Article Title: Adapting C_4 photosynthesis to atmospheric change and increasing productivity by
elevating Rubisco content in Sorghum and Sugarcane.

Authors and Affiliations:

Coralie E. Salesse-Smith[1,3], Noga Adar[1], Baskaran Kannan[4,5], Thaibinhduong Nguyen[4,5], Wei
Wei[1], Ming Guo[6,7], Zhengxiang Ge[6,7], Fredy Altpeter[4,5], Tom E. Clemente[6,7], and Stephen P.
Long[1,2,3]

[1]Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
Urbana, IL, USA; [2]Departments of Plant Biology and of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA; [3]DOE Center for Advanced Bioenergy and Bioproducts
Innovation, Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA; [4]Agronomy Department, Plant Molecular and Cellular
Biology Program, Genetics Institute, University of Florida, IFAS, Gainesville, Florida, USA; [5]DOE
Center for Advanced Bioenergy and Bioproducts Innovation, Gainesville, FL, USA; [6]Department
of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA; [7]DOE Center
for Advanced Bioenergy and Bioproducts Innovation, Lincoln, NE, USA

Corresponding authors:

Coralie E. Salesse-Smith, ces343@illinois.edu

Stephen P. Long, slong@illinois.edu

Classification:

Biological Sciences, Plant Biology

Keywords: Atmospheric change; Future-proofing agriculture; Rising CO_2; Rubisco, C_4
photosynthesis, Bundle sheath leakiness; Photosynthetic induction; CO_2 assimilation, RAF1,
Transgenic field trial, Sorghum, Sugarcane

Abstract

Meta-analyses and theory show that with rising atmospheric [CO_2], Rubisco has become the
greatest limitation to light-saturated leaf CO_2 assimilation rates (A_{sat}) in C$_4$ crops. So would
transgenically increasing Rubisco increase A_{sat} and result in increased productivity in the field?
Here, we successfully overexpressed the Rubisco small subunit (*RbcS*) with Rubisco
accumulation factor 1 (*Raf1*) in both sorghum and sugarcane, resulting in significant increases
in Rubisco content of 13-25% and up to 90% respectively. A_{sat} increased 12-15% and Rubisco
enzyme activity ~40% in three independent transgenic events of both species. Sorghum plants
also showed increased speeds of photosynthetic induction and decreased bundle sheath
leakiness. These improvements translated into average increases of 15.5% in biomass in field-
grown sorghum and a 37-81% increase in greenhouse-grown sugarcane. This suggests a
potential opportunity to achieve substantial increases in productivity of this key economically
important clade of C$_4$ crops, future proofing their value under global atmospheric change.

Significance Statement

The world is projected to need a 60% increase in food supply by 2050 (UN), and this must be
achieved under conditions of global change without expanding onto yet more land. C$_4$ crops,
while few in number, account for a large proportion of agricultural productivity. We reason that
rising atmospheric [CO_2] has very recently made Rubisco, the enzyme used for all carbon
fixation in plants, the greatest limitation to light saturated photosynthesis in C$_4$ crops. We
demonstrate that transgenically increasing Rubisco content in sorghum and sugarcane,
increases their photosynthetic efficiency and productivity, including in a field trial of sorghum.
This shows a means to sustainably increase the productivity of this key group of crops.

Introduction

While very few of the 161 crop species listed by UN-FAO use C_4 photosynthesis, their importance and potential in terms of global food, feed and biomass production is exceptional (1). For total global biomass harvested, sugarcane (*Saccharum* hybrids) is number one and maize (*Zea mays* L.) number one for seed harvested (1, 2). Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) is the fourth most important cereal in global production, only behind, maize, rice and wheat, but it is the major cereal of the semi-arid tropics (1). While grown for biomass, the C_4 crops energycane and Miscanthus show exceptional productivity (3-6). These crops all belong to the monophyletic grass tribe Andropogonae.

The leaves of C_4 plants contain an outer layer of mesophyll cells surrounding the bundle sheath cells. CO_2 diffuses from the sub-stomatal cavity into the mesophyll cytoplasm, where it combines with phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to form oxaloacetate (OAA), catalyzed by cytoplasmic PEP carboxylase (PEPC). OAA is reduced to malate in the mesophyll chloroplasts and then diffuses to the bundle sheath where it is decarboxylated by $NADP^+$-dependent malic enzyme (NADP-ME) creating a high [CO_2] around Ribulose-1:5 bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco). The decarboxylation product, pyruvate, diffuses back to the mesophyll where, catalyzed by Pyruvate Pi dikinase (PPDK), it is phosphorylated to PEP at the expense of 2 ATP, completing the photosynthetic C_4 cycle (7). This photosynthetic C_4 cycle is in effect a light energy driven CO_2 pump that elevates the [CO_2] around Rubisco to a level that is sufficient to competitively inhibit oxygenation, preventing photorespiration and avoiding the significant losses of photosynthetic efficiency that this causes in C_3 species (8). Its benefits are such that considerable effort is being placed into engineering the C_4 pathway into C_3 crops (9-11). Tests under open-air conditions using Free-Air Concentration Enrichment (FACE) technology, have shown that higher [CO_2] increases the photosynthetic efficiency, and in turn productivity, of C_3 crops, but not these C_4 crops (12-16). Thus, rising atmospheric [CO_2] could progressively erode the current production advantage of C_4 plants (17). However, analysis of metabolic and physiological limitations indicate that C_4 plants could be modified to also gain photosynthetic efficiency at today's and future atmospheric [CO_2] (18-20).

Light-saturated leaf CO_2 assimilation rates (A_{sat}) in C_4 plants rise sharply with intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i) to a plateau, where A_{sat} remains constant with further increase in C_i (7). In maize crops grown in the field at both ambient and elevated atmospheric CO_2, this transition was shown to occur at a C_i of about 120 µmol mol^{-1}, above which A_{sat} is [CO_2]-saturated (15). A meta-analysis of 842 measured A-C_i responses in 49 C_4 species showed the point of CO_2 saturation is consistent across a broad range of C_4 species, and unaffected by growth at either sub-ambient or supra-ambient [CO_2] (19). Because of their lower stomatal conductance (g_s) relative to A_{sat}, the drawdown of [CO_2] between the atmosphere (C_a) and intercellular air space (C_i) is greater in C_4 leaves, resulting in a ratio (C_i/C_a) of about 0.4, compared to 0.7 in C_3 species.

These ratios in non-water stressed plants are apparently unaffected by the $[CO_2]$ of the growth
environment (12). At today's C_a of 428 µmol mol^{-1} (21), C_i in photosynthesizing C_4 leaves should
be about 170 µmol mol^{-1}. Therefore, at current and future atmospheric $[CO_2]$, C_4
photosynthesis is $[CO_2]$ saturated. Historically this was not the case. The average C_a of the last
40,000 years in which our crop ancestors evolved was 220 µmol mol^{-1} and assuming a C_i/C_a, of
0.4 under these conditions average C_i would have been 88 µmol mol^{-1}, well below the indicated
saturation point of about 120 µmol mol^{-1} (12, 22). At this C_i photosynthesis would be operating
on the initial slope of the response of A to C_i. The mechanistic steady-state mathematical model
of C_4 photosynthesis shows that this initial slope is determined by the activity of PEPC and
mesophyll conductance (g_m); g_m being the ease with which CO_2 can diffuse from the sub-
stomatal cavity into the mesophyll cytoplasm (7). The plateau however, is limited by the activity
of Rubisco, and potentially electron transport (7). Recent non-steady state metabolic modeling
and measurements show Rubisco activity also having significant control over the efficiency of
photosynthesis in fluctuating light (23, 24). Consistent with these predictions, transgenic knock-
down studies showed metabolic control of the initial slope of the A-C_i response to reside with
PEPC activity and the plateau shared by Rubisco and PPDK, with Rubisco accounting for 70% of
the control (25). This indicates that upregulation of Rubsico would increase A_{sat} in today's
elevated $[CO_2]$ atmosphere.

Form I Rubisco, found in plants, is made up from eight small subunits (SS) encoded by the
nuclear gene family *RbcS* and eight large subunits (LS) encoded by the chloroplast gene *rbcL*.
Previously, transgenic increase in Rubisco content, by overexpression of the Rubisco small-
subunit (*RbcS*) and Rubisco accumulation factor 1 (*Raf1*) genes, increased A_{sat} and plant growth
of maize under optimal and chilling growth conditions (26, 27). However, this was confined to a
single hybrid genotype of maize grown in controlled environments. To test the hypothesis that
this improvement would be more generally possible across this key economic clade of crops
and result in increased productivity in the field and greenhouse, Rubisco was upregulated in
both sorghum and sugarcane.

Results

Transgenic upregulation of *RbcS* and *Raf1* genes increases Rubisco abundance in sorghum and
sugarcane

Two transgenes, each designed to upregulate *RbcS* and *Raf1*, were stably transformed into
sorghum and sugarcane (Fig.1a). The construct transformed into sorghum contains constitutive
promoters, while the one transformed into sugarcane contains bundle sheath specific
promoters as well as C-terminal epitope tags FLAG and HA. For sorghum, 9 transgenic events
were received and 7 determined to be single insertion lines. Transgene expression was
confirmed by copy number analysis and Western blot (Figure S1a). T1 plants from 7 events

were screened for increased CO_2 assimilation (A) and Rubisco activity ($V_{c,max}$) using gas

exchange (Fig. S1b-g). For sugarcane, 49 transgenic events were received and analyzed via

Western blot and a subset of events screened for improved A and $V_{c,max}$ (Fig. S2). The top three

performing events from each species based on gas exchange and protein data were then

characterized along with a wild-type (WT), from which the transformants were derived,

propagated alongside these. In sorghum, homozygous plants were obtained from each single

insertion event (hn1a, zg12a and zg5b) at T2. Commercial sugarcane is clonally propagated,

and the three events (3, 9, and 25) were effectively clones of the T0 events.

qPCR confirmed transgene expression in sorghum lines characterized in both the greenhouse

and field. All three transgenic events showed high levels of *ZmRbcS* and *ZmRaf1* expression,

with none in the WT (Fig. S3a-d). Immunoblots similarly showed high RAF1 protein expression

in the transgenic lines relative to WT (Fig. 1b). Epitope tags showed expression of sorghum SS

and RAF1 proteins in the sugarcane transformants (Fig. 1c).

Rubisco content, measured by the ^{14}C-CABP binding assay, was significantly increased by 13-

25% in sorghum, and by 90% and 39% in sugarcane events 3 and 25 respectively (Fig. 1d,e). No

significant increase was measured in event 9, even though immunoblots showed the presence

of the recombinant SS and RAF1, although the latter at lower levels than in events 3 and 25 (Fig.

1c). There were no differences in total soluble protein between WT and transgenic plants in

either sorghum or sugarcane (Table S3).

Fig. 1: Sorghum and sugarcane transformation constructs, protein expression and analysis of Rubisco content.

(a) Transgenes designed to upregulate Rubisco small subunit (*RbcS*) and Rubisco accumulation factor 1 (*Raf1*). The transgenes were stably transformed into sorghum (top) and sugarcane (bottom). The sugarcane construct contains FLAG and HA epitope tags. P, promoter; T, terminator, Sb, *Sorghum bicolor*; Zm, *Zea mays*; UBI, ubiquitin; NOS, nopaline synthase; ME, NADP-malic enzyme; HA, hemagglutinin. **(b)** Total soluble protein isolated on a leaf area basis from sorghum and **(c)** sugarcane, and analyzed by immunoblot. Three replicate plants (Rep) of each of the three transgenic events and of the wild-type (WT) control were probed with antibodies indicated to the left. -LS marks the Rubisco large subunit band. **(d)** Average Rubisco content per unit leaf area quantified by ^{14}C-CABP

170 binding in 6-week-old sorghum (n=8), and **(e)** 9-week-old sugarcane (n=6) grown in the greenhouse. Values are
171 shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM. Asterisks indicate significant differences between WT and the transgenic line (*P <
172 0.05); one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc test.

Co-upregulation of RBCS and RAF1 is correlated with increased $V_{c,max}$, CO_2 assimilation, and photosynthetic light induction in sorghum

A-C_i responses showed that upregulated RBCS and RAF1 significantly increased assimilation
rates above a C_i of ~100 μmol mol^{-1}, but not below (Fig. 2a). Maximum *in vivo* Rubisco
carboxylation rates ($V_{c,max}$) at 25 °C, estimated from the A-C_i response, were significantly
increased by 12% in the transgenic lines (Fig 2c), while maximum PEPC carboxylation rates
($V_{p,max}$) though slightly higher than WT did not differ significantly (Table 1). *In vitro* $V_{c,max}$ rates
were increased by ~40% in the transgenic lines (Fig. 2d). A_{sat} measured at the current
atmospheric [CO_2] also showed significant increases in the transgenic lines (Fig. 2b). g_{sw} was
similarly significantly increased but this cannot account for increases in A_{sat} because no
differences in C_i were observed between genotypes (Table 1). The effective quantum yield of
PSII (Φ_{PSII}), measured by modulated chlorophyll fluorescence, was increased by 12% in the
transformed plants in parallel with CO_2 assimilation (Table 1). Rubisco activation state, the
fraction of Rubisco active sites that are catalytically active and therefore contributing to
carboxylation, was not significantly different between lines (Table 1).

To identify if increased Rubisco would be beneficial to the activation of photosynthesis on
shade to sun transition, induction of A over the first 30 min of transfer to high-light (PPFD =
1800 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) was measured (Fig. 2e). CO_2 assimilation in the transgenic lines was
significantly higher than WT after 3 minutes of illumination following dark acclimation (Table 1)
and remained higher for the remaining 27 minutes of measurement (Fig. 2e). Induction curves,
normalized to the average A value over the last 5 minutes of illumination (A_{max}) were used to
assess the speed of photosynthetic activation (Fig. S4a). On average, the transgenics took 4
minutes to reach 50% maximum CO_2 assimilation rates (A_{max}), compared to 6 minutes for WT
(Fig. 2f). The transgenics were significantly taller and had more leaves than WT in the
greenhouse (Fig. S5). Seed from these plants was collected for the field trial.

Fig 2: CO₂ response curves and photosynthetic induction to light of greenhouse grown sorghum plants.

(a) Response of light saturated CO₂ assimilation rates (A_{sat}) to intercellular [CO₂] (C_i). Measurements were made under the following conditions: light intensity of 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, leaf temperature of 28 °C, and VPD 1.5 kPa. Measurement cuvette [CO₂] were varied from 10-1500 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂. Open points represent the measured value closest to the operating C_i (i.e the C_i where ambient CO₂ was 420 µmol mol⁻¹). **(b)** A_{sat} measured at 400 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂. **(c)** Maximum *in vivo* Rubisco carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$) at 25 °C estimated from response curves in panel **a** (n=7-10). **(d)** Maximum *in vitro* Rubisco carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$) at 25 °C (n=5-6). **(e)** Average CO₂ assimilation during the first 180 seconds of induction. **(f)** Time for A to reach 50% of A_{max}, where A_{max} is the average A from 25

to 30 minutes of illumination. Measurements were made on 5-week-old plants. Values are shown as the mean $\pm$
SEM (n=7-10). Asterisks indicate significant differences between WT and the transgenic line (*P < 0.05); one-way
ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc test.

RBCS-RAF1 transgenic sorghum plants have increased photosynthesis and biomass under field
conditions

A field trial in summer 2023 evaluated whether gains observed in the greenhouse could be
reproduced in a replicated field trial of 4-row plots. A randomized complete block design was
used with 6 replicate plots for each of the three RBCS-RAF1 transgenic events and WT (Fig. 4e;
Fig. S6a). A-C_i responses and light induction curves measured during the vegetative growth
stage were consistent with the greenhouse results. $V_{c,max}$, was again increased, with no
significant increase in the initial slope of the response, and light induction of A was faster (Fig
3a,b). These increases were also observed at boot stage but were absent during grain-filling
(Fig. 3c,d). Bundle sheath leakiness (Φ), the rate that CO_2 not fixed by Rubisco leaks from
bundle sheath back to mesophyll, was measured by combining gas exchange with carbon
isotope discrimination during the vegetative growth stage. In concert with increased $V_{c,max}$, Φ
was decreased by about 18% across all three transgenic lines, however these decreases were
not statistically significant (Fig. S7).

The field grown transgenic sorghum plants were also more vigorous than the WT, as evidenced
by a significant 15.5% increase in vegetative dry biomass at harvest (Fig. 4a). However, there
was no increase in seed biomass, which corresponded to a significant decrease in harvest index
(seed mass/total plant mass) compared to the WT (Fig. 4b-c). Seed composition was analyzed
using NIR and showed increased protein and decreased starch content in the transgenic lines,
with no change in fat or ash content (Fig. 4d). Number of panicles per plot, 100 seed weight and
average seed weight per panicle did not show any clear trends, however panicle emergence
was delayed by 3 to 6 days in the transgenic plants (Table S2). Leaf mass, chlorophyll content, N
and C contents, on a leaf area basis, did not differ between the WT and transgenic plants (Table
S3).

Fig. 3: CO₂ response curves and photosynthetic induction to light of field grown sorghum plants.

(a) Response of light-saturated CO_2 assimilation rates (A_{sat}) to intercellular [CO_2] (C_i) and **(b)** induction of A during the first 30 min of illumination at PPFD 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of sorghum plants in the vegetative growth stage. **(c)** A_{sat} - C_i response of sorghum plants in the boot and **(d)** grain-filling growth stages. Open symbols on each curve show the measured value closest to the operating C_i (where ambient CO_2 is 420 µmol mol⁻¹). Values are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=4-6).

Fig. 4: Plant growth traits in field grown sorghum plants.

(**a**) Average dry leaf and stem biomass per plot and (**b**) average seed biomass per plot from field grown sorghum plants. (**c**) Harvest index (seed mass/total shoot mass) per plot. Values are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=6 plots). Asterisks indicate significant differences between WT and the transgenic line (**$P < 0.05$, *$P < 0.1$); one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc test. P values above each bar from Dunnett's post hoc test. (**d**) Percent protein, fat, starch and ash content of whole dry sorghum seeds (WT n= 6 plots, RBCS-RAF1 n=11 plots). **$P < 0.05$; pooled t-test. (**e**) Side view of 4 of the 24 four-row plots of the four sorghum genotypes tested at boot stage in the field in Urbana, Illinois summer 2023 (see Fig. S4a for full experimental design).

Co-upregulation of RBCS and RAF1 in sugarcane increases photosynthetic efficiency and plant productivity

Measurements were made on 8-week-old greenhouse grown sugarcane plants. As in sorghum, A-C_i responses showed significant increases in *in vivo* Rubisco activity ($V_{c,max}$) with no significant change in the initial slope ($V_{p,max}$, Fig. 5a,d; Table 1). Similarly, A_{sat} and *in vitro* $V_{c,max}$ were significantly increased at ambient atmospheric [CO_2] in the transgenics (Fig. 5c,e). There were no significant differences in Rubisco activation state, g_{sw} or C_i: however, there was a marginal increase in Φ_{PSII} in events 3 and 25 (Table 1). Assimilation of CO_2 was greater over the 30 minute light induction, in events 3 and 25, but not event 9 potentially due to a pleotropic effect related to the location of the transgene insertion into the genome (Fig. 5b, Fig. S8).

Transgenic events 3 and 25 showed significant increases in main stem, and tiller and leaf dry mass, amounting to total shoot dry mass increases of 37% and 81% respectively, but with no increase in event 9 (Fig. 5f-h). Significant increases in plant height, stem width and leaf number were also observed in events 3 and 25 compared to WT (Fig. S9). Leaf chlorophyll and mass per unit area did not differ between genotypes (Table S3).

Fig 5: CO_2 response curves and plant growth traits of greenhouse grown sugarcane plants.

(a) Response of light-saturated CO_2 assimilation rates (A_{sat}) to intercellular [CO_2] (C_i). Measurements were at light intensity of 1800 $\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$, leaf temperature 30 °C, and 65% humidity. Measurement cuvette [CO_2] was varied from 10-1500 $\mu mol\ mol^{-1}$. Open symbols show the measured value closest to the operating C_i (where ambient [CO_2] was 420 $\mu mol\ mol^{-1}$). **(b)** Induction of A during the first 30 min of illumination at PPFD 1800 $\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. **(c)** A_{sat} at 450 $\mu mol\ mol^{-1}$ CO_2 **from panel a. (d)** Maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate *in vivo* ($V_{c,max}$) at 25 °C estimated from response curves in panel **a** (n=8-10). **(e)** Maximum *in vitro* Rubisco carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$) at 25 °C (n=6). **(f)** Leaf and tiller, **(g)** main stem, and **(h)** above ground total dry biomass of greenhouse grown sugarcane plants.

Values are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=8-10). Asterisks indicate significant differences between WT and the
transgenic lines (*P < 0.05); one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc test.

Discussion

Theoretical and experimental analyses have long suggested Rubisco to be the major limitation
of light-saturated photosynthesis in both C_3 and C_4 species (7, 18-20, 28). Consistent with this
hypothesis, transgenic upregulation of Rubisco content significantly increased Rubisco
carboxylation rates (V_{cmax}) and light-saturated leaf CO_2 assimilation rates (A_{sat}) in both sorghum
and sugarcane (Fig. 1 d-e, 2a-d, 3a-c, and 5a, c-e). Although Rubisco or electron transport can
limit assimilation in the plateau of a C_4 A-C_i curve (7, 29), the agreement between *in vivo* and *in*
vitro V_{cmax} estimates is consistent with primarily Rubisco-limited assimilation at high light (Fig.
2a,c-d and 5 a, d-e). The speed of light induction of CO_2 assimilation was also increased (Fig. 2e-
f and 3b). This has critical importance to increasing photosynthetic efficiency under the
fluctuating light conditions of crop canopies in the field (30, 31). Also consistent with limitation
by Rubisco, bundle sheath leakiness was decreased, although not significantly (Fig. S7). Finally,
and in concert with these increases in photosynthetic efficiency, a field trial of sorghum and a
greenhouse trial of sugarcane showed significant increases in productivity relative to the wild-
type from which the transgenics were derived (Figs. 4 and 5).

Rubisco is, by far, the most abundant single protein in leaves, constituting up to 50% of soluble
protein in C_3 plants and 20-30% in C_4 (32). These high amounts are attributed to the need to
offset the exceptionally low catalytic rate of carboxylation (k_{cat}) of Rubisco relative to other
enzymes. Its low k_{cat} is in turn considered in part a consequence of high specificity for CO_2
relative to the alternative substrate of O_2 (33). Sage & McKown (34) noted the much lower
available tissue volume that could house Rubisco in C_4 leaves, where it is functionally confined
to the bundle sheath, suggesting this as a constraint. This is less than half the volume available
in C_3 leaves. However, 3D microscopic analysis of the bundle sheath chloroplast stromal volume
in *Miscanthus* x *giganteus* suggested capacity for a doubling of actual Rubisco content (35).
Miscanthus is closely related to sorghum and sugarcane, and within the same subtribe,
Saccharinae (36). This observation is consistent with the observed increases in Rubisco content
of 25% in sorghum and up to 90% in sugarcane (Fig. 1d-e). The much higher level in sugarcane
may reflect that this was achieved by biolistic transformation, which could have resulted in
multiple insertions of the transgenes, while the three sorghum events were single insertion
events.

Given that increased Rubisco production is possible and beneficial, why have natural selection
and breeding not achieved this? One explanation is that Rubisco might only have become a
limitation very recently, due to rising atmospheric [CO_2]. On March 16, 2024, atmospheric [CO_2]

was recorded at 428 µmol mol^{-1} (21), which is almost double the average atmospheric [CO_2] of
the past 40,000 years (22, 37). Theory suggests that under the conditions in which our crop
ancestors evolved, Rubisco would not be a limitation and therefore selection pressure would
have been lacking, with the result that there may be little genetic variation for natural or
breeder selection as [CO_2] rises. Indeed given the large nitrogen cost of Rubisco, historically,
selection pressure was likely against any increase. It should be noted that half of the increase in
[CO_2] has occurred in the last 50 years, with [CO_2] rising from 323 µmol mol^{-1} to 428 µmol mol^{-1}
between 1974 and 2024 (21). By reference to the open symbols in Fig. 3, it is seen that at
today's atmospheric [CO_2] A_{sat} in the field-grown sorghum is controlled by the plateau of the A-
C_i response, i.e. predominantly by Rubisco activity. However, if it is assumed that C_i/C_a has been
conserved, then at the atmospheric [CO_2] in 1974, C_i would equal 129 µmol mol^{-1}. By reference
to Fig. 3, A_{sat} would then be mostly controlled by the initial slope of the A-C_i response, i.e. PEP
carboxylase activity ($V_{p,max}$), and insensitive to increase in Rubisco activity. The period in which
Rubisco has become limiting is therefore very short in terms of reproductive cycles. As
atmospheric [CO_2] continues to rise, Rubisco limitations will increase, and elevation of Rubisco
will be an important means to contribute toward future-proofing and advancing C_4 crop
productivity. An increase in A_{sat} was achieved here by only upregulating expression of *RbcS* and
Raf1, which infers three factors important for future manipulations. First, for Rubisco to be
increased, both the large (LS) and small (SS) subunits must be increased. That only upregulation
of *RbcS* was needed, is consistent with the observations that in tobacco *rbcL* is subject to
assembly-dependent translational regulation, and so controlled by the supply of SS (38) or in
rice the supply of SS upregulates *rbcL* transcript levels (39). Further studies are required to
determine which mechanism is occurring in sorghum and sugarcane. Second, although all three
transgenic sugarcane events showed expression of the *Sb* SS protein, in event 9 this did not
translate into improved productivity (Fig. 1c-e and 5f-h). Expression of *Sb* RAF1 was lowest in
this line, suggesting the importance of effective expression of RAF1 with SS (Fig.1c). Third, there
must either be 1) over-capacity in the C_4 cycle, 2) the C_4 cycle increases capacity in concert with
increased Rubisco activity, or 3) both occur. The fact that bundle sheath leakiness of CO_2
decreased and $V_{p,max}$ slightly increased with increased Rubisco is consistent with hypothesis 3
(24).

Similar to results reported in maize (26), increases in Rubisco content corresponded to
increases in Rubisco enzyme activity, resulting in higher photosynthetic rates and larger
biomass. Activation of Rubisco requires the chaperone protein Rubisco activase (RCA). In
contrast to previous studies in maize and rice (26, 40), no decrease in the fraction of active
Rubisco was observed in the transgenic plants, indicating that sorghum and sugarcane must
have had sufficient RCA to support the activation of all their additional Rubisco (Table 1). In
these previous studies, increased Rubisco content was achieved by overexpressing endogenous
RbcS with or without *Raf1*. Here, *RbcS* and *Raf1* genes from maize and sorghum were expressed
in sorghum and sugarcane respectively, so the transgenic plants are assembling some chimeric

Rubiscos comprised of the foreign transgenic SS and endogenous LS. Maize, sorghum and

sugarcane have been reported to have very similar k_{cat} (41, 42), implying that the increases in

Rubisco activity reported here are solely due to increased Rubisco active sites and not changes

in Rubisco kinetic properties. In addition, the FLAG tag fused to *RbcS* in the sugarcane construct

did not appear to interfere with Rubisco activity (Fig. 5e).

In dense modern crop canopies, only the uppermost leaves might experience light saturated

conditions. Most leaves will experience frequent light fluctuations, or sunflecking, due to the

passage of clouds, shadowing by overlying leaves as solar angle changes and wind driven

movements (30, 31). The speed with which photosynthetic efficiency can adjust to light

fluctuations has been shown to have a profound effect on whole crop daily CO_2 assimilation

and productivity (43, 44). A key factor here is the speed of induction of *A* on transfer of a leaf

from shade to sun. Modeling and experimental studies have shown the speed at which Rubisco

activity increases to be critical to induction in both C_3 and C_4 crops (23, 45, 46). Here, increased

Rubisco content coincided with more total CO_2 assimilation over the 30 minutes of induction in

all three sorghum events and two of the sugarcane events (Fig. 2e, 3b, 5b). Speed of induction,

measured as time to 50% A_{max}, was also increased in the transgenic sorghum lines but not in

sugarcane (Fig. 2f and Table 1). This is consistent with computational results from Wang *et al.*

(2021), which suggest that Rubisco activation is the main limiting factor in the speed of

photosynthetic induction in sorghum, while the speed of stomatal opening and the

concentration of the PPDK regulatory protein exert more control in sugarcane.

In the greenhouse, significant increases in growth of both sorghum and sugarcane were

observed at an individual plant level (Fig. 5f-h; Fig. S5). Importantly, and consistent with

increased CO_2 assimilation rates during vegetative and boot stages, leaf and stem biomass was

significantly increased by an average of 15.5% in the RBCS-RAF1 sorghum field plots relative to

the WT controls, a desired characteristic for C_4 biomass crops (Fig. 4a). However, grain yield per

plot did not increase, due to a significant decrease in harvest index (Fig.4c). Although sorghum

cultivar RTx430 has become the standard for transformation and experimental analyses, it is a

40-year old inbred line (47-49) and might be sink-limited. There is similar evidence for sink

limitations in a 25-year old hybrid cultivar; when sorghum was grown under well-watered

conditions under open-air CO_2 enrichment using FACE technology, photosynthetic capacity and

photosynthetic rates were increased (50-52). Yet, as in our experiment, stover increased while

harvest index declined by 7% (53). Sink limitation at grain filling results in accumulation of non-

structural carbohydrates in the source tissues, causing down-regulation of photosynthetic

genes, notably *RbcS* (54). The loss of *in vivo* Rubisco activity ($V_{c,max}$) during grain filling evident in

Fig. 3d is consistent with sink limitation. Alternatively, grain yields may not have increased due

to alternative factors such as delayed panicle emergence or decreased transgene expression. It

is also important to note that Rubisco levels increased without an associated change in total

soluble protein, LMA or leaf N content (Fig. 1 and Table S3). This suggests that more leaf N Is

invested into Rubisco in the transgenic plants and therefore less N allocated to other leaf
proteins. Although there was no negative impact on photosynthesis, altered N use may affect
other processes including grain filling. Testing whether greater grain yields can be obtained will
require the production of hybrids and/or transformation of current elite cultivars. Upregulation
of Rubisco has been achieved here, as a test of the concept that more Rubisco would translate
to more efficient photosynthesis and productivity in today's atmosphere, by addition of extra
copies of *RbcS* and *Raf1*. Alternatively, a future approach for upregulating Rubisco could be
using DNA editing to mutate repressive elements in the promoter regions of the native *RbcS*
and *Raf1* genes.

Despite significant breeding efforts, the average yield of sorghum has not changed over 30
years, nor has the yield of sugarcane in the USA in the last 20 years (Fig. S10a,b). This might
reflect increasingly adverse weather conditions. However, even in the USA where it might be
assumed that favorable agronomy would allow the yield potential to be realized, there is little
evidence of yield improvement since 1991, even in the most favorable years (Fig. S10a).
Therefore, if the photosynthetic and productivity improvements found here by upregulating
Rubisco translate into parallel yield improvements in elite cultivars and hybrids, this could be
very useful for integration into breeding programs. This successful test-of-concept suggests
multi-location and/or multi-year replicated yield trials of Rubisco upregulation in elite material
as the next proving step. Given the great value and global significance of this key economic
clade of crops both for food and biomass, the results here indicate an important opportunity to
achieve increased productivity under rising atmospheric [CO_2].

Methods

Sorghum plasmid assembly and transformation

A 3.6 kb fragment containing the *Raf1* expression cassette regulated by the maize ubiquitin
promoter was isolated from the plasmid pPTN1063 (26) by digesting the vector with *Kpn*I and
*Hind*III. The fragment was then blunted with T4 DNA polymerase. Plasmid pPTN438 (38)
(containing the *RbcS* expression cassette) was linearized via *Kpn*I digestion and blunted with T4
DNA polymerase. The pPTN1063 fragment and linearized pPTN438 plasmid were then ligated
resulting in the final binary vector pPTN1589. Sorghum inbred RTx430 was transformed with
pPTN1589 using agrobacterium as previously described (55).

Sugarcane plasmid assembly

Recombinant DNA constructs were assembled with Golden Gate cloning to highly express
Rubisco small subunit (*RbcS*) and Rubisco accumulation factor 1 (*Raf1*) from *Sorghum bicolor* in

sugarcane. The open reading frame (ORF) of *SbRbcS* (Sobic.005G042000) and ORF of *SbRaf1*
(Sobic.006G269400) were synthesized with 1X FLAG tag and 6X HA tag, respectively (GenScript,
Piscataway, NJ). *SbRbcS*-FLAG was subcloned under transcriptional control of the maize RBCS
promoter + 5'UTR and the *Panicum virgatum* UBI2 (PvUBI2) terminator. The synthesized
SbRaf1-HA was subcloned under transcriptional control of the maize NADP-ME promoter and
Panicum virgatum UBI1 (PvUBI1) terminator. The selectable marker gene *npt*II was subcloned
under transcriptional control of the ubiquitin promoter from *Zea mays* and SbHSP16.9
terminator from *Sorghum bicolor*. The three expression cassettes were subcloned into a L2
construct downstream of an RB7 matrix attachment region (MAR) and upstream of TM2 MAR
from *Nicotiana tabacum* serving as insulators and confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The
minimal expression construct flanked by MARs was excised from the plasmid backbone using
the *I-Sce*1 restriction enzyme and gel purified prior to biolistic transformation into sugarcane.

Sorghum transgene copy number analysis

Transgene copy number was measured using digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) following the
protocols from Głowacka et al. (56), with slight modifications. See Table S1 for primers used
(57). Sorghum genomic DNA was digested overnight with HindIII. A 20 µL ddPCR reaction mix
contained 20 ng of the digested DNA, 10 µL QX200 ddPCR EvaGreen Supermix (186-4034,
BioRad, Hercules, CA) and primers at a final concentration of 100 nM. Droplets were generated
using a QX200 droplet generator (186-4002, Bio-Rad) by combining 20 µL of the reaction mix
with 70 µL of EvaGreen droplet generation oil (186-4005, Bio-Rad). The PCR reaction was
conducted in a deep-well thermal cycler (C1000 Touch, 185-1196, Bio-Rad) with the following
conditions: 95 °C for 5 minutes, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 seconds and 59 °C for 1 minute, then 4
°C for 5 minutes and 90 °C for 5 minutes, with a ramp rate of 2.5 °C/second at each step.
Immediately after the PCR, measurements were taken using a QX200 droplet reader (186-4003,
Bio-Rad).

Generation and PCR confirmation of transgenic sugarcane plants

The linearized, minimal construct containing *SbRbcS*, *SbRaf1* and NPTII cassettes flanked by
matrix attachment regions was introduced into sugarcane cv. CPCL02-0926 by biolistic gene
transfer as described previously (58). Briefly, cross-sections of sugarcane leaf whorls above the
shoot apical meristem were cultured on a modified Murashige & Skoog medium (MS) with B5
vitamins (PhytoTech Labs, KS, USA) supplemented with 3 mg/L of 2,4-Dichloro phenoxy acetic
acid (PhytoTech Labs) and maintained at 28 ℃ in the dark for induction of embryogenic callus.
For biolistic gene transfer, the minimal expression cassette was coated onto gold particles and
introduced into embryogenic calli using biolistic PDS-1000/He delivery system (Bio-Rad) as
described previously (59). Following 4 weeks of selection of transformed calli on the medium
containing the antibiotic Geneticin®, calli were sub-cultured onto regeneration media (MS

media with B5 vitamins, supplemented with 1.86 mg/L of α-Naphthaleneacetic acid/NAA

(PhytoTech Labs) and 0.09 mg/L of 6-Benzylaminopurine/BAP (PhytoTech Labs)) and

maintained at 28 ℃ incubator with 16/8 hr light (100 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and dark cycle. Regenerated

shoots were transferred to modified MS basal medium (PhytoTech Labs) for shoot elongation

and rooting. Rooted plantlets were transferred to soil and acclimatized in a temperature-

controlled growth chamber maintained at 28 ℃ day and 22 ℃ night temperature with 16/8 hr

light (400 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and dark cycle for growth and further analysis.

For the PCR confirmation of transgenic events, DNA was isolated from 100 mg of leaf tissues

using CTAB method with modifications (60). PCR reactions were performed on a thermocycler

(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using standard Taq buffer, dNTPs and hot start Taq

polymerase (New England Biolabs Inc, Ipswich, MA). See Table S1 for primers used for PCR

amplification. Amplicons were resolved with electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel and

visualized under UV transilluminator (Bio-Rad).

Plant growth – greenhouse conditions

T2 homozygous *Sorghum bicolor* (inbred RTx430) seeds from 3 independent single insertion

events and non-transformed WT seeds from the same harvest date were sowed into plastic

potting trays 6 cm x 6 cm x 5.4 cm deep, filled with BM7 growing medium (BM7 All-Purpose

Bark Mix, Berger). After about 14 days seedlings were transplanted into 9.5L plastic pots filled

with BM7 growing medium supplemented with 44 cm^3 of 13-13-13 (N-P-K) granulated slow-

release fertilizer (Osmocote, ICL-Growing Solutions). Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* cv.

CPCL02-0926) plantlets clonally propagated from three independent T0 transformation events

and non-transformed WT plants were also grown in the greenhouse. Single node segments

from mature stalks were transplanted into 9.5L plastic pots filled with BM7 growing medium

supplemented with 44 cm^3 of 13-13-13 (N-P-K) granulated slow-release fertilizer. Both sorghum

and sugarcane were grown under natural illumination (Figure S11). Lights turned on from

6:30am to 9:30pm (15 hour days), providing an additional 350 to 450 µmol m^{-2}s^{-1} of LED light,

and turned off when outside light intensity surpassed 350 watts/m^2 (~800 µmol m^{-2}s^{-1}). The

greenhouse temperature was kept between 25-28°C in the day and 24-26 °C at night. Both

sorghum and sugarcane plants were fertilized with a rotation of 20-10-20 & 15-5-15 liquid

fertilizer (JR Peters INC) at 300 ppm N twice a week. Once a month a MgSO$_4$ (100 ppm) and iron

(10 ppm) drench was applied (Sprint 138, BASF Corporation).

Sugarcane plants were harvested after 2 months of growth. Immediately prior to harvesting,

leaf number (fully expanded), tiller number, plant height and stem width were measured. Stem

width was measured at the widest point approximately 5 cm above the soil using calipers.

Height was measured from the soil to the top of the youngest fully expanded leaf (leaf collar

present). Leaf mass per area (LMA) was measured from 3.15 cm^2 of leaf discs, which were dried

until constant weight and weight recorded. Chlorophyll content was measured using a SPAD

meter (502, Spectrum Technologies). Harvested material was partitioned into main stem
biomass and leaf plus tiller biomass and dried at 60°C to steady state weight. Above ground
biomass was calculated as the sum of stem, leaf and tiller biomass.

Plant growth – field conditions

Sorghum seed obtained from the plants in the greenhouse experiment were harvested and
used for a field experiment. A randomized complete block design with six blocks was used. Each
block contained 16 rows in a North-South direction, made up four row plots from each of the
transgenic lines and WT control (Fig. S6a). Prior to planting the ground was worked with a field
cultivator (April 27 2023), and no fertilizer was applied to the soil. Seeds were sowed using a
precision planter at a density of 8.6 m^{-2} (Almaco) on June 2nd 2023 on the Crop Sciences Farm of
the University of Illinois SoyFACE Experimental Station (40°02'36.0"N 88°13'48.5"W). Weather
data was collected with an automatic weather station on the Farm (Fig. S6b-d). July 5th Warrior
II with Zeon technology (Syngenta) was applied at a moderate rate of 0.07 dm^3/ha for the
control of flea beetles. August 2nd Mustang Maxx (FMC Corporation) was applied at moderate
rate of 0.1 dm^3/ha for the control of aphids.

To avoid any release of pollen, paper bags enclosed the panicles, sealed at the peduncle, prior
to anthesis, and remaining until harvest (recorded as panicle emergence date). Plants were
harvested by hand on October 4th from the middle two rows of each plot by cutting stems at
ground level. Two plants from either end of each row were discarded to avoid edge effects.
Harvested material was partitioned into stem/leaves and panicles with seed. Stem and leaves
were dried to a constant weight in custom made drying ovens at 60°C and panicles with seed
were dried at 30°C. Dry weights per plot were obtained for both, then seed was collected using
a threshing machine (BT 14-E Belt Thresher, Almaco). The machine was cleaned between each
sample to avoid contamination. Total seed weight per plot, and 100 seed weights were then
determined. Above ground biomass was calculated as the sum of stem, leaf, panicle and seed
biomass. Harvest index was calculated as seed biomass divided by total above ground biomass.
Seed weight per panicle was estimated by dividing seed weight per plot by panicle number per
plot. Seed protein, starch, fat and ash content were estimated on a percent basis using a near-
infrared reflectance (NIR) analyzer (DA 7250, Perten Instruments). Seeds were placed into the
sample dish and analyzed using a built in program for whole-seed evaluation ("Sorghum-
Grain"). Chlorophyll content was measured using a SPAD meter (502, Spectrum Technologies)
on August 30th. LMA was measured from from 6.06 cm^2 of leaf tissue collected August 31st.

Transcript and protein expression

Prior to RNA and protein extraction tissue was disrupted and homogenized as described
previously (61). RNA was extracted from 1.9 cm^2 of leaf tissue from the youngest fully

expanded leaf of greenhouse and field-grown sorghum, using the NucleoSpin RNA Plant Kit
(Macherey-Nagel). 700 μL of the lysis buffer was used. RNA quantity and quality was assessed
using a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized with Superscript IV VILO
Mastermix with ezDNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qPCR, primer design and validation were
conducted as described in Salesse-Smith et al 2024 (61), with the exception that an anneal
temperature of 60°C was used for all primer sets. See Table S1 for primer sequences. Primer
efficiencies were between 100-105%. Calibrated Normalized Relative Quantities (CNRQ) were
calculated using qBase+ software v.3.2 (CellCarta) based on the expression of two reference
genes, SbEIF4α and SbActin11.

Total protein was extracted from 1.9 cm^2 of leaf tissue from the youngest fully expanded leaf of
greenhouse-grown sorghum and sugarcane and field-grown sorghum. Protein extraction and
western blots were performed as described previously (61). The following primary antibodies
were used: anti-HA (AS12 2220, Agrisera) at a 1:5000 dilution, anti-LS (AS03 037, Agrisera) at a
1:10000 dilution, anti-FLAG (F7425-.2MG, Sigma-Aldrich) at a 1:5000 dilution and anti-RAF1
(custom rabbit antibody, Pacific Immunology) at a 1:1000 dilution. For the custom antibody, a
23 amino acid immunograde peptide from the maize RAF1 protein (aa 211-233;
KGFPRWRGEEGWEAFSKDSPADC) was synthesized and antisera generated by Pacific
Immunology. Relative abundance of LS protein was estimated using Empiria Studio Software
version 3.2 (Li-COR, Biosciences).

Rubisco content, activity and activation measurements

Leaf discs were sampled from the youngest fully expanded leaves of greenhouse-grown
sorghum (1.9 cm^2) and sugarcane (2.5 cm^2) plants, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at
-80°C. Samples were taken from the same location gas exchange measurements were made the
day after measurements were completed. Soluble protein was extracted in 2 mL of N_2-sparged
extraction buffer: 50 mM EPPS-NaOH pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1% (w/v) PVPP, 5 mM
DTT and 1% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (P9599, Sigma-Aldrich). Frozen leaf discs were
ground in ice-cold Ten Broeck homogenizers (7 mL, Corning) containing extraction buffer. Total
soluble protein was quantified using the Qubit Protein Assay Kit (Q33211, Life Technologies) on
the Qubit 3 Fluorometer (Q33216; Life Technologies). Total Rubisco content was measured by
^{14}C-CABP binding as previously described (Ruuska et al., 1998; Sharwood et al., 2008). 100 μL of
protein lysate was mixed with an equal volume of Rubisco activation buffer (50 mM EPPS-NaOH
pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM $MgCl_2$, 30 mM $NaHCO_3$) and let sit at room temperature. After 20
minutes, 60 μM of ^{14}C-CABP was added to the mixture and left at room temperature for an
additional 30 minutes, and then transferred to ice. 100 μL of the activated Rubisco protein
mixture was loaded onto the size-exclusion chromatography columns and fractions containing
^{14}C-CABP – Rubisco complexes collected. The amount of ^{14}C-CABP was determined by liquid
scintillation (Packard Tri-Carb 1900 TR, Canberra Packard Instruments Co). Leaf Rubisco
content was calculated as described previously (62).

Rubisco activity was determined at 25°C by incorporation of $^{14}CO_2$ into acid-stable products
(63). An extended protocol can be found online: dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bf8cjrsw.
Sorghum (1.7 cm^2) and sugarcane (2.26 cm^2) were collected as indicated above. Soluble protein
was extracted in 2 mL of N_2-sparged extraction buffer: 50 mM Bicine-NaOH pH 8.2, 20 mM
$MgCl_2$, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM benzamidine, 5 mM ε-aminocaproic acid, 50 mM 2-mercaptoethanol,
10 mM DTT, 1% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride, and 1%
(w/v) polyvinylpolypyrrolidone. Supernatant was immediately used to measure initial and total
Rubisco activity, with two technical replicates per measurement. Initial activity was measured
by adding 25 µl of protein lysate to assay buffer (100 mM Bicine-NaOH pH 8.2, 20 mM $MgCl_2$,
10 mM $NaH^{14}CO_3$ (18.5 kBq $µmol^{-1}$), 2 mM KH_2PO_4, and 0.6 mM RuBP). After 30 s reactions were
quenched with 100 µl of 10 M formic acid. For total activity measurements, RuBP was added 3
min after the protein lysate to allow for carbamylation of Rubisco and quenched after 30 s.
Reactions were dried at 100 °C, then the residue dissolved in 400 µl deionized water and added
to scintillation cocktail for acid-stable ^{14}C determination by liquid scintillation. Rubisco
activation state was calculated as initial/total activity.

Leaf gas exchange measurements

CO_2 response (A-C_i) and light induction curves were measured on the youngest fully expanded
leaves using an infrared gas exchange system LI-6800 fitted with the multiphase flash
fluorometer and 6 cm^2 cuvette (6800-01A, LI-COR Environmental). CO_2 response curves were
measured simultaneously with chlorophyll fluorescence and used to assess A, C_i, g_{sw}, ɸPSII,
$V_{c,max}$ and $V_{p,max}$. A-C_i curves were measured in the field-grown sorghum on July 10th, August 4th
and August 29th. Leaves were acclimated to the following conditions: light intensity of 1800
$µmol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$, leaf temperature of 30 °C, CO_2 reference concentration of 400 $µmol\ mol^{-1}$ and 65%
humidity. Once A and g_{sw} stabilized, response curves were initiated using the following
sequence of reference CO_2 concentrations: 400, 300, 200, 120, 70, 30, 10, 400, 400, 500, 700,
900, 1200, and 1500 $µmol\ mol^{-1}$. Measurements were logged 3 to 5 minutes after each new CO_2
step. A-C_i curves for greenhouse-grown sorghum were measured under the same conditions
except for the following changes: a leaf temperature of 28 °C was used and the CO_2 sequence
used concentrations of 600 and 800 $µmol\ mol^{-1}\ CO_2$ instead of 700 and 900. Sugarcane A-C_i
curves used a CO_2 reference concentration of 450 $µmol\ mol^{-1}$ and the following sequence of
CO_2: 450, 1500, 1250, 1000, 750, 600, 500, 400, 300, 200, 120, 70, 30, and 10 $µmol\ mol^{-1}$. $V_{c,max}$
and $V_{p,max}$ at 25°C were estimated using the *fit_c4_aci* function from the PhotoGEA R package
(64), which fits a mechanistic model to the measured CO_2 response curves (7). In this method,
values of $V_{c,max}$, $V_{p,max}$, and R_L are varied to find the best fit of the full equation for enzyme-
limited assimilation (Equation 4.21 of von Caemmerer 2000) to all points in each curve (29).

Light induction curves were used to assess average A in either the first 180 or 300 seconds since
the light was turned on and time to 50% A_{max}, which is determined from the normalized
induction curves. Leaves were acclimated to the following conditions: light intensity of 0 µmol

m^{-2} s^{-1}, leaf temperature of 28°C (greenhouse-grown sorghum) or 30 °C (field-grown sorghum

and sugarcane), CO$_2$ sample concentration of 400 (sorghum) or 450 µmol mol^{-1} (sugarcane) and

65% humidity. After 30 minutes in the dark, light was increased to 1800 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} and data

points logged every 10 s over the course of 30 min. Average assimilation after 300 seconds of

light induction was calculated for sugarcane, while 180 seconds was used for sorghum due to

differences in each species overall speed of induction. After gas exchange measurements were

completed on the greenhouse-grown sorghum plants, leaf number, tiller number and height

were recorded.

Estimating bundle sheath leakiness using carbon isotope discrimination coupled with leaf gas

exchange

A LI-6800 gas exchange system (LI-COR Environmental) with the large light source chamber

(6800-03) was coupled to a tunable-diode laser absorption spectroscope (TDLAS model TGA

200A; Campbell Scientific) to measure online carbon isotope discrimination as described

previously (24). The TDL was connected to the LI-6800 reference air stream using the port on

the back of the sensor head, and connected to the sample air stream using the port on the

front of the sensor head. CO$_2$-free air (N$_2$/O$_2$) with 21% O$_2$ was created by mixing two gas

streams with mass flow controllers (OMEGA Engineering Inc.). This air supplied the gas

exchange system, and was used in the calibration to correct for any drift in the TDL during

measurements. The TDL was calibrated using a 2-point calibration where a linear fit is applied

using the measurements from two calibration tanks with known [^{12}CO$_2$], [^{13}CO$_2$] (NOAA Global

Monitoring Laboratory). The measurements cycled through four gas streams in the following

sequence: calibration points 1500 and 100 ppm CO$_2$ (NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory), LI-

COR 6800 reference air stream, leaf chamber air stream, and reference air stream a second

time. Each step had a duration of 30 s, except for the leaf chamber air, which had a duration of

600 s for a total cycle time of 720 s. Measurements were averaged over the last 10 s to

produce a single data point for the calibration and reference measurements each cycle. For the

sample line, measurements were averaged over 10 s intervals, excluding the first 10 s, to have

59 data points each cycle.

The gas exchange system was set to the following conditions: light intensity of 0 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1},

leaf temperature of 27°C, flow rate of 300 µmol s^{-1}, 21% O$_2$, and 800 µmol mol^{-1} CO$_2$. Once

steady-state respiration was reached, five consecutive measurements were logged to measure

dark respiration. Afterwards the system was initiated to auto-log at 10 s intervals over the

course of 30 min. Light intensity increased to 1800 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} upon initiation of the auto

program. Sorghum leaves from the field were cut from plants pre-dawn, submerged in water

and brought to the lab where they were re-cut underwater and left in the dark until measured.

Only steady state measurements of leakiness were calculated (25-30 min after light turned on).

Observed photosynthetic discrimination (Δ^{13}C) was derived and bundle sheath leakiness (ϕ)
was subsequently calculated from gas exchange parameters and Δ^{13}C as described previously
(65, 66). Data processing and calculations of Δ^{13}C and ϕ were done using the MATLAB tool
developed previously (24), with minor modifications to apply the 2-point calibration discussed
above.

Carbon and nitrogen analysis

Leaf tissue from field grown sorghum was oven dried to a constant weight, ground and total
carbon (C), C isotope analysis (δ15C), total nitrogen (N), and N isotope analysis (δ15N)
measured using an elemental analyzer (Costech Elemental Combustion System 4010, Costech
Analytical Technologies) coupled with a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer
(DeltaV Advantage, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Spinach leaves (National Institute of Standards
and technology Standard Reference Material 1570a) and acetanilide (USA Analytical) were used
as standards for C and N analysis. Isotope analysis was measured relative to the international
standards NBS 19 for C (δ^{13}C$_{V\text{-}PDB}$ = 1.95‰) and atmospheric N$_2$ for N (δ^{15}N$_{Air}$ = 0‰).

Statistical analysis

Normality of the data was tested with Shapiro-Wilk's test, and homoscedasticity with Brown-
Forsythe's test. If criteria for normal distributions and equal variance was met one-way ANOVA
followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test for transgenic mean comparison against the WT control
was performed. Data were considered significant at $P < 0.05$, and at $P < 0.1$ when specified. If
criteria for normality was violated Wilcoxon's non-parametric test was applied. Analysis of field
growth traits (Fig. 4, Table S2) was performed using a randomized block design with 6 blocks.
Statistical tests used are indicated in each figure or table legend. Jmp pro version 17.0.0
software was used for all statistical analyses.

Acknowledgements

We thank Montgomery Flack for maintenance of the greenhouse plants. We thank David Drag,
Ben Thompson, and Andy Wszalek for help with the maintenance of the sorghum field
experiment and field harvest. We thank Edward Lochocki for assistance during field work and
Samantha Stutz for maintenance of the TDL. We also thank Mike Masters and Chuck Hyde for
performing the C and N analysis and Ben Haas for initial help with plasmid assembly. We are
grateful to Dr. Berkley Walker for the kind gift of RuBP. This work was funded by the DOE
Center for Advanced Bioenergy and Bioproducts Innovation (U.S. Department of Energy, Office
of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program under Award Number DE-
SC0018420). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this

publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S.
Department of Energy.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

CES and SPL conceived of and designed the experiments. TEC coordinated sorghum
transformation, MG assembled the vector and ZG generated and confirmed the transgenic
sorghum lines. WW performed sorghum copy number analysis. FA coordinated sugarcane
transformation, BK and TN, generated and confirmed the transgenic sugarcane lines. NA and
CES assembled the recombinant DNA vector for sugarcane, and performed experimental
measurements. CES analyzed the data. CES and SPL wrote the manuscript with contributions
and review from all authors.

Supporting Information

Fig. S1: Screening T1 transgenic sorghum lines.
Fig. S2: Screening T0 transgenic sugarcane lines.
Fig. S3: Gene expression in greenhouse and field grown sorghum.
Fig. S4: Normalized induction curves from greenhouse and field grown sorghum.
Fig. S5: Plant growth traits in greenhouse grown sorghum plants.
Fig. S6: Sorghum field experimental design and weather conditions.
Fig. S7: Steady-state BS leakiness of field grown sorghum.
Fig. S8: Normalized induction curves from greenhouse-grown sugarcane.
Fig. S9: Plant growth traits in greenhouse grown sugarcane plants.
Fig. S10: Average yield of sorghum and sugarcane over the last 20 to 30 years.
Fig. S11: Light intensity directly outside the greenhouse.
Table S1: List of primers used in this manuscript.
Table S2: Summary of harvest measurements and yield characterization of field-grown
sorghum.
Table S3: Summary of leaf mass per area (LMA), chlorophyll content (SPAD value), leaf carbon
and nitrogen content and total soluble protein (TSP) of sorghum and sugarcane plants.
729

Table 1. Summary of biochemical and leaf gas exchange measurements from greenhouse grown

sorghum and sugarcane. Values are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM. Values significantly different from WT at $P < 0.05$ are in bold. One-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc test.

Parameter	WT	RBCS-RAF1 Hn1a	RBCS-RAF1 Zg12a	RBCS-RAF1 Zg5b	Sample number (N)
Sorghum - Greenhouse					
Initial Rubisco Activity ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	13.48 ± 1.16	**19.00 ±1.45**	**22.28 ± 1.61**	17.60 ± 1.20	5-6
Rubisco Activation State (%)	71.41 ± 6.99	64.89 ± 4.47	79.21 ± 5.31	75.38 ± 5.79	5-6
ΦPSII	0.299 ± 0.002	**0.336 ± 0.007**	**0.331 ± 0.009**	**0.338 ± 0.006**	7-10
$V_{p,max}$ ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	80.32 ± 7.38	88.33 ± 3.19	88.05 ± 3.59	89.99 ± 2.93	7-10
C_i ($\mu mol\ mol^{-1}$)	153.53 ± 2.36	142.53 ± 2.18	144.62 ± 3.55	144.50 ± 4.95	7-10
g_{sw} ($mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	0.411 ± 0.010	**0.463 ± 0.009**	**0.472 ± 0.012**	**0.472 ± 0.014**	7-10
$\bar{A}_{180}$ ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	10.31 ± 1.17	**14.25 ± 1.10**	**13.99 ± 0.90**	12.83 ± 0.78	8-9
Parameter	WT	RBCS-RAF1 3	RBCS-RAF1 9	RBCS-RAF1 25	Sample number (N)
Sugarcane - Greenhouse					
Initial Rubisco Activity ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	15.89 ± 0.86	**23.84 ± 2.84**	**23.74 ± 1.58**	20.35 ± 1.81	6
Rubisco Activation State (%)	82.30 ± 4.38	81.86 ± 4.54	87.22 ± 3.10	79.40 ± 7.51	6
ΦPSII	0.297 ± 0.012	0.319 ± 0.008	0.300 ± 0.009	0.326 ± 0.009	10
$V_{p,max}$ ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	68.82 ± 4.55	85.70 ± 6.97	68.99 ± 3.02	79.93 ± 4.76	9-10
C_i ($\mu mol\ mol^{-1}$)	116.48 ± 8.61	113.76 ± 6.29	121.17 ± 4.47	118.55 ± 3.27	9-10
g_{sw} ($mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	0.252 ± 0.012	0.282 ± 0.006	0.282 ± 0.007	**0.305 ± 0.015**	9-10
$\bar{A}_{300}$ ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	11.05 ± 2.26	17.78 ± 2.08	5.62 ± 1.95	15.38 ± 1.77	7-8
Time to 50% A_{MAX} (min)	3.36 ± 0.38	3.05 ± 0.27	**9.27 ± 1.62**	3.35 ± 0.26	6-8

References

1. FAO (2024) FAOSTAT. (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy).
2. A. L. Healey *et al.*, The complex polyploid genome architecture of sugarcane. *Nature* 10.1038/s41586-024-07231-4 (2024).
3. F. G. Dohleman, S. P. Long, More Productive Than Maize in the Midwest: How Does Miscanthus Do It? *Plant Physiology* **150**, 2104-2115 (2009).
4. Y. He, D. Jaiswal, S. P. Long, X.-Z. Liang, M. L. Matthews, Biomass yield potential on U.S. marginal land and its contribution to reach net-zero emission. *GCB Bioenergy* **16**, e13128 (2024).
5. E. A. Heaton, F. G. Dohleman, S. P. Long, Meeting US biofuel goals with less land: the potential of Miscanthus. *Global Change Biology* **14**, 2000-2014 (2008).
6. S. Matsuoka, A. J. Kennedy, E. G. D. d. Santos, A. L. Tomazela, L. C. S. Rubio, Energy Cane: Its Concept, Development, Characteristics, and Prospects. *Advances in Botany* **2014**, 597275 (2014).
7. S. von Caemmerer, Updating the steady-state model of C4 photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **72**, 6003-6017 (2021).
8. B. J. Walker, A. VanLoocke, C. J. Bernacchi, D. R. Ort, The Costs of Photorespiration to Food Production Now and in the Future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **67**, 107-127 (2016).
9. M. Ermakova *et al.*, Installation of C4 photosynthetic pathway enzymes in rice using a single construct. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **19**, 575-588 (2021).
10. R. Furbank, S. Kelly, S. von Caemmerer, Photosynthesis and food security: the evolving story of C4 rice. *Photosynthesis Research* **158**, 121-130 (2023).
11. M. E. Bianconi *et al.*, Continued adaptation of C4 photosynthesis after an initial burst of changes in the Andropogoneae grasses. *Systematic Biology* **69**, 445-461 (2019).
12. E. A. Ainsworth, S. P. Long, What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy. *New Phytologist* **165**, 351-371 (2005).
13. E. A. Ainsworth, S. P. Long, 30 years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE): What have we learned about future crop productivity and its potential for adaptation? *Global Change Biology* **26**, 27-49 (2020).
14. A. D. B. Leakey, C. J. Bernacchi, F. G. Dohleman, D. R. Ort, S. P. Long, Will photosynthesis of maize (Zea mays) in the US Corn Belt increase in future CO2 rich atmospheres? An analysis of diurnal courses of CO2 uptake under free-air concentration enrichment (FACE). *Global Change Biology* **10**, 951-962 (2004).
15. A. D. B. Leakey *et al.*, Photosynthesis, productivity, and yield of maize are not affected by open-air elevation of CO2 concentration in the absence of drought. *Plant Physiology* **140**, 779-790 (2006).
16. A. P. de Souza, R. A. Arundale, F. G. Dohleman, S. P. Long, M. S. Buckeridge, Will the exceptional productivity of Miscanthus x giganteus increase further under rising atmospheric CO2? *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **171**, 82-92 (2013).
17. R. F. Sage, D. S. Kubien, Quo vadis C4? An ecophysiological perspective on global change and the future of C4 plants. *Photosynth Res* **77**, 209-225 (2003).
18. R. T. Furbank, Walking the C4 pathway: past, present, and future. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**, 4057-4066 (2016).
19. C. P. Pignon, S. P. Long, Retrospective analysis of biochemical limitations to photosynthesis in 49 species: C4 crops appear still adapted to pre-industrial atmospheric [CO2]. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **43**, 2606-2622 (2020).
20. S. von Caemmerer, R. T. Furbank, Strategies for improving C4 photosynthesis. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **31**, 125-134 (2016).

782   21.   NOAA ((2024) Trends in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. (Global Monitoring Laboratory, NOAA,
783   U.S. Department of Commerce, Boulder CO).
784   22.   J. Ahn *et al.*, A record of atmospheric CO2 during the last 40,000 years from the Siple Dome,
785   Antarctica ice core. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **109**, D13305 (2004).
786   23.   Y. Wang, K. X. Chan, S. P. Long, Towards a dynamic photosynthesis model to guide yield
787   improvement in C4 crops. *The Plant Journal* **107**, 343-359 (2021).
788   24.   Y. Wang *et al.*, Increased bundle-sheath leakiness of CO2 during photosynthetic induction shows
789   a lack of coordination between the C4 and C3 cycles. *New Phytologist* **236**, 1661-1675 (2022).
790   25.   R. T. Furbank *et al.*, Genetic Manipulation of Key Photosynthetic Enzymes in the C4 plant
791   Flaveria bidentis. *Functional Plant Biology* **24**, 477-485 (1997).
792   26.   C. E. Salesse-Smith *et al.*, Overexpression of Rubisco subunits with RAF1 increases Rubisco
793   content in maize. *Nature Plants* **4**, 802-810 (2018).
794   27.   C. E. Salesse-Smith, R. E. Sharwood, F. A. Busch, D. B. Stern, Increased Rubisco content in maize
795   mitigates chilling stress and speeds recovery. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **18**, 1409-1420 (2020).
796   28.   D. K. Yoon *et al.*, Transgenic rice overproducing Rubisco exhibits increased yields with improved
797   nitrogen-use efficiency in an experimental paddy field. *Nature Food* **1**, 134-139 (2020).
798   29.   S. Von Caemmerer, *Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis* (Csiro publishing, 2000).
799   30.   S. P. Long *et al.*, Into the Shadows and Back into Sunlight: Photosynthesis in Fluctuating Light.
800   *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **73**, 617-648 (2022).
801   31.   R. A. Slattery, B. J. Walker, A. P. M. Weber, D. R. Ort, The Impacts of Fluctuating Light on Crop
802   Performance. *Plant Physiology* **176**, 990-1003 (2018).
803   32.   U. Feller, I. Anders, T. Mae, Rubiscolytics: fate of Rubisco after its enzymatic function in a cell is
804   terminated. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 1615-1624 (2007).
805   33.   A. I. Flamholz *et al.*, Revisiting Trade-offs between Rubisco Kinetic Parameters. *Biochemistry* **58**,
806   3365-3376 (2019).
807   34.   R. F. Sage, A. D. McKown, Is C4 photosynthesis less phenotypically plastic than C3
808   photosynthesis? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **57**, 303-317 (2005).
809   35.   C. P. Pignon, M. R. Lundgren, C. P. Osborne, S. P. Long, Bundle sheath chloroplast volume can
810   house sufficient Rubisco to avoid limiting C4 photosynthesis during chilling. *Journal of
811   Experimental Botany* **70**, 357-365 (2018).
812   36.   G. Zhang *et al.*, The reference genome of Miscanthus floridulus illuminates the evolution of
813   Saccharinae. *Nature Plants* **7**, 608-618 (2021).
814   37.   R. F. Sage, Was low atmospheric CO_2 during the Pleistocene a limiting factor for the origin of
815   agriculture? *Global Change Biology* **1**, 93-106 (1995).
816   38.   K. Wostrikoff, D. Stern, Rubisco large-subunit translation is autoregulated in response to its
817   assembly state in tobacco chloroplasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 6466-6471 (2007).
818   39.   Y. Suzuki, A. Makino, Availability of Rubisco Small Subunit Up-Regulates the Transcript Levels of
819   Large Subunit for Stoichiometric Assembly of Its Holoenzyme in Rice. *Plant Physiology* **160**, 533-
820   540 (2012).
821   40.   Y. Suzuki *et al.*, Increased Rubisco Content in Transgenic Rice Transformed with the 'Sense' rbcS
822   Gene. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **48**, 626-637 (2007).
823   41.   C. Hermida-Carrera, M. V. Kapralov, J. Galmés, Rubisco Catalytic Properties and Temperature
824   Response in Crops. *Plant Physiology* **171**, 2549-2561 (2016).
825   42.   C. Ishikawa, T. Hatanaka, S. Misoo, C. Miyake, H. Fukayama, Functional Incorporation of
826   Sorghum Small Subunit Increases the Catalytic Turnover Rate of Rubisco in Transgenic Rice.
827   *Plant Physiology* **156**, 1603-1611 (2011).

43. N. Salvatori, G. Alberti, O. Muller, A. Peressotti, Does Fluctuating Light Affect Crop Yield? A Focus
on the Dynamic Photosynthesis of Two Soybean Varieties. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **13**, 862275
(2022).
44. J. Kromdijk *et al.*, Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from
photoprotection. *Science* **354**, 857-861 (2016).
45. S. H. Taylor *et al.*, Faster than expected Rubisco deactivation in shade reduces cowpea
photosynthetic potential in variable light conditions. *Nature Plants* **8**, 118-124 (2022).
46. S. H. Taylor, S. P. Long, Slow induction of photosynthesis on shade to sun transitions in wheat
may cost at least 21% of productivity. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **372**, 1730 (2017).
47. S. Deschamps *et al.*, A chromosome-scale assembly of the sorghum genome using nanopore
sequencing and optical mapping. *Nature Communications* **9**, 4844 (2018).
48. S. Belide, T. Vanhercke, J. R. Petrie, S. P. Singh, Robust genetic transformation of sorghum
(Sorghum bicolor L.) using differentiating embryogenic callus induced from immature embryos.
Plant Methods **13**, 109 (2017).
49. F. R. Miller, Registration of RTX430 sorghum parental line. *Crop Science* **24**, 1224-1224 (1984).
50. A. B. Cousins, Development of C4 photosynthesis in sorghum leaves grown under free-air CO2
enrichment (FACE). *Journal of Experimental Botany* **54**, 1969-1975 (2003).
51. A. B. Cousins *et al.*, Reduced photorespiration and increased energy-use efficiency in young
CO_2-enriched sorghum leaves. *New Phytologist* **150**, 275-284 (2001).
52. G. W. Wall *et al.*, Elevated atmospheric CO_2 improved *Sorghum* plant water
status by ameliorating the adverse effects of drought. *New Phytologist* **152**, 231-248 (2001).
53. M. J. Ottman *et al.*, Elevated CO_2 increases sorghum biomass under drought
conditions. *New Phytologist* **150**, 261-273 (2001).
54. J. Sheen, Metabolic repression of transcription in higher plants. *The Plant Cell* **2**, 1027-1038
(1990).
55. X. M. Guo, Z. X. Ge, S. J. Sato, T. E. Clemente, "Sorghum: *Sorghum bicolor* " in Agrobacterium
Protocols, Vol 1, 3rd Edition, K. Wang, Ed. (2015), vol. 1223, pp. 181-188.
56. K. Głowacka *et al.*, An evaluation of new and established methods to determine T-DNA copy
number and homozygosity in transgenic plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **39**, 908-917
(2016).
57. S. Belide, T. Vanhercke, J. R. Petrie, S. P. Singh, Robust genetic transformation of sorghum
(Sorghum bicolor L.) using differentiating embryogenic callus induced from immature embryos.
Plant Methods **13** (2017).
58. Y. Taparia, M. Gallo, F. Altpeter, Comparison of direct and indirect embryogenesis protocols,
biolistic gene transfer and selection parameters for efficient genetic transformation of
sugarcane. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC)* **111**, 131-141 (2012).
59. F. Altpeter, S. Sandhu, "Genetic Transformation – Biolistics" in Plant Cell Culture: Essential
Methods, M. Davey, P. Anthony, Eds. (Wiley, Chichester, 2010), 10.1002/9780470686522.ch12,
pp. 217-239.
60. M. G. Murray, W. F. Thompson, Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. *Nucleic
Acids Res* **8**, 4321-4325 (1980).
61. C. E. Salesse-Smith *et al.*, Greater mesophyll conductance and leaf photosynthesis in the field
through modified cell wall porosity and thickness via <scp>AtCGR3</scp> expression in tobacco.
Plant Biotechnology Journal 10.1111/pbi.14364 (2024).
62. S. M. Whitney, R. E. Sharwood, "Rubisco Engineering by Plastid Transformation and Protocols for
Assessing Expression" in Chloroplast Biotechnology: Methods and Protocols, P. Maliga, Ed.
(Springer US, New York, NY, 2021), 10.1007/978-1-0716-1472-3_10, pp. 195-214.

63.   M. A. J. Parry *et al.*, Regulation of Rubisco by inhibitors in the light. *Plant, Cell &*
876   *Environment* **20**, 528-534 (1997).
877   64.   E. B. Lochocki ((2024) PhotoGEA: Photosynthetic Gas Exchange Analysis. v.0.11.0.
878   65.   J. R. Evans, T. D. Sharkey, J. A. Berry, G. D. Farquhar, Carbon isotope discrimination measured
879   concurrently with gas-exchange to investigate CO2 diffusion in leaves of higher-plants.
880   *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **13**, 281-292 (1986).
881   66.   S. von Caemmerer, O. Ghannoum, J. J. L. Pengelly, A. B. Cousins, Carbon isotope discrimination
882   as a tool to explore C4 photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**, 3459-3470 (2014).

883