**Deciphering Species-Specific and Conserved Regulation of Human *UCP1* Gene Expression:**

**Insights from Predicted Homeodomain Family Transcription Factor Binding Sites**

Beáta B. Tóth[1*], Géza Hegedűs[1,2], Eszter Virág[1,3], Gergely Tamás Pethő[1], Levente Laczkó[1,4], László Fésüs[5]

*[1]"One Health" Institute, Faculty of Health Science, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, H-4032, Debrecen, Egyetem*
*Tér 1., Hungary (toth.beata@etk.unideb.hu)*

*[2] Department of Information Technology and Its Applications, Faculty of Information Technology, University of*
*Pannonia, Gasparich Márk Str 18/A, 8900 Zalaegerszeg, Hungary*

*[3] Research Institute for Medicinal Plants and Herbs Ltd., Budakalász, Hungary*

*[4] HUN-REN-DE Conservation Biology Research Group, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, H-4032, Egyetem Tér 1,*
*Hungary*

*[5]Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Department, Faculty of Medical Science, University of Debrecen; H-4032,*
*Debrecen, Egyetem Tér 1., Hungary*

*Corresponding author

**Highlights**

• Evolutionary dynamics of UCP1 genes and their regulatory elements differ between
humans and rodents.
• Majority of the putative regulatory factors of human *UCP1* are general regulators of
the UCPs.
• Species-specific regulatory hotspots primarily recognized by TFs of the homeodomain
family.
• Repetitive elements may shape specific regulatory mechanisms during evolution.
22

**Abstract**

While the potential of adipocyte thermogenesis in influencing metabolic diseases is well-

recognized, its precise regulatory mechanisms remain elusive. To address this, we explore the

complex network of transcription factors (TFs) governing the human *UCP1* gene, a central

player in thermogenesis, to shed light on regulatory processes driving human fat cell

phenotypes. By employing computational analyses, we screen *UCP1* ortholog and paralog

promoters across humans, mice and rats to uncover conserved and species-specific regulatory

elements. Leveraging transcriptomic data, chipSeq results and AdioNET phenotyping we

delineate TFs potentially bind to the human *UCP1* promoter. Significant sequence variation is

revealed in the enhancer regions of *UCP1s*, characterised by the absence of large segments in

the rodent genome, potentially influenced by the presence/absence of repetitive elements.

Furthermore, our analyses identify species-specific regulatory hotspots mostly recognized by

homeodomain family TFs providing the potential for the formation of enhanceosomes with

nearby TFs. Exploring phylogenetically conserved regulatory elements reveals TFs exhibiting

binding sites across species and *UCP* paralogs assigning again homeodomain family TFs among

others, and bHLH TFs, respectively. These results potentially advance our understanding of

thermogenic fat cell development and offer new targets for intervention in metabolic

disorders.

**Keywords:** Adipocyte browning, *UCP1* regulation, homeodomain Family Transcription Factors,

Thermogenesis, Transposable Repeat Elements, Enhanceosome

**Graphical Abstract**

**I.      INTRODUCTION**

Adipocytes are pivotal in maintaining energy balance and metabolic health within the human

body. While white adipocytes primarily serve as energy reservoirs, brown and beige

adipocytes specialize in energy expenditure through thermogenesis. This thermogenic

capacity holds significant promise for influencing overall energy homeostasis, especially in the context of metabolic disorders (Tayanloo-Beik et al., 2023; Seki et al., 2022; Wibmer et al., 2021; Becher et al., 2021; Razzoli et al., 2016, Nedergaard et al., 2007). Non-shivering thermogenesis, a crucial component of the body's heat-producing mechanisms, can be initiated by cold exposure and various environmental cues, yet the intricate mechanisms remain incompletely understood (Hoang et al., 2013). Cold-induced thermogenesis involves the upregulation of Uncoupling Protein 1 (UCP1) within the mitochondrial membrane, leading to controlled heat generation and presenting an attractive target for weight management strategies (Feldman et al., 2009; Ricquier 2011, Nedergaard et al. 2001, Enerbäck 1997). Despite extensive research, a comprehensive understanding of the regulatory mechanisms governing adipocyte browning remains elusive (Waldén et al. 2012; Nedergaard and Cannon 2014). Several TFs have been proposed as direct regulators of UCP1 gene expression including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), retinoic acid receptors (RXRs, RARs), thyroid hormone receptor (THR), cAMP response element binding proteins (CREBPs), nuclear factor erythroid response element 2 like 2 (NFE2L2), Sterol Regulatory Element-Binding Protein 1 (SREBP1), early B-cell factor 1-2 (EBF1-2), the c-Jun::c-Fos complex, and the CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (CEBPA) and indirect regulators like PPARG Coactivator 1 alpha (PGC-1α) and PR domain containing 16 (PRDM16) (Rosen et al. 2000; del Mar Gonzalez-Barroso 2000; Rim and Kozak 2002; Seale et al. 2007; Timmons et al. 2007; Ricquier 2011, Villaroya et al., 2017; Zhang et al. 2023). Recent reviews offering insights into the complex epigenetic and transcriptional regulation underlying brown/beige adipocyte development (e.g. Li et al. 2019; Shapira and Seale, 2019; Ambele and Pepper 2017; Villaroya et al., 2017; Inagaki et al., 2016; Harms et al., 2015; Bonet et al., 2013), however, the intricate web of regulatory mechanisms is still to some extent obscured.

This investigation aims to utilize computational methodologies to identify transcription factors (TFs) potentially regulating human *UCP1* gene expression by discerning TFs possess putative functional DNA-binding motifs within the promoter regions of the human *UCP1* gene (GRCh37/hg19; Chr. 4: 141,489,115-141,495,114). To simplify nomenclature, the term "promoter" is used here to refer to the regulatory sequence segments under study, including intergenic regions (DNA segments in the positive direction from the transcription start site (TSS)) and distal enhancers. By comparing *UCP1* orthologs and paralogs across humans, mice and rats, we aimed to uncover conserved and species-specific *UCP1* regulatory elements.

While Mice and rats are valuable as model organisms for thermogenic studies, they present challenges in translating findings to human physiology due to inherent differences (Enerbäck et al. 1997). Particularly pertinent to metabolic and thermogenic processes, the evolutionary divergence in strategies for maintaining energy balance based on factors like body size and environmental temperature has been documented (Tattersall and Milsom, 2009; Kottke et al., 1948), indicating potential regulatory differences. Exploring regulatory variances in the expression of paralogous genes with shared origins but distinct tissue expressions and protein functions, such as *UCP2* and *UCP3* (Nedergaard and Canon 2003, Rousset et al. 2004, Nicholls 2021), opens up the possibility of unravelling *UCP1* gene-specific regulators and offering insight into potentially more specific intervention targets that may not be apparent in traditional model organisms (Hughes and Criscuolo 2008, Enerbäck et al. 1997, Warfel et al. 2023). The identification of these elements may elucidate specific regulatory processes driving human fat cell phenotypes and advance our understanding of thermogenic fat cell development, with significant therapeutic implications.

**II.    RESULTS**

**1. Comparative Analysis of UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 Promoter, Gene and Protein Sequences Across Species: Structural and Evolutionary Aspects.**

To elucidate the structural properties underpinning the distinctive function of three proteins of the mitochondrial Solute Carrier Family 25 (SLC25), the UCP1 (SLC25A7), UCP2 (SLC25A8) and UCP3 (SLC25A9), we undertook a thorough comparative analysis including the nucleotide sequences of the genes and their promoters in the human, mouse and rat genomes. Additionally, we aligned the amino acid sequences of the encoded proteins and explored their complex three-dimensional (3D) spatial structures.

*1.1 Sequence Similarity Analysis Reveals Distinct Evolutionary Relationships Between UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 Genes and Their Regulatory Regions*

A phylogenetic tree, reconstructed by merging data from human, mouse, and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3*, clearly illustrates that orthologous sequences grouped together indicating their closer relationship than to their paralogous counterparts, considering amino acid composition, coding sequences (mRNA), and regulatory DNA sequences with high support

values in all cases (Fig. 1A). As anticipated, an elevated similarity is also evident between mouse and rat concerning orthologous genes, as they cluster more closely compare to human. Conversely, for paralogous genes, the reconstruction of mRNA and amino acid sequences clearly identified *UCP2* and *UCP3* as a separate cluster from *UCP1*. In contrast, *UCP1* and *UCP2* were grouped together based on their promoters. These findings are further substantiated by the pairwise distance analysis of coding and promoter sequences of the *UCP* genes (Suppl. Fig. 1A).

*1.2 Presence of Transposable and Repetitive Elements Result in Significant Sequence Divergence within the Human and Rodent Distal Enhancer Regions.*

Cross-species alignment of the investigated 6000 bp long nucleotide sequences of the *UCP1* promoter revealed that a 1419 bp sequence interval spanning from -2083 bp to -3502 bp (GRCh37/hg19: Chr4 141,492,198-141,493,617) in the distal enhancer region of the human *UCP1* promoter and the final 658 bp (Chr4: 141,494,458-141,495,115; at -4341 bp to -5000 bp) segment of the examined 6000 bp sequence were strikingly absent in the *UCP1* promoters of mice and rats (Fig. 1B). This absence was corroborated through a BLAST search on the NCBI database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Moreover, examining the presence of the 1419 bp sequence in other species using the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrate tool in the UCSC genome browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) suggests its emergence as an evolutionarily novel sequence around the time of opossum divergence, albeit it is missing in certain clades, including mice and rats (Suppl. Fig. 1B). It is noteworthy that short palindromic sequences or their residues can be detected in the human *UCP1* promoter at the beginning and end of these evolutionarily novel DNA fragments (Suppl. Fig. 1C, 1D,1E, 1F, 1G; red boxes). Eukaryotic Promoter Database analysis (p < 1e-5) shows that the RE of CREB3 at -3498 bp corresponds to the palindromic "TGACGTCA" sequence at the end of the 1419 bp long segment (Suppl. Fig. 1D). Notably, the 468 bp central region of this new sequence (Chr4: 141.492.573-141.493.040; at -2458 bp to -2925 bp), identified as a long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposon repetitive element by RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org) (Jurka 2000) named MLT1G from the endogenous retroviruses ERVL-MaLR family (Fig. 1B). Its seems to be multiply integrated within the human genome, as indicated by BLAST search (Suppl. Fig. 1H). It is also interesting to note that at the beginning and end of this central segment, homeodomain family TF RE are identified, DLX2 RE at -2478 bp, while at the end for HOXD8, HOXD9, HOXB5, POU6F1 at -2913

bp. Remarkably, Eukaryotic Promoter Database does not identify TF RE at the intermediate segment at the $p < 1e-5$ probability level. While, towards the 3' terminus of the 658 bp sequence absent in the mouse and rat genomes, a 317 bp (Chr4: 141.494.458-141.495.774; located at -4343 bp to -4659 bp) and a 124 bp segment (Chr4: 141.494.775-141.494.898; at -4660 bp to -4783bp) were also recognized by RepeatMasker as a short interspersed nuclear element (SINE) and a long interspersed nuclear element (LINE), respectively. Specifically, the SINE was classified as an Alu sz6 repeat from the ALU family, and the subsequent LINE, was identified as an L1M5 repeat belonging to the L1 family. The BLAST searches have found similar repeat sequences in over 1000 locations in the human genome. Using RepeatMasker, we found several additional repetitive elements in the human *UCP1* promoter, whose possible role requires further investigation (Fig. 1B, grey lines). This observation may underscore the dynamic nature of genetic elements and their potential roles in gene expression regulation during evolution.

Nevertheless, the intronic regions of *UCP1* at around +250 bp and the distal enhancer region at around -3600 bp exhibit above-average sequence similarity across species and may indicate conserved DNA regions with REs that are important in the control of transcription, such as Myeloid Zinc Finger 1 (MZF1) at -3667 bp and glucocorticoid modulatory element binding protein 1 (GMEB1) and GLIS family zinc finger 2 (GLIS2) at around +250 bp (Fig. 1B blue boxes; Suppl. Table 2).

*1.3 Subtle Structural Differences of UCP1 Orthologous and Paralogous Proteins May Have Functional Implications*

The primary 3D structures of human UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 proteins reveal a substantial degree of homology with their mouse and rat counterparts, as established through predictive modeling by AlphaFold 2 and structural alignment conducted via the RCSB PDB interface (Fig. 2A, see methods). Supplementary Figure 2A presents these spatial protein structures in a color-coded format, offering insights into their conformational similarities. Remarkably, the model confidently predicts the spatial structure of UCP1 across all three species, while for UCP2 and UCP3, the model confidence tends to be comparatively lower. Interestingly, within the UCP proteins of all three species, short interdomain sequences exhibit notably low model confidence (Suppl. Fig. 2A, red color). This context-dependent variability in spatial structure may provide an opportunity to influence functionality under specific conditions, such as

modulating proton conductance or affecting the binding of fatty acids and purine nucleotides.

Detailed pairwise structural alignment measurements of the examined proteins, delineating

the extent of structural similarity with human UCP1, are outlined in Table 1. These analyses

reveal a consistent template modeling score (TM-score) of 0.75 or higher when compared to

human UCP1, with equivalent residue numbers notably aligning in all cases (Table 1). In finer

detail, the results underscore the remarkable similarity between mouse and rat UCP1 and

human UCP1, with an impressive TM score of 0.97. The homology is slightly lower for the UCP2

(0.84 TM score) and UCP3 (0.77 TM score) paralogous genes. It is worth noting that the amino

acid sequence similarities correspond closely with the result of sequence comparisons, both

underscoring the higher similarity in orthologous protein structures. However, the relevance

of the amino acid sequence homology in protein structure similarity is questioned by the fact

that the presence of the conserved UCP protein structure is a recurrent feature within the

SLC25 protein family (Hoang et al., 2013; Crichton et al., 2017), irrespective of homology level

between the constituent amino acids. For instance, the ADP/ATP translocase (SLC25A4 or

ANT1) exhibits a similar predicted 3D fold structure (Fig. 2B) and a substantial TM score of 0.8,

despite a modest 21% amino acid identity homology (Table 1). In conclusion, phylogenetic and

structural analyses of *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3* across human, mouse, and rat genomes

demonstrate the closest relationships among orthologs. Paralogs exhibit distinct clustering

patterns based on gene and promoter sequences. The observed structural similarities,

irrespective of amino acid sequence homology, underscore the conserved nature of the UCP

protein structure within the SLC25 family and raise questions about the functional implications

of sequence variations in specific inter-domain regions.

**2. Comparative Analysis of Transcription Factor Binding Sites of *UCP* Promoters in**

**Human, Mouse, and Rat**

*2.1 Comparative Analysis of Putative Transcription Factor Response Elements in UCP1/2/3*

*Promoters Across Species Reveals Higher Similarities Between Human UCP1 and UCP2 than*

*UCP1 orthologs in mouse and rat*

Proceeding with a thorough exploration of the promoter sequences of the *UCP1*, *UCP2* and

*UCP3* genes in humans, mice and rats, we then focused on determining the specific

transcriptional regulation of the human *UCP1* gene. Exploiting the Eukaryotic Promoter

Database (EPD) and a diverse array of TF motifs derived from the JASPAR databases, we

conducted an in-depth analysis involving 579 TF motifs (Dreos et al., 2017). Employing stringent significance thresholds (p < 1e-4), we identified varying numbers of TFs with putative REs across the nine genes under study, ranging from 293 to 381 (Fig. 2C, Suppl. Fig. 2B, Suppl. Table 1). Employing a stricter p < 1e-5 threshold resulted in the detection of 63 to 123 TFs with REs in the promoter of the *UCP* genes across the three species (Suppl. Fig. 2C). The distribution of TF REs within *UCP1* promoters exhibited considerable variations among regions and species. While in the case of humans the proximal promoter shows the highest TF binding, in mice and rats it is the enhancer region (Fig. 2D, 2E; Suppl. Table 2). Then, heat map visualization and hierarchical clustering revealed that the regulatory TF RE profile of the human *UCP1* gene closely resembled that of its paralog, human *UCP2* (Fig. 2F), contrary to observations based on protein structure, amino acid composition, gene, and promoter sequences (Fig. 1A, 2A), where orthologs were more akin to each other. This is also supported by the comparison of relative gene expression profiles of human *UCP* genes within the cervical derived adipocyte samples, as *UCP1* was most closely related to *UCP2* based on the correlation using Spearman's rank similarity matrix (Fig. 2E).

*2.2 Comparative Analysis of Transcription Factor Response Elements Reveals Unique Regulatory Sequences for Human UCP1 Gene Associated with Homeodomain Family Class TFs*

In our comprehensive investigation, a total of 364 TFs (including dimers, trimers and variants) with putative REs were identified in the promoter region of the human *UCP1* gene (Figure 2C; Suppl. Table 1). Interestingly, upon comparative analysis with the regulatory regions of the human *UCP2* and *UCP3* genes, 319 of these TFs were found to be commonly present in one of the investigated *UCP*s. However, 45 TFs (including dimers and variants) were exclusive to the *UCP1* promoter. We examined these 45 *UCP1*-specific TFs in more depth, first comparing their expression patterns to *UCP1* in cervical subcutaneous and deep neck preadipocytes, as well as mature adipocytes (Figure 2H) (Tóth et al. 2020). Notably, our analysis revealed that 5 TFs, including *AR*, *RXRA*, *HMBOX1*, *CREM*, and *HOXA5*, displayed a significantly positive correlation with *UCP1* gene expression (Suppl. Table 3). Conversely, 17 TFs, with *JUNB*, *FOSL1/2*, *ATF1*, *VDR*, and *NFE2L2* as the top six, exhibited a negative correlation. However, the RE of RXRA only demonstrates specific occurrence in human *UCP1* promoter when dimerized with VDR. Similarly, only a single variant of the JUNB TF, JUNB_var2, exhibits a specific binding sequence occurrence in the human *UCP1* promoter and only when dimerized with FOSL1 or FOSL2.

When they are considered alone or dimerized with other TFs, their REs are identified in all

*UCP*s tested, thus they cannot be considered human *UCP1*-specific regulators.

Next, in comparison to TFs with REs detected in the regulatory regions of mouse and rat UCP1,

UCP2, and UCP3 genes, we identified 13 out of the 45 human UCP1-specific TFs that lacked

REs in the promoters of these rodent *UCP* genes, namely: ALX1, ALX4, DLX2, EN1, GSX1,

HOXA2, LHX8, MIXL1, NFIL3, PAX4, VSX1, VSX2, and HOXA5 (Figure 2F, red box). Except for

NFIL3, these TFs belong to the family of the class of homeodomain family TFs (HDF; JASPAR

database). Eukaryotic Promoter Database screening can detect most of these REs at the

strongest probability level ($p < 1e-5$) (Table 2A, Suppl. Table 2), supporting that these TFs may

potentially regulate thermogenic processes in a species-specific manner, which could add a

new layer of complexity to human *UCP1* regulation. Additionally, we examined the RE and the

nucleotide sequences at the binding positions identified by the Eukaryotic Promoter Database

for these 13 TFs and we noticed a distinctive 10 bp palindromic DNA nucleotide sequence, 5'-

TCTAATTAGA-3' positioned at -690 bp in the human *UCP1* promoter (EPD database; UCSC

genome browser GRCh 37/hg19: 141.490.801-141.490.810), serving as a RE for 9 out of the

13 human *UCP1* specific TFs (Table 2A). This sequence was indeed absent in *UCP2* and *UCP3*

promoters, as well as in mouse and rat *UCP*s' regulatory sequences based on EPD database

and NBCI BLAST search, highlighting its potentially unique regulatory role in humans. Further

data suggested a certain level of conservation of this sequence in primates (one base

difference), such as chimps, gorillas, orangutans, baboons, and green-monkey (Figure 1B;

Multiz Vertebrate Sequence Alignment in UCSC), broadening the range of possible species in

which this regulatory process may be implemented. In addition to the homeodomain family

TFs, the nuclear factor interleukin 3 (NFIL3), which belongs to the basic leucine zipper TF family

emerged as another potential human-specific *UCP1* regulatory factor, with its binding site

positioned at -881 bp in the human *UCP1* promoter, albeit not identified at a stringent

probability level ($p<1e-5$).

On the other hand, 93 TF REs were found to be consistently present in all UCP promoters from

the three investigated species suggesting a degree of shared regulation (Figure 2F Purple box,

Suppl. Table 1). These include TFs that have been found in previous studies to possess

phylogenetically well-conserved BS in the *UCP1* promoter, such as PPARA, PPARG, RARE,

TFAP2, SP, THR and SREBF1 (Gaudry and Campbell 2017).

*2.3 Predicting Transcription Factors Binding to the Unique Palindromic Nucleotide Sequence of the Human UCP1 Regulatory Region*

Next, we aimed to predict the TFs that might bind to the unique nucleotide sequence "5'-TCTAATTAGA-3'" situated at -690 bp in the human *UCP1* regulatory region. In addition to the 9 human specific homeodomain family TFs, the Eukaryotic Promoter Database also revealed several homeodomain family TFs (p < 1e-4) that potentially recognize this RE but do not show human *UCP1* specificity (Suppl. Table 2). To ascertain which of the proposed TFs are likely to play a functional role and assess their significance in the adipocyte browning process, we conducted a comprehensive analysis (Table 2B). Initially, we verified the presence of the predicted homeodomain family 1 and 2 (HDF1-2) class TF REs using the ConTra v3 platform (stringency: core=0.95; similarity matrix=0.85; http://bioit2.irc.ugent.be/contra/v3/#/step/1) (Suppl. Fig. 3). In addition to validating the Eukaryotic Promoter Database results, phylogenetic relationships can be explored based on the aligned sequences of many species. This analysis, however, did not significantly reduce the set of TFs associated with the *UCP1* promoter at -690 bp, as most of the HDF TFs were also identified by ConTra v3. Therefore, we expanded our investigation to encompass all TFs of the HDF1 class based on JASPAR, as they potentially could bind to the UCP1 promoter at this position. To narrow the range of potential homeodomain family TFs, we examined their relative gene expression levels in our neck-derived adipocyte samples and focused on those demonstrating a significant positive or negative correlation with *UCP1* gene expression (Table 2B; Suppl. Fig. 4, Suppl. Table 3). For some TFs, we did not have gene expression data and therefore could not exclude them from the group of TFs potentially recognizing this specific RE. We gathered additional data on the narrowed group of TFs, including ChipSeq data, Eukaryotic Promoter Database search results using more stringent p < 1e-5 criteria, and position weight matrices (PWMs) from the JASPAR database (Table 2A, 2B). Moreover, we utilized the AdipoNET platform (Tóth et al. 2021) to categorize these TFs based on their potential involvement in the formation of brown, white, or both adipocyte phenotypes as "linker" pathway genes. This categorization provided insights into the possible roles of these proteins in specific adipocyte phenotypes. Additionally, we conducted an automated search in the PubMed database to identify co-occurrences of these TFs and *UCP1* in article abstracts, to explore what existing knowledge we have about these TFs in the context of *UCP1* (Suppl. Table 4, Suppl. Fig. 5.). The data collected suggested that

Engrailed Homeobox 1 (EN1), Paired Related Homeobox 2 (PRRX2), Homeobox A5 (HOXA5),

Paired Box 4 (PAX4), and Visual System Homeobox 2 (VSX2) were the TFs most likely to bind

to the given sequence. *EN1* and *PRRX2* exhibited high expression in adipocytes and a strong

negative correlation with UCP1, while HOXA5 showed low expression but a positive

correlation. Although the expression profiles of *PAX4* and *VSX2* were not examined in Tóth et

al. (2020), the more rigorous Eukaryotic Promoter Database search also identified REs for

these TFs at -690 bp, reinforcing their potential for functional binding at this position.

Furthermore, using the ISMARA platform (Balwierz et al. 2014) we observed a high level of

activity of PAX4 motif in adipose tissue (Suppl. Fig. 6). Notably, the AdipoNET database and

publication data mining so far have not been associated with homeodomain family TFs with

adipocyte phenotype formation pathway or *UCP1* expression, thus here we present a novel

area for further investigation into the role of these TFs in browning processes.

*2.4 Examining the Vicinity of Unique TF REs of Human UCP1 Identifies Potential Regulatory*

*Hubs*

Homeodomain family TFs are well-established for their participation in embryonic

developmental processes, suggesting a plausible role in regulating tissue-specific *UCP1*

expression during the maturation of adipocytes (Gehring 1994; Banerjee-Basu and Baxevanis

2001). Their recognized function involves the modulation of gene expression through the

influence of epigenetic markers that impact chromatin accessibility, thereby controlling the

TFs binding to nearby REs. In addition, homo- or heterodimers are often formed as

cooperating complexes to enhance their DNA binding specificity (Slattery et al. 2011; Cain et

al. 2023). Consequently, our further investigation focused on TF regulatory elements in the

proximity to the identified human-specific genomic positions (within a range of +40 bp and -

40 bp) (Table 2A) to ascertain which TFs may be allowed to operate.

First, we uncovered TF REs close to the -690 position of the *UCP1* promoter. The Eukaryotic

Promoter Database, through a stringent search (p < 1e-4), identified the presence of REs for

B-cell lymphoma 6 (BCL6) at -702, Regulatory Factor X5 (RFX5) at -651/650, and REs for basic

helix-loop-helix (bHLH2) class TFs around -679 bp (Fig. 2I). Notably, *BCL6* and *RFX5* exhibited

high expression levels in our cervical adipocyte samples and a strong positive correlation with

*UCP1* (Suppl. Fig. 7; Suppl. Table 3). Of the bHLH2 TFs with putative RE at -679 bp, *ID4*, *SNAI2*

and *TCF3*/4/12 also displayed high gene expression levels, but a negative correlation with

*UCP1*. Moreover, through the utilization of the ISMARA platform (Balwierz et al. 2014), the delineated primary interaction network of PAX4 includes TFs identified in the vicinity of its RE at -690 bp, such as BCL6, TCF4, ID4, SNAI2 and RFX5, indicating the potential formation of a regulatory complex (enhanceosome) at this position of the human *UCP1* promoter (Suppl. Fig. 8).

Additionally, from the identified 13 human UCP1-specific putative TF REs, we explored regulatory elements associated with the other distinctive homeodomain family TFs within the human *UCP1* promoter (Table 2A). The REs of HOXA5, HOXA2, and DLX2, situated at -4785, -3959, and -2478 bp respectively, were present in primate genomes while being absent from the *UCP1* promoters of mice and rats (Table 2A, Suppl. Fig. 3 Contra v3). The HOXA5 and DLX2 REs were also identified by the Eukaryotic Promoter Database at the more conservative p<1e-5 probability level, however, only *HOXA5* gene expression showed a correlation with *UCP1*, although all three TFs are expressed at low levels in cervical derived adipocytes. Notably, in the genomic vicinity of HOXA5 RE, we identified the presence of the HIC1 TF RE (Suppl. Fig. 9A), which displayed high expression levels in preadipocyte samples but exhibited a significant reduction in mature adipocytes, concurrently displaying a negative correlation with UCP1 expression (Suppl. Table 3). A context-dependent regulatory aspect was suggested by the gene-expression profile of TEAD RE detected at -2496 bp, near the DLX2 RE (Suppl. Figure 9B). *TEAD1*, *TEAD3*, and *TEAD4*, expressed at high levels in neck-derived adipocytes, demonstrated a negative correlation with *UCP1* gene expression, while *TEAD2* exhibited a positive correlation. At the same time, *NFKB2*, which has putative RE at -3976 bp and may form a regulatory complex with HOXA2 (Suppl. Figure 9C), exhibited a negative gene-expression correlation trend with *UCP1*, while *ZNF410* and *ZNF283*, with RE at -3947 and -3948 respectively, showed no apparent association (Suppl. Table 3). Importantly, these TF REs proximal to the homeodomain family TF REs were also recognized under a more stringent search threshold (p < 1e-5), underscoring the likelihood of their involvement in regulatory complexes.

Additionally, our exploration extended to the vicinity of the unique NFIL3 RE identified at -881 bp within the human *UCP1* promoter (Table 2A). Here, the presence of the REL RE at -902 bp was discerned (Suppl. Figure 9D, 9E), and also supporting the conservation of these *UCP1*

promoter regions in primates while indicating their absence in the mouse and rat genomes (Suppl. Figure 9F).

Although gene expression profiles of these identified elements did not always reveal a clear correlation with *UCP1*, this integrated analysis may unveil previously undescribed regulatory mechanisms governing *UCP1* expression. Further research is required to elucidate their precise roles in adipocyte browning processes.

*2.5 Common Regulatory Elements in UCP1 Promoters Across Species Occur at Different Genomic Positions and Show no Consensus Sequence Conservation Among Human, Mouse and Rat*

Exploration and comparison of the regulatory components within the human, mouse and rat *UCP1* promoters depicted a set of TF REs occurring in the human *UCP1* promoter, including ARID3B, ATF7, CREB3, MAF::NFE2, NFE2L2, POU6F1, RXRA::VDR, SOX21, TEAD4 and XBP1, which are absent from the human *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters but were found to also possess REs in the mouse and rat *UCP1* promoters. This group is mostly composed of TFs known for their involvement in *UCP1* gene expression, including well-characterized TFs like CREB/ATF (Cao et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2019), RXR (Alvarez et al 1995), NFE2 and NFE2L2 (Rim and Kozak 2002). Upon closer examination of their gene expression profiles (Fig. 2J) and pathway predictions using AdipoNET, it appears that their primary function might lean towards promoting the white adipocyte phenotype, as indicated *by POU6F1*, *TEAD4*, *XBP1*, and *NFE2L2* (Table 3A). Uniquely, *RXRA* exhibits a positive correlation with *UCP1* gene expression (Suppl. Table 3), implying a potential enhancer role in browning processes. Consistently, predictions of AdipoNET propose that RXRA, CREB3, and XBP1 could contribute to the development of both, the white and brown adipocyte phenotypes. Intriguingly, among these, only POU6F1 from the homeodomain family TFs was exclusively associated with *UCP1* (absent in mouse and rat *UCP2* and *UCP3*), indicating its potential role in the specific regulation of *UCP1* gene and thermogenesis in these species. This observation would appear to suggest the presence of evolutionarily conserved TF REs in the *UCP1* promoter across species. However, a comparative analysis of *UCP1* regulatory sequences across species (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) revealed that most of these BSs were recognizable in primates' consensus sequences, but not in mice and rats, signifying that these REs were not conserved

across these species, but instead appeared in different positions within mouse and rat *UCP1*

promoter (Suppl. Figure 10A, 10B; for TF RE positions in different species see Suppl. Table 2).

*2.6 Common Species-Specific bHLH TF Binding Sites in the Proximal Promoter of Human UCP1-*

*2-3 Genes*

Finally, our comparative analysis unveiled four TF regulatory elements (HES1, MAX, MNT,

TCFL5) exclusive to human *UCP* genes (Suppl. table 1). These TFs possess binding sequences

within the regulatory domains of human *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3* genes but lack representation

in the promoters of any of the investigated *UCP* genes within the mouse and rat (Suppl. Fig.

11A). Interestingly, all of these TFs have an RE in the proximal promoter region of the human

*UCP1* at -263 bp. This region pertains to the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family TFs, and the

Eukaryotic Promoter Database assigns additional TFs to this nucleotide sequence in over the

above four (Suppl. Table 2). Therefore, further studies are needed to discern which context-

dependent regulatory mechanisms might allow TFs to be actual users of this RE.

2.7 *Predicted and Experimentally Validated TF Binding Sites in the Human UCP1 Promoter*

*Exhibit little overlap*

In our investigation, we conducted a detailed comparative analysis of putative TF REs

identified through the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (https://epd.epfl.ch) in the regulatory

region of the human *UCP1* gene, spanning from -5000 to +1000 bases from the transcription

start site, at a significance level of $p < 1e-4$. Subsequently, we compared these findings to

experimentally validated binding proteins/TFs for the same DNA sequence using the ChiPBase

(https://rnasysu.com) and TFlink (https://tflink.net/) databases. Intriguingly, while we

identified 364 putative TF REs using the Eukaryotic Promoter Database, ChiPBase recognized

170 and TFlink 131 experimentally validated TFs, respectively (Fig. 3A). Typically, only a small

fraction of the experimentally identified binding TFs had a matching binding sequence

recognized by the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (ChiPBase: 23 TFs,14%; TFlink: 41 TFs, 31%).

Conversely, we found that only 53 TFs (15%) of those with REs according to the Eukaryotic

Promoter Database were associated with laboratory validation based on these two databases.

Notably, just 11 TFs were identified from all three databases (GATA2, HIF1A, JUN, KLF4, MXI1,

MYC, MYCN, NFKB1, RBPJ, TEAD4 and USF1) emphasising their potential role in regulating

human *UCP1* gene expression. The gene expression patterns of these TFs in neck-derived

adipocyte samples (Tóth et al. 2020) further support this, with most exhibiting a strong
correlation with *UCP1*, mainly negative, while Upstream Transcription Factor 1 (*USF1*) showed
a positive correlation (Figure 3B). USF1, with REs identified in all UCPs of the three species
studied, seems to act as a general regulator of *UCP*s' gene expression rather than a specific
regulator of *UCP1* and browning processes (Suppl. Table 2) (Laurila et al. 2016). AdipoNET
predictions also indicate the involvement of most of these TFs in white adipocyte phenotype
development, while JUN and NFKB1 may have roles in both white and brown adipocyte
phenotypes (Table 3B). Response elements of HIF1A, JUN, RBPJ, and TEAD4 were identified at
more stringent significance levels (p < 1e-5), strengthening their potential involvement in
regulating *UCP1* gene expression. Interestingly, four of these 11 TFs (HIF1A, MYC, MYCN and
MXI1) share a common binding location around -263 bp in the proximal promoter region,
which already stood out in our investigation as a potential site for fine-tuned, state-dependent
regulation of the human *UCP*s' gene-expression (Suppl. Figure 11A). This RE is not
evolutionarily conserved in mouse and rat *UCP* genes, highlighting the unique control aspects
of *UCP1* in humans and the potential for the formation of a regulatory module with SP2 and
EGR1 TFs, which have RE in the vicinity (Suppl. Table 2), based on data revealed by ConTra v3
(Suppl. Fig. 11B, 11C, 11E).

**DISCUSSION**

**1.  Evolutionary Dynamics of the UCP1 Genes and its Regulatory Elements Differs in
     Humans and Rodents**

*1.1 Research Trends and Major Differences Between Human and Rodent UCP1 Promoter*

*In silico* studies based on DNA sequences have emerged as a fundamental approach to
understanding the evolutionary dynamics of gene-specific functions. Generally, phylogenetic
analyses have focused primarily on coding sequences, and by now, comparative analysis of
orthologous genes for *UCP1* surpasses hundred species, providing insights into complex
phylogenetic relationships (Cassard et al. 1990; Klingenspor et al. 2008; McGaugh and
Schwartz 2017, Hughes et al. 2009; Jastroch et al. 2005, 2008, 2018; Berg et al. 2006; Hou et
al. 2017; Gaudry et al. 2017). Meanwhile, evolutionary studies of transcriptional regulation
remained strikingly limited. Notably, Gaudry and Campbell (2017) undertook a comparative
analysis of *UCP1* transcriptional regulatory elements across mammals, although only focusing

on described REs, particularly in the core promoter and distal enhancer. Their work has shed light on key evolutionarily conserved sites such as the TATA box, RARE-3 and PPRE motifs that are expected to be functionally relevant in Eutherian phylogeny (Gaurdy and Campbell, 2017; McGaugh and Schwartz, 2017). Our investigations substantiate the ancient origin of these regulatory elements, indicating a remarkable level of conservation. According to Eukaryotic Promoter Database searches, these elements are prevalent in the promoter regions of *UCP* genes across all three studied species. This prevalence implies a fundamental role in gene expression regulation within this gene family. However, due to their extensive conservation and distribution, these elements may be less amenable as specific targets for precise modification of *UCP1* gene transcription, which is the ultimate objective of controlling metabolic disorders. Nevertheless, the conclusions taken from Gaudry and Campbell's (2017) results suggested a limited degree of conservation of transcriptional control of gene expression within the mammalian clade. This observation points to the possible existence of novel regulatory and functional elements within human *UCP1* promoters that potentially accommodate and coordinate for species-specific conditions and needs, and allow the development of more precisely targeted interventions.

*1.2 Differences in the regulatory region of UCP1 between humans and rodents*

Several interfaces are currently being developed for predicting DNA binding of TFs with known Position Weight Matrices based on the promoter nucleotide sequence, such as the Eukaryotic Promoter Database we used, TRANSFAC2.0 (https://genexplain.com/transfac-2-0/), GTRD (Yevshin et al. 2017), or ConTra v3, which is also available for promoter alignment analysis for identification of TF REs across species. Our comparative analysis of the upstream regulatory segments in the *UCP1* genes of humans, mice, and rats brings to light the intricate interplay between sequence conservation and divergence characterizing the evolutionary trajectory of these genes. While a substantial fraction of the identified cis-acting regulatory elements in these segments are shared across rodent and human *UCP1* genes (174 out of 364; Suppl. Fig. 2B), in broad concurrence with earlier findings (Collins et al., 2004), our sequence similarity analysis uncovers large disparities (Fig. 1B), which opens up the possibility for the emergence of different regulatory modules (Saito et al. 2008). Notably, a significant portion of the distal enhancer region of the human *UCP1* promoter is absent from the mouse and rat genomes. This may be attributed to secondary losses in mouse and rat ancestors following the

appearance of an evolutionarily novel segment after the divergence of the opossum lineage.

Remarkably, these DNA sequence losses did not lead to species extinction, indicating that its

existence was not vital or that potential compensatory mechanisms enable sufficient TF

binding to other parts of the regulatory region. Our study supports the possibility of divergent

gene-expression regulation among these species, as the hierarchical cluster analysis relying

on identified putative REs in the *UCP* promoters reveals distinctions between human and

rodent *UCP*s. Specifically, the regulatory profile of human *UCP1* exhibits the highest proximity

to the human *UCP2*, while mouse *UCP1* demonstrate a closer relationship to rat *UCP1*.

Detailed analysis also identified unique sequence elements that could potentially form the

basis of human-specific regulation. Recent studies indicate interspecies variability in the

regulation of *UCP1* expression too, revealing differential processing of the 3'-untranslated

region (3'-UTR) of *UCP1* mRNA between mice and humans that quantitatively affects *UCP1*

synthesis and thermogenic activity (Lu et al., 2021). These disparities call for caution when the

interpretation of results gained from research carried out on rodent models is transferred to

humans.

*1.3 Repetitive Elements*

Moreover, we identified numerous repeat elements within the human *UCP1* promoter region,

including those embedded within evolutionarily novel sequences, missing in the

corresponding regulatory regions of rodents (Fig. 1B). Additionally, BLAST searches revealed

the presence of these repeats at multiple loci across the human genome (Suppl. Fig. 1H).

However, the mechanisms underlying their integration remain ambiguous, particularly

regarding whether these mobile elements integrate randomly or are directed by pre-existing

genomic sequences. Integration of this repetitive element in the human genome may organize

the expression of nearby genes into a regulatory circuit. Notably, our observations indicate

the occurrence of short palindromic sequences flanking the novel DNA segments and regions

recognized as repetitive sequences, some of which are annotated as TF REs by the Eukaryotic

Promoter Database. Palindromic sequences in DNA are traditionally recognized as specific

binding sites for nucleotides or proteins, resulting in functional consequences (Pingoud and

Jeltsch 2001; Linheiro and Bergman 2008). This suggests that these binding motifs may

represent ancient genetic elements, that may have served integration into the genome and

potentially repurposed by eukaryotic cells as regulatory modules governing gene expression

(Thompson et al. 2016). An intriguing observation related to the identified 5'-TCTAATTAGA-'3
palindrome sequence at -690 bp in the human *UCP1* promoter supports this hypothesis. This
nucleotide sequence binding TFs of the homeodomain family was previously identified by Li
and co-workers (2005) as a distinctive DNA fragment located at one endpoint of the 1165 bp
sequence differentiating between two genotypic variants of Mamestra configurata
nucleopolyhedrovirus-A (MacoNPV-A). These variants include the lower virulence variant 90/4
and the archetypal variant 90/2. They concluded that the recognised palindrome sequence
raises the possibility that the distinction between these viral variants is due to the insertion or
deletion of a transposable element. Other studies also propose that transposable elements
and short tandem repeats can influence gene expression regulation within the genome
(Horton et al., 2023; Chuong et al., 2016; Kunarso et al., 2010). For example, Scarpato and
colleagues (2015) have suggested that some repetitive elements, such as SINEs, are highly
prone to hybridize with microRNAs thereby modifying the transcriptional activity of nearby
genes. Together, these support the possibility that species-specific differences in transposable
and repetitive elements in the *UCP1* promoters underlie the evolution of specific regulatory
mechanisms.

**2. Comparative Analyses of Putative RE in *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters in Humans,**
**Mice and Rats Pinpoint the Possible Regulatory Role of Homeodomain Family TFs.**

*2.1 The Human UCP1 Specific 5'*-TCTAATTAGA-3' *Binding Sequence*

Our study key objective was to identify unique regulatory elements within the *UCP1* promoter
specific to the human genome that may have been hidden in " exploratory" studies, usually
performed in rodents. The majority of the recognised putative regulatory factors of human
*UCP1* are general regulators of the investigated *UCPs*, classifying them as less specific targets.
Uncovering the distinct regulatory elements opens the opportunity for more adequate
targeted interventions for influencing metabolic processes by promoting adipocyte browning.
Our investigation, focused on the identified human *UCP1* unique putative homeodomain
family TF REs and their vicinity, mostly a revealed palindromic nucleotide sequence, 5'-
TCTAATTAGA-3', located at the -690/689 bp position in the human *UCP1* gene (Fig 2I, Fig. 4).
It provides an opportunity for homeodomain family TFs to bind to both DNA strands, allowing
for homo- or heterodimer formation and stronger tethering (Jolma et al. 2013; Cain et al.
2023; Dror et al. 2014). It is also possible that several homeodomain family TFs may

alternatively use this BS depending on the circumstances, and these TFs might differ in whether they promote or block the expression of the gene (Foulkes et al 1991). The homeodomain family is one of the largest groups of TFs in metazoans, consisting of almost 200 family members in humans (Lambert et al. 2018). Their recognised functions include regulating developmental and homeostatic processes by modulating the availability of DNA. (Waldén et al. 2012; Hilton et al. 2015; Cantile et al. 2003), yet their potential for species-specific regulatory roles in adipocyte phenotype remodelling has been underappreciated. These TFs can bind highly similar AT-rich monomer sequences, with minor differences in the nucleotides still sufficient for specific interaction and modulate DNA openness to allow or deny nearby REs to be accessed by TFs (Park et al. 2009). In our analysis, regulatory elements such as bHLH type 2 TFs, BCL6 and RFX5, which were identified in proximity to this palindromic sequence unique to human *UCP1*, may further enhance the probability of specific attachment by forming a complex/enhanceosome with homeodomain family TFs via a process called "epistatic capture" (Emera and Wagner 2012). In a previous study that analyzed the pathways enriched by genes with differential expression in cervical subcutaneous and deep-neck samples, both in preadipocytes and in differentiated mature adipocytes, the homeodomain family TF pathway was found to be significantly dominant in subcutaneous adipocytes, indicating their possible active involvement in permitting browning processes (Tóth et al. 2020).

*2.2 Candidate Binding TFs of the Human-Specific Nucleotide Sequence* '5-TCTAATTAGA-3'

Among the homeodomain family TFs, we propose several potential binding candidates. EN1, which is abundant in human neck-derived adipocytes with gene expression negatively correlated with *UCP1* has been previously shown to be a positive regulator of brown adipogenesis in mice (Zhang et al. 2016; Singh et al. 2015; Atit et al. 2006), however, it was downregulated after the animals were exposed to cold. Previous research indicated its upregulation in the obesity-prone phenotype of human adipocytes carrying the FTO rs1421085 T-to-C single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (Tóth et al. 2020, unpublished data), suggesting a diverse, species-specific role in the regulation of *UCP1* expression. Conversely, another candidate, HOXA5, exhibited a gene expression profile which may suggest its positive effect on browning in cervical-derived adipocytes (Fig. 2H, Suppl. table 3). In line with this study, it has been reported that HOXA5 exhibited elevated expression in rodents and had an anti-inflammatory role in enhancing adipose tissue browning (Cao et al. 2018; Kato et al. 2021;

Singh et al. 2016). At the same time, a human study showed elevated expression in white

adipocytes derived from induced pluripotent cells (Mohsen-Kanson et al. 2014). This

discrepancy also suggests that HOXA5 may have context-dependent roles in shaping the

adipocyte phenotype in each species and fat depots.

Regarding PAX4, its known role in pancreatic beta cell development and function is associated

with maintaining glucose homeostasis (Brun et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2017), and abnormalities in

its expression are linked to metabolic diseases like diabetes (Lau et al. 2023). However, its role

in adipocyte function remains less understood. PAX4 is expressed in adipocyte progenitor

mesenchymal cells (Xu et al. 2017). Furthermore, our study suggests its potential involvement

in adipogenesis regulation, including balancing between white and brown adipocyte

formation (Suppl. Fig. 6, Suppl. Fig. 8). As for the other homeodomain family TFs PRRX2 and

VSX1/2, their roles in the context of thermogenic response have not been previously

characterized. Nonetheless, single-nucleus-based RNA sequencing studies have identified

PRRX2 with differential activity in brown versus white adipogenesis in human cells (Gupta et

al 2023), indicating a potential role during thermogenic adipocyte formation.

Generally, TF REs identified in the human *UCP1* promoter regions are often evolutionarily

conserved in primates, but not in mice and rats. Even the identified common TF REs in *UCP1*

orthologous promoters, for example, POU6F1 TF from the homeodomain family TFs, are

located at evolutionary novel promoter segments and do not maintain evolutionary

conservation in consensus genomic sequences. It indicates a kind of "mobility" of regulatory

elements and possible convergent evolutionary processes. Nonetheless, POU6F1, based on

our data mining efforts, has not been previously linked to adipocyte function. Recent research

has identified its role in lung biology and cancer-related processes unveiling its interaction

with RORA in the lung, leading to the downregulation of *HIF1A* gene expression and potential

inhibition of adenocarcinoma cell proliferation (Xiao et al., 2022). Nevertheless, certain POU

factors, as demonstrated in previous studies (Takahashi et al., 2007), serve as effective

mediators of cell reprogramming. Given its substantial expression in mature adipocytes and a

notable negative correlation with *UCP1* gene expression (Table 3A, Suppl. Table 3), it is

plausible that POU6F1 may play a role in adipocyte phenotype switching and that this function

is conserved throughout mammalian evolution.

*2.3 Limitations in Identifying Functional Regulatory Elements in the Human UCP1 Promoter*

The comparative analysis revealed a small overlap between the TF REs predicted by our study based on DNA sequence analyses and those identified experimentally in the human *UCP1* promoter using ChipSeq highlighting the challenges inherent in identifying functional regulatory elements. TFs, like other proteins, possess the ability for non-specific DNA binding, which is essential for efficient target site localization through facilitated linear diffusion (Pingoud and Jeltsch 2001). Such non-specific binding usually involves interactions with the DNA backbone rather than the bases. In contrast, specific binding is characterized by a close interplay between direct (interaction with the bases) and indirect (interaction with the backbone) readout. The frequent occurrence of non-functional TF binding can introduce significant ambiguity in ChipSeq laboratory experiments concerning functional DNA-TF binding. Moreover, the infinite combinations of biological tissues, developmental stages, and specific conditions significantly influence *ad hoc* TF binding to specific DNA segments, making results generalization challenging. While position weight matrices (PWMs), used for *in silico* prediction of regulatory factors, are instrumental in predicting TF binding sites within promoter sequences, they also come with numerous limitations. One is the random occurrence of the short binding sequence in the genome, which highlights the need for rigorous statistical analysis of PWM applications to distinguish between biologically significant TF binding events and chance matches, as well as screening approaches to narrow down gene specificity. The Eukaryotic Promoter Database used employs robust statistical tests to predict PWM in a DNA sequence, thus providing the best possible prediction. Further limitations of the *in silico* approach are our incomplete knowledge of the binding sequences of TFs and the inability of PWMs to fully capture the sequence flexibility inherent in TF binding sites. TFs can tolerate variations in the DNA sequence at their binding sites, leading to a degree of "sloppiness" or degeneracy. This sequence flexibility allows TFs to recognize and bind to a range of related sequences, which may differ from the PWM-defined consensus motif. Therefore, PWMs may miss functional TF binding sites that deviate from the consensus sequence or incorrectly predict non-functional sites that closely match the consensus. In addition, PWMs do not account for the context-dependent nature of TF binding, where neighbouring DNA sequences or chromatin structures can influence binding specificity. As a result, both predictions may overlook biologically relevant binding events or produce false positives, highlighting the importance of integrating experimental and complementary computational approaches to improve accuracy in identifying TF binding sites.

### 3. Hotspots in human UCP1 promoter

Prediction of human *UCP1*-specific TFs determined by Eukaryotic Promoter Database and from ChipSeq experiments converge to highlight specific DNA regions with higher TF RE density within the human *UCP1* promoter (Fig. 4). Such clustering of regulatory binding sites may give rise to enhanceosomes, where molecular elements, such as TFs and cofactors form complex multilevel regulatory networks that finely orchestrate gene expression. Notably, in the enhancer region of the human *UCP1* promoter, emerging cluster-forming nucleotide sequences are observed at various homeodomain family binding sites, often located at the beginning or end of regions identified as repetitive elements. This observation hints at a potential role for these segments in ancient transposition events. Moreover, in the proximal promoter, multiple REs appear close to the bHLH TF family RE identified at -262/-263 bp, suggesting the possibility of regulatory complex formation (see Suppl. Fig. 11B, 11C, 11D). For instance, the close binding sites for EGR1 and SP2 offer a scenario where dynamic regulation could occur through interaction with HIF1A, potentially modulating *UCP1* expression based on cellular conditions. This proposed mechanism shares similarities with those detailed in non-small-cell lung carcinoma, where interactions between HIF1A and EGR1, as well as HIF1A and SP1, are pivotal to the regulation of EPOR gene expression in response to changing hypoxic conditions (Su et al. 2019). Given the well-established role of hypoxia and HIF1A in adipose tissue function, the potential involvement of HIF1A in *UCP1* transcription through promoter binding and interaction with other TFs may be an interesting area of research (Tóth et al. 2021; Basse et al. 2017). Furthermore, the involvement of EGR1 in metabolic diseases has already been demonstrated, indicating its capacity to influence adipocyte insulin sensitivity and can tilt PI3K/Akt and MAPK signaling pathways (Zhang et al. 2013). It is conceivable that minor variations in the levels of various components within *UCP1* enhanceosomes may synergistically interact, resulting in significant differences in *UCP1* expression, as previously proposed in comparative studies in mice (Xue et al. 2005). Among potentially related cofactors, p300/CBP-associated factor, with well-described histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity stands out as a frequently described partner of TFs with a putative RE in the human *UCP1* promoter, indicating an essential role for them in shaping the adipocyte phenotype (Fig. 4). Determining the prominent complex components, delineating their roles and

understanding which components can be substituted or omitted during the initiation of
human *UCP1* transcription under a specific condition a promising future research direction.

**III. METHODOLOGY**

**1. Reconstruction of the Phylogenetic Trees**

To generate a combined human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 amino acid, coding
sequence and promoter sequence phylogenetic tree, the amino acid and the mRNA data set
was downloaded from the National Library of Medicine (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov),
whereas the sequences of gene promoters were downloaded from the EPD database (link:
https://epd.epfl.ch/). Accession numbers of the promoters' FASTA sequences: human *UCP1*
FP007435, *UCP2_1* FP016503, *UCP2_2* FP016504, *UCP3_1* FP016505, *UCP3_2* FP016506;
mouse *UCP1* FP011827, *UCP2* FP010492, *UCP3* FP010490; rat *UCP1* FP011518, *UCP2*
FP000767, *UCP3* FP000766; Accession numbers of the mRNAs' FASTA sequences: human UCP1
NM_021833.5, UCP2 NM_001381943.1, UCP3 NM_003356.4; mouse UCP1 NM_009463.3,
UCP2 NM_011671.6, UCP3 NM_009464.3; rat UCP1 NM_012682.2, UCP2 NM_019354.3,
UCP3 NM_013167.2; Accession numbers of the amino-acids' FASTA sequences: human UCP1
NP_068605, UCP2 AAC51336, UCP3 AAC51367.1; mouse UCP1 NP_033489, UCP2 AAB17666,
UCP3 AAB87084; rat UCP1 NP_036814, UCP2 BAA25698, UCP3 AAB71523. The resulting
amino acid, exon and promoter sequences were aligned using MAFFT 7.505 (Katoh &
Standley, 2013), and a maximum likelihood tree was constructed using IQtree2 2.0.7 (Minh et
al., 2020) with automatic model selection ('-m MFP') turned on and using 1 000 aLRT and 1
000 rapid bootstrap replications to test the robustness of the analysis. The alignment of the
mRNA sequences had a length of 3,398 bp, of which 1536 were variable and 878 informative,
whereas the promoters had 7,956 variables and 5,412 informative sites on an alignment
length of 12585 bp. Of the 313 aligned amino acid positions, we identified 172 polymorphic
and 150 informative characters. Phylogenetic trees were visualized with packages ape 5.7.1
(Paradis & Schliep, 2019) and phangorn 2.11.1 (Schliep, 2011) using R 4.2.2 (R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, n.d.). Pairwise genetic distances of *UCP* promoters and exons were
calculated with the R package pegas 1.2 (Paradis, 2010) using the "K80" model of nucleotide
substitution (Kimura's 2-parameters distance metric; Kimura, 1980). Pairwise distances were
visualized as a neighbour-joining phylogram with pegas, as a UPGMA dendrogram with

phangorn, and as a heatmap with pheatmap 1.0.12 ([https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html)
[project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html)).

**2. 3D Protein Structure Prediction, Alignment and Pairwise Structural Comparison**

The 3D structures of human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 proteins were downloaded
using the AlphaFold 2 protein structure prediction interface ([https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/](https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/))
developed by Deep Mind (Jumper et al. 2021). Colors indicate model confidence, AlphaFold
produces a per-residue confidence score (pLDDT) between 0 and 100. Some regions below 50
pLDDT may be unstructured in isolation. Dark blue: Very high (pLDDT > 90); Light blue:
Confident (90 > pLDDT > 70); Yellow: Low (70 > pLDDT > 50); Red: Very low (pLDDT < 50).

For alignment of the predicted protein structures we used the RCSB PBD
([https://www.rcsb.org](https://www.rcsb.org); Berman et al. 2000) 3D protein structure comparison interface. For
protein comparisons, pairwise comparisons were performed with human UCP1. The metrics
used to describe the degree of pairwise structure alignment and structural similarity are as
follows:

**RMSD** (root mean square deviation) is computed between aligned pairs of the backbone C-
alpha atoms in superposed structures in Å resolution. The lower the RMSD, the better the
structure alignment between the pair of structures. This is the most commonly reported
metric when comparing two structures, but it is sensitive to local structure deviation. If a few
residues in a loop are not aligned, the RMSD value is large, even though the rest of the
structure is well aligned.

**TM-score** (template modeling score) is a measure of topological similarity between the
template and model structures. The TM-score ranges between 0 and 1, where 1 indicates a
perfect match and 0 is no match between the two structures. Scores < 0.2 usually indicate that
the proteins are unrelated while those > 0.5 generally have the same protein fold (e.g.,
classified by SCOP/CATH).

**Identity** (sequence identity percentage) is the percentage of paired residues in the alignment
that are identical in sequence.

**Equivalent Residues** is the number of residue pairs that are structurally equivalent in the
alignment.

**Sequence Length** is the total number of polymeric residues in the deposited sequence for a
given chain.

**Modeled Residues** is the number of residues with coordinates that were used for structure
alignment.

**3. BLAST Search to Identify Specific Nucleotide Sequences in the Human, Mouse and**
**Rat Genome**

The BLAST interface (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was used to identify sequences similar
to a given nucleotide sequence in the human, mouse and rat genomes (Altschul et al. 1997).
The human RefSeqGene, rat mRatBN7.2 and mouse GRCm39 reference genome sequences
were used in the searches. The graphical summary of the results in Suppl. Fig. 1H shows the
percentage of homology in different colors, while the position indicates the sequence region
of the analysed DNA segment in which the homologous segment is located, showing the hits
in separate rows.

**4. Computational Identification of Putative Transcription Factor Response Elements in**
**Gene Regulatory Sequences Using the EPD Interface**

The identification of putative TF REs within the regulatory sequences of the genes under study
was performed using the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (EPD) interface (https://epd.epfl.ch)
(Périer et al. 2000). EPD's approach to identify TF REs relies on the JASPAR Transcription Motifs
Library (specifically JASPAR CORE 2018 vertebrates) (Sandelin et al. 2004), which includes a
diverse set of TF motifs, including generic promoter motifs such as the TATA box, Initiator, GC
box and CCAAT box. This library contains a large body of information represented as a position
weight matrix (PWM) for 579 TF-binding sequences. Many approaches have been developed
to model and learn a protein's DNA-binding specificity, however, comparative analyses
indicate that simple models based on mononucleotide PWMs trained by the best methods
perform similarly to more complex models (Weirauch et al. 2013). To determine whether a
given binding sequence is present within the regulatory sequence of the target gene, the EPD
interface uses a probability-based algorithm with four different p-value thresholds (p-value <
0.01, 0.001, 0.0001 and 0.00001). In this study, we focused on the two most stringent p-value
thresholds (p < 0.0001 and p < 0.00001), as empirical evidence from the literature suggests
that more permissive probabilities can lead to high false positive rates (Benita et al., 2009).

However, our exploratory studies showed that for some genes with experimentally proven regulatory TFs, the software accurately identified the binding sequence only at p < 0.0001, as exemplified by the occurrence of the HIF1A TF RE for genes such as *VEGFA* and *GLUT1*. In addition, considering that every 4000 nucleotides, a given RE of average length 12 bp can be expected to be found randomly (Wunderlich and Mirny, 2009), the identified occurrence itself has limited meaning. It rather suggests that TFs for which we did not identify the BS are less likely to be involved in the regulation of *UCP* gene expression. Consequently, we presented our findings primarily utilizing the less stringent p < 1e-4 criteria, albeit occasionally referencing p < 1e-5 outcomes, thus reducing the number of false negative hits, which is more valuable information in our comparative analysis. In this study, we analysed regulatory sequences 5 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of the TSS for the genes under study (eg.: human *UCP1*: GRCh37/hg19; Chr. 4: 141,489,115-141,495,114; TSS: Chr. 4:141,489,115). The relevant base-pair boundaries of the upstream regulatory DNA segment may vary among genes, therefore the widest DNA region allowed by the EPD interface was analysed. Data retrieval was automated using a custom script, which we have made publicly available on GitHub, increasing transparency and reproducibility.

5. **Comparative Analysis of the Putative TFs in the Regulatory Sequences of Human, Mouse and Rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* Genes**

Data analysis and screening were conducted in an R interactive environment. Initially, data were extracted from the EPD (https://epd.epfl.ch) interface as individual data files (text files), corresponding to each target gene. EPD utilizes the JASPAR database, which contains PWMs of TF REs for examining their presence within specific DNA sequences (https://jaspar.genereg.net). Subsequently, the data extracted for each gene were consolidated into a unified database that compiled occurrence information for the 579 TF binding sequences within the promoter regions of three genes: *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3* in humans, mice, and rats. This resulting matrix was then utilized to identify distinct clusters of TF REs based on specific criteria. These criteria included identifying TF REs that were specific to human *UCP1* (not present in human *UCP2* or *UCP3* but potentially present in mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*, or *UCP3*), unique to human *UCP1* (exclusive to human *UCP1*, absent in human *UCP2*, *UCP3* as well as in mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3*), specific to *UCP1* (present in both human and mouse and rat *UCP1*, absent in human *UCP2* and *UCP3* but potentially

present in mouse and rat *UCP2* and *UCP3*), common to human, mouse, and rat *UCP1* (absent in human, mouse, and rat *UCP2* and *UCP3*), and general human *UCP* TF REs (occurring in both human *UCP1* and *UCP2* and *UCP3* but not in the promoter regions of mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3* genes).

**6. Chromosome position of the investigated *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters and genes in the human genom**

*UCP1* promoter in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr 4:141,489,115 – 141,495,114 size: 6000 bases; *UCP1* gene in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr 4:141,480,585 – 141,490,115 size: 9531 bases, minus strand; *UCP2* promoter in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr11:73,693,248 - 73,699,247 size: 6000 bases; *UCP2* gene in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr11:73,685,717 - 73,694,247 size: 8531 bases; *UCP3* promoter in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr11:73,719,130 - 73,725,129 size: 6000 bases; *UCP3* gene in human: GRCh 37/hg19 chr11:73,711,322 - 73,720,130; size: 8809 bases.

**7. Mapping The Regulatory DNA Regions of the Identified Putative TF REs in Human UCP1 and Comparing them with Aligned DNA Sequences of Other Species**

During the course of the study, a group of TF REs with a distance between the filtered out TF REs below +/- 40 bp were identified, potentially indicating a complex regulatory mechanism. This clustering of TF binding sites aligns with a common phenomenon observed in numerous regulatory regions of multicellular eukaryotes, as described in previous studies (Wunderlich and Mirny 2009). Using the Contra v3 interface (http://bioit2.irc.ugent.be/contra/v3/; Kreft et al. 2017) and the UCSC browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/), putative human *UCP1* TF REs identified by EPD and filtered by comparative analysis of orthologs and paralogs were examined for nucleotide sequence at the defined positions. The results are fully linked to NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and UCSC (https://genome.ucsc.edu/). In addition, using these interfaces, the human DNA sequence of the region of interest was compared with the aligned DNA region of 100 vertebrate species to examine the degree of conservation of the TF RE (hg19 Multiz Alignments of 100 Vertebrates).

**8. Heat Map Visualization and Hierarchical Cluster Analyses of the TF RE Profile of the UCP genes and the Transcriptomic Data of Human Adipocytes**

Hierarchical cluster analyses and heat map visualization were performed with the

Morpheus web tool (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/) using Spearman rank

correlation of rows and columns and complete linkage based on the presence or absence of

the TF RE in the given gene upstream regulatory region. The same tools were used to visualise

relative gene-expression profile of human neck-derived adipocytes (Tóth et al. 2020). RNAseq

data         is        deposited        to        Sequence        Read        Archive        (SRA)        database

(**https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra**) under accession number PRJNA607438. Heatmaps

depict the z-scores calculated from the filtered and normalized transcriptomic data of isolated

and maintained preadipocytes from subcutaneous and deep neck adipose stromal cells and

lipid-laden mature adipocytes differentiated *in vitro* with white and brown protocols

described in Tóth et al. (2020).

**9.  Comparison of the Identified Putative TF REs in the Regulatory Sequence of UCP1**

**with the Corresponding Data Found in the Chip Based Databases**

The list of putative TF REs identified by the EPD interface (https://epd.epfl.ch) at $p<1e-4$

confidence level in human *UCP1* was compared with similar data from public ChipSeq

databases. ChipSeq databases collect experimentally validated DNA binding data for TFs to

the promoter of individual genes. Two ChipSeq databases, ChiPBase (https://rnasysu.com)

and TFlink (https://tflink.net/), were used to identify proteins/TFs binding in the regulatory

DNA sequence of the human *UCP1* gene range between -5000 bp and +1000 bp.

**10. Identification of Repeat Elements in the Genome**

The RepeatMasker interface (https://www.repeatmasker.org/) integrated into the UCSC

genome browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) was used to identify, categorize and determine

the exact position of repeat elements in the human *UCP1* promoter (GRCh 37/hg19 by NCBI

Gene, Chr4: 141.489.115-141.495.114).

**11. Literature Mining Using a Custom Script**

We programmed a simple Python script which uses the Entrez E-utilities (Sayers 2009) ESearch

and EFetch to query the PubMed database and retrieve relevant abstracts. The script

summarizes the search results in a convenient, human-readable tabular format which is

manually examined by the researcher.

We compiled a list of abbreviations of TFs of interest (e.g. HOXA5, JUN, TEAD1, etc.) based on

the JASPAR database; Altogether the list of TFs we examined comprised 579 items.

In addition, we added the UCP keyword to the search.

For each TF in the list, the script iteratively executes searches for each pair consisting of that

TF and a keyword, e.g. HOXA5 and UCP1, HIF1A and UCP1 etc. These pairs are concatenated

with a '+' character and passed to ESearch, e.g. *'HOXA5+UCP1'*. When searching for a complex

of several TFs rather than a single TF, e.g. EWSR1 and FLI1, the constituent TFs in the complex

were also combined with a '+' character in the search, e.g. *'EWSR1+FLI1+UCP1'*.

When searching for TF abbreviations that are identical or similar to a regular English word, in

particular Ar (for which the database returns hits containing the verb form 'are'), CLOCK

(English noun), REST (English noun and verb), MAX (short for maximum and a name) and JUN

(very frequent abbreviation of the month June), we found that simply searching for these

abbreviations returns a very large number of abstracts which contain the English word rather

than the TF in question. Thus we had to add a further search term to disambiguate the

abbreviation and narrow down the search to abstracts which contain a reference to the TF

that we were interested in. We found that searching for *"Ar androgen receptor", "CLOCK*

*circadian", "REST RE1 silencing", "MAX MYC associated x",* and *"JUN proto-oncogene"*

respectively constrained the search effectively so that the results contained few irrelevant

hits.

All combinations of TFs and the keyword were searched, i.e. in total 579 searches were

executed. For each such combined TF + keyword search expression, the ESearch tool returns

a list of PubMed ids of articles the abstract of which contains both the TF and the keyword.

For each TF the number of abstracts containing the keyword is summarized in a table by the

script. In addition, the full text of all abstracts is downloaded and saved along with the

bibliographical data of the corresponding articles, as well as the URL of the full text of the

article where available up to a pre-set maximum number, e.g. 50 abstracts.

Finally, the downloaded abstracts were manually reviewed. We considered the use of

advanced natural language processing techniques, in particular large language models, to

partially automate the review of the abstracts, but this was judged unnecessary for this

specific set of results.

**12. Identification of TF Motif Activity in Different Tissues and Exploration of TF Interaction Partners Using the ISMARA Online Platform.**

We obtained RNA-seq expression profiles from 16 human cell types using the Illumina Body Map 2 dataset (GEO accession GSE30611), accessible within the Integrated System for Motif Activity Response Analysis (ISMARA) online platform (https://ismara.unibas.ch/supp/dataset1_IBM_v2/ismara_report/) (Balwierz et al. 2014). We employed ISMARA to analyze the motif activity of putative species-specific and species-common homeodomain family TFs across different human tissues for identification of TF motif activity. By leveraging the ISMARA platform, we investigated the motif activity patterns of these TFs, providing insights into their potential roles in tissue-specific gene regulation. Furthermore, we explored potential TFs and their firs-level TF interaction partners using ISMARA to uncover regulatory networks and complex formations, such as enhanceosomes.

**13. Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analysis was carried out using the stats package in R. Correlation analysis of *UCP1* gene expression with TFs showing gene expression in adipocytes from the cervical region (Tóth et al. 2020) was performed using Sperman's rank correlation. For multiple comparisons, an adjusted p-value was calculated using Holm's test, with $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant (Suppl. Table 3).

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1. 1A.** Phylogenetic tree reconstruction shown as a midpoint rooted phylogram based on the nucleotide sequence of the *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* upstream regulatory region, the mRNA and amino acid sequence of the corresponding proteins in human, mouse and rat. Support values (aLRT/rapid bootstrap) are shown above the branches (packages ape 5.7.1 in R environment). **1B** Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of the human UCP1 promoter between +1000bp − -5000bp with sequences from other species using the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser. Red boxes highlight sequence segments absent from *UCP1* promoter of mice and rats, blue boxes indicate segments displaying significant conservation across diverse species. Red letters and grey lines indicate the abbreviation and position of the repetitive elements detected by UCSC integrated Repeat Masker database.

**Figure 2. 1A.** RCSB PBD aligned, AlphaFold 2 predicted protein structures of human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 from three different perspectives. **1B.** AlphaFold 2 predicted H-UCP1 aligned with H-ANT1. **1C.** Number of TFs with RE in human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* Promoter: -5000 bp − +1000 bp (p<1e-4) (Venn program in R environment). **1D.** TF RE frequency in human, mouse and rat *UCP1* gene promoters -5000 bp −

+1000 bp (p<1e-4) (Excel). **1F.** Bars show the frequency of TF RE per 10 bp in different parts of the UCP1 promoter

in humans, mouse and rat -5000 bp – +1000 bp (p<1e-4). Distal enhancer -5000 bp – -1001 bp; Proximal and Core

promoter-1000 bp – TSS; Intronic promoter TSS – +1000 bp (Excel). **2F**. Hierarchical cluster analysis of the human,

mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* genes based on the TFs detected in their promoters by RE. The red box

highlights the 13 TFs that are unique to the human *UCP1* promoter, the purple box shows the 93 TFs that are

common to the examined UCPs, while the green box shows the clusters with the most similar TF RE profile to

*UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). **2G** Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of the six *UCP* genes in adipocyte

samples of cervical origin. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies

*UCP*s with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). **2H** Heatmap shows the gene

expression profile of 45 TFs with RE in the human *UCP1* promoter in adipocyte samples of cervical origin. For

comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix

identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). **2I** Comparison of the

nucleotide sequence of the HDF1-class TF RE at the human *UCP1* promoter -690 bp with sequences from other

species using the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser (red box). In the

vicinity of HDF1 RE the TF RE sequences of RFX5, MYOD1 and BCL6 identified by EPD are highlighted with brown,

green and blue boxes, respectively. **2J** Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of 12 TFs with RE common in

the human, mouse and rat *UCP1* promoter in adipocyte samples of cervical origin. For comparison, *UCP1* is also

shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with similar gene

expression profiles to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface).  Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or brown

(B) differentiation protocol (Tóth et al. 2020).

**Figure 3. 3A** The Venn diagrams show the number of TFs with putative RE in the human *UCP1* promoter (-5000

– +1000 BP) based on EPD, ChiPBase and TFlink databases. TFs commonly found in the databases are named in

the side panels (Venn program in R environment). **3B** The heatmap shows the gene expression profiles of 11 TFs

in human adipocytes of cervical origin that have been predicted or experimentally shown to have RE in the human

*UCP1* promoter based on EPD, ChiPBase and TFlink interfaces. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical

cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles

to *UCP1* (Morpheus interface).

**Figure 4.** This figure provides a comprehensive overview of the transcription factor response elements identified

and filtered by our study within the human *UCP1* promoter. These REs exhibit gene or species specificity.

Additionally, several previously reported TF REs, which did not display such specificity, are included for reference.

The figure highlights specific promoter segments in the human *UCP1* promoter that are absent in the mouse and

rat *UCP1* promoters (indicated by the red line). The yellow line represents repeat elements identified by

RepeatMasker. Numerical annotations within the promoter denote base pair positions relative to the

transcription start site (TSS). For clarity, a list of TF abbreviations is provided in the "List of abbreviations" section

at the end of the article. H: human, M: mouse, R: rat. HDF: homeodomain family, bHLH: basic helix-loop-helix

family (PowerPoint).

**Supplementary Figures Legends**

**Supplementary Figure 1.** Heatmaps show the pairwise genetic distances of the nucleotid sequences of the *UCP1*,

*UCP2* and *UCP3* genes' regulatory and coding regions in humans, mice and rats (pheatmap program in R

environment). **1B** The phylogenetic tree shows the presence and the absence (red box) of the human UCP1

promoter DNA fragment spans between -2083 bp and -3502 bp (GRCh37/hg19: Chr4 141,492,160-141,493,617)

in *UCP1* promoter of different vertebrate species according to the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database

in the UCSC genome browser. No information is available for the three genera marked with blue question marks.

**1C – 1G** Alignments of the *UCP1* promoter sequences of different species highlight the palindromic nucleotide

sequences of the beginning and end of the DNA fragments (1419 bp and 658 bp) missing from the mouse and rat

*UCP1* promoter according to the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser.

**1H** Graphical summary showing the human genomic occurrence of the *UCP1* promoter DNA fragment (1419 bp)

absent from the mice and rat *UCP1* promoter (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The graphic is an overview of the

database sequences aligned to the query sequence. These are represented by horizontal bars colored coded by

score and showing the extent of the alignment on the query sequence. Separate aligned regions on the same

database sequence are connected by a thin grey line.

**Supplementary Figure 2. 2A** The spatial structure of human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* proteins

predicted by AlphaFold. The figures show the predicted spatial structure of the protein from the inter-membrane

face, the membrane-embedded side and the matrix face. The blue color indicates that for the *UCP1* gene, the

model predicts the spatial structure with high confidence for most of the part of the protein for all three species,

while for the other UCPs, the model confidence value is generally low. Typically, a short highly disordered portion

facing the matrix is well conserved in the UCP proteins of all three species. This potentially context-dependent

variable spatial structure may affect the function of the protein at a given time. **2B** Venn diagram showing the

number of TF REs identified in the promoter (-5000 bp –TSS – +1000 bp) of human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*

and *UCP3* genes using the EPD interface, with probability level p<1e-4. Merged Venn diagrams among species

show the number of unique and common TF REs in *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* genes. **2C** Venn diagram showing the

number of TF REs identified in the promoter (-5000 bp –TSS – +1000 bp) of human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*

and *UCP3* genes using the EPD interface, with probability level p<1e-5. Merged Venn diagrams among *UCP* genes

show the number of unique and common TF REs in human, mouse and rat *UCP* genes (Venn program in R

environment).

**Supplementary Figure 3.** In the aligned UCP1 promoter sequence of different species at position -690 bp, we

investigated the presence of TF binding sequences on the ConTra v3 platform, which gave positive search results

on the EPD interface, as well as those that were not tested using EPD but have a potential RE based on their TF

class classification. Stringency: core = 0.95, similarity matrix = 0.85.

**Supplementary Figure 4.** The heatmap depicts the relative gene expression profile of homeodomain Factor 1

class TFs (based on the JASPAR database) in adipocytes of cervical origin. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown

(Morpheus interface). Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs

with gene expression profiles similar to *UCP1*. Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or brown (B)

differentiation protocol.

**Supplementary Figure 5.** The bar graphs show the number of abstracts deposited in the PubMed database in which the TF under study appears together with the keyword UCP1, highlighting the "longtail" distribution of the data. On the x-axis only a few representative TFs are named, the y-axis shows the number of abstracts (Excel).

**Supplementary Figure 6.** Bar graphs show the relative activity (z-score) of the putative species-specific and species-common homeodomain family TFs under study assessed per human tissue (according to ISMARA interface). The red box highlights the result recorded in adipose tissue samples.

**Supplementary Figure 7.** The heatmap shows the relative gene expression profiles of TFs, for which a binding sequence was identified at -690 bp and near +/- 40 bp in the promoter of human *UCP1* using EPD interface (p<1e-4). For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with gene expression profiles similar to *UCP1* (red box) (Morpheus interface). Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or brown (B) differentiation protocol.

**Supplementary Figure 8.** Interactomes show the first level of the regulatory network of the putative species-specific and species-common homeodomain family TFs under study, such as PAX4, EN1, HOXA5, DLX2, PRRX2 and POU6F1 according to the ISMARA interface. The blue box highlights the partners that were recognized as having a RE close to (within +/- 40 bp of) the RE of the TF of interest.

**Supplementary Figure 9.** Alignment of *UCP1* promoter sequences from different species at different positions on the ConTra v3 platform. Human *UCP1*-specific TF REs are highlighted in different colors, and nearby TF REs are outlined in a blue box. **9A** shows the presence of the HOXA5 TF RE, unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at -4785 bp, and the nearby HIC1 RE at -4797 (EPD interface data). **9B** shows the presence of the DLX2 TF RE, unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at -2478 bp, and the nearby TEAD1-4 RE at -2496 (EPD interface data). **9C** shows the presence of the HOXA2 TF RE, unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at -3959 bp, and the nearby NFKB RE at -3978, and ZNF410 and ZNF282 at - 3948 bp (EPD interface data). **9D** shows the presence of the NFIL3 TF RE, unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at -881 bp, and **9E** the nearby REL RE at -902 bp (EPD interface data). **9F** shows the presence of the NFIL3 TF RE at -881 bp and the nearby REL RE at -902 bp across species in UCSC genome browser (ConTra v3 and EPD interface data).

**Supplementary Figure 10.** Alignment of the *UCP1* promoter sequences of different species **10A** at -4715 bp position and **10B** at -2913 bp position to investigate the presence of POU6F1 TF-binding sequences in human, mouse and rat promoter according to the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser.

**Supplementary Figure 11**. **11A** Heatmap showing the relative gene expression profile of common TFs identified in the promoters of human *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* around -264 bp missing the binding sequence in the promoters of mouse and rat orthologous genes using EPD interface (p<1e-4) in cervical derived adipocyte samples. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1*. (Morpheus Interface) **11B** Phylogenetic footprinting: the green color/red box highlights the HIF1A binding site in the *UCP1* promoter at -264 (ConTra v3 interface).

**11C** Phylogenetic footprinting: Blue color/green box highlights the EGR1 RE at -257 and and red box the HIF1A RE at -264 bp in the *UCP1* promoter (ConTra v3 interface). **11D** Phylogenetic footprinting: the green color/purple box highlights the SP2 RE at -223 bp, the green box shows the EGR1 RE at -257 bp and the red box the HIF1A RE at -264 bp in the *UCP1* promoter (ConTra v3 interface). Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or brown (B) differentiation protocol.

**Table legends**

**Table 1.** Results of pairwise structure alignments, measures describing the extent of structural similarity to human UCP1 of the human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 proteins. The structure alignments are based on protein structures predicted by AlphaFold 2 and aligned by RCSP-PBD. See Methodology for the interpretation of the measures.

**Table 2.** Tables 2A and 2B summarize our data on TFs with an RE in the human *UCP1* promoter identified by EPD (p<1e-4) and filtered according to specific criteria. These include the position of the RE relative to the TSS, their gene expression pattern relative to *UCP1*, their gene expression levels measured in neck-derived preadipocytes and *in vitro* differentiated adipocytes, their ChipSeq data from the ChiPBase and TFlink databases, their classification into TF class and family based on JASPAR databases, their PWM, their involvement in the adipocyte phenotype formation pathway according to the AdipoNET interface, EPD TF RE search results at p<1e-5 significance level in the human *UCP1* promoter. Bold highlights TF or value outstanding in the related category. **Table 2A** highlights the 13 putative regulatory TFs that possess REs only in the human *UCP1* promoter and are absent from the other *UCP* genes examined. **Table 2B** summarizes our data for 17 TFs belonging to the homeodomain Family 1 and 2 TF classes whose RE matches the human-specific binding sequence identified in the *UCP1* promoter ("TCTAATTAGA") the expression of which is correlated with *UCP1* or for which we do not have gene expression data and therefore cannot exclude them. GE: Gene Expression, Corr.: Correlation.

**Table 3.** Tables 3A and 3B summarize our data on TFs with RE in the human *UCP1* promoter identified using EPD (p<1e-4) and filtered according to specific criteria. These include the position of their RE relative to the TSS, their gene expression pattern relative to *UCP1*, their gene expression levels measured in neck-derived preadipocytes and in vitro differentiated adipocytes, and their ChipSeq. data from the ChiPBase and TFlink databases, their classification into TF class and family based on JASPAR databases, their PWM, their involvement in the adipocyte phenotype formation pathway according to the AdipoNET interface, EPD TF RE search results at p<1e-5 significance level in the human *UCP1* promoter. Bold highlights TF or value outstanding in the related category. **3A** The table summarizes the 12 TFs with RE found to be common in human, mouse and rat *UCP1*. **3B.** The table summarizes the data of TFs with RE (EPD p<1e-4) in the human *UCP1* promoter that also has ChipSeq data from both ChiPBase and TFlink databases. GE: Gene Expression, Corr.: Correlation.

**Supplementary Table Legends**

**Supplementary Table 1** The table lists TFs identified through RE analysis in the promoters of human, mouse, and
rat UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 genes, categorized by species and genes, employing the EPD interface (p<1e-4) for
identification. Subgroup categorization is based on the Venn diagram representation presented in Figure 1D.

**Supplementary Table 2** The table presents the list of TFs identified through RE analysis in the promoters of
human, mouse, and rat UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 genes. The listing includes the TFs' relative positions to the TSS,
categorized by species and genes, utilizing the EPD interface (p<1e-4, p<1e-5).

**Supplementary Table 3** The table presents correlation coefficients depicting the associations between the gene
expression profile of human UCP1 and the TFs identified within human UCP1 promoters using putative REs. The
data utilized for this analysis were derived from RNA sequencing of human adipocytes obtained from the cervical
region.

**Supplementary Table 4.** The table shows the TF abbreviations and the number of abstracts where they occur
together with the keyword UCP1 in publications in the PubMed database.

**DECLARATIONS**
**Ethics approval and consent to participate**
Not Applicable
**Consent for Publication**
Not Applicable
**Availability of data and material**

**Competing interests**
The authors declare no conflict of interest.
**Funding**

During this research BBT, LL, EV and GP was funded by the European Union and the European Regional
Development Fund (GINOP-2.3.4-15-2016-00002 „A felsőoktatás és az ipar együttműködése az
egészségiparban"), GH was supported by the European Union and the European Regional Development Fund
(GINOP-2.3.4-15-2020-00008 „Komplex Egészségipari Multidiszciplináris Kompetencia Központ kialakítása a
Debreceni Egyetemen új innovatív termékek és technológiák fejlesztése érdekében"), and we are thankful for
this. BBT was also supported by the University of Debrecen Scientific Research Bridging Fund (DETKA). The
funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and
in writing the manuscript.

**Authors' contributions**

Conceptualization, BBT., methodology, BBT., GH, EV, GP, and LL; software, BBT., GH, EV, GP and LL; formal

analysis, BBT and GP; visualization, BBT and LL; investigation, BBT.; data curation, BBT; writing—original draft

preparation, BBT.; writing—review and editing, BBT., PG, LL, LF; project administration, LL;

**Acknowledgements**

We extend our appreciation to Professors Jan Nedergaard and Barbara Canon for their insightful feedback and

valuable suggestions to enhance the clarity of the manuscript. On behalf of the project "Bioinformatic analysis

of third generation sequencing data" ("Harmadik generációs szekvenálási adatok bioinformatikai elemzése") we

are grateful for the possibility to use HUN-REN Cloud (see Héder et al. 2022; https://science-cloud.hu/) which

helped us achieve the results published in this paper.

1093

**REFERENCES**

1. Alvarez R, de Andrés J, Yubero P, Viñas O, Mampel T, Iglesias R, Giralt M, Villarroya F. A novel regulatory

pathway of brown fat thermogenesis. Retinoic acid is a transcriptional activator of the mitochondrial

uncoupling protein gene. J Biol Chem. 1995 Mar 10;270(10):5666-73. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.10.5666. PMID:

7890689.

2. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a

new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997 Sep 1;25(17):3389-402. doi:

10.1093/nar/25.17.3389. PMID: 9254694; PMCID: PMC146917.

3. Ambele MA, Pepper MS. Identification of transcription factors potentially involved in human adipogenesis

in vitro. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2017 Mar 3;5(3):210-222. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.269. PMID: 28546992;

PMCID: PMC5441431.

4. Atit R, Sgaier SK, Mohamed OA, Taketo MM, Dufort D, Joyner AL, Niswander L, Conlon RA. Beta-catenin

activation is necessary and sufficient to specify the dorsal dermal fate in the mouse. Dev Biol. 2006 Aug

1;296(1):164-76. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.04.449. Epub 2006 Apr 21. PMID: 16730693.

5. Balwierz PJ, Pachkov M, Arnold P, Gruber AJ, Zavolan M, van Nimwegen E. ISMARA: automated modeling of

genomic signals as a democracy of regulatory motifs. Genome Res. 2014 May;24(5):869-84. doi:

10.1101/gr.169508.113. Epub 2014 Feb 10. PMID: 24515121; PMCID: PMC4009616.

6. Banerjee-Basu S, Baxevanis AD. Molecular evolution of the homeodomain family of transcription factors.

Nucleic Acids Res. 2001 Aug 1;29(15):3258-69. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.15.3258. PMID: 11470884; PMCID:

PMC55828.

7. Basse AL, Isidor MS, Winther S, Skjoldborg NB, Murholm M, Andersen ES, Pedersen SB, Wolfrum C, Quistorff

B, Hansen JB. Regulation of glycolysis in brown adipocytes by HIF-1α. Sci Rep. 2017 Jun 22;7(1):4052. doi:

10.1038/s41598-017-04246-y. PMID: 28642579; PMCID: PMC5481455.

8. Becher T, Palanisamy S, Kramer DJ, Eljalby M, Marx SJ, Wibmer AG, Butler SD, Jiang CS, Vaughan R, Schöder

H, Mark A, Cohen P. Brown adipose tissue is associated with cardiometabolic health. Nat Med. 2021

Jan;27(1):58-65. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1126-7. Epub 2021 Jan 4. PMID: 33398160; PMCID: PMC8461455.

9.  Benita Y, Kikuchi H, Smith AD, Zhang MQ, Chung DC, Xavier RJ. An integrative genomics approach identifies
Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 (HIF-1)-target genes that form the core response to hypoxia. Nucleic Acids Res.
2009 Aug;37(14):4587-602. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp425. Epub 2009 Jun 2. PMID: 19491311; PMCID:
PMC2724271.

10.  Berg F, Gustafson U, Andersson L. The uncoupling protein 1 gene (UCP1) is disrupted in the pig lineage: a
genetic explanation for poor thermoregulation in piglets. PLoS Genet. 2006 Aug 18;2(8):e129. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.0020129. PMID: 16933999; PMCID: PMC1550502.

11.  Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, Shindyalov IN, Bourne PE. The Protein
Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000 Jan 1;28(1):235-42. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.235. PMID: 10592235; PMCID:
PMC102472.

12.  Bonet ML, Oliver P, Palou A. Pharmacological and nutritional agents promoting browning of white adipose
tissue. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 May;1831(5):969-85. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2012.12.002. Epub 2012 Dec
12. PMID: 23246573.

13.  Brun T, Hu He KH, Lupi R, Boehm B, Wojtusciszyn A, Sauter N, Donath M, Marchetti P, Maedler K, Gauthier
BR. The diabetes-linked transcription factor Pax4 is expressed in human pancreatic islets and is activated by
mitogens and GLP-1. Hum Mol Genet. 2008 Feb 15;17(4):478-89. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddm325. Epub 2007 Nov
7. PMID: 17989064.

14.  Cain B, Webb J, Yuan Z, Cheung D, Lim HW, Kovall RA, Weirauch MT, Gebelein B. Prediction of cooperative
homeodomain DNA binding sites from high-throughput-SELEX data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023 Jul
7;51(12):6055-6072. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad318. PMID: 37114997; PMCID: PMC10325903.

15.  Cantile M, Procino A, D'Armiento M, Cindolo L, Cillo C. HOX gene network is involved in the transcriptional
regulation of in vivo human adipogenesis. J Cell Physiol. 2003 Feb;194(2):225-36. doi: 10.1002/jcp.10210.
PMID: 12494461.

16.  Cao W, Huang H, Xia T, Liu C, Muhammad S, Sun C. Homeobox a5 Promotes White Adipose Tissue Browning
Through Inhibition of the Tenascin C/Toll-Like Receptor 4/Nuclear Factor Kappa B Inflammatory Signaling in
Mice. Front Immunol. 2018 Mar 29;9:647. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00647. PMID: 29651293; PMCID:
PMC5884924.

17.  Cao W, Medvedev AV, Daniel KW, Collins S. beta-Adrenergic activation of p38 MAP kinase in adipocytes:
cAMP induction of the uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) gene requires p38 MAP kinase. J Biol Chem. 2001 Jul
20;276(29):27077-82. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M101049200. Epub 2001 May 21. PMID: 11369767.

18.  Cassard AM, Bouillaud F, Mattei MG, Hentz E, Raimbault S, Thomas M, Ricquier D. Human uncoupling protein
gene: structure, comparison with rat gene, and assignment to the long arm of chromosome 4. J Cell Biochem.
1990 Jul;43(3):255-64. doi: 10.1002/jcb.240430306. PMID: 2380264.

19.  Chuong EB, Elde NC, Feschotte C. Regulatory evolution of innate immunity through co-option of endogenous
retroviruses. Science. 2016 Mar 4;351(6277):1083-7. doi: 10.1126/science.aad5497. PMID: 26941318;
PMCID: PMC4887275.

20. Collins S, Cao W, Robidoux J. Learning new tricks from old dogs: beta-adrenergic receptors teach new lessons
on firing up adipose tissue metabolism. Mol Endocrinol. 2004 Sep;18(9):2123-31. doi: 10.1210/me.2004-
0193. Epub 2004 Jul 8. PMID: 15243132.

21. Crichton PG, Lee Y, Kunji ER. The molecular features of uncoupling protein 1 support a conventional
mitochondrial carrier-like mechanism. Biochimie. 2017 Mar;134:35-50. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2016.12.016.
Epub 2017 Jan 3. PMID: 28057583; PMCID: PMC5395090.

22. del Mar Gonzalez-Barroso M, Pecqueur C, Gelly C, Sanchis D, Alves-Guerra MC, Bouillaud F, Ricquier D,
Cassard-Doulcier AM. Transcriptional activation of the human ucp1 gene in a rodent cell line. Synergism of
retinoids, isoproterenol, and thiazolidinedione is mediated by a multipartite response element. J Biol Chem.
2000 Oct 13;275(41):31722-32. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M001678200. PMID: 10921912.

23. Dreos R, Ambrosini G, Groux R, Cavin Périer R, Bucher P. The eukaryotic promoter database in its 30th year:
focus on non-vertebrate organisms. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D51–
5. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1069 Epub 2016 Nov 28. PMID: 27899657; PMCID: PMC5210552.

24. Dror I, Zhou T, Mandel-Gutfreund Y, Rohs R. Covariation between homeodomain transcription factors and
the shape of their DNA binding sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014 Jan;42(1):430-41. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt862.
Epub 2013 Sep 27. PMID: 24078250; PMCID: PMC3874178.

25. Emera D, Wagner GP. Transformation of a transposon into a derived prolactin promoter with function during
human pregnancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012 Jul 10;109(28):11246-51. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118566109.
Epub 2012 Jun 25. PMID: 22733751; PMCID: PMC3396485.

26. Enerbäck S, Jacobsson A, Simpson EM, Guerra C, Yamashita H, Harper ME, Kozak LP. Mice lacking
mitochondrial uncoupling protein are cold-sensitive but not obese. Nature. 1997 May 1;387(6628):90-4. doi:
10.1038/387090a0. PMID: 9139827.

27. Feldmann HM, Golozoubova V, Cannon B, Nedergaard J. UCP1 ablation induces obesity and abolishes diet-
induced thermogenesis in mice exempt from thermal stress by living at thermoneutrality. Cell Metab. 2009
Feb;9(2):203-9. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2008.12.014. PMID: 19187776.

28. Foulkes NS, Borrelli E, Sassone-Corsi P. CREM gene: use of alternative DNA-binding domains generates
multiple antagonists of cAMP-induced transcription. Cell. 1991 Feb 22;64(4):739-49. doi: 10.1016/0092-
8674(91)90503-q. PMID: 1847666.

29. Gaudry MJ, Campbell KL. Evolution of *UCP1* Transcriptional Regulatory Elements Across the Mammalian
Phylogeny. Front Physiol. 2017 Sep 20;8:670. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00670. PMID: 28979209; PMCID:
PMC5611445.

30. Gaudry MJ, Jastroch M, Treberg JR, Hofreiter M, Paijmans JLA, Starrett J, et al. Inactivation of thermogenic
UCP1 as a historical contingency in multiple placental mammal clades. *Sci Adv.* (2017) 3:e1602878
10.1126/sciadv.1602878

31. Gehring WJ, Affolter M, Bürglin T. Homeodomain proteins. Annu Rev Biochem. 1994;63:487-526. doi:
10.1146/annurev.bi.63.070194.002415. PMID: 7979246.

32. Gupta A, Efthymiou V, Kodani SD, Shamsi F, Patti ME, Tseng YH, Streets A. Mapping the transcriptional
landscape of human white and brown adipogenesis using single-nuclei RNA-seq. Mol Metab. 2023

Aug;74:101746. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2023.101746. Epub 2023 Jun 5. PMID: 37286033; PMCID:
PMC10338377.

33. Harms MJ, Lim HW, Ho Y, Shapira SN, Ishibashi J, Rajakumari S, Steger DJ, Lazar MA, Won KJ, Seale P. PRDM16
    binds MED1 and controls chromatin architecture to determine a brown fat transcriptional program. Genes
    Dev. 2015 Feb 1;29(3):298-307. doi: 10.1101/gad.252734.114. PMID: 25644604; PMCID: PMC4318146.

34. Héder, M., Rigó, E., Medgyesi, D., Lovas, R., Tenczer, S., Török, F., Farkas, A., Emődi, M., Kadlecsik, J., Mező,
    G., Pintér, Á., & Kacsuk, P. (2022). The Past, Present and Future of the ELKH Cloud. Információs Társadalom,
    22(2), 128.

35. Hilton C, Karpe F, Pinnick KE. Role of developmental transcription factors in white, brown and beige adipose
    tissues. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015 May;1851(5):686-96. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2015.02.003. Epub 2015 Feb
    8. PMID: 25668679.

36. Hoang T, Smith MD, Jelokhani-Niaraki M. Expression, folding, and proton transport activity of human
    uncoupling protein-1 (UCP1) in lipid membranes: evidence for associated functional forms. J Biol Chem. 2013
    Dec 20;288(51):36244-58. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.509935. Epub 2013 Nov 6. PMID: 24196960; PMCID:
    PMC3868739.

37. Horton CA, Alexandari AM, Hayes MGB, Marklund E, Schaepe JM, Aditham AK, Shah N, Suzuki PH, Shrikumar
    A, Afek A, Greenleaf WJ, Gordân R, Zeitlinger J, Kundaje A, Fordyce PM. Short tandem repeats bind
    transcription factors to tune eukaryotic gene expression. Science. 2023 Sep 22;381(6664):eadd1250. doi:
    10.1126/science.add1250. Epub 2023 Sep 22. PMID: 37733848.

38. Hou L, Shi J, Cao L, Xu G, Hu C, Wang C. Pig has no uncoupling protein 1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.
    2017 Jun 10;487(4):795-800. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.04.118. Epub 2017 Apr 23. PMID: 28442343.

39. Hughes J, Criscuolo F. Evolutionary history of the UCP gene family: gene duplication and selection. BMC Evol
    Biol. 2008 Nov 3;8:306. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-8-306. PMID: 18980678; PMCID: PMC2584656.

40. Hughes DA, Jastroch M, Stoneking M, Klingenspor M. Molecular evolution of UCP1 and the evolutionary
    history of mammalian non-shivering thermogenesis. BMC Evol Biol. 2009 Jan 7;9:4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-
    9-4. PMID: 19128480; PMCID: PMC2627829.S

41. Inagaki T, Sakai J, Kajimura S. Transcriptional and epigenetic control of brown and beige adipose cell fate and
    function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016 Aug;17(8):480-95. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.62. Epub 2016 Jun 2. Erratum
    in: Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017 Aug;18(8):527. PMID: 27251423; PMCID: PMC4956538.

42. Jastroch M, Oelkrug R, Keipert S. Insights into brown adipose tissue evolution and function from non-model
    organisms. *J Exp Biol.* (2018) 221(Pt Suppl 1):jeb169425. 10.1242/jeb.169425.

43. Jastroch M, Withers KW, Taudien S, Frappell PB, Helwig M, Fromme T, Hirschberg V, Heldmaier G, McAllan
    BM, Firth BT, Burmester T, Platzer M, Klingenspor M. Marsupial uncoupling protein 1 sheds light on the
    evolution of mammalian nonshivering thermogenesis. Physiol Genomics. 2008 Jan 17;32(2):161-9. doi:
    10.1152/physiolgenomics.00183.2007. Epub 2007 Oct 30. PMID: 17971503.

44. Jastroch M, Wuertz S, Kloas W, Klingenspor M. Uncoupling protein 1 in fish uncovers an ancient evolutionary
    history of mammalian nonshivering thermogenesis. Physiol Genomics. 2005 Jul 14;22(2):150-6. doi:
    10.1152/physiolgenomics.00070.2005. Epub 2005 May 10. PMID: 15886331.

45. Jolma A, Yan J, Whitington T, Toivonen J, Nitta KR, Rastas P, Morgunova E, Enge M, Taipale M, Wei G, Palin
K, Vaquerizas JM, Vincentelli R, Luscombe NM, Hughes TR, Lemaire P, Ukkonen E, Kivioja T, Taipale J. DNA-
binding specificities of human transcription factors. Cell. 2013 Jan 17;152(1-2):327-39. doi:
10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.009. PMID: 23332764.

46. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with
AlphaFold. *Nature* 596, 583–589 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

47. Jurka J. Repbase update: a database and an electronic journal of repetitive elements. Trends Genet. 2000
Sep;16(9):418-20. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02093-x. PMID: 10973072.

48. Kato H, Ario T, Kishida T, Tadano M, Osawa S, Maeda Y, Takakura H, Izawa T. Homeobox A5 and C10 genes
modulate adaptation of brown adipose tissue during exercise training in juvenile rats. Exp Physiol. 2021
Feb;106(2):463-474. doi: 10.1113/EP089114. Epub 2021 Jan 5. PMID: 33369800.

49. Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements
in     Performance     and     Usability.     Molecular     Biology     and     Evolution,     30(4),     772–780.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

50. Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative
studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol. 1980 Dec;16(2):111-20. doi: 10.1007/BF01731581. PMID:
7463489.

51. Klingenspor M, Fromme T, Hughes DA Jr, Manzke L, Polymeropoulos E, Riemann T, Trzcionka M, Hirschberg
V, Jastroch M. An ancient look at UCP1. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2008 Jul-Aug;1777(7-8):637-41. doi:
10.1016/j.bbabio.2008.03.006. Epub 2008 Mar 18. PMID: 18396149.

52. Kottke FJ, Phalen JS, et al. Effect of hypoxia upon temperature regulation of mice, dogs, and man. Am J
Physiol. 1948 Apr;153(1):10-5. doi: 10.1152/ajplegacy.1948.153.1.10. PMID: 18867027.

53. Kreft L, Soete A, Hulpiau P, Botzki A, Saeys Y, De Bleser P. ConTra v3: a tool to identify transcription factor
binding sites across species, update 2017. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017 Jul 3;45(W1):W490-W494. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkx376. PMID: 28472390; PMCID: PMC5570180.

54. Kunarso G, Chia NY, Jeyakani J, Hwang C, Lu X, Chan YS, Ng HH, Bourque G. Transposable elements have
rewired the core regulatory network of human embryonic stem cells. Nat Genet. 2010 Jul;42(7):631-4. doi:
10.1038/ng.600. Epub 2010 Jun 6. PMID: 20526341.

55. Lambert SA, Jolma A, Campitelli LF, Das PK, Yin Y, Albu M, Chen X, Taipale J, Hughes TR, Weirauch MT. The
Human Transcription Factors. Cell. 2018 Feb 8;172(4):650-665. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.029. Erratum in:
Cell. 2018 Oct 4;175(2):598-599. PMID: 29425488.

56. Lau HH, Krentz NAJ, Abaitua F, Perez-Alcantara M, Chan JW, Ajeian J, Ghosh S, Lee Y, Yang J, Thaman S,
Champon B, Sun H, Jha A, Hoon S, Tan NS, Gardner DS, Kao SL, Tai ES, Gloyn AL, Teo AKK. PAX4 loss of
function increases diabetes risk by altering human pancreatic endocrine cell development. Nat Commun.
2023 Sep 30;14(1):6119. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-41860-z. PMID: 37777536; PMCID: PMC10542369.

57. Laurila PP, Soronen J, Kooijman S, Forsström S, Boon MR, Surakka I, Kaiharju E, Coomans CP, Van Den Berg
SA, Autio A, Sarin AP, Kettunen J, Tikkanen E, Manninen T, Metso J, Silvennoinen R, Merikanto K, Ruuth M,
Perttilä J, Mäkelä A, Isomi A, Tuomainen AM, Tikka A, Ramadan UA, Seppälä I, Lehtimäki T, Eriksson J,

Havulinna A, Jula A, Karhunen PJ, Salomaa V, Perola M, Ehnholm C, Lee-Rueckert M, Van Eck M, Roivainen A, Taskinen MR, Peltonen L, Mervaala E, Jalanko A, Hohtola E, Olkkonen VM, Ripatti S, Kovanen PT, Rensen PC, Suomalainen A, Jauhiainen M. USF1 deficiency activates brown adipose tissue and improves cardiometabolic health. Sci Transl Med. 2016 Jan 27;8(323):323ra13. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aad0015. PMID: 26819196.

58. Li L, Li Q, Willis LG, Erlandson M, Theilmann DA, Donly C. Complete comparative genomic analysis of two field isolates of Mamestra configurata nucleopolyhedrovirus-A. J Gen Virol. 2005 Jan;86(Pt 1):91-105. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.80488-0. PMID: 15604435.

59. Li Y, Schwalie PC, Bast-Habersbrunner A, Mocek S, Russeil J, Fromme T, Deplancke B, Klingenspor M. Systems-Genetics-Based Inference of a Core Regulatory Network Underlying White Fat Browning. Cell Rep. 2019 Dec 17;29(12):4099-4113.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.053. PMID: 31851936.

60. Linheiro RS, Bergman CM. Testing the palindromic target site model for DNA transposon insertion using the Drosophila melanogaster P-element. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Nov;36(19):6199-208. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn563. Epub 2008 Oct 1. PMID: 18829720; PMCID: PMC2577343.

61. Liu Y, Maekawa T, Yoshida K, Muratani M, Chatton B, Ishii S. The Transcription Factor ATF7 Controls Adipocyte Differentiation and Thermogenic Gene Programming. iScience. 2019 Mar 29;13:98-112. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2019.02.013. Epub 2019 Feb 18. PMID: 30826729; PMCID: PMC6402263.

62. Lu WH, Chang YM, Huang YS. Alternative Polyadenylation and Differential Regulation of *Ucp1*: Implications for Brown Adipose Tissue Thermogenesis Across Species. Front Pediatr. 2021 Feb 9;8:612279. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.612279. PMID: 33634052; PMCID: PMC7899972.

63. McGaugh S, Schwartz TS. Here and there, but not everywhere: repeated loss of uncoupling protein 1 in amniotes. Biol Lett. 2017 Jan;13(1):20160749. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0749. PMID: 28052937; PMCID: PMC5310580.

64. Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., von Haeseler, A., & Lanfear, R. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 37(5), 1530–1534. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015

65. Mohsen-Kanson T, Hafner AL, Wdziekonski B, Takashima Y, Villageois P, Carrière A, Svensson M, Bagnis C, Chignon-Sicard B, Svensson PA, Casteilla L, Smith A, Dani C. Differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells into brown and white adipocytes: role of Pax3. Stem Cells. 2014 Jun;32(6):1459-67. doi: 10.1002/stem.1607. PMID: 24302443.

66. Nedergaard J, Bengtsson T, Cannon B. Unexpected evidence for active brown adipose tissue in adult humans. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007 Aug;293(2):E444-52. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00691.2006. Epub 2007 May 1. PMID: 17473055.

67. Nedergaard J, Cannon B. The 'novel' 'uncoupling' proteins UCP2 and UCP3: what do they really do? Pros and cons for suggested functions. Exp Physiol. 2003 Jan;88(1):65-84. doi: 10.1113/eph8802502. PMID: 12525856.

68. Nedergaard J, Cannon B. The browning of white adipose tissue: some burning issues. Cell Metab. 2014 Sep 2;20(3):396-407. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.07.005. Epub 2014 Aug 7. PMID: 25127354.

69. Nedergaard J, Golozoubova V, Matthias A, Asadi A, Jacobsson A, Cannon B. UCP1: the only protein able to
mediate adaptive non-shivering thermogenesis and metabolic inefficiency. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2001 Mar
1;1504(1):82-106. doi: 10.1016/s0005-2728(00)00247-4. PMID: 11239487.

70. Nicholls DG. Mitochondrial proton leaks and uncoupling proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg. 2021 Jul
1;1862(7):148428. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2021.148428. Epub 2021 Mar 31. PMID: 33798544.

71. Paradis, E. (2010). Pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach.
Bioinformatics, 26(3), 419–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

72. Paradis, E., & Schliep, K. (2019). Ape 5.0: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary
analyses in R. Bioinformatics, 35(3), 526–528. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633

73. Park MJ, Kim HY, Kim K, Cheong J. Homeodomain transcription factor CDX1 is required for the transcriptional
induction of PPARgamma in intestinal cell differentiation. FEBS Lett. 2009 Jan 5;583(1):29-35. doi:
10.1016/j.febslet.2008.11.030. Epub 2008 Dec 4. PMID: 19059241.

74. Périer RC, Praz V, Junier T, Bonnard C, Bucher P. The eukaryotic promoter database (EPD). Nucleic Acids Res.
2000 Jan 1;28(1):302-3. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.302. PMID: 10592254; PMCID: PMC102462.

75. Pingoud A, Jeltsch A. Structure and function of type II restriction endonucleases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001 Sep
15;29(18):3705-27. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.18.3705. PMID: 11557805; PMCID: PMC55916.

76. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. (n.d.). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Vienna, Austria.

77. Razzoli M, Bartolomucci A. The Dichotomous Effect of Chronic Stress on Obesity. Trends Endocrinol Metab.
2016 Jul;27(7):504-515. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2016.04.007. Epub 2016 May 5. PMID: 27162125; PMCID:
PMC4912918.

78. Ricquier D. Uncoupling protein 1 of brown adipocytes, the only uncoupler: a historical perspective. Front
Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2011 Dec 28;2:85. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2011.00085. PMID: 22649389; PMCID:
PMC3355862.

79. Rim JS, Kozak LP. Regulatory motifs for CREB-binding protein and Nfe2l2 transcription factors in the
upstream enhancer of the mitochondrial uncoupling protein 1 gene. J Biol Chem. 2002 Sep
13;277(37):34589-600. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M108866200. Epub 2002 Jun 25. PMID: 12084707.

80. Rosen ED, Walkey CJ, Puigserver P, Spiegelman BM. Transcriptional regulation of adipogenesis. Genes Dev.
2000 Jun 1;14(11):1293-307. PMID: 10837022.

81. Rousset S, Alves-Guerra MC, Mozo J, Miroux B, Cassard-Doulcier AM, Bouillaud F, Ricquier D. The biology of
mitochondrial uncoupling proteins. Diabetes. 2004 Feb;53 Suppl 1:S130-5. doi:
10.2337/diabetes.53.2007.s130. PMID: 14749278.

82. Saito S, Saito CT, Shingai R. Adaptive evolution of the uncoupling protein 1 gene contributed to the
acquisition of novel nonshivering thermogenesis in ancestral eutherian mammals. *Gene*. (2008) 408:37–44.
10.1016/j.gene.2007.10.018.

83. Sandelin A, Alkema W, Engström P, Wasserman WW, Lenhard B. JASPAR: an open-access database for
eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004 Jan 1;32(Database issue):D91-4. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkh012. PMID: 14681366; PMCID: PMC308747.

84. Schliep, K. P. (2011). Phangorn: Phylogenetic analysis in R. Bioinformatics, 27(4), 592–593.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq706

85. Scarpato M, Angelini C, Cocca E, Pallotta MM, Morescalchi MA, Capriglione T. Short interspersed DNA
elements and miRNAs: a novel hidden gene regulation layer in zebrafish? Chromosome Res. 2015
Sep;23(3):533-44. doi: 10.1007/s10577-015-9484-6. PMID: 26363800.

86. Seale P., Kajimura S., Yang W., et al. Transcriptional control of brown fat determination by PRDM16. *Cell*
*Metabolism.* 2007;6(1):38–54. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2007.06.001.

87. Shapira SN, Seale P. Transcriptional Control of Brown and Beige Fat Development and Function. Obesity
(Silver Spring). 2019 Jan;27(1):13-21. doi: 10.1002/oby.22334. PMID: 30569639; PMCID: PMC6309799.

88. Seki T, Yang Y, Sun X, Lim S, Xie S, Guo Z, Xiong W, Kuroda M, Sakaue H, Hosaka K, Jing X, Yoshihara M, Qu L,
Li X, Chen Y, Cao Y. Brown-fat-mediated tumour suppression by cold-altered global metabolism. Nature.
2022 Aug;608(7922):421-428. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05030-3. Epub 2022 Aug 3. PMID: 35922508;
PMCID: PMC9365697.

89. Singh S, Rajput YS, Barui AK, Sharma R, Datta TK. Fat accumulation in differentiated brown adipocytes is
linked with expression of Hox genes. Gene Expr Patterns. 2016 Mar;20(2):99-105. doi:
10.1016/j.gep.2016.01.002. Epub 2016 Jan 26. PMID: 26820751.

90. Singh S, Rajput YS, Barui AK, Sharma R, Grover S. Expression of developmental genes in brown fat cells grown
in vitro is linked with lipid accumulation. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2015 Nov;51(10):1003-11. doi:
10.1007/s11626-015-9930-y. Epub 2015 Jul 7. PMID: 26148884.

91. Slattery M, Riley T, Liu P, Abe N, Gomez-Alcala P, Dror I, Zhou T, Rohs R, Honig B, Bussemaker HJ, Mann RS.
Cofactor binding evokes latent differences in DNA binding specificity between Hox proteins. Cell. 2011 Dec
9;147(6):1270-82. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.053. PMID: 22153072; PMCID: PMC3319069.

92. Su T, Liu P, Ti X, Wu S, Xue X, Wang Z, Dioum E, Zhang Q. HIF1α, EGR1 and SP1 co-regulate the erythropoietin
receptor expression under hypoxia: an essential role in the growth of non-small cell lung cancer cells. Cell
Commun Signal. 2019 Nov 21;17(1):152. doi: 10.1186/s12964-019-0458-8. PMID: 31752873; PMCID:
PMC6869211.

93. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent
stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell. 2007 Nov 30;131(5):861-72. doi:
10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.019. PMID: 18035408.

94. Tattersall GJ, Milsom WK. Hypoxia reduces the hypothalamic thermogenic threshold and thermosensitivity.
J Physiol. 2009 Nov 1;587(Pt 21):5259-74. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2009.175828. Epub 2009 Sep 21. PMID:
19770191; PMCID: PMC2790263.

95. Tayanloo-Beik A, Nikkhah A, Alaei S, Goodarzi P, Rezaei-Tavirani M, Mafi AR, Larijani B, Shouroki FF, Arjmand
B. Brown adipose tissue and alzheimer's disease. Metab Brain Dis. 2023 Jan;38(1):91-107. doi:
10.1007/s11011-022-01097-z. Epub 2022 Nov 2. PMID: 36322277.

96. Thompson PJ, Macfarlan TS, Lorincz MC. Long Terminal Repeats: From Parasitic Elements to Building Blocks
of the Transcriptional Regulatory Repertoire. Mol Cell. 2016 Jun 2;62(5):766-76. doi:
10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.029. PMID: 27259207; PMCID: PMC4910160.

97. Timmons J. A., Wennmalm K., Larsson O., et al. Myogenic gene expression signature establishes that brown
and white adipocytes originate from distinct cell lineages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
*of the United States of America.* 2007;104(11):4401–4406. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610615104.

98. Tóth BB, Arianti R, Shaw A, Vámos A, Veréb Z, Póliska S, Győry F, Bacso Z, Fésüs L, Kristóf E. FTO Intronic SNP
Strongly Influences Human Neck Adipocyte Browning Determined by Tissue and PPARγ Specific Regulation:
A Transcriptome Analysis. Cells. 2020 Apr 16;9(4):987. doi: 10.3390/cells9040987. PMID: 32316277; PMCID:
PMC7227023.

99. B Tóth B, Barta Z, Barta ÁB, Fésüs L. Regulatory modules of human thermogenic adipocytes: functional
genomics of large cohort and Meta-analysis derived marker-genes. BMC Genomics. 2021 Dec 11;22(1):886.
doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-08126-8. PMID: 34895148; PMCID: PMC8665548.

100. Vaishnav ED, de Boer CG, Molinet J, Yassour M, Fan L, Adiconis X, Thompson DA, Levin JZ, Cubillos FA, Regev
A. The evolution, evolvability and engineering of gene regulatory DNA. Nature. 2022 Mar;603(7901):455-
463. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04506-6. Epub 2022 Mar 9. PMID: 35264797; PMCID: PMC8934302.

101. Villarroya F, Peyrou M, Giralt M. Transcriptional regulation of the uncoupling protein-1 gene. Biochimie.
2017 Mar;134:86-92. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2016.09.017. Epub 2016 Oct 5. PMID: 27693079.

102. Waldén TB, Hansen IR, Timmons JA, Cannon B, Nedergaard J. Recruited vs. nonrecruited molecular
signatures of brown, "brite," and white adipose tissues. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2012 Jan
1;302(1):E19-31. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00249.2011. Epub 2011 Aug 9. PMID: 21828341.

103. Warfel JD, Elks CM, Bayless DS, Vandanmagsar B, Stone AC, Velasquez SE, Olivares-Nazar P, Noland RC,
Ghosh S, Zhang J, Mynatt RL. Rats lacking Ucp1 present a novel translational tool for the investigation of
thermogenic adaptation during cold challenge. Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2023 May;238(1):e13935. doi:
10.1111/apha.13935. Epub 2023 Feb 7. PMID: 36650072.

104. Weirauch MT, Cote A, Norel R, Annala M, Zhao Y, Riley TR, Saez-Rodriguez J, Cokelaer T, Vedenko A, Talukder
S; DREAM5 Consortium; Bussemaker HJ, Morris QD, Bulyk ML, Stolovitzky G, Hughes TR. Evaluation of
methods for modeling transcription factor sequence specificity. Nat Biotechnol. 2013 Feb;31(2):126-34. doi:
10.1038/nbt.2486. Epub 2013 Jan 27. PMID: 23354101; PMCID: PMC3687085.

105. Wibmer AG, Becher T, Eljalby M, Crane A, Andrieu PC, Jiang CS, Vaughan R, Schöder H, Cohen P. Brown
adipose tissue is associated with healthier body fat distribution and metabolic benefits independent of
regional adiposity. Cell Rep Med. 2021 Jul 7;2(7):100332. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100332. PMID:
34337558; PMCID: PMC8324464.

106. Wunderlich Z, Mirny LA. Different gene regulation strategies revealed by analysis of binding motifs. Trends
Genet. 2009 Oct;25(10):434-40. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2009.08.003. Epub 2009 Oct 6. PMID: 19815308; PMCID:
PMC3697852.

107. Xiao W, Geng W, Zhou M, Xu J, Wang S, Huang Q, Sun Y, Li Y, Yang G, Jin Y. POU6F1 cooperates with RORA
to suppress the proliferation of lung adenocarcinoma by downregulation HIF1A signaling pathway. Cell
Death Dis. 2022 May 3;13(5):427. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-04857-y. PMID: 35504868; PMCID:
PMC9065044.

108. Xu L, Xu C, Zhou S, Liu X, Wang J, Liu X, Qian S, Xin Y, Gao Y, Zhu Y, Tang X. PAX4 promotes PDX1-induced
differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into insulin-secreting cells. Am J Transl Res. 2017 Mar 15;9(3):874-
886. PMID: 28386318; PMCID: PMC5375983.

109. Xue B, Coulter A, Rim JS, Koza RA, Kozak LP. Transcriptional synergy and the regulation of Ucp1 during brown
adipocyte induction in white fat depots. Mol Cell Biol. 2005 Sep;25(18):8311-22. doi:
10.1128/MCB.25.18.8311-8322.2005. PMID: 16135818; PMCID: PMC1234324.

110. Yevshin I, Sharipov R, Valeev T, Kel A, Kolpakov F. GTRD: a database of transcription factor binding sites
identified by ChIP-seq experiments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017 Jan 4;45(D1):D61-D67. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkw951. Epub 2016 Oct 24. PMID: 27924024; PMCID: PMC5210645.

111. Zhang X, Wang C, Liu M; 77-OR: Targeting JunB Induces a Shift from Low- to High-Thermogenic Adipocytes
and Ameliorates Diet-Induced Insulin Resistance. *Diabetes* 20 June 2023; 72 (Supplement_1): 77–OR. doi:
10.2337/db23-77-OR

112. Zhang C, Weng Y, Shi F, Jin W. The Engrailed-1 Gene Stimulates Brown Adipogenesis. Stem Cells Int.
2016;2016:7369491. doi: 10.1155/2016/7369491. Epub 2016 Apr 11. PMID: 27148369; PMCID:
PMC4842372.

113. Zhang J, Zhang Y, Sun T, Guo F, Huang S, Chandalia M, Abate N, Fan D, Xin HB, Chen YE, Fu M. Dietary obesity-
induced Egr-1 in adipocytes facilitates energy storage via suppression of FOXC2. Sci Rep. 2013;3:1476. doi:
10.1038/srep01476. PMID: 23502673; PMCID: PMC3600596.

**FIGURES**

**Figure 1.**

Phylogenetic Tree Reconstruction

Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of the human UCP1 promoter spanning from +1000bp to -5000bp with corresponding sequences from other species.

1441

1442

1443    **Figure 2.**

2A
Aligned predicted protein structure of UCP1, UCP2 and
UCP3 in human, mouse and rat.

2B
H-UCP1 aligned with H-
ANT1

2C
Number of TFs with RE in human, mouse and rat UCP1,
UCP2 and UCP3 Promoter

2D
TF RE frequency in human, mouse and rat UCP1
gene promoter

2E
TF RE abundancy in UCP1 gene promoter regions of human, mouse and rat

2F
Hierarchical cluster analysis of the human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3
genes based on the TF REs detected in their promoters.

2G
Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of the six UCP genes in
adipocyte samples of cervical origin.

2I    Comparison of the sequence of the HDF1-class TF RE at the human UCP1
promoter -690 BP with sequences from other species.

2H    Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of 45 TFs with RE in the
human UCP1 promoter in adipocyte samples of cervical origin.

2J    Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of 12 TFs with RE in the human,
mouse and rat UCP1 promoter in adipocyte samples of cervical origin.

1444

1445

1446   **Figure 3.**

3A

Comparing Predicted and Experimentally Validated TF Binding Sites in the Human UCP1 Promoter.

3B

Gene-expression profile of TFs common in human adipocytes of cervical origin that were all predicted by EPD, ChiPBase and Tflink interface

1447

1448

1449    **Figure 4.**

1450

1451

1452 **TABLES**

1453 **Table 1.**

1454 **Table 1.**

| Entry | | Chain | RMSD | TM-score | Identity | Equivalent Residues | Sequence Length | Modelled Residues |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| UCP1-Human | AF-P25874-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | - | - | - | - | 307 | 307 |
| UCP1-Mouse | AF-P12242-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 1.14 | **0.97** | 79% | 307 | 307 | 307 |
| UCP1-Rat | AF-P04633-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 1.06 | **0.97** | 79% | 305 | 307 | 307 |
| UCP2-Human | AF-P55851-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 3.08 | **0.84** | 57% | 304 | 309 | 309 |
| UCP2-Mouse | AF-Q9R246-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 2.86 | **0.84** | 57% | 301 | 309 | 309 |
| UCP2-Rat | AF-P56500-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 2.2 | **0.89** | 57% | 302 | 309 | 309 |
| UCP3-Human | AF-P55916-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 3.51 | **0.77** | 48% | 298 | 312 | 312 |
| UCP3-Mouse | AF-P56501-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 4.01 | **0.75** | 54% | 305 | 308 | 308 |
| UCP3-Rat | AF-P56499-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 3.55 | **0.78** | 46% | 299 | 308 | 308 |
| ANT1-Human | AF-P12235-F1-model_v4.pdb | A | 3.07 | **0.8** | **21%** | 283 | 298 | 298 |

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

**Table 2.**

2A Human-specific *UCP1* putative TFs

| TF | RE position in human UCP1 | Nucleotide sequence in human UCP1 | GE. Corr. TF vs.UCP1 | RNA Expression in Adipocytes | ChipSeq data | PubMED abstract with UCP1 | PWM in JASPAR | TF Class/Family | AdipoNET | EPD p<1e-5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alx1 | -690 | TCTAATTAGA | NO | VERY LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| Alx4 | -690 | TCTAATTAGA | NO | VERY LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| Dlx2 | -2478 | CATAATTGCA | NO | VERY LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF2/NK family | NO | YES |
| EN1 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NEG. *** | HIGH | NO | YES | (logo) | HDF1/NK family | NO | YES |
| GSX1 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NO | VERY LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | NO |
| HOXA2 | -3959 | TCTCATTAGC | NO | LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | NO |
| HOXA5 | -4785 | GCAAATTAGT | POS.* | LOW | NO | YES | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | YES |
| Lhx8 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NO | LOW | NO | YES | (logo) | HDF1/LIM family | NO | YES |
| MIXL1 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NO | VERY LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| NFIL3 | -881 | ATATTACATCATTATA | NO | HIGH | NO | NO | (logo) | bZIP/CEBP-related | NO | NO |
| PAX4 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NA | NA | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/ Paired Plus Homeo Domain | NO | YES |
| VSX1 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NO | LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| VSX2 | -690 -689 | TCTAATTAGA | NA | NA | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | YES |

2B Homeodomain family TFs with putative RE in human *UCP1*

| TF | RE position in human UCP1 by EPD | ConTra | GE Corrl. TF vs UCP1 | RNA Expression in Adipocytes | ChipSeq data | PubMED abstract with UCP1 | PWM in JASPAR | TF Class/Family | AdipoNET | EPD p<1e-5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOXA5 | -4785 | YES | POS.* | MEDIUM | NO | YES | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | YES |
| HOXB6 | NA | NO | POS* | MEDIUM | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | NO |
| VAX2 | NO | YES | POS.* | LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/NK family | NO | NO |
| Dlx4 | NO | YES | POS.**** | LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/NK family | NO | NO |
| NOTO | NO | YES | POS.* | VERY LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/NK family | NO | NO |
| EN1 | -690 -689 | YES | NEG.*** | HIGH | NO | YES | (logo) | HDF1/NK family | NO | YES |
| PRRX1 | NO | YES | NEG.**** | HIGH | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| PRRX2 | -690 -689 | YES | NEG.**** | HIGH | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| PROP1 | -692 | YES | NA | NA | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF2/Paired-related | NO | NO |
| HOXD8 | -4195 -4116 -2914 | YES | NEG.* | MEDIUM | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | NO |
| HOXC4 | NA | YES | NEG.*** | MEDIUM | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | NO |
| HOXC8 | NA | YES | NEG.*** | MEDIUM | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/HOX family | NO | NO |
| POU6F1 | -4716 -4715 -2913 -2912 | YES | NEG.** | MEDIUM | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/POU domain | NO | NO |
| PHOX2A | -692 | YES | NA | NO | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF2/HOX family | NO | NO |
| LBX2 | -690 -689 | YES | NEG.* | LOW | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/NK family | NO | NO |
| PAX4 | -690 -689 | YES | NA | NA | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/ Paired Plus Homeo Domain | NO | YES |
| VSX2 | -690 -689 | YES | NA | NA | NO | NO | (logo) | HDF1/Paired-related | NO | YES |

1473  **Table 3.**

1474  3A Human, mouse and rat *UCP1* specific TFs

| TF | RE position in human UCP1 | | | | GE. Corr. TF vs.UCP1 | RNA Expression in Adipocytes | ChipSeq data | PubMED abstract with UCP1 | PWM in JASPAR | TF Class/Family | AdipoNET | EPD p<1e-5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| POU6F1 | -4716 | -4715 | -2913 | -2912 | NEG. ** | MEDIUM | NO | NO | | HDF1/POU domain factors | NO | NO |
| RXRA::VDR | -769 | | | | POS.****/NEG.**** | HIGH/HIGH | ChiPBase/TFlink | YES | | NR with C4 zinc fingers, (NR2):: (NR1) | B and W LINKER | NO |
| SOX21 | -2243 | | | | NO | LOW | NO | NO | | HMG domain/Sox-related | NO | YES |
| TEAD4 | -2496 | 419 | 878 | | NEG. **** | MEDIUM | ChiPBase/TFlink | NO | | TEA domain factors, TEF-1-related factors | NO | YES |
| XBP1 | -3762 | | | | NEG. **** | HIGH | TFlink | YES | | bZIP/ XBP-related | B and W LINKER | NO |
| ARID3B | -4116 | -3113 | | | NO | LOW | NO | NO | | ARID/ARID related | NO | NO |
| ATF7 | -880 | -879 | | | NO | MEDIUM | NO | NO | | bZIP/JUN-related | NO | NO |
| CREB3 | -3761 | | | | NO | MEDIUM | NO | NO | | bZIP/CREB-releted | B and W LINKER | NO |
| Maf::NFE2 | -4388 | -3441 | | | NO/NO | HIGH/LOW | ChiPBase | YES | | bZIP/Maf-related::Jun-related | WHITE | NO |
| NFE2L2 | -4392 | -3760 | -3437 | | NEG. **** | HIGH | NO | YES | | bZIP/JUN-related | WHITE | YES |

1475

1476  3B. TFs with RE in the human *UCP1* promoter based on EPD (p<1e-4) and Chip-seq data (ChipBase

1477  and Tflink databases).

| TF | RE position in human UCP1 | | | | | | GE. Corr. TF vs.UCP1 | RNA Expression in Adipocytes | ChipSeq data | PubMED abstract with UCP1 | PWM in JASPAR | TF Class/Family | AdipoNET | EPD p<1e-5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GATA2 | -2789 | | | | | . | Neg. ** | Low | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | Other C4 ZF/C4 GATA-related | NO | NO |
| HIF1A | -264 | -261 | -214 | | | | Neg. **** | High | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | BHLH class/ PAS domain | WHITE | YES |
| JUN | -2832 | -2586 | -878 | -585 | | | Neg *. | High | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | bZIP/JUN-related | B and W LINKER | YES |
| KLF4 | -4653 | | | | | | Neg. *** | High | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | C2H2 ZF/3 ZF Kruppel-related | WHITE | NO |
| MXI1 | -263 | -262 | | | | | Neg. **** | Medium | ChiPBase/TFlink | No | | BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP | NO | NO |
| MYC | -263 | -262 | | | | | Neg. *** | Medium | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP | WHITE | NO |
| MYCN | -2593 | -2592 | -263 | -262 | | | NA | No | ChiPBase/TFlink | No | | BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP | WHITE | NO |
| NFKB1 | -3976 | -2405 | | | | | Neg. **** | Medium | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | RHR/NFKB-related | B and W LINKER | NO |
| RBPJ | -3973 | -3944 | -3610 | -1505 | -780 | 519 | Neg. **** | High | ChiPBase/TFlink | Yes | | RHR/CSL-related | WHITE | YES |
| TEAD4 | -2496 | 419 | 878 | | | | Neg. **** | Medium | ChiPBase/TFlink | No | | TEA domain factors/ TEF-1-related factors | NO | YES |
| USF1 | -2593 | | | | | | Pos. **** | Medium | ChiPBase/TFlink | No | | BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP | NO | NO |

1478

1479

1480

1481

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

1485 **Supplementary Figure 2.**

2A

2B

2C

1486

1487

1488 **Supplementary Figure 3.**

1489

1490

55

 **Supplementary Figure 4.**

1494 **Supplementary Figure 5.**

1495
1496

1497    **Supplementary Figure 6.**

1498

1499

**Supplementary Figure 7.**

## Supplementary Figure 8.

First level regulatory network of PAX4

First level regulatory network of EN1

First level regulatory network of HOXA5

First level regulatory network of VSX2

First level regulatory network of PRRX2

First level regulatory network of POU6F1

1504

1505

1506 **Supplementary Figure 9.**

9A
HOXA5 RE at -4785 bp and HIC1 -4797 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9B
DLX2 RE at -2478 bp and TEAD4 at -2496 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9C
HOXA2 RE at -3959 bp, NFKB2 RE at -3978 bp, ZNF410 and ZNF282 RE at -3948 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9D
NFIL3 RE at -881 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9E
REL RE at -902 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9F
NFIL3 RE at -881 bp and REL RE at -904 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

1507

1508

1509    **Supplementary Figure 10.**

10A

POU6F1 RE at -4715 bp in human UCP1 promoter

10B

POU6F1 RE at -2913 bp in human UCP1 promoter

1510

1511

1512    **Supplementary Figure 11.**

11A

11B    Phylogenetic footprinting: The HIF1A binding site in the human UCP1 promoter (ConTra 3v interface)

11C    Phylogenetic footprinting: The EGR1 and HIF1A binding site in the human UCP1 promoter (ConTra 3v interface)

11D    Phylogenetic footprinting: The SP2 EGR1 and HIF1A binding site in the human UCP1 promoter (ConTra 3v interface)

1513

1514