in μm) (**N**). Paired t-test: numbers are indicated, ns: not significant. Related to Figures 3N and 3O. **P.** Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was based on a gene set containing proteins that significantly increased at one day of differentiation compared to 0h/proliferating primary myoblasts (AVG Log2 Ratio > 0.58 and Q value < 0.05, 189 proteins) from the proteomic data generated in (Figure 1A). The GSEA was performed using this gene set on the comparison LMOD1 OE vs. GFP OE at 1 day of differentiation (Table S2). In all bar plots, each symbol or black dot represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD.

LMOD1 interacts with SIRT1 and SIRT2

To elucidate the mechanism by which LMOD1 contributes to myogenic differentiation, we performed a proximity-dependent biotinylation experiment (BioID) for LMOD1 to identify its interaction partners in HEK293T cells (Figure 4A). Given their sequence similarity but distinct functions during myogenic differentiation, we analyzed the interaction partners of LMOD1 and LMOD2 in parallel and focused on hits retrieved exclusively by LMOD1. Therefore, we fused the promiscuous biotin ligase (BirA*) C-terminal to Lmod1 or Lmod2. A cell line expressing only the sequence for BirA* was used as a control (BirA*-Ctrl) to account for non-specific biotinylation. The tetracycline-dependent expression of the

respective fusion proteins was validated by immunofluorescence (Figure 4B and 4C) and anti-FLAG immunoblot (Figure S3A). Biotinylation activity, after the addition of exogenous biotin, was assessed using streptavidin-HRP immunoblot (Figure S3A) and immunofluorescence stainings (Figure 4B and 4C). Subsequently, biotinylated proteins were captured using streptavidin enrichment and analyzed by mass spectrometry (Table S3). PCA analysis demonstrated a distinct separation between C-terminal fused LMOD1 and LMOD2 expression constructs (Figure 4D). Using both LMOD1 and LMOD2, we found a significant enrichment compared to the BirA*-Ctrl for known interaction partners (Fowler and Dominguez 2017; Boczkowska et al. 2015) involved in actin filament nucleation such as Tropomodulins (TMODs) and Tropomyosins (TPMs) (Figure S3B-D), validating our constructs. Interestingly, a direct comparison of streptavidin-enriched proteins from LMOD1-BirA* vs. LMOD2-BirA* revealed a subset of interaction partners that specifically interact with LMOD1. These include the histone deacetylases sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) and sirtuin 2 (SIRT2), as well as the RuvB Like AAA ATPase 1/2 (RUVBL1/2) (Figure 4E and 4F). Sirtuins are involved in various biological processes, including DNA regulation, metabolism, longevity, and myogenesis (Horio et al. 2011; Abdel Khalek et al. 2014; Vachharajani et al. 2016). In particular, a study revealed that mice with muscle-specific inactivation of Sirt1 displayed repression of the myogenic program (Ryall et al. 2015). Since SIRT1 was already associated as a potential mediator of myogenesis, we wanted to validate its preferential interaction with LMOD1 by co-immunoprecipitation independently. Therefore, we transfected SIRT1 as a GFP fusion protein in HEK293T expressing LMOD1-BirA* or LMOD2-BirA* and performed pull-down experiments using a GFP trap. Immunoblot analysis of the GFP-trap eluates using anti-BirA antibody confirmed co-precipitation between LMOD1 and SIRT1, while LMOD2 co-precipitated with SIRT1 to a lower extent (Figure 4G). To further validate the interaction in primary myoblasts, we performed *in situ* detection of LMOD1 and SIRT1 using proximity ligation assays (PLA) (Figure 4H). During proliferation, when the abundance of LMOD1 is low, only a weak PLA signal indicating close proximity of SIRT1 and LMOD1 was detectable both in the cytoplasm and the nucleus. However, at the beginning of myoblast differentiation, when the abundance of LMOD1 increases, a stronger PLA signal was detectable almost exclusively in the cytoplasm. Together these data show that LMOD1 preferentially interacts with the deacetylase SIRT1, suggesting a potential functional interaction between these two proteins in modulating myogenic differentiation.

Figure 4: LMOD1 interacts with SIRT1

A. BioID workflow. Lmod1 and Lmod2 were C-terminally fused to a promiscuous biotin ligase (BirA*) and expressed in

HEK293T cells. BirA* alone served as a control (Ctrl) to assess non-specific biotinylation. Overexpression of fusion

proteins was induced by tetracycline addition. Exogenous biotin was introduced to label interaction partners in close

proximity. Biotinylated proteins were captured using streptavidin enrichment, followed by mass spectrometry analysis to

identify and quantify proximal interactors. **B.** and **C.** Immunofluorescence analyses of LMOD1-BirA*-FLAG and LMOD2-

BirA*-FLAG in HEK293T cells 4 days after seeding without addition of any substance (-tet/-bio), with addition of only

tetracycline for 4 days (+tet/-bio) or with addition of both tetracycline for 4 days and biotin for 1 day (+tet/+bio); FLAG

(green), biotin (red), nuclei (blue). Scale bar: 20 µm. **D.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the BioID data. Ellipses

represent 95 % confidence intervals. **E.** Volcano plot of proteins enriched by streptavidin pull-down and analyzed by mass

spectrometry from LMOD1-BirA* and LMOD2-BirA*. n = 4 biological replicates (Table S3) **F.** Quantification of selected

unique interaction partners of LMOD1-BirA* and LMOD2-BirA* in comparison to BirA*-Ctrl. Each symbol represents a

biological replicate, error bars indicate the SD. One-way ANOVA, *: p-value ≤ 0.05, **: p-value ≤ 0.01. **G.** Validation

of the SIRT1-LMOD1 interaction. Cells expressing either GFP or SIRT1-GFP were used for co-immunoprecipitation using

GFP-trap. The eluates from the GFP-trap were analyzed by immunoblot using antibodies directed to BirA* or GFP. For

quantification, BirA* was normalized to SIRT1-GFP intensity. **H.** Representative images of proximity ligation assay (PLA)

(red) in primary myoblasts during proliferation and after one day of differentiation. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Figure S3: BioID for LMOD1 and LMOD2

A. Immunoblot of BirA* fusion proteins performed on lysates from HEK293T cells stably transfected with LMOD1-

BirA*-FLAG, LMOD2-BirA*-FLAG or Ctrl-BirA*-FLAG following 24 h incubation with (+tet) or without (−tet)

tetracycline. Middle panel, streptavidin-HRP blot following induction of BirA* fusion proteins with tetracycline and

supplementation of biotin for 24 h. Ponceau stainings were used as loading control. HRP: horseradish

peroxidase. **B**. Volcano plot of proteins enriched by streptavidin pull-down and analyzed by mass spectrometry from

LMOD1-BirA* and **C.** LMOD2-BirA* compared to BirA*-Ctrl. Significantly enriched (AVG Log2 Ratio > 0.58 and Q

value < 0.05), known interaction partners for LMOD1 and LMOD2 are highlighted in red (Table S3). **D.** Quantification of

selected known interaction partners of LMOD1-BirA* and LMOD2-BirA* in comparison to BirA*-Ctrl. Each symbol

represents a biological replicate, and error bars indicate the SD. One-way ANOVA, *: p-value ≤ 0.05, **: p-value ≤ 0.01.

LMOD1 and SIRT1 show dynamic subcellular localization during myogenic

differentiation

Next, we investigated how LMOD1 might influence SIRT1 activity. SIRT1 has been shown

to localize both in the cytoplasm and nucleus of neural precursor cells, murine pancreatic beta

cells, rat cardiomyocytes, and vascular endothelial cells, while LMODs have been mainly

associated with cytoplasmic localization (Hisahara et al. 2008; Tanno et al. 2010; Tong et al.

2013; Tanno et al. 2007; Conley 2001; Nauen et al. 2020). Therefore, we performed co-

immunostainings for LMOD1 and SIRT1 in primary myoblasts to determine their

localization at different stages of myogenic differentiation (Figure 5A). Overall, the total

fluorescence intensity of LMOD1 increased significantly during differentiation (Figure S4A),

while the signal intensity of SIRT1 slightly decreased (Figure S4B). However, under proliferating conditions, SIRT1 and LMOD1 predominantly localized to the nucleus (Figure 5A). Interestingly, after the initiation of differentiation, both SIRT1 and LMOD1 were clearly detectable in the cytoplasm, indicating their translocation into the cytoplasm. At a later time point (2d differentiation), the localization of SIRT1 can once again be observed in the nucleus, while LMOD1 remains in the cytoplasm. Using the fluorescent signal intensity, we quantified the cytoplasmic/nuclear ratios for both SIRT1 and LMOD1 and found that both proteins change their intracellular distribution during myogenic differentiation (Figures 5B and 5C). Furthermore, we observed a correlation between their cytoplasmic-nuclear partitioning, particularly during proliferation and at day one of differentiation (Figure 5D and 5E). The correlation between their respective localization was reduced at day 2 of differentiation, with SIRT1 displaying mainly a nuclear localization while LMOD1 remained predominantly cytoplasmic (Figure 5F). We confirmed these dynamic changes of sub-cellular localization for SIRT1 and LMOD1 by cellular fractionation experiments followed by immunoblot (Figure S4C).

SIRT1 protein abundance decreased with each day of differentiation as shown by our proteomics data and immunoblot analysis (Figure 5G and S4D). We hypothesized that the LMOD1-mediated change in SIRT1 location is especially required in early differentiation. Therefore, we repeated the cellular fractionation experiments using more narrow timepoints and LMOD1 OE cells. We then compared the nuclear fraction of the LMOD1 OE cells to the control cells to determine if LMOD1 alone can alter SIRT1 subcellular localization (Figure 5H-K). In particular, at 6 and 12 hours of differentiation, SIRT1 is highly abundant in the nucleus in control cells. However, this dynamic shifts and the SIRT1 abundance decreases in the nucleus when LMOD1 is overexpressed (Figure 5H and 5I). In line with this observation, the cytoplasmic SIRT1 abundance increased at 0h (proliferation) and 6h differentiation, suggesting a shift of SIRT1 protein into the cytoplasm upon LMOD1 OE compared to the control already under proliferating conditions (Figure 5J and 5K). Together these data demonstrate that SIRT1 and LMOD1 dynamically change their subcellular localization and that overexpression of LMOD1 favours the cytoplasmic localization of SIRT1 at early stages of myogenic differentiation.

Figure 5: Overexpression of LMOD1 influences the subcellular localization of SIRT1

A. Representative immunofluorescence stainings of LMOD1 and SIRT1 at different timepoints of myogenic differentiation; LMOD1 (purple), SIRT1 (yellow), nuclei (Hoechst, blue). Scale bar: 20 µm. **B - C.** Cytoplasmic to nuclear SIRT1 (**B**) and LMOD1 (**C**) ratio at different days of differentiation, n = 50 cells were analyzed per biological replicate per time point. **D - F.** Correlation of the cytoplasmic to nuclear ratio of LMOD1 and SIRT1 at 2 days of proliferation (R^2 = 0.47) (**D**) 1 day differentiation (R^2 = 0.42) (**E**) and 2 days of differentiation (R^2 = 0.08) (**F**). **G.** SIRT1 protein abundance upon LMOD1 OE at different timepoints, 3 day proliferation (3dp), 1 day differentiation (1dd), 3 days differentiation (3dd), 5d differentiation (5dd). Each symbol represents a biological replicate (n = 4, primary myoblasts); error bars indicate SD. **H - K.** Representative immunoblot of SIRT1 in the nuclear (**H** and **I**) and cytoplasmic (**J** and **K**) fraction, comparing GFP and LMOD1 OE at early time points of differentiation (0h: undifferentiated/proliferating, 6h, 12h, 24h of differentiated primary myoblasts). SIRT1 signal was analyzed in n = 3 immunoblots and was normalized to Ponceau. In bar plots, each symbol represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD. Paired t-test *: p-value ≤ 0.05, ns: not significant.

Figure S4: Sub-cellular localization of LMOD1 and SIRT1 during myogenic differentiation

A - B. Total immunofluorescence intensity (nucleus and cytoplasm) of LMOD1 (**A**) and SIRT1 (**B**) during different timepoints of myogenic differentiation. **C.** Representative immunoblot of the nuclear-cytoplasmic fractionation experiment in primary myoblasts at different timepoints: 2d proliferation, 1d differentiation and 2d differentiation. SIRT1 and LMOD1 signal was analyzed in (n = 3) immunoblots and was normalized to Ponceau. ALDOA signal was used as a cytoplasmic marker, and Histone 4 (H4) was used as a nuclear marker. Immunoblot analyses of LMOD1 and SIRT1 intensity for each cellular compartment are depicted in the barplots. One-way ANOVA *: p-value ≤ 0.05, ns: not significant. **D.** Immunoblot showing LMOD1 and SIRT1 protein abundance at different timepoints of myogenic differentiation (0h = proliferating conditions, 6h differentiation, 12h differentiation, 24h differentiation). Quantification of Immunoblots for LMOD1 and SIRT1 shown in barplots normalized to GAPDH. For all bar plots, each symbol represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD.

Reduction or inhibition of SIRT1 can partially restore impairments of myogenic differentiation caused by reduced LMOD1 levels

Given the interaction of LMOD1 and SIRT1, we hypothesised that LMOD1 regulates SIRT1-mediated transcription of genes that are critical for myogenic differentiation. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the impact of reduced SIRT1 levels using both a genetic (siRNA targeting *Sirt1*) and a pharmacological (25 µM EX527) small molecule inhibitor specific for SIRT1 during myogenic differentiation (Figure 6A) (Peck et al. 2010; Broussy, Laaroussi, and Vidal 2020). Primary myoblasts were transfected with siLmod1 and siSirt1 or incubated with EX527, at the time of differentiation induction. After three days of differentiation, immunofluorescence stainings were performed and analysed (Figure 6B). We confirmed the significant decrease in LMOD1 and SIRT1 levels upon siRNA-mediated knockdown through

immunoblot analysis (Figure S5A) and qRT-PCR (Figure S5B). Our results show that both
genetic and pharmacological inhibition of SIRT1 signaling effectively promoted myogenic
differentiation (Figure 6B and 6F) leading to an increased number of nuclei per myotube in
the siLmod1/siSirt1 double knockdown compared to the knockdown of LMOD1 alone,
indicating an improvement in myotube fusion after knockdown of SIRT1 (Figure 6C, 6G and
Figure S5C and S5D). Moreover, both interventions were able to counteract the negative
effects observed after LMOD1 single knockdown (Fig. 6D and 6H). Previous studies have
demonstrated that *Sirt1* knockout mice exhibited premature differentiation of MuSCs (Ryall
et al. 2015). As a measure of myogenic differentiation, we measured the length of myotubes
and observed their elongation after knocking down SIRT1 along with LMOD1 (Figure 6E
and   6I).
Next, we performed RNA-sequencing to identify downstream effectors that could explain the
improvements in myogenic differentiation observed in the siLmod1/siSirt1 double
knockdown (Table S4). Therefore we performed a knockdown of *Lmod1* and *Sirt1* two days
prior to differentiation, followed by a second siRNA transfection at the initiation of
differentiation to ensure a high knockdown efficiency. After one day of differentiation, cells
were harvested to quantify early transcriptional changes in the myogenic program after
knockdown of *Lmod1* and *Sirt1* using RNAseq (Figure 6J). Differential gene expression
analysis confirmed a significant reduction of *Lmod1* and *Sirt1* mRNA in our experimental
setup (Figure S5E). Next, we identified 246 genes being significantly and oppositely
regulated after knockdown of either *Lmod1* or *Sirt1* (Table S2). Interestingly, among these
genes, we identified a subset of known SIRT1 target genes that were previously defined by
ChIP-Seq analysis of MuSCs from WT and Sirt1[mKO] mice (Ryall et al. 2015) (Table S4).
These include key factors involved in cell signalling and differentiation, such as *Fgf6*, *Tgfa*,
Mcr, *Lifr*, and *Camk1d* (Figure 6K). Taken together, our data show that genetic or
pharmacological inhibition of SIRT1 signalling is able to partially reverse the phenotypes
induced by siLmod1 and that affecting LMOD1 level is sufficient to alter the expression of a
subset of SIRT1 target genes, highlighting the role of the LMOD1-SIRT1 axis in myogenic
differentiation.

Figure 6: Reduced SIRT1 signalling can partially reverse siLmod1-induced impaired myogenic differentiation

A. Illustration of the experimental setup. Primary myoblasts were co-transfected with *Lmod1*-specific siRNA (siLmod1) and siRNA against Sirt1 (siSirt1) (depicted in orange) or treated with SIRT1 inhibitor EX527 (depicted in pink), simultaneously with the induction of differentiation. **B./F.** Representative immunofluorescence images after *Lmod1* and *Sirt1* knockdown with siRNA at day 3 of differentiation (**B**) or after *Lmod1* knockdown and addition of 25 μM EX527 at day 3 of differentiation (**F**); MYOGENIN (red), devMHC (green), nuclei (Hoechst, blue). **C - E.** Quantification of the number of nuclei per myotube (**C**), percentage of myogenin-/MHC+ cells (**D**) and measured length of differentiated myotubes (**E**) after siRNA transfection of SIRT1 and LMOD1. One-way ANOVA *: p-value ≤ 0.05, **: p-value ≤ 0.01. **G - I.** Quantification of the number of nuclei per myotube (**G**), percentage of myogenin-/MHC+ cells (**H**) and measured length of differentiated myotubes (**I**) after siRNA knockdown of LMOD1 and EX527 treatment to inhibit SIRT1. One-way ANOVA *: p-value ≤ 0.05, **: p-value ≤ 0.01. **J.** Illustration of the experimental setup for the RNA-Seq experiment. Primary myoblasts were first seeded and then transfected with siRNA against LMOD1 or SIRT1 or siCtrl. After two days of incubation, the primary myoblasts were transfected a second time with siRNA and induced to differentiate simultaneously. After one day of differentiation, cells were harvested, and RNA was isolated for library preparation and RNAseq analysis. **K.** Heatmap indicating oppositely differentially expressed SIRT1 target genes from siSirt1 vs siCtrl an siLmod1 vs siCtrl with a log2 FC ratio > 0.58. SIRT1 target genes identified from ChipSeq experiment published in (Table S4) (Ryall et al. 2015). For all bar plots, each symbol represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD.

Figure S5: Reduced SIRT1 signalling can partially reverse siLmod1-induced impaired myogenic differentiation

A. Immunoblot validation of the LMOD1 and SIRT1 single knockdown and double knockdown (siLmod1/siSirt1) or a scrambled siRNA. Primary myoblasts were transfected at the initiation of differentiation and harvested after three days of differentiation. One-way ANOVA: *: p-value ≤ 0.05. **: p-value ≤ 0.01. **B.** qRT-PCR analysis showing the relative expression of *Sirt1* and *Lmod1* in siLmod1 or siSirt1 single knockdown or double knockdown compared to siCtrl treated cells, normalized to *Gapdh* expression levels. Paired t-test *: p-value ≤ 0.05 **: p-value ≤ 0.01. **C** and **D.** Percentage of cell populations found in immunofluorescence staining: reserve (Hoechst+/myogenin-/MHC-) cells,

myogenin+/MHC- cells, myogenin+/MHC+, fully differentiated myotubes and total counted cells under differentiating conditions after siRNA knockdown of LMOD1 and SIRT1 knockdown (**C.** related to Figure 6C - E) or siRNA knockdown of LMOD1 and EX527 treatment to inhibit SIRT1 (**D**, related to Figure 6G - I.) **E.** Normalized RNA-seq read counts of *Lmod1* and *Sirt1* after one day of differentiation after siCtrl and siLmod1 and siCtrl and siSirt1 knockdown (Table S4). Paired t-test *: p-value ≤ 0.05 ***: p-value ≤ 0.001. For all bar plots, each symbol represents a biological replicate, and the error bars indicate the SD. **F.** Working model: During the proliferation stage, SIRT1 is found in the nucleus, repressing the expression of myogenic regulating factors. However, at the onset of myogenic differentiation, LMOD1 interacts with SIRT1 and affects its subcellular localization, leading to decreased levels of SIRT1 in the nucleus. This reduction in SIRT1 levels results in the de-repression of MRFs and the initiation of differentiation.

DISCUSSION

Myogenic differentiation involves coordinated alterations of gene expression and reorganisation of the cellular architecture. This process is initiated by a network of intrinsic factors and signalling pathways that affect the expression of specific myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs). Although it is known that actin cytoskeletal dynamics are involved in myogenesis and that LMOD1 contributes to actin filament nucleation in smooth muscle cells (Guerin and Kramer 2009; Heng and Koh 2010; Xie et al. 2020; Chereau et al. 2008), the biological significance and role of LMOD1 during myogenic differentiation remained elusive so far. In this study, we found that LMOD1 is expressed by MuSCs, that it increases during the initiation of myogenic differentiation, and that reducing LMOD1 levels significantly affects myotube formation and the number of myonuclei during differentiation. Through protein interaction studies, we found that LMOD1 interacts with SIRT1, thereby affecting its subcellular localization and, consequently, the progression of myogenic differentiation. These findings suggest a regulatory mechanism that is responsible for myogenic differentiation and is mediated by LMOD1.

Our knockdown studies revealed that the reduction of LMOD1 severely affects the myogenic differentiation and myonuclear numbers. Cells that expressed myogenin started differentiating into proper myotubes, indicated by the quantification of myogenin+/MHC- and myogenin+/MHC+ cells even after knockdown of LMOD1. However, a subset of cells positive for MHC but negative for myogenin did not progress in differentiation possibly due to loss or insufficient activation of myogenin expression. Loss of myogenin has been shown to lead to deregulated mTORC1 signalling and results in faster cell cycle entry in MuSCs (Ganassi et al. 2020). Since the knockdown of LMOD1 leads to an increase of myogenin-/MHC+ cells, the observed differentiation phenotype might be at least partially explained by a direct or indirect effect of LMOD1 on myogenin expression.

We found that LMOD1 selectively interacts with SIRT1. So far, there is no direct evidence suggesting that LMOD1 and SIRT1 have a specific binding domain where they can directly bind each other. However, the comparison of primary sequences between LMOD1 and LMOD2 (Fowler and Dominguez 2017) reveals a 103 amino acid sequence unique for LMOD1, which could represent a possible binding interface. It is also conceivable that other proteins or molecules might be involved in mediating the interaction between LMOD1 and SIRT1, e.g., the RuvB-like AAA ATPase 1/2 (RUVBL1/RUVBL2). RUVBL1 participates in various complexes, including INO80, NuA4, SWR1, TIP60-P400, PAQosome (R2TP), as a

transcriptional and/or chromatin modifier, with or without its homologue RUVBL2 (Gorynia

et al. 2011; P. B. Chen et al. 2015; Lakisic et al. 2016).

SIRT1 is a protein deacetylase that can localize in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Bai and Zhang

2016; Moynihan et al. 2005; I.-Y. Chen et al. 2006), and was shown to play a critical role in

muscle differentiation and metabolism (Ryall et al. 2015; Diaz-Ruiz et al. 2015; Fulco et al.

2003, 2008). Myogenesis involves the shuttling of various proteins and myogenic regulators

between the nucleus and cytoplasm, regulated by various signals and interactions (Grifone et

al. 2021; Nguyen et al. 2022). For instance, ERK shuttles between the cell nucleus

(proliferation) and the cytoplasm (differentiation) and is involved in the transition from

myogenic cell proliferation to differentiation (Michailovici et al. 2014). We have observed

that the localization of SIRT1 changes dynamically within myogenic cells in the course of

myogenic differentiation and that the interaction between SIRT1 and LMOD1 increases

during the initial stages of myogenic differentiation. Additionally, we showed that

overexpression of LMOD1 affects the dynamic subcellular localization of SIRT1, leading to

reduced levels of SIRT1 in the nucleus. We hypothesize that relocation of SIRT1 to the

cytoplasm, mediated by LMOD1, is critical for the initiation of myogenic differentiation,

resulting in the de-repression of MRFs including myogenin. Alternatively, LMOD1 might

sequester SIRT1 within the cytoplasm, preventing its re-entry into the nucleus, which in turn

results in the expression of MRF to initiate myogenic differentiation (Figure S5F).

Previous studies have demonstrated that reducing the protein levels of SIRT1 can enhance the

differentiation of MuSCs (Ryall et al. 2015). Consistently, our data suggest that the negative

impact of knockdown of *Lmod1* on myogenic differentiation can be partially restored by co-

depletion of *Sirt1*. Our RNAseq analysis highlighted a subset of genes which were oppositely

up- or downregulated after knockdown of either LMOD1 or SIRT1, including secreted

factors and receptors that could potentially mediate this restoration of myogenic

differentiation when LMOD1 and SIRT1 levels are reduced. The identified factors include

Fgf6, *Tgfa*, *Camk1d*, *Mcr*, *Lifr*, *Isl2* and *Tgm2,* which were previously shown to be involved

in proliferation and differentiation of MuSCs (Armand, Laziz, and Chanoine 2006; Knight

and Kothary 2011; Yamane et al. 1998; Dos Santos et al. 2023; Hunt et al. 2013) by

modulating signalling pathways such as mTOR, Wnt or IGF1-PI3K/Akt-Foxo signalling

(Wang et al. 2022; Cui et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2018). Further experimental exploration of

possible downstream effectors or modulators identified by RNAseq could reveal additional

mechanisms by which LMOD1 interferes with the myogenic program.

Finally, we have discovered that the abundance of LMOD1 is approximately four times

higher in MuSCs from geriatric mice when compared to young mice. Specifically, LMOD1 tends to accumulate in the nucleus of MuSCs as mice age. Disruption of the actin organization of the cytoskeleton and dynamics in aging and disease such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) or Nemaline myopathy (NM) was shown to impact the expression of myogenic regulators and different signalling pathways (Lai and Wong 2020; Eliazer et al. 2019; Kim et al. 2022). Studies have shown that SIRT1 maintains H4K16 in a deacetylated state in quiescent MuSCs, which leads to transcriptional repression (Ryall et al. 2015). Given the SIRT1-LMOD1 interaction that we have discovered, we speculate that the nuclear accumulation of LMOD1 might affect gene expression and chromatin remodelling in aged MuSCs. However, it remains to be investigated whether the accumulation of LMOD1 is a compensatory mechanism or can lead to the dysregulation and impairment of MuSCs during aging. Identifying the molecular mechanism and potential downstream targets could be a promising strategy for discovering potential therapeutic targets for promoting muscle regeneration and improving muscle health in older adults.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mice

All wild-type mice were C57BL/6J obtained from Janvier or internal breeding at the Leibniz Institute on Aging – Fritz Lipmann Institute (FLI) using the Janvier strain. All animals were kept in groups in a specific pathogen-free animal facility with a constant 12 h light/dark cycle and fed *ad libitum*. Young mice were aged 2-6 months, old mice were aged 18-20 months and geriatric animals were aged 24-33 months.

FACS isolation of MuSCs

MuSCs were isolated from the hindlimb muscles of adult male mice of age 8 - 12 weeks. The muscles were dissected and collected in PBS, minced using scissors and digested in 2.5 g/mL collagenase B (Sigma) and 1 g/mL dispase (Sigma) for 30 min at 37 °C. Together with 12 mL of isolation medium (HAMs F10 + 20 % FBS) the digested muscle pieces were transferred into a 15 mL tube to allow the sedimentation of larger undigested muscle chunks. The sample was then filtered using a 0.74 mm cell strainer into a 50 mL tube, spun down at 450x *g* for 5 min at room temperature and resuspended in 500 mL isolation medium. The sample was then incubated on ice for 15 min with the antibodies used for FACS analysis, as indicated below. Afterwards, 15 mL PBS was added, and the sample was spun down for 5 min at 450x *g* at room temperature followed by resuspension in 1mL PBS. After filtering the cells with a 0.35 mm cell strainer staining with SYTOX Blue Dead Cell Stain (S34857, Thermo Fisher) was performed to distinguish between dead and alive cells. A BD FACSAria III was used for sorting the cells. To FAC sort MuSCs, gating was set to alpha7-integrin+ (anti-Alpha 7 Integrin 647 (clone:R2F2), 67-0010-05, The University Of British Columbia AbLab, 1:500), CD11b-(CD11b-PE, 553311, BD Bioscience, 1:500), CD31- (CD31-PE, 553373, BD Bioscience,1:500), CD45- (CD45-PE, 553081, BD Bioscience,1:500) and Sca1- (Ly-6A/E-PE, 553108, BD Bioscience, 1:500).

Cell culture

FACS isolated primary myoblasts were cultured on collagen (#354236, Corning) coated (1h at RT with 0.167 mg/mL) plates in Ham's F-10 Nutrient Mix (Thermo Fisher #31550031) supplemented with 10 % FBS (#10270106, Thermo Fisher), 1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin (#15140-122, Thermo Fisher) and 2.5 ng/mL bFGF (#13256029, Thermo Fisher) in a 37 °C incubator with 95 % humidity and 5 % CO_2. For the proliferation assay, primary myoblasts

were seeded onto collagen-coated 24-well plates (734-2325, VWR) (25,000 cells per well) and cultured for 48 h in the myoblast growth medium. For differentiation assays on 24-well plates, 75,000 primary myoblasts were seeded and cultivated for 72 h in the differentiation medium. Primary myoblasts were always harvested using 0.25 % Trypsin. For location stainings, cells were grown on collagen-coated 4-well culture slides (80426, Ibidi). For proliferation conditions, 5,000 cells were seeded per well, and 12,000 cells were seeded per well for differentiation conditions. The cells were then incubated at 37 °C.

HEK293T cells (Flp-In 293 T-Rex cells) were obtained from Thermo Fisher (R78007). HEK293T cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (#D6429, Sigma) with high glucose (5 g/l) supplemented with 10 % heat-inactivated FBS and supplementation with 100 mg/mL Zeocin (#R250-01, Thermo Fisher) and 15 mg/mL Blasticidin (#R210-01, Thermo Fisher). After generation of a stable line cells were supplemented with 100 mg/mL Hygromycin (#10687-010, Thermo Fisher) and 15 mg/mL Blasticidin. Cells were cultured in a 37 °C incubator with 95 % humidity and 5 % CO_2. For immunofluorescence staining of HEK293T, cells were grown on 50 μg/mL Poly-D-Lysine solution (Gibco™ A3890401) coated autoclaved coverslips (Carl Roth, YX03.1). Each coverslip was placed in an individual well of a 12-well Lab solute plate (with a specified number), and 25,000 cells were seeded per well.

The Platinum-E (Plat-E) cells, a potent retrovirus packaging cell line were cultured in DMEM high glucose (#D6429, Sigma) supplemented with 10 % FBS, 1 %Penicillin-Streptomycin in a 37 °C incubator with 95 % humidity and 5 % CO_2.

siRNA transfection of cells with Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX Reagent

Knockdown experiments using small interfering RNAs (siRNA) (ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool (D-001810-10-05, Dharmacon), SMART:pool ONTARGETplus Lmod1 siRNA (9530015K06Rik | SM-Lmod), SMART:pool ONTARGETplus Lmod2 siRNA (C-Lmod), SMART:pool ONTARGETplus Sirt1 siRNA (AA673258 | SIR2L1 | Sir2 | Sir2a | Sir2alpha) against a target mRNA were performed. For siRNA transfection, the Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX Kit (13778075, ThermoFisher) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Generation of overexpression cell lines

The murine stem cell virus (MSCV) retroviral expression system (634401, Takarabio) was used for overexpression studies. The MSCV vectors are optimized for introducing and

expressing target genes in stem cells such as MuSCs. The vector pENTR233.1-Lmod1

(100016603, Dharmacon, spectinomycin resistant) and GFP were blunt end cloned in the

donor vector cloneJET™ (K1232, Thermo Fisher, ampicillin-resistant) to finally generate

pMSCV.puro plasmids (634401, Takarabio, ampicillin-resistant) encoding Lmod1 and GFP,

respectively (Table 1).

Plat-E cells were transfected with 20 µg of the generated pMSCV.puro plasmids using

polyethylenimine (PEI). 60 uL PEI (1 mg/mL) were added to the plasmid mixture (3:1 ratio

of PEI:DNA), briefly mixed by inverting the tube and incubated for 20 min at RT.

Subsequently, the transfection complex was added dropwise to a 10 cm plate and incubated

for 5-6 h in 3.5 % CO2, 37 °C. The medium was changed to standard proliferation medium

(DMEM, 10 % FBS and 1 % Pen-Strep) and incubated until the next day to collect the

retrovirus. For further infection of primary myoblasts, 1 Mio cells were seeded in a p10 plate

and infected using a 1:5 ratio of retrovirus to growth medium (F10, 20 % FBS, bFGF, 1 %

Pen-Strep) mixed with 5 µl of Protamine Sulfate (8 µg/mL). Infection of primary myoblasts

was repeated the next day as previously described and incubated at 37 °C. After 48 h post-

transfection, primary myoblasts were selected with 1.25 µg/mL puromycin for up to one

week. Medium was changed every 2 - 3 days.

***In vitro* proximity labeling for BioID protocol**

HEK293T cells (Flp-In 293 T-Rex cells) expressing BirA*-LMOD1 and BirA*-LMOD2,

were generated as described by (Mackmull et al. 2017). The cells were selected using 15

µg/mL Blasticidin (GibcoTM, R21001) and 100 µg/mL Zeocin™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

R25001). Once stable cell lines were generated, the cells were selected using 100 µg/mL

Hygromycin B (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10687010) and 15 µg/mL Blasticidin (GibcoTM,

R21001).

Stable HEK293T cell lines expressing fusion proteins containing BirA* were seeded in 150

mm dishes at the density of approximately 500K cells. To induce expression of BirA* fusion

proteins, cells were treated with 1 µg/mL tetracycline (Sigma Aldrich, 87128) for four days.

Biotin (50 µM) (Sigma Aldrich, B4501) was added to the cells 24 h prior to harvest to

biotinylate proximal proteins.

Table 1: Primers for Cloning

Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')
Lmod1 sequencing primer (forward)	CTT GTG GCC GTT TAC GTC

Lmod1 sequencing primer (reverse)	CCCACTTGCTTGCTTTCATC
GFP sequencing primer (forward)	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG
GFP sequencing primer (forward)	CGACTCACTATAGGGAGAGCGGC
pMSCV.puro sequencing primer (forward)	CGAGACCTCATCACCCAGG
T7 (forward)	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG
pJet1.2 sequencing primer (forward)	CGACTCACTATAGGGAGAGCGGC
Lmod1_pcr_(forward)	CACCATGTCCAAAGTAGCTAAGTACCG
Lmod1.wSTOP (reverse)	TTATTGAAGTAGCTTGGGCACC
Lmod1.woSTOP (reverse)	TTGAAGTAGCTTGGGCACC
Lmod2.pcr (forward)	CACCATGTCTACGTTTGGCTACAGAAG
Lmod2.woSTOP (reverse)	TCTCAGAGCTTCGGGAACTTC
Lmod2.wSTOP (reverse)	TTATCTCAGAGCTTCGGGAACTTC

Skeletal muscle cryostat sections and staining

TA muscles were isolated and frozen sections (14 µm) were made using a Leica Cryostat CM 3050 (Meyer Instruments) at -21 °C and placed on glass slides. Sections were stored at -80 °C. For immunostaining, muscle cryosections were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde (v/v, CP10.1, Roth, pH 7.4). for 7 min and then permeabilized with 0.1 M Glycine (1042011000, VWR) in PBS, (pH 7.4) for 5 min and after one wash with PBS 0.5 %Triton-X was added (v/v, 3051.3, Roth) for 10 min. After another washing step with PBS sections were incubated for 20 min at 65 °C in pre-heated Citrate Antigen Retrieval buffer (10 mM Sodium citrate, 0.05 % Tween 20, pH 6.0). Slides were re-equilibrated by washing 3x with PBS for 5 min and incubated for 30 min using MOM blocking (1:40) and subsequently blocked in blocking solution (5 % horse serum (v/v) in PBS) at RT. The sections were incubated in primary antibody Pax7 (Pax7, DSHB, Hybridoma mouse IgG1, undiluted) and Lmod1 (Proteintech, 15117-1-AP, 1:500) overnight at 4 °C in a wet chamber. Sections were washed 3x with 0.1 % Triton in PBS (pH 7.4) for 5 min and secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG (Alexa Fluor® 546, A-21123, Thermo Fisher, 1:1000) and anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor® 647, A-31573, Thermo Fisher, 1:1000)) were applied for 1 h at RT. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (bisBenzimideH 33258, B2261 Sigma, 0.02 µg/µl in PBS, 1:5000) for 5 min. After washing sections 3x with 0.1 % Triton in PBS sections were mounted in Permafluor mountain medium (TA-006-FM, Thermo Fisher). Immunofluorescence microscopy was performed with the Zeiss Axio Scan Z.1 using a Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 M27 Objective (Zeiss).

Immunofluorescence staining of primary myoblasts

Primary myoblasts from proliferation or differentiation assays were fixed with 2 % formaldehyde (v/v in PBS, CP10.1, Roth) for 5 min at RT, washed twice with PBS for 5 min and were permeabilized with permeabilization solution (0.1 % Triton-X-100 (v/v, 3051.3, Roth), 0.1 M Glycine (1042011000, VWR) in PBS) for 5 min at RT. Cells were incubated for 1 h in blocking solution (5 % horse serum, v/v, 26050-088, Thermo Fisher) in PBS at RT. Incubation with primary antibodies was carried out in 5 % horse serum in PBS overnight at 4 °C. Subsequently, the cells were washed three times with PBS for 5 min and incubated with the secondary antibodies in blocking solution for 1 h at RT in the dark. Next, the cells were washed once with PBS for 5 min, stained with Hoechst (bisBenzimide H 33258, B2261 Sigma, 1:5000 in PBS) at RT for 5 min and washed twice with PBS for 5 min. The cells were stored at 4 °C in PBS in the dark until they were analyzed. For location stainings, the removable chamber was detached, and cells were mounted in permafluor mounting medium

(TA-006-FM, Thermo Fisher) and coverslips (631-1574, VWR). The cells were then

analyzed using an Axio Observer microscope (Carl Zeiss) or with an Axio Imager (Z2 using

a Plan-Apochromat 63 x / 0.8 M27 Objective) and analyzed with Zen 2 Blue Edition software

(Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

Primary antibodies used for immunofluorescence analysis (Table 3): Hybridoma mouse IgG1

myogenin (DSHB, F5D, hybridoma cell supernatant, undiluted), Hybridoma mouse IgG1

devMHC (DSHB, MF20, hybridoma cell supernatant, undiluted), anti-ki67 (ab15580, rabbit

IgG 1:500), Lmod1 (Proteintech, 15117-1-A, rabbit, IgG, 1:500) and Sirt1 (Proteintech,

60303-1-Ig, mouse, IgG2b, 1:400). Secondary antibodies: anti-mouse IgG2b (Alexa Fluor®

488, A-21141, Thermo Fisher, 1:1000), anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor® 546, A10040, Thermo

Fisher, 1:1000), anti-mouse IgG (Alexa Fluor® 546, A-21123, Thermo Fisher, 1:1000)

Immunofluorescence staining of HEK293T cells

The cells were washed 3x with 1 x PBS and fixed in 4 % formaldehyde (v/v) in PBS for 10

minutes at room temperature. After that, cells were washed again 3x with 1 x PBS and

permeabilized using a permeabilization buffer (0.7 % Triton X-100 in 1 x PBS) for 15

minutes at room temperature, followed by two washing steps with PBS for 5 minutes each.

The samples were incubated with a blocking solution (10 % (w/v) BSA, 10 % (v/v) Triton

X-100, 5 % (v/v) goat serum) for 1h at room temperature. The coverslips were incubated with

primary antibody anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich, mouse, F1804, 1:500) at 4 °C overnight. After

washing 3x with PBS, the secondary fluorescence-labeled antibody anti-mouse IgG (Alexa

Fluor® 488, A-21121, Thermo Fisher, 1:1000) in blocking solution and anti-biotin

(Streptavidin AlexaFuor® 568, S11226, Thermo Fisher, 1:2000) in blocking solution were

incubated for 1 h at RT. After washing once with PBS nuclei were stained with Hoechst

(bisBenzimideH 33258, B2261 Sigma, 0.02 μg/μl in PBS, 1:5000) at RT for 5 minutes. and

were finally washed twice for 5 minutes with PBS. Finally, the samples were washed twice

with PBS for 5 minutes, and cells were mounted in Permafluor mounting medium (TA-006-

FM, Thermo Fisher) and coverslips (631-1574, VWR). Immunofluorescence microscopy was

performed with an Axio Imager (Z2 using a Plan-Apochromat 63 x / 0.8 M27 Objective) and

analyzed with Zen 2 Blue Edition software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

Proximity ligation assay

Primary myoblasts were cultured in a collagen-coated removable 12-well chamber (81201,

Ibid) and fixed in 2 % PFA for 5 minutes at RT. Subsequently, the proximity ligation assay

(PLA) (#DUO92008, Sigma-Aldrich) was performed according to the manufacturer's
protocol. Anti-Sirt1 antibodies and anti-Lmod1 antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C.
Immunofluorescent pictures were taken with an Axio Imager (Z2 using a Plan-Apochromat
63x / 0.8 M27 Objective) and analyzed with Zen 2 Blue Edition software (Carl Zeiss
Microscopy GmbH).

Harvesting and lysis of cells for immunoblotting

To harvest the cultured cells from proliferation or differentiation assay, the medium was
removed and the cells were washed with PBS at RT. Then, 50 μl RIPA buffer (150 mM
Sodium Chloride (P029.2, Roth), 1 % Triton X-100 (v/v, 3051.3, Roth), 0.5 % Sodium
Deoxycholate (89904, Thermo Fisher), 0.1 % SDS (w/v, 75746-250G, Sigma), 50 mM Tris
(4855.2, Roth), pH8) was added to each well of the 24 well plate. The cells were carefully
scraped off the plate by using a cell scraper. Cells were incubated on ice for 20 min and
mixed by vortexing every 5 min. Cell lysis was completed by sonication using Diagenode's
Bioruptor® Plus 10x for 1 min (1 min 'on', 30 sec 'off').

Primary antibodies used for immunoblotting analysis: anti-BirA (Novus Biologicals, 5B11c3-
3, 1:500), anti-GFP (Santa Cruz, sc-9996, 1:1000), anti-mouse (Agilent Dako, P0448,
1:1500) anti-Lmod1 (Proteintech, 15117-1-AP, 1:500), anti-Lmod2 (Atlas Antibodies,
HPA051039, 1:500), anti-Sirt1 (Proteintech, 60303-1-Ig, 1:1000), anti-Aldoa (Proteintech,
67453-1-Ig, 1:20.000), anti-H4 (Cell Signaling, #2935, 1:1000), anti-Gapdh (SantaCruz, sc-
365062, 1:200). Secondary antibodies: anti-rabbit (Agilent Dako, P0447, 1:2000), anti-mouse
(Agilent Dako, P0448, 1:1500)

Table 3: Antibody-List

Antibody name	Source	Product number
Monoclonal rat IgG2a Sca1-FITC	eBioscience	11-5981-85RRID:AB_465334
Monoclonal rat IgG2a Sca1-PE (Ly-6A/E-PE)	BDBioscience	553108RRID:AB_394629
Hybridoma mouse IgG1 Pax7	DSHB	Pax7RRID:AB_528428
Polyclonal rabbit IgG Lmod1	Proteintech	15117-1-AP
Polyclonal human IgG Lmod2	Atlas Antibodies	HPA051039

Hybridoma mouse IgG1 myogenin	DSHB	F5D
Hybridoma mouse IgG1 devMHC	DSHB	MF20
Polyclonal rabbit IgG Ki-67	Abcam	ab15580
Monoclonal mouse IgG2b Sirt1	Proteintech	60303-1-Ig
bisBenzimideH 33258 (Hoechst)	Sigma-Aldrich	B2261
Monoclonal mouse M2 Flag	Sigma-Aldrich	F1804
Monoclonal mouse IgG GFP	Santa Cruz	Sc-9996
Monoclonal mouse IgG BirA	Novus Biologicals	5B11c3-3
Monoclonal mouse IgG1 Gapdh	SantaCruz	sc-365062
Monoclonal mouse IgG H4 (L64C1)	Cell Signaling	#2935
Monoclonal mouse IgG Aldoa	Proteintech	67453-1-Ig
anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor® 488	Thermo Fisher	A-21121
anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor® 546	Thermo Fisher	A-21123
anti-mouse IgG2b Alexa Fluor® 488	Thermo Fisher	A-21141
anti-mouse IgG2b Alexa Fluor® 488	Thermo Fisher	A-21242
anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor® 488	Thermo Fisher	A-21206
anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor® 546	Thermo Fisher	A10040
anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor® 647	Thermo Fisher	A-31573
Anti-Streptavidin Alexa Fluor ® 568	Thermo Fisher	S11226
Anti-Mouse Immunoglobulins/HRP	Agilent Dako	P0448

| Anti-Rabbit Immunoglobulins/HRP | Agilent Dako | P0447 |

 Protein quantification assays

 The EZQ™ Protein Quantitation Kit (R33200, Thermo Fisher) and the Invitrogen™ Qubit™

 3 Fluorometer (15387293, Invitrogen) together with Qubit™ assay tubes (Q32856,

 Invitrogen) were used for quantification of protein samples according to manufacturer's

 protocols. The TECAN microplate reader (1405005206, Tecan INFINITE M1000 PRO,

 excitation 485 nm, emission 580 nm) was used to analyze the EZQ assay.

 GFP Trap

 For the generation of cell lines transiently expressing Sirt1 fused to GFP or GFP control,

 plasmids were generated using Gateway Technology (Invitrogen). Four 10 cm dishes of

 HEK293T cells (3 million cells/dish) were used for each candidate, and prior to transfection,

 the medium was replaced with a transfection medium (DMEM with 1 % FBS, without

 antibiotics). Each plate was transfected with 5 μg plasmid DNA and 15 μg Polyethylenimine

 (PEI 25K™, Polysciences, 23966-100), both previously prepared in OptiMEM (Gibco,

 11520386). The transfection medium was changed to 5 mL DMEM with 10 % FBS and 1 %

 Pen-Strep 6 h post-transfection. Additionally, 5 μl (1 mg/mL) of Tetracycline was to the

 media and cells were incubated for 48 h at 3.5 % CO2, 37 °C. Subsequently, cells were

 harvested by trypsinization, and 20 million cells per pellet were collected for GFP-Trap pull-

 down. Each pellet was lysed in 200 μl of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1

 mM EDTA, 0.3 % Triton, 10 % Glycerol) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors.

 Tubes were placed on ice for 30 min with vortexing every 10 min, followed by brief

 sonication in a Bioruptor Plus for 5 cycles (30 sec on/30 sec off) at the highest setting and

 afterwards centrifuged at 20000x *g*, for 10 min at 4 °C. Lysate-supernatants were transferred

 to a pre-cooled tube and to each of them 300 μl dilution buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM

 NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA), was added. 25 μl of GFP Trap beads (ChromoTek GFP-Trap®

 Agarose, Proteintech, gta) were equilibrated in 0.5 mL of ice-cold dilution buffer and were

 spun down at 100x *g* for 5-10 sec at 4 °C. Beads were washed two more times with 500 μl

 dilution buffer. Cell lysates were added to equilibrated GFP Trap beads and incubated for 1 h

 at 4 °C with constant mixing. Tubes were spun at 100x *g* for 5-10 sec at 4 °C. GFP Trap

 beads were washed with 500 μl ice-cold dilution buffer, followed by two washes with wash

 buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05 % P40 Substitute, 0.5 mM EDTA). To elute

 proteins, 2 x 30 μl of 2x SDS-sample buffer (120 mM Tris pH 6.8, 20 % glycerol, 4 % SDS,

 0.04 % bromophenol blue) was added to the GFP Trap beads and boiled for 5 min at 95 °C.

823   Subsequently, the beads were collected by centrifugation at 2500x g for 2 min and

824   supernatant was collected to perform an SDS-PAGE.

Nuclear cytoplasmic fractionation experiment

Nuclear cytoplasmic fractionation was performed seeding 3 x 10^6 primary myoblasts. Prior cell harvest, cells were washed twice with 10 mL of PBS at RT to remove any residual media. Then, they were trypsinized with 0.25 % Trypsin and resuspended in 8 mL of myoblasts growth medium. After centrifuging at 500x g for 5 minutes, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in 5 mL of ice-cold PBS. At this stage, the total number of cells was counted. For hypotonic lysis, the cells were spun at 4 °C and 500x g. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 500-700 µl of Buffer A (10 mM Tris pH 7.5) containing protease inhibitor. The cells were incubated on ice for 20-30 minutes, and their swelling was monitored. Then, they were lysed by passing them through a 27G needle 15-30 times. The process was stopped when 70-80 % of the cells were lysed to prevent nuclei breakdown. Nuclei were manually counted to calculate the ratio of nuclei to total cells. The lysed cells were centrifuged at 1000x g at 4 °C to separate nuclei, and the supernatant was collected as the cytoplasmic fraction. The pellet of nuclei was resuspended in 60-100 µl of Buffer B (0.25 M sucrose, 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 25 mM Kcl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT) with protease inhibitor and the nuclei were manually counted using a hemocytometer.

RNA isolation

RNA isolation was performed with TriFast (Peqlab) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Primary myoblasts were either directly harvested into TriFast or cell pellets were resuspended and lysed by pipetting.

cDNA synthesis

cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription using 600 ng of isolated RNA. The GoScript™ Reverse Transcriptase kit (A5001, Promega) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol. Finally, the cDNA was diluted 1:10 and stored at -20 °C until further analysis.

qRT-PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Biorad). One qRT-PCR reaction mix consists of 3 µl cDNA, 5 µl iQ SYBR™ Green Supermix (1708882, Biorad), 1 µl sterile ddH2O and 0.5 µl of 10 µM forward and reverse primer (Metabion, ordered as 100 µM stock in sterile ddH2O, sequences listed in Table 2). Technical triplicates were performed for each sample. For triplicates having a Cq-SEM

859   (calculated by Bio-Rad CFX Maestro 1.1) higher than 0.4, the replicate with the largest

860   difference was excluded. The obtained Ct-values of target genes and housekeeping genes

861   were used to calculate relative expression according to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ formula (Pfaffl 2001).

863 **Table 2: Primers for qRT-PCR**

Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')
GAPDH (forward)	ATGCCAGTGAGCTTCCCGTC
GAPDH (reverse)	CATCACCATCTTCCAGGAGC
Lmod1 (forward)	AAAAGACAGGAGTGTCAAGAAC
Lmod1 (reverse)	CCCCAGAACCTATGCCCTC
Lmod2 (forward)	ACCTTATCCCGATTTGCTGAAG
Lmod2 (reverse)	ACCTTGAGCATGTCTGCAATG
MyoD (forward)	CTACAGTGGCGACTCAGAT
MyoD (reverse)	CACTGTAGTAGGCGGTGTC
Myogenin (forward)	CAGTACATTGAGCGCCTAC
Myogenin (reverse)	AAGGCAACAGACATATCCTC
Pax7 (forward)	TCTTACTGCCCACCCACCTA
Pax7 (reverse)	CACGTTTTTGGCCAGGTAAT
Sirt1 (forward)	TGATTGGCACCGATCCTCG
Sirt1 (reverse)	CCACAGCGTCATATCATCCAG
18S (forward)	CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG
18S (reverse)	GTAACCCGTTGAACCCCATT

RNA Sequencing

Primary myoblasts were seeded and pre-treated with siRNA against Lmod1 and Sirt1 for two
days under proliferating conditions. A second transfection with siLmod1 and siSirt1 was
performed simultaneously with the induction of differentiation. After one day of
differentiation, the cells were collected in TriFast and RNA was isolated using the procedure
described above. The concentration and integrity of the isolated RNA was determined using
Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher), Bioanalyzer 2100, and Tapestation RNA (Agilent).
To prepare the poly-A library, TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina, RS-122-2001)
was used according to the manufacturer's protocol. The process started with the enrichment of
poly-A-containing mRNA using magnetic beads, followed by first-strand synthesis of cDNA
using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher). The second strand synthesis
was performed using the second strand master mix (TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2
(Illumina)), and the DNA was cleaned up using Agencourt AMPure XP Beads (Beckman
Coulter, A63881). After end repair and dA-tailing, adaptors were ligated, and the library was
further enriched using the PCR Master Mix (TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina)).
The concentration and quality of the library were determined using Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer
(Thermo Fisher), Bioanalyzer 2100, and Tapestation DNA (Agilent). Finally, the libraries
were pooled and RNA-Seq and data analysis was performed by Azenta US using Illumina
NovaSeq (USA; www.GENEWIZ.com).

Whole proteome analysis

For proteomics analysis of myoblasts or Lmod1 overexpression cell lines, samples were sonicated (Bioruptor Plus, Diagenode, Belgium) for 10 cycles (60 sec ON/30 sec OFF) at high setting, at 20 °C, followed by boiling at 95 °C for 5 min. Reduction with 10 mM Dithiothreitol (DTT) (6908.3, Roth) for 15 min was followed by alkylation with iodoacetamide (IAA, final concentration 15 mM) for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Protein amounts were estimated following an SDS-PAGE gel of 10 µL of each sample against an in-house cell lysate of known quantity. 30 µg of each sample was used for digestion. Proteins were precipitated overnight at -20 °C after addition of 4x volume of ice-cold acetone. The following day, the samples were centrifuged at 20800x *g* for 30 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was carefully removed. Pellets were washed twice with 300 µL ice-cold 80 % (v/v) acetone in water and then centrifuged at 20800x *g* at 4 °C for 10 min. After removing the acetone, pellets were air-dried before addition of 25 µL of digestion buffer (1M Guanidine, 100 mM HEPES, pH 8). Samples were resuspended with sonication as explained above, then LysC (Wako) was added at 1:100 (w/w) enzyme:protein ratio and digestion proceeded for 4 h at 37 °C under shaking (1000 rpm for 1 h, then 650 rpm). Samples were then diluted 1:1 with MilliQ water and trypsin (Promega) added at at 1:100 (w/w) enzyme:protein ratio. Samples were further digested overnight at 37 °C under shaking (650 rpm). The day after, digests were acidified by the addition of TFA to a final concentration of 10 % (v/v), heated at 37 °C and then desalted with Waters Oasis® HLB µElution Plate 30 µm (Waters Corporation, MA, USA) under a soft vacuum following the manufacturer instruction. Briefly, the columns were conditioned with 3x100 µL solvent B (80 % (v/v) acetonitrile; 0.05 % (v/v) formic acid) and equilibrated with 3x100 µL solvent A (0.05 % (v/v) formic acid in Milli-Q water). The samples were loaded, washed 3 times with 100 µL solvent A, and then eluted into 0.2 mL PCR tubes with 50 µL solvent B. The eluates were dried down using a speed vacuum centrifuge (Eppendorf Concentrator Plus, Eppendorf AG, Germany). Dried samples were stored at -20 °C until analysis.

Enrichment and digestion of biotinylated proteins (BioID)

The protocol was used as described in Bartolome et al (Bartolome et al. 2023). In short, pellets corresponding to 20 Mio cells were resuspended in 4.75 mL lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 1mM EGTA; 1 % (v/v) Triton X-100; 1 mg/ml aprotinin; 0.5 mg/ml leupeptin; 250 U turbonuclease; 0.1 % (w/v) SDS) and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. Streptavidin Sepharose High Performance (GE Healthcare) was acetylated by the

addition of 10 mM sulfo-NHS acetate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min twice and then

equilibrated in lysis buffer. For each lysate 80 µl of equilibrated beads were used. Upon

loading, beads were washed 5 times with 600 µl 50 mM AmBic. On-bead digest was

performed with 200 µl LysC (5 ng/µl) at 37 °C overnight. First elution step was achieved

using two times 150 µl of 50 mM AmBic. After pooling both fractions, peptides were further

digested off-beads by adding 1 µg trypsin and incubating at 37 °C for 3 h. Biotinylated

peptides were eluted in a second elution step using two times 150 µl 20 % TFA (Biosolve) in

acetonitrile (Biosolve). Both fractions were pooled and neutralized to pH 8.0 by adding 50 µl

200 mM HEPES and sodium hydroxide as necessary. Peptides were digested further off-

beads by adding 1 µg trypsin at 37 °C for 3 h. Digested peptides from the two elution steps

were desalted using Waters Oasis® HLB µElution Plate 30 µm (Waters) according to the

manufacturer's instructions.

LC-MS/MS analysis

Peptides were reconstituted in 10 µl reconstitution buffer at a concentration of 1 µg/µl, 0.5 µl

of the HRM kit (Ki-3002-1, Biognosys) was added in a dilution recommended by the

manufacturer and 1 µl were injected for measurement. Peptides were separated using the

UltiMate 3000 UPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, for myoblasts) or nanoAcquity

UPLC system (Waters, for BioID and Lmod1 overexpressing cell lines) fitted with trapping

(nanoAcquity Symmetry C18, 5µm, 180 µm x 20 mm) and an analytical column

(nanoAcquity BEH C18, 1.7µm, 75µm x 250mm). The outlet of the analytical column was

coupled directly to Q-exactive HF (Thermo Fisher Scientific, for myoblasts) or Orbitrap

Exploris 480 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, for BioID and Lmod1 overexpressing cell lines)

using the Proxeon nanospray source. For myoblast experiment, solvent A was water, 0.1 %

FA and solvent B was 80 % (v/v) acetonitrile, 0.08 % FA. Peptides were eluted via a non-

linear gradient from 1 % to 62.5 % B in 131 min. Total runtime was 150 min, including

clean-up and column re-equilibration. The peptides were introduced into the mass

spectrometer via a Pico-Tip Emitter 360 µm OD x 20 µm ID; 10 µm tip (New Objective) and

a spray voltage of 2.2 kV was applied. The RF ion funnel was set to 60 %.

Data Dependent Acquisition (DDA) settings were as follows: Full scan MS spectra with mass

range 350-1650m/z were acquired in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 60,000 FWHM. The

filling time was set at a maximum of 20ms with an AGC target of 3×10^6 ions. A Top15

method was employed to select precursor ions from the full scan MS for fragmentation

(minimum AGC target of 1×10^3 ions, normalized collision energy of 27 %), quadrupole

isolation (1.6 m/z) and measurement in the Orbitrap (resolution 15,000 FWHM, fixed first

mass 120 m/z). The fragmentation was performed after the accumulation of $2x10^5$ ions or

after filling time of 25ms for each precursor ion (whichever occurred first). Only multiply

charged (2+ -7+) precursor ions were selected for MS/MS. Dynamic exclusion was employed

with a maximum retention period of 20s. Isotopes were excluded.

For Data Independent Acquisition (DIA), 1 μg of reconstituted peptides were loaded using

the same setup and LC conditions used for DDA. MS conditions were modified as follows:

Full scan MS spectra with mass range 350-1650 m/z were acquired in profile mode in the

Orbitrap with resolution of 120,000 FWHM. The filling time was set at a maximum of 60 ms

with an AGC target of $3x10^6$ ions. DIA scans were acquired with 40 mass window segments

of differing widths across the MS1 mass range. The default charge state was set to 3+. HCD

fragmentation (stepped normalized collision energy; 25.5, 27, 30 %) was applied and MS/MS

spectra were acquired with a resolution of 30,000 FWHM with a fixed first mass of 200 m/z

after accumulation of $3x\ 10^6$ ions or after a filling time of 35ms (whichever occurred first).

Data was acquired in profile mode. For data acquisition and processing Tune version 2.9 Q-

Exactive HF

For BioID project experiment and, solvent A was water, 0.1 % FA and solvent B was 80 %

(v/v) acetonitrile, 0.08 % FA. Peptides were eluted via a non-linear gradient from 3 % to 40

% B in 90 min. Total runtime was 115 min, including clean-up and column re-equilibration.

The peptides were introduced into the mass spectrometer via a Pico-Tip Emitter 360 μm OD

x 20 μm ID; 10 μm tip (New Objective) and a spray voltage of 2.2 kV was applied. The RF

ion funnel was set to 30 %. For Data Independent Acquisition (DIA), MS conditions were

modified as follows: Full scan MS spectra with mass range 350-1650 m/z were acquired in

profile mode in the Orbitrap with resolution of 120,000 FWHM. The filling time was set at a

maximum of 60 ms with an AGC target of $3x10^6$ ions (300 %). DIA scans were acquired with

34 mass window segments of differing widths across the MS1 mass range. The default charge

state was set to 2+. HCD fragmentation (stepped normalized collision energy; 25.5, 27, 30 %)

was applied and MS/MS spectra were acquired with a resolution of 30,000 FWHM with a

fixed first mass of 200 m/z after accumulation of $3x\ 10^6$ ions (3000 %) or after a filling time

of 40ms (whichever occurred first). Data was acquired in profile mode. For data acquisition

and processing Tune 3.1.

For Lmod1 overexpressing cell line experiment, solvent A was water, 0.1 % FA and solvent

B was acetonitrile, 0.1 % FA. Peptides were eluted via a non-linear gradient from 3 % to 40

% B in 120 min. Total runtime was 145 min, including clean-up and column re-equilibration.

The peptides were introduced into the mass spectrometer via a Pico-Tip Emitter 360 µm OD

x 20 µm ID; 10 µm tip (New Objective) and a spray voltage of 2.2 kV was applied. The RF

ion funnel was set to 30 %. For Data Independent Acquisition (DIA), 1 µg of reconstituted

peptides were loaded using the same setup and LC conditions used for DDA. MS conditions

were modified as follows: Full scan MS spectra with mass range 350-1650 m/z were acquired

in profile mode in the Orbitrap with resolution of 120,000 FWHM. The filling time was set at

a maximum of 60 ms with an AGC target of $3x10^6$ ions. DIA scans were acquired with 40

mass window segments of differing widths across the MS1 mass range. The default charge

state was set to 3+. HCD fragmentation (stepped normalized collision energy; 25.5, 27, 30 %)

was applied and MS/MS spectra were acquired with a resolution of 30,000 FWHM with a

fixed first mass of 200 m/z after accumulation of $3x\ 10^6$ ions or after a filling time of 35 ms

(whichever occurred first). Data was acquired in profile mode. For data acquisition and

processing   Tune   2.0.

Proteomics data analysis

For myoblasts DIA search against a library was performed. Acquired data were processed

using Spectronaut Professional v13 (Biognosys AG). For library creation, the DDA and DIA

raw files were searched with Pulsar (Biognosys AG) against the mouse UniProt database

(*Mus musculus,* v. 160106, 16,747 entries) with a list of common contaminants (247 entries)

Swissprot database appended, using default settings. For library generation, default BGS

factory settings were used.

DIA data were then uploaded and searched against this spectral library using Spectronaut

Professional (v.10 and v.13 Biognosys) and default settings. Relative quantification was

performed in Spectronaut for each pairwise comparison using a two-sided t-test performed at

the precursor level followed by multiple testing correction and default settings, except: Major

Group Quantity = median peptide quantity; Major Group Top N = OFF; Minor Group

Quantity = median precursor quantity; Minor Group Top N = OFF; Data Filtering = Q value

sparse; Normalization Strategy = Local normalization; Row Selection = Q value complete.

For Lmod1 overexpression cell lines, DIA raw data were analyzed using the directDIA

pipeline in Spectronaut v.14 (Biognosysis, Switzerland) with BGS settings besides the

following parameters: Protein LFQ method= QUANT 2.0, Proteotypicity Filter = Only

protein group specific, Major Group Quantity = Median peptide quantity, Minor Group

Quantity = Median precursor quantity, Data Filtering = Qvalue, Normalizing strategy = Local

Normalization. The data were searched against an in-house database (*Mus Musculus*, 16,747

entries) and a contaminants (247 entries) Swissprot database. The data were searched with the
following variable modifications: Oxidation (M) and Acetyl (Protein N-term). A maximum of
2 missed cleavages for trypsin and 5 variable modifications were allowed. The identifications
were filtered to satisfy FDR of 1 % on peptide and protein level. Relative quantification was
performed in Spectronaut for each paired comparison using the replicate samples from each
condition. The data (candidate table) and data reports (protein quantities) were then exported,
and further data analyses and visualization were performed with Rstudio using in-house
pipelines and scripts.
BioID DIA raw data were analyzed using the directDIA pipeline in Spectronaut with v.15.
The data were searched against a specific species (*Homo sapiens,* v. 160112, 20,375 entries)
and a contaminants (247 entries) Swissprot database. The data were searched with the
following modifications: Oxidation (M), Acetyl (Protein N-term) and Biotin_K. A maximum
of 2 missed cleavages for trypsin and 5 variable modifications were allowed. The
identifications were filtered to satisfy FDR of 1 % on peptide and protein level. Relative
quantification was performed in Spectronaut using LFQ QUANT 2.0 method with Global
Normalization, precursor filtering percentile using fraction 0.2 and global imputation. The
data (candidate table) and data reports (protein quantities) were then exported and further
data analyses and visualization were performed with Rstudio using in-house pipelines and
scripts.
1039
Clustering and gene set enrichment analysis
Proteomics data for the myoblast differentiation time course were filtered for protein groups
quantified by at least two unique (proteotypic) peptides. All the differentiation time points
(1d:5d) were compared to undifferentiated myoblast (0d) and protein groups that showed an
absolute AVG Log2 Ratio > 0.58 and Q value < 0.25 at any time point were selected for
clustering analysis. Determination of an optimal number of clusters, clustering, and
enrichment analysis for each cluster was performed with ClueR (Yang et al. 2015) using the
AVG Log2 Ratios to 0d as input.
A gene set was created to analyse the LMOD1 overexpression cell line using all the protein
groups differentially abundant in 1d vs. 0d myoblast differentiation time course (AVG Log2
Ratio > 0.58 and Q value < 0.05). This gene set was used as input for a gene set enrichment
analysis based on AVG Log2 Ratios from the comparison of LMOD1 OE vs. GFP OE
performed with WebGestalt (Liao et al. 2019).
1053

Statistical analysis

Mouse and cell line experiments were performed at least in biological triplicates, and numbers are indicated in the figure legends. The results are shown as the mean ± SEM unless indicated otherwise in the figure legends. Statistical significance was calculated using the GraphPad Prism software using the statistical test indicated in the figure legends, with $*p \leq 0.05$, $**p \leq 0.01$, $***p \leq 0.001$, $****p \leq 0.0001$ and ns (not significant) or nd (not detectable). RNA-seq approaches were conducted in biological replicates (n = 5) using primary myoblasts isolated from individual mice per experimental group, and statistical analysis is described in the respective section.

1063 **Data availability**
1064 The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the MassIVE
1065 (https://massive.ucsd.edu) repository with the following dataset identifier:
1066

1067 Proteomic dataset: MSV000093379

1068 Username: MSV000093379_reviewer

1069 Password: ES_Lmod1

1070

1071 RNA-Seq data have been deposited on Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) international public

1072 repository at: GSE254443

1073 Password: epkbcyyinjmxzmd

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the FLI Core Facilities Proteomics, Sequencing, Imaging, and the Mouse Facility. A.O. is supported by the German Research Council (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG) via the Research Training Group ProMoAge (GRK 2155), the Else Kröner Fresenius Stiftung (award number: 2019_A79), the Fritz-Thyssen Foundation (award number: 10.20.1.022MN), the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative Neurodegeneration Challenge Network (award numbers: 2020-221617, 2021-230967 and 2022-250618), and the NCL Stiftung. J.vM. received funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (MA-3975/2-1), the Deutsche Krebshilfe and the Carl Zeiss Foundation (DKH-JvM-861005). S.C.S. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship by the German Research Foundation (DFG, 505064275). The FLI is a member of the Leibniz Association and is financially supported by the Federal Government of Germany and the State of Thuringia.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: ES, SCS, JvM, AO
Data curation: ES, SCS, AO
Investigation: ES, IH, MH, AM
Methodology: ES, SCS, TD, JvM
Project administration: JvM, AO
Data analysis: ES, MH, AO
Supervision: JvM, AO
Visualization: ES, AO
Writing – original draft: ES, JvM, AO
Writing – review & editing: SCS

Declaration of interest

Authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Abdel Khalek, Waed, Fabienne Cortade, Vincent Ollendorff, Laure Lapasset, Lionel
Tintignac, Béatrice Chabi, and Chantal Wrutniak-Cabello. 2014. "SIRT3, a
Mitochondrial NAD$^+$-Dependent Deacetylase, Is Involved in the Regulation of Myoblast
Differentiation." *PloS One* 9 (12): e114388.
Abmayr, Susan M., and Grace K. Pavlath. 2012. "Myoblast Fusion: Lessons from Flies and
Mice." *Development* 139 (4): 641–56.
Armand, Anne-Sophie, Iman Laziz, and Christophe Chanoine. 2006. "FGF6 in Myogenesis."
Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1763 (8): 773–78.
Baghdadi, Meryem B., David Castel, Léo Machado, So-Ichiro Fukada, David E. Birk,
Frederic Relaix, Shahragim Tajbakhsh, and Philippos Mourikis. 2018. "Reciprocal
Signalling by Notch-Collagen V-CALCR Retains Muscle Stem Cells in Their Niche."
Nature 557 (7707): 714–18.
Baghdadi, Meryem B., Joao Firmino, Kartik Soni, Brendan Evano, Daniela Di Girolamo,
Philippos Mourikis, David Castel, and Shahragim Tajbakhsh. 2018. "Notch-Induced
miR-708 Antagonizes Satellite Cell Migration and Maintains Quiescence." *Cell Stem
Cell* 23 (6): 859–68.e5.
Bai, Wenlong, and Xiaohong Zhang. 2016. "Nucleus or Cytoplasm? The Mysterious Case of
SIRT1's Subcellular Localization." *Cell Cycle* .
Bartolome, Aleksandar, Julia C. Heiby, Domenico Di Fraia, Ivonne Heinze, Hannah Knaudt,
Ellen Späth, Omid Omrani, et al. 2023. "ProteasomeID: Quantitative Mapping of
Proteasome Interactomes and Substrates for in Vitro and in Vivo Studies." *bioRxiv*.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.09.503299.
Bentzinger, C. Florian, Yu Xin Wang, Nicolas A. Dumont, and Michael A. Rudnicki. 2013.
"Cellular Dynamics in the Muscle Satellite Cell Niche." *EMBO Reports* 14 (12): 1062–
72.
Bentzinger, C. Florian, Yu Xin Wang, Julia von Maltzahn, Vahab D. Soleimani, Hang Yin,
and Michael A. Rudnicki. 2013. "Fibronectin Regulates Wnt7a Signaling and Satellite
Cell Expansion." *Cell Stem Cell* 12 (1): 75–87.
Boczkowska, Malgorzata, Grzegorz Rebowski, Elena Kremneva, Pekka Lappalainen, and
Roberto Dominguez. 2015. "How Leiomodin and Tropomodulin Use a Common Fold
for Different Actin Assembly Functions." *Nature Communications* 6 (1): 1–12.
Brack, Andrew S., Heidi Bildsoe, and Simon M. Hughes. 2005. "Evidence That Satellite Cell
Decrement Contributes to Preferential Decline in Nuclear Number from Large Fibres
during Murine Age-Related Muscle Atrophy." *Journal of Cell Science* 118 (Pt 20):
4813–21.
Broussy, Sylvain, Hanna Laaroussi, and Michel Vidal. 2020. "Biochemical Mechanism and
Biological Effects of the Inhibition of Silent Information Regulator 1 (SIRT1) by EX-
527 (SEN0014196 or Selisistat)." *Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal
Chemistry* 35 (1): 1124–36.
Chakkalakal, Joe V., Kieran M. Jones, M. Albert Basson, and Andrew S. Brack. 2012. "The
Aged Niche Disrupts Muscle Stem Cell Quiescence." *Nature* 490 (7420): 355–60.
Chang, Natasha C., and Michael A. Rudnicki. 2014. "Satellite Cells: The Architects of
Skeletal Muscle." *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* 107: 161–81.
Chen, Ieng-Yi, Jacqueline Lypowy, Jayashree Pain, Danish Sayed, Stan Grinberg, Ralph R.
Alcendor, Junichi Sadoshima, and Maha Abdellatif. 2006. "Histone H2A.z Is Essential
for Cardiac Myocyte Hypertrophy but Opposed by Silent Information Regulator 2α*."
The Journal of Biological Chemistry 281 (28): 19369–77.
Chen, Poshen B., Hsiuyi V. Chen, Diwash Acharya, Oliver J. Rando, and Thomas G. Fazzio.

2015. "R Loops Regulate Promoter-Proximal Chromatin Architecture and Cellular
Differentiation." *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 22 (12): 999–1007.
Chereau, David, Malgorzata Boczkowska, Aneta Skwarek-Maruszewska, Ikuko Fujiwara,
David B. Hayes, Grzegorz Rebowski, Pekka Lappalainen, Thomas D. Pollard, and
Roberto Dominguez. 2008. "Leiomodin Is an Actin Filament Nucleator in Muscle
Cells." *Science* 320 (5873): 239–43.
Codato, Roberta, Martine Perichon, Arnaud Divol, Ella Fung, Athanassia Sotiropoulos, Anne
Bigot, Jonathan B. Weitzman, and Souhila Medjkane. 2019. "The SMYD3
Methyltransferase Promotes Myogenesis by Activating the Myogenin Regulatory
Network." *Scientific Reports* 9 (1): 1–14.
Conley, C. A. 2001. "Leiomodin and Tropomodulin in Smooth Muscle." *American Journal
of Physiology. Cell Physiology* 280 (6): C1645–56.
Conley, C. A., K. L. Fritz-Six, A. Almenar-Queralt, and V. M. Fowler. 2001. "Leiomodins:
Larger Members of the Tropomodulin (Tmod) Gene Family." *Genomics* 73 (2): 127–39.
Cui, Can, Shunshun Han, Xiaoxu Shen, Haorong He, Yuqi Chen, Jing Zhao, Yuanhang Wei,
et al. 2020. "ISLR Regulates Skeletal Muscle Atrophy via IGF1-PI3K/Akt-Foxo
Signaling Pathway." *Cell and Tissue Research* 381 (3): 479–92.
Diaz-Ruiz, Alberto, Marta Gonzalez-Freire, Luigi Ferrucci, Michel Bernier, and Rafael de
Cabo. 2015. "SIRT1 Synchs Satellite Cell Metabolism with Stem Cell Fate." *Cell Stem
Cell*.
Dos Santos, Matthieu, Akansha M. Shah, Yichi Zhang, Svetlana Bezprozvannaya, Kenian
Chen, Lin Xu, Weichun Lin, et al. 2023. "Opposing Gene Regulatory Programs
Governing Myofiber Development and Maturation Revealed at Single Nucleus
Resolution." *Nature Communications* 14 (1): 1–14.
Eliazer, Susan, Jonathon M. Muncie, Josef Christensen, Xuefeng Sun, Rebecca S. D'Urso,
Valerie M. Weaver, and Andrew S. Brack. 2019. "Wnt4 from the Niche Controls the
Mechano-Properties and Quiescent State of Muscle Stem Cells." *Cell Stem Cell* 25 (5):
654–65.e4.
Finke, Daniel, Leonard M. Schanze, Friederike Schreiter, Michael M. Kreußer, Hugo A.
Katus, Johannes Backs, and Lorenz H. Lehmann. 2022. "Histone Deacetylase 4 Deletion
Broadly Affects Cardiac Epigenetic Repression and Regulates Transcriptional
Susceptibility via H3K9 Methylation." *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*
162 (January): 119–29.
Fowler, Velia M., and Roberto Dominguez. 2017. "Tropomodulins and Leiomodins: Actin
Pointed End Caps and Nucleators in Muscles." *Biophysical Journal* 112 (9): 1742–60.
Fre, Silvia, Mathilde Huyghe, Philippos Mourikis, Sylvie Robine, Daniel Louvard, and
Spyros Artavanis-Tsakonas. 2005. "Notch Signals Control the Fate of Immature
Progenitor Cells in the Intestine." *Nature* 435 (7044): 964–68.
Friedrichs, Melanie, Florian Wirsdöerfer, Stefanie B. Flohé, Sabine Schneider, Manuela
Wuelling, and Andrea Vortkamp. 2011. "BMP Signaling Balances Proliferation and
Differentiation of Muscle Satellite Cell Descendants." *BMC Cell Biology* 12 (June): 26.
Fulco, Marcella, Yana Cen, Po Zhao, Eric P. Hoffman, Michael W. McBurney, Anthony A.
Sauve, and Vittorio Sartorelli. 2008. "Glucose Restriction Inhibits Skeletal Myoblast
Differentiation by Activating SIRT1 through AMPK-Mediated Regulation of Nampt."
Developmental Cell 14 (5): 661–73.
Fulco, Marcella, R. Louis Schiltz, Simona Iezzi, M. Todd King, Po Zhao, Yoshihiro
Kashiwaya, Eric Hoffman, Richard L. Veech, and Vittorio Sartorelli. 2003. "Sir2
Regulates Skeletal Muscle Differentiation as a Potential Sensor of the Redox State."
Molecular Cell 12 (1): 51–62.
Fu, Xin, Huating Wang, and Ping Hu. 2015. "Stem Cell Activation in Skeletal Muscle

Regeneration." *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences: CMLS* 72 (9): 1663–77.

Ganassi, Massimo, Sara Badodi, Kees Wanders, Peter S. Zammit, and Simon M. Hughes. 2020. "Myogenin Is an Essential Regulator of Adult Myofibre Growth and Muscle Stem Cell Homeostasis." *eLife* 9 (October). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.60445.

Gordon, Elizabeth A., Thomas C. Whisenant, Michael Zeller, Robyn M. Kaake, William M. Gordon, Pascal Krotee, Vishal Patel, Lan Huang, Pierre Baldi, and Lee Bardwell. 2013. "Combining Docking Site and Phosphosite Predictions to Find New Substrates: Identification of Smoothelin-like-2 (SMTNL2) as a c-Jun N-Terminal Kinase (JNK) Substrate." *Cellular Signalling* 25 (12): 2518–29.

Gorynia, Sabine, Tiago M. Bandeiras, Filipa G. Pinho, Colin E. McVey, Clemens Vonrhein, Adam Round, Dmitri I. Svergun, Peter Donner, Pedro M. Matias, and Maria Arménia Carrondo. 2011. "Structural and Functional Insights into a Dodecameric Molecular Machine - the RuvBL1/RuvBL2 Complex." *Journal of Structural Biology* 176 (3): 279–91.

Grifone, Raphaëlle, Audrey Saquet, Manon Desgres, Claudia Sangiorgi, Caterina Gargano, Zhenlin Li, Dario Coletti, and De-Li Shi. 2021. "Rbm24 Displays Dynamic Functions Required for Myogenic Differentiation during Muscle Regeneration." *Scientific Reports* 11 (1): 9423.

Guerin, Colleen M., and Sunita G. Kramer. 2009. "Cytoskeletal Remodeling during Myotube Assembly and Guidance: Coordinating the Actin and Microtubule Networks." *Communicative & Integrative Biology* 2 (5): 452–57.

Heng, Yi-Wen, and Cheng-Gee Koh. 2010. "Actin Cytoskeleton Dynamics and the Cell Division Cycle." *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology* 42 (10): 1622–33.

Higuchi-Sanabria, Ryo, Joseph W. Paul, Jenni Durieux, Camila Benitez, Phillip A. Frankino, Sarah U. Tronnes, Gilberto Garcia, Joseph R. Daniele, Samira Monshietehadi, and Andrew Dillin. 2018. "Spatial Regulation of the Actin Cytoskeleton by HSF-1 during Aging." *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 29 (21): 2522–27.

Hisahara, Shin, Susumu Chiba, Hiroyuki Matsumoto, Masaya Tanno, Hideshi Yagi, Shun Shimohama, Makoto Sato, and Yoshiyuki Horio. 2008. "Histone Deacetylase SIRT1 Modulates Neuronal Differentiation by Its Nuclear Translocation." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105 (40): 15599–604.

Horio, Yoshiyuki, Takashi Hayashi, Atsushi Kuno, and Risa Kunimoto. 2011. "Cellular and Molecular Effects of Sirtuins in Health and Disease." *Clinical Science* 121 (5): 191–203.

Hung, Margaret, Hsiao-Fan Lo, Grace E. L. Jones, and Robert S. Krauss. 2023. "The Muscle Stem Cell Niche at a Glance." *Journal of Cell Science* 136 (24). https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.261200.

Hunt, Liam C., Aradhana Upadhyay, Jalal A. Jazayeri, Elizabeth M. Tudor, and Jason D. White. 2013. "An Anti-Inflammatory Role for Leukemia Inhibitory Factor Receptor Signaling in Regenerating Skeletal Muscle." *Histochemistry and Cell Biology* 139 (1): 13–34.

Hwang, Ara B., and Andrew S. Brack. 2018. "Muscle Stem Cells and Aging." *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* 126: 299–322.

Kim, Yu Jin, Min Jeong Cho, Won Dong Yu, Myung Joo Kim, Sally Yunsun Kim, and Jae Ho Lee. 2022. "Links of Cytoskeletal Integrity with Disease and Aging." *Cells* 11 (18). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11182896.

Knight, James Dr, and Rashmi Kothary. 2011. "The Myogenic Kinome: Protein Kinases Critical to Mammalian Skeletal Myogenesis." *Skeletal Muscle* 1 (September): 29.

Lai, Wing-Fu, and Wing-Tak Wong. 2020. "Roles of the Actin Cytoskeleton in Aging and

Age-Associated Diseases." *Ageing Research Reviews* 58 (March): 101021.

Lakisic, Goran, Alice Lebreton, Renaud Pourpre, Olivia Wendling, Emanuele Libertini, Elizabeth J. Radford, Morwenna Le Guillou, et al. 2016. "Role of the BAHD1 Chromatin-Repressive Complex in Placental Development and Regulation of Steroid Metabolism." *PLoS Genetics* 12 (3): e1005898.

Larraín, J., J. Alvarez, J. R. Hassell, and E. Brandan. 1997. "Expression of Perlecan, a Proteoglycan That Binds Myogenic Inhibitory Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor, Is down Regulated during Skeletal Muscle Differentiation." *Experimental Cell Research* 234 (2): 405–12.

Lepper, Christoph, Terence A. Partridge, and Chen-Ming Fan. 2011. "An Absolute Requirement for Pax7-Positive Satellite Cells in Acute Injury-Induced Skeletal Muscle Regeneration." *Development* 138 (17): 3639–46.

Liao, Yuxing, Jing Wang, Eric J. Jaehnig, Zhiao Shi, and Bing Zhang. 2019. "WebGestalt 2019: Gene Set Analysis Toolkit with Revamped UIs and APIs." *Nucleic Acids Research* 47 (W1): W199–205.

Lukjanenko, Laura, M. Juliane Jung, Nagabhooshan Hegde, Claire Perruisseau-Carrier, Eugenia Migliavacca, Michelle Rozo, Sonia Karaz, et al. 2016. "Loss of Fibronectin from the Aged Stem Cell Niche Affects the Regenerative Capacity of Skeletal Muscle in Mice." *Nature Medicine* 22 (8): 897–905.

Lu-Nguyen, Ngoc, Alberto Malerba, Linda Popplewell, Fred Schnell, Gunnar Hanson, and George Dickson. 2017. "Systemic Antisense Therapeutics for Dystrophin and Myostatin Exon Splice Modulation Improve Muscle Pathology of Adult Mdx Mice." *Molecular Therapy. Nucleic Acids* 6 (March): 15–28.

Mackmull, Marie-Therese, Bernd Klaus, Ivonne Heinze, Manopriya Chokkalingam, Andreas Beyer, Robert B. Russell, Alessandro Ori, and Martin Beck. 2017. "Landscape of Nuclear Transport Receptor Cargo Specificity." *Molecular Systems Biology* 13 (12): 962.

Maltzahn, Julia von, Andrew E. Jones, Robin J. Parks, and Michael A. Rudnicki. 2013. "Pax7 Is Critical for the Normal Function of Satellite Cells in Adult Skeletal Muscle." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110 (41): 16474–79.

Massenet, Jimmy, Edward Gardner, Bénédicte Chazaud, and F. Jeffrey Dilworth. 2021. "Epigenetic Regulation of Satellite Cell Fate during Skeletal Muscle Regeneration." *Skeletal Muscle* 11 (1): 4.

Mauro, A. 1961. "Satellite Cell of Skeletal Muscle Fibers." *The Journal of Biophysical and Biochemical Cytology* 9 (2): 493–95.

Meyer, Gretchen A., Simon Schenk, and Richard L. Lieber. 2013. "Role of the Cytoskeleton in Muscle Transcriptional Responses to Altered Use." *Physiological Genomics* 45 (8): 321–31.

Michailovici, Inbal, Heather A. Harrington, Hadar Hay Azogui, Yfat Yahalom-Ronen, Alexander Plotnikov, Saunders Ching, Michael P. H. Stumpf, Ophir D. Klein, Rony Seger, and Eldad Tzahor. 2014. "Nuclear to Cytoplasmic Shuttling of ERK Promotes Differentiation of Muscle Stem/progenitor Cells." *Development* 141 (13): 2611–20.

Moresi, Viviana, Michele Carrer, Chad E. Grueter, Oktay F. Rifki, John M. Shelton, James A. Richardson, Rhonda Bassel-Duby, and Eric N. Olson. 2012. "Histone Deacetylases 1 and 2 Regulate Autophagy Flux and Skeletal Muscle Homeostasis in Mice." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109 (5): 1649–54.

Mournetas, Virginie, Emmanuelle Massouridès, Jean-Baptiste Dupont, Etienne Kornobis, Hélène Polvèche, Margot Jarrige, Alan R. L. Dorval, et al. 2021. "Myogenesis Modelled by Human Pluripotent Stem Cells: A Multi-Omic Study of Duchenne Myopathy Early Onset." *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle* 12 (1): 209–32.

Moynihan, Kathryn A., Andrew A. Grimm, Marie M. Plueger, Ernesto Bernal-Mizrachi, Eric Ford, Corentin Cras-Méneur, M. Alan Permutt, and Shin-Ichiro Imai. 2005. "Increased Dosage of Mammalian Sir2 in Pancreatic Beta Cells Enhances Glucose-Stimulated Insulin Secretion in Mice." *Cell Metabolism* 2 (2): 105–17.

Murphy, Malea M., Jennifer A. Lawson, Sam J. Mathew, David A. Hutcheson, and Gabrielle Kardon. 2011. "Satellite Cells, Connective Tissue Fibroblasts and Their Interactions Are Crucial for Muscle Regeneration." *Development* 138 (17): 3625–37.

Nanda, Vivek, and Joseph M. Miano. 2012. "Leiomodin 1, a New Serum Response Factor-Dependent Target Gene Expressed Preferentially in Differentiated Smooth Muscle Cells." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 287 (4): 2459–67.

Nanda, Vivek, Ting Wang, Milos Pjanic, Boxiang Liu, Trieu Nguyen, Ljubica Perisic Matic, Ulf Hedin, et al. 2018. "Functional Regulatory Mechanism of Smooth Muscle Cell-Restricted LMOD1 Coronary Artery Disease Locus." *PLoS Genetics* 14 (11): e1007755.

Nauen, David W., Michael C. Haffner, Juyun Kim, Qizhi Zheng, Hao Yin, Angelo M. DeMarzo, Vasiliki Mahairaki, Carlo Colantuoni, J. Geoffrey Pickering, and Tory P. Johnson. 2020. "Putative Autoantigen Leiomodin-1 Is Expressed in the Human Brain and in the Membrane Fraction of Newly Formed Neurons." *Pathogens* 9 (12). https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9121036.

Nguyen, Mai Thi, You Han Won, Tae Won Kwon, and Wan Lee. 2022. "Twinfilin-1 Is an Essential Regulator of Myogenic Differentiation through the Modulation of YAP in C2C12 Myoblasts." *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 599 (April): 17–23.

Peck, Barrie, Chun-Yuan Chen, Ka-Kei Ho, Paolo Di Fruscia, Stephen S. Myatt, R. Charles Coombes, Matthew J. Fuchter, Chwan-Deng Hsiao, and Eric W-F Lam. 2010. "SIRT Inhibitors Induce Cell Death and p53 Acetylation through Targeting Both SIRT1 and SIRT2." *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 9 (4): 844–55.

Pfaffl, M. W. 2001. "A New Mathematical Model for Relative Quantification in Real-Time RT-PCR." *Nucleic Acids Research* 29 (9): e45.

Pollard, Thomas D., and John A. Cooper. 2009. "Actin, a Central Player in Cell Shape and Movement." *Science* 326 (5957): 1208–12.

Qualmann, Britta, and Michael M. Kessels. 2009. "New Players in Actin Polymerization--WH2-Domain-Containing Actin Nucleators." *Trends in Cell Biology* 19 (6): 276–85.

Ryall, James G., Stefania Dell'Orso, Assia Derfoul, Aster Juan, Hossein Zare, Xuesong Feng, Daphney Clermont, et al. 2015. "The NAD(+)-Dependent SIRT1 Deacetylase Translates a Metabolic Switch into Regulatory Epigenetics in Skeletal Muscle Stem Cells." *Cell Stem Cell* 16 (2): 171–83.

Saccone, Valentina, and Pier Lorenzo Puri. 2010. "Epigenetic Regulation of Skeletal Myogenesis." *Organogenesis* 6 (1): 48–53.

Sambasivan, Ramkumar, Roseline Yao, Adrien Kissenpfennig, Laetitia Van Wittenberghe, Andràs Paldi, Barbara Gayraud-Morel, Hind Guenou, Bernard Malissen, Shahragim Tajbakhsh, and Anne Galy. 2011. "Pax7-Expressing Satellite Cells Are Indispensable for Adult Skeletal Muscle Regeneration." *Development* 138 (17): 3647–56.

Schmidt, Manuel, Svenja C. Schüler, Sören S. Hüttner, Björn von Eyss, and Julia von Maltzahn. 2019. "Adult Stem Cells at Work: Regenerating Skeletal Muscle." *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences: CMLS* 76 (13): 2559–70.

Schüler, Svenja C., Joanna M. Kirkpatrick, Manuel Schmidt, Deolinda Santinha, Philipp Koch, Simone Di Sanzo, Emilio Cirri, Martin Hemberg, Alessandro Ori, and Julia von Maltzahn. 2021. "Extensive Remodeling of the Extracellular Matrix during Aging Contributes to Age-Dependent Impairments of Muscle Stem Cell Functionality." *Cell Reports* 35 (10): 109223.

Seale, Patrick. 2003. *Pax7 Is Required for Muscle Satellite Cell Specification and Regenerative Myogenesis*. McMaster University.

Shefer, Gabi, Daniel P. Van de Mark, Joshua B. Richardson, and Zipora Yablonka-Reuveni. 2006. "Satellite-Cell Pool Size Does Matter: Defining the Myogenic Potency of Aging Skeletal Muscle." *Developmental Biology* 294 (1): 50–66.

Sousa-Victor, Pedro, Laura García-Prat, Antonio L. Serrano, Eusebio Perdiguero, and Pura Muñoz-Cánoves. 2015. "Muscle Stem Cell Aging: Regulation and Rejuvenation." *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism: TEM* 26 (6): 287–96.

Sousa-Victor, Pedro, Susana Gutarra, Laura García-Prat, Javier Rodriguez-Ubreva, Laura Ortet, Vanessa Ruiz-Bonilla, Mercè Jardí, et al. 2014. "Geriatric Muscle Stem Cells Switch Reversible Quiescence into Senescence." *Nature* 506 (7488): 316–21.

Steingruber, Laura, Florian Krabichler, Sophie Franzmeier, Wei Wu, Jürgen Schlegel, and Marco Koch. 2023. "ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 Paralogues of Aldehyde Dehydrogenase 1 Control Myogenic Differentiation of Skeletal Muscle Satellite Cells by Retinoic Acid-Dependent and -Independent Mechanisms." *Cell and Tissue Research* 394 (3): 515–28.

Szklarczyk, Damian, Andrea Franceschini, Michael Kuhn, Milan Simonovic, Alexander Roth, Pablo Minguez, Tobias Doerks, et al. 2011. "The STRING Database in 2011: Functional Interaction Networks of Proteins, Globally Integrated and Scored." *Nucleic Acids Research* 39 (Database issue): D561–68.

Tanno, Masaya, Atsushi Kuno, Toshiyuki Yano, Tetsuji Miura, Shin Hisahara, Satoko Ishikawa, Kazuaki Shimamoto, and Yoshiyuki Horio. 2010. "Induction of Manganese Superoxide Dismutase by Nuclear Translocation and Activation of SIRT1 Promotes Cell Survival in Chronic Heart Failure." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285 (11): 8375–82.

Tanno, Masaya, Jun Sakamoto, Tetsuji Miura, Kazuaki Shimamoto, and Yoshiyuki Horio. 2007. "Nucleocytoplasmic Shuttling of the NAD+-Dependent Histone Deacetylase SIRT1." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282 (9): 6823–32.

Tiago, Tatiana, Barbara Hummel, Federica F. Morelli, Valentina Basile, Jonathan Vinet, Veronica Galli, Laura Mediani, et al. 2021. "Small Heat-Shock Protein HSPB3 Promotes Myogenesis by Regulating the Lamin B Receptor." *Cell Death & Disease* 12 (5): 1–19.

Tiffin, N., R. D. Williams, J. Shipley, and K. Pritchard-Jones. 2003. "PAX7 Expression in Embryonal Rhabdomyosarcoma Suggests an Origin in Muscle Satellite Cells." *British Journal of Cancer* 89 (2): 327–32.

Tolkatchev, Dmitri, Carol C. Gregorio, and Alla S. Kostyukova. 2022. "The Role of Leiomodin in Actin Dynamics: A New Road or a Secret Gate." *The FEBS Journal* 289 (20): 6119–31.

Tong, Chao, Alex Morrison, Samantha Mattison, Su Qian, Mark Bryniarski, Bethany Rankin, Jun Wang, D. Paul Thomas, and Ji Li. 2013. "Impaired SIRT1 Nucleocytoplasmic Shuttling in the Senescent Heart during Ischemic Stress." *FASEB Journal: Official Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology* 27 (11): 4332–42.

Tsukada, Takehiro, Christopher T. Pappas, Natalia Moroz, Parker B. Antin, Alla S. Kostyukova, and Carol C. Gregorio. 2010. "Leiomodin-2 Is an Antagonist of Tropomodulin-1 at the Pointed End of the Thin Filaments in Cardiac Muscle." *Journal of Cell Science* 123 (Pt 18): 3136–45.

Vachharajani, Vidula T., Tiefu Liu, Xianfeng Wang, Jason J. Hoth, Barbara K. Yoza, and Charles E. McCall. 2016. "Sirtuins Link Inflammation and Metabolism." *Journal of Immunology Research* 2016 (January): 8167273.

Voronova, Anastassia, Erin Coyne, Ashraf Al Madhoun, Joel V. Fair, Neven Bosiljcic, Catherine St-Louis, Grace Li, et al. 2013. "Hedgehog Signaling Regulates MyoD

Expression and Activity." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 288 (6): 4389–4404.

Wang, Dongdong, Dandan Zhao, Yuan Li, Tingjun Dai, Fuchen Liu, and Chuanzhu Yan. 2022. "TGM2 Positively Regulates Myoblast Differentiation via Enhancing the mTOR Signaling." *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, Molecular Cell Research* 1869 (3): 119173.

Winder, S. J., T. J. Gibson, and J. Kendrick-Jones. 1995. "Dystrophin and Utrophin: The Missing Links!" *FEBS Letters* 369 (1): 27–33.

Winter, Lilli, and Gerhard Wiche. 2013. "The Many Faces of Plectin and Plectinopathies: Pathology and Mechanisms." *Acta Neuropathologica* 125 (1): 77–93.

Xie, Xin, S. Raza Mahmood, Tamara Gjorgjieva, and Piergiorgio Percipalle. 2020. "Emerging Roles of Cytoskeletal Proteins in Regulating Gene Expression and Genome Organization during Differentiation." *Nucleus* 11 (1): 53–65.

Yamakawa, Hiroyuki, Dai Kusumoto, Hisayuki Hashimoto, and Shinsuke Yuasa. 2020. "Stem Cell Aging in Skeletal Muscle Regeneration and Disease." *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21 (5). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051830.

Yamane, A., K. Takahashi, M. Mayo, H. Vo, L. Shum, M. Zeichner-David, and H. C. Slavkin. 1998. "Induced Expression of myoD, Myogenin and Desmin during Myoblast Differentiation in Embryonic Mouse Tongue Development." *Archives of Oral Biology* 43 (5): 407–16.

Yang, Pengyi, Xiaofeng Zheng, Vivek Jayaswal, Guang Hu, Jean Yee Hwa Yang, and Raja Jothi. 2015. "Knowledge-Based Analysis for Detecting Key Signaling Events from Time-Series Phosphoproteomics Data." *PLoS Computational Biology* 11 (8): e1004403.

Yuen, Michaela, Lisa Worgan, Jessika Iwanski, Christopher T. Pappas, Himanshu Joshi, Jared M. Churko, Susan Arbuckle, et al. 2022. "Neonatal-Lethal Dilated Cardiomyopathy due to a Homozygous LMOD2 Donor Splice-Site Variant." *European Journal of Human Genetics: EJHG* 30 (4): 450–57.

Zammit, Peter S., Frederic Relaix, Yosuke Nagata, Ana Pérez Ruiz, Charlotte A. Collins, Terence A. Partridge, and Jonathan R. Beauchamp. 2006. "Pax7 and Myogenic Progression in Skeletal Muscle Satellite Cells." *Journal of Cell Science* 119 (Pt 9): 1824–32.

Zhang, Kuo, Yuying Zhang, Lijie Gu, Miaomiao Lan, Chuncheng Liu, Meng Wang, Yang Su, et al. 2018. "Islr Regulates Canonical Wnt Signaling-Mediated Skeletal Muscle Regeneration by Stabilizing Dishevelled-2 and Preventing Autophagy." *Nature Communications* 9 (1): 1–16.