Identification of distinct genotypes in circulating RSV A strains based

on variants on the virus replication-associated genes

Abdulafiz O. Musa[a,b*], Sydney R. Faber[a,b*], Kaitlyn Forrest[c,d+], Kenneth P. Smith[e,f], Shaon

Sengupta[c,d] and Carolina B. López[a,b#]

[a]Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine,

Saint Louis, Missouri, USA.

[b]Center for Women´s Infectious Diseases Research, Washington University School of

Medicine, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA.

[c]Department of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

[d]Division of Neonatology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia,

Pennsylvania, USA.

[e]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine,

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

[f]Infectious Disease Diagnostics Laboratory, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

[#]Address correspondence to Carolina B. López, clopezzalaquett@wustl.edu

*These authors shared co-first authorship. Author order was determined on the basis of

data processing and analysis.

⁺Present address: Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Pathology, College of

Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins,

Colorado, USA

RUNNING TITLE: RSV genotypes based on replication-associated genes

KEYWORDS: respiratory syncytial virus, genotypes, polymerase L, replication-

associated genes

ABSTRACT

Respiratory syncytial virus is a common cause of respiratory infection that often leads to

hospitalization of infected younger children and older adults. RSV is classified into two

strains, A and B, each with several subgroups or genotypes. One issue with the

definition of these subgroups is the lack of a unified method of identification or

genotyping. We propose that genotyping strategies based on the genes coding for

replication-associated proteins could provide critical information on the replication

capacity of the distinct subgroup, while clearly distinguishing genotypes. Here, we

analyzed the virus replication-associated genes N, P, M2, and L from *de novo*

assembled RSV A sequences obtained from 31 newly sequenced samples from

hospitalized patients in Philadelphia and 78 additional publicly available sequences from

different geographic locations within the US. In-depth analysis and annotation of the

protein variants in L and the other replication-associated proteins N, P, M2-1, and M2-2

identified the polymerase protein L as a robust target for genotyping RSV subgroups.

Importantly, our analysis revealed non-synonymous variations in L that were

consistently accompanied by conserved changes in its co-factor P or the M2-2 protein,

suggesting associations and interactions between specific domains of these proteins.

These results highlight L as an alternative to other RSV genotyping targets and

demonstrate the value of in-depth analyses and annotations of RSV sequences as it

can serve as a foundation for subsequent *in vitro* and clinical studies on the efficiency of

the polymerase and fitness of different virus isolates.

IMPORTANCE

Given the historical heterogeneity of Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) and the

disease it causes, there is a need to understand the circulating RSV strains each

season. This requires an informative and consensus method of genotype definition.

Here, we carried out a variant analysis that shows a pattern of specific variations among

the replication-associated genes of RSV A across different seasons. Interestingly, these

variation patterns point to previously defined interactions of domains within these genes,

suggesting co-variation in the replication-associated genes. Our results also suggest a

genotyping strategy that can prove to be particularly important in understanding the

genotype-phenotype correlation in the era of RSV vaccination, where selective pressure

on the virus to evolve is anticipated. More importantly, the categorization of RSV strains

based on these patterns may prove to be of prognostic value.

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory Syncytial Virus is a negative-sense single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the family *Pneumoviridae* and order Mononegavirales. RSV circulates seasonally around the world, and it is estimated to infect every child once before the age of three, with the possibility of reinfections throughout life(1, 2). RSV leads to a wide variety of clinical outcomes that range from a mild cold to bronchiolitis, pneumonia, and death(2). RSV is a leading cause of hospitalizations in children and a major cause of morbidity in adults(3, 4). Each year, in the United States (US) alone, it is estimated to be the cause of 58,000-80,000 hospitalizations for children under the age of 5(5, 6). Recent advances in anti-RSV antivirals and vaccines for selected populations are encouraging(7-12). However, given the circulating nature of RSV among the human population, the abundance of infections, and the wide variety of clinical manifestations, there is a need for a better understanding of the genomic determinants of RSV pathogenesis. A key step in this direction is to improve the identification of RSV genotypes that are associated with different degrees of virus replication and pathogenicity.

The RSV genome is composed of 10 genes NS1, NS2, N, P, M, SH, G, F, M2, and L that encode 11 proteins. The M2 gene contains two overlapping open reading frames that code for two proteins, M2-1 and M2-2(13). The initial stage of RSV infection is determined by the virus surface glycoproteins G and F that mediate the binding of the virus to its receptor and fusion with the host cell membrane, respectively(14). G protein undergoes high selective pressure, causing frequent variations between genomes(15). The F protein, also found on the surface of the virus, is fairly conserved among different

RSV strains(14, 15). Once the virus enters a cell, virus transcription, and genome

replication are mediated by the polymerase L and its co-factors, including P, M2-1, and

the nucleoprotein N(13, 16). M2-2 has been shown to play a role in the switch between

transcription and replication, suggesting a role with the ribonucleocapsid complex(17-

19).

Since its first discovery in 1956(20, 21), RSV has been classified into two main

serotypes, RSV-A and RSV-B(22, 23), both of which can be further divided into multiple

genotypes. Historically, due to its relatively smaller size and high variability, the

evolutionary events in the G gene have been used to genotype RSV. In most cases,

RSV genotypes can be distinctly defined based on the 2nd hypervariable region (HVR2)

or ectodomain of G(24-29). Because G variations may impact receptor binding, G-

based genotype is a good method to identify variants with different entry abilities. Whole

genome sequencing has more recently been reported as an alternative method for

genotyping, and it has been shown to better represent RSV genotypes in the

population(30-33). M2-2 sequencing has also been proposed as an alternative

genotyping method, as this is one of the smallest viral proteins with a low level of

conservation(34). Notably, no current routine genotyping method focuses on the viral

polymerase and its associated genes, despite the critical role of these proteins in viral

replication and infection.

The lack of consensus on how to determine RSV genotypes makes it challenging

to track circulating strains among the various reported sequences and even more

difficult to predict associations with the replicative capacity of the different RSV variants.

To assess whether variations in the replication-associated proteins can identify RSV

genotypes, we took an in-depth look at the variants present in *de novo* assembled

whole-length RSV genomes circulating in the US between 2012-2023. We included 31

newly sequenced samples obtained from a cohort of hospitalized children in

Philadelphia, as well as 78 publicly available data sets of RSV A sequences obtained

from samples around the country. Comparing RSV A sequences from samples in

different US regions between the years 2012-2023, we found predictable RSV A

genotypes distinguished solely by variants on the L gene. Remarkably, non-

synonymous variations in L were consistently accompanied by conserved changes in

the L co-factors P or M2-2, suggesting the co-variation of replication-association

proteins in circulating genotypes. Furthermore, these non-synonymous variations

accurately predicted RSV genotypes based on the whole genome sequence. This report

demonstrates the importance of in-depth analysis of full-length RSV sequences to

uncover potentially important functional changes in viral proteins, and it identifies

clusters of associated variations in polymerase-related genes that can be used to

genotype RSV with high accuracy.

RESULTS

The G and L genes are the most variable among RSV A variants circulating in the

USA during 2012 – 2023.

First, to identify the most variable genes in recently circulating RSV A variants,

we initially sequenced and *de novo* assembled full-length genomes of 31 samples

obtained from pediatric patients at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP). We

completely annotated these sequences and submitted them under GenBank:

PP525296-PP525326. Using the consensus of the samples as a reference to identify

variants between the different samples, we observed the highest number of total

variations, and non-synonymous variations, in the coding regions of G and L. The M2-2

coding region showed the highest ratio of non-synonymous to total variation, and NS2

was the most conserved (Table S1). We expanded our dataset with 78 additional RSV

A sequences from the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database

that accounted for different locations in the US as well as an increased range of years

the sequences were collected (Table 1 and Table S2). In the combined cohort of 109

RSV A full-length sequences, we again observed the most total and non-synonymous

variations in the G and L genes with the M2-2 showing the highest ratio of non-

synonymous to total variation (Table 2 and Figure 1).

Non-synonymous variations in the L gene associate with specific variations in

other replication-related genes which can predict genotypic clusters.

We next took a closer look at the non-synonymous variations across the coding

regions of the replication-related genes (N, P, M2-1, M2-2, and L) in the 109 sequences

and annotated the non-synonymous variations for each sample compared against the

consensus sequence (Table S3). We found associations of variations between L and

one or more of the other replication genes. We marked out the similar variations in each

coding sequence (CDS) region that appeared more than two times and categorized the

sequences into groups named R1-R6 based on the observed associations (Table 3,

Table S4). Each group contained a varying number of sequences independent of year

or location (Table S5).

We separated the sequences in each group based on the variation patterns

observed (Table 4). R1 group contained a diverse set of variations. However, most of

the R1 sequences contained L: G1725E which associated 44% of the time with M2-2:

N46S. Within the sequences containing L: G1725E, three sequences had an additional

L variation, N215S, which associated 100% of the time with variants, P: P34L and M2-2:

N46S. Sequences with additional L variation, V335I associated 50% of the time with the

P variant, I66T. The variant patterns in the R2 and R4 groups were more consistent as

at least 75% of the sequences had clear associations of variations in P, M2-2, and L.

Interestingly, the R3 group essentially contained L: N143D, T179S, I1653V, K1661N of

which 78% did not associate with any variants in P, M2-1, or M2-2. The remaining

percentage of the group did however associate with either M2-2: L37P (13%) or a trio of

M2-1 variants: N174S, T180A, S182G (9%). Out of the entire cohort analyzed, R3 was

the only group to consistently contain variants in M2-1. Although the R5 group had a

limited number of sequences, all the sequences in this group were composed of the

variants P: N59S, and L:R511K, R1759K.

Next, we generated a PCA plot with the uncorrected pairwise distances of the

109 full-length sequences and labeled them with our predicted genotypic groups R1-R6

(Figure 2A). We observed that most of the sequences distinctly clustered together

based on the pre-determined groups, which supports the predicted associations among

related sequences. Additionally, we labeled each sequence by the region or the year

the sequences were obtained and found them to be independent of the associations

(Figure 2B, C).

We also employed the Nextclade web tool to assign genotypes and depict the

phylogeny of the 109 samples based on 2 recently proposed classifications – Nextstrain

Clades and Goya Clades(33, 35, 36). The Nextstrain Clades classification resulted in 10

clades and the Goya Clade classification resulted in only two 2 clades, GA2.3.3 and

GA2.3.5 (Table S6). We labeled the previously shown PCA plot (Figure 2A) with both

classification assignments from Nextclade (Figure 2D, E). Even though there are

limitations to the number of clusters that can be visualized on the PCA, we found the

Nextstrain Clade classification to be similar to our predicted groups. These results

confirm that the non-synonymous variations in the replication-associated genes can be

used to identify genotypes among RSV sequences.

Non-synonymous variations in replication-associated genes concentrate in

protein-protein interaction domains.

To determine if specific domains of the RSV replication-associated proteins were

susceptible to variation, we annotated the variants observed in the different groups to

their respective predicted domain residues (Figure 3)(16). All the variants observed in P

were found in the N-terminal domain (NTD). The NTD of P is thought to bind to M2-1,

specifically regions of the core domain, as well as interact with RNA-free N

monomers(16). The variants of M2-1 were limited to the core domain. The structure of

M2-2 has yet to be resolved, therefore no domains are assigned to the protein.

However, it has been shown that overexpression of M2-2 rearranges the

ribonucleocapsid complex, suggesting potential interactions with L(19). We observed

most variants of L in the RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp), connector domain

(CD), and methyltransferase (MT) domains. It has been shown that the RdRp of L is an

interaction site for P(37, 38). The complete structures of the CD and MT domains have

not been determined in the attempts to resolve the full L structure; however, it is

suggested that these domains may be dynamic and also interact with the P protein(16,

37, 38). Overall, these associations indicate potential residues of interest in the

replication-associated proteins that may impact the fitness of the virus during an

infection.

DISCUSSION

Our in-depth analysis of RSV A sequences shows distinct clusters of variations

within replication-associated genes as specific variation(s) in L often associate with

variations in one or more of the other replication-associated genes. We grouped these

associations, depicted them in a PCA plot, and compared their similarity to relatively

known methods of RSV genotyping with the Nextclade tool. Our observed associations

were not only capable of defining genotypic groups, but also support the advantage of

full-length sequence analyses to properly characterize and genotype RSV subgroups.

Importantly, our analysis revealed associations of variants among different replication-

associated genes. These data can be used to infer the dynamic variation of RSV

genotypes and their associated pathogenicity in different seasons, as well as as starting

point for detailed investigation of the molecular interactions among proteins from the

replication complex.

Most of the reported sequencing analyses from clinical studies generate new

sequences based on a known or closely related reference sequence(39-42). An

alternative method is *de novo* assembly. The advantage of *de novo* assembly is that it

does not require a reference sequence that could potentially introduce bias in the form

of variations in the consensus sequence. A merger known as reference-guided *de novo*

assembly has also been proposed for larger genome construction (43). In this study, we

employed the SPAdes *de novo* assembler with rnaviralSPAdes option which is well

adapted for shorter reads and metavirome (44, 45). This gives an accurate sequence

per isolate as genomic variations including intergenic, or repetitive regions, and indels

are also accounted for.

With *de novo* assembly one must be conscientious when trimming the CDS

regions. For RSV, one of the prominent characteristics observed during alignment is the

novel duplication in the C-terminal region of the attachment glycoprotein(25). Of the 109

sequences analyzed in this study, only 10 were without the duplication (GenBank:

KY967362, MF001039, PP525326, MN306050, MN306054, PP525323, OK659680,

PP525325, PP5253324, MN310477). In addition to the duplication event in the G, we

also observed the presence of alternate codons while trimming the CDS regions. We

observed that these alternate codons often lead to a few extra or lesser amino acidd

that may not be properly annotated during database submissions. Observed alternate

codons include 7 sequences that have a later stop codon in the G gene (GenBank:

OK649680-84, 0R287918, OR287948). This alternate codon is also present in the well-

studied reference genome – GenBank: KT992094.1. Two sequences were also

observed to have a later stop codon in the L gene in this study, which has not been

previously reported (GenBank: PP525304, OR143185). Other sequences without these

later stop codons often have a tandem stop codon e.g. "TAATGA". These tandem stop

codons have been studied in the RSV G gene and shown to decrease the expression of

fusion glycoprotein (F) and facilitate immune evasion of the virus resulting in the

severity of the infection(46, 47). Nine sequences in this study have an alternative start

codon in the M2-2 gene (GenBank: PP525314, PP525323-4, OK649682, OK649684,

OR143171, OR143187, OR522529, OR601479). M2-2 is generally known to have three

start codons and HRSV A2 has this variation too(18, 48). Only the first and second of

these start codons have been shown to result in a functional M2-2 protein during

replication(18). Alternate start codons in the Influenza virus have been shown not to

affect viral fitness, particularly when the translated protein does not result in a missing

domain(49, 50).

One unclear question is which protein(s) is/are the major driver of genomic

variations: the surface proteins – SH, G, and F that are subjected to host immune

activities or the polymerase protein L responsible for ensuring viral growth fitness. The

answer could be both. It has been established that the 2nd hypervariable region of G

(HVR2) is highly variable and often used in determining RSV genotypes(51). However,

in this study, we focused on a replication aspect starting with the polymerase L and

observed variations majorly in the RdRP, CD, and MT domains. Consistent associations

were also observed in other replication-associated genes such as the NTD of P, the

core domain of M2-1, and M2-2. It is known that L, but not P, interacts directly with the

RNA template and P interacts with L as a cofactor during replication (52). Established

protein prediction also shows that L binds to the CTD of P rather than its NTD(16, 38).

However, in the well-studied Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV), it has been shown that

the NTD of P interacts with the CTD, RdRp, and CD domains of L(53-55). The CD, MT,

and CTD in VSV L have no fixed position and it is this compact conformation with P that

transforms it to an initiation competent state(53). With such an interactive polymerase

complex there are multiple ways the variations observed may impact the functionality of

the virus, such as speed or fidelity. These factors should also be considered when

tracking and tracing different RSV genotypes.

With the prevalence of circulating RSV among the human population, it is crucial

to understand the genomic determinants of RSV pathogenesis. It has been shown that

different RSV strains may impact clinical outcomes(47, 56). Yet, there is a lack of

consensus on the exact strains that cause the different severities of disease (57).

Improving the annotation of variations specifically involved in virus replication may aid in

the identification of RSV genotypes that correspond to pathogenesis. From our results,

we support that full-length sequencing is the most reliable data required for genotyping

RSV A, closely followed by genotyping the L gene. We also suggest investigating the

variation patterns in the CDS of replication-associated genes can be very informative in

downstream studies on the efficiency of the polymerase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of cohort and Data collection

Ninety-six RSV clinical samples were obtained from the Children's Hospital of

Philadelphia (CHOP), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Samples used in this study

were from 3 different coded cohorts. Cohort H (7 samples; 2012 and 2017), Cohort CL

(6 samples; 2013 – 2015), and Cohort B (83 samples; 2015 and 2016). All clinical

samples were nasal washes collected from hospitalized patients between the age of 0-2

years as reviewed and approved by the CHOP Institutional Review Board (IRB). From

the 96 samples, we could *de novo* assemble full-length sequences for the 31 included in

this study. Other sample sequences analyzed outside these cohorts were obtained from

the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI Virus) and Bacterial and Viral

Bioinformatics Resource Center (BV-BRC) databases (78 sequences; 2012 - 2023).

Complete sequences without insertions in their CDS were selected at random to

increase the number of sequences we have each year (Table S2).

RNA extraction, library preparation, and NGS sequencing

The processing of samples in Cohort H (7 samples) and CL (6 samples) has been

previously described in detail(58, 59). For Cohort B (83 samples), total RNA was

extracted from clinical samples using TRIzol LS (Invitrogen) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Linear Acrylamide (Invitrogen) was added at the

precipitation step of RNA extraction to increase yield. RNA quantity and quality were

measured using Nanodrop and Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).

Sigma SeqPlex RNA Amplification Kit was used for making the complementary

deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) library preparation for all samples to be sequenced using

the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 to generate 150-bp, paired-end reads. The sequencing

generated an average of 50 million reads per sample with an average Phred quality

score between 34.6 and 36.1.

De novo assembly

Illumina adaptors were removed from the resulting Illumina paired-end reads using

Cutadapt (v2.5)(60) and the trimmed reads were analyzed using FastQC (v0.11) to

ensure their quality. Bowtie2 (v2.4.1)(61) was then used to remove trimmed reads that

aligned to the human genome (GRCh38) to generate non-host reads for subsequent

analysis. St. Petersburg genome assembler (SPAdes) v3.15.5(44) was used for the de

novo assembly of the non-host paired-end reads of each sample. An additional pipeline

option in the assembler recommended for RNA viral datasets (-- rnaviral) and a thread

of 50 were included as parameters while running the assembler with docker on the

command line. The contigs and scaffolds generated by the assembler for each sample

were aligned against Genbank: KC731482.1 using BLASTN to identify and obtain the

RSV A sequences used in this study.

Variant analysis

MegAlign Pro v17.5.0 (DNASTAR) was used for most of the analysis of the selected

sequences of RSV A in this study. The complete nucleotide sequences of all samples

were aligned with GenBank: KC731482.1, KT992094.1 using MUSCLE with default

options. The coding sequences (CDS) of RSV A (NS1, NS2, N, M, P, G, F, SH, M2-1,

M2-2, and L) were obtained by trimming the aligned sequences according to the

annotation of the references from their feature tables on GenBank. EMBOSS Transeq

tool (EMBL-EBI)(62) was used to translate the trimmed CDS to amino acids. The

resulting amino acid sequences for each gene were re-uploaded and re-aligned with

MUSCLE, and the reference sequences used in trimming the CDS were excluded from

subsequent analysis. Variant calling for each CDS was generated using "Compute

Variants" in MegAlign Pro v17.5.0 after which these were compiled as reference amino

acid, (X) reference position, (123) variant in the sample (Y) i.e. X123Y. The reference

used in this analysis is the consensus sequence generated after the alignment of all the

sequences.

PCA

Principal component analysis graphs were plotted in R Studio v 2023.12.1+402 using

plotly library. The input data were the demographics of the sequences and their

uncorrected pairwise distance matrix.

Clades assignment

Assignment of Nextstrain and Goya clades were made in Nextclade v3.2.0

https://clades.nextstrain.org(36).

DATA AVAILABILITY

All raw sequencing data used for *de novo* assembly were deposited in SRA under

accession numbers PRJNA837014, and PRJNA681672. Assembled and annotated

sequences were submitted in GenBank and were assigned accession numbers

PP525296-PP525326.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. National Institutes of Health National Institute of

Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R01 AI137062 to CLB) and the BJC Investigators

Program. The authors wish to acknowledge Emna Achouri for training and support with

Bioinformatics analyses and Matt Devine for help with the data pull and identification of

CHOP samples.

REFERENCES

1. Glezen WP, Taber LH, Frank AL, Kasel JA. 1986. Risk of primary infection and reinfection with respiratory syncytial virus. Am J Dis Child 140:543-6.
2. Collins PL, Melero JA. 2011. Progress in understanding and controlling respiratory syncytial virus: still crazy after all these years. Virus Res 162:80-99.
3. Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health Study G. 2019. Causes of severe pneumonia requiring hospital admission in children without HIV infection from Africa and Asia: the PERCH multi-country case-control study. Lancet 394:757-779.
4. Falsey AR, Hennessey PA, Formica MA, Cox C, Walsh EE. 2005. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in elderly and high-risk adults. N Engl J Med 352:1749-59.
5. Hall CB, Weinberg GA, Iwane MK, Blumkin AK, Edwards KM, Staat MA, Auinger P, Griffin MR, Poehling KA, Erdman D, Grijalva CG, Zhu Y, Szilagyi P. 2009. The burden of respiratory syncytial virus infection in young children. N Engl J Med 360:588-98.
6. McLaughlin JM, Khan F, Schmitt HJ, Agosti Y, Jodar L, Simoes EAF, Swerdlow DL. 2022. Respiratory Syncytial Virus-Associated Hospitalization Rates among US Infants: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Infectious Diseases 225:1100-1111.
7. Griffin MP, Yuan Y, Takas T, Domachowske JB, Madhi SA, Manzoni P, Simoes EAF, Esser MT, Khan AA, Dubovsky F, Villafana T, DeVincenzo JP, Nirsevimab Study G. 2020. Single-Dose Nirsevimab for Prevention of RSV in Preterm Infants. N Engl J Med 383:415-425.
8. Jones JM, Fleming-Dutra KE, Prill MM, Roper LE, Brooks O, Sanchez PJ, Kotton CN, Mahon BE, Meyer S, Long SS, Mcmorrow ML. 2023. Use of Nirsevimab for the Prevention of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Disease Among Infants and Young

Children: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization
Practices - United States, 2023. Mmwr-Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report
72:920-925.
9. Papi A, Ison MG, Langley JM, Lee DG, Leroux-Roels I, Martinon-Torres F,
Schwarz TF, van Zyl-Smit RN, Campora L, Dezutter N, de Schrevel N, Fissette
L, David MP, Van der Wielen M, Kostanyan L, Hulstrom V, Group AR-S. 2023.
Respiratory Syncytial Virus Prefusion F Protein Vaccine in Older Adults. N Engl J
Med 388:595-608.
10. Walsh EE, Perez Marc G, Zareba AM, Falsey AR, Jiang Q, Patton M, Polack FP,
Llapur C, Doreski PA, Ilangovan K, Ramet M, Fukushima Y, Hussen N, Bont LJ,
Cardona J, DeHaan E, Castillo Villa G, Ingilizova M, Eiras D, Mikati T, Shah RN,
Schneider K, Cooper D, Koury K, Lino MM, Anderson AS, Jansen KU, Swanson
KA, Gurtman A, Gruber WC, Schmoele-Thoma B, Group RCT. 2023. Efficacy
and Safety of a Bivalent RSV Prefusion F Vaccine in Older Adults. N Engl J Med
388:1465-1477.
11. Melgar M, Britton A, Roper LE, Talbot HK, Long SS, Kotton CN, Havers FP.
2023. Use of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Vaccines in Older Adults:
Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices -
United States, 2023. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 72:793-801.
12. Kampmann B, Madhi SA, Munjal I, Simoes EAF, Pahud BA, Llapur C, Baker J,
Perez Marc G, Radley D, Shittu E, Glanternik J, Snaggs H, Baber J, Zachariah P,
Barnabas SL, Fausett M, Adam T, Perreras N, Van Houten MA, Kantele A,
Huang LM, Bont LJ, Otsuki T, Vargas SL, Gullam J, Tapiero B, Stein RT, Polack
FP, Zar HJ, Staerke NB, Duron Padilla M, Richmond PC, Koury K, Schneider K,
Kalinina EV, Cooper D, Jansen KU, Anderson AS, Swanson KA, Gruber WC,
Gurtman A, Group MS. 2023. Bivalent Prefusion F Vaccine in Pregnancy to
Prevent RSV Illness in Infants. N Engl J Med 388:1451-1464.
13. Collins PL, Fearns R, Graham BS. 2013. Respiratory syncytial virus: virology,
reverse genetics, and pathogenesis of disease. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol
372:3-38.
14. McLellan JS, Ray WC, Peeples ME. 2013. Structure and function of respiratory
syncytial virus surface glycoproteins. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 372:83-104.
15. Tan L, Coenjaerts FE, Houspie L, Viveen MC, van Bleek GM, Wiertz EJ, Martin
DP, Lemey P. 2013. The comparative genomics of human respiratory syncytial
virus subgroups A and B: genetic variability and molecular evolutionary
dynamics. J Virol 87:8213-26.
16. Cao D, Gao Y, Liang B. 2021. Structural Insights into the Respiratory Syncytial
Virus RNA Synthesis Complexes. Viruses 13.
17. Bermingham A, Collins PL. 1999. The M2-2 protein of human respiratory
syncytial virus is a regulatory factor involved in the balance between RNA
replication and transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:11259-64.
18. Cheng X, Park H, Zhou H, Jin H. 2005. Overexpression of the M2-2 protein of
respiratory syncytial virus inhibits viral replication. J Virol 79:13943-52.
19. Blanchard EL, Braun MR, Lifland AW, Ludeke B, Noton SL, Vanover D, Zurla C,
Fearns R, Santangelo PJ. 2020. Polymerase-tagged respiratory syncytial virus

reveals a dynamic rearrangement of the ribonucleocapsid complex during
infection. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008987.

20. Blount RE, Jr., Morris JA, Savage RE. 1956. Recovery of cytopathogenic agent
from chimpanzees with coryza. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 92:544-9.

21. Chanock R, Roizman B, Myers R. 1957. Recovery from infants with respiratory
illness of a virus related to chimpanzee coryza agent (CCA). I. Isolation,
properties and characterization. Am J Hyg 66:281-90.

22. Mufson MA, Orvell C, Rafnar B, Norrby E. 1985. Two distinct subtypes of human
respiratory syncytial virus. J Gen Virol 66 (Pt 10):2111-24.

23. Anderson LJ, Hierholzer JC, Tsou C, Hendry RM, Fernie BF, Stone Y, McIntosh
K. 1985. Antigenic characterization of respiratory syncytial virus strains with
monoclonal antibodies. J Infect Dis 151:626-33.

24. Sullender WM. 2000. Respiratory syncytial virus genetic and antigenic diversity.
Clin Microbiol Rev 13:1-15, table of contents.

25. Eshaghi A, Duvvuri VR, Lai R, Nadarajah JT, Li A, Patel SN, Low DE, Gubbay
JB. 2012. Genetic variability of human respiratory syncytial virus A strains
circulating in Ontario: a novel genotype with a 72 nucleotide G gene duplication.
PLoS One 7:e32807.

26. Agoti CN, Otieno JR, Gitahi CW, Cane PA, Nokes DJ. 2014. Rapid spread and
diversification of respiratory syncytial virus genotype ON1, Kenya. Emerg Infect
Dis 20:950-9.

27. Munoz-Escalante JC, Comas-Garcia A, Bernal-Silva S, Robles-Espinoza CD,
Gomez-Leal G, Noyola DE. 2019. Respiratory syncytial virus A genotype
classification based on systematic intergenotypic and intragenotypic sequence
analysis. Sci Rep 9:20097.

28. Tramuto F, Maida CM, Mazzucco W, Costantino C, Amodio E, Sferlazza G,
Previti A, Immordino P, Vitale F. 2023. Molecular Epidemiology and Genetic
Diversity of Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus in Sicily during Pre- and Post-
COVID-19 Surveillance Seasons. Pathogens 12.

29. Peret TC, Hall CB, Hammond GW, Piedra PA, Storch GA, Sullender WM, Tsou
C, Anderson LJ. 2000. Circulation patterns of group A and B human respiratory
syncytial virus genotypes in 5 communities in North America. J Infect Dis
181:1891-6.

30. Ramaekers K, Rector A, Cuypers L, Lemey P, Keyaerts E, Van Ranst M. 2020.
Towards a unified classification for human respiratory syncytial virus genotypes.
Virus Evol 6:veaa052.

31. Langedijk AC, Harding ER, Konya B, Vrancken B, Lebbink RJ, Evers A,
Willemsen J, Lemey P, Bont LJ. 2022. A systematic review on global RSV
genetic data: Identification of knowledge gaps. Rev Med Virol 32:e2284.

32. Chen J, Qiu X, Avadhanula V, Shepard SS, Kim DK, Hixson J, Piedra PA, Bahl J.
2022. Novel and extendable genotyping system for human respiratory syncytial
virus based on whole-genome sequence analysis. Influenza Other Respir
Viruses 16:492-500.

33. Goya S, Galiano M, Nauwelaers I, Trento A, Openshaw PJ, Mistchenko AS,
Zambon M, Viegas M. 2020. Toward unified molecular surveillance of RSV: A
proposal for genotype definition. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 14:274-285.

34.   Nunes D, Vieira C, Sa JM, Araujo GC, Caruso IP, Souza FP. 2022. M2-2 gene
481   as a new alternative molecular marker for phylogenetic, phylodynamic, and
482   evolutionary studies of hRSV. Virus Res 318:198850.
483   35.   Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, Huddleston J, Potter B, Callender C, Sagulenko P,
484   Bedford T, Neher RA. 2018. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution.
485   Bioinformatics 34:4121-4123.
486   36.   Aksamentov I, Roemer, C., Hodcroft, E. B., Neher, R. A. 2021. Nextclade: clade
487   assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. Journal of
488   Open Source Software 6(67), 3773.
489   37.   Gilman MSA, Liu C, Fung A, Behera I, Jordan P, Rigaux P, Ysebaert N,
490   Tcherniuk S, Sourimant J, Eleouet JF, Sutto-Ortiz P, Decroly E, Roymans D, Jin
491   Z, McLellan JS. 2019. Structure of the Respiratory Syncytial Virus Polymerase
492   Complex. Cell 179:193-204 e14.
493   38.   Cao D, Gao Y, Roesler C, Rice S, D'Cunha P, Zhuang L, Slack J, Domke M,
494   Antonova A, Romanelli S, Keating S, Forero G, Juneja P, Liang B. 2020. Cryo-
495   EM structure of the respiratory syncytial virus RNA polymerase. Nat Commun
496   11:368.
497   39.   Holland LA, Holland SC, Smith MF, Leonard VR, Murugan V, Nordstrom L,
498   Mulrow M, Salgado R, White M, Lim ES. 2023. Genomic Sequencing
499   Surveillance to Identify Respiratory Syncytial Virus Mutations, Arizona, USA.
500   Emerg Infect Dis 29:2380-2382.
501   40.   Goya S, Sereewit J, Pfalmer D, Nguyen TV, Bakhash S, Sobolik EB, Greninger
502   AL. 2023. Genomic Characterization of Respiratory Syncytial Virus during 2022-
503   23 Outbreak, Washington, USA. Emerg Infect Dis 29:865-868.
504   41.   Rebuffo-Scheer C, Bose M, He J, Khaja S, Ulatowski M, Beck ET, Fan J, Kumar
505   S, Nelson MI, Henrickson KJ. 2011. Whole genome sequencing and evolutionary
506   analysis of human respiratory syncytial virus A and B from Milwaukee, WI 1998-
507   2010. PLoS One 6:e25468.
508   42.   Schobel SA, Stucker KM, Moore ML, Anderson LJ, Larkin EK, Shankar J, Bera J,
509   Puri V, Shilts MH, Rosas-Salazar C, Halpin RA, Fedorova N, Shrivastava S,
510   Stockwell TB, Peebles RS, Hartert TV, Das SR. 2016. Respiratory Syncytial
511   Virus whole-genome sequencing identifies convergent evolution of sequence
512   duplication in the C-terminus of the G gene. Sci Rep 6:26311.
513   43.   Lischer HEL, Shimizu KK. 2017. Reference-guided de novo assembly approach
514   improves genome reconstruction for related species. BMC Bioinformatics 18:474.
515   44.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM,
516   Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler
517   G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly
518   algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455-77.
519   45.   Sutton TDS, Clooney AG, Ryan FJ, Ross RP, Hill C. 2019. Choice of assembly
520   software has a critical impact on virome characterisation. Microbiome 7:12.
521   46.   Moudy RM, Harmon SB, Sullender WM, Wertz GW. 2003. Variations in
522   transcription termination signals of human respiratory syncytial virus clinical
523   isolates affect gene expression. Virology 313:250-60.
524   47.   Human S, Hotard AL, Rostad CA, Lee S, McCormick L, Larkin EK, Peret TCT,
525   Jorba J, Lanzone J, Gebretsadik T, Williams JV, Bloodworth M, Stier M, Carroll

K, Peebles RS, Jr., Anderson LJ, Hartert TV, Moore ML. 2020. A Respiratory
Syncytial Virus Attachment Gene Variant Associated with More Severe Disease
in Infants Decreases Fusion Protein Expression, Which May Facilitate Immune
Evasion. J Virol 95.
48. Kumaria R, Iyer LR, Hibberd ML, Simoes EA, Sugrue RJ. 2011. Whole genome
characterization of non-tissue culture adapted HRSV strains in severely infected
children. Virol J 8:372.
49. Machkovech HM, Bloom JD, Subramaniam AR. 2019. Comprehensive profiling
of translation initiation in influenza virus infected cells. PLoS Pathog
15:e1007518.
50. Girard G, Gultyaev AP, Olsthoorn RC. 2011. Upstream start codon in segment 4
of North American H2 avian influenza A viruses. Infect Genet Evol 11:489-95.
51. Kim HN, Hwang J, Yoon SY, Lim CS, Cho Y, Lee CK, Nam MH. 2023. Molecular
characterization of human respiratory syncytial virus in Seoul, South Korea,
during 10 consecutive years, 2010-2019. PLoS One 18:e0283873.
52. Cao D, Gao Y, Chen Z, Gooneratne I, Roesler C, Mera C, D'Cunha P, Antonova
A, Katta D, Romanelli S, Wang Q, Rice S, Lemons W, Ramanathan A, Liang B.
2023. Structures of the promoter-bound respiratory syncytial virus polymerase.
Nature doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06867-y.
53. Liang B, Li Z, Jenni S, Rahmeh AA, Morin BM, Grant T, Grigorieff N, Harrison
SC, Whelan SPJ. 2015. Structure of the L Protein of Vesicular Stomatitis Virus
from Electron Cryomicroscopy. Cell 162:314-327.
54. Jenni S, Bloyet LM, Diaz-Avalos R, Liang B, Whelan SPJ, Grigorieff N, Harrison
SC. 2020. Structure of the Vesicular Stomatitis Virus L Protein in Complex with
Its Phosphoprotein Cofactor. Cell Rep 30:53-60 e5.
55. Gould JR, Qiu S, Shang Q, Ogino T, Prevelige PE, Jr., Petit CM, Green TJ.
2020. The Connector Domain of Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Large Protein
Interacts with the Viral Phosphoprotein. J Virol 94.
56. Martinello RA, Chen MD, Weibel C, Kahn JS. 2002. Correlation between
respiratory syncytial virus genotype and severity of illness. J Infect Dis 186:839-
42.
57. Vandini S, Biagi C, Lanari M. 2017. Respiratory Syncytial Virus: The Influence of
Serotype and Genotype Variability on Clinical Course of Infection. Int J Mol Sci
18.
58. Felt SA, Achouri E, Faber SR, Lopez CB. 2022. Accumulation of copy-back viral
genomes during respiratory syncytial virus infection is preceded by diversification
of the copy-back viral genome population followed by selection. Virus Evol
8:veac091.
59. Felt SA, Sun Y, Jozwik A, Paras A, Habibi MS, Nickle D, Anderson L, Achouri E,
Feemster KA, Cardenas AM, Turi KN, Chang M, Hartert TV, Sengupta S, Chiu C,
Lopez CB. 2021. Detection of respiratory syncytial virus defective genomes in
nasal secretions is associated with distinct clinical outcomes. Nat Microbiol
6:672-681.
60. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
sequencing reads. EMBnet Journal 17, n. 1, p. pp. 10-12.

61.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat
572   Methods 9:357-9.
573   62.   Madeira F, Pearce M, Tivey ARN, Basutkar P, Lee J, Edbali O, Madhusoodanan
574   N, Kolesnikov A, Lopez R. 2022. Search and sequence analysis tools services
575   from EMBL-EBI in 2022. Nucleic Acids Res 50:W276-W279.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Summary of genetic variations of RSV A 11 CDS regions. Each point represents the number of variants per sample, N=109. **(A)** Total variations per sample for each CDS region. **(B)** Non-synonymous variations per sample for each CDS region. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed for statistical significance between CDS regions. ns p>0.1234; *p>0.03; ****p<0.0001. Comparisons between all the CDS regions to G or L were **** unless otherwise noted by a line between CDS regions.

Figure 2: Principal component analysis (PCA) of the 109 RSV A sequences analyzed in this study. Data points were labeled based on (**A-E**) Predicted groups, Location in the US, Year the sample was collected, Nextstrain Clades classification, and Goya Clades classification respectively. The percentage of variance in all the plots are PC1=58.71%, PC2=21.78%, and PC4=2.52%.

Figure 3: Annotation of non-synonymous variations observed within the domains RSV A replication-associated genes for each predicted group. All variations shown are seen at least 3 times and interact with other variations within a predicted group. Abbreviation key as follows: NTD: N-terminal domain; CTD: C-terminal domain; OD: oligomerization domain; ZBD: Zinc-binding domain; M2-1 CD: core domain; RdRP: RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; Cap: cap addition domain; L CD: connector domain; MT: cap methylation domain.

TABLES:

Table 1. Distribution of the 109 sequences used in this study including the years samples were collected and their locations by states. All 31 PA samples are from the CHOP B Cohort and other sequences were randomly selected from NCBI. UNKN indicates that sequences are of unknown origin within the US.

		US Location								
		AR	AZ	MA	OR	PA	TN	WA	UNKN	Total
Year	2012				1	3	2		2	**8**
	2013					7			1	**8**
	2014				2	6			2	**10**
	2015			1		3		4	3	**11**
	2016			3		9		5	3	**20**
	2017		1			1			2	**4**
	2018					1		1	2	**4**
	2019	1	2						7	**10**
	2020	2	2			1			5	**10**
	2021							1	3	**4**
	2022	1	1				1	7		**10**
	2023	1	3					5	1	**10**
	Total	**5**	**9**	**4**	**3**	**31**	**3**	**23**	**31**	**109**

616 **Table 2.** Computed variations for all 109 samples showing the combined number of

617 substitutions, insertions, and deletions per gene. Total variations were deduced from the

618 nucleotide sequence alignment, and non-synonymous variations were deduced from the

619 amino acid alignment.

Gene	Total number of Variations	Number of Non-Synonymous Variations	Non-synonymous/ Total Variations
NS1	178	15	0.084
NS2	190	6	0.032
N	493	15	0.030
P	220	56	0.255
M	376	73	0.194
SH	120	24	0.200
G	1348	743	0.551
F	749	107	0.143
M2-1	219	27	0.123
M2-2	145	86	0.593
L	2776	486	0.175

Table 3. Definition of groups based on repetitive non-synonymous variations often seen

in combination across replication-associated genes. Variations included are observed in

more than 2 sequences and the groups shown account for all 109 sequences. N is not

included here as there is no significant recurrence of non-synonymous variations

observed. Within each CDS, a slash "/" indicates that this is another variation seen in

the group. For detailed associations, see Table S4.

Group Name	Variations in P	Variations in M2-1	Variations in M2-2	Variations in L
R1	I66T/ P34L		N46S	G1725E/ H598Y/ D1731G/ V335I/ N215S
R2	T69I		C26Y	S1723G/ A1927T/ V1943D/ I139T/ T179A
R3		N174S/ T180A/ S182G	L37P	N143D/ T179S/ I1653V/ K1661N/ S100L/ L953M/ S1593N/ S1789F/ H1707Q/ A2014/ Y2163N
R4	T92M		T79A	L835M/ A1537S/ N547S/ P1720L/ V1943I
R5	N59S			R511K/ R1759K
R6				No variations

642   **Table 4.** Detailed variation patterns observed in each group. N is not included here as

643   there is no significant recurrence of non-synonymous variations observed.

Group Name	Variations in P	Variations in M2-1	Variations in M2-2	Variations in L	Number of Sequences
R1				G1725E	12
			N46S	G1725E	7
			N46S	H598Y, G1725E, D1731G	4
	P34L		N46S	N215S, G1725E	3
			N46S		3
				H597Y, G1725E	3
			N46S	H598Y, D1731G	2
				V335I, G1725E	2
				H598Y	2
	I66T			V335I, G1725E	2
	I66T			V335I	1
			N46S	H598Y, G1725E	1
				V335I	1
R2	T69I		C26Y	S1723G, A1927T, V1943D	9
	T69I		C26Y	I139T, S1723G, A1927T, V1943D	3
	T69I		C26Y	T179A, S1723G, A1927T, V1943D	1
	T69I		C26Y	S1723G, V1943D	1
				S1723G, V1943D	1
				T179A, S1723G, V1943D	1
				T179A	1
R3				N143D, T179S, I1653V, K1661N	12
			L37P	N143D, T179S, I1653V, K1661N	4
				N143D, T179S, S1593N, I1653V, K1661N, S1789F, Y2163N	4
		N174S, T180A, S182G		N143D, T179S, I1653V, K1661N	3
				S100L, N143D, T179S, L935M, I1653V, K1661N	3
				N143D, T179S, I1653V, K1661N, A2014T, Y2163N	2
				S100L, N143D, T179S, L935M, I1653V, K1661N,	2

				H1707Q	
				N143D, T179S, I1653V, K1661N, A2014T	1
				S100L, T179S, L935M, I1653V, K1661N, H1707Q	1
R4	T92M		T79A	L835M	6
	T92M		T79A	A173S, L835M	3
	T92M			N547S, L835M, P1720L, V1941I	3
R5	N59S			R511K, R1759K	3
R6					2

  SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES AND LEGENDS:

655 **Table S1:** Variations in the 31 RSV A sequences from Philadelphia. Computed

656 variations showing the combined number of substitutions, insertions, and deletions per

657 gene. Total variations were deduced from the nucleotide sequence alignment, and non-

658 synonymous variations were deduced from the amino acid alignment.

	Total Number of Variations	Number of Non-Synonymous Variations	Non-Synonymous/Total Variations
NS1	60	5	8.33
NS2	45	0	0.00
N	120	3	2.50
P	58	10	17.24
M	81	19	23.46
SH	23	2	8.70
G	287	181	63.07
F	195	19	9.74
M2-1	38	6	15.79
M2-2	30	21	70.00
L	709	130	18.34

666   **Table S2:** GenBank accession number, length of genome, US states, year of sample

667   collection of all 109 RSV A sequences. UNKN indicates sequences of unknown origin

668   within the US.

Accession Number	Length of genome (bp)	US State	Collection Date
h66_lcl|Query_59835	15248	PA	2012
h7_lcl|Query_91531-rc	15332	PA	2012
h75_lcl|Query_44347-rc	15251	PA	2012
OK649680	15177	US - UNKN	2012
OK649681	15225	US - UNKN	2012
OK649682	15242	US - UNKN	2012
OR466338	15330	US - UNKN	2012
OR466339	15261	US - UNKN	2012
cl79_lcl|Query_82317-rc	15017	PA	2013
KU950506	15202	US - UNKN	2013
KX894805	15173	US - UNKN	2013
KY982516	15218	TN	2013
MN531557	15063	US - UNKN	2013
OR466340	15258	US - UNKN	2013
OR466360	15258	US - UNKN	2013
OR466361	15390	US - UNKN	2013
cl99_lcl|Query_27301-rc	15060	PA	2014
KU839637	15173	TN	2014
KU950464	15232	US - UNKN	2014
KU950523	15226	US - UNKN	2014
KU950537	15231	US - UNKN	2014
KU950686	15231	US - UNKN	2014
LC474556	15228	US - UNKN	2014
LC474557	15231	US - UNKN	2014
LC474558	15231	US - UNKN	2014
OK649683	15242	US - UNKN	2014
b10_lcl|Query_60783	15067	PA	2015
b15_lcl|Query_23936	15118	PA	2015
b2_lcl|Query_82755	15090	PA	2015
b23_lcl|Query_50431-rc	15080	PA	2015
b26_lcl|Query_131352-rc	15066	PA	2015

b5_lcl|Query_50351	15069	PA	2015
cl59_lcl|Query_52150-rc	15108	PA	2015
KY967362	15117	US - UNKN	2015
KY967363	15203	US - UNKN	2015
MF001039	14981	US - UNKN	2015
OK649684	15253	US - UNKN	2015
b30_lcl|Query_44628-rc	15062	PA	2016
b32_lcl|Query_380810	15070	PA	2016
b34_lcl|Query_28812	15111	PA	2016
b36_lcl|Query_65777-rc	15070	PA	2016
b37_lcl|Query_99620	15021	PA	2016
b39_lcl|Query_38058	15063	PA	2016
b42_lcl|Query_76864-rc	15075	PA	2016
b43_lcl|Query_118515	15179	PA	2016
b48_lcl|Query_30126-rc	15112	PA	2016
b60_lcl|Query_68232-rc	15056	PA	2016
b63_lcl|Query_85023	15167	PA	2016
b65_lcl|Query_52294-rc	15077	PA	2016
b66_lcl|Query_251188-rc	15127	PA	2016
b75_lcl|Query_46678	15100	PA	2016
b80_lcl|Query_28470-rc	15107	PA	2016
MN630093	15178	AR	2016
MN630096	15141	AR	2016
MN630098	15102	AR	2016
MN630105	15067	AR	2016
MN630106	15160	AR	2016
h81_lcl|Query_50584-rc	15330	PA	2017
h82_lcl|Query_27979	15252	PA	2017
h84_lcl|Query_51805	15361	PA	2017
h86_lcl|Query_16133	15258	PA	2017
MN306017	15260	US - UNKN	2018
MN306021	15236	US - UNKN	2018
MN310477	15129	US - UNKN	2018
MW033958	15267	TN	2018
MN306029	15191	US - UNKN	2019
MN306050	15156	US - UNKN	2019
MN306054	15116	US - UNKN	2019
ON729318	15233	US - UNKN	2019

ON729319	15171	US - UNKN	2019
OR287841	15137	WA	2019
OR287842	15134	WA	2019
OR287846	15197	WA	2019
OR287849	15196	WA	2019
OR287859	15197	WA	2019
OQ331220	15264	WA	2020
OQ331221	15218	WA	2020
OR287917	15013	WA	2020
OR287918	15173	WA	2020
OR287919	15134	WA	2020
OR287927	15198	WA	2020
OR287948	15014	WA	2020
OR287976	15048	WA	2020
OR287984	15168	WA	2020
OR287985	15156	WA	2020
OP965711	15167	WA	2021
OR287986	15046	WA	2021
OR287987	15134	WA	2021
OR287988	15199	WA	2021
OP890317	15261	WA	2022
OP890331	15261	WA	2022
OP890332	15218	WA	2022
OQ024110	15238	MA	2022
OQ024120	15255	MA	2022
OQ171912	15239	MA	2022
OQ171931	15244	MA	2022
OR143176	15222	AZ	2022
OR143187	15224	AZ	2022
OR143219	15224	AZ	2022
OR143160	15220	AZ	2023
OR143161	15162	AZ	2023
OR143163	15221	AZ	2023
OR143171	15224	AZ	2023
OR143184	15226	AZ	2023
OR143185	15231	AZ	2023
OR522508	15172	OR	2023
OR522529	15197	OR	2023

OR601479	15197	WA	2023
OR601480	15197	OR	2023

Table S3: Annotated variations observed in the CDS of replication-associated genes

(N, P, M2, L) of selected 109 RSV A sequences. An asterisk "*" indicates that the

sequence has no variation in the gene when compared to the consensus sequence.

See Excel file uploaded.

Table S4: Complete version of Table 4 showing variations observed in more than 2

sequences. Variations are arranged by their positions in the CDS and assigned to one

of the groups R1-R6. **See Excel file uploaded**.

Table S5: Distribution of 109 sequences within each predicted groups including the

year of sample collection and their locations in the US by states. UNKNs indicates that

sequences are of unknown origin within the US.

Group Name	Number of Sequences	Range of Years	US Locations
R1	43	2012-2020	PA, TN, WA, UNKNs
R2	16	2021-2023	AZ, WA, UNKNs
R3	32	2015-2023	AR, AZ, MA, OR, TN, WA, UNKNs
R4	12	2016-2021	PA, UNKNs
R5	3	2019	UNKNs
R6	3	2012, 2014	OR, UNKNs

683   **Table S6:** Table showing assigned Nextstrain clade and Goya clade of each sequence

684   compared to our predicted R1-R6 groups. Clades were determined using full-length

685   sequences in the Nextclade tool. **See Excel file uploaded**.