# Molecular basis for plasma membrane recruitment of PI4KA by EFR3

Sushant Suresh[1]*, Alexandria L Shaw[1,2]*, Joshua G Pemberton[3,4], Mackenzie K Scott[1],

Noah J Harris[1], Matthew AH Parson[1], Meredith L Jenkins[1], Poojah Rohilla[3], Alejandro-

Alvarez-Prats[3], Tamas Balla[3], Calvin K Yip[2#], John E Burke[1,2#]

[1] Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC,

V8W 2Y2, Canada

[2] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of British Columbia,

Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z3, Canada

[3] Section on Molecular Signal Transduction, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute

of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD,

United States.

[4] Current address: Department of Biology, Western University, London, ON, N6A 3K7

Canada

* These authors contributed equally

#Corresponding authors: Calvin K Yip calvin.yip@ubc.ca, John E Burke

jeburke@uvic.ca: Lead contact: John E Burke jeburke@uvic.ca

**Abstract**

The lipid kinase phosphatidylinositol 4 kinase III alpha (PI4KIII$\alpha$/PI4KA) is a master regulator of the lipid composition and asymmetry of the plasma membrane. PI4KA exists primarily in a heterotrimeric complex with its regulatory proteins TTC7 and FAM126. Fundamental to PI4KA activity is its targeted recruitment to the plasma membrane by the lipidated proteins EFR3A and EFR3B. Here, we report a cryo-EM structure of the C-terminus of EFR3A bound to the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A complex, with extensive validation using both hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS), and mutational analysis. The EFR3A C-terminus undergoes a disorder-order transition upon binding to the PI4KA complex, with an unexpected direct interaction with both TTC7B and FAM126A. Complex disrupting mutations in TTC7B, FAM126A, and EFR3 decrease

PI4KA recruitment to the plasma membrane. Multiple post-translational modifications and

disease linked mutations map to this site, providing insight into how PI4KA membrane

recruitment can be regulated and disrupted in human disease.

**One sentence summary**

The cryo-EM structure of the C-terminus of EFR3A bound to the PI4KA complex reveals

the molecular mechanism underlying PI4KA membrane localisation, and provides novel

insight into PI4KA regulation and its involvement in human disease.

**Introduction**

Phosphoinositide lipids play numerous roles in myriad cellular functions (*1–3*). One

of the most abundant phosphoinositide lipids is the molecule phosphatidylinositol 4-

phosphate (PI4P) (*4*), which can be generated by four different lipid kinases in humans

(encoded by the genes *PI4KA, PI4KB, PI4K2A,* and *PI4K2B*), with PI4KA generating the

primary pool of PI4P at the plasma membrane (PM) (*5*). PI4P is essential for defining the

identity of the PM, as it is the precursor for the signaling lipids phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-

bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P$_2$) and phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP$_3$), regulates

the non-vesicular transport of phosphatidylserine (*6*), and is also a substrate of the

phospholipase C (PLC) pathway (*7*). Dysregulation of PI4KA is involved in multiple human

diseases (*8*), with loss of function mutations in PI4KA or its regulatory proteins being

causative of neurological (*9, 10*), immunological (*11*), and gastrointestinal disorders (*12*).

PI4KA and its regulatory proteins have also been shown to be critical for cancer growth

(*13, 14*) and viral infection (*15*).

PI4KA shares an evolutionary history with the class I-III PI 3-kinases (PI3Ks) and

PI4KB, with a central feature of regulation for all of these enzymes being that they are

recruited to membrane surfaces by specific lipid or protein binding partners (*16*). PI4KA

primarily exists in cells as a complex with the regulatory proteins TTC7 (two isoforms

TTC7A and TTC7B) and FAM126 (two isoforms FAM126A and FAM126B) (*17, 18*).

PI4KA and TTC7 are conserved throughout all eukaryotes, while FAM126 is found in most

metazoans, but is lost in simpler basal eukaryotes (*19*). Analysis of the PI4KA-TTC7-

FAM126 complex using cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) and hydrogen

deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) showed that it assembles into a large

~750 kDa complex (*17, 20*). PI4KA self-assembles through its dimerization domain

leading to a dimer of heterotrimers, which we will refer to as the PI4KA complex. FAM126

does not bind to PI4KA directly but instead acts as a stabilizer of TTC7, which binds to

PI4KA (*18*). The most well-established mechanism of membrane recruitment is through

the binding of the palmitoylated protein EFR3 to TTC7 (*5*). However, the exact molecular

mechanism underlying EFR3-mediated PM recruitment of the PI4KA complex has

remained elusive (*5, 21, 22*).

The interaction between EFR3 and TTC7 has been extensively studied in yeast,

where the protein Ypp1 (yeast ortholog of TTC7) binds to the disordered C-terminus of

Efr3 (yeast homolog of EFR3) (*23, 24*). In yeast, this interaction is regulated by

phosphorylation of the Efr3 C-terminus, with phosphorylation disrupting Ypp1-mediated

PM recruitment of Stt4 (yeast homolog of PI4KA) (*24*). It has been challenging to

extrapolate these results to derived eukaryotic lineages, including humans, as the C-

terminus of EFR3 is only partially evolutionarily conserved. As PI4KA activity needs to be

upregulated upon PLC signaling to maintain the PM pool of PI4P (*25*), there likely are

unknown regulatory mechanisms controlling EFR3-mediated recruitment in more

complex vertebrate cell models. Defining the molecular mechanism of EFR3-mediated

PM recruitment of PI4KA is essential to understanding possible regulatory mechanisms

controlling the activity of the PI4KA complex through association with the PM.

To fully explore the molecular mechanisms of how EFR3 can bind to and regulate

the PI4KA complex we have utilized a synergistic application of cryo-EM and HDX-MS.

Our structural analyses reveal that EFR3A interacts with the PI4KA complex through an

evolutionarily conserved region within the C-terminus of EFR3A. Unexpectedly, the C-

terminus of EFR3A forms an extended helical interface toward both TTC7B and

FAM126A. This interface was validated by both HDX-MS and mutational analysis. The

development of a live-cell bioluminescence resonance-energy transfer (BRET)-based

assay of PI4KA recruitment to the PM as well as confocal imaging show the key functional

role of the EFR3 C-terminus in membrane recruitment of the PI4KA complex. Overall, our

findings provide useful insight into the molecular and structural basis of PI4KA regulation
at the PM.

**Results**

*Cryo-EM analysis of the EFR3A-PI4KA complex*

Investigation into the molecular basis of how EFR3A regulates PI4KA required the
production of a stable PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A complex. This was achieved by co-
expression of full-length PI4KA and TTC7B, together with a truncated FAM126A lacking
the disordered C-terminus (1-308, FAM126A ΔC) (**Fig. 1A**). This complex has been
structurally characterized (*17*), is homogenous, and elutes off gel filtration consistent with
the formation of a dimer of heterotrimers. For simplicity, this complex will be referred to
as the PI4KA complex throughout the manuscript. It has previously been established that
in yeast the disordered C-terminus of EFR3 mediates recruitment of the TTC7 ortholog
Ypp1 (*24*). To identify the related site in human EFR3A we carried out a bioinformatic
analysis of sequence conservation. While there were no regions that were strictly
conserved between yeast and humans (**Supplemental Fig 1**), there was a region that
was highly conserved between chordates in the C-terminus. We generated multiple
constructs spanning this region for expression in *E. coli*, with the most stable and
homogenous being a construct spanning residues 721-791 of EFR3A (**Fig. 1A**).

To validate that this EFR3A fragment could interact with the PI4KA complex, size
exclusion chromatography was used to determine whether complex formation would
occur. When co-incubated together, the PI4KA complex co-eluted with the EFR3A C-
terminal fragment, with elution volume and SDS-PAGE analysis consistent with a dimer
of hetero-tetramers (**Fig. 1B**). We then carried out cryo-EM analysis of this reconstituted
complex. While the protein specimen appeared homogeneous on negative stain EM
grids, vitrification of this protein product yielded aggregated particles at the air-water
interface. To address this problem, we subjected the complex to limited BS$^3$ chemical
crosslinking followed by size exclusion chromatography.

Using this sample, we were able to generate a cryo-EM reconstruction of the
PI4KA complex bound to the C-terminus of EFR3A at a nominal resolution of 3.63Å from

67,563 particles (**Fig. 1C, Supplemental Fig 2**). Refinement yielded large differences in

local resolution between the two hetero-tetramers. We will therefore focus our

descriptions on the better-resolved hetero-tetramer within the assembly. (**Supplemental**

**Fig 2C**). Unexpectedly, our structure showed that the EFR3A C-terminus binds to both

TTC7B and FAM126A, forming a V-shape composed of three alpha helices. The local

resolution of the first interface $\alpha$-helix in EFR3A spanning residues 728-735 was sufficient

for unambiguous manual model building into density (**Supplemental Fig 3**), with

AlphaFold Multimer (*26, 27*) and manual model building allowing for building the 2nd and

3rd $\alpha$-helices (**Supplemental Fig 3**). Overall, we were able to build a molecular model of

residues 724-787 of EFR3A bound to TTC7B-FAM126A (**Fig. 1D**). No direct interactions

were seen between the EFR3A C-terminus and PI4KA, with no major conformational

changes in the PI4KA subunit. The interface between EFR3A and FAM126A was

unexpected, as both EFR3 and TTC7 are conserved from yeast to humans, while

FAM126 is only conserved in metazoans (*19*).

**Figure 1. Cryo-EM analysis of EFR3 binding to the PI4KA complex**

**A.** Domain schematics of the full-length PI4KA complex and EFR3A constructs used in this paper.

Constructs used in this paper are PI4KA/TTC7B/FAM126A ΔC (referred to as PI4KA complex) and

EFR3A (721-791) referred to as EFR3A.

**B.** Size exclusion chromatography traces of (L) PI4KA complex/EFR3A, (M) PI4KA complex, and (R)

EFR3A, with corresponding SDS-PAGE gels to show protein present in the highlighted size exclusion

chromatography (SEC) peaks.

**C.** Cryo-EM density map of the PI4KA complex bound to EFR3A. EFR3A was bound to both sides of the hetero-trimer, however, the full triple helical V was only visible in one of the two dimers of hetero-tetramers (see left side of the molecule in the top panel).

**D.** Molecular model of the triple helical V in the C-terminus of EFR3A (724-787) bound to the PI4KA complex, with a zoom-in highlighting EFR3A contacts with both TTC7B and FAM126A.

*HDX-MS analysis of the EFR3A-PI4KA complex*

To further understand the dynamics of the interaction between the PI4KA complex and EFR3A, we employed HDX-MS, a powerful technique to investigate protein conformational dynamics (*28–30*). HDX-MS experiments were performed on the PI4KA complex with and without EFR3A. Deuterium incorporation was measured over a range of time points (30s, 300s, 3000s, 10000s) and the mass shift upon deuterium incorporation were analyzed via mass spectrometry, with significant differences in exchange being defined as changes greater than >0.45 Da and >5% at any time point with unpaired t-test values of p<0.01.

Multiple regions in both TTC7B and FAM126A showed significant decreases in exchange upon binding to EFR3A. These regions included residues 89-102 in FAM126A and residues 539-544 in TTC7B (**Fig. 2A+B, Supplemental Fig 4**), which were all localized at the interface observed by cryo-EM. No significant changes in exchange were observed in PI4KA, consistent with the lack of direct contacts observed in the cryo-EM structure.

**Figure 2: HDX-MS analysis of the interaction of EFR3A with TTC7B and FAM126A**

**A.** Residues showing significant differences in deuterium exchange (defined as >5% 0.45 Da, and p>0.01

in an unpaired two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon PI4KA complex binding to EFR3A. Differences are

mapped on a structural model of the high local resolution half, with a zoom-in on the interface.

Differences are indicated by the legend.

**B.** Sum of the number of deuteron differences of PI4KA complex upon formation with EFR3A analysed over

the entire deuterium exchange time course for the PI4KA complex. Each point is representative of the

centre residue of an individual peptide. Peptides that met the significance criteria described in A are

coloured red. Error is shown as the sum of standard deviations across all 4 time points (SD) (n=3 for each

condition in each timepoint).

**C.** Size exclusion chromatography traces of (L) TTC7B-FAM126A ΔC -EFR3A, (M) TTC7B-FAM126A

ΔC, and (R) EFR3A, with corresponding SDS-PAGE gels to show protein present in the highlighted SEC

peaks.

**D.** TTC7B-FAM126A residues showing significant differences in deuterium exchange (defined as >5%

0.45 Da, and p>0.01 in an unpaired two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon formation of the TTC7B-

FAM126A-EFR3A complex. Differences are mapped on a structural model of the high local resolution half

removing PI4KA, with a zoom in on the interface. Differences are indicated by the legend.

**E.** Sum of the number of deuteron difference of TTC7B and FAM126A upon complex formation with

EFR3A analysed over the entire deuterium exchange time course for the dimer. Each point is

representative of the centre residue of an individual peptide. Peptides that met the significance criteria

described in A are coloured red. Error is shown as the sum of standard deviations across all 5 time points

(SD) (n=3 for each condition in each timepoint).

**F.** EFR3A residues showing significant differences in deuterium exchange (defined as >5% 0.45 Da, and

p>0.01 in an unpaired two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon formation of the TTC7B-FAM126A-EFR3A

complex. Differences are mapped on a structural model of the high local resolution half removing PI4KA

zooming in on the interface. Differences are indicated by the legend. The construct used has overlapping

MBP peptides that do not contain EFR3A residues, therefore peptides that start before 721 are not

EFR3A sequence.

**G.** Sum of the number of deuteron difference of EFR3A upon complex formation with TTC7B-FAM126A

analysed over the entire deuterium exchange time course for the EFR3A. Each point is representative of

the centre residue of an individual peptide. Peptides that met the significance criteria described in A are

coloured red. Error is shown as the sum of standard deviations across all 4 time points (SD) (n=3 for each

condition in each timepoint). Individual deuterium exchange curves for significant differences for all

conditions are shown in Supplemental Fig 4.

Together, the cryo-EM and HDX-MS data suggested that EFR3A would bind

similarly to an isolated dimer of TTC7B-FAM126A ΔC. We purified TTC7B-FAM126A ΔC,

which will be referred to as TTC7B-FAM126A, from *E. coli*, with gel filtration profiles in the

presence of the C-terminus of EFR3A consistent with the formation of a stable trimer (**Fig.**

**2C**). To investigate the implications of the lack of PI4KA on conformational dynamics, we

utilized HDX-MS to compare TTC7B-FAM126A in the presence and absence of the C-

terminus of EFR3A. HDX-MS experiments were measured over 5 time points (3s, 30s,

300s, 3000s and 10,000s at 18°C). We observed significant decreases in HDX in the

same sites on TTC7B and FAM126A as observed in the PI4KA complex, with additional

regions spanning the entire EFR3A interface, as well as some allosteric differences

distant from the EFR3A binding site (**Fig. 2D-E, Supplemental Fig 4**). To map changes

in deuterium incorporation in EFR3A, we utilized a different EFR3A C-terminus construct

containing residues 721-791 of EFR3A with an N-terminal maltose binding protein (MBP)

tag, with HDX-MS experiments performed on MBP-EFR3A apo and in complex with

TTC7B-FAM126A. Deuterium incorporation was measured over 4 time points (3s, 30s,

300s at 18°C and 3s at 0°C). Peptides spanning all three helices in EFR3A showed a

significant decrease in HDX, further validating the interface observed in the cryo-EM

structure (**Fig. 2F-G, Supplemental Fig 4**). We carried out biolayer interferometry (BLI)

measurements of TTC7B-FAM126A binding to the C-terminus of EFR3A, with this

interface having a $K_D$ of ~250 nM (**Fig. 3A**).

*Molecular details of the EFR3A interface with TTC7B*

Our cryo-EM structure revealed that EFR3A engages TTC7B and FAM126A in an

extended interface composed of three α-helices from EFR3A oriented in a V, resulting in

a buried surface area of ~1500 Å$^2$. This interface is primarily hydrophobic, with the

interface between EFR3A and TTC7B being ~800 Å$^2$ and is composed of the first EFR3A

α-helix (residues 728-735), and the latter half of the 3$^{rd}$ EFR3A α-helix (761-785) (**Fig.**

**3B-C**). The first α-helix is likely the most stable as this is the only region of EFR3A visible

in both halves of the cryo-EM map and is also the region with the highest local resolution

in the electron density (**Fig 2C**). The α1-helix in EFR3A is amphipathic, with the

hydrophobic face interacting with primarily hydrophobic residues in three helices in

TTC7B (residues 599-616, 690-707, and 712-723). The interacting residues in the α1 and

α3 helices of EFR3A are strongly conserved throughout evolution in vertebrates, with

partial conservation in metazoans, and very limited conservation in yeast (**Fig 3B and**

**Supplemental Fig 1**). There are some notable differences in interfacial residues between

EFR3A and EFR3B that may alter binding affinity, potentially highlighting isoform-specific

regulatory differences.

**Figure 3: Molecular basis of EFR3A binding to TTC7B**

**A.** Cartoon schematic (L) of a bio-layer interferometry (BLI) experiment showing binding of immobilized

His-EFR3A (721-791) to TTC7B-FAM126A. Association and dissociation curves (M) for the binding of

His-EFR3A (721-791) to different concentrations of TTC7B-FAM126A (10-2500 nM). The experiment was

carried out in duplicate, with all data shown. Normalized BLI response versus concentration of TTC7B-

FAM126A (R), with $K_D$ estimated by one site specific non-linear regression. Each data point is shown

(n=2).

**B.** Multiple sequence alignment of EFR3A from *Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Xenopus laevis, Danio*

*rerio, Drosophila melanogaster.* EFR3A secondary structure of α1 and α3 helices are annotated above

the alignment. Contact residues within 6 Å of TTC7B are annotated using arrows. Contact residues with

BSA > 30 Å$^2$ are annotated using red arrows.

**C.** Multiple sequence alignment of TTC7B from *Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Xenopus laevis, Danio*

*rerio, Drosophila melanogaster.* TTC7B secondary structure is annotated above the alignment. Contact

residues within 6 Å of EFR3A are annotated using arrows. Contact residues with BSA > 30 Å$^2$ are

annotated using red arrows.

**D.** Zoomed in cartoon view of the EFR3A α1-TTC7B interface with putative interfacial residues labelled.

EFR3A and TTC7B are coloured according to in-figure text.

**E.** Zoomed in cartoon view of the EFR3A α3-TTC7B interface with putative interfacial residues labelled.

EFR3A and TTC7B are coloured according to in-figure text.

**F.** Maximum BLI response of various EFR3A and TTC7B mutants compared to WT. Error is shown as

standard deviation (n=3) with two-tailed p values indicated as follows: **p<0.001; ***p<0.0001; not

significant (ns) > 0.01.

**G.** Raw BLI association and dissociation curves of EFR3A and TTC7B mutants compared to WT. His-

EFR3A was loaded on the anti-penta-His tip at 200 nM and dipped in TTC7B-FAM126A at 500 nM. Raw

BLI curves of all mutants in Supplemental Fig 5.

We generated several point mutations on both EFR3A and TTC7B and used Bio-

Layer Interferometry (BLI) to determine their effect on complex formation (**Fig 3F-G and**

**Supplemental Fig 5**). Mutation of residues in the α1 helix in EFR3A, including F728A,

L731A, K732E, and A734R led to decreased binding, with the L731A mutation causing

the largest disruption. Mutation of a corresponding residue in TTC7B (A702R) also led to

an almost complete disruption of EFR3A binding, with removal of hydrophobic residues

showing a minimal effect on binding affinity. Mutations of residues in the α3 helix of

EFR3A, or corresponding residues in TTC7B showed no significant effect on binding,

highlighting that the α1 helix of EFR3A is indispensable for binding, with a limited role of

the C-terminal half of the α3 helix in EFR3A.

*Molecular details of the EFR3A interface with FAM126A*

It was unexpected that there would be an extensive interface between EFR3A and

FAM126A, as FAM126A has not been previously annotated as a critical partner in EFR3-

mediated PM recruitment of PI4KA. There is an extended evolutionarily conserved

interface between EFR3A and FAM126A (**Fig. 4A-C**). This interface in EFR3A is

composed of the C-terminal region of the α2-helix, the N-terminal region of the α3-helix,

and the residues between these two helices. This packs up against two α-helices in

FAM126A (53-66 and 97-117).

**Figure 4. Mutational analysis validates the EFR3A-FAM126A binding interface**

**A.** Multiple sequence alignment of EFR3A from *Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Xenopus laevis, Danio*

*rerio and Drosophila melanogaster*. EFR3A secondary structure of α2 and α3 helices are annotated

above the alignment. Contact residues within 5 Å of FAM126A are annotated using arrows. Contact

residues with BSA > 30 Å² are annotated using red arrows.

**B.** Multiple sequence alignment of FAM126A from *Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Danio rerio, Drosophila*

*melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans*. FAM126A secondary structure is annotated above the

alignment. Contact residues within 5 Å of EFR3A are annotated using arrows. Contact residues with BSA

> 30 Å$^2$ are annotated using red arrows.

**C.** Zoomed in cartoon view of the EFR3A-FAM126A interface with putative interfacial residues labelled.

EFR3A and FAM126A are coloured according to in-figure text.

**D.** Maximum BLI response of various EFR3A and FAM126A mutants compared to WT. Error is shown as

standard deviation (n=3) with p values indicated as follows: *$p<0.01$; **$p<0.001$; ***$p<0.0001$; not

significant (ns) $> 0.01$.

**E.** Raw BLI association and dissociation curves of EFR3A and FAM126A mutants compared to WT. His-

EFR3A was loaded on the anti-penta-His tip at 200 nM and dipped in TTC7B-FAM126A at 500 nM. Raw

BLI curves of all mutants in Supplemental Fig 5.

We generated several point mutations at the EFR3A-FAM126A interface and used

BLI to determine their effect on complex formation (**Fig 4D-E and Supplemental Fig 5**).

Mutation of residues F755A and I763A localized at the interface of helix α2 and α3 led to

decreased binding, with the F755A mutation causing the largest disruption. Mutation of

corresponding residues in FAM126A (F61A and A103R) also led to significant disruption

of EFR3A binding. This validates the previously unidentified critical role of FAM126A in

EFR3A binding, with this likely having important implications for PI4KA regulation.

*BRET analysis of EFR3-mediated plasma membrane recruitment*

To define the functional role of the identified interface between EFR3 and TTC7-

FAM126 in mediating PM localization of PI4KA, we developed a unique bioluminescence

resonance energy transfer (BRET) assay. These quantitative measurements utilized a

single-plasmid design containing a PM (Lyn Kinase N-Terminus; L10)-anchored BRET

acceptor (mVenus) and a nano-Luciferase (nLuc)-tagged PI4KA, which were separated

by a self-cleaving tandem viral 2A peptide sequence (tPT2A) to produce each protein in

transfected cells at a fixed stoichiometry (**Fig. 5A**). Upon addition of a cell-permeable

luciferase substrate (coelenterazine h; final concentration 5 μM), the signal from the nLuc-

generated luminescence and resulting mVenus fluorescence can be measured in entire

populations of live cells using a multimode microplate reader. Experiments were carried

out by transfecting this PM-PI4KA[BRET] biosensor (L10-mVenus-tPT2A-nLuc-PI4KA) along

with a single-plasmid encoding full length epitope-tagged EFR3B, TTC7B, and FAM126A

which also contains unique P2A and T2A splitting sequences between the specific components (EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A), as we have previously described (*31*).

**Figure 5. The C-terminus of EFR3A mediates plasma membrane recruitment of PI4KA**

**A.** Cartoon depicting the quantitative BRET-based PI4KA recruitment assay. Briefly, the PM-anchored BRET acceptor (L10-mVenus) will only be near the nLuc-tagged PI4KA BRET-donor, and thereby efficiently increase the relative BRET signal, if co-assembled with the requisite molecular partners for PM targeting.

**B.** Normalized BRET signal measured from HEK293A cell populations ($\sim$0.75 x $10^5$ cells/well) expressing a fixed amount of the PM-PI4KA$^{BRET}$ biosensor (L10-mVenus-tPT2A-NLuc-PI4KA; 500 ng/well) together with increasing amounts (100, 500, or 1000 ng/well) of constructs consisting of either an empty vector (black), wild-type control (red; EFR3B -P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A), or the indicated mutants of the PI4KA complex components (blue, EFR3B$^{\Delta cterm}$-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A; green, EFR3B$^{L272A,L751A}$-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A; grey, EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B$^{A702R}$-T2A-FAM126A; and, magenta, EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A$^{A103R}$). For all treatments, BRET values were normalized relative to an internal basal BRET control (PM-PI4KA$^{BRET}$ biosensor expressed without the empty

vector or EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A plasmid added) and are presented as the summary of

triplicate wells measured for each treatment condition in three independent biological replicates (n =

3, with normalized signals from 9 total wells averaged). Normalized BRET signals were then expressed

and graphed as fold-increases relative to the 100 ng/well pcDNA3.1 control.

**C.** Representative confocal images of live HEK293A cells co-expressing a fixed amount (250 ng) of

EGFP-PI4KA (greyscale) together with the indicated constructs (500 ng/each). The sequence and

colour-coding of the experimental treatments are matched with the BRET measurements in (**B**).

Live-cell experiments were carried out using HEK293A cells expressing a fixed

amount of the PM-PI4KA$^{BRET}$ biosensor (500ng/well), along with increasing amounts

(100, 500, and 1000 ng/well) of the EFR3B-TTC7B-FAM126A plasmid. Importantly, we

saw a clear dose-dependent increase in the basal BRET signal when full-length EFR3B,

TTC7B and FAM126A were co-expressed (red bars), consistent with an increase to the

PM recruitment of PI4KA (**Fig. 5B**). We then performed a control experiment where C-

terminus of EFR3B was truncated and saw no significant increase in the BRET signal

(blue bars) over an empty-vector control (black bars). Subsequently, we incorporated

complex disrupting mutations in EFR3B (L727A, L751A; green bars), TTC7B (A702R;

grey bars), and FAM126A (A103R; magenta bars), and saw a significantly reduced BRET

signal across the various levels of relative plasmid expression when compared to the wild-

type controls. However, all these mutants still had a measurable BRET signal above that

of the truncated EFR3B control (**Fig. 5B**). This indicates the importance of avidity in PI4KA

recruitment, as none of these mutations affecting the complex interface were able to

completely abrogate PI4KA localization to the PM. In parallel with this BRET-based

approach, we also used confocal microscopy for live-cell imaging studies to examine the

subcellular localization of an EGFP-tagged PI4KA construct after co-expression of

EFR3B, TTC7B, and FAM126A. Similar to our quantitative BRET-based measurements,

we only saw robust PM localization of EGFP-PI4KA when co-expressed together with the

wild-type EFR3B, TTC7B and FAM126A, and very limited PM localization of EGFP-PI4KA

in conjunction with the mutant or truncated versions of EFR3B, TTC7B, and FAM126A

(**Fig. 5C**).

**Discussion**

Understanding how PI4KA is recruited, activated, and regulated at the PM is

essential in developing therapeutic strategies for targeting human diseases associated

with loss-of-function of PI4KA. PI4KA, TTC7A and FAM126A mutations have been

identified in immunological, neurological, gastrointestinal, and developmental disorders

(*9–12, 18, 32*). Some cancers also require EFR3 and PI4KA activity for their aggressive

growth (*13, 14*). Here, we report the architecture of the EFR3A complex that is formed

with PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A, revealing novel insights into the molecular mechanisms

underlying PM recruitment of PI4KA.

Our cryo-EM and HDX-MS analyses reveal that EFR3A forms an extended

interface with both the TTC7 and FAM126 regulatory proteins. Previous analysis of

evolutionarily conserved surfaces in TTC7 and FAM126 had led to the proposal of an

EFR3 interface composed of both proteins (*17*), and our work provides the first

experimental evidence of the cooperation between TTC7 and FAM126 for EFR3 binding.

This was validated by complex-disrupting mutations in EFR3A at both the FAM126A and

TTC7B interfaces, as well as mutations in FAM126A and TTC7B at the interface with

EFR3A. There was no difference in the conformation of PI4KA upon binding EFR3A in

solution, suggesting that there are no allosteric conformational changes upon EFR3A

binding. However, further biochemical and biophysical analysis of membrane resident

full-length EFR3 recruiting the PI4KA complex to membranes will be required to fully

understand the conformational dynamics of PI4KA upon membrane recruitment.

An important implication of this structure is that the two EFR3 isoforms may be

able to be selectively recruited to specific combinations of TTC7 and FAM126 isoforms.

While the binding interfaces are conserved in different isoforms, this conservation is not

absolute, allowing for the possibility of unique binding affinities. Isoforms of TTC7,

FAM126 and EFR3 are differentially expressed in diverse tissues, with many tissues

expressing multiple isoforms. The isoform-specific roles of the PI4KA regulatory proteins

are still not fully elucidated. The potential for unique molecular roles for the isoform-

specific PI4KA complexes has been recently highlighted for TTC7A and TTC7B, with

patients having disease-linked loss of function mutations in TTC7A in tissues that express

both TTC7A and TTC7B, where it appears that TTC7B cannot compensate for the loss

of TTC7A. This may be driven by differences in TTC7A/TTC7B expression during

embryogenesis, where TTC7A is widely expressed, and TTC7B is primarily expressed in

brain tissues (*33*). As the experimental structure of TTC7A is unknown, we examined

AlphaFold2 predicted models of TTC7A compared to our structure, with a focus on

potential differences at the EFR3A interface. Intriguingly, there is a weakly predicted

TTC7A helix (residues 678-690) in the same location as the α1 helix of EFR3A, with this

region being only weakly conserved in TTC7B (**Supplemental Fig 6A**) (*34*). In both

TTC7A and TTC7B there are multiple putative phosphorylated residues in this region (*35*),

which may alter the conformation of the EFR3 binding surface. Further analysis of

differences in EFR3 binding to TTC7A or TTC7B containing PI4KA complexes and how

phosphorylation of TTC7A/B alters EFR3 binding will be required to understand the

dynamic regulation of PI4KA membrane recruitment.

While subtle differences between complexes of TTC7A and TTC7B with the EFR3

C-terminus and FAM126 may exist, our structure of EFR3A bound to PI4KA-TTC7B-

FAM126A still allows for interrogation of the possible molecular mechanisms underlying

missense, nonsense and point mutations in TTC7A that cause severe gastrointestinal

and immunological disease. This disease is an autosomal-recessively inherited disease,

with patients having heterogeneous intestinal and immunologic disease presentations.

The most severe TTC7A mutations cause nonsense or frameshift mutations in TTC7A

that collectively remove both the EFR3 and PI4KA binding sites (*33*). However, there are

numerous missense mutations that cluster to regions in spatial proximity to the putative

EFR3 binding site in TTC7A. The mutations S539L, A551D, and H570R are all in helices

that either bind the α3 helix of EFR3A, or pack against the interfacial helices, with these

likely altering the orientation of the EFR3A binding site. In addition, K606R is predicted to

pack against the helix 704-724 in TTC7A (equivalent to the EFR3 interfacial helix 690-

707 in TTC7B). This mutation would likely alter the orientation of the EFR3 interface,

causing decreased affinity. Further biochemical and biophysical analysis will be required

to define the effect of disease-causing TTC7A mutations on EFR3-mediated membrane

recruitment versus PI4KA complex stability.

EFR3 proteins act as the membrane localization signal for the PI4KA complex (*5*),

with a palmitoylation code controlling their spatial organisation between liquid-ordered

and disordered regions of the PM (*22*). It has been previously revealed that there is an

aspect of plasticity in how EFR3 recruits PI4KA, as the integral membrane protein

TMEM150A can function in tandem with EFR3 to recruit PI4KA to the PM in the absence

of TTC7-FAM126 (*22, 36*). Our structure reveals the canonical mechanism of PM

recruitment mediated by TTC7-FAM126. EFR3A mutations developed in this study that

only disrupt TTC7-FAM126-mediated recruitment may also act as unique tools to discern

between the diverse mechanisms of PI4KA recruitment to the PM. The triple helical

binding interface of EFR3 that binds to TTC7-FAM126 contains multiple phosphorylation

sites, present in both EFR3A and EFR3B (*35*). The location of these sites is divergent

between EFR3A and EFR3B, potentially suggesting differential regulation

(**Supplemental Fig 6B**). Further identification of the kinases and phosphatases that

target these sites will be required to fully understand how the EFR3 interface can be

regulated.

A limitation of the molecular insight we have observed for how EFR3 interacts with

the PI4KA complex is that all our biophysical observations on the association of the EFR3

C-terminus with the PI4KA complex were carried out exclusively in solution. The

biologically relevant association of EFR3 will occur in the context of the full length EFR3

localised on a membrane surface. While we have no expectation of the ordered domains

of EFR3 altering how the C-terminus binds to the PI4KA complex, there likely will be a

critical role of this region in proper EFR3 membrane localisation. For the evolutionarily

similar class I-III PI3Ks and PI4KB there are extensive conformational changes in the lipid

kinase domain that are driven by association with membrane substrate, and it is likely

that this will also be the case for PI4KA. To completely identify the full repertoire of

regulatory mechanisms underlying PI4KA activation future experiments will need to

address conformational dynamics of full-length lipidated EFR3 recruitment of the PI4KA

complex in its native membrane environment.

Collectively, our detailed biochemical and structural analyses of the EFR3A-

mediated recruitment of PI4KA complex to the PM provides unique insight into the

assembly and regulation of PI4KA complexes. This work also provides a framework to
further explore how PM recruitment of PI4KA is regulated. Overall, the findings from this
study will be useful in developing novel therapeutic strategies to target aberrant PI4KA
signaling in human disease.
466

### Acknowledgements

JEB is supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research council
(Discovery grant NSERC-2020-04241), and a Michael Smith Foundation for Health
Research (Scholar Award 17686). ALS was supported by an NSERC CGS-M scholarship.
C.K.Y. is supported by CIHR (PJT-168907) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering
Research Council of Canada (RGPIN-2018-03951). Cryo-EM specimens were prepared,
and data was collected at the High-Resolution Macromolecular Electron Microscopy
(HRMEM) facility at the University of British Columbia (https://cryoem.med.ubc.ca). We
thank Claire Atkinson, Joeseph Felt, Liam Worrall and Natalie Strynadka. HRMEM is
funded by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation and the British Columbia Knowledge
Development Fund. The work of TB, JGP, PR and AAP was funded by the Intramural
Research Program of the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and
Human Development of the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.
(HHS/NIH/NICHD - Z01:HD000196-25). Confocal imaging was performed at the
Microscopy & Imaging Core of the NICHD with the kind assistance of Drs. Vincent Schram
and Ling Yi.

### Competing Interests

485  J.E.B. reports personal fees from Scorpion Therapeutics and Reactive therapeutics; and
486  research contracts from Novartis and Calico Life Sciences.
487

### Data Availability

489  The EM data have been deposited in the EM data bank with accession number (EMDB:
490  44413), and the associated structural model has been deposited to the PDB with
491  accession number (PDB: 9BAX). The MS proteomics data have been deposited to the

492 ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier

493 PXD043442 (*37*). All raw data in all figures are available in the source data excel file.

**Methods**

*Plasmid constructs*

Plasmids containing genes for full length PI4KA, TTC7B and FAM126A (1-308) were

cloned into the MultiBac vector, pACEBac1 (Geneva Biotech) as described in (*20*).

Plasmid encoding TTC7B and FAM126A (1-308) was subcloned into a vector containing

an N-terminal 10X histidine tag, followed by a 2X Strep tag, followed by a Tobacco Etch

Virus (TEV) protease cleavage site. Based on the evolutionary conservation of the C-

terminal tail of EFR3A (**Fig. S1**), we designed and synthesized a construct containing the

C-terminus of EFR3A from residue 688 to 821 from GeneArt gene synthesis (Invitrogen).

EFR3A (721-791) was subcloned into two vectors; one containing an N-terminal 10X

histidine tag and a 2X Strep tag, and one containing an N terminal Maltose Binding Protein

(MBP) tag in addition to the 10X Histidine tag and 2X Strep tag (*38*). EFR3A, TTC7B and

FAM126A substitution mutants shown in Table S2 were generated using site-directed

mutagenesis according to published protocols using EFR3A (721-791) as template.

Plasmid encoding human EFR3B[HA]-T2A-TTCB[MYC]-P2A-[FLAG]FAM126A in pcDNA3.1

vector, which is referred to more simply here as EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A was

a kind gift from the Cyert lab at Stanford university. EFR3B, TTC7B and FAM126A

substitution and deletion mutants shown in Table S2 in the pcDNA3.1 vector were

generated using Gibson assembly (*38*).

Alternatively, the high-sensitivity PM-PI4KA[BRET] biosensor (L10-mVenus-tPT2A-

nLuc-PI4KA) was constructed in multiple steps by standard restriction cloning using

enzymes from New England Biolabs. First, the sLuc cassette in the L10-mVenus-T2A-

sLuc-(2X)P4M biosensor (*39*) was exchanged for NanoLuciferase (nLuc) using an insert

amplified from PM-nLuc (Forward Primer, 5'- AT ATA CCG GTC ATG GTC TTC ACA

CTC GAA GAT TTC GTT GG -3'; Reverse Primer, 5'- AT ATC TCG AGA CGC CAG AAT

GCG TTC GCA CAG C -3'), which was a kind gift from Laszlo Hunyady (Addgene Plasmid

# 164784), together with AgeI and XhoI restriction sites. Next, we replaced the single T2A

site in the resulting L10-mVenus-T2A-nLuc-(2X)P4M biosensor with the tandem tPT2A sequence (*40*) using a custom-synthesized insert from GeneArt (Invitrogen) and a SalI and AgeI double-digest. Finally, we replaced the (2X)P4M domain in the L10-mVenus-tPT2A-nLuc-(2X)P4M vector with an in-frame PI4KA insert derived from pEGFP-PI4KA (*41*) using shared XhoI and MfeI restriction sites in the parent C1 vector backbones (CloneTech). Whole plasmid sequencing for all plasmids was performed by Plasmidsaurus using Oxford Nanopore Technology with custom analysis and annotation.

### Protein Expression

Plasmids containing the coding sequences for EFR3A (721-791), were expressed in BL21 DE3 C41 *Escherichia coli* and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and grown at 37 °C for 4 h. The TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) construct was expressed in BL21 DE3 C41 cells and induced with 0.1mM IPTG and grown at 21 °C for 20 hours. Cells were then harvested and centrifuged at 15,000*g*. Pellets were washed with PBS before being snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, followed by storage at –80 °C. Bacmid harboring PI4KA complex (PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308)) was transfected into *Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) cells*, and viral stocks amplified for one generation to acquire a P2 generation final viral stock. Final viral stocks were added to *Sf9* cells in a 1/100 virus volume to cell volume ratio. Constructs were expressed for 65-72 hours before harvesting of the infected cells. Cell pellets were washed with PBS before being snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, followed by storage at –80 °C.

### Protein Purification

*EFR3A purification:* Cell pellets were lysed by sonication for 5 min in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, 20 mM imidazole, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol [bME]), and protease inhibitors [Millipore Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III, EDTA free]). Triton X-100 was added to 0.1% v/v, and the solution was centrifuged for 45 min at 20,000*g* at 1 °C (Beckman Coulter J2-21, JA-20 rotor). The supernatant was then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been equilibrated in nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni–NTA) A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM

imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME). The column was washed with of high salt buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 1 M NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME), followed by of 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME) before being eluted with 100% Ni–NTA B. The eluate was then loaded onto a 5 ml Strep column and then washed with GFB (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine [TCEP]). Protein was eluted with GFB containing 2.5 mM desthiobiotin and concentrated in a 10 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma). Concentrated protein was loaded onto the Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions were collected and concentrated in a 10 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C.

*TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) purification:* Cell pellets were lysed by sonication for 5 min in lysis buffer (20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, 2 mM bME), and protease inhibitors [Millipore Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III, animal-free]. Triton X-100 was added to 0.1% v/v, and the solution was centrifuged for 45 min at 20,000$g$ at 1 °C. The supernatant was then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been equilibrated in nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni–NTA) A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME). The column was washed with Ni-NTA A buffer (20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME) followed by 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (450 mM Imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME) before being eluted with 100% Ni–NTA B. The eluate was then loaded onto a 5 ml Strep column and then washed with filtration buffer (GFB) (20 mM Imidazole [pH 7.0], 150 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol and 0.5 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP)). The column was loaded with tobacco etch virus protease containing a stabilizing lipoyl domain. TEV cleavage proceeded overnight following which the protein was eluted with GFB. Protein eluate was further concentrated in a 50 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma). Concentrated protein was loaded onto the Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions from a single peak were collected and concentrated in 50 kDa MWCO

concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until

further use. This protein is referred to as TTC7B/FAM126A.

*PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) purification*: Sf9 pellets were resuspended in

lysis buffer [20 mM imidazole pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM βMe, protease

(Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III, Sigma)] and lysed by sonication. Triton X-100 was

added to 0.1% v/v final, and lysate was centrifuged for 45 min at 20,000×g at 1 °C. The

supernatant was then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been

equilibrated in nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni–NTA) A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM

NaCl, 20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME). The column was

washed with Ni-NTA A buffer (20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol,

and 2 mM bME) followed by 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (27 mM Imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM

NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME) before being eluted with 100% Ni–NTA B. Elution

fractions were passed through a 5 ml StrepTrapHP column (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in

Gel Filtration buffer (GFB) (20 mM imidazole pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol [v/v], 0.5

mM TCEP). The column was washed with GFB before loading a tobacco etch virus

protease containing a stabilizing lipoyl domain (Lip-TEV), and cleavage proceeded

overnight. Cleaved protein was eluted with GFB and concentrated in a 50 kDa MWCO

concentrator (MilliporeSigma). Concentrated protein was loaded onto the Superose 6

Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions from a single peak

were collected and concentrated in 50 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash-

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further use. This protein is referred to

as PI4KA complex.

***Co-elution of PI4KA complex-EFR3A and TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308)-EFR3A***

7.19 µM of PI4KA complex and 61.8 µM of EFR3A were loaded onto a Superose 6

Increase column pre-equilibrated in GFB [150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole (pH 7.0), 5%

glycerol (v/v), 0.5 mM TCEP]. Fractions containing the PI4KA complex bound to EFR3A

were pooled, concentrated, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Protein

was run on an SDS-PAGE gel to confirm the formation of the complex. For the co-elution

of TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) and EFR3A, we used 6.78 µM of TTC7B-FAM126A and

102.3 µM of EFR3A and performed size exclusion chromatography as mentioned above.

Fresh protein was run on an SDS-PAGE gel to confirm formation of complex.

***Protein purification for Cryo-EM***

Pre-Gel filtered PI4KA complex (13.0 µM final), and gel filtered EFR3A (113 µM final)

were incubated together on ice for 20 minutes prior to injection onto the Superose 6

Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole

[pH 7.0], 5% glycerol [v/v], 0.5 mM TCEP). Protein fractions from a single peak were

collected and concentrated to 250 µl at 4µM and BS3 was added to a final concentration

of 1 mM. The reaction was incubated on ice for 1 hour. 1 M Tris (pH 7.5) was added to a

final concentration of 50 mM to quench the reaction and incubated at RT for 15 minutes.

The quenched reaction was loaded onto the Superose 6 Increase 10/300 column pre-

equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions from the main peak, consistent with an elution

volume of the PI4KA complex-EFR3A (721-791), were collected, concentrated, flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C.

***Cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection***

C-Flat 2/1-3Cu-T-50 grids mesh grids were glow-discharged for 25 s at 15 mA using a

Pelco easiGlow glow discharger. 3 µL of crosslinked PI4KA complex-EFR3A (721-791)

were applied to the grids at 0.7 mg/ml. The grids were prepared using a Vitrobot Mark IV

(FEI) by blotting for 1.5 seconds at a blot force of -5 at 4°C and 100% humidity before

plunge freezing in liquid ethane. Grids were screened for particle and ice quality at the

UBC High Resolution Macromolecular Cryo-Electron Microscopy (HRMEM) facility using

a 200-kV Glacios transmission microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a

Falcon 3EC direct electron detector (DED). Datasets were collected at the UBC HRMEM

facility using a 300-kV Titan Krios equipped with Selectris energy filter with a Falcon IV

camera. A total of 9,412 super-resolution movies were collected using SerialEM with a

total dose of 50 e$^-$/Å$^2$ over 774 frames at a physical pixel size of 0.77 Å per pixel, using a

defocus range of -0.5 to -2 um, at 165,000 x magnification.

 ***Cryo-EM image processing***

All data processing was carried out with cryoSPARC v4.2.1. Patch motion correction

using default settings was applied to all movies to align the frames and Fourier-crop the

outputs by a factor of 2. The contrast transfer function (CTF) of the resulting micrographs

was estimated using the patch CTF estimation job with default settings. Micrographs were

manually curated to contain micrographs with CTF fit resolution less than or equal to 10.

To generate an initial model, 277,573 particles were picked from 3,501

micrographs using blob picking with a minimum and maximum diameter of 250 and 280,

respectively. Particles were inspected using the inspect picks job to remove particles that

picked ice contamination and were then extracted with a box size of 500 pixels, for a total

of 201,081 particles. The particles were subjected to 2D classification using default

settings. The best class averages were then selected and used as an initial model for

template picking. These 2D classes were low pass filtered to 20 Å and used to template

pick with the particle diameter set to 300 Å. Particles were inspected and 510,887 were

extracted with a box size of 600 pixels and subjected to two rounds of 2D classification

with 40 online-EM iterations to remove particles with ice contamination or those that

showed no features. A total of 77,624 particles were used for ab initio reconstruction and

heterogeneous refinement using three classes, with C1 symmetry. Given the previously

solved PI4KA/TTC7B/FAM126A (2-289) cryo-EM structure employing C2 symmetry (*17*),

the following homogenous refinements were done with C2 symmetry. The best

heterogeneous refinement which had 46,039 particles underwent two rounds of

homogenous refinement. These 46,039 particles were subjected to further ab initio 3D

reconstructions, heterogeneous refinement, and homogeneous refinement jobs to filter

out incorrectly assigned particles. The final initial model to be used to generate templates

for auto-picking of particles consisted of 8,647 particles.

To generate a cryo-EM map from the complete dataset, the collected movies were

split into three groups (Group 1: 3,535 movies, Group 2: 3,364 movies, Group 3: 2,513

movies) and processed into micrographs as described above. The initial template from

above was used to auto-pick 493,392 (group 1), 415,293 (group 2), and 307,632 (group

3) particles, using the template picker job with particle diameter set to 300 Å and a

minimum separation distance of 0.75 diameters. Particles were inspected as above and

275,667 (group 1), 264,196 (group 2), and 185,766 (group 3) particles were extracted

with a box size of 600 pixels. Each group of particles independently underwent one (group

1) or two (groups 2 and 3) rounds of 2D classification with 40 online-EM iterations. The

resulting 105,657 (group 1), 57,805 (group 2), and 77,900 (group 3) particles were

independently used for ab initio reconstruction using 3 classes and subsequent

heterogeneous refinement with C1 symmetry. The best 3D classification from groups 1

and 2 were merged into 93,029 particles (55,802 (group 1) + 37,227 (group 2)) and

underwent two rounds of ab initio reconstruction with 3 classes followed by heterogenous

refinement. The best 3D classification, consisting of 44,305 particles was refined using

homogeneous refinement using C1 symmetry. The particles from group 3 were then

added to these 44,305 particles. A total of 67,563 particles were used to carry out

homogeneous refinement using the previous 3D reconstruction as the starting model,

yielding a reconstruction with an overall resolution of 3.63 Å based on the Fourier shell

correlation (FSC) 0.143 criterion. The full workflow to generate the final cryo-EM map

from the three groups is shown in ==Supplemental Fig 2==.

### *Model building*

The cryo-EM structure of PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (PDB: 6BQ1) (*17*) was fit into the map

using Chimera(*42*). The 1st helix of EFR3A was at sufficient local resolution for iterative

rounds of automated model building in Phenix, manual model building in COOT, and

refinement in Phenix.real_space_refine using realspace, rigid body, and adp refinement

with tight secondary structure restraints (*43*). For the remainder of the EFR3A interface

with FAM126A and TTC7B we utilized AlphaFold-Multimer using Google Colab

(*27*)(https://colab.research.google.com/github/sokrypton/ColabFold/blob/main/AlphaFold

2.ipynb) was used to model the structure of TTC7B-FAM126A–EFR3A. The sequences

of full length TTC7B, residues 1-360 of FAM126A with residues 681-821 of EFR3A were

input to generate the model of the complex. AlphaFold generated five models ranked in

order by mean pLDDT. The highest ranked model showed strong correlation to the cryo-

EM density, and was used to model the 2nd and 3rd helix of EFR3A bound to FAM126A

and TTC7B, with multiple rounds of refinement in Phenix.real_space_refine using realspace, rigid body, and adp refinement with tight secondary structure restraints (*43*). The full refinement and validation statistics are shown in <mark>Supplementary Table 1</mark>.

### *HDX-MS sample preparation*

HDX reactions examining the TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) in the presence and absence of EFR3A were carried out in 15 $\mu$L reaction volumes containing 15 pmol of protein (1 $\mu$M TTC7B-FAM126A, 1 $\mu$M EFR3A in the bound state). The exchange reactions were initiated by the addition of 12.55 $\mu$L of $D_2O$ buffer (20 mM Imidazole pH 7, 150 mM NaCl) to 2.45 $\mu$L of protein (final $D_2O$ concentration of 78.2% [v/v]). Reactions proceeded for 3s, 30s, 300s, 3000s, and 10,000s at 20°C before being quenched with ice cold acidic quench buffer, resulting in a final concentration of 0.6M guanidine HCl and 0.9% formic acid.

HDX reactions examining the PI4KA complex in the presence and absence of EFR3A were carried out in 15 $\mu$L reaction volumes containing 10 pmol of protein (0.667 $\mu$M PI4KA complex, 0.667 $\mu$M EFR3A in the bound state). The exchange reactions were initiated by the addition of 10.92 $\mu$L of $D_2O$ buffer (20 mM Imidazole pH 7, 150 mM NaCl) to 4.08 $\mu$L of protein (final $D_2O$ concentration of 68.0 % [v/v]). Reactions proceeded for 30s, 300s, 3000s, and 10,000s at 20°C before being quenched with ice cold acidic quench buffer, resulting in a final concentration of 0.6M guanidine HCl and 0.9% formic acid post quench.

HDX reactions examining MBP-EFR3A in the presence and absence of the TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) were carried out in 20 $\mu$L reaction volumes containing 25 pmol of protein (1.25 $\mu$M MBP-EFR3A, 1.25 $\mu$M TTC7B-FAM126A in the bound state). The exchange reactions were initiated by the addition of 14.66 $\mu$L of $D_2O$ buffer (20 mM Imidazole pH 7, 150 mM NaCl) to 5.34 $\mu$L of protein (final $D_2O$ concentration of 68.5 % [v/v]). Reactions proceeded for 3s, 30s, and 300s at 20°C and 0.3s (3s on ice) before being quenched with ice cold acidic quench buffer, resulting in a final concentration of 0.6M guanidine HCl and 0.9% formic acid post quench.

All conditions and timepoints were created and run in independent triplicate. All samples were flash frozen immediately after quenching and stored at -80°C.

### *Protein digestion and MS/MS data collection*

Protein samples were rapidly thawed and injected onto an integrated fluidics system containing a HDx-3 PAL liquid handling robot and climate-controlled (2°C) chromatography system (Trajan), a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system, as well as an Impact HD QTOF Mass spectrometer (Bruker). The full details of the automated LC system are described in (*44*). The TTC7B-FAM126A and PI4KA complex samples were run over one immobilized pepsin column (Trajan; ProDx protease column, 2.1 mm x 30 mm PDX.PP01-F32) at 200 $\mu$L/min for 3 minutes at 10°C, and the MBP-EFR3A samples were run over two immobilized pepsin columns (Waters; Enzymate Protein Pepsin Column, 300Å, 5$\mu$m, 2.1 mm X 30 mm) at 350 $\mu$L/min for 3 minutes at 2°C. The resulting peptides were collected and desalted on a C18 trap column (Acquity UPLC BEH C18 1.7mm column (2.1 mm x 5 mm); Waters 186004629). The trap was subsequently eluted in line with an ACQUITY 1.7 $\mu$m particle, 100 mm × 2.1 mm C18 UPLC column (Waters; 186003686), using a gradient of 3-35% B (Buffer A 0.1% formic acid; Buffer B 100% acetonitrile) over 11 minutes immediately followed by a gradient of 35-80% over 5 minutes. Mass spectrometry experiments acquired over a mass range from 150 to 2200 m/z using an electrospray ionization source operated at a temperature of 200°C and a spray voltage of 4.5 kV.

### *Peptide identification*

Peptides were identified from non-deuterated samples using data-dependent acquisition following tandem MS/MS experiments (0.5s precursor scan from 150-2000 m/z; twelve 0.25s fragment scans from 150-2000 m/z). TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) and PI4KA complex MS/MS datasets were analysed using PEAKS7 (PEAKS), and peptide identification was carried out using a false discovery-based approach, with a threshold set to 1% using a database of purified proteins and known contaminants (*45*). The search parameters were set with a precursor tolerance of 20 ppm, fragment mass error 0.02 Da charge states from 1-8, leading to a selection criterion of peptides that had a -10logP score of 25.2 and 20.4 respectively. MBP-EFR3A (721-791) MS/MS datasets were analysed using FragPipe

v18.0 and peptide identification was carried out by using a false discovery-based

approach using a database of purified proteins and known contaminants (*45*, *46*).

MSFragger was utilized, and the precursor mass tolerance error was set to -20 to 20ppm.

The fragment mass tolerance was set at 20ppm. Protein digestion was set as nonspecific,

searching between lengths of 4 and 50 aa, with a mass range of 400 to 5000 Da.

***Mass Analysis of Peptide Centroids and Measurement of Deuterium Incorporation***

HD-Examiner Software (Sierra Analytics) was used to automatically calculate the level of

deuterium incorporation into each peptide. All peptides were manually inspected for

correct charge state, correct retention time, appropriate selection of isotopic distribution,

etc. Deuteration levels were calculated using the centroid of the experimental isotope

clusters. Results are presented as relative levels of deuterium incorporation, and the only

control for back exchange was the level of deuterium present in the buffer (78.2%, 68.0%,

or 68.5%). Differences in exchange in a peptide were considered significant if they met

all three of the following criteria: ≥5% change in exchange, ≥0.45 Da difference in

exchange, and a p value <0.01 using a two tailed student t-test. The entire HDX-MS

dataset with all the values and statistics are provided in the source data. Samples were

only compared within a single experiment and were never compared to experiments

completed at a different time with a different final $D_2O$ level. The data analysis statistics

for all HDX-MS experiments are provided in the source data according to the guidelines

of (*30*). HDX-MS proteomics data generated in this study have been deposited to the

ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier

PXD043442 (*37*).

***Bio-layer interferometry (BLI)***

The BLI measurements were conducted using a Fortebio (Sartorius) K2 Octet using fiber

optic biosensors. Anti–penta-His biosensors were loaded using purified EFR3A, which

had a 10x His tag on the N-terminus. The biosensor tips were preincubated in the BLI

buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 0.01%, bovine serum albumin, and

0.002% Tween-20) for 10 min before experiments began. The sequence of steps in each

assay was regeneration, custom, loading, baseline, association, and dissociation. Every
experiment was done at 25 °C with shaking at 1000 rpm. Technical replicates were
performed by using the same fiber tip and repeating the steps outlined previously.
Regeneration was performed by exposing the tips to regeneration buffer (Glycine pH 1.5)
for 5s and BLI buffer for 5s and repeating the exposure for 6 cycles. BLI buffer was used
for the custom, baseline, and dissociation steps; these steps were performed in the same
well for a given sample. For the dose response in **Figure 3A**, EFR3A was diluted in BLI
buffer to 200 nM and was loaded onto the anti penta-His biosensor tips. TTC7B-
FAM126A was also diluted in BLI buffer from 2500 nM to 10 nM and added to the
appropriate association wells. For the mutant binding experiments, TTC7B-FAM126A
was diluted in BLI buffer to 500nM. All BLI experiments were performed using two or three
technical replicates. Means ± SD were used to present values. Statistical analysis
between conditions was performed using an unpaired t-test assuming unequal variances
analyzing mutant responses to wildtype responses measured simultaneously during each
assay. The concentration of a given mutant was compared with the same concentration
for wild-type binding. The following legends are used for statistical significance: ***
$p<0.0001$, **$p<0.001$, *$p< 0.01$ and ns $p>0.01$.

***Multiple Sequence Alignments***

Sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega Multiple Sequence Alignment, and the
aligned sequences were subsequently analyzed by ESPript 3.0 (https://espript.ibcp.fr) to
visualize conserved regions (*47*). The UniProt accession codes for the aligned sequences
used for TTC7 in **Figure 3C** are Q86TV6 *(Homo sapiens)*, Q9ULT0 *(Homo sapiens)*,
E9Q6P5 *(Mus musculus)*, A0A8J1LRC8 *(Xenopus laevis)*, A0A8M2B4X5 *(Danio rerio),*
A0A0B4K7H0 *(Drosophila melanogaster)*. The UniProt accession codes for the aligned
sequences used for FAM126 in **Figure 4B** are Q9BYI3 *(Homo Sapiens)*, Q8IXS8 *(Homo*
*Sapiens)*, Q6P9N1 *(Mus musculus)*, Q6P121 *(Danio rerio)*, Q7K1C5 *(Drosophila*
*melanogaster)*, Q6A586 *(Caenorhabditis elegans)*. The UniProt accession codes for the
aligned sequences used for EFR3 in **Figures 3B, 4A and Supplemental Figure 1** are
Q14156 *(Homo Sapiens)*, Q9Y2G0 *(Homo sapiens)*, Q8BG67 *(Mus musculus)*, Q641A2

*(Xenopus laevis)*, Q5SPP5 *(Danio rerio)*, Q8IGJ0 *(Drosophila melanogaster)*, Q09263

*(Caenorhabditis    elegans)*,    O59817    *(Schizosaccharomyces    pombe)*,    Q03653

*(Saccharomyces cerevisiae)*.

### Mammalian Cell Culture

HEK293A (Invitrogen) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM-

high glucose; Gibco) containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS and supplemented with a 1% solution

of penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% $CO_2$ in a

humidified atmosphere and regularly tested for *Mycoplasma* contamination using a

commercially available detection kit (InvivoGen). After thawing, cell cultures are also

treated with plasmocin (InvivoGen) at 500 $\mu$g/mL for the initial three passages (6-9 days)

as well as supplemented with 5 $\mu$g/mL of the prophylactic for all subsequent passages.

### Live-Cell Measurements using the PM-PI4KA$^{BRET}$ Biosensor

HEK293A cells ($0.75 \times 10^5$ cells/well) were seeded in a 200 µL total volume to white-bottom

96 well plates pre-coated with 0.01% poly-L-lysine solution (Sigma) and cultured

overnight. Cells were then transfected with 0.5 µg of the PM-PI4KA$^{BRET}$ biosensor (L10-

mVenus-tPT2A-nLuc-PI4KA) using Lipofectamine 2000 (1 µL/well). Lipofection was done

within Opti-MEM (40 µL/well) according to the manufacturer's protocol, but with the slight

modification of removing the media containing the Lipofectamine-complexed DNA and

replacing it with complete culture medium at between 4-6 hrs post-transfection. Where

indicated, increasing amounts (100, 500, or 1000 ng/well) of specified plasmids consisting

of either an empty vector (pcDNA3.1), wild-type positive control (EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B-

T2A-FAM126A), or the indicated mutants of the PI4KA complex components (EFR3B$^{\triangle}$

$^{cterm}$-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A, EFR3B$^{L272A,L751A}$-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A, EFR3B-

P2A-TTC7B$^{A702R}$-T2A-FAM126A, or EFR3B-P2A-TTC7B-T2A-FAM126A$^{A103R}$) were co-

transfected (0.5-1.5 µg/well total). BRET measurements were made at 37°C using a

Tristar2 LB 942 Multimode Microplate Reader (Berthold Technologies) with customized

emission filters (540/40 nm and 475/20 nm). Between 20-24 hrs post-transfection, the

cells were quickly washed before being incubated for 30 mins in 50 $\mu$L of modified Krebs-

Ringer buffer (containing 120 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.7 mM $MgSO_4$,

10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, and adjusted to pH 7.4) at 37°C in a $CO_2$-independent

incubator. After the pre-incubation period, the cell-permeable luciferase substrate,

coelenterazine h (40 $\mu$L, final concentration 5 $\mu$M), was added and the signal from the

mVenus fluorescence and nLuc luminescence were recorded using 485 and 530 nm

emission filters over a 45 min baseline BRET measurement (90 sec / cycle). Detection

time was always 500 ms for each wavelength. To ensure a stable baseline is achieved

following the substrate addition, BRET measurements are presented as the average

basal BRET signal over the final 30 minutes measurement interval (15-45 minutes). All

measurements were carried out in triplicate wells and repeated in three independent

experiments. From each well, the BRET ratio was calculated by dividing the 530 nm and

485 nm intensities, which were then normalized to an internal measurement of wells

transfected with the PM-PI4KA[BRET] biosensor alone.

***Live-cell Confocal Microscopy of EGFP-PI4KA Localization***

HEK293A cells ($2.5 \times 10^5$ cells/dish) were plated with a final volume of 1.5 mL on 29 mm

circular glass-bottom culture dishes (#1.5; Cellvis) pre-coated with 0.01% poly-L-lysine

solution (Sigma). Cells were allowed to attach overnight prior to transfection with plasmid

DNAs (0.5-0.75 µg/well) using Lipofectamine 2000 (2-5 µL/well; Invitrogen). Lipofection

was done using small volumes of Opti-MEM (200 µL; Invitrogen) according to the

manufacturer's instructions, once again with the slight modification of removing the media

containing the Lipofectamine-complexed DNA at 4-6 hrs post-transfection and replacing

it with complete DMEM. After 18-20 hrs of transfection, cells were incubated in 1 mL of

modified Krebs-Ringer solution (containing 120 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2$,

0.7 mM $MgSO_4$, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, and adjusted to pH 7.4) and images

were acquired at room temperature using a Zeiss LSM 880 (63x/1.40 N.A. Plan-

Apochromat Oil DIC M27 Objective) laser-scanning confocal microscopes (Carl Zeiss

Microscopy). Image acquisition was performed using the ZEN software system (Carl

Zeiss Microscopy), while image preparation and analysis was done using the open-

source FIJI platform[166].

**References**

1.  T. Balla, Phosphoinositides: tiny lipids with giant impact on cell regulation. *Physiol.*
*Rev.* **93**, 1019–1137 (2013).

2.  J. E. Burke, J. Triscott, B. M. Emerling, G. R. V. Hammond, Beyond PI3Ks:
targeting phosphoinositide kinases in disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 1–30 (2022).

3.  G. R. V. Hammond, J. E. Burke, Novel roles of phosphoinositides in signaling, lipid
transport, and disease. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **63**, 57–67 (2020).

4.  A. G. Batrouni, J. M. Baskin, The chemistry and biology of phosphatidylinositol 4-
phosphate at the plasma membrane. *Bioorg Med Chem* **40**, 116190 (2021).

5.  F. Nakatsu, J. M. Baskin, J. Chung, L. B. Tanner, G. Shui, S. Y. Lee, M. Pirruccello,
M. Hao, N. T. Ingolia, M. R. Wenk, P. De Camilli, PtdIns4P synthesis by PI4KIIIα at
the plasma membrane and its impact on plasma membrane identity. *J. Cell Biol.*
**199**, 1003–1016 (2012).

6.  J. Chung, F. Torta, K. Masai, L. Lucast, H. Czapla, L. B. Tanner, P.
Narayanaswamy, M. R. Wenk, F. Nakatsu, P. De Camilli, INTRACELLULAR
TRANSPORT. PI4P/phosphatidylserine countertransport at ORP5- and ORP8-
mediated ER-plasma membrane contacts. *Science* **349**, 428–432 (2015).

7.  R. G. de Rubio, R. F. Ransom, S. Malik, D. I. Yule, A. Anantharam, A. V. Smrcka,
Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate is a major source of GPCR-stimulated
phosphoinositide production. *Sci Signal* **11**, eaan1210 (2018).

8.  I. Barlow-Busch, A. L. Shaw, J. E. Burke, PI4KA and PIKfyve: Essential
phosphoinositide signaling enzymes involved in myriad human diseases. *Curr Opin*
*Cell Biol* **83**, 102207 (2023).

9.  C. G. Salter, Y. Cai, B. Lo, G. Helman, H. Taylor, A. McCartney, J. S. Leslie, A.
Accogli, F. Zara, M. Traverso, J. Fasham, J. A. Lees, M. P. Ferla, B. A. Chioza, O.
Wenger, E. Scott, H. E. Cross, J. Crawford, I. Warshawsky, M. Keisling, D.
Agamanolis, C. Ward Melver, H. Cox, M. Elawad, T. Marton, M. N. Wakeling, D.
Holzinger, S. Tippelt, M. Munteanu, D. Valcheva, C. Deal, S. Van Meerbeke, C.
Walsh Vockley, M. J. Butte, U. Acar, M. S. van der Knaap, G. C. Korenke, U.
Kotzaeridou, T. Balla, C. Simons, H. H. Uhlig, A. H. Crosby, P. De Camilli, N. I.
Wolf, E. L. Baple, Biallelic PI4KA variants cause neurological, intestinal and
immunological disease. *Brain* **144**, 3597–3610 (2021).

10. E. Verdura, A. Rodríguez-Palmero, V. Vélez-Santamaria, L. Planas-Serra, I. de la
Calle, M. Raspall-Chaure, A. Roubertie, M. Benkirane, F. Saettini, L. Pavinato, G.
Mandrile, M. O'Leary, E. O'Heir, E. Barredo, A. Chacón, V. Michaud, C. Goizet, M.
Ruiz, A. Schlüter, I. Rouvet, J. Sala-Coromina, C. Fossati, M. Iascone, F.
Canonico, A. Marcé-Grau, P. de Souza, D. R. Adams, C. Casasnovas, H. L. Rehm,
H. C. Mefford, L. González Gutierrez-Solana, A. Brusco, M. Koenig, A. Macaya, A.
Pujol, Biallelic PI4KA variants cause a novel neurodevelopmental syndrome with
hypomyelinating leukodystrophy. *Brain* **144**, 2659–2669 (2021).

11. R. Chen, S. Giliani, G. Lanzi, G. I. Mias, S. Lonardi, K. Dobbs, J. Manis, H. Im, J. E.
Gallagher, D. H. Phanstiel, G. Euskirchen, P. Lacroute, K. Bettinger, D. Moratto, K.
Weinacht, D. Montin, E. Gallo, G. Mangili, F. Porta, L. D. Notarangelo, S. Pedretti,
W. Al-Herz, W. Alfahdli, A. M. Comeau, R. S. Traister, S.-Y. Pai, G. Carella, F.
Facchetti, K. C. Nadeau, M. Snyder, L. D. Notarangelo, Whole-exome sequencing
identifies tetratricopeptide repeat domain 7A (TTC7A) mutations for combined
immunodeficiency with intestinal atresias. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **132**, 656-
664.e17 (2013).
12. A. E. Bigorgne, H. F. Farin, R. Lemoine, N. Mahlaoui, N. Lambert, M. Gil, A.
Schulz, P. Philippet, P. Schlesser, T. G. Abrahamsen, K. Oymar, E. G. Davies, C.
L. Ellingsen, E. Leteurtre, B. Moreau-Massart, D. Berrebi, C. Bole-Feysot, P.
Nischke, N. Brousse, A. Fischer, H. Clevers, G. de Saint Basile, TTC7A mutations
disrupt intestinal epithelial apicobasal polarity. *J Clin Invest* **124**, 328–337 (2014).
13. H. Adhikari, W. E. Kattan, S. Kumar, P. Zhou, J. F. Hancock, C. M. Counter,
Oncogenic KRAS is dependent upon an EFR3A-PI4KA signaling axis for potent
tumorigenic activity. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5248 (2021).
14. W. E. Kattan, J. Liu, D. Montufar-Solis, H. Liang, B. Brahmendra Barathi, R. van
der Hoeven, Y. Zhou, J. F. Hancock, Components of the phosphatidylserine
endoplasmic reticulum to plasma membrane transport mechanism as targets for
KRAS inhibition in pancreatic cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **118**, e2114126118
(2021).
15. K. L. Berger, J. D. Cooper, N. S. Heaton, R. Yoon, T. E. Oakland, T. X. Jordan, G.
Mateu, A. Grakoui, G. Randall, Roles for endocytic trafficking and
phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase III alpha in hepatitis C virus replication. *Proc. Natl.*
*Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 7577–7582 (2009).
16. J. E. Burke, Structural Basis for Regulation of Phosphoinositide Kinases and Their
Involvement in Human Disease. *Mol. Cell* **71**, 653–673 (2018).
17. J. A. Lees, Y. Zhang, M. S. Oh, C. M. Schauder, X. Yu, J. M. Baskin, K. Dobbs, L.
D. Notarangelo, P. De Camilli, T. Walz, K. M. Reinisch, Architecture of the human
PI4KIIIα lipid kinase complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 13720–13725
(2017).
18. J. M. Baskin, X. Wu, R. Christiano, M. S. Oh, C. M. Schauder, E. Gazzerro, M.
Messa, S. Baldassari, S. Assereto, R. Biancheri, F. Zara, C. Minetti, A. Raimondi,
M. Simons, T. C. Walther, K. M. Reinisch, P. De Camilli, The leukodystrophy
protein FAM126A (hyccin) regulates PtdIns(4)P synthesis at the
plasma membrane. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **18**, 132–138 (2016).
19. S. Suresh, J. E. Burke, Structural basis for the conserved roles of PI4KA and its
regulatory partners and their misregulation in disease. *Adv Biol Regul* **90**, 100996
(2023).
20. G. L. Dornan, U. Dalwadi, D. J. Hamelin, R. M. Hoffmann, C. K. Yip, J. E. Burke,
Probing the Architecture, Dynamics, and Inhibition of the PI4KIIIα/TTC7/FAM126
Complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* **430**, 3129–3142 (2018).

964 21. N. Bojjireddy, M. L. Guzman-Hernandez, N. R. Reinhard, M. Jovic, T. Balla, EFR3s
965   are palmitoylated plasma membrane proteins that control responsiveness to G
966   protein-coupled receptors. *J. Cell. Sci.*, doi: 10.1242/jcs.157495 (2014).

967 22. A. G. Batrouni, N. Bag, H. T. Phan, B. A. Baird, J. M. Baskin, A palmitoylation code
968   controls PI4KIIIα complex formation and PI(4,5)P2 homeostasis at the plasma
969   membrane. *J Cell Sci* **135**, jcs259365 (2022).

970 23. D. Baird, C. Stefan, A. Audhya, S. Weys, S. D. Emr, Assembly of the PtdIns 4-
971   kinase Stt4 complex at the plasma membrane requires Ypp1 and Efr3. *J. Cell Biol.*
972   **183**, 1061–1074 (2008).

973 24. X. Wu, R. J. Chi, J. M. Baskin, L. Lucast, C. G. Burd, P. De Camilli, K. M. Reinisch,
974   Structural insights into assembly and regulation of the plasma membrane
975   phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase complex. *Developmental Cell* **28**, 19–29 (2014).

976 25. J. Myeong, L. de la Cruz, S.-R. Jung, J.-H. Yeon, B.-C. Suh, D.-S. Koh, B. Hille,
977   Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate is regenerated by speeding of the PI 4-
978   kinase pathway during long PLC activation. *J Gen Physiol* **152**, e202012627
979   (2020).

980 26. J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K.
981   Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Žídek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A.
982   A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler,
983   T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M.
984   Pacholska, T. Berghammer, S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K.
985   Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli, D. Hassabis, Highly accurate protein structure prediction
986   with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).

987 27. M. Mirdita, K. Schütze, Y. Moriwaki, L. Heo, S. Ovchinnikov, M. Steinegger,
988   ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**, 679–682
989   (2022).

990 28. E. I. James, T. A. Murphree, C. Vorauer, J. R. Engen, M. Guttman, Advances in
991   Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry and the Pursuit of Challenging
992   Biological Systems. *Chem Rev* **122**, 7562–7623 (2022).

993 29. G. R. Masson, M. L. Jenkins, J. E. Burke, An overview of hydrogen deuterium
994   exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) in drug discovery. *Expert Opin Drug
995   Discov* **12**, 981–994 (2017).

996 30. G. R. Masson, J. E. Burke, N. G. Ahn, G. S. Anand, C. Borchers, S. Brier, G. M.
997   Bou-Assaf, J. R. Engen, S. W. Englander, J. Faber, R. Garlish, P. R. Griffin, M. L.
998   Gross, M. Guttman, Y. Hamuro, A. J. R. Heck, D. Houde, R. E. Iacob, T. J. D.
999   Jørgensen, I. A. Kaltashov, J. P. Klinman, L. Konermann, P. Man, L. Mayne, B. D.
1000   Pascal, D. Reichmann, M. Skehel, J. Snijder, T. S. Strutzenberg, E. S.
1001   Underbakke, C. Wagner, T. E. Wales, B. T. Walters, D. D. Weis, D. J. Wilson, P. L.
1002   Wintrode, Z. Zhang, J. Zheng, D. C. Schriemer, K. D. Rand, Recommendations for
1003   performing, interpreting and reporting hydrogen deuterium exchange mass
1004   spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 595–602 (2019).

1005 31. I. Ulengin-Talkish, M. A. H. Parson, M. L. Jenkins, J. Roy, A. Z. L. Shih, N. St-
1006   Denis, G. Gulyas, T. Balla, A.-C. Gingras, P. Várnai, E. Conibear, J. E. Burke, M.
1007   S. Cyert, Palmitoylation targets the calcineurin phosphatase to the

phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase complex at the plasma membrane. *Nat Commun* **12**,
6064 (2021).

32.   N. S. Agarwal, L. Northrop, K. Anyane-Yeboa, V. S. Aggarwal, P. L. Nagy, Y. Y.
Demirdag, Tetratricopeptide repeat domain 7A (TTC7A) mutation in a newborn with
multiple intestinal atresia and combined immunodeficiency. *J. Clin. Immunol.* **34**,
607–610 (2014).

33.   S. Jardine, N. Dhingani, A. M. Muise, TTC7A: Steward of Intestinal Health. *Cell Mol*
*Gastroenterol Hepatol* **7**, 555–570 (2019).

34.   M. Varadi, S. Anyango, M. Deshpande, S. Nair, C. Natassia, G. Yordanova, D.
Yuan, O. Stroe, G. Wood, A. Laydon, A. Žídek, T. Green, K. Tunyasuvunakool, S.
Petersen, J. Jumper, E. Clancy, R. Green, A. Vora, M. Lutfi, M. Figurnov, A. Cowie,
N. Hobbs, P. Kohli, G. Kleywegt, E. Birney, D. Hassabis, S. Velankar, AlphaFold
Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of
protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**,
D439–D444 (2022).

35.   P. V. Hornbeck, B. Zhang, B. Murray, J. M. Kornhauser, V. Latham, E. Skrzypek,
PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
**43**, D512-20 (2015).

36.   J. Chung, F. Nakatsu, J. M. Baskin, P. De Camilli, Plasticity of PI4KIIIα interactions
at the plasma membrane. *EMBO Rep.* **16**, 312–320 (2015).

37.   Y. Perez-Riverol, J. Bai, C. Bandla, D. García-Seisdedos, S. Hewapathirana, S.
Kamatchinathan, D. J. Kundu, A. Prakash, A. Frericks-Zipper, M. Eisenacher, M.
Walzer, S. Wang, A. Brazma, J. A. Vizcaíno, The PRIDE database resources in
2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids*
*Res* **50**, D543–D552 (2022).

38.   D. G. Gibson, L. Young, R.-Y. Chuang, J. C. Venter, C. A. Hutchison, H. O. Smith,
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat.*
*Methods* **6**, 343–345 (2009).

39.   J. T. Tóth, G. Gulyás, D. J. Tóth, A. Balla, G. R. V. Hammond, L. Hunyady, T.
Balla, P. Várnai, BRET-monitoring of the dynamic changes of inositol lipid pools in
living cells reveals a PKC-dependent PtdIns4P increase upon EGF and M3
receptor activation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1861**, 177–187 (2016).

40.   Z. Liu, O. Chen, J. B. J. Wall, M. Zheng, Y. Zhou, L. Wang, H. R. Vaseghi, L. Qian,
J. Liu, Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning multi-genes in a
polycistronic vector. *Sci Rep* **7**, 2193 (2017).

41.   G. R. V. Hammond, M. P. Machner, T. Balla, A novel probe for phosphatidylinositol
4-phosphate reveals multiple pools beyond the Golgi. *J Cell Biol* **205**, 113–126
(2014).

42.   E. F. Pettersen, T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C.
Meng, T. E. Ferrin, UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research
and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).

43.   P. V. Afonine, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, N. W. Moriarty, M.
Mustyakimov, T. C. Terwilliger, A. Urzhumtsev, P. H. Zwart, P. D. Adams, Towards

automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta*
*Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **68**, 352–367 (2012).
44. J. T. B. Stariha, R. M. Hoffmann, D. J. Hamelin, J. E. Burke, Probing Protein-
Membrane Interactions and Dynamics Using Hydrogen-Deuterium Exchange Mass
Spectrometry (HDX-MS). *Methods Mol Biol* **2263**, 465–485 (2021).
45. J. M. Dobbs, M. L. Jenkins, J. E. Burke, Escherichia coli and Sf9 Contaminant
Databases to Increase Efficiency of Tandem Mass Spectrometry Peptide
Identification in Structural Mass Spectrometry Experiments. *J Am Soc Mass*
*Spectrom* **31**, 2202–2209 (2020).
46. A. T. Kong, F. V. Leprevost, D. M. Avtonomov, D. Mellacheruvu, A. I. Nesvizhskii,
MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identification in mass
spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nat Methods* **14**, 513–520 (2017).
47. P. Gouet, X. Robert, E. Courcelle, ESPript/ENDscript: Extracting and rendering
sequence and 3D information from atomic structures of proteins. *Nucleic Acids*
*Res.* **31**, 3320–3323 (2003).

# Supplementary Figures and Tables

A

## Supplemental Figure 1: EFR3 C-terminal tail sequence alignment

**A.** Multiple sequence alignment (generated with ESPript 3.0) of EFR3 from *Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Xenopus laevis, Danio rerio, Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe,* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Human EFR3A residues that are reported phosphorylation sites (Phosphosite) are annotated above in red. Yeast efr3 residues that are reported phosphorylation sites (PhosphoGRID) are annotated below in black.

**Supplemental Figure 2 (related to Fig.1): Cryo-EM data processing**

**A.** Cryo-EM data processing workflow showing a representative micrograph from screening on the 200 kV Glacios, representative 2D class averages, and the image processing strategy used to generate a 3D reconstruction of the PI4KA/TTC7B/FAM126A/EFR3A complex.

**B.** Gold standard Fourier shell correlation coefficient (FSC) curve after auto tightening by cryoSPARC for the final map.

**C.** Final map coloured according to local resolution estimated using cryoSPARC v4.2.1, with a zoom-in of the EFR3A density.

**Supplemental Figure 3: Model building with AlphaFold Multimer and map to model density fit**
**A.** Predicted aligned error (PAE) of the AlphaFold Multimer prediction of the TTC7B-FAM126A and EFR3A
C-terminus.

**B.** Sequence coverage from Multiple Sequence Alignment performed by AlphaFold Multimer for each
inputted protein primary sequence.
**C.** AlphaFold Multimer model of the TTC7B-FAM126A in complex with EFR3A C-terminus with the per-
residue confidence metric predicted local-distance difference test (pLDDT) <60 removed, coloured
according to pLDDT score.
**D.** AlphaFold Multimer model of the TTC7B-FAM126A in complex with EFR3A C-terminal with the per-
residue confidence metric predicted local-distance difference test (pLDDT) <60 removed.
**E.** Electron density of EFR3A C-terminus.
**F.** Electron density of selected regions. (L) EFR3A $\alpha$1- TTC7B interface, with density for EFR3A (724-738)
shown on top, and the density for the TTC7B interface helices (519-618, 690-723) shown on bottom (M)
EFR3A $\alpha$2- FAM126A interface, with density for EFR3A (739-759) shown on top, and the density for the
FAM126A interface helices (53-66,101-114) shown on bottom, and (R) EFR3A $\alpha$3- TTC7B interface, with
density for EFR3A (760-787) shown on top, and the density for the TTC7B interface helices (531-578)
shown on bottom.
1130

1131
**Supplemental Figure 4: Raw HDX-MS deuterium incorporation curves**
**A.** Relative deuterium incorporation traces for peptides showing significant differences in deuterium
exchange (defined as >5% >0.45 Da, and p>0.01 in an unpaired two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon
PI4KA complex binding to EFR3A.

**B.** Significant differences in deuterium exchange (defined as >5% >0.45 Da, and p>0.01 in an unpaired
two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon TTC7B-FAM126A dimer binding to EFR3A with all regions
annotated.
**C.** Relative deuterium incorporation traces for peptides showing significant differences in deuterium
exchange (defined as >5% >0.45 Da, and p>0.01 in an unpaired two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon
TTC7B-FAM126A dimer binding to EFR3A.
**D.** Significant differences in deuterium exchange (defined as >5% >0.45 Da, and p>0.01 in an unpaired
two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon MBP-EFR3A binding to the TTC7B-FAM126A dimer with all regions
annotated.
**E.** Relative deuterium incorporation traces for peptides showing significant differences in deuterium
exchange (defined as >5% >0.45 Da, and p>0.01 in an unpaired two-tailed t-test at any time point) upon
MBP-EFR3A binding to the TTC7B-FAM126A dimer.

1154
**Supplemental Figure 5: BLI raw data**
**A.** Raw BLI association and dissociation curves of all EFR3A mutants (shown in table S2) compared to WT.
His-EFR3A was loaded on the anti-penta-His tip at 200 nM and dipped in TTC7B-FAM126A at 500 nM.
**B.** Raw BLI association and dissociation curves of all TTC7B mutants (shown in table S2) compared to WT.
His-EFR3A was loaded on the anti-penta-His tip at 200 nM and dipped in TTC7B-FAM126A at 500 nM.
**C.** Raw BLI association and dissociation curves of all TTC7B mutants (shown in table S2) compared to WT.
His-EFR3A was loaded on the anti-penta-His tip at 200 nM and dipped in TTC7B-FAM126A at 500 nM.

**Supplemental Figure 6: Differences between TTC7A/TTC7B and post-translational modification sites present at the EFR3-TTC7-FAM126 interface**

**A.** The structure of the $\alpha$1 helix of EFR3A bound to TTC7B is shown on the top left. The AlphaFold models of TTC7B (middle) and TTC7A (right) are shown with all very low confidence regions (pLDDT values less than 50 being removed). AlphaFold predicts a capping helix in TTC7A at this binding interface, which has a reported phosphorylation site (Phosphosite) at S690 (colored yellow in the right panel). Additional reported TTC7A phosphorylation sites (Phosphosite) near this site are in predicted unstructured regions include S647, S678, S679, and T693.

**B.** (L) EFR3A and (R) EFR3B phosphorylation sites (Phosphosite) mapped on the cryo-EM model of PI4KA complex-EFR3A C-terminus.

1193 **Table S1 Cryo-EM data collection, refinement, and validation statistics**

| | PI4KA/TTC7B/FAM126A (1-308)-EFR3A C-terminus<br>EMD- 44413<br>PDB:9BAX |
|---|---|
| **Data collection and processing** | |
| Magnification | 165,000 |
| Voltage (kV) | 300 |
| Electron exposure (e/ $Å^2$) | 50 |
| Defocus range ($\mu$M) | 0.5-2.0 |
| Pixel size (Å) | 0.77 |
| Symmetry imposed | C1 |
| Initial particle images (no.) | 725,629 |
| Final particle images (no.) | 67,563 |
| Map resolution (Å) | 3.63 |
| FSC threshold | 0.143 |
| Map resolution range (Å) | 3.3-10 |
| | |
| **Refinement** | |
| Initial model used (PDB) | 6BQ1 |
| Model Resolution (Å) | 3.63 |
| FSC threshold | 0.143 |
| Map sharpening B factor | |
| Model composition | |
| Non-hydrogen atoms | 43806 |
| Protein residues | 5482 |
| Ligands | 0 |
| *B*-factors | |
| Protein | 237.1 |
| Validation | |
| Mol probability score | 2.39 |
| Clashscore | 12.88 |
| Poor rotamers (%) | 2.8 |
| Ramachandran | |
| Favoured | 93.3 |
| Allowed | 6.7 |
| Outliers | 0.02 |
| R.M.S. deviations | |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.005 |
| Bond angles (°) | 0.655 |

1194

1195 **Table S2 Key Reagent/Resources**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---|---|---|
| **Bacterial and virus strains** | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *E.coli* XL10-GOLD KanR Ultracompetent Cells | Agilent | 200317 |
| *E.coli* DH10EMBacY Competent Cells | Geneva Biotech | DH10EMBacY |
| C41(DE3) chemically competent cells | Lab stock | |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** | | |
| Deuterium Oxide 99.9% | Sigma Aldrich | 151882-10X1ML |
| BS$^3$ | Thermo Fischer Scientific | 21580 |
| ATP | Sigma | A7699-1g |
| MgCl$_2$ | Caledon Laboratory Chemicals | 4720-1 |
| **Deposited Data** | | |
| Mass spectrometry proteomics data | https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/ | PXD043442 |
| PDB | https://www.rcsb.org/ | 9BAX |
| EMDB | https://www.emdataresource.org/ | 44413 |
| **Recombinant DNA** | | |
| PI4KA Complex | Dornan et al., 2018 | GD177 |
| EFR3A (721-791) | This paper | SS77 |
| MBP-EFR3A (721-791) | This paper | MJ319 |
| EFR3A (F728A) | This paper | SS88 |
| EFR3A (L731A) | This paper | SS99 |
| EFR3A (L731R) | This paper | SS140 |
| EFR3A (L734R) | This paper | SS141 |
| EFR3A (K732E) | This paper | SS89 |
| EFR3A (F755A) | This paper | SS90 |
| EFR3A (F760A) | This paper | SS91 |
| EFR3A (I763A) | This paper | SS102 |
| EFR3A (K770E) | This paper | SS92 |
| EFR3A (R777E) | This paper | SS93 |
| EFR3A (I781A) | This paper | SS94 |
| TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) WT | Dornan et al., 2018 | GD176 |
| TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) WT – *E.coli* | This paper | AS29 |
| TTC7B (A702R)-FAM126A (1-308) | This paper | MS8 |
| TTC7B (R539E)-FAM126A (1-308) | This paper | SS115 |
| TTC7B (L610A)-FAM126A (1-308) | This paper | SS113 |
| TTC7B (W699A)-FAM126A (1-308) | This paper | SS116 |
| TTC7B-FAM126A (H58E) (2-308) | This paper | SS104 |
| TTC7B-FAM126A (F61A) (1-308) | This paper | SS103 |
| TTC7B-FAM126A (A103R) (1-308) | This paper | MS4 |
| TTC7B-FAM126A (L106F) (1-308) | This paper | SS105 |
| EFR3Bha_gsgT2A_TTC7Bmyc_FlagFam126A | This paper | C3 |
| EFR3Bha_t2a_TTC7B myc_p2a_Flag FAM126A (A103R) | This paper | NH74 |
| EFR3Bha_t2a_TTC7B (A702R) myc_p2a_Flag FAM126A | This paper | NH75 |
| EFR3Bha (del 721-791)_gsgT2A_TTC7Bmyc_FlagFam126A | This paper | NH93 |
| EFR3Bha(L727A, F751A)_gsgT2A_TTC7Bmyc_FlagFam126A | This paper | NH94 |

| EGFP-PI4KA | Hammond et al., 2014 | |
|---|---|---|
| L10-mVenus-tPT2A-nLuc-PI4KA | This paper | PM-PI4KA[BRET] |
| **Software and algorithms** | | |
| cryoSPARC v4.2.1 | Structura Bio | https://cryosparc.com |
| Phenix-1.19.1 | Open source | https://phenix-online.org/ |
| COOT-0.9.4.1 | CCP4 | https://www2.mrc-lmb.ca |
| HDExaminer | Sierra Analytics | http://massspec.com/hdexaminer |
| Bruker Compass DataAnalysis 4.2 | Bruker | http://www.bruker.com |
| GraphPad Prism 7 | GraphPad | https://www.graphpad.com |
| PEAKS 7 | Bioinformatics Solutions Inc. | https://www.bioinfor.com/versions/ |
| FragPipe (v19.1) | Nesvizhskii Lab – University of Michigan | fragpipe.nesvilab.org |
| Adobe Illustrator 2021 | Adobe | https://www.adobe.com/products/illustrator.html |
| ESPript 3.0 | SBGrid consortium | https:espript.ibcp.fr |
| PyMOL | Schroedinger | http://pymol.org |
| ChimeraX | UCSF | https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimerax/ |
| **Other** | | |
| C-flat Holey Thick Carbon Grid 2.0 $\mu$m hole 1.0 $\mu$m space 300 mesh | Electron Microscopy Studies | CFT312-100 |
| SF9 insect cells for expression | Expression Systems | 94-001S |
| Octet HIS1K Biosensors | Sartorius | 18-5120 |
| Enzymate Protein Pepsin Column, 300Å, 5$\mu$m, 2.1 mm X 30 mm | Waters | 186007233 |
| ProDx Pepsin Column F 10-32 | Trajan Scientific Americas | 359997870 |
| ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7 $\mu$m, 2.1 mm x 5 mm | Waters | 186004629 |
| ACQUITY UPLC Peptide BEH C18 Column, 300Å, 1.7 $\mu$m, 100 mm X 2.1 mm | Waters | 186003686 |

1196