Mitochondrial Protein Carboxyl-Terminal Alanine-Threonine Tailing Promotes Glioblastoma Tumor Growth by Regulating Mitochondrial Function

Bei Zhang[1,†], Ting Cai[1,†], Esha Reddy[1], Yuanna Wu[1], Isha Mondal[2], Yinglu Tang[1], Adaeze Scholastical Gbufor[1], Jerry Wang[1,‡], Yawei Shen[3,4], Qing Liu[3,4], Raymond Sun[2], Winson S Ho[2], Rongze Olivia Lu[2,*], Zhihao Wu[1,*]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

[2] Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA.

[3] Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA.

[4] Center for Human Genetics, Clemson University, Greenwood, SC 29646, USA.

[†] These authors contributed equally.

[‡] Current address: McCombs School of Business, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

[*] Correspondence authors: Rongze Olivia Lu (rongze.lu@ucsf.edu); Zhihao Wu (zhihaowu@smu.edu)

Highlights

- Glioblastoma (GBM) cells have a disturbed RQC pathway

- msiCAT-tailing on ATP5α in GBM cells increases mitochondrial membrane potential

- This msiCAT-tailing prevents MPTP opening

- ATP5α msiCAT-tailing also inhibits drug-induced apoptosis in GBM cells

- Blocking msiCAT-tailing impedes the overall growth of GBM cells

Abstract

The rapid and sustained proliferation of cancer cells necessitates increased protein production, which, along with their disrupted metabolism, elevates the likelihood of translation errors. Ribosome-associated quality control (RQC), a recently identified mechanism, mitigates ribosome collisions resulting from frequent translation stalls. However, the precise pathophysiological role of the RQC pathway in oncogenesis remains ambiguous. Our research centered on the pathogenic implications of mitochondrial stress-induced protein carboxyl-terminal alanine and threonine tailing (msiCAT-tailing), a specific RQC response to translational arrest on the outer mitochondrial membrane, in glioblastoma (GBM). The presence of msiCAT-tailed mitochondrial proteins was observed commonly in glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs). The exogenous introduction of the mitochondrial ATP synthase F1 subunit alpha (ATP5α) protein, accompanied by artificial CAT-tail mimicking sequences, enhanced mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$m) and inhibited the formation of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP). These alterations in mitochondrial characteristics provided resistance to staurosporine (STS)-induced apoptosis in GBM cells. Consequently, msiCAT-tailing can foster cell survival and migration, whereas blocking msiCAT-tailing via genetic or pharmacological intervention can impede GBM cell overgrowth.

45 **Impact Statement**

46 The Carboxyl-Terminal Alanine-Threonine-tailed protein ATP5α helps glioblastoma

47 mitochondria maintain a high membrane potential and keep the permeability transition pore

48 closed, thereby promoting tumor growth and increasing resistance to apoptosis.

Introduction

Proteins are vital to biological processes, and their overproduction is particularly crucial for rapidly proliferating cells, such as those found in cancer. To cope with this increased demand, cancer cells extensively reform the initiation, elongation, and termination phases of their protein synthesis (1). However, heightened protein translation elevates the chance of errors (2). Coupled with metabolic perturbations such as energy fluctuations and redox imbalances, the capacity to address disruptions during translation becomes indispensable. Ribosome-associated quality control (RQC) is a recently discovered suite of rescue mechanisms in eukaryotes that detect and resolve stalled, decelerated, or collided ribosomes during translation elongation or termination (3, 4).

RQC is a multi-step process initiated by the ZNF598/RACK1 complex, which recognizes the distinctive 40S-40S interface on collided ribosomes, triggering the ubiquitination of specific 40S subunit proteins (5, 6). Subsequently, the ASC-1 complex separates the leading ribosome (7, 8). Following this, events that transpire include: ribosomal subunit dissociation and recycling (9), modification of the nascent peptide chains by C-terminal alanine and threonine addition (CAT-tailing) (10), release of CAT-tailed products from the 60S subunits by ANKZF1/VMS1 (11), and degradation of aberrant peptides by the Ltn1/VCP/NEMF complex (4). The functional significance of CAT-tailed proteins produced during RQC remains incompletely understood. They may facilitate Ltn1-mediated ubiquitination (12) and promote the degradation of defective nascent peptides by exposing lysine residues (13, 14). Nonetheless, they are also prone to forming detergent-insoluble aggregates (15, 16). Furthermore, contingent upon the nature of the original protein and its subcellular location, CAT-tailed proteins might possess specific, albeit currently unclear, functions. Notably, CAT-tailed proteins have been implicated in the

pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases, indicating a significant role in their

progression (17-19).

Cancerous cells exhibit increased translation irregularities, including stop codon

readthrough (20), frame-shifting (21), and oxidative stress-induced ribosomal arrest (22), which

suggests a potential role for the RQC pathway. While CAT-tail modification of mitochondrial

proteins due to compromised RQC has been noted in HeLa cells, the mechanistic involvement of

RQC factors in cancer biology remains largely unexplored (17). Notably, the expression profile

of various RQC factors (e.g., ASCC3, ABCE1, ANKZF1, and VCP) is dysregulated in cancer

(23-26). Interestingly, RQC factors can display opposing functions in cancer development and

suppression depending on specific circumstances, with some factors like ABCE1, ASCC3, and

VCP suppressing cancer cell growth upon downregulation (23, 24, 26), while others like

NEMF/Clbn and ZNF598 may promote it upon inhibition (27, 28). This suggests a nuanced,

context-dependent role for RQC components in cancer cells, influenced by both genetic and

environmental factors. A recent study investigated the mechanism of ANKZF1 in mitochondrial

proteostasis and its impact on glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) progression (29). However, this

study employed a non-physiological mitochondria-targeted GFP to induce matrix proteotoxicity,

leaving the role of endogenous mitochondrial proteins in this process ambiguous.

Mitochondrial stress leads to co-translational import anomalies, eliciting widespread

CAT-tailing (mitochondrial stress-induced CAT-tail or msiCAT-tail) of nuclear-encoded

mitochondrial proteins, including C-I30 (Complex-I 30 kDa subunit protein, NDUS3) (17, 30).

The functional ramifications of these msiCAT-tailed proteins in mitochondrial biology remain

poorly elucidated. Given that CAT-tailing imparts new properties to proteins, it may contribute to

the distinctive features of cancer cell mitochondria, such as hyperpolarization (31, 32) and

resistance to drug-induced apoptosis linked to a high mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \phi$ m)

(33-35). This membrane potential across the inner membrane of mitochondria, essential for ATP

production by OXPHOS, is sustained by the electron transport chain (Complexes I to IV), which

pumps protons (H^+) into the intermembrane space (36), and ATP synthase (Complex V), which

leverages this gradient (37). While numerous malignant cells exhibit reduced OXPHOS despite

high energy demands (38), the mechanisms by which they maintain or elevate $\Delta\Psi$m remain an

unresolved question (31).

In this study, we investigated msiCAT-tailing modification on the mitochondrial ATP

synthase F1 subunit alpha (ATP5α). We discerned that msiCAT-tailed ATP5α is present in GBM.

The mimic short-tailed ATP5α (ATP5α-AT3 in subsequent studies) can integrate into the ATP

synthase, leading to an augmented $\Delta\Psi$m and attenuated mitochondrial permeability transition

pore (MPTP) assembly and opening. Consequently, msiCAT-tailed ATP5α enhances GBM cell

resistance to programmed cell death induced by staurosporine (STS) and temozolomide (TMZ),

thereby fostering cancer cell survival, proliferation, and migration. Conversely, impeding

msiCAT-tailing diminishes cancer cell growth and resensitizes GBM cells to apoptosis. Our

findings underscore the involvement of CAT-tailed mitochondrial proteins in tumorigenesis and

emphasize the significance of the RQC pathway in oncobiology. These outcomes suggest that

components and products of the RQC pathway may offer promising therapeutic targets for GBM.

Results

Presence of msiCAT-tailed Proteins in Glioblastoma Cells

While dysregulation of individual ribosome-associated quality control (RQC) factors is documented across various cancers (e.g., adenocarcinoma, non-small cell lung, prostate, and colon carcinomas), a comprehensive analysis of the RQC pathway in glioblastoma (GBM) has been lacking (23-26). Our analysis of transcriptomic data from a cohort of 153 GBM patients and 206 healthy controls, sourced from public datasets, revealed significantly elevated expression (logFC (fold change) > 1; adj.P.Val < 0.001) of RQC pathway genes, such as *ABCE1*, *ASCC1-3*, *RACK1*, and *VCP*, in GBM cells(39). Conversely, *ANKZF1* was significantly downregulated (logFC = -0.43, adj.P.Val = 0.0005) (Fig. 1A, Table 1). The expression change in these genes implies activation of the RQC pathway and potential accumulation of CAT-tailed proteins in GBM. Mitochondrial stress-induced protein mitochondrial Complex-I 30 kDa (C-I 30, also known as NDUS3), an endogenous RQC substrate with msiCAT-tails, was previously identified in HeLa cells (17). Examination of patient-derived GBM stem cells (GSCs) and normal neural stem cells (NSCs) revealed that GSCs, unlike NSCs, exhibited several msiCAT-tailed mitochondrial proteins, including NDUS3, COX4 (Cytochrome c Oxidase subunit 4), and ATP5α (ATP synthase F1 subunit alpha). Consistent with the detection of these msiCAT-tailing signals, increased NEMF (Nuclear Export Mediator Factor) levels (10) and decreased ANKZF1 (Ankyrin Repeat and Zinc-finger Peptidyl tRNA Hydrolase 1) expression (11) were observed in patient-derived GSCs (Fig. 1B), further indicative of enhanced CAT-tailing activation, mirroring bioinformatics findings in GBM samples. A murine GBM model exhibited analogous RQC pathway alterations, with increased NEMF and decreased ANKZF1 expression in transplanted SB28 gliomas compared to normal brain tissue (Fig. S1A, B).

The subsequent experiments were conducted using two GBM cell lines, SF268 (SF in

figures) (40) and GSC827 (GSC in figures) (41), and two control cell lines, SVG p12 (SVG in

figures) and Normal Human Astrocytes E6/E7/hTERT (NHA in figures) (42). RQC protein

expression analysis revealed decreased ANKZF1 and increased ABCE1, ASCC3, and NEMF

expression in GSC827 and SF268 cells, consistent with findings in patient-derived GSCs (Fig.

S1C). Intriguingly, induction of CAT-tailing on a Flag-tagged β-globin reporter via a non-stop

protein translation system demonstrated significantly higher CAT-tailed protein (β-globin-

nonstop) production in GBM cells (43). This process was inhibitable by the CAT-tailing

elongation inhibitor anisomycin and NEMF knockdown (sgNEMF), but not cycloheximide

treatment, as evidenced by a decreased ratio of CAT-tailed (Red) to non-CAT-tailed bands (Green)

(Fig. 1C).

Next, to investigate the biological implications of CAT-tailing, artificial CAT-tails were

introduced to mitochondrial proteins. Due to the variability in CAT-tailing, prior research

simulated this process by adding alanine-threonine (AT) repeat tails to the C-terminus of

mitochondrial proteins (17). According to recent studies, the chosen tail sequence can be

stabilized by its high threonine content (44, 45). ATP5α, a highly abundant mitochondrial protein

with roles in cancer, was selected to study the unique functions of CAT-tailed forms (46, 47).

siATP5α knockdown first confirmed the upper band signal in GSCs as authentic ATP5α,

demonstrated by its disappearance concurrent with the main band's weakening (Fig. S2A). Then,

we confirmed that this upper band signal corresponded to changes in CAT-tailing, which could

be effectively inhibited by NEMF knockdown and anisomycin treatment (Fig. S2B). Due to the

indistinct nature of the endogenous msiCAT-tailed ATP5α signal, exogenously expressed Flag-

ATP5α was utilized here.

To investigate the potential new function provided by CAT-tailed proteins, control (SVG

and NHA) and GBM (SF268 and GSC827) cell lines overexpressed ATP5α with three (ATP5α-

AT3) or twenty (ATP5α-AT20) AT repeats. Consistent with earlier findings, only the long-tailed

ATP5α-AT20 exhibited post-translational modifications and detergent-resistant insoluble

aggregates, appearing as slower migrating bands and a high molecular weight smear in protein

electrophoresis (Fig. 1D). Based on comparing exogenously expressed (indicated by red boxes)

to endogenous proteins (indicated by green boxes), GBM cell lines (GSC827, SF268) showed

increased accumulation of ATP5α-AT20 compared to control cells (SVG, NHA). This

accumulation may occur due to increased stability and reduced degradation of long-tailed

proteins, a malfunctioning protein quality control system, enhanced cellular tolerance to protein

accumulation, or a combination of these factors. Subcellular localization analysis showed that the

short AT tail (AT3) did not significantly alter ATP5α's mitochondrial localization, similar to the

tailless protein. However, a significant portion of ATP5α-AT20 was found in the cytoplasm near

mitochondria, forming protein aggregates, with the highest proportion in highly malignant GSC

cells (Fig. S2C, D). Notably, poly-glycine-serine tails (short, GS3, and long, GS20) did not

induce insoluble protein aggregation or intracellular punctate distribution (Fig. S2E-G),

highlighting the importance of specific amino acid composition.

Importantly, in GBM cells, both exogenous tailed proteins and the endogenous ATP5α

formed clusters attached to the outer mitochondrial membrane (Fig. 1E, F). Similar aggregate

formation in GBM cells was also observed with other mitochondrial proteins, such as NDUS3

(Fig. S3A, B). Furthermore, we examined the mouse GBM models. Akin to *in vitro* culture,

ATP5α in transplanted SB28 glioma formed more punctate signals and did not always colocalize

with the mitochondrial marker TOM20 (Fig. S3C-E). These findings collectively indicate a

disruption of the RQC pathway, leading to the presence of msiCAT-tailed proteins in GBM cells.

msiCAT-tailed ATP5α Elevates Mitochondrial Membrane Potential (ΔΨm)

Some cancer cells exhibit altered mitochondrial physiology, maintaining or increasing

mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) despite reduced respiration. This was observed in

patient-derived GSC cells, which demonstrated higher ΔΨm but lower ATP production than

control NSC cells (Fig. 2A, B). Similarly, GBM cell lines, GSC827 and SF268, displayed

comparable or higher ΔΨm and lower ATP levels relative to the control NHA cell line (42) (Fig.

S4A-C). Genetic inhibition of msiCAT-tailing, via NEMF knockdown (sgNEMF) or ANZKF1

overexpression (oeANZKF1) (Fig. S4D), as well as pharmacological inhibition by anisomycin

treatment, effectively reduced ΔΨm in GBM cells but not in NHA cells (Fig. 2C, D).

Our next investigation of msiCAT tail proteins revealed their impact on mitochondrial

function. Expression of Flag-tagged ATP5⌷-AT3 and ATP5⌷-AT20 in GBM and control cell

lines elevated ΔΨm specifically in GBM cells (Fig. 2E). Overexpression of ATP5⌷-GS3 and

ATP5⌷-GS20 did not exhibit this effect (Fig. S4E). To our surprise, even with suppressed

endogenous CAT-tailing through sgNEMF and oeANZKF1 in GSC cells, the introduced AT3 and

AT20 proteins could still effectively elevate ΔΨm (Fig. 2F, G). This finding suggests that CAT-

tailing of ATP5⌷ may be a significant contributor to the observed mitochondrial phenotype (Fig.

2G). Blue Native Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) illustrated distinct effects

based on CAT-tail length. ATP5⌷-AT3 integrated into the mitochondrial respiratory chain

complex, whereas ATP5⌷-AT20 formed high molecular weight complexes or remained as

monomers (Fig. 2H). In mitochondrial physiological activity assays using the Agilent Cell

Mitochondrial Stress Test, the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was directly measured to assess

mitochondrial respiration. Our findings indicate that expressing both ATP5⬛-AT3 and ATP5⬛-

AT20 negatively impacted mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. This impairment leads to a

reduction in ATP synthesis, basal respiration, and maximal respiration rates (Fig. 2I-L). These

data suggest that both short and long tails on ATP5⬛ proteins influence mitochondrial function,

although potentially through different mechanisms. Short CAT-tails may directly act on ATP

synthase function and thus affect the respiratory chain complex, while long CAT-tails form

protein aggregates, causing mitochondrial proteostasis stress and thus indirectly affecting

mitochondrial respiration (17, 29). This differential impact of CAT-tail length suggests a nuanced

regulation of mitochondrial function mediated by ATP5⬛ modifications.

msiCAT-tailing Influences Mitochondrial Permeability Transition Pore (MPTP) Dynamics

Beyond its traditionally recognized role in ATP production, the F_1F_0 ATP synthase has garnered

increasing attention as a potential structural component of the mitochondrial permeability

transition pore (MPTP) complex (48-50). Given the possibility that CAT-tailed proteins like

ATP5⬛ might modulate MPTP function, this investigation sought to elucidate the mechanism by

which msiCAT-tailing modulates MPTP dynamics (open-close state). Comparative analyses

conducted in GBM and control cells revealed that MPTP in GSC827 cells predominantly exists

in a closed conformation, indicated by strong Calcein signals. Notably, the treatment of

anisomycin, a pharmacological CAT-tailing inhibitor, effectively induced MPTP opening in

GSC827 cells, as indicated by decreased Calcein signals (Fig. 3A, B). This effect was

concomitant with the diminished aggregation of endogenous ATP5α (Fig. 3E, F). Furthermore,

corroborative evidence was obtained through genetic manipulation. Specifically, genetic

inhibition of CAT-tailing via NEMF knockdown (sgNEMF) resulted in a similar decrease in

Calcein signaling and a reduction in ATP5α accumulation (Fig. 3C, D, G, H), aligning with the

results obtained using anisomycin. In contrast, treatment with cycloheximide, a general

translation inhibitor, did not significantly alter Calcein or ATP5□ aggregation signals (Fig. S5A-

D), suggesting that non-specific translation inhibition does not impact the mitochondrial MPTP

state. The crucial role of CAT-tail modifications on ATP5α in modulating MPTP status was

further substantiated by the observation that overexpression of artificially synthesized AT repeat

tails (AT3 and AT20) restored Calcein signals despite the inhibition of endogenous CAT-tailing

(Fig. S5E).

The MPTP is recognized to participate in the transient efflux of protons, calcium ions

(Ca^{2}□), and other signaling molecules from the mitochondrial matrix during brief opening

episodes (51). To quantitatively evaluate the MPTP open/closed state, the mitochondrial Ca^{2}□

Retention Capacity (CRC) assay was employed, which measures the amount of Ca^{2}□ required to

elicit MPTP opening. Our results revealed that GSC827 cells exhibited a greater CRC value than

NHA cells. Pre-treatment with anisomycin or knockdown of NEMF (sgNEMF) significantly

decreased the CRC in GBM cells, indicating MPTP opening upon the loss of CAT-tailed proteins

(Fig. 3I, J). Consistent with Calcein staining results (Fig. S5A, B), cycloheximide treatment did

not substantially alter CRC measurements (Fig. S6A, B). Conversely, enhancing CAT-tailing

(e.g., via oeNEMF and siANKZF1) led to an increase in CRC (Fig. S6A, B), although this effect

was less pronounced in GSCs, potentially due to their inherently active CAT-tailing and closed

MPTP.

To further investigate the impact of specific AT repeat tails on MPTP opening, artificial

AT repeat tails on ATP5□ were introduced into GBM cells. It was found that the short AT tail

(AT3) inhibited MPTP opening, while the long AT tail (AT20) displayed a weaker effect (Fig. 3K,

L), potentially due to their different integration into ATP synthase (Fig. 2G). Complex co-

immunoprecipitation assay did not detect direct interactions between ATP5▯ with AT3 or AT20

tails and MPTP components Cyclophilin D (Cyp-D) and adenine nucleotide translocator 2

(ANT2) (Fig. S6C). However, Cyp-D expression was reduced upon ectopic expression of

ATP5▯-AT3 and ATP5▯-AT20, suggesting decreased MPTP formation (Fig. S6D). Intriguingly,

BN-PAGE analysis revealed that both ATP5▯-AT3 and ATP5▯-AT20 altered ANT1/2-containing

complexes, with expected bands disappearing (indicated by *) and aggregates forming (at the

top), supporting the notion that ATP synthase is integrated into the MPTP supercomplex due to

the spatial proximity of the ANT1/2 complex and ATP synthase (Fig. 3M). In conclusion,

msiCAT-tailed ATP5▯ proteins, particularly those with short AT3 tails, are integrated into ATP

synthase and have a substantial influence on modulating MPTP status.

msiCAT-Tailing Boosts GBM Cell Migration and Resistance to Apoptosis

The elevated mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) and constricted MPTP resulting from

msiCAT-tailed ATP5▯ and other mitochondrial proteins may enhance cellular stress resilience.

We first investigated how the msiCAT-tailing mechanism affects GBM cells at the cellular level.

MTT assays (52) revealed that overexpressing short (AT3) and long (AT20) AT repeat tails, fused

to ATP5▯, significantly improved GBM cell viability, but not that of NHA cells (Fig. 4A, B).

However, short (GS3) and long (GS20) GS repeat tails did not affect GBM cell viability (Fig.

S7A). In addition, *in vitro* transwell migration assays (53) and wound healing assays (54)

showed that GBM cells overexpressing AT repeat-tailed ATP5α exhibited increased cell invasion

and accelerated wound healing, indicating enhanced cell migration (Fig. 4C, D, S7B, C). Notably,

neither ATP5α alone nor GS repeat-tailed proteins showed comparable changes (Fig. S7D, E).

Furthermore, overexpressing AT3- and AT20-tailed proteins effectively conferred phenotypes

associated with increased GBM cell activity, such as enhanced survival and migration, even with

inhibited endogenous CAT-tailing machinery activity (e.g., sgNEMF and oeANKZF1) (Fig. 4E-

G). It is worth noting that ANKZF1 knockdown in U87 and U251 cell lines can cause aberrant

mitoGFP accumulation, possibly reducing cellular adaptability (29), suggesting varying

mitochondrial adaptability to proteostasis stress across cell lines. Supporting this, initial

experiments showed that mild expression of ATP5α-AT3 and ATP5α-AT20 did not induce strong

mitochondrial proteotoxic responses, as evidenced by the lack of significant upregulation in

LONP1, *mtHSP70*, and *HSP60* mRNA levels (Fig. S7F).

GBM cells exhibit increased resistance to staurosporine (STS)-induced apoptosis,

supported by fewer TUNEL-positive cells (Fig. S8A, B) and markedly diminished PARP-1 (Poly

ADP-ribose polymerase) cleavage (Fig. S8C), a marker of AIF-mediated apoptosis (55). To

investigate the role of CAT-tailed ATP5α proteins in this resistance, we overexpressed proteins

with mimetic tails in GBM cells. Overexpression of both short tail (ATP5α-AT3) and long tail

(ATP5α-AT20) significantly enhanced resistance to STS-induced apoptosis, as shown by TUNEL

staining (Fig. 4H, I) and flow cytometry (Fig. S8D, E), indicating a strong link between protein

CAT-tailing and tumorigenesis. In contrast, control short (GS3) and long (GS20) GS tails failed

to confer such resistance (Fig. S8F, G). Consistent with these findings, overexpression of

artificial CAT-tailed ATP5α proteins also increased the resistance of GBM cells to temozolomide

(TMZ)-induced apoptosis (Fig. 4J). Taken together, these results suggest that RQC-induced CAT-

tailing on ATP5α protein plays a role in GBM resistance to drug-induced apoptosis.

RQC Pathway Inhibition hinders GBM Cell Progression

Prior research indicates the RQC pathway's mediated msiCAT-tailing plays an important role in GBM progression, suggesting it as a potential therapeutic target. To explore this, patient-derived Glioblastoma Stem Cell (GSC) lines were treated with anisomycin, an inhibitor of CAT-tailing. GSC lines displayed higher sensitivity to anisomycin than normal neural stem cells (NSCs) (Fig. 5A). Similarly, genetic inhibition of the RQC pathway via NEMF knockdown (sgNEMF) or ANKZF1 overexpression (oeANZKF1) in the SF268 GBM cell line also suppressed GBM growth (Fig. 5B). Notably, control NHA cell proliferation was also inhibited by these genetic changes, indicating the broad significance of NEMF and ANKZF1 in cell proliferation (Fig. 5C). The RQC pathway appears to have a more pronounced effect on GBM cell migration. In *in vitro* transwell assays, sgNEMF or oeANZKF1 notably decreased GBM cell migration without affecting NHA cells (Fig. 5D, E). Consistently, anisomycin treatment impaired GSC cell migration, but not NHA cell migration (Fig. 5F, G).

Further investigation revealed the RQC pathway's involvement in GBM cell anti-apoptosis, with initial findings pointing to alterations in mitochondrial functions. Prior studies demonstrated that genetic or pharmacological inhibition of the RQC pathway led to a significant decrease in GBM mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$m) (Fig. 2C, D). In GSC cells, anisomycin treatment promoted mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP) opening, an effect not seen in NHA cells (Fig. 3A-D). Consequently, GBM cell lines with genetically or pharmacologically inhibited RQC pathways were more susceptible to STS-induced apoptosis, evidenced by elevated executioner caspase 3/7 activity (Fig. S9A), enhanced PARP-1 cleavage (Fig. S9B, C), increased TUNEL staining (Fig. 5H-K), and flow cytometry analysis (Fig. S9D-G).

Notably, general translation inhibition using cycloheximide did not elicit the same apoptotic

response (Fig. S9A, D, E). Finally, the RQC pathway was also implicated in temozolomide

(TMZ)-induced cell death. Combining anisomycin with TMZ significantly reduced GBM cell

survival (Fig. 5L) and effectively inhibited GSC spheroid growth (Fig. 5M, N). In summary, the

RQC pathway plays a critical role in multiple aspects of GBM progression, including

proliferation, migration, and survival under apoptotic stress.

Discussion

The Ribosome-associated Quality Control (RQC) pathway plays a crucial role in managing aberrant proteins produced during translation. This study focused on understanding the consequences of RQC-mediated modification, specifically the addition of msiCAT tails, on mitochondrial proteins such as ATP5α in glioblastoma (GBM) cells. The findings reveal that GBM cells harboring msiCAT-modified ATP5α exhibit a unique metabolic profile. Despite a reduction in ATP synthesis, these cells maintain their mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$m), a key factor for cellular function and survival. Furthermore, they demonstrate enhanced cell survival and motility, characteristics associated with increased tumor invasiveness and metastasis. Notably, the presence of msiCAT-modified ATP5α confers resistance to apoptosis triggered by staurosporine (STS), potentially by modulating the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP), a critical regulator of cell death pathways, as illustrated in Figure 6. These identified traits contribute to an increased aggressiveness of tumors, suggesting that the RQC pathway plays a critical role in cancer cell survival and proliferation. Encouragingly, a recent study also demonstrated the RQC pathway's involvement in a *Drosophila* model of Notch overexpression-induced brain tumors (56). The findings imply modulating the RQC pathway could serve as a promising complementary strategy to existing chemotherapy regimens. By targeting this specific pathway, therapeutic interventions might effectively disrupt the mechanisms that allow cancer cells to evade apoptosis and sustain their energy production under stress, potentially leading to improved treatment outcomes for patients with GBM and other cancers characterized by similar protein modifications.

The study of ATP synthase behavior in cancer holds particular importance. During carcinogenesis, ATP synthase frequently relocates to the plasma membrane, where it is referred

to as ectopic ATP synthase (eATP synthase). These eATP synthases exhibit catalytic activity,

facilitating ATP production in the extracellular space to foster a favorable tumor

microenvironment (57). Research indicates that eATP synthase assembles initially in

mitochondria before being transported to the cell surface via microtubules (47). However, the

specific type of ATP synthase delivered to the plasma membrane remains unclear. Future

investigations into the localization of CAT-tailed eATP synthase may offer valuable insights into

this process.

Multiple mitochondrial proteins in cancer cells can likely undergo CAT-tailing in a

similar way. These msiCAT-tailed peptides may have varied impacts on mitochondria and cells

due to differences in their base proteins. For instance, CAT-tailed COX4 protein might

substantially and directly diminish mitochondrial respiratory efficiency. Examining the

individual roles of these proteins is important, as the combined effect of their defects may be

crucial in understanding observed mitochondrial changes in cancer. A minor caveat here is that

the observed effect of the CAT-tails' presence primarily stems from artificial CAT-tail sequences

with a high threonine content, rather than the endogenous CAT-tail protein. It is possible that

other sequence components could lead to different effects (44). A recent study found that

ANKZF1 knockdown inhibited GBM progression by causing abnormal protein accumulation in

mitochondria (29). This, combined with our data, suggests that balanced ANKZF1 expression

and activity are vital for cancer proliferation. Both excess and deficiency may alter cellular

adaptability. A minor flaw of that study was the use of a mitochondrial-localized non-stopped

GFP protein to induce proteostasis stress and the lack of direct biochemical evidence of CAT-

tailed proteins. Our research focuses on endogenous proteins for a detailed analysis of their

impact on mitochondria. The rationale is that highly expressed, non-physiological ectopic

proteins might cause general proteostasis failure, masking the specific functions of endogenous

proteins. Additionally, the studies used different cell lines. GSC, a patient-derived GBM cell line

with greater stemness, might have a distinct mitochondrial status and RQC pathway activity

compared to U87 or U251 cell lines. Thus, the conclusions of the two studies are not

contradictory but rather complementary, both demonstrating the significance of RQC in

tumorigenesis. Our study delves into the mechanistic role of the RQC pathway in GBM,

identifying new potential targets for future treatments.

An in-depth investigation into the quantification of nuclear genome-encoded

mitochondrial proteins modified via the msiCAT-tailing mechanism using sophisticated mass

spectrometry is a compelling area for future research. Recent work by Lv et al., published in *Cell*

Reports, revealed that the cytoplasmic E3 ligase Pirh2 and the mitochondrial protease ClpXP

work in conjunction with the established NEMF-ANKZF1 system to break down mitochondrial

protein aggregates resulting from ribosome stalling (58). The increased presence of ClpXP in

various cancers could potentially be linked to an increase in msiCAT-tailing products in

mitochondria, though further studies are needed to clarify ClpXP's role in mitochondrial RQC

(59). Moreover, ClpXP influences the levels of multiple mitochondrial proteins. Our own

experiments showed that ATP5α proteins lacking msiCAT-tails were the most challenging to

express ectopically. Proteins with shorter tails (AT3) expressed more readily, while those with

longer tails (AT20) exhibited the highest expression levels but also tended to form SDS-insoluble

aggregates. This regulatory effect could be mediated by ClpXP-dependent degradation or

potentially through transcriptional control. PGC-1α, the peroxisome proliferator-activated

receptor gamma co-activator, is a key regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis in mammals (60).

By binding to and activating nuclear transcription factors, PGC-1α triggers the transcription of

nuclear genome-encoded mitochondrial proteins and the mitochondrial transcription factor Tfam.

Tfam, in turn, activates mitochondrial genome transcription and replication (61). Distinguishing

between these regulatory possibilities will necessitate future research, including a meticulous

examination of mRNA levels for msiCAT-tailed targets and analysis of PGC-1α and Tfam

binding to transcriptional elements.

MPTP is a complex, supramolecular channel traversing the inner mitochondrial

membrane, characterized by its non-selective ion permeability, calcium dependence, and

multifaceted functionality. Despite extensive investigations into its functional attributes and

regulatory mechanisms, the precise molecular architecture of the MPTP remains elusive (62).

Several theoretical models have been posited to elucidate the MPTP's structural composition.

Firstly, the VDAC/ANT/Cyp-D model (63) proposed an assembly of voltage-dependent anion

channels (VDAC), adenine nucleotide translocators (ANT), and cyclophilin D (Cyp-D) as the

structural basis; however, subsequent genetic analyses have introduced substantial controversy

regarding the integral role of these proteins within the MPTP complex (64-67). Secondly, the

ATP synthase model posits that MPTP formation involves dimers or reconstituted c-rings of ATP

synthase (48, 49). While this hypothesis presents an intriguing perspective, empirical

confirmation of ATP synthase's role as a definitive structural element of the pore remains

inconclusive, with a body of conflicting research surrounding this proposition. Thirdly, the

contemporary prevailing hypothesis suggests the MPTP is constituted by a large complex,

termed the ATP synthasome, comprising ANT and ATP synthase, with Cyp-D serving a

regulatory function over the complex's dynamic behavior (68).

The MPTP activity is modulated by mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$m), which

reciprocally influences mitochondrial ion homeostasis and energy metabolism (69, 70). Our

study elucidates a dual function of msiCAT-tailed ATP5α protein in cancer cells: stabilization of

a high membrane potential, thereby mitigating MPTP induction, and direct inhibition of MPTP

functionality through participation in its assembly. While MPTP's critical role in cell death is

established, the premise that MPTP inhibition enables cancer cell evasion of drug-induced

programmed cell death has lacked substantial evidence. This study furnishes empirical support

for this hypothesis, demonstrating that GBM cells, notably glioblastoma stem cells (GSC),

exhibit markedly reduced MPTP activity relative to control cells. This reduced activity is directly

correlated with the CAT-tailing modification of the ATP synthase subunit. These observations are

concordant with prior research indicating that genetic mutations or post-translational

modifications in specific ATP synthase subunits can modulate MPTP activity. The findings

highlight a novel mechanism through which cancer cells may develop resistance to therapeutic

interventions by manipulating mitochondrial function (71, 72).

434 **Methods**

435 *Key resources table*

436 **Antibodies**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	RRID
Rabbit anti-COX4	Abcam	ab209727	AB_3717302
Mouse anti-β-Actin [C4]	Santa Cruz	sc-47778	AB_626632
Mouse anti-Flag	Sigma	F1804	AB_262044
Rabbit anti-ANT1/2	Proteintech	17796-1-AP	AB_2190358
Rabbit anti-CypD	Proteinetch	15997-1-AP	AB_2190199
Rabbit anti-ATP5a	Cell Signaling	18023	AB_2687556
Rabbit anti-PARP1	Abclonal	A0942	AB_2757470
Rabbit anti-GAPDH	Abclonal	A19056	AB_2862549
Mouse anti-TOMM20	Santa Cruz	sc-17764	AB_628381
Rabbit anti-MTCO2	Proteintech	55070-1-AP	AB_10859832
Mouse anti-NDUS3	Abcam	ab14711	AB_301429
Rabbit anti-NEMF	Proteintech	11840-1-AP	AB_2183413
Mouse anti-ANKZF1	Santa Cruz	sc-398713	AB_3094545
Mouse anti-ATP5a	Abcam	ab14748	AB_301447
Rat anti-TOMM20	Abcam	Ab289670	AB_3097753
Chicken anti-GFP	Abcam	Ab13970	AB_300798
Goat anti-rabbit HRP	Invitrogen	G21234	AB_2536530

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	RRID
Goat anti-mouse HRP	Invitrogen	PI31430	AB_228307
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Invitrogen	A32723	AB_2633275
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 633	Invitrogen	A21071	AB_2535732
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Invitrogen	A11036	AB_10563566

438 **Chemicals, Kits, and Critical Commercial Assays**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
DMEM	ATCC	302002
FBS	Biowest	S1620-100
Penicillin/streptomycin	Gibco	15140122
G418	Gibco	10131027
0.25% trypsin solution	ATCC	SM2003C
ABM^{TM} Basal Medium	Lonza	CC-3187
AGM^{TM} SingleQuots^{TM} Supplements	Lonza	CC-4123
Accutase	Corning	25058CI
Neural basal-A Medium	Gibco	10888022
B27	Gibco	17504044
N2	Gibco	17502048

EGF and FGF	Shenandoah Biotechnology	PB-500-017
Antibiotic-Antimycotic	Gibco	15240062
L-Glutamine	Gibco	250300810
Geltrex	Thermo Fisher	A1413202
X-tremeGENE	Sigma	6366244001
Anisomycin	Fisher Scientific	AAJ62964MF
Cycloheximide	Fisher Scientific	AC357420010
Temozolomide	MilliporeSigma	50-060-90001
Formaldehyde	Thermo Fisher	BP531-500
Triton X-100	Thermo fisher	T9284
Lipofectamine 3000	Invitrogen	L3000015
Normal goat serum	Jackson Immuno	005-000-121
DAPI	Thermo Fisher	57-481-0
Fluoromount-G Anti-Fade	Southern Biotech	0100-35
Puromycin	ARCOS organics	227420100
Protease inhibitor	Bimake	B14002
Bradford	BioVision	K813-5000-1
Mannitol	Fisher Scientific	AA3334236
Sucrose	Fisher Scientific	AA36508A1
HEPES	Fisher Scientific	15630106
Western Lightning Plus-ECL	PerkinElmer Inc.	NEL104001EA

4-12% Tris-Glycine gel	Invitrogen	WXP41220BOX
PVDF membrane	Millipore	ISEQ00010
EGTA	Fisher Scientific	28-071-G
Digitonin	Thermo Fisher	BN2006
G-250	GoldBio	C-460-5
3-12% Bis-Tris Native gel	Invitrogen	BN1001BOX
NativePAGE Running Buffer Kit	Invitrogen	BN2007
NativePAGE Sample Prep Kit	Invitrogen	BN2008
Acetic acid	Thermo Fisher	9526-33
TMRM	Invitrogen	I34361
JC-10	AdipoGen	50-114-6552
Seahorse Cell Mito Stress Test kit	Agilent	103010-100
Seahorse XF DMEM medium	Agilent	103575-100
Mitochondrial Transition Pore Assay	Invitrogen	I35103
Succinate	Thermo Fisher	041983.A7
Hank's Balanced Salt Solution	Thermo Fisher	14025092
Calcium green-5N	Invitrogen	C3737
Cyclosporine A	Thermo Fisher	AC457970010
MTT assay kit	Roche	11465007001
Transwell assay	Corning	CLS3422
Ethanol	Thermo Fisher	R40135

Crystal violet	Sigma	V5265
TUNEL assay	ApexBio	K1134
Proteinase K	Invitrogen	25530049
Caspase 3/7 detection reagents	Invitrogen	C10432
Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis assay	BioLegend	640914
ATP‑red dye	Millipore	SCT045
MitoTracker-Green	Invitrogen	M7514
Protein A/G magnetic beads	Pierce	88802
M.O.M. blocking reagent	Vector Laboratories	BMK-2202

440 **Experimental Models: Cell lines**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
SVG p12	ATCC	CRL-8621
SF268	Dr. Rongze Olivia Lu	N/A
GL261	Dr. Rongze Olivia Lu	N/A
SB28	Dr. Rongze Olivia Lu	N/A
GSC827	Dr. Chun-Zhang Yang	N/A
NSC	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A
NSC26	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A
GSC33	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A
GSC22	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A
GSC99	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A

GSC105	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A
GSC107	Dr. John S Kuo	N/A
NHA	Dr. Russell O. Pieper	N/A

441   All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination during the experiments.

443   **Recombinant DNA and Oligos**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A	This study By GenScript	N/A
pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A-AT3	This study By GenScript	N/A
pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A-AT20	This study By GenScript	N/A
pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A-GS3	This study By GenScript	N/A
pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-ATP5F1A-DYK-GS20	This study By GenScript	N/A
pCMV-5×FLAG-β-globin-control	Dr. Hoshino and Dr. Inada	N/A
pCMV-5×FLAG-β-globin-non-stop	Dr. Hoshino and Dr. Inada	N/A
pCMV6-DDK-NEMF	ORIGENE	RC216806
pLV[CRISPR]-hCas9:T2A:Neo-U6>Scramble [gRNA#1]	This study By VectorBuilder	N/A
pLV[CRISPR]-hCas9:T2A:Neo-U6>hNEMF [gRNA#1579]	This study By VectorBuilder	N/A

pLV[Exp]-Bsd-EF1A>ORF_Stuffer	This study By VectorBuilder	N/A
pLV[Exp]-EGFP:T2A:Puro-EF1A>mCherry	This study By VectorBuilder	N/A
pLV[Exp]-Bsd-EF1A>hANKZF1 [NM_001042410.2]/HA	This study By VectorBuilder	N/A
pLV[Exp]-mCherry/Neo-EF1A>hANKZF1 [NM_001042410.2]	This study By VectorBuilder	N/A
lonp1_forward: TGCCTTGAACCCTCTCTAC lonp1_reverse: TCTGCTTGATCTTCTCCTCC	This study By Genewiz	N/A
mthsp70_forward: ACTCCTCCATTTATCCGCC mthsp70_reverse: ACCTTTGCTTGTTTACCTTCC	This study By Genewiz	N/A
hsp60_forward: ACCTGCTCTTGAAATTGCC hsp60_reverse: CAATCCCTCTTCTCCAAACAC	This study By Genewiz	N/A
actb_forward: TGTTTGAGACCTTCAACACC actb_reverse: ATGTCACGCACGATTTCC	This study By Genewiz	N/A

Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
C57BL/6 mice	Dr. Rongze Olivia Lu	N/A

Software and Algorithms

RESOURCE	SOURCE	LINK
BioRender	BioRender	https://biorender.com/

GraphPad Prism 9.4.1	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
ImageJ 1.53t	NIH	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html
ZEN (blue edition)	ZEISS	https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/us/products/microscope-software.html
Gen5	Agilent Technologies (BioTek)	https://www.biotek.com/products/software-robotics-software/gen5-microplate-reader-and-imager-software/
Endnote 20	Clarivate	https://endnote.com/downloads

Cell lines and cell culture conditions

The human astroglia cell line SVG p12 (ATCC, cat. CRL-8621) and the human glioma cell line SF268 were from Dr. Rongze Olivia Lu. Both cell lines were cultured in DMEM (ATCC, cat. #302002) with 10% FBS (Biowest, cat. S1620-100) and penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco™, cat. 15140122). SF268 clones should be maintained in complete DMEM supplemented with 400 µg/mL G418 (Gibco, cat. 10131027). The 0.25% trypsin solution (ATCC, cat. #SM2003C) was used to passage cells. The normal human astrocytes NHA E6/E7/hTERT cell line was from Dr. Russell O. Pieper, UCSF Brain Tumor Research Center. Cells are cultured in ABM™ Basal Medium (Lonza, cat. CC-3187) and AGM™ SingleQuots™ Supplements (Lonza, cat. CC-4123). Corning™ Accutase™ Cell Detachment Solution (Corning, cat. 25058CI) was used to passage cells. GSC827, a patient-derived human glioma stem cell line, was from Dr. Chun-Zhang Yang at NIH. The NSC, NSC26, patient-derived GSC33, GSC22, GSC99, GSC105, and GSC107 cell lines used in this study were kindly provided by Dr. John S Kuo at the University of Texas, Austin. GSC cells were cultured in Neural basal-A Medium (Gibco, cat. #10888022) with 2%

B27 (Gibco, cat. #17504044), 1% N2 (Gibco, cat. #17502048), 20 ng/ml of EGF and FGF

(Shenandoah Biotechnology Inc. cat. PB-500-017), Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco, cat.

#15240062), and L-Glutamine (Gibco, cat. #250300810). Cells could be cultured in both

spherical and attached (on Geltrex, Thermo Fisher, cat. A1413202) forms. Corning™ Accutase™

Cell Detachment Solution (Corning, cat. 25058CI) was used to passage cells.

Cells were transfected with X-tremeGENE™ HP DNA Transfection Reagent (Sigma, cat.

6366244001) following the standard protocol. For single clone selection, SF268 cells were

treated with 800 µg/ml G418 for 5 days. The cells were then seeded into a 96-well plate at a

density of 1/100 µL. Positive clones were verified by immunofluorescence staining and

immunoblotting. Cells were maintained in complete DMEM containing 400 µg/mL G418. GBM

cell lines were subjected to a 4-hour pre-treatment at 37°C using either anisomycin (20 nM or

200 nM, Fisher Scientific, cat. AAJ62964MF) or cycloheximide (100 µg/mL, Fisher Scientific,

cat. AC357420010) in medium, as detailed in the conducted experiments.

Primers, plasmids and viruses

Plasmids pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A (pATP5▯ control), pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-

ATP5F1A-AT3 (pATP5▯-AT3), pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A-AT20 (pATP5▯-AT20),

pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-DYK-ATP5F1A-GS3 (pATP5▯-GS3), and pcDNA3.1+/C-(K)-ATP5F1A-

DYK-GS20 (pATP5▯-GS20) were generated by GenScript Inc. Plasmids pCMV-5×FLAG-β-

globin-control (5FBG-Ctrl) and pCMV-5×FLAG-β-globin-non-stop (5FBG-nonstop) were

generated by Dr. Hoshino (Nagoya City University) and Dr. Inada (Tohoku University) (43).

pCMV6-DDK-NEMF (oeNEMF) was from ORIGENE Inc. (cat. RC216806).

Viruses (and plasmid used to generate viruses) are pLV[CRISPR]-hCas9:T2A:Neo-

U6>Scramble[gRNA#1]   (sgControl/sgCtrl),   pLV[CRISPR]-hCas9:T2A:Neo-

U6>hNEMF[gRNA#1579] (sgNEMF), pLV[Exp]-Bsd-EF1A>ORF_Stuffer (pLV-control),

pLV[Exp]-EGFP:T2A:Puro-EF1A>mCherry   (pLV-control-2/oeCtrl),   pLV[Exp]-Bsd-

EF1A>hANKZF1[NM_001042410.2]/HA   (oeANKZF1),   and   pLV[Exp]-mCherry/Neo-

EF1A>hANKZF1[NM_001042410.2] (oeANKZF1) were made by VectorBuilder Inc.

Primers (5' to 3') used for RT-PCR are:

LONP1	lonp1_forward: TGCCTTGAACCCTCTCTAC
	lonp1_reverse: TCTGCTTGATCTTCTCCTCC
NR_076392.2	
mtHSP70	mthsp70_forward: ACTCCTCCATTTATCCGCC
	mthsp70_reverse: ACCTTTGCTTGTTTACCTTCC
NM_004134.7	
HSP60	hsp60_forward: ACCTGCTCTTGAAATTGCC
	hsp60_reverse: CAATCCCTCTTCTCCAAACAC
NM_002156.5	
ACTB	actb_forward: TGTTTGAGACCTTCAACACC
	actb_reverse: ATGTCACGCACGATTTCC
NM_001101.5	

Neurosphere formation assay of GSCs

The GSC spheroids were dissociated using Accutase for 2□min. Cells were resuspended in a

single-cell suspension and grown under non-adherent conditions. Cells were seeded in 12-well

plates at a density of 0.25 x 10^6 cells/well and cultured in 3 mL culture medium for 24 hours. 20

nM of anisomycin and 150 µM of temozolomide (TMZ) were added to the culture medium and

the cells were treated for 96 hours. Spheroids were imaged under a 10x objective, captured using

QCapture, and analyzed with ImageJ. Spheroids larger than 50 µm were counted.

Differential gene expression analysis using the public database

The raw RNA-seq data used for the analysis were obtained from the University of California,

Santa Cruz Xenabrowser (cohort: TCGA TARGET GTEx, dataset ID:

TcgaTargetGtex_rsem_gene_tpm, https://xena.ucsc.edu/). Subsets were then created to include

only TCGA glioma (GBM), GTEx Brain Frontal Cortex, and GTEx Cortex samples. Differential

expression analysis was conducted using the "Limma" package (R version: 4.3.1). The

Benjamini-Hochberg method was used for multiple testing correction to control the false

discovery rate (FDR). Cut-off of adjusted p-value (adj.P.Val) was set at 0.001; cut-off of the

absolute fold change was set at 2 (logFC > 1). The code is available without restrictions at

https://github.com/yuanna23/GBM_elife.

Immunostaining

Cells were cultured on sterile coverslips until 80% confluency. For immunostaining, cells were

washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution thrice. Then, 4% formaldehyde (Thermo

Fisher, cat. BP531-500) was applied to cells for fixation for 30 min at room temperature. After

fixation, cells were washed with PBS solution containing 0.25% Triton X-100 (PBSTx) (Thermo

Fisher, cat. T9284) thrice, and blocked with 5% normal goat serum (Jackson Immuno, cat. 005-

000-121) for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were then incubated with primary antibodies

overnight in a humidified chamber at 4°C. The next day, cells were washed by PBSTx thrice and

incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature. After washing, cells were

stained with 300 nM DAPI (Thermo Fisher, cat. 57-481-0) for 5 min at room temperature and

mounted in Fluoromount-G Anti-Fade solution (Southern Biotech, cat. 0100-35). Images were

captured using a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope with a 40x oil objective lens and AiryScan

processing. The primary antibodies used in the study were rabbit anti-ATP5a (Cell Signaling, cat.

#18023), mouse anti-TOMM20 (1:500, Santa Cruz, cat. sc-17764), rabbit anti-MTCO2 (1:500,

Proteintech, cat. 55070-1-AP), and mouse anti-NDUS3 (1:1000, Abcam, cat. ab14711). The

secondary antibodies were Alexa fluor 633-, 594-, 488-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:300,

Invitrogen, cat. A21071, A11036, A32732).

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting

Cells or isolated mitochondria were solubilized in cell lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl

pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM EDTA, and 1x protease inhibitor

(Bimake, cat. B14002). Protein concentration was measured by using the Bradford assay

(BioVision, cat. K813-5000-1). Samples were separated in a 4-12% Tris-Glycine gel (Invitrogen,

cat. WXP41220BOX) and proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore, cat.

ISEQ00010). The membranes were then blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk (Kroger) for 50 min

at room temperature and probed with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Membranes were

washed with Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) solution thrice and then incubated

with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Blots were detected with ECL

solution (PerkinElmer, cat. NEL122001EA) and imaged by Chemidoc system (BioRad). The

intensity of blots was further analyzed by ImageJ software. The primary antibodies used were

mouse anti-Actin (1:1000, Santa Cruz, cat. sc-47778), rabbit anti-NEMF (1:1000, Proteintech,

cat. 11840-1-AP), mouse anti-ANKZF1 (1:1000, Santa Cruz, cat. sc-398713), mouse anti-ATP5a

(Abcam, cat. ab14748), mouse anti-NDUS3 (1:1000, Abcam, cat. ab14711), rabbit anti-COX4

(Abcam, cat. ab209727), mouse anti-Flag (1:1000, Sigma, cat. F1804), rabbit anti-ANT1/2

(1:1000, Proteintech, cat. 17796-1-AP), rabbit anti-CypD (1:1000, Proteinetch, cat. 15997-1-AP),

rabbit anti-PARP1 (1:1000, Abclonal, cat. A0942), rabbit anti-GAPDH (1:1000, Abclonal, cat.

A19056). The secondary antibodies used were goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000, Invitrogen, cat.

G21234), goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5000, Invitrogen, cat. PI31430).

Mitochondrial isolation, blue Native PAGE, and western blotting

Cells were homogenized using Dounce homogenizer in ice-cold homogenization buffer

containing 210 mM mannitol (Fisher Sci, cat. AA3334236), 70 mM sucrose (Fisher Sci, cat.

AA36508A1), 5 mM HEPES (Fisher Sci, cat. 15630106), pH 7.12, 1 mM EGTA (Fisher Sci, cat.

28-071-G), and 1x protease inhibitor. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1500 g for 5 min. The

resultant supernatant was centrifuged at 13000 g for 17 min. The supernatant was collected as the

cytosol portion, and the pellet (the mitochondria portion) was washed with homogenization

buffer and centrifuged at 13000 g for 10 min. For Blue Native PAGE, the mitochondrial samples

were solubilized in 5% digitonin (Thermo Fisher, cat. BN2006) on ice for 30 min and then

centrifuged at 20,000 g for 30 min. The supernatant contains solubilized mitochondrial proteins

and was mixed with 5% G-250 (GoldBio, cat. C-460-5) and 1x NativePAGE sample buffer

(Invitrogen, cat. BN2008) (final G-250 concentration is 25% of the digitonin concentration).

Mitochondrial protein concentration was measured by using the Bradford assay. Samples were

separated in 3-12% Bis-Tris Native gel (Invitrogen, cat. BN1001BOX) and then transferred to a

PVDF membrane. Membranes were fixed with 8% acetic acid (Thermo Fisher, cat. 9526-33),

and then blocked and probed with antibodies as described above for Western blotting.

Mitochondrial membrane potential assays

Mitochondrial membrane potential of GSC cells was measured using Image-iT^{TM} TMRM

(Invitrogen, cat. I34361). Cells were cultured in 96-well black plates at a density of 1 x 10^5 cells

per well overnight in an incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were incubated with TMRM (100

nM) for 30 min at 37°C. Then, cells were washed with PBS solution three times. Fluorescence

changes at excitation/emission of 548/574 nm were monitored with a Cytation 5 plate reader

(BioTek). Mitochondrial membrane potential was also measured using JC-10 (AdipoGen, cat.

50-114-6552). Cells were cultured in 96-well black plates at a density of 5 x 10^4 cells per well

overnight in an incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were incubated with JC-10 (10 µg/ml) for

45 min at 37°C. Then, cells were washed with PBS solution twice. Fluorescence changes at

excitation/emission of 535/595 nm for JC-10 aggregates and at 485/535 nm for JC-10 monomers

were monitored with a Synergy 2 Reader (BioTek). Mitochondrial membrane potential was

quantified as the fluorescence of JC-10 aggregates/monomers (595/535 nm).

Seahorse cell mitochondrial stress assays

The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) of cells was measured using the Seahorse Cell Mito Stress

Test kit following the user guide (Agilent, cat. 103010-100). Briefly, cells were cultured

overnight in testing chambers at a density of 8,000 cells per well in an incubator with 5% CO_2 at

37°C. Cells were then washed twice with assay medium containing Seahorse XF DMEM

medium (Agilent, cat. 103575-100) supplemented with 1 mM pyruvate, 2 mM glutamine, and 10

mM glucose. They were subsequently incubated in the assay medium for 1 hour in an incubator

without CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were treated with compounds in the order of oligomycin (1.5 µM),

carbonyl cyanide-4 (trifluoromethoxy), phenylhydrazone (FCCP, 1.0 µM), and

Rotenone/Antimycin (0.5 µM). The OCR of cells was monitored by using Seahorse XF HS Mini

(Agilent).

Mitochondrial MPTP assay

The status of mitochondrial permeability transition pore was measured using Invitrogen™

Image-IT™ LIVE Mitochondrial Transition Pore Assay Kit (Invitrogen, cat. I35103). Cells were

cultured in 35 mm glass-bottom dishes overnight in an incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells

were washed twice with the modified Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Thermo Fisher, cat.

14025092) containing 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine and 0.1 mM succinate (Thermo

Fisher, cat. 041983.A7) and incubated with the labeling solution (1 µM Calcein, 0.2 µM

MitoTracker Red, 1 mM Cobalt Chloride) for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were then washed with

HBSS twice and imaged at excitation/emission of 494/517 nm for Calcein and at 579/599 nm for

MitoTracker Red by using the Zeiss confocal microscope.

Mitochondrial Ca^{2+} retention capacity assay

The mitochondrial calcium retention capacity (CRC) was measured on a Cytation 5 reader at

excitation/emission of 506/592 nm using the membrane-impermeable fluorescent probe Calcium

green-5N (Invitrogen, cat. C3737). Isolated mitochondria samples (0.75 mg protein/mL) were

incubated in 1 mL swelling medium supplemented with 10 mM succinate, 1 µM Calcium green-

5N, inorganic phosphate, and cyclosporine A (Thermo Fisher, cat. AC457970010). One Ca^{2+}

addition was 1.25 nmol (1 mL volume). Only the MPTP opening in the presence of cyclosporine

A was induced by high amounts of added calcium (30 nmol Ca^{2+} in the last two additions). The

CRC value was calculated as total Ca^{2+} accumulated in the mitochondria per unit (1 mg protein).

MTT assay

Cell proliferation was measured by using the MTT assay kit (Roche, cat. 11465007001). Cells

were cultured in 96-well plates at a density of 2000 cells per well overnight in an incubator with

5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were treated with MTT labeling reagent for 4 hours at 37°C. The

solubilization buffer was added to the cells, and then the cells were incubated overnight at 37°C.

Absorbance changes of the samples at 550 nm were monitored by using a Synergy 2 Reader

(BioTek).

Wound healing assay

Cells were seeded into 6-well plates and cultured for 24-48 hours to reach a confluent cell

monolayer. Cells were treated with serum-free medium overnight before mechanical scratching

(54). Images of the wounds were taken at 0, 24, and 48 hours. Wound areas were measured by

using the wound healing plugin of ImageJ. Wound Coverage % = 100% x $[A_{t=0h}-A_{t=\Delta h}]/A_{t=0h}$

($A_{t=0h}$ is the area of the wound measured immediately after scratching $t = 0h$, $A_{t=\Delta h}$ is the area of

the wound measured h hours after the scratch is performed).

Cell migration assay

Cell migration was measured by using Transwell assays (Corning, cat. CLS3422). Cells were

cultured in Transwell inserts at a density of 1 x 10^5 cells per well for 3 hours in an incubator at

37°C with 5% CO_2. The top inserts were supplemented with DMEM medium only, and the

bottom wells were supplemented with DMEM medium with 20% Fetal Bovine Serum. After

incubation, the cells on the apical side of the Transwell insert membrane were removed using a

cotton applicator. The cells on the bottom side of the insert were rinsed with PBS twice and fixed

in 70% ethanol (Thermo Fisher, cat. R40135) for 15 min at room temperature. After fixation,

inserts were placed into an empty well to allow the membrane to dry. Then, the insert was

incubated with 0.2% crystal violet (Sigma, cat. V5265) for 5 min at room temperature. The insert

was rinsed with water twice, and images were captured by using a microscope with a 20x

objective. Cell numbers were quantified using ImageJ.

TUNEL staining

The apoptosis was measured by a TUNEL assay kit (ApexBio, cat. K1134). Cells were cultured

on sterile cover slips until 80% confluency and washed with PBS thrice. Then, 4% formaldehyde

was applied to cells and fixed for at 4°C 25 min. After fixation, cells were washed with PBS

twice and incubated with 20 µM proteinase K (Invitrogen, cat. 25530049) for 5 min at room

temperature. Then, cells were rinsed with PBS thrice and incubated in 1x equilibration buffer for

10 min at room temperature. Cells were stained with FITC or Cy3 labeling mix for 1 hour at

37°C in a humidified chamber. Cells were washed by PBS thrice and stained with DAPI for 5

min at room temperature. Cells were mounted in the Fluoromount-G Anti-Fade solution and

imaged at 520 nm for FITC or at 570 nm for Cy3 by using the Zeiss confocal microscope.

Caspase-3/7 activity assay

Caspase-3/7 activity was measured by using CellEvent™ Caspase□3/7 Detection Reagents

(Invitrogen, cat. C10432) following the manufacturer's protocol. Specifically, cells were seeded

in a 96-well black plate with a clear bottom at a density of 5 x 10^4 cells per well and incubated

overnight in the incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were then incubated with 1x staining

solution for 30 min at 37°C. Fluorescence changes at excitation/emission of 485/525 nm were

monitored with a Synergy 2 Reader (BioTek).

Annexin V-FITC/Propidium Iodide (PI) apoptosis detection

Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis assay was performed by using the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis

Detection Kit with PI (BioLegend, cat. 640914). Briefly, 1 x 10^5 cells were collected in 100 μL of

staining buffer. Then, cells were incubated with 5 μL of Annexin V-FITC and 2.5 μL of PI for 15

min at room temperature in the dark. Following incubation, 400 μL of binding buffer was added

to the stained cells. Flow cytometry analysis of the fluorescence was performed using a Soni

SH800 Cell Sorter.

Mitochondria ATP measurement via fluorescence imaging of ATP-red

BioTracker™ ATP□red dye (Millipore, cat. SCT045) is a fluorogenic indicator for ATP in

mitochondria (73). Cells cultured in monolayer conditions were incubated in medium with

5□μM ATP□red for 15□min in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO_2. Mitochondria were also

labeled by incubating cells with 100 nM MitoTracker-Green (Invitrogen, cat. M7514) for 15 min

to normalize their mass. Before measurement, cells were washed twice with culture medium, and

then fresh medium was added. Cells were imaged in a 37°C chamber with 5% CO_2 at

excitation/emission of 510/570 nm for ATP-red and at excitation/emission of 490/516 nm for

MitoTracker-Green by using the Zeiss confocal microscope. The ATP-red signals could also be

measured by a Synergy 2 Reader (BioTek).

Co-immunoprecipitation

Cells were lysed in the buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol,

1% TritonX-100, 5 mM EDTA, and 1x protease inhibitor. Soluble samples were incubated with

1.5 µL ATP5◻ antibody at 4°C with mixing overnight. 25 µL of protein A/G magnetic beads

(Pierce, cat. 88802) were added to the co-IP samples and incubated at 4°C with mixing

overnight. Samples were washed with washing buffer thrice and then applied to SDS-PAGE

analysis.

Mice and immunostaining

Animal studies were approved by the University of California, San Francisco Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC, AN195636-01) and were performed following the

guidelines of the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

For orthotopic brain tumor models, 8-to-10-week-old C57BL/6 mice (male and female in

equal numbers) were used for i.c. studies. Cell lines (GL261, SB28) were suspended in DMEM

for inoculation. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, and 30,000 tumor cells were injected

orthotopically in 3 μL. Using a stereotactic frame, a burr hole was formed on the skull via a 0.7

mm drill bit 1.5mm laterally to the right and 1.5mm rostrally from the bregma, and a noncoring

needle (26s gauge; Hamilton) was used to inject the cells at a depth of 3mm into the brain from

the burr hole. The skin incision was sutured. Mice were then monitored daily. Mouse SB28

tumor tissue and wild-type mouse brain tissue were collected at the survival endpoint.

Frozen tissue sections were thawed at room temperature for 20 min and rinsed with PBS

three times. Tissues were then fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. After

washing in PBS, tissues were permeabilized with 0.01% Triton X-100 + 0.1% Tween-20 for 15

min and then blocked by using 5% normal goat serum and M.O.M. blocking reagent (Vector

Laboratories, cat. BMK-2202) for 1 hour at room temperature. Tissues were then incubated with

primary antibodies overnight in a humidified chamber at 4°C. After washing in PBST, tissues

were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour. After washing again in PBST, tissues were

stained with 300 nM DAPI for 5 min and mounted in Fluoromount-G Anti-Fade solution. Images

were taken using a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope. The primary antibodies used in the

study were mouse anti-ATP5a (1:500, Abcam, cat. Ab14748), rat anti-TOMM20 (1:500, Abcam,

cat. Ab289670), rabbit anti-NEMF (1:500, Proteintech, cat. 11840-1-AP), mouse anti-ANKZF1

(1:500, Santa Cruz, cat. sc-398713), chicken anti-GFP (1:500, Abcam, cat. Ab13970). The

secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 633-, 594-, 488-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:300,

Invitrogen, cat. A21071, A11036, A32732).

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9.4. Chi-squared test and unpaired

Student's t-test were used for comparison. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant, except in gene

expression analysis (Fig. 1A). *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$; ns,

not significant. All data were expressed as means ± s.e.m. This study's replicates, samples,

groups, and experiments were biologically independent, except in Table 1. The "n" numbers for

each assay are indicated in the figure legends.

Materials availability

Plasmids and other reagents generated in this study will be made available to researchers by

contacting zhihaowu@smu.edu.

Code availability

The code used for differential gene expression analysis is available without restrictions at

https://github.com/yuanna23/GBM_elife.

Data availability

Source Data 1 contains all the numerical data used to generate the figures and all the original

images present in the final figures.

References

1. Robichaud N, et al. Translational Control in Cancer. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology.* 2019;11(7).

2. Dever TE, and Green R. The elongation, termination, and recycling phases of translation in eukaryotes. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology.* 2012;4(7).

3. Kim KQ, and Zaher HS. Canary in a coal mine: collided ribosomes as sensors of cellular conditions. *Trends in biochemical sciences.* 2022;47(1).

4. Brandman O, et al. A ribosome-bound quality control complex triggers degradation of nascent peptides and signals translation stress. *Cell.* 2012;151(5).

5. Juszkiewicz S, and Hegde RS. Initiation of Quality Control during Poly(A) Translation Requires Site-Specific Ribosome Ubiquitination. *Molecular cell.* 2017;65(4).

6. Sundaramoorthy E, et al. ZNF598 and RACK1 Regulate Mammalian Ribosome-Associated Quality Control Function by Mediating Regulatory 40S Ribosomal Ubiquitylation. *Molecular cell.* 2017;65(4).

7. Hashimoto S, et al. Identification of a novel trigger complex that facilitates ribosome-associated quality control in mammalian cells. *Scientific reports.* 2020;10(1).

8. Juszkiewicz S, et al. The ASC-1 Complex Disassembles Collided Ribosomes. *Molecular cell.* 2020;79(4).

9. Shao S, and Hegde RS. Reconstitution of a minimal ribosome-associated ubiquitination pathway with purified factors. *Molecular cell.* 2014;55(6).

10. Shen PS, et al. Protein synthesis. Rqc2p and 60S ribosomal subunits mediate mRNA-independent elongation of nascent chains. *Science (New York, NY).* 2015;347(6217).

11. Verma R, et al. Vms1 and ANKZF1 peptidyl-tRNA hydrolases release nascent chains

from stalled ribosomes. *Nature.* 2018;557(7705).

12. Kostova KK, et al. CAT-tailing as a fail-safe mechanism for efficient degradation of stalled nascent polypeptides. *Science (New York, NY).* 2017;357(6349).

13. Lytvynenko I, et al. Alanine Tails Signal Proteolysis in Bacterial Ribosome-Associated Quality Control. *Cell.* 2019;178(1).

14. Sitron CS, et al. Aggregation of CAT tails blocks their degradation and causes proteotoxicity in S. cerevisiae. *PloS one.* 2020;15(1).

15. Choe YJ, et al. Failure of RQC machinery causes protein aggregation and proteotoxic stress. *Nature.* 2016;531(7593).

16. Yonashiro R, et al. The Rqc2/Tae2 subunit of the ribosome-associated quality control (RQC) complex marks ribosome-stalled nascent polypeptide chains for aggregation. *eLife.* 2016;5.

17. Wu Z, et al. MISTERMINATE Mechanistically Links Mitochondrial Dysfunction With Proteostasis Failure. *Molecular cell.* 2019;75(4).

18. Li S, et al. Quality-control mechanisms targeting translationally stalled and C-terminally extended poly(GR) associated with ALS/FTD. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2020;117(40):25104-15.

19. Rimal S, et al. Inefficient quality control of ribosome stalling during APP synthesis generates CAT-tailed species that precipitate hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta neuropathologica communications.* 2021;9(1).

20. Wang N, and Wang D. Genome-wide transcriptome and translatome analyses reveal the role of protein extension and domestication in liver cancer oncogenesis. *Molecular genetics and genomics : MGG.* 2021;296(3).

784   21.   Champagne J, et al. Oncogene-dependent sloppiness in mRNA translation. *Molecular*

785   *cell.* 2021;81(22).

786   22.   Rubio A, et al. Ribosome profiling reveals ribosome stalling on tryptophan codons and

787   ribosome queuing upon oxidative stress in fission yeast. *Nucleic acids research.*

788   2021;49(1).

789   23.   Dango S, et al. DNA unwinding by ASCC3 helicase is coupled to ALKBH3-dependent

790   DNA alkylation repair and cancer cell proliferation. *Molecular cell.* 2011;44(3).

791   24.   Gao J, et al. Suppression of ABCE1-Mediated mRNA Translation Limits N-MYC-Driven

792   Cancer Progression. *Cancer research.* 2020;80(17).

793   25.   Zhou X, et al. High ANKZF1 expression is associated with poor overall survival and

794   recurrence-free survival in colon cancer. *Future oncology (London, England).*

795   2019;15(18).

796   26.   Costantini S, et al. Valosin-Containing Protein (VCP)/p97: A Prognostic Biomarker and

797   Therapeutic Target in Cancer. *International journal of molecular sciences.* 2021;22(18).

798   27.   Bi X, et al. Drosophila caliban, a nuclear export mediator, can function as a tumor

799   suppressor in human lung cancer cells. *Oncogene.* 2005;24(56).

800   28.   Yang Q, and Gupta R. Zinc finger protein 598 inhibits cell survival by promoting UV-

801   induced apoptosis. *Oncotarget.* 2017;9(5).

802   29.   Li G, et al. ANKZF1 knockdown inhibits glioblastoma progression by promoting

803   intramitochondrial protein aggregation through mitoRQC. *Cancer letters.* 2024.

804   30.   Gehrke S, et al. PINK1 and Parkin control localized translation of respiratory chain

805   component mRNAs on mitochondria outer membrane. *Cell metabolism.* 2015;21(1).

31.   Forrest MD. Why cancer cells have a more hyperpolarised mitochondrial membrane

potential and emergent prospects for therapy. *bioRxiv.* 2015.

32.   Shi Y, et al. Gboxin is an oxidative phosphorylation inhibitor that targets glioblastoma.

Nature. 2019;567(7748).

33.   Guièze R, et al. Mitochondrial Reprogramming Underlies Resistance to BCL-2 Inhibition

in Lymphoid Malignancies. *Cancer cell.* 2019;36(4).

34.   Ramamoorthy MD, et al. Reserpine Induces Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest in Hormone

Independent Prostate Cancer Cells through Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Failure.

Anti-cancer agents in medicinal chemistry. 2018;18(9).

35.   Heerdt BG, et al. Growth properties of colonic tumor cells are a function of the intrinsic

mitochondrial membrane potential. *Cancer research.* 2006;66(3).

36.   Zorova LD, et al. Mitochondrial membrane potential. *Analytical biochemistry.* 2018;552.

37.   Maria A, et al. *Biochemistry, Electron Transport Chain.* StatPearls Publishing; 2020.

38.   Liberti MV, and Locasale JW. The Warburg Effect: How Does it Benefit Cancer Cells?

Trends in biochemical sciences. 2016;41(3).

39.   Varn FS, et al. Glioma progression is shaped by genetic evolution and microenvironment

interactions. *Cell.* 2022;185(12).

40.   Rutka JT, et al. Establishment and characterization of five cell lines derived from human

malignant gliomas. *Acta neuropathologica.* 1987;75(1).

41.   Kim E, et al. Phosphorylation of EZH2 activates STAT3 signaling via STAT3 methylation

and promotes tumorigenicity of glioblastoma stem-like cells. *Cancer cell.* 2013;23(6).

42.   Sonoda Y, et al. Formation of intracranial tumors by genetically modified human

astrocytes defines four pathways critical in the development of human anaplastic

astrocytoma. *Cancer research.* 2001;61(13).

43.   Saito S, et al. The Hbs1-Dom34 protein complex functions in non-stop mRNA decay in
mammalian cells. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2013;288(24).

44.   Chang WD, et al. Threonine-rich carboxyl-terminal extension drives aggregation of
stalled polypeptides. *Molecular cell.* 2024;84(22).

45.   Khan D, et al. Mechanochemical forces regulate the composition and fate of stalled
nascent chains. *bioRxiv : the preprint server for biology.* 2024.

46.   Morgenstern M, et al. Definition of a High-Confidence Mitochondrial Proteome at
Quantitative Scale. *Cell reports.* 2017;19(13).

47.   Chang YW, et al. Spatial and temporal dynamics of ATP synthase from mitochondria
toward the cell surface. *Communications biology.* 2023;6(1).

48.   Alavian KN, et al. An uncoupling channel within the c-subunit ring of the F1FO ATP
synthase is the mitochondrial permeability transition pore. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014;111(29).

49.   Giorgio V, et al. Dimers of mitochondrial ATP synthase form the permeability transition
pore. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.*
2013;110(15).

50.   Bonora M, et al. Role of the c subunit of the FO ATP synthase in mitochondrial
permeability transition. *Cell cycle (Georgetown, Tex).* 2013;12(4).

51.   Ichas F, et al. Mitochondria are excitable organelles capable of generating and conveying
electrical and calcium signals. *Cell.* 1997;89(7).

52.   Stockert JC, et al. Tetrazolium salts and formazan products in Cell Biology: Viability
assessment, fluorescence imaging, and labeling perspectives. *Acta histochemica.*
2018;120(3).

53.   Justus CR, et al. In vitro cell migration and invasion assays. *Journal of visualized*
854   *experiments : JoVE.* 2014(88).

855   54.   Grada A, et al. Research Techniques Made Simple: Analysis of Collective Cell Migration
856   Using the Wound Healing Assay. *The Journal of investigative dermatology.* 2017;137(2).

857   55.   Mashimo M, et al. The 89-kDa PARP1 cleavage fragment serves as a cytoplasmic PAR
858   carrier to induce AIF-mediated apoptosis. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2021;296.

859   56.   Khaket TP, et al. Ribosome stalling during c-myc translation presents actionable cancer
860   cell vulnerability. *PNAS nexus.* 2024;3(8).

861   57.   Comelli M, et al. F1FO ATP Synthase Is Expressed at the Surface of Embryonic Rat
862   Heart-Derived H9c2 Cells and Is Affected by Cardiac-Like Differentiation. *Journal of*
863   *cellular biochemistry.* 2016;117(2).

864   58.   Lv L, et al. NEMF-mediated Listerin-independent mitochondrial translational
865   surveillance by E3 ligase Pirh2 and mitochondrial protease ClpXP. *Cell reports.*
866   2024;43(3).

867   59.   Cormio A, et al. Mitochondrial Caseinolytic Protease P: A Possible Novel Prognostic
868   Marker and Therapeutic Target in Cancer. *International journal of molecular sciences.*
869   2021;22(12).

870   60.   Ventura-Clapier R, et al. Transcriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis: the central
871   role of PGC-1alpha. *Cardiovascular research.* 2008;79(2).

872   61.   Wu Z, et al. Mechanisms controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and respiration through
873   the thermogenic coactivator PGC-1. *Cell.* 1999;98(1).

874   62.   Endlicher R, et al. The Mitochondrial Permeability Transition Pore-Current Knowledge
875   of Its Structure, Function, and Regulation, and Optimized Methods for Evaluating Its

Functional State. *Cells.* 2023;12(9).

63. Beutner G, et al. Complexes between porin, hexokinase, mitochondrial creatine kinase
and adenylate translocator display properties of the permeability transition pore.
Implication for regulation of permeability transition by the kinases. *Biochimica et*
biophysica acta. 1998;1368(1).

64. Baines CP, et al. Voltage-dependent anion channels are dispensable for mitochondrial-
dependent cell death. *Nature cell biology.* 2007;9(5).

65. Gutiérrez-Aguilar M, et al. Genetic manipulation of the cardiac mitochondrial phosphate
carrier does not affect permeability transition. *Journal of molecular and cellular*
cardiology. 2014;72.

66. Kokoszka JE, et al. The ADP/ATP translocator is not essential for the mitochondrial
permeability transition pore. *Nature.* 2004;427(6973).

67. Karch J, et al. Inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition by deletion of the ANT
family and CypD. *Science advances.* 2019;5(8).

68. Beutner G, et al. The Mitochondrial Permeability Transition Pore and ATP Synthase.
Handbook of experimental pharmacology. 2017;240.

69. Petronilli V, et al. Regulation of the permeability transition pore, a voltage-dependent
mitochondrial channel inhibited by cyclosporin A. *Biochimica et biophysica acta.*
1994;1187(2).

70. Boyman L, et al. Dynamics of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore: Transient
and permanent opening events. *Archives of biochemistry and biophysics.* 2019;666.

71. Antoniel M, et al. The unique histidine in OSCP subunit of F-ATP synthase mediates
inhibition of the permeability transition pore by acidic pH. *EMBO reports.* 2018;19(2).

72.   Carraro M, et al. The Unique Cysteine of F-ATP Synthase OSCP Subunit Participates in

Modulation of the Permeability Transition Pore. *Cell reports.* 2020;32(9).

73.   Wang L, et al. A Multisite-Binding Switchable Fluorescent Probe for Monitoring

Mitochondrial ATP Level Fluctuation in Live Cells. *Angewandte Chemie (International*

ed in English). 2016;55(5).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Evidence for msiCAT-tailing on mitochondrial proteins in GBM cells

(A) RQC gene expression levels in GBM tumor tissues (n=153) compared to normal brain

tissues (n=206) (unpaired Student's t-test; *, logFC (fold change)>1; adj.P.Val<0.001). (B)

Western blot analysis of msiCAT-tailed mitochondrial proteins and RQC factors in patient-

derived GSC and control NSC cells, using ACTIN as the loading control. Red arrowheads

indicate short CAT-tailed mitochondrial proteins; "short" and "long" refer to exposure time; the

red numbers represent fold changes compared to controls (NSC). (C) Western blot of 5×FLAG-

tagged β-globin reporter proteins in GBM and control cells, showing more CAT-tailed proteins

in GBM cells, using ACTIN as the loading control. The red numbers represent fold changes

compared to controls (NHA without any treatment); the purple numbers represent the ratio of red

(CAT-tailed) to green (non-CAT-tailed) sections. (D) Western blot of overexpressed ATP5α-

AT3 and ATP5α-AT20 in GBM and control cells, using GAPDH as the loading control;

arrowheads indicate endogenous ATP5α, ATP5α-AT3, ATP5α-AT20, and oligomers/aggregates

of msiCAT-tailed ATP5α proteins. The purple numbers represent the ratio of red (exogenous) to

green (endogenous) sections. (E) Immunofluorescence staining shows endogenous ATP5α

protein aggregates in GBM cells, with TOM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker. White arrows

indicate ATP5α protein aggregates. (F) Quantification of E (n=3; chi-squared test; ***, $P <$

0.001; ****, $P < 0.0001$); the total number of cells counted is indicated in the columns.

Figure 2. Impact of msiCAT-tailed ATP5⊠ proteins on mitochondrial functions in GBM

cells

(A) TMRM staining shows a high mitochondrial membrane potential in patient-derived GSC

cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (B) ATP measurement

shows a low mitochondrial ATP production in patient-derived GSC cells (n=3; unpaired

Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$). (C, D) JC-10 staining reveals a reduced

mitochondrial membrane potential in GBM cells, but not in NHA control cells, upon both genetic

(C) and pharmacological (D) inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway (n=3; unpaired Student's

t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$; ns, not significant). (E) JC-10 staining reveals an

increased mitochondrial membrane potential in GBM cells, but not in control cells, upon

overexpression of ATP5ꟷ-AT3 and ATP5ꟷ-AT20 (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ****, $P <$

0.0001; ns, not significant). (F) Western blot of FLAG-tagged ATP5ꟷ, NEMF, and ANKZF1 in

GBM cells and control cells, using ACTIN as the loading control. (G) JC-10 staining reveals an

increased mitochondrial membrane potential in GBM cells, but not in NHA control cells, upon

overexpression of ATP5ꟷ-AT3 and ATP5ꟷ-AT20 with concurrent genetic inhibition of the

endogenous msiCAT-tailing pathway (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).

(H) BN-PAGE western blot of ATP5ꟷ and Flag shows that ATP5ꟷ-AT3 is incorporated into the

mitochondrial Complex-V (ATP synthase), while ATP5ꟷ-AT20 forms high molecular weight

protein aggregates in GBM cells. SC: respiratory supercomplex; C-V: Complex-V/ATP synthase.

(I, K) Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) data indicate a reduction in mitochondrial oxygen

consumption in SF268 cells expressing ATP5ꟷ-AT3 and ATP5ꟷ-AT20. Oligomycin (1.5 µM),

FCCP (1.0 µM), and rotenone/antimycin A (R/A, 0.5 µM) were sequentially added. (J, L)

Statistics of mitochondrial respiration parameters in (I, K), including non-mitochondrial

respiration, basal respiration, maximum respiration, spare respiration, proton leaks, and ATP

production (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P <$

0.0001; ns, not significant).

Figure 3. **msiCAT-tailing product regulates MPTP status in GBM cells**

(A, C) MPTP activity assay shows reduced mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening in

GSC cells compared to NHA (control) cells. Pharmacological (A, anisomycin 200 nM) and

genetic (sgNEMF) inhibition of CAT-tailing reverse it. (B, D) Quantification of (A, C) (n=3;

unpaired Student's t-test; ****, $P < 0.0001$; ns, not significant). (E, G) Immunofluorescence

staining shows that anisomycin treatment (E) and sgNEMF (G) inhibit endogenous ATP5▢

protein aggregation in GBM cells, using TOM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker. (F, H)

Quantification of (E, G) (n=3; chi-squared test; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$); the

total number of cells counted is indicated in the columns. (I) The calcium retention capacity

(CRC) assay of isolated mitochondria, measured using the Calcium Green-5N dye, reveals a

significantly higher CRC in GBM cells compared to control NHA cells. CsA (Cyclosporin A,

MPTP inhibitor) serves as a positive control. (J) Statistic of (I) shows attenuated CRC in

mitochondria pretreated with anisomycin or with sgNEMF (n=10; unpaired Student's t-test; ***,

$P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (K) MPTP activity assay shows that ectopic expression of ATP5▢-

AT3 and ATP5▢-AT20 inhibits MPTP opening in GBM cells. (L) Quantification of (K) (n=3;

unpaired Student's t-test; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (M) BN-PAGE western blot shows that ATP5▢-

AT3 and ATP5▢-AT20 expression alters ANT1/2 protein patterns in GBM cells, resulting in a

missing band (circled in yellow dashed line), and formation of high molecular weight aggregates.

SC: respiratory supercomplex; C-V: Complex V/ATP synthase.

 Figure 4. msiCAT-tailed ATP5□ protein promotes GBM progression

(A) MTT assay indicates increased proliferation caused by ATP5□-AT3 and ATP5□-AT20

expression in GBM cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$). (B) MTT

assay indicates no change in proliferation caused by ATP5□-AT3 and ATP5□-AT20 expression in

NHA cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ns, not significant). (C) Transswell assay reveals

enhanced migration induced by ATP5□-AT3 and ATP5□-AT20 expression in GBM (SF) cells but

not in control (NHA) cells. (D) Quantification of (C) shows the number of migrated cells (n=3;

unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ns, not significant). (E) MTT assay indicates an

increased proliferation in GBM cells, upon overexpression of ATP5□-AT3 and ATP5-AT20

with concurrent genetic inhibition of the endogenous msiCAT-tailing pathway (n=3; unpaired

Student's t-test; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$). (F) Transswell assay reveals enhanced migration upon

overexpression of ATP5□-AT3 and ATP5□-AT20 with concurrent genetic inhibition of the

endogenous msiCAT-tailing pathway. (G) Quantification of (F) shows the number of migrated

cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (H) TUNEL staining

shows that staurosporine (STS, 1 µM)-induced apoptosis is attenuated by ATP5□-AT3 and

ATP5□-AT20 expression in GBM cells, using TUNEL-Cy3 as an apoptotic cell indicator and

DAPI as a nucleus indicator. (I) Quantification of (H) shows the percentage of TUNEL-positive

cells in the population (n=3; unpaired student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$), using DMSO as the

vehicle control. (J) MTT assay indicates an enhanced resistance to temozolomide (TMZ, 150

µM) induced by ATP5□-AT3 and ATP5□-AT20 expression. The TMZ-treated/SF-Ctrl group is

used as the control (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Figure 5. Inhibition of msiCAT-tailing impedes GBM progression

(A) Cell viability assay shows greater sensitivity to anisomycin treatment in patient-derived GSC cells than control NSC cells at 48 hours (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P <0.0001$; compared to controls at the corresponding dose). (B) MTT assay indicates reduced GBM cell proliferation by genetic inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P <0.0001$, compared to controls at the corresponding time). (C) MTT assay indicates reduced NHA cell proliferation by genetic inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ****, $P <0.0001$, compared to controls at the corresponding time). (D, F) Transwell assay reveals that both genetic (D) and pharmacological (F) inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway hampers the migration of GBM cells but not control cells. (E, G) Quantification of (D, F) showing the number of migrated cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P <0.0001$; ns, not significant). (H, J) TUNEL staining reveals that both genetic (H) and pharmacological (J) inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway promote STS-induced apoptosis in GBM cells, utilizing TUNEL-Cy3 as an apoptotic cell marker and DAPI as a nuclear stain. (I, K) Quantification of (H, J) showing the percentage of TUNEL-positive cells in the population (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **** $P < 0.0001$), using DMSO as the vehicle control. (L) MTT assay shows that pharmacological inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway decrease the resistance of GBM cells to temozolomide (TMZ, 150 µM) treatment (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; * $P <$ 0.05; **, $P < 0.01$). (M) The neurosphere formation assay shows that reduced spheroid formation, caused by pharmacological inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway, can synergize with TMZ in GBM cells. (N) Quantification of (M) (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$).

Figure 6. Impact of msiCAT-tail modified ATP5α protein on mitochondrial function in

GBM cells

In healthy cells, ATP5α protein, encoded by the nuclear genome, is imported into the

mitochondrial matrix via the TOM/TIM complex through co-translational import and

incorporated into ATP synthase (Left). Conversely, in GBM cells, the CAT-tailed ATP5α protein

can either form aggregates near the mitochondrial outer membrane or be imported into the

mitochondria. Within the mitochondrial matrix, proteins with shorter CAT-tails readily integrate

into ATP synthase, disrupting its functionality. This dysfunction is characterized by a reduced

ATP synthesis rate and proton (H^+) accumulation, resulting in an elevated mitochondrial

membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$m). These alterations in ATP synthase ultimately trigger malfunction of

the MPTP, consequently affecting cell proliferation, migration, and resistance to drug-induced

apoptosis (Right).

1029

Supplementary Figure and Table Legends

Figure S1. RQC pathway activity in GBM cells

(A) Immunofluorescence staining shows elevated NEMF and reduced ANKZF1 endogenous

protein levels in the tumor tissue of the GBM mouse model compared to wild-type brain tissue.

Tumor identification is indicated by GFP (green). (B) Quantification of A (n=3; unpaired

Student's t-test; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (C) Western blot analysis of select RQC factors in control cell

lines (SVG, NHA) and GBM cell lines (SF268, GSC827), using ACTIN as the loading control.

Red numbers represent fold changes in protein levels relative to controls (SVG).

1038

Figure S2. AT repeat sequences mimicking CAT-tails induce protein aggregates in cells

(A) Western blot analysis of ATP5α in GSC cells, using GAPDH as the loading control. The red

arrowhead indicates the modified ATP5α form; "short" and "long" refer to exposure time. (B)

Western blot analysis of Flag-tagged ATP5α in GSC and control cells, using ACTIN as the

loading control. The red arrowhead indicates the modified Flag-ATP5α form. (C)

Immunofluorescence staining shows that Flag-tagged ATP5�-AT3 and ATP5�-AT20 (green)

form aggregates in GBM and control cells, using TOM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker. (D)

Quantification of C (n=3; chi-squared test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$); the total number

of cells counted is indicated in the columns. (E) Western blot of Flag-tagged ATP5α-GS3 and

ATP5α-GS20 in GBM cells, using ACTIN as the loading control. (F) Immunofluorescence

staining shows that Flag-tagged ATP5�-GS3 and ATP5�-GS20 (green) do not form aggregates

in GBM cells, using TOM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker. (G) Quantification of F (n=3; chi-

squared test; ns, not significant); the total number of cells counted is indicated in the columns.

1052

Figure S3. Aggregation of CAT-tailed mitochondrial proteins observed *in vivo*

(A) Immunofluorescence staining shows that endogenous NDUS3 protein aggregates in GBM cells, with TOM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker. White arrows indicate NUDS3 protein aggregates. (B) Quantification of A (n=3; chi-squared test; *, $P < 0.05$); the total number of cells counted is indicated in the columns. (C) Immunofluorescence staining reveals that endogenous ATP5□ protein forms aggregates in tumor tissue from the GBM mouse model, but not in wild-type brain tissue, using TOM20 (blue) as a mitochondrial marker. Tumor identification is indicated by GFP (green). White arrowheads indicate ATP5□ (red) aggregates. Yellow lines indicate the regions selected for intensity analysis in (D). (D) Fluorescence intensity profiles show the signals of ATP5□ (red) and TOM20 (blue) in wild-type and tumor tissues. Black arrows indicate ATP5□ aggregates located outside of mitochondria. (E) Quantification of C (n=3; chi-squared test; ****, $P < 0.0001$); the total number of cells counted is indicated in the columns.

1065

Figure S4. Aberrant mitochondrial function in GBM cells

(A) JC-10 staining reveals elevated mitochondrial membrane potentials in GBM cells compared to NHA (control) cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$). (B) Analysis with BioTracker ATP□red dye staining shows reduced mitochondrial ATP production in GBM cells compared to NHA (control) cells, using MitoTracker Green as the mitochondrial mass indicator for normalization. (C) Quantification of (B) (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (D) Western blot of NEMF and ANKZF1 in GBM and control cells, confirming the successful overexpression and knockdown of target proteins, using ACTIN as the loading control. (E) JC-10 staining reveals no change of mitochondrial membrane potential in GBM cells, upon

1075   overexpression of ATP5▢-GS3 and ATP5▢-GS20 (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ****, $P <$

1076   0.0001; ns, not significant).

1077

Figure S5. Cycloheximide does not impact mitochondrial functions

(A) MPTP activity assay shows that MPTP opening is not affected by the cycloheximide

treatment (100 µg/mL) in cells. (B) Quantification of (A) (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ns, not

significant). (C) Immunofluorescence staining reveals no inhibition of endogenous ATP5▢

protein aggregation by cycloheximide (100 µg/mL) treatment in GBM cells, using TOM20 (red)

as a mitochondrial marker. (D) Quantification of (C) (n=3; chi-squared test; ns, not significant);

the total number of cells counted is indicated in the columns. (E) MPTP activity assay reveals the

increased Calcien signal in GBM cells, upon overexpression of ATP5▢-AT3 and ATP5▢-AT20

with concurrent genetic inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test;

**, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$).

1088

Figure S6. The CAT-tailed ATP5▢ variant has no interaction with MPTP proteins

(A) Calcium retention capacity (CRC) assay of isolated mitochondria, measured with Calcium

Green-5N dye, upon cycloheximide (100 µg/mL) treatment and CAT-tailing enhancement

(oeNEMF and siANKZF1). (B) Statistic of (A) shows changes in CRC in GBM cells or control

cells (n=10; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$). (C) Co-immunoprecipitation

data show no direct interaction between ATP5▢ and either CypD or ANT1/2 can be found in

GBM cells. Red arrowheads indicate target proteins. (D) Western blotting of cytosolic and

isolated mitochondrial fractions shows ATP5▯-AT3 expression reduces CypD levels in GBM

cells, using TOM20 as a mitochondrial marker and loading control.

1098

Figure S7. Effect of GS repeat tails on GBM proliferation and migration

(A) MTT assay indicates no significant change in GBM proliferation upon ATP5▯-GS3 and

ATP5▯-GS20 expression (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ns, not significant). (B)

Wound-healing assay reveals enhanced GBM migration upon ATP5▯-AT3 and ATP5▯-AT20

expression. (C) Quantification of (B) shows an increased healing rate, indicated by scratch

wound coverage at both 24 and 48 hours (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$). (D)

Transwell assay reveals no significant alteration in GBM migration upon ATP5▯-GS3 and

ATP5▯-GS20 expression. (E) Quantification of (D) shows the number of migrated cells (n=3;

unpaired Student's t-test; ns, not significant). (F) qRT-PCR reveals no increase in mRNA levels

of mitochondrial unfolded protein response genes, as normalized to *ACTB* as the control (n=4;

unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.01$; ns, not significant).

1110

Figure S8. GBM cells exhibit increased resistance to apoptosis

(A) TUNEL staining shows that GBM cells are more resistant to staurosporine (STS, 1 µM)-

induced apoptosis compared to control cells, using TUNEL-Cy3 as an apoptotic cell indicator

and DAPI as a nucleus indicator. (B) Quantification of A shows the percentage of TUNEL-

positive cells in the population (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.0001$; ****, $P <$

0.0001), using DMSO as the vehicle control. (C) Western blot analysis of PARP shows that GBM

cells are more resilient against STS-induced apoptosis at 30-, 90-, and 180-min post-treatment.

Cleaved PARP is used as an apoptosis marker. ACTIN and GAPDH are used as loading controls.

Red numbers below each blot represent the ratios of cleaved PARP (c-PARP) to total PARP

protein. (D, F) Flow cytometry analysis using Annexin V-FITC/Propidium Iodide (PI) staining

shows alterations in apoptosis rates in GBM cells upon ATP5▢-AT3, ATP5▢-AT20, ATP5▢-GS3,

and ATP5▢-GS20 expression. The apoptotic cell population (Annexin V positive, PI negative) is

represented in the fourth quadrant (right lower). (E, G) Quantification of (D, F) shows the

percentages of apoptotic cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; **, $P < 0.001$; ***, $P < 0.0001$).

1125

Figure S9. No effect of Cycloheximide on GBM apoptosis response

(A) Caspase-3/7 activity assay shows increased apoptosis in GBM cells caused by anisomycin

treatment (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$; compared to the

control group (DMSO) at the corresponding time). (B, C) Western blot analysis of PARP in

anisomycin-treated and cycloheximide-treated GSC cells indicates that pharmacological

inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway enhances STS-induced apoptosis, using ACTIN as a

loading control. Red numbers below each blot represent the ratios of cleaved PARP (c-PARP) to

total PARP protein. (D, F) Flow cytometry analysis using Annexin V-FITC/Propidium Iodide

(PI) staining shows alterations in apoptosis rates in GBM cells upon genetic (D) and

pharmacological (F) inhibition of the msiCAT-tailing pathway. The apoptotic cell population

(Annexin V positive, PI negative) is represented in the fourth quadrant (right lower). (E, G)

Quantification of (D, F) shows the percentages of apoptotic cells (n=3; unpaired Student's t-test;

**, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$; ns, not significant).

1139

1140

Table 1. Differential expression analysis of RQC genes in GBM patients compared to healthy controls

Gene	logFC	AveExpr	t	P.Value	adj.P.Val
RACK1	2.224548565	9.048113333	19.24641934	2.62E-57	6.69E-56
ASCC3	1.738216567	2.717246389	19.27211713	2.05E-57	5.27E-56
ASCC1	1.689584768	4.257915556	17.8774401	1.20E-51	2.18E-50
ASCC2	1.471467207	4.153399167	15.9118075	1.43E-43	1.68E-42
ABCE1	1.32826428	3.81695	13.79248369	4.69E-35	3.60E-34
VCP	1.050066326	6.321021667	9.828944092	2.33E-20	9.31E-20
GIGYF2	0.985695112	3.786421389	10.25440005	8.00E-22	3.41E-21
MAP3K20	0.962218073	1.863793889	8.711292467	1.10E-16	3.75E-16
PELO	0.92860628	2.2885625	10.60122263	4.85E-23	2.18E-22
KLHDC10	0.854921284	3.492322222	9.064976509	8.08E-18	2.88E-17
EDF1	0.82091202	8.444505	7.278600017	2.12E-12	5.94E-12
XRN1	0.809119864	1.518371111	8.54020086	3.80E-16	1.26E-15
LTN1	0.786409776	1.9716	9.962815742	8.14E-21	3.32E-20
MKRN1	0.769369764	5.745359444	7.400071791	9.65E-13	2.74E-12
RCHY1	0.652647968	4.276126111	6.840213538	3.40E-11	8.93E-11
ZNF598	0.62380412	4.006663611	6.043582081	3.76E-09	8.90E-09
HBS1L	0.291107388	4.701389722	2.72853772	0.006672805	0.010370549
NEMF	0.194631373	4.566962778	2.575063266	0.010419695	0.015855894
ANKZF1	-0.436070986	4.620298333	-3.65005718	0.000300886	0.000525859

1141

Article and Author Information

Author Details

Bei Zhang

- Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

Contribution: Methodology, Investigation, Validation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Ting Cai

- Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

Contribution: Methodology, Investigation, Validation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Esha Reddy

- Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

1161   **Contribution:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing

1162   **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1163

1164   **Yuanna Wu**

1165   • Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences,

1166   Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

1167   **Contribution:** Software: programming, Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing

1168   **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1169

1170   **Isha Mondal**

1171   • Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143,

1172   USA.

1173   **Contribution:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing

1174   **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1175

1176   **Yinglu Tang**

1177   • Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences,

1178   Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

1179   **Contribution:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing

1180 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1181

1182 **Adaeze Scholastical Gbufor**

1183 • Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences,
1184   Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

1185 **Contribution:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing

1186 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1187

Jerry Wang

• Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences,
Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.

Current address: McCombs School of Business, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX
78712, USA.

Contribution: Investigation, Writing – review and editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

1195

Yawei Shen

• Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA.
• Center for Human Genetics, Clemson University, Greenwood, SC 29646, USA.

Current address: College of Fisheries, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, 453007, Henan,

China.

Contribution: Investigation, Writing – review and editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

1203

1204 **Qing Liu**

1205 • Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA.

1206 • Center for Human Genetics, Clemson University, Greenwood, SC 29646, USA.

1207 **Contribution:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing, Funding acquisition

1208 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1209

1210 **Raymond Sun**

1211 • Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143,

1212 USA.

1213 **Contribution:** Investigation, Writing – review and editing

1214 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1215

1216 **Winson S Ho**

1217 • Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143,

USA.

Contribution: Resources, Investigation, Writing – review and editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Rongze Olivia Lu

- Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143,
  USA.

Contribution: Conceptualization, Resources, Investigation, Supervision, Project administration,

Writing – review and editing, Funding acquisition

For correspondence: rongze.lu@ucsf.edu

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Zhihao Wu

- Department of Biological Sciences, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences,
  Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA.
- **Contribution:** Conceptualization, Resources, Investigation, Supervision, Project
  administration, Writing – original draft, review and editing, Funding acquisition
- **For correspondence:** zhihaowu@smu.edu
- **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

Funding Information

National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R35GM150190)

- Zhihao Wu

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R01NS126501)

- Rongze Olivia Lu

Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (RP210068)

- Zhihao Wu and Rongze Olivia Lu

Southern Methodist University (Dedman College Dean's Research Council grant)

- Zhihao Wu

SPARK-South Carolina Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (ARDC Pilot Grant)

- Qing Liu

The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, and interpretation, or in the decision to submit the work for publication.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Chunzhang Yang at the National Cancer Institute and Dr. Russell O. Pieper at the University of California, San Francisco, for the cell lines. We thank Dr. Hoshino at Nagoya City University and Dr. Inada at Tohoku University for providing the plasmids. We also thank

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 6