Occupancy Study of Two Endemic Bird Species in Southern Western Ghats, India

Paul Pop

Affiliation (at the time of research): Amity Institute of Forestry and Wildlife, Amity University

Current affiliation: Science Advocacy Collective for Liberation

Email: paulvpop@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Montecincla fairbanki (Palani Chillapan) and *Sholicola albiventris* (White-bellied Sholakili) are avian species found only in some high-altitude sky islands of Southern Western Ghats. A taxonomic revision has resulted in the need for better resolution of species biology, especially their distribution, and factors affecting their distribution. The current study was an attempt to address this, by repeated surveys at plantation sites in the northern landscape of Idukki district (Kerala), and occupancy modelling. Around 96% of the sites surveyed were occupied by *M. fairbanki* and ~52% by *S. albiventris*. Model-averaged site-averaged ψ of *M. fairbanki* is 0.8618 (0.6659-0.9229), and of *S. albiventris*, it is 0.4543 (0.1358-0.9972). Model-, site-, and survey-averaged p of *M. fairbanki* is 0.6554 (0.5171-0.7341), and of *S. albiventris*, it is 0.5731 (0.4102-0.7939). There is likely no effect on ψ of one species by the presence of the other, but there is likely a positive effect on p of one species, by the occupancy or detection of the other. Most significant effects on ψ of *M. fairbanki* were from the exclusive presence of dirt roads, elevation, slope, and visibility, and for *S. albiventris*, it was from *Lantana camara*, elevation, and understorey height. Most significant effects on p of *M. fairbanki* were from windy weather, sky conditions, time of surveys, and survey number, whereas for *S. albiventris*, they were from neutral weather, and survey number. The insights gained from this baseline study can be used for hypotheses testing as well as conservation efforts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Endemism of birds is common in mountainous regions and more pronounced in the tropics, where interplay between ecological isolation and climate of lowland from montane habitats may act as a barrier for dispersal of several high-altitude species to adjacent areas (Sekercioglu et al., 2008). The term 'sky islands' is used for such regions (Warshall, 1995), and Western Ghats is a host to several sky islands. Two avian genera endemic to the Western Ghats are *Sholicola* (Sholakilis) and *Montecincla* (Chilappans), which are restricted to the *shola* sky island complex. Long-term research on these taxa has culminated in their taxonomic division into seven different species (Robin et al., 2017), six of which are currently accepted (Collar et al., 2020; Praveen & Jayapal, 2024). Of these, *Sholicola albiventris* (White-bellied Sholakili) and *Montecincla fairbanki* (Palani Chilappan) are found in the Anaimalai-Palani hills complex, located on the southern side of the Palghat gap - the widest biogeographical gap in Western Ghats (Robin et al., 2017). These are the species considered for this study.

FIGURE 1. Study species: *Montecincla fairbanki* (left) and *Sholicola albiventris*

(right).

Occupancy surveys are part of a sampling method involving multiple visits to

selected sites during a suitable time-period when species detection is possible, for

which a variety of sampling methods exist (Mackenzie et al., 2002). Non-detection is

a crucial parameter which gives more meaning to the proportion of sites occupied by

species and predicts the actual occupancy of the species in the study area.

(Mackenzie et al., 2002). Sites in this detection–non-detection framework is

analogous to individuals in a capture-recapture model and this model allows for

multiple techniques, observers, seasons/time-periods, missing information to be

incorporated into the design (Mackenzie et al., 2002). One of the main themes of

occupancy studies is to look at how detection probability can affect the estimation of

the distribution/occupancy of one or more species (eg: De Melo et al., 2022).

The aim of the study was to determine the occupancy status and detection

probabilities of *M. fairbanki* and *S. albiventris* in the Idukki landscape, and determine

alongside, the factors influencing these traits. The need for the study rests on the fact

that knowledge gaps exists in literature about endemic avian species in Western Ghats,

including occupancy status (Bawa, 2007; Robin & Nandini, 2012). Exacerbating this

situation is the somewhat recent taxonomic revision, which is a cause for data

deficiency for individual species of these species complexes. Threat status,

distribution, biology and other aspects of these different species are not or improperly

known, and needs reassessment.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study area and site selection

This study was carried out in the northernmost portion of Idukki district in Kerala,

India (Devikulam taluk; Figure 1). Idukki is the second largest district in the state of

Kerala, but has the lowest human population density in the state, with a population of

1,108,974 as of 2011 (ORGI, 2011). The study was centered around three main

clusters: A) Munnar B) Kanthaloor and C) Koviloor (Figure S1 shows detailed study

area map; Figure S2 shows landscape images). See Method S1 for details about these

clusters.

FIGURE 2. Study area map showing the survey sites and clusters.

For site selection, Arasumani et al. (2018) was followed and GIS data was

obtained from the authors of the paper based on the published methods. A random

systematic sampling approach was followed for site selection. 10% of the plots

generated were considered for surveys (112 plantation plots and 80 *shola* patches), a

subset of which were the (mostly) *Eucalyptus* plantations which could be actually

surveyed within the study period (n = 27).

2.2 Field methods

The study duration in Idukki was from 5th March to 17th April, 2018 (more

details in Method S2). Four temporal replicates (surveys) were carried out in each plot:

two surveys before and two after noon, with only two exceptions (assuming little to

no effect on data collected since these two surveys fall quite close to the required time

splits (AM/PM)). Each survey in the same plot was separated by a gap of at least (and

almost always) one day so as to increase detection but by maintaining it consistent

across the plots. Six minutes were spent per hectare and the differently sized plots

were surveyed on the basis of this time:area ratio. Start and end time were noted for

each survey. Detection of *S. albiventris* and *M. fairbanki* within this duration was

noted. Surveys were avoided when there was precipitation, as commonly practiced in

occupancy surveys (West et al., 2016; Shahan et al., 2017).

The surveys were conducted along animal trails/cleared paths across the plots,

diagonally whenever possible, so as to cover the longest distance (more details in

Method S2). Species observations by vocalisations were only recorded when

absolutely confident positive identification was possible (to prevent false positives).

All birds (besides the focal species) heard or seen within the survey were also noted

down and checklists of all surveys were uploaded in eBird platform to aid further

research. Details about the covariates measured/observed can be found in Method

S1.2. While other covariate observations were straightforward, a modified staff-ball

technique for measurement of horizontal cover/visibility was used during the study

(Pop, 2019) .

2.3 Analysis

Data analysis was primarily done using the package RPresence v. 2.13.60 (See

Supplementary Code for full details) with help from documentation in occupancyTuts

(Donovan et al., 2024). Both site-specific and survey-specific variables, either

continuous or categorical were used, which when combined, constituted 26 covariates

(Figure S3-S8). Correlations between continuous covariates were checked (Figure S9).

Single-season single-species model type was used for both species separately to see

the factors affecting occupancy and detectability at individual resolution, and single-

season species co-occurrence model type was employed for combined analysis of

both species. Most covariates were from field data, whereas some of them - aspect,

elevation, and slope were all extracted from the ASTER GDEM layer obtained from

USGS (ASTER GDEM is a product of METI and NASA) in QGIS (more details in

Method S3).

In the co-occurrence model of RPresence, default parameterisation and

compressed inputs (coded) were used. Continuous variables were transformed into

standardized form (Z values = (raw value – mean value)/standard deviation) with

average value taken as zero and values generally lying in between +3 to -3. This

standardizing helps in easier analysis of data, since it reduces the chances of

optimisation algorithm failure in finding correct parameter estimates when met with

large or small values of mean (Donovan et al., 2024). The number of covariates (26)

was arrived at, after the elimination of some of the potential covariates such as

presence of some invasive species - *Chromolaena odorata*, *Ageratina adenophora*

and *Parthenium hysterophorus* since the first two were found in all sites except one

and the last was found in only two sites, none of which allows for successful

modelling. The covariates of maturity of plantations were not included for analysis

since all except one patch was mostly mature.

Theoretically, there are an infinite number of models that could be created from

the data. So, focus was given on testing some hypotheses and narrowing down the

number of covariates/variables, i.e., a multi-stage approach was used (Shahan et al.,

2017). Models were hypothesized based on probable interactions of covariates on the

occupancy and detection probabilities, and ones that did not make any such sense,

were ignored. The number of covariates added for psi and p were based on constraints

posed by the sample size. Observing this constraint, the number of covariates which

were found to be significant for further testing were reduced drastically, by forward

elimination. Significant models were found using corrected Akaike Information

Criterion (AIC) values, under the Model Selection approach, which has gained

popularity over other methods such as t-tests (coefficients), F-tests (ANOVA),

adjusted R^2 and Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) (Burnham & Anderson, 2002; Johnson

& Omland, 2004).

QAICc of each model was used, instead of just AIC, to avoid the effect of low

sample size on model selection as described for avian species in Mexico (Stockwell &

Peterson, 2002) and Finland (Pakanen et al., 2018), as well as to adjust for the

observed underestimation of variances in models. The 'Q' in QAICc denotes the

quasiliklihood adjustment of AIC, and the 'c' denotes a small sample correction

added to the QAIC, which gives a big penalty for small sample sizes. Models having

ΔQAICc (Difference between the AICc value of the current model and model with the

lowest QAICc) values between 0-2 are considered the best models and between 2-7,

good models.

AIC = -2log (L) + 2K,

where L is the maximized log-likelihood/deviance and K is the correction for the

asymptotic bias/number of estimable parameters in any given model (Burnham et al.,

2011).

QAI Cc = [-2log (L)/ĉ] + 2K + [2K (K + 1)/(n−K−1)],

where n is sample size (Burnham et al., 2011) and ĉ is the quasilikelihood variance

inflation factor (calculated by χ2GOF/df i.e. Chi-squared Goodness of fit test/degrees

of freedom).

The most general set (containing the most number of parameters) in the single

species model sets (for *M. fairbanki* and *S. albiventris*) were bootstrapped (50,000

iterations each) and tested for model fit (MacKenzie and Bailey goodness of fit test)

so as to check the reliability of the models in the model set (Donovan et al., 2024). In

these models, 'ψ' (psi) is the probability of occupancy and 'p' or 'r' is the detection

probability. The strength and direction of effects of covariates were evaluated based

on several factors (see Method S3 and Supplementary Code section 4 & 5.3 for

details).

Besides the occupancy and detection probability modelling, post-hoc

simulations using the genpresEV function in RPresence was carried out to find the

optimum survey design given the ψ and p values found from the study, so as to have

higher precision of estimates, with the least expenditure of money and time (see

Supplementary Code section 6 for more details).

3. RESULTS

From the field data collected from different sites (Supplementary Data 1 & 2),

including observations taken outside of surveys (available in eBird), it was found that

~ 96.29% of the sites studied were occupied by *M. fairbanki* and ~ 51.85% of sites

studied were occupied by *S. albiventris* indicating widespread distribution of the

former in the study area and sparser distribution of the latter. Since the sites were

surveyed four times each, it is unlikely that the species were present but undetected at

all times. Cluster-wise, the occupancy status was as shown below (the numbers should

be seen in the light of different sample sizes for each cluster): A) Munnar (and parts

of Devikulam): 100% occupancy of *M. fairbanki* and only 37.50% occupancy of *S.*

albiventris B) Kanthaloor: with only 75% occupancy of *M. fairbanki* and 25%

occupancy of *S. albiventris*, the numbers were the lowest of the all the three places C)

Koviloor (with Vattavada-Kottakamboor): 100% occupancy of both species.

While all types of models were tested, almost all of the models that were found to

be significant were simple or additive linear models (including categorical models). In

addition, only one polynomial linear model was present in the final model sets. Linear

models with interactive effects were not present due to higher penalties from the

higher number of parameters.

3.1 Significant models of single-season single-species analyses of

Montecincla fairbanki

Sevety-eight significant models (with a good possibility for more) are suitable for explaining the occupancy and detectability of *M.fairbanki* out of which 14 are top models (Table S1). The top models for *M. fairbanki* include the covariates No road, Windy, Survey, Before noon, Dirt road, Slope, Elevation, and After noon.

Naïve occupancy estimate of *M. fairbanki* is 0.8519 (proportion of sites where the species were detected at least once). Here are the model-averaged (mod-avg) values for *M . fairbanki* (Figure 3, 4a; Table S2, S3): Mean of $\psi = 0.8618$, ii) Range of $\psi = 0.6659$-0.9229, iii) Range of SE of $\psi = 0.0747$-0.2889, iv) Range of 95% CI of $\psi = 0.1359$-0.9895, v) Mean of $p = 0.6554$, vi) Range of $p = 0.5171$-0.7341, vii) Range of SE of $p = 0.0737$-0.1774, viii) Range of 95% CI of $p = 0.2101$-0.8845.

FIGURE 3. Sitewise probability of occupancy (ψ) of *M. fairbanki* (Mf) and *S. albiventris* (Sa).

FIGURE 4. Site- and survey-wise probability of detection (p) of *M. fairbanki* and *S. albiventris*.

3.2 Significant models of single-season single-species analyses of *Sholicola albiventris*

Twelve significant models (with very limited possibility for more) are suitable for explaining the occupancy and detectability of *S. albiventris* out of which only three are top models (Table S4). The top models for *S. albiventris* include the covariates Elevation, Neutral, Survey, and *L. camara*.

Naïve occupancy estimate of *S. albiventris* is 0.4444. Here are the mod-avg values for *S. albiventris* (Figure 3, 4b; Table S5, S6): Mean of ψ = 0.4543, ii) Range of ψ = 0.1358-0.9972, iii) Range of SE of ψ = 0.0294-0.2431, iv) Range of 95% CI of

227 $\psi = 4.319817 \times 10^{-7}$-1, v) Mean of $p = 0.5731$, vi) Range of $p = 0.4102$-0.7939, vii)

228 Range of SE of $p = 0.1138$-0.1714, viii) Range of 95% CI of $p = 0.1827$-0.9473.

3.3 Significant models of single-season two-species analyses

Since none of the models in the set containing significant co-occurrence models had

interactive effect on ψ, the species interaction factor/SIF (denoted by phi/φ) given by

the formula, $\varphi = \psi^M \times \psi^{MS}/(\psi^M \times \psi^S)$ (1), was found to be equal to 1, providing

support to the null hypothesis that there is no significant interaction between the two

species i.e there is no evidence to suggest species avoidance (e.g., Bailey et al., 2009)

or species convergence (e.g., Richmond et al., 2010)). However, models containing

interaction factors of occupancy and detection, on detection probability were found to

be significant.

Since the SIF is zero, ψ of co-occurrence models doesn't give any more

information than is already known from the single species models. So, only the results

of detection probabilities are shown for two-species models.

3.3.1 MS format: Species A = *M. fairbanki*, Species B = *S. albiventris*:

Ten significant models (with limited possibility for more) are suitable for explaining

co-occurrence of two species, out of which only six are top models (Table S7). The

top models include the covariates Elevation, Survey, and *L. camara*.

Here are the mod-avg values of r for co-occurrence (Figure S10a, S11a, S12a;

Table S8-S10 — table description contains explanation of notations used here): Mean

of $r^M = 0.6722$, ii) Range of $r^M = 0.5784$-0.7258, iii) Range of SE of $r^M = 0.0647$-

0.1223, iv) Range of 95% CI of $r^M = 0.3393$-0.8609, v) Mean of $r^{SM} = 0.6369$, vi)

Range of $r^{SM} = 0.5237$-0.6991, vii) Range of SE of $r^{SM} = 0.0882$-0.1630, viii) Range

of 95% CI of r^{SM} = 0.2339-0.8558, ix) Mean of r^{Sm} = 0.4858, x) Range of r^{Sm} =

0.2844-0.5778, xi) Range of SE of r^{Sm} = 0.1304-0.7193, xii) Range of 95% CI of r^{Sm}

= 0.0004-0.9975.

3.3.2 SM format: Species A = *S. albiventris*, Species B = *M. fairbanki*:

Similar to the MS format, there are twelve significant models (with limited possibility

for more), out of which only six are top models (Table S11). The top models include

the same covariates Elevation, Survey, and *L. camara*. In fact, besides the swapping

of interaction of occupancy on r with interaction of detection on r in two models, all

the top six models are the same.

Here are the mod-avg values of r for co-occurrence (Figure S10b, S11b, S12b;

Table S12-S14 — table description contains explanation of notations used here):

Mean of r^S = 0.6158, ii) Range of r^S = 0.5218-0.6637, iii) Range of SE of r^S =

0.0786-0.1095, iv) Range of 95% CI of r^S = 0.3161-0.8282, v) Mean of r^{MS} = 0.6941,

vi) Range of r^{MS} = 0.6087-0.7459, vii) Range of SE of r^{MS} = 0.0683-0.1184, viii)

Range of 95% CI of r^{MS} = 0.3699-0.8761, ix) Mean of r^{Ms} = 0.6170, x) Range of r^{Ms} =

0.3658-0.7158, xi) Range of SE of r^{Ms} = 0.1049-0.7456, xii) Range of 95% CI of r^{Ms} =

0.0011-0.9967.

3.4 Effects of covariates

Several covariates were found to consistently occupy models with low ΔQAICc in all

model types.

3.4.1 Covariates influencing the ψ and p of *Montecincla fairbanki*

The strength and direction of influence of covariates on ψ are as follows (Figure 5,

Table S15a): 'No road' has a strong negative influence. In other words, presence of

roads have a positive influence. Since the covariate Dirt/foot road shows moderate to

strong positive influence on ψ, and Metalled road shows very low positive influence,

the type of roads increasing ψ are Dirt/foot roads. Elevation and slope also have a

moderate to strong influence (the former positive and the latter negative). Visibility

show a low to moderate positive influence.

Other covariates appearing in the good models for *M. fairbanki* have low to

feeble influence: Area and Understorey height have low influence, whereas Burn,

Canopy height, Aspect, Lentic and Wet lotic waterbodies, and *R. niveus* have very

low influence. Dry lotic shows feeble influence.

The strength and direction of influence of covariates on p are as follows

(Figure 6, Figure 7a, Table S15b): The covariate Windy has a strong negative

influence; Survey number and Before noon have moderate positive influence; After

noon and Clear skies have moderate negative influence; and Overcast skies show low

to moderate positive influence. Other covariates appearing in good models have low

(Cool), or very low (Visibility, Warm, and Neutral) influence on p.

FIGURE 5. Covariates affecting occupancy of *M. fairbanki*. Jitters are datapoints.

Horizontal lines in plots of binary covariates indicate mean.

FIGURE 6. Covariates affecting detection of *M. fairbanki*.

FIGURE 7. a) Unconditional and b) conditional survey-wise detection probability of

M. fairbanki and *S. albiventris*. Colour of the jitters indicate site IDs, and red line is

the mean.

3.4.2 Covariates influencing the ψ and p of *Sholicola albiventris*

The strength and direction of influence of covariates on ψ are as follows (Figure

8a,b,c; Table S16a): *L. camara* shows a very strong negative influence; Elevation

shows a strong positive influence; and Understorey height shows a moderate to strong

negative influence.

The strength and direction of influence of covariates on p are as follows

(Table S16b): 'Neutral' weather shows a strong positive influence (Figure 8d); Survey

number shows a moderate positive influence (Figure 7b); whereas Warm shows low

and Cool shows very low influence.

FIGURE 8. Covariates affecting occupancy and detection of *S. albiventris*.

3.4.1 Covariates influencing the ψ and p of Co-occurrence models

The covariates and their influence on both the MS and SM format in co-occurrence

format is nearly the same (Table S17, S18). The strength and direction of influence of

covariates on ψ are as follows (Table S17a, S18a): *L. camara* shows a very strong

negative influence; Elevation shows a very strong positive influence; 'No road' shows

a moderate to strong positive influence; and Area shows a moderate to strong positive

influence. The covariate Before noon show a low certainty of influence on r (S17b,

S18b).

3.5 Post-hoc analyses

Simulation of precision values for the mean mod-avg ψ and p for both species

indicate that there is noticeable increase in precision (decrease in standard error and

confidence interval) when doing 3 surveys instead of 2, but not much when choosing

4 surveys instead of 3 (Figure 9, 10). The number of sites depended on what level of

precision is aimed for. While the precision can be very slightly increased for ψ of both

species with slightly lesser number of surveys i.e. 105 (S = 35, K = 3) for *M. fairbanki*

and 93 (S = 31, K = 3) for *S. albiventris*, a very small increase in precision of p

requires more number of surveys than the current study i.e. 116 (S = 29, K = 4) for

both species (Table S19, S20).

FIGURE 9. The precision parameters of estimates of ψ and p of *M. fairbanki*

FIGURE 10. The precision parameters of estimates of ψ and p of *S. albiventris*.

4. DISCUSSION

M. fairbanki was located in all plots except one, indicating a widespread distribution in Idukki landscape, where *S. albiventris* was observed only in about half of the plots, indicating a rarer distribution status. Occupancy estimates for both the species were lower when carried out using RPresence (mean modavg estimates), due to the non-usage of additional data collected before or after the standardized survey times: 10.11% lesser for *M.fairbanki* and 6.42% lesser for *S.albiventris*, indicating the necessity to incorporate informal data for better understanding of distribution. Both ψ and p are generally higher for *M. fairbanki* compared to *S.albiventris*.

The larger number of significant models for *M. fairbanki* is due to the higher number of detections of this species across the landscape, making it difficult to pinpoint whether some of the covariates have a significant effect on their occupancy and detection. Conversely, since *S. albiventris* is rarer, the number of significant models is low and it's easier to pinpoint which covariates are affecting their occupancy and detection in what way.

The estimates in the occupancy models (both MS and SM format) are not very reliable due to the absence of sites where *S. albiventris* are found alone and very few sites with both species absent. But, it gives us information about what results may be expected with a larger sample size capable of detecting effects. One major result is that while there is no effect on occupancy, presence or detection of one species may result in higher detection rates for the other species. This effect is more pronounced for *S. albiventris*, whose detection is significantly higher when *M. fairbanki* is also present/detected. But this might be simply due to the presence of *M. fairbanki* through much of the landscape. More research is needed on this aspect.

Elevation is a covariate showing significant positive effect on ψ for both

species, and while the pattern of its effect on the ψ of *S. albiventris* is clear, in *M.*

fairbanki, it's not. In the former, there is an near exponential curve till about 1750 m

a.s.l., after which ψ plateaus, indicating a preference for elevation above 1750 m a.s.l..

This finding is consistent with the knowledge that these species are generally found in

high altitudes. *Sholicola* spp. are in greater abundance in the plantations above 1,500

m a.s.l. compared to plantations at the range of 1,000 to 1,500 m a.s.l (Robin and

Sukumar, 2002). Same goes for *Montecincla* spp. (Praveen & Nameer, 2012). Slope is

not significantly inversely correlated to elevation (Figure S9), yet it shows significant

negative effects on occupancy. So, *M. fairbanki* likely prefer less uneven terrain.

The absence of foot/dirt road decreasing the occupancy of *M. fairbanki*

indicates an adaptation to some level of disturbance, and possibility of them using

such pathways for some aspect of their behaviour, such as group cohesion or mating.

This species may be positively influenced by visibility, as one would expect in a

gregarious species who would need to keep track of other members.

Presence of the invasive species *L. camara* is found to have a highly significant

negative effect on ψ of *S. albiventris*, but doesn't seem to affect *M. fairbanki*. This is

concordant with the fact that *M. fairbanki* was observed using *L. camara* as pathways

to move around. Findings of a study in Karnataka, at the intersection of Western and

Eastern Ghats, indicate that the presence of *L. camara* decrease avian species

diversity at community level (Aravind et al., 2010). The current study provide

evidence that *L. camara* is doing the same in the *shola* landscapes, at least for rarer

species.

Sholicola spp. has been found be associated with streams (Robin and Sukumar,

2002), but the current study doesn't find any association of the occupancy of *S.*

albiventris with such wet lotic systems. Flowing waters may very have a weak

influence on the occupancy of *M.fairbanki*. *S. albiventris* has a preference for

understorey height of 1 m, and shows low ψ at values higher than 1 m. While

understorey height has a significant negative correlation to elevation (Figure S9), the

unique patterns indicates that the effects of both are independent.

The detection of *M. fairbanki* is lesser in windy conditions, likely because they

would stick to the undergrowth during such times, but they seem to be more active

during overcast conditions compared to clear sky conditions. And they are best

detected before noon, when compared to the afternoon. *S. albiventris* shows higher

detectability when the felt temperature is neither cool nor warm but neutral.

The survey number is a particularly interesting covariate significantly

improving the detection of both species. Since the surveys were not conducted in a

sequential manner for every sites (i.e. completing survey 1 for all sites before moving

on to survey 2), it cannot be the effect of improving detection proficiency of the

observer. There is only a slight shift in the means of survey numbers as expected,

since surveys are chronological for individual sites (Figure S13). This means that

there is a 'familiarisation effect' of the observer to the site, wherein the observer

develops a subconscious or conscious bias towards orienting towards the location

where they last detected the species, so as to potentially maximise the detection

probability.

Statistical significance could not be established for some covariates which were

also found in significant models — a) For *M. fairbankiI*: Area, Understorey height,

Burn, Canopy height, Aspect, Lentic and Wet lotic waterbodies, Dry lotic system, and

R. niveus, Visibility, and Neutral b) for both species: Warm and Cool. *R. niveus* is

recorded to be a food source (fruits) and shelter plant for *M.fairbanki*. So, the non-

significance is likely due to the non-dominance of *R. niveus* in their varied diet. More

number of surveys can be useful to understand their actual effect.

None of the sites studied were fragmented in a way to match the plot size

delineated on the map as most of them have connectivity to more plantations. Hence,

effect of habitat fragmentation can't be inferred from the data collected. In this

present study, covariates that were noted down as categorical could also be collected

as continuous variables such as wind in the Beaufort scale (Dawson & Bull, 1975).

Other covariates can also be collected such as intensity of noise (Dawson & Bull,

1975) or distance to *shola* forest patches. The confidence in conservative assertions

made with the results of the study is based on the fundamental assumption that there

are interdepencies between each plots and each recurrent detection is independent of

each other, but this may not be true as there maybe spatial autocorrelation and 'trap-

happy' responses. In analysis, adjustments for spatial autocorrelation and trap

response can be incorporated.

In the present study, there have been some observations of convergence failure in

logistic regression used in RPresence, probably as a result of the low sample size

and/or offending variables/covariates. But, resolving this may not be necessary as the

Maximum Likelihood Estimates tend to remain valid (Allison, 2008). In addition,

occupancy study of another endemic bird species in the *sholas* has also recorded

standard errors larger than estimate for covariates, even with a much larger sample

size, revealing the complexity of occupancy modelling (Lele et al., 2020). Another

fundamental assumption is that accounting for non-detection will be better than not

accounting for it. But a research article posits that the contrary is true (Welsh et al.,

2013).

Both the endemic species in this study are facing some level of threat as per the

IUCN classification. *M. fairbanki* is classified as Near Threatened whereas *S.*

albiventris is classified as Vulnerable (BirdLife International 2016, 2018). Hence, this

study is valuable in that it provides baseline information on their occupancy status,

and also information on the factors affecting their occupancy. Once a large scale study

is carried out over the full range in which these species occur, with a higher number

of site replicates enough to be representative of this range, management policies can

be devised to ensure their survival.

Under such policies, removal of the highly invasive *L. camara* can be prioritized

as it affects *S. albiventris* significantly. A study carried out in south-eastern Brazil in

Eucalyptus plantations have found out that native trees within the plantations, and the

native understorey which is in the early successional stage found within mature

plantations, had higher correlation to the presence of a subset of bird species found in

the larger landscape (Millan et al., 2015). This is similar to the observations made in

Idukki landscape (increased presence of *shola* vegetation under plantations showing

increased diversity and abundance). Therefore, forestry managers can aid

conservation of birds in *Eucalyptus* stands in tropical habitats via maintenance of

native trees within the stands and through adoption of techniques triggering

regeneration of the understorey during preparation of site, as well as rotation of stand

(Millan et al., 2015). This phenomenon is corroborated for the mature plantations in

Western Ghats (Arasumani, 2018).

There are other not-so-apparent factors that can affect the species, which needs to

be monitored systematically. Climate change, which has a profound effect of the

distribution of avian species in mountainous regions throughout the world, is one of

them (Freeman et al., 2018). An international assessment indicates that between 184

to 327 avian species (among 1009 species) in the montane regions are expected to

lose greater than half of their range, as a result of range contractions which are

warming-induced (Pimm et al., 2014). Rampant deforestation is another factor which

needs monitoring. A loss summing upto about 50% of the *shola* montane forests since

the 1850s till the mid 1990s have been observed (Sukumar et al., 1995). In Idukki,

there has been a forest loss of about 2062.3 Km^2 from 1925 to 2012, constituting

about 41.1% of loss. Hydro-electric projects were partly to blame for this, along with

agriculture (shows decreasing trend now) and plantations (increasing trend $\sim$ + 81.1

Km^2 from 1975 to 2012) (Ramachandran & Reddy, 2017).

The rise in number of plantations (mostly *Eucalyptus*), and the fact that *L.*

camara is strongly associated with *Eucalyptus* (Jobin et al., 2023) , means that

another management effort that needs to be undertaken is to limit the

spread/encroachment of *Eucalyptus* plantations into *shola* habitats. Alongside the

monitoring in the *shola* forests, these two species would also require monitoring at

plantations to understand if they can adapt to the ongoing change in habitats. Given

the Vulnerable status of *S. albiventris* (compared to the Near Threatened status of *M.*

fairbanki), more immediate focus on research may be given to this species. But a

landscape level approach is necessary to help safeguard all such range-restricted

species in peril, from known and potential threats, in the ancient evolutionary

laboratories we know as *shola* sky islands.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Permissions were taken when entering private areas. I am grateful towards Randeep

Singh for the guidance given for the project and Rajan Pilakandy for help on-field. I

would like to thank all the locals and workers in the Idukki landscape who have

provided minor help during the study. I am grateful towards Therese Donovan, James

Hines, and Darryl MacKenzie, for the occupancyTuts, which provides great

documentation for the RPresence package. Additional thanks to Mr. Hines who has

constantly provided help during the course of writing the code for analyses, by

correcting errors, clarifying queries, and even updating the package to make it easier

for me (and others).

FUNDING INFORMATION

This project was partially funded by IISER Tirupati.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author confirms that there have been no involvements that might raise the

question of bias in the work reported or in the conclusions, implications, or opinions

stated.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1n9xb_Qd_hHT4IZA83cFSalyy4GUAluB4.

Supplemental Data is also directly available from the bioRxiv site. These files will be

deposited in a dedicated long-term repository after peer-review. The only exception is

the shapefile of the study sites, which is from a third party (Arasumani et al., 2018)

and can be only be shared with their permission.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The supplementary file containing all the supplementary figures, methods, and tables

is available at

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1n9xb_Qd_hHT4IZA83cFSalyy4GUAluB4. It

is also directly available from the bioRxiv site. This file will be deposited in a

dedicated long-term repository after peer-review. The Supplementary Code with

extensive documentation for 100% reproducibility, can be found in GitHub at

https://github.com/paulvpop/Montecincla-Sholicola-occupancy.git

REFERENCES

Allison, P. D. (2008). Convergence failures in logistic regression. *SAS Global*

   Forum, *360*, 1–11. Retrieved from

   https://support.sas.com/resources/papers/proceedings/pdfs/sgf2008/360-2008.pdf

Arasumani, M., Khan, D., Das, A., Lockwood, I., Stewart, R., Kiran, R. A., … Robin,

   V. V. (2018). Not seeing the grass for the trees: Timber plantations and

   agriculture shrink tropical montane grassland by two-thirds over four decades in

   the Palani Hills, a Western Ghats Sky Island. *PLOS ONE*, *13*(1), e0190003.

   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190003

Aravind, N. A., Rao, D., Ganeshaiah, K. N., Uma Shaanker, R., & Poulsen, J. G.

   (2010). Impact of the invasive plant, Lantana camara, on bird assemblages at

Malé Mahadeshwara Reserve Forest, South India. *Tropical Ecology*, *51*(2S),

325–338. http://repository.ias.ac.in/55503/

Bawa K. S. (2007). *Ecosystem Profile: Western Ghats and Sri Lanka Biodiversity*

hotspot, Western Ghats Region. Retrieved from

https://www.cepf.net/sites/default/files/ western-ghats-ecosystem-profile-

english.pdf

Bailey, L. L., Reid, J. A., Forsman, E. D., & Nichols, J. D. (2009). Modeling co-

occurrence of northern spotted and barred owls: Accounting for detection

probability differences. *Biological Conservation*, *142*(12), 2983–2989.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.07.028

BirdLife International. (2016). *Trochalopteron fairbanki*. *The IUCN Red List of*

Threatened Species 2016: e.T103874452A95109792.

https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T103874452A95109792.en.

BirdLife International. (2018). *Myiomela albiventris*. *The IUCN Red List of*

Threatened Species 2018: e.T22735423A131860317.

https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T22735423A131860317.en.

Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (Eds.). (2002). Advanced Issues and Deeper

Insights. In *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-*

Theoretic Approach (pp. 267–351). New York, NY: Springer.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-22456-5_6

Burnham, K. P., Anderson, D. R., & Huyvaert, K. P. (2011). AIC model selection and

multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: Some background, observations, and

comparisons. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *65*(1), 23–35.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1029-6

Collar, N., de Juana, E., & Sharpe, C. J. (2020). White-bellied Sholakili *(Sholicola albiventris)*, version 1.0. In J. del Hoyo, A. Elliott, J. Sargatal, D. A. Christie, & E. de Juana (Eds.), Birds of the World. https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.whbsho3.01

Dawson, D. G., & Bull, P. C. (1975). Counting birds in New Zealand forests. *Notornis*, 22, 101–109.

https://www.birdsnz.org.nz/publications/counting-birds-in-new-zealand-forests/

De Melo, T. N., Campos-Cerqueira, M., Mendonça D'Horta, F., & Ribas, C. C. (2022). Understanding the occupancy patterns of Amazon floodplain birds. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology*, *134*(2), 169–181. https://doi.org/10.1676/21-00106

Donovan, T., Hines, J., & MacKenzie, D. (2024). occupancyTuts: Occupancy modelling tutorials with RPresence. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *15*(3), 477–483. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.14285

Freeman, B. G., Scholer, M. N., Ruiz-Gutierrez, V., & Fitzpatrick, J. W. (2018). Climate change causes upslope shifts and mountaintop extirpations in a tropical bird community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(47), 11982–11987. (world). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804224115

Jobin, V., Das, A., Harikrishnan, C. P., Chanda, R., Lawrence, S., & Robin, V. V. (2023). Patterns of understory invasion in invasive timber stands of a tropical sky island. *Ecology and Evolution*, *13*(4), e9995. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9995

Johnson, J. B., & Omland, K. S. (2004). Model selection in ecology and evolution.

Trends in Ecology & Evolution, *19*(2), 101–108.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.10.013

Lele, A., Arasumani, M., Vishnudas, C. K., Joshi, V., Jathanna, D., & Robin, V. V.

(2020). Elevation and landscape change drive the distribution of a montane,

endemic grassland bird. *Ecology and evolution*, 10(14), 7755-7767.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6500

MacKenzie, D. I., Nichols, J. D., Lachman, G. B., Droege, S., Andrew Royle, J., &

Langtimm, C. A. (2002). Estimating Site Occupancy Rates When Detection

Probabilities Are Less Than One. *Ecology*, *83*(8), 2248–2255.

https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2248:ESORWD]2.0.CO;2

Millan, C. H., Develey, P. F., & Verdade, L. M. (2015). Stand-level management

practices increase occupancy by birds in exotic Eucalyptus plantations. *Forest*

Ecology and Management, *336*, 174–182.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.10.005

Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner of India (ORGI) (2011).

Executive Summary. Retrieved from

https://censusindia.gov.in/nada/index.php/catalog/6191

Pakanen, V.-M., Karvonen, J., Mäkelä, J., Hietaniemi, J.-P., Jaakkonen, T.,

Kaisanlahti, E., … Orell, M. (2018). Cold weather increases winter site fidelity in

a group-living passerine. *Journal of Ornithology*, *159*(1), 211–219.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-017-1505-0

Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., Abell, R., Brooks, T. M., Gittleman, J. L., Joppa, L.

N., … Sexton, J. O. (2014). The biodiversity of species and their rates of

extinction, distribution, and protection. *Science*, *344*(6187), 1246752.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246752

Pop, P. (2019). Modified Staff-ball Technique for Densely Vegetated Areas. *Protocol*

Exchange. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.pex-753/v1

Praveen, J., & Nameer, P. O. (2012). Strophocincla Laughingthrushes of South India:

a case for allopatric speciation and impact on their conservation. *Journal of*

Bombay Natural History Society, 109, 46–52.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255962881_Strophocincla_Laughingthr

ushes_of_South_India_a_case_for_allopatric_speciation_and_impact_on_their_c

onservation

Praveen J., & Jayapal, R., (2024, April 12). Checklist of the birds of India (v8.1).

Retrieved from http://www.indianbirds.in/india/

Ramachandran, R. M., & Reddy, C. S. (2017). Monitoring of deforestation and land

use changes (1925–2012) in Idukki district, Kerala, India using remote sensing

and GIS. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, *45*(1), 163–170.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-015-0521-x

Richmond, O. M. W., Hines, J. E., & Beissinger, S. R. (2010). Two-species

occupancy models: A new parameterisation applied to co-occurrence of secretive

rails. *Ecological Applications*, *20*(7), 2036–2046. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-

0470.1

Robin, V. V., & Nandini, R. (2012). Shola habitats on sky islands: Status of research

on montane forests and grasslands in southern India. *Current Science*, *103*(12),

1427–1437.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263890631_Shola_habitats_on_sky_isl

ands_Status_of_research_On_montane_forests_and_grasslands_in_southern_Indi

a

Robin, V. V., & Sukumar, R. (2002). Status and habitat preference of White-bellied

Shortwing Brachypteryx major in the Western Ghats (Kerala and Tamilnadu),

India. *Bird Conservation International*, *12*(4), 335–351.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959270902002216

Robin, V. V., Vishnudas, C. K., Gupta, P., Rheindt, F. E., Hooper, D. M.,

Ramakrishnan, U., & Reddy, S. (2017). Two new genera of songbirds represent

endemic radiations from the Shola Sky Islands of the Western Ghats, India. *BMC*

Evolutionary Biology, *17*(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-0882-6

Sekercioglu, C. H., Schneider, S. H., Fay, J. P., & Loarie, S. R. (2008). Climate

Change, Elevational Range Shifts, and Bird Extinctions. *Conservation Biology*,

22(1), 140–150. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00852.x

Shahan, J. L., Goodwin, B. J., & Rundquist, B. C. (2017). Grassland songbird

occurrence on remnant prairie patches is primarily determined by landscape

characteristics. *Landscape Ecology*, *32*(5), 971–988.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-017-0500-4

Stockwell, D. R. B., & Peterson, A. T. (2002). Effects of sample size on accuracy of

species distribution models. *Ecological Modelling*, *148*(1), 1–13.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00388-X

Sukumar, R., Suresh, H. S., & Ramesh, R. (1995). Climate Change and Its Impact on

Tropical Montane Ecosystems in Southern India. *Journal of Biogeography*,

22(2/3), 533–536. https://doi.org/10.2307/2845951

Warshall, P. (1995). *The Madrean Sky Island Archipelago: A planetary overview.*

(General Technical Report RM-GTR-264). Retrieved from

https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_rm/rm_gtr264/rm_gtr264_006_018.pdf

Welsh, A. H., Lindenmayer, D. B., & Donnelly, C. F. (2013). Fitting and Interpreting

Occupancy Models. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(1), e52015.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052015

West, A. S., Keyser, P. D., Lituma, C. M., Buehler, D. A., Applegate, R. D., &

Morgan, J. (2016). Grasslands bird occupancy of native warm-season grass. *The*

Journal of Wildlife Management, *80*(6), 1081–1090.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21103