Microbial two front attack: elaborate root infections by *Colletotrichum graminicola* oval conidia
during maize anthracnose

Rudolph A. Y.[1], Schunke C.[1],Sasse C.[2], Antelo L.[3], Gerke J.[2], Braus G.[2], Pöggeler S.[1],
Nordzieke D.E.[1,*]

[1]University of Göttingen, GZMB, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Genetics of Eukaryotic
Microorganisms, Grisebachstr. 8, 37077 Göttingen, Germany; dnordzi@gwdg.de

[2]University of Göttingen, GZMB, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Microbiology and
Genetics, Grisebachstr. 8, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

[3]Johannes Gutenberg-University of Mainz, Institute of Molecular Physiology, Microbiology and
Biotechnology, Hanns-Dieter-Hüsch-Weg 17, D-55128 Mainz, Germany

Current address Carolin Schunke:

Ruprecht Karls Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg University Biochemistry Center (BZH), Im
Neuenheimer Feld 328; 69120 Heidelberg, Baden-Württemberg, Germany

Current address Jennifer Gerke:

Leibniz Universität Hannover, Institute for Organic Chemistry, Biomolekulares
Wirkstoffzentrum, Schneiderberg 38, 30167 Hannover, Germany

*Correspondence: dnordzi@gwdg.de

Abstract

Most plant pathogenic microorganisms have evolved to infect distinct host tissues. The maize
anthracnose fungus, *Colletotrichum graminicola*, is known for its ability to invade above-ground
tissues with asexual falcate conidia. Besides, *C. graminicola* generates a second asexual
spore type, oval conidia. We analyzed in this study specific adaptations making oval or falcate
conidia prone for maize root infection, providing evidence that only oval conidia show
characteristics of a root pathogen. This includes the ability to germinate in soil and to grow
chemotropically toward root-secreted molecules. HPLC/MS analyses combined with biological
assays revealed that diterpenoids like dihydrotanshinone I are most likely responsible for the
chemical attraction of *C. graminicola*. A genetics approach identified the a-pheromone receptor
CgSte3 as being responsible for the perception of diterpenoids by the fungal pathogen. In
conclusion, the understanding of the maize anthracnose disease has to be expanded to
include an elaborated root infection cycle by oval conidia.

Introduction

The health of crop plants and fruits is affected by different organism groups, ranging from
viruses, microorganisms (bacteria, oomycetes, fungi) to complex eukaryotes (nematodes,
parasitic plants)[1]. Among those, fungi stand out as pathogens of the main crops for food
production, reducing the yield and quality of agricultural production by the destruction of plants

(preharvest) and their fruits (postharvest)[2,3]. Timely precise and sustainable crop protection
strategies are required, especially since the burden of fungal plant diseases are predicted to
shift due to climate change[4,5].

Fungal plant pathogens are specialized on entering their host plant via distinct tissues (roots,
shoots, stems, stalks, leaves) or at distinct developmental stages (flower, fruit). Depending on
the infected tissue, adapted signal sensing machineries and infection strategies are required.
Foliar pathogens sense the plant surface via its physical and chemical properties, inducing the
germination and pathogenicity program of the fungus[6]. In contrast, fungal root pathogens do
start their infection process before being in direct contact with the host. Sensing of nearby
(host-) plants by root exudates initiate germination of resting structures and directed growth
towards plant-secreted signals (chemotropism)[7-10]. The known chemical signals for this
directed growth response include sugars, amino acids, organic acids, fatty acids and plant-
derived enzymes like peroxidases. These molecules guide pathogens like *Fusarium*
oxysporum, *Fusarium graminearum* and *Verticillium dahliae* to the roots of their hosts[11-17],
where they start root surface colonization and host invasion[18,19]. Additionally, some fungi like
Magnaporthe oryzae predominantly known as leaf pathogens are able to infect root tissues, at
least under laboratory conditions, by direct treatment of roots by conidia suspensions or
mycelial plugs[20,21].

The hemibiotrophic maize pathogen *Colletotrichum graminicola* is known mainly for its ability
to infect above ground tissues like leaves and stems[22]. Current analyses estimate that only
due to the anthracnose stalk rot (ASR), 10-20% of the maize harvest is lost worldwide
annually[23,24]. Like other relevant plant pathogens, *C. graminicola* generates two different types
of asexual spores, namely oval and falcate conidia. In contrast to micro- and macroconidia in
other fungal pathogens, both spore types are metabolically active and generated via different
developmental processes in distinct plant tissues[25-27]. Falcate conidia develop in acervuli,
asexual fruiting bodies, in a controlled process on infected leaves. The hallmark of acervuli are
setae, dark spike-like hyphae, surrounded by huge masses of falcate spores. Oval conidia,
however, pinch off from hyphae in the plant's vascular system and are stored in parenchyma
cells of the plant[24,28]. Those specifications influence the morphological and physiological
properties of both spore types. Falcate conidia have only one nucleus and are dormant by the
generation of mycosporines, self-inhibitors of germination. In contrast, the formation process
of oval conidia is rather uncontrolled and resembles hyphal branch formation[27,29]. Therefore,
oval conidia contain a variable number of nuclei and secondary metabolites promoting
dormancy are absent. Those differences cumulate in distinct leaf infection strategies for both
conidia types as we reported previously[29,30]. Together, these findings imply so far unexplored
but specific roles of both spore types in the maize anthracnose cycle.

This study provides the first comparative study between the root infection potentials of both
C. graminicola spore types. As main findings, our analyses revealed that only oval conidia
show the distinct characteristics of a fungal root pathogen. Only oval conidia are adapted to
germinate and propagate in soil and grow chemotropically towards maize root exudates. Thus,
they are able to cause disease symptoms under natural infection conditions. We found strong
indications that maize root-secreted diterpenoids act as attractants for the chemotropic growth
by a combination of chemical analysis and biological activity assays. The *C. graminicola* a-
mating type pheromone receptor Ste3 was identified as diterpenoid sensor of this elaborate
host detection system. Our results support that under natural field conditions oval conidia are
better adapted to root infection. As the major critical difference between both spore types, the
ability to germinate in soil was identified. In summary, we describe for the first time how the

generation of a second spore type allows a fungal plant pathogen to efficiently infect a host by
several plant tissues.

Results

Root dipping in *C. graminicola* oval conidia induce a symptomless maize root infection and
disease spreading into maize stems

C. graminicola is the causal agent of maize anthracnose and generates two types of asexual
spores. Falcate conidia are more efficiently causing anthracnose leaf blight (ALB)[29] and had
been described earlier to infect roots[28]. In this study, the general abilities of both spore types
to colonize the root surface and to spread within the host was compared. A *C. graminicola*
wildtype strain expressing a tdTomato-labelled histone marker, CgM2::RH2B[29], enabling the
easy detection of the growing fungus on the surface of the root, was analyzed. CgM2::RH2B
oval or falcate conidia suspensions were used as inoculum for the infection of 5 d old maize
roots prior to incubation under humid conditions. The microscopic evaluation shows production
of several fungal structures as previously observed for root infection with falcate conidia[28]. At
4 d post infection, production of acervuli, the asexual fruiting bodies, was observed for both
conidia types (Figure 1). Additionally, development of typical root colonization structures like
long, fast growing runner hyphae, hyphopodia for root penetration and dark-pigmented resting
structures (microsclerotia) were observed upon oval and falcate conidia colonialization (Figure
S1).

5 d old plants dipped in conidial suspensions were planted out in vermiculite to analyze
symptom development on the growing plant. After 21 d of growth under humid conditions,
length and biomass of above ground tissue was measured. As depicted in Figure S 2, no
significant differences to mock inoculation were observed. A probable symptomless systemic
infection was verified by taking stem samples as basis to detect fungal biomass in the
respective plants in a real-time PCR approach. Specific detection of *C. graminicola* was
ensured by the design of oligonucleotides binding in the species-specific region of ITS1 and
ITS2 (Figure S3 and S4). In 50% of plants infected with oval conidia fungal biomass was
detected in stem material, whereas *C. graminicola* gDNA was absent in plants treated with
falcate conidia (Figure 1 C). This supports a more effective *in planta* growth outgoing of oval
conidia infection.

Fig 1: **Symptom development after dipping root infection of *Z. mays* with *C. graminicola*.** (a-c)
Roots of 5 d old plants were dipped in oval (oc) or falcate (fc) conidial suspensions of CgM2::RH2B
allowing for the detection of red-fluorescent nuclei during microscopic visualization. (a) *C. graminicola*
development on roots 4 d after root dipping, AC = acervulus (blue arrow), S = setae (red asterisk), scale
bar = 100 µm. (b-c) Symptom development 21 d after root dipping in conidial suspensions. Growth in
vermiculite. (b) Representative depiction of plant development, scale bar = 10 cm. (c) Quantification of
plants with spreading of *C. graminicola* in stem tissue by real-time PCR.

Maize root exudate and diterpenoids elicit a strong chemotropic response by germlings derived
from oval conidia

Directed growth to plant-secreted signals was described for several root-infecting pathogenic
fungi[15,16,31]. The amount of germlings derived from oval and falcate conidia, which are able to
redirect growth towards maize root-secreted signals, was quantified. A 3D printed device was
applied for quantification, which was recently developed in our laboratory[32]. Oval conidia are
well germinated after 6 h of incubation. They show typical localization of the polarity marker
CgArp1-TagRFP-T[33] to the subapical region of growing hyphae. The corresponding hyphal tips
point mainly to the gradient of maize root exudate (MRE), indicating active hyphal growth of
the germlings to the root-secreted signals (Figure 2 a, b). In contrast, we were unable to detect
any germinated falcate conidia, indicating that those spores are unable to germinate and
redirect growth under the experimental conditions (Figure 2 a).

Fig 2: **Chemotropic growth of *C. graminicola* conidia.** (a) oval and falcate conidia of *C. graminicola* expressing red-labeled Arp1 (CgM2::Arp1-TagRFP-T, [33]) after 6 h of incubation facing a gradient of maize root exudate (MRE). Germination is indicated by a white asterisk, scale bar = 20 µm. (b) Chemotropic index of CgM2 oval conidia facing gradients of different chemoattractants including MRE and boiled MRE. Chloroform (C) and aqueous (A) phases gained from lipid extraction (chloroform:methanol) of MRE. Error bars represent SD calculated from n ≥ 4 experiments, * p < 0.05. (c) HPLC/MS analyses of non-attracting (fractions 1-4) and attracting (fractions 5-8) MRE fractions. Extracted Ion Chromatograms (EICs) for the identified metabolites 1 und 2/3. m/z 1 was detected in positive mode. ID (number of the compound in this study). The samples were extracted with ethyl acetate (1:1) or chloroform:methanol (1.8:2.2), n ≥ 2. Fractions: 1 = demineralized water; 2 = demineralized water used as basis for a chloroform:methanol extraction, chloroform phase; 3 = demineralized water used as basis for a chloroform:methanol extraction, aqueous phase; 4= MRE used as basis for chlorform:methanol extraction, aqueous phase; 5 = MRE after ethylacetate extraction; 6 = MRE boiled after ethyl acetate extraction; 7 = MRE used as basis for chloroform:methanol extraction, chloroform phase; 8 = MRE obtained from *C. graminicola* root-infected plants. (d) Chemotropic growth of *C. graminicola* oval conidia towards different chemoattractants. Error bars represent SD calculated from n ≥ 4 experiments, * p < 0.05, calculated with two-tailed *t*-test (e) Chemical structure of the tricyclic diterpenoid dihydrotanshinone I (DHT) and phytane, a linear diterpenoid. Chemical structures drawn with ACD/ChemSketch.

Next, we sought to identify the attracting molecule incorporated in MRE. Secreted peroxidases
from the host were identified as chemoattractant signals in plant interaction studies with other
phytopathogenic species[15-17]. Since the enzymatic activity of those enzymes are required for
fungal attraction, boiling of tomato root exudate abolishes its attracting potential[15,31]. In
contrast, boiling of MRE does not diminish the chemotropic growth index of *C. graminicola*
germlings (Figure 2 b), indicating that peroxidases are not the attractant secreted from maize
roots. Chloroform / methanol extractions were performed to explore further chemical properties
of the active MRE, resulting in two phases with different chemical properties. Intriguingly, the
chloroform phase, incorporating unipolar compounds such as lipids or lipid-associated
molecules, induced a strong chemotropic response after solvent evaporation and
resuspension in water. No directed growth was induced by the water phase typically containing
nucleic acids (Figure 2 b). Attracting (MRE, boiled MRE, MRE chloroform phase of lipid
extraction, MRE obtained from *C. graminicola* infected plants) and not attracting
(demineralized water and its chloroform and aqueous phase of lipid extraction, MRE aqueous
phase of lipid extraction) samples were compared using HPLC / MS analyses. Exudates
generated from previously root-infected plants were also included, to test whether previous
biotic stress by *C. graminicola* root infection might change the chemical composition of the
MRE. Three molecules in the active fractions were consistently detected in three independent
experiments, at the same time absent from the negative controls (Figure 2 c, Figure S5).
Interestingly, the double peak eluting at approximately 18 min was absent in the third replicate,
except for the MRE sample from *C. graminicola* root infected plants. This indicates a flexibility
of MRE composition dependent on the defense status of the plant. Comparing UV and MS2
spectra of the chemical compound released at 12 min (mass: 305,2476) with known
diterpenoids from maize, we found the colorless 3β,15,16-trihydroxydolabrene
(trihydroxydolabrene, THD) having a very similar MS2 spectrum (Figure S6)[34]. After
fractionation of this single peak, we verified its attracting potential in a chemotropic assay
(Figure 2 d). We conclude it as very likely that the maize root-derived diterpenoid THD is
attracting *C. graminicola* oval conidia to its host. In order to test non-maize diterpenoids for
their attracting potential, we chose dihydrotanshinone I (DHT, originated from *Salvia* species),
a cyclic diterpenoid like THD, and phytane, a linear diterpenoid. As depicted in Figure 2 d-e,
oval conidia of *C. graminicola* are attraced by DHT. In contrast, the linear diterpenoid phytane
did not induce a re-direction of *C. graminicola* germlings.

The CgSte3 a-pheromone receptor is responsible for diterpenoid sensing in *C. graminicola*

In different *Fusarium* species, the pheromone receptors Ste2 (α-pheromone receptor) and
Ste3 (a-pheromone receptor) activate the downstream Cell Wall Integrity (CWI) MAPK
cascade, resulting in the re-direction of growth towards plant-derived peroxidases[15,16,35]. In
contrast to other filamentous fungi, *C. graminicola* does not encode a *ste2* gene and the
corresponding α-pheromone is likewise missing in the genome[36]. Therefore, a *Cgste3* deletion
mutant was generated and characterized to test whether homologous pathway components
are responsible for diterpenoid sensing by *C. graminicola* (Figure S7 and S8). Intriguingly,
germlings derived from ΔCgste3 oval conidia are unable to re-direct their growth to MRE and
DHT (Figure 3 a), providing evidence that this receptor is required for the sensing of diterpenoid
plant signals by *C. graminicola*.

Fig 3: **Perception of maize diterpenoids by a conserved fungal signaling pathway.** (a) Chemotropic index of CgM2, Cgste3, and Cgste3::Cgste3 oval conidia facing gradients of different chemoattractants including MRE and dihydrotanshinone I (DHT). Statistical significance was calculated with CgM2 as reference. (b-c) Symptom development of plants co-incubated with conidia in vermiculite. (b) Representative depiction of plant development, scale bar = 10 cm. (c) Quantification of length and biomass of above-ground parts. Error bars represent SD calculated from n ≥ 20 experiments, ns = not significant. Statistical significance was calculated with two-tailed *t*-tests (d) Quantification of plants with spreading of *C. graminicola* in stem tissue with real-time PCR.

As many studies reported, spores of plant pathogenic fungi are adapted to germinate in the presence of an adequate host and to direct their growth towards its roots[8,15,17,37,38]. Maize seeds were disseminated in oval conidia-enriched soil of the *C. graminicola* wildtype strain CgM2 to mimic this natural infection situation under laboratory conditions (Figure 3). After three weeks of co-incubation, length and biomass of green plant parts were examined (Figure 3 b-c). In contrast to the results obtained by root-dipping, oval conidia co-incubated maize plants are significantly stunted and reduced in biomass compared to mock treatment and on some leaves typical anthracnose symptoms occurred (Figure S9). Experiments outgoing from spore-enriched soil were also conducted with the mutant strain of ΔCgste3 (Figure 3 c-d). However, no difference between ΔCgste3 infections to wildtype was observed. To compare *in planta* growth between the wildtype and mutant strain, stem samples were used to detect of fungal biomass in a real-time PCR approach as described above. Whereas fungal DNA was present in 50% of plants co-incubated with oval conidia of the wildtype, oval conidia of the *Cgste3* deletion mutant did not spread into stem tissue (Figure 3 d).

Successful germination of *C. graminicola* conidia in soil determines the ability to infect maize roots

Besides the ability to re-direct growth to MRE, one of the most prominent differences between oval and falcate conidia are the specific germination patterns. Germination of falcate conidia

is regulated by a quorum sensing mechanism based on the secretion of mycosporines. In
contrast, oval conidia do not secrete mycosporine-derivatives and thus germinate rapidly even
when nutrients are absent[29]. These spore-type specific adaptations suggested that there might
be divergent germination patterns in vermiculite or other plant substrates, which influence the
abilities of both spore types to infect the host plant's roots.

The germination ability of oval and falcate conidia in soil of different compositions was analyzed
to address this hypothesis (Figure 4 a, b). After incubation, the spore-contaminated soil was
washed with a defined amount of water. The number of not germinated spores washed out
was determined, assuming that germinating conidia would stick to the pieces of substrate.
Independent of the substrate used, the fraction of non-germinated oval conidia dropped down
already after 6 h of incubation (vermiculite: 75% reduction; vermiculite soil mix: 43.8%
reduction). The number of oval conidia increased within the first two days of inoculation in both
substrates. Although this effect was more pronounced in the vermiculite soil mix, a probable
formation of new spores in the watered substrates is indicated. The main fractions of conidia
were germinated in both spore samples after 10 d of incubation (vermiculite: 62% reduction;
vermiculite soil mix: 92.8% reduction). In contrast, for falcate conidia the amount of not
germinated spores detected remained stable, also after prolonged incubation for 10 d.

It was shown for several root-interacting fungi that root exudate could induce germination[8,37,38].
We thus tested whether MRE is able to induce germination of falcate conidia on axenic culture
or in soil. For the first experiments, defined drops of falcate conidia were incubated on water
agar with or without the addition of MRE. As depicted in Figure 4 c, MRE was unable to induce
germination in falcate conidia. We further analyzed the effect of living maize plants on the
germination ability. Consistently to the previous results, only non-germinated falcate conidia
were detectable after 10 d of plant-co-incubation (Figure 4 d). Furthermore, many highly
vacuolated and dead falcate conidia were detected, indicating that this spore type is unable to
survive for a longer time in soil (Figure S10).

Fig 4: **Germination of *C. graminicola* conidia.** (a, b) Germination of oval (oc) (a) and falcate (fc) (b)
conidia in vermiculite and a mixture of soil and vermiculite (4+1). Quantification of ungerminated conidia
after incubation of 0 h, 6 h, 24 h, 48 h, 10 d in a Neubauer counting chamber. (c) Germination of falcate
conidia (fc) on water agar after 6 h and 17 h with and without the supplement of MRE. Two-tailed t-tests
were performed. * $p < 0.05$, n.s = not significant. (d) Falcate conidia (black arrows) in soil after a 10 d
co-incubation with maize roots in vermiculite, ng = not germinated, scale bar = 50 µm (e) Model of the
maize infection cycle. In the monocyclic part, oc of *C. graminicola* germinate in soil and grow
chemotropically towards plant-secreted molecules. The fungus penetrates roots and systemically infects
the plant leading to fc production in acervuli on leaves. Spreading of fc to nearby plants starts the
polycyclic disease cycle. Leaf infection starts with inoculum and adhesion of spores, followed by
development of the penetration structures.

Discussion

The phytopathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum graminicola* produces two distinct asexual spore
types. The main finding of this study is that only oval conidia are adapted for the infection of
maize roots, whereas the falcate asexual spores invade above ground tissues. This has two
major reasons: only the oval conidia have the ability for fast germination in soil and they can
efficiently sense maize root exudates, which provide growth orientation towards the host.

These findings shed new light to the more complex overall maize anthracnose cycle and
provide important implications for future crop protection strategies (Figure 4 e).

Exudates from plant roots fulfill several important functions for plant health like the adaptation
of soil pH to increase nutrient intake, chelation of toxic compounds, attraction of beneficial
microorganisms and the release of antimicrobial substances for the defense against
pathogens[10,39]. During attack, plants additionally adapt the composition of root exudates by the
de novo biosynthesis of compounds with antimicrobial functions[40]. Prominent examples of
such antimicrobial compounds are class III peroxidases secreted from plant roots, taking part
in reactive oxygen species defense pathways[41-43]. However, several pathogenic fungi are able
to sense those peroxidases and – instead of harming or chasing away – these enzymes are
guiding the pathogens towards nearby (host) plants by an elaborated amplification and sensing
system involving fungal NADPH oxidase and pheromone receptors[15-17,31]. In this present study,
we describe for the first time the ability of *C. graminicola* oval conidia to sense root exudates
of maize, provoking a robust chemotropic response. Chemical analysis and fractionation
experiments revealed that the active substances secreted from maize roots are heat-stable
and soluble in chloroform (Figure 2). Comparisons of the masses gained from HPLC/MS
analysis with known secondary metabolites showed a high similarity with diterpenoids. UV and
MS2 spectra of one compound has a good overlap with 3β,15,16-trihydroxydolabrene
(trihydroxydolabrene, THD). This colorless diterpenoid is secreted from maize roots and has
antimicrobial functions[34]. Diterpenoids are described to take part in terpenoid-based defenses
against various maize pathogenic species, including the fungi *Rhizopus microsporus*, *Ustilago*
maydis, or *F. graminearum* but also herbivorous and insect species[44]. Similar to findings
regarding class III peroxidases, the biosynthesis of several deterpenoids is inducible by plant
pathogens as well as defense-related phytohormons jasmonic acid and ethylene[45-48]. Our
results show that diterpenoid and MRE perception in *C. graminicola* is routed by the a-
pheromone receptor Ste3, indicating a certain level of conservation in the sensing of host-
secreted molecules despite the different chemical properties of the attractants[15-17]. However,
and in contrast to *Fusarium* and *Verticillum* species, the absence of the α-pheromone receptor
Ste2[36] seems not to hamper the perception of maize root secreted signals, indicating
differences in the perception process despite their similarities. Together, these results indicate
that the ability to use plant defense molecules for host detection occurred several times during
evolution and is adaptable to different chemical compounds dependent on the fungal-plant
pathosystem involved.

An important question is the relevance of chemotropic sensing by *C. graminicola* oval conidia
and derived germlings for the adaptation of this spore type for maize root infection. From our
experimental data, we conclude that chemotropic sensing of maize root exudates is not
essential for the *C. graminicola* root infection process *per se*, but might have a variable impact
on the overall process depending on the spore concentration in the proximity of the young
maize plant. In a high inoculum scenario, it might be sufficient to be exposed to *C. graminicola*
germinated oval conidia, which accidently find the roots of nearby maize plants. Consequently,
the infection process, although delayed, might take place, mirrored by a retarded spreading of
C. graminicola inside the host. In field conditions however, low spore inocula of one species
are probable, bestowing a high relevance for the chemotropic guidance of the pathogen to its
host. This study underlines the high relevance of adequate germination for plant infection.
Falcate but not oval conidia generate mycosporines, self-inhibitors of germination, generated
at high spore densities, low availability of monosaccharides like glucose and in absence of a
living plant surface[29,49]. Although sugars are present as poly- and monosaccharides in the

soil[50], our results demonstrate that the resulting concentrations are not sufficient to inhibit
mycosporine generation and to break the dormancy of falcate conidia. Despite the
identification of mycosporines as germination regulator for *C. graminicola* in the 1990s[49], the
biosynthesis and its regulation is unclear until today, demanding for future studies unveiling
this critical part of the maize anthracnose fungus.

Taken together, our results indicate that oval and falcate conidia are adapted for distinct
phases of the overall maize anthracnose cycle. In this cycle, oval conidia serve as primary
inocula starting a root infection process enabled by fast germination in soil and chemotropic
sensing of the host roots. Outgoing from primary root infection, the maize plant gets infected
systemically and acervuli are developed on leaves giving birth to falcate conidia. Those are
dispersed by wind and rain to other maize plants, spreading the disease in the field via
continuous leaf infections. To our knowledge, such a specialization of two different asexual
spore types for host infection was not reported for any other plant pathogenic fungus. However,
it could be an unexplored adaptation strategy in many species and of outmost relevance for
successful crop protection.

Online Methods

Strains, growth conditions, and collection of spores

The sequenced *C. graminicola* (Ces.) G.W. Wilson (teleomorph *Glomerella graminicola* D. J.
Politis[51]) wildtype strain M2 (also referred to as M1.001) was used[52]. Falcate conidia production
of *C. graminicola* was induced by growth on oat meal agar (OMA; 1L contains 50 g ground oat
flakes (Alnatura, Bioland)) and the exposition to red (660-665 nm) and blue (450-455 nm) light
in a ratio 3:1 at 23°C[29]. After 14-21 d collection of falcate conidia with 0.02% Tween 20 solution.
For the generation of oval conidia, liquid complex medium containing sucrose (CMS, 1%
glucose, 0.1% yeast extract, 0.1% peptone, 0.5 M sucrose, 5.3 mM $Ca(NO_3)_2$) 0.073 mM
KH_2PO_4, 1.04 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.46 mM NaCl) was inoculated with 50 µl falcate spore stock
solution or mycelial plugs. After germination induction at 23°C for 2-3 d (80 rpm), further
incubation took place for 5-8 d without agitation in darkness. Oval conidia are collected by
filtration through Miracloth (Merck-Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) followed by centrifugation
and a single washing step with demineralized water.

Generation of plasmids and *C. graminicola* strains

A ΔCgste3 deletion mutant and the complementation strain ΔCgste3::Cgste3 were generated
to analyze whether the a-pheromone receptor CgSte3 is involved in the sensing of maize root
exudates (MRE). Assembly of the corresponding plasmids was conducted using the NEBuilder
HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (New England Biolabs) according to the instruction manual.
All plasmids were verified using DNA hydrolysis and sequencing using appropriate enzymes
and primers. Oligonucleotides, strains and plasmids used are listed in supplementary tables
S1-S3.

As basis for homologous recombination in *C. graminicola*, the plasmid pCgste3_KO was
generated by the assembly of three PCR fragments into pJet1.2 (Thermo Scientific). The
fragments 5' *Cgste3* (Ste3_P_fw//Ste3_P_rv; 1,043 bp) and 3' *Cgste3* (Ste3_T_fw//Ste3_T_rv;
1031 bp) were amplified from *C. graminicola* genomic DNA. The *hph* cassette, mediating

resistance to hygromycine B, was generated using the plasmid pRS-hyg as template (hph-
f//hph-r; 1,417 bp)[53].

For ΔCgste3 complementation, the plasmid pCgste3_nat was constructed. The 5' and 3'
regions of *Cgste3* were amplified together with the *Cgste3* gene in a PCR using genomic DNA
of *C. graminicola* as template (Ste3_c_fw//Ste3_c_rv; 3,215 bp). As backbone for the assembly
reaction served pJet_nat linearized with *Eco*RV[30], resulting in a resistance to nourseothricin-
dihydrogen sulphate in *C. graminicola* strains transformed with this plasmid.

Prior to transformations in *C. graminicola*, the plasmids pCgste3_KO and pCgste3_nat were
linearized using the enzymes *Nae*I and *Sca*I, respectively. Oval conidia of CgM2
(transformation of pCgste3_KO) or ΔCgste3 (transformation of pCgste3_nat) served as basis
for the generation of protoplasts as described previously[33]. After transformation, regenerating
protoplasts were selected on medium containing hygromycin B (500 µg/ ml, transformation of
pCgste3_KO) or nourseothricin-dihydrogen sulphate (70 µg/ ml, transformation of
pCgste3_nat). To obtain homokaryotic strains, single spore isolations were performed of
antibiotic-resistant and PCR-verified primary transformants[30].

Single spore isolates of ΔCgste3 were verified by Southern Blot analyses. Hydrolysis of
genomic DNA (gDNA) was performed with the enzyme *Nae*I (Thermo Scientific). For the
visualization of successful deletion of the *Cgste3* gene via homologous recombination, the 3'
region of *Cgste3* was amplified in a PCR reaction (Ste3_T_fw//Ste3_T_rv; 1,031 bp) and used
as specific probe in the following hybridization reaction (expected sizes: CgM2 2,196 bp,
ΔCgste3 7,896 bp, Figure S7). Re-integration of *Cgste3* into the ΔCgste3 deletion strain was
tested via PCR using the primer pair Ste3_fw//Ste3_rv (1,136 bp), verifying ΔCgste3::Cgste3
primary transformants and single spore isolates (Figure S8).

Root infection analyses

Two different methods were applied for all experiments, to study different aspects of
C. graminicola root infection of the *Zea mays* cultivar 'Mikado' (KWS SAAT SE, Einbeck,
Germany). Unless otherwise stated, incubation of plants was performed in a PK 520 WLED
plant chamber (Poly Klima Climatic Growth System, Freising, Germany) using a day/night
cycle of 12 h 26°C/12 h 18°C. The strains CgM2, CgM2::RH2B, expressing tdTomato labeled
histone 2B[29], and ΔCgste3 were used for root infection experiments.

Infection by a root-dipping technique

Z. mays roots were dipped in conidial suspensions when 5 d old as described previously by
Sukno et al. 2008 to examine the general ability of *C. graminicola* to infect roots[28]. Early (4 dpi)
or late (21 dpi) developed symptoms were examined microscopically. First, seeds of *Z. mays*
were surface sterilized in 10% sodiumhypochlorite for 10 min and incubated for 5 d in rectangle
petri dishes (82.9923.422, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) on wet blotting paper (BF2 580x
600mm, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Incubation took place in darkness using standard
plant growing conditions. The developed roots of 5 d old seedlings were soaked in solutions
of either oval or falcate conidia of CgM2::RH2B (c= 10^7 x ml^{-1}) for 1h at 100 rpm. As mock
control, roots were soaked in sterile demineralized water. For follow up microscopic
examinations, the *C. graminicola* coated plants were incubated on wet blotting paper for 4 d.
2 ml of demineralized water was added on the blotting paper after 2 d to prevent drought stress
induction. For analysis of symptom development after 21 d, seedlings were planted in 40 g
vermiculite (Vermiculite Palabora, grain size 2-3 mm, Isola Vermiculite GmbH, Sprockhövel,

Germany) after dipping. The pots were covered with disposal plastic bags and sealed
(Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). After 21 d of incubation, length and biomass of the above-
ground plants were determined, pictures of the symptom development were taken and
spreading into stem material assessed with real-time PCR (2.3.3).

Root infections outward of *C. graminicola* enriched vermiculite

Maize seeds were planted in 40 g of vermiculite (Vermiculite Palabora, grain size 2-3 mm,
Isola Vermiculite GmbH, Sprockhövel, Germany) enriched with oval conidia of CgM2 or
ΔCgste3 in a concentration of $7.5 \times 10^4 \times ml^{-1}$, to simulate a root infection outgoing from *C.*
graminicola conidia present in agricultural soil. As mock control, seeds were sown in
vermiculite mixed with water. To ensure high humidity, the pots were sealed in disposal plastic
bags (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). 21 dpi, length and biomass of the above-ground plant
was determined and stem samples taken for follow up real-time PCR (2.3.3).

Identification of *C. graminicola in planta* via real-time PCR

We developed a PCR-based identification method, to monitor the spreading of *C. graminicola*
from infected roots into plant stems. First, oligonucleotides (ITS_P4_fw and ITS_P9_rv) were
designed to bind to *C. graminicola*-specific sequences of ITS1 and ITS2 flanking 5.8S rRNA.
The primer pair amplifies a 339 base pair fragment on a template of *C. graminicola* gDNA.
Importantly, no amplicons were generated using gDNA from the fungi *S. macrospora,*
Verticillium dahliae, Verticillium longisporum, Aspergillus fumigatus and *Aspergillus nidulans*
as template in verification PCRs (Figure S3 a). Therefore, the primer pair was used in the real-
time PCR on *C. graminicola*-infected plant material. To obtain template DNA, stems from
infected plants obtained by the infection protocols described, were surface sterilized for 2 min
in 10% sodium hypochlorite solution. From the lower part, 0.5 cm of stem were discarded. The
following 2 cm of the plant stems were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C (Figure S3
B). The collected stem samples were grinded in liquid nitrogen to fine powder. 500 µl extraction
buffer (Tris HCl pH 8.5 10 ml (from 1 M stock solution) EDTA 2.5 ml (from 0.5 M stock solution)
SDS 3.125 ml (from 8% stock solution) NaCl 6.25 ml (from 2 M stock solution) demineralized
water) was added to each sample. At this step, the plasmid peGFP-Cgatg8_gen (0.05 ng/µl)
was added as an external standard for DNA losses during gDNA extraction. Further extraction
was achieved with 8 M potassium acetate and chloroform. The DNA was precipitated with ice-
cold isopropanol at -20°C for 2 h to overnight. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 50 µl
demineralized water plus 2 µl RNase. Real-time PCR was performed with the MESA GREEN
qPCR MasterMix Plus for SYBR Assay (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). For detection, a CFX
Connect Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was
used. The external standard plasmid peGFP-Cgatg8_gen served as template for amplification
with the oligonucleotide pair GFP-f and GFP-r. Follow up DNA sequencing and BLAST
analyses verified the identity of the obtained fragment outgoing from gDNA of the stem
samples as originating from *C. graminicola* (Figure S3 c).

Microscopy

Light (differential interference contrast (DIC)) and fluorescence microscopy was performed
with the AxioImager M1 microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The Photometrix coolSNAP HQ
camera (Roper Scientific, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA) was used to capture images and
processing was performed with the ZEISS ZEN software (version 2.3, Zeiss). Visualization of
expressed red fluorescent CgM2::RH2B was achieved by a 49005 Chroma filter set (exciter

ET545/30x, emitter ET620/60 and beam splitter T570LP). At least three biological replicates
were performed for each experiment.

Generation and chemical analysis of maize root exudate

Roots of four 9 d old maize plants grown in vermiculite (Vermiculite Palabora, grain size 2-3
mm, Isola Vermiculite GmbH, Sprockhövel, Germany) under standard conditions to generate
MRE. The roots were rinsed and placed in 40 ml demineralized water. After incubation for
further 5 d in a plant chamber, MRE was sterile filtrated with a 0.45 µm filter (86.1197, Sarstedt,
Nümbrecht, Germany).

An HPLC/MS analysis was applied to analyze MRE for probable bioactive substances. The
MRE was extracted with ethyl acetate (1:1) or chloroform:methanol (1.8:2:2). Prior to
HPLC/MS analysis, the samples were solved in 500 µl methanol. The received suspensions
were centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to remove unsolved particles. 400 µl of the
supernatants were transferred to LC-MS glass vials. A Q-Exactive^{TM} Focus orbitrap mass
spectrometer coupled to a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used
for analysis. HPLC was carried out using a Acclaim^{TM} 120, C_{18}, 5 µm, 120 Å, 4.6 x 100 mm. 5
µl of each sample was injected for analysis. Running phase was set as a linear gradient from
5 - 95% (v/v) acetonitrile/0.1 formic acid in 20 min, plus 10 min with 95% (v/v) acetonitrile/0.1
formic acid) with a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min at 30°C in addition. The measurements were
performed in positive and negative modes with a mass range of 70-1050 m/z. UV/Vis spectra
were recorded with a Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ UltiMate™ 3000 Diode Array Detector.
MS2 data were recorded at a HCD energy of 35 eV. For data analysis the software FreeStyle^{TM}
1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the database "Dictionary of Natural Products" were used.

For the HPLC fractionation of MRE, the extraction of 8.5 L of exudate was performed with an
equal amount of ethyl acetate. The solvent was evaporated in a rotary evaporator and the
resulting extract (387 mg) applied onto a preparative HPLC-system. HPLC purification was
performed on a Shimadzu LC system equipped with a LC-20AP pump, an FCV-200AL valve,
a CBM-40 controller and an FRC-10A fraction collector. Separations were done on a
preparative reversed phase C18 column (Waters Sunfire C18, particle size 5 µm, 19 x 250mm)
and a semipreparative reversed phase C18 column (Waters Sunfire C18, particle size 5 µm,
10 x 250mm) at room temperature. A linear gradient starting from 1% 0.1% TFA and 99%
Acetonitrile to 100% Acetonitrile in 20 min, then maintaining 100% Acetonitrile for 3 min and
an additional equilibration time of 7 min was used at a flow rate of 17.1 ml/min. Injection volume
of sample solution was 1 ml. Volume of collected fractions were 3 ml. A Shimadzu SPD-M40A
diode array detector was used from 200-800 nm for recording of spectra and detection of
separated secondary metabolites at 210, 250, 300, 350 and 400 nm. Data and spectra were
analyzed using the software LabSolution 5.110. LC-ESI-MS analysis was performed on a
Shimadzu LC system equipped with two LC-40D pumps, a DGU-405 degassing unit, a SIL
40C autosampler, a SCL-40 controller and a CTO-40C column oven. Mass Analysis was
performed with a single quadrupole LCMS-2020 system. Separations were done on an
analytical reversed phase C18 column (Macherey Nagel, Nucleoshell RP18, particle size 2.7
µm, 2 x 100mm) at 40°C. A gradient starting from 95% 0,1% FA and 5% Acetonitrile with a
holding time of 2 min to 100% Acetonitrile in 6 min, then maintaining 100% Acetonitrile for 2
min and an additional equilibration time of 4 min was used at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. Injection
volume of sample solution was 1 µl. A Shimadzu SPD-M40A diode array detector was used
from 200-800 nm for recording of spectra and detection of secondary metabolites at 210 nm,
250 nm, 300 nm, 350 nm, 400 nm, 450 nm and 500 nm. A single quadrupole LCMS System-

2020 (Shimadzu) with ESI was used with following parameters: Scan mode, positive and
negative, starting from 150 to 1000 m/z with acquisition time from 2 to 10 min. DL temperature
250 °C, nebulizing gas flow 1.5 L/min, drying gas flow 10 L/min and the temperature of the
heat block was 200 °C. Data and spectra were analyzed using the software LabSolution 5.109.

Chemotropic assay

Quantification of chemotropic growth of different *C. graminicola* germlings derived from oval
and falcate conidia, towards MRE, different MRE fractions, oryzalexin D, dihydrotanshinone I,
phytane, and attractants within MRE, was performed after 6h of incubation using a 3D printed
device as described previously[32,33]. From raw data, the chemotropic index was calculated,
showing the difference of chemotropic attraction compared to non-stimulating conditions[15].

Analysis of *C. graminicola* germination patterns

For quantification of germination in soil, 1.875 ml spores solution ($c = 1.5*10^6$) of either oval
or falcate conidia was mixed in 5 ml vermiculite or soil (I)-vermiculite (mix of soil (I)
(Einheitserde Classic, Patzer Erden GmbH, Sinntal-Altengronau, Germany) and vermiculite
(Vermiculite Palabora, grain size 2-3 mm, Isola Vermiculite GmbH, Sprockhövel, Germany),
ratio 4+1) mixture. After incubation (0 h, 6 h, 24 h, 48 h, 10 d), 2.5 ml water was added to wash
out remaining spores. To access the rate of remaining not-germinated spores, those were
quantified in a Neubauer counting chamber. 50 µl spore solution ($c = 10^6 \times ml^{-1}$) was dropped
on water agar (1L contains 10 g agarose, 10 g serva agar, 2.1 g $NaNO_3$). After evaporation of
the liquid, 20µl MRE was added on top of the spores to analyze the effect of MRE on
germination. Microscopy of germination behavior of falcate conidia in soil was performed after
24 h incubation in either vermiculite, soil (I)-vermiculite (4+1) mixture or soil (II) -compost soil-
sand mixture (mix of soil (II) (Einheitserde Type P 25, Archut Erdenwerk, Vechta, Germany;
compost soil, steamed for 24 h at 105°C, botanical garden, Göttingen, Germany; sand, grain
size 0-2mm, August Oppermann Kiesgewinnungs- und Vertriebs-GmBH, Northeim, Germany;
ratio 3+3+1).

Statistics

The T-test for unequal variances was used for all experiments in this study[54].

Acknowledgements

We thank Gabriele Beyer, Gertrud Stahlhut and Christiane Grünewald for excellent technical
assistance. Genomic DNA of *Verticillium dahliae* and *Verticillium longisporum* was provided by
Rebekka Harting. Genomic DNA of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Aspergillus nidulans* was
provided by Anja Strohdiek. This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
(Bonn-Bad Godesberg). Grants were provided to D.E.N. (project NO 1230/3-1 (447175909
and to D.E.N. and G.B. (IRTG 2172: PRoTECT program (GRK 2172, project number
273134146)).

Contributions

Establishment of maize root infections was done by A.Y.R., C.S. and D.E.N. and performed by
A.Y.R. and C.S.. Quantification of chemotropic growth was done by A.Y.R. and D.E.N.. Maize
root exudate was generated by A.Y.R. and D.E.N., chemically extracted by A.Y.R. and D.E.N.
and analyzed by HPLC/MS analyses by J.G., C.S. and L.A.. Fractionation of maize root
exudate was done by L.A.. Generation of deletion mutant and complementing strain was
performed by D.E.N.. Real-time PCR and germination analyses were established and
performed by A.Y.R.. A.Y.R. and D.E.N. wrote this manuscript with invaluable assistance from
G.B. and S.P. and the other co-authors.

References

1   Strange, R. N. & Scott, P. R. Plant disease: a threat to global food security. *Annual Review of*
Phytopathology **43**, 83-116 (2005).
2   Fisher, M. C. *et al.* Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. *Nature* **484**,
186-194 (2012).
3   Silva, R. N. *et al.* Trichoderma/pathogen/plant interaction in pre-harvest food security. *Fungal*
biology **123**, 565-583 (2019).
4   Chaloner, T. M., Gurr, S. J. & Bebber, D. P. Plant pathogen infection risk tracks global crop yields
under climate change. *Nature Climate Change* **11**, 710-715 (2021).
5   Zhang, W. Global pesticide use: Profile, trend, cost/benefit and more. *Proceedings of the*
International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences **8**, 1-27 (2018).
6   Kou, Y. & Naqvi, N. I. in *Seminars in cell & developmental biology.* 84-92 (Elsevier).
7   Okubara, P. A. & Paulitz, T. C. Root defense responses to fungal pathogens: a molecular
perspective. *Root physiology: from gene to function* **274**, 215-226 (2005).
8   Steinkellner, S., Mammerler, R. & Vierheilig, H. Microconidia germination of the tomato
pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* in the presence of root exudates. *Journal of Plant Interactions*
1, 23-30 (2005).
9   Narula, N., Kothe, E. & Behl, R. K. Role of root exudates in plant-microbe interactions. *Journal*
of Applied Botany and Food Quality **82**, 122-130 (2009).
10   Vives-Peris, V., De Ollas, C., Gómez-Cadenas, A. & Pérez-Clemente, R. M. Root exudates: from
plant to rhizosphere and beyond. *Plant Cell Reports* **39**, 3-17 (2020).
11   Deacon, J. & Donaldson, S. Molecular recognition in the homing responses of zoosporic fungi,
with special reference to *Pythium* and *Phytophthora*. *Mycological Research* **97**, 1153-1171
(1993).
12   Donaldson, S. & Deacon, J. Effects of amino acids and sugars on zoospore taxis, encystment
and cyst germination in *Pythium aphanidermatum* (Edson) Fitzp., P. catenulatum Matthews
and *P. dissotocum* Drechs. *New Phytologist* **123**, 289-295 (1993).
13   Ruttledge, T. R. & Nelson, E. B. Extracted fatty acids from *Gossypium hirsutum* stimulatory to
the seed-rotting fungus, *Pythium ultimum*. *Phytochemistry* **46**, 77-82 (1997).
14   Tyler, B. M. Molecular basis of recognition between *Phytophthora pathogens* and their hosts.
Annual review of phytopathology **40**, 137-167 (2002).
15   Turrà, D., El Ghalid, M., Rossi, F. & Di Pietro, A. Fungal pathogen uses sex pheromone receptor
for chemotropic sensing of host plant signals. *Nature* **527**, 521-524 (2015).
16   Sridhar, P. S. *et al.* Ste2 receptor-mediated chemotropism of *Fusarium graminearum*
contributes to its pathogenicity against wheat. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 10770 (2020).
17   Vangalis, V. *et al.* Components of TOR and MAP kinase signaling control chemotropism and
pathogenicity in the fungal pathogen *Verticillium dahliae*. *Microbiological Research* **271**,
127361 (2023).

18 Lagopodi, A. L. *et al.* Novel aspects of tomato root colonization and infection by *Fusarium*
oxysporum f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* revealed by confocal laser scanning microscopic analysis
using the green fluorescent protein as a marker. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **15**, 172-
179 (2002).
19 Zhao, Y.-L., Zhou, T.-T. & Guo, H.-S. Hyphopodium-specific VdNoxB/VdPls1-dependent ROS-
Ca2+ signaling is required for plant infection by *Verticillium dahliae*. *PLoS pathogens* **12**,
e1005793 (2016).
20 Marcel, S., Sawers, R., Oakeley, E., Angliker, H. & Paszkowski, U. Tissue-adapted invasion
strategies of the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *The Plant Cell* **22**, 3177-3187 (2010).
21 Dufresne, M. & Osbourn, A. E. Definition of tissue-specific and general requirements for plant
infection in a phytopathogenic fungus. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **14**, 300-307
(2001).
22 Bergstrom, G. C. & Nicholson, R. L. The biology of corn anthracnose: knowledge to exploit for
improved management. *Plant disease* **83**, 596-608 (1999).
23 from:, C. P. N. T. Y. L. C. R. & https://cropprotectionnetwork.org/crops/cor.
24 Belisário, R., Robertson, A. E. & Vaillancourt, L. J. Maize anthracnose stalk rot in the genomic
era. *Plant Disease* **106**, 2281-2298 (2022).
25 Zhang, H., Wu, Z., Wang, C., Li, Y. & Xu, J.-R. Germination and infectivity of microconidia in the
rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Nature communications* **5**, 4518 (2014).
26 Ohara, T., Inoue, I., Namiki, F., Kunoh, H. & Tsuge, T. *REN1* is required for development of
microconidia and macroconidia, but not of chlamydospores, in the plant pathogenic fungus
Fusarium oxysporum. *Genetics Society of America* **166**, 113-124 (2004).
27 Panaccione, D. G., Vaillancourt, L. J. & Hanau, R. M. Conidial dimorphism in *Colletotrichum*
graminicola. *Mycologia* **81**, 876-883 (1989).
28 Sukno, S. A., García, V. n. M., Shaw, B. D. & Thon, M. R. Root infection and systemic colonization
of maize by Colletotrichum graminicola. *Applied environmental microbiology* **74**, 823-832
(2008).
29 Nordzieke, D. E. *et al.* Specialized infection strategies of falcate and oval conidia of
Colletotrichum graminicola. *Fungal Genetics Biology* **133**, 103276 (2019).
30 Nordzieke, D. E. Hyphal Fusions Enable Efficient Nutrient Distribution in *Colletotrichum*
graminicola Conidiation and Symptom Development on Maize. *Microorganisms* **10**, 1146
(2022).
31 Nordzieke, D. E., Fernandes, T. R., El Ghalid, M., Turrà, D. & Di Pietro, A. NADPH oxidase
regulates chemotropic growth of the fungal pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* towards the host
plant. *New Phytologist* **224**, 1600-1612 (2019).
32 Schunke, C., Pöggeler, S. & Nordzieke, D. E. A 3D printed device for easy and reliable
quantification of fungal chemotropic growth. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **11**, 584525 (2020).
33 Groth, A., Schunke, C., Reschka, E. J., Pöggeler, S. & Nordzieke, D. E. Tracking fungal growth:
Establishment of Arp1 as a marker for polarity establishment and active hyphal growth in
filamentous ascomycetes. *Journal of Fungi* **7**, 580 (2021).
34 Mafu, S. *et al.* Discovery, biosynthesis and stress-related accumulation of dolabradiene-
derived defenses in maize. *Plant physiology* **176**, 2677-2690 (2018).
35 Sharma, T. *et al.* *Fusarium graminearum* Ste3 G-Protein Coupled Receptor: A Mediator of
Hyphal Chemotropism and Pathogenesis. *Msphere* **7**, e00456-00422 (2022).
36 Wilson, A. M., Lelwala, R. V., Taylor, P. W., Wingfield, M. J. & Wingfield, B. D. Unique patterns
of mating pheromone presence and absence could result in the ambiguous sexual behaviors
of *Colletotrichum* species. *G3* **11**, jkab187 (2021).
37 Li, X.-g. *et al.* The composition of root exudates from two different resistant peanut cultivars
and their effects on the growth of soil-borne pathogen. *International Journal of Biological*
Sciences **9**, 164 (2013).
38 Eze, C. Root Exudate Effect on Germination and Mycelial Growth of Sclerotia of *Sclerotium*
rolfsii Sacc. *Bio-Research* **8**, 626–628 (2010).

39 Zhang, X. *et al.* Transcriptomic analysis of gene expression of Verticillium dahliae upon
treatment of the cotton root exudates. *BMC genomics* **21**, 1-25 (2020).
40 Lanoue, A. *et al.* De novo biosynthesis of defense root exudates in response to *Fusarium* attack
in barley. *New Phytologist* **185**, 577-588 (2010).
41 Mika, A., Boenisch, M. J., Hopff, D. & Lüthje, S. Membrane-bound guaiacol peroxidases from
maize (*Zea mays* L.) roots are regulated by methyl jasmonate, salicylic acid, and pathogen
elicitors. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **61**, 831-841 (2010).
42 Bindschedler, L. V. *et al.* Peroxidase-dependent apoplastic oxidative burst in Arabidopsis
required for pathogen resistance. *The Plant Journal* **47**, 851-863 (2006).
43 Wen, F., VanEtten, H. D., Tsaprailis, G. & Hawes, M. C. Extracellular proteins in pea root tip and
border cell exudates. *Plant physiology* **143**, 773-783 (2007).
44 Zhou, H., Hua, J., Li, H., Song, X. & Luo, S. Structurally diverse specialized metabolites of maize
and their extensive biological functions. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* (2023).
45 Schmelz, E. A. *et al.* Identity, regulation, and activity of inducible diterpenoid phytoalexins in
maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 5455-5460 (2011).
46 Hiraga, S., Sasaki, K., Ito, H., Ohashi, Y. & Matsui, H. A large family of class III plant peroxidases.
Plant Cell Physiology **42**, 462-468 (2001).
47 Kidwai, M., Ahmad, I. Z. & Chakrabarty, D. Class III peroxidase: An indispensable enzyme for
biotic/abiotic stress tolerance and a potent candidate for crop improvement. *Plant cell reports*
39, 1381-1393 (2020).
48 Huffaker, A. *et al.* Novel acidic sesquiterpenoids constitute a dominant class of pathogen-
induced phytoalexins in maize. *Plant physiology* **156**, 2082-2097 (2011).
49 Leite, B. & Nicholson, R. L. Mycosporine-alanine: A self-inhibitor of germination from the
conidial mucilage of *Colletotrichum graminicola*. *Experimental mycology* **16**, 76-86 (1992).
50 Gunina, A. & Kuzyakov, Y. Sugars in soil and sweets for microorganisms: Review of origin,
content, composition and fate. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **90**, 87-100 (2015).
51 Forgey, W., Blanco, M. & Loegering, W. Differences in pathological capabilities and host
specificity of *Colletotrichum graminicola* on *Zea mays*. *Plant Disease Reporter* **62**, 573-576
(1978).
52 O'Connell, R. J. *et al.* Lifestyle transitions in plant pathogenic *Colletotrichum* fungi deciphered
by genome and transcriptome analyses. *Nature genetics* **44**, 1060-1065 (2012).
53 Bloemendal, S. *et al.* A homologue of the human STRIPAK complex controls sexual
development in fungi. *Molecular microbiology* **84**, 310-323 (2012).
54 Ruxton, G. D. The unequal variance t-test is an underused alternative to Student's t-test and
the Mann–Whitney U test. *Behavioral Ecology* **17**, 688-690 (2006).

678