Courtship song differs between African and European populations of *Drosophila*

***melanogaster* and involves a strong effect locus**

Matthew J. Lollar[1,@], Elizabeth Kim[2], David L. Stern[2], John E. Pool[1,@]

[1]Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, 53705, USA

[2]Janelia Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Ashburn, VA, 20147 USA

Corresponding author contact: mjlollar1@gmail.com; jpool@wisc.edu

Running head: Genetics of song evolution within *D. melanogaster*

Keywords: courtship song, behavior, QTL mapping, variation, evolution

ABSTRACT

The courtship song of *Drosophila melanogaster* has long served as an excellent model

system for studies of animal communication and differences in courtship song have been

demonstrated among populations and between species. Here, we report that flies of

African and European origin, which diverged approximately 13,000 years ago, show

significant genetic differentiation in the use of slow versus fast pulse song. Using a

combination of quantitative trait mapping and population genetic analysis we detected a

single strong QTL underlying this trait and we identified candidate genes that may

contribute to the evolution of this trait. Song trait variation between parental strains of our

recombinant inbred panel enabled detection of genomic intervals associated with six

additional song traits, some of which include known courtship-related genes. These

findings improve the prospects for further genetic insights into the evolution of

reproductive behavior and the biology underlying courtship song.

INTRODUCTION

Communication, the process of information transfer through signal production and

recognition, is abundant across the animal kingdom. There are many forms of

communication in nature, from chemical interactions between bacteria (Keller & Surette

2006), to intricate mating displays of suboscine passerines (Prum 1990), or the

complexity of human language (Lieberman 1971). Accompanying this diversity of form is

a diversity of purpose: communication is used by animals in mate choice and conspecific

recognition (Anholt *et al.* 2020), antagonistic displays (Maynard Smith 1979), and

cooperative behavior such as territory defense and foraging (Fitzgerald & Peterson 1988).

Often, the proper transmission of information from signaler to receiver has important

consequences for the fitness and reproductive success of an individual (Maynard Smith

1979; Anholt *et al.* 2020), underpinning its importance in both standing diversity and the

evolutionary history of populations. Acoustic communication has evolved independently

over 20 times across insects (Greenfield 2016) and is an important component of

reproductive behavior (Leonhardt *et al.* 2016).

Male *Drosophila melanogaster* "sing" to females by vibrating their wings, typically as

unilateral extension of a single outstretched wing (Ewing & Bennet-Clark 1968). In *D.*

melanogaster, song consists of two primary modes: a series of continuous sinusoidal

waves or hums (sine song) and trains of more punctuated wave clusters (pulse song) with

amplitude and pitch typically exceeding those produced by sine song (Swain &

Philipsborn 2021). Furthermore, two modes of pulse song have been identified based on

the pitch of the pulse song: fast and slow pulse modes (Clemens *et al.* 2018). Female

Drosophila typically become more receptive to mating upon hearing song (von Schilcher

1976) and may distinguish between subtle differences in song components. The active

female responses to courtship that encourage or discourage copulation underpin the role

of song in the reproductive success of male flies (Cook 1973; Riabinina *et al*. 2011;

Laturney & Moehring 2012).

Male courtship song has been characterized in many *Drosophila* species, (O'Grady &

DeSalle 2018), providing deep historical knowledge in a system benefiting from excellent

genetic tractability (St. Johnston 2002; *Drosophila* 12 Genomes Consortium 2007). The

acoustic properties of pulse song, such as the interpulse interval (IPI) typically receive the

greatest attention (Ritchie *et al.* 1994; Talyn & Dowse 2004; Stern 2014), although

components of sine song also vary among closely related species (Ding *et al.* 2016).

Pulse song is highly variable across species and has been implicated in mate and

conspecific recognition (Spieth 1952; Bennet-Clark & Ewing 1969; Watanabe &

Kawanishi 1979; Cowling & Burnet 1981; Talyn & Dowse 2004; Ding *et al.* 2019), although

song does not appear to contribute to mate choice in every species (Richie *et al.* 1999;

Iglesias & Hasson 2017).

The genetic architectures and underpinnings of variation in courtship song and other

premating isolating mechanisms are poorly understood. Early studies supported the view

that variation in these behaviors was caused by a small number of loci of large effect

(Mackay 2001; Mackay *et al.* 2005). Arbuthnott (2009) found, for instance, that among 36

studies in insects (predominantly *Drosophila)* on courtship traits contributing to premating

isolating barriers, 25 studies considered traits to be influenced by a few loci of large effect.

However, quantitative trait locus (QTL) studies are likely biased toward detecting large

effect loci (Rockman 2012). More recent studies have revealed that the genetic

architecture of premating barriers is likely more complex (Mackay 2009; Arbuthnott 2009;

Oh *et al*. 2012; Shahandeh & Turner 2020). The number of loci contributing to variation

in a trait involved in premating isolation can also have implications for the rate of

speciation between species (Gavrilets & Vose 2007), providing additional incentive to

study the evolution of such phenotypes.

Differences between well-isolated species in reproductive behavior may or may not have

contributed to the initial reproductive isolation of those species (Price 2002). On the other

hand, within-population variation in such traits may be distinct in its genetic architecture

and basis from evolutionary trait changes (Arbuthnott 2009). Whereas, evolved

differences in courtship traits between interfertile taxa, including recently diverged

populations of the same species, may hold particular interest for the study of reproductive

isolation.

D. melanogaster originated in sub-Saharan Africa and has spread nearly worldwide

(Lachaise & Silvain 2004, Lachaise *et al.* 1988, Keller 2007). The demographic history of

the species is well-studied (e.g. Sprengelmeyer *et al.* 2020) and striking genetic and

phenotypic changes have occurred on a relatively short evolutionary time-scale. Genetic

differentiation is in part due to at least one moderate population bottleneck during the

initial migration out of sub-Saharan Africa (Begun & Aquadro 1993, Sprengelmeyer *et al.*

2020), as well as locally adaptive changes in response to novel environmental pressures

(David & Capy 1988, Fabian *et al.* 2015; Lack *et al.* 2016a). Genes under selection as a

consequence of adaptation often have neuronal or morphological and developmental

functions (Fabian *et al.* 2015; Lack *et al.* 2016a; Pool *et al.* 2017). Such changes could

have either specific or pleiotropic effects on courtship traits such as song, in light of the

multifaceted roles of many genes involved in courtship behavior (Gleason 2005;

Greenspan & Ferveur 2000).

While some components of courtship song variation within *D. melanogaster* have been

studied (Colegrave *et al.* 1999; Gleason *et al.* 2002), there have been no prior

comprehensive intraspecific studies of many courtship song components. Here, we utilize

panels of naturally-derived *D. melanogaster* from two geographic origins, Zambia and

France, and high-throughput methods of recording and analyzing courtship song (Arthur

et al. 2013; Arthur *et al.* 2021) to study the genetic basis of song differences between

populations. We find that the proportion of slow and fast pulses ("pulse mode preference")

differs significantly between populations. We use a panel of recombinant inbred lines

(RILs) derived from reciprocal crosses between France and Zambia strains to map pulse

mode preference as a quantitative trait, as well as mapping eight additional song traits

that differ significantly between RIL parental strains. Finally we complement our mapping

analysis with population genetic screens across QTL intervals, highlighting the most

genetically differentiated loci between populations to identify additional genes that may

underline song variation and evolution. Collectively, our results reveal that naturally

evolved differences in male song components exist between populations within the *D.*

melanogaster species and include moderately strong effect loci, opening the door to

targeted genetic and neurophysiological studies of their molecular basis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila husbandry and stocks

Two distinct types of strains were used in this study. Strains used to measure trait

differences between population samples were (8 generation) inbred lines from wild-

derived isofemale stocks, collected at locations described in Pool *et al.* (2012). We used

a recombinant inbred line (RIL) panel generated in the lab and derived from a reciprocal

cross between two inbred strains, one each from our France (FR) and Zambia (ZI)

collections (FR320N and ZI418N), for QTL mapping. Following 12 generations of

intercrossing, isofemale lines were established from these interbred flies and inbred for 5

generations to establish the final panel, from which 305 recombinant strains were

included in the present study. Females used in mating assays were derived from a single

France strain, FR54N. We chose this strain because European females show less

preference across populations compared to some southern African strains (Grillet *et al*.

2012; Hollocher & Wu, 1996; Wu *et al*. 1995), who tend to disfavor non-African males,

and female response can influence the expression of song (Riabinina *et al*. 2011;

Laturney & Moehring 2012).

Flies were maintained as stocks in laboratory conditions on food prepared in batches of

4.5 L water, 500 mL cornmeal, 500 mL molasses, 200 mL yeast, 54 g agar, 20 mL

propionic acid, and 45 mL tegosept 10% (in 95% ethanol). Flies used in assays were

collected under carbon dioxide anesthesia and aged in one of two 12 hour light/dark

controlled incubators, separated in cycle by two hours to allow additional assays each

day. Incubator temperatures were not strictly controlled but measured daily and ranged

from 23-25C. Females were collected and aged in plastic fly food bottles containing

approximately 50 females per bottle. Males were collected and aged individually in glass

fly food vials. The age of collected males ranged from 0-24 hours post-eclosion and was

not strictly controlled for prior matings during this time. Previous matings can affect male

mating success (e.g. Sinclair *et al*. 2021), although the frequency of matings among our

males may have been low due to the 0-24 hours age range of the females (Manning

1967). Whereas, prior courtship attempts may have been more variable among the

collected males, given that males begin to court as early as 12 hours post-eclosion

(Strömnæs & Kvelland 1962) and may court virgin females (Dukas 2005). The collection

of tens of males from each fly strain (see below), and the averaging of their song trait

values, should dampen individual-level variation induced by such factors.

Song recording

Male song was recorded on a multi-channel acoustic recording chamber (Arthur *et al*.

2013), at Janelia Research Campus from June-July of 2022 (Table S1). Males used in

assays were collected 0-24 hours post-eclosion, isolated into individual vials, and aged

for 3-5 days. Males were recorded in the presence of a single unmated female, collected

0-6 hours post-eclosion, and aged an additional 12-24 hours before use in assays. This

age was selected as an optimal range to allow aging of females past a "young nymph"

stage, without allowing them to become old enough to be receptive to mating (Manning

1967), which would diminish the duration of captured song before copulation

(observationally, males that initiated song typically sang throughout the entire duration of

recording if they did not mount successfully). Flies were mouth aspirated into recording

chambers, and assays were performed within the first two hours from lights on. Male flies

were recorded for a consecutive duration of 30 minutes. Replicate assays were performed

such that strains were assayed in sets of 6-8 males across 3-5 unique days, for a total

target sample size of 24 for each RIL, and 50-60 for each inbred strain (Table S1).

Song analysis and software protocols

Song data was automatically segmented and analyzed without human intervention using

SongExplorer (doi:10.1101/2021.03.26.437280) to annotate acoustic components of

song. A previously trained *D.melanogaster* model detected four principle sounds (sine

song, pulse song, ambient noise, other), trained using audio collected on the recording

instrumentation used in this study. Samples were recorded at a sampling rate twice that

of the provided model, so raw audio files were downsampled at a rate of one half using

the "signal" function in the python open-source package SciPy (version 1.13) for proper

segmentation (Virtanen *et al.* 2020).

Segmented song parameters were quantified using BatchSongAnalysis

(https://github.com/dstern/BatchSongAnalysis) with small modifications for individualized

trait parameterization (https://github.com/mjlollar/song_work), MATLAB version 9.13

(R2022b) (The MathWorks Inc. 2022). The numbers of song bouts, sine and pulse song

durations, interpulse interval characteristics, pulse/sine carrier frequencies and pulse/sine

train lengths were measured as described in Arthur *et al.* (2013). Pulse and sine song

amplitude were measured by calculating the square root of the mean of the squares of all

pulses in a song (output as arbitrary units). Transition probabilities were calculated using

the Matlab "transProb()" function for pairwise measurements of events (The MathWorks

Inc. 2022). Slow and fast pulse characterization was available thanks to previous

classification training in the model provided and used methods similar to those described

in Clemens *et al.* (2018) to differentiate and classify pulse modes. Interpulse intervals

were estimated independently of pulse carrier frequency by fitting an envelope to each

pulse to estimate pulse duration and calculating the interpulse interval as the time from

the end of one pulse to the center of the next pulse. Here, pulse event carrier frequency

was measured as the modal frequency detected by SongExplorer. Further discussion on

the characterization of carrier frequency can be found in Ding *et al.* (2019).

Replicates with fewer than one second of song per minute, or those with an aberrantly

high sine song rate per minute (recordings with >55 seconds of characterized sine song

appeared to represent a failure of recording equipment) were excluded from analysis.

Additionally, replicates with zero values for a trait were not considered when calculating

strain mean for that trait, as a zero value from BatchSongAnalysis was typically the result

of an inability to properly measure trait values (due to minimal sampling throughout the

assay, etc.). Trait values for strains were represented as the mean value of the total

remaining replicates.

Prior to filtering, the number of replicate inbred and RIL samples totaled 860 and 7,152,

respectively. After the filtering described above was applied to raw data, the total number

of inbred song recordings were 810, with an average replicate number of about 43

recordings per strain. The total number of RIL song recordings totaled 5,532 after filtering,

with an average of about 19 recordings per RIL line.

Data analysis of processed song recordings

Differences in the population mean trait values were quantified for each trait using Mann-

Whitney (MW) tests using the two-sample wilcox.test() function in R (R Core Team 2023;

https://github.com/mjlollar/song_work). To statistically control the testing of multiple traits,

we used a permutation-based approach to correct for multiple testing that accounted for

non-independence among some traits (Figure S1). 10000 permutations were performed

in the following design. For each replicate, the identities of each strain were randomly

shuffled and drawn without replacement to represent 10 FR strains and 7 ZI strains

(matching sample sizes of the empirical data). MW tests were calculated between these

groups and recorded. We then determined the false positive rate (the likelihood the

empirical MW p-value occurs by chance) as the proportion of permutation replicates that

gave a p-value as low or lower than the empirical value. Correlation statistics were

generated in R using Pearson's test (R Core Team 2023).

For a trait showing evidence of population differentiation from the above methodology,

we also calculated Q_{ST} as a measure of quantitative trait differentiation (Lande 1992;

Spitze 1993). To indicate whether this trait might fall outside the range of neutral

population differentiation, we compared the estimated Q_{ST} to the distribution of F_{ST} at

individual SNPs (which conservatively maximizes the variance of F_{ST}), calculated from

allelic sample sizes identical to the number of strains from which phenotypic data was

obtained. F_{ST} distributions were calculated using available genomes from the *Drosophila*

Genome Nexus (Lack *et al*. 2016a; see below).

QTL mapping based on RIL ancestries

Genomic sequencing of the RIL panel is described in da Silva Ribeiro *et al.* (2024), which

also documents the calling of parental strain ancestry. Briefly, for each RIL, homozygous

and heterozygous parental strain ancestry calls were assigned using Ancestry HMM

(Corbett-Detig & Nielsen 2017) on windows defined by intervals containing 2,500 SNP

genotype differences between the two parental strains (which were used as the parental

panels for Ancestry HMM). This window size provides an abundance of variants from

which to infer ancestry in any particular window, but given that they average 5 kb in length,

they are still much smaller than the typical expected length of ancestry tracts, which

should tend to be roughly on the megabase scale after 12 generations of interbreeding.

QTL mapping was performed using parental strain ancestry calls as marker genotypes.

We used a modified version of r/QTL (Broman *et al*. 2003) that allowed us to use an

additive QTL model, rather than allowing each genotypic class to have an independent

mean trait value (da Silva Ribeiro *et al.* 2024), in light of the smaller counts of some

ancestry genotypes observed in many genomic windows. The primary model tests the

alternative hypothesis of a single QTL against the null hypothesis of no QTL, while using

phenotypic permutations (n=10,000) to control for genome-wide multiple testing. More

explicit details of the pipeline can be found in da Silva Ribeiro *et al.* (2024), as well as

source code at https://github.com/ribeirots/RILepi. We note that this permutation

approach, along with the averaging of multiple individual trait values per RIL, should

minimize the potential influence of skewed trait distributions on our QTL mapping.

The above analysis yields a genomic LOD score landscape for any given song trait.

However, when this landscape is complex or ragged in its topography, in practice it can

be difficult to assess which local peaks to consider as distinct QTLs. We therefore

implemented a series of criteria to determine which local peaks to integrate into the same

QTL region, and also to denote which regions within a broad/complex QTL may have the

greatest likelihood of containing a causative locus. Preliminary QTL peak regions were

defined based on contiguous runs of windows above the genome-wide LOD significance

threshold. A pair of initially separate peaks separated by a valley with a minimum LOD

value of greater than the shorter peak's maximum LOD score minus 1.5 were merged

into the same peak region – since a simple confidence interval (CI) for the shorter peak,

based on a 1.5 LOD drop, would end up including the taller peak. After defining and

integrating peaks in this manner, we accounted for peaks with complex LOD landscapes

by differentiating between "inclusive" and "restrictive" CIs. Within a contiguous run of

windows above the LOD significance threshold, the inclusive CI was defined as the full

span encompassing all local windows in which the local LOD score was greater than the

region's maximum LOD score minus 1.5. A QTL region's inclusive CI was therefore

contiguous by definition, and could encompass some windows with LOD scores less than

the maximum LOD minus 1.5.

In contrast, restrictive CIs were defined as only the subset of windows within the inclusive

CI that had LOD scores greater than the maximum LOD minus 1.5

(https://github.com/mjlollar/song_work). A QTL region's restrictive CI could therefore

encompass multiple non-contiguous runs of windows representing different LOD sub-

peaks within the same QTL region as defined above. Based on the LOD scores of their

constituent windows, restrictive CIs were considered to be the most likely intervals to

contain a causative locus, and were therefore the focus of downstream analysis as

described below. Windows yielding a RIL panel-wide ancestry proportion above 90% for

either parental strain were excluded from the mapping analysis, and confidence intervals

(CIs) encountering such regions were extended until encountering an included window

that ended the CI based on the criteria detailed above.

Residual Mapping of Correlated Traits

In cases where we separately performed QTL mapping on two correlated traits, we

investigated whether overlapping QTL might reflect pleiotropic effects of a single locus.

We performed a limited set of residual trait mapping analyses using linear regression to

find each RIL's residual trait values for the second trait after adjusting for its observed

value for the first trait (here, treating the trait with the greater QTL LOD score as the first

trait). QTLs and confidence intervals were identified using identical methods described in

the previous sections. Linear regression was performed in python using the SciPy

linregress package.

Population Genetic and Candidate Gene Analysis of QTL regions

Previous population genomic data available from the *Drosophila* Genome Nexus (Lack *et*

al. 2016a) was used to generate a population genetics database. We used all available

Zambia and France genomes for a total of 197 and 96 strains, respectively. Under the

hypothesis that the two population-differentiated song traits may have been influenced by

population-specific selection, we assessed three window-based population genetic

statistics across the restrictive Cis of each QTL region for those traits: 1) $F_{ST\_FullWin,}$ which

represents the estimated F_{ST} value of a window using all variable sites within the window;

2) F_{ST_MaxSNP}, the maximum F_{ST} value of any variable site within a window (da Silva

Ribeiro *et al.* 2022); and 3) Comparative Haplotype Identity (χ_{MD}), which tests for evidence

of local adaptation by comparing the summed lengths of pairwise identical haplotypes

within one population versus another (Lange & Pool 2016).

We then identified "candidate genes" within QTL Cis (using the restrictive CI definition

above, including only the windows within an extended CI that had LOD scores greater

than the peak value minus 1.5). We associated genes with windows if any of their exons

(coding or non-coding) overlapped a given window, or if an exon of this gene was the first

exon to the left or right of this window's boundaries (in order to account for potential

regulatory regions of a given gene). We included as candidates any genes that had been

previously implicated in courtship behavior. A list of 92 such genes was generated using

genes sourced from the Gene Ontology (GO) database category "male mating behavior"

(ID GO:0060179) as well as additional genes identified from cursory literature searches

(Table S2).

For traits with evidence of population differentiation, we additionally included as

candidates genes with a documented role in wing development or the nervous system.

Wing genes included the GO categories "wing disc development" (GO:0035220) and

"wing disc morphogenesis" (GO:0007472), for a total of 743 genes. Neuronal genes

included the GO categories "nervous system development" (GO:0007399) and "nervous

system process" (GO:0050877), for a total of 1,810 genes. For these same differentiated

traits, we also annotated genes with evidence for local adaptation, in that they were

associated with at least one window that fell within the top 1% quantile of values for either

$F_{ST\_FullWin}$, F_{ST_MaxSNP}, or X_{MD}. A gene was considered to be associated with an outlier

window if at least one of its coding exons overlapped the window boundaries or

represented the nearest neighboring exon (in either direction).

RESULTS

AFRICAN AND EUROPEAN POPULATIONS OF D. MELANOGASTER *MAY DIFFER IN*

MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OF PULSE SONG

We first sought to determine whether any quantitative aspects of male courtship song

varied between two *D. melanogaster* populations: one from within the species' inferred

ancestral range (Siavonga, Zambia) and one from Europe (Lyon, France). We divided

components of male song into a range of acoustic properties, each considered as a single

quantitative trait. To first identify population-level differences in specific song traits, we

selected 10 France-derived and 7 Zambia-derived inversion-free inbred strains from

previous collection efforts (Pool *et al.* 2012), and recorded male songs in 30 minute

intervals using 40-60 males per strain (raw individual recording measurements available

in Tables S3 and S4). Strains were assigned single trait values for each song behavior

representing the mean of individual replicates (Table S5).

In total, 37 traits were analyzed, many of which were found to have significant positive or

negative correlation, often between traits with *a priori* expectations of possible correlation

(e.g. the amount of song per minute and the number of bouts per minute are positively

correlated) (Figures 1, S1, S2). Among traits not correlated by definition, we noted the

strongest positive correlation between the sine and pulse amplitude traits, which could

suggest pleiotropy for song amplitude traits. Additionally, there was a strong negative

correlation between pulse carrier frequency and pulse mode preference, which could be

explained by a difference in amplitude between the two types of pulse song, which has

previously been demonstrated to correlate to carrier frequency (Clemens *et al*. 2018).

Interestingly, the FR and ZI cohorts of inbred song had slight variation in which traits were

correlated (Figure 1A).

Population mean trait values were compared statistically between France and Zambia

strain panels to test for significant trait divergence between populations in each examined

song characteristic (Figure 2; Table S5). Two related traits, described by Clemens *et al.*

(2020), had significant raw Mann-Whitney (MW) p-values for the population comparison:

the number of "fast" pulses (p = 0.0185) and fraction of "slow" pulses to total pulses (p =

0.0068; Figure 2A; Table S5). Hereafter, we focus on the latter of these correlated traits

(Figure 1AB) in light of its clearer signal of differentiation. Aside from these two

characteristics of pulse type, the only trait with even a marginal raw p-value (p = 0.087)

was the median amplitude of all pulses (Figure 2B; Table S5); France strains were

associated with somewhat higher (louder) pulse amplitudes than Zambia, on average.

We applied a permutation-based multiple test correction to MW p-values (Table S5).

Here, we found that the ratio of "slow" pulses to total pulses had the lowest least likelihood

of false positive discovery (~10%) and represented the greatest difference in population

trait differentiation (Figure 2; Table S5). Thus, the rate of slow to total pulses is likely to

represent a genuinely differentiated trait between the Zambia and France populations. In

contrast, there is weak evidence for population differentiation in pulse amplitude – which

showed little correlation with the slow/fast pulse traits (Figure S1). The pulse amplitude

difference was not significant after applying permutation-based multiple test correction

(indicating a 72% chance of getting at least one raw p-value as low as 0.088; Table S5).

Figure 2 demonstrates that both of these traits had somewhat overlapping interquartile

ranges, with more distinct distributions for pulse mode.

The above findings suggested that throughout the course of multiple courtship bouts in

an uninterrupted mating setting, males from France strains were relatively more likely to

utilize a fast pulse mode over a slow pulse mode. This trait's population differentiation

was also quantified by the Q_{ST} statistic, which yielded an elevated value of 0.797, which

exceeds the F_{ST} values of 96.44% of examined SNPs genome-wide (see Materials and

Methods). In general, Q_{ST} values that exceed the bulk of the genomic F_{ST} distribution are

often considered potential targets of geographically variable directional selection, and our

comparison conservatively used F_{ST} values from individual SNPs. Although this result

should also be interpreted in the context of the number of traits initially examined, it

suggests the possibility that the usage of fast versus slow pulses may have been

influenced by differential selection between populations, whether acting on this or a

pleiotropically correlated trait. Furthermore, the relatively consistent population

differentiation observed for this trait makes it an appropriate target for QTL analyses

involving phenotypically contrasting strains.

SONG VARIATION BETWEEN RIL PARENTAL STRAINS REVEALS ADDITIONAL

TRAITS FOR MAPPING

Next, we compared mean trait values between the two strains used in the establishment

of our RIL panel, FR320N and ZI418N ("parental" strains) (Figure 2 – dotted rectangles;

Table S5). Between these strains we found a total of 10 out of 37 traits with significant

raw MW p-values ($p<0.05$) (Table S5). The two song traits mentioned above with regard

to population-level differences, pulse mode preference and median pulse amplitude, were

represented among this set of traits (Figure 2A & 2B, dotted rectangles). The similarity in

magnitude and direction of parental differences in these traits has important

consequences for our ability to interpret mapping results as representing likely

contributors to population differentiation, particularly for the pulse mode trait.

Seven additional traits displayed quantitatively significant differences between parental

strains: the number of bouts per minute, the transition probability of 'null' (no) song to sine

song, the median sine and pulse train length, median sine amplitude, and mode carrier

frequencies of sines and pulses (Table S4). Quantitative differences in these traits

between parental strains imply that mappable variation could exist in the RIL panel, and

we therefore elected to map these traits in addition to the two previously mentioned. While

mapping efforts for most of these traits will not reveal loci that contribute to significant

population differentiation between populations, they could reveal loci that make a

meaningful biological contribution to the production of courtship behavior.

SOME QTLS FOR TRAITS DIFFERENTIATED BETWEEN PARENTAL STRAINS

INCLUDE KNOWN COURTSHIP GENES

We used a modified version of r/QTL (Broman *et al*. 2003; da Silva Ribeiro *et al.* 2024) to

first map the song traits associated with parental strain but not population-level

differences, under a single-locus QTL model. QTL mapping results for these traits are

depicted in Figure 3 for the amount and type of song and in Figure 4 for the properties of

pulse or sine song, with full LOD profiles given in Table S6. For two traits, mode sine

carrier frequency and median sine amplitude, no genome-wide significant QTLs were

obtained. Conversely, a total of 21 significant QTL peaks were identified for the remaining

six traits, as described individually below (Table S7). Within each of these QTLs, we

highlight below any known genes associated with male mating behavior (Table S2).

However, not all QTLs include genes known to contribute to courtship behavior, and even

where they are present it is possible that other genes with currently unknown roles in

courtship behaviors may underlie some QTLs (Mackay 2009).

The average number of bouts per minute differed between the RIL parental strains (Table

S5) [FR320N (6.24+/-2.832) vs. ZI418N (7.831+/-2.294)] and mapped to two primary

QTLs on the X chromosome (Figure 3A; Table S7). The second interval (ChrX:10.6-11.4

Mb; note that all positions given here refer to *D. melanogaster* reference release 5.9) was

found to include two courtship genes from our annotated list, *discs large 1* (*dlg1*,

FBgn0001624) and *gustatory receptor 10b* (*Gr10b*, FBgn0030297).

Intuitively, a second parental-differentiated trait, the transition probability from null sound

to sine song was found to be correlated with the above-discussed bouts per minute trait.

As expected, this "Null to Sine" trait value was higher in the parental strain with greater

bouts per minute [ZI418N (0.005+/-0.004) vs. FR320N (0.003+/-0.002)] (Figure 2; Table

S5). In spite of the above-mentioned correlation with bouts per minute, the identified QTLs

for these traits were distinct, although some non-significant peaks coincided (Figure 3B).

The null to sine probability mapped to a region near the centromere on chromosome arm

2L starting at ~12.1 Mb (Figure 3B). This QTL's CI spans the low recombination

centromeric region and extends until chromosome arm 2R at ~7.04 Mb. Ten courtship-

associated genes were found in this interval, 4 of which encode gustatory receptors

(*Gr33a*, *Gr39a*, *Gr43a*, and *Gr47a*) (Table S8). Three genes that have been previously

established to play roles in male courtship initiation were also found in this interval (Table

S8). The gene *Chemosensory protein B 42a* (*CheB42a,* FBgn0053348) encodes a

pheromone-binding protein that plays a role in the rate and speed of courtship initiation

in males (Park *et al.* 2016). A neighboring gene, *pickpocket 25* (*ppk25*, FBgn0053349),

was similarly implicated in the initiation of courtship (Liu *et al.* 2012). Although the simplest

hypothesis would be that only a single gene is responsible for this QTL, the presence of

genes relevant to courtship initiation is notable with regard to the transition probability to

sine song.

The median lengths of sine and pulse trains were both significantly different between

parental strains, and shared positive correlations with one another (Figure 1A & 1B). In

both cases, train lengths were longer in the Zambian [sine: 0.094+/-0.032, pulse: 1.158+/-

0.136] cohort and shorter in the French cohort [sine: 0.078+/-0.025, pulse: 1.069+/-0.128]

(Figure 2; Table S5). Median pulse train length mapped to a single QTL with restrictive

peak Cis (Figure 3C; Table S7) between Chr2L:3.21-3.39 Mb that does not harbor

annotated male courtship-related genes. Whereas, we found that median sine train length

mapped to several separate QTLs on chromosome 3: three peaks on the left arm and two

peaks on the right arm (Figure 3D) (Table S7). A single QTL at Chr3R:12.1-12.6 Mb

overlaps a known courtship gene, *spineless* (*ss*, FBgn0003513) (Table S8).

We also investigated trait variation in the mode carrier frequency of pulse and sine songs,

which were higher in the France strain [211.7+/-40.7 versus 199.3+10.9 for pulses,

297.6+/-11.3 versus 291.9+/-5.5 for sines] (Figure 2; Table S5). Pulse carrier frequency

mapped to a single primary QTL on chromosome 3L (Figure 4A & 4B; Table S7) and was

not found to harbor known courtship genes. There was no significant QTL associated with

sine carrier frequency, and underlying LOD landscapes suggest that, as with train lengths,

sine and pulse carrier frequencies may be governed by unique loci (Figure 4A & 4B, Table

S7). This trend is somewhat recapitulated in mapping the amplitude of pulse (Figure 4C)

and sine song (Figure 4D), although no QTLs were detected for the latter trait.

Relative to the above traits, the median amplitude of pulses gave a relatively more

polygenic signal, mapping to 11 unique QTLs that form roughly three clusters across

chromosome 3 (Figure 4C; Table S7). The two most statistically significant peaks mapped

to chromosome 3L (inclusive Cis 3L:5.98-6.71 Mb and 3L:6.80-7.24 Mb) and were flanked

by two 'minor' peaks (Figure 4A; Figure S5; Figure S6; Table S7). The more significant of

these two (peak 3) contains the courtship-associated gene *pale* (*ple,* FBgn0005626). *Pale*

encodes a rate-limiting enzyme involved in catecholamine synthesis, such as the

production of dopamine precursors, and has been demonstrated to play roles in a variety

of behaviors, including courtship (Liu *et al.* 2009).

A second cluster on chromosome 3L harbored 5 additional QTLs, ranging between 3L:11

Mb and 3L:16.5 Mb and spaced roughly 1 Mb apart (Figure 4C). Peak 5 (Figure S6, Table

S8) contains a second identified courtship-associated gene, the gustatory receptor *Gr68a*

(FBgn0041231). The third cluster on chromosome 3R contained the final two QTLs, which

each have confidence intervals within the 3R:10.5-13.4 Mb region. Because this trait

showed possible evidence of population differentiation, for completeness we report a

scan for local adaptation statistic outliers (Materials and Methods; Figures S5 & S6; Table

S8; Table S9). However, we emphasize that the evolutionary forces underlying variation

in this trait remain uncertain.

COMPOSITE TRAIT ANALYSIS YIELDS UNIQUE QTL LANDSCAPES OF TWO SONG

TRAITS

The extent of correlation among song traits (Figure 1) and occasional similarity in LOD

landscapes of QTL maps suggested that some overlapping QTLs between traits could

reflect pleiotropy. While full multivariate trait mapping departs from the focus of the

present study on connections between genomic regions and specific aspects of song, we

did investigate the potential for pleiotropy contributing to overlapping QTLs by performing

residual trait mapping between specific pairs of traits. In general, for two traits with higher

and lower LD scores involving their overlapping QTL peaks, we obtained residuals for the

lower-LOD trait after performing a linear regression of its trait values against the higher-

LOD trait. These residuals, which reflect the RIL variation in the lower trait not explained

by its correlation with the higher trait, were then used in our standard QTL mapping

pipeline.

One pair of positively correlated traits – median sine train length and median pulse

amplitude (Figure 1) – had two overlapping peaks. Between these two pairs of

overlapping QTLs, each trait had the higher LOD score in one case (Figure S2A; Figure

S3; Table S10), and therefore regression and residual mapping were performed in both

directions. When the residual trait values for median pulse amplitude were mapped, six

chromosome 3 QTLs remained, one of which overlapped the original peak of interest,

while another contained the courtship-associated gene *doublesex* (Table S9). When the

residual trait values of median sine train length were mapped instead, all five of that trait's

originally significant chromosome 3 QTLs were eliminated, but a novel QTL peak on the

X chromosome was detected [C.I. approx. X:4.14Mb-4.41Mb], which did not harbor any

known annotated courtship genes (Figure S3A). Hence, among the two intially

overlapping peaks, there is evidence for a locus underlying pulse amplitude that can not

be explained by that trait's overlap with sine train length, whereas the QTL signal

observed for sine train length could be explained via pleiotropy with pulse amplitude.

Another instance of QTL peak overlap occurred between the pulse carrier frequency and

median pulse amplitude traits, which shared an overlap within the pulse carrier frequency

single significant peak (Figure 4A, 4C; Table S7). When fit to median pulse amplitude, the

residual trait values of pulse carrier frequency did not yield significant QTL (Figure S3C).

This result may imply that the QTL signal for pulse carrier frequency is driven primarily by

its pleiotropic relationship with median pulse amplitude. Our results are consistent with

previous evidence of a positive relationship between these traits (Clemens *et al*. 2018),

and that males with silenced motoneurons produce pulse song that is decreased in both

amplitude and carrier frequency (Shirangi *et al*. 2014).

Lastly, we performed a linear regression between median sine amplitude and median

pulse amplitude song traits (Figure S4). These traits displayed the strongest positive

correlations in both inbred and RIL datasets (Figures 1, S1, S2). These traits did not share

overlapping QTLs (initial mapping of median sine amplitude did not reveal any significant

QTL). However, they did share very similar overall QTL landscapes, and a nearly-

significant QTL for sine amplitude aligned closely with the strongest QTL for pulse

amplitude (Figures 4C and 4D). Residual mapping revealed a novel QTL for median sine

amplitude on chromosome 3R [C.I. approx. 3R:19.35Mb-19.98Mb], which does not harbor

known annotated courtship genes. (Figure S4). When comparing the initial sine amplitude

QTL landscape to the residual trait landscape, we found that many of the non-significant

peak signals on chromosome 3L were "flattened" or had diminished signal in the residual

map, consistent with much of the trait signal on chromosome 3L being driven by one or

more genetic factors underlying the correlation of these two amplitude traits.

A SONG TRAIT DIFFERENTIATED BETWEEN FRANCE AND ZAMBIA POPULATIONS

YIELDS A SINGLE STRONG QTL

We next mapped the song trait that represented the most geographically differentiated

trait among those studied (Figure 2; Table S5). The ratio of slow pulses to the total number

of pulses, which was significantly higher in the Zambian strains relative to France strains

(0.833+/-0.067 vs. 0.725+/-0.125, respectively) (Figure 2A; Table S5). One major QTL

peak on chromosome 3R was identified with a broad CI interval at approximately

3R:20.0..22.6 Mb (Figure 5A; Table S7), which was estimated to explain 42% of the

parental strain trait difference.

This trait was estimated to have approximately a 90% probability of reflecting genuine

population differentiation, and presented a Q_{ST} value consistent with the differential action

of directional selection (on either this or a pleiotropically correlated trait) between

populations. Under the hypothesis that a gene underlying a trait with elevated population

differentiation might display elevated genetic differentiation, we chose to supplement our

QTL mapping with a population genetic analysis of the detected QTL region, in which we

identified genomic windows within the QTL's restrictive CIs that were associated with

values of either window F_{ST}, maximum SNP F_{ST} (da Silva Ribeiro *et al.* 2022) or the

Comparative Haplotype Identity statistic (Lange & Pool 2016) within the top 1% on the

chromosome arm (Materials and Methods; Table S9). We also made note of an expanded

range of candidate genes on functional grounds, including nervous system genes and

those related to wing development.

The highest LOD scores within this QTL were amongst a group of restrictive CI regions

(*i.e.* intervals within 1.5 LODs of the QTL maximum) toward the distal/right side of this

QTL. Within one of these restrictive CI regions, we identified a cluster of outlier statistics

around 3R:21.75-22 Mb (Figure 5B, orange intervals; Materials and Methods; Table S7).

Within this gene-dense region is the *enhancer of split* complex and flanking genes, which

have been previously implicated in the quantitative trait mapping of courtship behavior

(Moehring & Mackay 2004). Additionally, the transcriptional corepressor *groucho* (*gro*,

FBgn0001139) falls within this region (Figure 5B), and has been implicated in the

retained-mediated repression of transcriptional targets involved in male courtship

behavior (Shirangi *et al.* 2006).

Two additional male courtship genes were found within other restrictive CI regions of this

same QTL (Figure 5B; Table S8). Near the middle of the full QTL region, the gene *takeout*,

a lipid binding protein that is a target of the sex determination pathway and implicated in

male courtship (Dauwalder *et al.* 2002), is flanked by highly differentiated windows (Figure

5B). Toward the proximal/left side of the QTL, the *slowpoke* gene, which has a

demonstrated role in sine song carrier frequency variation in the *D. simulans* clade (Ding

et al. 2016) is present within the restrictive CI. This gene does not overlap or adjoin any

population genetic outlier windows (Figure 5B), but this pattern does not exclude the

possibilities of elevated differentiation at more distant regulatory regions or a causative

locus that lacks a strong population genetic signal.

Three additional regions of this QTL's restrictive CIs were found to contain population

genetic outlier windows (Figure 5; Table S9). The first window contains the gene *jaguar*

(*jar*, FBgn0011225). The second window overlaps three genes: *BRWD3*, *Rrp5*, and *Dis3*

(FBgn0011785, FBgn0039182, FBgn00339183). The third window does not contain any

genes, but sits upstream of the first exon of the closest proximal gene, *Furin-1* (*Fur1,*

FBgn0004509). This gene has known roles in synaptic transmission at neuromuscular

junctions (Kim *et al.* 2015), and its disruption via RNA-interference is associated with

abnormal locomotion and flight (Schnorrer *et al.* 2010). Although we highlight multiple

potential genes that may underlie this QTL, we note that it may be driven by any one of

them, by more than one of them, or by none of those listed above.

DISCUSSION

Here we describe natural variation in male courtship song components between two

natural populations of *D. melanogaster* from contrasting environments*.* Comparison of

population cohorts revealed significant phenotypic differences in pulse mode preference,

and relatedly the total number of fast pulses performed in the assay. We found that the

slow to fast preference is partially explained by a QTL with moderate effect size.

Conversely, the median amplitude of pulses, which had limited support for population

differentiation, was found to be under the control of multiple QTL intervals of relatively

small effects. Other traits differentiated between the RIL parental strains had anywhere

from zero to six significant QTLs detected. However, in light of the total effect sizes

estimated across QTLs for each trait (Table S7), along with the finite statistical power

expected for detecting QTLs from a few hundred RILs (*e.g.* King *et al.* 2012), we would

predict that each of these traits is also influenced by an unknown number of smaller effect

loci that did not achieve genome-wide significance in our mapping study.

Phenotypic variability among RIL parental strains reveals unique QTL for multiple

courtship traits

Mapped traits that did not have significant differences at the population level but did

display significant parental strain differences were mapped in an attempt to identify genes

that may regulate courtship behavior. Components of courtship behavior are responsive

to artificial selection in lab settings using wild-derived populations (Ritchie & Kyriacou

1994; Gaertner *et al*. 2015), reinforcing the view that fitness-relevant variation in courtship

behaviors may be present within natural populations. The generation of a RIL panel from

two inbred strains will capture a limited quantity of naturally segregating variation, but the

origins of our parental strain from two distinct natural populations may have enhanced the

extent of trait variation in behavior that is amenable to QTL mapping.

Aside from pulse mode preference, we found that four of eight parental-differentiated

traits yielded significant QTLs overlapping known courtship-related genes (Table S2;

Table S8), and our results provide a preliminary association of these male song

components with previously implicated genes. Most of the significant QTL were found on

autosomes (Figures 3 & 4), with only two neighboring QTLs for the same trait identified

on the X chromosome. (Y chromosome effects were not considered in the present study,

due to the absence of available RIL sequencing data from males) While the total number

of QTLs and the linkage that exists among some of them preclude strong conclusions

regarding X-linkage, a reduced occurrence of X-linked QTLs might be predicted if genes

involved in male song production are sometimes subject to sexually antagonistic selective

pressures (Snook *et al.* 2005), in light of the X chromosome spending two thirds of its

time in females.

In general, we found that even when corresponding pulse and sine song traits both

differed between RIL parental strains, they mapped to distinct genomic intervals. This

pattern held for pulse versus sine train length (Figure 3C & 3D; Table S7) and for pulse

versus sine carrier frequency (Figure 4A & 4B; Table S7), in agreement with the lack of

strong correlations between these traits (Figure S1). This evidence for distinct genetic

architectures, in spite of co-directional differences in these pulse and sine song traits

between our France and Zambia parental strains, supports previous findings that

components of sine and pulse song can have unique genetic (and neuronal) bases

(Shirangi *et al.* 2013; Ding *et al.* 2016; Hiroshi *et al.* 2022; Shiozaki *et al.* 2023; Lillvis *et*

al. 2024). In contrast, we found that the nearly genome-wide significant (p = 0.06) peak

for sine amplitude (Figure 4D) falls within the inclusive CI of the most significant QTL for

pulse amplitude, at roughly 3L:6.30 Mb – 6.32 Mb (Figure 4C; Table S7, peak 3). The

potentially overlapping architecture of the two amplitude traits may indicate a pleiotropic

basis and aligns with the stronger correlation between these traits within both populations

(Figure S5, S6).

The rate of slow to fast pulses is the most phenotypically differentiated song trait between

Zambia and France populations and involves a single detectable QTL

Among the 37 song traits examined here, two traits related to pulse mode usage,

particularly the preference for slow versus fast pulses, received the strongest statistical

support for differentiation between the France and Zambia populations examined. The

distinction between fast and slow pulse modes is a relatively recent discovery and its

possible role in sexual selection is currently unknown, although the trait does seem to

affect female movement responses to song (Clemens *et al.* 2018). This same study

showed that males use sensory input (primarily visual) to bias which pulse mode is used

(Clemens *et al.* 2018).

Assay conditions, such as chamber size and female behavioral plasticity are thus an

important consideration when interpreting results regarding this trait. Recording

chambers used in the study were small (Arthur *et al.* 2013), constraining flies to a minimal

space. We did not track the positions of flies during courtship, so we cannot rule out that

male song varied in response to female signals. The difference in pulse mode preference

in the present study may either represent a cumulative difference in male response to

females, or simply represent a difference in a probabilistic preference of pulse choice

when other environmental parameters are held constant. Still, QTL signals for this trait

were very strong (Figure 5A) and suggest that population genetic differentiation underlies

trait differences.

Our analysis provides evidence that pulse usage may have been influenced either directly

or indirectly by population-specific directional selection. In a conservatively designed

comparison between Q_{ST} and F_{ST}, the pulse type ratio showed greater differentiation than

all but around 3.5% of genome-wide SNPs. If pulse type usage has in fact been influenced

by recent directional selection, the reasons remain unclear. Although female preference

varies between some southern African and European strains, there is no documented

role of courtship song in M/Z-type mating preference (Colegrave *et al.* 1999). Hence, it is

unclear to what degree any directional selection has resulted in song differentiation that

impacts male reproductive fitness. However, it is worth emphasizing that all experiments

in this study were conducted at room temperature. In contrast, the far more variable

temperatures encountered by natural populations, especially in the temperate zone, may

exert plastic influences on song components (Stanley & Kyriacou 2021), and it is possible

that genetic adaptation may allow for the production of universally favorable song

characteristics across divergent environments, even if some specific song differences

persist at a given temperature.

Alternatively, it is possible that directional selection, if present here, may not have been

acting upon song at all. Population genomic scans for selection in this species have

reported evidence for local adaptation between warm- and cold-adapted natural

populations for multiple categories of genes that modulate synaptic function, including

neuropeptide receptors, ion channels, and structural components of the synapse (*e.g.*

Pool *et al.* 2017). It is possible that the adaptive evolution of one or more aspects of

synaptic function, even if driven by selection to maintain nervous system function in a

novel environment, might have pleiotropic consequences for behaviors such as those

involved in courtship song. Adaptive responses to other selective pressures, such as

insecticides, some of which target insect nervous systems, also may trigger pleiotropic

effects on traits that are not the direct target of selection. Hence, further study will be

needed to assess whether pulse mode usage has been targeted or pleiotropically

influenced by directional selection between African and European *D. melanogaster*

populations.

The pleiotropy hypothesis could potentially also explain variation in amplitude (*i.e.*

volume), which showed weaker evidence of differentiation between populations. Pulse

amplitude was found to be somewhat higher in France than Zambia males (Figure 2;

Table S5), sine amplitude showed a lesser trend in the same direction, and both traits

were significantly higher in the France parental strain than in the Zambia parent (Table

S5). Flies from these populations tend to be slightly larger (Lack *et al.* 2016b). Based on

measurements of females from that study, the France RIL parent has 8.1% greater thorax

length and 5.5% greater wing width than the Zambia RIL parent. If larger flies tend to sing

with greater volume, then differences in amplitude could be downstream of differences in

body or wing size. Empirically, this relationship is unclear, although there is indirect

evidence that physical size of wing components, such as the musculature, can play roles

in the sexually dimorphic expression of male song (Shirangi *et al.* 2013). Further research

will be needed to determine the degree to which song variation and differentiation within

D. melanogaster is shaped by directional selection to modify or maintain sexually-

selected traits in populations experiencing different environments, directional selection on

pleiotropically correlated traits, stabilizing selection, genetic drift, or other forces.

We identified a single large-effect QTL for pulse mode usage on chromosome arm 3R.

Curiously, this QTL for the trait with the strongest evidence for population differentiation

had a stronger mapping signal than any of the 21 QTLs identified for any of the other

traits that differed between the RIL parent strains. This QTL had a somewhat bimodal

shape (Figure 5A) that could reflect either stochastic factors in QTL mapping or else the

contribution of more than one locus.

In *Drosophila*, an average QTL may encompass hundreds of genes (Mackay 2001).

Functional approaches, such as complementation tests or gene disruption experiments,

have traditionally been employed to identify candidate genes from QTL intervals, but can

become a laborious task when attempting to test all possible candidates within a QTL CI.

We thus supplemented our QTL mapping with a population genetic analysis of QTL

intervals, leveraging available population genomic data (Lack *et al.* 2016a) to identify

specific loci with elevated genetic differentiation between populations that might

contribute to elevated trait differentiation. The candidates identified for pulse model

preference, such as the region surrounding the *enhancer of split* complex, *groucho*,

takeout, and other genes (Figure 5B; Table S8), provide promising candidates to be

tested in future studies. Subsequent analyses such as fine mapping and functional testing

such as via reciprocal hemizygosity tests (Stern 2014) may ultimately confirm specific

genes that contribute to population differences in courtship song.

Collectively, our results provide evidence of variation in pulse song usage between

naturally occurring populations of *D. melanogaster*. By combining RIL-based QTL

mapping with population genetic inference, we identified a set of genes that may be tested

in future studies. We also note that all 37 traits examined showed meaningful variation

among the studied strains, further reinforcing the vast potential of natural variation for

investigating the genetic mechanisms underlying behavior.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ben Arthur and Joshua Lillvis for assistance with song analysis and members

of the Pool Lab for helpful comments on this manuscript. This work was funded by NIH

grant R35 GM13630 to J.E.P. and by the HHMI Janelia Research Campus Visitor Project

Program.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All raw trait data is provided in this article's Supplemental Tables. No new genomic

sequencing data was generated for this study. RIL sequence data reported by da Silva

Ribeiro *et al.* (2024) is available from the NIH Sequence Read Archive (BioProject:

PRJNA861300).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

CITATIONS

Anholt RRH, O'Grady P, Wolfner MF, Harbison ST. 2020. Evolution of Reproductive

Behavior. *Genetics*. 214:49-73.

Arbuthnott D. 2009. The genetic architecture of insect courtship behavior and premating

isolation. *Heredity.* 103:15-22.

Arthur BJ, Sunayama-Morita T, Coen P, Murthy M, Stern DL. 2013. Muli-channel acoustic

recording and automated analysis of *Drosophila* courtship songs. *BMC Biol*. 11:11.

Arthur BJ, Ding Y, Sosale M, Khalif F, Kim E, Waddell P, Turaga SC, Stern DL. 2021.

Songexplorer: a deep learning workflow for discovery and segmentation of animal

acoustic communication signals. *bioRxiv*. 2021-03.

Begun DJ, Aquadro, CF. 1993. African and North American populations of *Drosophila*

melanogaster are very different at the DNA level. *Nature*, 365:548–550.

Bennet-Clark HC, Ewing AW. 1969. Pulse interval as a critical parameter in the courtship

song of *Drosophila melanogaster. Anim Behav*. 17:755-759.

Broman KW, Wu H, Sen Ś, Churchill GA. 2003. R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental

crosses. *Bioinformatics.* 19:889-890.

Clemens J, Coen P, Remschied FA, Pereira TD, Mazumder D, Aldarondo DE, Pacheco

DA, Murthy M. 2018. Discovery of a New Song Mode in *Drosophila* Reveals Hidden

Structure in the Sensory and Neural Drivers of Behavior. *Curr Biol.* 28:2400-2412.

Colegrave, Hollocher, Hinton, Ritchie. 1999. The courtship song of African *Drosophila*

melanogaster. J Evol Biol. 13:143-150.

Cook RM. 1973. Courtship processing in *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. An adaptation to

selection for receptivity to wingless males. *Anim Behav*. 21:349-358.

Corbett-Detig R & Nielsen R. 2017. A Hidden Markov Model Approach for Simultaneously

Estimating Local Ancestry and Admixture Time Using Next Generation Sequence Data in

Samples of Arbitrary Ploidy. PLOS Genet. 13(1): e1006529.

Cowling DE, Burnet B. 1981. Courtship songs and genetic control of their acoustic

characteristics in sibling species of the *Drosophila melanogaster subgroup. Anim Behav.*

29:924-935

da Silva Ribeiro T, Galván JA, Pool JE. 2023. Maximum SNP F_{ST} Outperforms Full-

Window Statistics for Detecting Soft Sweeps in Local Adaptation. *Genome Biol Evol*.

7:evac143.

da Silva Ribeiro T, Lollar MJ, Sprengelmeyer QD, Huang Y, Benson DM, Orr MS, Johnson

ZC, Corbett-Detig RB, Pool JE. Recombinant inbred line panels inform the genetic

architecture and interactions of adaptive traits in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *bioRxiv* 2024-

05.

Dauwalder B, Tsujimoto S, Moss J, Mattox W. 2002. The *Drosophila takeout* gene is

regulated by the somatic sex-determination pathway and affects male courtship behavior.

Genes Dev. 16:2879-2892

David JR, Capy P. 1988. Genetic variation of *Drosophila melanogaster* natural

populations. *Trends in Genetics*. 4:106–111.

Ding Y, Berrocal A, Morita T, Longdon KD, Stern DL. 2016. Natural courtship song

variation caused by an intronic retroelement in an ion channel gene. *Nature.* 536:329-

332.

Ding Y, Lillvis JL, Cande J, Berman GJ, Arthur BJ, Long X, Xu M, Dickson BJ, Stern DL.

2019. Neural Evolution of Context-Dependent Fly Song. *Curr Biol.* 29:1089-1099.

Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium; Clark AG, Eisen MB, Smith DR, *et. al.* 2007.

Evolution of genes and genomes on the Drosophila phylogeny. *Nature*. 450: 203-218.

Dukas R. 2005. Learning affects mate choice in female fruit flies. *Behavioral Ecology*.
16(4):800-804.

Ewing AW, Bennet-Clark HC. 1968. The courtship songs of *Drosophila. Behaviour*.
31:288-301.

Fabian DK, Lack JB, Vinayak M, Schlötterer C, Schmidt PS, Pool JE, Flatt, T. 2015.
Spatially varying selection shapes life history clines among populations of *Drosophila*
melanogaster from sub-Saharan Africa. *J Evol Biol*. 28:826–840.

Fitzgerald TD, Peterson SC. 1988. Cooperative Foraging and Communication in
Caterpillars. *Bioscience.* 38:20-25.

Gaertner BE, Ruedi EA, McCoy LJ, Moore JM, Wolfner MF, Mackay TFC. Heritable
variation in courtship patterns in *Drosophila*. *G3*. 5:531-539.

Gavrilets S, Vose A. 2007. Case studies and mathematical models of ecological
speciation. 2. Palms on an oceanic island. *Mol. Ecol.* 16:2910-2921.

Gleason JM, Nuzhdin SV, Ritchie MG. 2002. Quantitative trait loci affecting a courtship
signal in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Heredity.* 89:1-6.

Gleason JM. 2005. Mutations and Natural Genetic Variation in the Courtship Song of

Drosophila. Behav Genet. 35:265-277.

Greenfield MD. 2016. Evolution of Acoustic Communication in Insects. *Insect Hearing.*

Springer. Manhattan (NY).

Greenspan, RJ, Ferveur, JF. 2000. Courtship in Drosophila. *Annu Rev Genet.* 34:205-

232.

Grillet M, Everaerts C, Houot B, Ritchie MG, Cobb M, Ferveur JF. 2012. Incipient

speciation in *Drosophila melanogaster* involves chemical signals. *Sci Rep.* 2:224.

Hollocher H, Wu C-I. 1996. The genetics of reproductive isolation in the *Drosophila*

simulans clade: X vs. autosomal effects and male vs. female effects. *Genetics.* 143:1243-

1255.

Hope KA, Flatten D, Cavitch P, May B, Sutcliffe JS, O'Donnell J, Reiter LT. 2019. The

Drosophila Gene *Sulfateless* Modulates Autism-Like Behaviors. *Front Genet.* 10:574.

Iglesias PP, Hasson E. 2017. The role of courtship song in female mate choice in South

American Cactophilic *Drosophila. PLoS ONE.* 12:e0176119.

Keller A. 2007. *Drosophila melanogaster*'s history as a human commensal. *Curr Biol*.

17:R77-R81.

Keller L, Surette MG. 2006. Communication in bacteria: an ecological and evolutionary

perspective. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 4:249-58.

Kim YJ, Igiesuorobo O, Ramos CI, Bao H, Zhang B, Serpe M. 2015. Prodomain removal

enables Neto to stabilize glutamate receptors at the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction.

PLoS Genet. 11:e1004988

King EG, Merkes CM, McNeil CL, Hoofer SR, Sen S, Broman KW, Long AD, Macdonald

SJ. 2012. Genetic dissection of a model complex trait using the *Drosophila* Synthetic

Population Resource. *Genome Res*. 22:1558-1566.

Lachaise D, Carious ML, David JR, Lemeunier F, Tsacas L, Ashburner M. 1988. Historical

biogeography of the *Drosophila melanogaster* species subgroup. *Evol Biol*. 22:159-225.

Lachaise D, Silvain JF. 2004. How two Afrotropical endemics made two cosmopolitan

human commensals: the *Drosophila melanogaster-D. simulans* palaeogeographic riddle.

Genetica. 120:17-39.

Lack JB, Lange JD, Tang AD, Corbett-Detig RB, Pool JE. 2016a. A thousand fly genomes:

an expanded *Drosophila* Genome Nexus. *Mol Biol Evol*. 33:3308-3313.

Lack JB, Monette MJ, Johanning EJ, Sprengelmeyer QD, Pool JE. 2016b. Decanalization

of wing development accompanied the evolution of large wings in high altitude

Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 113: 1014–1019.

Lande R. 1992. Neutral theory of quantitative genetic variance in an island model with

local extinction and recolonization. *Evolution*. 46:381-389

Lange JD, Pool JE. 2016. A haplotype method detects diverse scenarios of local

adaptation from genomic sequence variation. *Mol Ecol.* 25:3081-3100

Laturney M, Moehring AJ. 2012. The Genetic Basis of Female Mate Preference and

Species Isolation in *Drosophila. Intl J Evol Biol.* 2012:328392

Leonhardt SD, Menzel F, Nehring V. 2016. Ecology and Evolution of Communication in

Social Insects. *Cell.* 164:1277-1287.

Lieberman P. 1971. On the Evolution of Human Language. *Speech Res.* 113-131.

Lillvis JL, Wang K, Shiozaki HM, Stern DL, Dickson BJ. Nested neural circuits generate

distinct signals during *Drosophila* courtship. *Curr Biol*. 34:808-824.

Liu T, Dartevelle L, Yuan C, Wei H, Wang Y, Ferveur JF, Guo A. 2009. Reduction of

dopamine level enhances the attractiveness of male *Drosophila* to other males. *PLoS*

ONE. 4:e4574.

Liu T, Starostina E, Vijayan V, Pikielny CW. 2012. Two *Drosophila* DEG/ENaC Channel

Subunits Have Distinct Functions in Gustatory Neurons That Activate Male Courtship. *J*

Neurosci. 32:11879-11889.

Mackay TFC. 2001. The Genetic Architecture of Quantitative Traits. *Annu Rev Genet.*

35:303-339.

Mackay TFC, Heinsohn SL, Lyman RF, Moehring AJ, Morgan TJ, Rollmann SM. 2005.

Genetics and genomics of *Drosophila* mating behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*.

102:6622-6629.

Mackay TFC. 2009. The genetic architecture of complex behaviors: lessons from

Drosophila. Genetica. 136:295-302.

Manning A. 1967. The control of sexual receptivity in female *Drosophila. Anim Behav.*

15:239-250.

Maynard Smith J. 1979. Game theory and the evolution of behaviour. *Proc R Soc Lond*

B. 205:475-488.

Moehring AJ, Mackay TFC. 2004. The quantitative genetic basis of male mating behavior

in *Drosophila melanogaster.* Genetics. 167:1249-1263.

O'Grady P, DeSalle R. 2018. Phylogeny of the Genus *Drosophila*. *Genetics.* 209:1-25.

Oh KP, Fergus DJ, Grace JL, Shaw KL. 2012. Interspecific genetics of speciation

phenotypes: song and preference coevolution in Hawaiian crickets. *J Evol Biol.* 25:1500-

1512.

Park SK, Mann KJ, Lin H, Starostina E, Kolski-Andreaco A. 2006. A *Drosophila* protein

specific to pheromone-sensing gustatory hairs delays males' copulation attempts. *Curr*

Biol. 16:P1154-1159,

Pool JE, Aquadro CF. 2007. The genetics basis of adaptive pigmentation variation in

Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Ecol. 16:2844-2851.

Pool JE, Corbett-Detig RB, Sugino RP, Stevens KA, Cardeno CM, Crepeau MW, Duchen

P, Emerson JJ, Saelao P, Begun, DJ, Langley CH. 2012. Population genomics of sub-

Saharan *Drosophila melanogaster*: African diversity and non-African admixture. *PLoS*

Genet. 8:e1003080.

Pool JE, Braun DT, Lack JB. 2017. Parallel evolution of cold tolerance within *Drosophila*

melanogaster. Mol Biol Evol. 34:349-360.

Price TD. 2002. Domesticated birds as a model for the genetics of speciation by sexual

selection. *Genetica*. 116:311-327.

Prum RO. 1990. Phylogenetic analysis of the evolution of display behavior in the

Neotropical manakins (Aves, Pipridae). *Ethology*. 84:202-231.

R Core Team. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>

Riabinina O, Dai M, Duke T, Albert JT. 2011. Active Process Mediates Species-Specific

Tuning of Drosophila Ears. *Curr Biol.* 21:658-664.

1001

1002   Ritchie MG, Yate VH, Kyriacou CP. 1994. Genetic variability of the interpulse interval of

1003   courtship song among some European populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*.

1004   *Heredity.* 72:459-464.

1005

1006   Ritchie MG, Halsey EJ, Gleason JM. 1999. *Drosophila* song as a species-specific mating

1007   signal and the behavioural importance of Kyriacou & Hall cycles in *D. melanogaster* song.

1008   *Anim Behav.* 58:649-657.

1009

1010 Rockman MV. 2012. The QTN program and the alleles that matter for evolution: All that's

1011 gold does not glitter. *Evolution*. 66:1-17.

1012

1013 Schnorrer F, Schönbauer C, Langer CHC, Dietzl G, Novatchkova M, Schernhuber M,

1014 Fellner M, Azaryan A, Radolf M, Stark A, Keleman K, Dickson BJ. 2010. Systematic

1015 genetic analysis of muscle morphogenesis and function in *Drosophila*. *Nature*. 464:287-

1016 291.

1017

1018 Shahandeh MP, Turner TL. 2020. The complex architecture of male mate choice

1019 evolution between *Drosophila* species. *Heredity.* 124:737-750.

1020

1021 Shirangi TR, Taylor BJ, McKeown M. 2006. A double-switch system regulates male

1022 courtship behavior in male and female Drosophila melanogaster. *Nat Genet*. 38:1435-

1023 1439.

1024

1025 Shirangi TR, Stern DL, Truman JW. 2013. Motor control of *Drosophila* courtship song.

1026 *Cell Rep*. 5:678-86.

1027

1028 Shiozaki HM, Wang K, Lillvis JL, Xu M, Dickson BJ, Stern DL. 2023. Combinatorial

1029 dynamics orchestrate flexible motor patterns in *Drosophila* courtship. *bioRxiv*.

1030 doi:10.1101/2022.12.14.520499.

1031

1032   Sinclair CS, Lisa SF, Pischedda A. 2021. Does sexual experience affect the strength of

1033   male mate choice for high-quality females in *Drosophila melanogaster*? *Ecology and*

1034   *Evolution*. 11:16981-16992. Doi:10.1002/ece3.8334.

1035

1036   Snook RR, Robertson A, Crudgington HS, Ritchie MG. 2005. Experimental manipulation

1037   of sexual selection and the evolution of courtship song in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*.

1038   *Behav Genet*. 35:245-255.

1039

1040   Speith H. 1952. Mating behavior within the genus *Drosophila* (Diptera). *Bull Am Mus Nat*

1041   *Hist*. 99:399-474.

1042

1043   Spitze K. 1993. Population structure in *Daphnia obtusa*: Quantitative genetic and

1044   allozyme variation. *Genetics*. 135:67-374.

1045

1046   Sprengelmeyer QD, Mansourian S, Lange JD, Matute DR, Cooper, BS, Jirle, EV,

1047   Stensmyr MC, Pool JE. 2020. Recurrent collection of *Drosophila melanogaster* from wild

1048   African environments and genomic insights into species history. *Mol Biol Evol*. 11:15354-

1049   15376.

1050

1051   St. Johnston D. 2002. The art and design of genetic screens: Drosophila melanogaster.

1052   *Nat Rev Genet.* 3:176-188

1053

1054   Strömnæs Ö, Kvelland I. 1962. Sexual activity of *Drosophila melanogaster* males.

1055   *Hereditas. 48(3):442-470.*

1056

1057   Stanley RA, Kyriacou CP. 2021. A latitudinal cline in a courtship song character of

1058   *Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurogenet.* 35:333-340

1059

1060   Stern DL. 2014. Reported *Drosophila* courtship song rhythms are artifacts of data

1061   analysis. *BMC Biol.* 12:38.

1062

1063   Sturtevant AH 1915. Experiments on sex recognition and the problem of sexual selection

1064   in Drosophila. *J Anim Behav*. 5:351-366.

1065

1066   Swain B, von Philipsborn AC. 2021. Chapter Three - Sound production in *Drosophila*

1067   *melanogaster*: Behavior and neurobiology. Amsterdam (Netherlands): Elsevier.

1068

1069   Talyn BC, Dowse HB. 2004. The role of courtship song in sexual selection and species

1070   recognition by female *Drosophila melanogaster. Anim Behav.* 68:1165-1180

1071

1072   The MathWorks Inc. 2022. MATLAB version: 9.13.0 (R2022b), Natick, Massachusetts:

1073   The MathWorks Inc. <https://www.mathworks.com>

1074

1075   Turissini, DA, Mcgirr, JA, Patel, SS, David, JR, Matute, DR. 2018. The Rate of Evolution

1076   of Postmating-Prezygotic Reproductive Isolation in *Drosophila. Mol Biol Evol.* 35:312-

1077   334.

1078

1079   Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D *et al.* 2020.

1080   SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python. *Nat Methods*.

1081   17(3), 261-272.

1082

1083   von Schilcher F. 1976. The role of auditory stimuli in the courtship of *Drosophila*

1084   *melanogaster. Anim Behav*. 24:18-26.

1085

1086   Watanabe TK, Kawanishi, M. 1979. Mating preference and the direction of evolution in

1087   the *Drosophila. Science*. 205:906-907.

1088

1089   Wu C-I, Hollocher H, Begun DJ, Aquadro CF, Xu X, Wu M-L 1995. Sexual isolation in

1090   *Drosophila melanogaster*: A possible case of incipient speciation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

1091   *USA*. 92:2519-2523.

1092

Trait	FR Mean	ZI Mean	Pop. M-W P	FR Parent	ZI Parent	Par. M-W P	# QTLs	Effect range	Candidate genes
Bouts per Minute	7.00	7.05	1	6.24	7.83	0.0077	2	0.393 - 0.431	*dlg1, Gr10b*
Median Pulse Amplitude	0.100	0.078	0.088	0.097	0.063	7.00E-06	11	0.266 - 0.402	*Abd-B, Gr68a, mir-274, mir-285, mir-956, ple*
Median Pulse Train Length	1.16	1.09	0.161	1.07	1.16	0.0054	1	0.863	none
Median Sine Amplitude	0.177	0.171	0.600	0.19	0.14	0.0007	0	n/a	n/a
Median Sine Train Length	0.806	0.892	0.133	0.78	0.94	0.0313	5	0.499 - 0.747	*ss*
Mode Pulse Carrier Freq.	200	203	0.230	212	199	0.0140	1	0.270	none
Mode Sine Carrier Freq.	289	291	0.887	298	292	0.0026	0	n/a	n/a
Null to Sine Trans. Prob.	0.0039	0.0040	0.962	0.003	0.005	0.0008	1	0.430	*btv, CheB42a, Gr33a, Gr39a, Gr43a, Gr47a, jhamt, lig, Pal1, ppk25*
Slow to Total Pulses	0.745	0.813	0.007	0.745	0.833	0.0001	1	0.423	*ana1, BRWD3, Cad96Ca, CCAP-R, CG12290, CG13623, CG13654, CG31437, CG6432, CG6607, Dis3,* [E(spl) gene cluster]*, fid, Fur1, gro, jar, mld, Nf1, ppk15, Rrp5, slo, to*

1093

Table 1: Summarized Results for Mapped Traits. Outcomes of population comparisons, RIL parental strain comparisons,

and QTL mapping are listed for one trait with clear evidence of population differentiation (Slow to Total Pulses, bottom row)

and eight other traits differing between RIL parental strains. FR and ZI indicate the France and Zambia population samples,

from which multiple inbred strains were studied. "MW-P" indicates Mann-Whitney U Test p-values, presented for both the

population and parental strain comparisons. The range of QTL effect size point estimates (proportion of parental strain

difference explained) among each trait's significant QTLs is presented; however, we note that some estimates for multi-QTL

traits are likely to be inflated by linkage to other QTLs. Candidate genes based on functional considerations (see Materials

and Methods) are listed for each trait. Genes listed for the trait "Slow to total pulses" additionally include others that fell

within population genetic statistic outlier windows (Table S8, S9; Materials and Methods). More detailed results, including

additional gene annotations, are provided in Tables S7, S8, and S9.

FIGURES

Song Trait Correlations

*p < 0.001, ** p < 1x10⁻⁶, *** p < 1x10⁻⁹

Figure 1*: Correlation analysis between traits mapped from male song recordings reveals*

several positively and negatively correlated traits. **A.** Correlations among inbred strains,

divided by population (France bottom-left, Zambia top-right). **B.** Correlations among RILs.

In all data sets, the strongest positive correlation was between pulse and sine amplitude

traits. Circle size indicates the relative strength of significance in Pearson's (r) covariance

between traits, where the presence of a circle indicates minimally a p-value of $p < 0.05$,

and correlations with an estimated multiple-testing corrected significant p-values of $p <$

1.0×10^{-3}, $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$, and $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-9}$ are denoted with asterisks (*, **, and ***,

respectively).

1115

Figure 2: *Zambia and France D. melanogaster males display differences in pulse mode*

preference (slow to total pulses) and in pulse amplitude. Violin and boxplots for France

(Blue) and Zambia (Red) males are displayed, with the middle line in boxplots

representing median values, and inbred strains ordered by those median values. Parental

strains used in the establishment of the RIL panel are highlighted by dotted boxes. The

pairwise differences in both traits between parental strains is of similar magnitude and

direction to that of the population trend, suggesting that some of the genetic variation

contributing to phenotypic differences between populations may be represented among

the RIL panel.

Figure 3: *Traits associated with the amount and type of song map to unique QTLs.* The

number of bouts per minute (A) mapped to a pair of nearby QTLs on the X chromosome

- the only X-linked QTLs detected in this study. The probability of initiating sine song ("Null

to Sine") (B) and the median length of pulse trains (C) mapped to single QTLs. In contrast,

the median length of sine trains displayed a more polygenic basis, with multiple QTLs

across both arms of chromosome 3, and distinct peaks from the pulse song counterpart

of this trait (D). LOD values are plotted against *D. melanogaster* genome (release 5.9)

positions, where color denotes chromosome arm. Genome-wide significance thresholds

of LOD values corresponding to p = 0.05 for each scan are displayed as dashed lines.

Significant peaks are denoted with a red star, with p-values noted. Further characteristics

of each QTL are given in Table S7.

Figure 4: *Traits associated with sine and pulse song qualities map to unique autosomal*

QTLs. Both pulse (A) and sine (B) song amplitude and carrier frequencies were distinct

between RIL parental strains, and map to unique QTLs. The only instance of partially

overlapping QTL peaks was a region on chromosome 3R, overlapping QTLs for pulse

amplitude (C) and sine train length (D), while the QTL for pulse carrier frequency was

nearby, as was the nearly significant peak for sine amplitude. LOD values are plotted

against *D. melanogaster* genome (release 5.9) positions, where color denotes

chromosome arm. Genome-wide significance thresholds of LOD values corresponding to

p=0.05 are displayed as dashed lines. Significant peaks are denoted with a red star, with

p-values noted. Further characteristics of each QTL are given in Table S7.

1149

1150

Figure 5: *Pulse mode preference maps to a single strong effect QTL, with potentially*

relevant genes indicated by functional annotation and population genetic analysis. (A)

QTL mapping of the quantitative trait "slow to total pulses" in song reveals a single strong

QTL on chromosome 3R with a complex LOD landscape. All features of this plot are as

described for Figures 3 and 4. (B) Negative log quantile values for $F_{ST\_FullWin}$ (Red),

F_{ST_MaxSNP} (yellow), and χ_{MD} (blue) reveal genomic regions with elevated population

differentiation quantile values, sometimes overlapping peak LOD windows. $-\log_{10}$ quantile

values on the left *y*-axis begin at 1.00 to better visualize outlier windows. Window LOD

scores are represented as a black line (right *y*-axis). Restrictive confidence intervals are

represented as orange boxes under the *x*-axis. The QTL peak's maximum LOD score

and the (MaxLOD – 1.5) threshold are displayed as dashed red lines across the y-axis,

with the latter value representing a threshold for restrictive Ci inclusion. We find an

aggregation of more differentiated genomic sites within restrictive QTLs around 3R:21.75-

22.25 Mb. This genomic region has been previously implicated in courtship behavior

(Moehring & Mackay 2004). Further gene annotation details are available in Table S8**.**