Urban soil microbiomes exhibit taxonomic and functional potential for enhanced

contaminant cycling

Izabel L. Stohel[1], Young C. Song[1], Anna B. Turetcaia[2], Andrew J. Wilson[2], Dietrich Epp

Schmidt[3], Stephanie A. Yarwood[3], Andrew Townsend[1], Emily B. Graham[2*]

[1]Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory,

Richland, WA 99354, United States.

[2]Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Environmental and Biological Sciences Directorate,

Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354, United States.

[3]University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

*Corresponding author: emily.graham@pnnl.gov

Abstract.

Urbanization is a leading cause of global biodiversity loss, but its impact on soil microorganisms

and biogeochemistry remain uncertain. Although all urban soil microbiomes are influenced by

common anthropogenic processes, we lack generalizable patterns in how urbanization impacts

the composition and functional potential of the global soil microbiome. These patterns are vital

for grasping cities' roles in biogeochemical processes and managing urban land expansion. We

re-analyze soil metagenomic sequences from the Global Urban Soil Environment Ecology

Network (GLUSEEN) to identify coordinated changes in microbial taxonomy and functionality

and to pinpoint core taxa and metabolisms across five global cities spanning ecoregions and

management regimes. Our findings reveal greater spatial variability in microbial taxonomy

compared to functional potential, indicating that common anthropogenic processes might shape

the genetic potential of diverse taxa. We identify 111 gene annotations that represent core

urban soil functions, present in all cities but rare (<5%) in reference soils. Across low-to-high

urban land use gradients; nitrogen, trace/heavy metal, glycerol, and fructose/fructan metabolic

processes are linked with highly urbanized areas. Core urban microbial taxa – defined by

overrepresentation in urban land uses compared to reference soils – included diverse soil

bacteria and a more constrained set of methane- and nitrogen-cycling archaea. Overall, our

work aids in deriving testable hypotheses and in modeling the feedbacks between growing

metropolitan areas and the processes impacting global environmental change.

Introduction

Despite urban areas covering only 1% of global land, 70% of the world's population is projected to live in cities by 2050 (United Nations 2018; Ritchie, Samborska and Roser 2024). Human activity in these urban centers is reshaping the way organisms evolve and interact with each other (Pickett *et al.* 2011). Research on urbanization's effect on ecosystems is becoming more common, but knowledge about urban soil microbiomes is still sparse, even though they play a crucial role in global biogeochemistry (Dubey *et al.* 2019; Naylor *et al.* 2020). Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of soil microbial community structure and functional potential is essential for predicting the ecological roles of microbes in urban ecosystems.

Rapidly increasing urbanization and associated changes in land-use are responsible for biodiversity loss of macroorganisms (i.e., animal and plant communities) and for increased pollution, both of which may subsequently impact urban biogeochemistry and soil microbiome function (Pauchard *et al.* 2006; Nagendra *et al.* 2014). Land clearing for agriculture and urban expansion, for instance, have resulted in fragmentation of forest biomes across the world (Reinmann *et al.* 2020). This practice also leads to increased pollutants, like nitrate and ammonium, which in turn, change soil biogeochemical properties and microbiome function (Wang *et al.* 2017). Additionally, industrial activities can lead to heavy metal and organic contamination in urban soils, in particular polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), that are toxic to humans and are added to soil through processes like burning fossil fuels and waste incineration (Fernández-Luqueño *et al.* 2011; Delegan *et al.* 2022). Finally, urban land use and management practices like fertilization, irrigation, and the installation of impervious surfaces increase nutrient inputs into soils via runoff (Fenn *et al.* 2003). Nitrogen runoff in particular can enhance microbial denitrification processes that release nitrous oxide – a potent greenhouse gas (Kettering *et al.* 2013; Toor *et al.* 2017).

58   In parallel, soil microorganisms (i.e., bacteria, archaea, and fungi) are major conductors

59 of global carbon and nutrient cycles. Soil biogeochemical properties that are impacted by

60 urbanization like moisture, temperature, pH, and carbon and nutrient concentrations have a

61 strong effect on microbial communities (Rousk *et al.* 2010; Brockett, Prescott and Grayston

62 2012; Karhu *et al.* 2014; Graham *et al.* 2016; Widdig *et al.* 2020). Microbial diversity is also

63 dependent on interspecies and plant-microbe interactions (Wilpiszeski *et al.* 2019; Sharma *et al.*

64 2020; McClure *et al.* 2022), which have been shown to increase the relative abundance of

65 genes involved in greenhouse gas emissions in urban soils (Delgado-Baquerizo, Eldridge and

66 Yu-Rong 2021). More generally, urban soil microbiomes have been shown to exhibit

67 homogenization of bacterial communities compared to surrounding natural ecosystems (Gill *et*

68 *al.* 2020; Delgado-Baquerizo, Eldridge and Yu-Rong 2021).

69   The extent to which the urban environment drives soil microbiomes towards a common

70 state (i.e., microbiome composition and function; urban convergence hypothesis) versus

71 supporting a robust, diverse microbial community (i.e., the urban mosaic) remains debated

72 (Pouyat *et al.* 2015). Within the dataset re-analyzed here, for example, it was reported that soil

73 biogeochemical properties like organic carbon and total nitrogen content converged due to

74 anthropogenic factors (Pouyat *et al.* 2015). Other studies have also seen the effect of urban

75 convergence on soil properties, such as soil particle size and soil carbon content (Herrmann,

76 Schifman and Shuster 2020). However, due to the plethora of land covers and uses contained

77 within different metropolitan areas, it remains to be explored whether or not urbanization has a

78 uniform effect that will drive microbial communities towards greater biodiversity loss or greater

79 taxonomic and functional potential. Further, understanding the extent to which urbanization acts

80 upon microbial taxa versus the functional traits they contain is important for representing the

81 impacts of population growth on global biogeochemistry. Standardized spatial observations

82 distributed within and across cities are key to resolving these questions.

Determining a core soil urban microbiome is essential to study patterns associated with

anthropogenic factors. Broadly defined, a core microbiome is a set of shared taxa and/or

functional potential across all samples in a dataset or a group within a dataset (Turnbaugh *et al.*

2007; Hamady and Knight 2009; Shade and Handelsman 2012; Neu, Allen and Roy 2024).

Microorganisms consistently found in all assemblages within a specific habitat have been

proposed as essential for the community's functioning (Turnbaugh and Gordon 2009; Vael and

Desager 2009; Benson *et al.* 2010; Sommer and Bäckhed 2013). Therefore, pinpointing specific

taxa and functional potential in a core microbiome is the initial step in identifying a 'healthy'

community and forecasting how the community will react to disturbances. Methods to determine

a core microbiome can vary with experimental factors such as spatial or temporal scale and can

leverage occurrence-based data, relative abundance data, or a combination of relative

abundance and occurrence data (Shade and Handelsman 2012; Neu, Allen and Roy 2024).

Here, we define the core urban soil microbiome as organisms and functional genes that are

distributed across global urban land uses and are also scarce or absent from more pristine

reference soils.

We use metagenomic sequences from soils collected by the Global Urban Soil

Environment Ecology Network (GLUSEEN; Pouyat et al., 2017) to investigate the taxonomic

and functional diversity of the urban soil microbiome. We aim to examine if soil taxonomic and

functional diversity are conserved across worldwide urban cities, if changes in taxonomy and

functional potential are coupled, and if there are ubiquitous ("core") taxa and functions that are

characteristic of urban soils regardless of climate and geography. Previous work with this

dataset, by Epp Schmidt, et al. (2019, 2017) has shown some consistency in archaeal and

bacterial communities, with archaeal convergence being driven by ammonia- and methane-

oxidizing organisms and genes and bacterial convergence being driven by nitrite-oxidizing

organisms. However, Epp Schmidt, et al. (2019, 2017) did not explore aspects of urban

microbiomes related to contaminants and other carbon and nitrogen cycling processes. We

further extend this work by reporting concomitant changes in taxonomy and functional diversity

within and across cities; extracting the core urban microbiome across all cities; and uncovering

a newly reported set of widespread soil genomic potential for the tolerance and/or cycling of

urban pollutants.

Methods

Dataset Description

We used publicly available metagenomic sequencing data from the Global Urban Soil

Environment Ecology Network (GLUSEEN) to investigate the urban soil microbiome from 66

samples across five global cities: USA: Baltimore (n=11), Finland: Helsinki (n=12), Finland: Lahti

(n=14), Hungary: Budapest (n=14), and South Africa: Potchefstroom (n =15) (Figure 1). Soils

spanned three levels of urbanization that encompass a gradient of common anthropogenic

impacts: (1) remnant: within city limits, (2) turf: managed land, and (3) ruderal: high degree of

physical disturbance; in addition to reference soils with low urban impacts near each city.

Further details on land use classifications, soil collection, and metagenomic sequencing are

described by Pouyat, et al. (2015) and Epp Schmidt, et al. (2017). Briefly, soils were collected

prior to 2017 from 0-10 cm depth, and shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed on

Illumina HiSeq 3000 using standardized methods. Samples used for this publication are

available at MG-RAST (Yarwood and Epp Schmidt). ([https://www.mg-](https://www.mg-rast.org/mgmain.html?mgpage=project&project=mgp16346)

[rast.org/mgmain.html?mgpage=project&project=mgp16346](https://www.mg-rast.org/mgmain.html?mgpage=project&project=mgp16346)).

Taxonomic and Functional Inference of Metagenomes

Metagenomic sequences from each soil were retrieved from Metagenomic Rapid

Annotations using Subsystems Technology (MG-RAST) and processed using the Joint Genome

Institute (JGI) Integrated Microbial Genomes and Microbiomes (IMG/M) standard workflows

(Clum *et al.* 2021). Briefly, reads meeting the QC standards were assembled into contigs using

BBDuk version 38.79, bbcms version 38.44 and metaSPADES version 3.13.0 (Nurk *et al.* 2017;

Bushnell 2023). Read-based taxonomy was assigned to assembled contigs using the genome-

based taxonomy database, and assembled contigs were further annotated against the Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (i.e., KEGG Orthology, KO; (Kanehisa et al., 2019, 2000,

2023)) as part of standard JGI workflows (Parks *et al.* 2018; Chaumeil *et al.* 2020). While read-

based taxonomy is a useful tool for determining microbial clades via metagenomics, future work

that assesses taxonomy by sequencing selected portions of conserved marker genes may be a

useful complement to the results presented here (Wood and Salzberg 2014; Wood, Lu and

Langmead 2019; Clum *et al.* 2021). After sequence processing, we summarized taxa and KO

for each soil sample into matrices by counting sequences in each soil assigned to every unique

taxon (order-level due inconsistencies in taxonomic annotations extending beyond microbial

orders) or KO. Counts in each matrix were normalized using a relative log expression (RLE;

Pereira et al., 2018) implemented in the R package 'DESeq2' (Love, Huber and Anders 2014).

KO were mapped to pathways using the 'KEGGREST' package in R (version 4.3.1)

(Tenenbaum and Maintainer 2023). Code is available on Figshare

(https://figshare.com/s/d788b28a869481c25689).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were implemented in R (version 4.3.1) with base statistical

packages and with the 'vegan' and 'usedist' packages (Bittinger 2020; Oksanen *et al.* 2022). We

examined how taxonomic diversity varied across land uses and cities by calculating alpha-

(richness and Shannon Diversity Index) and beta- diversity (Bray-curtis dissimilarity), followed

by ANOVA and Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc test or by permutational

multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) to test for statistically significant differences in

alpha- and beta-diversity, respectively. Diversity metrics were independently calculated based

on the distribution of microbial orders (for microbial taxonomy) and KO (for microbial functional

potential). Then, we individually compared how taxonomic and functional composition changed

with geographic distance, using the Haversine dissimilarity matrix within and across cities, using

Mantel tests. Relative changes in taxonomic and functional composition were assessed using

Mantel test of Bray Curtis dissimilarity for microbial taxonomy vs. functional potential.

Additionally, we visualized data in the following ways. For visualizations of read-based

taxonomy, we first generated phylogenetic trees using phyloT v2 (phyloT : a phylogenetic tree

generator), an online tree generator based on the Genome Taxonomy Database. Then, we

visualized the tree in R using the packages, 'ggtree' (Yu *et al.* 2017), 'treeio' (Wang *et al.* 2020),

and 'ggnewscale' (Campitelli 2020). We generated heatmaps using the 'pheatmap' package

(Kolde 2019). Distributions of shared and unique taxa and KO across cities were visualized

using the 'ComplexUpset' package in R (Krassowski 2020; Lex *et al.* 2020). All other

visualizations were generated with 'ggplot2' (Wickham 2016).

Results

Microbial Diversity of Urban Soils

When comparing diversity metrics across cities, the Baltimore soil microbiome appeared

to be distinct. The taxonomic diversity of soil microbiomes in Baltimore was both higher (alpha)

and distinct (beta) compared to other cities (Figure 2). Excluding reference soils, taxonomic

richness was nearly two-fold higher in Baltimore soils than all other cities (261.46, vs. 120.57-

172.20, P < 0.001) and Shannon diversity followed a similar trend (**H'**=3.77, vs. **H'**=0.80-1.87, P

< 0.001, Figure 2). Baltimore soils also had lower functional richness compared to Budapest

and Potchefstroom (mean = 2,189.636 vs. 3440.714 and 3325.067 unique KO per sample, P=

0.021 and 0.040, respectively) and the lowest Shannon diversity index when compared to all

other metropolitan areas (**H'**= 7.110, **H'** range= 7.669-7.816, P<0, Figure 2). Taxonomic and

functional composition in the Baltimore soil microbiome was also distinct when compared with

other cities (PERMANOVA, both with and without reference soils P < 0.001, Figure 2).

Highly urbanized land uses in most cities contained distinct soil microbiomes relative to

reference soils. Though there was no significant change in taxonomic or functional alpha

diversity metrics within urban land uses (ruderal, remnant, turf) and only slight differences in

alpha diversity between urban and reference land uses (Figure 2); soil microbiomes associated

with the most highly urbanized land use (ruderal) were distinct from reference soils with respect

to taxonomy and/or functional potential in Baltimore, Potchefstroom, and Helsinki

(PERMANOVA, P = 0.02, 0.02, and 0.09 for taxonomy and P = 0.02, 0.18, and 0.01 for

functional potential, respectively).

We then tested relationships between microbial diversity (taxonomic and functional) and

geographic position, and between taxonomic and functional dissimilarity, to assess the extent to

which taxonomic and functional attributes of urban microbial communities were coordinated

through space (Figure 3). When examining soils from all cities collectively, both taxonomic and

functional dissimilarity increased with geographic distance (P= 0.01), an effect that was present

both with and without the inclusion of reference soils. For each city individually, neither

taxonomic nor functional diversity varied significantly with geographic distance within urban land

uses in any city (P > 0.10). We obtained similar results when including reference soils, where

the only significant correlations with distance were found in Baltimore (P = 0.04, 0.05 for

taxonomy and functional potential, respectively). However, taxonomic and functional

composition were partially coupled across all cities collectively (all P = 0.01, with and without

reference soils) and within Budapest, Helsinki, and Potchefstroom (all P=0.01, with and without

reference soils).

Two hundred fifty-seven microbial orders were common to soil microbiomes across all

cities (hereafter, 'core' organisms, Figure 4). Although most of these orders were also contained

within reference soils, they exhibited clear increases in abundance from reference to more

urbanized soils (Figure 5, Figure S1). Among core urban microbial orders, eight bacterial and

two archaeal orders had greater than 50% of their total abundance contained within ruderal soils

(the most urban land use type, unclassified Candidatus Saccharibacteria, unclassified

Crenarchaeota, Caldisericales, Clostridiales, Desulfurellales, Petrotogales, Micrococcales,

Archaeoglobales, Chlamydiales, and unclassified Firmicutes), with low abundance in reference

soils (0-15% for eight orders and 17-29% for the remaining two orders; Table S1). Eleven more

orders (incl. seven archaeal orders) had more than 50% of their total abundance contained

within turf soils, with low corresponding abundance in reference soils (<12% of total abundance

contained within reference soils, Aeromonadales. Caulobacterales, Burkholderiales, Candidatus

Nitrosocaldales, Methanopyrales, unclassified Thermoplasmata, Nitrosopumilales, unclassified

Aigarchaeota, unclassified Calescamantes, Thermoplasmatales, unclassified Thaumarchaeota).

Overall (regardless of presence in reference soils), the most abundant shared orders of bacteria

included Enterobacterales, Burkholderiales, Lactobacillales, Bacillales, Rhizobiales,

Micrococcales, Caulobacterales, Acidobacteriales, Clostridiales, and Pseudomonadales.

Core urban archaeal orders were generally more evenly distributed across reference

and urban land uses, however, several taxa still appeared to be more prevalent in urbanized

soils. No core orders were found only in urban land uses, but 7 of 18 core archaeal orders were

at least twice as abundant in ruderal vs. reference soils: Methanopyrales, Nitrosopumilales,

Nitrososphaerales, Thermoproteales, Thermoplasmatales, Archaeoglobales, and

Methanocellales. Overall (regardless of presence in reference soils), Nitrososphaerales was by

far the most abundant shared archaeal order, followed by other nitrogen- and methane-cycling

(Nitrosopumilales, Methanosarcinales, Methanomicrobiales, Methanobacteriales) and salt-

tolerant archaea (Halobacteriales, Haloferacales, Natrialbales). Interestingly, after excluding

reference soils, Baltimore soils displayed five times as many auxiliary (i.e., not in core

microbiome) microbial orders as any other city (25 vs. five or less in all other cities; Figure 4).

Further, we found 3,667 KO shared across urban land uses in all cities (Figure 4).

Regardless of their presence in reference soils, the top 10 most abundant core KO encoded

proteins that are associated with generalized cell functions (K07347 outer membrane usher

protein, K01992, K02004, and K01990 ABC-2 type transport system permease and ATP-binding

proteins, K02529 LacI family transcriptional regulator, galactose operon repressor, K03088

polymerase sigma-70 factor ECF subfamily, K01652 acetolactate synthase I/II/III large subunit

[EC:2.2.1.6], K07497 putative transposase), notably including two metal-dependent functions:

K02014 (iron complex outer membrane receptor protein) and K02035 (peptide/nickel transport

system substrate-binding protein).

When narrowing these potential functions to those not widely contained within reference

soils, our results point to a core set of 111 gene annotations that were found in urban land uses

in all five cities and in less than 5% of reference soils. In particular, 29 KO were common to

urbanized land in all cities but were not found in any reference soil. Of these, 12 were found in

at least 25% of soils from the most urbanized land use type (ruderal). This included 4 genes

associated with nitrogen cycling (K02591 *nifK*, nitrogenase molybdenum-iron protein beta chain,

K02586 *nifD*, nitrogenase molybdenum-iron protein alpha chain, K02588 *nifH*, nitrogenase iron

protein NifH, K12256 *spuC* putrescine---pyruvate transaminase [EC:2.6.1.113]). In addition to

the two Mo-dependent genes related to N cycling (*nifK* and *nifD*), five other genes in this group

are closely associated with heavy metals, contaminants, and/or microbial stress responses

(K05297, rubredoxin---NAD+ reductase; K18601 aldehyde dehydrogenase [EC:1.2.1.-]; K07231

putative iron-regulated protein, K03332, *fruA*, fructan beta-fructosidase [EC:3.2.1.80], K01960,

pycB pyruvate carboxylase subunit B). The three remaining genes were associated with

common cellular functions (K19123 *casA*, CRISPR system Cascade subunit CasA, K03041,

rpoA1, DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit A' [EC:2.7.7.6], K10726, replicative DNA

helicase Mcm [EC:5.6.2.3]).

If we further extend this analysis to urban soil KO found in less than 5% of reference

samples, we generate an additional 82 KO for consideration, of which 34 are found in more than

25% of soils from the most urbanized land use type (Table S1). Notable KO include: K08352

(thiosulfate reductase/polysulfide reductase chain A [EC:1.8.5.5], in 74% of ruderal soils);

K13794 (LysR family transcriptional regulator, regulatory protein for *tcuABC*, in 70% of ruderal

soils); K00869 and K00938 (mevalonate kinase [EC:2.7.1.36] and phosphomevalonate kinase

[EC:2.7.4.2], in 48% and 39%); K02587 and K02229 (*nifE*, nitrogenase molybdenum-cofactor

synthesis protein NifE, and *cobG*, precorrin-3B synthase [EC:1.14.13.83], in 44% and 26%);

K00520 and K08365 (mercuric reductase [EC:1.16.1.1] and MerR family transcriptional

regulator, mercuric resistance operon regulatory protein, in 44% and 26%); K18833 (MFS

transporter, DHA3 family, multidrug efflux protein, in 35%); K09819 (manganese/iron transport

system permease protein, in 26%); K00596 (2,2-dialkylglycine decarboxylase (pyruvate), in

30%); genes related to fructose/fructan (K10554, *frcA* fructose transport system ATP-binding

protein and K00895 diphosphate-dependent phosphofructokinase [EC:2.7.1.90], in 30% and

30%), K16876 (2-furoate---CoA ligase [EC:6.2.1.31], in 26%); genes related to glycerol

contamination (K17325 glycerol transport system ATP-binding protein in 30%, K00712 *tagE*

poly(glycerol-phosphate) alpha-glucosyltransferase [EC:2.4.1.52] in 26%, K17322 *glpP* glycerol

transport system permease protein in 26%), signatures of viral infection (K01186, sialidase-1

[EC:3.2.1.18] in 26%, K19046, *casB*, CRISPR system Cascade subunit CasB, in 39%), some

uncharacterized functions, and several functions related to amino acid transport and

metabolism.

Despite having the fewest auxiliary functional genes, Baltimore soils harbored a distinctly

unique microbiome enriched in genes associated with heavy metal resistance and toxin

processing, in contrast to other cities where auxiliary functions were dominated by common

cellular processes. Excluding reference soils, Lahti soils had the most auxiliary microbial KO at

2895, followed by Budapest (2190), Helsinki (2104), Potchefstroom (2053), and Baltimore

(1122). While the auxiliary microbiome of Baltimore soils contained the fewest potential

functions of any city, it was also mostly unique in comparison to other cities. The most abundant

of these auxiliary potential functions is thought to convey heavy metal resistance (K15725, outer

membrane protein, heavy metal efflux system). Other highly abundant auxiliary functions in

Baltimore soils were also associated with metals or other toxins, such as: K01578 MLYCD,

malonyl-CoA decarboxylase [EC:4.1.1.9], K15855, exo-1,4-beta-D-glucosaminidase

[EC:3.2.1.165], K01577 oxc, oxalyl-CoA decarboxylase [EC:4.1.1.8], K04108 4-hydroxybenzoyl-

CoA reductase subunit gamma [EC:1.1.7.1],and K01301 N-acetylated-alpha-linked acidic

dipeptidase [EC:3.4.17.21]. In contrast, the auxiliary microbiome of other cities was mostly

comprised of common cellular processes (K01223, K02761, K07345, K02073, K03475).

Discussion

Urban centers are increasing in extent and population density, in turn disturbing soil

microbiomes and the biogeochemical processes that they mediate (Christel *et al.* 2023). Soil

microbial functions are an essential component of global biogeochemical cycles, as

microorganisms regulate soil C storage and respiration (Gougoulias, Clark and Shaw 2014).

Here, we used 89 soil metagenomes from the GLUSEEN network to evaluate patterns in the

taxonomic and functional potential of urban soil microbiomes. We extend the work of Epp

Schmidt by revealing a suite of core urban microorganisms and metabolisms related to (1) trace

and heavy metals, (2) fructose/fructan, (3) viral infection, and (4) glycerol; in addition to N

303 cycling processes that have been reported previously. These novel findings present a basis for

304 understanding and modeling the ways in which urban biogeochemical cycles are distinct from

305 natural ecosystems and for sustainably managing growing population centers.

306 *Taxonomy and Functional Potential of Urban Soil Microbiomes*

307  We found biogeographical patterns in the urban soil microbiome that align with

308 ecological processes such as microbial niche diversification (urban mosaic hypothesis) and

309 environmental filtering (urban convergence hypothesis). There was a great array of functional

310 potential relative to the amount of taxonomic diversity present in the urban soil microbiome

311 (Figure 2). High functional diversity lends support for the urban mosaic hypothesis, which

312 proposes that microbial diversity increases with urbanization as a result of interacting selective

313 pressures like land use, nutrients, pollution, and other factors on microbiomes (Christel *et al.*

314 2023; Scholier *et al.* 2023). Under the urban mosaic hypotheses, potential microbial functions,

315 and in some cases taxonomic composition, expand to occupy new niche space opened by

316 anthropogenic activity. For example, Mo, et al. and Scholier, et al. (2024; 2023) reported

317 increased soil microbiome alpha diversity in anthropogenic areas compared to natural areas.

318 Taxonomic similarities, on the other hand, have been more widely reported across cities

319 (McKinney 2006; Luck and Smallbone 2010; Danko *et al.* 2021; Lokatis and Jeschke 2022).

320 This provides evidence towards the urban convergence hypothesis, where physical

321 characteristics of the urban environment drive microbial similarities, and supports the existence

322 of a core urban microbiome. In our study, we observed comparatively low taxonomic diversity in

323 urban soils (Figure 2) and a clustering of microbiomes from highly urbanized land uses across

324 cities (Figure 2, NMDS) that lends support for common microbial taxa and functional potential

325 across five vastly different cities. This is consistent with findings in urban greenspaces where

326 despite greater functional diversity, microbial taxonomies are relatively homogenized (Delgado-

327 Baquerizo, Eldridge and Yu-Rong 2021; Mo *et al.* 2024).

Interestingly, Baltimore appeared to have a distinct soil microbiome relative to all other

urban centers. It had the highest taxonomic diversity but also the least functional diversity as

compared to other cities (which had similar diversity, Figure 2). Baltimore was also the only city

that showed a sizable increase in taxonomic diversity in more urban (turf and ruderal) vs. more

pristine (reference and remnant) soils (Figure 2). We posit that the influence of environmental

factors on soil microbiomes may be particularly important in Baltimore, which has a long history

of industrialization, leaky sewage infrastructure, and high pollution levels (Yesilonis, Pouyat and

Neerchal 2008; Dickerson *et al.* 2024; *Baltimore's Wastewater Treatment Plants*). For example,

Baltimore has been shown to display a dramatic urban heat island effect with increased

temperatures along an urban gradient (Corpuz *et al.* 2024) and has reportedly high levels of

lead and other pollutants (Yesilonis, Pouyat and Neerchal 2008; Schwarz, Pouyat and Yesilonis

2016). Each of these factors may both exert unique selective pressures on microbial functions

and vary substantially at the local scale. They may also lead to the emergence of genetic

potential that is not well-described in microbial communities (i.e., microbial dark matter), which

would result in the suppression of detectable functional potential observed in this study. While

the reasons driving different patterns in microbial taxonomic and functional diversity in Baltimore

are unknown, its distinctive patterns suggest further investigation into the unique taxonomic and

functional potential its soil microbiomes contain.

Spatial variation in functional potential and taxonomic composition across the urban soil

microbiome

While the mixture of diverse land uses within cities may obscure patterns based on

space alone, the low magnitude of compositional changes within and across cities further

underscores the existence of a core urban microbiome. Differences between urban and

reference soils suggest that these core organisms and functions are distinct from more natural

environments (Figure 3). We found that urban soil microbiome composition and functional

diversity tended to be consistently structured through space, consistent with previous work on

this dataset (Epp Schmidt *et al.* 2019). For each individual city, neither taxonomic nor functional

diversity varied significantly across spatial distances. Across cities, both taxonomic and

functional dissimilarity showed some correlation with spatial distances, 33.7% and 34.6%

respectively (Figure 3). This variation in microbial community composition across cities was

likely due to overarching drivers such as soil mineralogy, pH, weather, and other abiotic factors

that are known to influence soil microbiomes (Brockett, Prescott and Grayston 2012; Cao *et al.*

2016; Wang *et al.* 2019; Widdig *et al.* 2020).

Interestingly, microbial taxonomic composition and functional potential were partially

decoupled from each other and showed different biogeographical relationships (Figure 3).

Taxonomic composition was more variable than functional potential through space, both within

and across cities (as indicated by flatter slopes on Figure 3), and was virtually uncorrelated to

functional potential in Lahti and Baltimore. Microbial functional potential is expected to be

somewhat correlated to taxonomic composition due to phylogenetic conservation of microbial

traits, which can lead to similar responses to environmental factors like substrate availability and

climatic variables (Martiny, Treseder and Pusch 2013). However, if ecological selection

operates on microbial genomic elements that are exchanged between organisms or if selection

operates on unrelated genes and organisms, shifts in microbial taxonomy may not be

coordinated with changes in genomic potential (Knelman and Nemergut 2014; Bier *et al.* 2015;

Graham *et al.* 2016; Graham and Knelman 2023). We posit that the diversity of factors such as

available substrates, carbon sources, and/or pollutants in the urban environment may lead to

variation in gene presence and abundance despite taxonomic convergence. Given the usage of

microbial taxa as biomarkers of ecosystem health (Dubey *et al.* 2019) and as engineering

solutions (Bala *et al.* 2022), the disconnect between taxonomy and potential function observed

here for urban soils is an important consideration in sustainable management practices.

Ubiquitous (core) vs. auxiliary taxa and metabolisms in global cities

Examining the core urban microbiome provides insight into the microorganisms that are

likely to mediate the biogeochemical cycling of key nutrients, greenhouse gasses, and pollutants

in urban soils. Bacterial orders that were ubiquitous across cities and urban land covers relative

to reference soils were typified by microorganisms usually associated with plant health,

rhizosphere functions, and antibiotic and/or contaminant production and consumption (Figure 5,

Figure S1). For example, Enterobacterales and Rhizobiales reside in the root systems of plants

and some members have been found to maintain plant health under abiotic stress (Garrido-Oter

et al. 2018; Andrés-Barrao *et al.* 2021). *Bacillus*, a common microorganism in the Bacillales

order, is also ubiquitous in soils and promotes plant health through nutrient cycling and

pathogen protection (Garbeva, van Veen and van Elsas 2003; Liu *et al.* 2019). With regards to

antibiotic and contaminant metabolism, some members in the Enterobacterales order (e.g.,

Enterobacteria) harbor increased antibiotic resistance genes in urban centers, while others are

human pathogens (e.g., *Salmonella*, *Escherichia*, *Shigella*). Burkholderiales have also been

shown to participate in pentachlorophenol degradation, a chemical used as a pesticide that

persists in soil and has been coupled to nitrogen, sulfate, and iron reduction (Tong *et al.* 2015;

Kang *et al.* 2018; Fresia *et al.* 2019); while Clostridiales and Caulobacterales are commonly

associated with a wide range of contaminants (Abbasian *et al.* 2016; Thomas and Cébron

2016). Like bacteria, ubiquitous urban archaea have been previously associated with nitrogen

and methane cycling and/or salt tolerance (Fenn *et al.* 2003; Wang *et al.* 2013; Asabere *et al.*

2018; Strokal *et al.* 2021). While we cannot directly assess the coupling of these urban

organisms with trace metals, pollutants, and/or harsh conditions using the data available in this

study, their high plasticity and potential to acquire new genes (Brunder and Karch 2000; Justice

et al. 2008; Liu *et al.* 2019) may make them a key focus for future research.

We also report core soil microorganisms with greater than 50% of their abundance

contained in ruderal soils and corresponding low abundance in reference soils as organisms for

deeper investigation (Figure 5, Figure S1). We focus on ruderal soils because they represent

the most urbanized land cover type investigated here. First, Saccharibacteria has been reported

to be a key member isolated from activated sludge (Ferrari *et al.* 2014; Kindaichi *et al.* 2016)

and is often found in soil and soil-like environments. Members of Saccharibacteria are able to

metabolize diverse compounds, putatively conveying an ability to thrive in a polluted urban

environment (Kindaichi *et al.* 2016). Saccharibacteria are a common constituent of the human

oral microbiome which may also explain its presence in urban environments that have high

human populations (Bor *et al.* 2019). Members of Clostridiales and other Firmicutes are also

commonly associated with contaminant degradation, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

(PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and phthalates (PAEs) that are of key interest to

urban ecosystems because of their detrimental impacts on human health and long residence

times (Wang *et al.* 2013; Quero *et al.* 2015; Kumar *et al.* 2020; Liu *et al.* 2021; Lin *et al.* 2023).

Finally, many core urban soil microorganisms that were enriched in ruderal soils are thought to

be thermophilic, including Caldisericales, Desulfurellales, and Petrotogales, in addition to having

associations with various environmental contaminants. These organisms may therefore be more

tolerant to higher temperatures associated with urban heat islands than their mesophilic

counterparts (Heaviside, Macintyre and Vardoulakis 2017). The prevalence of these taxa and

other taxa with related reported functions in urban soils are suggestive of an urban soil

microbiome that may be at least partially adapted to pollutants and extreme temperatures

common to urban areas.

When extending our analysis to include urban turf (50% of total abundance contained in

turf soils with low corresponding abundance in reference soils), we note an overrepresentation

of archaea, along with some of the previously discussed bacterial clades associated with

contaminant cycling (e.g., Caulobacterales, Burkholderiales). Archaea may be particularly well-

suited to turf soils due to their abilities to thrive in extreme environments. Urban turf is subjected

to a unique set of stressors including fertilization, irrigation, mowing, chemical applications for

weed control and other uses, and high temperatures from lack of tree canopy cover. Indeed,

many of the core archaeal clades enriched in turf are associated with nitrogen cycling

(Candidatus Nitrosocaldales, Nitrosopumilales, unclassified Thaumarchaeota), anaerobic

metabolisms (Methanopyrales), and/or heat tolerance (unclassified Thermoplasmata,

Thermoplasmatales, unclassified Aigarchaeota; Pester, Schleper and Wagner 2011; Hedlund *et*

al. 2015; Qin *et al.* 2017; Kallistova *et al.* 2023; Sriaporn *et al.* 2023; Zheng *et al.* 2024).

When considering core functions encoded by the urban soil microbiome, we found a

plethora of metal-dependent genes and/or genes related to metal cycling (Table S1). We

highlight a suite of genes that were found in all cities, no reference soils, and at least 25% of

ruderal soils (Table S2). Many iron-related functions fell in this category including: K05297,

rubredoxin---NAD+ reductase, containing a central iron atom, and K07231, a putative iron-

regulated protein, K09819, a manganese/iron transport system permease protein. In a study by

Goff, et al. (2022), multi-metal contamination led to disruption in iron homeostasis, essential for

most microbes, which could be a consequence of interest for urban environments (Andrews,

Robinson and Rodríguez-Quiñones 2003). Furthermore, although N cycling genes have been

reported previously, we note the dependence of N-cycling genes in the core urban soil

microbiome on molybdenum, in particular, *nifK*, *nifD*, and *nifE.* Molybdenum is a known urban

contaminant with sources including industrialization and fertilization (Lane *et al.* 2013; Wong *et*

al. 2021; Tepanosyan, Yenokyan and Sahakyan 2023). These genes display a functional

potential for adaptive response to an anthropogenic environment where greater concentrations

of metals and contaminants are present.

When we extended our analysis to genes found in all cities and present in more than

25% of ruderal soils and less than 5% of reference soils, we found further evidence for the role

of industrial processes in structuring the urban soil microbiome. Both *phsA* and *psrA*, met this

threshold and are involved in thiosulfate reductase, which is important in cycling of metals in the

environment (Burns and DiChristina 2009). Specifically, *phsA* is required for hydrogen sulfide

production, which is toxic to both humans and the environment (Kourtidis, Kelesis and

Petrakakis 2008; Quist and Johnston 2023). Additionally, MerA (K00520 mercuric reductase

[EC:1.16.1.1]), encoded by the *mer* operon, was found in 43% of ruderal samples. MerA is a

protein encoding a subunit in the mercuric reductase enzyme, allowing organisms to survive in

the presence of high mercury (Freedman, Zhu and Barkay 2012). Within the same operon,

MerR (K08365), a mercuric resistance operon regulatory protein, was found in ruderal soils at

26% (Boyd and Barkay 2012). Fossil fuel combustion has led to increased mercury pollution in

the environment, which is expected to continue to rise, and is also highly toxic to humans and

the environment (United Nations 2019; Gworek, Dmuchowski and Baczewska-Dąbrowska 2020;

Zhang *et al.* 2021).

We also found a suite of genes associated with fructose and glycerol metabolism, and

with cellular infection and replication, in more than 25% of ruderal soils and less than 5% of

reference soils. While these genes are central to basic metabolic functions in many

microorganisms, their disproportionate presence in urban soils raises questions surrounding

additional functions these genes may serve in more polluted environments. Fructose, for

example, is commonly implicated in plant-microbiome interactions in agricultural and natural

soils (Klepek *et al.* 2010; Sasse, Martinoia and Northen 2018; Chen *et al.* 2023; Tharanath,

Upendra and Rajendra 2024). However, the urban environment includes additional sources of

fructose, such as food waste enriched in high fructose corn syrup (Duffey and Popkin 2008;

Pang *et al.* 2021; Martianto *et al.* 2024; Parsa *et al.* 2024) and industrial byproducts including

furfural (Chemical Substances Bureau 2008), that may place a greater importance on fructose

cycling in urban soils than more natural soils. We specifically highlight *fruA* (K03332), *frcA*

(K10554), *pfp* (K00895), and *hmfD* (K16876), as potential areas for future research. Likewise,

glycerol is a major component of manufactured products like fertilizers, soaps, cosmetics,

antifreeze solutions, and pharmaceuticals, in addition to its natural occurrences (Gerpen 2005;

Hájek and Skopal 2010; Pinto and De Araujo Mota 2014). In particular, *glpT* (K17325), *glpP*

(K17322), and *tagE* (K00712), may be disproportionately involved in the cycling of these urban

waste products in urban soils versus central metabolic processes. Finally, we elucidate three

cellular maintenance genes that could be signatures of heightened viral activity in urban soils –

K19123 *casA*, CRISPR system Cascade subunit CasA, K03041, *rpoA1*, DNA-directed RNA

polymerase subunit A' [EC:2.7.7.6], K10726, replicative DNA helicase Mcm [EC:5.6.2.3]. While

the exact reason for the enrichment of these genes in urban soils is unknown, soil viral ecology

is rapidly progressing to the forefront of microbial ecology due to the potential for soil viral

impacts on human health and soil biogeochemistry (Neri *et al.* 2022; Roux and Emerson 2022;

Graham *et al.* 2023; Jansson and Wu 2023; Zimmerman *et al.* 2024). The enrichment of

putative viral signatures in urban soils further underscores the importance of new investigations

into soil viral ecology within urban centers.

Despite many commonalities in urban soil microbiomes, Baltimore soils strikingly

contained some unique potential functions that may signify a greater impact of anthropogenic

processes on microbial communities in this city. Baltimore's unique functional potential

supported diverse genes associated with metal cycling and aromatic degradation (Table S2).

Genes unique to Baltimore include K15725, the *czcCBA* efflux system, which is found to play a

role in resistance to cobalt, zinc, and cadmium (Nies 2003), and K04108 4-hydroxybenzoyl-CoA

reductase, which is found in anaerobic phenol metabolism for benzene degradation (Breese and

Fuchs 1998). We therefore posit that cities that have a relatively recent legacy of industrial

pollution and fossil fuel combustion, like Baltimore, may harbor organic contaminants (An *et al.*

2013; Gieg, Fowler and Berdugo-Clavijo 2014; Tan *et al.* 2015) that drive distinct soil functional

potential relative to cities with more distant industrial eras or stronger environmental regulations.

Future Directions.

Our research brings to light several exciting avenues for future research into urban

ecology and biogeochemistry. Efforts to understand core urban microorganisms and functions

will benefit from additional sampling campaigns to capture spatial and temporal fluctuations

within microbial communities and from methodologies that describe soil microbiome

composition and function in more detail. In particular, transitioning from read-based taxonomy to

amplicon-based methodologies, or increasing sequencing depth, will likely improve the breadth

and resolution of taxonomic identification, providing deeper insights into the microorganisms

driving urban soil biogeochemistry. Investigating microbial gene expression through

metatranscriptomics or metaproteomics, particularly focusing on the functions identified in this

study, will also deepen our understanding of microbial roles within urban ecosystems. Finally,

expanding sample collection to encompass a broader range of cities and intra-city locations, as

well as temporal fluctuations, will ensure a more comprehensive and representative dataset.

These advances can not only refine current ecological models but also provide a robust

foundation for hypothesis-driven research and ecosystem management strategies.

Conclusion

Studies into the unique organisms and functions contained within the urban soil

microbiome are essential as the human population continues to expand. Here, we conducted a

re-analysis of 66 urban and reference soil microbiomes using metagenomic sequences from the

Global Urban Soil Environment Ecology Network (GLUSEEN). Building upon previous work by

Epp Schmidt, et al. (2017, 2019), we revealed a set of core microorganisms associated with the

urban soil environment and uncovered a set of potential biogeochemical functions that were

overrepresented in urban soils relative to more pristine environments. These included genes

associated with industrial byproducts, trace and heavy metal cycling, and glycerol and

fructose/fructan metabolism; as well as N-cycling genes previously suggested by Epp Schmidt,

et al. (2017, 2019). While we hypothesized that changes in urban soil microbiome taxonomic

and functional diversity would be correlated to each other, our results suggested that soil

microbial taxonomy was more likely to vary within and across cities than functional potential.

Baltimore soils, in particular, had a distinct soil microbiome with functions related to

contaminants and heavy metal cycling, that may reflect a more recent history of industrial

activity and could be a key insight in maintaining healthy urban ecosystems (Pataki *et al.* 2011).

Overall, our synthesis reveals unique and ubiquitous features of the urban soil microbiome that

provide a basis for further studies on the effects urbanization has on soil and human health.

Funding.

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of

Science, Biological and Environmental Research program Early Career award to EBG.

Acknowledgements.

The work was performed by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, operated by Battelle

Memorial Institute for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830. We

thank the Global Urban Soil Environment Ecology Network for providing publicly available data

upon which this publication is based. We also thank Caroline G. Simonsen, William L. Peterson,

Saige G. Smith, and James Kourum for guidance in drafting this publication.

Conflict of Interest.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

 Figure Captions.

Figure 1. Geographic distribution and land use/land cover of urban soil microbiomes. All soils

are from locations with a built area land use/land cover classification according to ESRI (Kontgis

et al., 2017; 2021). Cities are colored by land use/land cover categories, and sampling locations

are denoted by black dots. Land use/land cover distributions for each city are derived from

Environmental Systems Research Institute, ESRI (2017 data; Kontgis et al., 2017; 2021). Land

use analyses were performed in QGIS 3.32.3-Lima equipped with a semi-automatic

classification plug-in.

Figure 2. Alpha and beta diversity of microbial taxonomy and KO. Shannon Diversity Index (A)

and Non-metric MultiDimensional Scaling (NMDS) for each sampling location for taxonomic

order (B) and KO (C), colored by city and with distinct shapes for treatment. The top 10 most

abundant (D) metabolic pathways are shown with stacked bar plots. In (A), letters represent

statistically distinct groupings (P=<0.05). In (B) geographic location accounts for 43% of the

variation seen for taxonomic order and 33% of the variation seen for KO (P=0.001,

respectively).

Figure 3. Correlations between urban soil microbiome taxonomy, functional potential, and

geographic distance. (A-C) show correlations within individual cities, denoted by color. (A) and

(B) show dissimilarity in the composition of KO's and microbial order, respectively, vs.

geographic distance. (C) shows KO vs. taxonomic dissimilarity. (D) and (E) show dissimilarity in

the composition of KO's and microbial order, respectively, vs. geographic distance across all

cities. For all plots, geographic dissimilarity is calculated using Haversine distances, and KO

and taxonomic dissimilarity are calculated with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Statistical significance

was determined using Mantel tests. Taxonomy is grouped at the order-level for all analyses.

Figure 4. Microbial organisms and functional potential across cities. (A) and (B) show UpSet

plots of microbial taxonomy (order-level) and functional potential (KO) respectively. Sets of

intersections between cities are shown by dots on the x-axis. The number of shared (A)

microbial orders or (B) KO for each intersection is denoted by bar height. Insets show the total

number of microbial orders or KO per city.

Figure 5. Ubiquitous urban soil bacteria. (A) Phylogenetic tree of soil bacteria found across all

five cities. Color bars denote phyla. Grayscale heatmap shows the normalized abundance of

each bacterial order in urban soils (i.e., all soils except reference soils). (B) Cleveland dot plot

showing the percent of soils containing each microbial order in each soil type. The mean across

all samples is set at zero (black line) and over/underrepresentation is denoted by dots further

away from the center line. Land use type is depicted by colors, warmer colors indicate more

urban land cover. (C) Histograms showing the distribution of data in (B) for each land cover

type. The vertical gray line represents zero deviation from the mean of all samples (equivalent

to the black line in C). The mean of each land cover type is shown with a dashed vertical line of

that color. Histograms with means to the right of 0 suggest an overrepresentation of selected

bacterial orders in the associated land cover type.

Figure 6. Selected metabolic pathways of urban soil microbiomes that are found in less than

5% of reference soils. Selected pathways were manually reconstructed from KEGG pathways

and are color-coded as indicated in the legend.

References.

[dataset]*

2-FURALDEHYDE (Furfural) SUMMARY RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT. The Netherlands:
Chemical Substances Bureau, 2008.

Abbasian F, Lockington R, Megharaj M *et al.* The Biodiversity Changes in the Microbial
Population of Soils Contaminated with Crude Oil. *Curr Microbiol* 2016;**72**:663–70.

An D, Caffrey SM, Soh J *et al.* Metagenomics of Hydrocarbon Resource Environments Indicates
Aerobic Taxa and Genes to be Unexpectedly Common. *Environ Sci Technol*
2013;**47**:10708–17.

Andrés-Barrao C, Alzubaidy H, Jalal R *et al.* Coordinated bacterial and plant sulfur metabolism
in Enterobacter sp. SA187–induced plant salt stress tolerance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
2021;**118**:e2107417118.

Andrews SC, Robinson AK, Rodríguez-Quiñones F. Bacterial iron homeostasis. *FEMS Microbiol*
Rev 2003;**27**:215–37.

Asabere SB, Zeppenfeld T, Nketia KA *et al.* Urbanization Leads to Increases in pH, Carbonate,
and Soil Organic Matter Stocks of Arable Soils of Kumasi, Ghana (West Africa). *Front*
Environ Sci 2018;**6**, DOI: 10.3389/fenvs.2018.00119.

Bala S, Garg D, Thirumalesh BV *et al.* Recent Strategies for Bioremediation of Emerging
Pollutants: A Review for a Green and Sustainable Environment. *Toxics* 2022;**10**:484.

Baltimore's Wastewater Treatment Plants. https://www.cbf.org/about-
cbf/locations/maryland/issues/baltimores-wastewater-treatment-plants.html (February
21, 2025, date last accessed)

Benson AK, Kelly SA, Legge R *et al.* Individuality in gut microbiota composition is a complex
polygenic trait shaped by multiple environmental and host genetic factors. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A 2010;**107**:18933–8.

Bier RL, Bernhardt ES, Boot CM *et al.* Linking microbial community structure and microbial
processes: an empirical and conceptual overview. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2015;**91**:fiv113.

Bittinger K. usedist: Distance Matrix Utilities. 2020.

Bor B, Bedree JK, Shi W *et al.* Saccharibacteria (TM7) in the Human Oral Microbiome. *J Dent*
Res 2019;**98**:500–9.

Boyd E, Barkay T. The Mercury Resistance Operon: From an Origin in a Geothermal
Environment to an Efficient Detoxification Machine. *Front Microbiol* 2012;**3**, DOI:
10.3389/fmicb.2012.00349.

Breese K, Fuchs G. 4-Hydroxybenzoyl-CoA reductase (dehydroxylating) from the denitrifying
bacterium Thauera aromatica. *Eur J Biochem* 1998;**251**:916–23.

Brockett B, Prescott C, Grayston S. Soil Moisture is the Major Factor Influencing Microbial
Community Structure and Enzyme Activities Across Seven Biogeoclimatic Zones in
Western Canada. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2012;**44**:9–20.

Brunder W, Karch H. Genome plasticity in Enterobacteriaceae. *Int J Med Microbiol IJMM*
2000;**290**:153–65.

Burns JL, DiChristina TJ. Anaerobic Respiration of Elemental Sulfur and Thiosulfate by
Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 Requires psrA, a Homolog of the phsA Gene of
Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium LT2. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;**75**:5209–
17.

Bushnell B. BBMap. 2023.

Campitelli E. ggnewscale: Multiple Fill and Colour Scales in "ggplot2". 2020.

Cao H, Chen R, Wang L *et al.* Soil pH, total phosphorus, climate and distance are the major
factors influencing microbial activity at a regional spatial scale. *Sci Rep* 2016;**6**:25815.

Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P *et al.* GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the
Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* 2020;**36**:1925–7.

Chen J, Sun M, Xiao G *et al.* Starving the enemy: how plant and microbe compete for sugar on
the border. *Front Plant Sci* 2023;**14**, DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1230254.

Christel A, Dequiedt S, Chemidlin-Prevost-Bouré N *et al.* Urban land uses shape soil microbial
abundance and diversity. *Sci Total Environ* 2023;**883**:163455.

Clum A, Huntemann M, Bushnell B *et al.* DOE JGI Metagenome Workflow. *mSystems*
2021;**6**:e00804-20.

Corpuz B, Zaitchik B, Waugh D *et al.* Shifting Islands: How weather conditions and urban form
shape the spatiotemporal character of Baltimore's urban heat island. *Urban Clim*
2024;**56**:102058.

Danko D, Bezdan D, Afshin EE *et al.* A global metagenomic map of urban microbiomes and
antimicrobial resistance. *Cell* 2021;**184**:3376-3393.e17.

Delegan Y, Sushkova S, Minkina T *et al.* Diversity and Metabolic Potential of a PAH-Degrading
Bacterial Consortium in Technogenically Contaminated Haplic Chernozem, Southern
Russia. *Processes* 2022;**10**:2555.

Delgado-Baquerizo M, Eldridge DJ, Yu-Rong L. *Global Homogenization of the Structure and
Function in the Soil Microbiome of Urban Greenspaces | Science Advances*.
https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/sciadv.abg5809 (December 18, 2023, date last
accessed)

Dickerson RR, Stratton P, Ren X *et al.* Mobile laboratory measurements of air pollutants in
Baltimore, MD elucidate issues of environmental justice. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc*
2024.

Dubey A, Malla MA, Khan F *et al.* Soil microbiome: a key player for conservation of soil health
under changing climate. *Biodivers Conserv* 2019;**28**:2405–29.

Duffey KJ, Popkin BM. High-Fructose Corn Syrup: Is this what's for dinner? *Am J Clin Nutr*
2008;**88**:1722S-1732S.

Epp Schmidt DJ, Kotze DJ, Hornung E *et al.* Metagenomics Reveals Bacterial and Archaeal
Adaptation to Urban Land-Use: N Catabolism, Methanogenesis, and Nutrient
Acquisition. *Front Microbiol* 2019;**10**.

Epp Schmidt DJ, Pouyat R, Szlavecz K *et al.* Urbanization erodes ectomycorrhizal fungal
diversity and may cause microbial communities to converge. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2017;**1**:0123.

Fenn ME, Baron JS, Allen EB *et al.* Ecological Effects of Nitrogen Deposition in the Western
United States. *BioScience* 2003;**53**:404–20.

Fernández-Luqueño F, Valenzuela-Encinas C, Marsch R *et al.* Microbial communities to
mitigate contamination of PAHs in soil—possibilities and challenges: a review. *Environ*
Sci Pollut Res 2011;**18**:12–30.

Ferrari B, Winsley T, Ji M *et al.* Insights into the distribution and abundance of the ubiquitous
Candidatus Saccharibacteria phylum following tag pyrosequencing. *Sci Rep*
2014;**4**:3957.

Freedman Z, Zhu C, Barkay T. Mercury Resistance and Mercuric Reductase Activities and
Expression among Chemotrophic Thermophilic Aquificae. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
2012;**78**:6568–75.

Fresia P, Antelo V, Salazar C *et al.* Urban metagenomics uncover antibiotic resistance
reservoirs in coastal beach and sewage waters. *Microbiome* 2019;**7**:35.

Garbeva P, van Veen JA, van Elsas JD. Predominant Bacillus spp. in Agricultural Soil under
Different Management Regimes Detected via PCR-DGGE. *Microb Ecol* 2003;**45**:302–16.

Garrido-Oter R, Nakano RT, Dombrowski N *et al.* Modular Traits of the Rhizobiales Root
Microbiota and Their Evolutionary Relationship with Symbiotic Rhizobia. *Cell Host*
Microbe 2018;**24**:155-167.e5.

Gerpen JV. Biodiesel processing and production. *Fuel Process Technol* 2005;**86**:1097–107.

Gieg LM, Fowler SJ, Berdugo-Clavijo C. Syntrophic biodegradation of hydrocarbon
contaminants. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2014;**27**:21–9.

Gill AS, Purnell K, Palmer MI *et al.* Microbial Composition and Functional Diversity Differ Across
Urban Green Infrastructure Types. *Front Microbiol* 2020;**11**:912.

Goff JL, Chen Y, Thorgersen MP *et al.* Mixed heavy metal stress induces global iron starvation
response. *ISME J* 2022;**17**, DOI: 10.1038/s41396-022-01351-3.

Gougoulias C, Clark JM, Shaw LJ. The role of soil microbes in the global carbon cycle: tracking
the below-ground microbial processing of plant-derived carbon for manipulating carbon

dynamics in agricultural systems. *J Sci Food Agric* 2014;**94**:2362–71.

Graham EB, Camargo AP, Wu R *et al.* Global Biogeography of the Soil Virosphere.
2023:2023.11.02.565391.

Graham EB, Knelman JE. Implications of Soil Microbial Community Assembly for Ecosystem
Restoration: Patterns, Process, and Potential. *Microb Ecol* 2023;**85**:809–19.

Graham EB, Knelman JE, Schindlbacher A *et al.* Microbes as Engines of Ecosystem Function:
When Does Community Structure Enhance Predictions of Ecosystem Processes? *Front*
Microbiol 2016;**7**, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00214.

Gworek B, Dmuchowski W, Baczewska-Dąbrowska AH. Mercury in the terrestrial environment:
a review. *Environ Sci Eur* 2020;**32**:128.

Hájek M, Skopal F. Treatment of glycerol phase formed by biodiesel production. *Bioresour*
Technol 2010;**101**:3242–5.

Hamady M, Knight R. Microbial community profiling for human microbiome projects: Tools,
techniques, and challenges. *Genome Res* 2009;**19**:1141–52.

Heaviside C, Macintyre H, Vardoulakis S. The Urban Heat Island: Implications for Health in a
Changing Environment. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2017;**4**:296–305.

Hedlund BP, Murugapiran SK, Alba TW *et al.* Uncultivated thermophiles: current status and
spotlight on 'Aigarchaeota.' *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2015;**25**:136–45.

Herrmann DL, Schifman LA, Shuster WD. Urbanization drives convergence in soil profile texture
and carbon content. *Environ Res Lett* 2020;**15**:114001.

Jansson JK, Wu R. Soil viral diversity, ecology and climate change. *Nat Rev Microbiol*
2023;**21**:296–311.

Justice SS, Hunstad DA, Cegelski L *et al.* Morphological plasticity as a bacterial survival
strategy. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2008;**6**:162–8.

Kallistova AYu, Kosyakova AI, Rusanov II *et al.* Methane Production in a Temperate Freshwater
Lake during an Intense Cyanobacterial Bloom. *Microbiology* 2023;**92**:638–49.

Kanehisa M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Oxf Acad* 2000;**Nucleic**
Acids Research:27–30.

Kanehisa M. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular organisms. *Wiley Online*
Libr 2019;**Protein Science**:1947–51.

Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Y. Sato *et al.* KEGG for taxonomy-based analysis of pathways and
genomes. *Oxf Acad* 2023;**Nucleic Acids Research**:D587–92.

Kang E, Crouse A, Chevallier L *et al.* Enterobacteria and host resistance to infection. *Mamm*
Genome Off J Int Mamm Genome Soc 2018;**29**:558–76.

Karhu K, Auffret MD, Dungait JAJ *et al.* Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration rates

enhanced by microbial community response. *Nature* 2014;**513**:81–4.

Kettering J, Ruidisch M, Gaviria C *et al.* Fate of fertilizer 15N in intensive ridge cultivation with
plastic mulching under a monsoon climate. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosystems* 2013;**95**:57–72.

Kindaichi T, Yamaoka S, Uehara R *et al.* Phylogenetic diversity and ecophysiology of Candidate
phylum Saccharibacteria in activated sludge. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2016;**92**:fiw078.

Klepek Y-S, Volke M, Konrad KR *et al.* Arabidopsis thaliana POLYOL/MONOSACCHARIDE
TRANSPORTERS 1 and 2: fructose and xylitol/H+ symporters in pollen and young
xylem cells. *J Exp Bot* 2010;**61**:537–50.

Knelman JE, Nemergut DR. Changes in community assembly may shift the relationship
between biodiversity and ecosystem function. *Front Microbiol* 2014;**5**, DOI:
10.3389/fmicb.2014.00424.

Kolde R. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. 2019.

Kourtidis K, Kelesis A, Petrakakis M. Hydrogen sulfide (H2S) in urban ambient air. *Atmos*
Environ 2008;**42**:7476–82.

Krassowski M. ComplexUpset. 2020.

Kumar B, Verma VK, Joshi D *et al.* Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban and rural
residential soils, levels, composition profiles, source identification and health risk &
hazard. *SN Appl Sci* 2020;**2**:2007.

Lane S, Proemse BC, Tennant A *et al.* Concentration measurements and isotopic composition
of airborne molybdenum collected in an urban environment. *Anal Bioanal Chem*
2013;**405**:2957–63.

Lex A, Gehlenborg N, Strobelt H *et al. UpSet: Visualization of Intersecting Sets.*
IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics (InfoVis '14), 2020.

Lin W, Fan F, Xu G *et al.* Microbial community assembly responses to polycyclic aromatic
hydrocarbon contamination across water and sediment habitats in the Pearl River
Estuary. *J Hazard Mater* 2023;**457**:131762.

Liu J, Cui X, Liu Z *et al.* The Diversity and Geographic Distribution of Cultivable Bacillus-Like
Bacteria Across Black Soils of Northeast China. *Front Microbiol* 2019;**10**.

Liu Y, Huang Y-H, Lü H *et al.* Persistent contamination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
(PAHs) and phthalates linked to the shift of microbial function in urban river sediments. *J*
Hazard Mater 2021;**414**:125416.

Lokatis S, Jeschke JM. Urban biotic homogenization: Approaches and knowledge gaps. *Ecol*
Appl 2022;**32**:e2703.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014;**15**:550.

Luck G, Smallbone L. The impact of urbanization on taxonomic and functional similarity among

bird communities. *J Biogeogr* 2010;**38**:894–906.

Martianto D, Diana R, Baliwati YF *et al.* The quantity and composition of household food waste: Implications for policy. *PLOS ONE* 2024;**19**:e0305087.

Martiny AC, Treseder K, Pusch G. Phylogenetic conservatism of functional traits in microorganisms. *ISME J* 2013;**7**:830–8.

McClure R, Farris Y, Danczak R *et al.* Interaction Networks Are Driven by Community-Responsive Phenotypes in a Chitin-Degrading Consortium of Soil Microbes. *mSystems* 2022;**7**:e0037222.

McKinney ML. Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization. *Biol Conserv* 2006;**127**:247–60.

Mo L, Zanella A, Squartini A *et al.* Anthropogenic vs. natural habitats: Higher microbial biodiversity pays the trade-off of lower connectivity. *Microbiol Res* 2024;**282**:127651.

Nagendra H, Sudhira HS, Katti M *et al.* Urbanization and its Impacts on Land Use, Biodiversity and Ecosystems in India. *INTER Discip* 2014;**2**, DOI: 10.22201/ceiich.24485705e.2014.2.46532.

Naylor D, Sadler N, Bhattacharjee A *et al.* Soil Microbiomes Under Climate Change and Implications for Carbon Cycling. *Annu Rev Environ Resour* 2020;**45**:29–59.

Neri U, Wolf Y, Roux S *et al.* Expansion of the global RNA virome reveals diverse clades of bacteriophages. *Cell* 2022;**185**:4023–37.

Neu AT, Allen EE, Roy K. *Defining and Quantifying the Core Microbiome: Challenges and Prospects | PNAS*. https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.2104429118 (January 29, 2025, date last accessed)

Nies DH. Efflux-mediated heavy metal resistance in prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2003;**27**:313–39.

Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A *et al.* metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res* 2017;**27**:824–34.

Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG *et al.* vegan: Community Ecology Package. 2022.

Pang S, Song P, Sun X *et al.* Dietary fructose and risk of metabolic syndrome in Chinese residents aged 45 and above: results from the China National Nutrition and Health Survey. *Nutr J* 2021;**20**, DOI: 10.1186/s12937-021-00739-9.

Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW *et al. A Standardized Bacterial Taxonomy Based on Genome Phylogeny Substantially Revises the Tree of Life | Nature Biotechnology*. https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.4229#Sec27 (December 18, 2023, date last accessed)

Parsa A, Van De Wiel M, Schmutz U *et al.* Balancing people, planet, and profit in urban food waste management. *Sustain Prod Consum* 2024;**45**:203–15.

Pataki DE, Carreiro MM, Cherrier J *et al. Coupling Biogeochemical Cycles in Urban*
Environments: Ecosystem Services, Green Solutions, and Misconceptions - Pataki -
2011 - Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment - Wiley Online Library.
https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1890/090220 (March 21, 2024, date
last accessed)

Pauchard A, Aguayo M, Peña E *et al.* Multiple effects of urbanization on the biodiversity of
developing countries: The case of a fast-growing metropolitan area (Concepción, Chile).
Biol Conserv 2006;**127**:272–81.

Pereira MB, Wallroth M, Jonsson V *et al.* Comparison of normalization methods for the analysis
of metagenomic gene abundance data. *BMC Genomics* 2018;**19**:274.

Pester M, Schleper C, Wagner M. The Thaumarchaeota: an emerging view of their phylogeny
and ecophysiology. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2011;**14**:300–6.

phyloT : a phylogenetic tree generator.

Pickett STA, Cadenasso ML, Grove JM *et al.* Urban ecological systems: Scientific foundations
and a decade of progress. *J Environ Manage* 2011;**92**:331–62.

Pinto BP, De Araujo Mota CJ. Developments in glycerol byproduct-based biorefineries. In:
Waldron K (ed.), *Advances in Biorefineries*. Woodhead Publishing, 2014, 364–85.

Pouyat RV, Setälä H, Szlavecz K *et al.* Introducing GLUSEEN: a new open access and
experimental network in urban soil ecology. *J Urban Ecol* 2017;**3**:jux002.

Pouyat RV, Yesilonis ID, Dombos M *et al.* A Global Comparison of Surface Soil Characteristics
Across Five Cities: A Test of the Urban Ecosystem Convergence Hypothesis. *Soil Sci*
2015;**180**:136.

Qin W, Jewell TNM, Russell VV *et al.* Candidatus Nitrosocaldales. *Bergeys Man Syst Archaea*
Bact 2017.

Quero GM, Cassin D, Botter M *et al.* Patterns of benthic bacterial diversity in coastal areas
contaminated by heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and
polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). *Front Microbiol* 2015;**6**, DOI:
10.3389/fmicb.2015.01053.

Quist AJL, Johnston JE. Malodors as environmental injustice: health symptoms in the aftermath
of a hydrogen sulfide emergency in Carson, California, USA. *J Expo Sci Environ*
Epidemiol 2023:1–6.

Reinmann AB, Smith IA, Thompson JR *et al. Urbanization and Fragmentation Mediate*
Temperate Forest Carbon Cycle Response to Climate - IOPscience.
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/abbf16/meta (December 18, 2023,
date last accessed)

Ritchie H, Samborska V, Roser M. Urbanization. *Our World Data* 2024.

Rousk J, Bååth E, Brookes PC *et al.* Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient

in an arable soil. *ISME J* 2010;**4**:1340–51.

Roux S, Emerson JB. Diversity in the soil virosphere: to infinity and beyond? *Trends Microbiol*
2022;**30**:1025–35.

Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root
Microbiome? *Trends Plant Sci* 2018;**23**:25–41.

Scholier T, Lavrinienko A, Brila I *et al.* Urban forest soils harbour distinct and more diverse
communities of bacteria and fungi compared to less disturbed forest soils. *Mol Ecol*
2023;**32**:504–17.

Schwarz K, Pouyat RV, Yesilonis I. Legacies of Lead in Charm City's Soil: Lessons from the
Baltimore Ecosystem Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2016;**13**:209.

Shade A, Handelsman J. Beyond the Venn diagram: the hunt for a core microbiome. *Environ*
Microbiol 2012;**14**:4–12.

Sharma M, Sudheer S, Usmani Z *et al.* Deciphering the Omics of Plant-Microbe Interaction:
Perspectives and New Insights. *Curr Genomics* 2020;**21**:343–62.

Sommer F, Bäckhed F. The gut microbiota--masters of host development and physiology. *Nat*
Rev Microbiol 2013;**11**:227–38.

Sriaporn C, Campbell KA, Van Kranendonk MJ *et al.* Bacterial and archaeal community
distributions and cosmopolitanism across physicochemically diverse hot springs. *ISME*
Commun 2023;**3**:80.

Strokal M, Bai Z, Franssen W *et al.* Urbanization: an increasing source of multiple pollutants to
rivers in the 21st century. *Npj Urban Sustain* 2021;**1**:1–13.

Tan B, Jane Fowler S, Laban NA *et al.* Comparative analysis of metagenomes from three
methanogenic hydrocarbon-degrading enrichment cultures with 41 environmental
samples. *ISME J* 2015;**9**:2028–45.

Tenenbaum D, Maintainer B. KEGGREST: Client-side REST access to the Kyoto Encyclopedia
of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). 2023.

Tepanosyan G, Yenokyan T, Sahakyan L. Geospatial patterns and geochemical compositional
characteristics of molybdenum in different mediums of an urban environment. *Environ*
Res 2023;**239**:117340.

Tharanath AC, Upendra RS, Rajendra K. Soil Symphony: A Comprehensive Overview of Plant–
Microbe Interactions in Agricultural Systems. *Appl Microbiol* 2024;**4**:1549–67.

Thomas F, Cébron A. Short-Term Rhizosphere Effect on Available Carbon Sources,
Phenanthrene Degradation, and Active Microbiome in an Aged-Contaminated Industrial
Soil. *Front Microbiol* 2016;**7**, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00092.

Tong H, Hu M, Li F *et al.* Burkholderiales participating in pentachlorophenol biodegradation in
iron-reducing paddy soil as identified by stable isotope probing. *Environ Sci Process*

Impacts 2015;**17**:1282–9.

Toor GS, Occhipinti ML, Yang Y-Y *et al.* Managing urban runoff in residential neighborhoods:
Nitrogen and phosphorus in lawn irrigation driven runoff. *PLoS ONE* 2017;**12**:e0179151.

Turnbaugh PJ, Gordon JI. The core gut microbiome, energy balance and obesity. *J Physiol*
2009;**587**:4153–8.

Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Hamady M *et al.* The human microbiome project. *Nature* 2007;**449**:804–
10.

United Nations. *World Urbanization Prospects - Population Division - United Nations*.
https://population.un.org/wup/Download/ (March 21, 2024, date last accessed)

United Nations Environment. Global Mercury Assessment 2018: Key Findings. 2019.

Vael C, Desager K. The importance of the development of the intestinal microbiota in infancy.
Curr Opin Pediatr 2009;**21**:794–800.

Wang H, He P, Shen C *et al.* Effect of irrigation amount and fertilization on agriculture non-point
source pollution in the paddy field. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 2019;**26**:10363–73.

Wang H, Marshall CW, Cheng M *et al.* Changes in land use driven by urbanization impact
nitrogen cycling and the microbial community composition in soils. *Sci Rep*
2017;**7**:44049.

Wang L-G, Lam TT-Y, Xu S *et al.* Treeio: An R Package for Phylogenetic Tree Input and Output
with Richly Annotated and Associated Data. *Mol Biol Evol* 2020;**37**:599–603.

Wang X-T, Miao Y, Zhang Y *et al.* Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in urban soils of the
megacity Shanghai: Occurrence, source apportionment and potential human health risk.
Sci Total Environ 2013;**447**:80–9.

Wickham H. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016.

Widdig M, Heintz-Buschart A, Schleuss P-M *et al.* Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus addition
on microbial community composition and element cycling in a grassland soil. *Soil Biol*
Biochem 2020;**151**:108041.

Wilpiszeski RL, Aufrecht JA, Retterer ST *et al.* Soil Aggregate Microbial Communities: Towards
Understanding Microbiome Interactions at Biologically Relevant Scales. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol 2019;**85**:e00324-19.

Wong MY, Rathod SD, Marino R *et al.* Anthropogenic Perturbations to the Atmospheric
Molybdenum Cycle. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 2021;**35**:e2020GB006787.

Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol*
2019;**20**:257.

Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact
alignments. *Genome Biol* 2014;**15**:R46.

*Yarwood SA, Epp Schmidt DJ. MG-RAST.

Yesilonis ID, Pouyat RV, Neerchal NK. Spatial distribution of metals in soils in Baltimore,
Maryland: Role of native parent material, proximity to major roads, housing age and
screening guidelines. *Environ Pollut* 2008;**156**:723–31.

Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H *et al.* ggtree: an r package for visualization and annotation of
phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol Evol*
2017;**8**:28–36.

Zhang Y, Song Z, Huang S *et al.* Global health effects of future atmospheric mercury emissions.
Nat Commun 2021;**12**:3035.

Zheng Y, Wang B, Gao P *et al.* Novel order-level lineage of ammonia-oxidizing archaea
widespread in marine and terrestrial environments. *ISME J* 2024;**18**:wrad002.

Zimmerman AE, Graham EB, McDermott J *et al.* Estimating the Importance of Viral
Contributions to Soil Carbon Dynamics. *Glob Change Biol* 2024;**30**:e17524.

log10(Norm. Abund.)

Pseudomonadota
Campylobacterota
Aquificota
Chrysiogenota
Deferribacterota
Thermodesulfobacteriota
Nitrospirota
Myxococcota
Bdellovibrionota
Acidobacteriota
Bacteroidota
Rhodothermota
Ignavibacteriota
Caldithrix
Fibrobacterota
Gemmatimonadota
Bacillota
Chloroflexota
Thermomicrobiota
Armatimonadota
Actinomycetota
Verrucomicrobia
Cyanobacteria
Caldisericota
Dictyoglom
Thermotogae
Synergistota
Deinococcota
Fusobacteriota
Lentisphaerota
Chlamydiota
Planctomycetota
Spirochaetota

Reference
Remnant
Turf
Ruderal

Percent of Soils Containing Clade

Density

Percent of Soils Containing Clade

Reference — Remnant

Turf — Ruderal

- Amino acid metabolism
- Carbohydrate metabolism
- Energy metabolism
- Lipid metabolism
- Membrane transport
- Metabolism: Unclassified
- Nucleotide metabolism
- Poorly characterized
- Replication and repair
- Transcription
- Translation
- Transport and catabolism
- Xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism

A Cell growth and death   B Cellular processes and signaling   C Enzyme families

D Glycan biosynthesis and metabolism   E Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins

F Metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides   G Signal transduction