Generation of hiPSC-derived brain microvascular endothelial cells using a combination of

directed differentiation and transcriptional reprogramming strategies

Aomeng Cui[1,5], Ronak Patel[2,5], Patrick Bosco[2,5], Ugur Akcan[1], Emily Richters[2], Paula Barrilero Delgado[3],

Dritan Agalliu[1,4,6] and Andrew A. Sproul[2,4,6,#]

[1]Department of Neurology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA 10032

[2]Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Irving

Medical Center, New York, NY, USA 10032

[3]Francisco de Vitoria University, Madrid, Spain

[4]Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center New York, New

York, NY, USA 10032

[5]These first authors contributed equally to the work.

[6]These senior authors jointly supervised the project.

#Lead contact:

Andrew Sproul, Ph.D.   E-mail – aas2003@cumc.columbia.edu

Keywords:

blood-brain barrier, NVU, iPSCs, directed differentiation, transcriptional reprogramming, FOXF2, ZIC3,

oligomeric Aβ

SUMMARY

The blood-brain barrier (BBB), formed by specialized brain microvascular endothelial cells (BMECs), regulates brain function in health and disease. *In vitro* modeling of the human BBB is limited by the lack of robust hiPSC protocols to generate BMECs. Here, we report generation, transcriptomic and functional characterization of reprogrammed BMECs (rBMECs) by combining hiPSC differentiation into BBB-primed endothelial cells and reprogramming with two BBB transcription factors FOXF2 and ZIC3. rBMECs express a subset of the BBB gene repertoire including tight junctions and transporters, exhibit stronger paracellular barrier properties, lower caveolar-mediated transcytosis, and similar p-Glycoprotein activity compared to primary HBMECs. They can acquire an inflammatory phenotype when treated with oligomeric Aβ42. rBMECs integrate with hiPSC-derived pericytes and astrocytes to form a 3D neurovascular system using the MIMETAS microfluidics platform. This novel 3D system resembles the *in vivo* BBB at structural and functional levels to enable investigation of pathogenic mechanisms of neurological diseases.

INTRODUCTION

The blood-brain barrier (BBB), formed by brain microvascular endothelial cells (BMECs), is critical for the central nervous system (CNS) function. The BBB function is impaired in many neurological disorders but also it imposes a problem for delivery of therapeutics to the CNS[1]. Interactions of BMECs with pericytes and astrocytes via the extracellular matrix (ECM) are critical to assemble the neurovascular unit (NVU), and induce and maintain the BBB properties ranging from: (1) the presence of tight junctions (TJs) which restrict paracellular flux; (2) reduced transcytosis due to the presence of few caveolae; (3) specialized transporters that regulate the passage of nutrients and restrict harmful pathogens; to (4) low expression of leukocyte adhesion molecules (LAMs)[2-6]. Rodent models, although useful for studying mechanisms of CNS diseases, fail to capture patient heterogeneity inherent in multi-factorial neurological

disorders and their NVU cells differ from those of humans at the molecular level[7-9]. For example, the

mitigating effects of patient comorbidities on traumatic brain injury (TBI) are not captured in rodents;

rodent models also do not recapitulate the genetic diversity of Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients[10-12].

Additionally, rodents have greater P-glycoprotein drug efflux activity than humans, leading to an

underestimation of drug toxicity[9,13]. There is a need to develop *in vitro* human NVU systems with robust

BBB properties to model neurological diseases and develop safe, effective therapeutics capable of

penetrating the CNS[14-17].

Primary human BMECs (HBMECs) are scarce, heterogenous, and dedifferentiate in culture[18-20].

Human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) are a promising alternative due to their reproducibility,

scalability, and ability to model various pathological conditions. Over the past decade, several protocols

have claimed to generate a homogenous population of "brain" endothelial cells (ECs), termed iPSC-

derived BMECs (iBMECs), with high trans-endothelial electrical resistance (TEER) and expression of TJ

proteins[21-27]. We recently demonstrated that iBMECs are transcriptomically and functionally analogous

to epithelial cells[28]. iBMECs also fail to respond to inflammatory stimuli, limiting their ability to model

neuroinflammatory or neurodegenerative diseases[29]. Recent methods have used extended passaging of

endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) to generate ECs (EPC-ECs), with good barrier properties but limited

response to inflammatory stimuli[29,30]. Our current understanding of how the human BBB changes in

pathological states is limited in part by our ability to generate *bona-fide* brain ECs.

Comparisons of transcriptome and chromatin accessibility of ECs from various organs have

identified several transcription factors (TFs) essential for BMEC identity including FOXF2, FOXQ1,

LEF1, and ZIC3[31,32]. FOXF2 is expressed by ECs[31,32] and pericytes[33], and is critical to maintain BBB tight

junction integrity and low transcytosis[33]. ZIC3 is upregulated during development, suggesting a potential

role in BBB establishment[32,34]. Overexpression of FOXF2 and ZIC3 in primary human umbilical vein

endothelial cells (HUVECs) is sufficient to upregulate a limited set of BBB-specific genes[31], so we

hypothesized that reprogramming iPSC-derived ECs with FOXF2 and ZIC3 would enable the acquisition

of robust BBB properties.

Here, we describe our novel method to generate iPSC-derived reprogrammed BMECs (rBMECs)

by combining an iPSC differentiation step into BBB-primed ECs (bpECs)[35] with FOXF2 and ZIC3-

mediated transcriptional reprogramming. rBMECs have higher levels of key BBB transcripts and proteins

compared to primary HBMECs and bpECs, exhibit higher TEER, lower transcytosis and equivalent efflux

transport compared to HBMECs at the functional level, and respond to angiogenic stimuli. rBMECs

respond robustly to oligomerized amyloid-β (oAβ42) by upregulating pro-inflammatory LAMs. Finally,

rBMECs cocultured in a 3D microfluidic system with iPSC-derived astrocytes and pericytes form an

NVU, demonstrate high TEER levels, and low barrier permeability to tracers, closely resembling the *in*

vivo human NVU/BBB. Taken together, rBMECs enable faithful *in vitro* modeling of the human BBB for

future studies of disease pathogenesis and development of putative clinical therapies.

RESULTS

Reprogramming iPSC-derived bpECs into rBMECs

During mammalian embryonic development, the mesodermal layer gives rise to ECs that form the

primitive perineural plexus and the CNS vasculature, under the guidance of vascular endothelial growth

factor A (VEGF-A) and Wnt/β-catenin signaling (reviewed in[36]). We hypothesized that iPSC-derived

rBMECs could be generated using a two-step approach mirroring development (**Figure 1A**). In the first

step, iPSCs were differentiated into what we term BBB-primed ECs (bpECs) by sequential exposure first

to BMP4/bFGF to generate a mesodermal intermediate followed by VEGF-A/Thymosin β4 using a

published protocol with minor modifications[35] (**Figures 1A, S1A**). bpECs were purified from other

mesodermal cells by CD31$^+$/VE-cadherin$^+$ expression using fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)

on day 10 of differentiation (Sort A) and expanded on collagen IV/fibronectin-coated dishes in human

endothelial serum-free media supplemented with 1% plasma-derived platelet poor serum, VEGF-A, bFGF

and SB431542 (**Figures 1A, B, S1A**). In the second stage, bpECs were transduced with lentiviruses

expressing FOXF2 and ZIC3. Transduced and reprogrammed bpECs were grown for 14 days and re-

purified by FACS (Sort B) to generate a pure population of rBMECs that could be reliably expanded for

five passages after re-purification (**Figures 1A, B, S1A**). By Sort B, bpECs expressed lower levels of

CD31 and VE-CADHERIN (CDH5) compared to rBMECs (**Figure 1C**). Sort A efficiency was variable

for various iPSC lines ranging from 1.3-5.1% for IMR90 (cl.4), 3.9-7.4% for FA0000010 (FA10), and

2.4-3.3% for NCRM5 **(Figure S1C)**; Sort B efficiency was also variable ranging from 56.6-96.1% for

IMR90, 83.7-92.3% for FA10, and 34.9-42.6% for NCRM5 (**Figure S1D**).

rBMECs express key endothelial and BBB markers and respond to angiogenic stimuli

To characterize rBMECs phenotypically and functionally, we first examined the expression of several

EC and BBB proteins. The bpECs and rBMECs derived from all three iPSC lines expressed high levels

of key EC identity proteins [e.g. VE-CADHERIN, platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule

(PECAM1/CD31)] and BBB TJ proteins [CLAUDIN-5, OCCLUDIN, ZONULA OCCLUDENS-1 (ZO-

1)] by immunofluorescence (IF) staining (**Figures 1D-F, S1E-J**). The rBMECs had higher CLAUDIN-5

(8.1 fold) and OCCLUDIN (1.5 fold) protein levels compared to bpECs by western blot (**Figure 1G-H**).

Importantly, rBMECs did not express epithelial cellular adhesion molecule (EPCAM) as assessed by flow

cytometry (**Figure S1B**), indicative of their endothelial identity. We measured the proliferative index of

hiPSC-derived ECs by IF staining for Ki67 and DAPI. rBMECs proliferated at a similar rate to bpECs,

but at a lower rate than primary HBMECs when cultured without growth factors (VEGF-A and bFGF;

Figure 1I). To assess the response to angiogenic stimuli, we performed a wound-scratch assay on EC

monolayers (bpECs, rBMECs and HBMECs) treated with either basal media or media supplemented with

an angiogenic cocktail [VEGF-A, bFGF, and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF], analyzed the area of wound

closure during a 36-hour time lapse as a readout of cell migration and calculated the angiogenic potential

(see Methods for details; **Figure 1I-K; S1K-L**). By 36 hours, rBMEC migration increased in response to

the angiogenic cocktail compared to control conditions (**Figure 1J**). Primary HBMECs and rBMECs

exhibited a comparable angiogenic potential, while bpECs responded more strongly to the angiogenic

stimuli (**Figure 1K**). Overall, these data demonstrate that rBMECs express both key EC and BBB markers

and proliferate and migrate in response to angiogenic stimuli.

Transcriptome profiling reveals that rBMECs express a subset of the BBB gene repertoire

We used bulk RNA sequencing (bulk RNA-seq) to characterize the CNS EC identity and BBB gene

expression in rBMECs. We used principal component analysis (PCA) to compare the rBMEC

transcriptome to other human cell types from published datasets[28,37-39]. rBMECs clustered most closely

with ECs and furthest apart from choroid plexus cells, epithelial cells, and iBMECs[28] (**Figure 2A; Table**

S1A). Together with our IF staining and flow cytometry data, this PCA plot confirms that rBMECs have

an endothelial identity. The PCA comparison of rBMECs with other EC populations showed that they

cluster closer to HBMECs and further away from non-CNS ECs **(Figure 2B; Table S1B).** We performed

differential gene expression between rBMECS and HBMECs, or bpECs, to identify which CNS EC and

BBB gene signatures are distinct between these populations. A significant number of CNS ECs and BBB

genes were distinct between rBMECs and HBMECs (**Figure 2C; Table S1C-D**) or bpECs (**Figure S2B;**

Table S2C, E), although this difference was higher with HBMECs. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) revealed that pathways related to "blood vessel and vasculature

development", "regulation of EC migration" and "BBB permeability maintenance" were upregulated in

rBMECs compared to HBMECs **(Figure 2D)**. Several BBB genes encoding for TJs (e.g. CLDN5, OCLN,

JAM2), transporters (e.g. SLC2A1, ABCC4, and SLC1A1), receptor-mediated transcytosis (e.g. CAV1,

CAV2, LRP10) and ECM components (e.g. COL4A1, COL4A2) were higher in rBMECs compared to

HBMECs (**Figure 2E-G**; red); however other BBB genes within these categories were either not significantly different or lower in expression (**Figure 2E-G**; blue). In addition, rBMECs expressed higher levels of CNS EC identity markers (e.g. FOXF2, FOXQ1, SPOCK2, ITM2A, PROM1, LRP8, and APCDD1) compared to primary HBMECs (**Figure 2H; S2A**). Although rBMECs shared a similar gene expression profile with bpECs (**Figure S2B**), several key BBB genes (e.g. ITIM2A, SPOCK2, CLDN5, OCLN, TJP2, SLC2A1 and COL4A1) were upregulated significantly in rBMECs compared to bpECs **(Figure S2C-G)**, suggesting improvement in BBB gene signatures. Moreover, rBMECs showed reduced expression of LAMs (e.g. VCAM1 and SELP) compared to either HBMECs (**Figure 2G),** or bpECs (**Figure S2E**), indicative of a CNS EC identity. In summary, the rBMEC transcriptome analysis shows stronger expression of several CNS EC identity and BBB gene signatures compared to primary HBMECs and bpECs.

rBMECs have robust BBB functional properties

We next investigated the functional properties of rBMECs in comparison to bpECs and primary HBMECs. First, we measured TEER over time as a readout of the paracellular EC barrier formed by tight junctions. rBMECs differentiated from the IMR90 line showed a higher average TEER ($40.5 \pm 4.2\ \Omega\text{*cm}^2$) compared to either bpECs ($25.0 \pm 0.4\ \Omega\text{*cm}^2$), or primary HBMECs ($24.7 \pm 3.0\ \Omega\text{*cm}^2$), for >300 hours. The area under the curve (AUC) for the last 100 hours of recording was two-fold higher in rBMECs compared to HBMECs (**Figure 3A, B**). TEER was also increased in rBMECs derived from the other hiPSC lines FA10, NCRM5, and NCRM4 compared to primary HBMECs (**Figure S3A, B, E, F, H, I**). Next, we used three different molecular weight tracers, sodium fluorescein (NaF = 376 Da), 3- and 70-kDa dextran to assess their diffusion (permeability co-efficient) across the EC monolayer in a Transwell system. rBMECs showed a significantly reduced permeability co-efficient (Pe) for the smallest tracer (NaF) across the monolayer compared to bpECs and HBMECs indicative of tighter paracellular barrier **(Figure 3C)**. Although HBMECs showed a significantly higher Pe compared to rBMECs for the medium

size tracer (3kDa Dextran), there was no difference between rBMECs and bpECs **(Figure 3D)**. In contrast, the Pe for the 70 kDa Dextran was very low across all three EC lines (rBMECs, bpECs and hBMECs) suggesting very low transcellular transport **(Figure S3C, D).** Overall, the TEER and tracer permeability data demonstrate that rBMECs have a tighter paracellular barrier compared to primary HBMECs and bpECs.

To assess transcellular rBMEC barrier properties, we examined caveolar-mediated transcytosis by incubating EC monolayers with a fluorescently-tagged bovine serum albumin (BSA; MW = 60 kDa) and measuring its uptake inside cells by flow cytometry. rBMECs and bpECs showed very small changes in the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the intracellular fluorescent BSA compared to HBMECs (**Figure 3F**), indicative of low caveolae-mediated transcytosis. Finally, we assessed the function of P-GLYCOPROTEIN (PGP, ABCB1), a transporter that effluxes drugs from the brain to the blood[40]. We incubated HBMECs, bpECs, and rBMECs monolayer cultures with Rhodamine 123, which is effluxed by PGP[41], in the presence or absence of a PGP-specific inhibitor, PSC-833. Cells were subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry to assess changes in MFI of the dye. We calculated the efflux rate based on the MFI change in the presence / absence of the inhibitor. HBMECs, bpECs, and rBMECs had comparable efflux rates in response to PSC-833, suggesting similar PGP activity **(Figure 3G, H)**. However, rBMECs had a lower fold change in Rhodamine 123 MFI compared to HBMECs **(Figure 3I)** suggesting an overall greater efflux. These data suggest that rBMECs have an increased efflux of rhodamine compared to bpECs and HBMECs, although PGP activity is similar.

We investigated the ability of rBMECs to respond to pro-inflammatory and disease-relevant stimuli such as oligomeric amyloid-β (1-42) peptides (oAβ42). Most AD patients have amyloid deposits in the cerebral vasculature in post-mortem brains studies[42-44], decreased microvascular density, vBM thickening, BMEC and pericyte damage[45], inflammatory changes in vessels, and microglial activation around blood

vessels[46]. We first assessed if oAβ42 affected the paracellular barrier properties of rBMECs. Contrary to

published studies[47], there was no change in TEER over 72 hours when rBMECs were exposed to either

500 nM or 1 uM oAβ42 (**Figure 3J, K;** data not shown). In contrast, the pro-inflammatory cytokines

TNFα and IL1β significantly reduced the rBMEC TEER over 24 hours **(Figure S3J, K),** confirming that

rBMECs respond to pro-inflammatory cytokines. To determine if oAβ42 induces EC activation, we

extracted mRNA and measured changes in LAMs and chemokines via qPCR. *VCAM-1* was increased by

5.2-fold, and *ICAM-1* was increased by 1.7-fold after 24 hours of 1uM oAβ42 exposure (**Figure S3G**).

Chemokine ligand 2 (*CCL2*), a major cytokine implicated in the pathogenesis of ischemic stroke[48] and

other BBB-compromising pathologies[49,50] and a powerful monocyte and T-cell attractant[48], was also

upregulated in rBMECs treated for 24 hours with 1 uM oAβ42 (**Figure S3G**). Therefore, rBMECs respond

to 1 uM oAβ42 by upregulating expression of LAMs and pro-inflammatory cytokines. To examine acute

effects of oAβ42, we treated rBMECs with 500 nM oAβ42 for 6 hours and analyzed changes in LAMs

and pro-inflammatory cytokines by qPCR, western blot, and flow cytometry, as possible downstream

effectors of oAβ42-mediated dysfunction. oAβ42 significantly increased mRNA expression of *ICAM-1*

and *VCAM-1, E-SELECTIN, CCL2, IL-1β*, *IL-6*, and *TNFα* within 6 hours (**Figure 3L**). Additionally,

ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 total and surface protein levels as measured by western blot and flow cytometry,

respectively, were upregulated in rBMECs after acute treatment with 500 nM oAβ42 (**Figure 3M-O)**.

These data are consistent with recent findings that primary HBMECs upregulate *VCAM-1, ICAM-1, E-*

SELECTIN, and *CCL2* after treatment with conditioned media from hiPSC-derived cortical neurons

harboring the APP[Swe/Swe] mutation (KM670/671NL)[51]. Finally, we sought to identify potential signaling

pathways that might contribute to oAβ42-induced inflammatory phenotypes in rBMECs. Phosphorylation

of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), a key mediator of proinflammatory

processes in brain ECs[52,53], was elevated in rBMECs treated with oAβ42 **(Figure S3L-M).** Therefore, β-

amyloid peptides act as pro-inflammatory stimuli in rBMECs to upregulate a subset of EC inflammatory

gene repertoire that may contribute to increased interactions with immune cells. Overall, these functional

studies demonstrate that rBMECs have strong functional BBB properties and are suitable to study immune

- EC interactions and the potential impact of disease-relevant stimuli.

Modeling the human 3D NVU/BBB using rBMECs and hiPSC-derived astrocytes and pericytes

We next investigated whether rBMECs can interact with iPSC-derived astrocytes and pericytes

and generate a fully iPSC-derived human neurovascular unit (NVU) in a 3D microfluidic system (**Figure**

4A). We generated iPSCs-derived neural crest pericytes (iPCs) following an established protocol, where

iPSCs were treated with a neural crest differentiation media supplemented with CHIR99021[54]. iPCs were

later sorted on CD146^{+}/PDGFRβ^{+} to ensure a homogeneous population prior to introduction into the 3D

NVU microfluidics system (**Figure S4A**). iPCs expressed PDGFRβ and NG2 (**Figure S4C**) and they had

a similar transcriptome profile with primary human pericytes and iPSC-derived neural crest pericytes from

prior studies[55] (**Figure S4E**). hiPSCs-derived astrocytes (iACs) were generated from iPSC-derived neural

progenitor cells (NPCs) as described[56] with the addition of NFIA transduction of NPCs. iACs expressed

high levels of astrocytic proteins GFAP and VIMENTIN (**Figure S4D**) and they were similar at the

transcriptome level with primary human astrocytes (**Figure S4F**).

For the 3D microfluidic system, we used the MIMETAS 64-chip OrganoPlate® comprised of three

lanes[57,58]. rBMECs or HBMECs were introduced on Day 0 in the right "blood channel" against a collagen

I/IV gel mixture loaded in the middle "ECM" channel, to enable tubule formation. iACs and iPCs were

introduced in the left "brain" channel after 2 days and the system was allowed to mature for 10 days with

bidirectional flow (**Figure 4A**). By 10 days, rBMECs formed continuous tubules and iACs and iPCs

migrated towards the "blood vessel" channel to contact the EC tubules (**Figure 4B**). rBMEC tubules were

positive for the EC markers CD31 and VE-CADHERIN and TJ proteins CLAUDIN-5 and ZO-1 (**Figure**

4C; Movies S1, S2). In 3D co-cultures of rBMECs with iACs and iPCs, ZO-1^{+} ECs were in close

proximity to S100β[+] (astrocyte) and PDGFRβ[+] (pericyte) cells, suggesting that iACs and iPCs migrated

across the ECM channel to contact the rBMEC tubule (**Figure 4D, E; Movies S3, S4**). Therefore, rBMECs

can form tubules and interact with iPSC-derived astrocytes and pericytes to generate a 3D human NVU.

To assess BBB properties of rBMECs in the 3D microfluidic system, we performed tracer diffusion

studies using both small (biocytin, 890Da) and large (dextran, 70kDa) tracers. We introduced the tracers

into the blood channel, allowed them to diffuse across the chip for 45 minutes, and measured the mean

fluorescent intensity in the middle channel as a readout of tracer leakage through the tubule at three distinct

time points (15, 30 and 45 minutes). rBMECs exhibited a significant lower leakage of biocytin and 70kDa

dextran compared to primary HBMECs, suggesting that they had tighter paracellular and transcellular

barrier properties (**Figure 4F-H**). Moreover, rBMECs cocultured with iACs and iPCs exhibited lower

permeability to biocytin compared to rBMECs alone by 45 minutes, suggesting that iPCs/iACs increase

the barrier properties of rBMECs (**Figure 4H**). Finally, we measured TEER across the EC tubule in the

3D microfluidics system using the OrganoTEER instrument from MIMETAS[57]. While there was

considerable variability across experiments, rBMEC tubules displayed on average a six-fold higher TEER

compared to HBMEC tubules alone (**Figure 4I, S4G**). rBMEC tubules also had a significantly higher

TEER when co-cultured with iACs/iPCs (**Figure 4I, S4G**) consistent with the tracer diffusion studies

(**Figure 4H**). Thus, rBMECs form stable and continuous tubules in the MIMETAS 3D microfluidic

system, and they interact well with iPCs/iACs to form an iPSC-based NVU with robust BBB properties.

This 3D system resembles closely the *in vivo* BBB at both structural and functional levels and can be used

to study either NVU - immune dysregulation or the crosstalk between genetic and environmental factors

critical for pathogenesis of many neurological diseases.

DISCUSSION

There generation of an *in vitro* human NVU system with robust BBB properties to model neurological diseases and develop effective therapeutics to enter the CNS has been a major technological hurdle for many decades[14-17]. While several human iPSC-derived BBB models have been developed in the last decade, their utility has been limited by a lack of brain-specific organotypic EC identity and an inability to replicate the multifaceted properties of the BBB. Current methods have either generated ECs with limited BBB properties[30,35], weak immune responses[22,23,26,27,30], generic but not brain-specific cell identity[28,59], or mixed epithelial-endothelial identity[21-24,26,27,60]. In this study, we show that we can generate ECs with robust brain-specific identity and BBB properties (rBMECs) through a combinatorial strategy to initially differentiate hiPSCs into bpECs followed by overexpression of two brain-specific TFs FOXF2 and ZIC3 to drive brain organotypicity. rBMECs express higher levels of several key BBB markers belonging to tight junctions, transcytosis, transporters and key CNS EC identity markers at both transcript and protein levels, are capable of undergoing angiogenesis, and respond robustly to oAβ42 treatment (i.e. inflammatory response). In addition, rBMECs have a tighter paracellular barrier, reduced caveolae-mediated transcytosis, and equivalent PGP activity compared to primary HBMECs at a functional level. rBMECs are able to make contacts with iPSC-derived pericytes and astrocytes in a 3D system with flow and strengthen their barrier properties through mutual cell-cell interactions with these other NVU cells, mirroring their 3D organization in the human brain. While several static[61,62] and perfusable[21,24,63,64] iPSC-derived 3D NVU models have been published, our 3D model uses brain-specific ECs that have the capacity to model multiple properties of the human BBB. Overall, our data demonstrate that rBMECs generated through this new approach can be utilized as an *in vitro* human-specific BBB monolayer model and/or a 3D microfluidic NVU model in combination with iPSC-derived PCs/ACs.

In contrast to our approach of overexpressing FOXF2 and ZIC3 simultaneously, Roudnicky et al. have overexpressed either FOXF2 or ZIC3 alone in ECs generated via a different protocol[59] through adenoviral transduction. FOXF2 overexpression seems to improve some of the BBB properties of ECs by

increasing *OCLN* mRNA expression by qRT-PCR, and upregulating Wnt-β-catenin signaling through
pathway analysis[65]. FOXF2 also upregulated the inflammatory response pathway. In contrast, ZIC3
overexpression had no effect on the barrier properties of ECs[65]. We find that FOXF2 / ZIC3 simultaneous
overexpression is sufficient to increase rBMEC barrier properties, but does not induce inflammatory
LAMs; *SELP* and *VCAM1* were downregulated, whereas *SELE* and *ICAM1* were unaltered in rBMECs
compared to primary HBMECs. Our findings suggest that a FOXF2 / ZIC3 combination is critical for the
acquisition of a larger repertoire of BBB properties including barrier strength, suppression of transcytosis
genes and suppression of the inflammatory LAMs. However, the precise mechanism by which
FOXF2/ZIC3 reprogram bpECs to strengthen BBB characteristics remains unclear. We postulate that
bpECs generated via the Praca et al. protocol[35] are more amenable to acquire stronger BBB properties
than other EC cell types, as our preliminary efforts to transduce either endothelial progenitor cells[66] or
ECs generated from a commercial kit (StemCell Technologies) with FOXF2/ZIC3 failed to generate cells
with similar BBB properties as rBMECs. Therefore, FOXF2 and ZIC3 reprogramming seems most
effective in a more "plastic" environment present in the BBB-primed EC which already has some BBB-
like properties.

How does Wnt/β-catenin signaling activation affect the BBB repertoire in rBMECs? We have
found that administration of CHIR99021, a potent activator the Wnt/β-catenin signaling does not have an
additive effect on BBB properties or "brain identity" of rBMECs (data not shown). This was somewhat
surprising since a recent study showed that a small molecule cocktail (cARLA) that activates Wnt-β-
catenin and cAMP signaling and inhibits TGF-β signaling in generic ECs[67] was sufficient to induce
robust BBB properties (tight barrier, high efflux activity) and expression of a subset of brain EC genes[67].
However, cARLA failed to increase expression of *FOXF2*, *ZIC3*, and some key BBB genes (e.g. *ITM2A*,
OCLN) which are robustly upregulated in our rBMECs. cARLA also increased expression of the efflux

transporter *ABCB1*, which was not increased by FOXF2 and ZIC3 overexpression, despite comparable

levels of PGP efflux activity with primary HBMECs. These two diverse strategies (cARLA vs.

FOXF2/ZIC3 reprogramming) can induce complementary BBB properties, whereas FOXF2 and ZIC3

reprogramming is critical to upregulate essential "brain identity" markers that cannot be achieved by the

small molecule cocktail method. Future studies will determine whether a combination of cARLA and

FOXF2/ZIC3 reprogramming strategies can achieve both superior BBB properties and brain

organotypicity in ECs.

Ultimately, one of our goals is to generate an *in vitro* human NVU/BBB model that could faithfully

model pathogenic mechanisms of neurological diseases. We investigated the ability of rBMECs to respond

to pro-inflammatory and disease-relevant stimuli such as oligomeric amyloid-β (1-42) peptides (oAβ42)

elevated in AD patients. In contrast to published studies[68], oAβ42 did not affect barrier tightness of

rBMECs, but was sufficient to upregulate significantly expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and

LAMs, including *VCAM-1* and *ICAM-1*. Here, we used a lower, more physiologically relevant dosage of

oAβ42 than previously described[68], which is non-toxic in our hands and may explain the lack of effect on

the barrier properties. This also suggests that oAβ42, unlike fibrillar forms of Aβ, does not simply damage

the BBB to cause dysfunction, but might rather serve as an immune signaling molecule, consistent with

the antimicrobial protection hypothesis of AD[69]. Taken altogether, we have established a molecularly and

functionally faithful brain EC cell type that can be widely either alone or with other NVU cells to study

pathogenic mechanisms of neurological diseases and drug delivery to the CNS.

Limitations: While rBMECs have BBB molecular identity and functional properties, they do not

fully recapitulate all aspects of the human BBB. For instance, they do not robustly express the full

repertoire of BBB-specific transporters (e.g. MSFD2A). In addition, one major drawback of the

differentiation strategy is the low efficiency to produce bpECs (Sort A). Unfortunately, our attempts to

reprogram ECs generated from other protocols failed to produce cells with robust BBB properties. Finally,

we have not explored the mechanisms by which FOXF2 and ZIC3 drive and sustain BBB properties in

rBMECs. This will be a target of future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Raphael Lis for providing FOXF2 and ZIC3 expression plasmids, and Paroma Malick in Alex

Chavez's lab for subcloning FOXF2 and ZIC3 into pLEX_307. Flow cytometry and cell sorting

experiments were performed in the Columbia Stem Cell Initiative Flow Cytometry core facility under the

leadership of Michael Kissner. This work was supported by NIH grants from the Heart Lung and Blood

Institute [R61/R33 HL159949 and R61/R33 HL159949 (PB)] and National Institute of Aging

[RF1AG078352 and RF1AG078352 (PB)]. UA, and DA are also supported by grants from the National

Eye Institute (R01EY033994), National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R21NS130265),

the International OCD Foundation and the Global Lyme Alliance. AAS is also supported by the Henry

and Marilyn Taub Foundation and Thompson Family Foundation (TAME-AD).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AC, RP, and PB performed experiments, analyzed data, and drafted the manuscript. UA performed the

bioinformatic analysis. ER performed some experiments and provided invaluable technical support. PBD

performed experiments and analyzed data. AAS and DA conceptualized the project, provided the funding,

oversaw all work, and drafted the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

STAR* METHODS

KEY RESOURCE TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Goat polyclonal PDGFRβ Antibody	R&D	Cat#AF385; RRID: AB_355339
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Vimentin antibody	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#D21H3; RRID: AB_10695459
Mouse monoclonal Neural/Glial Antigen 2 (NG2) Antibody (9.2.27), eBioscience™	Thermo Fisher	Cat#14-6504-82; RRID: AB_10870987
Mouse monoclonal α-Smooth Muscle antibody	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#A5228; RRID: AB_262054
Mouse monoclonal Anti-Human CD31 antibody (Clone JC70A)	Agilent	Cat#M082301-2; RRID: AB_2114471
Rabbit polyclonal VE-Cadherin antibody	Abcam	Cat#ab33168; RRID: AB_870662
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GAPDH antibody	Sigma	Cat#G9545; RRID: AB_796208
Mouse monoclonal anti-S100β	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#S2532; RRID: AB_477499
Rabbit monoclonal anti-VCAM-1	Abcam	Cat#ab134047; RRID: AB_2721053
Rabbit monoclonal anti-ICAM-1	Cell Signaling	Cat#67836T; RRID: AB_2799738
Mouse monoclonal anti-GFAP	EMD Millipore	Cat#MAB360; RRID: AB_11212597
Rat Monoclonal anti-Ki-67 Antibody (SolA15)	Thermo Fisher	Cat#14-5698-82; RRID: AB_10854564
Mouse monoclonal anti-Claudin-5	Thermo Fisher	Cat#35-250-0; RRID: AB_2533200
Rabbit polyclonal anti-ZO-1	Thermo Fisher	Cat#61-7300; RRID: AB_2533938
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GLUT-1	Thermo Fisher	Cat#PA5-16793; RRID: AB_10986893
Mouse monoclonal anti-Occludin	Thermo Fisher	Cat#33-1500; RRID: AB_2533101
Mouse PhosphoPair STAT3 (Tyr705) Antibody Set	Biolegend	Cat.# 699952; RRIDs: AB_2861053, AB_10897947

Mouse monoclonal anti-EpCAM Direct Conjugated Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	BioLegend	Cat#324210; RRID: AB_756084
Mouse monoclonal anti-PECAM-1 Direct Conjugated Antibody, APC	BioLegend	Cat#303116; RRID: AB_1877151
Recombinant human monoclonal anti-VE-Cadherin Direct Conjugated antibody, APC	Miltenyi Biotech	Cat#130-125-985; RRID: AB_2655162
Mouse monoclonal anti-VE-Cadherin Direct Conjugated Antibody, PE	BioLegend	Cat#348506; RRID: AB_2077978
Mouse monoclonal anti-ICAM-1 Direct Conjugated Antibody, FITC	BioLegend	Cat#353107; RRID: AB_10898317
Mouse monoclonal anti-VCAM-1 Direct Conjugated Antibody, APC	Biolegend	Cat#305809; RRID: AB_2304307
Mouse monoclonal anti-CD184 Direct Conjugated Antibody, APC	BioLegend	Cat#306509; RRID: AB_314615
Mouse monoclonal anti-CD133 Direct Conjugated Antibody, PE	BioLegend	Cat#372803; RRID: AB_2632879
Mouse monoclonal anti-CD271 Direct Conjugated Antibody, FITC	BioLegend	Cat#345103; RRID: AB_1937226
PE anti-human CD140b (PDGFRβ) Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#323605; RRID: AB_2299493
PE/Cyanine7 anti-human CD146 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#361008; RRID: AB_2562983
Goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L), HRP conjugate	Thermo Fisher	Cat#31430; RRID: AB_228307
Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), HRP conjugate	Thermo Fisher	Cat#31466; RRID: AB_10960844
Donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A21202; RRID: AB_141607
Donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A10037; RRID: AB_2534013

Donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A10042; RRID: AB_2534017
Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A21245; RRID: AB_2535813

Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins

Recombinant human FGF-2	R&D Systems	Cat#3718-FB
Recombinant human VEGF-165	R&D Systems	Cat#293-VE-050/CF
Recombinant human VEGF-165	Stem Cells Tech.	Cat#78073.1
Recombinant human bFGF	Peprotech	Cat#100-18C-100ug
Recombinant human HGF	Peprotech	Cat#100-39H
Recombinant human Thymosinβ4	R&D Systems	Cat#3390/100U
Recombinant human BMP-4	R&D Systems	Cat#314-BP-500/CF
Recombinant β-amyloid (1-42)	rPeptide	Cat#A-1163-2
Recombinant human TNFα	R&D Systems	210-TA-020/CF
Recombinant human IL-1β	R&D Systems	201-LB-005/CF
Human transferrin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T3309
Sodium fluorescein	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#46960-25G-F
Dextran, 3 kDa, Alexa Fluor 680	Thermo Fisher	Cat#D34681
Dextran, 70 kDa, CF770	Biotium	Cat#80123
Collagen from human placenta, type IV	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#C5533
Fibronectin from human plasma	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#F2006
Cultrex Stem Cell Qualified RGF Basement Membrane	R&D	Cat#3434-010-02
Insulin solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#19278
Puromycin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#P8833
Poly-D-Lysine	MP Biomedicals	Cat#0215017510
SB 431542	Selleck Chemicals	Cat#S1067
CHIR 99021	Tocris	Cat #4423

Collagenase Type IV	StemCell Technologies	Cat#07909
Plasma derived-platelet poor serum	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#P2918
Knockout serum replacement	Thermo Fisher	Cat#10828028
Fetal Bovine Serum, heat-inactivated	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#12306C
Bovine serum albumin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#A9576
Bovine serum albumin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#A9418
Accutase	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A11105-01
ReleSR	StemCell Technologies	Cat#100-0483
Trypsin-EDTA (0.05%)	Thermo Fisher	Cat#25300-054
β-mercaptoethanol	Thermo Fisher	Cat#21985-023
GlutaMAX	Thermo Fisher	Cat#35050-061
MEM non-essential amino acids	Thermo Fisher	Cat#11140-050
Penicillin-streptomycin (10,000 U/mL)	Thermo Fisher	Cat#15140-122
B-27 Supplement, minus vitamin A	Gibco	Cat#12-587-010
N-2 Supplement	Gibco	Cat#17502048
Rhodamine 123, FluoroPure™ grade	Invitrogen	Cat#R22420
Albumin from Bovine Serum (BSA), Alexa Fluor™ 594 conjugate	Invitrogen	Cat#A13101
PSC-833	Tocris	Cat#4042
SuperBlock Blocking Buffer in PBS	Thermo Fisher	Cat#37515
Triton-X	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A16046
Tween 20	Thermo Fisher	Cat#BP337
SuperBlock T20 Blocking Buffer	Thermo Fisher	Cat#37536
CF® Dye Biocytin CF640	Biotium	Cat#92007
CellTag 700 Stain	LI-COR	Cat#926-41090
eBioscience Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 780	Thermo Fisher	Cat#65-0865-14
Critical commercial assays		
BCA Protein Assay Kit	Millipore Sigma	Cat#71285-3

SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate	Thermo Fisher	Cat#34577
RNeasy Mini Kit	Qiagen	Cat#74104
RNeasy Micro Kit	Qiagen	Cat#74004
Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-qPCR	Thermo Fisher	Cat#K1642
Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Kit	Thermo Fisher	Cat#L3000001
12mm diameter, 12μm pore transwell insert	Corning	Cat#3401
Human endothelial serum-free media	Gibco	Cat#11111044
Endothelial Cell Growth Medium MV2	PromoCell	Cat#C-22121
Astrocyte Medium Kit	ScienCell	Cat#1801
Pericyte Medium Kit	ScienCell	Cat#1201
F-12, phenol red free	R&D	Cat#M25350
DMEM / F12 (1:1)	Gibco	Cat#11320-033
F12	Gibco	Cat#11765-054
IMDM	Gibco	Cat#12440-053
DMEM	Gibco	Cat#11965-092
Knockout DMEM	Gibco	Cat#10829-018
Experimental Models: Cell lines		
HEK293T	ATCC	Cat#CRL-1573
Irradiated CF1 mouse embryonic fibroblasts	Thermo Fisher	Cat#A34181
Primary Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells	ATCC	Cat#PCS-100-010
Primary Human Brain Microvascular Cells	Cell System	Cat#ACBRI376
Human Brain Vascular Pericytes	ScienCell	Cat#1200
Human Astrocytes	ScienCell	Cat#1800
iPS(IMR90)-4	WiCell	RUID# WISCi004-B
FA0000010 (FA10)	RUCDR	RUID# CUIMCi001-A

ND50025 (NCRM-4)	RUCDR/NINDS Collection	RUID# CR0000004, Clone ID: R149482761
ND50031 (NCRM-5)	RUCDR/NINDS Collection	RUID#CR0000005, Clone ID: R149506778

Oligonucleotides		
Primers for RT-qPCR: listed in Supplementary Table A		

Recombinant DNA		
FOXF2 lentiviral construct	This manuscript	Backbone: Addgene_41392
ZIC3 lentiviral construct	This manuscript	Backbone: Addgene_41392
NFIA lentiviral construct	Addgene	Backbone: Addgene_141403

Software and Algorithms		
ImageJ	NIH	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
FlowJo	FLOWJO LLC	https://www.flowjo.com/
GraphPad Prism 9.0.0	GraphPad Software Inc.	https://www.graphpad.com/features
R studio v2023.12.0+369	R Studio	R Studio
EdgeR package 2.1	BioConductor	https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR
STAR Alligner v2.5.2a	Dobin et al. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29(1):15-21.	
FastQC	Babraham Institute	http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
subread	https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/41	https://subread.sourceforge.net/

	/10/e108/1075719?login=false	
Zen 3.9	Zeiss Company	https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/en/products/software/zeiss-zen.html

Resource availability

For further information and requests for resources, reagents, or code, please contact corresponding authors

Andrew Sproul (aas2003@cumc.columbia.edu) and Dritan Agalliu (da191@cumc.columbia.edu).

Materials availability

Plasmids from this study are available upon reasonable request. Please refer to STAR*Methods section

for resources.

Experimental Models

Human iPSC line maintenance: For all primary experiments, human control iPSC line IMR90-cl.4 was

used (WiCell)[70]. For additional experiments, human control iPSC line FA0000010 (FA10), ND50031

(NCRM-5), and ND50025 (NCRM-4) were used (RUCDR). IMR90-cl.4 and NCRM-4 were derived from

female donors, while FA10 and NCRM-5 were from male donors. For differentiation into bpECs or NPCs,

iPSCs were maintained on feeder culture of irradiated CF1 mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) with

Human Embryonic Stem cell Medium (HUESM): knockout DMEM, 20% knockout serum replacement

(Thermo Fisher), 2mM Glutamax (Thermo Fisher), 0.1 mM MEM non-essential amino acids (Thermo

Fisher), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher), and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher)

supplemented with 20 ng/mL bFGF (R&D) and passaged when 80-90% confluent. Feeder-free hiPSCs

were maintained in StemFlex medium (Thermo Fisher) containing penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo

Fisher) on Cultrex-coated plates (Bio-Techne). Cultures were passaged using ReLeSR (StemCell

Technologies) every 3-4 days.

Directed differentiation of hiPSCs into BBB-primed endothelial cells (bpECs): hiPSCs were differentiated into bpECs (our term) as previously reported with slight modifications[35]. hiPSCs were dissociated with collagenase-IV, and cell aggregates were plated in chemically defined media (CDM): 50% IMDM (Thermo Fisher), 50% Ham's F12 (Thermo Fisher), 5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (Thermo Fisher), 15 ug/mL transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher), 7 ug/mL insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher) on 1 ug/mL fibronectin (Sigma-Aldrich) coated 10 cm dishes from one well of a 6-well plate to one 10 cm dish. CDM was supplemented with 10 ng/mL BMP4 (R&D) for 1.5 days to induce mesodermal differentiation and 50 ng/mL BMP4 and 20 ng/mL bFGF (R&D) for the next 3.5 days for further expansion of mesodermal lineage cells. From days 5 to 10, endothelial differentiation was initiated by supplementing CDM media with 50 ng/mL VEGF (R&D) and 100 ng/mL thymosin-β4. Endothelial cells were purified at day 10-11 by FACS for $CD31^+/CDH5^+$ cells. These endothelial cells, now termed bpECs, were plated on collagen IV/fibronectin coated plates at a density of 30,000 to 35,000 cells/cm^2 and fed EC medium (Human Endothelial Serum Free Medium (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 1% human serum (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 50 ng/mL VEGF165 (R&D), 20 ng/mL bFGF (R&D), and 10 μM SB431542 (Sigma-Aldrich). bpECs were expanded and passaged 1:2 to 1:3 every 7-10 days and generally used for five passages.

Reprogramming of bpECs into rBMECs: bpECs were plated at 30,000-35,000 cells/cm^2 on collagen IV/fibronectin coated plates using EC media supplemented with 50 ng/mL VEGF165, 20 ng/mL bFGF and 10 uM SB431542. On day 2, bpECs were transduced with FOXF2 ($\sim$1x10^6 lentiviral p24 units/mL of medium) and ZIC3 ($\sim$7.5x10^5 lentiviral p24 units/mL of medium) as determined by Lenti-X GoStix (Takara). Successfully infected cells were selected with 1 ug/mL puromycin (Sigma) for 24 to 48 hours. Infected bpECs were purified via FACS for $CD31^+$/VE-cadherin$^+$ cells on day 14. FACS-purified and infected bpECs, referred to as rBMECs, were plated on collagen IV/fibronectin coated plates 25,000-

35,000 cells/cm^2 and expanded until confluent. rBMECs were passaged at high density using 1:2 splits

every 7-10 days and used within five passages.

Generation of lentiviral vectors and lentiviruses: FOXF2 and ZIC3 were subcloned into pLex_307,

which was a gift from David Root (Addgene), and verified by Sanger sequencing (Azenta). FUW-tetO-

NFIA was a gift from Lorenz Studer (Addgene)[71]. FUdeltaGW-rtTA was a gift from Konrad Hochedlinger

(Addgene)[72]. psPAX2 was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene). VSV.G was a gift from Tannishtha Reya

(Addgene)[73]. Lentiviral particles for FOXF2, ZIC3, NFIA, and rtTA were generated in HEK293 as

described previously[74], using the psPAX2/VSV.G 2nd generation system. Efficacy of lentiviral particles

was confirmed by infection and subsequent qPCR.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS): Cells were dissociated into single cell suspension using

Accutase (ThermoFisher) and washed with base medium (CDM for EC differentiation at day 10 and EC

media for rBMECs). Cell suspensions were incubated with FACS buffer (10% KOSR in DPBS) with

fluorescently tagged antibodies (CD31-FITC, VE-cadherin-APC) for 30 min at room temperature

protected from light. Cell suspensions were washed with FACS buffer and cell pellets were resuspended

for FACS by filtering through 0.45 μM filters (Fisher Scientific) to remove cell aggregates. bpECs or

rBMECs were sorted on the Sony MA900 cell sorter and double positive CD31$^+$/VE-cadherin$^+$ cells were

subsequently expanded.

Primary endothelial cell, pericyte, and astrocyte cultures: HBMECs (Cell Systems) were cultured in

Endothelial Cell Growth Medium MV 2 (Promocell). PCs were cultured in Pericyte Media (PM,

ScienCell), and ACs were cultured in Astrocyte Media (AM, ScienCell). HBMECs and PCs were plated

onto collagen IV and fibronectin coated plates, while ACs were plated onto either Matrigel or Cultrex-

coated plates. All cells were supplemented with media every other day and passaged using Accutase once

90% confluent.

Generation of iPSC-derived pericytes: Briefly, feeder-free iPSCs were differentiated into neural crest

pericytes following an established protocol, where iPSCs were treated with a neural crest differentiation

media supplemented with CHIR99021 and subsequently treated with Pericyte Media (ScienCell)[54]. Cells

were later sorted on CD146+/PDGFRβ+ to ensure a homogeneous population prior to introduction into

the BBB triculture system.

Generation of iPSC-derived astrocytes: hiPSCs were differentiated into a neural progenitor cells

(NPCs) using dual-SMAD inhibition in embryoid bodies as previously described[56]. On day -1, NPCs were

dissociated using Accutase and plated at a density of 15,000 cells/cm^2 in N2/B27 media on Cultrex-coated

plates. On day 0, the media was replaced with supplemented Astrocyte Media (2% fetal bovine serum,

and proprietary astrocyte growth factor supplement) (ScienCell). On day 1, differentiating cells were

transfected with lentiviral particles carrying dox-inducible NFIA and rtTA (Addgene). Cells were fed

every other day using astrocyte media supplemented with doxycycline (2μg/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells

were split at 90-95% confluence roughly once every seven days and re-plated at a density of 15,000

cells/cm^2 for 30 days. At this stage, astrocytes were split 1:3 once 90-95% confluent and were expanded,

banked, and utilized.

RNA isolation and RT-qPCR: RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (Qiagen) and

resuspended in 30 μl of RNAse-free water. RNA quantity and quality were assessed with a Nanodrop One

(ThermoScientific). For qPCR, 100-250 ng of RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the Maxima First

Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher). Gene expression was assessed using the FAST SYBR Green

Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific) with primer sets (Integrated DNA Technologies) for target genes

on a QuantStudio 7 Flex system (Applied Biosystems) and CFX Opus 384 (Bio-Rad). Gene expression

for target genes were normalized to GAPDH and fold changes were calculated as $\Delta\Delta C_t$.

Western blotting: Endothelial cells were harvested using RIPA Lysis Buffer supplemented with Halt

protease and phosphatase inhibitors single-use cocktails (Thermo Fisher) on ice. 10 μg of lysates and 1x

Laemmli sample buffer/5% β-mercaptoethanol were boiled at 95° C for 5 min. SDS-PAGE was performed

by loading samples on Bolt 4–12% gradient Bis-Tris gels using Bolt MOPS buffer (Thermo Fisher).

Nitrocellulose membranes were first blocked with Superblock TBS buffer/0.1% Tween 20 Thermo Fisher)

for 1h, and then incubated overnight at 4° C with the following primary antibodies diluted in

Superblock/0.1% Tween 20: Claudin-5 (1:1000; Thermo Fisher), Occludin (1:1000; Thermo Fisher),

VCAM-1 (1:1000, Abcam), pSTAT3 (1:1000, BioLegend), STAT3 (1:1000, BioLegend) and GAPDH

(1:5000; Thermo Fisher). After 3 washes with TBS/0.1% Tween-20, membranes were then incubated with

the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5000, Thermo Fisher) for 1 hour, and washed 3

more times with TBS/0.1% Tween-20. Pierce ECL western blotting substrate or SuperSignal West Pico

PLUS chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher) were used to develop chemiluminescence and blots

were imaged using the Bio-Rad Chemidoc MP system.

Transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurement: TEER measurements were carried out

using the ECIS Z-theta instrument on the 96W20idf array at 4000 Hz frequency. Briefly, 20,000 cells

were plated on poly-D-lysine and collagen IV/fibronectin-coated 96-well electrode array plates in the

respective medium for each cell type. Resistance was recorded for 250-300 hours and medium was

changed every other day. The resistance curve was generated using PRISM (GraphPad) and the area under

the curve (AUC) was measured either for last 100 hours of recording or for the duration of oAβ42 or

cytokine treatment (72hr or 24hr) from 6-8 wells using the AUC PRISM function.

Tracer permeability assay: Tracer permeability assay for determination of paracellular permeability was

performed as previously described[75]. rBMECs, bpECs and hBMECs were cultured on 12-well

polycarbonate Transwell inserts (0.4 uM, Corning) for 10 days to ensure a complete monolayer. Growth

factors were removed for 24 hours prior to assay. A full media change was performed on bottom chamber

(1.5 mL) and all 3 tracers (NaF- 10 ug/mL, 3 kDa dextran - 25 ug/mL and 70 kDa dextran- 25 ug/mL)

were added on top chamber in 0.5 mL medium to start the assay. As soon as tracers were added, 100 uL

medium was collected from bottom chamber as 0 min collection and replaced with 100 uL fresh media

for each well, followed by serial collection for every 10 min for up to 60 min. Fluorescent intensities were

measured and clearance slopes were calculated using:

$$\Delta V = C_{bottom} \times V_{bottom} / C_{upper,}$$

C_{bottom} and C_{upper} are fluorescent intensities measured for each tracer in top and bottom chamber

respectively, V_{bottom} is volume of media in bottom chamber (1.5 mL)

$$P_{filter} = PS_{filter}/s \quad \text{and} \quad P_{cell+filter} = PS_{cell+filter}/s,$$

P_{filter} and $P_{cell+filter}$ are permeability coefficient for blank insert and insert with cells, PS_{filter} and $PS_{cell+filter}$

are which can be obtained by regression plot of ΔV against time for blank insert and insert with cells.

$$1/P_e = 1/ P_{cell+filter} - P_{filter,}$$

P_e is permeability co-efficient for endothelial cell monolayer

Wound scratch assay: Cells were plated at a density of 100K/well (24-well plate). Once confluent, cells

were fed their standard media absent VEGF and bFGF supplementation. Monolayers were scratched in

the center of each well using the Autoscratch automated scratch machine (BioTek). Wells were then

treated with either control media, 100 ng/mL VEGF, or an angiogenic cocktail consisting of VEGF (37.5

ng/ml), bFGF (37.5 ng/ml), and HGF (37.5 ng/ml). Plates were cultured and imaged automatically every

6 hours using the Cytation5 plate reader (BioTek) and software, for HBMECs (24 hrs) and bpECs and

rBMECs (36 hrs) during culture in a BioSpa incubator (BioTek). Each well was quantified for % surface

area of the wound utilizing an ImageJ plug-in for identifying the area of the scratch[76], which was then

normalized to the original size of the wound for each well. To compare cell types, we calculated an

angiogenic potential, where:

$$\text{angiogenic potential} = \int \text{untreated wound area} - \int \text{wound area with angiogenic cocktail}$$

Ki67 quantification assay: After the wound scratch assay was performed, cells were fixed with 4% PFA.

Cells were blocked as described above and stained with Ki67 antibody (1:500). Cells were labeled with a

GFP secondary antibody and DAPI. Wells were imaged for DAPI and GFP fluorescence on the BioSpa

as done previously. Each well was quantified for proliferative index, where:

$$\text{Proliferative Index} = \frac{\text{Number of Ki67+ cells}}{\text{Number of DAPI+ cells}}$$

Cells were identified as Ki67 or DAPI positive using the annotation machine learning tool from the QuPath

software[77].

Uptake and transporter assays: As previously described[60], cells were plated at a density of 100K/well

(24-well plate) and subcultured until confluent. First, cells incubated with either 1 mg/mL unlabeled BSA

or 1 mg/mL BSA-Alexa 594 for 1 hour at 37º C. Cells were then dissociated into single cell suspension,

stained for eFluor 780, fixed with 4% PFA, and analyzed for Alexa594 median fluorescence intensity

(MFI). For the transporter assay, cells were incubated with Rhodamine 123 (10 uM) for 1 hr at 37º C. To

assess the effect of P-glycoprotein (Pgp) efflux, cells were pretreated with PSC-833 (a specific Pgp

inhibitor) for 1 hr prior to Rhodamine treatment. Cells were dissociated into single cell suspension, stained

for eFluor 780, fixed with 4% PFA, and analyzed for Rhodamine MFI. NPCs were utilized as a positive

control due to their low level of Pgp efflux. Data were normalized to the unstained MFI for each cell type,

which we termed fold change in MFI. To calculate Pgp efflux, we calculated the percent change in MFI

with and without PSC-833:

$$\text{PGP efflux} = \frac{\text{MFI}_{\text{PSC}-833} - \text{MFI}_{\text{Rhodamine}}}{\text{MFI}_{\text{Rhodamine}}}$$

Amyloid-β42 oligomerization and treatment: Amyloid-β42 was monomerized, stored and later

oligomerized as previously described[78,79]. In brief, Aβ(1-42) (rPeptide, 1 mg) vial was allowed to

equilibrate to room temperature. Peptides were resupended in ice-cold hexafluoroisopropanol (Sigma-

Aldrich) to achieve 1 mM concentration directly in a vacuum-sealed vial using a Hamilton syringe. The

suspension was divided into 8 equal aliquots by volume using a Hamilton syringe into low protein binding

Eppendorf tubes, incubated at room temperature for 2 hours and dried on speedvac at 800g for 25°C. Upon

drying monomerized Aβ(1-42) films can be stored at -80°C in sealed tubes. For generating oligomers,

Eppendorf tubes containing Aβ(1-42) peptide films were equilibrated to room temperature, resuspended

in DMSO to achieve 5 mM concentration and sonicated at 17°C for 10 min for complete resuspension.

Oligomerization was induced by adding phenol-red free F12 to achieve final concentration of 100 uM and

incubated at 4°C for 24 to 48 hours. rBMEC monolayers were treated with oAβ at 500 nM or 1 uM

concentrations for the indicted period of time and F12 was used a vehicle control.

3D microfluidic BBB culture model: OrganoPlate 3-lane-64 plates (MIMETAS) were used to generate

a 3D microfluidic system of the BBB. In each chip, the center channel was filled with an ECM gel, an

endothelial tubule was generated in the right channel, and a mixture of iPSC-derived astrocytes and

pericytes was seeded in the left channel and allowed to migrate towards the endothelial cells during culture

upon a bidirectional OrganoFlow rocker (MIMETAS).

ECM generation and endothelial channel coating: On day -1, the center ECM channel was filled with a

4:1 ratio of collagen I (5 mg/ml) and collagen IV solution (5 mg/mL), incubated at 37º C for 15 min, and

covered with PBS to prevent collagen gel from drying. The right channel inlet was coated using fibronectin

(10 ug/mL) and collagen IV (10 ug/mL) overnight.

Endothelial cell seeding: On day 0, endothelial cells were singularized and resuspended to achieve a

15,000 cells/uL concentration. Cells were seeded in the right channel outlet at a density of 30,000

cells/chip. Plate was placed on a 75º plate stand from the manufacturer and incubated at 37º C for four to

five hours to allow endothelial cells to settle against the gel. Then, outlets were replenished with

endothelial media and the plate was placed on the OrganoFlow rocker to alternate between -7 to +7º every

8 minutes. After a day or two, endothelial cells formed an open tubule in the right channel.

Astrocyte and pericyte channel coating and cell seeding: On day 1, the left channel inlet was coated with

Matrigel. Primary astrocytes (ACs) and iPSC-derived ACs were singularized and resuspended in AM-PM

media (2:1 ratio of AM:PM) to achieve a 15,000 cells/uL concentration. Primary pericytes (PCs) and

iPSC-derived iPCs were similarly singularized and resuspended in AM-PM to achieve a 7,500 cells/uL

concentration. Primary astrocytes and primary pericytes were mixed at a ratio of 1:1 and seeded in the left

channel outlet for each chip to achieve 15,000 ACs and 7,500 PCs per chip. The plate was rested on the

stand for four to five hours at 37º C to allow pericytes and astrocytes to settle against the gel. Finally,

outlets were replenished with AM-PM and the plate was placed back on the OrganoFlow rocker for

continuous culture until Day 10. Media was replenished every other day. Beginning on day 6, chips were

replenished with their standard EC media without bFGF and VEGF supplementation.

Tracer permeability assay: On day 10 post seeding, a 70-kDa dextran (0.5 ug/mL) and Biocytin tracer

(0.5 ug/mL) were introduced into the right inlet and outlet wells (40 uL and 30 uL, respectively). The gel

inlet, left inlet, and left outlet wells were filled with 20 uL media without tracer. Then, the plate was placed

onto the OrganoFlow rocker and imaged every 15 minutes in the LI-COR. The fluorescence intensity for

each well was quantified with the Odyssey SA system. Analysis was performed as the normalized

fluorescence intensity over the ECM channel center well, which represented the leakage from the right

vascular channel into the left brain channel:

601
$$\text{Normalized Intensity} = \frac{\text{Middle ECM channel intensity}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Mean middle channel intensity}_{\text{ECM}}}$$

where ECM chips only had a control gel, representing the leakage without cells present. Samples were

analyzed using a two-way ANOVA test.

3D TEER assay: Chips were assayed for TEER on day 10 post seeding. After the plate was introduced

into the OrganoTEER device, TEER values were measured for the vascular channel using the "low TEER"

setting, which is suitable for endothelial cells, per the manufacturer. Measurements for each condition

were compared using a one-way ANOVA test.

Immunofluorescence and microscopy

Staining of monolayer endothelial cells, pericytes, and astrocytes: For tight junction staining, endothelial

cells were fixed with ice cold ethanol for 30 minutes and acetone for 1 minute. For all other staining, ECs,

PCs, and ACs were fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min. Fixed monolayers were then washed twice in PBS, blocked for an hour in blocking buffer (5% goat or donkey serum, 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS), and incubated overnight with appropriate primary antibodies in a diluting solution at 4º C (5% goat or donkey serum; 0.01% Triton X-100 in 1 x PBS). The following day, cells were stained with secondary antibodies in 1 x PBS for 1 hour at room temperature and DAPI (1:5000) for 10 minutes. Imaging was performed on a ZEISS LSM700 confocal microscope using 10x (Plan-Apochromat 10x objective lens) and 20x (Plan Apochromat 20x objective lens).

Immunostaining of 3D MIMETAS cultures: On day 10 post seeding, MIMETAS chips with fixed with either 4% PFA for 15 minutes for standard staining or ethanol/acetone for tight junction visualization. For fixation and blocking, solution was introduced as follows: 100 uL in right channel inlet, 50 uL in right channel outlet, 50 uL in left channel inlet, 50 uL in left channel outlet. Plate was placed on the OrganoFlow for rocking during staining. Chips were blocked for an hour in blocking buffer, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies in diluting solution at 4º C as follows: 25 uL in right channel inlet and outlet; 15 uL in left channel inlets and outlets. Cells were washed and incubated with secondary antibodies and DAPI as above. Then, chips were post-fixed with 4% PFA for 15 minutes prior to microscopic imaging.

Bulk RNA sequencing, alignment and analysis:

Total RNA was extracted as previously described. RNA samples underwent quality assessment using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer, and RNA integrity was assessed using the Agilent TapeStation 4200. Subsequently, RNA sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit following the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were sequenced in a 2x150bp Paired-End (PE) configuration using the Illumina NovaSeq instrument.

Previously published samples were obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets, including

GSE138025, GSE40291, GSE57662, GSE131039, GSE97575, GSE73721, GSE97324, GSE138025,

GSE122588, GSE129290, GSE97100, GSE126449, GSE108012, GSE151976, GSE85403, GSE82207,

GSE77250, GSE82207, GSE157852, GSE243193, GSE225549, and GSE227501. Quality control for the

samples was conducted using FastQC, followed by adapter trimming with Skewer (v0.2.2). The resulting

reads were aligned to the human reference genome (hg38) using the STAR aligner, and counts were

generated using subread.

Normalization was performed using the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) method implemented in the

EdgeR R package. Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) and Log2

counts per million (cpm) matrices were generated to account for library size variations. Differential

expression analysis was conducted considering a p-adjusted value < 0.05 and a log2fold change less than

-0.25 or greater than 0.25.

Principal component analysis and Pearson correlation values were computed using the resulting Log_2

cpm values. Heatmaps were generated using Min-Max scaling on normalized counts.

REFERENCES

1 Nance, E., Pun, S. H., Saigal, R. & Sellers, D. L. Drug delivery to the central nervous system.
Nature Reviews Materials **7**, 314-331 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41578-021-00394-w
2 Eelen, G., de Zeeuw, P., Simons, M. & Carmeliet, P. Endothelial cell metabolism in normal and
diseased vasculature. *Circ Res* **116**, 1231-1244 (2015).
https://doi.org:10.1161/circresaha.116.302855
3 Liebner, S. *et al.* Functional morphology of the blood–brain barrier in health and disease. *Acta*
Neuropathologica **135**, 311-336 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00401-018-1815-1
4 Marchetti, L. & Engelhardt, B. Immune cell trafficking across the blood-brain barrier in the
absence and presence of neuroinflammation. *Vascular Biology* **2**, H1-H18 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1530/vb-19-0033
5 Profaci, C. P., Munji, R. N., Pulido, R. S. & Daneman, R. The blood–brain barrier in health and
disease: Important unanswered questions. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **217** (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1084/jem.20190062
6 Abadier, M. *et al.* Cell surface levels of endothelial ICAM-1 influence the transcellular or
paracellular T-cell diapedesis across the blood-brain barrier. *Eur J Immunol* **45**, 1043-1058
(2015). https://doi.org:10.1002/eji.201445125
7 Trajkovic, M. *et al.* Abnormal thyroid hormone metabolism in mice lacking the
monocarboxylate transporter 8. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **117**, 627-635 (2007).
https://doi.org:10.1172/JCI28253
8 Hodge, R. D. *et al.* Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex.
Nature **573**, 61-68 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-019-1506-7
9 Uchida, Y. *et al.* Comparison of Absolute Protein Abundances of Transporters and Receptors
among Blood–Brain Barriers at Different Cerebral Regions and the Blood–Spinal Cord Barrier in
Humans and Rats. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* **17**, 2006-2020 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.0c00178
10 Drummond, E. & Wisniewski, T. Alzheimer's disease: experimental models and reality. *Acta*
Neuropathol **133**, 155-175 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00401-016-1662-x
11 Qosa, H. *et al.* Differences in amyloid-β clearance across mouse and human blood-brain barrier
models: kinetic analysis and mechanistic modeling. *Neuropharmacology* **79**, 668-678 (2014).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.01.023
12 Xiong, Y., Mahmood, A. & Chopp, M. Animal models of traumatic brain injury. *Nat Rev Neurosci*
14, 128-142 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1038/nrn3407
13 Verscheijden, L. F. M., Koenderink, J. B., de Wildt, S. N. & Russel, F. G. M. Differences in P-
glycoprotein activity in human and rodent blood-brain barrier assessed by mechanistic
modelling. *Arch Toxicol* **95**, 3015-3029 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00204-021-03115-y
14 Aday, S., Li, W., Karp, J. M. & Joshi, N. An in vitro Blood-brain Barrier Model to Study the
Penetration of Nanoparticles. *Bio Protoc* **12**, e4334 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.21769/BioProtoc.4334
15 Bagchi, S. *et al.* In-vitro blood-brain barrier models for drug screening and permeation studies:
an overview. *Drug Des Devel Ther* **13**, 3591-3605 (2019). https://doi.org:10.2147/dddt.S218708
16 Linville, R. M. & Searson, P. C. Next-generation in vitro blood–brain barrier models:
benchmarking and improving model accuracy. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **18**, 56 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1186/s12987-021-00291-y

17   Schreiner, T. G., Creangă-Murariu, I., Tamba, B. I., Lucanu, N. & Popescu, B. O. In Vitro Modeling
of the Blood-Brain Barrier for the Study of Physiological Conditions and Alzheimer's Disease.
Biomolecules **12** (2022). https://doi.org:10.3390/biom12081136

18   Fujimoto, T. *et al.* Comparison of the rate of dedifferentiation with increasing passages among
cell sources for an in vitro model of the blood–brain barrier. *Journal of Neural Transmission*
127, 1117-1124 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00702-020-02202-1

19   Helms, H. C. *et al.* In vitro models of the blood–brain barrier: An overview of commonly used
brain endothelial cell culture models and guidelines for their use. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow*
& Metabolism **36**, 862-890 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1177/0271678x16630991

20   Sabbagh, M. F. & Nathans, J. A genome-wide view of the de-differentiation of central nervous
system endothelial cells in culture. *Elife* **9** (2020). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.51276

21   Faley, S. L. *et al.* iPSC-Derived Brain Endothelium Exhibits Stable, Long-Term Barrier Function in
Perfused Hydrogel Scaffolds. *Stem Cell Reports* **12**, 474-487 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.01.009

22   Lippmann, E. S. *et al.* Derivation of blood-brain barrier endothelial cells from human pluripotent
stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**, 783-791 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.2247

23   Qian, T. *et al.* Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells to blood-brain barrier
endothelial cells. *Sci Adv* **3**, e1701679 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1126/sciadv.1701679

24   Vatine, G. D. *et al.* Human iPSC-Derived Blood-Brain Barrier Chips Enable Disease Modeling and
Personalized Medicine Applications. *Cell Stem Cell* **24**, 995-1005.e1006 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.stem.2019.05.011

25   Canfield, S. G. *et al.* An isogenic blood-brain barrier model comprising brain endothelial cells,
astrocytes, and neurons derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *J Neurochem* **140**,
874-888 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1111/jnc.13923

26   Lippmann, E. S., Al-Ahmad, A., Azarin, S. M., Palecek, S. P. & Shusta, E. V. A retinoic acid-
enhanced, multicellular human blood-brain barrier model derived from stem cell sources. *Sci*
Rep **4**, 4160 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1038/srep04160

27   Stebbins, M. J. *et al.* Differentiation and characterization of human pluripotent stem cell-
derived brain microvascular endothelial cells. *Methods* **101**, 93-102 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.10.016

28   Lu, T. M. *et al.* Pluripotent stem cell-derived epithelium misidentified as brain microvascular
endothelium requires ETS factors to acquire vascular fate. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
of Sciences **118**, e2016950118 (2021). https://doi.org:doi:10.1073/pnas.2016950118

29   Matsuo, K., Engelhardt, B. & Nishihara, H. Differentiation of Human Induced Pluripotent Stem
Cells to Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cell-Like Cells with a Mature Immune Phenotype. *JoVE*,
e65134 (2023). https://doi.org:doi:10.3791/65134

30   Nishihara, H. *et al.* Advancing human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived blood-brain barrier
models for studying immune cell interactions. *Faseb j* **34**, 16693-16715 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1096/fj.202001507RR

31   Hupe, M. *et al.* Gene expression profiles of brain endothelial cells during embryonic
development at bulk and single-cell levels. *Science Signaling* **10**, eaag2476 (2017).
https://doi.org:doi:10.1126/scisignal.aag2476

32   Sabbagh, M. F. *et al.* Transcriptional and epigenomic landscapes of CNS and non-CNS vascular
endothelial cells. *eLife* **7**, e36187 (2018). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.36187

33 Reyahi, A. *et al.* Foxf2 Is Required for Brain Pericyte Differentiation and Development and
Maintenance of the Blood-Brain Barrier. *Developmental Cell* **34**, 19-32 (2015).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.05.008
34 Cottarelli, A. *et al.* Fgfbp1 promotes blood-brain barrier development by regulating collagen IV
deposition and maintaining Wnt/β-catenin signaling. *Development* **147** (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.185140
35 Praca, C. *et al.* Derivation of Brain Capillary-like Endothelial Cells from Human Pluripotent Stem
Cell-Derived Endothelial Progenitor Cells. *Stem Cell Reports* **13**, 599-611 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.08.002
36 Engelhardt, B. & Liebner, S. Novel insights into the development and maintenance of the
blood–brain barrier. *Cell and Tissue Research* **355**, 687-699 (2014).
https://doi.org:10.1007/s00441-014-1811-2
37 Mikami, Y. *et al.* Chronic airway epithelial hypoxia exacerbates injury in muco-obstructive lung
disease through mucus hyperconcentration. *Science Translational Medicine* **15**, eabo7728
(2023). https://doi.org:doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abo7728
38 Singh, N. *et al.* Transcriptional profiles of pulmonary artery endothelial cells in pulmonary
hypertension. *Sci Rep* **13**, 22534 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-48077-6
39 Uhl, K. *et al.* Differential Transcriptomic Signatures of Small Airway Cell Cultures Derived from
IPF and COVID-19-Induced Exacerbation of Interstitial Lung Disease. *Cells* **12**, 2501 (2023).
40 Zhao, Z., Nelson, A. R., Betsholtz, C. & Zlokovic, B. V. Establishment and Dysfunction of the
Blood-Brain Barrier. *Cell* **163**, 1064-1078 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.067
41 Miller, D. W., Batrakova, E. V., Waltner, T. O., Alakhov, V. & Kabanov, A. V. Interactions of
pluronic block copolymers with brain microvessel endothelial cells: evidence of two potential
pathways for drug absorption. *Bioconjug Chem* **8**, 649-657 (1997).
https://doi.org:10.1021/bc970118d
42 Revesz, T. *et al.* Genetics and molecular pathogenesis of sporadic and hereditary cerebral
amyloid angiopathies. *Acta Neuropathol* **118**, 115-130 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00401-
009-0501-8
43 Santos, C. Y. *et al.* Pathophysiologic relationship between Alzheimer's disease, cerebrovascular
disease, and cardiovascular risk: A review and synthesis. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* **7**, 69-87
(2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.dadm.2017.01.005
44 Toledo, J. B. *et al.* Contribution of cerebrovascular disease in autopsy confirmed
neurodegenerative disease cases in the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Centre. *Brain* **136**,
2697-2706 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1093/brain/awt188
45 Sweeney, M. D., Sagare, A. P. & Zlokovic, B. V. Blood–brain barrier breakdown in Alzheimer
disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. *Nature Reviews Neurology* **14**, 133-150 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nrneurol.2017.188
46 Biffi, A. & Greenberg, S. M. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy: a systematic review. *J Clin Neurol* **7**, 1-
9 (2011). https://doi.org:10.3988/jcn.2011.7.1.1
47 Cottarelli, A. *et al.* Rab7a activation promotes degradation of select tight junction proteins at
the blood-brain barrier after ischemic stroke. *bioRxiv* (2023).
https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.08.29.555373
48 Dimitrijevic, O. B., Stamatovic, S. M., Keep, R. F. & Andjelkovic, A. V. Effects of the chemokine
CCL2 on blood-brain barrier permeability during ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Cereb Blood Flow*
Metab **26**, 797-810 (2006). https://doi.org:10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600229

49   Schellenberg, A. E. *et al.* Blood-brain barrier disruption in CCL2 transgenic mice during pertussis
toxin-induced brain inflammation. *Fluids Barriers CNS* **9**, 10 (2012).
https://doi.org:10.1186/2045-8118-9-10
50   Guo, F. *et al.* Chemokine CCL2 contributes to BBB disruption via the p38 MAPK signaling
pathway following acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *FASEB J* **34**, 1872-1884 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1096/fj.201902203RR
51   Shin, Y. J. *et al.* Amyloid beta peptides (Abeta) from Alzheimer's disease neuronal secretome
induce endothelial activation in a human cerebral microvessel model. *Neurobiol Dis* **181**,
106125 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.nbd.2023.106125
52   Kano, A. *et al.* Endothelial cells require STAT3 for protection against endotoxin-induced
inflammation. *J Exp Med* **198**, 1517-1525 (2003). https://doi.org:10.1084/jem.20030077
53   Mehla, J. *et al.* STAT3 inhibitor mitigates cerebral amyloid angiopathy and parenchymal amyloid
plaques while improving cognitive functions and brain networks. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* **9**,
193 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1186/s40478-021-01293-5
54   Faal, T. *et al.* Induction of Mesoderm and Neural Crest-Derived Pericytes from Human
Pluripotent Stem Cells to Study Blood-Brain Barrier Interactions. *Stem Cell Reports* **12**, 451-460
(2019). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.01.005
55   Stebbins, M. J. *et al.* Human pluripotent stem cell-derived brain pericyte-like cells induce blood-
brain barrier properties. *Sci Adv* **5**, eaau7375 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1126/sciadv.aau7375
56   Tcw, J. *et al.* An Efficient Platform for Astrocyte Differentiation from Human Induced
Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Stem Cell Reports* **9**, 600-614 (2017).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.06.018
57   Ehlers, H. *et al.* Vascular inflammation on a chip: A scalable platform for trans-endothelial
electrical resistance and immune cell migration. *Front Immunol* **14**, 1118624 (2023).
https://doi.org:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1118624
58   Wevers, N. R. *et al.* A perfused human blood-brain barrier on-a-chip for high-throughput
assessment of barrier function and antibody transport. *Fluids Barriers CNS* **15**, 23 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1186/s12987-018-0108-3
59   Patsch, C. *et al.* Generation of vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells from human
pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**, 994-1003 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1038/ncb3205
60   Lim, R. G. *et al.* Huntington's Disease iPSC-Derived Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells Reveal
WNT-Mediated Angiogenic and Blood-Brain Barrier Deficits. *Cell Rep* **19**, 1365-1377 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.021
61   Blanchard, J. W. *et al.* Reconstruction of the human blood-brain barrier in vitro reveals a
pathogenic mechanism of APOE4 in pericytes. *Nat Med* **26**, 952-963 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41591-020-0886-4
62   Stanton, A. E. *et al.* Engineered 3D Immuno-Glial-Neurovascular Human miBrain Model. *bioRxiv*,
2023.2008.2015.553453 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.08.15.553453
63   Jamieson, J. J., Linville, R. M., Ding, Y. Y., Gerecht, S. & Searson, P. C. Role of iPSC-derived
pericytes on barrier function of iPSC-derived brain microvascular endothelial cells in 2D and 3D.
Fluids and Barriers of the CNS **16**, 15 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1186/s12987-019-0136-7
64   Linville, R. M. *et al.* Long-Term Cryopreservation Preserves Blood-Brain Barrier Phenotype of
iPSC-Derived Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells and Three-Dimensional Microvessels. *Mol*
Pharm **17**, 3425-3434 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.0c00484

65 Roudnicky, F. *et al.* Identification of a combination of transcription factors that synergistically
increases endothelial cell barrier resistance. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 3886 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41598-020-60688-x
66 Lian, X. *et al.* Efficient differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells to endothelial progenitors
via small-molecule activation of WNT signaling. *Stem Cell Reports* **3**, 804-816 (2014).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.09.005
67 Porkolab, G. *et al.* Synergistic induction of blood-brain barrier properties. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A **121**, e2316006121 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2316006121
68 Parodi-Rullán, R., Ghiso, J., Cabrera, E., Rostagno, A. & Fossati, S. Alzheimer's amyloid β
heterogeneous species differentially affect brain endothelial cell viability, blood-brain barrier
integrity, and angiogenesis. *Aging Cell* **19**, e13258 (2020).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13258
69 Moir, R. D., Lathe, R. & Tanzi, R. E. The antimicrobial protection hypothesis of Alzheimer's
disease. *Alzheimers Dement* **14**, 1602-1614 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.3040
70 Yu, J. *et al.* Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science* **318**,
1917-1920 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1151526
71 Tchieu, J. *et al.* NFIA is a gliogenic switch enabling rapid derivation of functional human
astrocytes from pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 267-275 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-019-0035-0
72 Maherali, N. *et al.* A high-efficiency system for the generation and study of human induced
pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **3**, 340-345 (2008).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.stem.2008.08.003
73 Reya, T. *et al.* A role for Wnt signalling in self-renewal of haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature* **423**,
409-414 (2003). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature01593
74 Song, S. *et al.* Efficient Derivation of Excitatory and Inhibitory Neurons from Human Pluripotent
Stem Cells Stably Expressing Direct Reprogramming Factors. *Curr Protoc* **1**, e141 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1002/cpz1.141
75 Fu, B. M., Zhao, Z. & Zhu, D. Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) Permeability and Transport
Measurement In Vitro and In Vivo. *Methods Mol Biol* **2367**, 105-122 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1007/7651_2020_308
76 Suarez-Arnedo, A. *et al.* An image J plugin for the high throughput image analysis of in vitro
scratch wound healing assays. *PLoS One* **15**, e0232565 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pone.0232565
77 Bankhead, P. *et al.* QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. *Scientific*
Reports **7**, 16878 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41598-017-17204-5
78 Stine, W. B., Jr., Dahlgren, K. N., Krafft, G. A. & LaDu, M. J. In vitro characterization of conditions
for amyloid-beta peptide oligomerization and fibrillogenesis. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 11612-11622
(2003). https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M210207200
79 Kim, Y. A. *et al.* RNA methyltransferase NSun2 deficiency promotes neurodegeneration through
epitranscriptomic regulation of tau phosphorylation. *Acta Neuropathol* **145**, 29-48 (2023).
https://doi.org:10.1007/s00401-022-02511-7
877
878
879

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. rBMECs generated through FOXF2/ZIC3 transcriptional reprogramming express key

EC markers and respond to angiogenic stimuli. A) Schematic diagram for the generation of BBB

primed ECs (bpECs) and reprogrammed BMECs (rBMECs) from human induced pluripotent stem cells

(hiPSCs). **B)** Representative flow cytometry plots showing the fraction of CD31$^+$/VE-CADHERIN$^+$ ECs

isolated after 10 days of the initial differentiation (sort A; bpECs) and 14 days after FOXF2/ZIC3

transduction (sort B; rBMECs). **C)** Dotted bar graph of the fraction of CD31$^+$/VE-CADHERIN$^+$ bpECs

and rBMECs at 14 days post FOXF2/ZIC3 transduction; n = 5, unpaired t-test, **p<0.01. **D-F)**

Representative immunofluorescence staining of HBMECs, bpECs and rBMECs for EC (CD31, VE-

CADHERIN) and TJ (OCCLUDIN, CLAUDIN-5, ZO-1) proteins. DAPI (blue in merged images) labels

the nuclei. Scale bar = 25 μm. **G-H)** Representative western blots and quantification for CLAUDIN-5 and

OCCLUDIN expression. Mean ± SD, n = 9 from three experiments, unpaired t-test, **p<0.1, ***p<0.001.

I) Proliferative index quantification after cell migration assay; n = 8-9 from three experiments, one-way

ANOVA, ****p<0.0001. **J)** Quantification of the normalized area (pixels) of the wound region in the

scratch assay; repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni correction; n = 9 from three experiments,

*p<0.05, **p<0.1, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. **K)** Quantification of angiogenic potential; n = 9 from

three experiments, one-way ANOVA, ns p>0.05, ***p<0.001. (See also **Figure S1**).

Figure 2. The rBMEC transcriptome shows higher expression of BBB molecular identity genes

compared to primary HBMECs. A) PCA plot illustrating endothelial vs. epithelial identity of 155

sequenced RNA samples from several published studies and this study. **B)** PCA plot illustrating peripheral

vs. brain EC identity of 58 differentiated and primary EC samples from published studies and this study.

C) Volcano plot highlighting significantly up- and downregulated BBB-specific genes in rBMECs

compared to HBMECs. **D)** Upregulated GO analysis pathways in rBMECs compared to HBMECs. **E-**

H) Heatmap of BBB-specific genes (TJ proteins, Transporters, Bulk and Receptor-Mediated Transcytosis,

Extracellular Matrix, and CNS EC genes) between rBMEC and HBMECs. The upregulated genes in

rBMECs are shown in red and the upregulated genes in HBMECs are shown in blue.

Figure 3. rBMECs have strong functional BBB properties and respond to inflammatory stimuli. A-

B) Representative ECIS TEER recording and dotted bar graph of the area under the curve (AUC)

quantification for IMR90 hiPSC-derived bpECs, rBMECs, and primary HBMECs. The red box indicates

the period used for the AUC quantification. Mean ± SD, n = 6-8 from 3-4 experiments, one-way ANOVA,

p<0.01. **C-D) Dotted bar graphs of the transwell permeability across EC monolayers to sodium

fluorescein (NaF) and 3kDa dextran. Mean ± SD, n = 9 from three experiments, ANOVA with Kruskal-

Wallis Test, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. **E-F)** Representative histograms and quantification of BSA-

AF594 uptake in HBMECs, bpECs and rBMECs. Mean ± SD, n = 9 from three experiments, one-way

ANOVA, ****p<0.0001. **G-I)** Representative histograms of Rhodamine123 MFI and PGP efflux in

HBMECs, bpECs and rBMECs. n = 9 from 3 independent experiments, one-way ANOVA, **p<0.01. **J-**

K) Representative ECIS TEER and dotted bar graph of the AUC quantification for rBMECs during 72 hr

treatment with F12 vehicle or 1 uM oAβ42 (red box), n = 9-10 from three experiments, unpaired t-test, ns

p>0.05. **L)** RT-qPCR for several inflammatory genes in rBMECs after 6 hours of 500nM oAβ42

treatment; n = 8-9 from three experiments, unpaired t-test, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. **M)**

VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 surface expression quantification after 6 hours 500nM oAβ42; n = 6 from two

experiments, unpaired t-test, **<0.01, ****<0.0001. **N-O)** Representative western blots and

quantification for VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 after 6 hours of 500nM oAβ42; n = 6 from two experiments,

unpaired t-test, ***<0.001.

Figure 4: rBMECs tubules have improved barrier properties when co-cultured with iPSC-derived

astrocytes and pericytes in a 3D microfluidic NVU system. A) Schematic diagram for generating the

3D NVU/BBB microfluidic system. **B)** Brightfield images of EC tubules formed by HBMECs and

rBMECs with (right) and without (left) primary or iPSC-derived astrocytes and pericytes, respectively.

C) Representative IF staining of rBMEC tubules for CD31 and VE-CADHERIN (top) or ZO-1 and

CLAUDIN-5 (bottom). Scale bar = 50 μm **D)** 3D image of IF staining within the 3D NVU microfluidics

system showing iPCs (PDGFRβ) and iACs (S100β) migrating from the "brain" to the "blood" channel

where the rBMECs tubules are located. **E)** Representative triculture staining with rBMECs (ZO-1), iACs

(S100β), and iPCs (PDGFRβ) in the blood channel; cross sectional view shows tubule with a lumen. **F)**

Representative image showing diffusion of fluorescent tracers through leaky and tight tubules. **G-H)** Box

plots showing the normalized intensity of 70 kDa dextran and biocytin (890 Da) tracers in the middle

channel indicative of permeability; n = 36-41 NVU chips from three experiments. two-way ANOVA,

p<0.01, ****p<0.0001. **I) Dotted bar graph of the TEER measurement in the 3D NVU/BBB

microphysiological system using the OrganoTEER for EC tubules alone or together with PCs/ACs; n =

30-48 chips over three experiments, one-way ANOVA, ****p<0.0001, *p<0.05.

A Day -1 · Day 0 · Day 2 · Day 10

Independent culture of primary or iPSC-derived ECs, PCs, and ACs → Generation of ECM in center channel using Col I and Col IV (4:1 ratio) → ECs seeded in right channel against ECM → Formation of endothelial tubule → ACs and PCs seeded in the left channel → ACs and PCs migrate to contact endothelial tubule → Assays to assess barrier integrity, TEER, or perform IF staining

B
HBMECs only · HBMECs + AC/PC
rBMECs only · rBMECs + iAC/iPC

C
rBMECs
CD31 · VE-CADHERIN · Merge
rBMECs
ZO-1 · CLAUDIN-5 · Merge

D PDGFRβ S100β DAPI
brain channel · blood channel · ECM channel
z · x · y

E
rBMECs
ZO-1 · S100β · Cross-Section
PDGFRβ · Merge

F Leaky barrier · Tight barrier

G Permeability to 70kDa dextran
Normalized Intensity vs Time (min)
HBMECs · HBMECs + A/P · rBMECs · rBMECs + iAC/iPC
**** ns; **** ns

H Permeability to Biocytin
Normalized Intensity vs Time (min)
**** **; **** ns; **** **

I TEER
R_{TEER} ($\Omega*cm^2$)
HBMECs · HBMECs + AC/PC · rBMECs · rBMECs + iAC/iPC
**** *