RESOURCES

Cytoadhesion of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected red blood cells changes the expression of cytokine-, histone- and antiviral protein-encoding genes in brain endothelial cells

Running Title: *Plasmodium falciparum*-endothelial cell interaction

Johannes Allweier[1,2†], Michael Bartels[1†], Hanifeh Torabi[1], Maria del Pilar Martinez Tauler[1], Nahla Galal Metwally[1], Thomas Roeder[3, 4], Thomas Gutsmann[2], Iris Bruchhaus[1,5*]

[1]Research group Host-Parasite Interaction, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Hamburg Germany

[2]Division of Biophysics, Research Center Borstel, Leibniz-Center for Medicine and Biosciences, Borstel, Germany

[3]Kiel University, Department Molecular Physiology, Zoology, Kiel, Germany

[4]DZL, German Center for Lung Research, ARCN, Airway Research Center North, Kiel, Germany

[5]Department of Biology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

* Correspondence: Iris Bruchhaus (bruchhaus@bnitm.de)

ABSTRACT

Malaria remains a significant global health problem, mainly due to *Plasmodium falciparum*,

which is responsible for most fatal infections. Infected red blood cells (iRBCs) evade spleen

clearance by adhering to endothelial cells (ECs), triggering capillary blockage, inflammation,

endothelial dysfunction and altered vascular permeability, prompting an endothelial

transcriptional response. The iRBC^{IT4var04}/HBEC-5i model, where iRBCs present IT4var04

(VAR2CSA) on their surface, was used to analyse the effects of iRBC binding on ECs at

different temperature (37°C vs. 40°C). Binding of non-infected RBCs (niRBCs) and fever alone

altered the expression of hundreds of genes in ECs. Comparing the expression profile of HBEC-

5i cells cultured either in the presence of iRBCs or in the presence of niRBCs revealed

significant upregulation of genes linked to immune response, nucleosome assembly, NF-kappa

B signaling, angiogenesis, and antiviral immune response/interferon-alpha/beta signaling.

Raising the temperature to 40°C, simulating fever, led to further upregulation of many genes,

particularly those involved in cytokine production and angiogenesis. In summary, the presence

of iRBCs stimulates ECs, activating several immunological pathways and affecting antiviral (-

parasitic) mechanisms and angiogenesis. Our data uncovered the induction of the interferon-

alpha/beta signaling pathway in ECs in response to iRBCs.

Keywords: *Plasmodium falciparum*, malaria, endothelial cells, cytoadhesion, fever,

transcriptome.

1 │ Introduction

Despite recent success stories in vaccine development and roll-outs, malaria remains one of the world's most dangerous infectious diseases. In 2022, there were approximately 249 million cases of malaria, including 608,000 deaths, with most fatal malaria infections caused by *Plasmodium falciparum* (WHO, 2023). A vital aspect of the pathogenesis of *P. falciparum* infection is the cytoadhesion of infected red blood cells (iRBCs) to the vascular bed of critical organs such as the brain, heart, lungs, stomach, skin, and kidneys (D. Milner, Jr. et al., 2013; D. A. Milner, Jr. et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2004). In addition to capillary blockage, iRBC cytoadhesion induces inflammatory cytokine production, endothelial dysfunction, and vascular permeability in the affected tissue (Lyke et al., 2004). As a result of the immune responses triggered by parasite growth and endothelial cytoadhesion, patients may develop fever, headache, myalgia, and muscle stiffness (Cunnington et al., 2013; Hasday et al., 2001; Oakley et al., 2011; Oakley et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2017). Depending on the age and immune status of the patient, severe to life-threatening complications may develop, including cerebral malaria, organ failure, acidosis, and severe anemia (Cunnington et al., 2013; Gazzinelli et al., 2014; Phillips et al., 2017).

Cytoadhesion is primarily mediated by members of the *P. falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (*Pf*EMP1) family, encoded by approximately 45-90 *var* genes per parasite genome (Otto et al., 2019). The expression of *var* genes is mutually exclusive in ring-stage parasites, so only one *Pf*EMP1 variant is present on the surface of trophozoite- and schizont-stage iRBCs at any one time. The *var* genes encoding *Pf*EMP1 are highly variable from parasite to parasite, resulting in a vast repertoire of *var* genes in nature (Deitsch & Dzikowski, 2017; Kraemer & Smith, 2006; Kyes et al., 2007; Pasternak & Dzikowski, 2009; Voss et al., 2006). *Pf*EMP1s are concentrated in electron-dense protrusions of the erythrocyte plasma membrane called knobs (Sanchez et al., 2019). Knobs are composed of several submembrane structural proteins, including the major protein of this structure, the knob-associated histidine-rich protein (KAHRP)(Alampalli et al., 2018; Gruenberg et al., 1983; Jager et al., 2022; Maier et al., 2009; Sanchez et al., 2022; Tilly et al., 2015) and play an essential role in binding iRBCs to the endothelium (Crabb et al., 1997; Cutts et al., 2017; Dorpinghaus et al., 2020; Horrocks et al., 2005; Lubiana et al., 2020). If iRBCs do not form knobs, e.g. due to loss of genes encoding knob structural proteins, adhesion under flow conditions is significantly impaired. Especially at febrile temperatures, they play a crucial role by stabilizing the binding interaction. Under

these conditions, knob-negative iRBCs can no longer bind to various endothelial cell receptors

(ECRs) (Lubiana et al., 2020).

Cytoadhesion of iRBCs also changes gene expression in endothelial cells (ECs) (Tripathi et al.,

2009; Tripathi et al., 2006). Stimulation of primary human brain microvascular endothelial cells

(HBMECs) through cytoadhesion of iRBCs resulted in increased ICAM-1 expression on the

surface of HBMECs, resulting in increased cytoadhesion. This observation was specific to

HBMECs, in contrast to human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), where no

significant changes in gene expression were observed (Tripathi et al., 2006). In another study,

the influence of cytoadhesion on HBMEC gene expression was investigated by microarray

analysis (Tripathi et al., 2009). The effect of binding of iRBCs with enhanced binding on

HBMECs induced by panning was compared with the effects of binding of unpanned iRBCs.

For both populations, a 4-fold increase of ICAM-1 presented on the HBMEC surface was

detected after 6 h of co-incubation. Interestingly, there were no significant differences in gene

expression between the two parasite populations. Using GO term analysis, the proteins encoded

by the regulated genes were shown to be involved in inflammatory response, apoptosis, anti-

apoptosis, cell-cell signaling and transduction, and NF-κB activation cascade (Tripathi et al.,

2009). Recently, the transcriptome profile of HBMECs co-cultured for different time points

with iRBCs presenting IT4_var14 (strong binding to ICAM-1) or IT4_var37 (strong binding to

CD36) on their surface was analysed. Genes coding for proteins involved in many of the

pathways described above, such as inflammation, cell-cell interaction, and apoptosis, were

identified as differentially expressed. However, significant differences were also found between

iRBC[IT4var14] and iRBC[IT4var37]. Among others, atonal bHLH transcription factor 8 (*ATOH8*),

CXCL8, CXCL10, VCAM-1, radical s-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2 (*RSAD2*), and

IL6 were found to be up-regulated in the presence of iRBC[IT4var14] after 6 h of co-cultivation. In

contrast, whereas the expression of these genes was down-regulated in iRBC[IT4var37] (Othman et

al., 2023).

Chakravorty and colleagues also investigated the influence of cytoadhesion on HUVEC gene

expression (Chakravorty et al., 2007). They confirmed the observation of Tripathi and

colleagues that cytoadhesion of iRBCs alone is insufficient to stimulate HUVEC cells (Tripathi

et al., 2006). However, when co-incubated in the presence of 5 pg ml^{-1} of TNF, there is an

increase in the presentation of ICAM-1 on the cell membrane. Using microarray analysis, they

showed that genes encoding proteins involved in cell communication, cell adhesion, signal

transduction, and immune response were regulated. Thus, HUVEC can mobilize immune and

adhesion reactions, but only when co-incubated with low concentrations of TNF in addition to iRBCs cytoadhesion (Chakravorty et al., 2007).

In previous studies, an immortalized human cerebral microvascular endothelial cell line (HBEC-5i) was used to characterize the cytoadhesion of iRBCs (Dorpinghaus et al., 2020; Lubiana et al., 2020). HBEC-5i cells are derived from the microvasculature of the human cerebral cortex and, therefore, have essential characteristics of cerebral ECs. HBEC-5i cells present Willebrand factor (vWF), VE-cadherin, occludin, VCAM-1, ICAM-1, CD54, CD40, and chondroitin-sulfate A (CSA) on the cell surface. However, the binding of iRBCs of the *P. falciparum* isolate IT4 to HBEC-5i cells was shown to occur mainly via CSA. Parasites enriched for binding to HBEC-5i cells present IT4var04 (VAR2CSA) on the surface of iRBCs (iRBCs[IT4var04]), of which CSA is known to be the ligand. Thus, CSA seems to be dominant on HBEC-5i cells, and the binding of iRBCs to other receptors, such as ICAM-1, is shielded (Dorpinghaus et al., 2020). This model (iRBC[IT4var04]/HBEC-5i) showed that binding to CSA occurs only at a low shear stress of 0.9 dyne/cm^2 with a static binding characteristic. Additionally, it could be shown that binding at febrile temperatures can only occur when the iRBCs have formed knobs (Lubiana et al., 2020).

In the present study, the iRBC[IT4var04]/HBEC-5i model was used to investigate whether stimulation of ECs by binding of iRBCs to CSA is possible and, if so, whether and how this stimulation differs from that of HBMEC and HUVEC and what happens in the presence of fever. The aim was to determine whether cytoadhesion, in general, elicits similar responses in ECs, independent of the receptor. Moreover, we also studied the effects of febrile temperatures due to the co-incubation with iRBCs.

2 | Results

2.1 | Effects of niRBCs, iRBCs, and fever on the expression of HBEC-5i cells

By performing a complete transcriptome analysis of the ECs, we examined the impact of niRBCs, iRBCs, and fever on the expression profile of HEBEC-5i cells. For these studies, as mentioned above, the *P. falciparum* isolate IT4 was used, which were enriched on HBEC-5i cells over several rounds, with adhesion taking place via CSA, thus presenting IT4var04 (VAR2CSA) on the surface of the iRBCs (Dorpinghaus et al., 2020). A Venn diagram shows the differential expression (true vs. false) of the three parameters niRBC, iRBC, and febrile

(Figure 1). Each circle represents the differential expression (true vs. false) for the three parameters. Intersections represent genes that are differentially expressed in two or three analyses. Genes exhibiting a differential expression of a fold change of ≥ 2.0, a false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤ 0.05, and a minimum transcript per million (TPM) threshold of ≥ 2.0 were included in this analysis.

Our study has provided clear results, revealing distinct regulatory effects: 60 genes were exclusively modulated by the presence of niRBCs, while a mere increase intemperature from 37°C to 40°C led to altered expression patterns in 98 genes.. Similarly, the presence of iRBCs alone influenced the expression of 318 genes. Notably, 285 genes exhibited regulation in response to either niRBCs or iRBCs, with 80 genes showing such regulation specifically in the presence of iRBCs$^{37°C}$ and iRBCs$^{40°C}$. Additionally, ten genes were differentially expressed either by the febrile stimulus or presences of niRBCs. Seventy-nine genes were found that overlap in all three comparisons (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1).

Of the 59 genes significantly differentially expressed by niRBCs, 38 are up-regulated and 21 are down-regulated. With minor exceptions, most of these genes demonstrate a 2- to 3-fold regulation. Notably, the most highly up-regulated gene, BOLA2, shows a striking 79-fold increase in response to the presence of niRBCs (from TPM 2.5 to 198.3) (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1).

Among the 98 genes significantly differentially expressed by the temperature elevation from 37°C to 40°C, 50 show up-regulation, while 48 undergo down-regulation. Most of these genes demonstrate a 2- to 3-fold regulation. Notably, the increase in temperature triggers the up-regulation of 10 genes associated with the Gene Ontology Biological Process (GO-BP) term "protein folding" (FDR 4.82e-16) (Figure 1, Figure 2A, Supplementary Table 1). This observation highlights the biological response to the environmental change of temperature. Analysing the 318 genes significantly differentially expressed by the presence of iRBCs, 121 are up-regulated, while 197 are down-regulated (Supplementary Table 1). Approximately 90% of these genes undergo a 2- to 3-fold regulation, while the remaining 10% (22 genes) exhibit a 3- to 6-fold regulation. String analysis reveals the up-regulation of GO-BP terms such as "defense response to virus" (FDR 7.75e-09), "nucleosome assembly" (FDR 0.00013), "NF-κB complex" (FDR 0.0018), and "ribosome biogenesis" (FDR 0.00086) (Figure 1, Figure 2B, Supplementary Table 1).

Within the intersection of genes derived from the analyses of niRBC true vs. false and iRBCs true vs. false, a total of 285 genes exhibit regulation. Noteworthy among these are 13 genes associated with "cellular response to cytokine stimulus" (FDR 4.82e-10), 9 genes linked to

"nucleosome assembly" (FDR 2.56e-15), 11 genes involved in "I-κB kinase/NF-κB signaling" (FDR 4.32e-06), 5 genes contributing to "negative regulation of I-κB kinase/NF-κB signaling" (FDR 0.0017), and 3 genes associated with "defense response to virus" (FDR 3.38e-05) GO-BP terms. Remarkably, all these pathways demonstrate up-regulation (Figure 1, Figure 2C, Supplementary Table 1).

Within the intersection encompassing genes regulated in both the iRBC true vs. false and febrile true vs. false analyses, a cohort of 80 genes is observed. Among this group, three genes are associated with the "vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway" GO-BP term (FDR 0.00025). In comparison, four genes are linked to the "response to unfolded protein" term (FDR 2.50e-05), all demonstrating up-regulation (Figure 1, Figure 2D, Supplementary Table 1).

In the intersection of all three comparative analyses (niRBC true vs. false; febrile true vs. false; iRBC true vs. false), a set of 80 regulated genes is identified. Notably, the pathways significantly up-regulated include the "chemokine-mediated signaling pathway" (FDR 2.26e-12), comprising 13 genes, and the "protein folding" GO-BP term (FDR 3.29e-10), comprising seven genes (Figure 1, Figure 2E, Supplementary Table 1).

In the analysis "iRBCs true vs. false", which comprises 762 genes, a comprehensive string analysis reveals 7 distinct clusters. These clusters house 6 to 24 of the regulated genes and correspond to the GO-BP terms "response to cytokine" (FDR 2.01e-23; 24 genes), "response to unfolded protein" (FDR 1.67e-30; 17 genes), "positive regulation of RNA metabolic process" (FDR 7.04e-10; 15 genes), "nucleosome organization" (FDR 3.83e-11; 9 genes), "defense response to virus" (FDR 7.04e-16; 12 genes), and "I-κB kinase/NF-κB signaling" (FDR 3.24e-08; 6 genes). Remarkably, all these clusters exhibit up-regulation except for the sole down-regulated cluster pertaining to "nucleosome assembly" (FDR 3.64e-08; 7 genes). These detailed findings provide a comprehensive understanding of the gene regulations in the 'iRBCs true vs. false' analysis (Figure 1, Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 1).

Using ShinyGO analysis, the proteins encoded by the identified genes were categorized according to their biological functions. Among the ten most significantly regulated functions are the TNF, IL-17, and NF-κB signaling pathways and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, as well as the response to viral (herpes simplex virus 1 infection) and bacterial (legionellosis) infections (Figure 3B).

2.2 │ Specific response of HBEC-5i cells to cytoadhesion of iRBCs$^{37°C}$ and iRBCs$^{40°C}$

It is important to emphasize that in the above comparisons, although the expression of genes within different intersections is altered compared to the respective controls, the magnitude of

these changes in expression levels is not considered in the analyses. Hence, a more detailed
investigation is warranted to delineate the specific impact of iRBC cytoadhesion on HBEC-5i
cells and the role of the cultivation temperature (37°C vs. 40°C). In contrast to the previous
analysis, this subsequent investigation only includes the mean values of normalized reads from
three biologically independent transcriptomes. However, the focus remains exclusively on
genes identified as significantly regulated within the analysis above (Supplementary Table 1).
In the first step, we identified those genes whose expression was up- or down-regulated (fold
change $\geq$ 2.0, FDR $\leq$ 0.05, TPM threshold $\geq$ 2.0) in HBEC-5i cells in response to iRBCs
compared to the presence of niRBCs at 37°C (iRBCs$^{37°C}$ vs. niRBCs$^{37°C}$). Cytoadhesion of
iRBCs$^{37°C}$ up-regulates the expression of 162 genes and down-regulates the expression of 219
genes compared to the presence of niRBCs$^{37°C}$ (Supplementary Table 1).
To get an idea of which metabolic pathways the proteins encoded by the 162 up-regulated genes
are involved, a g:Profiler analysis was performed. The GO Molecular Function (GO-MF) terms
"structural component of chromatin" and "chemokine activity" had the highest significance. For
the GO-BP terms, these were "cellular response to organic substance" and "response to
cytokine". The KEGG pathway analysis showed the highest significance for "TNF signaling
pathway", and in the Reactome analysis these were "interleukin-10 signaling" and "cytokine
signaling immune system" (Figure 4A). The ShinyGO analysis shows similar results. Here, as
described in the previous paragraph, different signaling pathways of the immune system and
the response to viral and bacterial infections were mainly regulated ("TNF, IL-17 and NF-κB
signaling pathways", "measles", "legionellosis") (Figure 4B). The use of a string analysis
yielded very similar results. Here, among the 162 up-regulated genes, the KEGG pathways
exhibiting the most stringent regulation include the "TNF signaling pathway" (FDR 6.70e-09),
"viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor" (FDR 1.03e-07), "IL-17
signaling pathway" (FDR 4.42e-07), "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" (FDR 7.64e-07),
and "NF-κB signaling pathway" (FDR 8.31e-07). In total, eight genes are up-regulated more
than 10-fold, while another 16 genes are up-regulated more than 5-fold. Notable among these
are the genes encoding the chemokines CCL31, CCL3, CCL20, and IL-6 (Supplementary Table
1).
Furthermore, the up-regulated genes cluster into the following GO-BP terms: "response to
cytokine" (FDR 5.50e-18, 19 genes), "nucleosome assembly" (FDR 1.99e-18, 12 genes),
"defense response to virus" (FDR 6.28e-13, 10 genes), "cellular response to hormone stimulus"
(FDR 0.00030, 5 genes). (Figure 5A, Supplementary Table 1). The 219 genes whose expression
is down-regulated code for proteins that fall under the GO-MF term "DNA-binding

transcription factor activity" (FDR 2.008×10^{-20}) and the GO-BP term "regulation of nucleobase-
containing compound metabolic process" (FDR 3.664×10^{-18}) according to the g:Profiler
analysis (Supplementary Table 1).

The next question was to investigate the effect of increasing the temperature from 37°C to 40°C
(iRBCs$^{40°C}$), simulating fever as an additional factor besides cytoadhesion of iRBCs$^{37°C}$.
Therefore, the expression profile of HBEC-5i cells following cytoadhesion iRBCs$^{40°C}$ was
compared to HBEC-5i cells cultured in the presence of niRBCs$^{37°C}$. This analysis revealed 381
genes up-regulated and 213 genes down-regulated in expression (fold change $\geq$ 2.0, FDR $\leq$
0.05, TPM threshold $\geq$ 2.0) (Supplementary Table 1). G:Profiler and ShinyGO analyses group
of the 381 genes up-regulated at 40°C were also carried out here. By and large, the same
pathways were identified. However, the significance was more than doubled for many of the
pathways, e.g., for the TNF signaling pathway (Figures 4C, 4D). A string analysis of this
comparison also shows excellent agreement with the abovementioned analyses. The same
KEGG pathways are regulated at 40°C that were already addressed at 37°C but with one
exception with higher significance ("TNF signaling pathway" (FDR 1. 54e-17), "IL-17
signaling pathway" (FDR 2.06e-12), "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" (FDR 8.20e-11)
and "NF-κB signaling pathway" (FDR 8.00e-11), "viral protein interaction with cytokine and
cytokine receptor" (FDR 6.70e-07)). GO-BP terms identified as significant in the iRBC$^{37°C}$ with
niRBCs$^{37°C}$ comparison, such as "defence reaction to viruses" and "cellular reaction to hormone
stimuli", are no longer found in the iRBC$^{40°C}$ with niRBCs$^{37°C}$ comparison. Instead of twelve
genes, the GO term "nucleosome assembly" (FDR 8.01e-12) still shows nine genes as
significantly up-regulated in their expression. The significance for the GO-BP term "response
to unfolded protein" increases from FDR 1.09e-09 (6 genes) to FDR 1.67e-30 (17 genes). This
is also true for the GO-BP term "cytokine response" (FDR 3.62e-24), to which 23 genes can be
assigned. Furthermore, the GO-BP term "I-κB kinase/NF-κB signaling" (FDR 2.36e-06, 5
genes) was identified as regulated (Figure 4B, Supplementary Table 1). In addition, significant
up-regulation of gene expression was detected, with a notable subset showing $\geq$10-fold increase
(32 genes including *CCL26, CCL20, CCL3L1, CXCL3, CXCL8,* and *Il6*) and a further 55 genes
showing 5- to <10-fold increase when comparing niRBC$^{37°C}$ vs. iRBC$^{40°C}$ (Supplementary
Table 1).

The 213 genes whose expression is down-regulated encode for proteins that fall under the GO-
MF term "DNA-binding transcription factor activity" (FDR 1.805×10^{-12}) and the GO-BP term
"regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II" (FDR 4.185×10^{-9}) according to the
g:Profiler analysis (Supplementary Table 1).

Our investigation proceeded to scrutinize the genes with regulated expression in the presence of iRBCs at 40°C, contrasting them with those at 37°C (fold change ≥ 2.0, FDR ≤ 0.05, TPM threshold ≥ 2.0). We identified 205 genes (18 genes fold change >10-, 25 genes fold change 5-10) where cytoadhesion at 40°C results in a further increase in expression compared to cytoadhesion at 37°C. We observed down-regulation in 38 genes (Supplementary Table 1). Notably, some genes exhibited a continuous increase in expression, starting from cultivation in the presence of niRBCs$^{37°C}$ to iRBCs$^{37°C}$ to iRBCs$^{40°C}$. For instance, this trend is evident in the case of *CXCL8*, where the expression rises from 11.7 TPM (no niRBCs) to 82 TPM (niRBCs$^{37°C}$), further to 392.6 TPM (iRBCs$^{37°C}$), and peaks at 1932 TPM (iRBCs$^{40°C}$) (Figure 6, Supplementary Table 1). For other genes, such as HSPA1A, the expression with and without niRBCs is comparable, and an increase in expression is seen in the presence of iRBCs at 37°C and increases further at 40°C (TPM: 177 (without niRBCs); 160 (niRBCs); 537 (iRBCs$^{37°C}$), 23001 (iRBCs$^{40°C}$) (Supplementary Table 1).

The g:Profiler and ShinyGO analyses of the 205 genes whose expression was up-regulated in the presence of iRBCs$^{40°C}$ compared to iRBCs$^{37°C}$, which were also carried out here, demonstrate that immunological signaling pathways are once again positively impacted by the combination of iRBCs and elevated temperature (Figure 4F, G). However, the analysis of the 38 down-regulated genes reveals no significantly regulated pathways.

The genes, whose expression is further increased in a temperature-dependent manner, are associated with the KEGG pathways "TNF signaling pathway" (FDR 2.96e-12), "IL-17 signaling pathway" (FDR 2.76e-11), "NF-κB signaling pathway (FDR 1.09e-09) and "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" (FDR 2.98e-09). Notably, for genes related to the GO-BP terms "response to cytokine" (17 genes, FDR 5.96e-19) and "protein folding" (13 genes, FDR 6.55e-23), an increase in expression is observed specifically with a temperature increase from 37°C to 40°C (Figure 5C, Supplementary Table 1).

2.3 | Influence of iRBCs on the expression of genes encoding proteins involved in the immune response, nucleosome assembly, angiogenesis, and interferon-alpha/beta signaling pathway

The comparative analyses described above show that cytoadhesion of iRBCs leads to increased expression of genes whose encoded proteins are involved in the host's immune response. For some of these genes, the higher temperature leads to a further increase in expression, although temperature alone has little or no effect on the expression level of these genes. The encoded cytokines can be divided into four groups. 1) Genes that show an increase in expression when

co-cultured with iRBCs[37°C], but maintain a similar level of expression when the temperature is raised to 40°C (*CXCL10, CXCL11, CCL5, CCL20, IL12A*); 2) Genes whose expression is up-regulated at 37°C and whose expression is further up-regulated when the temperature is raised to 40°C (*CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL6, CXCL8, CCL3, CCL3L1, CCL26, IL1a, IL6*); 3) Genes whose expression increases only during co-cultivation at 40°C (*CXCL1, CXCL5, IL1b, IL32*); 4) Genes that show similar levels of expression under all conditions (*CXCL12, CXCL14, CXCL16, CCL2*) (Figure 6A, Supplementary Table 1).

Six genes whose encoded proteins can assume functions in angiogenesis are also differentially expressed. These include three genes (*MMP1*, *MMP2*, *MMP3*) encoding metalloproteinases as well as the genes (*NR4A1, NR4A2, NR4A3*) encoding the three members of the NR4A nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. For MMPs, the increased expression was only observed at elevated temperature in the presence of iRBCs. In contrast for NR4A1, NR4A2, and NR4A3, the expression increased in the presence of iRBCs and a further increase was observed after raising the temperature from 37°C to 40°C (Figure 6B, Supplementary Table 1). This suggests that the presence of iRBCs and the associated temperature increase could potentially stimulate angiogenesis, a process that is crucial for wound healing and tissue repair.

In addition, twelve genes coding for histones or histone linker proteins were significantly up-regulated in the presence of iRBCs. However, raising the temperature to 40°C did not lead to a further increase in expression; on the contrary, the expression level for four genes significantly decreased in the presence of niRBCs (Figure 6C, Supplementary Table 1).

Interestingly, an additional 14 genes were identified coding for proteins of the antiviral immune response or the interferon-alpha/beta signaling pathway (Reactome: FDR 2.22E-15) (Figures 6D, 6E, Supplementary Table 1). The presence of iRBCs alone leads to a significant up-regulation in expression. With the exception of *IL6*, there is no further increase in expression at elevated temperatures. On the contrary, for four genes (*IFI6, ISG15, MX1, MX2*) the expression level falls back to the baseline level of niRBCs (Figures 6D, 6E, Supplementary Table 1).

2.4 │ The NF-κB signaling pathway and its target genes are involved in the activation of HBEC-5i cells via cytoadhesion of iRBCs

Tripathi and colleagues showed that the pro-inflammatory NF-κB pathway is central to the regulation of the expression of HBMECs modulated by iRBC cytoadhesion (Othman et al., 2023; Tripathi et al., 2009). We therefore compared our list of up-regulated genes with the genes encoding the NF-κB subunits, the inhibitors of NF-κB, the NF-κB activation cascade and

the NFκB targets. Analysis of the transcriptomes revealed an up-regulation of four out of five genes encoding NF-κB subunits (*RELB*, *REL*, *NFKB1* and *NFKB2*), only one gene encoding the NF-κB subunit *RELA* was not regulated (Table 1). Furthermore, three genes encoding NF-κB inhibitor proteins (*NFKBIA*, *NFKBIE*, *NFKBIZ*) responsible for NF-κB retention in the cytoplasm were identified (Table 1). In addition, several genes encoding members of the NF-κB activation cascade and genes encoding NF-κB target genes were up-regulated in response to iRBC cytoadhesion (Table 1). Of the 66 genes identified as up-regulated and related to the NF-κB pathway, 32 genes are expressed ≥2-fold and 12 genes are expressed ≥ 1.7-fold at 37°C compared to the control (niRBCs$^{37°C}$). The combination of elevated temperature leads to an increase in expression of 62 genes that are expressed ≥2-fold and three genes that are expressed ≥1.7-fold. As shown above, elevated temperature alone does not increase the expression of genes involved in proteins of the NF-κB pathway or its targets (Supplementary Table 1).

3 | Discussion

P. falciparum malaria triggers a potent host immune response that plays a pivotal role in the disease's pathogenesis through heightened systemic and local inflammation. Our comprehensive analysis, in line with previous studies, reveals that the cytoadhesion of iRBCs exerts a profound influence on the gene expression of the ECs. Notably, binding to HBEMCs primarily impacts the signaling pathways involved in the inflammatory response, apoptosis, cell-cell signaling, signal transduction, and the NF-κB activation cascade (Othman et al., 2023; Tripathi et al., 2009). However, our research goes beyond this, suggesting that cytoadhesion is not only influenced by the expression but also by the specific *Pf*EMP1 presented on the surface of the iRBCs (Othman et al., 2023). Similar effects have also been demonstrated for HUVECs. However, some studies show that the stimulation of HUVECs by cytoadhesion of iRBCs is only possible in the presence of low levels of TNF (Chakravorty et al., 2007; Tripathi et al., 2006; Viebig et al., 2005).

In our comprehensive study, we meticulously analysed the influence of iRBC cytoadhesion on HBEC-5i cells. To ensure the accuracy of our results, we used an iRBC population enriched for binding to HBEC-5i cells. This led to the creation of a homogeneous cell population presenting IT4var04 (VAR2CSA) on their surface, as CSA is the dominant antigen on HBEC-5i cells (Dorpinghaus et al., 2020). This iRBC^{IT4var04}/HBEC-5i model was employed to investigate

whether stimulation of HBEC-5i cells by binding of iRBCs to CSA is possible and how

cytoadhesion affects the expression profile also at elevated temperatures, simulating fever.

First, the influence of the presence of niRBCs (niRBC true vs. false), iRBCs (iRBC true vs.

false), and fever (febrile true vs. false) on the expression profile of HBEC-5i cells was analysed.

For these true vs. false analyses, only one stimulus is considered. For example, in the case of

iRBC true vs. false, all HBEC-5i transcriptomes in which iRBCs were co-incubated with

HBEC-5i cells were analysed, regardless of the temperature used, and in the case of febrile true

vs. false, all transcriptomes in which HBEC-5i cells were cultured at 40°C were analysed,

regardless of the presence or absence of niRBCs or iRBCs. In this way, all three comparisons

were analysed in a similar way. The analysis showed that the presence of niRBCs alone strongly

influences on the expression profile of HBEC-5i cells. Fifty-nine genes are differentially

expressed only in the presence of niRBCs. Since the presence of niRBCs is an organic

component of EC homeostasis, the overlap of the two DEG analyses between niRBC (true vs.

false) and iRBC (true vs. false) allows the isolation of genes that are affected by the presence

of the parasite compared to those of niRBCs. However, there is a considerable overlap of 364

genes that are also differentially expressed in the presence of iRBCs. It is important to note

that this analysis needs to provide more information about the magnitude of expression level

changes. Particularly interesting are, for example, those genes showing up-regulated expression

in the presence of niRBCs and further up-regulation in the presence of iRBCs. Some examples

of genes showing this behavior are *JUNB* which encodes a subunit of the AP-1 transcription

factor (TPM: 33_ no RBCs; 72_niRBCs; 227_iRBCs), *IL6* (TPM: 3_no RBCs; 13_niRBcs;

70_iRBCs), and *CXCL8* (TPM: 11_no RBCs; 82_niRBcs; 392_iRBCs). As expected,

increasing the temperature from 37°C to 40°C also significantly affects on the expression

profile of HBEC-5i cells, mainly affecting genes encoding heat shock proteins involved in

protein folding and stress response.

BOLA2 shows a significant increase from TPM 2.5 to 198 (almost 80-fold). This substantial

up-regulation of *BOLA2*, despite its relatively unknown function, suggests a potentially crucial

role in gene expression and cell signaling pathways. It has been suggested that *BOLA2* could

interact with glutaredoxin-3 and act as a redox-regulated regulator of Fe-S cluster biogenesis

or as a transcriptional regulator (Banci et al., 2015).

The iRBC true vs. false analysis shows that 762 genes are differentially regulated. In particular,

many genes involved in immunological signaling pathways, such as the TNF signaling

pathway, the IL-17 signaling pathway, the NF-κB signaling pathway, and the cytokine-cytokine

receptor signaling pathway, are up-regulated. Interestingly, genes coding for proteins involved

in the defense response to viruses and in nucleosome organization or assembly are expressed at

higher levels.

A direct comparison of the influence of iRBCs compared to niRBCs at 37°C and 40°C shows

that the positively regulated pathways are, by and large, the same as found in the iRBC true vs.

false analysis.

Some of these signaling pathways have already been identified as regulated in the study by

Tripathi and colleagues (Tripathi et al., 2009). In addition to the most strongly affected

signaling pathways "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" and "cell surface receptor-linked

signal transduction", many genes of the NFκB activation cascade were also found to be

positively regulated (Tripathi et al., 2009). On the other hand, some of the signaling pathways

identified as regulated in the present study were not identified as regulated by Tripathi *et al.*,

including nucleosome assembly and the defense response to viruses (Tripathi et al., 2009).

It is known that the complex immune response elicited by *P. falciparum* infection involves the

release of numerous cytokines, of which more than 30 have been detected at elevated levels in

the serum or plasma of malaria patients compared to healthy individuals. These cytokines

include CXCL2, CXCL4, CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5,

CCL20, CCL28, IL-1b, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, IL-15, IL-17A, IL-31, IL-33, IL1-RA,

TNF-a, interferon-gamma (INFγ), VEGF, and G-CSF (Armah et al., 2007; Ayimba et al., 2011;

Bujarbaruah et al., 2017; Che et al., 2015; Colborn et al., 2015; Herbert et al., 2015; Jain et al.,

2008; Lyke et al., 2004; Prakash et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2011). Specific cytokines (such as

CXCL4, CXCL8, CXCL10, CCL2, CCL3, and CCL4) show a direct correlation with the

severity of malaria infection (Armah et al., 2007; Ayimba et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2011) and

it has been shown that patients with cerebral malaria have significantly increased levels of

CCL2, CCL4, CXCL4, CXCL8, CXCL10, IL-1RA, IL-6, TNF and G-CSF (Jain et al., 2008;

John, Panoskaltsis-Mortari, et al., 2008; John, Park, et al., 2008; Wilson et al., 2011). Our study

and previous studies, show that cytoadhesion of iRBCs increases the expression of many genes

encoding cytokines (for review (Dunst et al., 2017)) even at 37°C. For nine of these 14 genes,

in addition to cytoadhesion, raising the temperature from 37°C to 40°C (simulation of fever)

leads to a further increase in expression of ≥ 2-fold. The latter group includes genes encoding

the inflammatory chemokines CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL6, and CXCL8, which attract

neutrophils, CCL26, which attracts eosinophil granulocytes, and CCL3 and CCL3L1, which

attract macrophages and other immune cells. For genes encoding the chemokines CXCL10,

CXCL11, CCL5, and CCL20, there is a significant increase in expression due to cytoadhesion,

but this is not further increased by the rise in temperature. These chemokines attract various immune cells, including T-cells, monocytes, and dendritic cells (for review (Hughes & Nibbs, 2018). *CXCL1* and *CXCL5* are the only chemokines encoding genes whose expression increases by the temperature shift to 40°C in the presence of iRBCs. These chemokines play a role in the attraction of neutrophil granulocytes. Recently, stimulation of ECs by plasma from malaria patients was shown to significantly increase the expression of *CXCL1* and *CXCL5* compared to healthy controls (Raacke et al., 2021). Interestingly, the increase in expression observed here for *CXCL6* and *CCL26* has not yet been described to our knowledge. *CXCL6* (granulocyte chemotactic protein-2 (GCP-2)) is one of the genes whose expression increases in the presence of iRBCs and is further increased when the temperature is raised to 40°C. As described above, CXCL6 attracts neutrophils and is a ligand of the chemokine receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2 (Fan et al., 2007). Since neutrophils are important for developing cerebral malaria, the expression of the gene was analysed in the malaria mouse model *Plasmodium berghei* ANKA (Chen et al., 2000). Still, infection of both C57BL/6 and Balb/c mice did not lead to an increase in expression in the brains of mice compared to non-infected mice. However, this was consistent with the observation that no neutrophils accumulated in the brains of mice with cerebral malaria (Van den Steen et al., 2008). To our knowledge, there is only one study that only one study has compared the plasma concentration of CCL26 in malaria patients with that of non-infected controls. It showed that the plasma concentrations of CCL26 in the malaria-exposed group were significantly lower than in the unexposed group. This observation correlates very well with our results. The TMP increases only slightly from 1 to 3 in the presence of iRBCs, only by increasing the temperature it does increase to TPM 26 (Mancebo-Perez et al., 2022). It can, therefore, be postulated that CCL26 is only important during the fever attacks of malaria.

The presence of iRBCs also up-regulates the expression of genes encoding various interleukins. These include the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12A, and IL-32, whereby for *IL-1a and IL6,* a more than 2-fold increase in expression is seen from co-incubation of niRBCs, to iRBC37°C to iRBC40°C. In contrast, for *IL1β* and *IL32,* an increase is only observed after co-incubation with iRBCs[40°C]. A recent meta-analysis showed that serum IL-1β levels are higher in patients with severe malaria than in patients with uncomplicated malaria. However, the level in patients with uncomplicated malaria was very similar to that of healthy controls (Mahittikorn et al., 2022). This observation fits very well with the results presented here. Only when the temperature increases from 37°C to 40°C does the expression of *IL1β* increase by about 2.5 times. *IL-32* shows the same expression profile as *IL-1β*, i.e. an increase in expression only occurs in the presence of iRBCs[40°C]. IL-32 is expressed in different isoforms

in immune and non-immune cells, including ECs, and its functions include the induction of the production of several pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and CXCL8). The function of IL-32 in *P. falciparum* infection is not yet known. However, IL-32 has been described as a master regulator of intracellular bacterial, viral, and eukaryotic infections (for review (Dos Santos et al., 2018). In this context, it has been shown that in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) infection, endogenous IL-32 induces the production of TNFα, IL-1β, and CXCL8, as well as the production of antimicrobial peptides and apoptosis, so that it can be assumed that IL-32 is associated with protection against Mtb infections (Bai et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2015; Montoya et al., 2014). As shown for MtB infection, the production of various cytokines such as TNFα, CXCL8, IL-1R, IFNγ, and IL-10 as well as antimicrobial peptides were induced in *Leishmania* infection (Dos Santos et al., 2018; Dos Santos et al., 2017).

Our results are very much in line with the findings of Tripathi and colleagues, who investigated the response of HBMECs to the presence of iRBCs. Significantly increased expression was detected for CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL6, CXCL8, CCL20, and IL-6 (Tripathi et al., 2009). However, we were able to identify eleven further cytokines whose expression is up-regulated in the presence of iRBCs$^{37°C}$ and/or iRBCs$^{40°C}$. Furthermore, a number of genes encoding proteins involved in the regulation of inflammation and immune response are also increased in their expression by the cytoadhesion iRBCs compared to the control. These proteins include among others PTGS2 (COX-2) (Martin-Vazquez et al., 2023) and TXNIP (thioredoxin interacting protein) (Mohamed et al., 2021). Other genes that encode proteins involved in inflammation and immune reactions were expressed more strongly at elevated temperatures. The corresponding encoded proteins include, among others, TNFAIP3 (A20) (Wu et al., 2020), LGALS4 (galectin-4) (Cao & Guo, 2016), ATF3 (activating transcription factor 3) (Hai et al., 2010), CD74 (cluster of differentiation 74) (Beswick & Reyes, 2009), CH25H (cholesterol 25-hydroxylase) (Cho et al., 2023), and CD274 (Huang et al., 2013).

Our results support observations from a number of studies that the innate immune system responds to the presence of *Plasmodium* ssp. by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Dobbs et al., 2020; Popa & Popa, 2021). The secreted cytokines/chemokines primarily play a role in controlling parasite growth and eliminating the parasites. Different regulatory cytokines can maintain the balance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses. However, when this balance is disrupted, the exaggerated pro-inflammatory response leads to significant adverse effects associated with severe forms of malaria and high mortality (Dobbs et al., 2020; Popa & Popa, 2021).

The three members of the NR4A nuclear hormone receptor superfamily (NR4A1 (Nur77), NR4A2 (Nur-related factor-1), NR4A3 (Neuron-Derived Orphan Receptor 1)) are also increasingly expressed in the presence of iRBCs, and a further increase was observed after elevating the temperature. In inflammatory diseases, NR4A family members are rapidly expressed and control the differentiation and activity of innate and adaptive immune cells. In addition, these proteins mediate cytokine signaling to control angiogenesis (for review (Hamers et al., 2013; Murphy & Crean, 2022)). Furthermore, three genes encoding members of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP1, MMP2, MMP3) are specifically up-regulated only in the presence of iRBCs at elevated temperatures. Among other things, MMPs are involved in the breakdown of extracellular matrix proteins and regulate functions related to inflammation, such as the activity of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (for review (Lee & Kim, 2022)). MMP1 cleaves collagen type I and is involved in the modulation and remodeling of the extracellular matrix. MMP2 cleaves collagen type IV and is involved in angiogenesis by degrading the basement membrane of blood vessels, thus promoting the migration of endothelial cells. MMP3 can degrade various types of collagens, proteoglycans and fibronectin, and is involved in tissue remodeling and inflammatory reactions, among other things (for review (Lee & Kim, 2022).

It can be argued that the induction of angiogenesis improves the oxygen supply to tissues affected by infection-induced hypoxia (Park et al., 2019). Therefore, the increased expression of genes encoding MMPs and NR4A may be a reason for the endothelial dysfunction observed in malaria.

Strikingly, 14 genes coding for proteins involved in the antiviral immune response or interferon-alpha/beta signaling pathway are up-regulated by cytoadhesive iRBCs. However, except *IL6*, there is no further increase in the expression at elevated temperatures. On the contrary, for four genes (*IFI6*, *ISG15*, *MX1*, and *MX2*), the expression level drops back to that in the presence of niRBCs. In summary, the genes up-regulated in their expression encode the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6, which can be induced by the presence of viruses, MX1 (MX dynamin-like GTPase 1), MX2 (MX dynamin-like GTPase 2), IFI6 (interferon alpha inducible protein 6) and ISG15 (interferon-stimulated gene 15), which inhibit viral replication, OAS2 (2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 2) and OAS3 (2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 3), which synthesize secondary messengers that serve to activate RNase L, which degrades viral and cellular RNA, and ISG20 (interferon stimulated exonuclease gene 20 kDa), which is an endoribonuclease that supports the degradation of viral RNA (Tanaka et al., 2014), (Deymier et al., 2022; Haller et al., 2015; Sajid et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2015). In addition, the genes *BST2* (bone marrow stroma cell antigen 2), IFI27 (interferon alpha-inducible protein 27), *DDIT4*

(DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4), *GBP4* (guanylate binding protein 4), *DDX60* (DEAD-box helicase 60), which are also involved in the antiviral immune response, are up-regulated (Busse et al., 2020; Miyashita et al., 2011; Tretina et al., 2019; Ullah et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2022). It was shown that a type I interferon (IFN) response occurs during *P. berghei* replication in the liver. A *P. berghei* RNA was identified as a pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) that can activate a type I IFN response. Thus, liver cells have sensor mechanisms that mediate a type I IFN-driven anti-parasite response (Liehl et al., 2014). However, in the case of iRBCs, it is unclear how such an response is induced in HBEC-5i cells and whether cytoadhesion is the trigger for this response or whether other factors, such as extracellular vesicles secreted by iRBCs and containing various microRNAs, might be involved (Wu et al., 2023).

Tripathi and colleagues showed that the pro-inflammatory NF-κB pathway plays a central role in regulating the expression of HBMECs after cytoadhesion of iRBCs (Tripathi et al., 2009). This observation was confirmed in our study. In addition, we showed that the combination of cytoadhesion of iRBCs and elevated temperature (40°C) affected the NF-κB pathway more than cytoadhesion of iRBCs at 37°C. At 37°C, 44 genes were up-regulated $\geq$ 1.7-fold, whereas at 40°C, 65 genes were up-regulated.

In conclusion, iRBCs mediated by cytoadhesion or other mechanisms such as cell-cell communication via extracellular vesicles, stimulate brain ECs. In particular, as described in other studies, several immunological pathways are activated, and the NFκB pathway seems to play a central role in the regulation of gene expression by the presence of iRBCs. An increase in the expression of genes encoding proteins involved in antiviral (-parasitic) mechanisms and angiogenesis was also observed. It was particularly striking that the cytoadhesion of iRBCs under fever conditions stimulated the expression of many genes more strongly than the cytoadhesion of iRBCs at body temperature. This was observed, for example, for various genes coding for cytokines/chemokines, MMPs, and NR4As.

4 │ Experimental Procedures

4.1 │ Cell culture

P. falciparum isolate IT4 (FCR3S1.2) was cultured in human 0+ erythrocytes at a hematocrit of 5% in the presence of 10% human A+ serum according to standard procedures (Trager &

Jensen, 2005). Parasites were synchronized once a week with 5% sorbitol (Lambros & Vanderberg, 1979). Every two weeks, iRBCs were enriched for the presence of knobs. IRBCs were resuspended in two volumes of pre-warmed gelatin (1%, 37°C) and incubated at 37°C for 45 min (Waterkeyn et al., 2001). The supernatant containing knob-positive iRBCs was washed with RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) and cultured as usual.

The HBEC-5i cell line (ATCC, CRL-3245) was cultured in DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) supplemented with L-glutamine and sodium bicarbonate (2.438 g/l) at 37°C in 5% CO_2 and passaged every 2-4 days at 70-90% confluence.

4.2 │ Enrichment of iRBCs that bind to HBEC-5i

To enrich iRBCs that bind to HBEC-5i cells, synchronized iRBCs at the trophozoite-stage (5-10% parasitemia, 1% hematocrit) were resuspended in binding medium (RPMI 1640 medium, 2% glucose, pH 7.2; Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) and co-incubated with HBEC-5i cells (70-90% confluence) for 90 min at 37°C and 5% CO_2. After 60, 75, and 90 min, the cultures were gently shaken orbitally. This was followed by five washes with a binding medium to remove any unbound iRBCs. The remaining parasites were further cultured with 10 ml of RPMI 1640 medium and erythrocytes (5% hematocrit) to the ring-stage and harvested. Any detached HBEC-5i cells were removed with Biocoll separation solution (Merck Biochrom, Berlin, Germany). The parasites were then grown under standard conditions to a parasitemia of 5-10%, and the whole procedure was repeated seven times. Parasites enriched for binding to HBEC-5i cells were shown to express VAR2CSA (IT4_var04), which binds to CSA presented on HBEC-5i cells (Dorpinghaus et al., 2020).

4.3 │ Infected RBC-HBEC-5i co-incubation, RNA isolation, and RNAseq

To analyse the influence of cytoadhesion on HBEC-5i cell metabolism, HBEC-5i cells (70-90% confluence) were co-incubated with HBEC-5i-enriched trophozoite-stage iRBCs at a parasitemia of 5% (hematocrit 1%) in binding medium (Dorpinghaus et al., 2020). Co-incubation was performed in T-25 flasks at either 37°C or 40°C (iRBC$^{37°C}$/iRBC$^{40°C}$). HBEC-5i cells cultured at 37°C or 40°C +/- niRBCs (hematocrit 1%) served as controls. After seven hours of co-incubation, cells were collected in 4 ml of pre-warmed TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany), thoroughly resuspended, transferred to a 15 ml reaction tube,

and incubated in a water bath at 37°C for 5 min. Samples could then be stored at -80°C or processed immediately. For RNA isolation, samples of 1 ml each were divided into 4 RNase-free reaction tubes, supplemented with 200 µl of chloroform cooled to 4°C, shaken well, and incubated for 3 min at room temperature (RT). Samples were then centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 12,000 x g, and the supernatant was transferred to a new reaction tube. RNA was precipitated by the addition of an equivalent volume of 70% ethanol. RNA was then isolated using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Possible contamination with genomic DNA was removed using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Fisher Scientific, Thermo Fisher, Hampton, NH, USA). RNA was then purified using the Agencourt RNAClean XP Kit (Beckham Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Library preparation and subsequent RNA sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 PE100 platform from BGI (BGI Group, Shenzhen, China).

4.4 │ Bioinformatic analyses/Statistics

The RNAseq data were mapped to the human reference genome (GRCh38.109) using CLC Genomics Workbench 21.0 (QIAGEN, Aarhus, Denmark). The raw data were submitted to NCBI-GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus) under the series record GSE266399. The Venn diagram was created using Python 3.11 and matplotlib 3.7.2. Each circle represents the differential expression (true vs. false) for each of the three parameters, niRBC, febrile and iRBC. The niRBC true vs. false group contains the genes for which the presence of niRBC affects the expression of HBEC-5i cells. The febrile true vs. false group contains genes for which an increase in temperature from 37°C to 40°C affects the expression of HBEC-5i cells. The group iRBC true vs. false contains the genes for which the presence of iRBCs alters the expression of HBEC-5i cells. Each circle represents one of the groups, with overlaps containing genes present in more than one group. Only those genes with a differential expression with a fold change of ≥ 2.0, a false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤ 0.05 and a minimum transcript per million (TPM) threshold of ≥ 2.0 were included in this analysis. The interaction network analysis was performed with the database STRING, version 12.0 (Szklarczyk et al., 2023). The following setting was used: Active interaction sources: text mining, experiments, databases, co-expression; Meaning of network edges: high confidence 0.700. Network display mode: Interactive; Network display options: Hide disconnected nodes in the network; Clustering Options: MCL clustering, inflation parameter 3; Edges between clusters: Solid lines. G:Profiler was used to perform functional enrichment analysis (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost)

(Raudvere et al., 2019). The NF-κB target genes were taken from the list from the Gilmore Lab

at Boston University (https://www.bu.edu/nf-kb/gene-resources/target-genes/) and from

Tripathi and colleagues (Othman et al., 2023; Tripathi et al., 2009).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Susann Ofori for excellent technical assistance. This work was

supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Priority Programme "Physics of

Parasitism" (SPP 2332; BR 1744/20-1 and GU 568/9-1), Leibniz Center Infection, and Jürgen

Manchot Stiftung.

Author contributions

JA: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing –

original draft, Writing – review & editing. MB: Conceptualization, Formal analysis,

Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. HT: Writing – review & editing.

MPMT: Writing – review & editing. NGM: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TR:

Writing – review & editing. TG: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. IB:

Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project

administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review &

editing.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

The raw data were submitted to NCBI-GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus) under the series

record GSE266399 and are available as expression browser in Supplementary Table 1.

ORCID

Johannes Allweier: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5845-5804

Nahla Galal Metwally: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9423-7145

Thomas Roeder: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3489-3834

Thomas Gutsmann: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5061-7891

Iris Bruchhaus: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3363-7409

Abbreviated Summary

Cytoadhesion of *P. falciparum* infected red blood cells to endothelial cells leads to significant upregulation of genes associated with immune response, nucleosome assembly, NF-kappa B signaling, and angiogenesis in these cells. Increasing the temperature to 40 °C (fever) led to further upregulation of many genes, particularly those involved in cytokine production and angiogenesis.

References

Alampalli, S. V., Grover, M., Chandran, S., Tatu, U., & Acharya, P. (2018). Proteome and Structural Organization of the Knob Complex on the Surface of the Plasmodium Infected Red Blood Cell. *Proteomics Clin Appl, 12*(4), e1600177. doi:10.1002/prca.201600177

Armah, H. B., Wilson, N. O., Sarfo, B. Y., Powell, M. D., Bond, V. C., Anderson, W., . . . Stiles, J. K. (2007). Cerebrospinal fluid and serum biomarkers of cerebral malaria mortality in Ghanaian children. *Malar J, 6*, 147. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-6-147

Ayimba, E., Hegewald, J., Segbena, A. Y., Gantin, R. G., Lechner, C. J., Agosssou, A., . . . Soboslay, P. T. (2011). Proinflammatory and regulatory cytokines and chemokines in infants with uncomplicated and severe Plasmodium falciparum malaria. *Clin Exp Immunol, 166*(2), 218-226. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2249.2011.04474.x

Bai, X., Kim, S. H., Azam, T., McGibney, M. T., Huang, H., Dinarello, C. A., & Chan, E. D. (2010). IL-32 is a host protective cytokine against Mycobacterium tuberculosis in differentiated THP-1 human macrophages. *J Immunol, 184*(7), 3830-3840. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0901913

Bai, X., Shang, S., Henao-Tamayo, M., Basaraba, R. J., Ovrutsky, A. R., Matsuda, J. L., . . . Chan, E. D. (2015). Human IL-32 expression protects mice against a hypervirulent

strain of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112*(16), 5111-5116.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1424302112

Banci, L., Camponeschi, F., Ciofi-Baffoni, S., & Muzzioli, R. (2015). Elucidating the

Molecular Function of Human BOLA2 in GRX3-Dependent Anamorsin Maturation

Pathway. *J Am Chem Soc, 137*(51), 16133-16143. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b10592

Beswick, E. J., & Reyes, V. E. (2009). CD74 in antigen presentation, inflammation, and cancers

of the gastrointestinal tract. *World J Gastroenterol, 15*(23), 2855-2861.

doi:10.3748/wjg.15.2855

Bujarbaruah, D., Kalita, M. P., Baruah, V., Basumatary, T. K., Hazarika, S., Begum, R. H., . . .

Bose, S. (2017). RANTES levels as a determinant of falciparum malaria severity or

recovery. *Parasite Immunol, 39*(9). doi:10.1111/pim.12452

Busse, D. C., Habgood-Coote, D., Clare, S., Brandt, C., Bassano, I., Kaforou, M., . . .

Tregoning, J. S. (2020). Interferon-Induced Protein 44 and Interferon-Induced Protein

44-Like Restrict Replication of Respiratory Syncytial Virus. *J Virol, 94*(18).

doi:10.1128/JVI.00297-20

Cao, Z. Q., & Guo, X. L. (2016). The role of galectin-4 in physiology and diseases. *Protein

Cell, 7*(5), 314-324. doi:10.1007/s13238-016-0262-9

Chakravorty, S. J., Carret, C., Nash, G. B., Ivens, A., Szestak, T., & Craig, A. G. (2007). Altered

phenotype and gene transcription in endothelial cells, induced by Plasmodium

falciparum-infected red blood cells: pathogenic or protective? *Int J Parasitol, 37*(8-9),

975-987. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.02.006

Che, J. N., Nmorsi, O. P., Nkot, B. P., Isaac, C., & Okonkwo, B. C. (2015). Chemokines

responses to Plasmodium falciparum malaria and co-infections among rural

Cameroonians. *Parasitol Int, 64*(2), 139-144. doi:10.1016/j.parint.2014.11.003

Chen, L., Zhang, Z., & Sendo, F. (2000). Neutrophils play a critical role in the pathogenesis of

experimental cerebral malaria. *Clin Exp Immunol, 120*(1), 125-133. doi:10.1046/j.1365-

2249.2000.01196.x

Cho, S. J., Pronko, A., Yang, J., Pagan, K., & Stout-Delgado, H. (2023). Role of Cholesterol

25-Hydroxylase (Ch25h) in Mediating Innate Immune Responses to Streptococcus

pneumoniae Infection. *Cells, 12*(4). doi:10.3390/cells12040570

Colborn, J. M., Ylostalo, J. H., Koita, O. A., Cisse, O. H., & Krogstad, D. J. (2015). Human

Gene Expression in Uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum Malaria. *J Immunol Res,

2015*, 162639. doi:10.1155/2015/162639

Crabb, B. S., Cooke, B. M., Reeder, J. C., Waller, R. F., Caruana, S. R., Davern, K. M., . . . Cowman, A. F. (1997). Targeted gene disruption shows that knobs enable malaria-infected red cells to cytoadhere under physiological shear stress. *Cell, 89*(2), 287-296.

Cunnington, A. J., Riley, E. M., & Walther, M. (2013). Microvascular dysfunction in severe Plasmodium falciparum Malaria. *J Infec Dis, 207*(2), 369-370. doi:10.1093/infdis/jis681

Cutts, E. E., Laasch, N., Reiter, D. M., Trenker, R., Slater, L. M., Stansfeld, P. J., & Vakonakis, I. (2017). Structural analysis of P. falciparum KAHRP and PfEMP1 complexes with host erythrocyte spectrin suggests a model for cytoadherent knob protrusions. *PLoS Pathog, 13*(8), e1006552. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006552

Deitsch, K. W., & Dzikowski, R. (2017). Variant Gene Expression and Antigenic Variation by Malaria Parasites. *Annu Rev Microbiol, 71*, 625-641. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093841

Deymier, S., Louvat, C., Fiorini, F., & Cimarelli, A. (2022). ISG20: an enigmatic antiviral RNase targeting multiple viruses. *FEBS Open Bio, 12*(6), 1096-1111. doi:10.1002/2211-5463.13382

Dobbs, K. R., Crabtree, J. N., & Dent, A. E. (2020). Innate immunity to malaria-The role of monocytes. *Immunol Rev, 293*(1), 8-24. doi:10.1111/imr.12830

Dorpinghaus, M., Furstenwerth, F., Roth, L. K., Bouws, P., Rakotonirinalalao, M., Jordan, V., . . . Bruchhaus, I. (2020). Stringent Selection of Knobby Plasmodium falciparum-Infected Erythrocytes during Cytoadhesion at Febrile Temperature. *Microorganisms, 8*(2). doi:10.3390/microorganisms8020174

Dos Santos, J. C., Damen, M., Joosten, L. A. B., & Ribeiro-Dias, F. (2018). Interleukin-32: An endogenous danger signal or master regulator of intracellular pathogen infections-Focus on leishmaniases. *Semin Immunol, 38*, 15-23. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2018.02.010

Dos Santos, J. C., Heinhuis, B., Gomes, R. S., Damen, M. S., Real, F., Mortara, R. A., . . . Ribeiro-Dias, F. (2017). Cytokines and microbicidal molecules regulated by IL-32 in THP-1-derived human macrophages infected with New World Leishmania species. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 11*(2), e0005413. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005413

Dunst, J., Kamena, F., & Matuschewski, K. (2017). Cytokines and Chemokines in Cerebral Malaria Pathogenesis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 7*, 324. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00324

Fan, X., Patera, A. C., Pong-Kennedy, A., Deno, G., Gonsiorek, W., Manfra, D. J., . . . Hipkin, R. W. (2007). Murine CXCR1 is a functional receptor for GCP-2/CXCL6 and

781  interleukin-8/CXCL8. *J Biol Chem, 282*(16), 11658-11666.
782  doi:10.1074/jbc.M607705200

783 Gazzinelli, R. T., Kalantari, P., Fitzgerald, K. A., & Golenbock, D. T. (2014). Innate sensing of
784  malaria parasites. *Nat Rev Immunol, 14*(11), 744-757. doi:10.1038/nri3742

785 Gruenberg, J., Allred, D. R., & Sherman, I. W. (1983). Scanning electron microscope-analysis
786  of the protrusions (knobs) present on the surface of Plasmodium falciparum-infected
787  erythrocytes. *J Cell Biol, 97*(3), 795-802.

788 Hai, T., Wolford, C. C., & Chang, Y. S. (2010). ATF3, a hub of the cellular adaptive-response
789  network, in the pathogenesis of diseases: is modulation of inflammation a unifying
790  component? *Gene Expr, 15*(1), 1-11. doi:10.3727/105221610x12819686555015

791 Haller, O., Staeheli, P., Schwemmle, M., & Kochs, G. (2015). Mx GTPases: dynamin-like
792  antiviral machines of innate immunity. *Trends Microbiol, 23*(3), 154-163.
793  doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.12.003

794 Hamers, A. A., Hanna, R. N., Nowyhed, H., Hedrick, C. C., & de Vries, C. J. (2013). NR4A
795  nuclear receptors in immunity and atherosclerosis. *Curr Opin Lipidol, 24*(5), 381-385.
796  doi:10.1097/MOL.0b013e3283643eac

797 Hasday, J. D., Bannerman, D., Sakarya, S., Cross, A. S., Singh, I. S., Howard, D., . . . Goldblum,
798  S. E. (2001). Exposure to febrile temperature modifies endothelial cell response to
799  tumor necrosis factor-alpha. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 90*(1), 90-98.
800  doi:10.1152/jappl.2001.90.1.90

801 Herbert, F., Tchitchek, N., Bansal, D., Jacques, J., Pathak, S., Becavin, C., . . . Pied, S. (2015).
802  Evidence of IL-17, IP-10, and IL-10 involvement in multiple-organ dysfunction and IL-
803  17 pathway in acute renal failure associated to Plasmodium falciparum malaria. *J Transl
804 Med, 13*, 369. doi:10.1186/s12967-015-0731-6

805 Horrocks, P., Pinches, R. A., Chakravorty, S. J., Papakrivos, J., Christodoulou, Z., Kyes, S. A.,
806  . . . Newbold, C. I. (2005). PfEMP1 expression is reduced on the surface of knobless
807  Plasmodium falciparum infected erythrocytes. *J Cell Sci, 118*(Pt 11), 2507-2518.

808 Huang, G., Wen, Q., Zhao, Y., Gao, Q., & Bai, Y. (2013). NF-kappaB plays a key role in
809  inducing CD274 expression in human monocytes after lipopolysaccharide treatment.
810  *PLoS One, 8*(4), e61602. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061602

811 Hughes, C. E., & Nibbs, R. J. B. (2018). A guide to chemokines and their receptors. *FEBS J,
812 285*(16), 2944-2971. doi:10.1111/febs.14466

813 Jager, J., Patra, P., Sanchez, C. P., Lanzer, M., & Schwarz, U. S. (2022). A particle-based
814  computational model to analyse remodelling of the red blood cell cytoskeleton during

malaria   infections.   *PLoS   Comput   Biol,   18*(4),   e1009509.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009509

Jain, V., Armah, H. B., Tongren, J. E., Ned, R. M., Wilson, N. O., Crawford, S., . . . Stiles, J.
K. (2008). Plasma IP-10, apoptotic and angiogenic factors associated with fatal cerebral
malaria in India. *Malar J, 7*, 83. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-7-83

John, C. C., Panoskaltsis-Mortari, A., Opoka, R. O., Park, G. S., Orchard, P. J., Jurek, A. M., .
. . Boivin, M. J. (2008). Cerebrospinal fluid cytokine levels and cognitive impairment
in cerebral malaria. *Am J Trop Med Hyg, 78*(2), 198-205.

John, C. C., Park, G. S., Sam-Agudu, N., Opoka, R. O., & Boivin, M. J. (2008). Elevated serum
levels of IL-1ra in children with Plasmodium falciparum malaria are associated with
increased severity of disease. *Cytokine, 41*(3), 204-208. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2007.12.008

Kraemer, S. M., & Smith, J. D. (2006). A family affair: var genes, PfEMP1 binding, and malaria
disease. *Curr Opin Microbiol, 9*(4), 374-380. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2006.06.006

Kyes, S. A., Kraemer, S. M., & Smith, J. D. (2007). Antigenic variation in Plasmodium
falciparum: gene organization and regulation of the var multigene family. *Eukaryot
Cell, 6*(9), 1511-1520.

Lambros, C., & Vanderberg, J. P. (1979). Synchronization of Plasmodium falciparum
erythrocytic stages in culture. *J Parasitol, 65*(3), 418-420.

Lee, H. S., & Kim, W. J. (2022). The Role of Matrix Metalloproteinase in Inflammation with a
Focus on Infectious Diseases. *Int J Mol Sci, 23*(18). doi:10.3390/ijms231810546

Liehl, P., Zuzarte-Luis, V., Chan, J., Zillinger, T., Baptista, F., Carapau, D., . . . Mota, M. M.
(2014). Host-cell sensors for Plasmodium activate innate immunity against liver-stage
infection. *Nat Med, 20*(1), 47-53. doi:10.1038/nm.3424

Lubiana, P., Bouws, P., Roth, L. K., Dorpinghaus, M., Rehn, T., Brehmer, J., . . . Metwally, N.
G. (2020). Adhesion between P. falciparum infected erythrocytes and human
endothelial receptors follows alternative binding dynamics under flow and febrile
conditions. *Sci Rep, 10*(1), 4548. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-61388-2

Lyke, K. E., Burges, R., Cissoko, Y., Sangare, L., Dao, M., Diarra, I., . . . Sztein, M. B. (2004).
Serum levels of the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 beta (IL-1beta), IL-6, IL-
8, IL-10, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and IL-12(p70) in Malian children with severe
Plasmodium falciparum malaria and matched uncomplicated malaria or healthy
controls. *Infect Immun, 72*(10), 5630-5637. doi:10.1128/IAI.72.10.5630-5637.2004

Mahittikorn, A., Kwankaew, P., Rattaprasert, P., Kotepui, K. U., Masangkay, F. R., & Kotepui,
M. (2022). Elevation of serum interleukin-1beta levels as a potential indicator for

malarial infection and severe malaria: a meta-analysis. *Malar J, 21*(1), 308. doi:10.1186/s12936-022-04325-0

Maier, A. G., Cooke, B. M., Cowman, A. F., & Tilley, L. (2009). Malaria parasite proteins that remodel the host erythrocyte. *Nat Rev Microbiol, 7*(5), 341-354.

Mancebo-Perez, C., Vidal, M., Aguilar, R., Barrios, D., Bardaji, A., Ome-Kaius, M., . . . Requena, P. (2022). Eotaxin-2 and eotaxin-3 in malaria exposure and pregnancy. *Malar J, 21*(1), 336. doi:10.1186/s12936-022-04372-7

Martin-Vazquez, E., Cobo-Vuilleumier, N., Lopez-Noriega, L., Lorenzo, P. I., & Gauthier, B. R. (2023). The PTGS2/COX2-PGE(2) signaling cascade in inflammation: Pro or anti? A case study with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Biol Sci, 19*(13), 4157-4165. doi:10.7150/ijbs.86492

Milner, D., Jr., Factor, R., Whitten, R., Carr, R. A., Kamiza, S., Pinkus, G., . . . Taylor, T. (2013). Pulmonary pathology in pediatric cerebral malaria. *Hum Pathol, 44*(12), 2719-2726. doi:10.1016/j.humpath.2013.07.018

Milner, D. A., Jr., Lee, J. J., Frantzreb, C., Whitten, R. O., Kamiza, S., Carr, R. A., . . . Taylor, T. E. (2015). Quantitative Assessment of Multiorgan Sequestration of Parasites in Fatal Pediatric Cerebral Malaria. *J Infect Dis, 212*(8), 1317-1321. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiv205

Miyashita, M., Oshiumi, H., Matsumoto, M., & Seya, T. (2011). DDX60, a DEXD/H box helicase, is a novel antiviral factor promoting RIG-I-like receptor-mediated signaling. *Mol Cell Biol, 31*(18), 3802-3819. doi:10.1128/MCB.01368-10

Mohamed, I. N., Li, L., Ismael, S., Ishrat, T., & El-Remessy, A. B. (2021). Thioredoxin interacting protein, a key molecular switch between oxidative stress and sterile inflammation in cellular response. *World J Diabetes, 12*(12), 1979-1999. doi:10.4239/wjd.v12.i12.1979

Montoya, D., Inkeles, M. S., Liu, P. T., Realegeno, S., Teles, R. M., Vaidya, P., . . . Modlin, R. L. (2014). IL-32 is a molecular marker of a host defense network in human tuberculosis. *Sci Transl Med, 6*(250), 250ra114. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3009546

Murphy, E. P., & Crean, D. (2022). NR4A1-3 nuclear receptor activity and immune cell dysregulation in rheumatic diseases. *Front Med (Lausanne), 9*, 874182. doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.874182

Oakley, M. S., Gerald, N., McCutchan, T. F., Aravind, L., & Kumar, S. (2011). Clinical and molecular aspects of malaria fever. *Trends Parasitol, 27*(10), 442-449. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2011.06.004

Oakley, M. S., Kumar, S., Anantharaman, V., Zheng, H., Mahajan, B., Haynes, J. D., . . .
Aravind, L. (2007). Molecular factors and biochemical pathways induced by febrile
temperature in intraerythrocytic Plasmodium falciparum parasites. *Infect Immun, 75*(4),
2012-2025. doi:10.1128/IAI.01236-06

Othman, B., Zeef, L., Szestak, T., Rchiad, Z., Storm, J., Askonas, C., . . . Craig, A. (2023).
Different PfEMP1-expressing Plasmodium falciparum variants induce divergent
endothelial transcriptional responses during co-culture. *PLoS One, 18*(11), e0295053.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0295053

Otto, T. D., Assefa, S. A., Bohme, U., Sanders, M. J., Kwiatkowski, D., Pf3k, c., . . . Newbold,
C. (2019). Evolutionary analysis of the most polymorphic gene family in falciparum
malaria. *Wellcome Open Res, 4*, 193. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15590.1

Park, M. K., Ko, E. J., Jeon, K. Y., Kim, H., Jo, J. O., Baek, K. W., . . . Cha, H. J. (2019).
Induction of Angiogenesis by Malarial Infection through Hypoxia Dependent Manner.
Korean J Parasitol, 57(2), 117-125. doi:10.3347/kjp.2019.57.2.117

Pasternak, N. D., & Dzikowski, R. (2009). PfEMP1: an antigen that plays a key role in the
pathogenicity and immune evasion of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. *Int
J Biochem & Cell Biol, 41*(7), 1463-1466.

Phillips, M. A., Burrows, J. N., Manyando, C., van Huijsduijnen, R. H., Van Voorhis, W. C.,
& Wells, T. N. C. (2017). Malaria. *Nat Rev Dis Primers, 3*, 17050.
doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.50

Popa, G. L., & Popa, M. I. (2021). Recent Advances in Understanding the Inflammatory
Response in Malaria: A Review of the Dual Role of Cytokines. *J Immunol Res, 2021*,
7785180. doi:10.1155/2021/7785180

Prakash, D., Fesel, C., Jain, R., Cazenave, P. A., Mishra, G. C., & Pied, S. (2006). Clusters of
cytokines determine malaria severity in Plasmodium falciparum-infected patients from
endemic areas of Central India. *J Infect Dis, 194*(2), 198-207. doi:10.1086/504720

Raacke, M., Kerr, A., Dorpinghaus, M., Brehmer, J., Wu, Y., Lorenzen, S., . . . Bruchhaus, I.
(2021). Altered Cytokine Response of Human Brain Endothelial Cells after Stimulation
with Malaria Patient Plasma. *Cells, 10*(7). doi:10.3390/cells10071656

Raudvere, U., Kolberg, L., Kuzmin, I., Arak, T., Adler, P., Peterson, H., & Vilo, J. (2019).
g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists
(2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Res, 47*(W1), W191-W198. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz369

Sajid, M., Ullah, H., Yan, K., He, M., Feng, J., Shereen, M. A., . . . Zhou, L. (2021). The
Functional and Antiviral Activity of Interferon Alpha-Inducible IFI6 Against Hepatitis

B Virus Replication and Gene Expression. *Front Immunol, 12*, 634937. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.634937

Sanchez, C. P., Karathanasis, C., Sanchez, R., Cyrklaff, M., Jager, J., Buchholz, B., . . . Lanzer, M. (2019). Single-molecule imaging and quantification of the immune-variant adhesin VAR2CSA on knobs of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes. *Commun Biol, 2*, 172. doi:10.1038/s42003-019-0429-z

Sanchez, C. P., Patra, P., Chang, S. S., Karathanasis, C., Hanebutte, L., Kilian, N., . . . Lanzer, M. (2022). KAHRP dynamically relocalizes to remodeled actin junctions and associates with knob spirals in Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes. *Mol Microbiol, 117*(2), 274-292. doi:10.1111/mmi.14811

Sun, Z., Li, Y., Ransburgh, R., Snijder, E. J., & Fang, Y. (2012). Nonstructural protein 2 of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus inhibits the antiviral function of interferon-stimulated gene 15. *J Virol, 86*(7), 3839-3850. doi:10.1128/JVI.06466-11

Szklarczyk, D., Kirsch, R., Koutrouli, M., Nastou, K., Mehryary, F., Hachilif, R., . . . von Mering, C. (2023). The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. *Nucleic Acids Res, 51*(D1), D638-D646. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1000

Tanaka, T., Narazaki, M., & Kishimoto, T. (2014). IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 6*(10), a016295. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016295

Taylor, T. E., Fu, W. J., Carr, R. A., Whitten, R. O., Mueller, J. S., Fosiko, N. G., . . . Molyneux, M. E. (2004). Differentiating the pathologies of cerebral malaria by postmortem parasite counts. *Nat Med, 10*(2), 143-145. doi:10.1038/nm986

Tilly, A. K., Thiede, J., Metwally, N., Lubiana, P., Bachmann, A., Roeder, T., . . . Bruchhaus, I. (2015). Type of in vitro cultivation influences cytoadhesion, knob structure, protein localization and transcriptome profile of Plasmodium falciparum. *Sci Rep, 5*, 16766. doi:10.1038/srep16766

Trager, W., & Jensen, J. B. (2005). Human malaria parasites in continuous culture. 1976. *J Parasitol, 91*(3), 484-486. doi:10.1645/0022-3395(2005)091[0484:HMPICC]2.0.CO;2

Tretina, K., Park, E. S., Maminska, A., & MacMicking, J. D. (2019). Interferon-induced guanylate-binding proteins: Guardians of host defense in health and disease. *J Exp Med, 216*(3), 482-500. doi:10.1084/jem.20182031

Tripathi, A. K., Sha, W., Shulaev, V., Stins, M. F., & Sullivan, D. J., Jr. (2009). Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes induce NF-kappaB regulated inflammatory pathways

in human cerebral endothelium. *Blood, 114*(19), 4243-4252. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-
06-226415

Tripathi, A. K., Sullivan, D. J., & Stins, M. F. (2006). Plasmodium falciparum-infected
erythrocytes increase intercellular adhesion molecule 1 expression on brain endothelium
through NF-kappaB. *Infect Immun, 74*(6), 3262-3270. doi:10.1128/IAI.01625-05

Ullah, H., Sajid, M., Yan, K., Feng, J., He, M., Shereen, M. A., . . . Zhou, L. (2021). Antiviral
Activity of Interferon Alpha-Inducible Protein 27 Against Hepatitis B Virus Gene
Expression and Replication. *Front Microbiol, 12*, 656353.
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.656353

Van den Steen, P. E., Deroost, K., Van Aelst, I., Geurts, N., Martens, E., Struyf, S., . . .
Opdenakker, G. (2008). CXCR3 determines strain susceptibility to murine cerebral
malaria by mediating T lymphocyte migration toward IFN-gamma-induced
chemokines. *Eur J Immunol, 38*(4), 1082-1095. doi:10.1002/eji.200737906

Viebig, N. K., Wulbrand, U., Forster, R., Andrews, K. T., Lanzer, M., & Knolle, P. A. (2005).
Direct activation of human endothelial cells by Plasmodium falciparum-infected
erythrocytes. *Infect Immun, 73*(6), 3271-3277. doi:10.1128/IAI.73.6.3271-3277.2005

Voss, T. S., Healer, J., Marty, A. J., Duffy, M. F., Thompson, J. K., Beeson, J. G., . . . Cowman,
A. F. (2006). A var gene promoter controls allelic exclusion of virulence genes in
Plasmodium falciparum malaria. *Nature, 439*(7079), 1004-1008.

Waterkeyn, J. G., Cowman, A. F., & Cooke, B. M. (2001). Plasmodium falciparum: gelatin
enrichment selects for parasites with full-length chromosome 2. implications for
cytoadhesion assays. *Exp Parasitol, 97*(2), 115-118. doi:10.1006/expr.2000.4593

WHO. (2023). World malaria report 2023.

Wilson, N. O., Jain, V., Roberts, C. E., Lucchi, N., Joel, P. K., Singh, M. P., . . . Stiles, J. K.
(2011). CXCL4 and CXCL10 predict risk of fatal cerebral malaria. *Dis Markers, 30*(1),
39-49. doi:10.3233/DMA-2011-0763

Wu, Y., He, X., Huang, N., Yu, J., & Shao, B. (2020). A20: a master regulator of arthritis.
Arthritis Res Ther, 22(1), 220. doi:10.1186/s13075-020-02281-1

Wu, Y., Leyk, S., Torabi, H., Hohn, K., Honecker, B., Tauler, M., . . . Metwally, N. G. (2023).
Plasmodium falciparum infection reshapes the human microRNA profiles of red blood
cells and their extracellular vesicles. *iScience, 26*(7), 107119.
doi:10.1016/j.isci.2023.107119

Zhao, Y., Zhao, K., Wang, S., & Du, J. (2022). Multi-functional BST2/tetherin against HIV-1,
other viruses and LINE-1. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 12*, 979091.
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.979091
Zhu, J., Ghosh, A., & Sarkar, S. N. (2015). OASL-a new player in controlling antiviral innate
immunity. *Curr Opin Virol, 12*, 15-19. doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2015.01.010

 Table

990 **Table 1** List of genes encoding NF-κB subunits, inhibitors of NF-κB, NF-κB activation cascade

991 and NFκB targets, that are up-regulated after cytoadhesion of iRBCs at 37°C or 40°C in compariosn

992 to the control (niRBCs at 37°C).

	Gene name	Gene symbol	Ratio	
			niRBC$^{37°C}$ vs. iRBC$^{37°C}$	niRBC$^{37°C}$ vs. iRBC$^{40°C}$
NF-κB subunits				
1	Nuclear Factor Kappa B Subunit 1	NFKB1*	1.5	**2.6**
2	Nuclear Factor Kappa B Subunit 2	NFKB2*	1.1	**1.9**
3	RELB Proto-Oncogene, NF-KB Subunit	RELB*	1.4	**2.7**
4	EL Proto-Oncogene, NF-KB Subunit	REL*	**1.8**	**3.5**
NF-κB inhibitory proteins				
5	NFKB Inhibitor Alpha	NFKBIA*	**2.2**	**2.7**
6	NFKB Inhibitor Epsilon	NFKBIE*	1.0	**2.3**
7	NFKB Inhibitor Zeta	NFKBIZ	**2.5**	**4.1**
NF-κB activation cascade				
8	BCL2 Related Protein A1	BCL2A1	1.5	**7.0**
9	Baculoviral IAP Repeat Containing 3	BIRC3*	**3.0**	**13.5**
10	CD40 Molecule	CD40*	0.9	**3.1**
11	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 8	CXCL8	**4.8**	**25.0**
12	Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1	ICAM1*	1.0	**3.0**
13	Interleukin 1 Beta	IL1B	0.9	**2.4**
14	Prostaglandin-Endoperoxide Synthase 2	PTGS2	**3.1**	**11.4**
15	TNF Alpha Induced Protein 3	TNFAIP3*	**4.3**	**10.3**
16	TNF Receptor Associated Factor 1	TRAF1	1.1	**2.3**
17	Vascular Cell Adhesion Molecule 1	VCAM1	**2.7**	**5.7**
NF-κ target genes				
Cytokines/Chemokines and their modulators				
18	C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 5	CCL5	**2.7**	**2.2**
19	C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 20	CCL20*	**17.5**	**28.0**
20	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 1	CXCL1*	0.8	**4.4**
21	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 3	CXCL3*	**2.9**	**13.4**
22	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 5	CXCL5	1.2	**5.5**
23	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 6	CXCL6*	**1.9**	**6.8**
24	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 11	CXCL11	**3.6**	**2.9**
25	Interleukin 1 Alpha	IL1A	**3.1**	**8.8**
26	Interleukin 6	IL6*	**5.2**	**14.3**
27	Epstein-Barr Virus Induced 3	EBI3*	0.9	**2.3**

28	LIF Interleukin 6 Family Cytokine	LIF*	**1.8**	**2.9**
Immune receptors				
29	CD83 Molecule	CD83*	0.8	**3.6**
30	Solute Carrier Family 27 Member 3	SLC27A3	**1.8**	**3.0**
Proteins involved in antigen presentation				
31	Complement C3	C3	0.9	**14.4**
Cell adhesion molecules				
32	Neural Cell Adhesion Molecule 2	NCAM2	**1.8**	**1.9**
Stress response genes				
33	Superoxide Dismutase 2	SOD2*	**1.8**	**2.7**
34	MX Dynamin Like GTPase 1	MX1	**2.5**	1.2
Regulators of apoptosis				
35	CD274 Molecule	CD274	**3.8**	**7.1**
Growth factors, ligands, and their modulators				
36	Inhibin Subunit Beta A	INHBA*	**1.7**	**2.2**
37	Colony Stimulating Factor 3	CSF3	0.3	**2.7**
38	Colony Stimulating Factor 2	CSF2	0.8	**46.3**
38	Secreted Phosphoprotein 1	SPP1	**1.7**	**2.5**
40	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor C	VEGFC	1.2	**2.3**
Early response genes				
41	KLF Transcription Factor 10	KLF10	**2.2**	**3.6**
Transcription factors and their modulators				
42	Activating Transcription Factor 3	ATF3*	**3.3**	**9.0**
43	CCAAT Enhancer Binding Protein Delta	CEBPD	**2.4**	**3.4**
44	Fos Proto-Oncogene, AP-1 Transcription Factor Subunit	FOS	1.3	**7.4**
45	JunB Proto-Oncogene, AP-1 Transcription Factor Subunit	JUNB*	**3.1**	**2.1**
46	MAF BZIP Transcription Factor F	MAFF*	1.6	**2.0**
47	Nuclear Factor, Interleukin 3 Regulated	NFIL3*	**2.6**	**4.9**
48	Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 4 Group A Member 1	NR4A1*	**6.7**	**21.0**
49	Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 4 Group A Member 2	NR4A2	**25.6**	**34.6**
50	Snail Family Transcriptional Repressor 1	SNAI1	**3.1**	**2.9**
51	SRY-Box Transcription Factor 9	SOX9	1.1	**3.5**
52	TNF Alpha Induced Protein 6	TNFAIP6	**2.3**	**5.0**
53	KLF Transcription Factor 6	KLF6*	**3.0**	**3.0**
54	Phorbol-12-Myristate-13-Acetate-Induced Protein 1	PMAIP1	**2.6**	**3.5**

		Enzymes			
55	Dual Specificity Phosphatase 1	DUSP1*	**2.2**	**3.9**	
56	Dual Specificity Phosphatase 10	DUSP10	**1.7**	**5.2**	
57	Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase 1	IDO1	1.3	**2.3**	
58	Interferon Stimulated Exonuclease Gene 20	ISG20*	**2.3**	**1.8**	
59	Matrix Metallopeptidase 1	MMP1	**2.9**	**4.8**	
60	Matrix Metallopeptidase 3	MMP3	1.1	**8.6**	
61	Pim-1 Proto-Oncogene, Serine/Threonine Kinase	PIM1	**3.1**	**2.3**	
62	Protein Phosphatase 1 Regulatory Subunit 15A	PPP1R15A*	**1.8**	**5.4**	
63	Spermidine/Spermine N1-Acetyltransferase 1	SAT1	**1.9**	**2.0**	
		Miscellaneous			
64	Interferon Induced Protein 44 Like	IFI44L	**4.0**	**2.0**	
65	Growth Arrest And DNA Damage Inducible Alpha	GADD45A*	**2.2**	**4.5**	
66	Growth Arrest And DNA Damage Inducible Beta	GADD45B	**1.8**	**3.0**	

List of genes including NF-κB subunits, inhibitors of NF-κB, the NF-κB activation cascade and NFκB targets were taken from (https://www.bu.edu/nf-kb/gene-resources/target-genes/) and from Tripathi and colleagues (Othman et al., 2023; Tripathi et al., 2009).*Genes were also identified by Tripathi et al., 2019 as up-regulated. Genes that are upregulated $\geq$ 1.7-fold are printed in bold.

Figures

Figure 1

Venn diagram showing the number of overlapping and differentially expressed genes in HBEC-5i cells of the groups niRBC true vs. false (yellow circle), febrile true vs. false (red circle) and iRBC true vs. false (blue circle). The niRBC true vs. false group contains the genes for which the presence of niRBC affects the expression of HBEC-5i cells. The febrile true vs. false group contains genes for which increasing the culture temperature from 37°C to 40°C has an effect on the expression of HBEC-5i cells. The group iRBC true vs. false contains the genes for which the presence of iRBCs changes the expression of HBEC-5i cells. Each circle represents one of the groups, with overlaps including genes present in more than one group. Fold change ≥ 2.0, false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.05, minimum TPM threshold ≥ 2.0.

Figure 2

Protein-protein interaction network analysis. A STRING analysis was performed to identify protein-protein interaction networks that are regulated in HBEC-5i cells in response to the presence of niRBCs, febrile temperature and/or iRBCs. The nodes represent proteins and the connecting lines between the nodes indicate known or predicted interactions. All interaction networks that are shown are up-regulated compared to the respective controls. The thickness of the connecting lines indicates the confidence level of the interaction. Nodes marked with an asterisk are proteins whose encoding genes were identified as significantly differentially expressed in the respective analyses. A selection of significant networks from the GO-BP analysis is shown. (**A**) Comparison febrile true vs. false, contains 98 group-specific significantly regulated genes. (**B**) Comparison iRBC true vs. false, contains 318 group-specific significantly regulated genes. (**C**) Intersection of the groups niRBC true vs. false and iRBC true vs. false. (**D**) Intersection of the groups iRBC true vs. false and febrile true vs. false. (**E**) Intersection of the groups niRBC true vs. false, iRBC true vs. false, and febrile true vs. false. Genes exhibiting significant differential expression, meeting stringent criteria including a fold change of ≥ 2.0, a FDR of ≤ 0.05, and a minimum threshold of TPM of ≥ 2.0, were considered for inclusion in the analysis.

Figure 3

Identification of the pathways regulated by the presence of iRBCs in HBEC-5i cells. (**A**) A

STRING analysis was performed to identify protein-protein interaction networks regulated in

HBEC-5i cells in response to the presence of iRBCs (iRBC true vs. false including 762 genes).

The nodes represent proteins and the connecting lines between the nodes indicate known or

predicted interactions. The thickness of the connecting lines indicates the confidence level of

the interaction. Nodes marked with an asterisk are proteins whose encoding genes were

identified as significantly differentially expressed in the analyses. A selection of significant

networks from the GO-BP analysis is shown. Genes exhibiting significant differential

expression, meeting stringent criteria including a fold change of ≥ 2.0, a FDR of ≤ 0.05, and a

minimum threshold of TPM of ≥ 2.0, were considered for inclusion in the analysis. (**B**)

ShinyGO analysis to categorize the proteins encoded by the identified genes according to their

biological functions. The 10 most significant pathways are shown.

1043

Figure 4

Pathway analysis of the specific response of HBEC-5i cells due to the cytoadhesion of

iRBCs$^{37°C}$ and iRBCs$^{40°C}$ co-cultivation. g:Profiler ((**A**), (**C**), (**E**)) and ShinyGO ((**B**), (**D**), (**F**))

analysis of the significantly up-regulated genes (fold change ≥ 2.0, FDR ≤ 0.05, and minimum

threshold of TPM of ≥ 2.0) of the comparisons (**A**), (**B**): niRBC$^{37°C}$ vs. iRBC$^{37°C}$; (**C**), (**D**):

niRBC$^{37°C}$ vs. iRBC$^{40°C}$; (**E**), (**F**): iRBC$^{37°C}$ vs. iRBC$^{40°C}$.

1050

Figure 5

Identification of the pathways regulated by the presence of iRBCs in HBEC-5i cells at 37°C

and 40°C using a STRING analysis. Nodes represent proteins and the connecting lines between

the nodes indicate known or predicted interactions. The thickness of the connecting lines

indicates the confidence level of the interaction. Nodes marked with an asterisk are proteins

whose encoding genes were identified in the analyses. A selection of significant networks from

the GO-BP analysis is shown. Genes exhibiting significant differential expression, meeting

stringent criteria including a fold change of ≥ 2.0, a FDR of ≤ 0.05, and a minimum threshold

of TPM of ≥ 2.0, were considered for inclusion in the analysis. STRING analysis was performed

to identify protein-protein interaction networks regulated in HBEC-5i cells after co-cultivation

of (**A**) iRBCs$^{37°C}$ in comparison to niRBCs$^{37°C}$, (**B**) iRBCs$^{40°C}$ in comparison to niRBCs$^{40°C}$, and

C iRBCs$^{37°C}$ in comparison to iRBCs$^{40°C}$.

1063

Figure 6

Compilation of genes whose expression is increased by the presence of iRBCs and/or an

elevated temperature compared to the control. (**A**) Cytokine encoding genes. (**B**) Genes

encoding metalloproteinases and NR4A nuclear hormone receptor proteins. (**C**) Genes

encoding histones and histone-linker proteins, (**D**) Genes encoding proteins of the interferon-

alpha/beta signaling pathway. The expression level is shown as TMP. Blue: Expression level

in the presence of niRBCs$^{37°C}$; Red: Significant increase in expression (>2-fold) due to the

presence of iRBCs$^{37°C}$; Red-brown: Significant increase in expression (>2-fold) due to the

presence of iRBCs$^{40°C}$ in comparison to iRBCs$^{37°C}$. (**E**) Reactome analyses of the genes listed

in D. The pathways to which the genes can be assigned are shown in yellow.

1074

1075   **Supporting information**

1076   **Supplementary Table 1**

1077   Analysis of RNAseq data of HBEC-5i cells after co-incubation with niRBCs, iRBCs and

1078   fever.

1079

1080

1081

A

	niRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{40°C}$
CXCL1	101	84	448
CXCL2	25	55	173
CXCL3	11	33	150
CXCL5	5	6	28
CXCL6	23	44	156
CXCL8	82	392	1932
CXCL10	1.3	4.2	4.9
CXCL11	1	3.4	2.8
CCL3	0.4	4.7	31
CCL3L1	0.4	10	25
CCL5	34	91	74
CCL20	0.2	3.7	6
CCL26	1	3	26
IL1A	3.4	10.7	30.5
IL1B	332	305	788
IL6	13	70	193
IL12A	10	32	53
IL32	38	33	91

B

	niRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{40°C}$
MMP1	6	3	27
MMP2	115	173	317
MMP3	6	6	52
NR4A1	10	70	215
NR4A2	2	57	77
NR4A3	1.5	9	29

C

	niRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{40°C}$
H1-2	73	150	116
H1-4	0.5	4.8	2.3
H2BC4	7	14	7
H2BC8	2	9	9
H2BC9	5	16	16
H2BC11	18	101	55
H2BC17	4.5	9	11
H2BC18	0.8	4.3	4.1
H2BC21	10	96	30
H3C10	3.7	11	7
H3C15	2	7	9
H4C11	3.6	12	2.5

D

	niRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{37°C}$	iRBC$^{40°C}$
BST2	43	116	74
DDIT4	202	838	806
DDX60	6	12	14
GBP4	2	9	9
IL6	13	70	193
IFI6	591	1282	814
IFI27	22	49	96
IFI44L	11	46	23
ISG15	509	1079	733
ISG20	9	20	17
MX1	78	199	95
MX2	11	37	19
OAS2	20	73	40
OAS3	32	87	62

E

iRBC true vs. false (762 Genes)

febrile true vs. false
(268 genes)

iRBC true vs. false
(762 genes)

niRBC true vs. false
(434 genes)

niRBC true vs. false:
The presence of niRBCs alters the expression of HBEC-5i cells.
febrile true vs. false:
Increasing the cultivation temperature from 37°C to 40°C alters the expression of HBEC-5i cells.
iRBC true vs. false:
The presence of iRBCs alters the expression of HBEC-5i cells.