Glycogen phase separation drives macromolecular rearrangement and

asymmetric division in *Escherichia coli*

Yashna Thappeta[1,2,†], Silvia J. Cañas-Duarte[1,4,†], Haozhen Wang[1,4], Till Kallem[5], Alessio

Fragasso[1,2], Yingjie Xiang[6], William Gray[6], Cheyenne Lee[6,††], Georgeos Hardo[7,&], Lynette

Cegelski[5], Christine Jacobs-Wagner[1,2,3,4*]

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

[1]Sarafan Chemistry, Engineering, and Medicine for Human Health Institute, Stanford

University, Stanford, CA, USA

[2]Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

[3]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

[4]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, USA

[5]Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

[6]Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

[7] Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, UK

[*]For correspondence: jacobs-wagner@stanford.edu

Present address:

[††]Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University, Durham, NC,

USA

[&]Department of Biology, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, UAE

ABSTRACT

Bacteria often experience nutrient limitation. While the exponential and stationary growth phases have been characterized in the model bacterium *Escherichia coli*, little is known about what transpires inside individual cells during the transition between these two phases. Through quantitative cell imaging, we found that the positions of nucleoids and cell division sites become increasingly asymmetric during transition phase. These asymmetries were coupled with asymmetric reorganization of protein, ribosome, and RNA probes in the cytoplasm. Results from live-cell imaging experiments, complemented with genetic and ^{13}C whole-cell nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies, show that preferential accumulation of the storage polymer glycogen at the old cell pole leads to the observed rearrangements and asymmetric divisions. Live-cell atomic force microscopy analysis, combined with in vitro biochemical experiments, suggests that these phenotypes are due to the propensity of glycogen to phase separate into soft condensates in the crowded cytoplasm. Glycogen-associated differences in cell sizes between strains and future daughter cells suggest that glycogen phase separation allows cells to store large glucose reserves without counting them as cytoplasmic space.

KEYWORDS

Asymmetric division/ bacteria/ glycogen/ nutrient limitation/ phase separation

INTRODUCTION

Spatial order is an inherent feature of living cells across the tree of life. In eukaryotic cells, this is exemplified by the presence of membrane-bound organelles. These cells also display extensive membrane-less organization, including that mediated by phase separation between various cellular components (Alberti & Hyman, 2021; Banani *et al*, 2017; Boeynaems *et al*, 2018; Hyman *et al*, 2014; Rostam *et al*, 2023). Although bacteria typically lack membrane-bound organelles in their cytoplasm, they exhibit spatial organization at multiple levels (Surovtsev & Jacobs-Wagner, 2018), in the form of protein localization, chromosome structure, and phase-separated condensates involving proteins and/or nucleic acids, to name a few (Azaldegui *et al*, 2021; Bakshi *et al*, 2012; Cohan & Pappu, 2020; Landgraf *et al*, 2012). While subcellular organization is particularly evident in bacteria that undergo developmental programs and/or have dimorphic life cycles (e.g., sporulating bacteria, asymmetrically dividing α-proteobacteria), even bacteria with comparatively simpler life cycles, such as *Escherichia coli* display spatial organization. For example, *E. coli* divides precisely in the middle of the cell through two separate mechanisms. The time-average pole-to-pole oscillation of Min proteins positions the FtsZ cytokinetic ring at mid-cell (Lutkenhaus, 2008; Shih & Zheng, 2013), while nucleoid occlusion prevents cell constriction over nucleoid regions (Woldringh *et al*, 1990). Furthermore, *E. coli* spatially organizes two of its most important cytoplasmic components: the chromosome and polysomes (mRNAs in complex with ribosomes engaged in translation). The chromosome, which compacts into a meshwork structure known as the nucleoid, is spatially positioned inside cells, either near the cell center prior to DNA replication or near the ¼ and ¾ cell positions after DNA replication and segregation

(Badrinarayanan *et al*, 2012; Bates & Kleckner, 2005; Sherratt, 2003). Polysomes adopt

a near-opposite localization profile. They are depleted in the nucleoid and enriched in

nucleoid-free regions (Azam *et al*, 2000; Bakshi *et al*, 2012; Chai *et al*, 2014). This

anticorrelated pattern is thought to be mediated at least in part by the steric exclusion of

polysomes from the nucleoid mesh (Mondal *et al*, 2011; Papagiannakis *et al*, 2025;

Castellana *et al*, 2016). In contrast, smaller components such as free ribosomal subunits

and cytoplasmic proteins can diffuse throughout the nucleoid unimpeded (Amselem *et al*,

2023; Bakshi *et al*, 2012; Sanamrad *et al*, 2014), resulting in homogeneous distribution

throughout the cytoplasm. This archetypical macromolecular organization is reproduced

every generation.

The bulk of our knowledge of *E. coli* (and other well-studied bacteria) has largely

been derived from exponentially growing cultures. In their natural environments, *E. coli*

and other bacteria often experience nutrient deprivation to which they must adapt

(Dworkin & Harwood, 2022). In the laboratory, nutrient deprivation occurs in batch

cultures when cells exit exponential growth and transition to stationary phase due to the

limitation of an essential nutrient. Growth arrest, however, is not immediate. As nutrient

availability decreases, cell growth slows progressively until the cell density reaches

saturation, marking the onset of stationary phase (Dworkin & Harwood, 2022). During this

transition, cells are thought to block new rounds of DNA replication before they stop

dividing, ultimately entering stationary phase with a smaller size and lower chromosome

content on average relative to their exponential phase counterparts (Åkerlund *et al*, 1995).

Yet, the transition between exponential growth and stationary phase remains under-

characterized, especially at the single-cell and subcellular organizational levels. This

study sets out to fill this gap in knowledge.

RESULTS

Population characteristics change along the culture growth curve.

We first used microscopy and DNA staining with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to

quantify various cellular characteristics (cell area, nucleoid area, number of nucleoids per

cell, fraction of dividing cells, intracellular positioning of the division site and of the

nucleoid, etc.) along the growth curve of liquid cultures of *E. coli* at 30˚C in M9 medium

containing 0.2% glucose, casamino acids and thiamine (M9gluCAAT). Under our

experimental conditions, cultures exhibited exponential growth up to an optical density

(OD) at 600 nm of about 1.0, after which population growth slowed down gradually until

reaching saturating ODs around 3.0 (Fig 1A). While the culture might experience a diauxic

shift in this medium when transitioning between exponential and stationary phases, we

will hereafter refer to this period of sub-exponential growth (between ODs ~1 and ~3) as

"transition phase" for simplicity (Fig 1A). This phase is more readily discernible in a

logarithmic scale than a linear one (Fig 1A, inset), as it better highlights the deviation from

exponential growth.

Imaging live cells from the same liquid culture at a high sampling resolution along

the growth curve was technically impractical with our setup. Therefore, we imaged DAPI-

stained cells (Fig 1B) from independent cultures at multiple ODs on different days and

examined how various cellular characteristics may change as a function of the OD. As

expected (Buchanan, 1918; Morita, 1990; Bakshi *et al*, 2021), we found that the average

cell area decreased with increasing OD (Fig 1C). The mean nucleoid area also decreased

as cells entered transition phase (Fig 1D), as did the mean number of nucleoids per cell

(Fig 1E). As a result, the fraction of cells with a single nucleoid increased in transition

phase, reaching a plateau at OD ~ 1.5, before the cell density saturated (Fig 1F).

Meanwhile, the fraction of cells undergoing constriction at the division site gradually

decreased around the onset of transition phase (OD ~1) and continued to decrease until

the culture reached stationary phase (Fig 1G). These results are consistent with cells

undergoing one or more so-called 'reductive divisions' after DNA replication initiation has

stopped (Nyström, 2004; Nyström & Kjelleberg, 1989). This uncoupling between DNA

replication and cell division explains the reduction in chromosome copy number per cell

by the time the culture enters stationary phase (Åkerlund *et al*, 1995).

To our surprise, while the position of the cell constriction site was symmetric across

cell populations sampled during exponential phase (OD < 1), it became increasingly

asymmetric during sub-exponential growth in the transition phase (OD > 1) (Fig 1H).

Transition phase cells with asymmetric constriction sites also displayed asymmetrically

positioned nucleoids, creating larger DNA-free space at one pole than the other (Fig 1B,

inset where the magenta arrowheads indicate the cell constriction sites). To quantify this

asymmetric feature, we calculated the absolute distance between the nucleoid mid-point

and the cell center, normalized by cell length. For cells with two nucleoids, we averaged

their relative mid-points such that when the center of each nucleoid was positioned at the

quarter cell positions, the mean mid-point was located at the cell center (see schematic

in Fig 1I). In exponentially growing populations, the mean nucleoid mid-point was

maintained close to cell center (Fig 1I, grey curves). In stationary phase, the nucleoid

position was more variable (Fig 1I, blue curves), as qualitatively noted before (Chai *et al*,

2014). The most striking phenotype was observed in transition phase when populations

often displayed a bimodal distribution of nucleoid mid-points (Fig 1I, red curves). This

result implies that the nucleoid mid-point tends to be closer to a pole than the cell center,

confirming visual inspection (Fig 1B, inset).

Cells exhibit asymmetric intracellular distributions of ribosomes, RNAs, and

cytosolic protein probes in transition phase.

Given the common offset in division site and nucleoid positioning in transition-phase cells,

we wondered whether the intracellular organization of other macromolecules also

changes when cells exit exponential phase. First, we examined the localization of

mCherry-tagged ribosomal protein RplA and freely diffusing msfGFP in the cytoplasm. As

expected, in exponential phase, msfGFP was distributed homogeneously throughout the

cytoplasm while the RplA-mCherry signal was enriched outside the DAPI-stained

nucleoid regions (Fig 2A). The latter is consistent with most ribosomes forming polysomes

(Phillips *et al*, 1969; Mohapatra & Weisshaar, 2018) and polysomes being partially

excluded from the nucleoid (Azam *et al*, 2000; Bakshi *et al*, 2012; Chai *et al*, 2014). In

contrast, in transition phase, both msfGFP and RplA-mCherry signals were depleted from

the cell pole farthest away from the asymmetrically localized nucleoid labeled with DAPI

(Fig 2A). This depletion was confirmed quantitatively across the population (n > 488 cells)

by calculating the normalized pole signal difference, which is the fluorescence signal at

one (randomly selected) pole subtracted from the corresponding signal at the other pole,

and divided by the average fluorescence in the cell. The distributions of normalized pole

signal differences were narrow and close to 0 in exponential phase for both msfGFP and

RplA-mCherry (Fig 2B), consistent with near-equal concentrations of fluorescent markers

between cell poles. In contrast, the distributions of the normalized pole differences were

broader and bimodal in transition-phase cells (Fig 2B). In these cells, signal pole

differences that were negative (positive) for msfGFP were also negative (positive) for

RplA-mCherry (Fig 2B). This correlation (Spearman's correlation ρ = 0.67) indicates that

the ribosomes and protein probes are preferentially depleted from the same pole in

transition-phase cells. We observed the same intracellular reorganization in transition

phase using other ribosomal protein fusions (RplA-msfGFP, RplA-mCherry, or RpsB-

msfGFP) and another cytoplasmic protein probe (mScarlet-I) (Fig EV1A-B). Furthermore,

GFP derivatives with surface net charges varying between -30 and +15, which reflect

most of the range of net surface charges in the *E. coli* proteome (Schavemaker *et al*,

2017), were similarly enriched in the nucleoid region during transition phase (Fig EV1C).

The comparable localization phenotype across cytoplasmic protein probes of different

charges and genetic origins (Fig 2A, Fig EV1B-C) suggests that this subcellular

reorganization is likely common among cytoplasmic proteins during transition phase.

To determine whether this change in localization pattern extends beyond

ribosomes and cytoplasmic protein probes, we also assessed RNA localization in two

ways. First, we used a cytosolic mutant variant of RNase E, RNase E ΔMTS, fused to

mCherry (Strahl *et al*, 2015), based on the assumption that its localization would, at least

in part, reflect that of its RNA substrates. RNase E, which is involved in the degradation

of mRNAs and the processing of rRNAs (Strahl *et al*, 2015), is normally membrane-

associated via a short membrane-targeting sequence (MTS) (Khemici *et al*, 2008).

Deletion of the MTS sequence releases the protein into the cytoplasm (Khemici *et al*,

2008). We found that in exponentially growing cells, a mCherry fusion to ΔMTS RNase E

mutant exhibited various degrees of accumulation in nucleoid-free regions (Fig 2C). This

localization pattern changed during transition phase, with RNase E ΔMTS-mCherry

primarily colocalizing with the asymmetrically localized nucleoid, resulting in a marked

depletion of the RNase E ΔMTS-mCherry marker at the pole most distal to the nucleoid

(Fig 2C). This switch was confirmed at the population level by the drastic change in the

distribution of the normalized pole signal differences between phases (n ≥ 3110 cells, Fig

2D). The values in signal pole difference centered around 0 in exponential phase,

indicating a near-equal distribution of probe signal between the cell poles. In contrast, the

distribution became bimodal with peak values deviating from 0 (Fig 2D), indicative of pole

depletion (Fig 2D), as observed for the ribosomes and protein markers (Fig 2B). Second,

we imaged cells incubated with SYTO RNASelect, a membrane-permeable fluorogenic

RNA dye that has been validated in *E. coli* (Bakshi *et al*, 2014). We found that the

RNASelect signal, which was relatively homogeneously distributed in exponential-phase

cells, exhibited depletion at a cell pole during transition phase (Fig EV1D).

So far, for our analyses of signal depletion at the cell poles, we used snapshot

images where the pole identity was unknown and thus randomly assigned. To examine

whether the pole selection is stochastic or deterministic, we carried out timelapse

microscopy on transition-phase cells carrying RplA-GFP and a DNA-binding protein HupA

fused to mCherry to visualize the nucleoid and ribosomes, respectively. These

experiments revealed that the HupA-mCherry-labeled nucleoids of cells in transition

phase remained closer to the new pole generated at division (Fig 2E, Video EV1). The

ribosome signal largely followed the nucleoid, resulting in ribosome depletion

preferentially at the old pole (Fig 2E, Video EV1). This pattern was observed in 88.6% of

all observed division events (695 out of 784), consistent with a deterministic pole selection.

The cytoplasmic reorganization in transition phase cannot be explained by

membrane retraction or nucleoid association.

It was recently reported that, in stationary phase or upon acute starvation, the inner

membrane of *E. coli* cells often retracts due to the sudden loss of cytoplasmic water and

atrophy of the cytoplasmic volume (Shi *et al*, 2021). Therefore, we wondered whether a

membrane collapse may explain both the observed nucleoid position asymmetry and the

enrichment of cytoplasmic components in the nucleoid space in transition phase. Based

on phase-contrast images and cell staining with MitoTracker Green, a fluorescent dye

that labels the inner membrane (Shi *et al*, 2021), we found no evidence of membrane

retraction driving fluorescent signal depletion at the poles during transition phase (Fig

EV2A). In fact, membrane retraction was rare during this phase and observed in only 2

cells out of 3035.

Interestingly, when we generated filamentous polyploid cells in transition phase

using the cell division inhibitor cephalexin (Hedge & Spratt, 1985; Pogliano *et al*, 1997;

Rolinson, 1980), we observed that the ribosome (RplA-mCherry) and protein (msfGFP)

probes colocalize with the nucleoids and are depleted in nucleoid-free spaces, including

between nucleoids (Fig 2F). We confirmed this localization pattern for msfGFP using an

FtsZ depletion strain as an orthogonal method to block cell division (Fig EV2B). Given the

colocalization with the nucleoid, we questioned whether the observed rearrangement of

cytoplasmic components may be driven by direct or indirect association with the nucleoid.

Two lines of evidence suggest otherwise. First, in filamentous polynucleoid cells, we

occasionally observed a sharp accumulation of fluorescently labeled ribosomes and

msfGFP in nucleoid-free space (Fig 2G, purple arrowhead and bracket area, respectively).

Second, we noted that the intensity profiles of the msfGFP signal extend beyond that of

the DAPI signal (Fig 2A). This was not due to the lower excitation wavelength of DAPI

relative to that of the fluorescent protein probes, as the msfGFP signal also extended

beyond the nucleoid signal labeled with HupA-mCherry (Fig 2H), which emits at a higher

wavelength than msfGFP. Thus, the intracellular rearrangement of ribosomes and

proteins in transition phase is not driven by an association with the nucleoid. Instead, it

suggests that these cytoplasmic components are excluded by a cytosolic element that

accumulates in nucleoid-free space during transition phase, particularly at the old pole

when cells are allowed to divide.

Glycogen accumulation contributes to the transition-phase phenotypes.

In our search for the cytosolic element driving the observed intracellular reorganization in

transition phase, we used whole-cell ^{13}C cross-polarization magic-angle spinning

(CPMAS) solid-state NMR to compare the carbon composition of cells between

exponential and transition phases. We found that in comparison to exponential-phase

samples, transition-phase samples showed a large increase in carbon intensities in the

^{13}C CPMAS NMR spectra between 110 and 55 ppm (Fig 3A, top spectra), characteristic

of polysaccharide contributions. We speculated that these carbon intensity increases

might correspond to an accumulation of glycogen, a glucose polymer that *E. coli* cells can

accumulate under limitation of a nutrient such as nitrogen, sulfur, or phosphate (Preiss,

1984; Wilson *et al*, 2010; Preiss & Romeo, 1994; Zevenhuizen, 1966; Mulder *et al*, 1962;

Sigal *et al*, 1964; Madsen, 1963). Furthermore, glycogen has been reported to

accumulate at cell poles (Shively, 1974; Preiss, 1984; Liu *et al*, 2021b; Alonso-Casajús

et al, 2006; Preiss & Romeo, 1994). Consistent with our speculation, the ^{13}C CPMAS

NMR spectra of bovine and mussel-derived glycogen were consistent with the spectral

changes observed in transition phase samples (Fig 3A, middle spectra). We then

generated a glycogen-deficient strain ($\Delta glgBXCAP$) by deleting the glycogen metabolic

operon from the chromosome. The ^{13}C CPMAS NMR spectrum of transition-phase

samples from this glycogen-deficient strain lacked the large carbon intensity increase

observed in the wild-type (WT) strain (Fig 3A, bottom spectra), confirming that this peak

corresponds to glycogen.

Next, we examined whether the accumulation of glycogen in transition phase

drives the phenotypes we observed in that phase, starting with the asymmetries in cell

constriction and nucleoid positioning. Since the extent of these asymmetries changes with

the OD during transition phase (Fig 1H-I), we co-cultured WT and $\Delta glgBXCAP$ strains to

compare their phenotypes at precisely the same OD. Cells from each strain carried a

different fluorescent protein, either mVenus or mSCFP3, to allow their differentiation by

fluorescence microscopy (Fig 3B). These strains also expressed HupA-mCherry for

nucleoid visualization (Fig 3B). We found that the offset of cell constriction and nucleoid

positions from the cell center was minimal for both glycogen-free ($\Delta glgBXCAP$) and

glycogen-producing (WT) cells in exponential phase (Fig. 3C-D, Mix 1). While the offsets

considerably increased in transition phase for the WT cells, they did not for the mutant

cells (Fig 3C-D, Mix 1). We obtained similar results across biological replicates (Fig EV4,

Fig EV5) or when the strain-identifying proteins mVenus and mSCFP3 were swapped

between the WT and Δ*glgBXCAP* backgrounds (Fig 3C-D, Mix 2). The findings are

consistent with glycogen production in transition phase driving the observed nucleoid and

cell constriction asymmetries.

Deletion of the glycogen biosynthesis operon also abrogated the intracellular

rearrangement of ribosomes (RplA-mCherry) and protein probes (msfGFP) in transition

phase (Fig 3E to compare with WT data in Fig 2B). This was also true for the RNase E

ΔMTS-mCherry marker (Fig 3F to compare with WT data in Fig 2D).

We reasoned that if the physical presence of glycogen at cell poles drives the

transition-phase phenotypes, the severity of the phenotypes should correlate with the

extent of glycogen accumulation across cells. To visualize glycogen in live cells, we built

a glycogen biosensor and placed its synthesis under the control of the isopropyl β-D-1-

thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible promoter P$_{tac}$ inserted on the chromosome.

Inspired by a previous eukaryotic study (Skurat *et al*, 2017), we generated a translational

mGFPmut3 fusion to the N-terminus of the starch/glycogen binding domain (CBM20) of

the human protein Stbd1. We found that the basal expression from the P$_{tac}$ promoter (i.e.,

without IPTG addition) was sufficient to visualize the glycogen sensor when cells reach

transition phase, likely due to metabolic regulation (Bren *et al*, 2013; Grossman *et al*,

1998). In the absence of glycogen production, the distribution of the glycogen sensor

remained diffuse throughout the cytoplasm of Δ*glgBXCAP* cells, similar to a free

fluorescent protein such as mScarlet-I (Fig 3G). In contrast, glycogen accumulation in WT

cells during transition phase resulted in the accumulation of the glycogen sensor in

nucleoid-free space, with its highest concentrations observed in regions from where

mScarlet-I was depleted (Fig 3G). The anti-correlation of the fluorescent glycogen

biosensor with both the nucleoid marker (DAPI) and the cytoplasmic protein probe

(mScarlet-I) across cells (n = 3,591) was evident by their markedly negative values for

the signal correlation factor (SCF) (Fig 3H), a metric that assesses the correlation

between two signals at pixel resolution within individual cells (Gray *et al*, 2019).

Furthermore, the glycogen sensor tended to accumulate more at one pole than the other

and this asymmetric enrichment correlated strongly with the nucleoid offset from midcell

(Fig 3I, n = 5794 cells, Spearman's correlation ρ = 0.75), consistent with glycogen

accumulation effectively "pushing" the nucleoid closer to the opposite pole.

Another line of support for the physical presence of glycogen driving the transition-

phase phenotypes was provided by observations of cephalexin-treated cells expressing

the glycogen sensor and fluorescently labeled ribosomes. Whenever we observed a band

of ribosome enrichment in nucleoid-free space (as first illustrated in Fig 2G), this region

was flanked by glycogen accumulations (Fig 3J). This observation was independently

corroborated   using   2-NBDG   (2-(N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino)-2-

deoxyglucose) (Yoshioka *et al*, 1996b), a glucose analog that is taken up by *E. coli*

(Yoshioka *et al*, 1996b, 1996a) where it can be incorporated into the glycogen polymer

(Zhu *et al*, 2020). Upon uptake, the analog is modified to a non-fluorescent form, resulting

in a loss of fluorescence signal (Yoshioka *et al*, 1996a) and leading to a low signal-to-

noise   ratio.   Nevertheless,   the   signal   intensity   was   sufficient   to   reveal   2-NBDG

accumulations flanking ribosome enrichment in nucleoid-free space of cephalexin-treated

cells producing glycogen during transition phase (Fig EV3).

Preferential glycogen accumulation at the old cell pole through inheritance contributes to cellular asymmetries.

We hypothesize that the preferential accumulation of glycogen at the old pole through

inheritance over divisions (Boehm *et al*, 2016) causes the cellular asymmetries observed

during transition phase. To test this hypothesis, we performed microfluidic experiments

on a mother machine-like device in which we visualized the localization of both the

glycogen sensor and the nucleoids (HupA-mCherry) in single cells. For the analysis, we

focused only on the "mother" cells (i.e., those located at the closed end of the trenches,

see Fig 4A), as they can be tracked over many generations (Wang *et al*, 2010). By

connecting the microfluidic device to a liquid batch culture, the cells inside the trenches

experienced the same changes in nutrient levels during the exponential-to-stationary

phase transition as those in the batch culture (Fig 4A) (Bakshi *et al*, 2021). At the start of

imaging, exponentially growing mother cells already had a small, but detectable glycogen

sensor signal at the old pole (Fig 4B), presumably because these cells inherited the old

pole and its content at each division over many generations, resulting in a gradual build-

up. *E. coli* is indeed known to synthesize glycogen at a low (basal) rate under exponential

growing conditions (Wang *et al*, 2020) . Under our experimental conditions, mother cells

transitioned between exponential and stationary phases after 3 to 4 generations based

on cell growth measurements (Fig EV6). We found that mother cells increased the area

of glycogen sensor signal at the old pole at each generation (Fig 4C), consistent with a

progressive accumulation of glycogen due to inheritance at division combined with de

novo synthesis.

Furthermore, the nucleoid offset from midcell correlated with the area of glycogen

sensor signal at the old pole, with both variables increasing over time (Fig 4D). This is in

agreement with the preferential accumulation of glycogen at the old pole effectively

"pushing" the nucleoid toward the new pole by mutual exclusion. As glycogen

accumulation at the old pole increased at each generation (Fig 4C), so did the nucleoid

position offset (Fig 4E). In contrast, the nucleoid position offset did not increase in the

glycogen-devoid Δ*glgBXCAP* mother cells experiencing the same conditions in separate

channels (Fig 4E). These observations are consistent with a causal relationship between

glycogen accumulation and nucleoid position asymmetry. Consumption of glycogen

during stationary phase (Fung *et al*, 2013; Wang *et al*, 2021, 2020) explains the gradual

decline in nucleoid position asymmetry observed with prolonged time in this phase (Fig

1I, Fig EV5).

Nucleoid position asymmetry correlates with division asymmetry across cells

What promotes asymmetric division in cells in transition phase? We considered two

possibilities. First, large accumulations of glycogen at the old pole may interfere with the

pole-to-pole oscillatory behavior of MinD, which, through its binding partner MinC, is

known to affect the position of the FtsZ cytokinetic ring on time average (Shih & Zheng,

2013; Lutkenhaus, 2008). Second, by effectively pushing the nucleoid toward the new

pole, the accumulation of glycogen at the old pole may shift the division site toward the

new pole through nucleoid occlusion, a fail-safe mechanism that prevents cell constriction

over nucleoid regions, thereby avoiding chromosome scissoring events(Woldringh *et al*,

1990). We found the first possibility unlikely as MinD-GFP continued to oscillate from the

edge of one pole to the other in transition-phase cells even when nucleoid asymmetry

was evident (Video EV2). The apparent lack of interference is presumably due to

glycogen accumulations not adhering to the cytoplasmic membrane, allowing MinD-GFP

to diffuse around them and interact with the membrane at the poles. To test the nucleoid

occlusion hypothesis, we measured the positions of nucleoids (labeled with HupA-

mCherry) and cell constriction sites relative to the cell center from snapshot images of

transition-phase cells (where the pole identity is unknown and thus randomly assigned).

We found these two variables to be highly correlated across the cell populations (Fig 5A),

with a Spearman's correlation of $\rho > 0.58$ across replicates (n = 6 with n > 278 cells for

each replicate), consistent with a mechanism in which the preferential enrichment of

glycogen at the old pole promotes asymmetric division primarily by offsetting nucleoid

positioning.

Glycogen accumulation leads to a corresponding cell size increase.

The preferential accumulation of glycogen at the old pole also affects the cytoplasmic

organization of the cell by partially excluding ribosomes, proteins, and RNAs (Fig 3). If

division were symmetric and produced two daughter cells of equal size, the daughter cell

that inherits the glycogen-richer pole would therefore receive less cytoplasmic content

than its sibling. However, analysis of constricting cells in transition phase revealed that

the future daughter cells with more glycogen tended to be bigger than their future siblings

with less glycogen (Fig 5B), with a Spearman's correlation $\rho = 0.54$ (P value = 0 n = 365

cells). While there was considerable noise in our measurements, a linear fit across the

data suggested a near direct proportionality (i.e., slope ~ 1) between the difference in

glycogen amount and the difference in cell size between future daughter cells (Fig 5B).

This cell size difference is unlikely to be due solely to a growth benefit associated with

glycogen metabolism since it is generated in the mother cell before cell constriction is

complete, i.e., when the cytoplasm (and thus, the metabolism) of the two future daughter

cells is still shared (Fig 5B). Thus, cells do not appear to "count" glycogen accumulations

as cytoplasmic space and effectively create extra (i.e., bonus) space to accommodate the

large amount of glycogen produced in transition phase.

This bonus-space hypothesis predicts that glycogen-deficient cells should, on

average, be smaller than WT cells producing glycogen. To test this prediction, we

compared the size of glycogen-producing (WT) and glycogen-deficient (ΔglgBXCAP)

cells grown in co-cultures (Fig 3B). Consistent with our hypothesis, co-cultures of

mVenus-expressing WT and mSCFP3-expressing ΔglgBXCAP cells revealed that

glycogen-deficient cells were smaller than glycogen-producing cells in transition phase

(Fig 5C, Mix 1). We obtained similar results when the fluorophores were swapped

between the WT and ΔglgBXCAP backgrounds (Fig 5C, Mix 2), indicating that the cell

size difference was independent of the type of fluorescent protein used to identify cells.

Smaller but statistically significant differences in cell area were also found between WT

and ΔglgBXCAP cells in exponential phase (Fig 5C), which is consistent with basal

glycogen accumulation during this growth phase (Wang *et al*, 2020).

We also found that the nucleoid signal occupies a considerably smaller fraction of

the cytoplasm in glycogen-producing (WT) cells compared to the glycogen-deficient

(ΔglgBXCAP) mutant, particularly in transition-phase cells. This notable difference is

evident in single-cell images (Fig 3B, single-cell images) and is further demonstrated at

the population level by the lower nucleocytoplasmic (NC) ratios (defined by the nucleoid

area divided by the cell area) in WT cells relative to Δ*glgBXCAP* cells (Fig 5D). This

disparity was primarily attributable to the differences in cell size between the two strains

during the transition phase (Fig 5C), as the nucleoid areas themselves were

comparatively more similar (Fig. 5E).

Glycogen condensates exclude fluorescent proteins in vitro.

In the bacteriology literature, glycogen accumulations are often described as "granules"

due to their round or oval shapes when visualized by electron microscopy (Preiss, 1984;

Liu *et al*, 2021b; Alonso-Casajús *et al*, 2006; Preiss & Romeo, 1994). This term can give

the impression of a solid. However, recent work in mammalian liver cells has reported

that glycogen can undergo liquid-liquid phase separation in the cytosol (Liu *et al*, 2021a).

Glycogen extracted from mouse livers has also been shown to undergo concentration-

dependent phase separation in vitro when exposed to a crowding agent (Liu *et al*, 2021a).

Given these results, we asked the following questions: Does glycogen form liquid

condensates under in vitro conditions that mimic the *E. coli* cytoplasm? If so, can these

glycogen condensates exclude proteins as they appear to do inside *E. coli* cells?

For our in vitro conditions, we focused on three aspects of the *E. coli* cytoplasm:

glycogen concentration, ionic strength, and crowder concentration. Given that glycogen

represents 0.75-1.2% of the cell's wet weight in stationary phase after growth in lysogeny

broth (Wang *et al*, 2019a; Neidhardt & Curtiss, 1996), we assumed the glycogen

concentration range to be between 8 and 13 g/L based on a cell density of 1.1 g/mL

(Baldwin *et al*, 1995) (see Table EV1). We elected to use glycogen from mussels because

of its commercial availability at a high (>99%) purity. We used a potassium phosphate

buffer (pH = 7.0) that includes 85 mM NaCl, 250 mM KCl, 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.1 mM

$CaCl_2$ to reflect the ionic strength of the *E. coli* cytoplasm (Schultz *et al*, 1962; Alatossava

et al, 1985; Gangola & Rosen, 1987; Szatmári *et al*, 2020). Hereafter, we refer to this

solution as the "intracellular salt (IS) buffer". As a stand-in for cytoplasmic

macromolecules, we used the synthetic biocompatible nonionic polymer polyethylene

glycol (abbreviated to PEG or PEO depending on its molecular weight). PEG/PEO

polymers are available in a broad range of molecular weights. Since size, rather than

molecular weight, is the relevant variable for a crowding agent (Asakura & Oosawa, 1954,

1958; Sharp, 2015), we used dynamic light scattering to measure the hydrodynamic

(Stokes) diameter of PEG and PEO polymers of different molecular weights in the IS

buffer (Fig 6A). Our results agree well with an empirical relationship determined in

deionized water (pH = 5.5) (Devanand & Selser, 1991) (Fig 6A, line), despite the

differences in pH and ion concentrations of the solvents used.

We first chose 3 kDa PEG (~3 nm of diameter) to mimic proteins, which are the

most abundant macromolecular crowders in the *E. coli* cytoplasm (Neidhardt & Curtiss,

1996) with diameters ranging between 2 and 6 nm (Erickson, 2009; Hink *et al*, 2000;

Lukatsky & Shakhnovich, 2008). We found that glycogen (10 g/L), visualized by

fluorescence microscopy using ConA-FITC labeling (Lvov *et al*, 1996; Becker *et al*, 1976),

transitioned from a homogeneously mixed state in the solution to forming droplets as the

concentration of 3 kDa PEG increased from 9 to 20 mM (Fig 6B). Consistent with the liver

study (Liu *et al*, 2021a) , these droplets exhibited liquid-like behaviors, demonstrating

fusion events on the minute time scale (Fig 6C, Video EV3). These fusion events resulted

in the coalescence of small condensates into larger ones at longer time scales (e.g., 30

min, Fig 6D), which is consistent with a liquid-liquid phase separation. Note that these

images were captured near the glass surface to keep droplets in focus and facilitate their

visualization. In this context, some fusion events resulted in the formation of oval-shaped

droplets that did not immediately become spherical. This phenomenon is likely due to the

interaction between the droplets and the glass surface, as the droplets within the liquid

column remained spherical after fusion and only became less spherical after they settled

on the glass surface (Video EV4). We also found that at higher 3 kDa PEG concentrations,

glycogen underwent a second phase transition, in which the droplets collapsed into

amorphous aggregates (Fig 6B). Timelapse imaging of these aggregates showed no

evidence of fusion upon collision (e.g., Video EV5), consistent with a more solid-like form.

In addition, we confirmed that the phase separation of glycogen is dependent on

its concentration. As shown in a phase diagram (Fig 6E), higher concentrations of

glycogen required a lower concentration of crowder to form liquid droplets. By using

PEG/PEO of higher molecular weights, we also demonstrated that the formation of

glycogen droplets is sensitive to the size of the crowder (Fig 6F). For instance, at least 19

mM of 3 kDa PEG was required for liquid droplets to form, while the same could be

achieved with only 150 µM of 100 kDa PEO or 10 µM of 1 MDa PEO. The two larger

crowders (100 kDa and 1 MDa) were chosen based on their estimated diameters, which

are ~30 nm and ~70 nm, respectively (Fig. 6A). These sizes closely resemble those of

ribosomes in their free and polysome forms (Brandt *et al*, 2009; Nilsson *et al*, 1997).

As both proteins and ribosomes (mostly assembled into polysomes) are excluded

from glycogen in vivo (Fig 3G-J), we hypothesized that the combined effect of their cellular

concentrations could lead to the phase separation of glycogen into droplets. Consistent

with this hypothesis, combining the stand-in crowders (10 mM of 3 kDa PEG, 25 µM of

100 kDa PEO, and 5 µM of 1 MDa PEO) at biologically relevant concentrations for

proteins, ribosomes, and polysomes (see Tables S1 and S2) induced the formation of

glycogen droplets in vitro. In contrast, none of these crowders alone triggered droplet

formation at the same concentrations (Fig 6G). Note that, for the 1 MDa PEO crowder,

the concentrations required to drive droplet formation are above its "overlap"

concentration (self-crowding) (see Materials and Methods) and thus our estimated

diameter for this crowder (Fig 6A) is likely overestimated. Regardless of the crowder size

used, glycogen condensation into droplets was found to be reversible: diluting the

crowders after the droplets formed led to their rapid (< 1 min) disappearance (Fig 6H).

To test whether glycogen condensation into droplets could account for the

exclusion of proteins observed in vivo, we added GFP to the solutions and analyzed the

spatial distribution of fluorescence intensity relative to the phase-contrast signal of the

glycogen droplets. We found that glycogen condensates at the glass surface partially

excluded the fluorescent proteins (Fig 6I). This was also observed by performing z-stack

imaging of the glycogen condensates suspended in the liquid column, in which protein

exclusion remains observable despite the added background from the contribution of GFP

molecules in surrounding focal planes (Video EV6). This protein exclusion was observed

in all tested combinations of PEG/PEO crowder sizes (2.7–74 nm) and concentrations

that led to glycogen condensate formation (Table EV3).

Glycogen condensates inside cells are as soft as the rest of the cytoplasm, unlike protein aggregates.

Our in vitro data, together with estimates of intracellular macromolecule concentration (Tables S1-2) and the shape of so-called glycogen "granules" in electron micrographs (Preiss, 1984; Alonso-Casajús *et al*, 2006), are more consistent with glycogen forming droplets (liquid-like condensates) over solid aggregates inside cells. Testing this hypothesis is, however, not trivial. The small size of bacterial cells makes it impractical to examine liquid-like behaviors such as droplet fusions in vivo, as is often done in eukaryotic cells (Alberti *et al*, 2018, 2019; Hoang *et al*, 2023; Liu *et al*, 2021a). Assays based on fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) and single-molecule tracking have been implemented to probe the liquid-like properties of condensate-forming proteins inside live bacterial cells (Alberti *et al*, 2019; Wang *et al*, 2019b). However, while proteins can easily be covalently tagged to a fluorophore through genetic engineering, this is not the case for a polysaccharide such as glycogen. FRAP experiments using the glycogen sensor showed that the fluorescence of the labeled glycogen region recovered from photobleaching (Fig EV7A-B), with half-maximum recovery times of 11.6 ± 2.3 s (mean ± standard deviation, n = 70 cells, Fig EV7C). These values are consistent with FRAP analyses of liquid glycogen condensates in mammalian liver cells (Liu *et al*, 2021a). However, our FRAP measurements are inconclusive due to the non-covalent nature of the interaction between the fluorescent biosensor and glycogen. The observed FRAP dynamics may reflect not only the motion of labeled glycogen molecules but also the (unknown) binding/unbinding kinetics of the fluorescent biosensor to/from glycogen. The use of the fluorescent glucose analog 2-NBDG, which covalently incorporates into

glycogen (Fig EV3), is unfortunately not suitable for FRAP experiments. This analog is

modified to a non-fluorescent form upon cell uptake (Yoshioka *et al*, 1996b, 1996a),

leading to a low intracellular signal-to-noise ratio (Fig EV3).

Given these caveats, we turned to atomic force microscopy (AFM) to determine

the material properties of glycogen condensates inside *E. coli* cells at the nanometric

scale. Our first approach leveraged AFM-based subsurface imaging, which has been

used to visualize nuclei and cytoskeletal structures in eukaryotic cells (Guerrero *et al*,

2019; Roduit *et al*, 2009). In this technique, the AFM tip indents the cell without puncturing

the membranes, and the indentation is deep enough to detect subsurface structures or

organelles through variations in their mechanical resistance to the deformation. We

adapted this method to bacterial cells to generate two-dimensional (2D) depth-resolved

stiffness maps of transition-phase cells (CJW7605) with glycogen condensates labeled

with our green fluorescent glycogen sensor (Fig 7A). For comparison, we imaged two

other strains, a glycogen-free (Δ*glgBXCAP*) strain (CJW7668) expressing the yellow

fluorescent protein mVenus and a strain (CJW7798) overproducing a blue fluorescence

protein, which results in the formation of protein aggregates. The location of these protein

aggregates could be easily identified in fluorescence images by the region depleted in

mTagBFP2 signal (white arrow, Fig 7B), as previously shown (Papagiannakis *et al*, 2025).

All three strains were grown in co-culture to ensure that they experienced the same

environment during transition phase, as cell density increases when cultures exit

exponential growth (Shi *et al*, 2021). We used correlated fluorescence microscopy to

distinguish between cell types (Fig 7B) and to identify the location of glycogen

condensates and protein aggregates within cells (arrows, Fig 7B). For all analyzed cells,

the preservation of membrane integrity (i.e., no abrupt change in AFM cantilever

deformations) was confirmed by examining the force-distance curves (see examples in

Fig EV8), using a previously validated method (Janel *et al*, 2019). The 2D stiffness maps

revealed that protein aggregates are stiffer than the rest of the cytoplasm (black arrow,

Fig 7B). In contrast, the stiffness values were uniformly low across the 2D maps of cells

with glycogen condensates, similar to glycogen-free cells (Fig 7B).

In addition, we performed an analysis known as "stiffness tomography", which

spatially maps changes in stiffness along the indentation depth (Roduit *et al*, 2009).

Comparative stiffness tomography revealed that intracellular regions with protein

aggregates became increasingly stiffer with indentation depth, unlike surrounding areas.

This indicates that protein aggregates are relatively stiff, consistent with a solid-like state.

In contrast, the same type of measurements for regions with glycogen condensates

showed a relatively uniform stiffness distribution along the indentation depth, comparable

to glycogen-free regions (Fig 7C).

Under these conditions, the average cell height across strains ranged from 600 to

700 nm (Fig EV9A), as determined by measuring the distance between the glass surface

and the AFM tip's contact point with the cell surface. With subsurface imaging, our

measurements were limited to a depth down to 400 nm below the cell surface (Fig EV8A-

C). To examine the material properties of glycogen condensates and protein aggregates

in a more direct way, we used a sharper AFM tip to penetrate through the cell membranes

and directly interact with the intracellular content (Fig 7D). Such an AFM-based approach

has been used to measure the stiffness of nuclei inside eukaryotic cells (Liu *et al*, 2014;

Oak *et al*, 2025). Here again, we used correlated fluorescence microscopy to position the

AFM tip on and off the target sites (Fig 7D). First, we confirmed successful membrane

penetrations indicated by an abrupt change in AFM cantilever deformations (see curve

examples in Fig EV10), as prior studies have demonstrated (Penedo *et al*, 2021; Del Valle

et al, 2020). For these cell puncturing experiments, we used a force of 10 nN as a setpoint,

which resulted in an average penetration depth of about 500 nm (i.e., ~¾ of the cell depth)

across intracellular regions with or without glycogen condensates (Fig EV9B). In areas

containing protein aggregates, the penetration depth was reduced to ~400 nm due to the

stiffness of these intracellular structures, which resist deformation more than the

surrounding cytoplasm (Fig EV9B). To calculate the stiffness of each intracellular region,

we determined the slope of the force-distance curves after the membrane puncture point

and plotted the median stiffness values from multiple puncture events (n = 64) in the

target regions ("On") or control regions ("Off") (Fig EV10, see also Materials and Methods).

This analysis demonstrated that intracellular regions with protein aggregates ("On") are

considerably stiffer than other areas of the cytoplasm ("Off") (Fig 7E). In contrast, regions

with ("On") or without ("Off") glycogen condensates within the same cells exhibited

similarly low stiffness values (Fig 7E). Collectively, our results suggest that the stiffness

of glycogen condensates is considerably lower than that of protein aggregates and is

comparable to that of other cytoplasmic regions, supporting a liquid-like rather than solid-

like state.

DISCUSSION

Our quantitative analysis of transition phase at the subcellular and single-cell level reveals

a picture of *E. coli* cell biology that departs from the textbook view in several ways.

The first difference relates to division. Asymmetric divisions are typically thought

of as exceptions in the bacterial world, restricted to a small subset of bacteria that undergo

developmental programs that produce either two functionally divergent daughter cells at

each cell cycle (e.g., *Caulobacter crescentus*) or an endospore in response to starvation

(e.g., *Bacillus subtilis*). In fact, *E. coli* is often used as the quintessential example of a

symmetrically dividing bacterium. However, its division has primarily been examined

during exponential growth. Our study shows that asymmetric division and production of

daughter cells of unequal sizes become common in *E. coli* when the population exists

exponential phase and enters transition phase under our experimental conditions (Fig 1H,

Fig 3C, Fig 5A-B), likely contributing to the documented increase in cell size variability in

stationary phase (Bakshi *et al*, 2021).

We propose that these asymmetric divisions occur because of the propensity of

glycogen to spontaneously phase separate into condensates in crowded environments

(Fig 6) (Liu *et al*, 2021a). In vitro, glycogen condensates can grow to very large sizes

through fusion (Fig 6). Inside cells, their sizes are likely restricted by spatial constraints

(cellular and nucleoid boundaries) and out-of-equilibrium thermodynamics (glycogen

synthesis/degradation, cell growth/division). In principle, the accumulation of large

glycogen condensates could occur in any DNA-free regions, as observed in polyploid

filamentous (cell division-arrested) cells (Fig 3J). However, in untreated cells, cell division

results in the inheritance of glycogen condensates, which, together with de novo

synthesis, results in a gradual accumulation of glycogen at the old cell pole, effectively

"pushing" the nucleoids closer to the new pole (Fig 4). In fact, such nucleoid pushing

effect can be artificially exacerbated in exponential phase through mutation of the carbon

storage regulator gene *csrA*, which results in massive glycogen overproduction (Boehm

et al, 2016). We propose that the nucleoid position offset generated by glycogen

condensates promotes off-center division through nucleoid occlusion (Fig 5A). In

stationary phase, this cellular asymmetry decreases and nucleoid positioning becomes

more variable (Fig 1I, Fig EV5) due to glycogen consumption (Fung *et al*, 2013; Wang *et*

al, 2021, 2020), allowing the nucleoid to diffuse more freely through the cytoplasm.

Cells in transition phase also diverge from the traditional picture with respect to

their spatial organization of ribosomes/polysomes, proteins, and RNAs. In transition

phase, these cytoplasmic components adopt a more asymmetric distribution (Fig 2, Fig

EV1), which is largely caused by glycogen production (Fig 3-5). Our in vitro experiments

suggest that glycogen does not have to assemble into a solid (i.e., a granule) to exclude

protein probes, as liquid condensates achieve similar results in vitro (Fig 6I). In fact, based

on our calculations (Tables S1-2) and in vitro experiments (Fig 6E-G), the physiological

range of intracellular concentrations of proteins, ribosomes, and polysomes is compatible

with the combined PEG/PEO concentrations needed for glycogen to phase separate into

liquid condensates, but not to collapse into a solid state. Collapsing glycogen into

aggregates requires crowder concentrations that well exceed the macromolecular

concentration expected inside cells (Fig 6E, Tables S1-2). Furthermore, unlike the

amorphous glycogen aggregates, the liquid condensates formed in vitro are

morphologically consistent with the round and oval shapes of glycogen bodies observed

in electron micrographs of starved cells (Alonso-Casajús *et al*, 2006). Consistent with our

results, a preprinted study has shown that concentrating macromolecules in frog egg

extracts by ≥ 1.4-fold demixes the cytoplasm into two liquid phases: a glycogen-enriched

phase and a ribosome-enriched phase (Pelletier *et al*, 2021). In *E. coli,* and presumably

other glycogen-producing bacteria, this cytoplasmic demixing may be even more likely,

as the bacterial cytoplasm is thought to be more crowded than the eukaryotic cytosol

based on fluorescent protein diffusion measurements (Elowitz *et al*, 1999; Swaminathan

et al, 1997; Potma *et al*, 2001; Konopka *et al*, 2009). Furthermore, our AFM

measurements suggest that the mechanical properties of glycogen accumulations within

cells align more closely with (liquid-like) cytoplasmic material than with solid aggregates.

Glycogen is well-known to provide a way for cells to store a large amount of

glucose without dramatically increasing the osmolarity of their cytoplasm, as a branched

glycogen polymer contains thousands of glucose residues (Bezborodkina *et al*, 2018).

Whether its phase separation into condensates is associated with beneficial or

detrimental implications for the cell is an interesting question that warrants further

exploration. For instance, since glycogen has viscogenic properties (Persson *et al*, 2020),

compartmentalization into condensates might prevent high levels of glycogen from

increasing the cytoplasmic viscosity, which could decrease macromolecular diffusion to

deleterious levels. Furthermore, if glycogen did not phase separate (i.e., remained soluble

and homogeneously distributed in the cytoplasm), the distribution of glucose reserves

between daughter cells would be equal. In contrast, our data suggest that phase

separation leads to an asymmetric distribution that increases with each division through

additive glycogen accumulation at the old pole (Fig 4). This unequal distribution endows

a fraction of the cell population with larger energy reserves, which may increase the

survival probability of the species under prolonged periods of duress. Glycogen has

indeed been reported to facilitate the adaptation of environmental, phototrophic, and

pathogenic bacteria to starvation and other stresses (Sekar *et al*, 2020; Bourassa &

Camilli, 2009; Klotz *et al*, 2016; Klotz & Forchhammer, 2017; Gründel *et al*, 2012; Wang

et al, 2020). As for the partitioning of other macromolecules, their exclusion from glycogen

condensates does not appear to affect their distribution between daughter cells. This is

because division becomes asymmetric in the presence of glycogen condensates; the

larger the glycogen accumulation, the more asymmetric the division (Fig 5B), minimizing

any potential detrimental disparity in cytoplasm partitioning between daughter cells. In

effect, the cells appear not to "count" the space occupied by the glycogen condensates

(Fig 5B).

The relevance of our work may extend beyond *E. coli*, as glycogen metabolism is

widespread across bacteria (Almagro *et al*, 2015; Henrissat *et al*, 2002; Preiss & Romeo,

1990; Wang *et al*, 2019a; Wang & Wise, 2011). Induction of glycogen synthesis is a

common response when a nutritional element such as nitrogen, sulfur, or phosphate

becomes limiting (Holme *et al*, 1956, 1957; Strange *et al*, 1961; Mulder *et al*, 1962; Sigal

et al, 1964; Madsen, 1963; Zevenhuizen, 1966). Given that bacteria often live in nutrient-

fluctuating environments, our findings suggest that the cellular asymmetries in division

and macromolecule distribution may be more prevalent in the bacterial world than

currently appreciated. In addition, phase separation may be relevant to other bacterial

storage polymers besides glycogen. Polyphosphate, an energy-rich anionic polymer, is a

good candidate. It accumulates into intracellular bodies, often referred to as 'granules', in

various bacteria (Albi & Serrano, 2016). Timelapse experiments on starved

Pseudomonas aeruginosa cells have shown that these so-called granules decrease in

number while increasing in size during de novo biogenesis, consistent with fusion events

and liquid behavior (Racki *et al*, 2017). Future exploration may shed light on the

prevalence, role, and implications of phase separation across storage polymers in

different bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and tools table

Reagent/resource	Reference/source	Identifier or catalog number
Bacterial Strains		
F-lambda- ilvG- rfb-50 rph-1	(Jensen, 1993)	MG1655
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-gfp*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)	CJW4677
MG1655 *rne*::*rneΔMTS-mcherry*	This work	CJW5685
MG1655/pEB2-mScarlet-I	AddGene; deposited by Dr. Philippe Cluzel	104007
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-msfGFP*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)	CJW7020
MG1655 *rpsB*::*rpsB-msfGFP*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)	CJW7021
MG1655 *attB*::*PproC-msfGFP-FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7083
MG1655 *attB*::*PproC-msfGFP*	This work	CJW7275
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)	CJW7324
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry attB*::*PproC-msfGFP*	This work	CJW7325
MG1655 *attB*::*PproC-msfGFP hupA*::*hupA-mCherry*	This work	CJW7326
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(-30)	This work	CJW7485
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(-7)	This work	CJW7486
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(0)	This work	CJW7487
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(+7)	This work	CJW7488

MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(+11a)	This work	CJW7489
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(+11b)	This work	CJW7490
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(+15)	This work	CJW7491
MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mcherry*/pBAD-GFP(+25)	This work	CJW7492
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP-FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7537
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP*	This work	CJW7587
MG1655 *lacY*(A177C) *araFGH*::*spec* Δ*lacI* Δ*araE araBAD*::*dCas9 galM* <PBBa-J23119-sgRNA(*ftsZ*)-(S. pyogenes terminator)-(*rrnB* terminator)> *gmpA attB*::*PproC-msfGFP*	This work	CJW7588
MG1655 Tn7::*Ptac-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-cat-FRT*	This work	CJW7601
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* Tn7::*Ptac-GFPmut3-CBM20-FRT-cat-FRT*	This work	CJW7604
MG1655 Tn7::*P$_{tac}$-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-cat-FRT hupA*::*hupA-mCherry FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7605
MG1655 Tn7:: *Ptac -GFPmut3-CBM20-FRT-cat-FRT*	This work	CJW7606
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* Tn7::*Ptac-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-cat -FRT*	This work	CJW7607
MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA-mcherry*	This work	CJW7660
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP hupA*::*hupA-mCherry*	This work	CJW7661
MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: *PRpsL-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7665
MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: *PRpsL-mVenus-FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7666
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7::*PRps-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7667
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: *PRps-mVenus-FRT-kan-FRT*	This work	CJW7668
MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* /pEB2-mscarlet-I	This work	CJW7718

MG1655 *ΔminD minE::sfgfp-minD minE::frt kanR frt*	This work	CJW7872
MG1655 *ΔglgBXCAP rne::rneΔMTS-mCherry*	This work	CJW7877
MG1655 *ΔglgBXCAP rplA::rplA-mCherry attB::P_{proC}-msfGFP*	This work	CJW7878
MG1655 (DE3) *hupA::hupA-mcherry rplA::rplA-msfgfp-frt-kanR-frt/* pET28:mTagBFP2-CmR	This work	CJW7798
MG1655 Tn7:: *PRpsL-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT*	Gift from Johan Paulsson	JP1456
MG1655 Tn7::*PRpsL-mvenus-FRT-kan-FRT*	Gift from Johan Paulsson	JP1457
MG1655 *lacY*(A177C) *araFGH::spec ΔlacI ΔaraE araBAD::dCas9 galM* <PBBa-J23119-sgRNA(*ftsZ*)-(S. pyogenes terminator)-(*rrnB* terminator)> *gmpA*	(Li *et al*, 2016)	SJ_XTL229
Recombinant DNA		
pBAD-GFP (-30)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (-7)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (0)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (+7)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (+11a)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (+11b)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (+15)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pBAD-GFP (+25)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)	
pEB2-mScarlet-I	AddGene	104007
pkD13-msfGFP	(Gray *et al*, 2019)	
pET28:mTagBFP2-CmR	(Papagiannakis *et al*, 2025)	
Oligonucleotides		
pNDL-1-Ptac-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-CmR-FRT	Integrated DNA Technologies	See Table EV5
Primers for strain construction	Integrated DNA Technologies	See Table EV6

Chemical, Enzymes and other reagents		
P1 phage	ATCC	25404-B1
Ampicillin	Fisher Scientific	BP1760-25
Kanamycin	Sigma-Aldrich	K1377-25G
Chloramphenicol	Sigma-Aldrich	C0378-25G
4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)	ThermoFisher	D1306
2-NBDG	Cayman Chemical Company	11046
MitoTracker Green	ThermoFisher	M7514
SYTO RNASelect	ThermoFisher	S32703
Cephalexin	Sigma-Aldrich	C4895
PEG1500	Sigma-Aldrich	81210-500G
PEG3000	Sigma-Aldrich	8190151000
PEG4000	Hampton Research	HR2-605
PEG6000	Sigma-Aldrich	81253-250G
PEG8000	Sigma-Aldrich	89510-250G-F
PEG10000	Sigma-Aldrich	81280-1KG
PEG20000	ThermoFisher	A17925.0B
PEG35000	Sigma-Aldrich	81310-1KG
PEO100000	Sigma-Aldrich	181986-250G
PEG1000000	Sigma-Aldrich	372781-250G
Glycogen	Millipore Sigma	361507-1ML
FITC-ConA	(Sigma-Aldrich	C7642-2MG
Pluronic® F-108	Sigma-Aldrich	542342-250G
GFP	ThermoFisher	A42613
mCherry	Abcam	AB199750
Software		
Oufti	(Paintdakhi *et al*, 2016)	https://oufti.org/
MATLAB R2024b	MathWorks	https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html
ImageJ	National Institute of Health	https://fiji.sc/
Python 3	Phyton Software Foundation	https://www.python.org/
SyMBac	(Hardo et al, 2022)	https://symbac.readthedocs.io/en/latest/intro.html

Other		
Custom-made functions and scripts	This study	https://github.com/JacobsWagnerLab/published/tree/master/Thappeta_Canas-Duarte_et_al_2025

Methods and protocols

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and descriptions of their constructions can be found in Tables S4 and 5.

P1 transductions were performed as previously described (Thomason *et al*, 2007) with

the exception that donor strains were grown without glucose supplementation. A lysate

of P1 phages was obtained from ATCC (25404-B1). Oligomers used for polymerase chain

reactions (PCR) are listed in Table EV6. Lambda red recombination was performed as

previously described using the pKD46 (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000) or pSIM6 plasmids

(Diner *et al*, 2011). Unless otherwise indicated, cells were grown at 30°C in M9 medium

(26.11 mM Na_2HPO_4, 22 mM KH_2PO_4, 8.55 mM NaCl, 18.7 mM NH_4Cl, 2 mM $MgSO_4$ and

0.1 mM $CaCl_2$) supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 0.1% casamino acids, and 1 µg/ml

thiamine (M9gluCAAT). Cells were first inoculated in the appropriate growth medium and

grown to stationary phase in culture tubes. They were then re-inoculated into fresh media

by diluting at least 10,000-fold and grown until they reached the indicated optical density

(OD) at 600 nm. When appropriate, ampicillin (100 µg/mL), kanamycin (50 µg/mL), or

chloramphenicol (30 µg/mL) was added to the media for selection.

Strains expressing green fluorescent proteins with different net charges

(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)   were grown in M9gluCAAT, and fluorescent protein

expression was induced with 0.4% arabinose upon re-inoculation of stationary phase

cultures. Given the richer medium condition from the addition of arabinose, the transition

phase OD ranged from 2.9 to 3.5 instead of 1.2 to 2.7.

FtsZ depletion experiments were conducted using strain CJW7588 in the presence

of 0.2% arabinose. For exponentially growing cultures, arabinose was added for the

equivalent of 2-3 doublings. For transition-phase cultures, arabinose was added in late

exponential phase (~OD 0.4-0.5) and imaged ~5 h later when cultures reached transition

phase ODs (the equivalent of 2-3 doublings) on an agarose pad made of spent medium.

Dyes and labels

To label the nucleoid, cells were incubated with 1 µg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI) for 10 min prior to imaging. To visualize intracellular 2-NBDG localization, cells

were grown in M9CAAT supplemented with 0.2% L-arabinose to the desired OD and

incubated with 10 µM 2-NBDG for 10 min, then washed twice in prewarmed spent medium

filtered with a 0.22 µm filter. For the following dyes, concentrations were increased when

used on transition-phase cells as cell permeability seemed to decrease with increasing

ODs, consistent with a previous report (Bakshi *et al*, 2014). To visualize the inner

membrane of *E. coli* cells, MitoTracker Green (Shi *et al*, 2021) was added to the cell

culture at a final concentration of 100 nM (exponential phase) or 1 µM (transition phase)

and incubated for 30 min prior to imaging. To label RNA, SYTO RNASelect was used at

a final concentration of 500 nM (exponential phase) or 2.5 µM (transition phase) for 10

min and washed twice in filtered spent medium (Bakshi *et al*, 2014) prior to imaging.

Cephalexin treatment

For cephalexin treatment of exponentially growing cultures, the antibiotic (50 µg/mL) was

added for the equivalent of 2-3 doublings. For transition phase samples in M9gluCAAT,

cells were incubated with cephalexin (50 µg/mL) in late exponential phase (~OD 0.4-0.5)

and imaged ~5 h later when cultures reached transition phase (the equivalent of 2-3

doublings) on agarose pads made of spent medium containing cephalexin.

Co-cultures

For co-cultures experiments related to Fig 3B-D, Fig 5 A, C, D, and E, and Fig EV4 and

EV5, cells of four strains (CJW7665-7668), each expressing a different fluorescent protein

in either the WT or Δ*glgBXCAP* background, were inoculated in 2 mL of M9gluCAAT and

grown overnight at 30°C with shaking (220 rpm). Each culture was then diluted 1:10,000

into fresh 2 mL cultures and returned to the shaker until they reached an OD of ~0.1.

Samples of WT and glycogen-devoid strains were mixed proportionally to their ODs to

create a ~50:50 mixture. For these experiments, two types of mixtures were used: one

included WT cells expressing mSCFP3 and mutant cells expressing mVenus, while the

other included WT cells expressing mVenus and mutant cells expressing mSCFP3.

Mixtures were made independently in three biological replicates. Each mixture was then

diluted 1:10,000 into 50 mL of medium in a 250 mL flask and returned to the shaker.

Samples were taken at OD of ~2.6 for transition phase. Samples were prepared for

imaging on agarose pads as described above.

For the AFM experiments related to Fig 7, WT cells expressing the glycogen

sensor (CJW7605), *ΔglgBXCAP* cells expressing the glycogen sensor (CJW7604) or

mVenus (CJW7668), and cells overexpressing mTagBFP2 (CJW7798) were inoculated

separately in 2 mL of M9gluCAAT medium and grown overnight at 30°C with shaking

(220 rpm). Mixtures of the three cell types were made and diluted 1:100,000 into 50 mL

of medium in a 250-mL flask and returned to the shaker. When the mixtures reached an

OD of ~0.5, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 100 mM to induce the

overexpression of mTagBFP2. Cultures were returned to the shaker and allowed to reach

a final OD of ~1.6. Samples were imaged in agarose pads containing filtered spent

medium (OD600 ~ 1.7) to verify the presence of protein aggregates in cells with

mTagBFP2 fluorescence.

Microscopy

Unless otherwise indicated, cells were imaged on 1.5% agarose pads made with the

appropriate spent growth medium. For samples with high cell density in late transition or

stationary phase, cells were diluted in warm filtered spent medium prior to spotting on an

agarose pad for ease of cell segmentation.

Microscopy was performed using Nikon inverted microscope set-ups controlled by

the NIS-Elements AR software, with the following specifications. One of the set-ups

consisted of a Nikon Ti2-E inverted microscope equipped with a Perfect Focus System

(PFS), a motorized stage, a 100x Plan Apo 1.45NA Ph3 oil objective, a Photometrics

Prime BSI back-illuminated sCMOS camera, a Lumencor Spectra III LED light engine

excitation source, a polychroic mirror (FF-409/493/596-Di02 for by Shemrock) combined

with a triple-pass emitter (FF-1-432/523/702-25 by Shemrock) for GFP/DAPI/mCherry,

and a polychroic mirror (FF-459/526/596-Di01 by Shemrock) combined with a triple-pass

emitter (FF01-475/543/702-25 by Shemrock) for CFP/YFP/mCherry. The temperature

was set and maintained at the indicated value using a temperature chamber (Okolabs).

The second microscope set-up consisted of a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with

a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera, a 100X objective (Nikon, OFN Ph3 DM, N.A.

1.45) and a Spectra X light engine (Lumencor). The following Chroma filter sets were

used to acquire fluorescence images: DAPI (excitation ET350/50x, dichroic T400lp,

emission ET460/50 m), GFP (excitation ET470/40x, dichroic T425lpxr, emission

ET525/50 m) and mCherry/TexasRed (excitation ET560/40x, dichroic T585lpxr, emission

ET630/75 m). The temperature was maintained at 30°C using a customized enclosure

and temperature controller (Air-Therm SWT, World Precision Instrument) for timelapse

imaging. The temperature was also maintained for snapshots, but it did not affect

transition-phase phenotypes in the time window required for imaging (under 12 min).

Image processing and analysis

For sample images with cell contours on agarose pads, cells were detected from phase

contrast images using the open-source software package Oufti (Paintdakhi *et al*, 2016).

For the images shown in the figures, fluorescent image background subtraction was done

using Fiji (Schindelin *et al*, 2012) and a sliding paraboloid with a rolling ball radius of 50

pixels. Cell signal intensity profiles were generated using Oufti's signal output divided by

the total signal intensity to calculate relative signal, which was plotted for individual cells.

For all experiments on agarose pads, cells were segmented from phase contrast

images using the SuperSegger-Omnipose software (https://github.com/tlo-

bot/supersegger-omnipose)(Stylianidou *et al*, 2016; Cutler *et al*, 2022). The software's

pre-trained *bact_phase_omni* model (Cutler *et al*, 2022; Stylianidou *et al*, 2016) was

retrained in-house prior to usage due to its tendency to split cells prematurely before cell

division was completed, as determined based on visual inspection. Model retraining was

carried out in two steps. First, images of CJW7606 cells (OD = 2.83) grown in M9gluCAAT

at 30°C were collected on agarose pads containing M9gluCAAT. Cells from 11 fields of

view (each of 2048x2048 pixels, ~100-250 cells per field of view) were segmented using

the pre-trained model *bact_phase_omni*. The obtained masks were visually inspected,

and when the pre-trained model was splitting cells too early, the cell masks were merged

back into one single mask using a custom MATLAB code

(LabelsMerge_ConstrictingCells.m). The corrected 2044x2048-pixel images (both phase

contrast images and cell masks) were then divided into smaller 510x512-pixel images.

The dataset was further augmented by performing image rotations (90°, 180°, and 270°),

resulting in 704 images. This initial training dataset was then used to retrain Omnipose

from scratch (with parameters: n_epochs = 4000; tyx = 224,224; batch_size = 16;

learning_rate = 0.1, see details in https://omnipose.readthedocs.io) to generate a

preliminary model. In the second step, the retrained model was used to re-segment the

publicly available *bact_phase* training dataset (https://osf.io/xmury/) that was originally

used to train *bact_phase_omni*, including additional images of cells with extreme

phenotypes (e.g., with bright intracellular regions in phase contrast images).

This generated a larger dataset with a broad spectrum of cell morphologies. The

obtained segmented images were then manually curated to eliminate poorly segmented

cells. Finally, both training datasets (the first one created in-house and the one re-

segmented from *bact_phase*) were used to retrain Omnipose from scratch (n = 1249

images, with parameters: n_epochs = 4000; tyx = 224,224 ; batch_size = 16;

learning_rate = 0.1). The resultant model (*merge_model_omni*) and the Python code

used for curating (screening_good_bad.py) and generating the training datasets

(generate_training_dataset.py) are available on GitHub

(https://github.com/JacobsWagnerLab/published/tree/master/Thappeta_Canas-

Duarte_et_al_2025).

Unless specified otherwise, *merge_model_omni* was used to segment cells across

experiments. After segmentation, the resulting cell.mat files and the generated labels

(masks.png) were imported to MATLAB, organized into structures, and further analyzed

using custom scripts. Morphological features including cell length, cell area, cell width,

and circularity were extracted from the cell labels using MATLAB's function *regionprops()*

and used to filter out incorrect segmentations. For each experiment, histograms were

generated for each of the above-mentioned features, and the appropriate thresholds used

for filtering were modified accordingly.

The mid-cell axis of each cell was calculated using a bivariate fit to the distance

matrix of the cell mask and used to identify the location of the cell centroid. For all cells,

the distance between the mid-cell axis and the mask outline of each vertical half of the

cell was computed, smoothed, inverted, and used to identify peaks (function findpeaks(),

with parameters MinPeakProminence = 0.1 and MinPeakDistance = 15). For constricting

cells, the division plane (constriction site) was then localized using the location of

identified peaks on each cell half. Constriction offset was then determined by measuring

the absolute distance between the construction site and the cell centroid, followed by a

normalization by the cell length.

Nucleoids were initially segmented using Otsu, after which nucleoid objects were

refined by calculating the distance transform (function bwdist()) of the preliminary nucleoid

mask and applying the watershed transformation (function watershed(), using

connectivity = 4) on the inverse of the calculated distance transform. Binary opening,

closing, and fill operations were applied on the nucleoid mask. For cells with multiple

nucleoids, the nucleoid centroid was defined as the mid-point between the pair of the

most distal nucleoid objects. Nucleoid asymmetry was then determined by measuring the

absolute distance of the nucleoid mid-point to the cell's centroid, which was then

normalized by the cell length. The analysis described above was performed using the

custom MATLAB script PostSGO_AgarPad_FigureOne_AllODs.m.

To quantify the signal distribution of the different reporters used in this study, we

estimated both the signal correlation function (SCF) (Gray *et al*, 2019) and the normalized

signal difference between the two cell poles. To calculate the SCF, the centerline was

used to define a rectangular mask with a width of 4 pixels and a length equal to the cell

length minus 5 pixels from each cell pole. The SCF mask was then used to extract the

signal intensity from the fluorescence images. The correlation coefficient was then

calculated between the corresponding signals using the function corrcoef(). Likewise, the

normalized pole difference was calculated by defining two masks, each encompassing

the region between the cell pole and the cell centroid that corresponds to 30% of the cell

length. To avoid boundary effects, the pole masks were eroded by 2 pixels. As described

above, the masks were used to extract the signal intensity of the corresponding

fluorescence images. The normalized pole signal difference was then calculated by

subtracting the average intensity between the poles and dividing it by the average signal

intensity for the whole cell. These analyses were performed using a custom MATLAB

script PostSGO_PoleRatio_SCF_AllCells_20250615.m. The Spearman correlation

coefficient between the normalized signal intensities of free msfGFP and RplA-mCherry

was calculated using the corr() function, and the contour plot was obtained using a

MATLAB script (ContourPlot_20250311.m).

Time-lapse agarose pad data were analyzed as described above, with added

lineage/cell polarity tracking. Tracking was performed by first identifying lineages

(microcolonies) through spatial clustering using the MATLAB DBSCAN (Density-Based

Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise) algorithm (epsilon = 45 and minpts = 4) and

then using the built-in genealogy information calculated by Supersegger within each

lineage. To track the polarity (old vs new pole) of the cells, cells were oriented vertically,

and the top-most pole was assigned as pole 1 and the bottom-most pole as pole 2. Cells

present at the beginning of the time-lapse sequence were labeled as generation zero,

and each of their daughters was labeled as generation one, and so forth. A total of three

divisions were analyzed, and polarity was assigned accordingly. Normalized pole signal

differences were calculated as described previously. This analysis was performed using

the custom MATLAB script PostSGO_AgarPAD_TL_20250613.

The degrees of both constriction position and nucleoid mid-point asymmetry in the

WT strain were analyzed for each co-culture (Mix 1 and Mix 2) in transition phase using

a MATLAB code (PostSGO_MixesConstriction_20240312.m). For this analysis,

constricting cells were identified as described above using the centerline to determine the

constriction position and the cell centroid. The HupA-mCherry signal in each constricting

cell was used to segment the nucleoid and calculate its centroid. The offsets between the

constriction position and the cell centroid, and between the nucleoid mid-point and the

cell center were calculated and normalized by the length of each constricting cell. The

Spearman correlation coefficient and the isocontours were then calculated between the

relevant variables as described above.

For the microfluidic experiments, cell segmentation was performed using

Omnipose. For this purpose, we retrained Omnipose using a two-step approach. First, a

set of synthetic micrographs of bacteria in a mother machine-like system was generated

using SyMBac (Hardo *et al*, 2022). The image simulation parameters were set to match

that of the optical system previously described. Individual mother machine images were

then tiled, along with their corresponding ground truth masks to produce a training dataset

of simulated micrographs (n = 150). These synthetic micrographs were then used to

retrain Omnipose from scratch. This first model was then used to segment real (non-

synthetic) phase-contrast images of cells in our mother machine microfluidics system.

The obtained segmentation results were then manually curated

(screening_good_bad_trenches.py), augmented by mirroring individual trenches along

their y-axis to double the size of the training data, and tiled back together in images

containing five trenches (create_training_dataset_trenches.py), to produce an image

dataset of 237 images. Both empty and cell-filled trenches were included in this final

dataset. Finally, Omnipose was retrained from scratch using both the original synthetic

images, as well as the curated and augmented real images, to generate the final

Omnipose model (MM_model_omni; n = 423 images, with parameters: tyx = 224,224;

batch_size = 16; learning_rate = 0.1).

To improve the accuracy of segmentation, fields of view were cropped and aligned

using the pre-segmentation modules of Supersegger. After segmentation, the mother-cell

lineages were extracted and analyzed using a custom MATLAB script

(PostSGOMM_GC.m). Briefly, lineages were initially identified using the MATLAB

DBSCAN function (epsilon = 25 and minpts = 10). From there, mother cells were identified

in each lineage and tracked throughout all acquired time points. Only lineages with

successfully segmented mother cells and tracking across all (84) frames (84) were

considered for the analysis. Instantaneous growth rates were calculated using a linear fit

to the log-transformed cell lengths. The normalized nucleoid offset and glycogen area

were calculated as described above.

The correlation between polar glycogen accumulations and the nucleoid offset was

analyzed from snapshot images of CJW7606 cells (OD 2.83) that were segmented using

a combination of SuperSegger-Omnipose and a MATLAB script

(PostSGO_GlycogenSensorAllCells_20240312.m), in which the centerline of each cell

was calculated as described above. Fluorescent signals from the glycogen sensor and

HupA-mCherry were used to segment the areas of glycogen accumulations and the

nucleoids, respectively. The difference between the glycogen sensor area for each cell

pole was then calculated. Nucleoid offset was determined from the distance between the

nucleoid mid-point and the cell center. The Spearman correlation coefficient between the

two variables was calculated and the contour plot was plotted using a MATLAB script

(ContourPlot_20240312.m).

To examine the correlation between polar glycogen accumulations and

asymmetric division, constricting cells in transition phase were identified, curated, and

analyzed using a custom MATLAB code

(PostSGO_GlycogenSensorConstriction_20240312.m). In short, the centerline of each

cell was calculated as described above and was used to identify the location of the cell

constriction plane. Fluorescence signal was extracted from each cell area flanking the

division side (i.e., the future daughter cells) and used to create a mask for the glycogen

sensor signal. The differences between each future daughter cell's area and between the

glycogen sensor signal areas at each pole were used to calculate the Spearman

correlation coefficient. Principal components regression was used to calculate the linear

regression shown in Fig 6B.

Whole-cell NMR sample preparation and solid-state NMR measurements

Overnight cultures of each bacterial strain were prepared and diluted at least 10,000-fold

into 300 mL of the appropriate fresh medium in a 1-L flask. To achieve similar final

quantities of biomass, two flasks were prepared identically for exponential-phase cultures

and one flask was prepared for the higher cell density transition-phase samples. Cells

were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 g at 4°C for 10 min and washed twice in cold

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Each final sample cell pellet was collected in a 50 mL

tube, stored at -80°C, and subsequently lyophilized and packed into a magic-angle

spinning NMR rotor.

Solid-state ^{13}C CPMAS NMR (Schaefer & Stejskal, 1976) experiments were

performed using an 89-mm bore 11.7 T magnet (Agilent Technologies) with an Agilent

triple resonance BioMAS probe and DD2 console (Agilent Technologies). Samples were

spun at 7143 ± 3 Hz at room temperature in thin-walled (36 µL capacity) 3.2-mm outer

diameter zirconia rotors. Cross polarization (CP) was performed with a ^{13}C field strength

of 50 kHz and a ^{1}H field strength centered at 57 kz with a 10% ramp for the contact time

of 1.5 ms. ^{1}H decoupling was performed with two-pulse phase-modulated (TPPM)

(Bennett *et al*, 1995) decoupling at 83 kHz. All CPMAS experiments were obtained using

a recycle delay of 2 s. Free induction decays were processed using 80 Hz exponential

line broadening prior to Fourier transformation. Spectrometer chemical shift referencing

was performed by setting the high-frequency adamantane peak to 38.5 ppm (Morcombe

& Zilm, 2003).

Intracellular salts buffer

To mimic the ionic strength of the *E. coli* cytoplasm, a potassium phosphate buffer (PPB)

was prepared and supplemented with the appropriate concentrations of intracellular salts.

For this, 200 mL of 1 M stock PPB (pH = 7.5) was prepared by mixing 25.63 g of K_2HPO_4

and 7.2 g of KH_2PO_4 in MilliQ water. For the intracellular salts buffer (referred to also as

IS buffer), a 5X stock was prepared by mixing the 1 M PPB stock to a final 200 mM

concentration with 0.425 M NaCl, 1.25 M KCl, 12.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$

(Alatossava *et al*, 1985; Gangola & Rosen, 1987; Schultz *et al*, 1962; Szatmári *et al*, 2020).

Dynamic light scattering measurements

The hydrodynamic diameter of purified mussel glycogen (Sigma-Aldrich 361507-1ML) and commercially available PEG/PEO particles with varying molecular weights was measured using a NanoBrook Omni device. Stock solutions of PEG1500 (Sigma-Aldrich 81210-500G), PEG3000 (Sigma-Aldrich 8190151000), PEG4000 (Hampton Research HR2-605), PEG6000 (Sigma-Aldrich 81253-250G), PEG8000 (Sigma-Aldrich 89510-250G-F), PEG10000 (Sigma-Aldrich 81280-1KG), PEG20000 (ThermoFisher A17925.0B), and PEG35000 (Sigma-Adrich 81310-1KG) (30% w/v) were prepared in MilliQ water. Stock solutions 5% and 2.5% w/v were made of PEO100000 (Sigma-Aldrich 181986-250G) (5% w/v) and PEG1000000 (Sigma-Aldrich 372781-250G) (2.5% w/v. When needed, solutions were incubated at 42°C for 1 h to help homogenization.

For measurements, samples were diluted to a final volume of 10 mL to generate a final concentration of 6% (1.5 kDa PEG and 3 kDa PEG), 3% (4 kDa PEG),1.5% (6 kDa PEG, 8 kDa PEG, 10 kDa PEG, 20 kDa PEG and 35 kDa PEG), 0.25% (PEO 100 kDA) or 0.025% PEO 1MDa in either MilliQ water (pH = 6.5) or in the 1X IS buffer (pH = 7.0). Dilutions of the samples were made to ensure that measurements with each PEG/PEO crowder were done with concentrations below their overlap concentrations to avoid self-crowding artifacts in our measurements (de Gennes & Witten, 1980; Julius _et al_, 2019; Smith _et al_, 2023). The hydrodynamic diameter of glycogen was measured in the same IS buffer at a concentration of 2 g/L. To remove dust or other particles that could affect the measurements, all samples were double-filtered, first with a 0.22 µm filter followed by a 0.1 µm filter. Each sample (1 mL) was loaded into a cuvette and sealed with a lid.

1004 Samples were measured in triplicate for 300 ms at 25°C using a 90-degree angle for the

1005 detector.

1006

Preparation of the microfluidic chips

The microfluidic device used in this study was cast from an epoxy mold kindly shared by

the Paulsson lab (Bakshi *et al*, 2021). In this device, the feeding channel has a width of

350 μm and a height of 25 μm. Cell trenches have a width of 1.3 μm, a length of 25 μm,

and a height of 1.25 μm. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), a silicone elastomer

composed of dimethylsiloxane monomers, was prepared by mixing the base polymer with

its curing agent in a 10:1 weight ratio. The mixture was degassed and poured onto the

epoxy mold and then cured at 65°C for 4 h. The cured PDMS was then carefully cut out

and peeled off the mold. Individual mother-machine chips were cut out of the PDMS, and

holes for the inlets and outlets were created using a biopsy puncher (0.75 mm diameter).

The mother machine-like chips were cleaned with isopropanol, blow-dried with a nitrogen

gun, and then cleaned with Scotch tape before bonding. Glass bottom dishes (40 mm

diameter, 14026-20, from Ted Pella Inc., California, USA) were cleaned with isopropanol

and blow-dried with a nitrogen gun. Finally, the PDMS chip and glass dish were plasma-

treated (for 20 s at 60 W), immediately bonded, and baked at 65°C for 4 h. Chips were

bonded the day before being used in the experiment.

1023

Microfluidic setup

A simplified version of a previously described growth curve platform (Bakshi *et al*, 2021)

was built using a peristaltic pump (T60-S2&WX10-14-H, Langer Instrument, USA) and an

in-house-built bubble trap. Platinum-cured silicon tubing (Masterflex™ L/S™ Platinum-

Cured Silicone Precision Tubing, Tubing size 13, Fisher Scientific) was used to create the

flow path. Blunt-end needles (1-1/2" Gauge 20, McMaster-Carr) were bent to create

stable connections to the microfluidic chip. Before the experiment, the flow path was

cleaned sequentially with 20% bleach, 20% ethanol, and Milli-Q water, each for 20 min.

After cleaning, fresh M9gluCAAT medium supplemented with 0.8% Pluronic® F-108 was

flown through the path for 10 min before connecting the loaded chip.

1034

Microfluidics experiment and analysis

CJW7605 and CJW7668 strains were separately inoculated in 2 mL of M9gluCAAT

medium supplemented with 0.08 % Pluronic® F-108 and grown overnight at 30°C with

shaking at 220 rpm. An aliquot of the liquid cultures was then diluted 1:10,000 into 50 mL

of M9gluCAAT medium in a 250-mL flask and returned to the shaker until reaching an

OD of ~0.4. Three milliliters of each culture were spun at 6785 rcf and concentrated into

500 µL. The two cultures were mixed at this point and spun again at 6785 rcf to

concentrate them to a final volume of ~30 µL. Cells were loaded into the microfluidic chip

using gel-loading tips (Fisherbrand™ Gel-Loading Tips, 1-200µL, Fisher Scientific). The

loaded chip was then spun at 500 g for 3 min using a modified version of the holder

designed and shared by the Paulsson laboratory. The loaded chip was then connected

to the flow path and placed inside the microscope incubator for 1 h to allow time for

equilibration. After this time, fields of view were selected, and the batch culture of strain

CJW2168 was inoculated using a 1:100000 dilution. Imaging was started immediately

following the inoculation of the culture, with a frame rate of 10 min for all the acquired

channels.

1051

In vitro phase separation experiments

To generate the presented phase diagram (Fig 6E), phase separation was assessed

using varying concentrations of both glycogen (2.5 to 10 g/L) and 3 kDa PEG (8 to 30

mM). Samples were prepared in the IS buffer to a final volume of 50 µL in 200-µL

Eppendorf tubes. For visualization, 0.05 µg/µL of FITC-ConA (Sigma-Aldrich C7642-2MG)

was added to the appropriate glycogen volume prior to the addition of other components

of the mixture. The appropriate volume of 3 kDa PEG was added last, and a 12-µL aliquot

was immediately transferred to a 50 x 7mm glass bottom dish (PELCO) for imaging at

25°C.

To determine the minimal concentration of PEG/PEO that promotes glycogen

phase separation, samples were prepared as described above with 9 g/L of glycogen.

Increasing concentrations of each PEG (1.5 to 35 kDa) or PEO (100 kDa and 1 MDa)

were tested in 50 µL mixtures. Each sample (12 µL) was imaged by phase contrast

microscopy (100x Ph3 objective) to visually assess if glycogen condensates appeared.

The smallest concentration at which the solutions transitioned from one phase to another

was assigned as the minimal concentration. For PEO 1Mda, the minimal concentration

required to drive phase separation was found to be above the overlap concentration (0.5%

w/v) reported for this polymer (Smith *et al*, 2023), which marks the onset of "self-crowding".

Therefore, the hydrodynamic diameter of the polymer above this concentration could not

be determined using dynamic light scattering. Unless otherwise indicated, all experiments

and imaging were conducted at 25°C.

For the fluorescent protein exclusion assay, glass-bottom dishes were cleaned

using 1mL of 100% isopropanol, then washed twice with 90% ethanol, and allowed to dry

completely. Dishes were then treated with Pluronic® F-108 (Sigma-Aldrich 542342-250G)

to minimize fluorescent protein binding to the glass. Briefly, 200 µL of IS buffer

supplemented with 0.1% w/v Pluronic® F-108 was pipetted into the dish and allowed to

incubate for 1 h, followed by two washes with 200 µL of IS buffer. All remaining liquid was

removed, and the dish was allowed to air dry completely. Mixtures were made to final

concentrations of 10 g/L of glycogen, 1X IS buffer, 15 µM GFP (ThermoFisher A42613)

or mCherry (Abcam AB199750), and 20 mM 3 kDa PEG as described above. Samples

(12 µL) were imaged at 25°C, 30°C, and 37°C. For imaging, the focal plane was set on

the surface of the glass to minimize background signal from the column of liquid around

the condensates (Fig 5I), except for the images shown in Video EV6 for which images

were taken as a z-stack (with 0.125 µm steps) above the glass surface. For the images

shown in the figures, fluorescent image background subtraction was done using Fiji

(Schindelin *et al*, 2012) and a sliding paraboloid with a rolling ball radius of 50 pixels.

1088

MinD-GFP experiments

The CJW7872 strain was inoculated in 2 mL of M9gluCAAT medium and grown overnight

at 30°C with shaking at 220 rpm. An aliquot of the culture was then diluted 1:10,000 into

50 mL of medium in a 250 mL flask and returned to the shaker. Samples were taken at

OD 1.7, stained with DAPI as described above, and spotted onto agarose pads containing

transition-phase spent medium. Snapshots were acquired at time zero in the phase-

contrast, DAPI, and FITC channels before the acquisition of a FITC time series (every 5

s for 95 s) to observe the MinD-GFP oscillations. A final snapshot series in all three

channels was performed to correct for cell movement during the time series.

1098

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching

CJW7605 cells were inoculated in a 2-mL solution of M9gluCAAT and grown overnight at

30°C with shaking (220 rpm). An aliquot of the culture was then diluted 1:10,000 into 50

mL of medium in a 250-mL flask and returned to the shaker. Samples were taken at OD

1.7 and spotted onto agarose pads containing conditioned medium. Snapshots were

taken before bleaching. For each field of view, an average of four cells were selected for

FRAP analysis out of over 200 control cells. A disk (diameter = 5 pixels) was used to

specify the region of interest for photobleaching and positioned at one of the cell poles,

covering fully or partially the glycogen accumulation area. The regions of interest were

bleached with a 405 nm laser at 25% power for 30 ms. Images were then acquired every

50 ms for 30 s following the bleaching.

Photobleaching correction was performed globally for each field of view using a

custom Fiji macro (PhotoBleachCorrection.ijm). Briefly, the average fluorescence

intensity across all control (non-bleached) cells was calculated for each time point and

used to calculate a correction value centered on the fluorescence intensity at t = 0 (before

photobleaching). The calculated correction factor for each time point was then applied to

all pixels in the field of view. For each field of view, the photobleached cells and a

randomly selected control cell were further analyzed.

After correction for photobleaching, FRAP analysis was performed using a custom

MATLAB script (FRAP_Analysis.m). In brief, intensity profiles were extracted from the

photobleached and non-photobleached regions using a circular region of interest of 5-

pixels in diameter. Signal profiles were background-subtracted and normalized such that

the first frame after photobleaching was zero and the maximum intensity after recovery

was one. Each profile was then fitted by an exponential function to determine the half-

time to maximal recovery. A total of 70 photobleached cells were analyzed.

1124

Atomic force microscopy experiments

E. coli cells were immobilized on poly-L-lysine-coated glass-bottom Petri dishes. Fifty

microliters of 0.01% poly-L-lysine were dropped on the glass-bottom Petri dish, air-dried

for an hour, rinsed with Milli-Q water, and then dried with a nitrogen flow. A diluted cell

suspension (500 μL) was dropped on the coated dishes and incubated at room

temperature for 30 min before being rinsed with PBS five times to remove loose cells.

Then, 2 mL of PBS were added to the dishes before AFM imaging. All AFM experiments

were performed with a JPK NanoWizard V instrument (Bruker, USA) mounted on the

inverted optical microscope Axio Observer (Zeiss, Germany). Depth-resolved stiffness

map and stiffness tomography data were collected using the Quantitative Imaging (QI)

mode with a force setpoint of 20 nN. SCANASYST-FLUID cantilevers (Bruker, USA) with

a nominal spring constant of 0.35 N/m and a nominal tip radius of 20 nm were used in

depth-resolved stiffness map and stiffness tomography experiments.

SCANASYST-FLUID+ cantilevers (Bruker, USA) with a nominal spring constant of

0.35 N/m and a nominal tip radius of 2 nm were used for stiffness measurements of the

intracellular content. AFM height images were first collected in QI mode with a minimal

force setpoint (0.5 nN). Then, multiple force spectroscopies were collected on the

designated area using the Contact mode. The force setpoint, the ramp size, and the tip

velocity were set to 10 nN, 2 µm, and 2 µm/s, respectively. For each position, 8x8 force

curves were recorded on a 50x50-nm^2 area. The spring constant and deflection sensitivity

were calibrated prior to each experiment using the thermal noise method.

1146

Atomic force microscopy data analysis

The depth-resolved stiffness map data were analyzed using the JPK NanoWizard V data

processing software (Bruker, USA). The slope fit function in JPK NanoWizard V data

processing software was applied. The fit range was 100% to 80% of the y channel (vertical

deflection), which corresponds to 40-nm indentation depth from the end point of the curve.

The stiffness tomography was generated using a customized Python code

(AFM_stiffness_tomography_analysis.py), in which every 20-nm indentation segment

after the contact point was analyzed for all force-distance curves along the cellular medial

axis. The medial axis was extracted using code (Bivariate_medial_axis_estimation.py)

from a previous study (Papagiannakis *et al*, 2025). The intracellular stiffness data were

analyzed using a customized Python code (AFM_penetration_experiment_analysis.py),

in which membrane rupture events were identified, and the slopes of the force-distance

curves (the approach curves) were fitted after the rupture points. Only force-distance

curves with membrane rupture events were analyzed.

1161

1162

DATA AVAILABILITY

Image analysis code and the newly trained segmentation models for images of *E. coli* cells on agarose pads and in the microfluidic device are available on the Jacobs-Wagner lab Github repository, along with the curation and training data generation code, (https://github.com/JacobsWagnerLab/published/tree/master/Thappeta_Canas-Duarte_et_al_2025). Images are available on Biostudies S-BIAD2088.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.T., S.J.C.-D., and C.J.-W. prepared the manuscript and conceptualized the study. W.G. and C.L. collected preliminary observations and data. YT, S.J.C-D. and C.J.-W. designed in vivo experiments. Y.T. and S.J.C.-D performed in vivo experiments. S.J.C.-D. and C.J.-W. designed in vitro experiments. S.J.C.-D. performed in vitro experiments and constructed the glycogen biosensor. S.J.C.-D. and Y.X. developed the analysis pipeline for the light microscopy experiments. G.H. produced the synthetic micrograph training data for the microfluidic experiments. S.J.C.-D performed and analyzed the microfluidic experiments. H.W. performed the AFM experiments and analyses. S.J.C.-D. and A.F. optimized the Omnipose segmentation model for analysis. S.J.C.-D. and Y.T. analyzed data. T.K. and L.C. performed and analyzed whole-cell NMR experiments. C.J.-W and L.C. provided supervision and funding acquisition. C.J.-W. provided project administration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Johan Paulsson for sharing unpublished strains, the epoxy cast of the microfluidic device, and the microfluidic centrifuge holder design used in this study.

We are also thankful to Dr. Somenath Bakshi for supervising Georgeos Hardo in

generating the simulated bacterial images used to retrain Omnipose. We thank Drs.

Suckjoon Jun and KC Huang for sharing published strains, and Dr. Sangjin Kim for

generating a P1 transduction that generated strain CJW5685. We are thankful to Drs.

Onn Brandman, KC Huang, and Jonas Cremer for valuable discussion. Finally, we

express our gratitude to the Jacobs-Wagner laboratory for support, discussion, and

critical reading of the manuscript. Part of this work was performed at the Stanford Nano

Shared Facilities (SNSF), supported by the National Science Foundation under award

ECCS-2026822. AFM experiments were performed at the Stanford University Cell

Sciences Imaging Core Facility (RRID:SCR_017787). This research was supported in

part by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), Rubicon 2022-2

Science programme, 019.222EN.001 (to A.F.), the Biotechnology and Biological

Sciences Research Council (BB/M011194/1 to G.H.), the Strategic Seed Fund from the

School of Technology at the University of Cambridge (to G.H.), and the National Institutes

of Health grant R01GM117278 (to L.C.). C.J.-W. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes

Medical Institute.

1202

1203 **DISCLOSURE AND COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

1204 The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Åkerlund T, Nordstro¨m K, Nordstro¨m N & Bernander R (1995) Analysis of Cell Size and DNA Content in Exponentially Growing and Stationary-Phase Batch Cultures of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 177: 6791–6797

Alatossava T, Jütte H, Kuhn A & Kellenberger E (1985) Manipulation of intracellular magnesium content in polymyxin B nonapeptide-sensitized Escherichia coli by ionophore A23187. *J Bacteriol* 162: 413–419

Alberti S, Gladfelter A & Mittag T (2019) Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell* 176: 419–434 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.035 [PREPRINT]

Alberti S & Hyman AA (2021) Biomolecular condensates at the nexus of cellular stress, protein aggregation disease and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 22: 196–213

Alberti S, Saha S, Woodruff JB, Franzmann TM, Wang J & Hyman AA (2018) A User's Guide for Phase Separation Assays with Purified Proteins. *J Mol Biol* 430: 4806–4820

Albi T & Serrano A (2016) Inorganic polyphosphate in the microbial world. Emerging roles for a multifaceted biopolymer. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 32: 27

Almagro G, Viale AM, Montero M, Rahimpour M, Muñoz FJ, Baroja-Fernández E, Bahaji A, Zúñiga M, González-Candelas F & Pozueta-Romero J (2015) Comparative genomic and phylogenetic analyses of gammaproteobacterial glg genes traced the origin of the Escherichia coli glycogen glgBXCAP operon to the last common ancestor of the sister orders Enterobacteriales and Pasteurellales. *PLoS One* 10

Alonso-Casajús N, Dauvillée D, Viale AM, Muñoz FJ, Baroja-Fernández E, Morán-Zorzano MT, Eydallin G, Ball S & Pozueta-Romero J (2006) Glycogen phosphorylase,

the product of the glgP gene, catalyzes glycogen breakdown by removing glucose

units from the nonreducing ends in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 188: 5266–5272

Amselem E, Broadwater B, Hävermark T, Johansson M & Elf J (2023) Real-time single-

molecule 3D tracking in E. coli based on cross-entropy minimization. *Nat Commun*

14: 1–11

Asakura S & Oosawa F (1954) On Interaction between Two Bodies Immersed in a

Solution of Macromolecules. *J Chem Phys* 22: 1255–1256

Asakura S & Oosawa F (1958) Interaction between particles suspended in solutions of

macromolecules. *Journal of Polymer Science* 33: 183–192

Azaldegui CA, Vecchiarelli AG & Biteen JS (2021) The emergence of phase separation

as an organizing principle in bacteria. *Biophys J* 120: 1123–1138

Azam TA, Hiraga S & Ishihama A (2000) Two types of localization of the DNA-binding

proteins within the Escherichia coli nucleoid. *Genes to Cells* 5: 613–626

Badrinarayanan A, Lesterlin C, Reyes-Lamothe R & Sherratt D (2012) The Escherichia

coli SMC Complex, MukBEF, Shapes Nucleoid Organization Independently of DNA

Replication. *J Bacteriol* 194: 4669–4676

Bakshi S, Choi H, Mondal J & Weisshaar JC (2014) Time-dependent effects of

transcription- and translation-halting drugs on the spatial distributions of the E

scherichia coli chromosome and ribosomes. *Mol Microbiol* 94: 871–887

Bakshi S, Leoncini E, Baker C, Cañas-Duarte SJ, Okumus B & Paulsson J (2021)

Tracking bacterial lineages in complex and dynamic environments with applications

for growth control and persistence. *Nature Microbiology 2021 6:6* 6: 783–791

Bakshi S, Siryaporn A, Goulian M & Weisshaar JC (2012) Superresolution imaging of

ribosomes and RNA polymerase in live Escherichia coli cells. *Mol Microbiol* 85: 21–

38

Baldwin WW, Myer R, Powell N, Anderson E & Koch AL (1995) Buoyant density of

Escherichia coli is determined solely by the osmolarity of the culture medium. *Arch*

Microbiol 164: 155–7

Balleza E, Kim JM & Cluzel P (2018) Systematic characterization of maturation time of

fluorescent proteins in living cells. *Nat Methods* 15: 47–51

Banani SF, Lee HO, Hyman AA & Rosen MK (2017) Biomolecular condensates:

Organizers of cellular biochemistry. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 18: 285–298

Bates D & Kleckner N (2005) Chromosome and replisome dynamics in E. coli: Loss of

sister cohesion triggers global chromosome movement and mediates chromosome

segregation. *Cell* 121: 899–911

Becker JW, Reeke GN, Cunningham BA & Edelman GM (1976) New evidence on the

location of the saccharide-binding site of concanavalin A. *Nature* 259: 406–409

Bennett AE, Rienstra CM, Auger M, Lakshmi K V. & Griffin RG (1995) Heteronuclear

decoupling in rotating solids. *J Chem Phys* 103: 6951–6958

Bezborodkina NN, Chestnova AY, Vorobev ML & Kudryavtsev BN (2018) Spatial

Structure of Glycogen Molecules in Cells. *Biochemistry (Moscow)* 83: 467–482

Boehm A, Arnoldini M, Bergmiller T, Röösli T, Bigosch C & Ackermann M (2016) Genetic

Manipulation of Glycogen Allocation Affects Replicative Lifespan in E. coli. *PLoS*

Genet 12

1273 Boeynaems S, Alberti S, Fawzi NL, Mittag T, Polymenidou M, Rousseau F, Schymkowitz

1274   J, Shorter J, Wolozin B, Van Den Bosch L, *et al* (2018) Protein Phase Separation: A

1275   New Phase in Cell Biology. *Trends Cell Biol* 28: 420–435

1276 Bourassa L & Camilli A (2009) Glycogen contributes to the environmental persistence

1277   and transmission of Vibrio cholerae. *Mol Microbiol* 72: 124–38

1278 Brandt F, Etchells SA, Ortiz JO, Elcock AH, Hartl FU & Baumeister W (2009) The Native

1279   3D Organization of Bacterial Polysomes. *Cell* 136: 261–271

1280 Bremer H & Dennis PP (2008) Modulation of Chemical Composition and Other

1281   Parameters of the Cell at Different Exponential Growth Rates. *EcoSal Plus* 3

1282 Bren A, Hart Y, Dekel E, Koster D & Alon U (2013) The last generation of bacterial growth

1283   in limiting nutrient. *BMC Syst Biol* 7: 27

1284 Buchanan RE (1918) Life Phases in a Bacterial Culture

1285 Castellana M, Hsin-Jung Li S & Wingreen NS (2016) Spatial organization of bacterial

1286   transcription and translation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113:

1287   9286–9291

1288 Chai Q, Singh B, Peisker K, Metzendorf N, Ge X, Dasgupta S & Sanyal S (2014)

1289   Organization of Ribosomes and Nucleoids in Escherichia coli Cells during Growth

1290   and in Quiescence. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289: 11342–11352

1291 Chen YJ, Liu P, Nielsen AAK, Brophy JAN, Clancy K, Peterson T & Voigt CA (2013)

1292   Characterization of 582 natural and synthetic terminators and quantification of their

1293   design constraints. *Nature Methods 2013 10:7* 10: 659–664

Cherepanov PP & Wackernagel W (1995) Gene disruption in Escherichia coli: TcR and

KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance

determinant. *Gene* 158: 9–14

Cohan MC & Pappu R V. (2020) Making the Case for Disordered Proteins and

Biomolecular Condensates in Bacteria. *Trends Biochem Sci* 45: 668–680

Cutler KJ, Stringer C, Lo TW, Rappez L, Stroustrup N, Brook Peterson S, Wiggins PA &

Mougous JD (2022) Omnipose: a high-precision morphology-independent solution

for bacterial cell segmentation. *Nat Methods* 19: 1438–1448

Datsenko KA & Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in

Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97: 6640–5

Devanand K & Selser JC (1991) Asymptotic behavior and long-range interactions in

aqueous solutions of poly(ethylene oxide). *Macromolecules* 24: 5943–5947

Diner EJ, Garza-Sánchez F & Hayes CS (2011) Genome Engineering Using Targeted

Oligonucleotide Libraries and Functional Selection. In *Bone*, Williams JA (ed) pp 71–

82. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press

Dworkin J & Harwood CS (2022) Metabolic Reprogramming and Longevity in Quiescence.

Annu Rev Microbiol 76: 91–111

Elowitz MB, Surette MG, Wolf P-E, Stock JB & Leibler S (1999) Protein Mobility in the

Cytoplasm of *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 181: 197–203

Erickson HP (2009) Size and shape of protein molecules at the nanometer level

determined by sedimentation, gel filtration, and electron microscopy. *Biol Proced*

Online 11: 32–51 doi:10.1007/s12575-009-9008-x [PREPRINT]

Feijó Delgado F, Cermak N, Hecht VC, Son S, Li Y, Knudsen SM, Olcum S, Higgins JM,

Chen J, Grover WH, *et al* (2013) Intracellular Water Exchange for Measuring the Dry

Mass, Water Mass and Changes in Chemical Composition of Living Cells. *PLoS One*

8: e67590

Floc'h K, Lacroix F, Servant P, Wong Y-S, Kleman J-P, Bourgeois D & Timmins J (2019)

Cell morphology and nucleoid dynamics in dividing Deinococcus radiodurans. *Nat*

Commun 10: 3815

Fung T, Kwong N, Van Der Zwan T & Wu M (2013) Residual Glycogen Metabolism in

Escherichia coli is Specific to the Limiting Macronutrient and Varies During Stationary

Phase

Gangola P & Rosen BP (1987) Maintenance of intracellular calcium in Escherichia coli.

Journal of Biological Chemistry 262: 12570–12574

de Gennes PG & Witten TA (1980) *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics*. *Phys Today*

33: 51–54

Govons S, Vinopal R, Ingraham J & Preiss J (1969) Isolation of mutants of Escherichia

coli B altered in their ability to synthesize glycogen. *J Bacteriol* 97: 970–972

Gray WT, Govers SK, Xiang Y, Parry BR, Campos M, Kim S & Jacobs-Wagner C (2019)

Nucleoid Size Scaling and Intracellular Organization of Translation across Bacteria.

Cell 177: 1632-1648.e20

Grossman TH, Kawasaki ES, Punreddy SR & Osburne MS (1998) Spontaneous cAMP-

dependent derepression of gene expression in stationary phase plays a role in

recombinant expression instability. *Gene* 209: 95–103

Gründel M, Scheunemann R, Lockau W & Zilliges Y (2012) Impaired glycogen synthesis

causes metabolic overflow reactions and affects stress responses in the

cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. *Microbiology (United Kingdom)* 158:

3032–3043

Guerrero CR, Garcia PD & Garcia R (2019) Subsurface Imaging of Cell Organelles by

Force Microscopy. *ACS Nano* 13: 9629–9637

Hardo G, Noka M & Bakshi S (2022) Synthetic Micrographs of Bacteria (SyMBac) allows

accurate segmentation of bacterial cells using deep neural networks. *BMC Biol* 20

Hedge PJ & Spratt BG (1985) Resistance to β-lactam antibiotics by re-modelling the

active site of an E. coli penicillin-binding protein. *Nature 1985 318:6045* 318: 478–

480

Henrissat B, Deleury E & Coutinho PM (2002) Glycogen metabolism loss: a common

marker of parasitic behaviour in bacteria? *Trends in Genetics* 18: 437–440

Hink MA, Griep RA, Borst JW, van Hoek A, Eppink MHM, Schots A & Visser AJWG (2000)

Structural Dynamics of Green Fluorescent Protein Alone and Fused with a Single

Chain Fv Protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 275: 17556–17560

Hoang AY, Azaldegui CA, Ghalmi M, Biteen JS & Vecchiarelli AG (2023) An experimental

framework to assess biomolecular condensates in bacteria.

Holme T, Laurent T, Palmstierna H, Magnéli A, Magnéli A, Pestmalis H & Åsbrink S (1957)

On the Glycogen in Escherichia coli B; Variations in Molecular Weight during Growth.

I. *Acta Chem Scand* 11: 757–762

Holme T, Palmstierna H, Ulfvarson U, Stenhagen E & Thorell B (1956) Changes in

Glycogen and Nitrogen-containing Compounds in Escherichia coli B during Growth

in Deficient Media. I. Nitrogen and Carbon Starvation. *Acta Chem Scand* 10: 578–

586

Hyman AA, Weber CA & Jülicher F (2014) Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology. *Annu*

Rev Cell Dev Biol 30: 39–58 doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013325

[PREPRINT]

Ingraham JL, Maaløe O & Neidhardt FC (1983) Growth of the bacterial cell Sunderland

(Mass.) : Sinauer associates

Janel S, Popoff M, Barois N, Werkmeister E, Divoux S, Perez F & Lafont F (2019)

Stiffness tomography of eukaryotic intracellular compartments by atomic force

microscopy. *Nanoscale* 11: 10320–10328

Jensen KF (1993) The Escherichia coli K-12 'wild types' W3110 and MG1655 have an

rph frameshift mutation that leads to pyrimidine starvation due to low pyrE expression

levels. *J Bacteriol* 175: 3401–3407

Julius K, Weine J, Gao M, Latarius J, Elbers M, Paulus M, Tolan M & Winter R (2019)

Impact of Macromolecular Crowding and Compression on Protein-Protein

Interactions and Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation Phenomena. *Macromolecules* 52:

1772–1784

Khemici V, Poljak L, Luisi BF & Carpousis AJ (2008) The RNase E of Escherichia coli is

a membrane-binding protein. *Mol Microbiol* 70: 799–813

Klotz A & Forchhammer K (2017) Glycogen, a major player for bacterial survival and

awakening from dormancy. *Future Microbiol* 12: 101–104

Klotz A, Georg J, Bučinská L, Watanabe S, Reimann V, Januszewski W, Sobotka R,

Jendrossek D, Hess WR & Forchhammer K (2016) Awakening of a Dormant

Cyanobacterium from Nitrogen Chlorosis Reveals a Genetically Determined

Program. *Current Biology* 26: 2862–2872

Konopka MC, Sochacki KA, Bratton BP, Shkel IA, Record MT & Weisshaar JC (2009)

Cytoplasmic Protein Mobility in Osmotically Stressed *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 191:

231–237

Kubitschek HE, Baldwin WW & Graetzer R (1983) Buoyant density constancy during the

cell cycle of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 155: 1027–1032

Kubitschek HE & Friske JA (1986) Determination of bacterial cell volume with the Coulter

Counter. *J Bacteriol* 168: 1466–1467

Landgraf D, Okumus B, Chien P, Baker TA & Paulsson J (2012) Segregation of molecules

at cell division reveals native protein localization. *Nat Methods* 9: 480–482

Li XT, Jun Y, Erickstad MJ, Brown SD, Parks A, Court DL & Jun S (2016) tCRISPRi:

tunable and reversible, one-step control of gene expression. *Scientific Reports 2016*

6:1 6: 1–12

Liu H, Wen J, Xiao Y, Liu J, Hopyan S, Radisic M, Simmons CA & Sun Y (2014) In situ

mechanical characterization of the cell nucleus by atomic force microscopy. *ACS*

Nano 8: 3821–8

Liu Q, Li J, Zhang W, Xiao C, Zhang S, Nian C, Li J, Su D, Chen L, Zhao Q, *et al* (2021a)

Glycogen accumulation and phase separation drives liver tumor initiation. *Cell* 184:

5559-5576.e19

Liu Q-H, Tang J-W, Wen P-B, Wang M-M, Zhang X & Wang L (2021b) From Prokaryotes

to Eukaryotes: Insights Into the Molecular Structure of Glycogen Particles. *Front Mol*

Biosci 8: 1–15

Loferer-Krössbacher M, Klima J & Psenner R (1998) Determination of bacterial cell dry

mass by transmission electron microscopy and densitometric image analysis. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol 64: 688–94

Lukatsky DB & Shakhnovich EI (2008) Statistically enhanced promiscuity of structurally

correlated patterns. *Phys Rev E* 77: 020901

Lutkenhaus J (2008) Min oscillation in bacteria. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 641: 49–61

Lvov Y, Ariga K, Ichinose I & Kunitake T (1996) Molecular film assembly via layer-by-

layer adsorption of oppositely charged macromolecules (linear polymer, protein and

clay) and concanavalin A and glycogen. *Thin Solid Films* 284–285: 797–801

Madsen NB (1963) The biological control of glycogen metabolism in Agrobacterium

tumefaciens. *Can J Biochem Physiol* 41: 561–571

Milo R (2013) What is the total number of protein molecules per cell volume? A call to

rethink some published values. *BioEssays* 35: 1050–1055

Mohapatra S & Weisshaar JC (2018) Functional mapping of the E. coli translational

machinery using single-molecule tracking. *Mol Microbiol* 110: 262–282

Mondal J, Bratton BP, Li Y, Yethiraj A & Weisshaar JC (2011) Entropy-based mechanism

of ribosome-nucleoid segregation in E. coli Cells. *Biophys J* 100: 2605–2613

Morcombe CR & Zilm KW (2003) Chemical shift referencing in MAS solid state NMR.

Journal of Magnetic Resonance 162: 479–486

Morita RY (1990) The starvation-survival state of microorganisms in nature and its

relationship to the bioavailable energy. *Experientia* 46: 813–817

Mulder EG, Deinema MH, van Veen WL & Zevenhuizen LPTM (1962) Polysaccharides,

lipids and poly-β-hydroxybutyrate in microorganisms. *Recueil des Travaux*

Chimiques des Pays-Bas 81: 797–809

Neidhardt FC & Curtiss R (1996) Escherichia coli and Salmonella : cellular and molecular

biology

Nilsson M, Bülow L & Wahlund K-G (1997) Use of flow field-flow fractionation for the rapid

quantitation of ribosome and ribosomal subunits inEscherichia coli at different protein

production conditions. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 54: 461–467

Nyström T (2004) Stationary-Phase Physiology. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 58: 161–181

Nyström T & Kjelleberg S (1989) Role of Protein Synthesis in the Cell Division and

Starvation Induced Resistance to Autolysis of a Marine Vibrio during the Initial Phase

of Starvation. *Microbiology (N Y)* 135: 1599–1606

Oak ASW, Bagchi A, Brukman MJ, Toth J, Ford J, Zheng Y, Nace A, Yang R, Hsieh J-C,

Hayden JE, *et al* (2025) Wnt signaling modulates mechanotransduction in the

epidermis to drive hair follicle regeneration. *Sci Adv* 11: eadq0638

Paintdakhi A, Parry B, Campos M, Irnov I, Elf J, Surovtsev I & Jacobs-Wagner C (2016)

Oufti: an integrated software package for high-accuracy, high-throughput quantitative

microscopy analysis. *Mol Microbiol* 99: 767–77

Papagiannakis A, Yu Q, Govers SK, Lin W-H, Wingreen NS & Jacobs-Wagner C (2025)

Nonequilibrium polysome dynamics promote chromosome segregation and its

coupling to cell growth in Escherichia coli. *Elife*

Pelletier JF, Field CM, Coughlin M, Ryazanova L, Sonnett M, Wühr M & Mitchison TJ

(2021) Glycogen-dependent demixing of frog egg cytoplasm at increased crowding.

1–21

Penedo M, Miyazawa K, Okano N, Furusho H, Ichikawa T, Alam MS, Miyata K, Nakamura

C & Fukuma T (2021) Visualizing intracellular nanostructures of living cells by

nanoendoscopy-AFM. *Sci Adv* 7: eabj4990

Persson LB, Ambati VS & Brandman O (2020) Cellular Control of Viscosity Counters

Changes in Temperature and Energy Availability. *Cell* 183: 1572-1585.e16

Phillips LA, Hotham-Iglewski B & Franklin RM (1969) Polyribosomes of Escherichia coli:

II. Experiments to determine the in vivo distribution of polysomes, ribosomes and

ribosomal subunits. *J Mol Biol* 45: 23–38

Pogliano J, Pogliano K, Weiss DS, Losick R & Beckwith J (1997) Inactivation of FtsI

inhibits constriction of the FtsZ cytokinetic ring and delays the assembly of FtsZ rings

at potential division sites

Potma EO, De Boeij WP, Bosgraaf L, Roelofs J, Van Haastert PJM & Wiersma DA (2001)

Reduced Protein Diffusion Rate by Cytoskeleton in Vegetative and Polarized

Dictyostelium Cells. *Biophys J* 81: 2010–2019

Preiss J (1984) Bacterial glycogen synthesis and its regulation. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 38:

419–58

Preiss J & Romeo T (1990) Physiology, Biochemistry and Genetics of Bacterial Glycogen

Synthesis. In pp 183–238.

Preiss J & Romeo T (1994) Molecular biology and regulatory aspects of glycogen

biosynthesis in bacteria. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 47: 299–329

Racki LR, Tocheva EI, Dieterle MG, Sullivan MC, Jensen GJ & Newman DK (2017)

Polyphosphate granule biogenesis is temporally and functionally tied to cell cycle exit

during starvation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:

E2440–E2449

Roduit C, Sekatski S, Dietler G, Catsicas S, Lafont F & Kasas S (2009) Stiffness

tomography by atomic force microscopy. *Biophys J* 97: 674–7

Rolinson GN (1980) Effect of beta-lactam antibiotics on bacterial cell growth rate. *J Gen*

Microbiol 120: 317–323

Rostam N, Ghosh S, Chow CFW, Hadarovich A, Landerer C, Ghosh R, Moon HK,

Hersemann L, Mitrea DM, Klein IA, *et al* (2023) CD-CODE: crowdsourcing

condensate database and encyclopedia. *Nat Methods* 20: 673–676

Sanamrad A, Persson F, Lundius EG, Fange D, Gynnå AH & Elf J (2014) Single-particle

tracking reveals that free ribosomal subunits are not excluded from the Escherichia

coli nucleoid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: 11413–11418

Schaefer J & Stejskal EO (1976) Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Polymers

Spinning at the Magic Angle. *J Am Chem Soc* 98: 1031–1032

Schavemaker PE, Śmigiel WM & Poolman B (2017) Ribosome surface properties may

impose limits on the nature of the cytoplasmic proteome. *Elife* 6: 1–28

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,

Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, *et al* (2012) Fiji: An open-source platform for

biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9: 676–682

Schultz SG, Wilson NL & Epstein W (1962) Cation Transport in Escherichia coli. *Journal*

of General Physiology 46: 159–166

Sekar K, Linker SM, Nguyen J, Grünhagen A, Stocker R & Sauer U (2020) Bacterial

Glycogen Provides Short-Term Benefits in Changing Environments. *Appl Environ*

Microbiol 86

Sharp KA (2015) Analysis of the size dependence of macromolecular crowding shows

that smaller is better. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112: 7990–7995

Sherratt DJ (2003) Bacterial chromosome dynamics. *Science (1979)* 301: 780–785

Shi H, Westfall CS, Kao J, Odermatt PD, Anderson SE, Cesar S, Sievert M, Moore J,

Gonzalez CG, Zhang L, *et al* (2021) Starvation induces shrinkage of the bacterial

cytoplasm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118: 2104686118

Shih YL & Zheng M (2013) Spatial control of the cell division site by the Min system in

Escherichia coli. *Environ Microbiol* 15: 3229–3239

Shively JM (1974) Inclusion Bodies of Prokaryotes. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 28: 167–188

Sigal N, Cattaneo J & Segel IH (1964) Glycogen accumulation by wild-type and uridine

diphosphate glucose pyrophosphorylase-negative strains of Escherichia coli. *Arch*

Biochem Biophys 108: 440–451

Skurat A V., Segvich DM, Depaoli-Roach AA & Roach PJ (2017) Novel method for

detection of glycogen in cells. *Glycobiology* 27: 416–424

Smith WC, Qu H, Zheng K, Baek JH, Gao Y, Buehler PW, Feng X & Xu X (2023)

Determining critical overlap concentration of polyethylene oxide to support excipient

safety assessment of opioid products. *Int J Pharm* 632

Strahl H, Turlan C, Khalid S, Bond PJ, Kebalo J-M, Peyron P, Poljak L, Bouvier M,

Hamoen L, Luisi BF, *et al* (2015) Membrane Recognition and Dynamics of the RNA

Degradosome. *PLoS Genet* 11: e1004961

Strange RE, Dark FA & Ness AG (1961) The Survival of Stationary Phase Aerobacter

aerogenes Stored in Aqueous Suspension. *J Gen Microbiol* 25: 61–76

Stylianidou S, Brennan C, Nissen SB, Kuwada NJ & Wiggins PA (2016) SuperSegger:

robust image segmentation, analysis and lineage tracking of bacterial cells. *Mol*

Microbiol 102: 690–700

Surovtsev I V. & Jacobs-Wagner C (2018) Subcellular Organization: A Critical Feature of

Bacterial Cell Replication. *Cell* 172: 1271–1293

Swaminathan R, Hoang CP & Verkman AS (1997) Photobleaching recovery and

anisotropy decay of green fluorescent protein GFP-S65T in solution and cells:

cytoplasmic viscosity probed by green fluorescent protein translational and rotational

diffusion. *Biophys J* 72: 1900–1907

Szatmári D, Sárkány P, Kocsis B, Nagy T, Miseta A, Barkó S, Longauer B, Robinson RC

& Nyitrai M (2020) Intracellular ion concentrations and cation-dependent remodelling

of bacterial MreB assemblies. *Sci Rep* 10: 12002

Thomason LC, Costantino N & Court DL (2007) E. coli Genome Manipulation by P1

Transduction. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 79

Del Valle A, Torra J, Bondia P, Tone CM, Pedraz P, Vadillo-Rodriguez V & Flors C (2020)

Mechanically Induced Bacterial Death Imaged in Real Time: A Simultaneous

Nanoindentation and Fluorescence Microscopy Study. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 12:

31235–31241

Wang L, Liu Q, Tan X, Wang Z, Wang M, Wise MJ, Li C, Ma C, Li E, Deng B, *et al* (2019a)

Molecular Structure of Glycogen in Escherichia coli. *Biomacromolecules* 20: 2821–

2829

Wang L & Wise MJ (2011) Glycogen with short average chain length enhances bacterial

durability. *Naturwissenschaften* 98: 719–729

Wang M, Liu Q, Kang X, Zhu Z, Yang H, Xi X, Zhang X, Du Y, Guo M, Tang D, *et al* (2020)

Glycogen Metabolism Impairment via Single Gene Mutation in the glgBXCAP Operon

Alters the Survival Rate of Escherichia coli Under Various Environmental Stresses.

Front Microbiol 11: 1–13

Wang M, Liu Q, Li F, Tang J, Xiong X, Yang Y, Ju P, Wang Z, Gilbert RG & Wang L (2021)

The dynamic changes of glycogen molecular structure in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3).

Carbohydr Polym 259: 117773

Wang P, Robert L, Pelletier J, Dang WL, Taddei F, Wright A & Jun S (2010) Robust

Growth of Escherichia coli. *Current Biology* 20: 1099–1103

Wang Z, Zhang G & Zhang H (2019b) Protocol for analyzing protein liquid–liquid phase

separation. *Biophys Rep* 5: 1–9

Wilson WA, Roach PJ, Montero M, Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Eydallin G, Viale AM

& Pozueta-Romero J (2010) Regulation of glycogen metabolism in yeast and

bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 34: 952–985

Woldringh CL, Mulder E, Valkenburg JAC, Wientjes FB, Zaritsky A & Nanninga N (1990)

Role of the nucleoid in the toporegulation of division. *Res Microbiol* 141: 39–49

Wu F, van Schie BGC, Keymer JE & Dekker C (2015) Symmetry and scale orient Min

protein patterns in shaped bacterial sculptures. *Nat Nanotechnol* 10: 719–726

Yoshioka K, Saito M, Oh K-B, Nemoto Y, Matsuoka H, Natsume M & Abe H (1996a)

Intracellular Fate of 2-NBDG, a Fluorescent Probe for Glucose Uptake Activity, in

Escherichia coli Cells. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 60: 1899–1901

Yoshioka K, Takahashi H, Homma T, Saito M, Oh K-B, Nemoto Y & Matsuoka H (1996b)

A novel fluorescent derivative of glucose applicable to the assessment of glucose

uptake activity of Escherichia coli. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General*

Subjects 1289: 5–9

Zevenhuizen LPTM (1966) Formation and function of the glycogen-like polysaccharide

ofArthrobacter. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 32: 356–372

Zhu Y, Fan Z, Wang R, Xie R, Guo H, Zhang M, Guo B, Sun T, Zhang H, Zhuo L, *et al*

(2020) Single-Cell Analysis for Glycogen Localization and Metabolism in Cultured

Astrocytes. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 40: 801–812

1573

1574

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig 1: Cellular features change as the cell density of the culture increases. **A** Growth curves of two independent cultures of strain CJW4677. The datapoints for the same culture have the same shape (spherical vs square). OD measurements were manually collected by spectrophotometry over time.

B Representative phase contrast images of DAPI-labeled cells in exponential (OD = 0.5), transition (OD = 2.0) and stationary phase (OD = 3.25). The magenta arrowhead indicates the site of cell constriction. The inset shows a zoomed of two cells in transition phase displaying cellular asymmetries in nucleoid and/or constriction positioning. The scale bars in the inset and larger field of view are 1 and 5 μm, respectively.

C Scatter plot of OD vs. mean cell area. Samples of different cultures of strain CJW4677 were collected at 35 different ODs (n > 500 cells for each OD) for cell imaging. The binned data for the indicated OD ranges are shown, with the mean describing the averaged mean value for cell samples from three OD values and error bars indicating the standard deviation. Grey, red, and blue shades indicate exponential, transition, and stationary phases, respectively.

D Same as panel C but for OD vs. mean nucleoid area.

E Same as panel C but for OD vs. mean number of nucleoids per cell.

F Same as panel C but for OD vs. fraction of cells with one nucleoid.

G Same as panel C but for OD vs. the fraction of constricting cells in the population.

H Same as panel C but for OD vs. mean division asymmetry, calculated by dividing the absolute distance of the division position from the cell center by cell length and averaging

across cells. This was done for cultures at ODs at which the fraction of dividing cells in

the population was greater than 0.05.

I Kernel density plots of the relative nucleoid positioning for cells in exponential, transition,

or stationary phases, determined by calculating the absolute distance between the

nucleoid mid-point and cell center, normalized for cell length. Note that for cells with two

nucleoids, their relative mid-points were averaged such that when the center of each

nucleoid was positioned at the relative quarter cell positions, the mean mid-point was at

the cell center (see schematic).

1605

Fig 2: The localization pattern of cytoplasmic components changes in transition

phase.

A Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of DAPI-labeled CJW7325

cells expressing RplA-mCherry and msfGFP, along with corresponding cell signal

intensity profiles. Cultures were in exponential phase (OD 0.14) or transition phase (OD

1.83), as indicated. Fluorescence intensity is indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar:

2 μm.

B Contour plots showing the normalized pole difference of msfGFP and RplA-mCherry

signals in cells in exponential (top, n = 448) or transition (bottom, n = 2785) phase. The

normalized pole difference of a fluorescent signal corresponds to the difference in this

signal between pole regions divided by the average signal intensity across the cell. The

contour lines represent the 0.167, 0.33, 0.5, 0.66, 0.83, and 1 kernel density envelopes

of the data.

C Representative images of DAPI-stained CJW5685 cells expressing RNase E ΔMTS

tagged with mCherry in exponential (OD = 0.1) or transition (OD = 1.3) phase, along with

signal intensity profiles for the indicated (*) cell. Scale bar: 2 μm.

D Histograms of normalized pole difference of RNase E ΔMTS-mCherry in CJW5685 cells

in exponential (OD = 0.1, n = 4732) or transition (OD = 1.7, n = 3110) phase.

E Timelapse sequence of a cell (CJW5159) expressing RplA-GFP and HupA-mCherry,

with "N" and "O" indicating the new and old poles, respectively. Cells in exponential phase

at OD ~0.5 were washed in spent medium from a transition-phase culture (OD = 2.63)

and spotted on an agarose pad containing the same spent medium. The RplA-GFP

images were scaled to reflect the 5-95% range of signal intensity for each image. The

signal intensity profile is provided by the cell marked by an asterisk. Scale bar: 2 μm.

F Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of a cephalexin-treated and

DAPI-labeled CJW7325 cell carrying RplA-mCherry and msfGFP in transition phase, with

the corresponding signal intensity profiles shown below. Fluorescence intensities are

indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar: 5 μm.

G Same as panel F but highlighting a band of enriched msfGFP signal (purple arrowhead)

in the region lacking DAPI staining (grey bracket). Scale bar: 5 μm.

H Phase contrast and fluorescence images of a CJW7326 cell carrying HupA-mCherry

and msfGFP, along with the corresponding signal intensity profile. Scale bar: 1 μm.

1638

1639 **Fig 3: Glycogen accumulation in transition phase drives intracellular**

1640 **rearrangement.**

A Representative relative whole-cell ^{13}C CPMAS spectral overlays of WT cell extracts (strain CJW2168) from cultures in exponential vs. transition phase (top), purified bovine and mussel glycogen (middle), and WT vs. Δ*glgBXCAP* (CJW7537) cell extracts from transition-phase cultures (bottom). The dashed rectangle indicates the sugar carbon region of the spectrum.

B Representative fields of view of fluorescence images showing cells from co-cultures in transition phase. The top two fields of view show glycogen-producing cells (CJW7666) expressing mVenus and HupA-mCherry mixed with glycogen-devoid cells (CJW7667) expressing mSCFP3 and HupA-mCherry (Mix 1). The bottom fields of view illustrate glycogen-producing cells (CJW7665) expressing mSCFP3 and HupA-mCherry mixed with glycogen-devoid cells (CJW7668) expressing mVenus and HupA-mCherry (Mix 2). Scale bar: 10 μm. On the right side of the fields of view, the images of single cells (indicated by the single or double asterisks) show examples of the localization of mVenus (yellow), mSCFP3 (cyan), and HupA-mCherry (purple) for the relevant strain. Scale bar: 1 μm.

C Box plots showing the normalized (norm.) offset of the cell constriction site of the co-cultured WT and Δ*glgBXCAP* cells carrying either mVenus or mSCFP3 in exponential and transition phases. The horizontal lines and whiskers correspond to the median and the 1.5x interquartile range, respectively. The results shown correspond to the first replicate for Mix 1 and Mix 2. The results for two other biological replicates of each mix are shown in Fig EV4. The indicated P values were obtained using a two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test.

D Same as panel C but for the normalized nucleoid position offset. The second and third

biological replicates of Mix 1 and Mix 2 are shown in Fig EV5.

E Contour plots showing the normalized (Norm.) pole differences of msfGFP vs. the

normalized pole differences of RplA-mCherry for exponential (top, OD = 0.065, n = 498)

and transition-phase (bottom, OD = 1.2, n = 2807) cells of the glycogen-deficient strain

Δ*glgBXCAP* (CJW7878). The normalized pole difference of a fluorescent signal

corresponds to the difference in this signal between pole regions divided by the average

signal intensity across the cell. The contour lines represent the 0.167, 0.33, 0.5, 0.66,

0.83, and 1 kernel density envelopes of the data.

F Histograms of the normalized pole difference of RNase E ΔMTS-mCherry for cells

(strain CJW7877) in exponential (OD = 0.07, n = 2479) or transition (OD = 0.82, n = 3339)

phase.

G Representative images of WT (CJW7606) and Δ*glgBXCAP* (CJW7607) cells in

transition phase (OD 2.7) labeled with DAPI and expressing mScarlet-I and the glycogen

sensor, along with the signal intensity profiles for the indicated (*) cells. Fluorescence

intensities are indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar: 2 μm.

H Distributions of SCF values of the glycogen sensor vs. DAPI or mScarlet-I for glycogen-

producing cells (n = 3591, CJW7606 in transition phase.

I Density contour plot showing the area difference of the glycogen sensor signal between

the cell poles normalized by the cell area vs. the nucleoid position asymmetry in CJW7606

cells (n = 5794). The latter was calculated by determining the offset of the nucleoid mid-

point from the cell center normalized to the cell length. The contour lines represent the

0.10, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.50, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, and 0.9 probability envelopes of the data. Spearman

correlation coefficient (ρ) is 0.748 (P-value = 0).

J Example phase contrast and fluorescence images of a DAPI-labeled CJW7606 cell

carrying RplA-mCherry and the glycogen sensor. The cell was from a culture in transition

phase following cephalexin treatment. Grey bracket indicates DNA-free region where

glycogen accumulation (orange arrowheads) sandwiches a band of RplA-mCherry

enrichment (purple arrowhead). Scale bar: 2 µm.

1692

Fig 4. Preferential glycogen accumulation at the old cell pole through inheritance

contributes to the asymmetry in nucleoid positioning.

A Schematic of the setup used in the microfluidic experiment. A waterbath shaker is used

to grow a batch culture with controlled temperature (30°C) and shaking (200 rpm). Culture

is first passed through a custom-built bubble trap and then through the microfluidics chip

(mother machine) using a peristaltic pump. The large inset (dotted lines) shows a

zoomed-in depiction of the microfluidic chip, with the culture flowing through the feeding

channels and cells growing in the narrow trenches. The smaller inset shows an

enlargement of the mother cell.

B Montage of a representative lineage of CJW7605 showing the overlay between the

HupA-mCherry and glycogen sensor signals. Scale bar 2 µm.

C Plot showing the normalized glycogen sensor pole area over all observed generations.

The solid line represents the mean across all tracked cell lineages (n = 130), while the

shaded area indicates the 95% confidence interval.

D Scatter plot of the correlation between the normalized nucleoid offset and the

normalized glycogen sensor pole area for all tracked lineages (n = 130) across all

analyzed time points (color bar). Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) is 0.826 (P value =

0).

E Plot showing the normalized nucleoid offset as a function of cell generations for the

glycogen WT strain (CJW7605, n = 130 lineages) and the glycogen-deficient ($\Delta glgBXCAP$)

strain (CJW7668, n = 35 lineages). The solid lines represent the means across all tracked

cell lineages, while the shaded areas indicate the 95% confidence interval.

1715

Fig 5: Asymmetry in glycogen accumulation creates cell size differences and

asymmetric cell divisions.

A Density contour plot of the relative division position as a function of the nucleoid centroid

position in dividing cells for transition-phase cells (strain CJW7666, n = 525). The values

+0.5 and -0.5 represent the cell poles, while 0 corresponds to the cell center. Spearman

correlation coefficient (ρ) for WT cells is 0.89 (P value = 0).

B Scatter plot showing the difference in cell area and the difference in the area occupied

by the glycogen sensor between future daughter cells (strain CJW7606). Constricting

cells (n = 365) were computationally divided into two future daughter cells based on the

cell constriction position (illustrated in the inset). Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) is

0.54 (P value = 1.28×10^{-27}).

C. Boxplots showing the area of exponential and transition-phase cells from WT

(CJW7665 and CJW7666) and $\Delta glgBXCAP$ (CJW7667 and CJW7668) cultures carrying

either mVenus (yellow) or mSCFP3 (cyan).

D Same as panel C but for the NC ratio.

E Same as panel C but for the total nucleoid area.

1732

Fig 6. Mimicking intracellular conditions of crowding and ionic strength induces

phase transition of glycogen into liquid condensates that partially exclude GFP.

A Plot showing dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements of the particle diameter size

for PEG and PEO polymers of different molecular weights in IS buffer. Samples were

measured in triplicate for 300 s at 25°C with a 90-degree detection angle. The line

indicates the empirical relation (hydrodynamic diameter ($\sigma_{PEG/PEO}$) =

$0.029*MW_{PEG/PEO}^{(0.571\pm0.009)}$) determined by Devanand and Selser (1991).

B Phase contrast and ConA-FITC fluorescence images of representative fields of view

showing a homogeneous distribution of glycogen (left), glycogen droplets (center), and

collapsed glycogen aggregates (right) obtained with the indicated concentrations of 3 kDa

PEG. All samples were made using the IS buffer and contained 9 g/L of glycogen. Scale

bar: 10 μm.

C Example montages of glycogen condensates labeled with ConA-FITC undergoing

fusion events over time. Scale bar: 3 μm.

D Fluorescence images of ConA-FITC-labeled glycogen condensates imaged at t ~ 3 min

and t ~ 30 min after the addition of 3 kDa PEG. Scale bar: 10 μm

E Phase diagram of glycogen phases as a function of glycogen and 3 kDa PEG

concentrations.

F Plot showing the minimal concentration required to drive droplet formation as a function

of the average Stokes diameters for all tested PEG/PEO crowders. Phase separation was

determined by assessing the formation of droplets using phase contrast imaging. The

average diameter for each PEG/PEO crowder corresponds to the measurements shown

in (A).

G Phase contrast images of representative fields of view of glycogen (10 g/L) in IS buffer

mixed with the indicated concentration and molecular weight of PEG or PEO (separately

or combined). Scale bar: 10 µm.

H Phase contrast images of glycogen (10 g/L) and 3 kDa PEG (20 mM) mixtures in IS

buffer before and after a two-fold dilution. For both conditions, imaging was performed

within 1 min after mixing. Scale bar: 10 µm

I Phase contrast and GFP images of a representative field of view of glycogen (10g/L) in

IS buffer mixed with GFP (15 µM) and 3 kDa PEG (20 mM). Scale bar: 10 µm.

1764

Fig 7: Intracellular regions containing glycogen condensates are softer than those

with protein aggregates and are comparable in stiffness to other cytoplasmic

regions.

AFM experiments were performed on cells from three strains co-cultured to transition

phase (ODs ranging between 1.5 and 1.7): CJW7798 overexpressing mTagBFP2 and

accumulating protein aggregates at a cell pole, CJW7605 expressing the glycogen sensor

to visualize glycogen condensates, and CJW7668 (Δ*glgBXCAP*) carrying free mVenus.

A Schematic of indentation-based subsurface AFM imaging with a cell containing a

protein aggregate or a glycogen condensate.

B Two-dimensional depth-resolved stiffness maps (right) with the correlated fluorescence

images (left) showing stiffness distributions for a representative cell with a protein

aggregate, a cell with accumulations of the fluorescent glycogen sensor (white arrows),

or a cell without glycogen. Scale bar: 500 nm.

C Stiffness tomography showing the distribution of stiffness deviations along the

indentation depth of cells with either a protein aggregate, glycogen condensates, or

without glycogen. The stiffness deviation is the difference in stiffness between the

stiffness value at each location and the median stiffness value across the cell length at

the same indentation depth. Grey means no deviation.

D Schematic illustrating penetration-based stiffness measurements at targeted regions

containing protein aggregates or glycogen condensates (labeled "On") and at regions

away from them (labeled "Off").

E Plot showing the median stiffness of "On" and "Off" intracellular regions of either cells

(n = 15) containing protein aggregates or cells (n = 16) with glycogen condensates,

compared to cytoplasmic regions of glycogen-free cells (n = 13). Each dot represents the

median stiffness of an individual cell obtained from 64 puncturing events (technical

replicates). Data were collected over three independent experiments (biological replicates)

with an average of ~ 5 cells per replicate.

1792

1793

1794   **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES**

1795   **Table EV1. Calculated concentrations of glycogen and proteins in *E. coli* under**

1796   **different growth conditions.**

Glycogen			
Reported (mg/g dry weight)	Conversion (g/L)	Conditions	References
13	1.43	Exponential phase, 1% glucose in enriched medium (with yeast extract) at 37°C	(Govons *et al*, 1969; Preiss & Romeo, 1994)

2.5	8.25	Exponential phase, glucose minimal media at 37°C, 40 min division rate	(Ingraham *et al*, 1983)
2.8-4.4	9.24-14.52	Stationary phase, LB at 37°C	(Wang *et al*, 2019a)
19	20.9	Stationary phase, 1% glucose in enriched medium (with yeast extract) at 37°C	(Preiss & Romeo, 1994; Govons *et al*, 1969)
Proteins			
Reported	Conversion (mM)	Conditions	References
2.35x10^6 proteins per cell	4.48	Exponential phase, glucose minimal medium at 37°C, 40 min division rate	(Neidhardt & Curtiss, 1996)
0.24 g/mL	9.6-12	Calculated for proteins between 20 and 25 kDa	(Ingraham *et al*, 1983)

1797

Glycogen and protein concentrations used in our study were derived from reported

values for *E. coli* cells growing under different conditions. Glycogen concentrations from

dry weight measurements (mg/g cells) were converted to wet weight values using a

water content value of 70% (Bremer & Dennis, 2008; Feijó Delgado *et al*, 2013). To

obtain the concentrations in g/L, we used a cell density of 1.1 g/mL (Kubitschek *et al*,

1983; Loferer-Krössbacher *et al*, 1998). To calculate the protein concentrations in mM

from proteins per cell, we used a cell volume of 0.86 μm^3 (Kubitschek & Friske, 1986;

Milo, 2013). To obtain the mM concentrations from g/mL, we used the indicated

molecular weight range.

1807

1808 **Table EV2. Calculated concentrations of ribosomes and polysomes used in this**

1809 **study.**

Ribosomes per cell	Conditions	Cell volume (μm^3)	Estimated ribosome concentration (μM)	Estimated polysome concentration (μM)	References
3500	Stationary phase, LB at 37°C	1	5.8	1.16	(Nilsson *et al*, 1997)

15000	Exponential phase, M9gly at 37°C, 60 min doubling time	1	24.9	4.98	(Bremer & Dennis, 2008)
61000	Exponential phase, M9gluCAA at 37°C, 24 min doubling time	3.5	28.9	5.79	(Bremer & Dennis, 2008)

1810

Concentrations were determined from the reported numbers of ribosomes per cell using

the Avogadro constant to calculate the number of moles per cell, then dividing by the

reported cell volume values indicated (Floc'h *et al*, 2019; Kubitschek & Friske, 1986). For

polysomes, an active fraction of 0.8 percent was used (Bremer & Dennis, 2008) and a

polysome was assumed to consist of four ribosomes (Brandt *et al*, 2009).

Table EV3. Conditions that resulted in fluorescent protein exclusion from glycogen

droplets in vitro.

PEG/PEO (MW)	PEG/PEO concentration	Temperature (°C)	FP	Buffer	FP exclusion
1.5 kDa	60 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	37	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	25	GFP	IS without MgCl$_2$	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	25	mCherry	IS	Yes
4 kDa	15 mM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
4 kDa	15 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
4 kDa	15 mM	37	GFP	IS	Yes
8 kDa	3 mM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
8 kDa	3 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
20 kDa	750 µM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
100 kDa	20 µM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
1 MDa	10 µM	25	GFP	IS	Yes

1818

1819 Summary of the assays performed for testing the exclusion of fluorescent proteins (FPs)

1820 by glycogen liquid condensates. FP exclusion was detected by phase contrast and

1821 fluorescence imaging. For all assays, 10 g/L of glycogen and 15 µM of the FP (GFP or

1822 mCherry) were used.

 Table EV4: Strain construction table

Identifier	Genotype	Source or construction method
CJW5685	MG1655 *rne*::*rneΔMTS-mcherry*	*rne*::*rne-mCherry-FRT-cat-FRT* from parent strain of Kti162 (Strahl *et al*, 2015) was moved into MG1655 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for chloramphenicol resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20 expressing the Flp site-specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7083	MG1655 *attB*::P_{proC}-*msfGFP*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	pKD13 was amplified from plasmid pKD13-msfGFP (Gray *et al*, 2019) using primers YP32 and YP33, with YP32 encoding a transcriptional terminator sequence. *msfGFP* was also amplified from this plasmid using YP34 and YP35. Constitutive synthetic promoter P_{proC} was amplified from CJW7006 using primers YP36 and YP37, with YP37 also encoding the ribosome binding site L3S1P13 (Chen *et al*, 2013), linker sequence and start codon. These pieces were assembled using Gibson assembly. The construct was then integrated into the chromosome of MG1655 at the *attB* site using lambda red recombination.
CJW7275	MG1655 *attB*::P_{proC}-*msfGFP*	The kanamycin resistance cassette of CJW7083 was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7325	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry attB*::P_{proC}-*msfGFP*	*attB*::PproC-msfGFP - KAN from CJW7083 was moved into CJW7324 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and GFP fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).

CJW7326	MG1655 *attB*::*P_{proC}-msfGFP hupA*::*hupA-mCherry*	*hupA-mCherry*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray *et al*, 2019) was moved into CJW7275 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7485	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(-30)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(-30).
CJW7486	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(-7)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(-7).
CJW7487	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(0)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(0).
CJW7488	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+7)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+7).
CJW7489	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+11a)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+11a).
CJW7490	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+11b)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+11b).
CJW7491	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+15)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+15).
CJW7492	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+25)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+25).
CJW7537	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	The glycogen operon was replaced with a kanamycin cassette using lambda red from the plasmid pSIM6 (Diner *et al*, 2011) using gene block gb_1. Candidate colonies we confirmed by PCR.
CJW7587	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP*	The kanamycin resistance cassette from CJW7537 was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).

CJW7588	MG1655 *lacY(A177C) araFGH*::*spec* Δ*lacI* Δ*araE araBAD*::*dCas9 galM* <*PBBa-J23119- sgRNA(ftsZ)-(S. pyogenes* terminator*)- (rrnB* terminator*)> gmpA attB*::*P_{proC}-msfGFP*	*attB*::*P_{proC}-msfGFP*-FRT-*kan*- FRT from CJW7083 was moved into SJ_XTL229 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and GFP fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7601	MG1655 Tn*7*::*P_{tac}- GFPmut3-CBM20* FRT- *cat*-FRT	Plasmid pNDL-1-P_{tac}-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-CmR-FRT was transformed into MG1655. Colonies were screened for ampicillin resistance at 30°C. Integration was then carried out by incubating at 37°C and selecting for chloramphenicol resistance
CJW7604	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* Tn*7*::*P_{tac}-GFPmut3- CBM20*-FRT-*cat*-FRT	Plasmid pNDL-1-P_{tac} -GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-CmR-FRT was transformed into CJW7587. Colonies were screened for ampicillin resistance at 30°C. Integration was then carried out by incubating at 37°C and selecting for chloramphenicol resistance
CJW7605	MG1655 Tn*7*::*P_{tac}- GFPmut3-CBM20* FRT- *cat*-FRT *hupA*::*hupA- mCherry FRT-kan-FRT*	*hupA-mCherry*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray *et al*, 2019) was moved into CJW7601 by P1 transduction
CJW7606	MG1655 Tn*7*:: *P_{tac} - GFPmut3-CBM20*-FRT- *cat*-FRT	CJW7601 was transformed with pEB2-mScarlet-I.
CJW7607	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* Tn*7*::*P_{tac}-GFPmut3- CBM20* FRT-*cat* -FRT	CJW7604 was transformed with pEB2-mScarlet-I.
CJW7660	MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA- mCherry*	*hupA-mCherry*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray *et al*, 2019) was moved into MG1655 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site-specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).

CJW7661	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry*	*hupA-mCherry*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray *et al*, 2019) was moved into CJW7587 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7665	MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-*mSCFP3*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-*mSCFP3*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from JP1456 was moved into CJW7660 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and CFP fluorescence.
CJW7666	MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-*mVenus*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-*mVenus*-FRT-*kan*- FRT from JP1457 was moved into CJW7660 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and YFP fluorescence.
CJW7667	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: P_{Rps}-*mSCFP3*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	Tn7:: P_{Rps}-*mSCFP3*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from JP1456 was moved into CJW7661 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and CFP fluorescence.
CJW7668	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry* Tn7:: P_{Rps}-*mVenus*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	Tn7:: P_{Rps}-*mVenus*-FRT-*kan*- FRT from JP1457 was moved into CJW7661 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and YFP fluorescence.
CJW7718	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* /pEB2-mScarlet-I	CJW7587 was transformed with plasmid pEB2-mScarlet-I from AddGene #104007 (Balleza *et al*, 2018)
CJW7872	MG1655 Δ*minD* *minE*::*sfgfp-minD* *minE*::*frt kanR frt*	Δ*minD minE*::*sfgfp-minD minE*::*frt kanR frt* was moved into MG1655 by P1 transduction from FW1537 (Wu *et al*, 2015)
CJW7877	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* *rne*::*rneΔMTS-mCherry*	Δ*glgBXCAP*-FRT-*kan*-FRT was moved into CJW5685 by P1 transduction from CJW7537
CJW7878	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry* *attB*::P_{proC}-*msfGFP*	Δ*glgBXCAP*-FRT-*kan*-FRT was moved into CJW7325 by P1 transduction from CJW7537

1824

1825 **Table EV6. Oligos used in this study.**

Identifier	Sequence (5' to 3')
gb_1	TCAATAATACCGGCAAGTCCGACACCCAGCATGGCAATAACCACCGCCAAA AATTGCGCCAGTATGGGGATGCCGAAAAAAGTCATTACCAGCGAGGTCAAA ATCCATTTTCTGTTTTGCATTATTCTTTCCATTCTTTTTGAATGGTGAAATTAT ACTCCCCGAGTCCCCTTGCCCCTTCTGGACACTTTTCCGAAATGATGGCGG AAAAAAACGGGACCCTTTGGCCCCGTTCTATTTATTGGTGAACGTGTAGGC TGGAGCTGCTTCGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCGGAATA GGAACTTCAAGATCCCCTTATTAGAAGAACTCGTCAAGAAGGCGATAGAAG GCGATGCGCTGCGAATCGGGAGCGGCGATACCGTAAAGCACGAGGAAGC GGTCAGCCCATTCGCCGCCAAGCTCTTCAGCAATATCACGGGTAGCCAAC GCTATGTCCTGATAGCGGTCCGCCACACCCAGCCGGCCACAGTCGATGAA TCCAGAAAAGCGGCCATTTTCCACCATGATATTCGGCAAGCAGGCATCGCC ATGGGTCACGACGAGATCCTCGCCGTCGGGCATGCGCGCCTTGAGCCTG GCGAACAGTTCGGCTGGCGCGAGCCCCTGATGCTCTTCGTCCAGATCATC CTGATCGACAAGACCGGCTTCCATCCGAGTACGTGCTCGCTCGATGCGAT GTTTCGCTTGGTGGTCGAATGGGCAGGTAGCCGGATCAAGCGTATGCAGC CGCCGCATTGCATCAGCCATGATGGATACTTTCTCGGCAGGAGCAAGGTG AGATGACAGGAGATCCTGCCCCGGCACTTCGCCCAATAGCAGCCAGTCCC TTCCCGCTTCAGTGACAACGTCGAGCACAGCTGCGCAAGGAACGCCCGTC GTGGCCAGCCACGATAGCCGCGCTGCCTCGTCCTGCAGTTCATTCAGGGC ACCGGACAGGTCGGTCTTGACAAAAAGAACCGGGCGCCCCTGCGCTGACA GCCGGAACACGGCGGCATCAGAGCAGCCGATTGTCTGTTGTGCCCAGTCA TAGCCGAATAGCCTCTCCACCCAAGCGGCCGGAGAACCTGCGTGCAATCC ATCTTGTTCAATCATGCGAAACGATCCTCATCCTGTCTCTTGATCAGATCTT GATCCCCTGCGCCATCAGATCCTTGGCGGCAAGAAAGCCATCCAGTTTACT TTGCAGGGCTTCCCAACCTTACCAGAGGGCGCCCCAGCTGGCAATTCCGG TTCGCTTGCTGTCCATAAAACCGCCCAGTCTAGCTATCGCCATGTAAGCCC ACTGCAAGCTACCTGCTTTCTCTTTGCGCTTGCGTTTTCCCTTGTCCAGATA GCCCAGTAGCTGACATTCATCCGGGGGTCAGCACCGTTTCTGCGGACTGGC TTTCTACGTGTTCCGCTTCCTTTAGCAGCCCTTGCGCCCTGAGTGCTTGCG GCAGCGTGAGCTTCAAAAGCGCTCTGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATA GGAACTTCGGCTTGTCTTCCTGTTTTATTGTGTCACCCCATCCAATTGGATT TTTGACTTCTGGTTGTGACATTTTTTTGACCTGAACGGCGCAGCACTCTGTG CATCCTCTCTGCGTCGTCCTCACTTCAGGTAAGGCTGTGAATACTCATGTA TTCAGCCACCCTTAAAGAATAGCCAATGCTCTATTTAACTCCCGGTAAATCA TGAAACATCTGCGCTTACTCCTGTATTACGCACTAACAGGGGCGGCATCGC GCCCCAGATTTAATGAATAAAGATTACGCCAGTT
gb_2	CACCGAATTCCCCGGGGGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTACGA CATCATAACGGTTCTGGCAAATATTCTGAAATGAGCTGTTGACAATTAATCA TCGGCTCGTATAATGTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA AACAGAATATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTCACTGGAGTTGTCCCAATTCT TGTTGAATTAGATGGTGATGTTAATGGGCACAAATTTTCTGTCAGTGGAGA GGGTGAAGGTGATGCAACATACGGAAAACTTACCCTTAAATTTATTTGCACT ACTGGAAAACTACCTGTTCCATGGCCAACACTTGTCACTACTTTCGGTTATG GTGTTCAATGCTTTGCGAGATACCCAGATCATATGAAACAGCATGACTTTTT

	CAAGAGTGCCATGCCCGAAGGTTATGTACAGGAAAGAACTATATTTTTCAA AGATGACGGGAACTACAAGACACGTGCTGAAGTCAAGTTTGAAGGTGATAC CCTTGTTAATAGAATCGAGTTAAAAGGTATTGATTTTAAAGAAGATGGAAAC ATTCTTGGACACAAATTGGAATACAACTATAACTCACACAATGTATACATCA TGGCAGACAAACAAAGAATGGAATCAAAGTTAACTTCAAAATTAGACACAA CATTGAAGATGGAAGCGTTCAACTAGCAGACCATTATCAACAAATACTCCA ATTGGCGATGGCCCTGTCCTTTTACCAGACAACCATTACCTGTCCACACAA TCTAAGCTTTCGAAAGATCCCAACGAAAAGAGAGACCACATGGTCCTTCTT GAGTTTGTAACAGCTGCTGGGATTACACATGGCATGGATGAACTATACAAA AGCGATTTCATGCCTGCCGGTTCTCAACAGGTTAGCGTTCGTTTTCAGGTA CACTACGTCACTTCCACCGACGTTCAGTTTATTGCAGTGACCGGCGATCAC GAGTGCCTGGGCCGCTGGAACACGTACATCCCTCTGCACTACAACAAAGA CGGTTTCTGGTCCCACAGCATCTTTCTGCCGGCGGATACCGTTGTGGAGT GGAAATTTGTTCTGGTTGAAAACGGTGGCGTTACGCGTTGGGAGGAATGTT CCAACCGTTTCCTGGAAACCGGTCACGAAGATAAGGTAGTTCACGCGTGG TGGGGTATCCATTAAATGTCCAGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTCTAGTTGGA TTCTCACCAATAAAAAACGCCCGGCGGCAACCGAGCGTTCTGAACAAATCC AGATGGAGTTCTGAGGTCATTACTGGATCTATCAACAGGAGTCCAAGCGAG CGAATTCTGCAGGTGTTAATTCAGGAGCATTGTTATCAGACCAAATATGTGT AGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCG GAATAGGAACTTCTTATCAAAAAGGATCTTCACCTAGATCCTTTTAAATTAAA AATGAAGTTTTAAATCAATCTAAAGTATATATGAGTAAACTTGGTCTGACAG CTCGAGGCGCTCGATATCAAATTACGCCCCGCCCTGCCACTCATCGCAGT ACTGTTGTAATTCATTAAGCATTCTGCCGACATGGAAGCCATCACAAACGG CATGATGAACCTGAATCGCCAGCGGCATCAGCACCTTGTCGCCTTGCGTAT AATATTTGCCCATGGTGAAAACGGGGGCGAAGAAGTTGTCCATATTGGCCA CGTTTAAATCAAAACTGGTGAAACTCACCCAGGGATTGGCTGAGACGAAAA ACATATTCTCAATAAACCCTTTAGGGAAATAGGCCAGGTTTTCACCGTAACA CGCCACATCTTGCGAATATATGTGTAGAAACTGCCGGAAATCGTCGTGGTA TTCACTCCAGAGCGATGAAAACGTTTCAGTTTGCTCATGGAAAACGGTGTA ACAAGGGTGAACACTATCCCATATCACCAGCTCACCGTCTTTCATTGCCAT ACGAAATTCCGGATGAGCATTCATCAGGCGGGCAAGAATGTGAATAAAGG CCGGATAAAACTTGTGCTTATTTTTCTTTACGGTCTTTAAAAAGGCCGTAAT ATCCAGCTGAACGGTCTGGTTATAGGTACATTGAGCAACTGACTGAAATGC CTCAAAATGTTCTTTACGATGCCATTGGGATATATCAACGGTGGTATATCCA GTGATTTTTTTCTCCATTTTAGCTTCCTTAGCTCCTGAAAATCTCGATAACTC AAAAAATACGCCCGGTAGTGATCTTATTTCATTATGGTGAAAGTTGGAACCT CTTACGTGCCCGATCAACTCGAGTGCCACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTAT TATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGA TAAAAAAAATCCTTAGCTTTCGCTAAGGATGATTTCTGGAAGCGCTCTGAAG TTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCGTACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAA GTGGTCCCCAAGCTTCTGCAGAGCT
YP32	TACTAGAGGACGAACAATAAGGCCTCCCTAACGGGGGGCCTTTTTTATTGA TAACAAAAGTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG
YP33	AATCGCTCAAGACGTGTAATGCTGCAATC
YP34	TCAAAAGGCGAAGAACTTTTTACCG

YP35	TAGGGAGGCCTTATTGTTCGTCCTCTAGTATTATTTATACAATTCATCCATTCCATGAGTGA
YP36	CCAGTGCCAAGCTTGCATGCAGATTGCAGCATTACACGTCTTGAGCGATTCACAGCTAACACCACGTCG
YP37	TACACCGGTAAAAAGTTCTTCGCCTTTTGACATCTAGTATTCTCCTCTTTCTCTAGTAAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATTTGTAGAGGGAAAC

1826

1827

EXPANDED VIEW FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig EV1: Localization of various cytoplasmic probes in cells in transition phase.

A Representative fluorescence images of DAPI-stained *E. coli* cells expressing different

ribosomal protein fusions in transition phase: RplA-GFP (CJW4677), RplA-msfGFP

(CJW7020), and RpsB-msfGFP (CJW7021). Arrowheads indicate cell areas of ribosome

signal depletion. Scale bar: 2 μm.

B Representative fluorescence image of DAPI-stained *E. coli* cells (CJW7006) expressing

mScarlet-I in transition phase. Arrowheads indicate cell areas of mScarlet-I depletion.

Scale bar: 2 μm.

C Representative fluorescence images of DAPI-stained *E. coli* expressing RplA-mCherry

as well as free fluorescent GFP variants with the following net surface charges (strain

name): -30 (CJW7485), -7 (CJW7486), 0 (CJW7487), +7 (CJW7488), +11a (CJW7489),

+11b (CJW7490), +15 (CJW7491), and +25 (CJW7492). For GFP with a net surface

charge +11, 'a' and 'b' refer to variations in the distribution of the charge on the protein

surface (Schavemaker *et al*, 2017). Cells were grown in M9gluCAAT and supplemented

with 0.4% arabinose to induce GFP expression. Arrowheads indicate cell areas depleted

of the GFP variant. Scale bar: 2 μm.

D Fluorescence images of representative DAPI- and RNASelect-labeled *E. coli* cells

(CJW7324) in exponential (OD 0.30) and transition (OD 1.72) phase. Also shown are the

corresponding fluorescence signal profiles for the indicated (*) cells. Arrowheads indicate

areas depleted of RNASelect signal. Scale bar: 2 μm.

1849

Fig EV2: Assessment of the potential effects of membrane retraction and cell

division on the localization of cytoplasmic probes in transition-phase cells

A Microscopy images of MitoTracker Green-labeled cells (CJW7324) expressing RplA-

mCherry. Samples were obtained from cultures in either exponential or transition phase.

Fluorescence intensities are indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Signal intensity profiles are

provided for the cells indicated by asterisks. White arrowheads show the depletion of

RplA-mCherry signal at a cell pole.

B Representative microscopy images of DAPI-stained FtsZ-depleted cells (CJW7588)

expressing cytoplasmic msfGFP in transition phase in M9gluCAAT supplemented with

0.4% arabinose to induce the CRISPRi system, thereby blocking the expression of *ftsZ*.

The corresponding signal intensity profile is shown below. Scale bar: 5 μm.

1861

Fig EV3: Representative image of 2-NBDG incorporation in a filamentous

polynucleoid cell in transition phase.

Microscopy images of a cephalexin-treated cell (CJW7324) expressing RplA-mCherry in

transition phase (OD 2.0). Yellow arrowheads indicate accumulations of 2-NBDG that

sandwich an accumulation of RplA-mCherry signal. Below is the cell signal intensity

profile, with the grey shade highlighting the region of interest. Scale bar: 5 μm.

1868

Fig EV4: Normalized constriction offset measurements for the WT and Δ*glgBXCAP*

cells from co-culture experiments. Boxplots of the normalized constriction offset in

exponential and transition phases for the biological replicates of the co-culture

experiments shown in Fig 3C.

Fig EV5: Normalized nucleoid offset measurements for the WT and ∆*glgBXCAP*
cells from the co-culture experiments across different growth phases. Boxplots of
the normalized nucleoid offset in exponential, transition, early stationary (24 h), and late
stationary phase (72 h) for all the biological replicates of the co-culture experiments.
Exponential and transition phase data points for Replica 1 of both mixes are shown in Fig
3D.

1879

1880 **Fig EV6: Growth rate measurements in the microfluidic device.** Plot of the calculated
1881 log growth rate as a function of cell generations for the strain CJW7605 (n = 130 lineages).
1882 The solid line and shaded region correspond to the average and the 95% confidence
1883 interval, respectively.

1884

Fig EV7: FRAP measurements of the fluorescent glycogen sensor dynamics in
glycogen-producing cells in transition phase.
A Timelapse images of a glycogen-producing cell in transition phase before and after
photobleaching a region of the cell pole with the larger glycogen sensor accumulation.
The first image on the left shows the time frame before photobleaching, while the
subsequent images represent frames captured after photobleaching at the indicated time.
The schematic shows the region that was photobleached. Scale bar: 1 µm.
B Plot showing the evolution of the normalized fluorescence intensity of the glycogen
sensor for the unbleached region and the photobleached region of the cell shown in A
before and after photobleaching. The inset shows the data before and during
photobleaching.

C Histogram of the half-max fluorescence recovery times calculated for 70 cells in which

FRAP measurements were obtained.

1898

Fig EV8: Force-distance curve examples of indentation-based AFM experiments.

The experimental conditions and strains are the same as in Fig 7A-C.

A Representative force-distance curves of a cell at regions "On" and "Off" the protein

aggregates.

B Same as panel A but for a cell with glycogen condensates.

C Same as panel A but for a glycogen-free cell.

D Representative force-distance curve on the glass substrate is shown as a control.

1906

Fig EV9: Cell height and indentation measurements by AFM microscopy.

The experimental conditions and strains are the same as in Fig 7A-C.

A Plot showing cell height measurements of 21 cells with protein aggregates, 7 cells with

glycogen condensates, and 7 cells without glycogen. The cell height information was

analyzed from AFM height images collected from more than three biological replicates.

Displayed here are the mean values ± the standard deviations. Statistical comparisons

were performed using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test.

B Plot showing the indentation depth distributions of 15 cells with protein aggregates, 16

cells with glycogen condensates, and 13 cells without glycogen. Shown are the mean

values ± the standard deviations for the total number of indentation events (technical

replicates) indicated by the n value. The indentation events were collected from more

than three biological replicates.

Fig EV10: Force-distance curve examples of penetration-based experiments

The experimental conditions and strains are the same as in Fig 7D-E. Representative

force-distance curves showing membrane puncture events (indicated by the arrow) in the

figure inset.

A Representative force-distance curves of a cell at regions "On" and "Off" the protein

aggregates.

B Same as panel A but for a cell with glycogen condensates.

C Same as panel A but for a glycogen-free cell.

1927

1928 **EXPANDED VIEW VIDEO LEGENDS**

1929 **Video EV1: Timelapse sequence showing that nucleoids and ribosomes remain**

1930 **closer to the new pole upon division during transition phase.**

1931 Montage video showing phase and fluorescence overlays of a representative cell

1932 expressing RplA-msGFP and HupA-mCherry (CJW5159) growing and dividing in

1933 transition phase. Cells from a culture in late exponential phase (OD = 0.5) were washed

1934 in transition phase medium, spotted on an agarose pad containing transition phase

1935 medium (OD = 2.63), and imaged every 2 min. Time stamp shows h:min:s.

1936

1937 **Video EV2: Timelapse of the MinD-GFP oscillations.**

1938 Video of CJW7872 cells in transition phase. *Left*, Overlay between phase contrast and

1939 DAPI at time zero. *Right*, Timelapse of the MinD-GFP fluorescence channel (frame rate

1940 = 5 seconds). Scale bar: 1 μm. Time stamp shows min:s.

1941

1942 **Video EV3: Timelapse sequence showing glycogen condensate fusions in vitro.**

1943 Timelapse sequence of glycogen droplets labeled with ConA-FITC as they fuse. The

1944 sample was made with 10 g/L of glycogen and 20 mM of 3 kDa PEG in IS buffer. Phase

1945 contrast (left) and FITC (right) images were acquired every 10 s at 25°C. Time stamp

1946 shows h:min:s.

1947

1948 **Video EV4: Timelapse sequence of glycogen droplets.**

1949 Timelapse sequence showing the phase-separated glycogen droplets undergoing fusion

1950 events and exhibiting surface wetting. The sample was made with 10 g/L of glycogen and

1951 750 µM of 20kDa PEG in the IS buffer. Phase-contrast images were acquired every 30 s

1952 at 25°C. Time stamp shows min:s.

1953

1954 **Video EV5: Timelapse sequence of "collapsed" glycogen aggregates.**

1955 Timelapse sequence showing the "collapsed" phase-separated glycogen undergoing

1956 collisions that do not result in fusion events. The sample was made with 10 g/L of

1957 glycogen and 30 mM of 3kDa PEG in the IS buffer. Phase-contrast images were acquired

1958 every 10 s at 25°C. Time stamp shows h:min:s.

1959

1960 **Video EV6: Z-stack sequence of glycogen condensates excluding GFP.** Z-stacks of

1961 both phase contrast and GFP of glycogen droplets floating in the sample. Each frame

1962 corresponds to a step of 0.125 µm, starting from a point 1.75 µm above the glass surface

1963 (z = 0 nm). The sample was prepared with glycogen (10 g/L) in IS buffer mixed with GFP

1964 (15 µM) and 100 kDa PEG (200 µM). The imaging was performed at 30°C.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

A

Pump → Inlet ... Outlet
Bubble trap
culture flow→
"mother" cell
New pole / Old pole
Waterbath shaker

B

HupA-mCherry/
Glycogen sensor

time (frame/30 min)

New pole
Old pole

C

WT (with glycogen sensor)
(n = 130)

Norm. glycogen sensor pole area
Generations

D

Lineages (n = 130)
ρ = 0.826

Norm. nucleoid centroid offset
Norm. glycogen sensor area at the pole

time (min)

E

WT (with glycogen sensor)
(n=130)
ΔglgBXCAP
(n = 35)

Norm. nucleoid offset
Generations

Fig 4

Fig 5

Fig 6

Fig 7