Distinct waves of ovarian follicles contribute to mouse oocyte production

Qi Yin[1] and Allan C. Spradling[1,*]

[1]Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Biosphere Sciences, Carnegie

Institution for Science, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

[*] corresponding author and lead contact: spradling@carnegiescience.edu

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

ABSTRACT

The earliest growing mouse follicles, wave 1, rapidly develop in the ovarian medulla, while the great majority, wave 2, are stored for later use as resting primordial follicles in the cortex. Wave 1 follicles are known to mostly undergo atresia, a fate sometimes associated with the persistence of steroidogenic theca cells, but this connection is poorly understood. We characterized wave 1 follicle biology using tissue clearing, lineage tracing and scRNAseq to clarify their contributions to offspring and to steroidogenesis. Wave 1 follicles lineage marked by E16.5 *FoxL2* expression in granulosa cells, reach preantral stages containing theca cell layers by 2 weeks. Atresia begins about a week later, during which 80-100% of wave 1 follicles degrade their oocytes, turn over most granulosa cells, but retain theca cells which expand in number together with interstitial gland cells in the medulla. During puberty (5 weeks), these cells ultrastructurally resemble steroidogenic cells and highly express androgen biosynthetic genes. Unexpectedly, the *FoxL2* lineage tag also marked about 400 primordial follicles, located near the medullar-cortical boundary, that become the earliest-activated wave 2 follicles. These "boundary" or "wave 1.5" follicles generate 70-100% of the earliest mature oocytes, while fewer than 26 wave 1 follicles with oocytes survive. Consistent with their largely distinct fates in steroid or oocyte production, granulosa cells of antral wave 1 and wave 2 follicles differentially express multiple genes, including *Wnt4* and *Igfbp5*.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

We studied the ovaries of juvenile mice 2-6 weeks (wk) of age using transcriptomics and cell lineage to characterize the earliest developing groups of follicles. Wave 1 follicles begin growing at birth in the ovarian medulla, but few produce mature oocytes. Instead, most undergo partial atresia and expand steroidogenic cells expressing androgenic genes at puberty. A newly identified primordial follicle subset located at the medulla-cortex boundary are the earliest to activate and grow. Their oocytes give rise to most early offspring, while no more than a few derive from surviving wave 1 follicles. Our results highlight the importance of spatially localized follicle subgroups and show that follicular waves aid reproduction in distinct ways, some of which involve substantial atresia and cellular remodeling.

INTRODUCTION

Gametes often begin development in subgroups known as "waves" that arise at specific times and gonadal locations (Hirshfield, 1992; Hirshfield and DeSanti, 1995; Gougeon, 1996; Jiménez, 2009; DeFalco and Capel, 2009; Zheng et al., 2014a, b). In mice, the earliest developing follicles, a small group known as "wave 1", become growing primary follicles in the ovarian medulla by the time of birth without entering dormancy as primordial follicles. The remaining ~90%, "wave 2", enter quiescence before postnatal day 5 (P5) by forming primordial follicles within the ovarian cortex to support lifelong fertility (reviews: Edson et al., 2009; McKey et al., 2022) (Figure 1A). In the medulla, wave 1 follicles retain early arising bipotential granulosa cells (BPGs), which express *Foxl2* and *Nr5a2* beginning during early fetal development. In contrast, wave 2 follicles in the cortex acquire epithelial granulosa cells (EPGs), express *Foxl2* only after birth, and most express little *Nr5a2* as primordial follicles (Mork et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2014a; Niu and Spradling, 2020; Meinsohn et al., 2021a). However, a small subset of non-growing primordial follicles was identified that did express *Nr5a2* and were proposed to be primed for activation (Meinsohn et al., 2021b).

Once primordial follicles are signaled to grow, their granulosa cells (GCs) start to proliferate and soon form multiple GC layers surrounding the oocyte (Habara et al., 2021). Granulosa cells in secondary follicles send signals encoded by Desert hedgehog (*Dhh*) and Indian hedgehog (*Ihh*) that attract ovarian mesodermal precursors to form outer theca cell layers on follicles and to synthesize steroid hormones (Liu et al., 2015). Additionally, ovarian medullary precursors generate thecal fibroblastic cells and perivascular smooth muscle cells that vascularize the thecal region and enable such follicles to collectively serve as a major systemic steroid hormone source (Guzmán et al., 2023). An ovarian cell type closely related to theca cells,

interstitial gland cells, also coordinately form in mice and many other mammals (Jiménez, 2009; Miyabayashi et al., 2015). *Nr5a2* promotes theca cell vascularization that is essential for ovulation (Duggavathi et al., 2008; Bianco et al., 2019; Guzmán et al., 2022). Two classes of steroid hormone-producing Leydig cells, fetal and adult, also arise during testis development (Miyabayashi et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016).

Follicular cells synthesize specific steroid hormones during their development (Edson et al., 2009; Richards et al., 2017; Niu and Spradling, 2020; Meinsohn et al., 2021a). Thecal steroidogenic cells of late secondary and preantral follicles convert cholesterol to androgens through a series of enzymatic reactions involving steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (StAR), cytochrome P450 family 11 subfamily A member 1 (CYP11A1), hydroxy-delta-5-steroid dehydrogenase, 3 beta- and steroid delta-isomerase 1 (HSD3B1), cytochrome P450 family 17 subfamily A member 1 (CYP17A1), and steroid 5 alpha-reductase 1 (SRD5A1). *Cyp17a1-iCre* (*Cyp17a1* promoter driving codon-improved *Cre*) has been developed and used to label theca cells, the interstitial gland, and some granulosa cells (Bridges et al., 2008). Ovarian androgen production by theca cells during juvenile development is essential for normal maturation and puberty onset (Galas et al., 2012; Walters et al., 2019; Kelava et al., 2022). In addition, thecal androgens diffuse into neighboring GCs, where they are converted into estrogen by the action of hydroxysteroid 17-beta dehydrogenase 1 (HSD17B1) and cytochrome P450 family 19 subfamily A member 1 (CYP19A1). Estrogen produced in this manner signals the hypothalamus to initiate gonadotropin production and is essential for subsequent follicular maturation. After ovulation, the oocyte-free follicle is further modified into a corpus luteum, and its remaining granulosa cells and theca cells undergo luteinization to generate progesterone.

The development and function of wave 1 follicles has remained controversial. Most wave

1 follicles undergo atresia after reaching early antral stages (Byskov et al., 1974; Erickson et al.,

1985) during juvenile development (Figure 1B). This suggested they do not primarily serve a

direct reproductive function (Hirshfield, 1992; Hsueh et al., 1994; Eppig and Handel, 2012),

although these follicles are capable of developing into functional oocytes following

superovulation (Lamas et al., 2021) and could support fertility in an irradiated rat model (Guigon

et al., 2003). Theca cells of atretic follicles often survive and persist in the ovary (Erickson et al.,

1985; Magoffin, 2005), suggesting a function in steroid production. However, when *Foxl2-*

CreERT2 was used to lineage label the granulosa cells of wave 1 follicles, marked follicles

substantially contributed to offspring during the initial months of fertility (Zheng et al., 2014b).

Here we studied wave 1 follicle development and gene expression in detail to gain deeper

insights into follicular waves. We found that lineage labeling using *Foxl2-CreERT2* activated in

early fetal stages is not specific for wave 1 follicles. Granulosa cells in about 400 primordial

follicles are also marked near the medulla-cortex boundary in 2week old mice, hence we termed

them "boundary" or "wave 1.5" follicles. Marked boundary follicles produce more than 70% of

early fertile oocytes, while no more than 26 intact wave 1 follicles survive to puberty. Boundary

follicles probably correspond to the resting primordial follicle population expressing *Nr5a2*

described by Meinsohn et al. (2021a, b). Thus, most of the *FoxL2-CreERT2* labeled follicles

contributing to offspring observed by Zheng et al. 2014a likely derived from boundary follicles

rather than wave 1 follicles.

Ovarian developmental and gene expression studies during 2-6 wks provided molecular

insight into gamete waves and follicular atresia. Wave 1 follicular oocytes and granulosa cells

mostly turn over beginning at about 3 wk. However, their theca cells increase in number and join

interstitial gland cells to form by puberty a mass of active steroidogenic medullar cells that retain

morphological evidence of their origin from atretic follicles. These steroidogenic cells highly

express androgenic genes when granulosa cells are still reduced in number by atresia. The

granulosa cell gene expression in developing wave 2 follicles also shows differences from that of

wave 1 follicles, indicating that the distinct developmental fates of wave 1 and wave 2 follicles

may depend on intrinsic gene expression differences in addition to differing levels of

gonadotropins.

RESULTS

Wave 1 follicle development

Advances that allow tissue clearing (Faire et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2017; Fiorentino et al.,

2020; McKey et al., 2022), now make it possible to image an entire mouse ovary without

sectioning. To better understand follicle waves, we employed whole mount staining combined

with clearing-enhanced 3D (Ce3D) method to analyze wild type C57BL/6J ovaries at the cellular

level (Li et al., 2017). Multiple ovaries were reconstructed during weeks (wk) 1-5 of

development (Table S1). In C57BL/6J mice, puberty typically occurs between 4 and 5 wk of age,

but the first ovulation, which signals sexual maturity, doesn't take place until 7 wk (Figure 1B)

(Hogan et al., 1994; Nelson et al., 1990; Zhou et al., 2007).

These studies allowed the number of wave 1 and wave 2 follicles to be accurately

determined. Wave 1 follicles begin to grow into primary follicles around the time of birth (Figure

S1A, B), as confirmed by gene expression analysis (Niu and Spradling, 2020). Following whole-

ovary imaging using a confocal microscope, the samples were analyzed using Imaris software.

Since nearly all oocytes are enclosed by granulosa cells by P5, it becomes feasible to accurately

quantify the number of follicles. At P5 and P10, we counted approximately 3,822 and 3,304 total

follicles, respectively (Figure 1C, D), and 278 and 293 wave 1 follicles (Figure 1E, F). Despite

variations in total follicle numbers among samples of the same age, the number of wave 1

follicles remained relatively consistent.

By 2 wk, wave 1 follicles in the medulla had developed into secondary follicles

containing 2-4 layers of granulosa cells and 1–2 layers of theca cells (Figure S1C). At 3 wk,

some follicles further developed into antral follicles with multiple granulosa cell layers, within

which granulosa cell apoptosis was observed (Figure S1D). Using the Imaris software surface

modeling, we quantified oocyte volume from 1 wk to 3 wk and observed a significant increase

during this period (Figure 1G, H).

Wave 1 follicles undergo partial atresia with theca cell survival

Starting around 3 wk, rather than continuing to develop, wave 1 follicles begin to

undergo atresia (Table S1; Supplemental Movies). We investigated the kinetics, cell specificity

and completeness of cellular turnover from 3 wk to 5 wk. Atretic follicles initially showed oocyte

distortion followed by loss, initially leaving behind disorganized granulosa within the basement

membrane but without affecting the theca cell layers (Figure 1I). Atretic follicles progressively

decreased in size and developed a cavity beneath the granulosa layer where the oocyte had

previously resided. Oocyte loss from wave 1 follicles increased significantly between 3 and 4

wk, exceeding 80% by 5 wk. (Figure 1J). Electron microscopy revealed that during atresia, as

oocytes degenerate, microvilli from both oocytes and granulosa cells retract from the zona

pellucida (ZP). The shrinking of the cavity is marked by folding of the ZP, and in atretic follicles,

remnants of the ZP appear to prevent further collapse of the cavity structure (Figure S2A). ZP

remnants in the follicular cavity have previously been used as a morphological indicator of

atretic follicles (Myers et al., 2004). We confirmed that these events also occur in the DBA/2J

strain, where atretic follicles are also first observed around 3 wk. By 7 wk, corpora lutea from

ovulated oocytes and cavities left behind by wave 1 oocyte loss were evident (Figure S2B). Thus,

by combining whole mount staining with Ce3D clearing method, we found that the great

majority of wave 1 follicles lose their oocytes prior to puberty and do not contribute to early

ovulation.

209   The loss of oocytes from most wave 1 follicles is not simply due to atresia of follicles

whose oocytes are defective, as superovulation at these stages can rescue many of them (Lamas

et al., 2021). Etymologically, atresia originates from the Greek "a-" (meaning "no") and "tresis"

(meaning "perforation"), referring to the absence or closure of an opening, or cavity. Atresia is

sometimes used simply to describe the complete turnover of follicles, which was not observed

for wave 1 follicles. We prefer the terms 'partial atresia' or 'remodeled follicles' to emphasize the

potential function of those structures that remain (Hsueh et al., 1994).

216   To analyze the cell specificity of wave 1 partial atresia, we exploited *Foxl2-CreERT2*

mouse line and *R26R-LSL-EYFP* reporter line to selectively lineage label GCs associated with

wave 1 follicles (Figure 2A). Similar genetic models have previously been used to study wave 1

follicle development and the duration of their fertility contribution based on tissue sections

(Mork et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2014a). Following brief administration of Tamoxifen (TAM) at

embryonic day 16.5 (E16.5), we observed a distinct labeling pattern along the medulla–cortex

boundary. Most wave 1 follicles in the medulla showed mosaic EYFP-labeling of GCs at 2 wk

(due to the incomplete efficiency of cre-lox recombination) while GCs in the largely cortical

wave two follicles remained unlabeled (Figure 2B, C). On average, 201 preantral wave 1

derivatives—representing approximately 90% of wave 1 follicles—exhibited mosaic labeling in

granulosa cells (Figure 2E, F). By 5 wk, after extensive wave 1 atresia and remodeling, an average of only 26 antral follicles containing healthy oocytes and EYFP-mosaic GC layers were observed, approximately 70% of all antral follicles (Figure 2D-F).

By comparing the number of labeled GCs in healthy follicles at 3 wk with those in remodeled follicles at 5 wk, we found that remodeled follicles lost not only oocytes but also 94% of their GCs (Figure 2G). The remaining GCs were located adjacent to the cavity left by the oocyte and approximately 80% of remodeled follicles stilled contained labeled GCs (Figure 2H). To ask whether these remnant GCs remained active, lineage labeling was carried out at 5 wk and analyzed one week later. The results showed that many GCs in remodeled follicles still remain intact adjacent to holes left by oocytes and express *Foxl2* (Figure 2I). The turnover of wave 1 follicles was also observed using a different reporter mouse line *R26R-LSL-tdTomato* (Figure S3A-C).

Identification of an early activating subpopulation of primordial follicles

Because only about 26 normal-appearing wave 1 follicles with oocytes survived even to 5 wk, which seemed too few to support the months of early fertility ascribed to wave 1 by previous reports (Zheng et al., 2014a), we looked for follicles other than wave 1 that might be also labeled by *Foxl2* expression. We observed that *Foxl2-CreERT2* activated by TAM injection at E16.5 in 2 wk juvenile ovaries also labels a subset of primordial follicles located near the medulla-cortex boundary (Figures 3A). A mean of 421 labeled "boundary" follicles mosaic for 1-4 EYFP-positive GCs were identified among 5,843 total follicles (7%) (Figure 3B). Because only 1-4 GCs where labeled from among an average of 8 GCs (Chen et al., 2022), some additional boundary follicles were likely missed for stochastic reasons due to the low efficiency of cre-lox

recombination. Applying the Poisson distribution based on the relative proportion of follicles

with 1 or 2 labeled GCs, suggested that 90% of boundary follicles were detected.

Because of the biological interest of this subgroup of primordial follicles, we repeated

activation of *Foxl2-CreERT2* at E16.5 in a separate experiment with a different reporter line,

R26R-LSL-tdTomato. The results confirmed the existence and properties of boundary follicles

(Figure S3A-D). Boundary follicles also identified following TAM injection at E14.5 although at

a lower frequency (Figure 3C). Thus, the existence of this subclass was confirmed in multiple

experiments.

Primordial follicles begin to activate after 2wk (Zheng et al. 2014a). If boundary follicles

behave like other primordial follicles, we would expect subsequently only 7% of recently

activated wave 2 growing follicles would show EYFP mosaic GCs at later times. Instead, we

found that among secondary follicles at 5 wk, nearly 70% contained EYFP-positive GCs (Figure

3D), indicating that boundary follicles activate at the time of the earliest known primordial

follicles, and rise from 7% of quiescent to >70% of early activating follicles imply they comprise

most of the earliest activated primordial follicles. Moreover, they correspond in location and

properties to the inactive but poised primordial follicles described by Meinsohn et al. (2021a, b).

Partial atresia of wave 1 follicles does not affect theca cells which expand in number

We then used lineage labeling to investigate the fate of wave 1 theca cells after the onset

of atresia. *Cyp17a1* is an essential gene involved in steroidogenesis and is expressed in the

steroidogenic theca cells (STCs) and interstitial gland cells (IGCs). We validated that theca cells

strongly express the steroid biosynthetic enzyme CYP17A1 from 3 wk to 5 wk using

immunofluorescent staining (Figure S4A). To label STCs, we crossed the *Cyp17a1-icre*

transgenic mouse line with the *R26R-LSL-tdTomato* reporter line (Figure 4A). In 2 wk ovaries,

tdTomato expression was observed in theca cells sheathing large medullar follicles (Figure 4B;

arrowhead). Additional cells intertwined with theca cells, which appear to be IGCs (Figure 4B;

boxed region), were visualized using Imaris and pseudo-colored using the surface model to

highlight their structure (Figure 4C). A relatively small number of GCs also become labeled

initially (Figure S4B, arrowheads), possibly because GC precursor cells briefly express *Cyp17a1*

during embryonic stages (Mawaribuchi et al., 2014).

277   Between 2 wk to 5 wk, the number of tdTomato-labeled cells associated with nuclear

cavities dramatically increases as wave 1 follicle atresia and remodeling proceeds to involve

more such follicles (Figure 4D, E). Because over 90% of GCs turn over as part of wave 1

remodeling (Figure 2G), the tdTomato-positive cells associated with remodeled wave 1 follicles

by 5 wk mostly represent abundant STCs and IGCs. Oil red O staining documented abundant

lipid droplet accumulation in these cells from 2 wk to 5 wk (Figure 4F, G). Antibody staining

revealed abundant expression of the steroidogenic enzyme HSD3B1 and the lipid storage protein

PLIN1 in these cells, which are located in the medulla (Figure 4H). Electron microscopy

confirmed that expanded wave 1 follicular cells display distinctive lipid droplets and

mitochondria characteristic of STCs and IGCs (Figure 4I, S4C). Using Imaris software surface

model, we reconstructed labeled STCs and IGCs throughout the entire ovary. By 5 wk, atretic

wave 1 follicles had generated a cellular cluster within the medulla that was dominated by

expanded numbers of steroidogenic cells (Figure 4J). This structure persists within the ovary at

least until 7 wk (Figure S4D).

Mapping cell transcription during wave 1 remodeling

292   To gain further insight into wave 1 follicle development and remodeling, we analyzed

gene expression in single cells from ovaries every wk from wk2 to wk6, covering pre- and peri-

pubertal stages (Figure 5A; Supplemental Datasets S1-S6). A total of 31 initial clusters (c0–c30)

were identified, with nearly all samples contributing to each cluster (Figure 5B). Nine major cell

groups were identified (Figure 5C), based on their expression of marker genes (Figure 5D) (Niu

and Spradling, 2020; Morris et al., 2022). These comprised 10 granulosa cell clusters, 8 stromal

cell clusters, as well as theca cells, hematopoietic cells, endothelial cells, pericytes, smooth

muscle cells, and epithelial cells. Four clusters with low UMIs (c6, c11, c15, and c29) were

excluded from subsequent analysis (Figure S5A). Additionally, cluster c14 was removed due to

potential doublets, as it overlapped with several other clusters; however, we cautiously named it

'to be determined' (Figure S5B). Notably, all known ovarian somatic cell types were identified

within one or more clusters, but germ cells probably were too rare in these juvenile ovaries to

form a cluster, although ovarian germ cells have been extensively studied at earlier times by

these methods (Niu and Spradling, 2022) or similar stages using different methods (Morris et al.,

2022; Isola et al., 2024).

The initial clustering further validated the picture of wave 1 development obtained from

lineage studies. Cluster c1 expressed wave 1 BPG granulosa cell markers like *Nr5a2*, *Hsd3b1*,

and *Inha*, *Inhba* and increased 4-fold in cell number between wk2-wk4, before falling at wk 5 as

wave 1 granulosa cells turn over (Supplemental Dataset S6). Cluster c16 highly expressed theca

cell steroidogenic genes such as *Cyp11a1*, *Cy17a1*, and *Plin1*. The number of c16 cells peaked at

puberty (wk5). The outer "theca externa" is enriched in angiogenic cells, blood vessels, and

fibroblastic cells. Clusters corresponding to endothelial cells expressing *Flt1* (c22) and blood

cells expressing *Laptm5* (c23), increased nearly 4-fold between wk3 and wk5, consistent with

such vascularization.

Analyzing GC gene expression

We re-clustered the 10 initial GC clusters in Seurat, yielding 18 new clusters gc0-gc17

(Figure 6A) and classified them into groups corresponding to their likely association with

primordial (gc11), primary (gc3, gc8), secondary (gc1, gc4, gc9), antral (gc2, gc7, gc10, gc13),

and remodeling (gc0, gc6) follicles, as well as mitotically cycling cells(gc5, gc12, gc14, gc15,

gc16, and gc17) (Figure 6B, C). GCs from all follicle stages were identified across all samples

(Figure S5C). Single cell pseudotime trajectory analysis using Monocle3 identified distinct

developmental paths from primordial follicles to either antral follicles or remodeled follicles

(Figure 6D). Once primordial follicles reached the secondary stage, some progressed along path

1 toward antral follicles, while others followed path 2 toward remodeled follicles.

We identified up to 250 top differentially expressed genes for each group (Supplemental

Dataset 5) and carried out further analysis (Metascape: Zhou et al. 2019). The development and

remodeling of wave 1 follicles, and of boundary follicles giving rise to early wave 2 follicles is

summarized in Figure 7.

Comparing gene expression in wave 1 vs wave 2 follicle development

Wave 1 and wave 2 follicles begin to differ in gene expression early in fetal development,

as BPGs and EPGs express different genes as early as E14.5 and diverge further by P5 (Niu and

Spradling, 2020). To investigate whether gene expression differences at follicle formation persist

and to look for additional wave-specific gene expression, we examined GC clusters gc2, gc7,

gc10 and gc13 at 2wk, comprising all GC clusters scored as in antral follicles (Figure 5A, C). As

illustrated in Figure 7, only wave 1 antral follicles are present in significant numbers at wk2 and

wk3, prior to widespread wave 1 remodeling. In contrast, because of extensive wave 1 granulosa

cell turnover, by wk 5 nearly all these same antral follicle clusters come from developing

boundary follicles. Consequently, we compared gene expression levels during these two-time

intervals for all four antral granulosa cell clusters.

The nuclear receptor *Nr5a2*, is preferentially expressed 7.8-fold higher at P5 in BPGs

within growing wave 1 follicles compared to EPGs in growth-arrested wave 2 follicles (Niu and

Spradling, 2020). Similarly, *Nr5a2* expression is 11-fold higher in all wk2 antral follicle cell

clusters compared to primordial follicle (gc11) granulosa cells. This difference reflects the early

activation of wave 1, as *Nr5a2* turns on in all wave 2 GCs as they begin to grow (Meinsohn et al.

2019). We observed that *Nr5a2* expression increases in primary and secondary follicles and

reaches similar levels in wave 1 and wave 2 antral follicles (ratio = 1.02+/- 0.23). Comparable

expression ratios were likewise seen between wave 1 and wave 2 antral granulosa cells for other

genes that were BPG/wave 1-preferential at P5, including *Dhh* (1.04+/- 0.41), *Hsd17b1* (0.61+/-

0.14), *Ptgis* (1.08+/- 0.26), *Prlr* (1.8+/- 0.80), *Fshr* (0.89+/- 0.21) and *Igf1* (0.86+/- 0.10).

However, some genes continued to be differentially expressed between wave 1 and wave

2 follicles at the same stage of development. Wave 1 wk2 antral GCs express *Wnt4* at much

higher levels (4.8 +/- 1.0) compared to Wave 2 antral CGs at either wk 5 (0.17 +/- 0.069) or wk 6

(0.14 +/- 0.070). Other genes expressed more highly in wave 1 than wave 2 antral GCs are

Igfbp5 (ratio: 27 +/- 10); *Itga6* (ratio: 6.28 +/- 0.97) and *Inha* (ratio: 1.52 +/- 0.29). Genes

expressed at lower levels in wave 1 than wave 2 include: *Cald1* (ratio: 0.20 +/- 0.089), *Ghr*

(ratio: 0.16 +/- 0.027), *Grb14* (ratio: 0.23 +/- 0.092), and *Mmp11* (ratio: 0.46 +/- 0.23). Thus,

gene expression is not entirely equivalent in wave 1 and wave 2 follicles at corresponding stages,

as has often been assumed.

Clusters gc0 and gc6 showed indications they derived from remodeling wave 1 follicle.

For example, the number of gc0 and gc6 cells is low at 2 and 3 wk before substantial atresia, but

jumps 9.8-fold at wk 4 (Supplemental Dataset 6). Preferentially expressed genes in gc0 and gc6

include *Cyp1b1*, *Atf3*, *Cxcl1*, *Bmp2*, *Apoe* and *Sox4*. Metascape analysis identified vasculature

development (-7.7; -4.0) cell cycle (-6.7; -9.2), and positive regulation of programmed cell death

(-4.1; nd), where the numbers indicate (q values log10 for gc0; gc6). These findings suggest

genes and pathways that GCs on remodeling wave 1 follicles use to stimulate vasculature

development, and ultimately to promote GC population turnover during wk4.

Analyzing mesenchymal and steroidogenic gene expression

We also re-clustered the mesenchymal cells, including theca cells and stromal cells,

yielding 21 new clusters to pursue a higher resolution picture of theca expansion (Figure 6E).

The re-clustered mesenchymal cells fell into nine groups, late-expanding TCs (g9), early TCs (g2

and g11), *Tagln*-expressing fibroblasts (g1, g8, g14 and g17), *Col14a1*-expressing fibroblasts

(g10 and g19), *Cd24a*-expressing fibroblasts (g4), *Cxcl12*-expressing fibroblasts (g3, g5 and

g20), *Hoxd9*-expressing fibroblasts (g0), *Col11a1*-expressing fibroblasts (g6 and g7) and mitotic

cells expressing *Mki67* (g12, g13, g15 and g18) (Figure 6F, S5D). The expression of genes in

cluster16 is hard to be determined still and be named in TBD. Theca cells in g9 highly expressed

steroidogenic genes such as *Star*, *Cyp11a1*, *Hsd3b1*, and *Cyp17a1* throughout wk2-wk6 and

peaked in expression and cell number at w5. In contrast, TCs in cluster g11 exhibited relatively

low levels of steroidogenic enzymes and stable cell numbers except at wk 5 where levels greatly

increased to reach 20-50% of g9 levels. Both groups expressed LH receptor (*Lhcgr*) and

prostaglandin E synthase 2 (*Ptges2*), previously implicated in TC androgen production (Erikson

et al. 1985), but Lhcgr levels in g11 started lower and increased more dramatically at wk 5 than

in g9.

Theca cells are not genetically capable of converting their androgen products into

estrogen and usually rely on exporting them to granulosa cells for this purpose (Edstrom et al.

2007). *Star*, a crucial gene responsible for transporting cholesterol from the cytoplasm into

mitochondria, is essential for steroidogenesis. The percentage of STCs expressing *Star* increased

from approximately 50% to over 80% at wk 5 (Figure 6G). Our findings demonstrate that STCs

increase in number and acquire a full complement of androgen biosynthetic enzymes during peri-

puberty. In contrast, GCs expressing *Hsd3b1*, *Cyp19a1*, and *Hsd17a1* indicating the potential to

synthesize estrogen peaked at wk 6 (Figure 6H), consistent with estrogen levels lagging

androgen levels during puberty (Galas et al. 2012; Trova et al. 2021).

DISCUSSION

Wave 1 follicular remodeling generates a cluster of androgen-producing cells in the juvenile mouse ovary

Our work characterizes in detail the development of the first wave of mouse ovarian

follicles at the cellular and gene expression levels. In agreement with earlier studies (Hirshfield

and DeSanti, 1995; Gougeon, 1996; McGee and Hsueh, 2000; Eppig and Handel, 2012), 80-

100% of these follicles turn over rather than generating fertilizable oocytes. We document the

cellular and genetic changes within each major follicular cell type and detail the complex events

that ultimately produce a large cluster of amplified steroidogenic theca cells and interstitial gland

cells along with a residue of granulosa cells within the ovarian medulla by 5 wk. This process

was previously termed wave 1 follicle atresia and was known to be associated with increased

steroidogenesis and vascularization (Magoffin, 2005). Our analysis characterizes the cellular and

molecular programs in each wave 1 follicular cell type that cause them to turn over, remodel,

407 change in number, associate with new mesenchymal cells and to modulate their gene expression

408 to produce steroid hormones from the ovary in a timely fashion during juvenile development.

409 Our findings show that wave 1 follicles play a role in steroid production during juvenile

410 development, especially at the puberty.

411 **Wave 1 follicles give rise to few mature oocytes that generate offspring**

412 Boundary follicles as well as wave 1 follicles were labeled in our *Foxl2* lineage tracing

413 experiments, and in early experiments of Zheng et al. 2014a. Boundary primordial follicles

414 activate as early as 2 wk and produce most of the first follicles to develop fully, ovulate and

415 generate offspring (Figure 3). It remains possible that a small number of wave 1 follicles, ~26 or

416 fewer, do develop to maturity. However, their contribution of wave 1 to fertility is minor at most.

417 Thus, early activated wave 2 follicles at the medulla-cortex boundary rather than wave 1 follicles

418 are largely responsible for early fertility. Most non-boundary wave 2 follicles only begin to

419 activate between puberty and sexual maturity, possibly in concert with the onset of pulsatile

420 hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis activity.

421 **Wave 1 follicles may be a conserved feature in other animals including humans**

422 The developing ovaries of many species produce follicles that do not complete oogenesis

423 and ovulate (Jiménez, 2009; DeFalco and Capel, 2009). For example, an early wave of germ

424 cells in *C. elegans* hermaphrodites develop into sperm, while during adult life only oocytes are

425 generated (Pazdernik and Schedl, 2013). An initial wave of germ cells in the mouse testis

426 develop during juvenile stages but break back down into individual cells, possibly to expand the

427 stem cell pool (Lei and Spradling, 2013). Primordial germ cells in Drosophila pupal ovaries

428 develop directly into the first ovulated oocytes, while all subsequent oocytes derive from

429 germline stem cells that provide lifelong fertility (Zhu and Xie, 2003).

Human follicles begin developing, as in mouse, long before there is any prospect of

ovulation. A fraction of primordial human follicles start to form during fetal stages, and 4-6%

have progressed to the primary follicle stage prior to birth (Forabosco and Sforza, 2007*).* Such

early developing follicles have no prospect of surviving 8 to 13 more years until the onset of

puberty when they might contribute to fertility. Juvenile human ovaries 3 mo to 8 yr in age also

contained 2-13% of small growing follicles that had diverged from a normal developmental

pattern. 50-60% of large follicles showed signs of atresia including loss of oocytes and most

granulosa cells, but retention of some theca cells (Himelstein-Braw et al., 1976). Currently, there

is little understanding of the developmental mechanisms or logic underlying human fetal and

juvenile follicle production. Early follicles in humans might undergo atresia and remodeling to

enhance production of androgens or other steroid hormones to influence organ and brain

development (Cui et al., 2013; Bell, 2018). These studies on the development of mouse wave 1

follicles and their component cell types should aid in understanding early follicular waves in

many species.

Identification of the earliest activating wave 2 follicles at the medullary-cortex boundary

A long-standing question in mammalian reproduction has been the activation order of

quiescent wave 2 primordial follicles during the life cycle (McGee and Hsueh, 2000). In

addition to over 250 wave 1 follicles in the medulla that begin rapid growth at birth, we

identified more than 400 wave 2 primordial follicles near the medullar-cortical boundary whose

GCs in 2 wk ovaries are also labeled in a mosaic fashion with *Foxl2-CreERT2* is activated at

E16.5 or even earlier. Boundary follicles appear to correspond largely or entirely the primordial

follicle subclass poised for early activation and located at the medulla-cortical boundary

(Meinsohn et al. 2021a, b). Our data show that his substantial class of early primordial follicles

do begin developing shortly after 2 wk, giving them sufficient time to become secondary and

antral follicles at 5 wk. It remains possible that a small number of wave 1 follicles, ~26 or fewer,

do develop to maturity. However, it seems clear that the great majority of early progeny derive

from boundary or other early developing wave 2 follicles, rather than wave 1 follicles that escape

atresia.

Mouse follicle waves express distinct genes

We found that differences in gene expression between wave 1 and wave 2 granulosa cells

exist and may contribute or even control the different fates of mouse follicular waves.

Previously, gene expression differences had been mapped beginning as early E14.5, and many

were characterized between E16.5 to P5 (Niu and Spradling, 2020). They suggested that wave 1

follicles in the medulla differentially express several genes involved in steroid hormone

production, while wave 2 granulosa cells in the cortex express genes that might predispose

follicles to enter quiescence. This study extends knowledge of differences in gene expression

within follicular waves during juvenile development up to 6 wk. Wave 1 follicles express much

higher levels of *Wnt4* and *Igfbp5* than wave 2 follicles. Wnt4 has been genetically linked in

humans to Mullerian dysgenesis and hyperandrogenism (Choussein et al. 2017) perhaps

reflecting its known roles in promoting female vs male development. High *Wnt4* expression in

wave 1 granulosa cells may indicate that *Wnt4*-mediated downregulation of androgen production

at 2 wk becomes reduced by granulosa cell degradation, promoting the subsequent upregulation

of androgen levels at puberty. *Igfbp5*, the most highly conserved of the Igfbp's, is also a potent

regulator of female reproductive tissue (Schneider et al. 2002). Thus, while changing levels of

gonadotrophins in young juvenile mice likely play a role in wave 1 atresia (Edson et al., 2009),

intrinsic differences in gene expression within different follicular waves at the same stage exist

and have the potential to be important.

The timing of follicular activation begins to be specified early in ovarian development

Finding that a subset of primordial follicles located near the medulla-cortex border

comprise many of the earliest activated wave 2 follicles raised the question how early activation

is controlled. It is well established that upregulation of insulin-mediated growth pathways

accompanies follicle activation (Edson et al., 2009). Boundary follicles may become poised to

active before most other wave 2 follicles because their location close to the nutrient-rich medulla

enhances growth signaling, weakens quiescence and activates *Nr5a2* and *Foxl2* expression,

genes which are expressed in GCs of all growing follicles. Wave 1 granulosa cells in the

medulla differentially express genes promoting growth like *Igfbp5*, *Grb14* and *Ghr*, and

signaling genes like *Itga6* and *Inha*, relative to wave 2 follicles growing during wks 4 and 5.

However, evidence increasingly suggests that follicular waves do not simply depend on

differences in their local microenvironments. These follicle groups form at times in fetal ovary

development that overlap other critical events of gonad development. The progenitors of

bipotential reproductive cell types like BPGs and and mesenchymal steroidogenic precursors that

arise early in both male and female gonad development remain elusive (Meinsohn, 2005; Edson

et al. 2009). These cells include stem-like cells that give rise to theca cells and interstitial gland

cells. We were able to preferentially mark both wave 1 follicles and boundary follicles using

Foxl2-CreERT2 as early as E14.5, suggesting that they are specified as early as bipotential and

later progenitors, and involve intercellular signaling events linked to sex determination. Progress

in understanding basic questions of gonad development would undoubtedly advance insight into

how wave 1 and boundary follicles are specified.

Genetic studies of wave 1 follicles

We explored genotypes that reduce the number of wave 1 follicles in order to look for the genetic consequences of decreased wave 1 activity. In Turner syndrome, wave 1 follicle development is reported to be reduced significantly (Miura et al. 2017). This imbalance might decrease androgen levels, potentially affecting juvenile development. Consistent with hormonal disruption, hormone replacement therapy significantly improves the development of individuals with Turner syndrome (Klein et al., 2018). Moreover, androgens are known to play an important role in this effect (Zuckerman-Levin et al., 2009; Viuff et al., 2022).

We examined mouse XO mice and looked for changes in wave 1 follicle numbers (Figure S7B-C). In our experiments, wave 1 follicle numbers were reduced relative to levels in XX control females at wk1 of development. However, the reduction was transient and by 2 wk, before remodeling and theca cell expansion, XO and XX females had regained a similar number of wave 1 follicles. Consequently, we could not draw any conclusions regarding the functional role of wave 1 follicles and their remodeling from these studies.

Steroid hormones mediate sexual development in juvenile mice and during peri-puberty

Steroid hormones influence the development of sexually dimorphic organs including the brain (review Simerly, 2002; Arnold, 2009). They have multiple sources, including steroids in the maternal circulation during pregnancy, as well as subsequent systemic and local steroid production during juvenile stages. Wave 1-derived theca cell numbers are high during wk 4-5 of juvenile development. a period known as peri-puberty that has been associated with sexual behavior development and with high levels of androgens, as well as relatively low estrogen production (François et al. 2017; Trova et al. 2021; Devillers et al., 2023). While the sensitivity

of the brain to steroid influences is probably declining during this period, sexually dimorphic

circuits are still being shaped in a manner that is important for sexually dimorphic behaviors in

female and male rodents (Sisk and Zehr, 2005; Templin et al., 2019; Trova et al., 2021; Yoest et

al., 2023). Potential targets of this influence include the anteroventral periventricular nucleus

(AVPV) which is larger in female than male rodents and helps regulate female reproductive

functions such as ovulation and gonadotrophin production. Sex hormones produced in females

during puberty also enhance cell death in the primary visual cortex, reducing its volume in

females compared to males. Thus, wave 1 generated steroid production may influence the sexual

development.

Wave 1 follicle remodeling, polycystic ovarian syndrome and human follicular waves

The work reported here may be relevant to the origin and high frequency of polycystic

ovary syndrome (PCOS) (McCartney and Marshall, 2016). A possible connection between

follicular atresia, androgen excess and PCOS has been discussed previously (reviewed in Erikson

et al., 1985; Magoffin, 2005). Interestingly, some histological characteristics of remodeling

mouse wave 1 follicles resemble follicles within the ovaries of individuals with PCOS (Chang,

2007; Franks and Hardy, 2010; Walters et al., 2019). A good place to start toward further

progress on this connection would be to characterize the development and gene expression of

early human follicles and compare them to the findings reported in other systems, including

mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies

Name	Source	Identifier	Dilution
Anti-EGFP (Chicken)	Aves labs	GFP-1020	1:300
Anti-DDX4 (Rabbit)	Abcam	ab13840	1:300
Anti-MSY2 (Mouse)	Abcam	sc-393840	1:100
Anti-tdTomato (Goat)	Origene Tech	AB8181-200	1:300
Anti-HSD3B1 (Mouse)	Santa Cruz	sc-515120	1:100
Anti-CYP17A1 (Rabbit)	Cell Signaling Technology	94004T	1:100
Anti-PLIN1 (Rabbit)	abcam	ab3526	1:100
DAPI	Sigma	D9542-10mg	0.5ug/ml
Alexa Fluor® 488 AffiniPure™ Donkey Anti-Chicken IgY (IgG) (H+L)	Jacksonimmuno	703-545-155	1:300
Alexa Fluor® 594 AffiniPure™ Donkey Anti-Goat IgG (H+L)	Jacksonimmuno	705-585-147	1:300
Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse lgG	invitrogen	A21202	1:300
Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-mouse lgG	invitrogen	A10037	1:300
Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit lgG	invitrogen	A21206	1:300
Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-rabbit lgG	invitrogen	A10042	1:300
Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-rabbit lgG	invitrogen	A31573	1:300

543 **Primers**

Name	Forward (5'-3')	Reverse (5'-3')	Size	Chromosome	Note
XO-qPCR-Tg	GACTAGGTTCATAGGCACTGG	CCGCCAAAACTCCTTCTCTAC	123 bp	X	Genotyping for XO mice
XO-qPCR-Tg-Probe	[5HEX]CCCCAGATGGTACCCACAGAACTTG			X	
XO-qPCR-IPC	CACGTGGGCTCCAGCATT	TCACCAGTCATTTCTGCCTTTG	74 bp	12	
XO-qPCR-IPC-Probe	[Cy5]CCAATGGTCGGGCACTGCTCAA			12	
Foxl2-Mutant	AGAGAAGAGAGTGAGAGCCG	GTCCAGCTCGACCAGGATGG	221 bp	9	Genotyping for Foxl2-CreERT2
Foxl2-WT	AGAGAAGAGAGTGAGAGCCG	GAGCGCCACGTACGAGTACG	335 bp	9	
Cyp17a1-Tg	GCTGTAGCTTCTCCACTCCAC	CAGGTTTTGGTGCACAGTCA	120 bp		Genotyping for Cyp17a1-iCre
Cyp17a1-IPC	AGTGGCCTCTTCCAGAAATG	TGCGACTGTGTCTGATTTCC	521 bp		

Experimental mice

All animal experiments were conducted in compliance with the Institutional Animal Care

and Use Committee guidelines of the Carnegie Institution of Washington (CIW). The mice were

housed in specific pathogen-free facilities at CIW. The strains of mice used in the study were

acquired from Jackson Laboratory, including C57BL/6J (B6, strain #: 000664), DBA/2J (DBA2,

strain #: 000671), B6;129P2-*Foxl2^{tm1(GFP/cre/ERT2)Pzg}*/J (*Foxl2-CreERT2*, strain #: 015854),

STOCK XO/J (XO, strain #: 036414), B6CBACaF1/J-*A^{w-J}/A* (Strain #:001201) and B6;SJL-

Tg(Cyp17a1-icre)AJako/J (*Cyp17a1-icre*, strain #: 028547). The *R26R-LSL-EYFP* reporter mice

B6.129X1-*Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm1(EYFP)Cos}*/J (*R26R-LSL-EYFP*, strain #: 006148) were acquired from

Jackson Laboratory and backcrossed onto 129S1/SvImJ (129S1, strain #: 002448) background in

our lab. The R26R-LSL-tdTomato reporter mice were generously provided by Chen-Ming Fan at

the CIW. CD-1 IGS Mouse (strain #: 022) was obtained from Charles River Laboratories.

Lineage tracing experiments

To visualize the expression patterns of *Foxl2* and *Cyp17a1*, we generated reporter mouse

lines by crossing *R26R-EYFP* or *R26R-tdTomato* mice with *Foxl2-CreERT2* or *Cyp17a1-iCre*

(Shimshek et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2022) mice. The presence of a vaginal plug was designated

as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Pregnant females were injected intraperitoneally (IP) with 1 mg

tamoxifen (TAM) (MilliporeSigma, #T5648, 10 mg/ml dissolved in corn oil) per 35 g body

weight at E16.5 or E14.5 (*Foxl2-CreERT2*). Pups were either delivered naturally or, if not born

on the expected delivery day, delivered by C-section and fostered by a CD1 female mouse. The

day of birth was considered postnatal day 0 (P0). We collected gonads from fetuses or ovaries

from pups at the indicated stages for analysis. All experiments were conducted with at least three

biological replicates from two litters and were repeated twice. The loss of one *Foxl2* allele in

Foxl2-CreERT2 mice, along with tamoxifen administration, does not significantly impact

ovarian development or overall mouse health.

To investigate the expression of *Foxl2* in remodeled follicles, tamoxifen was injected IP

at a concentration of 1 mg per 35 g weight into female mice at 5 weeks of age. The ovaries were

dissected one week later and subjected to whole mount staining for analysis.

Immunostaining

Whole-mount staining was performed as previously described (Li et al., 2017). Briefly,

gonads or ovaries were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4°C. The tissues were

then washed three times in a washing buffer (0.3% Triton X-100 and 0.5% thioglycerol in PBS)

and incubated in blocking buffer (1% normal donkey serum, 1% BSA, and 0.3% Triton X-100 in

PBS) overnight at 37°C. The samples were incubated with primary antibody in dilution buffer

(1% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) for two days at 37°C. After washing in the washing

buffer overnight at 37°C, the samples were incubated with secondary antibodies in dilution

buffer for another two days at 37°C, followed by washing in the washing buffer with DAPI

overnight at 37°C. To facilitate whole-tissue imaging, the clearing buffer Ce3D (prepared by

dissolving 4 g Histodenz in 2.75 ml of 40% [v/v] N-methylacetamide) was applied for an

additional day. The samples were imaged using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope or a Leica

Stellaris 8 DIVE confocal microscope. Images were analyzed using Fiji or Imaris.

For fluorescence cryosection staining, the dissected tissues were fixed in 4% PFA

overnight at 4°C. After washing three times in PBS, the tissues were dehydrated sequentially in

10% and 30% sucrose solutions and embedded in O.C.T. for cryosectioning. The slides were

sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm and stored at -20°C until staining. After antigen retrieval in

0.01% sodium citrate buffer at boiling temperature for 20 minutes, the slides were sequentially

blocked for 1 hour at room temperature, incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, and

then with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. This was followed by

counterstaining with DAPI for 10 minutes before fixing in mounting medium. The samples were

then imaged with an upright SP5 microscope, and the images were analyzed using Fiji.

Oil Red O Staining

Oil Red O Staining was performed using Oil Red O stain kit (Abcam, ab150678)

following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the slides were placed in propylene glycol for 5

minutes at room temperature, followed by incubation in Oil Red O solution at 60°C for 10

minutes. The slides were then differentiated in 85% propylene glycol for 1 minute, followed by

rinsing twice in distilled water. The slides were then incubated in hematoxylin for 1 minute,

rinsed thoroughly in tap water and twice in distilled water. The slides were ready for imaging

after applying a coverslip using an aqueous mounting medium. Imaging was done using a Nikon

Eclipse E800 microscope.

Genotyping

The tissue was dissected and incubated in a lysis buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5% Triton

X-100, 200 μg/ml Proteinase K) at 55°C overnight in a water bath. The lysis buffer was then

inactivated by heating at 95°C for 5 minutes.

For genotyping using conventional PCR, 1 μl of the sample was added to the PCR

mixture (primer sequences are provided in the supplemental data). For quantitative PCR (qPCR)

to genotype XO mice, primers and probes (sequences included in the supplemental data) were

designed to amplify and detect target DNA sequences from both the X chromosome and an

autosome. qPCR was performed using a CFX 96 Real-Time PCR machine. The amplification

cycle threshold for the X chromosome was compared to that of the autosome to determine the

genotype, distinguishing between XO (one X chromosome) and XX (two X chromosomes)

females.

Single cell RNA sequencing

Tissue samples were first dissected in PBS and dissociated using 0.25% Trypsin/EDTA

(Thermo Fisher, #25200056) at 37°C for approximately 20 minutes. Dissociation was halted by

adding FBS (Thermo Fisher, #10439016) to a final concentration of 10%. The resulting cell

suspension was filtered through a 100 μm strainer (Fisher, #22-363-549) to remove any

remaining undissociated structures. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation using an Allegra X-14R

Centrifuge (Beckman Coulter) at 300 × g for 3 minutes and suspended in PBS containing 0.04%

BSA (PBSB). To further eliminate cell clumps, the samples were filtered through a 40 μm

strainer (Fisher, #22-363-547), followed by centrifugation at 300 × g for 5 minutes. The cells

were then resuspended in PBSB and subjected to FACS sorting (BD FACSAria™ III Cell Sorter)

to collect live cells, with SYTOX™ Orange Dead Cell Stain (Thermo Fisher, S34861) used for

viability selection. After a final centrifugation at 300 × g for 5 minutes, the cells were

resuspended in appropriate volume of PBSB to achieve a concentration of 1000 cells/μl before

proceeding with single-cell sequencing.

Libraries of the single cells were prepared using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3'

Reagent Kits v3.1(PN-1000128) from 10X Genomics, with the goal of capturing 10,000 cells per

sample. Sequencing was performed using the NextSeq 500 platform from Illumina according to

10X Genomics recommendations: paired-end, single index reads with Read 1 being 28 cycles,

Index 1 being 8 cycles, and Read 2 being 91 cycles. Sequencing was performed to a depth of

50,000 reads per cell. The single cell sequencing datasets were initially processed using the Cell

Ranger pipeline (v3.1.0 and v6.0.1) with default settings.

Further analysis of the scRNA-seq data was performed using the Seurat package (v4.1.0).

The count data were read and transformed into a Seurat object using the 'Read10X' and

'CreateSeuratObject' functions. The libraries (2 weeks, 3 weeks, 4 weeks, 5 weeks, and 6 weeks)

were preprocessed with 'NormalizeData()', followed by 'FindVariableFeatures()',

'FindIntegrationAnchors()', and 'IntegrateData()' using default settings. The integrated object

was further processed using 'ScaleData()', 'RunPCA(npcs = 50)', 'RunUMAP(dims = 1:30)',

'FindNeighbors(dims = 1:30)', 'FindClusters(resolution = 1.0)', and 'RunTSNE(dims = 1:30)'

with other default settings to determine cell clusters. Further clustering of granulosa cells and

mesenchymal cells was performed using 'subset ()', followed by the cell clustering methods

mentioned above.

The electron microscope

Mice ovaries were excised and fixed in a solution of 2% PFA and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in

0.1 M PIPES buffer (MilliporeSigma, #P6757, pH 7.4) at 4°C overnight. The ovaries were then

washed and treated with 50 mM glycine in 0.1 M PIPES buffer for 15 minutes, followed by

washing with 0.1 M PIPES buffer. Tissue pieces were then postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide

and 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide in 0.1 M PIPES buffer for 60 minutes, washed with water, and

stained en bloc with 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate for 60 minutes. The ovaries were then serially

dehydrated using graded ethanol solutions: 30%, 50%, 75%, 85%, 95%, and 100% ethanol,

followed by two exchanges of 100% acetone. After dehydration, the ovaries were infiltrated and

embedded in EMbed 812 Epoxy resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) according to the

manufacturer's recommendations. Ultrathin sections at about 70 nm thick were cut on a Leica

EM UC7 ultramicrotome and examined using a Hitachi HT7800 transmission electron

microscope operated at 80 kV. Images were acquired with an AMT NanoSprint 12 camera.

Statistical analysis

The data are reported as means ± SD of biological replicates, and no statistical methods

were used to determine the sample size. Differences between two groups were compared using a

two-tailed Student's t-test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant and marked

with one star (*), $p < 0.01$ with two stars (**), $p < 0.001$ with three stars (***), and $p < 0.0001$

with four stars (****).

Data availability

The scRNAseq data have been deposited at the NIH GEO database under accession

number GSE268466.

Funding: Howard Hughes Medical Institute

Supplementary Materials:

Figs. S1 to S7

Movies S1 to S10

Datasets S1-S6

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We are grateful to Allison Pinder and Dr. Fredrick Tan for

assisting with the genomic experiments and analyses. We thank Dr. Mamhud Siddiqi for his help

with optical microscopy and image analyses. We thank Dr. Ru-Ching Hsia for expert electron

microscopy. We thank the Carnegie Embryology support staff and Spradling lab members for

comments on the study, especially Haolong Zhu for assistance with scRNA-seq data analysis.

Author contributions: Investigation: QY, Supervision and Funding: ACS, all other: QY and

ACS.

Competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

Arnold AP. (2009). The organizational-activational hypothesis as the foundation for a unified
theory of sexual differentiation of all mammalian tissues. Horm Behav. 5, 570-8. doi:
10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.03.011.

Bell MR (2018). Comparing Postnatal Development of Gonadal Hormones and Associated
Social Behaviors in Rats, Mice, and Humans. Endocrinology 159, 2596-2613. doi:
10.1210/en.2018-00220.

Bianco S, Bellefleur AM, Beaulieu É, Beauparlant CJ, Bertolin K, Droit A, Schoonjans K,
Murphy BD & Gévry N (2019). The ovulatory signal precipitates LRH-1 transcriptional
switching mediated by differential chromatin accessibility. Cell Reports 27 2443.e4–2454.e4.
doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.088.

Bridges PJ, Koo Y, Kang DW, Hudgins-Spivey S, Lan ZJ, Xu X, DeMayo F, Cooney A, Ko C.
(2008) Generation of Cyp17iCre transgenic mice and their application to conditionally delete
estrogen receptor alpha (Esr1) from the ovary and testis. Genesis 46(9):499-505. doi:
10.1002/dvg.20428.

Byskov, AG (1974). Cell kinetic studies of follicular atresia in the mouse ovary. J. Reprod. Fert.
37, 277-285. doi: 10.1530/jrf.0.0370277.

Chang RJ. (2007). The reproductive phenotype in polycystic ovary syndrome. Nat Clin Pract
Endocrinol Metab. 3, 688-95. doi: 10.1038/ncpendmet0637.

Chen YY, Russo DD, Drake RS, Duncan FE, Shalek AK, Goods BA, Woodruff TK. (2022).
Single-cell transcriptomics of staged oocytes and somatic cells reveal novel regulators of follicle
activation. Reproduction. 164(2):55-70. doi: 10.1530/REP-22-0053.

Choussein S, Nasioudis D, Schizas D, Economopoulos KP. (2017). Mullerian dysgenesis: a
critical review of the literature. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 295:1369-1381. doi: 10.1007/s00404-017-
4372-2.

Cui J, Shen Y, Li R. (2013). Estrogen synthesis and signaling pathways during aging: from
periphery to brain. Trends Mol Med. 19, 197-209. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2012.12.007.

DeFalco T, Capel B (2009). Gonad morphogenesis in vertebrates: divergent means to a
convergent end. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 25, 457-482. doi:
10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.13350

Devillers MM, François CM, Chester M, Corre R, Cluzet V, Giton F, Cohen-Tannoudji J, Guigon
CJ. (2023). Androgen receptor signaling regulates follicular growth and steroidogenesis in
interaction with gonadotropins in the ovary during mini-puberty in mice. Front Endocrinol
(Lausanne). 14, 1130681. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1130681.

Duggavathi R, Volle DH, Mataki C, Antal MC, Messaddeq N, Auwerx J, Murphy BD &
Schoonjans K (2008). Liver receptor homolog 1 is essential for ovulation. Genes and
Development 22 1871–1876. doi: 10.1101/gad.472008.

Edson MA, Nagaraja AK, Matzuk MM. (2009). The mammalian ovary from genesis to
revelation. Endocr Rev. 30, 624-712. doi: 10.1210/er.2009-0012.

Eppig JJ, Handel MA. (2012). Origins of granulosa cells clarified and complexified by waves.
Biol Reprod 86, 34. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.111.096651.

Erickson GF, Magoffin DA, Dyer CA, Hofeditz C. (1985). The ovarian androgen producing
cells: a review of structure/function relationships. Endocr Rev. 6, 371-99. doi: 10.1210/edrv-6-3-
371.

Faire M, Skillern A, Arora R, Nguyen DH, Wang J, Chamberlain C, German MS, Fung JC, Laird
DJ. (2015). Follicle dynamics and global organization in the intact mouse ovary. Dev Biol. 403,
69-79. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.04.006.

Feng Y, Cui P, Lu X, Hsueh B, Möller Billig F, Zarnescu Yanez L, Tomer R, Boerboom D,
Carmeliet P, Deisseroth K, Hsueh AJ. (2017). CLARITY reveals dynamics of ovarian follicular
architecture and vasculature in three-dimensions. Sci Rep. 7, 44810. doi: 10.1038/srep44810.

Fiorentino G, Parrilli A, Garagna S, Zuccotti M. (2020). Three-Dimensional Micro-Computed
Tomography of the Adult Mouse Ovary. Front Cell Dev Biol. 8, 566152. doi:
10.3389/fcell.2020.566152.

Forabosco F, Sforza C. (2007). Establishment of ovarian reserve: a quantitative morphometric
study of the developing human ovary. Fertility and Sterility 88, 675-683. doi:
10.1016/j.fertnstert.2006.11.191.

François CM, Petit F, Giton F, Gougeon A, Ravel C, Magre S, Cohen-Tannoudji J, Guigon CJ.
(2017). A novel action of follicle-stimulating hormone in the ovary promotes estradiol
production without inducing excessive follicular growth before puberty. Sci Rep. 7, 46222. doi:
10.1038/srep46222.

Franks S, Hardy K (2010). Aberrant follicle development and anovulation in polycystic ovary
syndrome. Annales d'Endocrinologie 71, 228–230. doi: 10.1016/j.ando.2010.02.007

Galas J, Slomczynska M, Knapczyk-Stwora K, Durlej M, Starowicz A, Tabarowski Z, Rutka K,
Szoltys M. (2012). Steroid levels and the spatiotemporal expression of steroidogenic enzymes

and androgen receptor in developing ovaries of immature rats. Acta Histochem 114, 207–216.
doi: 10.1016/j.acthis.2011.04.006.

Gougeon A. (1996). Regulation of ovarian follicular development in primates: facts and
hypotheses. Endocr Rev 17:121–155. doi: 10.1210/edrv-17-2-121.

Guigon CJ, Mazaud S, Forest MG, Brailly-Tabard S, Coudouel N, Magre S. (2003). Unaltered
development of the initial follicular waves and normal pubertal onset in female rats after
neonatal deletion of the follicular reserve. Endocrinology. 144(8):3651-62. doi: 10.1210/en.2003-
0072.

Guzmán A, Hughes CHK, Murphy BD (2022). Liver receptor homolog 1 (LHR-1) regulates
follicle vasculature during ovulation in mice. Reproduction 164, 283-289. doi: 10.1530/REP-22-
0135.

Guzmán A, Hernández-Coronado CG, Gutiérrez CG, Rosales-Torres AM. (2023). The vascular
endothelial growth factor (VEGF) system as a key regulator of ovarian follicle angiogenesis and
growth. Mol Reprod Dev. 90, 201-217. doi: 10.1002/mrd.23683.

Habara O, Logan CY, Kanai-Azuma M, Nusse R, Takase HM (2021). WNT signaling in
pregranulosa cells is required for ovarian folliculogenesis and female fertility. Development. 148,
dev198846. doi: 10.1242/dev.198846.

Himelstein-Braw R, Byskov AG, Peters H, Faber, M. (1976). Follicular atresia in the infant
human ovary. Reproduction 46, 55-59. doi: 10.1530/jrf.0.0460055.

Hirshfield AN. (1992). Heterogeneity of cell populations that contribute to the formation of
primordial follicles in rats. Biol Reprod 47:466–472. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod47.3.466.

Hirshfield AN, DeSanti AM. (1995). Patterns of ovarian cell proliferation in rats during the
embryonic period and the first three weeks postpartum. Biol Reprod 1995;53:1208 –1221. doi:
10.1095/biolreprod53.5.1208.

Hogan, B, Beddington R, Costantini F, Lacy E. (1994). Manipulating the mouse embryo : a
laboratory manual (2nd ed.). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Hsueh AJ, Billig H, Tsafriri A. (1994). Ovarian follicle atresia: a hormonally controlled apoptotic
process. Endocr Rev. 15, 707-24. doi: 10.1210/edrv-15-6-707.

Isola JVV, Ocañas SR, Hubbart CR, Ko S, Mondal SA, Hense JD, Carter HNC, Schneider A,
Kovats S, Alberola-Ila J, Freeman WM, Stout MB. (2024). A single-cell atlas of the aging mouse
ovary. Nat Aging. 4(1):145-162. doi: 10.1038/s43587-023-00552-5.

Jiménez R. (2009). Ovarian organogenesis in mammals: mice cannot tell us everything. Sex Dev.
3, 2911-301. doi: 10.1159/000280584

Kelava I, Chiaradia I, Pellegrini L, Kalinka AT, Lancaster MA. (2022). Androgens increase
excitatory neurogenic potential in human brain organoids. Nature 602, 112-116. doi:
10.1038/s41586-021-04330-4.

Klein KO, Rosenfield RL, Santen RJ, Gawlik AM, Backeljauw PF, Gravholt CH, Sas TCJ,
Mauras N (2018). Estrogen Replacement in Turner Syndrome: Literature Review and Practical
Considerations. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 103, 1790-1803. doi: 10.1210/jc.2017-02183.

Lamas S, Carvalheira J, Gartner F, Amorim I. (2021). C57BL/6J mouse superovulation: schedule
and age optimization to increase oocyte yield and reduce animal use. Zygote 29, 199-203. doi:
10.1017/S0967199420000714.

Lei L, Spradling AC. (2013). Mouse primordial germ cells produce cysts that partially fragment
prior to meiosis. Development. 140(10):2075-81. doi: 10.1242/dev.093864.

Li W, Germain RN, Gerner MY. (2017). Multiplex, quantitative cellular analysis in large tissue
volumes with clearing-enhanced 3D microscopy (Ce3D). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 114, E7321-
E7330. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1708981114.

Liu, C, Peng, J, Matzuk, MM, & Yao, HHC. (2015). Lineage specification of ovarian theca cells
requires multicellular interactions via oocyte and granulosa cells. Nature commun, 6, 6934. doi:
10.1038/ncomms7934

Liu C, Rodriguez K, Yao HHC. (2016). Mapping lineage progression of somatic progenitor cells
in the mouse fetal testis. Development. 143(20): 3700–3710. doi: 10.1242/dev.135756.

Magoffin DA. (2005). Ovarian theca cell. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 37(7):1344-9. doi:
10.1016/j.biocel.2005.01.016.

Mawaribuchi S, Ikeda N, Fujitani K, Ito Y, Onuma Y, Komiya T, Takamatsu N, Ito M (2014).
Cell-mass structures expressing the aromatase gene Cyp19a1 lead to ovarian cavities in Xenopus
laevis. Endocrinology. 155(10):3996-4005. doi: 10.1210/en.2014-1096. Epub 2014 Jul 22.

McCartney CR. & Marshall JC. (2016). Polycystic ovary syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 375, 54–
64. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp1514916

McGee EA, Hsueh AJ. (2000). Initial and cyclic recruitment of ovarian follicles. Endocr Rev
21:200–214. doi: 10.1210/edrv.21.2.0394.

McKey J, Anbarci DN, Bunce C, Ontiveros AE, Behringer RR, Capel B. (2022). Integration of
mouse ovary morphogenesis with developmental dynamics of the oviduct, ovarian ligaments,
and rete ovarii. Elife 11, e81088. doi: 10.7554/eLife.81088.

Meinsohn MC, Smith OE, Bertolin K, Murphy BD. (2019). The Orphan Nuclear Receptors
Steroidogenic Factor-1 and Liver Receptor Homolog-1: Structure, Regulation, and Essential

Roles in Mammalian Reproduction. Physiol Rev. 99, 1249-1279. doi:
10.1152/physrev.00019.2018.

Meinsohn MC, Hughes CHK, Estienne A, Saatcioglu HD, Pépin D, Duggavathi R, Murphy BD.
(2021a). A role for orphan nuclear receptor liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH-1, NR5A2) in
primordial follicle activation. Sci Rep. 11, 1079. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-80178-4.

Meinsohn MC, Saatcioglu HD, Wei L, Li Y, Horn H, Chauvin M, Kano M, Nguyen NMP,
Nagykery N, Kashiwagi A, Samore WR, Wang D, Oliva E, Gao G, Morris ME, Donahoe PK,
Pepin D. (2021b). Single-Cell sequencing reveals suppressive transcriptional programs regulated
by MIS/AMH in neonatal ovaries. PNAS 118, 20. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2100920118.

Miura K, Murata C, Harikae K, Suzuki H, Kanai-Azuma M, Kurohmaru M, Tsunekawa N, Kanai
Y. (2017). Defects in the first wave of folliculogenesis in mouse XO ovaries. J Reprod Dev. 63,
333-338. doi: 10.1262/jrd.2017-033.

Miyabayashi K, Tokunaga K, Otake H, Baba T, Shima Y, Morohashi K. (2015). Heterogeneity of
ovarian theca and interstitial gland cells in mice. PLoS One. 10, e0128352. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0128352.

Mork L, Maatouk DM, McMahon JA, Guo JJ, Zhang P, McMahon AP, Capel B (2012).
Temporal differences in granulosa cell specification in the ovary reflect distinct follicle fates in
mice. Biol Reprod. 86, 37. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.111.095208.

Morris ME, Meinsohn MC, Chauvin M, Saatcioglu HD, Kashiwagi A, Sicher NA, Nguyen N,
Yuan S, Stavely R, Hyun M, Donahoe PK, Sabatini BL, Pépin D. (2022). A single-cell atlas of
the cycling murine ovary. Elife 11, e77239. doi: 10.7554/eLife.77239.

Myers M, Britt KL, Wreford NG, Ebling FJ, Kerr JB. (2004). Methods for quantifying follicular
numbers within the mouse ovary. Reproduction. 127(5):569-80. doi: 10.1530/rep.1.00095.

Nelson JF, Karelus K, Felicio LS, Johnson TE (1990). Genetic influences on the timing of
puberty in mice. Biol Reprod. 42, 649-55. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod42.4.649.

Niu W, Spradling AC (2020). Two distinct pathways of pregranulosa cell differentiation support
follicle formation in the mouse ovary. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 117, 20015-20026. doi:
10.1073/pnas.2005570117.

Niu W, Spradling AC. (2022). Mouse oocytes develop in cysts with the help of nurse cells. Cell
185, 2576-2590. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.001.

Pazdernik N, Schedl T. (2013). Introduction to germ cell development in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Adv Exp Med Biol. 757:1-16. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-4015-4_1.

Richards JS, Ren YA, Candelaria N, Adams JE, Rajkovic A. (2017). Ovarian Follicular Theca
Cell Recruitment, Differentiation, and Impact on Fertility. Endocr Rev. 39, 1-20. doi:
10.1210/er.2017-00164.

Schneider, M., Wolf, E., Hoeflich, A., & Lahm, H. (2002). IGF-binding protein-5: flexible player
in the IGF system and effector on its own. Journal of Endocrinology, 172, 423-440.

Simerly RB. (2002). Wired for reproduction: organization and development of sexually
dimorphic circuits in the mammalian forebrain. Annu Rev Neurosci. 25, 507-36. doi:
10.1146/annurev.neuro.25.112701.142745.

Sisk CL, Zehr JL. (2005). Pubertal hormones organize the adolescent brain and behavior. Front
Neuroendocrinol. 26, 163-74. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2005.10.003.

Templin JS, Wyrosdic JC, David CD, Wyrosdic BN, Lapp HE, Bala A, Bartlett A, Khan Z,
Rokicki A, Park JH. (2019). Peripubertal gonadal steroids are necessary for steroid independent
male sexual behavior in castrated B6D2F1 male mice. Horm Behav. 113, 38-46. doi:
10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.04.013.

Trova S, Bovetti S, Bonzano S, De Marchis S, Peretto P. (2021). Sex Steroids and the Shaping of
the Peripubertal Brain: The Sexual-Dimorphic Set-Up of Adult Neurogenesis. Int J Mol Sci. 22,
7984. doi: 10.3390/ijms22157984.

Viuff MH, Just J, Brun S, Dam TV, Hansen M, Melgaard L, Hougaard DM, Lappe M, Gravholt
CH (2022). Women With Turner Syndrome Are Both Estrogen and Androgen Deficient: The
Impact of Hormone Replacement Therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 107, 1983-1993. doi:
10.1210/clinem/dgac167.

Walters KA, Rodriguez Paris V, Aflatounian A, Handelsman DJ (2019). Androgens and ovarian
function: translation from basic discovery research to clinical impact. J Endocrinol. 242, R23-
R50. doi: 10.1530/JOE-19-0096.

Yoest KE, Henry MG, Velisek HA, Veenema AH. (2023). Development of social recognition
ability in female rats: Effect of pubertal ovarian hormones. Horm Behav. 151, 105347. doi:
10.1016/j.yhbeh.2023.105347.

Zheng W, Zhang H, Gorre N, Risal S, Shen Y, Liu K (2014a). Two classes of ovarian primordial
follicles exhibit distinct developmental dynamics and physiological functions. Hum Mol Genet.
23, 920-28. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddt486.

Zheng W, Zhang H, Liu K (2014b). The two classes of primordial follicles in the mouse ovary:
their development, physiological functions and implications for future research. Mol Hum
Reprod. 20, 286-292. doi: 10.1093/molehr/gau007.

Zhou J, Jiang X, Wu H, Zhang L, Chen M, Chen M, Shen Z, Guo X, Wang H, Gao F. Dissecting
the fate of Foxl2-expressing cells in fetal ovary using lineage tracing and single-cell
transcriptomics. Cell Discov. 2022 Dec 27;8(1):139. doi: 10.1038/s41421-022-00492-1.

Zhou Y, Zhu W, Guo Z, Zhao Y, Song Z, Xiao J (2007). Effects of maternal nuclear genome on
the timing of puberty in mice offspring. J Endocrinol. 193, 405-12. doi: 10.1677/joe.1.07049.

Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, Benner C, Chanda SK.
(2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level
datasets. Nat Commun. 10, 1523. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6.

Zhu, C. H. and Xie, T. (2003). Clonal expansion of ovarian germline stem cells during niche
formation in Drosophila. Development 130, 2579-2588.

Zuckerman-Levin N, Frolova-Bishara T, Militianu D, Levin M, Aharon-Peretz J, Hochberg Z
(2009). Androgen replacement therapy in Turner syndrome: a pilot study. J Clin Endocrinol
Metab. 94, 4820-7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-0514.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Wave 1 follicles are remodeled prior to peri-puberty.

(**A**) Schematic diagrams of fetal and postnatal ovaries at the indicated stages (E = embryonic

day; P = postnatal day). Wave 1 follicles, located in the ovarian medulla (below the dashed line),

retain bipotential pre-granulosa cells (BPGs, green) and develop without delay. In contrast,

cortical follicles, which become quiescent primordial follicles (Wave 2), undergo replacement of

BPGs by epithelial pre-granulosa cells (EPGs, yellow). This replacement is completed by P5. By

P21 (3 wk), wave 1 follicles undergo atresia, characterized by oocyte loss. Germ cells or oocytes

are shown in blue. Developmental stages are indicated in the diagram. Dashed line: Medulla-

cortex boundary. (**B**) Postnatal follicular developmental timeline: Wave 1 antral follicles emerge

by 3 weeks (Figure S1A-C); Juvenile sexual development (peri-puberty) occurs at 4-5 weeks;

Puberty and ovulation take place around 7-8 weeks. (**C**) Annotation of total follicles in P5 and

P10 ovaries using Imaris software (gray balls). Each follicles with DDX4-postive oocyte was

manually counted and labeled using the Imaris spot model. Scale bars: left, 50 μm; right, 70 μm.

(**D**) Total follicle counts in P5 and P10 ovaries (mean ± SD; N = 3-4). ns, not significant. (**E**)

Annotation of wave 1 follicles in P5 and P10 ovaries using Imaris software (gray balls). Follicles

with oocytes larger than 20 μm in diameter, primarily located in the medulla, are classified as

wave 1 follicles at the indicated times. Each gray ball represents one follicle. Scale bars: left, 50

μm; right, 70 μm. (**F**) Wave 1 follicle counts in P5 and P10 ovaries (mean ± SD; N = 3-4). ns,

not significant. (**G**) 3D projection of the ovary at P14, reconstructed using the Imaris surface

model. Scale bars = 50 μm. (**H**) Oocyte volume increase in wave 1 follicles over time (mean ±

SD; N = 10). (Note: Nuclear volume is excluded, as DDX4 is a cytoplasmic protein.). ****$p <$

0.0001. (**I**) Representative images of ovary at 4 wk and 5 wk. Left (4 wk): arrowheads indicate

an atretic follicle with a distorted oocyte and disorganized granulosa cells; arrow points to a

developing healthy secondary follicle. Right (5 wk): arrowheads indicate degrading follicles at

various stages; arrow points to a developing healthy secondary follicle. Scale bars = 100 μm. (**J**)

Wave 1 follicle remodeling, indicated by oocyte loss over time (mean ± SD; N = 3-4). Oocyte

loss begins around 3 wk and continues through 5 wk, accompanied by granulosa cell

disorganization and cell death. ns, not significant; ** $p < 0.01$; ****$p < 0.0001$.

Figure supplement 1. Wave 1 follicle development in the early juvenile ovary.

Figure supplement 2. Wave 1 follicles begin to remodel by 3 weeks.

Figure 2. Wave 1 follicles lose granulosa cells during remodeling.

(**A**) Schematic diagram illustrating the activation of *Foxl2*-driven EYFP expression following

TAM injection at E16.5. TAM administration at E16.5 induces the excision of a stop cassette,

leading to EYFP expression in granulosa cells of follicles (see Methods). (**B**) Efficient EYFP

labeling of granulosa cells in wave 1 medullary follicles of a 2-week ovary following TAM

injection at E16.5, as shown in (A), magnified in the right. Scale bars: left, 100 μm; right, 30 μm.

(**C**) EYFP-labeled follicles that have reached the primary/secondary follicle stage by 2 weeks are

quantified using Imaris software, with annotation performed using the spot model. Left: A

section showing annotated labeled follicles (white circles). Right: 3D projection using Imaris

software, where labeled follicles are represented by gray spheres. Scale bars: left, 100 μm; right,

80 μm. (**D**) By 5 wk, EYFP-labeled follicles that have reached the preantral/antral follicle stage

are quantified using Imaris software and annotated with the spot function. Left: A section

showing annotated labeled follicles (white circles). Right: 3D projection using Imaris software,

with labeled follicles represented by gray spheres. Scale bars = 200 μm. (**E**) Over 90% of wave 1

follicles contained EYFP-positive granulosa cells at 2 wk. At 5 wk, approximately 70% of

normal antral follicles contained EYFP-positive granulosa cells. (**F**) Quantification of wave 1

follicle numbers (representative images shown in C-D) shows a decline from 2 to 5 weeks.

****$p < 0.0001$. Each dot represents one sample. (**G**) Representative section from a 5-week

ovary reveals ongoing remodeling of wave 1 follicles. Follicles near the medullary core appear

small and without oocytes (arrowheads), with few remaining EYFP-labeled granulosa cells, as

seen in the follicle boxed in "1" and enlarged in G'. In contrast, a normal antral follicle in

rectangle "2" enlarged in G", contains numerous EYFP-labeled granulosa cells. Right: The

average number of EYFP-labeled granulosa cells in medullary follicles before remodeling (3

weeks) is compared to remodeled medullary follicles at 5 weeks. Each point represents one

analyzed follicle. ****$p < 0.0001$. Scale bars: left, 200 μm; right, 50 μm. (**H**) About 80% of

remodeled follicles still retain some EYFP-labeled granulosa cells at 5 wk, consistent with their

wave 1 origin. Dashed line: follicle boundary; arrowheads: EYFP-positive granulosa cells. Each

point represents one analyzed follicle. (**I**) Remnant granulosa cells of remodeled wave 1 follicles

continue to express Foxl2 at 5 weeks. TAM was injected into Foxl2-CreERT2; R26R-LSL-

tdTomato mice at 5 weeks, and ovaries were collected one week later. Arrowheads indicate

remodeled follicles, with one remodeled follicle (outlined in the rectangle) enlarged on the right.

Scale bars: left, 100 µm; right, 20 µm.

Figure supplement 3. Lineage labeling using Foxl2-CreERT2 and R26R-LSL-tdTomato

<u>**Figure 3**. The labeling and quantification of boundary follicles</u>

(**A**) At 2 wk, one or more granulosa cells in some primordial follicles located at the medulla-

cortex boundary are labeled with EYFP (enlarged in "1", "2", "3") following TAM injection, as

shown in the schematic. These follicles are referred to as boundary follicles or, in accordance

with the wave classification, wave 1.5 follicles. Dash line, medulla-cortex boundary. Scale bars:

left, 100 µm; right, 20 µm. (**B**) Quantification shows that 7% (421 ± 74) of the total 5,843 ±

1,256 primordial follicles contained EYFP-labeled granulosa cells in the labeled experiment

shown in (A). Each point represents one analyzed sample. Labeled PFs: Labeled primordial

follicles. (**C**) Representative images of *Foxl2-CreERT2; R26R-LSL-EYFP* mouse ovary injected

with TAM at E14.5. Boundary follicles labeled with EYFP are enlarged on the right. Dash line

indicates the medulla-cortex boundary. Scale bars: left, 100 µm; right, 20 µm. (**D**) Approximately

70% of secondary follicles in 5 wk ovaries contain EYFP-labeled granulosa cells, suggesting

they originate from labeled primordial follicles (wave 1.5) at 2 wk. TAM was injected at E16.5.

Each point represents one analyzed sample. Scale bar = 50 µm.

Figure 4. Follicles remodel to form an interconnected stromal network rich in thecal secretory

cells.

(**A**) Schematic diagram illustrating lineage labeling of *Cyp17a1*-expressing theca cells,

interstitial gland cells, and potentially other cell types using *Cyp17a1*-iCre; *R26R-LSL-tdTomato*

mouse model. (**B**) 2 wk ovary showing tdTomato-labeled theca cells sheathing follicles

(arrowhead) and putative interstitial gland cells between follicles (rectangle). Scale bars: left, 20

µm; right, 5 µm. (**C**) 3D reconstruction of follicles with surrounding labeled theca cells and

interconnected interstitial gland cells in a 2 wk ovary. Left: 3D projection using Imaris software.

Right: Pseudo-color annotation using the Imaris surface model. Green: Oocytes. Red: *Cyp17a1*-

expressing cells, including theca and interstitial gland cells. Scale bars = 20 µm. (**D-E**)

Representative images of 2wk (D) and 5 wk (E) ovaries showing a dramatic increase in labeled

theca, interstitial, and possibly other Cyp17a1-lineage cells in the ovarian medulla. Scale bars =

100 µm. (**F-G**) Oil Red O staining (red) reveals a significant increase in lipid droplet storage in

ovarian medullary cells from 2 wk (F) to 5 wk (G) ovaries. Scale bars = 100 µm. (**H**)

Immunofluorescence staining of HSD3B1 and PLIN1 at 5 wk shows that genes required for

steroidogenesis and lipid droplet storage are highly expressed in medullary cells. Scale bars = 50

µm. (**I**) Electron microscopy of a remodeled follicle reveals cells with abundant lipid droplets

(LD) and characteristic mitochondria (mt) (boxed region magnified in right panel). Scale bar =

10 µm. (**J**) 3D reconstruction of a 5 wk ovary labeled by tdTomato expression activated by

Cyp17a1-iCre. Left: 3D projection using Imaris software. Right: Pseudo-color annotation using

995 the Imaris surface model. Red: Thecal/interstitial network structure. Balls: Oocyte locations.

996 Scale bars = 100 µm.

997 **Figure supplement 4**. Expansion of theca cells and its related cells - interstitial gland

998 population.

1000

Figure 5. Single-cell RNA sequencing of 2 wk-6 wk ovaries

(**A**) UMAP plot of ovaries from 2 wk to 6 wk, showing 31 initial clusters (c0-c30) represented in

different colors. Sequencing data from different ages were merged before summit to Seurat.

(detailed information in the methods section). (**B**) The ovarian cells from 2 wk to 6 wk contribute

to almost every cluster in the UMAP plot. O2w: scRNA sequencing data from 2 wk ovary. O3w:

3 wk ovary. O4w: 4 wk ovary. O5w: 5 wk ovary. O6w: 6 wk ovary. (**C**) The cell type groups are

indicated by colored regions, with their relationship to the numbered initial clusters as shown in

A. Granulosa cells: c1, c2, c3, c5, c10, c12, c20, c21, c24 and c30; Stromal cells: c0, c4, c7, c8,

c9, 13, c17 and c19; Theca cells: c16; Hematopoietic cells: c23; Endothelial cells: c22 and c27;

Pericytes: c18; Smooth muscle cells: c26; Epithelial cells: c25 and c28; Low UMI: c6, c11, c15

and c29; TBD (To be determined): c14. (**D**) Multiviolin plot of selected marker gene expression

across different cell types. Y-axis: Gene names with expression levels normalized for display. X-

axis: Cell types.

1014

Figure 6. Further re-cluster analysis of sequencing data.

(**A**) UMAP plot of re-clustered granulosa cells from Figure 5A, showing 18 clusters (gc0-gc17).

(**B**) The deduced ovarian follicle developmental stages are labeled and indicated by colored

regions with their relationship to the numbered clusters shown in A. Primordial: gc11; Primary:

gc3 and gc8; Secondary: gc1, gc4 and gc9; Antral: gc2, gc7, gc10 and gc13; Remodeling: gc0

and gc6; Mitotic: gc5, gc12, gc14, gc15, gc16 and gc17. (**C**) Dot plot of marker gene expression

in granulosa cells across different follicle stages. Y-axis: Cell types. X-axis: Gene names with

expression levels normalized for display. (**D**) Pseudotime trajectory analysis using Monocle3

reveals two pathway in secondary follicles: Path 1 leads to further development into antral

follicles, while Path 2 results in remodeling follicles. (**E**) UMAP plot of re-clustered theca cell

and stromal cells Figure 5A, showing 21 clusters (g0-g20). (**F**) The deduced mesodermal cell

subgroups are labeled and indicated by colored regions and their relationship to the numbered

clusters shown in panel E. Hoxd9+: g0; Tagln+: g1, g8, g14 and g17; Early TCs: g2 and g11;

Late TCs: g9; Cxcl12+: g3, g5 and g20; Cd24a+: g4; Col11a1+: g6 and g7; Col14a1+: g10 and

g19; Mitotic: g12, g13, g15 and g18; TBD (To be determined): g16. (G) Diagram of

steroidogenic gene expression in theca and granulosa cells and their role in androgen and

estrogen synthesis. Top panel: The diagram illustrates the synthesize of androgen in theca cells

and estrogen in granulosa cells from cholesterol. Bottom panel: The estimated numbers of

steroidogenic theca cells expressing three key steroidogenesis genes in the sequencing data—

Cyp11a1, *Hsd3b1*, and *Cyp17a1*—are shown, along with those expressing all four essential

genes (*Cyp11a1*, *Hsd3b1*, *Cyp17a1*, and *Star*). The total cell number are shown in the first row.

From 2 wk to 5 wk, the theca cell population increases, followed by a decline at 6 weeks.

Meanwhile, the number and percentage of theca cells expressing all four essential genes

progressively increase. In contrast, the estimated numbers of granulosa cells expressing *Hsd3b1*,

Hsd17b1, and *Cyp19a1* follow a fluctuating pattern, decreasing from 2wk to 4 wk before rising

again at 5 wk. Notably, 2 wk corresponds to the time of minipuberty. (H) Estimated numbers of

granulosa cells in follicles across developmental subclasses: primordial, primary, secondary,

antral, remodeling, and mitotic cycling.

Figure supplement 5. Analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing.

Figure supplement 6. Analysis of other cell types.

Figure supplement 7. Hormone receptor expression and XO mouse line.

1046

Figure 7. Model of wave 1 follicle development and function.

Wave 1 follicles undergo remodeling during the peri-pubertal period to expand the population of

androgen-producing theca and interstitial cells. Gradients between the medulla and cortex

facilitate the sequential activation of primordial follicles, a process that continues into early

adulthood. We refer to these sequentially activated follicles as boundary follicles (Wave 1.5).

These follicles, whose granulosa cells are derived from bipotential pre-granulosa cells (BPGs),

remain dormant in the medulla and cortex until recruiting signals—either positive or negative—

become available. Boundary follicles are typically recruited around 2 weeks of age and constitute

the majority of developing follicles by 5 weeks. In parallel, wave 1 follicles remodel to support

androgen production through their remaining theca cells and associated interstitial glands.

1057

1058

1059

1060 Table 1. Summary of whole mount C57BL/6J ovary analyses

Postnatal age	Total follicles/ ovary	Antral	Wave 1 follicles/ovary	Reference files	Ovaries analyzed
P5-7	3822 +/- 838	0	278 +/- 17	1wk_1.mp4; 1wk_2.mp4	4
P10-P14	3304 +/- 926	0	293 +/- 36	2wk_1.mp4; 2wk_2.mp4	3
3 wk	3089 +/- 669	23 +/- 2	ND	3wk_1.mp4 3wk_2.mp4	3
4 wk	ND	35 +/- 2	ND	4wk_1.mp4 4wk_2.mp4	4
5 wk	ND	24 +/- 4	ND	5wk_1.mp4 5wk_2.mp4	5

1061 Numbers are mean and standard deviation.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

A

Foxl2 — CreERT2

ROSA26 — STOP — EYFP

TAM injection at E16.5

2 wk DAPI/EYFP/DDX4

Medulla
Boundary

100 µm

Boundary
Follicles
(Wave1.5)

20 µm

20 µm

20 µm

C

ROSA26 — STOP — EYFP

Foxl2 — CreERT2

TAM injection at E14.5

2 wk DAPI/EYFP/DDX4

Medulla

100 µm

Boundary
Follicles
(Wave1.5)

20 µm

D DAPI/EYFP/DDX4

50 µm

% EYFP labeled secondary follicles

Secondary

5 wk

B

No. of follicles

Labeled PFs   2 wk
421

Total follicles
5843

No. of follicles

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

A. UMAP plot with clusters 0–17.

B. UMAP plot labeled: Primordial, Primary, Secondary, Mitotic, Antral, Remodeling.

C. Dot plot. Identity (Mitotic, Remodeling, Antral, Secondary, Primary, Primordial) vs Features (Gatm, Col18a1, Igfbp5, Wnt4, Amh, Slc18a2, Fst, Tdrd5, Plk3ip1, Cfh, Mki67, Top2a). Average Expression (2, 1, 0, -1). Percent Expressed (25, 50, 75).

D. UMAP pseudotime plot labeled: Primordial, Path 1, Path 2. pseudotime scale.

E. UMAP plot with clusters 0–20.

F. UMAP plot labeled: Tagln+, Col11a1+, Hoxd9+, Cxcl12+, Early TCs, TBD, Late TCs, Col14a1+, Cd24a+, Mitotic.

G.

Cholesterol → Theca cells (STAR, CYP11A1, HSD3B1, CYP17A1) → Androgens → Granulosa cells (HSD17B1, CYP19A1) → Estrogens

		2 wk (9471)	3 wk (9253)	4 wk (11077)	5 wk (7779)	6 wk (10583)
Theca cells	Cyp11a1 &Hsd3b1 &Cyp17a1	96	75	147	633	89
	Cyp11a1 &Hsd3b1 &Cyp17a1 &Star	41 (42.7%)	32 (42.7%)	72 (49.0%)	526 (83.1%)	29 (32.6%)
Granulosa cells	Hsd3b1 &Hsd17b1 &Cyp19a1	247	202	68	238	626

H.

	2 wk	3 wk	4 wk	5 wk	6 wk
Primordial	316	206	124	81	81
Primary	794	742	392	230	365
Secondary	1086	1131	896	442	760
Antral	356	587	1416	590	1050
Remodeling	77	138	1348	766	910
Mitotic cycling	478	647	1072	620	749

Fig. 7

Supplemental Figure Legends

Figure supplement 1. Wave 1 follicle development in the early juvenile ovary.

(**A-D**) Representative images of wave 1 follicles stained for DDX4 (green) at P5, P7, P14 (2 wk), and P21 (3 wk). A: Early primary follicle with theca cells (arrow); B: Late primary follicle; C: Secondary follicle; D: Antral follicle. White arrowhead: non-surrounded nucleus; Red arrowhead: Pyknotic nuclei of granulosa cells. Scale bars in, A: 20 µm; others: 50 µm.

Figure supplement 2. Wave 1 follicles begin to remodel by 3 weeks.

(**A**) Electron microscopy of follicles at 5 wk ovary. Left: Normal follicle. Middle: intermediate follicle, in which the oocyte cytoplasm shrinks and begins degrade, indicated by the space between the oocyte and ZP, the absence of microvilli, and folding of the ZP (red arrow). Right: Remodeled follicle, where the oocyte has disappeared but the ZP remains. Surrounding theca and interstitial gland cells further accumulate lipid droplets. The boxed region is magnified in the lower panel. Abbreviations: Oo = oocyte; ZP = zona pellucida; LD = lipid droplet; mt = mitochondria; mv = microvilli. Scale bars = 20 µm. (**B**) DAPI-stained DBA/2J ovaries showing remodeling wave 1 follicles (arrowheads) and normally developing follicles (arrows). Left (3 wk): Early remodeling follicles are observed in the central medulla (arrowheads). Right (7 wk): Wave 1 follicle derivatives retain an empty shape (arrowheads), remaining visible alongside normal follicles (arrows) and corpora lutea (asterisks). Ovaries were stained and imaged as whole mounts; only a single section is shown here. Scale bars = 200 µm.

Figure supplement 3. Lineage labeling using *Foxl2-CreERT2* and *R26R-LSL-tdTomato*

(**A**) Schematic diagram of the experiment. The *Foxl2-CreERT2* mouse line was generated with EGFP inserted into the *Foxl2* locus, resulting in weak EGFP signal detectable in the cytoplasm

using an EGFP antibody. To rule out the possibility that the observed signal originated from the

Foxl2 locus rather than *ROSA26-EYFP*, we repeated the experiment using the *Foxl2-CreERT2;*

R26R-LSL-tdTomato mouse line. (**B**) At 2 wk, wave 1 follicle are labeled. By 5 wk, ome have

turned over, as indicated by cavities left by disappeared oocytes and remaining tdTomato signals

(arrowheads), while others have developed into antral follicles (arrow). One developing early

primary follicle in the boxed region is shown in panel C. Scale bars are included in the images.

(**C**) The EGFP channel shows a weak cytoplasmic signal from the Foxl2 locus, distinct from the

strong, evenly distributed expression of EYFP from the *ROSA-EYFP* reporter shown in Figure

3/4. Scale bar, 20 μm. (**D**) Boundary follicles (enlarged on the right) were observed in the 2 wk

ovary of *Foxl2-CreERT2; R26R-LSL-tdTomato* mouse line injected with TAM at E16.5. Scale

bars: left, 50 μm; right: 10 μm.

1097

Figure supplement 4. Expansion of theca cells and its related cells - interstitial gland

population.

(**A**) Immunofluorescence staining of CYP17A1 protein in the ovary shows a significant increase

of theca cells and interstitial gland within the stroma from 3 wk to 5 wwk. Scale bars = 50 μm.

(**B**) Immunofluorescence staining of *Cyp17a1* lineage-traced ovary at 2 wk shows initial

expression in theca cells and associated cells resembling interstitial gland cells (arrowheads).

Additionally, a few granulosa cells were labeled (arrows), as previously reported (Bridges et al.,

2008). Scale bar = 50 μm. (**C**) Structurally, steroidogenic theca cells closely resemble interstitial

gland cells. In the electron microscopy images, red lines delineate distinct zones enriched in

interstitial gland cells (1), theca cells (2), and granulosa cells (3), with each region magnified

below. Zone 1 (rectangle labeled "1") represents interstitial gland cells. Zone 2 (rectangle labeled

"2") contains steroidogenic theca cells. Zone 3 (rectangle labeled "3") corresponds to granulosa

cells. Abbreviations: nu – nucleus; LD – lipid droplet; mt – mitochondria. Scale bars are

included in the images. (**D**) *Cyp17a1* lineage-traced ovary at 4 wk and 7 wk shows a marked

increase in the number of labeled theca cells and interstitial gland cells by 7 weeks. Extensive

signal is also observed in the rete ovarii (arrowhead), indicating Cyp17a1 expression, as well as

in the ovarian epithelium (arrow). Scale bars = 200 μm.

1115

Figure supplement 5. Analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing

(**A**) nFeature_RNA of the scRNA-seq data shows that clusers 6, 11, 15, 29 have low UMI. (**B**)

Highlighting cluster 14 reveals its dispersed distribution. (**C**) The distribution of samples across

clusters shows that cells from each sample contribute to all clusters (orig.ident). (**D**) Feature plot

of marker gene expression from the reanalysis of mesenchymal cells, including late theca cells

(*Cyp11a1*), early theca cells (*Hhip*), Tagln+ fibroblasts (*Tagln*), Hox9+ fibroblasts (*Hox9*),

Col11a1+ fibroblasts (*Col11a1*), Cxcl12+ fibroblast (*Cxcl12*), Col14a1+ fibroblasts (*Col14a1*),

Cd24a+ fibroblasts (*Cd24a*) and mitotic cells (*Mki67*).

1124

Figure supplement 6. Analysis of other cell types

(**A**) Expression plot of representative genes highly expressed in cluster 22, identified as

endothelial cells in blood vessels, including *Esam*, *Flt1*, and *Cd93*. Red boxes in the top panel

are enlarged in the bottom panel. cluster 27: Endothelial cells from lymphatic vessels. (**B**)

Expression plot of representative genes highly expressed in cluster 27, identified as endothelial

cells in lymphatic vessels, including *Ccl21a*, *Lyve1*, and *Prox1*. Red boxes in the top panel are

enlarged in the bottom panel. (**C**) Expression plot of representative genes shared between

pericytes and endothelial cells, including *Ebf1*, *Emid1*, and *Apold1*. Red boxes in the top panel

are enlarged in the bottom panel. (**D**) Ridge plot of representative gene expression in epithelial

cells from the ovarian surface epithelium (cluster 25) and the rete ovarii (cluster 28). (**E**) Further

analysis of hematopoietic cells using re-clustering in Seurat identified 9 clusters across five

samples. (**F**) The deduced hematopoietic cell subgroups are labeled and indicated by colored

regions, including macrophages (clusters 0, 4, and 7), dendritic cells (cluster 3), neutrophils

(cluster 5), B cells (cluster 1), T cells (cluster 2), NK cells (cluster 6), and mitotic cells (cluster

8). (**G**) Dot plot showing marker gene expression across different hematopoietic cell types.

1140

Figure supplement 7. Hormone receptor expression and XO mouse line.

(**A**) Expression levels of hormone receptors (Y-axis) across different cell clusters (X-axis).

Androgen receptor (*Ar*) is expressed in nearly all cell types except hematopoietic cells. (*Esr1*) is

primarily expressed in theca cells, epithelial cells, and smooth muscle cells, whereas estrogen

receptor 2 (*Esr2*) is expressed in granulosa cells as expected. No expression of follicle-

stimulating hormone receptor (*Fshr*) is detected in any cell type, while luteinizing

hormone/choriogonadotropin receptor (*Lhcgr*) is specifically expressed in theca cells.

Progesterone receptor (*Pgr*) is present in smooth muscle cells but absent in granulosa cells. (**B**)

The total follicle count in XO mice at 1 week is significantly lower compared to control XX

mice. Follicle counts were performed using Imaris software on whole-mount stained ovaries. N =

3–5. ** $p < 0.01$. (**C**) By 2 weeks, statistical difference between XO and XX mice were

observed. Follicle counts were performed using Imaris software on whole-mount stained ovaries.

N = 4–5. ** $p < 0.01$; ns, not significant.

1154

Fig. S1

DAPI/DDX4

| P5 | P7 | P14 (2 wk) | P21 (3 wk) |

A — 20 µm
B — 50 µm
C — 50 µm
D — 50 µm

A

Fig. S2

Normal follicle

Intermediate follicle

Atretic follicle

B

DAPI (DBA/2J)

3 wk

7 wk

Fig. S3

Fig. S4

Fig. S4

Fig. S5

Fig. S6

Fig. S7