Revealing the principles of inter- and intra-domain regulation in a signaling enzyme via scanning mutagenesis

Ziyuan Jiang[1], Anne E. van Vlimmeren[1,2], Deepti Karandur[3], Alyssa Semmelman[1], Neel H. Shah[1,*]

[1] Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

[2] Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

[3] Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232

* corresponding author: neel.shah@columbia.edu

Keywords

SHP2, *PTPN11*, tyrosine phosphatase, deep mutational scanning, allostery, molecular dynamics

Abstract

Multi-domain enzymes can be regulated both by inter-domain interactions and structural features intrinsic to the catalytic domain. The tyrosine phosphatase SHP2 is a quintessential example of a multi-domain protein that is regulated by inter-domain interactions. This enzyme has a protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) domain and two phosphotyrosine-recognition domains (N-SH2 and C-SH2) that regulate phosphatase activity through autoinhibitory interactions. SHP2 is canonically activated by phosphoprotein binding to the SH2 domains, which causes large inter-domain rearrangements, but autoinhibition is also disrupted by disease-associated mutations. Many details of the SHP2 activation mechanism are still unclear, the conformations of the physiologically-relevant active state remain elusive, and hundreds of human variants of SHP2 have not been functionally characterized. Here, we perform deep mutational scanning on both full-length SHP2 and its isolated PTP domain to examine mutational effects on inter-domain regulation and catalytic activity. Our experiments provide a comprehensive map of SHP2 mutational sensitivity, both in the presence and absence of inter-domain regulation. Coupled with molecular dynamics simulations, our investigation reveals novel structural features that govern the stability of the autoinhibited and active states of SHP2. Our analysis also identifies key residues beyond the SHP2 active site that control PTP domain dynamics and intrinsic catalytic activity. This work expands our understanding of SHP2 regulation and provides new insights into SHP2 pathogenicity.

Introduction

The majority of eukaryotic signaling proteins contain multiple domains with distinct biochemical functions. These domains facilitate catalytic activity, such as phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, or binding to ligands, including short linear protein motifs[1], phosphorylated protein residues[2], lipids[3], and nucleic acids[4]. Eukaryotic proteins have evolved to harbor various combinations of these domains, resulting in a diverse array of fine-tuned multifunctional signaling modules[5,6]. A key feature of many modular, multi-domain signaling proteins is that they are highly regulatable switches capable of accessing different conformations in response to specific signals[7]. This is typically achieved through regulatory interactions between different domains and inter-domain linkers, which couple the functions of individual domains[8,9]. A classic example is the Src kinase module, which contains a polyproline-binding SH3 domain, phosphotyrosine-binding SH2 domain, and protein kinase domain. The SH3 and SH2 domains allosterically suppress kinase activity through inter-domain interactions, and engagement of these domains by ligands simultaneously localizes the kinase and causes structural rearrangements that unleash its catalytic activity. The tight regulation of multi-domain signaling proteins also makes them highly prone to dysregulation by mutations, as the disruption of intra-domain regulation, inter-domain interactions, or linker structure and dynamics could readily shift the conformational balance toward an inactive or hyperactive state[10]. Thus, a complete understanding of multi-domain signaling protein regulation and dysregulation requires in-depth examination of each individual domain, as well as the allosteric network between domains in the whole protein.

Protein tyrosine phosphatases represent an important class of multi-domain signaling proteins that have diverse domain architectures. They usually consist of a catalytic protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) domain alongside one or more binding domains, such as SH2, Sec14, PDZ, Bro1, and FERM domains[11]. SHP2 is a well-studied tyrosine phosphatase that harbors two SH2 domains before its PTP domain (**Figure 1A**). In signaling pathways such as the MAPK/Erk and Jak/Stat pathways, SHP2 is recruited via its SH2 domains to phosphotyrosine-bearing sequences on receptors and scaffold proteins (**Figure 1B**). Upon phosphoprotein binding, SHP2 switches from a closed, autoinhibited state characterized by extensive N-SH2/PTP domain interactions, to an open, active state, allowing for the dephosphorylation of downstream substrates (**Figure 1B,C**)[12]. SHP2 itself is also a target of tyrosine phosphorylation. Y62 on the N-terminal SH2 (N-SH2) domain and Y542 and Y580 on the disordered C-terminal tail are known phosphosites that likely regulate SHP2 by altering inter-domain interactions[13–15]. Extensive biochemical and biophysical studies on SHP2 have provided numerous insights into its structure and regulation. However, several details of the molecular mechanisms underlying SHP2 regulation remain unclear: Although there are many crystal structures of the autoinhibited wild-type SHP2 and one structure of an open conformation SHP2 mutant[16,17], several studies indicate that activated SHP2 likely exists as an ensemble of open conformations, and the key structural features of this ensemble remain elusive[18,19]. Additionally, the transition pathway from the closed to open conformation(s) is not known. Furthermore, other tyrosine phosphatases have been shown to have intradomain allostery within their PTP domain[20,21]. It is unclear whether this dynamic feature is intact in SHP2, nor whether that regulation is affected by SH2/PTP interactions.

SHP2 is prone to pathogenic mutations, which result in cancers and developmental disorders, such as juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML), Noonan syndrome, and LEOPARD syndrome[22]. Of the roughly 500 SHP2 mutants recorded in ClinVar and COSMIC, less than 200 are annotated as pathogenic, and they are distributed across all three domains of SHP2 (**Figure 1D**)[23,24]. Characterization of the molecular mechanisms underlying the pathogenicity of several of these mutants has provided insights into SHP2 regulation. For example, the well-studied E76K mutation at the N-SH2/PTP interface is highly activating, illustrating the importance of this autoinhibitory interface[17]. The T42A mutation in the N-SH2 ligand binding pocket alters SHP2 ligand affinity and specificity, sensitizing SHP2 to activators[25,26]. The Y279C mutation disrupts phosphoprotein binding in the PTP active site, thereby significantly diminishing catalytic activity[27]. However, not all pathogenic SHP2 mutations are well-characterized, and the molecular basis for their pathogenicity remains unclear. Furthermore, several hundred of mutations documented in ClinVar are characterized as variants of uncertain significance. A comprehensive profiling of SHP2 mutational effects will shed light on the functional effects of these less studied SHP2 mutants,

and in turn shed light on SHP2 allosteric regulation and dynamics.

Deep mutational scanning is a powerful method for characterizing protein mutants[28]. By combining selection assays on pooled libraries with deep sequencing, this method provides a way to profile mutational effects across a protein with high throughput. Deep mutational scanning has been applied to reveal structure-function relationships[29], predict protein structures and dynamics[30,31], map drug resistance[32], and examine stability and expression[33,34]. Here, we present a deep mutational scanning platform to characterize the effects of SHP2 mutations on phosphatase activity. We used this platform to examine comprehensive point mutant libraries of both full-length SHP2 (SHP2$_{FL}$) and its isolated phosphatase domain (SHP2$_{PTP}$). By analyzing the results individually and in comparison, we reveal new insights into the molecular determinants of intra- and inter-domain allostery of SHP2, and we map the functional effects of hundreds of previously reported by uncharacterized human SHP2 variants. Furthermore, in conjunction with molecular dynamics simulations, our mutational scans provide clues about the transition between autoinhibited and active states of SHP2, as well as key interactions that may stabilize the active state(s).

Figure 1. SHP2 activation and dysregulation by mutations. (**A**) Domain architecture diagram of SHP2. (**B**) Cartoon depiction of SHP2 activation by phosphoprotein binding. (**C**) Structures of the autoinhibited (PDB code 4DGP) and active states of SHP2 (PDB code 6CRF). Note that the active state shown is that of the E76K mutant, and other active conformations likely exist in solution. (**D**) Locations and frequencies of cancer hotspot mutations in SHP2 along its 593 residue sequence (black bars). Sites of other pathogenic mutations and variants of uncertain significance are labeled as red and gray dots, respectively.

Results

Rescue of yeast growth from tyrosine kinase toxicity enables SHP2 deep mutational scanning

We developed a yeast viability assay in which cell growth is dependent on the catalytic activity of SHP2. Lacking significant endogenous tyrosine kinase/phosphatase signaling, yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) proliferation is arrested when expressing an active tyrosine kinase, whereas co-expression of an active tyrosine phosphatase can rescue yeast growth[35–37]. We co-expressed SHP2 variants known to have different levels of catalytic activity with two active versions of Src kinase, full-length viral Src (v-Src$_{FL}$) and the isolated c-Src kinase domain (c-Src$_{KD}$). In the presence of either kinase, the rate of yeast growth was dependent on the catalytic activity of the SHP2 variant (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Moreover, the activity of the tyrosine kinase dictates the selection pressure of the assay. With the highly active v-Src$_{FL}$ kinase, the more active SHP2 variants were better differentiated, while with the less active c-Src$_{KD}$ kinase, lower activity SHP2 variants were easier to differentiate (**Supplementary Figure 1B,C**).

We constructed SHP2 scanning mutagenesis libraries, using Mutagenesis by Integrated TilEs (MITE)[38], dividing the full-length SHP2-coding gene into 15 separate tiles, each encoding all possible single mutations along different regions of SHP2 (**Supplementary Table 1**). Tiles were synthesized as oligo-pools, amplified, and incorporated into the full-length SHP2 gene in a yeast expression vector generating 15 SHP2 saturation mutagenesis sub-libraries covering the entire protein sequence (**Figure 2A**). These SHP2 sub-libraries were separately introduced into yeast cells alongside plasmids encoding either v-Src$_{FL}$ or c-Src$_{KD}$. Cells were then subject to selection by induction of kinase and phosphatase expression, followed by a 24 hour outgrowth phase. Before and after outgrowth, the SHP2-coding DNA was isolated and subject to deep sequencing, allowing for the calculation of enrichment scores for each variant, relative to wild-type SHP2 (**see Methods for calculation**). Two to four replicates of high-quality selection data were acquired for each sub-library (each tile), with good correlation of enrichment scores between replicates (**Supplementary Figure 2A**). The average enrichment scores of all variants across replicates were plotted as heatmaps (**Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 2B**).

Since wild-type SHP2$_{FL}$ has low basal activity, loss-of-function mutations were not very well represented under high selection pressure in the presence of v-Src$_{FL}$ (**Supplementary Figure 2B**). However, at lower selection pressure with c-Src$_{KD}$, both gain-of-function and loss-of-function SHP2 mutants were detected (**Figure 2B**). This is reflected in the correlation plot of enrichment scores from the two datasets (**Supplementary Figure 2C**). While scores were generally well correlated, plots in the negative region were more skewed towards the c-Src$_{KD}$ axis because of the better detection of depleted variants. To validate that the enrichment scores faithfully report on SHP2 phosphatase activity, we purified several full-length SHP2 mutants and measured their catalytic efficiencies (k_{cat}/K_M) against the fluorogenic small molecule substrate DiFMUP. Measured catalytic efficiencies correlated well with the enrichment scores obtained from the deep mutational scans (**Figure 2C**).

Next, we compared our enrichment scores with clinical data of known SHP2 mutants (**Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure 2D**). The deep mutational scanning results show a broad range of gain- and loss-of-function across the whole library. Mutations annotated as pathogenic tended to be more gain-of-function, however may pathogenic mutations did not enhance SHP2 catalytic activity. High-frequency cancer mutations skewed further toward gain-of-function, but it is noteworthy that a few of these were not activating or even loss-of-function, indicating that SHP2 might contribute to pathogenic signaling in ways that are not reflected in our experiment. For example, the S189A mutation, found in some bladder and digestive-tract cancers, is neutral in our datasets, but S189K/R mutations are activating (**Supplementary Figure 2E**). Phosphorylation of S189 by Protein Kinase A inhibits SHP2 function[39], and this could stabilize the autoinhibited state through electrostatic interactions (**Supplementary Figure 2F**). Thus, the S189A mutation probably operates by subverting inhibitory phosphorylation in some cancer cells, and this is not relevant in our yeast assay. Finally, we found that only a few variants of uncertain significance had a measurable gain- or loss-of-function, suggesting that most uncharacterized variants either are passenger mutations, alter non-enzymatic functions of SHP2, or alter SHP2 activity in a specific context.

Figure 2. Deep mutational scanning of full-length SHP2. (**A**) Workflow for SHP2 mutational scanning. SHP2 variant libraries were constructed using MITE, integrated into a yeast plasmid, co-expressed with Src, and subject to selection and deep sequencing. (**B**) Heatmap depicting the enrichment scores for SHP2$_{FL}$ co-expressed with c-Src$_{KD}$ (n = 2-4). (**C**) Correlation between SHP2 variant enrichment scores and measured catalytic activity against DiFMUP (n = 3). (**D**) Enrichment score distribution of disease relevant mutations. The red line indicates the median of the distribution. Full SHP2$_{FL}$ mutational scanning datasets, including clinical annotations, can be found in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Mutational scanning maps hotspot residues at the autoinhibitory interface of SHP2

Next, we calculated the average enrichment scores at each residue on SHP2 sequence (**Figure 3A**). Most of the sites with highly activating mutations are clustered on the N-SH2 and PTP domains, while sites with highly inactivating mutations are largely confined to the PTP domain. We then mapped the most mutationally sensitive sites onto the SHP2 auto-inhibited and open/active structures (**Figure**

3B). As expected, many gain-of-function mutations are located on the N-SH2/PTP domain interface, and they likely activate SHP2 by disrupting autoinhibition. Most loss-of-function mutations are buried in the PTP domain and likely impact SHP2 by disrupting catalytic domain stability or active site conformation. Remarkably, the C-SH2 domain was largely devoid of highly sensitive sites, other than few, discussed in subsequent sections.

Our datasets provide an unbiased assessment of the residues that regulate phosphatase activity, unlike cancer mutation hotspots which are constrained by genetic accessibility and sufficient sampling. In our experiments, the strongest activating mutation sites were at residues G60, D61, A72, and E76 in the N-SH2 domain and R265, S502, and G503 in the PTP domain. These residues cluster into two hotspots at the N-SH2/PTP interface when the protein is in the autoinhibited conformation. The first hotspot is defined by a pocket in the PTP domain, lined by R265, S502, and G503, which buries A72 and E76 from the N-SH2 domain (**Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure 3A**). As known cancer mutation sites, mutations at these residues display significant basal activity increases in biochemical assays (**Figure 2C**). The other hotspot consists of G60 and D61 on an N-SH2 loop reaching into a pocket on the PTP domain made up of several positively charged residues, including R362, K366 and R465 (**Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure 3B**). Unlike the PTP domain pocket that binds to A72 and E76, which has activating mutations, the G60 and D61 binding pocket seem to be more inactivating upon mutation, likely because those PTP domain residues are also key to catalysis.

Figure 3. SHP2 mutation distribution. (**A**) Average mutational effects at each residue in SHP2$_{FL}$ in the c-Src$_{KD}$ selection assay. (**B**) Spatial distribution of significantly activating and inactivating SHP2 mutant sites on the auto-inhibited and active state structures of SHP2 (PDB codes 4DGP and 6CRF, respectively). Activating mutation sites are colored red, and inactivating mutation sites are colored blue (**C**) Mutational sensitivities in two key PTP domain pockets at the N-SH2/PTP autoinhibitory interface (PDB code 4DGP). Key residues on the N-SH2 domain are shown as yellow sticks. The PTP domain surface is colored based on the average enrichment score from panel A, with red = activating, white = neutral, blue = inactivating.

Destabilization of the N-SH2 domain activates SHP2

Our mutational scans revealed one large cluster of activating mutations outside of the autoinhibitory interface. In the N-SH2 domain, the residues that make up the hydrophobic core (W6, F7, I11, A16, L20, F29, L30, L43 and V45) are enriched in activating mutations (**Figure 4A,B**). We hypothesize that mutations at these sites disrupt hydrophobic packing, thereby destabilizing the N-SH2 domain and weakening its autoinhibitory binding to the PTP domain. Consistent with this hypothesis, polar mutations at these sites are more activating than nonpolar mutations, as are proline substitutions which likely destabilize the central N-SH2 β-sheet. (**Figure 4B**). Notably, the L43F mutation mildly enhances basal SHP2 catalytic activity and shows lower melting temperature for the full-length protein by differential scanning fluorimetry, indicating weakened autoinhibition (**Supplementary Figure 4A**)[25,40]. The isolated N-SH2 domain bearing the L43F mutation also displayed decreased melting temperature when compared to the wild-type domain, indicative of lowered SH2 stability (**Supplementary Figure 4B**).

Although N-SH2 core mutations can dramatically activate SHP2, they appear to be rare in human diseases. The aforementioned L43F mutation, which is only mildly destabilizing and activating, is one of the few documented pathogenic mutations in the N-SH2 core. Less conservative mutations such as L43P and L43R, which are substantially more activating, are not observed in ClinVar, despite being accessible by a single nucleotide substitution in the human SHP2-coding gene (*PTPN11*) (**Supplementary Figure 4C**). One possible explanation for this is that, while hyper-destabilizing N-SH2 core mutations activate SHP2 by relieving autoinhibition, but they may also disrupt the phosphotyrosine recognition functions of the N-SH2 domain. Thus, such mutants would not be able to engage in human signaling pathways that require N-SH2 binding capabilities. These pathways are not operative in our yeast selection assay.

Figure 4. Effects of mutations in the N-SH2 domain core. (**A**) Hydrophobic core residues in the N-SH2 domain (PDB code 1AYB). (**B**) Distribution of mutational effects at the residues shown in panel A. Hydrophilic amino acids include C, D, E, H, K, N, Q, R, S, and T; hydrophobic amino acids include A, F, G, I, L, M, V, W, Y. The red line indicates the median enrichment for all mutations in each group. (**C**) Catalytic activity of SHP2 N-SH2 core mutants and E76K in a Ras dephosphorylation assay in HEK293 cells (n = 3). (**D**) Downstream signaling activity of SHP2 N-SH2 core mutants, dependent on N-SH2 binding to Gab1, in HEK293 cells stimulated with epidermal growth factor (EGF) (n = 2).

To test the hypothesis that N-SH2 core mutations can activate SHP2 but disrupt N-SH2 function, we initially attempted to purify from *E. coli* full-length SHP2 or the isolated N-SH2 domain containing non-conservative core mutations. We were unable to produce these proteins, likely because these mutations are severely destabilizing. Instead, we expressed and assayed the hyperactive L29K and L43R mutants

in HEK293 cells. We first examined their ability to dephosphorylate N-Ras in a cellular context where N-Ras is phosphorylated by co-expressed Src kinase[41]. This activity is not thought to depend on SH2 interactions. Our N-Ras dephosphorylation assay shows that both L29K and L43R have higher catalytic activity than wild-type SHP2, consistent with the mutational scanning data (**Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure 4D**). Then, we tested the signaling capabilities of these mutants in a context where N-SH2 binding functions are operative. SHP2 was co-expressed with Gab1, a known binding partner, cells were stimulated with the epidermal growth factor, and Erk phosphorylation was monitored as a downstream marker of SHP2-mediated activation of this pathway.[25] In this context, we found that Erk phosphorylation was not enhanced by L29K and L43R mutants when compared to wild-type SHP2, despite their increased catalytic activities (**Figure 4D and Supplementary Figure 4E**). These results suggest that, although these N-SH2 core mutants are highly activating, they do not enhance signaling in this context due to the loss of N-SH2 domain binding activity. This likely explains why these mutants are not frequently found in human diseases.

C-SH2/PTP interactions facilitate release from autoinhibition and stabilize activated SHP2

Very few positions in the C-SH2 domain have strong activating mutations, however we identified a cluster of significantly inactivating mutations near the C-SH2/PTP interface. Specifically, we found that most mutations at R111 and H114 in the C-SH2 domain, and E249 in the PTP domain, are inactivating (**Figure 5A**). These residues form a cluster of intimate interactions in the SHP2 open conformation that are disassembled in the autoinhibited state. Specifically, R111 forms a stable ion pair with E249 on the PTP domain, and H114 docks in a pocket consisting of L216, N217, T218 on the C-SH2/PTP linker, as well as L136 (**Figure 5B**, *left panel*). In SHP2 closed conformation, R111 points away from the PTP domain, and H114 interacts loosely with the side chain of T218 instead of docking in a pocket made up of the surrounding residues (**Figure 5B**, *right panel*). These structural differences, coupled with the strongly deactivating mutations at R111, H114, and E249, suggest that these residues play a key role in SHP2 activation. Notably, the R111-E249 ion pair was thoroughly characterized in a recent study, which demonstrated that this interaction stabilizes the active state of SHP2[42].

To better understand the role of H114 and the R111-E249 ion pair in SHP2 activation, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on near-full-length SHP2 (excluding the disordered tail), starting from the autoinhibited conformation (PDB code 4DGP) and from the open state observed in a crystal structure of the E76K mutant (PDB code 6CRF). Starting from each state, we conducted three 2.5 µs simulations with both the wild-type sequence and the E76K mutant. Although our simulations were too short to reveal the full transition between the open and autoinhibited states, they provide insights into local motions that may initiate these transitions.

In open conformation trajectories, H114 docked stably in the aforementioned pocket around L216 and T218 (**Supplementary Figure 5A**). R111 typically formed persistent ion pairs with E249 or the adjacent E250, with occasional excursions to interact with the proximal residue E232 (**Supplementary Figure 5A,B**). In the closed conformation simulations, surprisingly, the R111-E249/E250 ion pairs could form without global conformation changes, and these interactions correlated with changes in the flexibility of the C-SH2/PTP linker. In the autoinhibited state starting structures, the C-SH2/PTP linker conformation was stabilized by hydrophobic interactions between H114 and T218, whereas R111 pointed away from the PTP domain (**Figure 5B**, *right panel*). During the simulations, R111 intermittently rotated into the C-SH2/PTP cleft and interacted with E249/E250. This movement disrupted the interactions between H114 and T218 and increased C-SH2/PTP linker dynamics. Specifically, when the ion pair between R111 and E249/E250 formed in the closed state, H114 disengaged from its interaction with T218 (**Figure 5C,D**), and this allowed T218 and other C-SH2/PTP linker residues to adopt multiple backbone conformations (**Figure 5E**, *black*). This is in stark contrast to the open conformation trajectories, T218 and other linker residues are more rigid (**Figure 5E**, *red*).

This C-SH2/PTP linker flexibility and the release of H114 are likely to be essential early steps for SHP2 activation – the C-SH2 domain must rotate relative to the PTP domain to transit from the autoinhibited to active state (**Figure 1C**), and H114 must dissociate from one side of the linker and dock

stably on the other side (**Figure 5B**). Mutational effects at T218 support this mechanism, where bulky and aromatic mutations which would strengthen hydrophobic interactions with H114 are inactivating, while small residue substitutions that would increase linker flexibility appear activating (**Supplementary Figure 5C**). Notably, the C-SH2/PTP cleft is the primary binding site for allosteric SHP2 inhibitors, likely because stabilization of the local conformation by small molecule binding prevents the linker from sampling open-like conformations that would put SHP2 on the activation trajectory.

Figure 5. Structure and dynamics at the C-SH2/PTP interface. (**A**) Mutational effect at R111, H114, and E249 in the SHP2$_{FL}$ selection with c-Src$_{KD}$. (**B**) Key interactions at the C-SH2/PTP interface in the SHP2 open/active (*left*) and closed/autoinhibited (*right*) conformations. (**C**) Representative frame from MD simulation of SHP2 in the closed conformation highlighting formation of the R111/E249 ion pair with concomitant exit of H114 from the C-SH2/PTP linker pocket. (**D**) Correlation between R111-E249/E250 distance (shortest distance between one of the arginine terminal nitrogens and one of the four glutamate carbonyl oxygens) and H114-T218 Cα distance in the SHP2 closed conformation simulations. (**E**) Correlation between T218 ψ dihedral angle and H114-T218 Cα distance and in the SHP2 closed (black) and open (red) conformation simulations.

Isolated linker and C-SH2 mutations activate SHP2

There are a few residues in the SH2 domain regions where we observed unique mutational signatures, but a mechanistic explanation remains elusive. For example, in the linker connecting the N-SH2 and C-SH2 domains, mutations of C104 and D106 to proline are activating, both in the selection assays and in biochemical measurements (**Supplementary Figure 5D,E**). These effects strongly suggest that there are conformational constraints on this linker in the SHP2 closed conformation. However, unlike many other activating mutations, these mutations do not cause a dramatic reduction in melting temperature via differential scanning calorimetry.[40] Thus, how the N-SH2/C-SH2 linker regulates

SHP2 activity remains unclear (**Supplementary Figure 5F**). Another pair of anomalous residues are R138 and E139 in the C-SH2 domain. R138Q and E139D are known to be pathogenic[43,44]. In our mutational scanning data, E139D is activating in both SHP2$_{FL}$ selection assays (with v-Src$_{FL}$ and c-Src$_{KD}$), and this mutation is known to activate SHP2 in biochemical assays as well. Remarkably, only E139D, but no other substitutions at this position, activate SHP2 (**Supplementary Figure 5G**). Similarly, R138Q is the only activating mutation at this position, but in this case, it only appears to be activating in the v-Src$_{FL}$ screen (**Supplementary Figure 5H**). We previously showed that R138Q severely diminishes phosphopeptide binding to the C-SH2 domain but has no impact on basal catalytic activity. Differential scanning fluorimetry shows that both E139D and R138Q slightly destabilize the isolated C-SH2 domain as well as full-length SHP2 (**Supplementary Figure 5F**). Notably, very few hydrophobic core mutations in the C-SH2 domain activate SHP2, in stark contrast to the N-SH2 domain. Thus, the precise role of C-SH2 stability on SHP2 regulation requires further investigation.

The tyrosine phosphatase domain of SHP2 has distinct mutational sensitivity in the absence of regulatory domains

The catalytic activity of the PTP domain in SHP2 is regulated both by SH2 domain-mediated autoinhibition and by intra-domain interactions. To dissect the contributions of inter- and intra-domain regulation, we also conducted mutational scanning on SHP2$_{PTP}$ and compared these results with the SHP2$_{FL}$ datasets. Because the isolated PTP domain has high basal activity, we do not detect gain-of-function mutations under the lower selection pressure in the presence of c-Src$_{KD}$ (**Supplementary Figure 6A**). With v-Src$_{FL}$, which provides a higher selection pressure, both enriched and depleted mutations are clearly detected (**Figure 6A**). To compare the mutational sensitivities of the PTP domain in both full-length and truncated SHP2 screens, we correlated the position-averaged enrichment scores for the SHP2$_{FL}$/c-Src$_{KD}$ selection with the SHP2$_{PTP}$/v-Src$_{FL}$ selection. We observed three main classes of mutation sites: (1) sites with inactivating mutations that are depleted in both screens; (2) sites with activating mutations that are enriched in both screens; (3) sites with mutations that inactivate the PTP domain but activate full-length SHP2, likely by disrupting autoinhibition (**Figure 6B**). Each class reveals key factors of SHP2 intra- and inter-domain regulation.

Buried electrostatic interactions are critical for the structural integrity of the SHP2 catalytic site

Mutations that are depleted in both the SHP2$_{FL}$ and the SHP2$_{PTP}$ selection assays reveal key determinants of its catalytic activity (**Figure 6B,C**). For example, we observed many loss-of-function mutations at well-known functionally important residues, such as C459, the catalytic cysteine, R465, which is critical for coordinating the phosphoryl group on the substrate, and Y279, which provides a key binding interface for the substrate phosphotyrosine. Less-studied substrate binding residues, including N281 and H426, were also found to be sensitive to mutations. Their corresponding residues on PTP1B, D48 and F182, have been shown to engage a backbone carbonyl and the phosphotyrosine phenyl ring on phosphopeptide substrates[45], indicating N281 and H426 play a role in substrate recognition purposes in SHP2 as well (**Supplementary Figure 6B**).

Surrounding the substrate binding site, key loops including the phosphotyrosine recognition loop (pTyr loop), E loop, WPD loop, catalytic loop (P loop), and Q loop construct the catalytic site. These loops sit on top of the PTP domain central β sheet, wrapped around a central helix α2. As the structural scaffold of the PTP domain, interactions between the β sheet and helix α2 are critical, and mutations in this region are not tolerated (**Supplementary Figure 6C**). On the other side of the β sheet, a series of buried charged amino acids appear consistently inactivating upon mutation in both the SHP2$_{FL}$ and SHP2$_{PTP}$ selection assays (**Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure 6D**). The invariability of these charged residues indicates their structural importance in organizing the catalytic center. Some, but not all, of these key interactions are corroborated by previous studies: the E loop E361 interacts with R465 on the catalytic loop, positioning it at a favorable conformation for substrate recognition[46]. On the same loop, K366 mediates the active WPD loop closed state by forming an interaction with the catalytic D425 (**Figure 6C and Supplementary figure 6E**)[47,48]. We also identified some less known interactions that are also crucial for SHP2 activity. On the pTyr loop, R278 dictates loop positioning by hydrogen bonding with backbone

carbonyl of G332, stabilizing the substrate binding Y279 in the catalytic center (**Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure 6F**). The buried R501 on the Q loop holds both the P and Q loop down to the central β sheet by interacting with N306 and the backbone of G462, while R498 hydrogen bonds with M504 and V505 backbone, collectively constraining the conformations of these loops for catalysis (**Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure 6G**). Together, all these interactions form an electrostatic and hydrogen bonding network that brings all the catalytic loops together to an enzymatically active conformation.

Figure 6. Mutational scanning of isolated SHP2 PTP domain reveals key buried charged residues for catalysis (**A**) Mutational scanning heatmap of SHP2 PTP domain selected in the v-Src FL screen. Full SHP2$_{PTP}$ mutational scanning datasets can be found in **Supplementary Table 3.** (**B**) Correlation between SHP2 FL, c-Src KD selection and SHP2 PTP, v-Src FL selection. PTP domain residues are classified into three classes: Class 1: residues with consistently inactivating effects in both screens; Class 2: residues with consistently activating effects in both screens. Class 3: residues with opposite mutational effects. (**C**) Network of electrostatic and hydrogen bond interactions between buried, charged and polar amino acids in the PTP domain that stabilize loops in the active site (PDB code 6CMQ, chain D). The pTyr loop, E loop, WPD loop, P loop, and Q loop are colored in light green. The WPD loop open conformation is colored brown (PDB code 6CMQ chain C). Key residues are colored in purple.

Key catalytic surfaces have opposing mutational effects in different SHP2 constructs

Many catalytic residues in the PTP domain of SHP2 also lie at the N-SH2/PTP autoinhibitory interface. For example, the D61 binding pocket, discussed above (**Figure 3C**), consists of positively charged residues K366 and R465 on the PTP domain, which are also key residues on catalytic loops (**Figure 6C**). Because of their importance for phosphatase activity, mutations at these residues are not activating in the SHP2$_{FL}$ screen, although they likely disrupt the autoinhibition. Residues around the E76 pocket can also contribute both to catalytic activity and autoinhibition. Mutations at G268 and residues I282-F285 actually have opposite mutational effects in the SHP2$_{FL}$ and SHP2$_{PTP}$ selection assays (**Figure 6B**, *class 3*, **and Figure 7A**). These residues are located close to the pTyr loop, and mutations at these sites likely disrupt substrate binding, thereby decreasing phosphatase activity. In the full-length construct, however, the same mutations disrupt E76 docking onto the PTP domain (**Figure 7B**). The resulting destabilization of the autoinhibited state compensates for partial loss of activity in the PTP domain, yielding net activating effects in SHP2$_{FL}$, contrary to the inactivating effects in SHP2$_{PTP}$ (**Figure 7A**). Interestingly, among the I282 mutations, a known pathogenic mutation, I282V, is activating in both constructs, whereas all other I282 substitutions activate SHP2$_{FL}$ but inactivate SHP2$_{PTP}$ (**Supplementary Figure 7A**). The extra methyl group on isoleucine relative to valine is important for the hydrophobic interaction with the N-SH2 domain, explaining why even the conservative I282V mutation activates SHP2$_{FL}$ (**Figure 7C**). By contrast, only I282V, and no other I282 mutations, can fully preserve the catalytically competent conformation of the pTyr loop.

The Q loop, which presents key catalytic glutamine residues, is also located on the autoinhibitory interface while facilitating catalysis. Specifically, Q510 and Q506 are thought to be the key catalytic residues in the formation and hydrolysis of the phospho-enzyme intermediate[49]. Surprisingly, despite their reported crucial roles in catalysis, only Q510 displays invariably strong loss-of-function mutational effects (**Figure 7A**). Q506 mutations, by contrast, appear to be activating in the SHP2$_{FL}$ screens, suggesting that this residue both contributes to autoinhibition and is expendable for catalysis. Furthermore, in the SHP2$_{PTP}$ screens, while mutations at both sites are generally detrimental, Q510 mutations have stronger loss-of-function effects (**Figure 7A and Supplementary Figure 7B,C**). This suggests that Q510 is indispensable for catalysis, as it is likely required both for formation and hydrolysis of the phospho-enzyme intermediate. On the other hand, Q506 mutations may only affect the hydrolysis step, with an overall marginal impact on PTP activity[50]. In the SHP2$_{FL}$ construct, the decrease of activity upon Q506 mutations can be compensated by disruption of autoinhibition, while the net mutational effects of Q510 are still inactivating due to its essentiality for catalysis.

Figure 7. PTP domain mutational effects that disrupt both autoinhibition and catalysis. (A) Enrichment score distributions for several residues where mutations inactivate SHP2$_{PTP}$ by disrupting catalysis (blue dots) and activate SHP2$_{FL}$ by disrupting autoinhibition (red dots). Q506 is inactivating in both contexts and shown for comparison. **(B)** Rendering of N-SH2/PTP interface, highlighting G268, I282-F285, Q506, and Q510 (shown in red spheres). G268 and I282-F285 control pTyr loop conformation, whereas Q506 and Q510 are directly involved in catalysis. Q506 is located behind the N-SH2 domain, which is colored yellow (PDB code 4DGP). **(C)** The I282V mutation does not disrupt pTyr loop conformation, but the extra methyl group on isoleucine relative to valine (black sphere) is crucial for N-SH2 binding in the autoinhibited state.

Residues with activating mutations in SHP2_PTP govern WPD loop motions

Consistently activating mutations in both SHP2_FL and SHP2_PTP selection assays cluster around the WPD loop (**Figure 6B**, *class 2*, **and Figure 8A**). These mutations likely enhance activity by inducing WPD loop closure or altering WPD loop dynamics, both of which are crucial for SHP2 catalysis.[51,52] Notably, the closed, catalytically-competent WPD loop conformation in tyrosine phosphatases is incompatible with the SHP2 autoinhibited conformation, as the loop would clash with the N-SH2 domain (**Supplementary Figure 8A**). In WPD loop closed state, the catalytic D425 occupies the same pocket that is otherwise occupied by D61 in the autoinhibited state, sitting between R362, K366 and R465 (**Supplementary Figure 8B**). Thus, mutations that favor WPD loop closure not only enhance catalytic activity by promoting catalysis, but they also drive SHP2 opening, which is why they are enriched in both SHP2_FL and SHP2_PTP screens.

In the aforementioned MD trajectories of the active conformation of SHP2, we captured a few instances of the WPD open-close transition. These events reveal coordinated movements between key residues in this region. In the WPD open conformation, W423, P424, P429, and F513 pack together via hydrophobic interactions (**Figure 8B**). In the transition to the WPD closed conformation, this packing arrangement changes, with W423 moving away from the loop center into the F469 cleft, forcing F469 to rotate away from the WPD loop (**Figure 8C**). This transition also releases P424 from its packing interactions, allowing it to access more diverse conformations. We quantified these movements by measuring the distance between W423 Cζ_3 and P429 Cγ, the χ_1 angle of F469, and the distance between P424 Cγ and the V428 carbonyl. Our analysis showed that the W423 movement, F469 rotation, and P424 release happen simultaneously, and they are coordinated with WPD loop closure, as measured by the distance between the α carbons of D425 and C459 (**Figure 8D**). In our simulations, we observed instances of concerted W423/F469/P424 movements that ultimately culminated in WPD loop closure as well as instances where these residues sampled an on-pathway state and reverted back to their inactive conformation, thereby aborting WPD loop closure (**Figure 8D**). A key feature of WPD loop rearrangement in the simulations is that the side chain positioning of P424 becomes less constrained. Notably, every mutation at P424 was activating, in both the SHP2_PTP and SHP2_FL selections, and the P424L results in Noonan Syndrome (**Figure 2B and Figure 6A,B**). This is because disruption of packing at this residue would allow the loop to close more easily. On the other hand, W423 and P429 mutations were inactivating in our experiments, presumably due to the loss of stabilizing interactions in the WPD closed state (**Figure 6A**).

Similar WPD loop dynamics have also been observed for PTP1B and are most likely conserved across tyrosine phosphatases[51]. However, in both WPD open and closed structures of PTP1B, its F225 which corresponds to F469 on SHP2, always points away from the WPD loop, in the orientation that is consistent with WPD loop closure in SHP2[51]. This is due to the increased steric hindrance of L110, which prohibits F225 rotation and may predispose PTP1B toward an active state (**Supplementary Figure 8C**). Indeed, the residue in SHP2 corresponding to PTP1B L110 is a threonine (T356), and bulky aliphatic mutations (I, L, V) at this site increase SHP2 activity, further supporting the idea that restrained F469 movement favors WPD closure (**Supplementary Figure 8D**). These subtle changes in WPD regulation could be determining factors of different phosphatase activity across PTPs.

On the back of the WPD loop, a group of residues show strong activating effects in the SHP2_PTP selection and are also modestly activating in the SHP2_FL context (**Figure 8A**). These residues, which include D395, Y396, F420, T422 and D431-L439, most likely dictate the positioning of helix α3. Allosteric inhibitors of PTP1B have been identified that bind to a pocket in this region, termed the 'BB site' (**Figure 8E**)[20,53]. Those inhibitors operate by inducing rotation in helix α3 that propagates to the contiguous WPD loop.[51] Although it is unlikely that BB site ligands for PTP1B can bind to and modulate SHP2 activity, our results suggest that the allosteric network connecting the BB site to the active site is conserved in SHP2, and it may also be possible to modulate SHP2 activity through ligand binding in this region.

Figure 8. Mutational effects that alter WPD loop conformational dynamics. (**A**) Residues with consistent gain-of-function mutational effects around the WPD loop (PDB code 3ZM0). (**B**) Frame from MD trajectory showing packing of W423, P424, P429, and F469 in WPD loop open conformation. F469 points towards the WPD loop in this structure. (**C**) Frame from an MD trajectory showing that, in the WPD closed conformation, W423 displaces F469, and P424 is released from its intimate packing in the turn of the loop. (**D**) Three MD trajectories starting from the open conformation, showing coordinated movements between F469, W423, and P424. The first trajectory is an example of these movements culminating in WPD closure. The second trajectory also shows WPD loop closure, but more gradually. The third trajectory shows coupled F469, W423, and P424 motions that revert back to their starting state, resulting in no WPD loop closure. F469 rotation is quantified by χ_1 angle of this residue. W423 reorientation is quantified by the distance between W423 $C\zeta_3$ and P429 $C\gamma$. P424 packing is quantified by the distance between P424 $C\gamma$ and the V428 carbonyl. WPD loop closure is quantified by the distance between the D425 and C459 $C\alpha$ atoms. (**E**) Structure of PTP1B bound to BB site allosteric inhibitor (PDB code 1T48).

Discussion

In this study, we used a yeast selection assay to compare the catalytic activities of nearly all possible point mutants in the human tyrosine phosphatase SHP2. We conducted deep mutational scans of both full-length SHP2 (593 residues, ~12,000 variants) and its isolated catalytic domain (289 residues, ~6000 variants). Thus far, our understanding of SHP2 regulation has largely been driven by the characterization of 10-20 disease-associated mutations, most of which cluster at the N-SH2/PTP interface[26,27,49]. The high-throughput mutagenesis experiments presented here have yielded new insights into how SHP2 is regulated through inter-domain interactions and revealed unknown active site and allosteric determinants of catalytic activity in the phosphatase domain. For example, our results showed that mutations in the core of the N-SH2 domain can activate SHP2 by destabilizing that domain, thereby indirectly disrupting autoinhibition. Given that many multi-domain proteins are regulated by inter-domain autoinhibition[10], destabilizing mutations in non-catalytic domains may be a common mechanism for

dysregulation. Our scanning mutagenesis experiments also identified a cluster of residues at the C-SH2/PTP interface that stabilize the active state of SHP2 and likely play a role in initiating the transition from the autoinhibited to active state. Finally, our results point to conserved intra-domain allostery within the catalytic domain, as has been observed for other members of the classical tyrosine phosphatase family[20,51].

Multi-domain proteins are regulated by a combination of intra- and inter-domain interactions. A novel feature of our study, relative to many other deep mutational scanning studies, is that we examined mutational sensitivity in SHP2 both in the presence and absence of regulatory domains. This juxtaposition revealed a trade-off between catalytic activity and autoinhibition. Because SHP2 autoinhibition operates primarily by a regulatory domain (the N-SH2 domain) sterically blocking the active site[12], mutations on the phosphatase domain that disrupt autoinhibition may also inactivate the enzyme. This functional trade-off likely explains why the strongest activating mutations in SHP2 are found on the N-SH2 domain side of the N-SH2/PTP interface. Intriguingly, mutations in the phosphatase domain that intrinsically enhance catalytic activity by forcing it into a catalytical competent conformation can also disrupt autoinhibition. Given the extensive potential for allostery within PTP domains[51], it is likely that poorly characterized pathogenic mutations in the SHP2 PTP that are not at the N-SH2/PTP interface operate via this mechanism (e.g. P424L, discussed earlier, which drives the WPD loop into an active conformation).

The presence of two SH2 domains in SHP2 provides an interesting example of functional specialization within a single signaling protein. All SH2 domains have high structural homology, and the SHP2 N- and C-SH2 domains are more similar to one another than almost all other SH2 domains[54]. Despite this homology, the N-SH2 and C-SH2 domains in SHP2 participate in inter-domain regulation differently. While the N-SH2 domain governs in SHP2 autoinhibition through extensive interactions with the PTP domain, our results show that only residues on each end of the C-SH2 domain appear to contribute substantially to the SHP2 activation process. Furthermore, N-SH2 stability is a key determinant of autoinhibition, whereas C-SH2 domain stability appears less crucial, as evidenced by the nominal effects of C-SH2 core mutations (**Figure 3A,B**). These points are relevant to SHP2 activation in the absence of phosphoprotein activators. Both SH2 domains participate in activator binding through their phosphotyrosine recognition capabilities, but again, the responsiveness of SHP2 to activators appears to be more driven by N-SH2 binding than C-SH2 binding[18,25,55]. Nonetheless, the C-SH2 domain is contributes to localization[55], binding specificity[56], and tuning the activity of SHP2[18,55]. Of note, there are a few pathogenic mutations in the C-SH2 domain, including R138Q and E139D, discussed above. These observations highlight another layer of complexity that has evolved in modular signaling proteins.

SHP2 is the target of several allosteric inhibitors that are in development or currently in clinical trials for the treatment of cancer[57]. Although our deep mutational scanning experiments were not conducted in the presence of SHP2 inhibitors, our datasets may still provide insights into resistance mutations. The established allosteric SHP2 inhibitors all bind to one of two possible sites, and in both cases stabilize the autoinhibited state[57,58]. The 'tunnel' binding site lies between the C-SH2 and PTP domains, and the 'latch' binding site is at the N-SH2/PTP interface[58]. Residues at these two sites show distinct mutational effects in our datasets. The 'tunnel' site residues are important for SHP2 activation, and mutations in this region are mostly inactivating. The 'latch' site residues facilitate autoinhibition and are activating upon substitution. Thus, we hypothesize that drugs which bind to the 'tunnel' site at the C-SH2/PTP interface will be less susceptible to drug resistant mutations, because mutations in that site that disrupt binding will also inactivate the enzyme. By contrast, inhibitors that bind to the 'latch' site at the N-SH2/PTP interface will be more susceptible to resistance mutations. Mutations which broadly destabilize the SHP2 autoinhibited state are likely to cause resistance to drugs that bind either site[17]. Notably, our deep mutational scans revealed an allosteric site on the tyrosine phosphatase domain of SHP2, and this site is already the target of an allosteric inhibitor of PTP1B[20,53]. These results suggest that intra-domain allostery may be exploitable for SHP2 inhibition, whereas most successful efforts to date have focused on taking advantage of SHP2 inter-domain allostery for pharmacological inhibition[57].

Several of the mechanistic observations in this study were initially inspired by our large-scale mutagenesis datasets, but they ultimately refined with the support of molecular dynamics simulations. In

particular, scanning mutagenesis revealed a cluster of residues at the C-SH2/PTP interface that are critical for SHP2 activity. While these residues are likely to stabilize the active state(s) of SHP2, molecular dynamics simulations and subsequent re-evaluation of mutational sensitivity in this region strongly support the idea that these residues play a role in initiating the transition from the autoinhibited to active state. Similarly, deep mutagenesis revealed an extensive network of residues emanating from the WPD loop to control catalysis, and molecular dynamics simulations clarified how motions in this region propagate to the active site. Thus, our study highlights how mutational scanning experiments can provide insights into protein dynamics. Finally, we note that the yeast selection assay described here can easily be adapted to investigate mutational effects in other protein tyrosine phosphatases[36,37]. We envision that this platform, particularly when coupled with MD simulations, experimental biochemistry/biophysics, and cell signaling studies, will become invaluable tool to map sequence-structure-function relationships across this important enzyme family.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the members of the Shah lab for their scientific insights and helpful discussions throughout the development of this project. This research was funded by NIH grant GM138014 and American Cancer Society grant RSG-23-1038049-01-TBE to NHS. This work used the Expanse GPU cluster at the San Diego Supercomputer Center through allocation BIO220139 from the Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services & Support (ACCESS) program, which is supported by an NSF grants #2138259, #2138286, #2138307, #2137603, and #2138296.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Information

All supplementary figures can be found in a single supplementary figures file. Supplementary tables can be found as individual spreadsheet files.

Supplementary Figure 1. Yeast grow and selection by co-expression of Src kinase and SHP2 variants.

Supplementary Figure 2. Scanning mutagenesis and selection assays with SHP2$_{FL}$.

Supplementary Figure 3. Hotspot residues at the N-SH2/PTP interface.

Supplementary Figure 4. Destabilization of the N-SH2 domain by core mutations.

Supplementary Figure 5. Mutational sensitivity and dynamics in the C-SH2 domain and surrounding linkers.

Supplementary Figure 6. Scanning mutagenesis and selection assays with SHP2$_{PTP}$.

Supplementary Figure 7. Mutational effects at I282, Q506, and Q510.

Supplementary Figure 8. WPD loop motions and conformational constraints in SHP2.

Supplementary Table 1. Oligonucleotide pools used for construction of the SHP2 scanning mutagenesis library via MITE.

Supplementary Table 2. Enrichment scores for SHP2$_{FL}$ election assays with v-Src$_{FL}$ and c-Src$_{KD}$.

Supplementary Table 3. Enrichment scores for SHP2$_{PTP}$ selection assays with v-Src$_{FL}$ and c-Src$_{KD}$.

References

1. Yu, H. *et al.* Structural basis for the binding of proline-rich peptides to SH3 domains. *Cell* **76**, 933–945 (1994).

2. Zhou, S. *et al.* SH2 domains recognize specific phosphopeptide sequences. *Cell* **72**, 767–778 (1993).

3. Newton, A. C. Protein Kinase C: Structure, Function, and Regulation (*). *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 28495–28498 (1995).

4. Schmedt, C. *et al.* Functional Characterization of the RNA-binding Domain and Motif of the Double-stranded RNA-dependent Protein Kinase DAI (PKR). *J. Mol. Biol.* **249**, 29–44 (1995).

5. Pawson, T. Protein modules and signalling networks. *Nature* **373**, 573–580 (1995).

6. Bhattacharyya, R. P., Reményi, A., Yeh, B. J. & Lim, W. A. Domains, Motifs, and Scaffolds: The Role of Modular Interactions in the Evolution and Wiring of Cell Signaling Circuits. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **75**, 655–680 (2006).

7. Lim, W. A. The modular logic of signaling proteins: building allosteric switches from simple binding domains. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **12**, 61–68 (2002).

8. Huang, Q., Li, M., Lai, L. & Liu, Z. Allostery of multidomain proteins with disordered linkers. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **62**, 175–182 (2020).

9. Papaleo, E. *et al.* The Role of Protein Loops and Linkers in Conformational Dynamics and Allostery. *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 6391–6423 (2016).

10. Nussinov, R., Tsai, C.-J. & Jang, H. Autoinhibition can identify rare driver mutations and advise pharmacology. *FASEB J.* **34**, 16–29 (2020).

11. Andersen, J. N. *et al.* Structural and Evolutionary Relationships among Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase Domains. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21**, 7117–7136 (2001).

12. Barford, D. & Neel, B. G. Revealing mechanisms for SH2 domain mediated regulation of the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2. *Structure* **6**, 249–254 (1998).

13. Araki, T., Nawa, H. & Neel, B. G. Tyrosyl phosphorylation of Shp2 is required for normal ERK activation in response to some, but not all, growth factors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 41677–41684 (2003).

14. Lu, W., Shen, K. & Cole, P. A. Chemical Dissection of the Effects of Tyrosine Phosphorylation of SHP-2. *Biochemistry* **42**, 5461–5468 (2003).

15. Pfeiffer, A. *et al.* Phosphorylation of SHP2 at Tyr62 enables acquired resistance to SHP2 allosteric inhibitors in FLT3-ITD-driven AML. *Cancer Res.* (2022) doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-21-0548.

16. Hof, P., Pluskey, S., Dhe-Paganon, S., Eck, M. J. & Shoelson, S. E. Crystal Structure of the Tyrosine Phosphatase SHP-2. *Cell* **92**, 441–450 (1998).

17. LaRochelle, J. R. *et al.* Structural reorganization of SHP2 by oncogenic mutations and implications for oncoprotein resistance to allosteric inhibition. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4508 (2018).

18. Tao, Y. *et al.* A novel partially open state of SHP2 points to a 'multiple gear' regulation mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* **296**, 100538 (2021).

19. Anselmi, M. & Hub, J. S. Atomistic ensemble of active SHP2 phosphatase. *Commun. Biol.* **6**, 1–11 (2023).

20. Keedy, D. A. *et al.* An expanded allosteric network in PTP1B by multitemperature crystallography, fragment screening, and covalent tethering. *eLife* **7**, e36307 (2018).

21. Singh, J. P. *et al.* Crystal Structure of TCPTP Unravels an Allosteric Regulatory Role of Helix α7 in Phosphatase Activity. *Biochemistry* **60**, 3856–3867 (2021).

22. Grossmann, K. S., Rosário, M., Birchmeier, C. & Birchmeier, W. Chapter 2 - The Tyrosine Phosphatase Shp2 in Development and Cancer. in *Advances in Cancer Research* (eds. Vande Woude, G. F. & Klein, G.) vol. 106 53–89 (Academic Press, 2010).

23. Landrum, M. J. *et al.* ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D1062–D1067 (2018).

24. Sondka, Z. *et al.* COSMIC: a curated database of somatic variants and clinical data for cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, D1210–D1217 (2024).

25. Van Vlimmeren, A. E. *et al.* The pathogenic T42A mutation in SHP2 rewires the interaction specificity of its N-terminal regulatory domain. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.10.548257 (2023).

26. Keilhack, H., David, F. S., McGregor, M., Cantley, L. C. & Neel, B. G. Diverse Biochemical Properties of Shp2 Mutants. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 30984–30993 (2005).

27. Yu, Z.-H. *et al.* Structural and Mechanistic Insights into LEOPARD Syndrome-Associated SHP2 Mutations *. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 10472–10482 (2013).

28. Fowler, D. M., Stephany, J. J. & Fields, S. Measuring the activity of protein variants on a large scale using deep mutational scanning. *Nat. Protoc.* **9**, 2267–2284 (2014).

29. Meier, G. *et al.* Deep mutational scan of a drug efflux pump reveals its structure–function landscape. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **19**, 440–450 (2023).

30. Newberry, R. W., Leong, J. T., Chow, E. D., Kampmann, M. & DeGrado, W. F. Deep mutational scanning reveals the structural basis for α-synuclein activity. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **16**, 653–659 (2020).

31. Rollins, N. J. *et al.* Inferring protein 3D structure from deep mutation scans. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1170–1176 (2019).

32. Persky, N. S. *et al.* Defining the landscape of ATP-competitive inhibitor resistance residues in protein kinases. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 92–104 (2020).

33. Tsuboyama, K. *et al.* Mega-scale experimental analysis of protein folding stability in biology and design. *Nature* **620**, 434–444 (2023).

34. Matreyek, K. A. *et al.* Multiplex assessment of protein variant abundance by massively parallel sequencing. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 874–882 (2018).

35. Brugge, J. S. *et al.* Expression of Rous sarcoma virus transforming protein pp60v-src in Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **7**, 2180–2187 (1987).

36. Montalibet, J. *et al.* Residues Distant from the Active Site Influence Protein-tyrosine Phosphatase 1B Inhibitor Binding *. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 5258–5266 (2006).

37. Harris, L. K., Frumm, S. M. & Bishop, A. C. A general assay for monitoring the activities of protein tyrosine phosphatases in living eukaryotic cells. *Anal. Biochem.* **435**, 99–105 (2013).

38. Melnikov, A., Rogov, P., Wang, L., Gnirke, A. & Mikkelsen, T. S. Comprehensive mutational scanning of a kinase in vivo reveals substrate-dependent fitness landscapes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, e112 (2014).

39. Burmeister, B. T. *et al.* Protein Kinase A (PKA) Phosphorylation of Shp2 Protein Inhibits Its Phosphatase Activity and Modulates Ligand Specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 12058–12067 (2015).

40. Serbina, A. & Bishop, A. C. Quantitation of autoinhibitory defects in pathogenic SHP2 mutants by differential scanning fluorimetry. *Anal. Biochem.* **680**, 115300 (2023).

41. Bunda, S. *et al.* Inhibition of SHP2-mediated dephosphorylation of Ras suppresses oncogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8859 (2015).

42. Kim, S. H., Bulos, M. L., Adams, J. A., Yun, B. K. & Bishop, A. C. Single Ion Pair Is Essential for Stabilizing SHP2's Open Conformation. *Biochemistry* **63**, 273–281 (2024).

43. Müller, P. J. *et al.* Protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP2/PTPN11 mistargeting as a consequence of SH2-domain point mutations associated with Noonan Syndrome and leukemia. *J. Proteomics* **84**, 132–147 (2013).

44. Mohi, M. G. & Neel, B. G. Structural and Signaling Requirements for Transformation Mediated by Shp2 Mutants. *Blood* **106**, 3508 (2005).

45. Morris, R. *et al.* Structure guided studies of the interaction between PTP1B and JAK. *Commun. Biol.* **6**, 1–11 (2023).

46. Crean, R. M., Biler, M., Van Der Kamp, M. W., Hengge, A. C. & Kamerlin, S. C. L. Loop Dynamics and Enzyme Catalysis in Protein Tyrosine Phosphatases. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **143**, 3830–3845 (2021).

47. Pádua, R. A. P. *et al.* Mechanism of activating mutations and allosteric drug inhibition of the phosphatase SHP2. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4507 (2018).

48. Critton, D. A., Tautz, L. & Page, R. Visualizing Active-Site Dynamics in Single Crystals of HePTP: Opening of the WPD Loop Involves Coordinated Movement of the E Loop. *J. Mol. Biol.* **405**, 619–629 (2011).

49. Yu, Z.-H. *et al.* Molecular Basis of Gain-of-Function LEOPARD Syndrome-Associated SHP2 Mutations. *Biochemistry* **53**, 4136–4151 (2014).

50. Zhang, Z. Y., Wang, Y. & Dixon, J. E. Dissecting the catalytic mechanism of protein-tyrosine phosphatases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**, 1624–1627 (1994).

51. Choy, M. S. *et al.* Conformational Rigidity and Protein Dynamics at Distinct Timescales Regulate PTP1B Activity and Allostery. *Mol. Cell* **65**, 644-658.e5 (2017).

52. Cui, D. S., Lipchock, J. M., Brookner, D. & Loria, J. P. Uncovering the Molecular Interactions in the Catalytic Loop That Modulate the Conformational Dynamics in Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase 1B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 12634–12647 (2019).

53. Wiesmann, C. *et al.* Allosteric inhibition of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **11**, 730–737 (2004).

54. Liu, B. A. *et al.* The Human and Mouse Complement of SH2 Domain Proteins—Establishing the Boundaries of Phosphotyrosine Signaling. *Mol. Cell* **22**, 851–868 (2006).

55. Marasco, M. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of SHP2 activation by PD-1 stimulation. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaay4458 (2020).

56. Ottinger, E. A., Botfield, M. C. & Shoelson, S. E. Tandem SH2 Domains Confer High Specificity in Tyrosine Kinase Signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 729–735 (1998).

57. Elhassan, R. M., Hou, X. & Fang, H. Recent advances in the development of allosteric protein tyrosine phosphatase inhibitors for drug discovery. *Med. Res. Rev.* **42**, 1064–1110 (2022).

58. Fodor, M. *et al.* Dual Allosteric Inhibition of SHP2 Phosphatase. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **13**, 647–656 (2018).