═══ R E V I E W   C O M M O N S   M A N U S C R I P T ═══

IMPORTANT:

- Manuscripts submitted to Review Commons are peer reviewed in a journal-agnostic way.
- Upon transfer of the peer reviewed preprint to a journal, the referee reports will be available in full to the handling editor.
- The identity of the referees will NOT be communicated to the authors unless the reviewers choose to sign their report.
- The identity of the referee will be confidentially disclosed to any affiliate journals to which the manuscript is transferred.

GUIDELINES:

- For reviewers: https://www.reviewcommons.org/reviewers
- For authors: https://www.reviewcommons.org/authors

CONTACT:

The Review Commons office can be contacted directly at: office@reviewcommons.org

MARK2 regulates Golgi apparatus reorientation by phosphorylation of CAMSAP2 in directional cell migration

Peipei Xu [a,b,1], Rui Zhang [a,1], Zhengrong Zhou [c, a,1], Honglin Xu [a], Yuejia Li [a,b], Mengge Yang [a,b], Ruifan Lin [d], Yingchun Wang [a,b,e], Xiahe Huang [a,], Qi Xie [d], Wenxiang Meng [a,b,e,2]

[a] State Key Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10019, China;

[b] University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China;

[c] Neuroscience Center, Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong 515041, China;

[d] Wangjing Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, 100102, China;

[e] Innovation Academy for Seed Design, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100101 Beijing, China.

1 P.X, R.Z and Z.Z contributed equally to this work.

2 Corresponding author: Wenxiang Meng.

Email:

Wenxiang Meng

wxmeng@genetics.ac.cn

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0060-0535

CLASSIFICATION

Biological Sciences: Cell Biology

KEYWORDS

Cell migration, CAMSAP2, MARK2, Golgi apparatus reorientation, Microtubule

SHORT TITLE

CAMSAP2 regulates reorientation of Golgi apparatus

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P.X., R.Z., and W.M. designed all experiments, interpreted the results, and prepared the manuscript. H.X., Y.W, X.H. contributed to technical support. Z.Z., Y.L., and M.Y. performed experiments. R.L., Q.X., provided expertise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr. Kangmin He and Dr.Yingchun Wang. HT1080 and RPE1 cells were generous gifts from Dr. Kangmin He. V5-TurboID-NES-pCDNA3 was a generous gift from Dr.Yingchun Wang. We thank Jinhui Shao for his help in gene editing. We thank Guangzhou CSR Biotech Co. Ltd for providing sparse deconvolution software MicroscopeX FINER to improve image quality and valuable discussion. We thank Xing Jia and Qing Bian for their technical support with LSM980 Airyscan imaging and image analysis. This work was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFA0804802) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31930025, 32070704, and 32100760).

ABSTRACT

The reorientation of the Golgi apparatus is crucial for cell migration and is regulated by multi-polarity signals. A number of non-centrosomal microtubules anchor at the surface of the Golgi apparatus and play a vital role in the Golgi reorientation, but how the Golgi are regulated by polarity signals remains unclear. Calmodulin-regulated spectrin-associated protein 2 (CAMSAP2) is a protein that anchors microtubules to the Golgi, a cellular organelle. Our research indicates that CAMSAP2 is dynamically localized at the Golgi during its reorientation processing. Further research shows that CAMSAP2 is potentially regulated by a polarity signaling molecule called MARK2, which interacts with CAMSAP2. For the first time, we find that MARK2 is enriched around the Golgi apparatus. We used mass spectrometry to find that MARK2 phosphorylates CAMSAP2 at serine 835, which affects its interaction with the Golgi matrix protein USO1 but not with CG-NAP or CLASPs. This interaction is critical for anchoring microtubules to the Golgi during cell migration, altering microtubule polarity distribution, and aiding Golgi reorientation. Our study reveals an important signaling pathway in Golgi reorientation during cell migration, which can provide insights for research in cancer cell migration, immune response, and targeted drug development.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cell migration plays a pivotal in numerous physiological processes, including embryonic development, tissue regeneration, and immune response mechanisms (Ridley et al., 2003; Jaffe and Hall, 2005). This intricate process is significantly influenced by the dynamic reorientation of the Golgi apparatus, which orchestrates the targeted delivery of newly synthesized membranes and proteins to the leading edge of the cell (Mellor, 2004; Yadav and Linstedt, 2011). As early as 1970, scientists discovered that the chick corneal epithelium underwent apical and basal transitions of the Golgi during development and proposed that there must be signaling pathways that directly regulate Golgi reorientation. However, the molecular mechanisms that regulate Golgi reorientation in cells are still unclear.

In interphase cells, the Golgi apparatus typically occupies a central position. However, during migration, it undergoes a strategic repositioning towards the migration front (Millarte and Farhan, 2012; Meiring et al., 2020). Golgi apparatus becomes a dispersed, unpolarized transitional structure then transforming into a complete Golgi apparatus with polarity, intricately tied to the microtubule networks (Yadav and Linstedt, 2011; Martin et al., 2018). Interruptions in this network, for example by nocodazole, disrupt the Golgi structure, impeding its necessary reorientation (Thyberg and Moskalewski, 1999). Non-centrosomal microtubules, rooted in the Golgi, stabilize their ribbon structure and facilitate this reorientation (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2019). CAMSAP2, a microtubule minus-end binding protein, emerges as a crucial player in this context (Tanaka et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2016; Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2019). Diminished CAMSAP2 levels lead to a loss of Golgi-associated non-centrosomal microtubules, disrupting Golgi function and hampering cell migration (Tanaka et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2016; Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2019; Ravichandran et al., 2020). This emphasizes CAMSAP2's role in sustaining Golgi integrity during critical cellular events like migration.

Cell migration is a complex process that relies on intricate signaling pathways and the coordination of various cellular components, such as the microtubule networks and Golgi apparatus (Preisinger et al., 2004; Bisel et al., 2008; Etienne-Manneville, 2008; Matsui et al., 2015; Mardakheh et al., 2016). Rho GTPases, including Rho, Rac, and Cdc42, are instrumental in the cytoskeletal reorganization needed for migration (Iden and Collard, 2008). They govern the formation of stress fibers and the protrusion of lamellipodia and filopodia, all underpinned by the microtubule network(Garcin and Straube, 2019; SenGupta et al., 2021). Microtubule stability, essential for cell polarity and movement, can be modulated by protein kinases such as GSK-3β and the PAR6-PAR3-aPKC complex (Suzuki and Ohno, 2006; Goldstein and Macara, 2007; Etienne-Manneville, 2008; Iden and Collard, 2008). Motor proteins associated with microtubules, namely dynein, and kinesin, are involved in the positioning and transport of the Golgi (Etienne-Manneville and Hall, 2001, 2003; Miserey-Lenkei et al., 2017). Additionally, Rab GTPases regulate Golgi trafficking to ensure the delivery

of essential components for cell polarization and movement (Barr, 2009; Liu and Storrie, 2012). ERK and integrin signaling are also implicated in aligning the Golgi along the cell's axis of migration to facilitate polarized secretion (Millarte and Farhan, 2012). Despite the understanding of these pathways in regulating microtubule and Golgi dynamics, the precise mechanisms of their coordination during cell migration remain an area of active investigation.

Directional cell migration refers to the directional movement of cells through cytosolic deformation, which is constituted by the following five steps in close spatial and temporal coordination: the cell is stimulated by intracellular and extracellular signals, the cytosol extends the lamellar pseudopods forward, the Golgi transforms into a dispersed, unpolarized excess, followed by the formation of a complete polar Golgi body to complete the Golgi reorientation as well as the retraction of the tails. In this study, we focus on the Golgi reorientation: the process by which dispersed unpolarized Golgi stacks form a completely polarized Golgi. We found that the co-localization of CAMSAP2 with the Golgi dynamically changed during directional cell migration, suggesting that CAMSAP2 is dynamically regulated by polarity signaling. Furthermore, our data analysis and immunofluorescence staining revealed enrichment of MARK2 around the Golgi apparatus. Additionally, immunoprecipitation confirmed the interaction between MARK2 and CAMSAP2. Here, we reveal a novel polarity signaling pathway: MARK2 acts as a polarity-establishing key kinase that regulates Golgi reorientation by phosphorylating serine at position 835 of CASMAP2, thereby affecting CAMSAP2's binding ability to Golgi matrix protein USO1.

2 RESULTS

2.1 Dynamic Regulation of The CAMSAP2-Golgi Relationship During Golgi Reorientation

To investigate the role of non-centrosomal microtubules in the Golgi apparatus reorientation process, we utilized the microtubule minus-end protein CAMSAP2 as a starting point. CAMSAP2 functions by anchoring the minus ends of non-centrosomal microtubules to the Golgi apparatus, facilitating the formation of ribbon structures (Tanaka et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2016; Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2019; Ravichandran et al., 2020). We established a CAMSAP2 knockout cell line in HT1080 cells, a human fibrosarcoma cell line widely employed in cell migration studies (Rasheed et al., 1974) (Supplemental Figure 1A and B). Compared to normal cells, CAMSAP2 knockout cells exhibited disordered Golgi apparatus structure, characterized by dispersion into numerous small stack structures (Supplemental Figure 1C and D). However, immunoblotting analysis revealed no change in the protein level of GM130 (Supplemental Figure 1E). These findings suggest that CAMSAP2 plays a crucial role in regulating Golgi structure and morphology, consistent with prior research (Wu et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2018), thus affirming the success of generating CAMSAP2 knockout HT1080 cells.

Subsequently, to confirm CAMSAP2's role in cell migration and Golgi apparatus reorientation, we conducted a wound healing assay to induce directional cell migration. Compared to control cells, CAMSAP2 knockout HT1080 cells exhibited a significant reduction in the Golgi apparatus positioned towards the direction of migration relative to the nucleus, resulting in delayed wound closure (Supplemental Figure 1F-H and Supplemental Figure 2A-2B). These findings strongly suggest CAMSAP2's involvement in the Golgi apparatus reorientation process, aligning with previous studies (Martin et al., 2018).

During Golgi reorientation, the Golgi apparatus undergoes a transition from a dispersed, unpolarized state to a complete Golgi apparatus with polarity (Wu et al., 2016). Existing cell wound healing assays have shown that the Golgi apparatus becomes a dispersed, unpolarized transitional structure at the wound edge as early as 0.5 h of migration; complete Golgi reorientation (form a complete polarized Golgi) at the wound edge can be observed as early as 2 h of migration. CAMSAP2 is responsible for anchoring the minus ends of non-centrosomal microtubules to the Golgi apparatus. To investigate whether Golgi non-centrosomal microtubules undergo changes during cell-directed migration, we fixed cells migrating for 2 hours after wounding. The results revealed significant changes in CAMSAP2 localization at the Golgi during the directional cell migration process.In the samples fixed at 2 hours after wounding, cells have completed the process of Golgi reorientation, with the intact Golgi situated at the leading edge of the nucleus and facing the side of the cell wound (Figure 1A-1). At this juncture, the vast majority of CAMSAP2 is localized at

the Golgi (Figure 1A-1, Figure 1B-1, Figure 1D). Conversely, cells that have not yet completed Golgi reorientation exhibit a transitional Golgi structure, characterized by relative dispersion and loss of polarity (Figure 1A-2). In these cells, a small proportion of CAMSAP2 is localized at the Golgi (Figure 1A-2, Figure 1B-2, Figure 1D). To provide further clarity on this phenomenon, we depicted different cell states 2 hours post-wounding (Figure 1C). Co-localization analysis of these cell types revealed a significant discrepancy in CAMSAP2 localization at the Golgi, with cells that have completed Golgi reorientation showing a much higher localization of CAMSAP2 at the Golgi compared to cells still undergoing reorientation (Figure 1D). These findings suggest dynamic regulation of CAMSAP2 localization at the Golgi during directional cell migration.

Furthermore, upon examining the images captured at 0.5 hours and 2 hours post-wounding, we observed that cells at the wound edge exhibited a blue cell state at 0.5 hours, transitioning to a red cell state at 2 hours; subsequently, the appearance of blue cell states diminished as they gradually moved away from the wound edge (Supplemental Figure 2C). This phenomenon was also illustrated in a pattern diagram (Figure 1F). We quantified the co-localization of CAMSAP2 at the Golgi in cells at the forefront of the wound at different time points, revealing a significantly higher co-localization of CAMSAP2 with the Golgi at 2 hours compared to 0.5 hours (Figure 1E). These findings further support the notion that the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi is dynamically regulated during cell-directed migration. While it is established that the Golgi undergoes a dispersed and relatively unpolarized transitional organization during cell-directed migration, our experiments unveil a previously unrecognized phenomenon: dynamic changes in CAMSAP2 localization at the Golgi during cell-directed migration, suggesting the dynamic regulation of non-centrosomal microtubules during Golgi reorientation.

2.2 MARK2 is enriched around the Golgi apparatus.

The role of non-centrosomal microtubule networks in the Golgi apparatus reorientation and their involvement in intracellular and extracellular polarity signal transduction pathways remains largely unknown. To identify potential signaling pathways that regulate the reorientation of the Golgi apparatus through non-centrosomal microtubules, we screened for possible candidate proteins based on existing data: these polarity regulation signals are involved in the Golgi apparatus and associated with CAMSAP2 (Wu et al., 2016; Fasimoye et al., 2023). We first conducted a KEGG pathway analysis of all proteins potentially located at the Golgi apparatus, selecting those capable of establishing and maintaining cell polarity (Figure 2A). These were then cross-referenced with proteins reported in the literature to interact with CAMSAP2 (Figure 2B). Among the selected proteins, we focused on the key polarity protein MARK2, which regulates cell migration and the differentiation of axons and dendrites (Sapir et al., 2008; Nishimura et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2020; Pasapera et al., 2022). We confirmed the interaction between CAMSAP2

and MARK2 through co-immunoprecipitation experiments (Figure 2 C).

Next, we conducted an analysis of MARK2's cellular localization through immunofluorescence staining. Previous literature has documented MARK2's localization to the microtubule, microtubule-organizing center (MTOC), focal adhesion, cell membrane, and actin cytoskeleton. However, in a notable discovery, we observed for the first time that MARK2 was enriched around the Golgi apparatus in a significant proportion of HT1080 cells (Figure 2D and 2E). It's important to note that our staining protocol involved incubating HT1080 cells in 2% serum for 2 hours following fixation of immunofluorescence staining. Regarding the reason for MARK2's enrichment around the Golgi apparatus, we speculate it may be related to MARK2's activity, though the exact mechanism remains elusive. We intend to further explore this intriguing phenomenon in future studies. To verify if this phenomenon is cell-specific, we also observed MARK2 enrichment around the Golgi in immunofluorescence staining of RPE1 cells under similar conditions (Supplemental Figure 3A-C).

Subsequently, we attempted to overexpress full-length MARK2 but did not observe its enrichment around the Golgi. Only upon removal of the T structural domain did MARK2 enrich around the Golgi, suggesting a potential inhibitory effect of this domain on MARK2's enrichment around the Golgi. Further investigation involving overexpression of different structural domain mutants of MARK2 in HT1080 cells revealed that MARK2 was enriched around the Golgi apparatus through its spacer domain, under the same staining conditions (Supplemental Figure 3D-E). However, it's worth noting that not all spacer domains exhibited this enrichment phenomenon. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that MARK2 can enrich around the Golgi apparatus when cells are incubated in 2% serum for 2 hours.

2.3 MARK2 Regulates CAMSAP2 Distribution at Golgi Apparatus

To investigate whether MARK2 influences Golgi apparatus morphology by regulating CAMSAP2, we established a MARK2 gene knockout HT1080 cell line using CRISPR/Cas9 (Figures 2D and 3D). Immunofluorescence staining analysis revealed that the Golgi apparatus ribbon in the MARK2 knockout cells was disordered and had compromised integrity, with numerous small stack structures (Figure 3 A-B and D-F). This is consistent with the phenotype of CAMSAP2 knockout cells. Additionally, the absence of MARK2 can also influence the orientation of the Golgi apparatus during cell wound healing and cause a delay in wound closure (Figure 2 F and G).

Further observations showed that the knockout of MARK2 affected the localization of CAMSAP2 at the Golgi apparatus. Immunofluorescence co-localization analysis indicated a significant decrease in CAMSAP2 and GM130 co-localization following MARK2 knockout (Figure 3A and 3C). However, western blot analysis showed that the knockout of MARK2 did not affect the protein levels of GM130 and CAMSAP2

(Figure 3 D-F). To rule out cell specificity, we observed the same phenomenon in RPE1 (Supplemental Figure 4 A-D). The above results show that MARK2 regulates CAMSAP2 distribution at the Golgi apparatus. Subsequently, to confirm these results, we enriched Golgi apparatus components by density gradient differential centrifugation for cell fractionation (Figure 3 G). Western blotting further confirmed that the proportion of CAMSAP2 was significantly reduced in the Golgi apparatus components in MARK2 knockdown cells (Figure 3 H and I). All these data suggest MARK2 regulates the CAMSAP2 distribution at Golgi apparatus.

2.4 MARK2 Phosphorylates CAMSAP2 and Regulates its Localization

MARK2, a serine/threonine protein kinase, plays a crucial role in establishing cell polarity in epithelial and neuronal cells (Biernat et al., 2002; Suzuki et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2020). It influences microtubule stability by phosphorylating and inactivating several microtubule-associated proteins (Drewes et al., 1997; Schwalbe et al., 2013). Our research hypothesizes that MARK2's phosphorylation of CAMSAP2 regulates the reorientation of the Golgi apparatus. We initiated this study by purifying GFP-tagged CAMSAP2, GST-tagged MARK2, and GST proteins from Sf9 insect cells (Supplemental Figure 5A and 5B). The next step involves using in vitro kinase assays and phosphoproteomic analysis to identify the amino acid residues of CAMSAP2 phosphorylated by MARK2. Based on these findings, we generated mutations at potential phosphorylation sites from serine to alanine, as identified in the mass spectrometry data, and then co-expressed these mutants with MARK2 in 293T cells (Figure 4 A). We utilized immunoprecipitation and phospho-tag electrophoresis to evaluate phosphorylation, result showed that mutating the serine at position 835 in CAMSAP2 inhibited band shifting during electrophoresis, indicating MARK2 phosphorylates CAMSAP2 at this specific serine-835 site (Figure 4B, Supplemental Figure 5 C and D). Furthermore, our comparative sequence analysis of CAMSAP2 across various species showed that the S835 site is highly conserved in mammals and distinctively characteristic of CAMSAP2 within the CAMSAP family (Figure 4C), highlighting its potential significance in cellular processes mediated by MARK2.

To investigate the functional implications of MARK2-mediated phosphorylation on CAMSAP2, we transfected HA-CAMSAP2 constructs - Wild Type (WT), S835A (non-phosphorylatable mutant), and S835D (phosphomimetic mutant) - into CAMSAP2 knockout HT1080 cells (Figure 4D). The choice of CAMSAP2 knockout HT1080 cells was made to eliminate potential interference from endogenous CAMSAP2. Given the known disruption of Golgi ribbon structure in the absence of CAMSAP2, our initial focus was on assessing whether these mutants could ameliorate this disruption. Results indicated that the wild-type CAMSAP2 (WT) effectively restored the structural integrity of the Golgi apparatus. In contrast, the non-phosphorylatable (S835A) mutant exhibited a notably reduced ability to restore Golgi structure compared to the phosphomimetic (S835D) mutant (Figure 4E). This observation underscores the pivotal role of MARK2-mediated phosphorylation at the

S835 site of CAMSAP2 in maintaining Golgi architecture.

Further analysis involved assessing their subcellular localization through immunofluorescence (Figure 4 D). The WT and the phosphomimetic mutant (S835D) predominantly localized to the Golgi apparatus, whereas the non-phosphorylatable mutant (S835A) manifested as punctate distributions throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 4 F). These observations indicate that the phosphorylation of serine 835 in CAMSAP2 is essential for its proper localization to the Golgi apparatus.

2.5 The phosphorylation of CAMSAP2 S835 promotes its interaction with the Golgi matrix protein USO1

To investigate the impact of phosphorylation at serine-835 on CAMSAP2's function in cell migration and Golgi reorientation, we developed MARK2 knockout HT1080 cells stably expressing both non-phosphorylatable (GFP-CAMSAP2 S835A) and phosphomimic (GFP-CAMSAP2 S835D) mutants (Supplemental Figure 6 A). In wound healing assays, the non-phosphorylatable S835A mutant exhibited a notable reduction in the ability to restore Golgi reorientation and resulted in a significant delay in wound closure, in contrast to the phosphomimic S835D variant (Figure 5A and 5B, Supplemental Figure 6 A-C). Previous studies have reported CAMSAP2's localization to the Golgi apparatus through interactions with proteins like CG-NAP (AKAP450) and CLASPs, with such protein interactions often modulated by phosphorylation (Wu et al., 2016). Our hypothesis was that phosphorylation alters CAMSAP2's interaction dynamics. However, immunoprecipitation analyses revealed no significant differences in the binding affinity between CAMSAP2 phosphomimic mutants and CG-NAP or CLASP2 (Figure 5C-F), implying that additional Golgi-associated proteins may play a role in CAMSAP2's localization during Golgi apparatus orientation.

To address this question, we employed Turbo-ID proximity labeling technology combined with proteomic analysis (Figure 5 G and Supplemental Figure 6 D) (Branon et al., 2018). This methodology allowed us to identify Golgi-resident proteins that showed varying proximity interactions with CAMSAP2's phosphomimetic and non-phosphorylatable mutants (Figure 5 H). Our selection criteria included two key points: firstly, the protein should demonstrate differential interaction with CAMSAP2 S835A/D in the mass spectrometry results, and secondly, it should be associated with Golgi structure. COPB1, SCAMP4, USO1, and DNM2 were chosen to validate the mass spectrometry results, among which USO1 demonstrated interaction with CAMSAP2 (Supplemental Figure 6E). Our attention was particularly drawn to the Golgi matrix protein USO1, also known as p115, which binds GM130 and is localized to the membranes of cis-Golgi cisternae (Supplemental Figure 6E) (Brandon et al., 2003; Puthenveedu and Linstedt, 2004; Sohda et al., 2007; Satoh and Warren, 2008; Radulescu et al., 2011; Giacomello et al., 2019). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments robustly confirmed the significant interaction between CAMSAP2 and USO1 (Figure

5 I, Supplemental Figure 6 E). Further immunoprecipitation assay revealed that USO1 interacts with the region between the coiled-coil1 and CKK domains (Supplemental Figure 6F-6G). This region was previously reported to be important for the localized Golgi apparatus of CAMSAP2(Wu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017) . Importantly, our extended co-immunoprecipitation studies revealed marked differences in the interactions between USO1 and the two different forms of CAMSAP2, the phosphomimic (S835D) and the non-phosphorylatable (S835A) mutants (Figure 5I and J). These findings indicate that the phosphorylation status of serine-835 in CAMSAP2 plays a critical role in modulating its interaction with USO1.

Conversely, the knockdown of USO1 had a detrimental impact on the localization of CAMSAP2 within the Golgi apparatus (Supplemental Figure 7 A-C). Furthermore, overexpression experiments with USO1 in HT1080 cells demonstrated significant co-localization with CAMSAP2, highlighting the functional interplay between these two proteins (Supplemental Figure 7 D-E).

Finally, to comprehensively understand the dynamics between non-centrosomal microtubules and the Golgi apparatus during Golgi reorientation, we conducted cell wound-healing experiments coupled with staining for GM130, and α-tubulin (Figure 6 A and B). Our observations revealed notable changes in the Golgi apparatus and microtubule network distribution in relation to the wounding. In cells located before the wound edge, the Golgi apparatus maintained a ribbon-like shape, with a higher density of microtubules. In contrast, at the trailing edge of the wounding, the Golgi apparatus appeared more as stacks around the nucleus, with fewer microtubules (Figure 6 A and B). These findings corroborate our earlier results and suggest a highly dynamic interaction between the Golgi apparatus and microtubules during Golgi reorientation. This dynamic relationship indicates a complex coordination between the structural integrity of the Golgi and microtubule organization, which is crucial in the cellular response to changes in the environment, such as those induced by the wounding assay.

To investigate whether the localization of USO1 on the Golgi changed during Golgi reorientation, we observed the co-localization of USO1 and GM130 by immunofluorescence staining at different time points of cell migration. Analysis revealed that the localization of USO1 on the Golgi was not affected during Golgi reorientation (Figure 6C and D).

Directional cell migration refers to the coordinated movement of cells through cytoskeletal deformation, involving five sequential steps that occur in close spatial and temporal coordination. Initially, the cell responds to both intracellular and extracellular signals. Subsequently, cytosol extends lamellar pseudopods forward, while the Golgi apparatus undergoes transformation into a dispersed, unpolarized state, followed by the formation of a polarized Golgi body to complete Golgi reorientation, ultimately leading to tail retraction (Figure 6E-left).In this study, we

have uncovered a novel polar signaling pathway that governs Golgi reorientation. MARK2 regulates Golgi reorientation by phosphorylating amino acid 835 of CAMSAP2, thereby modulating the binding affinity between CAMSAP2 and USO1 (Figure 6E-right).

3 DISCUSSION

The redirection of the Golgi apparatus during cell migration is a complex process involving multiple molecular interactions and the coordination of signaling networks. Our research has unveiled the central role of MARK2 in the phosphorylation of CAMSAP2 and its interaction with USO1 in Golgi apparatus reorientation. This mechanism underscores the importance of signaling pathways in cellular architectural adjustments, particularly during cell migration. The enrichment of phosphorylated CAMSAP2 at the Golgi apparatus may alter its spatial positioning by influencing microtubule stability and adjusting the composition of Golgi membrane proteins, thereby providing spatial guidance for directional migration. These findings not only offer a new perspective on the regulation of intracellular structures but also provide potential clues for understanding related diseases.

3.1 The regulation of non-centrosomal microtubules in Golgi apparatus reorientation

The connection between the microtubule network and Golgi apparatus function is crucial, as evidenced by CAMSAP2's regulation of microtubule stability, which is essential for precise Golgi apparatus positioning. CAMSAP2 maintains microtubule stability by anchoring the minus end of microtubules to the Golgi apparatus, supporting both Golgi apparatus spatial localization and the establishment of cell polarity. The mechanism that we find reveals how the microtubule network, through molecules like CAMSAP2, is closely linked to Golgi apparatus function and subsequently influences cell migration direction and polarity establishment, emphasizing the importance of intracellular cytoskeletal organization in regulating cell behavior.

Additionally, signaling pathways play a vital role in the orientation of the Golgi apparatus. CG-NAP, as a multifunctional anchoring protein, can localize signaling molecules like PKA (protein kinase A) to specific cellular regions, such as the centrosome and Golgi apparatus (Takahashi et al., 1999; Rivero et al., 2009; Kolobova et al., 2017; Ong et al., 2018). By locally regulating PKA activity, CG-NAP participates in modulating microtubule stability and dynamics, thereby influencing Golgi apparatus positioning and cell polarity establishment (Ong et al., 2018). PKA activation can promote increased microtubule stability, leading to the anterior positioning of the Golgi apparatus, which supports directional cell migration (Mavillard et al., 2010; Anacker et al., 2011; Chia et al., 2021).

USO1 is a Golgi matrix protein closely associated with Golgi apparatus vesicle transport and membrane fusion processes (Giacomello et al., 2019). During cell migration, USO1 may influence Golgi apparatus structure and function by regulating interactions between the Golgi apparatus and vesicles, thereby participating in Golgi apparatus redirection (Radulescu et al., 2011). While the direct mechanisms of

USO1's action require further investigation, it likely plays a crucial role in modulating the Golgi apparatus microenvironment, providing support for the proper positioning of the Golgi apparatus. We have observed that surface matrix proteins on the Golgi apparatus are selectively involved in its functional regulation.

The specific impact of CG-NAP and USO1 on Golgi apparatus repositioning during cell movement demonstrates how these two proteins jointly regulate the microtubule network associated with the Golgi apparatus. Through this regulation, they can influence cell migration capabilities, highlighting the direct impact of complex interactions between cellular structural elements on cell behavior and migration direction.

3.2 Signaling transduction in Golgi apparatus orientation

Within the realm of cell migration, the precise spatial alignment of the Golgi apparatus is intricately linked to the dynamic reconfiguration of the microtubule network-a process meticulously governed by multiple signaling pathways that collaborate to orchestrate this intricate interplay.

A central protagonist in the Golgi apparatus's reorientation is MARK2, a protein kinase tasked with regulating the stability and polarity of non-centrosomal microtubules by phosphorylating target proteins, exemplified by CAMSAP2. Our research brings to the fore the specific role of MARK2 in the regulation of CAMSAP2, which concurrently oversees the microtubule network during cellular locomotion. Consequently, this regulatory oversight affects the interaction between CAMSAP2 and the Golgi matrix protein USO1. Notably, MARK2 facilitates the anchoring of the minus ends of non-centrosomal microtubules to the Golgi apparatus, thereby substantially bolstering the stability of the microtubule network. This phosphorylation-dependent regulation of CAMSAP2 by MARK2, along with its ensuing repercussions on the interplay between non-centrosomal microtubules and the Golgi apparatus, stands out as a pivotal mechanism underlying Golgi reorientation during the cellular migration journey. This regulatory mechanism ensures that the Golgi apparatus can adeptly respond to both intracellular and extracellular cues, thus enabling precise positioning along the trajectory of cell migration.

Endogenous staining for MARK2 was conducted, revealing its enrichment around the Golgi apparatus, in addition to its known localization to the cytoskeleton and plasma membrane, as previously reported. So why is Golgi localization of MARK2 not present in all cells? We speculate that MARK2, as a class of kinases, enriched around the Golgi in a way that is closely related to its activity. MARK2 is active and therefore localized on the Golgi only under conditions where the Golgi changes from a dispersed stack to an intact Golgi. These events are brief and transient for individual cells, leading to MARK2 staining around the Golgi being observed in only a few cells at the moment of these specialized events during immunofluorescence staining. The

enrichment of MARK2 around the Golgi through the S structural domain also further confirms our conjecture. The structural domain of the spacer region, known as the S region, is the most variable region among different members of the MARK family, ranging in size from 290 to 330 amino acids. Although the S region is characterized by native unfolded proteins and lacks a fixed structure, it plays a crucial role in regulating MARK2 activity. Notably, the S region contains phosphorylation sites targeted by aPKC (Hurov et al., 2004; Suzuki et al., 2004) and protein kinase D (Watkins et al., 2008). Phosphorylation of these sites stimulates the translocation of MARK2 from the cell membrane to the cytoplasm by binding to 14-3-3/Par-5. This suggests a close relationship between the S structural domain of MARK2, its activity, and its localization.Exploring the reasons behind the enrichment of MARK2 around the Golgi apparatus in future research will be particularly intriguing.

Furthermore, several other players, including various signaling molecules and the cytoskeleton, partake in the intricate ballet of Golgi reorientation. RhoA, for instance, amplifies microtubule stability by catalyzing the action of microtubule-stabilizing proteins, deftly steering the Golgi apparatus toward the forefront of cell migration (Glaven et al., 1999; Hall, 2005; Nalbant et al., 2009; Meiri et al., 2012; Eisler et al., 2018; Garcin and Straube, 2019). Conversely, Rac1 and Cdc42 predominantly choreograph the assembly of actin filaments at the leading edge of cells (Zhou et al., 2022; Hladyshau et al., 2023). They achieve this by exerting influence over the growth and positioning of microtubules, thereby facilitating the Golgi's realignment towards the direction of cell migration. Moreover, the MAPK/ERK pathway champions the cause of microtubule growth and stability, thereby wielding influence over Golgi positioning and cellular polarity (Sun et al., 2015). The PI3K/Akt pathway, in turn, assumes the mantle of regulating the stability and dynamics of microtubules, thereby contributing to the establishment of cell polarity and the precise positioning of the Golgi apparatus (Bucurica et al., 2023). The mTOR signaling pathway, though indirectly, leaves its imprint on the microtubule network by modulating protein synthesis and cellular metabolism, thereby affecting microtubule stability and Golgi localization (Makhoul et al., 2018). Additionally, Wnt signaling lends its hand to the regulation of Golgi positioning by orchestrating the intricate dance of cell polarity and microtubule organization (VanderVorst et al., 2019). The combined synergy of these signaling pathways leaves an indelible mark on the precise Golgi positioning and the efficiency of cell migration by modulating the stability and dynamics of microtubules.

This collective body of evidence exemplifies how cells adeptly respond to both internal and external signals, leading to specific molecular events that recalibrate the spatial coordinates of the Golgi apparatus, consequently influencing the course of cell migration and cellular polarity. These discoveries underscore the pivotal role of signaling pathways in the governance of intracellular structural organization and dynamic adaptations, rendering invaluable insights into our comprehension of cell behavior. As we journey forward, further investigations will delve deeper into the specific mechanics governing these interactions, ultimately providing more profound

insights into our understanding of cell migration.

Future research will delve deeper into the specific molecular interaction mechanisms among MARK2, CAMSAP2, and USO1, as well as how these interactions influence the dynamic repositioning of the Golgi apparatus during cell migration. Moreover, studies will focus on the biological significance of these new findings, especially in processes such as cell migration, cell polarity establishment, and tissue development. Additionally, the role of these molecular mechanisms in diseases, particularly in cancer metastasis and other pathological conditions related to cell migration, will be a key focus of future research. Through this research, we hope to provide new targets for the treatment of related diseases and gain a deeper understanding of the regulation of Golgi apparatus reorientation during cell migration.

4 REFERENCES

Akhmanova, A., and Steinmetz, M.O. (2019). Microtubule minus-end regulation at a glance. J Cell Sci **132** (11).

Anacker, C., Zunszain, P.A., Cattaneo, A., Carvalho, L.A., Garabedian, M.J., Thuret, S., Price, J., and Pariante, C.M. (2011). Antidepressants increase human hippocampal neurogenesis by activating the glucocorticoid receptor. Molecular psychiatry **16** (7)**:** 738-750.

Barr, F.A. (2009). Rab GTPase function in Golgi trafficking. Seminars in cell & developmental biology **20** (7)**:** 780-783.

Biernat, J., Wu, Y.Z., Timm, T., Zheng-Fischhöfer, Q., Mandelkow, E., Meijer, L., and Mandelkow, E.M. (2002). Protein kinase MARK/PAR-1 is required for neurite outgrowth and establishment of neuronal polarity. Mol Biol Cell **13** (11)**:** 4013-4028.

Bisel, B., Wang, Y., Wei, J.H., Xiang, Y., Tang, D., Miron-Mendoza, M., Yoshimura, S., Nakamura, N., and Seemann, J. (2008). ERK regulates Golgi and centrosome orientation towards the leading edge through GRASP65. J Cell Biol **182** (5)**:** 837-843.

Brandon, E., Gao, Y., Garcia-Mata, R., Alvarez, C., and Sztul, E. (2003). Membrane targeting of p115 phosphorylation mutants and their effects on Golgi integrity and secretory traffic. European journal of cell biology **82** (8)**:** 411-420.

Branon, T.C., Bosch, J.A., Sanchez, A.D., Udeshi, N.D., Svinkina, T., Carr, S.A., Feldman, J.L., Perrimon, N., and Ting, A.Y. (2018). Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and organisms with TurboID. Nature biotechnology **36** (9)**:** 880-887.

Bucurica, S., Gaman, L., Jinga, M., Popa, A.A., and Ionita-Radu, F. (2023). Golgi Apparatus Target Proteins in Gastroenterological Cancers: A Comprehensive Review of GOLPH3 and GOLGA Proteins. Cells **12** (14).

Chia, S., Leung, T., and Tan, I. (2021). Cyclical phosphorylation of LRAP35a and CLASP2 by GSK3β and CK1δ regulates EB1-dependent MT dynamics in cell migration. Cell reports **36** (11)**:** 109687.

Drewes, G., Ebneth, A., Preuss, U., Mandelkow, E.M., and Mandelkow, E. (1997). MARK, a novel family of protein kinases that phosphorylate microtubule-associated proteins and trigger microtubule disruption. Cell **89** (2)**:** 297-308.

Eisler, S.A., Curado, F., Link, G., Schulz, S., Noack, M., Steinke, M., Olayioye, M.A., and Hausser, A. (2018). A Rho signaling network links microtubules to PKD controlled carrier transport to focal adhesions. eLife **7**.

Etienne-Manneville, S. (2008). Polarity proteins in migration and invasion. Oncogene **27** (55)**:** 6970-6980.

Etienne-Manneville, S., and Hall, A. (2001). Integrin-mediated activation of Cdc42 controls cell polarity in migrating astrocytes through PKCzeta. Cell **106** (4)**:** 489-498.

Etienne-Manneville, S., and Hall, A. (2003). Cdc42 regulates GSK-3beta and adenomatous polyposis coli to control cell polarity. Nature **421** (6924)**:** 753-756.

Fasimoye, R., Dong, W., Nirujogi, R.S., Rawat, E.S., Iguchi, M., Nyame, K., Phung, T.K., Bagnoli, E., Prescott, A.R., Alessi, D.R., et al. (2023). Golgi-IP, a tool for multimodal analysis of Golgi molecular content. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **120** (20)**:** e2219953120.

Garcin, C., and Straube, A. (2019). Microtubules in cell migration. Essays in biochemistry **63** (5)**:**

509-520.

Giacomello, E., Ronchi, P., and Pepperkok, R. (2019). GM130 and p115 play a key role in the organisation of the early secretory pathway during skeletal muscle differentiation. J Cell Sci **132** (2).

Glaven, J.A., Whitehead, I., Bagrodia, S., Kay, R., and Cerione, R.A. (1999). The Dbl-related protein, Lfc, localizes to microtubules and mediates the activation of Rac signaling pathways in cells. J Biol Chem **274** (4)**:** 2279-2285.

Goldstein, B., and Macara, I.G. (2007). The PAR proteins: fundamental players in animal cell polarization. Dev Cell **13** (5)**:** 609-622.

Hall, A. (2005). Rho GTPases and the control of cell behaviour. Biochem Soc Trans **33** (Pt 5)**:** 891-895.

Hladyshau, S., Stoop, J.P., Kamada, K., Nie, S., and Tsygankov, D. (2023). Spatiotemporal Coordination of Rac1 and Cdc42 at the Whole Cell Level during Cell Ruffling. Cells **12** (12).

Hurov, J.B., Watkins, J.L., and Piwnica-Worms, H. (2004). Atypical PKC phosphorylates PAR-1 kinases to regulate localization and activity. Curr Biol **14** (8)**:** 736-741.

Iden, S., and Collard, J.G. (2008). Crosstalk between small GTPases and polarity proteins in cell polarization. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **9** (11)**:** 846-859.

Jaffe, A.B., and Hall, A. (2005). Rho GTPases: biochemistry and biology. Annual review of cell and developmental biology **21:** 247-269.

Kolobova, E., Roland, J.T., Lapierre, L.A., Williams, J.A., Mason, T.A., and Goldenring, J.R. (2017). The C-terminal region of A-kinase anchor protein 350 (AKAP350A) enables formation of microtubule-nucleation centers and interacts with pericentriolar proteins. J Biol Chem **292** (50)**:** 20394-20409.

Liu, S., and Storrie, B. (2012). Are Rab proteins the link between Golgi organization and membrane trafficking? Cell Mol Life Sci **69** (24)**:** 4093-4106.

Makhoul, C., Gosavi, P., and Gleeson, P.A. (2018). The Golgi architecture and cell sensing. Biochem Soc Trans **46** (5)**:** 1063-1072.

Mardakheh, F.K., Self, A., and Marshall, C.J. (2016). RHO binding to FAM65A regulates Golgi reorientation during cell migration. J Cell Sci **129** (24)**:** 4466-4479.

Martin, M., Veloso, A., Wu, J., Katrukha, E.A., and Akhmanova, A. (2018). Control of endothelial cell polarity and sprouting angiogenesis by non-centrosomal microtubules. eLife **7**.

Matsui, T., Watanabe, T., Matsuzawa, K., Kakeno, M., Okumura, N., Sugiyama, I., Itoh, N., and Kaibuchi, K. (2015). PAR3 and aPKC regulate Golgi organization through CLASP2 phosphorylation to generate cell polarity. Mol Biol Cell **26** (4)**:** 751-761.

Mavillard, F., Hidalgo, J., Megias, D., Levitsky, K.L., and Velasco, A. (2010). PKA-mediated Golgi remodeling during cAMP signal transmission. Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark) **11** (1)**:** 90-109.

Meiri, D., Marshall, C.B., Greeve, M.A., Kim, B., Balan, M., Suarez, F., Bakal, C., Wu, C., Larose, J., Fine, N., et al. (2012). Mechanistic insight into the microtubule and actin cytoskeleton coupling through dynein-dependent RhoGEF inhibition. Molecular cell **45** (5)**:** 642-655.

Meiring, J.C.M., Shneyer, B.I., and Akhmanova, A. (2020). Generation and regulation of microtubule network asymmetry to drive cell polarity. Curr Opin Cell Biol **62:** 86-95.

Mellor, H. (2004). Cell motility: Golgi signalling shapes up to ship out. Curr Biol **14** (11)**:** R434-435.

Millarte, V., and Farhan, H. (2012). The Golgi in cell migration: regulation by signal transduction

and its implications for cancer cell metastasis. TheScientificWorldJournal **2012:** 498278.

Miserey-Lenkei, S., Bousquet, H., Pylypenko, O., Bardin, S., Dimitrov, A., Bressanelli, G., Bonifay, R., Fraisier, V., Guillou, C., Bougeret, C., et al. (2017). Coupling fission and exit of RAB6 vesicles at Golgi hotspots through kinesin-myosin interactions. Nature communications **8** (1)**:** 1254.

Nalbant, P., Chang, Y.C., Birkenfeld, J., Chang, Z.F., and Bokoch, G.M. (2009). Guanine nucleotide exchange factor-H1 regulates cell migration via localized activation of RhoA at the leading edge. Mol Biol Cell **20** (18)**:** 4070-4082.

Nishimura, Y., Applegate, K., Davidson, M.W., Danuser, G., and Waterman, C.M. (2012). Automated screening of microtubule growth dynamics identifies MARK2 as a regulator of leading edge microtubules downstream of Rac1 in migrating cells. PloS one **7** (7)**:** e41413.

Ong, S.T., Chalasani, M.L.S., Fazil, M., Prasannan, P., Kizhakeyil, A., Wright, G.D., Kelleher, D., and Verma, N.K. (2018). Centrosome- and Golgi-Localized Protein Kinase N-Associated Protein Serves As a Docking Platform for Protein Kinase A Signaling and Microtubule Nucleation in Migrating T-Cells. Frontiers in immunology **9:** 397.

Pasapera, A.M., Heissler, S.M., Eto, M., Nishimura, Y., Fischer, R.S., Thiam, H.R., and Waterman, C.M. (2022). MARK2 regulates directed cell migration through modulation of myosin II contractility and focal adhesion organization. Curr Biol **32** (12)**:** 2704-2718.e2706.

Preisinger, C., Short, B., De Corte, V., Bruyneel, E., Haas, A., Kopajtich, R., Gettemans, J., and Barr, F.A. (2004). YSK1 is activated by the Golgi matrix protein GM130 and plays a role in cell migration through its substrate 14-3-3zeta. J Cell Biol **164** (7)**:** 1009-1020.

Puthenveedu, M.A., and Linstedt, A.D. (2004). Gene replacement reveals that p115/SNARE interactions are essential for Golgi biogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **101** (5)**:** 1253-1256.

Radulescu, A.E., Mukherjee, S., and Shields, D. (2011). The Golgi protein p115 associates with gamma-tubulin and plays a role in Golgi structure and mitosis progression. J Biol Chem **286** (24)**:** 21915-21926.

Rasheed, S., Nelson-Rees, W.A., Toth, E.M., Arnstein, P., and Gardner, M.B. (1974). Characterization of a newly derived human sarcoma cell line (HT-1080). Cancer **33** (4)**:** 1027-1033.

Ravichandran, Y., Goud, B., and Manneville, J.B. (2020). The Golgi apparatus and cell polarity: Roles of the cytoskeleton, the Golgi matrix, and Golgi membranes. Curr Opin Cell Biol **62:** 104-113.

Ridley, A.J., Schwartz, M.A., Burridge, K., Firtel, R.A., Ginsberg, M.H., Borisy, G., Parsons, J.T., and Horwitz, A.R. (2003). Cell migration: integrating signals from front to back. Science **302** (5651)**:** 1704-1709.

Rivero, S., Cardenas, J., Bornens, M., and Rios, R.M. (2009). Microtubule nucleation at the cis-side of the Golgi apparatus requires AKAP450 and GM130. Embo j **28** (8)**:** 1016-1028.

Sapir, T., Sapoznik, S., Levy, T., Finkelshtein, D., Shmueli, A., Timm, T., Mandelkow, E.M., and Reiner, O. (2008). Accurate balance of the polarity kinase MARK2/Par-1 is required for proper cortical neuronal migration. J Neurosci **28** (22)**:** 5710-5720.

Satoh, A., and Warren, G. (2008). In situ cleavage of the acidic domain from the p115 tether inhibits exocytic transport. Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark) **9** (9)**:** 1522-1529.

Schwalbe, M., Biernat, J., Bibow, S., Ozenne, V., Jensen, M.R., Kadavath, H., Blackledge, M.,

Mandelkow, E., and Zweckstetter, M. (2013). Phosphorylation of human Tau protein by microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 2. Biochemistry **52** (50)**:** 9068-9079.

SenGupta, S., Parent, C.A., and Bear, J.E. (2021). The principles of directed cell migration. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **22** (8)**:** 529-547.

Sohda, M., Misumi, Y., Yoshimura, S., Nakamura, N., Fusano, T., Ogata, S., Sakisaka, S., and Ikehara, Y. (2007). The interaction of two tethering factors, p115 and COG complex, is required for Golgi integrity. Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark) **8** (3)**:** 270-284.

Sun, Y., Liu, W.Z., Liu, T., Feng, X., Yang, N., and Zhou, H.F. (2015). Signaling pathway of MAPK/ERK in cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, senescence and apoptosis. Journal of receptor and signal transduction research **35** (6)**:** 600-604.

Suzuki, A., and Ohno, S. (2006). The PAR-aPKC system: lessons in polarity. J Cell Sci **119** (Pt 6)**:** 979-987.

Suzuki, A., Hirata, M., Kamimura, K., Maniwa, R., Yamanaka, T., Mizuno, K., Kishikawa, M., Hirose, H., Amano, Y., Izumi, N., et al. (2004). aPKC acts upstream of PAR-1b in both the establishment and maintenance of mammalian epithelial polarity. Curr Biol **14** (16)**:** 1425-1435.

Takahashi, M., Shibata, H., Shimakawa, M., Miyamoto, M., Mukai, H., and Ono, Y. (1999). Characterization of a novel giant scaffolding protein, CG-NAP, that anchors multiple signaling enzymes to centrosome and the golgi apparatus. J Biol Chem **274** (24)**:** 17267-17274.

Tanaka, N., Meng, W., Nagae, S., and Takeichi, M. (2012). Nezha/CAMSAP3 and CAMSAP2 cooperate in epithelial-specific organization of noncentrosomal microtubules. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **109** (49)**:** 20029-20034.

Thyberg, J., and Moskalewski, S. (1999). Role of microtubules in the organization of the Golgi complex. Experimental cell research **246** (2)**:** 263-279.

VanderVorst, K., Dreyer, C.A., Konopelski, S.E., Lee, H., Ho, H.H., and Carraway, K.L., 3rd. (2019). Wnt/PCP Signaling Contribution to Carcinoma Collective Cell Migration and Metastasis. Cancer research **79** (8)**:** 1719-1729.

Wang, J., Xu, H., Jiang, Y., Takahashi, M., Takeichi, M., and Meng, W. (2017). CAMSAP3-dependent microtubule dynamics regulates Golgi assembly in epithelial cells. Journal of genetics and genomics = Yi chuan xue bao **44** (1)**:** 39-49.

Watkins, J.L., Lewandowski, K.T., Meek, S.E., Storz, P., Toker, A., and Piwnica-Worms, H. (2008). Phosphorylation of the Par-1 polarity kinase by protein kinase D regulates 14-3-3 binding and membrane association. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **105** (47)**:** 18378-18383.

Wu, J., de Heus, C., Liu, Q., Bouchet, B.P., Noordstra, I., Jiang, K., Hua, S., Martin, M., Yang, C., Grigoriev, I., et al. (2016). Molecular Pathway of Microtubule Organization at the Golgi Apparatus. Dev Cell **39** (1)**:** 44-60.

Yadav, S., and Linstedt, A.D. (2011). Golgi positioning. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol **3** (5).

Zhou, S., Li, P., Liu, J., Liao, J., Li, H., Chen, L., Li, Z., Guo, Q., Belguise, K., Yi, B., et al. (2022). Two Rac1 pools integrate the direction and coordination of collective cell migration. Nature communications **13** (1)**:** 6014.

Zhou, Z., Xu, H., Li, Y., Yang, M., Zhang, R., Shiraishi, A., Kiyonari, H., Liang, X., Huang, X., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). CAMSAP1 breaks the homeostatic microtubule network to instruct neuronal polarity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America **117** (36)**:** 22193-22203.

5 METHODS

5.1 Cell culture and transfection

HT1080 and RPE1 cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (TransSerum) and 100 mg/ml penicillin and streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. HT1080 and RPE1 cells were generous gifts from Dr. Kangmin He. MARK2 Knockout (KO) polyclonal cell lines were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (TransSerum) and 100 mg/ml penicillin and streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. CAMSAP2-KO polyclonal cell lines were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (TransSerum) and 100 mg/ml penicillin and streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. Cell lines stably expressing GFP-CAMSAP2, GFP-CAMSAP2-S835A or GFP-CAMSAP2-S835D were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (TransSerum) and 100 mg/ml penicillin and streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. All cells were frozen in 10% DMSO (Alfa Aesar, cat. no. AAJ66650AP) in FBS at -80°C for a week, and then transferred to liquid Nitrogen for preservation. Cells at approximately 50%–60% confluence were transfected. Plasmids were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. siRNAs were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen). The final concentration of siRNA duplexes was 10 nM. Cells transfected with plasmids were examined at 12-24 h after transfection, and the effects of RNAi were examined at 72 h after transfection.

5.2 Plasmids

All cDNA expressed were cloned into pCMV-Tag2B to construct the Flag-tag plasmids. Flag-CAMSAP2 was described previously (Ning et al., 2016). Flag-CAMSAP2-S397A, Flag-CAMSAP2-S835A, Flag-CAMSAP2-S589A, Flag-CAMSAP2-S892A, Flag-CAMSAP2-S1279A were modified from Flag-CAMSAP2, GFP-CAMSAP2, GST-MARK2, Flag-MARK2, Flag-MARK2-S, Flag-MARK2-ΔS, Flag-MARK2-ΔT, and Flag-MARK2-ΔST were described previously (Zhou et al., 2020). The cDNA of human COPB1, SCAMP4, USO1, DNM2 was constructed into pCMV-Tag2B. Dr. Yuejia Li constructed GFP-CAMSAP2-740-1472, GFP-CAMSAP2-872-1472, GFP-CAMSAP2-1331-1472, GFP-CAMSAP2-1-739. pSpCas9 (BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) was a generous gift from Dr. Kangmin He. V5-TurboID-NES-pCDNA3 was a generous gift from Dr.Yingchun Wang. HA-CAMSAP2-S835A and HA-CAMSAP2-S835D was cloned into V5-TurboID-NES-pCDNA3. His-GFP-CAMSAP2 was cloned into pOCC6_pOEM1-NHIS6-EGFP. The relevant primers are shown in Table 1.

5.3 Antibodies

WB using secondary antibodies: Sheep HRP- conjugated anti-mouse IgG (NA931V, GE, 1: 7000). Donkey HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (NA934V, GE, 1: 10000). IF using secondary antibodies: Goat anti-mouse, anti-rabbit or anti-rat IgG, Alexa Fluor 488-, 555-, 647, IF 1: 500. Donkey anti-mouse, anti-rabbit or anti-rat IgG, Alexa

Fluor 488-, 555-, 647, IF 1: 500. First antibody as shown in Table 2.

5.4 siRNAs

We used the following siRNAs purchased from Genepharma. Human MARK2 siRNA-1: 5'-CCT CCA GAA ACT ATT CCG CGA AGT ATT-3'. Human MARK2 siRNA-2: 5'-TCT TGG ATG CTG ATA TGA ACA TCA ATT-3'. Human USO1 siRNA-1: 5'-TCA TTA AGC AGC AGG AAA ATT-3'. Human USO1 siRNA-2: 5'-AAG ACC GGC AAT TGT AGT ACT TT-3'.

5.5 CRISPR/Cas9 generation of KO clones (Cong and Zhang, 2015; Koch et al., 2018)

Stable polyclonal MARK2 and CAMSAP2 knockout cell lines were generated using CRISPR-cas9 technology. CAMSAP2 KO and MARK2 KO HT1080 cells were generated by cotransfecting pX459-derived constructs. 24 hours post-transfection, co-transfected cells were selected in culture medium supplemented with 0.7 µg/ml puromycin (Invitrogen), until selection was complete. Efficiently transfected cells were isolated to generate clonal lineages by single-cell cloning in 96-well plates; colonies were all evaluated for KO efficiency by western blotting against the targeted protein. Only clones with 100% KO efficiency were conserved (Cong and Zhang, 2015; Koch et al., 2018).
MARK2-KO Guide (CCAAGCTTCTCGGTTCCCTC)
F1: ATGCGGCGGGTGCTCCTGCTGTG.
R1: CCAGGTCAGGACTCGGAAGCGGGATGT
CAMSAP2-KO Guide (CATGATCGATACCCTCATGA).
F1: GGTCTTCTGTAGTTATTCTGCTGC.
R1: CGTTTTCTGGCTATGAGATGCG

5.6 Stable expression cell lines

For stable expression of GFP-CAMSAP2-S835A and GFP-CAMSAP2-S835D constructs in MARK2-KO cells, Plasmids were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions and 24 h later transfected cells were incubated in selection media in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 0.6 mg/ml G-418. Cell death was monitored under the microscope until cell colonies started growing again, in approximately 7-14 days. Polyclonal cell lines expressing specific ranges of fluorescently-tagged GFP-CAMSAP2-S835A and GFP-CAMSAP2-S835D were obtained by FACS gating and sorting for low levels of expression of the fluorescent protein, with untransfected HT1080 cells used as a control.

For stable expression of TurboID -CAMSAP2-S835A and TurboID -CAMSAP2-S835D constructs in HT1080 cells, Plasmids were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions and 24 h later transfected cells were incubated in selection media in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 0.6 mg/ml G-418. Cell death was monitored under the

microscope until cell colonies started growing again, in approximately 7-14 days. Afterwards we expanded a mixed stable expression cell line in selection media in DMEM/F12 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 0.2 mg/ml G-418 for the next proximity protein labeling experiments

5.7 TurboID: proximity protein labeling (Cho et al., 2020)
HT1080 cells stably expressing TurboID-CAMSAP2-S835A and TurboID-CAMSAP2-S835D or TurboID were treated with Biotin (50 µM, Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min before harvesting. Cell pellets were collected and lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1% TritonX-100, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, supplemented with PhosSTOP and Protease inhibitor cocktail (MilliporeSigma, cat. no. P8849-5ML)), and lysates processed as before. Streptavidin enrichment was performed with Streptavidin magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 88817) at 4°Cfor 12 h. After affinity purification, Wash the beads twice with RIPA lysis buffer (1 mL, 2 min at RT), once with 1 M KCl (1 mL, 2 min at RT), once with 0.1 M Na2CO3 (1 mL, ~10 S), once with 2 M urea in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) (1 mL, ~10 S), and twice with RIPA lysis buffer (1 mL per wash, 2 min at RT). Elute the enriched material from the beads by boiling each sample in 30 µL of 3× protein loading buffer supplemented with 2 mM biotin and 20 mM DTT at 95 °C for 10 min. Then boil the samples at 95 °C for 10 min(Cho et al., 2020). Streptavidin–horseradish peroxidase (HRP; Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. S911). DTT (dithiothreitol; Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. BP172-5). Protease inhibitor cocktail (MilliporeSigma, cat. no. P8849-5ML). BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 23225). BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 23225). Biotin (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. B4501).

In vitro Kinase Assays (Han et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2023)
E. coli containing the plasmid that encodes GST or GST-MARK2 were incubated at 37 °C until A600 reached 0.6-0.8. To induce the expression of GST or GST-MARK2 proteins, isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM. After culture for 18 h at 20 °C, cells were pelleted by centrifugation. Then, the bacteria were resuspended and sonicated for protein release. The proteins were purified with Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare). CAMSAP2 was co-transfected with bacmids into Sf9 cells to generate the passage 1 (P1) virus; then the P1 was added into Sf9 cells to generate the P2 virus. After confirming the expression of recombinant in P2 pellets via western blotting, P2 virus was added into Sf9 for protein expression. Expression was completed for 72 h, and the Sf9 cells were collected. The pellets were resuspended in HTK buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine, 10% (wt/vol) glycerol, 0.25 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT (Dithiothreitol), 0.1%Trition X-100) and sonicated to homogenize samples, proteins fused with His-tag in the supernatant were purified by using NiNTA beads according to manufacturer instructions. All purified proteins were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. GST or GST-MARK2 was incubated with GFP-CAMSAP2 in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH

7.5, 12.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM DTT, 400 μM ATP) at 30 °C for 30 min. Samples were boiled with loading buffer to stop the reaction (Han et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2023).

5.8 Immunofluorescence
For most of the immunostaining experiments, cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol for 5 min at -20°C, washed three times with PBST (0.1% TritonX-100 in PBS) for 5 min and incubated for 30 min with 1% BSA at room temperature. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies diluted by blocking buffer for 1-2 h, and washed three times with PBST (0.1% TritonX-100 in PBS) for 5 min. Cells were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1-2 h. Coverslips were mounted in FluorSave reagent (MilliporeSigma).For MARK2 immunofluorescence staining, before fixing the cells, the serum in the culture medium should be reduced to 1% and cultured for 2 h.

5.9 Western blot
line was lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.5% DOC, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.0) containing protease inhibitor cocktail. For phospho-experiments, Cells were lysed in a phospho-buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 25 mM NaF, 10 mM Na$_2$P2O$_7$, 50 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1% NP-40) containing protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. After centrifuging to remove insoluble fractions, sample loading was added and boiled for 5 min. Protein was separated on SDS-PAGE gels (to determine the band shift of MARK2-dependent phosphorylation, 30 μM Phos-tag regent was mixed in gels following the manufacturer instructions) and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked for 1 h in 5% BSA, then incubated with primary antibody at 4 °C overnight. After washing three times with TBST, membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody and visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence. Insoluble fractions and sample loading were added and boiled for 5 min. For Immunoprecipitation, cultured cells were lysed in cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0,150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail. After centrifuging to remove the insoluble fraction, GFP-beads were added into the supernatant at 4°C for 1.5 h. The beads were subsequently washed three times in the wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40, pH 8.0).

5.10 Isolation of Golgi-Enriched Fractions
Cells from a 4 × 14 cm culture dish were trypsinized and harvested at 500 × g for 10 min and washed twice in cold PBS with centrifugation at 500 × g for 10 min. The pellet was washed with cold homogenization buffer and the cells were spun down at 500×g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 5 volumes of cold homogenization buffer followed by homogenization using a homogenizer. Post-nuclear supernatant (PNS) was obtained after centrifugation of the cell homogenate at 600 × g for 10 min

at 4 °C. To 12 ml of PNS, 11 ml of 62 % (w/w) sucrose solution and 250 ml of 100 mM EDTA (pH 7.1) were added to obtain a homogenate with 37 % (w/w) sucrose concentration. Four milliliter of this homogenate was placed into a SW40 tube Beckman and overlaid with a 5 ml 35 % (w/w) and a 4 ml 29 % (w/w) layer of sucrose solution (in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4). These gradient were centrifuged for 2 h and 40 min at 100,000 × g. Approximately 1 ml of a Golgi-enriched fraction was collected at the interphase of 35–29 % sucrose layers. For further analysis, the collected membranes were pelleted by centrifugation for 30 min at 100,000 × g at 4 °C after the addition of 4 volumes of PBS to 1 volume of the Golgi enriched fraction. Samples were boiled with a loading buffer. Alternatively, the collected membranes are stored at -80 ºC for future experiments (Song et al., 2019).

5.11 Wound healing and migration
A confluent HT1080 monolayer was scratched using a yellow pipette tip to create a cell-free zone. Fields were photographed just after injury and 2 h or 8 h later. Quantification of cell migration was made by measuring the percentage of area recovery using ImageJ software. Alternatively, phase-contrast imaging was performed (Rodriguez et al., 2005).

5.12 Mass Spectrometry
To determine the MARK2-dependent phosphorylation sites in CAMSAP2, GST or GST-MARK2 was incubated with GFP-CAMSAP2 in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 400 μM ATP) at 30°C for 30 min. Samples were boiled with a loading buffer to stop the reaction. After verified by western blotting (Phos-tag were added into the SDS-PAGE gels), the sample was loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels and stained with CBB. The bands of GFP-CAMSAP2 were cut out and delivered to MS analysis.

5.13 Quantification of Western Blotting
Quantification of protein levels was performed with ImageJ. The bands representing respective proteins were manually outlined, and the signal intensity was measured. For normalized protein levels, α-tubulin or GAPDH intensity in each band was also measured with ImageJ. The graphs of the intensity display the values relative to the maximum value (100%) in each experiment.

Image acquisition and Processing9 Microscopy and image analysis
Images were obtained through Zeiss Observer Z1. For superresolution confocal imaging of immunostained samples, Zeiss LSM980 with Airyscan 2 superresolution system was performed with a 63x 1.4 NA objective. All images were modified by adjustments of levels and contrast. To improve image resolution and contrast, sparse deconvolution was used by following the previous report (Zhao et al., 2022). Images from western blotting of Phos-tag (Wako) have modified the length in the Y-axis to adjust the bands, as the protein bands in Phos-tag SDS-PAGE become broad and tailing. Cells were carefully stained and recorded under the same conditions for

quantification of co-localization. Pearson's R value above the threshold was calculated with the Coloc 2 plug-in in Fiji software from 8-bit 512 × 512-pixel images of the region of interest (ROI) with low background.

5.14 Data statistics

The results of the experiments in this study were obtained from three or more independent replications. The results of quantitative analyses were expressed as "mean ± SEM ". Immunofluorescence staining images were analyzed using ImageJ software to count the fluorescence intensity. Data were analyzed using a two-tailed Student's t-test. Differences were not significant (N.S.) when $P > 0.05$, significant (*) when $P < 0.05$, highly significant (**) when $P < 0.01$, and highly significant (***) when $P < 0.001$. All data were statistically analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software.

Table 1   Information of Primers

Construct	Primer	Sequence (5'-3')
COPB1	F	ATGACGGCGGCTGAGAAC
	R	TTATATACTAGTTTTCTTCTGTGACAAGTTG
SCAMP4	F	CTGCGCTGGTTGGTGAAA
	R	CAGGAATGAAGGGGAGGAGG
USO1	F	ATGAATTTCCTCCGCGGGGTAATG
	R	CTAGATATGATCTAGATCCTTGCCAGG
835A	F	AGAGGAGCAAGgCCTTGGCAGAC
	R	GTCTGCCAAGGcCTTGCTCCTCT
835D	F	CAGAGGAGCAAGgaCTTGGCAGACAT
	R	ATGTCTGCCAAGtcCTTGCTCCTCTG
397A	F	CCGCAGACTCATgCTTCAGCCTCAG
	R	CTGAGGCTGAAGcATGAGTCTGCGG
589A	F	TCAAGCTAAACCAGgCCAGTCCTGATAAC
	R	GTTATCAGGACTGGcCTGGTTTAGCTTGA
892A	F	GAAAAGCTGAATTCAgCCTTGCACTTTCTAC
	R	GTAGAAAGTGCAAGGcTGAATTCAGCTTTTC
1279A	F	GTCTCTAGCCTCgCATTGGCATCG
	R	CGATGCCAATGcGAGGCTAGAGAC

Table 2 Information of Antibodies

name	Species	Ordering Company	Usage
GFP	MS	Proteintech (66002-1)	WB 1 : 4000
GFP	Rb	MBL (589)	IF 1 : 500
GFP	Chicken	Invitrogen (A10262)	IF 1 : 500
Flag	Rb	Sigma (F7425)	IF 1 : 5000
Flag	MS	Sigma (F3165)	WB 1 : 10000
HA	Rat	Roche (11867423001)	IF 1 : 250
HA	MS	Sigma (H9658)	WB 1 : 20000
Myc	MS	Santa cruz (SC-40)	IF 1 : 150
Myc	Rb	MBL (562)	WB 1 : 2000
GAPDH	MS	MBL (M171-3)	WB 1 : 2000
α-Tubulin	Rb	ABclonal (AC025)	IF 1 : 50
α-Tubulin	MS	Santa cruz (SC-32293)	WB 1 : 1000
α-Tubulin	MS	Sigma (T9026)	IF 1 : 500
CAMSAP2	Rb	Proteintech (17880-1-AP)	IF 1 : 1200
MARK2	Rb	abcam (ab136872)	IF 1 : 200
MARK2	Rb	abcam (ab133724)	WB 1 : 1000
USO1	Rb	Proteintech (13509-1-AP)	IF 1 : 2000, WB 1 : 2000
GM130	MS	BD (610823)	IF 1 : 800, WB 1 : 1500

Figure-1 CAMSAP2 is dynamically localized at the Golgi when it is reorientation processing during directional cell migration

6 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure1 CAMSAP2 is dynamically localized at the Golgi when it is reorientation processing during directional cell migration.

(A) Immunofluorescence staining of HT1080 after 2 h of migration in wound healing assay. The cell in the white dashed box shows a different cell state. The cell in the white dashed box on the 1st is the cell closest to the edge of the wound and has already completed the process of directional cell migration: the Golgi is polar and is located at the anterior edge of the nucleus. At this point CAMSAP2 is more localized to the Golgi. The cells in the white dashed box on the 2nd are slightly further away from the cell wound and have not yet completed the process of cell directed migration: the Golgi is relatively dispersed and has lost its polarity. At this point the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi is substantially reduced. Golgi bodies were stained with mouse anti-GM130 antibody (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 antibody (green). On the right is a magnified image of the white dashed box. Scale bar = 5 μm. White arrows represent migration direction.

(B) Co-localization of CAMSAP2 and GM130 was analyzed using the scatterJ plug-in in ImageJ software. The horizontal and vertical axes of the scatterplot represent the gray values obtained for each pixel point in each channel, and the closer the image is to the diagonal, the higher the degree of co-localization is indicated.

(C) Patterns of different cell states after 2 h of migration in wound healing assay. Black arrows point in the direction of the wound. Green dotted structures represent CAMSAP2. Red striped structures represent the Golgi apparatus. The purple round structure represents the nucleus. Red cells, which have completed Golgi reorientation, have Golgi bodies located at the leading edge of the nucleus towards the side of the cell wound, when the vast majority of CAMSAP2 is localized to the Golgi. Blue cells, which received the signal of cell directional migration, are in the process of Golgi reorientation, the Golgi is located in the center of the cell in a disrupted form and has lost its proper polarity, at this time, very few CAMSAP2 are localized on the Golgi. Green cells, which did not receive a signal for cell directional migration, are in a standard physiological state.

(D) Quantification of the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi in different cell states in the right panel. Each symbol represents an individual cell. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$ (N = 3; red: n = 22; blue: n = 20).

(E) Quantification of the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi after 0.5 h and 2 h migration for (F) graphs. Each symbol represents an individual cell. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$ (N = 3; 0.5 h: n = 28; 2 h: n = 22).

(F) Pattern plots of cell states located at the foremost edge of the cell wound after 0, 0.5, and 2 h migration. Black arrows represent the direction of cell migration. The dashed line represents the edge of wound healing. Different colors represent different states of cells, as in (C).

Figure-2 Search for polarity establishing key proteins that can localize to the Golgi and interact with CAMSAP2.

Figure2 Search for polarity-establishing key proteins that can localize to the Golgi and interact with CAMSAP2.

(A) GO analysis of proteins reported in the literature that may localize on the Golgi (Golgi TAG-IP/Whole-Cell lysate meaningful proteins), and the figure shows the BP (biological process), the protein we focus on that can establish and maintain cell polarity.

(B) Venn diagram. Proteins in (A) that can establish and maintain polarity and proteins that may interact with CAMSAP2 were selected for enrichment, and the enriched proteins are displayed in the diagram.

(C) Immunoblot showing the results of GFP-IP. Immunoprecipitation showing GFP-CAMSAP2 and Flag-MARK2 interactions. The cells used in the experiment were 293T cells.

(D) Control HT1080 and MARK2 KO cells were immunostained with rabbit anti-MARK2 (green) antibody and mouse anti-GM130 (red) antibody. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5 μm.

(E) White lines in (D) used for line scan analyses reflect co-localization.

(F) Immunofluorescence staining of HT1080 and MARK2 KO cells in wound healing assay, MARK2 knockdown affects Golgi reorientation in cell migration. Rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 antibody (green). Golgi bodies were stained with GM130. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (purple). F-actin was stained with phalloidin (white). Black arrows point to the direction of migration. Colored arrows show the different cell behaviors demonstrated in the figure. Pink arrows, lamellar pseudopods and Golgi bodies oriented in the same direction are located on one side of the cell wound. Green arrows, lamellar pseudopods and Golgi bodies are not oriented in the same direction. Purple arrowheads, and Golgi bodies are located in the center of the cell in a disrupted form and have lost their proper polarity. Scale bar = 5 μm.

(G) Quantification of the different cell behaviors in the (F) figure (HT1080: n = 180; MARK2 KO: n = 128).

Figure-3 MARK2 knockdown reduces CAMSAP2 localization on the Golgi apparatus

Figure3 MARK2 knockdown reduces CAMSAP2 localization on the Golgi apparatus.

(A) Immunostaining of control HT1080 and MARK2 KO cells with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 (green) antibodies and mouse anti-GM130 (red) antibodies. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5 μm.

(B) Area of the Golgi defined by the Golgi marker GM130 from (A). Each symbol represents an individual cell. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, ***$p < 0.001$ (N = 3; HT1080: n = 104; MARK2 KO: n = 76).

(C) Fuji software was used to calculate Manders' coefficient M1 values of CAMSAP2 co-localization with the cis-Golgi marker GM130. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$ (N = 3; HT1080: n = 104; MARK2 KO: n = 76).

(D) Immunoblot of extracts from control HT1080 cells and MARK2 KO cells with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2, rabbit anti-MARK2, mouse anti-GAPDH or mouse anti-GM130 antibodies. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

(E) The CAMSAP2/GAPDH ratios from (D) were determined using ImageJ software. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, $p > 0.05$.

(F) The GM130/GAPDH ratios from (D) were determined using ImageJ software. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, $p > 0.05$.

(G) Enrichment of Golgi fraction from control RPE1 cells and MARK2 knock down cells by density gradient differential centrifugation.

(H) Immunoblot of homogenate and Golgi-fraction from RPE1 cells and MARK2 knock down cells with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2, rabbit anti-MARK2 or mouse anti-GM130 antibodies. CAMSAP2, GM130 and MARK2 are enriched in the Golgi fraction of RPE1 cells and MARK2 knockdown cells (GM130, Golgi).

(I) The CAMSAP2/GM130 ratios from (H) were determined using ImageJ software. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **$p < 0.01$.

Figure-4 MARK2 phosphorylation of serine at position 835 of CAMSAP2 affects the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi and regulates Golgi structure

Figure4 MARK2 phosphorylation of serine at position 835 of CAMSAP2 affects the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi and regulates Golgi structure.

(A) Mass spectrometry was used to detect the possible amino acid phosphorylation of CAMSAP2 by MARK2.

(B) Proteins are expressed in HEK293 cells, and the band shift is examined using western blotting. The arrowhead label the shifted bands. Confirmation of the site specificity of MARK2 phosphorylation.

(C) The site phosphorylated by MARK2 is shown in different CAMSAP2 isoforms. Upper: sequence alignment of CAMSAP2 orthologs across species. Bottom: sequence alignment of CAMSAP2, CAMSAP1 and CAMSAP3 in mouse and human. Arrowheads indicate the CAMSAP2 S835.

(D) Expression of HA-CAMSAP2 (S835D) complements CAMSAP2 KO effect on Golgi apparatus defects better than HA-CAMSAP2 (S835A) in CAMSAP2 KO HT1080 cells. Immunostaining of CAMSAP2 KO HT1080 cells expressing HA-CAMSAP2 (S835D) and HA-CAMSAP2 (S835A). Immunostaining of control cells with rat anti-HA (green) antibodies and mouse anti-GM130 antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5 μm.

(E) Area of the Golgi defined by the Golgi marker GM130 from (D). Each symbol represents an individual cell. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, ***$p < 0.001$ (N = 3; HT1080: n = 103; CAMSAP2 KO: n = 119; CAMSAP2 KO (HA-CAMSAP2): n = 93; CAMSAP2 KO (HA-CAMSAP2-S835A): n = 51; CAMSAP2 KO (HA-CAMSAP2-S835D): n = 35).

(F) Fuji software was used to calculate Manders' coefficient M1 values of HA-CAMSAP2 (S835A), HA-CAMSAP2 (S835D) co-localization with the cis-Golgi marker GM130. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, *$p < 0.05$ (N = 3; CAMSAP2 KO (HA-CAMSAP2): n = 24; CAMSAP2 KO (HA-CAMSAP2-S835A): n = 40; CAMSAP2 KO (HA-CAMSAP2-S835D): n = 33).

Figure-5 Identification for different interacting proteins with CAMSAP2 S835A/D

Figure5 Identification for different interacting proteins with CAMSAP2 S835A/S835D.

(A) Stable expression of CAMSAP2 S835A and CAMSAP2 S835D in MARK2 KO HT1080 was observed for Golgi reorientation at the cell wounding edge after 2 h migration. The arrow points toward the migration. To the right is a split-channel plot of the white dashed box on the left. Scale Bar = 5 μm.

(B) Quantification of the effect of phosphorylation on Golgi reorientation. Red cell indicates that Golgi reorientation is located on the anterior side of the nucleus toward the wound edge. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, ****$p < 0.0001$。

(C) Immunoblot showing the results of GFP-IP. There was no significant difference in the binding ability of CG-NAP to FLAG-CAMSAP2 S835D, GFP-CAMSAP2 S835A. The cells used in the experiment were 293T cells.

(D) Quantitative results of the immunoblots shown in Fig (C) are shown graphically. The signal intensity of CGNAP was normalized to the intensity of GFP in each mutant. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, $p>0.05$.

(E) Immunoblot showing the results of GFP-IP. Myc-CLASP2 can bind to FLAG-CAMSAP2 (S835D), GFP-CAMSAP2 (S835A) with the same ability. The cells used in the experiment were 293T cells

(F) Quantitative results of the immunoblots shown in Figure (E) are shown graphically. The signal intensity of Myc-CLASP2 was normalized to the intensity of GFP in each mutant. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, $p>0.05$.

(G) Schematic overview of TurboID-mediated neighbor biotinylation assays using His-TurboID-CAMSAP2 (S835A), His-TurboID- CAMSAP2 (S835D), and His-TurboID.

(H) Venn diagram of the three sets, generated using an online tool. Each colored circle represents a different dataset, and overlapping regions indicate common proteins. Proteins were identified that do not interact with His-TurboID but interact differently with FLAG-CAMSAP2 (S835A) and FLAG-CAMSAP2 (S835D).

(I) Immunoblotting showing the results of GFP-IP. Flag-USO1 could bind GFP-CAMSAP2 S835D more than FLAG-CAMSAP2 S835A. The cells used in the experiment were 293T cells.

(J) Quantification of immunoblotting is shown in (I). The signal intensity of USO1 was normalized to the intensity of GFP in each mutant. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, *$p < 0.05$.

Figure-6 USO1 affects the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi apparatus

Figure6 USO1 affects the localization of CAMSAP2 on the Golgi apparatus.

(A) Immunofluorescence staining of cells at the edge of the wound after 0.5 h and 2 h migration. GM-130 (Red), and α-tubulin (gray). Scale bar = 5 μm.

(B) The Pearson's correlation coefficient of α-tubulin and GM130 staining undergoes dynamic changes after 0.5 h and 2 h migration, demonstrating that α-tubulin becomes more abundant at Golgi membranes after 2 h migration than 0.5 h migration. Each symbol represents an individual cell. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, *$p < 0.05$ (N = 3; 0.5 h: n = 27; 2 h: n = 20).

(C) Immunofluorescence staining of cells at the edge of the wounding after 0.5 h and 2 h migration. USO1(Red), and GM-130 (green). Scale bar = 5 μm.

(D) White lines in (D) used for line scan analyses in (A) reflect co-localization.

(E) Pattern map of MARK2 regulation of Golgi reorientation through phosphorylation of CAMSAP2. The left rectangular dashed box represents the five steps of cell migration. The right circular dashed box responds to the regulatory mechanism that governs the second half of Golgi reorientation. The second half of Golgi reorientation: the process by which dispersed unpolarized Golgi stacks to form a completely polarized Golgi.

Sup-fig1 CAMSAP2 is essential for Golgi reorientation during directed migration

A

sgRNA

CAMSAP2.DNA gtgcacatttggccatgatcgatacccctca tgatggcttatactg
WT GTGCACATTTGGCCATGATCGATACCCTCA TGATGGCTTATACTG
CAMSAP2 KO GTGCACATTTGGCCATGATCGATACCCTCA A TGATGGCTTATACTG

B

CAMSAP2- -180KD
GM130- -135KD
GAPDH- -35KD

HT1080 CAMSAP2 KO

C

CAMSAP2 GM130 Merge

HT1080

CAMSPA2 KO

D

Golgi area(μm²)

****

HT1080 CAMSAP2 KO

E

GM130/GAPDH

ns

HT1080 CAMSAP2 KO

F

CAMSAP2 GM130 Phalloidin DAPI

HT1080

CAMSPA2 KO

G

Alignment of Golgi and Lamellipodium in the direction of migration

Golgi and Lamellipodium not aligned in the direction of migration

Disrupted Golgi at the center of cell

H

Percentage of cells for each type(%)

HT1080

CAMSAP2 KO

Sup-Fig1 CAMSAP2 is essential for Golgi reorientation during directed migration.

(A) Sequencing result of the CAMSAP2 KO cell line near the sgRNA sequence

(B) Immunoblot of extracts from HT1080 cells prepared from control or *CAMSAP2* KO cells with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2, mouse anti-GAPDH or mouse anti-GM130 antibodies. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

(C) Immunostaining of control HT1080 cells and CAMSAP2 KO cells with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 (green) antibodies and mouse anti-GM130 antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5μm.

(D) Area of the Golgi defined by the Golgi marker GM130 from (C). Each symbol represents an individual cell. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$ (N = 3; HT1080: n = 104; *CAMSP2* KO: n = 120).

(E) The GM130/GAPDH ratios from (B) were determined using Image J software. Values = means ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, $p > 0.05$ (N=4).

(F) Immunofluorescence staining of HT1080 and CAMSP2 KO cells in wound healing assay after 2 h of migration. Rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 antibody (green), mouse anti-GM130 antibody (red). Golgi bodies were stained with GM130. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (purple). F-actin was stained with phalloidin (white). Black arrows point to the direction of migration. Colored arrows show the different cell behaviors demonstrated in the figure.

(G) Pink arrows, lamellar pseudopods and Golgi bodies oriented in the same direction are located on one side of the cell wound. Green arrows, lamellar pseudopods and Golgi bodies are not oriented in the same direction. Purple arrowheads, Golgi bodies are located in the center of the cell in a disrupted form and have lost their proper polarity.

(H) Quantification of the different cell behaviors in the (F) figure (HT1080: n = 181; CAMSAP2 KO: n = 120).

Sup-Fig2 CAMSAP2 is essential for directed cell migration

CAMSAP2 GM130 DAPI

Sup-Fig2 CAMSAP2 is essential for directed cell migration.

(A) Overlay of end-point phase-contrast images with the result of cell tracking after 8 h of migration in a wound healing assay in control HT1080 and CAMSAP2 KO HT1080 cells. Scale bar = 100μm.

(B) Plots show quantification of wound closure area after 8 h of migration. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **$p < 0.01$ (N=3).

(C) Immunofluorescence staining plots near the wound after 0 h, 0.5 h, and 2 h migration. Black arrows represent the direction of cell migration. Scale bar = 20 μm.

Sup-Fig3 MARK2 is enriched around the Golgi apparatus through the S structural domain

Sup-Fig3 MARK2 is enriched around the Golgi apparatus through the S structural domains.

(A) Immunostaining of RPE1 cells with rabbit anti-MARK2 (green) antibodies and mouse anti-GM130 antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5 μm.

(B) White lines in (A) used for line scan analyses reflect co-localization.

(C) Immunostaining of RPE1 cells and HT1080 cells with rabbit anti-MARK2 (green) antibodies and mouse anti-GM130 antibodies (red). Scale bar = 5 μm.

(D) Diagram of domains for MARK2, MARK2-△T, MARK2-△ST, MARK2-△S, MARK2-S.

(E) Cells expressing Flag-MARK2 and Flag-MARK2 mutants were stained for mouse anti-Flag (green) antibodies and rabbit anti-CGNAP antibodies (red). White lines on the left are used for line scan analyses, and on the right , they are used to reflect co-localization. Scale bar = 5 μm.

Sup-Fig4 MARK2 affects directional cell migration

Sup-Fig4 MARK2 affects directional cell migration.

(A) Immunoblot of extracts from control RPE1 cells and siRNA MARK2 cells. α-tubulin was used as a loading control.

(B) Area of the Golgi defined by the Golgi marker GM130 from (C). Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, ***$p < 0.001$ (N = 3; RPE1: n =93; siRNA-1 MARK2: n = 56; siRNA-1 MARK2: n = 75).

(C) Immunostaining of control RPE1 cells and siRNA MARK2 cells with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 (green) antibodies and mouse anti-GM130 antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5 μm.

(D) The Pearson's correlation coefficient of CAMSAP2 and GM130 from control RPE1 cells and siRNA-2 MARK2 cells. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, **$p < 0.01$ (N = 3; RPE1: n = 30; siRNA-1 MARK2: n = 28).

(E) Overlay of end-point phase-contrast images with the result of cell tracking after 8 h of migration in a wound healing assay in control and MARK2 KO HT1080. Scale bar = 100 μm.

(F) Plots show quantification of wound closure area after 8h of migration. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, **$p < 0.01$ (N=3).

Sup-Fig5 MARK2 phosphorylation of serine at position 835 of CAMSAP2

A

B

(Kinase experiment)

C

D

Sup-Fig5 MARK2 phosphorylation of serine at position 835 of CAMSAP2

(A) GST, GST-MARK2, GFP-CAMSAP2 expressed in Sf9 were purified.

(B) Western blot play Kinase assay in vitro.

(C) Detection of phosphorylation at S835 in CAMSAP2 by mass spectrometry.

(D) Kinase assay samples developed in Phos-tag SDS-PAGE. Phosphorylation was not detected.

Sup-Fig6 Identification for different interacting proteins with CAMSAP2 S835A/D

Sup-Fig6 Identification for different interacting proteins with CAMSAP2 S835A/S835D.

(A) Immunoblotting showed the expression of CAMSAP2 S835A and CAMSAP2 S835D in the stable cell line.

(B) Overlay of end-point phase-contrast images with the result of cell tracking after 8 hr migration in a wound healing assay. Scale bar = 100 μm.

(C) Plots show quantification of wound closure area after 8 hr of migration. Values = means ± SEM. unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **$p < 0.01$ (N=3).

(D) Immunoblotting demonstrated biotinylation efficiency. His-TurboID-CAMSAP2 (S835A), His-TurboID-CAMSAP2 (S835D), and His-TurboID were expressed in HT1080 cells, which were then incubated for 10 min by replacing the medium containing 50 mM biotin, and total cell lysis was detected by a streptavidin probe.

(E) Immunoblotting demonstrates proteins that can interact with CAMSAP2. Bands of each construct are marked with black arrow.

(F) Western blotting shows the interaction between CAMSAP2 mutants and USO1.

(G) Schematic diagrams of CAMSAP2 domain mutants used to map the USO1 binding site.

Sup-Fig7 Significant changes in microtubules anchored to the Golgi apparatus during cell migration

Sup-Fig7 Significant changes in microtubules anchored to the Golgi apparatus during cell migration.

(A) Cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting using rabbit anti-USO1 and mouse anti-GAPDH to confirm the depletion of USO1. HT1080 cells were transfected with either control or USO1 siRNA for 72 h.
(B) Cells were stained with rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 (green) and mouse anti-GM130 (red) antibodies. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 5 μm.
(C) White lines in (B) used for line scan analyses reflect co-localization.
(D) Cells expressing Flag-USO1 were stained for mouse anti-Flag (green) antibodies and rabbit anti-CAMSAP2 antibodies (red). Scale bar = 5 μm.
(E) White lines in (D) used for line scan analyses reflect co-localization.