Finding Fluorescence: Utilizing community science to document novel biofluorescence

occurrences and encourage community engagement in science

Hannah Burke[1*], Lauren Serrano[1], Emily Lemmon[1], and Courtney Whitcher[1]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, United States

of America.

* Corresponding author

E-mail: hib20@fsu.edu (HB)

Abstract

Fluorescence, a form of photoluminescence, is the emission of light at a longer

wavelength by a substance when exposed to shorter-wavelength energy. Biofluorescence, which

can be observed in living organisms, involves the absorption of light at one wavelength and re-

emission at a longer wavelength due to fluorophores in specialized cells or structures. While

initially studied in marine organisms, attention has shifted to exploring biofluorescence in

terrestrial organisms, revealing roles in reproduction, camouflage, communication, and prey

attraction across phyla. Community science databases engage the public in data collection,

fostering scientific discovery and strengthening the science-society connection. Such databases

have become valuable tools and have aided scientists in understanding the natural history of

many different traits in organisms. This paper introduces *Finding Fluorescence*, the first

biofluorescence-based community science website established in 2020 to gather public

observations of biofluorescent organisms. The study presents at least 15 novel biofluorescence

accounts spanning five phyla, 15 families, and 15 species. The observations collected from

Finding Fluorescence contribute to our understanding of fluorescence in organisms and provide

insight into possible ecological functions. We emphasize the importance of community

engagement in scientific exploration and encourage future studies to incorporate such aspects

into their research.

Introduction

Fluorescence is a form of photoluminescence which occurs when a substance emits light

at a longer wavelength after exposure to an energy of shorter wavelength [1]. Biofluorescence,

more specifically, is the phenomenon in which a living organism absorbs light at one wavelength

and re-emits it at a longer wavelength [2]. On a chemical level, biofluorescence involves

fluorophores inside the specialized cells or structures of organisms [3]. Exposure to short-

wavelength light (e.g. UV light) causes these fluorophores to absorb the energy from the photons

and enter a higher energy state [3]. Excess energy as visible light (i.e. the fluorescence

observable to the eye) is emitted when the photon returns to a lower energy state [3]. The

phenomenon of fluorescence was initially studied in marine organisms, as catalyzed by the

discovery of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) in the cnidarian *Aequorea victoria*, now

commonly used to fluorescently mark genes in organisms [4]. However, attention has recently

turned to examining fluorescence presence as well as possible ecological significance in

terrestrial organisms. Biofluorescence is found across all major phyla of terrestrial organisms and

has been found to play a role in key biological processes such as reproduction, camouflage,

communication, and prey attraction [5]. Documenting new fluorescence presences is one key

step toward beginning to better understand the ecological role fluorescence may have across

taxa. As a quick reference for understanding biofluorescence throughout the paper, a simple

vocabulary aid has been included (Table 1).

Table 1. Fluorescence vocabulary

Term	Definition
Fluorescence	Fluorescence is an optical occurrence in which an object emits light at a longer wavelength when exposed to light at a shorter wavelength [1].
Biofluorescence	Biofluorescence is the reemission of longer, lower-energy wavelengths after absorbing photons in biological tissues [6]. Biofluorescence and fluorescence will be used interchangeably throughout this paper, as we are only examining fluorescence in living organisms.

Excitation	Excitation refers to the wavelength of light used to induce fluorescence in an organism [3]. In the paper, it will commonly be used to describe the wavelength of light that was shone on each community science submission. (E.g. An organism fluoresced when *excited* by a wavelength of 400 nm).
Emission	Emission refers to the wavelength of light that is fluorescing from an organism when exposed to its specific excitation range [5]. In this paper, emission will be characterized simply by the color emitted from the organism during excitation (E.g., the mushroom showed a green, fluorescent *emission* when excited by 400 nm light).
Fluorophores	Fluorophores refer to chemical compounds inside specialized cells or tissues in organisms which are known to fluorescence when excited [3]. Fluorophores are the chemical explanation for fluorescence in organisms.

Common terminology related to fluorescence that is seen throughout the paper for quick

referencing to terms.

With so many organisms having never been examined for fluorescence, there is much

ground to cover, and community science databases have the potential to provide broad discovery

and insight. Databases that function as community science projects are excellent methods to

facilitate large-scale data collection efforts efficiently and affordably. For example, one study

examined the migration of 15 waterfowl bird species by building models from 43 years of

community science data; these models revealed that precipitation and minimum temperature

significantly influenced migration phenology for most species being considered [7]. Community

science databases allow submissions (e.g., photographs, written observations, measurements,

etc.) from the public which offer an expansive pool of information for researchers across many

disciplines. As examples of the raw potential these submissions hold, prior studies have

employed submissions such as these to inform land management decisions [8]; document

impacts of climate change [9]; and address questions related to species distributions [10], species

interactions [11], and species health [12]. Needless to say, community science has the potential

to reach a broad range of science and holds much promise for future studies as well as

conservation efforts.

These databases allow the public to participate in data collection and analysis, thereby

accelerating scientific discoveries [13]; fostering public engagement [14]; empowering

individuals to actively contribute to scientific endeavors [15]; and promoting a deeper connection

between science and society [16]. Interpreting these databases commonly involves validation

processes to ensure data accuracy and reliability [14]. Researchers employ various statistical

methods and tools to analyze the information, uncovering patterns, trends, and insights from the

community submissions to satisfy their respective goals [14]. Clear guidelines and instructions

are additionally provided within community science databases to avoid as many submission

errors as possible and to ensure the uploads are consistent with the project's desired formatting

[14].

Finding Fluorescence is a public education and community science website designed in

2020 to encourage individuals to submit their own observations of organisms they find that

fluoresce [17]. *Finding Fluorescence* aims to encourage scientific exploration and public interest

in organisms present in the everyday environment while enabling a collaborative effort to collect

vast amounts of novel scientific data on the presence of biofluorescence across taxa. We sought

to aid future studies examining fluorescence in organisms by presenting novel community

science findings of biofluorescence across various taxa found via submissions sent to *Finding*

Fluorescence.

Here we present at least 15 new accounts of biofluorescence, spanning 15 species, 15

families, and five phyla, all of which were found by community scientists. A new account of

biofluorescence was documented if a new variation in fluorescence color, fluorescence pattern,

or excitation wavelength inducing fluorescence was observed in each organism. After analyzing

the submissions to our community science database, we propose additional improvements to the

site which may help facilitate better community science data collection in the future.

Methods

Project design

Our project aimed to assess the effectiveness of the *Finding Fluorescence* community

science resource as well as document new findings of fluorescence. To do so, we assessed the

observations uploaded to the community science database. For each observation we (1) verified

the data, (2) determined if the observation was novel, and (3) documented future improvements

and directions for submission criteria. The data utilized in this study was retrieved from the

Finding Fluorescence community science database. Each uploaded *Finding Fluorescence*

observation contains information under the following headings: *date; time; location; type of*

organism; common and/or scientific name; is there fluorescence; description of fluorescence

(location, pattern, color, etc.); wavelength of light used (or model of light if wavelength

unknown); any other information (weather, habitat, behavior of organism, etc.); a photo of the

fluorescence; and a photo of the organism in natural (or white) light, as defined by the data

upload form implemented via Epicollect5 [18]. On 3 December 2023, we downloaded all

observations submitted since the launch of the site in 2020 and verified the data for accuracy,

with the aim to identify to at least the family level. After data verification, we conducted an

extensive literature review to determine if the observations uploaded to the site were novel or if

prior accounts of biofluorescence were previously recorded in that taxa.

Organism verification

We verified the identification of each submission by employing Florida State

University's Library Database [19], Google Scholar [20], and various field guides and

community science resources found via Google's search engine [21]. In the Florida State

University (FSU) Library Database, we applied advanced search and entered the submitted

scientific/common name and selected AND to include "field guide" in the search. If no scientific

or common name was submitted, we utilized the description of the organism along with its

location and the uploaded photographs to aid in identification. We also verified organism identity

through Google and Google Scholar with the previous procedure if FSU's Database did not

provide any results. We then compared the description and/or photograph of the organism found

via our literature search to the description/photograph submitted to *Finding Fluorescence* to

confirm its scientific and/or common name. To gain further confidence in our proposed

identifications for various taxa, we collaborated with biologists within Florida State University

(FSU) to reinforce our identification proposals. For observations where a family-level

verification was not possible or confident, we chose to still include under an *Unidentified Taxa*

subheading in the paper.

Prior relevant biofluorescence literature review

After identification, we searched for literature documenting prior fluorescence of that

organism or the fluorescence of a closely related species for each submission (i.e., for an

organism identified down to the species level, another organism within the same genus would be

a closely related species. If no organism in the same genus was found to fluoresce, the search

would be extended to an organism in the same family). As stated prior, we used FSU's Library

Database, Google Scholar, and Google as search engines for prior recorded biofluorescence

accounts. For FSU's database, we included the verified species name (if possible) along with the

terms "biofluorescence" OR "fluorescence" to allow a broad search of publications that discuss

their biofluorescence. We repeated this procedure for both Google and Google Scholar. If no

results were returned, we then proceeded to generalize the search terms to genus and then to

family to find whether a closely related organism had prior fluorescence documentation. For any

record of fluorescence description found, we recorded the excitation wavelength, organism, and

description of fluorescence, as available. It must be noted that we were only interested in

examining prior natural fluorescence documentations in taxa. We were not including studies that

were using fluorescent labeling or manipulating fluorescence in the organism. The fluorescence

we are interested in for this study and for prior relevance is fluorescence that can be seen from

the organism itself after induced excitation (such as with a UV flashlight).

Results

Global impact of *Finding Fluorescence*

The breadth of website engagement (i.e. any type of access to the site) for *Finding

Fluorescence* reached 64 different countries, five different states within the United States, and

six out of the seven continents in the world (Fig. 1). Submissions from community members

came from three different countries around the world, including the United States of America,

the Netherlands, and Belize (Fig. 2). We received a total of 36 submissions, with 32 submissions

from the United States of America, three from the Netherlands, and one from Belize. Within the

United States, we received submissions from Florida, Michigan, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and

Illinois. Observations collected from ecologically diverse sites within the United States and

around the world allowed for an array of organisms to be assessed.

Fig 1. Global *Finding Fluorescence* engagement. The current reach of the *Finding*

Fluorescence resource. Blue points indicate interaction with at least one of the materials

provided on https://findingfluorescence.wixsite.com/home.

Fig 2. Submissions to *Finding Fluorescence* by location. The current locations of where

submission to *Finding Fluorescence* originated from. Areas of yellow indicates concentrated

submissions from a particular area, while blue indicates at least one submission from a given

location.

Documenting new discoveries of biofluorescence

All descriptions below are documents of fluorescent observations uploaded to the

Finding Fluorescence community science database (Table 2). These observations have been

categorized under their respective phylum. Any observation that is not entirely new (i.e., there

has been a prior fluorescence documentation of a related organism up to the family level) is also

included (Table 3) and will be addressed later in the paper. The wavelengths included in our

results were self-reported by the community members who submitted the fluorescence

documentation. If the exact fluorescence excitation was unknown — either because no specific

excitation flashlight model was included, or no wavelength was stated — we simply listed the

possible range of light that could have been used given the supplied information. As well, some

observations list potential fluorescence documentations, as we were unable to verify nor deny

fluorescence observations given the nature of some of the submitted photographs.

Table 2. Verified *Finding Fluorescence* submissions

Phylum	Family	Genus	Species	Fluorescence Observed	Excitation	Emission	Location	New Observation
Arthropoda	Apatelodidae	Apatelodes	torrefacta	Yes	395-400 nm	Green	Entire surface.	Yes
	Bombycidae	Bombyx	mori	Yes	395 nm	1) Yellow 2) Light blue and yellow	1) Thorax and abdomen of female moths. 2) Fibers of cocoon.	1) Yes 2) Yes* (in cocoons)
	Cercopidae	N/A	N/A	Yes	390-395 nm	Green/blue	Entire surface.	Yes
	Hippoidea	Emerita	talpoida	Yes	390 nm	Yellow	Along the legs and crevices of exoskeleton.	Yes
	Libellulidae	Pachydiplax	longipennis	No	~395 nm	N/A	N/A	No* (*Pachydiplax longipennis*)
	Noctuidae	Amphipyra	pyramidea	Yes	~395 nm	Green	Along pattern on side of body.	Yes
	Saturniidae	Eacles	oslari	Yes	395 nm	Yellow	Abdomen.	Yes* (*Eacles imperialis*)
	Scarabaeidae	Popillia	japonica	Yes	320-400 nm (Black light brand not specified)	Blue	Surrounding mouth.	Yes
	Tipulidae	N/A	N/A	Yes	400 nm	Green	Head, thorax, and end of abdomen.	Yes
Basidiomycota	Amanitaceae	Amanita	cokeri	Yes	390 nm	Green	On universal veil remnants on cap of mushroom.	Yes
	Ganodermataceae	Ganoderma	N/A	Yes	~395 nm	Red, green	Bright red on underside of mushroom; light green along margin of mushroom.	Yes

	Russulaceae	Russula	N/A	Yes	390 nm	Green	Entire surface of mushroom.	No* (Various other Russula species)
Chordata	Centrarchidae	Lepomis	cyanellus	Potentially	320-400 nm (Black light brand not specified)	Unclear	Scales near gills.	N/A
	Gekkonidae	Hemidactylus	turcicus	Yes	400-415 nm	Green	Skull, along spine, and along appendages.	Yes* (Hemidactylus frenatus, H. platyurus, and Pachydactylus rangei)
	Hylidae	Hyla	squirella	Yes	400-415 nm	Green	Line spanning down the sides of body from snout to inner thigh.	Yes
		Smilisca	baudinii	Yes	400-415 nm	Green	Spots along flanks and inguinal area of body.	No* (Smilisca baudinii)
	Pythonidae	Python	regius	Yes	400 nm	Orange	All along body of snake.	Yes
Mollusca	Naticidae	Neverita	duplicata	Yes	390 nm	White	In spiral of shell.	Yes
Tracheophyta	Solanaceae	Capsicum	annuum	Yes	390 nm	Orange/pink	Entire surface, with pink concentrated near the bottom.	Yes* (Capsicum annuum)

Table presenting biofluorescent submissions to the *Finding Fluorescence* website, as received by

community science members. Only taxa in which identification to at least family level is

included here. An observation was considered novel if fluorescent observations were recorded in

a previously undocumented location, of a new emission color/wavelength, or under a previously

untested excitation light source. An asterisk (*) indicates a prior related fluorescence

documentation exists for a given submission, with the related organism subsequently listed in

parentheses.

Table 3. Prior relevant fluorescence documentations

Phylum	Family	Genus	Species	Fluorescence Observed	Excitation	Emission	Location	Source
Arthropoda	*Bombycidae*	*Bombyx*	*mori*	Yes	1)360 nm 2) 365 nm	1) Yellow-white and blue-purple 2) Yellow and blue	1) Cocoon fibers. 2) Cocoon fibers.	1) [22] 2) [23]
	Libellulidae	*Pachydiplax*	*longipennis*	Yes	310-340 nm	Blue	Thorax, along wing hinges.	[24]
	Saturniidae	*Eacles*	*imperialis*	Yes	320-400 nm	Orange and brown-lavender	Not specified.	[25]
Basidiomycota	*Russulaceae*	*Russula*	Various *Russula* spp.	Yes	320-400 nm (Black light brand not specified)	N/A	N/A	[26]
Chordata	*Gekkonidae*	*Hemidactylus*	*frenatus*	Yes	395-400 nm	Green	Skull, along spine, and along appendages.	[27]
			platyurus	Yes	395 nm	Blue	Along the lower jaw bone.	[28]
		Pachydactylus	*rangei*	Yes	365 nm	Green	Skin around eyes and flanks.	[29]
	Hylidae	*Smilisca*	*baudinii*	Yes	400-415 nm	Green	On arms and inguinal area.	[30]
Tracheophyta	*Solanaceae*	*Capsicum*	*annuum*	Yes	1) 365 nm 2)Maximum 440 nm	1) Blue 2) Blue, low-green, low chlorophyll	1) Uniformly distributed on surface 2) Not specified	1) [31] 2) [32]

200   Table displaying prior fluorescence documentation found in related organisms. We considered an

201   organism to be relevant if it was found to have fluoresced and was within the same family of an

202   organism submitted to *Finding Fluorescence.*

Phylum Arthropoda

An observation revealed that the spotted Apatelodes moth caterpillar (*Apatelodes*

torrefacta) displayed whole body biofluorescence, which appeared as a bright green color under

an excitation light of 395-400 nm. In white light, the spotted Apatelodes displayed a yellow color

on its thorax and abdomen. The domestic silk moth (*Bombyx mori*) displayed yellow

fluorescence on the abdomen of female specimens under an excitation wavelength of 395 nm.

Under white light, the abdomen of the moth was white. Fibers from the cocoon of *B. mori*

displayed yellow and blue fluorescence separately (i.e. one cocoon displayed blue fluorescence

only and another displayed yellow fluorescence only) on cocoons at the same excitation

wavelength of 395 nm*. Under white light, the cocoon fibers were white. Frog hoppers, also

known as spittle bugs (family *Cercopidae*), fluoresced when exposed to 390-395 nm

wavelengths. The fluorescence appears as a blue-green emission on the arthropod's entire

surface, while in white light the frog hoppers appeared as a white/creamy color. The Atlantic

mole crab (*Emerita talpoida*) revealed a yellow fluorescence on the legs and crevices of the

exoskeleton under an excitation wavelength of 390 nm. Under white light, the mole crab

displayed a light brown and white pattern. The blue dasher dragonfly (*Pachydiplax longipennis*)

did not show fluorescence under excitation wavelengths of 395 nm*. The copper underwhig

moth caterpillar (*Amphipyra pyramidea*) exhibited green fluorescence along its patterns on the

lateral sides of its body under an excitation light of 395 nm. In white light, the underwhig

caterpillar was a uniform light green color with yellow patterns on its sides. The Oslar's eacles

moth (*Eacles oslari*) fluoresced neon yellow on its abdomen under an excitation wavelength of

395 nm. Under white light, the abdomen of the moth was a mix of yellow and brown markings.

The Japanese beetle (*Popillia japonica)* displayed blue fluorescence near its mouth with an

excitation wavelength of 320-400 nm (exact wavelength not specified in submission). Under

white light, the beetle's abdomen, pronotum, and head are a metallic dark green while its elytra

are a metallic red/orange color. A crane fly (family *Tipulidae*) was found to fluoresce green on

its head, thorax, and end of abdomen under an excitation wavelength of 400nm. Under white

light, the insect appeared a beige color.

Phylum Basidiomycota

A mushroom known as Coker's amanita (*Amanita cokeri)* was found to fluorescence

green on the remnants of its universal veil on the mushroom cap under an excitation of 400 nm.

Under white light, these remnant pieces were white. A mushroom of the genus *Ganoderma*

exhibited bright red fluorescence on the underside of its cap (which was a cream color in white

lighting). There was little to no fluorescence on the top of the mushroom and a slight green,

fluorescent ring on the margin of the mushroom (i.e. around the edge of the cap). Both the red

and green fluorescent traits were observed in the *Ganoderma* mushroom when excited with light

at 395 nm. A mushroom in the genus *Russula* was found to uniformly fluoresce green under a

wavelength of 390 nm*. Under white light, this mushroom displayed a white stem and a light

brown cap.

Phylum Chordata

A pet ball python *(Python regius)* in a submitted observation emitted orange

fluorescence, as seen especially on the lighter brown scales of its pattern. This fluorescence

occurred when the observer placed the snake underneath a wavelength of 400 nm. In white light,

the ball python had a combination of darker black and lighter brown scales forming its scale

pattern. A green sunfish *(Lepomis cyanellus*) displayed potential fluorescence on some scales

around its gills when excited with a light of 320-400 nm (exact wavelength not specified in

submission). The exact emission color from this potential fluorescence occurrence is unclear. We

can neither confirm nor deny fluorescence observations in *L. cyanellus* from the documented

submission. A Mediterranean house gecko *(Hemidactylus turcicus)* fluoresced a neon green

when exposed to light at 400-415 nm. The observer documented bright green fluorescence of its

bone visible through skin, with areas on its skull and spine appearing the brightest. In natural

light, the gecko appeared a pale brown color with dark markings scattered across its body. The

Squirrel tree frog (*Hyla squirella*) had fluorescence displayed as a green stripe from snout to

inner thigh while excited by light at 400-415 nm. In natural light the squirrel tree frog appeared a

brown and green color with dark markings all over its body. The common Mexican treefrog

(Smilisca baudinii) has green, fluorescent spots on its flanks and near its inguinal area under light

at 400-415 nm. Under white light, the Mexican treefrog appears brown with darker patterned

markings.

Phylum Mollusca

266   A shell of the species of predatory snail named the shark eye (*Neverita duplicata*) was

267 submitted to *Finding Fluorescence.* The shell was found to have a white/pink fluorescence in the

268 spiral of its shell under a wavelength of 390 nm. Under white light, this spiral appeared white in

269 color.

Phylum Tracheophyta

272   A green bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) fluoresced orange and pink when held under an

273 excitation wavelength of 390 nm. The pink fluorescence was especially concentrated toward the

274 stem of the bell pepper, while the orange fluorescence was uniformly distributed across the body

275 of the pepper. Under white light, the bell pepper and stem was dark green.

Unidentified taxa

Observations from many different taxa were submitted to *Finding Fluorescence* and

much effort was placed in concluding accurate identification for each organism. The subsequent

section is designated for taxa that we were unable to narrow down to family classification, either

because it would be impossible for such a narrow classification with the given photo submission

or because our confidence in possible identifications was not strong. Identifications of these taxa

represent our best estimates, given the guess from the submitter and the best judgement of our

biologist collaborators. These submissions are grouped into categories: fungi, invertebrates,

lichen, and plants. The observations are listed in chronological submission date order under each

category. The full detailed submissions are available on the *Finding Fluorescence* website.

Fungi

An observation of a mushroom submitted on 17 July 2020 from Richfield

Township, Michigan, USA displays a green, fluorescent ring on the margin of the

mushroom (i.e. around the edge of the cap) and in the center of the cap under

wavelengths of 390 nm. Under white light, the mushroom is a solid white color with light

brown speckles in the center of the cap. We could not conclude any confident taxa

identifications for this submission.

Invertebrates

A submission of a caterpillar documented on 26 May 2021 from Tallahassee,

Florida, USA displays reported uniform light gray-green fluorescence under a

wavelength of 365 nm. The submitter remarked that fluorescence was not observed under

395 nm. From the photo available, we are not able to determine if fluorescence is

present. We do not have any confident identification proposals for the caterpillar.

Another observation of what a submitter believed to be an aphid was documented on 8

July 2020 in Livonia, Michigan, USA. In this photo, an insect on a leaf is seen to

fluorescence a uniform bright green under wavelengths of 395 nm. Under white light, the

insect is solid white and is surrounded by material coated on the leaf that appears cotton-

like. We are confident the submission is not an aphid. The best estimate for the insect

described is a planthopper nymph (genus *Flatormenis*). A submission of a juvenile crab

on 16 August 2020 from Dennis, New Jersey, USA reveals green fluorescence on the tips

of the crab's pereiopods (i.e. walking legs) under a wavelength of 390 nm. Under white

light, the crab is a light white color. The suggested identification from the submitter is a

juvenile spider crab, but we are confident this is not the correct identification. Our best

assignment is a crab in the order Decapoda. Another submission of a millipede on 21

August 2020 from Tallahassee, Florida, USA displays bright green fluorescence across

its entire surface under an excitation wavelength of 395 nm. The fluorescence is brightest

on the underside of the millipede. No photos of the organism in white light were

included. The millipede could possibly belong in the family *Xystodesmidae*, but we are

not confident in this suggestion. A submission of a moth sent on 15 September 2020 from

Groningen, Netherlands displays green fluorescence on the eyes of the insect under an

excitation wavelength range of 320-400 nm (exact wavelength not specified in

submission). Under white light, the moth is a dark brown, as are its eyes. A possible

identification for the submission is *Noctua pronuba*; however, it is impossible to know

for certain from the photo alone. Another submission of a caterpillar submitted on 20

September 2020 from Groningen, Netherlands displays bright green fluorescence on the

entire surface of the insect. Under white light, the caterpillar is hairy and white. We are

confident this caterpillar belongs in the order Lepidoptera, but no identification

possibilities are available beyond that.

Lichen

A submission of what appears to be lichen on a rock on 12 July 2020 from Fort

Gratiot Township, Michigan, USA shows bright orange fluorescence under an excitation

wavelength of 395 nm. Under white light, the possible lichen is light green in color. No

suggested identifications are available for this submission. Another submission of what

appears to be a lichen on 20 September 2020 from Groningen, Netherlands displays

bright orange/yellow fluorescence when excited in the range of 320-400 nm. Under white

light, what is possibly the rhizine (i.e. hair like attachment structures) is an orange color,

while the possible cortex (i.e. top) of the possible lichen is a light grey color. This

submission could possibly be a fruticose lichen, but we are uncertain in this suggestion.

Plants

A submission of what appears to be roots of a plant on 8 July 2020 from Livonia,

Michigan, USA fluoresce a bright green color under an excitation wavelength of 390 nm.

Under white light, it is not able to be discerned what the suggested plant roots look like.

No identifications are possible from the photo submission. A submission of a vascular

plant leaf on 31 July 2020 from Campton Hills, Illinois, USA reveals slight red

fluorescence under a wavelength range of 320-400 nm (exact wavelength not specified in

submission). From the photo alone we are unable to provide a possible identification.

Discussion
348

Prior relevant documentations of biofluorescence
349

Many new observations of fluorescence were described through *Finding Fluorescence.*

However, some of the submissions sent in were not the first documentation of fluorescence in

that species. Here, we report on prior fluorescence documentation found in related taxa (up to

same family) if applicable to the submissions (Table 3). An observation was considered novel if

new fluorescent observations (such as location or color) were found in the organism, or if the

excitation wavelength range used was different from past studies. Once again, the related taxa

will be grouped by phylum.

Phylum Arthropoda
358

A submission to *Finding Fluorescence* displayed distinct fluorescence colors of blue and

yellow separately on cocoons of the domestic silk moth (*Bombyx mori*) under a wavelength of

395 nm. A past study had also documented distinct yellow-white and blue-purple fluorescence

observations on the moth's cocoon fibers under a different excitation wavelength of 360 nm [22].

Another study documented the separate blue and yellow fluorescence in the cocoon fibers under

a slightly different excitation of 365 nm [23]. We consider the submission sent in to *Finding*

Fluorescence a new observation, as the excitation wavelength range is different from previous

findings. The submission we received to *Finding Fluorescence* also showed the abdomen of the

B. mori to fluoresce yellow under an excitation wavelength of 395 nm. No studies examining the

fluorescence of the abdomen of the moth itself could be found. Another submission of the blue

dasher dragonfly (*Pachydiplax longipennis*) revealed no fluorescence under an excitation

wavelength of 395 nm. However, blue fluorescence has been recorded in *P. longipennis* along its

thorax and wing hinges under excitation of 310-340 nm [24]. This suggests the fluorophore

producing fluorescence in this species likely has a peak emission of 310-340 nm or lower, and

future studies should test fluorescence in *P. longipennis* with even shorter wavelengths. A

submission of the Oslar's eacles moth (*Eacles oslari*) displayed yellow fluorescence on the

abdomen of the moth under a wavelength of 395 nm. Prior orange and brown-lavender

fluorescence in an unspecified location has been documented before in a related moth *Eacles*

imperialis under a wavelength range of 320-400nm [25]. The submission we present for *E. oslari*

appears to be a new fluorescence documentation. No proposed function of this fluorescence

occurrence could be found.

Phylum Basidiomycota

A submission to *Finding Fluorescence* of a mushroom in the genus *Russula* revealed

green fluorescence on the entire surface of the mushroom under an excitation wavelength of 390

nm. This is not the first fluorescence documentation for this genus. Many species in the genus

Russula have been found to fluoresce under an excitation wavelength range of 320-400 nm

(exact excitation wavelength, emission color, and location not specified) [26]. It is known that

fluorescence in various *Russula* species is due to specific water-soluble pteridines called

russupteridines [33]. Violet-blue fluorescence is due to pro-lumazine, and yellow fluorescence

occurrences in *Russula* are due to russupteridine-yellow [33].

Phylum Chordata

A submission to *Finding Fluorescence* of a Mediterranean house gecko *(Hemidactylus*

turcicus) showed green fluorescence of the gecko's skull and spine through its skin when

exposed to light at 400-415 nm. The submission to *Finding Fluorescence* appears to be the first

fluorescence documentation for the species *H. turcicus* in the wavelength range of 400-415 nm.

There were previous fluorescence documentations in the same genus *Hemidactylus*. Green

fluorescence of the skull, spine, and appendages of a closely related species *Hemidactylus*

frenatus has been documented before under a different excitation wavelength range of 395-400

nm [27]. Under a wavelength of 395 nm, it was found that another related species *Hemidactylus*

platyurus fluoresced blue along the lower jawbone [28]. Another related gecko (*Pachydactylus*

rangei) within the same family *Gekkonidae* fluoresced neon green along the skin around the eyes

and along the flanks under wavelength 365 nm [29]. It has been discovered that bones in humans

naturally fluoresce due to type I collagen, which is the most abundant collagen in connective

tissues such as bone [34]. Assumably, gecko bones would also contain type I collagen, which

may explain the physical reason why the fluorescence is observed in the bone of the gecko. A

submission to *Finding Fluorescence* of the common Mexican treefrog *(Smilisca baudinii)* shows

green, fluorescent spots on its flanks and near its inguinal area under light at 400-415 nm. Green

fluorescence along the arms and inguinal area of *S. baudinii* has been documented prior under

the same wavelength of 400-415 nm [30].

Phylum Tracheophyta

A submission to *Finding Fluorescence* of a green bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*)

fluoresced orange and pink when held under an excitation wavelength of 390 nm. Fluorescence

has been recorded before for *C. annuum.* In prior studies, the pepper fluoresced blue under

excitation wavelengths of 365 nm [31]. Blue fluorescence, low-green, and chlorophyll

fluorescence were also documented in *C. annuum* at a maximum excitation wavelength of 440

nm [32].

Potential ecological relevance of fluorescence

The ecological significance that biofluorescence holds for an organism is an area of

ongoing study across many taxa. Many studies in fluorescence have been concentrated on marine

organisms, but fluorescence observations in terrestrial organisms is an area of relatively

untapped potential. While we sought to document new findings of fluorescence across various

organisms, we also considered potential roles for the fluorescence present across the many taxa

presented in this paper. As in previous sections, commentary on fluorescence in some of the

organisms documented will be formatted according to phylum groupings. It should also be

considered that not everything that fluoresces may possess an ecological function of that

fluorescence – it may simply be a byproduct of certain structures within that organism that

possess such qualities (i.e. chemical structures known as fluorophores), but it may not serve the

organism in an additional way. Where no fluorescence commentary is provided for a particular

organism present in our observations, it is because there is insufficient literature on how

coloration plays a role in visual communication. We suggest that these unmentioned fluorescent

organisms be considered for future work in visual aspects of their communication and explored

further.

Phylum Arthropoda

Fluorescence in insects was first documented in the 1920s [35]. Since then, insect

fluorescence has been observed across many organisms, but much more needs to be studied in

this group. We have gained fluorescence submissions from many insects such as beetles, moths

(caterpillars and adults), dragonflies, millipedes, crane flies, and spittle bugs.

Interestingly, the fluorescence emissions of the cocoon fibers of the domestic silk moth

(*Bombyx mori*) are determined by the sex of the moth inside each cocoon. [22, 23]. Each of the

fluorescent cocoons submitted to *Finding Fluorescence* were distinct in their emissions (e.g. one

cocoon is blue, and another cocoon is yellow). It has been found that the fluorescence emission

colors are sex-related, and that blue fluorescence indicates a female silkworm and yellow

fluorescence indicates a male silkworm [23]. The difference in fluorescence emissions is largely

due to distinct transportation patterns and accumulation of fluorescent pigments in the midgut

and in the silk glands for the separate sexes [23]. Whether the fluorescence of the cocoon fibers

has any ecological function or is simply due to fluorophore presence in the midgut is unknown

currently. Moths (and other insects such as bees and butterflies) possess the ability to see

ultraviolet light [36], and future studies should aim to address such questions of if there is any

purpose of having fluorescence in cocoon fibers of moths given their absence of parental care.

We have also gained fluorescent submissions from arthropod crustaceans such as mole

crabs and true crabs. In the case of the mole crab *E. talpoida*, we propose that the fluorescence

found along its legs and the crevices of its exoskeleton are a biproduct of fluorophores present in

the structural proteins of such areas. In the case of the unidentified submission of a true crab we

received, fluorescence was only observed at the tips of its pereiopods. We also suspect this to be

due to fluorophores present at that area.

Due to the lack of information on fluorescence in insects, the exact ecological roles and

abundance of fluorescence across insect groups cannot be generalized [37]. Further studies need

to be conducted before anything more can be said about the fluorescence of other arthropod

submissions to *Finding Fluorescence.* We suggest studies examining the fluorescence of

Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera, Odonata, and Decapoda would be good places to start,

as these orders lack robust fluorescence documentations.

Phylum Basidiomycota

We have fluorescent observations from three distinct genera of mushroom (*Amanita,*

Ganoderma, and *Russula*). The pattern of fluorescence differs in each genus received. In the case

of the *Amanita* mushroom, fluorescence was only present on the universal veil remnant; in the

Ganoderma, the margin of the mushroom and the underside both emitted different fluorescent

colors; and the *Russula* displayed a uniform emission. Insects are one of the many taxa which

possess the ability to see into the UV spectrum [38], and it has been proposed that perhaps

fluorescence serves to attract such invertebrates for aid in spore dispersal [39]. Future studies

should aim to further test this proposal and investigate possible ecological relevance in

fluorescence patterns and colorations in Basidiomycota.

Phylum Chordata

481    *Finding Fluorescence* received fluorescence observations for a ball python,

482 Mediterranean house gecko, squirrel tree frog, and the Mexican tree frog. A study examining the

483 fluorescence function in pitvipers found an association between fluorescence presence, caudal

484 luring (i.e. moving one's tail in a manner to attract prey), and conspicuous tail coloration [6].

485 Further studies should be conducted to examine if the presence of tail-tip fluorescence is seen in

486 other snake families which exhibit caudal luring. Considering the potential fluorescence

487 relevance in the case of the *Finding Fluorescence* submission of *Python regius*, it should be

488 noted that the snake is a ground-dwelling species with no caudal luring behavior and with

489 different overall ecology than pitvipers. A suggested potential relevance for the orange

490 fluorescence documented in *P. regius* could be to aid in its camouflage. The orange fluorescence

491 could more closely match the background of red fluorescence of leaves in the forest, as

492 chlorophyll in plants is found to fluoresce red under excitation wavelengths of 400-700 nm [40].

493 Further studies should aim to test such hypotheses of other ground-dwelling snakes to test for

494 possible camouflage aid.

496   It has been suggested that fluorescence in geckos can aid in intraspecific signaling or

497 identification by accentuating certain aspects of the gecko, such as body markings or its skeletal

498 elements [41, 42]. In our *Finding Fluorescence* observation of the Mediterranean house gecko

499 (*Hemidactylus turcicus*), green fluorescence of the skull is observed under 400-415 nm of

500 excitation wavelength. In previous findings, fluorescence has been observed in at least two other

501 members of the same genus: *H. frenatus* and *H. platyurus*. In the case of *H. frenatus,* blue

502 fluorescence was observed on the skull, spine, and appendages. For *H. platyurus*, green

503 fluorescence was observed along the lower jawbone. Another gecko in the same family as

Hemidactylus, the Namib sand gecko (*Pachydactylus rangei*) displays green fluorescence along

the skin around its eyes and flanks. All three mentioned related species fluorescence in different

locations under differing excitation ranges (Table 3). The different locations of fluorescence as

well as the different excitation wavelengths observed in the different species could further

support the idea of fluorescence playing a role in intraspecific communication. Geckos have been

found to have trichromatic color vision and are especially sensitive to wavelengths in the green,

blue, violet, and UV range [43-49]. The documented fluorescent differences in geckos may

indeed serve as a way for species to recognize other members of their own species.

In the two frog submissions we received, it was shown that the squirrel tree frog

displayed a green, fluorescent line from its snout to its inner thigh, while the Mexican tree frog

displayed green fluorescence spots along the flank of its body and near its inguinal area (i.e. near

the groin). It has been shown in squirrel tree frogs that females have a strong preference for

presence of a relatively large lateral body stripe in males [50]. This lateral body stripe was what

was shown to fluorescence in the observation submitted to *Finding Fluorescence.* Due to the role

the stripe plays in sexual selection, the fluorescence presence of the stripe could be indicative of

intraspecific signaling in squirrel tree frogs and be utilized by females during mate choice. In the

context of the Mexican tree frog submission we received to *Finding Fluorescence*, we propose

its fluorescence pattern near the inguinal area to play a role in intraspecific communication. Over

half of the studies examining ecological function in anuran biofluorescence have suggested

fluorescence as a mechanism to deter predation. However, a recent study experimentally testing

this hypothesis has found no such effect of biofluorescence as an antipredator signal in Cope's

gray treefrog (*Hyla chrysoscelis*) [51]. This study is one of the first examining the relationship

between fluorescence and predation. Across anuran families, evidence has been found that

fluorescence presence might be a signal for intraspecific communication [52]. Evidence for

ecological tuning (i.e. the specific response of a signal to its environment) has been supported

when examining fluorescence over 13 anuran families [52]. Future studies should aim to further

explore the roles of alternate functions of fluorescence in frogs as well as the potential role in

predator deterrence in other organisms.

Phylum Mollusca

We received one submission in the phylum Mollusca in which a white/light-pink

fluorescence was observed in the spiral of the shell of a predatory snail called the shark eye

(*Neverita duplicata*). An extensive study examining the fluorescence in mollusk shell pigments

suggests differing compounds allow for fluorescence in the patterns of mollusk shells [53]. The

fluorescent pigments uroporphyrin I and III were identified to fluorescence red under UV light in

some species of marine gastropods [53]. It is also suggested that fluorescence is only due to

compounds located in the top layer of the shell and that metal ions may affect coloration of the

pigment in the shell [53]. However, the fluorescence in the submission sent to *Finding*

Fluorescence seems to be stemming from the spiral of the shell, not any type of coloration

pattern. The exact nature and possible function (if any) of this fluorescence cannot concretely be

speculated on at this time. The specific location of fluorescence could point toward a high

concentration of different compounds or fluorophores in this area. Future studies should look at

examining the specific compounds in different locations in molluscan shells and identifying

fluorophores localized in those regions.

Phylum Tracheophyta

We received a submission of fluorescence documented in a green bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). Measuring fluorescence in bell peppers has been proposed as a good method for examining pepper quality [31]. More specifically, it has been suggested that surface fluorescence in the pericarp as well as the cuticle of a pepper can be used to indicate postharvest quality [54]. As an example of how fluorescence can potentially indicate traits in peppers, it was found that the peppers which fluoresced darker were likely to have thicker cuticle and epidermis with a more uniform outer wall thickness [54]. The fluorescence in the bell pepper may simple be due to fluorophores present within the plant's tissue, or perhaps the fluorescence may serve to attract insects or animals for seed dispersal purposes. Prior studies have looked at fluorescence in bell peppers from an agricultural perspective, but future studies should examine how this fluorescence in peppers may interact with the natural world.

Significance of newly discovered fluorescence via community science

Our research began by documenting and assessing new occurrences of biofluorescence uploaded as community science data to the *Finding Fluorescence* resource. We verified and compared new biofluorescent accounts to the literature to provide insight into the role of biofluorescence across taxa. *Finding Fluorescence* is the first community science database to collect observations of biofluorescence in organisms. There are, of course, other collective studies examining fluorescence across organisms. For example, studies have sought to document larger fluorescence observations in amphibians [55], fish [1], Catsharks [2], and rodents [56]. These reviews differ in ours in that 1) they are not community science submissions, 2) they are more specific in study taxa, and 3) they are at different scales than our study. The study

examining fluorescence in fish looked at 180 species across 16 orders [1]. The survey examining

fluorescence in amphibians and salamanders examined eight families of salamanders, five

families of frogs, and one family of caecilians [55]. To reconstruct a phylogeny of

biofluorescence of catsharks, 77 taxa (including 74 Chondrichthyes representing 51 families)

were analyzed [2]. Museum specimens of rodents from 28 genera (order Rodentia) were

examined for fluorescence in the rodent study [56]. In the case of our study, we took a broad

approach and analyzed and confirmed novel fluorescence submissions across five different

phyla, 15 families, and 15 species. While our study is not as in-depth within any specific taxa,

we believe presenting new documentations via community science involvement is an exciting

new avenue to engage the broader public in science involvement and intrigue. Our study is also

unique in that many of the novel observations of fluorescence presented have differed in

excitation wavelength, emission wavelength, and locations than previous findings of the same

organism. Understanding and documenting fluorescence at different levels of excitation is an

untapped area of research that we hope to further with the continuation of the *Finding*

Fluorescence community science database.

Improvements to resource

We identified several areas of *Finding Fluorescence* that have since been improved based

on our experience with assessing community science submissions. We have now designated all

submission fields to be required (i.e. the observer cannot leave any fields blank when submitting

an observation). By having such fields required, it helps in ensuring a higher quality of

submission data to work with. Previously, the section for the community scientist to submit their

proposed common or scientific name for the organism was an optional field. In some

observations where the photo was blurry or taken from far away, the proposed identification of

the organism was left blank, and we were unable to propose any type of identification with the

given information. It would have been beneficial to have the suggestive identification from the

submitter be required to have aided in organism identification. Going forward, we hope this

required field will minimize submissions not being able to be verified and identified.

As well, we have now added clarifying methodology for photo submissions, including

specific instructions that emphasize the need for photos in natural/white light and a request that

all images capture the entire organism (as possible) and to capture photos of smaller organisms

(i.e. small arthropods, small plants) at a max distance of around six inches away from the

organism. These added instructions will aid in visual organism identification and allow for more

possible organism identifications. Additionally, another section for photo submission that

requests multiple angles of the organism to assist in identifying organisms that may have

recognizable markings on specific regions of their surfaces has been added. A section which

contains contact information for the submitter has since been required to verify information (if

needed). Under this field, we have also included a question asking the submitter they to being

recognized for their fluorescence discovery. We hope that by offering the opportunity for

acknowledgement in scientific discovery will increase community investment in science early on

as well as aid in documenting even more novel observations. This field was present before, but

optional, and we have only received one submission since the start of the site that contains

personal information of the submitter. We have now made this specific field viewable only to the

managers of the *Finding Fluorescence* site to protect personal information of submitters online.

We have also included an instructional photo of an example of how to take a detailed and quality

photo of fluorescence directly on the page the submitters upload observations to. Previously, the

photo could be found elsewhere on the site, but we hope that by including the photo again on the

submission page directly, the submitter will have a better idea of the criteria which should be met

with their submission.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge all the community science members who submitted their

fluorescence observations to *Finding Fluorescence*, including Amanda Sakimura who sent in

museum specimens of *Eacles oslari* and *Bombyx mori*. We thank Brian Inouye and Nora

Underwood for their aid in identifying our presented Basidiomycota submissions (*Ganoderma*

mushroom*, Russula* mushroom, and *Amanita cokeri*) and the *Tipulidae* family crane fly. We are

also grateful for the FSU Ecology and Evolution Reading Discussion Group (EERDG) for help

in creating and funding *Finding Fluorescence* education and outreach materials.

Author contributions

Hannah Burke: investigation (lead); methodology (supporting); visualization (lead); validation;

writing – original draft preparation (lead); writing – review and editing (equal). **Lauren**

Serrano: investigation (supporting), writing – original draft preparation (supporting). **Emily**

Lemmon: project administration (supporting); supervision (supporting); writing – review and

editing (supporting). **Courtney Whitcher:** conceptualization; methodology (lead); project

administration (lead); resources; supervision (lead); writing – review and editing (equal).

Literature cited

1. Sparks JS, Schelly RC, Smith WL, Davis MP, Tchernov D, Pieribone VA, et al. The Covert World of Fish Biofluorescence: A Phylogenetically Widespread and Phenotypically Variable Phenomenon. PLOS ONE. 2014 Jan 8;9(1):e83259.

2. Gruber DF, Loew ER, Deheyn DD, Akkaynak D, Gaffney JP, Smith WL, et al. Biofluorescence in Catsharks (*Scyliorhinidae*): fundamental description and relevance for elasmobranch visual ecology. Sci Rep. 2016 Apr 25;6(1):24751.

3. Johnsen, Sönke. *The Optics of Life: A Biologist's Guide to Light in Nature*. Princeton University Press, 2012.

4. Chudakov DM, Lukyanov KA. Use of green fluorescent protein (GFP) and its homologs for in vivo protein motility studies. Biochem (Mosc). 2003 Sep;68(9):952–7.

5. Lagorio MG, Cordon GB, Iriel A. Reviewing the relevance of fluorescence in biological systems. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2015 Sep;14(9):1538–59.

6. Paul L, Mendyk R. Glow and behold: biofluorescence and new Insights on the tails of pitvipers (*Viperidae*: *Crotalinae*) and other snakes. Herpetol Rev. 2021 Jun 16;52:221–37.

7. Frei B, Cox AR, Morales AC, Roy C. Community-science reveals delayed fall migration of waterfowl and spatiotemporal effects of a changing climate. J Anim Ecol. 2024; 93(4):377–92.

8. Martin KLM, Studer M. Citizen Science on the Beach: Grunion Greeters in California. Fish. 2022; 47(11):483–90.

9. Boyle WA, Sigel BJ. Ongoing changes in the avifauna of La Selva Biological Station,

Costa Rica: Twenty-three years of Christmas Bird Counts. Biol Conserv. 2015 Aug 1;

188:11–21.

10. Johnston A, Hochachka WM, Strimas-Mackey ME, Ruiz Gutierrez V, Robinson OJ,

Miller ET, et al. Analytical guidelines to increase the value of community science data:

An example using eBird data to estimate species distributions. Diversity Distrib. 2021;

27(7):1265–77.

11. Saldivar JA, Romero AN, Wilson Rankin EE. Community Science Reveals High

Diversity of Nectaring Plants Visited by Painted Lady Butterflies (Lepidoptera:

Nymphalidae) in California Sage Scrub. Environ Entomol. 2022 Dec 1; 51(6):1141–9.

12. Hamilton SL, Saccomanno VR, Heady WN, Gehman AL, Lonhart SI, Beas-Luna R, et

al. Disease-driven mass mortality event leads to widespread extirpation and variable

recovery potential of a marine predator across the eastern Pacific. Proc R Soc B. 2021

Aug 25; 288(1957):20211195.

13. Devictor V, Whittaker RJ, Beltrame C. Beyond scarcity: citizen science programmes as

useful tools for conservation biogeography. Diversity Distrib. 2010; 16(3):354–62.

14. Burgess HK, DeBey LB, Froehlich HE, Schmidt N, Theobald EJ, Ettinger AK, et al. The

science of citizen science: Exploring barriers to use as a primary research tool. Biol

Conserv. 2017 Apr 1; 208:113–20.

15. Dosemagen S, Parker AJ. Citizen Science Across a Spectrum: Broadening the Impact of

Citizen Science and Community Science: Science & Technology Studies. Science &

Technology Studies. 2019 Jun; 32(2):24–33.

16. Bonney R, Phillips TB, Ballard HL, Enck JW. Can citizen science enhance public

understanding of science? Public Underst Sci. 2016 Jan 1; 25(1):2–16.

17. Finding Fluorescence [Internet]. Whitcher C; c2019 [cited 2023 Dec 15]. Available

from: https://findingfluorescence.wixsite.com/home

18. Epicollect5 [Internet]. Finding Fluorescence [cited 2023 Dec 15].Centre for Genomic

Pathogen Surveillance. Available from: https://five.epicollect.net/project/finding-

fluorescence

19. FSU Database Florida State University Libraries [Internet]. Florida State University;

[cited 2023 Dec 15]. Available from: https://www.lib.fsu.edu/

20. Google Scholar [Internet]. Google; c2004 [cited 2023 Dec 15]. Available from:

https://scholar.google.com/

21. Google [Internet]. Google; c1998 [cited 2023 Dec 15]. Available from:

https://www.google.com/

22. Zhang Y, Yu X, Shen W, Ma Y, Zhou L, Xu N, et al. Mechanism of fluorescent cocoon

sex identification for silkworms *Bombyx mori*. Sci China Life Sci. 2010 Nov

1;53(11):1330–9.

23. Xiaolong H, Renyu X, Guangli C, Xing Z, Yilin Z, Xiaohua Y, et al. Elementary

research of the formation mechanism of sex-related fluorescent cocoon of silkworm,

Bombyx mori. Mol Biol Rep. 2012 Feb 1;39(2):1395–409.

24. Wiesenborn WD. UV-excited fluorescence on riparian insects except Hymenoptera is

associated with nitrogen content. Psyche (Camb Mass). 2011 Jun 4;2011:e875250.

25. Phillips LS. Fluorescence in the colors of certain lepidoptera observed under ultraviolet

light. J Lepid Soc. 1959.

26. Henkel TW, Aime MC, Miller SL. Systematics of pleurotoid Russulaceae from Guyana and Japan, with notes on their ectomycorrhizal status. Mycol. 2000; 92(6):1119-1132.

27. Ramesh C. Naturally fluorescent field mouse, *Mus booduga* (Gray, 1837) and common house gecko, *Hemidactylus frenatus* (Schlegel, 1836) as model organisms for biomedical studies. Natl Acad Sci Lett. 2022 Dec 1;45(6):567–71.

28. Maria M, Al-Razi H, Borzée A, Bin Muzaffar S. Biofluorescence in the herpetofauna of northeast Bangladesh. Herpetozoa. 2022 Feb 18;35:39–44.

29. Prötzel D, Heß M, Schwager M, Glaw F, Scherz MD. Neon-green fluorescence in the desert gecko *Pachydactylus rangei* caused by iridophores. Sci Rep. 2021 Jan 11;11(1):297.

30. Whitcher C. New accounts of biofluorescence in several anuran genera (*Hylidae, Microhylidae, Ranidae, Leptodactylidae*) with comments on intraspecific variation. Herpetol Notes. 2020 May 30;13:443–7.

31. Huang Z, Takemoto T, Omwange KA, Saito Y, Kuramoto M, Kondo N. Macroscopic and microscopic characterization of fluorescence properties of multiple sweet pepper cultivars (*Capsicum annuum* L.) using excitation-emission matrix and UV induced fluorescence imaging. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc. 2023 Mar 5;288:122094.

32. Kumke M, Löhmannsröben HG, Buschmann C, Langsdorf G, Lichtenthaler HK, Saito Y, et al. Fluorescence in optical monitoring of fresh and processed agricultural crops, In: M. Zude, editor. Florida: CRC Press; 2009. p. 285.

33. Iten PX, Märki-Danzig H, Koch H, Eugster CH. Isolierung und Struktur von Pteridinen

(Lumazinen) aus Russula sp. (Täublinge; Basidiomycetes). Helvetica Chimica Acta.

1984;67(2):550–69.

34. Via GG, Jerele JL. Bone fluorescence and fluorescence-guided debridement in

orthopaedic surgery: Current evidence and practice. J Orthop. 2023 Jan 1;2(1):100120.

35. Mottram JC, Cockayne EA. Fluorescence in Lepidoptera. Proc R Entomol Soc. 1920;

36.

36. Brehm G, Niermann J, Jaimes Nino LM, Enseling D, Jüstel T, Axmacher JC, et al.

Moths are strongly attracted to ultraviolet and blue radiation. Insect Conserv Divers.

2021;14(2):188–98.

37. Welch VL, Hooijdonk EV, Intrater N, Vigneron JP. Fluorescence in insects. In: The

Nature of Light: Light in Nature IV [Internet]. SPIE; 2012 [cited 2023 Dec 5]. p. 25–39.

Available from: https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-

spie/8480/848004/Fluorescence-in-insects/10.1117/12.929547.full

38. Stavenga D. Colour in the eyes of insects. J Comp Physiol A. 2002 Jun 1;188(5):337–48.

39. Adams CA, Donald M, Anderson C, Escudero E, Sourell S, Landrein S, et al. Let there

be nightlights: the ecological role of bioluminescence in a Costa Rican mushroom

[Internet]. bioRxiv [preprint]; 2023 [cited 2024 Feb 10]. p. 2023.01.10.523474.

Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.01.10.523474v1

40. McCree KJ. The action spectrum, absorptance and quantum yield of photosynthesis in

crop plants. Agricultural Meteorology. 1971 Jan 1;9:191–216.

41. Sloggett JJ, Handling editor: Xiang Ji. Field observations of putative bone-based

fluorescence in a gecko. Curr Zool. 2018 Jun;64(3):319–20.

42. Top M, Puan C, Chuang MF, Othman SN, Borzée A. First record of ultraviolet

fluorescence in the Bent-toed Gecko *Cyrtodactylus quadrivirgatus* Taylor, 1962

(*Gekkonidae: Sauria*). Herpetol Notes. 2020 Mar 3;13:211–2.

43. Abramjan A, Baranová V, Frýdlová P, Landová E, Frynta D. Ultraviolet reflectance and

pattern properties in leopard geckos (*Eublepharis macularius*). Behav Process. 2020 Apr

1;173:104060.

44. Arden GB, Tansley K. The Electroretinogram of a Diurnal Gecko. J Gen Physiol. 1962

Jul 1;45(6):1145–61.

45. Crescitelli F. The Photosensitive Retinal Pigment System of Gekko gekko. J Gen

Physiol. 1963 Sep 1;47(1):33–52.

46. Ellingson JM, Fleishman LJ, Loew ER. Visual pigments and spectral sensitivity of the

diurnal gecko *Gonatodes albogularis*. J Comp Physiol A. 1995 Oct 1;177(5):559–67.

47. Loew ER. A third, ultraviolet-sensitive, visual pigment in the Tokay gecko (*Gekko*

gekko). Vision Research. 1994 Jun 1;34(11):1427–31.

48. Röll B. Gecko vision—visual cells, evolution, and ecological constraints. J Neurocytol.

2000 Jul 1;29(7):471–84.

49. Roth LSV, Kelber A. Nocturnal colour vision in geckos. Proc R Soc B. 2004 Dec

7;271(suppl_6):S485–7.

50. Taylor RC, Buchanan BW, Doherty JL. Sexual selection in the squirrel treefrog *Hyla*

squirella: the role of multimodal cue assessment in female choice. Anim Behav. 2007

Dec 1;74(6):1753–63.

51. Whitcher C, Beaver L, Lemmon EM. The effect of biofluorescence on predation upon

Cope's gray treefrog: A clay model experiment. Behav Process. 2024 Feb 1;215:104996.

52. Whitcher C, Ron SR, Ayala-Varela F, Crawford A, Herrera-Alva V, Castillo-Urbina E,

et al. Evidence for ecological tuning of novel anuran biofluorescent signals. BioRxiv

[preprint]. 2023 [posted 2023 Jul 28; cited 2023 Dec 15]. Available from:

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.07.25.550432v1

53. Williams ST, Ito S, Wakamatsu K, Goral T, Edwards NP, Wogelius RA, et al.

Identification of shell colour pigments in marine snails *Clanculus pharaonius* and *C.*

margaritarius (*Trochoidea*; Gastropoda). PLoS One. 2016 Jul 1;11(7):e0156664.

54. Konagaya K, Al Riza D, Omwange K, Alin K, Oliver A, Rovira-Más F, et al.

Association of fruit, pericarp, and epidermis traits with surface autofluorescence in green

peppers. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2020 Dec 8

55. Lamb JY, Davis MP. Salamanders and other amphibians are aglow with

biofluorescence. Sci Rep. 2020 Feb 27;10(1):2821.

56. Sobral G, Souza-Gudinho F. Fluorescence and UV–visible reflectance in the fur of

several Rodentia genera. Sci Rep. 2022 Jul 19;12(1):12293.

Fig. 2

Fig. 1