Passive accumulation of alkaloids in inconspicuously colored frogs refines the evolutionary paradigm of acquired chemical defenses

Rebecca D. Tarvin[1,]*, Jeffrey L. Coleman[2,3], David A. Donoso[4,5], Mileidy Betancourth-Cundar[6,7], Karem López-Hervas[8], Kimberly S. Gleason[9], J. Ryan Sanders[9], Jacqueline M. Smith[9], Santiago R. Ron[10], Juan C. Santos[11], Brian E. Sedio[2,3], David C. Cannatella[2,]*, and Richard Fitch[9,]*

Affiliations

[1] Museum of Vertebrate Zoology and Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

[2] Department of Integrative Biology and Biodiversity Collections, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712 USA

[3] Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, Ancón, Republic of Panama

[4] Grupo de Investigación en Ecología Evolutiva en los Trópicos (EETROP), Universidad de las Américas, Quito, Ecuador

[5] Ecological Networks Lab, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

[6] Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia, 111711

[7] Department of Biology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, 94305, USA

[8] Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany 24306

[9] Department of Chemistry and Physics, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN 47809, USA

[10] Museo de Zoología, Escuela de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador

[11] Department of Biological Sciences, St John's University, NY, USA 11439

*Corresponding authors: rdtarvin@berkeley.edu, catfish@utexas.edu, Richard.Fitch@indstate.edu

Abstract

Understanding the origins of novel, complex phenotypes is a major goal in evolutionary biology. Poison frogs of the family Dendrobatidae have evolved the novel ability to acquire alkaloids from their diet for chemical defense at least three times. However, taxon sampling for alkaloids has been biased towards colorful species, without similar attention paid to inconspicuous ones that are often assumed to be undefended. As a result, our understanding of how chemical defense evolved in this group is incomplete. Here we provide new data showing that, in contrast to previous studies, species from each undefended poison frog clade have measurable yet low amounts of alkaloids. We confirm that undefended dendrobatids regularly consume mites and ants, which are known sources of alkaloids. Thus, our data suggest that diet is insufficient to explain the defended phenotype. Our data support the existence of a phenotypic intermediate between toxin consumption and sequestration — passive accumulation — that differs from sequestration in that it involves no derived forms of transport and storage mechanisms yet results in low levels of toxin accumulation. We discuss the concept of passive accumulation and its potential role in the origin of chemical defenses in poison frogs and other toxin-sequestering organisms. In light of ideas from pharmacokinetics we incorporate new and old data from poison frogs into an evolutionary model that could help explain the origins of acquired chemical

40 defenses in animals and provide insight into the molecular processes that govern the fate of ingested
41 toxins.

Resumen

Comprender los orígenes de fenotipos novedosos y complejos es un objetivo central en biología
evolutiva. Las ranas venenosas de la familia Dendrobatidae han desarrollado una novedosa habilidad
para adquirir alcaloides de su dieta como defensas químicas, al menos tres veces. Sin embargo, el
muestreo de taxones en busca de alcaloides ha estado sesgado hacia las especies coloridas, sin prestar
atención similar a las poco conspicuas que a menudo se presume, no tienen defensas. Como resultado,
nuestra comprensión de cómo evolucionan las defensas químicas en este grupo es incompleta. Aquí,
proporcionamos nuevos datos que muestran que, en contraste con estudios anteriores, las especies de
cada clado de ranas venenosas no defendidas tienen cantidades bajas pero cuantificables de alcaloides.
Confirmamos que los dendrobátidos no defendidos consumen regularmente ácaros y hormigas, que son
fuentes conocidas de alcaloides. Por lo tanto, nuestros datos sugieren que la dieta es insuficiente para
explicar el fenotipo defendido. Nuestros datos respaldan la existencia de un fenotipo intermedio entre
consumo y secuestro de toxinas (acumulación pasiva), que difiere del secuestro en que no implica
formas derivadas de mecanismos de transporte y almacenamiento, pero da lugar a bajos niveles de
acumulación de toxinas. Discutimos el concepto de acumulación pasiva y su potencial rol en el origen de
defensas químicas en ranas venenosas y otros organismos que secuestran toxinas. Considerando ideas
de farmacocinética, incorporamos datos nuevos y antiguos de ranas venenosas dentro de un modelo
evolutivo que podría ayudar a explicar los orígenes de defensas químicas adquiridas en animales, y
proporcionar una visión de los procesos moleculares que regulan el destino de las toxinas ingeridas.

Keywords: toxin sequestration, toxin resistance, bioaccumulation, novelty, adaptive landscape,
toxicokinetics

1. Introduction

(a) Overview

Complex phenotypes can evolve by leveraging phenotypic plasticity in existing traits with concerted
change in developmental modules (West-Eberhard, 2003). However, the evolutionary trajectory that
animals take to traverse an adaptive landscape from one phenotype to another may be difficult to
reconstruct given that they often must cross or avoid adaptive valleys, which include phenotypes that
are not always readily observed in populations (e.g., Martin and Wainwright, 2013). Nevertheless,
phenotype diversity can help us unravel origins of novel traits and reveal the physiological trade-offs
associated with their evolutionary trajectory (Tarvin et al., 2017).

Acquired chemical defenses, or the ability to sequester and use chemicals from the environment against
predators or parasites, is one complex phenotype whose evolutionary history has proved difficult to
characterize (Berenbaum, 1995; Santos et al., 2016). Although human interest in poisonous plants and
animals is old — dating back millennia (Charitos et al., 2022) — we have only recently begun to elucidate
the specific mechanisms involved in acquired chemical defenses (Beran and Petschenka, 2022). This
persisting gap in knowledge may be partly explained by a historical lack of integration between systems
biology and pharmacology (Rostami-Hodjegan, 2012). Here we incorporate ideas from pharmacokinetics
with data from poison frogs (Anura: Dendrobatidae) into an evolutionary model that could help explain
the origins of acquired chemical defenses in poison frogs and more generally in other animals.

In the following text, we use the terms alkaloid and toxin interchangeably, although the toxicity of each
poison frog alkaloid is not always known or very straightforward (Lawrence et al., 2023). Similarly, for
simplicity we broadly bin species as defended (high alkaloid content) or undefended (low or zero

alkaloid content), although little information exists regarding the defensive efficacy of specific alkaloids.
In this context, we use the term alkaloid to refer to compounds with nitrogen-containing rings,
specifically the subset of lipophilic alkaloids representing classes previously described in anuran
integument, e.g., "N-methyldecahydroquinolines" or "lehmizidines" (e.g., Daly et al., 2009, 2005).

(b) The history of research leading to the current paradigm: the diet-toxicity hypothesis

In the 1980s, Toft characterized several types of foraging behaviors in neotropical frogs and found that
active foraging for ants was common in poisonous frogs (Dendrobatidae and Bufonidae), while sit-and-
wait predation on larger prey was common in non-poisonous species (Toft, 1981, 1980). Toft
hypothesized that chemical defenses protected poisonous species from the greater predation risk
incurred by active foraging. At the time, it was thought that poisonous dendrobatids synthesized their
own alkaloids (the biosynthetic hypothesis; reviewed by Saporito et al., 2009), so differences in diet
were not considered mechanistically relevant to differences in levels of chemical defense. However,
Daly and others (1994a) later demonstrated that chemically defended dendrobatid frogs obtained
alkaloids from their diet. This dietary hypothesis led researchers to reevaluate the evolutionary
importance of active foraging and hypothesize that specialization on ants promoted the evolution of
chemical defense in Dendrobatidae (Caldwell, 1996). Later, a more detailed phylogenetic analysis of
Dendrobatidae revealed that chemical defense and diet specialization co-evolved independently several
times (Santos et al., 2003). The new information helped generate the diet-toxicity hypothesis, which
posits that shifts from a generalist to a specialist diet are correlated with origins of alkaloid uptake
(Darst et al., 2005; Santos and Cannatella, 2011). Since then, many studies have focused on the diet of
poison frogs in an effort to directly connect diet with chemical defense in specific species (e.g., McGugan
et al., 2016; Osorio et al., 2015; Sanches et al., 2023; Sánchez-Loja et al., 2023) and to identify sources of
poison frog alkaloids (e.g., Saporito et al., 2004, 2007b). In general, most of the studies of poison-frog
ecology since the 1990s emphasize or assume that diet is a primary determinant of defense.

(c) A new paradigm: the passive-accumulation hypothesis

Although in the 1990s Daly and his colleagues proposed that an alkaloid uptake system was present in
the ancestor of Dendrobatidae and is overexpressed in aposematic species (Daly, 1998; Daly et al.,
1994b; Saporito et al., 2009), no details about this purported system were given, and little focus was
placed on the physiological processes of alkaloid sequestration in poison frogs for nearly 20 years.
Santos et al. (2016) noted that the study of acquired chemical defenses is "essentially a study in
pharmacokinetics." Pharmacokinetics (or toxicokinetics, for toxins; Spurgeon et al., 2020) is the study of
how bioactive compounds are processed by animals. Organismal processes are often binned into four
categories together known as ADME, which stands for Absorption, or movement into the bloodstream,
Distribution, or movement into and out of body compartments, Metabolism, or biotransformation of
the compound, and Excretion, or elimination from the body (Ruiz-Garcia et al., 2008). Herein we use
similar terms that are more directly relevant to the study of acquired chemical defenses: toxin intake, or
the amount of toxin consumed; toxin elimination, or the metabolic detoxification and/or elimination of
toxins from the body (equivalent to Metabolism+Excretion); toxin sequestration, or the transport and
storage of toxins to a specific location such as the skin (a modified version of Distribution); and toxin
accumulation, or the retention of toxins in an animal, whether or not it is by sequestration processes.

Applying ideas from pharmacokinetics to acquired chemical defenses leads us to propose a four-phase
evolutionary model, which we call the passive-accumulation hypothesis: 1) consistent exposure to a
toxic compound; 2) prior existence or evolution of some resistance to the toxin; 3) change in the
elimination rate of the compound that leads to its prolonged retention, hereafter passive accumulation;
and 4) adaptation of molecular pathways to transport and store the compound in a specific location,

hereafter sequestration, which results in the chemical defense phenotype. Phases 3 and 4 may both
select for increased toxin resistance, initiating a positive feedback loop that could intensify chemical
defense and resistance over time. Note that while we focus on the physiological processes underlying
toxin resistance and sequestration, other selection pressures including predators may influence these
patterns (see section 2d).

Savitzky et al. (2012) defined "sequestration" as "the evolved retention within tissues of specific
compounds, not normally retained in the ancestors of the taxon in question, which confers a selective
advantage through one or more particular functions." We define passive accumulation as a type of toxin
accumulation that is temporary and results from the delay between toxin intake and elimination; an
example would be the temporary accumulation then clearance of ibuprofen in blood plasma in humans
following ingestion (Albert and Gernaat, 1984). We differentiate passive accumulation from
sequestration, a term that we argue implies the existence of a derived form of a transport or storage
mechanism absent in the ancestor of the taxon, which would permit greater levels of and more long-
term toxin accumulation than passive accumulation. In other systems such as insects, mechanisms of
sequestration are sometimes described as passive (occurring by diffusion) or active (energy-consuming)
(Petschenka and Agrawal, 2016). Given the general lack of data regarding the mechanisms underlying
sequestration in frogs, we refrain from applying these modifiers to the sequestration term.

Figure 1. A new evolutionary model of toxin sequestration in Dendrobatidae. We propose that alkaloid
consumption, some level of alkaloid resistance, and passive accumulation were present in the most recent
common ancestor of Dendrobatidae; enhanced resistance and sequestration mechanisms then arose later,
resulting in the chemical defense phenotype. Our model places less emphasis on dietary changes compared to
prior studies, and more strongly emphasizes novel molecular mechanisms (e.g., binding proteins and target-site

insensitivity; Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2023; Tarvin et al., 2017, 2016). Purple lines indicate lineages with chemical
defense. Gray lines indicate lineages that putatively lack chemical defense. All images of frogs were taken by RDT
and are identified by their museum number.

To develop and refine this hypothesis, we gathered diet and toxin data from a broad selection of
aposematic and inconspicuously colored poison-frog species. Approximately 100 of the 345 dendrobatid
poison-frog species (AmphibiaWeb, 2023) fall into three conspicuously colored and alkaloid-
sequestering (aposematic) clades: *Ameerega, Epipedobates,* and Dendrobatinae. The other 245 species
compose several other primarily inconspicuously colored clades that for the most part have been
assumed to lack alkaloid defenses: that is, all Aromobatinae (e.g., *Allobates, Rheobates,*
Anomaloglossus, and *Aromobates*), all Hyloxalinae (*Ectopoglossus, Hyloxalus, Paruwrobates*), and some
Colostethinae (*Colostethus, Silverstoneia, Leucostethus*) (Fig. 1). According to the phylogenetic
placement of defended and undefended species within Dendrobatidae, poison frogs have evolved
sequestration of lipophilic alkaloids from consumed arthropods at least three times (Santos et al., 2014,
2003), making them a suitable group to study complex phenotypic transitions like the evolution of
chemical defense.

In total we surveyed 104 animals representing 32 species of Neotropical frogs including 28 dendrobatid
species, 2 bufonids, 1 leptodactylid, and 1 eleutherodactylid (see Methods). Each of the major
undefended clades in Dendrobatidae (Fig. 1, Table 1) is represented in our dataset, with a total of 14
undefended dendrobatid species surveyed. Next, we review old and new evidence from poison frogs in
the context of the four-phase model (sections 2a and 2b). Then we describe major predictions that need
further testing to validate and/or revise the proposed model (section 2c). Finally, we discuss other
factors that might influence the evolution of chemical defenses (section 2d), the passive accumulation
phenotype in a broader evolutionary context (section 2e), and possible limitations of this study (section
2f). Overall, we propose that further integrating ideas from pharmacokinetics into studies of acquired
chemical defenses will lead to new insight in the field, with clear applications to human and ecosystem
health. In that vein, we suggest that evolutionary changes in toxin resistance and metabolism are critical
physiological shifts that facilitate origins of acquired chemical defenses in animals.

2. Results and Discussion

(a) Phases 1 and 2: Consistent exposure to toxins may select for resistance in poison frogs

Several of the lipophilic alkaloids found in dendrobatid frogs have been traced to arthropod sources,
specifically mites (Saporito et al., 2007b), ants (Saporito et al., 2004), and beetles (Dumbacher et al.,
2004), although the extent to which such arthropod prey vary in alkaloid diversity and quantity remains
relatively unstudied. Regardless, broad-scale shifts in diet content towards a higher proportion of ants
and mites have been hypothesized to play an important role in the origin of chemical defense in poison
frogs (Darst et al., 2005; Santos and Cannatella, 2011).

We quantified gut contents for 32 species of Neotropical frogs. Both undefended and defended
dendrobatid species consume a large proportion of ants and mites (Fig. 2; Table S1). Although the
defended dendrobatid clades tend to consume proportionally more ants and mites, as in other studies,
the undefended lineages do consume a high proportion of ants and mites. Other data support this
general pattern: ants and mites respectively constituted up to 51% and 60% of the stomach contents of
the undefended dendrobatids *Allobates talamancae* (Mebs et al., 2018) and *Hyloxalus sauli* (Darst et al.,
2005). Ants and mites compose nearly 50% of the arthropods (36% and 10%, respectively) found in the
Silverstoneia flotator stomachs we analyzed (Table S1). Sympatric populations of the undefended
Hyloxalus awa and defended *Epipedobates espinosai* (formerly *E. darwinwallacei* (López-Hervas et al.,

2024) are both diet specialized, with the former consuming mostly ants and beetles and the latter
consuming mostly mites and springtails (Sánchez-Loja et al., 2023). In a lab experiment, the defended
species *Dendrobates tinctorius* preferred fruit fly larvae over ants when given the choice (Moskowitz et
al., 2022b), suggesting that even in defended species, consumption of possible alkaloid-containing prey
is not necessarily a preference. Another study revealed that *Oophaga sylvatica* alkaloid quantity is
inversely correlated with ant and mite stomach contents; however, this species consumed more mites
and ants than sympatric *Hyloxalus elachyhistus* (Moskowitz et al., 2022a). The few bufonids that we
assessed also show a high proportion of ants and mites in their diet (Fig. 2). Thus, if we assume that
many ants and mites contain alkaloids, it is likely that most if not all dendrobatids and their most recent
common ancestors have long been exposed to toxins through their diet.

Few if any experiments have been done to quantify the relationship between natural toxin exposure and
toxin resistance in poison frogs. Given the broad diversity of alkaloid classes found in poison frogs (Daly
et al., 2005), it is very difficult to predict or quantify all possible types or variations of alkaloid resistance
that exist across species, or in their ancestors. In animals, the general mechanisms of toxin resistance
are avoidance, metabolism, and target modification (Tarvin et al., 2023). If an animal does not or cannot
avoid toxin exposure, it will need to *survive* exposure using toxin metabolism or target modification
mechanisms such as biotransformation, elimination, alternative targets, and target-site resistance (see
Tarvin et al., 2023 for more details). Given their diet, dendrobatids clearly do not completely avoid toxin
exposure, and thus they are likely to survive exposure using some manner of toxin metabolism or target
modification. Indeed, target-site resistance to some alkaloids evolved in several defended dendrobatid
clades and in some undefended species (Tarvin et al., 2017, 2016). A few defended species have
alternative target mechanisms including binding proteins like alpha-binding globulin (Alvarez-Buylla et
al., 2023) and saxiphilin (Abderemane-Ali et al., 2021) that might prevent alkaloids from accessing their
molecular targets (e.g., ion channels). Other mechanisms may also exist. For example, poison frogs may
biotransform alkaloids into less toxic forms until they can be eliminated from the body, e.g., using
cytochrome p450s (Caty et al., 2019). The mechanism of resistance employed might differ between
undefended and defended species, but more research is necessary to understand these patterns.

Although more data are necessary to understand the evolution of toxin resistance in dendrobatids
(Coleman and Cannatella, 2023), existing data suggest that all or nearly all dendrobatids are exposed to
alkaloids (Fig. 2) and that alkaloid resistance varies among lineages.

Figure 2. From left to right: an ultrametric tree showing phylogenetic relationships inferred previously (Wan et al., 2023) among sampled species with the three defended poison frog clades highlighted in purple, the undefended clades in dark gray, and non-dendrobatids in light gray (Bufonidae: *Amazophrynella siona* and *Atelopus* aff. *spurrelli*; Leptodactylidae: *Lithodytes lineatus*). Tile color indicates the log of the total quantity of alkaloids in each class as measured by the sum of integrated areas of alkaloids of that class from GC-MS data per individual. The number in each tile indicates the number of alkaloids (including isomers) detected in each individual for each class. On the right are prey items recovered from the stomach of each individual, colored by arthropod group and scaled

to 1 (total number of prey identified are shown under N). Note the large proportion of ants (Formicidae, dark
purple) and mites (Acari, light purple) in many of the individuals compared to other prey types. See Table S1 for
raw diet data and Table S4 for full alkaloid data. Poison-frog genera names are abbreviated as follows: *All.,*
Allobates; Ame., Ameerega; And., Andinobates; D., Dendrobates; E., Epipedobates; H., Hyloxalus; Le., Leucostethus;
O., Oophaga; P., Phyllobates; R., Rheobates; S., Silverstoneia; Alkaloid class abbreviations are based on (Daly et al.,
2009, 2005) and are as follows: HTX, histrionicotoxins; PTX, pumiliotoxins; PTXB, pumiliotoxin B; aPTX,
allopumiliotoxins; DeoxyPTX, deoxypumiliotoxins; hPTX, homopumiliotoxins; deoxy-hPTX, deoxy-
homopumiliotoxins; DHQ, decahydroquinolines; NMeDHQ, N-methyldecahydroquinolines; HO-DHQ, hydroxy-
decahydroquinolines; 3,5-P, 3,5-disubstituted pyrrolizidines; HO-3,5-P, hydroxy-3,5-disubstituted pyrrolizidines; 5-
I, 5-substituted indolizidines; 3,5-I, 3,5-disubstituted indolizidines; 5,6-I, 5,6-disubstituted indolizidines; 5,8-I, 5,8-
disubstituted indolizidines; Dehydro-5,8-I, dehydro-5,8-indolizidines; 5,6,8-I, 5,6,8-trisubstituted indolizidines; HO-
5,6,8-I, hydroxy-5,6,8-trisubstituted indolizidines; 1,4-Q, 1,4-disubstituted quinolizidines; 4,6-Q, 4,6-disubstituted
quinolizidines; 3,5-Q, 3,5-disubstituted quinolizidines; 1,3,4-Q, 1,3,4-trisubstituted quinolizidines; Lehm,
lehmizidines; Epiquinamide, epiquinamide; 2-Pyr, 2-substituted pyrrolidine; 3-Pyr, 3-substituted pyrrolidine; 2,5-
Pyr, 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines; Pyr, pyrrolizidine of indeterminate substitution; 2,6-Pip, 2,6-disubstituted
piperidines; Pip, other piperidines; Pyri, pyridines (including epibatidine); GTX, gephyrotoxins; Tricyclic,
coccinelline-like tricyclics; SpiroP, spiropyrrolizidines; Necine, unspecified necine base; Unclass, unclassified
alkaloids without known structures.

(b) Phases 3 and 4: Evidence for passive accumulation and sequestration in poison frogs

To understand the major evolutionary transition from consuming to sequestering toxins, it is essential to
characterize the metabolism and sequestration of alkaloids in defended and undefended dendrobatid
lineages (Gonzalez and Carazzone, 2023). However, many of the undefended lineages have not been
carefully evaluated for the presence or absence of chemical defense. By reviewing existing data, we
found that only 31 of the 245 inconspicuous poison frog species described to date (AmphibiaWeb, 2023)
have been assessed for toxins, sometimes using methods that would not necessarily detect lipophilic
alkaloids (Table S2). Further, prior studies have sometimes misinterpreted or not fully incorporated
these data (Table S2, and see below). Our review and reassessment of these studies suggest that at least
11 undefended species might have lipophilic alkaloids: *Allobates femoralis, Allobates kingsburyi,*
Allobates zaparo, Colostethus ucumari, H. elachyhistus, Hyloxalus nexipus, Hyloxalus vertebralis,
Hyloxalus yasuni, Leucostethus fugax, Paruwrobates erythromos, and *Silverstoneia punctiventris* (Daly et
al., 1987; Darst et al., 2005; Gonzalez et al., 2021; Grant, 2007; Moskowitz et al., 2022a; Santos and
Cannatella, 2011).

We tested for possible alkaloid presence in additional aposematic and inconspicuously colored poison-
frog lineages. Using Gas-Chromatography Mass-Spectrometry (GC-MS), we surveyed 89 animals
representing 30 species of Neotropical frogs including 27 dendrobatid species, 1 leptodactylid, and 2
bufonids (Fig. 2). We also performed a highly sensitive, untargeted analysis —ultra-high-performance
liquid-chromatography heated-electrospray-ionization tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS)
— of a dendrobatid from an undefended clade (*S. flotator;* 12 individuals) and a species of
eleutherodactylid (*Eleutherodactylus cystignathoides*; 3 individuals), in which alkaloid diversities and
types, but not quantities, were assessed. Each of the major undefended clades in Dendrobatidae (Fig. 1,
Table 1) is represented in our dataset with a total of 13 undefended dendrobatid species surveyed with
GC-MS and 1 undefended dendrobatid species surveyed with UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS. As far as we are
aware, we provide alkaloid data for the first time for six undefended dendrobatid species (*Rheobates*
palmatus, Allobates juanii, Hyloxalus shuar, Hyloxalus sp. Agua Azul*, Silverstoneia* aff. *gutturalis,* and
Silverstoneia erasmios) and one defended species (*Epipedobates currulao*). We also provide the first
alkaloid data for the non-dendrobatids *Amazophrynella siona, El. cystignathoides,* and *Lithodytes*
lineatus (but see de Lima Barros et al., 2016). Because chemical standards for most poison frog alkaloids
do not exist, it is not possible to provide absolute quantification of alkaloids. Reported values for GC-MS

data are in units of integrated area, which do not directly correspond to alkaloid quantity because of
differences in ion yield. Nevertheless, qualitative comparisons of integrated areas can provide insight
into how species differ in degrees of magnitude.

Overall, we detected alkaloids in skins from 13 of the 14 undefended dendrobatid species included in
our study, although often with less diversity and relatively lower quantities than in defended lineages
(Fig. 2, Table 1, Table S3, Table S4). The pervasiveness of low alkaloid levels in undefended dendrobatid
lineages (Aromobatinae, Hyloxalinae, some species of Colostethinae) contrasts with the mixed or
opposing evidence from previous analyses (Table S2). In addition, our GC-MS assessment revealed
substantially higher diversities of alkaloids in defended dendrobatid species than previously reported
(Cipriani and Rivera, 2009; Daly et al., 1987; Lawrence et al., 2023; Moskowitz et al., 2022a, 2020), and
expands knowledge on major classes of alkaloids within genera.

The large number of structures that we identified is in part due to the way we reviewed GC-MS data: in
addition to searching for alkaloids with known fragmentation patterns, we also searched for anything
that could qualify as an alkaloid mass spectrometrically but that may not match a previously known
structure in a reference database. Similarly, the analysis of UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS data was untargeted,
and thus enables a broader survey of chemistry compared to that from prior GC-MS studies. Structural
annotations in our UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS analysis were made using CANOPUS, a deep neural network that
is able to classify unknown metabolites based on MS/MS fragmentation patterns, with 99.7% accuracy
in cross-validation (Dührkop et al., 2021).

Although contamination across samples is possible, it is unlikely to invalidate the identification of
alkaloids in undefended species based on the following. 1) At several sites, we only sampled undefended
species, and these individuals were found to contain alkaloids (e.g., Las Brisas: *R. palmatus*; El Valle: *S.*
aff. *gutturalis*; Santa Maria: *H.* sp. Agua Azul); i.e., these cannot possibly have come from contamination
by defended species. 2) At one site where we collected both undefended and defended species, the
undefended species shows no alkaloids (Lita: *Hyloxalus toachi*); i.e., the preparation of both types does
not imply cross-contamination of samples. 3) At two sites where the undefended species were prepared
on a different day from the defended species (Valle Hermoso: *H. awa* and *Epipedobates boulengeri*;
Canelos: *L. fugax* and *Ameerega hahneli*) and could not have been cross-contaminated, the undefended
species still show evidence of alkaloids. 4) All chromatograms in the GC-MS sequence and integration
data were inspected manually. Peaks with low areas or following samples with high areas and subject to
carryover were excluded from further analysis. 5) Data collected by a different team and analyzed with
different methods also identify alkaloids in an undefended dendrobatid (*S. flotator*) from Panama.

Table 1. Range and median of alkaloid quantity (estimated by the sum of integrated areas) and alkaloid diversity
(number of different compounds) by species from the GC-MS assessment. The presumed chemical defense
phenotype for poison frogs is given according to Santos and Cannatella (2011). Purple rows highlight defended
species. *From a UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS dataset for which alkaloids were not quantified. Note that the UHPLC-HESI-
MS/MS and GC-MS assays differed in both instrument and analytical pipeline, so "Alkaloid Number" values from
the two assay types should not be compared to each other directly.

Family	Subfamily	Species	Phenotype	Sample Size (frogs)	Log (Total Integrated Area)		Alkaloid Number	
					Range	Median	Range	Median
Dendrobatidae	Aromobatinae	*Rheobates palmatus*	undefended	4	13.07 – 14.24	14.00	1 – 4	1.5
Dendrobatidae	Aromobatinae	*Allobates insperatus*	undefended	8	13.47 – 15.44	14.99	1 – 9	5.0
Dendrobatidae	Aromobatinae	*Allobates juanii*	undefended	1	14.10	14.10	1	1.0
Dendrobatidae	Aromobatinae	*Allobates kingsburyi*	undefended	1	13.63	13.63	2	2.0
Dendrobatidae	Aromobatinae	*Allobates talamancae*	undefended	3	14.89 – 16.27	15.09	2 – 4	3.0
Dendrobatidae	Aromobatinae	*Allobates zaparo*	undefended	1	16.78	16.78	8	8.0

Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Leucostethus fugax*	undefended	8	12.57 – 15.33	14.00	3 – 8	4.5
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Ameerega bilinguis*	defended	1	21.97	21.97	133	133.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Ameerega hahneli*	defended	4	20.21 – 22.29	21.68	85 – 140	128.5
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Silverstoneia flotator**	undefended	12	NA	NA	0 – 1	0.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Silverstoneia* aff. *gutturalis*	undefended	9	11.80 – 17.33	15.40	1 – 10	3.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Silverstoneia erasmios*	undefended	2	14.70 – 16.11	15.41	15 – 15	15.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates* aff. *espinosai*	defended	2	18.44 – 20.20	19.32	83 – 131	107.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates anthonyi*	defended	1	20.54	20.54	127	127.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates boulengeri*	defended	2	18.87 – 19.39	19.13	77 – 94	85.5
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates currulao*	defended	2	19.49 – 19.68	19.59	99 – 105	102.5
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates espinosai*	defended	2	18.82 – 21.33	20.08	85 – 146	115.5
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates machalilla*	defended	2	12.98 – 15.67	14.32	8 – 38	23.0
Dendrobatidae	Colostethinae	*Epipedobates tricolor*	defended	2	18.36 – 19.07	18.72	91 – 114	102.5
Dendrobatidae	Hyloxalinae	*Hyloxalus awa*	undefended	7	0.00 – 16.05	13.58	0 – 12	3.0
Dendrobatidae	Hyloxalinae	*Hyloxalus shuar*	undefended	1	14.92	14.92	5	5.0
Dendrobatidae	Hyloxalinae	*Hyloxalus* sp. Agua Azul	undefended	1	14.30	14.30	8	8.0
Dendrobatidae	Hyloxalinae	*Hyloxalus toachi*	undefended	2	0.00 – 0.00	0.00	0 – 0	0.0
Dendrobatidae	Dendrobatinae	*Phyllobates aurotaenia*	defended	4	17.72 – 21.08	18.88	48 – 118	67.5
Dendrobatidae	Dendrobatinae	*Dendrobates truncatus*	defended	3	20.05 – 23.95	20.42	111 – 172	115.0
Dendrobatidae	Dendrobatinae	*Oophaga sylvatica*	defended	5	22.86 – 24.85	23.76	152 – 189	175.0
Dendrobatidae	Dendrobatinae	*Andinobates fulguritus*	defended	2	20.09 – 20.51	20.30	80 – 85	82.5
Dendrobatidae	Dendrobatinae	*Andinobates minutus*	defended	4	16.57 – 18.77	18.07	34 – 80	66.0
Bufonidae		*Amazophrynella siona*	NA	2	14.12 – 14.40	14.26	1 – 1	1.0
Bufonidae		*Atelopus* aff. *spurrelli*	NA	1	11.58	11.58	4	4.0
Eleutherodactylidae		*Eleutherodactylus cystignathoides**	NA	3	NA	NA	0 – 0	0.0
Leptodactylidae	Leptodactylinae	*Lithodytes lineatus*	NA	2	0.00 – 0.00	0.00	0 – 0	0.0

Aromobatinae. For Aromobatinae, we surveyed the undefended genera *Rheobates* and *Allobates.*
Alkaloids were detected in all four *R. palmatus* individuals sampled, with one individual having at least
four classes of compounds represented (4,6-disubstituted quinolizidines, 3,5-disubstituted indolizidines,
3,5-disubstituted pyrrolizidines, and unclassified). We found that five species of *Allobates* all had
detectable levels of alkaloids. *Allobates insperatus* had a relatively high level of alkaloid diversity, with at
least 18 alkaloids from nine classes detected, and at least one class found in each of the eight sampled
individuals. In contrast, only one unclassified alkaloid was identified in a single individual of *Al. juanii*
while two were found in one individual of *Al. kingsburyi*. At least two alkaloids were identified in each of
the three sampled individuals of *Al. talamancae* (including the lehmizidine **277A** and five new alkaloids).
Eight alkaloids were identified in the single surveyed *Al. zaparo* individual (including the
spiropyrrolizidines **222-1** and **222-2** as well as six unclassified alkaloids). Prior assessments using thin-
layer chromatography suggested the presence of alkaloids in three *Al. kingsburyi* (Santos and Cannatella,
2011), but none in 12 *Al. insperatus* (Darst et al., 2005). Four studies (Table S2) failed to identify any
alkaloids in *Al. talamancae. Allobates zaparo* was shown to possibly have trace alkaloids, although the
interpretation of these data was absence of alkaloids (Darst et al., 2005). There are no known defended
species from this subfamily, although we note conflicting evidence for the presence of alkaloids in *Al.*
femoralis (Amézquita et al., 2017; Daly et al., 1987; Sanchez et al., 2019; Saporito and Grant, 2018)
(Table S2).

Colostethinae. Within Colostethinae, we surveyed individuals from two undefended clades,
Leucostethus and *Silverstoneia*, and from two defended clades, *Epipedobates* and *Ameerega*. From *L.*
fugax, we identified a total of twelve 5-substituted indolizidine, 5,6-disubstituted indolizidine,
pyrrolidine, spiropyrrolizidine, and unclassified alkaloids (**196A**, **225C**, **222-1**, **222-2**, and eight new
alkaloids), with three to eight unique compounds detected in each of the eight sampled individuals. Our
data are consistent with prior thin-layer chromatography data showing that *L. fugax* tested positive for

skin compounds (Santos and Cannatella, 2011), though prior interpretations of these data were different
(Table S2). We also surveyed two species of *Silverstoneia* with GC-MS. We found alkaloids in all nine *S.*
aff. *gutturalis*, with a total of 14 alkaloids identified across seven classes (**196A**, **223I**, **233A**, **235B**, **237U**,
three isomers of **239AB**, two isomers of **239CD**, and four new alkaloids). In just two individuals of *S.*
erasmios, we detected a total of 26 alkaloids, including some pumiliotoxins (**325B**, **323B**) and
pyrrolizidines (**225C**). *Silverstoneia erasmios* and *S.* aff. *gutturalis* had not been surveyed for alkaloids
previously, but thirteen alkaloids were found in eight individuals of a congener (*S. punctiventris*)
(Gonzalez et al., 2021). In addition, our more conservative UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS analysis of *S. flotator*,
from which we only report compounds previously known as lipophilic frog alkaloids (Daly et al., 2005),
we identified the presence of alkaloids in 5 of 12 sampled individuals (a quinolizidine and an epibatidine;
Table S5, S6). When we expand our analysis to include any compound assigned to the "alkaloid
pathway" by NPClassifier (>99% alkaloid pathway probability; Table S5), we identified a total of 67
compounds, some of which were present in each individual (Tables S5). Although the assignments made
by this pipeline are broad and include diverse nitrogen-containing metabolites such as biogenic amines
(Table S6), it is possible that some represent additional lipophilic alkaloids whose structures and
formulae are undescribed. Note that UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS data should not be directly compared to GC-
MS data (see Table 1 legend).

In terms of the defended clades of Colostethinae that we sampled, most of the individual skins of
Epipedobates and *Ameerega* contained dozens to more than one hundred unique alkaloids (see Table S4
for full details). For *Ameerega*, we surveyed five individuals representing two species, all of which had
integrated areas that were more than 75,000x greater compared to individuals of its sister clade,
Leucostethus (Table 1). Similarly, alkaloid diversity was 10–20x greater in *Ameerega* than in
Leucostethus. Histrionicotoxins and decahydroquinolines were considered previously to be the
dominant alkaloid classes in genus *Ameerega* (Daly et al., 2009); here we also found high levels of
indolizidines (Fig. 2). Patterns for *Epipedobates* as compared to sister genus *Silverstoneia* were similar,
although less extreme. We surveyed 13 individuals representing seven species in *Epipedobates* and
identified at least 370 alkaloids, which contrasts with studies using a less sensitive method (thin-layer
chromatography) that found mixed evidence for the presence of alkaloids in *E.* aff. *espinosai* (then
referred to as *E. boulengeri*) and *E. machalilla* (Darst et al., 2005; Santos and Cannatella, 2011).
However, the quantity and diversity of alkaloids in *Epipedobates machalilla* was substantially lower than
in other *Epipedobates* species, occurring at levels similar to *Silverstoneia* spp. (Table 1, Fig. 2). Except for
E. machalilla, each *Epipedobates* species had about 10x greater quantities and diversities of alkaloids
compared to members of *Silverstoneia.* We found trace levels of epibatidine in *Epipedobates anthonyi*
but not in other *Epipedobates* species. Epibatidines have also been detected in *E. espinosai, Ameerega*
silverstonei, S. flotator (Daly et al., 1999; this study), and *Ameerega petersi* or a closely related,
undescribed species (reported as *Dendrobates pictus* from Loreto, Peru in (Daly et al., 1987), but see
taxonomic revision by (Guillory et al., 2020)).

Hyloxalinae. Hyloxalinae is generally considered an undefended clade (Table S2). We surveyed four
species of *Hyloxalus*, three of which had detectable levels of alkaloids. We identified 17 different
alkaloids in *H. awa* (**197D**, **197H**, **199B**, **217B**, **221P**, **223AB**, **231A**, **231C**, **247E**, and eight previously
undescribed alkaloids), with the seven sampled individuals having 0 to 12 alkaloids each. We detected
five alkaloids in a single individual of *H. shuar* (**197D**, **199B**, **237G**, and two isomers of **239K**) and eight
alkaloids in a single individual of *H.* sp. Agua Azul (**195C**, **197D**, **199B**, **251K**, and four new alkaloids). Our
detection of low levels of alkaloids in *H. awa* is consistent with the observations that avian predators
consume *H. awa* (Darst and Cummings, 2006). No alkaloids were detected in two individuals of *H.*
toachi, the only undefended dendrobatid species from which we failed to detect alkaloids. Previously, a
GC-MS assessment previously revealed that *P. erythromos* contained 5,8-disubstituted indolizidine

251B, allopumiliotoxin **267A**, and unclassified alkaloid **281D** (Daly et al., 1987). *Hyloxalus azureiventris* is
also thought be able to accumulate alkaloids (Daly, 1998; Saporito et al., 2009) and thin-layer
chromatography suggested the presence of alkaloids in two assessed *H. yasuni* (previously identified as
Hyloxalus maculosus), one of three *H. nexipus*, and two of five *H. vertebralis* (Santos and Cannatella,
2011), though prior interpretation of these data differed (Table S2). Our data support the widespread
presence of low levels of alkaloids in this group.

Dendrobatinae. According to the most recent phylogenetic reconstructions (Santos et al., 2014), the
sister clade to Hyloxalinae is Dendrobatinae (Fig. 1). Dendrobatinae contains exclusively (or near
exclusively) toxic species. From this subfamily, we surveyed 18 individuals representing five species
using GC-MS. We identified a total of 187 unique alkaloids from four *Phyllobates aurotaenia*, 316
alkaloids from five *O. sylvatica*, and 213 alkaloids from three *Dendrobates truncatus*. These three species
are all relatively large poison frogs (snout-vent lengths 20–35 mm; Table S3), which may in part explain
their high alkaloid diversities and quantities (Jeckel et al., 2015; Saporito et al., 2010). In *Andinobates*
minutus and *Andinobates fulguritus*, which are members of the same subfamily but are much smaller in
size (11–15 mm; Tables 1 and S3), we detected 129 and 109 alkaloids, respectively. Three of the *An.*
minutus individuals were juveniles. The total alkaloid quantities (integrated areas) in *D. truncatus* and *O.*
sylvatica were comparable to those of *Ameerega* but were higher than quantities detected in
Epipedobates. We also report for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, the occurrence of N-
methyldecahydroquinolines outside of the genera *Adelphobates*, *Ameerega*, *Dendrobates*, *Oophaga*,
and *Ranitomeya* (in *E.* aff. *espinosai*, *E. currulao*, *S.* aff. *gutturalis*, *An. minutus*, *An. fulguritus*, *P.*
aurotaenia, and *Al. insperatus*) (Daly et al., 2009; Hovey et al., 2018; Jeckel et al., 2019; Lawrence et al.,
2019; Stuckert et al., 2014). The ability to N-methylate decahydroquinoline (demonstrated
experimentally in *Adelphobates galactonotus*, *Dendorbates auratus*, and *Ranitomeya ventrimaculata*
(Jeckel, 2021; Jeckel et al., 2022)) may thus be conserved in dendrobatids, or, non-exclusively, arthropod
sources of the alkaloid class (likely myrmicine ants (Jones et al., 1999)) are widespread.

Other frog families. Outside of Dendrobatidae, we detected a new unclassified alkaloid, New159, in
each of two *A. siona* (Bufonidae) and four alkaloids in one individual of *Atelopus* aff. *spurrelli* (Bufonidae;
3,5-disubstituted pyrrolizidine **237R**-1, decahydroquinoline **243A**-3, 5,8-disubstituted indolizidine **251B**-
2, and an unclassified alkaloid, New267-2). As far as we know, the detection of a decahydroquinoline
and a 3,5-disubstituted pyrrolizidine in a bufonid frog other than *Melanophryniscus* (Rodríguez et al.,
2017) is novel and may provide useful context for understanding the evolution of chemical defense in
the family. We detected no alkaloids in two *Li. lineatus* (Leptodactylidae) individuals, which is surprising
because *Li. lineatus* has been hypothesized to be a Müllerian mimic of poison frogs, though the
composition of its chemical defenses may be primarily proteinaceous (Prates et al., 2011). These
findings are also interesting in light of the fact that *Li. lineatus* live and breed in ant colonies using
chemical signals that provide camouflage (de Lima Barros et al., 2016). In addition, while we recovered
no alkaloids in three sampled individuals of the frog *El. cystignathoides* (Eleutherodactylidae) with
UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS when we applied our stringent search criteria, we identified 55 metabolites
assigned to the alkaloid pathway at >99% probability. Forty of these appear to be identical to those
identified in *S. flotator* according to our analyses (Tables S5, S6). Some of these could be widespread
byproducts of frog metabolism (or symbiont metabolism). A few species of *Eleutherodactylus* frogs from
Cuba are thought to sequester alkaloids (Rodríguez et al., 2013) and alkaloid sequestration evolved in
the bufonid genus *Melanophryniscus* (Daly et al., 1984; Hantak et al., 2013). The presence of low levels
of alkaloids in other (non-sequestering) species of Bufonidae and the possibility of some exogenous but
as of yet undescribed alkaloids in *El. cystignathoides* reflect that passive accumulation may have evolved
in an older ancestor shared by the three families, predating convergent origins of sequestration in all
three groups.

(c) Predictions arising from the passive-accumulation hypothesis

Data from this and other studies point to the ubiquity of mites and ants in nearly all dendrobatid diets, and possibly more generally in other leaf-litter dwelling frogs (Fig. 2). This finding in concert with the detection of low levels of alkaloids in the lineages that putatively lack chemical defenses leads us to hypothesize that dietary shifts are not sufficient to explain the presence or absence of the chemical defense phenotype within Dendrobatidae or possibly in other families (Bufonidae). The total amount of alkaloids accumulated is a result of multiple processes including toxin intake, elimination, and sequestration (Fig. 3) — not just intake alone.

For example, dendrobatid species vary in their ability to eliminate alkaloids. Some appear to lack specific transport and storage mechanisms for consumed alkaloids ("sequestration"), yet they have detectable levels of alkaloids in their skin; we refer to this phenotype as passive accumulation and suggest that it is an evolutionary intermediate between toxin consumption (with no sequestration) and sequestration (Fig. 3). We predict that the ancestral state of poison frogs and potentially other clades with alkaloid-sequestering species (e.g., Bufonidae: *Melanophryniscus*, Eleutherodactylidae: *Eleutherodactylus*, and Mantellidae: *Mantella*) is alkaloid consumption and low levels of alkaloid resistance, accompanied by passive alkaloid accumulation (e.g., see Figs. 1 and 3). Alternatively, passive accumulation may have arisen in an even earlier ancestor. That we detected alkaloids in two genera of bufonid toads could reflect a single origin of passive accumulation in the ancestor of the clade that includes Dendrobatidae and its sister group (the clade comprised of the Terranana [including Eleutherodactylidae], Bufonidae, Leptodactylidae, and Odontophrynidae) (AmphibiaWeb, 2023; Blackburn and Wake, 2011; Feng et al., 2017; Streicher et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2019). Further sampling for alkaloids within Eleutherodactylidae and Leptodactylidae could reveal whether passive accumulation has persisted in these clades. Discriminating a single origin — no matter the timing — from multiple ones would require more extensive alkaloid surveys, as we only assessed four non-dendrobatid species.

Figure 3. Hypothesized physiological processes that interact to determine the defense phenotype: toxin intake, toxin elimination (Elim.), and toxin sequestration (Seq.). See section 1c for definitions. Although toxin intake sets a maximum for the total possible amount of toxin accumulation (Acc.), it cannot fully explain the defensive phenotype. We hypothesize that an undefended "no accumulation" phenotype is characterized by the absence of any ability to sequester toxins in combination with a high rate of elimination, resulting in 0 toxin accumulation (dashed gray lines); this phenotype is a likely ancestral state for many animals. In contrast, we hypothesize that an undefended passive-accumulation phenotype is characterized by lower elimination than the no accumulation phenotype, leading to a low amount of toxin accumulation (yellow lines). We hypothesize that a defended sequestration phenotype evolves from an intermediate passive-accumulation phenotype through the addition of novel sequestration mechanisms, and possibly even lower elimination rates, that result in high toxin accumulation and the defended phenotype (purple lines).

| Phenotype | Process | | | |
	Elim.	Seq.	Acc.	Line
No Accumulation	high	0	0	- - -
Passive Accumulation	med	0	low	——
Sequestration	low	med/high	med/high	——

Here we propose and discuss three additional predictions arising from the passive-accumulation hypothesis that would help further evaluate the validity of a four-phase model.

Prediction 1) We predict that some toxin resistance evolves prior to or in concert with passive

accumulation, and that it increases or changes once sequestration mechanisms evolve.

Alkaloid resistance is associated with alkaloid sequestration in dendrobatid poison frogs (Tarvin et al.,
2017, 2016). We anticipate that some alkaloid resistance evolved in the ancestor of Dendrobatidae or in
an even older ancestor, but is yet to be described (Darst et al., 2005; Santos et al., 2016) (Fig. 1). Such
resistance may be difficult to characterize using the comparative method if it involves mutations of small
effect (ffrench-Constant et al., 2004), pleiotropic processes, or undescribed physiological adaptations
(e.g., Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2023). Regardless, it appears that arthropods likely to contain alkaloids are
widespread among the regular diets of defended and undefended dendrobatid poison frogs (Fig. 2;
Darst et al., 2005; Santos and Cannatella, 2011; Toft, 1995). Short-term alkaloid feeding experiments
(e.g., Daly et al., 1994b; Sanchez et al., 2019) demonstrate that both defended and undefended frogs
can survive the immediate effects of alkaloid intake, although the degree of resistance and the alkaloids
that different species can resist vary. An experiment conducted by Abderemane-Ali and colleagues
(2021) showed that both aposematic and (presumably) undefended frogs can withstand three highly
toxic alkaloids in quantities greater than what the frogs are likely to experience in nature. Two
aposematic dendrobatids (*D. tinctorius* and *Phyllobates terribilis*), an aposematic *Mantella* (*Mantella*
aurantiaca), and the putatively undefended rhacophorid *Polypedates leucomystax* (a congener is
reported to contain TTX (Tanu et al., 2001)) — recovered from injections of the highly toxic alkaloids
batrachotoxin and tetrodotoxin delivered at 20x the mouse LD_{50} value. The three aposematic species
also survived a third potent alkaloid (saxitoxin), but *P. leucomystax* did not. Other work revealed no
signs of intoxication in two undefended hylids (*Hyla cinerea* and *Boana bandeirantes*) after two weeks of
oral administration of histrionicotoxin **235A** and decahydroquinoline (Jeckel, 2021). In an epibatidine-
feeding experiment with five aposematic dendrobatid species (*E. anthonyi, Ranitomeya variabilis,*
Ranitomeya imitator, Phyllobates vittatus, and *D. tinctorius*), Waters et al. (2023) found that *E. anthonyi*
was adversely affected by the initial dose of epibatidine, reflecting either a body-size effect or species-
level variation in epibatidine resistance.

Different types of resistance may be important during different evolutionary phases leading to chemical
defense. For example, many mechanisms of toxin metabolism are common to all animals and were likely
used by the ancestors of most if not all animals that eventually evolved toxin sequestration, including
poison frogs (Tarvin et al., 2023). Although one might expect that toxin metabolism may also prevent
toxin sequestration, the ability to metabolize toxins can in some cases augment toxin defenses (Douglas
et al., 2022), increase the toxicity of a compound (e.g., pumiliotoxin to allopumiliotoxin in the poison
frogs *Ad. galactonotus, Adelphobates castaneoticus, D. auratus, D. tinctorius*, and *R. ventrimaculata*
(Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2022; Daly et al., 2003; Jeckel, 2021)), or result in some amount of passive
accumulation through increased toxin exposure (Douglas et al., 2022; Karageorgi et al., 2019). If toxin
intake increases or is sustained over long evolutionary periods, selection may favor other mechanisms of
resistance, such as target-site resistance, which can eliminate the cost of toxin exposure by making the
targeted protein insensitive to the toxin (Tarvin et al., 2017). Indeed, other toxin-sequestering animals
often have specialized mechanisms of toxin resistance when compared to toxin-free relatives (Tarvin et
al., 2023). For example, three amino acid replacements in the ATPα protein evolved in association with
cardenolide sequestration in Danainae butterflies (Karageorgi et al., 2019; Petschenka et al., 2013) and
predatory fireflies that sequester lucibufagins have ATPα gene duplications that enhance lucibufagin
resistance (Yang et al., 2023).

These data suggest that some dendrobatids and other frog species have a minimal level of resistance to
alkaloids, yet more data from undefended frogs will be necessary to reconstruct the evolutionary history
of the trait.

Prediction 2) We predict that in species with passive accumulation the rate of toxin elimination is

slower than in those with no accumulation and faster than in those with sequestration.

Only a few studies have reviewed toxin metabolism and elimination (clearance from the body) in
dendrobatids. One study demonstrated that the undefended *Al. femoralis* and undefended hylid *Hy.*
cinerea accumulated less than 1% of orally administered alkaloids into the skin, yet the alkaloids were
absent (or present in only trace amounts) in the feces (Jeckel, 2021). In the same experiment, the
defended dendrobatids *Ad. galactonotus* and *D. tinctorius* efficiently sequestered the alkaloids, with
only trace quantities detected in the feces. These results hint at an unknown but possibly conserved
mechanism for metabolism of alkaloids in anurans. Even among defended dendrobatids, there appears
to be species-level variation and plasticity in the metabolism and elimination of alkaloids. *Epipedobates*
anthonyi, Ra. variabilis, and *Ra. imitator* accumulate more than twice as much ingested epibatidine
compared to *P. vittatus* and *D. tinctorius* (Waters et al., 2023). *Oophaga sylvatica* and *D. tinctorius*
upregulate detoxification genes such as cytochrome p450s upon alkaloid consumption (Alvarez-Buylla et
al., 2022; Caty et al., 2019). *Adelphobates galactonotus* sequesters the alkaloids histrionicotoxin **235A**
and decahydroquinoline less efficiently at higher doses (Jeckel et al., 2022). Some species metabolically
alter the structure of alkaloids: *Ad. galactonotus, Ad. castaneoticus, D. auratus, D. tinctorius,* and *Ra.*
ventrimaculata can hydroxylate pumiliotoxin **251D** (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2022; Daly et al., 2003; Jeckel,
2021), making it more toxic (to mice); *Ad. galactonotus, D. auratus,* and *Ra. ventrimaculata* can also N-
methylate decahydroquinoline (Jeckel, 2021; Jeckel et al., 2022). These studies indicate that alkaloid
elimination rate and metabolism vary among defended species, but not enough information exists to
infer much about elimination rates in undefended lineages with or without passive accumulation. Given
the experimental demonstration of less efficient alkaloid uptake in undefended frogs — in combination
with our data that show that despite likely ingesting alkaloid-bearing prey regularly in the wild,
undefended frogs show much lower levels of alkaloids in the skin (Fig. 2) — we hypothesize that toxin
elimination rates in undefended lineages are faster or more efficient than rates in defended lineages
and are slower than lineages with no accumulation (e.g., Fig. 3). More nuanced versions of this model
could also be envisioned. For example, elimination rates in defended species could still modulate the
amount of toxins ultimately accumulated, with lower elimination rates resulting in a higher proportion
of toxin accumulation overall. Additional data are necessary regarding toxicokinetics of consumed
alkaloids in several tissues.

Prediction 3) We predict that sequestration mechanisms are absent in undefended lineages.

Daly and colleagues (Daly, 1998; Daly et al., 1994b) hypothesized that there was an alkaloid uptake
system present in the ancestor of Dendrobatidae that is "overexpressed" in the defended lineages. This
hypothesis remains to be tested. Our model posits that sequestration mechanisms (Figs. 1 and 3) are
unique to chemically defended species. Alternatively, if the mechanisms of toxin transport and/or
storage exist in undefended species, they seem to be expressed at such a low level that they only result
in a trace level of toxin accumulation. In order to distinguish between these two possibilities, we first
will need to better understand the molecular mechanisms underlying toxin sequestration.

To date, little is known regarding the mechanisms of toxin sequestration in poison frogs or in other
toxin-sequestering animals. An alkaloid-binding globulin was recently characterized in the poison frog *O.*
sylvatica (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2023). While plasma assays demonstrated that the defended species *O.*
sylvatica, Epipedobates tricolor, and *D. tinctorius* can bind and sequester a pumiliotoxin-like
photoprobe, plasma from the undefended *Al. femoralis* showed no binding activity. In addition, the
evolutionarily distant mantellid species *M. aurantiaca,* which sequesters alkaloids, did not show binding
activity. These data hint at variation in molecular mechanisms for alkaloid uptake across lineages, which
may be tuned to availability of specific alkaloids in each species' diet.

The potential absence of sequestration mechanisms in the undefended *Al. femoralis* are consistent not

only with our alkaloid data from wild-caught frogs, but also with experimental data. Using GC-MS,
researchers did not detect any alkaloids in the skins of two undefended dendrobatids (*Al. talamancae*
and *Colostethus panamansis*) after the frogs consumed fruit flies dusted with 5,8-disubstituted
indolizidine **209B**, decahydroquinoline **195A**, and histrionicotoxin **285C** for five weeks (Daly et al.,
1994b). Other unpublished data suggest that the brightly colored but undefended *H. azureiventris* are
unable to accumulate alkaloids from fruit flies (the sample size and alkaloid identities are unknown),
though *H. azureiventris* apparently accumulated four distinct alkaloids from a methanol-saline solution
(Saporito et al., 2009). After oral administration of decahydroquinoline and histrionicotoxin **235A**, the
undefended hylid *Hyla cinerea* cleared almost all consumed alkaloids (accumulating between 0.01 to
0.1%), the undefended *Al. femoralis* accumulated only trace amounts of decahydroquinoline (~1%), and
the defended *Ad. galactonotus* and *D. tinctorius* sequestered on average ~10% (decahydroquinoline) or
~50% (histrionicotoxin **235A**) (Jeckel, 2021). Sparteine, a quinolizidine structurally similar to the common
"izidine" alkaloids in poison frogs, was detected in the skin of a single *Al. femoralis* individual after the
frog was fed sparteine-dusted fruit flies for over a month, but the experimental methods prohibited
quantification of the alkaloid (Sanchez et al., 2019).

Additional data on potential uptake mechanisms in dendrobatids exist for benzocaine, a synthetic
lipophilic compound that is used for anesthesia and euthanasia in amphibians. Benzocaine is readily
taken up orally to the skin in the defended poison frog *D. auratus*, the undefended ranid (*Lithobates*
clamitans), and the alkaloid-sequestering bufonid *Melanophryniscus moreirae* (Saporito and Grant,
2018). Although the same amount of benzocaine was injected into each frog, twice as much benzocaine
was recovered from *D. auratus* than *Li. clamitans* and three times as much was recovered from *Me.*
moreirae (see their Fig. 2), suggesting that lipophilic compound uptake occurs without specialized
mechanisms of sequestration in *Li. clamitans* (e.g., possibly passive accumulation) but that *D. auratus*
and *Me. moreirae* likely have distinct sequestration mechanisms that result in much higher levels of
benzocaine accumulation.

In contrast to sequestration, passive accumulation would be expected to result in the diffusion of
alkaloids across many tissues, rather than concentration of alkaloids within a specific tissue. Desorption
electrospray ionization mass spectrometry imaging data indicate that alkaloids diffuse across various
tissues in the defended dendrobatid *D. tinctorius* immediately following intake, possibly an evolutionary
trace of the low elimination rates that may have initially evolved in an ancestor with the passive
accumulation phenotype (Jeckel et al., 2020). It would be beneficial to conduct a time-series study to
show how tissue-specific accumulation patterns change after feeding in different species. Clearly, more
data will be necessary to evaluate phylogenetic patterns and mechanisms of sequestration, and to test
the hypotheses presented here regarding passive accumulation as an intermediate evolutionary phase.

(d) Other factors that may shape the evolution of acquired chemical defenses

Many animals occasionally or frequently consume toxins, and a multitude have evolved toxin resistance.
Some invertebrate pests resist pesticides (Andreev et al., 1999; Chiu et al., 2008; Daborn et al., 2002;
Richard and Carroll, 2013), many insect herbivores resist plant toxins (Agrawal et al., 2012; Dobler et al.,
2011), some predators resist toxic prey (Arbuckle et al., 2017), and many animals resist environmental
pollutants (Whitehead et al., 2017). Our model predicts that some or many of these may be on their way
towards evolving acquired chemical defenses. Yet, not all toxin-exposed or toxin-resistant species
inevitably evolve chemical defenses, presumably because the ecological context or physiology that
favors accumulation is absent or because resisting and accumulating toxins is too costly.

Acquired chemical defenses usually evolve within the context of a tri-trophic interaction: animals in the
middle of the food web accumulate toxins from their prey, and possible predators or parasites are

deterred by the accumulated toxin (Agrawal, 2000). This phenomenon is referred to as enemy-free
space, i.e., escape from parasitism or predation (Jeffries and Lawton, 1984). If there is no predator or
parasite present to exert selection on a toxin-consuming animal, there may be no benefit for the animal
to accumulate the toxins. Furthermore, some chemicals may not be able to be accumulated because of
how they interact with the physiology of an animal (e.g., Mebs et al., 2016). Thus, the evolution of
chemical defenses may be constrained by the specific chemicals present in an ecosystem, the existing
trophic interactions among species, and the physiology of predators and parasites in relation to the
chemicals in question.

Origins of chemical defenses are also shaped by the cost of resisting and accumulating toxins, which can
change over evolutionary time as animals adapt to novel relationships with toxins. In poison frogs and
other toxin-accumulating animals, it is common to observe a few amino acid substitutions in ion
channels that provide target-site resistance to alkaloids but adversely affect the function of the protein;
these substitutions are often accompanied by additional, compensatory substitutions that restore
protein function without affecting resistance (Karageorgi et al., 2019; Mohammadi et al., 2021; Reid et
al., 2016; Tarvin et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016). It is rare but possible to observe species that lack
(known) compensatory substitutions (Tarvin et al., 2017), suggesting that species are under strong
selection to overcome some costs of target-site resistance. In one species of garter snake (*Thamnophis*
sirtalis), the cost of target-site resistance in a voltage-gated sodium channel is not completely offset as
animals with target-site resistance have reduced crawl speeds (Hague et al., 2018). In some insects,
resistance to insecticides comes with a cost in fecundity or survival (Kliot and Ghanim, 2012). For
example, the aphid *Aphis nerii* experiences trade-offs between population growth and defense
effectiveness (Züst et al., 2018). As far as we are aware, the possible lifetime fitness costs (e.g., in
reproductive success) of alkaloid consumption in dendrobatids have not been measured.

Once chemical defenses evolve, they are often further shaped by co-evolution between the defended
prey and their predators (Brodie and Brodie, 1990; Bucciarelli et al., 2022), which can result in the
appearance of visual or morphological signals, mimicry, and even the loss of defenses in the prey if the
predator evolves sufficient resistance (Brodie and Brodie, 1991; Brown and Trigo, 1994; Crothers et al.,
2016). These additional ecological factors in turn shape the physiology of an animal in ways that may
further promote evolutionary innovation (Loeffler-Henry et al., 2023; Przeczek et al., 2008; Santos et al.,
2014). In sum, various factors interact in a dynamic equilibrium over short and long timeframes to shape
chemical defenses.

(e) The passive-accumulation phenotype in a broader evolutionary context

Passive accumulation of toxins is not a novel concept, as it has been discussed previously in terms of
self-medication (Clayton and Wolfe, 1993; Singer et al., 2009) and bioaccumulation (e.g., of
environmental pollutants; Butler, 1978; Spurgeon et al., 2020; Streit, 1992), and we propose that it is
also conceptually analogous to some medical treatments in humans such as chemotherapy. Any
organism that consumes something toxic might simultaneously suffer from toxin exposure yet benefit
from the compound's effect on disease, infection, parasites, or predators. For example, in the presence
of parasitoids, *Drosophila suzukii* flies preferentially lay their eggs on the insecticide atropine, which
protects them from being parasitized but prolongs development (Poyet et al., 2017). Mechanisms that
likely underlie passive accumulation may also be analogous to key organismal functions (Duffey, 1980).
For example, humans accumulate vitamin E in the liver (Violet et al., 2020) and use a transfer protein
abundant in liver cells to shuttle the vitamin into the plasma where it becomes bioavailable (Arita et al.,
1995). The transition from passive accumulation to sequestration in poison frogs may similarly rely on
the use of proteins that bind to and transport alkaloids (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2023).

If toxin accumulation is both low-cost and beneficial, slow toxin elimination rates could evolve quite
readily, resulting in passive accumulation. Two recent studies support the idea that some toxin
resistance permits toxin intake and results in passive accumulation. In one, nicotine-resistant *Drosophila*
melanogaster fruit flies that were fed nicotine accumulated measurable amounts of the toxin in their
bodies, more than nicotine-sensitive flies (Douglas et al., 2022). In another study, ouabain-resistant *D.*
melanogaster flies that were fed ouabain accumulated measurable amounts of ouabain in their bodies,
more than ouabain-sensitive flies (Karageorgi et al., 2019). In a another example, the sawfly *Athalia*
rosae shows constant turnover of its glucosinolate toxins, suggesting that these insects cannot
effectively store glucosinolates, yet their metabolic clearing is inefficient enough that they still maintain
a high level of toxins in the hemolymph (Müller and Wittstock, 2005). It is conceivable, then, that in
some cases, passive accumulation could result in chemical defense through a mechanism that enables
high net toxin intake, followed by evasion of elimination mechanisms, passive entry into the
bloodstream, and diffusion into other tissues.

Are these cases of sequestration? Under our definition they are not, given that these species do not
actively transport and store these compounds, as far as we know. Rather, these organisms merely fail to
efficiently metabolize and eliminate these compounds, leading to their temporary diffusion in tissues
and providing a transient benefit against parasites or predators. Evidence for this passive-accumulation
phenotype as an intermediate stage on the path towards toxin sequestration is scarce, but passive
accumulation is a pervasive pattern in studies of ecological toxicology and may be more common in
lineages that evolved toxin sequestration than we currently know.

(f) Limitations

Our study presents a novel alkaloid dataset for dendrobatid frogs and some relatives, yet it is limited in
the following ways. For some species we only sampled one or two individuals, which may paint an
incomplete picture of toxin diversity, toxin quantity, and diet in the group. Poison frogs vary
substantially over time, space, and seasons in their alkaloid profiles and diets (Agudelo-Cantero et al.,
2015; Saporito et al., 2007a), yet we did not conduct serial sampling over a broad geographic range for
each species. Standards are unavailable for most frog alkaloids and thus we could not measure absolute
quantity. Relative quantitation of GC-MS data was performed based on integration of the extracted ion
chromatogram of the base peak for each alkaloid for maximum sensitivity and selectivity. The nature of
these data means that qualitative comparisons may be meaningful but quantitative comparisons across
alkaloid structures could be misleading, especially given our small sample sizes for some species. Finally,
batrachotoxin and tetrodotoxin are too heavy to study using GC-MS; we cannot exclude the possibility
that they occur in the sampled species.

3. Conclusion

The large-scale evolutionary transition from consuming to sequestering toxins has occurred in a plethora
of invertebrates (Duffey, 1980) and vertebrates (Savitzky et al., 2012). Here we provide new evidence
showing that undefended poison frogs and frogs in a closely related family (Bufonidae) contain
measurable amounts of alkaloids, and we confirm that they consume some amount of toxic arthropod
prey. We propose that passive accumulation of consumed alkaloids is an ancestral state in
Dendrobatidae, and possibly in related taxa, and that selection acts on toxin elimination and resistance
to result in toxin accumulation and chemical defense. Future studies of the toxicokinetics of alkaloids in
different tissues of both defended and undefended poison frogs will shed light on these putative
intermediate evolutionary steps. In turn, insights from poison frog physiology will provide a novel
perspective for the development of human therapeutics, which modulate some of the same

pharmacokinetic processes.

4. Methods

(a) Field collection

Silverstoneia flotator and *El. cystignathoides* were collected and euthanized with benzocaine in 2022 in
Gamboa, Panama (9.1373, -79.723183) and in 2024 in Austin, Texas, USA (30.285, -97.736 and
30.292487, -97.737874), respectively. Dorsal and ventral skins were removed and placed separately in
~1-mL MeOH in 1-dram glass vials for UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS analyses (see below). All other species were
collected in 2014 and euthanized with an overdose of lidocaine. Whole skins were removed and placed
in ~1-mL MeOH in glass vials with PTFE-lined caps. Stomachs of all species were removed and placed in
95% ethanol. Instruments and dissection surfaces were cleaned with 95% ethanol between dissections.

(b) Alkaloid identification and quantification

For samples from Ecuador and Colombia, a 100-μL aliquot of the MeOH was sampled from each vial and
transferred to a 200-μL limited volume insert and analyzed directly by GC-MS. The system used was a
Thermo AS-3000 autosampler interfaced to a Trace GC Ultra interfaced to an iTQ 1100 ion trap mass
spectrometer autotuned with FC-43 (PFTBA) operating in positive ion mode. AS conditions were as
follows: 2 pre-wash cycles of 5 μL MeOH, then 3 plunger strokes and withdrawal of 1.00 μL sample with
1-μL air gap, injection with no pre- or post-injection dwell followed by 3 post wash cycles of 5 μL MeOH.
GC conditions were as follows: splitless injection, splitless time 1.00 min with surge (200 kPa for 0.70
min, to sharpen early peaks), split flow 50 mL/min; injector temperature 250C, oven temperature
program 100C for one minute, then ramped at 10C/min to 280C and held 10 min; transfer line
temperature 300C. MS conditions were as follows: for electron ionization (EI), collection mode profile, 1
microscan, 25 μsec max ion time, range 35–650 μ, source temperature 250C, solvent delay 3.00 min,
source voltage 70 eV; for chemical ionization (CI), reagent gas NH3 (1.8 mL/min). Samples for CI were
run in ddMS2 mode (3 precursor ions) with 1 microscan, 50 ms max ion time, 0.450 μ precursor width
and dynamic exclusion duration 0.2 min.

EI spectra were manually compared with published data (Daly et al., 2005, 1999, 1978) to identify class
and likely ID. A set of known standards was run to give accurate retention times across the range of
alkaloids and normalized to literature data using linear regression. Sample retention times were then
normalized, and molecular weights were obtained from CI MS1 spectra. These were then directly
compared to archival Daly GC-MS data where possible. CI MS2 spectra were also used where possible to
confirm functional groups such as alcohols by loss of water, etc. Kovats retention indexes (semi-standard
nonpolar) are also provided based on retention times and published indexes for background silicone
impurities. Accuracy of index assignments was confirmed based on fatty acid methyl esters from skin
lipids present in extracts. Epibatidine coelutes with the lipid methyl palmitoleate and the latter caused a
number of false positives in the GC-MS data. We thus reviewed LC-HRMS data at the known elution time
relative to a known standard. Epibatidine was only found in one sample in trace quantities and is
marked as such.

Samples from Panama and Texas were extracted on separate occasions, then filtered and run in tandem
with UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS, following an untargeted metabolomics protocol, with conditions optimized
specifically for retention and subsequent identification of alkaloids (Sedio et al., 2021). Briefly, for
extraction, methanol was evaporated and skins were homogenized with stainless steel beads in a
TissueLyser II (QIAGEN Sciences, Germantown, MD, USA) and resuspended in 1800 μL of extraction

solvent (9:1 MeOH:H₂O). Samples were then extracted for 3 hr at 4°C in a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf US,
Enfield, CT, USA), followed by evaporation of the methanol component with a SpeedVac concentrator
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Next, samples were freeze-dried with a lyophilizer
overnight and resuspended in 500 μL extraction solvent. Resuspended extracts were then filtered and
diluted 1:7 in 100% MeOH. The metabolomic extracts were run on a Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham,
MA, United States) Vanquish Horizon Duo UHPLC system with an Accucore C18 column with 150 mm
length, 2.1 mm internal diameter, and 2.6-μm particle size, and a Thermo Fisher Scientific Q Exactive
hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer. The instrumental methods (e.g., the separation of
metabolites by UHPLC, the volumes of buffers and their use in solvent gradients, and the use of heated
electrospray ionization [HESI] run in positive ion mode with full-scan MS1 and data-dependent
acquisition of MS2 [dd-MS2]) were identical to those described by (Sedio et al., 2021). A positive
reference of 1 μg/μL ≥98% (±)-epibatidine dihydrochloride hydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA)
was included in the run, but injected last in the instrument so as to avoid possible carryover in the
column.

Following UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS, chromatographic data were processed using MZmine 3 (v3.9.0) (Schmid
et al., 2023), applying a stringent MS1 noise threshold parameter >100000 used by other workers (e.g.,
(Sedio et al., 2021)). So as to avoid additions of false positive metabolite observations, we did not use a
gap filling algorithm, a step often used in analysis of chemically homogeneous datasets to backfill
overlooked metabolite occurrences. MZmine 3 assigns chromatographic features to putative
compounds based on mass-to-charge (*m/z*) ratio and retention time. MZmine 3 feature tables and MS2
data were then uploaded to the Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking (GNPS) platform
(Wang et al., 2016) for Feature-Based Molecular Networking (Nothias et al., 2020). We used SIRIUS
v5.8.6 (Dührkop et al., 2019) and CSI:FingerID (Dührkop et al., 2015) to infer molecular formulae and
predict structures including the elements H, C, N, O, P, and S. CANOPUS was used to classify metabolites
(Dührkop et al., 2021), following the ClassyFire (Djoumbou Feunang et al., 2016) and NPClassifier
molecular taxonomies (Kim et al., 2021). Only compounds assigned to the alkaloid pathway with an
NPClassifier pathway probability score >99% were retained in the feature table, which was generated in
R v4.2.2 (R Core Team, 2023) At >99% confidence, epibatidine was detected in three *S. flotator* skin
samples. Its presence was confirmed by manual inspection; the retention time, peak shape, isotope
pattern and MS2 are consistent with the epibatidine standard. We note that MS2 fragments were only
present in one of the three samples because of abundance.

With respect to the compounds exclusive to the positive reference sample (i.e., not present in the frog
skins), at >99% confidence, the algorithms implemented in SIRIUS also predicted annotations consistent
with an epibatidine alkaloid for a feature only detected in the positive reference sample, at the levels of
most specific class ("epibatidine analogues": ClassyFire) and class and superclass ("pyridine alkaloids''
and "nicotinic acid alkaloids": NPClassifier). The *m/z* ratio and structural prediction for this feature are
consistent with the epibatidine homolog "homoepibatidine" (Table S6). However, this annotation seems
at odds with the true identity of the feature (the retention time is at 0.5 minutes, the approximate void
volume with the highly polar compounds, and the isotope pattern is not correct for Cl, matching better
with silicon). Instead, the feature may represent a silicone derivative that, based on results from
multiple runs of the instrument (unpublished), we suspect could be an impurity consistently co-
occurring with and mistaken for homoepibatidine. In another run, we recovered a feature exclusive to
the positive reference sample with annotations identical at all levels to those for our "homoepibatidine"
feature, but with epibatidine's expected *m/z* ratio (~209) and structure (SMILES). In the run we publish
here, what is likely this same feature (with an *m/z* ratio of ~209 and annotated as (+/-)-epibatidine by
GNPS) was also recovered at the 99% confidence level. Assuming this feature is our positive reference —
(+/-)-epibatidine — the molecule was annotated as expected at class and superclass levels ("pyridine

alkaloids" and "nicotinic acid alkaloids", respectively) but annotated incorrectly at the level of most specific class (as a "pyrimidinethione"). Our results suggest that SIRIUS sometimes correctly annotates at all pathway levels our (+/-)-epibatidine positive reference.

(c) Diet identification

Stomach contents were inspected under a stereomicroscope and all prey items identified to order (or family, in the case of Formicidae). Given the low sample sizes in many individuals, we did not conduct statistical comparisons of diet composition across species.

(d) Analyses

We summarized and plotted data from Ecuadorian and Colombian samples in R v4.3.1 (R Core Team, 2023) using the packages *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016), *cowplot* v1.1.1 (Wilke, 2020), and *dplyr* v1.1.2 (Wickham et al., 2023). The UHPLC-HESI-MSMS pipeline used on the samples from Panama and Texas allows for higher sensitivity to detect a broader array of compounds compared to our GC-MS methods but has lower retention-time resolution and produces less reliable structural predictions. Furthermore, due to the lack of liquid-chromatography-derived references for poison-frog alkaloids, precise alkaloid annotations from the UHPLC-HESI-MSMS dataset could not be obtained. Therefore, the UHPLC-HESI-MSMS and GC-MS datasets are not directly comparable, and UHPLC-HESI-MSMS data are not included in Fig. 2. Phylogenies were subsetted from (Wan et al., 2023) using *ape* v5.7.1 (Paradis and Schliep, 2019) and *phytools* v1.9.16 (Revell, 2012). Co-eluting compounds in the GC-MS and having the same base peak could not be discerned with the parameters we used in the Xcalibur processing method, so we averaged their quantities across the co-eluting compounds. Corrections for mass were not included; we instead opted to provide data from full skins.

Acknowledgements. We thank Fray Arriaga, Josué Collins (STRI, Panama), Cristian Florez-Pai (FELCA, Colombia), Valentina Gómez-Bahamón, Camilo Isaza (Cafam, Colombia), Roberto Márquez, Daniel Nastacuaz, Pablo Palacios-Rodríguez, Andrea Paz, Santiago Vega, and many others for their assistance in the field. We thank the communities of Laguna de Cube (Esmeraldas) and Laguna de San Pedro (Orellana) in Ecuador for their support and efforts towards conserving local ecosystems. We also thank Kameron T. Bell, Nicholas R. Andreasen, and Megan M. Reid for their help acquiring and processing GC-MS data. We thank Mabel Gonzalez for productive discussions on terminology. UHPLC-HESI-MSMS services were provided by the UT Austin Center for Biomedical Research Support Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility (RRID:SCR_021728), and light microscopy was performed at the Center for Biomedical Research Support Microscopy and Flow Cytometry Facility at UT Austin (RRID:SCR_021756). We acknowledge the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas at Austin for providing computational resources that contributed to the research results reported within this paper (URL: http://www.tacc.utexas.edu). JLC would like to thank Raineldo Urriola, Isis Ochoa, Lil Marie Camacho, Zurenayka Alain, Roberto Ibáñez, Roberto Cambra, Roberto Borrell, Rivieth De Liones, Félix Rodriguez, and Orelis Arosemena for their warmth and logistical support during his time at STRI. JLC also thanks members of the Anslyn Lab in the UT Austin Chemistry Department for thoughtful discussions about organic chemistry and for generously taking the time to teach him and undergraduate interns as well as share resources.

Funding. RDT was supported by an NIH MIRA (R35GM150574), start-up funding from University of California Berkeley, and grants from the Society of Systematic Biologists, North Carolina Herpetological Society, Society for the Study of Reptiles and Amphibians, Chicago Herpetological Society, Texas Herpetological Society, the EEB Program at University of Texas at Austin, National Science Foundation

Graduate Research Fellowship Program Graduate Research Opportunities Worldwide (in partnership
with USAID), and a National Geographic Young Explorer Grant (#9468-14). Additional support to DCC,
RWF, JLC, and RDT was provided by NSF DBI-1556967. JLC received additional support from a Stengl-
Wyer Graduate Fellowship from the University of Texas at Austin, and BES received support from Stengl-
Wyer Endowment Grant SWG-22-01. RWF and students KSG, JMS, and JRS were supported by NSF DUE-
0942345, NSF CHE-1531972, and NSF IOS-1556982.

Author contributions. RDT: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition,
investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, visualization, writing-original
draft, writing-review & editing; JLC: data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation,
resources, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; SRR, MBC, KLH, JCS: investigation, resources,
writing-review & editing; DD: data curation, investigation, resources, writing-review & editing; KSG, JMS,
JRS: data curation, investigation, writing-review & editing; BES: formal analysis, funding acquisition,
investigation, resources; DCC: funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, resources,
supervision, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; RWF: data curation, formal analysis, funding
acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, visualization,
writing-original draft, writing-review & editing. All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed
to be held accountable for the work described herein.

Ethics. Collection in Colombia and Ecuador was performed under permits (COL: Res. 1177 at Universidad
de los Andes) and Contrato Marco Acceso a los Recursos Genéticos Nro. 005-14 IC-FAU-DNB/MA
(Ecuador). Collection in and export from Panamá were performed under Ministerio de Ambiente
Permiso de Colecta Científica (No. ARBG-0038-2022) and Permiso de Transferencia de Material Genético
y/o Biológico No. PA-01-ARG-096-2022 (Panama), and collection in Texas was performed under scientific
research permit SPR-0922-131 issued by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. The animal use
protocols were approved by the University of Texas at Austin (IACUC AUP-2012-00032, AUP-2021-
00042, and AUP-2024-00003) and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (SI-22017). Voucher
specimens are deposited in the Museo de Zoología (QCAZ) de Pontificia Universidad Católica del
Ecuador (PUCE), the Museo de Historia Natural C.J. Marinkelle (ANDES) at the Universidad de los Andes
in Bogotá, Colombia, the Museo de Vertebrados de la Universidad de Panamá (MVUP), and within the
Herpetology division of the of the University of Texas at Austin Biodiversity Collections.

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies. No AI or AI-assisted technologies were
used in the preparation of this manuscript.

Data accessibility. The datasets supporting this article have been uploaded as part of the supplementary
material. GC-MS and UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS data are deposited on Global Natural Product Social Molecular
Networking as MassIVE datasets under accession numbers MSV000095866 and MSV000094961,
respectively. Other raw data are available here as supplementary tables.

Supplementary Information

Table S1. Stomach content data for every individual.

Table S2. A summary of data available on alkaloid detection in undefended lineages of poison frogs.

Table S3. Collection localities, specimen numbers, size, sex, and summary of alkaloid quantities and
diversity for each individual.

Table S4. Alkaloid-level data for every individual analyzed by GC-MS.

Table S5. S5a) A feature table including information on *Silverstoneia flotator* and *Eleutherodactylus*

*cystignathoide*s skin alkaloids; S5b) identifying information for samples corresponding to run numbers
listed in Table S5a columns.

Table S6. List of the subset of classes and most specific classes of compounds in *Silverstoneia*
flotator annotated as alkaloids ("Alkaloid Pathway" of NPClassifier) at >99% probability, their
presence/absence in *Eleutherodactylus cystignathoides*, whether the compound is from one of the
classes of lipophilic alkaloids listed in the Daly database, and whether the molecular formula for the
metabolite is found in the Daly database.

References

Abderemane-Ali F, Rossen ND, Kobiela ME, Craig RA, Garrison CE, Chen Z, Colleran CM, O'Connell LA, Du Bois J, Dumbacher JP, Minor DL. 2021. Evidence that toxin resistance in poison birds and frogs is not rooted in sodium channel mutations and may rely on "toxin sponge" proteins. *J Gen Physiol* **153**:e202112872.

Agrawal AA. 2000. Mechanisms, ecological consequences and agricultural implications of tri-trophic interactions. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **3**:329–335.

Agrawal AA, Petschenka G, Bingham RA, Weber MG, Rasmann S. 2012. Toxic cardenolides: Chemical ecology and coevolution of specialized plant-herbivore interactions. *New Phytol* **194**:28–45.

Agudelo-Cantero GA, Castaño-Valencia RS, Castro-Herrera F, Fierro-Pérez L, Asencio-Santofimio H. 2015. Diet of the Blue-Bellied Poison Frog *Andinobates minutus* (Anura: Dendrobatidae) in two populations from the Colombian Pacific. *J Herpetol* **49**:452–461.

Albert KS, Gernaat CM. 1984. Pharmacokinetics of ibuprofen. *Am J Med* **77**:40–46.

Alvarez-Buylla A, Fischer M-T, Moya Garzon MD, Rangel AE, Tapia EE, Tanzo JT, Soh HT, Coloma LA, Long JZ, O'Connell LA. 2023. Binding and sequestration of poison frog alkaloids by a plasma globulin. *Elife* **12**:e85096.

Alvarez-Buylla A, Payne CY, Vidoudez C, Trauger SA, O'Connell LA. 2022. Molecular physiology of pumiliotoxin sequestration in a poison frog. *PLoS One* **17**:e0264540.

Amézquita A, Ramos Ó, González MC, Rodríguez C, Medina I, Simões PI, Lima AP. 2017. Conspicuousness, color resemblance, and toxicity in geographically diverging mimicry: The pan-Amazonian frog *Allobates femoralis. Evolution* **71**:1039–1050.

AmphibiaWeb. 2023. https://amphibiaweb.org/ University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. Accessed 14 March 2023.

Andreev D, Kreitman M, Phillips TW, Beeman RW, ffrench-Constant RH. 1999. Multiple origins of cyclodiene insecticide resistance in *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *J Mol Evol* **48**:615–624.

Arbuckle K, Rodríguez de la Vega RC, Casewell NR. 2017. Coevolution takes the sting out of it: Evolutionary biology and mechanisms of toxin resistance in animals. *Toxicon* **140**:118–131.

Arita M, Sato Y, Miyata A, Tanabe T, Takahashi E, Kayden HJ, Arai H, Inoue K. 1995. Human alpha-tocopherol transfer protein: cDNA cloning, expression and chromosomal localization. *Biochem J* **306 (Pt 2)**:437–443.

Beran F, Petschenka G. 2022. Sequestration of plant defense compounds by insects: From mechanisms to insect-plant coevolution. *Annu Rev Entomol* **67**:163–180.

Berenbaum MR. 1995. The chemistry of defense: theory and practice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **92**:2–8.

Blackburn DC, Wake DB. 2011. Class Amphibia Gray, 1825. Zhang, Z.-q. ed., Animal biodiversity: An outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness. *Zootaxa* **3148**:39–55.

Brodie ED 3rd, Brodie ED Jr. 1990. Tetrodotoxin resistance in garter snakes: An evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey. *Evolution* **44**:651–659.

Brodie ED, Brodie ED. 1991. Evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey: Reduction of toxicity of newts and resistance of garter snakes in island populations. *Evolution* **45**:221.

Brown KS, Trigo JR. 1994. Multi-level complexity in the use of plant allelochemicals by aposematic insects. *Chemoecology* **5**:119–126.

Bucciarelli GM, Alsalek F, Kats LB, Green DB, Shaffer HB. 2022. Toxic relationships and arms-race coevolution revisited. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci* **10**:63–80.

Butler GC. 1978. Principles of Ecotoxicology. Wiley.

Caldwell JP. 1996. The evolution of myrmecophagy and its correlates in poison frogs (Family Dendrobatidae). *J Zool* **240**:75–101.

Caty SN, Alvarez-Buylla A, Byrd GD, Vidoudez C, Roland AB, Tapia EE, Budnik B, Trauger SA, Coloma LA,
Connell LAO. 2019. Molecular physiology of chemical defenses in a poison frog. *J Exp Biol*
222:jeb204149.
Charitos IA, Gagliano-Candela R, Santacroce L, Bottalico L. 2022. Venoms and poisonings during the
centuries: A narrative review. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets* **22**:558–570.
Chiu T-L, Wen Z, Rupasinghe SG, Schuler MA. 2008. Comparative molecular modeling of *Anopheles*
gambiae CYP6Z1, a mosquito P450 capable of metabolizing DDT. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
105:8855–8860.
Cipriani I, Rivera M. 2009. Detección de alcaloides en la piel de cuatro especies de anfibios ecuatorianos
(Anura: Dendrobatidae). *Rev Ecuat Med Cienc Biol* **30**:42–49.
Clayton DH, Wolfe ND. 1993. The adaptive significance of self-medication. *Trends Ecol Evol* **8**:60–63.
Coleman JL, Cannatella DC. 2023. The molecular basis and evolution of toxin resistance in poison frogs.
Evol Ecol. doi:10.1007/s10682-023-10258-0
Crothers L, Saporito RA, Yeager J, Lynch K, Friesen C, Richards-Zawacki CL, McGraw K, Cummings M.
2016. Warning signal properties covary with toxicity but not testosterone or aggregate
carotenoids in a poison frog. *Evol Ecol* **30**. doi:10.1007/s10682-016-9830-y
Daborn PJ, Yen JL, Bogwitz MR, Le Goff G, Feil E, Jeffers S, Tijet N, Perry T, Heckel D, Batterham P,
Feyereisen R, Wilson TG, ffrench-Constant RH. 2002. A single p450 allele associated with
insecticide resistance in *Drosophila*. *Science* **297**:2253–2256.
Daly JW. 1998. Thirty years of discovering arthropod alkaloids in amphibian skins. *J Nat Prod* **61**:162–
172.
Daly JW, Brown GB, Mensah-Dwumah M, Myers CW. 1978. Classification of skin alkaloids from
Neotropical poison-dart frogs (Dendrobatidae). *Toxicon* **16**:163–188.
Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF. 1999. Alkaloids from amphibian skins In: Pelletier SW, editor.
Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives. New York: Pergamon. pp. 1–161.
Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Clark VC, Ma J, Ziffer H, Cover JF Jr. 2003. Evidence for an
enantioselective pumiliotoxin 7-hydroxylase in dendrobatid poison frogs of the genus
Dendrobates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**:11092–11097.
Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Jaramillo C, Stanley AR. 1994a. Dietary source for skin alkaloids of
poison frogs (Dendrobatidae)? *J Chem Ecol* **20**:943–955.
Daly JW, Highet RJ, Myers CW. 1984. Occurrence of skin alkaloids in non-dendrobatid frogs from Brazil
(Bufonidae), Australia (Myobatrachidae) and Madagascar (Mantellinae). *Toxicon* **22**:905–919.
Daly JW, Myers CW, Whittaker N. 1987. Further classification of skin alkaloids from neotropical poison
frogs (Dendrobatidae), with a general survey of toxic/noxious substances in the Amphibia.
Toxicon **25**:1023–1095.
Daly JW, Secunda SI, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Wisnieski A, Cover JF Jr. 1994b. An uptake system for
dietary alkaloids in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae). *Toxicon* **32**:657–663.
Daly JW, Spande TF, Garraffo HM. 2005. Alkaloids from amphibian skin: A tabulation of over eight-
hundred compounds. *J Nat Prod* **68**:1556–1575.
Daly JW, Ware N, Saporito RA, Spande TF, Garraffo HM. 2009. N-methyldecahydroquinolines: An
unexpected class of alkaloids from Amazonian poison frogs (Dendrobatidae). *J Nat Prod*
72:1110–1114.
Darst CR, Cummings ME. 2006. Predator learning favours mimicry of a less-toxic model in poison frogs.
Nature **440**:208–211.
Darst CR, Menéndez-Guerrero PA, Coloma LA, Cannatella DC. 2005. Evolution of dietary specialization
and chemical defense in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae): A comparative analysis. *Am Nat* **165**:56–
69.
de Lima Barros A, López-Lozano JL, Lima AP. 2016. The frog *Lithodytes lineatus* (Anura: Leptodactylidae)

uses chemical recognition to live in colonies of leaf-cutting ants of the genus *Atta*
(Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **70**:2195–2201.

Djoumbou Feunang Y, Eisner R, Knox C, Chepelev L, Hastings J, Owen G, Fahy E, Steinbeck C,
Subramanian S, Bolton E, Greiner R, Wishart DS. 2016. ClassyFire: automated chemical
classification with a comprehensive, computable taxonomy. *J Cheminform* **8**:61.

Dobler S, Petschenka G, Pankoke H. 2011. Coping with toxic plant compounds - The insect's perspective
on iridoid glycosides and cardenolides. *Phytochemistry* **72**:1593–1604.

Douglas TE, Beskid SG, Gernand CE, Nirtaut BE, Tamsil KE, Fitch RW, Tarvin RD. 2022. Trade-offs
between cost of ingestion and rate of intake drive defensive toxin use. *Biol Lett* **18**:20210579.

Duffey SS. 1980. Sequestration of plant natural products by insects. *Annu Rev Entomol* **25**:447–477.

Dührkop K, Fleischauer M, Ludwig M, Aksenov AA, Melnik AV, Meusel M, Dorrestein PC, Rousu J, Böcker
S. 2019. SIRIUS 4: a rapid tool for turning tandem mass spectra into metabolite structure
information. *Nat Methods* **16**:299–302.

Dührkop K, Nothias L-F, Fleischauer M, Reher R, Ludwig M, Hoffmann MA, Petras D, Gerwick WH, Rousu
J, Dorrestein PC, Böcker S. 2021. Systematic classification of unknown metabolites using high-
resolution fragmentation mass spectra. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**:462–471.

Dührkop K, Shen H, Meusel M, Rousu J, Böcker S. 2015. Searching molecular structure databases with
tandem mass spectra using CSI:FingerID. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:12580–12585.

Dumbacher JP, Wako A, Derrickson SR, Samuelson A, Spande TF, Daly JW. 2004. Melyrid beetles
(*Choresine*): a putative source for the batrachotoxin alkaloids found in poison-dart frogs and
toxic passerine birds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**:15857–15860.

Feng Y-J, Blackburn DC, Liang D, Hillis DM, Wake DB, Cannatella DC, Zhang P. 2017. Phylogenomics
reveals rapid, simultaneous diversification of three major clades of Gondwanan frogs at the
Cretaceous – Paleogene boundary. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**:E5864–E5870.

ffrench-Constant RH, Daborn PJ, Goff GL. 2004. The genetics and genomics of insecticide resistance.
Trends Genet **20**:163–170.

Gonzalez M, Carazzone C. 2023. Eco-Metabolomics Applied to the Chemical Ecology of Poison Frogs
(Dendrobatoidea). *J Chem Ecol.* doi:10.1007/s10886-023-01443-0

Gonzalez M, Palacios-Rodriguez P, Hernandez-Restrepo J, González-Santoro M, Amézquita A, Brunetti
AE, Carazzone C. 2021. First characterization of toxic alkaloids and volatile organic compounds
(VOCs) in the cryptic dendrobatid *Silverstoneia punctiventris*. *Front Zool* **18**:39.

Grant T. 2007. A new, toxic species of *Colostethus* (Anura: Dendrobatidae: Colostethinae) from the
Cordillera Central of Colombia. *Zootaxa* **1555**:39–51.

Guillory WX, French CM, Twomey EM, Chávez G, Prates I, von May R, De la Riva I, Lötters S, Reichle S,
Serrano-Rojas SJ, Whitworth A, Brown JL. 2020. Phylogenetic relationships and systematics of
the Amazonian poison frog genus *Ameerega* using ultraconserved genomic elements. *Mol*
Phylogenet Evol **142**:106638.

Hague MTJ, Toledo G, Geffeney SL, Hanifin CT, Brodie ED, Brodie ED. 2018. Large-effect mutations
generate trade-off between predatory and locomotor ability during arms race coevolution with
deadly prey. *Evolution Letters* 1–11.

Hantak MM, Grant T, Reinsch S, Mcginnity D, Loring M, Toyooka N, Saporito RA. 2013. Dietary alkaloid
sequestration in a Ppison frog: An experimental test of alkaloid uptake in *Melanophryniscus*
stelzneri (Bufonidae). *J Chem Ecol* **39**:1400–1406.

Hovey KJ, Seiter EM, Johnson EE, Saporito RA. 2018. Sequestered alkaloid defenses in the dendrobatid
poison frog *Oophaga pumilio* provide variable protection from microbial pathogens. *J Chem Ecol*
1–14.

Jeckel AM. 2021. Eficiência de sequestro e composição de alcaloides em rãs-de-veneno da família
Dendrobatidae. Universidade de São Paulo. doi:10.11606/t.41.2020.tde-08122020-132405

Jeckel AM, Bolton SK, Waters KR, Antoniazzi MM, Jared C, Matsumura K, Nishikawa K, Morimoto Y,
Grant T, Saporito RA. 2022. Dose-dependent alkaloid sequestration and N-methylation of
decahydroquinoline in poison frogs. *J Exp Zool A Ecol Integr Physiol* **337**:537–546.

Jeckel AM, Kocheff S, Saporito RA, Grant T. 2019. Geographically separated orange and blue populations
of the Amazonian poison frog *Adelphobates galactonotus* (Anura, Dendrobatidae) do not differ
in alkaloid composition or palatability. *Chemoecology*. doi:10.1007/s00049-019-00291-3

Jeckel AM, Matsumura K, Nishikawa K, Morimoto Y, Saporito RA, Grant T, Ifa DR. 2020. Use of whole-
body cryosectioning and desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry imaging to
visualize alkaloid distribution in poison frogs. *J Mass Spectrom* **55**:1–6.

Jeckel AM, Saporito RA, Grant T. 2015. The relationship between poison frog chemical defenses and age,
body size, and sex. *Front Zool* **12**:27.

Jeffries MJ, Lawton JH. 1984. Enemy free space and the structure of ecological communities. *Biol J Linn*
Soc Lond **23**:269–286.

Jones TH, Gorman JST, Snelling RR, Delabie JHC, Blum MS, Garraffo HM, Jain P, Daly JW, Spande TF.
1999. Further alkaloids common to ants and frogs: Decahydroquinolines and a quinolizidine. *J*
Chem Ecol **25**:1179–1193.

Karageorgi M, Groen SC, Sumbul F, Pelaez JN, Verster KI, Aguilar JM, Hastings AP, Bernstein SL,
Matsunaga T, Astourian M, Guerra G, Rico F, Dobler S, Agrawal AA, Whiteman NK. 2019.
Genome editing retraces the evolution of toxin resistance in the monarch butterfly. *Nature* **574**.
doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1610-8

Kim HW, Wang M, Leber CA, Nothias L-F, Reher R, Kang KB, van der Hooft JJJ, Dorrestein PC, Gerwick
WH, Cottrell GW. 2021. NPClassifier: A Deep Neural Network-Based Structural Classification Tool
for Natural Products. *J Nat Prod* **84**:2795–2807.

Kliot A, Ghanim M. 2012. Fitness costs associated with insecticide resistance. *Pest Manag Sci* **68**:1431–
1437.

Lawrence JP, Rojas B, Blanchette A, Saporito RA, Mappes J, Fouquet A, Noonan BP. 2023. Linking
predator responses to alkaloid variability in poison frogs. *J Chem Ecol* **49**:195–204.

Lawrence JP, Rojas B, Fouquet A, Mappes J, Blanchette A, Saporito RA, Bosque RJ, Courtois EA, Noonan
BP. 2019. Weak warning signals can persist in the absence of gene flow. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
116:19037–19045.

Loeffler-Henry K, Kang C, Sherratt TN. 2023. Evolutionary transitions from camouflage to aposematism:
Hidden signals play a pivotal role. *Science* **379**:1136–1140.

López-Hervas K, Santos JC, Ron SR, Betancourth-Cundar M, Cannatella DC, Tarvin RD. 2024. Deep
divergences among inconspicuously colored clades of Epipedobates poison frogs. *Mol*
Phylogenet Evol 108065.

Martin CH, Wainwright PC. 2013. Multiple fitness peaks on the adaptive landscape drive adaptive
radiation in the wild. *Science* **339**:208–211.

McGugan JR, Byrd GD, Roland AB, Caty SN, Kabir N, Tapia EE, Trauger SA, Coloma LA, O'Connell LA. 2016.
Ant and mite diversity drives toxin variation in the Little Devil Poison Frog. *J Chem Ecol* **42**:537–
551.

Mebs D, Yotsu-Yamashita M, Arakawa O. 2016. The praying mantis (Mantodea) as predator of the
poisonous red-spotted newt *Notophthalmus viridescens* (Amphibia: Urodela: Salamandridae).
Chemoecology **26**:121–126.

Mebs D, Yotsu-Yamashita M, Pogoda W, Vargas Alvarez J, Ernst R, Köhler G, Toennes SW. 2018. Lack of
alkaloids and tetrodotoxin in the neotropical frogs *Allobates* spp. (Aromobatidae) and
Silverstoneia flotator (Dendrobatidae). *Toxicon* **152**. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2018.07.027

Mohammadi S, Yang L, Harpak A, Herrera-Álvarez S, del Pilar Rodríguez-Ordoñez M, Peng J, Zhang K,
Storz JF, Dobler S, Crawford AJ, Andolfatto P. 2021. Concerted evolution reveals co-adapted

amino acid substitutions in Na+K+-ATPase of frogs that prey on toxic toads. *Curr Biol* **31**:2530-
2538.e10.
Moskowitz NA, Alvarez-Buylla A, Morrison CR, Chamba A, Rentería J, Tapia EE, Coloma LA, Donoso DA,
O'Connell LA. 2022a. Poison frog diet and chemical defense are influenced by availability and
selectivity for ants. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2022.06.14.495949
Moskowitz NA, D'Agui R, Alvarez-Buylla A, Fiocca K, O'Connell LA. 2022b. Poison frog dietary preference
depends on prey type and alkaloid load. *PLOS ONE* **17**:e0276331.
Moskowitz NA, Dorritie B, Fay T, Nieves OC, Vidoudez C, 2017 Biology Class CRAL, 2017 Biotechnology
Class M, Fischer EK, Trauger SA, Coloma LA, Donoso DA, O'Connell LA. 2020. Land use impacts
poison frog chemical defenses through changes in leaf litter ant communities. *Neotropical*
Biodiversity **6**:75–87.
Müller C, Wittstock U. 2005. Uptake and turn-over of glucosinolates sequestered in the sawfly *Athalia*
rosae. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **35**:1189–1198.
Nothias L-F, Petras D, Schmid R, Dührkop K, Rainer J, Sarvepalli A, Protsyuk I, Ernst M, Tsugawa H,
Fleischauer M, Aicheler F, Aksenov AA, Alka O, Allard P-M, Barsch A, Cachet X, Caraballo-
Rodriguez AM, Da Silva RR, Dang T, Garg N, Gauglitz JM, Gurevich A, Isaac G, Jarmusch AK,
Kameník Z, Kang KB, Kessler N, Koester I, Korf A, Le Gouellec A, Ludwig M, Martin H C, McCall L-I,
McSayles J, Meyer SW, Mohimani H, Morsy M, Moyne O, Neumann S, Neuweger H, Nguyen NH,
Nothias-Esposito M, Paolini J, Phelan VV, Pluskal T, Quinn RA, Rogers S, Shrestha B, Tripathi A,
van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Weldon KC, Witting M, Yang H, Zhang Z, Zubeil F, Kohlbacher O,
Böcker S, Alexandrov T, Bandeira N, Wang M, Dorrestein PC. 2020. Feature-based molecular
networking in the GNPS analysis environment. *Nat Methods* **17**:905–908.
Osorio D, Valenzuel L, Bermúdez-Rivas C, Castaño S. 2015. Descripción de la dieta de una población de
Oophaga histrionica (Athesphatanura: Dendrobatidae) en un enclave seco del Valle del Cauca,
Colombia. *Revista Biodiversidad Neotropical* **5**:29–35.
Paradis E, Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses
in R. *Bioinformatics*. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633
Petschenka G, Agrawal AA. 2016. How herbivores coopt plant defenses: natural selection, specialization,
and sequestration. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **14**:17–24.
Petschenka G, Fandrich S, Sander N, Wagschal V, Boppré M, Dobler S. 2013. Stepwise evolution of
resistance to toxic cardenolides via genetic substitutions in the Na+/K+-ATPase of milkweed
butterflies (Lepidoptera: Danaini). *Evolution* **67**:2753–2761.
Poyet M, Eslin P, Chabrerie O, Prud'homme SM, Desouhant E, Gibert P. 2017. The invasive pest
Drosophila suzukii uses trans-generational medication to resist parasitoid attack. *Sci Rep*
7:43696.
Prates I, Antoniazzi MM, Sciani JM, Pimenta DC, Toledo F, Haddad FB, Jared C. 2011. Skin glands, poison
and mimicry in dendrobatid and leptodactylid amphibians. *Journal of Morphology* **273**:279–290.
Przeczek K, Mueller C, Vamosi SM. 2008. The evolution of aposematism is accompanied by increased
diversification. *Integr Zool* **3**:149–156.
R Core Team. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing.
Reid NM, Proestou DA, Clark BW, Warren WC, Colbourne JK, Shaw JR, Karchner SI, Hahn ME, Nacci D,
Oleksiak MF, Crawford DL, Whitehead A. 2016. The genomic landscape of rapid repeated
evolutionary adaptation to toxic pollution in wild fish. *Science* **354**:1305–1308.
Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things).
Methods in Ecology and Evolution. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x
Richard H, Carroll L. 2013. The molecular genetics of insecticide resistance. *Genetics* **194**:807–815.
Rodríguez A, Poth D, Schulz S, Gehara M, Vences M. 2013. Genetic diversity, phylogeny and evolution of

alkaloid sequestering in Cuban miniaturized frogs of the *Eleutherodactylus limbatus* group. *Mol*
Phylogenet Evol **68**:541–554.
Rodríguez C, Rollins-Smith L, Ibáñez R, Durant-Archibold AA, Gutiérrez M. 2017. Toxins and
pharmacologically active compounds from species of the family Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura). *J*
Ethnopharmacol **198**:235–254.
Rostami-Hodjegan A. 2012. Physiologically based pharmacokinetics joined with in vitro-in vivo
extrapolation of ADME: A marriage under the arch of systems pharmacology. *Clin Pharmacol*
Ther **92**:50–61.
Ruiz-Garcia A, Bermejo M, Moss A, Casabo VG. 2008. Pharmacokinetics in drug discovery. *J Pharm Sci*
97:654–690.
Sanches PR, Santos-Guerra LE, Pedroso-Santos F, Kaefer IL, Costa-Campos CE. 2023. What do co-mimics
eat? Trophic ecology of *Ameerega pulchripecta* (Anura, Dendrobatidae) and *Allobates femoralis*
(Anura, Aromobatidae) in Eastern Brazilian Amazonia. *hpet* **57**:408–417.
Sanchez E, Rodríguez A, Grau JH, Lötters S, Künzel S, Saporito RA, Ringler E, Schulz S, Wollenberg Valero
KC, Vences M. 2019. Transcriptomic signatures of experimental alkaloid consumption in a poison
frog. *Genes* **10**:733.
Sánchez-Loja S, Donoso DA, Páez-Vacas MI. 2023. Conspicuous and cryptic poison frogs are picky and
prefer different meals in syntopy. *Evol Ecol*. doi:10.1007/s10682-023-10282-0
Santos JC, Baquero M, Barrio-Amorós C, Coloma LA, Erdtmann LK, Lima AP, Cannatella DC. 2014.
Aposematism increases acoustic diversification and speciation in poison frogs. *Proc R Soc Lond B*
Biol Sci **281**:20141761.
Santos JC, Cannatella DC. 2011. Phenotypic integration emerges from aposematism and scale in poison
frogs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**:6175–6180.
Santos JC, Coloma LA, Cannatella DC. 2003. Multiple, recurring origins of aposematism and diet
specialization in poison frogs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**:12792–12797.
Santos JC, Tarvin RD, O'Connell LA. 2016. A review of chemical defense in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae):
ecology, pharmacokinetics, and autoresistance In: Schulte BA, Goodwin TE, Ferkin MH, editors.
Chemical Signals in Vertebrates 13. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. pp. 305–337.
Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Jain P, Martin Garraffo H, Spande TF, Daly JW. 2007a. Spatial and temporal
patterns of alkaloid variation in the poison frog *Oophaga pumilio* in Costa Rica and Panama over
30 years. *Toxicon* **50**:757–778.
Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Norton RA, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Daly JW. 2007b. Oribatid mites as a
major dietary source for alkaloids in poison frogs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**:8885–8890.
Saporito RA, Garraffo HM, Donnelly MA, Edwards AL, Longino JT, Daly JW. 2004. Formicine ants: An
arthropod source for the pumiliotoxin alkaloids of dendrobatid poison frogs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A **101**:8045–8050.
Saporito RA, Grant T. 2018. Comment on Amézquita et al. (2017) "Conspicuousness, color resemblance,
and toxicity in geographically diverging mimicry: The pan-Amazonian frog *Allobates femoralis*."
Evolution 1009–1014.
Saporito RA, Isola M, Maccachero VC, Condon K, Donnelly MA. 2010. Ontogenetic scaling of poison
glands in a dendrobatid poison frog. *J Zool* **282**:238–245.
Saporito RA, Spande TF, Garraffo HM, Donnelly MA. 2009. Arthropod alkaloids in poison frogs: A review
of the 'Dietary Hypothesis.' *Heterocycles* **79**:277–297.
Savitzky AH, Mori A, Hutchinson DA, Saporito RA, Burghardt GM, Lillywhite HB, Meinwald J. 2012.
Sequestered defensive toxins in tetrapod vertebrates: Principles, patterns, and prospects for
future studies. *Chemoecology* **22**:141–158.
Schmid R, Heuckeroth S, Korf A, Smirnov A, Myers O, Dyrlund TS, Bushuiev R, Murray KJ, Hoffmann N, Lu
M, Sarvepalli A, Zhang Z, Fleischauer M, Dührkop K, Wesner M, Hoogstra SJ, Rudt E, Mokshyna

O, Brungs C, Ponomarov K, Mutabdžija L, Damiani T, Pudney CJ, Earll M, Helmer PO, Fallon TR,
Schulze T, Rivas-Ubach A, Bilbao A, Richter H, Nothias L-F, Wang M, Orešič M, Weng J-K, Böcker
S, Jeibmann A, Hayen H, Karst U, Dorrestein PC, Petras D, Du X, Pluskal T. 2023. Integrative
analysis of multimodal mass spectrometry data in MZmine 3. *Nat Biotechnol* **41**:447–449.

Sedio BE, Spasojevic MJ, Myers JA, Wright SJ, Person MD, Chandrasekaran H, Dwenger JH, Prechi ML,
López CA, Allen DN, Anderson-Teixeira KJ, Baltzer JL, Bourg NA, Castillo BT, Day NJ, Dewald-
Wang E, Dick CW, James TY, Kueneman JG, LaManna J, Lutz JA, McGregor IR, McMahon SM,
Parker GG, Parker JD, Vandermeer JH. 2021. Chemical similarity of co-occurring trees decreases
with precipitation and temperature in North American forests. *Frontiers in Ecology and*
Evolution **9**. doi:10.3389/fevo.2021.679638

Singer MS, Mace KC, Bernays EA. 2009. Self-medication as adaptive plasticity: increased ingestion of
plant toxins by parasitized caterpillars. *PLoS One* **4**:e4796.

Spurgeon D, Lahive E, Robinson A, Short S, Kille P. 2020. Species sensitivity to toxic substances:
evolution, ecology and applications. *Front Environ Sci Eng China* **8**.
doi:10.3389/fenvs.2020.588380

Streicher JW, Miller EC, Guerrero PC, Correa C, Ortiz JC, Crawford AJ, Pie MR, Wiens JJ. 2018. Evaluating
methods for phylogenomic analyses, and a new phylogeny for a major frog clade (Hyloidea)
based on 2214 loci. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **119**:128–143.

Streit B. 1992. Bioaccumulation processes in ecosystems. *Experientia* **48**:955–970.

Stuckert AMM, Saporito RA, Venegas PJ, Summers K. 2014. Alkaloid defenses of co-mimics in a putative
Müllerian mimetic radiation. *BMC Evol Biol* **14**:1–8.

Tanu MB, Mahmud Y, Tsuruda K, Arakawa O, Noguchi T. 2001. Occurrence of tetrodotoxin in the skin of
a rhacophoridid frog Polypedates sp. from Bangladesh. *Toxicon* **39**:937–941.

Tarvin RD, Borghese CM, Sachs W, Santos JC, Lu Y, O'Connell LA, Cannatella DC, Harris RA, Zakon HH.
2017. Interacting amino acid replacements allow poison frogs to evolve epibatidine resistance.
Science **357**:1261–1266.

Tarvin RD, Pearson KC, Douglas TE, Ramírez-Castañeda V, Navarrete MJ. 2023. The diverse mechanisms
that animals use to resist toxins. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* **54**:283–306.

Tarvin RD, Santos JC, O'Connell LA, Zakon HH, Cannatella DC. 2016. Convergent substitutions in a sodium
channel suggest multiple origins of toxin resistance in poison frogs. *Mol Biol Evol* **33**:1068–1081.

Toft CA. 1995. Evolution of diet specialization in poison-dart frogs (Dendrobatidae). *Herpetologica*
51:202–216.

Toft CA. 1981. Feeding ecology of Panamanian litter anurans: Patterns in diet and foraging mode. *J*
Herpetol **15**:139–144.

Toft CA. 1980. Feeding ecology of thirteen syntopic species of anurans in a seasonal tropical
environment. *Oecologia* **45**:131–141.

Violet P-C, Ebenuwa IC, Wang Y, Niyyati M, Padayatty SJ, Head B, Wilkins K, Chung S, Thakur V, Ulatowski
L, Atkinson J, Ghelfi M, Smith S, Tu H, Bobe G, Liu C-Y, Herion DW, Shamburek RD, Manor D,
Traber MG, Levine M. 2020. Vitamin E sequestration by liver fat in humans. *JCI Insight* **5**.
doi:10.1172/jci.insight.133309

Wan YC, Navarrete Méndez MJ, O'Connell LA, Uricchio LH, Roland A-B, Maan ME, Ron SR, Betancourth-
Cundar M, Pie MR, Howell KA, Richards-Zawacki CL, Cummings ME, Cannatella DC, Santos JC,
Tarvin RD. 2023. Selection on visual opsin genes in diurnal Neotropical frogs and loss of the
SWS2 opsin in poison frogs. *Mol Biol Evol* **40**. doi:10.1093/molbev/msad206

Wang M, Carver JJ, Phelan VV, Sanchez LM, Garg N, Peng Y, Nguyen DD, Watrous J, Kapono CA, Luzzatto-
Knaan T, Porto C, Bouslimani A, Melnik AV, Meehan MJ, Liu W-T, Crüsemann M, Boudreau PD,
Esquenazi E, Sandoval-Calderón M, Kersten RD, Pace LA, Quinn RA, Duncan KR, Hsu C-C, Floros
DJ, Gavilan RG, Kleigrewe K, Northen T, Dutton RJ, Parrot D, Carlson EE, Aigle B, Michelsen CF,

Jelsbak L, Sohlenkamp C, Pevzner P, Edlund A, McLean J, Piel J, Murphy BT, Gerwick L, Liaw C-C,
Yang Y-L, Humpf H-U, Maansson M, Keyzers RA, Sims AC, Johnson AR, Sidebottom AM, Sedio BE,
Klitgaard A, Larson CB, Boya P CA, Torres-Mendoza D, Gonzalez DJ, Silva DB, Marques LM,
Demarque DP, Pociute E, O'Neill EC, Briand E, Helfrich EJN, Granatosky EA, Glukhov E, Ryffel F,
Houson H, Mohimani H, Kharbush JJ, Zeng Y, Vorholt JA, Kurita KL, Charusanti P, McPhail KL,
Nielsen KF, Vuong L, Elfeki M, Traxler MF, Engene N, Koyama N, Vining OB, Baric R, Silva RR,
Mascuch SJ, Tomasi S, Jenkins S, Macherla V, Hoffman T, Agarwal V, Williams PG, Dai J, Neupane
R, Gurr J, Rodríguez AMC, Lamsa A, Zhang C, Dorrestein K, Duggan BM, Almaliti J, Allard P-M,
Phapale P, Nothias L-F, Alexandrov T, Litaudon M, Wolfender J-L, Kyle JE, Metz TO, Peryea T,
Nguyen D-T, VanLeer D, Shinn P, Jadhav A, Müller R, Waters KM, Shi W, Liu X, Zhang L, Knight R,
Jensen PR, Palsson BØ, Pogliano K, Linington RG, Gutiérrez M, Lopes NP, Gerwick WH, Moore BS,
Dorrestein PC, Bandeira N. 2016. Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data
with Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**:828–837.
Waters KR, Dugas MB, Grant T, Saporito RA. 2023. The ability to sequester the alkaloid epibatidine is
widespread among dendrobatid poison frogs. *Evol Ecol*. doi:10.1007/s10682-023-10260-6
West-Eberhard MJ. 2003. Developmental plasticity and evolution, 1st ed. New York: Oxford University
Press.
Whitehead A, Clark BW, Reid NM, Hahn ME, Nacci D. 2017. When evolution is the solution to pollution:
Key principles, and lessons from rapid repeated adaptation of killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*)
populations. *Evol Appl* **10**:762–783.
Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.
Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K, Vaughan D. 2023. dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation.
Wilke CO. 2020. cowplot: Streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for "ggplot2."
Yang L, Borne F, Betz A, Aardema ML, Zhen Y, Peng J, Visconti R, Wu M, Roland BP, Talsma AD, Palladino
MJ, Petschenka G, Andolfatto P. 2023. Predatory fireflies and their toxic firefly prey have
evolved distinct toxin resistance strategies. *Curr Biol* **33**:5160-5168.e7.
Yuan Z-Y, Zhang B-L, Raxworthy CJ, Weisrock DW, Hime PM, Jin J-Q, Lemmon EM, Lemmon AR, Holland
SD, Kortyna ML, Zhou W-W, Peng M-S, Che J, Prendini E. 2019. Natatanuran frogs used the
Indian Plate to step-stone disperse and radiate across the Indian Ocean. *Natl Sci Rev* **6**:10–14.
Zhang Y, Meng X, Yang Y, Li H, Wang X, Yang B, Zhang J, Li C, Millar NS, Liu Z. 2016. Synergistic and
compensatory effects of two point mutations conferring target-site resistance to fipronil in the
insect GABA receptor RDL. *Sci Rep* **6**:32335.
Züst T, Mou S, Agrawal AA. 2018. What doesn't kill you makes you stronger: The burdens and benefits of
toxin sequestration in a milkweed aphid. *Funct Ecol* **32**:1972–1981.

Abderemane-Ali F, Rossen ND, Kobiela ME, Craig RA, Garrison CE, Chen Z, Colleran CM, O'Connell LA, Du
Bois J, Dumbacher JP, Minor DL. 2021. Evidence that toxin resistance in poison birds and frogs is not
rooted in sodium channel mutations and may rely on "toxin sponge" proteins. J Gen Physiol
153:e202112872.
Agrawal AA. 2000. Mechanisms, ecological consequences and agricultural implications of tri-trophic
interactions. Curr Opin Plant Biol 3:329–335.
Agrawal AA, Petschenka G, Bingham RA, Weber MG, Rasmann S. 2012. Toxic cardenolides: Chemical
ecology and coevolution of specialized plant-herbivore interactions. New Phytol 194:28–45.
Agudelo-Cantero GA, Castaño-Valencia RS, Castro-Herrera F, Fierro-Pérez L, Asencio-Santofimio H. 2015.
Diet of the Blue-Bellied Poison Frog Andinobates minutus (Anura: Dendrobatidae) in two
populations from the Colombian Pacific. J Herpetol 49:452–461.
Alvarez-Buylla A, Fischer M-T, Moya Garzon MD, Rangel AE, Tapia EE, Tanzo JT, Soh HT, Coloma LA, Long
JZ, O'Connell LA. 2023. Binding and sequestration of poison frog alkaloids by a plasma globulin. Elife

12:e85096.

Alvarez-Buylla A, Payne CY, Vidoudez C, Trauger SA, O'Connell LA. 2022. Molecular physiology of
pumiliotoxin sequestration in a poison frog. PLoS One 17:e0264540.

Amézquita A, Ramos Ó, González MC, Rodríguez C, Medina I, Simões PI, Lima AP. 2017.
Conspicuousness, color resemblance, and toxicity in geographically diverging mimicry: The pan-
Amazonian frog Allobates femoralis. Evolution 71:1039–1050.

AmphibiaWeb. 2024. https://amphibiaweb.org/ University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. Accessed 14
March 2024.

Andreev D, Kreitman M, Phillips TW, Beeman RW, ffrench-Constant RH. 1999. Multiple origins of
cyclodiene insecticide resistance in Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). J Mol Evol
48:615–624.

Arbuckle K, Rodríguez de la Vega RC, Casewell NR. 2017. Coevolution takes the sting out of it:
Evolutionary biology and mechanisms of toxin resistance in animals. Toxicon 140:118–131.

Arita M, Sato Y, Miyata A, Tanabe T, Takahashi E, Kayden HJ, Arai H, Inoue K. 1995. Human alpha-
tocopherol transfer protein: cDNA cloning, expression and chromosomal localization. Biochem J
306 (Pt 2):437–443.

Beran F, Petschenka G. 2022. Sequestration of plant defense compounds by insects: From mechanisms
to insect-plant coevolution. Annu Rev Entomol 67:163–180.

Berenbaum MR. 1995. The chemistry of defense: theory and practice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:2–8.

Blackburn DC, Wake DB. 2011. Class Amphibia Gray, 1825. Zhang, Z.-q. ed., Animal biodiversity: An
outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness. Zootaxa 3148:39–55.

Brodie ED 3rd, Brodie ED Jr. 1990. Tetrodotoxin resistance in garter snakes: An evolutionary response of
predators to dangerous prey. Evolution 44:651–659.

Brodie ED, Brodie ED. 1991. Evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey: Reduction of toxicity
of newts and resistance of garter snakes in island populations. Evolution 45:221.

Brown KS, Trigo JR. 1994. Multi-level complexity in the use of plant allelochemicals by aposematic
insects. Chemoecology 5:119–126.

Bucciarelli GM, Alsalek F, Kats LB, Green DB, Shaffer HB. 2022. Toxic relationships and arms-race
coevolution revisited. Annu Rev Anim Biosci 10:63–80.

Butler GC. 1978. Principles of Ecotoxicology. Wiley.

Caldwell JP. 1996. The evolution of myrmecophagy and its correlates in poison frogs (Family
Dendrobatidae). J Zool 240:75–101.

Caty SN, Alvarez-Buylla A, Byrd GD, Vidoudez C, Roland AB, Tapia EE, Budnik B, Trauger SA, Coloma LA,
Connell LAO. 2019. Molecular physiology of chemical defenses in a poison frog. J Exp Biol
222:jeb204149.

Charitos IA, Gagliano-Candela R, Santacroce L, Bottalico L. 2022. Venoms and poisonings during the
centuries: A narrative review. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 22:558–570.

Chiu T-L, Wen Z, Rupasinghe SG, Schuler MA. 2008. Comparative molecular modeling of Anopheles
gambiae CYP6Z1, a mosquito P450 capable of metabolizing DDT. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
105:8855–8860.

Cipriani I, Rivera M. 2009. Detección de alcaloides en la piel de cuatro especies de anfibios ecuatorianos
(Anura: Dendrobatidae). Rev Ecuat Med Cienc Biol 30:42–49.

Clayton DH, Wolfe ND. 1993. The adaptive significance of self-medication. Trends Ecol Evol 8:60–63.

Coleman JL, Cannatella DC. 2023. The molecular basis and evolution of toxin resistance in poison frogs.
Evol Ecol. doi:10.1007/s10682-023-10258-0

Crothers L, Saporito RA, Yeager J, Lynch K, Friesen C, Richards-Zawacki CL, McGraw K, Cummings M.
2016. Warning signal properties covary with toxicity but not testosterone or aggregate carotenoids
in a poison frog. Evol Ecol 30. doi:10.1007/s10682-016-9830-y

Daborn PJ, Yen JL, Bogwitz MR, Le Goff G, Feil E, Jeffers S, Tijet N, Perry T, Heckel D, Batterham P,
    Feyereisen R, Wilson TG, ffrench-Constant RH. 2002. A single p450 allele associated with insecticide
    resistance in Drosophila. Science 297:2253–2256.

Daly JW. 1998. Thirty years of discovering arthropod alkaloids in amphibian skins. J Nat Prod 61:162–
    172.

Daly JW, Brown GB, Mensah-Dwumah M, Myers CW. 1978. Classification of skin alkaloids from
    neotropical poison-dart frogs (Dendrobatidae). Toxicon 16:163–188.

Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF. 1999. Alkaloids from amphibian skins In: Pelletier SW, editor.
    Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives. New York: Pergamon. pp. 1–161.

Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Clark VC, Ma J, Ziffer H, Cover JF Jr. 2003. Evidence for an
    enantioselective pumiliotoxin 7-hydroxylase in dendrobatid poison frogs of the genus Dendrobates.
    Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:11092–11097.

Daly JW, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Jaramillo C, Stanley AR. 1994. Dietary source for skin alkaloids of
    poison frogs (Dendrobatidae)? J Chem Ecol 20:943–955.

Daly JW, Highet RJ, Myers CW. 1984. Occurrence of skin alkaloids in non-dendrobatid frogs from Brazil
    (Bufonidae), Australia (Myobatrachidae) and Madagascar (Mantellinae). Toxicon 22:905–919.

Daly JW, Myers CW, Whittaker N. 1987. Further classification of skin alkaloids from neotropical poison
    frogs (Dendrobatidae), with a general survey of toxic/noxious substances in the Amphibia. Toxicon
    25:1023–1095.

Daly JW, Secunda SI, Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Wisnieski A, Cover JF Jr. 1994. An uptake system for
    dietary alkaloids in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae). Toxicon 32:657–663.

Daly JW, Spande TF, Garraffo HM. 2005. Alkaloids from amphibian skin: A tabulation of over eight-
    hundred compounds. J Nat Prod 68:1556–1575.

Daly JW, Ware N, Saporito RA, Spande TF, Garraffo HM. 2009. N-methyldecahydroquinolines: An
    unexpected class of alkaloids from Amazonian poison frogs (Dendrobatidae). J Nat Prod 72:1110–
    1114.

Darst CR, Cummings ME. 2006. Predator learning favours mimicry of a less-toxic model in poison frogs.
    Nature 440:208–211.

Darst CR, Menéndez-Guerrero PA, Coloma LA, Cannatella DC. 2005. Evolution of dietary specialization
    and chemical defense in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae): A comparative analysis. Am Nat 165:56–69.

de Lima Barros A, López-Lozano JL, Lima AP. 2016. The frog Lithodytes lineatus (Anura: Leptodactylidae)
    uses chemical recognition to live in colonies of leaf-cutting ants of the genus Atta (Hymenoptera:
    Formicidae). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 70:2195–2201.

Djoumbou Feunang Y, Eisner R, Knox C, Chepelev L, Hastings J, Owen G, Fahy E, Steinbeck C,
    Subramanian S, Bolton E, Greiner R, Wishart DS. 2016. ClassyFire: automated chemical classification
    with a comprehensive, computable taxonomy. J Cheminform 8:61.

Dobler S, Petschenka G, Pankoke H. 2011. Coping with toxic plant compounds - The insect's perspective
    on iridoid glycosides and cardenolides. Phytochemistry 72:1593–1604.

Douglas TE, Beskid SG, Gernand CE, Nirtaut BE, Tamsil KE, Fitch RW, Tarvin RD. 2022. Trade-offs
    between cost of ingestion and rate of intake drive defensive toxin use. Biol Lett 18:20210579.

Duffey SS. 1980. Sequestration of plant natural products by insects. Annu Rev Entomol 25:447–477.

Dührkop K, Fleischauer M, Ludwig M, Aksenov AA, Melnik AV, Meusel M, Dorrestein PC, Rousu J, Böcker
    S. 2019. SIRIUS 4: a rapid tool for turning tandem mass spectra into metabolite structure
    information. Nat Methods 16:299–302.

Dührkop K, Nothias L-F, Fleischauer M, Reher R, Ludwig M, Hoffmann MA, Petras D, Gerwick WH, Rousu
    J, Dorrestein PC, Böcker S. 2021. Systematic classification of unknown metabolites using high-
    resolution fragmentation mass spectra. Nat Biotechnol 39:462–471.

Dührkop K, Shen H, Meusel M, Rousu J, Böcker S. 2015. Searching molecular structure databases with

tandem mass spectra using CSI:FingerID. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:12580–12585.

Dumbacher JP, Wako A, Derrickson SR, Samuelson A, Spande TF, Daly JW. 2004. Melyrid beetles
(Choresine): a putative source for the batrachotoxin alkaloids found in poison-dart frogs and toxic
passerine birds. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:15857–15860.

Feng Y-J, Blackburn DC, Liang D, Hillis DM, Wake DB, Cannatella DC, Zhang P. 2017. Phylogenomics
reveals rapid, simultaneous diversification of three major clades of Gondwanan frogs at the
Cretaceous – Paleogene boundary. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E5864–E5870.

ffrench-Constant RH, Daborn PJ, Goff GL. 2004. The genetics and genomics of insecticide resistance.
Trends Genet 20:163–170.

Gonzalez M, Carazzone C. 2023. Eco-Metabolomics Applied to the Chemical Ecology of Poison Frogs
(Dendrobatoidea). J Chem Ecol. doi:10.1007/s10886-023-01443-0

Gonzalez M, Palacios-Rodriguez P, Hernandez-Restrepo J, González-Santoro M, Amézquita A, Brunetti
AE, Carazzone C. 2021. First characterization of toxic alkaloids and volatile organic compounds
(VOCs) in the cryptic dendrobatid Silverstoneia punctiventris. Front Zool 18:39.

Guillory WX, French CM, Twomey EM, Chávez G, Prates I, von May R, De la Riva I, Lötters S, Reichle S,
Serrano-Rojas SJ, Whitworth A, Brown JL. 2020. Phylogenetic relationships and systematics of the
Amazonian poison frog genus Ameerega using ultraconserved genomic elements. Mol Phylogenet
Evol 142:106638.

Hague MTJ, Toledo G, Geffeney SL, Hanifin CT, Brodie ED, Brodie ED. 2018. Large-effect mutations
generate trade-off between predatory and locomotor ability during arms race coevolution with
deadly prey. Evolution Letters 1–11.

Hantak MM, Grant T, Reinsch S, Mcginnity D, Loring M, Toyooka N, Saporito RA. 2013. Dietary alkaloid
sequestration in a Ppison frog: An experimental test of alkaloid uptake in Melanophryniscus
stelzneri (Bufonidae). J Chem Ecol 39:1400–1406.

Jeckel AM, Bolton SK, Waters KR, Antoniazzi MM, Jared C, Matsumura K, Nishikawa K, Morimoto Y,
Grant T, Saporito RA. 2022. Dose-dependent alkaloid sequestration and N-methylation of
decahydroquinoline in poison frogs. J Exp Zool A Ecol Integr Physiol 337:537–546.

Jeckel AM, Matsumura K, Nishikawa K, Morimoto Y, Saporito RA, Grant T, Ifa DR. 2020. Use of whole-
body cryosectioning and desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry imaging to visualize
alkaloid distribution in poison frogs. J Mass Spectrom 55:1–6.

Jeckel AM, Saporito RA, Grant T. 2015. The relationship between poison frog chemical defenses and age,
body size, and sex. Front Zool 12:27.

Jeffries MJ, Lawton JH. 1984. Enemy free space and the structure of ecological communities. Biol J Linn
Soc Lond 23:269–286.

Jones TH, Gorman JST, Snelling RR, Delabie JHC, Blum MS, Garraffo HM, Jain P, Daly JW, Spande TF.
1999. Further alkaloids common to ants and frogs: Decahydroquinolines and a quinolizidine. J
Chem Ecol 25:1179–1193.

Karageorgi M, Groen SC, Sumbul F, Pelaez JN, Verster KI, Aguilar JM, Hastings AP, Bernstein SL,
Matsunaga T, Astourian M, Guerra G, Rico F, Dobler S, Agrawal AA, Whiteman NK. 2019. Genome
editing retraces the evolution of toxin resistance in the monarch butterfly. Nature 574.
doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1610-8

Kim HW, Wang M, Leber CA, Nothias L-F, Reher R, Kang KB, van der Hooft JJJ, Dorrestein PC, Gerwick
WH, Cottrell GW. 2021. NPClassifier: A Deep Neural Network-Based Structural Classification Tool
for Natural Products. J Nat Prod 84:2795–2807.

Kliot A, Ghanim M. 2012. Fitness costs associated with insecticide resistance. Pest Manag Sci 68:1431–
1437.

Lawrence JP, Rojas B, Blanchette A, Saporito RA, Mappes J, Fouquet A, Noonan BP. 2023. Linking
predator responses to alkaloid variability in poison frogs. J Chem Ecol 49:195–204.

Loeffler-Henry K, Kang C, Sherratt TN. 2023. Evolutionary transitions from camouflage to aposematism:
Hidden signals play a pivotal role. Science 379:1136–1140.
López-Hervas K, Santos JC, Ron SR, Betancourth-Cundar M, Cannatella DC, Tarvin RD. 2024. Deep
divergences among inconspicuously colored clades of Epipedobates poison frogs. Mol Phylogenet
Evol 108065.
Martin CH, Wainwright PC. 2013. Multiple fitness peaks on the adaptive landscape drive adaptive
radiation in the wild. Science 339:208–211.
McGugan JR, Byrd GD, Roland AB, Caty SN, Kabir N, Tapia EE, Trauger SA, Coloma LA, O'Connell LA. 2016.
Ant and mite diversity drives toxin variation in the Little Devil Poison Frog. J Chem Ecol 42:537–551.
Mebs D, Yotsu-Yamashita M, Arakawa O. 2016. The praying mantis (Mantodea) as predator of the
poisonous red-spotted newt Notophthalmus viridescens (Amphibia: Urodela: Salamandridae).
Chemoecology 26:121–126.
Mebs D, Yotsu-Yamashita M, Pogoda W, Vargas Alvarez J, Ernst R, Köhler G, Toennes SW. 2018. Lack of
alkaloids and tetrodotoxin in the neotropical frogs Allobates spp. (Aromobatidae) and Silverstoneia
flotator (Dendrobatidae). Toxicon 152. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2018.07.027
Mohammadi S, Yang L, Harpak A, Herrera-Álvarez S, del Pilar Rodríguez-Ordoñez M, Peng J, Zhang K,
Storz JF, Dobler S, Crawford AJ, Andolfatto P. 2021. Concerted evolution reveals co-adapted amino
acid substitutions in Na+K+-ATPase of frogs that prey on toxic toads. Curr Biol 31:2530–2538.e10.
Moskowitz NA, Alvarez-Buylla A, Morrison CR, Chamba A, Rentería J, Tapia EE, Coloma LA, Donoso DA,
O'Connell LA. 2022a. Poison frog diet and chemical defense are influenced by availability and
selectivity for ants. bioRxiv. doi:10.1101/2022.06.14.495949
Moskowitz NA, D'Agui R, Alvarez-Buylla A, Fiocca K, O'Connell LA. 2022b. Poison frog dietary preference
depends on prey type and alkaloid load. PLoS One 17:e0276331.
Moskowitz NA, Dorritie B, Fay T, Nieves OC, Vidoudez C, 2017 Biology Class CRAL, 2017 Biotechnology
Class M, Fischer EK, Trauger SA, Coloma LA, Donoso DA, O'Connell LA. 2020. Land use impacts
poison frog chemical defenses through changes in leaf litter ant communities. Neotropical
Biodiversity 6:75–87.
Müller C, Wittstock U. 2005. Uptake and turn-over of glucosinolates sequestered in the sawfly Athalia
rosae. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 35:1189–1198.
Nothias L-F, Petras D, Schmid R, Dührkop K, Rainer J, Sarvepalli A, Protsyuk I, Ernst M, Tsugawa H,
Fleischauer M, Aicheler F, Aksenov AA, Alka O, Allard P-M, Barsch A, Cachet X, Caraballo-Rodriguez
AM, Da Silva RR, Dang T, Garg N, Gauglitz JM, Gurevich A, Isaac G, Jarmusch AK, Kameník Z, Kang
KB, Kessler N, Koester I, Korf A, Le Gouellec A, Ludwig M, Martin H C, McCall L-I, McSayles J, Meyer
SW, Mohimani H, Morsy M, Moyne O, Neumann S, Neuweger H, Nguyen NH, Nothias-Esposito M,
Paolini J, Phelan VV, Pluskal T, Quinn RA, Rogers S, Shrestha B, Tripathi A, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas
F, Weldon KC, Witting M, Yang H, Zhang Z, Zubeil F, Kohlbacher O, Böcker S, Alexandrov T, Bandeira
N, Wang M, Dorrestein PC. 2020. Feature-based molecular networking in the GNPS analysis
environment. Nat Methods 17:905–908.
Osorio D, Valenzuel L, Bermúdez-Rivas C, Castaño S. 2015. Descripción de la dieta de una población de
Oophaga histrionica (Athesphatanura: Dendrobatidae) en un enclave seco del Valle del Cauca,
Colombia. Revista Biodiversidad Neotropical 5:29–35.
Paradis E, Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses
in R. Bioinformatics. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633
Petschenka G, Agrawal AA. 2015. Milkweed butterfly resistance to plant toxins is linked to
sequestration, not coping with a toxic diet. Proc Biol Sci 282:20151865.
Petschenka G, Fandrich S, Sander N, Wagschal V, Boppré M, Dobler S. 2013. Stepwise evolution of
resistance to toxic cardenolides via genetic substitutions in the Na+/K+-ATPase of milkweed
butterflies (Lepidoptera: Danaini). Evolution 67:2753–2761.

Poyet M, Eslin P, Chabrerie O, Prud'homme SM, Desouhant E, Gibert P. 2017. The invasive pest
Drosophila suzukii uses trans-generational medication to resist parasitoid attack. Sci Rep 7:43696.
Prates I, Antoniazzi MM, Sciani JM, Pimenta DC, Toledo F, Haddad FB, Jared C. 2011. Skin glands, poison
and mimicry in dendrobatid and leptodactylid amphibians. J Morphol 273:279–290.
Przeczek K, Mueller C, Vamosi SM. 2008. The evolution of aposematism is accompanied by increased
diversification. Integr Zool 3:149–156.
R Core Team. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing.
Reid NM, Proestou DA, Clark BW, Warren WC, Colbourne JK, Shaw JR, Karchner SI, Hahn ME, Nacci D,
Oleksiak MF, Crawford DL, Whitehead A. 2016. The genomic landscape of rapid repeated
evolutionary adaptation to toxic pollution in wild fish. Science 354:1305–1308.
Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things).
Methods in Ecology and Evolution. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x
Richard H, Carroll L. 2013. The molecular genetics of insecticide resistance. Genetics 194:807–815.
Rodríguez A, Poth D, Schulz S, Gehara M, Vences M. 2013. Genetic diversity, phylogeny and evolution of
alkaloid sequestering in Cuban miniaturized frogs of the Eleutherodactylus limbatus group. Mol
Phylogenet Evol 68:541–554.
Rodríguez C, Rollins-Smith L, Ibáñez R, Durant-Archibold AA, Gutiérrez M. 2017. Toxins and
pharmacologically active compounds from species of the family Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura). J
Ethnopharmacol 198:235–254.
Rostami-Hodjegan A. 2012. Physiologically based pharmacokinetics joined with in vitro-in vivo
extrapolation of ADME: A marriage under the arch of systems pharmacology. Clin Pharmacol Ther
92:50–61.
Ruiz-Garcia A, Bermejo M, Moss A, Casabo VG. 2008. Pharmacokinetics in drug discovery. J Pharm Sci
97:654–690.
Sanches PR, Santos-Guerra LE, Pedroso-Santos F, Kaefer IL, Costa-Campos CE. 2023. What do co-mimics
eat? Trophic ecology of Ameerega pulchripecta (Anura, Dendrobatidae) and Allobates femoralis
(Anura, Aromobatidae) in Eastern Brazilian Amazonia. hpet 57:408–417.
Sanchez E, Rodríguez A, Grau JH, Lötters S, Künzel S, Saporito RA, Ringler E, Schulz S, Wollenberg Valero
KC, Vences M. 2019. Transcriptomic signatures of experimental alkaloid consumption in a poison
frog. Genes 10:733.
Sánchez-Loja S, Donoso DA, Páez-Vacas MI. 2023. Conspicuous and cryptic poison frogs are picky and
prefer different meals in syntopy. Evol Ecol. doi:10.1007/s10682-023-10282-0
Santos JC, Baquero M, Barrio-Amorós C, Coloma LA, Erdtmann LK, Lima AP, Cannatella DC. 2014.
Aposematism increases acoustic diversification and speciation in poison frogs. Proc R Soc Lond B
Biol Sci 281:20141761.
Santos JC, Cannatella DC. 2011. Phenotypic integration emerges from aposematism and scale in poison
frogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:6175–6180.
Santos JC, Coloma LA, Cannatella DC. 2003. Multiple, recurring origins of aposematism and diet
specialization in poison frogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:12792–12797.
Santos JC, Tarvin RD, O'Connell LA. 2016. A review of chemical defense in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae):
ecology, pharmacokinetics, and autoresistance In: Schulte BA, Goodwin TE, Ferkin MH, editors.
Chemical Signals in Vertebrates 13. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. pp. 305–337.
Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Jain P, Martin Garraffo H, Spande TF, Daly JW. 2007a. Spatial and temporal
patterns of alkaloid variation in the poison frog Oophaga pumilio in Costa Rica and Panama over 30
years. Toxicon 50:757–778.
Saporito RA, Donnelly MA, Norton R a., Garraffo HM, Spande TF, Daly JW. 2007b. Oribatid mites as a
major dietary source for alkaloids in poison frogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:8885–8890.

Saporito RA, Garraffo HM, Donnelly MA, Edwards AL, Longino JT, Daly JW. 2004. Formicine ants: An
arthropod source for the pumiliotoxin alkaloids of dendrobatid poison frogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A 101:8045–8050.
Saporito RA, Grant T. 2018. Comment on Amézquita et al. (2017) "Conspicuousness, color resemblance,
and toxicity in geographically diverging mimicry: The pan-Amazonian frog Allobates femoralis ."
Evolution 1009–1014.
Saporito RA, Isola M, Maccachero VC, Condon K, Donnelly MA. 2010. Ontogenetic scaling of poison
glands in a dendrobatid poison frog. J Zool 282:238–245.
Saporito RA, Spande TF, Garraffo HM, Donnelly MA. 2009. Arthropod alkaloids in poison frogs: A review
of the "Dietary Hypothesis." Heterocycles 79:277–297.
Savitzky AH, Mori A, Hutchinson DA, Saporito RA, Burghardt GM, Lillywhite HB, Meinwald J. 2012.
Sequestered defensive toxins in tetrapod vertebrates: Principles, patterns, and prospects for future
studies. Chemoecology 22:141–158.
Schmid R, Heuckeroth S, Korf A, Smirnov A, Myers O, Dyrlund TS, Bushuiev R, Murray KJ, Hoffmann N, Lu
M, Sarvepalli A, Zhang Z, Fleischauer M, Dührkop K, Wesner M, Hoogstra SJ, Rudt E, Mokshyna O,
Brungs C, Ponomarov K, Mutabdžija L, Damiani T, Pudney CJ, Earll M, Helmer PO, Fallon TR, Schulze
T, Rivas-Ubach A, Bilbao A, Richter H, Nothias L-F, Wang M, Orešič M, Weng J-K, Böcker S, Jeibmann
A, Hayen H, Karst U, Dorrestein PC, Petras D, Du X, Pluskal T. 2023. Integrative analysis of
multimodal mass spectrometry data in MZmine 3. Nat Biotechnol 41:447–449.
Sedio BE, Spasojevic MJ, Myers JA, Wright SJ, Person MD, Chandrasekaran H, Dwenger JH, Prechi ML,
López CA, Allen DN, Anderson-Teixeira KJ, Baltzer JL, Bourg NA, Castillo BT, Day NJ, Dewald-Wang E,
Dick CW, James TY, Kueneman JG, LaManna J, Lutz JA, McGregor IR, McMahon SM, Parker GG,
Parker JD, Vandermeer JH. 2021. Chemical similarity of co-occurring trees decreases with
precipitation and temperature in North American forests. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9.
doi:10.3389/fevo.2021.679638
Singer MS, Mace KC, Bernays EA. 2009. Self-medication as adaptive plasticity: increased ingestion of
plant toxins by parasitized caterpillars. PLoS One 4:e4796.
Spurgeon D, Lahive E, Robinson A, Short S, Kille P. 2020. Species sensitivity to toxic substances:
evolution, ecology and applications. Front Environ Sci Eng China 8. doi:10.3389/fenvs.2020.588380
Streicher JW, Miller EC, Guerrero PC, Correa C, Ortiz JC, Crawford AJ, Pie MR, Wiens JJ. 2018. Evaluating
methods for phylogenomic analyses, and a new phylogeny for a major frog clade (Hyloidea) based
on 2214 loci. Mol Phylogenet Evol 119:128–143.
Streit B. 1992. Bioaccumulation processes in ecosystems. Experientia 48:955–970.
Tanu MB, Mahmud Y, Tsuruda K, Arakawa O, Noguchi T. 2001. Occurrence of tetrodotoxin in the skin of
a rhacophoridid frog Polypedates sp. from Bangladesh. Toxicon 39:937–941.
Tarvin RD, Borghese CM, Sachs W, Santos JC, Lu Y, O'Connell LA, Cannatella DC, Harris RA, Zakon HH.
2017. Interacting amino acid replacements allow poison frogs to evolve epibatidine resistance.
Science 357:1261–1266.
Tarvin RD, Pearson KC, Douglas TE, Ramírez-Castañeda V, Navarrete MJ. 2023. The diverse mechanisms
that animals use to resist toxins. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 54:283–306.
Tarvin RD, Santos JC, O'Connell LA, Zakon HH, Cannatella DC. 2016. Convergent substitutions in a sodium
channel suggest multiple origins of toxin resistance in poison frogs. Mol Biol Evol 33:1068–1081.
Toft CA. 1995. Evolution of diet specialization in poison-dart frogs (Dendrobatidae). Herpetologica
51:202–216.
Toft CA. 1981. Feeding ecology of Panamanian litter anurans: Patterns in diet and foraging mode. J
Herpetol 15:139–144.
Toft CA. 1980. Feeding ecology of thirteen syntopic species of anurans in a seasonal tropical
environment. Oecologia 45:131–141.

Violet P-C, Ebenuwa IC, Wang Y, Niyyati M, Padayatty SJ, Head B, Wilkins K, Chung S, Thakur V, Ulatowski L, Atkinson J, Ghelfi M, Smith S, Tu H, Bobe G, Liu C-Y, Herion DW, Shamburek RD, Manor D, Traber MG, Levine M. 2020. Vitamin E sequestration by liver fat in humans. JCI Insight 5. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.133309

Wang M, Carver JJ, Phelan VV, Sanchez LM, Garg N, Peng Y, Nguyen DD, Watrous J, Kapono CA, Luzzatto-Knaan T, Porto C, Bouslimani A, Melnik AV, Meehan MJ, Liu W-T, Crüsemann M, Boudreau PD, Esquenazi E, Sandoval-Calderón M, Kersten RD, Pace LA, Quinn RA, Duncan KR, Hsu C-C, Floros DJ, Gavilan RG, Kleigrewe K, Northen T, Dutton RJ, Parrot D, Carlson EE, Aigle B, Michelsen CF, Jelsbak L, Sohlenkamp C, Pevzner P, Edlund A, McLean J, Piel J, Murphy BT, Gerwick L, Liaw C-C, Yang Y-L, Humpf H-U, Maansson M, Keyzers RA, Sims AC, Johnson AR, Sidebottom AM, Sedio BE, Klitgaard A, Larson CB, Boya P CA, Torres-Mendoza D, Gonzalez DJ, Silva DB, Marques LM, Demarque DP, Pociute E, O'Neill EC, Briand E, Helfrich EJN, Granatosky EA, Glukhov E, Ryffel F, Houson H, Mohimani H, Kharbush JJ, Zeng Y, Vorholt JA, Kurita KL, Charusanti P, McPhail KL, Nielsen KF, Vuong L, Elfeki M, Traxler MF, Engene N, Koyama N, Vining OB, Baric R, Silva RR, Mascuch SJ, Tomasi S, Jenkins S, Macherla V, Hoffman T, Agarwal V, Williams PG, Dai J, Neupane R, Gurr J, Rodríguez AMC, Lamsa A, Zhang C, Dorrestein K, Duggan BM, Almaliti J, Allard P-M, Phapale P, Nothias L-F, Alexandrov T, Litaudon M, Wolfender J-L, Kyle JE, Metz TO, Peryea T, Nguyen D-T, VanLeer D, Shinn P, Jadhav A, Müller R, Waters KM, Shi W, Liu X, Zhang L, Knight R, Jensen PR, Palsson BØ, Pogliano K, Linington RG, Gutiérrez M, Lopes NP, Gerwick WH, Moore BS, Dorrestein PC, Bandeira N. 2016. Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking. Nat Biotechnol 34:828–837.

Wan YC, Navarrete Méndez MJ, O'Connell LA, Uricchio LH, Roland A-B, Maan ME, Ron SR, Betancourth-Cundar M, Pie MR, Howell KA, Richards-Zawacki CL, Cummings ME, Cannatella DC, Santos JC, Tarvin RD. 2023. Selection on visual opsin genes in diurnal Neotropical frogs and loss of the SWS2 opsin in poison frogs. Mol Biol Evol 40. doi:10.1093/molbev/msad206

Waters KR, Dugas MB, Grant T, Saporito RA. 2023. The ability to sequester the alkaloid epibatidine is widespread among dendrobatid poison frogs. Evol Ecol. doi:10.1007/s10682-023-10260-6

West-Eberhard MJ. 2003. Developmental plasticity and evolution, 1st ed. New York: Oxford University Press.

Whitehead A, Clark BW, Reid NM, Hahn ME, Nacci D. 2017. When evolution is the solution to pollution: Key principles, and lessons from rapid repeated adaptation of killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus) populations. Evol Appl 10:762–783.

Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.

Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K, Vaughan D. 2023. dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation.

Wilke CO. 2020. cowplot: Streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for "ggplot2."

Yang L, Borne F, Betz A, Aardema ML, Zhen Y, Peng J, Visconti R, Wu M, Roland BP, Talsma AD, Palladino MJ, Petschenka G, Andolfatto P. 2023. Predatory fireflies and their toxic firefly prey have evolved distinct toxin resistance strategies. Curr Biol 33:5160–5168.e7.

Yuan Z-Y, Zhang B-L, Raxworthy CJ, Weisrock DW, Hime PM, Jin J-Q, Lemmon EM, Lemmon AR, Holland SD, Kortyna ML, Zhou W-W, Peng M-S, Che J, Prendini E. 2019. Natatanuran frogs used the Indian Plate to step-stone disperse and radiate across the Indian Ocean. Natl Sci Rev 6:10–14.

Zhang Y, Meng X, Yang Y, Li H, Wang X, Yang B, Zhang J, Li C, Millar NS, Liu Z. 2016. Synergistic and compensatory effects of two point mutations conferring target-site resistance to fipronil in the insect GABA receptor RDL. Sci Rep 6:32335.

Züst T, Mou S, Agrawal AA. 2018. What doesn't kill you makes you stronger: The burdens and benefits of toxin sequestration in a milkweed aphid. Funct Ecol 32:1972–1981.