Title: Direct binding of a fungal effector by the wheat RWT4 tandem kinase activates defense

Authors:

Yi-Chang Sung[1], Yinghui Li[1, 2, 3], Suji Baik[1], Soichiro Asuke[4], Tzion Fahima[2], Gitta Coaker[1*]

Author Affiliations:

[1]Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, CA, U.S.A.

[2]Institute of Evolution and the Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, University of Haifa, 199 Abba-Hushi Avenue, Mt. Carmel, 3498838 Haifa, Israel

[3]Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, Sichuan, China

[4]Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

* Corresponding author: G. Coaker, glcoaker@ucdavis.edu

Abstract:

Plants have intricate innate immune receptors that detect pathogens. Research has intensely focused on two receptor classes recognizing external and internal threats. Recent research has identified a new class of disease resistance proteins called tandem kinase proteins (TKPs). We investigated RWT4, a wheat TKP that confers resistance to the devastating fungal pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae*. We established a rice protoplast system, revealing RWT4 specifically recognizes the AvrPWT4 effector, leading to the transcription of defense genes and inducing cell death. RWT4 possesses both kinase and pseudokinase domains, with its kinase activity essential for defense. RWT4 directly interacts with and transphosphorylates AvrPWT4. Biolayer interferometry revealed both RWT4 domains bind the effector. AlphaFold multimer modeling identified critical regions of RWT4 for effector interaction and recognition. These findings demonstrate that TKPs can directly bind a recognized effector and may act as primary immune receptors, circumventing traditional immune pathways.

Introduction

Plant genomes encode multiple innate immune receptors capable of recognizing diverse pathogen classes [1]. These immune receptors recognize pathogen features such as conserved molecular patterns or specialized secreted pathogen effector proteins [1]. The main classes of plant immune receptors are broadly categorized into cell-surface localized receptors, including receptor-like kinases (RLKs) or receptor-like proteins (RLPs), as well as intracellular nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat (NLR) immune receptors [2,3]. Most identified and well-studied receptors belong to RLKs/RLPs or NLRs, also known as canonical resistance genes [4]. Recently, kinase fusion proteins (KFP) have emerged as new players in plant immunity. KFPs contain unique protein architecture, including dual-kinase domains termed tandem kinase proteins (TKPs) or kinase fused with an integrated domain [5].

To date, more than 100 TKPs have been found across plant species, spanning both dicots and monocots [6,7]. TKPs represent a protein, not a gene, family since their kinase domains can be derived from different kinase (sub)families [8]. However, TKPs conferring disease resistance have been exclusively identified from the *Triticeae* tribe. Currently, ten *Triticeae* TKPs have been identified that confer resistance to biotrophic or hemibiotrophic fungal pathogens [5,8,9]. Barley RPG1 conferring resistance to barley stem rust was the first TKP identified [10]. Subsequent cloning of wheat resistance proteins, WTK1 to WTK7-TM and RWT4, also revealed dual-kinase architecture [6,9,11-13]. KFPs can also contain integrated non-kinase domains. For example, Sr43 (kinase-DUF347-DUF668) and WTK6-vWA (TKP-vWA), have been cloned with resistance to stem rust and leaf rust, respectively [14,15]. NLR immune receptors can also carry integrated domains responsible for directly binding recognized pathogen effectors, leading to defense activation and cell death [16]. However, it is unclear if TKPs can directly recognize pathogen effectors or other pathogen components.

Current data, primarily from mutant analyses, indicates that both domains are required for TKP-mediated resistance, which includes eliciting plant cell death. Wheat Tandem Kinase 1 (WTK1), conferring resistance to yellow rust, induces rapid and localized cell death post-haustoria

formation, suggesting that defense activation requires the perception of an unknown effector [8].

Mutagenesis assays have revealed the requirement of both kinase and pseudokinase domains for

WTK1 function [8]. Similarly, mutants in RPG1's kinase or pseudokinase domain exhibited full

susceptibility to *Puccina graminis f.* sp. *tritici* [17]. RPG1 exhibits *in vitro* autophosphorylation

activity, is rapidly phosphorylated upon exposure to avirulent spores, and can also induce cell

death [17,18]. These data indicate that TKPs are rapidly activated upon pathogen perception and can

elicit hallmarks of innate immune responses, including cell death [6,9,13,19,20].

Recently, the *Rwt4* TKP was cloned from the D-genome of hexaploid wheat [21]. RWT4 recognizes

the PWT4 effector from *Magnaporthe oryzae* (syn *Pyricularia oryzae*) pathotype *Avena*, leading

to an incompatible interaction [22]. *M. oryzae* is composed of host-specific subgroups that can cause

disease in rice, finger millet, oat, perennial ryegrass, and wheat. *M. oryzae* poses a threat to global

wheat production [23]. The *M. oryzae* host jump to wheat required loss of pathogen recognition by

the TKP *Rwt4* and the NLR *Rwt3* [21].

Here, we examined RWT4 as a model for TKP activation. We demonstrate that RWT4 directly

binds and phosphorylates the recognized effector AvrPWT4, but not an unrecognized VirPWT4

allele. Furthermore, RWT4 can be transferred to a rice protoplast system and confer defense

activation in the presence of AvrPWT4. Structure-function analyses identified key RWT4 residues

required for effector binding and defense activation. These findings provide detailed mechanistic

insights into TKP effector binding and activation.

Materials and Methods

Wheat infection assays

Wheat seeds were pre-germinated on moistened filter papers. After 24 hours, sprouted seeds

were sown in the soil in seedling cases (5.5 × 15 × 10 cm, Sakata Prime Mix, Sakata Seed

Corporation, Yokohama, Japan), and grown at 22°C in a controlled-environment room with a 12-

h photoperiod of fluorescent lighting for eight days. Primary leaves of the nine-day-old seedlings

were fixed onto a hard plastic board with rubber bands just before inoculation. Conidial

suspensions (1×10^5 conidia/mL) were prepared as described previously [24] and sprayed onto fixed

primary leaves using an air compressor. The inoculated seedlings were incubated in a tray sealed

with plastic wrap under dark and humid conditions at 22°C for 24 h, then transferred to dry

conditions with a 12-h photoperiod of fluorescent lighting and incubated at 22°C for an additional

3-5 days. Four to six days after inoculation, symptoms were evaluated based on the size and color

of lesions [25]. The size of lesions was rated on six progressive grades from 0-5: 0 = no visible

infection; 1 = pinhead spots; 2 = small lesions (<1.5 mm); 3 = scattered lesions of intermediate

size (<3 mm); 4 = large typical lesion; and 5 = complete blighting of leaf blades. A disease score

comprised a number denoting the lesion size and a letter indicating the lesion color: 'B' for brown

lesions and 'G' for green lesions. The infection types 0, 1B, and 2B were regarded as resistant,

while the infection types 3G, 4G, and 5G were considered susceptible (Table S1).

Cloning and site-directed mutagenesis

The *Rwt4* Cadenza allele (*Rwt4^{Ca}*, TraesCAD_scaffold_040753_01G000200.1) and Chinese Spring

allele (*Rwt4^{Cs}*, TraesCS1D02G058900) were codon-optimized for expression in rice and

synthesized (Twist Bioscience gene fragment). *Rwt4^{Ca}*_K217R/K327R was generated by PCR-based site-

directed mutagenesis. Genes of interest were cloned to Gateway™ pENTR™ 4 Dual Selection

Vector (A10465) by NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (NEB, E2621L) and cloned to a

pUC19 expression vector powered by the maize ubiquitin promoter (*Zm*UBI) via Gateway LR

reaction. Full length of *Rwt4^{Ca}*, its kinase domain, and pseudokinase domain were cloned into *E.*

coli expression plasmids pET28a-His-MBP [26] or pET28a-His (EMD Biosciences) using ligation-

independent cloning (LIC) for recombinant protein production [27].

We refer to the *Pwt4* effector in two terms, the avirulent allele that can be recognized by *Rwt4*

(*AvrPwt4*) and a virulent form that cannot be recognized (*VirPwt4*) [22]. *AvrPwt4* (*Pwt4-Br58* type

allele, GeneBank:LC202655.1) and *VirPwt4* (*Pwt4-Br48* type allele, GenBank: LC202656.1), lacking

their N-terminal signal peptide as predicted by SignalP 5.0

(https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/SignalP-5.0/), were codon optimized, synthesized

(Twist Bioscience gene fragment) and cloned into the pUC19:*Zm*UBI expression vector via

Gateway LR reaction. *AvrPwt4* and *VirPwt4* were cloned into an *E. coli* expression plasmid pET28a-

GST using ligation-independent cloning (LIC) for recombinant protein purification [27,28]. Sequences

were validated by whole plasmid sequencing service (Plasmidsaurus). All constructs and primers

used in this study are listed in Table S5 and Table S6.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

Full length RWT4[Ca], the kinase domain, and the pseudokinase domain were expressed in *E. coli*

BL21 Rosetta (DE3) strain and purified by affinity and size exclusion chromatography. Four liters

of cells were grown at 37°C to OD_{600} of 0.4 before adding IPTG to a final concentration of 0.5 mM.

Cells were resuspended in a lysis buffer consisting of 50 mM Hepes pH 8.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM

PMSF (phenylmethylsul-fonyl fluoride) and 1 µg/mL DNase and lysed by a microfluidizer at 18k

psi. The lysate was then clarified by centrifugation at 16000 g at 4°C for 50 min. The proteins of

interest were separated from the clarified lysate by immobilized metal affinity chromatography

(IMAC) and eluted with an elution buffer (50 mM HEPES at pH 8.5, 300 mM NaCl and 250 mM

imidazole) on an AKTA FPLC. Eluted samples were dialyzed into a low-salt buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl) for further affinity-based purification using 1 mL MBPTrapHP columns

(Cytiva Life Science, #29048641). Target proteins were eluted by a buffer (10 mM maltose, 20 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl) and were injected to a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG column

equilibrated with 10 mM HEPES at pH 8.0 and 150 mM NaCl. Fractions corresponding to the

proteins of interest were collected and visualized by Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE, before being

concentrated using a 3 kDa MWCO Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (Millipore Sigma, #UFC9003) to

appropriate concentrations for further analysis.

AvrPWT4 and VirPWT4 were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 Rosetta (DE3) and purified by affinity and

size exclusion chromatography. Their expression and cell lysates were prepared as described

above. Cell lysates were purified by affinity-based chromatography using 1mL GSTrap HP columns

(Cytiva Life Science, #17528201) equilibrated with a binding buffer 140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10

mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4, pH 8.0. Target proteins were eluted with elution buffer (50 mM

Tris-HCl, 10 mM reduced glutathione, pH 8.0) and dialyzed to a buffer condition (10 mM Tris-HCl

pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) optimized for TEV protease cleavage (ProTEV

Plus, Promega, V6101). Samples were adjusted to a final volume of 10 mL with 100U TEV protease

and incubated at 4°C for overnight. After the incubation, samples were loaded into a GSTrap HP

column (Cytiva Life Science, #17528201), and the flow-through was collected for further size

exclusion chromatography (HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG column) as described above. Purified

proteins were visualized by Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE and concentrated using a 3 kDa MWCO

Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (Millipore Sigma, #UFC9003,).

Kinase activity and phosphatase assays

Kinase reactions were performed according to [29] with minor modifications. Kinase reactions were

performed in a kinase buffer consisting of 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1

mM dithiothreitol, 100 µM ATP, with additional 10 µCi of $[^{32}P]\gamma ATP$ (Revvity, #BLU002A100UC).

Two µg of recombinant protein was mixed with the mentioned buffer and reactions were

incubated at 30°C for 30 min and stopped with 3x Laemmli sample buffer. Radioactive samples

were separated on SDS-PAGE gels and visualized by autoradiography. For trans-phosphorylation

assays, $RWT4^{Ca}$ and PWT4 were mixed at 1:1 ratio and incubated on ice for 20 min before the

mentioned kinase reaction. For phosphatase treatments, 2µg of recombinant protein was mixed

with 400U lambda protein phosphatase (NEB, #P0753S) at 30°C for 30 min before separated by

an SDS-PAGE. The phosphorylation status of RWT4 was visualized by a Western blot using an

Phospho-Threonine/Tyrosine Antibody (Cell signaling technology, #9381) at 1:2000 dilution in a

TBST buffer for an overnight incubation at 4°C. The antibody was detected by an Immun-Star Goat

Anti-Rabbit (GAR)-HRP Conjugate (Bio-Rad #1705046) and protein signals were detected on the

immunoblot using SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoFisher

Scientific #34580) and visualized by ChemiDoc™ Touch Gel Imaging System (Bio-Rad #1708370).

Mass spectrometry (MS)

Kinase reactions using 6 ug of $RWT4^{Ca}$ and AvrPWT4 were conducted as described above. Samples

were treated with freshly prepared 10mM dithiothreitol and 55 mM Iodoacetamide for reduction

and alkylation. Samples were digested by using trypsin at 10 ng/μL at 37°C overnight and peptides

were harvested by with 50% ACN/0.1% FA (formic acid, Sigma #06473), lyophilized and

resuspended with 0.1% FA. Samples were submitted to the Genomics Center Proteomics Core at

the University of California, Davis. Reverse-phased Liquid Chromatography (LC) was performed

on an EASY-nLC II HPLC (Thermofisher Scientific). The digested peptides were desalted by ZipTip

with 0.6 μL C18 resin (ZTC18S096, Millipore) before separated by a 75-μm × 150-mm C18 100A 3-

units reverse phased column at a flow rate 300 nL/min. The gradient elution employed buffer A

(0.1% formic acid) and buffer B (100% acetonitrile) over 60 min, transitioning from 5% to 35%

buffer B over 45 min, followed by a 35% to 80% buffer B gradient over 5 min, holding at 80%

buffer B for 2 min, and finally returning to 5% buffer B over 2min, maintaining at 5% buffer B for

6 min before the next sample injection.

Mass spectra were collected on an Orbitrap Exploris Mass Spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientifics)

with one full scan (300–1,600 m/z, R = 60,000 at 200 m/z) at a target of 3x 10^6 ions, followed by

data-independent MS/MS scans with higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) detected in the

Orbitrap (R = 15,000 at 200 m/z). Results were analyzed by using the DIA-NN software package [30].

The phosphorylated peptides were mapped to the sequence of RWT4[Ca] and AvrPWT4. Peptide

spectra were visualized by using Skyline software package [31].

Bio-Layer Interferometry (BLI)

BLI experiments were conducted by using the ForteBio Octet® RED384 equipment at the UC Davis

Octet® Real-Time Drug and Protein Binding Kinetics Unit. Analyte proteins (His-RWT4[Ca], MBP-His-

RWT4[Ca], MBP-His-KD and MBP-His-PKD) were diluted to 100nM in Octet® Kinetics Buffer

(SARTORIUS, #18-1105) for immobilized to Octet® Ni-NTA (NTA) Biosensors (SARTORIUS, #18-

5101). Ligand proteins (AvrPWT4 and VirPWT4, without tags, or GST) were prepared at 10 μM in

Octet® Kinetics Buffer as a stock solution for a 1.5x serial dilution to generate concentration

gradient. Wavelength measurement for the interaction between ligand and analyte proteins

followed the manufacturer's protocol with 600 sec for association and 600 sec for dissociation [32].

Yeast-two hybrid (Y2H)

To validate RWT4 and PWT4 interactions, *Rwt4^{Ca}* and its variant proteins were cloned into the prey vector pGADT7 (Takara, #630442) while *AvrPwt4* and *VirPwt4* were cloned into the bait vector pGBKT7 (Takara, #630443). These constructs were co-transformed into the yeast strain AH109 following the manufacturer's instructions from Frozen-EZ Yeast Transformation II™ (Zymo Research). Yeast transformation was tested by dilution plating cells from a starting concentration of OD$_{600}$ 5.0 on double drop out (DDO) plates (SD-2: SD/-Trp/-Leu), while interactions were confirmed through quadruple drop out (QDO) selection (SD-4: SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade/3-AT). 3-AT (3-amino-1,2,4-triazole) was used at 10 mM to reduce background HIS activation. The transformed yeast cells were incubated at 30°C for two to five days before imaging.

For protein expression in yeast cells, five mL of yeast cells containing the genes of interest were grown at 30°C for overnight in SD-2 and harvested by centrifuging at 5000 rpm for 10 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in a lysis buffer (150mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) with Pierce™ Protease Inhibitor (ThermoFisher Scientific #A32965) as suggested by the manual. Resuspended cells were mixed with acid-washed glass beads (Sigma #G8772) and lysed by sonication for 5 min. Soluble proteins were separated by centrifuging at 13000 rpm for 10 min, mixed with 3x laemmli buffer, and resolved by SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad #1620177) for western blotting. Monoclonal ANTI-FLAG® M2-Peroxidase (HRP) antibody was used at 1:2000 (Millipore Sigma, A8592) dilution in a TBST buffer and incubated at 4°C overnight. Protein signals were detected by using SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific #34580) and visualized by ChemiDoc™ Touch Gel Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Rice protoplast transformation and cell viability assay

Protoplasts were prepared according to [33], with modifications. Seeds of the rice cultivar Kitaake (*Oryza sativa*) were surface sterilized and grown on ½ MS media at 25°C in dark for 12 days before transitioning to a photoperiod of 12 h light (approximately 150 µmol m-2 s-1) and of 12 h darkness

for two days. Rice plants were cut into approximately 1 mm strips and immediately transferred

into 0.6 M mannitol for 10 min in the dark.

The rice strips were then incubated with an enzyme solution (1.5% Cellulase RS, 0.75%

Macerozyme R-10, 0.6 M mannitol, 10 mM MES at pH 5.7, 10 mM CaCl2 and 0.1% BSA) for 5 h in

the dark with gentle shaking at 40 rpm. The enzymatic digestion was stopped by adding an equal

volume of W5 solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM $CaCl_2$, 5 mM KCl and 2 mM MES at pH 5.7),

followed by a gentle shaking by hands for 10 seconds. Protoplasts were released and washed

through 40 μm nylon mesh into round-bottom tubes three times using W5 solution. The washed

protoplasts were harvested by centrifugation at 380 g for 10 min, and pellets were resuspended

in MMG solution (0.4 M mannitol, 15 mM $MgCl_2$, and 4 mM MES at pH 5.7) at a concentration of

6×10^6 cells/mL, determined using a hematocytometer.

For protoplast transfection, 10 μg of plasmid DNA was mixed with 100 μL of the protoplasts and

100 μL freshly prepared PEG solution [40% (W/V) PEG 4000; Sigma, 0.2 M mannitol, and 0.1 M

$CaCl_2$]. The mixed samples were incubated in the dark for 20 min at room temperature. After

incubation, 2 mL W5 solution was added to stop the transfection, and protoplasts were pelleted

by centrifugation at 380 g for 10 min. The protoplasts were resuspended in 1 mL fresh W5 solution

and cultured in the dark for 16 h for cell viability assay or 4 h for detecting protein expression.

Protein expression from protoplasts were assayed by pull-down assay and Western blot. Four

individual transfection events (equals to $2.4x10^6$ cells) were collected and harvested by

centrifugation at 380 g for 5 min. Cell pellets were resuspended with a lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl,

10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) containing Pierce™ Protease Inhibitor (ThermoFisher Scientific #A32965)

as suggested by the manual. Cells were lysed by strong vortex for 5 min and mixed with 20 μL of

Pierce™ Anti-DYKDDDDK Magnetic Agarose (Thermo Scientific™, #A36797). The mixtures were

incubated at 4°C for two hours and wash for three times with the lysis buffer as suggested by the

manual. Samples were mixed with 3x laemmli buffer and resolved by SDS-PAGE gels and

transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad # 1620177) for immune blotting. Monoclonal ANTI-

FLAG® M2-Peroxidase (HRP) antibody (Millipore Sigma, A8592) was used at 1:2000 dilution in a
TBST buffer and incubated at 4°C for overnight. Protein signals were detected by using
SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific #34580) and
visualized by ChemiDoc™ Touch Gel Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Cell viability assay
Protoplast cell viability was assayed using the CellTiter-Glo® 2.0 Cell Viability Assay kit (Promega,
#G9241) as instructed by the manual and by [34]. In brief, 100 µL of protoplasts resuspended in W5
were mixed with 100 µL of the CellTiter-Glo reagent in a 96-well white assay plate. The samples
were mixed at orbital shaker for five minutes and then incubated in the dark for 10 min at room
temperature prior to recording the luminescent signal. Luminescence was measured using the
TriStar LB 941 Multimode Microplate Reader and MikroWin 2000 software (Berthold).

RNA extraction and qPCR
Total RNA was extracted from rice protoplasts seven hours after transfection by using the
PicoPure™ RNA Isolation Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, #KIT0204) with on-column DNase
treatment as per manual instructed. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV Reverse
Transcriptase (Promega, #M1701) with an oligo d(T) primer at 25 µg/mL. The transcripts of
defense marker genes were quantified by using iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green One-Step Kit (BIO-
RAD, #1725150) with 40 cycles of 1 s at 95°C and 30 s at 60°C.

Data analyses and availability
For protoplast viability assays, at least five independent transfection events were used as
biological replicates, and the presented results are representative of at least three independent
experiments. For qPCR of defense gene activation, four independent transfection events were
used. Statistical differences were detected using one way ANOVA coupled with a Tukey HSD post-
hoc test, $\alpha= 0.05$. Protein assays including kinase activity tests, western blot, and yeast-two hybrid
were independently repeated at least twice with similar results. All materials are available from

the corresponding author upon request. Raw data underlying each figure are available at

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11087676.

Results

RWT4 recognizes AvrPWT4 in wheat and rice

Rwt4 is located in the D genome of hexaploid wheat [21]. First, we phenotyped the hexaploid wheat cultivars Norin 4 (*Rwt4*), Cadenza (*Rwt4*), Hope (*rwt4*), and Chinese Spring (*rwt4*) for their responses to inoculation with spores of *M. oryzae* pathotype *Triticum* (MoT) isolate Br48 and its *AvrPwt4* transformants. Disease symptoms were recorded four days post-inoculation (dpi). Leaves infected with Br48 showed moderate to severe symptoms across all tested samples. As expected, the Br48 transformant carrying *AvrPwt4* failed to cause comparable symptoms in Norin4 and Cadenza, demonstrating that lines harboring *Rwt4* are resistant to *AvrPwt4*-carrying strains (Figure 1A, Table S1). All genotypes we tested lack *Rmg8*, an MCTP-kinase that recognizes another *M. oryzae* effector [35,36].

Next, we sought to identify a rapid plant system to investigate TKP activation. We employed a rice protoplast system to transiently co-express *Rwt4* and *Pwt4* and assayed defense responses, including cell death and defense gene expression (Figure 1B). Luminance-based cell viability assays were conducted to evaluate the ability of *Rwt4* to specifically recognize *AvrPwt4* when expressed in rice. Protoplasts co-expressing *AvrPwt4* and the resistant Cadenza allele of *Rwt4* (*Rwt4^{Ca}*) exhibited a significant reduction in viability compared to cells transfected with *Rwt4^{Ca}* plus an empty vector (Figure 1C, p<0.0001). In contrast, co-transfecting the susceptible Chinese Spring allele of *Rwt4* (*Rwt4^{Cs}*) and *AvrPwt4* failed to cause cell death (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we examined RWT4's specificity in recognizing PWT4 for defense activation by co-transfecting *Rwt4^{Ca}* with either *AvrPwt4* or *VirPwt4* in rice protoplasts. A significant strong reduction of cell viability was specifically observed in cells co-transfected with *Rwt4^{Ca}* and *AvrPwt4* but not in cells co-transfected with either *VirPwt4* or an empty vector (Figure 1D, p<0.0001). Similarly, we measured defense gene activation and observed that the defense marker genes *RSOsPR10*, *OsPr10a* and *OsRin4* [37-39] were specifically induced in cells co-transfected with *Rwt4^{Ca}* and *AvrPwt4* (Figure 1E). RWT4 and PWT4 effectors were detectable in rice protoplasts by western blot (Figure S1). These results demonstrate that RWT4 is functional when transferred to rice and can specifically recognize the AvrPWT4 effector.

The kinase activity of RWT4 is required for defense activation

Rwt4 was identified as a tandem kinase encoding a kinase-pseudokinase domain organization. To

explore the kinase domain family/subfamily of RWT4^{Ca}, we conducted a sequence homology

search for RWT4^{Ca} kinase domain (KD, 1-478) and pseudokinase domain (PKD, 540-914) in The

Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR, www.arabidopsis.org), identifying AT4G00960 and

AT4G23190 as orthologs for KD and PKD, respectively. Analysis of their kinase family/subfamily

using the annotated Arabidopsis kinome dataset [40] revealed that both of the domains belong to

the LRR_8B kinase subfamily, predominantly associated with cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases

for defense regulation. The two domains are interconnected by a linker region, which forms a

flexible intrinsic disorder (Figure 2A). Except for WTK1, all other identified TKPs controlling disease

resistance contain LRR-8B kinase domains [8,9,14].

We explored the importance of kinase activity in RWT4-mediated defense. We expressed and

purified RWT4 proteins including full length wild type RWT4^{Ca} (His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca}), its kinase

domain (His-MBP-KD), pseudokinase domain (His-MBP-PKD), and a kinase-dead variant (His-

MBP-RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$), with mutations in the two conserved lysines located within the active

site (K217R) and ATP binding site (K327R) predicted via InterPro [41,42] (Figure 2B). Immunoblot

analysis employing a phosphor-Thr/Tyr antibody detected phosphorylated His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca} and

His-MBP-KD while the signal was removed by treatment with lambda protein phosphatase (Figure

2C), suggesting RWT4^{Ca} and His-MBP-KD are capable of autophosphorylation. In contrast, RWT4's

pseudokinase domain and MBP-RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$ exhibited no detectable phosphorylation by

western blot (Figure 2C). Subsequent kinase assays using [^{32}P]γATP detected *de novo*

phosphorylation of His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca} and His-MBP-KD, whereas His-MBP-PKD and His-MBP-

RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$ failed to exhibit kinase activity (Figure 2D). Western blot with anti-HIS and

Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE analysis further revealed a size shift between His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca} and

His-MBP-RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$ (Figure 2B and 2C), further confirming that RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$ serves

as a kinase dead variant of RWT4^{Ca}. These data demonstrate that RWT4 is an active kinase and

contains kinase-pseudokinase domain architecture.

Next, we employed mass spectrometry to identify unique RWT4 phosphorylation sites upon

AvrPWT4 recognition. RWT4 was phosphorylated at multiple sites in the absence of AvrPWT4.

Four unique phosphorylated residues were identified only after incubation with recombinant

AvrPWT4, two located within the KD and two within the PKD (Figure S2, S3). One of these

phosphorylated residues, Y204, resides within the ATP binding loop of RWT4's KD and is a

conserved residue in the KD of other TKP LRR_8B members (Figure S3). The remaining three

residues, T164, S609, S803, are not conserved across the KD/PKD of other TKP LRR_8B members.

To determine if RWT4's kinase activity is required for defense induction, we co-transfected

AvrPwt4 with either *Rwt4^{Ca}* or *Rwt4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$* and measured cell viability as an indicator of

defense activation. Unlike wild type *Rwt4^{Ca}*, which strongly reduced cell viability when recognizing

AvrPwt4, cells co-transfected with *AvrPwt4* and *Rwt4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$* exhibited no significant

decrease in cell viability (Figure 2E, p<0.0001). Western blot detected protein equal expression of

RWT4^{Ca} and RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$ in rice protoplasts (Figure S1A). These data collectively

demonstrate that RWT4 kinase activity is necessary for defense responses mediated by

perception of AvrPWT4.

RWT4 phosphorylates AvrPWT4, but not the unrecognized effector VirPWT4

Next, we sought to investigate whether RWT4 phosphorylates AvrPWT4. Purified His-MBP-

RWT4^{Ca} was mixed with GST-AvrPWT4 or GST-VirPWT4 at 1:1 molar ratio 30 min prior to the

kinase assay using [^{32}P]γATP. The common kinase substrate myelin basic protein (MyBP) was

included as a positive control. Phosphorylated GST-AvrPWT4 was detected from samples

incubated with His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca} (Figure 2F) or His-MBP-KD (Figure S4A). However, no

phosphorylated GST-AvrPWT4 was observed from samples incubated with His-MBP-PKD (Figure

S4A) or the kinase dead variant His-MBP-RWT4$^{Ca\_K217R/K327R}$ (Figure S4B). Furthermore, RWT4^{Ca}

did not phosphorylate GST-VirPWT4 (Figure 2F). These findings demonstrate that RWT4^{Ca}

specifically transphosphorylates the recognized effector AvrPWT4.

To further investigate the biological significance of AvrPWT4 phosphorylation, we mapped

AvrPWT4 phosphorylation sites by mass-spectrometry and identified five phosphorylated

residues (Figure S5A). One phosphorylated residue, T45, is only found in AvrPWT4 (Figure S5B,

Figure 2G). We generated the phosphorylation null mutant *AvrPwt4*^{T45A} and tested its ability to

be recognized by RWT4^{Ca} in rice protoplasts. However, *AvrPwt4*^{T45A} had a similar ability to induce

cell death in protoplasts as wild-type *AvrPwt4* when co-transfected with *Rwt4*^{Ca}, suggesting the

effector phosphorylation at this residue is not required for RWT4-mediated defense (Figure 2G,

p<0.0001). Western blot detected AvrPWT4 and AvrPWT4^{T45A} expression from protoplasts (Figure

S5C).

RWT4*^{Ca} *directly and specifically interacts with AvrPWT4

It has been an unsolved question if TKPs can act as a receptor for a corresponding effector. Yeast

two-hybrid demonstrated an interaction between RWT4^{Ca} and AvrPWT4 but no interaction with

VirPWT4 (Figure 3A). Western blot analysis confirmed the protein expression of RWT4^{Ca},

AvrPWT4, and VirPWT4 in yeast (Figure S6). To further validate that the RWT4 TKP can directly

interact with AvrPWT4, we utilized Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) to characterize binding kinetics.

RWT4^{Ca} was purified with a His-tag for immobilizing to the biosensors, while AvrPWT4 and

VirPWT4 were expressed with a GST tag which was subsequently removed by TEV protease

treatment during purification (Figure 3B). Based on the molecular weight and yields of purified

proteins, a 1.5x dilution of molar concentration gradient of effectors were prepared from 10 μM

to 51 nM as ligands, while purified His-RWT4^{Ca} was immobilized to the Octet NTA biosensors as

analytes. Strong binding kinetics between RWT4^{Ca} were observed for all tested concentrations of

AvrPWT4 showing wavelength shifts from 0.6844 nm to 0.0264 nm, with binding constants (K_D)

less than 1x10^{-12} (Figure 3C and Table S2). In contrast, the binding affinity of RWT4^{Ca} to VirPWT4

is weak and unstable, showing wavelength shifts from 0.1754 nm to 0.0023nm with a K_D value

greater than 10^{-7} (Figure 3C and Table S2). Background binding to GST exhibited similar weak

kinetics comparable to the binding observed between His-RWT4^{Ca} and VirPWT4 (Figure 3C and

Table S2). These results demonstrate that RWT4^{Ca} has direct, stable, and specific binding to

AvrPWT4.

Further BLI assays were conducted to determine which RWT4 [Ca] domain is required for AvrPWT4

interaction. Due to challenges in obtaining high-quality KD and PKD proteins with a His-tag, we

expressed proteins with a His-MBP tag to promote solubility. Interestingly, both His-MBP-KD and

His-MBP-PKD demonstrated measurable binding to AvrPWT4 within concentrations ranging from

10 μM to 173 nM, exhibiting similar binding constants although His-MBP-PKD displayed weaker

wavelength shifts (Figure 3D and Table S3). Notably, the full-length His-MBP-RWT4[Ca] exhibited

stronger binding affinity than its individual domains, and all three tested proteins showed no

binding to GST (Figure 3D and Table S3). Collectively, these results indicate that RWT4 functions

as a receptor for AvrPWT4, with both KD and PKD involved in effector binding.

Structure-guided identification of RWT4 specificity

We further investigated the sequence or structural features determining the binding of RWT4 to

AvrPWT4. By employing AlphaFold-Multimer [43], we predicted the structure of the RWT4-

AvrPWT4 protein complex (Figure 4A, left). Structural modeling suggests that AvrPWT4 (orange)

acts as a bridge between the KD (green) and PKD (blue). We identified two potential binding

regions in the KD (117-127, 261-270) and two regions in the PKD (551-560, 627-645). Next, we

compared *Rwt4* alleles from known *MoT*-resistant wheat cultivars (Norin 4, Cadenza, Jagger,

Paragon and Claire) with susceptible cultivars (Chinese Spring and CDC Stanley) [21,22] (Figure S7

and Table S4). We identified sequence polymorphisms within the predicted KD binding region 1

(117-127) and PKD region 2 (627-645) (Figure 4B). Additionally, a six-amino-acid extension at the

C-terminus was specifically conserved in susceptible *Rwt4* alleles (Figure 4B).

To test the importance of the identified binding regions from AlphaFold-Multimer and sequence

analyses, we generated RWT4 variants by replacing sequences between resistant and susceptible

alleles in the context of RWT4[Ca] (RWT4[Ca_Reg1]: V123LR124T, RWT4[Ca_Reg2]: R627T) (Figure 4B). A

RWT4 variant incorporating the six-amino-acid extension at its C-terminus was also generated

(RWT4[Ca_+C-tail]) (Figure 4B). Subsequently, we evaluated the capacity of these RWT4 variants to

perceive AvrPWT4 for defense activation in rice protoplasts. Protoplasts transfected with wild-

type $Rwt4^{Ca}$ and $AvrPwt4$ displayed a significant reduction in cell viability (Figure 4C). However,

cells transfected with the $Rwt4^{Ca\_Reg1}$ variant and $AvrPwt4$ failed to show a decrease in viability

(Figure 4C). Similarly, no cell death was observed upon co-transfection of $Rwt4^{Ca\_+C-tail}$ with

$AvrPwt4$ (Figure 4C). Cells expressing $Rwt4^{Ca\_Reg2}$ and $AvrPwt4$ exhibited a comparable reduction

in cell viability to cells expressing $Rwt4^{Ca}$ and $AvrPwt4$ (Figure 4C, $p<0.0001$). Western blotting

confirmed the protein expression of RWT4^{Ca}, RWT4$^{Ca\_Reg1}$, RWT4$^{Ca\_Reg2}$, and RWT4$^{Ca\_C-tail}$ in rice

protoplasts (Figure S8A). These findings highlight the importance of RWT4^{Ca}'s C-terminus and

residues V124/R125 in the KD in defense activation (Figure 4A, right).

To assess the impact of these residues on AvrPWT4 binding, yeast-two hybrid analyses was

conducted. As expected, RWT4^{Ca} can interact with AvrPWT4 (Figure 4D and S8B). However, a

weak interaction was observed between the susceptible variant from Chinese Spring, RWT4^{Cs},

and AvrPWT4 (Figure S8B). Next, we analyzed the interaction between RWT4 variant proteins and

AvrPWT4. No interaction was observed between RWT4$^{Ca\_Reg1}$ and AvrPWT4, indicating that

V124/R125 is required for AvrPWT4 binding (Figure 4D). The C-terminal extension did not

influence binding to AvrPWT4 (Figure 4d). Western blot assays confirmed expression of RWT4 and

its variants in yeast (Figure S8C). These data demonstrate that the binding capacity of RWT4 to

AvrPWT4 is a critical determinant of eliciting defense.

Discussion

TKPs have emerged as a novel class of non-canonical resistance proteins, representing ~10% of the cloned *Triticeae* R genes [4,44]. Currently, TKPs conferring disease-resistance are exclusively found in monocots [6]. In this study, we demonstrate the RWT4 TKP can be transferred and elicit defense between wheat and rice. Furthermore, both RWT4 kinase activity and direct effector binding are required for defense activation. It was hypothesized that TKP pseudokinase domains acts as a decoy for effector binding, activating the kinase domain for downstream phosphorylation or signaling [8]. Our results demonstrate that AvrPWT4 binds to both KD and PKD. AvrPWT4 binding to both domains *in planta* may facilitate RWT4 intramolecular kinase interactions for activation (Figure 4E). For plant receptor-like kinases, hetero-dimerization is a common activation mechanism for signaling initiation [45].

TKP-mediated resistance is associated with the induction of cell death and robust defense responses [6,13,20]. Like PRR and NLR immune receptors that can directly detect pathogen components, RWT4 directly binds to AvrPWT4 and this binding is crucial for defense activation. *Rwt3* is allelic to *Wtk3*, which confers resistance to powdery mildew disease caused by *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *tritici* [13,21]. Though highly similar in amino acid sequence, the effector targets of WTK3 are currently unknown, and no ortholog of *Pwt4* is present in *B. graminis*. The majority of functional TKPs conferring disease resistance belong to the LRR_8B kinase subfamily [8,9,14]. Prototypic members of this subfamily are cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases involved in plant defense and can confer resistance to fungal pathogens [46,47]. Collectively, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that TKPs represent a novel class of immune receptors and directly lead to phosphorylation of defense regulators. Although it is possible that an NLR or PRR may be required for TKP signaling, this is not the most parsimonious explanation. No NLRs or PRRs have been identified as necessary for TKP function in initial mapping or subsequent EMS screening [6,9,13,21]. While RWT4 is genetically linked to an NLR, EMS mutagenesis of that NLR did not abrogate resistance [21]. Furthermore, RWT4 is transferable between wheat and rice, retaining effector recognition. The elucidation of TKP immune complexes and direct phosphorylation targets will reveal how these novel proteins confer resistance.

In vitro kinase activity has been demonstrated for RPG1, WTK7-Tm, and our work on the RWT4 TKP [9,17]. *In vitro*, the PKD does not inhibit the kinase activity of RPG1 and RWT4 [17]. We hypothesize that kinase activity is inhibited at a resting state *in planta* in the absence of pathogen perception. RPG1 activation *in planta* is only observed in the presence of avirulent spores of *P. graminis* [18], consistent with the hypothesis that TKPs rely on pathogen perception for activation. Additional regions are also required for RWT4 full functionality such as the V123R124 sites for effector binding and the C-terminus (Figure 4c and 4d).

Effector targeting of plant kinases is an important strategy to enhance pathogen virulence. The *Pseudomonas syringae* AvrPtoB effector targets the plant kinase SnRK2.8, promoting pathogen virulence upon SnRK2.8 phosphorylation [48]. The fungal NIS1 effector family from *Colletotrichum spp*. and *Magnaporthe oryzae* act as kinase inhibitors, targeting the BAK1 and BIK1 kinases required for effective defense mediated by multiple surface-localized receptors [49]. PWT4 has canonical fugal effector features, including an N-terminal secretion signal and small size (75 amino acids) [22]. AvrPWT4 suppresses resistance conferred by RMG8, an MCTP kinase fused with multiple C2 domains [35,50]. Thus, AvrPWT4 likely targets plant kinases to promote virulence in the absence of RWT4. Accordingly, we hypothesize that KFPs serve both as receptors and decoys for perception and deception of biotrophic fungal effectors that are aiming to suppress host kinases involved in the activation of plant immunity [5].

TKPs have emerged as a novel class of resistance proteins that have been widely deployed to control devastating biotrophic fungal pathogens [6,9,10,13,21]. Future investigations identifying effector-TKP pairs as well as their phosphorylation targets will shed light into TKP activation and signaling. This foundational information can facilitate receptor engineering and the deployment of TKPs across diverse plant species.

Acknowledgements:

This research is supported by grants from the United States National Science Foundation

(1937855) and the United States Department of Agriculture (2020-67013-32577) to GC and the

United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF, 2019654) to TF. MS analysis was carried

out at the Genomics Center Proteomics Core at the University of California, Davis. The kinase

assay using [^{32}P]γATP was conducted in the facility established by Dr. Yen-Wen Kuo, Department

of Plant Pathology at the University of California, Davis. BLI experiments were performed in the

Cortopassi Lab with technical assistance from Dr. Alexey Tomilov at the University of California,

Davis. Rice Kitaake seeds were provided by Dr. Pamela Ronald, Department of Plant Pathology at

the University of California, Davis. The pUC19::Zm*UBI* plasmid was provided by Dr. Jorge

Dubcovsky from the Department of Plant Science, University of California, Davis. The pET28s::GST

vector was provided by Dr. Nitzan Shabek, Department of Plant Biology, University of California,

Davis.

Author contributions

Y-CS and GC designed the study with assistance from TZ. SA performed wheat inoculation

experiments, YL performed yeast-two hybrid, SB assisted with molecular cloning and western

blotting under the guidance of Y-CS and GC. Y-CS performed all other experiments and data

analyses. Y-CS and GC wrote the original draft and all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References:

1. Dodds, P. N. & Rathjen, J. P. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-pathogen interactions. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 539-548 (2010). https://doi.org:10.1038/nrg2812

2. Chiang, Y.-H. & Coaker, G. Effector Triggered Immunity: NLR Immune Perception and Downstream Defense Responses. *The Arabidopsis Book* **2015** (2015).

3. Couto, D. & Zipfel, C. Regulation of pattern recognition receptor signalling in plants. *Nat Rev Immunol* **16**, 537-552 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1038/nri.2016.77

4. Sanchez-Martin, J. & Keller, B. NLR immune receptors and diverse types of non-NLR proteins control race-specific resistance in Triticeae. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **62**, 102053 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.pbi.2021.102053

5. Fahima, T. & Coaker, G. Pathogen perception and deception in plant immunity by kinase fusion proteins. *Nat Genet* **55**, 908-909 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-023-01396-w

6. Klymiuk, V. *et al.* Cloning of the wheat Yr15 resistance gene sheds light on the plant tandem kinase-pseudokinase family. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3735 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-018-06138-9

7. Yu, G. *et al.* Aegilops sharonensis genome-assisted identification of stem rust resistance gene Sr62. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1607 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-022-29132-8

8. Klymiuk, V., Coaker, G., Fahima, T. & Pozniak, C. J. Tandem Protein Kinases Emerge as New Regulators of Plant Immunity. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **34**, 1094-1102 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1094/MPMI-03-21-0073-CR

9. Li, M. *et al.* A membrane associated tandem kinase from wild emmer wheat confers broad-spectrum resistance to powdery mildew. *Nat Commun* **15**, 3124 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-024-47497-w

10. Brueggeman, R. *et al.* The barley stem rust-resistance gene Rpg1 is a novel disease-resistance gene with homology to receptor kinases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 9328-9333 (2002). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.142284999

11. Chen, S. *et al.* Wheat gene Sr60 encodes a protein with two putative kinase domains that confers resistance to stem rust. *New Phytol* **225**, 948-959 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1111/nph.16169

12. Gaurav, K. *et al.* Population genomic analysis of Aegilops tauschii identifies targets for bread wheat improvement. *Nat Biotechnol* (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-021-01058-4

13. Lu, P. *et al.* A rare gain of function mutation in a wheat tandem kinase confers resistance to powdery mildew. *Nat Commun* **11**, 680 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-14294-0

14. Wang, Y. *et al.* An unusual tandem kinase fusion protein confers leaf rust resistance in wheat. *Nat Genet* **55**, 914-920 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-023-01401-2

15. Yu, G. *et al.* The wheat stem rust resistance gene Sr43 encodes an unusual protein kinase. *Nat Genet* **55**, 921-926 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-023-01402-1

16. Marchal, C., Michalopoulou, V. A., Zou, Z., Cevik, V. & Sarris, P. F. Show me your ID: NLR immune receptors with integrated domains in plants. *Essays Biochem* **66**, 527-539 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1042/Ebc20210084

17 Nirmala, J. *et al.* Subcellular localization and functions of the barley stem rust resistance
receptor-like serine/threonine-specific protein kinase Rpg1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**,
7518-7523 (2006). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0602379103

18 Nirmala, J., Drader, T., Chen, X., Steffenson, B. & Kleinhofs, A. Stem rust spores elicit rapid
RPG1 phosphorylation. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **23**, 1635-1642 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1094/MPMI-06-10-0136

19 Lolle, S., Stevens, D. & Coaker, G. Plant NLR-triggered immunity: from receptor activation
to downstream signaling. *Curr Opin Immunol* **62**, 99-105 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.coi.2019.12.007

20 Nirmala, J. *et al.* Concerted action of two avirulent spore effectors activates Reaction to
Puccinia graminis 1 (Rpg1)-mediated cereal stem rust resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
108, 14676-14681 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1111771108

21 Arora, S. *et al.* A wheat kinase and immune receptor form host-specificity barriers against
the blast fungus. *Nat Plants* **9**, 385-392 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41477-023-
01357-5

22 Inoue, Y. *et al.* Evolution of the wheat blast fungus through functional losses in a host
specificity determinant. *Science* **357**, 80-83 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aam9654

23 Hossain, M. M. Wheat blast: A review from a genetic and genomic perspective. *Front*
Microbiol **13**, 983243 (2022). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2022.983243

24 Tagle, A. G., Chuma, I. & Tosa, Y. Rmg7, a New Gene for Resistance to Triticum Isolates of
Pyricularia oryzae Identified in Tetraploid Wheat. *Phytopathology* **105**, 495-499 (2015).
https://doi.org:10.1094/PHYTO-06-14-0182-R

25 Hyon, G. S. *et al.* Characterization of interactions between barley and various host-specific
subgroups of *Magnaporthe oryzae* and *M-grisea*. *J Gen Plant Pathol* **78**, 237-246 (2012).
https://doi.org:10.1007/s10327-012-0386-6

26 Currinn, H., Guscott, B., Balklava, Z., Rothnie, A. & Wassmer, T. APP controls the formation
of PI(3,5)P(2) vesicles through its binding of the PIKfyve complex. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **73**, 393-
408 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00018-015-1993-0

27 Eschenfeldt, W. H., Lucy, S., Millard, C. S., Joachimiak, A. & Mark, I. D. A family of LIC
vectors for high-throughput cloning and purification of proteins. *Methods Mol Biol* **498**,
105-115 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-59745-196-3_7

28 Shabek, N. *et al.* Structural insights into DDA1 function as a core component of the CRL4-
DDB1 ubiquitin ligase. *Cell Discov* **4** (2018).
https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-018-0064-8

29 Lin, Z. J., Liebrand, T. W., Yadeta, K. A. & Coaker, G. PBL13 Is a Serine/Threonine Protein
Kinase That Negatively Regulates Arabidopsis Immune Responses. *Plant Physiol* **169**,
2950-2962 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.15.01391

30 Demichev, V., Messner, C. B., Vernardis, S. I., Lilley, K. S. & Ralser, M. DIA-NN: neural
networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput.
Nat Methods **17**, 41-44 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-019-0638-x

31 MacLean, B. *et al.* Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing
targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 966-968 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq054

32 Shah, N. B. & Duncan, T. M. Bio-layer interferometry for measuring kinetics of protein-
protein interactions and allosteric ligand effects. *J Vis Exp*, e51383 (2014).
https://doi.org:10.3791/51383

33 He, F., Chen, S., Ning, Y. & Wang, G. L. Rice (Oryza sativa) Protoplast Isolation and Its
Application for Transient Expression Analysis. *Curr Protoc Plant Biol* **1**, 373-383 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1002/cppb.20026

34 Wang, J. *et al.* Reconstitution and structure of a plant NLR resistosome conferring
immunity. *Science* **364** (2019). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aav5870

35 O'Hara, T. *et al.* The wheat powdery mildew resistance gene Pm4 also confers
resistance to wheat blast. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2009.2026.559489 (2023).
https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.09.26.559489

36 Asuke, S. *et al.* Evolution of wheat blast resistance gene Rmg8 accompanied
by differentiation of variants recognizing the powdery mildew fungus. *bioRxiv*,
2023.2009.2026.559445 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.09.26.559445

37 De Kesel, J., Gomez-Rodriguez, R., Bonneure, E., Mangelinckx, S. & Kyndt, T. The Use of
PTI-Marker Genes to Identify Novel Compounds that Establish Induced Resistance in Rice.
Int J Mol Sci **21** (2020). https://doi.org:10.3390/ijms21010317

38 Huang, L. F. *et al.* Multiple Patterns of Regulation and Overexpression of a Ribonuclease-
Like Pathogenesis-Related Protein Gene, OsPR10a, Conferring Disease Resistance in Rice
and Arabidopsis. *PLoS One* **11**, e0156414 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pone.0156414

39 Takeuchi, K. *et al.* RSOsPR10 expression in response to environmental stresses is regulated
antagonistically by jasmonate/ethylene and salicylic acid signaling pathways in rice roots.
Plant Cell Physiol **52**, 1686-1696 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1093/pcp/pcr105

40 Zulawski, M., Schulze, G., Braginets, R., Hartmann, S. & Schulze, W. X. The Arabidopsis
Kinome: phylogeny and evolutionary insights into functional diversification. *Bmc
Genomics* **15** (2014). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-548

41 Piya, S. *et al.* Kinase-dead mutation: A novel strategy for improving soybean resistance to
soybean cyst nematode Heterodera glycines. *Mol Plant Pathol* **23**, 417-430 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1111/mpp.13168

42 Paysan-Lafosse, T. *et al.* InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, D418-D427 (2023).
https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkac993

43 Evans, R. *et al.* Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv*,
2021.2010.2004.463034 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1101/2021.10.04.463034

44 Chen, R., Gajendiran, K. & Wulff, B. B. H. R we there yet? Advances in cloning resistance
genes for engineering immunity in crop plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **77**,
102489 (2024). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2023.102489

45 Bender, K. W. & Zipfel, C. Paradigms of receptor kinase signaling in plants. *Biochem J* **480**,
835-854 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1042/BCJ20220372

46 Saintenac, C. *et al.* A wheat cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase confers broad-spectrum
resistance against Septoria tritici blotch. *Nat Commun* **12**, 433 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-20685-0

47   Yadeta, K. A. *et al.* A Cysteine-Rich Protein Kinase Associates with a Membrane Immune
Complex and the Cysteine Residues Are Required for Cell Death. *Plant Physiol* **173**, 771-
787 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.16.01404

48   Lei, L., Stevens, D. M. & Coaker, G. Phosphorylation of the Pseudomonas Effector AvrPtoB
by Arabidopsis SnRK2.8 Is Required for Bacterial Virulence. *Mol Plant* **13**, 1513-1522
(2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molp.2020.08.018

49   Irieda, H. *et al.* Conserved fungal effector suppresses PAMP-triggered immunity by
targeting plant immune kinases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 496-505 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1807297116

50   Inoue, Y., Vy, T. T. P., Tani, D. & Tosa, Y. Suppression of wheat blast resistance by an effector
of Pyricularia oryzae is counteracted by a host specificity resistance gene in wheat. *New
Phytol* **229**, 488-500 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1111/nph.16894

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1. RWT4-mediated resistance requires AvrPWT4 perception. (A) Disease phenotyping of wheat cultivars Hope, Chinese Spring (Cs), Norin 4, and Cadenza (Ca) with *Magnaporthe oryzae* pathotype *Triticum* Br48 and a Br48 transformant carrying *AvrPwt4* (Br48+ *AvrPwt4*). Wheat genotypes with (+) or without (-) functional *Rmg8* or *Rwt4* alleles are indicated. **(B)** Experimental procedure of a rice protoplast transfection system for cell viability assays and defense gene

expression tests. Genes of interest (*Rwt4* or *Pwt4*) were cloned to a pUC19 vector containing a

maize polyubiquitin-1 (*Zm*Ubi-1) promoter. **(C)** RWT4 perceives AvrPWT4 triggering cell death

responses in rice protoplasts. RWT4 from Cadenza (Ca) and Chinese Spring (Cs) were assayed for

their perception of AvrPWT4 in rice protoplasts. Cell viability was measured as ATP activity

presented in luminance (cd/m^2). Luminescence (cd/m^2) was measured 16 h after protoplast

transfection. Six independent protoplast transfection events were used as biological replicates.

Mean±SEM are presented. Differences between treatments were analyzed using one-way ANOVA

with post-hoc Tukey HSD, p<0.05 **(D)** Only the recognized AvrPWT4 effector can induce RWT4^{Ca}-

triggered cell death. *Rwt4^{Ca}* was co-transfected with either the avirulent (*AvrPwt4*) or the virulent

(*VirPwt4*) allele of *Pwt4* in rice protoplasts. Protoplasts transfected with empty vector (EV) or co-

transfected with empty vector and *Pwt4* were treated as experimental controls. Experiments

were performed and analyzed as described in (C). **(E)** RWT4^{Ca} recognition of AvrPWT4 activates

defense gene expression. Expression fold change of *RSOsPR10* (Os12g36830), *OsPr10a*

(Os12g36850) and *OsRin4* (Os04g0379600) defense genes were measured 7 h after protoplast

transfection. Gene expression was normalized to the internal control *OsUbq5* (Os01g22490), and

data were normalized to the samples transfected with *Rwt4^{Ca}*+EV as expression fold changes.

Mean±SEM from four transfection events is presented. Differences between treatments were

analyzed using one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD, p<0.05.

Figure 2. RWT4 is an active kinase and transphosphorylates AvrPWT4. (A) RWT4[Ca] domain organization. Kinase domain: 1-478 amino acid, green. Pseudokinase domain: 540-915 amino acid, blue. Linker: 478-540 amino acid, grey. Predicted kinase active sites: K217 and K327. Dash: C-terminal tail. **(B)** Purity of recombinant proteins isolated from *E. coli.* His-MBP-RWT4[Ca], kinase domain (His-MBP-KD) pseudokinase domain (His-MBP-PKD), and its kinase dead variant (RWT4[Ca_K217R/K327R]) are shown by Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) stained SDS-PAGE and corresponding western blot using anti-His. **(C)** Autophosphorylation activity of His-MBP-RWT4[Ca]. Autophosphorylation status of the His-MBP-RWT4[Ca] proteins were assayed by western blot using anti-phospho-Threonine/Tyrosine antibody (α-pThr/Tyr) with or without lambda protein phosphatase incubation prior to separating by SDS-PAGE. Anti-His western blotting (α-His) demonstrates equal loading of the His-MBP-RWT4[Ca] proteins. **(D)** Active protein phosphorylation was measured by incubating the His-MBP-CaRWT4 proteins with [γ-^{32P}]ATP before separating by SDS-PAGE and visualizing by autoradiography. **(E)** RWT4[Ca]-AvrPWT4 triggered cell death requires RWT4[Ca] kinase activity. *Rwt4[Ca]* and the kinase dead variant (*Rwt4[Ca_K217R/K327R]*) were co-transfected with *AvrPwt4* into rice protoplasts for cell viability assays. Five independent protoplast transfection events were used as biological replicates and Mean±SEM are presented. Treatment differences were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD, $p < 0.05$. **(F)** RWT4[Ca] specifically trans-phosphorylates AvrPWT4. Transphosphorylation activity was assayed by mixing His-MBP-RWT4[Ca] and AvrPWT4 at room temperature for 20 min before incubated with [γ-^{32}P]ATP. Phosphorylation of the substrate proteins (AvrPWT4 or MyBP) were visualized via autoradiography. **(G)** Phosphorylated peptides were mapped to the sequence of AvrPWT4 for functional analysis. The phosphorylated peptide YIITDDG was identified from LC-MS/MS with the phosphorylation site T45. *Rwt4[Ca]* was co-transfected with either wild-type *AvrPwt4* or the phosphorylation null mutant *AvrPwt4[T45A]* to rice protoplasts. Luminescence (cd/m^2) was measured 16 h after protoplast transfection as an indicator of cell viability. Six independent protoplast transfection events were used as biological replicates. Mean±SEM are presented. Differences between treatments were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 3. RWT4^{Ca} directly and specifically binds AvrPWT4. (A) Yeast-two hybrid (Y2H) assays using *Rwt4^{Ca}*, *AvrPwt4* and *VirPwt4*. *Rwt4^{Ca}* was cloned to the bait vector pGBKT7, while *AvrPwt4* and *VirPwt4* were cloned into the prey vector pGADT7. Yeast growth on DDO (SD-Leu/-Trp) media indicates the presence of the two plasmids, and the growth on QDO/X/A (SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-

Trp/3-amino-1,2,4-triazole) media indicates interaction. Serial dilutions from cell suspensions of

a single yeast colony expressing the bait and prey plasmids are shown and represent the strength

of interaction. Empty vectors (EV) of pGBKT7 and pGADT7 were used as negative controls. **(B)**

Purity of AvrPWT4, VirPWT4, and His-RWT4^{Ca}. AvrPWT4 and VirPWT4 were expressed and

purified with a GST tag that was removed and separated by TEV protease and gel filtration. Purity

of His-CaRWT4 is shown by Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) stained SDS-PAGE and Anti-His western

blotting (α-His). **(C)** and **(D)** Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) characterizes binding affinity between

His-RWT4^{Ca} and PWT4 proteins. **(C)** His-RWT4^{Ca} was mobilized to Ni-NTA biosensors as analytes

and incubated with a range of concentrations (51 nM to 10 μM) of AvrPWT4, VirPWT4, or GST

ligand proteins. Sensorgrams show BLI traces (wavelength shifts) during association and

dissociation steps (shown as time at the x-axis) normalized to no-ligand controls. The steady state

analysis (right) shows wavelength shifts against different ligand concentrations (AvrPWT4,

VirPWT4, or GST, from 10 μM to 116 nM). **(D)** His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca}, His-MBP-KD and His-MBP-PKD

proteins were immobilized to Ni-NTA biosensors and incubated with AvrPWT4. Binding affinity

between His-MBP-RWT4^{Ca}, His-MBP-KD and His-MBP-PKD to AvrPWT4 were detected by BLI

during association and dissociation steps (shown as time at the x-axis) and presented in the steady

state analysis against different concentrations of AvrPWT4 (right).

Figure 4. Structure-guided identification of RWT4 specificity. (A) AlphaFold Multimer modeling predicts that AvrPWT4 (orange) forms an interaction complex between the RWT4 kinase domain (KD, green) and pseudokinase domain (PKD, blue). A predicted binding region V123/R124 in the RWT4 KD is highlighted in cyan. pLDDT indicates confidence in structure modeling and pTM and ipTM indicate the confidence for interaction. The KD and PKD were separately inputted for modeling, with the linker region removed. **(B)** Illustration of sequence and structure features of RWT4^{Ca}. *Rwt4* sequences were obtained from resistant wheat cultivars (Norin 4, Cadenza, Jagger, Paragon, and Claire) and susceptible wheat cultivars (Chinese Spring and CDC Stanley). Kinase active sites K217 and K327 are indicated in red. The interaction regions were identified based on the modeling from (A). **(C)** RWT4^{Ca} variants validate the requirement of Region 1 and C-terminal sequences for defense activation. *Rwt4^{Ca}* and its variants (*Rwt4*$^{Ca\_Reg1}$: V123L/R124T, *Rwt4*$^{Ca\_Reg2}$: R627T, *Rwt4*$^{Ca\_+C\text{-}Tail}$: RWT4^{Ca} with the extended C-terminal residues FIQVDQ) were co-transfected with *AvrPwt4* into rice protoplasts. Cell viability was measured as ATP activity presented in luminance (cd/m^2). Data are represented as the mean ± SEM (n= 5). Different letters indicate significant differences using one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD ($P \leq 0.05$). **(D)** Yeast-two hybrid confirms the interaction between CaRWT4 variants to AvrPWT4. *CaRwt4* and its variants *Rwt4*$^{Ca\_Reg1}$, and *Rwt4*$^{Ca\_+C\text{-}Tail}$ were cloned to the bait vector pGBKT7 while *AvrPwt4* was cloned to the prey vector pGADT7. The transformation of bait and prey vectors were confirmed by yeast growth on DDO (SD-Leu/-Trp) media. The interaction was assayed by growth on QDO (SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp/3-amino-1,2,4-triazole) media. Serial dilutions from cell suspensions of a single yeast colony expressing the bait and prey plasmids are shown and represent the strength of interaction. Empty vectors (EV) of pGBKT7 and pGADT7 were used as negative controls. **(E)** RWT4 working model. KD: kinase domain. PKD: Pseudokinase domain. P: phosphorylation. K217 and K327: RWT4^{Ca} kinase active sites. V123/R124: AvrPWT4 binding site. C-tail: C-terminus tail of RWT4. Dash: RWT4-AvrPWT4 interaction. Figure created with BioRender.com.