Title: Microtubule networks in zebrafish hair cells facilitate presynapse transport and fusion

during development

Saman Hussain[1], Katherine Pinter[1], Mara Uhl[2,3], Hiu-Tung Wong[1], and Katie Kindt[1]

[1]Section on Sensory Cell Development and Function, National Institute on Deafness and other
Communication Disorders, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA

[2]Presynaptogenesis and Intracellular Transport in Hair Cells Junior Research Group, Institute for
Auditory Neuroscience and InnerEarLab, University Medical Center Goettingen, 37075
Goettingen, Germany

[3]Collaborative Research Center 889 '*Cellular Mechanisms of Sensory Processing*', 37075
Goettingen, Germany

Correspondence: katie.kindt@nih.gov
14

Abstract (160)

Sensory cells in the retina and inner ear rely on specialized ribbon synapses for

neurotransmission. Disruption of these synapses is linked to visual and auditory dysfunction,

but it is unclear how these unique synapses are formed. Ribbon synapses are defined by a

presynaptic density called a ribbon. Using live-imaging approaches in zebrafish, we find that

early in hair-cell development, many small ribbon precursors are present throughout the cell.

Later in development, fewer and larger ribbons remain, and localize at the presynaptic active

zone (AZ). Using tracking analyses, we show that ribbon precursors exhibit directed motion

along an organized microtubule network towards the presynaptic AZ. In addition, we show that

ribbon precursors can fuse together on microtubules to form larger ribbons. Using

pharmacology, we find that microtubule disruption interferes with ribbon motion, fusion, and

normal synapse formation. Overall, this work demonstrates a dynamic series of events that

underlies formation of a critical synapse required for sensory function.

Introduction

The inner ear and retina contain sensory cells that rely on specialized ribbon synapses that faithfully transmit the timing, duration, and intensity of sensory stimuli to the brain. Ribbon synapses are critical for human hearing and vision–disruption of these synapses is linked to auditory and visual disorders (Frederick and Zenisek, 2023; Kujawa and Liberman, 2015). The hallmark feature of these synapses is a presynaptic specialization called a ribbon, which is a dense body made up primarily of the protein Ribeye (Schmitz et al., 2000). Ribbons are found at the presynaptic active zone (AZ) and act as a scaffolds to ready synaptic vesicles for release (Schmitz, 2009). During development, small ribbon precursors are hypothesized to migrate to the presynaptic AZ and fuse to form larger, mature ribbons. However, there is no direct evidence for precursor migration or fusion, and the dynamic processes underlying ribbon formation remain unclear.

Neurotransmission at mature ribbon synapses is triggered in response to graded membrane depolarizations dictated by the duration and intensity of sensory stimuli. Membrane depolarization opens voltage-gated calcium channels (Ca_V1) beneath ribbons (Brandt et al., 2003; Chang et al., 2006) (see schematic in Figure 1C). Calcium influx triggers the fusion of synaptic vesicles secured near the ribbon, resulting in release of the glutamate onto postsynaptic receptors (Obholzer et al., 2008; Ruel et al., 2008). Studies in mouse and zebrafish have shown that Ribeye, along with $Ca_V1.4$ (photoreceptors) and $Ca_V1.3$ (hair cells) channels play important, interconnected roles in the formation of ribbon synapses (Jia et al., 2014; Mansergh et al., 2005; Nemzou N. et al., 2006; Sheets et al., 2012). For example, in mice and zebrafish lacking functional Ca_V1 channels, ribbon formation is disrupted, and fewer complete synapse are formed. Similarly, when Ribeye is knocked out in mouse or zebrafish, Ca_V1 channel localization is disrupted at ribbon synapses of photoreceptors and hair cells (Jean et al., 2018; Maxeiner et al., 2016; Sheets et al., 2011). In addition to Ribeye, the classic neuronal scaffolding proteins Bassoon and a novel variant of Piccolo, Piccolino have been shown to be important components of ribbon synapses (Gundelfinger et al., 2016). In mice, work has shown that in sensory cells lacking Bassoon ribbons are not properly anchored at the presynaptic AZ, and synapse function is disrupted (Dick et al., 2003; Frank et al., 2010; Khimich et al., 2005). In rats,

loss of Piccolino also impacts ribbon morphology (Michanski et al., 2023; Regus-Leidig et al.,

2014). Currently how these key molecular players fit within the dynamics underlying ribbon

formation is not completely understood.

In neurons, precursor vesicles containing Piccolo and Bassoon have been shown to be

transported along a network of microtubule tracks to the developing presynaptic AZ. (Ahmari et

al., 2000; Gundelfinger et al., 2016; Maas et al., 2012; Shapira et al., 2003). Transport requires

molecular motors, along with adaptor proteins, to move cargos along microtubules in a

directional manner (Bury and Sabo, 2011; Fejtova et al., 2009; Maas et al., 2012). In neurons,

kinesins are the molecular motors that transport cargos in the anterograde direction–away

from the cell body and towards the presynaptic AZ, and cytoplasmic dynein is the motor that

moves cargos in a retrograde manner (Sweeney and Holzbaur, 2018). Although it is currently

unclear what kinesin transports Piccolo-Bassoon transport vesicles, recent work in *Drosophila*

and *C. elegans* suggests that the kinesin KIF1A may transport AZ components (Oliver et al.,

2022; Pack-Chung et al., 2007). Work in developing photoreceptors, has shown that ribbon

precursors contain not only Ribeye, but also Bassoon, and the ribbon synapse specific isoform

of Piccolo, Piccolino (Regus-Leidig et al., 2009). Further, work in developing mouse auditory

inner hair cells (IHCs) has shown that ribbon precursors contain both Piccolino and Ribeye

(Michanski et al., 2019). Whether these precursors are actively transported along microtubules

during ribbon-synapse formation as in neurons is not known.

Ribbon-synapse formation has been well studied using light and electron microscopy in

fixed tissues (Michanski et al., 2019; Regus-Leidig et al., 2009; Schmitz, 2009; Sheets et al.,

2011; Sobkowicz et al., 1986, 1982). Studies in mouse auditory inner hair cells (IHCs) have

shown that the majority of ribbon-synapse formation spans a large time window: E18-P14

(Michanski et al., 2019; Sobkowicz et al., 1986, 1982). In contrast to mice, ribbon synapses form

along a condensed timeline in zebrafish hair cells: 12-18 hrs (Dow et al., 2015; Sheets et al.,

2011). In both mouse IHCs and zebrafish hair cells, at the earliest stages of development there

are many small ribbon precursors throughout the cell–these precursors are thought to form via

self-aggregation of Ribeye within the cytosol (Magupalli et al., 2008; Schmitz et al., 2000). As

development progresses ribbons become larger, localize to the presynaptic AZ and associate

with the innervating afferent processes. Finally, the number of ribbons associated with

postsynaptic machinery is refined to obtain the proper number of complete synapses. Recent

work in mice has shown that ribbon precursors associate with microtubules (Michanski et al.,

2019), and it has been proposed that ribbon precursors migrate along tracks of microtubules to

reach the presynaptic AZ and during synapse refinement. Currently the *in vivo* dynamics of

ribbon precursors and microtubules during these developmental events are not known.

To understand how ribbons form, we have studied hair cells and developing ribbons in

the zebrafish lateral line (Figure 1A). The lateral line is a sensory system that allows aquatic

vertebrates to sense local water movements (Freeman, 1928; Suli et al., 2012). This sensory

system is composed of clusters of hair cells called neuromasts that are arranged in lines along

the surface of the fish. The posterior lateral line (pLL) forms an array of neuromasts along the

zebrafish trunk; in the pLL hair cells begin to form when larvae are 2 days post fertilization (dpf)

(see Figure 1A-B, D). By 5 dpf, the majority of hair cells are mature, and the lateral-line system

is functional (Suli et al., 2012). At these early ages zebrafish are transparent, and hair cells in

the lateral line can easily be studied *in vivo* for durations encompassing large portions of ribbon

formation (Dow et al., 2015). Further, transgenic lines expressing fluorescently tagged proteins

allow the visualization of subcellular dynamics, such as ribbon formation, in living zebrafish, at

high resolution.

During our study, we have worked in close collaboration with another group focused on

later aspects of ribbon formation and refinement in mouse auditory IHCs (at postnatal stages).

This work is published in a companion paper (Vorn et al.). Together our studies demonstrate

that ribbon transport along microtubules networks is important to properly form ribbons during

hair-cell development in mouse and zebrafish. Our *in vivo* zebrafish work leverages transgenic

lines that label: developing ribbons, microtubule networks, and the growing plus-ends of

microtubules. We use these lines, along with high-resolution imaging to visualize the dynamics

of ribbon formation. Using live imaging, we show that at the earliest stages of synapse

development, many small ribbon precursors are present throughout the cell. At later stages

fewer, larger ribbons remain, and localize to the base of the cell. We find that microtubule

networks in lateral-line hair cells are highly dynamic and grow plus-ends towards the

presynaptic AZ (the direction taken by most kinesin motors) (Sweeney and Holzbaur, 2018).

Using tracking analyses, we show that during development, ribbon precursors show directed

motion towards the presynaptic AZ. Further, we show that ribbon precursors move along and

can fuse together on microtubules. Lastly, we find that an unperturbed microtubule network is

critical for ribbon motion, fusion, and normal ribbon-synapse formation. Overall, this

foundational knowledge of ribbon formation provides fundamental insight on processes

needed to reform ribbon synapses that are lost, such as after noise-induced and age-related

hearing loss.

Results

Time-course of ribbon formation in living zebrafish lateral-line hair cells

Fixed preparations in zebrafish and mice have outlined a conserved process that underlies

ribbon or presynapse development in hair cells (Michanski et al., 2019; Sheets et al., 2011). At

the earliest stages of development, small ribbon precursors are found free-floating throughout

the cytoplasm. When mature, ribbons are found predominantly at presynaptic AZs, opposing

postsynaptic densities. To examine the dynamics underlying ribbon formation in more detail,

we studied hair cells in the zebrafish pLL. These hair cells form 3-4 ribbon synapses in just 12-18

hrs (Dow et al., 2015; Kindt et al., 2012). To image hair cells, ribbon precursors and ribbons *in*

vivo we used a double transgenic line. One transgenic line labels microtubules and serves as a

hair-cell marker (*myo6b:YFP-tubulin*). The other transgenic line labels ribbons and smaller

ribbon precursors (*myo6b:riba-TagRFP*) in hair cells (example, Figure 1D).

For our initial analyses, we assessed the overall time course of ribbon formation in hair

cells in the posterior lateral-line (pLL) when larvae were 2 dpf (Figure 1A). At 2 dpf each

neuromast contains 4-8 developing hair cells at different developmental stages (Figure 1B,D,F-

H). We used the height of the kinocilium (see schematic in Figure 1B), the tallest part of the

apical hair bundle (mechanosensory structure), to estimate the developmental stage of hair

cells as described previously (Stage: hair bundle height; early: <1.5 µm, intermediate: 1.5-

10 µm, late: 10-18 µm, mature: >18 µm (Zhang and Kindt, 2022)). Qualitatively, we observed

that at early and intermediate stages, small Riba-TagRFP puncta were present throughout the

cell body (examples, Figure 1D,F-G). By late stages, or in newly matured hair cells, larger Riba-

TagRFP puncta were restricted to the base of the cell (example, Figure 1H). We quantified the

total number of Riba-TagRFP puncta based on developmental stage and found that the total

number of puncta was high at early and intermediate stages, but significantly decreased when

hair cells were mature (Figure 1E, Figure 1-S1A, n = 7 neuromasts and 67 hair cells).

152   When taking these live images, we had no postsynaptic marker and were unable to

differentiate between precursors and smaller ribbons associated with a postsynaptic density.

Therefore, we classified all apical Riba-TagRFP puncta above the nucleus as precursors, and all

ribbons located beneath the nucleus at the cell base were classified as more mature ribbons.

Using this classification, we quantified the total number of Riba-TagRFP puncta at each

developmental stage (Figure E, Figure 1-S1B-C, n = 7 neuromasts and 67 hair cells). We found

that apical Riba-TagRFP precursors were only present at early and intermediate stages (Figure

1E, Figure 1-S1B, and see yellow arrows in Figure 1F-G). In contrast, hair cells at late stages or

newly mature hair cells contained more mature ribbons located at the cell base, below the

nucleus (Figure 1E,H, Figure 1-S1C). Overall, our live images examining Riba-TagRFP puncta

follows a similar, developmental process as observed in fixed preparations–the number of

ribbons and precursors decrease and become basally localized to the presynaptic AZ as the hair

cell develops.

Microtubule grow plus-ends toward the presynaptic active zone in hair cells

An important question in ribbon formation is how ribbons and precursors migrate to the

presynaptic AZ. Work on mouse IHCs using electron microscopy (EM) and super-resolution

microscopy found that developing ribbons and precursors often associate with microtubules

(Michanski et al., 2019). Similar to what was observed in mice using EM, in living, lateral-line

hair cells we observed ribbon precursors associate with microtubules (see yellow arrows in

Figure 1F-G). Based on these association studies, a microtubule network may function to

transport ribbon precursors during development. To understand if ribbons could be transported

along microtubules, we first examined the composition and polarity of the microtubule network

in lateral-line hair cells.

We first examined the composition, or posttranslational modifications present in the

microtubule network in lateral-line hair cells using immunohistochemistry. Using this approach,

we labeled either acetylated- (modification found in mechanically stabilized microtubules) or

tyrosinated- (modification found in destabilized microtubules) α-tubulin (Janke and Magiera,

2020). We performed our staining in a transgenic line that labels all microtubules (*myo6b:YFP-*

tubulin). We found that in lateral-line hair cells, the microtubule network extends along the

apico-basal axis of the cell and is highly acetylated; acetylated microtubules are more

concentrated at the cell apex (Figure 2-S1A-C). Outside of the kinocilium, we did not observe a

considerable amount of tyrosinated microtubules in lateral-line hair cells. Instead, tyrosinated

microtubules were observed in the zebrafish skin, lateral-line nerve terminals and the

supporting cells that surround hair cells (Figure 2-S1D-F). Overall, our immunostaining results

indicates that in zebrafish lateral-line hair cells, a considerable portion of the microtubule

network is highly acetylated. Acetylation could provide a population of mechanically stable

microtubules that could be used to transport ribbon precursors.

Although microtubule modifications are informative, these labels do not provide

definitive information regarding microtubule growth or polarity. Knowing microtubule polarity

is important, as many cargos are transported based on polarity. For example, most kinesin

motor proteins transport cargos toward the more dynamic plus-end of microtubules (Sweeney

and Holzbaur, 2018). Therefore, to explore microtubule polarity in lateral-line hair cells, we

created a transgenic line that expresses the plus-end marker of microtubules, EB3 (end-binding

protein 3), fused with GFP (example, Figure 2A; *myo6b:EB3-GFP*). Previous work in other cell

types has shown that EB3-GFP can be used to visualize the plus-end of growing microtubules

(Kawano et al., 2022; Stepanova et al., 2003).

We used this transgenic line, along with confocal microscopy to visualize microtubule

growth in lateral-line hair cells (z-stacks every 7 s for 20-30 minutes). By imaging EB3-GFP

dynamics, we observed comet-like tracks that allowed us to visualize the plus-end of growing

microtubules (see Movie S1). In hair cells, microtubule organizing centers are located beneath a

single microtubule-based kinocilium, the primary cilium in hair cells (Lepelletier et al., 2013).

Consistent with studies in motile cilia, we observed a foci of EB3-GFP at the tips of kinocilia that

emanate away from the apex of the cell body (Schrøder et al., 2011) (Figure 2-S2A-C). This

result suggests that similar to other cilia, the plus ends of kinocilia are towards their tips, away

from the cell body. In addition, we observed EB3-GFP tracks within hair-cell bodies and found

that the majority of tracks were directed away from the cell apex and towards the base of the

cell (see examples of EB3-GFP timelapse, with tracks rendered into a pseudocolor image based

on time; Figure 2C-D). To quantify the direction of EB3-GFP tracks we used Imaris to perform 3D

analyses to detect and create vectors of EB3-GFP tracks (see arrows; Figure 2B,E,F). We aligned

these vectors along the apico-basal axis of each cell. Here vector movement towards the apex

was represented as 180°, and movement to the base was represented at 0° (see schematic,

Figure 2G). Movement of EB3-GFP vectors was quantified as the angle between 0 and 180°.

From this analysis we found that 77 % of EB3-GFP vectors were directed towards the base in

lateral-line hair cells (2006/2598 tracks < 90°, n = 7 neuromasts and 33 hair cells,).

Overall, our immunostaining revealed that the soma of hair cells contains a population

of microtubules stabilized by acetylation. Our *in vivo* imaging of EB3-GFP revealed that within

this population there is extensive microtubules dynamics, and the plus ends of microtubules are

primarily directed towards the cell base, and the presynaptic AZ.

Ribbon precursors associate with and show directed motion along microtubules

Our analysis of microtubule dynamics using EB3-GFP indicates that the plus ends of

microtubules point towards the hair-cell base (Figure 2). Therefore, we hypothesized that these

tracks of microtubules could be used by kinesin motor proteins to transport precursors from

the cell apex to base during development. To test this hypothesis, we used either Airyscan or

Airyscan 2 confocal imaging to capture timelapses of ribbon and precursor movement for

longer durations (Airyscan: ~3 µm z-stacks (16-18 slices) every 50-100 s for 30-70 minutes) or

for shorter total durations with a faster capture rate (Airyscan 2: ~2-3.5 µm z-stacks (12-20

slices) every 20 s for 15-45 minutes). We focused our analysis on developing lateral-line hair

cells at early and intermediate stages when ribbon precursors are abundant throughout the cell

(Figure 1D,F-G). For this work we used Riba-TagRFP to mark ribbons and precursors, and YFP-

tubulin to label microtubules and provide cellular context. From our timelapses, we observed

that similar to our initial live analyses (Figure 1F-G), many ribbon precursors associated with

microtubules (Movie S2, S3). We also observed that precursors exhibited 3 main movement

behaviors related to microtubule association. First, we observed that many precursors

associated with microtubules remained stationary or confined (examples: Figure 3C top two

panels, Figure 3D,E asterisks, Movie S4). Second, we also observed rapid movement of

precursors–during this movement precursors appeared to be in close association with

microtubules (examples: Figure 3C bottom 4 panels, Figure 3D,E yellow arrowhead, Figure 3-

S1A,B Movie S4, S5). This movement along microtubules occurred bidirectionally, towards the

cell apex and towards the base (Figure 3C, middle panels (arrows to base), bottom panels

(arrows to apex); Movie S4 (to base), Movie S5 (to apex), Figure 3-S1A-B). Third, we often

observed that precursors switched association between neighboring microtubules (Figure 3-

S1C, Movie S6).

To quantify ribbon and precursor movement, we used Imaris to obtain x,y,z co-ordinates

for each ribbon during our timelapses (Longer 30-70 minute acquisitions) (example, Figure 3A-

C, Movie S2, S3). This analysis yielded tracks or trajectories for all precursors and ribbons. We

then performed a mean-squared displacement (MSD) analysis on ribbon tracks to classify the

type of motion we observed. In this analysis, the exponent (α) of MSD vs. time is obtained by

curve fitting. A value of $\alpha > 1$ indicates directional motion with velocity, $\alpha = 1$ indicates

Brownian motion and $\alpha < 1$ is representative of confined motion or subdiffusion (Figure 3F,G)

(Sikora et al., 2017). This method allows us to determine what type of motion each track is

exhibiting. Our results show that in developing hair cells, ribbon tracks exhibit directional as

well as confined motion (Figure 3H, example MSD tracks with $\alpha < 1$ (red tracks, top panel) and α

> 1 (black tracks, bottom panel) from 2 neuromasts). Upon quantification, 19.2 % of ribbon

tracks show $\alpha > 1$, indicative of directional motion (n = 7 neuromasts, 30 hair cells and 99

tracks). Overall, the vast majority (80.8 %) of ribbon tracks had $\alpha < 1$, indicative of confined

motion. Of the tracks that showed directional motion, while the majority move to the cell base,

we found that 21.2 % of ribbon tracks moved apically. This apical movement is consistent with a

subpopulation of microtubules shows plus-end mediated growth apically (22.8 % of EB3-GFP

tracks). Overall, our timelapse imaging demonstrated that ribbons and precursors displayed 3

main types of movement on microtubules: confinement, movement along microtubules and

switching between microtubules. Further, our MSD analysis indicates that while the majority of

precursors exhibit confined motion, a subpopulation of ribbons and precursors exhibit

directional motion.

Long-term manipulation of microtubules impacts ribbon formation

Our timelapse imaging revealed that precursors and ribbons can move along microtubules in a

directional manner. To assess the importance of the microtubule network in ribbon-synapse

formation we used pharmacology to destabilize or stabilize the microtubule network in lateral-

line hair cells using nocodazole or taxol respectively. We verified the effectiveness of our

pharmacological treatments by imaging microtubule dynamics in lateral-line hair cells

(*myo6b:YFP-tubulin*). We used Airyscan confocal microscopy to acquire timelapses of YFP-

tubulin after a 30-min pharmacological treatment (3 μm z-stacks, every 50-100 s for 30-70 min).

These timelapses revealed that compared to controls, 250-500 nM nocodazole and 25 μM taxol

dramatically destabilized and stabilized microtubules in lateral-line hair cells (examples see

Movie S7). After verifying the effectiveness of our pharmacological treatments, we incubated

larvae at 2 dpf for 16 hrs (56-72 hours post fertilization (hpf)), a time window that encompasses

a large portion of ribbon-synapse formation in developing hair cells. After these

pharmacological treatments we fixed and immunostained larvae to label acetylated-α-tubulin,

to monitor changes to the microtubule network. In addition, we co-labeled with Ribeyeb to

label ribbons and precursors and pan-Maguk to label postsynapses.

After a 16-hr treatment with 250 nM nocodazole we qualitatively observed a decrease

in acetylated-α-tubulin label (examples, Figure 4A,C). Less acetylated-α-tubulin label indicates

that our nocodazole treatment successfully destabilized microtubules. We also examined the

number of hair cells per neuromast and observed that after nocodazole treatment, there were

significantly fewer hair cells compared to controls. This indicates that either nocodazole is

slightly toxic or it interferes with cell division. Both situations have been observed previously

during nocodazole treatments in other systems (Gupta, 1985; Zieve et al., 1980). We next

examined the Ribeyeb label in hair cells to assess precursors and ribbons. We observed that the

average area of Ribeyeb puncta was significantly reduced compared to controls (Figure 4H).

Further, the relative frequency of Ribeyeb puncta with smaller areas was higher in nocodazole

treated hair cells compared to controls (Figure 4I). We used cutoff of 0.1 μm^2 to estimate the

number of precursors among the Ribeyeb puncta (Figure 4I, > 0.1 μm^2, gray shaded bar

encompasses the precursor population). Using this cutoff to identify precursors, we found that

there were significantly more precursors per hair cell in nocodazole treatment compared to

controls (Figure 4G). We also examined the number of complete synapses (Ribeyeb-Maguk

paired puncta) per hair cell after nocodazole treatment. We found that there were significantly

fewer complete synapses per hair cell after a 16-hr nocodazole treatment (Figure 4F). Overall,

our long-term nocodazole treatment indicated that microtubule destabilization resulted in

more ribbons precursors and the formation of fewer synapses per cell.

We performed a similar analysis on hair cells after a 16-hr treatment with 25 μM taxol.

Qualitatively more acetylated-α-tubulin label was observed after treatment, indicating that our

taxol treatment successfully stabilized microtubules (examples, Figure 4-S1A,C). Unlike

nocodazole treatment, taxol did not significantly impact the number of hair cells, the average

area of Ribeyeb puncta or number of precursors per hair cell compared to controls (Figure 4-

S1E,G-H). Interestingly, we observed slightly more complete synapses per hair cell after a 16-hr

taxol treatment (Figure 4-S1F). Overall, our long-term taxol treatment indicated that

microtubule stabilization did not dramatically impact synapse formation in lateral-line hair cells.

Together this pharmacology study revealed that long-term destabilization and

stabilization of microtubules during development can impact ribbon formation in lateral-line

hair cells. Microtubule destabilization had the most dramatic effect leading to more ribbon

precursors and the formation of fewer complete synapses.

***Kif1aa* mutants have fewer synapses and more ribbon precursors**

In order for cargo (such as ribbons and precursors) to be transported along microtubules,

molecular motor proteins are required. Kinesin motor proteins transport cargos along

microtubules towards the growing, plus end. Our work demonstrated that in hair cells of the

lateral line, the plus-end of microtubules grow from the apex to base of the cell (Figure 2).

Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) has revealed that *kif1aa*, a zebrafish orthologue of Kif1a,

a plus-end directed kinesin motor protein, is highly expressed in lateral-line hair cells (Lush et

al., 2019). In zebrafish there are 2 orthologues of mammalian *Kif1a*, *kif1aa* and *kif1ab*. While

scRNAseq in zebrafish has shown that *kif1ab* and *kif1aa* are widely co-expressed in the nervous

system, lateral-line hair cells express just *kif1aa* (Lush et al., 2019; Sur et al., 2023). Therefore,

we tested whether Kif1aa could be the kinesin motor that transports ribbons and precursors to

the cell base during development.

To test for a role of Kif1aa in zebrafish hair cells, we created a CRISPR-Cas9 mutant

(Varshney et al., 2016). Our *kif1aa* mutant has a stop codon in the motor domain and is

predicted to be a null mutation (Figure 5-S1A-B). For our initial analysis, we co-labeled hair cells

at 3 dpf with Ribeyeb to label ribbons and precursors and pan-Maguk to label postsynapses (see

examples Figure 5A-D). This is a similar end point used to examine synapse formation after our

long-term nocodazole and taxol treatments (Figure 4 and Figure 4-S1). We found that at 3 dpf

kif1aa mutants had a similar number of hair cells per neuromast (Figure 5E). Despite a similar

number of hair cells, we found that there were significantly fewer complete synapses per hair

cell in *kif1aa* mutants compared to controls (Figure 5F). In addition, we found that there were

significantly more ribbon precursors in *kif1aa* mutants compared to controls (Figure 5G,H,I). As

described in the previous section, we also observed fewer complete synapses and more ribbon

precursors after a 16-hr nocodazole treatment (Figure 4F,G). Together, this suggests that both

intact microtubules and Kif1aa are required for normal ribbon-synapse formation.

Short-term disruption of microtubules, but not loss of Kif1aa, impacts ribbon formation

Our initial experiments suggest that microtubule networks and Kif1aa are important for proper

ribbon-synapse formation in lateral-line hair cells. But the actual changes in precursors and

ribbons within developing hair cells was unclear. Therefore, we examined changes in ribbons

and precursors in living lateral-line hair cells over 3-4 hrs of development. For our analysis we

used transgenic lines expressing YFP-tubulin to monitor microtubules and Riba-TagRFP to

monitor precursors and ribbons *in vivo*. We examined precursors and ribbons after nocodazole

or taxol treatment, or after knockdown of Kif1aa during this developmental window.

For our pharmacology experiments we first acquired Airyscan confocal images of

developing hair cells at 2 dpf that express YFP-tubulin and Riba-TagRFP. Then after a 3-4 hr

treatment in either 500 nM nocodazole or 25 μM taxol we reimaged the same hair cells.

Consistent with our previous results (Figure 4 and Figure 4-S1) nocodazole and taxol treatments

qualitatively destabilized or stabilized microtubules respectively (Figure 6A-F). In each

developing cell, we quantified the number of Riba-TagRFP puncta before and after each

treatment. In our control samples we observed on average no change in the number of Riba-

TagRFP puncta per cell (Figure 6G). Importantly we observed that nocodazole treatment led to

a significant increase in the number of Riba-TagRFP puncta over time (Figure 6G). In contrast,

similar to controls, taxol treatment did not alter the number of Riba-TagRFP puncta over time

(Figure 6G).

Next, we used a similar approach to examine the number of Riba-TagRFP puncta in

kif1aa mutants over a 3-4 hr time window. For this analysis, we examined puncta in *kif1aa* F0

crispants. These mutants are derived from injection of 2 *kif1aa* guide RNAs (gRNAs) and Cas9

protein. This approach has shown to be an extremely effective way to assay gene function in

any genetic background (Hoshijima et al., 2019). Using this approach, we were able to robustly

disrupt the *kif1aa* locus. We found that compared to uninjected controls, in *kif1aa* F0 crispants

there was no change in the number of Riba-TagRFP puncta per cell over 3-4 hrs (Figure 6-S1A-

F). Overall, live imaging over 3-4 hr time windows indicates that a loss of microtubule stability,

but not loss of Kif1aa, results in an increase in Riba-TagRFP puncta within developing lateral-line

hair cells.

Ribbons and precursors require microtubules, but not Kif1aa for directed motion

Our tracking analyses revealed that ribbons and precursors show directed motion on

microtubules. Based on these results, we asked what happens to this movement if we disrupt

microtubules or the kinesin motor Kif1aa. To test whether microtubules are required for

directional ribbon and precursor motion, we used the drugs nocodazole and taxol to alter

microtubule dynamics. We treated the fish with these drugs for 30 min and recorded

timelapses of ribbon and precursor motion. This short treatment allowed us to observe ribbon

motion relatively soon after microtubule disruption and minimize any cytotoxic effects of the

compounds. Then we performed MSD analysis on the ribbon tracks to determine if directional

motion was impaired (Figure 7A). We found that after destabilizing microtubules by treatment

with 500 nM nocodazole for 30 minutes, the proportion of tracks with $\alpha > 1$ was significantly

reduced compared to controls (Figure 7C). We also found that the proportion of longer tracks

with a cumulative displacement > 1 μm was also reduced after nocodazole treatment compared

to controls (Figure 7B,F). This analysis indicates that an intact microtubule network is needed

for proper directional ribbon motion and longer displacements. In contrast, after stabilizing

microtubules by treatment with 25 μM taxol, we observed no effect on the proportion of tracks

with displacement > 1 μm or the proportion of tracks with $\alpha > 1$, compared to controls (Figure

7D,G).

Next, we used a similar approach to examine the tracks of ribbon and precursor motion

in *kif1aa* mutants. For this analysis, we examined ribbon puncta in *kif1aa* F0 crispants. We

observed that the proportion of tracks with displacement > 1 μm was not significantly different

between *kif1aa* F0 crispants and controls (Figure 7H). Similarly, the proportion of tracks with α

> 1, was also not significantly different from the controls (Figure 7H). Overall, these tracking

analyses show that in developing lateral-line hair cells, the kinesin motor Kif1aa is not essential

for directional motion of ribbons and precursors. In contrast, an unperturbed microtubule

network is essential for this directed motion.

Ribbon precursors fuse on microtubules

Our analyses demonstrate that movement of ribbon precursors along microtubules is important

for synapse formation. But in addition to movement, during development it has been proposed

that these small precursors come together or fuse to form larger, more mature ribbons

(Michanski et al., 2019). This is consistent with our work, where we see a reduction in ribbon

and precursor number alongside development (Figure 1E). Further we see an increase in ribbon

and precursor number when microtubules are destabilized (Figure 4), indicating an intact

microtubule network may also be important for ribbon fusion.

Consistent with this idea, in our timelapses, we observed that ribbons and precursors

undergo fusion on microtubules to form larger ribbons. These fusion events occurred between

smaller precursors at the cell apex as well as between larger precursors near the cell base

(examples: Figure 8A-B, Figure 8-S1A-B, Movies S8-S10). We classified an event as a fusion once

the ribbons could not be resolved separately and stayed together for the length of the

remaining timelapse (or at least 5 min). These events usually involved ribbon precursors on two

separate microtubule filaments coming together during fusion, but fusion events could also

occur on the same filament. Although the fusion events were infrequent, within our time

windows (30-70 mins), we quantified them and counted an average of 1.7 fusions and a

maximum of 5 fusions events per neuromast in developing lateral-line hair cells (n = 27 control

neuromasts). Based on the close association with microtubules during these events we tested

whether an intact microtubule network was required for fusion events. We found that after

treatment with 500 nM nocodazole for 30 minutes, there were fewer fusions events (mean 0.4,

maximum 2 fusions per neuromast, n = 10 neuromasts). In contrast, the frequency of fusion

events remained unchanged upon treatment with 25 μM taxol for 30 minutes.

In our tracking analysis we observed that Kif1aa was not required for directional motion

of ribbon and precursors in developing hair cells (Figure 7E,H). But our immunohistological

analyses indicated that there are more precursors and fewer complete synapses in *kif1aa*

mutants. Therefore, we examined whether Kif1aa was important for fusion events. For this

analysis, we quantified fusion events in *kif1aa* F0 crispants. We observed that similar to

nocodazole treatment, there were significantly fewer fusions events in *kif1aa* F0 crispants

(mean 0.6, maximum 2 fusions per neuromast, n = 9 neuromasts). This reduction in fusion

events may ultimately account for the excess of precursors and fewer complete synapses

observed in *kif1aa* mutants (Figure 5). Together our results indicate that during development,

ribbon precursors can fuse when associated with microtubules and an unperturbed

microtubule network, along with Kif1aa is needed for these fusion events.

Discussion

Our work in zebrafish applied high resolution imaging approaches to investigate how ribbons

are assembled and mobilized in developing hair cells. Our study demonstrates that hair cells

have microtubule networks that are polarized with the plus-end pointed to the cell base. Live-

imaging approaches highlight that during development, ribbons and precursors show directed

motion and fusion along microtubules, and that an intact microtubule network is important for

ribbon-synapse formation.

Comparison of ribbon synapse formation in zebrafish and mice

Compared to zebrafish hair cells (12-18 hrs), synapse formation and refinement occurs over a

relatively long time-window in mouse IHCs (E18-P14). In mice, much of the initial

synaptogenesis occurs embryonically, while synapse refinement and pruning occur later,

during first postnatal week. Due to the rapid time course of ribbon-synapse development in

zebrafish, our experiments likely encompass ribbon precursors movement during

synaptogenesis and synapse refinement. Our companion paper on mouse IHCs focused

primarily on ribbon mobility during the dramatic pruning of synapses that occurs during early

postnatal development (Vorn et al.). Unfortunately, it was not possible to study earlier events

in mouse IHCs, as equivalent experiments were not possible embryonically. Live imaging at

postnatal stages in mice indicates that during synapse pruning in IHCs, ribbons may detach from

the membrane and undergo local trafficking and fuse to nearby presynaptic AZs. Together our

studies in zebrafish and mouse hair cells illuminate several common features that characterize

ribbon and microtubule dynamics during ribbon-synapse formation.

First, immunostaining in both zebrafish and mice revealed an extensive network of

microtubules within hair cells. In both species, the majority of microtubules were stabilized by

tubulin acetylation (Figure 2-S1). Our *in vivo* imaging of EB3-GFP in zebrafish hair cells revealed

that microtubules are very dynamic, and the plus ends of microtubules grow towards the cell

base, towards the presynaptic AZ (Figure 2). This data is consistent with data in mouse IHCs

demonstrated via immunostaining that the minus end marker of microtubules, CAMSAP2,

localizes to the IHC apex (Vorn et. al.). Overall, these studies demonstrate that microtubule

networks in developing hair cells are polarized with the plus-end pointed to the cell base.

Second, live imaging in zebrafish and mouse hair cells revealed that ribbons associate

with and move along microtubules. Tracking and quantification of ribbon movement via MSD

analysis revealed that in both zebrafish and mouse hair cells many ribbons show motion

indicative of confinement (Figure 3, $\alpha < 1$). In addition, a subset of ribbon tracks show evidence

or directed motion (Figure 3, $\alpha > 1$). In both species, after using nocodazole to destabilize

microtubules, there was a dramatic reduction in instances of directed motion (Figure 7). In

addition to ribbon movement, ribbon fusion was observed in hair cells of mouse and zebrafish

(Figure 8). Interestingly in mice many fusion events were balanced out by divisions, or ribbons

undergoing separation. In contrast, ribbon divisions were not prevalent in zebrafish hair cells. A

lack of ribbon divisions could be due to the rapid speed of development in zebrafish hair cells,

where divisions are too transient in nature to be confirmed. Alternatively, divisions may occur

primarily late in synapse development and these events were overall less abundant in our

zebrafish datasets. Importantly, in both mouse and zebrafish, ribbon fusion was diminished

after microtubule destabilization. Together these results in mice and zebrafish demonstrate

that an intact microtubule network is required for the directed motion and fusion of ribbons

during development.

Third, we sought to explore the kinesin motor responsible for ribbon movement in hair

cells using zebrafish and mouse models. Based on scRNAseq data and previous immunostaining

evidence we focused on Kif1a (Lush et al., 2019; Michanski et al., 2019). In both mouse *Kif1a*

and zebrafish *kif1aa* mutants, a common observation was an overall reduction in ribbon

volume (Figure 5). This suggests that a conserved mechanism where both intact microtubules

and Kif1aa are required for normal ribbon-synapse formation. Despite these results, future

work in zebrafish and mice is needed to explore additional kinesin motors that can drive ribbon

movement during synapse formation.

Fusion on microtubules as a mechanism for ribbon enlargement and maturation

Numerous studies have documented small ribbon precursors in developing photoreceptors and

hair cells; later in development there are fewer, large ribbons present (Michanski et al., 2019;

Regus-Leidig et al., 2009; Schmitz, 2009; Sheets et al., 2011; Sobkowicz et al., 1986, 1982). This

has led to the hypothesis that ribbon precursor fusion is a mechanism to form larger, more

mature ribbons (Michanski et al., 2019). Our live imaging experiments show that ribbon fusion

is indeed a feature of ribbon formation (Figure 8, Figure 8-S1). Interestingly, we observed that

fusion often occurs between precursors on adjacent microtubules. Fusion events can occur

both apically and basally within hair cells. More apical fusion events are likely a way to increase

ribbon size early in development. More basal fusion events could represent the synapse

reduction and refinement that occurs later in synapse development. Whether these later, basal

fusion events occur after postsynaptic elements are present is unclear and awaits additional

studies. Importantly we found that the number of fusion events is decreased when microtubule

networks were disrupted or when Kif1aa was absent (Figure 8). Together these results confirm

the hypothesis that ribbons fuse during development. In addition, our work demonstrates that

microtubules and Kif1aa are necessary for fusion and may help facilitate this process.

Ribbons are aggregates of proteins that share many features with biomolecular

condensates that form through liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS) (Wang et al., 2021).

Biomolecular condensates are nano- to micro-meter scale compartments that function to

concentrate proteins and nucleic acids. Some examples of biomolecular condensates include

tight-junctions, postsynaptic densities, ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granules, and stress granules

(Wang et al., 2021; Wiegand and Hyman, 2020). These condensates are highly mobile and

dynamic and constituent molecules diffuse readily and exchange with the surrounding

environment. Biomolecular condensates often undergo fusion to form larger ones, and then

shrink into a spherical shape (Wiegand and Hyman, 2020). FRAP analyses of Ribeye-GFP labeled

ribbons in hair cells have confirmed that tagged Ribeye has a fast recovery phase within

ribbons, verifying that Ribeye is highly mobile and dynamic within ribbons (Graydon et al.,

2017). Further, these FRAP studies revealed that Ribeye molecules can exchange with the

surrounding environment. Our present studies confirmed that ribbon precursors undergo

fusion to form larger spherical ribbons (Figure 8A,B). Together these studies point towards the

idea that precursors and ribbons are indeed biomolecular condensates. Ribeye also contains

sequences predicted to be intrinsically disordered, another feature of molecules that can

mediate condensate formation. Interestingly, several biomolecular condensates such as RNP

transport granules are known to be transported along the microtubules (Knowles at al., 1996),

similar to our observations of ribbon precursor transport.

In our current study we also found that microtubules are needed for fusion (Figure 8C).

In other cellular contexts, the energy released by dynamic microtubules via growth and

shrinkage can be used for force generation in a wide range of processes (Vleugel et al., 2016).

Interestingly work on stress granules condensates, found a connection between dynamic

microtubules and stress granule formation. This work demonstrated that microtubule growth

and shrinkage promoted the fusion of small cytoplasmic granules into larger ones (Chernov et

al., 2009). In addition, this study also demonstrated that stress granules slide along

microtubules, and that this movement may act in conjunction with pushing or pulling to

promote fusion (Chernov et al., 2009). Similar interactions could occur between ribbons and

microtubules during development to promote fusion. Disruption of microtubules would then

destabilize the formation of larger ribbons. This is consistent with our results, where we

observed in more precursors and fewer mature ribbon synapses after nocodazole treatment

(Figure 4F,G).

We also observed that fusion was disrupted in *kif1aa* mutants, despite finding that

directed transport of precursors and ribbons remained unchanged in *kif1aa* mutants (Figure

7,8). A recent study on RNP condensates examined kinesin motors and adaptors in the context

of microtubules (Cochard et al., 2023). This work found that the precise combination of motor

proteins or adaptors can impact where condensates form. Some combinations enabled the

formation and transport of condensates along microtubules. But other combinations restricted

condensate formation to microtubule terminals where motor proteins are predicted to form a

scaffold. Our work indicates that while more than one kinesin motor protein is capable of

transporting ribbons precursors, it is predominantly Kif1aa that mediates fusion along

microtubules. This could explain why precursor fusion, but not transport is impacted in *kif1aa*

mutants (Figure 7,8). This scenario could also explain the extra precursors and fewer complete

synapses that form in *kif1aa* mutants over time (Figure 5).

Kinesin motors and adaptors for ribbon transport on microtubules

Our immunohistochemistry analyses show clear synaptic defects in *kif1aa* mutants after the

bulk of synapse formation has occurred (fewer synapses, more precursors, Figure 5). Despite

these defects, we were unable to demonstrate that loss of Kif1aa impacts the movement of

precursors along microtubules (Figure 7). These results suggests that another kinesin motor

may function to transport precursors and ribbons. Pulldown assay have shown an interaction of

Kif3a with Ribeye (Uthaiah and Hudspeth, 2010). While *kif1aa* mRNA is the most abundant

kinesin motor protein transcript detected in lateral-line hair cells, *kif3a* mRNA is also present.

Kif3a mRNA is present at lower levels and is expressed more broadly in lateral-line hair cells,

supporting cells and afferent neurons (Sur et al., 2023). Therefore, it is possible that both Kif1aa

and Kif3a are competent to transport developing ribbons. Future work exploring the role of

Kif3a separately or in combination with Kif1aa will illuminate the role these motors play in hair-

cell synapse assembly.

Regardless of the anterograde kinesin motor(s) that transports precursors to the cell

base, we also observed that precursors can also move in the retrograde direction, towards the

cell apex (Figure 3C bottom panels and Figure 3-S1B). In fact, out of the tracks with directional

motion, we found that 21.2 % of precursor tracks moved apically. This could be due to the fact

that a subpopulation of microtubules shows plus-end mediated growth apically (22.8 %).

Alternatively, it is possible that retrograde motors may also transport ribbon precursors. For

example, this could be accomplished using minus-end directed motors, such as cytoplasmic

dynein heavy chain, or kinesins in the kinesin-14 family (Sweeney and Holzbaur, 2018; Yamada

et al., 2017). In the future it will be important to explore the role of these additional motor

proteins in the context of ribbon and precursor mobility.

It is clear from our work that ribbons and precursors show directed motion indicative of

motor-mediated transport (Figure 3 and 7). An important part of transport is adaptor proteins

that selectively link cargos to specific motor proteins (Fu and Holzbaur, 2014). In neurons,

cargos leave the Golgi apparatus and are packed into specialized transport vesicles, along with

adaptor scaffolds (Farías et al., 2012; Sampo et al., 2003). Work in neurons has shown that the

Kif1a motor transports Rab3-positive synaptic vesicle precursors (Okada et al., 1995). This

transport is thought to rely on DENN (differentially expressed in normal and neoplastic

cells)/MADD (MAP kinase activating death domain) which links synaptic vesicle precursors to

Kif1a (Hummel and Hoogenraad, 2021; Niwa et al., 2008). Interesting, like mature ribbons,

ribbon precursors are decorated with synaptic vesicles (Michanski et al., 2019). Thus, is it

possible that these synaptic vesicles may contain adaptor proteins that couple the ribbon to a

kinesin motor to enable transport. In the future it will be important to identify this adaptor

protein, and to understand what other molecules are co-transported with Ribeye during ribbon

formation.

Ribbon formation in the absence of microtubules

Our work indicates that over short time scales (30-70 mins), when microtubules are destabilized

via nocodazole treatment, fewer ribbons and precursors show directed motion indicative of

active transport (Figure 7). In addition, when hair cells are treated with nocodazole for the

entire duration of development (16 hrs) fewer synapses form (Figure 4). But in both of these

treatment paradigms, some ribbons still show directed motion, and some synapses still form,

even when microtubules are disrupted. If tracks of microtubules are required for developing

precursors and ribbons mobility during development what underlies this residual movement

and synapse formation?

One possibility is that not all microtubules are disrupted after nocodazole treatment.

Nocodazole treatment can be cytotoxic, especially at doses high enough to eliminate all

microtubules (~40 µM) (Laisne et al., 2021). The nocodazole doses we tested were not cytotoxic

(100-500 nM), although higher doses did result in death of hair cells. At these lower doses it

was difficult to eliminate all microtubules, especially those located more apically, which appear

to be extremely stable (example, Figure 2-S1). It is possible that the residual ribbon mobility

observed during nocodazole treatment occurs along these remaining microtubules.

Alternatively, it is possible that other filaments such as actin or intermediate filaments may also

play a role or aid in ribbon mobility. Work in mouse IHC has shown that actin filaments are

required to regulate and organize synaptic vesicles at mature ribbon synapses (Guillet et al.,

2016). In addition, in mice, the actin-based motor protein Myosin6 has been shown to localize

to ribbons and loss of Myosin6 impacts ribbon-synapse number and function (Roux et al.,

2009). In addition, it is possible that ribbons and precursors may also move throughout the cell

via diffusion and localize to the active zone via a 'capture' mechanism through an adapter

protein. Although we primarily see ribbons and precursors attached to microtubules, when

these filaments are destabilized using nocodazole, movement could still occur via diffusion.

Once the ribbons diffuse towards the base, other presynaptic molecules, such as Bassoon,

could act to anchor ribbons at the active zone (Jing et al., 2013). Any of these scenarios could

explain how ribbons move and how synapses form when microtubules are destabilized. In all

likelihood, transport along microtubules, other cytoskeletal filaments, and diffusive movement

are all required to ensure that ribbon synapses form properly. Additional pharmacology and

imaging experiments are needed to delineate between these possibilities.

Does spontaneous activity shape ribbon transport or fusion?

In previous work we demonstrated that spontaneous calcium activity impacts ribbon formation

in zebrafish hair cells (Sheets et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2019). Spontaneous activity is well

documented in developing sensory systems [reviewed in: (Leighton and Lohmann, 2016)]. In

the inner ear of mammals, spontaneous activity in sensory hair cells is thought to act during

development to establish neuronal connections within the inner ear, and to act downstream in

the brain to shape tonotopic maps (Ceriani et al., 2019; Tritsch et al., 2007). In our previous

work in lateral-line hair cells, we found that spontaneous rises in presynaptic-calcium loads

calcium into synaptic mitochondria. Calcium loading into the mitochondria regulates the mount

of NAD^+ to NAD(H) in the developing hair cell. Blocking either presynaptic or mitochondria

calcium during development results in higher levels of NAD^+, the formation of larger ribbons,

fewer synapses, and the retention of small ribbon precursors in hair cells (Sheets et al., 2012;

Wong et al., 2019). What aspect of ribbon formation is impacted by spontaneous activity

remains unclear.

Work in yeast has shown that calcium is important for microtubule stability (Adamíková

et al., 2004; Façanha et al., 2002). In addition, work in dendritic spines has shown that synaptic

calcium responses promote microtubule entry into active spines (Merriam et al., 2013).

Therefore, it is possible that spontaneous calcium activity may act to stabilize microtubules or

facilitate movement to the presynaptic AZ to facilitate ribbon transport. In addition to altering

the microtubule network, spontaneous activity could also impact ribbon fusion. Protein

condensate formation and fusion is influenced by many aspects of the cellular environment,

including temperature, pH, osmolarity and ion concentration (Wang et al., 2022). Elevated

calcium can promote the fusion of chromogranin proteins that undergo LLPS in the Golgi lumen

(Gerdes et al., 1989; Yoo, 1995). Therefore, it is possible that elevated calcium during a

spontaneous calcium event could promote ribbon or precursor fusion. In addition to the cellular

environment, posttranslational modifications to the proteins within the condensate can impact

formation and LLPS. Such modifications include: phosphorylation, acetylation, SUMOylation,

ubiquitination, methylation, and ADP-ribosylation (Luo et al., 2021). These modifications can

alter protein-protein interactions by changing the charge, structure, or hydrophobicity. Our

previous work implicated that NAD^+ or NAD(H) via a NAD^+/NADH binding domain on Ribeye,

could impact ribbon formation (Wong et al., 2019). It is possible that in addition to calcium,

NAD^+/NADH levels in the cell could modify Ribeye to facilitate condensate formation or fusion.

In the future it will be important to use the live imaging approaches outlined here to

understand how spontaneous presynaptic- and mitochondrial-calcium influx impact the

movement and fusion of ribbon precursors.

Overall, our live imaging studies demonstrate that microtubule networks are critical for ribbon

and precursor mobility, and fusion in developing zebrafish hair cells. But ribbon synapses

contain many molecules, and synapse formation requires many successive steps. In the future it

will be important to develop approaches to tag and label other presynaptic (Ca_V1.3 channels,

Bassoon, Piccolino) and postsynaptic proteins (PSD95, GluR2) that make up ribbon synapses.

For this future work, zebrafish is an ideal model system for creating these new genetic tools and

for live imaging studies. By imaging ribbons, along with these other tagged synaptic

components, we will gain a more comprehensive picture of ribbon-synapse formation.

Understanding how ribbon synapses form is essential to determine how to reform synapses

when they are disrupted in auditory and visual disorders.

Methods

Zebrafish animals

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were bred and cared for at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under

animal study protocol #1362-13. Zebrafish larvae raised at 28°C in E3 embryo medium (5 mM

NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl$_2$, and 0.33 mM MgSO$_4$, buffered in HEPES, pH 7.2). All

experiments were performed on larvae aged 2-3 days post fertilization (dpf). Larvae were

chosen at random at an age where sex determination is not possible. The previously described

mutant and transgenic lines were used in this study: *Tg(myo6b:ctbp2a-TagRFP)^{idc11Tg}* referred to

as *myo6b:riba-TagRFP; Tg(myo6b:YFP-Hsa.TUBA)^{idc16Tg}* referred to as *myo6b:YFP-tubulin* (Ohta

et al., 2020; Wong et al., 2019).

Zebrafish transgenic and CRISPR-Cas9 mutant generation

To create *myo6b:EB3-GFP* transgenic fish, plasmid construction was based on the tol2/gateway

zebrafish kit (Kwan et al., 2007). The p5E *pmyo6b* entry clone (Trapani et al., 2009) was used to

drive expression in hair cells. A pME-*EB3-GFP* clone was kindly provided by Catherine Drerup at

University of Wisconsin, Madison. pDestTol2pACryGFP was a gift from Joachim Berger & Peter

Currie (Addgene plasmid # 64022). These clones were used along with the following tol2 kit

gateway clone, p3E-*polyA* (#302) to create the expression construct: *myo6b:EB3-GFP*. To

generate the stable transgenic fish line *myo6b:EB3-GFP^{idc23Tg}*, plasmid DNA and tol2

transposase mRNA were injected into zebrafish embryos as previously described (Kwan et al.,

2007). The *myo6b:EB3-GFP^{idc23Tg}* transgenic line was selected for single copy and low expression

of EB3-GFP.

A *kif1aa* germline mutant was generated in-house using CRISPR-Cas9 technology as

previously described (Varshney et al., 2016). Exon 6, containing part of the Kinesin motor

domain was targeted. Guides RNAs (gRNAs) targeted to *kif1aa* are as follows: 5'-

ACGGATGTTCTCGCACACGT(AGG)-3', 5'-GTGCGAGAACATCCGTTGCT(AGG)-3', 5'-

TGGACTCCGGGAATAAGGCT(AGG)-3', 5'-AGAATACCTAGCCTTATTCC(CGG)-3'. Founder fish were

identified using fragment analysis of fluorescent PCR (fPCR) products. A founder fish containing

a complex INDEL that destroys a BslI restriction site in exon 6 was selected (Figure 5-S1B). This

INDEL disrupts the protein at amino acid 166 (Figure 5-S1A). Subsequent genotyping was

accomplished using standard PCR and Bsl1 restriction enzyme digestion. *Kif1aa* genotyping

primers used were: *kif1aa*_FWD 5'-AACACCAAGCTGACCAGTGC-3' and *kif1aa*_REV 5'-

TGCGGTCCTAGGCTTACAAT-3'.

We created *kif1aa* F0 crispants for our live imaging analyses. Here we injected the

following *kif1aa* gRNAs: 5'-GTGCGAGAACATCCGTTGCT(AGG)-3' and 5'-

AGAATACCTAGCCTTATTCC(CGG)-3', along with Cas9 protein, as previously described (Hoshijima

et al., 2019). We then grew *kif1aa* injected F0 crispants for 2 days and then used them for our

live imaging analyses. Because *kif1aa* mutants have no phenotype to distinguish them from

sibling controls at the ages imaged, the low throughput of our live imaging approaches made

using germline mutants prohibitive. Studies have shown that F0 crispants are a fast and

effective way to knockdown gene function in any genetic background (Hoshijima et al., 2019;

Sheets et al., 2021). After live imaging, we genotyped all *kif1aa* F0 crispants to ensure that the

gRNAs cut the target robustly using fragment analysis of fluorescent PCR products and the

following primers: *kif1aa*_FWD_fPCR 5'-TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-

AAATAGAGATTCACTTTTAATC-3' and *kif1aa*_REV_fPCR 5'- GTGTCTT-

CCTAGGCTTACAATGCTTTTGG-3' (Carrington et al., 2015). Any *kif1aa* F0 crispants without

robust genomic cutting were not included in analyses.

Zebrafish pharmacology

To destabilize or stabilize microtubules, larval zebrafish at 2 dpf were incubated in either

nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich, SML1665) or Paclitaxel (taxol) (Sigma-Aldrich, 5082270001). Both

drugs were maintained in DMSO. For experiments these drugs were diluted in media for a final

concentration of 0.1 % DMSO, 250-500 nM nocodazole and 25 μM taxol. For controls, larvae

were incubated in media containing 0.1 % DMSO. For long-term incubation (16 hr), wild-type

larvae were incubated in E3 media containing 250 nM nocodazole or 25 μM taxol at 54 hpf for

16 hrs (overnight). After this long-term treatment, larvae were fixed and prepared for

immunohistochemistry (see below). For live, short-term incubations (for 3-4 hr incubations or

ribbon tracking), transgenic larvae (*myo6b:riba-tagRFP; Tg(myo6b:YFP-alpha-tubulin*) at 48-54

hpf were embedded in 1 % low melt agarose prepared in E3 media containing 0.03 % tricaine

(Sigma-Aldrich, A5040, ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt). 500 nM nocodazole, 25

µM taxol or DMSO were added to the agarose and to the E3 media used to hydrate the sample.

For these short-term treatments, hair cells were imaged after 30 min of embedding.

Immunohistochemistry of zebrafish samples

Immunohistochemistry to label acetylated-tubulin, tyrosinated-tubulin, Ribeyeb (ribbons and

precursors), pan-Maguk (postsynaptic densities) and Myosin7a (hair-cell bodies) was performed

on whole zebrafish larvae similar to previous work. The following primary antibodies were

used: rabbit anti-Myosin7a (Proteus 25-6790; 1:1000) mouse anti-pan-Maguk (IgG1) (Millipore

MABN7; 1:500); mouse anti-Ribeyeb (IgG2a) ((Sheets et al., 2011); 1:10,000); mouse anti-

acetylated-tubulin (IgG2b) (Sigma-Aldrich T7451; 1:5,000); mouse anti-tyrosinated-tubulin

(IgG2a) (Sigma-Aldrich MAB1864-I; 1:1,000); chicken anti-GFP (to stain YFP-tubulin) (Aves labs

GFP-1010; 1:1,000). The following secondary antibodies were used at 1:1,000: (ThermoFisher

Scientific, A-11008; A-21143, A-21131, A-21240; A-11-039, A-21242, A-21241, A-11039). Larvae

were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for 4 hr at 4°C. All wash, block and antibody

solutions were prepared in 0.1 % Tween in PBS (PBST). After fixation, larvae were washed 5 × 5

min in PBST. Prior to block, larvae were permeabilized with acetone. For this permeabilization

larvae were first washed for 5 min with H_2O. The H_2O was removed and replaced with ice-cold

acetone and placed at −20°C for 3 min, followed by a 5 min H_2O wash. The larvae were then

washed for 5 × 5 min in PBST. Larvae were then blocked overnight at 4°C in blocking solution (2

% goat serum, 1 % bovine serum albumin, 2 % fish skin gelatin in PBST). Larvae were then

incubated in primary antibodies in antibody solution (1 % bovine serum albumin in PBST)

overnight, nutating at 4°C. The next day, the larvae were washed for 5 × 5 min in PBST to

remove the primary antibodies. Secondary antibodies in antibody solution were added and

larvae were incubated for 3 hrs at room temperature. After 5 × 5 min washes min in PBST to

remove the secondary antibodies, larvae were rinsed in H_2O and mounted in Prolong gold

(ThermoFisher Scientific P36930).

Confocal imaging and analysis of fixed zebrafish samples

After immunostaining, fixed zebrafish samples were imaged on an inverted Zeiss LSM 780 (Zen 2.3 SP1) or an upright Zeiss LSM 980 (Zen 3.4) laser-scanning confocal microscope with Airyscan using a 63x 1.4 NA oil objective lens. Z-stacks encompassing the entire neuromast were acquired every 0.17 (LSM 980) or 0.18 (LSM 780) μm with an 0.04 μm x-y pixel size and Airyscan autoprocessed in 3D.

Synaptic images from fixed samples were further processed using FIJI. Acetylated tubulin or Myosin7 label was used to manually count hair cells. Complete synapses comprised of both a Ribeyeb and Maguk puncta were also counted manually. To quantify ribbon and precursor areas, images were processed in a FIJI 'IJMacro_AIRYSCAN_simple3dSeg_noco_ribbons only.ijm' as previously described (Wong et al., 2019). Here each Airyscan z-stack was max-projected. Background was subtracted from each projection using rolling-ball subtraction. A threshold was applied to each image, followed by segmentation to delineate individual Ribeyeb puncta. The watershed function was used to separate adjacent puncta. A list of 2D objects of individual ROIs (minimum size filter of 0.002 $μm^2$) was created to measure the areas of Ribeyeb puncta. Areas for all Ribeyeb puncta within each neuromast were then exported as a csv spreadsheet. For comparisons, all fixed images analyzed in FIJI were imaged and processed using the same parameters.

Confocal imaging and *in vivo* analysis of ribbon numbers in developing zebrafish hair cells

For counting ribbon numbers in developing and mature hair cells (Figure 1), double transgenic *myo6b:riba-TagRFP* and *myo6b:YFP-tubulin* larvae at 2 and 3 dpf were imaged. Transgenic larvae were pinned to a Sylgard-filled petri dish in E3 media containing 0.03 % tricaine and imaged on a Nikon A1R upright confocal microscope using a 60x 1 NA water objective lens. Denoised images were acquired using NIS Elements AR 5.20.02 with an 0.425 μm z-interval, at, 16x averaging, and 0.05 μm/pixel. Z-stacks of whole neuromasts including the kinocilium were acquired in a top-down configuration using 488 and 561 nm lasers. The 488 nm laser along with a transmitted PMT (T-PMT) detector was used to capture the kinocilial heights.

For quantification of ribbon numbers at different hair-cell developmental stages (Figure

1), a custom-written Fiji macro "Live ribbon counter" was used to batch-process the z-stacks.

The red channel (Riba-TagRFP) of each z-stack was thresholded (threshold value = 97).

Watershed was applied to the thresholded stack to separate ribbons near each other. The

resulting mask from the thresholding and watershedding was applied to the original red

channel. The number of ribbons was then counted using '3D Objects Counter' (Threshold = 1,

min size = 0, max size = 183500). The counted objects were merged with the green channel

(YFP-tubulin). Each z-stack was visually inspected to determine the localization of the ribbons.

Ribbons below the nucleus were classified as 'basal' and the rest as 'apical'. The number of

apical and basal ribbons were counted in each hair cell.

To classify the developmental stage of each hair cell (Figure 1), the height of the

kinocilium was used. The number of z-slices between the kinocilium tip and base was

determine and multiplied by the z-slice interval (0.425 µm) to get the kinocilium height. Hair

cells with heights < 2.5 µm were classified as 'Early', hair cells with heights 2.5-10 µm were

classified as 'Intermediate' and with heights 10-18 µm were classified as 'Late'. Hair cells with

heights > 18 µm were considered 'Mature'.

Confocal imaging and *in vivo* tracking EB3-GFP dynamics in zebrafish

Transgenic *myo6b:EB3-GFP* larvae at 2-3 dpf were mounted in 1 % LMP agarose containing 0.03

% tricaine in a glass-bottom dish. Larvae were imaged on an inverted Zeiss LSM 780 (Zen 2.3

SP1) confocal microscope using a 63x 1.4 NA oil objective lens. For timelapses, confocal z-stacks

of partial cell volumes (3.5 µm, 7 z slices at 0.5 µm z interval) with an 0.07 µm x-y pixel size

were taken every 7 s for 15-30 min.

The EB3-GFP timelapses were tracked in Imaris. For spot detection, we used an

estimated xy diameter of 0.534 µm with background subtraction. The detected spots were

filtered by 'Quality' using the automatic threshold. The timelapses were visually checked to

make sure the spot detection was accurate. For the tracking step, the 'Autoregressive motion'

algorithm was used, with a maximum linking distance of 1 µm and a maximum gap size of 3

frames. To ensure accurate track detection, short tracks were removed by filtering for the

number of spots in a track (> 5) and track displacement length (> automatic threshold).

To calculate the track angles relative to the hair-cell base, we used cells that lie

horizontally so we only need to consider the angles in the xy place. In Imaris, the tracks in each

hair cell were selected and exported separately. Using the start and end position co-ordinates

of the exported tracks, we calculated track angles in MATLAB using custom written code called,

"EB3 track angle". The angle of each hair cell was measured in Imaris. The final track angle

distribution plotted was obtained by measuring the difference between each track angle and

the angle of the hair cell.

To create movies of EB3-GFP tracks in Movie S1, the FIJI plugin TrackMate was used

(Tinevez et al., 2017). For Movie S1, the LoG detector in TrackMate was used with an estimated

object diameter of 0.6 µm, a quality threshold of 8, using a median filter and sub-pixel

localization. The Linear Assignment Problem (LAP) tracker was selected using frame to frame

linking max distance of 1 µm, a track segment gap closing max distance of 1 µm and max frame

gap of 2 µm. Tracks were colored by Track index. For viewing tracks over time, tracks were

displayed as "Show tracks backwards in time" with a fade range of 5 time-points. To create

color-coded temporal map of EB3-GFP tracks over a short time window (21 s, Figure 2C-D), the

FIJI Hyperstack plugin Temporal-Color code was used with the 16 colors LUT.

Confocal imaging and *in vivo* analysis of ribbon numbers after short-term pharmacological

treatments in zebrafish

For counting ribbons after 3-4 hr drug treatment, transgenic zebrafish expressing *myo6b:riba-*

TagRFP and *myo6b:YFP-tubulin* at 2 dpf were examined. Transgenic larvae were mounted in 1 %

low melt agarose in E3 media containing 0.03 % tricaine and one of the following: 500 nM

nocodazole, 25 µM taxol or 0.1 % DMSO (Control). An inverted Zeiss LSM 780 (Zen 2.3 SP1)

confocal microscope with Airyscan, along with a 63x NA 1.4 oil objective lens. Z-stacks

encompassing the entire neuromast were acquired every or 0.18 µm with an 0.04 µm x-y pixel

size and Airyscan autoprocessed in 3D.

To quantification of ribbon numbers before and after 3-4 hr nocodazole and taxol

treatment or in *kif1aa* F0 crispants (Figure 6, Figure 6-S1), the custom-written Fiji macro "Live

ribbon counter" described above was used to batch-processed the z-stacks. The red channel

(Riba-TagRFP) of each z-stack was thresholded (threshold value = 28) and segmented

(watershed). The resulting mask was applied to the original red channel. The number of ribbons

was then counted using '3D Objects Counter' (threshold = 1, min size = 0, max size = 183500).

The counted objects were merged with the green channel (YFP-tubulin). Each z-stack was

visually inspected to make sure the objects counted were within hair cells. The number of

ribbons per neuromast was determined and the difference in numbers pre- and post-drug

treatment was plotted.

Confocal imaging and *in vivo* tracking of ribbons

To visualize ribbon precursor movement, timelapses of double transgenic *myo6b:riba-TagRFP*

and *myo6b:YFP-tubulin* larvae at 2 dpf were imaged. For pharmacological treatments,

transgenic larvae were mounted in 1 % low melt agarose in E3 media containing 0.03 % tricaine

and one of the following: 500 nM nocodazole, 25 μM taxol or 0.1 % DMSO (Control) in a glass-

bottom dish. Double transgenic *kif1aa* crispants and uninjected controls were mounted in 1 %

low melt agarose in E3 media containing 0.03 % tricaine in a glass-bottom dish. Larvae were

imaged on an inverted Zeiss LSM 780 or an upright LSM 980 confocal microscope with Airyscan

using a 63x 1.4 NA oil objective lens. Airyscan z-stacks of partial cell volumes (~3 μm, 16-18 z-

slices using 0.18 μm z-interval and an 0.04 μm x-y pixel size) were taken on the LSM 780 every

50-100 s for 30-70 min. Faster LSM 980 Airscan z-stacks of partial cell volumes (~2-3.5 μm, 12-

20 z-slices using 0.17 μm z interval and an 0.04 μm x-y pixel size) were taken every 20 s for 15-

45 min. Airyscan timelapses were autoprocessed in 3D. In addition, we acquired a subset of

LSM 780 Airscan z-stacks every 5-8 min for 30-100 min to capture fusion events more for clearly

for Movies S8-10.

The longer timelapses acquired on the Zeiss LSM 780 were tracked in Imaris using spot

detection with estimated xy diameters of 0.427 μm (with background subtraction). The spots

were filtered based on 'Quality', with thresholds between 3-8, chosen after visual inspection of

the detected spots. For tracking, the 'Autoregressive motion' algorithm was used with a

maximum linking distance of 1.13 µm and a maximum gap size of 3 frames. Tracks with number

of spots < 5 were not included. Using the Track displacement length filter in Imaris, the number

of tracks with Track displacement length > 1 µm were counted and divided by the total number

of tracks to get the fractions plotted in Figure 7. For the mean squared displacement (MSD)

analysis, the xyzt co-ordinates were exported in 'csv' format for all tracks in a timelapse. The

MSD analysis was done using the prewritten MATLAB class MSDanalyzer (Tarantino et al.,

2014). MSDanalyzer calculates the mean squared displacement for each track, curve-fits the

MSD vs time, and provides the value of the exponent (α). The first 25 % of the MSD vs time

graph was used for curve-fitting. Tracks with the number of spots < 10 were removed to ensure

accuracy of the MSD analysis.

Statistics

All data shown are mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). All zebrafish experiments were

compiled from data acquired on at least two independent days from different clutches. All

replicates were biological–distinct animals and cells. Wild-type animals were selected at

random for drug treatments. Datasets were excluded if there was excessive x-y-or z drift. In all

datasets dot plots represent the 'n'. N represents either the number of neuromasts, hair cells,

synapses or puncta as stated in the legends. For all zebrafish experiments a minimum of 3

animals and 6 neuromasts were examined. Sample sizes were selected to avoid Type 2 error. All

statistical analyses were performed using Prism 10 software (GraphPad). A D'Agostino-Pearson

normality test was used to test for normal distributions. To test for statistical significance

between two samples, either unpaired t-tests (normally distributed data), or Wilcoxon or

Mann-Whitney tests (not normally distributed data) were used. A p-value less than 0.05 was

considered significant.

Contributions

KK, SH and H-TW did the immunohistochemistry, along with the imaging and analysis of fixed

samples. KP and MU created the *kif1aa* mutants. KP created *kif1aa* cripants for analyses and

made the *myo6b:EB3-GFP* transgenic line. SH did all the live imaging, along with the

quantifications and tracking analyses. KK and SH made figures and wrote the manuscript.

896
Data Availability Statement

All data and code used in this paper will be available on Dyrad upon revision.

Acknowledgements

We thank Juan Angueyra and Katie Drerup for their comments on our manuscript. This work

was supported by National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD)

Intramural Research Program Grant 1ZIADC000085-01 (KK) and Project B08 of the Collaborative

Research Center 889 '*Cellular Mechanisms of Sensory Processing*' of the German Research

Foundation (MU, awarded to Christian Vogl).

References

Adamíková L, Straube A, Schulz I, Steinberg G. 2004. Calcium Signaling Is Involved in Dynein-
dependent Microtubule Organization. *Mol Biol Cell* **15**:1969–1980.
doi:10.1091/mbc.E03-09-0675
Ahmari SE, Buchanan J, Smith SJ. 2000. Assembly of presynaptic active zones from cytoplasmic
transport packets. *Nat Neurosci* **3**:445–451. doi:10.1038/74814
Brandt A, Striessnig J, Moser T. 2003. CaV1.3 channels are essential for development and
presynaptic activity of cochlear inner hair cells. *J Neurosci* **23**:10832–10840.
Bury LAD, Sabo SL. 2011. Coordinated trafficking of synaptic vesicle and active zone proteins
prior to synapse formation. *Neural Develop* **6**:24. doi:10.1186/1749-8104-6-24
Carrington B, Varshney GK, Burgess SM, Sood R. 2015. CRISPR-STAT: an easy and reliable PCR-
based method to evaluate target-specific sgRNA activity. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**:e157.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkv802
Ceriani F, Hendry A, Jeng J-Y, Johnson SL, Stephani F, Olt J, Holley MC, Mammano F, Engel J,
Kros CJ, Simmons DD, Marcotti W. 2019. Coordinated calcium signalling in cochlear
sensory and non-sensory cells refines afferent innervation of outer hair cells. *EMBO J*
38:e99839. doi:10.15252/embj.201899839
Chang B, Heckenlively JR, Bayley PR, Brecha NC, Davisson MT, Hawes NL, Hirano AA, Hurd RE,
Ikeda A, Johnson BA, Mccall MA, Morgans CW, Nusinowitz S, Peachey NS, Rice DS,
Vessey KA, Gregg RG. 2006. The nob2 mouse, a null mutation in Cacna1f: Anatomical
and functional abnormalities in the outer retina and their consequences on ganglion cell
visual responses. *Vis Neurosci* **23**:11–24. doi:10.1017/S095252380623102X

Chernov KG, Barbet A, Hamon L, Ovchinnikov LP, Curmi PA, Pastré D. 2009. Role of
microtubules in stress granule assembly. *J Biol Chem* **284**:36569–36580.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.042879

Cochard A, Safieddine A, Combe P, Benassy M-N, Weil D, Gueroui Z. 2023. Condensate
functionalization with microtubule motors directs their nucleation in space and allows
manipulating RNA localization. *EMBO J* **n/a**:e114106. doi:10.15252/embj.2023114106

Dick O, tom Dieck S, Altrock WD, Ammermüller J, Weiler R, Garner CC, Gundelfinger ED,
Brandstätter JH. 2003. The presynaptic active zone protein bassoon is essential for
photoreceptor ribbon synapse formation in the retina. *Neuron* **37**:775–786.
doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00086-2

Dow E, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ. 2015. Cellular projections from sensory hair cells form polarity-
specific scaffolds during synaptogenesis. *Genes Dev* **29**:1087–1094.
doi:10.1101/gad.259838.115

Façanha ALO, Appelgren H, Tabish M, Okorokov L, Ekwall K. 2002. The endoplasmic reticulum
cation P-type ATPase Cta4p is required for control of cell shape and microtubule
dynamics. *J Cell Biol* **157**:1029–1039. doi:10.1083/jcb.200111012

Farías GG, Cuitino L, Guo X, Ren X, Jarnik M, Mattera R, Bonifacino JS. 2012. Signal-mediated,
AP-1/clathrin-dependent sorting of transmembrane receptors to the somatodendritic
domain of hippocampal neurons. *Neuron* **75**:810–823.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.07.007

Fejtova A, Davydova D, Bischof F, Lazarevic V, Altrock WD, Romorini S, Schöne C, Zuschratter W,
Kreutz MR, Garner CC, Ziv NE, Gundelfinger ED. 2009. Dynein light chain regulates
axonal trafficking and synaptic levels of Bassoon. *J Cell Biol* **185**:341–355.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200807155

Frank T, Rutherford MA, Strenzke N, Neef A, Pangršič T, Khimich D, Fejtova A, Gundelfinger ED,
Liberman MC, Harke B, Bryan KE, Lee A, Egner A, Riedel D, Moser T. 2010. Bassoon and
the synaptic ribbon organize Ca^2+ channels and vesicles to add release sites and
promote refilling. *Neuron* **68**:724–738. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.10.027

Frederick CE, Zenisek D. 2023. Ribbon synapses and retinal disease: review. *Int J Mol Sci*
24:5090. doi:10.3390/ijms24065090

Freeman W. 1928. The function of the lateral line organs. *Science* **68**:205–205.
doi:10.1126/science.68.1757.205

Fu M, Holzbaur ELF. 2014. Integrated regulation of motor-driven organelle transport by
scaffolding proteins. *Trends Cell Biol* **24**:564–574. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2014.05.002

Gerdes HH, Rosa P, Phillips E, Baeuerle PA, Frank R, Argos P, Huttner WB. 1989. The primary
structure of human secretogranin II, a widespread tyrosine-sulfated secretory granule
protein that exhibits low pH- and calcium-induced aggregation. *J Biol Chem* **264**:12009–
12015. doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(18)80167-3

Graydon CW, Manor U, Kindt KS. 2017. In vivo ribbon mobility and turnover of Ribeye at
zebrafish hair cell synapses. *Sci Rep* **7**:7467. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07940-z

Guillet M, Sendin G, Bourien J, Puel J-L, Nouvian R. 2016. Actin Filaments Regulate Exocytosis at
the Hair Cell Ribbon Synapse. *J Neurosci* **36**:649–654. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3379-
15.2016

Gundelfinger ED, Reissner C, Garner CC. 2016. Role of bassoon and piccolo in assembly and
molecular organization of the active zone. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* **7**:19.
doi:10.3389/fnsyn.2015.00019

Gupta RS. 1985. Species-specific differences in toxicity of antimitotic agents toward cultured
mammalian cells2. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst* **74**:159–164. doi:10.1093/jnci/74.1.159

Hoshijima K, Jurynec MJ, Klatt Shaw D, Jacobi AM, Behlke MA, Grunwald DJ. 2019. Highly
efficient crispr-cas9-based methods for generating deletion mutations and f0 embryos
that lack gene function in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* **51**:645-657.e4.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.10.004

Hummel JJA, Hoogenraad CC. 2021. Specific KIF1A-adaptor interactions control selective cargo
recognition. *J Cell Biol* **220**:e202105011. doi:10.1083/jcb.202105011

Janke C, Magiera MM. 2020. The tubulin code and its role in controlling microtubule properties
and functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **21**:307–326. doi:10.1038/s41580-020-0214-3

Jean P, Lopez de la Morena D, Michanski S, Jaime Tobón LM, Chakrabarti R, Picher MM, Neef J,
Jung S, Gültas M, Maxeiner S, Neef A, Wichmann C, Strenzke N, Grabner C, Moser T.
2018. The synaptic ribbon is critical for sound encoding at high rates and with temporal
precision. *eLife* **7**:e29275. doi:10.7554/eLife.29275

Jia S, Muto A, Orisme W, Henson HE, Parupalli C, Ju B, Baier H, Taylor MR. 2014. Zebrafish
Cacna1fa is required for cone photoreceptor function and synaptic ribbon formation.
Hum Mol Genet **23**:2981–2994. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu009

Jing Z, Rutherford MA, Takago H, Frank T, Fejtova A, Khimich D, Moser T, Strenzke N. 2013.
Disruption of the presynaptic cytomatrix protein bassoon degrades ribbon anchorage,
multiquantal release, and sound encoding at the hair cell afferent synapse. *J Neurosci*
33:4456–67. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3491-12.2013

Kawano D, Pinter K, Chlebowski M, Petralia RS, Wang Y-X, Nechiporuk AV, Drerup CM. 2022.
NudC regulated Lis1 stability is essential for the maintenance of dynamic microtubule
ends in axon terminals. *iScience* **25**:105072. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2022.105072

Khimich D, Nouvian R, Pujol R, Tom Dieck S, Egner A, Gundelfinger ED, Moser T. 2005. Hair cell
synaptic ribbons are essential for synchronous auditory signalling. *Nature* **434**:889–894.
doi:10.1038/nature03418

Kindt KS, Finch G, Nicolson T. 2012. Kinocilia mediate mechanosensitivity in developing
zebrafish hair cells. *Dev Cell* **23**:329–341. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.05.022

Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. 2015. Synaptopathy in the noise-exposed and aging cochlea: primary
neural degeneration in acquired sensorineural hearing loss. *Hear Res* **330**:191–199.
doi:10.1016/j.heares.2015.02.009

Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, Parant JM, Yost HJ,
Kanki JP, Chien C-B. 2007. The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for
Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. *Dev Dyn Off Publ Am Assoc Anat* **236**:3088–
3099. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21343

Laisne M-C, Michallet S, Lafanechère L. 2021. Characterization of microtubule destabilizing
drugs: a quantitative cell-based assay that bridges the gap between tubulin based- and
cytotoxicity assays. *Cancers* **13**:5226. doi:10.3390/cancers13205226

Leighton AH, Lohmann C. 2016. The wiring of developing sensory circuits-from patterned spontaneous activity to synaptic plasticity mechanisms. *Front Neural Circuits* **10**:71. doi:10.3389/fncir.2016.00071

Lepelletier L, de Monvel JB, Buisson J, Desdouets C, Petit C. 2013. Auditory hair cell centrioles undergo confined brownian motion throughout the developmental migration of the kinocilium. *Biophys J* **105**:48–58. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2013.05.009

Luo Y-Y, Wu J-J, Li Y-M. 2021. Regulation of liquid–liquid phase separation with focus on post-translational modifications. *Chem Commun* **57**:13275–13287. doi:10.1039/D1CC05266G

Lush ME, Diaz DC, Koenecke N, Baek S, Boldt H, St Peter MK, Gaitan-Escudero T, Romero-Carvajal A, Busch-Nentwich EM, Perera AG, Hall KE, Peak A, Haug JS, Piotrowski T. 2019. scRNA-Seq reveals distinct stem cell populations that drive hair cell regeneration after loss of Fgf and Notch signaling. *eLife* **8**:e44431. doi:10.7554/eLife.44431

Maas C, Torres VI, Altrock WD, Leal-Ortiz S, Wagh D, Terry-Lorenzo RT, Fejtova A, Gundelfinger ED, Ziv NE, Garner CC. 2012. Formation of Golgi-derived active zone precursor vesicles. *J Neurosci* **32**:11095–11108. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0195-12.2012

Magupalli VG, Schwarz K, Alpadi K, Natarajan S, Seigel GM, Schmitz F. 2008. Multiple RIBEYE-RIBEYE interactions create a dynamic scaffold for the formation of synaptic ribbons. *J Neurosci* **28**:7954–7967. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1964-08.2008

Mansergh F, Orton NC, Vessey JP, Lalonde MR, Stell WK, Tremblay F, Barnes S, Rancourt DE, Bech-Hansen NT. 2005. Mutation of the calcium channel gene Cacna1f disrupts calcium signaling, synaptic transmission and cellular organization in mouse retina. *Hum Mol Genet* **14**:3035–3046. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddi336

Maxeiner S, Luo F, Tan A, Schmitz F, Südhof TC. 2016. How to make a synaptic ribbon: RIBEYE deletion abolishes ribbons in retinal synapses and disrupts neurotransmitter release. *EMBO J* **35**:1098–1114. doi:10.15252/embj.201592701

Merriam EB, Millette M, Lumbard DC, Saengsawang W, Fothergill T, Hu X, Ferhat L, Dent EW. 2013. Synaptic regulation of microtubule dynamics in dendritic spines by calcium, F-actin, and drebrin. *J Neurosci* **33**:16471–16482. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0661-13.2013

Michanski S, Kapoor R, Steyer AM, Möbius W, Früholz I, Ackermann F, Gültas M, Garner CC, Hamra FK, Neef J, Strenzke N, Moser T, Wichmann C. 2023. Piccolino is required for ribbon architecture at cochlear inner hair cell synapses and for hearing. *EMBO Rep* **n/a**:e56702. doi:10.15252/embr.202256702

Michanski S, Smaluch K, Steyer AM, Chakrabarti R, Setz C, Oestreicher D, Fischer C, Möbius W, Moser T, Vogl C, Wichmann C. 2019. Mapping developmental maturation of inner hair cell ribbon synapses in the apical mouse cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **116**:6415–6424. doi:10.1073/pnas.1812029116

Nemzou N. RM, Bulankina AV, Khimich D, Giese A, Moser T. 2006. Synaptic organization in cochlear inner hair cells deficient for the CaV1.3 (α1D) subunit of L-type Ca2+ channels. *Neuroscience* **141**:1849–1860. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.05.057

Niwa S, Tanaka Y, Hirokawa N. 2008. KIF1Bβ- and KIF1A-mediated axonal transport of presynaptic regulator Rab3 occurs in a GTP-dependent manner through DENN/MADD. *Nat Cell Biol* **10**:1269–1279. doi:10.1038/ncb1785

Obholzer N, Wolfson S, Trapani JG, Mo W, Nechiporuk A, Busch-Nentwich E, Seiler C, Sidi S, Söllner C, Duncan RN, Boehland A, Nicolson T. 2008. Vesicular glutamate transporter 3 is

required for synaptic transmission in zebrafish hair cells. *J Neurosci* **28**:2110–2118.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5230-07.2008
Ohta S, Ji YR, Martin D, Wu DK. 2020. Emx2 regulates hair cell rearrangement but not positional
identity within neuromasts. *eLife*. doi:10.7554/eLife.60432
Okada Y, Yamazaki H, Sekine-Aizawa Y, Hirokawa N. 1995. The neuron-specific kinesin
superfamily protein KIF1A is a unique monomeric motor for anterograde axonal
transport of synaptic vesicle precursors. *Cell* **81**:769–780. doi:10.1016/0092-
8674(95)90538-3
Oliver D, Ramachandran S, Philbrook A, Lambert CM, Nguyen KCQ, Hall DH, Francis MM. 2022.
Kinesin-3 mediated axonal delivery of presynaptic neurexin stabilizes dendritic spines
and postsynaptic components. *PLoS Genet* **18**:e1010016.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010016
Pack-Chung E, Kurshan PT, Dickman DK, Schwarz TL. 2007. A Drosophila kinesin required for
synaptic bouton formation and synaptic vesicle transport. *Nat Neurosci* **10**:980–989.
doi:10.1038/nn1936
Regus-Leidig H, Fuchs M, Löhner M, Leist SR, Leal-Ortiz S, Chiodo VA, Hauswirth WW, Garner
CC, Brandstätter JH. 2014. In vivo knockdown of Piccolino disrupts presynaptic ribbon
morphology in mouse photoreceptor synapses. *Front Cell Neurosci* **8**.
Regus-Leidig H, tom Dieck S, Specht D, Meyer L, Brandstätter JH. 2009. Early steps in the
assembly of photoreceptor ribbon synapses in the mouse retina: The involvement of
precursor spheres. *J Comp Neurol* **512**:814–824. doi:10.1002/cne.21915
Roux I, Hosie S, Johnson SL, Bahloul A, Cayet N, Nouaille S, Kros CJ, Petit C, Safieddine S. 2009.
Myosin VI is required for the proper maturation and function of inner hair cell ribbon
synapses. *Hum Mol Genet* **18**:4615–4628. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddp429
Ruel J, Emery S, Nouvian R, Bersot T, Amilhon B, Van Rybroek JM, Rebillard G, Lenoir M, Eybalin
M, Delprat B, Sivakumaran TA, Giros B, El Mestikawy S, Moser T, Smith RJH, Lesperance
MM, Puel J-L. 2008. Impairment of SLC17A8 encoding vesicular glutamate transporter-3,
VGLUT3, underlies nonsyndromic deafness DFNA25 and inner hair cell dysfunction in
null mice. *Am J Hum Genet* **83**:278–292. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2008.07.008
Sampo B, Kaech S, Kunz S, Banker G. 2003. Two distinct mechanisms target membrane proteins
to the axonal surface. *Neuron* **37**:611–624. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00058-8
Schmitz F. 2009. The making of synaptic ribbons: how they are built and what they do. *Neurosci
Rev J Bringing Neurobiol Neurol Psychiatry* **15**:611–624.
doi:10.1177/1073858409340253
Schmitz F, Königstorfer A, Südhof TC. 2000. RIBEYE, a component of synaptic ribbons: a
protein's journey through evolution provides insight into synaptic ribbon function.
Neuron **28**:857–872. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00159-8
Schrøder JM, Larsen J, Komarova Y, Akhmanova A, Thorsteinsson RI, Grigoriev I, Manguso R,
Christensen ST, Pedersen SF, Geimer S, Pedersen LB. 2011. EB1 and EB3 promote cilia
biogenesis by several centrosome-related mechanisms. *J Cell Sci* **124**:2539–2551.
doi:10.1242/jcs.085852
Shapira M, Zhai RG, Dresbach T, Bresler T, Torres VI, Gundelfinger ED, Ziv NE, Garner CC. 2003.
Unitary assembly of presynaptic active zones from Piccolo-Bassoon transport vesicles.
Neuron **38**:237–252. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00207-1

Sheets L, Holmgren M, Kindt KS. 2021. How zebrafish can drive the future of genetic-based
hearing and balance research. *JARO J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **22**:215–235.
doi:10.1007/s10162-021-00798-z
Sheets L, Kindt KS, Nicolson T. 2012. Presynaptic CaV1.3 channels regulate synaptic ribbon size
and are required for synaptic maintenance in sensory hair cells. *J Neurosci* **32**:17273–
17286. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3005-12.2012
Sheets L, Trapani JG, Mo W, Obholzer N, Nicolson T. 2011. Ribeye is required for presynaptic
Ca(V)1.3a channel localization and afferent innervation of sensory hair cells. *Dev Camb*
Engl **138**:1309–1319. doi:10.1242/dev.059451
Sikora G, Teuerle M, Wyłomańska A, Grebenkov D. 2017. Statistical properties of the
anomalous scaling exponent estimator based on time-averaged mean-square
displacement. *Phys Rev E* **96**:022132. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.96.022132
Sobkowicz HM, Rose JE, Scott GE, Slapnick SM. 1982. Ribbon synapses in the developing intact
and cultured organ of Corti in the mouse. *J Neurosci* **2**:942–957.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.02-07-00942.1982
Sobkowicz HM, Rose JE, Scott GL, Levenick CV. 1986. Distribution of synaptic ribbons in the
developing organ of Corti. *J Neurocytol* **15**:693–714. doi:10.1007/BF01625188
Stepanova T, Slemmer J, Hoogenraad CC, Lansbergen G, Dortland B, Zeeuw CID, Grosveld F,
Cappellen G van, Akhmanova A, Galjart N. 2003. Visualization of microtubule growth in
cultured neurons via the use of eb3-gfp (end-binding protein 3-green fluorescent
protein). *J Neurosci* **23**:2655–2664. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-07-02655.2003
Suli A, Watson GM, Rubel EW, Raible DW. 2012. Rheotaxis in larval zebrafish is mediated by
lateral line mechanosensory hair cells. *PLoS ONE* **7**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029727
Sur A, Wang Y, Capar P, Margolin G, Prochaska MK, Farrell JA. 2023. Single-cell analysis of
shared signatures and transcriptional diversity during zebrafish development. *Dev Cell*
58:3028-3047.e12. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2023.11.001
Sweeney HL, Holzbaur ELF. 2018. Motor Proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **10**:a021931.
doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a021931
Tarantino N, Tinevez J-Y, Crowell EF, Boisson B, Henriques R, Mhlanga M, Agou F, Israël A,
Laplantine E. 2014. TNF and IL-1 exhibit distinct ubiquitin requirements for inducing
NEMO–IKK supramolecular structures. *J Cell Biol* **204**:231–245.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201307172
Tinevez J-Y, Perry N, Schindelin J, Hoopes GM, Reynolds GD, Laplantine E, Bednarek SY, Shorte
SL, Eliceiri KW. 2017. TrackMate: An open and extensible platform for single-particle
tracking. *Methods*, Image Processing for Biologists **115**:80–90.
doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.09.016
Trapani JG, Obholzer N, Mo W, Brockerhoff SE, Nicolson T. 2009. Synaptojanin1 is required for
temporal fidelity of synaptic transmission in hair cells. *PLOS Genet* **5**:e1000480.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000480
Tritsch NX, Yi E, Gale JE, Glowatzki E, Bergles DE. 2007. The origin of spontaneous activity in the
developing auditory system. *Nature* **450**:50–55. doi:10.1038/nature06233
Uthaiah RC, Hudspeth AJ. 2010. Molecular anatomy of the hair cell's ribbon synapse. *J Neurosci*
30:12387–12399. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1014-10.2010

Varshney GK, Carrington B, Pei W, Bishop K, Chen Z, Fan C, Xu L, Jones M, LaFave MC, Ledin J,
Sood R, Burgess SM. 2016. A high-throughput functional genomics workflow based on
CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in zebrafish. *Nat Protoc* **11**:2357–2375.
doi:10.1038/nprot.2016.141

Vleugel M, Kok M, Dogterom M. 2016. Understanding force-generating microtubule systems
through in vitro reconstitution. *Cell Adhes Migr* **10**:475–494.
doi:10.1080/19336918.2016.1241923

Wang B, Zhang Lei, Dai T, Qin Z, Lu H, Zhang Long, Zhou F. 2021. Liquid–liquid phase separation
in human health and diseases. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* **6**:1–16.
doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00678-1

Wang Z, Lou J, Zhang H. 2022. Essence determines phenomenon: Assaying the material
properties of biological condensates. *J Biol Chem* **298**. doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101782

Wiegand T, Hyman AA. 2020. Drops and fibers — how biomolecular condensates and
cytoskeletal filaments influence each other. *Emerg Top Life Sci* **4**:247–261.
doi:10.1042/ETLS20190174

Wong HC, Zhang Q, Beirl AJ, Petralia RS, Wang Y-X, Kindt K. 2019. Synaptic mitochondria
regulate hair-cell synapse size and function. *eLife* **8**:e48914. doi:10.7554/eLife.48914

Yamada M, Tanaka-Takiguchi Y, Hayashi M, Nishina M, Goshima G. 2017. Multiple kinesin-14
family members drive microtubule minus end–directed transport in plant cells. *J Cell Biol*
216:1705–1714. doi:10.1083/jcb.201610065

Yoo SH. 1995. pH- and Ca2+-induced Conformational Change and Aggregation of Chromogranin
B.: COMPARISON WITH CHROMOGRANIN A AND IMPLICATION IN SECRETORY VESICLE
BIOGENESIS (*). *J Biol Chem* **270**:12578–12583. doi:10.1074/jbc.270.21.12578

Zhang Q, Kindt KS. 2022. Using light-sheet microscopy to study spontaneous activity in the
developing lateral-line system. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **10**:819612.
doi:10.3389/fcell.2022.819612

Zieve GW, Turnbull D, Mullins JM, McIntosh JR. 1980. Production of large numbers of mitotic
mammalian cells by use of the reversible microtubule inhibitor nocodazole. Nocodazole
accumulated mitotic cells. *Exp Cell Res* **126**:397–405. doi:10.1016/0014-4827(80)90279-
7

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182 **Figures and Legends**

1183

Figure 1 – Ribbons associate with microtubules and change localization during development

A) Schematic of a larval zebrafish at 2 days post fertilization (dpf) with the location of the

posterior-lateral line (LL) indicated relative to the zebrafish inner ear. Neuromasts (green) in the

posterior LL contain sensory hair cells that are innervated by afferent projections from the

posterior LL ganglion (pLLg, orange). **B)** Schematic of a neuromast at 2 dpf, viewed from the

side. At 2 dpf, the majority of hair cells (green) are developing. A shorter kinocilium (part of the

mechanosensory hair bundle) and an abundance of small ribbon precursors are indicative of an

immature stage. **C)** Schematic of a ribbon synapse when mature. The dense presynapse or

ribbon is made primarily of Ribeye. Ribbons tether synaptic vesicles near $Ca_V1.3$ channels at the

plasma membrane, across from the postsynaptic density (PSD). Bassoon acts to anchor ribbons

at the presynaptic AZ. **D)** Example image of a neuromast at 2 dpf, viewed from top down. The

microtubule network and ribbons are marked with YFP-Tubulin and Riba-TagRFP respectively. In

this example of 6 developing hair cells, 2 early and 4 intermediate cells are present. The cell

bodies of an early and intermediate cell from this example (arrowheads) are expanded in **F** and

G. **E)** Plot shows the average number of ribbons per hair cell at each developmental stage. Cell

stage is determined by the height of the kinocilium. After an increase in ribbon number with

development, there is a decrease upon maturation. The number of apically-localized Riba-

TagRFP puncta is high at early and intermediate stages, and is lower in late and mature hair

cells. In contrast, the number of basally-localized Riba-TagRFP puncta is low at early stages, and

becomes higher by intermediate stages (n = 16, 21, 13, 17 hair cells for early, intermediate, late

and mature stages respectively). **F-H)** Example images of hair cells expressing YFP-Tubulin and

Riba-TagRFP at early, intermediate and late stages. At the early stage, Riba-TagRFP puncta are

spread throughout the cell body and are smaller in size. At the intermediate stage, the number

of Riba-TagRFP puncta become more basally enriched and appear larger in size. In late and

mature hair cells, all Riba-TagRFP puncta are at the base of the cell and fewer in number. The

arrows in **H** highlight the apical and basal region of the cell used for quantification of Riba-

TagRFP puncta location in **E**. Scale bars in **D** = 5 μm and in **F** = 2 μm.

1211

1212

EB3-GFP

1213
1214   **Figure 2 – EB3-GFP tracks show plus-ends of microtubules move to the cell base**

1215 **A)** Example image of a neuromast at 2 dpf. The growing or plus-ends of microtubules are

1216 marked with EB3-GFP. In this example the apex of 8 developing cells is at the center of the

1217 image and the base of each cell is at the periphery. 2 example cells are outlined (dashed lines)

1218 and expanded in more detail in in **C-D,** and **E-F**. **B)** A 22 min timelapse was taken of the example

1219 in **A**. All EB3-tracks, indicated by magenta arrows (Tracked in Imaris) acquired during the

1220 timelapse are shown. **C-D)** Example time courses of EB3-GFP in hair cells over 21 s; the cell apex

1221 is towards the top of each image. In the final image, the 4 images for each example (0-21 s)

1222 were projected overtime as a pseudocolor image represented by the colormap. The

1223 pseudocolor images show that many EB3-GFP tracks move to the cell base. **E-F)** The magenta

1224 arrows in **E** and **F** show all the EB3-GFP tracks acquired in the example cells in **C-D** over the

1225 entire 22 min duration. Arrowheads indicate direction of travel. **G)** The schematic in **G** shows

1226 how EB3-GFP tracks were aligned to each hair cell. Tracks moving toward the apex have a track

1227 angle of 180°, while those moving to the base have an angle of 0°. This analysis revealed that

1228 the majority of EB3-GFP tracks (shaded domains) move toward the base of the cell (n = 7

1229 neuromasts, 2597 tracks). Scale bars in **A** = 5 μm and in **C-F** = 2 μm.

1230

1231

Figure 3 – Ribbon precursors exhibit directional motion and confinement on microtubules

A-B) To quantify motion, ribbons and ribbon precursors were tracked in early and intermediate

stage hair cells at 2 dpf (example). Shown are tracks (in yellow) from an entire neuromast (**A**)

and a single hair cell (**B**), obtained using in Imaris, during a 30 min timelapse acquired every 50 s

(also see Movie S2). **C)** Magnified view showing individual tracks overtime show examples of

confinement, and motion towards the cell base and apex. **D)** Example of confined motion and

directed motion on microtubules in a single hair cell. Movie was obtained during a 9 min

timelapse acquired every 20 s. The ribbon labeled by the asterisks remains confined, while the

ribbon labeled with the yellow arrow moves along a microtubule, towards the cell base, over

time. **E)** A magnified image of the example shown in **D. F)** Mean squared displacement (MSD) vs

time was used to measure movement behaviors. The results are plotted in the form of MSD vs

time step (τ) plots and the exponent (α) of the plots can be used to distinguish between the

different types of motion observed (confined, directional or Brownian motion). Tracks that

move directionally with a velocity have $\alpha > 1$, where α is the exponent of the MSD vs time

curve. MSD can also reveal tracks exhibiting confined diffusion ($\alpha < 1$), i.e., bound to the

microtubule but not moving. **H)** Example MSD plots of individual ribbon tracks from 2 control

neuromasts (15 tracks MSD <1, 5 tracks MSD>1). The tracks that move directionally with a

velocity have $\alpha > 1$ are in red, while tracks that are confined with a velocity $\alpha < 1$ are in black.

Scale bar in **A** = 2 µm, **B-D** = 1 µm and in **E** = 500 nm.

1251

1252

Figure 4 – Overnight microtubule destabilization increases precursor numbers and decreases

synapse counts

A-D) Example immunostain of a neuromasts at 3 dpf after an overnight treatment with 250 nM

nocodazole (**C-D**) or DMSO (**A-B**). Acetylated α-tubulin (Acetub) labels microtubules, Ribeyeb

(Ribb) labels precursors and ribbons, and Maguk labels postsynapses. **E-G)** After an overnight

treatment with 250 nM nocodazole there are significantly fewer hair cells per neuromast (**E**, P <

0.0001), fewer complete synapses per cell (**F**, P < 0.0001), and more ribbon precursors per cell

(**G**, P < 0.0001) compared to controls (n = 15 neuromasts for control and 250 nM nocodazole

treatments). **H-I**) After an overnight treatment with 250 nM nocodazole the average area of

Ribeyeb puncta was significantly lower compared to controls (**H**, P < 0.0001, n = 1008 and 1135

Ribeyeb puncta for control and 250 nM nocodazole treatments). In **I**, the relative frequency of

all the areas of Ribeyeb puncta are plotted in nocodazole treatment and controls. The shaded

area in **I** shows the areas (areas < 0.1 μm^2) used to quantify precursor numbers in **G**. For

comparisons an unpaired t-test was used in **E-G**, and a Mann-Whitney test was used in **H**. Scale

bar in **D** = 5 μm.

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

Figure 5 – Loss of Kif1aa increases precursor numbers and decreases synapse counts

A-D) Example immunostain of neuromasts at 3 dpf after in *kif1aa* germline mutants (**C-D**) or

sibling control (**A-B**). Myosin7a labels hair cells, Ribeyeb (Ribb) labels precursors and ribbons,

and Maguk labels postsynapses. **E-G**) In *kif1aa* mutants there is no change in the number of hair

cells per neuromast (**E**, P = 0.418), but there are fewer complete synapses per cell (**F**, P = 0.014),

and more ribbon precursors per cell (**G**, P = 0.044) compared to sibling controls (n = 13

neuromasts for control and *kif1aa* mutants). **H-I**) In *kif1aa* germline mutants the average area

of Ribeyeb puncta was significantly lower compared to sibling controls (**H**, P = 0.005, n = 896

and 1008 Ribeyeb puncta for control and *kif1aa* mutants). In **I**, the relative frequency of all the

areas of Ribeyeb puncta are plotted in *kif1aa* mutants and sibling controls. The shaded area in **I**

shows the areas (areas < 0.1 μm^2) used to quantify precursor numbers in **G**. For comparisons an

unpaired t-test was used in **E-G**, and a Mann-Whitney test was used in **H**. Scale bar in **D** = 5 µm.

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

Figure 6 – Microtubule destabilization increases ribbon numbers *in vivo*

A,C,E) Example images of neuromasts at 2 dpf. The microtubule network and ribbons are

marked with YFP-tubulin and Riba-TagRFP respectively. Neuromasts were imaged immediately

after a 30-min treatment with DMSO (**A**, Control) 500 nM nocodazole (**C**) or 25 µM taxol (**E**), (t =

0). **B,D,F**) The same neuromasts in **A,C,E** imaged 3-4 hrs after treatment. **G**) Quantification

revealed that after 3-4 hr nocodazole treatment, there were more Riba-TagRFP puncta per hair

cell compared to controls (n = 9 and 8 neuromasts for nocodazole and DMSO, p = 0.013). In

contrast after a 3-4 hr taxol treatment there was no significant change in the number of Riba-

TagRFP puncta per hair cell compared to controls (n = 13 and 14 neuromasts for taxol and

DMSO, p = 0.256). An unpaired t-test was used for comparisons in **G**. Scale bar in **F** = 5 μm.

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

Figure 7 – Ribbon precursors require intact microtubules, but not Kif1aa for directional

motion

A) Mean squared displacement (MSD) vs time was used to quantify the proportion of ribbon

and precursor tracks with a velocity have $\alpha > 1$, a behavior indicative of directionally moving

tracks. **B)** To further quantify directional motion, the proportion of tracks with large

displacements (>1 µm) was quantified. Track displacement was measured as the distance

between the start and end point of the track. **C)** Hair cells treated with 500 mM nocodazole

have fewer directional tracks ($\alpha > 1$) as compared to controls (p = 0.007). **D)** In hair cells treated

with 25 µM taxol there are not significantly fewer directional tracks ($\alpha > 1$) compared to

controls (p = 0.24). **E)** In hair cells lacking Kif1aa, there are not significantly fewer directional

tracks ($\alpha > 1$) compared to controls (p =0.70). **F)** Hairs cells treated with 500 mM nocodazole

have fewer ribbons with track displacements > 1 µm as compared to control (p = 0.004). **G)**

There is no change in ribbon displacement> 1 µm in hair cells treated with 25 µM taxol (p =

0.17). **H)** There is no change in ribbon displacement >1 µm in hair cells lacking Kif1aa (p = 0.71).

N = 7 neuromasts for DMSO control and nocodazole for **C** and **F**; n = 9 and 10 neuromasts for

DMSO control and taxol for **D** and **G**; n = 8 neuromasts for control and *kif1aa* for **E** and **H**. An

unpaired t-test was used in **C-H**.

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

YFP-α-tubulin Riba-TagRFP

1338
Figure 8– Ribbon precursors and Kif1aa are required for fusion events that occur on
microtubules
A) An example of two ribbon precursors undergoing fusion on microtubules to form a larger
ribbon (yellow arrowheads). **B)** A zoomed in montage of the example from **A** is shown where
the association of each precursor with microtubules can be seen during the process of fusion
(also see Movie S8). **C-D)** Destabilizing the microtubules with nocodazole treatment reduces the
number of fusion events observed in timelapses (p = 0.035). Taxol treatment has no effect (p =
0.60). **E)** Loss of Kif1aa significantly reduces the number of fusion events observed in timelapses
compared to control (p = 0.018). N = 10 neuromasts for DMSO control and nocodazole for **C**; n =

9 and 10 neuromasts for DMSO control and taxol for **D**; n = 8 and 9 neuromasts for control and

kif1aa for **E**. An unpaired t-test was used for comparisons in **D** and **E**, and a Mann-Whitney t-

test was used in **C**. Scale bars in **A** and **B** = 1 μm.

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377 **Supplemental Figures**

1378

Figure 1-S1 – Ribbon number and apical-basal localization changes during lateral line

development

A) The total number of Riba-TagRFP puncta increases from early to intermediate stages. The

total number of puncta becomes significantly reduced upon maturation. **B)** The number of

apically-localized Riba-TagRFP precursors is high at early and intermediate stages, and is

significantly reduced compared to late and mature hair cells. **C)** The number of basally-localized

Riba-TagRFP ribbons are low at early stages, and becomes significantly higher by intermediate

stages. For comparisons, a Kruskal-Wallis test was used in **A-C**.

1387

1388

1389

Figure 2-S1 – Microtubule modifications in lateral-line hair cells

A-F) Example immunostains of a lateral-line hair cells expressing YFP-α-tubulin at 5 dpf, labeled

either with acetylated-α-tubulin (**A-C**) or tyrosinated-α-tubulin (**D-F**). Panels **A** and **D** show YFP-

α-tubulin, **B** and **E** show acetylated-α-tubulin or tyrosinated-α-tubulin label respectively. Panels

C and **F** show the merged images. HC = hair cell; SC = supporting cell; Aff = afferent process;

Kino = kinocilium; Scale bar in **F** = 5 µm.

1396

1397

1398

Figure 2-S2 – EB3-GFP label reveals microtubule (+) ends at kinocilial tips in lateral-line hair

cells

A) Example image of EB3-GFP localization in 2 hair cells of the medial cristae within the

zebrafish inner ear at 2 dpf. **B-C)** Example images of EB3-GFP localization in 4 hair cells within a

lateral line neuromast at 2 dpf. In **B**, a z-projection of EB3-GFP localization in the cell bodies is

shown. In **C**, a more apical projection shows the localization of EB3-GFP in the kinocilia of the

same hair cells as **B**. In both zebrafish inner ear and lateral-line hair cells EB3-GFP is present in

the cell bodies and at the tips of kinocilia. Scale bar in **C** = 5 μm.

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

Figure 3-S1 – Ribbons move directionally on microtubules and can move between

microtubule filaments

A) Example of ribbon movement on a microtubule directed towards the cells base. Ribbon

precursor movement was imaged at 20 s intervals for ~40 min. Shown in **A** are frames 3, 36 and

63 (1, 12, and 21 min). **B)** Example of a ribbon movement along a microtubule towards the cell

apex. Images were acquired at 50 s intervals for ~40 min. Microtubule is indicated in blue. The

track of the ribbon over frames 25-46 (21 frames, 17.5 min) is shown in yellow. The track is

overlaid onto the last image of the timelapse (also see Movie S5). **C)** Example of a ribbon

moving along a microtubule towards the cell apex and then switching to another microtubule.

Ribbon was imaged at 98 s intervals for 43 min. Shown in **C** are frames 10, 12, 14 and 16 (also

see Movie S6). Scale bars in **A-C** = 1 µm.

1423

1424

Figure 4-S1 – Overnight microtubule stabilization slightly increases synapse counts

A-D) Example immunostain of a neuromasts at 3 dpf after an overnight treatment with 25 μM

taxol (**C-D**) or DMSO (**A-B**). Acetylated-α-tubulin (Acetub) labels microtubules, Ribeyeb (Ribb)

labels precursors and ribbons, and Maguk labels postsynapses. **E-G)** After an overnight

treatment with 25 μM taxol there are similar numbers of hair cells per neuromast (**E**, P = 0.059),

more complete synapses per cell (**F**, P = 0.009), and no change in the number of ribbon

precursors per cell (**G**, P = 0.398) compared to controls (n = 12 and 13 neuromasts for control

and 25 μM taxol treatments). **H-I**) After an overnight treatment with 25 μM taxol the average

area of Ribb puncta was not changed compared to controls (**H**, P = 0.153, n = 800 and 817 Ribb

puncta for control and 25 μM taxol treatments). In **I**, the relative frequency of all the areas of

Ribb puncta are plotted in taxol treatment and controls. The shaded area in **G** shows the areas

(areas < 0.1 μm^2) used to quantify precursor numbers in **G**. For comparisons an unpaired t-test

was used in **E-G**, and a Mann-Whitney test was used in **H**. Scale bar in **D** = 5 μm.

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

Figure 5-S1 –Kif1aa protein and exon 6 lesions

A) Overview of the Kif1aa protein and major domains (coiled coil (CC), fork-head associated

(FHA), pleckstrin homology (PH)). The location of the germline *kif1aa* lesion in the Kinesin

motor domain within exon 6 is indicated. **B)** The DNA sequence of exon 6 (178 bp) in wild type

and *kif1aa* germline mutants. The 4 gRNAs used to make the *kif1aa* mutant are shown. 2

deletions and 1 insertion are present in *kif1aa* mutants. The BslI restriction site used for

genotyping is shown. This DNA alignment was done in Snapgene.

1456

1457

Figure 6-S1 – Loss of Kif1aa does not impact ribbon numbers over 3-4 hrs

A,C) Example images of neuromasts at 2 dpf. The microtubule network and ribbons are marked

with YFP-tubulin and Riba-TagRFP respectively. Neuromasts were imaged immediately (**A**,

Control; **C**, *kif1aa* F0 crispants) and after 3-4 hrs (**B**, Control; **D**, *kif1aa* F0 crispants). **E)**

Quantification revealed that after 3-4 hrs the number of Riba-TagRFP puncta per hair cell was

the same in control and *kif1aa* F0 crispants (n = 12 and 11 neuromasts for control and *kif1aa* F0

crispants p = 0.427). An unpaired t-test was used for comparisons in **E**. Scale bar in **A** = 5 μm.

Figure 8-S1 – Ribbon precursor fuse on or near microtubules

A-B) Two examples of ribbon precursor fusion on or near microtubules. Ribbons was tracked over 13 frames that were acquired every 5.7 min. Shown in **A** are frames 3-6. Shown in **B** are frames 4-7. Arrow indicated ribbons of interest. These examples correspond to Movies S9 and S10. Scale bars in **A-B** = 1 µm.

Movie Legends

Movie S1 – EB3-GFP dynamics in developing lateral-line hair cells

Timelapse of the example neuromast expressing EB3-GFP from Figure 2. The growing or plus-ends of microtubules can be visualized by capturing EB3-GFP dynamics. The timelapse was acquired on a LSM 780 confocal microscope every 7 s for 22 min. Maximum intensity projection of the original z-stack is shown on the left side, played at 5 frames per second. The right side shows the same movie as the left side except EB3-GFP tracks are color-coded and followed overtime using the FIJI plugin Trackmate2. Scale bar = 5 µm

Movie S2 – Tracking precursors and ribbons in 3D using Imaris

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume was captured at 50 s intervals for ~30 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 780 with Airyscan. Microtubules are marked with YFP-tubulin (green), ribbons and precursors are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta). Tracks captured in Imaris are color coded with time. This example is the same as Figure 3A-B. Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stack is shown, played at 5 frames per second. Scale bar = 2 µm.

Movie S3 – Tracking precursors and ribbons in 3D using Imaris

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume was captured at 60 s intervals for ~30 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 780 with Airyscan. Microtubules are marked with YFP-tubulin (green), ribbons and precursors are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta). Tracks captured in Imaris are shown in yellow. Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stack is shown, played at 5 frames per second. Scale bar = 2 µm.

Movie S4 – Directional motion to cell base and stationary precursors on microtubule

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume was captured at 20 s intervals for 9 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 980 with Airyscan 2. Microtubules are marked with YFP-tubulin (green), ribbons and precursors are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta). Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stack is shown, played at 5 frames per second. This

1510 example is the same as Figure 3D-E. On the left side is a timelapse of the hair cell showing a

1511 ribbon precursor moving along a microtubule towards the hair-cell base. In addition, a

1512 stationary ribbon precursor is also shown. On the right is a higher magnification view of these

1513 two ribbon precursors. The circles indicate spots identified using Trackmate, along with the

1514 track of the moving ribbon shown in yellow. Scale bar = 2 μm.

1515

Movie S5 – Directional motion of precursor along microtubule to cell apex

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume was captured at 50 s

intervals for 18 min with a Zeiss LSM 780 with Airyscan. Microtubules are marked with YFP-

tubulin (green), ribbons and precursors are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta). Maximum

intensity projections of the original z-stack is shown, played at 5 frames per second. On the left

side is a timelapse of the hair cell showing a ribbon precursor moving along a microtubule

towards the hair-cell apex. On the right is a higher magnification view of this precursor, with

the tracks of the ribbon precursor during the timelapse. The circle indicate a spot identified

using Trackmate, along with the track of the moving ribbon shown in yellow. This example is

the same as Figure 3-S1B. Scale bar = 2 μm.

1526

Movie S6 – Precursor switching between microtubules

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume was captured at

~100 s intervals for 40 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 970 with Airyscan. Microtubules are marked

with YFP-tubulin (green), ribbons are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta).

Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stack is shown, played at 5 frames per second.

On the left side is a timelapse of the hair cell showing a ribbon precursor moving along a

microtubule towards the hair cell apex; the precursor then moves to another microtubule. On

the right is a higher magnification view of the ribbon precursor during the timelapse. The

precursor movement is quite fast and at this interval can be observed twice in a single z-stack

during movement between microtubules at timepoints 2,4, and 15. This example is the same as

Figure 3-S1C. Scale bar = 2 μm.

1538

Movie S7 – Microtubule dynamics in hair cells change upon treatment with nocodazole and

taxol

Timelapse movies of lateral-line neuromasts at 2 dpf captured after treatment with 0.1 %

DMSO (Control, left side), 25 μM taxol (Taxol, middle) or 250 nM nocodazole (Noc, right side)

for 30 minutes. Microtubules are marked with YFP-tubulin (gray) and ribbons are marked with

Riba-TagRFP (magenta). The timelapses were acquired in 3 um z-stacks (Zeiss LSM 780 with

Airyscan) every 60 s for 30 min. Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stacks are

shown here, played at 5 frames per second. The taxol-treated neuromast has less dynamic

microtubules and very little cytoplasmic tubulin (depolymerized tubulin), indicating that the

microtubules are more stable than the control. In the nocodazole-treated neuromast, there are

less microtubules and more diffuse cytoplasmic tubulin compared to the control. Scale bar = 2

μm.

1551

Movie S8 – Ribbon precursors attached to microtubules undergo fusion to form larger ribbons

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume of 3 μm was

captured at 4.8 min intervals for 100 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 780 with Airyscan. Microtubules

are marked with YFP-tubulin (green) and ribbons are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta).

Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stacks are shown, played at 5 frames per

second. This example is the same as Figure 8D-E. Two ribbon precursors associate with

microtubules and fuse near the hair cell base. Scale bar = 2 μm.

1559

Movie S9 – Ribbon precursors attached to microtubules undergo fusion to form larger ribbons

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume of 3 μm was

captured at 5.7 min intervals for 75 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 780 with Airyscan. Microtubules

are marked with YFP-tubulin (green) and ribbons are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta).

Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stacks are shown, played at 2 frames per

second. Scale bar = 2 μm.

1566

Movie 10 – Ribbon precursors attached to microtubules undergo fusion to form larger

ribbons

Timelapse movie of a lateral-line neuromast at 2 dpf. A partial cell volume of 3 µm was

captured at 5.7 min intervals for 75 minutes with a Zeiss LSM 780 with Airyscan. Microtubules

are marked with YFP-tubulin (green) and ribbons are marked with Riba-TagRFP (magenta).

Maximum intensity projections of the original z-stacks are shown, played at 2 frames per

second. Scale bar = 2 µm.

1574
1575

1576

1577

1578