

# 1 TSPDB: A curated resource of tailspike proteins with 2 potential applications in phage research

3 *Opeyemi U. Lawal*<sup>1\*</sup> and *Lawrence Goodridge*<sup>1\*</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Canadian Research Institute for Food Safety (CRIFS), Department of Food Science, University of  
5 Guelph, Ontario, Canada. N1G 2W1

6 \*Correspondence:

7 *Dr. Opeyemi U. Lawal*: [lawal@uoguelph.ca](mailto:lawal@uoguelph.ca)

8 *Dr. Lawrence Goodridge*: [goodridl@uoguelph.ca](mailto:goodridl@uoguelph.ca)

9

## 10 **Abstract**

11 Phages are ubiquitous viruses that drive bacterial evolution through infection and replication within  
12 host bacteria. Phage tailspike proteins (TSPs) are key components of phage tail structures,  
13 exhibiting polysaccharide depolymerase activity and host specificity. Despite their potential as novel  
14 antimicrobials, few TSPs have been fully characterized due to laborious detection techniques. To  
15 address this, we present TSPDB, a curated resource for rapid detection of TSPs in genomics and  
16 metagenomics sequence data. We mined public databases, obtaining 17,211 TSP sequences,  
17 which were filtered to exclude duplicates and partial sequences, resulting in 8,099 unique TSP  
18 sequences. TSPDB contains TSPs from over 400 bacterial genera, with significant diversity among  
19 them as revealed by the phylogenetic analysis. The top 13 genera represented were Gram-positive,  
20 with *Bacillus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Clostridium* being the most common. Of note, Phage TSPs in  
21 Gram-positive bacteria were on average 1 Kbp larger than those in Gram-negative bacteria. TSPDB  
22 has been applied in a recent study to screen phage genomes, demonstrating its potential for  
23 functional annotation. TSPDB serves as a comprehensive repository and a resource for researchers  
24 in phage biology, particularly in phage associated therapy and antimicrobial or biocontrol  
25 applications. TSPDB is compatible with bioinformatics tools for *in silico* detection of TSPs in  
26 genomics and metagenomic data, and is freely accessible on GitHub and Figshare, providing a  
27 valuable resource for the scientific community.

28 **Keywords:** Phage, tailspike proteins, genomics, big data, data mining

## 29 **Background**

30 Bacteriophages (phages) are viruses that infect and replicate within host bacteria and archaea  
31 (Chatterjee and Duerkop, 2018; Dion et al., 2020). Phages are the most abundant entities in the  
32 biosphere (Dion et al., 2020) and are distributed across different biomes populated by bacterial and  
33 archaeal hosts, including the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals, and oceanic beds  
34 (Chevallereau et al., 2022; Clokie et al., 2011). They play a vital role in the rapid evolution and  
35 adaptation of their hosts in various environments (Dion et al., 2020).

36 Phages exhibit high genomic, morphological, and structural diversity, composed of DNA or RNA  
37 that can be single-stranded or double-stranded and packaged into a capsid (Dion et al., 2020;  
38 Fokine and Rossmann, 2014). The structural form of the capsid was a major feature used in the  
39 taxonomic classification of phages until the advent of whole-genome sequencing, which has now  
40 become the gold standard for this classification. (Dion et al., 2020; Fokine and Rossmann, 2014;  
41 Turner et al., 2023). Phages are broadly classified as tailed or non-tailed, with double-stranded  
42 DNA tailed phages constituting about 96% of all known phages (Dion et al., 2020). Phages  
43 possess a diverse array of tail structures essential for host recognition, attachment, and  
44 penetration, making them important targets in phage therapy research (Fokine and Rossmann,  
45 2014; Gil et al., 2023). Phage infection of its host begins with the recognition of a receptor on the  
46 bacterial cell surface for attachment (Dowah and Clokie, 2018; Latka et al., 2017). To penetrate the  
47 host cell, phages must overcome various complex barriers on the bacterial cell wall, such as the  
48 outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and the lipoteichoic acids of Gram-positive bacteria  
49 (Chen et al., 2014; Latka et al., 2017). Phages encode virion-associated carbohydrate-degrading  
50 enzymes called depolymerases, which are distinct from the endolysins produced by phages during  
51 the lysis stage (Knecht et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2014). These depolymerases, encoded by tailspike  
52 protein (TSP) genes, recognize, bind, and degrade cell-surface associated polysaccharides,  
53 unmasking phage receptors and making them accessible for bacterial infection (Gil et al., 2023;  
54 Greenfield et al., 2019; Latka et al., 2017).

55 Tailspike proteins are integral components of phage tail structures, and their activities as  
56 polysaccharide depolymerases are related to host specificity and infectivity (Greenfield et al.,  
57 2019). A hallmark of TSPs is their host specificity, high thermostability, resistance to protease  
58 treatment, and stability in the presence of high concentrations of urea and sodium dodecyl sulfate  
59 (Chen et al., 2014). Phage TSPs possess carbohydrate depolymerase activity and recognize  
60 capsule, and lipopolysaccharides (LPS) where they cleave components of the LPS to position the  
61 phage towards a secondary membrane receptor during infection (Knecht et al., 2020). TSPs have  
62 been observed to decrease bacterial viability, leading to antimicrobial applications. For example,  
63 Ayariga and colleagues (Ayariga et al., 2021) demonstrated that the  $\epsilon$ 34 phage tailspike protein

64 has enzymatic property as a LPS hydrolase and synergizes with Vero Cell culture supernatant in  
65 killing *Salmonella* Newington. The ε34 TSP also showed bactericidal efficacy against different  
66 *Salmonella* serovars in various matrices (Ibrahim et al., 2023). Miletic and colleagues (Miletic et al.,  
67 2016) expressed the receptor binding domain of the Phage P22 Gp9 tailspike protein in plant  
68 tissue (*Nicotiana benthamiana*), and demonstrated that, upon oral administration of lyophilized  
69 leaves expressing Gp9 TSP to newly hatched chickens, *Salmonella* concentrations were reduced  
70 on average by approximately 0.75 log relative to controls. Others have shown that TSPs can be  
71 used to control the growth of plant pathogens. For example, expression of the *Erwinia* spp. phage  
72 TSP DpoEa1h in transgenic apple and pear plants significantly reduced fire blight (*Erwinia*  
73 *amylovora*) susceptibility, (Malnoy et al., 2005; Roach and Donovan, 2015) likely due to removal of  
74 the main virulence factor amylovoran and exposing the *E. amylovora* cells to host plant defenses  
75 (Kim et al., 2004). Finally, phage LKA1 TSP exhibits disruptive activity against biofilms while also  
76 reducing virulence in *Pseudomonas* in an infection model (Olszak et al., 2017). Collectively, these  
77 studies demonstrate the utility of TSPs as novel antimicrobials to control the growth of food and  
78 plant-borne pathogens in foods.

79 Despite the known antimicrobial applications of TSPs, only a few have been fully characterized to  
80 date. This could be partly due to the laborious nature of detection techniques, which include plaque  
81 assays followed by examination under a transmission electron microscope (TEM) to identify "bulb-  
82 like" baseplate structures at the base of phage tails indicative of TSPs (Bhandare et al., 2024;  
83 Knecht et al., 2020). The decreasing costs of sequencing and the availability of improved  
84 bioinformatics tools have facilitated the construction of large-scale genome and metagenome  
85 datasets (Emond-Rheault et al., 2017; Wattam et al., 2014). High-throughput *in silico* detection of  
86 TSP-encoding genes in genomic data would not only provide further details regarding the diversity  
87 of TSPs in virulent phages but could also be used to identify the presence of TSPs in prophages.  
88 The development of a database for TSPs would further contribute to the understanding of the  
89 structure and function of these proteins to harness their potential for diverse applications, such as  
90 the development of phage therapy for bacterial infections or phage-based biocontrol of foodborne  
91 pathogens, and drug discovery (Brives and Pourraz, 2020; Roach and Donovan, 2015).

92 Here, we present a high-level curated resource called TSPDB for the rapid detection of tailspike  
93 proteins in multiomics sequence data.

## 94 **Data and Methodology**

95 Data Mining and Quality Check: The DDBJ/ENA/GenBank and UniProt databases (Sayers et al.,  
96 2022; The UniProt Consortium et al., 2023) were queried for TSPs using search terms commonly

97 associated with tailspike proteins, such as "phage tailspike," "tail spike proteins," "phage  
98 endopeptidase," and "phage endorhamnosidase." Hits were systematically filtered to exclude  
99 duplicate results. Nucleotide sequences of TSPs were retrieved from public databases using  
100 accession numbers obtained from the database query via NCBI Entrez Programming Utilities (E-  
101 utilities) (National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2023)

102 Dataset Curation: From this exercise, 17,211 sequences were obtained from the queried public  
103 databases. Duplicated sequences were removed using thresholds of  $\geq 95\%$  nucleotide similarity  
104 and coverage with cd-hit (Li and Godzik, 2006) and Seqkit (Shen et al., 2016), resulting in 9,129  
105 unique TSP sequences (**Figure 1**).

106 To assess the sequence length distribution and perform quality checks on unique TSP sequences,  
107 Gaussian distribution analysis was conducted. Sequences shorter than 400 bp, which could  
108 represent partial or incomplete sequences, were excluded from the dataset. This filtering process  
109 resulted in a total of 8,099 unique TSP sequences (**Figure 1**). TSP sequences with a length of  
110  $\leq 10,000$  bp were retained to include those originating from Gram-positive bacteria such as  
111 *Clostridium* and *Streptococcus*, among others (**Figure 2A**). Further analysis of TSP genes in the  
112 TSPDB reveals a significant difference in the sizes of TSPs between Gram-negative and Gram-  
113 positive bacteria. Specifically, the average size of TSPs for Gram-negative bacteria is 2,070 bp,  
114 while the average size for Gram-positive bacteria is substantially larger, at 3,255 bp (**Figure 2B**).

115 The TSPDB contains TSPs from more than 400 bacterial genera. Among these, the top 13 genera  
116 represented were Gram-positive bacteria, with TSPs from *Bacillus* (n=1616) being the most  
117 common, followed by *Streptococcus* (n=1152), *Clostridium* (n=683), *Enterococcus* (n=387), and  
118 *Staphylococcus* (n=372). Additionally, TSPs from Gram-negative bacterial genera, *Salmonella*  
119 (n=75), *Escherichia* (n=58), *Klebsiella* (n=52), and *Pseudomonas* (n=25) were among the top 38  
120 TSPs in the database (**Figure 2C**).

121 Diversity of TSPs: To assess the diversity of the 8,099 unduplicated TSP sequences and their  
122 suitability for database creation, we employed a phylogeny-based approach. The TSP sequences  
123 were aligned using MAFFT v7.453 (Kato, 2002), and a maximum likelihood tree with 1000  
124 bootstrap replicates for node support was constructed using FastTree v2.1.11 (Price et al., 2010).  
125 The resulting phylogenetic tree was visualized using the web-based Microreact visualization tool  
126 (Argimón et al., 2016) (**Figure 2D**).

127 TSPDB Construction: The deduplicated TSP nucleotide sequences were utilized to construct the  
128 TSP database using makeblastdb (Camacho et al., 2009). This database is compatible for use with

129 ABRicate (<https://github.com/tseemann/abricate>) and other bioinformatics tools equipped with  
130 embedded BLAST algorithms, such as BLAST suites and SRST2 (Inouye et al., 2014), among  
131 others.

132 **TSPDB Application:** The TSPDB was recently utilized in a study by (Bhandare et al., 2024),  
133 where the database was implemented within an ABRicate container to screen for the presence of  
134 TSPs in a collection of phage genomes using stringent parameters ( $\geq 90\%$  identity and  $\geq 70\%$   
135 coverage). Overall, the TSPDB contains a vast dataset of diverse TSPs found in phages, making it  
136 an essential tool for detecting TSPs within large genomic and metagenomic datasets. Integration of  
137 this database into phage detection tools will enhance the functional annotation of these genes. The  
138 TSPDB described here will undergo regular updates to include new TSP genes as they become  
139 available in public databases.

140 **Limitations:** It is acknowledged that mis-annotation of some TSPs as hypothetical proteins or tail  
141 fibers in public databases may have resulted in the omission of certain TSP genes in this study.  
142 However, the TSPDB will be continually updated to incorporate additional TSP genes.

143 **Dataset Description:** The TSPDB is freely accessible on GitHub at the following link:  
144 <https://github.com/yemilawal/Tailspike-proteins> or by searching for the title "TSPDB: A curated  
145 resource of tailspike proteins with potential applications in phage research" on GitHub. Additionally,  
146 accession numbers of genes encoding phage tailspike proteins in TSPDB are available on the  
147 GitHub page. A backup version is also available for download on Figshare at  
148 <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25526323>.

149 **Data Availability Statement:** The datasets associated with this study are hosted in online  
150 repositories. Details of the repository/repositories and accession numbers can be found in the links  
151 provided in the manuscript.

152 **Funding:** This work was supported by the Canada First Research Excellence Fund, and a Natural  
153 Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant to LG.

154 **Author Contributions:** OL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation,  
155 Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft and review and editing; LG:  
156 Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing, Funding acquisition and Resources

157 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any  
158 commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

159 **Publisher's Note.** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not  
160 necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors,  
161 and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by  
162 its manufacturer, is not guaranteed, or endorsed by the publisher.

## 163 **References**

- 164 Argimón, S., Abudahab, K., Goater, R.J.E., Fedosejev, A., Bhai, J., Glasner, C., Feil, E.J., Holden,  
165 M.T.G., Yeats, C.A., Grundmann, H., Spratt, G., Aanensen, D.M., 2016. Microreact:  
166 visualizing and sharing data for genomic epidemiology and phylogeography. *Microbial*  
167 *Genomics* 2, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000093>
- 168 Ayariga, J.A., Gildea, L., Wu, H., Villafane, R., 2021. The  $\epsilon$ 34 Phage Tailspike Protein: An In vitro  
169 Characterization, Structure Prediction, Potential Interaction with *S. newington* LPS and  
170 Cytotoxicity Assessment to Animal Cell Line. *Journal of Clinical Trials* 11, 1–18.
- 171 Bhandare, S., Lawal, O.U., Colavecchio, A., Cadieux, B., Zahirovich-Jovich, Y., Zhong, Z.,  
172 Tompkins, E., Amitrano, M., Kukavica-Ibrulj, I., Boyle, B., Wang, S., Levesque, R.C.,  
173 Delaquis, P., Danyluk, M., Goodridge, L., 2024. Genomic and Phenotypic Analysis of  
174 *Salmonella enterica* Bacteriophages Identifies Two Novel Phage Species. *Microorganisms*  
175 12, 1–17. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12040695>
- 176 Brives, C., Pourraz, J., 2020. Phage therapy as a potential solution in the fight against AMR:  
177 obstacles and possible futures. *Palgrave Commun* 6, 100. [https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-0478-4)  
178 [020-0478-4](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-0478-4)
- 179 Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., Madden, T.L.,  
180 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10, 421.  
181 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>
- 182 Chatterjee, A., Duerkop, B.A., 2018. Beyond bacteria: Bacteriophage-eukaryotic host interactions  
183 reveal emerging paradigms of health and disease. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 1–8.  
184 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01394>
- 185 Chen, C., Bales, P., Greenfield, J., Heselpoth, R.D., Nelson, D.C., Herzberg, O., 2014. Crystal  
186 Structure of ORF210 from *E. coli* O157:H1 Phage CBA120 (TSP1), a Putative Tailspike  
187 Protein. *PLoS ONE* 9, e93156. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093156>



- 188 Chevallereau, A., Pons, B.J., van Houte, S., Westra, E.R., 2022. Interactions between bacterial  
189 and phage communities in natural environments. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 20, 49–62.  
190 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-021-00602-y>
- 191 Clokie, M.R.J., Millard, A.D., Letarov, A.V., Heaphy, S., 2011. Phages in nature. *Bacteriophage* 1,  
192 31–45.
- 193 Dion, M.B., Oechslin, F., Moineau, S., 2020. Phage diversity, genomics and phylogeny. *Nat Rev*  
194 *Microbiol* 18, 125–138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0311-5>
- 195 Dowah, A.S.A., Clokie, M.R.J., 2018. Review of the nature, diversity and structure of  
196 bacteriophage receptor binding proteins that target Gram-positive bacteria. *Biophys Rev*  
197 10, 535–542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12551-017-0382-3>
- 198 Emond-Rheault, J.-G., Jeukens, J., Freschi, L., Kukavica-Ibrulj, I., Boyle, B., Dupont, M.-J.,  
199 Colavecchio, A., Barrere, V., Cadieux, B., Arya, G., Bekal, S., Berry, C., Burnett, E.,  
200 Cavestri, C., Chapin, T.K., Crouse, A., Daigle, F., Danyluk, M.D., Delaquis, P., Dewar, K.,  
201 Doualla-Bell, F., Fliss, I., Fong, K., Fournier, E., Franz, E., Garduno, R., Gill, A., Gruenheid,  
202 S., Harris, L., Huang, C.B., Huang, H., Johnson, R., Joly, Y., Kerhoas, M., Kong, N.,  
203 Lapointe, G., Larivière, L., Loignon, S., Malo, D., Moineau, S., Mottawea, W.,  
204 Mukhopadhyay, K., Nadon, C., Nash, J., Ngueng Feze, I., Ogunremi, D., Perets, A., Pilar,  
205 A.V., Reimer, A.R., Robertson, J., Rohde, J., Sanderson, K.E., Song, L., Stephan, R.,  
206 Tamber, S., Thomassin, P., Tremblay, D., Usongo, V., Vincent, C., Wang, S., Weadge,  
207 J.T., Wiedmann, M., Wijnands, L., Wilson, E.D., Wittum, T., Yoshida, C., Youfsi, K., Zhu, L.,  
208 Weimer, B.C., Goodridge, L., Levesque, R.C., 2017. A Syst-OMICS Approach to Ensuring  
209 Food Safety and Reducing the Economic Burden of Salmonellosis. *Frontiers in*  
210 *Microbiology* 8.
- 211 Fokine, A., Rossmann, M.G., 2014. Molecular architecture of tailed double-stranded DNA phages.  
212 *Bacteriophage* 4, e28281. <https://doi.org/10.4161/bact.28281>
- 213 Gil, J., Paulson, J., Brown, M., Zahn, H., Nguyen, M.M., Eisenberg, M., Erickson, S., 2023.  
214 Tailoring the Host Range of Ackermannviridae Bacteriophages through Chimeric Tailspike  
215 Proteins. *Viruses* 15, 286. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v15020286>
- 216 Greenfield, J., Shang, X., Luo, H., Zhou, Y., Heselpoth, R.D., Nelson, D.C., Herzberg, O., 2019.  
217 Structure and tailspike glycosidase machinery of ORF212 from *E. coli* O157:H7 phage  
218 CBA120 (TSP3). *Sci Rep* 9, 7349. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43748-9>
- 219 Ibrahim, I., Ayariga, J.A., Xu, J., Adebajo, A., Robertson, B.K., Samuel-Foo, M., Ajayi, O.S., 2023.  
220 CBD resistant *Salmonella* strains are susceptible to epsilon 34 phage tailspike protein.  
221 *Front. Med.* 10, 1075698. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1075698>

- 222 Inouye, M., Dashnow, H., Raven, L.-A., Schultz, M.B., Pope, B.J., Tomita, T., Zobel, J., Holt, K.E.,  
223 2014. SRST2: Rapid genomic surveillance for public health and hospital microbiology labs.  
224 Katoh, K., 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast  
225 Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30, 3059–3066.  
226 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436>
- 227 Kim, W.-S., Salm, H., Geider, K., 2004. Expression of bacteriophage  $\phi$ Ea1h lysozyme in  
228 *Escherichia coli* and its activity in growth inhibition of *Erwinia amylovora*. *Microbiology* 150,  
229 2707–2714. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27224-0>
- 230 Knecht, L.E., Veljkovic, M., Fieseler, L., 2020. Diversity and Function of Phage Encoded  
231 Depolymerases. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 2949. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02949>
- 232 Latka, A., Maciejewska, B., Majkowska-Skrobek, G., Briers, Y., Drulis-Kawa, Z., 2017.  
233 Bacteriophage-encoded virion-associated enzymes to overcome the carbohydrate barriers  
234 during the infection process. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 101, 3103–3119.  
235 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8224-6>
- 236 Li, W., Godzik, A., 2006. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein  
237 or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 22, 1658–1659.  
238 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158>
- 239 Malnoy, M., Faize, M., Venisse, J.-S., Geider, K., Chevreau, E., 2005. Expression of viral EPS-  
240 depolymerase reduces fire blight susceptibility in transgenic pear. *Plant Cell Rep* 23, 632–  
241 638. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-004-0855-2>
- 242 Miletic, S., Simpson, D.J., Szymanski, C.M., Deyholos, M.K., Menassa, R., 2016. A Plant-  
243 Produced Bacteriophage Tailspike Protein for the Control of Salmonella. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6.  
244 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.01221>
- 245 National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2023. Entrez Programming Utilities Help [Internet].  
246 National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda.
- 247 Olszak, T., Shneider, M.M., Latka, A., Maciejewska, B., Browning, C., Sycheva, L.V., Cornelissen,  
248 A., Danis-Wlodarczyk, K., Senchenkova, S.N., Shashkov, A.S., Gula, G., Arabski, M.,  
249 Wasik, S., Miroshnikov, K.A., Lavigne, R., Leiman, P.G., Knirel, Y.A., Drulis-Kawa, Z.,  
250 2017. The O-specific polysaccharide lyase from the phage LKA1 tailspike reduces  
251 *Pseudomonas* virulence. *Sci Rep* 7, 16302. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16411-4>
- 252 Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2010. FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood trees  
253 for large alignments. *PLoS ONE* 5, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009490>
- 254 Roach, D.R., Donovan, D.M., 2015. Antimicrobial bacteriophage-derived proteins and therapeutic  
255 applications. *Bacteriophage* 5, e1062590. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21597081.2015.1062590>



- 256 Sayers, E.W., Bolton, E.E., Brister, J.R., Canese, K., Chan, J., Comeau, D.C., Connor, R., Funk,  
257 K., Kelly, C., Kim, S., Madej, T., Marchler-Bauer, A., Lanczycki, C., Lathrop, S., Lu, Z.,  
258 Thibaud-Nissen, F., Murphy, T., Phan, L., Skripchenko, Y., Tse, T., Wang, J., Williams, R.,  
259 Trawick, B.W., Pruitt, K.D., Sherry, S.T., 2022. Database resources of the national center  
260 for biotechnology information. *Nucleic Acids Research* 50, D20–D26.  
261 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1112>
- 262 Shen, W., Le, S., Li, Y., Hu, F., 2016. SeqKit: A Cross-Platform and Ultrafast Toolkit for FASTA/Q  
263 File Manipulation. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0163962. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163962>
- 264 The UniProt Consortium, Bateman, A., Martin, M.-J., Orchard, S., Magrane, M., Ahmad, S., Alpi,  
265 E., Bowler-Barnett, E.H., Britto, R., Bye-A-Jee, H., Cukura, A., Denny, P., Dogan, T.,  
266 Ebenezer, T., Fan, J., Garmiri, P., da Costa Gonzales, L.J., Hatton-Ellis, E., Hussein, A.,  
267 Ignatchenko, A., Insana, G., Ishtiaq, R., Joshi, V., Jyothi, D., Kandasamy, S., Lock, A.,  
268 Luciani, A., Lugaric, M., Luo, J., Lussi, Y., MacDougall, A., Madeira, F., Mahmoudy, M.,  
269 Mishra, A., Moulang, K., Nightingale, A., Pundir, S., Qi, G., Raj, S., Raposo, P., Rice, D.L.,  
270 Saidi, R., Santos, R., Speretta, E., Stephenson, J., Tootoo, P., Turner, E., Tyagi, N.,  
271 Vasudev, P., Warner, K., Watkins, X., Zaru, R., Zellner, H., Bridge, A.J., Aimo, L., Argoud-  
272 Puy, G., Auchincloss, A.H., Axelsen, K.B., Bansal, P., Baratin, D., Batista Neto, T.M.,  
273 Blatter, M.-C., Bolleman, J.T., Boutet, E., Breuza, L., Gil, B.C., Casals-Casas, C., Echioukh,  
274 K.C., Coudert, E., Cuche, B., de Castro, E., Estreicher, A., Famiglietti, M.L., Feuermann,  
275 M., Gasteiger, E., Gaudet, P., Gehant, S., Gerritsen, V., Gos, A., Gruaz, N., Hulo, C., Hyka-  
276 Nospikel, N., Jungo, F., Kerhornou, A., Le Mercier, P., Lieberherr, D., Masson, P., Morgat,  
277 A., Muthukrishnan, V., Paesano, S., Pedruzzi, I., Pilbout, S., Pourcel, L., Poux, S., Pozzato,  
278 M., Pruess, M., Redaschi, N., Rivoire, C., Sigrist, C.J.A., Sonesson, K., Sundaram, S., Wu,  
279 C.H., Arighi, C.N., Arminski, L., Chen, C., Chen, Y., Huang, H., Laiho, K., McGarvey, P.,  
280 Natale, D.A., Ross, K., Vinayaka, C.R., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., 2023. UniProt: the  
281 Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Research* 51, D523–D531.  
282 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1052>
- 283 Turner, D., Shkoporov, A.N., Lood, C., Millard, A.D., Dutilh, B.E., Alfenas-Zerbini, P., Van Zyl, L.J.,  
284 Aziz, R.K., Oksanen, H.M., Poranen, M.M., Kropinski, A.M., Baryliski, J., Brister, J.R.,  
285 Chanisvili, N., Edwards, R.A., Enault, F., Gillis, A., Knezevic, P., Krupovic, M., Kurtböke, I.,  
286 Kushkina, A., Lavigne, R., Lehman, S., Lobočka, M., Moraru, C., Moreno Switt, A.,  
287 Morozova, V., Nakavuma, J., Reyes Muñoz, A., Rūmnieks, J., Sarkar, B., Sullivan, M.B.,  
288 Uchiyama, J., Wittmann, J., Yigang, T., Adriaenssens, E.M., 2023. Abolishment of  
289 morphology-based taxa and change to binomial species names: 2022 taxonomy update of

290 the ICTV bacterial viruses subcommittee. Arch Virol 168, 74.

291 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-022-05694-2>

292 Wattam, A.R., Abraham, D., Dalay, O., Disz, T.L., Driscoll, T., Gabbard, J.L., Gillespie, J.J.,

293 Gough, R., Hix, D., Kenyon, R., Machi, D., Mao, C., Nordberg, E.K., Olson, R., Overbeek,

294 R., Pusch, G.D., Shukla, M., Schulman, J., Stevens, R.L., Sullivan, D.E., Vonstein, V.,

295 Warren, A., Will, R., Wilson, M.J.C., Yoo, H.S., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., Sobral, B.W., 2014.

296 PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource. Nucl. Acids Res. 42,

297 D581–D591. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1099>

298 Yan, J., Mao, J., Xie, J., 2014. Bacteriophage Polysaccharide Depolymerases and Biomedical

299 Applications. BioDrugs 28, 265–274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-013-0081-y>

300

### 301 **Figure Legend**

302 **Figure 1 – Workflow for the construction of the tailspike protein database (TSPDB).**

303 **Figure 2 – Analysis of Phage tail spike proteins in the TSPDB.** (A). Sequence length

304 distribution of genes encoding phage TSPs contained in the TSPDB. (B). Frequency of top 37

305 genera of host phages carrying TSPs in the TSPDB. (C). Differential TSPs size between Gram-

306 negative and Gram-positive bacteria in the TSPDB. (D). Phylogenetic diversity of the 8,099 TSPs

307 in the TSPDB. Each node represents a unique TSP contained in the TSPDB, with nodes of similar

308 color belonging to the same genera. The top 37 genera are displayed in colour. An interactive

309 version of this figure is accessible through the following link -

310 <https://microreact.org/project/7Kv61nb6aRapgGgHpxsNGL-tspdb-v20>.

311

312





