Myo-inositol in the Dorsal Anterior Cingulate Cortex is Associated with Anxiety-to-Eat in Anorexia Nervosa

Yulu Song, MD[2,3], Sarah H. Guo, BS[1], Christopher W. Davies-Jenkins, PHD[2,3], Angela Guarda, MD[1], Richard A.E. Edden, PhD[2,3,], Kimberly R. Smith, PhD[1*]

[1] Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD USA.
[2] The Russel H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States
[3] F.M. Kirby Research Center for Functional Brain Imaging, Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, United States

*Corresponding Author
Kimberly R. Smith, PhD
Division of Psychiatric Neuroimaging
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine
600 N. Wolfe Street
Baltimore, MD 21287
Kimberly.smith@jhmi.edu

Keywords
Anorexia nervosa, MRI, MRS, dACC, anxiety, food-related anxiety, ingestive behavior

Abstract

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a mental and behavioral health condition characterized by an intense fear of body weight or fat gain, restriction of food intake resulting in low body weight, and distorted body image. Substantial research has focused on general anxiety in AN, but less is known about eating-related anxiety and its underlying neurobiological mechanisms. We sought to characterize anxiety-to-eat in AN and to examine neurometabolite levels in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), a brain region putatively involved in modulating anxiety-related responses, using edited magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Sixteen women hospitalized with AN and 16 women of healthy weight without a lifetime history of an eating disorder (healthy controls; HC) completed a computer-based behavioral task assessing anxiety-to-eat in response to images of higher (HED) and lower energy density (LED) foods. The AN group reported greater anxiety to eat HED and LED foods relative to the HC group. Both groups reported greater anxiety to eat HED foods relative to LED foods. The neurometabolite myo-inositol (myo-I) was lower in the dACC in AN relative to HC. In the AN group only, myo-I levels negatively predicted anxiety to eat HED but not LED foods and was independent of body mass index, duration of illness, and general anxiety. These findings provide new insight into the clinically challenging feature of eating-related anxiety in AN, and indicate potential for myo-I levels in the dACC to serve as a novel biomarker of illness severity or therapeutic target in individuals vulnerable to AN.

Introduction

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a mental and behavioral health condition characterized by intense fear of body weight or fat gain, severe restriction of food intake resulting in low body weight, and distorted self-perception of body shape or weight [1]. AN has the second highest mortality rate of all psychiatric illnesses [2] and affects individuals of all ages, sexes, and genders [3,4]. Biological females are particularly vulnerable, with age of onset occurring around puberty [5]. While there are no pharmacological treatments for AN, weight restoration to a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 19-21 kg/m^2 remains the mainstay of treatment for severe AN. Even so, relapse rates in the first year following weight restoration range from 30-50% [6,7]. The mechanisms that maintain the disorder and underlie these high relapse rates remain unknown.

Anxiety is a risk factor shown to exacerbate disease severity and promote relapse in AN [8]. Meal-associated anxiety is a striking clinical feature in patients with AN, especially when confronted with pressure to consume higher energy density (HED) foods[9]. Consequently, individuals with AN consume largely, if not exclusively, low calorie foods and limit consumption of calorically dense foods [10-16]. While substantial research has focused on general anxiety in AN, less is known about eating-related anxiety and its underlying neural mechanisms.

The characterization of neural mechanisms of anxiety in mammals has largely emerged from circuit-based approaches in animal models[17,18]. Electrophysiological, lesion, and infusion studies have revealed that conditioned fear expression involves the amygdala, the ventral medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) including the infralimbic and prelimbic subregions, and the hippocampus[19-21]. In humans, structural and functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies have implicated the amygdala, insula, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) including the ventral PFC (vPFC) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in the development and expression of fear and anxiety responses. [22-29]. Among these regions, the dorsal anterior cingulate (dACC), the human homolog of the rodent prelimbic cortex, is a relatively large cortical region that can be assayed by magnetic resonance

77 spectroscopy (MRS) and is implicated in both negative threat appraisal and modulation of fear
78 and anxiety responses and in functional MRI studies of anorexia nervosa.
79
Electrodermal skin conductance, a measure of sympathetic nervous system activity, has been
shown to positively correlate with ACC blood flow[30], dACC activation to a conditioned fear
stimulus[31], and dACC cortical thickness[31] in healthy individuals, whereas lesions to the ACC are
associated with impaired electrodermal activity in response to negative valence physical and
psychological stimuli[32]. Functional MRI studies indicate that individuals with generalized anxiety
disorder show greater ACC activation [26,27] and increased functional connectivity among the
amygdala, vPFC, and ACC [28,29]. Individuals with AN relative to controls show increased
activation to high calorie food images in the dACC and ACC broadly [33,34], reductions in dACC
gray matter volume[35] and decreased blood perfusion of the ACC [36-38] although these appear to
reverse, at least partially, with weight restoration [39]. Taken together, these studies highlight
involvement of the dACC in fear and anxiety-related conditions and in anorexia nervosa. MRI
techniques are unable however to offer insights into the neurobiological mechanisms underlying
these clinically challenging features of AN.
93
Proton MRS is a widely-used, noninvasive neuroimaging technique for examining levels of
neurometabolites in the human brain *in vivo*. It quantifies concentrations of various metabolites
within a region of interest (ROI) by detecting the magnetic properties of protons unique to each
compound's molecular structure [40,41]. Although limited in number, previous MRS studies
investigating metabolite levels in the dACC of individuals with AN relative to healthy controls
have yielded mixed findings. These inconsistencies may be attributable to methodological
differences across studies including magnet strength, inclusion of underweight versus weight-
restored AN condition, and assessments in adolescents versus adults[42-47]. Additionally,
measurement of low-concentration brain metabolites (e.g., gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA),
glutathione (GSH)) with conventional MRS is challenging due to limited separation and
significant overlap of the metabolite peaks[40]. Recent methodological advances in our laboratory
however have enabled edited MRS to simultaneously measure levels of multiple low-
concentration, coupled metabolites. Briefly, the Hadamard Editing Resolves Chemicals Using
Linear-combination Estimation of Spectra (HERCULES)[48] editing scheme enables the extraction
of distinct edited spectra from different Hadamard combinations. No study to date has
investigated metabolite levels in individuals with AN using HERCULES.
110
We used a novel behavioral computer-based paradigm to measure anxiety-to-eat and the
HERCULES editing scheme with MRS to quantify dACC neurometabolite levels in women with
AN and in healthy weight women without a lifetime history of an eating disorder (healthy
controls; HC). We hypothesized that women with AN would show greater anxiety to eat HED
foods relative to lower energy density (LED) foods and greater anxiety-to-eat in general relative
to HC. Consistent with previous MRS data acquisition in the ACC and dACC of adults with AN,
we hypothesized that the AN group would show lower levels of myo-inositol (myo-I)[47] and
glutamate and glutamine (Glx)[43,47], but similar levels of N-acetyl aspartate (NAA)[43,45,47] total
choline (tCho)[43,45], and total creatine (tCr)[43,45,47] relative to levels observed in healthy controls.
Given the superiority of HERCULES editing scheme to measure low-concentration metabolites
and its first application in the context of AN, we also compared GABA+ and GSH levels between
groups as well as N-acetyl aspartyl glutamate (NAAG) and lactate (Lac). Exploratory analyses
were then conducted to determine relationships between anxiety-to-eat and levels of
neurometabolites in the dACC that significantly differed between groups.
125
126 **Materials and Methods**
127

Participants

Participants were recruited from an ongoing prospective observational study investigating anxiety-to-eat in individuals with AN and in HC (K01MH127178). Data collection occurred between September 22, 2022 and November 30, 2023. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board at the Johns Hopkins School of Medicine (IRB00214417). Women with AN were recruited from the Johns Hopkins Hospital during the initial phase of inpatient treatment, and HCs were recruited from the local community. For women with AN, eligibility criteria included the following: met DSM-V criteria for AN; currently hospitalized in the Johns Hopkins Inpatient Eating Disorders Program; 13-70 years of age; able to read, speak, and comprehend English fluently; no MRI contraindications including pregnancy, claustrophobia, metal implants, and history of brain injury. For HCs, eligibility criteria included: a BMI between 19-24.9 kg/m^2; 13-70 years of age; no lifetime history of an eating disorder or axis I disorder; able to read, speak, and comprehend English fluently; no MRI contraindications as listed above. All participants provided written informed consent prior to study assessments.

Measures

Overview. Participants completed two study visits (average interval of 5.03 days $\pm$ 0.79 days between visits) and were instructed not to consume any food or beverages apart from water after midnight the day prior to each visit. A glucose fingerstick was conducted to confirm that participants arrived fasted (<100 mg/dL). At both visits, participants reported interoceptive and appetite ratings on a visual analogue scale (VAS) prior to data collection. At Visit 1, participants completed a computer-based assessment of anxiety-to-eat on a 13-inch Macbook Air computer. Body weight and height were collected using a Tanita MC-980U plus body composition scale for individuals in the HC group and gowned morning weight was extracted from electronic medical records for individuals in the AN group. A link to complete psychological inventories was emailed to participants following completion of the first study visit. At Visit 2, all participants completed the scanning protocol which included a 10-minute MRS scan of the dACC. Scan day gowned body weight and height were extracted from electronic medical records for individuals in the AN group. Assessments are described in detail below.

Interoception Assessment (Visit 1 and 2). Participants reported their levels of hunger, thirst, fullness, desire to eat, anxiety, and stress on a 145 mm visual analog scale (VAS), where "0" (left scale anchor) represented the least degree ever experienced and "+100" (right scale anchor) represented the greatest degree ever experienced of the respective variable of interest.

Anxiety-to-Eat Assessment (Visit 1). Participants completed a computer-based behavioral task featuring 10 higher energy-dense (HED; 1.9–4.9 kcal/gram) food images and 10 lower energy-dense (LED; 0.2–1.4 kcal/gram) foods images. Each food was presented at a standard serving size (e.g., 1 banana, 1 glazed donut) and displayed on a 10-inch white plate. For each food image, participants were given the following instructions, "For each question, imagine you haven't eaten in 4 hours. Rate the level of anxiety you would feel to eat the portion size of the food presented in the picture on a scale from 0 to +100, where 0 represents "no anxiety / least anxiety ever experienced" and +100 represents "most anxiety ever experienced".

Neuroimaging Protocol (Visit 2). Data were collected on a Philips 3T Ingenia Elition RX scanner (Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with a 32-channel receive-only head coil. The scanning protocol included a 1 mm^3 isotropic T1 MPRAGE (TR/TE=6.5/2.9ms, Flip angle=8°; 211 slices; voxel size = 1 mm^3 (isotropic voxels) for MRS voxel placement and segmentation. The MRS voxel size was 30 (AP) x 30 (CC) x 30 (RL) mm^3 in dACC, as shown in

Figure 1. MR spectra were acquired using the following parameters: PRESS localization;
TR/TE=2000/80 ms; 256 transients; HERCULES editing with 20-ms editing pulses applied at:
4.58, 4.18, and 1.9 ppm; 2048 datapoints; 2 kHz spectral width; VAPOR water suppression; and
interleaved water reference correction [48]. The dACC voxel was individually placed medially with
the bottom of the voxel aligned with the top margin of the body of the corpus callosum (Figure
1).
185

186
187 **Figure 1** Location of the dACC voxels. The native space binary voxel mask for each participant
188 was normalized to MNI space and overlaid onto the spm152 template to display anatomical
189 overlap in voxel positioning between participants. Warmer (red) colors denote areas of greater
190 overlap across participants.
191
Psychological Inventories. Participants completed the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)[49] and
the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDEQ)[50]. The STAI is a self-report measure of
the presence and severity of generalized state (STAI-S) and trait (STAI-T) anxiety. The EDEQ is
a self-report measure that assesses the range, frequency, and severity of eating disorder
behaviors and is comprised of four subscales (eating restraint, eating concern, shape concern,
weight concern). Both the STAI and EDEQ have good psychometric properties [51-54] (e.g., validity
and reliability).
199
Data Analysis
Three HCs had BMI values outside the defined lean range of 19-24.9 (i.e., 18, 25, and 25) and
were subsequently excluded from the dataset. From the remaining 27 HCs, 16 were selected to
match the age distribution of the test group; birth month and year were matched as close as
possible between groups. This approach was taken to control for observed age-related effects
on neurometabolite levels as measured by MRS [55,56] and to equalize the sample size between
groups. Notably, analyses including the full sample of 27 HCs were conducted and yielded
similar results to the age-matched groups discussed below. One participant in each group did
not complete the psychometric instruments (STAI, EDEQ).
209
Each participant provided an anxiety-to-eat score (0-100) for each food in the computer-based
task. Scores were averaged within an individual across the 10 LED foods and across the 10
HED foods to generate a mean LED and HED score for each participant. Responses on the
STAI and EDEQ were calculated as instructed in the original manuscripts. IBM SPSS Statistics
version 28 and GraphPad Prism version 10 were used to analyze and plot the demographic,
psychometric, and psychophysical data. An alpha level of 0.05 was predetermined for all
analyses. A priori analyses of variance or chi-square tests were conducted to examine
differences in demographics, STAI and EDEQ scores, anxiety-to-eat ratings, and
neurometabolite levels in the dACC between groups. Multiple linear regression was conducted
to obtain predictive models for the main variable of anxiety-to-eat for both HED and LED foods
using a stepwise procedure to automatically select the significant predictors among the
independent variables of myo-I levels (found to be significantly different between groups), BMI,

general anxiety as assessed via the VAS anxiety scale on the scan day, and blood glucose level
on scan day. Duration of AN illness was also entered into the model in the AN group only.
224
MRS data were analyzed using the open-source analysis toolbox Osprey (version 2.4.0) [57]
within MATLAB R2022a. The analysis procedures followed consensus-recommended
processing guidelines for MRS data [58,59]. Briefly, standardized analysis steps in Osprey included
eddy-current correction based on the unsuppressed water reference [60], frequency- and phase-
correction using probabilistic spectral alignment [61], removal of residual water signals using a
Hankel singular value decomposition (HSVD) filter [62], and modeling of the metabolite peaks [57,63].
Given that a set of 17 metabolite signals are necessary for the model to accurately fit the
spectrum, an appropriate custom basis set was generated using MRSCloud [64] with simulated
profiles for ascorbate (Asc), aspartate (Asp), creatine (Cr), GABA, glycerophosphocholine
(GPC), GSH, glutamine (Gln), glutamate (Glu), myo-I, Lac, NAA, NAAG, phosphocholine (PCh),
phosphocreatine (PCr), phosphoryl ethanolamine (PE), scyllo-inositol (sI), and taurine (Tau).
The modeled spectral range was from 0.2 to 4.2 ppm and the default Osprey baseline knot
spacing of 0.4 ppm was used. Amplitude-ratio soft constraints were applied to the amplitudes of
the macromolecules (MM), lipids, and NAAG/NAA peaks as defined in the LCModel manual
(http://s-provencher.com/pub/LCModel/manual/manual.pdf). The amplitudes of GABA and the
co-edited MM at 3.0 ppm were soft-constrained in a one-to-one ratio, as suggested by previous
work [65]. The water-reference data were modeled in the frequency domain with a dedicated
water basis function and a six-parameter model (amplitude, zero- and first-order phase,
Gaussian and Lorentzian line broadening, and frequency shift). A summary of the experimental
methods and data quality—following the minimum reporting standards in MRS [65]—is presented
in Supplemental Table 1. Although edited MRS methods allow for the detection of numerous
metabolites, those with extremely low concentration are less reliable in the context of the study
sample size. Therefore, the following metabolites were measured in each participant: NAA,
tCho, myo-I, Glu, Gln, and Glx estimated from sum spectra, and GABA, GSH, NAAG, and Lac
levels estimated from the difference-edited spectra[48,66]. All metabolite concentrations were
estimated after correcting for partial volume effects in each voxel as described in Gasparovic et
al. [67]. Because GABA levels are reported to differ between brain gray and white matter [68], GABA
levels were alpha-corrected [69] and final GABA estimates are reported as GABA+, including the
contribution of the modeled co-edited macromolecules[70]. GSH or GABA concentrations equal to
0 were interpreted as evidence of failure to fit and those data points were excluded from further
analysis. The normality distribution and homogeneity of variance of metabolites were assessed
using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test and F-test, respectively.
257
258 **Results**
259
Participant Characteristics. The AN group was comprised of 13 women with AN-restricting
subtype and 3 women with binge/purge subtype. The HC and AN groups were similar in age,
ethnicity, and education. The HC group was more racially diverse than the AN group. The AN
group weighed less and had a lower BMI relative to the HC group. The AN group had higher
EDEQ global score as well as subscales score for eating restraint, eating concern, shape
concern, and weight concern scores as well as higher STAI trait anxiety and state anxiety
scores relative to the HC group. More women in the AN group relative to the HC group were on
psychotropic medications. The mean and standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) or frequency of
values of the participant characteristics are displayed in Table 1.
269
270 **Table 1**. Characteristics [Mean ± S.E.M. or Frequency (N)] of the women comprising the healthy
271 control (HC) group and anorexia nervosa (AN) group and statistical outcomes of group
272 comparisons.

Variable	HC Group Frequency (N) or Mean ± SEM	AN Group Frequency (N) or Mean ± SEM	Statistic
Age (years)	24.59 ± 2.26	24.22 ± 2.89	$F_{(1,30)}=0.010$, $p=0.919$
Race	Asian (4), Black (1), Multiple (4), Other (2) White (5)	Asian (1), White (14), Multiple (1)	$x^2_{(4)}=10.863$, $p=0.028$
Ethnicity	Hispanic (2), Not Hispanic (14)	Hispanic (0), Not Hispanic (16)	$x^2_{(1)}=2.133$, $p=0.144$
Education	High School/GED (6), BS/A (6), MS/A (4)	No degree (5), High School/GED (5), AA (1), BS/A (4), MS/A(1)	$x^2_{(4)}=8.291$, $p=0.081$
Body Weight (lbs)(Visit 1)	129.85 ± 4.55	104.02 ± 3.99	$F_{(1,30)}=18.213$, $p<0.001$
BMI (Visit 1)	21.95 ± 0.44	17.58 ± 0.57	$F_{(1,30)}=37.179$, $p<0.001$
Body Weight (lbs)(Scan Day)	--	106.76 ± 3.53	
BMI (Scan Day)	--	18.08 ± 0.48	
Duration of Anorexia Nervosa (years)	--	6.42 ± 2.86	
EDEQ Global Score	0.95 ± 0.26	3.13 ± 0.43	$F_{(1,28)}=18.822$, $p<0.001$
EDEQ Eating Restraint Score	0.83 ± 0.23	2.81 ± 0.50	$F_{(1,28)}=13.010$, $p<0.001$
EDEQ Eating Concern Score	0.52 ± 0.27	2.45 ± 0.40	$F_{(1,28)}=15.947$, $p<0.001$
EDEQ Shape Concern Score	1.34 ± 0.34	3.87 ± 0.46	$F_{(1,28)}=19.511$, $p<0.001$
EDEQ Weight Concern Score	1.09 ± 0.36	3.37 ± 0.47	$F_{(1,28)}=14.756$, $p<0.001$
STAI Trait Score	39.33 ± 3.03	56.93 ± 2.80	$F_{(1,28)}=18.199$, $p<0.001$
STAI State Score	38.00 ± 3.13	50.00 ± 2.56	$F_{(1,28)}=8.806$, $p=0.006$
Contraception	Yes (2), No (14)	Yes (2), No (14)	--
Psychotropic Medications	Yes (0), No (16)	Yes (10), No (6)	$x^2_{(1)}=14.545$, $p<0.001$

273 SEM: Standard Error of Mean, HC: healthy control, AN: anorexia nervosa, N: sample size, GED:
274 General Education Development, AA: Associate in Arts, BS/BA: Bachelor of Science/Arts,
275 MS/MS: Master of Science/Arts, lbs: pounds, BMI: body mass index, EDEQ: Eating Disorder
276 Examination Questionnaire, STAI: State Trait Anxiety Inventory
277
Interoception Measures. On Visit 1 (behavioral assessment of anxiety-to-eat), the AN group
reported less hunger, less desire to eat, and more anxiety relative to the HC group. No
differences were observed between groups in reported thirst, fullness, and stress. On Visit 2
(MRS assessment of neurometabolites in the dACC), there were no differences in interoceptive
measures between groups. The mean and S.E.M. response and statistical outcome for each
interoceptive factor is displayed in Supplemental Table 2.
284
Anxiety-to-Eat. Main effects of energy density ($F_{(1,30)}=37.671$, $p<0.001$) and group
($F_{(1,30)}=27.338$, $p<0.001$) as well as a significant energy density by group interaction
($F_{(1,30)}=10.602$, $p<0.003$) on anxiety-to-eat ratings were observed. The AN group reported

greater anxiety to eat LED foods (p=0.012) and HED foods (p<0.001) relative to responses by
the HC group (Figure 2a). Both groups reported greater anxiety to eat HED foods relative to
LED foods (HC: p=0.050; AN: p=0.001).

291
292 **Figure 2**. Mean ± S.E.M. anxiety-to-eat ratings for a standard serving size of lower energy
293 dense (LED) and higher energy dense (HED) foods in the healthy control (HC; gold) and
294 anorexia nervosa (AN; cyan) groups. The AN group reported greater anxiety to eat both HED
295 and LED foods relative to the HC group. * represents significant p-value at alpha level of 0.05.
296
MRS. MRS data quality was generally high (see Supplemental Table 1). Gray matter (HC: 0.496
± 0.008, AN: 0.493 ± 0.009; $F_{(1,30)}$=0.088, p=0.768) and white matter (HC: 0.384 ± 0.009, AN:
0.360 ± 0.011; $F_{(1,30)}$=3.068, p=0.090) volume within the voxel were similar between groups, and
the AN group had higher CSF levels within the voxel relative to HC group (HC: 0.120 ± 0.003,
AN: 0.148 ± 0.010; $F_{(1,30)}$=7.291, p=0.011). The following neurometabolites in the dACC were
measured: myo-I, GABA with co-edited macromolecules (GABA+), total choline (tCho), GSH,
Glx, NAA, NAAG, Lac, and tCr. Metabolite levels in the dACC region are summarized in Table
2, and their sum spectra overlaid for all participants in each group can be found in Figure 3a.
Only myo-I levels differed between groups (Figure 3b, Table 2).
306

307
Figure 3. a: In vivo HERCULES data showing the overlaid sum spectra acquired from the
dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) region. The key spectral features of the nine edited
metabolites are visible. The black line within each spectrum represents the mean spectrum of

each group. The gold spectra are those acquired from the healthy control (HC) group, while the
cyan spectra are those acquired from the anorexia nervosa (AN) group. b: Myo-inositol (myo-I)
levels in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) as measured by MRS in the healthy control
(HC; gold) and anorexia nervosa (AN; cyan) groups. The AN group had lower myo-I levels in the
dACC compared to HC. c: Relationship between myo-I levels in the dACC and reported anxiety
to eat a standard portion of HED foods in the AN group (cyan). myo-I levels in the dACC
negatively predicted anxiety to eat HED foods in the AN group. * regression line represents
significant relationship at alpha level of 0.05.
319
320 **Table 2**. Metabolites levels in institutional units in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) of
321 women comprising the healthy control (HC) group and the anorexia nervosa (AN) group.

Neurometabolite	HC Group Mean ± S.E.M.	AN Group Mean ± S.E.M.	Statistic
myo-I	12.50 ± 0.27	11.51 ± 0.26	$F_{(1,30)}$=6.783, p=0.014*
GABA+	7.74 ± 0.43	8.42 ± 0.19	$F_{(1,30)}$=2.097, p=0.158
tCho	3.10 ± 0.098	3.27 ± 0.09	$F_{(1,30)}$=1.770, p=0.193
GSH	3.63 ± 0.19	3.91 ± 0.16	$F_{(1,30)}$=1.203, p=0.281
Glx	15.59 ± 0.61	14.76 ± 0.48	$F_{(1,30)}$=1.128, p=0.297
NAA	16.17 ± 0.27	15.80 ± 0.28	$F_{(1,30)}$=0.921, p=0.345
NAAG	0.62 ± 0.11	0.73 ± 0.12	$F_{(1,30)}$=0.449, p=0.508
Lac	1.01 ± 0.06	1.10 ± 0.08	$F_{(1,30)}$=0.335, p=0.567
tCr	12.78 ± 0.25	12.90 ± 0.19	$F_{(1,30)}$=0.128, p=0.723

myo-I: myo-inositol; GABA+: γ-aminobutyric acid + co-edited macromolecules;
tCho, total Choline; GSH, glutathione; Glx, Glu + Gln; NAA, N-acetyl aspartate;
NAAG, N-acetyl aspartyl glutamate; Lac, lactate; tCr, total Creatine; * represents
significant p-value at alpha level of 0.05.

322
Relationship Between myo-I Levels in the dACC and Anxiety-to-Eat. myo-I levels in the dACC
significantly predicted anxiety to eat HED foods ($F_{(1,14)}$=8.567, p=0.012) in individuals with AN.
Specifically, for every unit decrease in myo-I in the dACC, there was an estimated nine-unit
increase in anxiety to eat HED foods (β=-9.206, SE(β)=3.145; R^2=0.397; Figure 3c). General
anxiety as reported on the VAS anxiety scale prior to the scan (p=0.528), BMI (p=0.189),
duration of illness (p=0.234), and blood glucose levels (p=0.310) were not significant predictors
and therefore were not retained in the model. Models could not be fit for anxiety to eat LED
foods in AN or anxiety to eat HED or LED foods in HC.
331
332 **Discussion**
333
The goal of this project was to characterize anxiety-to-eat, a prominent yet understudied feature
of AN psychopathology, and to identify its underlying neurobiological mechanisms. Anxiety-to-
eat was higher for HED relative to LED foods in both AN and HC, and anxiety to eat both HED
and LED foods was greater in AN relative to HC. Among the nine metabolites examined in the
dACC, myo-I levels in the dACC emerged as a significant predictor of anxiety to eat HED foods
in individuals with AN but not in HC. This relationship was independent of BMI, duration of
illness, blood glucose, and general anxiety state.
341

These results provide new insight into the clinically challenging feature of eating-related anxiety
in AN. Our data complement previous research showing that women with eating disorders
report greater anxiety to consume high versus low calorie foods and beverages [34,71], and extend
these findings to demonstrate that anxiety to eat both HED *and* LED foods is greater in women
with AN relative to HC. Moreover, our data demonstrate that HC also report greater anxiety to
eat HED relative to LED foods as observed in AN, indicating that anxiety-to-eat is sensitive to
energy density and that the *degree* rather than *presence* of anxiety-to-eat is central to AN
psychopathology.
350
Notably, these behavioral data in HC are inconsistent with the report by Ellison et al., [34]
demonstrating that women without a history of an eating disorder show no such distinction in
anxiety to consume high and low-calorie beverages. Differences in methods may underly the
inconsistency between the two studies. Ellison et al., [34] used images of beverages labeled as
high or low calorie and collected anxiety ratings using a Likert scale, whereas we presented
images of one standard portion size of 10 HED and 10 LED foods and collected ratings using a
VAS that allows for valid across-group comparisons [72,73]. The variety of the foods presented in
our study may have elicited greater variability in ratings of anxiety-to-eat, reflective of
differences in food liking, healthiness, or other factors in HC and AN that may not have been
captured solely by images of beverages. Alternatively, potential differential factors within the HC
group and in sample size between the studies may also account for differences in statistical
outcomes; Ellison et al.[34] assessed six controls and six patients with AN, whereas 16 individuals
comprised each group assessed here.
364
The dACC plays a critical role in threat appraisal and affective decision-making by linking
behaviors with positive and negative consequences[74-77]. In AN, HED foods acquire the capacity
to elicit negative anticipatory responses including anxiety-to-eat due to fear of body weight or fat
gain if consumed. The *perceived* immediate causal consequence of consuming HED foods and
resulting heightened emotional state (anxiety-to-eat) may engage the dACC and activate
avoidance behavior. This dynamic is not typically observed in individuals without an eating
disorder and may explain the absence of a relationship between myo-I levels in the dACC and
anxiety-to-eat in HC. If so, myo-I levels in the dACC could serve as a novel biomarker of illness
severity independent of BMI and illness chronicity, help to identify individuals vulnerable to AN
or eating pathology more generally, and represent a potential therapeutic target. Randomized
clinical trials of myo-I supplementation have demonstrated some promising results in other
psychiatric conditions with sample sizes ranging from 12-28 individuals [78-80].
377
Our findings replicate prior literature showing lower myo-I levels in the dACC of individuals with
AN relative to HC[42,46,47] and additionally link these lower myo-I levels with the critical
phenomenological feature of anxiety-to-eat in AN. Myo-inositol is a carbocyclic sugar and a
precursor molecule to numerous phosphatidyl-inositol species in the second-messenger
phosphatidyl-inositol systems including adrenergic, serotonergic, and glutamatergic
pathways[81,82] that have been shown to be dysregulated in AN [83-88]. At least four mechanistic
interpretations may help explain lower myo-I in the dACC in AN. First, lower myo-I in the dACC
of AN relative to HC may reflect heightened cellular activity or signaling within phosphatidyl-
inositol circuits, leading to depletion of the precursor. This would be consistent with prior
research showing greater activity in the dACC, or ACC more broadly, to high calorie food
images in AN relative to HC[33,34]. Second, the converse may be true; lower levels of myo-I
substrate in the dACC of AN relative to HC may reflect lower phosphatidyl-inositol resulting in
reduced signaling within such circuits. Third, myo-I is commonly used as a glial marker in the
MRS literature. Lower myo-I in the dACC may reflect lower astrocyte density in the PFC, as
demonstrated in rodent models of AN [89,90]. Astrocytes are critical in clearing neurotransmitters

from the synapse, and lower numbers of astrocytes may prolong circuit activation due to
prolonged neurotransmitter presence at the synapse. Myo-inositol is present in both neurons
and glial cells[91]. The inability to distinguish the cell-specificity of measured neurometabolites is a
limitation in MRS technology. Fourth, myo-I is primarily derived from de novo synthesis from D-
glucose-6-phosphate[92] and from diet [93]. Reduced caloric intake or inadequate nutrition for myo-I
synthesis may account for lower levels of myo-I in AN relative to HC, although this is less likely
given that levels of myo-I were independent of BMI and fasting glucose (in both groups).
Similarly, other studies have shown no association between BMI and dACC metrics (i.e.,
volume, blood flow) during the acute underweight AN state as well as following weight
restoration[35,38,43,46,94].

No differences in the other eight metabolite levels examined in the dACC were observed
between groups. This is consistent, although not universally observed, with several studies of
similar or larger magnitude investigating concentrations of metabolites in the dACC of
underweight individuals with AN[42,43,45,47] as well as other psychiatric illnesses relative to
controls[95-102]. However, an equal number of studies have observed lower levels of NAA[46],
Glx[43,46], Cr[43], and Cho[43] in AN relative to HC. Although the literature is quite limited and the
participant characteristics heterogeneous, methodological differences between our study and
others including MRI magnet strength[43,44,46], multivoxel versus single voxel approach[42],
underweight versus weight-restored AN condition[44], adolescents versus adults[44,46], and
application of our novel editing scheme HERCULES[48] may account for inconsistencies across
the literature. This is the first study to investigate metabolite levels in individuals with AN using
HERCULES. HERCULES simultaneously quantifies multiple low-concentration coupled
metabolite levels which allows for substantially reduced scan time[66,103] and treats each target
signal independently to enable the extraction of distinct edited spectra from the dataset in the
different Hadamard combinations. This provides increased sensitivity and specificity of
HERCULES as opposed to PRESS and 2D spectra methods[46,104]

Limitations. Although comparable to similar observational and MRS studies in the extant AN
literature (average 15 participants, range 7-32[105]) and sufficient to identify significant
relationships between AN psychopathology and brain chemistry, our sample size was limited.
Larger studies are needed to replicate and extend these findings. The MRS method used here
corrected for water and metabolite relaxation constants in tissues based on the assumption that
T1/T2 values are uniform as indicated in Wansapura et al.,[106]. Changes in water and metabolite
relaxation rates have been observed with age [55,107,108] and served as the justification for age-
matching the groups. Both amenorrhea and use of psychotropic medications are common in
AN, but their impact on MRS metrics remain unclear. While previous studies have found no
effect of the menstrual cycle on myo-I levels[109] or medication effects on MRS metrics in
individuals with psychiatric conditions (e.g., OCD, TD)[97], their effects cannot be entirely
dismissed. For both ethical and practical reasons, participants did not discontinue these
medications before participation in this study. Notably, we found no differences in myo-I levels in
women with AN receiving psychotropic medications versus those not currently receiving such
treatment.

Conclusion. The degree of anxiety-to-eat differs between women with AN compared to HC and
was negatively correlated with myo-I in the dACC in women with AN but not in HC in this study.
Although unrelated to BMI, duration of illness, or general anxiety, myo-I levels in the dACC were
negatively associated with anxiety-to-eat, raising the question of whether low myo-I in the dACC
in individuals with AN mechanistically underlies anxiety-to-eat. Future longitudinal studies in
humans and work in preclinical models are needed to replicate and extend these findings, to
clarify whether the relationship between myo-I and anxiety-to-eat changes with weight

restoration and to determine if anxiety-to-eat is modified by dietary supplementation with myo-I
or is predictive of treatment response.
446
447
References

1. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5.* Fifth edition ed. Washington,

DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.

2. Arcelus J, Mitchell AJ, Wales J, Nielsen S. Mortality rates in patients with anorexia nervosa

and other eating disorders: A meta-analysis of 36 studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011;68(7):724.

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2011-16597-

008&site=ehost-live&scope=site. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.74.

3. Smink FRE, van Hoeken D, Hoek HW. Epidemiology of eating disorders: Incidence,

prevalence and mortality rates. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2012;14(4):406–414.

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11920-012-0282-y. doi: 10.1007/s11920-012-0282-y.

4. Silén Y, Keski-Rahkonen A. Worldwide prevalence of DSM-5 eating disorders among young

people. *Current opinion in psychiatry*. 2022;35(6):362–371.

https://search.proquest.com/docview/2718669204. doi: 10.1097/YCO.0000000000000818.

5. Klump KL. Puberty as a critical risk period for eating disorders: A review of human and animal

studies. *Hormones and behavior*. 2013;64(2):399–410.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.02.019. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.02.019.

6. Berends T, van Meijel B, Nugteren W, et al. Rate, timing and predictors of relapse in patients

with anorexia nervosa following a relapse prevention program: A cohort study. *BMC Psychiatry*.

2016;16(1):316. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-016-1019-y. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-1019-y.

7. Pike KM. Long-term course of anorexia nervosa: Response, relapse, remission, and

recovery. *Clinical psychology review*. 1998;18(4):447–475. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0272-

7358(98)00014-2. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7358(98)00014-2.

8. Meier SM, Bulik CM, Thornton LM, Mattheisen M, Mortensen PB, Petersen L. Diagnosed

anxiety disorders and the risk of subsequent anorexia nervosa: A danish population register

study. *European Eating Disorders Review*. 2015;23(6):524.

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2015-41507-

001&site=ehost-live&scope=site. doi: 10.1002/erv.2402.

9. Steinglass JE, Sysko R, Mayer L, et al. Pre-meal anxiety and food intake in anorexia nervosa.

Appetite. 2010;55(2):214–218.

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195666310004125. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.05.090.

10. Schebendach J, Mayer LES, Devlin MJ, Attia E, Walsh BT. Dietary energy density and diet

variety as risk factors for relapse in anorexia nervosa: A replication. *Int J Eat Disord*.

2012;45(1):79.

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=asn&AN=69627075&site=ehost-

live&scope=site. doi: 10.1002/eat.20922.

11. Misra M, Tsai P, Anderson EJ, et al. Nutrient intake in community-dwelling adolescent girls

with anorexia nervosa and in healthy adolescents. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*.

2006;84(4):698–706. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17023694. doi:

10.1093/ajcn/84.4.698.

12. Affenito SG, Dohm F, Crawford PB, Daniels SR, Striegel-Moore RH. Macronutrient intake in

anorexia nervosa: The national heart, lung, and blood institute growth and health study. *The*

Journal of pediatrics. 2002;141(5):701–705. https://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mpd.2002.129840. doi: 10.1067/mpd.2002.129840.

13. Hadigan CM, Anderson EJ, Miller KK, et al. Assessment of macronutrient and micronutrient intake in women with anorexia nervosa. *The International journal of eating disorders*. 2000;28(3):284–292. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-FN4RXQ2Z-M/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/1098-108X(200011)28:33.0.CO;2-G.

14. Mayer LES, Schebendach J, Bodell LP, Shingleton RM, Walsh BT. Eating behavior in anorexia nervosa: Before and after treatment. *The International journal of eating disorders*. 2012;45(2):290–293. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-74LJ2J97-J/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/eat.20924.

15. Stoner SA, Fedoroff IC, Andersen AE, Rolls BJ. Food preferences and desire to eat in anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *The International journal of eating disorders*. 1996;19(1):13–22. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-NC88TW8K-T/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199601)19:13.0.CO;2-Z.

16. Fernstrom MH, Weltzin TE, Neuberger S, Srinivasagam N, Kaye WH. Twenty-four-hour food intake in patients with anorexia nervosa and in healthy control subjects. *Biological psychiatry (1969)*. 1994;36(10):696–702. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(94)91179-7. doi: 10.1016/0006-3223(94)91179-7.

17. Tovote P, Fadok JP, Lüthi A. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2015;16(6):317–331. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1038/nrn3945. doi: 10.1038/nrn3945.

18. Milad MR, Rauch SL, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ. Fear extinction in rats: Implications for human

brain imaging and anxiety disorders. *Biological Psychology*. 2006;73(1):61–71.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.01.008. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.01.008.

19. SIERRA-MERCADO D, PADILLA-COREANO N, QUIRK GJ. Dissociable roles of prelimbic

and infralimbic cortices, ventral hippocampus, and basolateral amygdala in the expression and

extinction of conditioned fear. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(2):529–538.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/npp.2010.184. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.184.

20. Vidal-Gonzalez I, Vidal-Gonzalez B, Rauch SL, Quirk GJ. Microstimulation reveals opposing

influences of prelimbic and infralimbic cortex on the expression of conditioned fear. *Learning &*

Memory. 2006;13(6):728–733. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17142302. doi:

10.1101/lm.306106.

21. Maren S, Quirk GJ. Neuronal signalling of fear memory. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*.

2004;5(11):844–852. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn1535. doi: 10.1038/nrn1535.

22. Wolf RC, Herringa RJ. Prefrontal-amygdala dysregulation to threat in pediatric posttraumatic

stress disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of*

Neuropsychopharmacology. 2016;41(3):822–831. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26171717

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4707828/. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.209.

23. Maren S, Phan KL, Liberzon I. The contextual brain: Implications for fear conditioning,

extinction and psychopathology. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*. 2013;14(6):417–428.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23635870. doi: 10.1038/nrn3492.

24. Madonna D, Delvecchio G, Soares JC, Brambilla P. Structural and functional neuroimaging

studies in generalized anxiety disorder: A systematic review. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry*.

2019;41(4):336–362. https://search.proquest.com/docview/2232078226. doi: 10.1590/1516-4446-2018-0108.

25. Maron E, Nutt D. Biological markers of generalized anxiety disorder. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*. 2017;19(2):147–158. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.31887/DCNS.2017.19.2/dnutt. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2017.19.2/dnutt.

26. Monk CS, Telzer EH, Mogg K, et al. Amygdala and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation to masked angry faces in children and adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 2008;65(5):568–576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.65.5.568. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.65.5.568.

27. Nitschke JB, Sarinopoulos I, Oathes DJ, et al. Anticipatory activation in the amygdala and anterior cingulate in generalized anxiety disorder and prediction of treatment response. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 2009;166(3):302–310. http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07101682. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07101682.

28. McClure EB, Monk CS, Nelson EE, et al. Abnormal attention modulation of fear circuit function in pediatric generalized anxiety disorder. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 2007;64(1):97–106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.64.1.97. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.1.97.

29. Makovac E, Meeten F, Watson DR, et al. Alterations in amygdala-prefrontal functional connectivity account for excessive worry and autonomic dysregulation in generalized anxiety disorder. *Biological psychiatry (1969)*. 2016;80(10):786–795. https://www.clinicalkey.es/playcontent/1-s2.0-S0006322315008604. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.10.013.

30. Fredrikson M, Furmark T, Olsson MT, Fischer H, Andersson J, Langstrom B. Functional

neuroanatomical correlates of electrodermal activity: A positron emission tomographic study.

Psychophysiology. 1998;35(2):179–185.

https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0048577298970767/type/journal_article. doi:

10.1111/1469-8986.3520179.

31. Milad MR, Quirk GJ, Pitman RK, Orr SP, Fischl B, Rauch SL. A role for the human dorsal

anterior cingulate cortex in fear expression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2007;62(10):1191–1194.

https://www.clinicalkey.es/playcontent/1-s2.0-S0006322307004015. doi:

10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.04.032.

32. Tranel D, Damasio H. Neuroanatomical correlates of electrodermal skin conductance

responses. *Psychophysiology*. 1994;31(5):427–438. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-

9Z1R7WFM-Q/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1994.tb01046.x.

33. Eddy KT, Plessow F, Breithaupt L, et al. Neural activation of regions involved in food reward

and cognitive control in young females with anorexia nervosa and atypical anorexia nervosa

versus healthy controls. *Translational psychiatry*. 2023;13(1):220.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37353543. doi: 10.1038/s41398-023-02494-3.

34. Ellison Z, Foong J, Howard R, Bullmore E, Williams S, Treasure J. Functional anatomy of

calorie fear in anorexia nervosa. *The Lancet (British edition)*. 1998;352(9135):1192.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)60529-6. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)60529-6.

35. McCormick LM, Keel PK, Brumm MC, et al. Implications of starvation-induced change in

right dorsal anterior cingulate volume in anorexia nervosa. *The International journal of eating

disorders*. 2008;41(7):602–610. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-3M3H6Z6Z-G/fulltext.pdf.

doi: 10.1002/eat.20549.

36. Naruo T, Nakabeppu Y, Deguchi D, et al. Decreases in blood perfusion of the anterior

cingulate gyri in anorexia nervosa restricters assessed by SPECT image analysis. *BMC*

psychiatry. 2001;1(1):2. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11407997. doi: 10.1186/1471-

244X-1-2.

37. Takano A, Shiga T, Kitagawa N, et al. Abnormal neuronal network in anorexia nervosa

studied with I-123-IMP SPECT. *Psychiatry research*. 2001;107(1):45–50.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4927(01)00093-2. doi: 10.1016/S0925-4927(01)00093-2.

38. Kojima S, Nagai N, Nakabeppu Y, et al. Comparison of regional cerebral blood flow in

patients with anorexia nervosa before and after weight gain. *Psychiatry research*.

2005;140(3):251–258. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2005.08.002. doi:

10.1016/j.pscychresns.2005.08.002.

39. Seitz J, Bühren K, von Polier GG, Heussen N, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Konrad K.

Morphological changes in the brain of acutely ill and weight-recovered patients with anorexia

nervosa. A meta-analysis and qualitative review. *Zeitschrift für Kinder- und Jugendpsychiatrie*

und Psychotherapie. 2014;42(1):7–18. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24365959. doi:

10.1024/1422-4917/a000265.

40. Harris AD, Saleh MG, Edden RAE. Edited 1 H magnetic resonance spectroscopy in vivo:

Methods and metabolites. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*. 2017;77(4):1377–1389. doi:

10.1002/mrm.26619.

41. Mason GF. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy for studies of neurotransmission in vivo.

Psychopharmacology bulletin. 2003;37(2):26–40.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14566212.

42. Doose A, Tam FI, Hellerhoff I, et al. Triangulating brain alterations in anorexia nervosa: A

multimodal investigation of magnetic resonance spectroscopy, morphometry and blood-based

biomarkers. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2023;13(1):277. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1038/s41398-

023-02580-6. doi: 10.1038/s41398-023-02580-6.

43. Ohrmann P, Kersting A, Suslow T, et al. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in

anorexia nervosa: Correlations with cognition. *Neuroreport*. 2004;15(3):549–553.

http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&NEWS=n&CSC=Y&PAGE=fulltext&D=ovft&AN=0000

1756-200403010-00033. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200403010-00033.

44. Castro-Fornieles J, Garcia AI, Lazaro L, et al. Prefrontal brain metabolites in short-term

weight-recovered adolescent anorexia nervosa patients. *Progress in neuro-*

psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry. 2010;34(6):1049–1053.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.05.020. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.05.020.

45. Joos AAB, Perlov E, Büchert M, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of the anterior

cingulate cortex in eating disorders. *Psychiatry research. Neuroimaging*. 2011;191(3):196–200.

https://www.clinicalkey.es/playcontent/1-s2.0-S0925492710003525. doi:

10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.10.004.

46. Castro-Fornieles J, Bargalló N, Lázaro L, et al. Adolescent anorexia nervosa: Cross-

sectional and follow-up frontal gray matter disturbances detected with proton magnetic

resonance spectroscopy. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 2007;41(11):952–958.

https://www.clinicalkey.es/playcontent/1-s2.0-S0022395606002044. doi:

10.1016/j.jpsychires.2006.09.013.

47. Godlewska BR, Pike A, Sharpley AL, et al. Brain glutamate in anorexia nervosa: A magnetic

resonance spectroscopy case control study at 7 tesla. *Psychopharmacology*. 2017;234(3):421–

426. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00213-016-4477-5. doi: 10.1007/s00213-016-

4477-5.

48. Oeltzschner G, Saleh MG, Rimbault D, et al. Advanced hadamard-encoded editing of seven

low-concentration brain metabolites: Principles of HERCULES. *NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla.)*.

2019;185:181–190. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.002. doi:

10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.002.

49. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. *STAI manual.* Palo Alto, Calif: Consulting

Psychologists Pr; 1970.

50. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders: Interview or self-report

questionnaire? *The International journal of eating disorders*. 1994;16(4):363–370.

https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-0DF1Q6D7-R/fulltext.pdf.

51. Barnes LLB, Harp D, Jung WS. Reliability generalization of scores on the spielberger state-

trait anxiety inventory. *Educational and psychological measurement*. 2002;62(4):603–618.

https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0013164402062004005. doi:

10.1177/0013164402062004005.

52. Rose JS, Vaewsorn A, Rosselli-Navarra F, Wilson GT, Weissman RS. Test-retest reliability

of the eating disorder examination-questionnaire (EDE-Q) in a college sample. *Journal of Eating*

Disorders. 2013;1(1):42. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24999420. doi: 10.1186/2050-

2974-1-42.

53. Mond JM, Hay PJ, Rodgers B, Owen C, Beumont PJV. Validity of the eating disorder

examination questionnaire (EDE-Q) in screening for eating disorders in community samples.

Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2004;42(5):551–567. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(03)00161-X. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7967(03)00161-X.

54. Aardoom JJ, Dingemans AE, Slof Op't Landt MCT, Van Furth EF. Norms and discriminative validity of the eating disorder examination questionnaire (EDE-Q). *Eating Behaviors*. 2012;13(4):305–309. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.09.002. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.09.002.

55. Saleh MG, Papantoni A, Mikkelsen M, et al. Effect of age on GABA+ and glutathione in a pediatric sample. *American journal of neuroradiology : AJNR*. 2020;41(6):1099–1104. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32381543. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A6543.

56. Marenco S, Meyer C, van der Veen JW, et al. Role of gamma-amino-butyric acid in the dorsal anterior cingulate in age-associated changes in cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2018;43(11):2285–2291. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30050047. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0134-5.

57. Oeltzschner G, Zöllner HJ, Hui SCN, et al. Osprey: Open-source processing, reconstruction & estimation of magnetic resonance spectroscopy data. *Journal of neuroscience methods*. 2020;343:108827. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2020.108827. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2020.108827.

58. Choi I, Andronesi OC, Barker P, et al. Spectral editing in 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopy: Experts' consensus recommendations. *NMR in Biomedicine*. 2021;34(5):1–n/a. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nbm.4411. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4411.

59. Wilson M, Andronesi O, Barker PB, et al. Methodological consensus on clinical proton MRS

of the brain: Review and recommendations. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2019;82(2):527–

550. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mrm.27742. doi: 10.1002/mrm.27742.

60. Klose U. In vivo proton spectroscopy in presence of eddy currents. *Magnetic Resonance in*

Medicine. 1990;14(1):26–30. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-P0MSF1B5-5/fulltext.pdf. doi:

10.1002/mrm.1910140104.

61. Near J, Edden R, Evans CJ, Paquin R, Harris A, Jezzard P. Frequency and phase drift

correction of magnetic resonance spectroscopy data by spectral registration in the time domain.

Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2015;73(1):44–50. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-

K0HWXKJP-6/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25094.

62. Barkhuijsen H, de Beer R, van Ormondt D. Improved algorithm for noniterative time-domain

model fitting to exponentially damped magnetic resonance signals. *Journal of magnetic*

resonance (1969). 1987;73(3):553–557. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(87)90023-0. doi:

10.1016/0022-2364(87)90023-0.

63. Zöllner HJ, Považan M, Hui SCN, Tapper S, Edden RAE, Oeltzschner G. Comparison of

different linear-combination modeling algorithms for short-TE proton spectra. *NMR in*

Biomedicine. 2021;34(4):e4482–n/a. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nbm.4482.

doi: 10.1002/nbm.4482.

64. Hui SCN, Saleh MG, Zöllner HJ, et al. MRSCloud: A cloud-based MRS tool for basis set

simulation. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*. 2022;88(5):1994–2004.

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mrm.29370. doi: 10.1002/mrm.29370.

65. Lin A, Andronesi O, Bogner W, et al. Minimum reporting standards for in vivo magnetic

resonance spectroscopy (MRSinMRS): Experts' consensus recommendations. *NMR in*

biomedicine. 2021;34(5):e4484–n/a. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nbm.4484.

doi: 10.1002/nbm.4484.

66. Saleh MG, Wang M, Mikkelsen M, et al. Simultaneous edited MRS of GABA, glutathione,

and ethanol. *NMR in biomedicine*. 2020;33(4):e4227–n/a.

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nbm.4227. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4227.

67. Gasparovic C, Chen H, Mullins PG. Errors in 1 H-MRS estimates of brain metabolite

concentrations caused by failing to take into account tissue-specific signal relaxation. *NMR in*

biomedicine. 2018;31(6):e3914. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29727496. doi:

10.1002/nbm.3914.

68. Gong T, Hui SCN, Zöllner HJ, et al. Neurometabolic timecourse of healthy aging.

NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla.). 2022;264:119740.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119740. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119740.

69. Harris AD, Puts NAJ, Edden RAE. Tissue correction for GABA-edited MRS: Considerations

of voxel composition, tissue segmentation, and tissue relaxations. *Journal of magnetic*

resonance imaging. 2015;42(5):1431–1440. https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-ST1638V4-

0/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/jmri.24903.

70. Mullins PG, McGonigle DJ, O'Gorman RL, et al. Current practice in the use of MEGA-

PRESS spectroscopy for the detection of GABA. *NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla.)*. 2014;86:43–52.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.12.004. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.12.004.

71. Vanzhula I, Duck SA, Pletch A, Guarda AS, Schreyer C. Greater dietary variety is

associated with lower food anxiety at discharge from intensive eating disorder treatment. *The*

International journal of eating disorders. 2023;56(7):1365–1377.

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/eat.23940. doi: 10.1002/eat.23940.

72. Bartoshuk LM, Duffy VB, Green BG, et al. Valid across-group comparisons with labeled

scales: The gLMS versus magnitude matching. *Physiol Behav*. 2004;82(1):109–114. Accessed

Aug 30, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.02.033.

73. Hayes JE, Allen AL, Bennett SM. Direct comparison of the generalized visual analog scale

(gVAS) and general labeled magnitude scale (gLMS). *Food quality and preference*.

2013;28(1):36–44. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.07.012. doi:

10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.07.012.

74. Kennerley SW, Walton ME, Behrens TEJ, Buckley MJ, Rushworth MFS. Optimal decision

making and the anterior cingulate cortex. *Nature neuroscience*. 2006;9(7):940–947.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1724. doi: 10.1038/nn1724.

75. Procyk E, Tanaka YL, Joseph JP. Anterior cingulate activity during routine and non-routine

sequential behaviors in macaques. *Nature neuroscience*. 2000;3(5):502–508.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/74880. doi: 10.1038/74880.

76. Hadland KA, Rushworth MFS, Gaffan D, Passingham RE. The anterior cingulate and

reward-guided selection of actions. *Journal of neurophysiology*. 2003;89(2):1161–1164.

http://jn.physiology.org/cgi/content/abstract/89/2/1161. doi: 10.1152/jn.00634.2002.

77. Matsumoto K, Suzuki W, Tanaka K. Neuronal correlates of goal-based motor selection in

the prefrontal cortex. *Science*. 2003;301(5630):229–232.

http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/301/5630/229. doi: 10.1126/science.1084204.

78. Levine J, Barak Y, Gonzalves M, et al. Double-blind, controlled trial of inositol treatment of

depression. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 1995;152(5):792–794.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/ajp.152.5.792. doi: 10.1176/ajp.152.5.792.

79. BENJAMIN J, LEVINE J, FUX M, AVIV A, LEVY D, BELMAKER RH. Double-blind, placebo-

controlled, crossover trial of inositol treatment for panic disorder. *The American journal of*

psychiatry. 1995;152(7):1084–1086. http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/ajp.152.7.1084. doi:

10.1176/ajp.152.7.1084.

80. Gelber D, Levine J, Belmaker RH. Effect of inositol on bulimia nervosa and binge eating.

The International journal of eating disorders. 2001;29(3):345–348.

https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-0VGQX2DF-4/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/eat.1028.

81. Raghu P, Joseph A, Krishnan H, Singh P, Saha S. Phosphoinositides: Regulators of

nervous system function in health and disease. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*.

2019;12:208. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31507376. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2019.00208.

82. Fisher SK, Novak JE, Agranoff BW. Inositol and higher inositol phosphates in neural tissues:

Homeostasis, metabolism and functional significance. *Journal of Neurochemistry*.

2002;82(4):736–754. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2002.01041.x.

doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2002.01041.x.

83. Atchley DPD, Eckel LA. Fenfluramine treatment in female rats accelerates the weight loss

associated with activity-based anorexia. *Pharmacology, biochemistry and behavior*.

2005;80(2):273–279. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2004.11.006. doi:

10.1016/j.pbb.2004.11.006.

84. Kaye WH, Gwirtsman HE, George DT, Jimerson DC, Ebert MH. CSF 5-HIAA concentrations

in anorexia nervosa: Reduced values in underweight subjects normalize after weight gain.

Biological Psychiatry. 1988;23(1):102–105. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(88)90113-8.

doi: 10.1016/0006-3223(88)90113-8.

85. Kaye WH, Barbarich NC, Putnam K, et al. Anxiolytic effects of acute tryptophan depletion in

anorexia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 2003;33(3):257–267.

https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-CJ8MVSG0-5/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/eat.10135.

86. Kaye WH, Frank GKW, McConaha C. Altered dopamine activity after recovery from

restricting-type anorexia nervosa. *Neuropsychopharmacology (New York, N.Y.)*.

1999;21(4):503–506. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X(99)00053-6. doi: 10.1016/S0893-

133X(99)00053-6.

87. Frank GK, Bailer UF, Henry SE, et al. Increased dopamine D2/D3 receptor binding after

recovery from anorexia nervosa measured by positron emission tomography and

[11C]raclopride. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2005;58(11):908–912.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.05.003. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.05.003.

88. Gauthier C, Hassler C, Mattar L, et al. Symptoms of depression and anxiety in anorexia

nervosa: Links with plasma tryptophan and serotonin metabolism. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*.

2014;39:170–178. https://www.clinicalkey.es/playcontent/1-s2.0-S0306453013003284. doi:

10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.09.009.

89. Frintrop L, Trinh S, Liesbrock J, et al. The reduction of astrocytes and brain volume loss in

anorexia nervosa—the impact of starvation and refeeding in a rodent model. *Translational*

psychiatry. 2019;9(1):159. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31164627. doi:

10.1038/s41398-019-0493-7.

90. Hurley MM, Collica SC, Aston SA, et al. Adolescent female rats prone to the activity based

anorexia (ABA) paradigm have altered hedonic responses and cortical astrocyte density

compared to resistant animals. *Appetite*. 2022;168:105666.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105666. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2021.105666.

91. Rae CD. A guide to the metabolic pathways and function of metabolites observed in human

brain 1H magnetic resonance spectra. *Neurochem Res*. 2014;39(1):1–36.

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11064-013-1199-5. doi: 10.1007/s11064-013-1199-5.

92. HAUSER G, FINELLI VN. The biosynthesis of free and phosphatide myo-inositol from

glucose by mammalian tissue slices. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 1963;238(10):3224–

3228. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14085365. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)48650-4.

93. Holub BJ. Metabolism and function of myo-inositol and inositol phospholipids. *Annual review*

of nutrition. 1986;6(1):563–597. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2425833. doi:

10.1146/annurev.nu.06.070186.003023.

94. Mühlau M, Gaser C, Ilg R, et al. Gray matter decrease of the anterior cingulate cortex in

anorexia nervosa. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 2007;164(12):1850–1857.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.06111861. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.06111861.

95. Maximo JO, Briend F, Armstrong WP, Kraguljac NV, Lahti AC. Salience network glutamate

and brain connectivity in medication-naïve first episode patients – A multimodal magnetic

resonance spectroscopy and resting state functional connectivity MRI study. *NeuroImage*

clinical. 2021;32:102845. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102845. doi:

10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102845.

96. Maximo JO, Briend F, Armstrong WP, Kraguljac NV, Lahti AC. Higher-order functional brain

networks and anterior cingulate glutamate + glutamine (glx) in antipsychotic-naïve first episode

psychosis patients. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2024;14(1):183.

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1038/s41398-024-02854-7. doi: 10.1038/s41398-024-02854-

7.

97. Fan S, Cath DC, van den Heuvel OA, et al. Abnormalities in metabolite concentrations in

tourette's disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder—A proton magnetic resonance

spectroscopy study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2017;77:211–217.

https://www.clinicalkey.es/playcontent/1-s2.0-S0306453016302311. doi:

10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.12.007.

98. Oot EN, Sawyer KS, Oscar-Berman M, Luhar RB, Jensen JE, Silveri MM. Anterior cingulate

metabolite levels, memory, and inhibitory control in abstinent men and women with alcohol use

disorder. *Alcohol and alcoholism (Oxford)*. 2023;58(6):578–588.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37738108. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agad059.

99. Rosso IM, Weiner MR, Crowley DJ, Silveri MM, Rauch SL, Jensen JE. Insula and anterior

cingulate GABA levels in posttraumatic stress disorder: Preliminary findings using magnetic

resonance spectroscopy. *Depress Anxiety*. 2014;31(2):115–23. doi: 10.1002/da.22155.

100. de Joode NT, Thorsen AL, Vester EL, et al. Longitudinal changes in neurometabolite

concentrations in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex after concentrated exposure therapy for

obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2022;299:344–352.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.12.014. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.12.014.

101. Persson J, Wall A, Weis J, et al. Inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmitter systems in

depressed and healthy: A positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance spectroscopy

study. *Psychiatry research. Neuroimaging*. 2021;315:111327.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2021.111327. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2021.111327.

102. Coughlin JM, Yang K, Marsman A, et al. A multimodal approach to studying the

relationship between peripheral glutathione, brain glutamate, and cognition in health and in

schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(7):3502–3511.

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1038/s41380-020-00901-5. doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-00901-

5.

103. Song Y, Hupfeld KE, Davies-Jenkins CW, et al. Brain glutathione and GABA+ levels in

autistic children. *bioRxiv : the preprint server for biology*. 2023.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37808813.

104. Doose A, Tam FI, Hellerhoff I, et al. Triangulating brain alterations in anorexia nervosa: A

multimodal investigation of magnetic resonance spectroscopy, morphometry and blood-based

biomarkers. *Translational psychiatry*. 2023;13(1):277.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37573444. doi: 10.1038/s41398-023-02580-6.

105. Mitchell JS, Anijärv TE, Levenstein JL, Hermens DF, Lagopoulos J. Excitatory and

inhibitory neurometabolites in anorexia nervosa: A systematic review of proton magnetic

resonance spectroscopy studies. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*. 2023;152:105279.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105279. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105279.

106. Wansapura JP, Holland SK, Dunn RS, Ball Jr WS. NMR relaxation times in the human

brain at 3.0 tesla. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 1999;9(4):531–538.

https://api.istex.fr/ark:/67375/WNG-FN9HBD25-0/fulltext.pdf. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1522-

2586(199904)9:43.0.CO;2-L.

107. Deelchand DK, McCarten JR, Hemmy LS, Auerbach EJ, Eberly LE, Marjańska M.

Changes in the intracellular microenvironment in the aging human brain. *Neurobiology of aging*.

2020;95:168–175. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.07.017. doi:

10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.07.017.

108. Marjańska M, McCarten JR, Hodges J, et al. Region-specific aging of the human brain as

evidenced by neurochemical profiles measured noninvasively in the posterior cingulate cortex

and the occipital lobe using 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 7 T. *Neuroscience*.

2017;354:168–177. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.04.035. doi:

10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.04.035.

109. Chrzan R, Tomaszuk M, Urbanik A. The influence of the menstrual cycle on the result of

brain examination with hydrogen magnetic resonance spectroscopy – a pilot study. *Neurologia i*

neurochirurgia polska. 2013;47(5):450–455. https://dx.doi.org/10.5114/ninp.2013.38224. doi:

10.5114/ninp.2013.38224.

851
852
853
854   **Author Contributions**: Author Contributions: Conceptualization (KRS, RAEE); Data collection
855   and curation (KRS, SG, YS); Formal analysis (CWD, KRS, YS); Funding acquisition (KRS);
856   Writing - original draft (KRS, YS); Writing - review & editing (AG, CWD, KRS, RAEE, SG, YS).
857   All authors have approved of the final article.
858
859   **Acknowledgements**: The authors would like to thank the research participants and MR
860   technicians Terri Brawner, Kathleen Kahl, and Ivana Kusevic for assistance with MR data
861   collection. A preprint of the manuscript was posted on bioRxiv.
862

863   **Funding**: Funding for this project was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health
864   K01MH127178, Dalio Philanthropies, R21HD100869, R01EB023963, R01EB032788, and
865   R01EB016089.
866
867   **Competing Interests:** Dr. Guarda reports receiving royalties from UpToDate. All other authors
868   report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.