Induction of Hepatitis B Core Protein Aggregation Targeting an Unconventional Binding Site

Vladimir Khayenko[1,2,+], Cihan Makbul[1,2,+], Clemens Schulte[1,2], Naomi Hemmelmann[1,2], Sonja Kachler[1,2], Bettina Böttcher[1,2]*, Hans M. Maric[1,2]*

[1]Rudolf Virchow Center, Center for Integrative and Translational Bioimaging; University of Würzburg; Josef-Schneider-Str. 2; 97080 Würzburg, Germany

[2]Biocenter, University of Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

*correspondence to B.B. (bettina.boettcher@uni-wuerzburg.de) and H.M.M (hans.maric@uni-wuerzburg.de)

+equal contribution

Abstract

The hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major global health problem, with chronic infection leading to liver complications and high death toll. Current treatments, such as nucleos(t)ide analogs and interferon-α, effectively suppress viral replication but rarely cure the infection. To address this, new antivirals targeting different components of the HBV molecular machinery are being developed. Here we investigated the hepatitis B core protein (HBc) that forms the viral capsids and plays a vital role in the HBV life cycle. We explored two distinct binding pockets on the HBV capsid: the central hydrophobic pocket of HBc-dimers and the pocket at the tips of capsid spikes. We synthesized a geranyl dimer that binds to the central pocket with micromolar affinity, and dimeric peptides that bind the spike-tip pocket with nanomolar affinity. Cryo-electron microscopy further confirmed the binding of peptide dimers to the capsid spike tips and their capsid-aggregating properties. Finally, we show that the peptide dimers induce HBc aggregation in vitro and in living cells. Our findings highlight two tractable sites within the HBV capsid and provide an alternative strategy to affect HBV capsids.

Introduction

The hepatitis B virus (HBV) infects the liver and can cause acute and chronic hepatitis. In childhood and infancy, the virus is particularly dangerous, as the recovery rate among children is approximately 50%, while among infants infected through perinatal transmission, only 10% will naturally recover, the remainder will develop chronic infection.[1,2] On the global scale the most effective approach to address hepatitis B is through preventive treatment with vaccinations. However, the goals of achieving sufficient vaccination coverage and timely immunization have yet to be met.[1,3] Furthermore, vaccinations are ineffective for individuals

who are already infected.[4] With about 300 million chronic carriers and over 800,000 hepatitis-
related yearly deaths, chronic hepatitis B is a global health problem[2,5] that requires a solution.
Currently, there are two approved classes of medications for the treatment of chronic hepatitis
B: nucleos(t)ide analogs (NAs) and interferon-α and its derivatives (IFN-α).[6,7] NAs compete
for binding with the natural nucleotide substrates, inhibiting the viral protein P in charge of the
reverse transcription of the viral pre-genomic RNA (pgRNA) into HBV DNA.[8] IFN-α serves as
both an immunomodulator and immunostimulant, activating genes with diverse antiviral
functions to target various steps of viral replication. Additionally, it indirectly suppresses HBV
infection by modifying cell-mediated immunity.[9]

Present treatments effectively suppress HBV replication, reduce liver inflammation, fibrosis,
and the risk of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), but IFN-α treatment is
associated with significant adverse effects and NAs typically require long-term oral
administration, often lifelong, as treatment discontinuation frequently leads to relapse.
Moreover, although current therapy allows effective management of the disease, clinical cure
is rarely achieved, and the risk of HCC, although reduced, still persists.[7] Consequently,
various classes of direct-acting antivirals and immunomodulatory therapies are currently under
development, aiming to achieve a functional cure following a finite treatment duration.[10]

New HBV antivirals capitalize on the enhanced understanding of the viral life cycle and can
be categorized into several classes (Table 1): Entry inhibitors that disrupt HBV entry into
hepatocytes by blocking the binding to the sodium/taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide
(NTCP) receptor.[11] HBsAg inhibitors based on nucleic acid polymers that interfere with the
production of HBV surface antigens, and viral gene repressors based on nucleases.
Translation inhibitors based on small interfering RNAs or antisense oligonucleotides that
silence HBV RNA, thereby decreasing the viral antigen production. Finally, the capsid
assembly modulators (CAMs) target the hepatitis B core protein (HBc) that participates in
multiple essential steps of the HBV life cycle.[7]

Table 1. Direct-acting HBV antivirals

Class	Mechanism of action	Examples	Development stage[6]	Molecule type
Entry Inhibitors	NTCP (sodium/taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide) receptor[12] inhibition.	Bulevirtide[13]	Phase III	Lipopeptide
		A2342[14]	Preclinical	Small molecule
HBsAg inhibitor	Inhibition of the host HSP40 chaperone DNAJB12, that mediates spherical HBV assembly. Reduces the HBsAg in the circulation and lowers intracellular HBsAg.[15]	REP 2139[16]	Phase II	Nucleic acid polymer
Translation inhibitors	Antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) or small interfering RNAs (siRNA)[17] that target HBV messenger RNAs and act to decrease levels of viral proteins.	Bepirovirsen [18]	Phase III	ASO
		VIR-2218[19]	Phase II	siRNA
Viral gene repressors	Specific cleavage mediation of viral covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) via nucleases.[20]	PBGENE-HBV[21]	Preclinical	Endonuclease I-CreI
		EBT107[20]	Preclinical	CRISPR-Cas9
Capsid assembly modulators	Target the hydrophobic pocket located at the dimer-dimer interface near the C termini of HBc subunits and induce misassembly of the core protein, thereby impeding the formation of infectious progeny virions. [20,22,23]	Canocapavir[23]	Phase II	Small molecule
		EDP-514[24]	Phase I	Small molecule

Among the direct acting antivirals that are in preclinical or clinical studies a third are CAMs.[6]

Capsids are attractive targets due to the absence of human homologues for HBc and their

involvement in crucial stages of the HBV life cycle, including nuclear entry, encapsulation of

the pgRNA and polymerase, optional nuclear recycling to replenish the covalently closed

circular DNA (cccDNA) pool, and eventual coating and secretion from infected cells.[7,22]

The capsid is composed of 120 units of HBc dimers, assembling into a T = 4 icosahedron.

Within this structure, 60 asymmetric units are formed by 4 HBc monomers each, designated

as A, B, C, and D, or AB dimers and CD dimers.[25] The ultrastructure formed by the HBc dimer

reveals several binding pockets that can be exploited as potential targets for modulating

protein activity (Figure 1).

CAMs target the hydrophobic pocket at the HBc dimer-dimer interface, upon binding they

strengthen the association energy between HBc-dimer subunits, thereby promoting capsid

assembly, rather than inhibiting it.[26,27] As a result, abnormal or empty capsids may form,

sometimes accompanied by the aggregation of core proteins, consequently inhibiting HBV

DNA replication. Additionally, CAMs can disrupt the disassembly of incoming virions and the

intracellular recycling of capsids, thereby impeding the establishment and replenishment of

cccDNA.[7,22,28,29]

Recently, a new potentially druggable site was discovered in the HBc dimer – a hydrophobic

pocket formed at the base of the spike. This site was targeted by the detergent Triton X-100

(TX100), ultimately causing conformational alterations in the capsid structure.[30,31] In addition

to the spike-base hydrophobic pocket, there is another less-explored interacting domain

located on the spike tip of the HBc dimer. Previous studies have shown that peptides targeting

the cleft on the spike tip reduced viral replication in a cell model, likely by interfering with viral

assembly through modulation of the HBc interaction with the surface antigen.[32] These two
effector sites could serve as a foundation for the development of new types of HBc modulators
and provide alternatives ways for controlling HBV infections.

In this study, we characterize and explore these alternative HBc binding pockets at the inner-
dimer interface in the center and at the tips of capsid spikes[30,31,33], and unveil the HBc
aggregating properties of a spike-binding dimeric peptide.

Results

HBV capsid assembly modulation via the binding pockets on the HBc multimer ultrastructure
represents a promising pharmacological strategy but until now only one site located on the
HBc dimer-dimer interface was explored (Figure 1A).[22,23] We designed and synthesized
bivalent binders that target the hydrophobic pocket in the center of HBc-dimers or the tips of
the spikes (Figure 1) with avidity enhanced binding affinities and evaluated their impact on
HBV capsids in vitro and in living cells.

Figure 1. HBc binding pockets and mode of action of dimeric binders.

A) Left: Close-up view of the three addressable effector sites within HBc-dimers (shown as cartoon model with
transparent surface in grey) together with representative ligands shown as stick models: SLLGRM peptide (marine
blue, PDB: 7PZN); Geraniol, resolved here (Cyan); heteroaryldihydropyrimidine (HAP, green, PDB: 5WRE). HAP
is a representative example of a canonical CAM, that targets a hydrophobic pocket mediating HBc-dimer
multimerization, an essential step in capsid assembly. Right: The general architecture of an HBc-dimer is depicted
as a cartoon with transparent surface model in grey and the three ligands that target distinct binding pockets are
in color. The binding sites of two HBc dimers can be linked by dimeric ligands, here exemplified with the peptide
ligand. B) Hypothetical mode of action of HBc aggregation triggered by cross linking the spikes of individual HBc
dimers, HBc multimers or the whole capsid.

Geranyl dimer targets the central hydrophobic pocket of HBc-dimers with micromolar affinity

Structural and thermodynamic studies identified a distinct hydrophobic pocket in the center of HBc-dimers, with TX100, a nonionic surfactant with a polyethylene oxide chain, as a ligand.[30,31,34,35] Several of the pocket forming amino acids, such as K96 and 129-PPAY-132,[36] and the natural occurring point mutations HBcP5T, L60V, F97L and P130T[35,37–40] are involved in secretion of enveloped virions from the cell. These findings lead to the infectious HBV particles signal hypothesis where this hydrophobic pocket is involved in the regulation of the envelopment of nucleocapsids and thus could be an alternative druggable pocket to block virus envelopment.[34]

Hydrophobic post-translational modifications, such as myristylation of the Large Hepatitis B Virus Surface Protein (L-HBs), are essential for HBV infectivity and play a role in mediating viral assembly.[41] Additionally, farnesylation, another hydrophobic post-translational modification, is involved in the envelopment of hepatitis D virus[42], which relies on the presence of HBV and its protein machinery for propagation. We therefore reasoned that the binding partners of the hydrophobic pocket of HBc could likely be myristylated (4), geranylgeranylated (2), or farnesylated (1) (Figure 2A). Importantly, these naturally occurring modifications share features with the previously identified ligand TX100, namely hydrocarbon chains, that could mimic the binding interactions. However, farnesyl pyrophosphate, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate and myristic acid are poorly soluble. Therefore, we explored geraniol as a water-soluble mimetic of farnesyl and geranylgeranyl as well as n-Decyl-beta-D-maltopyranoside (DM) (5) as soluble mimetic of myristic acid (Figure 2A). The isothermal calorimetric titration (ITC) of HBc capsids with DM (5) resolved micromolar affinity ($K_D = 133$ +/- 38 µM) to all four hydrophobic pockets of HBc capsids' asymmetric unit (N=1.05 +/- 0.1) (Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure 7C).

ITC of geraniol with HBc showed a slightly enhanced micromolar affinity (K_D=94 +/- 8 µM) (Figure 2A,B, supplementary tables 1, 2 and Supplementary Figure 7A,B) and a stoichiometry of N=1.01 +/- 0.04, implying that all four hydrophobic pockets of the asymmetric unit are occupied simultaneously. To confirm geraniol's binding to the capsids and to resolve the molecular details of this interaction we conducted cryo-EM of a mixture of HBc with excess of geraniol followed by single particle analysis. This experiment resolved an additional density for geraniol in all four hydrophobic pockets within the asymmetric unit of HBc capsids (Figure 2D, Supplementary Figure 8), confirming the thermodynamic binding data and further defining the underlying molecular interactions of the involved HBV residues P5, L60, K96 and F97.

Figure 2. The central hydrophobic pocket of HBc-dimer is targeted by hydrophobic molecules containing isoprene units.

A) Structures of different substances used for the ITC and cryo-EM experiments. N-Decyl-beta-D-maltopyranoside (DM, (**5**)), is a soluble mimetic of myristic acid (**4**), their hydrocarbon chains contain 10 and 13 methyl units, respectively (cyan). Farnesyl pyrophosphate and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate have 3 and 4 isoprene units, respectively (blue), but are poorly soluble in aqueous buffers. Their soluble, isoprene-containing mimetic is geraniol (**3**). Using geranic acid we synthesized Geranyl dimer (**6**), a dimeric binder forked by a lysine and having a linker of six dioxaoctanoic units. B) Representative ITC heat signatures of geranyl dimer (**6**), geraniol (**3**) and DM (**5**) with HBc capsids. Heat release is detected upon titration of the ligands to the HBc solution, indicating a binding interaction. 4 mM geraniol (3) was titrated into a solution of 210 μM HBc. A solution of 2 mM geranyl dimer (6) was titrated into a solution 200 μM HBc. 1.6-2 mM solutions of DM (5) were titrated into solutions with 90, 100 and 150 μM HBc, respectively. The control experiments where geraniol, geranyl dimer and DM were titrated into buffer are depicted in Supplementary Figure 7. C) Integrated heat signatures in kcal·mol^{-1} plotted against the molar ratio of titrants to HBc. Binding isotherms (solid lines) were determined using a curve fitting procedure based on a one-site model. Among the ligands, the geranyl dimer has the strongest affinity to HBc, expectedly surpassing the monovalent geraniol by 2-fold. D) Close-up view of the geraniol (cyan) binding site within HBc. EM-density of a hydrophobic pocket of the A/B-dimer (grey) was rendered transparent to visualize the model of the geraniol-HBc complex in ribbon representation. Geraniol and residues (P5, L60, K96 and F97) involved in HBV's envelopment (green) with natural phenotypes are depicted in stick representation. The EM density of geraniol is shown in the zoom-out by a magenta mesh.

Encouraged by the enhanced geraniol affinity to the central hydrophobic pocket we designed and synthesized a dimeric version of geraniol capable of simultaneous binding to the HBc dimer. We connected the two geranyl moieties with a polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker that could bridge the distance of 38 Å between the two opposing hydrophobic pockets (Supplementary Figure 1). After synthesis, purification and mass spectrometric validation (Appendix 1) we determined the HBc capsid binding parameters of the geranyl dimer via ITC. The analysis suggested that the dimer engages with both HBc binding sites simultaneously

169 resulting, however, only in a moderately enhanced micromolar affinity of 63 +/- 8 µM (Figure
170 2B,C).

Targeting the pocket of capsid spike tips with nanomolar affinity peptide dimers

Although geraniol and geranyl dimer displayed improved affinity to HBc and allowed structural
insights on a binding pocket located at the center of HBc dimers, micromolar affinity is sub-
optimal for a functional compound. Therefore, we proceeded to explore another binding site
located on the capsid spike tips formed by HBc dimers (Figure 1).[32]

Earlier studies have shown that phage display-derived peptides were binding to the spike tips
of recombinant HBc capsids. These peptides were also observed to disrupt the interaction
between HBc and HBV's surface protein, L-HBs.[43] Recently we have shown that these
peptides MHRSLLGRMKGA (P1), GSLLGRMKGA (P2) and the core binding motif SLLGRM
bind to wild-type (wt) and mutant HBc variants (P5T, L60V and F97L) with intermediate
micromolar K_DS of 26, 68 and 130 µM, respectively.[33]

Here, we designed dimeric peptides with a PEG linker capable of bridging the distance of 50 Å
between the capsid spikes, thus tailoring our binders for simultaneous binding of two HBc
dimers (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 1). The three distinct dimeric peptides, the minimal
SLLGRM dimer (7), the P2 dimer (8), and the P1 dimer, were synthesized, purified, and
validated using mass spectrometry (Appendix 1). Subsequently, their binding to the HBV
capsid was evaluated through ITC (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary
Figures 3,7). With a K_D value of 4.9 +/- 0.7 µM, the SLLGRM-dimer (**7**) has the lowest affinity
to HBc, followed by the P2-dimer (**8**) (K_D =1.9 +/- 0.4 µM). Finally, the P1-dimer (**9**) displayed
a nanomolar affinity of 312 nM. Thus, P1-, P2- and SLLGRM-dimers show 83-, 36- and 27-
fold increased affinities compared to their monomeric counterparts.

The significant increase in affinity of the P1-dimer over the monomer, by almost two orders of
magnitude, may not be solely attributed to binding to two sites simultaneously. Once the P1-
dimer binds it can interact with up to four binding partners in its vicinity (Supplementary Figure
5).[44] This may enable detachment and immediate reattachment to a nearby binding partner,
further enhancing the local concentration and the overall binding strength of the P1 dimer.

Finally, our Cryo-EM experiments have confirmed that the peptide dimers occupy the binding
site at the spike tip of HBc dimers. Among these, the dimerized P1 exhibited a higher
occupation of the binding site, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure 9.

Figure 3. Dimeric peptide spike binders display strong low micromolar and nanomolar affinity.

A) Chemical structures of the dimeric peptides, all contain the core binding sequence -SLLGRM and share the same PEG linker and a lysine as the branching element of the dimer. B) Exemplary ITC thermograms showing the titration heat signature of HBc with dimers. A solution of 1500 µM (7) was titrated into a solution 150 µM HBc. A solution of 125 µM (8) was titrated into a solution 25 µM HBc. A solution of 200 µM (9) was titrated into a solution 25 µM HBc. A solution of 100 µM (10) was titrated into a solution 25 µM HBc. C) The peptide dimers display low micromolar to nanomolar affinity to HBc, the affinity increases with the elongation of the binding sequence. D) Sequence requirements of the HBc Spike binding site. Full positional scan of the P1 peptide sequence in µSPOT format, in which each residue was varied to each other proteogenic amino acid. Note that a drop in binding intensity upon variation of the core motif SLLGRM (highlighted in bold) substantiates its critical involvement in HBc binding. Refer to supplementary table 3 for the corresponding absolute greyscale values.

Notably, while performing the ITC titrations we have noticed fast fluctuations of the heat signature baseline across the tested ligands (Figure 3; Supplementary Figure 3), at least for the P1 dimer this phenomenon may be attributed to aggregation. To further substantiate and quantify a possible dimer-induced HBc aggregation we next performed a turbidity assay.[45] We found that P1 dimer induces turbidity of a HBc solution already at 1:10 equivalents of HBc, whereas the P2 dimer was slightly less potent and the SLLGRM dimer did not induce turbidity at the same conditions and further required significantly higher concentrations ratio relative to HBc (Supplementary Figure 2). To shed light on the seemingly sequence-specific aggregation properties of the different dimers we analyzed the binding of 240-point mutated P1 peptide variants in array format (Figure 3D, Supplementary Table 3). The analysis recapitulated our earlier resolved sequence requirement for HBc binding and substantiated that the minimal sequence SLLGRM is the major mediator of HBc binding. It further indicates that the additional

N-terminal residues in P1 sequence are neither conserved nor critically required for binding
despite their importance in inducing HBc aggregation.

P1dC aggregates HBc in living HEK293 cells

The strong nanomolar affinity of the P1-dimer, along with its ability to induce capsid
aggregation in vitro, prompted us to evaluate its effect on HBV core protein in living cells. To
adapt the peptide for the intracellular delivery, we synthesized a C-terminally cysteinated
version of P1-dimer, P1dC (10) (Figure 3A), and its scrambled counterpart scrP1dC (scr10)
as well as a thiol-reactive polyarginine-based cell penetrating peptide (CPP), containing a
cysteine, with a 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid (TNB) modified thiol (Figure 4A, Appendix 1). At the
core of this intracellular delivery method is the in-situ conjugation of the cargo molecule to an
excess of a CPP via a disulfide bond, and the application of this reaction mix on living cells.
The excess of the CPP reacts with the cell membrane to facilitate the penetration of the cargo-
CPP conjugate. In turn, the disulfide bond between CPP and the cargo would be reduced in
the cytosol, separating the cargo from the CPP, allowing unhindered activity of the cargo
molecule within the cell (Figure 4B).[46,47]
To verify that the P1dC performs similarly to P1-dimer we performed another ITC assay to
determine the affinity of the compound to HBc. The ITC confirmed that P1dC has an affinity of
420 +/-38 nM, comparable to P1-dimer, while the scrambled peptide did not display binding to
HBc (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 2). Thereafter we transfected
mammalian cells (HEK293) with a plasmid coding for HBc. The cells expressed the protein for
two days and were then treated for 1 hour with the thiol-activated cell penetrating peptide and
P1dC or the respective negative control peptide scrP1dC (Figure 4B). Afterwards the cells
were immediately washed and fixed and HBc was visualized with anti HBc antibody and a
secondary DyLight650 conjugated antibody. Transfected but otherwise untreated cells
showed a homogeneous distribution of recombinant HBc molecules in the nucleus and to a
lesser extent in the cytoplasm (Figure 4C). Yet, upon administration of 10 µM of P1dC, we
observed aggregates of HBc (in form of large bright spots) within the cells (Figure 4C,
Supplementary Figure 4). At a concentration of 10 µM, the scrambled dimer scrP1dC did not
induce aggregation and the distribution of HBc remained largely homogenous.

Figure 4. P1dC aggregates HBc in living HEK293 cells.

A) A polyarginine cell-penetrating peptide containing a cysteine with a TNB-activated thiol (gray highlight, (**11**)). B)

The live cell experiment flow. First, mammalian cells are transfected with HBc coding plasmid. Then, after the cells

express the protein, a mix of (**11**) and (**10**) is applied. The excess CPP facilitates membrane permeation, allowing

(**10**) to enter the cell after a brief incubation. Once inside, (**10**) is separated from the CPP and can interact with the

capsids. C) After 1 hour incubation with (**10**) or scr**10** the cells were immediately washed, fixed and labelled with

anti HBc mAb16988 and a secondary DyLight650 conjugated antibody. The cells were visualized on wide-field

fluorescent microscope with identical conditions and are presented with the same grayscale range. Transfected

and untreated cells display diffuse HBc distribution, with clear fluorescence at the nucleus. Transfected cells treated

with (**10**) display bright aggregates, whereas transfected cells treated with (scr**10**) have similar diffuse labelling as

the untreated cells. Non-transfected cells are non-fluorescent. Scale bar 20 µm.

Our live cell experiments have corroborated our in vitro findings, providing us a visual proof of

P1dC-mediated HBc aggregation in a living cell. Thus, the peptide dimer causes an

aggregation that resembles the HAP induced aggregation of the core protein and, like CAMs,

can be expected to have the potential to disrupt the HBV life cycle.

Cryo-EM confirms peptide-induced HBc aggregation

To affirm the capsid-aggregation property of our peptide dimers, we incubated solubilized

purified capsid-like particles (CLPs, spherical capsid-like HBc multimers purified from E. coli)

with an excess of SLLGRM-dimer or P1dC, applied them on carbon grids, and imaged them

using cryo-EM. The effect of peptide dimers on CLPs was already seen on the microscale

Cryo-EM images (Figure 5), with P1dC inducing large protein aggregates with multimicron

diameter. The less potent SLLGRM-dimer also induced visible aggregation, although with

smaller aggregate size, while geraniol-treated samples showed minimal aggregation and the

smallest observed aggregate sizes. In the nanoscale we observed clumped CLPs (Figure

6A,B) and resolved the binding of both peptide dimers to the spike tips (Figure 6C,D,

Supplementary Figure 9). The densities corresponding to bound peptide-dimers in both EM-

reconstructions have volumes which can accommodate a peptide chain of approximately 6
amino-acid residues (Figure 6C,D).

CLPs + (**10**)   CLPs + (**7**)   CLPs + (**3**)

Figure 5. Cryo-EM confirms strong capsid aggregation with peptide dimers. Low magnification cryo-EM images of CLPs + P1dC (**10**) (A), (B) CLPs + SLLGRM-dimer (**7**) and (C) CLPs + geraniol (**3**). The micrographs are part of the grid-atlas of the respective data acquisition. Each image shows 4 meshes of the respective grid atlas at a similar ice thickness. For representation, the images were aligned to show a similar orientation of the meshes. CLP aggregates are seen as dark speckles (yellow arrow). The size of the aggregates is largest in P1dC-treated samples, while aggregates are frequent and smaller in samples treated with SLLGRM-dimer. Geraniol treated samples have very few aggregates which are generally smaller than 1 µm.

The asymmetric unit of HBc capsids (T=4) is a tetramer consisting of an A/B- and C/D-dimer
which have slightly different 3D-structures. Interestingly, the SLLGRM-dimer binds the A/B-
dimer as well as the C/D-dimer, in contrast to the monomeric SLLGRM which binds only to
the tip of the C/D-dimer[33], in line with the multivalent binding and the higher affinity we
measured with ITC.
The live cell experiments showed the formation of HBc aggregates upon incubation with P1dC.
Yet, in live cells HBc may exist as a monomer, a dimer, a multimer or a whole capsid, therefore,
the observed aggregates were not necessarily formed by whole capsids. The Cryo-EM
experiment, however, provides confirmation that the peptide dimers have the capability to
interact with complete CLPs, an important feature, that implies that the dimers have the
potential to affect intact capsids upon cell infection.

Figure 6. Nanoscale resolution of the dimer binding sites by Cryo-EM. HBc capsids with bound SLLGRM-
dimer (**7**) or P1dC (**10**) imaged by electron cryo-microscopy. (A) and (B) show selected areas of micrographs of
CLPs treated with (**7**) or with (**10**). One exemplary aggregate of multiple HBc capsids is indicated by an arrow in
each micrograph. (C) and (D) show close-ups of the asymmetric unit of HBc capsids with bound SLLGRM dimers
or with bound P1dC. Models of a single asymmetric unit consisting of two HBc dimers is fitted into the asymmetric
unit. Both maps show a density at the tips of the spikes (arrow) that accounts for approximately six amino acids of
the peptide-dimer. The flexible linker between the peptides was not resolved. The position of the symmetry axes
of the icosahedral capsid is labelled with numbers in (C).

Discussion

In this study, we focused on the HBV core protein, a protein essential for HBV proliferation
and virulence. We explored the druggability of two alternative, non-HAP, binding pockets on
the HBc ultrastructure and developed synthetic dimers that target these pockets with
nanomolar affinity resulting in the aggregation of HBc.

We have hypothesized that compounds sharing structural similarities to farnesyl phosphate or
myristic acid could interact with the hydrophobic binding pocket in the center of HBc-dimers,
as farnesylation and myristylation mediate viral envelopment and secretion.[41,42] Our findings
revealed that geraniol and a geranyl dimer we synthesized indeed bind to this pocket with
micromolar affinity, however this interaction strength is sub-optimal for an HBc effector. A
recent study described Triton X-100 derived effectors of the central hydrophobic pocket of
HBc-dimers. Some of these novel effectors had low-micromolar affinities to HBc. By combining
the multimerization approach and rational linker design, these effectors may be evolved to
even more potent binders of the hydrophobic pocket, that may have a pharmacological effect
on HBV.[48]

Cryo EM resolved a second effector pocket situated at the tips of capsid spikes at the inner dimer interface of the HBc-dimers. Using our structural knowledge of the capsid, particularly the distances between the spikes, we designed peptide dimers with the ability to simultaneously bind to neighboring spikes on the same capsid or attach to two distinct capsids (Supplementary Figure 5). Our in vitro assays demonstrated that these peptide dimers display a robust affinity ranging from low micromolar to nanomolar levels (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 2). Specifically, the peptide dimer (P1dC) with nanomolar affinity (K_D=420 +/- 40 nM) is a promising candidate for a lead molecule for new a new class of CAMs. The peptide dimer, but not its scrambled dimeric counterpart, induced HBc aggregation in live mammalian cells expressing HBc. An effect resembling the aggregation observed after a treatment with the classical CAM HAP.[49]

While these results are highly encouraging, application in complex organisms may require an alternative means for delivery, an investigation of HBV proliferation in HBV infection models and the study of immunogenicity and stability. Nevertheless, the insights given in this study on the yet untapped pharmacological potential of the two binding pockets on the capsid surface, and the compounds targeting them, can pave way to the development of new compounds capable of affecting the viral capsids. In contrast to classical CAMs, the peptide dimers have a different mechanism of action and might act synergistically with CAMs or other antivirals. Further biological investigations will illuminate the applicability and potency of compounds targeting the non-HAP binding pockets.

Acknowledgements

H.M.M. acknowledges the support of the Junior Group Leader program of the Rudolf Virchow Center, University of Würzburg the excellent ideas programme of the JMU and support through DFG MA6957/1-1. BB acknowledges support for this project by the DFG (BO1150/17-1). Electron microscopic data were acquired at the cryo-EM facility in Würzburg (DFG Grants INST 93/903-1 FUGG, INST 93/1042-1, INST 93/1143-1 FUGG).

Conflict of Interest
H.M.M., B.B., C.M and V.K. hold an International Patent Application PCT/EP2024/055612 on the reported dimers.

References

[1] D. L. Thomas, *New England Journal of Medicine* **2019**, *380*, 2041–2050.

[2] T. M. Block, K.-M. Chang, J.-T. Guo, *Annu Rev Virol* **2021**, *8*, 437–458.

[3] A. L. Cox, M. H. El-Sayed, J. H. Kao, J. V. Lazarus, M. Lemoine, A. S. Lok, F. Zoulim, *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **2020**, *17*, 533–542.

[4] J. L. Dienstag, *Ann Intern Med* **1982**, *96*, 575.

[5] World Health Organisation, "Hepatitis B fact sheet," can be found under https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b, **2022**.

[6] "Hepatitis B Foundation, Drug Watch," can be found under https://www.hepb.org/treatment-and-management/drug-watch-2/, **2023**.

[7] W.-J. Jeng, G. V Papatheodoridis, A. S. F. Lok, *The Lancet* **2023**, *401*, 1039–1052.

[8] L. Menéndez-Arias, M. Álvarez, B. Pacheco, *Curr Opin Virol* **2014**, *8*, 1–9.

[9] T. J. Liang, T. M. Block, B. J. McMahon, M. G. Ghany, S. Urban, J. Guo, S. Locarnini, F. Zoulim, K. Chang, A. S. Lok, *Hepatology* **2015**, *62*, 1893–1908.

[10] M. Cornberg, A. S. Lok, N. A. Terrault, F. Zoulim, *Hepatology* **2020**, *71*, 1070–1092.

[11] H. Yan, G. Zhong, G. Xu, W. He, Z. Jing, Z. Gao, Y. Huang, Y. Qi, B. Peng, H. Wang, L. Fu, M. Song, P. Chen, W. Gao, B. Ren, Y. Sun, T. Cai, X. Feng, J. Sui, W. Li, *Elife* **2012**, *1*, DOI 10.7554/eLife.00049.

[12] K. Watashi, T. Wakita, *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **2015**, *5*, a021378.

[13] G. Ligat, E. R. Verrier, M. Nassal, T. F. Baumert, *Curr Opin Virol* **2021**, *49*, 41–51.

[14] B. Bonn, E. Strängberg, I. Uzelac, M. Kirstgen, N. Goldmann, D. Glebe, J. Geyer, E. Lindström, *Gut* **2022**, *71*, A42–A42.

[15] A. Vaillant, *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* **2022**, *28*, 168–174.

[16] A. Vaillant, *ACS Infect Dis* **2019**, *5*, 675–687.

[17] C. Gareri, A. Polimeni, S. Giordano, L. Tammè, A. Curcio, C. Indolfi, *J Clin Med* **2022**, *11*, 3884.

[18] M.-F. Yuen, S.-G. Lim, R. Plesniak, K. Tsuji, H. L. A. Janssen, C. Pojoga, A. Gadano, C. P. Popescu, T. Stepanova, T. Asselah, G. Diaconescu, H. J. Yim, J. Heo, E. Janczewska, A. Wong, N. Idriz, M. Imamura, G. Rizzardini, K. Takaguchi, P. Andreone, M. Arbune, J. Hou, S. J. Park, A. Vata, J. Cremer, R. Elston, T. Lukić, G. Quinn, L. Maynard, S. Kendrick, H. Plein, F. Campbell, M. Paff, D. Theodore, *New England Journal of Medicine* **2022**, *387*, 1957–1968.

[19] E. Gane, Y.-S. Lim, J. B. Kim, V. Jadhav, L. Shen, A. I. Bakardjiev, S. A. Huang, A. L. Cathcart, F. A. Lempp, M. M. Janas, D. J. Cloutier, C. Kaittanis, L. Sepp-Lorenzino, G. Hinkle, J. Taubel, P. Haslett, S. Milstein, Y. I. Anglero-Rodriguez, C. M. Hebner, P. S. Pang, M.-F. Yuen, *J Hepatol* **2023**, DOI 10.1016/j.jhep.2023.05.023.

[20] S. K. and S. R. F. Bassit Leda and Ono, in *Antiviral Drug Discovery and Development* (Ed.: P. and M.-A.L. and P.V. Liu Xinyong and Zhan), Springer Singapore, Singapore, **2021**, pp. 115–138.

[21] C. L. Gorsuch, P. Nemec, M. Yu, S. Xu, D. Han, J. Smith, J. Lape, N. van Buuren, R. Ramirez, R. C. Muench, M. M. Holdorf, B. Feierbach, G. Falls, J. Holt, W. Shoop, E. Sevigny, F. Karriker, R. V. Brown, A. Joshi, T. Goodwin, Y. K. Tam, P. J. C. Lin, S. C. Semple, N. Leatherbury, W. E. Delaney IV, D. Jantz, A. Rhoden Smith, *Molecular Therapy* **2022**, *30*, 2909–2922.

[22] H. Kim, C. Ko, J.-Y. Lee, M. Kim, *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 7420.

[23] Y. Zheng, L. Yang, L. Yu, Y. Zhu, Y. Wu, Z. Zhang, T. Xia, Q. Deng, *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 1195.

[24] J. J. Feld, E. Lawitz, T. Nguyen, J. Lalezari, T. Hassanein, P. Martin, S.-H. Han, D. Dieterich, J.-M. Giard, G. De La Rosa, A. Ahmad, E. Luo, A. L. Conery, N. Adda, *Antivir Ther* **2022**, *27*, 135965352211278.

[25] R. Crowther, N. Kiselev, B. Böttcher, J. Berriman, G. Borisova, V. Ose, P. Pumpens, *Cell* **1994**, *77*, 943–950.

[26] B. Venkatakrishnan, S. P. Katen, S. Francis, S. Chirapu, M. G. Finn, A. Zlotnick, *J Virol* **2016**, *90*, 3994–4004.

[27] S. J. Stray, C. R. Bourne, S. Punna, W. G. Lewis, M. G. Finn, A. Zlotnick, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2005**, *102*, 8138–8143.

[28] F. Zoulim, A. Zlotnick, S. Buchholz, E. Donaldson, J. Fry, A. Gaggar, J. Hu, M. Kann, O. Lenz, K. Lin, N. Mani, M. Nassal, W. Delaney, S. Wang, G. Westman, V. Miller, H. L. A. Janssen, *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **2022**, *19*, 748–750.

[29] C. J. Schlicksup, A. Zlotnick, *Curr Opin Virol* **2020**, *45*, 43–50.

[30] L. Lecoq, S. Wang, M. Dujardin, P. Zimmermann, L. Schuster, M.-L. Fogeron, M. Briday, M. Schledorn, T. Wiegand, L. Cole, R. Montserret, S. Bressanelli, B. H. Meier, M. Nassal, A. Böckmann, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2021**, *118*, DOI 10.1073/pnas.2022464118.

[31] C. Makbul, C. Kraft, M. Grießmann, T. Rasmussen, K. Katzenberger, M. Lappe, P. Pfarr, C. Stoffer, M. Stöhr, A.-M. Wandinger, B. Böttcher, *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 2115.

[32] B. Böttcher, N. Tsuji, H. Takahashi, M. R. Dyson, S. Zhao, R. A. Crowther, K. Murray, *EMBO J* **1998**, *17*, 6839–6845.

[33] C. Makbul, V. Khayenko, H. M. Maric, B. Böttcher, *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 956.

[34] A. M. Roseman, J. A. Berriman, S. A. Wynne, P. J. G. Butler, R. A. Crowther, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2005**, *102*, 15821–15826.

[35] B. Böttcher, M. Nassal, *J Mol Biol* **2018**, *430*, 4941–4954.

[36] M. Rost, S. Mann, C. Lambert, T. Döring, N. Thomé, R. Prange, *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2006**, *281*, 29297–29308.

[37] S. Le Pogam, T. T.-T. Yuan, G. K. Sahu, S. Chatterjee, C. Shih, *J Virol* **2000**, *74*, 9099–9105.

[38] T. T.-T. Yuan, C. Shih, *J Virol* **2000**, *74*, 4929–4932.

[39] T. T.-T. Yuan, G. K. Sahu, W. E. Whitehead, R. Greenberg, C. Shih, *J Virol* **1999**, *73*, 5731–5740.

[40] T. Ehata, M. Omata, O. Yokosuka, K. Hosoda, M. Ohto, *Journal of Clinical
Investigation* **1992**, *89*, 332–338.

[41] P. Gripon, J. Le Seyec, S. Rumin, C. Gugen-Guillouzo, *Virology* **1995**, *213*, 292–299.

[42] C. Koh, L. Canini, H. Dahari, X. Zhao, S. L. Uprichard, V. Haynes-Williams, M. A.
Winters, G. Subramanya, S. L. Cooper, P. Pinto, E. F. Wolff, R. Bishop, M. Ai Thanda
Han, S. J. Cotler, D. E. Kleiner, O. Keskin, R. Idilman, C. Yurdaydin, J. S. Glenn, T.
Heller, *Lancet Infect Dis* **2015**, *15*, 1167–1174.

[43] M. R. Dyson, K. Murray, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1995**, *92*,
2194–2198.

[44] S. A. Wynne, R. A. Crowther, A. G. W. Leslie, *Mol Cell* **1999**, *3*, 771–780.

[45] R. Zhao, M. So, H. Maat, N. J. Ray, F. Arisaka, Y. Goto, J. A. Carver, D. Hall, *Biophys
Rev* **2016**, *8*, 445–471.

[46] A. F. L. Schneider, L. S. Benz, M. Lehmann, C. P. R. Hackenberger, *Angewandte
Chemie International Edition* **2021**, *60*, 22075–22080.

[47] A. F. L. Schneider, M. Kithil, M. C. Cardoso, M. Lehmann, C. P. R. Hackenberger, *Nat
Chem* **2021**, *13*, 530–539.

[48] M. Briday, F. Hallé, L. Lecoq, S. Radix, J. Martin, R. Montserret, M. Dujardin, M.-L.
Fogeron, M. Nassal, B. H. Meier, T. Lomberget, A. Böckmann, *Chem Sci* **2022**, *13*,
8840–8847.

[49] G. Wu, B. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Li, A. Arzumanyan, M. M. Clayton, R. F. Schinazi, Z.
Wang, S. Goldmann, Q. Ren, F. Zhang, M. A. Feitelson, *Antimicrob Agents
Chemother* **2013**, *57*, 5344–5354.

Supporting Information

Induction of Hepatitis B Core Protein Aggregation Targeting an Unconventional Binding Site

Vladimir Khayenko[1,2,+], Cihan Makbul[1,2,+], Clemens Schulte[1,2], Naomi Hemmelmann[1,2], Sonja Kachler[1,2], Bettina Böttcher[1,2*], Hans M. Maric[1,2*]

[1]Rudolf Virchow Center, Center for Integrative and Translational Bioimaging; University of Würzburg; Josef-Schneider-Str. 2; 97080 Würzburg, Germany
[2]Biocenter, University of Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany
*correspondence to B.B. (bettina.boettcher@uni-wuerzburg.de) and H.M.M (hans.maric@uni-wuerzburg.de)
+equal contribution

Table of Contents

Materials and Methods

Unless otherwise noted, all resins and reagents were purchased from IRIS biotechnologies or Carl Roth and used without further purification. All solvents were HPLC grade. All water-sensitive reactions were performed in anhydrous solvents under positive pressure of argon.

Peptide synthesis

The peptides were produced using standard solid phase peptide synthesis with Fmoc chemistry. Shortly, 2-chlorotrityl resin (1.6 mmol/g) was swollen in dry Dichloromethane (DCM) for 30 min., then, the desired amino acid (AA) (1eq) and the Boc-Gly-OH (1eq) with 4 eq. of dry N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) were added to the resin slurry. After overnight reaction at room temperature (RT) with agitation, the resin was capped with MeOH and washed with DCM and Dimethylformamide (DMF). For the synthesis of cysteinated peptides a 1% divinylbenzene Wang resin, preloaded with a 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-Cysteine(Trityl)-OH [Fmoc-Cys(Trt)-OH] (0.4 mmol/g) was swollen in dimethylformamide (DMF) for 30 min. Then, regardless of the resin type, Fmoc was removed using 20% piperidine in DMF solution and the resin was washed with DMF and dichloromethane (DCM). After washes the peptide chain was elongated by adding the desired amino acid (AA, 3 eq.) with ethylcyanohydroxyiminoacetate (Oxyma, 3 eq.) and N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 3 eq.). Capping was done with N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA, 50 eq.) and acetic anhydride (50 eq.) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone for 30 min. Coupling efficiency was monitored by measuring the absorption of the dibenzofulvene–piperidine adduct after deprotection. The peptide chain was elongated with further identical deprotection-conjugation cycles and after the completion the peptides were cleaved from the resin using a cocktail of 94% trifluoracetic acid (TFA), 3% H_2O, 3% Triisopropylsilane (TIPS), for 4 hours at RT. The peptides were precipitated in ice-cold ether and then purified with HPLC and analyzed by LC-MS, as described below.

5-(thio)-2-nitrobenzoate conjugation to the thiolated cell penetrating peptide (CPP)

A 10-mer oligoarginine peptide connected to a cysteine (C-RRRRRRRRRR) was reacted with 10 equivalents of 5,5-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) in 1:1 DMF : 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 30 min with agitation at RT. Then the reaction mixture was directly injected in semi-preparative HPLC, purified and analyzed by LC-MS, as described below.

Purification and characterization of peptide-based probes

The compounds were purified from the crude reaction mix by reverse phase HPLC using a water acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% formic acid (FA). Semi-preparative HPLC was performed on Shimadzu Prominence equipped with a diode-array detector (DAD) system using a C18 reverse-phase column (Phenomenex Onyx Monolithic HD-C18 100×4.6 mm or Onyx

Monolithic C18 100×10 mm). Purity and structural identity were verified using a DAD equipped 1260 Infinity II HPLC with a C18 reverse-phase column (Onyx Monolithic C18 50×2 mm), coupled to a mass selective detector single quadruple system (Agilent Technologies). Compounds analysed in ESI+ mode were run in a water-acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% formic acid (FA). Compounds analysed in ESI- mode were run in a 10 mM pH=7 ammonium bicarbonate – acetonitrile gradient.

Protein expression and purification HBc capsid like particles (CLPs)

The expression and purification of CLPs was done as previously described.[1] Shortly, the recombinant HBV core protein (HBc) was over-expressed in E. coli. and formed CLPs. CLPs were purified by fractionated ammonium sulfate precipitation followed by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. The major capsid type (ca. 95%) was formed by 240 subunits (Triangulation: T=4).

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

Samples (ca. 8 mL) of purified capsids were filtered (Rotilabo syringe filter with a pore size of 220 nm, Carl Roth GmbH Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany), dialysed against 1.4 L buffer A (40 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, pH 7.5) using a dialysis membrane tube (Spectra Por Biotech cellulose ester tube, 1 MDa MWCO, Spectrum Laboratories, Inc., Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). The dialysis was performed at 4 °C under gentle stirring for 16 h overnight. Next day, the dialysed sample was removed from the dialysis tube and concentrated in a centrifuge using a concentrator (30 kDa MWCO Spin-X UF 6 mL, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). The concentrate was filtered (centrifugal filter unit Ultrafree MC, pore size of 100 nm, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and the concentration determined by the Bradford assay (Roti Nanoquant, Carl Roth GmbH Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany).

The peptide dimers were dissolved in the buffer from the dialysis of the capsids. In this buffer, SLLGRM dimer and P2 dimer have solubilities of at >8 mM and the P1 dimer of >2 mM. 4 mM geraniol was titrated into a solution of 210 µM HBc.

A solution of 2 mM geranyl dimer was titrated into a solution 200 µM HBc. 1.6-2 mM solutions of DM were titrated into solutions with 90, 100 and 150 µM HBc, respectively.

Before filling the ITC cell and syringe, all samples were degassed for 10 minutes at 20 °C (ThermoVac, Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK). Solutions of peptide dimers were titrated into solutions of capsids using a MicroCal iTC200 instrument (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) according to the specifications in the supplementary table 1. The resulting thermograms and isotherms were processed and fitted by the Origin software supplied with the iTC200 instrument. The thermograms were integrated

and the corresponding isotherms were fitted using a one site model. The peptide and geraniol
dimers are bivalent and have 120 or 240 potential binding sites on CLPs, respectively. The
two binding sites of peptide and geraniol dimers are not identical but very similar. This also
true, for the binding sites on capsids, so the binding energetics of the dimers are very similar
and are best represented by a one site model. All obtained thermodynamic parameters refer
to concentrations of monomeric HBc. All ITC experiments were complemented with control
experiments where solutions of peptide dimers were titrated into the dialysis buffer.

Turbidity assay

All peptides were dissolved in buffer A (40 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM
$CaCl_2$, pH 7.5) and the capsid solutions were filtered once (centrifugal filter unit Ultrafree MC,
pore size of 100 nm, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The concentrations of the P1, P2
and SLLGRM dimers were varied between 0.1-100 µM and the concentration of HBc was kept
constant at 10 µM for the P1 and P2 dimer and at 50 µM for the SLLGRM dimer. All
experiments were performed using a standard photometer (GENESYS™ UV/VIS spectral
photometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hillsboro, OR, USA) at RT and at a wavelength of 350
nm using disposable UV transparent cuvettes (SARSTEDT AG & Co. KG, Sarstedtstraße 1,
51588 Nümbrecht/Germany).

Cryogenic grid preparation of capsids in complex with peptid-dimers

In a plasma cleaner (model PDC-002. Harrick Plasma, Ithaca, NY, USA) holey carbon grids
(R1.2/1.3, 300 mesh Cu grids, Quantifoil Micro Tools, Jena, Germany) were made hydrophilic
by plasma cleaning. This was done at a pressure of 29 Pa for 2 minutes using ambient air as
plasma medium at "medium power" of the instrument. Solutions of purified HBc (200 µM) in
complex with the P1dC- and the SLLGRM-dimer (each 400 µM) were prepared in buffer A.
After the end of ITC experiment with geraniol and HBc (Figure 2), a sample from the cell of
the ITC instrument was retrieved and used for freezing grids. 3.5 µl aliquots of each sample
were applied onto the grids. For plunge freezing of grids ethane was used as medium
(liquefied by liquid nitrogen) with the help of a Vitrobot (mark IV, FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR,
USA) using filter papers of Whatman (type 541). The Vitrobot had the following settings: no
wait and drain times, 6 s of blot time, blot force of 25 and a nominal humidity of 100 %. The
frozen grids were stored in liquid nitrogen for at least one night before being used for image
acquisition.

Cryo-EM and image processing

Cryo-EM was done as previously described.[1] Shortly, movies were acquired with the software
EPU on a Krios G3 electron microscope equipped with a Falcon III camera (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Hillsboro, OR, USA) in integrating mode at a magnification of 75,000 with an
accelerating voltage of 300 kV. The total exposure was 40 e⁻/Å² and was fractionated over 20
fractions. For HBc CLPs with bound P1dC, 3 movies were acquired per hole and one hole
was acquired per stage position. For HBc CLPs with bound SLLGRM dimers or bound
geraniol, at each stage position three movies were acquired per hole from the central hole and
from the four closest neighboring holes. The different movie positions at the same stage
position were centered with image shift. Movies were motion corrected, exposure weighted
and averaged with MotionCorr2. Figure S6a shows representative corrected movie averages,
which were imported to Relion for further processing. Each image shift position was treated
as a different optics group in the subsequent image processing. Image processing was done
with Relion 3.1 or Relion 4. As previously described [1] imposing icosahedral symmetry. At the
end of the image processing with Relion (for CLPs with bound P1dC or SLLGRM-dimers),
particle images were imported into CryoSparc 4.02 and were further refined with none uniform
refinement [2], including global and local CTF refinement and Ewald's sphere correction. Final
maps were filtered with deepemhancer, or B-factor sharpened (CryoSPARC "Sharpen" or
"relion_postprocess"). The resolution of the final maps was estimated by Fourier-Shell-
Correlation (FSC=0.143; after gold standard refinement) with "relion_postprocess" (Figure
S6). Parameters of the image acquisition and the processing are summarized in table S3.

Modelling of Cryo-EM maps, refinement of PDB files and their validation

For modelling of the EM densities of HBc in complex with the peptide dimers the PDB file
7od6[3] was used as starting model. This model represents the asymmetric unit of the HBc
capsids with T=4 packing. After slight modifications, the PDB model was fitted into the EM-
map as a rigid body and refined iteratively by the software packages Coot[4] and Phenix[5] and
validated by MolProbity.[6] The resolution of the density at the tips of the capsids which we
attributed to the binding segments of the peptide dimers was low. Therefore, these densities
could only be modelled as poly-alanine chains. All figures showing EM-densities with or
without the corresponding PDB models were prepared with Chimera.[7]

Cloning

Full length wild type (fl wt) HBc (genotype D; strain ayw; GenBank: V01460.1,
MQLFHLCLIISCSCPTVQASKLCLGWLWGMDIDPYKEFGATVELSFLPSDFFPSVRDLLDTA
SALYREALESPEHCSPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLATWVGVNLEDPASRDLVVSYVNTNMG
LKFRQLLWFHISCLTFGRETVIEYLVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPILSTLPETTVVRRRGRSPR
RRTPSPRRRRSQSPRRRRSQSRESQC)[8] was cloned into the pEGFP-C2 vector (Clontech)
using Gibson assembly[9] by replacing the gene sequence coding for eGFP. The vector and
insert were amplified by PCR and purified by gel extraction (FastGene Gel/PCR Extraction Kit,

Nippon Genetics Europe GmbH, Düren, Germany). The purified PCR products were
assembled into a single plasmid construct using a home-made Gibson assembly reaction
mixture. An aliquot of the reaction product was transformed into XL1 blue cells, plated onto
LB-amp agar-plates and grown at 37 °C ON. Six colonies were used for the inoculation of 6 x
5 mL LB-amp medium. The cell cultures were grown under vigorous shaking in an incubator
at 37 °C ON. Next day, the plasmid DNA was extracted from the cell cultures (FastGene
Plasmid Mini Kit, Nippon Genetics Europe GmbH, Düren, Germany) and sequenced by
Sanger sequencing (Microsynth Seqlab GmbH, Göttingen, Germany). A plasmid construct
containing the correct gene sequence of HBc was used for endotoxin-free plasmid DNA
preparation (NucleoBond Xtra Midi EF, Macherey Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany).

HEK293 cell cultures and transfection

HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM (GIBCO), supplemented with GlutaMax and pyruvate
(GIBCO), 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Sigma) at 37°C
and with 5% CO_2. The cells were plated on 0.15 mm thick 18 mm glass coverslips coated with
35 µg/ml Poly-D-Lysine in a 12-well plate and were transfected with the cloned 1 µg plasmid
DNA per coverslip using PEI (Polyethylenimine). The transfection was performed at 60-80%
confluence. Shortly before transfection the medium was changed to fresh DMEM. The DNA
was added to 100 µl DMEM without additives and mixed, 4 µL fresh PEI (1 mg/mL) was added,
mixed immediately and incubated for 20 min at RT. The transfection mix was pipetted drop-
wise on cells while swirling, and incubated overnight. The medium was changed to fresh
DMEM with 2% FBS after 12-24 hours, and on the following day the cells were used for live
assays, then fixed and stained.

Cell assays and immunocytochemistry

Live HEK293 cells expressing HBc were incubated in DMEM with 10 µM P1dC and with 10
µM of the scrambled version of the peptide, both peptides in-situ activated with the reactive
CPP. After 1-hour incubation at 37°C the treated and the untreated live HEK293 cells
expressing HBc and the untransfected HEK293 cells were washed and fixed with 0.1 M
sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 containing 4% paraformaldehyde (EM grade, Polysciences)
and 1% sucrose for 10-20 min at 37°C. After three rinses in phosphate buffered saline (PBS),
the cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min at RT, rinsed again
and blocked for 1 h in PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Then, primary mAb16988
(#6B9780, MilliporeSigma) and secondary DyLight650 (#84545, Invitrogen) antibodies were
applied sequentially with 1:500 dilution in blocking solution for 1 hour.

Wide field fluorescence microscopy

The coverslips with the cell samples were inserted in an imaging chamber (Ludin Chamber Type 1, Life Imaging Services) and imaged in PBS. The measurements were taken from distinct samples with a sample size ≥ 2, for each group. A series of images, used to generate the datapoints, were acquired from different regions of the sample, each region having a distinct group of cells.

The samples were imaged on an inverted Leica DMI6000B microscope with a 100x oil-immersion objective (NA 1.49) using a Leica DFC9000 GTC VSC-05760 sCMOS camera (16-bit, image pixel size: 130 nm). The 628/40 excitation and 692/40 emission filter was used for DyLight650, 10 images were acquired at a frame rate (exposure time) of 100 ms and constant illumination intensity to ensure comparability. n≥10.

Automated Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis

µSPOT peptide arrays [10] were synthesized using a MultiPep RSi robot (CEM GmbH, Kamp-Lindford, Germany) on in-house produced, acid-labile, amino-functionalized, cellulose membrane discs containing 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-β-alanine (Fmoc-β-Ala) linkers (average loading: 130 nmol/disc – 4 mm diameter). Synthesis was initiated by Fmoc deprotection using 20% piperidine (pip) in dimethylformamide (DMF) followed by washing with DMF and ethanol (EtOH). Peptide chain elongation was achieved using a coupling solution consisting of preactivated amino acids (aas, 0.5 M) with ethyl 2-cyano-2-(hydroxyimino)acetate (oxyma, 1 M) and N,N′-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 1 M) in DMF (1:1:1, aa:oxyma:DIC). Couplings were carried out for 3 × 30 min, followed by capping (4% acetic anhydride in DMF) and washes with DMF and EtOH. Synthesis was finalized by deprotection with 20% pip in DMF (2 × 4 µL/disc for 10 min each), followed by washing with DMF and EtOH. Dried discs were transferred to 96 deep-well blocks and treated, while shaking, with sidechain deprotection solution, consisting of 90% trifluoracetic acid (TFA), 2% dichloromethane (DCM), 5% H_2O and 3% triisopropylsilane (TIPS) (150 µL/well) for 1.5 h at RT. Afterwards, the deprotection solution was removed, and the discs were solubilized overnight (ON) at RT, while shaking, using a solvation mixture containing 88.5% TFA, 4% trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (TFMSA), 5% H_2O and 2.5% TIPS (250 µL/well). The resulting peptide-cellulose conjugates (PCCs) were precipitated with ice-cold ether (0.7 mL/well) and spun down at 2000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, followed by two additional washes of the formed pellet with ice-cold ether. The resulting pellets were dissolved in DMSO (250 µL/well) to give final stocks. PCC solutions were mixed 2:1 with saline-sodium citrate (SSC) buffer (150 mM NaCl, 15 mM trisodium citrate, pH 7.0) and transferred to a 384-well plate. For transfer of the PCC solutions to white coated CelluSpot blank slides (76 × 26 mm, Intavis AG), a SlideSpotter (CEM GmbH) was used. After completion of the printing procedure, slides were left to dry ON.

Peptide Microarray-Binding Assay

The microarray slides were blocked for 60 min in 5% (w/v) skimmed milk powder (Carl Roth) phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4, pH 7.4). After blocking, the slides were incubated for 15 min with 55 nM (monomer equivalent) of HBc in the blocking buffer, then washed 3× with PBS. HBc was detected with a primary 1:2500 diluted mAb16988 (anti-hepatitis B virus antibody, core antigen, clone C1-5, aa 74-89, MilliporeSigma, Darmstadt, Germany) and a secondary 1:5000 diluted HRP-coupled Anti-mouse antibody (31,430, Invitrogen). The antibodies were applied in blocking buffer for 15 min, with three PBS washes between the antibodies and after applying the secondary antibody. The chemiluminescent readout was obtained using SuperSignal West Femto maximum sensitive substrate (Thermo Scientific GmbH, Schwerte, Germany) with a c400 Azure imaging system (lowest sensitivity, 90 s exposure time).

Binding intensities were quantified with FIJI[11] using the "microarray profile" plugin (OptiNav Inc, Bellevue, WA, USA). The raw grayscale intensities for each position were obtained for the left and right sides of the internal duplicate on each microarray slide, n = 3 arrays in total. Blank spots were used to determine the average background grayscale value that was subtracted from the raw grayscale intensities of non-blank spots. Afterward, the spot intensities were normalized to the average grayscale value of the 14 replicates of peptide binder P1 ("MHRSLLGRMKGA").

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 1: Avidity effect of peptide and the geranyl dimers.
The binding site A of the peptide- and geraniol-dimers immobilized at the tip of a spike or in the hydrophobic pocket is depicted as yellow circle. The optional interactions are indicated by yellow circles labelled as B1, B2, B3 and B4. The flexible PEG linker of the dimers is depicted as a dotted blue line. **(I)** Every spike on the surface of a capsid is always surrounded by 4 other spikes and the tip of the (arbitrarily chosen) "central" capsid spike (A) has distance of approximately of 6 nm to the other tips (B1, B2, B3 and B4) of the spikes. The linker has a length of approximately 8 nm and therefore the peptide-dimers can potentially interact simultaneously with 2 spikes in many combinations. **(II)** The distance between the hydrophobic pockets is approximately 4 nm and the geraniol-dimer can interact with only 2 pockets in 2 combinations.

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 2. High turbidity is observed upon addition of HBc binding
dimers to HBc solution.
Assessment of turbidity of capsid solutions induced by peptide dimers. The optical densities
(OD) of HBc solutions (10 or 50 µM) with and increasing peptide-dimer concentrations were
measured at a wavelength of 350 nm and plotted against peptide-dimer concentrations. All
peptides showed increased turbidity with increasing concentrations, with P1 (9) and P2 (8)
dimers inducing turbidity at low micromolar concentrations, while the SLLGRM dimer (7)
induced turbidity at high micromolar concentrations.

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 3. scrP1dC does not interact with HBc. A) 0.1 mM solution of the
scrP1dC-dimer (scrambled version of the P1dC-dimer) was titrated into a solution of 0.025
mM HBc. B and C) 0.1 mM solutions of scrP1dC-dimer and P1dC-dimer were titrated into
buffer A as additional controls. In all cases no heat change was examined, validating the lack
of HBc – scrambled peptide interaction.

Supplementary Figure 4

Supplementary Figure 4. HBc aggregates appear after treatment with P1dC.
Live HEK293 cells expressing HBc were treated with either P1dC or with the scrambled (scr)
P1dC analogue together with the cell penetrating peptide. After treatment, the live cells were
fixed and labelled with HBc Antibody and a secondary DyLight650 conjugated antibody
(1:500). The imaging showed HBc aggregates in P1dC treated cells (A), while scrP1dC treated
cells showed little to none aggregates (B). All images shown with identical grayscale range.
Scale bar 10 μm.

  Supplementary Figure 5

Peptide-dimers have a complex interaction pattern with capsids

For an adequate interpretation of the ITC experiments it is important to note that in the
presence of peptide dimers the asymmetric unit (homo-tetramer consisting of 2 HBc dimers)
of HBc capsids (T =4 particles) has at least several distinct states which are in dynamic
equilibria (Supplementary Figure 5). If the concentration of HBc is kept constant, the
concentration of each state will depend on the concentration of the peptide-dimer. In this case
the stoichiometry of the peptide dimers' binding to HBc is 0.25 (1:4) - 0.5 (1:2). The 60
asymmetric units of a single capsid will have a mixture of all possible states depending on the
concentration of the peptide-dimer. At low peptide concentrations (below N = 0.25), S1 will be
energetically favored and at high peptide concentrations (above N = 0.25) S4 will be favored.
Within a capsid there are an astronomical number of different states and interactions. For
example, a capsid in S4 can interact with nearby capsids in S0, S2 and S3 and cross-link
them.

Supplementary Figure 5: Overview of equilibria between the asymmetric unit of HBc
and peptide-binders. The asymmetric unit of HBc capsids (T =4) consists of a tetramer which
is composed of the A/B (closest to the 5-fold symmetry axes in the icosahedron) (in blue) and
the C/D-dimer (closest to the 3-fold symmetry axes) (in red). The peptide moiety of the dimers
is depicted as yellow filled circles connected by a flexible PEG linker symbolized as a dotted
line. Peptide dimers interact with the asymmetric unit in 4 different states (S1, S2, S3 and S4).
The concentration of every state is dictated by the energetics of the respective state and the
concentration of the peptide-dimer. At low concentrations, S1 and the possible degenerative
permutations could be expected to be favored and at high concentrations S4. For the sake of
simplicity, only a single (abstract) asymmetric unit is depicted here which represents capsid
with 60 asymmetric units.

Supplementary Figure 6

Supplementary Figure 6: Electron microscopy and image processing of HBc-CLPs with binders: A) CLPs with bound Geraniol, B) HBc-CLPs with bound SLLGRM-dimer and C) HBC-CLPs with bound P1dC: A) shows a representative micrograph. All micrographs are shown at the same scale as indicated in Aa; B) shows the 2D-Class averages of the 5 most populated classes after automated template picking. All class averages are shown at the same scale as indicated in Ab; C) shows a close-up of the surface representation of the final map after post-processing with relion (filtered by Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC), B-factor sharpened). One unit cell is colored according to the density covered by the model (HBc chains A, B, C, D in blue, cyan, yellow and red respectively, and binders (geraniol, SLLGM and P1 in green); D) Fourier Shell Correlation plot of the final map. FSC=0.143 is marked by a thin, solid line. Green curve: FSC between unmasked half-maps, blue curve: FSC between masked half-maps; red-curve FSC between phase-randomized masked half-maps, black curve: FSC corrected for the contribution of the mask.

Supplementary Figure 7

Supplementary Figure 7. Control titrations of substances used for ITC experiments. All substances were dissolved in buffer A and titrated into buffer A as a control for experiments where equal concentrations of these substances were titrated into solutions of HBc (see Figures 2 and 3 in the main text). A) 4 mM geraniol, B) 2 mM geraniol dimer, C) 1.7 mM DM, D) 0.3 mM P1d, E) 0.5 mM P2d and F) 1.5 mM SLLGRM dimer. X and Y axes are scaled differently in the panels.

Supplementary Figure 8

Supplementary Figure 8. Geraniol binding mode to the hydrophobic pocket at the base of the capsid spike. (A) Model of the CD-dimer (yellow, red) with bound geraniol (purple) inside the EM-map (transparent). The density accounted by geraniol is shown in blue. Right: close-up of the hydrophobic pocket with residues labelled in the vicinity of geraniol and at the entrance of the pocket. Residues P5, L60 and K96 are implicated with naturally occurring envelopment phenotypes. Close-up of the quasi-equivalent hydrophobic pockets with bound geraniol. (B) Slice of the EM-map with fitted model: The slice shows the center of the two quasi-equivalent spikes with the fitted models of Geraniol in brown. One Geraniol molecule is bound to each of the quasi-equivalent sites. The surface of the EM-map is transparent and coloured according to the chains. For clarity the density attributed to Geraniol is highlighted in green (colour blob option of Chimera[12]). Geraniol binds to the same site as Triton X100[1] but does not change the rotamer conformation of F97. Binding of geraniol is not linked to conformational changes in the HBc-dimers. (C) Slices of the EM-map at the centre of the spikes shown above. The surface of the map is coloured according to the relative occupancy estimated with "OccuPy"[13] based on the grey value distribution. The relative occupancies at the geraniol moiety are somewhat lower than the surrounding protein. Considering that flexibility and occupancy have a similar effect on the grey value distribution, the geraniol has an increasing flexibility towards the outside of the pocket and has at least 80-90% occupancy at the interior of the pockets. The colour key for the relative occupancy is shown below.

Supplementary Figure 9

Supplementary Figure 9. Peptide dimers bind the spike tips. Close up of the surface representation of the EM-maps of capsid-like particle (CLP) incubated with geraniol (**3**), with SLLGRM-dimer (**7**) and P1dC (**10**). (A) The surface of the map is coloured according to the local resolution. The map of (**10**) has a lower overall resolution, which is consistent with the lower number of particles in the reconstruction (Supplementary Table 4). In all three maps the tips of the spikes are less well resolved than the capsid shell regardless of whether peptides are bound or not. This is in line with the general flexibility of the protruding spikes in HBc-CLPs.[14,15] (B) The surface of the maps is coloured according to the relative occupancy based on the grey value distribution as determined with OccuPy.[13] Low relative occupancy cannot be distinguished from local flexibility. As the tips of the spike are flexible, they show generally lower occupancy than the protein shell. Comparing the relative occupancies in samples incubated with (**7**) and (**10**) suggests a lower occupancy with (**7**) than with (**10**). (C) Fit between the model and the map (grey, translucent) at the tips of spikes. Binding of an (**7**) or of (**10**) splays the helices at the tips apart similar as previously reported for binding of a P2-monomer.[3] (**7**) binds to both quasi equivalent sites in contrast to SLLGRM-monomers, which binds only to the CD-dimer and does not show such a prominent splaying.[3] Geraniol binds at the centre of the spikes and does not change the conformation at the tips of the spikes.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. ITC200 specifications

ITC200 instrument's specifications used for the interaction analysis between peptides and capsids.

Number of injections	**14-16**		
Cell Temperature (°C)	20		
Reference power (µCal/s)	11		
Initial delay (s)	60 -180	**Injection parameters:**	
Syringe concentration (mM)	0.1 – 1.5 for peptide dimers 1.6 – 2 mM for DM 4 mM for geraniol 2-3 mM for the geraniol dimer	**Volume (µL)**	2.5 – 2.8
Cell concentration (mM)	0.025 - 0.15 HBc	**duration (s)**	5 – 5.6
Stirring speed (rpm)	600	**Spacing (s)**	180/240
Feedback mode	high	**Filter period (s)**	5/1
First injection	No first injection	**Cell volume (mL)**	0.200

Supplementary Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters of HBc capsids interactions. Summary of thermodynamic parameters obtained by ITC experiments using the peptide dimers and fl wt HBc capsids. In case of the P2 dimer the deviations represent deviations of fit since only one ITC experiment was performed. N represents stoichiometry.

Peptide dimer	N	$K_a \frac{1}{M}$	K_D µM	$\Delta H \frac{cal}{mol}$	$\Delta S \frac{cal}{mol \times K}$	Repeats
SLLGRM dimer (7)	0.15 ±0.02	210000 ±30000	4.9 ±0.7	-25 ±3	-62 ±10	5
P2 dimer (8)	0.157 ±0.014	500000 ±110000	1.9 ±0.4	-30 ±3	-76 ±n/a	1
P1 dimer (9)	0.19 ±0.04	6000000 ±6000000	0.3 ±0.2	-26 ±4	-58 ±15	4
P1dC (10)	0.196 ±0.005	2490000 ±230000	0.42 ±0.04	-21.7 ±0.6	-45 ±2	3
DM	1.1 ±0.1	8000 +/-2300	133 ±38	-2.5 ±0.7	9.2 ±2.6	3
Geraniol	1.01±0.036	10600+/-900	94.3 ±7.9	-4.3 ±0.2	3.9 ±n/a	1
Geranyl dimer	0.236±0.037	16000+/-2000	62.5 ±7.9	-14.4 ±2.6	-30 ±n/a	1

Supplementary Table 3: Microarray positional scan data. Obtained raw greyscale values from P1 full positional scan in µSPOT format. Each box corresponds to a single point variation of the P1 peptide sequence (horizontal) as indicated in the first column. The raw intensity values presented here were used for calculating the fold intensity change of each point variation against the wildtype sequence. Data are presented as mean of n=3 microarray slides with standard deviation.

	M	H	R	S	L	L	G	R	M	K	G	A
A	28.29±8.01	29.76±2.22	98.61±16.96	10.15±3.11	8.31±4.76	5.55±2.14	5.9±0.71	55.58±22.38	11.72±0.47	57.66±14.23	16.98±4.54	24.35±6.34
C	19.43±7.82	22.13±2.95	34.85±8.45	4.47±1.85	6.67±3.89	4.78±1.94	4.24±1.06	7.42±4.58	4.5±1.31	15.67±8.52	7.61±3.77	8.92±3.67
D	41.36±8.62	40.58±9.04	121.37±4.42	13.66±1.88	5.77±3.05	4.61±2.27	4.92±1.9	11.37±3.38	3.94±1.44	42.48±12.79	33.5±8.31	15.97±5.07
E	27.72±9.75	74.46±26.92	174.74±10.87	22.15±6.15	5.87±2.73	5.12±2.83	5.19±1.01	125.85±26.07	12.24±4.47	214.54±10.27	69.7±11.56	20.01±4.57
F	20.39±7.92	30.83±6.54	82.39±11.3	18.17±1.59	5.37±2.17	4.32±2.34	5.01±1.13	71.47±10.55	97.92±44.3	36.67±6.8	19.25±4.34	8.11±1.93
G	23.03±7.29	31.38±5.87	74.75±12.89	17.14±2.46	4.93±1.54	4.03±2.56	24.35±6.34	14.7±2.45	6.91±2.98	25.3±4.33	24.35±6.34	11.49±3.45
H	21.14±7.86	24.35±6.34	59.98±9.75	18.74±4.3	7.24±1.91	3.47±1.98	4.85±2.52	11.25±3.78	10.4±2.62	29.27±9.36	15.37±4.17	14.05±3.24
I	20.6±5.3	29.76±6.65	53.52±3.88	13.52±7.8	17.48±1	3.5±1.82	4.4±2.08	58.16±15.17	131.06±32.41	160.1±29.36	45.69±10.05	21.72±4.15
K	19.65±8.04	21.14±3.01	36.94±6.51	10.49±4.75	11.69±1.09	2.88±1.77	4.32±2.52	14.46±4.98	13.67±3.91	24.35±6.34	16.66±2.36	8.21±1.39
L	19.9±4.19	37.55±5.86	46.32±6.64	9.58±3.9	24.35±6.34	24.35±6.34	4.13±2.54	17.18±6.39	112.4±19.28	48.01±12.59	48.89±11.32	15.77±1.8
M	24.35±6.34	38.05±1.54	88.79±10.41	11.34±2.2	6.32±4.08	2.28±1.77	3.93±2.27	38.17±15.55	24.35±6.34	45.9±11.89	53.16±13.45	13.2±0.38
N	23.46±4.29	32.64±10.78	60.64±4.04	9.95±2.75	4.77±2.71	3.21±1.78	7.19±2.82	12.72±6.84	8.52±1.93	23.98±7.23	24.2±9.29	14.78±1.73
P	25±4.8	30.7±7	53.35±12.52	15.29±3.14	4.99±2.49	3.64±2.19	5.33±2.27	13.43±9.39	7.96±1.94	7.21±2.41	14.45±7.15	19.42±3.2
Q	36.28±2.46	33.37±9.32	101.47±27.8	11.96±2.86	5.57±2.33	4.53±2.12	5.56±1.75	24.98±11.5	6.52±1.19	33.79±12.74	20.91±8.74	11.9±0.68
R	41.77±9.55	20.21±3.8	24.35±6.34	10.02±3.41	4.77±1.77	2.87±2.06	2.58±1.79	24.35±6.34	4.88±2.05	14±3.77	8.84±4.07	7.41±3.45
S	44.06±13.76	26.62±5.31	78.81±31.78	24.35±6.34	5.93±1.14	4.59±2.82	3.33±1.67	144.71±41.04	4.77±2.02	54.06±11.98	25.76±5.27	33.45±7.32
T	46.27±11.34	33.45±7.37	98.92±36.7	18.1±4.05	7.5±1.55	5.14±1.93	3.03±1.87	119.43±39.05	11.7±3.32	105.84±15.55	24.42±3.19	22.6±4.5
V	40.77±6.59	23.28±3.08	61.38±14.66	10±3.75	10.25±3.18	5.03±1.89	3.44±1.73	121.7±34.59	62.83±13.91	75.04±18.53	25.35±6.34	25.41±6.81
W	32.39±4.15	25.47±2.95	24.52±6.54	6.66±3.12	4.46±2.14	3.82±1.21	2.03±1.88	9.3±2.67	8.41±3.84	9.39±2.16	5.97±2.03	5.68±1.13
Y	31.23±0.54	52.65±4.23	52.64±8.14	11.07±6.3	5.88±3.02	5.67±0.82	3.91±2.79	38.44±2.9	12.1±4.48	14.09±3.83	11.9±2.42	10.38±2.03

 Supplementary Table 4. Cryo-EM data.

884 Summary of Cryo-EM data acquisition and image processing of the HBc CLPs with bound

885 geraniol, P1dC and SLLGRM-dimers.

Data Acquisition and Image Processing

	HBc CLPs + Geraniol	HBc CLP+ SLLGRM dimer	HBc CLP + P1dC
Microscope	Krios G3 300 kV, with Falcon III camera in linear mode		
Illumination	Spot 5 nano probe; C2 aperture =70 µm; beam diameter 1 µm,		
Imaging	Magnification: 75000 x, calibrated Pixel size: 1.064 Å/Px, objective aperture: 100 µm		
Exposure	Total exposure = 40 e⁻/Å² in 20 fractions; exposure time: 2.6-3.2 s		
Targeted underfocus	600-1400 nm		
Movies per stage position		15 in 5 15 in 5 holes holes	3 in 1 hole
Movies	4875	4956	2784
Template picked Particles	331635	320845	36817
Particles in final map	229646	132610	22830
Resolution Relion	2.8 Å*	2.8 Å*	3.6 Å
Resolution CryoSparc	n/a	2.5Å*	3.0 Å

Models and Maps

MolProbity score	1.61	1.58	1.43
Clash score	4.79	4.47	6.2
Ramachandran Favored	94.7%	94.9%	97.6%
Ramachandran Allowed	5.3 %	5.1%	2.4%
Ramachandran Outliers	0 %	0%	0%
Rotamer outliers	0%	0%	0%
d FSC model (0/0.143/0.5)	2.5Å/2.6Å/2.9Å	2.1 Å /2.2 Å /2.7 Å	2.6Å/2.7Å/3.2Å
Accession Codes	PDB: 8PWO EMD-17996	PDB: 8PX6 EMD-18001	PDB: 8PX3 EMD-18000

Bibliography

[1] C. Makbul, C. Kraft, M. Grießmann, T. Rasmussen, K. Katzenberger, M. Lappe, P. Pfarr, C. Stoffer, M. Stöhr, A.-M. Wandinger, B. Böttcher, *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 2115.

[2] A. Punjani, H. Zhang, D. J. Fleet, *Nat Methods* **2020**, *17*, 1214–1221.

[3] C. Makbul, V. Khayenko, H. M. Maric, B. Böttcher, *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 956.

[4] A. Casañal, B. Lohkamp, P. Emsley, *Protein Science* **2020**, *29*, 1055–1064.

[5] D. Liebschner, P. V. Afonine, M. L. Baker, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, T. I. Croll, B. Hintze, L.-W. Hung, S. Jain, A. J. McCoy, N. W. Moriarty, R. D. Oeffner, B. K. Poon, M. G. Prisant, R. J. Read, J. S. Richardson, D. C. Richardson, M. D. Sammito, O. V. Sobolev, D. H. Stockwell, T. C. Terwilliger, A. G. Urzhumtsev, L. L. Videau, C. J. Williams, P. D. Adams, *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **2019**, *75*, 861–877.

[6] M. G. Prisant, C. J. Williams, V. B. Chen, J. S. Richardson, D. C. Richardson, *Protein Science* **2020**, *29*, 315–329.

[7] Z. Yang, K. Lasker, D. Schneidman-Duhovny, B. Webb, C. C. Huang, E. F. Pettersen, T. D. Goddard, E. C. Meng, A. Sali, T. E. Ferrin, *J Struct Biol* **2012**, *179*, 269–278.

[8] F. Galibert, E. Mandart, F. Fitoussi, P. Tiollais, P. Charnay, *Nature* **1979**, *281*, 646–650.

[9] D. G. Gibson, **2011**, pp. 349–361.

[10] A. Dikmans, U. Beutling, E. Schmeisser, S. Thiele, R. Frank, *QSAR Comb Sci* **2006**, *25*, 1069–1080.

[11] J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.-Y. Tinevez, D. J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, A. Cardona, *Nat Methods* **2012**, *9*, 676–682.

[12] E. F. Pettersen, T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C. Meng, T. E. Ferrin, *J Comput Chem* **2004**, *25*, 1605–1612.

[13] B. O. Forsberg, P. N. M. Shah, A. Burt, *Nat Commun* **2023**, *14*, 5802.

[14] B. Böttcher, M. Nassal, *J Mol Biol* **2018**, *430*, 4941–4954.

[15] J. A. Hadden, J. R. Perilla, C. J. Schlicksup, B. Venkatakrishnan, A. Zlotnick, K. Schulten, *Elife* **2018**, *7*, DOI 10.7554/eLife.32478.

Appendices

Appendix 1. Chromatographic and mass spectrometric analytical data

A1.1. SLLGRL-PEGlinker-Dimer

Charge	m$_i$/z
+2	1294.52
+3	863.18
+4	647.63
+5	518.31
+6	432.09

MW 2590.07

923 **A1.2. SLLGRM-PEGlinker-Dimer (SLLGRM dimer)**

A1.3. GSLLGRMKGA-PEGlinker-Dimer (P2 dimer)

*MSD1 SPC, time=3.110:3.255 of C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\211215_GSLLGRMKGA_D_inj1 2021-12-15 16-16-33\001-P1-A

Max: 2.4952e+006

Charge	m_i/z
+2	1625.39
+3	1083.93
+4	813.20
+5	650.76
+6	542.47
+7	465.12
+8	407.10

MW 3249.83

929 **A1.4 MHRSLLGRMKGA-PEGlinker-Dimer (P1 dimer)**

MSD1 TIC, MS File (C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\211216_MHRSLLGRMKGA_inj1_fr6 2021-12-16 13-06-51\001-P1-D2-MHRGSLL

2.798

Area: 2.59359e+09

VWD1 A, Wavelength=215 nm (211216_MHR...KGA_inj1_fr6 2021-12-16 13-06-51\001-P1-D2-MHRGSLLGRMKGA_D_fr6.D)

2.756

Area: 1336.11

*MSD1 SPC, time=2.747:2.910 of C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\211216_MHRSLLGRMKGA_inj1_fr6 2021-12-16 13-06-51\001-F

Max: 1.83138e+006

Charge	m_i/z
+3	1329.05
+4	996.79
+5	797.63
+6	656.03
+7	570.17
+8	498.90
+9	443.58
+10	399.32

MW 3984.78

931   **A1.5. Short Geranyl Dimer (SGD)**

MW 446.63

934 **A1.6. Long Geranyl Dimer (LGD)**

Charge	m_i/z
-1	1315.75

MW 1317.58

Max: 226091

A1.7. MHRSLLGRMKGA-PEGlinker-Dimer-C (P1dC)

MSD1 TIC, MS File (C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\220407_P1dimer_C_inj3 2022-04-07 08-25-35\009-P1-A9-8.D)   ES-API, Pos, Sc

*MSD1 SPC, time=2.638:2.838 of C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\220407_P1dimer_C_inj3 2022-04-07 08-25-35\009-P1-A9-8.D

Max: 1.14814e+006

MW 4087.92

Charge	m_i/z
+3	1363.39
+4	1022.54
+5	818.24
+6	682.2
+7	584.89
+8	511.9
+9	455.02
+10	409.62

A1.8. RLHLRKAMGSMG-PEGlinker-Dimer-C (scrP1dC)

MSD1 TIC, MS File (C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\220422_P1_Scr_C_inj2 2022-04-22 12-54-52\004-P1-B4-4.D)   ES-API, Pos, Sca

VWD1 A, Wavelength=215 nm (220422_P1_Scr_C_inj2 2022-04-22 12-54-52\004-P1-B4-4.D)

*MSD1 SPC, time=2.637:2.801 of C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\220422_P1_Scr_C_inj2 2022-04-22 12-54-52\004-P1-B4-4.D   E

Max: 1.27387e+006

MW 4087.92

Charge	m_i/z
+3	1363.39
+4	1022.54
+5	818.24
+6	682.2
+7	584.89
+8	511.9
+9	455.02
+10	409.62

A1.9. TNB-C-10R

MSD1 TIC, MS File (C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\220401_TNB_CPP_inj5 2022-04-01 16-39-53\001-P1-C1-2.D)   ES-API, Pos, Sca

VWD1 A, Wavelength=215 nm (220401_TNB_CPP_inj5 2022-04-01 16-39-53\001-P1-C1-2.D)

*MSD1 SPC, time=0.238:0.310 of C:\Users\Public\Documents\ChemStation\1\Data\220401_TNB_CPP_inj5 2022-04-01 16-39-53\001-P1-C1-2.D

Max: 1.6169e+006

Charge	m_i/z
+3	627.34
+4	470.76
+5	376.81
+6	314.18

MW 1880.21