Endogenous Promotor-Driven Split Nanoluciferase Biosensor for Assessing G Protein Recruitment

Laura J. Humphrys[1#,2†*], Carina Höring[1†], Albert O. Gattor[1]

[1]Institute of Pharmacy, University of Regensburg, Universitätsstraße 31, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

[2]Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, 399 Royal Parade, Parkville, 3052, Australia

[†]authors contributed equally to the work

[#]previous affiliation

*corresponding author's email: laura.humphrys@monash.edu

Running title: Native mG_s luciferase biosensor

Key Words: CRISPR/Cas9, split luciferase biosensor, G protein, GPCR

Summary Statement

For the first time, a split-luciferase tagged minimal G_s protein and β_1AR is inserted under endogenous promotors in HEK293T cells using CRISPR/Cas9 gene modification, avoiding protein overexpression in the assay.

Abstract

HEK293 cells are a common immortal cell line used in biological research, and their popularity has led to different distinct lineages across the world. Commonly used for overexpression of proteins, HEK293 cells also natively express biological targets, such as G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and their downstream signalling partners, G proteins, although this often confounds rather than compliments research. CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing can be used to harness these native proteins and make use of their presence. Here, a cost- and time-effective, plasmid-based CRISPR/Cas9 approach is used to tag well-characterised GPCRS – the β-adrenoceptors 1 and 2 – with one part of a split Nanoluciferase and replace the $G_{\alpha s}$ coupling partner with the complimentarily tagged minimal G_s protein in HEK293T cells. Compared to untagged proteins, the CRISPR/Cas9 cells allow for better selective-ligand characterisation at the native β-adrenoceptors. Overexpressed tagged systems produce similar results to the CRISPR/Cas9 cells, however subtle changes in the characterisation of partial agonists, such as salbutamol, demonstrate the potential for utilising tagged native receptors in analysing biological effectors.

Abbreviations

adren - L-adrenaline

AUC – area under the curve

βAR – β-adrenoceptor

BRET – Bioluminescence resonance energy transfer

Cas – CRISPR-associated protein

carv – carvedilol

CRISPR – clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats

FCS – foetal calf serum

GPCR – G protein coupled receptor

HDR – homology directed repair

ICI or ICI118551 – ICI 118551 hydrochloride

isopren – isoprenaline hydrochloride

gDNA – genomic DNA

gRNA – guide RNA

mG$_s$ – minimal or 'mini' G$_{\alpha s}$ protein

NanoLuc/Nluc – Nanoluciferase

noradren – L-noradrenaline tartrate

PAM – protospacer adjacent motif

RLU – relative luminescence units

salbut – salbutamol hemisulphate

SI – supplementary information

SLC – split luciferase complementation

spCas9 – *Streptococcus pyogenes* Cas9

xamo – xamoterol hemifumarate

Introduction

In G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) research, split luciferase complementation (SLC)-based G protein biosensors detect protein-protein interactions in cells and rely on genetically encoded reporter proteins that are conjugated to the proteins of interest, such as the GPCR and G protein (Höring et al., 2020; Pottie et al., 2020; Vasudevan and Stove, 2020; Wan et al., 2018; Wouters et al., 2020). These biosensors use fused dissected luciferase fragments, such as the NlucC and NlucN combining to create NanoLuciferase (NanoLuc, Nluc). Complementation of the luciferase fragments upon protein-protein interaction restores their catalytic activity and promotes the oxidation of a substrate, followed by bioluminescence (Hattori and Ozawa, 2014). However, SLC biosensors have rarely allowed measurement of endogenous/native receptors due to the requirement of introducing extrinsic fusion proteins (White et al., 2017; White et al., 2019). Considering that overexpression of receptors could lead to a shift in the relative abundance of interacting partners, including potential partners for receptor dimerization, G proteins, or arrestins endogenously expressed in cells, receptor expression levels directly impact measurement of receptor reserve and signal amplitudes during ligand assessment in early drug development (Soave et al., 2021; Wacker et al., 2017).

In recent years, the modification of endogenous gene loci has been simplified by the discovery of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) associated protein (Cas), CRISPR/Cas, systems, facilitating programmable site-specific DNA (or RNA) cleavage (Cong et al., 2013; Horvath and Barrangou, 2010; Jinek et al., 2012). Cas endonucleases form a complex with small (around 20 bp) guide RNA (gRNA), which locates the Cas enzyme to the target site in the genome (Cong et al., 2013; Jinek et al., 2012). As a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) site is required for the Cas to cut the DNA at the target site, non-specific sites are safe from Cas cleavage. Therefore, the highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas system has become a widely used method for genome editing and has already been used to generate cells endogenously promoting engineered luciferases or fluorescent proteins (Kamiyama et al., 2016; Ratz et al., 2015; Rojas-Fernandez et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013). In particular, the engineered NanoLuc is useful for insertion into cell genomes due to its small size (19 kDa) and bright luminescence (Hall et al., 2012). For example, NanoLuc and its dissected variant split-NanoLuc or 'NanoBiT' (Dixon et al., 2016) have already been integrated into cell genomes, allowing the detection of protein up-/downregulation, GPCR-β-arrestin interaction and ligand binding to a GPCR under endogenous promoters (Oh-hashi et al., 2017; Riching et al., 2020; Schwinn et al., 2018; White et al., 2017; White et al., 2019).

Immortalized HEK293 cells are among the most popular human cell lines since they are easy to
transfect and provide an appropriate cellular matrix of membrane lipid composition and post-
translational modifications (Atwood et al., 2011; Thomas and Smart, 2005; Wiseman et al., 2020).
All these variables impact receptor conformation, signalling and regulation (Guixà-González et
al., 2017; Jakubík and El-Fakahany, 2021; Patwardhan et al., 2021). However, not unsurprisingly,
HEK293 cells also endogenously express GPCRs, which can complicate pharmacological
experiments. Due to the wide distribution of HEK293 cells across the world allowing for different
lineages, analyses of mRNA expression levels and pharmacological experiments have revealed
different native GPCR expression profiles (Atwood et al., 2011; Thomas and Smart, 2005; van
der Hagen et al., 2014). As a prime example, for β-adrenoceptors (βAR) there is still uncertainty
as to whether different HEK293 lineages functionally express solely $β_2AR$ or also $β_1AR$. Although
the functional expression of $β_1AR$ in HEK293 cells has been detected by mRNA levels and cAMP
responses (van der Hagen et al., 2014), other studies demonstrated only $β_2AR$-mediated
functional responses, which could not be blocked by a $β_1AR$-selective antagonist (Copik et al.,
2009; Galaz-Montoya et al., 2017; Lavoie et al., 2002; Schmitt and Stork, 2000). Subtype
selectivity is crucial in the pharmacology of these receptors - $β_2$-adrenoceptor agonists are the
gold standard for the treatment of respiratory disorders, such as asthma (Barisione et al., 2010;
Waldeck, 2002), whereas $β_1$-adrenoceptor antagonists are commonly used in patients with
cardiovascular diseases, such as heart failure (Ripley and Saseen, 2014; Ziff et al., 2020). Studies
using HEK293 cells to study βARs therefore need to account for the potential presence of both
subtypes

The aim of this study was 1) to investigate whether recently developed SLC-based mini-G protein
sensors are useful to detect receptor activation under endogenous promotor levels, and 2) to
detect whether $β_1ARs$ are expressed and functional in our sample of HEK293T cells. For this
purpose, CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments were designed to generate endogenously
promoted $β_{1,2}AR$-NlucC fusion proteins or NlucN-mini-G_s (NlucN fused to the minimal $G_{αs}$ protein)
for functional coupling characterisation.

Results

Functional Characterisation of $\beta_{1,2}AR$ Ligands with Overexpressed Receptors

To validate our mini-G (mG) protein sensors with $\beta_{1,2}AR$, a range of standard agonists and antagonists with different potencies and efficacies were characterised in HEK293T cells recombinantly co-expressing NlucN-mG$_s$ and either β_1AR-NlucC or β_2AR-NlucC fusion proteins ("overexpressed"; Figure 1, Supplementary Information (SI), Table S2). Adrenaline and isoprenaline were full agonists at both the β_1AR and β_2AR. In contrast, noradrenaline was a full agonist for β_1AR, but only partial at the β_2AR with an E_{max} of 82±1% and lower potency (pEC_{50}: 6.34±0.05 (β_1AR) vs. 5.15±0.07 (β_2AR)). Conversely, salbutamol activated β_2AR with greater efficacy than the β_1AR (E_{max}: 82±1% and 37±1%, respectively) and had a substantially lower potency at the β_1AR (pEC_{50}: 5.4±0.02 (β_1AR) vs. 7.15±0.09 (β_2AR)). Using mG$_s$ sensors with overexpressed receptors in HEK293T cells, ICI118,551 (ICI), xamoterol and carvedilol were antagonists at the β_2AR, whereas this was only the case for ICI118,551 with the β_1AR (Figure 1B and D, SI, Table S2). Instead, xamoterol and carvedilol were weak partial agonists with E_{max} values of 14±1% and 7±1%, respectively (Figure 1A, SI, Table S2).

136
Figure 1 - Concentration response curves of selected standard $\beta_{1,2}AR$ agonists and antagonists obtained
in the mini-G protein recruitment assay. HEK293T cells recombinantly co-expressed NlucN-mG$_s$ and β_1AR-
NlucC (**A, B**) or β_2AR-NlucC (**C, D**). Antagonists were characterised in the presence of 100 nM adrenaline
(adren). Data were normalized to L-15 (0%) and to the maximal response elicited by 100 μM (β_1AR) or 10
μM (β_2AR) adrenaline for agonists, or 100 nM for antagonists (100%). Data represent means ± SEM from
at least three independent experiments ($n \geq 3$) performed in triplicate.

Native Receptor Response to $\beta_{1,2}$AR Ligands in Wildtype HEK293T Cells

Conflicting information on the expression of β-adrenoceptors in HEK293 cells exist, most likely due to the different lineages used across the world (Atwood et al., 2011; Thomas and Smart, 2005; van der Hagen et al., 2014). To assess the expression of native receptors in our HEK293T cell line, firstly, the BRET-based CAMYEN assay evaluated the cAMP production in response to $\beta_{1,2}$AR ligands (Figure 2). Potencies of the agonists did not match a distinct profile of the β_1AR or the β_2AR. Adrenaline stimulated cAMP production with a similar potency to the β_2AR-mG$_s$ coupling (pEC$_{50}$: CAMYEN = 7.25±0.14, β_2AR-NluC = 7.55±0.07, β_1AR-NlucC = 6.86±0.02), whereas noradrenaline shared a similar potency with the β_1AR-mG$_s$ coupling data (pEC$_{50}$: CAMYEN = 6.77±0.31, β_1AR-NlucC = 6.20±0.06, β_2AR-NlucC = 5.12±0.06). As isoprenaline was equipotent, 100 nM isoprenaline (approximate EC$_{80}$) was used to characterise antagonists (Figure 2B). Although ICI118,551 and carvedilol had similar potencies (pIC$_{50}$ = 9.01±0.37 and 9.18±0.14, respectively), ICI118,551 was not able to fully antagonise the isoprenaline response up to 100 nM. When assessing the type of antagonism by using varied concentrations of isoprenaline, there was a noncompetitive effect observed for both antagonists in this assay (Figure 2C-E).

The second functional assay was the label-free dynamic mass redistribution (DMR) assay (Schröder et al., 2011; Seibel-Ehlert et al., 2021). Here, the response to a ligand is assessed by morphological changes of the cell as downstream biochemical pathways are activated or inhibited, measured as a change in refractive index of the adherent cells by a biosensor embedded in the plate. Most agonists produced a concentration-dependent response, except for xamoterol. Noradrenaline did not produce a standard nor biphasic dose-response profile, potentially indicating the involvement of multiple receptors and/or downstream pathways. Adrenaline and isoprenaline were both comparable to the cAMP response (pEC$_{50}$: adrenaline = 7.57±0.09, isoprenaline = 8.25±0.10). Notably, there was a potent salbutamol response (pEC$_{50}$ = 7.06±0.41) confirming the strong presence of β_2AR. Potency data for all experiments are summarised in the SI (Fig. S6).

Due to the discrepancies seen in the pharmacological profile of the ligands used, both assays with wildtype HEK293T cells indicated the presence of multiple βARs.

Figure 2 – Analysis of endogenous βAR function in HEK293T cells. (**A-E**) Evaluation of cAMP responses by selected standard agonists and antagonists in wildtype HEK293T-CAMYEN cells using the BRET-based CAMYEN assay. Relative changes in [cAMP] were measured in the presence of 100 μM IBMX with 5 μM coelenterazine h. Agonist concentration response curves are shown in (A) and antagonists characterised in the presence of 100 nM isoprenaline in (B). Data were normalized to L-15 (0%) and the maximal response

elicited by 10 µM adrenaline for agonists, or 100 nM isoprenaline for antagonists (100%). Antagonist

responses to varied isoprenaline concentrations are shown in (C) ICI and (D) carvedilol where a three-

parameter dose response curve is used. These data were used to plot the Schild linear analyses in (E), R^2

ICI = 0.956, carv = 0.965. (**F-K**) Dynamic mass redistribution analysis of HEK293T wildtype cells with $\beta_{1,2}$AR

ligands. (E-I) represent the kinetic traces from n = 3 different experiments with adrenaline (E), noradrenaline

(F), isoprenaline (G), salbutamol (H) and xamoterol (I). Data were corrected to cell responses to buffer only

(HBSS, 0%). Note: the isoprenaline experiments were performed with different cell passages on different

days to the other agonists with a reference 1 µM adrenaline response, which may impact the raw values

shown. Concentration response curves in (**J**) were generated from the area under the curve of 1 h of the

kinetic traces and normalised to 1 µM adrenaline (100%) and buffer (0%). Data represent means ± SEM

from at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3) performed in triplicate (agonists) or duplicate

(antagonists).

Mini-G Protein Recruitment Assays using Endogenously Promoted $\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC or NlucN-mG$_s$

To detect β_2AR and β_1AR relative expressions in HEK293T cells and whether mG$_s$ sensors are useful for detecting β_1AR activation with low receptor expression (β_2AR already validated with Nluc; Kilpatrick et al., 2019), $\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC and NlucN-mG$_s$ fusion proteins were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing of HEK293T cells (SI; Fig. S5). Responses of agonists adrenaline, noradrenaline, isoprenaline and salbutamol were probed after transient expression of NlucN-mG$_s$ fusion protein in HEK293T_CRISPR_$\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC cells. Overall, the traces of mG$_s$ recruitment in response to agonists were of similar kinetics for both overexpressed and endogenously expressed $\beta_{1,2}$AR (Fig. 3A, D for adrenaline). However, mG$_s$ recruitment to overexpressed $\beta_{1,2}$AR was faster, most likely due to the higher expression. Signal-to-background (S/B) ratios were also higher with overexpressed β_1AR-NlucC and β_2AR-NlucC compared to their natively promoted counterparts (Fig. 3B, E). When the sensor, NlucN-mG$_s$, was endogenously promoted under the GNAS promotor, the kinetics profile changed to include a transient peak signal, more pronounced with the overexpressed β_2AR-NlucC than β_1AR-NlucC. The S/B ratio was further reduced with CRISPR-mG$_s$ with overexpressed β_2AR-NlucC, whereas there was no detectable difference with the native β_1AR-NlucC S/B profiles.

Overall, agonist properties observed at the endogenous receptor level were consistent with those at overexpressed receptors. Adrenaline and isoprenaline were full agonists at both $\beta_{1,2}$AR, whereas noradrenaline was a full agonist at β_1AR but a partial agonist at β_2AR (Fig. 3C, F). In addition, salbutamol partially activated the $\beta_{1,2}$ARs. Comparing the efficacy of investigated agonists, E$_{max}$ values were similar between overexpressed and endogenous receptors, except for noradrenaline at β_2AR (Fig. 3; SI, Table S4). Interestingly, pEC$_{50}$ values were increased for salbutamol at β_1AR and noradrenaline at β_2AR, whereas the expected pEC$_{50}$ decrease was seen for adrenaline and isoprenaline at β_2AR (Fig. 3; SI, Table S4). Due to the correlation between functional properties of agonists and receptor density (Kenakin et al., 2012), dimensionless efficacy to potency ratios relative to the reference agonist adrenaline ($\Delta\log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$) were calculated (SI, Table S4). The calculated $\Delta\log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$ values suggested that changes of pEC$_{50}$ and E$_{max}$ were relevant for the partial agonists – salbutamol at $\beta_{1,2}$AR and noradrenaline at β_2AR.

Figure 3 - Comparison of mG$_s$ recruitment to recombinantly (overexpressed) and endogenously (CRISPR)
promoted β$_1$AR-NlucC (**A-C**) and β$_2$AR-NlucC (**D-F**). Representative luminescent traces of mG$_s$ recruitment
(A, D) and overall signal-to-background (S/B) ratios (B, E) obtained for adrenaline are given for
overexpressed and CRISPR/Cas9 modified β$_1$AR and β$_2$AR (96-well assays). Agonist concentration
response curves were aligned for overexpressed and CRISPR/Cas9 modified β$_1$AR (C) and β$_2$AR (F). Mini-
G protein recruitment assays were performed using HEK293T cells stably co-expressing NlucN-mG$_s$ and
β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC fusion proteins, HEK293T_CRISPR_β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC that transiently expressed NlucN-mG$_s$ and
HEK293T_CRISPR_NlucN-mG$_s$ that transiently expressed β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC. Data were normalized to L-15 as
solvent control (0%) and to the maximal response elicited by 10 μM adrenaline, or 100 μM adrenaline for
overexpressed β$_1$AR. Data represent means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3),
performed in triplicate.

Discussion

To evaluate the mG_s sensor suitability for $\beta_{1,2}ARs$, standard agonists and antagonists were functionally characterised using HEK293T cells stably co-expressing NlucN-mG_s and β_1AR-NlucC or β_2AR-NlucC fusion proteins. Overall, observed potencies were within the range of literature binding affinities (pK_i) determined by radioligand displacement and pEC_{50} values obtained in functional cAMP accumulation assays (Baker, 2010; Hoffmann et al., 2004; Isogaya et al., 1999; Mistry et al., 2013). One obvious difference was carvedilol, where the pEC_{50} determined at β_1AR differed from the reported pK_i by about two orders of magnitude (Hoffmann et al., 2004). In fact, this observation was consistent with previous findings of a second binding site at the β_1AR, to which carvedilol and other antagonists bind with less affinity but increased efficacy (Baker et al., 2003; Baker et al., 2013; Konkar et al., 2000). On the other hand, E_{max} values of the partial agonists were lower in mG_s recruitment assays than in the more distal cAMP accumulation assays in the literature. Since the signal amplification along the signalling cascade potentially complicates the discrimination between full and partial agonists in drug discovery by leftward shift of pEC_{50} values and concomitant increase in E_{max}, the presented mG_s sensors should be an attractive addition to future characterization of $\beta_{1,2}AR$ agonists, and thus might prevent overestimation of agonist intrinsic activities (Black and Leff, 1983; Kenakin, 1986; Thomsen et al., 2005).

The primary research questions were whether mini-G proteins can monitor GPCR activation at the low, 'endogenous' receptor level and whether β_1AR is functionally expressed in addition to β_2AR in HEK293T cells, which could lead to problems in ligand screening for receptor specificity. In our downstream assays using only native receptors in wild type HEK293T cells (cAMP accumulation and dynamic mass redistribution), the β-adrenergic ligand profiles did not match a single receptor response, confirming the presence of more than one receptor. Also, the noncompetitive effect observed for both antagonists was probably caused by low receptor reserve in the cell system with the native receptors, further confounding results (Kenakin, 2019).

In order to correctly ascertain the response of each βAR subtype in the system with these HEK293T cells, tagging was required. Our method of CRISPR/Cas9 tagging was cost-effective, utilising general cloning techniques with oligonucleotides and plasmid DNA. This method generated CRISPR/Cas9-modified HEK293T cells expressing $\beta_{1,2}AR$-NlucC fusion proteins under endogenous promotion and could measure specific mG_s recruitment in response to agonists. Signal-to-baseline ratios were significantly lower at endogenously promoted $\beta_{1,2}AR$-NlucC than with overexpressed receptors, as expected, but luminescent traces revealed similar kinetics. Overall, mG_s sensors revealed specific agonist modalities and thus should be well suited for the

functional characterization of ligands at 'endogenous' receptor density. However, $\Delta\log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$

values significantly differed for partial agonists and further experiments would be needed for

explanation. At low receptor density, the ratio of NlucC-labelled and natively expressed receptors

might be relevant, especially for weaker assay responses elicited by partial agonists. Therefore,

it would be prudent to quantify the absolute receptor expression, perhaps by radioligand

saturation binding (unfortunately limited by the availability of selective radioligands for the $\beta_{1,2}AR$).

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to use the CRISPR/Cas9 system to replace a G_α

protein subunit with a minimal G protein subunit (here, the $G_{\alpha s}$ protein with NlucN-mG$_s$). When

this system was tested with overexpressed $\beta_{1,2}AR$-NlucCs, there appeared to be receptor- and

ligand-specific changes in the pharmacological parameters. Receptor density is the probable

cause for a reduced S/B ratio with the β_2AR but not β_1AR; our basal level of β_2AR is higher than

β_1AR in our cells and overexpressed system, which could cause sequestering of the limited mG$_s$

sensor. This theory is supported by the transient peak in the kinetic trace for the β_2AR/CRISPR

NlucN-mG$_s$, and rightward potency shift and decreased E_{max} with the compounds. For β_1AR, there

was only a decrease in potency for adrenaline and salbutamol (no response). Both ligands have

a higher potency for the native β_2AR, which are already expressed natively in the cells and will

sequester the mG$_s$ sensor when activated. We therefore conclude that replacement of native

effector proteins with synthetic constructs under the same promotor must be used with caution in

order to retain receptor:sensor ratios useful to the biological question being posed.

Tagged, endogenously promoted β_2AR have already been utilised for several purposes, such as

deciphering the interaction between β_2AR and the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor,

assessing the binding properties of a fluorescent ligand, and monitoring receptor trafficking using

fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (Goulding et al., 2021a; Goulding et al., 2021b; Kilpatrick

et al., 2019). Here, for the first time, we have proved that the β_1AR can be similarly tagged and

used in HEK293T cells expressing both receptors to analyse intracellular responses to selective

ligands. We anticipate that this knowledge will be useful to any future studies studying the β-

adrenergic receptor subtypes in relation to one another.

Overall, with this study we confirm that native receptor populations are important to and directly

affect recombinant cell line biology. These receptors and their effectors can be utilised to measure

responses to the extracellular environment by specific tagging with CRISPR/Cas9 methods.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany) and Leibovitz' L-15 medium (L-15) from Fisher Scientific (Nidderau, Germany). Foetal calf serum (FCS) and trypsin/EDTA were from Merck Biochrom (Darmstadt, Germany), coelenterazine h (5 mM in methanol, stored at -80 °C) was from BioSynth s.r.o (Bratislava, Slovakia) and furimazine was from Promega (Mannheim, Germany). The pcDNA3.1 vector was from Thermo Scientific (Nidderau, Germany) and the pIRES$_{puro}$3 vector was a kindly provided by Prof. Dr. Gunter Meister (University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany). The pU6-SacB-scRNA-Cas9-T2A-mCherry plasmid was a gift from Kim Failor (Addgene plasmid # 117070) and pFETCh_Donor (EMM0021) was a gift from Eric Mendenhall & Richard M. Myers (Addgene plasmid # 63934). The cDNA encoding the β_1AR was a kind gift from Ulrike Zabel (University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany) and the β_2AR cDNA was purchased from the Missouri cDNA resource center [sic] (Rolla, MO, USA). Restriction enzymes *Bbs*I and *Bsa*I were from ThermoFisher (Braunschweig, Germany) and *Dpn*I, *Hin*dIII, *Xba*I, T4 DNA ligase, T7 endonuclease I and the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit were from New England Biolabs (Frankfurt a. M., Germany). L-adrenaline (adren), L-noradrenaline tartrate (noradren), isoprenaline hydrochloride (isopren), salbutamol hemisulphate (salbut), carvedilol (carv), ICI 118551 hydrochloride (ICI118551) were from Sigma Aldrich. Xamoterol hemifumarate (xamo) was from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). Structures of the investigated ligands are given in the Supplementary Information (SI, Fig. S1). For preparation of stock solutions, the ligands were preferably dissolved in Millipore water. Adrenaline was dissolved in a mixture of 10 mM HCl and MDSO (50:50), and carvedilol was dissolved in DMSO.

Isolation of Genomic DNA and Total RNA

Genomic DNA (gDNA) and total RNA were isolated from wildtype or CRISPR/Cas9 genome edited HEK293T cells. For gDNA isolation, the Monarch Genomic DNA Purification kit (New England Biolabs) was utilized with 1-2 x 10^6 cells. RNA was isolated from 1-2 x 10^6 cells using the Jena Bioscience Total RNA Purification kit (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany). Afterwards, co-precipitated DNA was removed by DNAse I (New England Biolabs) digest at 37°C for 10 min followed by a heat inactivation step at 75°C for 10 min. Then, RNA was purified from the DNAse reaction mix using the spin column RNA cleanup step of the Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and reversely transcribed into cDNA using the ProtoScript II NEB cDNA synthesis kit (New England Biolabs).

Generation of pcDNA3.1_$\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC

The molecular cloning strategy of pIRES$_{puro}$3 plasmids encoding the (LgBiT-) NlucN-mG$_s$, fusion protein was described previously (Höring et al., 2020). For construction of pcDNA3.1 plasmids encoding the β_2AR-NlucC or β_1AR-NlucC fusion protein, a Gibson assembly protocol was performed. pcDNA3.1 encoding the small fragment of the NanoLuc (NlucC; VTGYRLFEEIL, 'smBiT') was linearized by restriction digest using *Hin*dIII and *Xba*I and then fused to the *Dpn*I digested PCR product of β_2AR or β_1AR, which contained respective overlaps to the vector backbone, using the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit. All plasmid DNA was quantified by UV-Vis absorbance using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher). All sequences were verified by sequencing performed by Eurofins Genomics (Eurofins Genomics LLC, Ebersberg, Germany).

Generation of pU6_gRNA-Cas9-T2A-mCherry Plasmids

For gRNA and Cas9 expression, the pU6_SacB-Cas9-T2A-mCherry plasmid was used. The GNAS gene coding the G$_{\alpha s}$ subunit was targeted using a gRNA (CTACAACATGGTCATCCGGG) previously described by Stallaert et al. (2017) to generate G$_{\alpha s}$ knockout cells. An overview of primer sequences used for the generation and verification of HEK293T_CRISPR_$\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC cells is given in the Supplementary Information (SI, Table S1). Using the Benchling web server (www.benchling.com), oligonucleotides encoding the gRNA (oligos; β_1AR: O1/O2, β_2AR: O3/O4; SI, Table S1), were selected upstream from the *Streptococcus pyogenes* Cas9 (spCas9) PAM (β_1AR-PAM: GGG, β_2AR-PAM: AGG) and within 50 bp of the STOP codon of the receptor. Briefly, the single stranded gRNA oligos were annealed in NEBuffer 2 (New England Biolabs) at 95 °C for 5 min and left to cool. Thereafter, each of the annealed gRNA oligos were subcloned into the pU6_SacB-scRNA-Cas9-mCherry vector using a Golden Gate assembly protocol with *Bbs*I and T4 DNA ligase. Plasmids were transformed into TOP10'F *E. coli* (made competent in-house) and extracted in maxipreps (Qiagen).

Cas9 cleavage of $\beta_{1,2}$AR target sites were verified by T7 endonuclease digests recognising DNA mismatches. For this purpose, 600,000 HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with 2 µg of plasmid DNA using XtremeGene HP transfection reagent (ratio 1 µg DNA : 3 µL XtremeGene HP) and the gDNA was extracted after 48 hours. Diagnostic DNA templates were amplified from gDNA using primer pairs O5/O6 for the β_1AR and O7/O8 for the β_2AR (SI Table S1) and digested using T7 endonuclease I according to the supplier's protocol. Digested PCR products were visually inspected after separation by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and revealed cleaved PCR products, which indicated DNA mismatches caused by Cas9 nuclease cleavage (data not shown).

Generation of Homology Directed Repair Template Plasmids

To fuse NlucC to the C-terminus of endogenously expressed β_2AR and β_1AR, the CRISPR /Cas9

protocol was adapted from the Mendenhall and Myers labs' FLAG tagging approach (Savic et al.,

2015). For homology directed repair (HDR), a donor plasmid (pFETCh_Donor) was used. In a

first cloning step, the FLAG sequence of the pFETCh vector was replaced by the NlucC sequence.

Primers O9/O10 (SI, Table S1) were used to linearise the vector and corresponding overlaps

were attached to the NlucC sequence using primers O11/O12. Standard Gibson assembly cloning

was then used to generate the pFETCh_NlucC. Sequences of the homology arms 1 and 2 (HOM1,

HOM2) specific for β_1AR and β_2AR were determined using the Benchling (www.benchling.com)

and Ensembl (www.ensembl.org) web servers. HOM1 comprised the C-terminal end of either the

β_1AR or β_2AR (without the stop codon) and HOM2 was selected downstream of the receptor

sequence in the genomic DNA. Specifically, homology arm primers were selected to remove the

native $\beta_{1,2}AR$ stop codons as well as the spCas9 PAM to prevent further enzymatic cleavage after

successful HDR (β_1AR-PAM: GGG to GTG, β_2AR-PAM: AGG to AGA). HOM1 and HOM2 were

amplified from HEK293T gDNA and overlaps for type IIS enzyme digest (*Bsa*I for HOM1 and *Bbs*I

for HOM2) were added by PCR. For the β_1AR, the primer pairs O13/O14 and O15/O16, and for

the β_2AR the primer pairs O17/O18 and O19/O20 were used to obtain HOM1 and HOM2,

respectively (SI, Table S1). The pFETCh_NlucC plasmid was digested with *Bbs*I and *Bsa*I and

annealed with purified HOM1 and HOM2 PCR products in a Gibson assembly reaction yielding

pFETCh_HOM1-NlucC-P2A-NeoR-HOM2 (pFETCh_$\beta_{1,2}AR$-NlucC) specific for either β_1AR or

β_2AR.

For expression of NlucN-mG$_s$ under the GNAS promotor, pFETCh_NlucN-mG$_s$ was first

generated using the linearised vector (pFETCh_Donor PCR with O9 and O10) and NlucN-mG$_s$

with complementary ends (PCR using O23/O24, SI, Table S1) in a standard Gibson Assembly

reaction. Homology arms for the area of the GNAS gene around the Cas9 cut site were taken

from HEK293T gDNA in a PCR reaction using primer pairs O25/O26 and O27/O28, respectively.

The same reaction as for $\beta_{1,2}AR$ homology arm addition was then used to generate

pFETCh_HOM1-NlucN-mG$_s$-P2A-NeoR-HOM2 (pFETCh_NlucN-mG$_s$).

Homology arm and editing sequences for all constructs are provided in the Supplementary

Information (SI, Table S3).

Cell Culture

HEK293T cells (a kind gift from Prof. Dr. Wulf Schneider; Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, Regensburg, Germany), were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS at 37 °C in humidified, 5% CO_2 atmosphere. The cells were periodically tested negative for mycoplasma contamination using the Venor GeM Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Minerva Biolabs, Berlin, Germany).

Generation of Stable Transfectants

To generate HEK293T cells stably co-expressing NlucN-mG$_s$ and β$_2$AR-NlucC or β$_1$AR-NlucC (overexpressed), the parental HEK293T_NlucN-mG$_s$ cells (Höring et al., 2020) were seeded into a 6-well cell culture plate (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) at a cell density of 0.3×10^6 cells.mL^{-1} (2 mL per well) and allowed to attach overnight. The next day, 2 µg of pcDNA3.1_β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC plasmid DNA was transfected into the cells using the XtremeGene HP transfection protocol (ratio 1 µg DNA: 3 µL XtremeGene HP). Finally, the cells were then cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 1 µg.mL^{-1} puromycin and 600 µg.mL^{-1} G418 for sustained selection pressure.

To generate genome edited HEK293T cells (HEK293T_CRISPR_β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC or HEK293T_CRISPR_NlucN-mG$_s$), HEK293T wildtype cells were seeded in a T25 cell culture flask at a cell density of 0.3×10^6 cells.mL^{-1}. After the cells had grown overnight, 2 µg of the pFETCh_β$_1$AR, pFETCh_β$_2$AR or pFETCh_NlucN-mG$_s$ and 1 µg of the corresponding pU6_gRNA-Cas9-T2A-mCherry plasmids were transfected using 12 µL XtremeGene HP transfection reagent (1:4 ratio). After two weeks of antibiotic selection using 1000 µg.mL^{-1} G418, single clones were isolated from the heterogenous cell population by dilution cloning. Afterwards, single clones were cultured in DMEM (full medium) containing 600 µg.mL^{-1} G418.

Transient Transfections

To verify successful generation of β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC using CRISPR/Cas9, pooled HEK293T CRISPR β$_{1,2}$AR-NlucC cells were seeded onto a 6-well cell culture plate at a density of 0.3×10^6 cells.mL^{-1} (2 mL per well) and were transiently transfected the next day with a total amount of 1 µg plasmid DNA using 3 µL XtremeGene HP (1:3 ratio). For this purpose, different mixtures were prepared comprising 17 ng, 35 ng, 70 ng, 140 ng, 280 ng or 567 ng of pIRES$_{puro}$3 NlucN-mG$_s$ plasmid DNA in combination with 983 ng, 965 ng, 930 ng, 860 ng, 720 ng or 433 ng of an empty pIRES$_{puro}$3 plasmid (mock DNA), respectively. Transfectants were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in a humidified, 5% CO_2 atmosphere.

To screen single clones isolated from pooled HEK293T_CRISPR_$\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC or
HEK293T_CRISPR_NlucN-mG$_s$ cells, the single clones were seeded in duplicate to 96-well cell
culture plates at a density of 0.3×10^6 cells.mL^{-1} (100 µL per well) and transiently transfected with
pIRES$_{puro}$3_NlucN-mG$_s$ or p3.1_ β_2AR-NlucC plasmid DNA using XtremeGene HP transfection
reagent (1:3 ratio). For this, a transfection mixture was prepared in 250 µL DMEM containing 2600
ng plasmid DNA and 7.8 µL XtremeGene HP. After 15 min incubation, the transfection mix was
diluted to 1000 µL and 100 µL each of the diluted transfection complex were added to the cells in
the 96-well plate. Transfectants were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in a humidified, 5% CO$_2$
atmosphere.

For HEK293T_CRISPR_NlucN-mG$_s$, an extra step to decide the amount of receptor plasmid DNA
using a transient transfection with differing amounts of receptor-NlucC plasmid DNA (250 ng, 500
ng and 1000 ng) made up to 1000 ng with pcDNA3.1 empty plasmid, using the same protocol as
follows.

To functionally characterize $\beta_{1,2}$AR ligands, CRISPR/Cas9 positive single clones,
HEK293T_CRISPR_β_1AR-NlucC clone 11, HEK293T_CRISPR_β_2AR-NlucC clone 35 or
HEK293T_CRISPR_NlucN-mG$_s$ clone 83 were seeded into 6-well cell culture plates at a cell
density of 0.3×10^6 cells.mL^{-1} (2 mL per well) and allowed to attach overnight. The next day, the
cells were transfected with a total plasmid DNA amount of 1 µg using 3 µL XtremeGeneHP
transfection reagent (1:3 ratio). For CRISPR_$\beta_{1,2}$AR cells, 280 ng of pIRES$_{puro}$3_NlucN-mG$_s$ and
720 ng of empty pIRES$_{puro}$3 (mock) plasmid DNA were used. For CRISPR_ mG$_s$ cells, 500 ng of
p3.1_$\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC and 500 ng of empty pcDNA3.1 (mock) plasmid DNA were used.
Transfectants were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in a humidified, 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere.

Mini-G Protein Recruitment Assays

The day prior to the experiment, stable or transient transfectants (except for single clone screens)
were detached using trypsin (0.05% trypsin, 0.02% EDTA in PBS) and centrifuged (700 g, 5 min).
Thereafter, the cells were resuspended in 2.5 mL L-15 supplemented with 10 mM HEPES (Serva,
Heidelberg, Germany) and 5% FCS (assay medium) and 80 µL or 40 µL per well were seeded
into white flat-bottom 96- or 384-well microtiter plates, respectively (Brand, Wertheim, Germany).
For single clone screens, the cell culture medium (DMEM, full medium) was removed from the
cells, which had been transiently transfected in the 96-well plate. Following a washing step, 80

µL of assay medium were added to the cells. All cells were then incubated overnight at 37 °C in

a water-saturated atmosphere without additional CO_2.

For mini-G protein recruitment assays performed in 96-well plates, the assay protocol was as

previously described (Höring et al., 2020). When assays were performed in 384-well plates, the

protocol was adjusted as follows: The furimazine substrate was diluted 1:500 in L-15 and 5 µL

was added to the cells (final assay dilution: 1:5000). The plate was transferred to the pre-heated

(37 °C) Tecan Infinite 200 Pro plate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) and 5 plate repeats

of basal luminescence were recorded (~16.5 min). Thereafter, 5 µL of the agonist serial dilutions

prepared in L-15 were added to each well (final assay volume: 50 µL) and luminescent signals

were recorded for an additional 15 plate repeats (~49.5 min). An integration time of 0.2 s per well

was used to capture the luminescence and plate repeats lasted 198 s.

CAMYEN cAMP Assay

The CAMYEN BRET-based assay was used to determine cAMP generation by $\beta_{1,2}AR$ ligands

with native receptors. HEK293T-CAMYEN stable cell lines (cultured with 600 ng.mL^{-1} G418) were

used as described in a previously reported protocol (Gleixner et al., 2023), with minor alterations

described as follows. Cells without transfected receptor plasmid DNA were plated in opaque,

white 96-well plates instead of 384-well plates. Each well contained 75,000 cells in 80 µL L-15

media with 10 mM HEPES and 5% FCS. On the day of the experiment, cells were incubated in

10 µL.well^{-1} of 5 µM coelenterazine h without forskolin but including 100 µM 3-isobutyl-1-

methylxanthine (IBMX, diluted in DMSO). The baseline luminescence was then recorded for both

"Blue"(< 470nm) and "Green" (520−580 nm) filter wavelengths on a preheated (37 °C) Tecan

Infinite Lumi at an integration time of 100 ms for 30 min (12 cycles). Agonists, prepared at 10x

concentration, were added after the baseline read at 10 µL.well^{-1}. Control wells added 10 µM

forskolin or buffer. For antagonists, the antagonist was added at the same time as the substrate

(30 min preincubation) and diluted isoprenaline was then added after the baseline read. The plate

was then read for a further 60 min (23 cycles).

Dynamic Mass Redistribution Assay

Label-free analysis of ligand innovation in HEK293T wild type cells was performed with the

dynamic mass redistribution assay as previously described (Seibel-Ehlert et al., 2021) – adapted

from Schröder et al. (2011) – with minor modifications. No antibiotics were used with the HEK293T

wildtype cells at any stage of culturing or the assay. As previously performed, cells were used at

1×10^6 cells.mL^{-1} with 90 µL.well^{-1} in an assay-specific, label-free 96 well plate (Cat. No. 5080,

Corning Life Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands). Unlike previously described, the plates were

precoated with poly-D-lysine (mol. wt 70,000-150,000, Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany).

The same assay media, Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Gibco, Thermo Fisher) with 20

mM HEPES, was used in these experiments.

Data Analysis

Data were analysed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (San Diego, CA, USA). In a first baseline-

correction step, the relative luminescence units (RLU) or BRET ratios (520−580 nm / < 470 nm

wavelength recordings) were corrected for slight inter-well variations caused by differences in cell

density and substrate concentration by dividing the data of each well by its luminescence prior to

the addition of the ligands. In a second baseline-correction step, all data were divided by the mean

luminescence or BRET ratio of L-15 responses, producing relative increases in luminescence.

For each well, the area under curve (AUC) of the traces was normalized to the maximum response

of the reference agonist (10 µM adren) and L-15 (0% control). For assays performed in 96-well

plates using an EnSpire plate reader (Perkin Elmer, Rodgau, Germany), AUCs were calculated

from signals of 45 min (90 plate repeats of 30 s length each), or for the Tecan Infinite Lumi, 60

min (23 cycles of approximately 156 s each). For assays performed in 384-well plates, AUCs

were calculated of signals within 49.5±0.05 min (15 plate repeats of 198 s length each).

Normalized data were then fitted against the logarithmic ligand concentrations with variable slope

(log(c) vs. response – variable slope (four parameters)) to generate pEC_{50} and E_{max} values, unless

otherwise stated.

Calculation of $\Delta log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$

For each experiment, the efficacy to potency ratio E_{max}/EC_{50} was determined and logarithmically

transformed into $log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$. Subsequently, $\Delta log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$ for each agonist (A) were

calculated using the means ± SEM of single $log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$ values relative to the reference agonist

adrenaline (ref) as follows (Griffin et al., 2007; Kenakin et al., 2012):

$$\Delta \log\left(\frac{E_{max}}{EC_{50}}\right) = \log\left(\frac{E_{max,A}}{EC_{50,A}}\right) - \log\left(\frac{E_{max,ref}}{EC_{50,ref}}\right) \quad (1)$$

For $\Delta log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$ values, error propagation was performed according to the following equation:

$$\Delta z = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_A - \bar{x}_A)^2}{n_A} + \frac{\sum(x_{ref} - \bar{x}_{ref})^2}{n_{ref}}} \quad (2)$$

where x is the function of $\Delta log(E_{max}/EC_{50})$ of each agonist (A) and the reference agonist adrenaline

(ref). Consequently, Δz represents the propagated error of z.

Sample Sizes and Statistics

Throughout the manuscript, the sample size n was defined as a single experiment performed on a separate day with a different cell passage and separate transient transfection, where applicable. Statistics were only performed on data where $n \geq 5$.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge our undergraduate placement students Annalena Schiestl and Sebastian Klinger and our colleagues Denise Mönnich and Maria Beer-Kroen for their help in optimising the assays used in this manuscript. We also thank Professor Pierre Koch and Dr Max Keller for providing the infrastructure to carry out experiments and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for funding this project.

Competing Interests

No competing interests declared.

Funding

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Graduate Training Program, GRK 1910.

Data Availability

All relevant data can be found within the article and its supplementary information. Raw data is available upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Laura J. Humphrys: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4019-5538

Carina Höring: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6536-277X

Albert O. Gattor: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5166-2168

References

Atwood, B. K., Lopez, J., Wager-Miller, J., Mackie, K. and Straiker, A. (2011). Expression of G protein-coupled receptors and related proteins in HEK293, AtT20, BV2, and N18 cell lines as revealed by microarray analysis. *BMC Genomics* **12**, 14.

Baker, J. G. (2010). The selectivity of β-adrenoceptor agonists at human β1-, β2- and β3-adrenoceptors. *Br J Pharmacol* **160**, 1048–1061.

Baker, J. G., Hall, I. P. and Hill, S. J. (2003). Agonist Actions of "β-Blockers" Provide Evidence for Two Agonist Activation Sites or Conformations of the Human β1-Adrenoceptor. *Mol Pharmacol* **63**, 1312–1321.

Baker, J. G., Proudman, R. G. W. and Hill, S. J. (2013). Impact of Polymorphic Variants on the Molecular Pharmacology of the Two-Agonist Conformations of the Human β1-Adrenoceptor. *PLoS One* **8**, e77582.

Barisione, G., Baroffio, M., Crimi, E. and Brusasco, V. (2010). Beta-Adrenergic Agonists. *Pharmaceuticals* **3**, 1016–1044.

Black, J. W. and Leff, P. (1983). Operational models of pharmacological agonism. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **220**, 141–162.

Cong, L., Ran, F. A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P. D., Wu, X., Jiang, W., Marraffini, L. A., et al. (2013). Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems. *Science (1979)* **339**, 819–823.

Copik, A. J., Ma, C., Kosaka, A., Sahdeo, S., Trane, A., Ho, H., Dietrich, P. S., Yu, H., Ford, A. P. D. W., Button, D., et al. (2009). Facilitatory Interplay in α1a and β2 Adrenoceptor Function Reveals a Non-Gq Signaling Mode: Implications for Diversification of Intracellular Signal Transduction. *Mol Pharmacol* **75**, 713–728.

Dixon, A. S., Schwinn, M. K., Hall, M. P., Zimmerman, K., Otto, P., Lubben, T. H., Butler, B. L., Binkowski, B. F., Machleidt, T., Kirkland, T. A., et al. (2016). NanoLuc Complementation Reporter Optimized for Accurate Measurement of Protein Interactions in Cells. *ACS Chem Biol* **11**, 400–408.

Galaz-Montoya, M., Wright, S. J., Rodriguez, G. J., Lichtarge, O. and Wensel, T. G. (2017). β2-Adrenergic receptor activation mobilizes intracellular calcium via a non-canonical cAMP-independent signaling pathway. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **292**, 9967–9974.

Gleixner, J., Gattor, A. O., Humphrys, L. J., Brunner, T. and Keller, M. (2023). [3H]UR-JG102–A Radiolabeled Cyclic Peptide with High Affinity and Excellent Selectivity for the Neuropeptide Y Y4 Receptor. *J Med Chem* **66**, 13788–13808.

Goulding, J., Kondrashov, A., Mistry, S. J., Melarangi, T., Vo, N. T. N., Hoang, D. M., White, C. W., Denning, C., Briddon, S. J. and Hill, S. J. (2021a). The use of fluorescence correlation spectroscopy to monitor cell surface β2-adrenoceptors at low expression levels in human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes and fibroblasts. *The FASEB Journal* **35**,.

Goulding, J., Mistry, S. J., Soave, M., Woolard, J., Briddon, S. J., White, C. W., Kellam, B. and Hill, S. J.
(2021b). Subtype selective fluorescent ligands based on ICI 118,551 to study the human β2-
adrenoceptor in CRISPR/Cas9 genome-edited HEK293T cells at low expression levels. *Pharmacol*
Res Perspect **9**,.

Griffin, M. T., Figueroa, K. W., Liller, S. and Ehlert, F. J. (2007). Estimation of Agonist Activity at G
Protein-Coupled Receptors: Analysis of M2 Muscarinic Receptor Signaling through Gi/o ,Gs, and
G15. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* **321**, 1193–1207.

Guixà-González, R., Albasanz, J. L., Rodriguez-Espigares, I., Pastor, M., Sanz, F., Martí-Solano, M.,
Manna, M., Martinez-Seara, H., Hildebrand, P. W., Martín, M., et al. (2017). Membrane
cholesterol access into a G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14505.

Hall, M. P., Unch, J., Binkowski, B. F., Valley, M. P., Butler, B. L., Wood, M. G., Otto, P., Zimmerman, K.,
Vidugiris, G., Machleidt, T., et al. (2012). Engineered Luciferase Reporter from a Deep Sea Shrimp
Utilizing a Novel Imidazopyrazinone Substrate. *ACS Chem Biol* **7**, 1848–1857.

Hattori, M. and Ozawa, T. (2014). Split Luciferase Complementation for Analysis of Intracellular
Signaling. *Analytical Sciences* **30**, 539–544.

Hoffmann, C., Leitz, M. R., Oberdorf-Maass, S., Lohse, M. J. and Klotz, K.-N. (2004). Comparative
pharmacology of human β-adrenergic receptor subtypes - characterization of stably transfected
receptors in CHO cells. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* **369**, 151–159.

Höring, C., Seibel, U., Tropmann, K., Grätz, L., Mönnich, D., Pitzl, S., Bernhardt, G., Pockes, S. and
Strasser, A. (2020). A Dynamic, Split-Luciferase-Based Mini-G Protein Sensor to Functionally
Characterize Ligands at All Four Histamine Receptor Subtypes. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 8440.

Horvath, P. and Barrangou, R. (2010). CRISPR/Cas, the Immune System of Bacteria and Archaea. *Science*
(1979) **327**, 167–170.

Isogaya, M., Sugimoto, Y., Tanimura, R., Tanaka, R., Kikkawa, H., Nagao, T. and Kurose, H. (1999).
Binding Pockets of the β1- and β2-Adrenergic Receptors for Subtype-Selective Agonists. *Mol*
Pharmacol **56**, 875–885.

Jakubík, J. and El-Fakahany, E. E. (2021). Allosteric Modulation of GPCRs of Class A by Cholesterol. *Int J*
Mol Sci **22**, 1953.

Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J. A. and Charpentier, E. (2012). A
Programmable Dual-RNA–Guided DNA Endonuclease in Adaptive Bacterial Immunity. *Science*
(1979) **337**, 816–821.

Kamiyama, D., Sekine, S., Barsi-Rhyne, B., Hu, J., Chen, B., Gilbert, L. A., Ishikawa, H., Leonetti, M. D.,
Marshall, W. F., Weissman, J. S., et al. (2016). Versatile protein tagging in cells with split
fluorescent protein. *Nat Commun* **7**, 11046.

Kenakin, T. P. (1986). Receptor reserve as a tissue misnomer. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **7**, 93–95.

Kenakin, T. P. (2019). Chapter 6 - Orthosteric Drug Antagonism. In *A Pharmacology Primer (Fifth Edition)*
(ed. Kenakin, T. P.), pp. 133–171. Academic Press.

Kenakin, T., Watson, C., Muniz-Medina, V., Christopoulos, A. and Novick, S. (2012). A Simple Method
for Quantifying Functional Selectivity and Agonist Bias. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **3**, 193–203.

Kilpatrick, L. E., Alcobia, D. C., White, C. W., Peach, C. J., Glenn, J. R., Zimmerman, K., Kondrashov, A.,
Pfleger, K. D. G., Ohana, R. F., Robers, M. B., et al. (2019). Complex Formation between VEGFR2
and the β2-Adrenoceptor. *Cell Chem Biol* **26**, 830-841.e9.

Konkar, A. A., Zhu, Z. and Granneman, J. G. (2000). Aryloxypropanolamine and catecholamine ligand
interactions with the β1-adrenergic receptor: evidence for interaction with distinct conformations
of β1-adrenergic receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **294**, 923–32.

Lavoie, C., Mercier, J.-F., Salahpour, A., Umapathy, D., Breit, A., Villeneuve, L.-R., Zhu, W.-Z., Xiao, R.-
P., Lakatta, E. G., Bouvier, M., et al. (2002). β1/β2-Adrenergic Receptor Heterodimerization
Regulates β2-Adrenergic Receptor Internalization and ERK Signaling Efficacy. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry **277**, 35402–35410.

Mistry, S. N., Baker, J. G., Fischer, P. M., Hill, S. J., Gardiner, S. M. and Kellam, B. (2013). Synthesis and
in Vitro and in Vivo Characterization of Highly β1-Selective β-Adrenoceptor Partial Agonists. *J Med*
Chem **56**, 3852–3865.

Oh-hashi, K., Furuta, E., Fujimura, K. and Hirata, Y. (2017). Application of a novel HiBiT peptide tag for
monitoring ATF4 protein expression in Neuro2a cells. *Biochem Biophys Rep* **12**, 40–45.

Patwardhan, A., Cheng, N. and Trejo, J. (2021). Post-Translational Modifications of G Protein–Coupled
Receptors Control Cellular Signaling Dynamics in Space and Time. *Pharmacol Rev* **73**, 120–151.

Pottie, E., Tosh, D. K., Gao, Z.-G., Jacobson, K. A. and Stove, C. P. (2020). Assessment of biased agonism
at the A3 adenosine receptor using β-arrestin and miniGαi recruitment assays. *Biochem Pharmacol*
177, 113934.

Ratz, M., Testa, I., Hell, S. W. and Jakobs, S. (2015). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated endogenous protein tagging
for RESOLFT super-resolution microscopy of living human cells. *Sci Rep* **5**, 9592.

Riching, K. M., Mahan, S. D., Urh, M. and Daniels, D. L. (2020). High-Throughput Cellular Profiling of
Targeted Protein Degradation Compounds Using HiBiT CRISPR Cell Lines. *Journal of Visualized*
Experiments.

Ripley, T. L. and Saseen, J. J. (2014). β-Blockers: a review of their pharmacological and physiological
diversity in hypertension. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* **48**, 723–733.

Rojas-Fernandez, A., Herhaus, L., Macartney, T., Lachaud, C., Hay, R. T. and Sapkota, G. P. (2015).
Rapid generation of endogenously driven transcriptional reporters in cells through CRISPR/Cas9. *Sci*
Rep **5**, 9811.

Savic, D., Partridge, E. C., Newberry, K. M., Smith, S. B., Meadows, S. K., Roberts, B. S., Mackiewicz,
M., Mendenhall, E. M. and Myers, R. M. (2015). CETCh-seq: CRISPR epitope tagging ChIP-seq of
DNA-binding proteins. *Genome Res* **25**, 1581–1589.

Schmitt, J. M. and Stork, P. J. S. (2000). β2-Adrenergic Receptor Activates Extracellular Signal-regulated
Kinases (ERKs) via the Small G Protein Rap1 and the Serine/Threonine Kinase B-Raf. *Journal of*
Biological Chemistry **275**, 25342–25350.

Schröder, R., Schmidt, J., Blättermann, S., Peters, L., Janssen, N., Grundmann, M., Seemann, W.,
Kaufel, D., Merten, N., Drewke, C., et al. (2011). Applying label-free dynamic mass redistribution
technology to frame signaling of G protein-coupled receptors noninvasively in living cells. *Nat*
Protoc **6**, 1748–1760.

Schwinn, M. K., Machleidt, T., Zimmerman, K., Eggers, C. T., Dixon, A. S., Hurst, R., Hall, M. P., Encell, L.
P., Binkowski, B. F. and Wood, K. V. (2018). CRISPR-Mediated Tagging of Endogenous Proteins
with a Luminescent Peptide. *ACS Chem Biol* **13**, 467–474.

Seibel-Ehlert, U., Plank, N., Inoue, A., Bernhardt, G. and Strasser, A. (2021). Label-Free Investigations
on the G Protein Dependent Signaling Pathways of Histamine Receptors. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**, 9739.

Soave, M., Stoddart, L. A., White, C. W., Kilpatrick, L. E., Goulding, J., Briddon, S. J. and Hill, S. J. (2021).
Detection of genome-edited and endogenously expressed G protein-coupled receptors. *FEBS J* **288**,
2585–2601.

Stallaert, W., Van Der Westhuizen, E. T., Schönegge, A. M., Plouffe, B., Hogue, M., Lukashova, V.,
Inoue, A., Ishida, S., Aoki, J., Le Gouill, C., et al. (2017). Purinergic receptor transactivation by the
β2-adrenergic receptor increases intracellular Ca2+ in nonexcitable cells. *Mol Pharmacol* **91**, 533–
544.

Thomas, P. and Smart, T. G. (2005). HEK293 cell line: A vehicle for the expression of recombinant
proteins. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* **51**, 187–200.

Thomsen, W., Frazer, J. and Unett, D. (2005). Functional assays for screening GPCR targets. *Curr Opin*
Biotechnol.

van der Hagen, E. A. E., Tudpor, K., Verkaart, S., Lavrijsen, M., van der Kemp, A., van Zeeland, F.,
Bindels, R. J. M. and Hoenderop, J. G. J. (2014). β1-Adrenergic Receptor Signaling Activates the
Epithelial Calcium Channel, Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid Type 5 (TRPV5), via the Protein
Kinase A Pathway. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **289**, 18489–18496.

Vasudevan, L. and Stove, C. P. (2020). A novel nanobody-based bio-assay using functional
complementation of a split nanoluciferase to monitor Mu- opioid receptor activation. *Anal Bioanal*
Chem **412**, 8015–8022.

Wacker, D., Stevens, R. C. and Roth, B. L. (2017). How Ligands Illuminate GPCR Molecular
Pharmacology. *Cell* **170**, 414–427.

Waldeck, B. (2002). β-Adrenoceptor agonists and asthma - 100 years of development. *Eur J Pharmacol*
445, 1–12.

Wan, Q., Okashah, N., Inoue, A., Nehmé, R., Carpenter, B., Tate, C. G. and Lambert, N. A. (2018). Mini
G protein probes for active G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) in live cells. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry **293**, 7466–7473.

White, C. W., Vanyai, H. K., See, H. B., Johnstone, E. K. M. and Pfleger, K. D. G. (2017). Using nanoBRET
and CRISPR/Cas9 to monitor proximity to a genome-edited protein in real-time. *Sci Rep* **7**, 3187.

White, C. W., Johnstone, E. K. M., See, H. B. and Pfleger, K. D. G. (2019). NanoBRET ligand binding at a
GPCR under endogenous promotion facilitated by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. *Cell Signal* **54**, 27–
34.

Wiseman, D. N., Otchere, A., Patel, J. H., Uddin, R., Pollock, N. L., Routledge, S. J., Rothnie, A. J., Slack,
C., Poyner, D. R., Bill, R. M., et al. (2020). Expression and purification of recombinant G protein-
coupled receptors: A review. *Protein Expr Purif* **167**, 105524.

Wouters, E., Walraed, J., Robertson, M. J., Meyrath, M., Szpakowska, M., Chevigné, A., Skiniotis, G.
and Stove, C. (2020). Assessment of Biased Agonism among Distinct Synthetic Cannabinoid
Receptor Agonist Scaffolds. *ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci* **3**, 285–295.

Yang, H., Wang, H., Shivalila, C. S., Cheng, A. W., Shi, L. and Jaenisch, R. (2013). One-Step Generation of
Mice Carrying Reporter and Conditional Alleles by CRISPR/Cas-Mediated Genome Engineering. *Cell*
154, 1370–1379.

Ziff, O. J., Samra, M., Howard, J. P., Bromage, D. I., Ruschitzka, F., Francis, D. P. and Kotecha, D. (2020).
Beta-blocker efficacy across different cardiovascular indications: an umbrella review and meta-
analytic assessment. *BMC Med* **18**, 103.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1 – **Concentration response curves of selected standard $\beta_{1,2}$AR agonists and**
antagonists obtained in the mini-G protein recruitment assay. HEK293T cells recombinantly
co-expressed NlucN-mG$_s$ and β_1AR-NlucC (**A, B**) or β_2AR-NlucC (**C, D**). Antagonists were
characterised in the presence of 100 nM adrenaline (adren). Data were normalized to L-15 (0%)
and to the maximal response elicited by 100 μM (β_1AR) or 10 μM (β_2AR) adrenaline for agonists,
or 100 nM for antagonists (100%). Data represent means ± SEM from at least three independent
experiments ($n \geq 3$) performed in triplicate.

Figure 2 – **Analysis of endogenous βAR function in HEK293T cells.** (**A-E**) Evaluation of cAMP
responses by selected standard agonists and antagonists in wildtype HEK293T-CAMYEN cells
using the BRET-based CAMYEN assay. Relative changes in [cAMP] were measured in the
presence of 100 μM IBMX with 5 μM coelenterazine h. Agonist concentration response curves
are shown in (A) and antagonists characterised in the presence of 100 nM isoprenaline in (B).
Data were normalized to L-15 (0%) and the maximal response elicited by 10 μM adrenaline for
agonists, or 100 nM isoprenaline for antagonists (100%). Antagonist responses to varied
isoprenaline concentrations are shown in (C) ICI and (D) carvedilol where a three-parameter dose
response curve is used. These data were used to plot the Schild linear analyses in (E), R^2 ICI =
0.956, carv = 0.965. (**F-K**) Dynamic mass redistribution analysis of HEK293T wildtype cells with
$\beta_{1,2}$AR ligands. (E-I) represent the kinetic traces from $n = 3$ different experiments with adrenaline
(E), noradrenaline (F), isoprenaline (G), salbutamol (H) and xamoterol (I). Data were corrected to
cell responses to buffer only (HBSS, 0%). Note: the isoprenaline experiments were performed
with different cell passages on different days to the other agonists with a reference 1 μM
adrenaline response, which may impact the raw values shown. Concentration response curves in
(**J**) were generated from the area under the curve of 1 h of the kinetic traces and normalised to 1
μM adrenaline (100%) and buffer (0%). Data represent means ± SEM from at least three
independent experiments ($n \geq 3$) performed in triplicate (agonists) or duplicate (antagonists).

Figure 3 – **Comparison of mG$_s$ recruitment to recombinantly (overexpressed) and**
endogenously (CRISPR) promoted β_1AR-NlucC (A-C) and β_2AR-NlucC (D-F). Representative
luminescent traces of mG$_s$ recruitment (A, D) and overall signal-to-background (S/B) ratios (B, E)
obtained for adrenaline are given for overexpressed and CRISPR/Cas9 modified β_1AR and β_2AR
(96-well assays). Agonist concentration response curves were aligned for overexpressed and

CRISPR/Cas9 modified β_1AR (C) and β_2AR (F). Mini-G protein recruitment assays were
performed using HEK293T cells stably co-expressing NlucN-mG$_s$ and $\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC fusion
proteins, HEK293T_CRISPR_$\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC that transiently expressed NlucN-mG$_s$ and
HEK293T_CRISPR_NlucN-mG$_s$ that transiently expressed $\beta_{1,2}$AR-NlucC. Data were normalized
to L-15 as solvent control (0%) and to the maximal response elicited by 10 µM adrenaline, or 100
µM adrenaline for overexpressed β_1AR. Data represent means ± SEM from at least three
independent experiments (n ≥ 3), performed in triplicate.