CD4 downregulation precedes Env expression and protects HIV-1-infected cells

from ADCC mediated by non-neutralizing antibodies

Jonathan Richard [1,2,#], Gérémy Sannier [1,2,#], Li Zhu[3,#], Jérémie Prévost [1,2], Lorie Marchitto [1,2], Mehdi Benlarbi [1,2], Guillaume Beaudoin-Bussières [1,2], Yaping Sun [3], Kim Hongil [3], Debashree Chatterjee[1], Halima Medjahed[1], Catherine Bourassa[1] Gloria-Gabrielle Delgado[1], Mathieu Dubé[1], Frank Kirchhoff[4], Beatrice H. Hahn[5,6], Priti Kumar[3,*], Daniel E. Kaufmann[7,8,9,*], Andrés Finzi[1,2,*].

[1]Centre de Recherche du CHUM, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
[2]Département de Microbiologie, Infectiologie et Immunologie, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Québec, Canada
[3]Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA
[4]Institute of Molecular Virology, Ulm University Medical Center , Ulm, Germany
[5]Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania , Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
[6]Department of Microbiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania , Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
[7]Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
[8]Center for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Immunology and Immunogen Discovery, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA
[9]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland
[#]co-first authors

*Correspondence:
to **Andrés Finzi**, Centre de recherche du CHUM (CRCHUM), 900 St-Denis street, Tour Viger, R09.420, Montréal, Québec, H2X 0A9, Canada ; Phone: 514-890-8000 ext: 35264; email: andres.finzi@umontreal.ca. Or to **Daniel E. Kaufmann**, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Rue du Bugnon 46, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; Phone: +41 21 314 10 10; email: daniel.kaufmann@chuv.ch. Or to **Priti Kumar**, Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases, Yale University School of Medicine, 25 York St, New Haven, CT 06511; Phone: 203.737.3580; email: priti.kumar@yale.edu

Authorship note: JR, GS and LZ contributed equally to this work and PK, DEK and AF contributed equally as co-senior authors. AF is the lead contact

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists
Word count (all text): 10683/12000

SUMMARY (192/200 words)

HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (Env) conformation substantially impacts antibody-

dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). Envs from primary HIV-1 isolates adopt a

prefusion "closed" conformation, which is targeted by broadly-neutralizing antibodies

(bnAbs). CD4 binding drives Env into more "open" conformations, which are recognized

by non-neutralizing Abs (nnAbs). To better understand Env-Ab and Env-CD4 interaction

in CD4+ T cells infected with HIV-1, we simultaneously measured antibody binding and

HIV-1 mRNA expression using multiparametric flow cytometry and RNA-flow fluorescent

in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques. We observed that *env* mRNA is almost exclusively

expressed by HIV-1 productively-infected cells that already downmodulated CD4. This

suggest that CD4 downmodulation precedes *env* mRNA expression. Consequently,

productively-infected cells express "closed" Envs on their surface, which renders them

resistant to nnAbs. Cells recognized by nnAbs were all *env* mRNA negative, indicating Ab

binding through shed gp120 or virions attached to their surface. Consistent with these

findings, treatment of HIV-1 infected humanized mice with the ADCC mediating nnAb A32

failed to lower viral replication or reduce the size of the viral reservoir. These findings

confirm the resistance of productively-infected CD4+ T cells to nnAbs-mediated ADCC

and question the rationale of immunotherapy approaches using this strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Highly active antiretroviral therapy (ART) efficiently suppresses HIV-1 replication and significantly increase the life expectancy of people living with HIV-1 (PLWH) (1, 2). However, it has become evident that even lifelong ART cannot eradicate the virus. Viral reactivation and rebound can occur upon treatment interruption due to the presence of a latent reservoir, persisting mainly in long-lived memory CD4+ T cells (3-6). New approaches aimed at eradicating or functionally curing HIV-1 infection by targeting and eliminating productively or latently-infected cells are needed. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are attractive therapeutics for HIV cure strategies, since they target virus specific antigens and have the potential to harness host immune responses such as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). The HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (Env) is the only viral antigen that is present on the surface of HIV-1-infected cells, thus representing the main target for immunotherapy-based strategies (7). In recent year, several clinical trials explored broadly-neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs) as therapeutic agents to reduce the HIV-1 reservoir via Fc-mediated effector functions (8). Monotherapy or combination of bnAbs targeting multiple regions of the Env trimer including the V3 glycan supersite (10-1074, PGT121), the V2 apex (PGDM 1400, CAP256-VRC26), or the CD4 binding site (CD4bs) (3BNC117, N6, VRC01, VRC07-523) are currently under investigation. Administration of bnAbs to humans has been found to be safe and effective in lowering viremia and maintaining viral suppression for varying periods of time after treatment interruption (9-15). However, recent data suggest that bnAbs may not be as broad and/or effective as predicted, in part because of circulating viruses with pre-existing resistance to the administered bnAbs (8). So-called "non-neutralizing" Abs (nnAbs) have been evaluated as a potential alternative, because they target highly conserved Env epitopes that are usually occluded in the closed trimer, including the coreceptor-binding site (CoRBS) (16-18), the inner domain of gp120 (19-21) or the gp41 immunodominant domain (gp41ID)

(22). These nnAbs are naturally elicited during HIV-1 infection and can mediate potent Fc

effector functions (22-28).

HIV-1 Env is a conformationally flexible molecule that transitions from an

unliganded "closed" State 1 conformation to an "open" CD4-bound State 3 conformation

(29-31) upon CD4 interaction. Envs from most primary HIV-1 isolates adopt a "closed"

conformation that is efficiently targeted by bnAbs, but is resistant to nnAbs (29, 32-36),

which target epitopes that are occluded within the unliganded "closed" trimer. Env

interaction with CD4 or small CD4-mimetic compounds are known to induce more "open"

conformations, thus sensitizing infected cells to ADCC mediated by CD4-induced (CD4i)

nnAbs (23, 24, 26, 27, 33, 34, 36-42). To avoid exposing these nnAb epitopes, the Env

trimer is stabilized by multiple intermolecular interactions, including between the V1, V2

and V3 variable loops as well as the gp120 $\beta20$–$\beta21$ element, which maintain a "closed"

conformation (31, 43, 44). In addition, HIV-1 expresses the accessory proteins Nef and

Vpu, which indirectly modulate Env conformation by downregulating CD4 from the surface

of infected cells, thus preventing a premature Env-CD4 interaction that would otherwise

result in the exposure of CD4i Env epitopes (23, 26). Vpu-mediated counteraction of the

restriction factor BST-2 (also named "tetherin"), known to tether viral particles at the

surface of infected cells, also reduces cell-surface levels of Env (23, 26, 45, 46).

Despite advances in understanding Env-Ab and Env-CD4 interactions, the

capacity of nnAbs to target and eliminate productively-infected cells by ADCC remain

controversial. Several studies reported that cells infected with primary viruses expressing

functional Vpu and Nef proteins are resistant to ADCC mediated by CD4i nnAbs, because

they maintain surface expressed Env in a "closed" conformation (23, 24, 27, 32-42, 47,

48). Other studies report that CD4i nnAbs can mediate ADCC responses, but they have

used infectious molecular clones (IMCs) that are defective for Nef expression (49-60).

These IMCs contain reporter genes (e.g., the Renilla luciferase [LucR] gene) upstream of

the *nef* gene, which significantly reduces Nef expression and thus CD4 downregulation

from the surface of infected CD4+ target cells. The resulting premature Env-CD4

interaction promotes the artificial exposure of otherwise occluded CD4i epitopes (39, 61,

62).

Here, we used multiparametric flow cytometry and RNA-flow fluorescent *in situ*

hybridization (FISH) techniques to characterize cell populations targeted by bNAbs and

nnAbs in the context of primary CD4+ T cell infection. We show that *env* mRNA is

specifically detected among cells that already downmodulated cell-surface CD4, which

renders these cells refractory to recognition by nnAbs. Although some CD4+ cells are

bound by nnAbs, they are all negative for *env* mRNA, indicating that they are not

productively-infected and nnAbs binding is mediated through the recognition of shed

gp120 and/or viral particles coated at their cell surface. The same results were obtained

with *ex vivo*-expanded CD4+ T cells isolated from PLWH. Finally, the ADCC mediating

nnAb A32 failed to reduce HIV-1 replication and the size of the viral reservoir in a

humanized mouse model (hu-mice) that supports Fc-effector functions. These findings

raise questions about curative immunotherapy-based strategies that rely solely on nnAbs,

specifically those targeting CD4i epitopes.

RESULTS

Expression of CD4, p24 and Nef defines recognition of HIV-1 infected CD4+ T cells by nnAbs and bnAbs

To characterize cell populations targeted by nnAbs and bnAbs in HIV-1-infected primary CD4+ T cells, we used multiparametric flow cytometry to simultaneously probe CD4 and viral proteins expression. Activated primary CD4+ T cells were mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus CH077 (CH077TF). Two days post-infection, cells were stained with a panel of nnAbs and bnAbs, followed by appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were then stained for cell-surface CD4 prior to staining for intracellular HIV-1 p24. Given that the *nef* gene is abundantly expressed during the early phase of the HIV-1 replication cycle (63, 64), we also evaluated the expression of this accessory protein by intracellular staining as previously described (61). The different cell populations were gated based on cell-surface CD4 and intracellular p24 detection as shown in Figure 1A. As expected, uninfected CD4$^+$p24$^-$ cells were not recognized by bnAbs of various specificities (PGT126, PG9, 3BNC117, PGT151 and 2G12) (Figure 1B-D). Interestingly, this population was efficiently recognized by CD4i nnAbs targeting the coreceptor-binding site (17b) or the gp120 inner domain (A32, C11) as well as a pool of purified immunoglobulins from PLWH (HIV-IG). However, the CD4$^+$p24$^-$ cells were not recognized by the CD4i gp41-specific nnAbs F240. The absence of binding by bnAbs and F240 suggested that these cells were likely coated with shed gp120 rather than presenting CD4-bound cell-surface Env trimer. This is in line with previous work showing that uninfected CD4+ T cells expose CD4i epitopes on their cell surface after interacting with gp120 shed from productively-infected cells within the same culture (33, 65, 66). Indeed, introduction of a CD4bs (D368R) mutation into CH077TF that prevents Env-CD4 interaction (17, 23,

67), abrogated the recognition of the CD4$^+$p24$^-$ population by all gp120-specific nnAbs

tested (Figure S1A-B).

In addition to productively infected CD4+ T cells, which efficiently downregulated

CD4 (CD4^{low}p24^{high}), we identified a subset of CD4$^+$p24^{low} cells , as previously reported

(51, 58, 68-72). This CD4$^+$p24^{low} subset was efficiently recognized both by the gp120-

specific nnAbs and HIV-IG, but was resistant to bnAbs binding. This population was also

recognized by the gp41-specific F240 nnAb, suggesting the presence of trimeric Env

bound to CD4. However, recognition of the CD4$^+$p24^{low} cells by CD4i nnAbs was

substantially reduced upon the introduction of the D368R mutation (Figure S1A-B). This

mutation also specifically reduced the proportion of CD4$^+$p24^{low} cells (Figure S1C). These

findings, along with the observation that these cells do not express Nef (Figure 2) suggest

that CD4$^+$p24^{low} cells are not productively infected, but contain CD4-Env complexes on

their surface resulting from the binding of shed gp120 and/or viral particles.

As expected, cells that expressed high level of p24 (CD4^{low}p24^{high}) were poorly

recognized by nnAbs. We reasoned that this was because they efficiently downregulated

cell-surface CD4, which precludes premature Env triggering, and thus prevents the

exposure of normally occluded epitopes (23, 26, 33). CD4^{low}p24^{high} cells also expressed

Nef (Figure 2) and were efficiently recognized by bnAbs, known to preferentially recognize

Env in its "closed" conformation (Figure 1B-D). However, when we used a *nef*-defective

virus these cells were efficiently recognized by nnAbs (Figure 1E-H), in agreement with

previous observations (26, 33, 37, 39, 61, 73, 74).

To test whether our findings extend to IMCs widely used in the ADCC field (28, 35,

53, 55, 68, 72, 75-77), we infected primary CD4+ T cells with with viruses produced from

a pNL4.3 backbone expressing different Envs, including BaL and NL4.3. As shown in

Figure S2A-B, the patterns of bnAbs and nnAbs binding were very similar to those

observed for CH077TF. The CD4$^+$p24$^-$ and CD4$^+$p24^{low} cell populations were preferentially

recognized by the nnAbs, while the CD4^{low}p24^{high} cells were efficiently targeted by the

bnAbs. Interestingly, despite similar levels of productive infection (CD4^{low}p24^{high}, Figure

S2C), an enrichment of the CD4$^+$p24^{low} population was detected in the context of

infection with the NL4.3 and BaL Envs as compared to Envs from primary viruses

(CH058TF, CH040TF, SF162 and YU2) (Figure S2D). The reasons for this are unclear

but may be due to greater gp120 shedding of the tier 1 NL4.3 and BaL Envs.

Taken together, our results indicate that cells recognized by nnAbs express high

levels of CD4, are either p24^{low} or p24$^-$, and are negative for Nef expression. In contrast,

bnAbs recognize cells that efficiently downregulate CD4 and express high level of p24 and

Nef proteins.

e*nv* mRNA is predominantly detected in cells that already downregulated CD4

To better understand the underlying mechanisms behind the differential recognition

of infected cells by bNAbs versus nnAbs, we used a previously described RNA-flow

cytometric fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA Flow-FISH) method (78-80). This

method identifies productively-infected cells by detecting cellular HIV-1 mRNA by using *in*

situ RNA hybridization and intracellular Ab staining for the HIV-1 p24 protein. In the context

of these experiments, *env* and *nef* mRNA probes were used to identify productively-

infected CD4+ T cells. Briefly, primary CD4 T cells were mock-infected or infected with

the CH077TF IMC. Two days post-infection, cells were stained for surface CD4 before

fixation and permeabilization to allow detection of the HIV-1 p24 antigen and HIV-1

mRNAs. Cell populations were first defined based on their cell-surface CD4 and

intracellular p24 co-expression, as presented in Figure 3A. Productively-infected cells

were identified as *nef* mRNA+/*env* mRNA+.The vast majority of cells with detectable cell-

surface CD4 (CD4$^+$p24$^-$ or CD4$^+$p24^{low}) were negative for HIV-1 mRNA (Figure 3B-C),

while cells that efficiently downmodulated CD4 (CD4^{low}p24^{low} and CD4^{low}p24^{high}) were

enriched for *nef* and *env* mRNA transcripts (Figure 3B-C; Figure S3). When gating on

productively-infected cells based on *nef* and *env* mRNA detection, we confirmed that the

CD4^{low}p24^{high} cells represent the major source of productively-infected cells (Figure 3D-

E). These results show that *env* mRNA is predominantly expressed by HIV-1-infected cells

that already downregulated CD4 (CD4^{low}p24^{low} and CD4^{low}p24^{high}) (Figure S3). This

analysis also captured stages of infection (CD4^{low}p24^{low}) where CD4 is already

downmodulated while *env* mRNA expression intensifies*,* suggesting that CD4

downmodulation precedes *env* mRNA expression.

Cells targeted by A32 are negative for HIV-1 mRNA

We next combined flow cytometry and RNA flow-FISH methods to compare the

capacity of nnAbs and bnAbs to bind productively-infected cells. Mock-infected or

CH077TF-infected primary CD4 T cells were first stained with the nnAb A32 or the bNAb

PGT126. Cells where then stained for cell-surface CD4 detection, intracellular p24 and

HIV-1 mRNAs. Productively-infected cells were identified based on the simultaneous

detection of *nef* and *env* mRNA transcripts (Figure 4A). As shown in Figure 4B-C,

productively-infected cells (*env*/*nef* mRNA+ cells) were recognized by PGT126, but not by

A32. In contrast, *env*/*nef* mRNA- cells were targeted by A32, but not by PGT126. Similar

results were obtained when cells were classified by Ab recognition (Figure 4D-F; Figure

S4), with the majority of PGT126+ cells co-expressing HIV-1 mRNA. In contrast, cells

recognized by A32 were negative for *env* and *nef* mRNA (Figure 4E-F; Figure S4). These

results indicate that cells targeted by A32 do not express *env* mRNA (Figure S4), are not

productively infected and thus are likely coated with gp120 and/or viral particles.

***Ex vivo* expanded CD4+ T cells isolated from PLWH are resistant to ADCC**

responses mediated by nnAbs

Our results indicated that productively infected cells are principally CD4^{low}p24^{high},

express *nef* and *env* mRNA and are not recognized by nnAbs. To confirm these findings

with primary clinical samples, we expanded CD4+ T cells from PLWH. Briefly, CD4+ T

cells were isolated from 6 chronically-infected individuals and activated *ex vivo* using PHA-

L/IL-2 (24, 38, 40). CD4+ T cells from people without HIV were used as controls. Viral

replication was monitored over time by intracellular p24 staining (Figure 5A). Upon

expansion, CD4+ T cells were stained with a panel of bnAbs and nnAb, followed by the

appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were stained for cell-surface CD4 prior to the detection

of intracellular HIV-1 p24 and Nef proteins. Consistent with the results obtained with IMC

infections (Figure 2), productively-infected CD4^{low}p24^{high} cells were the only ones which

were also positive for the Nef protein (Figure S5). These cells were efficiently recognized

by bnAbs and largely resistant to nnAbs binding (Figure 5C,D, E). In contrast, nnAbs

mainly recognized CD4+ cells that were either p24$^-$ or p24^{low} as well as negative for Nef

expression (Figure 5C, D, E; Figure S5). To evaluate the susceptibility of productively-

infected cells to ADCC responses mediated by bnAbs and nnAbs, expanded

endogenously-infected cells were used as target cells and autologous peripheral blood

mononuclear cells (PBMCs) as effectors using a FACS-based ADCC assay (Figure 6).

Consistent with antibody binding, productively-infected CD4^{low}p24^{high} cells were resistant

to ADCC mediated by nnAbs, but sensitive to those mediated by bnAbs.

A32 does not affect HIV-1 replication or the size of HIV-1 reservoir *in vivo*.

Our results indicate that nnAbs, such as A32, target nonproductively-infected CD4+ T cells. It has been suggested that these cells could be in a very early stage of infection, during viral entry, before viral gene expression (81). Specifically, it has previously been shown that non-neutralizing Env epitopes, such as that targeted by A32, become transiently exposed during viral entry and could therefore represent a suitable target for ADCC at this stage (81). We hypothesized that if this was the case, then this cell population should be eliminated by A32 and therefore decrease HIV-1 replication *in vivo*. To evaluate this possibility, we tested whether A32 affected viral replication in humanized mice (hu-mice). Briefly, NOD.Cg-*Prkdc^{scid}* IL2rg^{-/-} Tg(Hu-IL15) (NSG-15) hu-mice engrafted with human peripheral blood lymphocytes (hu-PBL) were infected with the primary isolate HIV-1_{JRCSF} (Figure 7A). This hu-mice model was previously shown to support HIV-1 replication and antibody Fc-effector function *in vivo* (24, 82). Infected hu-mice received nnAb A32 administered subcutaneously (S.C.) at day 6 and 9 post-infection (Figure 7A). As controls, infected mice were also treated with the bnAbs 3BNC117 or its Fc gamma receptor (FcγR) null binding variant (GRLR) (83). Hu-mice were monitored for plasma viral loads (PVLs) and peripheral CD4+ T cells overtime (Figure 7B-C). In the absence of antibody treatment, mice became viremic, reaching an average PVL of 2.2×10^7 copies/mL at day 11. As previously reported (41, 82), viral replication was associated with a loss of peripheral CD4+ T cells. Treatment with 3BNC117 WT significantly reduced viral replication and partially restored CD4+ T cell levels in peripheral blood and several tissues, while A32 failed to do so (Figure 7B,C,D). Interestingly, A32 treatment further reduced CD4+ T cell levels in tissues relative to mock-treated mice (Figure 7D), consistent with its capacity to recognize and eliminate uninfected bystander cells coated with soluble gp120 via ADCC (33, 65, 66). This reduction in CD4 T cells count was particularly significant when CD4+ T cell levels where considered across all tissues in mice treated with A32

nnAb alone (Figure S6). Finally, treatment with 3BNC117 WT led to a significant reduction

of the HIV-1 reservoir in multiple tissues, a phenotype not observed with A32 and not fully

achieved by its FcγR null binding variant (3BNC117 GRLR, Figure 7E). These results are

in line with previous work demonstrating that bnAbs require Fc effector functions for *in*

vivo activity (83). These results indicate that A32 does not reduce HIV-1 replication or the

size of the reservoir in hu-mice*.*

DISCUSSION

ADCC represents an effective immune response involved in the clearance of

virally-infected cells. This adaptive immune response relies on the capacity of Abs to act

as a bridge between infected cells and effector cells. While the Abs recognize infected

cells through binding of surface Env via their Fab domain, their Fc domain allows the

recruitment and the stimulation of FcγR bearing effector cells, leading to the killing of

infected cells. ADCC-mediating Abs therefore represent attractive therapeutics for HIV

cure strategies. However, the ability of Abs to recognize productively-infected cells and to

mediate ADCC responses depends on Env conformation (73). While bnAbs target

epitopes on the prefusion "closed" Env trimer, nnAbs recognize conserved epitopes that

are normally occluded but are exposed when Env interacts with CD4 and adopt an "open"

conformation (29, 32-36). Here, we provide evidence that *env* mRNA expression is mainly

restricted to cells that already downmodulated cell-surface CD4 (Figures 3 and S3). This

prevents CD4i Env epitopes exposure on productively-infected cells, thus contributing to

their resistance to CD4i nnAbs.

Non-neutralizing Abs, such as those targeting the CoRBS and the inner domain of

gp120 are elicited during natural infection due to exposure to "viral debris" acting as

immunodominant decoy (23, 25, 28, 34, 84, 85). It is therefore not surprising that HIV-1

has evolved mechanisms to prevent surface Env-CD4 interaction to avoid Env recognition

by these nnAbs which have potent Fc-effector functions. HIV-1 utilizes Nef, Vpu and Env

to downmodulate CD4 from the surface of infected cells (86). Nef is expressed at high

levels early during infection from a multi-spliced transcript (63, 64) and downregulates

CD4 by enhancing its internalization and lysosome degradation (87-91). Vpu and Env are

expressed from a Rev-depended single spliced bicistronic mRNA later during the viral life

cycle (92). Both Vpu and Env interfere with the transport of newly synthesized CD4 to the

cell surface (93-95). Using RNA-Flow FISH methods, we show that *env* mRNA is almost

exclusively detected in cells positive for *nef* mRNA that efficiently downregulated CD4.

The majority of cells expressing HIV-1 mRNA substantially downregulated surface CD4

and express high levels of p24 and Nef proteins ($CD4^{low}p24^{high}$ cells). We also captured

stage of infection ($CD4^{low}p24^{low}$) where CD4 is already downmodulated while *env* mRNA

and p24 are not fully expressed. This suggest that Nef-mediated CD4 downmodulation

precedes Env expression (Figure 3 and S3). Accordingly, productively-infected cells failed

to expose CD4i epitopes, explaining their resistance to ADCC mediated by nnAbs, while

showing susceptibility to ADCC mediated by bNabs (Figure 1, 4, 5 and 6).

Our findings are consistent with a growing number of studies demonstrating the

importance of bnAbs in mediating ADCC against cells productively infected with primary

HIV-1 isolates (32, 33, 35). nnAbs recognize productively-infected cells only when CD4 is

not properly downregulated, as is the case in infections with Nef defective virus (Figure

1). This is also the case when *nef*-deficient IMCs are used for ADCC detection (28, 49-60,

69, 71, 76). Most of these IMCs express the Renilla luciferase (LucR) reporter gene

upstream of the *nef* sequence and use a T2A ribosome-skipping peptide to promote Nef

expression (62). Despite documented evidence of reduced Nef expression (39, 61, 62),

these IMCs continue to be used in the ADCC field (51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 96). While some

studies have suggested that Vpu can compensate for the absence of Nef (51, 52), our

findings refute this. Given its early expression and ability to target cell surface expressed

CD4 molecules, Nef plays the most prominent role in CD4 downmodulation (61, 86, 97).

In absence of Nef expression, we find that Vpu is not sufficient to downregulate cell-

surface CD4, leading to CD4i epitope exposure and efficient nnAbs binding (Figure 1).

Other studies reporting Fc-effector functions of nnAbs employed assays unable to

differentiate the ADCC responses directed against HIV-1-infected cells versus uninfected

bystander cells (45, 49, 76, 98, 99). The presence of uninfected bystander cells coated

with gp120 shed from productively-infected cells impacts ADCC measurement by

introducing a significant bias toward CD4i nnAbs (33), which is also the case when ADCC

assays rely solely on target cells coated with gp120 or inactivated virions (33, 50, 60, 71,

72, 100, 101). Utilization of such assays, as well as *nef*-defective IMCs, contribute to the

propagation of a misleading concept that nnAbs can effectively mediate ADCC against

HIV-1-infected cells. nnAbs such as A32 not only fail to eliminate HIV-1-infected cells, but

also have potentially detrimental effects by accelerating the elimination of uninfected

bystander cells (33, 66), as shown in tissues of HIV-1-infected humanized mice (Figure 7;

Figure S6). In this context, the absence of an antiviral effect of A32 in hu-mice is not

surprising. Studies in non-human primate showed that elicitation of A32-like Abs by gp120

immunization or passive administration of A32 failed to confer protection against simian-

human immunodeficiency virus challenges (77, 102). Similarly, a combination of anti-

CoRBS and anti-cluster A (A32) nnAbs proved ineffective in delaying viral rebound after

ART interruption in humanized mouse model supporting Fc effector functions (41).

The inability of A32 to recognize productively-infected cells, to influence viral

replication and reduce the size of reservoir in hu-mice is a function of its epitope, which is

occluded in the unliganded trimer. To our knowledge, exposure of this epitope at the

surface of productively infected cells is possible in only two ways: either by membrane-

bound CD4 (26) or the combination of potent small CD4-mimetic compounds (CD4mc)

and anti-CoRBS Abs (27, 41). Of note, the cocktail of A32, 17b (CoRBS Ab) and CD4mc

was reported to significantly reduce the size of the reservoir in hu-mice (41).

In conclusion, we show that *env* mRNA is almost exclusively expressed by

productively-infected cells that downregulated cell-surface CD4. This suggests that CD4

downmodulation precedes Env expression, thus preventing exposure of vulnerable CD4-

induced Env epitopes and evading ADCC mediated by nnAbs. These results must be

taken into account when considering the use of nnAbs for preventative or cure strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the CRCHUM BSL3 and Flow Cytometry Platforms for technical assistance, and Mario Legault from the FRQS AIDS and Infectious Diseases network for cohort coordination and clinical samples. We thank the following collaborators for kindly providing plasmids to produce antibodies: James Robinson (Tulane University) for A32 and C11, the NIH AIDS Reagent Program for F240, 2G12 and 17b, John Mascola (Vaccine Research Center, NIAID) for VRC03, the International AIDS Vaccine Initiative (IAVI) for PG9, PGT121, PGT126 and PGT151 and Michel Nussenzweig (Rockefeller University) for 3BNC117 and 10-1074.

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health to A.F. (R01 AI148379 and R01 AI150322, R01 AI129769, R01AI176531) and P.K. (R01AI145164). Support for this work was also provided by P01 GM56550/AI150471 to A.F., a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Team grant #422148 to D.E.K, P.K. and A.F., a CIHR foundation grant #352417 to A.F. and a Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) grant #41027 to D.E.K. and A.F. This work was partially supported by UM1AI144462 (CHAVD) (D.E.K), UM1AI164562 (ERASE) to A.F., and P.K., and UM1AI164559 (HOPE) to P.K., and by an American Foundation for AIDS Research (amfAR) Mathilde Krim Fellowship in Basic Biomedical Research (109720-63-RKRL) to J.R. A.F. was supported by a Canada Research Chair on Retroviral Entry #RCHS0235 950-232424. B.H.H. is supported by R01 AI162646, UM1AI144371 and UM1AI164570. J.P. was supported by a CIHR doctoral fellowship. M.B. was supported by a FRQS master's fellowship. G.B.B was supported by a FRQS and a CIHR doctoral fellowship. FK is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG, CRC 1279) and an ERC Advanced grant (Traitor viruses). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: J.R., G.S, L.Z, P.K., D.E.K and A.F.; **Methodology:** J.R, G.S, J.P., L.Z..,M.D., P.K., D.E.K. and A.F.; **Investigation:** J.R, G.S., L.Z., L.M., M.B., G.B.B., D.C., Y.S., H.K., J.P., H.M., C.B., and G.G.D.; **Resources:** J.R., G.S., J.P., L.Z., L.M., M.B., G.B.B., D.C., F.K., B.H.H., P.K., D.E.K. and A.F.; **Formal Analysis:** J.R., G.S. and L.Z.; **Visualization:** J.R., G.S and L.Z. **Supervision:** M.D., P.K., D.E.K. and A.F.; **Funding acquisition:** J.R. P.K., D.E.K. and A.F.; **Writing – original draft:** J.R., B.H.H., and A.F. **Writing – review & editing:** J.R., G.S., L.Z., J.P., L.M., M.B., G.B.B., D.C., F.K., B.H.H.,Y.S., H.K., H.M., C.B., G.G.D., M.D., P.K., D.E.K. and A.F.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Recognition of HIV-1-infected primary CD4+ T cells by bnAbs and nnAbs.

Primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus CH077,

either wild-type (WT) or defective for Nef expression (*nef-*) were stained with a panel of

bnAbs and nnAbs, followed with appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were then stained for

cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24. (A,E) Example of flow cytometry

gating strategy based on cell-surface CD4 and intracellular p24 detection. (B,F)

Histograms depicting representative staining with bnAbs (Green) and nnAbs (Black)

(C,G). Graphs shown represent the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) obtained for at

least 6 independent staining with the different mAbs. Error bars indicate means ± standard

errors of the means. (D,H) Graphs shown represent the mean MFI obtained with each

mAb. Statistical significance was tested using Mann-Whitney U test (** $p<0.01$, ns: non-

significant).

Figure 2. Nef is expressed in HIV-1-infected cells undergoing CD4 downregulation

and expressing high levels of p24.

Primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus CH77,

either WT or defective for Nef expression (Nef-) were stained for cell-surface CD4 prior

detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24 and Nef expression. (A) Histograms depicting

representative intracellular Nef staining when gating on $CD4^+p24^-$, $CD4^+p24^{low}$ or

$CD4^{low}p24^{high}$ cells. In the context of cells infected with CH77TF Nef-, in the absence of

Nef-mediated CD4 downmodulation, the $p24^{high}$ remained $CD4^{high}$ ($CD4^{high}p24^{high}$). (B)

Quantification of the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) obtained for 6 independent

experiments. Error bars indicate means ± standard errors of the means. Statistical

significance was tested using multipe Mann-Withey tests with a Holm-Sidak post-test (**

p<0.01, ns: non-significant).

Figure 3. HIV-1 late transcripts are mostly detected among cells that downregulated

CD4. Purified primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder

virus CH077 WT were stained for cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1

p24 and *env* mRNA and *nef* mRNA by RNA-flow FISH. (A) Representative example of

flow cytometry gating strategy based on cell-surface CD4 and intracellular p24 detection.

(B) Representative example of RNA-flow FISH detection of *env* and *nef* mRNA among the

different cell populations. (C) Quantification of the percentage of *env* mRNA+ *nef* mRNA+

cells detected among the different cell populations in three different donors. (D)

Alternatively, productively-infected cells were first identified based on *env* and *nef* mRNA

detection (E) Quantification of the percentage of $CD4^+p24^-$, $CD4^+p24^{low}$, $CD4^{low}p24^{low}$ and

$CD4^{low}p24^{high}$ cells among the *env* and *nef* mRNA+ cells with three different donors.

Statistical significance was tested using one-way ANOVA with a Holm-Sidak post-test

(****p<0.0001, ns: non-significant).

Figure 4. Productively-infected cells are resistant to recognition by A32.

Purified primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus

CH077 WT were stained with A32 or PGT126, followed with appropriate secondary Abs.

Cells were then stained for cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24 and

Env mRNA and *nef* mRNA by RNA-flow FISH. (A-C) In a first analysis, HIV-infected cells

were identified, then A32 and PGT126 binding was evaluated. (A) Example of RNA-flow

FISH gating strategy based on *env* and *nef* mRNA detection. (B) Example of antibody

binding among the *env/nef* mRNA- and *env/nef* mRNA+ cell population. (C) Quantification

of the percentage of cells recognized by either A32 or PGT126 among the *env/nef* mRNA-

and *env/nef* mRNA+ cell population with three different donors. (D-F) In a second

alternative analysis, Ab-binding cells were first identied, and the HIV-infection status was

then evaluated. (D) Example of flow cytometry gating strategy based on A32 or PGT126

binding. (E) Example of *env/nef* mRNA detection among the cells recognized (Ab+) or not

(Ab-) by indicated mAbs. (F) Quantification of the percentage of *env/nef* mRNA+ cells

among the cells recognized (Ab+) or not (Ab-) by indicated mAbs with three donors.

Statistical significance was tested using a two-way ANOVA with a Holm-Sidak post-test (*

$p<0.05$,** $p<0.01$, **** $p<0.0001$, ns: non-significant).

Figure 5. *Ex vivo* expanded CD4+ T cells isolated from PLWH are preferentially

targeted by bNAbs.

Ex vivo expanded CD4+ T cells from 6 PLWH were stained with bnAbs and nnAbs +/-

CD4mc, followed by appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were then stained for surface CD4

prior to detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24. (A) Percentage of p24+ upon activation

overtime. (B) Example of flow cytometry gating based on CD4 and p24 detection. (C)

Histograms depicting representative staining with bnAbs (Green) and nnAbs (Black). (D)

Median fluorescence intensities (MFI) obtained with 6 HIV+ individuals. (E) Graphs shown

represent the mean MFI obtained with each mAb. Each symbol represent a diffirent HIV+

donor. Statistical significance was tested using Mann-Whitney U test (* $p<0.05$, ****

$p<0.0001$).

Figure 6. *Ex vivo* expanded CD4+ T cells isolated from PLWH are resistant to ADCC

mediated by nnAbs*.*

Ex vivo expanded CD4 T cells from PLWH were used as target cells, while autologous

PBMC were used as effector cells in our FACS-based ADCC assays. (A) Graph shown

represent the percentage of ADCC against the CD4^{low}p24^{high} cells with the single mAbs

and (B) nnAbs vs bnAbs. (A) Each symbol represent a diffirent HIV+ donor. Statistical

significance was tested using unpaired t test (**** p<0.0001).

Figure 7. A32 nnAb does not impact viral replication or the size of the reservoir *in*

vivo

(A) Experimental outline. NSG-15-Hu-PBL mice were infected with HIV-1 JRCSF

intraperitoneally. At day 6 and 9 post infection, mice were administered 1.5 mg of A32 or

3BNC117 (WT or GRLR) mAb subcutaneously (S.C.). (B) Mice were bled routinely for

plasma viral load (PVL) and flow cytometry analysis. PVL levels were measured by

quantitative real-time PCR (limit of detection = 300 copies/mL, dotted line). (C) Percentage

of CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood was evaluated by flow cytometry . At least six mice

were used for each treatment. (D-E) Tissues of JRCSF-infected NSG-15 hu-PBL mice,

treated or not with A32 or 3BNC117 (WT or GRLR) were harvested at day 11. (D)

Percentage of CD4+ T cells was evaluated by flow cytometry (E) CD4+ T cells were

isolated for real-time PCR analysis of HIV DNA. Each dot represents the mean values +/-

SEM. S.C., subcutaneous; I.P., intraperitoneal; BM, bone marrow; mock-treated = no

antibody. Statistical significance was tested using one way ANOVA with a Holm-Sidak

post-test or a Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn's post-test (* p<0.05,** p<0.01,*** p<0.001)

METHODS

Ethics Statement

Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants and research adhered

to the ethical guidelines of CRCHUM and was reviewed and approved by the CRCHUM

institutional review board (ethics committee, approval number MP-02-2024-11734).

Research adhered to the standards indicated by the Declaration of Helsinki. All

participants were adult and provided informed written consent prior to enrolment in

accordance with Institutional Review Board approval.

Cell lines and primary cells

293T human embryonic kidney cells (obtained from ATCC) were maintained at 37°C under

5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Wisent, St. Bruno, QC, Canada),

supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) and 100 U/mL

penicillin/streptomycin (Wisent). Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)

from HIV-negative individuals and HIV-positive individuals obtained by leukapheresis and

Ficoll-Paque density gradient isolation were cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen until further

use. CD4+ T lymphocytes were purified from resting PBMCs by negative selection using

immunomagnetic beads per the manufacturer's instructions (StemCell Technologies,

Vancouver, BC) and were activated with phytohemagglutinin-L (10 µg/mL) for 48 h and

then maintained in RPMI 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) complete

medium supplemented with rIL-2 (100 U/mL).

Antibody production

FreeStyle 293F cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were grown in FreeStyle 293F medium

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) to a density of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL at 37°C with 8% CO_2 with regular

agitation (150 rpm). Cells were transfected with plasmids expressing the light and heavy

chains of each mAb using ExpiFectamine 293 transfection reagent, as directed by the

manufacturer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). One week later, the cells were pelleted and

discarded. The supernatants were filtered (0.22-μm-pore-size filter), and antibodies were

purified by protein A affinity columns, as directed by the manufacturer (Cytiva,

Marlborough, MA, USA).

HIV-1 studies in humanized mice

NSG-15 mice with expression of the human IL15 gene in the NOD/ShiLtJ background

were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). The mice were bred

and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. All animal studies were

performed with authorization from Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC)

of Yale University. NSG-15-Hu-PBL mice were engrafted as described (41). Briefly, 3.5 ×

10^6 PBMCs, purified by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation of healthy donor blood buffy

coats, obtained from the New York Blood Bank) were injected IP in a 200-μL volume into

6- to 8-week-old NSG-15 mice, using a 1-cm^3 syringe and 25-gauge needle. Cell

engraftment was tested 15 days post-transplant. 100 μL of blood was collected by

retroorbital bleeding. PBMCs were isolated by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation;

stained with fluorescently-labelled anti-human CD45 (BD Biosciences, Cat#: 555485) ,

CD3 (Biolegend, Cat#: 300424), CD4 (Biolegend, Cat#: 317432), CD8 (BD Biosciences,

Cat#: 561617) and CD56 (Biolegend, Cat#: 362508) antibodies and analyzed by flow

cytometry to confirm engraftment. Humanized mice were intraperitoneally challenged with

30,000 PFU of HIV-1$_{JRCSF}$. Infection profile was analyzed routinely by retro-orbital bleeding

and flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood for human immune cells and PVL

analysis. For flow cytometry, 100 μl of blood was collected by retro-orbital bleeding at each

time point. PBMCs were isolated by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation and cells were

stained with fluor-conjugated antibodies as detailed above. PVL were measured at day 5,

8 and 11 post-infection, while HIV-1 reservoirs were measured at day 11 post-infected as

previously described (41).

Plasmids and proviral constructs

Transmitted/Founder (T/F) infectious molecular clone (IMC) of patient CH077 was inferred

and constructed as previously described (103). The generation of *nef*-defective CH077TF

was previously described and consists in the introduction of premature stop codons in the

nef reading frame using site-directed mutagenesis protocol (104). The CH077TF D368R

was also generated by site-directed mutagenesis as previously described (42). Proviral

constructs comprising an HIV-1 NL4.3-based isogenic backbone engineered for the

insertion of heterologous *env* strain sequences and expression in *cis* of full length Env

(Env-IMCs), were previously described (105). The Env-IMCs utilized in the present study

are those encoding Env form BaL (pNL-B.BaL.ecto), CH040 (pNL-B.CH040.ecto), CH058

(pNL-B.CH058.ecto), SF162 (pNL-B.SF162.ecto) or YU2 (pNL-B.YU-2.ecto). The proviral

plasmid pNL4.3 was used as control (106). The vesicular stomatitis virus G (VSV-G)-

encoding plasmid was previously described (107).

Viral production, infections and *ex vivo* amplification.

For *in vitro* infection, vesicular stomatitis virus G (VSV-G)-pseudotyped HIV-1 viruses

were produced by co-transfection of 293T cells with an HIV-1 proviral construct and a

VSV-G-encoding vector using the calcium phosphate method. Two days post-

transfection, cell supernatants were harvested, clarified by low-speed centrifugation (300

× g for 5 min), and concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 4°C (100,605 × g for 1 h) over

a 20% sucrose cushion. Pellets were resuspended in fresh RPMI, and aliquots were

stored at −80°C until use. Viruses were then used to infect activated primary CD4+ T cells

from healthy HIV-1 negative donors by spin infection at 800 × g for 1 h in 96-well plates

at 25 °C. Viral preparations were titrated directly on primary CD4+ T cells to achieve

similar levels of infection among the different IMCs tested (around 10% of p24+ cells). To

expand endogenously infected CD4+ T cells, primary CD4+ T cells obtained from PLWH

were isolated from PBMCs by negative selection. Purified CD4+ T cells were activated

with PHA-L at 10 µg/mL for 48 h and then cultured for at least 6 days in RPMI 1640

complete medium supplemented with rIL-2 (100 U/ml) to reach greater than 10% infection

for the ADCC assay.

Antibodies

The following anti-Env Abs were used to stained HIV-1-infected primary CD4+ T cells:

anti-gp41 ID F240; anti-cluster A A32, C11; anti-co-receptor binding site 17b; anti-gp120

outer domain 2G12, anti-CD4 binding site VRC03, 3BNC117; anti-V3 glycan PGT121,

PGT126, 10-1074; anti-V2 apex PG9; anti-gp120-gp41 interface PGT151. The HIV-IG

polyclonal antibody consists of anti-HIV immunoglobulins purified from a pool of plasma

from HIV+ asymptomatic donors (NIH AIDS Reagent Program). Goat anti-human IgG

(H+L) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) pre-coupled to Alexa Fluor 647 were used as secondary

antibodies in flow cytometry experiments. Rabbit antisera raised against Nef (NIH AIDS

Reagent Program) was used as primary antibodies in intracellular staining. BrillantViolet

421 (BV421)-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit antibodies (Biolegend) was used as

secondary antibodies to detect Nef antisera binding by flow cytometry. FITC or PE-

conjugated Mouse anti-human CD4 (clone OKT4; Biolegend) were used for cell-surface

staining of HIV-1-infected primary CD4+ T cells, while PE or FITC-conjugated Mouse anti-

HIV-1 p24 (clone KC57; Beckman coulter) were used for intracellular staining.

Flow cytometry analysis of cell-surface staining

Cell surface staining was performed at 48h post-infection. Mock-infected or HIV-1-infected

primary CD4+ T cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C with anti-Env mAbs (5 µg/mL) or

HIV-IG (50 µg/mL). Cells were then washed once with PBS and stained with the

appropriate Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated secondary antibody (2 µg/mL) for 20 min at room

temperature. Cells were then stained with FITC- or PE-conjugated Mouse anti-CD4 Abs.

After two PBS washs, cells were fixed in a 2% PBS-formaldehyde solution. Infected cells

were then permeabilized using the Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation/ Permeabilization Kit (BD

Biosciences, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and stained intracellularly using PE or FITC-

conjugated mouse anti-p24 mAb (clone KC57; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA; 1:100

dilution). The percentage of infected cells (p24^{+}) was determined by gating on the living

cell population according to a viability dye staining (Aqua Vivid; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Alternatively, cells were stained intracellularly with rabbit antisera raised against Nef

(1:1000) followed by BV421-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody. Samples were

acquired on an LSR II cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data analysis was performed

using FlowJo v10.5.3 (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

Antibody-dependant cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) assay

Measurement of ADCC using a fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-based infected

cell elimination (ICE) assay was performed at 48 h post-infection. Briefly, HIV-1-infected

primary CD4+ T cells were stained with AquaVivid viability dye and cell proliferation dye

eFluor670 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and used as target cells. Cryopreserved autologous

PBMC effectors cells, stained with cell proliferation dye eFluor450 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), were added at an effector: target ratio of 10:1 in 96-well V-bottom plates

(Corning, Corning, NY). Anti-Env mAbs (5 µg/mL) was added to appropriate wells and

cells were incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The plates were subsequently

centrifuged for 1 min at 300 × g, and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO_2 for 5 h. before being

stained with FITC- or PE-conjugated Mouse anti-CD4 Abs. After one PBS wash, cells were

fixed in a 2% PBS-formaldehyde solution. Infected cells were then permeabilized using

the Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation/ Permeabilization Kit (BD Biosciences, Mississauga, ON,

Canada) and stained intracellularly using PE or FITC-conjugated mouse anti-p24 mAb

(clone KC57; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA; 1:100 dilution) Productively-infected cells

were identified based on p24 and CD4 detection as described above. Samples were

acquired on an LSR II cytometer (BD Biosciences) and data analysis was performed using

FlowJo v10.5.3 (Tree Star). The percentage of ADCC was calculated with the following

formula: [(% of CD4$^+$p24^{high} cells in Targets plus Effectors) − (% of CD4$^+$p24^{high} cells in

Targets plus Effectors plus plasma or mAbs) / (% of CD4$^+$p24^{high} cells in Targets) × 100]

by gating on infected lived target cells.

RNAflow-FISH analysis.

All buffers and fixation reagents were provided with the kit, with the exception of flow

cytometry staining buffer (2% FCS/PBS). The HIV-1 RNAflow-FISH assay was performed

as previously described and as per manufacturer's instructions (78-80). Briefly, cells were

harvested 48h post-infection and stained with the anti-Env mAbs A32 or PGT126 as

described above. Cells were then stained with Fixable Viability Dye (20 min, 4°C, Fixable

LiveDead, eBioscience) and then with a mix containing a brilliant stain buffer (BD

Biosciences) and the surface markers for CD4+ T cells detection (CD3 and CD4) and

CD8/NK/B cells and macrophages exclusions (CD8, CD56, CD19, CD16) (30 min, 4°C).

Samples were fixed, permeabilized with buffers provided by the manufacturer, and labeled

intracellularly for the structural HIV-1 p24 protein with the anti-p24 clone KC57 antibody

(30 min RT followed by 30 min 4°C, Beckman Coulter). HIV-1 RNA probing was performed

using the PrimeFlow RNA Assay (ThermoFisher). HIV-1 RNA were labeled using HIV-1

*env*RNA (Thermofisher; catalog number VF6-6000978) and HIV-1 *nef*RNA (Thermofisher;

catalog number (VF4-6000647) probe sets. HIV-1 *env*RNA was designed based on

CH077TF full env sequence, whereas HIV-1 *nef*RNA was based on consensus B HIV-1

sequence. Each probeset allows the hybridization of specific complementary branched

DNA nanostructure with different excitation/emission spectra. The probeset were diluted

1:5 in diluent and hybridized to the target mRNAs for 2 hr at 40°C. Samples were washed

to remove excess probes and stored overnight in the presence of RNAsin. Signal

amplification was achieved by performing sequential hybridization with DNA branches

(i.e., Pre-Amplifier and Amplifier) The first DNA branch in the Pre-Amplifier Mix was added

at a 1:1 ratio and was allowed to hybridize for 1.5 h at 40°C. Then the second DNA branch

in the Amplifier Mix was added and hybridized for 1.5 h at 40°C (78-80). Amplified mRNAs

were labeled with fluorescently tagged probes allowing hybridization for 1 hr at 40°C.

Samples were acquired on an LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo

(BD, V10.7.0). Unspecific binding of the fluorescent labeled branched probe in the

multiplex kit can lead to a low level of false-positive background noise, which, if present,

is detected across all the four channels corresponding to the types of labeled probes

(AF488, AF594, AF647, AF750). To decrease background noise, we thus left the AF594

channel vacant and excluded false-positive events based on fluorescence in this channel

before further gating. Gates were set on the HIV-uninfected donor control, or unstimulated

control where appropriate.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistics were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 9.1.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA,

USA). Every data set was tested for statistical normality and this information was used to

apply the appropriate (parametric or nonparametric) statistical test. P values <0.05 were

considered significant; significance values are indicated as * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, ***

P<0.001, **** P<0.0001.

Data availability

The published article includes all datasets generated and analyzed for this study. Further

information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the Lead Contact Author (andres.finzi@umontreal.ca). All unique reagents

generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact with a completed Materials

Transfer Agreement.

REFERENCES

701   1.   Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort C. Life expectancy of individuals on combination
702   antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a collaborative analysis of 14
703   cohort studies. *Lancet.* 2008;372(9635):293-9.
704   2.   Deeks SG, Lewin SR, and Havlir DV. The end of AIDS: HIV infection as a chronic
705   disease. *Lancet.* 2013;382(9903):1525-33.
706   3.   Finzi D, Hermankova M, Pierson T, Carruth LM, Buck C, Chaisson RE, et al.
707   Identification of a reservoir for HIV-1 in patients on highly active antiretroviral
708   therapy. *Science.* 1997;278(5341):1295-300.
709   4.   Chomont N, El-Far M, Ancuta P, Trautmann L, Procopio FA, Yassine-Diab B, et al.
710   HIV reservoir size and persistence are driven by T cell survival and homeostatic
711   proliferation. *Nat Med.* 2009;15(8):893-900.
712   5.   Chun TW, Stuyver L, Mizell SB, Ehler LA, Mican JA, Baseler M, et al. Presence of an
713   inducible HIV-1 latent reservoir during highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Proc*
714   *Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997;94(24):13193-7.
715   6.   Chun TW, Carruth L, Finzi D, Shen X, DiGiuseppe JA, Taylor H, et al. Quantification
716   of latent tissue reservoirs and total body viral load in HIV-1 infection. *Nature.*
717   1997;387(6629):183-8.
718   7.   Checkley MA, Luttge BG, and Freed EO. HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein biosynthesis,
719   trafficking, and incorporation. *J Mol Biol.* 2011;410(4):582-608.
720   8.   Frattari GS, Caskey M, and Sogaard OS. Broadly neutralizing antibodies for HIV
721   treatment and cure approaches. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS.* 2023;18(4):157-63.
722   9.   Bar KJ, Sneller MC, Harrison LJ, Justement JS, Overton ET, Petrone ME, et al. Effect
723   of HIV Antibody VRC01 on Viral Rebound after Treatment Interruption. *N Engl J*
724   *Med.* 2016;375(21):2037-50.
725 10.   Caskey M, Klein F, Lorenzi JC, Seaman MS, West AP, Jr., Buckley N, et al. Viraemia
726   suppressed in HIV-1-infected humans by broadly neutralizing antibody 3BNC117.
727   *Nature.* 2015;522(7557):487-91.
728 11.   Caskey M, Schoofs T, Gruell H, Settler A, Karagounis T, Kreider EF, et al. Antibody
729   10-1074 suppresses viremia in HIV-1-infected individuals. *Nat Med.*
730   2017;23(2):185-91.
731 12.   Lynch RM, Boritz E, Coates EE, DeZure A, Madden P, Costner P, et al. Virologic
732   effects of broadly neutralizing antibody VRC01 administration during chronic HIV-
733   1 infection. *Science translational medicine.* 2015;7(319):319ra206.
734 13.   Scheid JF, Horwitz JA, Bar-On Y, Kreider EF, Lu CL, Lorenzi JC, et al. HIV-1 antibody
735   3BNC117 suppresses viral rebound in humans during treatment interruption.
736   *Nature.* 2016;535(7613):556-60.
737 14.   Gaebler C, Nogueira L, Stoffel E, Oliveira TY, Breton G, Millard KG, et al. Prolonged
738   viral suppression with anti-HIV-1 antibody therapy. *Nature.* 2022;606(7913):368-
739   74.
740 15.   Mendoza P, Gruell H, Nogueira L, Pai JA, Butler AL, Millard K, et al. Combination
741   therapy with anti-HIV-1 antibodies maintains viral suppression. *Nature.*
742   2018;561(7724):479-84.

16. Thali M, Moore JP, Furman C, Charles M, Ho DD, Robinson J, and Sodroski J.
Characterization of conserved human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp120
neutralization epitopes exposed upon gp120-CD4 binding. *J Virol.*
1993;67(7):3978-88.
17. Kwong PD, Wyatt R, Robinson J, Sweet RW, Sodroski J, and Hendrickson WA.
Structure of an HIV gp120 envelope glycoprotein in complex with the CD4 receptor
and a neutralizing human antibody. *Nature.* 1998;393(6686):648-59.
18. Wyatt R, Kwong PD, Desjardins E, Sweet RW, Robinson J, Hendrickson WA, and
Sodroski JG. The antigenic structure of the HIV gp120 envelope glycoprotein.
Nature. 1998;393(6686):705-11.
19. Tolbert WD, Gohain N, Veillette M, Chapleau JP, Orlandi C, Visciano ML, et al.
Paring Down HIV Env: Design and Crystal Structure of a Stabilized Inner Domain of
HIV-1 gp120 Displaying a Major ADCC Target of the A32 Region. *Structure.*
2016;24(5):697-709.
20. Tolbert WD, Gohain N, Alsahafi N, Van V, Orlandi C, Ding S, et al. Targeting the
Late Stage of HIV-1 Entry for Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity: Structural
Basis for Env Epitopes in the C11 Region. *Structure.* 2017;25(11):1719-31 e4.
21. Gohain N, Tolbert WD, Acharya P, Yu L, Liu T, Zhao P, et al. Cocrystal Structures of
Antibody N60-i3 and Antibody JR4 in Complex with gp120 Define More Cluster A
Epitopes Involved in Effective Antibody-Dependent Effector Function against HIV-
1. *J Virol.* 2015;89(17):8840-54.
22. Gohain N, Tolbert WD, Orlandi C, Richard J, Ding S, Chen X, et al. Molecular basis
for epitope recognition by non-neutralizing anti-gp41 antibody F240. *Scientific*
reports. 2016;6:36685.
23. Veillette M, Coutu M, Richard J, Batraville LA, Dagher O, Bernard N, et al. The HIV-
1 gp120 CD4-bound conformation is preferentially targeted by antibody-
dependent cellular cytotoxicity-mediating antibodies in sera from HIV-1-infected
individuals. *J Virol.* 2015;89(1):545-51.
24. Prevost J, Anand SP, Rajashekar JK, Zhu L, Richard J, Goyette G, et al. HIV-1 Vpu
restricts Fc-mediated effector functions in vivo. *Cell reports.* 2022;41(6):111624.
25. Decker JM, Bibollet-Ruche F, Wei X, Wang S, Levy DN, Wang W, et al. Antigenic
conservation and immunogenicity of the HIV coreceptor binding site. *The Journal*
of experimental medicine. 2005;201(9):1407-19.
26. Veillette M, Desormeaux A, Medjahed H, Gharsallah NE, Coutu M, Baalwa J, et al.
Interaction with cellular CD4 exposes HIV-1 envelope epitopes targeted by
antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *J Virol.* 2014;88(5):2633-44.
27. Richard J, Pacheco B, Gohain N, Veillette M, Ding S, Alsahafi N, et al. Co-receptor
Binding Site Antibodies Enable CD4-Mimetics to Expose Conserved Anti-cluster A
ADCC Epitopes on HIV-1 Envelope Glycoproteins. *EBioMedicine.* 2016;12:208-18.
28. Ferrari G, Pollara J, Kozink D, Harms T, Drinker M, Freel S, et al. An HIV-1 gp120
envelope human monoclonal antibody that recognizes a C1 conformational
epitope mediates potent antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) activity
and defines a common ADCC epitope in human HIV-1 serum. *J Virol.*
2011;85(14):7029-36.

29. Munro JB, Gorman J, Ma X, Zhou Z, Arthos J, Burton DR, et al. Conformational
dynamics of single HIV-1 envelope trimers on the surface of native virions. *Science.*
2014;346(6210):759-63.

30. Ma X, Lu M, Gorman J, Terry DS, Hong X, Zhou Z, et al. HIV-1 Env trimer opens
through an asymmetric intermediate in which individual protomers adopt distinct
conformations. *eLife.* 2018;7.

31. Herschhorn A, Ma X, Gu C, Ventura JD, Castillo-Menendez L, Melillo B, et al.
Release of gp120 Restraints Leads to an Entry-Competent Intermediate State of
the HIV-1 Envelope Glycoproteins. *MBio.* 2016;7(5):doi:10.1128/mBio.01598-16.

32. von Bredow B, Arias JF, Heyer LN, Moldt B, Le K, Robinson JE, et al. Comparison of
Antibody-Dependent Cell-Mediated Cytotoxicity and Virus Neutralization by HIV-
1 Env-Specific Monoclonal Antibodies. *J Virol.* 2016;90(13):6127-39.

33. Richard J, Prevost J, Baxter AE, von Bredow B, Ding S, Medjahed H, et al.
Uninfected Bystander Cells Impact the Measurement of HIV-Specific Antibody-
Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity Responses. *mBio.* 2018;9(2).

34. Ding S, Veillette M, Coutu M, Prevost J, Scharf L, Bjorkman PJ, et al. A Highly
Conserved Residue of the HIV-1 gp120 Inner Domain Is Important for Antibody-
Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity Responses Mediated by Anti-cluster A Antibodies.
J Virol. 2015;90(4):2127-34.

35. Bruel T, Guivel-Benhassine F, Lorin V, Lortat-Jacob H, Baleux F, Bourdic K, et al.
Lack of ADCC Breadth of Human Nonneutralizing Anti-HIV-1 Antibodies. *J Virol.*
2017;91(8):e02440-16.

36. Prevost J, Richard J, Ding S, Pacheco B, Charlebois R, Hahn BH, et al. Envelope
glycoproteins sampling states 2/3 are susceptible to ADCC by sera from HIV-1-
infected individuals. *Virology.* 2018;515:38-45.

37. Alsahafi N, Ding S, Richard J, Markle T, Brassard N, Walker B, et al. Nef Proteins
from HIV-1 Elite Controllers Are Inefficient at Preventing Antibody-Dependent
Cellular Cytotoxicity. *J Virol.* 2015;90(6):2993-3002.

38. Richard J, Veillette M, Brassard N, Iyer SS, Roger M, Martin L, et al. CD4 mimetics
sensitize HIV-1-infected cells to ADCC. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2015;112(20):E2687-94.

39. Prevost J, Richard J, Medjahed H, Alexander A, Jones J, Kappes JC, et al. Incomplete
Downregulation of CD4 Expression Affects HIV-1 Env Conformation and Antibody-
Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity Responses. *J Virol.* 2018;92(13).

40. Alsahafi N, Bakouche N, Kazemi M, Richard J, Ding S, Bhattacharyya S, et al. An
Asymmetric Opening of HIV-1 Envelope Mediates Antibody-Dependent Cellular
Cytotoxicity. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2019;25(4):578-87 e5.

41. Rajashekar JK, Richard J, Beloor J, Prevost J, Anand SP, Beaudoin-Bussieres G, et
al. Modulating HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein conformation to decrease the HIV-1
reservoir. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2021;29(6):904-16 e6.

42. Laumaea A, Marchitto L, Ding S, Beaudoin-Bussieres G, Prevost J, Gasser R, et al.
Small CD4 mimetics sensitize HIV-1-infected macrophages to antibody-dependent
cellular cytotoxicity. *Cell reports.* 2023;42(1):111983.

43. Herschhorn A, Gu C, Moraca F, Ma X, Farrell M, Smith AB, 3rd, et al. The beta20-
beta21 of gp120 is a regulatory switch for HIV-1 Env conformational transitions.
Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):1049.
44. Kwon YD, Finzi A, Wu X, Dogo-Isonagie C, Lee LK, Moore LR, et al. Unliganded HIV-
1 gp120 core structures assume the CD4-bound conformation with regulation by
quaternary interactions and variable loops. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2012;109(15):5663-8.
45. Alvarez RA, Hamlin RE, Monroe A, Moldt B, Hotta MT, Rodriguez Caprio G, et al.
HIV-1 Vpu antagonism of tetherin inhibits antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxic
responses by natural killer cells. *J Virol.* 2014;88(11):6031-46.
46. Arias JF, Heyer LN, von Bredow B, Weisgrau KL, Moldt B, Burton DR, et al. Tetherin
antagonism by Vpu protects HIV-infected cells from antibody-dependent cell-
mediated cytotoxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014;111(17):6425-30.
47. Veillette M, Coutu M, Richard J, Batraville LA, Desormeaux A, Roger M, and Finzi
A. Conformational evaluation of HIV-1 trimeric envelope glycoproteins using a
cell-based ELISA assay. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE.* 2014(91):51995.
48. Pauthner MG, Nkolola JP, Havenar-Daughton C, Murrell B, Reiss SM, Bastidas R, et
al. Vaccine-Induced Protection from Homologous Tier 2 SHIV Challenge in
Nonhuman Primates Depends on Serum-Neutralizing Antibody Titers. *Immunity.*
2019;50(1):241-52 e6.
49. Bonsignori M, Pollara J, Moody MA, Alpert MD, Chen X, Hwang KK, et al. Antibody-
Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity-Mediating Antibodies from an HIV-1 Vaccine
Efficacy Trial Target Multiple Epitopes and Preferentially Use the VH1 Gene Family.
J Virol. 2012;86(21):11521-32.
50. Costa MR, Pollara J, Edwards RW, Seaman MS, Gorny MK, Montefiori DC, et al. Fc
Receptor-Mediated Activities of Env-Specific Human Monoclonal Antibodies
Generated from Volunteers Receiving the DNA Prime-Protein Boost HIV Vaccine
DP6-001. *J Virol.* 2016;90(22):10362-78.
51. Easterhoff D, Pollara J, Luo K, Tolbert WD, Young B, Mielke D, et al. Boosting with
AIDSVAX B/E Enhances Env Constant Region 1 and 2 Antibody-Dependent Cellular
Cytotoxicity Breadth and Potency. *J Virol.* 2020;94(4).
52. Fisher L, Zinter M, Stanfield-Oakley S, Carpp LN, Edwards RW, Denny T, et al.
Vaccine-Induced Antibodies Mediate Higher Antibody-Dependent Cellular
Cytotoxicity After Interleukin-15 Pretreatment of Natural Killer Effector Cells.
Front Immunol. 2019;10:2741.
53. Huang Y, Ferrari G, Alter G, Forthal DN, Kappes JC, Lewis GK, et al. Diversity of
Antiviral IgG Effector Activities Observed in HIV-Infected and Vaccinated Subjects.
J Immunol. 2016;197(12):4603-12.
54. Mielke D, Bandawe G, Zheng J, Jones J, Abrahams MR, Bekker V, et al. ADCC-
mediating non-neutralizing antibodies can exert immune pressure in early HIV-1
infection. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021;17(11):e1010046.
55. Mielke D, Stanfield-Oakley S, Borate B, Fisher LH, Faircloth K, Tuyishime M, et al.
Selection of HIV Envelope Strains for Standardized Assessments of Vaccine-Elicited

Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity-Mediating Antibodies. *J Virol.*
2022;96(2):e0164321.

56. Pollara J, Bonsignori M, Moody MA, Liu P, Alam SM, Hwang KK, et al. HIV-1 vaccine-
induced C1 and V2 Env-specific antibodies synergize for increased antiviral
activities. *J Virol.* 2014;88(14):7715-26.

57. Shubin Z, Stanfield-Oakley S, Puangkaew J, Pitisutthithum P, Nitayaphan S,
Gurunathan S, et al. Additional boosting to the RV144 vaccine regimen increased
Fc-mediated effector function magnitude but not durability. *AIDS.*
2023;37(10):1519-24.

58. Tuyishime M, Garrido C, Jha S, Moeser M, Mielke D, LaBranche C, et al. Improved
killing of HIV-infected cells using three neutralizing and non-neutralizing
antibodies. *J Clin Invest.* 2020;130(10):5157-70.

59. Williams LD, Shen X, Sawant SS, Akapirat S, Dahora LC, Tay MZ, et al. Viral vector
delivered immunogen focuses HIV-1 antibody specificity and increases durability
of the circulating antibody recall response. *PLoS Pathog.* 2023;19(5):e1011359.

60. Bradley T, Pollara J, Santra S, Vandergrift N, Pittala S, Bailey-Kellogg C, et al.
Pentavalent HIV-1 vaccine protects against simian-human immunodeficiency virus
challenge. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:15711.

61. Prevost J, Richard J, Gasser R, Medjahed H, Kirchhoff F, Hahn BH, et al. Detection
of the HIV-1 Accessory Proteins Nef and Vpu by Flow Cytometry Represents a New
Tool to Study Their Functional Interplay within a Single Infected CD4(+) T Cell. *J*
Virol. 2022;96(6):e0192921.

62. Alberti MO, Jones JJ, Miglietta R, Ding H, Bakshi RK, Edmonds TG, et al. Optimized
Replicating Renilla Luciferase Reporter HIV-1 Utilizing Novel Internal Ribosome
Entry Site Elements for Native Nef Expression and Function. *AIDS Res Hum*
Retroviruses. 2015;31(12):1278-96.

63. Klotman ME, Kim S, Buchbinder A, DeRossi A, Baltimore D, and Wong-Staal F.
Kinetics of expression of multiply spliced RNA in early human immunodeficiency
virus type 1 infection of lymphocytes and monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
1991;88(11):5011-5.

64. Kim SY, Byrn R, Groopman J, and Baltimore D. Temporal aspects of DNA and RNA
synthesis during human immunodeficiency virus infection: evidence for
differential gene expression. *J Virol.* 1989;63(9):3708-13.

65. Richard J, Prevost J, Bourassa C, Brassard N, Boutin M, Benlarbi M, et al. Temsavir
blocks the immunomodulatory activities of HIV-1 soluble gp120. *Cell Chem Biol.*
2023;30(5):540-52 e6.

66. Richard J, Veillette M, Ding S, Zoubchenok D, Alsahafi N, Coutu M, et al. Small CD4
Mimetics Prevent HIV-1 Uninfected Bystander CD4 + T Cell Killing Mediated by
Antibody-dependent Cell-mediated Cytotoxicity. *EBioMedicine.* 2016;3:122-34.

67. Brand D, Srinivasan K, and Sodroski J. Determinants of human immunodeficiency
virus type 1 entry in the CDR2 loop of the CD4 glycoprotein. *J Virol.*
1995;69(1):166-71.

68. Sloan DD, Lam CY, Irrinki A, Liu L, Tsai A, Pace CS, et al. Targeting HIV Reservoir in
Infected CD4 T Cells by Dual-Affinity Re-targeting Molecules (DARTs) that Bind HIV
Envelope and Recruit Cytotoxic T Cells. *PLoS Pathog.* 2015;11(11):e1005233.
69. Garrido C, Abad-Fernandez M, Tuyishime M, Pollara JJ, Ferrari G, Soriano-Sarabia
N, and Margolis DM. Interleukin-15-Stimulated Natural Killer Cells Clear HIV-1-
Infected Cells following Latency Reversal Ex Vivo. *J Virol.* 2018;92(12).
70. Grau-Exposito J, Serra-Peinado C, Miguel L, Navarro J, Curran A, Burgos J, et al. A
Novel Single-Cell FISH-Flow Assay Identifies Effector Memory CD4(+) T cells as a
Major Niche for HIV-1 Transcription in HIV-Infected Patients. *mBio.* 2017;8(4).
71. Mielke D, Bandawe G, Pollara J, Abrahams MR, Nyanhete T, Moore PL, et al.
Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity (ADCC)-Mediating Antibodies Constrain
Neutralizing Antibody Escape Pathway. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:2875.
72. Orlandi C, Flinko R, and Lewis GK. A new cell line for high throughput HIV-specific
antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and cell-to-cell virus transmission
studies. *J Immunol Methods.* 2016;433:51-8.
73. Richard J, Prevost J, Alsahafi N, Ding S, and Finzi A. Impact of HIV-1 Envelope
Conformation on ADCC Responses. *Trends in microbiology.* 2018;26(4):253-65.
74. Veillette M, Richard J, and Finzi A. Uncovering HIV-1-infected cells. *Oncotarget.*
2015.
75. Astorga-Gamaza A, Grau-Exposito J, Burgos J, Navarro J, Curran A, Planas B, et al.
Identification of HIV-reservoir cells with reduced susceptibility to antibody-
dependent immune response. *Elife.* 2022;11.
76. Pollara J, Hart L, Brewer F, Pickeral J, Packard BZ, Hoxie JA, et al. High-throughput
quantitative analysis of HIV-1 and SIV-specific ADCC-mediating antibody
responses. *Cytometry Part A : the journal of the International Society for Analytical
Cytology.* 2011;79(8):603-12.
77. Santra S, Tomaras GD, Warrier R, Nicely NI, Liao HX, Pollara J, et al. Human Non-
neutralizing HIV-1 Envelope Monoclonal Antibodies Limit the Number of Founder
Viruses during SHIV Mucosal Infection in Rhesus Macaques. *PLoS Pathog.*
2015;11(8):e1005042.
78. Baxter AE, Niessl J, Fromentin R, Richard J, Porichis F, Charlebois R, et al. Single-
Cell Characterization of Viral Translation-Competent Reservoirs in HIV-Infected
Individuals. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2016;20(3):368-80.
79. Baxter AE, Niessl J, Fromentin R, Richard J, Porichis F, Massanella M, et al.
Multiparametric characterization of rare HIV-infected cells using an RNA-flow FISH
technique. *Nature protocols.* 2017;12(10):2029-49.
80. Sannier G, Dube M, Dufour C, Richard C, Brassard N, Delgado GG, et al. Combined
single-cell transcriptional, translational, and genomic profiling reveals HIV-1
reservoir diversity. *Cell Rep.* 2021;36(9):109643.
81. Mengistu M, Ray K, Lewis GK, and DeVico AL. Antigenic properties of the human
immunodeficiency virus envelope glycoprotein gp120 on virions bound to target
cells. *PLoS Pathog.* 2015;11(3):e1004772.

82. Abeynaike SA, Huynh TR, Mehmood A, Kim T, Frank K, Gao K, et al. Human
Hematopoietic Stem Cell Engrafted IL-15 Transgenic NSG Mice Support Robust NK
Cell Responses and Sustained HIV-1 Infection. *Viruses.* 2023;15(2).
83. Bournazos S, Klein F, Pietzsch J, Seaman MS, Nussenzweig MC, and Ravetch JV.
Broadly neutralizing anti-HIV-1 antibodies require Fc effector functions for in vivo
activity. *Cell.* 2014;158(6):1243-53.
84. Guan Y, Pazgier M, Sajadi MM, Kamin-Lewis R, Al-Darmarki S, Flinko R, et al.
Diverse specificity and effector function among human antibodies to HIV-1
envelope glycoprotein epitopes exposed by CD4 binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2013;110(1):E69-78.
85. Robinson JE, Elliott DH, Martin EA, Micken K, and Rosenberg ES. High frequencies
of antibody responses to CD4 induced epitopes in HIV infected patients started on
HAART during acute infection. *Human antibodies.* 2005;14(3-4):115-21.
86. Wildum S, Schindler M, Munch J, and Kirchhoff F. Contribution of Vpu, Env, and
Nef to CD4 down-modulation and resistance of human immunodeficiency virus
type 1-infected T cells to superinfection. *J Virol.* 2006;80(16):8047-59.
87. Piguet V, Schwartz O, Le Gall S, and Trono D. The downregulation of CD4 and MHC-
I by primate lentiviruses: a paradigm for the modulation of cell surface receptors.
Immunological reviews. 1999;168:51-63.
88. Bresnahan PA, Yonemoto W, Ferrell S, Williams-Herman D, Geleziunas R, and
Greene WC. A dileucine motif in HIV-1 Nef acts as an internalization signal for CD4
downregulation and binds the AP-1 clathrin adaptor. *Curr Biol.* 1998;8(22):1235-
8.
89. Craig HM, Pandori MW, and Guatelli JC. Interaction of HIV-1 Nef with the cellular
dileucine-based sorting pathway is required for CD4 down-regulation and optimal
viral infectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998;95(19):11229-34.
90. Greenberg M, DeTulleo L, Rapoport I, Skowronski J, and Kirchhausen T. A dileucine
motif in HIV-1 Nef is essential for sorting into clathrin-coated pits and for
downregulation of CD4. *Curr Biol.* 1998;8(22):1239-42.
91. Mangasarian A, Foti M, Aiken C, Chin D, Carpentier JL, and Trono D. The HIV-1 Nef
protein acts as a connector with sorting pathways in the Golgi and at the plasma
membrane. *Immunity.* 1997;6(1):67-77.
92. Schwartz S, Felber BK, Fenyo EM, and Pavlakis GN. Env and Vpu proteins of human
immunodeficiency virus type 1 are produced from multiple bicistronic mRNAs. *J
Virol.* 1990;64(11):5448-56.
93. Geleziunas R, Bour S, and Wainberg MA. Cell surface down-modulation of CD4
after infection by HIV-1. *FASEB J.* 1994;8(9):593-600.
94. Willey RL, Maldarelli F, Martin MA, and Strebel K. Human immunodeficiency virus
type 1 Vpu protein induces rapid degradation of CD4. *J Virol.* 1992;66(12):7193-
200.
95. Willey RL, Maldarelli F, Martin MA, and Strebel K. Human immunodeficiency virus
type 1 Vpu protein regulates the formation of intracellular gp160-CD4 complexes.
J Virol. 1992;66(1):226-34.

96.   Wang S, Yates NL, Pollara J, Voronin Y, Stanfield-Oakley S, Han D, et al. Broadly
1001   binding and functional antibodies and persisting memory B cells elicited by HIV
1002   vaccine PDPHV. *NPJ Vaccines.* 2022;7(1):18.
1003   97.   Chen BK, Gandhi RT, and Baltimore D. CD4 down-modulation during infection of
1004   human T cells with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 involves independent
1005   activities of vpu, env, and nef. *J Virol.* 1996;70(9):6044-53.
1006   98.   Pollara J, Orlandi C, Beck C, Edwards RW, Hu Y, Liu S, et al. Application of area
1007   scaling analysis to identify natural killer cell and monocyte involvement in the
1008   GranToxiLux antibody dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity assay. *Cytometry A.*
1009   2018;93(4):436-47.
1010   99.   Chung AW, Navis M, Isitman G, Wren L, Silvers J, Amin J, et al. Activation of NK
1011   cells by ADCC antibodies and HIV disease progression. *J Acquir Immune Defic*
1012   *Syndr.* 2011;58(2):127-31.
1013   100.   Yucha R, Litchford ML, Fish CS, Yaffe ZA, Richardson BA, Maleche-Obimbo E, et al.
1014   Higher HIV-1 Env gp120-Specific Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity (ADCC)
1015   Activity Is Associated with Lower Levels of Defective HIV-1 Provirus. *Viruses.*
1016   2023;15(10).
1017   101.   Tomescu C, Kroll K, Colon K, Papasavvas E, Frank I, Tebas P, et al. Identification of
1018   the predominant human NK cell effector subset mediating ADCC against HIV-
1019   infected targets coated with BNAbs or plasma from PLWH. *Eur J Immunol.*
1020   2021;51(8):2051-61.
1021   102.   Madani N, Princiotto AM, Mach L, Ding S, Prevost J, Richard J, et al. A CD4-mimetic
1022   compound enhances vaccine efficacy against stringent immunodeficiency virus
1023   challenge. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):2363.
1024   103.   Ochsenbauer C, Edmonds TG, Ding H, Keele BF, Decker J, Salazar MG, et al.
1025   Generation of Transmitted/Founder HIV-1 Infectious Molecular Clones and
1026   Characterization of Their Replication Capacity in CD4 T Lymphocytes and
1027   Monocyte-Derived Macrophages. *J Virol.* 2012;86(5):2715-28.
1028   104.   van Stigt Thans T, Akko JI, Niehrs A, Garcia-Beltran WF, Richert L, Sturzel CM, et al.
1029   Primary HIV-1 Strains Use Nef To Downmodulate HLA-E Surface Expression. *J Virol.*
1030   2019;93(20).
1031   105.   Edmonds TG, Ding H, Yuan X, Wei Q, Smith KS, Conway JA, et al. Replication
1032   competent molecular clones of HIV-1 expressing Renilla luciferase facilitate the
1033   analysis of antibody inhibition in PBMC. *Virology.* 2010;408(1):1-13.
1034   106.   Adachi A, Gendelman HE, Koenig S, Folks T, Willey R, Rabson A, and Martin MA.
1035   Production of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-associated retrovirus in
1036   human and nonhuman cells transfected with an infectious molecular clone. *J Virol.*
1037   1986;59(2):284-91.
1038   107.   Emi N, Friedmann T, and Yee JK. Pseudotype formation of murine leukemia virus
1039   with the G protein of vesicular stomatitis virus. *J Virol.* 1991;65(3):1202-7.
1040

Graphical abstract

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

CD4^high^p24^high^ cells (*nef-*)
CD4^low^p24^high^ cells (WT)

CD4^+^p24^-^ cells   CD4^+^ p24^low^ cells

Relative cell number

Nef expression

Mock ---------
CH077TF WT
CH077TF *nef-*

B

Nef expression (MFI)

ns   ns   **

CD4^+^p24^-^ cells   CD4^+^p24^low^cells   CD4^low^p24^high^cells (WT)
CD4^high^p24^high^cells (*nef-*)

● CH077TF WT
▲ CH077TF *nef-*

Figure 3

A

Mock

CH077TF

CD4 / p24

CD4⁺p24⁻   CD4⁺p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{high}

env mRNA / *nef* mRNA

B

% of *env* / *nef* mRNA+ cells

CD4⁺p24⁻ (Mock)   CD4⁺p24⁻   CD4⁺p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{high} (CH077TF WT)

C

Mock   CH077TF

env mRNA / *nef* mRNA

CD4 / p24

D

% of cells among *env* mRNA+/*nef* mRNA+ cells

CD4⁺p24⁻   CD4⁺p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{high}

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1. Impact of the D368R mutation on nnAbs and bnAbs binding.

Primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus CH077, either expressing the wild-type (WT) or D368R Env (D368R) were stained with a panel of bnAbs and nnAbs, followed with appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were then stained for cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24. (A) Graphs shown represent the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) obtained for at least 3 independent staining with the different mAbs. Error bars indicate means ± standard errors of the means. (B) Graphs shown represent the mean MFI obtained with each mAbs. (C) Percentage of the different cell populations at 48h post-infection. Statistical significance was tested using Mann-Whitney U test (** p<0.01, ns: non-significant).

Figure S2. Recognition of cells infected with HIV-1 constructs expressing lab-adapted and primary Envs by bnAbs and nnAbs.

Primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with NL4.3 infectious molecular clones (IMC) expressing Env from lab-adapted (BaL, NL4.3) or primary (CH040TF, CH058, YU2, SF162) viruses were stained with a panel of bnAbs and nnAbs, followed with appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were then stained for cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24. (A) Graphs shown represent the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) obtained for at least 3 independent staining with the different mAbs. Error bars indicate means ± standard errors of the means. (B) Graphs shown represent the mean MFI obtained with each mAbs for each HIV-1 IMC. (C-D) Percentage of the (C) CD4^{low}p24^{high} and (D) CD4^{+}p24^{low} populations at 48h post-infection. Statistical significance was tested Mann-Whitney U test (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, **** p<0.0001).

Figure S3. CD4 downregulation precedes Env expression.

Primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus CH077 WT were stained for cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24 and *Env* mRNA and *nef* mRNA by RNA-flow FISH. (A) Example of RNA-flow FISH detection of *env* mRNA among the CD4$^+$p24$^-$, CD4$^+$p24^{low}, CD4^{low} p24^{low} or CD4^{low} p24^{high} cell populations. (C) Quantification of the percentage of *env* mRNA+ cells detected among the different cell population with three different donors. Statistical significance was tested using one way ANOVA with a Holm-Sidak post-test (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ns: non-significant).

Figure S4. Cells targeted by A32 are *env* mRNA negative.

Primary CD4+ T cells, mock-infected or infected with the transmitted-founder virus CH77 WT were stained with A32 or PGT126, followed with appropriate secondary Abs. Cells were then stained for cell-surface CD4 prior detection of intracellular HIV-1 p24 and *Env* mRNA and *nef* mRNA by RNA-flow FISH. (A) Histograms depicting representative *env* mRNA detection on A32+ or PGT126+ cells. (B) Median fluorescence intensities (MFI) of *env* mRNA obtained with 3 different donors. Statistical significance was tested using a Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn's post-test. (* p<0.05, ns: non-significant)

Figure S5. Nef expression in *ex vivo* expanded CD4+ T cells isolated from PLWH.

Ex vivo expanded CD4 T cells from 6 HIV+ individuals and 4 HIV- individuals were stained for surface CD4 prior to detection of intracellular Nef and p24. (A) Histograms depicting representative staining. (B) Median fluorescence intensities (MFI) obtained with 6 HIV+ individuals and 4 HIV- individuals. Statistical significance was tested using one-way ANOVA test with a Holm-Sidak post-test (**** p<0.0001, ns: non-significant).

Figure S6. A32 reduces the levels of CD4+ T cells in tissues *in vivo*. NSG-15-Hu-PBL mice were infected with HIV-1 JRCSF intraperitoneally. At day 6 and 9 post infection, mice were administered 1.5 mg of A32 mAb subcutaneously (s.c.). The percentage of CD4+ T cells was evaluated by flow cytometry in blood, spleen, mucosa, liver, bone marrow (BM) and lung at day 11 post-infection. Statistical significance was tested using a Mann Whitney U test (** p<0.01).

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

A

B

Figure S4

A

B

Figure 5

HIV+ ——
HIV- (CD4$^+$p24$^-$) ▬▬

A

CD4$^+$p24$^-$ cells   CD4$^+$p24^{low} cells   CD4$^-$ p24^{high}cells

Relative cell number

Nef expression

B

Nef expression (MFI)

****
ns
ns

CD4$^+$p24$^-$ CD4$^+$p24$^-$ CD4$^+$p24^{low} CD4^{low}p24^{high}

HIV-   HIV+

Figure S6