Genomes of two indigenous clams *Anomalocardia flexuosa* (Linnaeus, 1767) and

***Meretrix petechialis* (Lamarck, 1818)**

Sean Tsz Sum Law[1,^], Wenyan Nong[1,^], Ming Fung Franco Au[1], Leni Hiu Tung Cheung[1],

Cheryl Wood Yee Shum[1], Shing Yip Lee[2,*], Siu Gin Cheung[3,*], Jerome Ho Lam Hui[1,*]

1. School of Life Sciences, Simon F.S. Li Marine Science Laboratory, Institute of

Environment, Energy and Sustainability, State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The

Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

2. Simon F.S. Li Marine Science Laboratory, School of Life Sciences, The Chinese

University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China; Australian Rivers Institute, Griffith

University Gold Coast campus, Southport, Qld 4222, Australia.

3. Department of Chemistry, State Key Laboratory of Marine Pollution, City University of

Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China.

^ = contributed equally

* = correspondence (joesylee@cuhk.edu.hk; bhsgche@cityu.edu.hk; jeromehui@cuhk.edu.hk)

Abstract

Clam digging has a long history in Hong Kong, but unregulated clam digging activities depletes clam populations and threatens the ecosystem. Population genomics is useful to unravel the connectivity of clams at different geographical locations and to provide necessary conservation measures; and yet, only limited number of clams in Hong Kong have genomic resources. Here, we present chromosomal-level genome assemblies for two clams commonly found in Hong Kong, *Anomalocardia flexuosa* and *Meretrix petechialis*, using a combination of PacBio HiFi and Omni-C reads. We assembled each genome (~1.04-1.09 Gb) into 19 pseudochromosomes with high sequence continuity (scaffold N50 = 58.5 Mb and 53.5 Mb) and high completeness (BUSCO scores 94.4% and 95.7%). A total of 20,410 and 20,084 gene models were also predicted for *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis* respectively using transcriptomes generated in this study. The two new genomic resources established in this study will be useful for further study of the biology, ecology, and evolution of clams, as well as setting up a foundation for evidence-informed decision making in conservation measures and implementation.

Keywords: genome, transcriptome, clam, *Anomalocardia*, *Meretrix*

Background and Summary

Clams refer to the common name for several kinds of bivalve molluscs. The Veneridae family contains more than 700 described living species of bivalves or clams, and most of them are edible and exploited as food in different cultures around the world, including America, Asia and Europe (Huber, 2010)[1]. Clam digging activities, which refer to harvesting clams from below the surface of tidal sand or mud flats, also has long history in many places including Hong Kong. In the last century, clam digging in Hong Kong were mainly confined to villagers or recreational collection using hand tools on beaches during low tides for consumption or as a source of income. Nevertheless, clam digging activities have grown increasingly popular in recent years which threatens the clam populations and disturbs benthic biodiversity in some areas (Griffiths et al., 2006; So et al., 2021)[2,3]. Unlike many other places where sustainable clam digging practices, such as limiting the number of clams taken and/or temporary closure of clamming sites, Hong Kong does not have her own practices in the meantime due to the lack of information on the population structure of clams. Among the common clams that can be found in Hong Kong, such as that of *Anomalocardia* and *Meretrix* species which are the two frequently collected genera by local clam-diggers (So et al., 2021)[3], genomic resources are currently lacking which hinders our understanding of their connectivity at different geographical locations.

Here, utilizing PacBio HiFi long reads and Omni-C sequencing data, we present two chromosomal-level genomes of common clams in Hong Kong, *Anomalocardia flexuosa* and *Meretrix petechialis*. Together with transcriptome data from various tissues, we produce high-quality predicted gene models for the two clam species. These genome assemblies and transcriptome data provide valuable genomic resources for the understanding of genetic

diversity and connectivity for future population genomics research in view of conserving

local clam species and assessing the sustainability of clam digging activities.

Methods

Sample collection and High molecular weight DNA extraction

A. flexuosa and *M. petechialis* samples were collected in Shui Hau, Lantau Island,

Hong Kong (22°13'14.2"N 113°55'09.0"E) on 6th July, 2023 and Yi O, Lantau Island, Hong

Kong (22°13'58.4"N 113°51'02.0"E), on 28th August, 2022, respectively. Approximately 300

mg adductor muscle was used for high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction for both *A.*

flexuosa and *M. petechialis*. For *A. flexuosa*, the tissue was first ground into powder with

liquid nitrogen, from which HMW DNA was isolated by NucleoBond HMW DNA kit

(Macherey-Nagel), following the manufacturer's protocol. For *M. petechialis*, HMW DNA

was extracted using MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's

instructions. The DNA samples were eluted with 120 µL of elution buffer (PacBio Cat. No.

101-633-500) and were subjected to quality check by the Qubit® Fluorometer, NanoDrop

One Spectrophotometer, and overnight pulse-field gel electrophoresis.

PacBio library preparation and long-read sequencing

Prior to library preparation, approximately 5 µg of HMW DNA isolated from *A.*

flexuosa and *M. petechialis* in 120µL of elution buffer were transferred to a g-tube (Covaris

Cat. No. 520079) for DNA shearing with 6 passes of centrifugation at 1,990 x *g* for 2 min.

The fragment size of sheared DNA samples was assessed with overnight pulse-field gel

electrophoresis. A SMRTbell library was constructed for both samples using the SMRTbell®

prep kit 3.0 (PacBio Cat. No. 102-141-700), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Qubit® Fluorometer and overnight pulse-field gel electrophoresis were used to examine the

quantity and quality of the SMRTbell libraries. Subsequently, the Sequel®II binding kit 3.2

(PacBio Cat. No. 102-194-100) was used for the final library preparation with primer

annealing, polymerase binding and the addition of internal DNA control. The two libraries

were loaded at an on-plate concentration of 50-90 pM with diffusion loading mode. The

sequencing was performed on the PacBio Sequel IIe system for a 30-hour movie to generate

HiFi reads for each sample. One SMRT cell was used for sequencing for *A. flexuosa* and *M.*

petechialis, respectively. Finally, 21.83 Gb and 30.58 Gb of Hifi reads were obtained for *A.*

flexuosa and *M. petechialis* with average lengths of 8,017 bp and 10,729 bp and data

coverages of 20X and 29X, respectively (Table 1).

Omni-C library preparation and sequencing

An Omni-C library was prepared for *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis*, respectively,

using the Dovetail® Omni-C® Library Preparation Kit (Dovetail Cat. No. 21005), following

the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 50 mg of flash-freezing powered tissue was

used for crosslinking with the addition of formaldehyde in 1 mL 1X PBS for each sample,

followed by nuclease digestion. The lysate samples were assessed by Qubit® Fluorometer

and TapeStation D5000 ScreenTape and were proceeded with the library preparation protocol.

After the final quality check with Qubit® Fluorometer and TapeStation D5000 ScreenTape,

the Omni-C libraries were sent to Novogene Co. Ltd for sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq-

PE150 platform, from which 60.4 Gb and 56.6 Gb Omni-C data were generated for *A.*

flexuosa and *M. petechialis*, respectively (Table 1).

Transcriptome sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from various tissues including foot and adductor muscle,

mantle, digestive gland, gill and gonad for *A. flexuosa* and foot, digestive gland, gill and

gonad for *M. petechialis*, using the mirVana™ miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion), following the

manufacturer's protocol respectively. The RNA samples were subjected to quality control

using NanoDrop One Spectrophotometer, and gel electrophoresis. The qualified samples were

sent to Novogene Co. Ltd for polyA selected RNA sequencing library construction and 150

bp paired-end sequencing. A total of 31.7 Gb and 23.1 Gb transcriptome data were obtained

from different tissue types of *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis*, respectively (Table 1).

Genome assembly and Gene model prediction

De novo genome assemblies of *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis* were first proceeded with

Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021)[4] and then were processed with searching against the NT

database with BLAST to remove possible contaminations using BlobTools (v1.1.1) (Laetsch

& Blaxter, 2017)[5]. Subsequently, haplotypic duplications were removed according to the

depth of HiFi reads using "purge_dups" (Guan et al., 2020)[6]. Proximity ligation data from

Omni-C were used to scaffold the assembly using YaHS (Zhou et al., 2022)[7] and manual

curation using Juicebox (v1.1)[8]. The genomes were soft-masked by redmask (v0.0.2)

(https://github.com/nextgenusfs/redmask) (Girgis et al., 2015)[9]. The final genome assemblies

of *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis* were 1.09 Gb and 1.04 Gb in size with 96.65% and 99.26%

of the sequenced anchored into 19 chromosomes, respectively, which correspond to the

kartotype (2n = 38) of *Anomalocardia* and *Meretrix* species (Figure 1B-C; Table 2-3)

(Lavander et al., 2017; Park et al., 2011)[10,11]. Both *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis* genomes

were not only of high continuity, with scaffold N50 of 58.5 Mb and 53.5 Mb in 9 scaffolds,

but also of high completeness after being assessed with Benchmarking Universal Single-

Copy Orthologs (BUSCO, v5.5.0) using the "metazo_odb10" dataset (Manni et al., 2021)[12],

which resulted in BUSCO scores of 94.4% and 95.7%, respectively (Figure 1B; Table 2).

For gene model prediction, RNA sequencing data were first processed using Trimmomatic

(v0.39) (Bolger, Lohse & Usadel 2014)[13] with parameters "TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:5 LEADING:5 TRAILING:5 MINLEN:25" and kraken2 (v2. 0.8 with

kraken2 database k2_standard_20210517)[14] to remove the low quality and contaminated

reads, and then aligned to the repeat soft-masked genome using Hisat2[15] to generate the bam

file. A total of 389,399 Mollusca reference protein sequences were downloaded from NCBI

on 25 Mar 2024 as protein hits, along with the RNA bam file, to perform genome annotation

using Braker (v3.0.8)[16] with default parameters. These data collectively generated 20,410 and

20,084 gene models for *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis*, comprising 29,227 and 25,196

predicted protein-coding genes with average lengths of 544 and 607 amino acids, respectively

(Figure 1B; Table 2). The completeness of proteomes were also evaluated with BUSCO

"metazo_odb10" dataset (Manni et al., 2021)[12], reporting BUSCO scores of 95.3% and

98.3%, respectively (Figure 1B; Table2).

Repetitive elements annotation

Transposable elements (TEs) of the two genome assemblies were annotated as previously

described (Baril et al, 2024)[17] using the automated Earl Grey TE annotation pipeline (version

1.2, https://github.com/TobyBaril/EarlGrey) with "-r eukarya" to search the initial mask of

known elements and other default parameters. Briefly, this pipeline first identified known

TEs from Dfam with RBRM (release 3.2) and RepBase (v20181026). *De novo* TEs were then

identified, and consensus boundaries were extended using an automated "BLAST, Extract,

Extend' process with 5 iterations and 1000 flanking bases added in each round. Redundant

sequences were removed from the consensus library before the genome assembly was

annotated with the combined known and *de novo* TE libraries. Overlap and defragment

annotations were removed prior to final TE quantification. A total of 338.3 Mb and 427.2 Mb

of repeat contents were annotated from the genomes of *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis*, which

account for 31.05% and 40.85% of the assembly, respectively (Figure 2; Table 4). Of the

classified TEs, LINE, DNA, and Rolling Circle contribute to the major proportions (Figure 2),

which are listed in Table 4.

Syntenic analyses

Macrosynteny analysis revealed a 1-to-1 pair relationship between the 19 pseudochromsomes

of *A. flexuosa* and *M. petechialis* using JCVI utility libraries [18](Figure 3), showing a

conserved chromosome architecture among the two species.

Data Records

The raw reads generated in this study, including Transcriptome, Omni-C and PacBio HiFi

data, have been deposited in the NCBI database under the BioProject accession number

PRJNA1100776   (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1100776)   and

PRJNA1100773 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1100773) for *A. flexuosa*

and *M. petechialis*, respectively . The genome, genome and repeat annotation files have been

deposited   and   are   publicly   available   in   Figshare

(https://figshare.com/s/88cafb78affd49b5b3ee).

Data Records

The raw reads generated in this study, including Transcriptome, Omni-C and PacBio HiFi

data, have been deposited in the NCBI database under the BioProject accession number

PRJNA1100776   (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1100776)   and

PRJNA1100773 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1100773) for *A. flexuosa*

and *M. petechialis*, respectively . The genome, genome and repeat annotation files have been

deposited and are publicly available in Figshare

(https://figshare.com/s/88cafb78affd49b5b3ee).

Technical Validation

The pseudochromosomes of the final assemblies were validated by inspecting the Omni-C

contact maps using Juicer tools (version 1.22.01) (Durand et al., 2016)[8]. Briefly, Omni-C

reads were mapped and aligned by BWA with parameters "mem -5SP -T0", the parsing

module of the pairtools pipeline was used to find ligation junctions with parameters "--min-

mapq 40 --walks-policy 5unique --max-inter-align-gap 30 --nproc-in 8 --nproc-out 8". The

parsed pairs were then sorted using pairtools sort with default parameters, PCR duplicate

pairs were removed using pairtools dedup with parameters "--nproc-in 8 --nproc-out 8 --

mark-dups", the pairs file was split using pairtools split with default parameters and used to

generate the contact matrix using juicertools and Juicebox (v1.11.08)[8]. Regarding the genome

characteristics of the assembly, the k-mer count and histogram were generated at k = 21 from

Omni-C reads using Jellyfish (v2.3.0) (Marçais & Kingsford, 2011)[19] with the parameters

"count -C -m 21 -s 1000000000 -t 10", and the reads.histo was uploaded to GenomeScope to

estimate genome heterozygosity, repeat content and size using default parameters (v2.0)

(http://qb.cshl.edu/genomescope/genomescope2.0/) (Ranallo-Benavidez et al., 2020)[20]. The

resulting GenomeScope plots can be found in Figure 1D.

Code availability

JCVI utility libraries, version 1.4.4, https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi.

Manual curation with Juicebox, version 1.1, https://github.com/c-zhou/yahs?tab=readme-ov-

file#manual-curation-with-juicebox-jbat

References

1.   Huber, M. Compendium of Bivalves. A Full-Color Guide to 3,300 of the World's

Marine Bivalves. A Status on Bivalvia after 250 Years of Research. (ConchBooks, 2010).

2.   Griffiths, J. et al. Invertebrate community responses to recreational clam digging.

Marine Biology **149**, 1489–1497 (2006).

3.   So, K. J. Y., Cheang, C. C., Hui, T. Y. & Chan, J. K. Y. Understanding the behavioural

gap between perceived and actual environmental behaviour: investigating the clam-

harvesting pattern in Hong Kong SAR, China. Journal of Cleaner Production **316**, 128259

(2021).

4.   Cheng, H., Concepcion, G. T., Feng, X., Zhang, H. & Li, H. Haplotype-resolved de

novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. NaTuRe MeTHods | **18**, (2021).

5.   Laetsch, D. R. & Blaxter, M. L. BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies.

F1000Research **6**, 1287 (2017).

6.   Guan, D. et al. Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome

assemblies. Bioinformatics **36**, 2896–2898 (2020).

7.   Zhou, C., McCarthy, S. A. & Durbin, R. YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool.

Bioinformatics **39**, btac808 (2023).

8.   Durand, N. C. et al. Juicebox Provides a Visualization System for Hi-C Contact Maps

with Unlimited Zoom. Cell Syst **3**, 99–101 (2016).

9.   Girgis, H. Z. Red: an intelligent, rapid, accurate tool for detecting repeats de-novo on

the genomic scale. BMC Bioinformatics **16**, 227 (2015).

10.   Lavander, H. et al. Meiosis maturation in the marine clam Anomalocardia brasiliana

(Veneridae). Journal of shellfish research **36**, 601–605 (2017).

11.   Park, G.-M., Kim, Y.-M. & Chung, E.-Y. Karyotypes of 2 Species, Meretrix lusoria

and M. petechialis, of Veneridae in Korea. Cytologia **76**, 119–123 (2011).

12.   Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M., Simão, F. A. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO

Update: Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic

Coverage for Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. Molecular Biology and

Evolution **38**, 4647–4654 (2021).

13.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

14.   Wood, D. E., Lu, J. & Langmead, B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2.

Genome Biology **20**, 257 (2019).

15.   Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nature biotechnology **37**,
907–915 (2019).
16.   Hoff, K. J., Lomsadze, A., Borodovsky, M. & Stanke, M. Whole-genome annotation
with BRAKER. Gene prediction: methods and protocols 65–95 (2019).
17.   Baril, T., Galbraith, J. & Hayward, A. Earl Grey: a fully automated user-friendly
transposable element annotation and analysis pipeline. Mol Biol Evol msae068 (2024)
doi:10.1093/molbev/msae068.
18.   Tang, H. et al. Synteny and collinearity in plant genomes. Science **320**, 486–488
(2008).
19.   Marçais, G. & Kingsford, C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting
of occurrences of k-mers. Bioinformatics **27**, 764–770 (2011).
20.   Ranallo-Benavidez, T. R., Jaron, K. S. & Schatz, M. C. GenomeScope 2.0 and
Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. Nat Commun **11**, 1432 (2020).
274
275

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Lantau Conservation Fund (RE-2020-39), Hong Kong
Research Grant Council Collaborative Research Fund (C4015-20EF), Innovation Technology
Fund of Innovation Technology Commission: Funding Support to State Key Laboratory of
Agrobiotechnology, and Direct Grant of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (4053618).

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Genome and transcriptome sequencing data

Table 2. Genome statistics.

Table 3. Psuedochromosome information.

Table 4. Repeat content summary.

Figure 1. A) Pictures of *A. flexuosa* (left) and *M. petechialis* (right); B) Statistics of the

genome assembly generated in this study; C) Hi-C contact map of the assembly *A. flexuosa*

(left) and *M. petechialis* (right); D) Estimated genome size (*K*-mer = 21) of *A. flexuosa* (left)

and *M. petechialis* (right).

Figure 2. Repeat content of *A. flexuosa* (left) and *M. petechialis* (right).

Figure 3. Macrosynteny plot of the 19 pseudochromosomes between *A. flexuosa* and *M.*

petechialis.

Figure 4. Genome assembly quality control (QC) and contaminants detection for *A. flexuosa*

(a) and *M. petechialis* **(b)**.

	A. flexuosa	*M. petechialis*
Total_length (bp)	1,090,755,003	1,044,279,863
Number	725	85
Contig N/L50	80/4.472 Mb	37/9.125 Mb
Scaffold N/L50	9/58.467 Mb	9/53.513 Mb
GC content	31.05%	34.76%
BUSCOs (genome)	94.40%	95.70%
Gene model	20,410	20,084
No. of proteins	29,227	25,196
BUSCOs (proteome)	95.3%	98.30%

Figure 1

Figure 2. Repeat content of *A. flexuosa* (left) and *M. petechialis* (right)

Figure 3

Figure 4a *A. flexuosa*

Figure 4b *M. petechialis*