Membrane permeability selection drove the stereochemistry of life.

Olivia Goode[1, 2,#], Urszula Łapińska[1,#], Georgina Glover[1], David S. Milner[2], Alyson E. Santoro[3],

Stefano Pagliara[1*], Thomas A. Richards[2*]

[1]Living Systems Institute and Biosciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom

[2]Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

[3]Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa

Barbara, California, United States of America

*Corresponding Authors

[#] These authors have contributed equally to this paper

Abstract

Early in the evolution of life a proto-metabolic network was encapsulated within a membrane

compartment. The permeability characteristics of the membrane determined several key

functions of this network by determining which compounds could enter the compartment

and which compounds could not. One key feature of known life is the utilisation of right-

handed D- ribose and deoxyribose sugars and left-handed L- amino acid stereochemical

isomers (enantiomers), however, it is not clear why life adopted this specific chirality. We

previously demonstrated that an archaeal and an intermediate membrane mimic, bearing a

mixture of bacterial and archaeal lipid characteristics (a 'hybrid' membrane), display

increased permeability compared to bacterial-like membranes. Here, we investigate if these

membranes can drive stereochemical selection on pentose sugars, hexose sugars and amino

acids. Using permeability assays of homogenous unilamellar vesicles, we demonstrate that

both membranes select for D- ribose and deoxyribose sugars while the hybrid membrane

uniquely selects for a reduced alphabet of L- facing amino acids. This repertoire includes

alanine, the plausible first L- amino acid utilised. We conclude such compartments could

provide stereochemical compound selection thereby demonstrating a solution to the chirality

problem during the evolution of life.

Introduction

Life on Earth is defined by a curious and universal stereochemical asymmetry [1, 2]. Specifically, the pentose sugars utilised for DNA and RNA (deoxyribose and ribose) possess three stereocenters imposing a D- (right-handed) stereochemistry. In contrast, 19 of the 20 universal proteinogenic amino acids used possess one or two stereocenters imposing L- (left-handed) stereochemistry on polypeptides. A number of abiotic processes have been proposed for generation of homochiral states (e.g., [1-4]). Yet importantly, autocatalytic polymerisation of mononucleotides proceeds with efficacy in mixtures with high relative ratios of one enantiomer [5]. Similar catalytic processes have been demonstrated for amino acids which polymerise stereo-selectively in abiotic conditions [3, 6]. This demonstrates Wald's conjecture [1], which set out how the polymerisation characteristics of ribonucleotides and amino acids would lead life to preferentially adopt a single stereochemical form. While this explains why life might use homochiral states, it does not explain the conditions under which specific homochiral mixes arose in a biologically proximate system allowing this bias to become hardwired into the central metabolism of life.

Ideas about the origin of life have been shaped by the demonstration that abiotic chemical conditions can generate many compounds utilised by living systems. Compounds generated by these abiotic processes are equal mixes of both enantiomers (racemic). Experimentally simulated prebiotic conditions have been shown to form ribose through the formose reaction [7]. The distribution of variant glycolysis pathways across the tree of life [8, 9] also suggests that glycolysis was an ancestral feature of the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) [10, 11]. Consistent with the phylogenetic age of these pathways, experimental chemical simulations of the early Earth Ocean led to the formation of glycolysis intermediates [12], suggesting pathway intermediates were available for catabolism and as precursors of ribonucleotides and phospholipids. Additional work has suggested that gluconeogenesis preceded glycolysis [13] and, therefore, glucose, and possibly other hexose sugars, were available for early life forms.

Similarly, the Miller experiments [14, 15] demonstrate that a subset of amino acids will form in simulated early Earth conditions. Importantly, these experiments demonstrate glycine and alanine are generated at much higher relative concentration than any other proteinogenic amino acids. Similar amino acid composition has been detected in meteorite-

derived materials [16]. The meteorite data provide important 'outside the lab'
demonstrations that chemical compounds relevant for proto-metabolic function can form in
abiotic conditions.

A systematic review of 40 different criteria has been used to reconstruct the relative
temporal order of amino acid utilisation as life evolved, providing a consensus that glycine
and alanine were the first amino acids utilised [17]. Glycine does not possess any
stereocenters, while alanine has one. As such, the use of L- alanine over D- alanine putatively
imposed L- stereochemistry on all future biogenic proteins. However, the amino acids
generated from the Miller experiments and detected in meteorite samples are racemic,
possessing equal shares of both enantiomers [14, 16], a mixture of compounds that would
restrict the evolution of early metabolic functions [1, 3, 6] without some source of enantiomer
selection.

J. B. S. Haldane discussed how life could have emerged 'enclosed in an oily film' [18],
a scenario which would have separated early biological systems from abiotic mixtures present
in the surrounding environment. Independent of whether life started as a heterotrophic form
[19, 20] (i.e., the 'Oparin-Haldane' theory [21]) or an autotrophic form [12, 22-25], a critical
step in the history of life involved the encapsulation of metabolic functions within a
membrane [26, 27]. Acquisition of a membrane bestowed a proto-cellular form with the
capacity for metabolite accumulation [28], generation of chemical gradients [29], and co-
association of interacting systems necessary for co-function [27]. Compartmentalisation also
generated divisible units, allowing variation in biochemical functions including different
reaction kinetics, upon which Darwinian selection could act [30, 31]. Cell membranes have
been known to be semi-permeable since the late 18th century [32, 33], forming a barrier that
can selectively allow some compounds to pass through while excluding others. The
permeability of proto-cellular membranes must therefore have determined the degree to
which internal membrane space is a chemically distinct environment and therefore the
evolution of early metabolic functions [28, 34, 35].

Fatty acid membrane compartments of various compounds are useful model systems
[36] for understanding protocell evolution. For example, they have been shown to assemble
from meteorite extracts [37] and can be generated from clays in a process which can
encapsulate RNA templates [38]. Such membranes are also permeable to chemical precursors

necessary for core cellular functions [19, 28, 35, 39] and can 'grow' in a process driven by

vesicle content [30, 40] including internalised RNA replication [19]. Nonetheless, there are

chemical limitations to fatty acid membranes as proto-cellular systems; chiefly, such vesicles

would not maintain integrity in environments with high concentrations of divalent cations

(e.g., seawater) [31]. Similarly, they could not host the high concentrations of Mg^{2+} thought

necessary for ribozyme-catalysed polymerase function, which has been suggested to act as

an early replicator in the RNA world hypothesis [19, 41, 42]. These observations suggest that

a phase of evolution using an alternative membrane chemistry was necessary.

The membrane of all extant cellular life is built from variant phospholipids [43]

Experiments have demonstrated that vesicles of eukaryotic membrane analogs (POPC and

DPPC) are permeable to a range of pentose sugars including ribose [35], while vesicles of

bacterial membrane chemical analogs (EPC and DMPC) are permeable to amino acids [34].

Phospholipid vesicles can encapsulate macromolecules upon dehydration-hydration cycles

[44], making them important models for understanding how proto-cellular compartments

could internalise metabolic compounds, templates or catalysts. Furthermore, phospholipids

can form in abiotic conditions [45], can be used to encapsulate RNA polymerisation [46], can

perform budding and division in the presence of *ad hoc* precursors [47], and can withstand

ion concentration gradients [48].

A range of phospholipid forms are used by microbes, often in heterogenous and

varying mixes. Despite this diversity, there are two fundamental core membrane

phospholipid chemistries present in cellular life [43]: bacteria and eukaryotes possess

membranes predominantly composed of fatty acid chains bonded to a glycerol-3-phosphate

(G3P) backbone via ester bonds; a phospholipid with a right-handed stereochemistry. In

contrast, most archaeal membranes are predominantly constructed from diether lipids with

isoprenoid chains containing methyl branches bonded to a glycerol-1-phosphate (G1P)

backbone via ether bonds; a phospholipid with a left-handed stereochemistry [43, 48, 49].

This pattern demonstrates that deep within the tree of life two different lipid forms were

adopted on distinct branches [43]. It is not clear if this transition was from: i) one form to the

other, ii) an intermediate form (for example, a phospholipid with a combination of bacterial

and archaeal characteristic i.e., a 'hybrid' membrane), iii) the fatty acid membranes similar to

the types discussed above, iv) the common ancestor of Archaea and Bacteria possessed a

mixed heterochiral membrane, or v) an intermediate that possessed no membrane; with the

two membrane types adopted later and independently [24, 50, 51]. There is currently limited

consensus on the placement of the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) which would

enable us to polarise the transition in membrane chemistry. Some analyses suggests that the

root of the tree of life may reside between the Bacteria and the Archaea [52-57], whilst

alternative analyses suggest that the root lies within the Bacteria [58-60]. The placement of

the root determines the minimum number and nature of transitions in membrane chemistry

during the evolution of the prokaryotic domains, however, neither rooting scenario excludes

presence of hybrid membrane variants deep within either the Bacteria or the Archaea or at

their common ancestor. Such hybrid forms may have had a critical impact on permeability

characteristics during the early evolution of cellular forms.

Using unilamellar vesicle microfluidic permeability assays, we have previously shown

that archaea-like G1PC phospholipid membranes demonstrate higher permeability to core

metabolites than bacterial-like membranes. These core metabolites include: nucleobases

(that possess no stereochemistry), ribose, deoxyribose and six proteinogenic amino acids

[61]. These experiments also included comparisons with a plausible intermediate 'hybrid'

phospholipid membrane consisting of diether lipids with isoprenoid chains containing methyl

branches (archaeal traits) bonded to a glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) with right-handed

stereochemistry (bacterial traits). Experiments using this hybrid membrane demonstrated

increased permeability compared to both bacterial and archaeal membranes for deoxyribose,

ribose and glycine. Alternative hybrid membrane forms with different combinations of

chemical characteristics showed reduced permeability in comparison to both the hybrid and

archaeal membrane. We therefore suggest that the permeability characteristics of archaeal-

like membranes might have driven the early evolution of proto-cellular metabolism [61].

Historically, few experiments have compared L- and D- enantiomer permeability

through membranes. For example, Nagano in 1902 [62, 63] compared the absorption rate of

a range of sugars across the intestinal membrane of dogs, an experiment that included

comparisons of D- hexose to L- pentose sugars. More recently, Sacerdote and Szostak

compared absorption of L- and D- xylose through four homogeneous vesicle types, including

three different fatty acids and one phospholipid (POPC, a compound similar to eukaryotic

membranes) [35]. This experiment demonstrated no difference in the enantiomer

permeability of xylose. Here, we expand such comparisons to a range of compounds useful

for proto-metabolism and focus on archaeal and hybrid membrane mimics. We focus on these

two membrane mimics because our previous experiments show they display the highest

permeability [61]. The aim of this work is to test the hypothesis that membrane chemistry

imposes permeability selection on enantiomers. We compare the enantiomer permeability

of four pentose sugars, two hexose sugars and twelve amino acids, demonstrating strong

selection for D- ribose and D- deoxyribose in both membrane types. In contrast only the

hybrid membrane shows permeability selection for a handful of L- amino acids including

strong selection for L- alanine. We therefore suggest that early utilisation of a 'hybrid'

membrane chemistry –a possible precursor form to either or both bacterial or archaeal

membrane chemistry– would have allowed enrichment of specific enantiomers and therefore

hardwired a proto-cellular form to utilise L- form amino acids and D- form ribonucleotides.

Results

Pentose sugar permeability shows selection for D- ribose and D- deoxyribose

To explore the possibility that an archaeal or hybrid membrane imposes permeability

selection on different enantiomers we compared permeability of four pentose sugars:

deoxyribose, ribose, xylose and arabinose (Figure 1). Both membranes demonstrate

permeability to ribose, xylose and arabinose and, importantly, show increased permeability

to D- ribose compared to L- ribose (P < 0.01). Stereochemical selection was not evident for

xylose or arabinose.

As discussed above, permeability for D- ribose has been reported for both fatty acid

membranes and some eukaryotic-like phospholipids, but L- and D- rates were not compared

as part of these experiments. However, those experiments did include a comparison of

permeability for L- and D- xylose [35], demonstrating: i) reduced permeability for both

enantiomers of xylose compared to D- ribose, and ii) no difference in permeability between

the two xylose enantiomers through POPC eukaryotic-like phospholipids [35] similar to our

results for xylose in both the membranes tested here (Figure 1). Our experiments therefore

also produce data consistent with the idea that ribose was favoured over xylose or arabinose

by membrane selection (Figure 1, & S1).

L- deoxyribose showed very low average permeability through either membrane type
but, in contrast, D- deoxyribose showed significantly increased permeability through both
membrane types (P < 0.01 and P < 0.0001 for the archaeal and hybrid membrane,
respectively). These results demonstrate that both the archaeal and hybrid membrane can
drive stereochemical selection generating a 'passive sorting mechanism' [35] for D- ribose
and D- deoxyribose. Equivalent comparisons of bacterial membrane mimics do not show
stereochemical selection (Figure S1). The patterns of stereochemical selection observed
through the archaeal and hybrid membranes are consistent with the utilisation of these
compounds as the chemical building blocks for DNA and RNA, the molecules central to the
hereditary, transcription and translational systems of all life [64, 65].

Hexose sugar permeability through archaeal and hybrid membranes

As discussed above, another common function that likely emerged early in the evolution of
life is the glycolysis pathway [11, 12, 49]. This pathway provides chemical precursors for both
RNA and phospholipid compounds, suggesting a varied role in the evolution of life [50].
Although there is considerable differentiation in the glycolysis pathways across the tree of
life, and in many cases enzymes of the pathway are not encoded by homologous genes [8, 9],
both archaea and bacteria can use glucose and fructose as a primary carbon source [66-68].

To understand how early cellular forms could make use of abiotically derived glucose
or fructose [12, 13] (both with four stereocenters), we performed permeability selection
assays through the archaeal and hybrid membranes. These data show both hexoses can
permeate through these membrane forms; but a minor (P < 0.01), selection for D- fructose
over L- fructose enantiomers was observed through the archaeal membrane (Figure 2). We
found no selection for L- or D- fructose enantiomers through a bacterial membrane (Figure
S1). These data suggest utilisation of the archaeal membrane could have generated a bias for
a D- fructose precursor providing a D- source metabolite bias for glycolytic metabolism.

Amino acid permeability through archaeal and hybrid membranes

We previously demonstrated that archaeal membranes have a higher permeability to glycine
and alanine compared to bacterial membranes [61]. To explore if the archaeal and the hybrid
membranes impose selection on alanine, we compared permeability of L- and D- alanine
enantiomers. We found no differential selection through the archaeal membrane, but strong

selection for the L- alanine enantiomer in comparison to the effective exclusion of D- alanine

in the hybrid membrane (P < 0.0001, Figure 3). We also note that we had previously

demonstrated that L- alanine does not permeate through bacterial membranes [61]. This

result demonstrates a passive L- alanine sorting mechanism consistent with the amino acid

stereochemical asymmetry early in the evolution of amino acid proteinogenic utilisation, but

only in the hybrid membrane and not the archaeal membrane mimic tested.

To further explore stereochemical permeability selection, we performed permeability

assays on 11 additional proteinogenic amino acids. These 11 amino acids included seven

amino acids (V, D, E, S, L, T, I) that were shown to be produced from the Miller experiments

but at much lower molar concentrations than alanine or glycine [14, 15]. The amino acids

analysed include compounds with two stereocenters (T, I) and a range of differing physical-

chemical properties including: three non-polar (V, L, I, Figure 3), five polar (S, T, N, C, Q, Figure

4), one positively and two negatively charged amino acids (R, D, E, respectively, Figure 5).

These assays confirmed that all 14 amino acids tested (when including glycine and tryptophan

previously analysed [61]) permeated through the archaeal membrane (Figures 3-5).

Furthermore, focusing on amino acids where enantiomers were compared: I, S, C, R and D

amino acids demonstrated enantiomer selection through the archaeal membrane. However,

these results were mixed, with only arginine (R) showing L- selection while the other four (D,

C, S, I) showed D- selection (Figures 3-5). This pattern is not consistent with how life evolved

to use amino acid enantiomers.

In contrast, stereochemical permeability assays through the hybrid membrane (a

phospholipid with the opposite D- stereochemistry than the archaeal membrane [43])

demonstrated fewer amino acids could permeate this membrane. Specifically, C, E, S, T and

V showed no permeability in contrast to the archaeal membrane (Figures 3-5). Consistent

with the alanine results discussed above, the hybrid membrane showed L- enantiomer

selection for L, Q, R, and D amino acids (Figures 3-5), demonstrating how this membrane can

generate passive selection for a reduced alphabet of L- amino acids. These results show that

specific chemical characteristics of the membrane phospholipid can determine membrane

permeability function. We also note, as the hybrid membrane effectively excluded multiple

amino acid enantiomers, these experiments confirm that the amino acid selectivity

represents true differences in membrane permeability function (see also Figure S3 for further
controls).

To test for enantiomer selection through the bacterial membrane we compared L- and
D- permeability of R, C, and L amino acids through a bacterial mimic. These amino acids were
chosen as they showed very different enantiomer permeability through archaeal and hybrid
membranes (Figure 3-5). These experiments demonstrate no evidence of enantiomer
selection through the bacterial membrane (Figure S2).

Discussion

Using controlled unilamellar vesicle experiments, we demonstrate that a model archaeal
membrane and one hybrid membrane form drive stereochemical permeability selection
(summarised in Table 1). These data suggest an ancient phase of evolution based on either of
these two-membrane chassis could have driven selection for the stereochemical
characteristics of proto-metabolism. Specifically, an evolutionary bottleneck dependent on
either membrane chemistry tested would have generated a high level of selection for D-
ribose and D- deoxyribose, hard-wiring the use of right-handed ribonucleotides. Strikingly, a
cell-type with a hybrid membrane -a possible precursor of both archaeal and bacterial
membrane types- could have hardwired both the use of D- ribonucleotide oligonucleotides
and L- form amino acids, although initially with a reduced alphabet of amino acids. Given
current data, we advocate for a phase of evolution prior to, or at the last common ancestor,
which was a hybrid membrane consisting of diether lipids with isoprenoid chains containing
methyl branches (archaeal traits) bonded to a glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) with right-handed
stereochemistry (bacterial traits). We note that this scenario only represents one phase of
membrane evolution in a long history of possible membrane transitions between the first
'life' form and the last common ancestor. As such this scenario is compatible with many
previously suggested ancestries for the prokaryotic domains (e.g., [24, 50, 51]).

Different phylogenomic analyses have suggested that the universal common ancestor
lies between the Archaea and the Bacteria [52-57], or potentially within the Bacteria [58-60].
Our results do not directly inform this debate, as multiple transitions in membrane chemistry
are possible; indeed, the transition through the hybrid membrane we advocate could have
occurred before the derivation of either the Archaea or the Bacteria. It is also important to
mention that a pure form membrane, as used here, is implausible as an early proto-cellular

chassis, especially if they were derived from an abiotic source [45] and occurred prior to the

emergence of a dedicated metabolic network for the generation of membrane forming

compounds. It is not clear, at this stage, if mixed membranes encompassing the types of

phospholipids used here are viable, although experiments with *E. coli* suggest glycerol-1-

phosphate and glycerol-3-phosphate lipids can form a heterochiral membrane [69].

Furthermore, if it is possible to form mixed phospholipid unilamellar vesicles, would such

membranes generate similar patterns of permeability selection identified here. Future works

should focus on assessing the stability and permeability function of mixed membranes.

The results reported here also demonstrate that additional bottlenecks through an

archaeal type membrane could have hard-wired bias for D- hexose sugars for metabolic

utilisation such as glycolysis, as demonstrated by our result of increased archaeal membrane

permeability to D- fructose (Figure 2). However, this was not a strong result, and the

possibility of two bottlenecks with differing membrane chemistries leaves some confusion

regarding the relative inferred order of membrane utilisation relative to the tree of life. We

also note that glycolytic catabolism of hexose sugars does not impose similar constraints on

enantiomer utilisation as would the emergence of the anabolic polymerisation of ribonucleic

acids and amino acid polypeptides [1]. This is because hexose compounds are primarily

broken down for metabolic benefit and not polymerised for storage or translation of

information in the form of DNA, RNA and amino acid polypeptides. Differential utilisation, i.e.,

polymerisation in the case of amino acids and ribonucleotides vs catabolic metabolism in the

case of glycolysis of hexoses, therefore suggests that the stereochemical permeability

selection on precursors of glycolysis is not as an important a factor as precursors of the

compounds utilised in the central dogma.

We acknowledge that wider analysis of membrane types, including bacterial-mimics

may reveal additional permeability selection traits informative for understanding proto-

cellular membrane evolution. We have partly investigated this possibility in a bacterial

membrane mimic for three pentose sugars, one hexose sugar and three amino acids (Figure

S1-2). These were chosen as they showed a wide variation of permeability characteristics in

the archaeal and the hybrid membranes tested (Table 1). In all these tests the bacterial

membrane mimic demonstrated no stereochemical selection, an outcome that could be a

function of their lower permeability identified on our experimental platform [61].

Here we have discussed published examples of chemical polymerisation of

nucleotides and amino acids in abiotic conditions [1, 3, 5, 6]. In these examples both types of

polymerisation are limited when conducted in racemic mixes of substrate due to enantiomer

compatibility and the reducing availability of compatible enantiomers as polymerisation

progresses in a closed pool of chemical precursors. The membrane permeability selection

demonstrated here would solve this problem by providing a compartment with specific

enantiomer enrichment, allowing polymerisation to progress without inhibition generated by

racemic mixes of the constituent subunits. Such a system would have multiple advantages in

competition over protocells without enantiomer selection. Specifically, both permeability and

polymerisation selection would act synergistically to generate competitive advantage and

therefore Darwinian selection [30, 31]. Understanding how life began is a jigsaw puzzle [70];

the utilisation of a hybrid phospholipid membrane to derive stereochemical selection for

deoxyribose, ribose and a reduced alphabet of amino acids (Table 1) is a potential piece of

that puzzle. These observations are valuable information towards explaining the chirality

problem as part of the origin of life, however we acknowledge that these observations do

need testing with alternative methods.

Methods

All methods are adaptations of those reported in Łapińska et al. 2023 [61].

Preparation of materials

All chemicals were purchased from Merck. All Lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids

[i.e. 1,2-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycero-1-phosphocholine, 1,2-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycero-3-

phosphocholine, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-

phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) and 1,3-bis(sn 3'-phosphatidyl)-sn-glycerol)]. Indium tin oxide (ITO)-

coated glass slides were purchased from VisionTek Systems. The fluorescent probe 5(6)-

carboxyfluorescein (CF), (MW=376 g/mol), was dissolved in absolute ethanol at a stock

concentration of 10 mg/mL. In order to perform all permeability experiments at physiological

pH (7.4), the washing buffer was prepared by dissolving sucrose (MW = 342 g/mol) in 5 mM

4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesul-fonic acid (HEPES) at pH=7.4 at a final sucrose

concentration of 195 mM. All metabolites were dissolved in the washing buffer to a final

concentration of 1 mM.

Preparation of synthetic vesicles

Giant unilamellar vesicles were electroformed using a Vesicle Prep Pro (Nanion) [71]. Three different lipid stocks; archaeal lipid mimic (G1PC) (2,3-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycero-1-phosphocholine), hybrid lipid mimic (G3PC) (1,2-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) and bacterial lipid mimic mixed of three lipids: 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) and 1,3-bis(sn-3'-phosphatidyl)-sn-glycerol were prepared to a final concentration of 10 mM dissolved in chloroform (Table S1.). Next, 10 μL of each lipid solution was spread evenly onto the conductive side of an ITO-glass slide and vacuum desiccated to dry the solution. Meanwhile, 495 μL of washing buffer was degassed and mixed with 5 μL of 10 mg/ml of 5(6)-CF solution to a final concentration of 0.266 mM. The lipid coated ITO-glass slide was loaded into the Nanion and a greased rubber O-ring was placed over the dried layer. The area inside the O-ring was filled with 300 μL of 0.266 mM CF solution and another ITO-glass slide conductive side down was placed over, avoiding the formation of bubbles. Different electroformation protocols were implemented depending on the lipid used (see Table S2.). The final suspension contains CF fluorescent vesicles and free CF molecules, which were stored at 4°C for 2 days maximum.

Fabrication of the microfluidic device

The microfluidic device is described in detail in Łapińska, 2023 [61]. In short, the device contains two inlets connecting to a main chamber split into 4 channels, these contain hydrodynamic traps (also known as coves) with the chambers finally leading to a single outlet. Using a mould created by multilevel photolithography [72], polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) replicas of the device were produced. In summary, a 10:1 (base:curing agent) PDMS mixture (SYLGARD 184 Silicone Elastomer Kit, Dow) was poured over the mould and degassed for 30 mins, this was cured for 2 hr at 70°C in an oven. The PDMS was peeled from the mould and a 1.5 mm biopsy punch was used to create fluidic access. To irreversibly seal the PDMS replica to a glass slide, oxygen plasma treatment was used (10 sec exposure to 30W plasma power, Plasma etcher, Diener Electronic GmbH).

Microfluidic permeability assay

Washing buffer was injected into the buffer inlet until the device was flooded completely and
escaping the metabolite inlet and outlet. The metabolite inlet was then sealed with tape and
10 μL of the fluorescent vesicle suspension was pipetted into the washing inlet. The tape
prevented fluorescent vesicle suspension entering the metabolite inlet. The chip was
mounted onto an inverted epifluorescence microscope (Olympus IX73) equipped with a
sCMOS camera (Zyla 4.2, Andor, used at an exposure time of 0.1s), a blue LED (CoolLED
pE300white, used at 30% of its intensity) and a FITC filter. Prepared 1 mL syringes were filled
with washing buffer, and the second with 1 mM metabolite of interest were connected to 23-
gauge needles (Becton Dickinson) and Masterflex Transfer Tygon tubing with 0.5 mm inner
and 1.5 mm outer diameter (Cole-Parmer Instrument). These were controlled by a Nemesys
pump operated via the Qmix Elements software (Centoni). The tape was removed from the
metabolite inlet and the tubing containing the metabolite of interest was inserted flowing at
a rate of 0.5 μL/hr. Then, the tubing containing the washing buffer was inserted into the
remaining inlet, flowing at a rate of 5 μL/hr. These flow rates were increased in a stepwise
fashion at steps of 0.5 μL/hr up to 2 μL/hr for the metabolite solution and 5 μL/hr up to 25
μL/hr for the washing buffer. These were kept constant for 20 mins to remove any free CF
molecules from the microfluidic device. After this time the metabolite solution was increased
to 25 μL/hr and the washing buffer solution was reduced to 1 μL/hr. Brightfield and
fluorescent imaging of an area containing 14 coves were acquired every 30 sec for 3 mins.

Vesicle free carboxyfluorescein and metabolite interaction assays

To explore the possibility that compound stereochemical variation altered carboxyfluorescein
function, we ran separate microplate reader experiments demonstrating that the
stereochemistry of the metabolic compound does not have a differential effect on the
fluorescence of carboxyfluorescein (Figure S3), the reporter used throughout these
experiments. These data provide a further control for our permeability selection data
reported above.

Washing buffer was used to dissolve CF to a concentration of 0.532 mM and 100 μL
was added to wells of a 96-well plate (Costar 96, Fisher Scientific). Then, 100 μL of 1 mM
metabolite solution was added to each well and the plate placed within a Clariostar Plus plate
reader (BMG Labtech, UK) for fluorescence data acquisition over 30 mins (Excitation 483-14,

Emission 596, with a FITC filter). Three independent experiments were carried out for each

metabolite.

Image and data analysis

Images for each membrane/metabolite combination tested, at every timepoint, were

exported into ImageJ for quantitative comparative analysis. Only single unilamellar vesicles

were retained for analysis and a circle was drawn around each vesicle. A second identical

circle was drawn in an area 10 μm upstream of the vesicle, which was then used to produce

background-subtracted values for intra-vesicle fluorescence. The initial intra-vesicle

fluorescence value (t=0) was subtracted. The values were then corrected for the impact of

both the delivery of the washing buffer solution and photobleaching on the intra-vesicle CF

fluorescence signal (see methods detailed in [73]). The permeability of each membrane mimic

was measured in three independent experiments. Statistical comparisons were carried out

using the Welch's t test.

Acknowledgements

405 We thank Nick Irwin for informative discussions relating to this work.

Funding

This work was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF) Marine

Microbiology Initiative (GBMF5514 to TAR, SP and AES). This research is also funded in part

by the GBMF Symbiosis in Aquatic Systems Initiative (GBMF9730 to AES and TAR). UL and SP

were also supported by a BBSRC responsive mode award (BB/V008021/1) and an EPSRC

frontier research guarantee research grant (EP/Y023528/1). TAR is supported by a Royal

Society University Research Fellowship (URF\R\191005) and a fellowship from

Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests

416 The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

1.   Wald G. The origin of optical activity. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences.
1957;69(2):352-68. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1957.tb49671.x.

2. Blackmond DG. The origin of biological homochirality. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.
2010;2(5):a002147. Epub 20100331. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002147. PubMed
PMID: 20452962; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2857173.
3. Bonner WA, Blair NE, Dirbas FM. Experiments on the abiotic amplification of optical
activity. Origins of life. 1981;11(1):119-34. doi: 10.1007/BF00928003.
4. Fajszi C, Czégé J. Critical evaluation of mathematical models for the amplification of
chirality. Origins of life. 1981;11(1):143-62. doi: 10.1007/BF00928005.
5. Joyce GF, Visser GM, van Boeckel CA, van Boom JH, Orgel LE, van Westrenen J. Chiral
selection in poly(C)-directed synthesis of oligo(G). Nature. 1984;310(5978):602-4. doi:
10.1038/310602a0. PubMed PMID: 6462250.
6. Tsuruta T, Inoue S, Matsuura K. Asymmetric selection in the copolymerization of N-
carboxy-L- and D-alanine anhydride. Biopolymers. 1967;5:313-9.
7. Breslow R. On the mechanism of the formose reaction. Tetrahedron Lett 1959;21:22-6.
8. Chen X, Schreiber K, Appel J, Makowka A, Fähnrich B, Roettger M, et al. The Entner-
Doudoroff pathway is an overlooked glycolytic route in cyanobacteria and plants. Proc
Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016;113(19):5441-6. Epub 20160425. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1521916113. PubMed PMID: 27114545; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4868481.
9. Siebers B, Schönheit P. Unusual pathways and enzymes of central carbohydrate
metabolism in Archaea. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2005;8(6):695-705. Epub 20051026. doi:
10.1016/j.mib.2005.10.014. PubMed PMID: 16256419.
10. Coleman GA, Davín AA, Mahendrarajah TA, Szánthó LL, Spang A, Hugenholtz P, et al. A
rooted phylogeny resolves early bacterial evolution. Science.
2021;372(6542):eabe0511. doi: 10.1126/science.abe0511.
11. Crapitto AJ, Campbell A, Harris AJ, Goldman AD. A consensus view of the proteome of
the last universal common ancestor. Ecology and Evolution. 2022;12(6):e8930. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8930.
12. Keller MA, Turchyn AV, Ralser M. Non-enzymatic glycolysis and pentose phosphate
pathway-like reactions in a plausible Archean ocean. Mol Syst Biol. 2014;10(4):725.
Epub 20140425. doi: 10.1002/msb.20145228. PubMed PMID: 24771084; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4023395.
13. Say RF, Fuchs G. Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase/phosphatase may be an ancestral
gluconeogenic enzyme. Nature. 2010;464(7291):1077-81. Epub 20100328. doi:
10.1038/nature08884. PubMed PMID: 20348906.
14. Johnson AP, Cleaves HJ, Dworkin JP, Glavin DP, Lazcano A, Bada JL. The Miller volcanic
spark discharge experiment. Science. 2008;322(5900):404. doi:
10.1126/science.1161527. PubMed PMID: 18927386.
15. Miller SL. A production of amino acids under possible primitive earth conditions.
Science. 1953;117(3046):528-9. doi: 10.1126/science.117.3046.528.
16. Wolman Y, Haverland WJ, Miller SL. Nonprotein amino acids from spark discharges and
their comparison with the murchison meteorite amino acids. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
1972;69(4):809-11. doi: 10.1073/pnas.69.4.809. PubMed PMID: 16591973; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC426569.
17. Trifonov EN. Consensus temporal order of amino acids and evolution of the triplet code.
Gene. 2000;261(1):139-51. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1119(00)00476-5. PubMed PMID:
11164045.
18. Haldane JBS. The origin of life. The rationalist annual. 1929;148:3-10.

19. Mansy SS, Schrum JP, Krishnamurthy M, Tobé S, Treco DA, Szostak JW. Template-
directed synthesis of a genetic polymer in a model protocell. Nature.
2008;454(7200):122-5. Epub 20080604. doi: 10.1038/nature07018. PubMed PMID:
18528332; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2743009.
20. Oparin AI. The Origin of Life. New York: MacMillan; 1938.
21. Miller SL, Schopf JW, Lazcano A. Oparin's ``Origin of Life'': sixty years later. J Mol Evol.
1997;44(4):351-3. doi: 10.1007/PL00006153.
22. Harrison SA, Palmeira RN, Halpern A, Lane N. A biophysical basis for the emergence of
the genetic code in protocells. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg. 2022;1863(8):148597.
Epub 20220719. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2022.148597. PubMed PMID: 35868450.
23. Herrera A. Plasmogeny. In: Alexander J, editor. Colloid chemistry: theoretical and
applied. New York: Reinhold; 1928. p. 81-91.
24. Martin W, Russell MJ. On the origins of cells: a hypothesis for the evolutionary
transitions from abiotic geochemistry to chemoautotrophic prokaryotes, and from
prokaryotes to nucleated cells. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2003;358(1429):59-
83. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2002.1183. PubMed PMID: 12594918; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC1693102.
25. Wächtershäuser G. Evolution of the first metabolic cycles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
1990;87(1):200-4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.1.200. PubMed PMID: 2296579; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC53229.
26. Deamer D. Membranes and the origin of life: a century of conjecture. J Mol Evol.
2016;83(5):159-68. doi: 10.1007/s00239-016-9770-8.
27. Szostak JW, Bartel DP, Luisi PL. Synthesizing life. Nature. 2001;409(6818):387-90. doi:
10.1038/35053176. PubMed PMID: 11201752.
28. Mansy SS. Membrane transport in primitive cells. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.
2010;2(8):a002188. Epub 20100421. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002188. PubMed
PMID: 20679338; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2908771.
29. Lane N, Martin WF. The origin of membrane bioenergetics. Cell. 2012;151(7):1406-16.
doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.11.050. PubMed PMID: 23260134.
30. Chen IA, Roberts RW, Szostak JW. The emergence of competition between model
protocells. Science. 2004;305(5689):1474-6. doi: 10.1126/science.1100757. PubMed
PMID: 15353806; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4484590.
31. Monnard PA, Deamer DW. Membrane self-assembly processes: steps toward the first
cellular life. Anat Rec. 2002;268(3):196-207. doi: 10.1002/ar.10154. PubMed PMID:
12382318.
32. Deamer DW K, A. Fambrough, D Membrane permeability: 100 years since Ernest
Overton. San Diego: Academics Press; 1999.
33. Lombard J. Once upon a time the cell membranes: 175 years of cell boundary research.
Biology Direct. 2014;9(1):32. doi: 10.1186/s13062-014-0032-7.
34. Chakrabarti AC, Deamer DW. Permeability of lipid bilayers to amino acids and
phosphate. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes. 1992;1111(2):171-7.
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736(92)90308-9.
35. Sacerdote MG, Szostak JW. Semipermeable lipid bilayers exhibit diastereoselectivity
favoring ribose. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(17):6004-8. doi:
doi:10.1073/pnas.0408440102.
36. Gebicki JM, Hicks M. Ufasomes are stable particles surrounded by unsaturated fatty acid
membranes. Nature. 1973;243(5404):232-4. doi: 10.1038/243232a0.

37.   Deamer DW. Boundary structures are formed by organic components of the Murchison
515   carbonaceous chondrite. Nature. 1985;317(6040):792-4. doi: 10.1038/317792a0.

516   38.   Hanczyc MM, Fujikawa SM, Szostak JW. Experimental models of primitive cellular
517   compartments: encapsulation, growth, and division. Science. 2003;302(5645):618-22.
518   doi: 10.1126/science.1089904. PubMed PMID: 14576428; PubMed Central PMCID:
519   PMCPMC4484575.

520   39.   Hargreaves WR, Deamer DW. Liposomes from ionic, single-chain amphiphiles.
521   Biochemistry. 1978;17(18):3759-68. doi: 10.1021/bi00611a014. PubMed PMID:
522   698196.

523   40.   Walde P, Wick R, Fresta M, Mangone A, Luisi PL. Autopoietic self-reproduction of fatty
524   acid vesicles. J Am Chem Soc. 1994;116(26):11649-54. doi: 10.1021/ja00105a004.

525   41.   Schrum JP, Zhu TF, Szostak JW. The origins of cellular life. Cold Spring Harb Perspect
526   Biol. 2010;2(9):a002212. Epub 20100519. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002212. PubMed
527   PMID: 20484387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2926753.

528   42.   Glasner ME, Yen CC, Ekland EH, Bartel DP. Recognition of nucleoside triphosphates
529   during RNA-catalyzed primer extension. Biochem. 2000;39(50):15556-62. doi:
530   10.1021/bi002174z. PubMed PMID: 11112542.

531   43.   Lombard J, López-García P, Moreira D. The early evolution of lipid membranes and the
532   three domains of life. Nat Rev Micro. 2012;10(7):507-15. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2815.

533   44.   Deamer DW, Barchfeld GL. Encapsulation of macromolecules by lipid vesicles under
534   simulated prebiotic conditions. J Mol Evol. 1982;18(3):203-6. doi: 10.1007/bf01733047.
535   PubMed PMID: 7097780.

536   45.   Hargreaves WR, Mulvihill SJ, Deamer DW. Synthesis of phospholipids and membranes
537   in prebiotic conditions. Nature. 1977;266(5597):78-80. doi: 10.1038/266078a0.

538   46.   Chakrabarti AC, Breaker RR, Joyce GF, Deamer DW. Production of RNA by a polymerase
539   protein encapsulated within phospholipid vesicles. J Mol Evol. 1994;39(6):555-9. doi:
540   10.1007/BF00160400.

541   47.   Castro JM, Sugiyama H, Toyota T. Budding and division of giant vesicles linked to
542   phospholipid production. Scientific Reports. 2019;9(1):165. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-
543   36183-9.

544   48.   Mathai JC, Sprott GD, Zeidel ML. Molecular mechanisms of water and solute transport
545   across archaebacterial lipid membranes. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(29):27266-71. Epub
546   20010523. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M103265200. PubMed PMID: 11373291.

547   49.   Coleman GA, Pancost RD, Williams TA. Investigating the origins of membrane
548   phospholipid biosynthesis genes using outgroup-free rooting. Genome Biol Evol.
549   2019;11(3):883-98. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evz034.

550   50.   Peretó J, López-García P, Moreira D. Ancestral lipid biosynthesis and early membrane
551   evolution. Trends in Bioch Sci. 2004;29(9):469-77. doi:
552   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2004.07.002.

553   51.   Wächtershäuser G. From pre-cells to Eukarya – a tale of two lipids. Mol Micro.
554   2003;47(1):13-22. doi: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03267.x.

555   52.   Gogarten JP, Kibak H, Dittrich P, Taiz L, Bowman EJ, Bowman BJ, et al. Evolution of the
556   vacuolar H+-ATPase: implications for the origin of eukaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
557   1989;86(17):6661-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.86.17.6661. PubMed PMID: 2528146; PubMed
558   Central PMCID: PMCPMC297905.

53.   Brown JR, Doolittle WF. Root of the universal tree of life based on ancient aminoacyl-
tRNA synthetase gene duplications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995;92(7):2441-5. doi:
10.1073/pnas.92.7.2441.
54.   Iwabe N, Kuma K, Hasegawa M, Osawa S, Miyata T. Evolutionary relationship of
archaebacteria, eubacteria, and eukaryotes inferred from phylogenetic trees of
duplicated genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1989;86(23):9355-9. doi:
10.1073/pnas.86.23.9355.
55.   Gribaldo S, Cammarano P. The root of the universal tree of life inferred from anciently
duplicated genes encoding components of the protein-targeting machinery. J Mol Evol.
1998;47(5):508-16. doi: 10.1007/PL00006407.
56.   Dagan T, Roettger M, Bryant D, Martin W. Genome networks root the tree of life
between prokaryotic domains. Genome Biol Evol. 2010;2:379-92. Epub 20100712. doi:
10.1093/gbe/evq025. PubMed PMID: 20624742; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2997548.
57.   Zhaxybayeva O, Lapierre P, Gogarten JP. Ancient gene duplications and the root(s) of
the tree of life. Protoplasma. 2005;227(1):53-64. Epub 20051230. doi: 10.1007/s00709-
005-0135-1. PubMed PMID: 16389494.
58.   Lake JA, Skophammer RG, Herbold CW, Servin JA. Genome beginnings: rooting the tree
of life. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2009;364(1527):2177-85. doi:
10.1098/rstb.2009.0035. PubMed PMID: 19571238; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2873003.
59.   Skophammer RG, Servin JA, Herbold CW, Lake JA. Evidence for a gram-positive,
eubacterial root of the tree of life. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24(8):1761-8. Epub 20070519.
doi: 10.1093/molbev/msm096. PubMed PMID: 17513883.
60.   Lake JA, Servin JA, Herbold CW, Skophammer RG. Evidence for a new root of the tree of
life. Systematic Biology. 2008;57(6):835-43.
61.   Łapińska U, Glover G, Kahveci Z, Irwin NAT, Milner DS, Tourte M, et al. Systematic
comparison of unilamellar vesicles reveals that archaeal core lipid membranes are more
permeable than bacterial membranes. PLOS Biology. 2023;21(4):e3002048. doi:
10.1371/journal.pbio.3002048.
62.   Nagano J. Zur kenntniss der resorption einfacher, im besonderen stereoisomer zucker
im dünndarm. Pflugers Arch. 1902;90:389–404.
63.   Richards TA, McAleer, K., Kilias, E.,. Translation from German to English of: *Zur*
kenntniss der resorption einfacher, im besonderen stereoisomer zucker im dünndarm
by Junzo Nagano. Cambridge Open Engage. 2004. doi: doi:10.33774/coe-2024-0jdvn-v2
64.   Banfalvi G. Why ribose was selected as the sugar component of nucleic acids. DNA Cell
Biol. 2006;25(3):189-96. doi: 10.1089/dna.2006.25.189. PubMed PMID: 16569198.
65.   Crick F. Central dogma of molecular biology. Nature. 1970;227(5258):561-3. doi:
10.1038/227561a0. PubMed PMID: 4913914.
66.   Altekar W, Rangaswamy V. Degradation of endogenous fructose during catabolism of
sucrose and mannitol in halophilic archaebacteria. Archives of Microbiology.
1992;158(5):356-63. doi: 10.1007/BF00245365.
67.   Bräsen C, Esser D, Rauch B, Siebers B. Carbohydrate metabolism in Archaea: current
insights into unusual enzymes and pathways and their regulation. Micro Mol Biol Rev.
2014;78(1):89-175. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.00041-13.

68.   Johnsen U, Selig M, Xavier KB, Santos H, Schönheit P. Different glycolytic pathways for
605   glucose and fructose in the halophilic archaeon *Halococcus saccharolyticus*. Arch
606   Microbiol. 2001;175(1):52-61. doi: 10.1007/s002030000237. PubMed PMID: 11271421.

607   69.   Caforio A, Siliakus MF, Exterkate M, Jain S, Jumde VR, Andringa RLH, et al. Converting
608   Escherichia coli into an archaebacterium with a hybrid heterochiral membrane. Proc
609   Natl   Acad   Sci   USA.   2018;115(14):3704-9.   Epub   20180319.   doi:
610   10.1073/pnas.1721604115. PubMed PMID: 29555770; PubMed Central PMCID:
611   PMCPMC5889666.

612   70.   Deamer DW. The role of lipid membranes in life's origin. Life 2017;7(1):5.

613   71.   Cama J, Al Nahas K, Fletcher M, Hammond K, Ryadnov MG, Keyser UF, Pagliara S. An
614   ultrasensitive microfluidic approach reveals correlations between the physico-chemical
615   and biological activity of experimental peptide antibiotics. Scientific Reports.
616   2022;12(1):4005. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-07973-z.

617   72.   Pagliara S, Chimerel C, Langford R, Aarts DGAL, Keyser UF. Parallel sub-micrometre
618   channels with different dimensions for laser scattering detection. Lab on a Chip.
619   2011;11(19):3365-8. doi: 10.1039/C1LC20399A.

620   73.   Cama J, Schaich M, Al Nahas K, Hernández-Ainsa S, Pagliara S, Keyser UF. Direct
621   optofluidic measurement of the lipid permeability of fluoroquinolones. Scientific
622   Reports. 2016;6(1):32824. doi: 10.1038/srep32824.

Table

	Archaeal permeability L/D	Hybrid permeability L/D	Archaeal enantiomer selection	Hybrid enantiomer selection
Ribose	++/+++++	+++/+++++	**	**
Deoxyribose	+/++++	+/+++++	**	****
Xylose	+++/+++	+++/+++		
Arabinose	+++/+++	++/+++		
Fructose	+++/++++	+++/+++	**	
Glucose	+++/+++	+++/+++		
Alanine	+++/+++	+++/+		****
Valine	+++/+++	+/+		
Leucine	++/+++	+++/++		**
Isoleucine	+++/++++	+/++	**	
Serine	+++/+++++	+/+	*	
Threonine	+++/++++	-/+		
Glutamine	++/+	+++++/+		*
Cysteine	+++/++++	+/+	****	
Asparagine	+++/+++	++/+++		
Arginine	+++/++++	++/-	***	***
Aspartic acid	++/+++	+++/++	***	*
Glutamic acid	+++/+++	+/+		

Table 1. Summary of permeability and enantiomer selection data for the eighteen substrates tested against the two membranes. Carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence after 3 minutes of exposure to each substrate at a concentration of 1 mM is summarised as follows: <0 = -; 0-5 a.u. = +, 5-10 a.u. = ++, 10-15 a.u. = +++, 15-20 a.u. = ++++, >20 a.u. = +++++. Statistical support for different enantiomer selection is indicated: ****: p-value < 0.0001, ***: p-value < 0.001, **: p-value < 0.01,*: p-value < 0.05. Enantiomer selection consistent with the stereochemical bias of life is coloured blue. Enantiomer selection that contradicts the stereochemical bias of life is coloured orange.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Membrane permeability to pentose sugars shows bias for D- ribose and D-deoxyribose. Temporal dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the archaea-like G1PC phospholipid membrane (G1PC, filled symbols) and in the 'hybrid' phospholipid membrane (G3PC, open symbols) during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered to the microfluidic coves at constant rate. Mean (symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10 single-vesicle measurements across three independent experiments. Lines connecting points are provided as a guide. N is the number of single vesicles investigated for each substrate exposure and each type of vesicle. N varies across different substrate experiments investigated due to technical constraints. However, care has been taken to obtain the same N for each substrate experiment across the two different enantiomer treatments in order to ensure reliable statistical comparisons. Such comparisons have been carried out via Welch's t-tests between the distributions of CF fluorescence values at t = 3 min for each enantiomer pair and are shown with corresponding violin plots next to each time-course graph (C, F, I, L). ****: p-value < 0.0001, **: p-value < 0.01. Numerical values of CF fluorescence in individual vesicles for each lipid type during the delivery of each substrate are provided in S1 File and S2 File.

Figure 2. Hexose sugar permeability through archaeal and hybrid membranes. Temporal dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the archaea-like G1PC phospholipid membrane (G1PC, filled symbols) and in the 'hybrid' phospholipid membrane (G3PC, open symbols) during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered to the microfluidic coves. Mean (symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10 single-vesicle measurements across three independent experiments. Data are processed and illustrated as described in Figure 1 legend. N is the number of single vesicles investigated for each substrate exposure and each type of vesicles. **: p-value < 0.01. Numerical values of CF fluorescence in individual vesicles for each lipid type during the delivery of each substrate are provided in S1 File and S2 File.

Figure 3. Archaeal and hybrid membrane permeability to non-polar amino acids. Temporal dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the archaea-like G1PC phospholipid membrane (G1PC, filled symbols) and in the 'hybrid' phospholipid membrane (G3PC, open symbols) during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered to the

microfluidic coves. Data are processed and illustrated as described in Figure 1 legend. Mean

(symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10 single-vesicle

measurements across three independent experiments. N is the number of single vesicles

investigated for each substrate exposure and each type of vesicles. ****: p-value < 0.0001,

**: p-value < 0.01. Numerical values of CF fluorescence in individual vesicles for each lipid

type during the delivery of each substrate are provided in S1 File and S2 File.

Figure 4. Polar amino acid permeability through archaeal and hybrid membranes. Temporal

dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the archaea-like G1PC

phospholipid membrane (G1PC, filled symbols) and in the 'hybrid' phospholipid membrane

(G3PC, open symbols) during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered to the

microfluidic coves. Data are processed and illustrated as described in Figure 1 legend. Mean

(symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10 single-vesicle

measurements across three independent experiments. N is the number of single vesicles

investigated for each substrate exposure and each type of vesicles. ****: p-value < 0.0001, *:

p-value < 0.05. Numerical values of CF fluorescence in individual vesicles for each lipid type

during the delivery of each substrate are provided in S1 File and S2 File.

Figure 5. Charged amino acid permeability through archaeal and hybrid membranes.

Temporal dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the archaea-like

G1PC phospholipid membrane (G1PC, filled symbols) and in the 'hybrid' phospholipid

membrane (G3PC, open symbols) during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered

to the microfluidic coves. Data are processed and illustrated as described in Figure 1 legend.

Mean (symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10 single-

vesicle measurements across three independent experiments. N is the number of single

vesicles investigated for each substrate exposure and each type of vesicles. ***: p-value <

0.001, *: p-value < 0.05. Numerical values of CF fluorescence in individual vesicles for each

lipid type during the delivery of each substrate are provided in S1 File and S2 File.

Supplementary Tables and Figure Legends

694   **Table S1. Details of membrane phospholipid compounds used for vesicle synthesis**.

Lipid	Lipid chemical structure/ IUPAC name	Mimic type/Avanti name	MW [g/mol]
1.	2,3-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycero-1-phosphocholine	Archaeal 4ME 16:0 Diether G1PC	818
2	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine	Bacterial Diester G3PE-PG-CA: 67%: 18:1 (Δ9-Cis)	744
	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol)	Diester G3PE 23.2%: 18:1 (Δ9-Cis)	797
	1,3-*bis*(sn-3'-phosphatidyl)-sn-glycerol	Diester G3PG 9.8%: Cardiolipin, sodium salt	1494
3.	1,2-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine	4ME 16:0 Diether G3PC	818

697 **Table S2. Parameters used for electroformation of vesicles**

Lipid	Frequency [Hz]	Amplitude [V]	Temp. [°C]	Time-rise [min]	Time-main [min]	Time-fall [min]
1. & 3.	5	3	37	5	120	5
2.	10	1.6	37	5	160	5

Figure S1. Sugar enantiomer permeability through bacterial membranes. Temporal
dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the bacteria-like phospholipid
membrane during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered to the microfluidic
coves. Mean (symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10
single-vesicle measurements across three independent experiments. Lines are guides for the
eye. N is the number of single vesicles investigated for each substrate exposure. N varies
across different substrate experiments investigated due to technical constraints. However,
care has been taken to obtain the same N for each substrate experiment across the two
different enantiomers treatments in order to ensure reliable statistical comparisons. Such
comparisons have been carried out via Welch's t tests between the distributions of CF
fluorescence values at t = 3 min for each enantiomer and are shown with corresponding violin
plots next to each time-course graph (B, D, F, H). ****: p-value < 0.0001, **: p-value < 0.01.
Numerical values of CF fluorescence in individual vesicles during the delivery of each
substrate are provided in S3 File.

Figure S2. Amino acid enantiomer permeability through bacterial membranes. Temporal
dependence of average carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence in the bacteria-like phospholipid
membrane during the exposure to 1 mM of variant substrates delivered to the microfluidic
coves. Mean (symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were calculated from at least 10
single-vesicle measurements across three independent experiments. Lines are guides for the
eye. N is the number of single vesicles investigated for each substrate exposure. N varies
across different substrate experiments investigated due to technical constraints. However,
care has been taken to obtain the same N for each substrate experiment across the two
different enantiomers in order to ensure reliable statistical comparisons. Such comparisons
have been carried out via Welch's t tests between the distributions of CF fluorescence values

at t = 3 min for each enantiomer and are shown with corresponding violin plots next to each

time-course graph (B, D, F). ****: p-value < 0.0001, **: p-value < 0.01. Numerical values of

CF fluorescence in individual vesicles during the delivery of each substrate are provided in S3

File.

Figure S3. Metabolite stereochemistry does not have an impact on carboxyfluorescein

fluorescence in solution. Temporal dependence of (A) carboxyfluorescein (CF) fluorescence

alone or in the presence of D- or L- enantiomers (red squares or blue circles, respectively) of

(B-E) sugars, or (F-J) amino acids. Mean (symbols) and standard deviation (error bars) were

calculated from three independent experiments performed in 96-well plates with

fluorescence measured via a plate reader (note the reduced scale of the Y axis compared to

the other plots reported). 100 µL of 0.532 mM CF was added to 100 µL of 1 mM metabolite;

these were the concentrations used in the permeability experiments above. Numerical values

of CF fluorescence in individual experiments in the presence of each metabolite are provided

in S4 File.