Glycogen phase separation drives macromolecular rearrangement and asymmetric

division in *E. coli*

Yashna Thappeta[1,2,†], Silvia J. Cañas-Duarte[1,4,†], Till Kallem[5], Alessio Fragasso[1,2], Yingjie

Xiang[6], William Gray[6], Cheyenne Lee[6,††], Lynette Cegelski[5], Christine Jacobs-

Wagner[1,2,3,4*]

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

[1]Sarafan Chemistry, Engineering, and Medicine for Human Health Institute, Stanford

University, Stanford, CA, USA

[2]Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

[3]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

[4]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, USA

[5]Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

[6]Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT

[*]For correspondence: jacobs-wagner@stanford.edu

Present address:

[††]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

ABSTRACT

Bacteria often experience nutrient limitation in nature and the laboratory. While exponential and stationary growth phases are well characterized in the model bacterium *Escherichia coli*, little is known about what transpires inside individual cells during the transition between these two phases. Through quantitative cell imaging, we found that the position of nucleoids and cell division sites becomes increasingly asymmetric during transition phase. These asymmetries were coupled with spatial reorganization of proteins, ribosomes, and RNAs to nucleoid-centric localizations. Results from live-cell imaging experiments, complemented with genetic and ^{13}C whole-cell nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies, show that preferential accumulation of the storage polymer glycogen at the old cell pole leads to the observed rearrangements and asymmetric divisions. In vitro experiments suggest that these phenotypes are likely due to the propensity of glycogen to phase separate in crowded environments, as glycogen condensates exclude fluorescent proteins under physiological crowding conditions. Glycogen-associated differences in cell sizes between strains and future daughter cells suggest that glycogen phase separation allows cells to store large glucose reserves without counting them as cytoplasmic space.

KEYWORDS

Asymmetric division/ bacteria/ glycogen/ nutrient limitation/ phase separation

INTRODUCTION

Spatial order is an inherent feature of living cells across the tree of life. In eukaryotic cells, this is best exemplified by the presence of membrane-bound organelles. These cells also display extensive membrane-less organization, including those mediated by liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) between various cellular components (Alberti & Hyman, 2021; Banani *et al*, 2017; Boeynaems *et al*, 2018; Hyman *et al*, 2014; Rostam *et al*, 2023). While bacteria generally lack membrane-bound organelles, they also exhibit spatial organization at multiple levels (Surovtsev & Jacobs-Wagner, 2018), in the form of protein localization, chromosome structure, and LLPS involving proteins and/or nucleic acids, to name a few (Azaldegui *et al*, 2021; Bakshi *et al*, 2012; Cohan & Pappu, 2020; Landgraf *et al*, 2012). Reliance on subcellular organization is particularly evident in bacteria that undergo developmental programs and/or have dimorphic life cycles (e.g., sporulating bacteria, asymmetrically dividing α-proteobacteria). However, even bacteria with comparatively simpler life cycles such as *E. coli* display intracellular organization. For example, *E. coli* divides precisely in the middle of the cell. This spatial regulation is mediated by two separate mechanisms. The time-average pole-to-pole oscillation of Min proteins positions the FtsZ cytokinetic ring at mid-cell (Lutkenhaus, 2008; Shih & Zheng, 2013), while nucleoid occlusion prevents cell constriction from occurring over nucleoid regions (Woldringh *et al*, 1990). Furthermore, *E. coli* spatially organizes two of its most important macromolecules: the chromosome and polysomes (mRNAs in complex with ribosomes engaged in translation). The chromosome, which compacts into a meshwork structure known as the nucleoid, is spatially positioned inside cells, either near the cell center prior to DNA replication or near the ¼ and ¾ cell positions after DNA replication

and segregation (Badrinarayanan *et al*, 2012; Bates & Kleckner, 2005; Sherratt, 2003).

Polysomes adopt a near-opposite localization profile. They are depleted in the nucleoid

and enriched in nucleoid-free regions, including at the cell poles and between segregated

nucleoids (Azam *et al*, 2000; Bakshi *et al*, 2012; Chai *et al*, 2014). This anticorrelated

pattern is thought to be mediated by steric exclusion of these two macromolecules. In

contrast, smaller components such as free ribosomal subunits and cytoplasmic proteins

can diffuse throughout the nucleoid unimpeded (Amselem *et al*, 2023; Bakshi *et al*, 2012;

Sanamrad *et al*, 2014), resulting in homogeneous distribution throughout the cytoplasm.

This archetypical macromolecular organization is reproduced every generation.

The bulk of our knowledge of *E. coli* (and other well-studied bacteria) has largely

been derived from exponentially growing cultures. In their natural environments, *E. coli*

and other bacteria often experience nutrient deprivation to which they must adapt

(Dworkin & Harwood, 2022). In the laboratory, this deprivation is reproduced in batch

cultures when cells exit exponential growth and transition to stationary phase due to the

consumption of the available nutrients. Growth arrest is, however, not immediate. As

nutrient availability decreases, cell growth slows progressively until the cell density

reaches saturation marking the onset of stationary phase (Dworkin & Harwood, 2022).

During this transition, cells are thought to block new rounds of DNA replication before

they stop dividing, ultimately entering stationary phase with a smaller size and lower

chromosome content on average relative to their exponential phase counterparts

(Åkerlund *et al*, 1995). Yet, the transition between exponential growth and stationary

phase remains under-characterized, especially at the single-cell and subcellular

organizational levels. This study sets out to fill this gap in knowledge.

RESULTS

Population characteristics change along the culture growth curve.

We first used microscopy and DNA staining to quantify various cellular characteristics

(cell area, nucleoid positioning, cell constriction degree and position, etc.) along the

growth curve of liquid cultures of *E. coli* at 30˚C in M9 medium containing 0.2% glucose,

casamino acids and thiamine (M9GluCAAT). Under our experimental conditions, cultures

exhibited exponential growth up to an optical density (OD) at 600 nm of about 1.0, after

which population growth slowed down gradually until reaching saturating ODs around 3.0

(Fig 1A). While the culture might experience a diauxic shift in this medium when

transitioning between exponential and stationary phases, we will hereafter refer to this

period of sub-exponential growth (between ODs ~1 and ~3) as "transition phase" for

simplicity (Fig 1A). Imaging live cells from the same liquid culture at a high sampling

resolution along the growth curve was technically impractical with our set-up. Therefore,

we imaged cells from independent cultures at various ODs on different days and

examined how cellular characteristics may change as a function of the OD.

As expected, (Buchanan, 1918; Morita, 1990; Bakshi *et al*, 2021), we found that

the average cell area decreased with increasing ODs (Fig 1B). The number of nucleoids

per cell also decreased as cells entered transition phase (Fig 1C). As a result, the fraction

of cells with a single nucleoid increased in transition phase, reaching a plateau at OD ~

1.5 (Fig 1D) before the cell density became saturated. Meanwhile, the fraction of cells

undergoing constriction at the division site gradually decreased around the onset of

transition phase (OD ~1) and continued to decrease until the culture reached stationary

phase (Fig 1E). These results are consistent with cells undergoing one or more so-called

"reductive divisions" after DNA replication initiation has stopped (Nyström, 2004; Nyström

& Kjelleberg, 1989). This uncoupling between DNA replication and cell division explains

the reduction in chromosome copy number per cell by the time the culture enters

stationary phase (Åkerlund *et al*, 1995).

To our surprise, while the position of the cell constriction site was symmetric across

cell populations sampled during exponential phase (OD < 1), it became increasingly

asymmetric during sub-exponential growth in the transition phase (OD > 1) (Fig 1F, Fig

EV1). This was accompanied by an increase in asymmetric nucleoid positioning (Fig 1G,

Fig EV1), which may contribute to the previously reported increase in nucleoid position

variability in stationary phase (Chai *et al*, 2014).

Cells exhibit asymmetric distributions of ribosomes, RNAs, and cytosolic protein

probes in transition phase.

Given the marked offset in division site and nucleoid positioning during transition phase,

we wondered whether the intracellular organization of other macromolecules also

changes when cells exit exponential phase. First, we considered ribosomes and

cytoplasmic proteins by examining the localization of RplA-mCherry and freely diffusing

msfGFP, respectively. As expected, in exponential phase, msfGFP was distributed

homogeneously throughout the cytoplasm while the RplA-mCherry signal was enriched

outside the DAPI-stained nucleoid regions (Fig 2A). The latter was consistent with most

ribosomes forming polysomes (Phillips *et al*, 1969; Mohapatra & Weisshaar, 2018) and

polysomes being partially excluded from the nucleoid. In contrast, in transition phase,

both msfGFP and RplA-mCherry signals were depleted from the nucleoid-distal cell poles

while being enriched within and around the offset nucleoid (Fig 2A). This change in

localization pattern was confirmed quantitatively across the cell population using the

signal correlation factor (SCF), which measures the correlation between two signals

within each cell at the pixel resolution (Gray *et al*, 2019). In exponential phase, the SCF

between the DAPI (nucleoid) and RplA-mCherry (ribosome) signals was largely negative

across cells, indicating a predominant anti-correlation. The SCF switched to positive

values in transition phase (Fig 2B). The SCF values between DAPI and msfGFP also

became more positive (Fig 2C), reflecting the increased colocalization between msfGFP

and the nucleoid in transition phase. We observed the same intracellular reorganization

in transition phase using other ribosomal protein fusions (RplA-msfGFP, RplA-mCherry,

or RpsB-msfGFP) and a genetically unrelated cytoplasmic protein probe (mScarlet) (Fig

EV2A-B).

Furthermore, GFP derivatives with surface net charges varying between -30 and

+15, which reflects most of the range of net surface charges in the *E. coli* proteome

(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017), were similarly enriched in the nucleoid region during transition

phase (Fig EV2C). This shared localization phenotype across cytosolic protein probes of

different genetic origins and charges suggests that this subcellular reorganization is likely

common among proteins during transition phase.

To determine whether this change in localization pattern extends beyond

ribosomes and cytoplasmic protein probes, we also assessed RNA localization in two

ways. First, we used a cytosolic mutant variant of RNase E, RNase E ΔMTS, fused to

mCherry (Strahl *et al*, 2015), with the assumption that its localization will, at least in part,

reflect that of its RNA substrate. RNase E, which is involved in the degradation of mRNAs

and the processing of rRNAs (Strahl *et al*, 2015), is normally membrane-associated via a

short membrane-targeting sequence (MTS) (Khemici *et al*, 2008) and forms foci in the

presence of RNA (Strahl *et al*, 2015). In RNase E mutants lacking this sequence, the

protein is released into the cytoplasm (Khemici *et al*, 2008). We found that in exponentially

growing cells, the ΔMTS-mCherry variant of RNase E exhibited various degree of

accumulation in nucleoid-free regions (Fig 2D). This localization pattern changed during

transition phase, with RNase E ΔMTS-mCherry primarily colocalizing with the nucleoid

(Fig 2D). This switch was confirmed at the population level as the SCF values between

the DAPI and RNaseE ΔMTS-mCherry signals changed from negative to highly positive

values (Fig 2E), indicative of signal colocalization in transition phase.

In addition, we imaged cells incubated with SYTO RNASelect, a membrane-

permeable fluorogenic RNA dye that has been validated in *E. coli* (Bakshi *et al*, 2014).

We found that the RNASelect signal, which was relatively homogeneously distributed in

exponential phase cells, relocated to the nucleoid region during transition phase (Fig

EV2D). This shift was reflected by the increase in SCF values between the DAPI and

RNASelect signals (Fig EV2E). Taken together, our results show that all major

cytoplasmic macromolecules undergo significant localization changes inside cells as they

exit exponential growth.

Reorganization of macromolecules cannot be explained by membrane retraction or nucleoid association.

It was recently reported that, in stationary phase or upon acute starvation, the inner membrane of *E. coli* cells can retract–predominantly at the new pole–due to sudden loss of cytoplasmic water and atrophy of the cytoplasmic volume (Shi *et al*, 2021). Therefore, we wondered whether a membrane collapse could explain both the observed nucleoid position asymmetry and enrichment of cytoplasmic components in the nucleoid space in transition phase. Based on phase contrast images and cell staining with MitoTracker Green, a fluorescent dye that labels the inner membrane (Shi *et al*, 2021), we found no evidence of membrane retraction during transition phase (Fig 3A). Furthermore, timelapse microscopy on agarose pads revealed that the nucleoids of cells in transition phase remained closer to the new pole (Fig 3B, Video EV1). The ribosome signal largely followed the nucleoid, resulting in ribosome depletion specifically at the old pole (Fig 3B, Video EV1), the opposite pole reported to typically undergo membrane retraction (Shi *et al*, 2021).

To test whether the depletion of ribosomes and protein probes was associated with the old pole or any DNA-free regions, we treated the cells with cephalexin. This antibiotic irreversibly inhibits the cell division protein FtsI (Hedge & Spratt, 1985; Pogliano *et al*, 1997) without affecting cell growth (Rolinson, 1980) to generate filamentous cells with multiple nucleoids. We found that, in transition phase, ribosomes and protein probes colocalize with the nucleoids and are depleted in nucleoid-free spaces, including between nucleoids (Fig 3C). We confirmed these findings using a FtsZ-depletion strain as an orthogonal method to generate filamentous polynucleoid cells (Fig EV3).

Given the colocalization with the nucleoid, we questioned whether the observed

rearrangement of cytoplasmic components may be driven by a (direct or indirect)

association with the nucleoid. Two lines of evidence suggested otherwise. First, in

filamentous cephalexin-treated cells, we occasionally observed a sharp accumulation of

fluorescently labeled ribosomes and msfGFP in nucleoid-free space (Fig 3D, bracket area

and purple arrowhead). Second, we noted that the intensity profiles of the msfGFP signal

extends beyond that of the DNA signal (Fig 2A). This was not due to the lower excitation

wavelength of DAPI relative to that of the fluorescent protein probes, as the msfGFP

signal also extended beyond the nucleoid signal when labeled with DNA-binding protein

HupA fused to mCherry (Fig 3E), which emits at a higher wavelength than msfGFP.

Together, this suggests that a cytosolic element that accumulates in nucleoid-free space

likely excludes ribosomes, proteins and possibly RNAs during transition phase.

Glycogen accumulation contributes to the asymmetric localization pattern of the

nucleoid, ribosomes, and proteins.

In our search for the cytosolic element driving the observed intracellular reorganization,

we used whole-cell ^{13}C cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (CPMAS) solid-state

NMR to evaluate whether the carbon composition of cells changes between exponential

and transition phases. We found that in comparison to exponential-phase samples,

transition-phase samples showed a large increase in carbon intensities in the ^{13}C CPMAS

NMR spectra between 110 and 55 ppm (Fig 4A), characteristic of polysaccharide

contributions. We speculated that these carbon intensity increases might correspond to

an accumulation of glycogen, a glucose polymer that *E. coli* cells can accumulate under

nutrient limitation (Preiss, 1984; Wang *et al*, 2019a; Wilson *et al*, 2010; Bourassa &

Camilli, 2009; Jones *et al*, 2008; Preiss & Romeo, 1994), preferentially at the old pole

(Boehm *et al*, 2016). Indeed, the ^{13}C CPMAS NMR spectra of bovine and mussel-derived

glycogen were consistent with the spectral changes observed in transition-phase samples

(Fig 4A). To test this possibility, we generated a glycogen-deficient strain (Δ*glgBXCAP*)

by deleting the glycogen metabolic operon. The ^{13}C CPMAS NMR spectrum of transition-

phase samples from this glycogen-deficient strain lacked the large carbon intensity

increases observed in the wild-type (WT) strain that we attribute to glycogen (Fig 4A).

To visualize glycogen in live cells and compare its localization with that of protein

probes, we built a glycogen biosensor and placed its synthesis under the control of an

isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible promoter. Inspired by a previous

eukaryotic study (Skurat *et al*, 2017), we generated a translational mGFPmut3 fusion to

the N-terminus of the starch binding domain (CBM20) of the human protein Stbd1.

mGFPmut3 was chosen for this fusion due to its monomeric characteristics and low

probability of forming aggregates (Landgraf *et al*, 2012). We found that the basal (leaky)

expression from a chromosomally inserted P$_{tac}$ promoter was sufficient to visualize the

glycogen sensor in transition phase cells. In WT cells, the sensor accumulated in the

nucleoid-free space, which was depleted of the free mScarlet fluorescent protein (Fig 4B).

This negative correlation between the biosensor and the scarlet signal localization, which

was demonstrated at the population level by strong negative SCF values (Fig 4C), is

consistent with glycogen excluding freely diffusing proteins. Indeed, diffuse distribution of

mScarlet was restored in Δ*glgBXCAP* cells during transition phase (Fig 4B).

In WT cells, glycogen and the nucleoid appeared to exclude each other, as

suggested by the strong negative SCF values between DAPI and the glycogen sensor

signal (Fig 4C). As such, we predicted that glycogen accumulation at the old pole is, at

least in part, responsible for the asymmetric position of the nucleoid toward the new pole

in transition phase. To measure the asymmetry of glycogen accumulation, we calculated

the difference in the area of glycogen (biosensor) signal between the two poles, where

larger deviations from zero indicate a larger asymmetry in glycogen accumulation. We

found a positive correlation between glycogen accumulation asymmetry and nucleoid

position asymmetry (Fig 4D). That is, cells with a larger asymmetry in glycogen sensor

signal between the poles tended to exhibit a greater offset in nucleoid position, consistent

with our prediction.

Another line of support for glycogen driving the transition-phase phenotypes was

provided by observations of cephalexin-treated cells expressing the glycogen sensor and

fluorescently labeled ribosomes. Whenever we observed a band of ribosome enrichment

in nucleoid-free space (as first illustrated in Fig 3D), this region was flanked by glycogen

accumulations (Fig 4E). This observation was independently corroborated using the

glucose   analog   2-NBDG   (2-(N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino)-2-

deoxyglucose) (Yoshioka *et al*, 1996b). This glucose analog is readily taken up by *E. coli*

(Yoshioka *et al*, 1996b, 1996a) where it can be incorporated into the glycogen polymer

(Zhu *et al*, 2020). However, upon uptake, the analog is modified to a non-fluorescent form

resulting in fluorescence signal loss (Yoshioka *et al*, 1996a), leading to a low signal-to-

noise   ratio.   Nevertheless,   the   signal   intensity   was   sufficient   to   reveal   2-NBDG

accumulations flanking ribosome enrichment in nucleoid-free space of cephalexin-treated

cells producing glycogen during transition phase (Fig EV4).

Glycogen condensates exclude fluorescent proteins in vitro.

In the bacteriology literature, glycogen accumulations are often described as "granules"

or "inclusion bodies" due to their round or oval shapes when visualized by electron

microscopy (Shively, 1974; Preiss, 1984; Liu *et al*, 2021b; Alonso-Casajús *et al*, 2006;

Preiss & Romeo, 1994). These terms can give the impression of a solid. However, recent

work in liver cells has reported that glycogen can undergo LLPS in the cytosol (Liu *et al*,

2021a). Glycogen extracted from mouse livers has also been shown to undergo LLPS in

vitro, in a concentration-dependent manner, in the presence of a crowding agent (Liu *et*

al, 2021a). Considering this study, we asked the following questions: does glycogen form

liquid condensates under in vitro conditions that mimic the *E. coli* cytoplasm and, if so,

can glycogen condensates exclude proteins?

For our in vitro conditions, we focused on three aspects of the *E. coli* cytoplasm:

glycogen concentration, ionic strength, and crowding. Given that glycogen represents

0.75-1.2% of the cell's wet weight in stationary phase after growth in Luria Broth (Wang

et al, 2019a; Neidhardt & Curtiss, 1996), we assumed the glycogen concentration range

to be between 8 and 13 g/L based on a cell density of 1.1 g/mL (Baldwin *et al*, 1995) (see

Table 1). We elected to use glycogen from mussels because of its commercial availability

at a high (>99%) purity. We used a potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) that includes

85 mM NaCl, 250 mM KCl, 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$ to reflect the ionic strength

of the *E. coli* cytoplasm (Schultz *et al*, 1962; Alatossava *et al*, 1985; Gangola & Rosen,

1987; Szatmári *et al*, 2020). Hereafter, we refer to this solution as the "intracellular salt

(IS) buffer". As a stand-in for cytoplasmic macromolecules, we used the synthetic

biocompatible nonionic polymer polyethylene glycol (abbreviated to PEG or PEO

depending on its molecular weight). PEG/PEO polymers are available in a broad range

of molecular weights. Since size, not molecular weight, is the relevant variable for a

crowder (Asakura & Oosawa, 1954, 1958; Sharp, 2015), we used dynamic light

scattering to measure the hydrodynamic (Stokes) diameter of PEG and PEO polymers of

different molecular weights in the IS buffer (Fig 5A). Our results agree well with an

empirical relationship determined in deionized water (pH = 5.5) (Devanand & Selser,

1991) (Fig 5A, line), despite the differences in pH and ionic concentrations of the solvents

used.

We first chose 3 kDa PEG (~3 nm of diameter) to mimic proteins, which are the

most abundant macromolecular crowders in the *E. coli* cytoplasm (Neidhardt & Curtiss,

1996) with diameters ranging between 2 and 6 nm (Erickson, 2009; Hink *et al*, 2000;

Lukatsky & Shakhnovich, 2008). We found that glycogen (10 g/L), which was visualized

using ConA-FITC labeling (Lvov *et al*, 1996; Becker *et al*, 1976), transitioned from

homogeneously mixed in the solution to forming droplets as the concentration of 3 kDa

PEG increased from 9 to 20 mM (Fig 5B). Consistent with the liver study (Liu *et al*, 2021a),

these droplets exhibited liquid-like behaviors, demonstrating fusion events on the minute

time scale (Fig 5C, Video EV2). These fusion events resulted in coalescence of small

condensates into larger ones at longer time scales (e.g., 30 min, Fig 5D), which is

consistent with LLPS. At higher 3 kDa PEG concentrations, glycogen underwent a second

phase transition, in which the droplets collapsed into amorphous aggregates (Fig 5B).

Timelapse imaging of these aggregates showed no evidence of fusion upon collision

(e.g., Video EV3), consistent with a solid-like form.

In addition, we confirmed that LLPS of glycogen is dependent on its own

concentration. As shown in a phase diagram (Fig 5E), higher concentrations of glycogen

required less crowder to form liquid condensates. By using PEG/PEO of higher molecular

weights, we also demonstrated that the formation of glycogen droplets was very sensitive

to the size of the crowder (Fig 5F). For instance, at least 19 mM of 3 kDa PEG was

required for LLPS to occur while the same could be achieved with only 150 µM of 100

kDa PEO or 10 µM of 1 MDa PEO. The two larger crowders (100 kDa and 1 MDa) were

selected because their diameters (at concentrations below their overlap concentrations),

estimated to be ~30 nm and ~70 nm (Fig 5A), are the closest to the size of ribosomes in

free and polysome forms, respectively (Brandt *et al*, 2009; Nilsson *et al*, 1997).

As both proteins and ribosomes (largely assembled into polysomes) are excluded

from glycogen in vivo (Fig 2A), we hypothesized that the combined effect of their cellular

concentrations could lead to LLPS of glycogen. Consistent with this hypothesis,

combining the stand-in crowders (10 mM of 3 kDa PEG, 25 µM of 100 kDa PEO, and 5

µM of 1 MDa PEO) at biologically relevant concentrations for proteins, ribosomes and

polysomes (see Tables 1 and 2) resulted in the formation of glycogen droplets, while no

crowder alone induced LLPS at these concentrations (Fig 5G). Note that, for the 1 MDa

PEO crowder, the concentrations required to drive LLPS are above its "overlap"

concentration (self-crowding) (see Materials and Methods) and thus our estimated

diameter for this crowder shown in Fig 5A is likely overestimated. Regardless of the

crowder size used, glycogen LLPS was found to be reversible, as diluting the crowder

after the droplets formed led to their immediate (< 1 min) disappearance (Fig 5H).

To test if glycogen LLPS could explain the exclusion of proteins observed in vivo,

we added GFP to the in vitro reactions and analyzed the spatial distribution of

fluorescence intensity in relationship with the phase contrast signal from the glycogen

droplets. We found that glycogen condensates partially excluded fluorescent proteins (Fig

5I). This was also observed by performing z-stack imaging of the glycogen condensates

suspended in the liquid column, in which exclusion remains observable despite the added

background from the contribution of GFP molecules in surrounding focal planes (Video

EV4). This protein exclusion was observed in all tested combinations of PEG/PEO

crowder sizes (2.7–74 nm) and concentrations that led to glycogen condensate formation

(Table 3).

Our in vitro data, together with estimates of macromolecule concentration inside

cells (Tables 1-2) and the shape of so-called glycogen "granules" in electron micrographs

(Preiss, 1984; Alonso-Casajús *et al*, 2006), are more consistent with glycogen forming

liquid condensates over solid condensates inside cells (see also Discussion). However,

technical limitations prevent us from testing whether glycogen condensates in *E. coli* cells

are truly liquid or more gel-like (see Discussion). Therefore, we will simply refer to the

localized glycogen accumulations as "condensates" hereafter. Importantly, our in vitro

findings argue that phase separation of glycogen into a liquid droplet alone can explain

the exclusion of proteins from glycogen-rich regions in transition phase cells.

Glycogen condensates are associated with an increase in cell size.

The preferential accumulation of these condensates at the old pole results in partial exclusion of cytoplasmic components from this location. If division was symmetric and produced two daughter cells of equal size, the daughter cell that inherits the glycogen-rich cell pole would receive less cytoplasmic content than its sibling. However, analysis of constricting cells in transition phase revealed that the future daughter cells with more glycogen tended to be bigger than their future siblings with less glycogen because of asymmetric cell division (ρ = 0.54, Fig 6A-B). While there was considerable noise in our measurements, a linear fit across the data suggested a near direct proportionality (i.e., slope ~ 1) between the difference in glycogen amount and the difference in cell size between future daughter cells (Fig 6B). Thus, cells do not appear to "count" glycogen condensates as cytoplasmic space and effectively create extra (i.e., bonus) space to accommodate the large amount of glycogen produced in transition phase.

This bonus-space hypothesis predicts that glycogen-deficient cells should, on average, be smaller than cells producing glycogen condensates. Since cell size changes with the OD during transition phase (Fig 1B), we performed co-cultures of Δ*glgBXCAP* and parent strains to examine their cell size at precisely the same OD. We used the expression of different fluorescent proteins to distinguish between the glycogen-producing and glycogen-deficient genetic backgrounds (Fig 6C). Consistent with our hypothesis, co-cultures of mVenus-expressing Δ*glgBXCAP* and mSCFP3-expressing WT cells revealed that glycogen-deficient cells were, on average, about ~13% smaller than glycogen-producing cells in transition phase (mix 1, Fig 6D). We obtained similar results when the fluorophores were swapped between the WT and Δ*glgBXCAP* backgrounds

(mix 2, Fig 6D), indicating that the cell size difference was independent of the type of

fluorescent protein used to identify cells. This cell size difference is unlikely to be due

solely to a growth benefit associated with glycogen metabolism since it is generated in

the mother cell before cell constriction is complete, i.e., when the cytoplasm (and thus,

the metabolism) of the two future daughter cells is still shared (Fig 6A-B).

We reasoned that glycogen condensates effectively "push" the nucleoid away from

the old pole through mutual exclusion, which, in turn, offsets the division site toward the

new pole. Consistent with this idea, the extent of asymmetry in nucleoid and division

positions in transition phase was considerably reduced in $\Delta glgBXCAP$ cells relative to the

WT (Fig 6E, Fig EV5A). We concluded that the propensity of glycogen to transition into

condensates in the presence of crowders promotes asymmetric division in *E. coli*.

DISCUSSION

Our quantitative analysis of transition phase at the subcellular and single-cell level reveals

a picture of *E. coli* cell biology that departs from the textbook view in several ways.

The first difference relates to division. Asymmetric divisions are typically thought

of as exceptions in the bacterial world, restricted to a small subset of bacteria that undergo

developmental programs that produce either two functionally divergent daughter cells at

each cell cycle (e.g., *Caulobacter crescentus*) or an endospore in response to starvation

(e.g., *Bacillus subtilis*). In fact, *E. coli* is often used as the quintessential example of a

symmetrically dividing bacterium. However, its division has primarily been examined

during exponential growth. Our study shows that asymmetric division and production of

daughter cells of unequal sizes become common in *E. coli* when the population deviates

from exponential phase (Fig 1F), likely contributing to the documented increase in cell

size variability in stationary phase (Bakshi *et al*, 2021).

We propose that these asymmetric divisions occur because of the propensity of

glycogen to spontaneously phase separate into condensates in crowded environments

(Fig 5) (Liu *et al*, 2021a). Our data suggest that these condensates preferentially

accumulate at the old cell pole through inheritance from the previous generation,

effectively "pushing" the nucleoids closer to the new pole (Fig 3B). In fact, such nucleoid

pushing can be artificially exacerbated in exponential phase through mutation of the

carbon storage regulator gene *csrA*, which results in massive glycogen overproduction

(Boehm *et al*, 2016). We propose that the nucleoid offset generated by glycogen

condensates promotes off-center division through nucleoid occlusion, a fail-safe

mechanism that prevents cell constriction over nucleoid regions to prevent chromosome

scissoring events (Woldringh *et al*, 1990). Large polar glycogen condensates may also

interfere with the oscillatory behavior of the Min system, which determines the position of

the FtsZ cytokinetic ring (Shih & Zheng, 2013; Lutkenhaus, 2008).

Cells in transition phase also diverge from the traditional view with respect to their

spatial organization of macromolecules such as ribosomes/polysomes, proteins, and

RNAs. In transition phase, these macromolecules adopt a more chromosome-biased

distribution (Fig 2), which is largely caused by glycogen exclusion. Our in vitro

experiments suggest that glycogen does not have to assemble into a solid (i.e., a granule

or an inclusion body) to exclude protein probes, as liquid condensates could achieve

similar results (Fig 5I). In fact, based on our calculations (Tables 1-2) and in vitro

experiments (Fig 5E-G), the physiological range of intracellular concentrations of proteins,

ribosomes, and polysomes is compatible with the combined PEG/PEO concentrations

needed for glycogen to phase separate into liquid condensates, but not to collapse into a

solid state. Collapsing glycogen into aggregates requires crowder concentrations that well

exceed the macromolecular concentration expected inside cells (Fig 5E, Tables 1-2).

Furthermore, unlike the amorphous glycogen aggregates, the liquid condensates

obtained in vitro are morphologically consistent with the round and oval shapes of

glycogen bodies observed in electron micrographs of starved cells (Alonso-Casajús *et al*,

2006). Consistent with our results, a recent preprinted study has shown that concentrating

macromolecules in frog egg extracts by ≥ 1.4-fold demixes the cytoplasm into two liquid

phases: a glycogen-enriched phase and a ribosome-enriched phase (Pelletier *et al*,

2021). In *E. coli,* and presumably other glycogen-producing bacteria, this cytoplasmic

demixing may be even more likely, as the bacterial cytoplasm is thought to be more

crowded than the eukaryotic cytosol based on fluorescent protein diffusion measurements

(Elowitz *et al*, 1999; Swaminathan *et al*, 1997; Potma *et al*, 2001; Konopka *et al*, 2009).

It remains possible that glycogen condensates inside *E. coli* cells are not perfectly

liquid and may be more gel-like. The small size of bacterial cells and glycogen

condensates make it impractical to test for liquid-like behaviors like droplet fusions in vivo,

as it is often done in LLPS studies in eukaryotic cells (Alberti *et al*, 2018, 2019; Hoang *et*

al, 2023; Liu *et al*, 2021a). Assays based on fluorescence recovery after photobleaching

(FRAP), which can be implemented to probe the diffusivity of proteins when they form

condensates (Alberti *et al*, 2019; Wang *et al*, 2019b), are also prohibited by the weak

fluorescent signal of the glucose analog 2-NBDG once incorporated into glycogen (Fig

EV4). FRAP experiments with the glycogen biosensor are not appropriate either, as any

observed dynamics would reflect not only the motion of glycogen molecules within the

condensates, but also the binding kinetics of the biosensor to glycogen. While these

limitations persist, our evidence argues that glycogen accumulations inside cells are more

likely to be the product of phase separation into condensates (whether liquid or gel-like)

than the result of glycogen assembling into solid structures such as granules or inclusion

bodies.

Glycogen is well-known to provide a mean for cells to store a large amount of

glucose without dramatically increasing the osmolarity of their cytoplasm, as a branched

glycogen polymer contains thousands of glucose residues (Bezborodkina *et al*, 2018).

Whether its phase separation into condensates is associated with beneficial or

detrimental implications for the cell is an interesting question that warrants further

exploration. For instance, since glycogen has viscogenic properties (Persson *et al*, 2020),

compartmentalization into condensates might prevent high levels of glycogen from

increasing the cytoplasmic viscosity, which could decrease macromolecular diffusion to

deleterious levels. Furthermore, if glycogen did not phase separate (i.e., remained soluble

and homogeneously distributed in the cytoplasm), distribution of glucose reserves

between daughter cells would be near equal. In contrast, our data suggest that phase

separation leads to an asymmetric distribution that increases with each division through

additive glycogen accumulation at the old pole. This unequal distribution endows a

fraction of the cell population with larger energy reserves, which may increase the survival

probability of the species under prolonged periods of duress. Glycogen has indeed been

reported to facilitate the adaptation of environmental, phototrophic, and pathogenic

bacteria to starvation and other stresses (Sekar *et al*, 2020; Bourassa & Camilli, 2009;

Klotz *et al*, 2016; Klotz & Forchhammer, 2017; Gründel *et al*, 2012; Wang *et al*, 2020). As

for the partitioning of other macromolecules, their exclusion from glycogen condensates

does not appear to affect their distribution between daughter cells. This is because

division becomes asymmetric in the presence of glycogen condensates; the larger the

glycogen accumulation, the more asymmetric the division (Fig 6B), minimizing any

potential detrimental disparity in cytoplasm partitioning between daughter cells. In effect,

the cells appear to not "count" the space occupied by the glycogen condensates (Fig 6D-

E).

The relevance of our work may extend beyond *E. coli*, as glycogen metabolism is

widespread across bacteria (Almagro *et al*, 2015; Henrissat *et al*, 2002; Preiss & Romeo,

1990; Wang *et al*, 2019a; Wang & Wise, 2011). Induction of glycogen synthesis is a

common response when nutrients such as nitrogen, sulfur, or phosphate become limiting

(Holme *et al*, 1956, 1957; Madsen, 1963; Mulder *et al*, 1962; Sigal *et al*, 1964;

Zevenhuizen, 1966; Strange *et al*, 1961). Given that bacteria often live in nutrient-

fluctuating environments, our findings suggest that the cellular asymmetries in division

and macromolecule distribution may be more prevalent in the bacterial world than

currently appreciated. In addition, phase separation may be relevant to other bacterial

storage polymers besides glycogen. Polyphosphate, an energy-rich anionic polymer, is a

good candidate. It forms so-called granules in various bacteria (Albi & Serrano, 2016),

and timelapse experiments on starved *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells have shown that

these granules decrease in number while increasing in size during de novo biogenesis,

consistent with fusion events and liquid behavior (Racki *et al*, 2017). Future exploration

may shed light on the prevalence, role, and implications of phase separation across

storage polymers in different bacteria.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and descriptions of their constructions can be found in Tables 4 and 5.

P1 transductions were performed as previously described (Thomason *et al*, 2007) with

the exception that donor strains were grown without glucose supplementation. A lysate

of P1 phages was obtained from ATCC (25404-B1). Oligomers used for polymerase chain

reactions (PCR) are listed in Table 6. Lambda red recombination was performed as

previously described using the pKD46 (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000) or pSIM6 plasmids

(Diner *et al*, 2011). Unless otherwise indicated, cells were grown at 30°C in M9 medium

(26.11 mM Na_2HPO_4, 22 mM KH_2PO_4, 8.55 mM NaCl, 18.7 mM NH_4Cl, 2 mM $MgSO_4$ and

0.1 mM $CaCl_2$) supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 0.1% casamino acids and 1 µg/ml

thiamine (M9GluCAAT). Cells were first inoculated in the appropriate growth medium and

grown to stationary phase in culture tubes. They were then re-inoculated into fresh media

by diluting at least 10,000-fold and grown until they reached the indicated optical density

(OD) at 600 nm. When appropriate, ampicillin (100 µg/mL), kanamycin (50 µg/mL), or

chloramphenicol (30 µg/mL) was added to media for selection.

Strains expressing green fluorescent proteins with different net charges

(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017) were grown in M9GluCAAT and fluorescent protein

expression was induced with 0.4% arabinose upon re-inoculation of stationary phase

cultures. Given the richer medium condition from the addition of arabinose, the transition

phase OD ranged from 2.9 to 3.5 instead of 1.2 to 2.7.

FtsZ depletion experiments were conducted using strain CJW7588 in the presence

of 0.2% arabinose. For exponentially growing cultures, arabinose was added for the

equivalent of 2-3 doublings. For transition-phase cultures, arabinose was added in late

exponential phase (~OD 0.4-0.5) and imaged ~5 h later when cultures reached transition

phase ODs (the equivalent of 2-3 doublings) on an agarose pad made of spent medium.

Dyes and labels

To label the nucleoid, cells were incubated with 1 µg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI) for 10 min prior to imaging. To visualize intracellular 2-NBDG localization, cells

were grown in M9CAAT supplemented with 0.2% L-arabinose to the desired OD and

incubated with 10 µM 2-NBDG for 10 min, then washed twice in prewarmed spent medium

filtered with a 0.22 µm filter. For the following dyes, concentrations were increased when

used on transition-phase cells as cell permeability seemed to decrease with increasing

ODs, consistent with a previous report (Bakshi *et al*, 2014). To visualize the inner

membrane of *E. coli* cells, MitoTracker Green (Shi *et al*, 2021) was added to the cell

culture at a final concentration of 100 nM (exponential phase) or 1 µM (transition phase)

and incubated for 30 min prior to imaging. To label RNA, SYTO RNASelect was used at

a final concentration of 500 nM (exponential phase) or 2.5 µM (transition phase) for 10

min and washed twice in filtered spent medium (Bakshi *et al*, 2014) prior to imaging.

Cephalexin treatment

For cephalexin treatment of exponentially growing cultures, the antibiotic (50 µg/mL) was

added for the equivalent of 2-3 doublings. For transition phase samples in M9GluCAAT,

cells were incubated with cephalexin (50 µg/mL) in late exponential phase (~OD 0.4-0.5)

and imaged ~5 h later when cultures reached transition phase (the equivalent of 2-3

doublings) on agarose pads made of spent medium containing cephalexin.

Microscopy

Unless otherwise indicated, cells were imaged on 1.5% agarose pads made with the

appropriate spent growth medium. For samples with high cell density in late transition or

stationary phase, cells were diluted in warm filtered spent medium prior to spotting on an

agarose pad for ease of cell segmentation.

Microscopy was performed using Nikon inverted microscope set-ups controlled by

the NIS-Elements AR software, with the following specifications. One of the set-ups

consisted of a Nikon Ti2-E inverted microscope equipped with a Perfect Focus System

(PFS), a motorized stage, a 100x Plan Apo 1.45NA Ph3 oil objective, a Photometrics

Prime BSI back-illuminated sCMOS camera, a Lumencor Spectra III LED light engine

excitation source, a polychroic mirror (FF-409/493/596-Di02 for by Shemrock) combined

with a triple-pass emitter (FF-1-432/523/702-25 by Shemrock) for GFP/DAPI/mCherry,

and a polychroic mirror (FF-459/526/596-Di01 by Shemrock) combined with a triple-pass

emitter (FF01-475/543/702-25 by Shemrock) for CFP/YFP/mCherry. Temperature was

set and maintained at the indicated value using a temperature chamber (Okolabs). The

second microscope set-up consisted of a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with a

Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera, a 100X objective (Nikon, OFN Ph3 DM, N.A. 1.45)

and a Spectra X light engine (Lumencor). The following Chroma filter sets were used to

acquire fluorescence images: DAPI (excitation ET350/50x, dichroic T400lp, emission

ET460/50 m), GFP (excitation ET470/40x, dichroic T425lpxr, emission ET525/50 m) and

mCherry/TexasRed (excitation ET560/40x, dichroic T585lpxr, emission ET630/75 m).

Temperature was maintained at 30°C using a customized enclosure and temperature

controller (Air-Therm SWT, World Precision Instrument) for timelapse imaging.

Temperature was also maintained for snapshots but did not affect transition-phase

phenotypes in the time window required for imaging (under 12 min).

Image processing and analysis

For cells imaged on agarose pads, cells were detected from phase contrast images using

the open-source software package Oufti (Paintdakhi *et al*, 2016). Cell contours were

curated using a trained support vector machine model to automatically remove poor and

incorrect cell detections (Gray *et al*, 2019). Nucleoid outlines were detected using the

objectDetection module of Oufti with the following detection parameters: manual

background threshold = 0.1; background subtraction method = 3; background subtraction

threshold = 0.1; background filter size = 8; smoothing range (pixels) = 3; magnitude of

LOG filter = 0.1; sigma of PSF = 1.62; fraction of object in cell = 0.40; and minimum object

area = 50. Parameters for cell and nucleoid detection were maintained across all cells

analyzed. Cell morphological features including cell length, cell area, number of nucleoid

objects, and cell constriction position were extracted from Oufti output using code

cellSizeCycle2 adapted from Govers et al (Govers *et al*, 2024). The cell constriction

positions have values between 0 and 1, with 0.5 corresponding to the cell center. The

absolute deviation from 0.5 was taken to reflect the absolute distance of division position

to cell center normalized by cell length. Degree of cell constriction was generated using

function constDegreeSingleCell. For the images shown in the figures, fluorescent image

background subtraction was done using Fiji(Schindelin *et al*, 2012) and a sliding

paraboloid with rolling ball radius of 50 pixels. Cell signal intensity profiles were generated

using Oufti's signal output divided by the total signal intensity to calculate relative signal,

which was plotted for individual cells.

Relative nucleoid centroid position was extracted from Oufti nucleoid meshes

using the MATLAB function get_pole_intensity. The centroid of the nucleoid mesh was

calculated and normalized to cell length such that 0 indicates that the nucleoid midpoint

is position at the cell center while 0.5 and -0.5 indicate a nucleoid midpoint position at a

pole. Nucleoid asymmetry was determined by measuring the absolute distance of the

nucleoid midpoint to the cell's centroid, which was then normalized by the cell length.

Signal correlation factor (SCF) was calculated as before (Gray *et al*, 2019)

excluding the poles (i.e., pixels within 5 pixels from the pole), within a cell width of four

pixels along the midline.

To analyze constricting cells using the SuperSegger-Omnipose software

(https://github.com/tlo-bot/supersegger-omnipose),   the   software's   pre-trained

bact_phase_omni model (Cutler *et al*, 2022; Stylianidou *et al*, 2016) was retrained

because it tended to split cells before cell division was completed based on visual

inspection. Model retraining was carried out in two steps. First, images of CJW7606 cells

(OD 2.83) grown in M9GluCAAT at 30°C were collected on agarose pads containing

M9GluCAAT. Cells from 11 fields of view (each of 2048x2048 pixels, ~100-250 cells per

field of view) were segmented using the pre-trained model *bact_phase_omni*. The

obtained masks were visually inspected, and when the pre-trained model was splitting

cells too early, the cells masks were merged back into one single mask using a custom

MATLAB code (LabelsMerge_ConstrictingCells.m). The corrected 2044x2048-pixel

images (both phase contrast images and cell masks) were then divided into smaller

510x512-pixel images. The dataset was further augmented by performing image rotations

(90°, 180°, and 270°), resulting in 704 images. This initial training dataset was then used

to retrain Omnipose from scratch (with parameters: n_epochs = 4000; tyx = 224,224;

batch_size = 16; learning_rate = 0.1, see details in https://omnipose.readthedocs.io) to

generate a preliminary model. In the second step, the retrained model was used to re-

segment the publicly available *bact_phase* training dataset (https://osf.io/xmury/) that was

originally used to train *bact_phase_omni*, including additional images of cells with

extreme phenotypes (e.g., with bright intracellular regions in phase contrast images).

This generated a larger dataset with a broad spectrum of cell morphologies. The

obtained segmented images were then manually curated to eliminate poorly segmented

cells. Finally, both training datasets (the first one created in-house, and the one re-

segmented from *bact_phase*) were used to retrain Omnipose from scratch (n = 1249

images, with parameters: n_epochs = 4000; tyx = 224,224 ; batch_size = 16;

learning_rate = 0.1). The resultant model (merge_model_omni) and the Python code

used for curating (screening_good_bad.py) and generating the training datasets

(generate_training_dataset.py)   are   available   on   GitHub

(https://github.com/JacobsWagnerLab/published/tree/master/Thappeta_Canas-

Duarte_et_al_2024).

The correlation between polar glycogen accumulations and the offset of the

nucleoid was then analyzed from snapshot images of CJW7606 cells (OD 2.83) that were

segmented using a combination of SuperSegger-Omnipose and a MATLAB script

(PostSGO_GlycogenSensorAllCells_20240312.m), in which the mid-cell axis of each cell

was calculated using a bivariate fit to the distance matrix and used to identify the location

of the cell centroid. Fluorescent signals from the glycogen sensor and HU-mCherry were

used to segment the areas of glycogen accumulation and the nucleoid, respectively. The

difference between the glycogen sensor area for each cell pole was then calculated.

Nucleoid offset was determined from the distance between the nucleoid centroid and the

cell center. The Spearman correlation coefficient between the two variables was

calculated   and   the   contour   plot   was   plotted   using   a   MATLAB   script

(ContourPlot_20240312.m).

To examine the correlation between glycogen accumulation and asymmetric

division, constricting cells in transition phase were identified, curated, and analyzed using

a custom MATLAB code (PostSGO_GlycogenSensorConstriction_20240312.m). In

short, the mid-cell axis of each cell was calculated as before and was used to identify the

location of the cell constriction plane. Fluorescence signal was extracted from each cell

half (the future daughter cells) and used to create a mask for the glycogen sensor signal,

and thus determine the area of the cell occupied by glycogen. The difference between

both the area of each future cell and the area occupied by glycogen sensor signal were

used to calculate the Spearman correlation coefficient between the two variables.

Principal components regression was used to calculate the linear regression shown in Fig

6B.

To calculate the statistics of the percent change in cell area (100%*((WT-

$\Delta glgBXCAP$) /$\Delta glgBXCAP$)) for each culture in the competition experiment (Fig 6D), mean

areas were bootstrapped from the full distribution of areas (n = 500 samplings) and used

to calculate the percent change. Means and standard deviations were then calculated

from the resulting distributions of fold changes. This analysis was performed using the

OutfiDATA_Bootstrap.m script.

The degrees of both constriction position and nucleoid centroid asymmetry in the

WT and $\Delta glgBXCAP$ strains were analyzed for each transition phase mix with a MATLAB

code (PostSGO_MixesConstriction_20240312.m). For this analysis, constricting cells

were identified as described above using the mid-cell axis to determine the constriction

position and the cell centroid. The HU-mCherry signal in each constricting cell was used

to segment the nucleoid and calculate its centroid. The offsets between the constriction

position and the cell centroid, and between the nucleoid centroid and the cell center were

calculated and normalized by the length for each constricting cell. The Spearman

correlation coefficient and the isocontours were then calculated between the relevant

variables.

Whole-cell NMR sample preparation and solid-state NMR measurements

Overnight cultures of each bacterial strain were prepared and diluted at least 10,000-fold

into 300 mL of the appropriate fresh medium in a 1L flask. To achieve similar final

quantities of biomass, two flasks were prepared identically for exponential phase cultures

and one flask was prepared for the higher cell density transition-phase samples. Cells

were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 g at 4°C for 10 min and washed twice in cold

PBS. Each final sample cell pellet was collected in a 50 mL tube, stored at -80°C, and

subsequently lyophilized and packed into a magic-angle spinning NMR rotor.

Solid-state ^{13}C CPMAS NMR (Schaefer & Stejskal, 1976) experiments were

performed using an 89-mm bore 11.7 T magnet (Agilent Technologies) with an Agilent

triple resonance BioMAS probe and DD2 console (Agilent Technologies). Samples were

spun at 7143 ± 3 Hz at room temperature in thin-walled (36 µL capacity) 3.2 mm outer

diameter zirconia rotors. Cross polarization (CP) was performed with a ^{13}C field strength

of 50 kHz and a ^{1}H field strength centered at 57kz with a 10% ramp for the contact time

of 1.5 ms. ^{1}H decoupling was performed with two-pulse phase-modulated (TPPM)

(Bennett *et al*, 1995) decoupling at 83 kHz. All CPMAS experiments were obtained using

a recycle delay of 2 s. Free induction decays were processed using 80 Hz exponential

line broadening prior to Fourier transformation. Spectrometer chemical shift referencing

was performed by setting the high frequency adamantane peak to 38.5 ppm (Morcombe

& Zilm, 2003).

Intracellular salts buffer

To mimic the ionic strength of the *E. coli* cytoplasm, a potassium phosphate buffer (PPB)

was prepared and supplemented with the appropriate concentrations of intracellular salts.

For this, 200 mL of 1 M stock PPB (pH = 7.5) was prepared by mixing 25.63 g of K_2HPO_4

and 7.2 g of KH_2PO_4 in MilliQ water. For the intracellular salts buffer (referred to also as

IS buffer), a 5X stock was prepared by mixing the 1 M PPB stock to a final 200 mM

concentration with 0.425 M NaCl, 1.25 M KCl, 12.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$

(Alatossava *et al*, 1985; Gangola & Rosen, 1987; Schultz *et al*, 1962; Szatmári *et al*,

2020).

Dynamic light scattering measurements

The hydrodynamic diameter of purified mussel glycogen (Sigma-Aldrich 361507-1ML)

and commercially available PEG/PEO particles of different molecular weights were

measured using a NanoBrook Omni device. 30% w/v stock solutions of PEG1500 (Sigma-

Aldrich 81210-500G), PEG3000 (Sigma-Aldrich 8190151000), PEG4000 (Hampton

Research HR2-605), PEG6000 (Sigma-Aldrich 81253-250G), PEG8000 (Sigma-Aldrich

89510-250G-F), PEG10000 (Sigma-Aldrich 81280-1KG), PEG20000 (ThermoFisher

A17925.0B), and PEG35000 (Sigma-Adrich 81310-1KG) were prepared in MilliQ water.

5% and 2.5% w/v stock solutions were made of PEO100000 (Sigma-Aldrich 181986-

250G) and PEG1000000 (Sigma-Aldrich 372781-250G), respectively. When needed,

solutions were incubated at 42°C for 1 hour to help homogenization.

For measurements, samples were diluted to a final volume of 10 mL to generate a

final concentration of 6% (1.5 kDa PEG and 3 kDa PEG), 3% (4 kDa PEG) ,1.5% (6 kDa

PEG, 8 kDa PEG, 10 kDa PEG, 20 kDa PEG and 35 kDa PEG), 0.25% (PEO 100 kDA)

or 0.025% PEO 1MDa in either MilliQ water (pH = 6.5) or in the 1X IS buffer (pH = 7.0).

Dilutions of the samples were made to ensure that measurements with each PEG/PEO

crowder were done with concentrations below their overlap concentrations to avoid self-

crowding artifacts in our measurements (de Gennes & Witten, 1980; Julius *et al*, 2019;

Smith *et al*, 2023). The hydrodynamic diameter of glycogen was measured in the same

IS buffer at a concentration of 2 g/L. To remove dust or other particles that could affect

the measurements, all samples were double filtered, first with a 0.22 μm filter followed by

a 0.1 μm filter. Each sample (1 mL) was loaded in a cuvette and sealed with a lid. Samples

were measured in triplicate for 300 ms at 25°C using a 90-degree angle for the detector.

In vitro phase separation experiments

To generate the presented phase diagram, phase separation was assessed using varying

concentrations of both glycogen (2.5 to 10 g/L) and 3 kDa PEG (8 to 30 mM). Samples

were prepared in the IS buffer to a final volume of 50 μL in 200 μL Eppendorf tubes. For

visualization, 0.05 μg/μL of FITC-ConA (Sigma-Aldrich C7642-2MG) was added to the

appropriate glycogen volume prior to the addition of other components of the reaction.

The appropriate volume of 3 kDa PEG was added last, and a 12 μL aliquot was

immediately transferred to a 50 x 7mm glass bottom dish (PELCO) for imaging at 25°C.

To determine the minimal concentration of PEG/PEO that promotes glycogen

LLPS, samples were prepared as described above with 9 g/L of glycogen. Increasing

concentrations of each PEG (1.5 to 35 kDa) or PEO (100 kDa and 1 MDa) were tested in

50 μL reactions. Each sample (12 μL) was imaged by phase contrast microscopy (100x

Ph3 objective) to visually assess if glycogen condensates appeared. The smallest

concentration at which the reactions transitioned from one phase to another was assigned

as the minimal concentration. For PEO 1MDa, the minimal concentration required to drive

LLPS was found to be above the overlap concentration (0.5% w/v) reported for this

polymer (Smith *et al*, 2023), which marks the onset of "self-crowding". Therefore, the

hydrodynamic diameter of the polymer above this concentration could not be determined

using DSL. Unless otherwise indicated, all experiments were conducted and imaged at

25°C.

In vitro experiments showing protein probe exclusion by glycogen condensates

For the fluorescent protein exclusion assay, glass bottom dishes were cleaned using 1mL

of 100% isopropanol, then washed twice with 90% ethanol and allowed to dry completely.

Dishes were then treated with Pluronic® F-108 (Sigma-Aldrich 542342-250G) to minimize

fluorescent protein binding to the glass. Briefly, 200 µL of IS buffer supplemented with

0.1% w/v Pluronic® F-108 was pipetted into the dish and allowed to incubate for 1 h,

followed by two washes with 200 µL of IS buffer. All remaining liquid was removed and

the dish was allowed to dry completely. Phase separation reactions were made to final

concentrations of 10 g/L of glycogen, 1X IS buffer, 15 µM GFP (ThermoFisher A42613)

or mCherry (Abcam AB199750), and 20 mM 3 kDa PEG as described above. Samples

(12 µL) were imaged at 25°C, 30°C, and 37°C. For imaging, the focal plane was set on

the surface of the glass to minimize background signal from the column of liquid around

the condensates (Fig 5I), except for the images shown in Video EV4 for which images

were taken as a z-stack (with 0.125 µm steps) above the glass surface. For the images

shown in the figures, fluorescent image background subtraction was done using

Fiji(Schindelin *et al*, 2012) and a sliding paraboloid with rolling ball radius of 50 pixels.

Co-culture experiments

Cells of four strains (CJW7665-7668), each expressing a different fluorescent protein in

either the glycogen-devoid mutant strain or its WT parent, were inoculated in 2 mL of

M9GluCAAT and grown overnight at 30°C with 220 rpm shaking. Each culture was then

diluted 1:10,000 into fresh 2 mL cultures and returned to the shaker until they reached an

OD ~0.1. Samples of WT and glycogen-devoid strains were mixed proportional to their

ODs to create a ~50:50 mixture. For these experiments, two types of mixtures were used:

one included WT cells expressing mSCFP3 and mutant cells expressing mVenus, while

the other included WT cells expressing mVenus and mutant cells expressing mSCFP3.

Mixtures were made independently in three biological replicates. Each mixture was then

diluted 1:10,000 into 50 mL of medium in a 250 mL flask and returned to the shaker.

Samples were taken at OD 2.6 for transition phase. Samples were prepared for imaging

on agarose pads as described above.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Image analysis code and the newly trained segmentation model for images of *E. coli* cells

on agarose pads are available on the Jacobs-Wagner lab Github repository

(https://github.com/JacobsWagnerLab/published/tree/master/Thappeta_Canas-

Duarte_et_al_2024).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.T., S.J.C.-D, and C.J.-W prepared the manuscript and conceptualized the study. W.G.

and C.L. collected preliminary observations and data. YT, S.J.C-D and C.J.-W designed

in vivo experiments. Y.T. and S.J.C.-D performed in vivo experiments. S.J.C.-D and C.J.-

W designed in vitro experiments. S.J.C.-D performed in vitro experiments and constructed

the glycogen biosensor. S.J.C.-D and Y.X. developed analysis pipeline. S.J.C.-D and A.F.

optimized Omnipose segmentation model for analysis. S.J.C.-D, and Y.T. analyzed data.

T.K. and L.C. performed and analyzed whole cell NMR experiments. L.C and C.J.-W

provided supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Johan Paulsson for sharing unpublished strains used in this study.

We thank Drs. Suckjoon Jun and KC Huang for sharing published strains, and Dr. Sangjin

Kim for generating a P1 transduction that generated strain CJW5685. We are thankful to

Drs. Onn Brandman, KC Huang, and Jonas Cremer for valuable discussion. Finally, we

express our gratitude to the Jacobs-Wagner laboratory for support, discussion, and

critical reading of the manuscript. Part of this work was performed at the Stanford Nano

Shared Facilities (SNSF), supported by the National Science Foundation under award

ECCS-2026822. This research was supported in part by the Netherlands Organization

for Scientific Research (NWO), Rubicon 2022-2 Science programme, 019.222EN.001

(A.F.), and the National Institutes of Health grant R01GM117278 (L.C.). C.J.-W. is an

investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

DISCLOSURE AND COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Åkerlund T, Nordstro¨m K, Nordstro¨m N & Bernander R (1995) Analysis of Cell Size and DNA Content in Exponentially Growing and Stationary-Phase Batch Cultures of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 177: 6791–6797

Alatossava T, Jütte H, Kuhn A & Kellenberger E (1985) Manipulation of intracellular magnesium content in polymyxin B nonapeptide-sensitized Escherichia coli by ionophore A23187. *J Bacteriol* 162: 413–419

Alberti S, Gladfelter A & Mittag T (2019) Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell* 176: 419–434 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.035 [PREPRINT]

Alberti S & Hyman AA (2021) Biomolecular condensates at the nexus of cellular stress, protein aggregation disease and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 22: 196–213

Alberti S, Saha S, Woodruff JB, Franzmann TM, Wang J & Hyman AA (2018) A User's Guide for Phase Separation Assays with Purified Proteins. *J Mol Biol* 430: 4806–4820

Albi T & Serrano A (2016) Inorganic polyphosphate in the microbial world. Emerging roles for a multifaceted biopolymer. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 32: 27

Almagro G, Viale AM, Montero M, Rahimpour M, Muñoz FJ, Baroja-Fernández E, Bahaji A, Zúñiga M, González-Candelas F & Pozueta-Romero J (2015) Comparative genomic and phylogenetic analyses of gammaproteobacterial glg genes traced the origin of the Escherichia coli glycogen glgBXCAP operon to the last common ancestor of the sister orders Enterobacteriales and Pasteurellales. *PLoS One* 10

Alonso-Casajús N, Dauvillée D, Viale AM, Muñoz FJ, Baroja-Fernández E, Morán-
Zorzano MT, Eydallin G, Ball S & Pozueta-Romero J (2006) Glycogen
phosphorylase, the product of the glgP gene, catalyzes glycogen breakdown by
removing glucose units from the nonreducing ends in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol*
188: 5266–5272

Amselem E, Broadwater B, Hävermark T, Johansson M & Elf J (2023) Real-time single-
molecule 3D tracking in E. coli based on cross-entropy minimization. *Nat Commun*
14: 1–11

Asakura S & Oosawa F (1954) On Interaction between Two Bodies Immersed in a
Solution of Macromolecules. *J Chem Phys* 22: 1255–1256

Asakura S & Oosawa F (1958) Interaction between particles suspended in solutions of
macromolecules. *Journal of Polymer Science* 33: 183–192

Azaldegui CA, Vecchiarelli AG & Biteen JS (2021) The emergence of phase separation
as an organizing principle in bacteria. *Biophys J* 120: 1123–1138

Azam TA, Hiraga S & Ishihama A (2000) Two types of localization of the DNA-binding
proteins within the Escherichia coli nucleoid. *Genes to Cells* 5: 613–626

Badrinarayanan A, Lesterlin C, Reyes-Lamothe R & Sherratt D (2012) The Escherichia
coli SMC Complex, MukBEF, Shapes Nucleoid Organization Independently of DNA
Replication. *J Bacteriol* 194: 4669–4676

Bakshi S, Choi H, Mondal J & Weisshaar JC (2014) Time-dependent effects of
transcription- and translation-halting drugs on the spatial distributions of the E
scherichia coli chromosome and ribosomes. *Mol Microbiol* 94: 871–887

Bakshi S, Leoncini E, Baker C, Cañas-Duarte SJ, Okumus B & Paulsson J (2021)

Tracking bacterial lineages in complex and dynamic environments with applications

for growth control and persistence. *Nature Microbiology 2021 6:6* 6: 783–791

Bakshi S, Siryaporn A, Goulian M & Weisshaar JC (2012) Superresolution imaging of

ribosomes and RNA polymerase in live Escherichia coli cells. *Mol Microbiol* 85: 21–

38

Baldwin WW, Myer R, Powell N, Anderson E & Koch AL (1995) Buoyant density of

Escherichia coli is determined solely by the osmolarity of the culture medium. *Arch*

Microbiol 164: 155–7

Balleza E, Kim JM & Cluzel P (2018) Systematic characterization of maturation time of

fluorescent proteins in living cells. *Nat Methods* 15: 47–51

Banani SF, Lee HO, Hyman AA & Rosen MK (2017) Biomolecular condensates:

Organizers of cellular biochemistry. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 18: 285–298

Bates D & Kleckner N (2005) Chromosome and replisome dynamics in E. coli: Loss of

sister cohesion triggers global chromosome movement and mediates chromosome

segregation. *Cell* 121: 899–911

Becker JW, Reeke GN, Cunningham BA & Edelman GM (1976) New evidence on the

location of the saccharide-binding site of concanavalin A. *Nature* 259: 406–409

Bennett AE, Rienstra CM, Auger M, Lakshmi K V. & Griffin RG (1995) Heteronuclear

decoupling in rotating solids. *J Chem Phys* 103: 6951–6958

Bezborodkina NN, Chestnova AY, Vorobev ML & Kudryavtsev BN (2018) Spatial

Structure of Glycogen Molecules in Cells. *Biochemistry (Moscow)* 83: 467–482

Boehm A, Arnoldini M, Bergmiller T, Röösli T, Bigosch C & Ackermann M (2016) Genetic

Manipulation of Glycogen Allocation Affects Replicative Lifespan in E. coli. *PLoS*

Genet 12

Boeynaems S, Alberti S, Fawzi NL, Mittag T, Polymenidou M, Rousseau F, Schymkowitz

J, Shorter J, Wolozin B, Van Den Bosch L, *et al* (2018) Protein Phase Separation: A

New Phase in Cell Biology. *Trends Cell Biol* 28: 420–435

Bourassa L & Camilli A (2009) Glycogen contributes to the environmental persistence

and transmission of Vibrio cholerae. *Mol Microbiol* 72: 124–38

Brandt F, Etchells SA, Ortiz JO, Elcock AH, Hartl FU & Baumeister W (2009) The Native

3D Organization of Bacterial Polysomes. *Cell* 136: 261–271

Bremer H & Dennis PP (2008) Modulation of Chemical Composition and Other

Parameters of the Cell at Different Exponential Growth Rates. *EcoSal Plus* 3

Buchanan RE (1918) Life Phases in a Bacterial Culture

Chai Q, Singh B, Peisker K, Metzendorf N, Ge X, Dasgupta S & Sanyal S (2014)

Organization of Ribosomes and Nucleoids in Escherichia coli Cells during Growth

and in Quiescence. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289: 11342–11352

Chen YJ, Liu P, Nielsen AAK, Brophy JAN, Clancy K, Peterson T & Voigt CA (2013)

Characterization of 582 natural and synthetic terminators and quantification of their

design constraints. *Nature Methods 2013 10:7* 10: 659–664

Cherepanov PP & Wackernagel W (1995) Gene disruption in Escherichia coli: TcR and

KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance

determinant. *Gene* 158: 9–14

Cohan MC & Pappu R V. (2020) Making the Case for Disordered Proteins and

Biomolecular Condensates in Bacteria. *Trends Biochem Sci* 45: 668–680

Cutler KJ, Stringer C, Lo TW, Rappez L, Stroustrup N, Brook Peterson S, Wiggins PA &

Mougous JD (2022) Omnipose: a high-precision morphology-independent solution

for bacterial cell segmentation. *Nature Methods 2022 19:11* 19: 1438–1448

Datsenko KA & Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in

Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97: 6640–5

Devanand K & Selser JC (1991) Asymptotic behavior and long-range interactions in

aqueous solutions of poly(ethylene oxide). *Macromolecules* 24: 5943–5947

Diner EJ, Garza-Sánchez F & Hayes CS (2011) Genome Engineering Using Targeted

Oligonucleotide Libraries and Functional Selection. In *Bone*, Williams JA (ed) pp 71–

82. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press

Dworkin J & Harwood CS (2022) Metabolic Reprogramming and Longevity in

Quiescence. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 76: 91–111

Elowitz MB, Surette MG, Wolf P-E, Stock JB & Leibler S (1999) Protein Mobility in the

Cytoplasm of *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 181: 197–203

Erickson HP (2009) Size and shape of protein molecules at the nanometer level

determined by sedimentation, gel filtration, and electron microscopy. *Biol Proced*

Online 11: 32–51 doi:10.1007/s12575-009-9008-x [PREPRINT]

Feijó Delgado F, Cermak N, Hecht VC, Son S, Li Y, Knudsen SM, Olcum S, Higgins JM,

Chen J, Grover WH, *et al* (2013) Intracellular Water Exchange for Measuring the Dry

Mass, Water Mass and Changes in Chemical Composition of Living Cells. *PLoS One*

8: e67590

Floc'h K, Lacroix F, Servant P, Wong Y-S, Kleman J-P, Bourgeois D & Timmins J (2019) Cell morphology and nucleoid dynamics in dividing Deinococcus radiodurans. *Nat Commun* 10: 3815

Gangola P & Rosen BP (1987) Maintenance of intracellular calcium in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 262: 12570–12574

de Gennes PG & Witten TA (1980) *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics*. *Phys Today* 33: 51–54

Govers SK, Campos M, Tyagi B, Laloux G & Jacobs-Wagner C (2024) Apparent simplicity and emergent robustness in the control of the Escherichia coli cell cycle. *Cell Syst* 15: 19-36.e5

Govons S, Vinopal R, Ingraham J & Preiss J (1969) Isolation of mutants of Escherichia coli B altered in their ability to synthesize glycogen. *J Bacteriol* 97: 970–972

Gray W, Govers SK, Xiang Y, Parry BR, Campos M, Kim S & Jacobs-Wagner Correspondence C (2019) Nucleoid Size Scaling and Intracellular Organization of Translation across Bacteria Nucleoid size scaling Continuum of NC ratios across species NC ratio. *Cell* 177: 1632-1648.e20

Gründel M, Scheunemann R, Lockau W & Zilliges Y (2012) Impaired glycogen synthesis causes metabolic overflow reactions and affects stress responses in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. *Microbiology (United Kingdom)* 158: 3032–3043

Hedge PJ & Spratt BG (1985) Resistance to β-lactam antibiotics by re-modelling the active site of an E. coli penicillin-binding protein. *Nature 1985 318:6045* 318: 478–480

Henrissat B, Deleury E & Coutinho PM (2002) Glycogen metabolism loss: a common

marker of parasitic behaviour in bacteria? *Trends in Genetics* 18: 437–440

Hink MA, Griep RA, Borst JW, van Hoek A, Eppink MHM, Schots A & Visser AJWG (2000)

Structural Dynamics of Green Fluorescent Protein Alone and Fused with a Single

Chain Fv Protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 275: 17556–17560

Hoang AY, Azaldegui CA, Ghalmi M, Biteen JS & Vecchiarelli AG (2023) An experimental

framework to assess biomolecular condensates in bacteria.

Holme T, Laurent T, Palmstierna H, Magnéli A, Magnéli A, Pestmalis H & Åsbrink S (1957)

On the Glycogen in Escherichia coli B; Variations in Molecular Weight during Growth.

I. *Acta Chem Scand* 11: 757–762

Holme T, Palmstierna H, Ulfvarson U, Stenhagen E & Thorell B (1956) Changes in

Glycogen and Nitrogen-containing Compounds in Escherichia coli B during Growth

in Deficient Media. I. Nitrogen and Carbon Starvation. *Acta Chem Scand* 10: 578–

586

Hyman AA, Weber CA & Jülicher F (2014) Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology. *Annu*

Rev Cell Dev Biol 30: 39–58 doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013325

[PREPRINT]

Ingraham JL, Maaløe O & Neidhardt FC (1983) Growth of the bacterial cell Sunderland

(Mass.) : Sinauer associates

Jensen KF (1993) The Escherichia coli K-12 'wild types' W3110 and MG1655 have an

rph frameshift mutation that leads to pyrimidine starvation due to low pyrE expression

levels. *J Bacteriol* 175: 3401–3407

Jones SA, Jorgensen M, Chowdhury FZ, Rodgers R, Hartline J, Leatham MP, Struve C,

967  Krogfelt KA, Cohen PS & Conway T (2008) Glycogen and Maltose Utilization by

968  Escherichia coli O157:H7 in the Mouse Intestine. *Infect Immun* 76: 2531

969 Julius K, Weine J, Gao M, Latarius J, Elbers M, Paulus M, Tolan M & Winter R (2019)

970  Impact of Macromolecular Crowding and Compression on Protein-Protein

971  Interactions and Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation Phenomena. *Macromolecules* 52:

972  1772–1784

973 Khemici V, Poljak L, Luisi BF & Carpousis AJ (2008) The RNase E of Escherichia coli is

974  a membrane-binding protein. *Mol Microbiol* 70: 799–813

975 Klotz A & Forchhammer K (2017) Glycogen, a major player for bacterial survival and

976  awakening from dormancy. *Future Microbiol* 12: 101–104

977 Klotz A, Georg J, Bučinská L, Watanabe S, Reimann V, Januszewski W, Sobotka R,

978  Jendrossek D, Hess WR & Forchhammer K (2016) Awakening of a Dormant

979  Cyanobacterium from Nitrogen Chlorosis Reveals a Genetically Determined

980  Program. *Current Biology* 26: 2862–2872

981 Konopka MC, Sochacki KA, Bratton BP, Shkel IA, Record MT & Weisshaar JC (2009)

982  Cytoplasmic Protein Mobility in Osmotically Stressed *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol*

983  191: 231–237

984 Kubitschek HE, Baldwin WW & Graetzer R (1983) Buoyant density constancy during the

985  cell cycle of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* 155: 1027–1032

986 Kubitschek HE & Friske JA (1986) Determination of bacterial cell volume with the Coulter

987  Counter. *J Bacteriol* 168: 1466–1467

Landgraf D, Okumus B, Chien P, Baker TA & Paulsson J (2012) Segregation of molecules

at cell division reveals native protein localization. *Nat Methods* 9: 480–482

Li XT, Jun Y, Erickstad MJ, Brown SD, Parks A, Court DL & Jun S (2016) tCRISPRi:

tunable and reversible, one-step control of gene expression. *Scientific Reports 2016*

6:1 6: 1–12

Liu Q, Li J, Zhang W, Xiao C, Zhang S, Nian C, Li J, Su D, Chen L, Zhao Q, *et al* (2021a)

Glycogen accumulation and phase separation drives liver tumor initiation. *Cell* 184:

5559-5576.e19

Liu Q-H, Tang J-W, Wen P-B, Wang M-M, Zhang X & Wang L (2021b) From Prokaryotes

to Eukaryotes: Insights Into the Molecular Structure of Glycogen Particles. *Front Mol*

Biosci 8: 1–15

Loferer-Krössbacher M, Klima J & Psenner R (1998) Determination of bacterial cell dry

mass by transmission electron microscopy and densitometric image analysis. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol 64: 688–94

Lukatsky DB & Shakhnovich EI (2008) Statistically enhanced promiscuity of structurally

correlated patterns. *Phys Rev E* 77: 020901

Lutkenhaus J (2008) Min oscillation in bacteria. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 641: 49–61

Lvov Y, Ariga K, Ichinose I & Kunitake T (1996) Molecular film assembly via layer-by-

layer adsorption of oppositely charged macromolecules (linear polymer, protein and

clay) and concanavalin A and glycogen. *Thin Solid Films* 284–285: 797–801

Madsen NB (1963) The biological control of glycogen metabolism in Agrobacterium

tumefaciens. *Can J Biochem Physiol* 41: 561–571

Milo R (2013) What is the total number of protein molecules per cell volume? A call to

rethink some published values. *BioEssays* 35: 1050–1055

Mohapatra S & Weisshaar JC (2018) Functional mapping of the E. coli translational

machinery using single-molecule tracking. *Mol Microbiol* 110: 262–282

Morcombe CR & Zilm KW (2003) Chemical shift referencing in MAS solid state NMR.

Journal of Magnetic Resonance 162: 479–486

Morita RY (1990) The starvation-survival state of microorganisms in nature and its

relationship to the bioavailable energy. *Experientia* 46: 813–817

Mulder EG, Deinema MH, van Veen WL & Zevenhuizen LPTM (1962) Polysaccharides,

lipids and poly-β-hydroxybutyrate in microorganisms. *Recueil des Travaux*

Chimiques des Pays-Bas 81: 797–809

Neidhardt FC & Curtiss R (1996) Escherichia coli and Salmonella : cellular and molecular

biology

Nilsson M, Bülow L & Wahlund K-G (1997) Use of flow field-flow fractionation for the rapid

quantitation of ribosome and ribosomal subunits inEscherichia coli at different protein

production conditions. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 54: 461–467

Nyström T (2004) Stationary-Phase Physiology. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 58: 161–181

Nyström T & Kjelleberg S (1989) Role of Protein Synthesis in the Cell Division and

Starvation Induced Resistance to Autolysis of a Marine Vibrio during the Initial Phase

of Starvation. *Microbiology (N Y)* 135: 1599–1606

Paintdakhi A, Parry B, Campos M, Irnov I, Elf J, Surovtsev I & Jacobs-Wagner C (2016)

Oufti: an integrated software package for high-accuracy, high-throughput quantitative

microscopy analysis. *Mol Microbiol* 99: 767–77

Pelletier JF, Field CM, Coughlin M, Ryazanova L, Sonnett M, Wühr M & Mitchison TJ

(2021) Glycogen-dependent demixing of frog egg cytoplasm at increased crowding.

1–21

Persson LB, Ambati VS & Brandman O (2020) Cellular Control of Viscosity Counters

Changes in Temperature and Energy Availability. *Cell* 183: 1572-1585.e16

Phillips LA, Hotham-Iglewski B & Franklin RM (1969) Polyribosomes of Escherichia coli:

II. Experiments to determine the in vivo distribution of polysomes, ribosomes and

ribosomal subunits. *J Mol Biol* 45: 23–38

Pogliano J, Pogliano K, Weiss DS, Losick R & Beckwith J (1997) Inactivation of FtsI

inhibits constriction of the FtsZ cytokinetic ring and delays the assembly of FtsZ rings

at potential division sites

Potma EO, De Boeij WP, Bosgraaf L, Roelofs J, Van Haastert PJM & Wiersma DA (2001)

Reduced Protein Diffusion Rate by Cytoskeleton in Vegetative and Polarized

Dictyostelium Cells. *Biophys J* 81: 2010–2019

Preiss J (1984) Bacterial glycogen synthesis and its regulation. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 38:

419–58

Preiss J & Romeo T (1990) Physiology, Biochemistry and Genetics of Bacterial Glycogen

Synthesis. In pp 183–238.

Preiss J & Romeo T (1994) Molecular biology and regulatory aspects of glycogen

biosynthesis in bacteria. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 47: 299–329

Racki LR, Tocheva EI, Dieterle MG, Sullivan MC, Jensen GJ & Newman DK (2017)

Polyphosphate granule biogenesis is temporally and functionally tied to cell cycle exit

1055 during starvation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:

1056 E2440–E2449

1057 Rolinson GN (1980) Effect of beta-lactam antibiotics on bacterial cell growth rate. *J Gen*

1058 *Microbiol* 120: 317–323

1059 Rostam N, Ghosh S, Chow CFW, Hadarovich A, Landerer C, Ghosh R, Moon HK,

1060 Hersemann L, Mitrea DM, Klein IA, *et al* (2023) CD-CODE: crowdsourcing

1061 condensate database and encyclopedia. *Nat Methods* 20: 673–676

1062 Sanamrad A, Persson F, Lundius EG, Fange D, Gynnå AH & Elf J (2014) Single-particle

1063 tracking reveals that free ribosomal subunits are not excluded from the Escherichia

1064 coli nucleoid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: 11413–11418

1065 Schaefer J & Stejskal EO (1976) Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Polymers

1066 Spinning at the Magic Angle. *J Am Chem Soc* 98: 1031–1032

1067 Schavemaker PE, Śmigiel WM & Poolman B (2017) Ribosome surface properties may

1068 impose limits on the nature of the cytoplasmic proteome. *Elife* 6

1069 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,

1070 Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, *et al* (2012) Fiji: An open-source platform for

1071 biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9: 676–682

1072 Schultz SG, Wilson NL & Epstein W (1962) Cation Transport in Escherichia coli. *Journal*

1073 *of General Physiology* 46: 159–166

1074 Sekar K, Linker SM, Nguyen J, Grünhagen A, Stocker R & Sauer U (2020) Bacterial

1075 Glycogen Provides Short-Term Benefits in Changing Environments. *Appl Environ*

1076 *Microbiol* 86

Sharp KA (2015) Analysis of the size dependence of macromolecular crowding shows

that smaller is better. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112: 7990–7995

Sherratt DJ (2003) Bacterial chromosome dynamics. *Science (1979)* 301: 780–785

Shi H, Westfall CS, Kao J, Odermatt PD, Anderson SE, Cesar S, Sievert M, Moore J,

Gonzalez CG, Zhang L, *et al* (2021) Starvation induces shrinkage of the bacterial

cytoplasm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118: 2104686118

Shih YL & Zheng M (2013) Spatial control of the cell division site by the Min system in

Escherichia coli. *Environ Microbiol* 15: 3229–3239

Shively JM (1974) Inclusion Bodies of Prokaryotes. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 28: 167–188

Sigal N, Cattaneo J & Segel IH (1964) Glycogen accumulation by wild-type and uridine

diphosphate glucose pyrophosphorylase-negative strains of Escherichia coli. *Arch*

Biochem Biophys 108: 440–451

Skurat A V., Segvich DM, Depaoli-Roach AA & Roach PJ (2017) Novel method for

detection of glycogen in cells. *Glycobiology* 27: 416–424

Smith WC, Qu H, Zheng K, Baek JH, Gao Y, Buehler PW, Feng X & Xu X (2023)

Determining critical overlap concentration of polyethylene oxide to support excipient

safety assessment of opioid products. *Int J Pharm* 632

Strahl H, Turlan C, Khalid S, Bond PJ, Kebalo JM, Peyron P, Poljak L, Bouvier M, Hamoen

L, Luisi BF, *et al* (2015) Membrane Recognition and Dynamics of the RNA

Degradosome. *PLoS Genet* 11: e1004961

Strange RE, Dark FA & Ness AG (1961) The Survival of Stationary Phase Aerobacter

aerogenes Stored in Aqueous Suspension. *J Gen Microbiol* 25: 61–76

Stylianidou S, Brennan C, Nissen SB, Kuwada NJ & Wiggins PA (2016) SuperSegger:

robust image segmentation, analysis and lineage tracking of bacterial cells. *Mol*

Microbiol 102: 690–700

Surovtsev I V. & Jacobs-Wagner C (2018) Subcellular Organization: A Critical Feature of

Bacterial Cell Replication. *Cell* 172: 1271–1293

Swaminathan R, Hoang CP & Verkman AS (1997) Photobleaching recovery and

anisotropy decay of green fluorescent protein GFP-S65T in solution and cells:

cytoplasmic viscosity probed by green fluorescent protein translational and rotational

diffusion. *Biophys J* 72: 1900–1907

Szatmári D, Sárkány P, Kocsis B, Nagy T, Miseta A, Barkó S, Longauer B, Robinson RC

& Nyitrai M (2020) Intracellular ion concentrations and cation-dependent remodelling

of bacterial MreB assemblies. *Sci Rep* 10: 12002

Thomason LC, Costantino N & Court DL (2007) E. coli Genome Manipulation by P1

Transduction. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 79

Wang L, Liu Q, Tan X, Wang Z, Wang M, Wise MJ, Li C, Ma C, Li E, Deng B, *et al* (2019a)

Molecular Structure of Glycogen in Escherichia coli. *Biomacromolecules* 20: 2821–

2829

Wang L & Wise MJ (2011) Glycogen with short average chain length enhances bacterial

durability. *Naturwissenschaften* 98: 719–729

Wang M, Liu Q, Kang X, Zhu Z, Yang H, Xi X, Zhang X, Du Y, Guo M, Tang D, *et al* (2020)

Glycogen Metabolism Impairment via Single Gene Mutation in the glgBXCAP Operon

Alters the Survival Rate of Escherichia coli Under Various Environmental Stresses.

Front Microbiol 11

Wang Z, Zhang G & Zhang H (2019b) Protocol for analyzing protein liquid–liquid phase

separation. *Biophys Rep* 5: 1–9

Wilson WA, Roach PJ, Montero M, Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Eydallin G, Viale AM

& Pozueta-Romero J (2010) Regulation of glycogen metabolism in yeast and

bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 34: 952–985

Woldringh CL, Mulder E, Valkenburg JAC, Wientjes FB, Zaritsky A & Nanninga N (1990)

Role of the nucleoid in the toporegulation of division. *Res Microbiol* 141: 39–49

Yoshioka K, Saito M, Oh K-B, Nemoto Y, Matsuoka H, Natsume M & Abe H (1996a)

Intracellular Fate of 2-NBDG, a Fluorescent Probe for Glucose Uptake Activity, in

Escherichia coli Cells. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 60: 1899–1901

Yoshioka K, Takahashi H, Homma T, Saito M, Oh K-B, Nemoto Y & Matsuoka H (1996b)

A novel fluorescent derivative of glucose applicable to the assessment of glucose

uptake activity of Escherichia coli. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General*

Subjects 1289: 5–9

Zevenhuizen LPTM (1966) Formation and function of the glycogen-like polysaccharide

ofArthrobacter. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 32: 356–372

Zhu Y, Fan Z, Wang R, Xie R, Guo H, Zhang M, Guo B, Sun T, Zhang H, Zhuo L, *et al*

(2020) Single-Cell Analysis for Glycogen Localization and Metabolism in Cultured

Astrocytes. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 40: 801–812

1141

1142

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig 1: Mean single-cell features change as the cell density of the culture increases.

Except for panel A for which the same culture was analyzed across the entire growth curve, samples of different cultures of CJW4677 were collected at 42 different ODs (n > 500 cells for each OD) for cell imaging. Shown are binned data for the indicated OD range, with the mean describing the averaged mean value for cell samples from three OD values and error bars indicating the standard deviation. Grey, red, and blue shades indicate exponential, transition, and stationary phases, respectively.

A Growth curves of two independent cultures of strain CJW4677. OD measurements were manually collected by spectrophotometry over time.

B Scatter plot of OD vs. mean cell area.

C Same as panel B but for mean number of nucleoids per cell.

D Scatter plot of OD vs. fraction of cells with one nucleoid.

E Same as panel D but for the fraction of constricting cells in the population.

F Scatter plot of OD vs. mean division asymmetry, which was calculated by dividing the absolute distance of the division position from the cell center by cell length and averaging across cells. This was done for cultures at ODs at which the fraction of dividing cells in the population was greater than 0.05.

G Scatter plot of OD vs mean nucleoid asymmetry, which was determined by calculating the absolute distance between the nucleoid mid-point and cell center, normalized for cell length. Note that for cells with two nucleoids, their relative mid-points were averaged such that when the center of each nucleoid was positioned at the relative quarter cell positions, the mean mid-point was at the cell center.

Fig 2: The localization pattern of cytoplasmic components changes in transition

phase.

A Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of DAPI-labeled CJW7325

cells expressing RplA-mCherry and msfGFP, along with corresponding cell signal

intensity profiles. Cultures were in exponential phase (OD 0.14) or transition phase (OD

1.83), as indicated. Fluorescence intensity is indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar:

2 µm

B Distributions of signal correlation factor (SCF) values between DAPI and RplA-mCherry

for exponential (n = 1242 cells) and transition (n = 1444 cells) phases.

C The same as panel B but for DAPI and msfGFP.

D Representative images of DAPI-stained CJW5685 cells expressing RNase E ΔMTS

labeled with mCherry in exponential (OD 0.1) and transition phases (OD 1.30) along with

signal intensity profiles for the indicated (*) cell. Scale bar: 2 µm

E Distributions of SCF values between DAPI and RNase E ΔMTS-mCherry for

exponential (n = 281 cells) and transition (n = 3174 cells) phases.

1181

Fig 3: Subcellular rearrangement of the cytoplasm is not driven by inner membrane

collapse or nucleoid association.

A Images of MitoTracker Green-labeled cells (CJW7324) in exponential and transition

phase. Fluorescence intensities are indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Signal intensity

profiles are provided for the cells indicated by asterisks. White arrows indicate signal

depletion at a pole. Scale bar: 2 µm

B Timelapse sequence of a cell (CJW5159) expressing RplA-GFP and HupA-mCherry,

with N and O indicating the new and old poles, respectively. Cells in late exponential

phase (OD ~0.5) were washed in spent medium from a transition-phase culture (OD =

2.63) and spotted on an agarose pad containing the same spent medium. The RplA-GFP

images were scaled to reflect the 5-95% range of signal intensity for each image. Next to

the image series is the signal intensity profile for the cell indicated by an asterisk. Scale

bar: 2 µm

C Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of cephalexin-treated and

DAPI-labeled CJW7325 cells carrying RplA-mCherry and msfGFP in transition phase,

with the corresponding signal intensity profiles. Fluorescence intensities are indicated in

arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar: 5 µm

D Same as C but showing a band of enriched msfGFP signal (purple arrowhead) in the

region lacking DAPI staining (grey bracket). Scale bar: 5 µm

E Phase contrast and fluorescence images of a CJW7326 cell carrying HupA-mCherry

and msfGFP, with the corresponding signal intensity profile. Scale bar: 1 µm

1203

Fig 4: Glycogen accumulation in transition phase drives cellular rearrangement.

A Representative relative whole cell ^{13}C CPMAS spectral overlays of WT cell extracts

(strain CJW2168) from cultures in exponential vs. transition phase (top), purified bovine

and mussel glycogen (middle), and WT vs. Δ*glgBXCAP* (CJW7537) cell extracts from

transition phase cultures (bottom). Dashed rectangle indicates sugar carbon region of

spectrum.

B Representative images of WT (CJW7606) and Δ*glgBXCAP* (CJW7607) cells in

transition phase (OD 2.7) labeled with DAPI and expressing mScarlet and the glycogen

sensor, along with the signal intensity profiles for indicated (*) cells. Fluorescence

intensities are indicated in arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar: 2 µm

C Distributions of SCF values of the glycogen sensor vs. DAPI or mScarlet for WT cells

(n = 3591) in transition phase.

D Density contour plot showing the area difference of glycogen sensor signal between

the cell poles normalized by the cell area versus the nucleoid asymmetry (n = 5794 cells).

The latter was calculated by determining the offset of the nucleoid mid-point from cell

center normalized to cell length. The contour lines represent the 0.10, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.50,

0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and 0.9 probability envelopes of the data. Spearman correlation coefficient

(ρ) is 0.748 (p-value = 0).

E Example phase contrast and fluorescence images of DAPI-labeled CJW7606 cell

carrying mScarlet and the glycogen sensor. The cell was from a culture in transition phase

following cephalexin treatment. Grey bracket indicates DNA-free region where glycogen

accumulation (orange arrowheads) sandwiches a band of RplA-mCherry enrichment

(purple arrowhead). To the right is the corresponding signal intensity profile, where the

grey shading indicates the DNA free-region with bands of glycogen biosensor and RplA-

mCherry enrichment. Scale bar: 2 µm

1229

1230

1231

1232 **Fig 5. Mimicking intracellular conditions of crowding and ionic strength induces**

1233 **phase transition of glycogen into liquid condensates that partially exclude GFP.**

1234 **A** Plot showing dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements of the particle diameter size

1235 for PEG and PEO polymers of different molecular weights in IS buffer. Samples were

1236 measured in triplicate for 300 s at 25°C with a 90-degree detection angle. The line

1237 indicates the empirical relation (hydrodynamic diameter ($\sigma_{PEG/PEO}$) =

1238 $0.029 \ast MW_{PEG/PEO}^{(0.571 \pm 0.009)}$) determined by Devanand and Selser (1991).

1239 **B** Phase contrast and ConA-FITC fluorescence images of representative fields of view

1240 showing no LLPS (left), LLPS (center), and collapsed aggregates (right) obtained with the

1241 indicated concentrations of 3 kDa PEG. All samples were made using the IS buffer and

1242 contained 9 g/L of glycogen. Scale bar: 10 μm

1243 **C** Example montages of glycogen condensates labeled with ConA-FITC undergoing

1244 fusion events over time. Scale bar: 3 μm

1245 **D** Fluorescence images of ConA-FITC-labeled glycogen condensates imaged at t ~ 3 min

1246 and t ~ 30 min after the addition of 3 kDa PEG. Scale bar: 10 μm

1247 **E** Phase diagram of glycogen phases as a function of glycogen and 3 kDa PEG

1248 concentrations.

1249 **F** Plot showing the minimal concentration required to drive LLPS as a function of the

1250 average Stokes diameters for all tested PEG/PEO crowders. Phase separation was

1251 determined by assessing the formation of droplets using phase contrast imaging. The

1252 average diameter for each PEG/PEO crowder corresponds to the measurements shown

1253 in (A).

G Phase contrast images of representative fields of view of glycogen (10 g/L) in IS buffer

mixed with the indicated concentration and molecular weight of PEG or PEO (separately

or combined). Scale bar: 10 µm

H Phase contrast images of glycogen (10 g/L) and 3 kDa PEG (20 mM) mixtures in IS

buffer before and after a two-fold dilution. For both conditions, imaging was performed

within 1 min after mixing. Scale bar: 10 µm

I Phase contrast and GFP images of a representative field of view of glycogen (10g/L) in

IS buffer mixed with GFP (15 µM) and 3 kDa PEG (20 mM). Scale bar: 10 µm

1262

Fig 6: Asymmetry in glycogen accumulation creates cell size differences and

asymmetric cell divisions.

A Example images of an asymmetrically dividing cell (CJW7606) in transition phase. The

cell expresses the glycogen sensor and mScarlet. Fluorescence intensities are indicated

in arbitrary units (a.u.). Scale bar: 2 µm

B Scatter plot of the difference in cell area and the difference in the area occupied by the

glycogen sensor between future daughter cells. Constricting cells (n = 365) were divided

into two future daughter cells based on the cell constriction position (illustrated in the

inset). Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) is 0.54 (p-value = 1.28×10^{-27}).

C Schematic of co-cultured pairs of WT and Δ*glgBXCAP* cells constitutively expressing

mSCFP3 or mVenus.

D Plot showing the percentage difference in area between co-cultured WT and

Δ*glgBXCAP* cells carrying either mVenus (yellow) or mSCFP3 (cyan). The names of the

co-cultured strain pairs are Mix 1 (CJW7666/CJW7667) and Mix 2 (CJW7665/CJW7668).

Each marker (black diamond) corresponds to the average percent difference in cell area

(100%*((WT-Δ*glgBXCAP*) /Δ*glgBXCAP*)) for each co-culture biological replicate. It

corresponds to the mean of the distribution of the cell area difference statistics generated

using bootstrapping for each biological replicate (n = 500 samplings). Error bars

correspond to the standard deviations of the combined distributions of size differences

across all biological replicates.

E Density contour plots of the relative division position as a function of the nucleoid

centroid position in dividing cells for transition-phase cells (n > 123) from co-cultured pairs

shown in panel D. The values +0.5 and -0.5 represent cell poles while 0 corresponds to

the cell center. Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) for WT cells is 0.893 (p-value = 0) for

mix 1 and 0.836 (p-value = 0) for mix 2.

TABLES

Table 1. Calculated concentrations of glycogen and proteins in _E. coli_ in different

growth conditions.

Glycogen			
Reported (mg/g dry weight)	Conversion (g/L)	Conditions	References
13	1.43	Exponential phase, 1% glucose in enriched medium (with yeast extract) at 37°C	(Govons _et al_, 1969; Preiss & Romeo, 1994)
2.5	8.25	Exponential phase, glucose minimal media at 37°C, 40 min division rate	(Ingraham _et al_, 1983)
2.8-4.4	9.24-14.52	Stationary phase, LB at 37°C	(Wang _et al_, 2019a)
19	20.9	Stationary phase, 1% glucose in enriched medium (with yeast extract) at 37°C	(Preiss & Romeo, 1994; Govons _et al_, 1969)
Proteins			
Reported	Conversion (mM)	Conditions	References
2.35×10^6 proteins per cell	4.48	Exponential phase, glucose minimal medium at 37°C, 40 min division rate	(Neidhardt & Curtiss, 1996)
0.24 g/mL	9.6-12	Calculated for proteins between 20 and 25 kDa	(Ingraham _et al_, 1983)

1291

Glycogen and protein concentrations used in our study were taken from reported values

for _E. coli_ cells growing in different conditions. Glycogen concentrations from dry weight

measurements (mg/g cells) were converted to wet weight values using a water content

value of 70% (Bremer & Dennis, 2008; Feijó Delgado _et al_, 2013). To obtain the

concentrations in g/L, we used a cell density of 1.1 g/mL (Kubitschek _et al_, 1983;

Loferer-Krössbacher _et al_, 1998). To calculate the protein concentrations in mM from

proteins per cell, we used a cell volume of 0.86 μm^3 (Kubitschek & Friske, 1986; Milo,

2013). To obtain the mM concentrations from g/mL, we used the indicated molecular

weight range.

1301

1302 **Table 2. Calculated concentrations of ribosomes and polysomes used in this**

1303 **study.**

Ribosomes per cell	Conditions	Cell volume (μm^3)	Estimated ribosome concentration (μM)	Estimated polysome concentration (μM)	References
3500	Stationary phase, LB at 37°C	1	5.8	1.16	(Nilsson *et al*, 1997)
15000	Exponential phase, M9Gly at 37°C, 60 min doubling time	1	24.9	4.98	(Bremer & Dennis, 2008)
61000	Exponential phase, M9GluCAA at 37°C, 24 min doubling time	3.5	28.9	5.79	(Bremer & Dennis, 2008)

1304

1305 Concentrations were determined from the reported numbers of ribosomes per cell using

1306 the Avogadro constant to calculate number of moles per cell, then dividing by the reported

1307 cell volume values indicated (Floc'h *et al*, 2019; Kubitschek & Friske, 1986). For

1308 polysomes, an active fraction of 0.8 percent was used (Bremer & Dennis, 2008) and a

1309 polysome was assumed to consist of four ribosomes (Brandt *et al*, 2009).

1310

1311

1312 **Table 3. Conditions that resulted in fluorescent protein (FP) exclusion from**

1313 **glycogen LLPS in vitro.**

PEG/PEO (MW)	PEG/PEO concentration	Temperature (°C)	FP	Buffer	FP exclusion
1.5 kDa	60 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	37	GFP	IS	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	25	GFP	IS without MgCl$_2$	Yes
3 kDa	20 mM	25	mCherry	IS	Yes
4 kDa	15 mM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
4 kDa	15 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
4 kDa	15 mM	37	GFP	IS	Yes
8 kDa	3 mM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
8 kDa	3 mM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
20 kDa	750 µM	30	GFP	IS	Yes
100 kDa	20 µM	25	GFP	IS	Yes
1 MDa	10 µM	25	GFP	IS	Yes

1314

1315 Summary of the assays performed for testing the exclusion of FPs by glycogen liquid

1316 condensates. FP exclusion was detected by phase contrast and fluorescence imaging.

1317 For all assays, 10 g/L of glycogen and 15 µM of the FP (GFP or mCherry) were used.

1318   **Table 4: Strains used in this study.**

Identifier	Genotype	Source or construction method
MG1655	F-lambda- *ilvG- rfb-50 rph*-1	(Jensen, 1993)
CJW4677	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-gfp*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)
CJW5685	MG1655 *rne*::*rneΔMTS-mCherry*	From Dr. Sangjin Kim
CJW7006	MG1655/pEB2-mScarlet-I	Gift from Philippe Cluzel; AddGene plasmid #104007. (Balleza *et al*, 2018)
CJW7020	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-msfGFP*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)
CJW7021	MG1655 *rpsB*::*rpsB-msfGFP*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)
CJW7083	MG1655 *attB*::*P$_{proC}$-msfGFP*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	pKD13 was amplified from plasmid pKD13-msfGFP (Gray *et al*, 2019) using primers YP32 and YP33, with YP32 encoding a transcriptional terminator sequence. *msfGFP* was also amplified from this plasmid using YP34 and YP35. Constitutive synthetic promoter *P$_{proC}$* was amplified from CJW7006 using primers YP36 and YP37, with YP37 also encoding the ribosome binding site L3S1P13 (Chen *et al*, 2013), linker sequence and start codon. These pieces were assembled using Gibson assembly. The construct was then integrated into the chromosome of MG1655 at the *attB* site using lambda red recombination.
CJW7275	MG1655 *attB*::*P$_{proC}$-msfGFP*	The kanamycin resistance cassette of CJW7083 was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7324	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*	(Gray *et al*, 2019)
CJW7325	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry attB*::*P$_{proC}$-msfGFP*	*attB*::PproC-msfGFP - KAN from CJW7083 was moved into CJW7324 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and GFP fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently

		equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7326	MG1655 *attB*::*P_{proC}-msfGFP hupA*::*hupA-mCherry*	*hupA-mCherry*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray *et al*, 2019) was moved into CJW7275 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7485	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(-30)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(-30).
CJW7486	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(-7)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(-7).
CJW7487	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(0)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(0).
CJW7488	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+7)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+7).
CJW7489	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+11a)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+11a).
CJW7490	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+11b)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+11b).
CJW7491	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+15)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+15).
CJW7492	MG1655 *rplA*::*rplA-mCherry*/pBAD-GFP(+25)	CJW7324 was transformed with plasmid pBAD-GFP(+25).
CJW7537	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP*-FRT-*kan*-FRT	The glycogen operon was replaced with a kanamycin cassette using lambda red from the plasmid pSIM6 (Diner *et al*, 2011) using gene block gb_1. Candidate colonies we confirmed by PCR.

CJW7587	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP*	The kanamycin resistance cassette from CJW7537 was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7588	MG1655 *lacY(A177C) araFGH*::*spec* Δ*lacI* Δ*araE araBAD*::*dCas9 galM <PBBa-J23119-sgRNA(ftsZ)-(S. pyogenes* terminator)-(*rrnB* terminator)> *gmpA attB*::P*~proC~*-*msfGFP*	*attB*::P*~proC~*-*msfGFP*-FRT-*kan*- FRT from CJW7083 was moved into SJ_XTL229 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and GFP fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7601	MG1655 Tn7::P*~tac~*-*GFPmut3-CBM20* FRT-*cat*-FRT	Plasmid pNDL-1-P*~tac~*-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-CmR-FRT was transformed into MG1655. Colonies were screened for ampicillin resistance at 30°C. Integration was then carried out by incubating at 37°C and selecting for chloramphenicol resistance
CJW7604	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* Tn7::P*~tac~*-*GFPmut3-CBM20*-FRT-*cat*-FRT	Plasmid pNDL-1-P*~tac~* -GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-CmR-FRT was transformed into CJW7587. Colonies were screened for ampicillin resistance at 30°C. Integration was then carried out by incubating at 37°C and selecting for chloramphenicol resistance
CJW7606	MG1655 Tn7:: P*~tac~* -*GFPmut3-CBM20*-FRT-*cat*-FRT	CJW7601 was transformed with pEB2-mScarlet-I.
CJW7607	MG1655 Δ*glgBXCAP* Tn7::P*~tac~*-*GFPmut3-CBM20* FRT-*cat* -FRT	CJW7604 was transformed with pEB2-mScarlet-I.
CJW7660	MG1655 *hupA*::*hupA-mCherry*	*hupA-mCherry*-FRT-*kan*-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray *et al*, 2019) was moved into MG1655 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).

CJW7661	MG1655 ΔglgBXCAP hupA::hupA-mCherry	hupA-mCherry-FRT-kan-FRT from CJW5158 (Gray et al, 2019) was moved into CJW7587 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and mCherry fluorescence. The kanamycin resistance cassette was excised by transiently equipping this strain with plasmid pCP20, expressing the Flp site specific recombinase (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).
CJW7665	MG1655 hupA::hupA-mCherry Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT	Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT from JP1456 was moved into CJW7660 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and CFP fluorescence.
CJW7666	MG1655 hupA::hupA-mCherry Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-mVenus-FRT-kan-FRT	Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-mVenus-FRT-kan- FRT from JP1457 was moved into CJW7660 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and YFP fluorescence.
CJW7667	MG1655 ΔglgBXCAP hupA::hupA-mCherry Tn7:: P_{Rps}-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT	Tn7:: P_{Rps}-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT from JP1456 was moved into CJW7661 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and CFP fluorescence.
CJW7668	MG1655 ΔglgBXCAP hupA::hupA-mCherry Tn7:: P_{Rps}-mVenus-FRT-kan-FRT	Tn7::P_{Rps}-mVenus-FRT-kan- FRT from JP1457 was moved into CJW7661 by P1 transduction. Colonies were screened for kanamycin resistance and YFP fluorescence.
CJW7718	MG1655 ΔglgBXCAP /pEB2-mScarlet-I	CJW7587 was transformed with plasmid pEB2-mScarlet-I.
JP1456	MG1655 Tn7:: P_{RpsL}-mSCFP3-FRT-kan-FRT	Gift from Johan Paulsson
JP1457	MG1655 Tn7::P_{RpsL}-mVenus-FRT-kan-FRT	Gift from Johan Paulsson
SJ_XTL229	MG1655 lacY(A177C) araFGH::spec ΔlacI ΔaraE araBAD::dCas9 galM <PBBa-J23119-sgRNA(ftsZ)-(S. pyogenes terminator)-(rrnB terminator)> gmpA	(Li et al, 2016)

1319

1320 **Table 5. Plasmids used in this study.**

Identifier	Source or description method
pBAD-GFP (-30)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (-7)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (0)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (+7)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (+11a)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (+11b)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (+15)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pBAD-GFP (+25)	(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017)
pEB2-mScarlet-I	Gift from Philippe Cluzel; AddGene plasmid #104007 (Balleza *et al*, 2018)
pkD13-msfGFP	(Gray *et al*, 2019)
pNDL-1-P$_{tac}$-GFPmut3-CBM20 FRT-CmR-FRT	Gene block gb_2 was integrated into the pNDL-1 plasmid using BP clonase and colonies were isolated using counter selection for the CcdB toxin (Landgraf *et al*, 2012).

1321

1322

1323 **Table 6. Oligos used in this study.**

Identifier	Sequence (5' to 3')
gb_1	TCAATAATACCGGCAAGTCCGACACCCAGCATGGCAATAACCACCGCCAAA AATTGCGCCAGTATGGGGATGCCGAAAAAAGTCATTACCAGCGAGGTCAAA ATCCATTTTCTGTTTTGCATTATTCTTTCCATTCTTTTTGAATGGTGAAATTAT ACTCCCCGAGTCCCCTTGCCCCTTCTGGACACTTTTCCGAAATGATGGCGG AAAAAAACGGGACCCTTTGGCCCCGTTCTATTTATTGGTGAACGTGTAGGC TGGAGCTGCTTCGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCGGAATA GGAACTTCAAGATCCCCTTATTAGAAGAACTCGTCAAGAAGGCGATAGAAG GCGATGCGCTGCGAATCGGGAGCGGCGATACCGTAAAGCACGAGGAAGC GGTCAGCCCATTCGCCGCCAAGCTCTTCAGCAATATCACGGGTAGCCAAC GCTATGTCCTGATAGCGGTCCGCCACACCCAGCCGGCCACAGTCGATGAA TCCAGAAAAGCGGCCATTTTCCACCATGATATTCGGCAAGCAGGCATCGCC ATGGGTCACGACGAGATCCTCGCCGTCGGGCATGCGCGCCTTGAGCCTG GCGAACAGTTCGGCTGGCGCGAGCCCCTGATGCTCTTCGTCCAGATCATC CTGATCGACAAGACCGGCTTCCATCCGAGTACGTGCTCGCTCGATGCGAT GTTTCGCTTGGTGGTCGAATGGGCAGGTAGCCGGATCAAGCGTATGCAGC CGCCGCATTGCATCAGCCATGATGGATACTTTCTCGGCAGGAGCAAGGTG AGATGACAGGAGATCCTGCCCCGGCACTTCGCCCAATAGCAGCCAGTCCC TTCCCGCTTCAGTGACAACGTCGAGCACAGCTGCGCAAGGAACGCCCGTC GTGGCCAGCCACGATAGCCGCGCTGCCTCGTCCTGCAGTTCATTCAGGGC ACCGGACAGGTCGGTCTTGACAAAAAGAACCGGGCGCCCCTGCGCTGACA GCCGGAACACGGCGGCATCAGAGCAGCCGATTGTCTGTTGTGCCCAGTCA TAGCCGAATAGCCTCTCCACCCAAGCGGCCGGAGAACCTGCGTGCAATCC ATCTTGTTCAATCATGCGAAACGATCCTCATCCTGTCTCTTGATCAGATCTT GATCCCCTGCGCCATCAGATCCTTGGCGGCAAGAAAGCCATCCAGTTTACT TTGCAGGGCTTCCCAACCTTACCAGAGGGCGCCCCAGCTGGCAATTCCGG TTCGCTTGCTGTCCATAAAACCGCCCAGTCTAGCTATCGCCATGTAAGCCC ACTGCAAGCTACCTGCTTTCTCTTTGCGCTTGCGTTTTCCCTTGTCCAGATA GCCCAGTAGCTGACATTCATCCGGGGTCAGCACCGTTTCTGCGGACTGGC TTTCTACGTGTTCCGCTTCCTTTAGCAGCCCTTGCGCCCTGAGTGCTTGCG GCAGCGTGAGCTTCAAAAGCGCTCTGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATA GGAACTTCGGCTTGTCTTCCTGTTTTATTGTGTCACCCCATCCAATTGGATT TTTGACTTCTGGTTGTGACATTTTTTTGACCTGAACGGCGCAGCACTCTGTG CATCCTCTCTGCGTCGTCCTCACTTCAGGTAAGGCTGTGAATACTCATGTA TTCAGCCACCCTTAAAGAATAGCCAATGCTCTATTTAACTCCCGGTAAATCA TGAAACATCTGCGCTTACTCCTGTATTACGCACTAACAGGGGCGGCATCGC GCCCCAGATTTAATGAATAAAGATTACGCCAGTT
gb_2	CACCGAATTCCCCGGGGGGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTACGA CATCATAACGGTTCTGGCAAATATTCTGAAATGAGCTGTTGACAATTAATCA TCGGCTCGTATAATGTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA AACAGAATATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTCACTGGAGTTGTCCCAATTCT TGTTGAATTAGATGGTGATGTTAATGGGCACAAATTTTCTGTCAGTGGAGA GGGTGAAGGTGATGCAACATACGGAAAACTTACCCTTAAATTTATTTGCACT ACTGGAAAACTACCTGTTCCATGGCCAACACTTGTCACTACTTTCGGTTATG

	GTGTTCAATGCTTTGCGAGATACCCAGATCATATGAAACAGCATGACTTTTT CAAGAGTGCCATGCCCGAAGGTTATGTACAGGAAAGAACTATATTTTTCAA AGATGACGGGAACTACAAGACACGTGCTGAAGTCAAGTTTGAAGGTGATAC CCTTGTTAATAGAATCGAGTTAAAAGGTATTGATTTTAAAGAAGATGGAAAC ATTCTTGGACACAAATTGGAATACAACTATAACTCACACAATGTATACATCA TGGCAGACAAACAAAAGAATGGAATCAAAGTTAACTTCAAAATTAGACACAA CATTGAAGATGGAAGCGTTCAACTAGCAGACCATTATCAACAAAATACTCCA ATTGGCGATGGCCCTGTCCTTTTACCAGACAACCATTACCTGTCCACACAA TCTAAGCTTTCGAAAGATCCCAACGAAAAGAGAGACCACATGGTCCTTCTT GAGTTTGTAACAGCTGCTGGGATTACACATGGCATGGATGAACTATACAAA AGCGATTTCATGCCTGCCGGTTCTCAACAGGTTAGCGTTCGTTTTCAGGTA CACTACGTCACTTCCACCGACGTTCAGTTTATTGCAGTGACCGGCGATCAC GAGTGCCTGGGCCGCTGGAACACGTACATCCCTCTGCACTACAACAAAGA CGGTTTCTGGTCCCACAGCATCTTTCTGCCGGCGGATACCGTTGTGGAGT GGAAATTTGTTCTGGTTGAAAACGGTGGCGTTACGCGTTGGGAGGAATGTT CCAACCGTTTCCTGGAAACCGGTCACGAAGATAAGGTAGTTCACGCGTGG TGGGGTATCCATTAAATGTCCAGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTCTAGTTGGA TTCTCACCAATAAAAAACGCCCGGCGGCAACCGAGCGTTCTGAACAAATCC AGATGGAGTTCTGAGGTCATTACTGGATCTATCAACAGGAGTCCAAGCGAG CGAATTCTGCAGGTGTTAATTCAGGAGCATTGTTATCAGACCAAATATGTGT AGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCG GAATAGGAACTTCTTATCAAAAAGGATCTTCACCTAGATCCTTTTAAATTAAA AATGAAGTTTTAAATCAATCTAAAGTATATATGAGTAAACTTGGTCTGACAG CTCGAGGCGCTCGATATCAAATTACGCCCCGCCCTGCCACTCATCGCAGT ACTGTTGTAATTCATTAAGCATTCTGCCGACATGGAAGCCATCACAAACGG CATGATGAACCTGAATCGCCAGCGGCATCAGCACCTTGTCGCCTTGCGTAT AATATTTGCCCATGGTGAAAACGGGGGGCGAAGAAGTTGTCCATATTGGCCA CGTTTAAATCAAAACTGGTGAAACTCACCCAGGGATTGGCTGAGACGAAAA ACATATTCTCAATAAACCCTTTAGGGAAATAGGCCAGGTTTTCACCGTAACA CGCCACATCTTGCGAATATATGTGTAGAAACTGCCGGAAATCGTCGTGGTA TTCACTCCAGAGCGATGAAAACGTTTCAGTTTGCTCATGGAAAACGGTGTA ACAAGGGTGAACACTATCCCATATCACCAGCTCACCGTCTTTCATTGCCAT ACGAAATTCCGGATGAGCATTCATCAGGCGGGCAAGAATGTGAATAAAGG CCGGATAAAACTTGTGCTTATTTTTCTTTACGGTCTTTAAAAAGGCCGTAAT ATCCAGCTGAACGGTCTGGTTATAGGTACATTGAGCAACTGACTGAAATGC CTCAAAATGTTCTTTACGATGCCATTGGGATATATCAACGGTGGTATATCCA GTGATTTTTTTCTCCATTTTAGCTTCCTTAGCTCCTGAAAATCTCGATAACTC AAAAAATACGCCCGGTAGTGATCTTATTTCATTATGGTGAAAGTTGGAACCT CTTACGTGCCCGATCAACTCGAGTGCCACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTAT TATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCAGAATTTCAGA TAAAAAAAATCCTTAGCTTTCGCTAAGGATGATTTCTGGAAGCGCTCTGAAG TTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCGTACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAA GTGGTCCCCAAGCTTCTGCAGAGCT
YP32	TACTAGAGGACGAACAATAAGGCCTCCCTAACGGGGGGCCTTTTTTATTGA TAACAAAAGTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG
YP33	AATCGCTCAAGACGTGTAATGCTGCAATC

YP34	TCAAAAGGCGAAGAACTTTTTACCG
YP35	TAGGGAGGCCTTATTGTTCGTCCTCTAGTATTATTTATACAATTCATCCATTCCATGAGTGA
YP36	CCAGTGCCAAGCTTGCATGCAGATTGCAGCATTACACGTCTTGAGCGATTCACAGCTAACACCACGTCG
YP37	TACACCGGTAAAAAGTTCTTCGCCTTTTGACATCTAGTATTCTCCTCTTTCTCTAGTAAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATTTGTAGAGGGAAAC

1324

1325

1326 **EXPANDED VIEW FIGURE LEGENDS**

1327 **Fig EV1: Example images of cells in different growth phases.**

1328 Shown are overlays of two representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of

1329 DAPI-stained *E. coli* cells (CJW4677) in exponential (OD 0.34), transition (OD 2.35), and

1330 stationary phase (OD 3.24). Scale bar: 5 µm

1331

Fig EV2: Consistency of cytoplasmic probe localization in transition phase.

A Representative fluorescence images of DAPI-stained *E. coli* cells expressing different

ribosomal protein fusions in transition phase: RplA-GFP (CJW4677), RplA-msfGFP

(CJW7020), and RpsB-msfGFP (CJW7021). Arrowheads indicate cell areas of ribosome

depletion. Scale bar: 2 µm

B Representative fluorescence image of DAPI-stained *E. coli* cells (CJW7006) expressing

mScarlet in transition phase. Arrowheads indicate cell areas of mScarlet depletion. Scale

bar: 2 µm

C Representative fluorescence images of DAPI-stained *E. coli* expressing RplA-mCherry

as well as free fluorescent GFP variants with different net surface charges: -30

(CJW7485), -7 (CJW7486), 0 (CJW7487), +7 (CJW7488), +11a (CJW7489), +11b

(CJW7490), +15 (CJW7491), and +25 (CJW7492). For GFP with a net surface charge

+11, 'a' and 'b' refer to variations in distribution of the charge on the protein surface

(Schavemaker *et al*, 2017). Cells were grown in M9GluCAAT and supplemented with

0.4% arabinose to induce GFP expression. Arrowheads indicate cell areas depleted of

GFP. Scale bar: 2 µm

D Fluorescence images of representative DAPI- and RNASelect-labeled *E. coli* cells

(CJW7324) in exponential (OD 0.30) and transition (OD 1.72) phase. Also shown are the

corresponding fluorescence signal profiles for the indicated (*) cells. Arrowheads indicate

areas depleted of RNASelect. Scale bar: 2 μm

E) Distributions of SCF values between DAPI and RNASelect for exponential (n = 507

cells) and transition (n = 1492 cells) phases.

1354

Fig EV3: Colocalization of nucleoid and protein probe in FtsZ-depleted cells in

transition phase.

Sample images of DAPI-stained FtsZ-depleted cells expressing cytoplasmic msfGFP

(CJW7588) in transition phase in M9GluCAAT supplemented with 0.4% arabinose to

induce the CRISPRi system, thereby blocking the expression of *ftsZ*. The corresponding

signal intensity profile is shown below. Scale bar: 5 μm

1361

Fig EV4: Localization of the fluorescent glucose analog 2-NBDG relative to that of

ribosomes during transition phase.

Image of a cephalexin-treated cell (CJW7324) expressing RplA-mCherry in transition

phase. Cells were grown in M9CAAT supplemented with 0.2% L-arabinose. Cells were

incubated with 2-NBDG and DAPI for 10 min and washed twice in spent medium prior to

imaging. Yellow arrowheads indicate accumulations of 2-NBDG that sandwich an

accumulation of RplA-mCherry signal. Below is the cell signal intensity profile, with the

grey shade highlighting the region of interest. Scale bar: 5 μm

1370

1371   **Fig EV5: Replicates of co-culture experiments.**

1372   Quantification of relative nucleoid centroid position and division position in dividing cells

1373   (constriction > 0.1) for transition phase cells in co-cultured pairs of panel D. +0.5 and 0.5

1374   represent cell poles while 0 is cell center. n > 150 cells.

1375

EXPANDED VIEW VIDEO LEGENDS

Video EV1: Timelapse sequence showing that nucleoids and ribosomes remain

closer to the new pole upon division during transition phase.

Montage video showing phase and fluorescence overlays of a representative cell

expressing RplA-msGFP and HupA-mCherry (CJW5159) growing and dividing in

transition phase. Cells from a culture in late exponential phase (OD = 0.5) were washed

in transition phase medium, spotted on an agarose pad containing transition phase

medium (OD = 2.63), and imaged every 2 min. Time stamp shows h:min:s.

1384

1385   **Video EV2: Timelapse sequence showing glycogen condensate fusions.**

1386   Timelapse sequence of glycogen LLPS droplets labeled with ConA-FITC as they fuse. A

1387   LLPS sample was made with 10 g/L of glycogen and 20 mM of 3 kDa PEG in IS buffer.

1388   Phase contrast (left) and FITC (right) images were acquired every 10 s at 25°C. Time

1389   stamp shows h:min:s.

1390

1391   **Video EV3: Timelapse sequence of "collapsed" glycogen aggregates.**

1392   Timelapse sequence showing the "collapsed" phase-separated glycogen undergoing

1393   collisions that do not result in fusion events. The sample was made with 10 g/L of

1394   glycogen and 30 mM of 3kDa PEG in the IS buffer. Phase contrast images were acquired

1395   every 10 s at 25°C. Time stamp shows h:min:s.

1396

1397   **Video EV4: Z-stack sequence of glycogen condensates excluding GFP.** Z-stacks of

1398   both phase contrast and GFP of glycogen LLPS condensates floating in the sample. Each

1399   frame corresponds to a step of 0.125 μm, starting from a point 1.75 μm above the glass

1400   surface (z = 0 nm). Sample was prepared with glycogen (10g/L) in IS buffer mixed with

1401   GFP (15 μM) and 100 kDa PEG (200 μM) and imaging was performed at 30°C.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

A

PEG/PEO diameter (nm) vs PEG/PEO average molecular weight (kDa)

- □ Measurements
- – Devanand and Selser

B

3kDa PEG

| 9 mM | 20 mM | 30 mM |

Phase

ConA-FITC

Homogenous | LLPS | Collapsed

C

time (frame/2 min)

ConA-FITC

D

ConA-FITC

t ~ 0 min | t ~ 30 min

E

3kDa PEG (mM)

8 12 16 19 20 24 28 30

Glycogen (g/L): 2.5, 5, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10

Homogenous | LLPS | Collapsed

F

Minimal concentration of PEG/PEO for LLPS (µM) vs PEG/PEO diameter (nm)

G

| 3kDa PEG (10 mM) | 100kDa PEO (25 µM) |
| 1MDa PEO (5 µM) | Combined |

H

Pre-dilution | Post-dilution (1:2)

Phase

I

Phase | GFP

Fig 5

Fig 6

Phase/DAPI

Fig EV1

Fig EV2

Fig EV3

Fig EV4

Fig EV5