Selective agonism of GPR34 stimulates microglial uptake and clearance of amyloid β fibrils

Hayato Etani[1,11], Sho Takatori[1,11], Wenbo Wang[1], Jumpei Omi[2], Aika Akahori[1], Hirotaka Watanabe[3,4], Iki Sonn[3], Hideyuki Okano[3,4], Norikazu Hara[5], Mai Hasegawa[5], Akinori Miyashita[5], Masataka Kikuchi[5], Takeshi Ikeuchi[5], Maho Morishima[6], Yuko Saito[6], Shigeo Murayama[6], Takashi Saito[7], Takaomi C Saido[8], Toshiyuki Takai[9], Tomohiko Ohwada[10], Junken Aoki[2] and Taisuke Tomita[1]

1. Laboratory of Neuropathology and Neuroscience, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

2. Department of Health Chemistry, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

3. Department of Physiology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, 160-8582, Japan

4. Keio University Regenerative Medicine Research Center, Kanagawa, 210-0821, Japan

5. Department of Molecular Genetics, Brain Research Institute, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan.

6. Department of Neuropathology (the Brain Bank for Aging Research), Tokyo Metropolitan Institute for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Tokyo 173-0015, Japan.

7. Department of Neurocognitive Science, Institute of Brain Science, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Science, 1 Kawasumi, Mizuho-cho, Mizuho-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 467-8601, Japan

8. Laboratory for Proteolytic Neuroscience, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, 2-1

Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

9. Department of Experimental Immunology, Institute of Development, Aging and

Cancer, Tohoku University, 4-1 Seiryo, Sendai 980-8575, Japan.

10. Department of Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, Graduate School of

Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

11. These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Taisuke Tomita (taisuke@mol.f.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

Classification: Biological Sciences (Immunology and Inflammation; Neuroscience)

Keywords: Alzheimer disease, Amyloid-β, Microglia, GPR34

Abstract

Microglia, the primary immune cells of the central nervous system, play a crucial role in maintaining brain homeostasis through phagocytosis of various substrates, including amyloid-β (Aβ) fibrils, a hallmark of Alzheimer disease (AD) pathology. However, the molecular mechanisms regulating microglial Aβ uptake remain poorly understood. Here, we identified GPR34, a Gi/o-coupled receptor highly expressed in microglia, as a novel regulator of fibrillar Aβ phagocytosis. Treatment with a selective GPR34 agonist, M1, specifically enhanced uptake of Aβ fibrils, but not its monomer or oligomer, in both mouse and human microglia. Mechanistically, M1 reduced intracellular cAMP levels, which inversely correlated with Aβ uptake activity. Importantly, a single intrahippocampal injection of M1 in an AD mouse model significantly increased microglial Aβ uptake in vivo. Furthermore, single-nucleus RNA-sequencing analysis of Japanese AD patient samples revealed a significant reduction of GPR34 expression in microglia from AD patients compared to controls. We also observed an age-dependent decline in microglial GPR34 expression in both human and mouse datasets, suggesting a potential contribution of GPR34 downregulation to age-related Aβ accumulation and AD risk. Collectively, our findings identify GPR34 as a promising target for modulating microglial Aβ clearance and highlight the therapeutic potential of GPR34 agonists in AD.

Significance statement

Alzheimer disease (AD) is characterized by amyloid-β (Aβ) accumulation in the brain. Microglia, the brain's immune cells, play a crucial role in the metabolism of Aβ. We discovered that activating the microglial receptor GPR34 with a selective agonist enhances the phagocytosis of Aβ fibrils, a key pathogenic form of Aβ. Importantly,

65   GPR34 expression decreases with aging and AD progression, potentially contributing to

66   impaired Aβ clearance. Our findings highlight GPR34 as a promising therapeutic target

67   for AD, as boosting its activity could promote Aβ clearance and slow disease progression.

68   This study provides valuable insights into microglial function in AD and offers a novel

69   strategy for developing disease-modifying therapies.

Introduction

Imbalances in the production and clearance of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides in the brain are central to the pathogenesis of Alzheimer disease (AD). For example, mutations elevating Aβ production or aggregation are implicated in familial AD (1). Conversely, the *APP* A673T variant, which is associated with lower Aβ levels, significantly reduces the risk of late-onset AD (2). In addition, recently approved anti-Aβ antibodies have shown efficacy in slowing cognitive decline and disease progression in AD patients by improving Aβ clearance (3, 4). Taken together, these findings position cerebral Aβ levels as a critical determinant of AD pathogenesis and progression, and highlight drugs that promote Aβ clearance as potential preventive and disease-modifying therapies. However, the precise mechanisms underlying Aβ clearance remain to be elucidated.

As resident immune cells of the central nervous system (CNS), microglia phagocytose and degrade extracellular Aβ species. Recent genetic analyses have identified numerous genetic risk factors for AD, many of which are found in genes that are highly or specifically expressed in microglia (5, 6). Among these genes, *TREM2* encodes a microglial Aβ receptor, and its rare hypomorphic variant significantly increases AD risk (7, 8). *Trem2* deficiency in AD mouse models exacerbates Aβ deposition (9–11), highlighting the pathologically important role of TREM2-mediated Aβ clearance. Thus, impairments in microglial clearance pathways may promote AD pathogenesis, whereas enhancing microglial phagocytic activity holds promise for preventing or slowing disease progression. Therapeutics aimed at activating Aβ clearance by microglia may be beneficial in AD.

G-protein coupled receptor 34 (GPR34) is a Gi/o-coupled receptor highly expressed in mononuclear cells of the immune system. In the CNS, GPR34 is specifically expressed

in microglia, especially in the homeostatic state (12, 13). Together with P2RY10 and

GPR174, GPR34 comprises the lysophosphatidylserine (LysoPS) receptor family (14, 15).

Uniquely within this receptor family, GPR34 exhibits selectivity for LysoPS species with

a fatty acid at the *sn*-2 position (16–19). While GPR34 is involved in various

immunomodulatory functions (20–22), another study highlights its importance in the

regulation of phagocytosis in microglia (23). Regarding pathological associations,

GPR34 is involved in the neuropathic pain after nerve injury (24). However, the

relationship between GPR34 and AD pathology remains largely unknown, in particular

whether GPR34 contributes to Aβ clearance by microglia.

In this study, we report that activating GPR34 with our recently developed selective

agonist M1 (referred to as compound **14** in (18)) enhances microglial phagocytosis of Aβ.

In mouse primary microglia, M1 specifically increased uptake of Aβ fibrils without

affecting Aβ monomers or oligomers. The underlying mechanism involves the

suppression of cyclic AMP (cAMP) levels in microglia. Enhancement of Aβ phagocytosis

by M1 was also observed in human microglia-like cells and further validated *in vivo* using

an AD mouse model. In addition, we found that expression of GPR34 decreases with age

and shows its reduction in human AD brains correlating with amyloid deposition. Taken

together, these results demonstrate that GPR34 activation promotes microglial clearance

of Aβ fibrils, suggesting that age-related GPR34 reductions may contribute to AD

pathogenesis.

Results

Selective agonism of GPR34 specifically increases microglial uptake of Aβ fibrils

To investigate the effect of the GPR34 agonist M1 (Fig. 1*A*) on microglial uptake of

Aβ, we adapted a flow cytometry-based assay previously described by Mandrekar *et al.*

(25). This assay measures cellular fluorescence derived from fluorophore-conjugated,

internalized Aβ fibrils (fAβ). Exposing murine primary microglia to fluorescent fAβ for

3 hours resulted in an elevated fluorescence profile, which typically appeared as a broad

peak or, under certain experimental conditions, as two distinct peaks (Fig. 1*B*). The peak

with higher fluorescence intensity corresponds to cells that have phagocytosed high-

molecular-weight Aβ aggregates, consistent with the previous findings (25). Our analysis

focused on both the proportion and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of cells

exhibiting this higher fluorescence. We found that treatment with M1 at concentrations

above 10 nM led to an approximate 35% increase in the MFI of fAβ$^+$ cells (Fig. 1 *B* and

C), without affecting the proportion of fAβ$^+$ cells (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1 *A–C*). This

suggests that M1 increases the quantity of fAβ engulfed by individual microglial cells.

The positive effect of M1 was not observed at concentrations below 1 nM, consistent with

the previously reported EC$_{50}$ value of 5 nM for M1 (18). Furthermore, the effect was

evident as early as 1.5 hours after exposure to M1 and persisted for at least 7.5 hours (Fig.

1*D*). To confirm that the observed effect is mediated through GPR34, we assessed the

impact of M1 in *Gpr34* knockdown cells. Two independent siRNAs targeting *Gpr34*

resulted in a substantial reduction in *Gpr34* expression (Fig. 1*E*). Notably, *Gpr34*

knockdown itself led to a significant decrease or a trend towards reduced uptake of fAβ

(Fig. 1*F*), suggesting that GPR34 positively regulates fAβ phagocytosis. Furthermore,

Gpr34 knockdown abrogated the M1-induced increase in fAβ uptake (Fig. 1*F*), indicating

that GPR34 is indeed the target of M1.

Soluble Aβ species are taken up differently than fAβ, through non-phagocytic

mechanisms such as macropinocytosis (25). Therefore, we examined the effects of M1

on the uptake of Aβ monomer and oligomer. At any concentrations, M1 did not

significantly alter the MFI of fluorescence-positive cells for these soluble Aβ (Fig. 1*G*, *SI*

Appendix, Fig. S1 *D* and *E*), suggesting that M1 has a specific enhancing role in fAβ

phagocytosis. To further examine the specificity of M1-mediated phagocytosis

enhancement, we conducted a similar experiment using two known phagocytosis

substrates, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and myelin debris. Notably, M1 failed to enhance

their uptake (Fig. 1 *H* and *I*). This finding indicates that M1 distinctly influences the

uptake mechanism of fAβ.

Mode of action of M1

GPR34 is a Gi/o-coupled GPCR that suppresses adenylyl cyclase and activates

downstream kinases such as AKT and ERK upon ligand binding (Fig. 2*A*). Consistent

with this, M1 treatment induced time-dependent increases in phosphorylated AKT and

ERK levels (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). We also investigated whether M1 alters cAMP levels.

To elevate the typically low steady-state cAMP levels, we pretreated cells with forskolin,

an activator of adenylyl cyclase. We then observed an expected rise in intracellular cAMP

concentration following forskolin treatment. However, when co-treated with M1, this

elevation in cAMP levels was counteracted (Fig. 2*B*). Considering that an elevated cAMP

level suppresses phagocytic activity (26–28), we investigated the effects on the fAβ

uptake in these treated cells. We found that the single treatment of forskolin was enough

to reduce the MFI of fAβ$^+$ cells, while the co-treatment of M1 restored the impaired fAβ

uptake back to the basal level (Fig. 2*C*). Interestingly, forskolin specifically affect the

MFI without altering the proportion of fAβ$^+$ cells like the effect of M1 (*SI Appendix*, Fig.

S3). Moreover, forskolin treatment did not alter the uptake of monomeric and oligomeric

Aβ species (Fig. 2 *D* and *E*). These data indicate that cAMP levels inversely correlate

with the phagocytic activity specifically for fAβ, which likely underlies the substrate-

specific effect of M1.

M1 promotes microglial uptake of Aβ in vivo

To explore the potential of M1 in promoting microglial uptake of Aβ *in vivo*, we

utilized the mutant *APP* knock-in App^{NL-G-F} mouse model (hereafter NLGF mice) (29).

These mice, aged between 15–17 months, were administered a single injection of M1 into

the hippocampus. The next day, brain Aβ deposits were fluorescently labeled by

intraperitoneal injection of Methoxy-X04 (MX04). We then subjected these brains to flow

cytometry analysis of the CD11B+/CD45+ microglial population to quantify MX04-

positive cells (Fig. 3*A*). Our analysis revealed a notable increase in the MX04-positive

microglial fraction upon M1 administration (Fig. 3 *B* and *C*). This observation indicates

that M1 effectively enhances the microglial uptake of Aβ fibrils in an *in vivo* context.

Given that *Trem2* deficiency impairs Aβ metabolism in β-amyloidosis mice, this

receptor molecule is important for microglial recognition and uptake of Aβ. Consequently,

we asked whether the effect of M1 is somehow related to TREM2 function. For this

purpose, we employed *Tyrobp*-deficient mice (30), which lack a functional TREM2

receptor. We noted a significant reduction of MX04-positive microglia in *Tyrobp*-

deficient NLGF mice. Remarkably, M1 administration did not augment the MX04-

positive microglial fraction in these mice (Fig. 3*D*). These data suggest that M1's action

requires the function of TREM2/TYROBP in microglia.

M1 promotes fAβ uptake by human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells

Given the beneficial effect of M1 in promoting fAβ uptake by microglia, M1

emerges as a potential therapeutic candidate to boost fAβ metabolism in the brain. To

ascertain whether M1 exerts a comparable impact on human-derived microglia, we

employed a recently developed method for the efficient differentiation of microglia-like

cells (iMGLs) from human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) (Fig. 4*A*). This method

involves using iPSCs genetically modified to express the transcription factor *SPI1*, a

crucial factor for microglial differentiation (31). Implementing this protocol, we were able

to derive iMGLs exhibiting characteristic microglial projections (Fig. 4*B*). Subsequently,

we subjected these human iMGLs to the flow-cytometric analysis to assess the effect of

M1 on fAβ uptake (Fig. 4*C*). Notably, despite variations in the effective concentration

range, the stimulatory effect of M1 on fAβ uptake in human cells mirrored what we

observed in mouse primary microglia (Fig. 4*D*).

Reduction of GPR34 expression in brain microglia associated with Alzheimer disease and

aging

To investigate potential changes in GPR34 expression associated with AD, we

performed single-nucleus RNA-sequencing (snRNA-seq) on postmortem brains of 15

Japanese AD patients and 8 normal controls (*SI Appendix*, Table S1). We successfully

identified a distinct microglial cluster based on established marker genes (Fig. 5*A*).

GPR34 expression was specifically enriched in this microglial population (Fig. 5*B*).

Notably, when comparing *GPR34* levels between AD patients and controls across the

annotated clusters, we observed a significant reduction in *GPR34* expression within the

microglial cluster from AD patients ($\log_2$FC = −0.49, adjusted p = 0.00004; Fig. 5*C*).

Among the differentially expressed genes between AD and control, *GPR34* ranked 311th.

To further characterize the microglial population (total 11,458 cells), we performed

subcluster analysis and identified 8 distinct subclusters (0‑7) (Fig. 5*D*). The feature plot

of *GPR34* expression on the same UMAP space (Fig. 5*E*) suggested a relatively broad

expression across the subclusters, which was further detailed in the violin plot (Fig. 5*F*).

This plot revealed that *GPR34* expression was not prominently enriched in any specific

subcluster, except for subcluster 4, which exhibited significantly lower expression. When

comparing AD and control samples within each subcluster, a significant downregulation

of *GPR34* was observed in subcluster 0 ($\log_2$FC = −0.51, p = 0.0007). Although not

statistically significant, there was a trend towards decreased *GPR34* expression in the AD

group across other subclusters as well. Notably, subcluster 0, comprising 6,453 cells (56%

of the total) and being the largest subcluster, was characterized by the expression of

microglial genes such as *CSF1R* and *P2RY12*, suggesting that it represents homeostatic

microglia (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 *A* and *B*). On the other hand, subcluster 4, which exhibited

the lowest *GPR34* expression, was enriched for macrophage markers like *CD163*,

indicating its macrophage identity (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4*C*). This finding is interesting

given that *GPR34* was previously identified as specifically expressed in microglia

compared to macrophages in mice (12). Our data suggest a similar specificity in humans.

To further support our findings, we reanalyzed publicly available AD snRNA-seq

datasets using the interactive web-based platform TACA (https://taca.lerner.ccf.org/),

specifically focusing on the study by Gerrits *et al.* (32). This dataset uniquely enabled the

dissection of Aβ and tau pathology contributions by including samples from the occipital

cortex (OC), which exhibits Aβ pathology but minimal tau pathology in AD, and the

occipitotemporal cortex (OTC) region, which harbors both Aβ and tau pathologies. This

analysis revealed that in the OC region, where only Aβ accumulation occurs, GPR34

expression was significantly reduced in microglia from both AD patients and healthy

controls with low-level Aβ pathology (CTR+) compared to pathology-free controls (*SI*

Appendix, Table S2 and Fig. S5). Intriguingly, in the OTC region affected by both Aβ and

tau pathologies, GPR34 levels were decreased in the CTR+ group with mild Aβ

accumulation, but paradoxically increased in the AD group exhibiting substantial tau

pathology. This suggests a potential differential effect of tau pathology on GPR34

expression, which warrants further investigation. Nonetheless, these findings corroborate

our observation of reduced GPR34 expression in microglia associated with Aβ pathology.

Next, we examined age-related changes in GPR34 expression using published

microglial RNA-seq datasets of both human and mouse origins. In humans, while Galatro

et al. (33) did not show significant age-dependent changes, Olah *et al.* (34) indicated a

substantial decrease ($\log_2 FC = -1.20$, adjusted *p*-value = 0.044) in the aged population

compared to young individuals. In contrast, multiple mouse studies consistently revealed

a significant reduction in GPR34 levels in aged microglia compared to young adults (*SI*

Appendix, Fig. S6) (35–37), suggesting that an age-related decline in microglial GPR34

expression may be a conserved phenomenon across species.

The concordant findings from human AD and aging mouse models highlight a

common pattern of decreased microglial GPR34 expression, which could impair Aβ

clearance capability. This age-related reduction in GPR34 levels/activity may contribute

to Aβ accumulation in the aging brain and exacerbate AD pathogenesis. Collectively,

these results underscore the importance of maintaining or enhancing microglial GPR34

activity, for which GPR34 agonists represent a potential therapeutic strategy to promote

effective Aβ clearance and prevent pathological Aβ accumulation.

Discussion

In this study, we identified the G protein-coupled receptor GPR34 as a key regulator

of microglial phagocytosis of Aβ fibrils. Activating GPR34 with a selective agonist, M1,

significantly enhances the phagocytosis of Aβ fibrils in both mouse and human microglia,

highlighting the translational potential of targeting GPR34 for promoting Aβ clearance.

Importantly, a single intrahippocampal injection of M1 in a β-amyloidosis mouse model

substantially increased microglial phagocytosis of Aβ, providing compelling evidence for

the *in vivo* efficacy of GPR34 activation. Mechanistically, M1 suppresses intracellular

cAMP levels, which inversely correlate with microglial Aβ phagocytosis activity,

suggesting that GPR34-mediated cAMP signaling plays a crucial role in regulating Aβ

clearance. Furthermore, transcriptomic analyses revealed a significant reduction in

GPR34 expression associated with AD pathology, indicating that decreased GPR34 levels

may contribute to impaired Aβ clearance and disease progression. Collectively, our

findings establish GPR34 as a promising therapeutic target for AD and underscore the

potential of GPR34 agonists as a novel strategy to promote Aβ clearance and mitigate AD

pathogenesis.

The inverse correlation between cAMP levels and fAβ phagocytosis is consistent

with previous reports demonstrating the inhibitory role of cAMP in phagocytosis (26–28).

These studies suggest that elevated cAMP levels can suppress phagocytic activity,

possibly by modulating key signaling pathways or cytoskeletal reorganization processes

involved in particle engulfment. Interestingly, the selective impact of M1/forskolin on

fAβ uptake, but not on the internalization of soluble Aβ species, aligns with the notion

that distinct mechanisms govern the uptake of different Aβ forms (25). On the other hand,

the reason why M1 did not affect the uptake of myelin or *E. coli* particles, which are also

internalized through phagocytosis, remains unclear. However, it is possible that cAMP

exerts selective effects on Aβ receptors. In this regard, it is particularly intriguing that M1

failed to augment Aβ uptake in *Tyrobp*-deficient mice, which lack functional TREM2.

Given the established role of TREM2 in mediating microglial phagocytosis of Aβ, it is

plausible that GPR34 activation may modulate TREM2-dependent fAβ uptake by

regulating cAMP signaling. However, further investigation is needed to elucidate the

precise molecular mechanisms underlying this interaction. Furthermore, the Aβ fibrils

used in this study form larger aggregates compared to myelin and *E. coli*, and the

molecular mechanisms of phagocytosis are known to differ depending on the target size

(38). Thus, these substrates may be internalized through distinct pathways with varying

sensitivity to cAMP regulation.

cAMP has been implicated in the regulation of various microglial functions (39, 40).

Interestingly, microglia express several Gi/o-coupled receptors, including GPR34,

P2RY12, P2RY13, CX3CR1, and GPR84 (12), but the functional significance of this

apparent redundancy remains elusive. While it is natural to assume that these receptors

converge on the same cellular response due to the rapid diffusion of cAMP, evidence

suggests that cAMP and its metabolic enzymes can be localized beneath phagocytic cups

(41, 42), and cAMP can form spatially restricted signaling compartments (43). This

compartmentalization raises the possibility that GPR34 and other Gi/o-coupled receptors

may regulate distinct pools of cAMP with unique functional consequences, such as

modulating fAβ phagocytosis. Future studies aimed at dissecting the spatial and

functional organization of cAMP signaling in microglia will be crucial to understanding

the specific roles of these receptors in microglial function and AD pathogenesis.

Our snRNA-seq analysis of a Japanese AD patient cohort and reanalysis of the

Gerrits dataset consistently revealed a significant reduction in *GPR34* expression in AD

microglia, in agreement with previously published data (32, 44). Intriguingly, the Gerrits

dataset suggests that this reduction is more closely associated with Aβ accumulation than

with tau pathology. In contrast, experimental studies using β-amyloidosis mouse models,

such as the APP/PS1 (45, 46) and NLGF mice (47), have reported increased *Gpr34*

expression in microglia. While this discrepancy between human and mouse data could be

attributed to species-specific differences in GPR34 regulation, an alternative explanation

may lie in the age-dependent decline of GPR34 expression observed in both human and

mouse datasets. Interestingly, a similar age-associated decrease has been reported for

another microglial gene, *Cd22*, which impairs phagocytic activity (35). We hypothesize

that the age-related reduction in *GPR34* levels may contribute to impaired Aβ clearance

and increased Aβ accumulation in the aging brain, potentially increasing the risk of

developing AD. However, the further decrease in *GPR34* expression observed in AD

patients compared to controls suggests that additional factors, such as genetic

predisposition, may exacerbate *GPR34* downregulation in AD. The precise mechanisms

underlying the downregulation of *GPR34* remain elusive; however, our findings

underscore the potential significance of this process in AD pathogenesis and highlight the

complex interplay between *GPR34* expression, aging, and AD pathology.

While M1 is a promising compound for promoting microglial Aβ phagocytosis, its

structure suggests limited blood-brain barrier permeability, necessitating direct brain

administration in this study and precluding a thorough evaluation of long-term efficacy.

The development of orally bioavailable GPR34 agonists with improved brain penetrance

would enable more comprehensive investigations into the therapeutic potential of GPR34

activation in AD models. In this regard, our recent elucidation of the GPR34-M1 binding

structure (19) may facilitate the rational design of novel GPR34 agonists. However, it is

also important to consider potential side effects related to GPR34's roles in immune

regulation and neuropathic pain (24). Future studies should carefully examine the safety

and tolerability of novel GPR34 agonists in preclinical AD models.

In summary, our findings identify GPR34 as a novel therapeutic target for enhancing

microglial phagocytosis of Aβ and highlight the potential of GPR34 agonists as a disease-

modifying strategy for AD. Further research into the development of potent GPR34

agonists and their long-term safety and efficacy could lead to novel therapeutic

approaches for this devastating disorder.

Materials and methods

Full methods are available in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods*.

Mice

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the protocols approved

by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Tokyo (UT)

(protocol no. P5-04). See *SI Appendix* for further details.

Aβ uptake assay in primary microglia

Primary microglia were prepared from neonatal C57BL/6J mice as described

previously (48). For the Aβ uptake assay, cells were serum-starved in DMEM for 30 min

and then treated with fluorescently labeled Aβ species (monomer, oligomer, or fibril) and

either M1 or vehicle in DMEM containing 1% FBS for 3 hours. Cells were then detached,

and for experiments involving Aβ oligomers or fibrils, the cell suspension was mixed with

trypan blue solution to quench any extracellular fluorescence. The internalized Aβ

fluorescence was quantified using flow cytometry. Data were normalized to the MFI of

control cells treated with fAβ alone (i.e., without M1 or vehicle treatment). See *SI*

Appendix for further details.

Differentiation of Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-derived Microglia and Aβ Uptake
Assay

Microglia-like cells were differentiated from human induced pluripotent stem cells

(iPSCs) using a previously described protocol (31). Briefly, iPSCs were genetically

engineered to express the transcription factor *SPI1* under a doxycycline-inducible system.

The differentiated microglia-like cells were used for Aβ uptake assays, where they were

treated with fluorescently labeled Aβ fibrils and M1 for 4.5 hours. The internalized Aβ

fluorescence was quantified using flow cytometry. See *SI Appendix* for further details.

Stereotaxic injection of M1 and measurement of microglial uptake of Aβ *in vivo*

To assess the effect of M1 on microglial uptake of Aβ *in vivo*, 15–17-month-old male

and female NLGF mice were used. Mice were anesthetized, and 0.8 μL of 250 μM M1

was injected into one hippocampus, while an equal volume of vehicle was injected into

the contralateral hippocampus using stereotaxic coordinates. Nine hours after M1

injection, mice were administered methoxy-X04 intraperitoneally (MX04) to label Aβ

fibrils *in vivo*. Three hours later, mice were perfused, and the hippocampi were dissected.

Microglial cells were isolated and the percentage of MX04-positive microglia (CD11B+

CD45+ PI−) was assessed by flow cytometry. See *SI Appendix* for further details.

Human samples

Autopsied human brain tissues from 15 AD patients and 8 controls without

neurodegenerative pathology (Table S1) were obtained from the Brain Bank for Aging

Research via the Japan Brain Bank Net. Written informed consent was obtained from the

family of the deceased. Dissected brain tissues were quickly frozen with dry ice powder

and stored at −80°C. Single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) analysis was

performed at Niigata University (NU) using frontal cortex samples. This research was

approved by the Ethics Committees of the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute for Geriatrics and

Gerontology (TMIG) (permit number: OF-23), NU (G2018-0034), and the UT (5-15).

Isolation of nuclei and single-nucleus RNA sequencing

Nuclei were isolated from fresh frozen postmortem brain tissue, and for each sample,

approximately 10,000 nuclei were processed for snRNA-seq using the Chromium Next

GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1 (10x Genomics). Raw sequencing data were processed using

the Cell Ranger pipeline (v7.0.0, 10x Genomics) for demultiplexing, barcode assignment,

read alignment to the human reference genome (GRCh38-2020-A), and gene counting.

Subsequent analysis was performed by using the R package *Seurat* (v5.0.1). See *SI*

Appendix for further details.

Tools and resources used in the preparation of this work

The authors employed Anthropic's Claude for assistance in revising and refining the

manuscript. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed

and take full responsibility for the content of the publication. Illustrations were created

with BioRender.com. Chemical structures were generated using Marvin (Chemaxon).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses and graphical presentations were performed using GraphPad

Prism 10. The specific statistical tests used and sample sizes for each experiment are

indicated in the corresponding figure legends. P-values lower than 0.05 were considered

statistically significant, except for the snRNA-seq analysis, and are represented by

asterisks: $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$, $****p < 0.0001$. Non-significant

differences ($p > 0.05$) are indicated by "ns".

Data, Materials, and Software Availability

The snRNA-seq data generated in this study are currently being prepared for the deposition in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ; https://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/index.html). All other data are included in the manuscript and/or supporting information.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) under grant numbers 19H01015, 23H00394 (to T. Tomita), 19K07080, 22K07344 (to S.T.), 21H05633 (to H.W.), 21K07271 (to A.M.), and 22H04923 (CoBiA) (to Y.S. and S.M.); AMED under grant numbers JP22dm0207072 (to T. Tomita), JP22dm0207073 (to T. Tomita and S.T.), JP21bm0804003, JP23bm1123046, JP23kk0305024 (to H.O.), JP23wm0525019 (to M.K. and T.I.), JP24dk0207060 (to T.I., A.M., and M.K.), and JP21wm0425019 (to Y.S. and S.M.); JST Moonshot R&D under grant number JPMJMS2024 (to T. Tomita); Integrated Research Initiative for Living Well with Dementia (IRIDE) of the TMIG (to Y.S. and S.M.); MHLW Research on rare and intractable diseases Program Grant Number JPMH23FC1008 (to Y.S.); ONO Medical Research Foundation (to S.T.). We would like to thank Dr. Shinya Yamanaka (Kyoto University) for the kind gifts of the 201B7 iPSC line.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, S.T., J.A., and T. Tomita; Methodology, S.T., W.W., H.E, I.S., H.W., H.O., N.H., A.M., M.K., and T.I.; Investigation, H.E., N.H., M.H., A.A., and J.O.; Resources, T.O., J.A., M.M., Y.S., S.M., A.M., T.I., H.W., H.O., T. S., T.C.S, and T. Takai; Writing – Original Draft, S.T. and H.E.; Review & Editing, S.T.; Project Administration,

442   S.T., H.W. and A.M.; Supervision, S.T., T. Tomita, J.A., H.O., and I.T.

444   **Competing interests**

445   H. Okano is a scientific consultant for SanBio, Co. Ltd., and K Pharma Inc. The

446   other authors declare neither financial nor non-financial competing interests.

References

1.   D. J. Selkoe, J. Hardy, The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. *EMBO Mol Med* **8**, 595–608 (2016).

2.   T. Jonsson, *et al.*, A mutation in APP protects against Alzheimer's disease and age-related cognitive decline. *Nature* **488**, 96–9 (2012).

3.   J. Sevigny, *et al.*, The antibody aducanumab reduces Aβ plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* **537**, 50–6 (2016).

4.   C. H. van Dyck, *et al.*, Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *N Engl J Med* **388**, 9–21 (2023).

5.   D. V Hansen, J. E. Hanson, M. Sheng, Microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *J Cell Biol* **217**, 459–472 (2018).

6.   S. Takatori, W. Wang, A. Iguchi, T. Tomita, Genetic Risk Factors for Alzheimer Disease: Emerging Roles of Microglia in Disease Pathomechanisms. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **1118**, 83–116 (2019).

7.   T. Jonsson, *et al.*, Variant of TREM2 associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 107–16 (2013).

8.   R. Guerreiro, *et al.*, TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 117–27 (2013).

9.   Y. Wang, *et al.*, TREM2 lipid sensing sustains the microglial response in an Alzheimer's disease model. *Cell* **160**, 1061–71 (2015).

10.   T. R. Jay, *et al.*, Disease Progression-Dependent Effects of TREM2 Deficiency in a Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Neurosci* **37**, 637–647 (2017).

11.   S. Parhizkar, *et al.*, Loss of TREM2 function increases amyloid seeding but reduces plaque-associated ApoE. *Nat Neurosci* **22**, 191–204 (2019).

12.   M. L. Bennett, *et al.*, New tools for studying microglia in the mouse and human CNS. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, E1738-46 (2016).

13.   S. Krasemann, *et al.*, The TREM2-APOE Pathway Drives the Transcriptional Phenotype of Dysfunctional Microglia in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Immunity* **47**, 566-581.e9 (2017).

14.   T. Sugo, *et al.*, Identification of a lysophosphatidylserine receptor on mast cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **341**, 1078–87 (2006).

15.   A. Inoue, *et al.*, TGFα shedding assay: an accurate and versatile method for detecting GPCR activation. *Nat Methods* **9**, 1021–9 (2012).

16.   H. Kitamura, *et al.*, GPR34 is a receptor for lysophosphatidylserine with a fatty acid at the sn-2 position. *J Biochem* **151**, 511–8 (2012).

17.   A. Uwamizu, *et al.*, Lysophosphatidylserine analogues differentially activate three

484   LysoPS receptors. *J Biochem* **157**, 151–60 (2015).

485   18.   S. Nakamura, *et al.*, Non-naturally Occurring Regio Isomer of Lysophosphatidylserine

486   Exhibits Potent Agonistic Activity toward G Protein-Coupled Receptors. *J Med Chem*

487   **63**, 9990–10029 (2020).

488   19.   T. Izume, *et al.*, Structural basis for lysophosphatidylserine recognition by GPR34. *Nat*

489   *Commun* **15**, 902 (2024).

490   20.   I. Liebscher, *et al.*, Altered immune response in mice deficient for the G protein-

491   coupled receptor GPR34. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 2101–10 (2011).

492   21.   E. Jäger, *et al.*, Dendritic Cells Regulate GPR34 through Mitogenic Signals and

493   Undergo Apoptosis in Its Absence. *J Immunol* **196**, 2504–13 (2016).

494   22.   X. Wang, *et al.*, GPR34-mediated sensing of lysophosphatidylserine released by

495   apoptotic neutrophils activates type 3 innate lymphoid cells to mediate tissue repair.

496   *Immunity* **54**, 1123-1136.e8 (2021).

497   23.   J. Preissler, *et al.*, Altered microglial phagocytosis in GPR34-deficient mice. *Glia* **63**,

498   206–15 (2015).

499   24.   A. Sayo, *et al.*, GPR34 in spinal microglia exacerbates neuropathic pain in mice. *J*

500   *Neuroinflammation* **16**, 82 (2019).

501   25.   S. Mandrekar, *et al.*, Microglia Mediate the Clearance of Soluble Aβ through Fluid

502   Phase Macropinocytosis. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **29**, 4252–4262 (2009).

503   26.   D. M. Aronoff, C. Canetti, M. Peters-Golden, Prostaglandin E2 inhibits alveolar

504   macrophage phagocytosis through an E-prostanoid 2 receptor-mediated increase in

505   intracellular cyclic AMP. *J Immunol* **173**, 559–65 (2004).

506   27.   S. A. Kalamidas, *et al.*, cAMP synthesis and degradation by phagosomes regulate actin

507   assembly and fusion events: consequences for mycobacteria. *J Cell Sci* **119**, 3686–94

508   (2006).

509   28.   C. H. Serezani, *et al.*, Prostaglandin E2 suppresses bacterial killing in alveolar

510   macrophages by inhibiting NADPH oxidase. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **37**, 562–70

511   (2007).

512   29.   T. Saito, *et al.*, Single App knock-in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat*

513   *Neurosci* **17**, 661–3 (2014).

514   30.   T. Kaifu, *et al.*, Osteopetrosis and thalamic hypomyelinosis with synaptic degeneration

515   in DAP12-deficient mice. *J Clin Invest* **111**, 323–32 (2003).

516   31.   I. Sonn, *et al.*, Single transcription factor efficiently leads human induced pluripotent

517   stem cells to functional microglia. *Inflamm Regen* **42**, 20 (2022).

518   32.   E. Gerrits, *et al.*, Distinct amyloid-β and tau-associated microglia profiles in

519   Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* **141**, 681–696 (2021).

33. T. F. Galatro, *et al.*, Transcriptomic analysis of purified human cortical microglia reveals age-associated changes. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 1162–1171 (2017).

34. M. Olah, *et al.*, A transcriptomic atlas of aged human microglia. *Nat Commun* **9**, 539 (2018).

35. J. V Pluvinage, *et al.*, CD22 blockade restores homeostatic microglial phagocytosis in ageing brains. *Nature* **568**, 187–192 (2019).

36. A. L. Thomas, M. A. Lehn, E. M. Janssen, D. A. Hildeman, C. A. Chougnet, Naturally-aged microglia exhibit phagocytic dysfunction accompanied by gene expression changes reflective of underlying neurologic disease. *Sci Rep* **12**, 19471 (2022).

37. S. R. Ocañas, *et al.*, Microglial senescence contributes to female-biased neuroinflammation in the aging mouse hippocampus: implications for Alzheimer's disease. *J Neuroinflammation* **20**, 188 (2023).

38. D. Schlam, *et al.*, Phosphoinositide 3-kinase enables phagocytosis of large particles by terminating actin assembly through Rac/Cdc42 GTPase-activating proteins. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8623 (2015).

39. L.-P. Bernier, *et al.*, Nanoscale Surveillance of the Brain by Microglia via cAMP-Regulated Filopodia. *Cell Rep* **27**, 2895-2908.e4 (2019).

40. M. Merlini, *et al.*, Microglial Gi-dependent dynamics regulate brain network hyperexcitability. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 19–23 (2021).

41. K. B. Pryzwansky, A. L. Steiner, J. K. Spitznagel, C. L. Kapoor, Compartmentalization of cyclic AMP during phagocytosis by human neutrophilic granulocytes. *Science* **211**, 407–10 (1981).

42. M. N. Ballinger, T. Welliver, S. Straight, M. Peters-Golden, J. A. Swanson, Transient increase in cyclic AMP localized to macrophage phagosomes. *PLoS One* **5**, e13962 (2010).

43. J. Z. Zhang, *et al.*, Phase Separation of a PKA Regulatory Subunit Controls cAMP Compartmentation and Oncogenic Signaling. *Cell* **182**, 1531-1544.e15 (2020).

44. S. Morabito, *et al.*, Single-nucleus chromatin accessibility and transcriptomic characterization of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **53**, 1143–1155 (2021).

45. N. Ma, *et al.*, Whole-Transcriptome Analysis of APP/PS1 Mouse Brain and Identification of circRNA-miRNA-mRNA Networks to Investigate AD Pathogenesis. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* **18**, 1049–1062 (2019).

46. L.-L. Lin, *et al.*, GPR34 Knockdown Relieves Cognitive Deficits and Suppresses Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's Disease via the ERK/NF-κB Signal. *Neuroscience* **528**, 129–139 (2023).

47. A. Sobue, *et al.*, Microglial gene signature reveals loss of homeostatic microglia

556   associated with neurodegeneration of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol Commun*

557   **9**, 1 (2021).

558   48.   Y. Kato, *et al.*, Protocol for gene knockdown using siRNA in primary cultured neonatal

559   murine microglia. *STAR Protoc* **5**, 102867 (2024).

 Figure legends

Fig.1: GPR34-selective agonist M1 specifically increased fibrillar Aβ uptake by murine

primary microglia

(*A*) The chemical structure of the GPR34 agonist M1. (*B*) Representative flow cytometry

plots showing uptake of fluorescently labeled Aβ fibrils (fAβ) by mouse primary

microglia pretreated with 10 nM M1 or vehicle, after 3-hours incubation with fAβ. (*C*)

M1 increased the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of fAβ+ cells in a concentration-

dependent manner after 3 hours of fAβ treatment. Data are normalized to the MFI of

control cells treated with fAβ alone (*i.e.*, without M1 or vehicle treatment) (N=4;

Dunnett's test). (*D*) Time course analysis showing the effect of 10 nM M1 on fAβ uptake

by microglia (N=7–8; paired t-test). (*E*) Knockdown efficiencies of two independent

Gpr34 siRNAs were validated by RT-qPCR (N=3, Dunnett's test). (*F*) The M1-mediated

increase in fAβ uptake was abolished by *Gpr34* knockdown using these siRNAs (N=5–

6; two-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test).

G–*I* M1 did not affect microglial uptake of fluorescently labeled Aβ monomers or

oligomers (*G*, N=3, Dunnett's test), *E. coli* particles (*H*, N=5, paired t-test) or myelin

debris (*I*, N=3, paired t-test). Bars represent the mean ± SEM.

Fig.2: M1 reduces intracellular concentration of cAMP

(*A*) Schematic illustrating the proposed mechanism by which GPR34 activation reduces

intracellular cAMP levels. (*B*) Treatment with the adenylyl cyclase (AC) activator

forskolin increased intracellular cAMP levels in primary microglia, which was

counteracted by co-treatment with 10 nM M1 (N=5, one-way repeated measures ANOVA

and Tukey's test). *C*–*E* Forskolin treatment suppressed microglial uptake of fAβ. This

impairment was rescued by co-treatment with M1 (**C**, N=6, one-way repeated measures

ANOVA and Tukey's test). In contrast, forskolin did not significantly affect microglial

uptake of monomeric (**D**) or oligomeric (**E**) Aβ species (N=3, paired t-test). Bars represent

the mean ± SEM.

Fig.3: The effect of M1 on microglial uptake of Aβ fibrils *in vivo*

(**A**) Experimental design schematic. 15–17 month-old male and female NLGF mice

received bilateral intrahippocampal injections of vehicle or M1 (250 µM, 0.8 µL),

followed by intraperitoneal injection of the Aβ tracer Methoxy-X04 (MX04; 10 mg/kg)

the next day. Hippocampi were harvested 12 hours after M1 injection for flow cytometry

analysis of MX04+ microglia (CD11B+/CD45+). **B**, **C** Representative flow cytometry

plots (**B**) and quantification (**C**) showing an increase in the percentage of MX04+

microglia upon M1 administration. (**D**) In *Tyrobp*-deficient NLGF mice lacking

functional TREM2, M1 failed to increase the percentage of MX04+ microglia. Each pair

of data points connected by a line represents a single experiment (N=6; male and female

mice are indicated in *green* and *magenta*, respectively). Bars represent the mean ± SEM.

Paired t-test.

Fig.4: The effect of M1 in human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells

(**A**) Protocol for differentiating human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells (iMGLs) using

a doxycycline (DOX)-inducible SPI1 expression system.

(**B**) A representative image of human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells (Scale bar: 100

µm). **C**, **D** Representative flow cytometry plots (**C**) and quantification (**D**) showing an

increase in fAβ uptake by microglia upon M1 treatment (N=7). Bars represent the mean

± SEM. Dunnett's test.

Fig.5: Reduced *GPR34* expression in microglia associated with Alzheimer disease

A–*C* snRNA-seq analysis of the frontal cortex from 15 AD patients and 8 controls in the

Japanese cohort. UMAP visualization of major cell types (*A*). Feature plots showing the

expression of *GPR34* in AD and control samples (*B*). Expression levels of *GPR34* across

cell types are shown as mean ± SEM (*C*). A significant reduction in *GPR34* expression

was observed in AD microglia compared to controls ($\log_2$FC = −0.49, adjusted *p* =

0.00004). *D*–*F* Subcluster analysis of the microglial population. UMAP visualization of

microglial subclusters (0-7) identified by unsupervised clustering of the microglial

population from panel *A* (*D*). Feature plot showing the expression of *GPR34* (*E*).

Expression levels of *GPR34* in each microglial subcluster are shown as mean ± SEM (*F*).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

D0	BMP4, CHIR99021
D2	BMP4, VEGF, FGF2
D4	VEGF, FGF2
D6	VEGF, FGF2, SCF, IL-3, IL-6, IWR-1e
D12	FGF2, SCF, IL-3, IL-6
D18	IL-34, MCSF, TGFβ1

+DOX (*SPI1*)

B

Human iMGLs

100 μm

C

☐ No Aβ ── Vehicle ── 100 nM M1

Normalized cell count

HiLyte Fluor 488 (Aβ fibril) ⟶

D

MFI (control = 1.0)

ns

**

0   10   100

M1 (nM)

Figure 5