Homeodomain transcription factors in adipocyte thermogenesis: insights into the species-specific and

conserved regulatory elements of human UCP1

Beáta B. Tóth[1*], Géza Hegedűs[1,2], Eszter Virág[1,3], Gergely Tamás Pethő[1], Levente Laczkó[1,4,] László Fésüs[5]

[1]"One Health" Institute, Faculty of Health Science, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, H-4032, Debrecen, Egyetem
Tér 1., Hungary (toth.beata@etk.unideb.hu)

[2] Department of Information Technology and Its Applications, Faculty of Information Technology, University of
Pannonia, Gasparich Márk Str 18/A, 8900 Zalaegerszeg, Hungary

[3] Research Institute for Medicinal Plants and Herbs Ltd., Budakalász, Hungary

[4] HUN-REN-DE Conservation Biology Research Group, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, H-4032, Egyetem Tér 1,
Hungary

[5]Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Department, Faculty of Medical Science, University of Debrecen; H-4032,
Debrecen, Egyetem Tér 1., Hungary

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Adipocyte thermogenesis is a promising target for treating metabolic disorders, but its

regulatory mechanisms remain unclear. This study investigates transcription factors (TFs) and

regulatory elements that may control the human *UCP1* gene, which is essential for

thermogenesis and the formation of the adipocyte phenotype. Using the Eukaryota Promoter

Database, we performed computational analyses of the *UCP1, UCP2* and *UCP3* promoter

sequences in humans, mice and rats to identify conserved and species-specific elements. We

also used transcriptome data from human neck-derived adipocytes and databases (Contra v3,

ChiPBase, TFlink, AdipoNet, ISMARA, etc.) to narrow potential regulatory TFs. Our results show

that mouse and rat *UCP1* enhancers lack large segments, primarily due to the insertion of

repetitive elements that are already lost in some clades. We identified key TFs such as PPARA,

PPARG, THR, RARE, RXR, JUN, TFAP2 and SREBF1 as general regulators of *UCPs.* Additionally,

human-specific *UCP1* regulatory hotspots (e.g. 5'-TCTAATTAGA-3') recognised by

homeodomain TFs (e.g. EN1, PAX4, HOXA5 and PRRX2) and NFIL3 were detected.

Phylogenetically conserved regulatory elements suggest common TFs in human *UCP1*

paralogues (MAX, MYCN, MNT, HES1) and cross-species (POU6F1). These results improve our

understanding of thermogenic adipocyte development and provide new therapeutic targets

for metabolic diseases.

Keywords: Adipocyte browning, *UCP1* regulation, homeodomain Family Transcription Factors,

Thermogenesis, Transposable Repeat Elements, Enhanceosome, Response element

Graphical Abstract

I.   INTRODUCTION

Adipocytes are crucial for maintaining energy balance and a healthy metabolism in the human

body. While white adipocytes primarily serve as energy reservoirs, brown and beige

adipocytes are specialised in energy expenditure through thermogenesis. This thermogenic

capacity is promising for influencing overall energy homeostasis, especially in the context of

metabolic disorders (Tayanloo-Beik et al., 2023; Seki et al., 2022; Wibmer et al., 2021; Becher

et al., 2021; Razzoli et al., 2016, Nedergaard et al., 2007). Non-shivering adipocyte

thermogenesis, a crucial component of the body's heat production mechanisms, can be

triggered by cold exposure and various environmental stimuli, however, the intricate

mechanisms are not yet fully understood (Hoang et al., 2013). Cold-induced adaptive

thermogenesis involves the upregulation of Uncoupling Protein 1 (UCP1) in the mitochondrial

membrane, which recycles protons to the matrix, thereby uncoupling oxidative

phosphorylation and ATP synthesis. This leads to controlled heat production and is an

attractive target for weight control strategies (Feldman et al., 2009; Ricquier 2011,

Nedergaard et al. 2001, Enerbäck 1997). In addition to UCP1-mediated thermogenesis, other

cyclic mechanisms may also contribute to adipocyte thermoregulation, such as endoplasmic

reticulum-associated Ca^{2+} (Ikeda et al. 2017), mitochondrial creatine (Kazak and Spiegelman

2020) and the triacylglycerol-fatty acid cycle (Cohen and Kajimura 2021). Despite extensive

research, a comprehensive understanding of the regulatory mechanisms controlling adipocyte

thermogenesis/browning remains elusive (Waldén et al. 2012; Nedergaard and Cannon 2014).

Several TFs have been proposed as direct regulators of *UCP1* gene expression, including

peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), retinoic acid receptors (RXRs, RARs),

thyroid hormone receptor (THR), cAMP response element-binding proteins (CREBPs), nuclear

factor erythroid response element 2 like 2 (NFE2L2), sterol regulatory element-binding protein

1 (SREBP1), early B-cell factor 1-2 (EBF1-2), the c-Jun::c-Fos complex and the CCAAT/enhancer-

binding proteins (CEBPA) as well as indirect regulators such as PPARG coactivator 1 alpha

(PGC-1α) and PR domain containing 16 (PRDM16) (Rosen et al. 2000; del Mar Gonzalez-

Barroso 2000; Rim and Kozak 2002; Seale et al. 2007; Timmons et al. 2007; Ricquier 2011;

Villaroya et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2023). Recent reviews provide insights into the complex

epigenetic and transcriptional regulation underlying brown/beige adipocyte development

(e.g. Li et al. 2019; Shapira and Seale, 2019; Ambele and Pepper, 2017; Villaroya et al., 2017;

Inagaki et al., 2016; Harms et al., 2015; Bonet et al., 2013), but the intricate web of regulatory

mechanisms is still to some extent unclear.

This study aims to use computational methods to identify transcription factors (TFs) that may

regulate the expression of the human *UCP1* gene by identifying TFs that have putative

functional DNA-binding motifs within the promoter regions of the human UCP1 gene in the

range of -5Kb – +1Kb (6000 base pairs (BP); position: GRCh37/hg19; Chr. 4: 141,489,115-

141,495,114). To simplify nomenclature, the term 'promoter' is used here for the entire

sequence regions analysed, including the intergenic regions (1kb DNA segments in the positive

direction from the transcription start site (TSS)), the proximal promoter (-1kb – TSS) and the

distal enhancers (-1kb – - 5kb). By comparing *UCP1* orthologues and paralogues in humans,

mice and rats, we aimed to uncover conserved and human-specific *UCP1* regulatory elements.

To determine whether a particular binding sequence is present within the human *UCP1*

promoter, we used the eukaryotic promoter database (EPD) interface. This database uses a

probability-based algorithm to identify TF response elements (REs) at four different p-value

thresholds (p-value < 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001 and 0.00001). In this study, we focused on the two

most stringent p-value thresholds ($p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.00001$) because empirical evidence

and computational results suggest that more permissive thresholds may lead to high false-

positive rates (Wunderlich and Mirny, 2009; Benita et al., 2009). However, our exploratory

studies have shown that using the most stringent threshold ($p < 1e-5$) can lead to high false-

negative rates, as in the case of RE of HIF1A TF in gene promoters such as *VEGFA* and *GLUT1*,

where the regulatory role of HIF1A is well known. By setting the significance level at $p < 1e-5$,

there would certainly be fewer false positives in the data, but the number of false negatives

would increase and be lost for further analysis, while false positives can be filtered out with

high efficiency by comparing paralogous and orthologous gene promoters. Therefore, to

achieve a balance between sensitivity and specificity, we primarily used the threshold p < 1e-

4, while occasionally referring to the results of p < 1e-5.

To exclude false results, we extended our analysis to *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters from humans,

mice and rats. The UCP proteins belong to the solute carrier family (SLC), which consists of

different transporters such as ion channels, exchangers and passive transporters. *UCP2* and

UCP3 are paralogues of *UCP1* but have different tissue distributions and do not share its

thermogenic function (Nedergaard and Canon 2003, Rousset et al. 2004, Nicholls 2021). Both

UCP2 and UCP3 contribute to the fine-tuning of mitochondrial function and energy

metabolism and are therefore important players in the maintenance of metabolic health and

protection against metabolic disorders, but their exact function is still unclear. UCP2 is widely

distributed in various tissues, including adipose tissue, brain, lung, pancreas and immune cells.

In contrast to UCP1, which is mainly found in brown adipose tissue and is primarily involved

in non-shivering thermogenesis by conducting protons back into the matrix, UCP2 has a more

general role. UCP2 is thought to regulate the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and

thus protect cells from oxidative stress (Hirschenson et al. 2022). UCP2 is also involved in the

regulation of insulin secretion by beta cells and in this way can also influence metabolic

efficiency and energy expenditure (Cadenas 2018). UCP3, on the other hand, is mainly

expressed in skeletal muscle and to a lesser extent in brown adipose tissue. One of the main

proposed functions of UCP3 is to promote the export of fatty acids from the mitochondria,

thereby preventing lipid-induced oxidative damage (Himms-Hagen and Harper 2001,

Schrauven et al. 2002). UCP3 may also be involved in maintaining energy balance and may play a role in muscle thermogenesis, especially during periods of increased fatty acid intake or muscle activity. By comparing these closely related genes, we aimed to identify TFs specifically involved in the regulation of UCP1 and thereby adipocyte thermogenesis.

We also aimed to identify conserved and human-specific regulatory elements of the *UCP* promoters by studying evolutionarily distant orthologues from mouse and rat. While mice and rats are valuable as model organisms for thermogenic studies, the translation of results to human physiology can be challenging due to inherent differences (Enerbäck et al. 1997). These differences may affect metabolism and thermogenic processes, as variations in body size and environmental temperature are among the factors that play an important role in shaping evolutionary strategies to maintain energy balance (Tattersall and Milsom, 2009; Kottke et al., 1948). Exploring potential regulatory differences in the expression of paralogous genes with common origins but different tissue expression and protein functions, such as *UCP2* and *UCP3*, in various species opens up the possibility of deciphering human *UCP1*-specific regulators and providing insights into potentially more specific targets of intervention that may not be apparent in traditional model organisms (Nedergaard and Canon 2003, Rousset et al. 2004, Nicholls 2021, Hughes and Criscuolo 2008, Enerbäck et al. 1997, Warfel et al. 2023).

This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the transcriptional regulation of human *UCP1* by identifying key TFs with putative binding motifs. We take advantage of functional differences between members of the UCP family and evolutionary conservation between species. This could elucidate the specific regulatory processes that control the phenotype of human adipocytes and improve our understanding of thermogenic fat cell development, which would have significant therapeutic implications.

RESULTS

1. **Comparative analysis of the sequences of *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters, genes and proteins in different species: structural and evolutionary aspects.**

To elucidate the structural features underlying the specific function of the three mitochondrial solute carrier family 25 (SLC25) proteins, UCP1 (SLC25A7), UCP2 (SLC25A8) and UCP3 (SLC25A9), we performed a thorough comparative analysis encompassing the nucleotide sequences of the genes and their promoters in the human, mouse and rat genomes. We also aligned the amino acid sequences of the encoded proteins and analysed their complex three-dimensional (3D) spatial structures.

1.1. Sequence similarity analysis shows distinct evolutionary trends between genes and their regulatory regions.

A phylogenetic tree reconstructed by merging the human, mouse and rat *UCP1, UCP2* and *UCP3* data clearly shows that orthologous sequences were grouped, indicating a closer relationship than to their paralogous counterparts when considering amino acid composition, coding (mRNA) and regulatory DNA sequences, with high support values in all cases (Fig. 1A). As expected, orthologous genes also show greater similarity between mouse and rat and are more tightly clustered compared to human. In contrast, we obtain a different clustering of the paralogous genes depending on the sequences analysed. While the reconstruction of the mRNA and amino acid sequences identified UCP2 and UCP3 as a separate cluster from UCP1, UCP1 and UCP2 were grouped based on the promoter sequence. These results are also confirmed by pairwise distance analysis of the coding and promoter sequences of the *UCP* genes (Suppl Fig. 1A).

Figure 1.

Figure 1. 1A. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction shown as a midpoint rooted phylogram based on the nucleotide

sequence of the *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* upstream regulatory region, the mRNA and amino acid sequence of the

corresponding proteins in human, mouse and rat. Support values (aLRT/rapid bootstrap) are shown above the

branches (packages ape 5.7.1 in R environment). **1B** Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of the human UCP1

promoter between +1000bp − -5000bp with sequences from other species using the Multiz Alignment of 100

Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser. Red boxes highlight sequence segments absent from *UCP1*

promoter of mice and rats, blue boxes indicate segments displaying significant conservation across diverse

species. Red letters and grey lines indicate the abbreviation and position of the repetitive elements detected by

UCSC integrated Repeat Masker database.

1.2 The presence of transposable and repetitive elements leads to considerable sequence

divergence within the distal enhancer regions of humans and rodents.

The thermogenic *UCP1* gene evolved around 120-150 million years ago after the split of the

platypuses (monotremes) and marsupials (metatherians) from the lineage that gave rise to

the placental mammals (eutherians) (Gaudry et al. 2017). However, Jastroch et al. (2005)

demonstrated that all three members of the core *UCP* family were present before the

divergence of the ray-finned and lobe-finned vertebrate lineages around 420 million years

ago, however, were later lost or inactivated in several clades, such as birds. A cross-species

alignment of the analysed 6000 bp long nucleotide sequences of the *UCP1* promoter revealed

that a 1419 bp long sequence interval extending from -2083 bp to -3502 bp (GRCh37/hg19:

Chr4 141.492.198-141.493.617) in the distal enhancer region of the human *UCP1* promoter

and the last 658 bp long segment of the 6000 bp long sequence analysed (Chr4: 141.494.458-

141.495.115; at -4341 bp to -5000 bp) was missing in the UCP1 promoters of mice and rats

(Fig. 1B). This absence was confirmed by a BLAST search in the NCBI database

(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Examination of the presence of the 1419 bp-long sequence

in other species using the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrate Tool in the UCSC Genome

Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) suggests that it is an evolutionarily novel sequence that

arose around the divergence between placentals and marsupials with the appearance of the

thermogenic *UCP1* gene (Jastroch et. al. 2024), however, it seems to be secondarily lost in

certain clades, including mice and rats (Suppl. Fig. 1B). It is noteworthy that short palindromic

sequences or their residues can be detected at the beginning and end of these evolutionarily

novel DNA fragments in the human *UCP1* promoter (Suppl. Fig. 1C, 1D,1E, 1F, 1G; red boxes).

Eukaryotic Promoter Database analysis (p < 1e-5) shows that the RE of CREB3 at -3498 bp

corresponds to the palindromic "TGACGTCA" sequence at the end of the 1419 bp long

segment (Suppl. Fig. 1D). Notably, the 468 bp central region of this new sequence (Chr4:

141.492.573-141.493.040; at -2458 bp to -2925 bp), identified as a long terminal repeat (LTR)

retrotransposon repetitive element by RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org) (Jurka

2000) named MLT1G from the endogenous retroviruses ERVL-MaLR family (Fig. 1B). This 468

bp sequence appears to be integrated into the human genome several times, as the BLAST

search shows (Suppl Fig. 1H). It is also interesting that at the beginning and the end of this

central segment, TF REs of the homeodomain family are identified, DLX2 RE at -2478 bp, while

at the end for HOXD8, HOXD9, HOXB5, POU6F1 at -2913 bp. The Eukaryotic Promoter

Database does not identify a TF RE in the middle part of this central segment with a probability

of $p < 1e-5$. In the 658 bp long sequence at the end of the UCP1 promoter segment which was

also missing in the rodent genome, a 317 bp (Chr4: 141.494.458-141.495.774; at -4343 bp to

-4659 bp) and a 124 bp long segment (Chr4: 141.494.775-141.494.898; at -4660 bp to -4783

bp) were also recognised by RepeatMasker as a short interspersed nuclear element (SINE) and

a long interspersed nuclear element (LINE), respectively (Fig. 1B). The SINE was classified as

an Alu sz6 repeat from the ALU family, and the following LINE was identified as an L1M5 repeat

which belongs to the L1 family. The BLAST searches have found similar repeat sequences at

over 1000 sites in the human genome. Using RepeatMasker, we saw several additional

repetitive elements in the human *UCP1* promoter, whose possible role requires further

investigation (Fig. 1B, grey lines). This observation may emphasise the dynamic nature of the

genetic elements and their potential role in regulating gene expression.

Nevertheless, the intronic regions of *UCP1* at around +250 bp and the distal enhancer region

at around -3600 bp show above-average sequence similarity across species and may indicate

conserved DNA regions with REs that are important for transcriptional control, such as the

myeloid zinc finger 1 (MZF1) at -3667 bp, and the glucocorticoid modulatory element binding

protein 1 (GMEB1) and GLIS family zinc finger 2 (GLIS2) at around +250 bp (Fig. 1B, blue boxes;

Suppl Table 2).

1.3 Subtle structural differences between orthologous and paralogous UCP1 proteins may

have functional implications

The primary 3D structures of human *UCP1, UCP2* and *UCP3* proteins show a high

degree of homology with their mouse and rat counterparts. This was established by predictive

modelling with AlphaFold 2 and structural alignment with the RCSB PDB interface (Fig. 2A, see

Methods). Supplementary Figure 2A shows these spatial protein structures in a colour-coded

format and provides insight into their conformational similarities. Remarkably, the model

reliably predicts the spatial structure of *UCP1* in all three species, whereas model confidence

tends to be lower for *UCP2* and *UCP3*. Interestingly, a short interdomain sequence shows a

particularly low model confidence within the UCP proteins of all three species (Suppl Fig. 2A,

red colour). The context-dependent variability in spatial structure may provide the

opportunity to influence functionality under certain conditions, such as modulating proton

conductance or influencing the binding of fatty acids and purine nucleotides. Detailed pairwise

structural alignment measurements of the analysed proteins, showing the extent of structural

similarity to human *UCP1*, are presented in Table 1. These analyses show a consistent

template modelling score (TM score) of 0.75 or higher compared to human UCP1 and

matching equivalent residue numbers in all cases (Table 1). In particular, the results show the

remarkable similarity between mouse and rat *UCP1* and human *UCP1* with an impressive TM

score of 0.97. The homology is slightly lower for the paralogous genes *UCP2* (0.84 TM score)

and *UCP3* (0.77 TM score). It is worth noting that the amino acid sequence similarities closely

match the result of the DNA sequence comparisons (Table 1.), both underscoring the greater

similarity in the orthologous protein structures. However, the relevance of amino acid sequence homology to protein structure similarity is challenged by the fact that the presence of the conserved UCP protein structure is a recurring feature within the SLC25 protein family (Hoang et al., 2013; Crichton et al., 2017), regardless of the degree of homology between the constituent amino acids. For example, the ADP/ATP translocase (SLC25A4 or ANT1) has a similar predicted 3D folded structure (Fig. 2B) and a substantial TM score of 0.8, despite a modest amino acid homology of 21% (Table 1). In summary, the phylogenetic analyses of *UCP1, UCP2* and *UCP3* in the human, mouse and rat genomes, and their protein 3D structural comparison show the closest relationships between the orthologues. The paralogues show different clustering patterns based on gene and promoter sequences. The observed structural similarities, independent of amino acid sequence homology, emphasise the conserved nature of UCP protein structure within the SLC25 family and raise questions about the functional impact of sequence variations in specific interdomain regions.

Table 1.

	Entry	Chain	RMSD	TM-score	Identity	Equivalent Residues	Sequence Length	Modelled Residues
UCP1-Human	AF-P25874-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	-	-	-	-	307	307
UCP1-Mouse	AF-P12242-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	1.14	**0.97**	79%	307	307	307
UCP1-Rat	AF-P04633-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	1.06	**0.97**	79%	305	307	307
UCP2-Human	AF-P55851-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	3.08	**0.84**	57%	304	309	309
UCP2-Mouse	AF-Q9R246-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	2.86	**0.84**	57%	301	309	309
UCP2-Rat	AF-P56500-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	2.2	**0.89**	57%	302	309	309
UCP3-Human	AF-P55916-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	3.51	**0.77**	48%	298	312	312
UCP3-Mouse	AF-P56501-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	4.01	**0.75**	54%	305	308	308
UCP3-Rat	AF-P56499-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	3.55	**0.78**	46%	299	308	308
ANT1-Human	AF-P12235-F1-model_v4.pdb	A	3.07	**0.8**	**21%**	283	298	298

254   **Table 1.** Results of pairwise structure alignments, measures describing the extent of structural similarity of the human, mouse and rat

255   UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 proteins to human UCP1. The structure alignments are based on protein structures predicted by AlphaFold 2 and

256   aligned by RCSP-PBD. For interpretation of the measures, see Methodology.

257   **Figure 2.**

Figure 2. **1A**. RCSB PBD aligned, AlphaFold 2 predicted protein structures of human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 from three different perspectives. **1B.** AlphaFold 2 predicted H-UCP1 aligned with H-ANT1. **1C.** Number of TFs with RE in human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* Promoter: -5000 bp – +1000 bp (p<1e-4) (Venn program in R environment). **1D.** TF RE frequency in human, mouse and rat *UCP1* gene promoters -5000 bp – +1000 bp (p<1e-4) (Excel). **1F.** Bars show the frequency of TF RE per 10 bp in different parts of the UCP1 promoter in humans, mouse and rat -5000 bp – +1000 bp (p<1e-4). Distal enhancer -5000 bp – -1001 bp; Proximal and Core promoter-1000 bp – TSS; Intronic promoter TSS – +1000 bp (Excel). **2F.** Hierarchical cluster analysis of the human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* genes based on the TFs detected in their promoters by RE. The red box highlights the 13 TFs that are unique to the human *UCP1* promoter, the purple box shows the 93 TFs that are common to the examined UCPs, while the green box shows the clusters with the most similar TF RE profile to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). **2G** Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of the six *UCP* genes in adipocyte samples of cervical origin. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies *UCP*s with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). **2H** Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of 45 TFs with RE in the human *UCP1* promoter in adipocyte samples of cervical origin. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). **2I** Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of the HDF1-class TF RE at the human *UCP1* promoter -690 bp with sequences from other species using the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser (red box). In the vicinity of HDF1 RE the TF RE sequences of RFX5, MYOD1 and BCL6 identified by EPD are highlighted with brown, green and blue boxes, respectively. **2J** Heatmap shows the gene expression profile of 12 TFs with RE common in the human, mouse and rat *UCP1* promoter in adipocyte samples of cervical origin. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1* (Morpheus Interface). Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or brown (B) differentiation protocol (Tóth et al. 2020).

2. Comparative analysis of transcription factor binding sites of *UCP* promoters in humans, mice and rats

2.1 Cross-species comparative analysis of putative TF REs in the UCP1/2/3 promoters reveals greater similarity of human UCP1 to human UCP2 than to rodent UCP1.

After a thorough investigation of the promoter sequences of the *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* genes in humans, mice and rats, we focussed on determining the specific transcriptional regulation of the human *UCP1* gene. Using the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (EPD) and a large number of TF motifs from the JASPAR databases, we performed an in-depth analysis with 579 TF motifs

(Dreos et al., 2017). Using stringent significance thresholds (p < 1e-4), we identified a variable

number of TFs with putative REs in the nine genes analysed, ranging from 293 to 381 (Fig. 2C,

Suppl Fig. 2B, Suppl Table 1). Applying a more stringent threshold of p < 1e-5 resulted in the

detection of 63 to 123 TFs with REs in the promoter of the *UCP* genes in the three species

(Suppl Fig. 2C). The distribution of TF REs within the *UCP1* promoters showed considerable

differences between regions and species. While in humans the proximal promoter has the

highest TF binding sites, in mice and rats it is the enhancer region (Fig. 2D, 2E; Suppl Table 2).

Visualisation on a heatmap and hierarchical clustering showed that the regulatory TF-RE

profile of the human *UCP1* gene is very similar to that of its paralogue, the human *UCP2* gene

(Fig. 2F). This is in contrast to the observations based on protein structure, amino acid

composition, and gene and promoter sequences (Fig. 1A, 2A), where the orthologues are more

similar. Comparing the relative gene expression profiles of the human *UCP* genes in the

cervical-derived adipocyte samples, the expression of *UCP1* was most closely related to that

of *UCP2*, as shown by the correlation using Spearman's Rank Similarity Matrix (Fig. 2E).

2.2 Comparative analysis of transcription factor response elements of 9 UCPs reveals

unique regulatory sequences for the human UCP1 gene associated with TFs of the

homeodomain family

Our comprehensive study identified a total of 364 TFs (including dimers, trimers and variants)

with putative REs in the analysed promoter region of the human *UCP1* gene (Figure 2C; Suppl

Table 1). A comparison with the results of the analyses of the regulatory regions of the human

UCP2 and *UCP3* genes shows that 319 of these TF REs were also present in one of the analysed

paralogous human *UCP1* promoters. However, 45 TFs (including dimers and variants) were

present exclusively on the *UCP1* promoter. We examined these 45 *UCP1*-specific TFs in more

detail by first comparing their expression patterns with *UCP1* in cervical-derived subcutaneous

and deep neck preadipocytes and mature adipocytes, differentiated ex vivo (Figure 2H). Gene

expression of 5 TFs, including *AR, RXRA, HMBOX1, CREM* and *HOXA5* showed a significant

positive correlation with *UCP1* (Suppl Table 3). Conversely, the gene expression of 17 TFs,

including *JUNB, FOSL1* and *2, ATF1, VDR* and *NFE2L2* as the top six, showed a negative

correlation. However, the RE of RXRA shows only a specific occurrence in the human *UCP1*

promoter when dimerised with VDR. Similarly, only a single variant of the JUNB TF RE,

JUNB_var2 RE, shows a specific occurrence in the human *UCP1* promoter and only when

dimerised with FOSL1 or FOSL2. When considered alone or dimerised with other TFs, their REs

are identified in all *UCP*s tested, so their *UCP1* specificity in humans is context-dependent.

Next, in comparison to the TFs with REs detected in the regulatory regions of mouse and rat

UCP1, UCP2, and *UCP3* genes, we identified 13 of the 45 human *UCP*1-specific TFs that do not

have REs in the promoters of these rodent *UCP* genes, namely: ALX1, ALX4, DLX2, EN1, GSX1,

HOXA2, LHX8, MIXL1, NFIL3, PAX4, VSX1, VSX2 and HOXA5 (Figure 2F, red box). Except for

NFIL3, these TFs belong to the class of homeodomain family TFs (HDF; JASPAR database). The

Eukaryotic Promoter Database can also detect most of these REs with the highest probability

($p < 1e-5$) (Table 2A, Suppl Table 2), supporting that these TFs may regulate thermogenic

processes in a species-specific manner. In addition, we examined the RE nucleotide sequences

identified by the Eukaryotic Promoter Database for these 13 TFs and detected a palindromic

DNA nucleotide sequence of 10 bp, 5'-TCTAATTAGA-3', positioned at -690 bp in the human

UCP1 promoter (EPD database; UCSC Genome Browser GRCh 37/hg19: 141.490.801-

141,490,810), and serves as RE for 9 of the 13 human *UCP1*-specific TFs (Table 2A). While this

short RE sequence was located outside the 1419 bp and 658 bp long sequence region found

only in humans, it was indeed absent from the mouse and rat *UCP1, UCP2*, and *UCP3*

promoters, as well as the human *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters, according to Eucaryotic Promoter

Database and NCBI BLAST searches, suggesting that it potentially play a unique regulatory role

in human adipocyte thermogenesis. Further data indicate that this sequence is conserved to

some degree in primates (one base difference), such as chimpanzees, gorillas, orangutans,

baboons and green monkeys (Figure 1B; Multiz Vertebrate Sequence Alignment in UCSC),

expanding the range of possible species in which this regulatory process may be implemented.

In addition to the homeodomain family TFs, the nuclear factor interleukin 3 (NFIL3), which

belongs to the basic leucine zipper TF family and binds to the activating transcription factor

(ATF) site, was found to be another potential human-specific *UCP1* regulatory factor with a

binding site at -881 bp in the human *UCP1* promoter, although not detected at a stringent

probability level ($p < 1e-5$).

On the other hand, 93 TF REs were consistently present in all *UCP* promoters of the three

species analysed, indicating some degree of shared regulation (Figure 2F Purple box, Suppl

Table 1). These include TFs for which phylogenetically well-conserved binding sites were found

in the *UCP1* promoters in previous studies, such as PPARA, PPARG, RARE, TFAP2, SP, THR and

SREBF1 (Gaudry and Campbell 2017).

Table 2.

2A Human-specific *UCP1* putative TFs

Table 2A

TF	RE position in human UCP1		Nucleotide sequence in human UCP1	GE. Corr. TF vs.UCP1	RNA Expression in Adipocytes	ChipSeq data	PubMED abstract with UCP1	PWM in JASPAR	TF Class/Family	AdipoNET	EPD p<1e-5
Alx1	-690		TCTAATTAGA	NO	VERY LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	NO
Alx4	-690		TCTAATTAGA	NO	VERY LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	NO
Dlx2	-2478		CATAATTGCA	NO	VERY LOW	NO	NO		HDF2/NK family	NO	YES
EN1	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NEG. ***	HIGH	NO	YES		HDF1/NK family	NO	YES
GSX1	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NO	VERY LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/HOX family	NO	NO
HOXA2	-3959		TCTCATTAGC	NO	LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/HOX family	NO	NO
HOXA5	-4785		GCAAATTAGT	POS.*	LOW	NO	YES		HDF1/HOX family	NO	YES
Lhx8	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NO	LOW	NO	YES		HDF1/LIM family	NO	YES
MIXL1	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NO	VERY LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	NO
NFIL3	-881		ATATTACATCATTATA	NO	HIGH	NO	NO		bZIP/CEBP-related	NO	NO
PAX4	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NA	NA	NO	NO		HDF1/ Paired Plus Homeo Domain	NO	YES
VSX1	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NO	LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	NO
VSX2	-690	-689	TCTAATTAGA	NA	NA	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	YES

2B Homeodomain family TFs with putative RE in human *UCP1*

TF	RE position in human UCP1 by EPD	ConTra	GE Corrl. TF vs UCP1	RNA Expression in Adipocytes	ChipSeq data	PubMED abstract with UCP1	PWM in JASPAR	TF Class/Family	AdipoNET	EPD p<1e-5
HOXA5	-4785	YES	POS.*	MEDIUM	NO	YES		HDF1/HOX family	NO	YES
HOXB6	NA	NO	POS*	MEDIUM	NO	NO		HDF1/HOX family	NO	NO
VAX2	NO	YES	POS.*	LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/NK family	NO	NO
Dlx4	NO	YES	POS.****	LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/NK family	NO	NO
NOTO	NO	YES	POS.*	VERY LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/NK family	NO	NO
EN1	-690 -689	YES	NEG.***	HIGH	NO	YES		HDF1/NK family	NO	YES
PRRX1	NO	YES	NEG.****	HIGH	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	NO
PRRX2	-690 -689	YES	NEG.****	HIGH	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	NO
PROP1	-692	YES	NA	NA	NO	NO		HDF2/Paired-related	NO	NO
HOXD8	-4195 -4116 -2914	YES	NEG.*	MEDIUM	NO	NO		HDF1/HOX family	NO	NO
HOXC4	NA	YES	NEG.***	MEDIUM	NO	NO		HDF1/HOX family	NO	NO
HOXC8	NA	YES	NEG.***	MEDIUM	NO	NO		HDF1/HOX family	NO	NO
POU6F1	-4716 -4715 -2913 -2912	YES	NEG.**	MEDIUM	NO	NO		HDF1/POU domain	NO	NO
PHOX2A	-692	YES	NA	NO	NO	NO		HDF2/HOX family	NO	NO
LBX2	-690 -689	YES	NEG.*	LOW	NO	NO		HDF1/NK family	NO	NO
PAX4	-690 -689	YES	NA	NA	NO	NO		HDF1/ Paired Plus Homeo Domain	NO	YES
VSX2	-690 -689	YES	NA	NA	NO	NO		HDF1/Paired-related	NO	YES

Table 2. Tables 2A and 2B summarize our data on TFs with an RE in the human *UCP1* promoter identified by EPD (p<1e-4) and filtered according to specific criteria. These include the position of the RE relative to the TSS, their gene expression pattern relative to *UCP1*, their gene expression levels measured in neck-derived preadipocytes and *in vitro* differentiated adipocytes, their ChipSeq data from the ChiPBase and TFlink databases, their classification into TF class and family based on JASPAR databases, their PWM, their involvement in the adipocyte phenotype formation pathway according to the AdipoNET interface, EPD TF RE search results at p<1e-5 significance level in the human *UCP1* promoter. Bold highlights TF or value outstanding in the related category.

Table 2A highlights the 13 putative regulatory TFs that possess REs only in the human *UCP1* promoter and are

absent from the other *UCP* genes examined. **Table 2B** summarizes our data for 17 TFs belonging to the

homeodomain Family 1 and 2 TF classes whose RE matches the human-specific binding sequence identified in

the *UCP1* promoter ("TCTAATTAGA") the expression of which is correlated with *UCP1* or for which we do not

have gene expression data and therefore cannot exclude them. GE: Gene Expression, Corr.: Correlation.

2.3 Narrowing transcription factors that bind to the unique palindromic nucleotide

sequence of the human UCP1 regulatory region

Next, we wanted to predict the TFs that could bind to the unique nucleotide sequence "5'-

TCTAATTAGA-3'" located at -690 bp in the human *UCP1* regulatory region. In addition to the

9 human-specific TFs from the homeodomain family, the Eukaryotic Promoter Database also

revealed several TFs from the homeodomain family (p < 1e-4) that potentially recognise this

RE but lack specificity for human *UCP1* (Suppl Table 2). Therefore we performed a

comprehensive analysis to determine which of the proposed TFs are likely to play a functional

role and to assess their importance in the adipocyte browning process (Table 2B). First, we

verified the presence of the predicted TF REs of homeodomain family 1 and 2 (HDF1-2) using

the ConTra v3 platform (stringency: core=0.95; similarity matrix=0.85;

http://bioit2.irc.ugent.be/contra/v3/#/step/1) (Suppl Fig. 3).

In addition to confirming the results of the Eukaryotic Promoter Database, the ConTra v3

interface can be used to gain insight into the evolutionary conservation of REs based on

aligned sequences from many species. Contra v3 analysis verified the set of TFs potentially

associated with the *UCP1* promoter at -690 bp predicted by the Eucaryota Promoter Database,

as the HDF TFs were also identified by ConTra v3 (Suppl. Fig 3.). Therefore, we extended our

investigation to all TFs of the HDF1 class based on JASPAR, as they could potentially bind to

the *UCP1* promoter at this position. To narrow down the group of potential homeodomain

family TFs, we examined their relative gene expression levels in our neck-derived adipocyte

samples and focused on those that showed a significant positive or negative correlation with

UCP1 gene expression (Table 2B; Suppl Fig. 4, Suppl Table 3). For some TFs, we did not have

gene expression data, so we could not exclude them from the group of TFs that might bind to

this specific RE. We collected additional data on this narrowed group of TFs, including ChipSeq

data based on ChiPBase and TFlink databases, search results from the Eukaryotic Promoter

Database using more stringent p < 1e-5 criteria, and position weighting matrices (PWMs) from

the JASPAR database to see the sequences of the REs (Table 2A, 2B). Moreover, we used the

AdipoNET platform (Tóth et al. 2021) to categorise these TFs based on their potential

involvement in the formation of brown, white or both adipocyte phenotypes as "linker"

pathway genes. This categorization provided insights into the possible roles of these proteins

in specific adipocyte phenotypes. In addition, we performed an automated search of the

PubMed database to identify the co-occurrence of these TFs and *UCP1* in article abstracts to

determine what knowledge we have about these TFs concerning *UCP1* (Suppl Table 4, Suppl

Fig. 5.). The data collected indicate that Engrailed Homeobox 1 (EN1), Paired Related

Homeobox 2 (PRRX2), Homeobox A5 (HOXA5), Paired Box 4 (PAX4) and Visual System

Homeobox 2 (VSX2) are the TFs most likely to bind to the given RE sequence. While EN1 and

PRRX2 showed high expression in adipocytes and a strong negative correlation with *UCP1*,

HOXA5 showed a positive correlation, but a low expression. Although the expression profiles

of PAX4 and VSX2 were not investigated in our previous transcriptomic analyses (Tóth et al.

(2020), a more stringent search in the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (p > 1e - 5) also identified

REs for these TFs at -690 bp, supporting their potential for functional binding at this position.

In addition, the ISMARA platform (Balwierz et al. 2014) shows high activity of the PAX4 motif

in adipose tissue (Suppl Fig. 6). Of note, the AdipoNET database and publication data mining

so far have not previously linked these homeodomain family TFs with pathways belongs to

adipocyte phenotype formation or *UCP1* expression, so we present here a new area for further

investigation of the role of these TFs in browning processes.

2.4 Investigation of the vicinity of the human UCP1-specific homeodomain family REs

identifies potential regulatory hubs

TFs of the homeodomain family are known to be involved in embryonic developmental

processes, suggesting a plausible role in the regulation of tissue-specific *UCP1* expression

during adipocyte maturation (Gehring 1994; Banerjee-Basu and Baxevanis 2001). Their

recognised function involves the modulation of gene expression through the influence of

epigenetic markers that affect the accessibility of chromatin and thereby control the binding

of TFs to nearby REs. In addition, homo- or heterodimers are often formed as cooperating

complexes to improve their DNA binding specificity (Slattery et al. 2011; Cain et al. 2023).

Therefore, our further investigations focused on the regulatory elements of the TFs near the

identified human-specific genomic positions (in a range of +40 bp and -40 bp) (Table 2A) to

determine which TFs can be allowed to operate by these homeodomain family TFs.

First, we investigated TF REs near position -690 of the human *UCP1* promoter. Using a

stringent search ($p < 1e-4$), the Eukaryotic Promoter Database identified the presence of REs

for B-cell lymphoma 6 (BCL6) at -702, regulatory factor X5 (RFX5) at -651/650, and REs for

basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH2) class TFs around -679 bp (Fig. 2I). Remarkably, BCL6 and RFX5

showed high expression and a strong positive correlation with *UCP1* in our cervical adipocyte

samples (Suppl Fig. 7; Suppl Table 3). Of the bHLH2 TFs with putative RE at -679 bp, ID4, SNAI2

and TCF3/4/12 also showed high gene expression levels but a negative correlation with *UCP1*.

Furthermore, the primary interaction network of PAX4 described using the ISMARA platform

(Balwierz et al. 2014) also includes TFs identified near its RE at -690 bp, such as BCL6, TCF4,

ID4, SNAI2 and RFX5, indicating the potential formation of a regulatory complex

(enhanceosome) at this position of the human *UCP1* promoter (Suppl Fig. 8).

Next, we investigated the proximity of other identified human *UCP1*-specific putative REs of

the homeodomain family, the REs of HOXA5, HOXA2 and DLX2, located at -4785, -3959 and -

2478 bp, respectively (Table 2A). These REs were present in the primate genomes but absent

in the mouse and rat *UCP1* promoters (Table 2A, Suppl. Fig. 3 Contra v3). The HOXA5 and DLX2

REs were also identified by the Eukaryotic Promoter Database with a more conservative

probability of p<1e-5. All three TFs are expressed at low levels in adipocytes of cervical origin

and only *HOXA5* gene expression showed a positive correlation with *UCP1*. Remarkably, we

identified the presence of the HIC1 TF RE near the HOXA5 RE (Suppl Fig 9A), which showed

high expression levels in pre-adipocyte samples but a significant reduction in mature

adipocytes and a negative correlation with *UCP1* expression (Suppl Table 3). A context-

dependent regulatory aspect is suggested by the gene expression profile of TEAD RE, which

was detected at -2496 bp near the DLX2 RE (Suppl Figure 9B). TEAD1, TEAD3 and TEAD4, which

are highly expressed in neck-derived adipocytes, showed a negative correlation with *UCP1*

gene expression, while TEAD2 showed a positive correlation. At the same time, NFKB2, which

has a putative RE at -3976 bp, and ZNF410 and ZNF283 with RE at -3947 bp and -3948 bp,

respectively, possibly form a regulatory complex with HOXA2 (Suppl Fig 9C). While NFKB2

showed a negative correlation trend with *UCP1* gene expression, ZNF410 and ZNF283 showed

no obvious correlation (Suppl Table 3). Importantly, these TF REs detected near the

homeodomain family TF REs were also identified at a more stringent search threshold (p < 1e-

5), emphasising the likelihood of their involvement in regulatory complexes.

Additionally, our investigation extended to the vicinity of the unique NFIL3 RE identified at -

881 bp within the human *UCP1* promoter (Table 2A). Here, the presence of the REL RE was

detected at -902 bp (Suppl. Figure 9D, 9E) and also confirmed the conservation of these RE in

the *UCP1* promoter in primates, whereas their absence in the mouse and rat genomes (Suppl.

Figure 9F).

2.5 Common RE of the orthologous UCP1 promoters occur at different genomic locations

in humans, mice and rats and show no sequence conservation at a particular genomic

position

Examination and comparison of the regulatory components in the human, mouse and rat

UCP1 promoters revealed a number of TF REs present in the human *UCP1* promoter, including

ARID3B, ATF7, CREB3, MAF::NFE2, NFE2L2, POU6F1, RXRA::VDR, SOX21, TEAD4 and XBP1,

which are absent in the human *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters, but also have REs in the mouse

and rat *UCP1* promoters. This group consists mainly of TFs known to be involved in *UCP1* gene

expression, including well-characterised TFs such as CREB/ATF (Cao et al 2001; Liu et al 2019),

RXR (Alvarez et al 1995), NFE2 and NFE2L2 (Rim and Kozak 2002). A closer look at their gene

expression profiles (Fig. 2J) and the prediction of signalling pathways with AdipoNET suggests

that their main function is to promote the white adipocyte phenotype, as shown by the strong

negative correlation of POU6F1, TEAD4, XBP1 and NFE2L2 gene expression with *UCP1* (Table

3A). Only RXRA gene expression shows a positive correlation with *UCP1* (Suppl Table 3),

suggesting a potential enhancer role in browning processes. Consistent with this, AdipoNET

predictions suggest that RXRA, CREB3 and XBP1 may contribute to the development of both

white and brown adipocyte phenotypes. Interestingly, only POU6F1 from the family of

homeodomain TFs was exclusively associated with *UCP1* (it is absent in the promoter of *UCP2*

and *UCP3* in mice and rats), indicating its possible role in the specific regulation of the *UCP1*

gene and thermogenesis in these species. This observation suggests the presence of

evolutionarily conserved TF REs in the *UCP1* promoter in different species. However, a

comparative analysis of the regulatory sequences of UCP1 in different species

(https://genome.ucsc.edu/) revealed that most of these REs were detectable in the consensus

sequences of primates, but not in mice and rats. This may indicate that these REs are not

conserved in the consensus sequence of these species, as they occur at different positions in

the UCP1 promoter of mice and rats (Suppl. Figure 10A, 10B; for the positions of the TF REs in

the different species, see Suppl. Table 2).

Table 3.

3A Human, mouse and rat *UCP1* specific TFs

TF	RE position in human UCP1				GE Corr. TF vs.UCP1	RNA Expression in Adipocytes	ChipSeq data	PubMED abstract with UCP1	PWM in JASPAR	TF Class/Family	AdipoNET	EPD p<1e-5
POU6F1	-4716	-4715	-2913	-2912	NEG. **	MEDIUM	NO	NO		HDF1/POU domain factors	NO	NO
RXRA::VDR	-769				POS.****/ NEG.****	HIGH/HIGH	ChiPBase/ TFlink	YES		NR with C4 zinc fingers, (NR2):: (NR1)	B and W LINKER	NO
SOX21	-2243				NO	LOW	NO	NO		HMG domain/Sox-related	NO	YES
TEAD4	-2496	419	878		NEG. ****	MEDIUM	ChiPBase/ TFlink	NO		TEA domain factors, TEF-1-related factors	NO	YES
XBP1	-3762				NEG. ****	HIGH	TFlink	YES		bZIP/ XBP-related	B and W LINKER	NO
ARID3B	-4116	-3113			NO	LOW	NO	NO		ARID/ARID related	NO	NO
ATF7	-880	-879			NO	MEDIUM	NO	NO		bZIP/JUN-related	NO	NO
CREB3	-3761				NO	MEDIUM	NO	NO		bZIP/CREB-releted	B and W LINKER	NO
Maf::NFE2	-4388	-3441			NO/NO	HIGH/LOW	ChiPBase	YES		bZIP/Maf-related::Jun-related	WHITE	NO
NFE2L2	-4392	-3760	-3437		NEG. ****	HIGH	NO	YES		bZIP/JUN-related	WHITE	YES

494 3B. TFs with RE in the human *UCP1* promoter based on EPD (p<1e-4) and Chip-seq data (ChipBase

495 and Tflink databases).

TF	RE position in human UCP1	GE. Corr. TF vs.UCP1	RNA Expression in Adipocytes	ChipSeq data	PubMED abstract with UCP1	PWM in JASPAR	TF Class/Family	AdipoNET	EPD p<1e-5
GATA2	-2789 .	Neg. **	Low	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		Other C4 ZF/C4 GATA-related	NO	NO
HIF1A	-264 -261 -214	Neg. ****	High	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		BHLH class/ PAS domain	WHITE	YES
JUN	-2832 -2586 -878 -585	Neg *.	High	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		bZIP/JUN-related	B and W LINKER	YES
KLF4	-4653	Neg. ***	High	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		C2H2 ZF/3 ZF Kruppel-related	WHITE	NO
MXI1	-263 -262	Neg. ****	Medium	ChiPBase/ TFlink	No		BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP	NO	NO
MYC	-263 -262	Neg. ***	Medium	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP	WHITE	NO
MYCN	-2593 -2592 -263 -262	NA	No	ChiPBase/ TFlink	No		BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP	WHITE	NO
NFKB1	-3976 -2405	Neg. ****	Medium	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		RHR/NFKB-related	B and W LINKER	NO
RBPJ	-3973 -3944 -3610 -1505 -780 519	Neg. ****	High	ChiPBase/ TFlink	Yes		RHR/CSL-related	WHITE	YES
TEAD4	-2496 419 878	Neg. ****	Medium	ChiPBase/ TFlink	No		TEA domain factors/ TEF-1-related factors	NO	YES
USF1	-2593	Pos. ****	Medium	ChiPBase/ TFlink	No		BHLH class; BHLH-ZIP	NO	NO

Table 3. Tables 3A and 3B summarize our data on TFs with RE in the human *UCP1* promoter identified using EPD (p<1e-4) and filtered according to specific criteria. These include the position of their RE relative to the TSS, their gene expression pattern relative to *UCP1*, their gene expression levels measured in neck-derived preadipocytes and in vitro differentiated adipocytes, and their ChipSeq. data from the ChiPBase and TFlink databases, their classification into TF class and family based on JASPAR databases, their PWM, their involvement in the adipocyte phenotype formation pathway according to the AdipoNET interface, EPD TF RE search results at p<1e-5 significance level in the human *UCP1* promoter. Bold highlights TF or value outstanding in the related category. **3A** The table summarizes the 12 TFs with RE found to be common in human, mouse and rat *UCP1*. **3B.** The table summarizes the data of TFs with RE (EPD p<1e-4) in the human *UCP1* promoter that also has ChipSeq data from both ChiPBase and TFlink databases. GE: Gene Expression, Corr.: Correlation.

2.6 Common human-specific bHLH transcription factor response elements in the proximal promoter of UCP1-2-3 genes

Finally, our comparative analysis revealed four regulatory TF elements (HES1, MAX, MNT, TCFL5) that occur exclusively in human *UCP* genes (Suppl Table 1). These TFs have binding sequences within the regulatory domains of the human *UCP1, UCP2* and *UCP3* genes, but are not present in the promoters of the analysed mouse and rat *UCP* genes (Suppl Fig. 11A).

Interestingly, all of these TFs have RE in the proximal promoter region of human *UCP1* at -263

bp. This RE is recognised by the TFs of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family, and the

Eukaryotic Promoter Database assigns additional TFs to this nucleotide sequence beyond the

four mentioned above (Suppl Table 2). Therefore, further studies are needed to determine

which context-dependent regulatory mechanisms might enable the TFs to actually be users of

this RE.

2.7 *Predicted and experimentally validated TF binding sites in the human UCP1 promoter*

show little overlap

In our study, we performed a detailed comparative analysis of putative TF REs identified using

the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (https://epd.epfl.ch) in the regulatory region of the human

UCP1 gene extending from -5000 to +1000 bases from the TSS, with a significance level of p <

1e-4. We than compared these results with experimentally validated binding proteins/TFs for

the same DNA sequence using the ChiPBase (https://rnasysu.com) and TFlink

(https://tflink.net/) databases. While we identified 364 putative TF REs using the Eukaryotic

Promoter Database, ChiPBase recognised 170 and TFlink 131 experimentally validated TFs

(Fig. 3A). Significantly, only a small proportion of the experimentally identified binding TFs had

a matching RE recognised by the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (ChiPBase: 23 TFs, 14%; TFlink:

41 TFs, 31%). Conversely, we found that only 53 TFs (15%) of those with REs according to the

Eukaryotic Promoter Database were associated with laboratory validation based on these two

databases. Note that the two Chip-Seq databases also have only a small overlap. Notably, only

11 TFs were identified in all three databases (GATA2, HIF1A, JUN, KLF4, MXI1, MYC, MYCN,

NFKB1, RBPJ, TEAD4, and USF1), highlighting their potential role in regulating human *UCP1*

gene expression. The gene expression patterns of these TFs in neck-derived adipocyte samples

(Tóth et al. 2020) further support this, with most showing a strong correlation with *UCP1*,

mainly negative, while Upstream Transcription Factor 1 (USF1) showed a positive correlation

(Fig. 3B). USF1, whose REs were identified in all *UCP*s of the three species studied, appears to

act as a general regulator of gene expression of *UCP*s and not as a specific regulator of *UCP1*

and browning processes (Suppl Table 2) (Laurila et al. 2016). While the predictions of

AdipoNET also suggest that most of these TFs are involved in the development of the white

adipocyte phenotype, JUN and NFKB1 may play a role in both white and brown adipocyte

phenotypes (Table 3B). Response elements of HIF1A, JUN, RBPJ and TEAD4 were identified at

a more stringent significance level (p < 1e-5), supporting their possible involvement in the

regulation of *UCP1* gene expression. Interestingly, four of these 11 TFs (HIF1A, MYC, MYCN

and MXI1) share a common binding site around -263 bp in the proximal promoter region,

which was previously identified in our study as a potential site for fine-tuned, state-dependent

regulation of human *UCP* gene expression (Suppl Fig 11A). This RE is not evolutionarily

conserved in the mouse and rat *UCP* genes, highlighting the unique control aspects of *UCP1* in

humans and the potential for the formation of a regulatory module with TFs SP2 and EGR1,

which have REs nearby (Suppl Table 2), based on data revealed by ConTra v3 (Suppl Figs 11B,

11C, 11E).

Figure 3.

Figure 3. 3A The Venn diagrams show the number of TFs with putative RE in the human *UCP1* promoter (-5000

– +1000 BP) based on EPD, ChiPBase and TFlink databases. TFs commonly found in the databases are named in

the side panels (Venn program in R environment). **3B** The heatmap shows the gene expression profiles of 11 TFs

in human adipocytes of cervical origin that have been predicted or experimentally shown to have RE in the human

UCP1 promoter based on EPD, ChiPBase and TFlink interfaces. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical

cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles

to *UCP1* (Morpheus interface).

DISCUSSION

1. Evolutionary dynamics of *UCP1* genes and their promoters differ in humans and
rodents

1.1 *Research trends in the phylogenetic analysis of genes.*

In silico studies based on DNA sequences developed into a fundamental approach for

understanding the evolutionary dynamics of gene-specific functions. In general, phylogenetic

analyses have primarily focused on coding sequences, and there are now more than a hundred

species in comparative analyses of orthologous genes for *UCP1*, providing insights into

complex phylogenetic relationships (Cassard et al. 1990; Klingenspor et al. 2008; McGaugh

and Schwartz 2017, Hughes et al. 2009; Jastroch et al. 2005, 2008, 2018; Berg et al. 2006; Hou

et al. 2017; Gaudry et al. 2017). In the meantime, evolutionary studies on transcriptional

regulation have remained strikingly limited. In particular, Gaudry and Campbell (2017)

performed a comparative analysis of the transcriptional regulatory elements of *UCP1* in

mammals, although they focused only on the REs already described, particularly in the core

promoter and distal enhancer. Their work has shed light on evolutionarily conserved key sites

such as the TATA box, RARE-3 and PPRE motifs which are expected to be functionally relevant

in the phylogeny of eutherians (Gaurdy and Campbell, 2017; McGaugh and Schwartz, 2017).

Our studies demonstrate the ancient origin of these regulatory elements, indicating a

remarkable level of conservation. According to searches in the Eukaryotic Promoter Database,

these elements are widespread in the promoter regions of the *UCP* genes in all three species

studied. This abundance may indicates a fundamental role in the regulation of gene expression

within this gene family. However, due to their widespread distribution in the *UCP* family, these

elements may be less suitable as specific targets for precise modification of the transcription

of the *UCP1* gene, which is the ultimate goal of metabolic disorder control. Nonetheless, the

results of Gaudry and Campbell (2017) suggest that transcriptional control of gene expression

is only conserved to a limited extent within the mammalian clade. This observation suggests

the possible existence of novel regulatory and functional elements within the human *UCP1*

promoters that may adapt and coordinate to species-specific conditions and enable the

development of more targeted interventions.

1.2 Differences between the regulatory region of UCP1 in humans and rodents

Currently, several interfaces are developed for the prediction of DNA binding of TFs with

known PWM based on the promoter nucleotide sequence, such as the Eukaryotic Promoter

Database we used, TRANSFAC2.0 (https://genexplain.com/transfac-2-0/), GTRD (Yevshin et al.

2017) or ConTra v3. Using these interfaces, the set of potential regulatory sequences identified

in the 9 UCP promoters and their putative associated TFs supports the possibility of distinct

regulation of gene expression in these species, as similarity analysis of RE profiles reveals

differential clustering between human and rodent UCPs. In particular, the regulatory profile

of *UCP1* in humans shows the closest proximity to *UCP2* in humans, whereas *UCP1* in mice

shows a closer relationship to *UCP1* in rats. Our comparative analysis of upstream regulatory

segments in human, mice and rats *UCP1* genes reveals the intricate interplay between

sequence conservation and divergence that characterises the evolutionary trajectory of these

genes. While a substantial proportion of the identified cis-acting RE in these segments of

rodent and human *UCP1* genes are common (174 of 364; Suppl Figure 2B), broadly consistent

with previous findings (Collins et al., 2004), our analysis of sequence similarity reveals major

differences (Figure 1B), suggesting the possibility of the emergence of distinct regulatory

modules (Saito et al. 2008). Remarkably, a significant portion of the distal enhancer region of

the human *UCP1* promoter is missing in the mouse and rat genomes. This may be due to

secondary losses in the ancestors of mice and rats in the DNA segment that arose after the

opossum lineage split. Remarkably, these DNA sequence losses did not lead to extinction of

the rodent ancestors, suggesting that their existence was not essential for life or that possible

compensatory mechanisms allow sufficient TF binding to other parts of the regulatory region.

Despite this sequence deletion, human-specific *UCP1*-REs were identified in the DNA regions

whose consensus sequences are found in rodents, which could potentially form the basis for

human-specific UCP1 regulation. Recent studies also suggest interspecies variability in the

regulation of *UCP1* expression. They show that the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of *UCP1*

mRNA is processed differently in mice and humans, which quantitatively affects *UCP1*

synthesis and thermogenic activity (Lu et al., 2021). These differences urge caution when

interpreting research results obtained in rodent models to humans.

1.3 *Repeat elements in the human UCP1 promoter*

We identified numerous repeat elements in the human *UCP1* promoter region, including those

embedded in evolutionarily novel sequences that are absent in the corresponding rodent

regulatory regions (Fig. 1B). BLAST searches revealed the presence of these repeat sequences

at several locations in the human genome (Fig. 1H). However, the mechanisms underlying

their integration into a genome remain unclear, in particular whether these mobile elements

are randomly integrated or controlled by specific sequences. We observed the presence of

short palindromic sequences at the ends of the novel DNA segments, some of which are

annotated as TF REs in the Eukaryotic Promoter Database. Palindromic sequences in DNA are

traditionally recognised as specific binding sites for nucleotides or proteins, leading to

functional consequences (Pingoud and Jeltsch 2001; Linheiro and Bergman 2008). This may

suggest that these binding motifs could be ancient genetic elements used for integration into

the genome and repurposed by eukaryotic cells as regulatory modules to control gene

expression (Thompson et al. 2016). For example, the multiple integration of the MLT1G repeat

sequence into the human genome may organise the expression of nearby genes into a

regulatory circuit, leading to the simultaneous expression of the genes involved. This could

facilitate the development of complex processes such as adipocyte thermogenesis.

An interesting observation related to the 5'-TCTAATTAGA-'3 palindromic homeodomain RE

sequence identified at -690 bp in the human *UCP1* promoter may also support the repurposing

hypothesis. The same nucleotide sequence was previously recognised by Li and co-workers

(2005) as a characteristic DNA fragment located at one endpoint of the 1165 bp long sequence

that distinguishes two genotypic variants of Mamestra configurata nucleopolyhedrovirus-A

(MacoNPV-A). These variants include the lower virulence variant 90/4 and the archetypal

variant 90/2. They concluded that the recognised palindrome sequence raises the possibility

that the difference between these viral variants is due to the insertion or deletion of a

transposable element. RepeatMasker does not recognise this particular sequence region as a

repetitive element in humans, but mutational changes since the ancient insertion may have

masked the repetitive element.

Other studies also suggest that transposable elements and short tandem repeats may

influence the regulation of gene expression in the genome (Horton et al., 2023; Chuong et al.,

2016; Kunarso et al., 2010). For example, Scarpato and colleagues (2015) have suggested that

some repetitive elements, such as SINEs, are highly prone to hybridisation with microRNAs,

thereby modifying the transcriptional activity of nearby genes. Overall, our results suggest

that species-specific differences in transposable and repetitive elements in the *UCP1*

promoters may underlie gene-specific regulatory mechanisms.

2. Comparative analyses of putative RE in *UCP1, UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters in humans,
mice and rats underline the possible regulatory role of TFs of the homeodomain
family.

2.1 The human UCP1-specific 5'-TCTAATTAGA-3' binding sequence

One of the main goals of our study was to identify unique REs within the human *UCP1*

promoter which may have remained hidden in "exploratory" studies commonly performed in

rodents. Results indicate that most of the known putative regulatory factors of human *UCP1*

are general regulators of the studied *UCP*s, which classifies them as less specific targets.

Uncovering the specific regulatory elements allows for targeting metabolic processes by safely

promoting fat cell browning. Our study focused on the identified unique TF REs of the

homeodomain family of human *UCP1* and their vicinity, in particular a palindromic nucleotide

sequence, 5'-TCTAATTAGA-3', located at -690/689 bp in the human *UCP1* promoter (Fig. 2I,

Fig. 4). It confers the ability of homeodomain family TFs to bind to both DNA strands, allowing

dimerisation and stronger tethering (Jolma et al. 2013; Cain et al. 2023; Dror et al. 2014). It is

also possible that multiple TFs of the homeodomain family alternate the use of this binding

site depending on the circumstances, and these TFs could differ in whether they promote or

block gene expression (Foulkes et al. 1991). The homeodomain family is one of the largest

groups of TFs in metazoans and consists of almost 200 family members in humans (Lambert

et al. 2018). Their recognised functions include the control of developmental and homeostatic

processes through the modulation of DNA availability (Waldén et al. 2012; Hilton et al. 2015;

Cantile et al. 2003), but their potential for species-specific regulation of adipocyte phenotype remodelling has been underestimated. These TFs can bind very similar AT-rich monomer sequences, with small differences in nucleotides being sufficient for a specific interaction and modulating the openness of DNA to allow or deny TFs access to nearby REs (Park et al. 2009). In our analysis, regulatory elements such as the bHLH type 2 TFs, BCL6 and RFX5, identified near this palindromic sequence unique to human *UCP1*, may further increase the likelihood of binding by forming a complex/enhanceosome with homeodomain family TFs via a process termed "epistatic capture" (Emera and Wagner 2012). The combined effect of these factors can lead to a regulatory output that is not simply additive but is influenced by the specific combination of transcription factor binding. Furthermore, in a previous study analysing the signalling pathways enriched by genes with differential expression in subcutaneous and deep neck samples, both in preadipocytes and differentiated mature adipocytes, the homeodomain family TF pathway was found to significantly dominate in subcutaneous adipocytes, indicating their possible active involvement in the regulation of browning processes (Tóth et al. 2020).

2.2 Prediction of transcription factors targeting the human-specific sequence '5'-TCTAATTAGA-3'"

Among the TFs of the homeodomain family, we propose several potential binding candidates for the human UCP1-specific RE at -690 bp. EN1, which is abundant in human neck adipocytes and whose gene expression negatively correlates with *UCP1*, has previously been shown to be a positive regulator of brown adipogenesis in mice (Zhang et al. 2016; Singh et al. 2015; Atit et al. 2006), but was downregulated after animals were exposed to cold. These studies show that EN1 is a marker for the brown adipocyte lineage, suggesting a role in brown adipocyte development, but not necessarily a direct regulator of *UCP1* expression during thermogenic

activation in rodents. Our previous human study has shown that it is upregulated in the

obesity phenotype in adipocytes carrying the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) FTO

rs1421085 T-to-C (Tóth et al. 2020, unpublished data), suggesting a diverse, species-specific

role in the regulation of *UCP1* expression. In contrast, another candidate, HOXA5 has been

reported to be increasingly expressed in the thermogenic fat cells of rodents and to play a role

in promoting adipose tissue browning through anti-inflammatory processes (Cao et al. 2018;

Kato et al. 2021; Singh et al. 2016). Consistent with this study, HOXA5, showed a gene

expression profile which may suggest its beneficial effect on browning in cervical-derived

human adipocytes (Fig. 2H, Suppl Table 3)., At the same time, other human study showed

increased expression in white adipocytes derived from induced pluripotent cells (Mohsen-

Kanson et al. 2014). This discrepancy also suggests that HOXA5 may play a context-dependent

role in the expression of the adipocyte phenotype in different species and fat depots.

The known role of PAX4 in pancreatic beta cell development and function is associated with

the maintenance of glucose homeostasis (Brun et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2017), and abnormalities

in its expression have been linked to metabolic diseases such as diabetes (Lau et al. 2023).

However, its role in adipocyte function is less understood. PAX4 is expressed in mesenchymal

progenitor cells of adipocytes (Xu et al. 2017), and our study suggests that it may be involved

in the regulation of adipogenesis, including the balance between white and brown adipocyte

formation (Suppl Fig. 6, Fig. 8). Role of the other candidate TFs of the homeodomain family,

PRRX2 and VSX1/2, in the context of the thermogenic response has not yet been

characterised. Nonetheless, single nuclear RNA sequencing studies have identified PRRX2 with

differential expression activity in brown versus white adipogenesis in human cells (Gupta et

al. 2023), indicating a possible role in thermogenic adipocyte formation.

The TF REs identified in the human *UCP1* promoter are often evolutionarily conserved in

primates, but not in mice and rats. Even the identified common TF REs in orthologous *UCP1*

promoters, such as POU6F1 TF from the homeodomain family, are located in the promoter

segment in humans that is absent in mice and rats and are not conserved in the genomic

consensus sequences. This indicates a kind of "mobility" of the regulatory elements and

possible convergent evolutionary processes. Nonetheless, according to our data mining

efforts, POU6F1 has not been previously associated with adipocyte function. Recent research

has identified its role in lung biology and cancer-related processes, revealing its interaction

with RORA in the lung leading to downregulation of *HIF1A* gene expression and potential

inhibition of adenocarcinoma cell proliferation (Xiao et al., 2022). However, as shown in

previous studies (Takahashi et al., 2007), certain POU factors serve as effective mediators of

cell reprogramming. Given its strong expression in mature adipocytes and a remarkable

negative correlation with *UCP1* gene expression (Table 3A, Suppl Table 3), it is plausible that

POU6F1 may play a role in adipocyte phenotype switching and that this function is conserved

in mammalian evolution.

2.3 Limitations in the identification of functional regulatory elements in the human UCP1
promoter

Our comparative analysis revealed little overlap between the TF REs predicted in our study

based on DNA sequence analyses and those identified experimentally with ChipSeq in the

human *UCP1* promoter, highlighting the challenges in identifying functional regulatory

elements. TFs, like other proteins, have the capacity for non-specific DNA binding, which is

essential for efficient target site localisation by facilitating linear diffusion (Pingoud and Jeltsch

2001). This non-specific binding usually involves interactions with the DNA backbone and not

with the bases. In contrast, specific binding is characterised by a close interplay between direct

(interaction with the bases) and indirect (interaction with the backbone) selection. The

frequent occurrence of non-functional TF binding can lead to considerable ambiguity about

functional DNA-TF binding in ChipSeq laboratory experiments. In addition, the infinite

combinations of biological tissues, developmental stages and specific conditions significantly

affect *ad hoc* TF binding to specific DNA segments, making generalisation of results difficult.

While PWMs are useful for predicting functional TF binding within promoter sequences, they

also have numerous limitations. One of these is the random occurrence of short binding

sequences in the genome, which emphasises the need for rigorous statistical analysis to

distinguish between biologically meaningful TF binding events and random matches. In

addition, the use of species- and gene-specific screening approaches can further increase the

reliability of predictions. The used Eukaryotic Promoter Database employs robust statistical

tests to predict PWM in a DNA sequence and thus provides the best possible prediction. Other

limitations of the *in silico* approach are our incomplete knowledge of the binding sequences

of TFs and the inability of PWMs to fully capture the sequence flexibility of TF binding sites.

TFs can tolerate variations in DNA sequence at their binding sites, leading to a degree of

"sloppiness" or degeneracy. This sequence flexibility allows TFs to recognise and bind a range

of related sequences which may differ from the consensus motif defined by the PWM.

Therefore, PWMs may miss functional TF binding sites that differ from the consensus

sequence or erroneously predict non-functional sites that are very similar to it. In addition,

the PWMs do not take into account the context-dependent nature of TF binding, where

neighbouring DNA sequences or chromatin structures may influence binding specificity. As a

result, both predictions may miss biologically relevant binding events or lead to false positives.

This highlights the importance of integrating experimental and complementary computational

approaches to improve the accuracy of TF binding site identification.

3. Hotspots in human UCP1 promoter

The prediction of human *UCP1*-specific TFs identified using the Eukaryotic Promoter Database indicates specific DNA regions with higher TF-RE density within the human *UCP1* promoter (Fig. 4). Such an accumulation of regulatory binding sites may lead to the formation of enhanceosomes, in which molecular elements such as TFs and cofactors form complex multilevel regulatory networks that finely orchestrate gene expression. In particular, in the enhancer region of the human *UCP1* promoter, novel regulatory cluster-forming nucleotide sequences are observed at various homeodomain family binding sites, often located at the beginning or end of DNA regions identified as repetitive elements. In addition, several REs appear in the proximal promoter near the RE of the bHLH TF family identified at -262/-263 bp, suggesting the possibility of regulatory complex formation (see Suppl. Figs 11B, 11C, 11D). The proximity of EGR1 and SP2 binding sites provides a scenario for dynamic regulation that could occur through interaction with HIF1A, modulating *UCP1* expression according to cellular conditions. This proposed mechanism shares similarities with mechanisms described in non-small cell lung cancer, where interactions between HIF1A and EGR1 as well as HIF1A and SP1 play a central role in regulating *EPOR* gene expression in response to changing hypoxic conditions (Su et al. 2019). Given the known role of hypoxia and HIF1A in adipose tissue function, the possible involvement of HIF1A in *UCP1* transcription through promoter binding and interaction with other TFs could be an interesting area of research (Tóth et al. 2021; Basse et al. 2017). In addition, EGR1 has already been shown to be involved in metabolic diseases and can influence the insulin sensitivity of fat cells and decide on PI3K/Akt and MAPK signalling pathways (Zhang et al. 2013). It is conceivable that slight variations in the concentrations of different components within the *UCP1* enhanceosomes may interact synergistically and lead to significant differences in *UCP1* expression, as has previously been hypothesised in

comparative studies in mice (Xue et al. 2005). Among the potentially related cofactors, the

p300/CBP-associated factor with well-described histone acetyltransferase activity stands out

as a frequently described partner of TFs with a putative RE in the human *UCP1* promoter,

indicating an essential role for them in shaping the fat cell phenotype (Fig. 4). Nevertheless,

PGC1A, another described coactivator of TFs that regulate *UCP1*, such as PPARG, appears to

interact with TFs that lack *UCP* gene or species specificity (Figure 4). Determining the key

components of the regulatory complexes, describing their role and identifying the

components that can be replaced or omitted in the initiation of human UCP1 transcription

under certain conditions is a promising future research direction.

Figure 4.

Figure 4. This figure provides a comprehensive overview of the transcription factor response elements identified
and filtered by our study within the human *UCP1* promoter. These REs exhibit gene or species specificity.
Additionally, several previously reported TF REs, which did not display such specificity, are included for reference.

The figure highlights specific promoter segments in the human *UCP1* promoter that are absent in the mouse and

rat *UCP1* promoters (indicated by the red line). The yellow line represents repeat elements identified by

RepeatMasker. Numerical annotations within the promoter denote base pair positions relative to the

transcription start site (TSS). For clarity, a list of TF abbreviations is provided in the "List of abbreviations" section

at the end of the article. H: human, M: mouse, R: rat. HDF: homeodomain family, bHLH: basic helix-loop-helix

family (PowerPoint).

METHODOLOGY

1. Reconstruction of the Phylogenetic Trees

To generate a combined human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 amino acid, coding

sequence and promoter sequence phylogenetic tree, the amino acid and the mRNA data set

was downloaded from the National Library of Medicine (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov),

whereas the sequences of gene promoters were downloaded from the EPD database (link:

https://epd.epfl.ch/). Accession numbers of the promoters' FASTA sequences: human *UCP1*

FP007435, *UCP2_1* FP016503, *UCP2_2* FP016504, *UCP3_1* FP016505, *UCP3_2* FP016506;

mouse *UCP1* FP011827, *UCP2* FP010492, *UCP3* FP010490; rat *UCP1* FP011518, *UCP2*

FP000767, *UCP3* FP000766; Accession numbers of the mRNAs' FASTA sequences: human UCP1

NM_021833.5, UCP2 NM_001381943.1, UCP3 NM_003356.4; mouse UCP1 NM_009463.3,

UCP2 NM_011671.6, UCP3 NM_009464.3; rat UCP1 NM_012682.2, UCP2 NM_019354.3,

UCP3 NM_013167.2; Accession numbers of the amino-acids' FASTA sequences: human UCP1

NP_068605, UCP2 AAC51336, UCP3 AAC51367.1; mouse UCP1 NP_033489, UCP2 AAB17666,

UCP3 AAB87084; rat UCP1 NP_036814, UCP2 BAA25698, UCP3 AAB71523. The resulting

amino acid, exon and promoter sequences were aligned using MAFFT 7.505 (Katoh &

Standley, 2013), and a maximum likelihood tree was constructed using IQtree2 2.0.7 (Minh et

al., 2020) with automatic model selection ('-m MFP') turned on and using 1 000 aLRT and 1

000 rapid bootstrap replications to test the robustness of the analysis. The alignment of the

mRNA sequences had a length of 3,398 bp, of which 1536 were variable and 878 informative,

whereas the promoters had 7,956 variables and 5,412 informative sites on an alignment

length of 12585 bp. Of the 313 aligned amino acid positions, we identified 172 polymorphic

and 150 informative characters. Phylogenetic trees were visualized with packages ape 5.7.1

(Paradis & Schliep, 2019) and phangorn 2.11.1 (Schliep, 2011) using R 4.2.2 (R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, n.d.). Pairwise genetic distances of *UCP* promoters and exons were

calculated with the R package pegas 1.2 (Paradis, 2010) using the "K80" model of nucleotide

substitution (Kimura's 2-parameters distance metric; Kimura, 1980). Pairwise distances were

visualized as a neighbour-joining phylogram with pegas, as a UPGMA dendrogram with

phangorn, and as a heatmap with pheatmap 1.0.12 (https://cran.r-

[project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html)).

2. 3D Protein Structure Prediction, Alignment and Pairwise Structural Comparison

The 3D structures of human, mouse and rat UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 proteins were downloaded

using the AlphaFold 2 protein structure prediction interface (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/)

developed by Deep Mind (Jumper et al. 2021). Colors indicate model confidence, AlphaFold

produces a per-residue confidence score (pLDDT) between 0 and 100. Some regions below 50

pLDDT may be unstructured in isolation. Dark blue: Very high (pLDDT > 90); Light blue:

Confident (90 > pLDDT > 70); Yellow: Low (70 > pLDDT > 50); Red: Very low (pLDDT < 50).

For alignment of the predicted protein structures we used the RCSB PBD

(https://www.rcsb.org; Berman et al. 2000) 3D protein structure comparison interface. For

protein comparisons, pairwise comparisons were performed with human UCP1. The metrics

used to describe the degree of pairwise structure alignment and structural similarity are as

follows:

RMSD (root mean square deviation) is computed between aligned pairs of the backbone C-

alpha atoms in superposed structures in Å resolution. The lower the RMSD, the better the

structure alignment between the pair of structures. This is the most commonly reported

metric when comparing two structures, but it is sensitive to local structure deviation. If a few

residues in a loop are not aligned, the RMSD value is large, even though the rest of the

structure is well aligned.

TM-score (template modeling score) is a measure of topological similarity between the

template and model structures. The TM-score ranges between 0 and 1, where 1 indicates a

perfect match and 0 is no match between the two structures. Scores < 0.2 usually indicate that

the proteins are unrelated while those > 0.5 generally have the same protein fold (e.g.,

classified by SCOP/CATH).

Identity (sequence identity percentage) is the percentage of paired residues in the alignment

that are identical in sequence.

Equivalent Residues is the number of residue pairs that are structurally equivalent in the alignment.

Sequence Length is the total number of polymeric residues in the deposited sequence for a given chain.

Modeled Residues is the number of residues with coordinates that were used for structure alignment.

3. BLAST Search to Identify Specific Nucleotide Sequences in the Human, Mouse and Rat Genome

The BLAST interface (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was used to identify sequences similar to a given nucleotide sequence in the human, mouse and rat genomes (Altschul et al. 1997). The human RefSeqGene, rat mRatBN7.2 and mouse GRCm39 reference genome sequences were used in the searches. The graphical summary of the results in Suppl. Fig. 1H shows the percentage of homology in different colors, while the position indicates the sequence region of the analysed DNA segment in which the homologous segment is located, showing the hits in separate rows.

4. Sequence Presence Assessment for Estimating Evolutionary Divergence

We used the phylogenetic tree based on the genome of the species provided by the Multiz Alignment of 100 Species interface integrated into the UCSC genome browser. We then manually checked the presence of the 1419 bp sequence missing in the mouse and rat genomes in the corresponding sequences of the other species. In this way, we estimated the evolutionary divergence based on the available genomic data and the presence of the sequence in the different species.

5. Computational Identification of Putative Transcription Factor Response Elements in Gene Regulatory Sequences Using the EPD Interface

The identification of putative TF REs within the regulatory sequences of the genes under study was performed using the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (EPD) interface (https://epd.epfl.ch) (Périer et al. 2000). EPD's approach to identify TF REs relies on the JASPAR Transcription Motifs Library (specifically JASPAR CORE 2018 vertebrates) (Sandelin et al. 2004), which includes a diverse set of TF motifs, including generic promoter motifs such as the TATA box, Initiator, GC

box and CCAAT box. This library contains a large body of information represented as a position weight matrix (PWM) for 579 TF-binding sequences. Many approaches have been developed to model and learn a protein's DNA-binding specificity, however, comparative analyses indicate that simple models based on mononucleotide PWMs trained by the best methods perform similarly to more complex models (Weirauch et al. 2013).

To determine whether a given binding sequence is present within the regulatory sequence of the target gene, the EPD interface uses a probability-based algorithm with four different p-value thresholds (p-value < 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001 and 0.00001). In this study, we focused on the two most stringent p-value thresholds (p < 0.0001 and p < 0.00001), as empirical evidence from the literature suggests that more permissive probabilities can lead to high false positive rates (Benita et al., 2009). However, our exploratory studies showed that for some genes with experimentally proven regulatory TFs, the software accurately identified the binding sequence only at p < 0.0001, as exemplified by the occurrence of the HIF1A TF RE for genes such as *VEGFA* and *GLUT1*. In addition, considering that every 4000 nucleotides, a given RE of average length 12 bp can be expected to be found randomly (Wunderlich and Mirny, 2009), the identified occurrence itself has limited meaning. It rather suggests that TFs for which we did not identify the RE are less likely to be involved in the regulation of *UCP* gene expression. Consequently, we presented our findings primarily utilizing the less stringent p < 1e-4 criteria. To mitigate the problem of false positives, we applied additional screening methods to filter out less relevant REs and emphasise potential species- and gene-specific TF-REs. Although a stricter threshold (p < 1e-5) would reduce the number of false positives, it would also increase the number of false negatives, potentially omitting significant findings. False-positive results can be managed through further filtering and by collecting more detailed information. In choosing the significance level (p < 1e-4), we were guided by the possibility of comparing the results of similar tests from paralogous and orthologous UCP promoters and thus filtering out false positives in the analysis. However, for reasons of comparison and transparency, we also present results with a more stringent threshold (p < 1e-5) in the tables.

In this study, we analysed regulatory sequences 5 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of the TSS for the genes under study (eg.: human *UCP1*: GRCh37/hg19; Chr. 4: 141,489,115-141,495,114; TSS: Chr. 4:141,489,115). The relevant base-pair boundaries of the upstream

927 regulatory DNA segment may vary among genes, therefore the widest DNA region allowed by

928 the EPD interface was analysed. Data retrieval was automated using a custom script, which

929 we have made publicly available on GitHub, increasing transparency and reproducibility.

6. Comparative Analysis of the Putative TFs in the Regulatory Sequences of Human,
930

Mouse and Rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* Genes

Data analysis and screening were conducted in an R interactive environment. Initially, data

were extracted from the EPD (https://epd.epfl.ch) interface as individual data files (text files),

corresponding to each target gene. EPD utilizes the JASPAR database, which contains PWMs

of TF REs for examining their presence within specific DNA sequences

(https://jaspar.genereg.net). The EPD database contains two promoter sequences for human

UCP2 and UCP3, as these genes have alternative transcription start sites, with the TSSs of the

two variants differing by a few 100 bp. Since we did not want to favour either variant, both

variants were included in the analysis. Subsequently, the data extracted for each gene were

consolidated into a unified database that compiled occurrence information for the 579 TF

binding sequences within the promoter regions of three genes: *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3* in

humans, mice, and rats. This resulting matrix was then utilized to identify distinct clusters of

TF REs based on specific criteria. These criteria included identifying TF REs that were specific

to human *UCP1* (not present in human *UCP2* or *UCP3* but potentially present in mouse and rat

UCP1, *UCP2*, or *UCP3*), unique to human *UCP1* (exclusive to human *UCP1*, absent in human

UCP2, *UCP3* as well as in mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*, and *UCP3*), specific to *UCP1* (present in

both human and mouse and rat *UCP1*, absent in human *UCP2* and *UCP3* but potentially

present in mouse and rat *UCP2* and *UCP3*), common to human, mouse, and rat *UCP1* (absent

in human, mouse, and rat *UCP2* and *UCP3*), and general human *UCP* TF REs (occurring in both

human *UCP1* and *UCP2* and *UCP3* but not in the promoter regions of mouse and rat *UCP1*,

UCP2, and *UCP3* genes).

7. Chromosome position of the investigated *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* promoters and
952

953   **genes in the human genom**

954 *UCP1* promoter in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr 4:141,489,115 – 141,495,114 size: 6000 bases;

955 *UCP1* gene in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr 4:141,480,585 – 141,490,115 size: 9531 bases, minus

956 strand; *UCP2* promoter in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr11:73,693,248 - 73,699,247 size: 6000

bases; *UCP2* gene in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr11:73,685,717 - 73,694,247 size: 8531 bases;

UCP3 promoter in human: GRCh 37/hg19 Chr11:73,719,130 - 73,725,129 size: 6000 bases;

UCP3 gene in human: GRCh 37/hg19 chr11:73,711,322 - 73,720,130; size: 8809 bases.

8. Mapping The Regulatory DNA Regions of the Identified Putative TF REs in Human UCP1 and Comparing them with Aligned DNA Sequences of Other Species

During the course of the study, a group of TF REs with a distance between the filtered out TF REs below +/- 40 bp were identified, potentially indicating a complex regulatory mechanism. This clustering of TF binding sites aligns with a common phenomenon observed in numerous regulatory regions of multicellular eukaryotes, as described in previous studies (Wunderlich and Mirny 2009). Using the Contra v3 interface (http://bioit2.irc.ugent.be/contra/v3/; Kreft et al. 2017) and the UCSC browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/), putative human *UCP1* TF REs identified by EPD and filtered by comparative analysis of orthologs and paralogs were examined for nucleotide sequence at the defined positions. The results are fully linked to NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and UCSC (https://genome.ucsc.edu/). In addition, using these interfaces, the human DNA sequence of the region of interest was compared with the aligned DNA region of 100 vertebrate species to examine the degree of conservation of the TF RE (hg19 Multiz Alignments of 100 Vertebrates).

9. Heat Map Visualization and Hierarchical Cluster Analyses of the TF RE Profile of the UCP genes and the Transcriptomic Data of Human Adipocytes

Hierarchical cluster analyses and heat map visualization were performed with the Morpheus web tool (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/) using Spearman rank correlation of rows and columns and complete linkage based on the presence or absence of the TF RE in the given gene upstream regulatory region. The same tools were used to visualise relative gene-expression profile of human neck-derived adipocytes (Tóth et al. 2020). RNAseq data is deposited to Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database (**https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra**) under accession number PRJNA607438. Heatmaps depict the z-scores calculated from the filtered and normalized transcriptomic data of isolated and maintained preadipocytes from subcutaneous and deep neck adipose stromal cells and

985 lipid-laden mature adipocytes differentiated *in vitro* with white and brown protocols

986 described in Tóth et al. (2020).

10. Comparison of the Identified Putative TF REs in the Regulatory Sequence of UCP1 with the Corresponding Data Found in the Chip Based Databases

The list of putative TF REs identified by the EPD interface (https://epd.epfl.ch) at p<1e-4

confidence level in human *UCP1* was compared with similar data from public ChipSeq

databases. ChipSeq databases collect experimentally validated DNA binding data for TFs to

the promoter of individual genes. Two ChipSeq databases, ChiPBase (https://rnasysu.com)

and TFlink (https://tflink.net/), were used to identify proteins/TFs binding in the regulatory

DNA sequence of the human *UCP1* gene range between -5000 bp and +1000 bp.

11. Identification of Repeat Elements in the Genome

996 The RepeatMasker interface (https://www.repeatmasker.org/) integrated into the UCSC

997 genome browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) was used to identify, categorize and determine

998 the exact position of repeat elements in the human *UCP1* promoter (GRCh 37/hg19 by NCBI

999 Gene, Chr4: 141.489.115-141.495.114).

12. Literature Mining Using a Custom Script

We programmed a simple Python script which uses the Entrez E-utilities (Sayers 2009) ESearch

and EFetch to query the PubMed database and retrieve relevant abstracts. The script

summarizes the search results in a convenient, human-readable tabular format which is

manually examined by the researcher.

We compiled a list of abbreviations of TFs of interest (e.g. HOXA5, JUN, TEAD1, etc.) based on

the JASPAR database; Altogether the list of TFs we examined comprised 579 items.

In addition, we added the UCP keyword to the search.

For each TF in the list, the script iteratively executes searches for each pair consisting of that

TF and a keyword, e.g. HOXA5 and UCP1, HIF1A and UCP1 etc. These pairs are concatenated

with a '+' character and passed to ESearch, e.g. *'HOXA5+UCP1'*. When searching for a complex

of several TFs rather than a single TF, e.g. EWSR1 and FLI1, the constituent TFs in the complex

were also combined with a '+' character in the search, e.g. *'EWSR1+FLI1+UCP1'*.

When searching for TF abbreviations that are identical or similar to a regular English word, in particular Ar (for which the database returns hits containing the verb form 'are'), CLOCK (English noun), REST (English noun and verb), MAX (short for maximum and a name) and JUN (very frequent abbreviation of the month June), we found that simply searching for these abbreviations returns a very large number of abstracts which contain the English word rather than the TF in question. Thus we had to add a further search term to disambiguate the abbreviation and narrow down the search to abstracts which contain a reference to the TF that we were interested in. We found that searching for *"Ar androgen receptor", "CLOCK circadian", "REST RE1 silencing", "MAX MYC associated x",* and *"JUN proto-oncogene"* respectively constrained the search effectively so that the results contained few irrelevant hits.

All combinations of TFs and the keyword were searched, i.e. in total 579 searches were executed. For each such combined TF + keyword search expression, the ESearch tool returns a list of PubMed ids of articles the abstract of which contains both the TF and the keyword. For each TF the number of abstracts containing the keyword is summarized in a table by the script. In addition, the full text of all abstracts is downloaded and saved along with the bibliographical data of the corresponding articles, as well as the URL of the full text of the article where available up to a pre-set maximum number, e.g. 50 abstracts.

Finally, the downloaded abstracts were manually reviewed. We considered the use of advanced natural language processing techniques, in particular large language models, to partially automate the review of the abstracts, but this was judged unnecessary for this specific set of results.

13. Identification of TF Motif Activity in Different Tissues and Exploration of TF Interaction Partners Using the ISMARA Online Platform.

We obtained RNA-seq expression profiles from 16 human cell types using the Illumina Body Map 2 dataset (GEO accession GSE30611), accessible within the Integrated System for Motif Activity Response Analysis (ISMARA) online platform (https://ismara.unibas.ch/supp/dataset1_IBM_v2/ismara_report/) (Balwierz et al. 2014). We employed ISMARA to analyze the motif activity of putative species-specific and species-common homeodomain family TFs across different human tissues for identification of TF motif

activity. By leveraging the ISMARA platform, we investigated the motif activity patterns of these TFs, providing insights into their potential roles in tissue-specific gene regulation. Furthermore, we explored potential TFs and their firs-level TF interaction partners using ISMARA to uncover regulatory networks and complex formations, such as enhanceosomes.

14. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was carried out using the stats package in R. Correlation analysis of *UCP1* gene expression with TFs showing gene expression in adipocytes from the cervical region (Tóth et al. 2020) was performed using Sperman's rank correlation. For multiple comparisons, an adjusted p-value was calculated using Holm's test, with $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant (Suppl. Table 3).

Supplementary Table Legends

Supplementary Table 1 The table lists TFs identified through RE analysis in the promoters of human, mouse, and rat UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 genes, categorized by species and genes, employing the EPD interface (p<1e-4) for identification. Subgroup categorization is based on the Venn diagram representation presented in Figure 1D.

Supplementary Table 2 The table presents the list of TFs identified through RE analysis in the promoters of human, mouse, and rat UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 genes. The listing includes the TFs' relative positions to the TSS, categorized by species and genes, utilizing the EPD interface (p<1e-4, p<1e-5).

Supplementary Table 3 The table presents correlation coefficients depicting the associations between the gene expression profile of human UCP1 and the TFs identified within human UCP1 promoters using putative REs. The data utilized for this analysis were derived from RNA sequencing of human adipocytes obtained from the cervical region.

Supplementary Table 4. The table shows the TF abbreviations and the number of abstracts where they occur together with the keyword UCP1 in publications in the PubMed database.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not Applicable

Consent for Publication

Not Applicable

Availability of data and material

RNAseq data have been deposited to Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession number PRJNA607438. We automated the retrieval of EPD data and the screening of abstracts from the PubMed database using custom scripts that were made publicly available on GitHub (https://github.com/DEpt-metagenom/TFRE-in-UCP1-promoter). The main and supplementary figures and

tables are available in the Mendeley database: https://data.mendeley.com/preview/z5b6stz3zx?a=828a4650-5239-487a-b17f-43c667b02454

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

During this research BBT, LL, EV and GP was funded by the European Union and the European Regional Development Fund (GINOP-2.3.4-15-2016-00002 „A felsőoktatás és az ipar együttműködése az egészségiparban"), GH was supported by the European Union and the European Regional Development Fund (GINOP-2.3.4-15-2020-00008 „Komplex Egészségipari Multidiszciplináris Kompetencia Központ kialakítása a Debreceni Egyetemen új innovatív termékek és technológiák fejlesztése érdekében"), and we are thankful for this. BBT was also supported by the University of Debrecen Scientific Research Bridging Fund (DETKA24). The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, BBT., methodology, BBT., GH, EV, GP, and LL; software, BBT., GH, EV, GP and LL; formal analysis, BBT and GP; visualization, BBT and LL; investigation, BBT.; data curation, BBT; writing—original draft preparation, BBT.; writing—review and editing, BBT., PG, LL, LF; project administration, LL;

Acknowledgements

We extend our appreciation to Professors Jan Nedergaard and Barbara Canon for their insightful feedback and valuable suggestions to enhance the clarity of the manuscript. On behalf of the project "Bioinformatic analysis of third generation sequencing data" ("Harmadik generációs szekvenálási adatok bioinformatikai elemzése") we are grateful for the possibility to use HUN-REN Cloud (see Héder et al. 2022; https://science-cloud.hu/) which helped us achieve the results published in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Alvarez R, de Andrés J, Yubero P, Viñas O, Mampel T, Iglesias R, Giralt M, Villarroya F. A novel regulatory pathway of brown fat thermogenesis. Retinoic acid is a transcriptional activator of the mitochondrial uncoupling protein gene. J Biol Chem. 1995 Mar 10;270(10):5666-73. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.10.5666. PMID: 7890689.

2. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997 Sep 1;25(17):3389-402. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.17.3389. PMID: 9254694; PMCID: PMC146917.

3. Ambele MA, Pepper MS. Identification of transcription factors potentially involved in human adipogenesis in vitro. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2017 Mar 3;5(3):210-222. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.269. PMID: 28546992; PMCID: PMC5441431.

4. Atit R, Sgaier SK, Mohamed OA, Taketo MM, Dufort D, Joyner AL, Niswander L, Conlon RA. Beta-catenin
activation is necessary and sufficient to specify the dorsal dermal fate in the mouse. Dev Biol. 2006 Aug
1;296(1):164-76. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.04.449. Epub 2006 Apr 21. PMID: 16730693.

5. Balwierz PJ, Pachkov M, Arnold P, Gruber AJ, Zavolan M, van Nimwegen E. ISMARA: automated modeling of
genomic signals as a democracy of regulatory motifs. Genome Res. 2014 May;24(5):869-84. doi:
10.1101/gr.169508.113. Epub 2014 Feb 10. PMID: 24515121; PMCID: PMC4009616.

6. Banerjee-Basu S, Baxevanis AD. Molecular evolution of the homeodomain family of transcription factors.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2001 Aug 1;29(15):3258-69. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.15.3258. PMID: 11470884; PMCID:
PMC55828.

7. Basse AL, Isidor MS, Winther S, Skjoldborg NB, Murholm M, Andersen ES, Pedersen SB, Wolfrum C, Quistorff
B, Hansen JB. Regulation of glycolysis in brown adipocytes by HIF-1α. Sci Rep. 2017 Jun 22;7(1):4052. doi:
10.1038/s41598-017-04246-y. PMID: 28642579; PMCID: PMC5481455.

8. Becher T, Palanisamy S, Kramer DJ, Eljalby M, Marx SJ, Wibmer AG, Butler SD, Jiang CS, Vaughan R, Schöder
H, Mark A, Cohen P. Brown adipose tissue is associated with cardiometabolic health. Nat Med. 2021
Jan;27(1):58-65. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1126-7. Epub 2021 Jan 4. PMID: 33398160; PMCID: PMC8461455.

9. Benita Y, Kikuchi H, Smith AD, Zhang MQ, Chung DC, Xavier RJ. An integrative genomics approach identifies
Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 (HIF-1)-target genes that form the core response to hypoxia. Nucleic Acids Res.
2009 Aug;37(14):4587-602. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp425. Epub 2009 Jun 2. PMID: 19491311; PMCID:
PMC2724271.

10. Berg F, Gustafson U, Andersson L. The uncoupling protein 1 gene (UCP1) is disrupted in the pig lineage: a
genetic explanation for poor thermoregulation in piglets. PLoS Genet. 2006 Aug 18;2(8):e129. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.0020129. PMID: 16933999; PMCID: PMC1550502.

11. Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, Shindyalov IN, Bourne PE. The Protein
Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000 Jan 1;28(1):235-42. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.235. PMID: 10592235; PMCID:
PMC102472.

12. Bonet ML, Oliver P, Palou A. Pharmacological and nutritional agents promoting browning of white adipose
tissue. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 May;1831(5):969-85. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2012.12.002. Epub 2012 Dec
12. PMID: 23246573.

13. Brun T, Hu He KH, Lupi R, Boehm B, Wojtusciszyn A, Sauter N, Donath M, Marchetti P, Maedler K, Gauthier
BR. The diabetes-linked transcription factor Pax4 is expressed in human pancreatic islets and is activated by
mitogens and GLP-1. Hum Mol Genet. 2008 Feb 15;17(4):478-89. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddm325. Epub 2007 Nov
7. PMID: 17989064.

14. Cadenas S. Mitochondrial uncoupling, ROS generation and cardioprotection. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg.
2018 Sep;1859(9):940-950. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2018.05.019. Epub 2018 May 31. PMID: 29859845.

15. Cain B, Webb J, Yuan Z, Cheung D, Lim HW, Kovall RA, Weirauch MT, Gebelein B. Prediction of cooperative
homeodomain DNA binding sites from high-throughput-SELEX data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023 Jul
7;51(12):6055-6072. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad318. PMID: 37114997; PMCID: PMC10325903.

16. Cantile M, Procino A, D'Armiento M, Cindolo L, Cillo C. HOX gene network is involved in the transcriptional
regulation of in vivo human adipogenesis. J Cell Physiol. 2003 Feb;194(2):225-36. doi: 10.1002/jcp.10210.
PMID: 12494461.

17. Cao W, Huang H, Xia T, Liu C, Muhammad S, Sun C. Homeobox a5 Promotes White Adipose Tissue Browning
Through Inhibition of the Tenascin C/Toll-Like Receptor 4/Nuclear Factor Kappa B Inflammatory Signaling in
Mice. Front Immunol. 2018 Mar 29;9:647. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00647. PMID: 29651293; PMCID:
PMC5884924.

18. Cao W, Medvedev AV, Daniel KW, Collins S. beta-Adrenergic activation of p38 MAP kinase in adipocytes:
cAMP induction of the uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) gene requires p38 MAP kinase. J Biol Chem. 2001 Jul
20;276(29):27077-82. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M101049200. Epub 2001 May 21. PMID: 11369767.

19. Cassard AM, Bouillaud F, Mattei MG, Hentz E, Raimbault S, Thomas M, Ricquier D. Human uncoupling protein
gene: structure, comparison with rat gene, and assignment to the long arm of chromosome 4. J Cell Biochem.
1990 Jul;43(3):255-64. doi: 10.1002/jcb.240430306. PMID: 2380264.

20. Chuong EB, Elde NC, Feschotte C. Regulatory evolution of innate immunity through co-option of endogenous
retroviruses. Science. 2016 Mar 4;351(6277):1083-7. doi: 10.1126/science.aad5497. PMID: 26941318;
PMCID: PMC4887275.

21. Collins S, Cao W, Robidoux J. Learning new tricks from old dogs: beta-adrenergic receptors teach new lessons
on firing up adipose tissue metabolism. Mol Endocrinol. 2004 Sep;18(9):2123-31. doi: 10.1210/me.2004-
0193. Epub 2004 Jul 8. PMID: 15243132.

22. Crichton PG, Lee Y, Kunji ER. The molecular features of uncoupling protein 1 support a conventional
mitochondrial carrier-like mechanism. Biochimie. 2017 Mar;134:35-50. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2016.12.016.
Epub 2017 Jan 3. PMID: 28057583; PMCID: PMC5395090.

23. del Mar Gonzalez-Barroso M, Pecqueur C, Gelly C, Sanchis D, Alves-Guerra MC, Bouillaud F, Ricquier D,
Cassard-Doulcier AM. Transcriptional activation of the human ucp1 gene in a rodent cell line. Synergism of
retinoids, isoproterenol, and thiazolidinedione is mediated by a multipartite response element. J Biol Chem.
2000 Oct 13;275(41):31722-32. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M001678200. PMID: 10921912.

24. Dreos R, Ambrosini G, Groux R, Cavin Périer R, Bucher P. The eukaryotic promoter database in its 30th year:
focus on non-vertebrate organisms. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D51–
5. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1069 Epub 2016 Nov 28. PMID: 27899657; PMCID: PMC5210552.

25. Dror I, Zhou T, Mandel-Gutfreund Y, Rohs R. Covariation between homeodomain transcription factors and
the shape of their DNA binding sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014 Jan;42(1):430-41. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt862.
Epub 2013 Sep 27. PMID: 24078250; PMCID: PMC3874178.

26. Emera D, Wagner GP. Transformation of a transposon into a derived prolactin promoter with function during
human pregnancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012 Jul 10;109(28):11246-51. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118566109.
Epub 2012 Jun 25. PMID: 22733751; PMCID: PMC3396485.

27. Enerbäck S, Jacobsson A, Simpson EM, Guerra C, Yamashita H, Harper ME, Kozak LP. Mice lacking
mitochondrial uncoupling protein are cold-sensitive but not obese. Nature. 1997 May 1;387(6628):90-4. doi:
10.1038/387090a0. PMID: 9139827.

28. Feldmann HM, Golozoubova V, Cannon B, Nedergaard J. UCP1 ablation induces obesity and abolishes diet-
induced thermogenesis in mice exempt from thermal stress by living at thermoneutrality. Cell Metab. 2009
Feb;9(2):203-9. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2008.12.014. PMID: 19187776.

29. Foulkes NS, Borrelli E, Sassone-Corsi P. CREM gene: use of alternative DNA-binding domains generates
multiple antagonists of cAMP-induced transcription. Cell. 1991 Feb 22;64(4):739-49. doi: 10.1016/0092-
8674(91)90503-q. PMID: 1847666.

30. Gaudry MJ, Campbell KL. Evolution of *UCP1* Transcriptional Regulatory Elements Across the Mammalian
Phylogeny. Front Physiol. 2017 Sep 20;8:670. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00670. PMID: 28979209; PMCID:
PMC5611445.

31. Gaudry MJ, Jastroch M, Treberg JR, Hofreiter M, Paijmans JLA, Starrett J, et al. Inactivation of thermogenic
UCP1 as a historical contingency in multiple placental mammal clades. *Sci Adv.* (2017) 3:e1602878
10.1126/sciadv.1602878

32. Gehring WJ, Affolter M, Bürglin T. Homeodomain proteins. Annu Rev Biochem. 1994;63:487-526. doi:
10.1146/annurev.bi.63.070194.002415. PMID: 7979246.

33. Gupta A, Efthymiou V, Kodani SD, Shamsi F, Patti ME, Tseng YH, Streets A. Mapping the transcriptional
landscape of human white and brown adipogenesis using single-nuclei RNA-seq. Mol Metab. 2023
Aug;74:101746. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2023.101746. Epub 2023 Jun 5. PMID: 37286033; PMCID:
PMC10338377.

34. Harms MJ, Lim HW, Ho Y, Shapira SN, Ishibashi J, Rajakumari S, Steger DJ, Lazar MA, Won KJ, Seale P. PRDM16
binds MED1 and controls chromatin architecture to determine a brown fat transcriptional program. Genes
Dev. 2015 Feb 1;29(3):298-307. doi: 10.1101/gad.252734.114. PMID: 25644604; PMCID: PMC4318146.

35. Héder, M., Rigó, E., Medgyesi, D., Lovas, R., Tenczer, S., Török, F., Farkas, A., Emődi, M., Kadlecsik, J., Mező,
G., Pintér, Á., & Kacsuk, P. (2022). The Past, Present and Future of the ELKH Cloud. Információs Társadalom,
22(2), 128.

36. Hilton C, Karpe F, Pinnick KE. Role of developmental transcription factors in white, brown and beige adipose
tissues. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015 May;1851(5):686-96. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2015.02.003. Epub 2015 Feb
8. PMID: 25668679.

37. Himms-Hagen, J. and Harper, M. (2001). Physiological role of ucp3 may be export of fatty acids from
mitochondria when fatty acid oxidation predominates: an hypothesis. Experimental Biology and Medicine,
226(2), 78-84. https://doi.org/10.1177/153537020122600204

38. Hirschenson J, Melgar-Bermudez E, Mailloux RJ. The Uncoupling Proteins: A Systematic Review on the
Mechanism Used in the Prevention of Oxidative Stress. Antioxidants (Basel). 2022 Feb 6;11(2):322. doi:
10.3390/antiox11020322. PMID: 35204205; PMCID: PMC8868465.

39. Hoang T, Smith MD, Jelokhani-Niaraki M. Expression, folding, and proton transport activity of human
uncoupling protein-1 (UCP1) in lipid membranes: evidence for associated functional forms. J Biol Chem. 2013
Dec 20;288(51):36244-58. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.509935. Epub 2013 Nov 6. PMID: 24196960; PMCID:
PMC3868739.

40. Horton CA, Alexandari AM, Hayes MGB, Marklund E, Schaepe JM, Aditham AK, Shah N, Suzuki PH, Shrikumar

A, Afek A, Greenleaf WJ, Gordân R, Zeitlinger J, Kundaje A, Fordyce PM. Short tandem repeats bind

transcription factors to tune eukaryotic gene expression. Science. 2023 Sep 22;381(6664):eadd1250. doi:

10.1126/science.add1250. Epub 2023 Sep 22. PMID: 37733848.

41. Hou L, Shi J, Cao L, Xu G, Hu C, Wang C. Pig has no uncoupling protein 1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.

2017 Jun 10;487(4):795-800. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.04.118. Epub 2017 Apr 23. PMID: 28442343.

42. Hughes J, Criscuolo F. Evolutionary history of the UCP gene family: gene duplication and selection. BMC Evol

Biol. 2008 Nov 3;8:306. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-8-306. PMID: 18980678; PMCID: PMC2584656.

43. Hughes DA, Jastroch M, Stoneking M, Klingenspor M. Molecular evolution of UCP1 and the evolutionary

history of mammalian non-shivering thermogenesis. BMC Evol Biol. 2009 Jan 7;9:4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-

9-4. PMID: 19128480; PMCID: PMC2627829.S

44. Ikeda K, Kang Q, Yoneshiro T, Camporez JP, Maki H, Homma M, Shinoda K, Chen Y, Lu X, Maretich P, Tajima

K, Ajuwon KM, Soga T, Kajimura S. UCP1-independent signaling involving SERCA2b-mediated calcium cycling

regulates beige fat thermogenesis and systemic glucose homeostasis. Nat Med. 2017 Dec;23(12):1454-1465.

doi: 10.1038/nm.4429. Epub 2017 Nov 13. PMID: 29131158; PMCID: PMC5727902.

45. Inagaki T, Sakai J, Kajimura S. Transcriptional and epigenetic control of brown and beige adipose cell fate and

function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016 Aug;17(8):480-95. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.62. Epub 2016 Jun 2. Erratum

in: Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017 Aug;18(8):527. PMID: 27251423; PMCID: PMC4956538.

46. Jastroch M, Oelkrug R, Keipert S. Insights into brown adipose tissue evolution and function from non-model

organisms. *J Exp Biol.* (2018) 221(Pt Suppl 1):jeb169425. 10.1242/jeb.169425.

47. Jastroch M, Wuertz S, Kloas W, Klingenspor M. Uncoupling protein 1 in fish uncovers an ancient evolutionary

history of mammalian nonshivering thermogenesis. Physiol Genomics. 2005 Jul 14;22(2):150-6. doi:

10.1152/physiolgenomics.00070.2005. Epub 2005 May 10. PMID: 15886331.

48. Jastroch M, Withers KW, Taudien S, Frappell PB, Helwig M, Fromme T, Hirschberg V, Heldmaier G, McAllan

BM, Firth BT, Burmester T, Platzer M, Klingenspor M. Marsupial uncoupling protein 1 sheds light on the

evolution of mammalian nonshivering thermogenesis. Physiol Genomics. 2008 Jan 17;32(2):161-9. doi:

10.1152/physiolgenomics.00183.2007. Epub 2007 Oct 30. PMID: 17971503.

49. Jastroch M, Wuertz S, Kloas W, Klingenspor M. Uncoupling protein 1 in fish uncovers an ancient evolutionary

history of mammalian nonshivering thermogenesis. Physiol Genomics. 2005 Jul 14;22(2):150-6. doi:

10.1152/physiolgenomics.00070.2005. Epub 2005 May 10. PMID: 15886331.

50. Jolma A, Yan J, Whitington T, Toivonen J, Nitta KR, Rastas P, Morgunova E, Enge M, Taipale M, Wei G, Palin

K, Vaquerizas JM, Vincentelli R, Luscombe NM, Hughes TR, Lemaire P, Ukkonen E, Kivioja T, Taipale J. DNA-

binding specificities of human transcription factors. Cell. 2013 Jan 17;152(1-2):327-39. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.009. PMID: 23332764.

51. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with

AlphaFold. *Nature* 596, 583–589 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

52. Jurka J. Repbase update: a database and an electronic journal of repetitive elements. Trends Genet. 2000

Sep;16(9):418-20. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02093-x. PMID: 10973072.

53. Kazak L, Spiegelman BM. Mechanism of futile creatine cycling in thermogenesis. Am J Physiol Endocrinol
Metab. 2020 Nov 1;319(5):E947-E949. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00444.2020. Epub 2020 Sep 21. PMID:
32954828.

54. Keipert S, Gaudry MJ, Kutschke M, Keuper M, Dela Rosa MAS, Cheng Y, Monroy Kuhn JM, Laterveer R, Cotrim
CA, Giere P, Perocchi F, Feederle R, Crichton PG, Lutter D, Jastroch M. Two-stage evolution of mammalian
adipose tissue thermogenesis. Science. 2024 Jun 7;384(6700):1111-1117. doi: 10.1126/science.adg1947.
Epub 2024 Jun 6. PMID: 38843333.

55. Kato H, Ario T, Kishida T, Tadano M, Osawa S, Maeda Y, Takakura H, Izawa T. Homeobox A5 and C10 genes
modulate adaptation of brown adipose tissue during exercise training in juvenile rats. Exp Physiol. 2021
Feb;106(2):463-474. doi: 10.1113/EP089114. Epub 2021 Jan 5. PMID: 33369800.

56. Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements
in   Performance   and   Usability.   Molecular   Biology   and   Evolution,   30(4),   772–780.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

57. Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative
studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol. 1980 Dec;16(2):111-20. doi: 10.1007/BF01731581. PMID:
7463489.

58. Klingenspor M, Fromme T, Hughes DA Jr, Manzke L, Polymeropoulos E, Riemann T, Trzcionka M, Hirschberg
V, Jastroch M. An ancient look at UCP1. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2008 Jul-Aug;1777(7-8):637-41. doi:
10.1016/j.bbabio.2008.03.006. Epub 2008 Mar 18. PMID: 18396149.

59. Kottke FJ, Phalen JS, et al. Effect of hypoxia upon temperature regulation of mice, dogs, and man. Am J
Physiol. 1948 Apr;153(1):10-5. doi: 10.1152/ajplegacy.1948.153.1.10. PMID: 18867027.

60. Kreft L, Soete A, Hulpiau P, Botzki A, Saeys Y, De Bleser P. ConTra v3: a tool to identify transcription factor
binding sites across species, update 2017. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017 Jul 3;45(W1):W490-W494. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkx376. PMID: 28472390; PMCID: PMC5570180.

61. Kunarso G, Chia NY, Jeyakani J, Hwang C, Lu X, Chan YS, Ng HH, Bourque G. Transposable elements have
rewired the core regulatory network of human embryonic stem cells. Nat Genet. 2010 Jul;42(7):631-4. doi:
10.1038/ng.600. Epub 2010 Jun 6. PMID: 20526341.

62. Lambert SA, Jolma A, Campitelli LF, Das PK, Yin Y, Albu M, Chen X, Taipale J, Hughes TR, Weirauch MT. The
Human Transcription Factors. Cell. 2018 Feb 8;172(4):650-665. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.029. Erratum in:
Cell. 2018 Oct 4;175(2):598-599. PMID: 29425488.

63. Lau HH, Krentz NAJ, Abaitua F, Perez-Alcantara M, Chan JW, Ajeian J, Ghosh S, Lee Y, Yang J, Thaman S,
Champon B, Sun H, Jha A, Hoon S, Tan NS, Gardner DS, Kao SL, Tai ES, Gloyn AL, Teo AKK. PAX4 loss of
function increases diabetes risk by altering human pancreatic endocrine cell development. Nat Commun.
2023 Sep 30;14(1):6119. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-41860-z. PMID: 37777536; PMCID: PMC10542369.

64. Laurila PP, Soronen J, Kooijman S, Forsström S, Boon MR, Surakka I, Kaiharju E, Coomans CP, Van Den Berg
SA, Autio A, Sarin AP, Kettunen J, Tikkanen E, Manninen T, Metso J, Silvennoinen R, Merikanto K, Ruuth M,
Perttilä J, Mäkelä A, Isomi A, Tuomainen AM, Tikka A, Ramadan UA, Seppälä I, Lehtimäki T, Eriksson J,
Havulinna A, Jula A, Karhunen PJ, Salomaa V, Perola M, Ehnholm C, Lee-Rueckert M, Van Eck M, Roivainen

A, Taskinen MR, Peltonen L, Mervaala E, Jalanko A, Hohtola E, Olkkonen VM, Ripatti S, Kovanen PT, Rensen

PC, Suomalainen A, Jauhiainen M. USF1 deficiency activates brown adipose tissue and improves

cardiometabolic health. Sci Transl Med. 2016 Jan 27;8(323):323ra13. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aad0015.

PMID: 26819196.

65. Li L, Li Q, Willis LG, Erlandson M, Theilmann DA, Donly C. Complete comparative genomic analysis of two

field isolates of Mamestra configurata nucleopolyhedrovirus-A. J Gen Virol. 2005 Jan;86(Pt 1):91-105. doi:

10.1099/vir.0.80488-0. PMID: 15604435.

66. Li Y, Schwalie PC, Bast-Habersbrunner A, Mocek S, Russeil J, Fromme T, Deplancke B, Klingenspor M.

Systems-Genetics-Based Inference of a Core Regulatory Network Underlying White Fat Browning. Cell Rep.

2019 Dec 17;29(12):4099-4113.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.053. PMID: 31851936.

67. Linheiro RS, Bergman CM. Testing the palindromic target site model for DNA transposon insertion using the

Drosophila melanogaster P-element. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Nov;36(19):6199-208. doi:

10.1093/nar/gkn563. Epub 2008 Oct 1. PMID: 18829720; PMCID: PMC2577343.

68. Liu Y, Maekawa T, Yoshida K, Muratani M, Chatton B, Ishii S. The Transcription Factor ATF7 Controls

Adipocyte Differentiation and Thermogenic Gene Programming. iScience. 2019 Mar 29;13:98-112. doi:

10.1016/j.isci.2019.02.013. Epub 2019 Feb 18. PMID: 30826729; PMCID: PMC6402263.

69. Lu WH, Chang YM, Huang YS. Alternative Polyadenylation and Differential Regulation of *Ucp1*: Implications

for Brown Adipose Tissue Thermogenesis Across Species. Front Pediatr. 2021 Feb 9;8:612279. doi:

10.3389/fped.2020.612279. PMID: 33634052; PMCID: PMC7899972.

70. McGaugh S, Schwartz TS. Here and there, but not everywhere: repeated loss of uncoupling protein 1 in

amniotes. Biol Lett. 2017 Jan;13(1):20160749. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0749. PMID: 28052937; PMCID:

PMC5310580.

71. Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., von Haeseler, A., & Lanfear, R.

(2020). IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era.

Molecular Biology and Evolution, 37(5), 1530–1534. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015

72. Mohsen-Kanson T, Hafner AL, Wdziekonski B, Takashima Y, Villageois P, Carrière A, Svensson M, Bagnis C,

Chignon-Sicard B, Svensson PA, Casteilla L, Smith A, Dani C. Differentiation of human induced pluripotent

stem cells into brown and white adipocytes: role of Pax3. Stem Cells. 2014 Jun;32(6):1459-67. doi:

10.1002/stem.1607. PMID: 24302443.

73. Nedergaard J, Bengtsson T, Cannon B. Unexpected evidence for active brown adipose tissue in adult humans.

Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2007 Aug;293(2):E444-52. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00691.2006. Epub 2007

May 1. PMID: 17473055.

74. Nedergaard J, Cannon B. The 'novel' 'uncoupling' proteins UCP2 and UCP3: what do they really do? Pros and

cons for suggested functions. Exp Physiol. 2003 Jan;88(1):65-84. doi: 10.1113/eph8802502. PMID:

12525856.

75. Nedergaard J, Cannon B. The browning of white adipose tissue: some burning issues. Cell Metab. 2014 Sep

2;20(3):396-407. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.07.005. Epub 2014 Aug 7. PMID: 25127354.

1336 76. Nedergaard J, Golozoubova V, Matthias A, Asadi A, Jacobsson A, Cannon B. UCP1: the only protein able to
1337  mediate adaptive non-shivering thermogenesis and metabolic inefficiency. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2001 Mar
1338  1;1504(1):82-106. doi: 10.1016/s0005-2728(00)00247-4. PMID: 11239487.

1339 77. Nicholls DG. Mitochondrial proton leaks and uncoupling proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg. 2021 Jul
1340  1;1862(7):148428. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2021.148428. Epub 2021 Mar 31. PMID: 33798544.

1341 78. Paradis, E. (2010). Pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach.
1342  Bioinformatics, 26(3), 419–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

1343 79. Paradis, E., & Schliep, K. (2019). Ape 5.0: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary
1344  analyses in R. Bioinformatics, 35(3), 526–528. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633

1345 80. Park MJ, Kim HY, Kim K, Cheong J. Homeodomain transcription factor CDX1 is required for the transcriptional
1346  induction of PPARgamma in intestinal cell differentiation. FEBS Lett. 2009 Jan 5;583(1):29-35. doi:
1347  10.1016/j.febslet.2008.11.030. Epub 2008 Dec 4. PMID: 19059241.

1348 81. Périer RC, Praz V, Junier T, Bonnard C, Bucher P. The eukaryotic promoter database (EPD). Nucleic Acids Res.
1349  2000 Jan 1;28(1):302-3. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.302. PMID: 10592254; PMCID: PMC102462.

1350 82. Pingoud A, Jeltsch A. Structure and function of type II restriction endonucleases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001 Sep
1351  15;29(18):3705-27. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.18.3705. PMID: 11557805; PMCID: PMC55916.

1352 83. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. (n.d.). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
1353  Vienna, Austria.

1354 84. Razzoli M, Bartolomucci A. The Dichotomous Effect of Chronic Stress on Obesity. Trends Endocrinol Metab.
1355  2016 Jul;27(7):504-515. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2016.04.007. Epub 2016 May 5. PMID: 27162125; PMCID:
1356  PMC4912918.

1357 85. Ricquier D. Uncoupling protein 1 of brown adipocytes, the only uncoupler: a historical perspective. Front
1358  Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2011 Dec 28;2:85. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2011.00085. PMID: 22649389; PMCID:
1359  PMC3355862.

1360 86. Rim JS, Kozak LP. Regulatory motifs for CREB-binding protein and Nfe2l2 transcription factors in the
1361  upstream enhancer of the mitochondrial uncoupling protein 1 gene. J Biol Chem. 2002 Sep
1362  13;277(37):34589-600. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M108866200. Epub 2002 Jun 25. PMID: 12084707.

1363 87. Rosen ED, Walkey CJ, Puigserver P, Spiegelman BM. Transcriptional regulation of adipogenesis. Genes Dev.
1364  2000 Jun 1;14(11):1293-307. PMID: 10837022.

1365 88. Rousset S, Alves-Guerra MC, Mozo J, Miroux B, Cassard-Doulcier AM, Bouillaud F, Ricquier D. The biology of
1366  mitochondrial uncoupling proteins. Diabetes. 2004 Feb;53 Suppl 1:S130-5. doi:
1367  10.2337/diabetes.53.2007.s130. PMID: 14749278.

1368 89. Saito S, Saito CT, Shingai R. Adaptive evolution of the uncoupling protein 1 gene contributed to the
1369  acquisition of novel nonshivering thermogenesis in ancestral eutherian mammals. *Gene*. (2008) 408:37–44.
1370  10.1016/j.gene.2007.10.018.

1371 90. Sandelin A, Alkema W, Engström P, Wasserman WW, Lenhard B. JASPAR: an open-access database for
1372  eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004 Jan 1;32(Database issue):D91-4. doi:
1373  10.1093/nar/gkh012. PMID: 14681366; PMCID: PMC308747.

91. Schliep, K. P. (2011). Phangorn: Phylogenetic analysis in R. Bioinformatics, 27(4), 592–593.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq706

92. Scarpato M, Angelini C, Cocca E, Pallotta MM, Morescalchi MA, Capriglione T. Short interspersed DNA
elements and miRNAs: a novel hidden gene regulation layer in zebrafish? Chromosome Res. 2015
Sep;23(3):533-44. doi: 10.1007/s10577-015-9484-6. PMID: 26363800.

93. Seale P., Kajimura S., Yang W., et al. Transcriptional control of brown fat determination by PRDM16. *Cell
Metabolism.* 2007;6(1):38–54. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2007.06.001.

94. Shapira SN, Seale P. Transcriptional Control of Brown and Beige Fat Development and Function. Obesity
(Silver Spring). 2019 Jan;27(1):13-21. doi: 10.1002/oby.22334. PMID: 30569639; PMCID: PMC6309799.

95. Schrauwen P, Hinderling V, Hesselink MK, Schaart G, Kornips E, Saris WH, Westerterp-Plantenga M, Langhans
W. Etomoxir-induced increase in UCP3 supports a role of uncoupling protein 3 as a mitochondrial fatty acid
anion exporter. FASEB J. 2002 Oct;16(12):1688-90. doi: 10.1096/fj.02-0275fje. Epub 2002 Aug 21. PMID:
12206997.

96. Seki T, Yang Y, Sun X, Lim S, Xie S, Guo Z, Xiong W, Kuroda M, Sakaue H, Hosaka K, Jing X, Yoshihara M, Qu L,
Li X, Chen Y, Cao Y. Brown-fat-mediated tumour suppression by cold-altered global metabolism. Nature.
2022 Aug;608(7922):421-428. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05030-3. Epub 2022 Aug 3. PMID: 35922508;
PMCID: PMC9365697.

97. Singh S, Rajput YS, Barui AK, Sharma R, Datta TK. Fat accumulation in differentiated brown adipocytes is
linked with expression of Hox genes. Gene Expr Patterns. 2016 Mar;20(2):99-105. doi:
10.1016/j.gep.2016.01.002. Epub 2016 Jan 26. PMID: 26820751.

98. Singh S, Rajput YS, Barui AK, Sharma R, Grover S. Expression of developmental genes in brown fat cells grown
in vitro is linked with lipid accumulation. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2015 Nov;51(10):1003-11. doi:
10.1007/s11626-015-9930-y. Epub 2015 Jul 7. PMID: 26148884.

99. Slattery M, Riley T, Liu P, Abe N, Gomez-Alcala P, Dror I, Zhou T, Rohs R, Honig B, Bussemaker HJ, Mann RS.
Cofactor binding evokes latent differences in DNA binding specificity between Hox proteins. Cell. 2011 Dec
9;147(6):1270-82. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.053. PMID: 22153072; PMCID: PMC3319069.

100.Su T, Liu P, Ti X, Wu S, Xue X, Wang Z, Dioum E, Zhang Q. HIF1α, EGR1 and SP1 co-regulate the erythropoietin
receptor expression under hypoxia: an essential role in the growth of non-small cell lung cancer cells. Cell
Commun Signal. 2019 Nov 21;17(1):152. doi: 10.1186/s12964-019-0458-8. PMID: 31752873; PMCID:
PMC6869211.

101.Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent
stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell. 2007 Nov 30;131(5):861-72. doi:
10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.019. PMID: 18035408.

102.Tattersall GJ, Milsom WK. Hypoxia reduces the hypothalamic thermogenic threshold and thermosensitivity.
J Physiol. 2009 Nov 1;587(Pt 21):5259-74. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2009.175828. Epub 2009 Sep 21. PMID:
19770191; PMCID: PMC2790263.

103. Tayanloo-Beik A, Nikkhah A, Alaei S, Goodarzi P, Rezaei-Tavirani M, Mafi AR, Larijani B, Shouroki FF, Arjmand B. Brown adipose tissue and alzheimer's disease. Metab Brain Dis. 2023 Jan;38(1):91-107. doi: 10.1007/s11011-022-01097-z. Epub 2022 Nov 2. PMID: 36322277.

104. Thompson PJ, Macfarlan TS, Lorincz MC. Long Terminal Repeats: From Parasitic Elements to Building Blocks of the Transcriptional Regulatory Repertoire. Mol Cell. 2016 Jun 2;62(5):766-76. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.029. PMID: 27259207; PMCID: PMC4910160.

105. Timmons J. A., Wennmalm K., Larsson O., et al. Myogenic gene expression signature establishes that brown and white adipocytes originate from distinct cell lineages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2007;104(11):4401–4406. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610615104.

106. Tóth BB, Arianti R, Shaw A, Vámos A, Veréb Z, Póliska S, Győry F, Bacso Z, Fésüs L, Kristóf E. FTO Intronic SNP Strongly Influences Human Neck Adipocyte Browning Determined by Tissue and PPARγ Specific Regulation: A Transcriptome Analysis. Cells. 2020 Apr 16;9(4):987. doi: 10.3390/cells9040987. PMID: 32316277; PMCID: PMC7227023.

107. B Tóth B, Barta Z, Barta ÁB, Fésüs L. Regulatory modules of human thermogenic adipocytes: functional genomics of large cohort and Meta-analysis derived marker-genes. BMC Genomics. 2021 Dec 11;22(1):886. doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-08126-8. PMID: 34895148; PMCID: PMC8665548.

108. Vaishnav ED, de Boer CG, Molinet J, Yassour M, Fan L, Adiconis X, Thompson DA, Levin JZ, Cubillos FA, Regev A. The evolution, evolvability and engineering of gene regulatory DNA. Nature. 2022 Mar;603(7901):455-463. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04506-6. Epub 2022 Mar 9. PMID: 35264797; PMCID: PMC8934302.

109. Villarroya F, Peyrou M, Giralt M. Transcriptional regulation of the uncoupling protein-1 gene. Biochimie. 2017 Mar;134:86-92. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2016.09.017. Epub 2016 Oct 5. PMID: 27693079.

110. Waldén TB, Hansen IR, Timmons JA, Cannon B, Nedergaard J. Recruited vs. nonrecruited molecular signatures of brown, "brite," and white adipose tissues. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2012 Jan 1;302(1):E19-31. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00249.2011. Epub 2011 Aug 9. PMID: 21828341.

111. Warfel JD, Elks CM, Bayless DS, Vandanmagsar B, Stone AC, Velasquez SE, Olivares-Nazar P, Noland RC, Ghosh S, Zhang J, Mynatt RL. Rats lacking Ucp1 present a novel translational tool for the investigation of thermogenic adaptation during cold challenge. Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2023 May;238(1):e13935. doi: 10.1111/apha.13935. Epub 2023 Feb 7. PMID: 36650072.

112. Weirauch MT, Cote A, Norel R, Annala M, Zhao Y, Riley TR, Saez-Rodriguez J, Cokelaer T, Vedenko A, Talukder S; DREAM5 Consortium; Bussemaker HJ, Morris QD, Bulyk ML, Stolovitzky G, Hughes TR. Evaluation of methods for modeling transcription factor sequence specificity. Nat Biotechnol. 2013 Feb;31(2):126-34. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2486. Epub 2013 Jan 27. PMID: 23354101; PMCID: PMC3687085.

113. Wibmer AG, Becher T, Eljalby M, Crane A, Andrieu PC, Jiang CS, Vaughan R, Schöder H, Cohen P. Brown adipose tissue is associated with healthier body fat distribution and metabolic benefits independent of regional adiposity. Cell Rep Med. 2021 Jul 7;2(7):100332. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100332. PMID: 34337558; PMCID: PMC8324464.

114. Wunderlich Z, Mirny LA. Different gene regulation strategies revealed by analysis of binding motifs. Trends Genet. 2009 Oct;25(10):434-40. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2009.08.003. Epub 2009 Oct 6. PMID: 19815308; PMCID: PMC3697852.

115. Xiao W, Geng W, Zhou M, Xu J, Wang S, Huang Q, Sun Y, Li Y, Yang G, Jin Y. POU6F1 cooperates with RORA to suppress the proliferation of lung adenocarcinoma by downregulation HIF1A signaling pathway. Cell Death Dis. 2022 May 3;13(5):427. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-04857-y. PMID: 35504868; PMCID: PMC9065044.

116. Xu L, Xu C, Zhou S, Liu X, Wang J, Liu X, Qian S, Xin Y, Gao Y, Zhu Y, Tang X. PAX4 promotes PDX1-induced differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into insulin-secreting cells. Am J Transl Res. 2017 Mar 15;9(3):874-886. PMID: 28386318; PMCID: PMC5375983.

117. Xue B, Coulter A, Rim JS, Koza RA, Kozak LP. Transcriptional synergy and the regulation of Ucp1 during brown adipocyte induction in white fat depots. Mol Cell Biol. 2005 Sep;25(18):8311-22. doi: 10.1128/MCB.25.18.8311-8322.2005. PMID: 16135818; PMCID: PMC1234324.

118. Yevshin I, Sharipov R, Valeev T, Kel A, Kolpakov F. GTRD: a database of transcription factor binding sites identified by ChIP-seq experiments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017 Jan 4;45(D1):D61-D67. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw951. Epub 2016 Oct 24. PMID: 27924024; PMCID: PMC5210645.

119. Zhang X, Wang C, Liu M; 77-OR: Targeting JunB Induces a Shift from Low- to High-Thermogenic Adipocytes and Ameliorates Diet-Induced Insulin Resistance. *Diabetes* 20 June 2023; 72 (Supplement_1): 77–OR. doi: 10.2337/db23-77-OR

120. Zhang C, Weng Y, Shi F, Jin W. The Engrailed-1 Gene Stimulates Brown Adipogenesis. Stem Cells Int. 2016;2016:7369491. doi: 10.1155/2016/7369491. Epub 2016 Apr 11. PMID: 27148369; PMCID: PMC4842372.

121. Zhang J, Zhang Y, Sun T, Guo F, Huang S, Chandalia M, Abate N, Fan D, Xin HB, Chen YE, Fu M. Dietary obesity-induced Egr-1 in adipocytes facilitates energy storage via suppression of FOXC2. Sci Rep. 2013;3:1476. doi: 10.1038/srep01476. PMID: 23502673; PMCID: PMC3600596.

1482 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

1483 **Supplementary figure 1.**

1484

Supplementary Figure 1. Heatmaps show the pairwise genetic distances of the nucleotid sequences of the *UCP1*,

UCP2 and *UCP3* genes' regulatory and coding regions in humans, mice and rats (pheatmap program in R

environment). **1B** The phylogenetic tree shows the presence and the absence (red box) of the human UCP1

promoter DNA fragment spans between -2083 bp and -3502 bp (GRCh37/hg19: Chr4 141,492,160-141,493,617)

in *UCP1* promoter of different vertebrate species according to the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database

in the UCSC genome browser. No information is available for the three genera marked with blue question marks.

1C – 1G Alignments of the *UCP1* promoter sequences of different species highlight the palindromic nucleotide

sequences of the beginning and end of the DNA fragments (1419 bp and 658 bp) missing from the mouse and rat

UCP1 promoter according to the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome browser.

1H Graphical summary showing the human genomic occurrence of the *UCP1* promoter DNA fragment (1419 bp)

absent from the mice and rat *UCP1* promoter (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The graphic is an overview of the

database sequences aligned to the query sequence. These are represented by horizontal bars colored coded by

score and showing the extent of the alignment on the query sequence. Separate aligned regions on the same

database sequence are connected by a thin grey line.

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514   **Supplementary Figure 2.**

2A

2B

2C

1515

Supplementary Figure 2. 2A The spatial structure of human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* proteins
predicted by AlphaFold. The figures show the predicted spatial structure of the protein from the inter-membrane
face, the membrane-embedded side and the matrix face. The blue color indicates that for the *UCP1* gene, the
model predicts the spatial structure with high confidence for most of the part of the protein for all three species,
while for the other UCPs, the model confidence value is generally low. Typically, a short highly disordered portion
facing the matrix is well conserved in the UCP proteins of all three species. This potentially context-dependent
variable spatial structure may affect the function of the protein at a given time. **2B** Venn diagram showing the
number of TF REs identified in the promoter (-5000 bp –TSS – +1000 bp) of human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*
and *UCP3* genes using the EPD interface, with probability level p<1e-4. Merged Venn diagrams among species
show the number of unique and common TF REs in *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* genes. **2C** Venn diagram showing the
number of TF REs identified in the promoter (-5000 bp –TSS – +1000 bp) of human, mouse and rat *UCP1*, *UCP2*
and *UCP3* genes using the EPD interface, with probability level p<1e-5. Merged Venn diagrams among *UCP* genes
show the number of unique and common TF REs in human, mouse and rat *UCP* genes (Venn program in R
environment).

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

Supplementary Figure 3.

Supplementary Figure 3. In the aligned *UCP1* promoter sequence of different species at position -690 bp, we investigated the presence of TF binding sequences on the ConTra v3 platform, which gave positive search results on the EPD interface, as well as those that were not tested using EPD but have a potential RE based on their TF class classification. Stringency: core = 0.95, similarity matrix = 0.85.

Supplementary Figure 4.

Supplementary Figure 4. The heatmap depicts the relative gene expression profile of homeodomain Factor 1 class TFs (based on the JASPAR database) in adipocytes of cervical origin. For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown (Morpheus interface). Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix identifies TFs with gene expression profiles similar to *UCP1*. Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or brown (B) differentiation protocol.

1576 **Supplementary Figure 5.**

1577

1578 **Supplementary Figure 5.** The bar graphs show the number of abstracts deposited in the PubMed database in
1579 which the TF under study appears together with the keyword UCP1, highlighting the "longtail" distribution of the
1580 data. On the x-axis only a few representative TFs are named, the y-axis shows the number of abstracts (Excel).

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

Supplementary Figure 6.

1601

1602   **Supplementary Figure 6.** Bar graphs show the relative activity (z-score) of the putative species-specific and

1603   species-common homeodomain family TFs under study assessed per human tissue (according to ISMARA

1604   interface). The red box highlights the result recorded in adipose tissue samples.

1605

1606

1607

1608

1609 **Supplementary Figure 7.**

1610

1611

1612 **Supplementary Figure 7.** The heatmap shows the relative gene expression profiles of TFs, for which a binding

1613 sequence was identified at -690 bp and near +/- 40 bp in the promoter of human *UCP1* using EPD interface (p<1e-

1614 4). For comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on Spearman Rank similarity matrix

1615 identifies TFs with gene expression profiles similar to *UCP1* (red box) (Morpheus interface). Adipocytes were

1616 differentiated using a white (W) or brown (B) differentiation protocol.

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

Supplementary Figure 8.

1633

Supplementary Figure 8. Interactomes show the first level of the regulatory network of the putative species-specific and species-common homeodomain family TFs under study, such as PAX4, EN1, HOXA5, DLX2, PRRX2 and POU6F1 according to the ISMARA interface. The blue box highlights the partners that were recognized as having a RE close to (within +/- 40 bp of) the RE of the TF of interest.

Supplementary Figure 9.

9A
HOXA5 RE at -4785 bp and HIC1 -4797 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9B
DLX2 RE at -2478 bp and TEAD4 at -2496 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9C
HOXA2 RE at -3959 bp, NFKB2 RE at -3978 bp, ZNF410 and ZNF282 RE at -3948 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9D
NFIL3 RE at -881 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9E
REL RE at -902 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

9F
NFIL3 RE at -881 bp and REL RE at -904 bp in human *UCP1* promoter

1639

1640   **Supplementary Figure 9.** Alignment of *UCP1* promoter sequences from different species at different positions

1641   on the ConTra v3 platform. Human *UCP1*-specific TF REs are highlighted in different colors, and nearby TF REs

1642   are outlined in a blue box. **9A** shows the presence of the HOXA5 TF RE, unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at

1643   -4785 bp, and the nearby HIC1 RE at -4797 (EPD interface data). **9B** shows the presence of the DLX2 TF RE, unique

1644 to the human *UCP1* promoter at -2478 bp, and the nearby TEAD1-4 RE at -2496 (EPD interface data). **9C** shows

1645 the presence of the HOXA2 TF RE, unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at -3959 bp, and the nearby NFKB RE at

1646 -3978, and ZNF410 and ZNF282 at - 3948 bp (EPD interface data). **9D** shows the presence of the NFIL3 TF RE,

1647 unique to the human *UCP1* promoter at -881 bp, and **9E** the nearby REL RE at -902 bp (EPD interface data). **9F**

1648 shows the presence of the NFIL3 TF RE at -881 bp and the nearby REL RE at -902 bp across species in UCSC

1649 genome browser (ConTra v3 and EPD interface data).

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676 **Supplementary Figure 10.**

10A
POU6F1 RE at -4715 bp in human UCP1 promoter

10B
POU6F1 RE at -2913 bp in human UCP1 promoter

1677

1678 **Supplementary Figure 10.** Alignment of the *UCP1* promoter sequences of different species **10A** at -4715 bp

1679 position and **10B** at -2913 bp position to investigate the presence of POU6F1 TF-binding sequences in human,

1680 mouse and rat promoter according to the Multiz Alignment of 100 Vertebrates database in the UCSC genome

1681 browser.

Supplementary Figure 11.

11A

11B Phylogenetic footprinting: The HIF1A binding site in the human UCP1 promoter (ConTra 3v interface)

11C Phylogenetic footprinting: The EGR1 and HIF1A binding site in the human UCP1 promoter (ConTra 3v interface)

11D Phylogenetic footprinting: The SP2 EGR1 and HIF1A binding site in the human UCP1 promoter (ConTra 3v interface)

1683

Supplementary Figure 11. **11A** Heatmap showing the relative gene expression profile of common TFs identified

in the promoters of human *UCP1*, *UCP2* and *UCP3* around -264 bp missing the binding sequence in the promoters

of mouse and rat orthologous genes using EPD interface (p<1e-4) in cervical derived adipocyte samples. For

comparison, *UCP1* is also shown. Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the Spearman Rank similarity matrix

identifies TFs with similar gene expression profiles to *UCP1*. (Morpheus Interface) **11B** Phylogenetic footprinting:

the green color/red box highlights the HIF1A binding site in the *UCP1* promoter at -264 (ConTra v3 interface).

11C Phylogenetic footprinting: Blue color/green box highlights the EGR1 RE at -257 and and red box the HIF1A

RE at -264 bp in the *UCP1* promoter (ConTra v3 interface). **11D** Phylogenetic footprinting: the green color/purple

box highlights the SP2 RE at -223 bp, the green box shows the EGR1 RE at -257 bp and the red box the HIF1A RE

at -264 bp in the *UCP1* promoter (ConTra v3 interface). Adipocytes were differentiated using a white (W) or

brown (B) differentiation protocol.