Title

The balance between antiviral and antibacterial responses during *M. tuberculosis* infection is regulated by the ubiquitin ligase CBL

Authors:

Tina Truong[1,2], Kelsey Martin[1], Michelle Salemi[3], Abigail Ray[1,4], Brett S. Phinney[3], Bennett H. Penn*[1,5]

Affiliations:

1. Department of Internal Medicine, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, United States of America

2. Graduate Group in Immunology, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, United States of America

3. Proteomics Core Facility, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, United States of America

4. Microbiology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, United States of America

5. Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, Davis, Davis, California, United States of America

*Corresponding author

Email: bhpenn@ucdavis.edu

Abstract

As a first line of host defense, macrophages must be able to effectively sense and respond to diverse types of pathogens, and while a particular type of immune response may be beneficial in some circumstances, it can be detrimental in others. Upon infecting a macrophage, *M. tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) induces proinflammatory cytokines that activate antibacterial responses. Surprisingly, *Mtb* also triggers antiviral responses that actually hinder the ability of macrophages to control *Mtb* infection. The ubiquitin ligase CBL suppresses these antiviral responses and shifts macrophages toward a more antibacterial state during *Mtb* infection, however, the mechanisms by which CBL regulates immune signaling are unknown. We found that CBL controls responses to multiple stimuli and broadly suppresses the expression of antiviral effector genes. We then used mass-spectrometry to investigate potential CBL substrates and identified over 46,000 ubiquitylated peptides in *Mtb*-infected macrophages, as well as roughly 400 peptides with CBL-dependent ubiquitylation. We then performed genetic interaction analysis of CBL and its putative substrates, and identified the Fas associated factor 2 (FAF2) adapter protein as a key signaling molecule protein downstream of CBL. Together, these analyses identify thousands of new ubiquitin-mediated signaling events during the innate immune response and reveal an important new regulatory hub in this response.

Introduction

Being among the first cells to respond to infection, tissue macrophages must be able to sense and effectively respond to diverse types of pathogens, ranging from multicellular eukaryotic parasites to bacteria and viruses. Longstanding clinical observations have suggested potential antagonism between immune responses targeting different classes of microbes. Patients with preceding influenza virus infection carry roughly a 100-fold higher near-term risk of developing bacterial pneumonia from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (1). Similarly, while CD4 T helper 2 (Th2)

cells are critical for responses to multicellular parasites, patients with Th2-dominant immune

responses in *Mycobacterium leprae* lesions harbor higher bacterial loads and experience more

severe disease (2).

Even in the simple scenario of a single immune cell encountering a lone pathogen, the

mechanisms by which the type of pathogen is discerned, and an appropriate response mounted

remains poorly understood. Dozens of individual sensors for diverse bacterial-, fungal-, and virus-

associated PAMPS have been described (3,4). However, many pathogens, including *M.*

tuberculosis (*Mtb*)*,* activate multiple sensors simultaneously. How macrophages integrate

multiple, sometimes discordant, pathogen-associated signals into a coherent, pathogen-

appropriate, response remains unclear.

Mtb persists as a threat to human health. It currently infects one-third of the world's

population, causing a chronic, often lifelong infection, and tuberculosis (TB) kills an estimated 1-

2 million people annually (5). Macrophages infected with *Mtb* are immediately exposed to an array

of cell wall-derived pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as lipoproteins,

peptidoglycan and trehalose 6,6'-dimycolate, which activate cognate receptors such as TLR2,

NOD2 and CLEC4E (Mincle) (6–14). The outcome of many of these signaling pathways is the

activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB) family transcription factors and the upregulation of

proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF and IL1B which activate potent antibacterial responses

and are critical for controlling *Mtb* replication (15–22).

Surprisingly, *Mtb* also activates numerous antiviral effectors in infected macrophages. *Mtb*

rapidly permeabilizes its phagosome and releases bacterial nucleic acids into the host cytosol

through unclear mechanisms (24–26). Bacterial DNA is recognized by cyclic GMP-AMP synthase

(cGAS) which then triggers a cascading activation of STING1, TBK1 and the transcription factors

IRF3 and IRF7. These induce hundreds of genes, including the type I interferons like interferon

beta (IFN-β) that propagate the signal to nearby cells (24–31). Bacterial RNA is also introduced

into the host cell, initiating signaling through the retinoic acid-Inducible gene I (RIG-I) sensor which

triggers MAVS-dependent activation of IRF3/IRF7 with similar effects (25). While these initial

observations came from mouse models, gene expression analysis of TB patients has detected

similar activation of type I IFN in the peripheral blood of patients with active TB (32–34).

Several lines of evidence demonstrate that these antiviral responses interfere with the

antibacterial capacity of macrophages, and suggest that macrophages can be polarized into

antiviral or antibacterial states by their encounter with a pathogen. C3H-derived strains of mice

harbor a polymorphism causing loss of the SP140 transcriptional repressor, resulting in

hyperactivation IFN-β. These strains are highly susceptible to *Mtb*, succumbing rapidly with

dramatically increased bacterial burdens (35–39) – a phenotype that is rescued by the

administration of an IFN-β blocking antibody or by loss of the type I interferon receptor (IFNAR)

(39,40). C57BL/6 mice infected with *Mtb* produce lower levels of IFN-β following infection, but

even in this context, loss of MAVS or IFNAR results in decreased bacterial burden and increased

survival of infected animals (25,26) Thus, activation of antiviral responses within the host seems

to actively interfere with an effective antibacterial response to *Mtb*, and suggests that *Mtb* might

introduce nucleic acids into the host cell as a virulence strategy,

Ubiquitin signaling plays an important role in regulating both antibacterial and antiviral

responses in *Mtb*-infected macrophages. Ubiquitin ligases such as PARKIN and SMURF are

critical in targeting *Mtb* for autophagy (41–44). Separately, the ubiquitin ligases TRIM14 and CBL

have been shown to regulate the expression of type I interferon following *Mtb* infection (45,46).

CBL is targeted by the secreted *Mtb* virulence factor LpqN, which is required for *Mtb* replication

in mice (45). Proteomic analyses identified a physical interaction between LpqN and CBL, and

the growth of the attenuated *lpqN Mtb* mutant was rescued by disruption of host *Cbl*. While *Cbl*-

deficient macrophages are more permissive for *Mtb* replication, the opposite is seen with viral

challenge, as CBL-deficient macrophages more potently restrict viral replication (45). Together,

these findings suggest that CBL is an important regulator of the balance between antiviral versus

antibacterial responses in macrophages. However, how CBL regulates this process is not known.

Here, we investigated the mechanisms by which CBL regulates immune signaling. We

found that it controls macrophage responses to multiple stimuli and represses the expression of

numerous antiviral effectors. We then used proteomics to identify potential CBL substrates in

macrophages as they respond to *Mtb* infection and identified the endoplasmic reticulum-localized

signaling adapter Fas-associated factor 2 (FAF2) as a protein that undergoes CBL-dependent

ubiquitylation. Notably, while CBL deficient macrophages are more permissive for *Mtb* growth,

simultaneous loss of FAF2 in double-knockout macrophages reverses this phenotype, suggesting

that the FAF2 pathway might be hijacked by *Mtb,* and that CBL acts to constrain FAF2 activity in

Mtb-infected macrophages.

Results

CBL broadly suppresses antiviral effector expression.

Prior work has shown that CBL suppressed host antiviral responses in mouse primary

bone-marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) following *Mtb* infection (45). We began by

assessing whether this role of CBL was evolutionarily conserved, with CBL also regulating these

processes in human cells. We used shRNA to deplete CBL mRNA in the human THP-1 cell line

that differentiates into macrophage-like cells after stimulation with phorbol-12-myristate-13-

acetate (PMA) and 1,25-dihydroxy-vitamin D, and found an ~85% reduction in CBL mRNA levels

(Fig 1A). We first assessed whether loss of CBL in human macrophages would similarly rescue

the growth of the CBL-sensitive *lpqN* mutant *Mtb* strain which lacks the effector that normally

antagonizes CBL function, and which is attenuated in both mouse BMDMs and in mice (45).

Following differentiation, we infected THP-1 control cells expressing a non-targeting shRNA, and

CBL-depleted cells expressing a CBL-specific shRNA. To monitor bacterial replication, we used

the *lpqN Mtb* mutant carrying a *LuxBCADE* bioluminescent reporter operon (45), and monitored

bacterial growth over time by quantifying luminescence. Consistent with prior observations in

mouse BMDMs, we found that loss of CBL also rescued the growth of the *lpqN* mutant in human

macrophages (Fig 1B). The increased bacterial replication was confirmed by direct colony forming

unit (CFU) numeration (Fig S1A) and was associated with a more rapid loss of macrophage

viability in CBL-deficient macrophages over the course of infection (Fig 1C). The effect of CBL on

Mtb replication was independently verified using a second independent shRNA in THP-1 cells (Fig

S1B). To confirm these findings, we also evaluated the role of CBL in a second human

macrophage line, HL-60. Using shRNA to deplete CBL in these cells (Fig 1D) we found that loss

of CBL similarly rescued the growth of the *lpqN* mutant in HL-60 cells as well (Fig 1E).

Fig 1. CBL suppresses antiviral responses in human cells. (A) CBL expression analyzed by

RT-qPCR analysis of THP-1 cells expressing CBL-specific shRNA or a control non-targeting

shRNA. (B) Luminescent growth assay of CBL-sensitive *lpqN Mtb* mutant carrying the *LuxBCADE*

operon. (C) Phase-contrast microscopy images of the same monolayer of THP-1 macrophages

prior to infection and 96 h post-infection by *lpqN Mtb* (MOI=0.8, 10x magnification; scale bar

100μm). (D) RT-qPCR analysis of CBL expression in HL-60 cells. (E) Luminescent growth assay

of *lpqN Mtb* in HL-60 cells. (F),(G) RT-qPCR analysis of *lpqN Mtb*-infected macrophages 6 h after

infection. Analysis of (H) THP-1 or (I) HL60 cells transfected with either RNA or DNA as indicated.

Error bars denote SEM of technical replicates; statistical significance was evaluated by two-tailed

t-test and indicated on plot: *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001, ns p>0.05. Representative (median)

data of three or more independent experiments are shown.

We next determined whether expression of antiviral effectors was also regulated by CBL

in human cells. Consistent with prior observations in mouse macrophages, we found that

depletion of CBL resulted in an increased expression of antiviral effectors in both THP-1 and HL-

60 cells following *Mtb* infection (Fig 1F,G). We next used defined PAMPs to selectively stimulate

different pathways leading to IFN-β activation to assess if CBL was regulating a single sensor

pathway or multiple pathways. Work from several groups has shown that *Mtb* activates IFN-β both

through the cGAS DNA sensing pathway as well as the RIG-I RNA sensing pathway (24-25,31-

32). To assess which pathways are regulated by CBL, we individually transfected THP-1 and HL-

60 macrophages with either dsDNA, a known agonist for cGAS, or with 5′-phosphorylated RNA,

a known agonist for RIG-I, in order to evaluate the role of CBL. We found that in response to either

DNA or 5' phosphorylated RNA, loss of CBL resulted in an increased expression of IFN-β in THP-

1 cells (Fig 1H). In HL-60 cells we observed a similar role for CBL in regulating RNA-stimulated

IFN-β responses. However, following DNA stimulation HL-60 cells displayed only weak induction

of IFN-β, and did so independently of CBL (Fig 1I). Taken together these data support a model

where the role of CBL in innate immunity is evolutionarily conserved, acting to suppress

expression of antiviral effectors such as IFN-β in multiple different contexts.

We next sought to determine whether CBL selectively regulated a restricted group of

genes, such as IFIT1 and IFN-β, or whether it orchestrated broad transcriptional changes in

macrophages as they responded to *Mtb*. To assess this, we infected THP-1 cells with *Mtb*, using

the *lpqN* mutant strain so that CBL activity would be unconstrained by the bacterial effector. We

infected both control and CBL-deficient THP-1 cells for 6 h, a time at which many immune-related

genes are strongly induced, and prepared RNA for expression profiling by RNA-Seq. As expected,

Mtb infection of control cells triggered widespread gene expression changes with upregulation of

735 genes and downregulation of 423 genes (FDR≤0.05, Log2 fold-change>0.5) and strong

induction of both proinflammatory and antiviral effectors. (Fig S2A, Table S1). CBL-deficient cells

regulated most of these genes in a similar manner following *Mtb* infection. However, a set of genes

showed distinct CBL-dependent transcriptional changes, with 204 genes hyperactivated and 62

genes depressed in CBL-deficient cells following *Mtb* infection (Fig 2A and Table S1). Interestingly,

while CBL regulates the production of IFN-β in these cells (Fig 1G,I) it does not regulate their

response to IFN-β stimulation (Fig S2B), suggesting that the broad CBL-dependent gene

expression changes are due to cell-intrinsic mechanisms rather than the indirect effects of type I

interferon.

Fig 2. CBL regulates a complex transcriptional program. (A) RNA-Seq gene expression

analysis of THP-1 macrophages expressing CBL-specific shRNA vs control non-targeting shRNA

6 h after infection with *lqpN*-mutant *Mtb*. (B) Biological processes transcriptionally regulated by

CBL. (C), (D) Differential expression of immunity-related genes in CBL-deficient cells. (E)

ENCODE Transcription factor binding site enrichment of CBL-regulated genes (F) RT-qPCR

analysis of CBL-regulated genes after indicated stimuli. Differentially-expressed genes for

analyses in (B-E) defined by RNA-Seq FDR<0.05 and Log2 fold-change>0.5. RNA-Seq analysis

was performed on 3 independent experiments. For RT-qPCR, error bars denote SEM of technical

replicates; statistical significance assessed with two-tailed t-test and indicated on plot.

Representative (median) data of three independent experiments are shown.

We further analyzed the set of genes regulated by CBL to evaluate which cellular

processes were being affected, and what transcription factors might be mediating the regulatory

effects of CBL. We performed systematic pathway enrichment analysis using the Gene Ontology

and KEGG Databases and identified several clusters of functionally-related genes regulated by

CBL. Supporting the hypothesis that CBL broadly suppresses antiviral responses, we found a

significant over-representation of antiviral defense genes in the set of CBL-regulated genes (10-

fold over-representation, FDR <0.02). We also found significant associations with several other

pathways including IL-10 production and global protein translation (Fig 2B-D). In addition, we

analyzed the set of genes transcriptionally regulated by CBL to determine whether any

associations existed between these genes and characterized transcriptional regulators. We

assessed this by systematically querying the ENCODE Chip-Seq database (47) with the set of

genes regulated by CBL. In uninfected cells, there were no transcriptional regulators enriched at

these genes. In contrast, in *Mtb*-infected cells, analysis of the 266 CBL-responsive genes using

ENCODE showed an association with several transcriptional regulators (Fig 2E). The most

significant association was seen with for the NFkB family member RELA, with an odds-ratio (OR)

of 2.6 (p≤1E-9) found at a set of immune-related genes, with additional associations noted for

STAT2 (OR=5.3, p≤2E-7), ATF1 (OR=2.5, p≤1.1E-8), and MYC (OR=2.1, p≤5E-8), suggesting

that these transcription factors might be mediating the transcriptional effects of CBL.

We also assessed whether genes we identified as regulated by CBL during *Mtb* infection

were also regulated by CBL in response to other stimuli. We selected TLR8 and CXCL10, two

genes identified by our RNA-Seq analysis of *Mtb*-infected macrophages whose expression was

suppressed by CBL, and examined their regulation in cells exposed other PAMPs - either

cytoplasmic dsDNA to activate cGAS or 5'-phosphorylated RNA to activate RIG-I. In both cases

we found hyperactivation of these genes in CBL-deficient cells, although the patterns differed

somewhat. For TLR8 we detected basal de-repression in unstimulated cells and hyperactivation

following Mtb infection and either DNA or RNA stimulation. For CXCL10, there was no basal de-

repression, and while CXCL10 was strongly regulated by CBL during *Mtb* infection, it showed only

modest increases in expression in CBL-deficient cells stimulated with DNA or RNA (Fig 2F). Taken

together, these results demonstrate that CBL regulates a broad subset of antiviral response genes

in macrophages, along with regulation of several other processes, and that it does so in response

to multiple stimuli.

CBL enzymatic activity is required to regulate macrophage responses to Mtb

We next sought to determine the mechanisms by which CBL regulates immune responses.

CBL is a RING-domain E3 ligase that was initially characterized as a proto-oncogene,

ubiquitylating activated receptor tyrosine kinases to target them for degradation (48–50).

Subsequent studies using an enzymatically inactive CBL point mutant showed that CBL also has

important non-enzymatic functions, and can act as a signaling scaffold that potentiates

phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and SRC-family kinase activity (51). This non-enzymatic function

plays an important role in vivo, as several of the developmental defects *Cbl*^{-/-} mice could be

rescued by expression of enzymatically-inactive CBL (52).

To test whether CBL was acting enzymatically to ubiquitylate key substrates during innate

immune responses, or whether it was acting as a signaling adapter protein, we performed genetic

complementation analysis in CBL-deficient macrophages, re-introducing either wild type CBL or

catalytically inactive mutants (Fig 3A). To study human macrophages, we used shRNA-expressing

CBL-deficient THP-1 cells. To study mouse macrophages we used Cas9-expressing conditionally-

immortalized macrophages (CIMs) which are mouse myeloid precursor cells that carry a HOXB8-

ER estrogen-regulatable transcription factor. This maintains the cells as immortalized precursors

in the presence of estrogen, but upon estrogen withdrawal allows differentiation into macrophages

closely recapitulating the physiology of primary mouse BMDMs (53). These cells display high-

efficiency genome editing, and transduction of CIM cells with a CBL-specific sgRNA led to a >95%

reduction in CBL protein levels in the population (Fig 3B).

For both mouse and human cells, we used lentiviral vectors to deliver either catalytically

inactive CBL (human C381A, mouse C379A) or WT CBL. In both cases, CBL expression was

restored to ~50% of endogenous levels, without evidence of destabilization of the mutant protein

(Fig 3B). As seen previously (45), CBL-deficient macrophages were more permissive for *Mtb*

replication than control cells, and as expected, in both mouse and human macrophages, re-

introduction of WT CBL significantly restricted the growth of *Mtb* (Fig 3C,D). In contrast, re-

introduction of catalytically-inactive CBL failed to complement the defective host response,

demonstrating that enzymatic activity is needed for CBL function in this context. Interestingly cells

expressing inactive CBL actually had higher bacterial loads than CBL-deficient cells, suggesting

that catalytically-inactive CBL might act as a dominant-negative isoform, perhaps by interfering

with the activity of other signaling molecules such as the related CBL-family ligase CBLB.

Fig 3. CBL-dependent ubiquitylation is required to restrict *Mtb* growth in mouse and human macrophages. (A) CBL domain structure and catalytic site mutants. (B) Immunoblot of wild-type and mutant CBL isoforms expressed from lentiviral vectors in CBL-deficient human (THP-1) and mouse (CIM) macrophages. (C), (D) Luminescent growth assay of *lpqN Mtb* in CBL-deficient macrophages in which CBL expression was restored with either wild-type or mutant CBL. (E) Experimental design for ubiquitylation proteomics using di-Gly MS. (F) di-Gly-MS analysis of ubiquitylation in control CIM cells expressing a non-targeting sgRNA 6 h post-infection with the *lpqN Mtb* mutant. Green (increased) and blue (decreased) indicate peptides with p-value ≤0.01 and Log2 fold-change >2. (G) Comparison of ubiquitylation versus total-protein changes in control cells following infection. Green (increased) and blue (decreased) denote data points where the change in di-Gly peptide abundance was >3 standard-deviations greater than the change in protein abundance. (H) Subset of CBL-dependent changes (I) di-Gly MS analysis of ubiquitylation 6 h post-infection in control vs. *Cbl*^-/- cells infected with *lpqN Mtb*. (J) Ubiquitylation versus total-protein changes in control vs. *Cbl*^-/- cells infected with *lpqN Mtb.* (K) Biological process of proteins with CBL-dependent ubiquitylation. MOI=0.8 for growth assays ,and MOI=10 for di-Gly-MS. Di-gly MS was used to analyze 3 independent experiments. For growth assays, representative (median) data of three or more independent experiments are shown; p-value determined by two-tailed t-test and error bars represent SEM of technical replicates.

Identifying potential CBL substrates

Given that the enzymatic activity of CBL was necessary to regulate immune signaling, we next sought to identify CBL substrates in this context. Several growth factor receptors including EGFR, MET, and CSF1R have been characterized as CBL substrates in other settings (48–50). However, the CBL substrates during innate immune responses are not known. We used *Cbl*^-/- CIM cells to study ubiquitylation because of the near-complete loss of CBL protein in the polyclonal population of cells expressing a CBL-specific sgRNA, and their larger *Mtb* growth phenotype

relative to human cells partially depleted of CBL via shRNA (Fig 3B-D). Using the *lpqN* mutant

strain that is unable to antagonize CBL activity, we infected cells for 6 h, and added the

proteasome inhibitor bortezomib for the final 2 h to stabilize ubiquitylated proteins and facilitate

their detection**.**

We then used di-Gly enrichment and quantitative mass-spectrometry (di-Gly MS) to

globally monitor changes in ubiquitylation in *Mtb*-infected cells (Fig 3E). Lysates were prepared

from uninfected and infected cells, and from control and *Cbl*$^{-/-}$ cells, and digested with trypsin. We

then enriched ubiquitylated peptides by immunoprecipitation with an antibody that recognizes the

di-Gly remnant of ubiquitin that remains conjugated to the ubiquitin-modified Lys residue following

trypsinization, and analyzed the samples by liquid chromatography tandem MS (LC-MS/MS),

using label-free quantification of MS2 spectra (54–59). Of note, the ubiquitin-like proteins ISG15

and NEDD8 leave an indistinguishable di-Gly Lys remnant. However, these modifications account

for only ~5% of identified di-Gly modified residues, and the vast majority of di-Gly sites are

ubiquitin-modified (60–62). In addition, since CBL is a ubiquitin-specific ligase, any CBL-

dependent di-Gly modifications should represent CBL-dependent ubiquitylation. Thus, we will

hereafter refer to di-Gly-modified sites as ubiquitylation, recognizing that a small percentage of

sites modified ligases other than CBL are modified by ISG15 or NEDD8.

Overall, we identified ~76,000 unique peptides in macrophages, encompassing ~46,000

unique di-Gly-modified sites. This dataset creates the most detailed atlas of ubiquitin modification

in macrophages to date, with roughly 10-fold more sites identified than currently available

datasets of this specialized cell type. As expected, in control cells, we saw numerous ubiquitin

changes in response to *Mtb* with a total of 1,793 ubiquitylation sites increasing (Log2 fold-change

>1, FDR <0.05) and 197 sites decreasing (Fig 3F, Table S2). Since the quantity of a ubiquitylated

peptide in a sample can change because of either a change in the stoichiometry of ubiquitylation

at a given site, or because of a change in abundance of the protein itself, we sought to

deconvolute these processes. We examined changes in protein abundance in the same samples

by quantitative LC-MS/MS (Table S3) and analyzed the change in ubiquitylation at each site

relative to the change in protein expression. This analysis demonstrated a range of stoichiometry

changes. For some proteins, such as RSAD2 and IL1B, the increased quantity of ubiquitylated

peptides was largely due to increased protein expression (Fig 3G). Conversely, proteins like

IKBKE and GABARAP had large changes in ubiquitylation stoichiometry with minimal change in

overall protein levels. Overall, changes in abundance of a ubiquitylated peptide and protein

abundance were poorly correlated (R^2=0.36) with changes in peptide abundance being driven by

changes in stoichiometry of ubiquitylation in roughly 70% of cases.

We next examined ubiquitylation in CBL-deficient macrophages following *Mtb* infection.

As expected, the loss of CBL did not change the abundance of most ubiquitylated peptides,

consistent with a complex ubiquitin signaling landscape involving numerous E3 ligases. However,

there was also a clear subset of peptides that underwent CBL-dependent ubiquitylation, including

well-established CBL substrates such as the receptor tyrosine kinases MET and CSFR1. As

anticipated, most changes seen in *Cbl*^{-/-} cells were peptides with decreased ubiquitylation; there

were 430 ubiquitylation sites that decreased (Log2FC >1.0, FDR<0.05) and 90 sites increased in

abundance (Fig 3H,I). Changes in the stoichiometry of ubiquitylation drove the changes in

abundance of most ubiquitylated peptides, with very little correlation between changes in

abundance for ubiquitylated peptides and protein expression (R^2=0.09, Fig 3J).

Systematic analysis of the proteins with CBL-dependent ubiquitylation demonstrated the

enrichment of several pathways and processes; some had clear immune-related functions such

as regulation of phagocytosis (7.1-fold over-representation, p≤0.01), vacuolar acidification (13.7-

fold, p=0.02), NFkB regulation (7.2-fold, p=0.02), and IFNGR signaling (4.2-fold, p≤0.01, Fig 3K).

There were also factors known to be involved in the immune response to *Legionella pneumophila*

(5.3-fold, p≤0.01), *Salmonella enterica* (3.2-fold, p ≤0.001, and *Mtb* itself (3.1-fold, p<0.01).

Several other unanticipated pathways were also over-represented in the set of proteins with CBL-

dependent ubiquitylation, including endoplasmic reticulum-associated protein degradation

(ERAD) pathway (6.2-fold-fold over-representation, p≤0.001) and iron uptake (6.1-fold, p≤0.001).

Taken together, these findings suggest that the set of proteins ubiquitylated by CBL extends far

beyond its established role in growth-factor signaling at the plasma membrane and includes

several other cellular processes throughout the cytoplasm and on membrane-bound organelles.

Function of proteins with CBL-dependent ubiquitylation

We next sought to identify which proteins with CBL-dependent ubiquitylation played

important roles during *Mtb* infection. We identified a subset of 43 proteins that had peptides with

at least 80% reduction in ubiquitylation in *Cbl*$^{-/-}$ cells. Of these, 20 had annotated functions

associated in some way with innate immunity (Fig 4A), and we analyzed this set of 20 proteins

for CBL-dependent functions during *Mtb* infection. We hypothesized that if a protein is an

important substrate of CBL, then loss of that protein should either phenocopy the CBL mutant (if

positively regulated by CBL) or reverse the CBL phenotype (if inhibited by CBL). To analyze each

of these factors we designed a lentiviral vector with two sgRNA cassettes, thereby allowing us to

generate mutant CIM cell lines lacking either CBL or a putative CBL substrate, as well as double-

mutant cells lacking both simultaneously (Fig 4B). Editing efficiency of >80% was confirmed by

either TIDE analysis of genomic DNA (85), or by immunoblot of the targeted protein (Fig 4C).

Fig 4. FAF2 promotes *Mtb* replication in the absence of CBL. (A) Examples of changes in

ubiquitylation and total protein for immune regulators in *Mtb*-infected *Cbl*$^{-/-}$ CIM cells 6 h post-

infection. In the case of proteins with multiple ubiquitylated peptides, the peptide with greatest

statistically-significant fold-change is shown. (B) Experimental design for creation of cells lacking

both *Cbl* and putative substrates. (C) Immunoblot of FAF2 protein in CIM cells expressing either

non-targeting or *Faf2*-specific sgRNA. (D) Luminescent growth assay of CBL-sensitive *lpqN Mtb*.

(E) Location of ubiquitylated residues on FAF2 and Log2 fold-change of ubiquitylation at each

We then infected each of these mutant cell lines with the CBL-sensitive *lpqN Mtb* mutant

and assessed bacterial growth. As expected, the growth of *Mtb* was more rapid in CBL-deficient

cells (Fig 4D), and in most cases, loss of a putative substrate in these CBL-deficient cells did not

alter the replication of *Mtb* (data not shown). However, disruption of the Fas-associated factor 2

(*Faf2*) locus in CBL-deficient cells significantly altered the antibacterial capacity of these cells

toward *Mtb*. FAF2 is an ER-localized signaling adapter protein, and our di-Gly MS analysis found

it to be heavily ubiquitylated in macrophages, with 9 different ubiquitylated Lys residues. In *Cbl*^{-/-}

cells, a single residue (K246) showed a specific loss of ubiquitylation, with other Lys residues

relatively unaffected (Fig 4E). In contrast to the other genes tested, disruption of FAF2 in CBL-

deficient cells restored the ability of these cells to restrict *Mtb* replication, with bacterial growth

rates approaching that seen in control macrophages that received a non-targeting sgRNA (Fig

4D).

Given the established role of CBL in targeting growth factor receptors for degradation, we

tested the idea that CBL similarly targets FAF2 for degradation through ubiquitylation. However,

FAF2 protein levels did not change following Mtb infection and were unaltered in CBL-deficient

macrophages (Fig 4F). This suggests that rather than regulating FAF2 levels, CBL-dependent

ubiquitylation is instead regulating the function of FAF2, perhaps by altering its protein-protein

interactions. Taken together, these genetic analyses show that multiple proteins undergo CBL-

dependent ubiquitylation, and FAF2 seems to be an important regulatory hub downstream of CBL

as macrophages respond to *Mtb* infection.

Discussion

Antiviral versus antibacterial polarization

Macrophages must be able to sense and effectively respond to diverse types of

pathogens. Both clinical data and animal models indicate that while a particular type of immune

response may be beneficial in some circumstances, it can be detrimental in others. Tissue

macrophages act as first responders in many infections, acting both to eliminate pathogens and

to orchestrate subsequent immune responses. However, how a macrophage determines the type

of pathogen it is encountering and translates that into an appropriate response remains unclear.

Mtb exposes an infected macrophage to an array of PAMPS; some are distinctively

bacterially-derived molecules such as peptidoglycan or lipoarabinomannan , which activate NOD2

and TLR2 respectively. Activation of these sensors results in the release of cytokines with potent

antibacterial activities such as TNF and IL1B (5–8,10,11). However, *Mtb*, as well as a number of

other intracellular bacterial pathogens, including *Listeria monocytogenes,* and *Chlamydia*

trachomatis, also activate cytosolic nucleic-acid sensors such as cGAS and induce expression of

type I interferon and other antiviral effectors (63–66). While type I interferon potently inhibits

viruses and can inhibit the growth of some bacteria such as *C. trachomatis*, it impairs antibacterial

responses to *Mtb* and *L. monocytogenes* in mice (63–66)*.*

The observation that antiviral responses can actively antagonize the antibacterial capacity

of a macrophage suggests that macrophages might become polarized into antibacterial versus

antiviral states. It also suggests that pathogens infecting macrophages like *Mtb,* and *L.*

monocytogenes might seek to subvert this process as a virulence strategy. In the case of *Mtb*, it

seems to do so through several mechanisms. First, upon perforating its phagosome, *Mtb* exposes

bacterial dsDNA to the host cell cytoplasm which triggers cGAS-dependent activation of IRF3 and

induction of IFN-β, as well as hundreds of other downstream genes, many of which have potent

antiviral activity (24–31). Second, in parallel, *Mtb* also releases bacterial RNA into the host

cytoplasm, thereby activating a similar RIG-I-dependent activation of IRF3, resulting in IFN-β

expression (25). *Mtb* also introduces the LpqN virulence factor into host cells which interferes with

the ability of the CBL ubiquitin ligase to regulate this process, thereby amplifying antiviral

responses (45).

Exactly how the expression of antiviral effectors such as IFN-β antagonizes the

antibacterial state of a macrophage is unclear. Integrating the results of different studies that

examined different viral response regulators suggests that the transcriptional program controlling

the expression of antiviral proteins such as IFN-β is likely to be comprised of several distinct

modules, as the perturbation of different regulators causes distinct *Mtb*-related phenotypes. For

example, in isolated ex vivo macrophages, disruption of CBL, TRIM14, or IRF7 alters the

expression of antiviral effectors and alters the ability of a macrophage to restrict *Mtb* replication

(45,46,67). In contrast, perturbation of RIG-I, SP140 or IFNAR has no effect on *Mtb* replication in

isolated macrophages but profoundly alters *Mtb* susceptibility in mice (25,39,40,67–70). This

suggests that while all these pathways regulate IFN-β signaling, they might differ in their

regulation of other target genes, and that these differences drive distinct physiologic states in *Mtb*-

infected macrophages. It also suggests that the additional regulatory inputs impinging on an

infected macrophage during a complex immune response in vivo create a distinct environment

where type I interferon signaling becomes a dominant factor.

FAF2 Function

Although the mechanisms by which CBL regulates growth factor signaling are known in

detail, the mechanisms by which it regulates innate immunity are not. CBL was initially

characterized as a proto-oncogene that ubiquitylates activated receptor tyrosine kinases, thus

targeting them for degradation, and was later found to have non-enzymatic functions in activating

phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and SRC-family kinases (48,49,51,71). We found that the enzymatic

activity of CBL was needed for its ability to regulate immune responses during *Mtb* infection and

identified the signaling adapter protein FAF2 as a critical intermediary factor, as disruption of FAF2

rescued the impaired responses in CBL-deficient cells. This suggests a scenario where the

pathway regulated by FAF2 might somehow be hijacked by *Mtb* to impair host defenses, and that

CBL normally acts to constrain FAF2 activity in *Mtb*-infected macrophages.

FAF2 is known to regulate a diverse set of physiologic processes, and thus the manner

by which FAF2 regulates the innate immune response to *Mtb* is uncertain. FAF2 was originally

characterized as a member of a family of ubiquitin regulatory X (UBX) domain proteins regulating

the endoplasmic-reticulum-associated degradation pathway (ERAD) (72). FAF2 was also

subsequently shown to act as a positive regulator of STING1, MTOR, and NFκB (73–75). Which

of these pathways is the critical one downstream of FAF2 during *Mtb* infection is an important

remaining question. It is also unclear what function the CBL-dependent ubiquitylation of FAF2 at

K246 plays. A straightforward explanation would have been that CBL inhibits FAF2 by targeting it

for degradation, analogous to the regulation of growth-factor receptors (49,76,77). However, our

data demonstrate that this is not the case. Instead, it seems that ubiquitylation alters FAF2

functionality, perhaps by modulating its interactions with STING1, MTOR, or NFκB or their

regulators. Future genetic experiments analyzing FAF2 alleles with mutations in K246 should

provide insight into the function of FAF2 ubiquitylation, as will biochemical experiments analyzing

the activation state of these different pathways.

Ubiquitin signaling during *Mtb* infection

These studies also create a detailed map of protein ubiquitylation in macrophages as they

respond to a pathogen, generating intriguing new hypotheses about how ubiquitin signaling might

regulate innate immunity. Although numerous proteomics studies have analyzed ubiquitylation in

mammalian cells, few of these focused on immune cells, and only a handful have examined

signaling during innate immune responses to pathogens. Such studies in activated immune cells

have provided important insights by identifying specific ubiquitylation events contributing to

autophagy and DNA repair processes during infection that alter immune responses (78,79). Here,

we identified ~46,000 unique di-Gly-modified Lys residues, an in-depth dataset that significantly

broadens the understanding of the ubiquitin-mediated signaling events taking place in

macrophages as they respond to a pathogen. These analyses reveal a number of previously

unknown ubiquitylation events on critical immune regulators, including the secreted cytokines

IL1A and TNFSF13B, the endosomal peptidoglycan transporter SLC15A3, and the cytoplasmic

peptidoglycan sensor NOD2 (Table S2). Thus, this dataset suggests multiple new mechanisms

by which ubiquitin signaling might be regulating other critical immune responses.

Limitations

While our RNA-Seq data show clear CBL-dependent transcriptional changes in CBL-

depleted THP-1 macrophages, this is an imperfect model system. The expression of CBL is only

reduced ~85% by shRNA, and it is possible that residual CBL activity could be masking some

changes. Although we also used CRISPR/Cas9 genome-editing to generate $CBL^{-/-}$ THP-1 clones,

we observed marked clone-to-clone variability in Mtb-related phenotypes making it an unsuitable

alternative. In addition, the response of cell lines such as THP-1 cells to immune stimuli is often

muted relative to primary macrophages (53). For example, we note that while changes in IFN-β

expression were readily detectible in Mtb-infected THP-1 cells by RT-qPCR, the overall transcript

levels were too low for reliable quantification by RNA-Seq. Separately, our MS studies had some

limitations. They identified a large number of peptides with the di-Gly remnant, and while ~95%

of di-Gly Lys modifications result from ubiquitylation, NEDD8 and ISG15 both leave identical di-

Gly remnants. Our current data are unable to distinguish the small subset of Lys residues modified

by these other ubiquitin-related proteins. However, since CBL is believed to be a ubiquitin-specific

ligase the set of CBL-dependent changes are likely due to ubiquitin. Finally, for those proteins

with CBL-dependent ubiquitylation, we cannot distinguish at this time between direct ubiquitylation

by CBL versus indirect effects mediated by another E3 ligase or deubiquitinase that CBL

regulates, an analysis that will require complex in vitro ubiquitylation systems with MS analysis of

reaction products, and important future direction.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS

Fig S1. (A) CFU determination in THP-1 cells expressing either CBL-specific or non-targeting

control shRNA 5d after infection with *lpqN Mtb.* (B) Luminescent growth assay of *lpqN Mtb* in THP-

1 cells depleted of CBL by a second independent shRNA.

Fig S2. (A) RNA-seq analysis of control THP-1 cells expressing a non-targeting shRNA,

comparing uninfected cells and *lpqN Mtb*-infected cells. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of IFIT1 in IFN-β

stimulated THP-1 cells.

Table S1 RNA-Seq Dataset

Table S2 Di-Gly MS Dataset

Table S3 Total Protein MS dataset

Materials & Methods

Key Reagent Table

REAGENT OR RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit c-CBL antibody	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat # 2747
β-Actin (C4) Alexa Fluor® 680	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat # sc-47778 AF680
PTMScan® Ubiquitin Remnant Motif (K-ε-GG)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat # 5562S
FAF2 antibody	Proteintech	Cat # 16251-1-AP
IRDye® 800CW Donkey anti-Mouse IgG Secondary Antibody	Li-cor	Cat # 926-32212
IRDye® 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody	Li-cor	Cat # 926-32211
Primer and guide sequences		
qPCR_*IFNβ* Human F	This paper	CTTCTCCACTACAGCTCTTTCC
qPCR_*IFNβ* Human R	This paper	GCCAGGAGGTTCTCAACAATA

qPCR_*IFIT1* Human F	This paper	CCAGAAATAGACTGTGAGGAAGG
qPCR_*IFIT1* Human R	This paper	CCCTATCTGGTGATGCAGTAAG
qPCR_*β-ACTIN* Human F	This paper	GACCACCTTCAACTCCATCAT
qPCR_*β-ACTIN* Human R	This paper	CCTGCTTGCTAATCCACATCT
ms_*Actin*_qpcr_FW	This paper	GGTGTGATGGTGGGAATGG
ms_*Actin*_qpcr_RV	This paper	GCCCTCGTCACCCACATAGGA
ms_*Ifnβ*_qPCR_FW	This paper	TCCGAGCAGAGATCTTCAGGAA
ms_*Ifnβ*_qPCR_RV	This paper	TGCAACCACCACTCATTCTGAG
ms_*Ifit1*_qPCR_FW	This paper	CGTAGCCTATCGCCAAGATTTA
ms_*Ifit1*_qPCR_RV	This paper	AGCTTTAGGGCAAGGAGAAC
Lg_m*Faf2*gRNA_Rv	This paper	AGTTACGCGTCTCTAAACCCATG TTCCAGTTATGCTGCGGGAAAGA GTGGTCTCATACAG
Lg_m*Faf2*geno_Fw	This paper	CTAGTAGTTACAGTGTGCTTGC
Lg_m*Faf2*geno_Rv	This paper	CTGTGAACATTTCTGTTGCTCC
T2A_BSF_F	This paper	GAAGTCTGCTAACATGCGGTGAC G TCGAGGAGAATCCTGGCCCAATG GCCAAG CCTTTGTCTCAAG

Strep_T2A_R	This paper	GACGTCACCGCATGTTAGCAGAC TTCCCCTG
		CCCTCGCCGGAGCCGGGCCCCT TCT
		CGAACTGGGGGTGGCTCCAG
Bla_R_	This paper	CTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCTA GAT
		TTAGCCCTCCCACACATAACCAGA GGGC

Bacterial strains

CDC1551 *lpqN*:Tnhimar1 mutant	BEI Resources	Cat # NR-18454

Cell lines

THP-1	ATCC	TIB-202
HL-60	ATCC	CCL-240
HoxB8-ER; Rosa26 Cas9 CIM	Gift from Greg Barton, UC Berkeley	N/A
B16	ATCC	CRL-6475
3T3-MCSF	Gift from Russell Vance, UC Berkeley	

Chemicals

Middlebrook OADC	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # b12351
BD DIFCO™ Middlebrook 7H9	Becton Dickinson (BD)	Cat # 271310
BD DIFCO™ Middlebrook 7H10	Becton Dickinson (BD)	Cat # 262710
PTMScan Lys-C Protease	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat # 39003
Sequencing Grade Modified Trypsin	Promega	Cat # V5111
Bortezomib	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat # 2204S
cOmplete™, Mini, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # 11836170001
Bovine growth serum	Hycolone	SH30541.03
Fetal bovine serum	Corning	5-015-CV
Phorbol 12-myristate 13 acetate (PMA)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # P8139
1α,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 (Vitamin D)	Santa Cruz	Cat # 32222-06-3
SYBR™ Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain	Invitrogen	Cat # S11494
Poly(ethylene glycol) 8000	Sigma	Cat # 81268
Lipofectamine 2000	Invitrogen	Cat # 11668030

Consumables

500mg Sep-Pak C18 columns	Waters	Cat # WAT036790
PureLink™ RNA Mini Kit	Invitrogen	Cat # 12183018A
Maxima H Minus Reverse Transcriptase	Thermo Scientific	Cat # EP0753
0.45 µm Acrodisc Syringe Filters	Pall	Cat # 4614

Recombinant DNA

lentiGuide Puro	Addgene	Plasmid #52963
pENTR1a	Thermo	A10462
pLenti CMV blast DEST	Addgene	Plasmid #17451
pLenti CMV no selection marker	This paper	
pLKO.1 puro	Addgene	Plasmid #8453

Software and websites

Prism v9.0	GraphPad	
Rstudio	Posit	
Synthego guide design tool	Synthego	https://design.synthego.com
TIDE analysis		https://tide.nki.nl/

Other

Odyssey CLx	Li-Cor
Glomax Explorer	Promega
CFX Connect Real-Time System	BIO-RAD
Whole-body exposure chamber 099C A4212	Glas-Col

Deposited data

At the time of publication raw MS data files will be deposited at MasIVE
https://massive.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe. RNA-Seq data will be deposited at GEO
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov /geo/info/seq.html. Processed MS and RNA-Seq data will be
available as supplementary data files at the time of publication.

Cell Lines

THP-1 human monocytes (ATCC TIB-202) and HL-60 promyeloblast cells (ATCC CCL-240) were
purchased from ATCC. THP-1 cells were cultured in RPMI media containing 10% fetal bovine
serum (FBS), 10mM HEPES, 1mM sodium pyruvate, 4500 mg/L glucose, and 0.05 mM 2-
mercaptoethanol. HL-60 cells are cultured in RPMI containing 10% bovine growth serum (BGS),
15% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1mM sodium pyruvate, 2mM L-glutamine, and 1X MEM non-
essential amino acids solution. HOXB8-ER and Cas9-expressing conditionally immortalized
macrophages (CIMs) were cultured in RPMI media containing 10% FBS, 10mM HEPES, 1mM
sodium pyruvate, 2mM L-glutamine, 1.5ul 2-mercaptoethanol, 2% GM-CSF as conditioned media
from B16 cells murine melanoma cells, and 2uM β-estradiol as previously described (53), prior to

differentiation. THP-1 and HL-60 cells were differentiated with DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS, 1mM pyruvate, 2mM L-glutamine, 10ng/ml phorbol 12-myristate 13 acetate (PMA), and

0.1ng/ml 1,25 dihydroxy-vitamin D. Cells were differentiated for 72 h before experiments. CIM

cells were differentiated by washing to removal of β-estradiol and then culture with DMEM

supplemented with 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, 1mM sodium pyruvate, 10% MCSF (derived

from 3T3 MCSF conditioned media) for 7 days prior to experiments.

All cell lines generated using lentiviral vectors followed the same steps of transfection of

HEK 293T Lenti-X cells with the transfer vector expressing the gene of interest, alongside the

packaging plasmids psPAX2 and the envelope plasmid VSV-G. Viral supernatant is harvested 48

hours after transfection and either filtered with a 0.45 μm syringe filter and for CIM cell

transduction, concentrated using A PEG-8000 solution (80). For transduction, viral supernatant

with Polybrene 8ug/ml is added for 12h to THP-1 and HL-60 cells. CIM cells are transduced

through spinfection and spun for 2h at 1000 RPM at 32C and then incubated for 6h before washing

and culture in fresh media. Selective antibiotics were as follows: THP-1 and HL-60 cells are

selected with 0.5 μg/ml of Puromycin or 4 μg/ml of Blasticidin. CIM cells are selected with 8 μg/ml

of Puromycin or 4 μg/ml of Blasticidin.

Bacterial Strains

Mtb was cultured in 7H9 (BD) supplemented with 0.5% glycerol, 10% oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-

catalase (OADC, Middlebrook), and 0.1% Tween-80 in bottles gently rotated at 40 RPM at 37° C

or on 7H10 plates supplemented with 10% OADC, 0.5% glycerol, and 0.1% Tween 80 at 37° C.

Macrophage Infection for RNA harvest:

Mtb strains were prepared for inoculation by washing twice in PBS with 10% heat-inactivated

horse serum, gently sonicated, and spun at 500 rpm for 5 m to generate a fine bacterial

suspension. Bacteria were opsonized in 10% heat-inactivated horse serum and macrophages

were infected at an MOI = 10 for 6hr by spinfection at 1200RPM for 10 minutes followed by

washing in PBS. After incubation, wells are washed once with 1X PBS and harvested in Trizol for

RNA extraction.

Macrophage Infection for Lux assay:

For luminescent growth assays, cells are plated in triplicate in 96 well plates at a density of 8 x

10^4 cells/well for THP-1 cells and 1.5 x 10^5 cells/ well for CIM cells. Macrophage cell density at

the time of infection was carefully matched between control and experimental cell lines to within

<15% variance by plating sets of control wells across a gradient of densities and using SYBR gold

to quantify nucleic acid content of plated experimental and control cells. Macrophages are infected

at a MO1 = 0.8, and after spinfection plates are incubated for 30 minutes at 37° C. After the

incubation, the monolayers were washed with PBS containing 1% heat-inactivated horse serum

twice, and bacterial luminescence was measured over time in a GloMax Explorer warmed to 37

degrees C. Macrophage growth media was changed every other day. Colony-forming units

(CFUs) were quantified by lysing triplicate wells of macrophages in sterile water and plated in

serially dilutions on 7H10 agar with supplemented with OADC and 0.1% Tween-80. Plates were

incubated at 37° C for 3 weeks prior to CFU enumeration.

Western Blots

Protein in cell lysates was quantified by BCA. 30-50ug of protein lysate was separated by SDS-

PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes and blocked with LiCor blocking buffer at

0.5x for 1h. Primary antibodies were used at varying dilutions and incubated 1.5h at room-

temperature or, in the case of anti-CBL antibody, 4° C overnight. Secondary antibodies were used

at 1:10,000 dilution for 1h. After probing for indicated antibodies, the membranes were imaged on

an Odyssey scanner (Licor).

RNA Purification

Nucleic acid-stimulated macrophages were lysed in PureLink lysis buffer per manufacturer

instructions. *Mtb-* infected macrophages were lysed in Trizol. In both cases, lysates were purified

with silica spin columns (Purelink) per manufacturer instructions.

Macrophage nucleic acid stimulation and RT-qPCR

Differentiated THP-1 and HL-60 cells are plated at 8 x 10^5/ well in a 12-well plate. Cells are

stimulated with 10ng of RNA or 20ng of DNA delivered by Lipofectamine 2000 as per manufacturer

instructions and incubated for 6h. After RNA purification, cDNA was generated using 500ng total

RNA with Maxima H minus reverse transcriptase and diluted 1:10 before qPCR analysis with the

indicated primers and SYBR Green I detection of products.

RNA-seq

Differentiated THP-1 cells were infected at MOI=10 for 6 h with *lpqN Mtb* in three independent

experiments on different days. RNA was purified and barcoded 3'Tag-Seq libraries prepared using

the QuantSeq FWD kit (Lexogen, Vienna, Austria) for multiplexed sequencing according to the

recommendations of the manufacturer by the UC Davis DNA Sequencing core. The fragment size

distribution of the libraries was verified via micro-capillary gel electrophoresis on a Bioanalyzer

2100 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). The libraries were quantified by fluorometry on a Qubit

instrument (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), and pooled in equimolar ratios. Twelve libraries

were sequenced on one lane of an Aviti sequencer (Element Biosciences, San Diego, CA) with

single-end 100 bp reads. The sequencing generated more than 4 million reads per library.

Analysis of human data: HTStream was used to remove PhiX, adapter sequences, polyA tails,

low quality sequences from end of reads, N bases, and reads less than 50 basepairs. After

preprocessing, STAR was used to align reads to GRCh38.p13 human genome. UMI-tools was

used to remove PCR duplicates post-alignment. Next, feature Counts was used to count reads

whose alignment overlapped with genes using the gencode genome corresponding to the human

genome version used. Annotation used was version 41. R was used for limma-voom pipeline on

R with multiple testing correction using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Plasmid Construction for short shRNA and sgRNA delivery

shRNA expression plasmids were constructed by digesting pLKO.1 cloning vector with AgeI and

EcoRI and annealed oligonucleotides containing the 21 shRNA encoding sequence were inserted,

For sgRNA, 20 nucleotide targeting sequences were designed to target regions in the first exon

of each locus using the Synthgo design tool. The targeting sequence is then fused by overlap-

extension PCR to a second-generation tracRNA (81) and inserted into the HpaI and XhoI sites of

pSicoR . The dual-guide sgRNA vector was constructed using a synthesized gene block

containing the two guides of interest and an H1 promoter from Integrated DNA technologies (IDT)

that were digested with BsmBI v2 on both ends and ligated to BsmBI v2 digested Lentiguide Puro

vector (Addgene) with a second-generation tracRNA (81). Evaluation of editing efficiency was

performed using TIDE.

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing.

After lentiviral delivery of sgRNA cells were selected for 1 week with puromycin. The genome

editing efficiency of the polyclonal population evaluated by PCR amplification of the targeted exon

followed by Sanger sequencing and TIDE analysis. The polyclonal population was used for

experiments.

Site-directed mutagenesis

The wildtype and ligase mutant copies of CBL for both THP-1 and CIM cells contain synonymous

mutations at the targeting sites of either the RNAi or CAS9 system to prevent degradation. Site-

directed mutagenesis was carried out to generate catalytically inactive CBL mutants using

pENTR1a plasmids (Addgene). Mutagenesis was validated through Sanger sequencing.

Following validation, gateway cloning was used to move the mutated CBL open reading frame

from pENTR1a into lentiviral pDEST vectors (Addgene). For THP-1 cells, constructs were cloned

into a pLenti CMV Blast vector (Addgene). For CIM cells, constructs were fused by overlap

extension PCR directly to a T2A peptide and blasticidin resistance gene and were cloned into

pLenti CMV modified to remove other antibiotic resistance genes (82).

di-Gly Enrichment

3×10^7 cells were plated 24h before infection. Cells were infected with *lpqN Mtb* at an MOI

= 10 for 6 hours. 2h prior to harvest, the 1μM of Bortezomib is added. For harvest, cells were

washed 1X with PBS then scraped in ice-cold methanol+ 0.1 glycine pH 2.5 to precipitate protein,

and inactivate proteases and *Mtb.* Cells were scrapped and removed from the BSL3. Chloroform

was added to 20% volume to assist precipitation, incubated on ice for 10 min. and samples

centrifuged to pellet protein. The pellets were washed once with ice-cold methanol, recentrifuged,

and dried. Protein was resolubilized in in 9M Urea 25 mM HEPES pH 8.5,1 mM chloroacetamide

(CAM), 0.5x Complete-mini protease inhibitor, and 0.5 mM EDTA with sonication. Proteins were

then reduced for 45 min with 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) at room temperature and alkylated with

20mM CAM for 20 minutes at room temperature and in the dark. BCA assay was performed,

samples diluted 1:4 in HEPES pH 8.0 and 2.5 mg of protein digested with Lys-C at enzyme to

substrate ratio 1:100 at 37°C for 2h. Subsequently, samples were digested overnight at 37°C with

trypsin enzyme to substrate ratio 1ug:100ug. Digestion was stopped with 0.5% TFA and then

incubated on ice for 15 min to allow urea to precipitate and samples centrifuged to pellet debris.

Peptides were desalted with 500 mg Sep-Pal C18 columns as per manufacturer instructions and

lyophilized.

2.5mg of lyophilized peptides were resuspended with 0.5x immunoaffinity purification

(IAP) buffer (50mM MOPS, pH7.2; 10mM sodium phosphate; 50mM NaCl) and sonicated. 10 ul

cross-linked anti-K-ε-GG antibody beads were washed 3x in PBS. Samples were then mixed with

10 ul cross-linked anti-K-ε-GG antibody beads and incubated at 4°C for 2h. The peptide-bead

mixture was then washed four times with PBS and one time with 0.1x IAP. Peptides were eluted

with 100ul 0.15% TFA and analyzed by LC-MS/MS with on-tip clean up prior to MS run.

Mass-Spectrometry

Chromatography was performed on an Evosep 1 using either the 60spd (di-Gly) or 30spd

(total protein) method. Each sample was loaded onto a disposable Evotip C18 trap column

(Evosep Biosytems, Denmark) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, Evotips were wetted

with 2-propanol, equilibrated with 0.1% formic acid, and then samples were loaded using

centrifugal force at 1200g. Evotips were subsequently washed with 0.1% formic acid, and then

200 µL of 0.1% formic acid was added to each tip to prevent drying. The tipped samples were

subjected to nanoLC on a Evosep One instrument (Evosep Biosystems). Tips were eluted directly

onto a PepSep analytical column, dimensions: 150umx10cm C18 column (PepSep, Denmark)

with 1.5 µm particle size (100 Å pores) (Bruker Daltronics), and a ZDV spray emitter (Bruker

Daltronics). Mobile phases A and B were 100% water with 0.1% formic acid (v/v) and 100%

Acetonitrile 0.1% formic acid (v/v), respectively. The standard pre-set method of 30 samples-per-

day was used, which is a 44 min minute run or 60 spd which is a 21 min run.

Mass Spectrometry – Performed on a hybrid trapped ion mobility spectrometry-quadrupole

time of flight mass spectrometer (timsTOF Pro 2 and timsTOF HT, (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen,

Germany) with a modified nano-electrospray ion source (CaptiveSpray, Bruker Daltonics). In the

experiments described here, the mass spectrometer was operated in diaPASEF mode.

Desolvated ions entered the vacuum region through the glass capillary and deflected into the

TIMS tunnel which is electrically separated into two parts (dual TIMS). Here, the first region is

operated as an ion accumulation trap that primarily stores all ions entering the mass spectrometer,

while the second part performs trapped ion mobility analysis.

DIA PASEF: The dual TIMS analyzer was operated at a fixed duty cycle close to 100%

using equal accumulation and ramp times of 75 ms each. For the 30spd Evosep run, Data-

independent analysis (DIA) scheme consisted of one MS scan followed by MSMS scans taken

with 6x3= 18 precursor windows at width of 50Th per 6x3= 560 ms cycle, over the mass range

8x3= 300-1200 Dalton. The TIMS scans layer the doubly and triply charged peptides over an ion

mobility -1/k0- range of 0.707-1.29 V*sec/cm2. The collision energy was ramped linearly as a

function of the mobility from 59 eV at 1/K0=1.2 to 20 eV at 1/K0=0.7. For the 60spd Evosep run,

Data-independent analysis (DIA) scheme consisted of one MS scan followed by MS/MS scans

taken with 5x7= 35 precursor windows at width of 30^{Th} = 763 ms cycle time over the mass range

286-1307 Dalton. The TIMS scans layer the doubly and triply charged peptides over an ion

mobility -1/k0- range of 0.7-1.3 V*sec/cm2. The collision energy was ramped linearly as a function

of the mobility from 59 eV at 1/K0=1.2 to 20 eV at 1/K0=0.

Data Analysis

LCMS files were processed with Spectronaut version 18.4(Biognosys, Zurich,

Switzerland) using DirectDIA analysis mode. Mass tolerance/accuracy for precursor and fragment

identification was set to default settings. The unreviewed FASTA for Mus Musculus,

UP000000589, downloaded from Uniprot and a universal library of common laboratory

contaminants (Frankenfield et al, 2022). For di-Gly analysis, a maximum of two missing cleavages

were allowed, the required minimum peptide sequence length was 7 amino acids, and the peptide

mass was limited to a maximum of 4600 Da. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues was set

as a fixed modification, and methionine oxidation, acetylation of protein N termini and

ubiquitination as variable modifications. A decoy false discovery rate (FDR) at less than 1% for

peptide spectrum matches and protein group identifications was used for spectra filtering

(Spectronaut default). Decoy database hits, proteins identified as potential contaminants, and

proteins identified exclusively by one site modification were excluded from further analysis. For

total protein: DIA data was analyzed using Spectronaut 18. using the direct DIA workflow with

PTM localization selected. Trypsin/P Specific was set for the enzyme allowing two missed

cleavages. Fixed Modifications were set for Carbamidomethyl, and variable modifications were

set to Acetyl (Protein N-term), Oxidation, and ubiquitination. For DIA search identification, PSM

and Protein Group FDR were set at 0.01%. Mass-spectrometry statistical analysis was performed

in Spectronaut® (Biognosys) using t-test with multiple testing correction.

Pathway enrichment analysis

Functional and pathway enrichment analysis for RNA-seq Ubiquitination Proteomics Gene

ontology and pathway analysis were carried out on the set of genes with FDR <= 0.5 and LogFC

<= 0.5 using the DAVID database (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated

Discovery; https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) (84). The significantly enriched biological pathways were

identified with FDR <0.05. The pathways were visualized using R.

Statistics

Statistical significance for RT-qPCR data was performed using GraphPad Prism version

10 (GraphPad Software, LLC) using two-tailed Student t-test when comparing. Statistical analysis

for RNA-sequencing datasets was performed using the limma-voom pipeline on R with multiple

testing corrections using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Ubiquitination statistical analysis was

carried out within Spectronaut® (Biognosys) using the integrated statistical package with

randomized imputation of values near the limit of detection if a peptide was undetectable in a

particular sample, and performs t-tests with multiple testing correction and FDR calculation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sebastian Winter and Jeroen Saeij for their input on this

manuscript. We would like to thank the DNA Technologies and Bioinformatics cores at UC Davis

that assisted with the sequencing and processing of the RNA-seq analysis. Schematic images

were prepared with Biorender. BHP was funded by NIH 1R01AI144149.

References

1. Shrestha S, Foxman B, Weinberger DM, Steiner C, Viboud C, Rohani P. Identifying the

interaction between influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia using incidence data. Sci Transl

Med. 2013 Jun 26;5(191):191ra84.

2. Yamamura M, Uyemura K, Deans RJ, Weinberg K, Rea TH, Bloom BR, et al. Defining

Protective Responses to Pathogens: Cytokine Profiles in Leprosy Lesions. Science. 1991 Oct

11;254(5029):277–9.

3. Mogensen TH. Pathogen Recognition and Inflammatory Signaling in Innate Immune

Defenses. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009 Apr;22(2):240–73.

4. Li D, Wu M. Pattern recognition receptors in health and diseases. Sig Transduct Target Ther.

2021 Aug 4;6(1):1–24.

5. TB mortality [Internet]. [cited 2024 Apr 17]. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/global-

tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosis-report-2023/tb-disease-burden/1-2-

tb-mortality

6. Ferwerda G, Girardin SE, Kullberg BJ, Le Bourhis L, de Jong DJ, Langenberg DML, et al.

NOD2 and toll-like receptors are nonredundant recognition systems of Mycobacterium

tuberculosis. PLoS Pathog. 2005 Nov;1(3):279–85.

7. Bowdish DME, Sakamoto K, Kim MJ, Kroos M, Mukhopadhyay S, Leifer CA, et al. MARCO,

TLR2, and CD14 are required for macrophage cytokine responses to mycobacterial trehalose

dimycolate and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. PLoS Pathog. 2009 Jun;5(6):e1000474.

8. Underhill DM, Ozinsky A, Smith KD, Aderem A. Toll-like receptor-2 mediates mycobacteria-
induced proinflammatory signaling in macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999 Dec
7;96(25):14459–63.

9. Blumenthal A, Kobayashi T, Pierini LM, Banaei N, Ernst JD, Miyake K, et al. RP105 facilitates
macrophage activation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis lipoproteins. Cell Host Microbe. 2009
Jan 22;5(1):35–46.

10. Means TK, Wang S, Lien E, Yoshimura A, Golenbock DT, Fenton MJ. Human toll-like
receptors mediate cellular activation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Immunol. 1999 Oct
1;163(7):3920–7.

11. Bafica A, Scanga CA, Feng CG, Leifer C, Cheever A, Sher A. TLR9 regulates Th1 responses
and cooperates with TLR2 in mediating optimal resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J
Exp Med. 2005 Dec 19;202(12):1715–24.

12. Dorhoi A, Nouailles G, Jörg S, Hagens K, Heinemann E, Pradl L, et al. Activation of the NLRP3
inflammasome by Mycobacterium tuberculosis is uncoupled from susceptibility to active
tuberculosis. Eur J Immunol. 2012 Feb;42(2):374–84.

13. Mishra BB, Rathinam VAK, Martens GW, Martinot AJ, Kornfeld H, Fitzgerald KA, et al. Nitric
oxide controls the immunopathology of tuberculosis by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome-
dependent processing of IL-1β. Nat Immunol. 2013 Jan;14(1):52–60.

14. Ishikawa E, Ishikawa T, Morita YS, Toyonaga K, Yamada H, Takeuchi O, et al. Direct
recognition of the mycobacterial glycolipid, trehalose dimycolate, by C-type lectin Mincle. J
Exp Med. 2009 Dec 21;206(13):2879–88.

15. Bourigault ML, Segueni N, Rose S, Court N, Vacher R, Vasseur V, et al. Relative contribution

of IL-1α, IL-1β and TNF to the host response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis and attenuated

M. bovis BCG. Immun Inflamm Dis. 2014/11/18 ed. 2013 Oct;1(1):47–62.

16. Segueni N, Benmerzoug S, Rose S, Gauthier A, Bourigault ML, Reverchon F, et al. Innate

myeloid cell TNFR1 mediates first line defence against primary Mycobacterium tuberculosis

infection. Scientific Reports. 2016 Mar 2;6(1):22454.

17. Mayer-Barber KD, Barber DL, Shenderov K, White SD, Wilson MS, Cheever A, et al. Cutting

Edge: Caspase-1 Independent IL-1β Production Is Critical for Host Resistance to

Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Does Not Require TLR Signaling In Vivo. The Journal of

Immunology. 2010 Apr 1;184(7):3326–30.

18. Pattanaik KP, Ganguli G, Naik SK, Sonawane A. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* EsxL induces

TNF-α secretion through activation of TLR2 dependent MAPK and NF-κB pathways.

Molecular Immunology. 2021 Feb 1;130:133–41.

19. Mayer-Barber KD, Andrade BB, Oland SD, Amaral EP, Barber DL, Gonzales J, et al. Host-

directed therapy of tuberculosis based on interleukin-1 and type I interferon crosstalk. Nature.

2014 Jul 3;511(7507):99–103.

20. Di Paolo NC, Shafiani S, Day T, Papayannopoulou T, Russell DW, Iwakura Y, et al.

Interdependence between Interleukin-1 and Tumor Necrosis Factor Regulates TNF-

Dependent Control of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. Immunity. 2015 Dec

15;43(6):1125–36.

21. Mayer-Barber KD, Barber DL, Shenderov K, White SD, Wilson MS, Cheever A, et al.

Caspase-1 independent IL-1beta production is critical for host resistance to mycobacterium

tuberculosis and does not require TLR signaling in vivo. J Immunol. 2010 Apr 1;184(7):3326–

30.

22. Flynn JL, Goldstein MM, Chan J, Triebold KJ, Pfeffer K, Lowenstein CJ, et al. Tumor necrosis

factor-α is required in the protective immune response against Mycobacterium tuberculosis in

mice. Immunity. 1995;2(6):561–72.

23. 1.2 TB mortality [Internet]. [cited 2024 Apr 17]. Available from:

https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosis-

report-2023/tb-disease-burden/1-2-tb-mortality

24. Wassermann R, Gulen MF, Sala C, Perin SG, Lou Y, Rybniker J, et al. Mycobacterium

tuberculosis Differentially Activates cGAS- and Inflammasome-Dependent Intracellular

Immune Responses through ESX-1. Cell Host & Microbe. 2015 Jun 10;17(6):799–810.

25. Cheng Y, Schorey JS. Mycobacterium tuberculosis–induced IFN-β production requires

cytosolic DNA and RNA sensing pathways. J Exp Med. 2018 Nov 5;215(11):2919–35.

26. Stanley SA, Johndrow JE, Manzanillo P, Cox JS. The Type I IFN Response to Infection with

Mycobacterium tuberculosis Requires ESX-1-Mediated Secretion and Contributes to

Pathogenesis1. The Journal of Immunology. 2007 Mar 1;178(5):3143–52.

27. Creagh EM, O'Neill LAJ. TLRs, NLRs and RLRs: a trinity of pathogen sensors that co-operate

in innate immunity. Trends in Immunology. 2006 Aug 1;27(8):352–7.

28. Kawai T, Akira S. The roles of TLRs, RLRs and NLRs in pathogen recognition. Int Immunol.

2009 Apr;21(4):317–37.

29. Chen W, Han C, Xie B, Hu X, Yu Q, Shi L, et al. Induction of Siglec-G by RNA Viruses Inhibits

the Innate Immune Response by Promoting RIG-I Degradation. Cell. 2013 Jan

31;152(3):467–78.

30. Watson RO, Bell SL, MacDuff DA, Kimmey JM, Diner EJ, Olivas J, et al. The Cytosolic Sensor

cGAS Detects Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA to Induce Type I Interferons and Activate

Autophagy. Cell Host & Microbe. 2015 Jun 10;17(6):811–9.

31. Collins AC, Cai H, Li T, Franco LH, Li XD, Nair VR, et al. Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase Is an

Innate Immune DNA Sensor for Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Cell Host & Microbe. 2015 Jun

10;17(6):820–8.

32. Berry MPR, Graham CM, McNab FW, Xu Z, Bloch SAA, Oni T, et al. An interferon-inducible

neutrophil-driven blood transcriptional signature in human tuberculosis. Nature. 2010

Aug;466(7309):973–7.

33. Maertzdorf J, Repsilber D, Parida SK, Stanley K, Roberts T, Black G, et al. Human gene

expression profiles of susceptibility and resistance in tuberculosis. Genes Immun. 2011

Jan;12(1):15–22.

34. Maertzdorf J, Ota M, Repsilber D, Mollenkopf HJ, Weiner J, Hill PC, et al. Functional

Correlations of Pathogenesis-Driven Gene Expression Signatures in Tuberculosis. PLOS

ONE. 2011 Oct 28;6(10):e26938.

35. Moreira-Teixeira L, Tabone O, Graham CM, Singhania A, Stavropoulos E, Redford PS, et al.

Mouse transcriptome reveals potential signatures of protection and pathogenesis in human

tuberculosis. Nat Immunol. 2020 Apr 1;21(4):464–76.

36. Moreira-Teixeira L, Stimpson PJ, Stavropoulos E, Hadebe S, Chakravarty P, Ioannou M, et
al. Type I IFN exacerbates disease in tuberculosis-susceptible mice by inducing neutrophil-
mediated lung inflammation and NETosis. Nat Commun. 2020 Nov 4;11:5566.

37. Kramnik I, Dietrich WF, Demant P, Bloom BR. Genetic control of resistance to experimental
infection with virulent Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences. 2000 Jul 18;97(15):8560–5.

38. Kamath AB, Alt J, Debbabi H, Behar SM. Toll-Like Receptor 4-Defective C3H/HeJ Mice Are
Not More Susceptible than Other C3H Substrains to Infection with Mycobacterium
tuberculosis. Infect Immun. 2003 Jul;71(7):4112–8.

39. Ji DX, Yamashiro LH, Chen KJ, Mukaida N, Kramnik I, Darwin KH, et al. Type I interferon-
driven susceptibility to Mycobacterium tuberculosis is mediated by IL-1Ra. Nature
microbiology. 2019;4(12):2128–35.

40. Ji DX, Witt KC, Kotov DI, Margolis SR, Louie A, Chevée V, et al. Role of the transcriptional
regulator SP140 in resistance to bacterial infections via repression of type I interferons.
Stallings CL, Rath S, editors. eLife. 2021 Jun 21;10:e67290.

41. Franco LH, Nair VR, Scharn CR, Xavier RJ, Torrealba JR, Shiloh MU, et al. The Ubiquitin
Ligase Smurf1 Functions in Selective Autophagy of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Anti-
tuberculous Host Defense. Cell Host Microbe. 2017 Sep 13;22(3):421–3.

42. Manzanillo PS, Ayres JS, Watson RO, Collins AC, Souza G, Rae CS, et al. The ubiquitin
ligase parkin mediates resistance to intracellular pathogens. Nature. 2013 Sep
26;501(7468):512–6.

43. Watson RO, Manzanillo PS, Cox JS. Extracellular M. tuberculosis DNA targets bacteria for

autophagy by activating the host DNA-sensing pathway. Cell. 2012 Aug 17;150(4):803–15.

44. Gutierrez MG, Master SS, Singh SB, Taylor GA, Colombo MI, Deretic V. Autophagy Is a

Defense Mechanism Inhibiting BCG and Mycobacterium tuberculosis Survival in Infected

Macrophages. Cell. 2004 Dec 17;119(6):753–66.

45. Penn BH, Netter Z, Johnson JR, Von Dollen J, Jang GM, Johnson T, et al. An Mtb-Human

Protein-Protein Interaction Map Identifies a Switch Between Host Anti-Viral and Anti-Bacterial

Responses. Mol Cell. 2018 Aug 16;71(4):637-648.e5.

46. Hoffpauir CT, Bell SL, West KO, Jing T, Wagner AR, Torres-Odio S, et al. TRIM14 Is a Key

Regulator of the Type I IFN Response during Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. J

Immunol. 2020 Jul 1;205(1):153–67.

47. Landt SG, Marinov GK, Kundaje A, Kheradpour P, Pauli F, Batzoglou S, et al. ChIP-seq

guidelines and practices of the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia. Genome Res. 2012

Sep;22(9):1813–31.

48. Andoniou CE, Lill NL, Thien CB, Lupher ML, Ota S, Bowtell DD, et al. The Cbl proto-oncogene

product negatively regulates the Src-family tyrosine kinase Fyn by enhancing its degradation.

Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2000;20(3):851–67.

49. Duan L, Miura Y, Dimri M, Majumder B, Dodge IL, Reddi AL, et al. Cbl-mediated

ubiquitinylation is required for lysosomal sorting of epidermal growth factor receptor but is

dispensable for endocytosis. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2003;278(31):28950–60.

50. Andoniou CE, Thien CB, Langdon WY. The two major sites of cbl tyrosine phosphorylation in

abl-transformed cells select the crkL SH2 domain. Oncogene. 1996 May 2;12(9):1981–9.

51. Arron JR, Vologodskaia M, Wong BR, Naramura M, Kim N, Gu H, et al. A positive regulatory
role for Cbl family proteins in tumor necrosis factor-related activation-induced cytokine
(trance) and CD40L-mediated Akt activation. J Biol Chem. 2001 Aug 10;276(32):30011–7.

52. Thien CB, Blystad FD, Zhan Y, Lew AM, Voigt V, Andoniou CE, et al. Loss of c-Cbl RING
finger function results in high-intensity TCR signaling and thymic deletion. The EMBO Journal.
2005;24(21):3807–19.

53. Roberts AW, Popov LM, Mitchell G, Ching KL, Licht DJ, Golovkine G, et al. Cas9+
conditionally-immortalized macrophages as a tool for bacterial pathogenesis and beyond.
Garrett WS, Kana BD, editors. eLife. 2019 Jun 17;8:e45957.

54. Fulzele A, Bennett EJ. Ubiquitin diGLY proteomics as an approach to identify and quantify
the ubiquitin-modified proteome. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1844:363–84.

55. Denis NJ, Vasilescu J, Lambert JP, Smith JC, Figeys D. Tryptic digestion of ubiquitin
standards reveals an improved strategy for identifying ubiquitinated proteins by mass
spectrometry. Proteomics. 2007 Mar;7(6):868–74.

56. Kim W, Bennett EJ, Huttlin EL, Guo A, Li J, Possemato A, et al. Systematic and quantitative
assessment of the ubiquitin-modified proteome. Mol Cell. 2011 Oct 21;44(2):325–40.

57. Wagner SA, Beli P, Weinert BT, Nielsen ML, Cox J, Mann M, et al. A proteome-wide,
quantitative survey of in vivo ubiquitylation sites reveals widespread regulatory roles. Mol Cell
Proteomics. 2011 Oct;10(10):M111.013284.

58. Xu G, Paige JS, Jaffrey SR. Global analysis of lysine ubiquitination by ubiquitin remnant
immunoaffinity profiling. Nat Biotechnol. 2010 Aug;28(8):868–73.

59. Udeshi ND, Svinkina T, Mertins P, Kuhn E, Mani DR, Qiao JW, et al. Refined preparation and
use of anti-diglycine remnant (K-ε-GG) antibody enables routine quantification of 10,000s of
ubiquitination sites in single proteomics experiments. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2013
Mar;12(3):825–31.

60. Lumpkin RJ, Gu H, Zhu Y, Leonard M, Ahmad AS, Clauser KR, et al. Site-specific
identification and quantitation of endogenous SUMO modifications under native conditions.
Nat Commun. 2017 Oct 27;8:1171.

61. Lobato-Gil S, Heidelberger JB, Maghames C, Bailly A, Brunello L, Rodriguez MS, et al.
Proteome-wide identification of NEDD8 modification sites reveals distinct proteomes for
canonical and atypical NEDDylation. Cell Rep. 2021 Jan 19;34(3):108635.

62. Zhang Y, Thery F, Wu NC, Luhmann EK, Dussurget O, Foecke M, et al. The in vivo ISGylome
links ISG15 to metabolic pathways and autophagy upon Listeria monocytogenes infection.
Nat Commun. 2019 Nov 26;10(1):5383.

63. He R, Torres CA, Wang Y, He C, Zhong G. Type-I Interferon Signaling Protects against
Chlamydia trachomatis Infection in the Female Lower Genital Tract. Infect Immun. 2023 Jun
15;91(6):e0015323.

64. Prantner D, Darville T, Nagarajan UM. Stimulator of IFN gene is critical for induction of IFN-
beta during Chlamydia muridarum infection. J Immunol. 2010 Mar 1;184(5):2551–60.

65. Leber JH, Crimmins GT, Raghavan S, Meyer-Morse NP, Cox JS, Portnoy DA. Distinct TLR-
and NLR-Mediated Transcriptional Responses to an Intracellular Pathogen. PLOS
Pathogens. 2008 Jan 11;4(1):e6.

66. Woodward JJ, Iavarone AT, Portnoy DA. c-di-AMP secreted by intracellular Listeria
monocytogenes activates a host type I interferon response. Science. 2010 Jun
25;328(5986):1703–5.

67. Johnson JR, Parry T, Repasy T, Geiger KM, Verschueren E, Budzik JM, et al. Comparative
analysis of macrophage post-translational modifications during intracellular bacterial
pathogen infection [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2020 [cited 2024 Apr 25]. p. 2020.05.27.116772.
Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.27.116772v1

68. Bullen CK, Singh AK, Krug S, Lun S, Thakur P, Srikrishna G, et al. MDA5 RNA-sensing
pathway activation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis promotes innate immune subversion and
pathogen survival. JCI Insight. 2023 Oct 23;8(20):e166242.

69. Zhang L, Jiang X, Pfau D, Ling Y, Nathan CF. Type I interferon signaling mediates
Mycobacterium tuberculosis-induced macrophage death. J Exp Med. 2021 Feb
1;218(2):e20200887.

70. Kotov DI, Lee OV, Fattinger SA, Langner CA, Guillen JV, Peters JM, et al. Early cellular
mechanisms of type I interferon-driven susceptibility to tuberculosis. Cell. 2023 Dec
7;186(25):5536-5553.e22.

71. Miyake S, Lupher ML, Druker B, Band H. The tyrosine kinase regulator Cbl enhances the
ubiquitination and degradation of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor α. Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences. 1998;95(14):7927–32.

72. Christianson JC, Olzmann JA, Shaler TA, Sowa ME, Bennett EJ, Richter CM, et al. Defining
human ERAD networks through an integrative mapping strategy. Nat Cell Biol. 2011 Nov
27;14(1):93–105.

73. Yang L, Wang L, Ketkar H, Ma J, Yang G, Cui S, et al. UBXN3B positively regulates STING-
mediated antiviral immune responses. Nat Commun. 2018 Jun 13;9(1):2329.

74. Liu F, Tian T, Zhang Z, Xie S, Yang J, Zhu L, et al. Long non-coding RNA SNHG6 couples
cholesterol sensing with mTORC1 activation in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Metab. 2022
Aug;4(8):1022–40.

75. Gwon Y, Maxwell BA, Kolaitis RM, Zhang P, Kim HJ, Taylor JP. Ubiquitination of G3BP1
mediates stress granule disassembly in a context-specific manner. Science. 2021 Jun
25;372(6549):eabf6548.

76. Grøvdal LM, Stang E, Sorkin A, Madshus IH. Direct interaction of Cbl with pTyr 1045 of the
EGF receptor (EGFR) is required to sort the EGFR to lysosomes for degradation.
Experimental cell research. 2004;300(2):388–95.

77. Visser Smit GD, Place TL, Cole SL, Clausen KA, Vemuganti S, Zhang G, et al. Cbl Controls
EGFR Fate by Regulating Early Endosome Fusion. Science Signaling. 2009 Dec
22;2(102):ra86–ra86.

78. Budzik JM, Swaney DL, Jimenez-Morales D, Johnson JR, Garelis NE, Repasy T, et al.
Dynamic post-translational modification profiling of Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected
primary macrophages. Elife. 2020 Jan 17;9:e51461.

79. Johnson JR, Crosby DC, Hultquist JF, Kurland AP, Adhikary P, Li D, et al. Global post-
translational modification profiling of HIV-1-infected cells reveals mechanisms of host cellular
pathway remodeling. Cell Rep. 2022 Apr 12;39(2):110690.

80. Torii S, Oishi W, Zhu Y, Thakali O, Malla B, Yu Z, et al. Comparison of five polyethylene glycol
precipitation procedures for the RT-qPCR based recovery of murine hepatitis virus,

bacteriophage phi6, and pepper mild mottle virus as a surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 from

wastewater. Science of The Total Environment. 2022 Feb 10;807:150722.

81. Tzelepis K, Koike-Yusa H, De Braekeleer E, Li Y, Metzakopian E, Dovey OM, et al. A CRISPR

Dropout Screen Identifies Genetic Vulnerabilities and Therapeutic Targets in Acute Myeloid

Leukemia. Cell Rep. 2016/10/21 ed. 2016 Oct 18;17(4):1193–205.

82. Liu Z, Chen O, Wall JBJ, Zheng M, Zhou Y, Wang L, et al. Systematic comparison of 2A

peptides for cloning multi-genes in a polycistronic vector. Sci Rep. 2017 May 19;7(1):2193.

83. Mohapatra B, Zutshi N, An W, Goetz B, Arya P, Bielecki TA, et al. An essential role of CBL

and CBL-B ubiquitin ligases in mammary stem cell maintenance. Development. 2017 Mar

15;144(6):1072–86.

84. Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC, et al. DAVID: a web server for

functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). Nucleic

Acids Research. 2022 Jul 5;50(W1):W216–21.

85. Brinkman EK, Chen T, Amendola M, van Steensel B. Easy quantitative assessment of

genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014 Dec

16;42(22):e168.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

A

B

C

D

E

FAF2 Ubiquitylation Sites

F