Impaired hippocampal functions underlying memory encoding and consolidation precede

robust Aβ deposition in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease

Hanyan Li [1,2, #] , Zhuoyang Zhao [1,2, #] , Aline Fassini [1,2], Han K. Lee [1,2], Reese J. Green [1,2], and

Stephen N. Gomperts [1,2, *]

[1] Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[2] Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

# Contributed equally to this work

* Correspondence: Gomperts.stephen@mgh.harvard.edu

Abstract

Current therapeutic strategies for Alzheimer's disease (AD) target amyloid-beta (Aβ) fibrils and

high molecular weight protofibrils associated with plaques, but molecular cascades associated with

AD may drive neural systems failure before Aβ plaque deposition in AD. Employing hippocampal

electrophysiological recordings and dynamic calcium imaging across the sleep-wake cycle in the

APP/PS1 mouse model of AD before Aβ plaques accumulated, we detected marked impairments

of hippocampal systems function: In a spatial behavioral task, but not REM sleep, phase-amplitude

coupling (PAC) of the hippocampal theta and gamma oscillations was impaired and place cell

calcium fluctuations were hyper-synchronized with the theta oscillation. In subsequent slow wave

sleep (SWS), place cell reactivation was reduced. These degraded neural functions underlying

memory encoding and consolidation support targeting pathological processes of the pre-plaque

phase of AD to treat and prevent hippocampal impairments.

Introduction

In Alzheimer's disease (AD), deposition of amyloid-beta42 (Aβ) in amyloid plaques and aggregation of intracellular tau in neurofibrillary tangles are associated with neuronal loss and progressive memory impairment on the basis of hippocampal systems failure (1). The advent of amyloid-targeted immunotherapies such as Lecanemab (targeting high molecular weight Aβ protofibrils as well as fibrils in plaques) (2), Donanemab (targeting the pyroglutamate modification seen in Aβ fibrils in plaques) (3), and Aducanumab (targeting Aβ fibrils in plaques) (4) for the treatment of AD heralds the beginning of a new era, with evidence to date demonstrating marked reductions of brain amyloid. Even so, these treatments as yet have only modestly improved cognitive outcomes of AD patients (2,3,5). Several plausible explanations may explain this discrepancy, including the possibility of problems with the timing or molecular targets of these state-of-the-art treatments against neurodegeneration in AD.

Hippocampal functions are diffusely disrupted in Aβ plaque-laden mice such as the APPswe/PS1dE9 (APP/PS1) transgenic model that harbors genetic mutations that drive production of the Aβ42 peptide and cause autosomal dominant AD (6,7). During online memory encoding processes, hippocampal place cells form place fields that are activated sequentially as animals explore an environment in coordination with the hippocampal theta oscillation (8,9). In Aβ plaque-laden mice, place representations (10,11) and the coupling of the amplitude of gamma oscillations to the phase of theta (12–19) are impaired, and neuronal calcium fluctuations hyper-synchronize with the theta oscillation (20). Aβ plaque-laden mice also show impaired off-line hippocampal memory consolidation processes: In SWS, reduced reactivation of hippocampal place cell pairs (21) and reduced coordination of hippocampal sharp wave ripples (SWRs) with cortical spindles

and slow oscillations (20,22); in REM sleep, the same oscillatory impairments seen in wakefulness,

namely, reduced theta-gamma coupling (20,23,24), and hyper-synchronization of neuronal

calcium fluctuations with theta (20).

As some molecular species arising from aberrant degradation of the amyloid precursor protein

(APP) have been found to be cytotoxic (25), including soluble Aβ oligomers (AβO) that impair

synapse function, plasticity, and density (26–36) and have been found to drive hippocampal

neuronal hyper-excitability in anesthetized AD models (37–39) , we hypothesized that

impairments of hippocampal systems functions underlying memory encoding and consolidation

would be evident in advance of plaque deposition. To test this hypothesis, here we acquired

hippocampal dynamic calcium imaging and hippocampal and cortical electrophysiological

recordings across behavioral states in freely-behaving APP/PS1 transgenic mice in the window

before robust plaque deposition (6,40). We found marked impairments of hippocampal functions

underlying memory encoding and consolidation processes despite minimal Aβ plaque burden.

Results

To evaluate hippocampal function before plaque deposition, we acquired hippocampal CA1

dynamic calcium imaging with GCaMP6f using the Inscopix miniscope^{TM} together with

simultaneous cortical and hippocampal local field potential (LFP) recordings of young APP/PS1

mice (5.2 ± 0.6 months, n=8, male) and their littermate controls (4.7 ± 0.5 months, n=7, male; age,

$p > 0.05$, 2-sided t-test), as animals explored a linear track (RUN), subsequently quiet wakefulness

(QW), slow wave sleep (SWS) and REM sleep in the home cage (Fig. 1a). Recording lengths of

each state were comparable between genotypes (Fig. S1).

Minimal burden of Aβ plaques and abundant soluble Aβ oligomers in young APP/PS1 mice

In the APP/PS1 model, Aβ plaques have been reported to first deposit around 6 months, with dense accumulation by 12 months (6,40). In line with these reports, we observed minimal deposition of Aβ plaques in hippocampus and cortex in young APP/PS1 mice, in contrast to 12-month-old mice (hippocampus: repeated measures ANOVA $F_{(4,15)}=42.1$, $p<0.001$, post hoc comparison with positive control $p<0.001$; cortex: repeated measures ANOVA $F_{(4,15)}=105.4$, $p<0.001$, post hoc comparison with positive control $p<0.001$, Fig. 1b, c). Soluble AβOs were detected in both hippocampus and cortex and increased with age (hippocampus $R=0.5$, $p=0.03$; cortex $R=0.6$, $p=0.006$, Pearson correlations, Fig. 1d, consistent with prior results (41,42)).

Figure 1. Young APP/PS1 mice expressing minimal Aβ plaque burden and abundant soluble AβO showed preserved place cell function and no calcium hyperactivity across the sleep-wake cycle. a) *Schematic of hippocampal calcium imaging and simultaneous ipsilateral LFP recording across the sleep-wake cycle: exploratory behavior (RUN), insert: examples of LFP spectrogram*

*and one calcium trace across behavioral states; and examples of place cells sorted by their place fields (in yellow) in inbound (LR) and outbound (RL) directions. Yellow shows the place field of each cell; quiet wakefulness (QW); slow wave sleep (SWS) and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep. **b)** Immunohistochemistry against Aβ in hippocampus of a wild-type control mouse (6 months) and APP/PS1 mice at 6 and 12 months. Green: Aβ plaques (D54D2). **c)** Aβ plaque counts in hippocampus and cortex were low in 4-6 month-old APP/PS1 mice and increased markedly at 12 months. **d)** Levels of soluble AβO in hippocampus and cortex increased with age in APP/PS1 mice. **e)** Spatial information (SI) and **f)** place field width were comparable across genotypes. **g)** Calcium event rates of place cells and non place cells in each behavioral state were similar to those of littermate control mice, and calcium event rates of place cells were higher in RUN than SWS in both genotypes. **h)** In RUN and REM sleep, APP/PS1 had fewer low rate and high rate cells; In QW and SWS, APP/PS1 had more low rate cells and fewer high rate cells. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***p<0.001; c, g, ANOVA with post-hoc comparisons; e, f, 2-sided t-test; d, Pearson correlation; h, mixed effects model. b-d: each group n=4 mice; e-h: Control n=5 mice, 395 place cells, 2169 total cells; APP/PS1 n=6 mice, 237 place cells, 2133 total cells. Data are represented as mean ± S.E.M.*

Intact place representations but reduced dynamic calcium activity in quiet wakefulness and slow wave sleep before plaque deposition

Behavior was comparable across young APP/PS1 and littermate control groups, with similar run velocity (Control: 4.1 ± 0.7 cm/s; APP/PS1: 3.5 ± 0.6 cm/s, p>0.05, 2-sided t-test) and laps on the track (Control: 34.2 ± 3.2 laps; APP/PS1: 39.8 ± 2.5 laps, p>0.05, 2-sided t-test), consistent with prior reports (43).

To investigate place cell representations across the genotypes, which are often directional (44), we considered inbound (LR) and outbound (RL) trajectories separately (Fig. 1a insert). The proportion of place cells was similar in young APP/PS1 and control mice (Control: $16.8\% \pm 1.9\%$; APP/PS1: $11.0\% \pm 2.1\%$. p=0.07, 2-sided t-test). Spatial information (p>0.05, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 1e) and place field width (p>0.05, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 1f) were also similar across the genotypes. These results are consistent with prior work (45).

Previous studies in plaque-laden AD models have detected aberrant neuronal activity (20,37,38),

and studies in young anesthetized AD mice (37,39) have reported hyperactivity of hippocampal

neurons before amyloid plaque formation. We therefore extended analyses of neuronal activity of

young APP/PS1 mice across the sleep-wake cycle, free from the complex effects of anesthesia (39).

Unexpectedly, place cell and nonplace cell mean calcium event rates in each behavioral state of

young APP/PS1 mice were similar to those of littermate control mice ($p>0.05$, 2-sided t-tests, Fig.

1g). We found no hyperactivity (defined as calcium event rates $> 2SD$ above the control group

mean (20)) in any behavioral state (high rate cell proportions, Control vs APP/PS1, RUN: $4.2\% \pm$

0.4% vs $0.7\% \pm 0.6\%$, $p<0.001$; QW: $5.1\% \pm 0.4\%$ vs $2.8\% \pm 1.9\%$, $p=0.001$; SWS: $4.9\% \pm 0.3\%$

vs $1.8\% \pm 1.5\%$, $p<0.001$; REM sleep: $4.4\% \pm 0.5\%$ vs $1.0\% \pm 0.8\%$, $p<0.001$. Mixed effects

model, Fig 1h). Instead, we observed an increased proportion of hypoactive cells (< 0.25

spikes/min (20,37)) in APP/PS1 mice in QW and SWS (low rate cell proportions, Control vs

APP/PS1, RUN: $19.5\% \pm 8.2\%$ vs $11.7\% \pm 1.5\%$, $p<0.001$; QW: $31.2\% \pm 4.7\%$ vs $39.8\% \pm 5.8\%$,

$p=0.009$; SWS: $30.6\% \pm 3.5\%$ vs $42.5\% \pm 11.5\%$, $p<0.001$; REM sleep: $21.0\% \pm 4.0\%$ vs 21.5%

$\pm 3.5\%$, ns. Mixed effects model, Fig 1h), regardless of place cell identity.

Consistent with prior results in plaque-laden APP/PS1 mice (20) (but see (39)), calcium event rates

of place cells were higher in RUN than in SWS in both APP/PS1 and control mice (Control:

repeated measures ANOVA: $F_{(3,16)}=3.46$, $p=0.04$, post hoc comparison: RUN vs SWS, $p=0.03$;

APP/PS1: repeated measures ANOVA: $F_{(3,20)}=3.57$, $p=0.03$, post hoc comparison: RUN vs SWS,

$p=0.003$, Fig. 1g). In both APP/PS1 and control mice, the calcium event rates of non-place cells

were similar across behavioral states.

Reduced place cell pairwise reactivation despite intact slow wave sleep oscillations before robust plaque deposition

Since place cell properties of young APP/PS1 mice were essentially intact at the single cell level, we next evaluated place cell coordination in offline behavioral states. Place cells with overlapping place fields during spatial behavioral tasks tend to fire together during subsequent rest and sleep in the service of memory consolidation (46–48), and prior work has found that reactivation of place cell pairs in SWS is reduced in Aβ plaque-bearing mice (21). We therefore set out to evaluate whether place cell reactivation is perturbed prior to robust Aβ plaque deposition. SWR frequency and duration in SWS were comparable in APP/PS1 and control mice (SWR rate: Control 0.061 ± 0.014 event/s; APP/PS1 0.089 ± 0.020 event/s; duration: Control 0.024 ± 0.0005 s; APP/PS1 0.025 ± 0.0006 s; p>0.05, 2-sided t-tests). We calculated the spike time cross-correlation of all place cell pairs during exploratory behavior (RUN) and in subsequent offline states, and identified reactivation when a place cell pair was coactive in both online and offline states (48) (Fig. 2a, see Methods and Fig. S2). Pairwise reactivation was detected in each genotype by comparing to the distribution of 500 cross-correlations of shuffled spike times (Supplementary Fig. S3a). The percentage of place cell pairs that reactivated in SWS was significantly reduced in APP/PS1 compared to control mice (p=0.005, T=3.6, df=9, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 2a, b). Place cell activity and numbers were comparable in APP/PS1 and control mice (see above), and this difference persisted after matching place cell event rate and place cell numbers in control mice and APP/PS1 mice (Supplementary Fig. S3b-d). In contrast to SWS, pairwise reactivation of APP/PS1 and control mice was comparable in QW (as well as in REM sleep) (p>0.05, 2-sided t-test, Fig 2a, b). Thus, hippocampal reactivation of place cell pairs in SWS was reduced in APP/PS1 mice prior to robust Aβ plaque deposition.

Figure 2. Young APP/PS1 mice showed reduced place cell pairwise reactivation but intact oscillations of slow wave sleep. a) *Spike time cross-correlations of 30 randomly selected place cells from one control and one APP/PS1 mouse, sorted by place field location on the track (colorbar). Grey line: coactivation.* **b)** *The percentage of place cell pairs that were temporally reactivated in slow wave sleep (SWS) was reduced in APP/PS1 compared to control mice, but not in quiet wakefulness (QW) or REM sleep (REMs).* **c)** *In SWS, power in the cortical slow oscillation, delta, spindle and hippocampal ripple bands was comparable between genotypes. Inset: cortical slow oscillation and delta power.* **d)** *Cortical spindle-triggered ripple power was comparable between genotypes.* ***p < 0.01, 2-sided t-tests. Control n=5 mice, 395 place cells, 2169 total cells; APP/PS1 n=6 mice, 237 place cells, 2133 total cells. Data are represented as mean ± S.E.M.*

To investigate possible mechanisms underlying reduced APP/PS1 place cell reactivation, we next evaluated the coordination of SWS hippocampal and cortical oscillations. Hippocampal SWRs, cortical spindles, cortical slow oscillations and their nested coordination are fundamental features of SWS that contribute to memory consolidation (49) (50). Whereas these SWS oscillations and

their coordination are degraded in Aβ plaque-laden AD models (20,22), before plaques

predominate we found that they remained intact. Specifically, SWR rates and duration (see above),

cortical SO power, cortical spindle power, and hippocampal ripple power (p>0.05, 2-sided t-tests,

Fig. 2c) were comparable between young APP/PS1 and control mice, and the coordination of

cortical spindles with hippocampal ripples was similar across genotypes (p>0.05, 2-sided t-test,

Fig. 2d). Thus, in contrast to impaired place cell pairwise reactivation at this early stage of Aβ

amyloidosis, the characteristic hippocampal and cortical oscillations of SWS remain intact.

Impairment of online theta-gamma coupling before plaque deposition

Since the physiological oscillations of SWS were intact in young APP/PS1 mice, we considered

the possibility that the reduction in place cell pairwise reactivation could be related to changes in

online oscillatory physiology linked to memory encoding. Intra-hippocampal and hippocampal-

cortical theta-gamma phase amplitude coupling (PAC) both contribute to memory encoding(13,24)

and degrade in Aβ plaque-bearing AD models (11,20,51–54). Whereas hippocampal theta and

gamma power were comparable across young APP/PS1 and control mice (p>0.05, 2-sided t-tests,

Fig 3a), intra-hippocampal theta (6-8 Hz) -gamma (40-80 Hz) PAC in APP/PS1 mice was impaired

(p=0.03, T=2.3, df=13, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 3b). Consistent with the possibility of accumulating

toxicity, hippocampal theta-gamma PAC in APP/PS1 mice was negatively correlated with age (R=-

0.7, p=0.02, Pearson correlation, Fig. 3c). These results were unchanged after controlling for the

possible contribution of theta harmonics (12) (Supplementary Fig. S4 a-c).

Cortical gamma power was also comparable between genotypes (p>0.05, 2-sided t-tests, Fig. 3d),

yet hippocampal theta (6-8 Hz) - cortical gamma (40-80 Hz) PAC was also impaired in young

APP/PS1 mice (p=0.02, T=2.5, df=13, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 3e). As with intrahippocampal theta-

gamma PAC, in APP/PS1 but not control mice, hippocampal-cortical PAC was negatively

correlated with age (R=-0.8, p=0.007, Pearson correlation, Fig. 3f), and these results persisted after

controlling for the possible contribution of theta harmonics (12) (Supplementary Fig. S4 d-f).

Together, these results suggest that accumulating APP degradation products degrade theta-gamma

PAC well before plaques robustly deposit.

Interestingly, the magnitude of hippocampal theta-gamma PAC in RUN was strongly correlated

with the extent of place cell pairwise reactivation in subsequent SWS in APP/PS1 mice (R=0.8,

p=0.02, Pearson correlation, Fig. 3g). In contrast, pairwise reactivation was not correlated with

age (p>0.05, Fig. 3h). These observations suggest that the impairment of theta-gamma PAC during

online behavior may serve as a proximal driver of the impairment of place cell pairwise

reactivation in SWS.

Aberrant synchrony of somatic calcium fluctuations with hippocampal theta before plaque

deposition

As oscillations both coordinate and reflect the coordination of neuronal activity, and as calcium

signaling links synaptic activity to synaptic plasticity and gene expression, we considered the

possibility that breakdown in the coordination of neuronal activity reflected in impaired theta-

gamma PAC might manifest at the cellular level in altered coordination of somatic calcium

fluctuations with the LFP. In exploratory behavior, neuronal calcium levels can synchronize with

theta oscillations, and this synchronization is aberrantly increased in Aβ plaque-laden mice (20).

We therefore measured the coherence of hippocampal neuronal calcium traces with the LFP in

APP/PS1 mice before significant plaque accumulation. Compared to control mice, APP/PS1 mice

showed hyper-synchronization of place cell calcium fluctuations with theta (repeated measures

ANOVA: $F_{(3,16)}=4.5$, $p=0.01$, post-hoc comparison: control vs APP/PS1, place cells, $p=0.02$;

nonplace cells, $p>0.05$; Fig. 3i). Thus, at the pre-plaque stage preceding robust Aβ plaque

deposition, dysfunction of memory encoding processes manifest at both the oscillatory and the

cellular level.

Figure 3. In pre-plaque APP/PS1 mice, theta-gamma phase-amplitude coupling in exploratory
behavior was impaired and dynamic calcium fluctuations were excessively synchronized with

hippocampal theta. a) *In RUN, hippocampal theta and gamma power in APP/PS1 and control*
mice were comparable. ***b)*** *Intra-hippocampal theta (6-8Hz) - gamma (40-80Hz) phase - amplitude*
coupling (PAC) was reduced in APP/PS1 compared to control mice. ***c)*** *Intrahippocampal theta-*
gamma PAC was negatively correlated with the age of APP/PS1 mice but not control mice. ***d)***
Cortical gamma power was comparable between genotypes. ***e)*** *PAC of hippocampal theta (6-8Hz)*
- cortical gamma (40-80Hz) was reduced in APP/PS1 compared to control mice. ***f)*** *The reduction*
of hippocampal theta- cortical gamma PAC was negatively correlated with age in APP/PS1 but
not control mice. ***g)*** *In APP/PS1 but not controls, the extent of place cell pairwise reactivation in*
SWS correlated with the magnitude of intra-hippocampal theta-gamma PAC in RUN. ***h)*** *The*
proportion of reactivated place cell pairs in SWS was not correlated with age. ***i)*** *Average theta-*
*range LFP-cellular coherence of place cells was higher in APP/PS1 than control mice. *p < 0.05;*
b, e, 2 sided t-test; c, f, g, Pearson correlation; i, ANOVA with post-hoc comparisons. a − f: Control
n=7 mice, APP/PS1 n=8 mice; g − i: Control n=5 mice, 395 place cells, 1774 non-place cells,
2169 total cells; APP/PS1 n=6 mice, 237 place cells, 1896 non-place cells, 2133 total cells. Data
are represented as mean ± S.E.M. pc, place cells. Non-pc, nonplace cells.

258
259

REM sleep theta-gamma coupling before plaque deposition

As intra-hippocampal and hippocampal-cortical theta-gamma PAC in REM sleep contribute to

memory consolidation (55,56) and are diminished in Aβ plaque-bearing AD mice (20,53), we

evaluated REM sleep theta-gamma PAC prior to robust Aβ plaque deposition. Hippocampal REM

sleep theta and gamma power ($p>0.05$, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 4a), and intra-hippocampal theta (6-8

Hz) -gamma (40-80 Hz) PAC were comparable across genotypes ($p>0.05$, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 4b),

consistent with prior results (53). Only a trend level correlation between intra-hippocampal PAC

magnitude and the age of APP/PS1 mice was observed (R=-0.6, p=0.05, Pearson correlation, Fig.

4c), and this result did not survive after controlling for the possible contribution of theta harmonics

(Supplementary Fig. S5 a-c).

REM sleep cortical gamma power ($p>0.05$, 2-sided t-test, Fig. 4d), and hippocampal theta (6-8 Hz)

- cortical gamma (40-80 Hz) PAC were also comparable across genotypes ($p>0.05$, 2-sided t-test,

Fig. 4e). Even so, in young APP/PS1 but not control mice, hippocampal-cortical PAC in REM

sleep was significantly and negatively correlated with age (R=-0.8, p=0.005, Pearson correlation,

Fig. 4f), and this result persisted after controlling for the possible contribution of theta harmonics

(Supplementary Fig. S5 d-f). These results suggest that as in exploratory behavior, theta-gamma

PAC during REM sleep also falls with accumulation of toxic species before robust plaque

deposition.

Because theta-gamma PAC in APP/PS1 mice was differentially affected in RUN and REM sleep

but negatively correlated with age in both behavioral states, we compared theta-gamma PAC in

RUN and REM sleep to one another. In both APP/PS1 and control mice, the magnitude of

hippocampal theta - cortical gamma PAC in RUN and REM sleep were strongly correlated

(Control R=0.9, p=0.001; APP/PS1 R=0.6, p=0.04; Pearson correlation, Fig 4h), with only a trend

level correlation between intra-hippocampal theta-gamma PAC in RUN and REM sleep (Control

R=0.6, p=0.05; APP/PS1 R=0.5, p=0.06; Pearson correlations, Fig. 4g).

We next sought to determine whether the hyper-synchronization of somatic calcium levels with

the theta oscillation that we observed in exploratory behavior (Fig. 3i) extended to REM sleep. In

contrast to findings in exploratory behavior, neuronal calcium synchronization with theta in REM

sleep was similar across APP/PS1 and control mice (p>0.05, 2-sided t-tests, repeated measures

ANOVA, Fig. 4i). These results suggest that hippocampal function in REM sleep may be more

resilient than in other brain states to the accumulation of toxic APP cleavage products.

Figure 4. In young APP/PS1 mice, theta-gamma phase amplitude coupling in REM sleep was intact and correlated with theta-gamma phase-amplitude coupling during exploratory behavior. a) In REM sleep, hippocampal spectral power, and b) intra-hippocampal theta (6-8Hz) - gamma (40-80Hz) phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) were comparable in APP/PS1 and control mice. c) Intrahippocampal theta-gamma PAC showed only a trending negative correlation with the age of APP/PS1 mice but not control mice. d) Cortical spectral power, and e) PAC of hippocampal theta (6-8Hz) - cortical gamma (40-80Hz) were comparable across genotypes. f) Hippocampal theta-cortical gamma PAC was negatively correlated with age in APP/PS1 mice but not control mice. g) Intra-hippocampal theta-gamma PAC between RUN and REM sleep showed a trending correlation in both genotypes. h) Hippocampal theta - cortical gamma PAC in RUN and in REM sleep were significantly correlated in both AP/PS1 and control mice. i) Average theta-range LFP-cellular coherence in REM sleep was comparable across APP/PS1 and control mice. b, e, 2 sided t-test; c, f, g, h, Pearson correlation; i, ANOVA with post-hoc comparisons. a – g: Control n=7 mice, APP/PS1 n=8 mice; i: Control n=5 mice, 395 place cells, 2169 total cells; APP/PS1 n=6 mice, 237 place cells, 2133 total cells. Data are represented as mean ± S.E.M. pc, place cells. Non-pc, nonplace cells.

Discussion

In the context of well-described impairments of hippocampal neurophysiology in Aβ plaque-laden mice yet limited therapeutic benefits in manifest AD from targeting Aβ plaques, we investigated hippocampal functions that contribute to memory encoding and consolidation in young APP/PS1 mice harboring AD-associated gene mutations but lacking significant Aβ plaque burden. We found reduced theta-gamma PAC and excessive theta synchronization of hippocampal place cells with their surrounding LFP during exploratory behavior, and reduced place cell pairwise reactivation in subsequent SWS. These diverse impairments of neural functions underlying memory encoding and consolidation manifest before significant plaque burden and implicate other toxic processes in AD.

Hippocampal place cells encode the environment during online behavior, and their network reactivation during offline behaviors, including hippocampal replay during sharp wave ripples (46,57), contributes to sleep-dependent learning (46,58) and memory consolidation (47,59,60). Prior work has reported reduced place cell pairwise reactivation in Aβ plaque bearing mice (21), and the present results show that this result arises prior to significant Aβ plaque burden. The impairment of pairwise reactivation did not result from differences in behavior, LFP properties such as ripple rate and duration, or cellular properties such as calcium event rate between young APP/PS1 and control mice. Likewise, although the coordination of cortical slow oscillations, corticothalamic spindles, and hippocampal sharp wave ripples is a key feature of memory consolidation in SWS (49,50), and this coordination degrades in Aβ plaque-bearing animals (20,22), these oscillations and their coordination were preserved in young APP/PS1 mice lacking robust plaque deposits. As such, the reduced place cell pairwise reactivation observed in these mice stands in contrast with intact SWS oscillatory coordination.

Brain oscillations and their coupling play a crucial role in hippocampal-dependent memory functions. Online intra-hippocampal and hippocampal-cortical theta-gamma PACs have been linked to memory encoding (12–19), and, like the coordinated oscillations of SWS, are also impaired in multiple AD models harboring Aβ plaques (11,20,51–54). In contrast to the preserved oscillations of SWS, online hippocampal and hippocampal-cortical theta-gamma PACs were reduced in young APP/PS1 mice. As the extent of these reductions correlated with age, online theta-gamma PAC appears to degrade as APP degradation products such as soluble AβO accumulate.

The intra-hippocampal and hippocampal-cortical theta-gamma PACs of REM sleep have also been linked to memory consolidation (12,13) . While these deteriorate in plaque-laden AD model mice (20), the present findings show that before significant plaque deposition, theta-gamma PACs of REM sleep remain grossly preserved, consistent with prior results (22). Even so, a subtle vulnerability was evident, in that hippocampal-cortical theta-gamma PAC declined with increasing age.

In plaque-laden APP/PS1 mice, CA1 neuronal calcium fluctuations are excessively synchronized with hippocampal theta (20). Consistent with both this result and the greater vulnerability of online theta-gamma PAC compared to REM sleep in young APP/PS1 mice, hyper-synchronization of neuronal calcium oscillations with the hippocampal LFP was detected in young APP/PS1 mice in exploratory behavior alone. Although the mechanisms underlying impaired theta-gamma PAC and aberrant synchronization of neuronal calcium levels with the theta oscillation remain to be

determined, brain oscillations and their coupling are known to reflect the coordinated activity of

large populations of excitatory and inhibitory neurons, and their imbalance or dyscoordination may

contribute. In this context, it is possible that Aβ-mediated dysfunction of inhibitory neurons and

their synapses may contribute to these findings (61).

Interestingly, despite the relatively small dataset, the degree of impairment of hippocampal theta-

gamma PAC in exploratory behavior was correlated with the reduction of place cell pairwise

reactivation in subsequent SWS. This observation raises the possibility of a primary deleterious

effect of AβO or other APP degradation product on memory encoding online that then impairs

memory consolidation in SWS. Linked impairments across behavioral states mediated by the

effects of impaired theta-gamma PAC and hyper-synchronized neuronal calcium fluctuations on

theta sequences that link the activity of adjacent place cells into trajectories within each theta cycle

is one potential mechanism for this observation (62–64). This possibility will be worth assessing

in future studies.

Although we observed marked abnormalities of hippocampal function across the sleep-wake cycle

of young APP/PS1 mice, we did not detect aberrant neuronal hyperactivity, as has been reported

in studies of anesthetized APP/PS1 mice (37–39). Instead, we observed a tendency towards

hypoactivity in non-theta offline states. Reports of changes in neuronal activity in APP/PS1 mice

have been heterogeneous (39), and prior work has shown that hyperactivity in young APP/PS1

mice is brought out by and depends on anesthesia (39). In this context, the present results suggest

that hippocampal dynamic calcium hyperactivity in the behaving animal does not appear to be a

prominent and requisite finding of early Aβ pathology.

Interestingly, the hippocampal systems level impairments observed here in young APP/PS1 mice precede many of the behavioral impairments that arise in this AD model on standard behavioral assays, although deficits of contextual memory have been reported to start around 6 months (65,66). Thus, sampling hippocampal systems level function appears to provide a sensitive readout of brain dysfunction in AD models.

While tau fibrils and neuronal loss are strong predictors of cognitive impairment in AD (67,68), the current findings support the early contribution of additional AD-associated processes linked to APP processing. Prior to plaque deposition, APP cleavage products including toxic soluble species of Aβ accumulate. Among these, AβO are well-known to be cytotoxic and have been shown to induce cognitive impairment (31,36,69). Among soluble Aβ species, small oligomers are particularly toxic (36), and levels of small brain AβO but not monomer have been shown to correlate with AD progression in APP/PS1 mice in the age range studied here (42).

Given the cellular and synaptic toxicity of AβO and their relevance to AD progression, as well as the minimal levels of Aβ protofibrils detectable in young APP/PS1 mice (42), the accumulation of AβO is well-positioned to contribute to the marked systems-level hippocampal dysfunctions observed here. Even so, other non-Aβ mechanisms resulting from APP overexpression and the PS1 mutation (25,70) may also contribute. Among these, non-Aβ APP cleavage products such as sAPPα, APP intracellular domain (AICD) and P3 fragment are biologically active (71,72), and soluble APP products have been associated with cognitive impairment, neuronal loss, electrophysiological abnormalities and GABA reduction (73). In addition, PS1 mutations through

their impact on γ-secretase function affect digestion of γ-secretase substrates including but not

limited to APP (74–76). Like the PS1dE9 mutation present in the APP/PS1 mouse (77), PS1

mutations typically reduce γ-secretase activity, leading to complex downstream effects including

altered calcium homeostasis (78), increased inflammatory responses in the brain (75), and

contributing to progressive brain atrophy, memory deficits, and synaptic impairments (75). Future

experiments to dissect the contributions of each potential mechanism to hippocampal systems

failure will be of value.

Strengths of this study include the use of a well-characterized, freely behaving AD model to

evaluate hippocampal functions underlying memory encoding and consolidation before Aβ

plaques predominate, free from the complexities associated with anesthesia. The acquisitions of

simultaneous dynamic calcium imaging and local electrophysiological recordings is a further

strength, enabling us to relate brain oscillations critical for normal hippocampal mnemonic

functions to neuronal calcium fluctuations, place cell activity, and their reactivation in SWS.

Limitations of this study include the use of a single model of amyloidosis, and additional work in

other models will be informative. A further limitation is the correlative nature of the work. In this

regard, future, causal experiments will prove useful to identify the factors underlying the

hippocampal physiological impairments observed here.

In summary, the results of this study in AD model mice prior to robust Aβ plaque deposition reveal

impairments in hippocampal cellular and oscillatory functions that contribute to both memory

encoding and consolidation. Targeting APP related cascades rather than Aβ plaques, per se, may

improve upon the significant but, to date, only modest effectiveness of anti-amyloid antibody

therapies for AD [1-4].

Materials and Methods

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the

Massachusetts General Hospital and followed the ethical guidelines of the US National Institutes

of Health.

Surgical preparation

All procedures were similar to our previous studies (20,79). Briefly, B6;C3-Tg (APPswe,

PSEN1dE9) 85Dbo/Mmjax transgenic mice (APP/PS1) mice (Jackson Laboratory) and their

age/gender matched non-transgenic control litters were firstly injected with 1 µL AAV-hSyn-

GCaMP6f-GFP into the hippocampal CA1 region (AP -2.1 mm, ML -1.65 mm, DV -1.4 mm)

under anesthesia (isoflurane 1.5-2%). Two weeks later, a grin lens (1 mm diameter, AP -2.1 mm,

ML -1.65 mm, DV -1.4 mm) and a custom drivable probe holding 7 stereotrodes (AP -2.8 mm,

ML 2.6 mm, -36 º relative to the AP axis, -39 º relative to the inter-aural axis; DV -1.2 mm) were

implanted ipsilaterally, to sample the LFP in the vicinity of the calcium imaging site, with

cerebellar ground. The microscope baseplate was attached three weeks later. Carprofen (10 mg/kg,

s.c) was used for postoperative analgesia. Animals were housed in individual cages with a 12 hr

light/12hr dark- standard light cycle. All mice were male to avoid sex differences in Aβ

pathogenesis in APP/PS1 mice (80).

Calcium imaging and electrophysiology recordings

The Inscopix^{TM} nVista3 mini-microscope and acquisition system were used to sample calcium

imaging at 20 Hz. Local field potential (LFP) (Neuralynx^{TM}) was sampled at 2 kHz followed by

0.5-900 Hz filtering. Animal position was recorded with overhead camera tracking of two LED

diodes mounted on the headstage. Electrophysiology and calcium imaging were acquired

simultaneously: Electrophysiology was recorded continuously, while calcium imaging was

recorded in 10 min acquisition blocks and 4-5 minute intervals off-camera to avoid photobleaching.

Recordings were synchronized and aligned by TTL pulses offline.

Behavior and sleep stages

Quiet wakefulness (QW), slow-wave sleep (SWS), and REM sleep were acquired immediately

after track sessions in the home cage within the same recording room. Exploratory behavior (RUN)

was defined when run velocity exceeded 1 cm/sec on the track; QW was defined as wakeful

immobility (< 0.5 cm/sec, eyes open) in the home cage; SWS was identified when SWRs were

present and delta/theta LFP power ratio exceeded 2, while the animal maintained a sleep posture;

REM sleep was identified when the theta/delta LFP power ratio exceeded 2 in sleep.

Data analysis. All analyses were performed using MATLAB (2019b) and were similar to our

previous studies (20,79).

Calcium imaging: Inscopix Data Processing Software (IDPS, Inscopix, Palo Alto, California) was

used for movie preprocessing, motion correction, normalization ΔF/F and constrained non-

negative matrix factorization (CNMFE) cell identification(81). The shape and signal-noise-ratio

(SNR) of each cell soma was reviewed manually for quality control. Calcium events were then

detected at 2 Z scores above the mean, with onset at 30% of peak amplitude. Low rate cells was

defined as < 0.25 transients/min (20,82); high rate cells was defined as > 2 Z scores above the

mean of the control group for each behavioral state (20).

Place cell analyses: Spatial tuning curves (3 cm bins) were constructed for each cell for each

running direction using all detected calcium events acquired during running. Spatial information

was computed and compared to 1000 shuffled spatial information distributions to test significance

(Monte Carlo p-value < 0.05) (83). Place field width was defined at 50% of peak firing distribution

over track location (84). Spike time cross-correlations of all place cell pairs in both online

(exploratory/RUN) and subsequent offline (QW, SWS) states were calculated. Reactivation was

defined when the same pair was coactivated during both online and specific offline states (48).

Coactivation was defined based on the distinguished clusters (cluster 1 not coactivated: <0.001,

and cluster 2 coactivated: >0.02) in the distribution of correlations of all place cell pairs in each

state (Fig. S2). Results persisted across a broad range of thresholds (between >0.001 and <0.02),

here we used >0.002 per previous work (48). Proportions of reactivated pairs among all pairs of

each animal were used to compare between genotypes. Recordings with at least 10 place cells (5

out of 7 control mice and 6 out of 8 APP/PS1 mice) were included in the pairwise reactivation

analysis.

LFP analyses: LFPs were filtered to obtain slow oscillations (0.5-1 Hz), delta (1-4 Hz), theta (4-

10 Hz), gamma (30-150 Hz), and ripples (100-300 Hz). The spectrograms of each channel were

calculated using the Chronux toolbox (85) with a moving window of size 2 s and step size 1 s. A

stereotrode in s. radiatum showing high amplitude theta was used for assessments of RUN and

REM sleep; a stereotrode in s. pyramidale showing high amplitude SWRs was used for

assessments of QW and SWS; a stereotrode within cortex showing slow oscillations and sleep

spindles was also used. Power spectra were computed from 0 to 200 Hz using the Chronux toolbox

(85), and the sum of relative power of each frequency band was used for quantification. Phase-

amplitude-coupling (PAC) modulation index (MI) was calculated with 1-25 Hz for phase

frequency and 1-300 Hz for amplitude frequency using the MATLAB toolbox

https://data.mrc.ox.ac.uk/data-set/matlab-toolbox-estimating-phase-amplitude-coupling (86,87),

and the mean value of the high coupling region was used for quantification (22). Spindle events

were detected at 1.25 Z score with minimum length of 200 ms; SWR events were detected at 3 Z

score with minimum length of 20 ms (49). LFPs were downsampled to the same sampling rate as

calcium imaging for the Chronux coherence analysis (85) for oscillatory-cellular synchronization

(20).

Biochemistry

Brain protein extraction: Mice were perfused with cold PBS containing protease inhibitor (cat #

A32957), and the brain was rapidly excised. One hemisphere was separated for

immunohistochemistry analyses. Cortex and hippocampus were dissected from the remaining

hemisphere and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at −80°C before use. Protein

extraction was performed as indicated by the ELISA kit. In summary, frozen brain samples were

homogenized in 10 vol (w/v) of cold TBS containing protease inhibitor using a Teflon-glass and

centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. The pellets were re-suspended in TBS plus 1% Triton

X-100 containing protease inhibitor (TBS-T) and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for another 30 min at

4°C. The TBS-T fraction was used to measure oligomeric β-amyloid. The total protein

concentration in TBS-T fractions was measured using the BCA protein assay kit (cat# 23225).

ELISA: ELISA was used to quantify oligomeric β-amyloid in cortical and hippocampal samples

(Biosensis cat# BEK-2215-1P/2P). Oligomeric β-amyloid concentration was determined using a

standard curve, and values are reported as ng/mg of protein. Analyses were blinded to animal age.

Immunohistochemistry: Hemibrains were drop-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for two days and

sectioned at 40 mm on a vibratome (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL). Sections were collected in a 1 in 4

series and stored in PBS at 4°C. Sections were mounted, and antigen retrieval was performed with

citrate buffer at 95°C for 30 min. Next, sections were blocked and permeabilized in TBS-T with

5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 5% normal goat serum (NGS) for 1h. Sections were

incubated overnight in Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugate primary β-Amyloid antibody (D54D2, cat#

51374S) at 4C. Following several washes in PBS, slides were cover slipped with anti-fade

mounting media, and images were acquired using an Olympus VS120 Slide Scanner.

Statistical analysis

All group values were represented as mean ± s.e.m. For analyses of dynamic calcium imaging

hypo- and hyperactivity, mixed effects models were used. For the remaining analyses, Student's

t-test and one-way ANOVA with repeated measures followed by *post hoc* tests were used for

statistical analyses according to the experimental design (SPSS). The significance threshold was

set at $p < 0.05$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Joseph J. Locascio for statistical consultation.

Funding disclosure

This work was supported by NIH grants to SNG (R01 AG054551; R01 AG077611).

Author contributions

Conceptualization, H.L., Z.Z. and S.N.G.; Methodology, H.L., Z.Z., A.F. and S.N.G.; Software, H.L. and S.N.G.; Validation, H.L., Z.Z., A.F., H.K.L. and R.J.G.; Formal Analysis, H.L.; Investigation, Z.Z., A.F., H.K.L. and R.J.G.; Resources, H.L., Z.Z., A.F., H.K.L. and R.J.G.; Data Curation, H.L. and R.J.G.; Writing – Original Draft, H.L. and S.N.G.; Writing – Review & Editing, H.L., Z.Z., A.F., H.K.L., R.J.G. and S.N.G.; Visualization, H.L.; Supervision, S.N.G.; Project Administration, S.N.G.; Funding Acquisition, S.N.G.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data reporting

The datasets generated and analyzed in the current study are available in the Dryad repository, https://datadryad.org/stash/share/gN7A2L9rPMErDH74jxAWQUdjKm7A89v4ERaftNsJOiA

References

1. Bloom GS. Amyloid-β and Tau: The Trigger and Bullet in Alzheimer Disease Pathogenesis. JAMA Neurology. 2014 Apr 1;71(4):505–8.

2. van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, Bateman RJ, Chen C, Gee M, et al. Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2023 Jan 5;388(1):9–21.

3. Mintun MA, Lo AC, Duggan Evans C, Wessels AM, Ardayfio PA, Andersen SW, et al. Donanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2021 May 6;384(18):1691–704.

4. Budd Haeberlein S, Aisen PS, Barkhof F, Chalkias S, Chen T, Cohen S, et al. Two Randomized Phase 3 Studies of Aducanumab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. J Prev Alzheimers Dis. 2022 Apr 1;9(2):197–210.

5. Honig LS, Vellas B, Woodward M, Boada M, Bullock R, Borrie M, et al. Trial of Solanezumab for Mild Dementia Due to Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2018 Jan 25;378(4):321–30.

6. Jankowsky JL, Fadale DJ, Anderson J, Xu GM, Gonzales V, Jenkins NA, et al. Mutant presenilins specifically elevate the levels of the 42 residue β-amyloid peptide in vivo: evidence for augmentation of a 42-specific γ secretase. Human Molecular Genetics. 2004 Jan 15;13(2):159–70.

7. Jankowsky JL, Slunt HH, Ratovitski T, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Borchelt DR. Co-expression of multiple transgenes in mouse CNS: a comparison of strategies. Biomol Eng. 2001 Jun;17(6):157–65.

8. O'Keefe J. Place units in the hippocampus of the freely moving rat. Experimental Neurology. 1976 Jan 1;51(1):78–109.

9. O'Keefe J, Burgess N, Donnett JG, Jeffery KJ, Maguire EA. Place cells, navigational accuracy, and the human hippocampus. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1998 Aug 29;353(1373):1333–40.

10. Cacucci F, Yi M, Wills TJ, Chapman P, O'Keefe J. Place cell firing correlates with memory deficits and amyloid plaque burden in Tg2576 Alzheimer mouse model. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2008 Jun 3;105(22):7863–8.

11. Mably AJ, Gereke BJ, Jones DT, Colgin LL. Impairments in spatial representations and rhythmic coordination of place cells in the 3xTg mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Hippocampus. 2017;27(4):378–92.

12. Belluscio MA, Mizuseki K, Schmidt R, Kempter R, Buzsaki G. Cross-Frequency Phase-Phase Coupling between Theta and Gamma Oscillations in the Hippocampus. Journal of Neuroscience. 2012 Jan 11;32(2):423–35.

13. Colgin LL. Rhythms of the hippocampal network. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2016 Apr;17(4):239–49.

14. Jacobson TK, Howe MD, Schmidt B, Hinman JR, Escabí MA, Markus EJ. Hippocampal theta, gamma, and theta-gamma coupling: effects of aging, environmental change, and cholinergic activation. Journal of Neurophysiology. 2013 Apr;109(7):1852–65.

15. Kendrick KM, Zhan Y, Fischer H, Nicol AU, Zhang X, Feng J. Learning alters theta amplitude, theta-gamma coupling and neuronal synchronization in inferotemporal cortex. BMC Neuroscience. 2011 Jun 9;12(1):55.

16. Lisman JE, Jensen O. The Theta-Gamma Neural Code. Neuron. 2013 Mar 20;77(6):1002–16.

17. Tamura M, Spellman TJ, Rosen AM, Gogos JA, Gordon JA. Hippocampal-prefrontal theta-gamma coupling during performance of a spatial working memory task. Nat Commun. 2017 Dec 19;8(1):2182.

18. Tort ABL, Komorowski RW, Manns JR, Kopell NJ, Eichenbaum H. Theta–gamma coupling increases during the learning of item–context associations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009 Dec 8;106(49):20942–7.

19. Vivekananda U, Bush D, Bisby JA, Baxendale S, Rodionov R, Diehl B, et al. Theta power and theta-gamma coupling support long-term spatial memory retrieval. Hippocampus. 2021;31(2):213–20.

20. Zhou H, Li H, Gowravaram N, Quan M, Kausar N, Gomperts SN. Disruption of hippocampal neuronal circuit function depends upon behavioral state in the APP/PS1 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Sci Rep. 2022 Dec 5;12(1):21022.

21. Prince SM, Paulson AL, Jeong N, Zhang L, Amigues S, Singer AC. Alzheimer's pathology causes impaired inhibitory connections and reactivation of spatial codes during spatial navigation. Cell Rep. 2021 Apr 20;35(3):109008.

22. Zhurakovskaya E, Ishchenko I, Gureviciene I, Aliev R, Gröhn O, Tanila H. Impaired hippocampal-cortical coupling but preserved local synchrony during sleep in APP/PS1 mice modeling Alzheimer's disease. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec;9(1):5380.

23. Buzsáki G. Theta Oscillations in the Hippocampus. Neuron. 2002 Jan;33(3):325–40.

24. Colgin LL. Theta–gamma coupling in the entorhinal–hippocampal system. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. 2015 Apr;31:45–50.

25. O'Brien RJ, Wong PC. Amyloid precursor protein processing and Alzheimer's disease. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2011;34:185–204.

26. Arbel-Ornath M, Hudry E, Boivin JR, Hashimoto T, Takeda S, Kuchibhotla KV, et al. Soluble oligomeric amyloid-β induces calcium dyshomeostasis that precedes synapse loss in the living mouse brain. Molecular Neurodegeneration. 2017 Mar 21;12(1):27.

27. Cleary JP, Walsh DM, Hofmeister JJ, Shankar GM, Kuskowski MA, Selkoe DJ, et al. Natural oligomers of the amyloid-β protein specifically disrupt cognitive function. Nat Neurosci. 2005 Jan;8(1):79–84.

28. Cline EN, Bicca MA, Viola KL, Klein WL. The Amyloid-β Oligomer Hypothesis: Beginning of the Third Decade. Perry G, Avila J, Moreira PI, Sorensen AA, Tabaton M, editors. JAD. 2018 Jun 12;64(s1):S567–610.

29. Ding Y, Zhao J, Zhang X, Wang S, Viola KL, Chow FE, et al. Amyloid Beta Oligomers Target to Extracellular and Intracellular Neuronal Synaptic Proteins in Alzheimer's Disease. Frontiers in Neurology [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2024 Jan 5];10. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.01140

30. Faucher P, Mons N, Micheau J, Louis C, Beracochea DJ. Hippocampal Injections of Oligomeric Amyloid β-peptide (1–42) Induce Selective Working Memory Deficits and Long-lasting Alterations of ERK Signaling Pathway. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 Jun 16];7. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2015.00245

31. Ferreira ST, Lourenco MV, Oliveira MM, De Felice FG. Soluble amyloid-β oligomers as synaptotoxins leading to cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease. Front Cell Neurosci. 2015 May 26;9:191.

32. Nicole O, Hadzibegovic S, Gajda J, Bontempi B, Bem T, Meyrand P. Soluble amyloid beta oligomers block the learning-induced increase in hippocampal sharp wave-ripple rate and impair spatial memory formation. Sci Rep. 2016 Mar 7;6(1):22728.

33. Özcan GG, Lim S, Leighton PL, Allison WT, Rihel J. Sleep is bi-directionally modified by amyloid beta oligomers. Sehgal A, Eisen MB, Musiek ES, editors. eLife. 2020 Jul 14;9:e53995.

34. Shankar GM, Li S, Mehta TH, Garcia-Munoz A, Shepardson NE, Smith I, et al. Amyloid-β protein dimers isolated directly from Alzheimer's brains impair synaptic plasticity and memory. Nat Med. 2008 Aug;14(8):837–42.

35. Malinow R, Malenka RC. AMPA receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2002;25:103–26.

36. Yang T, Li S, Xu H, Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ. Large Soluble Oligomers of Amyloid β-Protein from Alzheimer Brain Are Far Less Neuroactive Than the Smaller Oligomers to Which They Dissociate. J Neurosci. 2017 Jan 4;37(1):152–63.

37. Busche MA, Chen X, Henning HA, Reichwald J, Staufenbiel M, Sakmann B, et al. Critical role of soluble amyloid-β for early hippocampal hyperactivity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012 May 29;109(22):8740–5.

38. Busche MA, Konnerth A. Neuronal hyperactivity – A key defect in Alzheimer's disease? BioEssays. 2015;37(6):624–32.

39. Zarhin D, Atsmon R, Ruggiero A, Baeloha H, Shoob S, Scharf O, et al. Disrupted neural
correlates of anesthesia and sleep reveal early circuit dysfunctions in Alzheimer models. Cell
Reports. 2022 Jan;38(3):110268.

40. Garcia-Alloza M, Robbins EM, Zhang-Nunes SX, Purcell SM, Betensky RA, Raju S, et al.
Characterization of amyloid deposition in the APPswe/PS1dE9 mouse model of Alzheimer
disease. Neurobiol Dis. 2006 Dec;24(3):516–24.

41. Takeda S, Hashimoto T, Roe AD, Hori Y, Spires-Jones TL, Hyman BT. Brain interstitial
oligomeric amyloid β increases with age and is resistant to clearance from brain in a mouse
model of Alzheimer's disease. FASEB J. 2013 Aug;27(8):3239–48.

42. Zhang L, Yang C, Li Y, Niu S, Liang X, Zhang Z, et al. Dynamic Changes in the Levels of
Amyloid-β42 Species in the Brain and Periphery of APP/PS1 Mice and Their Significance
for Alzheimer's Disease. Front Mol Neurosci. 2021 Aug 27;14:723317.

43. Webster SJ, Bachstetter AD, Van Eldik LJ. Comprehensive behavioral characterization of an
APP/PS-1 double knock-in mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2013
May 24;5(3):28.

44. Knierim JJ, Kudrimoti HS, McNaughton BL. Place cells, head direction cells, and the
learning of landmark stability. J Neurosci. 1995 Mar;15(3 Pt 1):1648–59.

45. Jun H, Bramian A, Soma S, Saito T, Saido TC, Igarashi KM. Disrupted Place Cell
Remapping and Impaired Grid Cells in a Knockin Model of Alzheimer's Disease. Neuron.
2020 Sep 23;107(6):1095-1112.e6.

46. Ego-Stengel V, Wilson MA. Disruption of ripple-associated hippocampal activity during rest
impairs spatial learning in the rat. Hippocampus. 2010;20(1):1–10.

47. Ólafsdóttir HF, Bush D, Barry C. The Role of Hippocampal Replay in Memory
and Planning. Curr Biol. 2018 Jan 8;28(1):R37–50.

48. Wilson MA, McNaughton BL. Reactivation of Hippocampal Ensemble Memories During
Sleep. Science. 1994 Jul 29;265(5172):676–9.

49. Siapas AG, Wilson MA. Coordinated Interactions between Hippocampal Ripples and
Cortical Spindles during Slow-Wave Sleep. Neuron. 1998 Nov;21(5):1123–8.

50. Born J, Rasch B, Gais S. Sleep to Remember. Neuroscientist. 2006 Oct 1;12(5):410–24.

51. Bazzigaluppi P, Beckett TL, Koletar MM, Lai AY, Joo IL, Brown ME, et al. Early-stage
attenuation of phase-amplitude coupling in the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex in
a transgenic rat model of Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Neurochemistry. 2018;144(5):669–
79.

52. Etter G, van der Veldt S, Manseau F, Zarrinkoub I, Trillaud-Doppia E, Williams S.
Optogenetic gamma stimulation rescues memory impairments in an Alzheimer's disease
mouse model. Nat Commun. 2019 Dec;10(1):5322.

53. Gurevicius K, Lipponen A, Tanila H. Increased Cortical and Thalamic Excitability in Freely
Moving APPswe/PS1dE9 Mice Modeling Epileptic Activity Associated with Alzheimer's
Disease. Cerebral Cortex. 2013 May;23(5):1148–58.

54. Stoiljkovic M, Kelley C, Stutz B, Horvath TL, Hajós M. Altered Cortical and Hippocampal
Excitability in TgF344-AD Rats Modeling Alzheimer's Disease Pathology. Cerebral Cortex.
2019 Jun 1;29(6):2716–27.

55. Bandarabadi M, Boyce R, Gutierrez Herrera C, Bassetti CL, Williams S, Schindler K, et al.
Dynamic modulation of theta-gamma coupling during rapid eye movement sleep. Sleep.
2019 Dec 24;42(12):zsz182.

56. Montgomery SM, Sirota A, Buzsáki G. Theta and Gamma Coordination of Hippocampal
Networks during Waking and Rapid Eye Movement Sleep. J Neurosci. 2008 Jun
25;28(26):6731–41.

57. Joo HR, Frank LM. The hippocampal sharp wave-ripple in memory retrieval for immediate
use and consolidation. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2018 Dec;19(12):744–57.

58. Girardeau G, Cei A, Zugaro M. Learning-Induced Plasticity Regulates Hippocampal Sharp
Wave-Ripple Drive. J Neurosci. 2014 Apr 9;34(15):5176–83.

59. Atherton LA, Dupret D, Mellor JR. Memory trace replay: the shaping of memory
consolidation by neuromodulation. Trends Neurosci. 2015 Sep;38(9):560–70.

60. Louie K, Wilson MA. Temporally Structured Replay of Awake Hippocampal Ensemble
Activity during Rapid Eye Movement Sleep. Neuron. 2001 Jan;29(1):145–56.

61. Kurucu H, Colom-Cadena M, Davies C, Wilkins L, King D, Rose J, et al. Inhibitory synapse
loss and accumulation of amyloid beta in inhibitory presynaptic terminals in Alzheimer's
disease. European Journal of Neurology. 2022;29(5):1311–23.

62. Tang W, Jadhav SP. Multiple-Timescale Representations of Space: Linking Memory to
Navigation. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2022 Jul 8;45:1–21.

63. Lisman J. The theta/gamma discrete phase code occuring during the hippocampal phase
precession may be a more general brain coding scheme. Hippocampus. 2005;15(7):913–22.

64. Skaggs WE, McNaughton BL, Wilson MA, Barnes CA. Theta phase precession in
hippocampal neuronal populations and the compression of temporal sequences.
Hippocampus. 1996;6(2):149–72.

65. Lonnemann N, Korte M, Hosseini S. Repeated performance of spatial memory tasks
ameliorates cognitive decline in APP/PS1 mice. Behavioural Brain Research. 2023 Feb
13;438:114218.

66. Kilgore M, Miller CA, Fass DM, Hennig KM, Haggarty SJ, Sweatt JD, et al. Inhibitors of
Class 1 Histone Deacetylases Reverse Contextual Memory Deficits in a Mouse Model of
Alzheimer's Disease. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010 Mar;35(4):870–80.

67. Medeiros R, Baglietto-Vargas D, LaFerla FM. The role of tau in Alzheimer's disease and
related disorders. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2011 Oct;17(5):514–24.

68. Niikura T, Tajima H, Kita Y. Neuronal Cell Death in Alzheimer's Disease and a
Neuroprotective Factor, Humanin. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2006 Apr;4(2):139–47.

69. Lambert MP, Barlow AK, Chromy BA, Edwards C, Freed R, Liosatos M, et al. Diffusible,
nonfibrillar ligands derived from Abeta1-42 are potent central nervous system neurotoxins.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998 May 26;95(11):6448–53.

70. Hampel H, Hardy J, Blennow K, Chen C, Perry G, Kim SH, et al. The Amyloid-β Pathway in
Alzheimer's Disease. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 Oct;26(10):5481–503.

71. Chow VW, Mattson MP, Wong PC, Gleichmann M. An Overview of APP Processing
Enzymes and Products. Neuromolecular Med. 2010 Mar;12(1):1–12.

72. Bukhari H, Glotzbach A, Kolbe K, Leonhardt G, Loosse C, Müller T. Small things matter:
Implications of APP intracellular domain AICD nuclear signaling in the progression and
pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Prog Neurobiol. 2017 Sep;156:189–213.

73. Kreis A, Desloovere J, Suelves N, Pierrot N, Yerna X, Issa F, et al. Overexpression of wild-
type human amyloid precursor protein alters GABAergic transmission. Sci Rep. 2021 Sep
2;11(1):17600.

74. Do HN, Devkota S, Bhattarai A, Wolfe MS, Miao Y. Effects of presenilin-1 familial
Alzheimer's disease mutations on γ-secretase activation for cleavage of amyloid precursor
protein. Commun Biol. 2023 Feb 14;6(1):1–14.

75. Soto-Faguás CM, Sanchez-Molina P, Saura CA. Loss of presenilin function enhances tau
phosphorylation and aggregation in mice. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2021 Sep 30;9:162.

76. Kelleher RJ, Shen J. Presenilin-1 mutations and Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2017 Jan 24;114(4):629–31.

77. Woodruff G, Young JE, Martinez FJ, Buen F, Gore A, Kinaga J, et al. The Presenilin-1 ΔE9
mutation results in reduced γ-secretase activity, but not total loss of PS1 function, in isogenic
human stem cells. Cell Rep. 2013 Nov 27;5(4):10.1016/j.celrep.2013.10.018.

78. Bagaria J, Bagyinszky E, An SSA. Genetics, Functions, and Clinical Impact of Presenilin-1
(PSEN1) Gene. Int J Mol Sci. 2022 Sep 19;23(18):10970.

79. Zhou H, Neville KR, Goldstein N, Kabu S, Kausar N, Ye R, et al. Cholinergic modulation of hippocampal calcium activity across the sleep-wake cycle. Colgin L, Frank MJ, editors. eLife. 2019 Mar 7;8:e39777.

80. Li X, Feng Y, Wu W, Zhao J, Fu C, Li Y, et al. Sex differences between APPswePS1dE9 mice in A-beta accumulation and pancreatic islet function during the development of Alzheimer's disease. Lab Anim. 2016 Aug 1;50(4):275–85.

81. Zhou P, Resendez SL, Rodriguez-Romaguera J, Jimenez JC, Neufeld SQ, Giovannucci A, et al. Efficient and accurate extraction of in vivo calcium signals from microendoscopic video data. Van Essen DC, editor. eLife. 2018 Feb 22;7:e28728.

82. Lerdkrai C, Asavapanumas N, Brawek B, Kovalchuk Y, Mojtahedi N, Olmedillas del Moral M, et al. Intracellular Ca $^{2+}$ stores control in vivo neuronal hyperactivity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA [Internet]. 2018 Feb 6 [cited 2022 Oct 27];115(6). Available from: https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1714409115

83. Markus EJ, Barnes CA, McNaughton BL, Gladden VL, Skaggs WE. Spatial information content and reliability of hippocampal CA1 neurons: Effects of visual input. Hippocampus. 1994 Aug;4(4):410–21.

84. Go MA, Rogers J, Gava GP, Davey CE, Prado S, Liu Y, et al. Place Cells in Head-Fixed Mice Navigating a Floating Real-World Environment. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 Sep 14];15. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncel.2021.618658

85. Bokil H, Andrews P, Kulkarni JE, Mehta S, Mitra PP. Chronux: A platform for analyzing neural signals. Journal of Neuroscience Methods. 2010 Sep;192(1):146–51.

86. Onslow ACE, Bogacz R, Jones MW. Quantifying phase–amplitude coupling in neuronal network oscillations. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology. 2011 Mar;105(1–2):49–57.

87. Osipova D, Hermes D, Jensen O. Gamma Power Is Phase-Locked to Posterior Alpha Activity. Rustichini A, editor. PLoS ONE. 2008 Dec 22;3(12):e3990.