Activity-dependent Sonic hedgehog signaling promotes astrocyte modulation of synaptic plasticity

Anh Duc Le[1], Marissa Fu[1], Riya Kumar[1], Gloria Zacharias[1], A. Denise R. Garcia[2]

[1]Department of Biology, Drexel University

[2]Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, Drexel University College of Medicine

ABSTRACT

The influence of neural activity on astrocytes and their reciprocal interactions with neurons has emerged as an important modulator of synapse function. Activity regulates gene expression in astrocytes, yet the molecular mechanisms by which such activity is translated into functional changes in gene expression have remained largely unknown. The molecular signaling pathway, Sonic hedgehog (Shh), mediates neuron-astrocyte communication and regulates the organization of cortical synapses. Here, we demonstrate that sensory experience stimulates SHH signaling in cortical astrocytes. Whisker stimulation and chemogenetic activation both increase SHH activity in deep layers of the somatosensory cortex, where neuron-astrocyte SHH signaling is predominantly found. Selective loss of SHH signaling in astrocytes occludes experience-dependent structural plasticity of synapses. We further demonstrate that sensory experience promotes expression of the synapse modifying molecules, Hevin and SPARC, in a SHH-dependent manner. Taken together, these data identify SHH signaling as an activity-dependent, neuronal derived cue that stimulates astrocyte interactions with synapses and promotes synaptic plasticity.

INTRODUCTION

Bidirectional communication between neurons and astrocytes plays an important role in modulating synapse function. Astrocytes associate intimately with synapses, comprising the third partner in the tripartite synapse, along with the pre and postsynaptic terminals[1]. They express a large complement of receptors, transporters, and ion channels[2-4], enabling them to monitor and respond to neural activity[5-7] and reciprocally regulate synapse function[8-13].   This is accomplished through Ca^{2+}-mediated gliotransmission[14] as well as activity-dependent changes in astrocyte gene expression. These activity-dependent transcriptional responses are as robust as many classes of neurons[10,15]. Notably, activity also regulates expression of several genes associated with synapse formation and function[6,16,17], suggesting influential roles for astrocytes in activity-dependent synaptic plasticity. The precise molecular mechanism by which astrocytes translate neuronal activity into changes in gene expression remain poorly defined.

The molecular signaling pathway, Sonic hedgehog (Shh) is emerging as an important mediator of reciprocal interactions between neurons and astrocytes. During embryonic development, SHH exerts considerable regulation over various gene expression programs in neural precursor cells and immature neurons that drive a broad array of neurodevelopmental programs including proliferation, cell specification, and axon pathfinding[18]. However, in the postnatal and adult brain, SHH mediates communication between mature neurons and astrocytes[19]. Neurons in deep layers of the cortex express SHH which acts directly on neighboring astrocytes, regulating SHH-dependent gene expression programs[19-21]. Notably, genetic ablation of SHH signaling, selectively in astrocytes, decreases expression of the glial-specific, inward rectifying K^+ channel, $K_{ir}4.1$, perturbing extracellular K^+ homeostasis and increasing neuronal excitability[22]. Conversely, unrestrained SHH signaling promotes synapse formation mediated by increased expression of synaptogenic cues[21]. These observations point to SHH as a key mediator of neuron-astrocyte interactions that shape synapse

number and function. Further, non-canonical SHH signaling in neurons is required for establishing

cortico-cortical synapses[23], suggesting diverse and cell-type specific actions of SHH on synaptic

regulation. Here, we focus on SHH signaling in astrocytes, mediated by canonical transduction of the

pathway.

Transduction of SHH signaling is mediated by transcriptional activation of its effectors, the GLI family of

transcription factors, that regulate expression of SHH target genes[24]. Binding of SHH to its receptor,

Patched (*Ptch1*), relieves inhibition of the obligatory co-receptor, Smoothened (*Smo*), promoting

transcription of SHH target genes, including *Gli1*. High levels of SHH promote transcriptional activation

of *Gli1*, which acts as a reliable readout of pathway activation[25]. At baseline, transcriptional abundance

of *Gli1* in the cortex is low[21] and its expression is localized to astrocytes in layers 4 and 5, consistent

with the distribution of SHH-expressing neurons in layer V[19,23]. However, several components of the

pathway, including *Ptch1*, *Gli2,* and *Gli3*, show more widespread expression[21]. Whereas cells

expressing *Gli1* at baseline comprise 40-50% of astrocytes in layers 4 and 5[22], the vast majority of cells

in these layers express *Ptch1*, *Gli2* and *Gli3*, indicating that many more cells possess the machinery for

transduction of SHH signal[19]. This suggests activation of the pathway in individual cells is dynamically

regulated. What regulates SHH signaling is not known. High frequency stimulation of cultured

hippocampal neurons promotes SHH release that is sensitive to TTX treatment[26], suggesting that

neural activity regulates SHH signaling.   Interestingly, SHH protein is localized in axons[27-30], where it is

associated with synaptic vesicles[31]. Whether physiological neural activity promotes SHH-mediated

interactions between neurons and astrocytes *in vivo,* and how these interactions influence synapses, is

not known.

To test this, we exposed juvenile mice to an enriched somatosensory environment to stimulate active

whisking. We show that both sensory and chemogenetic stimulation increase SHH signaling in cortical

astrocytes. Exposure to an enriched environment produced an increase in synapse number and a

concomitant increase in expression of Hevin and SPARC, matricellular proteins released by astrocytes

that modify synaptic structure and function. Structural plasticity, and experience-dependent

upregulation of Hevin and SPARC, are occluded in conditional knock out (CKO) mice in which *Smo*, the

obligatory SHH co-receptor, is selectively abolished in astrocytes, demonstrating a requirement for

SHH signaling in astrocyte modulation of synapses. Taken together, these findings establish novel,

activity-dependent modulation of SHH signaling as a molecular mechanism by which neurons recruit

astrocytes during times of heightened activity.

RESULTS

Neuronal activity stimulates Sonic hedgehog signaling in vivo

To examine whether neural activity stimulates SHH signaling *in vivo*, we used a chemogenetic

approach to selectively excite cortical pyramidal neurons with the excitatory hM3DGq designer receptor

exclusively activated by designer drugs (DREADD) (**Figure 1A-B**). We injected AAV8-CamKIIα-

hM3DGq-mCherry virus[32] into the somatosensory cortex of *Gli1*[nlacZ/+] mice which express nuclear lacZ

at the *Gli1* locus[25]. Because *Gli1* is a canonical target of SHH signaling, and because SHH is required

for *Gli1* expression, transcriptional activation of *Gli1* acts as a reliable readout of SHH signaling[25,33].

After 14 days, we treated mice with medicated drinking water containing the designer drug Clozapine

N-Oxide (CNO) for seven days to chronically activate the receptor[34]. Transfected cells expressed *c-Fos*

(Figure 1C), and CNO-treated mice showed a significant increase in the number of c-Fos-labeled cells

compared to virus-injected animals that were fed unmedicated drinking water, indicating successful

activation of neurons (**Figure 1D-E**). We observed a significant increase in the number of βGal+ cells

in the somatosensory cortex in the CNO-treated group compared to untreated controls (**Figure 1F-G**).

These cells colocalized with Sox9, a well-established marker for identifying astrocytes[35] (**Figure 1H**).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that neuronal excitation stimulates SHH signaling in astrocytes

in vivo.

Sensory experience stimulates Sonic hedgehog signaling

We next examined whether a more physiological stimulus can increase SHH signaling. To test this,

we placed *Gli1[nlacZ/+]* mice in an enriched sensory environment designed to stimulate active whisking.

Mice were weaned into large rat cages from which strings of beads were hung from the wire cage lid in

a manner requiring constant interaction[36] at postnatal day 21 (P21). Control mice were weaned into

similar rat cages with only standard nesting materials and no beads. Mice were housed in the enriched

environment (EE) or standard housing (SH) conditions for three weeks (**Figure 2A**). A significant

increase in the number of cells labeled with cFos was observed in the somatosensory cortex of mice

housed in EE compared to SH conditions (**Figure 2C**), confirming increased activity in this region. Mice

housed in EE showed a significant increase in the number of βGal-labeled cells compared to control

mice housed in SH conditions (**Figure 2B, D**), indicating an increase in SHH activity in response to

sensory experience. There was no difference in the number of βGal cells between sexes at baseline

and both males and females showed similar increases in βGal cell number after exposure to EE

(**Supplemental Figure 1**).

We next examined whether exposure to EE produces a generalized increase in SHH activity throughout

the cortex or whether activity of the pathway increased specifically in the somatosensory cortex, the

region targeted by the EE paradigm used here. We analyzed the visual cortex and found no difference

in either cFos or βGal labeling between EE and SH conditions (**Figure 2E-F**), indicating that SHH

signaling is selectively increased in circuits that are stimulated by activity. Colocalization with cell-type

specific markers including Sox9, CAII, and NeuN to identify astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and neurons,

respectively, showed that 96% of βGal-labeled cells correspond to astrocytes in both SH and EE

(**Supplemental Figure 2**) consistent with our previous observation that astrocytes are the primary

targets of canonical SHH signaling in the adult brain[19]. βGal+ cells did not express Ki-67

(**Supplemental Figure 2**), ruling out the possibility that the observed increase in cell number is due to

proliferation. Because sensory activity stimulates SHH signaling, we next asked whether sensory

deprivation lowers activity of the pathway. To do this, we performed unilateral whisker trimming on P21

Gli1$^{nlacZ/+}$ mice for three weeks, starting at birth. We analyzed the deprived, contralateral hemisphere

and compared it to the intact, ipsilateral hemisphere. We observed significantly fewer βGal+ cells in the

deprived, compared to the intact, hemisphere (**Supplemental Figure 3**). Analysis of the auditory cortex

showed whisker trimming had no effect on SHH signaling in this region (**Supplemental Figure 3**).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that activation of distinct sensory circuits selectively stimulates

SHH signaling in cortical astrocytes in a circuit-specific manner.

To precisely identify cells that show increased SHH activity following experience, we combined the

direct reporter approach with a tamoxifen-dependent labeling strategy, enabling us to monitor

temporally distinct populations of cells experiencing SHH signaling. We crossed *Gli1$^{nlacZ/+}$* mice with

Gli1$^{CreER/+}$;Ai14 mice carrying the Cre-dependent Ai14 reporter allele expressing tdTomato (tdTom)

(*Gli1$^{nlacZ/CreER}$*;Ai14), enabling identification of cells with SHH signaling at the start and conclusion of

sensory enrichment. Because *lacZ* expression is directly regulated by transcriptional activity of *Gli1*,

cells actively transducing SHH can be monitored by immunohistochemistry for βGal, the protein product

of the *lacZ* gene. In contrast, tamoxifen-dependent, Cre-mediated recombination produces permanent

expression of tdTom in cells, even after SHH activity is downregulated (**Figure 2G**). Thus, in

Gli1$^{nlacZ/CreER}$;Ai14 mice, *lacZ* expression reflects active SHH signaling whereas tamoxifen-dependent

Cre-mediated expression of tdTom reflects historical signaling. Although these mice are effectively *Gli1*

nulls, GLI1 is dispensable for SHH signaling due to redundant activator function of GLI2[25,37] and we did

not observe any gross anatomical or behavioral phenotypes, consistent with previous studies[25,38]. To

mark cells with SHH signaling at baseline, mice received tamoxifen before placing them in EE or SH

housing for three weeks (**Figure 2G**). We first examined the population of βGal+ cells and found a large

fraction of cells that were negative for tdTom (**Figure 2H, I**), indicating SHH activity in a population of

cells distinct from those marked at the start of the experiment. Notably, there was a significant increase

in single-labeled βGal cells after EE compared to SH (**Figure 2J**). Whereas 59% of βGal labeled cells

were single labeled in SH mice, this fraction increased to 76% in EE mice (**Figure 2J**) demonstrating

experience-dependent activation of the pathway in cells distinct from those at baseline. These cells

were found in layers 4 and 5 (**Figure 2H**), suggesting that experience-dependent SHH activity remains

localized to deep cortical layers, consistent with the localization of SHH-expressing neurons in layer

V[19,23]. We next examined the population of cells expressing tdTom. Cells expressing tdTom were

localized primarily in layers 4 and 5 and show a bushy morphology characteristic of protoplasmic

astrocytes, consistent with previous studies[19,22] (**Figure 2H-I**). In SH mice, a large proportion of

tdTom+ cells did not colabel with βGal (**Figure 2I, K**), indicating downregulation of pathway activity.

This fraction did not change after exposure to EE (**Figure 2K**). We also observed a third population of

cells, those co-expressing both tdTom and βGal, suggesting either persistent or recurrent SHH activity

in these cells (**Figure 2I, L**). This fraction was similar between SH and EE conditions (**Figure 2L**).

These data suggest that SHH activity in individual cells is highly dynamic and that over a three-week

period, individual cells are not likely to experience persistent SHH signaling.

Sensory experience dynamically modulates Sonic hedgehog signaling

We next examined whether the experience-induced increase in SHH activity reflects a long-term

change in SHH signaling. We first examined whether a much shorter time frame was sufficient to

increase SHH activity. We housed *Gli1[nlacZ/+]* mice in either SH or EE for two days starting at P21. Mice

housed in EE showed a significant increase in βGal+ cells compared to SH controls (**Figure 3A-B**),

suggesting that brief exposure to sensory enrichment is sufficient to stimulate SHH signaling. To

examine whether experience-dependent SHH signaling persists after removal of the stimulus, we

housed *Gli1[nlacZ/+]* mice in EE for 2 days, then housed them in SH conditions for 2 days and compared

Gli1 activity with mice continuously housed in EE or SH conditions for 4 days. To rule out the possibility

that any observed differences in βGal number may reflect perdurance of the protein rather than

sustained SHH activity, we used single molecule fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) by

RNAScope to more directly measure *Gli1* transcripts (**Figure 3C**). In mice continuously housed in EE,

we found an increase in cells expressing *Gli1* compared to SH control mice (**Figure 3C-D**). However,

in mice returned to SH after 2 days of EE, *Gli1* expression returned to baseline (**Figure 3C-D**).

Notably, individual cells expressing *Gli1* also showed an increase in the number of transcripts in the

nucleus after exposure to EE compared to SH-housed mice (**Figure 3C**). This suggests that neural

activity stimulates SHH signaling in new cells while those with baseline SHH signaling experience

further increases in activity of the pathway. The number of *Gli1*-expressing cells was selectively higher

in layers 4 and 5, while no significant changes were found in upper layers (**Figure 3D**), consistent with

the localization of SHH-expressing neurons. These data demonstrate that SHH signaling is highly

dynamic and responsive to changing experiences.

Sensory experience does not increase the number of SHH-expressing neurons

In the cortex, SHH is expressed predominantly in a subset of excitatory pyramidal neurons in layer V.

To determine whether sensory experience increases the number or distribution of *Shh*-expressing cells,

we housed *Shh*[CreER/+]; Ai14 mice in EE or SH conditions and administered tamoxifen at the conclusion

of the experiment (**Figure 4A**). Labeled neurons were found primarily in layer V and we found no

difference in the number of *Shh*-expressing neurons between SH and EE (**Figure 4B-E**). Because

astrocytes in layer IV show high levels of SHH activity, we also examined the ventral posterior medial

nucleus of the thalamus (VPM) where thalamocortical neurons projecting to layer IV of the barrel cortex

reside[39]. We detected few, if any, labeled neurons in this region (**Figure 4D**) suggesting that SHH

signaling in cortical astrocytes is initiated by ligand released from local neurons. We also performed

chemogenetic activation of cortical neurons in *Shh*[CreER/+];Ai14 mice. We injected mice with AAV5-Syn-

hM3DGq-HA virus and began CNO treatment two weeks later. Animals received CNO or unmedicated

water for 10 days. To mark *Shh*-expressing cells, animals received tamoxifen over the last three days

of CNO treatment and were analyzed two weeks later (**Figure 4F**). In agreement with our

environmental stimulation, there was no difference in the number or distribution of *Shh*-expressing cells

between the CNO treated and control animals (**Figure 4G-J**). These data suggest that the increase in

astrocytic signaling reflects an increase in availability of the ligand, rather than an increase in the

number of neurons expressing *Shh*. This may arise from an increase in its release or from increased

diffusion in the extracellular space. This suggests that while transduction of SHH signaling in

astrocytes is dynamically modulated between individual cells, SHH ligand is derived from a defined

population of neurons.

Experience-dependent structural plasticity requires Sonic hedgehog signaling

Sensory experience promotes structural plasticity of cortical synapses[36]. To determine whether

experience-dependent SHH signaling in astrocytes promotes synaptic plasticity, we examined dendritic

spines of layer V cortical neurons. Excitatory synapses are localized predominantly on dendritic

spines[40], which demonstrate remarkable plasticity in response to sensory experience[36,41-44]. Selective

loss of SHH signaling in astrocytes leads to elevated spine density of layer V cortical neurons, arising

from an increase in spine stability[22], while unrestrained SHH activity in astrocytes promotes synapse

formation[21]. To determine whether SHH signaling plays a role in astrocyte modulation of experience-

dependent plasticity, we examined spine density in conditional knock out (CKO) mice lacking *Smo*

selectively in astrocytes. We used *Gfap-Cre*; *Smo^{fl/fl}* mice (*Gfap Smo* CKO) in which *Smo* is deleted in

Gfap-expressing cells, effectively abolishing SHH signaling in all astrocytes beginning at birth[19,22].

These mice also carry a *Thy1*-GFPm allele which labels a subset of layer V pyramidal neurons[45],

enabling the visualization of individual dendrites and spines. *Gli1* expression measured by qPCR

showed a 90% reduction in *Gfap Smo* CKO mice compared to WT littermate controls lacking Cre,

confirming effective ablation of SHH activity (**Supplemental Figure 4**). We analyzed apical dendrites

of layer V pyramidal neurons in the barrel cortex, focusing on dendritic segments in layers 4 and 5

where high levels of SHH activity are found. WT (Cre-negative) mice housed in EE conditions showed

a significant increase in the density of protrusions compared to control SH mice (**Figure 5A,C**),

demonstrating that enriched sensory experience promotes synaptic plasticity, consistent with previous

studies[36,43]. We previously demonstrated that *Gfap Smo* CKO mice showed an elevated spine density

arising from deficits in developmental elimination of synapses[22]. Here, we find that sensory enrichment

did not produce any further increases in spine density (**Figure 5B,C**), consistent with a requirement for

astrocytic SHH signaling in structural plasticity. Alternatively, this may instead reflect a limit beyond

which no further spines can be added. SHH signaling acts on astrocyte progenitor cells in the perinatal

subventricular zone that generate cortical astrocytes[38]. Because recombination begins at P0 in cortical

astrocyte progenitors in this Gfap-Cre line[19], this impaired plasticity may reflect developmental

perturbation of cortical astrocyte development.

To more directly test whether SHH signaling in mature astrocytes is required for synaptic plasticity, we

generated *Gli1*[CreER/+]; *Smo*^{fl/fl}; Ai14 mice (*Gli1 Smo* CKO) in which *Smo* is selectively deleted in

astrocytes expressing *Gli1* in a tamoxifen-dependent manner. This approach enabled greater temporal

and spatial control over *Smo* deletion. Tamoxifen administration at P14 or older limits *Smo* deletion to

postmitotic, *Gli1* expressing cells primarily in layers 4 and 5 (**Figure 5D**)[38]. *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice

received tamoxifen over three days between P18 – P20 and were housed in EE or SH at P21 for two

days. We measured *Gli1* expression by qPCR of cortical tissues and detected a 66% reduction in *Gli1*

transcripts in *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice compared to WT littermate controls that were Cre-negative,

confirming effective interruption of SHH signaling (**Supplemental Figure 4**). Analysis of dendritic

segments in deep layers showed no significant difference in spine density between SH and EE

conditions, indicating that experience-dependent structural plasticity is impaired in *Gli1 Smo* CKO

(**Figure 5E,F**). To determine whether plasticity is perturbed globally, we analyzed regions where SHH

activity in astrocytes is low or absent. Notably, analysis of dendritic segments in upper layers (layers II/

III) showed a significantly higher spine density in mice housed in EE compared to SH (**Figure 5G**),

suggesting that structural plasticity of these synapses is intact. We also analyzed apical dendrites of

pyramidal neurons in area CA1 of the hippocampus, which lack astrocytic SHH activity (**Figure 5H**).

Mice housed in EE also showed a significant increase in spine density compared to SH (**Figure 5I**),

further supporting the specificity of this mouse model. Taken together, these data demonstrate that

SHH signaling in astrocytes promotes structural plasticity induced by enriched sensory experience.

The observation that plasticity is impaired specifically in synapses found where astrocytic SHH activity

is high suggests that SHH-dependent astrocyte modulation of synaptic plasticity is mediated by local

interactions.

Sonic hedgehog signaling upregulates expression of astrocyte-derived synapse-modifying cues

In the developing neural tube, SHH regulates the expression of genes that drive morphogenesis and

cell type specification. In astrocytes, several lines of evidence demonstrate that SHH regulates genes

associated with synapse formation and function, including _Sparc, Kcnj10,_ and _Gria1_[20-22].

The observation that structural plasticity is impaired in _Gli1 Smo_ CKO mice suggests that SHH

regulates an astrocyte-derived synaptogenic cue. Hevin is a matricellular protein belonging to the

SPARC family of proteins that is expressed in astrocytes and promotes synapse formation[46-49]. To

examine whether experience-dependent SHH activity regulates _Hevin_, we examined its expression in

the cortex of _Gli1[CreER/+]_;Ai14 mice. Analysis of Hevin immunostaining in the cortex shows an

enrichment in layers IV and V, where SHH activity is highest (**Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure**

5A). Single cell analysis of deep and upper layer astrocytes in _Gli1[CreER/+]_;Ai14 mice revealed that

astrocytes in layers IV and V, identified by tdTom labeling, express higher levels of Hevin compared to

those in layer II/ III, identified by Sox9 (**Figure 6B-D**), suggesting that SHH signaling regulates its

expression. To test this, we measured _Hevin_ from whole cortical lysates of _Gfap Smo_ CKO mice by

qPCR and found a significant reduction in expression compared to WT controls (**Figure 6E**). _Hevin_

expression was not completely abolished in _Gfap Smo_ CKO mice, suggesting that SHH signaling may

cooperate with additional mechanisms to regulate _Hevin_. We next examined secreted acidic cysteine

rich glycoprotein (SPARC)_,_ a structurally related protein to Hevin that is secreted by astrocytes and

which also acts to modify synapses _in vitro_ and _in vivo_[49,50]. Expression of _Sparc_ is upregulated in _Glast_

Ptch1 CKO mice in which SHH signaling is elevated in astrocytes due to removal of PTCH-mediated

inhibition of SMO[21]. Similar to Hevin, SPARC expression was enriched in layers IV and V relative to

upper layer astrocytes in WT mice (**Figure 6F and Supplemental Figure 5B**) and single cell analysis

of individual cells confirmed this observation (**Figure 6G-I**). There was a significant reduction in *Sparc*

expression in *Gfap Smo* CKO mice compared to WT controls (**Figure 6J**), demonstrating a requirement

for SHH signaling, and extending the observations reported by Xie et al., 2022. Neither *Hevin* nor

Sparc expression were completely abolished in *Gfap Smo* CKO mice, indicating multiple regulatory

pathways for these genes. Indeed, although their expression is higher in deep layers of the cortex, their

expression is not absent in upper layers (**Figure 6A and F; Supplemental Figure 5**). Taken together,

these data show that *Hevin* and *Sparc* are enriched in deep layer astrocytes and further demonstrate a

requirement for SHH signaling in regulating their expression.

We next asked whether experience-dependent SHH activity promotes an increase in expression of

these synapse modifying cues. We performed immunostaining for Hevin and SPARC in *Gli1*[nlacZ/+] mice

and analyzed their expression selectively in βGal+ cells following EE or SH. Mice housed in EE

showed a pronounced increase in Hevin and SPARC compared to SH controls (**Figure 7A-F**),

demonstrating that enriched sensory experience promotes upregulation of these proteins. To

determine whether SHH signaling is required for the experience-dependent increase in these proteins,

we examined their expression in astrocytes in *Gfap Smo* CKO mice following two-day exposure to EE

or SH. In these mice, EE failed to increase expression of Hevin or SPARC (**Figure 7G-L**),

demonstrating a requirement for SHH signaling in experience-dependent upregulation of these genes.

Hevin and SPARC act, respectively, to modify synapses structurally and functionally. Whereas Hevin

promotes the formation of spines with a mushroom morphology[49], SPARC has been shown to increase

the number of GluA1 containing AMPA receptors at synapses[51]. We analyzed spine morphology,

classifying them into mushroom, thin, and filopodial morphologies and examined whether the proportion

of spines in each class change in response to experience and SHH signaling. Spine morphology is

associated with synapse size and strength[52,53]. In WT mice housed in EE, we observed an increase in

the proportion of spines with a mushroom morphology (**Figure 7N**), consistent with previous reports

demonstrating that activity promotes the enlargement of spines[54]. This was accompanied by a

concomitant reduction in the fraction of intermediate spines and filopodia (**Supplemental Figure 6B,**

F). In contrast, the proportion of mushroom spines was similar between EE and SH conditions in both

Gfap Smo CKO and *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice (**Figure 7O-P**) indicating that selective perturbation of SHH

signaling in astrocytes interferes with activity-dependent spine enlargement. These mice also showed

no difference in the fraction of spines with an intermediate or filopodia morphology between EE and SH

conditions (**Supplemental Figure 6C,G**). These data suggest that SHH signaling in astrocytes

promotes the activity-dependent enlargement of spines with intermediate or filopodia morphologies into

spines with a mushroom morphology. We next examined whether sensory experience increases GluA1

AMPA receptors in dendritic spines. Only a small fraction of spines contained GluA1 receptors and this

did not change following exposure to EE (**Figure 7R**). In *Gli1 Smo CKO* mice, the fraction of spines

with GluA1 was similar to that observed in WT mice and did not change following EE (**Supplemental**

Figure 7), arguing against a role for SHH signaling or SPARC in synaptic GluA1. Alternatively, it is

possible that the levels of SPARC induced by activity in this paradigm are insufficient to promote GluA1

AMPARs at the synapse. Only intermediate concentrations of SPARC were sufficient to increase

synaptic GluA1 AMPARs in culture[51]. Further experiments are necessary to determine whether a

stronger stimulus or more prolonged exposure to sensory enrichment promotes a greater increase in

SPARC expression and concomitant GluA1 AMPARs. Nevertheless, taken together, these data show

that enriched sensory experience upregulates expression of Hevin and SPARC in a SHH-dependent

manner.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrate that neural activity stimulates SHH signaling between neurons and

astrocytes. Activity of the pathway is highly dynamic and responsive to both an increase and decrease

in neural activity, key features for effective translation of neural activity into functional molecular

programs. Selective perturbation of SHH signaling in astrocytes occludes an experience-dependent

increase in spine number, suggesting that activity of the pathway is required for structural synaptic

plasticity in cortical neurons. Neural activity also promotes expression of Hevin and SPARC,

matricellular proteins known to modify synapses. Notably, upregulation of Hevin and SPARC in

response to activity requires intact SHH signaling. Taken together, these data demonstrate that neural

activity stimulates SHH signaling, initiating bidirectional communication between neurons and

astrocytes that promotes synaptic plasticity.

A large body of evidence now shows that astrocytes respond directly to neural activity. Glutamate

elicits Ca^{2+} signaling[55,56] that promotes the release of gliotransmitters, including glutamate, ATP and D-

serine[57]. Neural activity also regulates expression of astrocyte genes, including those that regulate

synapse formation and function[58]. Yet the molecular mechanisms by which astrocytes translate neural

activity into changes in gene expression have not been identified. Here we show that neural activity

modulates SHH signaling between neurons and astrocytes. Neural activity generated by either

chemogenetic or environmental stimulation increased SHH signaling and conversely, sensory

deprivation or removal of the stimulus downregulated the pathway.   Notably, activity-dependent SHH

signaling was selectively increased in appropriate circuits as stimulation of the somatosensory cortex

did not increase activity of the pathway in the visual cortex. While the number of astrocytes transducing

SHH increases following EE, the number of neurons expressing *Shh* remains consistent between EE

and SH conditions. This suggests that SHH signaling is initiated by a defined population of neurons

that signals to neighboring astrocytes in a dynamically regulated manner such that increased neural

activity recruits additional astrocytes. In this way, SHH signaling cooperates with glutamatergic

signaling to recruit astrocytes during times of heightened neuronal activity, promoting astrocyte

interactions with synapses. These experiments were performed on mice at P21, corresponding to the

end of critical period plasticity for the somatosensory cortex[59], suggesting that SHH signaling mediates

astrocyte modulation of mature, relatively established circuits. Several lines of evidence point to a role

for SHH in regulating synapses. Genetic activation of the pathway selectively in astrocytes increases

cortical synapse density that is mediated through upregulation of synapse-modifying genes[21].

Consistent with this, our data show that the synaptogenic cues, Hevin and SPARC, are regulated by

SHH signaling. Interestingly, selective loss of SHH signaling in astrocytes from birth also increases

synapse number. However this phenotype arises due to perturbation in developmental elimination of

cortical synapses, leading to elevated synapse number that persists into adulthood[22]. Collectively,

these observations demonstrate two essential features of astrocytic SHH signaling. First, SHH acts on

astrocytes in mature circuits, as well as during the initial establishment of circuits in postnatal

development, regulating gene expression programs that promote astrocyte modulation of synapses.

Second, the mechanisms by which astrocytic SHH signaling modulates synapses vary with respect to

circuit maturity. In established circuits, SHH signaling promotes synaptic plasticity through the

regulation of astrocyte-derived synapse modifying cues. During postnatal development, as nascent

neural circuits are being formed, SHH signaling acts to ensure appropriate organization and function of

synapses. Thus, SHH is a versatile cue that regulates astrocyte modulation of synapses.

Astrocyte modification of synapses is mediated by a diverse repertoire of molecular cues, including the

release and secretion of matricellular and cell adhesion molecules[60,61]. Constitutive activation of SHH

signaling in cortical astrocytes increases SPARC expression[21], suggesting SHH is sufficient for its

upregulation. Here, we extend this finding and report that selective interruption of pathway activity in

astrocytes significantly decreases SPARC expression, demonstrating a requirement for SHH signaling

in regulating SPARC. We further demonstrate that Hevin, a structurally related protein with

synaptogenic function, is also SHH-dependent. Immunohistochemical labeling, together with genetic

marking of *Gli1*-expressing astrocytes, shows that these proteins are enriched in cells with SHH

activity. It should be noted that although *Gli1*-expressing astrocytes in deep layers show higher levels

of SPARC and Hevin, upper layer astrocytes also express these proteins, suggesting that their

expression is regulated by additional mechanisms that remain to be discovered. Our data further show

that sensory experience upregulates SPARC and Hevin expression in deep layer astrocytes and that

this requires intact SHH signaling. Hevin promotes the structural formation of synapses through its

interactions with neurexin and neuroligin and also promotes spine maturation[49,62]. Our data show that

both spine density and the proportion of spines with a mushroom morphology increase in a SHH-

dependent manner following sensory experience.   Taken together, these observations are consistent

with the idea that experience-dependent structural plasticity is mediated by upregulation of Hevin in a

SHH-dependent manner. In contrast to Hevin, the actions of SPARC on synapses are less well

understood. SPARC has been shown to both antagonize and promote synapses[48,51]. Our data

showing that synapse density increases following sensory experience, despite an increase in SPARC

expression, would seem to be in conflict with reports that SPARC antagonizes the synaptogenic actions

of Hevin. An intriguing possibility is that Hevin and SPARC are differentially upregulated at specific

synapses, enabling local regulation by specific perisynaptic astrocyte processes. shRNA knockdown of

SPARC in astrocytes selectively reduces cortico-cortical VGlut1-labeled synapses, but not

thalamocortical VGlut2-labeled synapses in deep layers[21]. Both the Hevin and SPARC antibodies used

in this study were raised in the same species, precluding a direct test of this possibility, however future

studies including EM approaches are necessary to fully elucidate the role of SPARC in SHH-dependent

astrocyte modulation of synapses.

Here, we focus on SHH activity in the somatosensory cortex. However, SHH signaling is found

throughout multiple cortical regions, including in the auditory and visual cortex, as well as in the frontal

cortex[19]. This suggests that SHH signaling is broadly available to various circuits as a molecular

mechanism by which neurons can recruit astrocytes during periods of heightened activity to support

synaptic function and plasticity.   Though found in multiple cortical regions, in the hippocampus,

astrocytic SHH signaling is largely absent[19,22] and instead is found predominantly in adult neural stem

cells[63], where its activity is required for their maintenance[64,65]. This suggests that astrocytic SHH

signaling, though widespread throughout the forebrain, regulates discrete circuits. Notably, differential

activity of the pathway is also found within a given region. Genetic deletion of SHH signaling,

selectively in layers IV and V, does not perturb structural plasticity in layer II/III, suggesting that

astrocyte modulation of synapses is mediated by distinct mechanisms, even within a defined circuit.

Although active SHH signaling is found predominantly in deep cortical layers, several components of

the pathway, including *Gli2*, *Gli3,* and *Ptch1* are found in upper layer astrocytes[19], suggesting these

cells fail to receive sufficient ligand to initiate pathway activity. This suggests that promoting SHH

availability to upper layer astrocytes would promote similar effects on synapses. In support of this,

ectopic expression of *Shh* in upper layer neurons promotes expression of SHH target genes, including

Sparc and *Kcnj10*[21]. The precise mechanism restricting SHH to deep layers is not known. In

development, the SHH gradient is established by interactions with extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins,

including heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs)[66]. In *Drosophila*, the movement of Hedgehog (Hh)

across cells is regulated by Dally and Dally-like, two glypicans that colocalize with Hh and act to restrict

its movement[67]. Recent work in vertebrate cells suggests that loss of glypican 5 (*gpc5*) broadens the

spread of SHH[66], suggesting that glypican-mediated regulation of SHH movement is conserved. The

related glypicans, glypican 4 and 6, are expressed by astrocytes and regulate AMPA receptor

composition at the synapse[68] and more recently, *gpc5* has been shown to regulate GluA2 at the

synapse and promote synapse stabilization[69]. This suggests that SHH signaling and glypicans work

cooperatively in astrocyte modulation of synaptic function.

Precisely how SHH is released from neurons is poorly understood. Several lines of evidence point to

axonal trafficking and release[70,71], consistent with activity-dependent release. Studies in cell culture

and by EM demonstrate that SHH is localized with synaptic vesicles[31] and is released by high

frequency stimulation[26]. Although cells with *Gli1* activity are found most abundantly in layers IV and V

of the cortex, we found few *Shh*-expressing neurons in the thalamus. Instead, SHH is found

predominantly in layer V pyramidal neurons, suggesting that SHH activity in the cortex is derived from

local neuronal sources, rather than long distance projections. This may arise from recurrent collateral

innervation by layer IV and V neurons[39].   SHH is also found in extracellular vesicles[72,73], specialized

vesicles derived from endosomes carrying diverse cargoes, including proteins, lipids and RNA

molecules, that mediate cell-cell communication. Exosome release is stimulated by neuronal activity[74].

The localization of *Gli1*-expressing cells in deep cortical layers, adjacent to *Shh*-expressing soma, is

consistent with an exosomal source of SHH, though release of SHH from synaptic vesicles from

recurrent collaterals cannot be ruled out.   Interestingly, our genetic labeling experiments demonstrated

that activity did not increase the number of neurons expressing SHH. This suggests that activity

increases the number of synaptic vesicles or exosomes releasing ligand, enabling greater availability in

the extracellular space to reach more cells. Further work with SHH fusion proteins to identify its

localization within specific cellular compartments could shed light on the precise mechanism by which

neurons release SHH.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Corey Harwell and Dr. Lucas Cheadle for thoughtful comments on this

manuscript and throughout the project. The authors would also like to acknowledge Pooja Sakthivel for

technical support on this project, as well as all members of the Garcia lab.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, A.D.L., M.F., A.D.R.G.; Investigation, A.D.L., M.F., R.K., G.Z.; Writing A.D.L. and

A.D.R.G.; Review and editing, A.D.L. and A.D.R.G.; Funding acquisition, A.D.R.G.; Supervision

A.D.R.G.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Key Resources Table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Polyclonal Goat anti SPARC	R&D Systems	AF942
Polyclonal Goat anti SPARC-like 1 (SPARCL1/ Hevin)	R&D Systems	AF2836
Polyclonal Chicken anti β-Gal	Abcam	AB9361
Polyclonal Rabbit anti β-Gal	Invitrogen	A-11132
Polyclonal Rabbit anti c-Fos	Cell Signaling	2250S
Polyclonal Rabbit anti Sox9	Novus	NVP1-85551
Polyclonal Rabbit anti NeuN	Novus	NBP2-10491
Polyclonal Sheep anti CAII	Serotec	AHP206
Polyclonal Rat anti HA	Sigma/ Roche	11867423001
Polyclonal Rabbit anti GluA1	Millipore Sigma	AB1504
Polyclonal Chicken anti GFP	Invitrogen	A10262
Mouse Strains		
Gfap-Cre (line 73.12)[75]	The Jackson Laboratory;	Stock no. 12886
Gli1$^{CreER/+}$[63]	The Jackson Laboratory;	Stock no. 7913
Gli1$^{nlacZ/+}$[25]		
Shh$^{CreER/+}$[76]		
Ai14 (B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm14(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}$/J)[77]	The Jackson Laboratory;	Stock no. 7914
Smo$^{fl/fl}$[78]	The Jackson Laboratory;	Stock no. 4526
Thy1-GFPm[45]	The Jackson Laboratory;	Stock no. 7788

Oligonucleotides		
Hevin CACTCCGAGTAGTCCCTCCA (forward) AAACTGTGAGTGGTGAGCGA (reverse)	Invitrogen	
Sparc TAGCTGCTCGGAGGGGAATGT (forward) TCACCATCCGCATGTTCCCAC (reverse)	Invitrogen	
Gli1 AACATGGCGTCTCAGGGAAG (reverse) GACGGAGGTCTCTTTGTCCG (forward)	Invitrogen	
Gapdh CATGGCCTACATGGCCTCCA (forward) TGGGATAGGGCCTCTCTTGCT (reverse)	Invitrogen	
Viral Constructs		
AAV8-CaMKIIa-hM3D(Gq)- mCherry	Addgene	50476-AAV8
AAV5-hSyn-hM3D(Gq)-HA	Addgene	121539-AAV5

Software		
PRISM	GraphPad	
ImageJ	Fiji	
CFX Manager	Bio-Rad	

METHODS

Animals

All experiments were approved by Drexel University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were conducted according to approved protocols. Transgenic mouse lines are listed in the key resources table, used on the C57BL/6 background. Postnatal (P) day 18 – 90 mice were used for this study. Mice of both sexes were included in each experiment.

Enriched experience housing

P21 littermates were weaned into either enriched environment (EE) or standard housing (SH) cages for two days or three weeks. Large rat cages were used. The EE cage contained strings of beads hung from wire lids, positioned densely throughout the cage, with the density and spacing altered every 3 days to promote novelty. The SH cage contained only standard hub and nesting materials. A minimum of 7 mice was used for each cage. Data from each analysis was collected over 2-5separate experiments from separate litters.

Whisker trimming

Whisker trimming procedure was conducted on P21 mice. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane in an induction chamber (3%) and then placed under dissecting scope with continuous isoflurane inhalation (1.5%). Micro scissors were used to trim whiskers on the right side, as close to the skin as possible. Whiskers were trimmed every 3 days, for the duration of 3 weeks.

Tamoxifen administration

Tamoxifen (Sigma) was dissolved in corn oil at a 20 mg/ml concentration at 37°C. Mice received 3

doses of 250mg/kg tamoxifen by oral gavage over 3 days and tissues were analyzed 2 days or 2-3

weeks after the last dose. For enriched experience and chemogenetic stimulation, tamoxifen was

administered during the last days of stimulation.

Virus injection

All AAV injections were conducted on adult mice at P60 or older. Immediately prior to surgery, mice

received a subcutaneous injection of carprofen (Rimadyl, 6.8 mg/kg), and a follow up injection given the

day after surgery. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane in an induction chamber (3%) and then

placed in a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting) with continuous isoflurane inhalation (1.5%). The scalp

was opened and a small area of the skull was removed near injection site. Stereotaxic coordinates for

somatosensory cortex injection were AP -1.5 mm, ML -2.5 mm, DV -0.5 mm.

Viruses were stored in aliquots at -80°C and kept on ice until injection. Virus titers were $\geq 3\times10^{12}$ vg/mL

for AAV8-CaMKIIa-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry and $\geq 7\times10^{12}$ vg/mL for AAV5-hSyn-hM3D(Gq)-HA. Virus was

front-filled into a glass syringe (Hamilton). Injection volume was 1000 nL, delivered at 200 nL/ min

controlled by microinjector (WPI). After injection, mice were allowed to recover for 2 weeks before

administration of CNO (Tocris). CNO was dissolved in drinking water, aimed to deliver a dosage of 1mg

CNO per kg mouse over 1 week. Control mice received water only.

505
506
Collection of animal tissue

Animals used in experiments were each assigned a unique 4-5 digit ID in order to blind researchers.

Mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/ xylazine/ acepromazine,

transcardially injected with 100 units heparin and perfused with ice-cold PBS followed by 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma-Aldrich). Brains were dissected and fixed for at least 4 hours in PFA at

4ºC then transferred to 30% sucrose at 4ºC for at least 48 hours. Brains were cryosectioned (Leica

CM3050s) and collected in 40 µm sections. Sections were stored in 0.1M Tris-buffered saline (TBS)

with 0.05% sodium azide at 4ºC. Immunohistochemistry was performed with primary antibodies listed in

the resources table below. Sections were washed three times with 0.1M TBS at room temperature (10

minutes/wash) then blocked in 10% Normal Serum with 0.5% Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich) for one hour at

room temperature. Sections were then incubated with primary antibody in 0.5% Triton-X at 4ºC

overnight.

Immunohistochemistry

For fluorescent immunohistochemistry, sections were rinsed for three times the following day in 0.1M

TBS and incubated in AlexaFluor-conjugated secondary antibodies with 10% Normal Serum in 0.1M

TBS at room temperature for two hours. Sections were then rinsed in 0.1M TBS and incubated in DAPI

(Life Technologies) for 15 minutes. Sections were rinsed again in 0.1M TBS, mounted on microscope

slides (Fisherbrand), and coverslipped using ProLong Gold Antifade Mounting Medium (Invitrogen

#P10144) and Fisherfinest Premium Cover Glass. For brightfield immunohistochemistry, sections were

rinsed as previously described but were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibodies against the

primary species (Vector Laboratories) for one hour. Sections were transferred to Avidin-Biotin Complex

solution (Vector) for one hour and visualized using 3,3'-diaminobenzadine (DAB Peroxidase Substrate

Kit, Vector). Sections were then mounted onto slides as described, dehydrated through increasing

concentrations of EtOH, and cover slipped with DPX mounting medium (Fisher).

Fluorescent In situ hybridization (RNAscope)

All fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH) experiments were performed on brain tissue fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde and processed using cryosectioning at 14 µm and directly slide mounted, with one

brain section per slide. The assay was carried out using the "fixed frozen sample preparation and

treatment" and the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent v2 Assay using the manufacturer's instructions.

The original protocol can be found here on the ACDBio website: https://acdbio.com/documents/product-

documents.

Confocal z-stack images were acquired at 63x for blinded analysis in ImageJ (FIJI). Cells with at least 4

puncta were identified as positive for *Gli1* expression. The number of *Gli1+* cells were divided by the

total number of DAPI cells within the z-stack, and then normalized to SH condition. For each animal,

each layer, at least 2 z-stacks were analyzed for a minimum of 100 DAPI cells.

Stereological quantification

The number of cells in each area of the cortex was estimated using a modified optical fractionator and

stereological image analysis software (Stereo Investigator, MBF Bioscience) with upright microscope

(Zeiss) with a computer-driven stage. The cortical area of interest (somatosensory, motor or visual

cortex) was outlined at a low magnification, using anatomical landmarks as described in Paxinos and

Franklin's The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates 4th Ed. For somatosensory cortex, sections

from A-P Bregma 1.33mm to -2.15mm were analyzed. For visual cortex, sections from A-P Bregma -

2.27mm to -4.59mm were analyzed. A target cell count of 150 and target coefficient of error (m=1) of <

0.1 was used to define number of sections counted, scan grid, and counting frame size. Counting

frames were randomly selected by the image analysis software and cells were counted at the 40x

objective with a DIC optic filter. Only cells with a clearly labeled cell body were counted.

Fluorescent intensity analysis

Immunofluorescent slides were imaged using Leica DMI 4000B microscope equipped with TCS SPE

confocal system. Regions of interest were identified with 10x dry objective and confocal z-stacks were

acquired with 40x or 63x oil objectives. To analyze protein abundance in a single astrocyte, a 20 μm x

20 μm region of interest is drawn in ImageJ around an astrocyte identified by fluorescent signal of

either tdTomato, βGal or Sox9 staining as astrocyte marker. This region of interest only contains one

astrocyte of interest and not contain signal from other cells. The mean fluorescent intensity and

histogram from one z-plane is collected. At least 25 cells were sampled per animal, dispersed

throughout multiple sites encompassing the somatosensory cortex. The mean fluorescent intensities of

the cells were averaged to produce the fluorescent intensity value for one animal, represented in the

graph as one data point.

Dendritic spine analysis

Analysis of spine density was performed in a blinded study design. WT controls were derived from Cre-

negative littermates. For analysis in the cortex, apical dendrites of layer V pyramidal neurons located in

layers II/III, IV and V were imaged. For analysis in the hippocampus, apical dendrites from CA1

pyramidal neurons were imaged. Confocal z-stacks were collected with 63x oil objective, at 0.35 μm

steps. Spine density was determined by first tracing the dendritic segment in ImageJ and obtaining the

length. Analyzed segments range from 75 – 125 μm. Protrusions were classified into mushroom,

intermediate or filopodial morphologies. Protrusions exhibiting a bright, bulbous head with a large head-

to-stalk ratio were classified as mushroom. Thinner protrusions with a dimmer, smaller or no head were

classified as intermediate. Dim, thin and elongated protrusions that lack any head were classified as

filopodia. For GluA1 colocalization analysis in dendritic spines, 63x z-stack images were analyzed.

Only spine heads colocalizing with a clearly defined GluA1 puncta were categorized as positive for

GluA1 (such as in Figure 7). The number of GluA1 positive protrusions were divided by the total

number of protrusions on the segment to obtain the fraction of GluA1 positive protrusions.

Quantitative reverse transcriptase – polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Mice were deeply anesthetized by isoflurane before rapid decapitation. Cortices were dissected into

TRIzol reagent (Thermo-Fisher, Waltham, MA) and RNA was extracted according to TRIzol's standard

protocol. RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription

Kit (Thermo-Fisher). qPCR was performed with the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System

(Bio-Rad) using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo-Fisher). Primers were designed using

NCBI Primer-BLAST (see resources table). Samples were run in triplicate. Data were analyzed using

the CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad), using the ΔΔCt method. Gapdh was used as the reference

gene, itself having no difference of expression between sample groups.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Statistical analysis and data visualization were performed using Prism (GraphPad). Welch's t-tests,

one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, pair-wise t-

tests were used with reported p-values. In all graphs, data points represent individual animals.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Neuronal activity stimulates SHH signaling.

(A) Schematic depicting experimental approach. $Gli1^{nlacZ/+}$ mice were injected with AAV8-CamKIIα-

hM3DGq-mCherry in the somatosensory cortex. Two weeks later, mice were fed CNO-medicated or

unmedicated drinking water for 7 days before analysis. **(B)** Transfected region can be visualized with

mCherry. SSCtx, somatosensory cortex. Scale bar, 250 µm. **(C)** mCherry (magenta, left panel) and c-

Fos (green, middle panel) in transfected region. Arrows point to cells co-expressing mCherry and c-

Fos. Scale bar, 25 µm. **(D, G)** Brightfield immunohistochemistry for c-Fos (D) and βGal (G) in the

somatosensory cortex. Scale bar, 25 µm. **(E, H)** Stereological quantification of c-Fos (E) and βGal (H)

in the transfected region shows increased neural activity and increased SHH signaling in mice that

received CNO compared to water-fed controls. **(F)** Immunofluorescent staining for Sox9 (magenta, left

panel) and βGal (green, middle panel) in transfected region. Arrows point to βGal cells co-labeled with

Sox9. Scale bar, 25 µm. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-

test.

Figure 2. Enriched experience stimulates SHH signaling.

(A) Experimental timeline. $Gli1^{nlacZ/+}$ mice were weaned into standard housing (SH) or enriched

experience (EE) cages at P21 and analyzed at P42. **(B)** Representative brightfield

immunohistochemistry for βGal in the somatosensory cortex. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(C-F)** Stereological

quantification of cells expressing the immediate-early gene *c-Fos* (C, E) or βGal (D, F) in the

somatosensory (C, D) or visual (E, F) reveals increased activity and SHH signaling in the

somatosensory cortex, but not visual cortex. **(G)** Schematic depicting genetic strategy to label cells with

temporally distinct SHH signaling (left panel) and timeline of experiment (right panel). In $Gli1^{nlacZ/+}$ mice,

βGal expression labels cells with active SHH signaling. In $Gli1^{CreER}$;Ai14 mice, Cre-mediated

recombination promotes permanent expression of tdTom. In $Gli1^{CreER/nlacZ}$;Ai14 mice, tdTom expression

identifies cells with SHH signaling at baseline (t_0) and βGal expression identifies SHH signaling in cells

at the end of the experiment (t_1). Double labeled cells identify cells with persistent SHH signaling

throughout the experiment. **(H-I)** Low power (H) and high power (I) images showing tdTom expression

(magenta, left panel) and immunostaining for β-Gal (green, middle panel; merged image, right panel) in

the cortex of mice housed in EE as described in (G). Scale bar, 250 μm. (I) Individual cells show those

with upregulation (blue arrowhead), downregulation (open arrowhead) and persistent (white arrow)

SHH activity. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(J-L)** The fraction of βGal-labeled cells that are single labeled (J),

tdTom-labeled cells that are single labeled (K), and all cells that are double labeled (L) in SH or EE.

Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-test.

Figure 3. SHH signaling responds rapidly to sensory activation and is not persistent.

(A) Brightfield immunohistochemistry for β-Gal in the somatosensory cortex of $Gli1^{nlacZ/+}$ mice housed in

SH (upper panel) or EE (lower panel) for 2 days. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(B)** Stereological quantification of β-

Gal shows increased SHH activity in the somatosensory cortex after 2 days of EE. **(C)** Representative

images of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization for *Gli1* mRNA in deep layers of the cortex from SH, EE,

EE+SH housing conditions. Dotted line outlines individual DAPI nuclei with *Gli1* mRNA. Note the

increase in *Gli1* transcripts in EE compared to SH. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(D)** Fraction of DAPI nuclei

positive for *Gli1* mRNA in Layers II/ III, IV and V of the cortex from mice housed in SH or EE for 4 days

or EE for 2 days followed by SH for 2 days (EE+SH). In each layer, at least 50 cells analyzed per

animal. Data points represent individual animals; data represent mean ± SEM; Welch's t-test (B) and

one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons (D). Only p values <0.05 are shown. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01,

n.s. not significant.

Figure 4. Sensory experience does not increase the number of Shh-expressing neurons.

(A) Schematic depicting experimental approach. P21 *Shh$^{CreER/+}$;* Ai14 mice were weaned into SH or EE

cages at P21 and received 3 doses of tamoxifen from P39 - P41. Tissues were analyzed two weeks

after the last tamoxifen dose. **(B-D)** Low power image showing tdTom labeled cells (magenta) in

Shh$^{CreER/+}$; Ai14 mice. Labeled cells are found predominantly in layer V. Insets show individual cells

with neuronal morphology in the cortex (C) and no labeled cells in the ventral posterior medial (VPM)

nucleus of the thalamus (D). Counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 25 μm. **(E)** The number of

Shh neurons in the cortex in SH and EE mice. **(F)** *Shh$^{CreER/+}$*; Ai14 mice were injected with AAV5-hSyn-

hM3DGq-HA targeting the somatosensory cortex. After 2 weeks, mice were fed CNO-medicated or

unmedicated water for 10 days, receiving 3 doses of tamoxifen during the last 3 days. Tissues were

analyzed seven days later. dpi, days post injection. **(G-I)** Immunolabeling for HA (green) shows the

transduced region overlapping with tdTom labeled (magenta) cells in the cortex. Inset shown in (H).

Individual cell shown at high power in (I). Scale bar, 25 μm. **(J)** The number of *Shh* neurons in the

cortex between water control versus CNO. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ±

SEM; Welch's t-tests.

Figure 5. Experience-dependent structural plasticity requires SHH signaling.

(A-B) Representative dendritic segments in deep layers of WT (A) and *Gfap Smo* CKO (B) mice

housed in SH or EE. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(C)** Spine density of dendritic segments in deep layers of WT and

Gfap Smo CKO mice housed in SH or EE. **(D)** tdTom (magenta) labeled astrocytes and GFP (white)

labeled neurons in the cortex of WT (C) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (D) mice. Scale bar, 100 µm. **(E)** Dendritic

segments from *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice housed in SH or EE. **(F)** Spine density of dendritic segments in

deep layers is shown. **(G)** Spine density of upper layer dendritic segments from WT (left) and *Gli1 Smo*

CKO (right) mice. **(H)** tdTom (magenta) and GFP (white) labeled cells in area CA1 of the hippocampus

from WT and *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice, counterstained with DAPI (blue). Area CA1 contains few tdTom

labeled cells reflecting the absence of Shh activity in hippocampal astrocytes. Scale bar, 50 µm. **(I)**

Spine density from apical dendrites of CA1 neurons in *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice housed in SH or EE. Data

points represent individual animals, bars show mean ± SEM, *n ≥ 3 - 5* dendritic segments analyzed per

animal. Statistical testing performed by two-way ANOVA or Welch's t-test. s.o., stratum oriens; s.r.,

stratum radiatum.

Figure 6. SHH signaling regulates expression of astrocyte-derived synapse-modifying cues

Hevin and SPARC.

(A, F) Low power images of the cortex from *Gli1*[CreER/+]*;* Ai14 showing tdTom cells (magenta, left panels)

localized primarily in deep layers and immunofluorescent staining for Hevin (A, gray) and SPARC (F,

gray) (middle panels) shows that Hevin and SPARC are enriched in deep layer astrocytes. Merged

images in right panels. **(B-C, G-H)** Individual cells from upper layer (Layer II/ III) labeled with Sox9

(green, B, G) and deep layers (Layers IV & V) labeled with tdTom (magenta, C, H). Scale bar, 10 µm.

(D, I) Single cell analysis of fluorescence intensity of Hevin (D) and SPARC (I) immunostaining in upper

and deep layer astrocytes. *n=3* animals, 50 cells analyzed per animal. **(E, J)** qPCR for *Hevin* (E) or

Sparc (J) expression in WT vs *Gfap Smo* CKO mice. *Hevin* and *Sparc* expression are significantly

lower in CKO mice compared to WT controls. *n=13* WT mice, *n=8 Gfap Smo* CKO. Data points

represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests.

Figure 7. Experience-dependent Hevin and SPARC are SHH-dependent.

(A-B, D-E) Immunofluorescent staining for βGal (green, left panels, A, B, D, E) and Hevin (gray, middle

panels, A, B) or SPARC (middle panels, D, E) in *Gli1^{nlacZ/+}* mice housed in SH vs EE. Merged images in

right panels. Scale bar, 10 µm. **(C, F)** Single cell analysis of Hevin (C) or SPARC (F) fluorescent

intensity in βGal-labeled cells. *n=5-6* mice in SH, *n=7* mice in EE, 50 cells analyzed per animal. **(G-H,**

J-K) Immunostaining for Sox9 (green, left panels, G, H, J, K) and Hevin (gray, middle panels, G, H) or

SPARC (gray, middle panels, J, K) in *Gfap Smo* CKO mice housed in SH vs EE. Merged images in

right panels. Scale bar, 10 µm. **(I, L)** Single cell analysis of Hevin (I) or SPARC (L) fluorescent

intensity in Sox9-labeled astrocytes. *n=3-5* mice in SH, *n=4-6* mice in EE, 25 cells analyzed per animal.

Scale bar, 10 µm. **(M)** Representative examples of protrusions categorized as mushroom spines

(arrows). Scale bar, 5 µm. **(N-P)** Fraction of mushroom spines from WT (N), *Gfap Smo* CKO (O) and

Gli1 Smo CKO (P) mice after SH vs EE. *n=2-6* mice from SH, *n=4-6* mice from EE, at least 300 spines

from 3 dendritic segments analyzed per animal. **(Q)** Immunostaining for GluA1 (magenta) in dendritic

spines. Arrow points to spine colocalizing with GluA1 puncta. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(R-T)** The fraction of

GluA1+ protrusions in WT (R), *Gfap Smo* CKO (S) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (T) mice after SH or EE. *n=2-6*

mice in SH, *n=3-5* mice in EE, at least 200 spines analyzed per animal. Data points represent

individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests.

Figure S1: There is no difference in SHH activity between males and females. Related to Figure

2.

(A) Stereological quantification of βGal cells in the somatosensory cortex at baseline from P42 *Gli1^{nlacZ/+}*

mice between males and females; *n=4* mice. **(B-C)** Stereological quantification of βGal cells in males

(B) and females (C) housed in SH and EE; *n=3-8* mice in SH, *n=6-10* mice in EE. Data pooled from 2

day and 21 day experiments. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM;

Welch's t-tests.

Figure S2: Enriched experience stimulates SHH activity in astrocytes. Related to Figure 2.

(A-D) Immunofluorescence for βGal (green), and Sox9 (A), NeuN (B), CAII (C) or Ki67 (D; magenta) in the cortex of *Gli1$^{nlacZ/+}$* mice. Counterstained with DAPI (blue). Merged images shown in right panels.

(E) The fraction of βGal-labeled cells colocalizing with Sox9 does not change between SH and EE. *n=4* mice in SH, *n=5* mice in EE, 100-400 βGal cells analyzed per animal. Scale bar, 10 μm; data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-test.

Figure S3: Whisker deprivation reduces SHH activity.

(A-B) Stereological quantification of βGal cells from the ipsilateral (intact) and contralateral (deprived) barrel (A) and auditory (B) cortex after 3 weeks of unilateral whisker trimming. *n=3* mice, data points represent individual animals, bars show mean ± SEM; Paired t-tests.

Figure S4: SHH activity is effectively disrupted in Smo CKO mice without altering spine density at baseline. Related to Figure 5.

(A-B) qPCR for *Gli1* expression in WT and *Gfap Smo* CKO (A) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (B) mice. *n=4-6* mice. **(C)** Representative dendritic segments from WT versus *Gli1 Smo* CKO at baseline. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(D)** Protrusion density between WT versus *Gli1 Smo* CKO at baseline. *n=2* WT mice, *n=3 Gli1 Smo* CKO mice, 3 dendritic segments analyzed per animal. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests (A, D), and one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons (B).

Figure S5: Hevin and SPARC are enriched in deep layers of the cortex where SHH signaling is active. Related to Figure 6.

(A-B) Fluorescence intensity measurements of Hevin (A) and SPARC (B) across cortical layers. *n=5-7* animals, 2 sections analyzed per animal. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. Only p values < 0.05 are reported.

Figure S6: Experience-dependent maturation of dendritic spines requires SHH activity. Related

to Figure 7.

(A) Apical dendrites from layer V neurons, arrows point to intermediate spines. Scale bar, 5 μm.

(B-D) Fraction of intermediate spines in WT (B), *Gfap Smo* CKO (C) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (D) mice after

exposure to SH or EE housing. *n=2-6* mice for SH, *n=4-6* mice for EE. **(E)** Apical dendrites from layer

V neurons, arrow points to filopodia. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(F-H)** Fraction of filopodia in WT (F), *Gfap Smo*

CKO (G) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (H) mice after exposure to SH or EE housing. *n=2-6* mice for SH, *n=4-6*

mice for EE. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests.

REFERENCES

Perea, G., Navarrete, M. & Araque, A. Tripartite synapses: astrocytes process and control synaptic information. *Trends Neurosci* **32**, 421-431 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.tins.2009.05.001

Ahmadpour, N. *et al.* Cortical astrocyte N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors influence whisker barrel activity and sensory discrimination in mice. *Nat Commun* **15**, 1571 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-024-45989-3

Liu, J., Feng, X., Wang, Y., Xia, X. & Zheng, J. C. Astrocytes: GABAceptive and GABAergic Cells in the Brain. *Front Cell Neurosci* **16**, 892497 (2022). https://doi.org:10.3389/fncel.2022.892497

Shelton, M. K. & McCarthy, K. D. Mature hippocampal astrocytes exhibit functional metabotropic and ionotropic glutamate receptors in situ. *Glia* **26**, 1-11 (1999). https://doi.org:10.1002/(sici)1098-1136(199903)26:1<1::aid-glia1>3.0.co;2-z

Armbruster, M. *et al.* Neuronal activity drives pathway-specific depolarization of peripheral astrocyte processes. *Nature Neuroscience* **25**, 607-616 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-022-01049-x

Farhy-Tselnicker, I. *et al.* Activity-dependent modulation of synapse-regulating genes in astrocytes. *Elife* **10** (2021). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.70514

Hasel, P. *et al.* Neurons and neuronal activity control gene expression in astrocytes to regulate their development and metabolism. *Nature Communications* **8**, 15132 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/ncomms15132

Araque, A., Parpura, V., Sanzgiri, R. P. & Haydon, P. G. Tripartite synapses: glia, the unacknowledged partner. *Trends in Neurosciences* **22**, 208-215 (1999). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(98)01349-6

Halassa, M. M., Fellin, T. & Haydon, P. G. The tripartite synapse: roles for gliotransmission in health and disease. *Trends in Molecular Medicine* **13**, 54-63 (2007). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2006.12.005

Hrvatin, S. *et al.* Single-cell analysis of experience-dependent transcriptomic states in the mouse visual cortex. *Nature Neuroscience* **21**, 120-129 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-017-0029-5

Jourdain, P. *et al.* Glutamate exocytosis from astrocytes controls synaptic strength. *Nat Neurosci* **10**, 331-339 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1038/nn1849

Sapkota, D. *et al.* Activity-dependent translation dynamically alters the proteome of the perisynaptic astrocyte process. *Cell Rep* **41**, 111474 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111474

Wang, F. *et al.* Photolysis of caged Ca2+ but not receptor-mediated Ca2+ signaling triggers astrocytic glutamate release. *J Neurosci* **33**, 17404-17412 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1523/jneurosci.2178-13.2013

Stobart, J. L. *et al.* Cortical Circuit Activity Evokes Rapid Astrocyte Calcium Signals on a Similar Timescale to Neurons. *Neuron* **98**, 726-735.e724 (2018). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.03.050

15   Hasel, P. *et al.* Neurons and neuronal activity control gene expression in astrocytes to regulate
their development and metabolism. *Nature Communications* **8**, 1-17 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1038/ncomms15132

16   Genoud, C. *et al.* Plasticity of astrocytic coverage and glutamate transporter expression in adult
mouse cortex. *PLoS Biol* **4**, e343 (2006). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040343

17   Allen, N. J. & Eroglu, C. Cell Biology of Astrocyte-Synapse Interactions. *Neuron* **96**, 697-708
(2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.056

18   Zuñiga, N. R. & Stoeckli, E. T. Sonic Hedgehog—'Jack-of-All-Trades' in Neural Circuit
Formation. *Journal of Developmental Biology* **5** (2017).

19   Garcia, A. D. R., Petrova, R., Eng, L. & Joyner, A. L. Sonic Hedgehog Regulates Discrete
Populations of Astrocytes in the Adult Mouse Forebrain. *The Journal of neuroscience : the*
official journal of the Society for Neuroscience **30**, 13597-13608 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0830-10.2010

20   Farmer, W. T. *et al.* Neurons diversify astrocytes in the adult brain through sonic hedgehog
signaling. *Science* **351**, 849-854 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aab3103

21   Xie, Y. *et al.* Astrocyte-neuron crosstalk through Hedgehog signaling mediates cortical synapse
development. *Cell Reports* **38**, 110416 (2022).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110416

22   Hill, S. A. *et al.* Sonic hedgehog signaling in astrocytes mediates cell type-specific synaptic
organization. *eLife* **8**, e45545 (2019). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.45545

23   Harwell, Corey C. *et al.* Sonic Hedgehog Expression in Corticofugal Projection Neurons Directs
Cortical Microcircuit Formation. *Neuron* **73**, 1116-1126 (2012).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.009

24   Briscoe, J. & Therond, P. P. The mechanisms of Hedgehog signalling and its roles in
development and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **14**, 416-429 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nrm3598

25   Bai, C. B., Auerbach, W., Lee, J. S., Stephen, D. & Joyner, A. L. Gli2, but not Gli1, is required
for initial Shh signaling and ectopic activation of the Shh pathway. *Development* **129**, 4753-4761
(2002). https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.129.20.4753

26   Su, Y., Yuan, Y., Feng, S., Ma, S. & Wang, Y. High frequency stimulation induces sonic
hedgehog release from hippocampal neurons. *Sci Rep* **7**, 43865 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1038/srep43865

27   Charytoniuk, D. *et al.* Sonic Hedgehog signalling in the developing and adult brain. *J Physiol*
Paris **96**, 9-16 (2002). https://doi.org:10.1016/s0928-4257(01)00075-4

28   Peng, J. *et al.* Sonic Hedgehog Is a Remotely Produced Cue that Controls Axon Guidance
Trans-axonally at a Midline Choice Point. *Neuron* **97**, 326-340 e324 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.028

29   Traiffort, E. *et al.* Discrete localizations of hedgehog signalling components in the developing
and adult rat nervous system. *Eur J Neurosci* **11**, 3199-3214 (1999).
https://doi.org:10.1046/j.1460-9568.1999.00777.x

30   Traiffort, E., Charytoniuk, D. A., Faure, H. & Ruat, M. Regional distribution of Sonic Hedgehog,
patched, and smoothened mRNA in the adult rat brain. *J Neurochem* **70**, 1327-1330 (1998).
https://doi.org:10.1046/j.1471-4159.1998.70031327.x

31   Beug, S. T., Parks, R. J., McBride, H. M. & Wallace, V. A. Processing-dependent trafficking of
Sonic hedgehog to the regulated secretory pathway in neurons. *Molecular and Cellular*
Neuroscience **46**, 583-596 (2011). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2010.12.009

32   Alexander, G. M. *et al.* Remote Control of Neuronal Activity in Transgenic Mice Expressing
Evolved G Protein-Coupled Receptors. *Neuron* **63**, 27-39 (2009).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.06.014

33   Hui, C.-c. & Angers, S. Gli Proteins in Development and Disease. *Annual Review of Cell and*
Developmental Biology **27**, 513-537 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-
154048

34   Armbruster, B. N., Li, X., Pausch, M. H., Herlitze, S. & Roth, B. L. Evolving the lock to fit the key
to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently activated by an inert ligand.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **104**, 5163-5168 (2007).
https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0700293104

35   Sun, W. *et al.* SOX9 Is an Astrocyte-Specific Nuclear Marker in the Adult Brain Outside the
Neurogenic Regions. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
Neuroscience **37**, 4493 - 4507 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1523/jneurosci.3199-16.2017

36   Yang, G., Pan, F. & Gan, W.-B. Stably maintained dendritic spines are associated with lifelong
memories. *Nature* **462**, 920-924 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature08577

37   Niewiadomski, P. *et al.* Gli Proteins: Regulation in Development and Cancer. *Cells* **8** (2019).
https://doi.org:10.3390/cells8020147

38   Gingrich, E. C., Case, K. & Garcia, A. D. R. A subpopulation of astrocyte progenitors defined by
Sonic hedgehog signaling. *Neural Development* **17**, 2 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13064-
021-00158-w

39   Staiger, J. F. & Petersen, C. C. H. Neuronal Circuits in Barrel Cortex for Whisker Sensory
Perception. *Physiological Reviews* **101**, 353-415 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1152/physrev.00019.2019

40   Nimchinsky, E. A., Sabatini, B. L. & Svoboda, K. Structure and function of dendritic spines.
Annual review of physiology **64**, 313-353 (2002).

41   Chen, C.-C., Bajnath, A. & Brumberg, J. C. The Impact of Development and Sensory
Deprivation on Dendritic Protrusions in the Mouse Barrel Cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* **25**, 1638-1653
(2015). https://doi.org:10.1093/cercor/bht415

42   Jung, C. K. E. & Herms, J. Structural Dynamics of Dendritic Spines are Influenced by an
Environmental Enrichment: An In Vivo Imaging Study. *Cerebral Cortex* **24**, 377-384 (2014).
https://doi.org:10.1093/cercor/bhs317

43   Landers, M. S., Knott, G. W., Lipp, H. P., Poletaeva, I. & Welker, E. Synapse formation in adult
barrel cortex following naturalistic environmental enrichment. *Neuroscience* **199**, 143-152
(2011). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.10.040

44   Zuo, Y., Yang, G., Kwon, E. & Gan, W.-B. Long-term sensory deprivation prevents dendritic
spine loss in primary somatosensory cortex. *Nature* **436**, 261-265 (2005).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nature03715

45   Feng, G. *et al.* Imaging Neuronal Subsets in Transgenic Mice Expressing Multiple Spectral
Variants of GFP. *Neuron* **28**, 41-51 (2000). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-
6273(00)00084-2

46 Bradshaw, A. D. Diverse biological functions of the SPARC family of proteins. *The International*
Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology **44**, 480-488 (2012).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2011.12.021

47 Gan, K. J. & Südhof, T. C. SPARCL1 Promotes Excitatory But Not Inhibitory Synapse Formation
and Function Independent of Neurexins and Neuroligins. *J Neurosci* **40**, 8088-8102 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1523/jneurosci.0454-20.2020

48 Kucukdereli, H. *et al.* Control of excitatory CNS synaptogenesis by astrocyte-secreted proteins
Hevin and SPARC. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, E440-449 (2011).
https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1104977108

49 Risher, W. C. *et al.* Astrocytes refine cortical connectivity at dendritic spines. *eLife* **3**, e04047
(2014). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.04047

50 Albrecht, D. *et al.* SPARC prevents maturation of cholinergic presynaptic terminals. *Molecular*
and Cellular Neuroscience **49**, 364-374 (2012).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2012.01.005

51 Jones, E. V., Bernardinelli, Y., Zarruk, J. G., Chierzi, S. & Murai, K. K. SPARC and GluA1-
Containing AMPA Receptors Promote Neuronal Health Following CNS Injury. *Frontiers in*
Cellular Neuroscience **12** (2018).

52 Arellano, J., Benavides-Piccione, R., DeFelipe, J. & Yuste, R. Ultrastructure of dendritic spines:
correlation between synaptic and spine morphologies. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **1** (2007).

53 Holtmaat, A. & Svoboda, K. Experience-dependent structural synaptic plasticity in the
mammalian brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **10**, 647-658 (2009).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nrn2699

54 Matsuzaki, M., Honkura, N., Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. & Kasai, H. Structural basis of long-term
potentiation in single dendritic spines. *Nature* **429**, 761-766 (2004).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nature02617

55 Cornell-Bell, A. H., Finkbeiner, S. M., Cooper, M. S. & Smith, S. J. Glutamate induces calcium
waves in cultured astrocytes: long-range glial signaling. *Science* **247**, 470-473 (1990).
https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1967852

56 Porter, J. T. & McCarthy, K. D. Hippocampal astrocytes in situ respond to glutamate released
from synaptic terminals. *J Neurosci* **16**, 5073-5081 (1996). https://doi.org:10.1523/jneurosci.16-
16-05073.1996

57 Panatier, A. *et al.* Astrocytes are endogenous regulators of basal transmission at central
synapses. *Cell* **146**, 785-798 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.022

58 Sardar, D. *et al.* Induction of astrocytic Slc22a3 regulates sensory processing through histone
serotonylation. *Science* **380**, eade0027 https://doi.org:10.1126/science.ade0027

59 Pedrosa, L. R. R., Coimbra, G. d. S., Corrêa, M. G., Dias, I. A. & Bahia, C. P. Time Window of
the Critical Period for Neuroplasticity in S1, V1, and A1 Sensory Areas of Small Rodents: A
Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* **16** (2022).
https://doi.org:10.3389/fnana.2022.763245

60 Dallérac, G., Zapata, J. & Rouach, N. Versatile control of synaptic circuits by astrocytes: where,
when and how? *Nat Rev Neurosci* **19**, 729-743 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41583-018-
0080-6

61 Shan, L., Zhang, T., Fan, K., Cai, W. & Liu, H. Astrocyte-Neuron Signaling in Synaptogenesis.
Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology **9** (2021). https://doi.org:10.3389/fcell.2021.680301

62 Singh, S. K. *et al.* Astrocytes Assemble Thalamocortical Synapses by Bridging NRX1α and NL1
via Hevin. *Cell* **164**, 183-196 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.034

63 Ahn, S. & Joyner, A. L. In vivo analysis of quiescent adult neural stem cells responding to Sonic
hedgehog. *Nature* **437**, 894-897 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature03994

64 Balordi, F. & Fishell, G. Hedgehog signaling in the subventricular zone is required for both the
maintenance of stem cells and the migration of newborn neurons. *J Neurosci* **27**, 5936-5947
(2007). https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1040-07.2007

65 Petrova, R., Garcia, A. D. & Joyner, A. L. Titration of GLI3 repressor activity by sonic hedgehog
signaling is critical for maintaining multiple adult neural stem cell and astrocyte functions. *J
Neurosci* **33**, 17490-17505 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2042-13.2013

66 Guo, W. & Roelink, H. Loss of the Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycan Glypican5 Facilitates Long-
Range Sonic Hedgehog Signaling. *Stem Cells* **37**, 899-909 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1002/stem.3018

67 Han, C., Belenkaya, T. Y., Wang, B. & Lin, X. Drosophila glypicans control the cell-to-cell
movement of Hedgehog by a dynamin-independent process. *Development* **131**, 601-611
(2004). https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.00958

68 Allen, N. J. *et al.* Astrocyte glypicans 4 and 6 promote formation of excitatory synapses via
GluA1 AMPA receptors. *Nature* **486**, 410-414 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature11059

69 Bosworth, A. P. *et al.* Astrocyte glypican 5 regulates synapse maturation and stabilization.
bioRxiv, 2023.2003.2002.529949 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.03.02.529949

70 Traiffort, E., Moya, K. L., Faure, H., Hässig, R. & Ruat, M. High expression and anterograde
axonal transport of aminoterminal sonic hedgehog in the adult hamster brain. *European Journal
of Neuroscience* **14**, 839-850 (2001).

71 Wallace, V. A. & Raff, M. C. A role for Sonic hedgehog in axon-to-astrocyte signalling in the
rodent optic nerve. *Development* **126**, 2901-2909 (1999).
https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.126.13.2901

72 Coulter, M. E. *et al.* The ESCRT-III Protein CHMP1A Mediates Secretion of Sonic Hedgehog on
a Distinctive Subtype of Extracellular Vesicles. *Cell Reports* **24**, 973-986.e978 (2018).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.100

73 Vyas, N. *et al.* Vertebrate Hedgehog is secreted on two types of extracellular vesicles with
different signaling properties. *Sci Rep* **4**, 7357 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1038/srep07357

74 Sharma, P., Schiapparelli, L. & Cline, H. T. Exosomes function in cell-cell communication during
brain circuit development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **23**, 997-1004 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.conb.2013.08.005

75 Garcia, A. D., Doan, N. B., Imura, T., Bush, T. G. & Sofroniew, M. V. GFAP-expressing
progenitors are the principal source of constitutive neurogenesis in adult mouse forebrain. *Nat
Neurosci* **7**, 1233-1241 (2004). https://doi.org:10.1038/nn1340

76 Harfe, B. D. *et al.* Evidence for an Expansion-Based Temporal Shh Gradient in Specifying
Vertebrate Digit Identities. *Cell* **118**, 517-528 (2004).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.07.024

77 Madisen, L. *et al.* A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for
the whole mouse brain. *Nature Publishing Group* **13**, 133-140 (2009).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nn.2467

78   Long, F., Zhang, X. M., Karp, S., Yang, Y. & McMahon, A. P. Genetic manipulation of hedgehog
967   signaling in the endochondral skeleton reveals a direct role in the regulation of chondrocyte
968   proliferation. *Development* **128**, 5099-5108 (2001). https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.128.24.5099

Figure 1. Neuronal activity stimulates SHH signaling.
(A) Schematic depicting experimental approach. *Gli1^nlacZ/+*
mice were injected with AAV8-CamKIIα-hM3DGq-mCherry in
the somatosensory cortex. Two weeks later, mice were fed
CNO-medicated or unmedicated drinking water for 7 days
before analysis. **(B)** Transfected region can be visualized
with mCherry. SSCtx, somatosensory cortex. Scale bar, 250
μm. **(C)** mCherry (magenta, left panel) and c-Fos (green,
middle panel) in transfected region. Arrows point to cells
co-expressing mCherry and c-Fos. Scale bar, 25 μm. **(D,
G)** Brightfield immunohistochemistry for c-Fos (D) and βGal
(G) in the somatosensory cortex. Scale bar, 25 μm. **(E,
H)** Stereological quantification of c-Fos (E) and βGal (H)
in the transfected region shows increased neural activity
and increased SHH signaling in mice that received CNO
compared to water-fed controls. **(F)** Immunofluorescent
staining for Sox9 (magenta, left panel) and βGal (green,
middle panel) in transfected region. Arrows point to βGal
cells co-labeled with Sox9. Scale bar, 25 μm. Data points
represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM;
Welch's t-test.

Figure 2. Enriched experience stimulates SHH signaling.
(A) Experimental timeline. *Gli1^nlacZ/+* mice were weaned into standard housing (SH) or enriched experience (EE) cages at P21 and analyzed at P42. **(B)** Representative brightfield immunohistochemistry for βGal in the somatosensory cortex. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(C-F)** Stereological quantification of cells expressing the immediate-early gene *c-Fos* (C, E) or βGal (D, F) in the somatosensory (C, D) or visual (E, F) reveals increased activity and SHH signaling in the somatosensory cortex, but not visual cortex. **(G)** Schematic depicting genetic strategy to label cells with temporally distinct SHH signaling (left panel) and timeline of experiment (right panel). In *Gli1^nlacZ/+* mice, βGal expression labels cells with active SHH signaling. In *Gli1^CreER*;Ai14 mice, Cre-mediated recombination promotes permanent expression of tdTom. In *Gli1^CreER/lacZ*;Ai14 mice, tdTom expression identifies cells with SHH signaling at baseline (t_0) and βGal expression identifies SHH signaling in cells at the end of the experiment (t_1). Double labeled cells identify cells with persistent SHH signaling throughout the experiment. **(H-I)** Low power (H) and high power (I) images showing tdTom expression (magenta, left panel) and immunostaining for β-Gal (green, middle panel; merged image, right panel) in the cortex of mice housed in EE as described in (G). Scale bar, 250 μm. (I) Individual cells show those with upregulation (blue arrowhead), downregulation (open arrowhead) and persistent (white arrow) SHH activity. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(J-L)** The fraction of βGal-labeled cells that are single labeled (J), tdTom-labeled cells that are single labeled (K), and all cells that are double labeled (L) in SH or EE. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-test.

Figure 3. SHH signaling responds rapidly to sensory activation and is not persistent.
(A) Brightfield immunohistochemistry for β-Gal in the somatosensory cortex of *Gli1^nlacZ/+* mice housed in SH (upper panel) or EE (lower panel) for 2 days. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(B)** Stereological quantification of β-Gal shows increased SHH activity in the somatosensory cortex after 2 days of EE. **(C)** Representative images of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization for *Gli1* mRNA in deep layers of the cortex from SH, EE, EE+SH housing conditions. Dotted line outlines individual DAPI nuclei with *Gli1* mRNA. Note the increase in *Gli1* transcripts in EE compared to SH. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(D)** Fraction of DAPI nuclei positive for *Gli1* mRNA in Layers II/ III, IV and V of the cortex from mice housed in SH or EE for 4 days or EE for 2 days followed by SH for 2 days (EE+SH). In each layer, at least 50 cells analyzed per animal. Data points represent individual animals; data represent mean ± SEM; Welch's t-test (B) and one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons (D). Only p values <0.05 are shown. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n.s. not significant.

Figure 4. Sensory experience does not increase the number of *Shh*-expressing neurons.
(A) Schematic depicting experimental approach. P21 *Shh^CreER/+;* Ai14 mice were weaned into SH or EE cages at P21 and received 3 doses of tamoxifen from P39 - P41. Tissues were analyzed two weeks after the last tamoxifen dose. **(B-D)** Low power image showing tdTom labeled cells (magenta) in *Shh^CreER/+;* Ai14 mice. Labeled cells are found predominantly in layer V. Insets show individual cells with neuronal morphology in the cortex (C) and no labeled cells in the ventral posterior medial (VPM) nucleus of the thalamus (D). Counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 25 μm. **(E)** The number of *Shh* neurons in the cortex in SH and EE mice. **(F)** *Shh^CreER/+*; Ai14 mice were injected with AAV5-hSyn-hM3DGq-HA targeting the somatosensory cortex. After 2 weeks, mice were fed CNO-medicated or unmedicated water for 10 days, receiving 3 doses of tamoxifen during the last 3 days. Tissues were analyzed seven days later. dpi, days post injection. **(G-I)** Immunolabeling for HA (green) shows the transduced region overlapping with tdTom labeled (magenta) cells in the cortex. Inset shown in (H). Individual cell shown at high power in (I). Scale bar, 25 μm. **(J)** The number of *Shh* neurons in the cortex between water control versus CNO. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests.

Figure 5. Experience-dependent structural plasticity requires SHH signaling.

(A-B) Representative dendritic segments in deep layers of WT (A) and *Gfap Smo* CKO (B) mice housed in SH or EE. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(C)** Spine density of dendritic segments in deep layers of WT and *Gfap Smo* CKO mice housed in SH or EE. **(D)** tdTom (magenta) labeled astrocytes and GFP (white) labeled neurons in the cortex of WT (C) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (D) mice. Scale bar, 100 μm. **(E)** Dendritic segments from *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice housed in SH or EE. **(F)** Spine density of dendritic segments in deep layers is shown. **(G)** Spine density of upper layer dendritic segments from WT (left) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (right) mice. **(H)** tdTom (magenta) and GFP (white) labeled cells in area CA1 of the hippocampus from WT and *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice, counterstained with DAPI (blue). Area CA1 contains few tdTom labeled cells reflecting the absence of Shh activity in hippocampal astrocytes. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(I)** Spine density from apical dendrites of CA1 neurons in *Gli1 Smo* CKO mice housed in SH or EE. Data points represent individual animals, bars show mean ± SEM, *n ≥ 3 - 5* dendritic segments analyzed per animal. Statistical testing performed by two-way ANOVA or Welch's t-test. s.o., stratum oriens; s.r., stratum radiatum.

Figure 6. SHH signaling regulates expression of astrocyte-derived synapse-modifying cues Hevin and SPARC.

(A, F) Low power images of the cortex from *Gli1CreER/+;* Ai14 showing tdTom cells (magenta, left panels) localized primarily in deep layers and immunofluorescent staining for Hevin (A, gray) and SPARC (F, gray) (middle panels) shows that Hevin and SPARC are enriched in deep layer astrocytes. Merged images in right panels. (**B-C, G-H**) Individual cells from upper layer (Layer II/ III) labeled with Sox9 (green, B, G) and deep layers (Layers IV & V) labeled with tdTom (magenta, C, H). Scale bar, 10 μm. **(D, I)** Single cell analysis of fluorescence intensity of Hevin (D) and SPARC (I) immunostaining in upper and deep layer astrocytes. *n=3* animals, 50 cells analyzed per animal. **(E, J)** qPCR for *Hevin* (E) or *Sparc* (J) expression in WT vs *Gfap Smo* CKO mice. *Hevin* and *Sparc* expression are significantly lower in CKO mice compared to WT controls. *n=13* WT mice, *n=8 Gfap Smo* CKO. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests.

Figure 7. Experience-dependent Hevin and SPARC are SHH-dependent.
(A-B, D-E) Immunofluorescent staining for βGal (green, left panels, A, B, D, E) and Hevin (gray, middle panels, A, B) or SPARC (middle panels, D, E) in *Gli1^nlacZ/+* mice housed in SH vs EE. Merged images in right panels. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(C, F)** Single cell analysis of Hevin (C) or SPARC (F) fluorescent intensity in βGal-labeled cells. *n=5-6* mice in SH, *n=7* mice in EE, 50 cells analyzed per animal. **(G-H, J-K)** Immunostaining for Sox9 (green, left panels, G, H, J, K) and Hevin (gray, middle panels, G, H) or SPARC (gray, middle panels, J, K) in *Gfap Smo* CKO mice housed in SH vs EE. Merged images in right panels. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(I, L)** Single cell analysis of Hevin (I) or SPARC (L) fluorescent intensity in Sox9-labeled astrocytes. *n=3-5* mice in SH, *n=4-6* mice in EE, 25 cells analyzed per animal. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(M)** Representative examples of protrusions categorized as mushroom spines (arrows). Scale bar, 5 μm. **(N-P)** Fraction of mushroom spines from WT (N), *Gfap Smo* CKO (O) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (P) mice after SH vs EE. *n=2-6* mice from SH, *n=4-6* mice from EE, at least 300 spines from 3 dendritic segments analyzed per animal. **(Q)** Immunostaining for GluA1 (magenta) in dendritic spines. Arrow points to spine colocalizing with GluA1 puncta. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(R-T)** The fraction of GluA1+ protrusions in WT (R), *Gfap Smo* CKO (S) and *Gli1 Smo* CKO (T) mice after SH or EE. *n=2-6* mice in SH, *n=3-5* mice in EE, at least 200 spines analyzed per animal. Data points represent individual animals; bars show mean ± SEM; Welch's t-tests.