Association of 10 VEGF Family Genes with Alzheimer's Disease Endophenotypes at Single Cell Resolution

Yiyang Wu[1], Julia B Libby[1], Logan Dumitrescu[1,2], Philip L. De Jager[3,4], Vilas Menon[3], Julie A. Schneider[5], David A. Bennett[5], Timothy J Hohman[1,2]

[1]*Vanderbilt Memory and Alzheimer's Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN*

[2]*Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN*

[3]*Center for Translational & Computational Neuroimmunology, Department of Neurology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY*

[4]*Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and Aging Brain, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY*

[5]*Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL*

Abstract

The cell-type specific role of the vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs) in the

pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is not well characterized. In this study, we utilized a

single-nucleus RNA sequencing dataset from Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (DLFPC) of 424

donors from the Religious Orders Study and Memory and Aging Project (ROS/MAP) to

investigate the effect of 10 VEGF genes (*VEGFA*, *VEGFB*, *VEGFC*, *VEGFD*, *PGF*, *FLT1*, *FLT4*, *KDR*,

NRP1, and *NRP2*) on AD endophenotypes. Mean age of death was 89 years, among which 68%

were females, and 52% has AD dementia. Negative binomial mixed models were used for

differential expression analysis and for association analysis with β-amyloid load, PHF tau tangle

density, and both cross-sectional and longitudinal global cognitive function. Intercellular VEGF-

associated signaling was profiled using CellChat. We discovered prefrontal cortical *FLT1*

expression was upregulated in AD brains in both endothelial and microglial cells. Higher *FLT1*

expression was also associated with worse cross-sectional global cognitive function,

longitudinal cognitive trajectories, and β-amyloid load. Similarly, higher endothelial *FLT4*

expression was associated with more β-amyloid load. In contrast to the receptors, *VEGFB*

showed opposing effects on β-amyloid load whereby higher levels in oligodendrocytes was

associated with high amyloid burden, while higher levels in inhibitory neurons was associated

with lower amyloid burden. Finally, AD cells showed significant reduction in overall VEGF

signaling comparing to those from cognitive normal participants. Our results highlight key

changes in VEGF receptor expression in endothelial and microglial cells during AD, and the

potential protective role of VEGFB in neurons.

Introduction

Neurovascular unit dysfunction is a hallmark of progression of AD. The vascular

endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family of signaling proteins are responsible for the growth and

maintenance of cells involved in vascularization and neuralization [1]. The members of the

VEGF family include five ligand genes (*VEGFA*, *VEGFB*, *VEGFC*, *VEGFD*, and *PGF*), three receptor

genes (*FLT1*, *KDR*, and *FLT4*), and two co-receptor genes (*NRP1* and *NRP2*; **Figure 1a**). These

genes play a role in brain injury [2], stroke [3, 4], and the progression of neurodegenerative

disease [5], particularly Alzheimer's disease (AD). Within AD, disruptions in vascularization and

circulation of the brain have been shown to contribute to neurodegeneration and the

development of neuropathology [6–13]. As a result, VEGFs have become exciting targets for

research to better understand vascular contributions to AD.

The role of VEGFs in AD appears to vary depending on the gene family member. Some

family members have protective effects [9, 14, 15], while others contribute to the progression

of neurodegeneration [16–18]. Earlier work from our group observed that cerebrospinal fluid

levels of VEGFA displayed a protective effect [15]. When we expanded our analyses to brain

tissues we observed that *VEGFB*, *FLT1*, *PGF*, and *FLT4* all appear to contribute to the

progression of AD pathology and cognitive decline [16]. Within the prefrontal cortex, higher

expression of these four genes (*VEGFB*, *FLT1*, *PGF*, and *FLT4*) was associated with more rapid

cognitive decline and higher pathology burden [16]. Similar associations are observed when

measuring the protein abundance of these VEGF family members in brain tissue and extend to

other brain regions including the posterior cingulate gyrus [18].

To better understand VEGF associations in the human brain within their cellular context,

we previously investigated single nucleus expression from 48 post-mortem DLPFC samples,

evaluating their expression in astrocytes, microglia, oligodendrocytes, oligodendrocyte

progenitor cells (OPCs), pericytes, endothelial cells, excitatory and inhibitory neurons [18].

Fascinatingly, we found that the robust *FLT1* and *VEGFB* signals that we had observed in bulk

tissue were driven by expression in microglia for both genes, along with endothelial cells,

oligodendrocytes, and OPCs for *VEGFB.* While results were encouraging, the small sample size

and few endothelial and microglial cells in this initial dataset made interpretation challenging.

The goal of this study is to further disentangle the cell-type specific VEGF expression

changes in relation to AD endophenotypes by analyzing a much larger AD single-nucleus RNA

sequencing (snRNA) cohort (N=424) [19, 20]. Specifically, we investigated 10 VEGF (*VEGFA*,

VEGFB, *VEGFC*, *VEGFD*, *PGF*, *FLT1*, *FLT4*, *KDR*, *NRP1*, and *NRP2*) family members' expression

within eight brain cell types to test for differential expression between cognitively normal

participants and those with AD, along with expression associations with global cognition (cross-

sectional and longitudinal trajectories) and AD pathology (β-amyloid and tau neurofibrillary

tangle density; **Figure 1b**). In addition, we evaluated cell-type specific changes in the VEGF-

associated signaling pathway during AD by conducting an intercellular communication analysis

for these 10 VEGF family members.

Methods

Participants

The participants included in this study were from two longitudinal clinical-pathological cohort studies including the Religious Orders Study and the Rush Memory and Aging Project (ROS/MAP). Each study was approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Rush University Medical Center. At enrollment, all participants were free of known dementia and agreed to annual clinical evaluation and the donation of their brain at the time of their death [21–23]. All participants signed informed and repository consents and an Anatomic Gift Act. Secondary analyses of this extant data were approved by the Vanderbilt University Medical Center IRB. ROS/MAP data can be accessed online at the Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center Resource Sharing Hub (https://www.radc.rush.edu/), as well as on the Accelerating Medicines Partnership-Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD) Knowledge Portal (syn3219045).

Single nucleus RNA sequencing

Specimens were collected on availability of frozen pathologic materials from the dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). The tissue specimens were collected by the Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center and processed at Columbia University Medical Center. Participants with a post-mortem interval < 41 hours and whole genome sequence data were selected to go through a series of rigorous quality control steps [24–26], which resulting in 424 participants. The participants had a median of 3,824 sequenced nuclei. In the final data, there were 8 major cell types, including astrocytes, endothelial cells, inhibitory neurons, microglia, oligodendrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), and CUX2- and CUX2+ excitatory neurons. snRNAseq data can be downloaded from AD Knowledge Portal (Accession Number: syn31512863).

Additional filters applied before analyses included removing genes with expression in less than

10% of all cells, removing cells with less than 200 or more than 20,000 total RNA UMIs or had

more than 5% mitochondrial mapped reads.

Measurements of Cognitive Function

The measurement of global cognition was derived from 17 different neuropsychological

tests across five domains of cognition (semantic, episodic, and working memory, perceptual

speed, and perceptual orientation). The z-scores of all the available tests were averaged to

create a global cognition composite. Further details on the development of this composite have

been previously described [27]. For cross-sectional cognition function related analysis, global

cognitive score at last visit before death was used from 369 participants. Longitudinal cognitive

trajectory was derived from a linear mixed effects model with global cognition as the outcome

and the intercept and interval (years from last visit) entered as fixed and random effects. The

derived "cognition slope" was calculated as the sum of the random and fixed effects for each

participant. 423 participants are included in this analysis.

Measurements of AD pathology

Measures of pathology were previously characterized in ROS/MAP [21, 22]. Measured

by immunohistochemistry at autopsy, β-amyloid load and tau tangle density were quantified as

the average percent area occupied by β-amyloid (using antibodies specific to $A\beta_{42}$) or tau (using

antibodies specific to AT8 epitope of abnormally phosphorylated tau) across 8 brain regions at

autopsy: hippocampus, angular gyrus, and entorhinal, midfrontal, inferior temporal, calcarine,

anterior cingulate, and superior frontal cortices. Then, values were transformed to approximate

a normal distribution. 421 participants are included in β-amyloid load and tau density analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were completed using RStudio (R version 4.3.1) and code is available

upon request from the corresponding author. Significance was set to a priori to α=0.05.

Additionally, p-values were corrected for all *VEGF* predictors across AD-associated outcomes

(290 models) using the false discovery rate (FDR) procedure.

Negative binomial mixed models implemented by the Nebula R package [28](v1.4.2)

were used to analyze the association between each *VEGF* gene within each of the eight cell

types and AD diagnosis, global cognition longitudinally and at last visit before death, and β-

amyloid load and PHF tau tangle density at death. For analysis of diagnosis, 299 individuals

were included: 142 normal cognition controls (cogdx = 1) and 157 AD dementia patients (cogdx

= 4 or 5). All models covaried for age at death, sex, post-mortem interval (PMI), and the interval

between last cognitive visit and death in years (for cognition). One participant didn't have PMI

data, hence, was removed from association analysis. The gene count matrix input of the models

was the UMI count data from the RNA assay normalized and scaled by the "sctransform" R

package (https://github.com/satijalab/sctransform).

VEGF-mediated Intercellular Communication Profiling

Intercellular communication pattern involving these 10 VEGF genes among cell types

was analyzed using the 'CellChat' R package [29](v1.0). Due to lack of some of the evident

ligand-receptor pairs involving these 10 VEGF genes from the default CellChat database

(CellChatDB), we manually updated CellChatDB by incorporating those curated additionally

from the CellTalk DB (v1.0, https://github.com/ZJUFanLab/CellTalkDB/tree/master/database).

All VEGF ligand-receptor pairs that were included in this study can be found in **Supplemental**

Table 2. We performed the rest of the analysis according to the default setting of CellChat,

skipping projecting gene expression data onto human protein-protein interaction network.

Results

Participant Characteristics

The snRNAseq data used for our analysis consisted of 424 non-Latinx White participants

(**Table 1**) with an average PMI of 7.7 hours (SD = 5.1 hours), 68% of whom are females, 52% are

clinical AD dementia patients (cogdx = 4 or 5), and 27% carried at least one APOE4 allele. The

cohort has a mean age at death at 89 years old with an average of 13 years of education.

VEGFs **Associations with Cognition**

Higher expression of *FLT1* in endothelial cells and microglia was associated with worse

cognitive performance at last visit before death (endothelial-*FLT1* (logFC= -0.089, FDR= 0.009)

and microglia-*FLT1* (logFC= -0.176, FDR= 0.009)) and faster cognitive decline (endothelial-*FLT1*

(logFC= -0.771, FDR= 0.041) and microglia-*FLT1* (logFC= -1.964, p= 0.009)). Additionally, higher

expression of *VEGFB* in oligodendrocytes (logFC= -0.561, FDR= 0.019) was also associated with

faster cognitive decline. **Table 2** listed all statistically significant associations between 10 VEGF

gene expression and various AD outcomes tested (the complete result can be found in

Supplemental Table 1).

VEGFs **Associations with AD Pathology**

Multiple cell types and *VEGF* genes were associated with β-amyloid load (**Table 2**).

Higher microglia-*FLT1* (logFC= 0.053, FDR= 0.001), oligodendrocyte-*VEGFB* (logFC= 0.014, FDR=

0.009), endothelial-*FLT4* (logFC= 0.027, FDR= 0.013), astrocyte-*VEGFD* (logFC= 0.016, FDR=

171 0.029), and oligodendrocyte precursor cell-*NRP1* (logFC= 0.018, FDR= 0.046) were all associated

172 with higher β-amyloid load. On the contrary, within inhibitory neurons, higher expression of

173 *VEGFB* was associated with lower β-amyloid load (logFC= -0.012, FDR= 0.019). On the other

174 hand, we only found one gene that associated with tau density, which was higher astrocyte-

175 expressed NRP1 was associated with lower tau density (logFC= -0.014, FDR= 0.009, **Table 2**).

176 To examine whether contribution of micro-*FLT1* and oligo-*VEGFB* to more amyloid load

177 influences the association of their expression with worse cognition performance, we performed

178 a competitive hierarchical analysis ("gene expression ~ sex + age at death + PMI + amyloid +

179 cognition (either cross sectional score at last visit or longitudinal trajectory)" using the same

180 Nebula setting for other association analyses). We found that when covarying for amyloid, both

181 gene associations with cognitive performance were attenuated about 20% (by comparison of

182 logFC values), but remained statistically significant for micro-*FLT1* (p = 0.011 for both cross-

183 sectional and longitudinal cognitive performance models) and fell just below statistical

184 significance in the case of oligo-*VEGFB* (p = 0.065 for longitudinal cognitive performance

185 model). Together our analysis indicated that the associations of micro-*FLT1* and oligo-*VEGFB* to

186 cognitive performance are only partially explained by their association with amyloid load.

187 **Differential Expression of *VEGFs* in AD**

188 We also tested for differences in *VEGF* expression between neuropathologically

189 confirmed AD dementia cases and cognitively unimpaired. We observed that AD patients had

190 higher expression levels of *FLT1* within microglia (logFC= 0.452, FDR= 0.009) and endothelial

191 cells (**Table 2**, logFC= 0.195, FDR= 0.014).

VEGF-associated Intercellular Communication Profile

Overall VEGF communication strength (calculated by summing communication

probabilities of all curated VEGF pathway ligand-receptor (L-R) pairs among all cell types

(**Supplemental Table 2**)) was significantly reduced (Wilcoxon test; $p<0.05$) in the AD group

compared to the cognitively normal group, and the reduction was observed for both incoming

and outgoing VEGF signaling strength (calculated by in-degree and out-degree network

centrality scores respectively) among cells (**Figure 2a**). VEGFA was the most abundantly

expressed VEGF ligand among investigated, primarily in astrocytes, hence, it was no surprise to

see many of the significant interactions (L-R pairs) for VEGF pathway were with VEGFA for both

AD and cognitively normal groups. As a result, overall VEGF information flow among these brain

cells was from astrocytes to other cell types including themselves (**Figure 2b**). But interestingly,

the top receiver (cell type) of VEGF communications for the cognitively normal group was

excitatory neurons, whereas for AD group, it was endothelial cells (**Figure 2c**). The underlying

cause of this difference lay in the significant change of the relative contribution of top L-R pairs

to the overall VEGF communications when comparing these two diagnoses groups. Specifically,

"VEGFA-GRIN2B" was 37.8% in AD vs 39.5% in cognitive normal group, "VEGFA-SIRPA" was

10.2% vs 10.7% (AD vs cognitive normal), and "VEGFA-EGFR" was 10.2% vs 9.5% (AD vs

cognitive normal). But probably the most important change is for "VEGFA-FLT1", which

contributed 13.8% of all VEGF signals in AD dementia patients comparing to 9.5% in cognitive

normal individuals. VEGFA-FLT1 signal is from astrocytes to endothelial cells exclusively, and it

showed a comparable communication probability between cells in AD and cognitive normal

participants (adjusted probability of 0.073 vs 0.076), which can be seen as an outsider

considering the overall reduction of VEGF signals in AD group (**Supplemental Table 3** presented

the complete result). The significant elevated *FLT1* expression in endothelial cells from AD

patients might have facilitated this change.

Discussion

In this study we provided the most comprehensive exploration into cell-specific effects

of the VEGF family in the context of AD. Our results build on previous evidence from bulk tissue

at the protein and transcriptomic level that *VEGFB, FLT1, FLT4,* and *NRP1* relate to the clinical

progression and neuropathology of AD [16, 18]. The single cell resolution here builds on our

early single nucleus data [18] to provide the clearest picture of the important and distinct roles

the VEGF family members play in various brain cell types. Our results particularly highlight the

diverse associations for VEGF family members across endothelial cells, microglial cells,

astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and neurons. While *FLT1* showed strong upregulation in the AD

brain in endothelial cells as might be expected, we also observed strong upregulation of *FLT1* in

microglial cells. Yet, regardless of the upregulation of *FLT1*, our intercellular communication

analyses suggest that FLT1-associated canonical VEGF signaling pathways themselves are in fact

comparable in the AD brain to normal brain. In contrast to the consistent effects observed for

FLT1, we observed opposing direction of effect on β-amyloid load for *VEGFB* expression in

oligodendrocytes vs inhibitory neurons, whereby higher levels of oligodendrocyte *VEGFB*

related to higher pathology, while higher levels of neuronal *VEGFB* related to lower pathology.

Together, these results suggest that in addition to the classic vascular signaling pathway

underlying the associations between VEGF family members and AD, there may also be immune

(e.g., microglial *FLT1*) and neuronal (e.g., neuronal *VEGFB*) signaling cascades that contribute to

AD pathogenesis.

The central role of VEGF signaling is angiogenesis under both health and disease

conditions [30, 31]. Pathological angiogenesis is a hallmark of AD, which is believed to both

drive and respond to multiple AD pathologies driving hypoxia, oxidative stress, inflammation,

and Aβ accumulation [32]. Indeed, previous work has identified a marked upregulation of

angiogenic factors and mediators in the AD brain [33, 34]. In the present results, the

upregulation of *FLT1* in endothelial cells among AD patients could reflect a repair response. The

increase in vascularization and blood flow could be a response to AD neuropathology similar to

the response that has been indicated in other neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric diseases

such as ALS [35] and schizophrenia [36]. On the other hand, the elevated endothelial *FLT1* could

drive AD neuropathology. For example, it has been shown that VEGF-induced pathological

angiogenesis can facilitate Aβ generation [37]. VEGF-induced pathological angiogenesis can also

worsen AD pathology by disrupting permeability of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [38, 39]. BBB

is formed by physical interaction of multiple brain cell types, with a common structure

consisting of blood capillaries formed by endothelial cells, pericytes, and the astrocytic end feet

[40]. As a natural protective barrier of the central nervous system (CNS), BBB homeostasis is

strictly regulated, disruption of which can lead to many neurological conditions including AD

[41, 42]. Our intercellular communication profile showed that signals communicated among

these 10 VEGF genes were predominantly from astrocytes to endothelial cells, hinting at the

canonical angiogenesis pathway at the blood brain barrier as a primary driver here. In fact,

evidence is already emerging that demonstrates CNS angiogenesis can be regulated through

VEGF-dependent signaling at the BBB [43]. Characterizing the interplay between VEGF family

genes and BBB integrity in the context of AD may provide more insight into the mechanistic

pathways underlying the associations observed here.

Our results also suggest roles of some of the VEGF family genes in regulating the

immune response, including the upregulation of microglial *FLT1* in AD group. Increased

microglia function in AD has been observed by many [44–48] in the presence of β-amyloid

peptides and facilitates an inflammatory response [49]. FLT1 was believed to be one of the 20

or so proteins that has been found to be enriched in Aβ plaques [50], and has recently been

showed to interact with VCAM1 and plays a role in VCAM1-dependent chemotaxis of microglia,

which enhances microglia clearance of Aβ [51]. Studies have also shown the critical role that

FLT1 plays in microglial proliferation and monocyte-macrophage migration [52, 53]. With all

these under consideration, elevation of microglial *FLT1* in AD should not be a surprise. The

primary ligand for *FLT1* is *VEGFB*, so it is possible that the *VEGFB* association in

oligodendrocytes may reflect upregulation of this same pathway. It is well known that

oligodendrocytes and microglia work together during regulation of myelination, and this is

particularly noticeable during neurodegenerative conditions [54]. Future work confirming these

cell-specific transcriptomic effects at the protein level is necessary.

One fascinating discovery in the present results is that higher expression of *VEGFB*

within inhibitory neurons was associated with lower β-amyloid load, which was the only

protective effect of any VEGF we tested in this dataset. This result is consistent with the

previously reported evidence of the benefits of *VEGFB* expression on neuronal survival and

nerve regeneration [55–58]. Perhaps in the early stage of AD when β-amyloid load is low,

inhibitory neurons manage to inhibit apoptosis and promote neurogenesis through

upregulating VEGFB. Upregulation of VEGFB could be one of the molecular mechanisms that

enables inhibitory neurons to be more resistant to AD neuropathology comparing to excitatory

neurons [59]. Interestingly, a study of APP/PS1 amyloidopathy mice did show an increase of

inhibitory synapses in hippocampus before pathology develops and then decreased at 12

months of age when robust AD pathology was present [60]. Hence, the protective effect of

VEGFB from inhibitory neurons to the development of AD pathology could function in a time-

sensitive matter. One also cannot rule out the possibility that increased *VEGFB* expression

within inhibitory neurons could help with the clearance of β-amyloid.

We also observed higher expression of *FLT4* within endothelial cells related to higher β-

amyloid load. The primary ligand for *FLT4* is *VEGFD* and we also observed an association

between elevated *VEGFD* in oligodendrocytes and β-amyloid load. In contrast to the other VEGF

receptors, the FLT4∕VEGFD signaling axis is primarily involved in lymphangiogenesis. Certainly

meningeal lymphatics has been implicated in β-amyloid clearance through a complex interplay

with the immune system [61], so it is quite possible that lymphatics underly the observed

VEGFD and *FLT4* associations with β-amyloid. In previous work, we identified an associated

between higher expression of *FLT4* in the dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex and worse

neuropathology [16], but also identified higher expression of *FLT4* in the caudate nucleus

associated with lower β-amyloid levels and tau levels [18]. Others have also observed these

flips in direction with associations depending on the brain region [62]. Combined, these results

suggest that tissue and cell-type are important considerations when looking at the role of *FLT4*

in the brain within the context of AD.

Finally, we observed a cell-type specific effect of NRP1. Higher expression of *NRP1* in

astrocytes was associated with lower levels of neurofibrillary tangles, but higher expression of

NRP1 within oligodendrocyte precursor cells was associated with higher β-amyloid load. Other

work from our group has also observed differing roles of *NRP1* when pertaining to AD

neuropathology [18]. However, this is the first time we have observed statistically significant

associations within specific cell-types. All above results further highlight the complexity of the

VEGF family and their role within neurodegeneration. To fully understand the clinical potential

of *VEGF* as a biomarker and therapeutic target, in-depth understanding of each of the tissue

and cell-type is necessary.

This study has many strengths. ROS/MAP is a well-characterized cohort with longitudinal

cognitive data, comprehensive neuropathology data with multiple cell-type measures of *VEGF*

expression. Additionally, this study reports novel discoveries and replication of the effects of

VEGF family members on AD phenotypes including pathology and cognition at the

transcriptomic level within a large sample size. Because of the larges sample size and cell-type

specific expression measurements, we were able to provide more comprehensive data and

hypotheses about cellular context.

Despite these strengths, this study also has limitations. ROS/MAP is enriched for non-

Hispanic white, highly educated individuals which limits the generalizability to more

representative populations. Further, while being able to examine cell-type specific effects in a

large sample size, we are limited to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex tissue.

To conclude, this study provides an extensive characterization within a large sample size

of the *VEGF* signaling family members in the context of cognitive aging and AD. Our results

provide evidence that further supports the role of *VEGFB*, *VEGFD*, *FLT1*, *FLT4* and *NRP1* play

inside and outside of classic VEGF signaling axis in AD, with support for both an endothelial and

microglial signaling cascade that could underly these well-established associations. For the first

time, we provide evidence that *VEGFB* expression in inhibitory neurons may relate to a

protective effect against AD neuropathology as has been hypothesized previously and observed

in models of Parkinson's disease. These results provide compelling evidence that VEGFB and

FLT1 may have potential as therapeutic targets in AD, but there remains a need to confirm

these single nucleus transcriptomic results at the protein level and establish causality through

experimental approaches.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by R01-AG061518, R01-AG074012, and R01-AG059716. ROSMAP is

supported by P30AG10161, P30AG72975, R01AG15819, R01AG17917, U01AG46152, and

U01AG61356. ROSMAP resources can be requested at https://www.radc.rush.edu and

www.synpase.org.

Conflict of Interest

Dr. Timothy Hohman is a Deputy Editor for Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research and

Clinical Intervention. All other co-authors declare no conflict of interest.

AI Content Disclaimer

None of the content used in this article was generated by AI.

347
348

References

1. de Almodovar CR, Lambrechts D, Mazzone M, Carmeliet P. Role and therapeutic potential of
VEGF in the nervous system. Physiol Rev. 2009;89:607–648.
2. Linton AE. Pathologic sequelae of vascular cognitive impairment and dementia sheds light on
potential targets for intervention. Cereb Circ-Cogn Behav. 2021;2:100030.
3. Greenberg DA. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factors (VEGFs) and Stroke. Cell Mol Life Sci.
2013;70:1753–1761.
4. Ng TKS, Ho CSH, Tam WWS, Kua EH, Ho RC-M. Decreased Serum Brain-Derived Neurotrophic
Factor (BDNF) Levels in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease (AD): A Systematic Review and
Meta-Analysis. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20:257.
5. Melincovici CS. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) – key factor in normal and
pathological angiogenesis. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2018;59:455–467.
6. Silva T. Circulating levels of vascular endothelial growth factor in patients with Alzheimer's
disease: A case-control study. Behav Brain Res. 2023;437.
7. Ali M. VEGF Paradoxically Reduces Cerebral Blood Flow in Alzheimer's Disease Mice.
Neurosci Insights. 2022;17.
8. Guo L-H, Alexopoulos P, Perneczky R. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein and vascular
endothelial growth factor: cerebrospinal fluid biomarker candidates for Alzheimer's
disease. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2013;263:553–560.
9. Huang L, Jia J, Liu R. Decreased serum levels of the angiogenic factors VEGF and TGF-β1 in
Alzheimer's disease and amnestic mild cognitive impairment. Neurosci Lett. 2013;550:60–
63.
10.   Harris R, Miners JS, Allen S, Love S. VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 in Alzheimer's Disease. J
Alzheimers Dis. 2018:1–12.
11.   Provias J, Jeynes B. Reduction in Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Expression in the
Superior Temporal, Hippocampal, and Brainstem Regions in Alzheimer's Disease. Curr
Neurovasc Res. 2014:1–14.
12.   Tang H, Mao X, Xie L, Greenberg DA, Jin K. Expression level of vascular endothelial growth
factor in hippocampus is associated with cognitive impairment in patients with
Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2013;34:1412–1415.
13.   Thomas T, Miners S, Love S. Post-mortem assessment of hypoperfusion of cerebral cortex
in Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. Brain. 2015;138:1059–1069.
14.   Garcia KO, Ornellas FLM, Martin PKM, Patti CL, Mello LE, Frussa-Filho R, et al. Therapeutic
effects of the transplantation of VEGF overexpressing bone marrow mesenchymal stem
cells in the hippocampus of murine model of Alzheimer's disease. Front Aging Neurosci.
2014;6:6–30.
15.   Hohman TJ, Bell SP, Jefferson AL. The Role of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor in
Neurodegeneration and Cognitive Decline: Exploring Interactions With Biomarkers of
Alzheimer Disease. JAMA Neurol. 2015;72:520–529.
16.   Mahoney ER, Dumitrescu L, Moore AM, Cambronero FE, De Jager PL, Koran MEI, et al.
Brain expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor gene family in cognitive aging
and Alzheimer's disease. Mol Psychiatry. 2019. 22 July 2019.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0458-5.

17. Libby JB, Seto M, Khan OA, Liu D, Petyuk VA, Oliver N, et al. Whole blood transcript and
protein abundance of the vascular endothelial growth factor family relate to cognitive
performance. Neurobiol Aging. 2023;124:11–17.

18. Seto M, Dumitrescu L, Mahoney ER, Sclafani AM, De Jager PL, Menon V, et al. Multi-omic
characterization of brain changes in the vascular endothelial growth factor family during
aging and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2023;126:25–33.

19. Green GS, Fujita M, Yang H-S, Taga M, McCabe C, Cain A, et al. Cellular dynamics across
aged human brains uncover a multicellular cascade leading to Alzheimer's disease.
2023:2023.03.07.531493.

20. Fujita M, Gao Z, Zeng L, McCabe C, White CC, Ng B, et al. Cell subtype-specific effects of
genetic variation in the Alzheimer's disease brain. Nat Genet. 2024;56:605–614.

21. Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Wilson RS. Overview and findings from the
religious orders study. Curr Alzheimer Res. 2012;9:628.

22. Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Buchman AS, Barnes LL, Boyle PA, Wilson RS. Overview and
findings from the Rush Memory and Aging Project. Curr Alzheimer Res. 2012;9:646.

23. Bennett DA, Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Barnes LL, Wilson RS, Schneider JA. Religious Orders
Study and Rush Memory and Aging Project. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018;64:S161–S189.

24. Fujita M, Gao Z, Zeng L, McCabe C, White CC, Ng B, et al. Cell-subtype specific effects of
genetic variation in the aging and Alzheimer cortex. 2022.

25. Cain A, Taga M, McCabe C, Green GS, Hekselman I, White CC. Multicellular communities
are perturbed in the aging human brain and Alzheimer's disease. Nat Neurosci.
2023;26:1267–1280.

26. Habib N, Avraham-Davidi I, Basu A, Burks T, Shekhar K, Hofree M, et al. Massively parallel
single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. Nat Methods. 2017;14:955–958.

27. Wilson RS, Boyle PA, Yu L, Barnes LL, Sytsma J, Buchman AS, et al. Temporal course and
pathologic basis of unawareness of memory loss in dementia. Neurology. 2015;85:984–
991.

28. He L, Davila-Velderrain J, Sumida TS, Hafler DA, Kellis M, Kulminski AM. NEBULA is a fast
negative binomial mixed model for differential or co-expression analysis of large-scale
multi-subject single-cell data. Commun Biol. 2021;4:629.

29. Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan C-H, et al. Inference and
analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. Nat Commun. 2021;12:1088.

30. Hicklin DJ, Ellis LM. Role of the Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Pathway in Tumor
Growth and Angiogenesis. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:1011–1027.

31. Shibuya M. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) and Its Receptor (VEGFR) Signaling
in Angiogenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011;2:1097–1105.

32. Jefferies WA, Price KA, Biron KE, Fenninger F, Pfeifer CG, Dickstein DL. Adjusting the
compass: new insights into the role of angiogenesis in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res
Ther. 2013;5:64.

33. Lau S-F, Cao H, Fu AKY, Ip NY. Single-nucleus transcriptome analysis reveals dysregulation
of angiogenic endothelial cells and neuroprotective glia in Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 2020;117:25800.

34.   Tsartsalis S, Sleven H, Fancy N, Wessely F, Smith AM, Willumsen N, et al. A single nuclear
transcriptomic characterisation of mechanisms responsible for impaired angiogenesis and
blood-brain barrier function in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Commun. 2024;15:2243.
35.   Hunter M, Spiller KJ, Dominique MA, Xu H, Hunter FW, Fang TC, et al. Microglial
transcriptome analysis in the rNLS8 mouse model of TDP-43 proteinopathy reveals
discrete expression profiles associated with neurodegenerative progression and recovery.
Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2021;9:140.
36.   Stankovic I, Notaras M, Wolujewicz P, Lu T, Lis R, Ross ME, et al. Schizophrenia endothelial
cells exhibit higher permeability and altered angiogenesis patterns in patient-derived
organoids. Transl Psychiatry. 2024;14:1–15.
37.   Desai BS, Schneider JA, Li J-L, Carvey PM, Hendey B. Evidence of angiogenic vessels in
Alzheimer's disease. J Neural Transm Vienna Austria 1996. 2009;116:587–597.
38.   Vogel C, Bauer A, Wiesnet M, Preissner KT, Schaper W, Marti HH, et al. Flt-1, but not Flk-1
mediates hyperpermeability through activation of the PI3-K/Akt pathway. J Cell Physiol.
2007;212:236–243.
39.   Gao L, Pan X, Zhang JH, Xia Y. Glial cells: an important switch for the vascular function of
the central nervous system. Front Cell Neurosci. 2023;17.
40.   Sun Q, Xu X, Wang T, Xu Z, Lu X, Li X, et al. Neurovascular Units and Neural-Glia Networks
in Intracerebral Hemorrhage: from Mechanisms to Translation. Transl Stroke Res.
2021;12:447–460.
41.   Daneman R, Prat A. The Blood–Brain Barrier. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.
2015;7:a020412.
42.   Wu D, Chen Q, Chen X, Han F, Chen Z, Wang Y. The blood–brain barrier: structure,
regulation, and drug delivery. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8:1–27.
43.   Zhang S, Kim B, Zhu X, Gui X, Wang Y, Lan Z, et al. Glial type specific regulation of CNS
angiogenesis by HIFα-activated different signaling pathways. Nat Commun. 2020;11:2027.
44.   Egensperger R, Kosel S, von Eitzen U, Graeber MB. Microglial activation in Alzheimer
disease: Association with APOE genotype. Brain Pathol. 1998;8:439–447.
45.   Maezawa I, Zimin PI, Wulff H, Jin LW. Amyloid-beta protein oligomer at low nanomolar
concentrations activates microglia and induces microglial neurotoxicity. J Biol
Chem;286:3693–3706.
46.   Malik M, Parikh I, Vasquez JB, Smith C, Tai L, Bu G, et al. Genetics ignite focus on microglial
inflammation in Alzheimer's disease. Mol Neurodegener. 2015;10:52.
47.   Nordengen K, Kirsebom BE, Henjum K, Selnes P, Gisladottir B, Wettergreen M, et al. Glial
activation and inflammation along the Alzheimer's disease continuum. J
Neuroinflammation. 2019;16:46.
48.   Rogers J, Strohmeyer R, Kovelowski CJ, Li R. Microglia and inflammatory mechanisms in
the clearance of amyloid-beta peptide. Glia. 2002;40:260–269.
49.   Ryu JK, Cho T, Choi HB, Wang YT, McLarnon JG. Microglial VEGF receptor response is an
integral chemotactic component in Alzheimer's disease pathology. J Neurosci. 2009;29:3–
13.
50.   Xiong F, Ge W, Ma C. Quantitative proteomics reveals distinct composition of amyloid
plaques in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2019;15:429–440.

51. Lau S-F, Wu W, Wong HY, Ouyang L, Qiao Y, Xu J, et al. The VCAM1–ApoE pathway directs
microglial chemotaxis and alleviates Alzheimer's disease pathology. Nat Aging. 2023:1–18.
52. Sawano A, Iwai S, Sakurai Y, Ito M, Shitara K, Nakahata T, et al. Flt-1, vascular endothelial
growth factor receptor 1, is a novel cell surface marker for the lineage of monocyte-
macrophages in humans. Blood. 2001;97:785–791.
53. Hiratsuka S, Minowa O, Kuno J, Noda T, Shibuya M. Flt-1 lacking the tyrosine kinase
domain is sufficient for normal development and angiogenesis in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
1998;95:9349–9354.
54. Kalafatakis I, Karagogeos D. Oligodendrocytes and Microglia: Key Players in Myelin
Development, Damage and Repair. Biomolecules. 2021;11:1058.
55. Li Y, Zhang F, Nagai N, Tang Z, Zhang S, Scotney P, et al. VEGF-B inhibits apoptosis via
VEGFR-1–mediated suppression of the expression of BH3-only protein genes in mice and
rats. J Clin Invest. 2008;118:913.
56. Falk T, Yue X, Zhang S, McCourt AD, Yee BJ, Gonzalez RT, et al. Vascular endothelial growth
factor-B is neuroprotective in an in vivo rat model of Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett.
2011;496:43–47.
57. Caballero B, Sherman SJ, Falk T. Insights into the Mechanisms Involved in Protective
Effects of VEGF-B in Dopaminergic Neurons. Park Dis. 2017;2017:4263795.
58. Guaiquil VH, Pan Z, Karagianni N, Fukuoka S, Alegre G, Rosenblatt MI. VEGF-B selectively
regenerates injured peripheral neurons and restores sensory and trophic functions. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111:17272–17277.
59. Fu H, Possenti A, Freer R, Nakano Y, Hernandez Villegas NC, Tang M, et al. A tau
homeostasis signature is linked with the cellular and regional vulnerability of excitatory
neurons to tau pathology. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22:47–56.
60. Kiss E, Gorgas K, Schlicksupp A, Groß D, Kins S, Kirsch J, et al. Biphasic Alteration of the
Inhibitory Synapse Scaffold Protein Gephyrin in Early and Late Stages of an Alzheimer
Disease Model. Am J Pathol. 2016;186:2279–2291.
61. Da Mesquita S, Papadopoulos Z, Dykstra T, Brase L, Farias FG, Wall M, et al. Meningeal
lymphatics affect microglia responses and anti-Aβ immunotherapy. Nature. 2021;593:255–
260.
62. Weijts BGMW. Atypical E2fs Control Lymphangiogenesis through Transcriptional
Regulation of Ccbe1 and Flt4. PloS One. 2013;8:e73693.
509

512
513

514   **Table 1. Characteristics of Study Participants**

	Cognitive Normal Controls (N=143)	AD Patients (N=157)	Total Cohort (N=424)	P Value
Age at death (years)	87.20 ± 7.26	91.15 ± 6.56	89.19 ± 6.82	<0.001
Education (years)	16.28 ± 3.45	16.55 ± 3.22	16.31 ± 3.47	0.48
Post-mortem interval (hours)	7.80 ± 4.99	7.25 ± 4.32	7.71 ± 5.11	0.31
Global cognition slope	-0.04 ± 0.04	-0.19 ± 0.09	-0.11 ± 0.09	<0.001
β-amyloid load	3.12 ± 3.77	5.93 ± 4.46	4.46 ± 4.50	<0.001
Tau density	2.97 ± 3.36	9.36 ± 9.15	5.98 ± 7.15	<0.001
Male, no. (%)	49 (34)	42 (27)	136 (32)	0.16
APOE4 carrier, no. (%)	27 (19)	60 (38)	109 (27)	<0.001

515   Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. P values of

516   comparison between cognitive normal controls and AD patients were derived from Welch Two

517   Sample t-test for continuous variables and Pearson's Chi-squared test for categorical variables.

527 **Table 2. FDR Significant Cell-Type Specific VEGF Associations with Cognition and AD Pathology**

Gene	Outcome	Cell Type	logFC	SE	P Value	FDR
FLT1	Last cognition before death	Endothelial cells	-0.089	0.024	1.768E-04	0.009
FLT1	Last cognition before death	Microglia	-0.176	0.046	1.492E-04	0.009
FLT1	Cognition trajectory	Endothelial cells	-0.771	0.248	0.002	0.041
FLT1	Cognition trajectory	Microglia	-1.964	0.504	9.716E-05	0.009
VEGFB	Cognition trajectory	Oligodendrocytes	-0.561	0.166	7.232E-04	0.019
FLT1	Diagnosis	Endothelial cells	0.195*	0.055	4.232E-04	0.014
FLT1	Diagnosis	Microglia	0.452*	0.113	6.378E-05	0.009
FLT1	β-amyloid load	Microglia	0.053	0.011	2.700E-06	7.830E-04
VEGFB	β-amyloid load	Oligodendrocytes	0.014	0.004	1.428E-04	0.009
VEGFB	β-amyloid load	Inhibitory neurons	-0.012	0.004	6.529E-04	0.019
FLT4	β-amyloid load	Endothelial cells	0.027	0.008	3.579E-04	0.013
VEGFD	β-amyloid load	Astrocytes	0.016	0.005	0.001	0.029
NRP1	β-amyloid load	Oligodendrocyte precursor cells	0.018	0.006	0.002	0.046
NRP1	Tau density	Astrocytes	-0.014	0.004	2.229E-04	0.009

528 *logFC values of diagnosis is log2 fold change of gene expression comparing AD to cognitive

529 normal participants.

Figure 1. 10 VEGF System and Their Cell-Type Specific Effect on AD Endophenotypes
1a. 10 VEGF ligand-receptor system. **1b**. Association of VEGF and AD endophenotypes in DLPFC brain cells. Cell type abbreviations are as follows, Endo, Endothelial Cells; Micro, Microglia; OPC, Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells; Inhib, Inhibitory Neurons; Ast, Astrocytes; Oligo, Oligodendrocytes; CUX2+ Excit, CUX2+ Excitatory Neurons; CUX2-Excit, all Excitatory Neurons that don't express CUX2-. This figure was created with BioRender.com.

Figure 2. 10 VEGFs-Associated Signaling Pathway Profile in AD vs Cognitively Normal Groups

2a. Overall incoming and outgoing VEGF signaling strength comparison. **2b**. Circle plot of overall VEGF information flow. **2c**. heatmap of overall VEGF information flow. Cell type abbreviations are as follows, Endo, Endothelial Cells; Micro, Microglia; OPC, Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells; Inhib, Inhibitory Neurons; Ast, Astrocytes; Oligo, Oligodendrocytes; CUX2+ Excit, CUX2+ Excitatory Neurons; CUX2- Excit, all Excitatory Neurons that don't express CUX2-.