Host shapes microevolution of MDR *Acinetobacter baumannii* during long-term
infection

Authors: Léa Bednarczuk[a,b], Alexandre Chassard[a#], Julie Plantade[a#], Xavier
Charpentier[a] and Maria-Halima Laaberki[a,b*].

a. CIRI, Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, Inserm, U1111, Université
Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR5308, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Univ
Lyon, 69007, Lyon, France
b. Université de Lyon, VetAgro Sup, 69280 Marcy l'Etoile, France.

#equivalent contributions
*Correspondence to Maria-Halima Laaberki: maria-halima.laaberki@vetagro-sup.fr

Running title: Within-host evolution of *A. baumannii*
Word count: Abstract (226), Importance (121), Text (5695)

18
19

Abstract

Acinetobacter baumannii is a nosocomial pathogen associated with various infections,

including urinary tract infections (UTIs). *A. baumannii* is known to rapidly become

resistant to antibiotic therapy, but much less is known about possible adaptation

without antibiotic pressure and thereby host-driven evolution. Through a retrospective

study, we investigated within-host microevolution during a subclinical five-year UTI in

an animal-patient after withdrawal of colistin treatment. We conducted whole-genome

sequencing and phenotypic assays on seventeen ST25 isolates. Eight of which were

isolated longitudinally throughout the infection timeline while nine others were obtained

subsequently to investigate bacterial diversity. We determined that the infection was

monophyletic with variations in genome sizes and nucleotide sequences. Over the

course of the infection, *A. baumannii* underwent genome reduction through insertion

sequence (IS) recombination, phage excision, or plasmid curing. Despite this global

genome reduction, we observed an expansion of IS*17*, initially located on an

endogenous plasmid. Gene loss resulted in decreased antibiotic resistance, yet colistin

resistance due to a *pmrB* mutation remained stable. All other genetic variations were

mainly located in metabolism and biofilm formation genes. Metabolic assays revealed

a shift towards as slight decreased metabolic flexibility, presumably adapted to host's

urine composition. Moreover, repeated variation affecting biofilm and adhesion genes

associated with weak biofilm-forming capacity suggests a genetic drift of repressed

genes. This study provides a unique insight into host adaptation of this opportunistic

pathogen.

Importance

This study sheds light on the adaptive strategies of *Acinetobacter baumannii* during a

five-year UTI in the absence of antibiotic treatment. The observation of both

longitudinal strains and synchronic strains highlights the necessity of studying bacterial

diversity to understand the evolutive strategies, as relying solely on single-isolate

approaches can result in misleading conclusions. By combining genomic and

phenotypic analyses, we observed a significant reduction in genome size over the

course of the infection, resulting in some loss of metabolism flexibility, adhesion

capacities and antibiotic resistance. Altogether, our findings suggest that during

infection *A. baumannii* streamlines its genome, discarding unnecessary functions in

favor of a more adapted lifestyle to colonize its new environment, possibly reducing its

capacity to further cause difficult-to-treat infections.

Introduction

Acinetobacter baumannii is a non-fermentative Gram-negative pathogenic bacterium responsible for nosocomial infections in humans and animals, particularly in intensive care units (1, 2). *A. baumannii* represents a major threat to public health due to the rapid emergence of multidrug-resistant isolates increasingly difficult to eradicate (3). Indeed, few antibiotics remain effective against this pathogen, such as carbapenems, and the carbapenem resistance of clinical isolates may require poorly tolerated antibiotics such as colistin (4). Emergence of carbapenem resistance in *A. baumannii* therefore limits treatment option and led World Health Organization (WHO) to classify carbapenem-resistant strains of *A. baumannii* (CRAB) as a priority for research and development of new drugs (5). This pathogen is also described in animal infections especially in pets with strains belonging to clones common with human medicine with concerning resistance to human restricted antibiotics such as carbapenems (1, 6).

This bacterium has no particular tropism, causing mainly pulmonary infections but also capable of skin, blood, bones, urinary tract infections (7). The existence of extra-hospital reservoirs has long been disputed, but recent studies demonstrate that *A. baumannii* is an ubiquitous bacterium found both in soils and carried by healthy individuals - humans and animals (8–11). This bacterium is therefore capable of thriving in highly contrasted environments, demonstrating a great capacity for adaptation; antibiotic resistance would be just one facet of its versatility applied to the healthcare context.

Several within-host studies of *A. baumannii* infection describe antibiotic resistance emergence in response to treatment due to non-synonymous (NS) single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) or horizontal gene transfer (12, 13). However, little is known about host adaptation, because brief timeframes of infection limiting observation of bacterial evolution within a host. Additionally, these investigations focused on human infections, typically involving extended antibiotic treatments making difficult to decipher antibiotic from host adaptation. They also involve mostly single-isolate approaches hindering the understanding of within-host diversity. Consequently, there remains a limited understanding of the fate of antibiotic resistance genes and more broadly of gene evolution post-antibiotic exposure and during host colonization. Seminal within-host microevolution studies on *A. baumannii* observed the accumulation of variations in genes involved surface structures such as motility, adhesion, biofilm formation or cell wall and capsule biogenesis, suggesting a consistent selective pressure during

antibiotic-treated infections but phenotypic validation are usually missing (14–16).
Phenotypically, antibiotic exposure prompts bacteria to adopt a biofilm-like lifestyle,
enhancing their resistance (17). These biofilms pose challenges for infection treatment
and promote recurrence, notably in urinary tract infections (UTI) (18). Despite the over-
representation of pulmonary and bloodstream infections in *A. baumannii* studies, the
urinary tract is a major source of *A. baumannii* isolates in human (19). Tissue tropism
may result from mobile genetic elements regulation as exemplified by plasmid-driven
regulation of chromosomal genes modifying strain adhesion pattern (6, 20, 21).
Therefore, *A. baumannii* within-host microevolution may involve crosstalk of mobile
genetic element and core genome determinant but clinical evidence is missing.
In the following case study, a pet infection emerged from a subcutaneous ureteral
bypass placement which was particularly described as a risk factor for development of
A. baumannii UTI in cats (22). We used whole-genome sequencing and phenotype
assays on 17 *A. baumannii* strains isolated from the same cat with UTI over a five-year
period without antibiotic treatment. We sought to investigate the fate of antibiotic
resistance and explore evolutionary strategies upon infection.
107

Results

A ST25 monophyletic infection related to human and animal cases

A retrospective study was performed on *A. baumannii* isolates cultured from a single
animal-patient (cat) over a 5-year period (2014 to 2019). This patient-animal developed
a urinary *A. baumannii* infection following the placement of a urinary invasive medical
device. The early isolate was identified as multidrug resistant, including to human-
restricted antibiotic carbapenems, but not to colistin. However, two colistin treatments
failed to clear the infection. Given the limited antibiotic arsenal authorized in veterinary
medicine, , and the absence of invalidating clinical signs, no further antibiotic treatment
was attempted. During medical follow-ups, eight strains (referred as longitudinal
strains) were sequentially isolated and stored by the hospital laboratory from
November 2014 to February 2019 (**FIG S1 & TABLE S1**). In addition, nine strains
(referred to as synchronic strains) were subsequently isolated from a single complete
urine sample collected on October 2019.

FIG 1. Phylogenetic proximity of F14-11 to other strains isolated from human and animal infections
worldwide. (A) Unrooted Maximum Likelihood Phylogeny constructed with Gubbins based on
RAxML. Tips are colored according to their geographic site of isolation, annotated with the initial
letter of the country, and shaped according to the host. Bootstrap values are indicated at nodes.
The scale below shows the length of branches in base substitutions. (B) World map highlighting
countries corresponding to the color scheme.

Whole-genome sequencing and hybrid assembly of the seventeen study strains
revealed a monophyletic infection with a sequence type (ST) 25 clone, ST associated
with UTI in human and animals (6, 20). To identify the closest genomes to F14-11, the
earliest isolate, we used the Similar Genome Finder utility of the WhatsGNU tool to
query an *A. baumannii* genome database retrieved from the NCBI (5234 genomes,
downloaded on February, 17th 2023, 23). Most strains were from human origin as
publicly available *A. baumannii* genomes are mostly related to human medicine. The
phylogenetic tree constructed from twenty-three ST25 strains reveals an apparent
correlation between isolation location and phylogenetic proximity for Eurasian and
American distributions. However, both North American strains were probably military
imports from overseas explaining their clustering with an Iraqi strain (24) (**FIG 1**). This
analysis also indicated a clustering of clones independently of their host implying
zoonotic transfer and highlighting the relevance of investigating animal infections for

human health (25). The closest human strain, 16A1524, was indeed isolated in France
in 2016. Interestingly, the closest strain, 40288, was also isolated in France from a dog
UTI in 2015 (26). F14-11 and 40288 exhibit only 31 SNPs across their chromosomes
and 1 SNP between their ~145Kb-length plasmids p40288 and pF14-11 (**TABLE S2**).
The pF14-11 backbone was also detected in 22 of closest genomes (coverage from
65% to 100%, **TABLE S2**). Despite its reduced size, pF14-11 shares 100% identity
with plasmids pVB82 (215Kb) and pHWBA8 (195Kb) carried by close eponymous
strains (**FIG S2** and **TABLE S2**). This size reduction may explain the inability of pF14-
11 to undergo conjugation and reflect its bacterial host's evolution (**FIG S2**).

FIG 2. Phylogenic tree of the study strains and 40288 associated with the distribution of the genetic variations. (A) Left: Maximum Likelihood Phylogeny constructed with Gubbins based on RAxML. The tree was rooted with strain 17021. Blue nodes indicate bootstrap values >80. Right: Representation of SNPs and microindels in black, insertions in pink and deletions in green along the genomes in comparison to F14-11 (reference genome). (B) Cumulative distribution of the genetic variations along the F14-11 genome with *y*-axis indicating the number of study strains harboring the genetic variation. The genes most prone to variation are shown.

Genome reduction and insertion sequences proliferation

To further investigate within-host genome evolution, we performed whole-genome comparison of the sixteen study strains to the early one F14-11, set as a reference (**FIG S1** & **TABLE S1** for isolates descriptions). This analysis revealed a low number of SNPs scattered throughout the genome in comparison to F14-11, with a mean of 31 SNPs per genome (ranging from 3 SNPs for the closest genomes to 78 SNPs for the most distant one).

Phylogenetic analysis suggests an absence of correlation between isolation date and phylogenetic relationships (**FIG 2**). Notably, longitudinal isolates F18-02 and F19-02 form an independent clade, referred as clade I, sharing a hypothetical common ancestor with all other strains. In contrast, late synchronic strains isolated in 2019 cluster with strains isolated in 2015 implying the coexistence of different lineages during the infection. This monophyletic group, referred as clade II, may have gained competitive advantage over time. In addition, a correlation is observed between the mean chromosome size of strains isolated within the same month and the time elapsed between months of isolation (R^2=0.86, P=6.7×10^{-3}, Spearman test) with a reduction in size during infection (**TABLE S1**). This correlation suggests that a reduced genome may confer a selective advantage in this niche. Genome reduction more frequently operates on mobile genetic elements such as prophages and plasmid. Indeed, most strains show independent excisions of one to three of the four prophages identified in strain F14-11. Close strains F18-02 and F19-02 lost one and two prophages respectively (**FIG 2**, large deletions highlighted in green and **FIG S3A**, prophage regions 2 and 4) probably independently from strains from clade II.

A reduction in plasmid size is also observed during the infection. Four of the synchronic isolates lack a 12-18 kb plasmid region corresponding to the BREX phage resistance system while three have lost the plasmid entirely (**FIG 2 & S3B and C**) (27). Moreover, within-host evolution also operates through IS dynamics. Interestingly, the most abundant IS in chromosome originated from the resident plasmid (**FIG S4**). Indeed, IS*17*, an IS*5* family insertion sequence, was absent from the chromosome of the earliest strain, F14-11, but carried by its plasmid pF14-11. While a single instance of IS*17* was found in the chromosomes of strains isolated in 2015, it significantly multiplied in synchronic strains, climaxing with 75 occurrences in strain F19-10-MH12.

Genetic drift of adhesion and biofilm genes

Over 82% of SNPs and microindels of the strains studied are in coding sequences, and 80% of these are non-synonymous, indicating positive selection. Most of these coding sequences are involved in adhesion and biofilm formation that are also affected by insertion and deletion events (**FIG 2**; *cup* (28), *csu* (29), *pga* (30), *bapA* (31), *algC* (32) genes). Strikingly, genes involved in biogenesis of archaic and classical types of chaperone-usher pili involved in adhesion step of biofilm formation (*csu* and *cup* operon respectively) were subjected to IS insertion, deletion and frameshift. Specifically, the *csu* operon is affected by insertions by distinct IS in strains F15-01A (IS*17*) and F15-06 (IS*Aba33*), this latter insertion being conserved in most of synchronic isolates (7 out of 9). Genes of the classical pili Abp1 (formerly Cup), *cupA* and *cupC*, were also affected by large deletions and indel leading to frameshift in most strains of the clade II. Additionally, genes involved in biofilm formation such as *algC* and *pgaABCD* but also *bapA* gene were also disrupted by IS insertion or subjected to deletion events (**FIG 2**).

FIG 3. Biofilm formation by the study strains and the dog UTI strain 40288 associated with their phylogenetic relationships. Blue nodes indicate bootstrap values >80. Biofilm formation was assessed by measuring the optical density of crystal violet stained matrix and compared to

environmental strain DSM30011. Error bars are generated from 12 replicas from 4 independent
experiments. Statistically significant differences with F14-11 (Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum tests with
Bonferroni-Holm correction) are highlighted with asterisks: (*) if the p-value < 0.05, (* *) if the p-
value ≤ 0.01, and (* * *) if the p-value ≤ 0.001.

Hence, adhesion appendages and biofilm components appear dispensable during a
prolonged infection. To bring experimental evidence to this hypothesis, biofilm-forming
capacities of the study strains were measured and compared with those of the
environmental DSM30011 strain described as strong biofilm-producer (**FIG 3**) (33). As
observed for human clinical strains, the animal clinical strain F14-11 forms less biofilm
than DSM30011 (3-fold; p-value: 0.00143). However, F14-11 carries a plasmid closely
related to plasmid pAB5 that downregulates biofilm *pga* and adhesion *cup* genes in
strain UPAB1 (20, 21). We therefore tested the hypothesis that pF14-11 may restraint
biofilm production. Yet, pF14-11 curing did not increase adhesion, biofilm nor PNAG
production (**FIG S5**).
Surprisingly, F16-05 isolate is a strong biofilm producer despite a frameshift in the
cupC gene and a non-synonymous SNP in *pgaB*. However, its adhesion capacity is
indeed greatly affected (**FIG S5**). Moreover, in spite of the genome proximity between
F14-11, F18-02 and F19-02 these latter two strains produce biofilm amount
comparable to DSM30011 (p-value=1) (**FIG 3**). However, none of the SNPs, mainly
found in intergenic region, nor the phage deletions of these strains are common
between F16-05 and the late isolates and could account for this phenotype. The strong
biofilm production by these three strains, indicate that biofilm production is repressed
in F14-11 strain by a chromosomally encoded factor. In contrast, strains from the clade
II exhibit comparable or reduced biofilm production in comparison to F14-11. However,
considering the numerous adverse variations in their adhesion and biofilm genes, a
more drastic reduction in biofilm formation was expected. In addition, F14-11, despite
identical biofilm and adhesion genes (*cup*, *csu*, *pga*, *algC* genes), produces less biofilm
than dog UTI strain 40288 (p-value: 1.3e-05). We tested if the residual biofilm capacity
of F14-11 involved type IV pili or *prp* type I pili but fail to identify its adhesion
mechanism (**FIG S5**).
Altogether, these results indicate that the F14-11 strain isolated at an early stage of
infection exhibits low biofilm production, probably due to repression of biofilm genes
by a chromosomally encoded factor. These repressed genes were probably subjected

to genetic drift. However, our results indicate that weak and strong biofilm-producing
populations probably coexist but sampling method may favor isolation of planktonic
cells.

Colistin resistance fixation but overall resistance loss

FIG 4. Heatmap of antibiotic susceptibility of the study strains associated with their phylogenetic
relationships. Blue nodes indicate bootstrap values >80.. Abbreviations. AMK: Amikacin, GEN:
Gentamicin, TOB: Tobramycin, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, PIP: Piperacillin, TZP: Piperacillin +
tazobactam, TIC: Ticarcillin, TIM: TIC + clavulanic acid, CAZ: Ceftazidime, FEP: Cefepime, IMP:
Imipenem, MEM: Meropenem, ATM: Aztreonam, TET: Tetracycline, COL: colistin. Antibiotic
susceptibilities were either measured using minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) or by the disk
diffusion methods. The antibiotics tested are listed on the x-axis and the study strains on the y-
axis.

The first isolate F14-11 is resistant to penicillins, cephalosporines (but ceftazidime),
fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides (but amikacin) and carbapenems. Following colistin
treatments, colistin resistance developed in 2015 isolates (**FIG 4**). Colistin resistance
was mainly maintained after cessation of treatment with the exception of two sensitive
isolates (F18-02 and F19-02). Colistin resistant isolates share a PmrB(P170T)
substitution. We ensured that PmrB(P170T) transfer to F14-11 resulted in colistin
resistance (MIC>16µg/mL). Contrarily to PmrB(P170L) associated to a growth defect
in laboratory define medium (34), F14-11 derivatives PmrB(P170T) and parental F14-
11 have comparable growth rate (**FIG S6**). Interestingly, isolation of colistin-sensitive

strains with wild type *pmrB* sequence suggests coexistence of both resistant and
sensitive strains (**FIG 4** ; F18-02 and F19-02).
In contrast to colistin resistance, several antibiotic resistances were lost in the clade II
in comparison to F14-11. Most susceptibilities emerged due to plasmid loss. Early
isolate plasmid pF14-11 harbors genes conferring resistance to tetracycline (*tetA(B)*),
aminoglycosides (*strA/strB, aac(3)-IIa, aac(6')-Ian*) and sulfonamides (*sul2*) frequently
associated with this family of plasmid (25). Plasmid loss expectedly results in
susceptibility to tetracycline and tobramycin as observed for strains F19-10-MH12,
F19-10-2, and F19-10-9 (**FIG 4**). However, plasmid loss alone may not explain
recovered susceptibility to antibiotics as two tobramycin-sensitive strains still carry a
plasmid (**FIG 2 & 4**; F19-10-CH6 and F19-10-8). Their intact resistance gene
sequences led us to hypothesize that plasmid-specific deletion events encompassing
a putative DNA primase encoding gene may lower plasmid copy number and maybe
decrease associated resistance to tobramycin.
Chromosomal resistance carried by mobile genetic elements were also subjected to
curing. F14-11 strain carries two copies of Tn*2006* carrying *bla*$_{OXA-23}$ gene accounting
for its carbapenem resistance. Closely related strain 40288 also bears two Tn*2006*
copies located either in an AbaR4 resistance island inserted in the *comM* gene or
interrupting the *vgrG* gene (26) . Compared to 40288, F14-11 present a recombination
event between Tn*2006* copies leading to a split AbaR4. Further deletions of one or two
Tn*2006* in several strains may explain increased susceptibility to carbapenems (**FIG 4**
; respectively in F19-10-4, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8 F19-10-MH6 and F19-10-MH12).
Additionally, resistance decreases without antibiotic gene loss may be related to
compromised growth in laboratory medium associated with large chromosomal
deletion events (**FIG 4 & S7**; F19-10-2, F19-10-9 and F19-10-MH6). Taken together,
these results indicate an overall decline in antibiotic resistance mediated by curing of
mobile genetic elements. They also suggest coexistence of strains with varying
resistance levels within a population hence illustrating within host heteroresistance
even in the absence of antibiotic pressure.

Adaptation to urine composition
Bacterial metabolism versatility is a crucial factor for successful colonization of a new
environment. Similarly to humans, cat's urine composition is highly variable and
complex with thousands of unique compounds (35, 36). The variations occur both

among different individuals and within the same individual over time, under the
influence of environmental factors, particularly the type of diet (35). Interestingly,
genetic variations in study strains mainly occur in metabolic genes (**FIG S8**).
For instance, most strains (13/17) have a substitution in *pyrG* gene encoding the
putative PyrG enzyme catalyzing amination of UTP to CTP thereby regulating
intracellular CTP levels (**FIG 2**) (37). The non-synonymous substitution (H193R) being
in the vicinity of the conserved putative UTP binding site we hypothesized that it
increases the enzyme affinity for its substrate. To further explore the metabolism
capacities of study strains, we utilized Biolog metabolic phenotypic microarray.

FIG 5. Heatmap of AUC_{48h} for growth either in 70 different nutrient sources, or two pH and three
salinity conditions associated with strains phylogenetic relationships. Blue nodes indicate bootstrap
values >80. Amino acids are highlighted in bold. The AUC_{48h} parameter was extracted from kinetic
data over 48 h in Biolog Gen III MicroPlate. The highest and lowest AUC_{48h} are indicated by green
and red colors, respectively. The different compounds and conditions tested are listed on the x-
axis and the study strains on the y-axis. Mean data of two independent experiments are shown.

Strains demonstrate an overall poor ability to grow in carbohydrates but were able to
utilize most amino acids as sole nutrient source (**FIG 5**). This shared metabolic profile
is advantageous in the context of urinary infection as urine usually lacks carbohydrates
whereas amino acids and small peptides are abundant (35, 36). The metabolic profiles
of the different strains were mostly similar, with the exception of F19-10-MH12 strain
whose metabolic capacities may have been profoundly affected by numerous IS*17*
insertions (**FIG 5 & S4**). For all nutrient sources tested, metabolic capacities were only
marginally altered by allelic variation, transposition, or large deletion. Indeed, a P51H
substitution likely abrogated GABA permease function resulting in a growth defect in

GABA in strains F19-10-8 and F19-10-CH6. IS*Aba53* insertion upstream an amino
acid transporter and downstream an arginine *N*-succinyltransferase may account for
slower growth on L-arginine, with ~2-fold lower area under the curve over 48 hours
(AUC_{48h}) of strains F19-10-2 and F19-10-9 compared to F14-11. Moreover, a 37Kb
deletion occurring in F15-06 likely mediated by recombination between two IS*Aba34*
insertion sequences is conserved in most strains of the clade II (but F19-10-8). The
deleted region encompasses 27 genes including 19 metabolism genes whereas only
40.8% of F14-11 genes are of this category (1533/3758). Besides, large deletion of
232.7Kb encompassing 192 metabolism genes found in F19-10-4 and F19-10-MH6
strains may impair growth on L-pyroglutamic acid. These large deletions indicate a
dispensability of associated metabolic functions for growth in urine.

Surprisingly, seven strains exhibit a more efficient growth with D-malic acid with up to
~5-fold higher AUC_{48h} for F19-10-2, F19-10-9, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH6 and F19-10-
CH8 compared to F14-11. This gain of function for utilization of an artificial amino-acid
may reflect an increase efficiency of enzymes from the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle
and the requirement of this metabolism pathway for growth in the urinary tract as
observed for Uropathogenic *E. coli* (38). An alternative explanation would be an
increased metabolization of D-malic acid that is a food preservative authorized in
animal feed (39). This unexpected gain in function, may therefore reflect adaptation to
the host's urine composition. An adaptation to this specific environment shaped by host
diet may also explain the repeated gene evolution found in 14 strains out of 17 for
aminobenzoate utilization (*hca* operon, **FIG 2**). Strains presented either a non-
synonymous substitution (G269V) in the putative membrane transporter HcaK, and a
stop codon mutation in the gene encoding its putative repressor HcaR, indicating a
possible increase in aminobenzoate utilization. This family of compounds can be found
in human and animal food, sometime used as food preservative and are excreted in
urine (40). Lastly, in comparison to F14-11, most strains of the clade II exhibited a
significant growth deficiency under low pH conditions as well as under high
concentrations of NaCl (4% NaCl). A synonymous and a non-synonymous
substitutions in the *bauA* gene may reflect a selective pressure for pH adaptation (F19-
10-2 and F19-10-9; F19-10-CH6 and F19-10-8) (41). Indeed, clinical urine tests carried
out at the beginning of the infection revealed a neutral pH (7.48). Besides, food diet for
cats with urinary disease usually promotes urine pH between 6 to 6.5 and leads to

NaCl concentration around 0.5% (42). Therefore, the evolved profile may once again

result from adaptation to host's urine specific composition.

.

Discussion

High versality of certain clones of *A. baumannii* may explain their ability to colonize various host and dominate the global epidemiology of this pathogen. In this study, we examined within-host genomic evolution during prolonged urinary infection of a ST25 strain in an animal host. The genetic proximity between this animal strain and human strains strongly favors the hypothesis of a zoonotic transmission, with a potential transmission from a human to animal hosts (a cat for F14-11 strain and a dog for 40288 strain). A relatedness between human and animal isolates of the ST25 lineage was also suggested by a recent study (25). Remarkably, most animal ST25 strains analyzed in this latter study were isolated from urinary infection in greater extent than for human strains suggesting a host-specific tropism. Following transmission, the strain described in our study have further evolved by mutations, insertions and deletions presumably mediated by intragenomic rearrangements. These latter two genetic variations involve IS dynamics known to promote evolution *in vitro* (43, 44). We described that the only plasmid copy of IS*17* was subsequently detected in chromosomes of evolved strains collected during the infection. This result brings additional evidence of IS dynamics shaping bacterial evolution to adapt to a new environment, as recently described for IS*1* in clinical Enterobacteriacea (45).

Genetic variations are mainly observed in genes associated with biofilm formation and metabolism. Strikingly, we found that two of three type I *pili* structures encoded by these ST25 isolates were profoundly affected by mutations (*csu* and *cup* genes). Interestingly, several studies described recurrent downregulation or mutation affecting of the *csu* operon following infection by human clinical isolates (15, 34, 46). Besides type I *pili*, other biofilm formation genes present genetic variations such as *algC* and *pga* operon. The *cup* and *pga* genes were also described as downregulated by large conjugative plasmid of a urinary strain involving plasmid-encoded transcriptional regulators that directly or indirectly repressed their expression (20). For the present study urinary strain, curing the related large plasmid or deletion of transcriptional regulators did not modify adhesion pattern suggesting that a strong repression of these genes may also involve chromosomal determinants. Therefore, plasmid-mediated regulation may be indirect involving interplay with chromosomal regulatory pathways of adhesion and biofilm genes. Study strains may have adapted their chromosomal regulatory pathway to alleviate plasmid regulation. Repression of biofilm and adhesion

gene expression indicates a dispensability for infection and may have subsequently led to their evolution by genetic drift. This hypothesis is strongly sustained by the recurrent and varying types of gene inactivation observed in those loci. This evolution implies that evolved strains would be impaired in further colonization and infection. Convergent evolution towards loss of function in several of the study strains may even suggest a purifying selection against those traits. Indeed, adhesive structures such as *pili* are highly immunogenic and are even being exploited for vaccine development (47). Loss of these appendages would result in an escape from the host immune system. A heterogenous bacterial population with fluctuating phenotypes may reflect an evolutionary adjustment in the balance between adherence and camouflage.

Furthermore, our data suggest a coexistence of strong and poor biofilm-producers rather than a homogenous population. This coexistence was also described in other urinary pathogen during a long-term human urinary infection with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (48). These observations may indicate heterogeneous occupation of the urinary tract with spatially distinct niches. Alternatively, strong and poor biofilm-producers could collaborate, with sufficient extracellular matrix to allow poor biofilm-producer strains to embed themselves in the biofilm. Our study also present divergences with previous studies on human infections. Indeed, we observed only few mutations in translational machinery genes or in genes related to replication, recombination, and repair (16, 46). However, these evolutions may have been shaped by alternative antibiotic treatments performed on human patients. Moreover, contrarily to previous report, colistin resistance was maintained in the study strains. Snitkin *et al.* isolated colistin-sensitive strains from human patients after removal of this antibiotic (46). However, this study used a single-isolate approach randomly isolated that may not reflect the overall population. Alternatively, the PmrB(P170T) may represent a low-cost mutation or even be beneficial in the context of urine infection. Indeed, recent findings on PmrAB indicate that this two-component system responds to low pH (5.8) and metal ions (Fe^{2+}, Zn^{2+} and Al^{3+}) (49). Therefore, PmrB(P170T) mutation could have been maintained to mitigate a urine component toxicity. The metabolic genes have undergone the strongest evolution within the host during this long-term infection. This trend is also observed in longitudinal within-host studies conducted on other bacterial species indicating common survival strategies (50–53). However, strains display an unexpected stable metabolism profile. This observation may reflect our technical limitation as only 70 nutrient sources were tested. Nevertheless, the reduced genomes

may be sufficient to efficiently grow on nutrients available in their host environment,
such as amino acids, and even to adapt to this specific medium with as near neutral
pH, low sodium and chloride, and even presence of diet-associated compound (D-
malic acid metabolism, utilization of aminobenzoate). This latter observation brings
new perspectives to the management of patients suffering from *A. baumannii* infection,
and the potential role of host diet in patient care.
Our results also unveiled a hidden phenotypic and genetic diversity within a clinical
sample. Rather than to restrict conclusion to a single isolate with defined
characteristics, the advent of new sequencing technology such as single-cell
sequencing should enable a comprehensive understanding of pathogen genomic
dynamics during infection (54).
439

Materials and methods

Strains
A. baumannii strains identified in the Laboratoire Vétérinaire Départemental du Rhône,
France (LVD69) were stored in an archive since 2014. Nine strains originated from
distinct urine samples collected between 2014 and 2019 and referred to as the
longitudinal strains, while all others were derived from a single urine sample collected
later in October 2019, referred to as the synchronic isolates. Samples were obtained
not for the purpose of this study or research, but rather for diagnostic purposes. The
longitudinal strains were initially isolated on a blood agar plate and the synchronic
strains were isolated using three different media: LB (Lysogeny Broth, Sigma) agar,
Mueller-Hinton agar (Sigma) and CHROMagar *Acinetobacter* (CHROMagar). All the
strains used in this study are listed in Supplemental **Table S1**.

Metabolic assays
Metabolic assays were conducted using Biolog Gen III Microplates (Hayward, CA)
following the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, the strains were streaked on LB-agar
and incubated over night at 30°C. For each strain, a single colony was resuspended
in inoculating fluid A (IFA, Biolog) using a cotton-tipped Inoculatorz swab (Biolog) to
reach a cell density of 90-98%. Each well of the Gen III MicroPlate, containing either a
specific carbon source or a chemical sensitivity testing agent, were filled with 100 µL

of the bacterial suspensions and incubated at 33°C for 48 hours in the OmniLog
incubator/reader measuring the reduction of tetrazolium redox dye (forming a purple
color) due to bacterial growth.

Genome sequencing and annotation
Bacteria were grown in liquid LB medium and DNA was purified using Wizard genomic
DNA kit (Promega). DNA quantifications were performed using Qubit™ dsDNA HS
Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For each isolate, Oxford Nanopore and Illumina
DNA sequencings were both performed. Nanopore sequencing were performed using
MinIon flow cells [FLO-MIN106] using the SQK-RBK004 barcoding kit. Illumina
sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq 2000 with paired 150-base sequence
reads (Novogene). Each read set was assembled individually using Unicycler (version
0.4.9, 41) and annotated using Prokka (version 1.14.5, 42) on the Galaxy pipeline
using as reference AB5075-UW protein sequences (GeneBank accession number:
CP008706.1). For analysis purposes, plasmid pF14-11 was annotated using pA297-3
sequence (GeneBank accession number: KU744946) except for the *tet*R genes that
were named after pAB04 (Genebank accession number: CP012007, 19, 49–52).
Putative prophages were predicted using PHASTER (59). Putative resistance genes
were detected using Resfinder (version 4.5.0, 54) and insertion sequences using
ISfinder (61). All genomes are available at NCBI under BioProject PRJNA1100485.

Construction of bacterial strains
All the oligonucleotides used in this study for genetic modification are listed in
supplementary **TABLE S3**. Gene disruptions were performed using a scarless genome
editing strategy described previously (26). For plasmid curing of strain F14-11, its
Δ*higA-higB::sacB-aac4* derivative was grown overnight in LB (2mL) at 37°C, the next
day, 100µL were spread on M63 with 10% sucrose for 24h growth at 37°C then purified
on the same medium. About 150 sucrose-resistant CFUs were then patched on LB
agar, LB Tetracycline 30µg/mL, LB Apramycin 30µg/mL. Tetracycline and apramycin
resistant clones were screened by PCR and plasmid extraction for plasmid loss.

Phylogenetic analysis of the study strains
All chromosomes were aligned to the F14-11 chromosome, set as a reference, using
the Gubbins script generate_ska_alignment.py, which creates an alignment using split

k-mer analysis version 2 (SKA2, version 0.3.7, 58). The strain 17021 was additionally
included to root the tree. This strain is a suitable root as it lies outside the other study
strains while still being phylogenetically close. Maximum likelihood tree reconstruction
was performed with Gubbins (version 3.2.1, 57) relying on RAxML using the
GTRGAMMA model (version 8.2.12, 56) for constructing the phylogeny in each
iteration to mitigate the bias of horizontal sequence transfer mechanisms. Statistical
support for internal branches of the tree was evaluated by bootstrapping with 10,000
iterations. Tree visualization was conducted using iTOL (version 6.9, 57).

Phylogenetic analysis with other closely related ST25
Given the close genetic relationships among the study strains, only the first isolated
one, F14-11, was used for the selection of closely related strains from other studies.
The topgenome (-t) feature of WhatsGNU was used to identify the ten closest strains
from a database comprising 4,325 genomes of *A. baumannii* available on GenBank.
The database was constructed by downloading all publicly accessible genomes of *A.*
baumannii from NCBI in February 2023 (Genome database, search criteria: assembly
level = {Chromosome, Complete, Contig}, exclude partial, exclude anomalous).
To expand the diversity of the strains set, the top genomes of strains from the top 10
closest strains of F14-11 as well as four additional ST25 strains (OIFC143, CI79,
Naval18 and 107m) were also included. To prevent erroneous conclusions regarding
geographic proximity, only one strain per study was included. Incomplete sequenced
genomes were excluded. All the genomes were mapped to the F14-11 chromosome
set as a reference using the Gubbins script generate_ska_alignment.py, which creates
an alignment using SKA2 (version 0.3.7, 58). The output was then analyzed by the
Gubbins algorithm relying on RAxML using the GTRGAMMA model (version 8.2.12,
58) for constructing the phylogeny in each iteration to generate an unbiased phylogeny,
excluding sequences predicted to be horizontally acquired. The reproducibility of the
node positions in the tree topology was assessed using bootstrap analysis with 10^4
replicates. No root was assigned because strains known to be outgroups were
genetically too distant. Including such distant outgroups would result in insufficient
sequence alignment and therefore insufficient information for accurate phylogenetic
analysis. Finally, the tree was visualized, incorporating host and isolation location data,
using ggtree (version 3.12.0, 58).

Variant detection analysis

Primary Illumina sequence reads (fastq) of monophyletic isolates were aligned to the earliest (F14-11), using the tool snippy (Galaxy version 4.6.0, 59). The primary Illumina reads of the F14-11 strain were also subjected to the analysis as control and returned no SNPs. Deletions, insertions and rearrangements were identified using the software package MAUVE (version 2.4.0, 60). The list of the identified genetic variations is provided in **TABLE S3**.

Antibiotic susceptibilities testing

Susceptibility of the strains to a panel of antibiotics was evaluated by disc diffusion on Mueller-Hinton agar (Biorad, France) following the CA-SFM 2013 recommendations (https://resapath.anses.fr/resapath_uploadfiles/files/Documents/2013_CASFM.pdf). Inhibition values were interpreted according to CA-SFM 2013 breakpoints for all antibiotics but aztreonam, for which *Pseudomonas* spp. breakpoints are given. The strain *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* CIP 7110 was used as control for all the antibiotic susceptibility testing. MIC experiments were also conducted to evaluate susceptibility to colistin and piperacillin according to EUCAST guidelines (68).

Biofilm formation assay

Biofilm-forming capacities of the isolates were evaluated using the crystal violet staining method, with slight modifications to the protocol previously described (69). Briefly, exponential phase cells were inoculated into 96-well polystyrene plates in 200µL of BM2 minimal medium (33) supplemented with 10mM potassium glutamate (BM2G) at starting OD_{600} of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C in the absence of light for 48 hours, without shaking. The medium was replaced with fresh BM2G medium after 24 hours of incubation. After 48 hours, the cells in suspension were removed and the adherent cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 minutes. The wells were then washed twice with distilled water and 200µL of 0.1% crystal violet was added to each well, incubated for 10 minutes, and washed three times with distilled water. To solubilize the crystal violet, 200µL of 95% ethanol was added and the absorbance was measured at 590nm with Infinite M200 PRO (TECAN) and Magellan 7.1 SP1 (TECAN).

Acknowledgments

We thank Agnese Lupo and Marisa Haenni (Anses Lyon, Unité Antibiorésistance et

Virulence Bactériennes, France) for performing antimicrobial susceptibility tests and

Maxime Bruto (Anses Lyon, UMR Mycoplasmes, France), Lucie Etienne and Carine

Rey (CIRI, France) for fruitful discussions and comments on phylogenetic analysis.

This work was supported by the LABEX ECOFECT (ANR-11-LABX-0048) of Université

de Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) operated

by the French National Research Agency (ANR) and by the RESPOND program of the

Université de Lyon (UDL). LB was supported by VetAgro Sup (PhD funding). AC was

supported by Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM) (PhD funding).

Supplementary materials

Supplementary methods

Conjugation assays

Rifampicin-resistant derivatives *A. nosocomialis* M2 and *A. baumannii* strains AB5075 and A118 (26) were used as recipient strains for plasmid donor strains (ATCC17978 carrying pAB3 or F14-11 carrying pF14-11). Overnight cultures in liquid LB were grown at 37°C for recipient and donor strains were mixed equally and 50 µl aliquots were spotted on LB agar medium. Each culture was also mixed with the same volume of LB medium for control spots. After 24h incubation at 37°C, bacteria were resuspended in LB medium and plated on selective medium (rifampicin 100 µg/ml in combination with tetracycline 30 µg/ml for conjugation of pF14-11, or with sulfamethoxazole 30 µg/ml and trimethoprim 5 µg/ml for conjugation of pAB3), as well as on LB medium supplemented with rifampicin (100 µg/ml) for numbering of recipient bacteria. Conjugation frequencies were calculated as the ratio of the number of conjugants to the total number of bacteria.

Congo red binding quantification

Congo red binding capacities of strains were quantified using the protocol previously described (21). Briefly, two drops of overnight cultures of each strain were incubated on YESCA agar media supplemented with 50 µg/ml Congo red and Brilliant Blue G 1µg/ml at 37°C in the absence of light for 48 hours, without shaking. The cells were then recovered and washed twice with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer. The cells were resuspended in 1 mL of this buffer and the OD_{600} was adjusted to 1. A 100 µL aliquot of each sample was transferred to a 96-well polystyrene plates, and the fluorescence of Congo red was measured using a microplate reader with an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 612 nm. Buffer was used as the blank.

Adhesion assays

The *sf-gfp* gene was integrated into the *attTn7* site of all strains of interest. Exponential phase cells of the resulting fluorescent strains were inoculated into uncoated 24-well Ibidi microscopy plate in 500µl of LB medium at starting OD_{600} of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C in the absence of light for 1 hour, without shaking. After incubation, the cells in suspension were removed and the adherent cells were fixed with Antigenfix for 15 minutes. The wells were then washed twice with distilled water. Four images per wells were collected with a Yokogawa CQ1 using a 40× objective. Fluorescent bacteria on these images were counted using the Yokogawa data analysis software.

Supplementary figures and tables

FIG S1. Chronological representation of antibiotic treatments and bacterial isolation. Vertical

arrows indicate a postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (amox/clav.)

and two colistin treatments. Isolates are named after the year and month of isolation.

TABLE S1. Isolation medium, chromosome and plasmid sizes and number of SNP in comparison

to F14-11 of the study strains.

	Strain	Date of isolation	Isolation medium	Chromosome size	Plasmid size	Number of SNPs
Longitudinal strains	F14-11	Nov-2014	blood agar	4,061,790	145,509	0
	F15-01A	Jan-2015	blood agar	4,063,881	145,508	3
	F15-01B	Jan-2015	blood agar	4,063,898	145,508	5
	F15-02	Feb-2015	blood agar	4,062,013	145,508	5
	F15-06	June-2015	blood agar	4,029,029	145,709	19
	F16-05	May-2016	blood agar	4,060,980	145,522	5
	F18-02	Feb-2018	blood agar	4,018,678	145,508	3
	F19-02	Feb-2019	blood agar	3,974,547	146,537	4
Synchronic strains	F19-10-2	Oct-2019	LB-agar	4,015,145	-	76
	F19-10-CH3	Oct-2019	CHROMagar	4,027,485	145,482	64
	F19-10-4	Oct-2019	LB-agar	3,687,116	132,823	22
	F19-10-CH6	Oct-2019	CHROMagar	4,017,757	127,468	34
	F19-10-MH6	Oct-2019	Mueller-Hinton-agar	3,692,337	131,775	22
	F19-10-8	Oct-2019	LB	3,971,674	132,530	36
	F19-10-CH8	Oct-2019	CHROMagar	3,984,359	145,482	59
	F19-10-9	Oct-2019	LB	4,013,624	-	78
	F19-10-MH12	Oct-2019	Mueller-Hinton-agar	3,877,559	-	62

606 **TABLE S2.** BLAST outputs of pF14-11 coverage and percentage identity of plasmids or contigs
607 from close ST25 strains.

Strain	Sequence	Acession number	Coverage of pF14-11	Identity to pF14-11
VB82	pVB82_1	CP050386	97.00%	100.00%
HWBA8	pHWBA8_1	CP020596	96.00%	100.00%
40288-CIRI	p40288-CIRI	CP151689	100.00%	99.99%
CI107	pCI107	CP098522	100.00%	99.99%
UPAB1	pAB5	CP032216, CP032218, CP032219	65.00%	99.95%
16A1524	contigs	GCA_030377255	100.00%	100.00%
NM3	contigs	GCA_001007725	100.00%	100.00%
17021	contigs	GCA_022241165	99.00%	100.00%
AB23	contigs	GCA_023896455	87.00%	100.00%
741019	contigs	GCA_001007775	99.00%	99.99%
545_16	contigs	GCA_015536865	99.00%	99.99%
CCBH28244	contigs	GCA_015666535	98.00%	99.99%
Ab376	contigs	GCA_012524275	98.00%	99.99%
17A1904	contigs	GCA_020256045	97.00%	99.99%
A-71.198	contigs	GCA_009829555	94.00%	99.99%
18A2070	contigs	GCA_020256005	96.00%	99.98%
A15	contigs	GCA_014531345	99.00%	99.97%
2992	contigs	GCA_008709655	99.00%	99.95%
A-71.192	contigs	GCA_009829595	95.00%	99.87%
OIFC143	contigs	GCA_000278665	73.00%	99.13%
CI79	contigs	GCA_000516635	83.00%	99.12%
Naval18	contigs	GCA_000214965	82.00%	99.12%
107m	contigs	GCA_000493615	1.00%	74.98%

610 **FIG S2** Conjugation frequencies between (left panel) *A. baumannii* strain 17978, carrying pAB3, or

611 (right panel) strain F14-11, carrying pF14-11, and the recipient strains *Acinetobacter nosocomialis*

612 M2, *A. baumannii* AB5075, or A118. Conjugation of pAB3 was used as control of conjugation

613 conditions.

FIG S3 (A) Chromosome and (B) plasmid maps of the study strains using Gview. (C) Comparison of pF14-11 plasmid with pCl107 from Cl107 strain revealing conserved and varying features with this well-described plasmid (27). Schematic representations are drawn to scale. Arrows represent open reading frames and prediction functions relevant to this study are indicated in the legend.

Figure S4 Distribution of Insertion sequences in study strains and 40288 strain along their respective genomes associated with the phylogenetic relationships. Blue nodes indicate bootstrap values >80.

FIG S5. Adhesion and biofilm quantification. (A) Quantification of biofilm formation by F14-11 derivatives impaired for Type IV *pili* (Δ*pilA*::*kan*) or Prp type I *pili* (Δ*prp*) with crystal violet straining. (B) Congo red binding quantification of F14-11 wild-type and plasmid-less strains. (C) Adhesion quantification by the study strains, the dog UTI strain 40288 and the strong-adherent environmental strain DSM30011 after 1 hour of incubation using automatic cell counting in microscopy images. Error bars are generated from 12 replicas from 4 independent experiments. Statistically significant differences with F14-11 (Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum tests with Bonferroni-Holm correction) are highlighted with asterisks: (*) if the p-value < 0.05, (* *) if the p-value ≤ 0.01, and (* * *) if the p-value ≤ 0.001. The absence of asterisk indicates non-significant statistical difference.

FIG S6. Growth curve of wild type F14-11 and its PmrB(P170T) derivative in rich medium (Biolog GenIII, control well)

636 **FIG S7.** Growth rates of the longitudinal and synchronic strains in two different media (LB and
637 BM2G).

639 **FIG S8.** Distribution of functional categories of all the variable genes in comparison to F14-11.

640 **TABLE S3.** All the genetic variations identified in the study strains in comparison to the first

641 isolate (F14-11).

GENIC VARIATIONS						
Gene	Position	Strains	Size	Type	Support	Product
transposase gene	13,306	F19-10-4	601	deletion		transposase of ISAba34
transposition gene	18,898	F19-10-8	406	insertion		transposition protein
			115	deletion		
transposition gene	19,309	F19-10-CH6	225	deletion		transposition protein
aacA-aphD	24,342	F19-02	982	insertion	Plasmid	Bifunctional AAC/APH
hypothetical	41,897	F19-10-CH3	1	snp		Unknown
hypothetical	57,130	F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion		Unknown
sasA	67,927	F19-10-8	1491	insertion		predicted cell-wall-anchored protein insertionasA (LPXTG motif)
	67,928		246	deletion		
5S ribosomal RNA gene	23,503	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		5S ribosomal RNA
yliI	59,702	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		glucose sorbosone dehydrogenase
hutC	80,540	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		histidine utilization repressor
ABUW_0082	89,733	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		X-Pro dipeptidyl-peptidase
	90,405	F19-10-9	1			
glmS	93,459	F16-05	1	snp		glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate transaminase (isomerizing)
xerD	152,569	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		tyrosine recombinase XerD
rpoC	198,111	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		DNA-directed RNA polymerase, beta' subunit
pcaU	227,170	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, IclR family
recN	233,424	F19-10-MH12	2	deletion		DNA repair protein RecN
ABUW_3450	352,354	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		endonuclease / exonuclease / phosphatase
bfrD	399,565	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		Putative ferric siderophore receptor protein
macB	445,395	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		macrolide export ATP-binding / permease protein MacB
ABUW_3354	459,365	F16-05	1	snp		ggdef domain / eal domain protein
Transposase gene	467,027	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		Transposase
rsfS	529,063	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		Ribosomal silencing factor RsfS
hyi	529,953	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		hydroxypyruvate isomerase
panC	546,212	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp		pantoate-beta-alanine ligase
ABUW_3294	546,945	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		Nucleotide-binding protein
	546,954	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	2	insertion		
ohr	579,599	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		Putative organic hydroperoxide resistance protein
filE	604,244	F19-10-CH6	1	snp		Pilus assembly protein FilE
rtsA	661,813	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		Response regulator in two-component regulatory system with RstB
pleD	665,628	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		Response regulator
yeiE	683,416	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, LysR family
ABUW_3135	711,850	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp		cytochrome c assembly protein
rluB	733,600	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		ribosomal large subunit pseudouridine synthase B
hypothetical	744,622	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		Unknown
prs	748,345	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthetase
ABUW_3090	750,782	F18-02, F19-02	1	snp		O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase OGT
	750,788		1			
algC	803,644	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		phosphomannomutase
ABUW_3039	805,669	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		GNAT family N-acetyltransferase
fur	810,016	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		ferric uptake regulation protein
pilT	811,917	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		twitching mobility protein
emrB	825,802	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		multidrug resistance protein B
yejK	884,399	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		Nucleoid-associated protein YejK
mcpII	944,588	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		glyoxalase
catD	948,534	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		putative hydrolase
cysN	1,006,449	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		sulfate adenylyltransferase subunit 1
rsmD	1,021,880	F19-10-9	1	snp		Ribosomal RNA small subunit methyltransferase D
pepP	1,040,770	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		X-Pro aminopeptidase
mltD	1,057,383	F19-10-9	1	snp		lytic transglycosylase
	1,057,757		1			
	1,058,096	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1			
hypothetical	1,092,091	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		Unknown
calB	1,114,615	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		aldehyde dehydrogenase
hcaK	1,136,699	F15-01B, F15-02, F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9, F19-10-MH12	1	snp		3-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid transporter
hcaR	1,137,422	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, MarR-family
benP2	1,141,071	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		benzoate transport porin BenP
hapE	1,163,317	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1	snp		Putative flavin-binding monooxygenase
csrA	1,169,719	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		carbon storage regulator
alaS	1,171,454	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		alanyl-tRNA synthetase
	1,173,440	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1			
ABUW_2740	1,181,914	F15-06	2	deletion		OsmC-like protein
pyrX	1,192,159	F19-10-CH3	1	snp		dihydroorotase
hypothetical	1,266,110	F19-10-MH12	2	insertion		Unknown
lptB	1,299,034	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein
cyaD	1,303,869	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		membrane spanning export protein
	1,304,086	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1			
	1,304,091	F15-01B	1			
ybiF	1,313,214	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		inner membrane transport protein YbiF
ybgK	1,331,800	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		allophanate hydrolase
ABUW_2582	1,361,019	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		DUF4440 domain-containing protein
icmF	1,382,155	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		typeVI secretion protein IcmF
dcaS	1,473,682	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, IclR family
ABUW_2322	1,641,301	F19-10-MH12	2	deletion		phage integrase
papC	1,650,401	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		fimbrial protein
ABUW_2302	1,660,771	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, XRE family
ABUW_2270	1,692,643	F19-02	1	snp		Lipoprotein
ostA	1,696,163	F19-10-2	1	snp		organic solvent tolerance protein
gspK	1,712,003	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-MH12	1	snp		general secretion pathway protein K
hibcH	1,712,364	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		Enoyl-CoA hydratase
	1,714,219	F19-10-MH12	1			
	1,732,617	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1			
ppiD	1,765,571	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp		peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase
acoA	1,875,749	F19-10-CH3	1	snp		acetoin:2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol oxidoreductase alpha subunit
gltC	1,914,659	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, LysR family
hypothetical	1,955,643	F19-10-8	1	snp		Unknown
cupC	1,959,422	F15-01B, F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9, F19-10-MH12	2	deletion		outer membrane fimbrial usher protein
	1,960,690	F15-02	2			
	1,961,307	F16-05	2			
msbA	1,976,399	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		lipidA export permease/ATP-binding protein MsbA
ssuA	1,991,149	F19-10-MH12	5	complex		Sulfonate / nitrate / taurine transport system substrate-binding protein
ssuD	1,991,829	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		alkanesulfonate monooxygenase
	2,002,880	F19-10-MH12	1			
oruR	2,014,835	F19-10-MH12	1	snp		AraC family transcriptional regulator
ABUW_1936	2,055,079	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp		transcriptional regulator, LysR family
ABUW_1935	2,056,094	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	2	deletion		fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase
	2,056,237	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp		
ABUW_1924	2,076,802	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-MH12	3	deletion		transcriptional regulator, GntR family
	2,077,250	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp		
hypothetical	2,090,336	F19-10-8	2	deletion		Unknown

Gene	Position	Strains	Count	Type	Product
gpmA	2,125,323	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-dependent phosphoglycerate mutase
ABUW_1883	2,129,382	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	FAH family protein
ABUW_1861	2,152,327	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	ringhydroxylating dioxygenase, Rieske
pyrG	2,189,133	F15-01A, F15-01B, F15-02, F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9, F19-10-MH12	1	snp	CTP synthase
ABUW_1800	2,216,179		1	snp	ferrichrome-iron receptor
	2,216,267	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1		
	2,217,382		1		
yqjJ	2,219,489	F19-10-CH3	1	snp	Inner membrane protein YqjJ
hypothetical	2,220,185	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Inner membrane protein
cydA	2,220,207	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	cytochrome D ubiquinol oxidase subunit I
hypothetical	2,230,585	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	Unknown
ykoW	2,245,494	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	2	deletion	Diguanylate cyclase / phosphodiesterase with PAS / PAC sensor(S)
rimO	2,277,589	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	Ribosomal protein S12 methyl thiotransferase RimO
accB	2,315,146	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	acetyl-CoA carboxylase, biotin carboxyl carrier protein
ABUW_1695	2,316,804	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	Signal peptide protein
gbsB	2,373,908	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	Choline dehydrogenase
dnaX	2,377,861	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	DNA polymerase III subunit gamma/tau
	2,378,410	F19-10-8	1		
ABUW_1637	2,472,470	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	oxidoreductase short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase family
ABUW_1605	2,503,139	F19-10-8	1	snp	RNA pseudo uridine synthase family protein
ABUW_1600	2,505,970	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	UPF0756 membrane protein A1S_2121
pgaD	2,552,659	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine biosynthesis protein PgaD
pgaC	2,553,475	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	glycosyl transferase, family 2 protein
	2,553,959	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	2	insertion	
pgaB	2,555,101	F16-05	1		polysaccharide deacetylase
	2,555,580	F19-10-MH12	1		
	2,555,659	F15-01B, F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9, F19-10-MH12	1	snp	
	2,555,743	F19-10-MH12	1		
nahR	2,595,267	F19-10-CH8	1	snp	transcriptional regulator, LysR family
csuB	2,621,526	F19-10-MH12	2	deletion	type I pilus protein CsuB
	2,621,655	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	
ABUW_1456	2,665,131	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	patatin family phospholipase
rpsU	2,670,130	F19-10-2	1	snp	ribosomal protein S21
ABUW_1447	2,675,030	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Peptidase_M48 domain-containing protein
ABUW_1350	2,719,436	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	DUF4010 domain-containing protein
metF	2,767,892	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	5,10-methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase
dtpT	2,785,448	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	dipeptide / tripeptide permease
ABUW_1184	2,816,665	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein
basG	2,818,236	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	histidine decarboxylase
bauA	2,826,283	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	ferric acinetobactin receptor
	2,826,654	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1		
basB	2,832,231	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase
efp	2,850,743	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	translation elongation factor P
ABUW_1136	2,857,347	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	sodium / bile acid symporter family protein
aroP3	2,882,913	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	aromatic amino acid transport protein
ABUW_1111	2,886,388	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	aldehyde dehydrogenase
icaA	2,939,211	F19-10-MH12	3	insertion	N-acetylglucosaminyl transferase
proB	2,950,528	F19-10-2	1	snp	glutamate 5-kinase
pabC	2,980,993	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	aminodeoxychorismate lyase
purL	2,996,955	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	phosphoribosyl formyl glycinamidine synthase
comA	3,027,183	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	competence factor involved in DNA uptake
ABUW_0967	3,036,275	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	putative RND family drug transporter
ABUW_0949	3,057,779	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	hypothetical
hypothetical	3,069,429	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	Unknown
ABUW_2539	3,081,722	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	transposase inhibitor
vll	3,085,622	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	lytic transglycosylase, catalytic
ftsH	3,112,575	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	cell division protein FtsH
ABUW_0897	3,114,621	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Putative RNA-binding protein
bapA	3,133,668	F15-01B, F15-02, F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9, F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Biofilm-associated protein BapA
sucA	3,149,032	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	oxoglutarate dehydrogenase (succinyl-transferring)
hypothetical	3,155,706	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	2	deletion	Unknown
ispB	3,174,686	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	trans-hexaprenyl transtransferase
hypothetical	3,189,844	F19-10-2	1	snp	Unknown
ABUW_0830	3,195,458	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	hypothetical
pmrB	3,196,703	F15-01A, F15-01B, F15-02, F15-06, F16-05, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9, F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Histidine kinase PmrB
	3,197,091	F19-10-MH12	1		
	3,197,092	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1		
hchA	3,211,313	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	chaperone protein
fimT	3,295,357	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	type 4 fimbrial biogenesis protein FimT
yhjE	3,314,081	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	metabolite transport protein
accD	3,324,051	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1	snp	acetyl-CoA carboxylase, carboxyl transferase, beta subunit
psaB	3,399,155	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	OmpA / MotB
slt	3,437,614	F19-10-9	1	snp	lytic transglycosylase, catalytic
ABUW_0439	3,463,037	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	2	deletion	rhomboid family peptidase
rpoA	3,470,211	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	DNA-directed RNA polymerase, alpha subunit
deaD	3,505,789	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-MH12	1	snp	DEAD / DEAH boxhelicase
	3,505,798		1		
ABUW_0375	3,514,048	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Signal peptide
mmgC	3,517,179	F18-02	2	deletion	acyl-CoA dehydrogenase
ydfC	3,529,243	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	Transporter, 10 TMS drug/metabolite exporter (DME) family
mmuP	3,544,178	F16-05	1	snp	Putative APC family, S-methylmethionine transporter (MmuP)
gltB	3,592,561	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	glutamate synthase, large subunit
ftsI	3,612,362	F15-01A	1	snp	Peptidoglycan D,D-transpeptidase FtsI
	3,612,574	F15-02	1		
ABUW_0244	3,656,360	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	DMT family transporter
hypothetical	3,675,302	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	2	insertion	Unknown
gabP	3,697,271	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	GABA permease
	3,697,332	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1		
ABUW_0172	3,731,075	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	Ribosomal RNA small subunit methyltransferase E
guaB	3,742,122	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase
hypothetical	3,795,707	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	Unknown
argS	3,886,237	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	arginyl-tRNA synthetase
ABUW_3773	3,915,008	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	acyl-CoA synthetase / AMP-acid ligase
dadA2	3,934,669	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	D-amino acid dehydrogenase small subunit
foxA	3,937,460	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	Putative ferrichrome-iron receptor protein
lldD	3,967,179	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	L-lactate dehydrogenase (cytochrome)
hypothetical	3,981,476	F18-02, F19-02	1	snp	Unknown
ptk	3,990,566	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	tyrosine-protein kinase
5S ribosomal RNA gene	4,023,726	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	5S ribosomal RNA

Chromosome

Gene	Position	Strains	Size	Type	Protein
ABUW_3890	4,047,894	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	PAP2 superfamily protein
guaD2	4,052,990	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	guanine deaminase
czcD	4,056,163	F15-02, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	6	deletion	Cation efflux protein
		F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	7	insertion	
5S ribosomal RNA gene	23,447	F19-10-MH6	5797	deletion	5S ribosomal RNA
	23,474	F19-10-4			
ABUW_0082	89,766	F19-10-MH12	1692	deletion	X-Pro dipeptidyl-peptidase
cbbZ	91,458	F19-10-MH12	1002	insertion	haloacid dehydrogenase
transposase gene	121,183	F19-10-9	4662	insertion	Transposition protein
			6799	deletion	
	121,233	F19-10-2	4612	insertion	
			6602	deletion	
hypothetical	127,836		8448	deletion	Unknown
	127,878	F19-10-2	95	insertion	
	127,974		95	insertion	
hypothetical	137,309	F19-10-CH6	3753	deletion	Unknown
hypothetical	139,761	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	140,656	F19-10-8	823	insertion	Unknown
	140,871	F16-05	191	insertion	
hvrA	176,884	F19-10-4	1040	insertion	Putative DNA binding protein
	176,888	F19-10-MH6	1051		
sel-1	393,824	F18-02, F19-02	9	deletion	Sel1 domain protein
ggdef	457,967	F19-10-MH12	1110	insertion	ggdef domain/eal domain protein
	457,971	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8			
hypothetical	562,292	F19-10-MH12	12	deletion	Lipoprotein
filE	604,179	F16-05, F19-10-CH6	67	deletion	Putative pilus assembly protein FilE
	604,180	F19-10-9	40	insertion	
	604,181	F19-10-8	9	insertion	
	604,201	F19-10-MH6	31	insertion	
	604,234	F19-10-CH8	30	insertion	
	604,240	F15-01B	9	insertion	
	604,249	F15-02	9	insertion	
	604,257	F15-01A	9	insertion	
	604,277	F18-02	9	insertion	
	604,305	F19-10-2	39	insertion	
		F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4	30		
	604,306	F15-06	9	insertion	
		F19-10-CH8	17495		
argJ	621,802	F19-10-CH8	17495	deletion	glutamate N-acetyltransferase / amino-acid acetyltransferase
add2	623,956	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	116	deletion	adenosine deaminase
		F19-10-MH6	60		
hypothetical	744,307	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Unknown
algC	803,168	F15-01A, F15-01B, F15-02, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1193	insertion	phosphomannomutase
	803,174	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1193	insertion	
	803,175	F15-06	1194	insertion	
	803,180	F19-10-MH12	1194	insertion	
ABUW_3037	807,301	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	Hemerythrin domain-containing protein
	807,319	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	
hypothetical	833,535	F19-10-MH12	11423	deletion	Unknown
hypothetical	870,850	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1193	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	884,630	F19-10-MH12	516	deletion	Unknown
	885,146	F19-10-MH12	1013	insertion	
hypothetical	885,883	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Unknown
ABUW_2985	907,272	F19-10-MH12	30114	deletion	TonB-dependent receptor
pgaC	937,386	F19-10-MH12	1043	insertion	Poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine synthase
pecR	948,961	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	73474	deletion	Beta-ketoacyl-ACP reductase
pfeA	982,519	F19-10-2	21364	insertion	TonB-dependent outer membrane siderophore receptor protein
		F19-10-9	21165		
rubA	998,871	F19-10-9	16351	deletion	rubredoxin
ABUW_2861	1,037,789	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
mltD	1,057,355	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	lytic transglycosylase
atsA	1,080,074	F19-10-MH12	1193	insertion	arylsulfatase
HP	1,096,794	F19-10-2	1051	insertion	Transcriptional regulator
	1,096,796	F19-10-MH12	1041	insertion	
ABUW_2705	1,230,820	F19-10-MH12	12815	deletion	hypothetical
proC	1,241,521	F19-10-8	8406	insertion	pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase
ABUW_2685	1,243,635	F19-10-MH12	1041	insertion	hypothetical
hypothetical	1,256,758	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Unknown
ABUW_2653	1,293,601	F19-10-CH3	19467	insertion	shufflon-specific DNA recombinase
		F19-10-CH8	19516		
	1,293,612	F19-10-2, F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-9, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	8	deletion	
hypothetical	1,341,918	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1316	insertion	Unknown
ABUW_2556	1,400,247	F19-10-MH12	1041	insertion	hypothetical
soxR	1,400,919	F19-10-2	262	deletion	redox-sensitive transcriptional activator SoxR
	1,400,923	F19-10-9	1052	insertion	
ABUW_1958	1,431,155	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	type III restriction enzyme, res subunit
	1,431,709	F19-10-MH12	2002	deletion	
	1,433,711	F19-10-MH12	1043	insertion	
ABUW_2442	1,513,372	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_2437	1,516,227	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hemeoxygenase-like protein
ABUW_2434	1,520,631	F19-10-MH12	1024	insertion	hypothetical
srpH	1,546,226	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	serine acetyltransferase
gltC	1,551,140	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	232689	deletion	transcriptional regulator, LysR family
ABUW_2325	1,638,208	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	transcriptional regulator, TetR family
ABUW_2316	1,647,333	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	hypothetical
mrkC	1,651,613	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein
cobC	1,830,823	F19-10-MH12	354	deletion	fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase
ABUW_2172	1,831,177	F19-10-MH12	1027	insertion	histidine acid phosphatase family protein
ABUW_2167	1,838,443	F19-10-MH12	1639	insertion	iron-regulated membrane protein
ABUW_2165	1,840,082	F19-10-MH12	1008	insertion	outer-membrane receptor for Fe(III)-coprogen, Fe(II)-ferrioxamine B and Fe(III)-rhodotrulic acid
	1,840,101	F19-10-MH12	7	deletion	
ABUW_2155	1,854,392	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_2154	1,854,801	F19-10-9	1052	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_2058	1,955,625	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
hypothetical	1,955,674	F19-10-4	1043	insertion	Unknown
cupA	1,962,301	F15-01A, F15-02, F19-10-MH12	150	deletion	Fimbrial subunit
	1,962,302	F15-01B, F16-05, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	149	deletion	
	1,962,452	F15-06, F19-10-CH8	149	deletion	
		F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-9	150		
hypothetical	1,963,435	F19-10-4	7760	deletion	Unknown
gsiA	1,972,590	F19-10-MH12	18552	deletion	glutathione import ATP-binding protein GsiA
tatC	1,986,634	F19-10-2	1052	insertion	twin arginine-targeting protein translocase TatC
ssuA	1,991,142	F19-10-MH12	1028	insertion	Sulfonate / nitrate / taurine transport system substrate-binding protein
fyuA	2,000,304	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Receptor-mostly Fe transport
emrA	2,036,586	F19-10-9	1052	insertion	Colistin resistance protein EmrA
hypothetical	2,082,044	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	Unknown
aes	2,107,724	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Acetyl esterase
ABUW_1885	2,110,258	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
katE	2,112,175	F19-10-2, F19-10-MH6	301	deletion	catalase
calB	2,115,730	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1314	insertion	aldehyde dehydrogenase
pcaD1	2,178,639	F19-10-9	40	insertion	3-oxoadipate enol-lactonase
	2,178,680	F19-10-2	40	insertion	
ABUW_1797	2,219,383	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	hypothetical

Gene	Position	Strains	Size	Type	Function
ABUW_1757	2,219,432	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1052	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_1758	2,255,937	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
hcaT	2,318,396	F19-10-CH6	43149	deletion	metabolite transporter, MFS superfamily
		F19-10-CH8	6	deletion	
	2,318,417	F18-02, F19-02, F19-10-8, F19-10-MH12	43128	deletion	
dnaX	2,377,932	F19-10-4	19	insertion	DNA polymerase III subunit gamma/tau
	2,377,990	F19-10-MH12	6	deletion	
	2,378,011	F16-05	6	deletion	
	2,378,019	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	19	insertion	
	2,378,043	F19-10-MH6	12	insertion	
	2,378,044	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	6	insertion	
	2,378,071	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	6	insertion	
cpaA	2,381,061	F19-10-4	1192	insertion	Metallo endopeptidase CpaA
ABUW_1664	2,399,317	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1044	insertion	RDD domain-containing protein
ABUW_1655	2,406,886	F19-10-MH12	3352	deletion	TonB-dependent siderophore receptor
ABUW_1653	2,408,637	F19-10-4	1044	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_3471	2,423,209	F19-10-MH12	36623	deletion	Hca operon transcriptional activator
hypothetical	2,453,180	F19-10-8	2272	deletion	Unknown
	2,453,375	F19-10-CH6	2272	deletion	
transposase gene	2,459,732	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	37831	deletion	Transposase
	2,459,734	F15-06	37833	deletion	
ABUW_1637	2,472,269	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	72951	deletion	oxidoreductase short-chain dehydrogenase / reductase family
		F19-10-4	63631		
		F19-10-MH6	64327		
	2,472,276	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1051	insertion	
pgaB	2,554,579	F19-10-8	3786	deletion	polysaccharide deacetylase
pgaA	2,558,042	F19-10-CH6	312	deletion	Outer membrane protein
	2,558,084	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1029	insertion	
ABUW_1499	2,610,036	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
csuC	2,620,461	F19-10-9	1042	insertion	Csu fimbrial biogenesis chaperone CsuC
	2,621,028	F19-10-9	566	deletion	
	2,621,033	F19-10-2	1050	insertion	
csuB	2,621,577	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	type I pilus protein CsuB
	2,621,582	F19-10-2	1052	insertion	
csuA/B	2,622,319	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Fimbrial major subunit CsuA/B family protein
	2,622,731	F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1193	insertion	
ABUW_1486	2,623,440	F19-10-4	1042	insertion	transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein
ABUW_1473	2,631,199	F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_1466	2,635,994	F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	hypothetical
hypothetical	2,646,033	F19-10-MH6	1044	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	2,649,909	F19-10-MH6	3875	deletion	Unknown
qacF	2,726,238	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	small multidrug resistance protein
basE	2,819,564	F19-10-MH12	24830	deletion	2,3-dihydroxybenzoate-AMP ligase
hypothetical	2,844,394	F19-10-MH12	1053	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	2,847,076	F19-10-9	1052	insertion	Unknown
	2,847,080	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	
pstB	2,877,440	F19-10-MH12	1558	deletion	phosphate ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein
pstA	2,878,998	F19-10-MH12	1039	insertion	phosphate ABC transporter, permease protein
hypothetical	2,952,824	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1052	insertion	Unknown
	2,953,216	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	
ABUW_0985	3,014,384	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	hypothetical
ABUW_0984	3,017,720	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
hypothetical	3,071,839	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	Unknown
ABUW_0896	3,115,299	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
hypothetical	3,126,118	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1052	insertion	Unknown
bapA	3,127,303	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	3380	insertion	Biofilm-associated protein BapA
	3,128,926	F15-06, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1193	insertion	
		F19-10-2	5554		
	3,131,312	F16-05	615	deletion	
	3,132,928	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1839	deletion	
	3,133,292	F19-10-2	4365	deletion	
	3,133,337	F19-10-2	57	insertion	
	3,133,395	F19-10-2	57	deletion	
	3,134,475	F15-02	1876	deletion	
	3,135,478	F19-10-2, F19-10-4, F19-10-9	628	deletion	
	3,136,414	F15-06, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-CH8	628	deletion	
ABUW_0588	3,359,389	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
slt	3,436,184	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	lytic transglycosylase, catalytic
deaD	3,505,771	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	91	deletion	DEAD / DEAH boxhelicase
	3,506,010	F19-10-MH12	90	deletion	
yjjK	3,635,555	F19-10-CH6	66	deletion	Energy-dependent translational throttle protein EttA
hypothetical	3,668,510	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	3,675,512	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	3,791,684	F19-10-9	1043	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	3,793,960	F19-10-9	2275	deletion	Unknown
	3,793,964	F19-10-2	1052	insertion	
ABUW_0118	3,794,514	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical
hypothetical	3,795,281	F19-10-4	1043	insertion	Unknown
hypothetical	3,796,423	F19-10-MH6	1043	insertion	Unknown
vgrG	3,801,283	F19-10-2	5624	insertion	Type VI secretion system tip protein VgrG
		F19-10-9	5771		
hypothetical	3,809,149	F19-10-MH6	12725	deletion	Unknown
comM	3,816,243	F19-10-4	20961	deletion	Competence protein ComM
5S ribosomal RNA gene	3,851,858	F19-10-2	5382	insertion	5S ribosomal RNA
			5571	deletion	
mpl	4,044,909	F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	UDP-N-acetylmuramate:L-alanyl-gamma-D-glutamyl- meso-diaminopimelate ligase

					Upstream		Downstream	
Support	**Position**	**Strains**	**Size**	**Type**	**Gene**	**Product**	**Gene**	**Product**
Plasmid	12,704	F19-10-MH6	601	deletion	ATPase gene	ATPase	transposase gene	transposase
	14,346	F16-03, F19-02, F19-10-CH6, F19-10-MH6, F19-10-8	204	deletion	ABUW_3803	putative transposase (ISNs)	higB-2	Toxin HigB-2
	14,488	F15-06, F19-10-4	205	insertion				
	19,535	F19-10-8	215	deletion	Transposition gene	Transposition protein	rci2	IS91-like element ISVsa3 family transposase
	24,188	F19-02	154	deletion	Transposition gene	Transposition protein	aacA-aphD	Bifunctional AAC/APH
	27,244	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	29	deletion	aacA-aphD	Bifunctional AAC/APH	hypothetical	Unknown
	107,681	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	ABUW_3658	hypothetical	hypothetical	Unknown
	141,880	F19-10-CH6	6	insertion	transposase gene	Transposition protein	DNA-binding ATP-dependent protease gene	DNA-binding ATP-dependent protease
chromosome	177,129	F19-10-4	1	snp	hvrA	Putative DNA binding protein	ABUW_3608	general secretion pathway protein
	208,695	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	ABUW_3583	Putative outer membrane protein W	ABUW_3582	hypothetical
	338,079	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	ABUW_3650	endonuclease/exonuclease/phosphatase	dctA	C4-dicarboxylate transport protein
	376,760	F19-02, F19-10-9	1	snp	purU	formyltetrahydrofolate deformylase	tonB	Siderophore-mediated iron transport protein
	401,066	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-MH12	1	snp	bfrD	Putative ferric siderophore receptor protein	tig	trigger factor
	401,065	F19-10-MH12	4	insertion				
	437,465	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	2	deletion	ilvC	keto-acid reductoisomerase	ABUW_3354	ggdef domain/eal domain protein
	600,981	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	hypothetical	Unknown	filC	Pilus assembly protein FilC
	601,008	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp				
	621,964	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	argJ	glutamate N-acetyltransferase/amino-acid acetyltransferase	nadA	quinolinate synthetase complex, A subunit
	998,157	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	rubA	rubredoxin	ABUW_2901	activator of HSP90 ATPase
	1,234,233	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	ABUW_2700	hypothetical	ABUW_2699	hypothetical
	1,249,599	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	ABUW_2678	57 kDa surface antigen	hypothetical	hypothetical
	1,402,492	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	2	deletion	ABUW_2553	hypothetical	ABUW_2551	Dihydrodipicolinate synthetase
	1,413,342	F19-10-MH12	3	deletion	aldH	aldehyde dehydrogenase	yveA	amino acid transporter
	1,570,264	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1	snp	cioA	cytochrome bd ubiquinol oxidase, subunit I	ABUW_2387	hypothetical
	1,861,740	F19-02, F19-10-9	1	snp	rna	ribonuclease D	ABUW_2145	hypothetical
	1,968,657	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	ABUW_0797	lipoprotein, putative	ABUW_2038	GntR family transporter
	1,986,615	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	boaC	short chain dehydrogenase	ABUW_2087	oxygenase subunit
	2,198,987	F19-10-CH3	1	snp	aroB	3-deoxy-7-phosphoheptulonate synthase	ABUW_1815	hypothetical
	2,237,481	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	ABUW_1773	alpha/beta hydrolase	fruB	multiphosphoryl transfer protein
	2,254,744	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	aprA	Putative alkaline serine protease	ABUW_1728	hypothetical
	2,295,750	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	ABUW_1714	transcriptional regulator, RhxR family	moaA	Molybdopterin molybdenumtransferase
	2,380,338	F19-10-CH3	1	snp	acol	Short-chain diamine transporter	acoR	HTH-type transcriptional regulator AcoR
	2,488,966	F19-10-2	1	snp	tig	host factor Hfq	miaA	tRNA delta(2)-isopentenylpyrophosphate transferase
	2,623,064	F19-10-2, F19-02	1	snp	csuA/B	Fimbrial major subunit CsuA/B family protein	ABUW_1485	transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein
	2,645,163	F19-02, F19-02	2	deletion	hypothetical	Unknown	hypothetical	Unknown
	2,688,295	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	thiG	Thiazole biosynthesis protein ThiG	hypothetical	Unknown
	2,688,393	F19-10-MH12	1	snp				
	2,692,291	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	norR	Anaerobic nitric oxide reductase transcription regulator NorR	rns	ribonuclease Z
	2,713,672	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	ABUW_1256	MutT/NUDIX family protein	hemp	Hemin transporter HemP
	2,729,458	F19-10-9	1	snp	hisI	histidine biosynthesis periplasmic protein	yfiC	Transcriptional regulator, LysR family
	2,754,481	F19-02, F19-02	1	snp	mapI	methionine aminopeptidase, type I	ompW	OmpW family protein
	2,761,878	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1	snp	lipA	lipoic acid synthetase	fpdE	acyl-CoA dehydrogenase
	2,805,509	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	2	deletion	icd	isocitrate dehydrogenase, NADP-dependent	thiE	Pseudouridine synthase
	3,096,114	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	lytic	lytic transglycosylase, catalytic	trpX	heat shock protein MtpX
	3,396,181	F19-10-MH12	5	complex	16S ribosomal RNA gene	16S ribosomal RNA	ABUW_0507	hypothetical
	3,400,387	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	ABUW_0504	Ser/Thr protein phosphatase family protein	plD	Phospholipase D
	3,433,746	F19-10-8	1	snp	ABUW_0468	hypothetical	mdh	malate dehydrogenase
	3,583,321	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8, F19-10-MH12	3	deletion	ABUW_0307	hypothetical	bfrA	bacterioferritin
	3,631,310	F19-10-CH6, F19-10-8	1	snp	ABUW_0265	cation efflux system protein	cacN	Protein-S-isoprenylcysteine methyltransferase
	3,758,205	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	ftsA	cell division protein FtsA	ftsQ	cell division protein FtsQ
	3,984,509	F19-10-MH12	1	snp	hypothetical	Unknown	hypothetical	Unknown
	4,023,648	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1	snp	ABUW_3865	putative flavoprotein	5S ribosomal RNA gene	5S ribosomal RNA
	17,536	F19-02	65	deletion				
	17,546	F19-10-MH6	57	deletion				
	17,964	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-4, F19-10-CH8	48	deletion				
	17,989	F19-10-MH12	20	insertion				
	17,991	F19-01A, F19-10-2	9	insertion				
	17,997	F15-01B, F15-02, F19-02, F19-10-CH6	9	insertion	tyrS	tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase	16S ribosomal RNA gene	16S ribosomal RNA
	17,830	F19-10-4	5747	deletion				
	17,830	F19-10-4	5643	deletion				
	17,881	F19-10-MH12	5696	insertion				
	17,881	F19-10-MH6	5353	deletion				
	18,059	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH8	5518	insertion				
	18,059	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	5421	insertion				
	18,159	F19-10-9	5418	insertion				
	18,159	F19-10-9	5350	insertion				
	123,747	F19-10-MH12	1043 / 2868	insertion	ABUW_0564	AAA ATPase	blaOXA-133	beta-lactamase OXA-23
	126,862	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	2402	deletion	transposase gene	Transposition protein	ABUW_3658	hypothetical
	127,983	F19-10-9	8448	deletion	ABUW_3658	hypothetical	comM	Competence protein ComM
	176,749	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ysnA	thioesterase superfamily protein	hvrA	Putative DNA binding protein
	177,256	F19-10-4	371	deletion	hvrA	Putative DNA binding protein	ABUW_3608	general secretion pathway protein
	203,672	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1193	insertion	ABUW_3583	Putative outer membrane protein W	ABUW_3582	hypothetical
	406,563	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	ABUW_3398	hypothetical	fumA	fumarate hydratase
	584,466	F19-10-MH12	1471	insertion	vacJ	VacJ family lipoprotein	16S ribosomal RNA	
	616,869	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	ABUW_3225	hypothetical	pcoA	copper resistance protein A
	623,803	F19-10-CH6	7	insertion				
	623,833	F15-01	7	insertion				
	623,899	F19-02	7	insertion				
	623,906	F19-10-4	67	insertion				
	623,916	F19-02	32	insertion	tRNA-Pro		add2	adenosine deaminase
	623,921	F19-10-9	14	insertion				
	623,923	F19-10-MH12	25	insertion				
	623,924	F19-10-2	7	insertion				
	623,941	F15-06	7	insertion				
	623,943	F19-10-8	25	insertion				
	844,958	F19-10-MH12	1043	insertion	ABUW_1281	hypothetical	hypothetical	
	891,183	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_2982	hypothetical	putative type I restriction enzyme P M ...	putative type I restriction enzyme P M protein
	934,606	F19-10-9	1052	insertion	fkp	Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase	pgaB	Biofilm PGA synthesis lipoprotein PgaB
	934,615	F19-10-9	1052	insertion				
	998,915	F19-10-9	33	insertion	rubA	rubredoxin	ABUW_2901	activator of HSP90 ATPase
	998,949	F19-10-9	33	deletion				
	999,070	F19-10-MH12	16550	deletion				
	1,084,606	F19-10-MH12	12190	deletion	ABUW_2815	transcriptional regulator, LysR family	exuT	Hexuronate transporter
	1,096,030	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	gcdF-1	beta-ketoadipyl CoA thiolase	hypothetical	
	1,112,244	F19-10-9	1051	insertion	astA2	arginine N-succinyltransferase	cycA	D-alanine/D-serine/glycine permease
	1,112,251	F19-10-2	1051	insertion				
	1,234,327	F19-10-8	49	deletion	ABUW_2700	hypothetical	ABUW_2699	hypothetical
	1,234,376	F19-10-8	49	insertion				
	1,234,427	F19-10-8	7094	deletion				
	1,247,386	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	ABUW_2681	hypothetical	ABUW_2679	hypothetical
	1,249,591	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_2678	57 kDa surface antigen	hypothetical	
	1,260,046	F19-02, F19-10-8	44075	deletion				
	1,313,077	F19-10-CH3	19475	deletion	ABUW_2633	transcriptional regulator	ybiF	inner membrane transport protein YbiF
	1,313,126	F19-10-CH8	13024	deletion				
	1,347,431	F19-10-MH12	53816	deletion	ABUW_2583	hypothetical	ybdR	Glutathione-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase
	1,400,656	F19-10-2	1043	insertion	ABUW_2556	hypothetical	soxR	redox-sensitive transcriptional activator SoxR
	1,403,575	F19-10-MH12	1193	insertion	dapA	Dihydrodipicolinate synthetase	ynfM	Permease of the drug/metabolite transporter (DMT) superfamily
	1,517,021	F19-10-MH12	3640	deletion	ABUW_2437	heme oxygenase-like protein	katE	catalase
	1,577,977	F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	ABUW_2383	signal peptide protein	tauA	taurine ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein
	1,605,359	F19-10-MH12	130	deletion	ansA	L-asparaginase 2	dctA	Sodium-dicarboxylate symporter
	1,605,489	F19-10-MH12	1043	insertion				
	1,643,704	F19-10-8	1316	deletion	ABUW_2319	putative membrane protein	transposase gene	transposase of ISAba34
	1,643,707	F19-10-CH6	1315					
	1,688,794	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1052	insertion	ABUW_2275	transcriptional regulator, TetR family	ABUW_2274	cupin family protein
	1,906,676	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	aspA	fumarate lyase	ABUW_2103	hypothetical
	1,918,599	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1051					
	1,918,693	F19-10-MH12	1053	insertion	ABUW_0797	putative lipoprotein	ABUW_2093	GntR family transporter
	1,918,842	F19-10-4	1052					
	1,921,532	F19-10-MH12	1051	insertion	bdhA	3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase	xylS	transcriptional regulator, AraC family
	1,963,708	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_2051	hypothetical	hypothetical	
	2,019,204	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical		hypothetical	
	2,110,370	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_1885	hypothetical	katE	catalase
	2,117,104	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_0731	NADPH:quinone oxidoreductase	ABUW_0184	Na+/solute symporter
	2,126,962	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical		oldH	2-ketoglutarate semialdehyde dehydrogenase
	2,217,414	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1193	insertion				

Position	Strain(s)	bp	Type	Gene	Product	Gene	Product
2,217,422	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8, F19-10-9	1192	insertion	ABUW_1800	ferrichrome-iron receptor	ABUW_1799	sugar kinase, ribokinase family
2,361,629	F19-10-CH8	43132	deletion	ABUW_2322	phage integrase	ABUW_1683	heme oxygenase-like protein
2,361,646	F19-10-CH6	23	insertion				
2,364,187	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_1682	transcriptional regulator, TetR family	ABUW_1681	PepSY-associated TM helix domain-containing protein
2,398,886	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_1655	hypothetical	ABUW_1654	RDD domain-containing protein
2,407,941	F19-10 MH6	1044	insertion	ABUW_1655	TonB-dependent siderophore receptor	ABUW_1654	acetyltransferase, gnat family
2,410,238	F19-10-MH12	1041	insertion	ABUW_1651	hypothetical	ABUW_1645	hypothetical
2,421,900	F15-06	1213	insertion	ABUW_3671	Hca operon transcriptional activator	transposase gene	transposase of ISAba34
	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1211					
2,668,354	F19-10-CH6	1028	insertion				
2,668,366	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	170	deletion				
2,668,366	F15-01B, F15-02, F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ppdA	outer membrane protein	ABUW_1556	Putative phosphoenolpyruvate synthase regulatory protein
	F19-10-8	1039					
2,668,371	F15-06, F19-10-2, F19-10-9	1051	insertion				
	F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	1042					
2,668,468	F19-10-2, F19-10-9	86	deletion				
2,622,988	F15-01A	1052	insertion				
2,622,993	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	csuA/B	Fimbrial major subunit CsuA/B family protein	ABUW_1485	transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein
2,623,327	F19-10-MH12	113	deletion				
2,637,723	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	gabP	Gamma-aminobutyrate transport protein	mmsA2	methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase
2,641,889	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	ABUW_1482	transcriptional regulator, LysR family	hypothetical	
2,698,697	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	pepS	aminoacyl-histidine peptidase	ABUW_1367	Type III secretion protein
2,930,185	F19-10-9	1192	insertion	icd	isocitrate dehydrogenase, NADP-dependent	ABUW_1071	peptidase M61
2,930,194	F19-10-2	1193	insertion				
2,954,212	F19-10-4, F19-10-MH6	1052	insertion	ABUW_1031	hypothetical	app	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
3,064,900	F19-10-9	1052	insertion	ydcP	Putative oxidoreductase molybdopterin	glxK	Glycerate kinase
3,068,431	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	dngA	NAD(P)H nitroreductase	hypothetical	
3,078,688	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_0932	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase	ABUW_0931	hypothetical
3,078,823	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion				
3,137,764	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	hypothetical		nhx7	putative Na+/H+ antiporter
3,312,870	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	gatD	Putative methyltransferase	xylA	Putative hemolysin III (HLY-III)
3,396,934	F19-10-MH12	11545	deletion	16S ribosomal RNA		ABUW_0507	hypothetical
3,542,749	F19-10-MH12	1043	insertion	gdh	glutamate dehydrogenase	mmuP	Putative APC family, S-methyl methionine transporter (MmuP)
3,543,223	F19-10-MH12	473	deletion				
3,791,019	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	hypothetical		hypothetical	
3,792,411	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	hypothetical		ABUW_0121	hypothetical
3,861,533	F19-10-4	5683	insertion				
	F19-10 MH6	5666					
3,861,570	F19-10-CH3	5320	insertion	ABUW_3710	putative acetyltransferase	5S ribosomal RNA	
3,861,570	F19-10-CH3	5609	deletion				
3,861,571	F19-10-CH8	5580	insertion				
	F19-10-9	3438					
3,861,571	F19-10-CH8	5628	deletion				
	F19-10-9	5609					
3,867,181	F19-10-9	5586	deletion				
3,867,236	F19-10-2	5507	deletion	16S ribosomal RNA		ABUW_3716	transcriptional regulator domain-containing protein
	F19-10-CH3	5507					
	F19-10-CH8	5568					
3,916,215	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	ABUW_3773	acyl-CoA synthetase/AMP-acid ligase	soR	Probable transcriptional activator of quorum sensing autoinducer synthesis transcription regulator protein
3,916,561	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion				
3,917,908	F19-10-4	1052	insertion	soIR	Probable transcriptional activator of quorum sensing autoinducer synthesis transcription regulator protein	ABUW_3775	hypothetical
3,917,917	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion				
3,951,294	F19-10-MH12	1052	insertion	fabB	3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase 1	hypothetical	
4,023,651	F19-10-2, F19-10-CH3, F19-10-CH8	5389	insertion	ABUW_3865	putative flavoprotein	5S ribosomal RNA	
	F19-10-9	5579					
4,041,600	F19-10-MH12	324	deletion	mltB	putative transglycosylase	purK	phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase, ATPase subunit
4,041,924	F19-10-MH12	1043	insertion				
4,061,787	F19-10-4	10761	deletion	rpmH	ribosomal protein L34	dnaA	chromosomal replication initiator protein DnaA
	F19-10 MH6	10762					
4,061,788	F19-02	2939	deletion				
	F19-10-CH6	10648					
	F19-10-8	10465					

648 **TABLE S4**. Bacterial strains and primers used in this study.

Strains		
Strain name	Description/phenotype	Reference
40288	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain 40288; carbapenem resistant	(26)
DSM30011	*A. baumannii* environmental strain	(33)
F14-11	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in November 2014	This study
F15-01A	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in January 2015	This study
F15-01B	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in January 2015	This study
F15-02	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in February 2015	This study
F15-06	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in June 2015	This study
F16-05	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in May 2016	This study
F18-02	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in February 2018	This study
F19-02	*A. baumannii* veterinary clinical strain isolated in February 2019	This study
F19-10-2	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on LB-agar	This study
F19-10-4	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on LB-agar	This study
F19-10-8	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on LB-agar	This study
F19-10-9	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on LB-agar	This study
F19-10-MH6	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on Mueller-Hinton-agar	This study
F19-10-MH12	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on Mueller-Hinton-agar	This study
F19-10-CH3	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on CHROMagar	This study

F19-10-CH6	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on CHROMagar	This study
F19-10-CH8	*A. baumannii* supplementary strain isolated in October 2019 isolated on CHROMagar	This study
Fluorescent derivatives	*att*Tn7::*sf-gfp, aac*	This study
F14-11 Δ*higA-higB*::*sacB-aac4*	F14-11 derivative with replacement of *higAB* toxin-antitoxin genes with *sacB-aac4* cassette	This study
F14-11Δ*prp*	F14-11 derivative deleted for the *prp* operon	This study
F14-11Δ*pilA::kan*	F14-11 derivative with an insertion of a kanamycin resistance cassette in the *pilA* gene	This study
F14-11 p-	F14-11 plasmid-less derivative	This study
F14-11Δ*pmrB*	F14-11 derivative deleted for *pmrB*	This study
F14-11 PmrB(P170T)	F14-11 derivative encoding the PmrB(P170T) substitution	This study
A118	*A. baumannii* strain A118 wild-type	(70)
M2	*A. nosocomialis* strain M2 wild-type	(71)
AB5075	*A. baumannii* model strain AB5075 wild-type	(72)
17978	*A. baumannii* model strain ATCC 17978 wild-type	(73)

Primers			
Genetic construct	Name	Primer Sequence 5' to 3'	Template; Annealing site
Chromosomal modifications using overlap extension PCR			
Δ*higA-higB*::*sacB-aac4*	mlo-132	tgcgacttaacggctgatatacgc	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2kb upstream of the *higB-2* gene
	mlo-133	**ggaacttcgaagcagctccagcctacacaatc**cataggtttaagtacgtcaatggcg	F14-11 genomic DNA; Chimeric, **apdater**-3' and upstream *higB-2*

	mlo-186	**gattgtgtaggctggagctgcttcgaagttccatc**acccatcacatatacctgccgttcac	pMHL-10 (26); upstream of *sacB* with an **adapter** sequence
	Apra_Rev3	**gccatggtccatatgaatatcctccttagtt**ctcatgagctcagccaatcgactgg	pMHL-10 (26); downstream *aacC4* gene with an **adapter** sequence
	mlo-134	**aactaaggaggatattcatatggaccatggc** tgctgcacgcttacatattagcgcg	F14-11 genomic DNA; Chimeric **adapter**and 5' of *higA-2* gene
	mlo-125	cttcagttcacgcatcaagcttgt	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2kb downstream of *higA-2* gene
PmrB(P170T) and Δ*pmrB*	lb-16	tgtgattggtggtgcagcgg	F14-11/F15-01A genomic DNA; 2kb upstream the *pmrB* SNP encoding the PmrB(P170T) substitution
	lb-17	ggtacggcaacagcggtttc	F14-11/F15-01A genomic DNA; 2kb downstream the *pmrB* SNP encoding the PmrB(P170T) substitution
	lb-23	**cagctccagcctacacaatc**ctacgaacacctgtgactgc	F14-11 genomic DNA; *pmrB* gene with an **adapter** sequence
	lb-28	**aggatattcatatggaccatggc**gaggtgccccaaatcagtcg	F14-11 genomic DNA; *pmrB* gene with an **adapter** sequence
	lb-29	**gattgtgtaggctggagctg**gaggtgccccaaatcagtcg	F14-11 genomic DNA; *pmrB* gene with an **adapter** sequence
Fluorescent derivatives	lb-1	cctgtttgatggtggttgtgttg	pASG-1 (74); upstream *sf-gfp* gene
	lb-2	atactggcgacttctctgaacg	pASG-1 (74); downstream *aac* gene
	lb-3	gttcatccatgccatgtgtaatcc	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2-kb upstream *att*Tn7 site
	lb-4	**gtcaacacaaccaccatcaaacagg**ctgatttattaagcccgatatgc	F14-11 genomic DNA; *att*Tn7 site with an **adapter** sequence
	lb-5	gggattacacatggcatggatgaac**ttattaaagcaggtgatgcc**	F14-11 genomic DNA; *att*Tn7 site with an **adapter** sequence

	oac-6	tggtaatggagcaacagtcg	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2-kb downstream *att*Tn*7* site
Δ*prp*	lb-6	cgtaatgcggcccaacgtag	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2-kb upstream *prp* operon
	lb-7	**aggatattcatatggaccatggc**cgatattcctttaacggcgcg	F14-11 genomic DNA; *prpD* with an **adapter** sequence
	lb-8	**cagctccagcctacacaatc**atcagctgcttgtgctgctg	14-11 genomic DNA; *prpA* with an **adapter** sequence
	lb-9	caccgtgagcacttcactgc	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2-kb downstream *prp* operon
Δ*pilA::kan*	asg-151	tcagaatgcggcttggag	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2-kb upstream *pilA* gene
	asg-152	tgccaaagttatttgctg	F14-11 genomic DNA; 2-kb downstream *pilA* gene
	lb-21	**ccttcttcacgaggca**gcttgtccagtaggaacacc	F14-11 genomic DNA; *pilA* gene with an **adapter** sequence
	lb-22	**gaacacttgaggcgcag**gctgctacattagagcgacc	F14-11 genomic DNA; *pilA* gene with an **adapter** sequence
	Kan-R	**tgcctcgtgaagaagg**	KanR gene cassette ; downstream the *kanR* gene
	Kan-F	**ctgcgcctcaagtgttc**	KanR gene cassette ; upstream the *kanR* gene

References

1. Nocera FP, Attili A-R, De Martino L. 2021. Acinetobacter baumannii: Its Clinical Significance in Human and Veterinary Medicine. 2. Pathogens 10:127.

2. van der Kolk JH, Endimiani A, Graubner C, Gerber V, Perreten V. 2019. Acinetobacter in veterinary medicine, with an emphasis on Acinetobacter baumannii. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 16:59–71.

3. Sun R, Song P, Walline J, Wang H, Xu Y, Zhu H, Yu X, Xu J. 2020. Morbidity and mortality risk factors in emergency department patients with Acinetobacter baumannii bacteremia. World J Emerg Med 11:164–168.

4. Iacono M, Villa L, Fortini D, Bordoni R, Imperi F, Bonnal RJP, Sicheritz-Ponten T, De Bellis G, Visca P, Cassone A, Carattoli A. 2008. Whole-Genome Pyrosequencing of an Epidemic Multidrug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Strain Belonging to the European Clone II Group †. ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS AND CHEMOTHERAPY 52:2616–2625.

5. Tacconelli E. 2018. Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 18:318–327.

6. Lupo A, Châtre P, Ponsin C, Saras E, Boulouis H-J, Keck N, Haenni M, Madec J-Y. 2016. Clonal Spread of Acinetobacter baumannii Sequence Type 25 Carrying blaOXA-23 in Companion Animals in France. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 61:10.1128/aac.01881-16.

7. Maragakis LL, Perl TM. 2008. Acinetobacter baumannii: epidemiology, antimicrobial resistance, and treatment options. Clin Infect Dis 46:1254–1263.

8. Bordenave Sylvain, Goñi-Urriza María Soledad, Caumette Pierre, Duran Robert. 2007. Effects of Heavy Fuel Oil on the Bacterial Community Structure of a Pristine Microbial Mat. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73:6089–6097.

9. Sarma PM, Bhattacharya D, Krishnan S, Lal B. 2004. Assessment of intra-species diversity among strains of Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from sites contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. Can J Microbiol 50:405–414.

10. Eveillard M, Kempf M, Belmonte O, Pailhoriès H, Joly-Guillou M-L. 2013. Reservoirs of Acinetobacter baumannii outside the hospital and potential involvement in emerging human community-acquired infections. Int J Infect Dis 17:e802-805.

11.   Wilharm G, Skiebe E, Łopińska A, Higgins PG, Weber K, Schaudinn C,
Neugebauer C, Görlitz K, Meimers G, Rizova Y, Blaschke U, Heider C, Cuny C,
Drewes S, Heuser E, Jeske K, Jacob J, Ulrich RG, Bochenski M, Kasprzak M, Burda
E, Ciepliński M, Kaługa I, Jankowiak Ł, Aguirre JI, López-García A, Höfle U, Jagiello
Z, Tobółka M, Janic B, Zieliński P, Kamiński M, Frisch J, Siekiera J, Wendel AF,
Brauner P, Jäckel U, Kaatz M, Müller S, Lübke-Becker A, Wieler LH, Wachsmann J
von, Thrukonda L, Helal M, Epping L, Wolf SA, Semmler T, Jerzak L. 2024. On the
ecology of Acinetobacter baumannii – jet stream rider and opportunist by nature.
bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.15.572815.

12.   Hawkey J, Ascher DB, Judd LM, Wick RR, Kostoulias X, Cleland H, Spelman
DW, Padiglione A, Peleg AY, Holt KE. 2018. Evolution of carbapenem resistance in
Acinetobacter baumannii during a prolonged infection. Microb Genom 4:e000165.

13.   Kim M, Park J, Park W. 2021. Genomic and phenotypic analyses of multidrug-
resistant Acinetobacter baumannii NCCP 16007 isolated from a patient with a urinary
tract infection. Virulence 12:150–164.

14.   Zhang J, Xie J, Li H, Wang Z, Yin Y, Wang S, Chen H, Wang Q, Wang H. 2022.
Genomic and Phenotypic Evolution of Tigecycline-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii
in Critically Ill Patients. Microbiol Spectr 10:e0159321.

15.   Wright MS, Iovleva A, Jacobs MR, Bonomo RA, Adams MD. 2016. Genome
dynamics of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii during infection and
treatment. Genome Medicine 8:26.

16.   Li H, Zhang J, Wang Z, Yin Y, Gao H, Wang R, Jin L, Wang Q, Zhao C, Wang
Z, Wang H. 2021. Evolution of Acinetobacter baumannii in Clinical Bacteremia
Patients. Infect Drug Resist 14:3553–3562.

17.   Penesyan A, Nagy SS, Kjelleberg S, Gillings MR, Paulsen IT. 2019. Rapid
microevolution of biofilm cells in response to antibiotics. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 5:1–
14.

18.   Lila ASA, Rajab AAH, Abdallah MH, Rizvi SMD, Moin A, Khafagy E-S, Tabrez
S, Hegazy WAH. 2023. Biofilm Lifestyle in Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections. 1. Life
13:148.

19.   Venanzio GD, Moon KH, Weber BS, Lopez J, Ly PM, Potter RF, Dantas G,
Feldman MF. 2019. Multidrug-resistant plasmids repress chromosomally encoded
T6SS to enable their dissemination. PNAS 116:1378–1383.

20. Venanzio GD, Flores-Mireles AL, Calix JJ, Haurat MF, Scott NE, Palmer LD,
Potter RF, Hibbing ME, Friedman L, Wang B, Dantas G, Skaar EP, Hultgren SJ,
Feldman MF. 2019. Urinary tract colonization is enhanced by a plasmid that regulates
uropathogenic Acinetobacter baumannii chromosomal genes. Nature
Communications 10:2763.

21. Benomar S, Di Venanzio G, Feldman MF. 2021. Plasmid-encoded H-NS
controls extracellular matrix composition in a modern Acinetobacter baumannii urinary
isolate. J Bacteriol JB0027721.

22. Kopecny L, Palm CA, Drobatz KJ, Balsa IM, Culp WTN. 2019. Risk factors for
positive urine cultures in cats with subcutaneous ureteral bypass and ureteral stents
(2010-2016). J Vet Intern Med 33:178–183.

23. Moustafa AM, Planet PJ. 2020. WhatsGNU: a tool for identifying proteomic
novelty. Genome Biol 21:58.

24. Hamidian M, Hall RM. 2016. The resistance gene complement of D4, a multiply
antibiotic-resistant ST25 Acinetobacter baumannii isolate, resides in two genomic
islands and a plasmid. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 71:1730–1732.

25. Lupo A, Valot B, Saras E, Drapeau A, Robert M, Bour M, Haenni M, Plésiat P,
Madec J-Y, Potron A. 2023. Multiple host colonization and differential expansion of
multidrug-resistant ST25-Acinetobacter baumannii clades. Sci Rep 13:21854.

26. Godeux A-S, Svedholm E, Barreto S, Potron A, Venner S, Charpentier X,
Laaberki M-H. 2022. Interbacterial Transfer of Carbapenem Resistance and Large
Antibiotic Resistance Islands by Natural Transformation in Pathogenic Acinetobacter.
mBio 13:e02631-21.

27. Rafei R, Koong J, Osman M, Al Atrouni A, Hamze M, Hamidian M. 2022.
Analysis of pCl107 a large plasmid carried by an ST25 Acinetobacter baumannii strain
reveals a complex evolutionary history and links to multiple antibiotic resistance and
metabolic pathways. FEMS Microbes 3:xtac027.

28. Tamadonfar KO, Di Venanzio G, Pinkner JS, Dodson KW, Kalas V, Zimmerman
MI, Bazan Villicana J, Bowman GR, Feldman MF, Hultgren SJ. 2023. Structure–
function correlates of fibrinogen binding by Acinetobacter adhesins critical in catheter-
associated urinary tract infections. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
120:e2212694120.

29.   Pakharukova N, Tuittila M, Paavilainen S, Malmi H, Parilova O, Teneberg S,

Knight SD, Zavialov AV. 2018. Structural basis for Acinetobacter baumannii biofilm

formation. PNAS 115:5558–5563.

30.   Choi AHK, Slamti L, Avci FY, Pier GB, Maira-Litrán T. 2009. The pgaABCD

locus of Acinetobacter baumannii encodes the production of poly-beta-1-6-N-

acetylglucosamine, which is critical for biofilm formation. J Bacteriol 191:5953–5963.

31.   Loehfelm TW, Luke NR, Campagnari AA. 2008. Identification and

characterization of an Acinetobacter baumannii biofilm-associated protein. J Bacteriol

190:1036–1044.

32.   Sahu PK, Iyer PS, Barage SH, Sonawane KD, Chopade BA. 2014.

Characterization of the *algC* Gene Expression Pattern in the Multidrug Resistant

Acinetobacter baumannii AIIMS 7 and Correlation with Biofilm Development on Abiotic

Surface. The Scientific World Journal 2014:1–14.

33.   Repizo GD, Gagné S, Foucault-Grunenwald M-L, Borges V, Charpentier X,

Limansky AS, Gomes JP, Viale AM, Salcedo SP. 2015. Differential Role of the T6SS

in Acinetobacter baumannii Virulence. PLoS One 10:e0138265.

34.   Pournaras S, Poulou A, Dafopoulou K, Chabane YN, Kristo I, Makris D,

Hardouin J, Cosette P, Tsakris A, Dé E. 2014. Growth Retardation, Reduced

Invasiveness, and Impaired Colistin-Mediated Cell Death Associated with Colistin

Resistance Development in Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy 58:828–832.

35.   Kim Y, Xu W, Barrs V, Beatty J, Kenéz Á. 2022. In-depth characterisation of the

urine metabolome in cats with and without urinary tract diseases. Metabolomics 18:19.

36.   Bouatra S, Aziat F, Mandal R, Guo AC, Wilson MR, Knox C, Bjorndahl TC,

Krishnamurthy R, Saleem F, Liu P, Dame ZT, Poelzer J, Huynh J, Yallou FS,

Psychogios N, Dong E, Bogumil R, Roehring C, Wishart DS. 2013. The Human Urine

Metabolome. PLOS ONE 8:e73076.

37.   Jørgensen CM, Hammer K, Jensen PR, Martinussen J. 2004. Expression of the

pyrG gene determines the pool sizes of CTP and dCTP in Lactococcus lactis. Eur J

Biochem 271:2438–2445.

38.   Alteri CJ, Smith SN, Mobley HLT. 2009. Fitness of Escherichia coli during

Urinary Tract Infection Requires Gluconeogenesis and the TCA Cycle. PLOS

Pathogens 5:e1000448.

39.   EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed
(FEEDAP). 2014. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of malic acid and a
mixture of sodium and calcium malate when used as technological additives for all
animal species. EFSA Journal 12:3563.

40.   Cremin P, Kasim-Karakas S, Waterhouse AL. 2001. LC/ES-MS detection of
hydroxycinnamates in human plasma and urine. J Agric Food Chem 49:1747–1750.

41.   Li T, Luo D, Ning N, Liu X, Chen F, Zhang L, Bao C, Li Z, Li D, Gu H, Qu F,
Yang X, Huang Y, Li B, Wang H. 2023. Acinetobacter baumannii adaptation to the host
pH microenvironment is mediated by allelic variation in a single residue of BauA
protein. PNAS Nexus 2:pgad079.

42.   Morris EM, McGrath AP, Brejda J, Jewell DE. 2023. Relative supersaturation
values distinguish between feline urinary and non-urinary foods and align with
expected urine analytes contributions to uroliths. Front Vet Sci 10:1167840.

43.   Good BH, McDonald MJ, Barrick JE, Lenski RE, Desai MM. 2017. The dynamics
of molecular evolution over 60,000 generations. Nature 551:45–50.

44.   Schneider D, Lenski RE. 2004. Dynamics of insertion sequence elements
during experimental evolution of bacteria. Res Microbiol 155:319–327.

45.   Sastre-Dominguez J, DelaFuente J, Toribio-Celestino L, Herencias C,
Herrador-Gómez P, Costas C, Hernández-García M, Cantón R, Rodríguez-Beltrán J,
Santos-Lopez A, Millan AS. 2024. Plasmid-encoded insertion sequences promote
rapid   adaptation   in   clinical   enterobacteria.   bioRxiv
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.01.582297.

46.   Snitkin ES, Zelazny AM, Gupta J, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program,
Palmore TN, Murray PR, Segre JA. 2013. Genomic insights into the fate of colistin
resistance and Acinetobacter baumannii during patient treatment. Genome Res
23:1155–1162.

47.   Hevia A, Delgado S, Sánchez B, Margolles A. 2015. Molecular Players Involved
in the Interaction Between Beneficial Bacteria and the Immune System. Frontiers in
Microbiology 6.

48.   Kalu M, Tan K, Algorri M, Jorth P, Wong-Beringer A. 2022. In-Human Multiyear
Evolution of Carbapenem-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae Causing Chronic
Colonization and Intermittent Urinary Tract Infections: A Case Study. mSphere
7:e0019022.

49.   Yamada N, Kamoshida G, Shiraishi T, Yamaguchi D, Matsuoka M, Yamauchi
R, Kanda N, Kamioka R, Takemoto N, Morita Y, Fujimuro M, Yokota S-I, Yahiro K.
2024. PmrAB, the two-component system of Acinetobacter baumannii, controls the
phosphoethanolamine modification of lipooligosaccharide in response to metal ions. J
Bacteriol e0043523.

50.   Chaguza C, Senghore M, Bojang E, Gladstone RA, Lo SW, Tientcheu P-E,
Bancroft RE, Worwui A, Foster-Nyarko E, Ceesay F, Okoi C, McGee L, Klugman KP,
Breiman RF, Barer MR, Adegbola RA, Antonio M, Bentley SD, Kwambana-Adams BA.
2020. Within-host microevolution of Streptococcus pneumoniae is rapid and adaptive
during natural colonisation. Nat Commun 11:3442.

51.   Gabrielaite M, Johansen HK, Molin S, Nielsen FC, Marvig RL. Gene Loss and
Acquisition in Lineages of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Evolving in Cystic Fibrosis
Patient Airways. mBio 11:e02359-20.

52.   Barker CR, Painset A, Swift C, Jenkins C, Godbole G, Maiden MCJ, Dallman
TJ. 2020. Microevolution of Campylobacter jejuni during long-term infection in an
immunocompromised host. Sci Rep 10:10109.

53.   Ley SD, de Vos M, Van Rie A, Warren RM. 2019. Deciphering Within-Host
Microevolution of Mycobacterium tuberculosis through Whole-Genome Sequencing:
the Phenotypic Impact and Way Forward. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews
83:10.1128/mmbr.00062-18.

54.   Lan F, Demaree B, Ahmed N, Abate AR. 2017. Single-cell genome sequencing
at ultra-high-throughput with microfluidic droplet barcoding. Nat Biotechnol 35:640–
646.

55.   Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial
genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLOS Computational
Biology 13:e1005595.

56.   Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics
30:2068–2069.

57.   Hamidian M, Ambrose SJ, Hall RM. 2016. A large conjugative Acinetobacter
baumannii plasmid carrying the sul2 sulphonamide and strAB streptomycin resistance
genes. Plasmid 87–88:43–50.

58.   Gallagher LA, Ramage E, Weiss EJ, Radey M, Hayden HS, Held KG, Huse HK,
Zurawski DV, Brittnacher MJ, Manoil C. 2015. Resources for Genetic and Genomic

Analysis of Emerging Pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. Journal of Bacteriology
197:2027–2035.

59.   Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016.
PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res
44:W16–W21.

60.   Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O,
Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance
genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2640–2644.

61.   Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. 2006. ISfinder: the
reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D32-36.

62.   Derelle R, Wachsmann J von, Mäklin T, Hellewell J, Russell T, Lalvani A,
Chindelevitch L, Croucher NJ, Harris SR, Lees JA. 2024. Seamless, rapid and
accurate analyses of outbreak genomic data using Split K-mer Analysis (SKA). bioRxiv
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.25.586631.

63.   Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.

64.   Letunic I, Bork P. 2024. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the
phylogenetic tree display and annotation tool. Nucleic Acids Research gkae268.

65.   Yu G. 2020. Using ggtree to Visualize Data on Tree-Like Structures. Curr Protoc
Bioinformatics 69:e96.

66.   Seemann T. 2015. snippy: fast bacterial variant calling from NGS reads.

67.   Darling ACE, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. 2004. Mauve: Multiple Alignment
of Conserved Genomic Sequence With Rearrangements. Genome Res 14:1394–
1403.

68.   Brown D, Cantón R, Dubreuil L, Gatermann S, Giske C, MacGowan A,
Martínez-Martínez L, Mouton J, Skov R, Steinbakk M, Walton C, Heuer O, Struelens
MJ, Högberg LD, Kahlmeter G. 2015. Widespread implementation of EUCAST
breakpoints for antibacterial susceptibility testing in Europe. Eurosurveillance
20:21008.

69.   Tomaras AP, Dorsey CW, Edelmann RE, Actis LAY 2003. Attachment to and
biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces by Acinetobacter baumannii: involvement of a
novel chaperone-usher pili assembly system. Microbiology 149:3473–3484.

70.   Ramirez MS, Don M, Merkier AK, Bistué AJS, Zorreguieta A, Centrón D,
Tolmasky ME. 2010. Naturally competent Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolate as
a convenient model for genetic studies. J Clin Microbiol 48:1488–1490.
71.   Niu C, Clemmer KM, Bonomo RA, Rather PN. 2008. Isolation and
characterization of an autoinducer synthase from Acinetobacter baumannii. J Bacteriol
190:3386–3392.
72.   Jacobs AC, Thompson MG, Black CC, Kessler JL, Clark LP, McQueary CN,
Gancz HY, Corey BW, Moon JK, Si Y, Owen MT, Hallock JD, Kwak YI, Summers A, Li
CZ, Rasko DA, Penwell WF, Honnold CL, Wise MC, Waterman PE, Lesho EP, Stewart
RL, Actis LA, Palys TJ, Craft DW, Zurawski DV. 2014. AB5075, a Highly Virulent Isolate
of Acinetobacter baumannii, as a Model Strain for the Evaluation of Pathogenesis and
Antimicrobial Treatments. mBio 5:e01076-01014.
73.   Antunes LCS, Imperi F, Carattoli A, Visca P. 2011. Deciphering the multifactorial
nature of Acinetobacter baumannii pathogenicity. PLoS One 6:e22674.
74.   Godeux A-S, Lupo A, Haenni M, Guette-Marquet S, Wilharm G, Laaberki M-H,
Charpentier X. 2018. Fluorescence-Based Detection of Natural Transformation in
Drug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. J Bacteriol 200:e00181-18.