Adaptive loss of tRNA gene expression leads to phage resistance in a marine

cyanobacterium

Sophia Zborowsky[1,2] and Debbie Lindell[1*]

[1]Faculty of Biology, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology

[2]Current address: Living Systems Institute, University of Exeter, United Kingdom

* Corresponding author: dlindell@technion.ac.il

Abstract

Bacterial resistance against phage infection is a topic of significant interest, yet little is known

for ecologically relevant systems. Here we investigate the mechanisms underlying

intracellular resistance of marine *Synechococcu*s WH5701 to the Syn9 cyanophage. Despite

possessing restriction-modification and Gabija defense systems, neither contributed to

resistance. Instead, resistance was primarily driven by insufficient levels of the Leu^{TAA} tRNA,

preventing translation of key phage genes. This resulted from a dramatic reduction in

expression of both cellular and phage encoded Leu^{TAA} tRNAs. Restoring cellular tRNA

expression rendered the cyanobacterium sensitive to infection. This study revealed a passive

intracellular resistance mechanism, demonstrating their importance for bacteria-phage

interactions. We propose an evolutionary scenario whereby changes in cell codon usage,

acquisition of tRNAs by the phage and loss of cell and phage tRNA expression resulted in an

effective means of cyanophage resistance, highlighting the dynamic interplay between

bacteria and phages in shaping their co-evolutionary trajectories.

Introduction

Bacterial resistance mechanisms against phage infection have been extensively studied over the last several decades. This interest stems from an understanding that phages play a major role in the ecology and evolution of bacterial populations[1,2]. In recent years, the focus on studying phage resistance has increased as the threat of antimicrobial resistance is leading to a resurgence in the use of phages as therapeutics (phage therapy), where resistance to phages pose one of the major hurdles for clinical use[3]. Furthermore, bacterial defense systems, such as restriction-modification (R-M) and CRISPR–Cas systems, have been adapted as important tools for biotechnology. However, experimentation to elucidate molecular mechanisms of resistance in ecologically relevant systems is rare.

Studies of resistance commonly focus on finding genes that confer some degree of protection against infection[3–6]. However, phage resistance is not exclusively due to these types of "active" defense mechanisms. Loss of function, or "passive" resistance mechanisms, can also result in resistance to phage infection. The most well studied examples of this latter type of resistance are mutations that lead to changes in the cell wall, for example, alterations in receptors that impact phage adsorption[7–11], or loss of extracellular polymers needed for adsorption[12,13]. Intracellular resistance caused by a loss of function has been less well studied. Viruses often use intracellular components of the host for their replication and there is evidence that phage infections can fail when these are artificially deleted[14–16]. Yet, there is little evidence that bacteria have naturally evolved resistance to viruses by changes in intracellular components that lead to blocking phage infection inside the cell[17].

Marine picocyanobacteria from the genera *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* contribute significantly to global primary production[18] . These cyanobacteria coexist with high numbers

of cyanophages in the oceans[19]. In some regions cyanophages can be a substantial cause of

mortality, impacting cyanobacterial distribution, primary production and ocean

biogeochemistry[20–23]. In other regions low levels of infection ensue[23,24] and coexistence is

considered likely to be due to a high degree of cyanobacterial resistance to co-occurring

cyanophages[7,19,25].

Previously, we reported on a phage – resistant-bacteria interaction where the infection fails

post adsorption; the Syn9 cyanophage infecting the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. strain

WH5701 (referred to as *Synechococcus* WH5701 henceforth). Syn9 is a T4-like

cyanomyovirus, with a 177.3 Kb genome which encodes for 226 putative proteins and six

tRNAs and has a GC content of 40.5%[26]. *Synechococcus* WH5701 is a marine

cyanobacterium that belongs to *Synechococcus* subcluster 5.2[27,28]. This cyanobacterium has a

2.86 Mb genome that codes for 3,129 proteins with a GC content of 66%[29]. Notably, this

cyanobacterium is resistant to all phages tested on it[19,17]. Based on sequence homology it has

two known resistance mechanisms, a type I R-M and a Gabija system[5]. However, neither of

these systems have been tested for their ability to provide resistance to phage infection in

Synechococcus WH5701.

In this study, our primary objective was to unravel the underlying mechanism of intracellular

resistance in *Synechococcus* WH5701 against Syn9 infection. We found that the R-M and

Gabija systems were ineffective in conferring resistance against this phage. Rather, we found

that resistance against Syn9 stemmed from insufficient levels of the Leu^{TAA} tRNA. This was

due to dramatically reduced expression of both the cyanobacterial and cyanophage Leu^{TAA}

tRNA genes. Our findings underscore the critical role of tRNA availability in phage

susceptibility. Furthermore, we revealed a passive form of resistance where the adaptive loss

of function of a host component resulted in intracellular resistance to phage infection.

Results

We used two approaches to investigate the mechanism for resistance to Syn9 infection in

Synechococcus WH5701. First, we examined the functionality of the two known defense

systems encoded in the genome of *Synechococcus* WH5701. Second, we used the stage at

which infection is arrested to help discern the nature of the mechanism underlying resistance.

Our previous observations revealed that Syn9 enters the cell, replicates its DNA, translates

many but not all its proteins, and begins to assemble capsids[17]. Untranslated proteins include

the neck protein, the tail sheath stabilizer, a packaging protein and a protease involved in

capsid maturation[17]. Infection stalls at the stage of DNA packaging. Thus, Syn9 phage

infection is arrested at a late stage during infection of *Synechococcus* WH5701.

Investigating the role of R-M and Gabija systems

We began by examining the two known resistance mechanisms present in the genome of

Synechococcus WH5701. Note that Syn9 DNA degradation during infection of

Synechococcus WH5701 occurs many hours after the anticipated end of infection based on

sensitive strains[17], and is thus different to the rapid degradation expected if DNA targeting

systems like R-M and Gabija are responsible for resistance[4]. Nonetheless, we still assessed if

these systems are effective against the Syn9 phage. We inactivated an essential gene within

each system in two separate mutants; the predicted nuclease in the R-M system, *hsdR*, and the

predicted helicase in the Gabija system, *gajB* (Fig. 1a). If either of these systems were

responsible for conferring resistance to the Syn9 phage, gene inactivation would lead to phage

sensitivity.

Upon challenging the *Synechococcus* WH5701 inactivation mutants with Syn9 we found no

discernible decline in growth of the cyanobacterium (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, no phage plaques

were formed (data not shown). Consequently, we conclude that neither of the two known

defense systems is responsible for resistance to the Syn9 phage. These findings support our

previous hypothesis that nuclease-based resistance mechanisms are not responsible for

Synechococcus WH5701 resistance to Syn9 infection.

Translational inhibition by tRNA availability

We turned to assess whether a novel mechanism is involved in phage resistance. Based on the

resistance phenotype of Syn9 during infection of *Synechococcus* WH5701, we hypothesized

that resistance is due to the absence of crucial proteins required for DNA packaging, tail

attachment, and phage morphogenesis[17]. This could be linked to a failure in their translation,

or to their degradation subsequent to translation.

We began by addressing the possibility that the missing proteins are not translated. We

hypothesized that a lack of translation of these proteins is due to a mismatch between the

codons found in the missing proteins and the tRNA pool in the cell. Hence, we compared

codon usage between the 81 phage proteins that were detected in *Synechococcus* WH5701 to

the 35 proteins that were missing (of those detected in the sensitive strain by proteomics)[17].

We found that three codons were significantly more prevalent in the non-detected proteins:

UUA for Leu$^{\text{TAA}}$, AGA for Arg$^{\text{TCT}}$, and ACA for Thr$^{\text{TGT}}$ (Table 1). Notably, these codons,

especially UUA and ACA, are rare in the genome of *Synechococcus* WH5701 relative to other

codons (Table 1, Table S1).

Next, we wondered whether *Synechococcus* WH5701 carries the genes for these three tRNAs.

We found genes for all three of these tRNAs in the genome of this cyanobacterium. We then

asked whether insufficient tRNA availability in *Synechococcus* could be preventing

translation of the missing phage proteins. We used reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR

(RT-qPCR) to measure tRNAs levels in uninfected *Synechococcus* WH5701 and compared

them to those in a strain sensitive to Syn9, *Synechococcus* WH8102. We found that two of the

three tRNAs (Leu^{TAA} and Arg^{TCT}) were below the limit of detection. This is more than 4

orders of magnitude below tRNA levels for common codons in this cyanobacterium and for

these same tRNAs in the sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102 (Fig. 2a). The third tRNA

(Thr^{TGT}), however, was found at high levels in the resistant cyanobacterium despite the

corresponding codon being rare in this strain. Interestingly, a fourth tRNA that is relatively

rare in *Synechococcus* WH5701 (Val^{TAC}) was also below detection limits, even though its

codon is not differentially distributed in present and absent Syn9 phage proteins. Thus, despite

the presence of the relevant tRNA genes in the genome of *Synechococcus* WH5701, the tRNA

levels of some of them were undetectable.

Phages often carry tRNA genes, including Syn9, which is thought to compensate for the

mismatch between their codon usage and the tRNA pool of the host[26,30,31]. Interestingly, the

four tRNAs discussed above are among the six coded in the Syn9 genome. Therefore, we

wondered whether these phage tRNAs were expressed in the resistant cyanobacterium. We

found detectable levels of all six phage tRNAs during infection, but these were approximately

1000-fold lower in the resistant *Synechococcus* WH5701 relative to the sensitive

Synechococcus WH8102 (Fig. 2b). These findings suggest that the phage tRNAs were not

sufficiently transcribed or did not accumulate in the resistant cyanobacterium, and would not

compensate for the low levels resulting from the cyanobacterial genome.

Given the overall low levels of these tRNAs, we hypothesized that *Synechococcus* WH5701 is

resistant to Syn9 because the tRNAs required to translate key phage proteins are not present at

sufficient levels. This could be due to insufficient tRNA transcription or rapid degradation

after transcription. If there is no, or low, transcription then expressing the tRNAs using

appropriate regulatory elements would result in tRNA accumulation in the cells. Conversely,

if the tRNAs are targeted for degradation post transcription, then additional regulatory

elements for transcription would not result in an accumulation of the tRNAs.

To test this, we expressed two undetectable *Synechococcus* WH5701 tRNA genes, Leu^{TAA} and

Arg^{TCT}, together from a replicative plasmid under the control of the cyanobacterial *rnpB*

promoter. Our insert included the sequence coding for the tRNA itself as well as the flanking

regions since these can influence stability through post-transcriptional regulation. We found

that the two tRNAs expressed from the vector were present at levels that were at least 4-

orders of magnitude above those in the resistant wild-type (WT) *Synechoccous* WH5701.

However, tRNA levels were still well below those in the sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102

(approximately 10-fold lower for Leu^{TAA} and two-fold lower for Arg^{TCT}) (Fig. 3a, b). These

findings indicate that the tRNAs were not targeted for degradation post-transcriptionally in

this expression strain and suggests that they are likely not transcribed in the WT resistant

strain.

To test if the presence of these tRNAs was sufficient to allow infection, we exposed the

expression strain to the Syn9 cyanophage. We observed a clear decline in growth of the

expression strain, similar to that for the sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102 WT and different

to the resistant *Synechococcus* WH5701 WT (Fig. 4). Furthermore, plaques were formed on

lawns of the expression strain (Fig. 4c). However, the number of phages produced was

considerably fewer than in the sensitive strain as seen from the efficiency of plating (EOP) of

1.2% relative to 100% in the sensitive strain (p value<0.0001) (Fig. 3c). This lower efficiency

of plating could be due to the lower tRNA levels in this expression strain. Irrespective of the

number of phages produced, these findings unequivocally show that expression of these two

tRNAs resulted in phage sensitivity and that *Synechococcus* WH5701 resistance to Syn9 is

due, at least partially, to insufficient levels of specific tRNAs. Our findings further suggest

that neither specific protein degradation nor specific tRNA degradation are the mechanism of

resistance.

Investigating transcriptional loss of tRNAs in Synechococcus *WH5701*

We set out to understand what might be causing the lack of expression of the cyanobacterial

tRNA genes. We hypothesized that these genes have lost necessary regulatory motifs for their

expression. To assess this possibility, we started by cloning the two tRNA genes, Leu^{TAA} and

Arg^{TCT}, with new flanking regions, replacing these regions with the flanking regions from a

tRNA gene, Tyr^{GTA}, which is highly expressed in *Synechococcus* WH5701 (see Fig. 2a). The

insert was not cloned with an external promoter to assess whether the flanking regions

themselves contained the necessary information for transcription. The levels of both tRNAs

were present in high amounts, considerably higher than when these same tRNA genes were

under the control of the *rnpB* promoter (Fig. 3a, b). However, they were still somewhat lower

than those found in *Synechococcus* WH8102, 4-fold for Leu^{TAA} and 1.25-fold for Arg^{TCT}.

Thus, the Tyr^{GTA} flanking regions were sufficient for transcription and resulted in relatively

high levels of tRNAs.

Next, we looked for motifs upstream of tRNAs that could be responsible for expression. This

was done for the seven tRNAs for which transcription was experimentally verified by RT-

qPCR (Fig. 2a). We found a conserved motif, TXXG, in the -35 position of all seven

transcribed tRNAs. This motif was absent, however, from the upstream region (from the

transcription start site to the -35 position) of the three undetected tRNAs, Leu^{TAA}, Arg^{TCT}, and

Val^{TAC} (Fig. 2a). To test if this motif was needed for transcription, we replaced it with AAAA

nucleotides in the upstream region of the inserts with the Tyr^{GTA} flanking regions. Indeed,

transcription was lost when the TXXG was removed (Fig. 3a, b). However, transcription did

not result when we added this motif to the native Leu^{TAA} and Arg^{TCT} tRNA genes in the -35

position (Fig. 3a, b). These findings indicate that the TXXG motif is essential but not

sufficient for transcription, and that other regulatory elements in the tRNA flanking regions

are also necessary for tRNA transcription.

We then assessed whether tRNA expression with and without this -35 motif affected

sensitivity to Syn9. We challenged the various *Synechococcus* WH5701 expression strains

with Syn9 and found that expression of the tRNAs with the Tyr^{GTA} flanking regions resulted

in sensitivity to Syn9, with both a decline in growth of the cyanobacterium and the production

of plaques (Fig. 5a). For the expression strains in which tRNA transcription did not result, no

sensitivity to the phage was found, neither through a decline in cyanobacterial growth nor

through plaque formation (Fig. 5b, c). These findings further indicate that tRNA expression is

sufficient to render *Synechococcus* WH5701 sensitive to Syn9 infection.

As described above, all *Synechococcus* WH5701 expression strains that resulted in tRNA

transcription were also sensitive to Syn9. However, there was a pronounced difference in the

number of phages produced. Expression of the tRNAs with the Tyr^{GTA} flanking regions

produced almost 30-fold more phages than when expressed from the *rnpB* promoter, with an

efficiency of plating of 35% versus 1.2% respectively (p value<0.0001) (Fig. 3c). Since there

was a gradient both in tRNA levels and phage production, we wondered whether phage

production is directly related to tRNA transcript levels. To assess this, we cloned two copies

of the two tRNA genes (Leu^{TAA} and Arg^{TCT}), each set with its own Tyr^{GTA} flanking regions

into *Synechococcus* WH5701. We observed about a 2-fold increase in transcript levels (Fig.

3a, b), but there was no effect on phage production (Fig. 3c, p=0.7 for U-test for EOP of

expression strains with single and double gene copies). Thus, tRNA transcript levels do not

correlate directly to phage production, suggesting that other factors also affect phage

production.

Finally, we were interested in determining whether both tRNAs were required for the

sensitive phenotype. We expressed each tRNA separately with the Tyr^{GTA} flanking regions

and saw that expression of Leu^{TAA} alone was sufficient to render *Synechococcus* WH5701

sensitive to Syn9 (Fig. 5d). In contrast, expression of Arg^{TCT} alone left the cyanobacterium

resistant to the Syn9 phage (Fig. 5e). However, phage production was approximately double

when both tRNAs were expressed than when Leu^{TAA} was expressed alone, with an efficiency

of plating of 18% with Leu^{TAA} alone versus 35% with both tRNAs (p=0.05, Fig. 3c). Hence

while expression of Arg^{TCT} alone did not result in phage sensitivity, this tRNA contributed

significantly to phage production.

Discussion

Our findings indicate that the lack of expression of the Leu^{TAA} gene in *Synechococcus*

WH5701 confers it with resistance to infection by Syn9. This lack of expression resulted in

the inability of the phage to translate all of its essential proteins[17] and was the result of the

lack of an essential promoter motif in the flanking regions of the tRNA gene (this study). This

can be considered a passive mechanism of resistance since it is caused by the loss of

expression of a component in the cell that is required by the phage and also does not affect the

growth of the cell[17]. This mechanism of resistance acts at a late stage of infection, since Syn9

gets as far as building capsids[17] . Thus, this passive mechanism of resistance is not the result

of early stage incompatibility between this cyanophage and cyanobacterium.

The most well-known passive resistance mechanisms in bacteria arise from changes in an

outer cellular component that phages use as receptors, thus preventing phage adsorption and

entry into the cell[6,7–11], and thus function extracellularly. Intracellular mutations or loss-of-

function of cellular components as a means of resistance is rarely reported in bacteria, but

based on our findings, may be more common than currently appreciated. For example, we

previously reported that many marine cyanophages enter into marine cyanobacteria but cannot

complete their infection cycle[17]. Most of these cyanobacteria (12 out of 16 marine

Synechococcus and *Prochlorococcus* strains) lack recognizable active mechanisms of

resistance[17]. At this stage, it remains unknown whether the means of resistance in these cells

are passive intracellular mechanisms, similar to that reported here, or whether they carry

currently unknown active resistance systems. While recent research has uncovered a plethora

of new systems of active resistance in bacteria[5,6,32], little is known about potential passive

intracellular mechanisms for bacteria-at-large. Thus, further investigation into this

phenomenon has the potential to significantly enhance our understanding of phage-bacteria

interactions and the evolutionary dynamics underlying bacterial resistance to phages.

Defense mechanisms that target translation have been demonstrated previously against

phages. These are active mechanisms that, for example, cleave essential host translation

factors[33] or target tRNAs for degradation[34]. Furthermore, these are abortive infection systems

that result in the death of the infected cell and provide protection to the population by

preventing the spread of the phage[35]. This is in stark contrast to the means of resistance

reported here which is both passive and does not result in the death of the cyanobacterium.

Thus, it is now clear that both active and passive, abortive and non-abortive, defense

mechanisms work at the level of translation inhibition.

In this study we focused our work on investigation of the expression of the cyanobacterial

tRNAs. While restoring expression of the leucine and arginine tRNAs was sufficient to result

in phage sensitivity in *Synechococcus* WH5701, phage production was still considerably

lower than in the naturally sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102 strain. This may, in part, be due

to the orders of magnitude lower expression of all six of the phage tRNAs, including the

phage leucine and arginine tRNAs (Fig. 2b). It could also be due to low transcription of many

Syn9 transcript in this resistant strain, ~10-110% of that in the sensitive strain[17]. This

disparity in tRNA and mRNA transcript levels could stem from low transcriptional

compatibility of Syn9 to *Synechococcus* WH5701. However, it is also possible that this lower

efficacy of transcription is the result of a currently unknown active mechanism of resistance.

It is feasible that the two known active defense systems in the genome of *Synechococcus*

WH5701 confer resistance against other phages, as may the lack of transcription of the Val^{TAC}

tRNA (Fig. 2a). Indeed, no currently known phage can successfully infect *Synechococcus*

WH5701[17,19]. It appears that this *Synechococcus* strain has evolved to possess multiple layers

of defense that protect it against a wealth of cyanophages, culminating in the emergence of a

cyanobacterium that is a phage-resistant specialist.

The evolution of the lack of expression of certain tRNA genes in *Synechococcus* WH5701 is

likely to have occurred gradually. Clearly, a phage's ability to translate its proteins and

successfully infect a host is dependent on its ability to utilize the tRNA pool of the host[36,37].

Since lytic phages kill off the cell, it is considered likely that phages exert selective pressure

on the host that influences its codon usage pattern and leads to differentiation from that of the

phage[38,37,31]. Our study provides experimental evidence in support of this hypothesis.

Combining our data with theoretical evidence from the literature, we propose a multi-step

scenario that can explain the evolutionary process that led to the loss of tRNA expression as

an effective means of cyanophage resistance in *Synechococcus* WH5701 and perhaps other

bacteria. Phages and other parasites often have low GC content which is potentially due to the

lower cost of producing AT rich genomes and the higher availability of these nucleotides[39].

We hypothesize that the cyanobacterium underwent adaptations that modify its codon usage

patterns to differ from those most critical for the expression of phage replication and

morphogenesis genes[30,36,31]. This is likely to have preceded, or occurred simultaneously with

the downregulation of tRNA gene expression[40–43] through the loss of at least the TXXG motif

at the -35 position of three key tRNA genes (Fig. 2a). These tRNAs are among those that

match the most common codons in T4-like cyanophage genomes[31] and thus their lack of

expression is likely to have conferred resistance against infection by T4-like cyanophages,

such as Syn9. This deficiency would exert substantial selective pressure for the acquisition

and expression of the relevant tRNA genes by cyanophages, to compensate for such codon

usage discrepancies[30,31] and allow for infection. Subsequently, we propose that

Synechococcus WH5701 developed a presently unknown mechanism to limit expression of

cyanophage tRNA genes, such that their presence was rendered ineffectual, preventing

infection. Thus, in this scenario, the evolution of resistance in this cyanobacterium stemmed

from the gradual loss of tRNA gene expression, whether encoded by the cell or acquired by

the phage, underscoring the dynamic interplay between bacterial hosts and phages in shaping

their co-evolutionary trajectories.

Methods

Cyanobacterial growth and cyanophage propagation

Synechococcus WH5701 and WH8102 cultures were grown in an artificial seawater medium

(ASW)[17]. Cultures were grown at 21°C at a light intensity of 15-25 μmol photons·m^{-2}·s^{-1}

under a 14:10 light:dark regime. Culture growth was measured using chlorophyll *a*

autofluorescence (excitation/emission: 440/680 nm) as a proxy for biomass using a Synergy 2

microplate reader (BioTek).

For isolation of conjugated colonies, pour plating was used, the cyanobacterial culture was

serially diluted and mixed with ultra-pure low-melting point agarose (Invitrogen) at 0.28% in

growth medium which was also supplemented with 1 mM sodium sulfite. The helper

bacterium, *Alteromonas sp.* strain EZ55, was added to the plates to obtain high plating

efficiency[44].

The Syn9 cyanophage was propagated by infecting a sensitive strain at a low multiplicity of

infection (MOI) of <0.01 and allowing the culture to clear. Cells were removed from the

phage lysates by filtration through a 0.2 μm pore-sized filter. For small volumes (< 20 ml)

Acrodisc syringe filters (PALL) were used; for large volumes Nalgene Rapid Flow 50 mm

filter units (Thermo Scientific) were used.

The titer of the lysate (the concentration of infective phages) was determined by plaque assay.

The phage lysate was serially diluted and mixed with the sensitive *Synechococcus* strain

WH8102 at a concentration of 2×10^6 in pour plates (as described above, but without the

addition of the EZ55 helper bacterium) to form a cyanobacterial lawn on which clearings in

the lawn (plaques) could be counted. The plates were incubated at cyanobacterial growth

conditions until plaque clearings were observed in the lawn. Plaques were counted until no

new plaques appeared.

Insertional inactivation of Synechococcus *WH5701 genes*

The Type I restriction system was inactivated by disrupting the restriction subunit gene (*hdsR*;

protein ID WP_071934184.1), while the Gabija system was inactivated by interrupting the

helicase gene (*gajB*; protein ID WP_071934305.1). Gene inactivation was achieved through

plasmid integration into the host chromosome via a single homologous recombination event

following Brahamsha[45]. A 250 bp homologous segment was modified to include stop codons

in all three reading frames to ensure gene inactivation (see Fig. 1a) and was cloned into a

pBR322-derived plasmid[45] (named pDS1)[46]. The chloramphenicol resistance gene in this

plasmid was codon optimized for expression in cyanobacteria[47]. The plasmid was

electroporated into the S17 *E. coli* donor strain[48] and colonies were selected on LB plates with

100 µg/ml ampicillin. The plasmid was then mobilized into *Synechococcus* WH5701 by

conjugation. Colony formation was performed using pour-plating in the presence of 2 µg/ml

chloramphenicol. Successful gene interruption was confirmed by PCR using primers within

the plasmid and upstream or downstream of the crossover region in the cyanobacterium (see

Table S2 for primer sequences). To ensure full segregation (disruption of all copies of the

cyanobacterial chromosome) PCR was performed to verify the absence of wild-type gene

copies. Disruption of transcription was verified by RT-qPCR using primers flanking the site

of recombination (see Table S2 for primer sequences). Further details on RT and qPCR are

provided below.

Analyzing host and phage codon usage and motif searches

Codon usage in the genomes of *Synechococcus* WH5701, *Synechococcus* WH8102, and Syn9

was analyzed with the frequency of each of the 61 codons counted. Additionally, for phages,

codon usage per gene was assessed, comparing the average occurrence of codons between

translated and untranslated phage proteins in *Synechococcus* WH5701, as per Zborowsky and

Lindell[17]. The determination of codon usage was carried out using the Countcodon program

version 4 with default parameters for bacteria

(https://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/countcodon.html). Statistical comparisons of codon usage

per gene were conducted using the Mann-Whitney U test.

The TXXG motif was identified after manual inspection of the upstream region of the 10

tRNA genes in *Synechococcus* WH5701 for which tRNA levels were investigated using RT-

qPCR. The upstream regions of the 7 expressed tRNAs were compared to the 3 tRNA genes

that were not expressed in this cyanobacterium.

Expression of tRNA genes in Synechococcus *WH5701*

To explore the impact of the absence of Leu^{TAA} and Arg^{TCT} tRNAs on resistance in

Synechococcus WH5701, these genes were expressed from a replicative plasmid with

different regulatory elements. (1) Native tRNA sequences and flanking regions of the Leu^{TAA}

and Arg^{TCT} genes as present in the *Synechococcus* WH5701 genome, with the addition of the

rnpB promoter upstream of the first tRNA gene (WH5702 *rnpB* promoter). (2) The same

tRNA sequences as in (1) but without the *rnpB* promoter and with flanking regions of each

gene replaced with those of the Tyr^{GTA} tRNA from *Synechococcus* WH5701, which include

the TXXG motif in the -35 position relative to the transcription start site (WH5701 Tyr flanks

and WH5701 Tyr flanks two copies). (3) The same as in (2) but with the TXXG motif

replaced with AAAA (WH5701 Tyr flanks TXXG replaced with AAAA). (4) Native tRNA

sequences and flanking regions but with the addition of TXXG to the -35 position (WH5701

native flanks TXXG added to -35). (5) The same as in (2) but for only one of the tRNA genes

(WH5701 Leu alone Tyr flanks or WH5701 Arg alone Tyr flanks). Constructs were

synthesized and cloned into a pUC57-Amp plasmid (Genewiz) and were then inserted into the

pDS-ProCAT plasmid[47] using restriction enzymes. The pDS-ProCAT plasmids were then

electroporated into *E. coli* S17 and subsequently conjugated into *Synechococcus* WH5701 as

described above. Selection of positive colonies were done as described above, except that *E.*

coli S17 colonies harboring the plasmid were selected on LB plates supplemented with 750

μg/ml erythromycin (Em).

Determining transcription levels by reverse transcription

For assessing tRNA levels and the expression of interrupted R-M and Gabija genes, total

RNA was extracted from cyanobacterial cells. Samples were taken 5 hours after phage

infection, as were non-infected controls, and were centrifuged at 9,000 g for 15 minutes at

4ºC. Cells were immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until RNA

extraction.

For RNA extraction, cells were thawed on ice and resuspended in a solution containing 10

mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 100 units of RNase inhibitor (Applied Biosystems), and 15,000 units of

Lysozyme (Sigma). After incubating at 37°C for 30 minutes for initial cell wall degradation,

RNA was isolated using the Quick RNA Mini Prep kit (Zymo). To remove residual DNA, the

Turbo DNA Free kit (Invitrogen) was used, incubating with DNase at 37°C for 1 hour.

Reverse transcription was conducted with random hexamer primers using the High Capacity

cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). The RT procedure included primer

extension at 25°C for 10 minutes, cDNA synthesis at 37°C for 120 minutes, and reaction

termination at 85°C for 5 minutes. Samples were diluted five-fold in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8

and stored at -20°C before real-time quantitative PCR. The *Synechococcus* WH5701 *rnpB*

gene served as a positive control for reverse transcription and as a standardizing marker for

gene expression. No RT controls were performed on all samples to ensure that reported

transcript levels did not originate from residual phage DNA.

Real-time quantitative PCR

PCR was conducted using the LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche). The cycling

program began with a denaturation step of 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 35-40 cycles of

amplification. Each cycle involved denaturation at 95°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 52°C-

68°C for 10 seconds, and elongation at 72°C for 10 seconds. Fluorescence was measured for

each reaction (FAM; Ex/Em 465/510nm) at the end of each cycle. The LightCycler 480

software (release 1.5.0) was used to calculate the point at which the fluorescence of a sample

exceeded the background fluorescence (Cp) using the absolute quantification/2nd-derivative

maximum analysis package. Melting curve analysis on the LightCycler 480 instrument

verified the specificity of the amplified PCR product.

Each qPCR reaction contained 1X LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master mix (Roche), 200

nM desalted primers, and 5 μl template (in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH8) in a total reaction volume

of 20 μl (see Table S2 for primer sequences). The number of DNA copies in the reaction was

determined by comparing the Cp to that of a sample with a known DNA concentration using a

standard curve. Genomic DNA for standard curves was extracted using the DNeasy Blood &

Tissue kit (Qiagen) from cyanobacterial strains and a phenol:chloroform based method for the

phages. DNA concentrations in ng/ml were measured by absorbance at 260 nm using a

Synergy 2 microplate reader (BioTek) and converted to gene copies/ml by inputting the

genome length of the cyanobacterium or phage into the URI Genomics & Sequencing Center

calculator for determining the number of copies.

Determining resistance or sensitivity to phage infection

To assess sensitivity or resistance to each phage, cultures were exposed to phage in liquid

medium, and their growth was monitored for 2 weeks. To maintain consistent phage-bacteria

ratios at the beginning of the experiments, cells were quantified using flow cytometry with the

Influx flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson), based on forward scatter and autofluorescence

(emission at 692/640nm). Yellow-green 1 μm diameter microspheres (Fluoresbrite) served as

internal standards for size and fluorescence consistency. Culture growth was monitored using

chlorophyll *a* fluorescence (as described above). Furthermore, sensitivity to the phage was

tested by plaque assay. A strain was considered sensitive if there was either reduced growth in

liquid relative to uninfected controls or visible clearings in plaque assays or deemed resistant

if there was no growth reduction in liquid medium and no plaque formation on cyanobacterial

lawns.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS statistics version 24. Mann-

Whitney's U test (nonparametric) was used. Normality was tested using the Shapiro Wilk test

for normality. Equality of variance was tested using the Levene's test using SPSS software.

Acknowledgements

We thank Marina Rodnina for the suggestion to investigate codon usage, Gazalah Sabehi,

Dror Shitrit and other Lindell lab members for discussions, and Sarit Avrani and Michael

Carlson for comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by funding from the

Simons Foundation (SCOPE Grant 329108 and Life Sciences Grant 735081) to D.L. This

manuscript is a contribution of the Simons Collaboration on Ocean Processes and Ecology

(SCOPE).

Author contributions

S.Z. and D.L. conceived the project and designed the experiments. S.Z. carried out the

experiments and analyzed the data. S.Z. and D.L. wrote the manuscript.

References

1. Brown, T. L., Charity, O. J. & Adriaenssens, E. M. Ecological and functional roles of

bacteriophages in contrasting environments: marine, terrestrial and human gut. *Curr. Opin.*

Microbiol. **70**, 102229 (2022).

2. Koskella, B., Hernandez, C. A. & Wheatley, R. M. Understanding the impacts of

bacteriophage viruses: from laboratory evolution to natural ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Virol.*

9, 57–78 (2022).

3. Luong, T., Salabarria, A.-C. & Roach, D. R. Phage therapy in the resistance era: where do

we stand and where are we going? *Clin. Ther.* **42**, 1659–1680 (2020).

4. Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E. & Moineau, S. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. **8**, 317–327 (2010).

5. Doron, S. *et al.* Systematic discovery of antiphage defense systems in the microbial

pangenome. *Science* **359**, eaar4120 (2018).

6. Millman, A. *et al.* An expanded arsenal of immune systems that protect bacteria from

phages. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 1556-1569.e5 (2022).

7. Avrani, S., Wurtzel, O., Sharon, I., Sorek, R. & Lindell, D. Genomic island variability

facilitates *Prochlorococcus*–virus coexistence. *Nature* **474**, 604–608 (2011).

8. Sokolova, D. *et al.* Spontaneous mutations in *hlyD* and *tuf* genes result in resistance of

Dickeya solani IPO 2222 to phage ϕD5 but cause decreased bacterial fitness and virulence

in planta. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 7534 (2023).

9. McGee, L. W., Barhoush, Y., Shima, R. & Hennessy, M. Phage-resistant mutations impact

bacteria susceptibility to future phage infections and antibiotic response. *Ecol. Evol.* **13**,

e9712 (2023).

10. Mi, Y. *et al.* Genetic and phenotypic analysis of phage-resistant mutant fitness

triggered by phage–host interactions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **24**, (2023).

11. Kolan, D. *et al.* Tradeoffs between phage resistance and nitrogen fixation drive the

evolution of genes essential for cyanobacterial heterocyst functionality. *The ISME J.* **18**,

wrad008 (2024).

12. Ho, K., Huo, W., Pas, S., Dao, R. & Palmer, K. L. Loss-of-function mutations in *epaR*

confer resistance to ϕNPV1 infection in *Enterococcus faecalis* OG1RF. *AAC* **62**,

10.1128/aac.00758-18 (2018).

13. Le, S. *et al.* Chromosomal DNA deletion confers phage resistance to *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 4738 (2014).

14. Roucourt, B. & Lavigne, R. The role of interactions between phage and bacterial

proteins within the infected cell: a diverse and puzzling interactome. *Environ. Microbiol.*

11, 2789–2805 (2009).

15.   Qiao, J., Qiao, X., Sun, Y. & Mindich, L. Role of host protein glutaredoxin 3 in the

control of transcription during bacteriophage Φ2954 infection. *PNAS* **107**, 6000–6004

(2010).

16.   Blasche, S., Wuchty, S., Rajagopala, S. & Uetz, P. The protein interaction network of

bacteriophage lambda with Its host, *Escherichia coli*. *J. Virol.* **87**, 12745–12755 (2013).

17.   Zborowsky, S. & Lindell, D. Resistance in marine cyanobacteria differs against

specialist and generalist cyanophages. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 16899–16908

(2019).

18.   Flombaum, P. *et al.* Present and future global distributions of the marine cyanobacteria

Prochlorococcus and *Synechococcus*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 9824–9829

(2013).

19.   Waterbury, J. B. & Valois, F. W. Resistance to co-occurring phages enables marine

Synechococcus communities to coexist with cyanophages abundant in seawater. *Appl.*

Environ. Microbiol. **59**, 3393–3399 (1993).

20.   Wilhelm, S. W. & Suttle, C. A. Viruses and nutrient cycles in the Sea: Viruses play

critical roles in the structure and function of aquatic food webs. *BioScience* **49**, 781–788

(1999).

21.   Fuhrman, J. A. Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. *Nature*

399, 541–548 (1999).

22.   Zimmerman, A. E. *et al.* Metabolic and biogeochemical consequences of viral

infection in aquatic ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 21–34 (2020).

23.   Carlson, Michael. C. G. *et al.* Viruses affect picocyanobacterial abundance and

biogeography in the North Pacific Ocean. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 570–580 (2022).

24.   Mruwat, N. *et al.* A single-cell polony method reveals low levels of infected

Prochlorococcus in oligotrophic waters despite high cyanophage abundances. *ISME J.* **15**,

41–54 (2021).

25.   Marston, M. F. *et al.* Rapid diversification of coevolving marine *Synechococcus* and a

virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 4544–4549 (2012).

26.   Weigele, P. R. *et al.* Genomic and structural analysis of Syn9, a cyanophage infecting

marine *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*. *Environ. Microbiol.* **9**, 1675–1695 (2007).

27.   Waterbury, J. B., Watson, S. W., Valois, F. W. & Franks, D. G. Biological and

ecological characterization of the marine unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechococcus*.

Photosynthetic Picoplankton (eds. Platt, T. & Li, W. K. W.) vol. 214 71–120 (1986).

28.   Ahlgren, N. A. & Rocap, G. Diversity and distribution of marine *Synechococcus*:

multiple gene phylogenies for consensus classification and development of qPCR assays

for sensitive measurement of clades in the ocean. *Front. Microbiol.* **3**, 213 (2012).

29.   Dufresne, A. *et al.* Unraveling the genomic mosaic of a ubiquitous genus of marine

cyanobacteria. *Genome Biol.* **9**, R90 (2008).

30.   Bailly-Bechet, M., Vergassola, M. & Rocha, E. Causes for the intriguing presence of

tRNAs in phages. *Genome Res.* **17**, 1486–1495 (2007).

31.   Enav, H., Béjà, O. & Mandel-Gutfreund, Y. Cyanophage tRNAs may have a role in

cross-infectivity of oceanic *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* hosts. *ISME J.* **6**, 619–

628 (2012).

32.   Bernheim, A. & Sorek, R. The pan-immune system of bacteria: antiviral defence as a

community resource. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 113–119 (2020).

33.   Yu, Y. T. & Snyder, L. Translation elongation factor Tu cleaved by a phage-exclusion

system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **91**, 802–806 (1994).

34.   Levitz, R. *et al.* The optional *E. coli prr* locus encodes a latent form of phage T4-

induced anticodon nuclease. *EMBO J.* **9**, 1383–1389 (1990).

35.   Lopatina, A., Tal, N. & Sorek, R. Abortive infection: bacterial suicide as an antiviral

immune strategy. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* **7**, 371–384 (2020).

36.   Carbone, A. Codon bias is a major factor explaining phage evolution in translationally

biased hosts. *J. Mol. Evol.* **66**, 210–223 (2008).

37.   Lucks, J. B., Nelson, D. R., Kudla, G. R. & Plotkin, J. B. Genome landscapes and

bacteriophage codon usage. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **4**, e1000001 (2008).

38.   Krakauer, D. C. & Jansen, V. A. A. Red queen dynamics of protein translation. *J.*

Theor. Biol. **218**, 97–109 (2002).

39.   Rocha, E. P. C. & Danchin, A. Base composition bias might result from competition

for metabolic resources. *Trends Genet.* **18**, 291–294 (2002).

40.   Ikemura, T. Correlation between the abundance of *Escherichia coli* transfer RNAs and

the occurrence of the respective codons in its protein genes: A proposal for a synonymous

codon choice that is optimal for the *E. coli* translational system. *J. Mol. Biol.* **151**, 389–409

(1981).

41.   Jukes, T., Osawa, S., Muto, A. & Lehman, N. Evolution of anticodons: variations in

the genetic code. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **52**, 769–776 (1987).

42.   Bulmer, M. Coevolution of codon usage and transfer RNA abundance. *Nature* **325**,

728–730 (1987).

43.   Wei, Y., Silke, J. R. & Xia, X. An improved estimation of tRNA expression to better

elucidate the coevolution between tRNA abundance and codon usage in bacteria. *Sci. Rep.*

9, 3184 (2019).

44.   Morris, J. J., Johnson, Z. I., Szul, M. J., Keller, M. & Zinser, E. R. Dependence of the

cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus* on hydrogen peroxide scavenging microbes for growth at

the ocean's surface. *PLoS ONE* (2011).

45.   Brahamsha B. A genetic manipulation system for oceanic cyanobacteria of the genus

Synechococcus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **62**, 1747–1751 (1996).

46.   Schwartz, D. A. Generalized transduction in marine cyanobacteria. (Technion—Israel

Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, 2010).

47.   Shitrit, D. *et al.* Genetic engineering of marine cyanophages reveals integration but not

lysogeny in T7-like cyanophages. *ISME J.* **16**, 488–499 (2022).

48.   Simon, R., Priefer, U. & Pühler, A. A broad host range mobilization system for in vivo

genetic engineering: Transposon mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. *Bio/Technology* **1,**

784-791 (1983).

Table 1. Codon usage and frequency for select tRNAs in *Synechococcus* and Syn9 genomes

Codon	AA	tRNA[a]		Codon frequency in genome (%)[b]			Average number of appearances per gene		p value[c]
		WH5701	Syn9	WH5701	WH8102	Syn9	translated	not translated	
UUA	Leu	TAA	TAA	0.10	0.34	2.13	1.4	3.8	0.0006
AGA	Arg	TCT	TCT	0.73	1.14	2.47	2.6	4.9	0.012
ACA	Thr	TGT	TGT	0.15	1.12	1.82	3.3	4.9	0.049
GUA	Val	TAC	TAC	0.31	0.46	1.43	2.4	4.1	0.59
GCA	Ala	TGC	TGC	1.75	2.31	1.87	4.7	8.2	0.31
AAC	Gln	GTT	GTT	0.70	1.59	2.36	3.6	6.2	0.34
UAC	Tyr	GTA		2.30	0.48	1.43	1.9	3.2	0.55
GGC	Gly	GCC		3.50	2.91	0.78	0.9	4.2	0.14
CGG	Arg	CCG		2.48	2.31	0.48	0.2	0.3	0.106
GCC	Ala	GGC		3.47	2.94	0.34	1.0	1.3	0.19

[a]The ten tRNAs who levels were measured in Fig. 2a are shown here. See Table S1 for a complete list of codons and their frequencies.

[b]The frequency of each codon is the number of times it appears in the genome relative to all codons.

[c]The number of times a codon appears per gene in Syn9 proteins that were translated (n=81) versus proteins that were not translated (n=35) during infection of *Synechococcus* WH5701 was compared using the Mann Whitney U test.

a

b

Figure 1. The effect of known defense system genes on the sensitivity of *Synechococcus* WH5701 to
the Syn9 phage. **a** Schematic representation of the organization of the two defense systems in the
Synechococcus WH5701 genome (i) and in the relevant region in the Gabija system (ii) and R-M
system (iii) in the mutants after their insertional inactivation with the pDS1 plasmid (see Methods). **b.**
Growth of cyanobacteria in the presence Syn9. Average and standard deviation of 5 biological
replicates. In (a), blue shows the R-M type I system, green shows the Gabija system, yellow indicates
the homologous regions cloned into pDS1, and black indicates where stop codons were inserted. For
the R-M system, *hsdM* encodes the modification subunit, *hsdS* the specificity subunit and *hsdR* the
endonuclease. For the Gabija system, *gajA* encodes the endonuclease and *gajB* the helicase. The *gajB*
and *hsdR* genes were inactivated. AU=arbitrary units.

Figure 2. Phage and cyanobacterial tRNA levels. a. Levels of cyanobacteria-encoded tRNAs during
growth (without infection) for the 6 tRNA genes which have homologues in the Syn9 phage (Leu^{TAA},
Thr^{TGT}, Arg^{TCT}, Val^{TAC}, Ala^{TGC}, Asn^{GTT}) and 4 tRNA genes with common codons in the cyanobacterial
strains (Tyr^{GTA}, Gly^{GCC}, Arg^{CCG} and Ala^{GGC}). **b.** Levels of the phage-encoded tRNAs during infection of
the resistant *Synechococcus* WH5701 WT and sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102 WT strains, 5 hours
after infection with the Syn9 phage. Average and standard deviation of 3 biological replicates. LOD -
limit of detection of the RT-qPCR is approximately 100 transcripts. All data is normalized to levels of
cellular *rnpB.*

Figure 3. Expression of Leu^{TAA} and Arg^{TCT} tRNAs from, and efficiency of plating on, expression strains. Transcript levels of the Leu^{TAA} tRNA (**a**) and the Arg^{TCT} gene (**b**), and efficiency of plating (EOP) of Syn9 on expression strains relative to the sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102 (**c**). Average and STD of 3 biological replicates. Colors match those used in Figures 4 and 5, where relevant. LOD, limit of detection (10^{-7} transcripts/*rnpB*). ND, not determined. WH8102 and WH5701 refer to *Synechococcus* WH8102 and *Synechococcus* WH5701, respectively.

a **Sensitive WH8102 WT**

b **Resistant WH5701 WT**

c **Expression WH5701 stain - *rnpB* promoter**

Figure 4. **Sensitivity of *Synechococcus* WH5701 tRNA expression strain with *rnpB* promoter to infection by Syn9.** Growth of cyanobacterial strains in the presence and absence of Syn9 (left) and plaque formation of Syn9 (right) on sensitive *Synechococcus* WH8102 WT (**a**)**,** resistant *Synechococcus* WH5701 WT (**b**), and WH5701 expression strain where the tRNA genes were cloned under a *rnpB* promoter (**c**). Growth in liquid is average and standard deviation of 5 biological replicates. Plates with Syn9 plaques on cyanobacterial lawns are representative images of 3 biological replicates. WH8102 and WH5701 refer to *Synechococcus* WH8102 and *Synechococcus* WH5701, respectively.

677
Figure 5. **Relevance of tRNA flanking regions for tRNA transcription and phage sensitivity.** Growth
of cyanobacterial strains in the presence and absence of Syn9 (left) and Syn9 plaque formation (right)
on *Synechococcus* WH5701 expression strains where the Leu and Arg tRNA genes were cloned: with
the WH5701 Tyr[GTA] tRNA flanking regions (that naturally contain the TXXG motif at the -35 position
relative to the TSS) (**a**), with the Tyr[GTA] tRNA flanking regions where the TXXG motif was replaced
with AAAA (**b**), and with the native Leu and Arg flanking regions where the TXXG motif was inserted
at the -35 position (**c**). *Synechococcus* WH5701 expression strain with the Leu tRNA gene alone with
Tyr flanking regions (**d**). *Synechococcus* WH5701 expression strain with the Arg tRNA gene alone with
Tyr flanking regions (**e**). Growth in liquid is average and standard deviation of 5 biological replicates.
Plates with Syn9 plaques on cyanobacterial lawns are representative images of 3 biological replicates.
WH8102 and WH5701 refer to *Synechococcus* WH8102 and *Synechococcus* WH5701, respectively.