Targeting aberrant fatty acid synthesis and storage in endocrine resistant breast cancer cells

Ashley V. Ward[1], Duncan Riley[1], Jessica Finlay-Schultz[1], Heather M. Brechbuhl[2], Kaitlyn B. Hill[3], Rohan R. Varshney[3], Peter Kabos[2], Michael C. Rudolph[3#], and Carol A. Sartorius[1#*]

[1] University of Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus; Department of Pathology; Aurora, CO, United States. (ashley.2.ward@cuanschutz.edu)

[2] University of Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus; School of Medicine; Department of Medical Oncology; Aurora, CO, United States.

[3] University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Harold Hamm Diabetes Center; Oklahoma City, OK, United States.

# these authors contributed equally

*Correspondence

Carol A Sartorius

Carol.Sartorius@cuanschutz.edu

Abstract

Background: Lipid metabolic reprogramming is an emerging characteristic of endocrine therapy (ET) resistance in estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) breast cancer. We explored changes in lipid metabolism in ER+ breast cancer cell lines following acquired resistance to common endocrine treatments and tested efficacy of an inhibitor in current clinical trials. ,

Methods: We derived ER+ breast cancer cell lines resistant to Tamoxifen (TamR), Fulvestrant (FulvR), and long-term estrogen withdrawal (EWD). Parental and ET resistant cells were subjected to global gene expression and unbiased lipidomic profiling. Lipid storage changes were assessed via neutral lipid staining with Oil Red O (ORO). The impact of the fatty acid synthase (FASN) inhibitor TVB-2640 on the growth and lipid storage of these cell lines was evaluated. Additionally, $^{13}C_2$-acetate tracing was used to examine FASN activity in parental and ET resistant cells in the absence or presence of TVB-2640.

Results: Compared to parental cells, lipid metabolism and processing pathways were notably enriched in ET resistant cells, which exhibited distinct lipidomes characterized by increased triglyceride and polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) species. ET-resistant cells displayed enhanced cytoplasmic lipid droplets. Increased FASN protein levels were observed in ET-resistant cells, and TVB-2640 effectively inhibited FASN activity. FASN inhibition reduced cell growth in some but not all cell lines and ET resistance types and did not correlate to lipid storage reduction. $^{13}C_2$-acetate tracing confirmed reduced palmitate synthesis and enhanced PUFA synthesis in ET-resistant cells, especially when combined with FulvR.

Conclusion: ET resistant breast cancer cells exhibit a shift towards enhanced triglyceride storage and complex lipids enriched with PUFA acyl chains. While targeting FASN alongside ET may not fully overcome ET resistance in our models, focusing on the unique lipid metabolic

dependencies, such as PUFA pathways, may present a promising alternative strategy for treating

ET resistant breast cancer.

Background

Overcoming resistance to endocrine therapies (ET) represents a pivotal challenge for

enhancing treatment outcomes in women with estrogen receptor-alpha (ER) positive breast

cancer, contributing to approximately half of all fatalities from the disease [1]. ET encompasses

three general classes of drugs: selective ER modulators (SERMS, e.g., Tamoxifen, (Tam)) that

disrupt binding of estrogens to ER, selective ER degraders (SERDs, e.g., Fulvestrant (Fulv)) that

cause immobilization and/or degradation of ER [2], and aromatase inhibitors that block

production of 17β-estradiol. Typically administered as adjuvant therapy over 5-10 years, ET

offers long-term disease stabilization or eradication for most patients. Unfortunately, acquired

ET resistance emerges in up to one third of women either during treatment or upon its

conclusion, often coinciding with distal metastasis [3]. At this time, several targeted therapies

may be integrated in combination with ET, including cyclin dependent kinases 4/6 inhibitors (i.e.

palbociclib), alpelisib for PIK3CA mutations, or the SERD elacestrant for tumors harboring ER

mutations [4, 5]. However, the development of acquired multidrug resistance is usually

inevitable. Consequently, an urgent need exists to identify new vulnerabilities in ET resistant

cells that can be effectively targeted in combination with endocrine drugs to mitigate the

recurrence risk or delay progression of recurrent disease.

ER+ breast cancers exhibit pronounced lipogenesis, utilizing de novo fatty acid (FA) synthesis despite the presence of abundant FAs in their microenvironment [6]. FAs originating de novo or from preformed sources facilitate synthesis of more complex lipids necessary for membrane expansion, provide fuel for rapid tumor growth, and act as signaling molecules. Central to this process is the upregulation of Sterol Regulatory Element-binding transcription factor 1 (SREBP1) by estrogens, which in turn boosts the expression of two vital de novo FA synthesis enzymes necessary in this pathway: acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and fatty-acid synthase (FASN) [7]. ACC catalyzes the conversion of acetyl-CoA into malonyl-CoA, and both precursors are needed for further catalysis by FASN to synthesize palmitate. Palmitate and further elongated FAs are subsequently combined with preformed FA taken up from the microenvironment by transporters, and together, they are subsequently incorporated into triglycerides and frequently stored as cytoplasmic lipid droplets (LD) in breast cancer cells [8-10]. This dual mode of FA synthesis and FA uptake underscores the complexity of targeting FA dependence in breast cancers, especially given the adaptability in the metabolic incorporation of these essential molecules that provide an advantage to cancer cells.

Recent studies have shown that lipid metabolic pathways undergo further alteration with the development of ET resistance, with a significant body of research centering on Tam, the longest approved breast cancer drug [11]. Hultsch et al. observed that Tam-resistant breast cancer cells had a significant increase in triglycerides and cholesterol esters, stored in large LDs and lysosomes, respectively [7, 8, 12, 13]. Moreover, ER+ invasive lobular carcinoma cells, resistant to long term estrogen deprivation, exhibited heightened activation of lipid metabolism that could be stratified into two distinct lipid pathways, SREPB1-activated FA synthesis or cholesterol metabolism [14]. Notably, MCF7 cells subjected to long-term estrogen deprivation experience an

uptick in cholesterol biosynthesis [15]. HER2-overexpressing MCF7 variants had elevated FASN

expression alongside an increased dependency on lipid biosynthesis [7].

While multiple potential targets within lipid metabolism are promising, FASN inhibition

has historically received the most attention. FASN levels are consistently higher in breast cancer

compared to normal cells and breast tumor vs normal tissue [16]. While early-generation FASN

inhibitors demonstrated potential efficacy for tumor growth restriction in preclinical breast

cancer models, their clinical translation was limited due to substantial toxicity observed in both

animal models and human trials [17]. However, a more recently developed selective and

reversible FASN inhibitor (TVB-2640) has shown promising results, including dose-dependent

efficacy in advanced solid tumors like HER2-positive breast cancers and has advanced to Phase

II clinical trials [18]. Beyond FASN, other targets within lipid metabolism are currently being

explored, such as inhibitors of fatty acid oxidation (FAO), fatty acid transport, and the synthesis

of monounsaturated fatty acids [19]. Given the possibility for compensation within lipid

metabolic pathways, therapeutic strategies targeting single enzymes are usually met with

metabolic adaptations, suggesting combinatorial approaches using established drugs might yield

stronger outcomes.

In this study, we analyzed the global RNA expression patterns and lipidome of breast

cancer cells exhibiting resistance to the three most common ET modalities. Our findings reveal

that while the FA composition of ET-resistant cells is distinct from each other and from their ET-

sensitive counterparts, they share an increased abundance of LD-storing triglycerides and

complex lipids containing polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) species. The FASN inhibitor TVB-2640

effectively blocked FASN activity, but variably impacted breast cancer growth. Moreover,

certain ET treatments enhanced PUFA levels when FASN was inhibited. These observations

continue to establish that ET resistant breast cancer cells develop a distinctive lipid metabolism

signature, while emphasizing the complexity of targeting dual FASN and ER activity. Crucially,

a reliance on desaturation pathways may represent a novel vulnerability in ET resistance,

warranting further investigation.

Materials and Methods

Generation of Endocrine Therapy Resistant Cell Lines

 T47D cells were maintained in minimal Eagle's medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine

serum (FBS), 1x non-essential amino acids (NEAA), 1 nM insulin, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate.

The derivation, propagation, generation, and characterization of breast cancer patient-derived

xenograft (PDX)- derived cell line, UCD4, was previously described [20]. UCD4 cells were

maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Media/F-12 (50:50) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100

ng/mL choleratoxin, and 1 nM insulin. Cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat

analysis and routinely tested for mycoplasma using the MycoAlert mycoplasma detection kit

(Lonza, #LT07-710).

T47D and UCD4 cells were exposed to escalating doses of ICI 182780 (Fulv, Faslodex) or 4-

hydroxytamoxifen (4OHT, Tam) over a 12-month period to establish endocrine resistance. The

starting dose for Fulv and Tam were 10 times lower than the effective dose for each compound, 1

nM and 10 nM respectively. Both compounds were dissolved in 100% EtOH to make stock

concentrations that resulted in the final cell culture dose being less than 10% EtOH. Fulv

resistant (FulvR) and Tam resistant (TamR) cells were maintained at established resistance

concentrations, 100 nM and 1 µM, respectively. For estrogen withdrawal, UCD4 cells (UCD4-

EWD), were cultured for 12-months in phenol-red free media supplemented with charcoal

stripped serum. T47D-EWD cells were derived from T47D cells by serial passage through mice

[21] in the absence of estrogen and are maintained in phenol red-free minimal Eagle's medium,

5% charcoal stripped FBS, 1x NEAA, 1 nM insulin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 2 mM L-

glutamine.

RNA-sequencing

Parental and resistant cells were plated in 6-well plates at $5x10^5$ (T47D) or $1x10^6$ (UCD4)

cells/well in normal media conditions with appropriate maintenance ICI or 4OHT drug

concentrations. RNA was isolated using QIAzol lysis reagent (Qiagen, #79306) and RNAeasy

Mini Kit (Qiagen, #74104) and treated with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen, #79254). Libraries were

prepared by the University of Colorado Genomics Shared Resource using Illumina TruSeq

Stranded mRNA Library Prep kit (Illumina, #20020595) and sequenced using paired end 50

cycles 2 x 50 on the NovaSEQ 6000 with >30 million reads per sample obtained. Paired-end 150

nucleotide reads were aligned to the human genome version GRCh38.p13 using STAR.

Downstream expression analysis was performed using Cufflinks. The resulting aligned files

(BAM) were then used to infer the differentially expressed gene profiles using DESeq2. Over

representation analysis (ORA) was performed using the Gene Ontology (GO) Cellular

Component gene set collection via WebGestalt [22].

Unbiased Lipid Mass Spectrometry

1×10^6 cells were plated in 6 cm plates in normal media conditions (N=4 per condition).

Maintenance ICI and 4OHT drug concentrations were added to resistant cell line plates.

Modified MTBE liquid-liquid extraction was used with a protein crash. The lipid fraction was

reconstituted in 100 µL Methanol. The aqueous fraction was reconstituted in 50 µL 5%

Acetonitrile in water. The lipid fraction was run in positive ionization mode on the Agilent 6560

IMMS-QTOF, in QTOF-only mode (Analytical column: Agilent Zorbax Rapid Resolution HD

(RRHD) SB-C18, 1.8 µm, 2.1x100 mm; column temp 60C; Gradient elution: 0–0.5 minutes 30–

70% B, 0.5–7.42 minutes 70–100% B, 7.42–9.9 minutes 100% B, 9.9–10.0 minutes 100–30% B,

10–14.6 minutes 30% B; Flow rate 0.6mL/min; Mobile phase A: water with 0.1% formic acid;

Mobile phase B: 60:36:4 isopropyl alcohol:acetonitrile:water with 0.1% formic acid) Spectral

peaks were extracted using Mass Hunter Profinder version B.08.00 using a Recursive Workflow

and area-to-height conversion.

The final spectral data were imported into Mass Profiler Professional (MPP) version 14.9

Filtered to be present in at least 2 out of the 16 samples. Annotation was performed using our in-

house Mass and Retention Time (MSRT) database, HMDB databases, Lipid Maps database,

KEGG databases, and a Metiln database. Metaboanalyst and LION were used to generate

significant pathways, based on an ANOVA annotated list of compounds. Only pathways with >2

compounds in the set were reported.

Targeted Lipid Mass Spectrometry

For $^{13}C_2$-acetate tracer studies, 4×10^5 cells were plated in 6 well plates in normal media

conditions (N=3 per condition). Maintenance Fulv and 4OHT drug concentrations were added to

resistant cell line plates. Cells were treated with 10 µM TVB-2640 or DMSO for 72 hr. After 24

hr of FASN inhibition or vehicle treatment, all wells were supplemented with 250 µM 13C-2

acetate for a 48 hr tracer incubation. Cells were harvested with trypsin, pelleted, and flash frozen

in liquid nitrogen.

Flash frozen cell pellets were lysed in 1ml 66% methanol (vol/vol) in 0.1M potassium phosphate

buffer, pH 6.8 by vigorously vortexing twice with 20 second pulses. Reserving 10ul from these

lysates, total cellular protein estimation was done using BCA assay (Thermo Fisher, #23225).

The remainder of the lysates were then transferred to 13x100mm borosilicate glass culture tubes

(Fisher Scientific, #14-961-27). Lysates were acidified with 10ul of 1N HCl (prewashed with

Hexanes) and vortexed briefly. Total lipids were extracted twice by adding 1mL of

isooctane:ethyl acetate 3:1 (vol/vol) and once with 1mL Hexanes; for each extraction, samples

were vortexed vigorously for 10-15 sec and centrifuged at 2000g for 1 minute to complete phase

separation. The upper organic layer from each extraction was combined into a new 13x100 mm

borosilicate glass tube. Extracted lipids were brought to dryness and resuspend in 300 ul 2,2,4-

Trimethylpentane (isooctane). For the non-esterified FA (NEFA) fraction, 100 ul of the

resuspended total lipids was transferred to a new borosilicate glass tube, mixed with (30 ng)

blended stable isotope internal standard, taken to dryness under gaseous N_2, and resuspended in

25ul of 1% pentafluorobenzyl bromide in acetonitrile (vol/vol), to which 25ul of 1%

diisopropylethylamine in acetonitrile (vol/vol) was added, and samples were incubated at room

temperature for 30 minutes. Pentafluorbenzyl-FA derivatives were taken to dryness under

gaseous N_2 and resuspended in 120ul hexanes of injection into the GC/MS. For the total fatty

acid fraction (TFA), 20ul of the original 300 uL total lipid extract was transferred to a separate

Teflon lined screw cap glass tube, mixed with (66.7 ng) blended stable isotope internal standard,

and taken to dryness under gaseous N_2. TFA samples were resuspended in 500 ul of 100%

ethanol to which 500 ul of 1M NaOH was added to saponify the TFA fraction at 90C for 30

minutes, followed by acidification using 550ul of 1M HCl. Saponified samples were then

extracted twice with 1.5ml of Hexanes, taken to dryness under gaseous N_2, and derivatized as

above. Derivatized TFA samples were resuspended in 267ul hexanes for injection into the

GC/MS. For $^{13}C_2$-acetate tracer samples, 50 ul of the resuspended total lipids was transferred to a

new Teflon lined screw cap glass tube, mixed with (66.7 ng) $^2D_{31}$-palmitate internal standard and

taken to dryness under gaseous N_2. Samples were saponified, extracted, and derivatized as

above. Derivatized samples were resuspended in 267ul hexanes for injection. For the NEFA, TFA

and tracer fractions, 1ul of pentafluorbenzyl-FA derivatives was injected and data were collected

on the GC-MS (8890 GC, 5977B MSD, Agilent) DB-1MS UI column (122-0112UI, Agilent)

with the following run program: 80C hold for 3 minutes, 30°C/minute ramp to 125°C, no hold,

25C ramp to 320C and hold for 2 minutes. The flow for the methane carrier gas was set at

1.5mL/minute. Data were acquired in full scan negative chemical ionization mode to identify

fatty acids of acyl chain length from 8 to 22 carbons. Peak areas of the analyte or of the standard

were measured, and the ratio of the area from the analyte-derived ion to that from the internal

standard was calculated [23]. $^{13}C_2$-acetate tracer incorporation into palmitic acid was analyzed in

two carbon increments from M+2 (257 m/z) to M+16 (271 m/z) relative to the quantitative $^2D_{31}$-

palmitate internal standard [24-26].

Oil Red O Staining

500 mg of Oil Red O (ORO) powder was dissolved in 10 mL of 100% propylene glycol (PEG).

While heating ORO/PEG solution in 95C bead bath 90 mL of 100% PEG was added to solution

in 10 mL increments with vigorous swirling in between additions. Once ORO/PEG solution

cooled to room temperature and before each use, solution was filtered using a Whatman #4 filter

paper. For 2D culture: 18mm glass coverslips were sterilized in 100% ethanol overnight and

placed in 6-well plates. Parental and ET resistant T47D or UCD4 cells were plated on glass

coverslips at 5×10^4 cells/well in normal media conditions with appropriate maintenance Fulv or

4-OHTam drug concentrations. Cells were cultured for 5 days at 37C and 5% CO_2 without

refreshing medium. After 5 days, cells on coverslips were washed three times with PBS and

fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 10 minutes. Formalin was aspirated followed with three more

washes of PBS. 1mL of 100% PEG was added per well for 2 minutes then aspirated to waste. 1

mL of ORO/PEG solution was added to each well and incubated for 15 minutes. ORO/PEG

solution was removed to waste, and wells were washed with 60% PEG for 2 minutes. Wells were

rinsed with DI H_2O three times and counterstained with 1mL diluted 1:50 Harris Hematoxylin

(Fisher Scientific, #SH30-4D) for 10 minutes. Hematoxylin was discarded and wells were

washed with DI H_2O until clear. Cells on coverslips were mounted on Fisherbrand™

ColorFrost™ Plus Microscope Slides (Fisher Scientific,#12-550-17) using tweezers and aqueous

mounting medium (Electron Microscopy Sciences, #17985-10). All slides were imaged at 20X

magnification (Olympus, BX40). Neutral lipid stain was quantified on ImageJ and normalized to

cell area (4 fields per condition).

Immunoblots

All cell lysates for immunoblotting were harvested in RIPA buffer. 100 mg total protein per

sample were separated on 7.5% SDS-PAGE gels. Primary antibodies were as follows: FASN

(rabbit #3180, Cell Signaling Technologies, 1:1000), ACC1(rabbit #3676, Cell Signaling

Technologies, 1:1000), or β-actin (mouse A5441, Sigma, 1:2000). Secondary antibodies were

IRDye800CW goat-anti-mouse IgG (926-32210, LiCor Biosciences) and IRDye 680LT goat-

anti-rabbit IgG (926-68021, Li-Cor Biosciences) both at 1:5,000. Immunoblots were imaged and

analyzed with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System and Image Studio Lite (LiCor Biosciences).

Cell proliferation

Real-time imaging (IncuCyte, Sartorius, Ann Arbor, MI) was used to measure proliferation of

cells at × 10 magnification. UCD4 cells were plated at 15,000 cells/well, T47D cells at 20,000

cells/well, sextuplicate samples in 96-well plates. For treatments, cells were given vehicle, 10

µM TVB-2640 plus 1 µM 4OH-Tam, or 100 nM Fulv on day one. Cells were counted under

phase contrast immediately after treatment and subsequently taken every 4 h for 6 days. Counts

were calculated as fold change over time zero. Significance was assessed by two-way repeated

measures ANOVA for growth curves and t-tests for individual time points.

Statistical Analyses. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 10. Data were

plotted as means ± SEM. Two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Asterisks

denote levels of statistical significance as determined by one or two-way ANOVA with Tukey's

or Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, unpaired two-tailed t-test, one-sided Fisher's exact test,

log- rank Mantel-Cox test, Wilcoxon rank sum test, or Chi-squared test for trend. Specific tests

used are indicated in the figure legends. All experiments were performed in minimum triplicate

biological replicates unless noted otherwise.

 Results

Lipid metabolic pathways are upregulated with ET resistance

ET resistant variants of T47D and UCD4 cells were generated by escalating the dose of

4-hydroxytamoxifen (1 μM, TamR), or Fulvestrant (100 nM, FulvR), or by placing cells in

estrogen withdrawal/deprivation media (EWD, phenol red free, dextran charcoal stripped), for 6

months. We assessed transcriptional changes that associated with ET resistance by conducting

RNA-sequencing on UCD4 parental and ET resistant (EWD, TamR, FulvR) cell lines. Principle

component analysis of the UCD4 cells indicates that EWD, TamR, and FulvR have broad

differences in their gene expression that make them distinct from each other (**Figure 1a**).

Differentially expressed genes (DEG; $\geq$ 2-fold change, FDR<0.05) were calculated within each

of the UCD4 ET resistant groups relative to the parental line, identifying 918, 1,293, and 1,042

total DEG in the EWD, TamR, and FulvR vs parental lines respectively (**Figure 1b**). In common

among the DEG lists across UCD4 ET resistant lines were 467 genes, while 92 were shared

between EWD and FulvR, 347 were shared between FulvR and TamR, and 150 DEGs were

shared between EWD and TamR (**Figure 1c**). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis for the Biological

Processes category calculated the top 10 significantly enriched terms associated with each DEG

list (**Figure 1d**), which identified lipid metabolism and lipid associated processes as a common

feature among the three ET-resistant cell lines. ET resistant cell lines had reduced proliferation

rates compared to the parental lines (**Figure S1a-b**). ER expression is lost in T47D-EWD and

reduced in FulvR but not TamR cells, while UCD4 ET resistant cell lines all retained ER

expression (**Figure S1c**).

Figure 1: Lipid metabolic pathways are upregulated in endocrine resistant breast cancer cells.

a) Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of UCD4 parental and ET resistant (TamR, FulvR, EWD) cell RNA-sequencing data. Triplicate samples.

b) Heatmaps of significantly upregulated and downregulated genes between UCD4 parental and ET resistant cell samples. A fold change (FC) cutoff of 2.0 and False discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.05 was used.

c) Venn diagram of overlapping significantly altered transcripts of UCD4 ET resistant versus parental cells.

d) Over representation analysis plots of UCD4 ET resistant cell gene enrichment analysis using Gene Ontology (GO) Biological processes gene sets. Top 10 significantly upregulated pathways indicated.

The global lipidome is altered in ET resistant breast cancer cells

Unbiased lipid mass spectrometry was used to identify changes associated with ET

resistance in both the T47D and UCD4 parental lines, as well as the derived EWD, TamR, and

FulvR cell lines. Much like the gene expression profiling, PCA analysis of lipidome data indicate

samples distinctly cluster according to parental or ET resistant type (**Figure 2a-b**). Using the

publicly available metabolomics analysis tool Metaboanalyst, we found the top 25 significantly

different analytes between ET resistant variant and its parental sample, including various

phospholipid (PE, PC, PI, PA), glycerolipid (TG, DG), and cholesterol species (**Figure 2c-d**). To

identify differential lipids that are in common or unique among ET resistant lines, we compared

all significantly altered analytes from each ET resistant compared to parental cell lines (**Figure**

2e-f). T47D ET resistant samples shared 321 overlapping analytes while there were 128

overlapping analytes in UCD4 ET resistant cell lines. We then characterized the overlapping

analytes by lipid class and found that most altered lipid species with ET resistance in both T47D

and UCD4 cells were phosphatidylcholine (14% and 26.7%), phosphatidylethanolamine (12.4%

and 15.6%), and triglyceride (12.8% and 18.8%) species (**Figure 2g-h**).

Figure 2: The global lipidome is shifted in endocrine resistant breast cancer cells.

a-b) Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of T47D (a) and UCD4 (b) parental and ET resistant cell samples profiled by lipidomics.

c-d) Heatmap of top 25 significantly altered lipid analytes between T47D (a) and UCD4 (b) parental and ET resistant cell samples by ANOVA analysis using Metaboanalyst.

e-f) Significantly altered lipid analytes from T47D (e) and UCD4 (f) parental cells compared among the ET resistant cells.

g-h) Pie charts of shared significantly altered lipid analytes compared to parental cells across all ET resistant T47D or UCD4 cells.

To evaluate the potential function of the observed global lipidome changes, we used

Lipid Ontology (LION), a publicly available resource that groups analytes within lipidomic data

sets by lipid class and function [27]. LION-PCA heatmap analyses on T47D and UCD4 ET

resistant compared to parental cells clustered significant analytes into lipid signatures (**Figure**

S2a-b). The lipid signature containing neutral storage lipids was the most consistently

upregulated among ET resistant sublines (2/3) in both T47D and UCD4 cell lines (**Figure 3a-b**).

Lipid categories including PUFAs and FAs with double bonds were also upregulated in some ET

resistant cell lines suggestive of a trend in ET resistance. Overall, these analyses identify a

significant alteration in the global lipidome associated with ET resistance.

Figure 3: ET resistant breast cancer cells increase cytoplasmic lipid storage.
a-b) Lipid storage LION-signature by hierarchical clustering. LION-PCA heatmap analysis of
UCD4 (a) and T47D (b) parental and ET resistant cell lipidomics data. Heatmap colors (from
yellow to red) indicate the mean z-score. Names and IDs of the selected terms are displayed on
the right. Samples are sorted by sample group.
c) Oil Red O staining of T47D and UCD4 parental and ET resistant cells. 5×10^4 cells were
plated on glass coverslips in normal media conditions (n=4 each). Positive control cells were
treated with 10nM promegestone (R5) for 5 days. Cells were formalin fixed, stained with ORO,
counterstained with diluted hematoxylin, mounted on slides, and imaged by light microscopy at
40X.
d) Quantification of ORO staining. Images were quantified by ImageJ software (4 fields per
condition). One-way ANOVA statistical comparison was used to compare ORO staining in ET
resistant to parental cells. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.0001; ****P<0.0001.

Lipid storage is enhanced during endocrine resistance

Since triglycerides were increased in most T47D and UCD4 ET resistant cell lines and lipid storage was a feature identified by LION analyses, we conducted Oil Red O (ORO) neutral lipid staining on our collection of cell lines to visualize intracellular lipids (**Figure 3c**). A synthetic progestin (R5020) was used as a positive control for inducing LD formation [8]. Both T47D and UCD4 parental cell lines displayed basal positive staining for lipid storage which was visibly enhanced with R5020 treatment. All ET resistant cells with the exception of T47D-EWD showed significantly increased LD staining compared to parental controls (**Figure 3d**). T47D-EWD cells are unique in that they transition to a basal-like phenotype and lose expression of ER and progesterone receptor, PR [28]. To test whether T47D-EWD compared to other ET resistant cells were using FAO, a Seahorse Palmitate Oxidation FAO assay was run across all cell lines (**Figure S3**). No significant use of FAO was detected in T47D or UCD4 Par or ET resistant cells.

FASN catalysis is not required for ET resistant breast cancer cell proliferation

Enhanced lipid storage can be indicative of increased FA abundance either through the *de novo* biosynthesis pathway and/or through FA uptake. We assessed levels of the two rate limiting enzymes in de novo FA synthesis, FASN and ACC1, by immunoblot (**Figure 4a & S4**). FASN expression was elevated or unchanged in ET resistant compared to parental cell lines, except for UCD4-TamR where FASN levels decreased. ACC1 was also elevated or unchanged in ET resistant cell lines compared to parental. Additionally, we confirmed there were no significant changes in ACC1 phosphorylation between parental and ET resistant cells, suggesting ACC1 is maintained in an active state during ET resistance (**Figure S4**). To assess FASN activity, we used

$^{13}C_2$-acetate stable isotope tracing and lipid mass spectrometry to quantify the amount of isotope

incorporated palmitate (C16), the primary product of FASN, in T47D-Par, Par plus R5020,

TamR, and FulvR cells (**Figure 4b**) in the absence or presence of the FASN inhibitor TVB-2640.

R5020 treated parental (positive control), TamR, and FulvR cells compared to vehicle treated

parental cells had significantly higher isotope incorporation, suggesting ET resistance elevates

FASN enzymatic activity. Addition of TVB-2640 ablated isotope incorporation into palmitate in

both T47D-Par, Par plus R5020, -TamR and -FulvR cells, validating its potency at FASN

enzymatic inhibition.

To test whether FASN inhibition affected lipid accumulation, we used ORO staining in

parental and ET resistant cells plus or minus TVB-2640. Treatment with TVB-2640 was

sufficient to block progestin-induced LD formation in T47D and UCD4 parental cells (**Figure**

4c-d). Mixed results were observed between ET resistant cells. Within T47D cell lines, TVB-

2640 reduced LDs in T47D-TamR cells, and increased their abundance in T47D-FulvR cells and

LD deficient T47D-EWD cells. TVB-2640 was sufficient to reduce LDs in all ET resistant

UCD4 cell lines, and increased their levels in UCD4-Par cells.

Figure 4: FASN activity is elevated in ET resistant breast cancer cells and drives altered lipid morphology.

a) Immunoblot analysis of FASN and ACC1 from parental and ET resistant T47D and UCD4 cells. Bands were normalized to β-actin and fold change over parental is indicated.

b) Quantification of $^{13}C_2$ acetate tracer incorporated into palmitate from T47D parental and ET resistant cells. Cells were treated with veh (DMSO) or 10uM TVB-2640 for 72 hrs.

c-d) ORO staining and quantification of T47D(c) and UCD4 (d) parental and ET resistant cells with or without TVB-2640 treatment. 15 x 10^3 parental and ET resistant cells were plated on glass coverslips in their normal media conditions (in the presence of drugs where appropriate). Cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or TVB-2640 (10uM) for 5 days. Positive control cells were treated with 10nM promegestone (R5) plus/minus 10 μM TVB-2640 for 5 days. Cells were formalin fixed, stained with ORO and diluted hematoxylin, mounted on slides, and imaged using an Olympus BX40 microscope. Scale bars, μm. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.0001; ****P<0.0001.

Since FASN activity could be effectively ablated with TVB-2640, we assessed the impact

of the inhibitor on the proliferation of ET resistant cells. Parental and ET resistant cells were

treated with TVB-2640 at the equivalent dose to tracing studies (10 μM) and monitored using

Incucyte live cell analysis (**Figure 5**). T47D and UCD4 Par and ET resistant variants showed

minimal differences in proliferation between vehicle and TVB-2640 treated cells at 72 h, the

timepoint used for tracing studies (**Figure 5a-b**). However, by 6 days post-treatment T47D cells

showed significant >1.5 fold change reduction in proliferation. UCD4 cell proliferation was not

significantly impacted by TVB-2640 across 6 days of treatment (**Figure 5c-d**). To assess whether

higher doses could have more potency on cell growth, we performed IC50 analyses in T47D and

UCD4 parental and ET resistant cells (**Figure S5**). T47D cells had IC50 values between 9-18

mM. UCD4 cell lines did not achieve efficient cytotoxicity at the highest solvent dose and IC50

ranges could not be determined. Thus, the FASN inhibitor TVB-2640 effectively reduced FASN

activity, had a variable impact on LD storage; these parameters did not correlate, however, with

significant growth reduction.

Figure 5: FASN inhibition is not sufficient to target ET resistance.
a-b) 72 hr timepoint percent confluence fold change (FC) bar graphs of T47D (a) and UCD4 (b)
parental and ET resistant cells treated with 10uM TVB-2640 or vehicle (Veh). FC is indicated
where significant by t-test comparing treatment to veh; *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ****P<0.0001.
c-d) Proliferation growth curves of T47D (c) and UCD4 (d) parental and ET resistant cells
treated with 10uM TVB2640. Fold change (FC) from timepoint 0 was calculated using Incucyte.
Two-way ANOVA significance was calculated and is indicated for timepoints with ≥1.5 FC.
***P<0.0001; ****P<0.0001.

ET resistance increases lipids with unsaturated acyl chains

LION enrichment analysis implicated higher abundance of lipids with increased

desaturation (**Figure S2**). This was particularly notable in T47D ET resistant cells, with the top

10 altered LION pathways including complex lipids with FAs containing > 3 double bonds

(**Figure 6a**). To assess this in more detail, lipids detected by lipidomics were stratified by degree

of unsaturation (number of double bonds) (**Figure 6b**). Both T47D and UCD4 ET resistant

compared to parental cells have a higher level of complex lipids with 9 or more double bonds,

with T47D cells showing a larger change. The dataset was further queried to determine which

lipid classes harbored PUFA acyl chains. Most lipid species with >6 double bonds aligned to

triglycerides and phospholipids suggesting lipids with PUFA acyl chains are distributed between

the membrane and/or sequestered in LDs (**Figure 6c**).

To further investigate PUFA abundance in ET resistant compared to parental cells, we

analyzed their levels in T47D parental and ET resistant cells from tracing studies, where acyl

chains were chemically liberated. Long chain (LC)-PUFAs, including arachidonic acid (AA),

eicosapentaeonic acid (EPA), and docosahexanoic acid (DHA), increased in T47D-TamR and

FulvR compared to parental cells (**Figure 6d**). Interestingly, FASN inhibition with TVB-2640

increased LC-PUFAs specifically in T47D-FulvR cells, which have increased lipid accumulation

with drug treatment (**Figure 4c-d**). We further assessed levels of n-6 (including AA) and n-3

PUFAs (including EPA, DHA) PUFAs (**Figure 6e-f**). Both n-6 and n-3 PUFAs were elevated in

T47D-TamR and -FulvR compared to parental cells. Again, TVB-2640 treatment increased n-3

and n-6 PUFAs in T47D-FulvR cells. No differences in LC, n-6 or n-3, PUFAs were observed

with progestin (R5020) treatment in the absence or presence of TVB-2640, suggesting elevated

PUFA synthesis is a feature specific to ET resistant breast cancer cells. Together these data

demonstrate that LC-PUFAs are specifically increased in ET resistant compared to parental cells

implicating desaturase activity as an alternate lipid metabolism target in drug resistant breast

cancer.

Figure 6: Endocrine resistant breast cancer cells enhance lipids with unsaturated acyl chains.

a) LION enrichment plots of T47D ET resistant versus parental cell lipidomics.

b) Graphs of cumulative lipid analytes from T47D (left) and UCD4 (right) ET resistant and parental cells by degree of lipid saturation (6 or more double bonds). One-way ANOVA compared to parental; *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.0001; ****P<0.0001.

c) Pie charts of lipids containing polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) with 6 or more double bonds by lipid class.

d-f) Total 2-13C-acetate tracer incorporated long chain (e), n6 (f), and n3 (g) PUFAs in T47D ET resistant and parental cells treated with veh (DMSO) or FASN inhibitor (10 uM TVB2640, 72 hr). One-way ANOVA comparing ET resistant to parental T47D cells plus/minus vehicle (Veh) or TVB-2640 FASN inhibitor (FASNi). T-test comparing each treatment plus/minus FASN inhibitor. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.0001; ****P<0.0001.

Discussion

Lipid metabolic reprogramming is a hallmark of breast cancer and evidence supports this differs among the breast cancer subtypes [29]. In this study, we focused on aberrant lipid metabolism in ER+HER2− breast cancer during acquisition of ET resistance (**Figure 7**). We identified that breast cancer cells resistant to several forms of ET versus their sensitive counterparts commonly increase lipid storage and their lipidomes contain more PUFAs. Our data indicate that ET resistant cells increase both de novo FA synthesis and processing of exogenous FAs into LC-PUFAs. Here we speculate that breast cancer cells adapt to chronic endocrine disruption by activating multimodal FA synthesis and processing pathways and that targeting synthesis alone is unlikely to be effective in ER+ ET resistant breast cancer.

LD accumulation is considered a common feature of aggressive cancers although their precise role in tumor progression is unknown [30]. LDs are organelle-like structures that are synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum and mainly composed of neutral lipid triglycerides and cholesterol esters. These LDs can supply triglycerides for energy reserves, membrane synthesis, and signaling. One proposed novel role for LDs in aggressive cancers is the sequestration of drugs. Schlaepfer et al demonstrated that progestins increase LDs in breast cancer cells and that these LDs can sequester taxanes [8]. We speculate that LDs may also sequester Tam and Fulv in ET resistant cells as they are fat soluble molecules. TamR breast cancer cells have previously been observed to increase LDs [13]. Our studies demonstrate that resistance to Tam, Fulv, and EWD are all accompanied by exacerbated lipid storage. Thus, increased LD production may be a common stress adaptation to chronic endocrine disruption. One exception is T47D-EWD cells which have a notable decrease in LDs. These cells are unique in that they lose expression of ER

and PR and transition to a basal TNBC phenotype [21]. TNBC cells are relatively more reliant on

FAO [31]. Ahn et al demonstrated that TamR cells have an increased FAO gene signature

associated with TNBC [32]. Our studies utilizing Seahorse FAO assays did not detect increased

FAO in any of our ET resistant cells including T47D-EWD. FASN inhibition had a variable

impact on LD abundance in ET resistant cells, implicating that these structures have more

complex roles in FA metabolism and drug resistance.

It is well recognized that breast cancer versus normal cells increase FASN driven de novo

FA synthesis [6]. FASN is a long-studied lipid metabolism target as it is universally increased in

breast carcinoma cells and linked to worse prognosis [16, 33, 34]. Most preclinical studies have

found FASN blockade synergizes with chemotherapy drugs [17]. However, investigation of

FASN inhibition in the context of ET resistance is limited. TVB compounds are reversible

imidazopyridine-derived inhibitors of FASN. Since ET resistant cells have elevated FASN levels

in tandem with increased lipid storage, we tested how the new generation FASN inhibitor TVB-

2640 impacted ET resistant cells. While TVB-2640 effectively decreases FASN activity

demonstrated by lack of palmitate synthesis, it had a variable effect on LD abundance in treated

cells. In particular,T47D-EWD and -FulvR cells increased LDs with FASN blockade. In T47D-

FulvR cells we noted FASN inhibition increases PUFAs. This suggests that some cells may react

to blockade of de novo synthesis by uptaking essential FAs and processing them into LC-PUFAs.

FASN blockade reduced the growth of all T47D cell lines at late (5-6 days) but not early (3 days)

time points, indicating a delayed effect, and one that does not coincide with LDs. TVB-2640

treatment reduced LDs in all UCD4 ET resistant cells. Interestingly, growth of UCD4 cells was

unaffected by TVB-2640, suggesting cells are not reliant on FASN activity and LDs for their

drug resistance. LDs alone are therefore not a reliable read-out of FASN activity. Both the Phase

I trial of TVB-2640 and the ongoing Phase II trial (prioritizing advanced HER2+ breast cancers)

are in combination with chemotherapy (NCT03179904). Malonyl carnitine, a metabolite of

malonyl-CoA, increased in the serum of patients taking TVB-2640, demonstrating in vivo target

efficiency [18]. The efficacy of TVB drugs in combination with ET, despite effective FASN

blockade, may have other off target activities. For example, Gruslova et al demonstrated that the

closely related FASN inhibitor TVB-3166 decreased growth of TamR MCF7 cells potentially

through degradation of ER [12]. Our data insinuate that blocking de novo FA synthesis in ET

resistant breast cancer cells may not be sufficient to improve treatment due to well recognized

lipid metabolic flexibilities. A recent study found that inhibiting ACC1 to block malonyl-coA

synthesis could resensitize long term estrogen deprived breast cancer cells in some contexts,

prospectively by blocking peroxisome mediated LC-FA oxidation (REF)..

Our experiments uncovered that ET resistant versus parental cells contain a higher

content of lipids with increased degrees of unsaturation, particularly PUFAs with greater than

nine double bonds. Interestingly, in T47D-FulvR cells, PUFAs increased further upon FASN

inhibition. PUFAs provide building blocks for membrane expansion and their synthesis provides

energy in the form of NADPH for other metabolic reactions. However, excessive storage of

PUFAs leaves cells vulnerable to lipid peroxidation, which can trigger cell death through

processes such as ferroptosis [35]. The enzymes that catalyze the generation of double carbon

bonds in FAs are the FA desaturases (FADS). The main FADS in humans include FADS5,

otherwise known as Stearoyl-CoA Desaturase (SCD), which produces monounsaturated FAs

from C16 and C18 FAs, and FADS1, FADS2, that produce n-6 and n-3 PUFAs from the essential

C18 FAs linolenic acid and α-linolenic acid, respectively [36]. While most studies have focused

on inhibiting SCD, several recent studies have focused on blocking other FADS in cancer. Vriens

et al identified that by inhibiting SCD in breast cancer cells (not ET resistant), FADS2

compensates by producing the alternative FA sapienate, and FADS2 inhibition could reverse this

process [33]. Thus, disrupting PUFA synthesis by FADS could be particularly damaging to ET

resistant cells. We observed other lipid metabolic changes in ET resistant cells such as altered

membrane lipid content that could also reveal vulnerabilities in ET resistance and will require

further investigation.

557
558
Figure 7: Overview of upregulated lipid biology in ET resistance.
ACC1: acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1; ELOVL: Fatty Acid Elongase; FABP: fatty acid binding
protein; FADS: fatty acid desaturase; FA: fatty acid; FASN: fatty acid synthase; LC-PUFA: long-
chain poly unsaturated fatty acid.

Conclusions

We demonstrate that breast cancer cells resistant to ET have increased FASN activity, PUFAs, and lipid storage. Targeting de novo synthesis in the presence of ET drugs selectively impacted cell growth and did not correlate with LD reduction, suggesting ET resistant breast cancer cells may evade FASN inhibition through other lipid metabolic pathways including exogenous uptake. This prospectively includes the uptake of essential PUFAs that undergo further elongation and desaturation events and may present a unique vulnerability for targeting desaturation in ET resistant breast cancer cells.

Abbreviations

ACC: Acetyl CoA carboxylase

ER: Estrogen Receptor alpha

ET: Endocrine therapy

EWD: Estrogen withdrawal

FA: Fatty acid

FADS2: Fatty Acid Desaturase 2

FASN: Fatty acid synthase

FAO: Fatty acid oxidation

Fulv: Fulvestrant

FulvR: Fulvestrant resistant

PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acid

LC-PUFA: Long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid

LD: Lipid droplet

ORO: Oil Red O

PR: Progesterone receptor

SERD: Selective estrogen receptor modulator degrader?

SERM: Selective estrogen receptor degrader modulator?

SREBP1: sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor 1

Tam: Tamoxifen

TamR: Tamoxifen resistant

TNBC: Triple negative breast cancer

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal procedures were approved by the Colorado Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee.

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Colorado School of Pharmacy Mass Spectrometry Facility for their

consultation and services. We thank the University of Colorado Cancer Center Genomics, Cell

Technologies, and Pathology Shared Resources supported by P30CA046934.

Author contributions

A.V.W, P.K., M.C.R., and C.A.S. designed the studies. R.R.V., K.B.H., and M.C.R. conducted

lipidomic and tracing studies. A.V.W. and D.R. conducted cell culture based experiments. A.V.W,

D.R., R.R.V., M.C.R. and C.A.S. conducted all data analysis. A.V.W. and C.A.S. drafted

manuscript. D.R., J.F.S, H.M.B., R.R.V., P.K., and M.C.R. reviewed and edited manuscript.

Project was supervised and funded by M.C.R. and C.A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the

published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute grants R01CA140985 (C.A.S.) and

1F31CA261053 (A.V.W.), the Breast Cancer Research Foundation 23-144 (C.A.S.), the Cancer

League of Colorado (C.A.S, A.V.W.)

Availability of data and materials

The raw RNA-sequencing data is available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO

Accession XXX). The unique cell lines are available to qualified individuals for research

purposes through formal request, MTA agreement, and appropriate fee.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References
634
1.   Siegel, R.L., et al., *Cancer statistics, 2022.* CA Cancer J Clin, 2022. **72**(1): p. 7-33.

2.   Guan, J., et al., *Therapeutic Ligands Antagonize Estrogen Receptor Function by*

Impairing Its Mobility. Cell, 2019. **178**(4): p. 949-963 e18.

3.   Pan, H., et al., *20-Year Risks of Breast-Cancer Recurrence after Stopping Endocrine*

Therapy at 5 Years. N Engl J Med, 2017. **377**(19): p. 1836-1846.

4.   McAndrew, N.P. and R.S. Finn, *Clinical Review on the Management of Hormone*

Receptor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. JCO Oncol Pract, 2022. **18**(5): p. 319-327.

5.   Varella, L. and M. Cristofanilli, *Evaluating Elacestrant in the Management of ER-*

Positive, HER2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer: Evidence to Date. Onco Targets Ther,

2023. **16**: p. 189-196.

6.   Menendez, J.A. and R. Lupu, *Fatty acid synthase and the lipogenic phenotype in cancer*

pathogenesis. Nat Rev Cancer, 2007. **7**(10): p. 763-77.

7.   Menendez, J.A., et al., *Fatty Acid Synthase Confers Tamoxifen Resistance to*

ER+/HER2+ Breast Cancer. Cancers (Basel), 2021. **13**(5).

8.   Schlaepfer, I.R., et al., *Progestin modulates the lipid profile and sensitivity of breast*

cancer cells to docetaxel. Mol Cell Endocrinol, 2012. **363**(1-2): p. 111-21.

9.   Lopes-Coelho, F., S. Gouveia-Fernandes, and J. Serpa, *Metabolic cooperation between*

cancer and non-cancerous stromal cells is pivotal in cancer progression. Tumour Biol,

2018. **40**(2): p. 1010428318756203.

10.   Blask, D.E., et al., *Circadian stage-dependent inhibition of human breast cancer*

metabolism and growth by the nocturnal melatonin signal: consequences of its disruption

by light at night in rats and women. Integr Cancer Ther, 2009. **8**(4): p. 347-53.

11.   Jordan, V.C., *Tamoxifen as the first targeted long-term adjuvant therapy for breast*

cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer, 2014. **21**(3): p. R235-46.

12.   Gruslova, A., et al., *FASN inhibition as a potential treatment for endocrine-resistant*

breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2021. **187**(2): p. 375-386.

13.   Hultsch, S., et al., *Association of tamoxifen resistance and lipid reprogramming in breast*

cancer. BMC Cancer, 2018. **18**(1): p. 850.

14.   Du, T., et al., *Key regulators of lipid metabolism drive endocrine resistance in invasive*

lobular breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res, 2018. **20**(1): p. 106.

15.   Simigdala, N., et al., *Cholesterol biosynthesis pathway as a novel mechanism of*

resistance to estrogen deprivation in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Breast

Cancer Res, 2016. **18**(1): p. 58.

16.   Alò, P.L., et al., *Immunohistochemical expression of human erythrocyte glucose*

transporter and fatty acid synthase in infiltrating breast carcinomas and adjacent

typical/atypical hyperplastic or normal breast tissue. Am J Clin Pathol, 2001. **116**(1): p.

129-34.

17.   Menendez, J.A. and R. Lupu, *Fatty acid synthase (FASN) as a therapeutic target in breast*

cancer. Expert Opin Ther Targets, 2017. **21**(11): p. 1001-1016.

18.   Falchook, G., et al., *First-in-human study of the safety, pharmacokinetics, and*

pharmacodynamics of first-in-class fatty acid synthase inhibitor TVB-2640 alone and

with a taxane in advanced tumors. EClinicalMedicine, 2021. **34**: p. 100797.

19.   Koundouros, N. and G. Poulogiannis, *Reprogramming of fatty acid metabolism in cancer.*

Br J Cancer, 2020. **122**(1): p. 4-22.

20.   Finlay-Schultz, J., et al., *New generation breast cancer cell lines developed from patient-*
680   *derived xenografts.* Breast Cancer Res, 2020. **22**(1): p. 68.

681   21.   Haughian, J.M., et al., *Maintenance of hormone responsiveness in luminal breast cancers*
682   *by suppression of Notch.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2012. **109**(8): p. 2742-7.

683   22.   Gendoo, D.M., et al., *Genefu: an R/Bioconductor package for computation of gene*
684   *expression-based signatures in breast cancer.* Bioinformatics, 2016. **32**(7): p. 1097-9.

685   23.   Varshney, R., et al., *Neonatal intake of Omega-3 fatty acids enhances lipid oxidation in*
686   *adipocyte precursors.* iScience, 2023. **26**(1): p. 105750.

687   24.   Rudolph, M.C., et al., *Mammalian fatty acid synthase activity from crude tissue lysates*
688   *tracing (1)(3)C-labeled substrates using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.* Anal
689   Biochem, 2012. **428**(2): p. 158-66.

690   25.   Rudolph, M.C., et al., *Thyroid hormone responsive protein Spot14 enhances catalysis of*
691   *fatty acid synthase in lactating mammary epithelium.* J Lipid Res, 2014. **55**(6): p. 1052-
692   1065.

693   26.   Rudolph, M.C., et al., *Mammalian fatty acid synthase activity from crude tissue lysates*
694   *tracing 13C-labeled substrates using gas chromatography mass spectrometry.* Analytical
695   Biochemistry, 2012. **428**(2): p. 158-166.

696   27.   Molenaar, M.R., et al., *LION/web: a web-based ontology enrichment tool for lipidomic*
697   *data analysis.* GigaScience, 2019. **8**(6).

698   28.   Roshanzamir, F., et al., *Metastatic triple negative breast cancer adapts its metabolism to*
699   *destination tissues while retaining key metabolic signatures.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,
700   2022. **119**(35): p. e2205456119.

29. Monaco, M.E., *Fatty acid metabolism in breast cancer subtypes.* Oncotarget, 2017. **8**(17):

p. 29487-29500.

30. Beloribi-Djefaflia, S., S. Vasseur, and F. Guillaumond, *Lipid metabolic reprogramming in*

cancer cells. Oncogenesis, 2016. **5**(1): p. e189.

31. Camarda, R., et al., *Inhibition of fatty acid oxidation as a therapy for MYC-*

overexpressing triple-negative breast cancer. Nat Med, 2016. **22**(4): p. 427-32.

32. Ahn, S., et al., *Metabolomic Rewiring Promotes Endocrine Therapy Resistance in Breast*

Cancer. Cancer Res, 2024. **84**(2): p. 291-304.

33. Vriens, K., et al., *Evidence for an alternative fatty acid desaturation pathway increasing*

cancer plasticity. Nature, 2019. **566**(7744): p. 403-406.

34. Alò, P.L., et al., *Fatty acid synthase (FAS) predictive strength in poorly differentiated*

early breast carcinomas. Tumori, 1999. **85**(1): p. 35-40.

35. Bobiński, R., et al., *Ferroptosis, Acyl Starvation, and Breast Cancer.* Mol Pharmacol,

2023. **103**(3): p. 132-144.

36. Lee, J.M., et al., *Fatty Acid Desaturases, Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid Regulation, and*

Biotechnological Advances. Nutrients, 2016. **8**(1).