Temporally controlled nervous system-to-gut signaling bidirectionally regulates longevity in *C. elegans*

Lingxiu Xu[1,#], Chengxuan Han[1,#], Lei Chun[1,#, *], X.Z. Shawn Xu[2,*], and Jianfeng Liu[1,*]

[1]College of Life Science and Technology, Key Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics of MOE, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, 430074, China

[2]Life Sciences Institute and Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.A.

[#]Co-first authors

*Correspondence: Lei Chun (lchun@hust.edu.cn)

X.Z. Shawn Xu (shawnxu@umich.edu)

Jianfeng Liu (jfliu@mail.hust.edu.cn)

Abstract

The nervous system modulates aging by secreting signaling molecules to cell-nonautonomously regulate the physiological state of distal tissues such as the gut. However, the underlying mechanisms are not well understood. Here, using *C. elegans* as a model, we identified two distinct neuroendocrine signaling circuits through which motor neurons signal the gut in early life to shorten lifespan but in mid-late life to extend lifespan. Both circuits employ the same neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh), while recruiting two different gut ACh receptors ACR-6 and GAR-3 to regulate the transcription factor DAF-16 and HSF-1 in early and mid-late life, respectively. Strikingly, the gut expression of ACR-6 is restricted to early life, whereas that of GAR-3 is confined to mid-late life, providing a potential mechanism for the temporal control of the two circuits. These results identify a novel mechanism that empowers the nervous system to bidirectionally regulate longevity by differentially signaling the gut at different life stages.

Introduction

Aging is a complex physiological process characterized by a progressive

functional decline in tissues and organs, leading to the onset of age-related

diseases and probability of death[1,2]. The aging process occurs body wide in

multiple tissues/organs in a coordinated manner, though different

tissues/organs may age at different rates[3-8]. Recent work has uncovered an

increasingly important role for the nervous system in orchestrating such a

coordinated aging process through tissue-tissue communications[5,9-14]. The

nervous system mediates tissue-tissue communications by secreting various

signaling molecules such as small neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, which

modulate the physiological state of distal tissues. For example, in *C. elegans*,

the nervous system can detect and process internal stress signals from

mitochondria and external sensory cues, such as temperature and odors, and

then communicates with the distal tissue gut to regulate aging in a cell-

nonautonomous manner[14-21]. How the nervous system signals distal tissues to

regulate aging remains a question under intensive investigation.

The nervous system modulates aging in a complex fashion. Research in

the past decade has uncovered both negative and positive impact of the

nervous system on aging. The notion that the output of neural cells, including

both neurons and glial cells, plays an important role in aging has gained

consensus[19,22,23], though conflicting results have been reported as to whether

enhanced neural output is beneficial or detrimental to longevity. For example,

several studies have demonstrated that neuronal hyper-excitability shortens

lifespan in organisms ranging from *C. elegans* to humans[24-26]. Specifically, the

transcription factor REST suppresses neural gene expression in humans and

mice, and overexpression of the *C. elegans* REST orthologue *spr-4* suppresses

neuronal output and extends lifespan[24]. On the other hand, several studies

support the opposing view that enhanced neuronal output extends lifespan. For

example, genetic and pharmacological potentiation of motor neuron activity and

synaptic transmission at neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) slow down motor

aging and extend lifespan in *C. elegans*[27-29]. These seemingly conflicting

results reflect the complexity of the role of the nervous system in aging

modulation. One possibility is that the nervous system can both negatively and

positively regulate aging, depending on the context; however, whether and how

the nervous system does so is not well understood.

In this study, we sought to address these questions in *C. elegans*, a

widely used genetic model organism for the study of aging. Using the *C.*

elegans motor nervous system as a model, we developed a strategy to

temporally manipulate the motor neuron output at different life stages. We

identified two distinct neuroendocrine signaling circuits through which neuronal

output inhibits longevity in early life but promotes it in mid-late life. Both circuits

require the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) as a signaling molecule that

signals the gut via two different gut ACh receptors, ACR-6 and GAR-3, to

regulate two different transcription factors, DAF-16 and HSF-1, at early and

mid-late life stages, leading to shortened and extended lifespan, respectively.

Strikingly, the expression of the ACh receptors ACR-6 and GAR-3 in the gut

undergoes a temporal switch in early and mid-late life, providing a potential

mechanism to temporally control the activity of the two circuits. Our results

define the molecular and circuit mechanisms by which the nervous system

bidirectionally regulates longevity by differentially signaling the gut at different

life stages, highlighting an exquisite role of the nervous system in aging

modulation.

Results

Cholinergic motor neurons differentially regulate lifespan at different stages of worm life

To ascertain whether the nervous system promotes or inhibits longevity, we focused on the motor nervous system using *C. elegans* as a model. Previous work has uncovered a role of *C. elegans* cholinergic but not GABAergic motor neurons in the ventral nerve cord in lifespan regulation[28-30]. To further explore the role of cholinergic motor neurons in lifespan control, we ablated the output of these motor neurons by expressing tetanus toxin (TeTx) as a transgene in these neurons using the *acr-2* promoter. TeTx cleaves the SNARE protein synaptobrevin, thereby blocking the release of signaling molecules such as neurotransmitters and neuropeptides from the neurons of interest[31]. Overexpression of TeTx induces characteristic phenotypes of cholinergic deficiency, such as developmental delay and severe locomotion impairment[32], yet does not compromise muscle function (Figure S1A). Surprisingly, such intervention led to a complex effect on the population survival curve by reducing both early mortality and the proportion of long-lived individuals (Figure 1A). Specifically, the 25% lifespan of these worms was prolonged, while their 75% and maximal lifespan were slightly shortened, leading to a mean lifespan slightly increased or unchanged compared to that of wild-type worms. This suggests that inhibiting cholinergic motor neurons may exert temporally distinct effects on survival, leading to decreased individual variation in lifespan. To test this model, we performed the converse experiment by promoting the output of cholinergic motor neurons. This was achieved by expressing in these neurons

a transgene encoding syntaxin(T254I), a gain-of-function form of *Drosophila*

syntaxin, another SNARE protein required for neuronal exocytosis[33]. Expression

of this syntaxin mutant enhances synaptic release without causing vesicle

depletion, thereby promoting neuronal output[33], an approach that has previously

been successfully applied to manipulate neuronal output in lifespan assays[20].

This transgene simply shortened lifespan without causing a pleotropic effect

(Figure 1B), and critically, without inducing motor neuron degeneration (Figure

S1B). This suggests that enhancing the output from cholinergic motor neurons

in early life may cause an irreversible detrimental effect that overwhelms its

beneficial effect in mid-late life.

To further characterize the temporal effect of cholinergic motor neurons

on lifespan, we sought to vary the output of cholinergic motor neurons in a

temporally controlled manner. To do so, we employed an auxin-induced protein

degradation (AID) system to express syntaxin(T254I) fused with a degron tag as

a transgene in cholinergic motor neurons[34]. Transgenic worms were then

crossed with a line stably expressing TIR1 in these neurons. TIR1 is the plant

ubiquitin ligase, an essential component of the AID system[34]. Expression of

syntaxin(T254I) can be suppressed by auxin treatment and induced by

subsequently removing auxin. Comparable outcomes were obtained with both

0.1 mM and 0.5 mM auxin treatments (Figure S1C-1D). In doing so, we were

able to potentiate the output of cholinergic motor neurons in a temporally

controlled manner by expressing syntaxin(T254I) at different stages of worm life

(Figure 1C). As a control, we first shut down the expression of *syntaxin(T254I)*

transgene throughout the entire worm life by treating worms with auxin at all life

stages, and found that this had no notable effect on lifespan (Figure 1D).

Conversely, enhancing the output of cholinergic neurons throughout the entire

worm life (no auxin treatment) shortened lifespan, consistent with the view that

the detrimental effect resulting from enhanced motor neuron output in early life

overwrites its beneficial effect at later stages (Figure 1E). Indeed, enhancing the

output of cholinergic motor neurons during the larval stage only (no auxin from

egg to L4) shortened lifespan, confirming the detrimental effect of motor neuron

output on lifespan in early life (Figure 1F). Notably, this detrimental effect began

to fade when motor neuron output was instead enhanced during the adult stage

(auxin from egg to adult stage), and eventually worms became long-lived when

motor neuron output was enhanced after Day 5 adulthood (Figure 1G-1L).

These data reveal a dichotomous role of cholinergic motor neurons in lifespan

regulation, shortening adult lifespan in early life but extending it in mid-late life.

ACh mediates the lifespan-shortening effect of cholinergic motor neurons

in early life

How do cholinergic motor neurons differentially control lifespan at

different life stages? First, we focused on their lifespan-shortening effect.

Cholinergic motor neurons release both small neurotransmitters and

neuropeptides[32,35-37]. To determine which type of signaling molecules might be

released from motor neurons to shorten lifespan in early life, we first tested *unc-*

31 mutant worms, which are defective in secreting neuropeptides[38-40]. Loss of

unc-31 exhibited no defect on the ability of cholinergic motor neurons to shorten

lifespan, indicating that neuropeptides may not play an important role in this

process (Figure 2A and 2B). This led us to test small neurotransmitters.

Cholinergic motor neurons release ACh, and indeed, loss of *unc-17* gene, which

encodes the sole *C. elegans* vesicular ACh transporter[41], eliminated the

lifespan-shortening effect of these motor neurons (Figure 2A and 2C). In *unc-*

17 mutant worms, no ACh is expected to be released from cholinergic motor

neurons. As a control, we also tested mutants deficient in other types of small

neurotransmitters, including glutamate (*eat-4*), GABA (*unc-25*), serotonin (*tph-1*),

dopamine (*cat-2*), tyramine (*tdc-1*), and octopamine (*tbh-1*), but detected no

effect, with the exception of *tph-1*, which showed a modest, partial suppression

of the phenotype (Figure S2A-S2F). This observation suggests that the lifespan

effects of cholinergic signaling can be modulated by serotonin. These results

uncover a key role of the neurotransmitter ACh in mediating the lifespan-

shortening effect of cholinergic motor neurons in early life.

The nicotinic AChR ACR-6 acts in the intestine to mediate the lifespan-

shortening effect of cholinergic motor neurons

ACh is expected to act through ACh receptors (AChRs). To identify the

AChRs that mediate the lifespan-shortening effect of cholinergic motor neurons,

we examined all of the 37 *C. elegans* AChR genes, including nicotinic AChRs

(nAChRs), muscarinic AChRs (mAChRs), and ACh-gated Cl^- channels[32].

Notably, RNAi of several ACh receptors such as *acr-11* appears to shorten wild-

type lifespan, whereas RNAi of several other ACh receptors such as *acr-9*

extends wild-type lifespan, suggesting lifespan-modulating potential of ACh

receptors (Figure S3). By screening strains with each of these 37 AChRs

knocked down by RNAi, we identified three candidate genes: *acr-6* (nAChR),

acr-8 (nAChR) and *gar-2* (mAChR) (Figure S3). To validate these candidates,

we examined their mutants. Mutation in *acr-6* blocked the ability of cholinergic

motor neurons to shorten lifespan, while mutations in the other two candidates

did not (Figure 2D and Figure S4A-S4F). This identifies a key role for the

nAChR ACR-6 in mediating the lifespan-shortening effect of cholinergic motor

neurons. Previous data has shown that cholinergic signaling and ACR-6 may

control pharyngeal pumping[42]. As expected, we found that *acr-6* mutation

slightly reduced pumping rates (Figure S4G).

We then asked in which tissue ACR-6 regulates lifespan. Transgenic

expression of wild-type *acr-6* gene in the intestine (Figure 2E), but not in

neurons (Figure 2F), rescued the *acr-6* mutant phenotype, indicating that ACR-

6 acts in the intestine. This suggests that ACh released from cholinergic motor

neurons may shorten lifespan by acting on its cognate receptor ACR-6 in the

intestine, revealing a nervous system-to-gut signaling axis.

Cholinergic motor neurons require the transcription factor DAF-16 in the

intestine to regulate lifespan

Aging pathways tend to converge on a subset of transcription factors[12]. We

went on to search for a transcription factor that functions in the intestine to

mediate the lifespan-shortening effect of cholinergic motor neurons in early life.

RNAi of *daf-16,* which encodes a FOXO transcription factor that is a key

regulator of lifespan, did not further shorten the lifespan of worms expressing

syntaxin(T254I) transgene (Figure 3A and 3B); as a control, RNAi of other

transcription factor such as *hsf-1*/HSF1, *skn-1*/Nrf2 *and pha-4*/FOXA did (Figure

3C-3E). This suggests that *daf-16* may act in the same pathway as cholinergic

motor neurons. DAF-16 regulates lifespan by controlling the expression of its

target genes. As expected, the expression level of *sod-3* and *mtl-1*, two

commonly characterized DAF-16 target genes, was upregulated in transgenic

worms deficient in releasing ACh from cholinergic motor neurons (Figure 3F),

and downregulated in transgenic worms with enhanced ACh release from

cholinergic motor neurons (Figure S5A), consistent with the notion that DAF-16

acts downstream of cholinergic motor neurons. To obtain further evidence, we

assessed the subcellular localization pattern of DAF-16::GFP fusion and found

that *acr-6* RNAi notably promoted nuclear translocation of DAF-16, confirming

that ACh signaling inhibits DAF-16 activity (Figure S5B).

DAF-16 is known to regulate lifespan primarily in the intestine[4]. To

determine whether DAF-16 also functions in the intestine, we performed tissue

specific RNAi experiments in *sid-1* mutant background to restrict RNAi to

specific tissues. dsRNA against *daf-16* was expressed as a transgene

specifically in the intestine. RNAi of *daf-16* in the intestine abolished the ability

of cholinergic motor neurons to regulate lifespan (Figure 3G, 3H and Figure

S5C-5E). Thus, similar to ACR-6, DAF-16 also appears to function in the

intestine, suggesting that the intestine is an important target tissue to which

cholinergic motor neurons signals to regulate lifespan. It also suggests that

cholinergic motor neurons shorten lifespan by regulating DAF-16 activity in the

intestine.

Collectively, the data presented above suggests a model in which

cholinergic motor neurons regulate lifespan through a neuroendocrine signaling

circuit (Figure 6D, below). In this circuit, cholinergic motor neurons signal the

intestine via the neurotransmitter ACh that acts through its cognate receptor

ACR-6 to regulate DAF-16 in the intestine, leading to a shortened lifespan

(Figure 6D). Because this lifespan-shortening effect results from enhanced

motor neuron output in early life and overwrites its beneficial effect at later

stages, we propose this signaling circuit mediates the lifespan-shortening effect

in early life.

ACh mediates the lifespan-extending effect of cholinergic motor neurons

in mid-late life

Having characterized the mechanisms by which cholinergic motor

neurons signal the intestine to shorten lifespan in early life, we then set out to

explore how these motor neurons extend lifespan in mid-late life. Given that

ACh is the only neurotransmitter known to be released by these motor neurons

and its role in mediating motor neuron-to-gut signaling in early life, we asked

whether ACh also plays a role in mediating the lifespan-extending effect of

these motor neurons in mid-late life. Again, we resorted to the AID system to

specifically enhance the output of cholinergic motor neurons in mid-late life by

temporally restricting the expression of *syntaxin(T254I)* transgene at this stage.

We found that cholinergic motor neurons lost the ability to extend lifespan at

mid-late life stage in *unc-17* mutant worms that are deficient in ACh release,

revealing an essential role of ACh in mediating the lifespan-extending effect of

these motor neurons in mid-late life and suggesting it as a key signaling

molecule in this process (Figure 4A and 4B). Thus, the same neurotransmitter

ACh appears to mediate both the lifespan-shortening and extending effects of

cholinergic motor neurons.

The muscarinic AChR GAR-3 acts in the intestine to mediate the lifespan-

extending effect of cholinergic motor neurons in mid-late life

We then asked how the same neurotransmitter ACh secreted from the

same type of neurons mediates two opposite effects on lifespan. To address

this question, we re-screened all the 37 AChR genes (Figure S6). Two

candidate genes emerged from the screen: *gar-2* and *gar-3*, which encode two

different mAChRs. RNAi of *gar-2* or *gar-3* completely suppressed the ability of

cholinergic motor neurons to extend lifespan in mid-late life (Figure S7A-S7C).

gar-2 and *gar-3* mutant worms exhibited a similar phenotype (Figure S7D, S7E

and Figure 4C), suggesting that GAR-2 and GAR-3 may be the receptors for

ACh that mediate the lifespan-extending effect of cholinergic motor neurons.

To determine in which tissue GAR-2 and GAR-3 act to regulate lifespan,

we specifically knocked down *gar-2* and *gar-3* genes in the intestine and

neurons, as both genes are known to be expressed in these two tissues[43-46].

RNAi of *gar-3* in the intestine (Figure 4D and 4E), but not in neurons or the

muscle (Figure 4D-4F, and Figure S8A, S8D-S8E), abolished the ability of

cholinergic motor neurons to extend lifespan at mid-late life stage. Thus, GAR-3

may function in the intestine to regulate lifespan. Surprisingly, RNAi of *gar-2* in

the muscle (Figure S8A-S8C), but not in neurons or the intestine (Figure S7F-

S7H) had an effect on the ability of cholinergic motor neurons to extend lifespan

in mid-late life, indicating that GAR-2 acts in the muscle to regulate lifespan.

Given our focus on neuron-gut signaling, we decided to focus on GAR-3 for

further characterizations. These results identify GAR-3 as a candidate AChR

that acts in the intestine to mediate the effect of the neurotransmitter ACh and

cholinergic motor neurons on lifespan extension in mid-late life.

Cholinergic motor neurons require the transcription factor HSF-1 in the

intestine to regulate lifespan in mid-late life

In light of our observation that the transcription factor DAF-16 acts in the

intestine to regulate lifespan in early life, we wondered if DAF-16 also regulates

lifespan in mid-late life. Surprisingly, RNAi of *daf-16* and other transcription

factors such as *skn-1* and *pha-4* failed to suppress the lifespan-extending effect

of cholinergic motor neurons (Figure S9). By contrast, RNAi of *hsf-1* completely

abolished the ability of these motor neurons to extend lifespan in mid-late life

(Figure 5A and 5B), suggesting that HSF-1, rather than DAF-16, is required by

cholinergic motor neurons to regulate lifespan at mid-late life stage. Additional

support came from the observation that the expression of *hsp-16.2* and *pat-10*,

two commonly characterized HSF-1 target genes, were upregulated in long-

lived worms in which the output of cholinergic motor neurons was enhanced at

mid-late life stage (Figure 5C). HSF-1 is known to regulate lifespan primarily in

the intestine and neurons[47,48]. To identify in which tissue HSF-1 functions, we

inactivated *hsf-1* specifically in the intestine or neurons by RNAi (Figure 5D-5F).

RNAi of *hsf-1* in the intestine but not in neurons abolished the ability of

cholinergic motor neurons to extend lifespan at mid-late life stage. Thus, HSF-1

appears to function in the intestine to regulate lifespan, suggesting that

cholinergic motor neurons extend lifespan in mid-late life through HSF-1 in the

intestine.

In summary, this set of data suggests a model in which cholinergic motor

neurons signal the distal tissue intestine in mid-late life to extend lifespan

through a distinct neuroendocrine circuit (Figure 6D). Similar to the lifespan-

shortening circuit described above, this circuit is also formed by cholinergic

motor neurons and the intestine, and employs the same neurotransmitter ACh;

however, it recruits a different AChR GAR-3 to regulate a different type of

transcription factor HSF-1 in the intestine, leading to the opposite outcome in

lifespan (Figure 6D).

Temporal control of ACR-6 and GAR-3 expression in the intestine at

different life stages

The identification of two distinct neuroendocrine circuits provides a

potential explanation to the opposing effects of cholinergic motor neurons on

lifespan at different life stages. However, it prompts an important question as to

how these two circuits are temporally controlled at different stages of worm life.

One possibility is that the expression of some components in the two circuits

are temporally regulated at different life stages. To test this idea, we sought to

examine the expression of the two AChRs ACR-6 and GAR-3 in the intestine.

Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, we inserted a mCherry tag at the C-

terminal end of the *acr-6* and *gar-3* gene to label the endogenous ACR-6 and

GAR-3 protein. At the larval stage, ACR-6::mCherry fluorescence was detected

in the intestine, while GAR-3::mCherry was not (Figure 6A). Remarkably,

beginning at the adult stage, ACR-6::mCherry expression started to decrease

and became undetectable at Day 2 adulthood (Figure 6B). On the other hand,

GAR-3::mCherry began to show expression at the adult stage and became

prominent at Day 2-4 adulthood (Figure 6A and 6B). We were unable to

examine GAR-3::mCherry expression at Day 5 adulthood and beyond, due to

the interference by increasingly bright gut auto-fluorescence even in the *glo-*

4(ok623) background with reduced gut auto-fluorescence[49] (Figure S10)**.**

Nevertheless, these results unveil a clear temporal switch in the intestinal

expression of ACR-6 and GAR-3 at different life stages (Figure 6C). Though

additional mechanisms may also contribute, such a dichotomy in the intestinal

expression of ACR-6 and GAR-3 at larval and adult stages provides a potential

mechanism that underlies the temporal control of the two neuroendocrine

circuits, leading two opposing outcomes in lifespan.

Discussion

Research in the past decade has revealed an increasingly important role

of the nervous system in orchestrating a body-wide aging process by signaling

distal tissues, particularly the gut[3,4,11,12,14-19,30]. How the nervous system signals

the gut to regulate aging, however, is not well understood, and work in this area

has yielded some seemingly inconsistent data. This points to a model in which

nervous system-gut signaling can both positively and negatively regulate aging,

highlighting a complex role of the nervous system-gut signaling axis in

aging[17,20,30]. Nervous system-gut communications may differentially regulate

aging through spatial mechanisms. For example, different neurons may play

distinct roles in aging by positively and/or negatively regulating longevity via

secreting distinct neurotransmitters and neuropeptides[17,18,20,50-52]. By sharp

contrast, whether and how the nervous system temporally regulates aging is

poorly understood. Here, using the *C. elegans* motor nervous system as a

model, we identified a temporal mechanism by which the nervous system both

positively and negatively regulates lifespan by temporally controlling nervous

system-to-gut signaling at different life stages. Specifically, we identified two

distinct neuroendocrine signaling circuits by which cholinergic motor neurons

act to shorten lifespan in early life, whereas extending lifespan in mid-late life.

The two circuits employ the same neurotransmitter ACh, but recruit two different

AChRs ACR-6 and GAR-3 that act in the intestine to regulate two different

transcription factors DAF-16 and HSF-1 to shorten and extend lifespan at early

and mid-late life stage, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, this

represents the first such example illustrating how the nervous system may

differentially regulate lifespan by temporally controlling nervous system-to-gut

signaling. Nevertheless, it is still unclear whether other neuronal populations

share similar temporal regulatory mechanisms. A previous study reported that

inhibiting cholinergic neurons activity (using *unc-17* promoter) extends lifespan

regardless of timing[24], which is different to the temporal lifespan regulation we

observed in cholinergic motor neurons (using *acr-2* promoter). This discrepancy

is likely due to differences in subsets of neurons, as the *unc-17* promoter labels

a broad repertoire of cholinergic neurons, while the *acr-2* promoter mainly

marks cholinergic motor neurons[53]. Thus, the distinct lifespan-modulating

effects of cholinergic motor neurons may be overshadowed by opposing

contributions from other cholinergic subtypes when a mixed population is

manipulated. Alternatively, both activation and inhibition of cholinergic activity

may perturb neurotransmission balance, leading to similar effects on lifespan[54].

It will be interesting to test these hypotheses in future studies.

398   One interesting observation is that the expression level of ACR-6 and

GAR-3 in the intestine features a temporal switch at the larval and adult stages.

The appearance followed by disappearance of ACR-6 expression in the

intestine at the larval and adult stages nicely correlate with the temporal window

under which cholinergic motor neurons shorten lifespan. This provides a

potential mechanism by which cholinergic motor neurons shorten lifespan in

early life. Remarkably, the temporal expression pattern of GAR-3 in the intestine

follows a reciprocal pattern: it is not expressed at the larval stage and only

appears at the adult stage, providing a potential mechanism for the lifespan-

extending effect exerted by cholinergic motor neurons in mid-late life. It should

be pointed out that the intestinal expression of GAR-3 emerges at Day 1

adulthood, earlier than the time point at which the beneficial role of cholinergic

motor neurons began to take effect. Several factors might have contributed to

this delay. For example, the lifespan-shortening signaling in the intestine might

not have been completely shut off during early adulthood, particularly

considering that the role of the transcription factor DAF-16 might be long-lasting.

This might antagonize the effect of the lifespan-extending signaling initiated by

GAR-3 in early adulthood. Additionally, though GAR-3 expression can be

detected in early adulthood, it might take some time for it to fully engage other

signaling components, which might cause a delay for the lifespan-extending

signaling to take effect. Future studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism

that directs the temporal switch of ACR-6 and GAR-3 expression in the intestine.

Though the temporally-controlled dichotomous expression pattern of

ACR-6 and GAR-3 in the intestine provides a potential mechanism underlying

the opposing effects of cholinergic motor neurons on longevity, we do not

exclude the possibility that additional mechanisms may apply. For example, the

expression pattern of other components in the two neuroendocrine circuits may

undergo a similar temporal shift; similarly, their function may also be subjected

to temporal control. An alternative mechanism based on differential levels of

cholinergic signaling could also contribute to the observed lifespan effects. Our

work provides an entry point to understand how temporal mechanisms may

contribute to the complex roles of the nervous system as well as nervous

system-gut communications in aging.

Acknowledgments

We thank Wenxin Jia, Jiyong Meng, Yang Fu, Jinghan Shi and Guanghui Hu for technical assistance. Some strains were obtained from the CGC. This work was supported by the NSFC (32171146 to L.C. and 31130028, 31225011 and 31420103909 to J.L.) and funds from the University of Michigan.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

L.X., C.H. and L.C. conducted the experiments and analyzed the data. L.X., C.H., L.C., X.Z.S.X., and J.L. interpreted the data. L.C., X.Z.S.Z., and J.L. wrote the article with assistance from other authors.

Data availability

The datasets generated and analyzed during this study are either included within the manuscript or are available from the authors upon request.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and genetics

Wild-type: N2. *Ex263a[Pacr-2::TeTx::sl2::yfp]. Is4a[Pacr-2::TIR1::sl2::yfp].*

Is4a; Ex329a[Pacr-2::syntaxin(T254I)-degron::sl2::mCherry]. Ex294a[Pacr-

2::syntaxin(T254I)::sl2::mCherry]. unc-31(e169). unc-31; Ex294a. cat-2(e1112).

cat-2; Ex294a. tbh-1(n3247). tbh-1; Ex294a. tph-1(mg280). tph-1; Ex294a. eat-

4(ky5). eat-4; Ex294a. unc-25(e156). unc-25; Ex294a. tdc-1(n3419). tdc-1;

Ex294a. unc-17(e245). unc-17; Ex294a. acr-6(ok3117). acr-6; Ex294a. acr-

8(ok1240). acr-8; Ex294a. gar-2(ok520). gar-2; Ex294a. acr-6; Ex321a[Pges-

1::acr-6::sl2::yfp]. acr-6; Ex294a; Ex321a. acr-6; Ex324a[Prgef-1::acr-6::sl2::yfp].

acr-6; Ex294a; Ex324a. sid-1(qt9). sid-1; Ex263a. sid-1; Ex380a[Pvha-6::daf-16

(RNAi)+Pvha-6::sl2::mCherry]. sid-1; Ex263a; Ex380a. sid-1; Ex371a[Prgef-

1::daf-16 (RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::mCherry]. sid-1; Ex263a; Ex371a. Is4a;

Is5a[Pacr-2::syntaxin(T254I)-degron::sl2::mCherry]. unc-17; Is4a. unc-17;

Is4a; Is5a. gar-3(gk337); Is4a. gar-3; Is4a; Ex329a. sid-1; Is4a. sid-1; Is4a;

Is5a. sid-1; Is4a; Ex359a[Pges-1::gar-3(RNAi)+Pges-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a;

Is5a; Ex364a[Pges-1::gar-3(RNAi)+Pges-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Ex395a[Prgef-

1::gar-3(RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Is5a; Ex398a[Prgef-1::gar-

3(RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::cfp]. gar-2; Is4a. gar-2; Is4a; Ex329a. sid-1; Is4a;

Ex357a[Pges-1::gar-2(RNAi)+Pges-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Is5a; Ex363a[Pges-

1::gar-2(RNAi)+Pges-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Ex390a[Prgef-1::gar-

2(RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Is5a; Ex392a[Prgef-1::gar-

2(RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Ex373a[Pges-1:hsf-1(RNAi)+Pges-

1::sl2:cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Is5a; Ex374a[Pges-1:hsf-1(RNAi)+Pges-1::sl2:cfp]. sid-

1; Is4a; Ex384a[Prgef-1::hsf-1(RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::cfp]. sid-1; Is4a; Is5a;

Ex385a[Prgef-1::hsf-1(RNAi)+Prgef-1::sl2::cfp]. acr-6(xu129a[acr-6::mCherry]).

gar-3(xu130a[gar-3::mCherry]).   ieSi57[Peft-3::TIR1]. ieSi57[Peft-3::TIR1];

Ex329a. Is4a; Ex847a[Pvha-6::daf-16 (RNAi)+Pvha-6::sl2::mCherry] line 1.

Is4a; Is5a; Ex847a[Pvha-6::daf-16 (RNAi)+Pvha-6::sl2::mCherry] line 1. Is4a;

Ex848a[Pvha-6::daf-16 (RNAi)+Pvha-6::sl2::mCherry] line 2. Is4a; Is5a;

Ex848a[Pvha-6::daf-16 (RNAi)+Pvha-6::sl2::mCherry] line 2. Is4a;

Ex859a[Pmyo-3::gar-2(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 1. Is4a; Is5a;

Ex859a[Pmyo-3::gar-2(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 1. Is4a;

Ex860a[Pmyo-3::gar-2(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 2. Is4a; Is5a;

Ex860a[Pmyo-3::gar-2(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 2. Is4a;

Ex857a[Pmyo-3::gar-3(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 1. Is4a; Is5a;

Ex857a[Pmyo-3::gar-3(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 1. Is4a;

Ex858a[Pmyo-3::gar-3(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 2. Is4a; Is5a;

Ex858a[Pmyo-3::gar-3(RNAi)+Pmyo-3::sl2::mCherry] line 2. zIs356[daf-16::gfp

+ roller].

Mutant strains were outcrossed at least four times before use. The *acr-6*,

acr-8, *acr-15*, *acr-16*, *acr-19*, *acr-21*, *acr-24*, *gar-1*, *acc-1*, *acc-2*, *acc-4*, *deg-3*,

lev-8, *pbo-5*, *eat-2* and *unc-63* RNA interference (RNAi) clones were generated

in the laboratory, while other RNAi clones were from the Ahringer library and

were confirmed by sequencing.

Microinjections were performed using standard protocols. Each plasmid

DNA listed above in the transgenic line was injected at a concentration of 50

ng/µL. Each marker for RNAi was co-injected at a concentration of 25 ng/µL.

Worm synchronization

Strains were grown at 20°C for at least three generations before lifespan determination. Twenty Day 2 adult worms were transferred to fresh 60 mm nematode growth medium (NGM) plates. The adult worms were removed from the plates after laying eggs for 4 hours. The plates were placed at 20°C for two days. L4 larva were used to start the normal lifespan or RNAi assays.

Lifespan assay

Lifespan assays were performed on 60 mm NGM plates at 20°C as previously described[15]. ~120 worms of each genotype were used for lifespan assays and transferred every other day to fresh NGM plates. Survival rate was scored every 1 – 2 days. Worms were censored if they crawled off the plate, bagged, or exhibited protruding vulva. In all cases, the first day of adulthood was scored as day 1. Lifespan data was analyzed with GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM, Inc.). Log-rank (Kaplan-Meier) was used to calculate *P* values. All lifespan assays were repeated at least twice. 2 µg/mL 5-Fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUDR) was included in assays involving TeTx transgene worms, *unc-31* and *unc-17* mutant worms, which show a defect in egg laying.

RNA interference (RNAi) assay

RNAi was performed using the RNAi-compatible OP50 bacterial strain OP50(xu363) and HT115 as previously described[55]. RNAi plates included carbenicillin (25 µg/mL) and isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (0.5 mM).

OP50(xu363) or HT115 bacteria with vector or RNAi plasmid were seeded on

RNAi plates 2 days before experiment.

Auxin treatment

Auxin treatment was performed by transferring worms to bacteria-

seeded NGM plates containing 0.5 mM natural auxin indole-3-acetic acid (G&K

Scientific) as previously described[34]. Auxin was dissolved in cholesterol solution

(25 g/L) and KP buffer (2.5 g/L) to avoid additional ethanol. Cholesterol solution

and KP buffer with auxin were added to NGM agar that has cooled down to

about 60°C before pouring the plates. Expression of syntaxin(T254I) can be

suppressed by auxin treatment and restored in 24 hours following auxin

removal.

Microscopy

Different stage worms were anesthetized with 5 mM sodium azide (Sigma)

on 2% agarose pads. Confocal images were acquired by FLUOVIEW FV3000

series of confocal laser scanning microscopes (Olympus) equipped with a 100X

objective as Z-stacks and were processed using ImageJ (NIH). Maximum

intensity Z-projection images were shown to illustrate the endogenous ACR-6

and GAR-3 protein expression pattern. To quantify the ACR-6 and GAR-3

protein expression level in the intestine, maximum intensity Z-projection were

used and the entire intestine area were selected by ImageJ.

CRISPR genome editing

ACR-6::mCherry and GAR-3::mCherry are both knockin alleles made by

injecting RNP mixtures as described previously[56]. In brief, repair templates were

amplified using unmodified primers with 70 bp homology arms against the target

genes from linker-mCherry plasmid. The RNP mixture was assembled at 37°C

for 15 min and contained Cas9 protein, tracrRNA, and crRNA (all from IDT).

crRNA corresponding DNA target sequences are 5'-

ATTCACGGATTGATAGCAAT-3' (*acr-6*), and 5'-

ATTCACGGATTGATAGCAAT-3' (*gar-3*). The double-strand donor templates

were melted right before adding them to the assembled RNPs [57]. Microinjection

quality was scored using a co-injection marker, PRF4::*rol-6 (su1006)* plasmid.

In general, 50 P0 were injected, singled, and maintained at 25°C for 3 days.

Two plates with the highest number of F1 rollers (usually more than 20 rollers

for a good injection) were selected, and 48 F1 rollers from each plate were

picked and singled for further genotyping[56]. The positive insertions were

confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Using this CRISPR-RNP technology, the

coding sequence of mCherry and a 27 bp linker sequence were inserted

immediately after the last amino acid codon of the *acr-6* gene on chromosome I

and of *gar-3* gene on chromosome V, respectively.

Levamisole paralysis assay

Worms were synchronized at the L4 larval stage one day prior to the assay.

The following day, 20-30 adult worms were transferred to a plate with 1 mM

levamisole hydrochloride and prodded every 10 minutes for 2 hours to assess

movement. Worms that showed no response to this harsh touch were classified

as paralyzed.

Nuclear translocation of DAF-16::GFP

L3 larva stage worms were anesthetized with 5 mM sodium azide (Sigma)

on 2% agarose pads. Confocal images were acquired by FLUOVIEW FV3000

series of confocal laser scanning microscopes (Olympus) equipped with a 20X

objective as Z-stacks. Image processing and quantification of DAF-16::GFP

nuclear localization were carried out using ImageJ (NIH). For each worm, the

nuclear localization level was calculated as the percentage of intestinal cells

showing nuclear GFP signal.

References

References

1   Sawhney, R., Sehl, M. & Naeim, A. Physiologic aspects of aging: impact on
cancer management and decision making, part I. *Cancer J* **11**, 449-460,
doi:10.1097/00130404-200511000-00004 (2005).

2   Sehl, M., Sawhney, R. & Naeim, A. Physiologic aspects of aging: impact on
cancer management and decision making, part II. *Cancer J* **11**, 461-473,
doi:10.1097/00130404-200511000-00005 (2005).

3   Apfeld, J. & Kenyon, C. Cell nonautonomy of C. elegans daf-2 function in the
regulation of diapause and life span. *Cell* **95**, 199-210, doi:10.1016/s0092-
8674(00)81751-1 (1998).

4   Libina, N., Berman, J. R. & Kenyon, C. Tissue-specific activities of C. elegans
DAF-16 in the regulation of lifespan. *Cell* **115**, 489-502, doi:10.1016/s0092-
8674(03)00889-4 (2003).

5   Alcedo, J. & Kenyon, C. Regulation of C. elegans longevity by specific
gustatory and olfactory neurons. *Neuron* **41**, 45-55, doi:10.1016/s0896-
6273(03)00816-x (2004).

6   Murphy, C. T., Lee, S. J. & Kenyon, C. Tissue entrainment by feedback
regulation of insulin gene expression in the endoderm of Caenorhabditis elegans.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **104**, 19046-19050, doi:10.1073/pnas.0709613104
(2007).

7   Medkour, Y., Svistkova, V. & Titorenko, V. I. Cell-Nonautonomous
Mechanisms Underlying Cellular and Organismal Aging. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol*
321, 259-297, doi:10.1016/bs.ircmb.2015.09.003 (2016).

8   Savini, M. *et al.* Lysosome lipid signalling from the periphery to neurons
regulates longevity. *Nat Cell Biol* **24**, 906-916, doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00926-
8 (2022).

9   Allen, E., Ren, J., Zhang, Y. & Alcedo, J. Sensory systems: their impact on C.
elegans survival. *Neuroscience* **296**, 15-25,
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.06.054 (2015).

10   Apfeld, J. & Kenyon, C. Regulation of lifespan by sensory perception in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* **402**, 804-809, doi:10.1038/45544 (1999).

11   Jeong, D. E., Artan, M., Seo, K. & Lee, S. J. Regulation of lifespan by
chemosensory and thermosensory systems: findings in invertebrates and their
implications in mammalian aging. *Front Genet* **3**, 218,
doi:10.3389/fgene.2012.00218 (2012).

12   Kenyon, C. J. The genetics of ageing. *Nature* **464**, 504-512,
doi:10.1038/nature08980 (2010).

13 Wolkow, C. A., Kimura, K. D., Lee, M. S. & Ruvkun, G. Regulation of C.
elegans life-span by insulinlike signaling in the nervous system. *Science* **290**,
147-150, doi:10.1126/science.290.5489.147 (2000).

14 Artan, M. *et al.* Food-derived sensory cues modulate longevity via distinct
neuroendocrine insulin-like peptides. *Genes & development* **30**, 1047-1057,
doi:10.1101/gad.279448.116 (2016).

15 Xiao, R. *et al.* A genetic program promotes C. elegans longevity at cold
temperatures via a thermosensitive TRP channel. *Cell* **152**, 806-817,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.01.020 (2013).

16 Zhang, B. *et al.* Environmental Temperature Differentially Modulates C. elegans
Longevity through a Thermosensitive TRP Channel. *Cell reports* **11**, 1414-1424,
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.04.066 (2015).

17 Zhang, B., Jun, H., Wu, J., Liu, J. & Xu, X. Z. S. Olfactory perception of food
abundance regulates dietary restriction-mediated longevity via a brain-to-gut
signal. *Nat Aging* **1**, 255-268, doi:10.1038/s43587-021-00039-1 (2021).

18 Burkewitz, K. *et al.* Neuronal CRTC-1 governs systemic mitochondrial
metabolism and lifespan via a catecholamine signal. *Cell* **160**, 842-855,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.004 (2015).

19 Lee, S. J. & Kenyon, C. Regulation of the longevity response to temperature by
thermosensory neurons in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Curr Biol* **19**, 715-722,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.03.041 (2009).

20 Zhang, B. *et al.* Brain-gut communications via distinct neuroendocrine signals
bidirectionally regulate longevity in C. elegans. *Genes & development* **32**, 258-
270, doi:10.1101/gad.309625.117 (2018).

21 Mutlu, A. S., Gao, S. M., Zhang, H. & Wang, M. C. Olfactory specificity
regulates lipid metabolism through neuroendocrine signaling in Caenorhabditis
elegans. *Nat Commun* **11**, 1450, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15296-8 (2020).

22 Yin, J. A. *et al.* Genetic variation in glia-neuron signalling modulates ageing
rate. *Nature* **551**, 198-203, doi:10.1038/nature24463 (2017).

23 Frakes, A. E. *et al.* Four glial cells regulate ER stress resistance and longevity
via neuropeptide signaling in C. elegans. *Science* **367**, 436-440,
doi:10.1126/science.aaz6896 (2020).

24 Zullo, J. M. *et al.* Regulation of lifespan by neural excitation and REST. *Nature*
574, 359-364, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1647-8 (2019).

25 Xia, Y. *et al.* Neuronal C/EBPbeta/AEP pathway shortens life span via selective
GABAnergic neuronal degeneration by FOXO repression. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabj8658,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abj8658 (2022).

26   Wong, C. O. *et al.* Regulation of longevity by depolarization-induced activation
of PLC-beta-IP(3)R signaling in neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**,
doi:10.1073/pnas.2004253118 (2021).

27   Liu, J. *et al.* Functional aging in the nervous system contributes to age-
dependent motor activity decline in C. elegans. *Cell metabolism* **18**, 392-402,
doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2013.08.007 (2013).

28   Ching, T. T. *et al.* Short-term enhancement of motor neuron synaptic exocytosis
during early aging extends lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Experimental*
biology and medicine **245**, 1552-1559, doi:10.1177/1535370220950639 (2020).

29   Li, G. *et al.* Genetic and pharmacological interventions in the aging motor
nervous system slow motor aging and extend life span in C. elegans. *Science*
advances **5**, eaau5041, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau5041 (2019).

30   Chun, L. *et al.* Metabotropic GABA signalling modulates longevity in C.
elegans. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8828, doi:10.1038/ncomms9828 (2015).

31   Link, E. *et al.* Tetanus toxin action: inhibition of neurotransmitter release linked
to synaptobrevin proteolysis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **189**, 1017-1023,
doi:10.1016/0006-291x(92)92305-h (1992).

32   Rand, J. B. Acetylcholine. *WormBook*, 1-21, doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.131.1
(2007).

33   Lagow, R. D. *et al.* Modification of a hydrophobic layer by a point mutation in
syntaxin 1A regulates the rate of synaptic vesicle fusion. *PLoS Biol* **5**, e72,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050072 (2007).

34   Zhang, L., Ward, J. D., Cheng, Z. & Dernburg, A. F. The auxin-inducible
degradation (AID) system enables versatile conditional protein depletion in C.
elegans. *Development* **142**, 4374-4384, doi:10.1242/dev.129635 (2015).

35   Li, C. & Kim, K. Neuropeptides. *WormBook*, 1-36,
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.142.1 (2008).

36   Jorgensen, E. M. Gaba. *WormBook*, 1-13, doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.14.1 (2005).

37   Chase, D. Biogenic amine neurotransmitters in C. elegans. *WormBook*,
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.132.1 (2007).

38   Speese, S. *et al.* UNC-31 (CAPS) is required for dense-core vesicle but not
synaptic vesicle exocytosis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Neurosci* **27**, 6150-
6162, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1466-07.2007 (2007).

39   Lin, X. G. *et al.* UNC-31/CAPS docks and primes dense core vesicles in C.
elegans neurons. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **397**, 526-531,
doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.05.148 (2010).

40 Berwin, B., Floor, E. & Martin, T. F. J. CAPS (Mammalian UNC-31) Protein
Localizes to Membranes Involved in Dense-Core Vesicle Exocytosis. *Neuron*
21, 137-145, doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80521-8 (1998).

41 Alfonso, A., Grundahl, K., Duerr, J. S., Han, H. P. & Rand, J. B. The
Caenorhabditis elegans unc-17 gene: a putative vesicular acetylcholine
transporter. *Science* **261**, 617-619, doi:10.1126/science.8342028 (1993).

42 Izquierdo, P. G. *et al.* Cholinergic signaling at the body wall neuromuscular
junction distally inhibits feeding behavior in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Biol*
Chem **298**, 101466, doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101466 (2022).

43 Yemini, E. *et al.* NeuroPAL: A Multicolor Atlas for Whole-Brain Neuronal
Identification in C. elegans. *Cell* **184**, 272-288 e211,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.012 (2021).

44 Chan, J. P. *et al.* Extrasynaptic muscarinic acetylcholine receptors on neuronal
cell bodies regulate presynaptic function in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Neurosci*
33, 14146-14159, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1359-13.2013 (2013).

45 Lee, Y. S. *et al.* Characterization of GAR-2, a novel G protein-linked
acetylcholine receptor from Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Neurochem* **75**, 1800-
1809, doi:10.1046/j.1471-4159.2000.0751800.x (2000).

46 Labed, S. A. *et al.* Intestinal Epithelial Wnt Signaling Mediates Acetylcholine-
Triggered Host Defense against Infection. *Immunity* **48**, 963-978 e963,
doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.017 (2018).

47 Douglas, Peter M. *et al.* Heterotypic Signals from Neural HSF-1 Separate
Thermotolerance from Longevity. *Cell reports* **12**, 1196-1204,
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.07.026 (2015).

48 Hsu, A. L., Murphy, C. T. & Kenyon, C. Regulation of aging and age-related
disease by DAF-16 and heat-shock factor. *Science* **300**, 1142-1145,
doi:10.1126/science.1083701 (2003).

49 Hermann, G. J. *et al.* Genetic Analysis of Lysosomal Trafficking
inCaenorhabditis elegans. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **16**, 3273-3288,
doi:10.1091/mbc.e05-01-0060 (2005).

50 Higuchi-Sanabria, R. *et al.* Divergent Nodes of Non-autonomous UPR(ER)
Signaling through Serotonergic and Dopaminergic Neurons. *Cell reports* **33**,
108489, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108489 (2020).

51 Zhang, Q. *et al.* The Mitochondrial Unfolded Protein Response Is Mediated
Cell-Non-autonomously by Retromer-Dependent Wnt Signaling. *Cell* **174**, 870-
883 e817, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.029 (2018).

52 Ozbey, N. P. *et al.* Tyramine Acts Downstream of Neuronal XBP-1s to
Coordinate Inter-tissue UPR(ER) Activation and Behavior in C. elegans. *Dev*
Cell **55**, 754-770 e756, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.10.024 (2020).

53 Hobert, O., Glenwinkel, L. & White, J. Revisiting Neuronal Cell Type
Classification in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Curr Biol* **26**, R1197-R1203,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.10.027 (2016).

54 Leinwand, S. G. *et al.* Circuit mechanisms encoding odors and driving aging-
associated behavioral declines in Caenorhabditis elegans. *eLife* **4**, e10181,
doi:10.7554/eLife.10181 (2015).

55 Xiao, R. *et al.* RNAi Interrogation of Dietary Modulation of Development,
Metabolism, Behavior, and Aging in C. elegans. *Cell reports* **11**, 1123-1133,
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.04.024 (2015).

56 Ghanta, K. S., Ishidate, T. & Mello, C. C. Microinjection for precision genome
editing in Caenorhabditis elegans. *STAR Protoc* **2**, 100748,
doi:10.1016/j.xpro.2021.100748 (2021).

57 Ghanta, K. S. & Mello, C. C. Melting dsDNA Donor Molecules Greatly
Improves Precision Genome Editing in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **216**,
643-650, doi:10.1534/genetics.120.303564 (2020).

Figure legends

Figure 1. Cholinergic motor neurons differentially regulate lifespan at

different stages of worm life.

(A) Ablating the output of cholinergic motor neurons promotes survival at early

life stage, whereas inhibits survival at later stages. Tetanus toxin (TeTx) was

expressed as a transgene in cholinergic motor neurons using *acr-2* promoter to

block exocytosis from these neurons.

(B) Promoting the output of cholinergic motor neurons shortens lifespan. The

gain-of-function form of *Drosophila* syntaxin(T254I) was expressed as a

transgene in cholinergic motor neurons using *acr-2* promotor to potentiate

exocytosis from these neurons.

(C) Schematic describing the assay. The gain-of-function form of *Drosophila*

syntaxin(T254I) fused with a degron tag was expressed as a transgene in

cholinergic motor neurons to promote exocytosis from these neurons.

Transgenic worms were then crossed with a line stably expressing TIR1 in

these neurons. Expression of Syntaxin(T254I) was suppressed by 0.5 mM auxin

treatment and induced by subsequently removing auxin.

(D) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene has slight effect on lifespan when suppressing its

expression throughout the entire worm life.

(E) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene shortens lifespan when inducing its expression

throughout the entire worm life.

(F) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene shortens lifespan when inducing its expression

from egg to L4 larval stage.

(G-I) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene modestly affects lifespan when inducing its

expression from L4 larval stage (G), Day 3 (H) or Day 5 (I) adulthood.

(J-K) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene extends lifespan when inducing its expression

from Day 7 (J), Day 9 (K) or Day 11 (L) adulthood. See Table S1 for detailed

statistical analysis of lifespan data. Logrank (Kaplan-Meier) was used to

calculate p values.

Figure 2. ACh and the nicotinic AChR ACR-6 mediate the lifespan-

shortening effect of cholinergic motor neurons in early life.

(A) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene shortens lifespan.

(B) Loss of *unc-31* exhibits no defect on the ability of *syntaxin(T254I)* transgene

to shorten lifespan.

(C) Loss of *unc-17* blocks the ability of *syntaxin(T254I)* transgene to shorten

lifespan.

(D-F) Loss of *acr-6* blocks the ability of *syntaxin(T254I)* transgene to shorten

lifespan (D), a phenotype rescued by transgenic expression of wild-type *acr-6*

gene in the intestine (E), but not in neurons (F). The *ges-1* and *rgef-1* promoters

were used to drive *acr-6* gene expression in the intestine and neurons,

respectively. See Table S1 for detailed statistical analysis of lifespan data.

Logrank (Kaplan-Meier) was used to calculate p values.

Figure 3. The FOXO transcription factor DAF-16 is required in the intestine

for cholinergic motor neurons to regulate lifespan in early life.

(A) *Syntaxin(T254I)* transgene shortens lifespan.

(B-E) RNAi of *daf-16* does not further shorten the lifespan of *syntaxin(T254I)*

transgenic worms, while RNAi of *hsf-1* (C), *skn-1* (D) and *pha-4* (E) does.

(F) qPCR analysis of DAF-16 target genes. qPCR reactions were run in

triplicates for each genotype. Day 1 adult worms were detected. Each

experiment was repeated three times. Error bars represent s.e.m. ****$p< 0.0001$

(ANOVA with Bonferroni's test).

(G-H) RNAi of *daf-16* in the intestine (H) abolishes the ability of TeTx transgene

to regulate lifespan (G). dsRNA against *daf-16* was expressed as a transgene

specifically in the intestine using *vha-6* promoter. These experiments were

carried out in a *sid-1* mutant background where systemic effect of RNAi is

absent. See Table S1 for detailed statistical analysis of lifespan data. Log-rank

(Kaplan-Meier) was used to calculate p values.

Figure 4. ACh and the intestinal muscarinic AChR GAR-3 mediate the

lifespan-extending effect of cholinergic motor neurons in mid-late life.

(A) Enhancing the output of cholinergic motor neurons in mid-late life extends

lifespan. The *syntaxin(T254I)* transgene was induced from Day 7 adulthood as

described in Figure 1C.

(B-C) Loss of *unc-17* (B) or *gar-3* (C) blocks the ability of cholinergic motor

neurons to extend lifespan in mid-late life.

(D-F) RNAi of *gar-3* in the intestine (E), but not in neurons (F), abolishes the

ability of cholinergic motor neurons to extend lifespan in mid-late life (D). dsRNA

against *gar-3* was expressed as a transgene specifically in the intestine and

neurons using *ges-1* and *rgef-1* promoter, respectively. These experiments

were carried out in a *sid-1* mutant background where systemic effect of RNAi is

absent. See Table S1 for detailed statistical analysis of lifespan data. Log-rank

(Kaplan-Meier) was used to calculate p values.

Figure 5. The transcription factor HSF-1 is required in the intestine for

cholinergic motor neurons to regulate lifespan in mid-late life.

(A-B) Enhancing the output of cholinergic motor neurons in mid-late life extends

lifespan (A). This effect was fully suppressed by RNAi of *hsf-1* (B). The

syntaxin(T254I) transgene was induced from Day 7 adulthood as described in

Figure 1C.

(C) qPCR analysis of HSF-1 target genes. qPCR reactions were run in

triplicates for each genotype. Day 10 adult worms were detected. Each

experiment was repeated three times. Error bars represent s.e.m. ****p< 0.0001

(ANOVA with Bonferroni's test).

(D-F) RNAi of *hsf-1* in the intestine (E), but not in neurons (F), abolishes the

ability of cholinergic motor neurons to extend lifespan in mid-late life (D). dsRNA

against *hsf-1* was expressed as a transgene specifically in the intestine and

neurons using *ges-1* and *rgef-1* promoter, respectively. These experiments

were carried out in a *sid-1* mutant background where systemic effect of RNAi is

absent. See Table S1 for detailed statistical analysis of lifespan data. Logrank

(Kaplan-Meier) was used to calculate p values.

Figure 6. Temporal control of ACR-6 and GAR-3 expression in the

intestine at different life stages.

(A-B) The expression pattern of endogenous ACR-6 and GAR-3 proteins at L3

Larva stage (A) and Day 2 adult stage (B). Arrowheads point to the area of the

intestine. Scale bars, 20 µm.

(C) Quantification curves summarizing the data in (A) and (B). The entire

intestinal area was selected for measurement. Error bars represent s.e.m. n ≥

10.

(D) A schematic model illustrating the two cholinergic motor neurons-to-gut

signaling circuits that act in early life to shorten lifespan and in mid-late life to

extend lifespan.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

acr-6::mCherry larva (L3)

DIC | mCherry

gar-3::mCherry larva (L3)

DIC | mCherry

B

acr-6::mCherry adult (Day 2)

DIC | mCherry

gar-3::mCherry adult (Day 2)

DIC | mCherry

C

Fluorescence intensity vs Period

- *gar-3::mCherry*
- *acr-6::mCherry*

Periods: L2, L3, L4, Day 1, Day 2, Day 3, Day4

D

cholinergic MNs in VNC (Pacr-2)

ACh

early life

ACR-6 ⊣ DAF-16 → Longevity

Intestine

mid-late life

GAR-3 → HSF-1 → Longevity