Cryo-EM Structures Reveal Tau Filaments from Down Syndrome Adopt Alzheimer's Disease Fold

AUTHORS: Ujjayini Ghosh[1†], Eric Tse[1†], Hyunjun Yang[1], Marie Shi[1], Christoffer D. Caro[1], Feng Wang[2], Gregory E. Merz[1,3], Stanley B. Prusiner[1,2,3], Daniel R. Southworth[1,2]*, Carlo Condello[1,3]*

AFFILIATIONS:

[1]Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[3]Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

†Equal Contribution

*Correspondence: daniel.southworth@ucsf.edu; carlo.condello@ucsf.edu

KEY WORDS: Down syndrome, Alzheimer's disease, tauopathy, protein conformation, cryo–electron microscopy

ABSTRACT

Down syndrome (DS) is a common genetic condition caused by trisomy of chromosome 21. Among their complex clinical features, including musculoskeletal, neurological, and cardiovascular disabilities, individuals with DS have an increased risk of developing progressive dementia and early-onset Alzheimer's disease (AD). This dementia is attributed to the increased gene dosage of the amyloid-β (Aβ) precursor protein gene, the formation of self-propagating Aβ and tau prion conformers, and the deposition of neurotoxic Aβ plaques and tau neurofibrillary tangles. Tau amyloid fibrils have previously been established to adopt many distinct conformations across different neurodegenerative conditions. Here, we report the characterization of brain samples from four DS cases spanning 36 to 63 years of age by spectral confocal imaging with conformation-specific dyes and cryo–electron microscopy (cryo-EM) to determine structures of isolated tau fibrils. High-resolution structures revealed paired helical filament (PHF) and straight filament (SF) conformations of tau that were identical to those determined from AD cases. The PHFs and SFs are made of two *C*-shaped protofilaments, each containing a cross-β/β-helix motif. Similar to filaments from AD cases, most filaments from the DS cases adopted the PHF form, while a minority (approximately 20%) formed SFs. Samples from the youngest individual with no documented dementia had sparse tau deposits. To isolate tau for cryo-EM from this challenging sample we used a novel affinity-grid method involving a graphene oxide surface derivatized with anti-tau antibodies. This method improved isolation and revealed that primarily tau PHFs and a minor population of chronic traumatic encephalopathy type II–like filaments were present in this youngest case. These findings expand the similarities between AD and DS to the molecular level, providing insight into their related pathologies and the potential for targeting common tau filament folds by small-molecule therapeutics and diagnostics.

INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS) is the most common chromosomal disorder of intellectual disability, affecting approximately 400,000 people in the United States and approximately 5.5 million people worldwide[1]. DS results from the presence of a third copy of chromosome 21 (Chr21) and associated genetic dosage changes that cause varying and complex disabilities, including heart defects, obesity, and diabetes, throughout development and adulthood. With recent increases in the lifespan of persons with DS, it is now realized that Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a substantial comorbidity in individuals with DS that arises at a much earlier age than other populations[2]. Nearly all adults with DS develop severe AD neuropathological changes by age 40; however, there is evidence that the first appearance of plaques and tangles occurs as early as the teens or twenties[3, 4]. Further investigation is needed in order to understand the molecular pathology of early-onset AD resulting from increased amyloid-β (Aβ) precursor protein (APP) production in DS.

As with familial and sporadic forms of AD, extracellular Aβ plaques and intraneuronal tau neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) are hallmarks of AD that arise in DS and thus are strongly implicated in neurodegeneration and cognitive decline. Early-onset Aβ plaque deposition occurs from increased expression of the *APP* gene and resulting overabundance of its cleavage product, Aβ42, given APP is present on Chr21. Increases in hyperphosphorylated tau and NFTs are also likely linked to increased Aβ42, considering gene duplication of *APP* is sufficient to cause early-onset AD[5]. Conversely, a small fraction of DS cases have only a partial trisomy of Chr21 and lack the extra copy of the *APP* gene; these cases do not develop the neuropathological changes of AD[6, 7]. Taken together, these findings bolster the notion that APP overexpression is the major catalyst for AD neuropathology in older individuals with DS. While the clinical and biomarker similarities are now well established between sporadic AD (sAD) that arises in general populations and AD in individuals with DS[8–10], the molecular pathology is less well understood, given the substantial gene dosage changes with trisomy 21. Previously, we have shown that self-

propagating prion conformers of Aβ and tau accumulate in abundance in DS brains with increasing age[11]; in contrast, sAD samples showed the opposite trend with a decreasing abundance of Aβ and tau prions in long-lived patients despite an overall increase in total insoluble tau[12]. Thus, although there is strong evidence for the overall similarity in the histopathological and clinical biomarkers of tau NFT accumulation between DS and AD, it is not known if the molecular structures of the associated filaments are similar.

Recent breakthroughs using cryo–electron microscopy (cryo-EM) have revealed striking differences between tau filament structures isolated from cases with sAD, Pick's disease, corticobasal degeneration, progressive supranuclear palsy, and several other primary and secondary tauopathies[13–18]. Alternative splicing of tau results in isoforms that differ in the number of N-terminal regions and microtubule-binding repeat regions and thus exhibit distinct interactions of tau β sheets, resulting in different folds in each of these diseases. Both the three-repeat and four-repeat tau isoforms implicated in AD form paired helical filaments (PHFs) in AD cases, whereas Pick's disease presents with the predominant amyloid structures as single filaments, and progressive supranuclear palsy presents as enriched in three-repeat and four-repeat tau isoforms. Corticobasal degeneration is also a four-repeat tauopathy and presents both single and paired filaments. These findings indicate that tau filament conformations are disease specific. Thus, propagation of a particular tau filament fold is likely linked to specific cellular and molecular factors associated with different disease pathologies[18]. We postulate that overexpression of the 250 protein-coding genes on Chr21 in DS and the high prevalence of early-onset AD present unique cellular drivers of tau filament propagation. Additionally, given the importance of developing structure-guided molecular diagnostics and therapeutics that target tau filaments to more precisely define pathologies that arise in DS and in neurodegenerative diseases, we were motivated to characterize tau filament structures that develop in individuals with DS.

Here we sought to characterize and determine cryo-EM structures of tau filaments isolated from several postmortem tissue samples from individuals with DS across different ages. Tau filaments were successfully purified from four individuals, aged 63, 51, 46, and 36 years (cases 1 to 4, respectively). Cases 1, 2, and 3 showed robust tau pathology similar to end-stage sAD. However, samples from case 4, the 36-year-old, exhibited sparse tau deposits. Tau PHF and straight filament (SF) structures from the 63-year-old case were determined to 2.7-Å and 2.9-Å resolution, respectively, establishing complementarity to AD tau filament conformations at high resolution. Moreover, structures from all four individuals demonstrated that tau adopts the canonical PHF and SF forms, and these forms are present at similar percentages to what has been observed for AD. To overcome the low level of tau filaments obtained from the 36-year-old case, we used a custom-developed graphene oxide (GO) affinity-grid method in which the cryo-EM sample grids were derivatized with anti-tau antibodies to capture and enrich for tau filaments prior to vitrification. Thus, we present an "on-grid" tau filament isolation method that may be broadly useful for structure determination of filaments from low-abundance sources. Overall, these results establish that, despite substantial differences in genetic background and age, tau filaments that arise in individuals with DS and neurodegeneration are identical to those in AD. Our data provide the molecular framework required to identify conformation-specific diagnostic probes and to design novel therapeutic compounds targeting tau polymorphs found in DS.

METHODS

Clinical history and neuropathology

Deidentified human biospecimens from deceased individuals were obtained from academic biorepositories. All tissue donors provided written or verbal consent to donate autopsied brains for use in biomedical research in accordance with the standards of each institution. This study was exempt from institutional review board approval (i.e., this study is not considered human subject research) in accordance with the University of California San Francisco institutional review

board policy. We determined the cryo-EM structure of tau filaments from 0.5 g fresh-frozen frontal cortex samples from four individuals with DS. Case 1 was a 63-year-old man with documented dementia. Case 2 was a 51-year-old woman with documented dementia. Case 3 was a 46-year-old woman with no documented dementia. Case 4 was a 36-year-old woman with no documented dementia. The demographic and cliniconeuropathological details of these four cases are in **Supplementary Table S1**. Formalin-fixed samples from additional cases of DS and sAD were obtained for histological studies. Fresh-frozen samples from additional cases of DS and sAD were obtained for biochemical studies. The demographic and cliniconeuropathological details of the additional cases are in **Supplementary Table S2** and **Supplementary Table S3**.

Immunohistochemistry

Deparaffinized fixed sections were pretreated in 98% formic acid for 6 minutes to enhance immunoreactivity. After blocking with 10% normal goat serum in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.2% Tween 20 (PBST), sections were incubated at room temperature in primary antibodies overnight followed by secondary antibodies for 2 hours. Primary antibodies were prepared in 10% normal goat serum and applied as combinations of either (a) anti-Aβ1-40 rabbit polyclonal (1:200; AB5074P, MilliporeSigma) and anti-Aβ1-42 12F4 mouse monoclonal (1:200; 805502, BioLegend); (b) anti-Aβ17-24 4G8 mouse monoclonal (1:1000; 800709, BioLegend) and anti-tau (phospho-S262) rabbit polyclonal (1:200; ab131354, Abcam); or (c) anti-tau AD conformation-specific GT-38 mouse monoclonal (1:150; ab246808, Abcam) and anti-tau (phospho-S396) rabbit monoclonal (1:200; ab109390, Abcam) antibodies. The polyclonal IgG H+L secondary antibodies were conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 or 647 (A11029, A21235, A11008, and A21244, Thermo Fisher Scientific) applied 1:500 in 10% normal goat serum in PBST. Stained slides were scanned on a ZEISS Axio Scan Z1 digital slide scanner at 20× magnification. Excitation at 493, 553, or 653 nm was followed by detection at 517 nm (Aβ40 or phospho-tau), 568 nm (autofluorescence), or 668 nm (Aβ42 or tau), respectively.

Dye staining of brain sections for EMBER (*Excitation Multiplexed Bright Emission Recordings*) microscopy

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded brains were sectioned (8-µm thickness) and glass mounted. To reduce the autofluorescence in the brain tissue by greater than 90% intensity (e.g., from lipofuscin or hemosiderin), the sections were photobleached in a cold room for up to 48 hours using a multispectral LED array[19]. The sections were deparaffinized, washed in PBS, and stained with 5 µM dye 60 for 30 minutes. The sections were washed with PBS and coverslipped with PermaFluor (Thermo Scientific) as the self-curing mounting medium. See Yang et al. for additional details[20].

EMBER microscopy data collection

Dye 60-stained fixed brain slices were imaged with a Leica Microsystems SP8 confocal microscope using a 40× water-immersion lens (1.1 NA), a white light and 405 nm lasers, and a HyD detector at 512 × 512-pixel resolution at 2× zoom. The optical plane was manually focused for each field of view. To reduce the background noise from the bottom of the slide, the LightGate was set to 0.5 to 18 ns. First, 110 images were acquired using the Λ/λ-scan mode with wavelength excitations of 470, 490, 510, 530, 550, 570, 590, 610, 630, 650, and 670 nm. The emission detection range started at 10 nm greater than the given excitation wavelength and ended at 780 nm, with a 20-nm window. For example, for the 470-nm excitation, the images were collected at 480 to 500, 500 to 520, 520 to 540, 540 to 560, 560 to 580, 580 to 600, 600 to 620, 620 to 640, 640 to 660, 660 to 680, 680 to 700, 700 to 720, 720 to 740, 740 to 760, and 760 to 780 nm. Then, in the λ-scan mode, 18 additional images were collected at 405-nm excitation with emission detection intervals of 20 nm from 420 nm to 780 nm. See Yang et al. for additional details[20].

EMBER data postprocessing, particle segmentation, and dimension reduction

The EMBER analytical pipeline is described in detail in Yang et al. [20]. In brief, we developed a set of custom scripts in MATLAB to process the raw fluorescent images and segment the aggregated protein deposits. The signal-processing algorithm for the analysis of particle-resolution EMBER spectra was executed in MATLAB with *pca*. Each identified EMBER particle from the particle segmentation was normalized to [0, 1] and then concatenated in an array for principal component analysis. The principal component scores PC1 and PC2 were plotted. Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) was performed in MATLAB with *run_umap* with default settings.

Preparation of sarkosyl-insoluble tau for biochemical characterization

Sarkosyl-insoluble Tau was prepared by diluting 250uL 10% brain homogenate in equal volume of A68 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.8 M NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM EDTA, 10% sucrose, protease and phosphatase inhibitors), followed by centrifugation at 13,000 × g at 4 °C for 20 min[1]. The supernatants were kept on ice, and the pellets were resuspended in 250 μl of A68 buffer and centrifuged for another 20 min. Both supernatants were pooled. Samples were then incubated with 1% sodium lauroyl sarcosinate (1614374, Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature for 1 h at 700 rpm followed by centrifugation at 100,000 × g at 4 °C for 1 h. Pellets were then washed and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 at half the original volume of brain homogenate. Samples were used immediately or snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

Homogenous time-resolved fluorescence assay (HTRF) for tau aggregation

HTRF was performed on sarkosyl-insoluble extracts using Tau Aggregation Kits (6FTAUPEG, Revvity) with 384-well microplates (Perkin Elmer) per manufacturer instructions. Briefly, anti-human TAU-d2 conjugate and anti-human TAU-Tb^{3+}-cryptate conjugate antibody stocks were diluted at a concentration of 1:19 with detection buffer and premixed 1:1 immediately before

200　　plating. Samples were prepared by diluting sarkosyl-insoluble extracts at a concentration of 1:4

with 50mM tris buffer. Samples (10uL) were then added to each well followed by 10uL of premixed

antibodies. Plates were sealed and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Plates were read at

665 nm and 620 nm using the PHERAstar FSX Microplate Reader followed by analysis using

MARS Data Analysis Software.

Western blot analysis

Samples were selected based upon normalized insoluble tau protein content as determined by

the HTRF Tau Aggregation assay. Sarkosyl-insoluble tau samples were treated

with or without trypsin (final trypsin concentration 2mg/mL) at room temperature for 1 h

210　　at 1400 rpm. The samples were then resolved using 4–15% precast polyacrylamide gels and then

transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Briefly, samples were mixed with 4X

Laemlli sample buffer (1610747, Bio-Rad) and 2-mercaptoethanol followed by boiling for 5

minutes for protein denaturation. Following membrane transfer and blocking, tau protein

was probed using the following primary antibody pairs: 1) T13 tau (1:1000, sc-21796, Santa Cruz)

215　　and 4R tau (1:1000, ab218314, Abcam); 2) GT-38 (1:1000, ab246808, Abcam) and TauC4

(1:1000, ABN2178, Sigma-Aldrich). Membranes were incubated with primary antibody pairs

overnight at 4 °C with rocking; all primary antibody cocktails were prepared in Intercept blocking

buffer (LI-COR). Following a TBST wash, membranes were incubated with goat anti-rabbit

secondary antibody conjugated with IRDye 680RD (1:10,000, #926-68071, LI-COR) and goat

220　　anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated with IRDye 800CW (1:10,000, #926-32210, LI-COR)

in Intercept blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Fluorescence was detected with the

Odyssey Fc Imager (LI-COR) using channels 700 and 800. The PageRuler Plus pre-stained

protein ladder (PI26619, Thermo Scientific) was used to provide a molecular weight marker.

Images were analyzed with the LI-COR Acquisition Software.

Filament purification

For case 1, tau filaments were purified from the frontal cortex as previously described in Fitzpatrick et al.[13]. For cases 2 to 4, we achieved improvements in the tau filament purification, including reduced background ferritin contamination, by following methods previously described for

230 purification of Aβ filaments[21]. Here, 0.5 g brain tissue was homogenized in 20 volumes (w/v) of extraction buffer and brought to 2% sarkosyl for incubation at room temperature for 1 hour. The homogenates were then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 minutes, and the resulting supernatants were additionally spun at 100,000 × g for 60 minutes at 4°C. The pellet from the second spin was resuspended in 1 mL/g extraction buffer and centrifuged at 3000 × g for 5 minutes. The

235 supernatants were diluted three-fold in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 10% sucrose, and 0.2% sarkosyl and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 30 minutes. The resulting sarkosyl-insoluble pellets were resuspended in 100 μL/g 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, containing 50 mM NaCl, prior to vitrification for cryo-EM.

240 **Affinity-grid preparation, vitrification, and data collection**

GO deposition and assembly of the affinity grids was performed essentially as described[22, 23]. Briefly, to functionalize the GO surface, grids (Au QUANTIFOIL, R 1.2/1.3, 300 mesh, Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH) were submerged in dimethyl sulfoxide with 10 mM dibenzocyclooctyne-PEG-amine (DBCO-PEG4-amine; CCT-A103P, Vector Laboratories) overnight at room temperature,

245 washed, and then incubated with 20 μL of a 1 mM solution of azide polyethylene glycol (PEG) maleimide and methoxyl PEG azide (5 kDa and 2 kDa molecular weight, respectively; Nanocs) at a 1:9 ratio for 6 hours. Maleimide-functionalized grids were then washed with water and then ethanol, dried, and stored at −20°C. Prior to vitrification, GO grids were first incubated with 3 μL 250 nM recombinant protein G (Z02007, GenScript) in resuspension buffer (100 mM KCl and 40

250 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) for 15 minutes in a Vitrobot Mark IV System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 100% relative humidity and then washed and inactivated with 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol in the

resuspension buffer. Then, 3 µL anti-phospho-tau AT8 (S202 and T205) monoclonal antibody (1:100; MN1020, Invitrogen) was applied for 15 minutes, and then the grids were washed three times. Next, 3 µL purified tau filaments from case 2 or 4 were applied, and the grids were incubated for 15 minutes; then the grids were washed and mechanically blotted for 3 to 4 seconds and plunge frozen. For cases 1 and 3, conventional methods were used: 3 µL purified tau filaments were applied to glow-discharged holey carbon grids and mechanically blotted for 3 to 4 seconds at 100% relative humidity and plunge frozen. For all datasets, super-resolution movies were collected using a Titan Krios microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at 300 kV and equipped with a K3 direct electron-detection camera (Gatan, Inc.) with a BioQuantum energy filter (Gatan, Inc.) with a slit width of 20 eV. Super-resolution movies were recorded at 105,000× magnification (pixel size: 0.417 Å/pixel) with a defocus range of 0.8 to 1.8 µm and a total exposure time of 2.024 seconds fractionated into 0.025-second subframes.

Helical reconstruction

All data-processing steps were done in RELION[24]. The movies were motion-corrected using MotionCor2[25] and Fourier-cropped by a factor of two to give the final pixel size of 0.834 Å per pixel. The dose-weighted summed micrographs were directly imported to RELION[26, 27] and were used for further processing. Contrast transfer function (CTF) was estimated using CTFFIND 4.1[28]. The filaments were picked manually with a box size of 1120 pixels downsampled to 280 pixels. 2D classification was used to separate homogeneous segments for further image processing. After the first round of 2D classification, image alignment was performed to correct for the variable in-plane rotation of the fibril projections, as previously described[29]. For each well-resolved 2D class, the angle α between the *x* axis of the image and fibril-growth direction and the displacement δ of the fibril along the *y* axis from the center of the box were measured in ImageJ[30]. The nonzero values for α and δ were corrected using two MATLAB codes, as follows:

AnglePsi = AnglePsi + α

AnglePsiPrior → AnglePsiPrior + α

280   OriginX → OriginX + δ sin(AnglePsi)

OriginY → OriginY + δ cos(AnglePsi)

The new values of these parameters were updated in the "particles.star" file. Following the manual alignment, further 2D classification was performed to make sure all the 2D classes were well

285   centered and aligned in the boxes. 3D initial models were then created de novo from the 2D class averages using an estimated helical rise of 4.75 Å and the crossover distances of the filaments estimated from the 2D classes with 1120-pixel boxes using RELION's *relion_helix_inimodel2d* feature. The 3D initial models were low-pass filtered to 10 Å for further 3D classification. The particles corresponding to each initial model were re-extracted with 280-pixel boxes for further 3D

290   classification. The best particles were selected from the 3D classification for each dataset. The final rise and twist were optimized in 3D autorefinement. The final 3D densities were sharpened using postprocessing in RELION, and the final resolution was determined from Fourier shell correlation at 0.143 Å from two independently refined half-maps using a soft-edged solvent mask. The final resolutions of tau PHF were 2.7 Å for case 1, 3.1 Å for case 2, 2.9 Å for case 3, and 5 Å

295   for case 4. The final resolutions of tau SF were 3.0 Å for case 1, 3.2 Å for case 2, and 3.1 Å for case 3. For case 4, the resolution of the minor population of chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE)–like filaments was 7.8 Å. Imaging and reconstruction statistics are summarized in **Supplementary Table S4**.

300   **Model building and refinement**

For PHF and SF model building and refinement, previous models[31] were initially docked into the final maps for cases 1 and 2. A single strand of previously solved PHF (Protein Data Bank ID: 7NRQ) or SF (Protein Data Bank ID: 7NRS) was used to rigid-body fit with the final postprocessed

density map in ChimeraX[32]. An initial round of real-space refinement was performed using PHENIX[33], after which the model was used against a single half-map in ChimeraX with the ISOLDE plugin[34] to check for clashes and rotamers. A final round of PHENIX real-space refinement was performed, and MolProbity[35] statistics for each model are summarized in **Supplementary Table S5**.

RESULTS

Fluorescence characterization of DS brain samples from individuals aged 36 to 63 years

In prior work, we extensively characterized a large cohort of postmortem DS brain samples from several biorepositories in the United States and Europe using the following assays: (a) biochemical measurements of Aβ and tau species in soluble and insoluble fractions of bulk tissue homogenate, (b) immunohistochemical measurements of Aβ and tau species, and (c) cell-based prion bioassays for self-propagating Aβ and tau species[11, 36]. In addition to the demographic and cliniconeuropathological details provided by each biorepository, we used our rich phenotypic dataset to prioritize a list of candidate samples from the medial frontal cortex for tau filament purification and structural characterization (see **Supplementary Table S1**).

Here, our goal was to isolate and structurally characterize tau filaments from the frontal cortex of individuals with DS who died at different ages to span the neuropathological stages of AD that can develop in DS. We present the histological evaluation of samples that led to sufficient tau filament purification and structure determination by cryo-EM. Using fixed samples adjacent to the frozen samples used for purification in a given DS case, we performed a series of immunofluorescent stains for Aβ and tau species.

In DS cases 1 (63 y), 2 (51 y), and 3 (46 y), we observed abundant and widespread 4G8-positive Aβ plaques and phospho-tau S262-positive NFTs (**Figure 1**). Many amyloid plaques stained positive for both the Aβ42 and Aβ40 isotype-specific antibodies (**Supplementary Figure S1**); the accumulation of Aβ40-rich parenchymal plaques and cerebral amyloid angiopathy is

330   indicative of more mature, late-stage Aβ deposition in DS [37, 38]. In DS case 4 (36 y), we

observed a moderate 4G8-positive Aβ plaque load that was uniformly composed of Aβ42, but not

Aβ40, isotypes; moreover, phospho-tau S262-positive tangles were sparse, indicating an early

stage of neuropathological burden (**Figure 1** and **Supplementary Figure S1**).

335   **In situ assessment of tau tangle conformation in DS**

Because older DS brains feature AD neuropathological changes, we postulated that tau

conformers should resemble those found in typical late-onset sAD brain samples. Indeed, others

have shown that NFTs are positive for three-repeat and four-repeat tau isoforms[39]. However,

compared with sAD brains, DS brains exhibit extensive tau pathology in the white matter[40] and

340   produce greater amounts of the three-repeat tau isoform, likely due to other duplicated genes

(e.g., *DYRK1A*) on Chr21 that affect the alternative splicing of tau exon 10[39, 41]. Thus, we

performed two histological labeling methods in fixed brain slices to assess the conformational

nature of tau tangles in DS brains compared with sAD brains. First, we performed

immunohistochemistry with a tau AD conformation-specific antibody known as GT-38[42, 43]. Like

345   tau tangles in sAD, we observed that phospho-tau S396-positive NFTs in samples from older and

younger DS brains were also positive for GT-38 labeling (**Figure 2A-D**), suggesting that tau

filaments in DS may adopt the AD fold.

       In parallel experiments we used our novel spectral confocal microscopy method, called

EMBER imaging, paired with a new structurally sensitive amyloid binding dye, dye 60[20], to

350   assess the conformational heterogeneity of tau tangles in DS and sAD brain slices. Unlike

traditional fluorescent amyloid dyes, like thioflavin S, Congo red, or their derivatives, our dye 60

exhibits robust differences in the excitation and emission spectra when bound to Aβ plaques

versus tau tangles, thus making for facile spectral separation and morphological distinction

between these two protein deposits (**Figure 2E, F**). We previously demonstrated EMBER could

355   robustly discriminate tau deposits in sAD and Pick's disease[20]. Here, we stained six DS samples

(including cases 1, 2, and 4) and six sAD samples. Following our published protocol (see Methods), we collected and analyzed EMBER data for tau tangles only and presented the results in a UMAP plot. The EMBER signature of tau tangles in a DS brain clustered tightly with tau tangles in a sAD brain (**Figure 2G, H**), suggesting that the DS tau tangle conformation may be like those in AD. Next, we examined the degree of conformational homogeneity between and within brain regions in the same DS cases. We obtained a new set of fixed postmortem tissues with slices from both the frontal cortex and temporal cortex from five individuals with DS and advanced AD neuropathology. We performed EMBER imaging and observed that the conformational signature of tau tangles was similar in the frontal and temporal cortices and across all cortical layers in those regions (**Figure 2I, J**). To look more broadly at tau conformers in DS and AD, we performed sarkosyl extraction of insoluble tau from fresh-frozen frontal cortex samples (**Supplementary Table S2**) and used a HTRF assay to measure aggregated tau species (**Supplementary Figure S2A, B**) to select a subset of well-matched samples for Western blot (**Supplementary Figure S2C–F**). We performed limited proteolysis with trypsin followed by Western blot analysis on undigested and digested sarkosyl-insoluble tau extracts using a panel of antibodies targeting different tau epitopes. We observed no discernible differences in the antibody staining or banding pattern of insoluble tau from individuals with DS compared to AD patients (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Taken together, these data corroborate the notion that tau filaments from DS are likely similar to the AD tau fold.

Cryo-EM structures reveal tau filaments adopt AD conformations in DS

We sought to determine structures of isolated tau filaments from DS cases 1 to 4 (**Supplementary Table S1**) by cryo-EM. Sarkosyl-insoluble material was extracted from frontal cortex tissue essentially as described[13, 21] (see Methods) and initially assessed by negative-stain EM (**Supplementary Figure S3**). PHF-like filaments were readily identified in cases 1 to 3; however, very few fibrils were observed for case 4. We note this was expected based on the younger age

of the individual (36 y) and the presence of fewer phospho-tau S262-positive tangles compared with other cases (**Figure 1**). More narrow filaments were also identified in some cryo-EM micrograph images; these were attributed to Aβ, as has been recently described for DS[44], and

385 were not further characterized in this study given their low prevalence relative to tau (**Supplementary Figure S3B**). For case 1, cryo-EM 2D and 3D analysis revealed the presence of both PHFs and SFs, totaling approximately 76% and 21% of the selected data, respectively (**Figure 3A**). Cryo-EM helical reconstructions using RELION[26, 27] resulted in well-resolved PHF and SF final maps at 2.7-Å and 2.9-Å resolution, respectively (**Figure 3B**, **Supplementary Figure**

390 **S4**, and **Supplementary Table S4)**. The docked atomic models for tau residues G303 to E380 were in good agreement with the map and confirmed the tau conformations are identical to the AD PHF and SF structures (**Figure 3C**, **Supplementary Figure S4**, and **Supplementary Table S4**). Indeed, alignment to the AD structures showed no substantial differences, with an overall α-carbon root mean square deviation equal to 0.5 Å and 0.6 Å for the PHF and SF, respectively

395 (**Supplementary Figure S4**). As with AD, the filaments adopted a characteristic *C*-shaped fold comprising a β-helix turn (residues 337-356) and an antiparallel protofilament interaction interface across the $_{332}$PGGGQ$_{336}$ motif for the PHF and residues 317-324 and 312-321 for the SF.

Considering the very low abundance of tau filaments and scarcity of tissue for case 4, we devised a scheme to enrich for tau filaments on cryo-EM grids prior to vitrification using an

400 established affinity-grid method (**Figure 4A**)[23]. For this procedure, single-layer GO was applied to QUANTIFOIL grids, functionalized with a PEG maleimide, and coupled to protein G for binding to the AT8 phospho-tau (S202 and T205) antibody (see Methods). This GO-AT8 affinity-grid method was tested initially for capturing tau filaments purified from case 2 samples, which exhibited robust NFTs. In control experiments, in which protein G was not added to the affinity-

405 grid assembly procedure, few to no filaments were observed in cryo-EM images (**Figure 4B**). We note this procedure involved wash steps after sample application to reduce background contaminants such as ferritin[13], which can impact filament selection and refinement. Thus, the

absence of filaments indicated successful removal of unbound material relative to typical vitrification procedures. Conversely, when AT8 was included in the grid preparation, we observed extensive tau filaments in cryo-EM images, although some background contaminants remained (**Figure 4C**). Together, these data indicate our GO-AT8 affinity-grid method successfully enriched for tau filaments in an AT8-dependent manner.

Next, cryo-EM datasets were collected for tau filaments isolated from DS cases 2 to 4, with GO-AT8 affinity grids being used for cases 2 and 4. The resulting cryo-EM reconstructions showed all cases contained predominantly AD PHF conformations, while cases 2 and 3 also exhibited substantial SFs, similar to case 1 (**Figure 5**). Notably, structure determination for case 4 remained limited by the low abundance of isolated filaments, resulting in a final resolution of 5 Å for the case 4 PHF. However, molecular models for cases 2 and 4 confirmed PHF and SF conformations were resolved using the GO-AT8 grids (**Supplementary Figure S5)**. Interestingly, a small dataset (approximately 17,000 segments) was classified and refined to a low-resolution (>7 Å) map that appears similar to the CTE type II fold found in CTE [16] and SSPE [45]. However, the map resolution and quality were not sufficient to build an atomic model and confirm the precise tau filament conformation. Although some overfitting is apparent in the 2D slice view (**Figure 5**), we note that the reference-free 2D class averages selected for the reconstruction appear distinct from the PHF averages and are similar to averages reported for CTE (Supplementary Figure S5) [16]. Overall, for the four cases we studied, these data identify that the tau AD PHF fold predominates across a wide age range of individuals with DS. The SF fold was also present at lower levels but is comparable to what has been previously observed by cryo-EM[13]. Therefore, both the structural conformation of tau filaments and the relative presence of PHFs and SFs appear identical to those in AD for older individuals with DS with a higher burden of tau deposits, supporting the general AD pathology that has been reported for DS[3].

DISCUSSION

435 Cryo-EM structural studies have revealed that tau adopts a myriad of polymorphic folds that are associated with distinct etiological forms of primary and secondary tauopathy diseases[18]. In individuals with DS, clinical biomarkers of tau pathology progress along a similar trajectory as for people with AD—just decades sooner[8]. This early-onset AD has long been attributed to the triplication of *APP* and exacerbated deposition of Aβ neuritic plaques[3, 4]. Moreover, isolated

440 insoluble tau[46, 47] and NFTs [3, 48] that arise in individuals with DS share similar biochemical and morphological properties to the disease form of tau seen in AD. However, structures of tau filaments from individuals with DS have not previously been determined. Thus, the specific tau fold that develops in DS has been unknown; a high-resolution structure of tau in DS would bear great significance for therapeutic development. Our novel workflow of *in situ* conformational

445 assays and cryo-EM methods revealed that DS tau adopts the AD folds of PHFs and SFs.

We previously developed EMBER imaging with structure-sensitive dyes to visualize conformational heterogeneity of intracellular tau deposits in situ and identified robust conformational discrimination between individual AD NFTs and Pick bodies at the cellular level[20]. We therefore used EMBER imaging to screen and predict the conformational status of

450 tau deposits in candidate brain samples and, indeed, demonstrated a robust overlap of conformational signatures for NFTs in AD and DS samples (**Figure 2G, H**). In AD, tau NFTs accumulate predominantly in the deeper cortical layers V/VI[49]; in contrast, tau NFT accumulation is more widespread in DS, involving the cortical layers II through VI[48, 50]. In a small cohort of well-matched DS cases, we found that the EMBER-based conformational

455 signature of DS tau NFTs was homogeneous across all cortical layers in the frontal and temporal cortices (**Figure 2I, J**). These data suggest that (a) the same AD tau folds should be found in the temporal cortex of individuals with DS and that (b) cortical neurons in layers II/III in DS give rise to NFTs composed of tau filaments with the same AD tau fold as neurons in layers V/VI in DS or AD. Considering the overexpression in DS of more than 250 protein-coding genes on Chr21, it is

460   remarkable that the DS tau fold is identical to those in AD cases and that the tau NFT conformations in DS appear homogeneous within and between DS cases.

It has been postulated that the distinct CTE tau fold[16], also found in SSPE[45] and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and parkinsonism-dementia complex (ALS-PDC)[51], derives from tau NFT deposition predominately in the upper cortical layers II/III as a consequence of distinct

465   disease mechanisms involving chronic neuroinflammation and/or environmental factors[52–55]. In contrast, we show that DS tau NFTs in layers II/III exhibit a similar EMBER signature as NFTs in layers V/VI, suggesting that any putative microenvironmental differences specific to each cortical layer are not sufficient to drive the formation of CTE tau folds versus AD tau folds. Hence, it is reasonable to posit that a distinct neuroinflammatory state shared between CTE, SSPE, and

470   ALS-PDC drives the formation of a common CTE tau fold that is unique from AD tau PHFs and SFs. Nevertheless, trisomy of Chr21 leads to a heightened state of neuroinflammation that is not typical of AD. In DS, there is triplication of four interferon receptor genes on Chr21, causing a lifelong, systemic type 1 interferonopathy phenotype (a chronic state of low-grade inflammation in all organs)[56, 57]. In the brain, proinflammatory cytokine profiles and aberrant microglial

475   activation states are more pronounced in DS compared with AD[58]; in later stages of DS, a robust neuroinflammatory phenotype emerges, including a prominent dystrophic and rod-shaped microglial phenotype that aligns with neurons forming tau tangles[59, 60]. Therefore, it is somewhat surprising that the AD tau conformation predominates in the DS brain despite the overt differences in the biochemical microenvironment. Thus, we conclude that the Aβ pathology, and

480   its associated cellular consequences, must be the major driver of the PHF and SF tau conformations no matter the etiological form of AD.

In DS, overt deposition of Aβ plaques and tau NFTs appears in the second and third decade of life, respectively; however, cognitive impairment and dementia do not emerge until the fifth or sixth decade of life[2]. The field now has a clear view of the spectrum of distinct tau folds

485   present in late stages of disease, but the extent of conformational heterogeneity at disease onset

remains unknown. To increase the potential for translational success, it is important to determine the structures of tau filaments from the very early asymptomatic stages of disease. Critical to the characterization of tau filaments from the younger (36 y) individual with no documented dementia was our implementation of GO-AT8 affinity grids to isolate tau filaments from a very sparse sample

490   (**Figure 1**). This procedure enabled small-scale affinity purification from an endogenous source, an advantageous step for future potential structural efforts targeting specific brain regions, early disease stages, or other pathologies with reduced tau deposits. We additionally found this procedure to be beneficial in reducing background contaminants, such as ferritin, which can impact classification and refinement. Unfortunately, despite these advances, we were unable to

495   complete high-resolution structures from the 36-year-old case; thus, dataset size and filament abundance remains a challenge. Nonetheless, our structure determined to 5.0-Å resolution was sufficient to establish the AD PHF fold. Therefore, further optimizations were not pursued.

Strikingly, with the GO-AT8 affinity-grid method, we were able to identify that 4% of tau filaments from case 4 appeared to have the type II CTE fold, also found in SSPE and ALS-

500   PDC[16, 45, 51]. However, due to the extreme rarity of these tau filaments, we only achieved a 7.8-Å map and thus cannot conclusively determine the fold to high resolution. Nevertheless, if we assume that more data would confirm there is a minor population of type II CTE tau filaments in case 4, how do we reconcile that the other three cases with advanced AD pathology and abundant tau filaments do not have this morphology? One explanation could be that case 4 also had a well-

505   documented history of epilepsy and the cause of death was respiratory failure. Notably, epilepsy (in non-DS young adults) is associated with the accumulation of tau histopathology[61–63], and neuroinflammatory pathways are activated by and exacerbate epileptic seizures[64]. Thus, we speculate that the neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory processes in the epileptic brain may precipitate conditions that yield the type II CTE fold. As individuals with DS live longer into late

510   middle age, the prevalence of comorbid epilepsy is estimated to reach upward of 50% of the DS population with AD[65]. Future cryo-EM studies on tau filaments from greater numbers of

individuals with DS and comorbid epilepsy may identify cases with a heterogeneous mix of AD tau and CTE tau folds, consistent with a prior study showing multiple tau polymorphs identified in a single primary tauopathy case[18].

515   Overall, our findings are in good agreement with a recent study from Fernandez and colleagues[44], who published their work at the time our manuscript was submitted for peer review. Juxtaposed with our cryo-EM and EMBER imaging data in a larger DS cohort, there is strong evidence that tau filaments adopt the AD PHF fold early in the neuropathogenesis of DS and persists as the predominant tau fold in older individuals with DS. While Aβ filament structures

520   were not the focus of our study here, Fernandez et al. determined the cryo-EM structures of Aβ42 filaments that are identical to those found in sporadic and inherited AD[21] as well as discovering two new Aβ40 filaments (types IIIa and IIIb)[44]. While these novel Aβ40 filaments were not yet detected in sporadic or inherited AD samples, it will be interesting to see if this observation is related to the increased Aβ40-rich cerebral amyloid angiopathy that can be more prominent in DS

525   compared to AD[66]. Alternatively, the novel Aβ40 filaments could be linked to the presence of unique parenchymal plaque type in DS not found in AD, consistent with our previous data showing that a subset of older individuals with DS featured a distinct conformational signature of Aβ plaques compared to sporadic AD patients[36]. Cryo-EM structural studies of Aβ filaments should be expanded to a larger DS cohort including samples from young individuals with DS. Deciphering

530   the extent of Aβ filament heterogeneity across the lifespan of individuals with DS may have important implications for drug discovery.

Because modern clinical imaging and fluid biomarkers have revealed the trajectory of AD progression in individuals with DS[8–10], there has been growing consideration to include individuals with DS in clinical trials for therapeutics developed for AD. The urgency to do so has

535   been tempered by the fact that the mechanisms of action and/or safety profiles of candidate drugs may be different in individuals with DS, despite the shared presence of Aβ plaques and tau tangles. Indeed, the first clinical trial (NCT05462106) testing an Aβ vaccine in participants with

DS is underway[67], and there may be future trials testing Aβ immunotherapies that are already showing promising results in individuals with sAD. However, the formation of tau tangles after the deposition of Aβ plaques is much more rapid in DS than in sAD[68, 69]; thus, effective therapeutics targeting pathological tau in DS will be required due to the condensed trajectory of AD pathogenesis. Given the intracellular localization of tau NFTs, immunotherapy approaches are unlikely to succeed, emphasizing the need to develop small-molecule therapeutics. By solving the structure of tau filaments in DS, we now have a molecular framework to apply existing or design new conformation-specific small-molecule drugs or diagnostics that target the AD tau fold. For example, we expect that the cleft of the PHF fold in DS bears an identical pocket for stacked small-molecule binding, as we have demonstrated with the positron emission tomography ligand GTP1[70] and as Kunach et al. demonstrated with the positron emission tomography ligand MK-6240[71] in tau PHFs from sAD. Based on this novel binding mode, new *in silico* docking methods[72] may predict small molecules with superior properties for development as next-generation diagnostic ligands or therapeutic inhibitors targeting the tau fold in DS and AD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH; P01AG002132 to S.B.P., C.C., and D.R.S.; RF1NS133651 to C.C. and D.R.S.), Henry M. Jackson Foundation (HU0001-21-2-065 subaward 5802 to S.B.P.), and Rainwater Charitable Foundation (A138221 to D.R.S.). H.Y. received postdoctoral fellowship funding from the BrightFocus Foundation (A2020039F). Tissue samples were supplied by the NIH NeuroBioBank (via the University of Maryland); the August Pi i Sunyer Biomedical Research Institute Biobank (Barcelona, Spain); the London Neurodegenerative Diseases Brain Bank (King's College London, UK), which receives funding from the Medical Research Council, UK, and the Brains for Dementia Research project (jointly funded by the Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research UK); Autistica (London, UK); the National Institute for Health and Care Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre; and

Professor Elizabeth Head and the University of California, Irvine, Alzheimer's Disease Research

565   Center (Irvine, CA), which is funded by the NIH National Institute on Aging (grant P30AG066519).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

U.G., E.T., D.R.S., and C.C. designed the research; U.G., E.T., H.Y., M.S., C.D.C., F.W., and C.C. performed the experiments; U.G., E.T., H.Y., G.E.M., S.B.P., D.R.S., and C.C. analyzed the data;

570   and U.G., E.T., D.R.S., and C.C. wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

S.B.P. is the founder of Prio-Pharma, which did not contribute financial or any other support to

575   these studies. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA & MATERIALS

Cryo-EM maps will be available in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) upon publication. Corresponding refined atomic models will be deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) upon

580   publication. Please address requests for materials to the corresponding authors.

REFERENCES

1. Vos T, Allen C, Arora M (2016) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 388:1545–1602. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31678-6

2. Lott IT, Head E (2019) Dementia in Down syndrome: unique insights for Alzheimer disease research. Nat Rev Neurol 15:135–147. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-018-0132-6

3. Mann DM, Esiri MM (1989) The pattern of acquisition of plaques and tangles in the brains of patients under 50 years of age with Down's syndrome. J Neurol Sci 89:169–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510x(89)90019-1

4. Davidson YS, Robinson A, Prasher VP, Mann DMA (2018) The age of onset and evolution of Braak tangle stage and Thal amyloid pathology of Alzheimer's disease in individuals with Down syndrome. Acta Neuropathol Commun 6:56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-018-0559-4

5. Sleegers K, Brouwers N, Gijselinck I, et al (2006) APP duplication is sufficient to cause early onset Alzheimer's dementia with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Brain 129:2977–2983. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl203

6. Prasher VP, Farrer MJ, Kessling AM, et al (1998) Molecular mapping of Alzheimer-type dementia in Down's syndrome. Ann Neurol 43:380–383. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410430316

7. Doran E, Keator D, Head E, et al (2017) Down syndrome, partial trisomy 21, and absence of alzheimer's disease: the role of APP. J Alzheimers Dis 56:459–470. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-160836

8. Fortea J, Vilaplana E, Carmona-Iragui M, et al (2020) Clinical and biomarker changes of Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome: a cross-sectional study. Lancet 395:1988–1997. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30689-9

9. Bejanin A, Iulita MF, Vilaplana E, et al (2021) Association of apolipoprotein E ε4 allele with clinical and multimodal biomarker changes of alzheimer disease in adults with down syndrome. JAMA Neurol 78:937–947. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.1893

10. Iulita MF, Bejanin A, Vilaplana E, et al (2023) Association of biological sex with clinical outcomes and biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome. Brain Commun 5:fcad074. https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcad074

11. Condello C, Maxwell AM, Castillo E, et al (2022) Aβ and tau prions feature in the neuropathogenesis of Down syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 119:e2212954119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2212954119

12. Aoyagi A, Condello C, Stöhr J, et al (2019) Aβ and tau prion-like activities decline with longevity in the Alzheimer's disease human brain. Sci Transl Med 11:. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aat8462

13.   Fitzpatrick AWP, Falcon B, He S, et al (2017) Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from Alzheimer's disease. Nature 547:185–190. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23002

14.   Falcon B, Zhang W, Schweighauser M, et al (2018) Tau filaments from multiple cases of sporadic and inherited Alzheimer's disease adopt a common fold. Acta Neuropathol 136:699–708. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-018-1914-z

15.   Falcon B, Zhang W, Murzin AG, et al (2018) Structures of filaments from Pick's disease reveal a novel tau protein fold. Nature 561:137–140. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0454-y

16.   Falcon B, Zivanov J, Zhang W, et al (2019) Novel tau filament fold in chronic traumatic encephalopathy encloses hydrophobic molecules. Nature 568:420–423. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1026-5

17.   Zhang W, Tarutani A, Newell KL, et al (2020) Novel tau filament fold in corticobasal degeneration. Nature 580:283–287. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2043-0

18.   Shi Y, Zhang W, Yang Y, et al (2021) Structure-based classification of tauopathies. Nature 598:359–363. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03911-7

19.   Duong H, Han M (2013) A multispectral LED array for the reduction of background autofluorescence in brain tissue. J Neurosci Methods 220:46–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.08.018

20.   Yang H, Yuan P, Wu Y, et al (2023) EMBER multidimensional spectral microscopy enables quantitative determination of disease- and cell-specific amyloid strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 120:e2300769120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2300769120

21.   Yang Y, Arseni D, Zhang W, et al (2022) Cryo-EM structures of amyloid-β 42 filaments from human brains. Science 375:167–172. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm7285

22.   Palovcak E, Wang F, Zheng SQ, et al (2018) A simple and robust procedure for preparing graphene-oxide cryo-EM grids. J Struct Biol 204:80–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2018.07.007

23.   Wang F, Liu Y, Yu Z, et al (2020) General and robust covalently linked graphene oxide affinity grids for high-resolution cryo-EM. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:24269–24273. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2009707117

24.   Scheres SHW (2012) RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure determination. J Struct Biol 180:519–530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006

25.   Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache J-P, et al (2017) MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. Nat Methods 14:331–332. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4193

26.   He S, Scheres SHW (2017) Helical reconstruction in RELION. J Struct Biol 198:163–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2017.02.003

27.   Zivanov J, Nakane T, Forsberg BO, et al (2018) New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. eLife 7:. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42166

28.   Rohou A, Grigorieff N (2015) CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs. J Struct Biol 192:216–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008

29.   Ghosh U, Thurber KR, Yau W-M, Tycko R (2021) Molecular structure of a prevalent amyloid-β fibril polymorph from Alzheimer's disease brain tissue. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 118:. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023089118

30.   Collins TJ (2007) ImageJ for microscopy. BioTechniques 43:25–30. https://doi.org/10.2144/000112517

31.   Shi Y, Murzin AG, Falcon B, et al (2021) Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from Alzheimer's disease with PET ligand APN-1607. Acta Neuropathol 141:697–708. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-021-02294-3

32.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, et al (2021) UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci 30:70–82. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3943

33.   Afonine PV, Poon BK, Read RJ, et al (2018) Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol 74:531–544. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798318006551

34.   Croll TI (2018) ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-resolution electron-density maps. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol 74:519–530. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798318002425

35.   Williams CJ, Headd JJ, Moriarty NW, et al (2018) MolProbity: more and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation. Protein Sci 27:293–315. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3330

36.   Maxwell AM, Yuan P, Rivera BM, et al (2021) Emergence of distinct and heterogeneous strains of amyloid beta with advanced Alzheimer's disease pathology in Down syndrome. Acta Neuropathol Commun 9:201. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-021-01298-0

37.   Iwatsubo T, Mann DM, Odaka A, et al (1995) Amyloid beta protein (A beta) deposition: A beta 42(43) precedes A beta 40 in Down syndrome. Ann Neurol 37:294–299. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410370305

38.   Lemere CA, Blusztajn JK, Yamaguchi H, et al (1996) Sequence of deposition of heterogeneous amyloid beta-peptides and APO E in Down syndrome: implications for initial events in amyloid plaque formation. Neurobiol Dis 3:16–32. https://doi.org/10.1006/nbdi.1996.0003

39.   Wegiel J, Kaczmarski W, Barua M, et al (2011) Link between DYRK1A overexpression and several-fold enhancement of neurofibrillary degeneration with 3-repeat tau protein in Down syndrome. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 70:36–50. https://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0b013e318202bfa1

40.   Ichimata S, Martinez-Valbuena I, Lee S, et al (2023) Distinct Molecular Signatures of Amyloid-Beta and Tau in Alzheimer's Disease Associated with Down Syndrome. Int J Mol Sci 24:. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241411596

41.   Shi J, Zhang T, Zhou C, et al (2008) Increased dosage of Dyrk1A alters alternative splicing factor (ASF)-regulated alternative splicing of tau in Down syndrome. J Biol Chem 283:28660–28669. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M802645200

42.   Gibbons GS, Banks RA, Kim B, et al (2018) Detection of Alzheimer Disease (AD)-Specific Tau Pathology in AD and NonAD Tauopathies by Immunohistochemistry With Novel Conformation-Selective Tau Antibodies. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 77:216–228. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nly010

43.   Gibbons GS, Kim S-J, Robinson JL, et al (2019) Detection of Alzheimer's disease (AD) specific tau pathology with conformation-selective anti-tau monoclonal antibody in co-morbid frontotemporal lobar degeneration-tau (FTLD-tau). Acta Neuropathol Commun 7:34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-019-0687-5

44.   Fernandez A, Hoq MR, Hallinan GI, et al (2024) Cryo-EM structures of amyloid-β and tau filaments in Down syndrome. Nat Struct Mol Biol. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-024-01252-3

45.   Qi C, Hasegawa M, Takao M, et al (2023) Identical tau filaments in subacute sclerosing panencephalitis and chronic traumatic encephalopathy. Acta Neuropathol Commun 11:74. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-023-01565-2

46.   Hanger DP, Brion JP, Gallo JM, et al (1991) Tau in Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome is insoluble and abnormally phosphorylated. Biochem J 275 (Pt 1):99–104. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2750099

47.   Flament S, Delacourte A, Mann DM (1990) Phosphorylation of Tau proteins: a major event during the process of neurofibrillary degeneration. A comparative study between Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome. Brain Res 516:15–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(90)90891-e

48.   Hof PR, Bouras C, Perl DP, et al (1995) Age-related distribution of neuropathologic changes in the cerebral cortex of patients with Down's syndrome. Quantitative regional analysis and comparison with Alzheimer's disease. Arch Neurol 52:379–391. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.1995.00540280065020

49.   Pearson RC, Esiri MM, Hiorns RW, et al (1985) Anatomical correlates of the distribution of the pathological changes in the neocortex in Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:4531–4534. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.82.13.4531

50.   Mann DM, Yates PO, Marcyniuk B, Ravindra CR (1986) The topography of plaques and tangles in Down's syndrome patients of different ages. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 12:447–457. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2990.1986.tb00053.x

51.   Qi C, Verheijen BM, Kokubo Y, et al (2023) Tau filaments from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis/parkinsonism-dementia complex adopt the CTE fold. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 120:e2306767120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2306767120

52.   Tokuda T, Ikeda S, Yanagisawa N, et al (1991) Re-examination of ex-boxers' brains using immunohistochemistry with antibodies to amyloid beta-protein and tau protein. Acta Neuropathol 82:280–285. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00308813

53.   Miyahara H, Akagi A, Riku Y, et al (2022) Independent distribution between tauopathy secondary to subacute sclerotic panencephalitis and measles virus: An immunohistochemical analysis in autopsy cases including cases treated with aggressive antiviral therapies. Brain Pathol 32:e13069. https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.13069

54.   Hof PR, Nimchinsky EA, Buée-Scherrer V, et al (1994) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis/parkinsonism-dementia complex of Guam: quantitative neuropathology, immunohistochemical analysis of neuronal vulnerability, and comparison with related neurodegenerative disorders. Acta Neuropathol 88:397–404. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00389490

55.   Mimuro M, Yoshida M, Kuzuhara S, Kokubo Y (2018) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and parkinsonism-dementia complex of the Hohara focus of the Kii Peninsula: A multiple proteinopathy? Neuropathology 38:98–107. https://doi.org/10.1111/neup.12434

56.   Galbraith MD, Rachubinski AL, Smith KP, et al (2023) Multidimensional definition of the interferonopathy of Down syndrome and its response to JAK inhibition. Sci Adv 9:eadg6218. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adg6218

57.   Waugh KA, Minter R, Baxter J, et al (2023) Triplication of the interferon receptor locus contributes to hallmarks of Down syndrome in a mouse model. Nat Genet 55:1034–1047. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-023-01399-7

58.   Wilcock DM, Hurban J, Helman AM, et al (2015) Down syndrome individuals with Alzheimer's disease have a distinct neuroinflammatory phenotype compared to sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 36:2468–2474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.05.016

59.   Flores-Aguilar L, Iulita MF, Kovecses O, et al (2020) Evolution of neuroinflammation across the lifespan of individuals with Down syndrome. Brain 143:3653–3671. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa326

60.   Martini AC, Helman AM, McCarty KL, et al (2020) Distribution of microglial phenotypes as a function of age and Alzheimer's disease neuropathology in the brains of people with Down syndrome. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 12:e12113. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12113

61.   Tai XY, Koepp M, Duncan JS, et al (2016) Hyperphosphorylated tau in patients with refractory epilepsy correlates with cognitive decline: a study of temporal lobe resections. Brain 139:2441–2455. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww187

62.   Smith KM, Blessing MM, Parisi JE, et al (2019) Tau deposition in young adults with drug-resistant focal epilepsy. Epilepsia 60:2398–2403. https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.16375

63.   Toscano ECB, Vieira ÉLM, Grinberg LT, et al (2023) Hyperphosphorylated tau in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy: a neuropathological and cognitive study. Mol Neurobiol 60:2174–2185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-022-03190-x

64.   Vezzani A, Balosso S, Ravizza T (2019) Neuroinflammatory pathways as treatment targets and biomarkers in epilepsy. Nat Rev Neurol 15:459–472. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-019-0217-x

65.   Altuna M, Giménez S, Fortea J (2021) Epilepsy in down syndrome: A highly prevalent comorbidity. J Clin Med 10:. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10132776

66.   Head E, Phelan MJ, Doran E, et al (2017) Cerebrovascular pathology in Down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun 5:93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-017-0499-4

67.   Rafii MS, Sol O, Mobley WC, et al (2022) Safety, Tolerability, and Immunogenicity of the ACI-24 Vaccine in Adults With Down Syndrome: A Phase 1b Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Neurol 79:565–574. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.0983

68.   Zammit MD, Tudorascu DL, Laymon CM, et al (2021) Neurofibrillary tau depositions emerge with subthreshold cerebral beta-amyloidosis in down syndrome. Neuroimage Clin 31:102740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102740

69.   Zammit MD, Betthauser TJ, McVea AK, et al (2024) Characterizing the emergence of amyloid and tau burden in Down syndrome. Alzheimers Dement 20:388–398. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13444

70.   Merz GE, Chalkley MJ, Tan SK, et al (2023) Stacked binding of a PET ligand to Alzheimer's tau paired helical filaments. Nat Commun 14:3048. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38537-y

71.   Kunach P, Vaquer-Alicea J, Smith MS, et al (2023) Cryo-EM structure of Alzheimer's disease tau filaments with PET ligand MK-6240. BioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.22.558671

72.   Smith MS, Knight IS, Kormos RC, et al (2024) Docking for Molecules That Bind in a Symmetric Stack with SymDOCK. J Chem Inf Model 64:425–434. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.3c01749

 Figure 1. Fluorescence images of immunolabeled Aβ plaques and tau tangles in DS brain samples used for cryo–electron microscopy experiments. Formalin-fixed frontal cortex sections stained with antibodies against total Aβ (magenta) and the phosphorylated tau S262 epitope (white) in DS case 4, 36 years old (A-C), DS case 3, 46 years old (D-F), and DS case 2, 51 years old (G-I). Individual fluorescence channels were converted to grayscale and inverted for phosphorylated tau (B, E, H) and Aβ (C, F, I). Scale bar = 2 mm. Inset dimensions = 0.6 mm^2.

Figure 2. In situ assays show DS tau conformation is similar to tau tangles in sporadic AD. (A-D) Representative confocal images of tau tangles in DS brain fixed slices stained with antibodies targeting the phosphorylated tau S396 epitope (green) and the AD conformation-specific tau fold (GT-38; red). Scale bar = 10 μm. (E, F) Representative 40× low (E) and high (F) zoom confocal images of Aβ plaques (cyan) and tau tangles (red) in DS brain fixed slices stained with dye 60, a novel structure-sensitive dye used for EMBER amyloid-strain discrimination. Scale bar = 50 μm (E); scale bar = 10 μm (F). (G, H) EMBER analysis of tau tangles in brain slices from six DS cases compared with tau tangles in brain slices of six AD cases. EMBER data are plotted in UMAP as all DS cases versus all AD cases (G), or each individual DS case or AD case plotted separately (H). (I, J) EMBER analysis of tau tangles in brain slices from a different set of five DS cases. EMBER data are plotted in UMAP as tau tangles in frontal cortex versus temporal cortex in the same brain (I), or by cortical layers in both frontal and temporal cortices combined (J).

Figure 3. Cryo-EM structures of tau PHF and SF conformations from DS case 1. (A) Representative electron micrograph of amyloid filaments from the frontal cortex of DS case 1 showing PHF and SF segments (left image; light and dark blue asterisks, respectively) and corresponding 2D class averages (middle images) and cross-section projection views of the conformations (right images). (B) Final cryo-EM density maps of the PHF and SF at 2.7-Å and 2.9-Å resolution, respectively. Asterisks indicate extra densities previously observed in structures from AD, and boxes show each protofilament interface, enlarged in (C). (C) Single protofilament

830   cryo-EM map (mesh) with the fitted atomic model for the PHF (left) and SF (right), including tau

residues G304 for PHF (G303 for SF) to E380 and an enlarged view of the protofilament interface.

Figure 4. GO-AT8 antibody affinity-grid assembly and isolation of tau filaments for cryo-EM. (A) Schematic showing the affinity-grid assembly procedure for the attachment of AT8 anti-tau antibodies to the GO grid surface. (B) Control cryo-EM micrograph image for which protein G was

835   not included in the affinity-grid assembly prior to incubation with tau filaments and vitrification. (C) Representative cryo-EM micrograph images following GO-AT8 affinity-grid isolation of tau filaments from DS case 2 (left) and DS case 4 (right). Fewer filaments are observed in case 4 due to the low overall abundance of tau deposits.

Figure 5. PHF and SF cross-section views of the tau filament cryo–electron microscopy structures

840   determined from four DS cases. The relative percentage of the segments for the reconstructions is indicated with the final resolution and grid preparation method. In the 36 years old case, a minor percentage refined to show a conformation similar to CTE type II filaments (indicated). However, an atomic model was unable to be determined due to the low resolution and small dataset size.

A pS396 GT-38
DS 36 yo

B
DS 63 yo

C
AD 55 yo

D
AD 90 yo

GT-38

E Dye 60

F Dye 60

G UMAP2 / UMAP1
DS 1-6
AD 1-6

H UMAP2 / UMAP1
AD1   DS1
AD2   DS2
AD3   DS3
AD4   DS4
AD5   DS5
AD6   DS6

I DS UMAP2 / UMAP1
Frontal
Temporal

J DS UMAP2 / UMAP1
Layer II & III
Layer IV
Layer V & VI

A

Tau filaments

AT8 antibody

Protein G

Maleimide

PEG chain

Graphene oxide layer

Perforated carbon grid

B – Protein G

50 nm

C Case 2

50 nm

Case 4

50 nm

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Cryo-EM Structures Reveal Tau Filaments from Down Syndrome Adopt Alzheimer's Disease Fold

AUTHORS: Ujjayini Ghosh[1†], Eric Tse[1†], Hyunjun Yang[1], Marie Shi[1], Christoffer D. Caro[1], Feng Wang[2], Gregory E. Merz[1,3], Stanley B. Prusiner[1,2,3], Daniel R. Southworth[1,2]*, Carlo Condello[1,3]*

AFFILIATIONS:

[1]Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[3]Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

†Equal Contribution

*Correspondence: daniel.southworth@ucsf.edu; carlo.condello@ucsf.edu

KEY WORDS: Down syndrome, Alzheimer's disease, tauopathy, protein conformation, cryo–electron microscopy

Supplementary Figure S1. Fluorescence images of immunolabeled Aβ42 and Aβ40 plaques in DS brain samples used for cryo–electron microscopy experiments. Formalin-fixed frontal cortex sections stained with Aβ isotype-specific antibodies against C-terminal-length isoforms Aβ42 (cyan) and Aβ40 (orange) in DS case 4, 36 years old (A-C), DS case 3, 46 years old (D-F), and DS case 2, 51 years old (G-I). Individual fluorescence channels were converted to grayscale and inverted for Aβ42 (B, E, H) and Aβ40 (C, F, I). Scale bar = 2 mm. Inset dimensions = 0.6 mm^2.

Supplementary Figure S2. Biochemical characterization of sarkosyl-insoluble tau from DS and AD frontal

cortex. (A and B) Quantification of aggregated tau in sarkosyl-soluble and sarkosyl-insoluble extracts from

frozen frontal cortex of DS (<40 years old and >40 years old), AD, and ADNC (Alzheimer's disease

neuropathologic change; individuals with no cognitive impairment but have presence of modest AD neuropathology). HTRF assay positive control and negative control values are represented by the upper and lower dotted y-axis lines, respectively. HTRF Tau aggregation assay shows only marginal aggregated tau in the sarkosyl-soluble fraction for DS (<40 yo), AD, and ADNC with the exception of DS (>40 yo) group showing modest levels of aggregated tau for some samples (A). HTRF Tau aggregation assay shows robust levels of aggregated tau in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction for most of the DS (>40 yo) and AD samples; modest levels of aggregated tau were detected for a subset of DS (<40 yo) and ADNC samples (B). Based on the HTRF Tau aggregation values in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction, we selected a subset of samples for Western blot as indicated by the numbers on panel B. (C–F). Western blot analysis of undigested and trypsin-digested sarkosyl-insoluble tau. (C and D) T13 and R4 antibodies and (E and F) C4 and GT38 antibodies. The tau protein segments recognized by the T13, 4R, and C4 antibodies are specified in parentheses. The undigested tau bands appear primarily at ~60 kD, and the trypsin-digested tau bands at 25 kD. Trypsin cleaves the *N*-terminal unstructured domain of tau, resulting in the absence of signal for the T13 antibody (residues 2–18) as shown in panel D. Trypsin is unable to cleave the fibril core (residues 303–380), thus signals for the 4R antibody (residues 337-368) and the C4 antibody (residues 354-369) are present in the trypsin-resistant band at 25 kD (D and F). The GT38 antibody, which is specific to the AD tau conformation (exact binding epitope unknown), also binds to the trypsin-resistant fibril core observed in al DS and AD cases indicating similar epitope and conformation is present across all samples.

Supplementary Figure S3. Electron microscopy images of filaments from sarkosyl-insoluble fractions from the frontal cortex of Down syndrome. (A) Negative stain images from the four cases (indicated) showing fibrils and other background contaminants. (B) Cryo-EM micrograph image showing tau filaments

and filaments attributed to amyloid beta. A 2D class average of a small dataset that shows features similar to amyloid beta filaments is shown (inset).

Supplementary Figure S4. Cryo–electron microscopy structure analysis. (A) FSC curves of two independently refined half-maps for the PHF (blue) and SF (orange) showing resolution at FSC = 0.143 and corresponding map versus model curves (dashed) and FSC = 0.5 line. (B) Overlay and α-carbon RMSD values for the PHF and SF structures from case 1 (green) compared with published structures (Protein Data Bank: 7NRQ [red] and 7NRS [purple], respectively).

Case 2 (51 yo)
Aff. grid

Case 4 (36 yo)
Aff. grid

PHF

PHF

SF

Supplementary Figure S5. Final cryo–electron microscopy refined maps of tau PHF and SF from data acquired using GO-AT8 affinity grids to isolate tau filaments. For DS case 2, the PHF structure was solved to 3.0 Å and the SF structure was solved to 3.2 Å resolution (left); for DS case 4, the PHF structure was solved to 5 Å resolution (right).

A

Case 4 - PHF fold   Case 4 - alternate fold

25 nm

B

Case 4 - PHF fold   Case 4 - alternate fold

25 nm

Supplementary Figure S6. Comparison of 2D averages observed for tau filaments from DS case 4. (A) 2D averages comparing a PHF class and one identified to be in an alternate conformation (CTE) [1] based on distinguishing density in the middle the wide portion of the crossover (arrow). (B) 2D averages generated using relion_helix_toolbox by stitching to show full crossover for comparison between the PHF and alternate fold. The central line of density unique to the alternate fold is indicated (arrow).

1. Falcon B, Zivanov J, Zhang W, et al (2019) Novel tau filament fold in chronic traumatic encephalopathy encloses hydrophobic molecules. Nature 568:420–423. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1026-5.

Brain bank	Case ID	Case # in study	Age at death	Sex	APOE genotype	Clinical status	Brain region	Postmortem interval (h)	Approx brain mass (g)	Tau prion activity (DxA)*	Insoluble total tau (µg/g); formic acid extract#	Xtau neuropath score#	Braak stage (NFTs)	Aβ prion activity (DxA)*	Insoluble Aβ (µg/g); formic acid extract#	XAβ neuropath score#	CERAD score (amyloid plaques)
BCN	907	1	63	M	ε3/ε3	Dementia documented	Frontal cortex	6	1.192	253341.25	23618	4	VI	185071.2	108	3	C3
UMD	4870	2	51	F	ε2/ε3	Dementia documented	Frontal cortex	4	1.025	179783.64	34341	4	ND	136564.9	67	4	ND
UMD	4659	3	46	F	ε3/ε4	No dementia documented	Frontal cortex	7	0.791	257720.75	102623	4	ND	170006.7	109	4	ND
BCN	714	4	36	F	ε3/ε3	No dementia documented	Frontal cortex	12	1.383	172328.76	20022	2	II	100221.7	41	2	C2

Supplementary Table S1. Fresh-frozen postmortem donor tissues used for filament extraction and cryo–electron microscopy.

Abbreviations: Aβ, amyloid-β; APOE, apolipoprotein E; BCN, Barcelona; CERAD, Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease; DxA, density × area; F, female; M, male; ND, not determined; NFT, neurofibrillary tangle; UMD, University of Maryland; Xtau, custom measurement of immunostained neurofibrillary tau tangles in fixed medial frontal cortex slices; XAβ, custom measurement of immunostained Aβ plaques in fixed medial frontal cortex slices. *We previously generated the Tau and Aβ prion activity measurements in Condello et al. (DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2212954119). #We previously generated the Xtau and XAβ scores in Maxwell et al. (DOI: 10.1186/s40478-021-01298-0).

Brain bank	ID	Disease	Region	UMAP name	Age at death	Sex	APOE	Postmortem interval (h)	Xtau neuropath score	XAβ neuropath score
KCL	A035/03	AD	Frontal cortex	AD 1	59	F	ND	36	4	4
KCL	A061/03	AD	Frontal cortex	AD 2	55	M	ND	18	4	4
OXF	NP177-2013	AD	Frontal cortex	AD 3	90	F	ε3/ε3	48	4	3
UCI	14-08	AD	Frontal cortex	AD 4	86	M	ε3/ε3	4	2	2
UCI	37-15	AD	Frontal cortex	AD 5	87	F	ε3/ε4	4	2	3
UCI	4-02	AD	Frontal cortex	AD 6	83	M	ε3/ε4	3	4	3
BCN	907	DS	Frontal cortex	DS 1	63	M	ε3/ε3	6	4	3
BCN	714	DS	Frontal cortex	DS 2	36	F	ε3/ε3	12	2	2
UMD	4335	DS	Frontal cortex	DS 3	28	M	ε4/ε4	26	2	2
UMD	4870	DS	Frontal cortex	DS 4	51	F	ε2/ε3	4	4	4
UMD	5510	DS	Frontal cortex	DS 5	65	M	ε3/ε3	10	4	4
UMD	5600	DS	Frontal cortex	DS 6	57	M	ε3/ε3	6	4	3
UCI	29-06	DS	Frontal cortex	-	45	F	ε3/ε3	3	4	4
UCI	29-06	DS	Temporal cortex	-	45	F	ε3/ε3	3	-	-
UCI	3-17	DS	Frontal cortex	-	57	M	ε3/ε3	4	3	4
UCI	3-17	DS	Temporal cortex	-	57	M	ε3/ε3	4	-	-
UCI	30-00	DS	Frontal cortex	-	61	M	ε3/ε3	11	4	4
UCI	30-00	DS	Temporal cortex	-	61	M	ε3/ε3	11	-	-
UCI	46-94	DS	Frontal cortex	-	62	F	ε3/ε3	3	4	4
UCI	46-94	DS	Temporal cortex	-	62	F	ε3/ε3	3	-	-
UCI	30-05	DS	Frontal cortex	-	57	F	ε3/ε3	3	4	4
UCI	30-05	DS	Temporal cortex	-	57	F	ε3/ε3	3	-	-

Supplementary Table S2. Fixed postmortem donor tissues used for EMBER analysis.

Abbreviations: Aβ, amyloid-β; AD, Alzheimer's disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E; BCN, Barcelona; DS, Down syndrome; EMBER, excitation multiplexed bright emission recording; F, female; KCL, King's College London; M, male; ND, not determined; OXF, Oxford; UCI, University of California, Irvine; UMAP, uniform manifold approximation and projection; UMD, University of Maryland; Xtau, custom measurement of immunostained neurofibrillary tau tangles in fixed medial frontal cortex slices; XAβ, custom measurement of immunostained Aβ plaques in fixed medial frontal cortex slices. We generated the Xtau and XAβ scores in Maxwell et al. (doi.org/10.1186/s40478-021-01298-0); (-), not determined.

Brain bank	Case ID	Cohort	Age	Sex	PMI (h)	APOE	HTRF Tau - Sarkosyl Insoluble			HTRF Tau - Sarkosyl Soluble		
							Replicate 1	Replicate 2	Mean	Replicate 1	Replicate 2	Mean
UMD	5277	DS	19	M	26	3/4	15645.1	16458.7	16051.9	603.1	597.5	600.3
MIA	18	DS	24	M	24	3/3	545.8	542.8	544.3	542.9	542.5	542.7
UMD	5341	DS	25	M	24	3/3	553.0	548.9	550.9	545.3	545.8	545.5
UMD	4335	DS	28	M	26	4/4	2568.1	2076.7	2322.4	554.8	559.3	557.1
BCN	714	DS	36	F	12	3/3	2166.6	5923.8	4045.2	563.9	565.5	564.7
UMD	4904	DS	40	M	10	3/3	4267.3	4013.1	4140.2	562.6	570.8	566.7
UMD	5783	DS	41	M	7	3/4	554.8	556.3	555.6	546.4	545.8	546.1
UMD	4659	DS	46	F	7	3/4	17361.5	15368.8	16365.2	891.5	877.5	884.5
UCI	7-17	DS	47	F	7	3/3	7634.3	7636.4	7635.3	2239.4	1583.0	1911.2
UCI	32-15	DS	49	M	6	3/3	10617.7	8329.6	9473.7	2670.9	2536.6	2603.7
UMD	4870	DS	51	F	4	2/3	17225.9	14728.8	15977.4	798.9	784.0	791.4
UCI	3-17	DS	57	M	4	3/3	6796.5	7600.6	7198.5	2059.0	1441.0	1750.0
UMD	5600	DS	57	F	6	3/3	12901.8	15353.2	14127.5	1162.8	1222.3	1192.6
UMD	6151	DS	57	M	5	3/3	11733.7	8897.7	10315.7	1796.3	1735.5	1765.9
UCI	39-17	DS	58	M	6	3/4	13579.0	12312.8	12945.9	1640.6	1673.0	1656.8
BCN	1335	DS	62	F	9	3/4	5821.2	5543.6	5682.4	1279.2	1457.8	1368.5
BCN	1469	DS	62	M	6	3/4	16991.4	14799.6	15895.5	709.0	699.1	704.0
BCN	907	DS	63	M	6	3/3	18942.7	17465.2	18204.0	1065.7	1002.4	1034.1
UMD	5386	DS	64	M	20	3/4	9503.0	8037.1	8770.0	627.8	619.4	623.6
UMD	5510	DS	65	M	10	3/3	17315.0	14110.2	15712.6	658.8	665.9	662.3
UCI	4-16	DS	70	M	4	3/3	6422.3	6764.8	6593.6	1899.6	1370.1	1634.9
UCI	21-06	AD	82	M	5	3/4	1594.2	1561.5	1577.9	554.0	551.8	552.9
UCI	04-02	AD	83	M	3	3/4	15727.8	17243.0	16485.4	1100.9	1023.3	1062.1
UCI	14-08	AD	86	M	4	3/3	17272.3	17205.9	17239.1	719.3	685.2	702.3
UCI	37-15	AD	87	F	4	3/4	14572.5	16351.5	15462.0	850.5	828.6	839.6
UCI	37-15	AD	87	F	4	3/4	19684.5	20442.3	20063.4	776.5	695.3	735.9
UCI	10-17	ADNC	66	F	4	3/4	3615.8	2116.9	2866.4	598.9	594.3	596.6
UCI	46-16	ADNC	78	M	3	3/4	2028.3	1590.5	1809.4	562.6	555.8	559.2
BCN	1937	ADNC	83	F	8	3/3	1921.2	1603.3	1762.2	550.5	553.6	552.1
BCN	1858	ADNC	83	F	8	3/3	14397.1	8150.2	11273.7	570.4	604.3	587.4
UCI	18-08	ADNC	84	F	4	3/3	606.2	619.9	613.0	548.2	549.8	549.0
BCN	1949	ADNC	86	M	8	3/3	601.7	586.4	594.0	539.7	545.7	542.7
UCI	14-17	ADNC	89	F	6	2/3	4252.2	4536.0	4394.1	585.4	588.7	587.0
BCN	1870	ADNC	97	F	7	3/3	749.4	774.4	761.9	545.5	550.4	547.9

Supplementary Table S3. Fresh-frozen postmortem donor tissues used for HTRF and Western blot analysis.

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; ADNC, Alzheimer's disease neuropathological change; APOE, apolipoprotein E; BCN, Barcelona; DS, Down syndrome; F, female; HTRF, Homogenous Time-Resolved Fluorescence Assay; M, male; MIA, University of Miami; PMI, post-mortem interval in hours; UCI, University of California, Irvine; UMD, University of Maryland.

	Case 1		Case 2		Case 3		Case 4	
Data collection	PHFs	SFs	PHFs	SFs	PHFs	SFs	PHFs	CTE
Magnification	x105,000	x105,000	x105,000	x105,000	x105,000	x105,000	x105,000	x105,000
Defocus range (mm)	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8	-0.8 to -1.8
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Microscope	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios
Detector	Gatan K3	Gatan K3	Gatan K3	Gatan K3	Gatan K3	Gatan K3	Gatan K3	Gatan K3
Frame exposure time (s)	2.024	2.024	2.024	2.024	2.024	2.024	2.024	2.024
Dose rate (e-/physical pixel/sec)	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Total dose (e-/Å-2)	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46
Pixel size (Å)	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834	0.834
Movies collected	9160		5902		6949		1293	
Grids & sample	Conventional grids Pronase		Affinity grid no Pronase		Conventional grid no Pronase		Affinity grid no Pronase	
Reconstruction								
Box size (pixel)	280	280	280	280	280	280	280	280
Inter-box distance (Å)	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Total segments*	1127462	329537	7488898	287943	271767	86388	197928	17473
Final Particles (no.)	215640	47801	79599	26964	47264	35177	32831	N/A**
Resolution (Å)	2.7	3	3.1	3.2	2.9	3.1	5	7.8
B-factor (Å2)	-83.71	-81.34	-89.69	-71.29	-68.79	-90.05	-262.95	-415.37
Helical rise (Å)	2.39	4.81	2.37	4.77	2.4	4.81	2.38	2.38
Helical twist (°)	179.45	-1.08	179.5	-1.04	179.48	-1.06	179.45	179.55

*after initial 2D classification
**no subsequent classificaiton

Supplementary Table S4. Cryo–electron microscopy data collection and structure determination.

Abbreviations: CTE, chronic traumatic encephalopathy; N/A, not applicable; PHF, paired helical filament; SF, straight filament.

Model	Case 1		Case 2	
	PHFs	SFs	PHFs	SFs
model resolution	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.5
atoms	35910	39501	40698	39513
Residues	2310	2541	2618	2541
Bonds (RMSD)				
Length (Å) (# > 4σ)	0.010 (0)	0.010 (0)	0.010 (0)	0.011 (0)
angles (°) (# > 4σ)	1.717 (92)	1.791 (70)	1.871 (38)	1.914 (95)
MolProbity score	2.21	2.32	2.53	2.63
Clash score	18.87	32.66	40.97	46.84
Ramachandran plot (%)				
Outliers	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33
Allowed	6.67	4.69	6.67	6.67
Favored	93.33	95.31	93.33	92
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Supplementary Table S5. Cryo–electron microscopy model building.

Abbreviations: #, number; PHF, paired helical filament; RMSD, root mean square deviation; SF, straight filament.