1

Oligosaccharyltransferase is involved in targeting to ER-associated degradation

Marina Shenkman[1,2], Navit Ogen-Shtern[1,3], Chaitanya Patel[1,2], Bella Groisman[1], Metsada Pasmanik-Chor[4], Sonya M. Schermann[5,6], Roman Körner[5] and Gerardo Z. Lederkremer[1,2,] *

[1]The Shmunis School of Biomedicine and Cancer Research, Cell Biology Division, George Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

[2]Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

[3]Present address: The Skin Research Institute, The Dead-Sea and Arava Science Centre, Masada 86910, Israel

[4]Bioinformatics Unit, George Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

[5]Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried D-82152, Germany.

[6]Ascend Advanced Therapies, Martinsried, Germany.

* Corresponding author: Gerardo Z. Lederkremer

Email: gerardol@tauex.tau.ac.il

Classification: Biological Sciences, Cell Biology

Keywords: endoplasmic reticulum/ ERAD/ HRD1/ OST/ retrotranslocation.

Abstract

28
The majority of membrane and secretory proteins undergo N-glycosylation, a process catalyzed
by oligosaccharyltransferase (OST), a membrane-bound protein complex that associates with the
translocation channels within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Proteins failing quality control
undergo ER-associated degradation (ERAD) by retrotranslocation to cytosolic proteasomes.
Using a proteomics approach, we unexpectedly identified several OST subunits as significant
interactors of a misfolded ER protein bait. Previous reports have suggested other roles for OST in
addition to N-glycosylation, such as participation of the OST subunit ribophorin I in quality control.
Our findings demonstrate OST engagement in ERAD of glycoproteins and non-glycosylated
proteins, both affected by OST subunit overexpression and partial knockdown, which interfered
with ERAD in conditions that did not affect glycosylation. We studied the effects on model
misfolded type I and II membrane-bound proteins, BACE476 and asialoglycoprotein receptor H2a
respectively, and on a soluble luminal misfolded glycoprotein, α1-antitrypsin NHK variant. OST
appears to be involved in late ERAD stages, interacting with the E3 ligase HRD1 and impacting
retrotranslocation. We discuss the possibility that OST, harboring multiple transmembrane
domains, might assist retrotranslocation by contributing to membrane distortion for protein
dislocation.
45

Significance statement

46
About thirty percent of cellular proteins undergo processing through the endoplasmic reticulum
(ER), with most being glycosylated on asparagine residues in a process called N-glycosylation. In
this process, oligosaccharyltransferase (OST), an ER membrane-bound protein complex,
transfers sugar chains to the protein. Here we elucidate an additional role of OST, in targeting
misfolded proteins to ER-associated degradation (ERAD), a process that requires their transport
from the ER to the cytosol. Employing a mass spectrometry proteomics approach, we observed
differential binding of OST subunits to accumulated misfolded protein molecules following
inhibition of their degradation. Interference with OST activity in conditions where its function in N-
glycosylation was not affected, resulted in ERAD inhibition.
56

Introduction

57
58
Secretory proteins are translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where they undergo
folding and quality control. Molecules that are terminally misfolded are retrotranslocated to the
cytosol to be polyubiquitinated and degraded by proteasomes, in a process known as ER-
associated degradation (ERAD) (1, 2). The precise mechanism of retrotranslocation remains a
subject of ongoing study. It appears that various mechanisms may exist for different ERAD
substrates, contingent upon the specific E3 ubiquitin ligase involved. Among these ligases, the
most well-characterized participant in ERAD is HRD1, which forms a large protein complex
mediating retrotranslocation (3, 4). Studies using genetic screens in yeast identified ERAD
complexes involving HRD1 (5). Subsequently, analogous components were found in mammals,
including HRD1 itself (6). The primary constituents of the mammalian HRD1 complex encompass
transmembrane proteins such as Derlin 1-3, Herp, and Ubxd8, along with SEL1L, OS9, and
XTP3-B on the luminal side. On the cytosolic side, components include Ubc6 or Ube2G2, p97,
and NGLY1 (3, 5). Structural insight into the HRD1 complex has been reported in yeast but not
yet in mammalian cells (7, 8). Cryo-electron microscopy (CryoEM) studies of the yeast
HRD1/HRD3 complex indicated a direct role in retrotranslocation (7). Further evidence involves *in*
vitro reconstitution experiments suggesting a regulation of retrotranslocation by HRD1
autoubiquitination (9, 10). Herp, a protein tethered to the ER membrane via a hydrophobic
hairpin, with most of the protein on the cytosolic side, was shown to recruit HRD1 and misfolded
proteins for ERAD at a specialized ER subcompartment, the ERQC (11, 12).
An approach used to delineate the HRD1 complex and discover new components has been the
pull-down of the complex through known ERAD components, such as Yos9 in yeast (13) and
SEL1L (14), XTP-3B, HRD1, UBXD8, Derlin-1/2 and OS-9 in mammalian cells (15). The caveat is
that overexpression affects the function and interactions of these proteins. Furthermore, even

when tagged by knock-in at the endogenous level the isolated complexes might be non-functional
(16). This is because these complexes might be dormant, partial complexes, given the dynamic
nature of complex assembly, influenced by the presence of an ERAD substrate (11, 17). Here we
have used a SILAC (stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture) proteomics approach
to capture functional ERAD components. We accomplish this by pull-down of an ERAD substrate
and analyzing its preferential interactors under conditions favoring the accumulation of molecules
targeted for retrotranslocation and subsequent proteasomal degradation. Surprisingly, among the
most highly enriched interactors were several subunits of the oligosaccharyltransferase (OST)
complex.
OST plays a pivotal role in N-glycosylation, the primary post-translational modification of
secretory proteins. It transfers a conserved oligosaccharide from a lipid-linked glycan donor to
asparagine residues in defined sequons in newly-synthesized proteins. In mammalian cells, the
OST complex consists of a catalytic transmembrane subunit (STT3A or STT3B) along with
several additional transmembrane proteins including DAD1, OST48/DDOST, TMEM258, OST4,
ribophorin1, ribophorin2, and subunits interacting with STT3A (KCP2, DC2/OSTC) or STT3B
(TUSC3/N33 or IAP/MAGT1) (18, 19). While the primary function of the OST complex lies in
protein N-glycosylation, studies suggest the participation of OST subunits, such as ribophorin I, in
protein quality control (20, 21), although the mode of action remains unclear. Here we show that
OST subunits have a role in protein targeting to ERAD.
101
102
103 **Results**
104
Identification of OST as ERAD substrate interactor by a SILAC proteomics approach.
The proteomics approach that we devised involved making a construct of an established model
ERAD substrate, H2a, with an affinity binding tag (streptavidin binding peptide, SBP) and producing
a stable HEK293 cell line (SBP6) expressing this fusion protein, H2aSBP. H2a, the uncleaved
precursor of human asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR) H2a, has been extensively studied. H2a
is a type II membrane glycoprotein, completely retained in the ER (22) and is a substrate of the
HRD1 complex (11, 23). As H2a can undergo natural cleavage, generating a soluble luminal
fragment, we used an uncleavable mutant, H2aG78R (24). The use of the 38 amino acid SBP
affinity tag allows for specific and high affinity binding and elution under mild conditions, to preserve
complexes (25). H2aSBP behaves like H2a in its ER retention and targeting to ERAD and H2aSBP
precipitation with streptavidin beads allows coprecipitation of Derlin1, HRD1 and Fbs2, with the
latter interaction being inhibited with kifunensin (23).
SBP6 cells were cultured in the presence of media containing heavy amino acids (13C(6)15N(2)
lysine) to uniformly label the proteins, while WT HEK293 cells were grown with normal light amino
acids, or vice versa (Fig. 1a). Cell lysates in mild non-denaturing detergent (1% digitonin) from cells
with or without H2aSBP expression were mixed at a 1:1 protein ratio, followed by precipitation with
streptavidin-conjugated beads and elution by competition with biotin. Eluted proteins were
subjected to SDS-PAGE, digested with trypsin and identified by electrospray tandem mass
spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS). This experiment was done in cells treated without or with proteasomal
inhibitors to accumulate the ERAD substrate before degradation. Both cells treated with or without
proteasomal inhibitors were incubated briefly with puromycin before cell lysis, to reduce the amount
of proteins undergoing cotranslational translocation into the ER. Puromycin causes the termination
of nascent polypeptide chains and their release into the lumen of the ER (26).
The expression of H2aSBP increased by proteasomal inhibition and more robustly by
overexpression of a dominant negative RING finger mutant of HRD1, as expected for an HRD1
substrate. The mutant HRD1 coprecipitated with H2aSBP upon pull-down of the latter with
streptavidin-agarose beads (Fig. 1b).
The SBP tag allowed specific and efficient elution in non-denaturing conditions with a competitive
concentration of free biotin, showing many proteins coprecipitated specifically from a lysate of cells
labeled with [^{35}S] met+cys in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 (Fig. 1c). Brief
treatment with puromycin before lysis did not decrease the signal of these proteins. We used mild
lysis conditions (see Methods), combined with the gentle biotin elution, to enable preservation of

protein complexes with little or no non-specific precipitation. Silver staining of the eluates after SDS-
PAGE in a similar experiment showed an excellent signal to noise ratio, with only very weak bands
in the control without H2aSBP, except for BSA, which was used as a blocker to reduce non-specific
binding during the affinity pull-down. (Fig. 1d).
After applying the SILAC proteomics protocol (Fig. 1a), we selected as interactors only hits showing
>2 fold ratio of labeled/ unlabeled after normalization to the total amount of H2a-SBP (bait)
obtained. We then compared the results from cells treated for 4h with MG-132 and ALLN and 15
min with puromycin to those from cells treated only with puromycin. Gene ontology analysis showed
that proteasomal inhibition led to a significant enrichment for cellular functions related to the ER,
ER membrane and ubiquitin/proteasome processes, which were expected, and gave us confidence
in the approach. One of the top function enriched categories using the DAVID gene enrichment
tool was the OST complex (p-value=$3.13e^{-10}$), which was unexpected (Fig. 1e). A STRING
interaction map showed high enrichment of proteins belonging to a few categories related to protein
quality control: ERAD (p-value=$1.28e^{-7}$), protein folding (p-value=$1.28e^{-7}$), glycosylation (p-
value=$7.03e^{-10}$)(including OST and calnexin (CNX) cycle genes), unfolded protein response (UPR)
and vesicular transport (p-value=$8.00e^{-6}$)(Fig. 2a). This latter category perhaps relates to the
participation of vesicular trafficking in ERAD, which we reported recently (27).
Among the most significant H2aSBP interactors, with enrichment values of 2-fold or higher in
proteasomal inhibitor-treated cells, were most OST subunits (Table 1). This was even more
surprising, considering that membrane proteins, some with multiple membrane spans such as OST
subunits, are usually underrepresented in the MS analysis. All of these OST subunits were highly
enriched upon proteasomal inhibition (Fig. 2b). It should be stressed that the puromycin treatment
before cell lysis should have reduced interactions with newly-made translocated proteins, where
H2aSBP could have encountered OST, which is associated with forward translocons (28). Indeed,
levels of forward translocon related proteins, such as Sec61 subunits or SSRA were at similar or
reduced levels in samples treated with or without proteasomal inhibitor (Fig. 2b). Other enriched
proteins in proteasomal inhibitor-treated samples include ERAD-related genes, such as XTP3-B,
SEL1 and ubiquitin, calnexin cycle genes (CNX, SEP15 and UGGT1) and other relevant genes
such as the ER calcium pump SERCA2 and TDP43 (Fig. 2b). TDP43, normally localized in the
nucleus, accumulates in the cytoplasm in several diseases, including ALS, and also upon
proteasome inhibition (29).
168
Colocalization and increased interaction of an OST subunit with the ERAD substrate upon
proteasomal inhibition.
Given the increase in the pull-down of OST subunits with the ERAD substrate upon proteasomal
inhibition, we then analyzed whether there was any change in the cellular localization of an OST
subunit in relation to the ERAD substrate under these conditions. We chose to examine the OST
subunit Defender Against apoptotic cell Death 1 (DAD1) (30) because it was well characterized in
previous studies, although it was not detected in our proteomics analysis, possibly due to its low
abundance. GFP-DAD1 had been shown to associate with forward translocons (31). We compared
the localization of GFP-DAD1 to the ERAD substrate H2a linked to monomeric red fluorescent
protein (H2a-RFP). Both GFP-DAD1 and H2a-RFP show an ER pattern in untreated cells, although
with some concentration in the juxtanuclear region (Fig. 3a). Surprisingly, under proteasomal
inhibition with lactacystin (Lac), GFP-DAD1 accumulated together with H2a-RFP in the juxtanuclear
ER-derived quality control compartment (ERQC), a staging ground for ERAD (12, 24, 32), (Fig. 3b).
This is similar to the effect of ERAD substrate accumulation (upon proteasomal inhibition or by
other means) on the localization of ERAD machinery components (HRD1, Derlin-1 (23)). Several
ER chaperones, such as CNX and CRT, also relocalize to the ERQC under these conditions, but
not others, such as BiP (32, 33). As we had also seen before (24), the translocon subunit Sec61β
linked to GFP accumulates at the ERQC with the ERAD substrate upon proteasomal inhibition (Fig.
3c, d). To test whether the entire translocation complex linked to ribosomes (at the rough ER)
accumulates in the ERQC along with the ERAD substrate, we immunostained cells transfected with
H2a-RFP using an anti-ribosomal RNA (rRNA) antibody as a ribosomal marker. As opposed to
DAD1 and Sec61β, the ribosomes did not accumulate together with H2a-RFP after Lac treatment

and remained in a cytosolic and ER pattern (Fig. 3e, f). Sec61 participates in the forward
translocation complex, but has also been implicated in retrotranslocation (34).
We compared the localization of GFP-DAD1 with that of the ERAD-associated luminal lectin OS-9,
which appears constitutively localized mainly at the ERQC (11, 35). Similar to H2a-RFP, the GFP-
DAD1 that accumulated under proteasomal inhibition colocalized with OS-9 (Fig. 3 h, k). In this
experiment bortezomib (Bz) was used for proteasome inhibition. However, while the colocalization
of H2a-RFP with OS-9 increased significantly upon proteasomal inhibition, that of GFP-DAD1 did
not change (Fig. 3 i, l). This suggests that a portion of GFP-DAD1 molecules localize to the ERQC
in untreated cells and may already colocalize with OS-9 in peripheral regions.
We used iodixanol gradients optimized to separate ER subcompartments as a different approach
to analyze the localization of these proteins in relation to the ERQC (11, 36). As we had seen before
(11), H2a-RFP appeared mainly in middle fractions of the gradient (5-7), with a small shift to heavier
fractions (6-8), upon proteasomal inhibition with Bz (Fig. 3m). Endogenous DAD1 showed a similar
pattern in untreated cells, with a sharper accumulation in Bz-treated cells, as a peak in fraction 6,
and an additional smaller peak in fraction 4. Endogenous CNX and Sec61β also appeared in middle
fractions, partially overlapping with DAD1 in untreated conditions and in Bz-treated cells where they
concentrated in fraction 6. We analyzed another OST subunit, Tumor suppressor candidate 3
(Tusc3), a non-essential subunit that was also absent from our top proteomic hits. Endogenous
Tusc3 showed a similar pattern to DAD1 in untreated conditions, although concentrating more at
fraction 4, but it did not shift to higher densities upon proteasomal inhibition (Fig. 3m). A ribosomal
subunit, RPL26 appeared at higher densities, marking regions of rough ER, with little or no overlap
with the ERQC (Fig. 3m), as we had seen before (11).
A specific interaction of GFP-DAD1 and H2a was detected by coimmunoprecipitation (Fig. 4), which
was significantly increased upon proteasomal inhibition, suggesting that molecules targeted for
degradation have a higher probability of interacting than newly made ones.
In summary, upon proteasomal inhibition DAD1 accumulates in the ERQC, in association with an
ERAD substrate and separate from the rough ER, which contains forward translocons.
218
OST subunits promote the degradation of glycosylated H2a and to a lesser extent of its non-
glycosylated mutant
To investigate a possible role of OST in ERAD, we first overexpressed GFP-DAD1 and analyzed
its effect on H2a. Overexpression of GFP-DAD1 led to a dramatic decrease in the levels of H2a
(Fig. 5a), suggesting that the protein was degraded. There was no change in the migration of H2a,
indicating that glycosylation was not affected. We then partially knocked down DAD1 (Suppl. Fig.
1), which had a major effect in increasing the levels of H2a, suggesting that ERAD was inhibited
(Fig. 5b). This partial knockdown had only a very minor effect on glycosylation, as a weak band of
faster migration appeared suggesting the existence of a small population of underglycosylated
molecules (2 of the 3 glycosylation sites occupied according to our previous studies (37)). We then
tried partially knocking down Tusc3 (Suppl. Fig. 1), which also caused a major accumulation of H2a
(Fig. 5c). Tusc3 is a non-essential OST subunit, but nevertheless also caused the appearance of
a minor population of underglycosylated H2a molecules. Therefore, partial knockdown of DAD1 or
Tusc3, in conditions that affect minimally OST function in glycosylation, have a major effect on
inhibiting ERAD.
To confirm that the effects on the steady state levels of H2a were due to changes in its degradation,
we performed pulse-chase analysis experiments using [^{35}S] cys metabolic labeling. The
overexpression of GFP-DAD1 led to a significantly accelerated degradation of H2a (Fig. 5d).
Conversely, knockdown of Tusc3 strongly slowed down the degradation (Fig. 5e).
Although glycosylation of H2a did not seem to be affected, we wanted to test whether the effect of
Tusc3 knockdown on H2a degradation could be due to inhibition of N-glycosylation of key
components of quality control or ERAD. To test this, we inhibited completely N-glycosylation by a
long (18h) incubation of cells with tunicamycin (Tun), after which we performed a pulse-chase
experiment in the presence of Tun. The treatment caused a shift in the migration of H2a due to lack
of its 3 N-glycan chains (Fig 5f). However, the rate of H2a degradation showed no significant
change in the presence of Tun (Fig 5f graph), which suggests that the effect of Tusc3 knockdown

in delaying degradation (Fig. 5c and 5e) cannot be ascribed to inhibition of glycosylation of the
ERAD substrate or of ERAD components.
Similar experiments were performed on a non-glycosylated mutant of H2a (H2aΔgly, (37)). GFP-
DAD1 overexpression and Tusc3 knockdown had similar effects on H2aΔgly as on glycosylated
H2a, but to a much lesser extent (compare Fig. 6 a, c, d with Fig. 5 a, c, e respectively). DAD1
knockdown did not have any effect on H2aΔgly degradation (Fig. 6b). DAD1 does not seem to
participate in H2aΔgly ERAD at its endogenous levels, but can target the non-glycosylated protein
when DAD1 is overexpressed.
253
OST subunits participate in ERAD of other membrane and luminal substrates
To test whether OST subunits are more generally involved in ERAD, we analyzed other well-
established ERAD substrates, BACE476, a mutant type I membrane-bound glycoprotein (in
contrast with H2a, which is type II, (11)) and the soluble luminal misfolded glycoprotein human α1-
antitrypsin variant null Hong Kong (NHK) (38). Overexpression of GFP-DAD1 led to a decrease in
the levels of BACE476 and NHK, although to a much lesser extent than for H2a (compare Fig. 7 a,
d to Fig. 5). Similarly, DAD1 or Tusc3 knockdown caused an accumulation of BACE476 and NHK
but again less pronounced than for H2a (compare Fig. 7 b, c, e, f to Fig. 5).
Thus, OST subunits can promote ERAD of type I or type II transmembrane substrates and of a
soluble luminal substrate, but with some differences in the extent of their action depending on the
substrate.
265
Interaction between DAD1 and HRD1 and promotion of retrotranslocation by OST subunits
As the ERAD substrates used in our experiments are targeted to HRD1, we wondered whether
DAD1 and HRD1 interact. In a coimmunoprecipitation experiment, we could see a robust and
specific interaction between GFP-DAD1 and HRD1-myc (Fig. 8a). Although both DAD1 and HRD1
accumulated upon proteasomal inhibition, the ratio of DAD1 coimmunoprecipitated in association
with HRD1 decreased (Fig. 8a graph). This suggests that possibly DAD1 associates with HRD1 in
a functional ER membrane complex and does not interact with HRD1 molecules that are already
targeted for proteasomal degradation.
We next studied the requirement of OST subunits for the retrotranslocation step. We tested the
effect of manipulating the levels of OST subunits on retrotranslocation using the BAP/BirA system
(39). This system utilizes the E. coli biotin ligase BirA expressed in the cytosol of mammalian cells
to specifically biotinylate a 15 amino acid biotin-acceptor peptide (BAP). Secretory proteins with a
BAP tag on their ER luminal side are biotinylated only after retrotranslocation. A construct of H2a
with BAP attached to its luminal C-terminus behaved consistently as expected for a type II
membrane protein (40). H2a-BAP was biotinylated poorly when coexpressed with cytosolic BirA,
but to a much higher extent when coexpressed with a luminally expressed secBirA, which contains
a signal peptide for translocation into the ER (39) (Fig. 8b). There was no biotinylation in a control
without BirA or secBirA. As BAP is on the luminal C-terminus of H2a, it can be exposed to the
cytosolic BirA only after H2a-BAP retrotranslocation. Overexposure of the cytosolic biotinylated
retrotranslocated H2a signal allowed us to assess the effect of overexpression or knockdown of
OST subunits (Fig. 8c). GFP-DAD1 overexpression caused a decrease in total H2aBAP, as
observed for H2a (Fig. 8c lower panel, compared to Fig. 5a), but there was a much smaller
decrease in retrotranslocated biotinylated H2aBAP (Fig. 8c upper panel), resulting in a large
increase in the ratio of retrotranslocated molecules relative to total, as compared to the control (Fig.
8c graph). Knockdown of either DAD1 or Tusc3 had the opposite effect, resulting in a significant
decrease in the retrotranslocation ratio compared to the control.
Together, these results suggest that OST interacts with HRD1 and participates in the
retrotranslocation process towards ERAD (Fig. 8d).
294
295 **Discussion**
296
In the present study we aimed to identify ERAD machinery components through their interaction
with a model misfolded protein being targeted to this pathway. For this aim, we developed an
approach for isolation of functional protein complexes engaged in the retrotranslocation event,

under conditions in which there is enrichment in retrotranslocation complexes, while minimizing the
abundance of forward translocons. This approach employed proteasomal inhibition to accumulate
the ERAD substrate just prior to degradation. Our previous studies with H2a, the model substrate
used, indicated that in these conditions H2a accumulates in the ERQC membrane, because its
retrotranslocation appears to be coupled to its degradation (11, 12, 24, 32). To reduce the presence
of components of the forward translocon in the protein complexes obtained, we incubated the cells
with puromycin. This antibiotic causes release of nascent chains from the ribosome and as a result
from the translocons. The combination of puromycin treatment with the C-terminal SBP tag used
as bait was intended to prevent isolation of the machineries in charge of translation and forward
translocation through incompletely translated H2aSBP molecules (which would lack a complete
SBP). In these conditions, we observed an association of several of the OST subunits along with
H2a in pulldown experiments. Notably, some of these subunits, OSTC, STT3A and MAGT1 were
detected only after proteasome inhibition (Fig. 2b); this, together with the puromycin treatment
suggests a preferential interaction with the ERAD substrate after its translation and translocation.
Consistently, coimmunoprecipitation of DAD1 occurred after full glycosylation of H2a (Fig. 4).
OST association was reported with other ER proteins in different high MW complexes, one of them
containing SSRD (Trap delta) (41). Interestingly, we also detected SSRD only after puromycin
treatment and proteasome inhibition (Fig. 2b). Mutations in SSRD lead to defects in glycosylation
(42), suggesting an early cotranslational event, as the SSR/ Trap complex has been implicated in
forward translocation. However, an alternative role has also been suggested for this complex in
ERAD (43, 44). Other ER proteins have been suggested to participate in both forward translocation
and in retrotranslocation towards ERAD, such as calumin (CCDC47) (45), and the Sec61 complex,
for which interaction was found with ERAD factors (34). Consistently, we found Sec61 subunits and
CCDC47 at similar levels in cells with and without proteasomal inhibition (Fig. 2b). It is noteworthy
that TDP-43 appeared enriched in cells with proteasomal inhibition. TDP-43 is localized mainly in
the nucleus but has been found sequestered at the cytosolic face of the ER in ALS (46).
For OST, noncanonical activities have also been suggested, such as that required for Dengue virus
infection, which could be sustained with catalytically inactive OST subunits (47). The OST subunit
MAGT1 and its oxidoreductase activity (48) appeared essential for the infection. MAGT1 was
present as one of the most significant interactors of our substrate under proteasome inhibition (Fig.
2b). MAGT1 is found associated with an STT3B containing complex (49). The presence of MAGT1
and the effects of knockdown of Tusc3 (also associated with STT3B) would implicate the STT3B
complex in our study. STT3B was not detected in the proteomics results, perhaps due to low
abundancy, but STT3A and its associated OSTC were, suggesting also a participation of the
STT3A complex in ERAD (Fig. 2).
There are previous studies that hint to the participation of OST subunits in quality control and
ERAD. It was suggested that ribophorin I might be involved in protein quality control, as it interacted
with a quality control substrate, a fragment of the amyloid precursor protein, after its translocation,
remaining associated after puromycin release (21). In the case of ERAD highjacking by CMV, the
CMV US11 protein was found associated with OST subunits, ribophorin 1, ribophorin 2, and OST48
(50). The ER protein malectin forms a complex with ribophorin 1 which retains misfolded NHK α1-
antitrypsin and misfolded ribonuclease A but not the WT counterparts in the ER (20). In a
proteomics study using OS-9 as a bait, Christianson et al pulled down ribophorin 1 with high score
(51). They also pulled down other OST subunits, such as STT3A, STT3B and DAD1 using UbxD8,
Derlin-1 or Derlin-2 as baits (15). However, these observations were not further investigated.
Our proteomics findings, along with insights from the effects of modulating DAD1 and Tusc3 levels,
strongly suggest the participation of most, if not all, OST subunits in ERAD. It is likely that DAD1
and Tusc3 fell below the detection threshold in our proteomics analysis. Despite this, DAD1
exhibited clear associations with the ERAD substrate (Fig. 4) and HRD1 (Fig. 8) and showed strong
effects of its overexpression. The effect of overexpression of this single OST subunit on ERAD
might be explained by the limited quantity of its endogenous expression in relation to other subunits
(52). Interestingly, both DAD1 and Tusc3 partial knockdowns yielded notable impacts on ERAD
without any significant change in glycosylation.
Both DAD1 and Sec61β relocalized to the ERQC under proteasomal inhibition (Fig. 3), suggesting
that most of their molecules are associated with the ERQC and not with forward translocons in

these conditions. In a previous study, GFP-DAD1 had been observed to remain with relatively low
mobility in the ER membrane, even after puromycin termination of protein synthesis and release
from translocons. This observation led to the conclusion that GFP-DAD1 probably remains
associated with inactive translocons (31). However, the low mobility after puromycin treatment (as
we do here) might instead signify a population of GFP-DAD1 molecules associated with a
retrotranslocation complex. Indeed, GFP-DAD1 associates with HRD1 (Fig. 8). Intriguingly, other
OST subunits were reported to undergo cleavage by the intramembrane rhomboid protease
RHBDL4 before being targeted to ERAD (53). Thus, if GFP-DAD1 were undergoing a similar
targeting for ERAD, we would expect to observe cleaved molecules upon association with HRD1,
but this was not the case.
We can only speculate, with the data that we have at the moment, about how OST precisely
contributes to ERAD. The fact that the OST complex encompasses many transmembrane domains,
interacts with HRD1 and affects retrotranslocation suggests that it may participate directly in the
mechanism of retrotranslocation. It might contribute to membrane distortion, akin to that proposed
for the interphase between HRD1 and Der1 in yeast (54).
Targeting to ERAD has been best studied for glycoproteins and is linked to glycan processing and
recognition steps (12). OST could be a candidate for glycan recognition and targeting to ERAD.
However, OST function for ERAD does not appear to be restricted to glycoproteins, although the
results of OST subunit overexpression and knockdown suggest some preference for glycosylated
substrates (Fig. 5, 6). This dual targeting could be equated to the activities of OS-9, XTP3-B and
EDEM1 in targeting both glycoproteins and non-glycoproteins to ERAD (37, 55, 56).
376
377

Materials and Methods

378
379

Materials

380
Streptavidin-agarose-beads, Biotin, tunicamycin, puromycin, MG-132, ALLN, bortezomib, digitonin
were from Sigma. Lactacystin was from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). Promix cell labeling
mix ([35S]Met plus [35S]Cys), 1000 Ci/mmol, was from PerkinElmer Life Sciences. Predesigned
shRNAs for Tusc3 (TRCN0000038065, TRCN0000038067, TRCN0000299038,
TRCN0000299109, TRCN0000299107) and DAD1 (TRCN0000310240, TRCN0000200464,
TRCN0000083039, TRCN0000200404, TRCN0000083041) were from Sigma.
387

Antibodies

388
Rabbit anti-DAD1, anti-Tusc3 and anti-calnexin, and mouse anti-β tubulin and anti-actin were from
Sigma. Mouse anti-HA was from Biolegend and anti-Myc was custom produced and used before
(35). Mouse anti-rRNA from Abcam was a kind gift of Orna Elroy-Stein. Rabbit anti-RPL26 was
from Cell Signaling. Rabbit polyclonal anti-H2a carboxy-terminal and amino-terminal antibodies
and mouse monoclonal anti–H2a carboxy-terminal antibody B9 were the ones used in earlier
studies (11, 57). Mouse anti–S-tag was from Novagen (Gibbstown, NJ). Rabbit anti-GFP was from
Santa Cruz Biotech. Rabbit anti-RFP was from MBL. Rabbit anti-Sec61β was the one used
previously (32). Strep-HRP, goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP, goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP and goat anti-
mouse IgG conjugated to Cy2 or to Dylight 549 were from Jackson-Immuno-Research Labs.
398

Plasmids and constructs

399
WT HRD1-myc, dominant negative mutant hsHRD1-myc (MutHRD1-myc), Sec61β-GFP, S-
tagged-OS-9.1 and S-tagged-OS-9.2 in pcDNA3.1(+), H2a and H2a mutated in its three
glycosylation sites (H2aΔgly), subcloned in pCDNA1 and BACE476-HA were used before (11, 24,
36, 58), as well as human α1-antitrypsin NHK-HA in pcDNA3.1 (27). Constructs encoding
H2aG78R uncleavable mutant fused through its C-terminus to a 38-amino acid streptavidin binding
peptide (H2aSBP), to monomeric red fluorescent protein (H2aRFP) or to GFP (H2aGFP) were
described before (11, 23, 24). DAD1 in pEGFP-C1 (GFP- DAD1) was a kind gift from Gert Kreibich.
H2a-BAP-V5 was used before (40). BirA and Sec-BirA plasmids were a kind gift of Gianlucca Petris
and Oscar Burrone (ICGEB, Trieste, Italy) (39).
409

Cell culture, media and transfections

NIH 3T3 and HEK293 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% bovine calf serum at 37 °C under 5% CO_2. Transfections of NIH 3T3 cells were carried out using a Neon MP-100 microporator system (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Transfection of HEK293 cells was performed using the calcium phosphate method. An HEK293 stable cell line expressing H2aSBP (SBP6) was described previously (23).

BAP construct transfection and probing

H2a-BAP-V5 was transfected using the calcium phosphate method in HEK293 cells together with an equal amount of plasmid carrying BirA (cytosolic biotin ligase). Biotin (100μM) was added 24 hours post transfection overnight. The cells were then rinsed with PBS and lysed at 4°C in Buffer A (1% Triton X-100, 0.5% NaDOC and 1X cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) in PBS), containing 10mM N-ethylmaleimide, to block BirA post-lysis activity. The probing of biotinylated proteins on blots was performed using Strep-HRP in PBS-TWEEN (0.5%), followed with detection by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL).

Metabolic labeling

Subconfluent (90%) cell monolayers in 60-mm dishes were labeled for 30 min with [^{35}S]Cys and chased for different periods of time with normal DMEM plus 10% FCS, lysed, and immunoprecipitated with anti-H2a carboxy-terminal antibody as described previously (57, 58). Quantitation was performed in a Fujifilm FLA 5100 phosphorimager (Tokyo, Japan).

Immunoprecipitation, crosslinking and immunoblotting

Cell lysis in buffer A and immunoprecipitation methods are described in (23). For GFP-DAD1 co-expressed with H2a, crosslinking was performed with DSP prior to cell lysis. Cells were washed 2X with PBS and incubated with PBS containing 1mM DSP at 4°C for 1 hour. The reaction was stopped by incubation at 4°C for 20 min with 50mM Glycine, followed by a rinse with PBS and incubation with 20mM Tris-HCl pH7.4 in PBS for at RT 5 min.

For precipitation with streptavidin-agarose beads, cell lysates were incubated with the beads on a vertical rotating mixer at 4°C overnight. After centrifugation, the beads were washed three times with 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.25% NaDOC and 0.5% SDS in PBS and once with PBS. Samples were boiled in sample buffer for 5 minutes and run on SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions.

Transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane, immunoblotting, detection by ECL, and quantitation in a Bio-Rad ChemiDocXRS Imaging System (Hercules, CA) were done as described previously (35). Quantity one or ImageJ software were used for the quantitation.

Iodixanol equilibrium sedimentation gradient

Iodixanol gradients were performed similarly to previously described (27). Briefly, HEK293 cells expressing H2a-RFP (untreated or treated with Bz) were washed with PBS, resuspended in a homogenization buffer (0.25M Sucrose, and 10mM HEPES pH7.4). The cells were passed through a 21G needle 5 times before homogenization in a Dounce homogenizer (low clearance pestle, 30 strokes). The homogenates were centrifuged at 1000xg for 10 minutes at 4°C to remove nuclei and cell debris and the supernatants were loaded on top of an iodixanol gradient (10 to 34%). The gradients were ultra-centrifuged at 24,000 rpm (98,500g, Beckman SW41 rotor) at 4°C for 16 hours. 1ml gradient fractions were collected from top to bottom, precipitated with 10% TCA and run on SDS-PAGE.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Immunofluorescence was performed as described previously (32, 59, 60). Briefly, cells grown for 24 h after transfection on coverslips in 24-well plates were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 30 min, incubated with 50mM glycine in PBS, and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100. After blocking with normal goat IgG in PBS/ 2% BSA, they were exposed to primary antibody for 60 min, washed and incubated for 30 min with a secondary antibody, followed by washes and staining of nuclei with DAPI. Specimens were observed on a Zeiss laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). ImageJ was used to quantify fluorescence intensity and to calculate

465 Mander's coefficients (using JACOP) for colocalization studies. ERQC localization was determined
466 by the accumulation of H2a fluorescent fusion proteins or of OS-9.
467

Proteomics
SBP binding and elution conditions were as in (61)). For SILAC proteomics HEK293 or SBP6 cells
were labeled with 13C(6)15N(2) L-lysine for 10 days. The labeling efficiency obtained was 97%.
7×10^8 cells (15x150mm dishes) were treated with or without 50μM MG-132 + 50μM ALLN for 4h
and with 2mM puromycin for the last 15min before lysis. Cells were lysed in 1% digitonin in
PBS+2mM PMSF+5μg/ml aprotinin. Labeled HEK293 and unlabeled SBP6 lysates were mixed at
a 1:1 protein ratio. The combined lysates were subjected to ON precipitation with preblocked
streptavidin beads (0.5% BSA, 0.2% digitonin in PBS for 2-3 h, washed once with 0.1% BSA in the
same buffer composition). Precipitation was with beads in 0.1% BSA, 0.2% digitonin in PBS,
followed by 4 washes with 0.2% digitonin in PBS and protein interactors pulled down with H2aSBP
were eluted for 1h at +4°C by competition with 250μM free biotin dissolved in the wash buffer.
Samples were heated at 65°C with β-mercapto-ethanol containing sample buffer for 10min and run
on SDS-PAGE. Proteins were identified by nano LC-MS/MS using a nanoACQUITY UPLC nano
liquid chromatography system (Waters) coupled to a LTQ orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo) or
an EasyLC system (Proxeon) coupled to a Thermo LTQ-FT Ultra mass spectrometer. For nanoLC
peptide separation, 15 cm long capillary column (75 µm inner diameter) with a prepulled capillary
tip (Proxeon) were packed in-house with Reprosil-Pur 3 µm C18 material (Dr. Maisch). Peptides
were eluted at 0.3 µl/min using stepwise gradients from 2%–60% acetonitrile, in 0.1% formic acid.
MS/MS analysis on the LTQ orbitrap mass spectrometer was performed with standard settings
using cycles of 1 high resolution MS scan from m/z 370-1750 followed by MS/MS scans of the 10
most intense ions with charge states of 2 or higher. For analysis on the Thermo LTQ-FT Ultra mass
spectrometer, FT scans from m/z 400-2000 were taken at 100,000 resolution, followed by collision
induced dissociation (CID) scans in the LTQ of the 8 most intense ions with signal greater than
2000 counts, and charge state of 2 or higher.
492

Bioinformatics analysis
Protein identification and SILAC based quantitation was performed with MaxQuant (version
1.0.13.13) using default settings. The UNIPROT human database (version 2009-04-14) was used
for protein identification. MaxQuant uses a decoy version of the specified UNIPROT database to
adjust the false discovery rates for proteins and peptides to below 1%.
Gene ontology enrichment of 86 differentially expressed proteins was performed using DAVID tool
(62). Selected enriched functions were presented. Differentially expressed proteins were applied
to STRING protein-interaction tool (63). Protein colors represent enriched functions circled in
colors.
502

503 **Statistical Analysis**
504 The results are expressed as average ± SD. Student's t-test (two-tailed) was used to compare the
505 averages of two groups. Statistical significance was determined at P<0.05 (*), P<0.01 (**), P<0.001
506 (***).
507

509 **Acknowledgments**
510

511 We would like to thank G. Kreibich, O. Elroy-Stein, G. Petris and O. Burrone for plasmids and
512 reagents. We are very grateful to F.U. Hartl for ongoing advice and for critically reading and helping
513 in the edition of this manuscript. Work was supported by grant 2577/20 from the Israel Science
514 Foundation (GZL).
515

516 **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: GZL. Investigation: MS, NO-S, CP, BG, S.S., RK.
517 Bioinformatics: MPC. Supervision: GZL. Writing: GZL. Review and editing: GZL, MS, MPC, RK.

518
519 **Declaration of Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

520
521 **References**
522

1. Sun Z & Brodsky JL (2019) Protein quality control in the secretory pathway. *J Cell Biol*
218(10):3171-3187.
2. Wu X & Rapoport TA (2018) Mechanistic insights into ER-associated protein
degradation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 53:22-28.
3. Christianson JC, Jarosch E, & Sommer T (2023) Mechanisms of substrate processing
during ER-associated protein degradation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 24(11):777-796.
4. Lopata A, Kniss A, Löhr F, Rogov VV, & Dötsch V (2020) Ubiquitination in the ERAD
Process. *Int J Mol Sci* 21(15).
5. Berner N, Reutter KR, & Wolf DH (2018) Protein Quality Control of the Endoplasmic
Reticulum and Ubiquitin-Proteasome-Triggered Degradation of Aberrant Proteins: Yeast
Pioneers the Path. *Annu Rev Biochem* 87:751-782.
6. Kikkert M*, et al.* (2004) Human HRD1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in degradation of
proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Biol Chem* 279(5):3525-3534.
7. Schoebel S*, et al.* (2017) Cryo-EM structure of the protein-conducting ERAD channel
Hrd1 in complex with Hrd3. *Nature* 548(7667):352-355.
8. Wu X*, et al.* (2020) Structural basis of ER-associated protein degradation mediated by
the Hrd1 ubiquitin ligase complex. *Science* 368(6489).
9. Peterson BG, Glaser ML, Rapoport TA, & Baldridge RD (2019) Cycles of
autoubiquitination and deubiquitination regulate the ERAD ubiquitin ligase Hrd1. *Elife* 8.
10. Vasic V*, et al.* (2020) Hrd1 forms the retrotranslocation pore regulated by auto-
ubiquitination and binding of misfolded proteins. *Nat Cell Biol* 22(3):274-281.
11. Leitman J*, et al.* (2014) Herp coordinates compartmentalization and recruitment of
HRD1 and misfolded proteins for ERAD. *Molecular biology of the cell* 25(7):1050-1060.
12. Shenkman M & Lederkremer GZ (2019) Compartmentalization and Selective Tagging for
Disposal of Misfolded Glycoproteins. *Trends Biochem Sci* 44(10):827-836.
13. Denic V, Quan EM, & Weissman JS (2006) A luminal surveillance complex that selects
misfolded glycoproteins for ER-associated degradation. *Cell* 126(2):349-359.
14. Mueller B, Klemm EJ, Spooner E, Claessen JH, & Ploegh HL (2008) SEL1L nucleates a
protein complex required for dislocation of misfolded glycoproteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A 105(34):12325-12330.
15. Christianson JC*, et al.* (2012) Defining human ERAD networks through an integrative
mapping strategy. *Nature Cell Biology* 14(1):93-105.
16. Hwang J*, et al.* (2017) Characterization of protein complexes of the endoplasmic
reticulum-associated degradation E3 ubiquitin ligase Hrd1. *J Biol Chem* 292(22):9104-
9116.
17. Kny M, Standera S, Hartmann-Petersen R, Kloetzel PM, & Seeger M (2011) Herp
regulates Hrd1-mediated ubiquitylation in a ubiquitin-like domain-dependent manner. *J*
Biol Chem 286(7):5151-5156.
18. Cherepanova N, Shrimal S, & Gilmore R (2016) N-linked glycosylation and homeostasis
of the endoplasmic reticulum. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 41:57-65.

19. Mohanty S, Chaudhary BP, & Zoetewey D (2020) Structural Insight into the Mechanism
of N-Linked Glycosylation by Oligosaccharyltransferase. *Biomolecules* 10(4).
20. Qin SY*, et al.* (2012) Malectin forms a complex with ribophorin I for enhanced
association with misfolded glycoproteins. *J Biol Chem* 287(45):38080-38089.
21. Wilson CM*, et al.* (2005) Ribophorin I associates with a subset of membrane proteins
after their integration at the sec61 translocon. *J Biol Chem* 280(6):4195-4206.
22. Shenkman M, Ayalon M, & Lederkremer GZ (1997) Endoplasmic reticulum quality
control of asialoglycoprotein receptor H2a involves a determinant for retention and not
retrieval. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 94(21):11363-11368.
23. Groisman B, Shenkman M, Ron E, & Lederkremer GZ (2011) Mannose trimming is
required for delivery of a glycoprotein from EDEM1 to XTP3-B and to late endoplasmic
reticulum-associated degradation steps. *J Biol Chem* 286(2):1292-1300.
24. Kondratyev M, Avezov E, Shenkman M, Groisman B, & Lederkremer GZ (2007) PERK-
dependent compartmentalization of ERAD and unfolded protein response machineries
during ER stress. *Exp Cell Res* 313(16):3395-3407.
25. Keefe AD, Wilson DS, Seelig B, & Szostak JW (2001) One-step purification of
recombinant proteins using a nanomolar-affinity streptavidin-binding peptide, the SBP-
Tag. *Protein Expr Purif* 23(3):440-446.
26. Adelman MR, Sabatini DD, & Blobel G (1973) Ribosome-membrane interaction.
Nondestructive disassembly of rat liver rough microsomes into ribosomal and
membranous components. *J Cell Biol* 56(1):206-229.
27. Ogen-Shtern N*, et al.* (2023) COP I and II dependent trafficking controls ER-associated
degradation in mammalian cells. *iScience* 26(3):106232.
28. Pfeffer S*, et al.* (2014) Structure of the mammalian oligosaccharyl-transferase complex
in the native ER protein translocon. *Nat Commun* 5:3072.
29. Scotter EL*, et al.* (2014) Differential roles of the ubiquitin proteasome system and
autophagy in the clearance of soluble and aggregated TDP-43 species. *J Cell Sci* 127(Pt
6):1263-1278.
30. Kelleher DJ & Gilmore R (1997) DAD1, the defender against apoptotic cell death, is a
subunit of the mammalian oligosaccharyltransferase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
94(10):4994-4999.
31. Nikonov AV, Snapp E, Lippincott-Schwartz J, & Kreibich G (2002) Active translocon
complexes labeled with GFP-Dad1 diffuse slowly as large polysome arrays in the
endoplasmic reticulum. *J Cell Biol* 158(3):497-506.
32. Kamhi-Nesher S*, et al.* (2001) A novel quality control compartment derived from the
endoplasmic reticulum. *Molecular biology of the cell* 12(6):1711-1723.
33. Frenkel Z, Shenkman M, Kondratyev M, & Lederkremer GZ (2004) Separate roles and
different routing of calnexin and ERp57 in endoplasmic reticulum quality control
revealed by interactions with asialoglycoprotein receptor chains. *Mol Biol Cell*
15(5):2133-2142.
34. Pereira F*, et al.* (2019) Effect of Sec61 interaction with Mpd1 on endoplasmic reticulum-
associated degradation. *PLoS One* 14(1):e0211180.
35. Ron E*, et al.* (2011) Bypass of glycan-dependent glycoprotein delivery to ERAD by up-
regulated EDEM1. *Molecular biology of the cell* 22(21):3945-3954.
36. Benyair R*, et al.* (2015) Mammalian ER mannosidase I resides in quality control vesicles,
where it encounters its glycoprotein substrates. *Molecular biology of the cell* 26(2):172-
184.

37. Shenkman M*, et al.* (2013) A Shared Endoplasmic Reticulum-associated Degradation
Pathway Involving the EDEM1 Protein for Glycosylated and Nonglycosylated Proteins. *J*
Biol Chem 288(4):2167-2178.
38. Lamriben L*, et al.* (2018) EDEM1's mannosidase-like domain binds ERAD client proteins
in a redox-sensitive manner and possesses catalytic activity. *J Biol Chem* 293(36):13932-
13945.
39. Petris G, Vecchi L, Bestagno M, & Burrone OR (2011) Efficient detection of proteins
retro-translocated from the ER to the cytosol by in vivo biotinylation. *PLoS One*
6(8):e23712.
40. Sharma N*, et al.* (2021) The Sigma-1 receptor is an ER-localized type II membrane
protein. *J Biol Chem* 297(5):101299.
41. Shibatani T, David LL, McCormack AL, Frueh K, & Skach WR (2005) Proteomic analysis of
mammalian oligosaccharyltransferase reveals multiple subcomplexes that contain
Sec61, TRAP, and two potential new subunits. *Biochemistry* 44(16):5982-5992.
42. Losfeld ME*, et al.* (2014) A new congenital disorder of glycosylation caused by a
mutation in SSR4, the signal sequence receptor 4 protein of the TRAP complex. *Human*
Molecular Genetics 23(6):1602-1605.
43. Nagasawa K, Higashi T, Hosokawa N, Kaufman RJ, & Nagata K (2007) Simultaneous
induction of the four subunits of the TRAP complex by ER stress accelerates ER
degradation. *EMBO Rep* 8(5):483-489.
44. Russo A (2020) Understanding the mammalian TRAP complex function(s). *Open Biol*
10(5):190244.
45. Yamamoto S*, et al.* (2014) Contribution of calumin to embryogenesis through
participation in the endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation activity. *Dev Biol*
393(1):33-43.
46. Kon T*, et al.* (2022) Accumulation of Nonfibrillar TDP-43 in the Rough Endoplasmic
Reticulum Is the Early-Stage Pathology in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *J Neuropathol*
Exp Neurol 81(4):271-281.
47. Lin DL*, et al.* (2017) Dengue Virus Hijacks a Noncanonical Oxidoreductase Function of a
Cellular Oligosaccharyltransferase Complex. *mBio* 8(4).
48. Patel C, Saad H, Shenkman M, & Lederkremer GZ (2020) Oxidoreductases in
Glycoprotein Glycosylation, Folding, and ERAD. *Cells* 9(9).
49. Cherepanova NA, Shrimal S, & Gilmore R (2014) Oxidoreductase activity is necessary for
N-glycosylation of cysteine-proximal acceptor sites in glycoproteins. *J Cell Biol*
206(4):525-539.
50. Lilley BN & Ploegh HL (2004) A membrane protein required for dislocation of misfolded
proteins from the ER. *Nature* 429(6994):834-840.
51. Christianson JC, Shaler TA, Tyler RE, & Kopito RR (2008) OS-9 and GRP94 deliver mutant
alpha1-antitrypsin to the Hrd1-SEL1L ubiquitin ligase complex for ERAD. *Nat Cell Biol*
10(3):272-282.
52. Cherepanova NA & Gilmore R (2016) Mammalian cells lacking either the cotranslational
or posttranslocational oligosaccharyltransferase complex display substrate-dependent
defects in asparagine linked glycosylation. *Sci Rep* 6:20946.
53. Knopf JD*, et al.* (2020) Intramembrane protease RHBDL4 cleaves
oligosaccharyltransferase subunits to target them for ER-associated degradation. *J Cell*
Sci 133(6).

54. Wu X & Rapoport TA (2021) Translocation of Proteins through a Distorted Lipid Bilayer.
Trends Cell Biol 31(6):473-484.
55. Hosokawa N*, et al.* (2008) Human XTP3-B forms an endoplasmic reticulum quality
control scaffold with the HRD1-SEL1L ubiquitin ligase complex and BiP. *J Biol Chem*
283(30):20914-20924.
56. Jaenicke LA, Brendebach H, Selbach M, & Hirsch C (2011) Yos9p assists in the
degradation of certain nonglycosylated proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum.
Molecular biology of the cell 22(16):2937-2945.
57. Tolchinsky S, Yuk MH, Ayalon M, Lodish HF, & Lederkremer GZ (1996) Membrane-bound
versus secreted forms of human asialoglycoprotein receptor subunits - Role of a
juxtamembrane pentapeptide. *J Biol Chem* 271(24):14496-14503.
58. Ogen-Shtern N, Avezov E, Shenkman M, Benyair R, & Lederkremer GZ (2016)
Mannosidase IA is in Quality Control Vesicles and Participates in Glycoprotein Targeting
to ERAD. *Journal of molecular biology* 428(16):3194-3205.
59. Avezov E, Frenkel Z, Ehrlich M, Herscovics A, & Lederkremer GZ (2008) Endoplasmic
reticulum (ER) mannosidase I is compartmentalized and required for N-glycan trimming
to Man5-6GlcNAc2 in glycoprotein ER-associated degradation. *Mol Biol Cell* 19(1):216-
225.
60. Leitman J, Ulrich Hartl F, & Lederkremer GZ (2013) Soluble forms of polyQ-expanded
huntingtin rather than large aggregates cause endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Nature*
Communications 4:2753.
61. Call ME, Pyrdol J, Wiedmann M, & Wucherpfennig KW (2002) The organizing principle in
the formation of the T cell receptor-CD3 complex. *Cell* 111(7):967-979.
62. Sherman BT*, et al.* (2022) DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and
functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). *Nucleic Acids Res* 50(W1):W216-w221.
63. Szklarczyk D*, et al.* (2023) The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association
networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest.
Nucleic Acids Res 51(D1):D638-d646.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. ERAD substrate interactors identified by a SILAC proteomics approach. (a)
Experimental scheme of SILAC-based mass spectrometry analysis of HEK293 cells labeled with
lysine containing heavy isotopes for 10 days or HEK293 stably expressing H2aSBP (SBP6 cell
line) without heavy lysine (or vice versa). Cells were treated with MG-132 (50μM) and ALLN
(50μM) for 4h and puromycin (2mM) for 15 min before lysis, or only with puromycin. Cells were
solubilized in 1% digitonin in PBS and lysates from cells labeled with or without heavy lysine were
mixed 1:1. Protein complexes pulled down with H2aSBP (using streptavidin-agarose beads) were
eluted with 250μM biotin, subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by trypsin digestion and LC-MS/MS
analysis. **(b)** Effect of mutant HRD1 on H2aSBP. Dominant negative mutant myc-tagged hsHRD1
(mutHRD1-myc) was transfected into SBP6 cells. Two days post-transfection these cells or
control HEK293 cells were treated or not for 4h with 20 μM MG132, lysed and subjected to
precipitation with streptavidin beads. Biotin-eluted samples were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE
and immunoblotted with anti-H2a or anti-myc. MW markers in kDa are on the right. **(c)**
Metabolically labeled proteins pulled down with H2aSBP. SBP6 cells or control HEK293 cells
were labeled with [^{35}S] Cys/Met mix for 5h under proteasomal inhibition with 20mM MG132 and
treated or not with 2mM puromycin for the last 15 min before lysis. Cells were lysed in digitonin
buffer followed by precipitation with streptavidin beads, elution with biotin and SDS-PAGE with
detection by fluorography. **(d)** Proteins pulled down with H2aSBP and silver stained. SBP6 cells
or control HEK293 cells were treated for 4h with 20μM MG132 and 2mM puromycin (last 15 min),
lysed in digitonin buffer followed by precipitation with streptavidin beads (in the presence of 0.1%
BSA), elution with biotin, SDS-PAGE and silver staining. **(e)** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of
differentially expressed genes from the SILAC results of cells with or without proteasomal
inhibition (ratio>1.5). -log2 enriched p-values are shown for selected top entries with the lowest
false discovery rates. (see also Suppl. Table 1)

Fig. 2. OST subunits are among top ERAD substrate interactors. (a) STRING interaction map
of proteins identified in the SILAC analysis, highlighting those with >1.5 ratio when comparing
cells treated with or without proteasomal inhibitors. OST protein subunits are in red, other
enriched functions are in colored circles. **(b)** Ratio of levels of proteins identified in cells treated
with or without proteasomal inhibitors. The proteins on the left (up to UBQL2) were detected only
in proteasomal inhibitor-treated cells. The dotted line is a value of 1, equal presence in cells
treated with or without proteasomal inhibitors. (see also Suppl. Tables 2 and 3).

**Fig. 3. DAD1 accumulates in the ERQC upon proteasomal inhibition separately from
ribosomes. (a-d)** GFP-DAD1 and Sec61β-GFP accumulate at the ERQC. NIH 3T3 cells were
transiently cotransfected with the ERAD substrate H2a-RFP and with GFP-DAD1 or Sec61β-GFP
and were incubated with or without 17 μM Lac for 3h. **(e-f)** Ribosomes do not accumulate at the
ERQC. NIH 3T3 cells transiently transfected with H2a-RFP were treated as in (a-d) and were
fixed and immunostained using a primary mouse anti-rRNA antibody and secondary goat anti-
mouse IgG antibody conjugated to Cy2. **(g-l)** GFP-DAD1 colocalizes with OS-9 with or without
proteasomal inhibition. S-tagged OS-9.1/2 was expressed in NIH 3T3 cells together with H2a-
GFP or GFP-DAD1, with or without treatment with 1.5 μM Bz for 3h, followed by fixation and
immunostaining with mouse anti-S tag antibody and secondary goat anti-mouse IgG antibody
conjugated to Dylight 549. The graphs are from data from 3 repeat experiments. **(m)** Comigration
of endogenous DAD1 and the ERAD substrate at the ERQC. HEK293 cells transiently transfected
with H2a-RFP were incubated without (left) or with Bz (2 μM) for 3 h. Cells were homogenized
and postnuclear supernatants were centrifuged on 10–34% iodixanol gradients. Fractions of the
gradients were run on SDS–PAGE and immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. H2a-RFP
was detected with anti-RFP. A representative experiment out of 3 repeat experiments is shown.

Fig. 4. Increased interaction of DAD1 with an ERAD substrate upon proteasomal inhibition.
Immunoprecipitation with a mouse monoclonal (B9) anti-H2a of lysates of HEK293 cells
expressing H2a together with GFP-DAD1, with or without treatment with 2 μM Bz for 3 h.
Crosslinking with 1 mM DSP was performed before cell lysis. Immunoprecipitates and 10% of the
total lysates (input) were immunoblotted with rabbit anti-H2a amino-terminal antibody and anti-
GFP. Asterisk= non-specific band. The graph shows increased coimmunoprecipitation of GFP-
DAD1 with H2a upon proteasomal inhibition. Values are relative to control (=100), normalized by
total GFP-DAD1 and H2a, average of 4 independent experiments ±SD. p value = 0.0003.

Fig. 5. OST subunits promote degradation of a glycosylated ERAD substrate. (a-c)
Overexpression of GFP-DAD1 strongly reduces the levels of H2a, knockdown of DAD1 or Tusc3
increases H2a levels. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with anti-H2a amino-terminal antibody.
The graphs show averages of 3 or 4 independent experiments ±SD. p value ±GFP-DAD1 = 2×10^{-5}, ±shDAD1 = 0.03, ±shTusc3 = 0.0004. **(d-f)** Pulse-labeling for 20 min with [^{35}S]cysteine and
chase for the indicated times of cells expressing H2a with or without overexpression of GFP-
DAD1 (d), knockdown of Tusc3 (e) or treatment with Tun (5 μg/ml) for 18h prior to the pulse and
during the pulse and chase times (f). H2a degradation (percentage remaining relative to pulse)
was accelerated by GFP-DAD1, inhibited by Tusc3 knockdown and was not affected by blocking
glycosylation (+Tun). The graphs show averages of 3 independent experiments ±SD. p value
±GFP-DAD1 3h = 0.05, 6h = 0.05; ±shTusc3 3h = 0.03; ±Tun 3h = 0.04.

**Fig. 6. Participation of OST subunits to a lesser extent in the degradation of an
unglycosylated ERAD substrate. (a-c)** Overexpression of GFP-DAD1 reduces the levels of
H2aDgly, knockdown of DAD1 does not affect its levels whereas Tusc3 knockdown increases
moderately H2aDgly levels. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with anti-H2a amino-terminal
antibody. The graphs show averages of 3 or 4 independent experiments ±SD. p value ±GFP-
DAD1 = 3×10^{-6}, ±shTusc3 = 0.004. **(d)** Pulse/ chase with [^{35}S]cysteine of cells expressing
H2aDgly shows a moderate inhibition of its degradation by Tusc3 knockdown. The graph shows
an average of 3 independent experiments ±SD. p value ±shTusc3 3h = 0.01.

Fig. 7. OST subunits affect levels of other substrates. (a-c) Overexpression of GFP-DAD1
reduces moderately the levels of BACE476-HA, knockdown of DAD1 or Tusc3 cause a minor
increase in BACE476-HA levels. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with anti-HA and anti-actin as
loading control. **(d-f)** Overexpression of GFP-DAD1 causes a minor reduction in the levels of
NHK-HA, knockdown of DAD1 or Tusc3 increase moderately NHK-HA levels. Cell lysates were
immunoblotted with anti-HA and anti-β tubulin as loading control. The graphs show averages of 3
independent experiments ±SD. p value for BACE476-HA: ±GFP-DAD1 = 0.008, ±shDAD1 = 0.01,
±shTusc3 = 0.003; for NHK-HA: ±GFP-DAD1 = 0.05, ±shDAD1 = 2×10^{-6}, ±shTusc3 = 0.03.

**Fig. 8. Interaction of an OST subunit with HRD1 and promotion of retrotranslocation of an
ERAD substrate. (a)** Immunoprecipitation with anti-myc of lysates of HEK293 cells expressing
HRD1-myc together with GFP-DAD1 and treated with or without 2 μM Bz for 4 h.
Immunoprecipitates and 10% of the total lysates (input) were immunoblotted with anti-myc and
anti-GFP. The graph shows reduced coimmunoprecipitation of GFP-DAD1 with HRD1-myc under
proteasomal inhibition. Values are relative to control (=100), normalized by total GFP-DAD1 and
HRD1-myc, average of 3 independent experiments ±SD. p value = 0.009. **(b)** Scheme of addition

of biotin (star) to H2a-BAP by an ER luminal biotin ligase, sec-BirA or after retrotranslocation by a
cytosolic one, BirA. HEK293 cells transiently expressing H2a-BAP with or without BirA or Sec-
BirA were incubated 24h post-transfection with biotin (100μM) for 30 min before lysis. Strep-HRP
was used to detect biotinylated H2a-BAP and anti-V5 to detect total H2a-BAP. **(c)** Cells
expressing H2a-BAP together with BirA and GFP-DAD1 overexpression or knockdown of DAD1
or Tusc3 were incubated with biotin for 3h. Cell lysates were reacted with Strep-HRP or with anti-
H2a antibody. Retrotranslocated (biotinylated) H2a-BAP increased almost two-fold under GFP-
DAD1 overexpression and was reduced to half under Tusc3 or DAD1 knockdown. Values are
averages of 4 independent experiments ±SD, relative to total H2a-BAP and standardized by the
control (=100). P value +shTusc3 vs. control = 0.02, +GFP-DAD1 vs. control = 0.04, +shDAD1 vs.
control = 0.02. **(d)** Model illustrating the interaction of OST with translocon components during
forward translocation and its participation in N-glycosylation. OST also interacts with HRD1 and
participates in retrotranslocation and targeting to ERAD.

Table 1

OST subunit	UNIPROT Accession No.	Hit No.	Median ratio with vs. without proteasome inhibition	Peptides (seq)	Sequence Coverage (%)
IAP/ MAGT1, MAGT1_HUMAN	Q9H0U3		Only in cells treated with proteasome inhibitors	4	10.1
STT3 A, STT3A_HUMAN	P46977		Only in cells treated with proteasome inhibitors	8	11.2
DC2/ OSTC, OSATC_HUMAN	Q9NRP0		Only in cells treated with proteasome inhibitors	1	8.1
Ribophorin II, RPN2_HUMAN	P04844	27	4.3	15	30.9
OST 48, OST48_HUMAN	P39656	32	2.8	11	29.8
Ribophorin I, RPN1_HUMAN	P04843	36	2.4	18	32.5

Table 1. OST subunits detected by mass spectrometry. LC-MS/MS analysis following the
experimental scheme of Fig. 1a. Results of >2 fold labeled/unlabeled from cells treated with MG-
132 and ALLN for 4h and puromycin for 15 min before lysis were compared to those from cells
treated with only puromycin for 15 min, after normalization to the total amount of H2a-SBP
(ASGPR2, bait) obtained. Hits are ranked by enrichment in cells treated with vs. without
proteasome inhibitors. Only OST subunits, with enrichment values of 2-fold or higher in cells

treated with vs. without proteasome inhibitors or found only in cells treated with proteasome

inhibitors are shown. See Suppl. Tables 2 and 3 for complete lists of hits.

Fig. 1

Fig. 3

a
expression:
H2a   +   +
GFP-DAD1   -   +

48 — H2a
48 — actin

***

% relative to control

H2a   H2a
           +GFP-DAD1

b
expression:
H2a   +   +
shDAD1   -   +

48 — H2a *
48 — actin

*

fold relative to control

H2a   H2a
           +shDAD1

c
expression:
H2a   +   +
shTusc3   -   +

48 — H2a *
48 — actin

***

fold relative to control

H2a   H2a
           +shTusc3

d
Chase (h)   0   3   6
48 — H2a
48 — H2a +GFP-DAD1

H2a remaining relative to pulse (%)

Chase (h)

● ctrl
■ +GFP-DAD1

*
*

e
Chase (h)   0   3   6
48 — H2a
48 — H2a +shTusc3

H2a remaining relative to pulse (%)

Chase (h)

● ctrl
▲ +shTusc3

*

f
Chase (h)   0   3   6
48
3 N-glycans
2 N-glycans
0 N-glycans
35
    H2a

48
3 N-glycans
2 N-glycans
0 N-glycans
35
    H2a +Tun

H2a remaining relative to pulse (%)

Chase (h)

● ctrl
■ +Tun

Fig. 6

a

expression:
BACE476-HA   +   +
GFP-DAD1   –   +

35 — BACE476-HA
48 — actin

**

% relative to control
BACE476   BACE476
           +GFP-DAD1

b

expression:
BACE476-HA   +   +
shDAD1   –   +

35 — BACE476-HA
48 — actin

*

% relative to control
150
100
50
0
BACE476   BACE476
           +shDAD1

c

expression:
BACE476-HA   +   +
shTusc3   –   +

35 — BACE476-HA
48 — actin

**

% relative to control
150
100
50
0
BACE476   BACE476
           +shTusc3

d

expression:
NHK-HA   +   +
GFP-DAD1 –   +

48 — NHK-HA
48 — tubulin

*

% relative to control
NHK   NHK
       +GFP-DAD1

e

expression:
NHK-HA   +   +
shDAD1   –   +

48 — NHK-HA
48 — tubulin

***

% relative to control
150
100
50
0
NHK   NHK
       +shDAD1

f

expression:
NHK-HA   +   +
shTusc3   –   +

48 — NHK-HA
48 — tubulin

*

% relative to control
150
100
50
0
NHK   NHK
       +shTusc3

Fig. 8