TITLE

Some like it hot: efficiency of the Type 3 Secretion System and activation of plant defenses

have multiple thermosensitive behaviors in the *Pseudomonas syringae* complex

AUTHORS

E. Caullireau[1,2], D. Danzi[1], V.M. Tempo[1], M. Pandolfo[1], C.E. Morris[2], E. Vandelle[1]

Corresponding author: elodiegenevieve.vandelle@univr.it

AFFILIATIONS

[1] Department of Biotechnology, University of Verona, Strada Le Grazie 15, 37134 Verona, Italy.

[2] Plant Pathology, INRAE, 84140 Montfavet, France.

ABSTRACT

The *Pseudomonas syringae* species complex is a very important plant pathogenic bacterium,

causing damage and economic losses on many crops. Research efforts for the understanding

of the determinants of the host-range of a given strain are considerable. Recently, we showed

that the inability of a *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* strain to trigger ETI in *A. thaliana* is due to an

inefficient T3SS and not to the absence of a recognized effector. In this context, we compared

several *P. syringae* strains belonging to different phylogroups and carrying the same plasmid-

born avirulence gene for their ability to induce an HR in *A. thaliana* Col-0, as a marker of T3SS

efficiency. *Pto* DC3000 *AvrB* and *Pma* M6 *AvrB* consistently triggered a strong HR while other

strains induced it at different intensities significantly depending on temperature. Both

behaviors of low and warm temperature-dependency for T3SS efficiency were observed

among thermosensitive strains, irrespective of their *in-vitro* growth optimum. Surprisingly,

differences were also observed among quasi-clonal strains. These results reveal a strongly

strain-specific regulatory role of temperature in effector injection and reinforce the notion that

the presence/absence of effectors is not sufficient to predict the outcome of plant-bacteria

interactions. Moreover, this work highlights the necessity to study bacterial virulence in a

broader set of strains insofar as *Pto* DC3000 is a reliable model strain but not representative

of the *P. syringae* complex.

FUNDING

The doctoral grant of E.C. was funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and

Research.

INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas syringae is a gram-negative bacterium that poses a threat to global agricultural production with new outbreaks that emerge regularly (Lamichhane *et al.*, 2014, 2015). *P. syringae* has been divided into 13 phylogenetic groups (phylogroups, PG), further divided into 23 clades (Berge *et al.*, 2014). During the infection process, *P. syringae* relies on an arsenal of secreted virulence factors, such as secretion systems, quorum sensing (QS), production of phytotoxins (coronatine, syringomycin...), hormones, exopolysaccharides (EPS), siderophores etc. (Melotto & Kunkel, 2013; Sharma *et al.*, 2023). *P. syringae* pathogenesis is predominantly mediated by the type III secretion system (T3SS), a highly conserved needle-like specialized protein secretion machinery, found in numerous gram-negative bacterial pathogens affecting plants and animals. T3SS forms a continuous channel, guiding the translocation of diverse proteinaceous effectors (T3SEs) from the bacterium directly into the host cell or apoplast (Roine *et al.*, 1997; Jin & He, 2001; Li, 2002; Büttner & He, 2009). T3SEs facilitate the invasion of plant host cells, suppress plant immunity, or interfere with essential plant cellular processes (Lee, 1997; Mudgett & Staskawicz, 1998; Hueck, 1998; Galán & Collmer, 1999; Cunnac *et al.*, 2009; Guo *et al.*, 2009). *P. syringae* strains in the phylogroups with the canonical T3SS commonly harbor a repertoire of approximately 15-30 T3SEs, playing distinct roles within the host plant (Guttman *et al.*, 2002; Chang *et al.*, 2005; Lindeberg *et al.*, 2012; Xin *et al.*, 2016, 2018; Martel *et al.*, 2022). Of note, there is no direct relationship between the size or content of the T3SE repertoire and host range (Baltrus *et al.*, 2017; Morris *et al.*, 2019). When considered individually, numerous T3SEs are dispensable for *P. syringae* virulence. However, collectively, they redundantly contribute to disarming plant immunity (Lindeberg *et al.*, 2012; Ruiz-Bedoya *et al.*, 2023).

Throughout their co-evolution with pathogens, plants have evolved an extensive array of

defenses to recognize them and block pathogenesis. Common bacterial features such as

flagellin, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), peptidoglycan and elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu) contain

conserved molecular motifs (Pathogen or Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns; P/MAMPs)

that are sensed by the plant as non-self, through their binding to Pattern Recognition

Receptors (PRRs) situated on the plant cell surface (Boller & Felix, 2009; Cui *et al.*, 2009). Non-

self detection by PRRs is the first step of the PTI or MTI, for PAMP-/MAMP-Triggered Immunity,

implying then various cellular responses to inhibit pathogen growth and virulence

mechanisms, such as T3SS activation for bacterial pathogens (Crabill *et al.*, 2010; Anderson *et*

al., 2014). On the other hand, T3SEs serve the primary function of subverting PTI, targeting

key signaling components to interfere with their function. In response, plants have evolved

cytoplasmic receptors called 'resistance' (R) proteins to detect some of these effectors, either

directly or indirectly, leading to the activation of the Effector-Triggered Immunity (ETI)

(Thomma *et al.*, 2011). R genes typically encode for NLR proteins (Nucleotide-binding

oligomerization domain-Like Receptor), consisting of a nucleotide-binding site (NBS) and a

leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain (Monteiro & Nishimura, 2018). PTI and ETI are unlikely to be

separate processes as suggested in the 'zigzag' model (Jones & Dangl, 2006), but both non-self

and virulence effector recognition by membrane and cytoplasmic receptors are simultaneously

involved in a global surveillance system to respond effectively to pathogen attack (Ngou *et al.*,

2021; Yuan *et al.*, 2021). ETI is a potentiation of PTI, often leading to rapid and localized

programmed cell death, known as the hypersensitive response (HR). HR generally occurs

within 2 to 6 hours post initial host-pathogen contact, inhibiting the propagation of (hemi)-

biotrophic pathogens, such as *P. syringae* (Coll *et al.*, 2011; Balint-Kurti, 2019).

According to the 'disease triangle' paradigm (Stevens, 1960), plant diseases arise from the

interplay between a susceptible plant and a virulent pathogen, under conducive

environmental conditions, resulting in a compatible reaction. Overall plant-pathogen

interaction mechanisms are highly influenced by environmental conditions, which can either

favor, have neutral effects, or hinder both partners (Velásquez *et al.*, 2018; Xin *et al.*, 2018).

Among the environmental factors, temperature has a regulatory role of virulence in plant-

associated bacteria (reviewed in Smirnova *et al.*, 2001; Velásquez *et al.*, 2018).

Within the *P. syringae* species complex, temperature variations impact various traits crucial for

bacterial fitness, including phytotoxins, EPS biosynthesis, motility or quorum-sensing (Nüske

& Fritsche, 1989; Palmer & Bender, 1993; Peñaloza-Vázquez *et al.*, 1997; Bender *et al.*, 1999;

Ullrich *et al.*, 2000; Smirnova *et al.*, 2001; Weingart *et al.*, 2004; Li *et al.*, 2006; Aguilera *et al.*,

2007, 2017; Braun *et al.*, 2009; Hockett *et al.*, 2013; Arvizu-Gómez *et al.*, 2013a; Scalschi *et al.*,

2022; Krishna *et al.*, 2022). T3SS has also been investigated concerning its possible

thermoregulation. In *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* and pv. *tomato* DC3000, the *in-vitro* secretion of

HopPsyA and AvrPto effectors was impaired at temperatures above 22°C and 20°C, indicating

some kind of low-temperature dependency for their T3SS efficiency (Van Dijk *et al.*, 1999). In

contrast, recent findings in strain DC3000 revealed that the translocation of T3SEs in *A.*

thaliana cells is enhanced at 30°C compared to 23°C, indicating the possible T3SS efficiency

even at elevated temperatures (Huot *et al.*, 2017). However, in tomato, the expression of T3SS-

related genes *hrpL* and *hrpA* in this same strain was not affected by a temperature rise from

26°C to 31°C, although the expression of the avirulence gene *AvrPtoB* was significantly reduced

(Scalschi *et al.*, 2022). On the other hand, for *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* strains, it was shown

that there was no difference in *hrpA1* promoter activity *in vitro* across a temperature range of

18-28°C, nonetheless, substantial differences were evident at a functional level, demonstrating

a low-temperature dependency for T3SS efficiency for these strains (Puttilli *et al.*, 2022).

However, the complete implications of warm temperatures on *P. syringae* virulence in plants

remain poorly understood (Li *et al.*, 2006; Hockett *et al.*, 2013). Studies indicate complex

interactions with plant immune signaling pathways, emphasizing the need for further research

to understand their implications in terms of disease (Cheng *et al.*, 2013; Huot *et al.*, 2017).

Thermosensing principles in phytopathogens are less understood than those of pathogens of

warm-blooded organisms. They were assumed to be different, given the ecological differences

between these groups of pathogens (Smirnova *et al.*, 2001; Klinkert & Narberhaus, 2009).

Nevertheless, some common mechanisms have been identified in both plant- and mammal-

associated bacteria. Various molecular strategies are involved in sensing temperature, such as

two-component systems (TCSs) that typically involve a membrane-bound sensor histidine

kinase that detects environmental stimuli and a cytoplasmic response regulator influencing

gene expression upon phosphorylation of an aspartic acid (Klinkert & Narberhaus, 2009).

Several TCS were shown to be involved in the thermoregulation of virulence mechanisms, such

as the VirA/G system in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Jin *et al.*, 1993), the GacS/GacA system

in *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* (Arvizu-Gómez *et al.*, 2013b; Tribelli & López, 2022) and the

CorRSP system in *P. syringae* pv. *glycinea* (Ullrich *et al.*, 1995).

Evidence of the influence of temperature in regulating virulence factors in *P. syringae* species

complex has been documented, however, there have not been studies dedicated to comparing

strains under identical conditions. Consequently, we wanted to further understand the role of

the temperature on the function/activation dynamics of the T3SS, investigating a broader

range of diversity within the *P. syringae* species complex under comparable conditions. For this

purpose, we transformed 13 strains of *P. syringae* to carry the effector AvrB and infiltrated

them into *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 leaf disks. In this model plant, AvrB is indirectly

recognized by the resistance protein RPM1, through RIN4 modification, which triggers HR

(Innes *et al.*, 1993; Mackey *et al.*, 2002; Mudgett, 2005). Infiltrated leaf disks were incubated

at different temperatures and the quantification of electrolyte leakage served as the indicator

of HR onset. Conductivity increase was used as a proxy for T3SS efficiency, and this allowed us

to effectively discriminate strains based on a robust phenotype directly linked to the activation

of the T3SS. Based on the different behaviors we observed in response to temperature, we

investigated the genomes of the strains in an attempt to decipher the origin of the

thermosensing mechanisms.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Thirteen *Pseudomonas syringae* strains were selected for this study (**Tab. 1**). These strains represented four phylogroups/clades (1a, 1b, 2d, and 3a). The geographical origin and isolation source of the strains varied, encompassing annual crops (*e.g.* M6, T1) (Whalen *et al.*, 1991; Debener *et al.*, 1991), woody plants (*e.g.* J35, CRA-FRU 8.43, MAFF302273) (Takikawa *et al.*, 1989; Sawada *et al.*, 1999; Ferrante & Scortichini, 2010), and environmental reservoirs like rivers or snow (*e.g.* LAB0041, USA007) (Morris *et al.*, 2010; Berge *et al.*, 2014). Genomic relatedness within a phylogroup was also considered, aiming for a balance of very divergent and closely related strains, such as the three 'CC' strains (CC0073, CC0094, and CC1498) that are quasi-clones (Morris *et al.*, 2000, 2008; Monteil *et al.*, 2016). Model strains DC3000, B728a and 1448A were also included in the set as there is a plethora of information about their biology (Cuppels, 1986; Loper & Lindow, 1987; Taylor *et al.*, 1996).

Wild-type (WT) *P. syringae* strains were grown at 28°C on King's B agar (KB) (King *et al.*, 1954) – supplemented with rifampicin at 50µg/mL for DC3000 and B728a strains. Kanamycin at 50µg/mL was added for the culture of *AvrB* mutants. Single colonies of each strain were picked and grown overnight in liquid KB medium – supplemented with rifampicin at 50µg/mL for DC3000 and B728a strains and with kanamycin at 50µg/mL for *AvrB* mutants, with agitation at 190rpm at 28°C.

AvrB-transformation

Four mL of fresh WT bacterial suspension were centrifuged (5min at 4500g at 4°C) and pellets were washed three times in 1mL and then resuspended in 30-50µL of sterile glycerol 10%

solution kept on ice. Once the pure plasmid carrying *AvrB* gene (pVB01 Km[R]; Innes *et al.*, 1993)

was added to the suspensions, they underwent then an electroporation process. Once

recovered, the suspensions were streaked on petri dishes containing KB agar – supplemented

with kanamycin at 50µg/mL for all strains plus rifampicin at 50µg/mL for DC3000 and B728a

strains. Plates were incubated for 48 up to 72 hours a 28°C. Mutant colonies were picked and

AvrB presence was checked by PCR using Promega GoTaq™ DNA polymerase (Fisher Scientific,

USA) and specific primers (FOR: ATGGGCTGCGTCTCGTCAAAAAGCA, REV:

TTAAAAGCAATCAGAATCTAGCAAG, annealing temperature= 65°C) followed by electrophoresis

of the amplicons on a 1-1.5% (w/v) agarose gel.

***AvrB* expression control**

Relative expression levels of *AvrB* among the mutant strains were evaluated by real-time PCR.

Four mL of fresh suspension of *AvrB*-transformed *P. syringae* strains grown overnight in

selective medium were centrifuged (5min at 4500g at room temperature) and total RNA was

extracted from bacterial pellets using TRIzol substitute (EuroGold TriFast™ Kit, EuroClone,

Italy) according to manufacturer instructions. Extraction yield and quality of the transcripts

were checked by spectrophotometric reading (NanoDrop™, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Samples with absorbance ratios A_{260nm}/A_{230nm} or A_{260nm}/A_{280nm} outside the range of [2 ± 0.5]

were cleaned following a lithium chloride RNA precipitation treatment (solution made of 7.5M

LiCl, 50mM EDTA, pH 8.0). 1-2µg RNA per sample underwent DNase treatment (TURBO™

DNase, Life Technologies, USA) then the single-stranded complementary DNA synthesis was

performed from 0.5-1µg of template RNA using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase enzyme

(Invitrogen, USA) according to manufacturer instructions. Samples get 10-fold diluted and

procedure was performed using Luna® Universal qPCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs, USA)

and *rpoD* housekeeping gene as reference, and amplification was run on a QuantStudio™ 3

real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) (primers *AvrB* FOR: ATACTCTGCATTCGCCTCCG,

REV: TCAACGACTCTGGCAAGGAC; primers *rpoD* FOR: AACTTGCGTCTGGTGATCTC, REV:

ATCAAGCCGATGTTGCCTTC). *AvrB* expression was determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method and

normalized against *rpoD*, and fold-induction for each strain was established relative to the

strain DC3000 *AvrB.*

Ion-leakage experiments

Hypersensitive response (HR) induction in *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 was assessed by

quantifying programmed cell death through ion-leakage measurement, as previously

published (Johansson *et al.*, 2015; Imanifard *et al.*, 2018) with slight modifications. *A. thaliana*

Col-0 plants were cultivated in a mixture of peat soil and perlite (4/1, v/v) in a growth chamber

set at 24°C/21.5°C thermoperiod and 8 h light–16 h dark photoperiod with 70% of relative

humidity for 6 to 8 weeks. Three healthy plants with six 5-mm leaf disks detached from a same

plant with a cork borer were used for each experimental condition. To recognize the plant they

were detached from, leaf disks were marked with a colored felt-tip and were then transferred

into a 50mL tube containing $MgCl_2$ 10mM solution while bacterial suspension preparation. Ten

mL of fresh suspension of *AvrB*-expressing *P. syringae* strains grown overnight in selective

medium were centrifuged (5min at 4500g at room temperature) and pellets were washed

three times in 10mL of sterile $MgCl_2$ 10mM solution. Optical density at 600nm was measured

by spectrophotometer (Evolution™, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and bacterial cells were

finally resuspended in sterile $MgCl_2$ 10mM solution to reach 10^8 CFU/mL (OD_{600nm} = 0.1; final

volume = 30mL). Leaf disks were infiltrated with the bacterial suspensions using a 50mL syringe

or a vacuum chamber. Sterile $MgCl_2$ 10mM solution or *hrp*-inducing medium (HIM; using

209 glycerol 27mM as carbon source; pH 5.5) (Huynh *et al.*, 1989) were used as negative controls.

210 Infiltrated leaf disks were rinsed twice for 15m in 40mL of milliQ water under gentle agitation

211 (90 rpm) at room temperature to eliminate suspension/mock solution trace and ions leaked

212 due to mechanical damage. Infiltrated leaf disks were then distributed into 12-well plate

213 containing 2mL of milliQ water per well in order to have: (i) six disks per well, (ii) two disks

214 from each of the three different plants per well, (iii) three identical wells (one plate column)

215 per each experimental modality. Initial conductivity values (μS.cm^{-1}) in each well was

216 measured prior plates incubation (120rpm; constant light of 80 μmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1}) at different

217 temperatures (18, 24 and 28°C) using a compact conductometer (LAQUAtwin EC-11, HORIBA

218 Scientific, Japan). Conductivity increase was measured every 1.5-2 hours during the first 8

219 hours of the experiment, and then twice between 18- and 24-hours post-inoculation (hpi),

220 obtaining from 6 to 9 conductivity measures on average per well. Each experimental modality

221 (*i.e.* one specific *AvrB*-transformed strain infiltrated and then incubated at a certain

222 temperature) was reproduced at least three times (at least 3 `biological replicates' or

223 `replicated experiments').

225 **Statistical analysis**

226 For each technical replicate, the conductivity value from the first measure was subtracted to

227 values measured at each successive time points for normalization (Puttilli *et al.,* 2022). For

228 each strain-temperature modality within each replicated experiment, conductivity was

229 calculated as the average of the values of the three technical replicates for each time point,

230 and ion-leakage curves over time were established accordingly. Area under each single

231 conductivity progress curve was calculated (AUCPC), as well as variance and mean AUCPC per

232 modality. Moreover, conductivity values corresponding to precisely 6 hpi and 24 hpi were

calculated via linear interpolation, and the ratio 6 hpi/24 hpi was used as a dynamic parameter,

indicating how fast a given strain induced HR at a given temperature. Comparison of strains

with each other based on the 6 hpi/24 hpi conductivity ratio was assessed by analysis of

variance (Kruskal-Wallis test) followed by a post-hoc Dunn's test. The same procedure was

conducted to compare the three different temperatures regardless of the strain. Data were

represented graphically by boxplots.

Each single conductivity progress curve constituted from 6 to 9 time points and was considered

as one statistical individual (*i.e.*, one iteration) to fit Linear Mixed-effects Models (LMM). This

allowed us to account for the important variability among the diverse experimental replicates

of a same modality and, most importantly, to consider the entire time course of electrolyte

leakage, rather than focusing solely on isolated time points. The mathematical representation

of LMM is: $Y = X\beta + Z\upsilon + \varepsilon$; where Y represents the dependent variable (the measured feature,

i.e. conductivity increase), $X\beta$ stands for the fixed effects (*i.e.* the potential explanatory

variables we want to assess the effect on Y), $Z\upsilon$ stands for the random effects (all the unknown

parameters that may be responsible for the huge variability among the different replicated

experiments and which we are not directly interested to), and ε represents the residual. Using

LMM in RStudio, we evaluated (i) the strain effect (*i.e.* if the ion-leakage following *AvrB*-

expressing strain infiltration was significantly different from the ion-leakage due to mock

treatment infiltration) to categorize each strain as 'HR-inducer' or 'non-inducer' at each of the

tested temperatures; and (ii) the temperature effect (*i.e.* if the ion-leakage following *AvrB*-

expressing strain infiltration was significantly different as the incubation temperature changed)

on the strains considered as HR-inducers at least at two of the tested temperatures. In this

way we estimated the values of model parameters and the associated p-values .

In-vitro HrpZ secretion

Secretion of HrpZ *in vitro* was assessed for each strain used in ion leakage experiments. Twenty mL of fresh suspension of *AvrB*-expressing *P. syringae* strains grown overnight in selective medium were centrifuged (5min at 4500g at room temperature) and pellets were washed three times in 10mL of sterile minimal medium (HIM). Optical density at 600nm was measured with a spectrophotometer (Evolution™, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and bacterial cells were resuspended in sterile HIM to reach 7×10^8 CFU/mL ($OD_{600nm} = 0.7$; final volume = 150mL). Suspensions were divided into three portions of 50mL, each was separately incubated at 18°C, 24°C and 28°C with agitation at 190rpm. After 5 and 24 hours of incubation, 25mL of each bacterial suspension was sampled and absorbance at 600nm was measured again (Evolution™, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). Cell-free culture supernatant was obtained by centrifugation and filtration, and proteins of supernatant were then precipitated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) as previously described (Kobayashi *et al.*, 1997; Flaugnatti & Journet, 2017) with TCA final concentration at 5%.

Samples were mixed with loading buffer (DTT 50mM) and run (10 min at 100V; 80 min at 130V) through two 1.5mm sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) 12%, either loading 25µL of each sample, or adjusting volume to load the supernatant extracted from *ca.* 10^7 cells ($OD_{600nm} = 0.6$, final volume = 17.5µL). 180kDa-PageRuler™ (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) was used as protein ladder. One gel was used to assess total soluble protein content in each sample and thus confirm the correct loading ratio: after rinsing with milli-Q water, the gel was stained for 20 minutes in Coomassie R-250 staining solution (isoprophyl alcohol 25%, acetid acid 10%, Coomassie R-250 0.05%) and destained with acetic acid 10% until complete removal of the background. The second gel was transferred onto nitrocellulose blotting membrane (GE HealthCare, USA) for Western Blot analysis. The

membrane was immersed for one hour at 37°C in a blocking solution made of phosphate-

buffered saline solution (PBS) (NaCl 137mM, KCl 2.7mM, Na_2HPO_4 10mM, KH_2PO_4 1.8mM),

supplemented with Tween® 20 0.05% and non-fat dry milk 5%. Then, the membrane was

probed with the specific primary anti-HrpZ antibody (Alfano *et al.*, 1996), diluted 1:500 in the

same blocking solution, and incubated at room temperature for 1h and a half. Following

primary antibody incubation, membrane was washed 3 times for 15m in PBS supplemented

with Tween® 20 0.05% under gentle agitation in the dark. Then, the membrane was probed

for 1h at room temperature in the dark using the conjugated secondary antibody to

horseradish peroxidise (Amersham Biosciences, USA), diluted 1:10000 in the same blocking

solution. Finally, after three additional washings in PBS (supplemented with Tween® 20 0.05%

for the first two), proteins were visualised (Chemidoc^{TM}, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) by

enhanced chemiluminescent (ECL) detection (GE HealthCare, USA). Blot pictures were

analyzed using ImageLab software (BioRad, USA). The intensity of each band was normalized

to the intensity of the 70kDa band from the ladder. When necessary, relative quantity was then

normalized to the optical density measured by the end of the incubation period to adjust the

results to the number of cells initially present in each sample.

In-vitro growth measurement

In-vitro bacterial growth under different temperatures was assessed for each strain. Four mL

of fresh suspension of *AvrB*-expressing *P. syringae* strains grown overnight in selective medium

were centrifuged (5min at 4500g at room temperature) and pellets were washed three times

in 1mL of fresh liquid KB. Optical density at 600nm was measured by spectrophotometer

(Evolution™, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and bacterial cells were then resuspended to reach

2×10^7 CFU/mL (OD_{600nm} = 0.02; final volume = 2mL). Suspensions were aliquoted in a

microtiter plate (200µL per well), with 6 technical replicates per strain, and plates were

incubated at 18°C, 24°C and 28°C. Liquid KB (supplemented or not with the antibiotics) was

used as negative control. Absorbance at 600nm was measured every 1.5-2 hours during the

first 8 hours of the experiment, and then two or three times between 18 and 24 hpi, obtaining

from 6 to 9 absorbance measures per well. To eliminate unspecific absorbance signals due to

the color of KB medium and the antibiotics, the value from the first measure was subtracted

from values measured at each successive time points. Absorbance was then calculated as the

average of the values of the six technical replicates for each time point, and bacterial growth

curves over time were established accordingly. Each strain-temperature modality was

reproduced at least twice, except for T1.

Genome comparisons

Genomes of the 13 *AvrB*-expressing strains used in ion-leakage experiments were retrieved

mostly from public sequence databases (Pseudomonas Genome Database and NCBI); draft

genomes of strains LAB0041, CC0073 and CC1498 were provided by Dr. Cindy Morris. To

analyze the genomes, a custom bioinformatic pipeline was created, with the help of the

Nextflow framework (Di Tommaso *et al.*, 2017). The pipeline was composed of several steps:

i) the FastANI tool was used for rapid many-to-many ANI calculation (Jain *et al.*, 2018); ii)

BUSCO was used to assess both the genome quality and protein annotations quality (Manni *et*

al., 2021); iii) the Prokka pipeline was used for the protein annotation (Seemann, 2014); iv) the

EggNog-mapper tool was used for the functional annotation (Cantalapiedra *et al.*, 2021); and

v) the Panaroo pipeline was implemented for the creation of a pangenome of the 13 strains

(Tonkin-Hill *et al.*, 2020). Then, the Panaroo output table of gene presence/absence was

exploited via an R script to produce interactive tables to determine the number of total genes

and specific genes per strain. These tables allowed for the selection of genes present in a given

strain, and queries could be performed to obtain information for genes shared by strains.

RESULTS

The intensity of HR induction is not strictly reproducible for individual strains.

To evaluate T3SS functionality at different temperatures, *AvrB*-transformed *P. syringae* strains

were infiltrated into *A. thaliana* Col-0 leaf disks. As a T3SS-dependent mechanism, HR was

quantified by measuring the increase in ion leakage due to dying cells. Conductivity levels

measured among the diverse replicated experiments were highly variable. The conductivity

value measured at 24 hours post-infection (hpi) for a given modality (*i.e.*, one strain infiltrated

and incubated at one temperature) doubled or tripled among replicated experiments (*e.g.*

conductivity values ranged from 80 μS.cm^{-1} to 226 μS.cm^{-1} for strain DC3000 *AvrB* when

incubated at 18°C) (**Fig. 1**). This variability is illustrated in **Fig. 2** for the seven replicated

experiments for strain USA007 *AvrB* at 18°C (ratio of areas under the curves calculated for the

replicated experiments that induced the most and the least = 2.8). Moreover, conductivity

showed considerable heteroscedasticity, *i.e.* there was a positive correlation between the

mean area under the curves and their variance with Spearman's coefficient = 0.91; $p < 0.05$

(**Supplementary Fig. S1**). Four examples of the relationship between variability and mean

conductivity are shown in **Fig. 2**. This large variability raised the question of how the HR

induction could be quantified to compare the strains, as addressed in the next section.

Intensity of HR induction varies among strains.

To compare HR induction intensity among strains, we accounted for the variability described

above by fitting Linear Mixed effects Models to our data. This statistical approach allowed us

to classify strains as inducers or non-inducers at each of the temperatures that we tested. This

revealed that almost all the *P. syringae* strains induced HR except for J35 *AvrB* at all the

temperatures, MAFF302273 *AvrB* at 24°C and 28°C, B728a *AvrB* at 24°C, and 1448A and CRA-

FRU 8.43 at 28°C (**Tab. 2**). The inducer strains led to conductivity levels that were significantly

greater than those measured in the mock treatment (MgCl2 10mM or *hrp*-inducing medium

HIM) (p < 0.05) according to Linear Mixed-effects Models. More specifically, our results

demonstrated that all the inducer strains varied in the intensity of the HR induction they

caused. The model estimated the mean difference in points of conductivity measured between

the tested modality and the mock treatment. The values presented in **Tab. 2** ("Estimate") are

proxies of the intensity of HR induction for each strain. DC3000 *AvrB* and M6 *AvrB* displayed

the highest values ("Estimate" > 65 regardless of the temperature tested), whereas some

others such as the three quasi-clonal strains CC0073 *AvrB*, CC0094 *AvrB* and CC1498 *AvrB*

averaged less than 20. These differences are also reflected in the mean areas under

conductivity progress curves (AUCPC) at each temperature as shown in **Fig. 3**. This proxy of the

HR induction intensity was correlated neither with the *AvrB* expression levels (**Supplementary**

Fig. S2) nor with the bacterial growth capacity at a given temperature (**Supplementary Fig.**

S3).

Intensity of HR induction is temperature-sensitive in half of the inducer strains.

With Linear Mixed-effects Models, we categorized the HR inducer strains according to the

temperature-sensitivity of HR induction. Six out of eleven strains were insensitive to a change

in temperature (DC3000 *AvrB*, M6 *AvrB*, LAB0041 *AvrB*, CC0073 *AvrB*, 1448A *AvrB*) (p > 0.05)

(**Tab. 2**). The other HR inducer strains were considered temperature-sensitive (p < 0.05) and

could be categorized into two groups according to whether the "Estimate" values were

negative or positive. In one group, strains showed higher conductivity with lower temperatures

(T1 *AvrB*, CRA-FRU 8.43 *AvrB* and USA007 *AvrB*). In the case of CRA-FRU 8.43 *AvrB* strain, the

decrease in conductivity observed with increasing temperature led the strain to be categorized

as a non-inducer at 28°C (**Tab. 2**). In the other group, strains showed higher conductivity with

higher temperatures (CC0094 *AvrB*, CC1498 *AvrB* and B728a *AvrB*). Temperature-sensitivity of

the *AvrB*-transformed strains was further confirmed by the analysis of HrpZ secretion *in vitro*

as an assessment of T3SS functionality. Interestingly, the strain MAFF302273 *AvrB* displayed a

functional T3SS, while it constantly did not lead to conductivity increase once infiltrated into

leaf disks, suggesting T3SS inactivation in *A. thaliana* (**Supplementary Fig. S4**).

Temperature responsiveness patterns vary among strains but remain consistent within the

same phylogroup.

A given phylogroup could contain strains that induced HR in temperature-sensitive and

temperature-insensitive ways (**Tab. 2**). However, temperature-sensitive strains within a same

phylogroup showed the same trend relative to increasing temperature. Indeed, the group of

strains whose T3SS was more efficient at the cooler temperatures (*i.e.*, low-temperature-

dependent; T1 *AvrB*, CRA-FRU 8.43 *AvrB* and USA007 *AvrB*) and the group whose T3SS was

more efficient at warmer temperatures (*i.e.*, warm-temperature-dependent; CC0094 *AvrB*,

CC1498 *AvrB* and B728a *AvrB*) belong to the phylogroups 1 and 2d, respectively (**Tab. 2**).

Additionally, HrpZ secretion by MAFF302273 *AvrB* was more important as the temperature

rose, revealing a pattern of warm-temperature dependency for T3SS efficiency, similar to the

other thermosensitive strains belonging to the same phylogroup 2d (**Supplementary Fig. S4**).

Nevertheless, dramatic differences among strains even very closely related phylogenetically

were still observed, as illustrated by the three quasi-clonal *AvrB*-transformed strains from

phylogroup 2d, CC0073, CC0094 and CC1498 (**Tab. 2**) (**Fig. 4**). CC0073 *AvrB* was temperature-

independent in its ability to induce HR while CC0094 *AvrB* and CC1498 *AvrB* both showed

clearly greater capacity at warmer temperatures. Moreover, in terms of intensity, CC1498 *AvrB*

led to higher values of conductivity measured at 24 hpi than CC0073 *AvrB* and CC0094 *AvrB*.

Finally, in terms of kinetics, CC0073 *AvrB* was responsible for a faster HR induction at the

beginning of the interaction – no matter the temperature concerned – that stalled, whereas

CC0094 *AvrB* and CC1498 *AvrB* were much slower in inducing HR (**Fig. 4**).

Strains from the *P. syringae* complex display diverse speeds of HR induction.

To account for dynamic aspects in the behaviors of *AvrB*-transformed *P. syringae* strains, the

ratio of conductivity values measured at 6 hpi and 24 hpi was calculated for each replicated

experiment for all modalities that were found to be HR-inducing. This parameter was used as

a proxy for HR induction velocity. An analysis of variance of these ratios revealed significant

differences among *AvrB*-transformed strains (ANOVA1, $p < 0.05$). DC3000 *AvrB* and M6 *AvrB*

proved to be the fastest HR inducers with more than 70% of their total induction already

observable at 6 hpi (**Fig. 5**). Yet again, the diversity of behaviors within phylogroups was

revealed, even among the three *AvrB*-transformed quasi-clonal strains (**Fig. 5**, post-hoc Dunn's

test showed that CC0073 *AvrB* and CC0094 *AvrB* and CC1498 *AvrB* groups differed significantly

at $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, 6 hpi/24 hpi-ratios were compared across the different

temperatures overall revealing that higher temperatures correlated with faster electrolyte

leakage, consistent with the results observed for bacterial *in-vitro* growth (**Supplementary Fig.**

S5).

Whole genome sequences suggest a few candidate genes for temperature-sensitivity.

As we wanted to decipher the origin of sensitivity to the temperature for HR induction, we

applied a comparative genomic approach focusing on the three quasi-clonal strains CC0073,

CC0094 and CC1498. These strains displayed at least 99.84% homology (ANI calculation).

Among the whole set of strains, 286 putative genes were specific to the three CC-strains, and

76 were common only to the two warm temperature-dependent ones (CC0094 and CC1498)

(**Fig. 6**). By comparing the putative genes present in CC0094 and CC1498 but missing in CC0073

(regardless of their presence/absence in the other strains) the list was extended to 173 genes,

with 94% of the proteins encoded by these genes unknown (neither the name nor the

function) and only 10 putative genes with a known or putative function (**Supplementary Tab.**

S1).

DISCUSSION

New perspectives on virulence thermoregulation processes.

The diverse behaviors of T3SS efficiency observed in relation to incubation temperature indicate that temperature plays a crucial role in regulating bacterial virulence. This observation is another piece of evidence out of the many reported over the past 30 years regarding the role of temperature in virulence regulation in plant-associated bacteria (reviewed in Smirnova *et al.*, 2001; Velásquez *et al.*, 2018). Our work has presented new examples of relatively warm-temperature dependency for virulence efficiency, consistent with previous findings about gene expression beyond and within the *P. syringae* species complex (Peñaloza-Vázquez *et al.*, 1997; Wei *et al.*, 2008, 2010; Czajkowski *et al.*, 2017; Huot *et al.*, 2017; Krishna *et al.*, 2022). Altogether, these pieces of evidence do not align with the long-standing notion according to which "almost all virulence genes of plant pathogenic bacteria [...] exhibit increased transcription at temperatures well-below the respective growth optima" (Smirnova *et al.*, 2001). Such misconception has led some authors to conclude that "the increase of bacterial growth in plants at elevated temperature cannot be explained by variations in intrinsic bacterial growth rate or secretion of effector proteins at different temperatures. A slow HR is also unlikely to be caused by a slow accumulation of bacteria or effector proteins leading to a slower detection by plants" (Wang *et al.*, 2009). Such statements are strongly contradicted by our results, and no longer included in the conclusions of other important and more recent articles that present an implicitly updated perspective with which our work aligns (Huot *et al.*, 2017; Velásquez *et al.*, 2018; Tribelli & López, 2022).

Effector repertoire role in the lack of HR induction.

Although *AvrB* expression was assessed for all the strains, two of them (J35 *AvrB* and

MAFF302273 *AvrB*) were categorized as non-inducers for at least two of the tested

temperatures. While HrpZ secretion data *in vitro* confirmed that J35 *AvrB*'s T3SS was unactive,

the contrary was demonstrated for MAFF302273 *AvrB*. Consequently, the lack of HR induction

could result from the T3SS inactivation in *A. thaliana*, potentially because of a quick PTI onset

(Crabill *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, it could also be a matter of speed of ETI onset, whose

rapidity prevents cell death occurrence (Künstler *et al.*, 2016). Otherwise, strain MAFF302273

AvrB may contain in its repertoire some suppressive effector(s) blocking the ability of AvrB to

trigger the HR (Jamir *et al.*, 2004), or some effector(s) targeting a molecular actor involved in

both PTI and ETI, or simply blocking the PTI – required in the first place for ETI activation.

Consistently we checked for effector repertoire composition for strain MAFF302273 (PsyTEC,

Laflamme *et al.*, 2020). To the best of our knowledge, no evidence of direct or indirect

antagonism between AvrB and other effectors naturally present was found. However, it is not

possible to exclude the occurrence of 'meta-effector' interactions (Kubori *et al.*, 2010; Martel

et al., 2022). Overall, the in-depth-study of the effector repertoires of all the strains used in

our work could help to explain the differences observed in terms of HR intensity, with one or

more effectors that may inhibit HR but also enhance it conversely – as observed for strain

CC1498 *AvrB* versus strain CC0094 *AvrB*, for example.

Temperature and plant immunity.

Using HR induction as a proxy for T3SS efficiency, we implicitly accounted for plant defense

mechanisms that play a role in the response (Künstler *et al.*, 2016). In *A. thaliana*, the role of

temperature in plant immunity is more complex than what reported by previous studies. In

2009, Wang and colleagues concluded that a mild increase in temperature impaired both PTI

and ETI, suggesting a general deficiency in the plant defense system at elevated temperatures

(28-30°C) (Wang *et al.*, 2009). Subsequently, a straightforward dichotomy was established: less

efficient ETI (effective from 10 to 23°C, with a peak at 18°C) versus more efficient PTI (effective

from 23 to 32°C, with a peak at 28°C) as the temperature increases (Cheng *et al.*, 2013). This

model was later qualified by the demonstration that, since ETI relies on distinct processes (*i.e.*,

virulence suppression and programmed cell death/HR), only HR was inhibited by elevated

temperatures as the virulence suppression was maintained (Menna *et al.*, 2015). However,

despite their demonstration that ion leakage from *A. thaliana* leaf disks infiltrated with the

avirulent DC3000 strain is completely inhibited at 28°C-30°C, our results for this *AvrB*-strain

and CC0094 *AvrB* and CC1498 *AvrB* contradict this finding.

Temperature variations may affect the kinetics of effector translocation and/or binding to R

proteins and hence impact the speed and efficiency of signaling cascades involved in the

defense response. Comparison of the ratio of conductivity values measured at 6 hpi and 24 hpi

among temperatures for a given HR-inducer strain revealed that the elevation of the

temperature led to an acceleration of electrolyte leakage as temperature increased. As this

observation was maintained across the strains that induced HR at different temperatures, it is

tempting to associate this general effect of accelerated response with the effect of the higher

temperature on the plant, and not on the T3SS activation or efficiency. Nevertheless, across all

strains, we demonstrated that some were faster than others to induce HR, also indicating some

pathosystem-specific behaviors.

Temperature and effector secretion properties.

Interestingly, a few examples of temperature-dependency for T3SE secretion have been shown

to be 'protein/effector specific' – mentioning some specific secretion properties of AvrB (Van

Dijk *et al.*, 1999). Likewise, the two avirulent DC3000 strains with which HR suppression at

elevated temperatures was demonstrated carried either the HopZ1a or AvrRpt2 effector

(Menna *et al.*, 2015). HopZ1a, AvrRpt2 and AvrB are recognized by the NLRs ZAR1, RPS2 and

RPM1, respectively, which are all members of the subgroup of CC-NLRs. The unclear variability

of temperature-sensitivity across different NLR subgroups (CC-NLRs versus TIR-NLRs) (Hua,

2014; Menna *et al.*, 2015) suggests that sensitivity may not be conserved in all NLRs or CC-

NLRs, given their distinct structural and functional properties. In this light, it is reasonable to

attribute the differences observed between our work and the one of Menna and colleagues to

the different 'ligand-receptor' couples used. Echoing the differences in 'protein/effector

specific' secretion efficiency, there are likely to be differences in temperature-sensitivity for

effector recognition by R proteins. Overall, we assume that the impact of temperature on T3SS-

secretion/ETI/HR-triggering efficiencies can be highly pathosystem-specific. Illustrating this

high specificity, DC3000 *AvrB* and M6 *AvrB* displayed a unique behavior among all the tested

strains. Being such strong and fast HR inducers probably reflects the fact that they are highly

aggressive on *A. thaliana* Col-0 (in their WT version), so the exacerbated response may also be

a sign of the global host compatibility between these two strains and the model plant (Preston,

2000; Xin & He, 2013).

Thermosensing origin and distribution among strains.

We attempted to identify the mechanism(s) that may be responsible for the thermosensitivity

at the origin of the different behaviors we observed. Two-component systems such as

thermosensor CorRSP responsible for the low-temperature-dependency of coronatin

production in *P. syringae* pv. *glycinea* could be involved in the regulation of T3SS-related gene

expression in response to temperature (Ullrich *et al.*, 1995). Alternatively, if evidence hints that

gene expression is not directly modified by temperature (Puttilli *et al.*, 2022),

thermoregulation might act later in the transcription/translation chain, during T3SS assembly,

or on translocation efficiency. In addition, the study of potential differences in membrane

fluidity between strains is promising. More specifically, membrane fluidity is influenced by

functional lipid microdomains ('FMM' or lipid rafts) that are enriched in proteins involved in

signal transduction and protein secretion and have the capacity to organize in space and time

components of transport machineries (Bramkamp & Lopez, 2015). Likely, T3SS proteins co-

localize on lipid rafts to allow their proper assembly, and temperature would impair or favor

the process, by changing membrane fluidity.

We observed that the behavior of high temperature-preference/dependency is exclusive to

strains from phylogroup 2d, whereas the opposite case is specific to strains belonging to

phylogroups 1a and 1b. This suggests that temperature responsiveness patterns might vary

among strains but remain consistent within the same phylogroup. While the temperature

sensitivity feature is widely distributed among the strains, the preference/dependency on low

or high temperatures in case of thermosensitivity is conserved within a same phylogroup. This

implies that the genes or targets responsible for both processes may be distinct, making their

identification more challenging through comparative genomics. Beyond the identification of

the mechanisms involved in thermosensitivity, preference/dependency on low or high

temperatures could be linked to the evolutionary history of pathogens, which may have been

shaped by temperature-related selection pressures. Investigating this question would help

shed light on the origin of the phenomenon.

Virulence thermoregulation in relation to disease development.

Since the same thermoregulation components may control various virulence factors, one

intriguing question is whether the effect of temperature on virulence factors is consistent (i)

for a given strain, and (ii) with temperature conditions in which disease occurrence is generally

reported. Crossing observations between temperature optimum for virulence and

epidemiological data regarding our selected strain revealed a high level of complexity within

the *P. syringae* species complex and does not allow us to draw any clearer conclusions.

Concerning *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola*, the low-temperature-dependency was established for

phaseolotoxin production and T6SS regulation, in line with the development of halo blight of

bean favored at temperatures below 25°C (Goss, 1940; Nüske & Fritsche, 1989). However,

T3SS-related gene expression inhibition has been reported at 18°C (Arvizu-Gómez *et al.*,

2013a), and our results indicate that the strain 1448A is thermo-insensitive in its ability to

induce HR. Regarding *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, our results confirmed a low-temperature

dependency for T3SS efficiency (Puttilli *et al.*, 2022), which is entirely in line with the

environmental conditions – in late winter or early spring – under which *P. syringae* pv.

actinidiae is reported to cause disease or damage in the kiwifruit orchards (Kim *et al.*, 2017;

Donati *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, concerning the strains CC0073 and CC0094 which were

isolated from cantaloupe during blight epidemics in southern France in the 90s, the authors

have reported that the climatic conditions that favored disease outbreak and development

were heavy rainfall associated with a mean minimum temperature below 12-13°C (under

which the physiology of cantaloupe comes to a standstill) in 95% of cases, whereas our results

demonstrated a major T3SS efficiency at higher temperatures (Morris & Pitrat, 1998; Riffaud,

2002; Mention *et al.*, 2004). Finally, strain DC3000 displays a consistent behavior, exhibiting

both unaltered T3SS efficiency and coronatine production in response to temperature, at least

within the 18°C to 28°C range (Weingart *et al.*, 2004). Its consistency in response to various

environmental parameters associated with high reproducibility of data related to it have

certainly contributed to making it the model strain it has become. However, this strain was

generated in the laboratory as a rifampicin-resistant derivative of the strain DC52, which

originated from Guernsey in 1960 (Cuppels, 1986; Xin *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, no conclusive

connection could be established between its ecology and its virulence behavior in response to

temperature, as this model strain has evolved for many years in laboratories, far from a field

context.

Another important consideration is that our results highlighted that growth optima – at least

in vitro – are not correlated with T3SS efficiency. Therefore, providing a definitive answer to

the question of whether the temperature conditions that favor virulence (specifically T3SS) are

identical to those reported as favorable for disease development is challenging due to both

supporting and opposite examples: several traits characterizing phytopathogenic bacteria are

thermo-sensitive, and each pathogen is characterized by growth and virulence mechanisms

that are activated at precise temperatures, generating a complex scenario in which

temperature can alter the outcome of the interaction between plant and bacterium (Tribelli &

López, 2022). Consequently, we state that efforts to simplify or generalize the behavior of

different strains would not necessarily help our understanding.

Interstrain variability.

One important conclusion of our work is the significant variability in behavior among strains,

even when closely related at the genomic level (as illustrated with the 3 'CC' strain-quasi-

clones). Over the years of research on these issues, examples of such inherent variability in

terms of virulence have been largely overlooked, with only a few cases reported in literature,

both beyond and within the *P. syringae* species complex (Rohde *et al.*, 1998; Baron *et al.*, 2001;

Weingart *et al.*, 2004; Hasegawa *et al.*, 2005). This might be attributed to the commendable

aim of simplifying our understanding of the biological models and plant-pathogen interactions

under study. However, we emphasize the urgent need to study the diversity among strains,

since evidence of their inherent variability has been documented. Although investigating

model strains has facilitated the understanding and characterization of intricate molecular

mechanisms in plant-pathogen interactions, it is now imperative to consider the full spectrum

of *P. syringae* species complex diversity (reported in Berge *et al.*, 2014; Gomila *et al.*, 2017),

both within and outside of the agronomic context.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Pr. Boris A. Vinatzer, Dr. Perrine Portier, Charlotte Chandeysson and Dr. Marco Scortichini for kindly providing the *P. syringae* strains used in this study. We also thank Pr. Sheng-Yang He for providing the antibody anti-HrpZ. Special thanks to Pr. Roberto Chignola and Dr. Davide Martinetti for their help in analyzing ion-leakage data through statistical approaches. Finally, we also thank the members of the laboratory of plant pathology of the University of Verona for their technical support in conductivity measurements.

REFERENCES

Aguilera S, Alvarez-Morales A, Murillo J, Hernández-Flores JL, Bravo J, De la Torre-Zavala S.

2017. Temperature-mediated biosynthesis of the phytotoxin phaseolotoxin by Pseudomonas

syringae pv. phaseolicola depends on the autoregulated expression of the phtABC genes (M-

J Virolle, Ed.). *PLOS ONE* **12**: e0178441.

Aguilera S, López-López K, Nieto Y, Garcidueñas-Piña R, Hernández-Guzmán G, Hernández-

Flores JL, Murillo J, Alvarez-Morales A. **2007**. Functional Characterization of the Gene

Cluster from Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola NPS3121 Involved in Synthesis of

Phaseolotoxin. *Journal of Bacteriology* **189**: 2834.

Alfano JR, Bauer DW, Milos TM, Collmer A. **1996**. Analysis of the role of the Pseudomonas

syringae pv. syringae HrpZ harpin in elicitation of the hypersensitive response in tobacco

using functionally non-polar hrpZ deletion mutations, truncated HrpZ fragments, and hrmA

mutations. *Molecular Microbiology* **19**: 715–728.

Anderson JC, Wan Y, Kim Y-M, Pasa-Tolic L, Metz TO, Peck SC. **2014**. Decreased abundance

of type III secretion system-inducing signals in Arabidopsis mkp1 enhances resistance against

Pseudomonas syringae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**: 6846–6851.

Arvizu-Gómez JL, Hernández-Morales A, Aguilar JRP, Álvarez-Morales A. **2013a**.

Transcriptional profile of P. syringaepv. phaseolicola NPS3121 at low temperature:

Physiology of phytopathogenic bacteria. *BMC Microbiology* **13**: 81.

Arvizu-Gómez JL, Hernández-Morales A, Jofre-Garfias AE, Álvarez-Morales A. **2013b**.

Temperature, the GacS/GacA system, and host metabolites regulate the type VI secretion

system of Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* **79**:

194–197.

Balint-Kurti P. **2019**. The plant hypersensitive response: concepts, control and

consequences. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **20**: 1163–1178.

Baltrus DA, McCann HC, Guttman DS. **2017**. Evolution, genomics and epidemiology of

Pseudomonas syringae. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **18**: 152–168.

Baron C, Domke N, Beinhofer M, Hapfelmeier S. **2001**. Elevated temperature differentially

affects virulence, VirB protein accumulation, and T-pilus formation in different

Agrobacterium tumefaciens and Agrobacterium vitis strains. *Volume 183, Issue 23, Pages*

6852 - 6861 **183**: 6852–6861.

Bender CL, Alarcón-Chaidez F, Gross DC. **1999**. Pseudomonas syringae Phytotoxins: Mode

of Action, Regulation, and Biosynthesis by Peptide and Polyketide Synthetases . *Microbiology*

and Molecular Biology Reviews **63**: 266–292.

Berge O, Monteil CL, Bartoli C, Chandeysson C, Guilbaud C, Sands DC, Morris CE. **2014**. A

User's Guide to a Data Base of the Diversity of Pseudomonas syringae and Its Application to

Classifying Strains in This Phylogenetic Complex. *PLOS ONE* **9**: e105547.

Boller T, Felix G. **2009**. A Renaissance of Elicitors: Perception of Microbe-Associated

Molecular Patterns and Danger Signals by Pattern-Recognition Receptors. *Annual Review of*

Plant Biology **60**: 379–406.

Bramkamp M, Lopez D. **2015**. Exploring the Existence of Lipid Rafts in Bacteria. *Microbiology*

and Molecular Biology Reviews **79**: 81–100.

Braun Y, Smirnova A V., Weingart H, Schenk A, Ullrich MS. **2009**. Coronatine gene

expression in vitro and in planta, and protein accumulation during temperature downshift in

Pseudomonas syringae. *Sensors* **9**: 4272–4285.

Büttner D, He SY. **2009**. Type III Protein Secretion in Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. *Plant*

Physiology **150**: 1656–1664.

Cantalapiedra CP, Herṇandez-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J. **2021**. eggNOG-

mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the

Metagenomic Scale. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **38**: 5825–5829.

Chang JH, Urbach JM, Law TF, Arnold LW, Hu A, Gombar S, Grant SR, Ausubel FM, Dangl JL.

2005. A high-throughput, near-saturating screen for type III effector genes from

Pseudomonas syringae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America **102**: 2549–2554.

Cheng C, Gao X, Feng B, Sheen J, Shan L, He P. **2013**. Plant immune response to pathogens

differs with changing temperatures. *Nature Communications* **4**: 2530.

Coll NS, Epple P, Dangl JL. **2011**. Programmed cell death in the plant immune system. *Cell*

Death & Differentiation **18**: 1247–1256.

Crabill E, Joe A, Block A, van Rooyen JM, Alfano JR. **2010**. Plant immunity directly or

indirectly restricts the injection of type III effectors by the Pseudomonas syringae type III

secretion system. *Plant Physiology* **154**: 233–244.

Cui H, Xiang T, Zhou JM. **2009**. Plant immunity: a lesson from pathogenic bacterial effector

proteins. *Cellular Microbiology* **11**: 1453–1461.

Cunnac S, Lindeberg M, Collmer A. **2009**. Pseudomonas syringae type III secretion system

effectors: repertoires in search of functions. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **12**: 53–60.

Cuppels DA. **1986**. Generation and characterization of Tn5 insertion mutations in

Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **51**: 323–327.

Czajkowski R, Kaczyńska N, Jafra S, Narajczyk M, Lojkowska E. **2017**. Temperature-

responsive genetic loci in pectinolytic plant pathogenic Dickeya solani. *Plant Pathology* **66**:

584–594.

Debener T, Lehnackers H, Arnold M, Dangl JL. **1991**. Identification and molecular mapping of

a single Arabidopsis thaliana locus determining resistance to a phytopathogenic

Pseudomonas syringae isolate. *The Plant Journal* **1**: 289–302.

Van Dijk K, Fouts DE, Rehm AH, Hill AR, Collmer A, Alfano JR. **1999**. The Avr (effector)

proteins HrmA (HopPsyA) and AvrPto are secreted in culture from Pseudomonas syringae

pathovars via the Hrp (type III) protein secretion system in a temperature- and pH-sensitive

manner. *Journal of Bacteriology* **181**: 4790–4797.

Donati I, Cellini A, Sangiorgio D, Vanneste JL, Scortichini M, Balestra GM, Spinelli F. **2020**.

Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae: Ecology, Infection Dynamics and Disease

Epidemiology. *Microbial Ecology* **80**: 81–102.

Ferrante P, Scortichini M. **2010**. Molecular and phenotypic features of Pseudomonas

syringae pv. actinidiae isolated during recent epidemics of bacterial canker on yellow

kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis) in central Italy. *Plant Pathology* **59**: 954–962.

Flaugnatti N, Journet L. **2017**. Identification of Effectors: Precipitation of Supernatant

Material. In: 459–464.

Galán JE, Collmer A. **1999**. Type III Secretion Machines: Bacterial Devices for Protein Delivery

into Host Cells. *Science* **284**: 1322–1328.

Gomila M, Busquets A, Mulet M, García-Valdés E, Lalucat J. **2017**. Clarification of Taxonomic

Status within the Pseudomonas syringae Species Group Based on a Phylogenomic Analysis.

Frontiers in Microbiology **8**: 320397.

Goss RW. **1940**. The relation of temperature to common and halo blight of beans.

Phytopathology **30**: 258–264.

Guo M, Tian F, Wamboldt Y, Alfano JR. **2009**. The Majority of the Type III Effector Inventory

of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 Can Suppress Plant Immunity. *Molecular Plant-*

Microbe Interactions® **22**: 1069–1080.

Guttman DS, Vinatzer BA, Sarkar SF, Ranall M V., Kettler G, Greenberg JT. **2002**. A

Functional Screen for the Type III (Hrp) Secretome of the Plant Pathogen Pseudomonas

syringae. *Science* **295**: 1722–1726.

Hasegawa H, Chatterjee A, Cui Y, Chatterjee AK. **2005**. Elevated temperature enhances

virulence of Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora strain EC153 to plants and stimulates

production of the quorum sensing signal, N-acyl homoserine lactone, and extracellular

proteins. *Volume 71, Issue 8, Pages 4655 - 4663* **71**: 4655–4663.

Hockett KL, Burch AY, Lindow SE. **2013**. Thermo-Regulation of Genes Mediating Motility and

Plant Interactions in Pseudomonas syringae (BA Vinatzer, Ed.). *PLoS ONE* **8**: e59850.

Hua J. **2014**. Temperature and plant immunity. In: Temperature and Plant Development.

Wiley, 163–180.

Hueck CJ. **1998**. Type III Protein Secretion Systems in Bacterial Pathogens of Animals and

Plants. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **62**: 379–433.

Huot B, Castroverde CDM, Velásquez AC, Hubbard E, Pulman JA, Yao J, Childs KL, Tsuda K,

Montgomery BL, He SY. **2017**. Dual impact of elevated temperature on plant defence and

bacterial virulence in Arabidopsis. *Nature Communications 2017 8:1* **8**: 1–12.

Huynh T V., Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ. **1989**. Bacterial Blight of Soybean: Regulation of a

Pathogen Gene Determining Host Cultivar Specificity. *Science* **245**: 1374–1377.

Imanifard Z, Vandelle E, Bellin D. **2018**. Measurement of hypersensitive cell death triggered

by avirulent bacterial pathogens in Arabidopsis. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **1743**: 39–50.

Innes RW, Bisgrove SR, Smith NM, Bent AF, Staskawicz BJ, Liu Y. **1993**. Identification of a

disease resistance locus in Arabidopsis that is functionally homologous to the RPG1 locus of

soybean. *The Plant Journal* **4**: 813–820.

Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. **2018**. High throughput ANI

analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nature*

Communications **9**: 5114.

Jamir Y, Guo M, Oh H, Petnicki-Ocwieja T, Chen S, Tang X, Dickman MB, Collmer A, R.

Alfano J. **2004**. Identification of Pseudomonas syringae type III effectors that can suppress

programmed cell death in plants and yeast. *The Plant Journal* **37**: 554–565.

Jin Q, He S-Y. **2001**. Role of the Hrp Pilus in Type III Protein Secretion in Pseudomonas

syringae. *Science* **294**: 2556–2558.

Jin S, Song YN, Deng WY, Gordon MP, Nester EW. **1993**. The regulatory VirA protein of

Agrobacterium tumefaciens does not function at elevated temperatures. *Journal of*

Bacteriology **175**: 6830–6835.

Johansson ON, Nilsson AK, Gustavsson MB, Backhaus T, Andersson MX, Ellerström M.

2015. A quick and robust method for quantification of the hypersensitive response in plants.

PeerJ **3**: e1469.

Jones JDG, Dangl JL. **2006**. The plant immune system. *Nature* **444**: 323–329.

Kim GH, Jung JS, Koh YJ. **2017**. Occurrence and Epidemics of Bacterial Canker of Kiwifruit in

Korea. *The Plant Pathology Journal* **33**: 351–361.

King EO, Ward MK, Raney DE. **1954**. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin

and fluorescin. *The Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* **44**: 301–307.

Klinkert B, Narberhaus F. **2009**. Microbial thermosensors. *Cellular and Molecular Life*

Sciences **66**: 2661–2676.

Kobayashi T, Kishigami S, Sone M, Inokuchi H, Mogi T, Ito K. **1997**. Respiratory chain is

required to maintain oxidized states of the DsbA-DsbB disulfide bond formation system in

aerobically growing Escherichia coli cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*

the United States of America **94**: 11857–11862.

Krishna PS, Woodcock SD, Pfeilmeier S, Bornemann S, Zipfel C, Malone JG. **2022**.

Pseudomonas syringae addresses distinct environmental challenges during plant infection

through the coordinated deployment of polysaccharides (I Dodd, Ed.). *Journal of*

Experimental Botany **73**: 2206–2221.

Kubori T, Shinzawa N, Kanuka H, Nagai H. **2010**. Legionella metaeffector exploits host

proteasome to temporally regulate cognate effector. *PLoS Pathogens* **6**: e1001216.

Künstler A, Bacsó R, Gullner G, Hafez YM, Király L. **2016**. Staying alive – is cell death

dispensable for plant disease resistance during the hypersensitive response? *Physiological*

and Molecular Plant Pathology **93**: 75–84.

Laflamme B, Dillon MM, Martel A, Almeida RND, Desveaux D, Guttman DS. **2020**. The pan-

genome effector-triggered immunity landscape of a host-pathogen interaction. *Science* **367**:

763–768.

Lamichhane JR, Messéan A, Morris CE. **2015**. Insights into epidemiology and control of

diseases of annual plants caused by the Pseudomonas syringae species complex. *Journal of*

General Plant Pathology **81**: 331–350.

Lamichhane JR, Varvaro L, Parisi L, Audergon JM, Morris CE. **2014**. Disease and frost

damage of woody plants caused by pseudomonas syringae: Seeing the forest for the trees.

In: Advances in Agronomy. Academic Press Inc., 235–295.

Lee CA. **1997**. Type III secretion systems: machines to deliver bacterial proteins into

eukaryotic cells? *Trends in Microbiology* **5**: 148–156.

Li C-M. **2002**. The Hrp pilus of Pseudomonas syringae elongates from its tip and acts as a

conduit for translocation of the effector protein HrpZ. *The EMBO Journal* **21**: 1909–1915.

Li H, Schenk A, Srivastava A, Zhurina D, Ullrich MS. **2006**. Thermo-responsive expression

and differential secretion of the extracellular enzyme levansucrase in the plant pathogenic

bacterium Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **265**: 178–185.

Lindeberg M, Cunnac S, Collmer A. **2012**. Pseudomonas syringae type III effector

repertoires: Last words in endless arguments. *Trends in Microbiology* **20**: 199–208.

Loper JE, Lindow SE. **1987**. Lack of Evidence for In Situ Fluorescent Pigment Production by

Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae on Bean Leaf Surfaces. *Phytopathology* **77**: 1449.

Mackey D, Holt BF, Wiig A, Dangl JL. **2002**. RIN4 Interacts with Pseudomonas syringae Type

III Effector Molecules and Is Required for RPM1-Mediated Resistance in Arabidopsis. *Cell*

108: 743–754.

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, Simão FA, Zdobnov EM. **2021**. BUSCO Update: Novel

and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for

Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **38**:

4647–4654.

Martel A, Laflamme B, Breit-McNally C, Wang P, Lonjon F, Desveaux D, Guttman DS. **2022**.

Metaeffector interactions modulate the type III effector-triggered immunity load of

Pseudomonas syringae. *PLOS Pathogens* **18**: e1010541.

Melotto M, Kunkel BN. **2013**. Virulence strategies of plant pathogenic bacteria. In: The

Prokaryotes: Prokaryotic Physiology and Biochemistry. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg,

61–82.

Menna A, Nguyen D, Guttman DS, Desveaux D. **2015**. Elevated temperature differentially

influences effector-triggered immunity outputs in Arabidopsis. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **6**:

1–7.

Mention P, Lavigne D, Leix-Henry F, Bouchu S, Morris CE, Prior P, Pitrat M, Mercy L, Dours.

2004. Bactériose du melon: acquis et perspectives. *PHM Revue Horticole*: 22–26.

Monteil CL, Yahara K, Studholme DJ, Mageiros L, Méric G, Swingle B, Morris CE, Vinatzer

BA, Sheppard SK. **2016**. Population-genomic insights into emergence, crop adaptation and

dissemination of Pseudomonas syringae pathogens. *Microbial genomics* **2**: e000089.

Monteiro F, Nishimura MT. **2018**. Structural, Functional, and Genomic Diversity of Plant NLR

Proteins: An Evolved Resource for Rational Engineering of Plant Immunity. *Annual Review of*

Phytopathology **56**: 243–267.

Morris CE, Glaux C, Latour X, Gardan L, Samson R, Pitrat M. **2000**. The Relationship of Host

Range, Physiology, and Genotype to Virulence on Cantaloupe in Pseudomonas syringae from

Cantaloupe Blight Epidemics in France.

Morris CE, Lamichhane JR, Nikolić I, Stanković S, Moury B. **2019**. The overlapping

continuum of host range among strains in the Pseudomonas syringae complex.

Phytopathology Research **1**: 4.

Morris CE, Pitrat M. **1998**. La bactériose du melon : connaissances acquises et travaux en

cours. *PHM Revue Horticole*: 44–47.

Morris CE, Sands DC, Vanneste JL, Montarry J, Oakley B, Guilbaud C, Glaux C. **2010**.

Inferring the Evolutionary History of the Plant Pathogen Pseudomonas syringae from Its

Biogeography in Headwaters of Rivers in North America, Europe, and New Zealand (S

Lindow, Ed.). *mBio* **1**.

Morris CE, Sands DC, Vinatzer BA, Glaux C, Guilbaud C, Buffière A, Yan S, Dominguez H,

Thompson BM. **2008**. The life history of the plant pathogen Pseudomonas syringae is linked

to the water cycle. *The ISME Journal* **2**: 321–334.

Mudgett MB. **2005**. New insights to the function of phytopathogenic bacterial type III

effectors in plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **56**: 509–531.

Mudgett MB, Staskawicz BJ. **1998**. Protein signaling via type III secretion pathways in

phytopathogenic bacteria. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **1**: 109–115.

Ngou BPM, Ahn HK, Ding P, Jones JDG. **2021**. Mutual potentiation of plant immunity by cell-

surface and intracellular receptors. *Nature 2021 592:7852* **592**: 110–115.

Nüske J, Fritsche W. **1989**. Phaseolotoxin production by Pseudomonas syringae pv.

phaseolicola: The influence of temperature. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* **29**: 441–447.

Palmer DA, Bender CL. **1993**. Effects of Environmental and Nutritional Factors on Production

of the Polyketide Phytotoxin Coronatine by Pseudomonas syringae pv. Glycinea. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology **59**: 1619–1626.

Peñaloza-Vázquez A, Kidambi SP, Chakrabarty AM, Bender CL. **1997**. Characterization of the

alginate biosynthetic gene cluster in Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae. *Journal of*

Bacteriology **179**: 4464–4472.

Preston GM. **2000**. Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato : the right pathogen, of the right

plant, at the right time. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **1**: 263–275.

Puttilli MR, Danzi D, Correia C, Brandi J, Cecconi D, Manfredi M, Marengo E, Santos C,

Spinelli F, Polverari A, *et al.* **2022**. Plant Signals Anticipate the Induction of the Type III

Secretion System in Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae , Facilitating Efficient Temperature-

Dependent Effector Translocation . *Microbiology Spectrum* **10**.

Riffaud C. **2002**. La bactériose du melon : écologie et stratégies de lutte contre Pseudomonas

syringae pv.aptata.

Rohde BH, Pohlack B, Ullrich MS. **1998**. Occurrence of thermoregulation of genes involved

in coronatine biosynthesis among variousPseudomonas syringae strains. *Journal of Basic*

Microbiology **38**: 41–50.

Roine E, Wei W, Yuan J, Nurmiaho-Lassila EL, Kalkkinen N, Romantschuk M, He SY. **1997**.

Hrp pilus: An hrp-dependent bacterial surface appendage produced by Pseudomonas

syringae pv. tomato DC3000. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America **94**: 3459–3464.

Ruiz-Bedoya T, Wang PW, Desveaux D, Guttman DS. **2023**. Cooperative virulence via the

collective action of secreted pathogen effectors. *Nature Microbiology* **8**: 640–650.

Sawada H, Suzuki F, Matsuda I, Saitou N. **1999**. Phylogenetic analysis of Pseudomonas

syringae pathovars suggests the horizontal gene transfer of argK and the evolutionary

stability of hrp gene cluster. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **49**: 627–644.

Scalschi L, Fernández-Crespo E, Pitarch-Marin M, Llorens E, González-Hernández AI,

Camañes G, Vicedo B, García-Agustín P. **2022**. Response of Tomato-Pseudomonas

Pathosystem to Mild Heat Stress. *Horticulturae* **8**: 174.

Seemann T. **2014**. Prokka: Rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2068–

2069.

Sharma A, Gupta AK, Devi B. **2023**. Current trends in management of bacterial pathogens

infecting plants. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **116**: 303–326.

Smirnova A, Li H, Weingart H, Aufhammer S, Burse A, Finis K, Schenk A, Ullrich MS. **2001**.

Thermoregulated expression of virulence factors in plant-associated bacteria. *Archives of*

Microbiology **176**: 393–399.

Stevens RB. **1960**. JG Horsfall and AE Dimond, eds. In: Horsfall JG, Dimond AE, eds. Plant

Pathology, an Advanced Treatise, Vol. 3. Academic Press, NY, 357–429.

Takikawa Y, Serizawa S, Ichikawa T, Tsuyumu S, Goto M. **1989**. Pseudomonas syringae pv.

actinidiae pv. nov.: The causal bacterium of canker of kiwifruit in Japan. *Japanese Journal of*

Phytopathology **55**: 437–444.

Taylor JD, Teverson DM, Allen DJ, Pastor-Corrales MA. **1996**. Identification and origin of

races of Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola from Africa and other bean growing areas.

Plant Pathology **45**: 469–478.

Thomma BPHJ, Nürnberger T, Joosten MHAJ. **2011**. Of PAMPs and Effectors: The Blurred

PTI-ETI Dichotomy. *The Plant Cell* **23**: 4–15.

Di Tommaso P, Chatzou M, Floden EW, Barja PP, Palumbo E, Notredame C. **2017**. Nextflow

enables reproducible computational workflows. *Nature Biotechnology* **35**: 316–319.

Tonkin-Hill G, MacAlasdair N, Ruis C, Weimann A, Horesh G, Lees JA, Gladstone RA, Lo S,

Beaudoin C, Floto RA, *et al.* 2020. Producing polished prokaryotic pangenomes with the

Panaroo pipeline. *Genome Biology* **21**: 1–21.

Tribelli PM, López NI. **2022**. Insights into the temperature responses of Pseudomonas

species in beneficial and pathogenic host interactions. *Applied Microbiology and*

Biotechnology **106**: 7699–7709.

Ullrich M, Penaloza-Vazquez A, Bailey AM, Bender CL. **1995**. A modified two-component

regulatory system is involved in temperature- dependent biosynthesis of the Pseudomonas

syringae phytotoxin coronatine. *Journal of Bacteriology* **177**: 6160–6169.

Ullrich MS, Schergaut M, Boch J, Ullrich B. **2000**. Temperature-responsive genetic loci in the

plant pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea. *Microbiology* **146**: 2457–2468.

Velásquez AC, Castroverde CDM, He SY. **2018**. Plant–Pathogen Warfare under Changing

Climate Conditions. *Current Biology* **28**: R619–R634.

Wang Y, Bao Z, Zhu Y, Hua J. **2009**. Analysis of Temperature Modulation of Plant Defense

Against Biotrophic Microbes. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®* **22**: 498–506.

Wei M, KeisukeTakeshima, Yokoyama T, Minamisawa K, Mitsui H, Itakura M, Kaneko T,

Tabata S, Saeki K, Omori H, *et al.* 2010. Temperature-dependent expression of type III

secretion system genes and its regulation in bradyrhizobium japonicum. *Molecular Plant-*

Microbe Interactions **23**: 628–637.

Wei M, Yokoyama T, Minamisawa K, Mitsui H, Itakura M, Kaneko T, Tabata S, Saeki K,

Omori H, Tajima S, *et al.* 2008. Soybean Seed Extracts Preferentially Express Genomic Loci of

Bradyrhizobium japonicum in the Initial Interaction with Soybean, Glycine max (L.) Merr.

DNA Research **15**: 201–214.

Weingart H, Stubner S, Schenk A, Ullrich MS. **2004**. Impact of temperature on in planta

expression of genes involved in synthesis of the Pseudomonas syringae phytotoxin

coronatine. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **17**: 1095–1102.

Whalen MC, Innes RW, Bent AF, Staskawicz BJ. **1991**. Identification of Pseudomonas

syringae pathogens of Arabidopsis and a bacterial locus determining avirulence on both

Arabidopsis and soybean. *The Plant Cell* **3**: 49.

Xin XF, He SY. 2013. Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000: A model pathogen for

probing disease susceptibility and hormone signaling in plants. *Annual Review of*

Phytopathology **51**: 473–498.

Xin X-F, Kvitko B, He SY. **2018**. Pseudomonas syringae: what it takes to be a pathogen.

Nature Reviews Microbiology **16**: 316–328.

Xin X-F, Nomura K, Aung K, Velásquez AC, Yao J, Boutrot F, Chang JH, Zipfel C, He SY. **2016**.

Bacteria establish an aqueous living space in plants crucial for virulence. *Nature* **539**: 524–

529.

Yuan M, Jiang Z, Bi G, Nomura K, Liu M, Wang Y, Cai B, Zhou JM, He SY, Xin XF. **2021**.

Pattern-recognition receptors are required for NLR-mediated plant immunity. *Nature 2021*

592:7852 **592**: 105–109.

Table 1: Set of selected *P. syringae* strains.

PHYLOGROUP	STRAIN	FULL NAME	ALIAS IN COLLECTIONS	ISOLATION SOURCE	COUNTRY	YEAR	REFERENCE
1a	DC3000	*P . syringae* pv. *tomato*	CFBP 7438, ICMP 18429	*Solanum lycopersicum*	United-Kingdom	1960	Cuppels, 1986
	M6	*P . syringae* pv. *maculicola*	CFBP 4314, NCPPB 1766, LMG 5560	*Brassica oleracea* var. Botrytis	United-Kingdom	1965	Debener *et al.* , 1991
	T1	*P . syringae* pv. *tomato*	-	*Solanum lycopersicum*	Canada	1986	Whalen *et al.* , 1991
	LAB0041	*P . syringae*	-	Epilithic biofilm	France	2009	Berge *et al.* , 2014
1b	J35	*P . syringae* pv. *actinidiae*	CFBP 4909, ICMP 9617, NCPPB 3739	*Actinidia deliciosa* cv. Hayward	Japan	1984	Takikawa *et al.* , 1989
	CRA-FRU 8.43	*P . syringae* pv. *actinidiae*	-	*Actinidia chinensis* cv. Hort16	Italy	2008	Ferrante & Scortichini, 2010
	USA007	*P . syringae*	CFBP 8574	Creek water	United States of America	2007	Morris *et al.* , 2010
2d	CC0073	*P . syringae* pv. *aptata*	CFBP 5430	*Cucumis melo*	France	1997	Morris *et al.* , 2000
	CC0094	*P . syringae* pv. *aptata*	CFBP 8529	*Cucumis melo*	France	1997	Morris *et al.* , 2000
	CC1498	*P . syringae*	-	Snowfall	France	2006	Morris *et al.* , 2008
	B728a	*P . syringae* pv. *syringae*	CFBP 8502, ICMP 18427, NCPPB 4487, LMG 26717	*Phaseolus vulgaris*	United States of America	1987	Loper & Lindow, 1987
	MAFF302273	*P . syringae* pv. *aceris*	CFBP 2339, ICMP 2802, NCPPB 958, LMG 2106	*Acer* sp.	United States of America	1939	Sawada *et al.* , 1999
3a	1448A	*P . syringae* pv. *phaseolicola*	CFBP 7087, NCPPB 4478	*Phaseolus vulgaris*	Ethiopia	1985	Taylor *et al.* , 1996

Figure 1: Variability in the increase of conductivity measured at 24 hours post-inoculation (hpi) over the replicated experiments performed for each *AvrB*-carrying strain, at 18°C (A), 24°C (B) and 28°C (C). Bars represent the mean increase in conductivity for each of the independent biological replicates (3 ≤ n ≤ 27). Values are sorted from left to right in a descending order.

Figure 2: Electrolyte leakage curves obtained post-infiltration for strain M6 *AvrB* at 18°C (A), USA007 *AvrB* at 18°C (B), LAB0041 *AvrB* at 24°C (C) and CC1498 *AvrB* at 28°C (D). Each curve represents the mean increase in conductivity measured over time for one independent biological replicate performed with three technical replicates each. Error bars represent standard error.

Table 2: Statistics associated with linear mixed-effects models fit to ion leakage experiment results. The effect of strains was calculated for each mutant bacterium at each temperature by comparing with the results obtained for the mock treatment (MgCl2 10mM). Significant values of the estimate parameter (p < 0.05, in bold) are associated with HR inducing conditions. The temperature effect was evaluated for each AvrB-carrying strain, inducing HR at least at two temperatures (non-inducer strains are highlighted in grey), comparing the electrolyte leakage curves obtained at these different temperatures. Strains insensitive to the temperature (p > 0.05) are highlighted in green, strains leading to minor conductivity levels as the temperature increases (negative estimate, p < 0.05) are highlighted in blue, and strains displaying a warm-dependency (positive estimate, p < 0.05) are highlighted in red. The number of replicated experiments for each modality (strain x temperature condition) varied from 3 up to 27.

PHYLOGROUP	STRAIN	INDUCER AT A GIVEN TEMPERATURE						TEMPERATURE EFFECT	
		18°C		24°C		28°C			
		Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	p-value	Estimate
1a	DC3000	69.97	**2.00E-16**	73.12	**1.25E-15**	65.27	**8.46E-15**	8.02E-01	-0.19
	M6	95.14	**2.00E-16**	89.06	**5.35E-16**	73.05	**1.59E-14**	1.95E-01	-2.07
	T1	28.98	**2.00E-16**	29.07	**2.00E-16**	13.45	**2.81E-08**	**1.42E-02**	**-1.26**
	LAB0041	31.36	**4.24E-11**	29.56	**2.00E-16**	16.83	**2.83E-09**	5.17E-02	-1.22
1b	J35	3.35	5.43E-02	0.18	9.19E-01	-1.27	4.46E-01	NA	
	CRA-FRU 8.43	14.09	**4.69E-11**	4.97	**4.33E-04**	0.97	4.45E-01	**3.80E-03**	**-1.28**
	USA007	52.24	**2.00E-16**	46.69	**8.58E-16**	34.15	**2.26E-12**	**3.35E-02**	**-1.70**
2d	CC0073	15.22	**5.97E-07**	15.28	**7.62E-07**	19.77	**3.42E-09**	3.55E-01	0.63
	CC0094	6.86	**6.44E-08**	9.72	**2.61E-06**	14.49	**8.91E-08**	**9.76E-04**	**0.97**
	CC1498	7.89	**4.96E-06**	12.69	**2.24E-05**	19.96	**1.52E-08**	**2.58E-02**	**1.36**
	B728a	3.12	**2.08E-02**	2.22	1.61E-01	4.75	**8.07E-03**	**4.27E-02**	**0.37**
	MAFF302273	3.17	**1.73E-02**	2.88	9.68E-02	1.78	3.77E-01	NA	
3a	1448A	5.14	**1.07E-02**	6.73	**9.73E-03**	2.46	2.41E-01	6.41E-01	0.53

Figure 3: Mean area under conductivity progress curve (AUCPC) calculations for all the replicated experiments (3 ≤ n ≤ 27) of each *AvrB*-carrying strain at 18°C (A), 24°C (B) and 28°C (C). Error bars represent standard error.

Figure 4: Electrolyte leakage curves over time obtained post-infiltration for CC0073 *AvrB* (A), CC0094 *AvrB* (B) and CC1498 *AvrB* (C) at 18°C (blue), 24°C (pink) and 28°C (red) and of the mock treatment (MgCl$_2$ 10mM, black dotted line) at 24°C. Data represent one single representative biological replicate with all strains and temperature conditions evaluated simultaneously with three technical replicates each. Error bars represent standard error.

Figure 5: Estimation of velocity of HR induction. The proxy for velocity was calculated as the ratio of conductivity increase measured at 6 hpi and 24 hpi for each *AvrB*-carrying strain inducing HR at least at one temperature condition. Strains are sorted by phylogroup from left to right, PG1a (purple), PG1b (green), PG2d (yellow), PG3a (red). Boxes were calculated from 5 up to 73 replicated experiments per strain (single dots). Boxes associated with different letters represent significantly different means (p < 0.05, according to a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by post-hoc Dunn's test).

Figure 6: Comparative genomics performed for the 13 strains characterized in ion leakage experiments. Vertical bars correspond to the number of genes shared by groups of strains or exclusively present in single strains (group/strain-specific genes). Horizontal color bars represent the type of behavior of each strain in response to temperature, as identified in Tab. 2. Common putative genes specific to the 3 quasi-clonal strains CC0073, CC0094 and CC1498 are in yellow, common putative genes specific to CC0094 and CC1498 are in red.