1 **Waves of light at the bottom of the ocean: insights into the luminous systems**
2 **of three sea pens (Pennatuloidea, Octocorallia, Anthozoa)**
3

4 Laurent Duchatelet[1,*,$], Gabriela A. Galeazzo[2,$], Constance Coubris[1], Laure Bridoux[3], René
5 Rezsohazy[3], Marcelo R.S. Melo[4], Martin Marek[5,6], Danilo T. Amaral[7], Sam Dupont[8,9],
6 Anderson G. Oliveira[10], Jérôme Delroisse[11,12]
7
[1] Marine Biology Laboratory, Earth and Life Institute, University of Louvain – UCLouvain, Belgium
[2] Departamento de Oceanografia Física, Química e Geológica, Instituto Oceanográfico, Universidade de São
Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
[3] Animal Molecular and Cellular Biology, Louvain Institute of Biomolecular Science and Technology, University
of Louvain – UCLouvain, Belgium
[4] Departamento de Oceanografia Biológica, Instituto Oceanográfico, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo,
Brazil
[5] Loschmidt Laboratories, Department of Experimental Biology and RECETOX, Masaryk University, 625 00 Brno,
Czech Republic
[6] International Clinical Research Center, St. Anne's University Hospital Brno, Pekarska 53, 656 91 Brno, Czech
Republic
[7] Centre for Natural and Human Sciences, Federal University of ABC, Santo André, Brazil
[8] Department of Biological & Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Fiskebäckskil, Sweden
[9] International Atomic Energy Agency, Environment Laboratories, Principality of Monaco, Monaco
[10] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Yeshiva University, 245 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10016, USA
[11] Biology of Marine Organisms and Biomimetics, Research Institute for Biosciences, University of Mons,
Belgium
[12] Cellular and Molecular Immunology, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, Belgium
26
* Corresponding authors: Duchatelet Laurent, Laurent.duchatelet@uclouvain.be
$ Both authors contributed equally

Abstract

Bioluminescence is the production of visible light by living organisms. It occurs through the
oxidation of specific luciferin substrates catalyzed by luciferase enzymes. Auxiliary proteins
such as fluorescent proteins and coelenterazine-binding proteins can modify the wavelength
of the emitted light or stabilize reactive luciferin molecules, respectively. Additionally,
calcium ions are crucial in the luminescence processes across various species. Despite many
bioluminescent organisms, only a few systems have been fully studied, notably the *Renilla*
genus among anthozoans, which uses a coelenterazine-dependent luciferase, calcium-
dependent coelenterazine-binding protein, and green fluorescent protein.
We investigated the bioluminescence of three sea pen species: *Pennatula
phosphorea*, *Funiculina quadrangularis*, and *Anthoptilum murrayi* (Pennatuloidea,
Anthozoa). Their light emission spectra reveal peaks at 510 nm, 513 nm, and 485 nm,
respectively. We confirmed the coelenterazine-based reaction in all three species. Using
transcriptome analyses, we identified luciferases, fluorescent proteins, and coelenterazine-
binding transcripts for *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi*. Immunodetection confirmed luciferase
expression in *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis.* We also expressed recombinant *A.*

murrayi luciferase, confirming its activity. We underscore the role of calcium ions in
bioluminescence, which is possibly associated with the mechanism of coelenterazine binding
and substrate release. The study proposes a model for anthozoan bioluminescence, offering
new avenues for futur ecological and functional research on these luminous organisms.
50
51 **Keywords:** Bioluminescence, Luciferase, Coelenterazine, Luciferin-binding protein, Calcium,
52 Luminous system, Pennatulidae, Anthoptilidae, Funiculidae
53

Introduction

Bioluminescence, the production of visible light by a living organism, involves the
oxidation of a luciferin substrate catalyzed by a luciferase enzyme. In some bioluminescent
system, luciferase and luciferin form a stable complex known as "photoprotein" [1].
Luciferases *sensu lato* (*i.e.,* luciferases *stricto sensu* and photoproteins) are classically
considered taxon-specific (*i.e.,* each clade is characterized by its own luciferase) [2].
Nevertheless, analogous or even homologous luciferases can sometimes be used by
phylogenetically distant luminous organisms [3]. At least 12 distinct types of luciferases,
sensu lato, have been recently described [3].
When the photogenic reaction occurs, the generated light is defined by a color that
can differ depending on luciferin, but also on the amino acid sequence and structure of the
luciferase [4-7]. In some cases, the light emitted by an organism results from an interaction
between the luciferase and a fluorescent protein [4]. The fluorescent protein absorbs
photons emitted by the bioluminescence reaction and becomes excited. It then re-emits
light at longer wavelengths before returning to the ground state. For example, Green
Fluorescent Protein (GFP) emits green light after absorbing blue light primarily emitted by
the luciferin-luciferase reaction [1,5].
Luminous anthozoans are distributed among four orders: Actiniaria, Zoantharia,
Malacalcyonacea (including four luminous families), and Scleralcyonacea (including 18
luminous families mainly spread into the Pennatuloidea superfamily; **Table S1**). The
bioluminescence system of *Renilla reniformis* (Pallas, 1766) (Pennatuloidea) has been
extensively characterized. It involves the most widespread luciferin in marine environments,
the coelenterazine (6-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-[(4-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]-8-(phenylmethyl)-7H-
imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-3-one), and a coelenterazine-dependent luciferase (*R*Luc-type)
[9,10,15]. This luciferase is homologous to bacterial haloalkane dehalogenases, which are
assumed to have been horizontally transferred during evolution [3]. In addition, more
recent descriptions of similar bioluminescent molecular components in different
pennatulaceans are sparse [8-16] (**Table S1**). Bessho-Uehara et al. (2020) demonstrated the
widespread occurrence of coelenterazine-based *R*Luc-type luciferase across deep-sea
pennatulacean species, such as *Distichoptilum gracile* (Verrill, 1882), *Umbellula* sp.,
Pennatula sp., and *Funiculina* sp. [12]. In addition, *R*Luc-type luciferases are also found in
phylogenetically distant organisms such as echinoderms (*Amphiura filiformis* (Müller, 1776);
[17]) and tunicates (*Pyrosoma atlanticum* (Péron, 1804); [18]). Luminescence-associated

molecules, such as coelenterazine-binding protein (CBP) and GFP have also been identified in
sea pansy and other pennatulaceans [15,19-24]. Additionally, the calcium ion cofactor has
been demonstrated to be indirectly involved in light production in *R. reniformis* and
Veretillum cynomorium (Pallas, 1766) [25,26]. CBP depends on calcium ions as cofactors to
release luciferin, which allows light production in *R. reniformis* [27].

Light production in sea pens occurs within specific endodermal cells (*i.e.,*
photocytes), depending on the species, in the tissues of autozooid and siphonozooid polyps
[28-30]. These photocytes often exhibit green autofluorescence [31,32]. To date,
bioluminescent pennatulaceans display nervous catecholaminergic control of light emission,
with adrenaline- and noradrenaline-triggered waves of flashes [33,34]. Although no
experimental behavioral evidence has been obtained, the ecological function of
pennatulacean luminescence may serve as an aposematic signal, avoiding predation through
misdirection or burglar alarm effects [2,35]. The current data and gaps in the anthozoan
bioluminescence data are presented in **Table S1**.

Despite extensive characterization of the *Renilla* bioluminescence system, the
molecular mechanisms and components involved in the bioluminescence of other sea pen
species remain largely unexplored. This study aimed to explore the unique bioluminescent
systems of three sea pen species, the pennatulid *Pennatula phosphorea* (Linnaeus, 1758),
funiculinid *Funiculina quadrangularis* (Pallas, 1766), and anthoptilid *Anthoptilum murrayi*
(Kölliker, 1880), focusing on their biochemical and molecular aspects. A multidisciplinary
study using luminometric assays, transcriptome analyses, phylogenetic analyses, 3D protein
modeling, and immunodetection revealed the basis of the bioluminescent system of these
soft coral sea pens. Cross-reaction luminometric results highlight the involvement of a
Renilla-like luciferase in *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis*, both shallow water species,
with coelenterazine as the substrate for all three bioluminescent systems. Besides, *P.*
phosphorea and *A. murrayi de novo* transcriptome analyses corroborate (*i*) the homology of
both retrieved luciferase sequences with the *Renilla* luciferase, (*ii*) the *in silico* presence of
CBPs and a GFP homologous to the one found in other bioluminescent anthozoans. The
luciferase expression sites within the autozooids and siphonozooids of *P. phosphorea* and
autozooids of *F. quadrangularis* are described. The presence of GFP was also pinpointed in *P.*
phosphorea through comparative microscopy. Finally, the involvement of calcium in the light
emission process of *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis* is described.

119
120   **Materials and methods**

121
Specimen collection
In July 2022 and 2023, common sea pens, *Pennatula phosphorea* (n = 30), were
collected in Gullmarsfjord, Sweden, using a small 1 m aperture dredge at 35–40 m depth.
Similarly, tall sea pens, *Funiculina quadrangularis* (n = 11)*,* were sampled using the same
methodology at a depth of 40 m in July 2023. Animals were brought back to the Kristineberg

Marine Research Station (Fiskebäckskil, Sweden) and maintained under dark conditions in
fresh, running, deep-sea water pumped from the adjacent fjord.
Specimens of *Anthoptilum murrayi* (n = 3) were collected during the DEEP-OCEAN
expedition off the southeast coast of Brazil aboard R/V Alpha Crucis. The collection was
carried out using a demersal trawl net with 19 m in the lower rope, mesh sizes of 100 mm in
the body and wings, and 25 mm in the codend at an average depth of 1,500 m. Collection
permits were issued by the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade
(SISBIO permits #28054-4, 82624-1), Secretaria da Comissão Interministerial para Recursos
do Mar da Marinha do Brasil (Portaria No. 223). After hoisting the net, all collected
organisms were sorted, and A. murrayi specimens were promptly frozen in liquid nitrogen.
These samples were maintained at the Oceanographic Institute of the University of São
Paulo (São Paulo, Brazil) in an -80 ºC ultra-freezer.
139
Dissection
Specimens of *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis* were anesthetized by immersion
in $MgCl_2$ (3.5%) for 30 minutes [34]. For each tested specimen of *P. phosphorea*, (*i*) the
pinnules were dissected and weighed, and (*ii*) the rachis was divided into three equivalent
portions and weighed. For specimens of *F. quadrangularis*, the rachis bearing the polyps was
split into 3 cm-long segments. Sea pen pinnules and rachises were either directly used for
biochemical assays or rinsed for 3 h in fresh running deep-sea water for pharmacological
calcium assays. Other specimens were directly fixed in PFA 4% phosphate buffer saline (123
mM NaCl, 2.6 mM KCl, 12.6 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.7 mM KH_2PO_4, pH 7.4) for further
immunodetection techniques.
Owing to the constraints associated with harvesting organisms at depth (*e.g.*,
trawling damage), the survival of *A. murrayi* was impossible to maintain. Therefore, all
measurements were performed on the frozen samples.
153
154 *Light emission spectrum of Anthoptilum murrayi*
155   The bioluminescence spectrum of *A. murrayi* was obtained using frozen coral pieces
156 thawed and hydrated with deionized water. The spectra were recorded using a cooled CCD
157 camera (LumiF SpectroCapture AB-1850). Measurements were conducted in triplicate, with
158 an exposure time of 2 min at room temperature.
159
Luminescent system biochemistry
Eleven specimens of *P. phosphorea* were used for biochemical analysis. Tests were
performed for the pinnules (n = 40), rachises (n = 10), and peduncles (n = 10). Pinnule
locations along the axis were observed and classified as upper, middle, and lower,
depending on the attachment position on the rachis, as in Duchatelet et al., 2023 [34]. Five
specimens of *F. quadrangularis* were used immediately after dissection for biochemical
assays. Measurements were performed independently of the polyp-bearing rachis location
among the colonies (n = 10). Deep-frozen tissues from two A. murrayi specimens were used

for this analysis. For the first two species, the central rigid calcified axis of the rachis was
removed before starting experiments.

Light emission measurements were performed in a dark room using an FB12 tube
luminometer (Tirtertek-Berthold, Pforzheim, Germany) calibrated with a standard 470 nm
light source (Beta light, Saunders Technology, Hayes, UK). Light responses were recorded
using FB12-Sirius PC Software (Tirtertek-Berthold). Light emission was characterized as
follows: (*i*) maximum light intensity (Lmax), expressed in megaquanta per second (10^9 q s^{-1}),
and (*ii*) total amount of light emitted (Ltot) over 3 min, expressed in megaquanta. All data
were standardized per unit mass (g).

Luciferase and coelenterazine assays
For the luciferase assay, *P. phosphorea* pinnule and rachis and *F. quadrangularis*
rachis were placed in 200 µl of Tris buffer (20 mM Tris, 0.5 mM NaCl; pH 7.4) and crushed
with mortar and pestle until a homogenized extract was obtained; 20 and 40 µl of the
extract was diluted in 180 and 160 µl Tris buffer, respectively. The diluted *P. phosphorea* and
F. quadrangularis luciferase solutions were injected into two different tubes filled with 5 µl
of a 1/200 stock solution of coelenterazine (Prolume Ltd., Pinetop, AZ, USA) in cold methanol
(1OD at 430 nm) diluted in 195 µl of Tris buffer. Two measurements of Lmax were recorded
and averaged to calculate the maximal light decay rate corresponding to luciferase activity
expressed in 10^9 q s^{-1} g^{-1} [1].

For coelenterazine detection, *P. phosphorea* pinnules, rachises, and *F. quadrangularis*
rachises were placed in 200 µl of cold argon-saturated methanol and crushed using a mortar
and pestle. Then, 5 µl of the methanolic extract was injected into a tube filled with 195 µl of
Tris buffer and placed in a luminometer. Afterward, 200 µl of *Renilla* luciferase solution
constituted 4 µl of *Renilla* luciferase (Prolume Ltd., working dilution of 0.2 g l^{-1} in a Tris-HCl
buffer 10 mM, NaCl 0.5 M, BSA 1%; pH 7.4) and 196 µl of Tris buffer was injected into the
luminometer tube. The Ltot was recorded and used to calculate the amount of
coelenterazine contained in a gram of pinnule (ng g^{-1}), assuming that 1 ng of pure
coelenterazine coupled with *Renilla* luciferase emits 2.52×10^{11} photons [1].

A. murrayi tissues were processed using a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer in 2 mL of
Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0). Following homogenization, the mixture was centrifuged at
15,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C and the pellet was discarded. The supernatant was used for the
light emission assays. For each assay, the mixture comprised 100 µL of supernatant, 397 µL
of Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4), and 3 µL of coelenterazine (Prolume Ltd., Pinetop, AZ,
USA), to achieve a final volume of 500 µL with a final concentration of 6 µM.

Long-term light monitoring of coelenterazine production
The *P. phosphorea* specimens (n = 12) were maintained in tanks filled with circulating
artificial seawater (ASW; 400 mM NaCl, 9.6 mM KCl, 52.3 mM MgCl$_2$, 9.9 mM CaCl$_2$, 27.7 mM
Na$_2$SO$_4$, 20 mM Tris; pH 8.2) at temperatures following natural temperature variations
encountered in the native fjord (https://www.weather.mi.gu.se/kristineberg/en/data.shtml)

with a 12-12 hours photoperiod. Sea pens were fed weekly with REEF LIFE Plancto (Aqua
Medic, Germany), a food devoted of any coelenterazine trace. After six and 12 months,
potassium chloride (KCl) depolarization, coelenterazine content, and luciferase activity
assays were performed on eight and four specimens, respectively, with two replicates per
specimen. Luciferase and coelenterazine assays were performed as previously described.
Total depolarization through KCl application allows for rapid estimation of the luminous
ability of specimens. For the KCl experiments, luminescence induction was performed on the
pinnule and rachis portions placed in a tube luminometer filled with 500 μL of ASW. Then,
the light emission was triggered with the addition of 500 μL of a KCl solution (400 mM KCl,
52.3 mM $MgCl_2$, 9.9 mM $CaCl_2$, 27.7 mM Na_2SO_4, 20 mM Tris; pH 8.2), and Ltot was recorded
over 3 minutes. The recorded luciferase activity, luciferin content, and KCl response were
compared with wild-caught measurements.
221
De novo transcriptome analyses
The pinnule, rachis, and peduncle tissues of a single *P. phosphorea* specimen were
dissected and directly immersed in a permeabilizing RNAlater-Ice (Life Technologies) solution
overnight at -20°C, following the manufacturer's protocol. Subsequently, the samples were
stored at -80°C and processed for RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol
reagent. The quality of the RNA extracts (RIN value, fragment length distribution, and
28S/18S ratio) and their concentrations were assessed using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer.
The BGI company (Beijing Genomics Institute, Hong Kong) performed cDNA library
preparation and sequencing using a procedure similar to that previously described [36-38].
High-throughput sequencing was conducted using the BGISEQ-500 platform to generate 100
bp paired-end reads. To exclude low-quality sequences, the raw reads were filtered by
removing (*i*) reads with more than 20% of the qualities of the base lower than 10, (*ii*) reads
only containing the adaptor sequence, and (*iii*) reads containing more than 5% of unknown
nucleotide "N." Quality control of the reads was performed using FastQC software [39]. For
P. phosphorea, a reference *de novo* transcriptome assembly was then created from the
remaining clean reads obtained from the pinnule, rachis, and peduncle tissues using Trinity
software [40] (Trinity-v2.5.1; min_contig_length 150, CPU 8, min_kmer_cov 3, min_glue 3,
SS_lib_type RF, bfly_opts'-V 5, edge-thr=0.1, stderr'). TGICL software was then used to
reduce transcriptome redundancy by assembling the contigs into a single set of longer, non-
redundant, and more complete consensus unigenes [41] (Tgicl-v2.5.1; -l 40 -c 10 -v 25 -O '-
repeat_stringency 0.95 -minmatch 35 -minscore 35'). Unigenes, defined as non-redundant
assembled sequences obtained from assembly and/or clustering [42], can form clusters in
which the similarity among overlapping sequences is greater than 70% or singletons that are
unique unigenes. For all transcriptomes, unigene expression was evaluated using the
"Fragments per kilobase of the transcript, per million fragments sequenced" (FPKM) method
[36-37,42]. To obtain annotation for transcriptomes, unigenes were aligned to NCBI
Nucleotide (NT), NCBI protein (NR), EuKariotic Orthologous groups (KOG), Kyoto
Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), and UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot databases using

Blastn, Blastx [43], and Diamond [44]. Blast2GO [45] with NR annotation results was used to
obtain Gene Ontology annotations according to molecular function, biological process, and
cellular component ontologies. InterPro [46] was also used to annotate unigenes based on
functional analyses of protein sequences, clustering them into families and predicting the
presence of domains or essential amino acid residues. The candidate coding area among the
unigenes was assessed using Transdecoder (https://transdecoder.github.io).

A similar procedure was used for the specimen of *A. murrayi*. Whole sea pen tissues
(pinnule and peduncle) were dissected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. The
total RNA from the sea pen was extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN),
following the extraction steps according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA
samples were eluted in DEPC-treated water in two 16 µL steps. RNA samples were treated
with DNase I (Invitrogen) to remove potential genomic DNA contamination. Subsequently, to
remove impurities and residual DNase I reaction remnants, they underwent a column
cleanup process, following the instructions of the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN). The
concentrations of RNA samples were estimated by fluorescence using a Qubit fluorometer
(Thermo Fisher Scientific). The integrity of the RNA samples was confirmed by agarose gel
electrophoresis (1%) stained with SYBR Safe (Invitrogen), and the RNA was dried at 30°C for
1 h in speed vac mode V-AQ.

The quality of RNA extracts (RIN value, fragment length distribution, and 28S/18S
ratio) and their concentration were assessed by RNA concentrations were assessed using an
Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. After QC, mRNA was enriched using oligo(dT) beads. First, mRNA
was randomly fragmented by adding a fragmentation buffer. Then, the cDNA was
synthesized using an mRNA template and random hexamer primer, after which a custom
second-strand synthesis buffer (Illumina), dNTPs, RNase H, and DNA polymerase I were
added to initiate second-strand synthesis. After a series of terminal repairs, A ligation, and
sequencing adaptor ligation, the double-stranded cDNA library was completed through size
selection and PCR enrichment. The GenOne Biotechnologies company (Brazil) performed
cDNA library preparation and sequencing (150 bp paired-end reads). To exclude low-quality
sequences, the raw reads were filtered by removing (*i*) reads with more than 50% of the
qualities of the base lower than 5, (*ii*) reads only containing the adaptor sequence, and (*iii*)
reads containing more than 10% of unknown nucleotide "N."
281
Light emission process-related protein sequence analyses
Potential transcripts of interest were chosen using NCBI online tools according to
potential phylogenetic homologies to identify genes involved in the light production process,
such as luciferases, green fluorescent proteins, and coelenterazine-binding proteins. These
"light emission process-related genes" were searched within the newly generated *P.*
phosphorea transcriptome using tBLASTn analysis (1 hit, E-value < 1e^{-20}). All retrieved
unigenes were individually reciprocally searched in the NCBI NR database (Reciprocal
BLASTx; 1hit, E-value < 1e^{-20}). BLAST hits with significant E-values strongly support
homologous proteins. *In silico* translation (ExPASy translate tool,

http://expasy.org/tools/dna.html) was performed on the sequences retrieved from the *P.*
phosphorea and *A. murrayi* transcriptomes for all putative candidates. Multiple alignments
were performed for each predicted protein with their respective homologous "light emission
process-related protein" retrieved from the NCBI online tool in other species using Geneious
software [47]. Sequence alignments have enabled the identification of luciferase
characteristic features, such as catalytic triads [48].

To validate the *P. phosphorea* luciferase retrieved sequence, primers were designed
based on *Renilla muelleri* luciferase mRNA (AY015988.1) to amplify the hypothetical
luciferase sequence using Primer Blast software (**Table S2**). *P. phosphorea* pinnules were
collected and lysed in 300 μL of 50 mM NaOH for 30 min at 95°C with agitation at 800 rpm.
The pH was adjusted by adding 60 μL 500 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8. For PCR amplification, the
reaction mix contained 1U of Expand Long Template (Roche) with the provided buffer, 400
μM dNTP (#R0191, Life Technologies), and 250 nM of each primer. Amplification was
performed as follows: 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s,
hybridization from 52 °C to 55°C for 15 s, and elongation at 68°C for 45–80 s. The final cycle
was completed with a final elongation step at 68°C for 7 min. Primer pairs F1-R1, F2-R2, and
F8-R2 resulted in amplifications visualized through electrophoresis and ethidium bromide
incorporation. Genomic DNA was purified using the Qiagen PCR Purification Kit according to
the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced by Microsynth.

Phylogenetic analyses
The predicted sequences of the luciferases (LUC), GFP, and CBPs of *P. phosphorea*
and *A. murrayi* were placed into an anthozoan-focused phylogenetic context using maximum
likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction [49]. *Renilla*-type luciferase sequences from selected
metazoans were collected from public databases based on literature [12,16,17]. GFP
sequences from cnidarians were collected from public databases using reference literature
[50-53]. CBP sequences from anthozoans were collected from public databases based on
literature [54]. Multiple alignments of all sequences were performed using the MAFFT
algorithm implemented in the Geneious software and trimmed using TrimAL software [55].
Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses were performed using IQ-tree software [56].
Before the analyses, ModelTest [57] was used to select the best-fit evolution model. Trees
were edited using the iTOL web tool.

Structural modeling
AlphaFold models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* LUC, GFP, and CBP proteins were
obtained from the AlphaFold web server (https://alphafoldserver.com/). The structural
models were visualized using PyMOL 2.6 (https://pymol.org/). Structural pairwise alignments
and calculations were performed using the Dali server
(http://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali/).

Luciferase and green fluorescent protein immunodetection

Commercial antibodies against *Renilla* luciferase (GTX125851, Genetex) were used to
confirm the presence of a *Renilla*-like luciferase. Proteins were extracted from frozen
pinnules, rachis, and peduncle samples. Each sample was homogenized on ice in 1000 μl of
2% Triton X-100 in phosphate buffer saline (PBS: 10 mM Tris, pH 7,5; 1 mM EDTA, pH 8,0;
100 mM NaCl) supplemented with protease inhibitors (complete–Mini tablets, Roche). The
extract was sonicated and centrifuged at 800 g for 15 min. The supernatant was then
collected. The protein concentration in each extract was measured using a PierceTM BCA
Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad) and β-mercaptoethanol
(βMSH, Bio-Rad) were added to each protein extract and the proteins were
electrophoretically separated at 200 V for 35 min on 12% SDS-PAGE gels. The separated
proteins were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membrane was incubated
overnight with the primary anti-*Renilla* luciferase antibody and the secondary antibody (ECL
HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody, Life Sciences, NA934VS, lot number 4837492) for 1 h.
Antibody detection was performed using the reagents of the detection kit (HRP Perkin-
Elmer, NEL 104) following the manufacturer's instructions. The dilution for the primary
antibody was 1:2000.

The same primary antibody was used to immunolocalize luciferases within the *P.
phosphorea* pinnules, rachis, peduncle tissues, and *F. quadrangularis* polyp tissue. For *whole-
mount* immunofluorescence, the dissected samples were blocked with PBS containing 2%
Triton X-100 and 6% BSA (Amresco). Samples were then incubated for 48 h with either the
anti-*Renilla* luciferase antibody diluted 1:200 in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, 0.01% NaN$_3$,
and 6% BSA. Visualization of the luciferase signal was performed after 24 h of incubation of
the samples at RT in the dark with a fluorescent dye-labeled secondary antibody (Goat Anti-
Rabbit, Alexa Fluor 594, Life Technologies Limited) diluted 1:500 in PBS containing 1% Triton
X-100, 0.01% NaN$_3$, and 6% BSA. Samples were mounted (Mowiol 4–88, Sigma) and
examined using an epifluorescence microscope (Axio Observer, Zeiss, Oberkochen,
Germany) equipped with Zen microscopy software (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Control
sections were incubated in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, 0.01% NaN$_3$, and 6% bovine
serum albumin (BSA) with no primary antibodies.

Calcium assays

Following the identification of the key proteins involved in the luminescence system,
we assessed the role of calcium, another crucial element in the bioluminescence
mechanism. Different pharmacological tests were used to investigate the role of calcium in
the light-emission process of *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis* (n = 6 for each species).
First, the calcium concentration was tested using three artificial seawater (ASW) solutions
with different calcium concentrations (0, 10, and 20 mM CaCl$_2$). To remove any traces of Ca^{2+}
ions in the 0 mM CaCl$_2$ -ASW solution, calcium chelators EGTA (4100, Merck) and BAPTA
(14513, Merck) were added to the solution at 10^{-5} M final concentrations. Secondly, the
effect of a calcium ionophore (A23187; C7522, Merck) was tested to highlight the potential
involvement of calcium storage in the light emission process. Third, the involvement of

calcium was tested in the presence of a previously determined triggering agent of light
emission in sea pens, adrenaline at 10^{-5} M. Finally, calcium involvement was tested on the
effect of the potassium chloride depolarization solution usually employed to trigger the
maximum light production in a bioluminescent species.

After rinsing for 3 h, the pinnules of *P. phosphorea* and polyp-bearing rachises of *F.*
quadrangularis were placed in luminometer tubes and subjected to various treatments
(**Table S3**). Before the experiments, each sample was pre-incubated for 15 min in ASW
devoid of calcium (0 mM $CaCl_2$). Data were recorded for 15 minutes using an FB12 tube
luminometer (Tirtertek-Berthold, Pforzheim, Germany). Light emission was defined as the
total amount of light emitted (L_{tot}) over 15 min, and was expressed in megaquanta. All data
were standardized per unit mass (g).

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed with R Studio (version 2023.03.1 + 446, 2022,
Posit Software, USA). Variance normality and equality were tested using the Shapiro–Wilk
test and Levene's test, respectively. When these parametric assumptions were met,
Student's t-test and ANOVA coupled with Tukey's test were used to perform single or
multiple comparisons between groups. When log transformation did not provide normality
and homoscedasticity, the nonparametric Wilcoxon test and Kruskal–Wallis test coupled
with the Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to assess whether significant differences were
present between the two groups or multiple groups. Differences were considered significant
at a minimum *p-value* of < 0.05. Values are graphically illustrated as the mean and standard
error of the mean (s.e.m).

Results

Pennatula phosphorea, Funiculina quadrangularis*, and* **Anthoptilum murrayi** *emit light*
using a coelenterazine-dependent luciferase.

Biochemical assays performed on *P. phosphorea*, *F. quadrangularis*, and *A. murrayi*
(**Figures 1A-F**) demonstrated a similar response pattern. The cross-reactivity of the extracts
with the commercial *Renilla* luciferase highlights the involvement of coelenterazine in the
bioluminescent systems of *P. phosphorea*, *F. quadrangularis*, and *A. murrayi* (**Figure 1**). For
P. phosphorea, the measured amounts of coelenterazine present no statistical differences
between the pinnule areas (ANOVA, *p-value* lower-middle = 0.8122; *p-value* lower-upper =
0.9054; *p-value* upper-middle = 0.7014) (**Figure S1**). Similarly, no significant differences were
observed between the rachis portions (ANOVA, *p-value* lower-middle = 0.5905; *p-value*
lower-upper = 0.8274; *p-value* upper-middle = 0.4634) (**Figure S1**). The mean coelenterazine
content per pinnule and rachis were 131.15 ± 29.06 and 70.00 ± 16.79 ng g^{-1}, respectively.
Peduncle presents an almost negligible mean value of 0.21 ± 0.01 ng g^{-1}. Comparatively, the

mean coelenterazine content of *F. quadrangularis* polyp-bearing rachis was 3.79 ± 1.20 ng g⁻

¹.

Figure 1. Biochemistry assays. (A) *In situ* images of *Pennatula phosphorea* and (B) typical

coelenterazine assay curve for the pinnules. (C) *In situ* images of *Funiculina quadrangularis* and (D)

typical coelenterazine assay curve for the 3 cm-long polyp-bearing rachis. (E) Images of *Anthoptilum*

murrayi with a zoom on the polyp on the rachis and (F) typical coelenterazine assay curve for the

whole specimen. (G) *Anthoptilum murrayi in vivo* luminescence spectrum compared with the
retrieved spectrum of two other species (*P. phosphorea* [58] and *F. quadrangularis* [12]). Pictures (A
and C) were provided by Fredrik Gröndahl.

In parallel, a typical coelenterazine assay curve was observed for all three species
(Figures 1B, D, and F). Cross-reaction assays using synthetic coelenterazine to measure
potential luciferase activity confirmed the involvement of a coelenterazine-based luciferase
system in *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis*. For *P. phosphorea*, luciferase activities in the
pinnules show no statistically significant differences across the pinnule areas (ANOVA, *p-*
value lower-middle = 0.4963; *p-value* lower-upper = 0.2742; *p-value* upper-middle = 0.6251),
with a mean Lmax value of 129.5 ± 22.1 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1} (**Figure S1**). Similarly, no statistically
significant differences were observed in the Lmax values recorded between rachis portions
(ANOVA, *p-value* lower-middle = 0.6360; *p-value* lower-upper = 0.4438; *p-value* upper-
middle = 0.2548), with a mean Lmax value of 87.9 ± 16.9 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1} (**Figure S1**). Finally, the
peduncles tested exhibited a significantly lower mean Lmax value (0.6 ± 0.4 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1})
compared to the rachis (ANOVA, *p-value* = 0.0298). Comparatively, *F. quadrangularis*
luciferase activity presents a mean Lmax value of 313.8 ± 99.2 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1}. To complete the
data on the sea-pen light emission spectrum, the *in vivo* light emission spectrum of *A.*
murrayi was measured and revealed a peak wavelength at 513 nm (**Figure 1G**).

Pennatula phosphorea *maintains its bioluminescence ability after one year in captivity*
without an exogenous supply of coelenterazine.

Prior to the experiment, visual assessments of *P. phosphorea* luminescence were
performed in the dark. These observations confirmed that the species maintained the ability
to produce visible light even after six–12 months of captivity without any external sources of
coelenterazine-containing food.

Measurements of luminescence parameters showed a general decrease in all three
parameters for both the pinnules and the rachis (**Figure S2**). For the pinnules, the maximum
light emission shows statistically significant differences upon KCl application (Kruskal-Wallis
test, *p-value* = 1.8 10^{-5}), with a mean Ltot value of 361.7 ± 34.6 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1} after field
collection. This value is statistically different from the mean value observed after six months
of captivity (Wilcoxon sum-rank test, *p-value* = 1.5 10^{-6}) but not from the value after 12
months (Wilcoxon sum-rank test, *p-value* = 0.16). The mean Ltot values after 6 and 12
months are 80.5 ± 21.8 and 223.8 ± 84.4 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1}, respectively. Coelenterazine content
also presented statistically significant differences (Kruskal-Wallis test, *p-value* = 1.3 10^{-5}). The
mean coelenterazine content is already drastically reduced after 6 months (30.7 ± 4.5 ng g^{-1})
but remains stable for one year (28.1 ± 4.4 ng g^{-1}) (Wilcoxon sum-rank test, T0 *VS* T6: *p-value*
= 0.0001; T0 *VS* T12: *p-value* = 0.0004), without statistical differences between the former
two (Wilcoxon sum-rank test, *p-value* = 0.91) (**Figure S2**). Similarly, the luciferase activities
decreased over the year and presented statistically significant differences (Kruskal-Wallis
test, *p-value* = 0.01). Differences occur between the initial Lmax value (113.2 ± 12.7 10^9 q g^{-1}

s^{-1}) and the mean Lmax values of 77.0 ± 16.2 and 43.0 ± 3.7 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1} after 6 and 12
months, respectively (Wilcoxon sum-rank test, T0 *VS* T6: *p-value* = 0.383; T0 *VS* T12: *p-value*
= 0.013; T6 *VS* T12: *p-value* = 0.383) (**Figure S2**). Similar observations occurred for the three
parameters recorded on the rachis portions of *P. phosphorea* (**Figure S2**).
466
467   *De novo transcriptomes of* Pennatula phosphorea *and* Anthoptilum murrayi
468
For *P. phosphorea*, a total of 47.27 million raw reads of 200 bp length were
generated from the pinnule library, 47.27 million from the rachis library, and 41.96 million
from the peduncle library. Data quality was assessed using FastQC software. Raw reads are
available on the NCBI SRA database: *A. murrayi* (PRJNA1144931), *P. phosphorea*
(PRJNA1152785). After low-quality reads filtering, the remaining high-quality reads (*i.e.,*
45.29 for the pinnule transcriptome, 45,53 for the rachis transcriptome, and 40.29 for the
peduncle transcriptome) were used to assemble a reference transcriptome using the Trinity
software. The obtained Trinity-predicted transcripts were clustered using TGICL to obtain
the final unigenes.
In total, 49,510 unigenes (i.e., non-redundant unique sequences) were obtained with
a total length of 72,928,901 bp. The average mean length was 1473 bp and the N50 was
2350 bp. Among the transcriptome data, 35,439 unigenes for the pinnule dataset, 33,778
unigenes for the rachis dataset, and 31,001 unigenes for the peduncle dataset were
obtained, with a total of 49,510 different unigenes. The length distributions of the unigenes
are shown in **Figure 2A** and the numerical data are summarized in **Tables S4** and **S5**.
Of the 46,258 predicted unigenes, 1,690 were found only in the pinnule
transcriptome, 1,114 only in the rachis transcriptome, 1, 035 in the peduncle transcriptome,
and 36, 934 in the peduncle transcriptome. For descriptive purposes, comparative gene
expression analysis was performed by mapping FPKM values (*e.g.,* log$_{10}$(FPKM value pinnule
transcriptome) against log$_{10}$ (FPKM value rachis transcriptome)) calculated for all predicted
unigenes (**Table S6**). However, it has to be clarified that transcriptome data have been
generated for new gene discovery, not differential expression analyses, as no biological or
technical replication was performed as a part of the study. The main species represented
within the unigene annotation of the reference transcriptome was the anthozoan
Dendronephthya gigantea (Verrill, 1864) (79%) (**Figure 2B**). Of the 49,510 *P. phosphorea*
unigenes present in the filtered reference transcriptome, 34,984 showed significant matches
with the molecular databases: 33,896 to NR (68.5%, E-value > 1e^{-5}), 8,818 to NT (17.8%),
26,473 to SwissProt (53.5%), 28,290 to KEGG (57.1%), 24,642 to KOG (49.8%), 26,790 to
InterPro (54.1%), and 13,742 to GO (27.8%) (**Figure 2C**).
For *A. murrayi*, 66,425 unigenes were obtained, with a total length of 54,366,500 bp.
The average mean length was 818 bp and the N50 was 1140 bp. The length distributions of
the unigenes are shown in **Figure 2D** and the numerical data are summarized in **Tables S4**
and **S5**. For descriptive purposes, gene expression analysis was performed by mapping the
FPKM values (e.g., log$_{10}$(FPKM value) calculated for all predicted unigenes (**Table S6**). The

main represented species within the unigene annotation of the reference transcriptome was
the anthozoan *Paramuricea clavata* (29%) (**Figure 2E**). Among the 66,425 *A. murrayi*
unigenes present in the filtered reference transcriptome, 58,345 were significantly matched
to the molecular databases: 41,512 to NR (71.15%, E-value > 1e-10), 11,345 to NT (19.44%),
25,769 to SwissProt (44.16%), 23,549 to KEGG (40.36%), 15,178 to KOG (26.01%), 22,134 to
InterPro (37.93%), and 15,178 to GO (26.01%) (**Figure 2F**).
Because of the lack of a reference genome in *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi*, and
possibly the relatively short length of some unigene sequences, 29.4% and 71,6%,
respectively, of the assembled sequences could not be matched to any known genes.
512

513
514 **Figure 2. General description of the transcriptomic data.** (A) The length distribution of *P. phosphorea*
515 unigenes. (B) Taxonomic annotation of *the P. phosphorea* transcriptome. (C) Global annotation of *P.*
516 *phosphorea* transcriptome. (D) Length distribution of *the A. murrayi* unigenes. (E) Taxonomic annotation of *A.*
517 *murrayi* transcriptome. (F) Global annotation of *the A. murrayi* transcriptome. (G) Busco analysis.
518
Based on Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) analysis, 96.23% of
metazoa BUSCO genes were predicted to be complete in the merged *P. phosphorea*
transcriptome. In parallel, 1.36% of BUSCO genes were fragmented and 2.41% were missing
(**Figure 2G**). Similar results were obtained for *A. murrayi*, with 94.44% complete BUSCO
genes found, while 3.04% of BUSCO genes were fragmented, and 2.52% were missing
(**Figure 2G**).

Analyses of unigene expression revealed a similar proportion of FPKM values in the
three tissues (**Figure 3A, B**). Comparatively, a large number of unigenes appeared to be
more highly expressed in the pinnule and rachis than in the peduncle tissue (**Figure 3C**). The
pinnule and rachis tissues appeared to be more similar in terms of the unigene expression
profile. The "Molecular function" GO functional annotations for the 40 most expressed
unigenes of each sample of *P. phosphorea* (**Figure 3D**) and the whole animal sample for *A.*
murrayi (**Figure 3F**) show a classical high expression of molecular actors involved in the
cellular machinery and gene regulation. The GO functional annotations for the 40 unigenes
with the most pronounced expression differences between tissues, including those highly
expressed in one tissue relative to the other and those with lower expression levels, are
shown in **Figure 3F**. We did not perform formal differential gene expression analysis, as no
replication was performed. Calcium ion binding function (GO: 0005509) appeared to be
predominantly expressed in pinnule and rachis tissues, compared to the peduncle (**Figure
3F**). Similarly, the pinnule tissue (containing the feeding polyp) presented a higher
proportion of genes annotated as digestive enzymes (**Figure 3F**).
540

Figure 3. Global gene expression and gene ontology of *Pennatula phosphorea* and *Anthoptilum murrayi*
transcriptomic data. (A) Distribution of FPKM expression values across *the P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi*
transcriptomes. (B) Gene expression distribution for each sample. (C) Proportions of unigenes with relatively
high or low expression levels in *P. phosphorea* samples. Gene ontology distribution of the 40 most highly
expressed unigenes for each sample of *P. phosphorea* (D) and *A. murrayi* (E). (F) Comparison of gene ontology
repartition of the 40 most highly expressed unigenes in *P. phosphorea* samples.

***Expression of bioluminescence-related genes in* Pennatula phosphorea *and* Anthoptilum**
murrayi
551
The *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* transcriptomes contained sequences of several
predicted luciferases, GFPs, and luciferin-binding proteins. The FPKM values retrieved from
each transcriptome are shown in **Table S6**. Reciprocal BLAST analyses revealed that the
sequences matched the luciferases, CBPs, and GFPs of Anthozoans.
Several *R*Luc-like enzymes were recovered from both investigated species (*A. murrayi*
and *P. phosphorea*). In addition, sequence mining allowed us to recover additional *R*Luc-like
sequences from the genomes of *Renilla muelleri* and *R. reniformis*. Phylogenetic analyses
revealed three clades of *R*Luc-like enzymes in Pennatulacea (**Figure 4A**). Clade A contains
well-known luciferases from *R. muelleri* and *R. reniformis* and probable luciferase sequences
from *A. murrayi, P. phosphorea,* and *Cavernularia obesa* (Valenciennes, 1850). Interestingly,
clade A did not contain any sequences from the non-luminous species. Clade B, in
comparison, includes several sequences from non-luminous species (*e.g., Pinnigorgia flava*
(Nutting, 1910) and *Sinularia cruciata* (Tixier-Durivault, 1970)), in addition to several
sequences from both our model species. Clade C also contained *the R*Luc-like enzymes
retrieved from each transcriptome.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree of *R*Luc-like enzymes, including *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* amino acid sequences. (A) Maximum likelihood tree based on the amino acid sequence alignment of *R*Luc-like enzymes. The tree was calculated by the IQ-tree software using the LG+I+G4 model of evolution. Numbers at the nodes indicate ultrafast bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates. The scale bar represents the percentage of amino

acid substitutions per site. Bacterial haloalkane dehalogenase sequences were used to root the tree. (B) The
expression level of each retrieved *R*Luc-like sequence in a distinct portion of *P. phosphorea*. (C) Expression level
of each retrieved *R*Luc-like sequence in *the whole A. murrayi* specimen. (D) Structural comparison of AlphaFold
models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* Luc proteins and the crystal structure of *R. reniformis* Luc complexes
with coelenteramide (CEI) oxyluciferin (PDB ID: 7OMR). (E) Superimposed spectrum of the *in vivo* luminescence
of *A. murrayi* (green) and the *in vitro* luminescent assay of *A. murrayi* luciferase in the presence of
coelenterazine (fc.: 6 µM) (blue).

The retrieved sequence (CL1333; **Figure 4B**) of *P. phosphorea* luciferase has an
estimated molecular weight of 35.84 kDa. A comparison of the amino acid sequences of *P.*
phosphorea and anthozoan luciferases demonstrated the presence of the catalytic triad
involved in luciferase activity. These key sites consist of an aspartate residue in position 120,
a glutamate residue in position 144, and a histidine in position 285. The retrieved *P.*
phosphorea luciferase sequence, based on RNA-seq data, appears to be highly similar to
other known anthozoan luciferases. It shares 90.26% identity and 95% similarity with *Renilla*
reniformis luciferase (*Renilla*-luciferin 2-monooxygenase). This sequence was validated by
DNA amplification and sequencing using *R*Luc primers. FPKM analyses revealed that this
sequence was mostly expressed in the pinnule and rachis (**Figure 4B**).

The *A. murrayi* luciferase (Comp24811, **Figure 4C**), with a molecular weight estimated
at 34.61 kDa and consisting of 304 amino acids, exhibits 58% sequence identity and 98%
coverage compared to *R*Luc, highlighting significant similarities. Sequence alignment of *A.*
murrayi luciferase with *R*Luc has revealed the conservation of the catalytic triad and active
site. The *R*Luc structure features two distinct domains: a cap domain and alpha/beta-
hydrolase domain. Key residues essential for enzymatic activity, including the substrate
entry tunnel and catalytic triad (D120, E144, and H285), are located in the cap domain.
These elements have also been identified in the luciferase sequence of *A. murrayi*, as
reported by Rahnama et al., 2017 [59] and Khoshnevisan et al. 2018 [60] for *Renilla*
luciferase. Through the expression of recombinant luciferase in *Escherichia coli* using
degenerate primers derived from *A. murrayi* transcriptome analysis, *A. murrayi* luciferase
was tested for preliminary downstream expression, yielding an active enzyme capable of
producing blue light (λmax = 498 nm) upon coelenterazine addition (**Figure 4E**).

Structural models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* LUCs show a canonical aba-
sandwich fold with a helical cap domain (**Figure 4D**). Overall comparison between the crystal
structure of *R. reniformis* LUC complexed with coelenteramide (CEI) oxyluciferin and
structural models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* LUCs showed root-mean-square deviation
(RMSD) on the C$_a$-atoms of 0.7 and 1.3, respectively. Careful inspection of the modeled LUC
structures revealed that key residues of the catalytic pentad are conserved and properly
positioned for productive catalysis (**Figure 4D**). From these, three residues (aspartate-
histidine-glutamate) function as a protein-relay system protonating a CEI oxyluciferin at an
amide nitrogen, while the two residues (asparagine and tryptophan) are responsible for co-
substrate (dioxygen) binding. Moreover, an aspartate residue, responsible for tuning the

emission wavelength, found on the rim of the catalytic pocket in *R. reniformis* luciferase [13],
is also conserved in these luminescent species.

614
Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of anthozoan fluorescent proteins, including *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* amino
acid sequences. (A) Maximum likelihood tree based on green fluorescent protein amino acid sequence
alignment. The tree was calculated by the IQ-tree software using the WAG+R4 model of evolution. Numbers at
the nodes indicate ultrafast bootstrap percentages based on 1,000 replicates. The scale bar represents the
percentage of amino acid substitutions per site. Hydrozoan GFP sequences were used to root the tree. (B) The
expression level of each retrieved CBP sequence for a distinct portion of *P. phosphorea*. (C) Expression level of
each retrieved CBP sequence in *the whole A. murrayi* specimen. (D) Structural comparison of AlphaFold models
of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* GFP proteins and the crystal structure of *R. reniformis* GFP (PDB ID: 2HR7).

Several sequences coding for GFP-like sequences were retrieved from *the P. phosphorea* and
A. murrayi transcriptomes. *P. phosphorea* GFP (CL380; 20.81 kDa) and *A. murrayi* GFP
(Comp24361; 27.24 kDa) appear homologous to other anthozoan sequences, and both
sequences clustered with GFP sequences of bioluminescent Scleralcyonacea (**Figure 5A**). *P.*
phosphorea GFP shares 79.42% identity and 86% similarity with the GFP of *R. reniformis*. In
comparison, *A. murrayi* GFP shared 73.06% identity and 87% similarity with the GFP of

Cavernularia obesa. Pinnules and rachises were the main sites of P. phosphorea GFP
expression (**Figure 5B**). Among the unigene pairs found in the *A. murrayi* transcriptome,
Comp24361 appeared to be more highly expressed (**Figure 5C**).

Structural models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* GFPs show a characteristic β-
barrel fold with a fluorophore moiety (CRO) covalently bound inside the barrel (**Figure 5D**).
In silico modeling suggests that these proteins may associate as homodimers, similar to the
homodimeric structure observed in the crystal structure of *R. reniformis* GFP. However,
further experimental validation is required to confirm this hypothesis. Comparison between
crystal structure of *R. reniformis* GFP and models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* GFPs

showed RMSD on the C_a-atoms of 0.9 and 1.1, respectively, highlighting their high
similarities. A structural feature distinguishing *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* GFPs from their
R. reniformis counterpart is the composition of the fluorophore moiety. While *R. reniformis*
fluorophore is generated from a serine-tyrosine-glycine tripeptide, the fluorophores of *P.*
phosphorea and *A. murrayi* are formed from a glutamine-tyrosine-glycine tripeptide, which
may affect the fluorescent properties of these proteins. Moreover, there is one markedly
extended solvent-exposed loop in *P. phosphorea* GFP (**Figure 5D**). We believe that this
species-specific extension might affecprotein t–protein complexation during the
radiationless resonance energy transfer process, but future experimental evidence is needed
to verify this hypothesis.
649

650
Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree of coelenterazine-binding proteins, including *P. phosphorea* **and** *A. murrayi* **amino**
acid sequences. (A) Maximum likelihood tree based on the amino acid sequence alignment of coelenterazine-
binding proteins. The tree was calculated by the IQ-tree software using the LG+R4 model of evolution.
Numbers at the nodes indicate ultrafast bootstrap percentages based on 1000 replicates. The scale bar
represents the percentage of amino acid substitutions per site. Calmodulin sequences were used to root the
tree. (B) The expression level of each retrieved CBP sequence for a distinct portion of *P. phosphorea*. (C)
Expression level of each retrieved CBP sequence in *the whole A. murrayi* specimen. (D) Structural comparison
of AlphaFold models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* CBP proteins and the crystal structure of *R. muelleri* CBP
complexes with coelenterazine (CTZ) luciferin (PDB ID: 2HPS).
660

Different sequences of CBPs were retrieved from *the transcriptomes of P.*
phosphorea and A. murrayi. Some of these sequences clustered with the CBPs of luminous
Scleralcyonacea, whereas others were found to be clustered with photoprotein-like proteins
(**Figure 6A**). One sequence appeared to be mainly expressed within the *P. phosphorea*
pinnule and rachis (CL1544; 26.84 kDa) and *the A. murrayi* colony (Comp 22336; 21.08 kDa)
(**Figure 6B, C**). The most highly expressed *P. phosphorea* CBP appeared highly similar to
other luminous anthozoan sequences. *P. phosphorea* CBP shared 85.33% identity and 94%
similarity with the luciferin-binding protein of *R. reniformis*, while *A. murrayi* CBP shared
50% identity and 74% similarity with the luciferin-binding of *R. reniformis*. Interestingly,
sequences clustered in the photoprotein-like protein group appeared to be mainly expressed
within the non-photogenic peduncle tissue in *P. phosphorea*.
Finally, structural models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* Ca^{2+}-regulated CBPs reveal
a typical EF-hand fold, containing three Ca^{2+}-binding sites. As shown in **Figure 6D**,
comparison between the crystal structure of *R. reniformis* CBP complexed with
coelenterazine (CTZ) luciferin and structural models of *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* LUCs

show a structural similarity, with RMSD on the C_a-atoms of 2.3 and 3.2, respectively. The
RMSD values were higher than those observed for LUC and GFP proteins, but this is likely
caused by a large conformational space that is searched by CBP proteins. Importantly, our
modeling reveals an internal cavity in *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* CBPs that is capable of
accommodating CTZ luciferin, suggesting that these proteins are indeed functional luciferin-
binding proteins (**Figure 6D**).

***Luciferase expression and green autofluorescence in* Pennatula phosphorea *and* Funiculina**
quadrangularis

Based on the high sequence similarity of *P. phosphorea* luciferase with *Renilla*
luciferase, a commercial anti-Renilla luciferase antibody was selected for immunodetection.
Immunoblot analyses revealed strong anti-luciferase immunoreactive bands in both extracts
of the pinnule and rachis tissues (**Figure S3**). The bands correspond to a protein with an
approximate molecular weight of 35 kDa, matching the molecular weight of the predicted *P.*
phosphorea luciferase and the *Renilla* luciferase molecular weight of 36 kDa. No labeling was
detected in the peduncle tissue extract (**Figure S3**).
On autozooid polyps of the pinnules (**Figure 7A-D**), a strong green autofluorescent
signal was observed at the tentacle crown base before (**Figure 7B**) and after (**Figure 7C**)
paraformaldehyde fixation. This fluorescent signal is located in clusters of cells at the
tentacle junctions. Strong anti-*Renilla* luciferase immunoreactivity was observed at the same
level as the green fluorescence signal (**Figure 7C, D**). On siphonozooid polyps of the rachis,
the autofluorescent signal was also observable and was located as green dots (from 10 to 25
μm diameter) spread in the tissue, generally in pairs (**Figure 7E**). Anti-*Renilla* luciferase-
positive cells colocalized with these autofluorescent dots (**Figure 7E, F**). Finally, no green
fluorescence or immunolabeling was detected in peduncle tissue (data not shown).

For *Funiculina* polyps (**Figure 7G**), a green autofluorescent signal was observed in the
freshly dissected specimens before fixation (**Figure 7H**). This green autofluorescence
completely disappeared after fixation (**Figure 7I**). Luciferase localization differed from that in
P. phosphorea. A strong luciferase signal was detected within the polyp tentacle tissue and
not at the base of the polyp crown (**Figure 7I, J**).

Negative controls with the omission of the primary antibody did not reveal any non-
specific binding of the secondary antibodies (data not shown).

709
Figure 7. Autofluorescence and luciferase immunodetection in *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis*. (A)
Schematic illustration of *P. phosphorea*. Natural green autofluorescence (B), green fluorescence after fixation
(C), and luciferase immunodetection (D; magenta) of the *P. phosphorea* pinnule autozooids. Green
fluorescence after fixation (E) and luciferase immunodetection (F; magenta) in *P. phosphorea* rachis
siphonozooids. (G) Schematic illustration of *F. quadrangularis*. Natural green autofluorescence (H), observation
after fixation with no autofluorescent signal (I), and luciferase immunodetection (J; magenta) of *the F.*
quadrangularis autozooids. Scales: B-F, H - 500 µm; I, J - 250 µm.

***Calcium is involved in the bioluminescence of* Pennatula phosphorea *and* Funiculina**
quadrangularis

Based on *P. phosphorea* coelenterazine-binding protein retrieval in the *Pennatula* transcriptome data and the literature mentioning the potential implication of Ca^{2+} in the release of luciferin from coelenterazine-binding proteins, Ca^{2+} involvement in the light emission process of *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis* was investigated. The tested specimens of both species revealed a drastic increase in light production when immersed in ASW with a doubled Ca^{2+} ion concentration (20 mM) (**Figure 8A, B**). At the same time, no statistical differences were observed between the normal ASW (10 mM) and ASW devoted to Ca^{2+} ions (0 mM) (**Figure 8A, B**). Analysis of the effects of the A23187 ionophore showed no statistical differences compared to the calcium concentration (**Figure 8C, D**). For both specimens, adrenaline triggered light production when calcium was present in ASW (**Figure 8E, F**). While an increase in the mean Ltot was observed between adrenaline tested samples at 10 mM and 20 mM of $CaCl_2$ in the medium, this increase was not statistically supported (**Figure 8E, F**). Finally, no significant differences were observed in Ltot after KCl application at the three Ca^{2+} concentrations (**Figure S4**).

736
Figure 8. Calcium involvement in *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis* light emissions. Experiments were
performed on *P. phosphorea* (A, C, E) and *F. quadrangularis* (B, D, F). Effect of different concentrations of
calcium (0, 10, 20 mM) in the medium on the total light emission (Ltot) (A, B). Effect of different calcium
concentrations (0, 10, 20 mM) in the medium in the presence and absence of the calcium ionophore A23187 on
the Ltot (C, D). Effect of different concentrations of calcium (0, 10, 20 mM) in the medium in the presence of
adrenaline (10^{-5} mol L^{-1}) on the Ltot (E, F). different lettering indicates statistical differences.

Discussion

Through biochemical cross-reaction experiments, this study demonstrated that the
bioluminescence of *P. phosphorea*, *F. quadrangularis*, and *A. murrayi* is associated with a
coelenterazine-dependent luciferase homologous to the well-known luciferase of the sea
pansy *Renilla*. The measured concentration of coelenterazine in *P. phosphorea* pinnules
closely aligns with the reported values of 141 and 282.4 ng g^{-1} for the phylogenetically
closely related species *R. muelleri* and *C. obesa*, respectively [1]. Similarly, the mean
luciferase activity of *P. phosphorea* pinnules reaches a comparable range as other organisms
using *Renilla*-type luciferases such as *R. muelleri* (± 130 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1}) and *C. obesa* (± 220 10^9 q
g^{-1} s^{-1}), or the sympatric ophiuroid *Amphiura filiformis* (± 69 10^9 q g^{-1} s^{-1}) [1,61]. While the
luciferase activity recorded for *F. quadrangularis* is higher than *P. phosphorea*, this species
exhibits a smaller coelenterazine content, being closer to recorded values of the
echinoderms *A. filiformis* (5.4 ng g^{-1}; [61]) or the crinoid *Thalassometra gracilis* (Carpenter,
1888) (4.5 ng g^{-1}; [62]). Transcriptome and phylogenetic analyses confirm results for *P.*
phosphorea and *A. murrayi* with a clear sequence conservation of the retrieved luciferases
with the *Renilla* luciferase. Consistent with the literature, species such as *D. gracile* or
undetermined species from the genera *Umbellula*, *Pennatula*, and *Funiculina* also present
evidence of the use of coelenterazine as substrate and a *Renilla*-like luciferase as enzyme of
their bioluminescent systems [12].
Our phylogenetic analysis underlines three distinctive clades of *Renilla*-like luciferase.
One of these clades (Clade A) contains all the known sequences of anthozoan light-emitting
luciferases. The other two clades (Clades B and C) contain other homologous *R*luc-like
sequences, notably from non-luminous species. The related biochemical functionality and
bioactivity of these B and C clades sequences are unknown and need further investigations
to fully apprehend the evolution of luciferase among anthozoans. Nevertheless, this
clustering led to hypothesized duplications of the ancestral gene with either (*i*) a neo
functionality as a "real" functional luciferase able to catalyze a bioluminescent reaction or (*ii*)
a bi-functionality of these enzymes as light-producing enzyme and another ancestrally
conserved enzymatic function. The ancestral functionality of the *R*Luc enzyme has been
assumed to originate from bacterial haloalkane dehalogenases, enzymes with a hydrolase
activity cleaving bonds in halogenated compounds [63]. A basal gene transfer from bacteria
until metazoans has been hypothesized [17,63]. Interestingly, *R*Luc-like luciferases have
been demonstrated to be the enzymes involved in the bioluminescence of phylogenetically
distinct species such as the brittle star *A. filiformis* [17] and the tunicate *P. atlanticum*
(Péron, 1804) [18], letting assumed that the sequence was convergently coopted multiple
times during the evolution. Consistent with previous research on *R*Luc sequences, our
retrieved *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi* luciferase (Clade A) present high sequence similarity
with the other pennatulaceans functional luciferases, also revealing conservation of the
catalytic triads essential for the luciferase catalytic activity. The complete characterization of

P. phosphorea and *A. murrayi* luciferase will allow us to better apprehend the functionality
and evolution of these luciferase enzymes.

While the retrieved GFP sequences are unique and well clustered with other
Scleralcyonacea sequences, a similar observation, as for the luciferases, occurs for the CBPs
with multiple retrieved sequences clustered in different groups. The first group represents
the functional coelenterazine-binding protein retrieved and characterized in luminous
species, while the second group, named photoprotein-like proteins, raise questions on the
exact functionality of these retrieved sequences. These photoprotein-like proteins might
also be involved in calcium binding. Active photoproteins of cnidarians and ctenophores
depend on calcium to trigger light emission [64-67]. Nevertheless, the higher expression
within the *P. phosphorea* peduncle assumed another function without a relationship with
the bioluminescence.

AlphaFold is a neural network machine learning tool for predicting macromolecular
structures and complexes, providing structural models with near-atomic accuracy even in
the absence of known similar structures [68,69]. Here, we employed AlphaFold to predict
macromolecular structures of the most expressed genes encoding for LUC, GFP, and CBP
proteins in *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi*. Computational predictions yielded structural
models with very high confidence scores (>90) for all analyzed proteins and that are
structurally similar to crystallographic structures of well-characterized LUC [13], GFP [11],
and CBP [70] proteins encoded by the sea pansies *R. reniformis* and *R. muelleri*. Taken
together, our structural predictions suggest that the identified *P. phosphorea* and *A. murrayi*
LUC, GFP, and CBP proteins with the highest expression values are structurally and
functionally relevant and responsible for bioluminescence in these species.

Kept in captivity without exogenous coelenterazine supply, *P. phosphorea* can still
produce light after one year, even if the coelenterazine content and luciferase activity
decrease. Therefore, these results support a *de novo* synthesis of the coelenterazine
substrate in *P. phosphorea*. Coelenterazine *de novo* synthesis by luminous marine organisms
has been documented for the calanoid copepods *Metridia longa* (Lubbock, 1854) and *M.
pacifica* (Brodsky, 1950), the oplophorid shrimp, *Systellaspis debilis* (Milne-Edwards, 1881),
and two ctenophores, *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Agassiz, 1865) and *Bolinopsis infundibulum*
(Müller, 1776) [71-74]. The natural precursors of coelenterazine have been demonstrated to
be the L-tyrosine and L-phenylalanine amino acids in *M. pacifica* [73]. By screening the
transcriptomic data of 24 ctenophores, Francis et al., 2015, assumed the involvement of a
non-heme iron oxidase-like enzyme, similar to isopenicillin-N-synthase, in the biosynthesis
pathway of this luciferin [75]. Future research could be carried out to validate the *de novo*
biosynthesis of the coelenterazine bioluminescent substrate, in particular by maintaining *P.
phosphorea* and their offspring over generations in captivity in the same conditions without
coelenterazine supply [74,76]. This protocol could be applied to other pennatulaceans to
determine whether the coelenterazine genesis is a common trait in this clade. Nevertheless,
the first challenge would be to control the life cycle of these species in captivity. Similarly, it
would be interesting to analyze different pennatulacean transcriptomes searching for

enzymes homologous to the isopenicillin-N-synthase potentially involved in the
coelenterazine biosynthetic pathway, as retrieved in ctenophores.

In *P. phosphorea*, the morphological localization of luciferase expression matched the
green fluorescent sites obtained in unfixed and fixed tissues. Green autofluorescence
observed on unfixed specimens is assumed to be a mix of the native autofluorescence of
coelenterazine and the autofluorescent reaction occurring through the GFP, while green
autofluorescence observed after tissue fixation corresponds only to the GFP signals.
Comparatively, the *in vivo* green fluorescence observed in the *F. quadrangularis* tissues,
which disappeared after fixation, was assumed to be related only to the natural
autofluorescence of coelenterazine and the lack of GFP in this species. In contrast to *P.*
phosphorea, which emits green waves of light at λmax = 510 nm, *F. quadrangularis* emits
blue at λmax = 485 nm, supporting the absence of GFP for this species [12,34,58,77]. This
natural autofluorescence has recently been demonstrated to appear and disappear from the
photogenic site, depending on the substrate dietary acquisition of the brittle star *A.*
filiformis. This species depends on the trophic acquisition of the coelenterazine substrate to
produce light [61,78]. When the brittle star was fed with coelenterazine-containing food,
green autofluorescent spots appeared at the level of spine-associated photocytes [78]. As
for *F. quadrangularis*, a similar disappearance of the green fluorescent signal (possibly
attributed to the coelenterazine) has been observed in the fixed tissue of the brittle star
species [78]. The autofluorescent sites observed along the tentacle bases of the autozooids
of *P. phosphorea* are consistent with the already described location of the autofluorescent
photogenic cell processes in autozooids of *Stylatula elongata* [79], and to a lesser extent,
Acanthoptilum gracile, and *Renilla koellikeri* [79,31]. For the former species, it was noticed
that the photocytes process followed the same orientation as the longitudinal muscles,
allowing autozooids to retract [31]. On the other hand, the luciferase expression site in *F.*
quadrangularis, in the cellular processes of the apical part of the tentacle, was never
reported before. This location along the polyp tentacles matches the described position of
photocytes in autozooids of *Ptilosarcus* species [79].

Our spectrum measurement performed with the *A. murrayi* recombinant luciferase is
consistent with already observed coelenterazine-dependent systems in other species
emitting in the same range of wavelengths. Recorded spectrum for coelenterazine-
dependent luciferase systems can vary between 475 to 493 nm in *P. atlanticum*, 482 nm
emitted by *R*Luc, 472 nm emitted by the brittle star *A. filiformis*, 455 nm emitted by
Oplophorus gracilirostris and 485 nm emitted by *F. quadrangularis* [17,18,63,80]. According
to the natural spectrum recorded on the whole specimens (λmax = 513 nm), similar to the
spectrum measured for *P. phosphorea* [58], and the transcriptomic presence of a GFP
sequence in *A. murrayi*, this species is strongly assumed to display a GFP-associated
coelenterazine-dependent luminous system. At least six anthozoan species present
expression of a GFP and the production of green light. Some of these species may live in
sympatry with anthozoan blue emitters, such as *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis,* with
an overlap of depth repartition and habitat preferences occurring. Therefore, questions arise

concerning luminescence's exact function(s) among anthozoans. Even if some assumptions
are proposed in the literature, no one has ever developed an ethological protocol to validate
them [35]. Therefore, why some anthozoan evolved green light emission while the primary
coelenterazine-luciferase reaction produces blue light remains. Different function(s) in the
same environment may have led to the acquisition or the loss of the GFP gene by some
anthozoan species upon evolutionary constraints.

As demonstrated for other pennatulacean species, CBP seems to be an essential
component of the luminous system [19-23,25]. The retrieved CBPs in *P. phosphorea* and *A.*
murrayi are congruent with this literature. Gene ontology distribution analyses performed
on the different tissues of *P. phosphorea* underline a high expression of calcium ion binding
proteins, including the retrieved CL1333 CBP, in the photogenic tissue (pinnule and rachis) of
this species. The expression of this gene within the photogenic tissues supports its
involvement in the luminous reaction. As demonstrated for *Renilla* by Stepanyuk et al., 2008,
CBPs need calcium as a cofactor to release the coelenterazine [27]. In addition to the
retrieved CBPs sequence in the *Pennatula* transcriptome, our calcium assay results highlight
the involvement of the calcium ion in the light emission process of both *P. phosphorea* and
F. quadrangularis. Results obtained for the calcium ionophore A23187 reveal that the ion
action does not result from intracellular calcium storage but rather is provided by external
calcium input. Moreover, calcium is shown to be essential for the physiological luminescent
response through adrenaline application. Pieces of evidence of calcium involvement in
anthozoan luminescence were already described for *R. reniformis* and *V. cynomorium*
[25,26].

These results let us assume a conservation of the coelenterazine-dependent *Renilla*-like
luciferase bioluminescent system, involving also a CBP, across luminous Pennatulaceans
(**Table S1**). The involvement of GFP appears species-dependent, with only a few species
emitting blue light (**Table S1**). Nevertheless, deeper investigations are needed to fully
apprehend the conservation of those actors across the diversity of luminous
pennatulaceans.

895
896 **Figure 9: Schematic representation of the putative pathway driving the luminescence production in sea pens.**
897 Elements of this pathway have been compiled from the present results and the literature [12,13,16,19-23,30-
898 34]. CBP, coelenterazine-binding protein; CTM, coelenteramide; CTZ, coelenterazine; G, G-protein; GFP, green
899 fluorescent protein.
900

A hypothetical scheme of the generalized pathway could be established using our
results and the literature on the pennatulacean luminescence mechanism (**Figure 9**). The
first step is the activation of catecholaminergic receptors through the binding of biogenic
amines (mainly adrenaline and noradrenaline [33,34]), which will release an intracellular-
associated G-protein [81,82]. G-protein could be involved in a large variety of intracellular
pathways [83], some of which involve increasing intracellular calcium (via direct or indirect
activation of calcium channels) [84-87]. This intracellular calcium increase will lead to the
release of the coelenterazine through the binding of this ion on the CBP, leaving this luciferin
free to react with luciferase in the presence of oxygen to produce blue light around 480 nm
[9,10,15,19-23,25]. For pennatulaceans lacking GFP (*e.g., F. quadrangularis*), this scheme
ends here with the direct emission in blue color, while for those displaying GFP expression,
the blue light is captured by this specific fluorescent protein and reemitted in green
wavelength [20], such as for *P. phosphorea*. Future research is needed to validate this
hypothetical scheme, and further investigations will be conducted to establish the functional
activities of all these components in less-studied sea pens. Astonishingly, even if attempts
were made on other anthozoans over the past decades, the *Renilla* bioluminescence system
remains the only isolated and cloned system [13,15,20-23,25]. Despite the demonstrated
widespread uses of the sea pansy bioluminescent system in biotechnology and biomedicine
[*e.g.,* 88-90], the *Renilla* luciferase stands as the only one of the most commercially
employed gene reporters in biomolecular sciences. Nonetheless, in the *Renilla*

bioluminescence system, the exact action mode of CBP and calcium is not fully apprehended. Considering these facts, our introspection into the bioluminescent system of other luminous pennatulaceans could be of great use for new biotechnological advances. Our results provided a better understanding of the evolution of the bioluminescence system and associated molecules from these enigmatic benthic sessile organisms.

Supplemental data captions

Table S1. General overview of the bioluminescent knowledge among luminous anthozoans. All data were extracted from the literature (only scientific data described for species down to the species level have been taken into account to minimize generalizations). CBP, coelenterazine-binding protein; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

Table S2. Primers designed for *P. phosphorea* luciferase validation.

Table S3. Experiment protocol for the calcium assays.

Table S4. Description of the output sequenced data. Q20 percentage is the proportion of nucleotides with a quality value larger than 20 in reads. GC percentage is the proportion of guanidine and cytosine nucleotides among total nucleotides.

Table S5. Summary statistics of assemblies for *Pennatula phosphorea* pinnules, rachis, peduncle, and *Anthoptilum murrayi* transcriptomes.

Table S6. Transcript expression values (FPKM values) and public database sequences used during transcriptomic and phylogenetic analyses.

Figure S1: Luminometric measurements performed on the different area of *Pennatula phosphorea*. (A) schematic representation of *P. phosphorea* with the different areas (upper, middle, lower) of the pinnules and rachis. (B) Mean coelenterazine content recorded and (C) luciferase activity for the different pinnule areas. (D) Mean coelenterazine content recorded and (E) luciferase activity for the different rachis areas.

Figure S2: Biochemical assays following luminous parameters activity on *Pennatula phosphorea* pinnules (A, B, C) and rachis (D, E, F) during 1 year without coelenterazine supply. Mean total light emission after KCl applications (A, D), mean coelenterazine content (B, E), and mean maximal light emission during luciferase activity experiments (C, D). Different lettering indicates statistical differences. The timing corresponds to experiments performed on wild-caught specimens (T0) after 6 months (T6) and twelve months of captivity (T12).

Figure S3. Luciferase immunoblots on *Pennatula phosphorea* tissues (rachis, Ra; peduncle, Ped; and pinnule, Pin).

Figure S4. Total light emission (Ltot) after KCl applications with three different calcium concentrations in the medium. Experiments were performed on (A) pinnules, and (B) rachis of *Pennatula phosphorea*. ASW, artificial seawater; 0, 10, 20 correspond to the calcium concentration (mM) in the medium.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge U. Schwarz, captain of the Alice vessel, and the skillful members of the Kristineberg Center (Goteborg University, Sweden) for their help during the *Pennatula* and *Funiculina* collection; and commandant J. Rezende and the crew of the R/V Alpha Crucis (Instituto Oceanográfico, USP). The authors also thank M. Jacquet for contributing to the study during his master's thesis and C. Pels, ELIV laboratory technician who maintained the organisms in the aquaria at the Marine Biology Laboratory - UCLouvain. The authors also want to thank T. Wiegand from the mobile lab (TREC- EMBL) for her help in visualizing coelenterazine autofluorescence and immunolabeling in the wild-caught specimens. The authors also thank J. Mallefet for his helpful advice and help during the first organisms sampling and all along the experiments.

LD is a postdoctoral researcher at the Université de Louvain - UCLouvain, GG is a Ph.D. student at Universidade de São Paulo - USP, CC is a Ph.D. student under an FRIA fellowship, LB is a postdoctoral researcher at the Université de Louvain - UCLouvain, RR is an academic professor at UCLouvain, MRSM is an academic professor at Universidade de São Paulo - USP, MM is group leader at the Masaryk University, DTA is adjunct professor at Federal University of ABC, SD is a Senior Lecturer and Associate professor at the University of Gothenburg, AGO is an assistant professor at Yeshiva University, and JD is a postdoctoral researcher at FNRS. This study is the contribution of BRC#422 of the Biodiversity Research Center (UCLouvain) from the Earth and Life Institute Biodiversity (ELIV) and the "Centre Interuniversitaire de Biologie Marine" (CIBIM).

Competing interests

No competing interests declared

Data availability

Transcriptome raw reads were uploaded as Sequence Reads Archives (SRA): *A. murrayi* (PRJNA1144931), *P. phosphorea* (PRJNA1152785). The unigene annotation tables are accessible from the corresponding author upon request.

Funding

This work was supported by an F.R.S.-FNRS grant (T.0169.20) awarded to the Université de Louvain – UCLouvain Marine Biology Laboratory and the Université de Mons Biology of Marine Organisms and Biomimetics Laboratory, by the Czech Science Foundation (GA22-09853S), and by the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (RECETOX RI LM2023069, e-INFRA LM2018140). The research leading to these results also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement

No 730984, ASSEMBLE Plus project. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 88887.605088/2021-00; Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP 2017/12909-4, 2020/07600-7), and Yeshiva University Start-up Fund..

Author contributions

LD, CC, and SD collected the *P. phosphorea* and *F. quadrangularis* samples, and GG and MRSM sampled *A. murrayi*. Data collection and analyses were performed by LD, CC, LB, GG, AO and JD. Transcriptome analyses were performed by LD, GG, DTA, AO, and JD. Phylogenetic analyses were performed by JD. Structural analyses were performed by MM. The project was supervised by RR, SD, AO, and JD. The original manuscript was written by LD, GG, CC, AO, and JD. All the authors reviewed and approved the final version.

Reference

1. Shimomura O, Yampolsky IV. 2019 *Bioluminescence: chemical principles and methods*. 3rd edition (Singapore: World Scientific Publishing), 1–556.

2. Haddock SHD, Moline MA, Case JF. 2010 Bioluminescence in the sea. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **2**, 443-493. (https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081028)

3. Delroisse J, Duchatelet L, Flammang P, Mallefet J. 2021 Leaving the dark side? Insights into the evolution of luciferases. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **8**, 673620. (https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.673620)

4. Fleiss A, Sarkisyan KS. 2019 A brief review of bioluminescent systems. *Curr. Genet.* **65**, 877-882. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-019-00951-5)

5. Hastings JW. 1996 Chemistries and colors of bioluminescent reactions: a review. *Gene* **173(1)**, 5-11. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00676-1)

6. Wilson T, Hastings JW. 2012 *Bioluminescence: living lights, lights for living*. Harvard University Press.

7. Kaskova ZM, Tsarkova AS, Yampolsky IV. 2016 1001 lights: luciferins, luciferases, their mechanisms of action and applications in chemical analysis, biology and medicine. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **45**, 6048-6077. (https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00296J)

8. Cormier MJ. 1960 Studies of the bioluminescence of *Renilla reniformis*: I. Requirements for luminescence in extracts and characteristics of the system. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **42**, 333-343. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3002(60)90797-6)

9. Matthews JC, Hori K, Cormier MJ. 1977 Purification and properties of *Renilla reniformis* luciferase. *Biochemistry* **16(1)**, 85-91. (https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00620a014)

10. Lorenz WW, McCann RO, Longiaru M, Cormier MJ. 1991 Isolation and expression of a cDNA encoding *Renilla reniformis* luciferase. *PNAS* **88(10)**, 4438-4442. (https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.10.4438)

11. Loening AM, Fenn TD, Gambhir SS. 2007 Crystal structures of the luciferase and green fluorescent protein from *Renilla reniformis*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **374(4)**, 1017-1028. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.09.078)

12. Bessho-Uehara M, Francis WR, Haddock SHD. 2020 Biochemical characterization of
diverse deep-sea anthozoan bioluminescence systems. *Mar. Biol.* **167**, 114.
(https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-020-03706-w)

13. Schenkmayerova A, Toul M, Pluskal D, Baatallah R, Gagnot G, Pinto GP, Santana VT, et
al. 2023 Catalytic mechanism for *Renilla*-type luciferases. *Nat. Catal.* **6**, 23-38.
(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-022-00895-z)

14. DeLeo D, Bessho-Uehara M, Haddock SHD, McFadden CS, Quattrini AM. 2024
Evolution of bioluminescence in Anthozoa with emphasis on Octocorallia. *Proc. R. Soc.*
B. **291**, 20232626. (https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2023.2626)

15. Inoue S, Kakoi H, Murata M, Goto T, Shimomura O. 1977 Complete structure of *Renilla*
luciferin and luciferyl sulfate. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **18(31)**, 2685-2688.
(https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(01)83046-X)

16. Cormier MJ, Hori K, Karkhanis YD, Anderson JM, Wampler JE, Morin JG, Hastings JW.
1973 Evidence for similar biochemical requirements for bioluminescence among
coelenterates. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **81(2)**, 291-297.
(https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1040810218)

17. Delroisse J, Ullrich-Lüter E, Blaue S, Ortega-Martinez O, Eeckhaut I, Flammang P,
Mallefet J. 2017 A puzzling homology: a brittle star using a putative cnidarian-type
luciferase for bioluminescence. *Open Biol.* **7(4)**, 160300.
(https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.160300)

18. Tessler M, Gaffney JP, Oliveira AG, Guarnaccia A, Dobi KC, Gujarati NA, et al. 2020 A
putative chordate luciferase from a cosmopolitan tunicate indicates convergent
bioluminescence evolution across phyla. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 17724.
(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-54373446-w)

19. Anderson J, Charbonneau H, Cormier MJ. 1974 Mechanism of calcium induction of
Renilla bioluminescence. Involvement of a calcium-triggered luciferin binding protein.
Biochemistry **13(6)**, 1195-1200. (https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00703a602)

20. Ward WW, Cormier MJ. 1979 Energy transfer protein in coelenterate bioluminescence.
Characterization of the *Renilla* green-fluorescent protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **254(3)**, 781-
788.

21. Kumar S, Harrylock M, Walsh KA, Cormier MJ, Charbonneau H. 1990 Amino acid
sequence of the Ca^{2+}-triggered luciferin binding protein of *Renilla reniformis*. *FEBS Lett.*
268(1), 287-290. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(90)81029-N)

22. Inouye S. 2007 Expression, purification and characterization of calcium-triggered
luciferin-binding protein of *Renilla reniformis*. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **52(1)**, 66-73.
(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2006.07.028)

23. Titushin MS, Markova SV, Frank LA, Malikova NP, Stepanyuk GA, Lee J, Vysotski ES.
2008 Coelenterazine-binding protein of *Renilla muelleri* : cDNA cloning,
overexpression, and characterization as a substrate of luciferase. *Photochem.*
Photobiol. Sci. **7**, 189-196. (https://doi.org/10.1039/b713109g)

24. Ogoh K, Kinebuchi T, Murai M, Takahashi T, Ohmiya Y, Suzuki H. 2013 Dual-color-
emitting green fluorescent protein from the sea cactus *Cavernularia obesa* and its use
as a pH indicator for fluorescent microscopy. *Luminescence* **28(4)**, 582-591.
(https://doi.org/10.1002/bio.2497)

25. Henry J-P, Ninio M. 1978 Control of the Ca^{2+}-triggered bioluminescence of *Veretillum*
cynomorium lumisomes. *Biochimica et Biophysica acta* **504(1)**, 40-59.
(https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(78)90005-1)

26. Charbonneau H, Cormier MJ. 1979 Ca^{2+}-induced bioluminescence in *Renilla reniformis*.
Purification and characterization of a calcium-triggered luciferin-binding protein. *J.*
Biol. Chem. **254(3)**, 769-780. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)37872-9)

27. Stepanyuk GA, Liu Z-J, Vysotski ES, Lee J, Rose JP, Wang B-C. 2008 Structure based
mechanism of the Ca^{2+}-induced release of coelenterazine from the *Renilla* binding
protein. *Proteins* **74(3)**, 583-593. (https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.22173)

28. Nicol JAC. 1955 Observations on luminescence in *Renilla* (Pennatulacea). *J. Exp. Biol.*
51232(2), 299-320.

29. Davenport D, Nicol JAC. 1956 Observations on luminescence in sea pens
(Pennatulacea). *Proc. R. Soc. B* **144(917)**, 480-496.
(https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1956.0005)

30. Wampler JE, Karkhanis YD, Morin JG, Cormier MJ. 1973 Similarities in the
bioluminescence from the Pennatulacea. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **314**, 104-109.
(https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(73)90068-6)

31. Satterlie RA, Anderson PAV, Case JF. 1980 Colonial coordination on anthozoans:
Pennatulacea. *Mar. Behav. Physiol.* **7**, 25-46.
(https://doi.org/10.1080/10236248009386969)

32. Germain G, Anctil M. 1988 Luminescent activity and ultrastructural characterization of
photocytes dissociated from the coelenterate *Renilla köllikeri*. *Tissue and Cell* **20(5)**,
701-720. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-8166(88)90017-1)

33. Anctil M, Boulay D, Larivière L. 1982 Monoaminergic mechanisms associated with
control of luminescence and contractile activities in the coelenterate, *Renilla köllikeri*.
J. Exp. Zool. **223(1)**, 11-24. (https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402230103)

34. Duchatelet L, Coubris C, Pels C, Dupont S, Mallefet J. 2023 Catecholamine involvement
in the bioluminescence control of two species of Anthozoans. *Life* **13(9)**, 1798.
(https://doi.org/10.3390/life13091798)

35. Morin JG. 1976 Probable functions of bioluminescence in the Pennatulacea (Cnidaria,
Anthozoa). In: Mackie GO. (Ed) *Coelenterate Ecology and Behavior*. Springer, Boston,
MA, USA. (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-9724-4_65)

36. Delroisse J, Mallefet J, Flammang P. 2016 *De novo* adult transcriptomes of two
European brittle stars: spotlight on opsin-based photoreception. *PLoS ONE* **11(4)**,
e0152988. (https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152988)

37. Delroisse J, Duchatelet L, Flammang P, Mallefet J. 2018 *De novo* transcriptome
analyses provide insights into opsin-based photoreception in the lanternshark

Etmopterus spinax. PLoS ONE **13(12)**, e0209767.
(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209767)

38. Delroisse J, Duchatelet L, Flammang P, Mallefet J. 2021 Photophore distribution and
enzymatic diversity within the photogenic integument of the cookie-cutter shark
Isistius brasiliensis (Chondrichthyes: Dalatiidae). *Front. Mar. Sci.* **8**, 627045.
(https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.627045)

39. Cock PJA, Fields CJ, Goto N, Heuer ML, Rice PM. 2010 The Sanger FASTQ file format for
sequences with quality scores and the Solexa/Illumina FASTQ variants. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **38(6)**, 1767-1771. (https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1137)

40. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. 2011 Full-
length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **29**, 644-652. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883)

41. Pertea G, Huang X, Liang F, Antonescu V, Sultana R, Karamycheva S, et al. 2002 TIGR
gene indices clustering tools (TGICL): a software system for fast clustering of large EST
datasets. *Bioinformatics* **19(5)**, 651-652.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg034)

42. Das S, Shyamal S, Durica DS. 2016 Analysis of annotation and differential expression
methods used in RNA-seq studies in crustacean systems. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **56(6)**,
1067-1079. (https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icw117)

43. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990 Basic local alignment search
tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215(3)**, 403-410. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)

44. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2014 Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 59-60. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3176)

45. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. 2005 Blast2GO: a
universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics
research. *Bioinformatics* **21(18)**, 3674-3676.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610)

46. Quevillon E, Silventoinen V, Pillai S, Harte N, Mulder N, Apweiler R, Lopez R. 2005
InterProScan: protein domains identifier. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, W116-W120.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki442)

47. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. 2012
Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the
organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28(12)**, 1647-1649.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199)

48. Woo J, Howell MH, von Arnim AG. 2009 Structure-function studies on the active site of
the coelenterazine-dependent luciferase from *Renilla*. *Protein Sci.* **17(4)**, 725-735.
(https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.073355508)

49. McFadden CS, van Ofwegen LP, Quattrini AM. 2022 Revisionary systematics of
Octocorallia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) guided by phylogenomics. *Bulletin of the Society of*
Systematics Biologists **1(3)**. (https://doi.org/10.18061/bssb.v1i3.8735)

50. Labas YA, Gurskaya NG, Yanushevich YG, Fradkov AF, Lukyanov KA, Lukyanov SA, Matz
MV. 2002 Diversity and evolution of the green fluorescent protein family. *Proc. Natl*
Acad. Sci. **99(7)**, 4257-4261. (https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.062552299)
51. Alieva NO, Konzen KA, Field SF, Meleshkevitch EA, Hunt ME, Beltran-Ramirez V, Miller
DJ, Wiedenmann J, Salih A, Matz MV. 2008 Diversity and evolution of coral fluorescent
proteins. *PLoS ONE* **3(7)**, e2680. (https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002680)
52. Li G, Zhang QJ, Zhong J, Wang YQ. 2009 Evolutionary and functional diversity of green
fluorescent proteins in cephalochordates. *Gene* **446(1)**, 41-49.
(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2009.07.003)
53. Shagin DA, Barsova EV, Yanushevich YG, Fradkov AF, Lukyanov KA, Labas YA, Semenova
TN, Ugalde JA, Meyers A, Nunez JM, Widder EA, Lukyanov SA, Matz MV. 2004 GFP-like
proteins as ubiquitous metazoan superfamily: evolution of functional features and
structural complexity. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **21(5)**, 841-850.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msh079)
54. Schnitzler CE, Pang K, Powers ML, Reitzel AM, Ryan JF, Simmons D, Tada T, Park M,
Gupta J, Brooks SY, Blakesley RW, Yokoyama S, Haddock SHD, Martindale MQ,
Baxevanis AD. 2012 Genomic organization, evolution, and expression of photoprotein
and opsin genes in *Mnemiopsis leidyi*: a new view of ctenophore photocytes. *BMC Biol.*
10, 1-26. (https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-10-107)
55. Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T. 2009 trimAl: a tool for automated
alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1972-
1973. (https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348)
56. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015 IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
32(1), 268-274. (https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300)
57. Posada D, Crandall KA. 1998 MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution.
Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) **14(9)**, 817-818.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817)
58. Nicol JAC. 1958 Observations on the luminescence of *Pennatula phosphorea*, with a
note on the luminescence of *Virgularia mirabilis*. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* **37**, 551–563.
(https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400005610)
59. Rahnama S, Saffar B, Kahrani Z.F, Nazari M, Emamzadeh R. 2017 Super RLuc8: a novel
engineered *Renilla* luciferase with a red-shifted spectrum and stable light emission.
Enzym. Microb. Technol. **96**, 60-66. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2016.09.009)
60. Khoshnevisan G, Emamzadeh R, Nazari M, Rasa SMM, Sariri R, Hassani L. 2018 Kinetics,
structure, and dynamics of *Renilla* luciferase solvated in binary mixtures of glycerol and
water and the mechanism by which glycerol obstructs the enzyme emitter site. *Int. J.*
Biol. Macromol. **117**, 617-624. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.05.160)
61. Mallefet J, Duchatelet L, Coubris C. 2020 Bioluminescence induction in the ophiuroid
Amphiura filiformis (Echinodermata). *J. Exp. Biol.* **223(4)**, jeb218719.
(https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.218719)

62. Mallefet J, Martinez-Soares P, Eléaume M, O'Hara T, Duchatelet L. 2023 New insights
on crinoid (Echinodermata; Crinoidea) bioluminescence. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **10**, 1136138.
(https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1136138)
63. Loening AM, Fenn TD, Wu AM, Gambhir SS. 2006 Consensus guided mutagenesis of
Renilla luciferase yields enhanced stability and light output. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.*
19(9), 391–400. (https://doi.org/10.1093/protein/gzl023)
64. Tsuji FI, Ohmiya Y, Fagan TF, Toh H, Inouye S. 1995 Molecular evolution of the Ca^{2+}-
binding photoproteins of the hydrozoa. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **62(4)**, 657-661.
(https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.1995.tb08713.x)
65. Powers ML, McDermott AG, Shaner NC, Haddock SHD. 2013 Expression and
characterization of the calcium-activated photoprotein from the ctenophore
Bathocyroe fosteri: Insights into light-sensitive photoproteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*
Commun. **431(2)**, 360-366. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.12.026)
66. Burakova LP, Stepanyuk GA, Eremeeva EV, Vysotski ES. 2016 Role of certain amino acid
residues of the coelenterazine-binding cavity in bioluminescence of light-sensitive Ca^{2+}-
regulated photoprotein berovin. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **15**, 691-704.
(https://doi.org/10.1039/c6pp00050a)
67. Burakova LP, Vysotski ES. 2019 Recombinant Ca2+-regulated photoproteins of
ctenophores: Current knowledge and application prospects. *Appl. Microbiol.*
Biotechnol. **103**, 5929-5946. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-09939-0)
68. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, *et al*. 2021 Highly
accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583-589.
(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2)
69. Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, *et al*. 2024 Accurate
structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493-
500. (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w)
70. Stepanyuk GA, Liu Z-J, Markova SS, Frank LA, Lee J, Vysotski ES, Wang B-C. 2008 Crystal
structure of coelenterazine-binding protein from *Renilla muelleri* at 1.7Å: Why it is not
a calcium-regulated photoprotein. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **7**, 442-447.
(https://doi.org/10.1039/b716535h)
71. Buskey EJ, Stearns DE. 1991 The effects of starvation on bioluminescence potential and
egg release of the copepod *Metridia longa*. *J. Plankton Res.* **13(4)**, 885-893.
(https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/13.4.885)
72. Thomson CM, Herring PJ, Campbell AK. 1995 Evidence for *de novo* biosynthesis of
coelenterazine in the bioluminescent midwater shrimp, *Systellaspis debilis* C. *J. Mar.*
Biol. Assoc. U.K. **75(1)**, 165-171. (https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400015277)
73. Oba Y, Kato S-I, Ojika M, Inouye S. 2009 Biosynthesis of coelenterazine in the deep-sea
copepod, *Metridia pacifica*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **390(3)**, 684-688.
(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.10.028)

74. Bessho-Uehara M, Huang W, Patry WL, Browne WE, Weng J-K, Haddock SHD. 2020
Evidence for *de novo* biosynthesis of the luminous substrate coelenterazine in
ctenophores. *iScience* **23(12)**, 101859. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101859)

75. Francis WR, Shaner NC, Christianson LM, Powers ML, Haddock SHD. 2015 Occurrence
of isopenicillin-N-synthase homologs in bioluminescent ctenophores and implications
for coelenterazine biosynthesis. *PLoS ONE* **10(6)**, e0128742.
(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128742)

76. Haddock SHD, Rivers TJ, Robison BH. 2001 Can coelenterates make coelenterazine?
Dietary requirement for luciferin in cnidarian bioluminescence. *Proc. Ntl Acad. Sci.*
98(20), 11148-11151.(https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.201329798)

77. Herring PJ. 1991 Observations on bioluminescence in some deep-water anthozoans. In
Coelenterate Biology: Recent Research on Cnidaria and Ctenophora, Proceedings of the
Fifth International Conference on Coelenterate Biology, Southampton, UK, 10–14 July
1989; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands; pp. 573–579.

78. Coubris C, Duchatelet L, Delroisse J, Bayaert WS, Parise L, Eloy MC, Pels C, Mallefet J.
2024 Maintain the light, long-term seasonal monitoring of luminous capabilities in the
brittle star *Amphiura filiformis*. *Sci. Rep. Submitted.*

79. Herring P.J. 1978 Bioluminescence of invertebrates other than insects. In: Herring P.J.
(ed), *Bioluminescence in action*, New York Academic Press, pp.199-240.

80. Inouye S, Watanabe K, Nakamura H, Shimomura O. 2000 Secretional luciferase of the
luminous shrimp *Oplophorus gracilirostris*: cDNA cloning of a novel imidazopyrazinone
luciferase. *FEBS Lett.* **481(1)**, 19-25. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)01963-3)

81. Kobilka B. 1992 Adrenergic receptors as models for G protein-coupled receptors. *Ann.*
Rev. Neurosci. **15(1)**, 87-114. (https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.15.030192.000511)

82. Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ. 1993 Catecholamine receptors: structure, function, and
regulation. *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.* **1993**, 277-290. (https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-
12-571148-7.50014-2)

83. Neves SR, Ram PT, Iyengar R. 2002 G protein pathways. *Science* **296(5573)**, 1636-1639.
(https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1071550)

84. Brown AM, Birnbaumer L. 1988 Direct G protein gating of ion channels. *Am. J. Physiol.*
Heart Circ. Physiol. **254(3)**, H401-H410.
(https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.1988.254.3.H401)

85. Hille B. 1994 Modulation of ion-channel function by G-protein-coupled receptors.
Trends Neurosci. **17(12)**, 531-536. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(94)90157-0)

86. Dolphin AC. 2003 G protein modulation of voltage-gated calcium channels. *Pharmacol.*
Rev. **55(4)**, 607-627. (https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.55.4.3)

87. Tedford HW, Zamponi GW. 2006 Direct G protein modulation of Cav2 calcium
channels. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **58(4)**, 837-862. (https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.58.4.11)

88. Roda A, Pasini P, Mirasoli M, Michelini E, Guardigli M. 2004 Biotechnological
applications of bioluminescence and chemiluminescence. *Trends Biotechnol.* **22(6)**,
295-303. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2004.03.011)

89. Kirkpatrick A, Xu T, Ripp S, Sayler G, Close D. 2019 Biotechnological advances in
luciferase enzymes. *Bioluminescence-Analytical Applications and Basic Biology*, 1-23.
(https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.85313)
90. Welsh DK, Kay SA. 2005 Bioluminescence imaging in living organisms. *Curr. Opin.*
Biotechnol. **16(1)**, 73-78. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2004.12.006)
1294

A

RLuc enzyme Clade A

100 — A. murrayi
100 — C. obesa
89 — P. phosphorea
100 — R. reniformis
85 — R. muelleri

RLuc-like enzyme Clade B

100 — A. murray
90 / 100 — R. reniformis
98 — R. muelleri
— P. phosphorea
— Xenia sp
— P. flava a
— P. flava b
— P. clavata
— T. musica
— S. cruciata
98 — D. gigantea

RLuc-like enzyme Clade C

89 — A. murrayi
89 — R. muelleri
99 — P. phosphorea
85 — S. cruciata
82 — T. musica
— P. flava
98 — D. gigantea
100 — S. purpuratus
43 — S. kovalevskii
— B. floridae
— C. intestinalis

Deuterostome RLuc-like enzymes

100 — **Outgroup**
(Bacterial haloalkane dehalogenases)

0.3

Malacalcyonacea, Octocorallia
Scleralcyonacea, Octocorallia
Deuterostoma
Bacteria

B *P. phosphorea* Pinnula Rachis Peduncle

CL1333.contig1
CL1333.contig2

Unigene12757

CL1771.contig1
CL1771.contig2
CL1771.contig3
CL1771.contig4

Log$_{10}$(FPKM+1): 0.02 — 1.38

C *A. murrayi* Whole

comp24811

comp24802

comp31557

/ 0.41 — 2.39

D

R. reniformis LUC (RLUC)
PDB ID: 7OMR

P. phosphorea LUC
AlphaFold model

A. murrayi LUC
AlphaFold model

CEI   N

All superposed

Helical cap

αβα-sandwich core

CEI   N

C

E

λmax ~ 498 nm
λmax ~ 513 nm

Light emission (%)

400 461 523 585
Wavelength (nm)

Tuning emission wavelength
V185
M185
M179

D162
D162
D156

N53
N53
N47

CEI

Dioxygen binding
D120
D120
D114

W121
W121
W115

H285
H285
H278

E144
E144
E138

Proton-relay system

A

GFPs Octocorallia

- 100 — **A. murrayi**
  - 99 ┌ **A. murrayi**
  - 99 └ C. obesa
- 96
  - 100 ┌ R. reniformis GFP
  - 82 └ **P. phosphorea**
- 94
  - 99 ┌ Ptilosarcus sp.
  - 100 ┤ P. gurneyi
    - 56 ┌ R. muelleri
    - └ R. reniformis
- 85
  - 58 ┌ D. gigantea
    - 94 ┤ P. clavata
    - └ Xenia sp.
  - ┌ S. gracillimum
  - ┤ Dendronephthya sp.
  - ┤ Clavularia sp.
  - └ Sarcophyton sp.

0.3

GFPs Hexacorallia

- Scleractinia
- Scleractinia/Corallimorpharia
- Scleractinia
- 91 Scleractinia/Actiniaria/Zoantharia
- Actiniaria
- 100 Ceriantharia
- 100 **Outgroup (Hydrozoa GFPs)**

B **P. phosphorea**

	Pinnule	Rachis	Peduncle
CL380.contig2			
CL380.contig4			
CL380.contig5			
CL380.contig6			
CL380.contig7			
CL380.contig8			
CL380.contig9			
CL380.contig10			
CL380.contig12			
Unigene11330			
Unigene11331			
Unigene16546			

$Log_{10}(FPKM+1)$: 0 ▮ 1.90

C **A. murrayi**

	Whole
comp33799	
comp24361	

$Log_{10}(FPKM+1)$: 0.24 ▮ 1.02

Malacalcyonacea, Octocorallia

Scleralcyonacea, Octocorallia

Hexacorallia

Hydrozoa

D

R. reniformis GFP (RGFP)
PDB ID: 2RH7

P. phosphorea GFP
AlphaFold model

A. murrayi GFP
AlphaFold model

All superposed

P. phosphorea specific loop extension

Pennatula phosphorea

A

B *Autofluo.*

C *Autofluo.*

D *RLuc*

E *Autofluo.*

F *Rluc*

Funiculina quadrangularis

G

H *Autofluo.*

I

J *Rluc*

Extracellular

Intracellular

Catecholamine

Ca^{2+} Ca^{2+}
Ca^{2+}

G

$+$

Ca^{2+}
Ca^{2+}
Ca^{2+}

Ca^{2+}

Ca^{2+}

Pennatula phosphorea
Anthoptilum murrayi

hv
510/513 nm

Funiculina quadrangularis

hv
485 nm

GFP

LUC

CTZ $\longrightarrow$ CTZ $\longrightarrow$ CTM $+$ CO_2 $+$ hv
480 nm

CBP

O_2