Title page

Sonic hedgehog medulloblastoma cells in co-culture with cerebellar organoids converge

towards *in vivo* malignant cell states

Max J. van Essen[1,2], Alina Nicheperovich[3], Benjamin Schuster-Böckler[3,4], Esther B. E.

Becker[1,2] and John Jacob[1*]

[1]Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 9DU,

United Kingdom

[2]Kavli Institute for Nanoscience Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QU, United

Kingdom

[3]Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Medicine

Old Road Campus Research Building, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7DQ, United Kingdom

[4]Big Data Institute, University of Oxford, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and

Discovery, Old Road Campus, Oxford OX3 7LF, United Kingdom

*Correspondence: john.jacob@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

In the malignant brain tumour sonic hedgehog medulloblastoma (SHH-MB) the properties of

cancer cells are influenced by their microenvironment, but the nature of those effects and

the phenotypic consequences for the tumour are poorly understood. The aim of this study

was to identify phenotypic properties of SHH-MB cells that were driven by the non-

malignant tumour microenvironment.

Methods

Human induced pluripotent cells (iPSC) were differentiated to cerebellar organoids to

simulate the non-malignant tumour microenvironment. Tumour spheroids were generated

from two distinct, long-established SHH-MB cell lines which were co-cultured with

cerebellar organoids. We profiled the cellular transcriptomes of malignant and non-

malignant cells by performing droplet-based single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq). The

transcriptional profiles of tumour cells in co-culture were compared with those of malignant

cells cultured in isolation and with public SHH-MB datasets of patient tumours and patient-

derived xenograft (PDX) models.

Results

SHH-MB cell lines in organoid co-culture adopted patient tumour-associated phenotypes

and showed increased heterogeneity compared to monocultures. Sub-populations of co-

cultured SHH-MB cells activated a key marker of differentiating granule cells, *NEUROD1* that

was not observed in tumour monocultures. Other sub-populations expressed transcriptional

determinants consistent with a cancer stem cell (CSC)-like state that resembled cell states

identified *in vivo*.

Conclusion

For SHH-MB cell lines in co-culture, there was a convergence of malignant cell states

towards patterns of heterogeneity in patient tumours and PDX models implying these states

were non-cell autonomously induced by the microenvironment. Therefore, we have

generated an advanced, novel *in vitro* model of SHH-MB with potential translational

applications.

Introduction

Medulloblastoma (MB), a heterogeneous cerebellar tumour consisting of four major subtypes is the commonest malignant brain tumour of childhood, [1] of which nearly a third are classed as Sonic Hedgehog medulloblastoma (SHH-MB).[2] During development, SHH acting as a mitogen promotes massive expansion of the upper rhombic lip-derived cerebellar granule cell population in the external granule layer (EGL).[3] Somatic or germ-line mutations and focal somatic copy number alterations in SHH pathway tumour suppressors or oncogenes are the commonest events leading to aberrant SHH pathway activation and tumorigenesis in granule progenitors.[2] High-risk variants of SHH-MB still carry a dismal prognosis, which emphasises the importance of gaining a greater understanding of how tumours progress so that improved therapies can be developed.

In patients and mouse models, SHH-MB contains SOX2$^+$ tumour-propagating neural stem-like cells, equivalent to cancer stem cells (CSC) and more differentiated cells.[2] By hijacking mechanisms of self-renewal in neural stem cells (NSC) and pluripotent stem cells, CSC can replenish tumours in transplantation assays.[4] During mammalian neurogenesis, initial ubiquitous SOX2 expression in the early embryonic central nervous system attenuates as the balance shifts from self-renewal to differentiation.[5] By contrast, in SHH-MB persistent SOX2-expressing granule progenitor-derived CSC can reconstitute tumours after therapy and support a hierarchical model of tumorigenesis.[6] Furthermore, cross-talk between the microenvironment and other brain tumour types suggests that cell extrinsic factors could also influence malignant cell states.[4] However, the complexity of the *in vivo* tumour microenvironment poses a challenge in discerning the involvement of distinct non-malignant components.

To address how the microenvironment affects tumour progression, we sought to evaluate the phenotypes of SHH-MB cells using single-cell transcriptomics, under defined conditions that we anticipated might simulate *in vivo* tumour growth. To this end we leveraged cerebellar organoid differentiation from human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC) through their co-culture with two SHH-MB cell lines [7] that were compared with conventional *in vitro* tumour monocultures. Organoids can be grown in xeno-free culture medium and

they contain defined neuronal and glial cell types. Although lacking immune cells or blood

vessels, they provide more physiological conditions than conventional *in vitro* models

enabling the evaluation of tumour cell phenotypes in a simulated native

microenvironment.[8]

Materials and Methods

<u>Organoid differentiation</u>

Cerebellar organoid differentiation was performed as described previously.[9] In brief, human

iPSC line AH017-3 cells [10] were detached by incubation with pre-warmed TrypLE for 5

minutes. Cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in induction medium (50%

IMDM, 50% F12, 7ug/mL Insulin, 5mg/mL BSA, 1X Chemically defined Lipid concentrate,

450uM Monothioglycerol, 15ug/mL Apo-transferrin, 1X Penicillin/Streptomycin) at a final

concentration of 100,000 cells/ml. 10,000 cells per well were plated in an ultra-low-

attachment V-bottom 96 well plate and returned to the incubator. After two days, FGF2 was

added at a final concentration of 50 ng/ml. One-third medium change was performed at day

7 and full medium change at day 14. On this day, the organoids were also transferred into a

low-attachment 48-well plate. On day 21, medium was changed to differentiation medium

(Neurobasal + 1% N2 supplement + 1% GlutaMAX + 1X Penicillin/Streptomycin) and

subsequent full medium changes were performed on days 28 and 35. After day 35, medium

was changed twice/week.

<u>Medulloblastoma cell lines, tumour spheroids and organoid-tumour spheroid co-culture</u>

DAOY-GFP cells (gift from Vincenzo D'Angiolella, DAOY cell line originally purchased from

ATCC) were grown in monolayer culture in MEM, 1% GlutaMAX, 1X Penicillin/Streptomycin

and 1% FBS (cell line authentication in Supplementary Table 1). ONS-76-Luciferase-GFP cells

(gift from Louis Chesler; ONS-76 cell line originally purchased from JCRB Cell Bank by Steven

Clifford) were grown in monolayer culture in RPMI + 1% GlutaMAX + 1X

Penicillin/Streptomycin + 1% FBS (Supplementary Table 1). Medium was changed twice per

week. To generate tumour spheroids, cells were detached by incubation with pre-warmed

TrypLE for 5 minutes and collected by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in

differentiation medium at a concentration of 200,000 cells/ml and plated in an ultra-low-

attachment V-bottom 96-well plate at 20,000 cells per well. In preparation for cerebellar

organoid-tumour spheroid co-culture, one week prior to the start of co-culture, tumour

spheroids were generated as described above. One tumour spheroid was placed with one

day 35 organoid in a low-attachment 24-well plate in identical differentiation medium. The

size ratio of the organoid to the tumour spheroid of approximately five-to-one ensured that

the organoid microenvironment could encompass the tumour spheroid to facilitate their

interaction. To allow fusion of the tumour spheroid and the organoid, the plate was

incubated for two days at a 45-degree angle. Medium was changed twice per week and the

cells were maintained in co-culture, alongside tumour spheroid and tumour monolayer

cultures for a further 25 days (Fig. 1A).

<u>Single cell isolation</u>

Day 60 organoids and organoid-tumour co-culture samples were collected using a wide bore

pipette tip and placed in a 6-well plate. Five tumour spheroid-organoid co-cultures for each

cell line and a similar number of control organoids were each cut in four pieces and placed

in an Eppendorf tube. After removal of excess medium, 200 µl of pre-warmed (to 37°C)

Neuron isolation enzyme was added and the tube was placed in a 37°C water bath.

Organoids were incubated with the enzyme for 30 minutes with intermittent agitation. The

enzyme was removed and organoids were washed once with HHGN medium (HBSS + 2.5mM

HEPES + 35mM Glucose + 4mM $NaHCO_3$). Organoids were then dissociated in differentiation

medium by gentle trituration. Afterwards, the cell suspension was enriched for viable cells

using the dead cell removal kit (Miltenyi Biotec) following the manufacturers protocol. Cell

viability was determined using Trypan Blue staining and counted using an automated cell

counter (Applied Biosystems Countess 3). Cells were centrifuged at 300 x g for 5 minutes

and resuspended in the appropriate volume of resuspension buffer (HBSS + 0.04% BSA) to a

final concentration of 2000 cells/µl before proceeding with single-cell RNA sequencing.

Thirty tumour spheroids were collected using a wide bore pipette tip and placed in an

Eppendorf tube. Excess medium was removed and 200 µl of pre-warmed Neuron isolation

enzyme (Gibco) was added. The tube was placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes and

agitated every 5 minutes. Subsequent processing was performed following the same steps

as described above. DAOY and ONS-76 cell monolayer cultures were dissociated by

incubation with pre-warmed TrypLE for 5 minutes. TrypLE was then diluted in PBS before

centrifugation at 400 x *g* for 5 minutes to collect the cells. Cells were washed once in

differentiation medium before processing as described above. Single cell barcoding and

reverse transcription of poly-A mRNA was performed using the 10X Chromium controller (3'

version 3.1 chemistry) and sequencing of single-cell libraries was performed on an Illumina

NovaSeq6000 sequencing system according to the manufacturer's instructions.

<u>Data pre-processing and analysis</u>

Initial sequencing data exploration was performed using Cell Ranger v6.1.1. For each dataset

we removed cells with 10% or higher mitochondrial gene expression [11] and genes expressed

in fewer than 10 cells. Sequencing confirmed the greater proportion of non-malignant to

malignant cell types in co-culture which was expected given the relative sizes of the

organoid and tumour spheroid (Supplementary Table 2). Downstream analysis largely

implemented the workflow of Seurat v4, which is robust to limited cell numbers.[12] We used

the 'sctransform' modelling framework, which corrects counts for each gene in a cell by

regressing out sequencing depth.[13] The difference between G2M and S phase scores was

regressed out so that differences in cell cycle phase among proliferating cells was

eliminated, while at the same time retaining signals separating cycling and non-cycling cells.

For differential gene expression testing between clusters a Bonferroni-corrected p-value <

0.05 was considered significant. To perform gene set enrichment analysis, we first used the

R package PRESTO which performs a fast Wilcoxon rank sum test on the Seurat clusters.[14]

We then tested functional enrichment of Seurat clusters of interest using gene sets from

MsigDB and the fgsea package.[15,16] Visualisation of pathway enrichment analysis was

performed using g:Profiler to search for Gene Ontology pathways that were significantly

enriched in a gene list before visualising the results using EnrichmentMap in Cytoscape.[17,18]

Pathway gene sets with fewer than three genes and more than 350 genes were excluded in

line with the default g:Profiler and Cytoscape workflows. For g:Profiler, a Benjamini-

Hochberg false discovery rate adjusted p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Pseudotime analysis was conducted using Monocle 3.[19] Dataset integrations were, in

general, performed using standard workflows in Seurat v4, except when integrating

malignant cell populations (see Fig. 5) where we observed strong blending of distinct

malignant cell states. Instead, we used the fastMNN algorithm,[20] which was found to

preserve biological differences between cancer cell populations, while ensuring effective

batch correction.[21]

<u>Statistical Analysis</u>

Statistical significance testing was performed using R.

Results

We co-cultured malignant tumour spheroids of ONS-76 cells or DAOY cells, which harbour

wild type and mutant copies of *TP53*, respectively with non-malignant cerebellar organoids

differentiated from human iPSC (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1A). As controls, tumour cells

were grown as monolayers or tumour spheroids while non-malignant organoids were grown

separately. These long-established cell lines were chosen because of their evolutionary

divergence from patient tumours. Our approach was designed to enhance the detection of

malignant cell states induced by the non-malignant microenvironment, when comparing

monocultures to the co-culture conditions. Using primary SHH-MB or PDX cultured cells

could potentially mask such differences, given their closer resemblance to *in vivo* cell states,

thus obscuring microenvironmental impacts on tumour cell properties. After 25 days in co-

culture, cells were dissociated and processed for single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on

the 10X Chromium platform. After filtering the dataset in accordance with our quality

control thresholds we selected 13,534 cells for further analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1B – E;

Supplementary Table 2). We used Seurat to visualise the relationship between samples by

clustering and projected cells in a common UMAP space labelled according to their sample

of origin (Supplementary Fig. S1F, G). ONS-76 cells and DAOY cells cultured as monolayers or

tumour spheroids formed well-demarcated clusters. In contrast, there was extensive

overlap of co-cultured cells with the non-malignant organoid control, which was anticipated

given the large numbers of cells recovered belonging to organoid cellular subtypes. Only a

handful of cells from the non-malignant control organoid clustered with the exclusively

malignant samples, confirming that the clustering reflected biological differences between

the samples. In the co-cultured samples, several times the number of cells clustered with

the malignant samples suggesting the latter cells were malignant cells in co-culture.

ONS-76 and DAOY cells cultured as monolayers or tumour spheroids were enriched for

proliferative, protein biosynthetic and wound healing processes

We performed an integrated analysis of ONS-76 monolayer and tumour spheroid

transcriptomes in Seurat [13] and compared the transcriptional programmes of malignant cells

using functional enrichment analysis in the two conditions.[16] ONS-76 cells grown as

monolayers were enriched for Gene Ontology (GO) terms for cell cycle associated genes

(Supplementary Fig. S2A and Supplementary Table 3). In comparison, genes upregulated in

tumour spheroids were associated with altered cell morphology and wound healing for

example *IFITM3, IGFBP2, IGFBP5, STC1* and *SPARC* (Supplementary Fig. S2B – C and

Supplementary Table 3) consistent with an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) state

and tumour stroma production.[22] Upon clustering of the ONS-76 tumour spheroid sample

(Supplementary Fig. S2D), expression of these genes was distributed over all clusters

(Supplementary Fig. S2E) supporting relative homogeneity of malignant cell states with

mostly arbitrary distinctions between clusters, with two exceptions. The first consisted of a

cluster of cycling cells, denoted 'cluster 4' that was marked by the expression of genes

including *MKI67, MYBL2, BIRC5* and *RRM2* consistent with a proliferative cell state

(Supplementary Fig. S2D, G). Although the same genes were expressed in the ONS-76

monolayer sample, they were not restricted to a single cluster (Supplementary Fig. S2I). A

second ONS-76 tumour spheroid cluster, 'cluster 0' expressed ribosomal genes and was

enriched for protein synthesis pathways (Supplementary Fig. S2D and Supplementary Table

4), which is a feature common to many cancers.[23] Less heterogeneity was evident in ONS-76

cells cultured as monolayers, which could be split into two clusters also distinguished by

their relative enrichment for ribosomal genes and protein synthesis (Supplementary Fig.

S2H; Supplementary Table 4). In comparison to ONS-76 tumour spheroids, expression of

proliferation genes spanned both clusters, although the proliferation signal of individual

cells was weaker (Supplementary Fig. S2H, lower panel).

Broadly similar findings were observed upon analysis of DAOY cells cultured as monolayers

and tumour spheroids. An integrated analysis of the cellular transcriptomes revealed

protein synthesis gene sets as the only significant enrichment (Supplementary Table 5) in

DAOY tumour spheroids. In common with ONS-76 cells, wound healing gene sets were also

upregulated, but in DAOY monolayers rather than in DAOY tumour spheroids. Furthermore,

there was a degree of enrichment in oncogenic signature gene sets that was statistically

non-significant for transcriptional responses of cerebellar granule progenitors to activation

by SHH, which acts as a mitogen for these cells (Supplementary Table 5).

Clustering of the DAOY tumour spheroid sample also revealed enrichment of protein

synthesis and cell cycle related pathways, respectively in two out of five DAOY tumour

spheroid clusters (Supplementary Fig. S2F; Supplementary Table 5). Therefore, in tumour

spheroids of both cell lines, those cells with a signature of enhanced proliferation were

restricted to specific clusters in UMAP space, suggesting an association with a distinct cell

state related to malignant cell proliferation. By contrast, DAOY monolayer cells that

expressed a proliferative signature were spread diffusely across multiple clusters in common

with ONS-76 monolayer cultured cells (Supplementary Fig. S2J). Although DAOY monolayer

cells formed more clusters than ONS-76 cells (six in DAOY monolayers compared to two in

the corresponding ONS-76 sample), only three out of six of the former clusters displayed

significant gene set enrichment. Of these, two clusters were enriched for protein synthesis

pathways and the remaining cluster was only significantly enriched for cell adhesion-related

gene sets, which is a hallmark of cancer (Supplementary Table 5).[24] Assessment of the

maturity of malignant cells from tumour spheroid and monolayer samples of both cell lines

revealed they expressed the granule cell markers, *MEIS1*,[25] *MEIS2* [26] and *JKAMP* [27]

(Supplementary Fig. S2K). However, *CNTN1* which marks differentiating granule cells [28] was

selectively expressed only in ONS-76 samples, suggesting the maturation of DAOY cells was

relatively impaired (Supplementary Fig. S2K).

ONS-76 cells in co-culture, but not in monolayers or tumour spheroids expressed

NEUROD1

To determine whether malignant cells grown in a non-malignant organoid

microenvironment led to altered tumour cell phenotypes, we clustered the ONS-76 sample

in co-culture and plotted the UMAP projection, comparing the clusters obtained with those

in the non-malignant control organoid (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Fig. S3A). The manual

annotations of non-malignant cells were highly similar to our earlier annotations of day 90

organoids.[29] None of the clusters of malignant cells in co-culture were identified as having a

match in the control organoid.

In the control organoid, granule neurons could be defined by their co-expression of

NEUROD1, *RTN1* and *RBFOX3* (Fig. 1C).[27] In the co-culture condition also, a cluster of cells

co-expressing these genes could be identified consistent with non-malignant granule

neurons (Fig. 1D). Additionally, a distinct cell cluster located adjacent to non-malignant

granule neurons in UMAP space could be distinguished from the latter cells by their

expression of *NEUROD1* only, which we labelled 'ONS76_cluster_3' (Fig. 1D; Supplementary

Fig. S3B; Supplementary Table 6). Furthermore, upon computational integration of ONS-76

samples malignant $NEUROD1^+$ cells were absent in ONS-76 monolayers and tumour

spheroids (Fig. 1E) and only very few were present in the DAOY samples (Fig. 1E, F).

Although *NEUROD1* marks post-mitotic granule neurons in mouse,[30] in humans this gene is

also expressed in cycling granule progenitors.[31] Gene set enrichment analysis of

'ONS76_cluster_3' revealed GO terms associated with cycling cells (Fig. 1G; Supplementary

Fig. S3C). By contrast, the cluster annotated as 'Granule neurons' in the non-malignant

organoid in co-culture and in the control organoid were enriched for terms associated with

differentiated neurons (Fig. 1I; Supplementary Fig. S3E, F). To validate these findings, we

computationally examined the cell cycle phase associated with *NEUROD1* expression in non-

malignant and malignant cells.[13] In the cluster annotated as 'Granule neurons' in the control

organoid (Fig. 1C), the fraction of $NEUROD1^+$ cells that were in G1 phase was 0.60, in G2/M

phase the fraction was 0.17 and the S phase fraction was 0.23. However, in ONS-76 cells in

co-culture the fraction of $NEUROD1^+$ cells in 'ONS76_cluster_3' in G1 phase was smaller

(0.38), and the fraction of cells in G2/M and S phases was higher (0.30 and 0.32,

respectively; p = 5.925 x 10^{-12} Chi-squared test). Therefore, ONS-76 cells activated

NEUROD1, a key molecular determinant of granule cell identity only when embedded in a

more physiological microenvironment, but its expression was less tightly coupled to other

cellular processes that imparted a differentiated neuronal identity. By comparison, analysis

of patient SHH-MB scRNA-seq public datasets showed that they also expressed *NEUROD1*

(Supplementary Fig. 4A-E) [32,33] and that for one of the datasets [32] most of these cells were in

G1 phase (range 0.59 – 0.69). Consistent with maturation of malignant cells, patient

tumours also expressed *RBFOX3* and *RTN1* (Supplementary Fig. S4A-E). Given the absence of

NEUROD1 upregulation in DAOY cells in co-culture, we analysed its expression in two *TP53*

mutant SHH-MB in a second public MB scRNA-seq dataset to test for an association

between presence of the mutation and *NEUROD1* expression *in vivo*.[33] The tumours varied

widely in their expression of *NEUROD1* displaying both elevated and minimal expression

(Supplementary Fig. S4D). Therefore, absence of *NEUROD1* expression in *TP53* mutant DAOY

cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture was not inconsistent with *in vivo* findings.

A second, smaller cluster of ONS-76 tumour cells in co-culture, 'ONS76_cluster_10' was

identified by differential upregulation of *HOXB2* and *POU3F2*, in common with multiple non-

CNS cancers including leukaemia, lung and breast cancer (Fig. 1H; Supplementary Table

6).[34,35] Cells in this cluster also expressed *MEIS1*, which is expressed by differentiating

granule cells [36] and *HOXB-AS1*, which is a member of a large family of non-coding transcripts

that are abnormally expressed in a wide range of cancers.[37] Consistent with the expression

of *MEIS1*, gene set enrichment analysis suggested this cluster contained mature cells

(Supplementary Fig. S3G, H). Overall, subpopulations of malignant cells expressed *in vivo*

markers of differentiation when they were surrounded by the more physiologic

microenvironment of the organoid.

A sub-population of DAOY cells in co-culture expressed multiple cancer stem cell-like

markers

The analysis of the DAOY tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture sample resulted in clustering

of DAOY tumour cells into three distinct groups in UMAP space designated as

'DAOY_cluster_9', 'DAOY_cluster_13', and 'DAOY_cluster_14' (Fig. 2A). Highly distinctive,

differential expression of specific markers of these putative malignant clusters was apparent

exemplified by *PTCH1, MEIS1, POU3F4, FGFBP3, TWIST1* and *LHX9* that displayed low or no

expression in non-malignant cells in co-culture and in the control organoid (Fig. 2B, D and

Supplementary Table 6). Noting that *POU3F4* and *FGFBP3* have been linked to a neural stem

cell (NSC) identity,[38,39] we compared DAOY cells in co-culture with the DAOY tumour

spheroid sample for expression of NSC markers (Supplementary Fig. S5A). Multiple NSC

markers were upregulated in DAOY cells in co-culture including *SOX2, NES, FABP7* and *MSI1*

(Fig. 2C). A similar trend was observed for ONS-76 cells in the three conditions, although the

upregulation of these NSC markers in ONS-76 cells in co-culture was not as great (Fig. 2E).

The upregulation of NSC markers in malignant cells in our co-culture model is reminiscent of

mouse models of SHH-MB, in which persistent SOX2-expressing granule cells designated as

cancer stem cells (CSC) drive tumour formation and relapse.[6,40] We therefore focused our

analysis on further characterising the transcriptional profile of these cells. More granular

analysis at the level of individual malignant clusters revealed striking differences in the

expression of factors known to regulate *SOX2* compared to DAOY cells cultured in other

conditions and ONS-76 cells (Fig. 3A).[41] Only a single DAOY cluster in co-culture,

'DAOY_cluster_9' expressed multiple members of the *SOX2* regulatory network consisting of

POU3F2, *ZIC2*, *OTX2*, *GLI2* and *SOX2* itself (Fig. 3B). This combinatorial pattern of gene

expression was not observed in ONS-76 cells in co-culture (Fig. 3C), nor in tumour spheroid

or monolayer samples of either cell line (Fig. 3D), or in non-malignant organoid cells (Fig.

3E). The co-expression of these markers in subpopulations of DAOY cells in co-culture

suggested these cells adopted a new cell state in the presence of a non-malignant

microenvironment.

We hypothesised there could be an ontological relationship between the distinct DAOY cell

states identified in co-culture. In particular, the UMAP plot of DAOY cells in co-culture (Fig.

2A) suggested 'DAOY_cluster_9' and 'DAOY_cluster_13' cells occupied opposite ends of a

single trajectory. A pseudotime analysis supported the notion that 'DAOY_cluster_9' could

undergo a progressive transition to a 'DAOY_cluster_13' cell state (Fig. 3F). Pathway

enrichment analysis was consistent with the pseudotime analysis and showed that

'DAOY_cluster_9' cells were enriched for GO terms associated with a proliferative state (Fig.

3G; Supplementary Table 7), whereas 'DAOY_cluster_13' was enriched for neuronal

differentiation terms (Fig. 3H and Supplementary Table 7). The last cluster,

'DAOY_cluster_14' was transcriptionally less similar to the other DAOY clusters (Fig. 2A).

Enriched pathways for this cluster related to Notch and Hedgehog signalling, translation

regulation and included extracellular matrix composition and wound healing gene sets in

common with tumour cells cultured in isolation (Fig. 3I; Supplementary Table 7).

CSC-like cells with a molecular profile resembling DAOY tumour cells in co-culture are

present in patient SHH-MB

We analysed the expression of the *SOX2* regulatory network in a public scRNA-seq dataset

of patient SHH-MB.[32] Upon clustering tumour cells in Seurat [13] a single cluster in one of

three SHH-MB tumours expressed a highly similar network in which *OTX2* was replaced by

expression of another member of the regulatory network, *SRRT* (Fig. 3A and Fig. 4A).[41]

Furthermore, in one of two PDX models of SHH-MB from the same dataset,[32] a single

cluster expressed a common network, consisting of *POU3F2*, *OTX2*, *SRRT*, *GLI2* and *SOX2*

(Fig. 4A). Given these differences, and to determine the prevalence and identity of cells

expressing a signature of a *SOX2* regulatory network, we analysed a larger dataset of patient

SHH-MB.[33] Initial analysis of the data showed upregulation of all the aforementioned genes

in malignant cells compared to the non-malignant microenvironment (Fig. 4B). By contrast,

co-expression of these genes was weaker in other MB subtypes (Supplementary Fig. S5B).

Clustering the individual SHH-MB tumours showed that in 7/8 samples two to four clusters

emerged per tumour. One or more of these clusters expressed a *SOX2* regulatory network

that was highly similar to the regulatory network in DAOY cells in co-culture (Fig. 4C, D;

Supplementary Fig. S5C – H). The proportion of malignant cells expressing this signature

ranged from 4.2% to 20.3% (median 8.8%) in this dataset.[33] Quantification of the gene

signature across MB subtypes showed enrichment in SHH-MB tumours (Fig. 4E).

Furthermore, *TP53* mutation status (n = 2 *TP53* mutant SHH-MB tumours) was unrelated to

the occurrence of the gene signature. These data confirmed that the patterns of gene

expression in DAOY cells in co-culture were not simply an artifact related to our culture

conditions, and instead suggested DAOY cells mirrored a CSC-like state found *in vivo*.

Greater transcriptional similarity of DAOY and ONS-76 cells in co-culture to patient SHH-

MB

Encouraged by the transcriptional evidence suggesting tumour heterogeneity resembling

SHH-MB *in vivo* in our organoid co-culture model, we assessed the transcriptional similarity

of our model to patient tumours and PDX models. Therefore, we compared the

computational integration of DAOY and ONS-76 tumour cells in co-culture and PDX models

with patient SHH-MB tumours. We did not use canonical correlation implemented in Seurat

for this part of the analysis because this algorithm excessively blends distinct cancer cell

states.[21] Instead, we employed the fastMNN algorithm,[42] which performed well in an earlier

benchmarking study of tumour datasets [21] to integrate three public SHH-MB patient single-

cell datasets.[32] Upon projection in UMAP space two major clusters emerged to which all

three tumours contributed (Fig. 5A). Two SHH-MB PDX tumours [32] blended well with the

patient tumours but showed greater mixing with one of the two major clusters, suggesting

these PDX models did not recapitulate the full extent of transcriptional diversity of the

patient tumours (Fig. 5B, C). Whereas DAOY and ONS-76 cells in co-culture, as expected,

were less similar to patient tumours (Fig. 5D, E), in comparison with tumour spheroids of

either cell line far greater similarity was evident (Fig. 5F, G). We also computed a 'mixing

metric' to quantify how similar these datasets were after integration (Fig. 5H and

Supplementary Table 8).[43] Patient tumours scored the highest on this metric, followed

closely by PDX tumours. Strikingly, our tumour spheroid organoid co-culture models also

performed well, in contrast to tumour spheroid models.

Discussion

Human cerebellar organoid-based *in vitro* models have the potential to recapitulate patient

MB more faithfully compared to conventional *in vitro* models.[44] Here, we tested the

importance of the non-malignant microenvironment in regulating malignant cell states by

comparing the phenotypes of two long-established SHH-MB lines grown in co-culture or

conventionally. Compared to conventional *in vitro* models, we detected greater maturity of

malignant cells in co-culture. In particular, a subpopulation of ONS-76 cells activated the

expression of *NEUROD1*, a canonical marker of granule cells, only upon co-culture.

Additionally, a subpopulation of DAOY cells in co-culture expressed CSC-like markers and

pseudotime and functional enrichment analyses were concordant attesting to their capacity

for maturation into cells with neuronal features. The expression of CSC-like markers and

upregulation of neuronal differentiation genes by malignant cells in co-culture revealed the

emergence of cell states that were not observed in tumour cells cultured in isolation, under

our conditions. Given that similar cell states are found in mouse models, PDX models and

upon patient tumour molecular profiling,[6,32,40,45] we further demonstrated the convergence

of two SHH-MB cell lines towards *in vivo* cellular phenotypes. Therefore, the

microenvironment can non-cell autonomously regulate SHH-MB cell states. Moreover, our

computational analysis effectively addresses the challenge of the high non-malignant to

malignant cell ratio in our co-cultures. The resilience of Seurat to cell downsampling made

the identification of patient tumour-relevant cell states in our co-culture model possible.[12]

Given that malignant cell phenotypes in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture were similar

to those seen *in vivo* in patient tumours and PDX models (Fig. 1D, E; Fig. 3B; Fig. 4; Fig. 5)

confirms the validity of our model in recapitulating patient tumours better than

conventional *in vitro* models. Moreover, our findings suggest that the consensus view that

these cell lines are poorly representative of patient tumours does not hold true when these

malignant cell lines are cultured in a more physiological context. The emergence of new cell

states in these long-established SHH-MB cell lines that was not evident in monolayer or

tumour spheroid culture conditions was striking, more so given the relatively short duration

(25 days) of co-culture. The immediate cause of these rapid phenotypic changes is likely to

be transcriptional plasticity, reflecting functional intra-tumour heterogeneity that is shared

by diverse malignant tumours.[46]

Malignant granule cells can differentiate in patient SHH-MB and in mouse models of this

disease.[32,33,45,47,48] Interestingly, the activation of *NEUROD1* in SHH-MB patient samples

showed no dependency on *TP53* mutational status (Supplementary Fig. S4D), suggesting

that differentiation processes can still be initiated in the presence of somatic *TP53*

mutations.[49] *NEUROD1* was upregulated in a sub-population of ONS-76 cells in tumour

spheroids in co-culture with organoids compared to tumour monocultures (Fig. 1D, E). In

both healthy and malignant cells, expression of *NEUROD1* was associated with actively

cycling cells and cells with neuronal features (Fig. 1G, I; Supplementary Fig. S3C – F).

Interestingly, however, in the malignant sub-population, upregulation of *NEUROD1* was not

as tightly coupled with neuronal differentiation compared to non-malignant granule cells.

These differences could reflect divergence of the properties of ONS-76 cells over an

extended period *in vitro*, favouring the survival of cycling cells over more differentiated

cells. Recent analysis of human granule cell ontogeny has demonstrated that, in contrast to

mouse, these cells express *NEUROD1* during their cycling phase.[31] The expression of this

gene in a smaller proportion of non-malignant cycling granule precursors is consistent with

the latter finding and further validates our cerebellar organoid model.

Our analysis showed that the DAOY CSC-like cells present in co-culture were enriched for

pathways related to the cell cycle, which suggested they were proliferative (Fig. 3G and

Supplementary Fig. S3C). Moreover, closely similar or equivalent cell states as defined by

expression of the *SOX2* regulatory network were present in a majority of samples across two

published scRNA-seq datasets that spanned infant to adult subtypes (Fig. 4 and

Supplementary Fig. S5C – H).[32,33] Reasons for the differences in the expressed members of

the *SOX2* regulatory network in CSC-like subpopulations are unclear. Differences could

relate to redundancy of distinct *SOX2* regulators or reflect divergence of functional states

within CSC-like populations, associated with, for example, different proliferation rates and

sensitivity to therapy. The traditional view is that CSC are rare, slow-cycling cells that are

relatively quiescent compared to other malignant cells, and such tumour-initiating cells

were identified in a SHH-MB mouse model.[6,40] The proportion of CSC-like cells in the scRNA-

seq dataset we analysed [33] was up to ~20% with a median close to 10%, suggesting these

cells might be more common than previously thought. Moreover, in another brain tumour,

glioma, stem cells have also been reported to be proliferative, supporting the view that CSC

states are non-uniform.[4] Future functional studies are needed to determine if the SHH-MB

cells with the signature we identified meet the standard for stemness in *in vivo* limiting

dilution assays. Pseudotime and functional enrichment analyses were consistent and

suggested these cells underwent a maturation process, associated with a continuum of cell

states that led to the acquisition of neuron-like features (Fig. 3F – H). Furthermore, we

discounted the possibility that the emergence of a CSC-like state in DAOY cells was caused

by the *TP53* mutation because of the prevalence of a CSC-like state in patient SHH-MB

analysed here that were wild type for *TP53* and the expression of NSC markers in ONS-76

cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture (Fig. 2E).

In our co-culture model, single or multiple constituents of the non-malignant

microenvironment could induce the emergent phenotypic states of SHH-MB cells.

Regulatory interactions between malignant and non-malignant components that exploit

local signalling networks are a well-established mechanism for tumour cells to gain a growth

advantage.[50] Microenvironmental factors implicated in these signalling networks *in vivo*

include stromal cells, blood and lymphatic vessels, immune-inflammatory cells and

extracellular matrix (ECM). Of these, ECM is the only one of these factors represented in our

co-culture model and has a well-recognised role in tumour progression.[51] Whether other

components of the microenvironment in our model, namely progenitors, neurons, glia, roof

plate or choroid plexus can produce malignant cell state-reprogramming signals is unclear.

Identification of this factor(s) in future could open new therapeutic options.

Funding

This work was supported by Cancer Research UK (CRUK) grant number C2195/A28699,

through a CRUK Oxford Centre Clinical Research Training Fellowship to MvE and by a

Medical Research Council award to JJ (award number: MR/V037730/1). BSB is funded by the

Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

Authorship

JJ conceived the project and JJ and MvE designed experiments. MvE performed the

experimental work and AN and JJ performed data analysis. EB and BSB provided advice on

experimental design and analysis. JJ wrote the manuscript with contributions from all the

authors.

Data Availability

scRNA-seq data have been deposited in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information

Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database and are publicly accessible through GEO

accession number GSE254917

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE254917). Analysis code is

available at https://github.com/jjacob12/carp_analysis50K/tree/main/PrePrint.

Acknowledgements

Computation used the Oxford Biomedical Research Computing (BMRC) facility, a joint

development between the Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics and the Big Data Institute

supported by Health Data Research UK and the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre.

We thank Dr Jia-Ling Ruan (Department of Oncology, Medical Sciences Division, University

of Oxford) for preparing SHH-MB cell lines for authentication.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Upregulation of *NEUROD1* in ONS-76 cells in co-culture compared to monolayer or

tumour spheroid growth conditions. (A) Schematic of experimental workflow. This figure

was created with BioRender.com. (B) UMAP visualisation of single-cell transcriptomes of

ONS-76 cells in co-culture identified two malignant cell clusters annotated as

'ONS76_cluster_3' and 'ONS76_cluster_10'. (C) Violin plot showing co-expression of

NEUROD1, *RTN1* and *RBFOX3* in the 'Granule neurons' cluster of the control organoid. (D)

Violin plot of expression of *NEUROD1,* and absent *RTN1* and *RBFOX3* expression in

'ONS76_cluster_3' in the ONS-76 tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture (E) Violin plots of the

expression of *NEUROD1* in ONS-76 cells (upper panel) and DAOY cells (lower panel) grown

as monolayers, tumour spheroids or in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture. (F) Expression

of *NEUROD1* in the DAOY tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture sample is restricted to the

non-malignant 'Granule neurons' cluster. (G) fgsea gene set enrichment of upregulated gene

ontology (GO) biological pathway terms evaluated by normalised enrichment scores of the

NEUROD1+ cluster of malignant cells ('ONS76_cluster_3') in the ONS-76 tumour spheroid-

organoid co-culture sample. (H) Violin plot of marker genes of the second malignant cluster,

'ONS76_cluster_10' in the ONS-76 tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture sample. (I) fgsea

gene set enrichment of upregulated GO biological pathway terms evaluated by normalised

enrichment scores of non-malignant *NEUROD1*+ cells ('Granule neurons') in the ONS-76

tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture sample.

Figure 2. Upregulation of neural stem cell markers in DAOY malignant cells in co-culture. (A)

UMAP visualisation of single-cell transcriptomes in DAOY tumour spheroid-organoid co-

cultures shows three malignant clusters annotated as 'DAOY_cluster_9, 'DAOY_cluster_13'

and 'DAOY_cluster_14'. (B) Violin plots showing expression of unique markers of malignant

DAOY clusters in co-culture. (C) Violin plots of expression of the indicated neural stem cell

markers in DAOY cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture, tumour spheroid and

monolayer cultures. (D) Expression of the indicated genes in cells of the control organoid

sample. (E) Violin plots of expression of the indicated neural stem cell markers in ONS-76

cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture, tumour spheroid and monolayer cultures.

Figure 3. Multiple factors known to regulate the expression of *SOX2* in NSC and ES cells (ESC)

are also expressed in a sub-population of DAOY cells in co-culture. (A) Schematic of the

SOX2 regulatory network in NSC and ESC (adapted from Bertolini et al.[41]). (B) Violin plots of

expression of the *SOX2* regulatory network in 'DAOY_cluster_9' cells. (C) Expression of a

sparse *SOX2* regulatory network in ONS-76 cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture. (D)

SOX2 regulatory network expression in DAOY and ONS-76 monolayer and tumour spheroid

cultures. (E) Gene expression of the *SOX2* regulatory network in non-malignant organoids.

(F) Pseudotime trajectory of cells in 'DAOY_cluster_9'. (G) Enrichment of GO biological

pathway terms upregulated in 'DAOY_cluster_9' visualised in Cytoscape.[18] (H) Enrichment of

GO biological pathway terms upregulated in 'DAOY_cluster_13' visualised in Cytoscape. (I)

Enrichment of GO biological pathway terms upregulated in 'DAOY_cluster_10' visualised in

Cytoscape. *TBX6* was not expressed in malignant cells.

Figure 4.

Expression of the *SOX2* regulatory network in patient SHH-MB datasets sequenced at single-

cell level. (A) Violin plots of the expression of the *SOX2* regulatory network in one of three

patient SHH-MB (tumour ID: SJ454) and in a SHH-MB PDX tumour model (tumour ID:

RMCB18) from the same dataset.[32] (B) Heatmap of expression of the *SOX2* regulatory

network in malignant cells and in cells of the microenvironment in a second patient SHH-MB

dataset.[33] Cell labels are retained from the original publication. (C) Expression of the *SOX2*

regulatory network in two of three UMAP clusters in a patient tumour (tumour ID: 1235)

from the same dataset.[33] (D) *SOX2* regulatory network expression in another tumour

(tumour ID: 801) from the same dataset.[33] (E) Violin plots of cell scores for the *SOX2*

regulatory network in all medulloblastoma subtypes. Only malignant cells are included.[33] For

malignant cell numbers in each SHH-MB see Supplementary Fig. S4. *TBX6* was not expressed

in malignant cells in either dataset.

Figure 5. The co-culture tumour models recapitulate SHH-MB patient tumours better than

conventional *in vitro* models. (A) UMAP visualisation of three SHH-MB patient tumours. (B)

Integration and UMAP visualisation of cells from a PDX SHH-MB tumour (PDX1) with the

same three SHH-MB patient tumours as in A. (C) Integration and UMAP visualisation of cells

from a second PDX SHH-MB tumour (PDX2) with the three SHH-MB patient tumours. (D)

Integration and UMAP visualisation of DAOY cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture

with the three SHH-MB patient tumours. (E) Integration and UMAP visualisation of ONS-76

cells in tumour spheroid-organoid co-culture with the three patient SHH-MB tumours. (F)

Integration and UMAP visualisation of DAOY tumour spheroid cells with the three patient

SHH-MB tumours. (G) Integration and UMAP visualisation of ONS-76 tumour spheroid cells

with the three patient SHH-MB tumours. (H) Violin plots of mixing metric scores of the

integrations shown in A to G. Patient and PDX tumour datasets were obtained from the

published study by Hovestadt et al.[32] Only malignant cells were used for scoring.

597
References

1. Dolecek TA, Propp JM, Stroup NE, Kruchko C. CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain
and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2005-2009.
Neuro Oncol. 2012; 14 Suppl 5(Suppl 5):v1-49.
2. Garcia-Lopez J, Kumar R, Smith KS, Northcott PA. Deconstructing Sonic Hedgehog
Medulloblastoma: Molecular Subtypes, Drivers, and Beyond. *Trends Genet.* 2021;
37(3):235-250.
3. Wechsler-Reya RJ, Scott MP. Control of neuronal precursor proliferation in the
cerebellum by Sonic Hedgehog. *Neuron.* 1999; 22(1):103-114.
4. Gimple RC, Yang K, Halbert ME, Agnihotri S, Rich JN. Brain cancer stem cells:
resilience through adaptive plasticity and hierarchical heterogeneity. *Nat Rev Cancer.*
2022; 22(9):497-514.
5. Hagey DW, Klum S, Kurtsdotter I, Zaouter C, Topcic D, Andersson O, Bergsland M,
Muhr J. SOX2 regulates common and specific stem cell features in the CNS and
endoderm derived organs. *PLoS Genet.* 2018; 14(2):e1007224.
6. Selvadurai HJ, Luis E, Desai K, Lan X, Vladoiu MC, Whitley O, Galvin C, Vanner RJ, Lee
L, Whetstone H, Kushida M, Nowakowski T, Diamandis P, Hawkins C, Bader G,
Kriegstein A, Taylor MD, Dirks PB. Medulloblastoma Arises from the Persistence of a
Rare and Transient Sox2(+) Granule Neuron Precursor. *Cell Rep.* 2020; 31(2):107511.
7. Ivanov DP, Coyle B, Walker DA, Grabowska AM. In vitro models of medulloblastoma:
Choosing the right tool for the job. *Journal of Biotechnology.* 2016; 236:10-25.
8. van Essen MJ, Apsley EJ, Riepsaame J, Xu R, Northcott PA, Cowley SA, Jacob J, Becker
EBE. PTCH1-mutant human cerebellar organoids exhibit altered neural development
and recapitulate early medulloblastoma tumorigenesis. *Dis Model Mech.* 2024; 17(2).
9. van Essen MJ, Nayler S, Apsley EJ, Jacob J, Becker EBE. Cerebellar Modelling Using
Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (hiPSCs). In: Sillitoe RV, ed. *Measuring*
Cerebellar Function. New York, NY: Springer US; 2022:1-21.
10. Handel AE, Chintawar S, Lalic T, Whiteley E, Vowles J, Giustacchini A, Argoud K, Sopp
P, Nakanishi M, Bowden R, Cowley S, Newey S, Akerman C, Ponting CP, Cader MZ.

628		Assessing similarity to primary tissue and cortical layer identity in induced
629		pluripotent stem cell-derived cortical neurons through single-cell transcriptomics.
630		*Human Molecular Genetics.* 2016; 25(5):989-1000.
631	**11.**	Osorio D, Cai JJ. Systematic determination of the mitochondrial proportion in human
632		and mice tissues for single-cell RNA-sequencing data quality control. *Bioinformatics.*
633		2021; 37(7):963-967.
634	**12.**	Joseph MR, Lambda M, Pétur Helgi E, Kayla J, Laura L, Booeshaghi AS, Sindri A,
635		Delaney KS, Nicolas B, Páll M, Lior P. The impact of package selection and versioning
636		on single-cell RNA-seq analysis. *bioRxiv.* 2024:2024.2004.2004.588111.
637	**13.**	Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM, 3rd, Zheng S, Butler A, Lee MJ, Wilk AJ,
638		Darby C, Zager M, Hoffman P, Stoeckius M, Papalexi E, Mimitou EP, Jain J, Srivastava
639		A, Stuart T, Fleming LM, Yeung B, Rogers AJ, McElrath JM, Blish CA, Gottardo R,
640		Smibert P, Satija R. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell.* 2021;
641		184(13):3573-3587 e3529.
642	**14.**	Korsunsky I, Nathan A, Millard N, Raychaudhuri S. Presto scales Wilcoxon and auROC
643		analyses to millions of observations. *bioRxiv.* 2019:653253.
644	**15.**	Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. The
645		Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst.*
646		2015; 1(6):417-425.
647	**16.**	Sergushichev AA. An algorithm for fast preranked gene set enrichment analysis using
648		cumulative statistic calculation. *bioRxiv.* 2016:060012.
649	**17.**	Kolberg L, Raudvere U, Kuzmin I, Adler P, Vilo J, Peterson H. g:Profiler—interoperable
650		web service for functional enrichment analysis and gene identifier mapping (2023
651		update). *Nucleic Acids Research.* 2023; 51(W1):W207-W212.
652	**18.**	Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski
653		B, Ideker T. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
654		biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 2003; 13(11):2498-2504.
655	**19.**	Cao J, Spielmann M, Qiu X, Huang X, Ibrahim DM, Hill AJ, Zhang F, Mundlos S,
656		Christiansen L, Steemers FJ, Trapnell C, Shendure J. The single-cell transcriptional
657		landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature.* 2019; 566(7745):496-502.
658	**20.**	Haghverdi L, Lun ATL, Morgan MD, Marioni JC. Batch effects in single-cell RNA-
659		sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest neighbors. *Nat*
660		*Biotechnol.* 2018; 36(5):421-427.
661	**21.**	Laura MR, Mazdak R, Suluxan M, Shamini A, Danielle CC, Díaz-Mejía JJ, Fiona JC,
662		Peter BD, Trevor JP. A comparison of data integration methods for single-cell RNA
663		sequencing of cancer samples. *bioRxiv.* 2021:2021.2008.2004.453579.
664	**22.**	Schäfer M, Werner S. Cancer as an overhealing wound: an old hypothesis revisited.
665		*Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2008; 9(8):628-638.
666	**23.**	Nguyen LXT, Raval A, Garcia JS, Mitchell BS. Regulation of Ribosomal Gene
667		Expression in Cancer. *Journal of Cellular Physiology.* 2015; 230(6):1181-1188.
668	**24.**	Janiszewska M, Primi MC, Izard T. Cell adhesion in cancer: Beyond the migration of
669		single cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2020; 295(8):2495-2505.
670	**25.**	Owa T, Taya S, Miyashita S, Yamashita M, Adachi T, Yamada K, Yokoyama M, Aida S,
671		Nishioka T, Inoue YU, Goitsuka R, Nakamura T, Inoue T, Kaibuchi K, Hoshino M.
672		Meis1 Coordinates Cerebellar Granule Cell Development by Regulating Pax6
673		Transcription, BMP Signaling and Atoh1 Degradation. *J Neurosci.* 2018; 38(5):1277-
674		1294.

26. Machold R, Klein C, Fishell G. Genes expressed in Atoh1 neuronal lineages arising
from the r1/isthmus rhombic lip. *Gene Expr Patterns.* 2011; 11(5-6):349-359.

27. Xu X, Stoyanova EI, Lemiesz AE, Xing J, Mash DC, Heintz N. Species and cell-type
properties of classically defined human and rodent neurons and glia. *Elife.* 2018; 7.

28. Bizzoca A, Virgintino D, Lorusso L, Buttiglione M, Yoshida L, Polizzi A, Tattoli M,
Cagiano R, Rossi F, Kozlov S, Furley A, Gennarini G. Transgenic mice expressing
F3/contactin from the TAG-1 promoter exhibit developmentally regulated changes in
the differentiation of cerebellar neurons. *Development.* 2003; 130(1):29-43.

29. Nayler S, Agarwal D, Curion F, Bowden R, Becker EBE. High-resolution transcriptional
landscape of xeno-free human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cerebellar
organoids. *Scientific Reports.* 2021; 11(1):12959.

30. Miyata T, Maeda T, Lee JE. NeuroD is required for differentiation of the granule cells
in the cerebellum and hippocampus. *Genes Dev.* 1999; 13(13):1647-1652.

31. Behesti H, Kocabas A, Buchholz DE, Carroll TS, Hatten ME. Altered temporal
sequence of transcriptional regulators in the generation of human cerebellar granule
cells. *eLife.* 2021; 10:e67074.

32. Hovestadt V, Smith KS, Bihannic L, Filbin MG, Shaw ML, Baumgartner A, DeWitt JC,
Groves A, Mayr L, Weisman HR, Richman AR, Shore ME, Goumnerova L, Rosencrance
C, Carter RA, Phoenix TN, Hadley JL, Tong Y, Houston J, Ashmun RA, DeCuypere M,
Sharma T, Flasch D, Silkov A, Ligon KL, Pomeroy SL, Rivera MN, Rozenblatt-Rosen O,
Rusert JM, Wechsler-Reya RJ, Li X-N, Peyrl A, Gojo J, Kirchhofer D, Lötsch D, Czech T,
Dorfer C, Haberler C, Geyeregger R, Halfmann A, Gawad C, Easton J, Pfister SM,
Regev A, Gajjar A, Orr BA, Slavc I, Robinson GW, Bernstein BE, Suvà ML, Northcott
PA. Resolving medulloblastoma cellular architecture by single-cell genomics. *Nature.*
2019; 572(7767):74-79.

33. Riemondy KA, Venkataraman S, Willard N, Nellan A, Sanford B, Griesinger AM, Amani
V, Mitra S, Hankinson TC, Handler MH, Sill M, Ocasio J, Weir SJ, Malawsky DS,
Gershon TR, Garancher A, Wechsler-Reya RJ, Hesselberth JR, Foreman NK, Donson
AM, Vibhakar R. Neoplastic and immune single-cell transcriptomics define subgroup-
specific intra-tumoral heterogeneity of childhood medulloblastoma. *Neuro Oncol.*
2022; 24(2):273-286.

34. Brotto DB, Siena ÁDD, de Barros II, Carvalho SdCeS, Muys BR, Goedert L, Cardoso C,
Plaça JR, Ramão A, Squire JA, Araujo LF, Silva WAd. Contributions of HOX genes to
cancer hallmarks: Enrichment pathway analysis and review. *Tumor Biology.* 2020;
42(5):1010428320918050.

35. Zhang H, Zheng J, Fu Y, Ling J, Liu Z, Lin X, Dong X, Sun Y, Tan T, Guo Z, Xie G.
Overexpression of POU3F2 promotes radioresistance in triple-negative breast cancer
via Akt pathway activation. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment.* 2023;
198(3):437-446.

36. Tomoo O, Shinichiro T, Satoshi M, Mariko Y, Toma A, Koyo Y, Miwa Y, Shogo A,
Tomoki N, Yukiko UI, Ryo G, Takuro N, Takayoshi I, Kozo K, Mikio H. Meis1
Coordinates Cerebellar Granule Cell Development by Regulating Pax6 Transcription,
BMP Signaling and Atoh1 Degradation. *The Journal of Neuroscience.* 2018;
38(5):1277.

37. Shao W, Ding Q, Guo Y, Xing J, Huo Z, Wang Z, Xu Q, Guo Y. A Pan-Cancer Landscape
of HOX-Related lncRNAs and Their Association With Prognosis and Tumor
Microenvironment. *Front Mol Biosci.* 2021; 8:767856.

38.   Tantin D. Oct transcription factors in development and stem cells: insights and
mechanisms. *Development*. 2013; 140(14):2857-2866.
39.   Yuzwa SA, Borrett MJ, Innes BT, Voronova A, Ketela T, Kaplan DR, Bader GD, Miller
FD. Developmental Emergence of Adult Neural Stem Cells as Revealed by Single-Cell
Transcriptional Profiling. *Cell Reports*. 2017; 21(13):3970-3986.
40.   Vanner RJ, Remke M, Gallo M, Selvadurai HJ, Coutinho F, Lee L, Kushida M, Head R,
Morrissy S, Zhu X, Aviv T, Voisin V, Clarke ID, Li Y, Mungall AJ, Moore RA, Ma Y, Jones
SJ, Marra MA, Malkin D, Northcott PA, Kool M, Pfister SM, Bader G, Hochedlinger K,
Korshunov A, Taylor MD, Dirks PB. Quiescent sox2(+) cells drive hierarchical growth
and relapse in sonic hedgehog subgroup medulloblastoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2014;
26(1):33-47.
41.   Bertolini J, Mercurio S, Favaro R, Mariani J, Ottolenghi S, Nicolis SK. Sox2-Dependent
Regulation of Neural Stem Cells and CNS Development. In: Kondoh H, Lovell-Badge R,
eds. *Sox2: Biology and Role in Development and Disease*: Academic Press; 2016:187-
216.
42.   Lun ATL. A description of the theory behind the fastMNN algorithm. 2019;
https://marionilab.github.io/FurtherMNN2018/theory/description.html.
43.   Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, 3rd, Hao Y,
Stoeckius M, Smibert P, Satija R. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell*.
2019; 177(7):1888-1902 e1821.
44.   Essen MJv, Riepsaame J, Cowley SA, Jacob J, Becker EBE. PTCH1 mutant human
cerebellar organoids are associated with altered neural development and early
pathways of medulloblastoma oncogenesis. *bioRxiv*. 2023:2023.2005.2010.540200.
45.   Vladoiu MC, El-Hamamy I, Donovan LK, Farooq H, Holgado BL, Sundaravadanam Y,
Ramaswamy V, Hendrikse LD, Kumar S, Mack SC, Lee JJY, Fong V, Juraschka K,
Przelicki D, Michealraj A, Skowron P, Luu B, Suzuki H, Morrissy AS, Cavalli FMG,
Garzia L, Daniels C, Wu X, Qazi MA, Singh SK, Chan JA, Marra MA, Malkin D, Dirks P,
Heisler L, Pugh T, Ng K, Notta F, Thompson EM, Kleinman CL, Joyner AL, Jabado N,
Stein L, Taylor MD. Childhood cerebellar tumours mirror conserved fetal
transcriptional programs. *Nature*. 2019; 572(7767):67-73.
46.   Smith BA, Balanis NG, Nanjundiah A, Sheu KM, Tsai BL, Zhang Q, Park JW, Thompson
M, Huang J, Witte ON, Graeber TG. A Human Adult Stem Cell Signature Marks
Aggressive Variants across Epithelial Cancers. *Cell Rep*. 2018; 24(12):3353-
3366.e3355.
47.   Cheng Y, Liao S, Xu G, Hu J, Guo D, Du F, Contreras A, Cai KQ, Peri S, Wang Y, Corney
DC, Noronha AM, Chau LQ, Zhou G, Wiest DL, Bellacosa A, Wechsler-Reya RJ, Zhao Y,
Yang ZJ. NeuroD1 Dictates Tumor Cell Differentiation in Medulloblastoma. *Cell Rep*.
2020; 31(12):107782.
48.   Ocasio JK, Babcock B, Malawsky D, Weir SJ, Loo L, Simon JM, Zylka MJ, Hwang D,
Dismuke T, Sokolsky M, Rosen EP, Vibhakar R, Zhang J, Saulnier O, Vladoiu M, El-
Hamamy I, Stein LD, Taylor MD, Smith KS, Northcott PA, Colaneri A, Wilhelmsen K,
Gershon TR. scRNA-seq in medulloblastoma shows cellular heterogeneity and
lineage expansion support resistance to SHH inhibitor therapy. *Nat Commun*. 2019;
10(1):5829.
49.   Oh L, Hafsi H, Hainaut P, Ariffin H. p53, stem cell biology and childhood blastomas.
Current Opinion in Oncology. 2019; 31(2).

50.   Wang M, Zhao J, Zhang L, Wei F, Lian Y, Wu Y, Gong Z, Zhang S, Zhou J, Cao K, Li X,
769   Xiong W, Li G, Zeng Z, Guo C. Role of tumor microenvironment in tumorigenesis.
770   *Journal of Cancer.* 2017; 8(5):761-773.
771   **51.**   Pickup MW, Mouw JK, Weaver VM. The extracellular matrix modulates the hallmarks
772   of cancer. *EMBO Rep.* 2014; 15(12):1243-1253.
773
774

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5